

Genpact LTD
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

- x **Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.**

- .. **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from to .**

Commission file number: 001-33626

GENPACT LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

98-0533350
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Canon s Court
22 Victoria Street
Hamilton HM 12
Bermuda
(441) 295-2244

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive office)

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Exchange on Which Registered |
|---|---|
| Common shares, par value \$0.01 per share Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None | New York Stock Exchange |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2013, the aggregate market value of the common stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates of the registrant, was \$3,304,638,446, based on the closing price of the registrant's common shares, par value of \$0.01 per share, reported on the New York Stock Exchange on such date of \$19.24 per share. Directors, executive officers and significant shareholders of Genpact Limited are considered affiliates for purposes of this calculation, but should not necessarily be deemed affiliates for any other purpose.

As of February 19, 2014, there were 231,716,562 common shares of the registrant outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference:

The registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Portions of the proxy statement are incorporated herein by reference to the following parts of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Part III, Item 10, Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance;

Part III, Item 11, Executive Compensation;

Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters;

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

Part III, Item 13, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence; and

Part III, Item 14, Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | Page No. |
|--|-----------------|
| PART I | |
| 1. <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 15 |
| 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 32 |
| 2. <u>Properties</u> | 32 |
| 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 32 |
| 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 32 |
| PART II | |
| 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 33 |
| 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 36 |
| 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 37 |
| 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 65 |
| 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 66 |
| 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 66 |
| 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 66 |
| 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 68 |
| PART III | |
| 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 68 |
| 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 68 |
| 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 68 |
| 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 68 |
| 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 68 |
| PART IV | |
| 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 69 |
| CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (loss)</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity</u> | F-8 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | F-11 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-12 |
| SIGNATURES | |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | E-1 |

Table of Contents

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

We have made statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "Annual Report") in, among other sections, Item 1 "Business", Item 1A "Risk Factors", and Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" that are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking terms such as "expect", "anticipate", "intend", "plan", "believe", "seek", "estimate", "may", "shall", "will", "would" and variations of such words and similar expressions, or the negative of such words or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements, which are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us, may include projections of our future financial performance, which in some cases may be based on our growth strategies and anticipated trends in our business. These statements are only predictions based on our current expectations and projections about future events. There are important factors that could cause our actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, you should consider the numerous risks outlined under Item 1A "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report. These forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements relating to:

our ability to retain existing clients and contracts;

our ability to win new clients and engagements;

the expected value of the statements of work under our master service agreements;

our beliefs about future trends in our market;

political, economic or business conditions in countries where we have operations or where our clients operate;

expected spending on business process management and information technology services by clients;

foreign currency exchange rates;

our rate of employee attrition;

our effective tax rate; and

competition in our industry.

Factors that may cause actual results to differ from expected results include, among others:

our ability to grow our business and effectively manage growth and international operations while maintaining effective internal controls;

our dependence on revenues derived from clients in the United States and Europe;

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

our ability to hire and retain enough qualified employees to support our operations;

our ability to successfully consummate or integrate strategic acquisitions;

our ability to maintain pricing and asset utilization rates;

our dependence on favorable tax legislation and tax policies that may be amended in a manner adverse to us or be unavailable to us in the future;

increases in wages in locations in which we have operations;

our relative dependence on the General Electric Company (GE);

financing terms, including, but not limited to, changes in LIBOR;

ii

Table of Contents

restrictions on visas for our employees traveling to North America and Europe;

fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar, the Euro, U.K. pound sterling, Chinese renminbi, Hungarian forint, Japanese yen, Indian rupee, Australian dollar, Philippines peso, Guatemalan quetzal, Mexican peso, Moroccan dirham, Polish zloty, Romanian leu, South African rand, Hong Kong dollar, Singapore dollar, Arab Emirates dirham, Brazilian real, Swiss franc, Swedish krona, Danish krone, Thai baht, Kenyan shilling, Czech koruna, Canadian dollar, Colombian peso, Norwegian krone, Peruvian nuevo and Saudi Arabian riyal;

our ability to retain senior management;

the selling cycle for our client relationships;

our ability to attract and retain clients and our ability to develop and maintain client relationships on attractive terms;

legislation in the United States or elsewhere that adversely affects the performance of business process and technology management services offshore;

increasing competition in our industry;

telecommunications or technology disruptions or breaches, or natural or other disasters;

our ability to protect our intellectual property and the intellectual property of others;

further deterioration in the global economic environment and its impact on our clients, including the bankruptcy of our clients;

regulatory, legislative and judicial developments, including the withdrawal of governmental fiscal incentives;

the international nature of our business;

technological innovation;

our ability to derive revenues from new service offerings; and

unionization of any of our employees.

Although we believe the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable at the time they are made, we cannot guarantee future results, level of activity, performance or achievements. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties, and potentially inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could differ materially from past results and those anticipated, estimated or projected. You should bear this in mind as you consider

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

forward looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this filing to conform our prior statements to actual results or revised expectations. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects in our Forms 10-Q and Form 8-K reports to the SEC.

iii

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a global leader in transforming and running business processes and operations, including those that are complex and industry-specific. Our mission is to help clients become more competitive by making their enterprises more intelligent through becoming more adaptive, innovative, globally effective and connected to their own clients. Genpact stands for Generating Impact visible in tighter cost management as well as better management of risk, regulations and growth for hundreds of long-term clients including more than 100 of the Fortune Global 500. Our approach is distinctive we offer an unbiased, agile combination of smarter processes, crystallized in our Smart Enterprise Processes (SEPSM) proprietary framework, along with analytics and technology, which limits upfront investments and enhances future adaptability. We have a global critical mass 63,600+ employees in 24 countries with key management and corporate offices in New York City while remaining flexible and collaborative, and a management team that drives client partnerships personally. Our history is unique behind our single-minded passion for process and operational excellence is the Lean and Six Sigma heritage of a former General Electric division that has served GE businesses for more than 15 years.

In 2013, we had net revenues of \$2.1 billion, of which approximately 77.4% was from clients other than GE, which we refer to as Global Clients, with the remaining \$482.0 million, or 22.6%, coming from GE.

Our business was initially conducted through various entities and divisions of GE. In 2004, GE placed these operations under a newly formed Luxembourg company and sold indirect interests in us to General Atlantic LLC, or General Atlantic, and Oak Hill Capital Partners, or Oak Hill. In 2007, we became a Bermuda company named Genpact Limited and completed our initial public offering. In 2012, General Atlantic and Oak Hill sold the majority of their interests in us to affiliates of Bain Capital Investors, LLC, or Bain Capital. As of December 31, 2013, Bain Capital (through its affiliates) owned approximately 25% of our outstanding equity.

We use the terms Genpact, Company, we and us to refer to both our predecessor company and its subsidiaries, and Genpact Limited and its subsidiaries. Our registered office is located at Canon's Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda.

Our Solution

Our vision is to be the global leader in helping businesses make smarter decisions and realize better business outcomes through expertise and a deep understanding of process operations, analytics and technology. We seek to build long-term client relationships with companies that wish to improve the ways in which they do business and to whom we can offer a full range of services. With our broad and deep capabilities and our global delivery platform, our goal is to deliver comprehensive solutions and continuous process improvement to clients around the world and across multiple industries.

Our Expertise

Our business increasingly focuses on industry verticals in banking and financial services, insurance, capital markets, consumer goods, life sciences, infrastructure, manufacturing, services, healthcare, and high tech.

Our offerings in these core vertical activities are driven by our broad end-to-end process expertise, which includes:

finance and accounting services;

Smart Decision Services, including analytics and research, business consulting, enterprise risk consulting and re-engineering;

supply chain and procurement services;

Table of Contents

enterprise application services;

IT management services; and

collections and customer services.

We seek to deliver significant business impact for our clients by redesigning and operating a combination of processes, as well as providing multiple services that combine elements of several of our service offerings. In offering services across our global delivery platform, we draw on core capabilities in process expertise, analytical ability and technology expertise, as well as the operational insight we have acquired from our experience in managing thousands of processes for our clients.

Process Expertise. We have extensive experience in operating a wide range of processes and have used this expertise to develop SEPSM. We believe we are building the science of process through SEPSM a unique, scientific, and highly granular approach to managing business processes. In addition to efficiency, it focuses on maximizing process effectiveness, which can deliver up to five times the end business outcomes, such as cash flow and margins, when compared to processes that run at average or below. We also apply the principles of Six Sigma and Lean to eliminate defects and variation and reduce inefficiency. Through our Six Sigma and Lean process rigor we also develop and track operational metrics to measure process performance as a means of monitoring service levels and enhancing productivity.

Analytical and Research Capabilities. Our analytical and research capabilities are central to our ability to improve business processes. They enable us to work with our clients and identify weaknesses in business processes and redesign and re-engineer them to create additional business value. The confluence of big data, regulatory changes and social media are causing a major shift in the way businesses operate. We help our clients harness data to identify trends and issues, uncover new insights, identify and prevent future risks and fine-tune operations to make smarter decisions and meet business goals. We also rigorously apply analytical methodologies, which we use to measure and enhance performance of our client services. In addition, we apply these methodologies to measure and improve our own internal functions, including recruitment and retention of personnel.

Technology Expertise. Our information technology expertise includes extensive knowledge of third-party hardware, network and computing infrastructure, and enterprise resource planning and other software applications. We also use technology to better manage the transition of processes, to automate and operate processes more efficiently and to replace or redesign processes to enhance productivity. Our ability to combine our business process and IT expertise, along with our Six Sigma and Lean skills, allows us to ensure our clients achieve the full potential of business intelligence platforms and web-based software platforms.

Operational Insight. Our operational insight enables us to make the best use of our core capabilities. Operational insight starts with the ability to understand the business context of a process. We place great value on understanding not only the industry in which a client operates, but also the business culture and institutional parameters within which a process is operated. Operational insight is also the judgment to determine the best way to improve a process in light of the knowledge of best practices across different industries, as well as an appreciation of what solutions can be fully implemented in the context of the particular business environment.

Our Strategic Client Model

We seek to create long-term relationships with our clients where they view us as an integral part of their organization and not just as a service provider. These relationships often begin with the outsourcing of discrete processes or with shorter-cycle Smart Decision Services engagements in analytics and research, business consulting, enterprise risk consulting or re-engineering. Over time, these relationships expand to encompass multiple business processes across a broader set of functions and geographic areas. As clients adapt to a

Table of Contents

constantly changing environment, many are increasingly turning to Genpact for support in transforming their operations to become more competitive. These long-term transformative engagements are global and multi-tower, combining process, technology, and analytics. No matter how large or small the engagement, we strive to be a seamless extension of our client's operations. To achieve this goal, we developed the Genpact Virtual CaptiveSM model for service delivery, and we may implement all or some of its features in any given client relationship, depending on the client's needs. Under this approach, we provide a client with dedicated employees and management as well as dedicated infrastructure at our delivery centers to create a virtual extension of the client's own team and environment. We train our personnel in the client's culture so that they are familiar not only with the process but with the business environment in which it is being executed.

Our Global Delivery Platform

We have a global network of 68 delivery centers in 18 countries. Our delivery centers are located in India, Brazil, China, Colombia, the Czech Republic, Guatemala, Hungary, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Morocco, the Philippines, Poland, the Netherlands, Romania, South Africa, the United Arab Emirates and the United States. Our presence in locations around the world provides us with multi-lingual capabilities, access to a larger talent pool, near-shoring capabilities to take advantage of time zones, as well as the ability to provide services from the United States. With this network, we can manage complex processes in multiple geographic regions. We use different locations for different types of services depending on the specific client needs and the mix of skills and cost of employees available in each location. We have been a pioneer in our industry in opening centers in several cities in India as well as in some of the other countries in which we operate and have become an employer of choice in those locations. We expect to continue to expand our global footprint in order to better serve our clients. We also have a number of employees who work directly in client locations or provide services from a virtual environment which offers flexibility for both clients and employees.

Our People and Culture

We have an experienced and cohesive leadership team. Many members of our leadership team developed their management skills working within GE and many of them were involved in the founding of our business. They have built our business based on the experience gained in helping GE meet a wide range of challenges. As a result, we are an institutional embodiment of much of the wisdom and experience GE developed in improving and managing its own business processes. We have created, and constantly reinforced, a culture that emphasizes teamwork, constant improvement of our processes and, most importantly, dedication to the client. A key determinant of our success is our ability to attract, hire, train and retain employees in highly competitive labor markets. We manage this challenge through innovative human resource practices. These include broadening the employee pool by opening delivery centers in diverse locations, using innovative recruiting techniques to attract the best employees, emphasizing ongoing training, instilling a vibrant and distinctive culture and providing well-defined, long-term career paths. We also have programs modeled on GE management training programs to develop the next generation of leaders and managers of our business.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 63,600 employees, including over 13,600 employees with Six Sigma green belt training, over 680 employees with Six Sigma black belt training and more than 36,300 Lean-trained employees. This large number of employees with Six Sigma and Lean training helps infuse our organization with a disciplined, analytical approach to everything we do. We monitor and manage our attrition rate very closely, and believe it is one of the lowest in the industry. We attribute this to our reputation, our ability to attract high quality applicants, our emphasis on maintaining our culture and the breadth of exposure, experience and opportunity for advancement that we provide to our employees.

Table of Contents

Our Strategy

The specific elements of our strategy include the following:

Guide Global Enterprises to Best-in-Class

Our Smart Enterprise Processes (SEPSM) framework, built on the foundation of thousands of Lean Six Sigma-based improvement ideas and benchmarks around granular process performance, builds deeper client relationships and delivers measurable business impact over time. Our differentiated framework is critical not only to extending client contracts but also creating an expansive partnership with our clients.

Enhance Targeted Vertical Industry and Domain Expertise

Clients want partners who know their industry and processes at a granular level. We are enhancing our industry and domain capabilities through acquisitions and by investing in experienced professionals in our targeted verticals and service areas to improve client intimacy and help us deliver end-to-end services that drive business impact.

Differentiate our Solutions by Combining Process Expertise, Analytics and Technology

Clients face an environment of uncertainty and change, which requires them to better leverage existing costs and investments, and make more informed decisions that address challenges around regulations and risk, while they continue to drive top-line growth and profitability. The insights we can derive from our experience and expertise, combining smarter processes, analytics and technology, help us provide a differentiated solution to these challenges.

Expand Geographically in Key Markets

We deliver our services and solutions from 18 countries, including nine locations in the United States. We continue to expand and diversify our delivery capabilities in order to be closer to our clients.

Our Services

The services we provide often draw on processes and platforms from several of our service offerings. We understand that our clients' senior management teams are focused on achieving business outcomes, rather than on transferring particular processes or employing particular platforms. Therefore, we focus on understanding the business needs of our clients and the business context of existing processes in order to design appropriate and comprehensive solutions for our clients.

Our core vertical activities for our clients include the following:

Banking and Financial Services. Our banking and financial services include application processing; mortgage origination and servicing; payment and booking; collections and customer services; commercial leasing and fraud and risk analysis. We use our analytics capabilities to help our clients price products, estimate capital and reserve requirements, analyze and monitor portfolios, and manage risk. We also handle reporting and monitoring services for statutory and regulatory compliance, portfolio and performance review services and financial planning and tax services. Our services for financial services clients include investment banking support for deals, asset-backed finance surveillance, trade finance support, payment and fraud operations support, and fraud and other analytics services, including Basel II/III support and risk analysis for derivatives and foreign exchange. Our brokerage and retirement offerings include end-to-end process services, including on-boarding, reconciliations, plan administration, fund administration, and trade support. Additionally, we offer anti-money laundering and Know Your Customer services for institutional and retail banking clients.

Table of Contents

Insurance. Our insurance services include underwriting, claims management, risk and catastrophe modeling, and customer segmentation and loyalty. We offer insurance services to three industry sectors—life and annuities, property and casualty, and health—and provide what we refer to as a virtual insurance company for our clients in the insurance industry. We cover many phases of insurance business processes including product development, sales and marketing, underwriting support, and claims and policy administration.

Capital Markets. Our capital markets practice provides an end-to-end range of information technology services for the capital markets industry, including application development and maintenance; managed services such as quality assurance, testing and production support; business process management; domain knowledge-based consulting related to technology systems (domain consulting); and consulting not tied to a technology system (business consulting). Areas of domain focus within our capital markets practice include asset and wealth management; risk and compliance; client onboarding; collateral management; post trade processing; and data services, such as reference data and data scrubbing and reconciliation. We have also set up centers of excellence focusing on several technology platforms used by the financial services industry, including platforms focused on brokerage compliance, trade processing and portfolio accounting.

Consumer Goods. Our consumer goods services include trade promotion optimization and trade promotion management; integrated business planning support; marketing optimization; supply chain decision services; marketing analytics; retail execution, including planogram, assortment planning and SKU optimization; and market mix modeling. We also provide supplier scorecards, supplier recovery audit, shopper analytics, store and product mix optimization services. We assist clients with product news and create sales tools, including value calculators and sales support tools. We also help clients manage the risks from product profit volatility.

Life Sciences. Our life sciences and pharmaceutical services include medical documentation; regulatory submission and compliance; pharmacovigilance; chemistry manufacturing compliance; medical contact centers; access and reimbursements; contract management; manufacturing accounting; and multi-channel marketing. We provide comprehensive analytics services, including market research and competitive intelligence, patient level data analysis, physician and drug analysis, social media monitoring and data management. We also assist life sciences clients with product news, sales tools, telemarketing strategies and customer relationship management.

Infrastructure, Manufacturing and Services. Our infrastructure and manufacturing services include contract warranty management; modeling and drafting; engineering analysis; product regulatory compliance; value engineering; reverse engineering; reliability analysis; and manufacturing engineering. We provide service planning and forecasting services, helpdesk services, field service, parts and project management services and customer loyalty services.

Healthcare. Our healthcare expertise covers a full spectrum of services, including facility, professional, dental, vision and hearing, Medicare Advantage, and direct-to-plan payer solutions. We provide support for end-to-end transactional processes and consultative and transformational solutions in the areas such as membership, benefits, claims and provider management. Our payment integrity solution combines analytics, technology and process to generate the greatest impact. Our ICD-10 solutions for both payers and providers encompass compliance, planning, business alignment systems change management, and training and testing for all ICD-10 migration activities. Our FX suite of products, which is delivered using a business process as a service, or BPaaS, model, helps payers in the areas of ICD-10 migration, DRG shift analysis and payment neutrality.

High Tech. Our high tech services include revenue accounting, sales force commission, customer care service, product support, inventory optimization, renewals management, sourcing management, procurement services, technical support services and consumer analytics.

Table of Contents

In addition to these vertical activities, our broad end-to-end process expertise spans a number of service areas, including finance and accounting services, Smart Decision Services which are comprised of analytics and research, business consulting, enterprise risk consulting and re-engineering supply chain and procurement services, enterprise application services, IT management services and collections and customer services.

Finance and Accounting

We are one of the world's premier providers of finance and accounting services. Our finance and accounting services include accounts payable services, payment and inquiry management; order to cash services, including customer master setup, credit check, contract administration, order management, billing, cash application, deductions and exception management, reporting and analytics and collection and dispute resolution services; core accounting services, including preparation of International Financial Reporting Standards, U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP) and SEC-compliant financial statements; and closing and reporting, cash management, treasury, cash flow analysis, tax return preparation, financial planning and analysis, governance and internal controls services. In addition to managing our clients' finance and accounting processes, we help clients design target operating models and reengineer their end-to-end finance processes to achieve best-in-class performance. Our services combine our process expertise with strong technology capabilities, including decision support tools, such as Hyperion, SAS and Cognos, and platform support enterprise resource planning, or ERP, systems, such as Oracle and SAP. We also partner technology bundling, such as OCR and invoice exchange, with our proprietary, cloud-based technology platforms to create a standardized platform to replace typically fragmented client platforms.

Smart Decision Services

Our Smart Decision Services include analytics and research, business consulting, enterprise risk consulting and re-engineering.

Analytics and research. We believe we are a leader in the analytics area, and we offer analytics services both on a standalone basis and as an integrated part of our other service offerings. We help our clients harness data to assess business opportunities, mitigate risks, improve performance or otherwise help their businesses with the sole objective of generating impact. Companies do not always recognize the inherent potential in data or do not have the capability to apply the rigorous analytical models that might reveal opportunities. Our domain-specific analytics prowess, along with a sophisticated innovation ecosystem, is increasingly embedded in all of our service offerings to help clients make fact-based decisions for superior results. By quantitatively and qualitatively scrutinizing data, we can deliver the insight necessary to assess a new business opportunity, mitigate market risks, or retain and build market share.

Business consulting and enterprise risk consulting. Our business consulting and enterprise risk consulting services include internal audit services, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance advisory services, regulatory advisory services, and enterprise, IT and fraud risk management services.

Re-engineering. Our re-engineering services help clients realize cost savings or increased revenues by improving processes that are underperforming or designing processes that are needed to meet growth objectives. Clients engage our re-engineering teams to provide an end-to-end view of their organization and help determine business process needs at a strategic level as well as at the execution level. Strategically, we help clients achieve a comprehensive assessment of how well their enterprise-level processes such as source-to-pay, order-to-cash, record-to-report, inquiry-to-order, new product introduction and sales force effectiveness perform against industry benchmarks and best practices. At the execution level, we institutionalize the recommendations by deploying resources to train the client team and drive sustainable best practices.

Table of Contents

Supply Chain and Procurement

Our supply chain and procurement services include direct and indirect sourcing and procurement services, demand forecasting and management services, engineering services, inventory optimization and planning services, fleet and logistics services and aftermarket services. We work with our clients to design, execute and support optimum sourcing strategies for different expense categories and to drive compliance in the execution of those strategies and realize significant reductions in client sourcing expenses. This often includes designing sourcing and procurement processes to reduce operational costs, overhauling inventory planning systems to optimize inventory levels and improve fulfillment levels, designing and implementing logistics services that integrate disparate technology systems and provide dynamic digital dashboard reporting, or designing after-market service systems that ensure fulfillment of contractual obligations and improved service productivity. We commonly utilize our technology expertise in delivering our services in this area particularly in automating order management processes and monitoring and optimizing supply chain logistics. We have competency in many of the custom platforms used by our clients and are not tied to any single platform.

Enterprise Application Services

With our enterprise application services, we plan, design, build, test, implement, run and support software solutions for our clients. We leverage our functional and domain knowledge and use Six Sigma and Lean principles to reduce the cycle time of software implementations. This can include ERP, supply chain management, financial management and customer relationship management solutions, securities trading and accounting, as well as testing, database administration and architecture services. We also have significant expertise in Hyperion, SAS and Cognos, and platform support for ERP systems such as Oracle, SAP and Microsoft.

IT Management Services

Our IT management services consist of end user computing, IT infrastructure, database, security and production support services. We provide support in more than 25 languages with a global footprint of native speakers. We provide monitoring and management of clients' data centers, servers, storage, emails, networks, databases, applications and end user devices. We use a network of Remote Operations Centers to provide 24/7 infrastructure monitoring and management. Along with ITIL (ISO 20000), we use Six Sigma and Lean principles to address technology problems and to enable our clients to align their IT to business priorities and at the same time reduce technology costs. We use our proprietary SEPSM framework Service Disruption to Restore (D2R), along with our accelerators and IP frameworks, to continuously reduce defects and create business priority outcomes. We also provide cloud enablement services, ITIL implementation services and comprehensive BPaaS services.

Collections and Customer Services

Our collections and customer services are provided primarily in the areas of consumer finance, business to business collections and mortgage services. Our collections services include a full range of accounts receivable management services, such as early to late stage collections, skip-tracing, refunds and other specialized services. In our collections services, we act as an agent; we do not acquire debts for our own account. Our customer services include account servicing and customer care services such as handling customer queries, general servicing and dispute resolution. We provide voice and non-voice services. We also provide origination and order management services.

Smart Enterprise Processes (SEPSM)

SEPSM is our unique, scientific, and highly granular approach to dramatically improving the performance of business processes. In addition to efficiency, it focuses on maximizing process effectiveness, which can deliver up to five times the end business impact, such as with respect to cash flow and margins, when compared to processes that run at average or below average effectiveness.

Table of Contents

SEPSM is based on work done in the Genpact Process Innovation Lab, where we have leveraged our exposure to thousands of business processes and hundreds of millions of client transactions to map and analyze end-to-end processes at a granular level. This enables us to test the effectiveness of a client's processes by measuring opportunities for improvement across the value chain using best-in-class benchmarks gleaned from within and across industries and to apply our innovative process design and effective, market-leading technology to improve them. The result is a client-specific road map for maximizing process effectiveness. Benefits are delivered by combining Genpact's deep domain knowledge of process, key insights and best practices with execution support including focused IT applications and technology, targeted analytics, re-engineering and global delivery services.

Unlike other approaches, SEPSM focuses on measuring business outcomes, such as cash flow and margins, which make visible the effectiveness of a process in driving business results. The approach also takes an end-to-end, enterprise-wide view, working beyond traditional organizational silos.

Six Sigma and Lean Methodologies

Our GE heritage taught us the importance of the principles of Six Sigma and Lean in refining business processes. Six Sigma is a method for improving quality by removing variation, defects and their causes in business process activities while Lean is a methodology for measuring and reducing waste or inefficiency in a process. Among other things, it is designed to measure and eliminate overproduction, over-processing and waiting, and to improve the flow of a process.

We have Six Sigma programs that train, test and grade employees in Lean and Six Sigma principles and award them Lean Six Sigma qualifications. The rankings of Lean Six Sigma qualifications from lowest to highest are green belt, black belt and master black belt. As of December 31, 2013, we had more than 13,600 employees with Six Sigma green belt training, over 680 employees with Six Sigma black belt training, and more than 36,300 Lean-trained employees. We drive quality improvements and achieve process excellence by leveraging Six Sigma and Lean techniques, utilizing technology and digitization frameworks, employing current best practices, and benchmarking data.

Our Clients

Our clients include some of the best known companies in the world, many of which are leaders in their respective industries. GE has been our largest client since our inception and we benefit from a long-term contract whereby GE has committed to purchase stipulated minimum dollar amounts of services through the end of 2016.

GE accounted for approximately 22.6% of our revenues in fiscal 2013. We currently provide services to all of GE's business units, including GE Capital, Power and Water, Oil and Gas, Energy Management, Aviation, Healthcare, Transportation and Home and Business Solutions. The services we currently provide to GE are broad in their nature and are drawn from all of our service offerings. Although we have a single master services agreement, or MSA, with GE, we have a large number of statements of work, or SOWs, with GE. Currently, as a general matter, each GE business unit makes its own decisions as to whether to enter into a SOW with us and as to the terms of any such SOW. Therefore, although some decisions may be made centrally at GE, our revenues from GE are generally attributable to a number of different businesses each with its own leader responsible for decision-making regarding outsourcing.

We have over 700 clients spread across a variety of industries and geographies. Our net revenues from Global Clients have grown rapidly in the last five years, from \$550.7 million in 2008 to \$1.649 billion in 2013. Our net revenues from Global Clients as a percentage of total net revenues increased from approximately 52.9% in 2008 to approximately 77.4% in 2013. The 2013 net revenues from Global Clients include \$12.3 million for businesses that were part of GE in 2012 and 2013 prior to their divestiture by GE. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Classification of Certain Net Revenues. The majority of our Global Clients are based in the United States, and we also have Global Clients in Europe, Asia and Australia.

Table of Contents

Our contracts with our clients generally take the form of an MSA, which is a framework agreement that is then supplemented by SOWs. Our MSAs specify the general terms applicable to the services we will provide. For a discussion of the components of our MSAs and SOWs, see Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Revenues.

Our clients include Ahold Europe, AstraZeneca, Citigroup, GE, GlaxoSmithKline, Hyatt, Ironshore, Kimberly-Clark, Merck, National Australia Bank, Nissan, Symantec, Walgreens and Wells Fargo.

Our People

Our people are critical to the success of our business. Our Chief Executive Officer and other members of our senior leadership team have been involved in our business since its commencement under GE.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 63,600 employees worldwide, including more than 13,600 employees with Six Sigma green belt training, over 680 employees with Six Sigma black belt training and more than 36,300 Lean-trained employees.

Recruiting

We face meaningful competition for skilled employees. We have developed a number of innovative methods to recruit sufficiently skilled employees. In particular, we seek to widen the available talent pool by recruiting aggressively in places where there is less competition. We also hire people who do not have prior experience or training and use our extensive training capability to equip them with the skills they need to be effective.

Training and Development

We believe in extensive and continuous training of our employees. We have the infrastructure to train approximately 4,400 people at any one time with over 230 trainers. In 2013, we had more than 13,500 employees enrolled in part-time professional degree, e-learning and other non-degree programs provided internally or by universities and other third parties. Our training programs are designed to transfer the industry specific knowledge and experience of our industry leaders to our employees to ensure we maintain our deep process and domain expertise across the industries and processes in which we work. Our training programs cover a large number of topics, including specific service offerings, key technical and IT skills, our different clients' workplace cultures and Six Sigma and Lean methodologies. A large part of our continuous training is designed to impart the skills and knowledge required by our employees to move to positions of increasing responsibility within Genpact.

We also have programs modeled on GE management training programs to develop the next generation of leaders and managers of our business. We run these programs for employees at various levels of management, including front-line managers, and middle and senior management.

We are working with universities, colleges, governments and private institutes in some of the countries we operate in to develop talent supply chains. We are seeking to ensure the continued availability of skilled workers in the countries we operate in.

Retention

In order to meet our growth and service commitments, we are constantly striving to attract and retain employees. There is significant turnover of employees in the business process outsourcing and information technology sectors generally, particularly in India where the majority of our employees are currently based. Our attrition rate for all employees who have been employed by us for one day or more was 25% in 2013. A number of our competitors calculate employee attrition rates for their Indian employees who have been employed for six

Table of Contents

months or more. On this basis our Indian employee attrition rate for 2013 would be approximately 24%, which we believe is relatively low for our industry based on statistics published by industry associations such as NASSCOM. We attribute this low attrition rate to a number of factors, including our effective recruiting measures, extensive training and a strong culture of providing opportunities for growth and learning. Approximately 15% of our employees were promoted in 2013 and we filled a majority of new positions internally.

We also take aggressive action to monitor and minimize potential attrition. Using Six Sigma principles we have developed an early warning system that tracks employees and gives us an insight into which employees are most likely to resign. These employees are automatically highlighted to management who can take action such as relocating the employee or enrolling the employee in continuing education programs to reduce the possibility and impact of such a resignation.

As another measure designed to minimize attrition, we follow the practice of right-skilling our employees to the tasks assigned to them. This means that we match the level of services required to the experience and qualification of the employee concerned and we avoid having over-qualified people in any particular job. This allows us to give our highly qualified and experienced people higher-value jobs and, coupled with the practice of up-skilling, ensures better career paths for all of our employees.

Corporate Social Responsibility

CSR@Genpact is our platform for giving back to the communities in which we live and work. Our employees form the foundation of this platform and utilize their skills to engage with the communities around them. They educate children and young adults, donate blood and stem cells, volunteer in orphanages and homes for the elderly and seek to neutralize their carbon footprint by planting trees.

Sales and Marketing

We market our services to both existing and potential clients through our business development team. Members of this team are based around the globe, including in the United States, Europe, Australia, Asia, South America, and the Middle East, and dedicate their time to expanding the services we provide to our existing clients as well as acquiring new clients. We have a rigorous cross-selling program to leverage capabilities across all of our functions for our existing clients and the clients we gained through our acquisitions.

We have designated client partners or global relationship managers for each of our strategic relationships. The relationship manager is supported by process improvement, quality, transition, finance, human resources, information technology and industry/product subject matter expert teams to ensure the best possible solution is provided to our clients. We constantly measure our client satisfaction levels to ensure that we maintain high service levels for each client, using measures such as the Net Promoter Score. Our sales force is primarily organized by industry vertical teams that are supported by horizontal product offerings.

The length of our selling cycle varies depending on the type of engagement. The sales cycle for project work is much shorter than the sales cycle for a large business process engagement. Our efforts may begin in response to our lead generation program, a perceived opportunity, a reference by an existing client, a request for proposal, an introduction by one of our directors or otherwise. In addition to our business development personnel, the sales effort involves people from the relevant service areas, people familiar with that prospective client's industry, business leaders and Six Sigma resources. We may expend substantial time and capital in securing new business. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Revenues.

As our relationship with a client grows, the time required to win an engagement for additional services often gradually declines. In addition, as we become more knowledgeable about a client's business and processes, our ability to identify opportunities to create value for the client typically increases. For example, productivity benefits and greater business impact can often be achieved by applying our SEP methodology, by focusing on

Table of Contents

processes that are upstream or downstream from the processes we initially handle, or by applying our analytical and IT capabilities to re-engineer processes. In addition, clients often become more willing over time to turn over more complex and critical processes to us as we demonstrate our capabilities.

We also try to foster relationships between our senior leadership team and our clients' senior management. These C-level relationships ensure that both parties are focused on establishing priorities, aligning objectives and driving client value from the top down. High-level executive relationships have been particularly constructive as a means of increasing business from our existing clients. It also provides us with a forum for addressing client concerns. Our governance methodology ensures that we are well connected at all levels of our clients' organizations (executive, management and operations).

We follow a rigorous review process to evaluate significant new business opportunities. This process starts with the presentation of new business to a deal review committee comprised of business leaders from the applicable industry vertical, operations personnel and members of our finance department. The committee applies a set of well-developed criteria to review the key terms of the new business. If, as a result of the review, the committee concludes that the new business is aligned with our strategic objectives and a good use of our resources, then our business development team is authorized to pursue the opportunity.

Delivery Centers

We commenced business in 1997 in Gurgaon, India. Since then we have established global delivery capabilities consisting of 68 delivery centers in 18 countries (not including our employees who are onsite at our clients' premises). We choose the location of our delivery centers based on a number of factors, which include the available talent pool, infrastructure, government support and operating costs, as well as client demand. We were one of the first companies in our industry to establish operating centers in certain locations, including Dalian, Foshan and Huaqiao in China; Budapest, Hungary; Bucharest, Romania; and Gurgaon, Jaipur, Dehradun and Kolkata in India. We aim to be continuously connected with our clients' requirements so that we are ready to serve their needs. We constantly evaluate new locations, including new countries and new cities within countries in which we currently operate, as potential sites for delivery centers and offices.

The large number of different countries from which we serve our clients differentiates us from a number of our competitors and enables us to take advantage of different languages and time zones which, in turn, enhances our ability to serve our Global Clients. As of December 31, 2013, we provided services in more than 30 languages.

Refer to Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for our net revenues from geographic areas based on the location of service delivery units.

Intellectual Property

We develop intellectual property in the course of our business and our MSAs with our clients regulate the ownership of such intellectual property. We regularly apply for patents, trademarks, copyrights and domain names. Some of our intellectual property rights are trade secrets and relate to proprietary business process enhancements.

At times, we use third-party software platforms and the software systems of our clients to provide our services. Our MSAs with our clients normally include a license to use the client's proprietary systems to provide our services. Clients authorize us to access and use third party software licenses held by the client so that we may provide our services.

It is our practice to enter into agreements with our employees and independent contractors that:

ensure that all new intellectual property developed by our employees or independent contractors in the course of their employment or engagement is assigned to us;

Table of Contents

provide for employees and independent contractors cooperation in intellectual property protection matters even if they no longer work for us; and

include a confidentiality undertaking by our employees and independent contractors.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive and rapidly evolving global market. We have a number of competitors offering services that are the same as or similar to ours. Our competitors include:

large multinational service providers, such as Accenture plc, International Business Machines Corporation and Capgemini S.A. and large multinational accounting firms, such as Deloitte Consulting LLP and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP;

companies that are primarily business process service providers operating from low-cost countries, most commonly India, such as WNS Holdings Limited and ExlService Holdings, Inc.;

companies that are primarily information technology service providers with some business process service capabilities, such as Infosys Technologies Limited, Cognizant Technology Solutions, Tata Consultancy Services Limited and Wipro Limited; and

smaller, niche service providers that provide services in a specific geographic market, industry or service area.

In addition, a client or potential client may choose not to outsource its business, including by setting up captive outsourcing operations or by performing formerly outsourced services for themselves.

Our revenues are derived primarily from *Fortune* Global 500 and *Fortune* 1000 companies. We believe that the principal competitive factors in our industry include:

skills and capabilities of people;

ability to add value, including through continuous process improvement;

reputation and client references;

price;

technical and industry expertise;

scope of services;

quality of services and solutions;

ability to sustain long-term client relationships; and

global reach and scale.

Our clients typically retain us on a non-exclusive basis.

Regulation

We are subject to regulation in many jurisdictions around the world as a result of the complexity of our operations and services, including at the federal, state and local level, particularly in the countries where we have operations and where we deliver services. We are also subject to regulation by regional bodies such as the European Union, or EU.

Table of Contents

In addition, the terms of our service contracts typically require that we comply with applicable laws and regulations. In some contracts, we are required to comply even if such laws and regulations apply to our clients, but not to us. In other service contracts our clients undertake the responsibility to inform us about laws and regulations that may apply to us in jurisdictions in which they are located.

If we fail to comply with any applicable laws and regulations, we may be restricted in our ability to provide services, and may also be the subject of civil or criminal actions involving penalties, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations. Our clients generally have the right to terminate our contracts for cause in the event of regulatory failures, subject to notice periods. See Item 1A Risk Factors Risks Related to our Business Any failures to adhere to the regulations that govern our business could result in our being unable to effectively perform our services. Failure to adhere to regulations that govern our clients' businesses could result in breaches of contract under our MSAs.

In the United States, we are subject to laws and regulations arising out of our work for clients operating there, especially in the area of banking, financial services and insurance, such as the Financial Modernization Act (sometimes referred to as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act), the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, the Right to Financial Privacy Act, the USA Patriot Act, the Bank Service Company Act, the Home Owners Loan Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and the Troubled Assets Relief Program as well as regulation by U.S. agencies such as the SEC, the Federal Reserve, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union Administration, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. We are also subject to regulation under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, the Communications Act, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act and applicable regulations in the area of health and other personal information that we process as part of our services.

Because of our debt collections work in the United States, we are also regulated by laws such as the Truth in Lending Act, the Fair Credit Billing Act and the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act and underlying regulations. We are currently licensed to engage in debt collection activities in all jurisdictions in the United States.

Because of our mortgage processing activities in the United States, we are also regulated by laws such as the Fair Housing Act and the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act and by regulatory bodies such as the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. We currently hold mortgage related licenses in 45 states.

Because of our insurance processing activities, we are currently licensed as a third party administrator in 41 states and are regulated by the department of insurance in each such state.

We are subject to laws in the United States, the United Kingdom and the EU that are intended to limit the impact of outsourcing on employees in those countries. See Item 1A Risk Factors Risks Related to our Business Future legislation in the United States and other jurisdictions could significantly affect the ability or willingness of our clients and prospective clients to utilize our services.

We are also subject to laws and regulations on direct marketing, such as the Telemarketing Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act and the Telemarketing Sales Rule, the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and rules promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission, and the CAN-SPAM Act.

We are subject to laws and regulations governing foreign trade, such as the Arms Export Control Act, as well as by government bodies such as the Commerce Department's Bureau of Industry and Security, the State Department's Directorate of Defense Trade Controls and the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control.

We benefit from tax relief provided by laws and regulations in India, China, Colombia, the Philippines, Morocco, and Guatemala. The Indian Special Economic Zones Act of 2005, or SEZ legislation, introduced a tax holiday in certain situations for operations established in designated special economic zones, or SEZs. The SEZ tax benefits are available only for new business operations that are conducted at qualifying SEZ locations.

Table of Contents

We do not presently know what percentage of our operations or income in India or other jurisdictions in future years will be eligible for a tax holiday. See Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Income Taxes. In addition to the tax holidays described above, certain benefits are also available to us under certain Indian state laws. These benefits include rebates and waivers in relation to payments for the transfer or registration of property (including for the purchase or lease of premises), waivers of conversion fees for land, exemption from state pollution control requirements, entry tax exemptions, labor law exemptions and commercial usage of electricity.

Our hedging activities and currency transfer are restricted by regulations in certain countries, including India, Romania and China.

Certain Bermuda Law Considerations

As a Bermuda company, we are also subject to regulation in Bermuda. Among other things, we must comply with the provisions of the Companies Act 1981 regulating the declaration and payment of dividends and the making of distributions from contributed surplus.

We are classified as a non-resident of Bermuda for exchange control purposes by the Bermuda Monetary Authority. Pursuant to our non-resident status, we may engage in transactions in currencies other than Bermuda dollars. There are no restrictions on our ability to transfer funds in and out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to United States residents that are holders of our common shares.

Under Bermuda law, exempted companies are companies formed for the purpose of conducting business outside Bermuda from a principal place of business in Bermuda. As an exempted company, we may not, without a license granted by the Minister of Finance, participate in certain business transactions, including transactions involving Bermuda landholding rights and the carrying on of business of any kind for which we are not licensed in Bermuda.

Available Information

We file current and periodic reports, proxy statements, and other information with the SEC, copies of which can be obtained from the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, at www.sec.gov. We make available free of charge on our website, www.genpact.com, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. The contents of our website are not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information concerning our executive officers as of February 15, 2014:

| Name | Age | Position(s) |
|--------------------|------------|--|
| N.V. Tyagarajan | 52 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Mohit Bhatia | 49 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Patrick Cogny | 47 | Senior Vice President, Infrastructure, Manufacturing and Services |
| Victor Guaglianone | 58 | Senior Vice President and General Counsel |
| Piyush Mehta | 45 | Senior Vice President, Human Resources |
| Arvinder Singh | 49 | Senior Vice President, Capital Markets and IT Services |
| Mohit Thukral | 48 | Senior Vice President, Banking, Financial Services, Insurance and Healthcare |

Table of Contents

N.V. Tyagarajan has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since June 2011. From February 2009 to June 2011, he was our Chief Operating Officer. From February 2005 to February 2009, he was our Executive Vice President and Head of Sales, Marketing and Business Development. From October 2002 to January 2005, he was Senior Vice President, Quality and Global Operations, for GE's Commercial Equipment Finance division. Between 1999 and 2002, he served as our Chief Executive Officer.

Mohit Bhatia has served as our Chief Financial Officer since March 2010. From December 2004 to February 2010, he was Senior Vice President and Business Leader for our finance and accounting practice. From October 2003 to December 2004 he served as our Chief Financial Officer.

Patrick Cogny has served as our Senior Vice President of Manufacturing and Services since September 2011. From 2005 to August 2011, he was the Chief Executive Officer of Genpact Europe. Prior to this, he spent 15 years working for GE in the Healthcare business and in the GE Europe corporate headquarters, in France, the United States and Belgium.

Victor Guaglianone has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary since January 2007. From 2004 to 2007, he was senior counsel at Holland & Knight LLP. From 2003 to 2004, he served as a commercial arbitrator for the American Arbitration Association. Prior to 2003, he spent 16 years at GE Capital, most recently as Vice President and Associate General Counsel.

Piyush Mehta has served as our Senior Vice President of Human Resources since March 2005. He has worked for us since 2001 as Vice President of Human Resources.

Arvinder Singh has served as our Senior Vice President, Capital Markets and IT Services since October 2013. From August 2011 to October 2013, he was Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing, Client Relationships and Re-engineering. From August 2008 to July 2011, he was Global Head of Client Relationships and GE, and from June 2005 to August 2008 he was the Business Leader for Lean Six Sigma, Transitions and Solutions. Prior to joining Genpact in June 2005 he was Senior Vice President, Six Sigma and Chief Quality Officer for GE Vendor Financial Services.

Mohit Thukral has served as our Senior Vice President, Banking, Financial Services, Insurance and Healthcare since July 2011. From 2004 to July 2011, he served as Senior Vice President and Business Leader, Banking, Financial Services and Insurance.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to our Business

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by economic and political conditions and the effects of these conditions on our clients businesses and levels of business activity.

Global economic and political conditions affect our clients' businesses and the markets they serve. The global economic downturn that began at the end of 2008 had an adverse impact on the volume of services we provide to our clients and could continue to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. If current global economic conditions continue or worsen, our business could be adversely affected by our clients' financial condition and the levels of business activity in the industries we serve. Continued high unemployment rates in the United States could also adversely affect the demand for our services. Changes in global economic conditions could also shift demand to services for which we do not have competitive advantages, and this could negatively affect the amount of business that we are able to obtain. Negative or uncertain political climates, including adoption of restrictive legislation, in countries or geographies where we operate could also adversely affect us. In addition, if we are unable to successfully anticipate changing economic and political conditions, we may be unable effectively to plan for or respond to those changes, and our business could be negatively affected.

Table of Contents

GE accounts for a significant portion of our revenues and any loss of business from, or change in our relationship with, GE could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have derived and are likely to continue to derive a significant portion of our revenues from GE. For 2011, 2012 and 2013, GE accounted for 30.2%, 26.1% and 22.6% of our revenues, respectively. In addition, our more mature client relationships, such as GE, typically generate higher margins than our relationships with newer clients. The loss of business from GE could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our master services agreement, or MSA, with GE commits GE to purchase, on an annual basis through 2016, a stipulated minimum dollar amount of services or pay us certain costs in lieu thereof. The costs that GE would be required to pay if it does not meet a minimum annual commitment are not necessarily equal to the amount by which GE's purchases fall short of that minimum annual commitment. While our revenues from GE in 2013 significantly exceeded the stipulated minimum annual amount for that year, there is no assurance that actual revenues from GE in future years will meet or exceed the minimum annual commitment or that GE will continue to be a client at all. Revenues in excess of the minimum annual commitment can be credited, subject to certain limitations, against shortfalls in subsequent years. In addition, the MSA provides that the minimum annual committed amount of \$360 million will be reduced during the last three years of the term, to \$250 million in 2014, \$150 million in 2015 and \$90 million in 2016. The MSA provides that the minimum annual committed amount is subject to reduction in certain circumstances, including as a result of the termination of any statements of work, or SOWs, by GE for cause, non-performance of services by us due to specified force majeure events or certain other reasons. The MSA also does not require GE to engage us exclusively in respect of business process services. In addition, pricing terms and pricing levels under future SOWs may be lower than in the past. In particular, because of the size of GE and its importance to our business, it is able to exert considerable leverage on us when negotiating the terms of SOWs.

Our business from GE comes from a variety of GE's businesses and decisions to use our services are currently, as a general matter, made by a number of people within GE. Therefore, although some decisions may be made centrally at GE, the total level of business we receive generally depends on the decisions of the various operating managers of such businesses. In addition, if GE sells or divests any of the businesses to which we provide services, the new management or new owners of such businesses may choose to discontinue our services. Finally, there can be no assurance that GE will not establish its own business unit to provide English-language business process services from low-wage countries or otherwise compete with us.

Future legislation in the United States and other jurisdictions could significantly affect the ability or willingness of our clients and prospective clients to utilize our services.

The issue of companies outsourcing services to organizations operating in other countries is a topic of political discussion in many countries. For example, many organizations and public figures in the United States have publicly expressed concern about a perceived association between offshore service providers and the loss of jobs in the United States. Current or prospective clients may elect to perform such services themselves or may be discouraged from transferring these services from onshore to offshore providers to avoid negative perceptions that may be associated with using an offshore provider. Any slowdown or reversal of existing industry trends toward offshore outsourcing would seriously harm our ability to compete effectively with competitors that provide services from the United States.

In the United States, measures aimed at limiting or restricting offshore outsourcing have been proposed. Such measures have been enacted in a few states, and such legislation has been recently proposed in several states and Congress. The measures that have been enacted to date generally have restricted the ability of government entities to outsource work to offshore business process service providers and have not materially adversely affected our business, primarily because we do not currently work for such governmental entities and they are not currently a focus of our sales strategy. Some of the pending legislative proposals would, for example, require call centers to disclose their geographic locations, require notice to individuals whose personal information is disclosed to non-U.S. affiliates or subcontractors, require disclosures of companies foreign

Table of Contents

outsourcing practices, or limit eligibility for government contracts or financial incentives for companies that transfer work to foreign work locations. In addition, the current U.S. President continues to encourage and call for tax incentives for U.S. businesses to insource functions or return outsourced operations to the U.S. There can be no assurance that pending or future legislation in the United States that would significantly adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition will not be enacted. Legislation enacted in certain European jurisdictions and any future legislation in Europe, Japan or any other country in which we have clients restricting the performance of business process services from an offshore location could also have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. For example, proposed changes to European Union cloud computing standards and regulations and proposed taxes on outsourced data center activities may limit or restrict our operations, or make them more costly. Moreover, legislation enacted in the United Kingdom and by many EU countries, provides that if a company outsources all or part of its business to a service provider or changes its current service provider, the affected employees of the company or of the previous service provider are entitled to become employees of the new service provider, generally on the same terms and conditions as their original employment. In addition, dismissals of employees who were employed by the company or the previous service provider immediately prior to that outsourcing, if the dismissals resulted solely or principally from the outsourcing, are automatically considered unfair dismissals that entitle such employees to compensation. As a result, in order to avoid unfair dismissal claims we may have to offer, and become liable for, voluntary redundancy payments to the employees of our clients in the United Kingdom and other EU countries who have adopted similar laws who transfer business to us. We believe that this legislation could materially affect our ability to obtain new business from companies in the EU and, after including the cost of the potential compensation paid for unfair dismissal claims or redundancies, to provide outsourced services to our current and future clients in the EU in a cost-effective manner.

Tax matters, new legislation and actions by taxing authorities may have an adverse effect on our operations, effective tax rate and financial condition.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax expense and cash tax liability in the future could be adversely affected by numerous factors, including, but not limited to, changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles or interpretations and the potential adverse outcome of tax examinations and pending tax-related litigation. Changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, which may result from a decline in our profitability or changes in tax rates or legislation, could have a material adverse effect on our tax expense. The governments of foreign jurisdictions from which we deliver services may assert that certain of our clients have a permanent establishment in such foreign jurisdictions by reason of the activities we perform on their behalf, particularly those clients that exercise control over or have substantial dependency on our services. Such an assertion could affect the size and scope of the services requested by such clients in the future.

The Government of India had served notice on the Company about its potential liability, as a representative assessee of GE, for Indian tax upon GE's 2004 transfer of shares of a predecessor of the Company. GE challenged the positions of the Government of India in the Delhi High Court, naming Genpact India (one of our subsidiaries) as a necessary party but without seeking relief against Genpact India. We believe that if Indian tax were due upon that transfer, it could not be successfully asserted against us as a representative assessee. Moreover, GE is obligated to indemnify us for any tax on its 2004 transfer of shares. On August 12, 2011, the Delhi High Court ruled that Genpact India cannot be held to be a representative assessee in this transaction. The tax authorities have filed an appeal with the Supreme Court of India against this ruling, which is pending.

In respect of certain of our transactions, including our acquisitions (which included our subsidiaries organized under Indian law or owning assets located there), internal reorganizations, the sale of our shares in our public offerings or otherwise by our existing significant shareholders, the Indian tax authorities may argue that Indian tax is chargeable in as much as indirect transfers of Indian subsidiaries or assets are involved in such transactions and may seek to impose tax on us directly or as a withholding agent or representative assessee of the sellers.

Table of Contents

In 2012, the Government of India enacted legislation purporting to clarify the intent of existing tax law (and hence the law applicable in prior periods) to tax all income accruing or arising, whether directly or indirectly, through or from any business connection in India, or through or from any property in India, or through or from any asset or source of income in India, or through the transfer of a capital asset situate in India. The legislation, which we refer to as the Indirect Transfer Rule, also provides that an asset or a capital asset being any share or interest in a company or entity registered or incorporated outside India shall be deemed to be situated in India, if the share or interest derives, directly or indirectly, its value substantially from the assets located in India. Substantially has not been defined for purposes of the Indirect Transfer Rule. Public commentary on the legislation, including statements by various officials of the Government of India, has suggested that the legislation was intended to allow for the taxation of indirect transfer of shares in an Indian company, possibly with retrospective effect. The full implications and scope of this legislation, and how its provisions will be interpreted and applied are presently unclear, but arguably could apply to certain transactions involving the Company as noted above.

Because there are significant uncertainties relating to the application of the Indirect Transfer Rule to transactions in shares of non-Indian companies, such as the Company, that have significant assets and operations in India, it is not clear whether, or to what extent, a buyer of any shares issued by the Company could be held liable for not withholding Indian tax on the acquisition of such shares or be subject to Indian tax on gains realized on the disposition of shares of the Company.

Furthermore, the Governments of India, the United States or other jurisdictions could enact new tax legislation, including anti-avoidance provisions, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In 2012, the Indian government enacted anti-avoidance provisions, which are now proposed to be implemented with effect from April 1, 2015 onwards. The full implications and scope of the new anti-avoidance provisions, if implemented, as well as how these changes may apply to us, are presently unclear. More recently, the Indian government has enacted changes to taxation on distributions from Indian companies. Our ability to repatriate surplus earnings from our subsidiaries in a tax-efficient manner is dependent upon interpretations of local laws, further possible changes in such laws and the renegotiation of existing double tax avoidance treaties. Changes to any of these may adversely affect our overall tax rate or the cost of our services to our clients, or impose additional levels of tax upon us, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Over the past few years certain tax benefits provided to companies in our industry have expired and it is not clear whether new tax policies will provide equivalent benefits and incentives.

Under the Indian Income Tax Act, 1961, our delivery centers in India, from which we derived a significant portion of our revenues, benefited from a ten-year holiday from Indian corporate income taxes in respect of their export profits under the Software Technology Parks of India (STPI) Scheme through March 31, 2011. In the absence of this tax holiday, income derived from our Indian operations is taxed up to the maximum tax rate generally applicable to Indian enterprises, which, as of December 31, 2013, was 34.0%.

During the last seven years, we established new centers that we believe are eligible for tax benefits under the Special Economic Zones Act, 2005. The SEZ legislation introduced a 15-year tax holiday scheme for operations established in designated special economic zones or SEZs. Under the SEZ legislation, qualifying operations are eligible for a deduction from taxable income equal to (i) 100% of their profits or gains derived from the export of services for a period of five years from the commencement of operations; (ii) 50% of such profits or gains for the next five years; and (iii) 50% of such profits or gains for an additional period of five years, subject to satisfying certain capital investment requirements. The SEZ legislation provides, among other restrictions, that this holiday is not available to operations formed by splitting up or reconstructing existing operations or transferring existing plant and equipment (beyond a prescribed limit) to new SEZ locations.

The percentage of our operations or income in India that is eligible for SEZ benefits is variable, and depends, among other factors, upon how much of our business can be conducted at the qualifying locations and how much of that business can be considered to meet the restrictive conditions described above.

Table of Contents

The Direct Taxes Code Bill 2010 proposed by the Government of India and currently pending before Indian Parliament proposes the discontinuance of existing profit-based incentives for SEZ units commencing operations after March 31, 2014 and replaces them with investment based incentives for new SEZ units.

As the SEZ legislation benefits phase out, our Indian tax expense may materially increase and our after-tax profitability may be materially reduced, unless we can obtain comparable benefits under new legislation or otherwise reduce our tax liability. Similarly, alternative minimum taxes are imposed by certain jurisdictions on otherwise exempt income, which may increase our tax expense in future years.

We enjoy corporate tax holidays or concessional tax rates in certain other jurisdictions such as Colombia, the Philippines, Guatemala and Morocco. These tax concessions will expire over the next few years, possibly increasing our overall tax rate.

As a result of the foregoing, our overall tax rate may increase over the next few years and such increase may be material and may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If the transfer pricing arrangements we have among our subsidiaries are determined to be inappropriate, our tax liability may increase.

We have transfer pricing arrangements among our subsidiaries in relation to various aspects of our business, including operations, marketing, sales and delivery functions. U.S. and Indian transfer pricing regulations, as well as regulations applicable in other countries in which we operate, require that any international transaction involving associated enterprises be on arm's-length terms. We consider the transactions among our subsidiaries to be substantially on arm's-length terms. If, however, a tax authority in any jurisdiction reviews any of our tax returns and determines that the transfer prices and terms we have applied are not appropriate, or that other income of our affiliates should be taxed in that jurisdiction, we may incur increased tax liability, including accrued interest and penalties, which would cause our tax expense to increase, possibly materially, thereby reducing our profitability and cash flows.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from clients in the United States. If events or conditions occur which adversely affect our ability to do business in the United States, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected.

We currently derive, and are likely to continue to derive, a significant portion of our revenues from clients located in the United States. A number of factors could adversely affect our ability to do business in the United States, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The United States economy is still in a period of economic uncertainty, with continued high unemployment rates. Any deterioration in economic activity in the United States could adversely affect demand for our services, thus reducing our revenue. We could also be affected by decline in the value of the U.S. dollar against the Indian rupee, in which we incur the majority of our costs, or other currencies in which we incur costs. We may also be adversely affected by the enactment of laws in the United States that impose restrictions on, or taxation or other financial penalties with respect to, offshore outsourcing.

We may face difficulties in providing end-to-end business solutions or delivering complex and large projects for our clients that could cause clients to discontinue their work with us, which in turn could harm our business.

We are expanding the nature and scope of our engagements. Our ability to effectively offer a wider breadth of end-to-end business solutions depends on our ability to attract existing or new clients to these service offerings. To obtain engagements for such end-to-end solutions, we also are more likely to compete with large, well-established international consulting firms, resulting in increased competition and marketing costs. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that our new service offerings will effectively meet client needs or that we will be able to attract existing and new clients to these service offerings. The increased breadth of our service

Table of Contents

offerings may result in larger and more complex projects with our clients. This will require us to establish closer relationships with our clients and a thorough understanding of their operations. Our ability to establish such relationships will depend on a number of factors, including the proficiency of our employees and management. Our failure to deliver services that meet the requirements specified by our clients could result in termination of client contracts, and we could be liable to our clients for significant penalties or damages. Larger projects may involve multiple engagements or stages, and there is a risk that a client may choose not to retain us for additional stages or may cancel or delay additional planned engagements. These terminations, cancellations or delays may result from factors that have little or nothing to do with the quality of our services, such as the business or financial condition of our clients or the economy generally. Such cancellations or delays make it difficult to plan for project resource requirements and inaccuracies in such resource planning and allocation may have a negative impact on our profitability.

We may fail to attract and retain enough qualified employees to support our operations.

Our industry relies on large numbers of skilled employees and our success depends on our ability to attract, train and retain a sufficient number of qualified employees. Historically, high employee attrition has been common in our industry. See Item 1 Business Our People. In 2013, our attrition rate for all employees who were employed for a day or more was approximately 25%. We cannot assure you that we will be able to reduce our level of attrition or even maintain our attrition rate at the 2013 level. If our attrition rate increases, our operating efficiency and productivity may decrease.

Competition for qualified employees, particularly in India and China, remains high and we expect such competition to continue. We compete for employees not only with other companies in our industry but also with companies in other industries, such as software services, engineering services and financial services companies. In many locations in which we operate, there is a limited pool of employees who have the skills and training needed to do our work. If our business continues to grow, the number of people we will need to hire will increase. We will also need to increase our hiring if we are not able to maintain our attrition rate through innovative recruiting and retention policies. Significant competition for employees could have an adverse effect on our ability to expand our business and service our clients, as well as cause us to incur greater personnel expenses and training costs.

Wage increases in the countries in which we have operations may prevent us from sustaining our competitive advantage and may reduce our profit margin.

Salaries and related benefits of our employees are our most significant costs. Most of our employees are based in India and other countries in which wage levels have historically been significantly lower than wage levels in the United States and Western Europe for comparably skilled professionals, which has been one of our competitive advantages. However, wage levels for comparably skilled employees in most of the countries in which we operate have increased and further increases are expected at a faster rate than in the United States and Western Europe because of, among other reasons, faster economic growth, increased competition for skilled employees and increased demand for business process services. We will lose this competitive advantage to the extent that we are not able to control or share wage increases with our clients. Sharing wage increases may cause our clients to be less willing to utilize our services. In addition, wage increases may reduce our margins. We will attempt to control such costs by our efforts to add capacity in locations where we consider wage levels of skilled personnel to be satisfactory, but we may not be successful in doing so. We may need to increase our wage levels significantly and rapidly in order to attract the quantity and quality of employees that are necessary for us to remain competitive, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We have also increased, and expect to further increase, the number of employees we have in the United States from the levels than we have had historically, and this could have a negative effect on our profit margin.

Table of Contents

Currency exchange rate fluctuations in various currencies in which we do business, especially the Indian rupee and the U.S. dollar, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Most of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, with the remaining amounts largely in euros, pounds sterling, the Japanese yen, the Australian dollar and the Indian rupee. Most of our expenses are incurred and paid in Indian rupees, with the remaining amounts largely in U.S. dollars, Chinese renminbi, euros, Philippine pesos, Romanian lei, pounds sterling, Japanese yen, Mexican pesos, Guatemalan quetzals, Polish zloty, Hungarian forints and the South African rand. As we expand our operations to new countries, we will incur expenses in other currencies. We report our financial results in U.S. dollars. The exchange rates between the Indian rupee and other currencies in which we incur costs or receive revenues, on the one hand, and the U.S. dollar, on the other hand, have changed substantially in recent years and may fluctuate substantially in the future. See Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected over time by certain movements in exchange rates, particularly if the Indian rupee or other currencies in which we incur expenses or receive revenues, appreciate against the U.S. dollar. Although we take steps to hedge a substantial portion of our Indian rupee-U.S. dollar, Mexican peso-U.S. dollar, Philippines peso-U.S. dollar, euro-U.S. dollar, euro-Romanian leu, euro-Hungarian forint, pound sterling-U.S. dollar, Australian dollar-U.S. dollar and our Chinese renminbi-Japanese yen foreign currency exposures, there is no assurance that our hedging strategy will be successful or that the hedging markets will have sufficient liquidity or depth for us to implement our strategy in a cost effective manner. In addition, in some countries such as India and China, we are subject to legal restrictions on hedging activities, as well as convertibility of currencies, which could limit our ability to use cash generated in one country in another country and could limit our ability to hedge our exposures. Finally, our hedging policies only provide near term protection from exchange rate fluctuations. If the Indian rupee or other currencies in which we incur expenses appreciate against the U.S. dollar, we may have to consider additional means of maintaining profitability, including by increasing pricing, which may or may not be achievable. See also Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview Foreign exchange (gains) losses, net.

Restrictions on entry visas may affect our ability to compete for and provide services to clients, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Our business depends on the ability of our employees to obtain the necessary visas and entry permits to do business in the countries where our clients and, in some cases, our delivery centers, are located. In recent years, in response to terrorist attacks and global unrest, immigration authorities generally, and those in the United States in particular, have increased the level of scrutiny in granting visas. If further terrorist attacks occur or global unrest intensifies, then obtaining visas for our personnel may become even more difficult. Local immigration laws may also require us to meet certain other legal requirements as a condition to obtaining or maintaining entry visas. Adverse economic conditions in countries where our clients may be located may create an environment where countries, including the United States, may restrict the number of visas or entry permits available. In addition, immigration laws are subject to legislative change and varying standards of application and enforcement due to political forces, economic conditions or other events, including terrorist attacks. If we are unable to obtain the necessary visas for our personnel who need to travel internationally, if the issuance of such visas is delayed or if the length of such visas is shortened, we may not be able to provide services to our clients or to continue to provide services on a timely and cost-effective basis, receive revenues as early as expected or manage our delivery centers as efficiently as we otherwise could, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The information technology industry is subject to rapid technological change and we may not be successful in addressing these changes.

The information technology industry is characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, changing client preferences and new product introductions. The success of our information technology

Table of Contents

business depends, in part, upon our ability to develop solutions that keep pace with changes in the industry. We may not be successful in addressing these changes on a timely basis or successfully marketing any changes that we implement. In addition, products or technologies developed by others may render our services uncompetitive or obsolete. Failure to address these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our senior leadership team is critical to our continued success and the loss of such personnel could harm our business.

Our future success substantially depends on the continued service and performance of the members of our senior leadership team. These personnel possess business and technical capabilities that are difficult to replace. In particular, our Chief Executive Officer and other members of our senior leadership team have been involved in our business since its commencement under GE. Our employment agreement with our Chief Executive Officer does not obligate him to work for us for any specified period. If we lose key members of our senior leadership team, we may not be able to effectively manage our current operations or meet ongoing and future business challenges, and this may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may be unable to service our debt or obtain additional financing on competitive terms.

Our credit agreement contains covenants which require maintenance of certain financial ratios and also, under certain conditions, restrict our ability to pay dividends, repurchase common shares and make other restricted payments as defined in the credit agreement. Our credit agreement also provides that a substantial majority of the outstanding principal of the \$675 million term loan facility is to be paid in a single payment at the end of the seven-year term. Our cash flow from operations provides the primary source of funds for our debt service payments. If our cash flow from operations declines, we may be unable to service or refinance our current debt which could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

In addition, we have limited ability to increase our borrowings under our existing credit agreement without increased pricing. We may in the future require additional financing to fund one or more acquisitions and may not be able to obtain such additional financing on competitive terms, which could restrict our ability to complete such transactions.

We often face a long selling cycle to secure a new contract as well as long implementation periods that require significant resource commitments, which result in a long lead time before we receive revenues from new relationships.

We often face a long selling cycle to secure a new contract. If we are successful in obtaining an engagement, that is generally followed by a long implementation period in which the services are planned in detail and we demonstrate to a client that we can successfully integrate our processes and resources with their operations. During this time a contract is also negotiated and agreed. There is then a long ramping up period in order to commence providing the services. We typically incur significant business development expenses during the selling cycle. We may not succeed in winning a new client's business, in which case we receive no revenues and may receive no reimbursement for such expenses. Even if we succeed in developing a relationship with a potential new client and begin to plan the services in detail, a potential client may choose a competitor or decide to retain the work in-house prior to the time a final contract is signed. If we enter into a contract with a client, we will typically receive no revenues until implementation actually begins. Our clients may also experience delays in obtaining internal approvals or delays associated with technology or system implementations, thereby further lengthening the implementation cycle. We generally hire new employees to provide services to a new client once a contract is signed. We may face significant difficulties in hiring such employees and incur significant costs associated with these hires before we receive corresponding revenues. If we are not successful in obtaining contractual commitments after the selling cycle, in maintaining contractual commitments after the

Table of Contents

implementation cycle or in maintaining or reducing the duration of unprofitable initial periods in our contracts, it may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our profitability will suffer if we are not able to price appropriately, maintain asset utilization levels and control our costs.

Our profitability is largely a function of the efficiency with which we utilize our assets, and in particular our people and delivery centers, and the pricing that we are able to obtain for our services. Our utilization rates are affected by a number of factors, including our ability to transition employees from completed projects to new assignments, hire and assimilate new employees, forecast demand for our services and thereby maintain an appropriate headcount in each of our geographies and workforce and manage attrition, and our need to devote time and resources to training, professional development and other typically non-chargeable activities. The prices we are able to charge for our services are affected by a number of factors, including our clients' perceptions of our ability to add value through our services, competition, introduction of new services or products by us or our competitors, our ability to accurately estimate, attain and sustain revenues from client engagements, margins and cash flows over increasingly longer contract periods and general economic and political conditions. Therefore, if we are unable to price appropriately or manage our asset utilization levels, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our profitability is also a function of our ability to control our costs and improve our efficiency. As we increase the number of our employees and grow our business, we may not be able to manage the significantly larger and more geographically diverse workforce that may result and our profitability may not improve. New taxes may also be imposed on our services such as sales taxes or service taxes which could affect our competitiveness as well as our profitability.

Our operating results may experience significant quarterly fluctuations.

Our operating results may fluctuate significantly from period to period. The long selling cycle for many of our services as well as the time required to complete the implementation phases of new contracts makes it difficult to accurately predict the timing of revenues from new clients or new SOWs as well as our costs. In addition, our future revenues, operating margins and profitability may fluctuate as a result of: changes in pricing in response to client demands and competitive pressures; changes to the financial condition of our clients; employee wage levels and utilization rates; changes in foreign exchange rates, including the Indian rupee versus the U.S. Dollar; the timing of collection of accounts receivable; enactment of new taxes; changes in domestic and international income tax rates and regulations; and changes to levels and types of share-based compensation awards and assumptions used to determine the fair value of such awards. As a result of these factors, it is possible that in some future periods, our revenues and operating results may be significantly below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. In such an event, the price of our common shares would likely be materially and adversely affected.

We enter into long-term contracts and fixed price contracts with our clients. Our failure to price these contracts correctly may negatively affect our profitability.

The pricing of our services is usually included in SOWs entered into with our clients, many of which are for terms of two to five years. In certain cases, we have committed to pricing over this period with only limited sharing of risk regarding inflation and currency exchange rates. In addition, we are obligated under some of our contracts to deliver productivity benefits to our clients. If we fail to estimate accurately future wage inflation rates, currency exchange rates or our costs, or if we fail to accurately estimate the productivity benefits we can achieve under a contract, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

A portion of our SOWs are currently billed on a fixed price basis rather than on a time and materials basis. We may increase the number of fixed price contracts we perform in the future. Any failure to accurately estimate the resources or time required to complete a fixed price engagement or to maintain the required quality levels

Table of Contents

or any unexpected increase in the cost to us of employees, office space or technology could expose us to risks associated with cost overruns and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial conditions.

We could be liable to our clients for damages and subject to criminal liability and our reputation could be damaged if our information systems are breached or client data is compromised.

We may be liable to our clients for damages caused by disclosure of confidential information or system failures. We are often required to collect and store sensitive or confidential client data to perform the services we provide under our contracts. Many of our contracts do not limit our potential liability for breaches of confidentiality. If any person, including any of our current or former employees, penetrates our network security or misappropriates sensitive data or if we do not adapt to changes in data protection legislation, we could be subject to significant liabilities to our clients or to our clients' customers for breaching contractual confidentiality provisions or privacy laws. Unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential client data, whether through breach of our computer systems, systems failure or otherwise, could also damage our reputation and cause us to lose existing and potential clients. We may also be subject to civil actions and criminal prosecution by government or government agencies for breaches relating to such data. Our insurance coverage for breaches or mismanagement of such data may not continue to be available on reasonable terms or in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims against us and our insurers may disclaim coverage as to any future claims.

We may be subject to claims for substantial damages by our clients arising out of disruptions to their businesses or inadequate service, and our insurance coverage may be inadequate.

Most of our service contracts with clients contain service level and performance requirements, including requirements relating to the quality of our services. Failure to consistently meet service requirements of a client or errors made by our employees in the course of delivering services to our clients could disrupt the client's business and result in a reduction in revenues or a claim for damages against us. Additionally, we could incur liability if a process we manage for a client were to result in internal control failures or impair our client's ability to comply with its own internal control requirements.

Under our MSAs with our clients, our liability for breach of our obligations is generally limited to actual damages suffered by the client and is typically capped at the greater of an agreed amount or the fees paid or payable to us under the relevant agreement. These limitations and caps on liability may be unenforceable or otherwise may not protect us from liability for damages. In addition, certain liabilities, such as claims of third parties for which we may be required to indemnify our clients or liability for breaches of confidentiality, are generally not limited under those agreements. Our MSAs are governed by laws of multiple jurisdictions, therefore the interpretation of such provisions, and the availability of defenses to us, may vary, which may contribute to the uncertainty as to the scope of our potential liability. Although we have commercial general liability insurance coverage, the coverage may not continue to be available on acceptable terms or in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims and our insurers may disclaim coverage as to any future claims. The successful assertion of one or more large claims against us that exceed available insurance coverage, or changes in our insurance policies (including premium increases or the imposition of large deductible or co-insurance requirements), could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Any failures to adhere to the regulations that govern our business could result in our being unable to effectively perform our services. Failure to adhere to regulations that govern our clients' businesses could result in breaches of contract under our MSAs.

Our clients' business operations are often subject to regulation, and our clients may require that we perform our services in a manner that will enable them to comply with applicable regulations. Our clients are located around the world, and the laws and regulations that apply include, among others, United States federal laws such as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, state laws on debt collection in the United States and the Financial Services Act in the United Kingdom as well as similar consumer

Table of Contents

protection laws in other countries in which our clients' customers are based. Failure to perform our services in a manner that complies with any such requirement could result in breaches of contracts with our clients. In addition, we are required under various laws to obtain and maintain permits and licenses for the conduct of our business in all jurisdictions in which we have operations, including India, and, in some cases, where our clients receive our services, including the United States and Europe. If we do not maintain our licenses or other qualifications to provide our services or if we do not adapt to changes in legislation or regulation, we may have to cease operations in the relevant jurisdictions and may not be able to provide services to existing clients or be able to attract new clients. In addition, we may be required to expend significant resources in order to comply with laws and regulations in the jurisdictions mentioned above. Any failure to abide by regulations relating either to our business or our clients' businesses may also, in some limited circumstances, result in civil fines and criminal penalties for us. Any such ceasing of operations or civil or criminal actions may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to collect our receivables or unbilled services, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Our business depends on our ability to successfully obtain payment from our clients of the amounts they owe us for work performed. We evaluate the financial condition of our clients and usually bill and collect on relatively short cycles. We have established allowances for losses of receivables and unbilled services. Actual losses on client balances could differ from those that we currently anticipate, and, as a result, we might need to adjust our allowances. We might not accurately assess the creditworthiness of our clients. Macroeconomic conditions could also result in financial difficulties for our clients, including bankruptcy and insolvency. This could cause clients to delay payments to us, request modifications to their payment arrangements that could increase our receivables balance, or default on their payment obligations to us. If we experience an increase in the time to bill and collect for our services, our cash flows could be adversely affected.

Some of our contracts contain provisions which, if triggered, could result in lower future revenues and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operation and financial condition.

Some of our contracts allow a client, in certain limited circumstances, to request a benchmark study comparing our pricing and performance with that of an agreed list of other service providers for comparable services. Based on the results of the study and depending on the reasons for any unfavorable variance, we may be required to make improvements in the services we provide or to reduce the pricing for services on a prospective basis to be performed under the remaining term of the contract, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Some of our contracts, including our contract with GE, contain provisions that would require us to pay penalties to our clients and/or provide our clients with the right to terminate the contract if we do not meet pre-agreed service level requirements. Failure to meet these requirements could result in the payment of significant penalties by us to our clients which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

A few of our MSAs provide that during the term of the MSA and under specified circumstances, we may not provide similar services to the competitors of our client. Some of our contracts also provide that, during the term of the contract and for a certain period thereafter ranging from six to 12 months, we may not provide similar services to certain or any of our clients' competitors using the same personnel. These restrictions may hamper our ability to compete for and provide services to other clients in the same industry, which may inhibit growth and result in lower future revenues and profitability.

Some of our contracts with clients specify that if a change of control of our company occurs during the term of the contract, the client has the right to terminate the contract. These provisions may result in our contracts being terminated if there is such a change in control, resulting in a potential loss of revenues. In addition, these provisions may act as a deterrent to any attempt by a third party to acquire our company.

Table of Contents

Some of our contracts with clients require that we bear the cost of any sales or withholding taxes or unreimbursed value-added taxes imposed on payments made under those contracts. While the imposition of these taxes is generally minimized under our contracts, changes in law or the interpretation thereof and changes in our internal structure may result in the imposition of these taxes and a reduction in our net revenues.

Our industry is highly competitive, and we may not be able to compete effectively.

Our industry is highly competitive, highly fragmented and subject to rapid change. We believe that the principal competitive factors in our markets are breadth and depth of process, technology and domain expertise, service quality, the ability to attract, train and retain qualified people, compliance rigor, global delivery capabilities, price and marketing and sales capabilities. We compete for business with a variety of companies, including large multinational firms that provide consulting, technology and/or business process services, off-shore business process service providers in low-cost locations like India, in-house captives of potential clients, software services companies that also provide business process services and accounting firms that also provide consulting or outsourcing services.

Some of our competitors have greater financial, marketing, technological or other resources and larger client bases than we do, and may expand their service offerings and compete more effectively for clients and employees than we do. Some of our competitors have more established reputations and client relationships in our markets than we do. In addition, some of our competitors who do not have global delivery capabilities may expand their delivery centers to the countries in which we are located which could result in increased competition for employees and could reduce our competitive advantage. There could also be new competitors that are more powerful as a result of strategic consolidation of smaller competitors or of companies that each provide different services or service different industries.

We expect competition to intensify in the future as more companies enter our markets. Increased competition may result in lower prices and volumes, higher costs for resources, especially people, and lower profitability. We may not be able to supply clients with services that they deem superior and at competitive prices and we may lose business to our competitors. Any inability to compete effectively would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business could be materially and adversely affected if we do not protect our intellectual property or if our services are found to infringe on the intellectual property of others.

Our success depends in part on certain methodologies, practices, tools and technical expertise we utilize in designing, developing, implementing and maintaining applications and other proprietary intellectual property rights. In order to protect our rights in these various intellectual properties, we rely upon a combination of nondisclosure and other contractual arrangements as well as trade secret, copyright and trademark laws. We also generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, clients and potential clients and limit access to and distribution of our proprietary information. India is a member of the Berne Convention, an international intellectual property treaty, and has agreed to recognize protections on intellectual property rights conferred under the laws of other foreign countries, including the laws of the United States. There can be no assurance that the laws, rules, regulations and treaties in effect in the United States, India and the other jurisdictions in which we operate and the contractual and other protective measures we take, are adequate to protect us from misappropriation or unauthorized use of our intellectual property, or that such laws will not change. We may not be able to detect unauthorized use and take appropriate steps to enforce our rights, and any such steps may not be successful. Infringement by others of our intellectual property, including the costs of enforcing our intellectual property rights, may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Although we believe that we are not infringing on the intellectual property rights of others, claims may nonetheless be successfully asserted against us in the future. The costs of defending any such claims could be significant, and any successful claim may require us to modify, discontinue or rename any of our services. Any such changes may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

A substantial portion of our assets and operations are located in India and we are subject to regulatory, economic, social and political uncertainties in India.

We are subject to several risks associated with having a substantial portion of our assets and operations located in India.

We have benefited from many policies of the Government of India and the Indian state governments in the states in which we operate which are designed to promote foreign investment generally and the business process services industry in particular, including significant tax incentives, relaxation of regulatory restrictions, liberalized import and export duties and preferential rules on foreign investment and repatriation. There is no assurance that such policies will continue. Various factors, such as changes in the current central government, could trigger significant changes in India's economic liberalization and deregulation policies and disrupt business and economic conditions in India generally and our business in particular.

In addition, our financial performance and the market price of our common shares may be adversely affected by general economic conditions and economic and fiscal policy in India, including changes in exchange rates and controls, interest rates and taxation policies, as well as social stability and political, economic or diplomatic developments affecting India in the future. In particular, India has experienced significant economic growth over the last several years, but faces major challenges in sustaining that growth in the years ahead. These challenges include the need for substantial infrastructure development and improving access to healthcare and education. Our ability to recruit, train and retain qualified employees, develop and operate our delivery centers, and attract and retain clients could be adversely affected if India does not successfully meet these challenges.

Our delivery centers are at risk of damage from natural disasters and other disruptions.

Our delivery centers and our data and voice communications may be damaged or disrupted as a result of natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods, heavy rains, epidemics, tsunamis and cyclones, technical disruptions such as electricity or infrastructure breakdowns, including damage to telecommunications cables, computer glitches and electronic viruses or man-made events such as protests, riots and labor unrest. Such events may lead to the disruption of information systems and telecommunication services for sustained periods. They also may make it difficult or impossible for employees to reach our business locations. Damage or destruction that interrupts our provision of services could adversely affect our reputation, our relationships with our clients, our leadership team's ability to administer and supervise our business or it may cause us to incur substantial additional expenditure to repair or replace damaged equipment or delivery centers. We may also be liable to our clients for disruption in service resulting from such damage or destruction. While we currently have commercial liability insurance, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient. Furthermore, we may be unable to secure such insurance coverage at premiums acceptable to us in the future or at all. Prolonged disruption of our services would also entitle our clients to terminate their contracts with us. Any of the above factors may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may face difficulties as we expand our operations into countries in which we have no prior operating experience.

We intend to continue to expand our global footprint in order to maintain an appropriate cost structure and meet our clients' delivery needs. This may involve expanding into countries other than those in which we currently operate. It may involve expanding into less developed countries, which may have less political, social or economic stability and less developed infrastructure and legal systems. As we expand our business into new countries we may encounter regulatory, personnel, technological and other difficulties that increase our expenses or delay our ability to start up our operations or become profitable in such countries. This may affect our relationships with our clients and could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence involving any of the countries in which we or our clients have operations could adversely affect our operations and client confidence.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war, such as the attacks in recent years in the United States, India, Spain and England, as well as outbreaks of violence in Mexico, may adversely affect worldwide financial markets and could potentially lead to economic recession, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. These events could adversely affect our clients levels of business activity and precipitate sudden significant changes in regional and global economic conditions and cycles. These events also pose significant risks to our people and to our delivery centers and operations around the world.

Southern Asia has, from time to time, experienced instances of civil unrest and hostilities among neighboring countries, including India and Pakistan. In recent years, military confrontations between India and Pakistan have occurred in the region of Kashmir and along the India/Pakistan border. There have also been incidents in and near India such as terrorist attacks on the Indian Parliament and in the city of Mumbai, troop mobilizations along the India/Pakistan border and an aggravated geopolitical situation in the region. Such military activity or terrorist attacks in the future could influence the Indian economy by disrupting communications and making travel more difficult. Resulting political tensions could create a greater perception that investments in companies with Indian operations involve a high degree of risk, and that there is a risk of disruption of services provided by companies with Indian operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our share price and/or the market for our services. Furthermore, if India were to become engaged in armed hostilities, particularly hostilities that were protracted or involved the threat or use of nuclear weapons, we might not be able to continue our operations. We generally do not have insurance for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist attacks, military conflicts and wars.

If more stringent labor laws become applicable to us or if our employees unionize, our profitability may be adversely affected.

India has stringent labor legislation that protects employee interests, including legislation that sets forth detailed procedures for dispute resolution and employee removal and legislation that imposes financial obligations on employers upon retrenchment. Though we are exempt from some of these labor laws at present under exceptions in some states for providers of IT-enabled services, there can be no assurance that such laws will not become applicable to us in the future. If these labor laws become applicable to our employees, it may become difficult for us to maintain flexible human resource policies and attract and employ the numbers of sufficiently qualified candidates that we need or discharge employees, and our compensation expenses may increase significantly.

In addition, our employees may in the future form unions. If employees at any of our delivery centers become eligible for union membership, we may be required to raise wage levels or grant other benefits that could result in an increase in our compensation expenses, in which case our profitability may be adversely affected.

We may engage in strategic transactions that could create risks.

As part of our business strategy, we regularly review potential strategic transactions, including potential acquisitions, dispositions, consolidations, joint ventures or similar transactions, some of which may be material. Through the acquisitions we pursue, we may seek opportunities to add to or enhance the services we provide, to enter new industries or expand our client base, or to strengthen our global presence and scale of operations. We have completed more than ten acquisitions since our inception. There can be no assurance that we will find suitable candidates in the future for strategic transactions at acceptable prices, have sufficient capital resources to accomplish our strategy, or be successful in entering into agreements for desired transactions.

Acquisitions, including completed acquisitions, also pose the risk that any business we acquire may lose clients or employees or could under-perform relative to expectations. We could also experience financial or other setbacks if transactions encounter unanticipated problems, including problems related to execution or integration.

Table of Contents

Following the completion of an acquisition, we may have to rely on the seller to provide administrative and other support, including financial reporting and internal controls, to the acquired business for a period of time. There can be no assurance that the seller will do so in a manner that is acceptable to us.

Our principal shareholders exercise significant influence over us, and their interests in our business may be different from yours.

A significant percentage of our issued and outstanding common shares are currently beneficially owned by affiliates of Bain Capital. As of December 31, 2013, Bain Capital (through its affiliates) beneficially owned approximately 25% of our outstanding common shares.

Our shareholder agreement with Bain Capital and its co-investors provides that Bain Capital has the right to nominate four directors to our board, so long as it maintains certain minimum shareholding thresholds, and the shareholders party to the agreement have agreed to vote their shares for the election of such persons. These shareholders can exercise significant influence over our business policies and affairs and all matters requiring a shareholders' vote, including the composition of our board of directors, the adoption of amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bye-laws, the approval of mergers or sales of substantially all of our assets, our dividend policy and our capital structure and financing. This concentration of ownership also may delay, defer or even prevent a change in control of our company and may make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of these shareholders, even if such transactions are beneficial to other shareholders. The interests of these shareholders may conflict with your interests. Bain Capital currently holds interests in companies that compete with us and it may, from time to time, make significant investments in companies that could compete with us. In addition, pursuant to our shareholder agreement and to the extent permitted by applicable law, our directors who are affiliated with Bain Capital are not required to present to us corporate opportunities (e.g., acquisitions or new potential clients) of which they become aware. So long as Bain Capital owns a significant amount of our equity it will be able to strongly influence our decisions.

We may become subject to taxation as a result of our incorporation in Bermuda or place of management, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have received a written assurance from the Bermuda Minister of Finance under The Exempted Undertaking Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda to the effect that if there is enacted in Bermuda any legislation imposing tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of any such tax shall not be applicable to us or to any of our operations or common shares, debentures or other obligations or securities until March 31, 2035, except insofar as such tax applies to persons ordinarily resident in Bermuda or is payable by us in respect of real property owned or leased by us in Bermuda. We cannot assure you that after such date we would not be subject to any such tax. The Direct Taxes Code Bill, 2010 proposed by the Government of India and currently pending before Indian Parliament proposes certain new residency rules for companies whereby foreign companies could under certain conditions become residents for Indian tax purposes (and hence subject to Indian tax). If we were to become subject to taxation in Bermuda or any other jurisdiction as a result of our incorporation in Bermuda, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may not be able to realize the entire book value of goodwill and other intangible assets from acquisitions.

As of December 31, 2013, we have approximately \$953.8 million of goodwill and \$99.1 million of intangible assets. We periodically assess these assets to determine if they are impaired and we monitor for impairment of goodwill relating to all acquisitions and our formation in 2004. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment testing at least on an annual basis as of December 31 of each year, based on a number of factors including macro-economic conditions, industry and market considerations, overall financial performance, business plans and expected future cash flows. Impairment testing of goodwill may also be performed between

Table of Contents

annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of goodwill below its carrying amount. We perform an assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Based on the results of the qualitative assessment, the Company performs the quantitative assessment of goodwill impairment if it determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. In the event that the book value of goodwill is impaired, any such impairment would be charged to earnings in the period of impairment. We cannot assure you that future impairment of goodwill will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Related to our Shares

Future sales of our common shares could cause our share price to decline.

Sales of substantial amounts of common shares by our employees and other shareholders, or the possibility of such sales, may adversely affect the price of our common shares and impede our ability to raise capital through the issuance of equity securities. As of December 31, 2013, Bain Capital (through its affiliates) and its co-investors beneficially owned approximately 29% of our outstanding common shares. Subject to certain restrictions set forth in our shareholder agreement with Bain Capital and its co-investors, such shareholders are able to sell their common shares in the public market from time to time without registering them, subject to certain limitations on the timing, amount and method of those sales imposed by Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Pursuant to our shareholder agreement, Bain Capital has the right, beginning on April 25, 2015, subject to certain conditions and with certain exceptions, to require us to file registration statements covering all of the common shares it owns or to include those common shares in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or for another holder of our common shares. Following their registration and sale under the applicable registration statement, those shares will become freely tradable. By exercising their registration rights and selling a large number of common shares, these holders could cause the price of our common shares to decline. In addition, the perception in the public markets that sales by them might occur could also adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

We do not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

On August 30, 2012, we declared a special cash dividend of \$2.24 per share, or approximately \$502 million in the aggregate, which we paid on September 24, 2012 to holders of record as of September 10, 2012. Apart from the payment of this special cash dividend, we do not anticipate paying any further cash dividends on our common shares for the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends to holders of our common shares in the future will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on many factors, including our financial condition, results of operations and general business conditions and any other factors our board of directors deems relevant. Our ability to pay dividends will also continue to be subject to restrictive covenants contained in credit facility agreements governing indebtedness we and our subsidiaries have incurred or may incur in the future.

We are organized under the laws of Bermuda, and Bermuda law differs from the laws in effect in the United States and may afford less protection to shareholders.

Our shareholders may have more difficulty protecting their interests than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a state of the United States. As a Bermuda company, we are governed by, in particular, the Companies Act 1981, or the Companies Act. The Companies Act differs in some material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and shareholders, including the provisions relating to interested directors, mergers, amalgamations, takeovers and indemnification of directors.

Table of Contents

Generally, the duties of directors and officers of a Bermuda company are owed to the company only. Shareholders of Bermuda companies generally do not have the right to take action against directors or officers of the company except in limited circumstances. Directors of a Bermuda company must, in exercising their powers and performing their duties, act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the company, exercising the care and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Directors have a duty not to put themselves in a position in which their duties to the company and their personal interests may conflict and also are under a duty to disclose any personal interest in any contract or arrangement with the company or any of its subsidiaries. If a director of a Bermuda company is found to have breached his or her duties to that company, he may be held personally liable to the company in respect of that breach of duty. A director may be liable jointly and severally with other directors if it is shown that the director knowingly engaged in fraud or dishonesty. In cases not involving fraud or dishonesty, the liability of the director will be determined by the Bermuda courts on the basis of their estimation of the percentage of responsibility of the director for the matter in question, in light of the nature of the conduct of the director and the extent of the causal relationship between his or her conduct and the loss suffered.

In addition, our bye-laws contain a broad waiver by our shareholders of any claim or right of action, both individually and on our behalf, against any of our officers or directors. The waiver applies to any action taken by an officer or director, or the failure of an officer or director to take any action, in the performance of his or her duties, except with respect to any matter involving or arising out of any fraud or dishonesty on the part of the officer or director or to matters which would render it void pursuant to the Companies Act. This waiver limits the right of shareholders to assert claims against our officers and directors unless the act or failure to act involves fraud or dishonesty. Therefore, our shareholders may have more difficulty protecting their interests than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a state within the United States.

The market price for our common shares has been and may continue to be volatile.

The market price for our common shares has been and may continue to be volatile and subject to price and volume fluctuations in response to market and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. Among the factors that could affect our stock price are:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly and annual operating results;

changes in financial estimates by securities research analysts;

changes in the economic performance or market valuations of other companies engaged in providing business process and information technology services;

loss of one or more significant clients;

addition or loss of executive officers or key employees;

regulatory developments in our target markets affecting us, our clients or our competitors;

announcements of technological developments;

limited liquidity in our trading market;

sales or expected sales of additional common shares or purchases or expected purchases of common shares; and

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

terrorist attacks or natural disasters or other such events impacting countries where we or our clients have operations. In addition, securities markets generally and from time to time experience significant price and volume fluctuations that are not related to the operating performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common shares.

Table of Contents

You may be unable to effect service of process or enforce judgments obtained in the United States or Bermuda against us or our assets in the jurisdictions in which we or our executive officers operate.

We are organized under the laws of Bermuda, and a significant portion of our assets are located outside the United States. It may not be possible to enforce court judgments obtained in the United States against us in Bermuda or in countries, other than the United States, where we have assets based on the civil liability or penal provisions of the federal or state securities laws of the United States. In addition, there is some doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda and other countries would recognize or enforce judgments of United States courts obtained against us or our directors or officers based on the civil liability or penal provisions of the federal or state securities laws of the United States or would hear actions against us or those persons based on those laws. We have been advised by Appleby (Bermuda) Limited, our Bermuda counsel, that the United States and Bermuda do not currently have a treaty providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not based solely on United States federal or state securities laws, would not automatically be enforceable in Bermuda. Similarly, those judgments may not be enforceable in countries, other than the United States, where we have assets.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We have delivery centers in 18 countries. Our only material properties are our premises in India at Phase V, Gurgaon, which comprises of 212,531 square feet, and Uppal, Hyderabad which comprises approximately 449,286 square feet, both of which we own. We have a mixture of owned and leased properties and substantially all of our leased properties are leased under long-term leases with varying expiration dates. We believe that all of our properties and facilities are well-maintained.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

There are no legal proceedings pending against us that we believe are likely to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Stock Price Information and Stockholders**

The principal market on which the company's common shares are traded is the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "G". The following table sets forth the high and low sales price of the Company's common shares for each quarter of 2012 and 2013. As of January 31, 2014, there were 25 holders of record of our common shares.

| | Sales Price | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | High | Low |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 18.19 | \$ 15.46 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 20.09 | \$ 17.85 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 21.19 | \$ 18.88 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 20.25 | \$ 17.20 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 16.57 | \$ 14.45 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 16.77 | \$ 15.01 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 18.66 | \$ 16.37 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 17.69 | \$ 15.28 |

Table of Contents

Dividends

On August 30, 2012, we declared a special cash dividend of \$2.24 per share, or approximately \$502 million in the aggregate, which we paid on September 24, 2012 to the holders of record as of September 10, 2012. Our board of directors does not anticipate authorizing the payment of cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends to holders of our common shares in the future will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on many factors, including our financial condition, results of operations, general business conditions, restrictive covenants contained in credit facility agreements governing indebtedness we and our subsidiaries have incurred or may incur in the future and any other factors our board of directors deems relevant.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Basic | 215.5 | 219.3 | 221.6 | 223.7 | 229.3 |
| Diluted | 220.1 | 224.8 | 226.4 | 229.5 | 235.8 |

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

| | Page No. |
|---|-----------------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-2 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 | F-6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 | F-7 |
| Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 | F-8 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 | F-11 |
| Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements | F-12 |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

The Company acquired the Triumph Companies for initial cash consideration of \$3,600, subject to adjustment based on working capital and closing indebtedness. The acquisition agreement provided for additional

F-25

| | Value | Estimated useful life |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Customer related intangibles | \$ 382 | 1 to 10 years |

F-26

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

- (a) These forward foreign exchange contracts were entered into to hedge the fluctuations in foreign exchange rates for recognized balance sheet items such as receivables and intercompany borrowings, and were not originally designated as hedges under FASB guidance on derivatives and hedging. Realized (gains) losses and changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded in foreign exchange (gains) losses, net in the consolidated statements of income.

F-34

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| China | 45,032 | 45,699 |
| Europe | 42,425 | 44,146 |
| Americas | 46,583 | 46,583 |
| Headstrong | 366,174 | 432,888 |
| | \$ 956,064 | \$ 953,849 |

In line with the Company's long term strategy for the business, during the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company decided to integrate the Japan business consulting division of the Headstrong reporting unit with the China reporting unit. This integration enabled the Company to leverage business experience, knowledge, and

F-36

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

10. Goodwill and intangible assets (Continued)

resources more effectively and to provide a global service delivery model. Accordingly, goodwill attributable to the Japan business consulting division included in the Headstrong reporting unit prior to and including the year ended December 31, 2011 is being reported as a component of the China reporting unit beginning with the year ended December 31, 2012. The Company tested goodwill allocated to the Japan business consulting division for impairment prior to the integration with the China reporting unit for events and conditions identified in accordance with the guidance in ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other . The fair value of this goodwill was calculated using a discounted cash flow model using estimated future cash flows. Further, the Company tested the goodwill of the China reporting unit, the Japan business consulting division and the Headstrong reporting unit before and after such integration and concluded that the fair value of each such reporting unit or portion thereof exceeded its respective book value.

During 2012, the Company performed its annual impairment review of goodwill and concluded that there was no impairment in the year ended December 31, 2012.

In line with the Company's long term strategy for the business, during the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company decided to integrate a portion of the IT business within its India reporting unit with the Headstrong reporting unit. This integration enabled the Company to leverage business experience, knowledge, and resources more effectively and to provide a global service delivery model. Accordingly, goodwill attributable to the IT business included in the India reporting unit prior to and including the year ended December 31, 2012 is being reported as a component of the Headstrong reporting unit beginning with the year ended December 31, 2013. The Company tested goodwill allocated to the IT Business for impairment prior to the integration with the Headstrong reporting unit for events and conditions identified in accordance with the guidance in ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other . The fair value of this goodwill was calculated using a discounted cash flow model using estimated future cash flows. Further, the Company tested the goodwill of the India reporting unit, the transferred IT business and Headstrong reporting unit before and after such integration and concluded that the fair value of each such reporting unit or portion thereof exceeded its respective book value.

In the year ended December 31, 2013, in accordance with ASU 2011-08, the Company performed an assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether events or circumstances exist that may lead to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Based on such assessment, the Company concluded that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount for all reporting units except for the Americas and Headstrong reporting units. Accordingly, the Company performed the quantitative assessment of goodwill impairment for these two reporting units. Based on the quantitative assessment for these two reporting units, the Company concluded that there is no impairment in the year ended December 31, 2013 and the fair value of these two reporting units exceeded their respective carrying values.

The total amount of goodwill deductible for tax purposes is \$6,779 and \$38,512 as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

10. Goodwill and intangible assets (Continued)

The Company's intangible assets acquired either individually or with a group of other assets or in a business combination are as follows:

| | As of December 31, 2012 | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | Net | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | Net |
| Customer-related intangible assets | \$ 291,735 | \$ 206,987 | \$ 84,748 | \$ 288,983 | \$ 213,878 | \$ 75,105 |
| Marketing-related intangible assets | 40,386 | 18,801 | 21,585 | 37,919 | 20,545 | 17,374 |
| Contract-related intangible assets | 1,182 | 1,182 | | 1,121 | 1,121 | |
| Other intangible assets | 7,069 | 1,015 | 6,054 | 9,124 | 2,487 | 6,637 |
| | \$ 340,372 | \$ 227,985 | \$ 112,387 | \$ 337,147 | \$ 238,031 | \$ 99,116 |

Amortization expenses for intangible assets as disclosed in the consolidated statements of income under amortization of acquired intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 were \$19,974, \$23,233 and \$23,645, respectively. Intangible assets recorded for the 2004 reorganization, when we began operating as an independent company, include the incremental value of the minimum volume commitment from GE, entered into contemporaneously with the 2004 reorganization, over the value of the pre-existing customer relationship with GE. The amortization of this intangible asset for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 was \$158, \$72 and \$0, respectively, and has been reported as a reduction of revenue.

The estimated amortization schedule for the intangible assets for future periods is set out below:

| For the year ending December 31: | |
|---|------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 22,774 |
| 2015 | 18,575 |
| 2016 | 15,834 |
| 2017 | 13,003 |
| 2018 and beyond | 28,930 |
| | \$ 99,116 |

11. Other assets

Other assets consist of the following:

As of December 31,

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Advance taxes | \$ 8,871 | \$ 7,839 |
| Deferred transition costs | 37,633 | 27,818 |
| Deposits | 27,770 | 23,287 |
| Derivative instruments | 1,931 | 1,865 |
| Prepaid expenses | 4,732 | 4,895 |
| Accounts Receivable due after one year | 19,140 | 15,844 |
| Others | 16,471 | 15,817 |
| | \$ 116,548 | \$ 97,365 |

F-38

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

12. Leases

The Company has leased vehicles, furniture and fixtures, computer equipment and servers, and plants, machinery and equipment from various lessors under capital lease arrangements. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| As of December 31: | |
| 2014 | \$ 1,895 |
| 2015 | 1,467 |
| 2016 | 1,001 |
| 2017 | 529 |
| 2018 | 141 |
| | |
| Total minimum lease payments | 5,033 |
| Less: amount representing future interest | (971) |
| | |
| Present value of minimum lease payments | 4,062 |
| Less: current portion | (1,405) |
| | |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | \$ 2,657 |

The Company conducts its operations using facilities under non-cancellable operating lease agreements that expire at various dates. Future minimum lease payments under these agreements are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Year ending December 31: | |
| 2014 | \$ 34,025 |
| 2015 | 30,564 |
| 2016 | 25,235 |
| 2017 | 19,143 |
| 2018 | 14,933 |
| 2019 and beyond | 27,378 |
| | |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 151,278 |

Rental expenses in agreements with rent holidays and scheduled rent increases are recorded on a straight line basis over the applicable lease term. Rent expenses under cancellable and non-cancellable operating leases were \$44,613, \$49,912 and \$55,450 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

The above rental expense includes the effect of reclassification of foreign exchange (gains) losses related to the effective portion of foreign currency derivative contracts amounting to \$1,227, \$1,112 and \$2,851 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****13. Accrued expenses and other current liabilities**

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Accrued expenses | \$ 94,521 | \$ 98,988 |
| Accrued employee cost | 115,323 | 126,814 |
| Deferred transition revenue | 47,334 | 46,895 |
| Statutory liabilities | 23,008 | 24,466 |
| Retirement benefits | 21,044 | 14,853 |
| Derivative instruments | 61,646 | 83,693 |
| Advance from customers | 14,935 | 18,334 |
| Earn-out consideration | 5,548 | 3,492 |
| Other liabilities | 6,682 | 4,457 |
| | \$ 390,041 | \$ 421,992 |

14. Long-term debt

In May 2011, the Company obtained credit facilities aggregating \$380,000 from a consortium of financial institutions to finance in part the acquisition of Headstrong and for general corporate purposes of the Company and its subsidiaries, including working capital requirements. The credit agreement provided for a \$120,000 term loan and a \$260,000 revolving credit facility. On August 30, 2012, the Company fully prepaid and terminated the \$380,000 credit facility.

In August 2012, the Company obtained credit facilities aggregating \$925,000 from a consortium of financial institutions to (i) finance the repayment of the balance outstanding under the previous existing credit facility of \$380,000, (ii) fund a portion of its special cash dividend (discussed in Note 19), and (iii) for general corporate purposes of the Company and its subsidiaries, including working capital requirements. The credit agreement provides for a term loan of \$675,000 and a revolving credit facility of \$250,000.

In June 2013, the Company amended this credit facility. Under the amended facility, the applicable margin on the term loan and the revolving credit facility has been reduced from 3.25% p.a. to 2.75% p.a. and 2.50% p.a., respectively. In addition, the LIBOR floor on the term loan was reduced from 1% under the earlier facility to 0.75% under the amended facility. As of the amendment date, the gross outstanding term loan amounted to \$671,625. The amendment did not result in a substantial modification of \$553,589 of the outstanding term loan under the previous credit facility. Further, as a result of the amendment, the Company extinguished the outstanding term loan under the previous credit facility amounting to \$118,036 and obtained additional funding amounting to \$121,410, increasing the total term loan outstanding to \$675,000. As a result, the Company expensed \$3,103 representing partial acceleration of the amortization of the existing unamortized debt issuance costs and an additional fee paid to lenders in respect of the extinguished amount. The overall borrowing capacity under the revolving facility did not change. The amendment of the revolving facility resulted in accelerated amortization of \$54 relating to the existing unamortized debt issuance cost. The remaining unamortized costs and an additional third party fee paid in connection with the amendment of the term loan and revolving facility will be amortized over the term, of the term loan and revolving facility, which end on August 30, 2019 and August 30, 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****14. Long-term debt (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the outstanding term loan, net of a debt amortization expense of \$11,452 and \$13,761, was \$661,861 and \$657,864, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the term loan bears interest at LIBOR (LIBOR floor of 1%) plus an applicable margin of 3.25% p.a. As of December 31, 2013, the term loan bears interest at LIBOR (LIBOR floor of 0.75%) plus an applicable margin of 2.75% p.a. Indebtedness under the loan agreement is secured by certain assets. The amount outstanding on the term loan as of December 31, 2013 will be repaid through quarterly payments of 0.25% of the principal amount of \$675,000, and the balance will be repaid upon the maturity of the term loan on August 30, 2019.

The maturity profile of the term loan, net of debt amortization expense, is as follows:

| Year ended | Amount |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 4,263 |
| 2015 | 4,288 |
| 2016 | 4,306 |
| 2017 | 4,338 |
| 2018 | 4,363 |
| 2019 | 636,306 |
| | \$ 657,864 |

15. Short-term borrowings

The Company has the following borrowing facilities:

- Fund-based and non-fund-based credit facilities with banks, which are available for operational requirements in the form of overdrafts, letters of credit, guarantees and short-term loans. As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the limits available were \$18,489 and \$13,906, respectively, out of which \$5,942 and \$6,689 was utilized, representing non-funded drawdown.
- A fund-based and non-fund-based revolving credit facility of \$260,000 acquired in May 2011 was fully prepaid and terminated on August 30, 2012 as described in note 14 above.
- A fund-based and non-fund based revolving credit facility of \$250,000 initially acquired in August 2012 was amended in June 2013 as described in note 14 above. A part of this amount was initially used for funding the special cash dividend paid in September 2012 and for the acquisition of Jawood in February 2013. As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, a total of \$87,439 and \$4,397, respectively, was utilized representing a funded drawdown of \$80,000 and \$0, respectively, and a non-funded drawdown of \$7,439 and \$4,397, respectively. This facility expires in August 2017 and the funded drawdown bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 2.50% as of December 31, 2013 compared to a margin of 3.25% as of December 31, 2012. The unutilized amount on the facility bears a

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

commitment fee of 0.50%. Indebtedness under these facilities is secured by certain assets and the credit agreement contains certain covenants, including a maximum leverage covenant that becomes effective only if the revolving facility is drawn for \$50,000 or more. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company is in compliance with all of the financial covenants.

F-41

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****16. Other liabilities**

Other liabilities consist of the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Accrued employee cost | \$ 3,492 | \$ 5,103 |
| Deferred transition revenue | 64,020 | 47,405 |
| Retirement benefits | 27,074 | 24,330 |
| Derivative instruments | 112,430 | 130,248 |
| Amount received from GE under indemnification arrangement, pending adjustment | 8,871 | 7,839 |
| Advance from customers | 10,000 | 8,000 |
| Earn-out consideration | 16,045 | 9,857 |
| Others | 8,916 | 10,102 |
| | \$ 250,848 | \$ 242,884 |

17. Employee benefit plans

The Company has employee benefit plans in the form of certain statutory and other schemes covering its employees.

Defined benefit plans

In accordance with Indian law, the Company provides a defined benefit retirement plan (the "Gratuity Plan") covering substantially all of its Indian employees. The Gratuity Plan provides a lump-sum payment to vested employees upon retirement or termination of employment in an amount based on each employee's salary and duration of employment with the Company. The Gratuity Plan benefit cost for the year is calculated on an actuarial basis. The Company contributes the required funding for all ascertained liabilities to the Genpact India Employees' Gratuity Fund. Trustees administer contributions made to the trust, and contributions are invested in specific designated instruments as permitted by Indian law. The Company's overall investment strategy is to invest predominantly in fixed income funds managed by asset management companies. These funds further invest in debt securities such as money market instruments, government securities and public and private bonds. During the years ending December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, all of the plan assets were primarily invested in debt securities.

In addition, in accordance with Mexican law, the Company provides certain termination benefits to its Mexican employees for reasons other than restructuring to which employees are entitled (the "Mexican Plan") based on the age, duration of service and salary of each eligible employee. The Mexican Plan benefit cost for the year is calculated on an actuarial basis.

In addition, some of the Company's subsidiaries in the Philippines and Japan have sponsored defined benefit retirement programs (respectively, the "Philippines Plan" and the "Japan Plan"). The benefit costs of the Japan Plan and the Philippines Plan for the year are calculated on an actuarial basis. The Philippines Plan and the Japan Plan are funded and the Company contributions in respect of these benefits are made to insurer managed funds or to a trust. The contributions to the trust are further invested in government bonds.

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

17. Employee benefit plans (Continued)

Current service costs for defined benefit plans are accrued in the year to which they relate on a monthly basis. Actuarial gains or losses or prior service costs, if any, resulting from amendments to the plans are recognized and amortized over the remaining period of service of the employees, or average remaining life expectancies for inactive employees if most of the plan obligations are payable to inactive employees.

The following table sets forth the funded status of the defined benefit plans and the amounts recognized in the Company's financial statements based on an actuarial valuation carried out as of December 31, 2012 and 2013.

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| <i>Change in benefit obligation</i> | | |
| Projected benefit obligation at the beginning of the year | \$ 22,044 | \$ 29,821 |
| Service cost | 4,047 | 4,511 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 4,699 | (1,310) |
| Interest cost | 1,807 | 2,104 |
| Liabilities assumed on acquisition | 24 | |
| Benefits paid | (2,818) | (2,996) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | 18 | (3,534) |
| Projected benefit obligation at the end of the year | \$ 29,821 | \$ 28,596 |
| <i>Change in fair value of plan assets</i> | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at the beginning of the year | \$ 16,274 | \$ 14,957 |
| Employer contributions | 901 | 12,325 |
| Actual gain on plan assets | 1,255 | 1,037 |
| Actuarial gain/(loss) | 14 | (6) |
| Benefits paid | (2,818) | (2,996) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | (669) | (2,519) |
| Fair value of plan assets at the end of the year | \$ 14,957 | \$ 22,798 |

Amounts included in other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 were as follows:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ (7,664) | \$ (5,659) |
| Deferred tax assets | 1,903 | 1,765 |
| Other comprehensive income, net | \$ (5,761) | \$ (3,894) |

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

17. Employee benefit plans (Continued)

Changes in other comprehensive income (loss) during the year ended December 31, 2013 were as follows:

| | 2013 |
|--|-------------|
| Net Actuarial loss | \$ 1,353 |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss | 640 |
| Deferred income taxes | (138) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | 12 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net | \$ 1,867 |

Net defined benefit plan costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 include the following components:

| | As of December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Service costs | \$ 3,620 | \$ 4,047 | \$ 4,511 |
| Interest costs | 1,542 | 1,807 | 2,104 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 540 | 854 | 421 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (715) | (961) | (968) |
| Net Gratuity Plan costs | \$ 4,987 | \$ 5,747 | \$ 6,068 |

The amount in other comprehensive income (loss) that is expected to be recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost over the next fiscal year is \$286.

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations of the Gratuity Plan as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 8.85%-9.70% | 9.55% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 5.20%-11.00% | 5.20%-11.00% |
| | for the first 3 years and 6% thereafter | |

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Gratuity Plan costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 9.30%-9.70% | 9.30%-9.70% | 8.85% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 8.00%-8.10% | 5.20%-11.00% | 5.20%-11.00% |
| | for the first 3 years | | |
| | and 6% thereafter | | |
| Expected long term rate of return on plan assets per annum | 7.30%-8.00% | 7.30%-8.50% | 8.50% |

F-44

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****17. Employee benefit plans (Continued)**

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations of the Mexican Plan as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 6.50% | 6.50% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 5.50% | 5.50% |

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Mexican Plan costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 7.50% | 6.50% | 6.50% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% |
| Expected long term rate of return on plan assets per annum | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation of the Japan Plan as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 0.90% | 0.50% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 0.00% | 0.00% |

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Japan Plan costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 0.90% | 0.90% | 0.90% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Expected long term rate of return on plan assets per annum | 0.00% | 2.69% | 2.69% |

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation of the Philippines Plan as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 4.39%-5.62% | 4.95%-5.32% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 4.00%-8.00% | 4.00%-7.00% |

F-45

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****17. Employee benefit plans (Continued)**

The weighted average assumptions used to determine the Philippines Plan costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 are presented below:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Discount rate | 6.29% | 4.39%-5.62% | 4.95%-5.62% |
| Rate of increase in compensation per annum | 4.00% | 4.00%-8.00% | 4.00%-7.00% |
| Expected long term rate of return on plan assets per annum | 5.00% | 5.00% | 5.00% |

The foregoing expected returns on plan assets are based on Company's expectation of the average long-term rate of return expected to prevail over the next 15 to 20 years on the types of investments prescribed by the statutory pattern of investment.

The Company assesses these assumptions with its projected long-term plans of growth and prevalent industry standards. Unrecognized actuarial loss is amortized over the average remaining service period of the active employees expected to receive benefits under the plan.

The fair values of Company's plan assets as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 by asset category are as follows:

| Asset Category | Total | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|
| | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash | \$ 10,674 | \$ 10,674 | \$ | \$ |
| Fixed Income Securities (Note a) | 9,490 | 1,092 | 8,398 | |
| Other Securities (Note b) | 2,634 | 1,326 | 1,308 | |
| Total | \$ 22,798 | \$ 13,092 | \$ 9,706 | \$ |

| Asset Category | Total | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
|----------------|--------|--|---|---|
| | | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash | \$ 165 | \$ 165 | \$ | \$ |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----|
| Fixed Income Securities (Note a) | 11,880 | 1,315 | 10,565 | |
| Other Securities (Note b) | 2,912 | 1,481 | 1,431 | |
| Total | \$ 14,957 | \$ 2,961 | \$ 11,996 | \$ |

F-46

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****17. Employee benefit plans (Continued)**

- (a) Include investments in funds that invest 100% of their assets in fixed income securities such as money market instruments, government securities and public and private bonds.
- (b) Include investments in funds that invest 50% to 85% of their assets in fixed income securities and the remaining portion in equity securities.

The expected benefit payments set forth below reflect expected future service:

| Year ending December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|------|------------------|
| 2014 | | \$ 4,696 |
| 2015 | | 4,981 |
| 2016 | | 5,508 |
| 2017 | | 5,996 |
| 2018 | | 6,441 |
| 2019 | 2023 | 28,952 |
| | | \$ 56,574 |

The expected benefit payments are based on the same assumptions that were used to measure the Company's benefit obligations as of December 31, 2013.

Defined contribution plans

During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company contributed the following amounts to defined contribution plans in various jurisdictions:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| India | \$ 13,014 | \$ 14,102 | \$ 14,443 |
| U.S. | 2,295 | 3,012 | 3,268 |
| U.K. | 1,047 | 1,444 | 1,789 |
| Hungary | 34 | 58 | 22 |
| China | 8,317 | 10,888 | 14,681 |
| Mexico | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Morocco | 150 | 156 | 90 |
| South Africa | 321 | 327 | 221 |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Hong Kong | 21 | 34 | 19 |
| Netherlands | | 1,523 | 2,135 |
| Philippines | 10 | 15 | 14 |
| Singapore | 8 | 9 | 15 |
| Japan | 1,163 | 1,589 | 2,098 |
| Total | 26,407 | 33,184 | 38,822 |

F-47

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

18. Stock-based compensation

The Company has issued options under the Genpact Global Holdings 2005 Plan (the 2005 Plan), Genpact Global Holdings 2006 Plan (the 2006 Plan), Genpact Global Holdings 2007 Plan (the 2007 Plan) and Genpact Limited 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2007 Omnibus Plan) to eligible persons who are employees, directors and certain other persons associated with the Company.

With respect to options granted under the 2005, 2006 and 2007 Plans before the date of adoption of the 2007 Omnibus Plan, if an award granted under any of the Plans is forfeited or otherwise expires, terminates, or is cancelled without the delivery of shares, then the shares covered by the forfeited, expired, terminated, or cancelled award will be added to the number of shares otherwise available for grant under the respective Plans.

From the date of adoption of the 2007 Omnibus Plan on July 13, 2007, the options forfeited, expired, terminated, or cancelled under any of the plans will be added to the number of shares otherwise available for grant under the 2007 Omnibus Plan. The 2007 Omnibus Plan was amended and restated on April 11, 2012 to increase the number of common shares authorized for issuance by 5,593,200 shares to 15,000,000 shares.

On August 30, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors declared a special cash dividend of \$2.24 per share. The special cash dividend resulted in an adjustment to stock-based awards under both the 2007 Omnibus Plan and the 2005 Plan. Accordingly, effective September 24, 2012, the payment date of the special cash dividend, the number of common shares authorized for issuance under the 2007 Omnibus Plan was increased by 2,544,327 shares. The number of common shares authorized for issuance under the 2005 Plan was increased by 495,915 shares.

Further, as of December 31, 2012, the number of common shares authorized for issuance under the 2007 Omnibus Plan had been increased by 6,314,496 shares as a result of the termination, expiration or forfeiture of options granted under the Company's stock incentive plans other than the 2007 Omnibus Plan. In accordance with the anti-dilutive provisions of the 2005 Plan, 2006 Plan, 2007 Plan and 2007 Omnibus Plan, the Company adjusted both the exercise price and the number of stock based awards outstanding as of the record date of the special cash dividend. The aggregate fair value, intrinsic value and the ratio of the exercise price to the market price were approximately equal immediately before and after the adjustments. Therefore, in accordance with the equity restructuring guidance under ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, no incremental compensation expense was recognized for the adjustment to the outstanding stock-based awards as a result of the special cash dividend.

A brief summary of each plan is provided below:

2005 Plan

Under the 2005 Plan, which was adopted on July 26, 2005, the Company is authorized to issue up to 12,706,665 options (including an increase of 495,915 options representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) to eligible persons and has granted 12,986,802 options (including 583,357 options representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) up to the year ended December 31, 2013.

2006 Plan

Under the 2006 Plan, which was adopted on February 27, 2006, the Company is authorized to issue up to 4,942,369 options to eligible persons and has granted 5,328,697 options (including 68,005 options representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) up to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)***2007 Plan*

Under the 2007 Plan, which was adopted on March 27, 2007, the Company is authorized to issue up to 16,733,250 options to eligible persons and has granted 9,133,255 options (including 486,205 options representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) up to the year ended December 31, 2013.

2007 Omnibus Plan

The Company adopted the 2007 Omnibus Plan on July 13, 2007 and amended and restated it on April 11, 2012. The 2007 Omnibus Plan provides for the grant of options intended to qualify as incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, share appreciation rights, restricted share awards, restricted share units, performance units, cash incentive awards and other equity-based or equity-related awards. Under the 2007 Omnibus Plan the Company is authorized to grant awards for the issuance of up to a total of 23,995,184 common shares to eligible persons, of which 12,471,104 options (including 489,071 options representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend), 3,453,157 Restricted Share Units (including 272,335 Restricted Share Units representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) and 6,499,627 Performance Units (including 482,341 Performance Units representing an adjustment of outstanding stock based awards as a result of the special cash dividend) were granted as of December 31, 2013.

The stock-based compensation costs relating to the foregoing plans during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, were \$27,677, \$31,999 and \$30,901, respectively, and have been allocated to cost of revenue and selling, general, and administrative expenses.

The tax benefits recognized in relation to the stock-based compensation charge during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 were \$7,800, \$8,032 and \$6,913, respectively. In addition, the Company realized a cash tax benefit of \$1,177, \$2,277 and \$3,368, during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. No excess tax benefit was realized on the options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 and no amount was recorded through additional paid-in capital due to losses in U.S. subsidiaries.

The options granted are subject to the requirement of vesting. Options granted under the plan are exercisable into common shares of the Company, have a contractual period of ten years and vest over four to five years, unless specified otherwise in the applicable award agreement. The Company recognizes compensation cost over the vesting period of the option. Compensation cost is determined at the date of grant by estimating the fair value of an option using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The following table shows the significant assumptions used in connection with the determination of the fair value of options in 2011 and 2013. No options were granted in 2012 other than pertaining to the adjustment to outstanding stock options as a result of the special cash dividend paid in September 2012:

| | 2011 | 2013 |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| Dividend yield | | |
| Expected life (in months) | 75 | 84 |
| Risk free rate of interest | 2.26% | 1.55% |
| Volatility | 37.32% | 39.39% |

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

Volatility was calculated based on the historical volatility of the Company during a period equivalent to the estimated term of the option. The Company estimates the expected term of an option using the simplified method, which is based on the average of the vesting term and contractual term of the option. The risk-free interest rate that the Company uses in the option valuation model is based on U.S. Treasury bonds with a term similar to the expected term of the options. Expected regular dividends during the estimated term of the option are based on recent dividend activity. The Company has not paid any regular cash dividends in the recent period and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future.

The Company has issued, and intends to continue to issue, new shares to satisfy stock option exercises under its incentive plans.

Stock options

A summary of stock option activity during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 is set out below:

| | | Year ended December 31, 2011 | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Shares arising out of options | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Aggregate intrinsic value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2011 | 15,989,356 | \$ 10.84 | 6.4 | \$ |
| Granted | 250,000 | 15.34 | | |
| Forfeited | (1,183,761) | 14.32 | | |
| Expired | (178,334) | 15.54 | | |
| Exercised | (1,142,441) | 10.64 | | 5,227 |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 13,734,820 | \$ 10.58 | 5.4 | \$ 66,728 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2011 and expected to vest thereafter (Note a) | 13,189,947 | \$ 10.47 | 5.4 | \$ 65,419 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2011 | 9,444,045 | \$ 9.26 | 4.7 | \$ 57,704 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of grants during the year | \$ 6.21 | | | |

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | Shares arising out of options | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Aggregate intrinsic value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2012 | 13,734,820 | \$ 10.58 | 5.4 | \$ |
| Granted | | | | |
| Forfeited | (327,590) | 11.28 | | |
| Expired | (81,053) | 15.46 | | |
| Exercised | (2,539,517) | 9.83 | | 14,748 |
| Adjustment for Special Cash Dividend | 1,626,638 | | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012 | 12,413,298 | \$ 9.29 | 4.2 | \$ 77,017 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2012 and expected to vest thereafter (Note a) | 12,271,334 | \$ 9.28 | 4.2 | \$ 76,339 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2012 | 10,752,875 | \$ 8.97 | 3.8 | \$ 70,217 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of grants during the period | \$ | | | |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
| | Shares arising out of options | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Aggregate intrinsic value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2013 | 12,413,298 | \$ 9.29 | 4.2 | \$ |
| Granted | 3,483,000 | 19.35 | | |
| Forfeited | (69,863) | 10.65 | | |
| Expired | (88,295) | 13.26 | | |
| Exercised | (4,635,977) | 9.31 | | 41,849 |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 11,102,163 | \$ 12.40 | 5.2 | \$ 70,512 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2013 and expected to vest thereafter (Note a) | 10,759,137 | \$ 12.11 | 5.2 | \$ 70,465 |
| Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2013 | 7,091,889 | \$ 8.82 | 3.0 | \$ 67,719 |
| Weighted average grant date fair value of grants during the period | \$ 19.35 | | | |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

(a) Options expected to vest reflect an estimated forfeiture rate.

As of December 31, 2013, the total remaining unrecognized stock-based compensation cost for options expected to vest amounted to \$23,363, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining requisite vesting period of 3.9 years.

Share Issuances Subject to Restrictions

In connection with the acquisition of Axis Risk Consulting Services Private Limited in 2007, 143,453 common shares were issued to the sellers. Of the common shares that were issued, 94,610 common shares were

F-51

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

issued to sellers who became employees of the Company and are subject to restrictions on transfer linked to continued employment with the Company for a specified period. The Company has accounted for such shares as compensation for services.

A summary of the shares granted that are subject to restrictions and accounted for as compensation for services, or restricted shares, during the year ended December 31, 2011 is set out below:

| | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Number of Restricted Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2011 | 23,653 | \$ 14.04 |
| Granted | | |
| Vested | (23,653) | 14.04 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | | \$ |

Restricted Share Units

The Company has granted restricted share units, or RSUs, under the 2007 Omnibus Plan. Each RSU represents the right to receive one common share. The fair value of each RSU is the market price of one common share of the Company on the date of the grant. The RSUs granted to date have graded vesting schedules of six months to four years. The compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting term.

A summary of RSUs granted during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 is set out below:

| | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Number of Restricted Share Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2011 | 1,016,000 | \$ 13.61 |
| Granted | 1,842,148 | 15.69 |
| Vested* | (341,375) | 13.48 |
| Forfeited | (254,620) | 14.11 |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 2,262,153 | \$ 15.27 |
| Expected to vest (Note a) | 1,783,411 | |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | Year ended December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Number of Restricted Share Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2012 | 2,262,153 | \$ 15.27 |
| Granted | 185,551 | 15.95 |
| Vested** | (779,986) | 13.68 |
| Forfeited | (251,651) | 14.39 |
| Adjustment for Special Cash Dividend | 272,335 | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012 | 1,688,402 | \$ 13.74 |
| Expected to vest (Note a) | 1,357,447 | |

F-52

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

| | Year ended December 31, 2013 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Number of Restricted Share Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2013 | 1,688,402 | \$ 13.74 |
| Granted | 91,623 | 19.52 |
| Vested*** | (683,522) | 14.28 |
| Forfeited | (224,731) | 13.60 |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 871,772 | \$ 13.96 |
| Expected to vest (Note a) | 802,481 | |

(a) RSUs expected to vest reflect an estimated forfeiture rate.

* During the year ended December 31, 2011, 102,000 RSUs vested, the shares in respect of which were issued in January 2013 (100,800 shares, net of the minimum statutory withholding taxes).

** Out of this, 717,448 RSUs were net settled upon vesting by issuing 506,473 shares (net of minimum tax withholding). Shares in respect of an additional 13,719 RSUs, reflecting an adjustment to 102,000 vested RSUs on account of the special cash dividend, were issued in January 2013 (13,557 shares, net of minimum statutory withholding taxes).

Additionally, as of December 31, 2012, 4,533 RSUs vested (including 533 RSUs reflecting an adjustment to 4,000 vested RSUs on account of the special cash dividend), the shares in respect of which were issued in April 2013 (2,059 shares, net of minimum statutory withholding taxes). 44,286 RSUs vested in the year ended December 31, 2012, the shares in respect of which were issuable on December 31, 2013 and issued in January 2014 after withholding shares to the extent of the minimum statutory withholding taxes.

*** Out of this, 622,465 RSUs were net settled upon vesting by issuing 424,201 shares (net of minimum tax withholding). 61,057 RSUs vested in the year ended December 31, 2013, the shares in respect of which are issuable on December 31, 2014 after withholding shares to the extent of the minimum statutory withholding taxes.

As of December 31, 2013, the total remaining unrecognized stock-based compensation cost related to RSUs amounted to \$9,821, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining requisite vesting period of 1.8 years.

Performance Units

The Company also grants stock awards in the form of Performance Units, or PUs, under the 2007 Omnibus Plan.

The Company granted PUs, each of which represents the right to receive a common share based on the Company's performance against specified targets. PUs granted to date have vesting schedules of six months to three years. The fair value of each PU is the market price of one common share of the Company on the date of grant, and assumes that performance targets will be achieved. The PUs granted under the plan are subject to cliff or graded vesting. For awards with cliff vesting, the compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting terms; and for awards with graded vesting, compensation expense is recognized over the vesting term of each separately vesting portion. Over the performance period, the number of shares that will be issued will be adjusted upward or downward based upon the probability of achievement of the performance targets. The ultimate number of shares issued and the related compensation cost recognized as expense will be based on a comparison of the final performance metrics to the specified targets.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)

A summary of PU activity during the year ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 is set out below:

| | Number of Performance Units | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Maximum Shares Eligible to Receive |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2011 | 895,333 | \$ 15.38 | 1,343,000 |
| Granted | 1,682,196 | 15.05 | 2,346,995 |
| Vested* | (166,666) | 14.19 | (249,999) |
| Forfeited | (139,139) | 16.21 | (192,674) |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2011 | 2,271,724 | \$ 15.17 | 3,247,322 |
| Performance units expected to vest (Note a) | 1,989,245 | | |
| | Number of Performance Units | Year ended December 31, 2012 | |
| | | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Maximum Shares Eligible to Receive |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2012 | 2,271,724 | \$ 15.17 | 3,247,322 |
| Granted | 1,200,000 | 15.25 | 1,800,000 |
| Vested** | (772,745) | 13.28 | (1,149,390) |
| Forfeited | (139,809) | 15.56 | (190,053) |
| Adjustment for Special Cash Dividend | 482,341 | | 694,718 |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2012 | 3,041,511 | \$ 13.26 | 4,402,597 |
| Performance units expected to vest (Note a) | 2,413,073 | | |
| | Number of Performance Units | Year ended December 31, 2013 | |
| | | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Maximum Shares Eligible to Receive |
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2013 | 3,041,511 | \$ 13.26 | 4,402,597 |
| Granted | 2,025,090 | 18.57 | 3,694,635 |
| Vested*** | (1,024,434) | 12.03 | (1,024,434) |
| Forfeited | (426,345) | 15.19 | (550,078) |
| Addition due to achievement of higher than target performance goals**** | 297,911 | 17.50 | |
| Reduction due to achievement of lower than maximum performance goals***** | | | (373,702) |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|----|-------|-----------|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 3,913,733 | \$ | 16.44 | 6,149,018 |
| Performance units expected to vest (Note a) | 1,372,781 | | | |

- (a) PUs expected to vest are based on the probable achievement of the performance targets after considering an estimated forfeiture rate.
- * Vested PUs in the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2012 reflects 100% vesting. Actual vesting of PUs for the year ended December 31, 2011 took place at 128.9% of the target shares (214,880 shares) after the compensation committee's certification of the achievement of the performance goals. Shares in respect of these PUs were issued in January 2013 (127,610 shares, net of minimum statutory withholding taxes).
- ** 28,901 vested PUs for the year ended December 31, 2012 represents the adjustment on account of the

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

** special cash dividend for the 214,880 vested PUs as of December 31, 2011. Shares in respect of these PUs were issued in January 2013. The PUs granted in March 2010 (including the PUs issued as an adjustment on account of the special cash dividend) vested at 90.9% of the target shares (503,969 shares) after the compensation committee's certification of the achievement of the performance goals for the performance period based on the Company's audited consolidated financial statements. Shares in respect of these PUs were issued in March 2013 (334,922 shares) and April 2013 (4,679 shares), net of minimum statutory withholding taxes.

** The PUs granted in August 2010 vested for the year ended December 31, 2012 at 122.2% of the target shares (231,029 shares) upon the compensation committee's certification of achievement of the performance goals for the performance period based on the Company's audited consolidated financial statements. The shares in respect of these PUs were issuable on December 31, 2013 and issued in January 2014 after withholding shares to the extent of the minimum statutory withholding taxes.

*** Vested PUs in the year ended December 31, 2013 reflects PUs at 100% vesting for PUs granted in March and June 2011. These grants are estimated to vest at 113.5% (1,033,539 shares) and 115.4% (130,891 shares) of the target shares, respectively. The shares in respect of these PUs will be issued as soon as practicable (after withholding shares to the extent of the minimum statutory withholding taxes) following the determination by the compensation committee of the achievement of the performance goals for the performance period.

**** Represents an additional award of 22.2% of the target shares on account of the achievement of higher than target performance for the PUs granted in March 2012.

***** Represents a 27.8% reduction in the maximum shares eligible to vest as a result of the certification of the level of achievement of the performance goals for the PUs granted in March 2012.

Outstanding PUs as of December 31, 2013 includes 483,999, 1,250,807 and 657,000 awards granted in May 2011, March 2013 and May 2013, respectively, whose performance conditions are not expected to be fulfilled. The non-fulfillment of the performance conditions of these awards will be certified by the compensation committee following the determination of the performance goals achieved for the respective performance periods.

As of December 31, 2013, the total remaining unrecognized stock-based compensation costs related to PUs amounted to \$6,627, which will be recognized over the weighted average remaining requisite vesting period of 1 year.

In the first quarter of 2011, the compensation committee of the board of directors of the Company modified the performance metrics for the performance grants made to employees in 2010 from revenue and EBITDA growth to revenue and adjusted operating income growth.

| Performance Level | Original Performance Target | | Modified Performance Target | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Revenue Growth | EBITDA Growth | Revenue Growth | Adjusted Income from Operation growth |
| Outstanding | 20.0% | 20.0% | 20.0% | 20.0% |
| Target | 15.0% | 15.0% | 15.0% | 15.0% |
| Threshold | 10.0% | 10.0% | 10.0% | 10.0% |

For the August 2010 performance grant to the Company's former CEO, who assumed the role of Non-Executive Vice-Chairman as of June 17, 2011, in addition to the modification made to the performance metrics from revenue and EBITDA growth to revenue and adjusted operating income growth, because the award vested based on annual performance targets whereas the awards to other employees vested based on average

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)**

performance over three years, the performance targets were revised to make them consistent with the performance unit grants to other employees in the first quarter of 2011.

| Performance Level | Original Performance Target | | Modified Performance Target | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Revenue Growth | EBITDA Growth | Revenue Growth | Adjusted Income from Operation growth |
| Outstanding | 20.0% | 20.0% | 17.0% | 16.0% |
| Target | 15.0% | 15.0% | 12.5% | 12.5% |
| Threshold | 10.0% | 10.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% |

As of the date of the above modifications, an incremental compensation cost of \$4,109 was determined and was to be recognized over a period of 21.5 months, from March 15, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

Under the 2007 Omnibus Plan, the definition of change of control includes the acquisition by any person, corporation or other entity or group other than GA, OH, GE or any of their affiliates of 25% or more of the voting securities of the Company. The purchase by Bain Capital of Company shares from GA and OH would have constituted a change of control under the 2007 Omnibus Plan resulting in (1) accelerated vesting of the PUs granted in August 2010 to the former CEO (who since assumed the role of Non-Executive Vice-Chairman) and the PUs granted to the Company's Chief Executive Officer in June 2011 and March 2012, (2) double-trigger vesting of the outstanding PUs granted in March 2010 and March 2011 based on an abbreviated performance period ending with the close of the Company's fiscal quarter coincident with or immediately preceding the effective date of the Change of Control in the event of a termination without cause in the twenty-four months following a change of control and (3) double-trigger vesting of the outstanding PUs granted in March 2012 based on target performance in the event of a termination without cause in the twenty-four months following a change of control. However, the Board of Directors determined that the Bain transaction was not the type of transaction intended to constitute a change of control and amended the 2007 Omnibus Plan to provide that the contemplated transaction among Bain Capital, GA and OH would not constitute a change of control thereunder. In addition, the CEO and Non-Executive Vice-Chairman waived any accelerated vesting of their PUs and the impacted employees consented to the amendment of the change of control definition. As a result of the foregoing, all PUs will continue to vest in accordance with their original terms.

The amendment to the 2007 Omnibus Plan was a modification to the PUs effective as of October 25, 2012, as a result of which 123 employees were affected and an incremental compensation cost of \$5,500 was determined and will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.85 years. The incremental compensation cost due to modification was a result of considering the original performance period for determination of expected vesting as against the abbreviated performance period for 2010 and 2011 grants and vesting at target for 2012 performance grants.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP)

On May 1, 2008, the Company adopted the Genpact Limited U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Genpact Limited International Employee Stock Purchase Plan (together, the ESPP).

The ESPP allows eligible employees to purchase the Company's common shares through payroll deduction at 90% of the fair value per share on the last business day of each purchase interval. The dollar amount of common shares purchased under the ESPP shall not exceed the greater of 15% of the participating employee's

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

18. Stock-based compensation (Continued)

base salary or \$25 per calendar year. With effect from September 1, 2009, the offering periods commence on the first business day in March, June, September and December of each year and end on the last business day in the subsequent May, August, November and February of each year. 4,200,000 common shares have been reserved for issuance in the aggregate over the term of the ESPP.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, 49,192, 86,214 and 109,698 common shares, respectively, were issued under ESPP.

The ESPP is considered compensatory under the FASB guidance on Compensation-Stock Compensation.

The compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan is recognized in accordance with the FASB guidance on Compensation-Stock Compensation. The compensation expense for ESPP during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 was \$90, \$153 and \$228, respectively, and has been allocated to cost of revenue and selling, general, and administrative expenses.

19. Capital stock

The Company's authorized capital stock as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 consisted of 500 million common shares with a par value of \$0.01 per share, and 250 million preferred shares with a par value of \$0.01 per share. Of the above, the Company had 225,480,172 and 231,262,576 common shares, and no preferred shares, issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

The holders of common shares are entitled to one vote per share. Upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, common shareholders are entitled to receive a ratable share of the available net assets of the Company after payment of all debts and other liabilities. The common shares have no preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights.

The Company's board of directors by resolution can establish one or more series of preferred shares having such par value, designations, dividend rates, relative voting rights, conversion or exchange rights, redemption rights, liquidation rights and other relative participation, optional or other rights, qualifications, limitations or restrictions as may be fixed by the board of directors without any shareholder approval. Such rights, preferences, powers and limitations as may be established could also have the effect of discouraging an attempt to obtain control of the Company. These preferred shares are of the type commonly known as "blank-check" preferred shares.

Under Bermuda law, the Company may declare and pay dividends from time to time unless there are reasonable grounds for believing that the Company is or would, after the payment, be unable to pay its liabilities as they become due or that the realizable value of its assets would thereby be less than the aggregate of its liabilities, its issued share capital, and its share premium accounts. Under the Company's bye-laws, each common share is entitled to dividends if, as and when dividends are declared by the Company's board of directors. There are no restrictions in Bermuda on the Company's ability to transfer funds (other than funds denominated in Bermuda dollars) in or out of Bermuda or to pay dividends to U.S. residents who are holders of common shares. The Company's ability to declare and pay cash dividends is restricted by its debt covenants.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****19. Capital stock (Continued)****Dividend**

On August 30, 2012, the Company declared a special cash dividend of \$2.24 per share, or approximately \$501,620. The special cash dividend was paid on September 24, 2012 to stockholders of record as of the close of business on September 10, 2012. Further, in accordance with the terms of the Company's stock-based compensation plans, in order to preserve the value of the stock-based awards outstanding as of the record date, the Company reduced the exercise price per share of each outstanding stock option award and increased the number of shares in relation to the outstanding stock-based awards as of the record date of the special cash dividend.

20. Earnings per share

The Company calculates earnings per share in accordance with FASB guidance on Earnings per Share. Basic and diluted earnings per common share give effect to the change in the number of common shares of the Company. The calculation of basic earnings per common share was determined by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the respective periods. The potentially dilutive shares, consisting of outstanding options on common shares, restricted share units, common shares to be issued under the employee stock purchase plan and performance units, have been included in the computation of diluted net earnings per share and the weighted average shares outstanding, except where the result would be anti-dilutive.

The number of stock options outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect was anti-dilutive is 6,995,632, 3,525,625 and 2,616,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Net income available to Genpact Limited common shareholders | \$ 184,294 | \$ 178,216 | \$ 229,717 |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in computing basic earnings per common share | 221,567,502 | 223,696,567 | 229,348,411 |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based awards | 4,786,901 | 5,835,949 | 6,405,856 |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in computing dilutive earnings per common share | 226,354,403 | 229,532,516 | 235,754,267 |
| Earnings per common share attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.83 | \$ 0.80 | \$ 1.00 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.97 |

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****21. Cost of revenue**

Cost of revenue consists of the following:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Personnel expenses | \$ 678,247 | \$ 795,525 | \$ 904,445 |
| Operational expenses | 274,824 | 313,432 | 367,213 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 51,828 | 48,809 | 47,913 |
| | \$ 1,004,899 | \$ 1,157,766 | \$ 1,319,571 |

22. Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of the following:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Personnel expenses | \$ 247,681 | \$ 314,587 | \$ 347,384 |
| Operational expenses | 101,702 | 133,173 | 128,982 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,576 | 8,851 | 8,444 |
| | \$ 357,959 | \$ 456,611 | \$ 484,810 |

23. Other operating (income) expense, net

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Other operating (income) expense | \$ (3,959) | \$ (3,185) | \$ (3,259) |
| Provision for impairment of capital work in progress / property, plant and equipment | 5,319 | 6,214 | 2,373 |
| Change in fair value of earn out consideration and deferred consideration (relating to business acquisitions) | | (3,013) | (4,670) |
| Other operating (income) expense, net | \$ 1,360 | \$ 16 | \$ (5,556) |

24. Other income (expense), net

Other income (expense), net consists of the following:

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Interest income | \$ 15,136 | \$ 12,007 | \$ 15,736 |
| Interest expense* | (9,213) | (28,121) | (38,876) |
| Provision (created) reversed for loss on divestitures | | (459) | (3,487) |
| Other income (expense)** | 4,793 | 2,074 | 2,319 |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ 10,716 | \$ (14,499) | \$ (24,308) |

F-59

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****24. Other income (expense), net (Continued)**

* Years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 include \$5,534 and \$3,157, respectively, representing acceleration of the amortization of debt issuance costs relating to the prepayment and termination of the previous credit facility in August 2012 and the amendment of the new credit facility in June 2013, as described in Note 14.

** Year ended December 31, 2012 includes \$17,227, representing 2012 recapitalization expenses, net of reimbursement from GA and OH amounting to \$17,000, as described in Note 1.

25. Income taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 is allocated as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 70,656 | \$ 78,419 | \$ 71,100 |
| Other Comprehensive Income: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges | (61,878) | 12,055 | (13,542) |
| Retirement benefits | (796) | (643) | 138 |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 7,982 | \$ 89,831 | \$ 57,696 |

The components of income before income taxes from continuing operations are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Domestic (U.S.) | \$ (10,214) | \$ (11,631) | \$ 8,199 |
| Foreign (Non U.S.) | 271,946 | 274,640 | 297,952 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 261,732 | \$ 263,009 | \$ 306,151 |

Income tax expense (benefit) attributable to income from continuing operations consists of:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Current taxes : | | | |
| Domestic (U.S.) | \$ 1,277 | \$ 3,070 | \$ 3,595 |
| Foreign (Non-U.S.) | 77,360 | 85,377 | 68,621 |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | \$ 78,637 | \$ 88,447 | \$ 72,216 |
| Deferred taxes : | | | |
| Domestic (U.S.) | \$ (1,085) | \$ (7,273) | \$ 6,141 |
| Foreign (Non-U.S.) | (6,896) | (2,755) | (7,257) |
| | \$ (7,981) | (10,028) | (1,116) |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 70,656 | \$ 78,419 | \$ 71,100 |

F-60

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****25. Income taxes (Continued)**

Income tax expense (benefit) attributable to income from continuing operations differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% to income before income taxes, as a result of the following:

| | Year ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Income before income tax expense | 261,732 | 263,009 | 306,151 |
| Statutory tax rates | 35% | 35% | 35% |
| Computed expected income tax expense | 91,606 | 92,053 | 107,153 |
| Increase (decrease) in income taxes resulting from: | | | |
| Foreign tax rate differential | (5,902) | 1,331 | (6,704) |
| Tax benefit from tax holiday | (22,757) | (25,554) | (39,785) |
| Non-deductible expenses | 1,371 | 959 | 5,637 |
| Effect of change in tax rates | 617 | 635 | (2,268) |
| Change in valuation allowance | 1,248 | 12,548 | 1,088 |
| Prior year tax expense (benefit)* | 7 | (7,490) | |
| Other | 4,466 | 3,937 | 5,979 |
| Reported income tax expense (benefit) | 70,656 | 78,419 | 71,100 |

* During 2012, the Company filed an income tax return in a foreign jurisdiction that resulted in the recognition of a deferred tax asset for a capital loss arising from an earlier period that amounted to \$7,490. It was not more likely than not that the capital loss would be realized. Therefore, a full valuation allowance was established to offset the recorded deferred tax asset.

A portion of the profits of the Company's operations is exempt from income tax in India. The tax holiday under the STPI Scheme was available for a period of ten consecutive years beginning in the year in which the respective Indian undertaking commenced operations and expired completely as at March 31, 2011. One of the Company's Indian subsidiaries has fifteen units eligible for tax holiday as a Special Economic Zone unit in respect of 100% of the export profits for a period of 5 years from commencement, 50% of such profits for the next 5 years (year 6 to year 10 from commencement) and 50% of the profits for an additional period of 5 years (year 11 to year 15 from commencement), subject to the satisfaction of certain capital investment requirements. The complete tax holiday for the current SEZ units will start expiring from March 31, 2022 and will fully expire by March 31, 2028.

The basic earnings per share effect of the tax holiday is \$0.10, \$0.11 and \$0.17, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013. The diluted earnings per share effect of the tax holiday is \$0.10, \$0.11 and \$0.17, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

25. Income taxes (Continued)

The components of the deferred tax balances as of December 31, 2012 and 2013 are as follows:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 64,334 | 74,259 |
| Accrued liabilities and other expenses | 16,186 | 17,738 |
| Provision for doubtful debts | 3,606 | 6,199 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 4,519 | 5,110 |
| Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net | 59,133 | 74,030 |
| Unrealized losses on foreign currency balance, net | 1,464 | 496 |
| Share-based compensation | 22,246 | 19,673 |
| Retirement benefits | 4,558 | 2,718 |
| Deferred revenue | 43,897 | 38,051 |
| Other | 13,509 | 19,443 |
| | 233,452 | 257,717 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | (23,922) | (24,654) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 209,530 | 233,063 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Intangible assets | 36,219 | 29,102 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 4,391 | 4,128 |
| Deferred cost | 25,671 | 22,490 |
| Investments in foreign subsidiaries not permanently reinvested | 6,150 | 24,948 |
| Other | 3,833 | 7,530 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 76,264 | 88,198 |
| Net deferred tax Asset | 133,266 | 144,865 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | As of December 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| Classified as | | |
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Current | \$ 48,489 | \$ 60,638 |
| Non-current | \$ 91,383 | \$ 89,305 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Current | \$ 538 | \$ 614 |
| Non-current | \$ 6,068 | \$ 4,464 |

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| \$ 133,266 | \$ 144,865 |
|-------------------|-------------------|

F-62

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

25. Income taxes (Continued)

The change in the total valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013 is as follows:

| | Year ended December 31 | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Opening valuation allowance | \$ 4,605 | \$ 11,542 | \$ 23,922 |
| Reduction during the year | (1,319) | (364) | (2,643) |
| Addition during the year | 8,256 | 12,744 | 3,375 |
| Closing valuation allowance | \$ 11,542 | \$ 23,922 | \$ 24,654 |

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets depends on the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences are deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. In order to fully realize the deferred tax asset, the Company will need to generate future taxable income prior to the expiration of the deferred tax asset governed by the tax code. Based on the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods for which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences, net of the existing valuation allowances at December 31, 2013. The amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during the carry-forward period are reduced.

As of December 31, 2013, the deferred tax assets related to net operating loss carry-forwards amounted to \$74,259. Net operating losses of subsidiaries in Hungary, the UK, Hong Kong, Australia, Singapore, South Africa, Colombia, Brazil and Luxembourg amounting to \$139,032 can be carried forward for an indefinite period. The remaining tax loss carry-forwards expire as set forth in the below table:

| Year ending December 31, | US - Federal | Europe | Others |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|
| 2016 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,191 |
| 2017 | | | 4,318 |
| 2018 | | 1,346 | 1,158 |
| 2019 | | | 1,121 |
| 2020 | | | 200 |
| 2021 | | 444 | 5,852 |
| 2022 | | 1,595 | 2,643 |
| 2023 | | | 5,285 |
| 2027 | | 372 | |
| 2028 | | 1,429 | |
| 2029 | | 1,147 | |
| 2030 | | 330 | |
| 2031 | 112,719 | 875 | |

| | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | \$ 112,719 | \$ 7,538 | \$ 22,768 |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|

F-63

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****25. Income taxes (Continued)**

Of the total U.S. federal net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$112,719, \$24,425 relates to excess tax deductions resulting from share-based compensation as of December 31, 2013. No federal deferred tax benefit has been recognized for this deduction. If and when recognized, the tax benefit associated with this excess deduction will be credited to additional paid-in capital.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had additional deferred tax assets on U.S. state and local tax loss carry-forwards amounting to \$7,815 with varying expiration periods that begin to expire in 2016 through 2031.

As of December 31, 2013, the company has a total AMT credit of \$10,844, out of which \$8,214 will expire in 2024 and the balance of which can be carried forward for an indefinite period.

Undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign (non-Bermuda) subsidiaries amounted to approximately \$359,980 as of December 31, 2013. The Company plans to indefinitely reinvest these undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries, except for those earnings for which a deferred tax liability was accrued or which can be repatriated in a tax-free manner. Accordingly, with limited exceptions, the Company does not accrue any income, distribution or withholding taxes that would arise if such earnings were repatriated. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred income tax liability related to these earnings is not practicable.

As of December 31, 2013, \$420,703 of the \$571,276 of cash and cash equivalents was held by our foreign (non-Bermuda) subsidiaries. We intend to either permanently reinvest \$395,703 of the cash held by our foreign subsidiaries or expect to be able to repatriate it in a tax-free manner. We have accrued taxes on the remaining cash of \$25,000 held by our foreign subsidiaries. The amount of cash that can be repatriated in a tax-free manner is not ascertainable.

The following table summarizes the activities related to our unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions from January 1 to December 31 for 2011, 2012 and 2013:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Opening balance at January 1 | 20,016 | 23,712 | 21,024 |
| Increase related to prior year tax positions, including recorded in acquisition accounting | 2,997 | 1,864 | 1,685 |
| Decrease related to prior year tax positions | (175) | (3,144) | (1,952) |
| Increase related to current year tax positions, including recorded in acquisition accounting | 2,765 | 1,514 | 2,905 |
| Decrease related to settlements with tax authorities | | (2,492) | |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | (1,891) | (430) | (1,830) |
| Closing balance at December 31 | 23,712 | 21,024 | 21,832 |

As of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits amounting to \$23,551, \$20,871 and \$20,901, respectively, which, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate.

As of December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company has accrued approximately \$2,536, \$3,423 and \$3,373, respectively, in interest relating to unrecognized tax benefits. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company recognized approximately \$516, \$887 and \$(50), respectively, in interest expense. As of December 31, 2013, the company has accrued \$350 for penalties. No penalties were accrued

as of December 31, 2011 and 2012.

F-64

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****25. Income taxes (Continued)**

In the next twelve months and for all tax years that remain open to examinations by U.S. federal and various state, local, and non-U.S. tax authorities, the Company estimates that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of its unrecognized tax benefits will vary. However, the Company does not expect significant changes within the next twelve months other than depending on the progress of tax matters or examinations with various tax authorities, which are difficult to predict.

With exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local or non-U.S. income tax audits by taxing authorities for years prior to 2009. The Company's subsidiaries in India and China are open to examination by the relevant taxing authorities for tax years beginning on or after April 1, 2009, and January 1, 2000, respectively. The Company regularly reviews the likelihood of additional tax assessments and adjusts its reserves as additional information or events require.

26. Segment reporting

The Company manages various types of business process and information technology services in an integrated manner for clients in various industries and geographic locations. The Company's operations are located in 24 countries. The Company's Chief Executive Officer, who has been identified as the Chief Operation Decision Maker (CODM), reviews financial information prepared on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenue and adjusted operating income by identified business units. The identified business units are organized for operational reasons and represent either services-based, customer-based, industry-based or geography-based units. There is significant overlap between the manners in which the business units are organized. Additionally, the composition and organization of the business units is fluid and the structure changes regularly in response to growth of the overall business, acquisitions and changes in the reporting structure, clients, services, industries served, and delivery centers.

Based on an overall evaluation of all facts and circumstances and after combining operating segments with similar economic characteristics that comply with other aggregation criteria specified in the FASB guidance on Segment Reporting, the Company has determined that it operates as a single reportable segment.

Net revenues for different types of services provided are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Business Process Management (including Smart Decision Services) | \$ 1,260,674 | \$ 1,456,171 | \$ 1,608,224 |
| Information Technology Services | 339,762 | 445,800 | 523,773 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 1,600,436 | \$ 1,901,971 | \$ 2,131,997 |

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****26. Segment reporting (Continued)**

Revenues from customers based on the industry serviced are as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Banking, Financial Services and Insurance | \$ 669,182 | \$ 796,655 | \$ 888,916 |
| Manufacturing including Pharmaceuticals and Medical Equipment Manufacturing | 548,637 | 640,553 | 711,184 |
| Technology, Healthcare and Other Services | 382,617 | 464,763 | 531,897 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 1,600,436 | \$ 1,901,971 | \$ 2,131,997 |

Net revenues from geographic areas based on the location of service delivery units are as follows. A portion of net revenues attributable to India consists of net revenues for services performed by delivery centers in India or at clients' premises outside of India by business units or personnel normally based in India.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| India | \$ 1,111,218 | \$ 1,197,400 | \$ 1,328,201 |
| Asia, other than India | 184,164 | 208,149 | 224,657 |
| North and Latin America | 165,907 | 306,260 | 359,774 |
| Europe | 139,147 | 190,162 | 219,365 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 1,600,436 | \$ 1,901,971 | \$ 2,131,997 |

Revenues from GE comprised 30%, 26% and 23% of the consolidated total net revenues in 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of the consolidated total net revenue during these periods.

Property, plant and equipment, net by geographic areas are as follows:

| | As of December 31, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2013 |
| India | \$ 130,503 | \$ 112,971 |
| Asia, other than India | 17,481 | 15,199 |
| North and Latin America | 41,400 | 35,391 |
| Europe | 10,978 | 9,643 |

| | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|
| Total | \$ 200,362 | \$ 173,204 |
|--------------|------------|------------|

27. Related party transactions

The Company has entered into related party transactions with GE and companies in which GE has a majority ownership interest or over which it exerts significant influence (collectively referred to as "GE" herein). During the year ended December 31, 2012, GE's ownership of Company common shares decreased to less than 5.0% of the outstanding shares and it ceased to be a related party; accordingly, revenue from GE is no longer presented as related party revenue. Related party transactions during the year ended December 31, 2012

F-66

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

27. Related party transactions (Continued)

included transactions with a client who had a significant interest in the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2012, such interest decreased to less than 5% of the Company's outstanding shares and such client is no longer a related party. The Company has also entered into related party transactions with its non-consolidating affiliates and a client in which one of the Company's directors has a controlling interest. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company acquired the remaining equity interest in one of its non-consolidating affiliates, which is now a wholly-owned subsidiary, as described in note 3A(b). The Company has also entered into related party transactions with affiliates of a significant shareholder of the Company.

The Company's related party transactions can be categorized as follows:

Revenue from services

For the years ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized net revenues from GE of \$483,769, representing 30% of the consolidated total net revenues.

For the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the Company recognized net revenues of \$359 and \$145, respectively, from a customer in which one of the Company's directors has a controlling interest.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, the Company recognized net revenues of \$336 and \$405, respectively, from a customer which has a significant interest in the Company.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized net revenues of \$938, from clients who are affiliates of a significant shareholder of the Company.

Cost of revenue from services

The Company purchases certain services from its non-consolidating affiliates mainly relating to training and recruitment, which are included in cost of revenue. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, cost of revenue includes an amount of \$1,603, \$2,458 and \$2,140, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

The Company purchases certain services from its non-consolidating affiliates mainly relating to training and recruitment, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, selling, general and administrative expenses includes an amount of \$259, \$532 and \$505, respectively.

Other operating (income) expense, net

The Company provides certain shared services such as facility, recruitment, training, and communication to GE. Recovery for such services has been included as other operating income in the consolidated statements of income. For the year ended December 31, 2011, income from these services was (\$2,142).

Interest expense

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

The Company incurred interest expense on finance lease obligations from GE. For the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense relating to such debt amounted to \$324.

F-67

Table of Contents

GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(In thousands, except per share data and share count)

27. Related party transactions (Continued)

Investment in equity affiliates

During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, the Company made investments of \$0, \$205 and \$0, respectively, in its non-consolidating affiliates. Further, in the third quarter of 2011 and first quarter of 2013, the Company acquired the balance of the outstanding interest in its non-consolidating affiliates, HPP and NGEN, respectively, for contingent consideration amounting to \$0 and \$158, respectively, which resulted in such affiliates becoming wholly-owned subsidiaries. The results of operations and the fair value of the assets and liabilities of such wholly-owned subsidiaries are included in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements from the dates of acquisition.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company's investment in non-consolidating affiliates amounted to \$416 and \$384, respectively.

28. Commitments and contingencies

Capital commitments

As of December 31, 2012 and 2013, the Company has committed to spend \$3,965 and \$4,491, respectively, under agreements to purchase property, plant and equipment. This amount is net of capital advances paid in respect of these purchases.

Bank Guarantees

The Company has outstanding bank guarantees amounting to \$13,381 and \$11,086 as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively. Bank guarantees are generally provided to government agencies, excise and customs authorities for the purposes of maintaining a bonded warehouse. These guarantees may be revoked by the government agencies if they suffer any losses or damage through the breach of any of the covenants contained in the agreements.

Other commitments

The Company's business process delivery centers in India are 100% export oriented units or Software Technology Parks of India units (STPI) under the STPI guidelines issued by the Government of India. These units are exempt from customs, central excise duties, and levies on imported and indigenous capital goods, stores, and spares. The Company has undertaken to pay custom duties, service taxes, levies, and liquidated damages payable, if any, in respect of imported and indigenous capital goods, stores, and spares consumed duty free, in the event that certain terms and conditions are not fulfilled.

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****29. Quarterly financial data (unaudited)**

| | March 31, 2013 | Three months ended | | December 31, 2013 | Year ended December 31, 2013 |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | June 30, 2013 | September 30, 2013 | | |
| Total net revenues | \$ 503,848 | \$ 534,804 | \$ 534,886 | \$ 558,459 | \$ 2,131,997 |
| Gross profit | \$ 192,122 | \$ 202,090 | \$ 205,597 | \$ 212,617 | \$ 812,426 |
| Income from operations | \$ 73,949 | \$ 77,988 | \$ 85,957 | \$ 71,633 | \$ 309,527 |
| Income before Equity method investment activity, net and income tax expense | \$ 65,456 | \$ 84,633 | \$ 93,320 | \$ 62,573 | \$ 305,982 |
| Net Income | \$ 48,252 | \$ 65,462 | \$ 71,431 | \$ 49,906 | \$ 235,051 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | \$ 1,515 | \$ 1,586 | \$ 1,169 | \$ 1,064 | \$ 5,334 |
| Net income attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | \$ 46,737 | \$ 63,876 | \$ 70,262 | \$ 48,842 | \$ 229,717 |
| Earnings per common share attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 1.00 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.97 |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in computing earnings per common share attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | | | | | |
| Basic | 227,227,226 | 229,237,503 | 230,057,508 | 230,871,408 | 229,348,411 |
| Diluted | 233,620,751 | 235,329,303 | 236,336,924 | 237,730,091 | 235,754,267 |

F-69

Table of Contents**GENPACT LIMITED AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****(In thousands, except per share data and share count)****29. Quarterly financial data (unaudited) (Continued)**

| | March 31, 2012 | Three months ended June 30, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2012 | Year ended December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Total net revenues | \$ 435,479 | \$ 467,631 | \$ 491,157 | \$ 507,704 | \$ 1,901,971 |
| Gross profit | \$ 170,014 | \$ 182,409 | \$ 193,904 | \$ 197,878 | \$ 744,205 |
| Income from operations | \$ 60,431 | \$ 63,167 | \$ 69,952 | \$ 70,795 | \$ 264,345 |
| Income before Equity method investment activity, net and income tax expense | \$ 56,636 | \$ 84,445 | \$ 41,800 | \$ 80,111 | \$ 262,992 |
| Net Income | \$ 40,256 | \$ 62,799 | \$ 26,611 | \$ 54,924 | \$ 184,590 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | \$ 1,716 | \$ 1,699 | \$ 1,436 | \$ 1,523 | \$ 6,374 |
| Net income attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | \$ 38,540 | \$ 61,100 | \$ 25,175 | \$ 53,401 | \$ 178,216 |
| Earnings per common share attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.80 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.78 |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in computing earnings per common share attributable to Genpact Limited common shareholders | | | | | |
| Basic | 222,810,236 | 223,182,251 | 223,876,035 | 224,917,746 | 223,696,567 |
| Diluted | 227,472,915 | 227,880,427 | 230,195,834 | 232,580,888 | 229,532,516 |

F-70

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GENPACT LIMITED

By: /s/ N.V. TYAGARAJAN
 N.V. Tyagarajan
President and Chief Executive Officer
 Date: February 28, 2014

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints each of Victor Guaglianone and Heather White, as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full powers of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission granting to said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to perform any other act on behalf of the undersigned required to be done in connection therewith.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ N.V. TYAGARAJAN N.V. Tyagarajan | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ MOHIT BHATIA Mohit Bhatia | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ ROBERT G. SCOTT Robert G. Scott | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ AMIT CHANDRA Amit Chandra | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ LAURA CONIGLIARO Laura Conigliaro | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ DAVID HUMPHREY David Humphrey | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ JAGDISH KHATTAR Jagdish Khattar | Director | February 28, 2014 |

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Jagdish Khattar | | |
| /s/ JAMES C. MADDEN | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| James C. Madden | | |
| /s/ ALEX MANDL | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| Alex Mandl | | |

Table of Contents

| Signature | Title | Date |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| /s/ MARK NUNNELLY Mark Nunnely | Director | February 28, 2014 |
| /s/ MARK VERDI Mark Verdi | Director | February 28, 2014 |

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX****Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3.1 | Memorandum of Association of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on July 16, 2007). |
| 3.3 | Bye-laws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Amendment No. 4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on August 1, 2007). |
| 4.1 | Form of specimen certificate for the Registrant's common shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on August 1, 2007). |
| 10.1 | Gecis Global Holdings 2005 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007). |
| 10.2 | Genpact Global Holdings 2006 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007). |
| 10.3 | Genpact Global Holdings 2007 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007). |
| 10.4 | Form of Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on May 11, 2007). |
| 10.5 | Reorganization Agreement dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among the Registrant, Genpact Global (Lux) S.à.r.l., Genpact Global Holdings SICAR S.à.r.l. and the shareholders listed on the signature pages thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on July 16, 2007). |
| 10.6 | Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated as of July 13, 2007, among the Registrant, Genpact Global Holdings SICAR S.à.r.l. and Genpact International, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Amendment No. 2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on July 16, 2007). |
| 10.7 | Form of Director Indemnity Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Amendment No. 4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-142875) filed with the SEC on August 1, 2007). |
| 10.8 | U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan and International Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Registrant's Proxy Statement filed on Schedule 14A with the SEC on April 3, 2008). |
| 10.9 | Form of RSU Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on February 23, 2010). |
| 10.10 | Form of Performance Share Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on March 15, 2010). |
| 10.11 | Form of Performance Share Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on March 21, 2011). |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 10.12 | Form of RSU Award Agreement, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011). |
| 10.13 | Form of Amended and Restated Genpact Limited 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on April 15, 2011). |
| 10.14 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated April 5, 2011 among Genpact International, Inc., Hawk International Corporation, Headstrong Corporation, WCAS Hawk Corp. and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on May 10, 2011). |
| 10.15 | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and N.V. Tyagarajan, dated June 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on June 17, 2011). |
| 10.16 | Master Services Agreement dated October 10, 2009 between Genpact India and Carnation Auto India Pvt. Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 9, 2011). |
| 10.17 | Employment Agreement by and between Genpact LLC and Patrick Cogny, dated August 5, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 10, 2011). |
| 10.18 | Form of Performance Share Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on May 10, 2012). |
| 10.19 | Performance Share Award Agreement with N.V. Tyagarajan, dated March 6, 2012 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on May 10, 2012). |
| 10.20 | Letter Agreement dated August 1, 2012 between the Registrant and South Asia Private Investments (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |
| 10.21 | Letter Agreement dated August 1, 2012 by and among the Registrant and the shareholders listed on the signature pages thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |
| 10.22 | Shareholder Agreement dated August 1, 2012 by and among the Registrant and South Asia Private Investments (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |
| 10.23 | First Amendment to the Genpact Limited 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (as Amended and Restated April 11, 2012), effective as of August 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |
| 10.24 | First Amendment to the Genpact Limited International Employee Stock Purchase Plan and U.S. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, effective as of August 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |
| 10.25 | Letter Agreement by and between the Registrant and N.V. Tyagarajan, dated August 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 3, 2012). |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 10.26 | Credit Agreement dated as of August 30, 2012 by and among the Registrant, Genpact International, Inc., Headstrong Corporation, Genpact Global Holdings (Bermuda) Limited, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent, swingline lender and a term lender, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., as issuing bank and a revolving lender, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as syndication agent and documentation agent, the other joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunning managers identified therein and the other lenders listed on the signature pages thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 30, 2012). |
| 10.27 | Amended and Restated Shareholder Agreement, dated as of October 25, 2012, by and among the Registrant, Glory Investments A Limited, Glory Investments B Limited, Glory Investments IV Limited, Glory Investments IV-B Limited, RGIP, LLC, Twickenham Investment Private Limited and Glory Investments TA IV Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on October 25, 2012). |
| 10.28 | Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 14, 2013, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 30, 2012, by and among the Registrant, Genpact International, Inc., Headstrong Corporation, Genpact Global Holdings (Bermuda) Limited, the other subsidiaries of the Registrant party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent and swingline lender, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A., as issuing bank, and the joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunning managers identified therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on June 14, 2013). |
| 10.29 | Form of Director Indemnity Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-33626) filed with the SEC on August 9, 2013). |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant.* |
| 23.1 | Consent of KPMG.* |
| 24.1 | Powers of Attorney (included on the signature pages of this report).* |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document (1) |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (1) |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (1) |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (1) |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (1) |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (1) |

* Filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement in which any director or executive officer participates.

- (1) Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language):
 (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the years

Edgar Filing: Genpact LTD - Form 10-K

ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, (iv) Consolidated Statement of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013, and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

E-3