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## Forward-Looking Information

Certain matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements under Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. There can be no assurance that the results described or implied in such forward-looking statements will, in fact, be achieved and actual results, performance, and achievements could differ materially because our business involves inherent risks and uncertainties. Risks and uncertainties include possible future deteriorating economic conditions in our areas of operation; interest rate risk associated with volatile interest rates and related asset-liability matching risk; liquidity risks; risk of significant non-earning assets, and net credit losses that could occur, particularly in times of weak economic conditions or times of rising interest rates; risks of available for sale securities declining significantly in value as interest rates rise; and regulatory risks associated with the variety of current and future regulations as well as regulatory enforcement actions to which we are subject. For additional information concerning these factors, see Item 1A. Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
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## PART I

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements NARA BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

|  | (Unaudited)  <br> September 30, December 31, <br> 2006 2005 <br> (Dollars in thousands, except share data)  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 33,799 | \$ | 32,924 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 2,500 |  | 33,100 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents |  | 36,299 |  | 66,024 |
| Term federal funds sold |  |  |  | 7,000 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 200,461 |  | 174,709 |
| Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: September 30, 2006 \$1,005; December 31, 2005 - \$1,023) |  | 1,000 |  | 1,001 |
| Loans held for sale, at the lower of cost or market |  | 9,103 |  | 17,083 |
| Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses (September 30, 2006-\$18,909; December 31, 2005-\$17,618) |  | 1,641,412 |  | 1,428,122 |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost |  | 2,253 |  | 1,803 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost |  | 7,402 |  | 6,463 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 11,575 |  | 8,148 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 8,425 |  | 7,620 |
| Deferred tax assets, net |  | 16,090 |  | 15,894 |
| Customers liabilities on acceptances |  | 9,195 |  | 6,982 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 15,000 |  | 14,640 |
| Goodwill |  | 2,347 |  | 2,347 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 3,071 |  | 3,589 |
| Other assets |  | 15,172 |  | 14,397 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,978,805 | \$ | 1,775,822 |

(Continued)
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## NARA BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

|  | (Unaudited)  <br> September 30, December 31, <br> 2006 2005 <br> (Dollars in thousands, except share data)  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ | 386,263 | \$ | 371,943 |
| Interest-bearing: |  |  |  |  |
| Money market and other |  | 202,157 |  | 185,550 |
| Savings deposits |  | 138,567 |  | 120,948 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more |  | 726,900 |  | 714,636 |
| Other time deposits |  | 195,430 |  | 133,409 |
| Total deposits |  | 1,649,317 |  | 1,526,486 |
| Borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank |  | 81,000 |  | 31,000 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 9,933 |  | 8,755 |
| Acceptances outstanding |  | 9,195 |  | 6,982 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 39,268 |  | 39,268 |
| Other liabilities |  | 13,470 |  | 16,577 |
| Total liabilities |  | 1,802,183 |  | 1,629,068 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized, 10,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized, 40,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, |  |  |  |  |
| 26,100,672 and 25,444,442 shares at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively |  | 26 |  | 25 |
| Capital surplus |  | 77,320 |  | 69,451 |
| Retained earnings |  | 103,338 |  | 81,016 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net |  | $(4,062)$ |  | $(3,738)$ |
| Total stockholders equity |  | 176,622 |  | 146,754 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ | 1,978,805 | \$ | 1,775,822 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

## (Unaudited)



## Edgar Filing: NARA BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES |  | 14,579 |  | 13,529 |  | 41,548 |  | 32,312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME TAXES |  | 5,910 |  | 5,600 |  | 17,099 |  | 13,365 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 8,669 | \$ | 7,929 | \$ | 24,449 | \$ | 18,947 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 0.95 | \$ | 0.80 |
| Diluted |  | 0.33 |  | 0.32 |  | 0.93 |  | 0.77 |
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005

(Unaudited)

|  | Number of Shares Outstanding | CommonStock |  | Capital <br> Surplus |  | d <br> ation <br> in tho | Retained <br> Earnings <br> sands) |  | Accumulated <br> Other <br> Comprehensive <br> Income <br> (Loss), net |  | Comprehensive Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2005 | 23,333,338 | \$ | 23 | \$ 44,903 | \$ | (3) | \$ | 56,848 | \$ | (517) |  |  |
| Issuance of common stock | 1,440,922 |  | 1 | 19,623 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options exercised | 585,216 |  | 1 | 1,669 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax benefit from stock options exercised |  |  |  | 2,446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of restricted stock |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forfeiture of restricted stock | $(1,334)$ |  |  | (8) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared ( $\$ 0.0825$ per share) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,990)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,947 |  |  | \$ | 18,947 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (761) |  | (761) |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest-only strip, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (24) |  | (24) |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,287)$ |  | $(1,287)$ |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 16,875 |
| BALANCE, September 30, 2005 | 25,358,142 | \$ | 25 | \$ 68,633 | \$ |  | \$ | 73,805 | \$ | $(2,589)$ |  |  |
| BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2006 | 25,444,442 | \$ | 25 | \$ 69,451 | \$ |  | \$ | 81,016 | \$ | $(3,738)$ |  |  |
| Stock options exercised | 656,230 |  | 1 | 4,228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax benefit from stock options exercised |  |  |  | 2,661 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation |  |  |  | 980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.0825 per share) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,127)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,449 |  |  | \$ | 24,449 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (317) |  | (317) |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest-only strip, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (15) |  | (15) |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps and caps, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 24,125 |
| BALANCE, September 30, 2006 | 26,100,672 | \$ | 26 | \$ 77,320 | \$ |  |  | 03,338 |  | 062) |  |  |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005

(Unaudited)

|  | $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 24,449 | \$ 18,947 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation, amortization, and accretion | 3,427 | 2,430 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 980 |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,392 | 4,570 |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 60,756 | 66,247 |
| Originations of loans held for sale | $(55,574)$ | $(66,000)$ |
| Net gains on sales of loans | $(3,735)$ | $(3,690)$ |
| Net gains on sales of securities available for sale |  | (143) |
| Net change in cash surrender value of life insurance | (360) | (310) |
| Net losses on sales of premises and equipment | 17 | 6 |
| Change in accrued interest receivable | (805) | $(1,738)$ |
| FHLB stock dividends | (249) | (163) |
| Change in other assets | $(2,151)$ | $(5,401)$ |
| Change in accrued interest payable | 1,178 | 3,815 |
| Change in other liabilities | $(3,113)$ | 7,003 |
| Net cash from operating activities | 27,212 | 25,573 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net change in loans receivable | $(209,148)$ | $(207,488)$ |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | $(4,986)$ | $(2,389)$ |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | $(38,650)$ | $(72,428)$ |
| Purchase of FHLB stock | (690) | $(1,425)$ |
| Purchase of FRB stock | (450) |  |
| Proceeds from disposition of premises and equipment | 48 |  |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale |  | 13,901 |
| Proceeds from matured or called securities available for sale | 12,327 | 19,003 |
| Proceeds from matured term federal funds sold | 7,000 |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(234,549)$ | $(250,826)$ |
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005
(Unaudited)
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## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## 1. Nara Bancorp, Inc.

Nara Bancorp, Inc. ( Nara Bancorp , on a parent-only basis, and Company, we or our on a consolidated basis), incorporated under the laws of th State of Delaware in 2000, is a bank holding company, headquartered in Los Angeles, California, offering a full range of commercial banking and consumer financial services through its wholly owned subsidiary, Nara Bank ( Nara Bank or the Bank ), which was organized in 1989 as a national bank and converted to a California state-chartered bank on January 3, 2005, with branches in California and New York as well as Loan Production Offices in California, Washington, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, New Jersey, Virginia and Texas.

## 2. Basis of Presentation

Our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Certain information and footnote disclosures, normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Nara Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiaries, principally Nara Bank. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

We believe that we have made all adjustments necessary to fairly present our financial position at September 30, 2006 and the results of our operations for the three and nine months then ended. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current year presentation. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read along with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## 3. Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123 (R), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS 123 (R) ). SFAS 123 (R) establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services. Stock-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee requisite service period. We previously applied Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related Interpretations and provided the required pro forma disclosures of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ( SFAS 123 ). We elected to adopt the modified prospective application method as provided by SFAS 123(R), and, accordingly, financial statement amounts for the prior periods presented in this Form 10-Q were not restated.

We adopted a stock option plan in 1989 that was replaced by the Year 2000 Long Term Incentive Plan, under which options or restricted stock units may be granted to key employees and directors of the Company. Options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price at the date of grant with vesting periods from three to five years and have 10 -year contractual terms. The Company authorized a total of $2,800,000$ shares under the Year 2000 Long Term Incentive Plan as of September 30, 2006. The Company has issued 2,486,860 shares, net of forfeitures, under this plan as of September 30, 2006. As of September 30, 2006, 313,140 shares are available for future grants. During the third quarter of 2006, we granted a total of 91,860 shares of restricted stock units to key employees, net of forfeitures, with 3 -year cliff vesting.
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The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Since this model incorporates ranges of assumptions for inputs, those ranges are disclosed. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate the option exercise and employee terminations within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ending } \\ \text { September 30, }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Risk-free interest rate | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |$)$

A summary of share option activity under the plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 is as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Shares } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise Price Per Share | WeightedAverage Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding - January 1, 2006 | 1,954,480 | \$ 9.74 |  |  |
| Granted | 200,000 | 17.50 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(656,230)$ | 6.44 |  |  |
| Forfeited/canceled | $(171,000)$ | 19.15 |  |  |
| Outstanding - September 30, 2006 | 1,327,250 | \$ 11.33 | 7.11 | \$ 9,280,000 |
| Options exercisable - September 30, 2006 | 664,317 | \$ 10.07 | 6.27 | \$ 5,458,000 |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 7,910,000$ and $\$ 7,052,000$, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested for the same periods was $\$ 1,664,000$ and $\$ 782,000$.

The amount charged against income, before income tax benefit of $\$ 135,000$ and $\$ 403,000$, in relation to the stock-based payment arrangement was $\$ 333,000$ and $\$ 980,000$ for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock option grants and restricted stock units aggregated $\$ 3,685,000$ and is expected to be recognized as follows:

|  | Stock Based <br> Compensation Expense <br> (in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Remainder of 2006 | $\$ 64$ |  |
| For the year ended December 31,: | 1,354 |  |
| 2007 | 1,314 |  |
| 2008 | 554 |  |
| 2009 |  | 99 |
| 2010 | $\$$ | 3,685 |
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## 4. Dividends

Nara Bancorp and Nara Bank are parties to a Memorandum of Understanding with the Federal Reserve Bank and the California Department of Financial Institutions. Nara Bank may not declare or pay any cash dividends to Nara Bancorp without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve Bank and the California Department of Financial Institutions. Also, under a Board resolution, Nara Bancorp may not declare or pay any cash dividends to its stockholders without the prior written approval of the Federal Reserve Bank. No assurance can be given that the regulators would approve a request to pay cash dividends.

On September 15, 2006, we declared a $\$ 0.0275$ per share cash dividend which was paid on October 13, 2006 to stockholders of record at the close of business on September 30, 2006. In accordance with the terms of the MOU, we submitted to, and received approval from, the Federal Reserve Bank to pay the cash dividend in addition to dividend payments on the Trust Preferred Securities related to the Subordinated Debentures.

## 5. Earnings Per Share ( EPS )

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Allocated ESOP shares are considered outstanding for this calculation. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted to common stock that would then share in our earnings. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, stock options for 60,000 shares of common stock were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share because they were antidilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, such antidilutive stock options for 360,000 and 120,000 shares of common stocks were not considered in computing diluted earnings per common share.

The following table shows how we computed basic and diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

|  | For the three months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Shares (Denominator) (Dollars in tho | Per Share (Amount) |  | , | Net come merator are and | Shares Denominator) share data) | Per Share <br> (Amount) |  |
| Basic EPS | \$ | 8,669 | 25,949,931 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 7,929 | 24,041,269 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock based awards |  |  | 457,254 |  |  |  |  | 739,343 |  |  |
| Diluted EPS | \$ | 8,669 | 26,407,185 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | 7,929 | 24,780,612 | \$ | 0.32 |


|  | For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { (Numerator) } \end{gathered}$ | Shares (Denominator) (Dollars in tho | Per <br> Share <br> (Amount) sands, excep | Net <br> Income (Numerator) t share and | Shares Denominator) $r$ share data) |  | Per <br> Pare <br> ount) |
| Basic EPS | \$ 24,449 | 25,679,893 | 0.95 | \$ 18,947 | 23,685,330 | \$ | 0.80 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based awards |  | 567,390 |  |  | 999,174 |  |  |
| Diluted EPS | \$ 24,449 | 26,247,283 | \$ 0.93 | \$ 18,947 | 24,684,504 | \$ | 0.77 |
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## 6. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 156 - In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. This Statement provides the following: 1) revised guidance on when a servicing asset and servicing liability should be recognized; 2 ) requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable; 3) permits an entity to elect to measure servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value each reporting date and report changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur; 4) upon initial adoption, permits a onetime reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities for securities which are identified as offsetting the entity s exposure to changes in the fair value of servicing assets or liabilities that a servicer elects to subsequently measure at fair value; and 5) requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional footnote disclosures. SFAS No. 156 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006 with the effects of initial adoption being reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings. Management does not expect the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

FIN 48 - In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No.48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 106 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition and measurement threshold for a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of the adoption of FIN 48.

SAB 108 - In September 2006, the SEC released SAB 108. SAB 108 requires a new approach to assessing materiality and permits the Company to adjust for the cumulative effect of errors relating to prior years, that prior to SAB 108 were considered to be immaterial, in the carrying amount of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of the current fiscal year, with an offsetting adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the year of adoption. SAB 108 also required the adjustment of any prior quarterly financial statements within the fiscal year of adoption for the effects of such errors on the quarters when the information is next presented. Such adjustments do not require previously filed reports with the SEC to be amended. This SAB is required to be applied to financial statements issued after November 15, 2006. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of the adoption of SAB 108.

EITF 06-4 - In September 2006, the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force finalized Issue No. 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. This issue requires that a liability be recorded during the service period based on either the post-employment benefit cost for the continuing life insurance or based on the future death benefit depending on the contractual terms of the underlying benefit agreement. This issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of adoption of EITF 06-4.

EITF 06-5 - In September 2006, the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force finalized Issue No. 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4 (Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance). This issue requires that a policyholder consider contractual terms of a life insurance policy in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. It also requires that if the contract provides for a greater surrender value if all individual policies in a group are surrendered at the same time, that the surrender value be determined based on the assumption that policies will be surrendered on an individual basis. Lastly, the issue discusses whether the cash surrender value should be discounted when the policyholder is contractually limited in its ability to surrender a policy. This issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the impact of adoption of EITF 06-5.
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## 7. Loans Receivable and Allowance For Loan Losses

The following is a summary of loans receivable by major category:

|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial loans | \$ 520,253 | \$ | 483,231 |
| Real estate loans | 1,089,705 |  | 900,699 |
| Consumer and other loans | 53,132 |  | 64,633 |
|  | 1,663,090 |  | 1,448,563 |
| Unamortized deferred loan fees, net of cost | $(2,769)$ |  | $(2,823)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(18,909)$ |  | $(17,618)$ |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 1,641,412 | \$ | 1,428,122 |

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows for the periods indicated:

|  | Nine months ended September 30, $2006 \quad 2005$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 17,618 | \$ | 14,627 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 2,392 |  | 4,570 |
| Loan charge-offs |  | $(2,394)$ |  | $(2,599)$ |
| Loan recoveries |  | 1,293 |  | 470 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 18,909 | \$ | 17,068 |

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 , the Company had classified $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 5.8$ million, respectively, of its commercial and real estate loans as impaired, with specific loss allocations of $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively. There were no impaired loans without specific loss allocations. At September 30, 2006, non-accrual loans totaled $\$ 4.0$ million compared to $\$ 5.5$ million at December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were no loans past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest.

## 8. Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company receives a fixed rate and pays a floating rate under the interest rate swap agreements that the Company has entered into. Except as noted below, the interest rate swaps qualify as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes, and effectively fix the interest rate received on the notional amount of $\$ 100,000,000$ of variable rate loans indexed to Nara Prime. At September 30, 2006, the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with these cash flow hedges totaled a loss of $\$ 1.7$ million (net of tax benefit of $\$ 1.1$ million) and $\$ 1.5$ million is expected to be reclassified as a reduction into interest income within the next 12 months. As of September 30, 2006, the maximum length of time over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows is approximately 6 years.
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Interest rate swap information at September 30, 2006 is summarized as follows:

| Current Notional <br> Amount | Floating Rate | Fixed Rate <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Maturity Date | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized <br> Gain <br> (Loss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11,000 | H.15 Prime ${ }^{1}$ | $7.59 \%$ | $4 / 30 / 2007$ | $\$$ | $(43)$ |

1. Prime rate is based on Federal Reserve statistical release H. 15

Due to pay-offs of the underlying loans (i.e. Nara Prime indexed loans) that are being hedged, a portion of the swaps no longer qualify as a Cash Flow Hedge. Changes in the value of this portion of the swaps will directly flow through the income statement. During the second quarter of 2006, a loss of $\$ 83$ thousand was recognized to reflect this. However, during the third quarter of 2006, we recovered $\$ 40$ thousand due to the change in the value of the swap, which was reported as a gain in other income in the accompanying consolidated statement of income. During the third quarter, the Bank has focused on originating Nara Prime indexed loans to maintain hedge accounting for the remaining swaps. As a result, we were able to increase the underlying loans. However, due to the variability of loan pay-offs, no assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain the aggregate Nara Prime indexed loan balance at an amount equal to or greater than the related notional swap balance. The realized loss on interest rate swaps due to hedge ineffectiveness was $\$ 26$ thousand and $\$ 78$ thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest income (expense) recorded on swap transactions totaled (\$878) thousand and $\$ 623$ thousand, respectively. At September 30, 2006, we pledged as collateral to the interest rate swap counterparties, agency securities with a book value of $\$ 1.0$ million and real estate loans of approximately $\$ 7.2$ million.

In August of 2006, we purchased interest rate caps with a notional amount of $\$ 100$ million, tied to monthly resetting 3-month LIBOR, and which mature on November 16, 2007. The premium cost was $\$ 185$ thousand. We receive payments from the counterparty if the 3-month LIBOR exceeds the strike level at $5.5 \%$. If the rate remains or falls below $5.5 \%$, our loss would be limited to the premium paid. The premium is being amortized over the life of the interest rate caps on a straight line basis. The interest rate caps were purchased to protect against a rise in the cost of 3-month LIBOR to which one of our money market products is tied.

As long as the interest rate caps are considered effective in hedging the cash flows of designated liabilities, the difference in the value between the amortized cost and the fair market of the interest rate caps is recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of tax. However, if a portion of the interest rate caps becomes ineffective in hedging the cash flows of the designated liabilities, the difference in the value between the amortized cost and the fair market of a respective portion of such interest rate caps is recorded as gain or loss in the consolidated statements of income as a part of non-interest income.

The amortized cost and the fair market value of interest rate caps were $\$ 168$ thousand and $\$ 48$ thousand, respectively, at September 30, 2006. Although the total balance of underlying money market deposits remained above the $\$ 100$ million notional amount of the interest rate caps for the majority of the third quarter of 2006, the balance decreased to $\$ 98.7$ million at September 30, 2006. As a result, $\$ 71$ thousand (net of tax of $\$ 47$ thousand) was recorded as an OCI loss and $\$ 2$ thousand was recorded as loss in the income statement for the third quarter of 2006 due to the hedge ineffectiveness.
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## 9. Business Segments

Our management utilizes an internal reporting system to measure the performance of our various operating segments. We have identified three principal operating segments for the purposes of management reporting: banking operations, trade finance services ( TFS ), and small business administration (SBA ) lending services. Information related to our remaining centralized functions and eliminations of inter-segment amounts has been aggregated and included in banking operations. Although all three operating segments offer financial products and services, they are managed separately based on each segment s strategic focus. The banking operations segment focuses primarily on commercial and consumer lending and deposit operations throughout our branch network. The TFS segment focuses primarily on allowing our import/export customers to handle their international transactions. Trade finance products include the issuance and collection of letters of credit, international collection, and import/export financing. The SBA segment provides our customers with access to the U.S. SBA guaranteed lending program.

Operating segment results are based on our internal management reporting process, which reflects assignments and allocations of capital, certain operating and administrative costs and the provision for loan losses. Non-interest income and non-interest expense, including depreciation and amortization, directly attributable to a segment are assigned to that business. We allocate indirect costs, including overhead expense, to the various segments based on several factors, including, but not limited to, full-time equivalent employees, loan volume and deposit volume. We allocate the provision for loan losses based on the origination of new loans for the period. We evaluate the overall performance based on profit or loss from operations before income taxes excluding gains and losses that are not expected to reoccur. Future changes in our management structure or reporting methodologies may result in changes to the measurement of our operating segment results.
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The following tables present the operating results and other key financial measures for the individual operating segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

## Three Months Ended September 30,

## (Dollars in thousands)

|  | Business Segment <br> Banking <br> Operations |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TFS |  |  |  | SBA


| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income, before provision for loan losses | \$ | 15,558 | \$ | 1,890 | \$ | 3,325 |
| Less provision for loan losses |  | 880 |  |  |  | 90 |
| Non-interest income |  | 2,822 |  | 794 |  | 2,172 |
| Net revenue |  | 17,500 |  | 2,684 |  | 5,407 |
| Non-interest expense * |  | 9,457 |  | 1,636 |  | 969 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 8,043 | \$ | 1,048 | \$ | 4,438 |
| Goodwill | \$ | 2,347 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Total assets |  | 406,347 |  | 24,811 |  | 7,943 |

[^0]
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## Nine Months Ended September 30,

## (Dollars in thousands)

|  | Business Segment <br> Banking |  | Operations | TFS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBA

[^1]
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Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The following is management $s$ discussion and analysis of the major factors that caused changes in our consolidated results of operations and financial condition as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes set forth elsewhere in this report.

## GENERAL

## Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data concerning the periods indicated:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|rrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At or for the Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { At or for the Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array} \\ \text { 2006 } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data) }\end{array}\right)$
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|  | At of for The Three Months Ended September 30, |  | At of for The Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Average Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | \$ 1,959,562 | \$ 1,776,045 | \$ 1,914,598 | \$ 1,647,490 |
| Securities available for sale and held to maturity | 198,214 | 151,426 | 186,246 | 139,797 |
| Gross loans, including loans held for sale | 1,629,345 | 1,434,369 | 1,560,465 | 1,359,773 |
| Deposits | 1,667,730 | 1,550,181 | 1,642,357 | 1,401,420 |
| Stockholders equity | 170,273 | 122,363 | 160,878 | 112,226 |
| Selected Performance Ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets (1) | 1.77\% | 1.79\% | 1.70\% | 1.53\% |
| Return on average stockholders equity (1) | 20.36\% | 25.92\% | 20.26\% | 22.51\% |
| Non-interest expense to average assets (1) | 2.66\% | 2.72\% | 2.81\% | 2.85\% |
| Efficiency ratio (2) | 45.26\% | 45.41\% | 47.85\% | 48.84\% |
| Net interest margin (3) | 5.11\% | 4.95\% | 5.07\% | 4.89\% |
| Net interest margin, including loan prepayment fee income | 5.25\% | 5.00\% | 5.16\% | 4.92\% |
| Regulatory Capital Ratios (4) |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage capital ratio (5) | 10.91\% | 9.92\% | 10.91\% | 9.92\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 12.09\% | 11.45\% | 12.09\% | 11.45\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 13.17\% | 12.57\% | 13.17\% | 12.57\% |
| Asset Quality Ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses to gross loans | 1.14\% | 1.20\% | 1.14\% | 1.20\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 474.74\% | 444.94\% | 474.74\% | 444.94\% |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets (6) | 0.23\% | 0.26\% | 0.23\% | 0.26\% |

(1) Calculations are based on annualized net income.
(2) Efficiency ratio is defined as non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.
(3) Net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized net interest income by average total interest-earning assets.
(4) The required ratios for a well-capitalized institution are 5\% leverage capital, $6 \%$ tier I risk-based capital and $10 \%$ total risk-based capital.
(5) Calculations are based on average quarterly asset balances.
(6) Non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, other real estate owned, and restructured loans.
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## Results of Operations

## Overview

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we continued to maintain the growth in our assets supported by growth in deposits and other borrowings. Our total assets grew by $11 \%$, or $\$ 203$ million, at September 30, 2006 from $\$ 1.8$ billion at December 31, 2005. The increase in total assets for the period was primarily due to growth in our loans funded by increases in deposits and other borrowings. The loan growth during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 continued to be dominated by real estate loans and deposit growth was primarily in non-jumbo time deposits.

Our net income was $\$ 8.7$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and represents a $9 \%$ increase from $\$ 7.9$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The major contributor to the increase in net income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was a $15 \%$ increase in net interest income before loan loss provision compared to the same period of 2005 as a result of loan growth and an increase in our net interest margin offset by an increase of $21 \%$ in the loan loss provision.

Our net income was $\$ 24.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and represents a $29 \%$ increase from $\$ 18.9$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase was primarily due to a $21 \%$ increase in net interest income also as a result of loan growth and lower loan loss provision partially offset by an increase in non-interest expense.

## Net income

Our net income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 8.7$ million, or $\$ 0.33$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 7.9$ million, or $\$ 0.32$ per diluted share, for the same quarter of 2005 , representing an increase of $\$ 740$ thousand or $9 \%$. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 24.4$ million or $\$ 0.93$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 18.9$ million, or $\$ 0.77$ per diluted share for the same period of 2005. These increases resulted primarily from an increase in net interest income partially offset by an increase in non-interest expense.

The annualized return on average assets was $1.77 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006, compared to $1.79 \%$ for the same period of 2005. The annualized return on average equity was $20.36 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 , compared to $25.92 \%$ for the same period of 2005 . The decline in ROE for third quarter 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 is primarily due to lower average equity before the sale of $\$ 20$ million of common stock which closed on September 12, 2005. The efficiency ratio was $45.26 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $45.41 \%$ for the same period of 2005.

The annualized return on average assets was $1.70 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $1.53 \%$ for the same period of 2005. The annualized return on average equity was $20.26 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to $22.51 \%$ for the same period of 2005 .

## Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

## Net Interest Income

The principal component of our earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and fees earned on loans and investments and the interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets, is defined as net interest margin. The net interest spread is the yield on average interest-earning assets less the cost of average funding liabilities (interest-bearing deposits and non-interest-bearing deposits and borrowed funds). Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and funding liabilities as well as by changes in the yield earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest income before provision for loan losses was $\$ 23.8$ million for the third quarter ended September 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ 3.0$ million or $15 \%$ compared to net interest income of $\$ 20.8$ million for the same quarter of 2005 . This increase was primarily due to an increase in average interest earning assets, which increased $\$ 183.4$ million, or $11 \%$, to $\$ 1.86$ billion for the third quarter of 2006 from $\$ 1.68$ billion for the same quarter of 2005 .
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Net interest income before provision for loan losses was $\$ 69.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ 12.1$ million or $21 \%$ compared to net interest income of $\$ 57.1$ million for the same period of 2005 . This increase was also due to an increase in average interest earning assets, which increased $\$ 264.2$ million or $17 \%$ to $\$ 1.82$ billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 1.56$ billion for the same period of 2005.

Interest income for the third quarter of 2006 was $\$ 40.0$ million, which represented an increase of $\$ 8.5$ million or $27 \%$ over interest income of $\$ 31.5$ million for the same quarter of 2005 . The increase was the result of a $\$ 4.1$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the volume of average interest-earning assets (volume change) and a $\$ 4.4$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the average yield earned on those average interest-earning assets (rate change).

Interest income for the nine months of 2006 was $\$ 113.2$ million, which represented an increase of $\$ 30.0$ million or $36 \%$ over interest income of $\$ 83.1$ million for the same period of 2005. The increase was the result of a $\$ 14.3$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the volume of average interest-earning assets (volume change) and a $\$ 15.7$ million increase in interest income due to an increase in the average yield earned on those average interest-earning assets (rate change).

Interest-bearing liabilities also increased as a result of a growth in deposits and other borrowings, mostly in higher interest-bearing deposits such as money market and time deposit accounts as the market continued to be more competitive.

Interest expense for the third quarter of 2006 was $\$ 16.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 5.5$ million or $51 \%$ compared to interest expense of $\$ 10.7$ million for the same quarter of 2005. The increase was primarily the result of a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in interest expense due to an increase in volume of average interest-bearing liabilities (volume change) and a $\$ 4.4$ million increase in interest expense due to an increase in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities (rate change).

Interest expense for the nine months of 2006 was $\$ 44.0$ million, an increase of $\$ 18.0$ million or $69 \%$ compared to interest expense of $\$ 26.0$ million for the same period of 2005. The increase was primarily the result of a $\$ 5.4$ million increase in interest expense due to an increase in volume of average interest-bearing liabilities (volume change) and a $\$ 12.6$ million increase in interest expense due to an increase in the average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities (rate change).

## Net Interest Margin

The weighted average yield on average interest-earning assets increased to $8.59 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 compared with $7.51 \%$ for the same quarter of 2005, a 108 basis point increase. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the prime interest rate, to which a substantial portion of our loan portfolio is tied. The weighted average prime rate for the third quarter of 2006 was $8.25 \%$ compared to $6.42 \%$ during the same quarter of 2005. The weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities also increased at a faster pace to $4.74 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 from $3.43 \%$ for the same quarter of 2005, a 131 basis point increase, primarily due to the increase in market interest rates and continued competition among Korean-American banks.

The resulting net interest margin was $5.11 \%$ for the third quarter of 2006 compared with $4.95 \%$ for the same quarter of 2005 . Despite a 150 basis point increase in the prime rate between the two periods, the net interest margin only increased by 16 basis points primarily due to the increase in lower yielding fixed rate loans and the increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities led by the heavier reliance on the higher-cost deposits such as time deposits. Average gross loans to average earning assets increased to $87.5 \%$ during the third quarter 2006 compared to $85.4 \%$ during the same period 2005. The weighted average cost of total deposits, including non-interest bearing deposits, for the third quarter of 2006 was $3.55 \%$ compared to $2.48 \%$ for the same quarter of 2005 , a 107 basis point increase.
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The weighted average yield on average interest-earning assets increased to $8.29 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with $7.12 \%$ for the same period of 2005 , a 117 basis point increase. The increase was primarily due to increases in the prime interest rate, to which a substantial portion of our loan portfolio is tied. The weighted average prime rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $7.58 \%$ compared to $5.93 \%$ during the same period of 2005 . The weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased at a faster pace to $4.37 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $3.00 \%$ for the same period of 2005, a 137 basis point increase, primarily due to the increase in market interest rates and continued competition among Korean-American banks.

The resulting net interest margin was $5.07 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared with $4.89 \%$ for the same period of 2005 . Despite continued increases in the prime rate, the net interest margin only increased by 18 basis points primarily due to the increase in lower yielding fixed rate loans and the increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities led by the heavier reliance on the higher-cost deposits such as time deposits. As of September 30, 2006, fixed rate loans accounted for $35 \%$ of the total loan portfolio. The weighted average cost of total deposits, including non-interest bearing deposits, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $3.27 \%$ compared to $2.11 \%$ for the same period of 2005, a 116 basis point increase.

Historically, the Company has included fees received on early loan pay-offs in other income. Such fees amounted to $\$ 618$ thousand and $\$ 221$ thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 276$ thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table presents our condensed consolidated average balance sheet information, together with interest rates earned and paid on the various sources and uses of funds for the periods indicated:

|  | Three months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  | Three months ended September 30, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate * <br> (Dollars in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \text { housands) } \end{aligned}$ | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average <br> Yield/ <br> Rate * |
| INTEREST EARNINGS ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (1) (2) | \$ 1,629,345 | \$ 37,200 | 9.13\% | \$ 1,434,369 | \$ 29,090 | 8.11\% |
| Other investments | 9,625 | 133 | 5.53\% | 8,245 | 95 | 4.61\% |
| Securities(3) | 198,214 | 2,340 | 4.72\% | 151,426 | 1,557 | 4.11\% |
| Federal funds sold | 25,120 | 337 | 5.37\% | 84,842 | 762 | 3.59\% |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ 1,862,304 | \$ 40,010 | 8.59\% | \$ 1,678,882 | \$ 31,504 | 7.51\% |
| INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, interest-bearing | \$ 214,661 | \$ 1,946 | 3.63\% | \$ 267,296 | \$ 1,821 | 2.73\% |
| Savings | 138,722 | 1,086 | 3.13\% | 98,682 | 513 | 2.08\% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 930,760 | 11,767 | 5.06\% | 814,889 | 7,294 | 3.58\% |
| FHLB borrowings | 45,171 | 535 | 4.74\% | 34,392 | 370 | 4.30\% |
| Subordinated debentures | 37,192 | 868 | 9.34\% | 37,160 | 733 | 7.89\% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$ 1,366,506 | \$ 16,202 | 4.74\% | \$ 1,252,419 | \$ 10,731 | 3.43\% |
| NON-INTEREST BEARING DEPOSITS: | \$ 383,587 |  |  | \$ 369,314 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 23,808 |  |  | \$ 20,773 |  |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.11\% |  |  | 4.95\% |
| Net interest margin, including loan prepayment fee income(4) |  |  | 5.25\% |  |  | 5.00\% |
| Net interest spread (including effect of non-interest bearing deposits) |  |  | 4.89\% |  |  | 4.86\% |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities |  |  | 136.28\% |  |  | 134.05\% |

## Edgar Filing: NARA BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

* Annualized
(1) Interest income on loans includes loan fees and net interest settlement from interest rate swaps.
(2) Average balances of loans are net of deferred loan fees and costs and include nonaccrual loans and loan held for sale.
(3) Interest income and yields are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis.
(4) Loan prepayment fee income included was $\$ 618$ thousand and $\$ 221$ thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
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|  | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Average Yield/ Rate * (Dollars in th | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \text { ousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average Yield/ Rate * |
| INTEREST EARNINGS ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (1) (2) | \$ 1,560,465 | \$ 104,201 | 8.90\% | \$ 1,359,773 | \$ 77,307 | 7.58\% |
| Other investments | 9,093 | 367 | 5.38\% | 9,016 | 266 | 3.93\% |
| Securities(3) | 186,246 | 6,284 | 4.50\% | 139,797 | 4,346 | 4.15\% |
| Federal funds sold | 64,769 | 2,356 | 4.85\% | 47,739 | 1,175 | 3.28\% |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ 1,820,573 | \$ 113,208 | 8.29\% | \$ 1,556,325 | \$ 83,094 | 7.12\% |
| INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, interest-bearing | \$ 214,294 | \$ 5,214 | 3.24\% | \$ 269,810 | \$ 4,865 | 2.40\% |
| Savings | 136,492 | 3,013 | 2.94\% | 105,502 | 1,508 | 1.91\% |
| Time certificates of deposit | 919,438 | 32,085 | 4.65\% | 671,914 | 15,788 | 3.13\% |
| FHLB borrowings | 35,816 | 1,212 | 4.51\% | 69,581 | 1,746 | 3.35\% |
| Subordinated debentures | 37,183 | 2,484 | 8.91\% | 37,152 | 2,086 | 7.49\% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$ 1,343,223 | \$ 44,008 | 4.37\% | \$ 1,153,959 | \$ 25,993 | 3.00\% |
| NON-INTEREST BEARING DEPOSITS: | \$ 372,133 |  |  | \$ 354,194 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 69,200 |  |  | \$ 57,101 |  |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.07\% |  |  | 4.89\% |
| Net interest margin, including loan prepayment fee income(4) |  |  | 5.16\% |  |  | 4.92\% |
| Net interest spread (including effect of non-interest bearing deposits) |  |  | 4.87\% |  |  | 4.82\% |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities |  |  | 135.54\% |  |  | 134.87\% |

* Annualized
(1) Interest income on loans includes loan fees and net interest settlement from interest rate swaps.
(2) Average balances of loans are net of deferred loan fees and costs and include nonaccrual loans and loan held for sale.
(3) Interest income and yields are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis.
(4) Loan prepayment fee income included was $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 276$ thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
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The following table illustrates the changes in our interest income, interest expenses, and amounts attributable to variations in interest rates, and volumes for the periods indicated. The variances attributable to simultaneous volume and rate changes have been allocated to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate categories in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amount attributable solely to the change in volume and to the change in rate.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended } \\ \text { September 30, 2006 over September 30, 2005 } \\ \text { Change due to }\end{array} \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Rate } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$
$\left.\begin{array}{l|ccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nine months ended } \\ \text { September 30, 2006 over September 30, 2005 } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Change due to }\end{array} \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) } \\ \text { Volume } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right\}$
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## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses reflects our judgment of the current period cost associated with credit risk inherent in our loan portfolio. The loan loss provision for each period is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, assessments by management, third parties and regulators examination of the quality of the loan portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral on problem loans and the general economic conditions in our market areas. Specifically, the provision for loan losses represents the amount charged against current period earnings to achieve an allowance for loan losses that, in our judgment, is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in our loan portfolio. Periodic fluctuations in the provision for loan losses result from management s assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; however, actual loan losses may vary from current estimates. If the allowance for loan losses was inadequate, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We recorded $\$ 1.2$ million in provision for loan losses during the third quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 970$ thousand in the same quarter of 2005. We recorded $\$ 2.4$ million in provision for loan losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 4.6$ million in the same period of 2005. This change reflects the results of our review and analysis of the loan portfolio and the adequacy of our existing allowance for loan losses in light of the growth experienced in our loan portfolio, the level of our net charge-offs, the quality of the loans, and the level of non-performing, classified and special mention loans. We believe that the allowance is sufficient to absorb probable incurred losses in our loan portfolio at September 30, 2006. See Allowance for Loan Losses below for further discussion.

## Non-interest Income

Non-interest income includes revenues earned from sources other than interest income. It is primarily comprised of service fees on deposits accounts, fees received from letter of credit operations, and net gains on sales of SBA loans and securities available for sale.

Non-interest income for the third quarter of 2006 was $\$ 5.0$ million compared to $\$ 5.8$ million for the same quarter of 2005 primarily due to a decrease in net gains on sales of SBA loans, offset in part by an increase in other income and fees. The net gains on sales of SBA loans decreased $\$ 925$ thousand or $50 \%$ to $\$ 922$ thousand for the third quarter of 2006, compared to $\$ 1.8$ million for the same quarter of 2005 . Due to the turnover in our SBA management towards the end of the second quarter of 2006, SBA loan production paused and sales were lower, resulting in lower net gains. Originations of SBA loans during the third quarter of 2006 were $\$ 23.3$ million compared to $\$ 43.9$ million during the same period in 2005. Sales of SBA loans during the third quarter of 2006 were $\$ 15.4$ million compared to $\$ 29.4$ million during the same quarter of 2005. Other income and fees increased $\$ 126$ thousand or $14 \%$ to $\$ 1.1$ million for the third quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 925$ thousand for the same quarter of 2005. The increase is primarily due to an increase in fees for early loan pay-offs.

Non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 15.0$ million, which unchanged from the same period of 2005. Net loan servicing fees were higher during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to an increase in serviced loans as we continue to sell SBA loans with servicing rights retained. The fees for early loan pay-offs were also higher for the first nine months of 2006. This increase was primarily due to pay-offs of loans that have a heavier early prepayment penalty clauses imposed in recent years. (See also discussion of net interest margin) These increases in non-interest income were offset by a decrease in service fees on deposits as we discontinued servicing of check cashing businesses and also promoted free checking accounts. We also had a decrease in international service fee income as the activities in this line of businesses declined over the past year.
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The breakdown of changes in our non-interest income by category is illustrated below:


|  | Nine Months Ended |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ember 30, 2006 |  | er 30, 5 allars in | Amount ands) | Percent (\%) |
| Service fees on deposit accounts | \$ | 4,528 | \$ | 4,712 | \$ (184) | -4\% |
| International service fees |  | 2,027 |  | 2,230 | (203) | -9\% |
| Loan servicing fees, net |  | 1,395 |  | 1,196 | 199 | 17\% |
| Wire transfer fees |  | 1,046 |  | 1,057 | (11) | -1\% |
| Other income and fees |  | 2,318 |  | 1,969 | 349 | 18\% |
| Net gains on sales of SBA loans |  | 3,735 |  | 3,690 | 45 | 1\% |
| Net gains on sales of securities available for sale |  |  |  | 143 | (143) | -100\% |
| Total non-interest income |  | 15,049 | \$ | 14,997 | \$ 52 | 0\% |

## Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the third quarter of 2006 was $\$ 13.0$ million compared to $\$ 12.1$ million for the same quarter of 2005, an increase of $\$ 959$ thousand or $8 \%$. Occupancy expense for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased to $\$ 2.0$ million compared to $\$ 1.8$ million for the same period of 2005 , an increase of $\$ 226$ thousand or $13 \%$. This increase is primarily due to the signing of the new Garden Grove and Fullerton office lease contracts during the fourth quarter of 2005 and an increase in aggregate overall lease payments for lease renewals. Data processing and communications expense increased $\$ 189$ thousand or $23 \%$ as the number of accounts and transactions increased. Professional fees increased $\$ 202$ thousand or $33 \%$ to $\$ 815$ thousand for the third quarter of 2006, compared to $\$ 613$ thousand for the same quarter of 2005. This increase is primarily due to engaging consulting firms for various projects, including MOU compliance and the CEO search.

Other expenses increased $\$ 172$ thousand, or $10 \%$, to $\$ 1.9$ million for the third quarter of 2006, primarily due to increases in FDIC insurance premiums and Corporate insurance expense. FDIC insurance premiums increased $\$ 129$ thousand or $293 \%$ to $\$ 173$ thousand for the third quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 44$ thousand for the same quarter of 2005 . This increase was a result of an increased insurance assessment rate from zero to three cents, effective for the semi-annual period ending June 30, 2006. Corporate insurance, including D\&O insurance, also increased $\$ 144$ thousand or $262 \%$ to $\$ 199$ thousand for the third quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 55$ thousand for the same quarter of 2005. This was primarily due to increased $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ coverage and higher premiums as a result of the restatement related matters during 2005.

Non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 40.3$ million compared to $\$ 35.2$ million for the same period of 2005, an increase of $\$ 5.1$ million or $14 \%$. Salaries and employee benefits increased to $\$ 20.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 17.6$ million for the same period of 2005. The
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increase of $\$ 2.7$ million or $15 \%$ was primarily due to an increase in personnel and the accounting for stock compensation expense of $\$ 980$ thousand recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Our FTE head count increased from 376 at year-end 2005 to 395 at September 30, 2006. Occupancy expense increased due to signing of new leases during the second half of 2005 and an overall increase in lease rates. Advertising and marketing expense increased primarily due to on-going bank-wide deposit campaigns throughout the year 2006, including our summer World-Cup advertising and promotional campaign. Professional fees were lower for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 by $\$ 280$ thousand or $11 \%$ compared to same period 2005. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we incurred approximately $\$ 1.2$ million in professional fees related to the restatement. Excluding this non-recurring expense of $\$ 1.2$ million, professional fees increased $\$ 920$ thousand during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase is primarily due to legal expenses related to the MOU compliance, CEO and employee search fees and other consulting fees.

Other expense increased $\$ 1.1$ million or $23 \%$ to $\$ 5.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily due to increases in FDIC insurance premiums and Corporate insurance expense as mentioned above. The increase in FDIC insurance was $\$ 365$ thousand or $277 \%$ and the increase in Corporate insurance, including D\&O insurance, was $\$ 436$ thousand or $266 \%$.

The change in non-interest expense is illustrated below:


|  | Nine Months Ended |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & \text { 30, } 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | er 30, 5 ollars in | Amount sands) | Percent (\%) |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 20,240 | \$ | 17,564 | \$ 2,676 | 15\% |
| Occupancy | 5,727 |  | 5,055 | 672 | 13\% |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,630 |  | 1,511 | 119 | 8\% |
| Advertising and marketing | 1,697 |  | 1,360 | 337 | 25\% |
| Data processing and communications | 3,000 |  | 2,496 | 504 | 20\% |
| Professional fees | 2,275 |  | 2,555 | (280) | -11\% |
| Other | 5,740 |  | 4,675 | 1,065 | 23\% |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 40,309 | \$ | 35,216 | \$ 5,093 | 14\% |

## Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes were $\$ 5.9$ million and $\$ 5.6$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The effective tax rate for the quarters ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was $40.5 \%$ and $41.4 \%$, respectively. During the third quarter of 2006, certain tax contingencies were resolved resulting in a reduction in deferred tax liabilities and tax expense of approximately $\$ 230$ thousand. Income taxes were $\$ 17.1$ million and $\$ 13.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 with an effective tax rate of $41.2 \%$ and $41.4 \%$, respectively.
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## Financial Condition

At September 30, 2006, our total assets were $\$ 2.0$ billion, an increase of $\$ 203.0$ million or $11 \%$ from $\$ 1.8$ billion at December 31, 2005. The growth was primarily due to increases in our loan portfolio funded by growth in our deposits and other borrowings.

## Loan Portfolio

As of September 30, 2006, our gross loans (net of deferred loan fees and costs) increased by $\$ 214.6$ million or $15 \%$ to $\$ 1.66$ billion from $\$ 1.45$ billion at December 31, 2005. Commercial loans, which include domestic commercial, international trade finance and SBA loans, at September 30, 2006 increased by $\$ 37.0$ million or $8 \%$, to $\$ 520.3$ million from $\$ 483.2$ million at December 31, 2005. Real estate loans increased by $\$ 189.0$ million, or $21 \%$, to $\$ 1.09$ billion at September 30, 2006 from $\$ 900.7$ million at December 31, 2005.

The following table summarizes our loan portfolio by amount and percentage of gross loans in each major loan category at the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, 2006 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | (Dollars in thousands) |  | Percent |
| Loan Portfolio Composition: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans | \$ 520,253 | 31\% | \$ 483,231 | 33\% |
| Real estate loans | 1,089,705 | 65\% | 900,699 | 62\% |
| Consumer and other loans | 53,132 | 4\% | 64,633 | 5\% |
| Gross loans outstanding | 1,663,090 | 100\% | 1,448,563 | 100\% |
| Unamortized deferred loan fees, net of costs | $(2,769)$ |  | $(2,823)$ |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(18,909)$ |  | $(17,618)$ |  |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ 1,641,412 |  | \$ 1,428,122 |  |

We normally do not extend lines of credit and make loan commitments to business customers for periods in excess of one year. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for extending loan facilities to our customers. We perform annual reviews of such commitments prior to the renewal. The following table shows our loan commitments and letters of credit outstanding at the dates indicated:

|  | September 30, 2006 <br> (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loan commitments | $\$ 205,525$ | $\$ 199,968$ |  |
| Standby letters of credit | 13,990 | 14,077 |  |
| Other commercial letters of credit | 29,160 | 25,858 |  |
|  | $\$ 248,675$ | $\$$ | 239,903 |
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At September 30, 2006, our nonperforming assets (nonaccrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest, restructured loans, and other real estate owned) were $\$ 4.6$ million, a decrease of $\$ 1.6$ million or $26 \%$ from $\$ 6.2$ million at December 31, 2005. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets to total assets was $0.23 \%$ and $0.35 \%$ at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2006, nonperforming loans were $\$ 4.0$ million, a decrease of $\$ 1.5$ million, from $\$ 5.5$ million at December 31, 2005. This decrease was primarily due to pay-offs of $\$ 1.1$ million, composed of four loans, $\$ 1.0$ million in charge-offs, and one loan being placed back on an accrual status during the period due to its improved credit quality. At September 30, 2006, nonperforming loans to total gross loans was $0.24 \%$ compared to $0.38 \%$ at December 31, 2005.

The following table summarizes the composition of our nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated.

|  | September 30, 20 | Dec | $31,2005$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 3,983 | \$ | 5,489 |
| Loan past due 90 days or more, still accruing |  |  |  |
| Total Nonperforming Loans | 3,983 |  | 5,489 |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |
| Restructured loans | 606 |  | 741 |
| Total Nonperforming Assets | \$ 4,589 | \$ | 6,230 |
| Nonperforming loans to total gross loans | 0.24\% |  | 0.38\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.23\% |  | 0.35\% |

## Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 18.9$ million at September 30, 2006, compared to $\$ 17.6$ million at December 31, 2005. We recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 2.4$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 4.6$ million for the same period of 2005. The decrease in the provision for loan losses is primarily due to a $\$ 9.7$ million decrease in classified and special mention loans. from the 2005 year-end level. The decrease in classified and special mention loans was primarily due to payoffs and upgrades. Approximately $\$ 5.0$ million of those loans were paid off and the remaining loans were upgraded due to improvements in credit quality. The allowance for loan losses was $1.14 \%$ of gross loans at September 30, 2006 and $1.22 \%$ at December 31, 2005. Total special mention and classified loans at September 30, 2006 were $\$ 11.3$ million, compared to $\$ 21.0$ million at December 31, 2005.

We believe the allowance for loan losses as of September 30, 2006 is adequate to absorb probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. However, no assurance can be given that actual losses will not exceed the estimated amounts.

The following table provides a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses by category of loans at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, 2006 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
|  | Amount | \% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans | Amount | \% of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans |
| Loan Type |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 5,284 | 31\% | \$ 5,061 | 33\% |
| Real estate | 12,659 | 65\% | 11,469 | 62\% |
| Consumer | 966 | 4\% | 1,057 | 5\% |
| Unallocated |  | N/A | 31 | N/A |
| Total allowance | \$ 18,909 | 100\% | \$ 17,618 | 100\% |
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The following table shows the provisions made for loan losses, the amount of loans charged off, the recoveries on loans previously charged off together with the balance in the allowance for loan losses at the beginning and end of each period, the amount of average and total loans outstanding, and other pertinent ratios as of the dates and for the periods indicated:

|  | Nine months ended September 30, 2006 2005 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOANS: |  |  |  |  |
| Average gross loans, including loans held for sale | \$ 1,560,465 |  | \$ 1,359,773 |  |
| Gross loans, excluding loans held for sale and net of deferred loan fees and costs, at end of period |  | 660,321 |  | 427,094 |
| ALLOWANCE: |  |  |  |  |
| Balance-beginning of period | \$ | 17,618 | \$ | 14,627 |
| Less: Loan charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 1,549 |  | 1,778 |
| Real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 845 |  | 821 |
| Total loan charge-offs |  | 2,394 |  | 2,599 |
| Plus: Loan Recoveries |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 918 |  | 285 |
| Real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 375 |  | 185 |
| Total loan recoveries |  | 1,293 |  | 470 |
| Net loan charge-offs |  | 1,101 |  | 2,129 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 2,392 |  | 4,570 |
| Balance-end of period | \$ | 18,909 | \$ | 17,068 |
| Net loan charge-offs to average gross loans * |  | 0.09\% |  | 0.21\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period |  | 1.14\% |  | 1.20\% |
| Net loan charge-offs to beginning allowance * |  | 8.33\% |  | 19.41\% |
| Net loan charge-offs to provision for loan losses |  | 46.03\% |  | 46.59\% |

## * Annualized

Total loans are net of deferred loan fees and costs of $\$ 2,769,000$ and $\$ 3,150,000$ at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
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## Investment Securities Portfolio

We classify our securities as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale under SFAS No.115. Those securities that we have the ability and intent to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity securities. All other securities are classified as available-for-sale . We did not own any trading securities at September 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005. Securities that are held to maturity are stated at cost, adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Securities that are available for sale are stated at fair value. The securities we currently hold are government-sponsored agency bonds, corporate bonds, asset-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage backed securities, mutual funds and municipal bonds.

As of September 30, 2006, we had $\$ 1.0$ million in held-to-maturity securities and $\$ 204.4$ million in available-for-sale securities compared to $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 174.7$ million, respectively at December 31, 2005. The total net unrealized loss on the available-for sale securities at September 30, 2006 was $\$ 3.9$ million compared to net unrealized loss of $\$ 3.4$ million at December 31, 2005. During the nine months of 2006, a total of $\$ 38.7$ million in securities available-for-sale were purchased. There was no sale of securities during the quarter.

Securities with a carrying value of $\$ 4.6$ million were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes as required or permitted by law at September 30, 2006. Securities with a carrying value of $\$ 11.2$ million and $\$ 125.0$ million were pledged to the FHLB of San Francisco and the State of California Treasurer s Office, respectively, at September 30, 2006.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost, estimated fair value and distribution of our investment securities portfolio as of the dates indicated:

## Investment Portfolio

|  | $\underset{\text { Estimated }}{\text { At September 30, } 2006}$ |  |  |  |  |  | At December 31, 2005Estimated |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Fair Value |  | Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) (Dollars in |  | Amortized Cost housands) |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) |  |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency | \$ | 92,535 | \$ | 90,757 | \$ | $(1,778)$ | \$ | 92,500 | \$ | 90,797 | \$ | $(1,703)$ |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 60,184 |  | 59,092 |  | $(1,092)$ |  | 26,820 |  | 25,935 |  | (885) |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 38,640 |  | 37,542 |  | $(1,098)$ |  | 45,615 |  | 44,732 |  | (883) |
| Asset-backed securities |  | 1,946 |  | 1,937 |  | (9) |  | 1,991 |  | 1,989 |  | (2) |
| Municipal bonds |  | 7,054 |  | 7,237 |  | 183 |  | 7,173 |  | 7,331 |  | 158 |
| Mutual funds |  | 4,000 |  | 3,896 |  | (104) |  | 4,000 |  | 3,925 |  | (75) |
| Total available-for-sale |  | 204,359 |  | 200,461 | \$ | $(3,898)$ |  | 178,099 |  | 174,709 | \$ | $(3,390)$ |
| Held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 1,001 | \$ | 1,023 | \$ | 22 |
| Total held-to-maturity | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 1,001 | \$ | 1,023 | \$ | 22 |
| Total investment portfolio |  | 205,359 |  | 201,466 | \$ | $(3,893)$ |  | 179,100 |  | 175,732 | \$ | $(3,368)$ |
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The following table shows our investments gross unrealized losses and estimated fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss positions at September 30, 2006.


We evaluate securities for other-than-temporary impairment on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and our intent and ability to retain our investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, we may consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer s financial condition.

During the nine month ended September 30, 2006, we did not have any sales of investment securities resulting in any losses. For those investments in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2006, we have the intent and ability to hold them until maturity or full recovery of their market values.

## Deposits and Other Borrowings

Deposits. Deposits are our primary source of funds used in our lending and investment activities. At September 30, 2006, our deposits increased by $\$ 122.8$ million or $8 \%$ to $\$ 1.65$ billion from $\$ 1.53$ billion at December 31, 2005. Non-jumbo time deposits totaled $\$ 195.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 62.0$ million or $46 \%$ from $\$ 133.4$ million at December 31, 2005, which accounted for the biggest increase. Interest-bearing demand deposits, including money market and super now accounts, totaled $\$ 202.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 16.6$ million or $9 \%$ from $\$ 185.6$ million at December 31, 2005. These increases were primarily due to bank-wide marketing campaigns, specifically on new money market and time deposit products, targeting non-jumbo products.

At September 30, 2006, $23 \%$ of total deposits were non-interest bearing demand deposits, $56 \%$ were time deposits, and $21 \%$ were interest bearing demand and saving deposits. By comparison, at December 31, 2005, $24 \%$ of the total deposits were non-interest bearing demand deposits, $56 \%$ were time deposits, and $20 \%$ were interest bearing demand and saving deposits. Time deposits continued to dominate the deposit composition primarily due to the current rate sensitive market environment.

At September 30, 2006, we had a total of $\$ 50.6$ million in brokered deposits and $\$ 100.0$ million in State Treasurer deposits compared to $\$ 118.3$ million and $\$ 60.0$ million at December 31, 2005, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we paid off $\$ 67.6$ million in brokered deposits. The weighted average life of the brokered deposits is two years with a weighted average rate of $4.03 \%$. The State Treasurer deposits were six months maturities with a weighted average interest rate of $5.11 \%$ and were collateralized with securities with a carrying value of $\$ 125.0$ million at September 30, 2006. The State deposits are subject to withdrawal based on the State s periodic evaluations.
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Other Borrowings. Advances may be obtained from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ( FHLB ) as an alternative source of funds. Advances from the FHLB are typically secured by a pledge of mortgage loans and/or securities with a market value at least equal to the outstanding advances plus our investment in FHLB stock.

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 81.0$ million of fixed rate FHLB advances with average remaining maturities of 2.5 years and $\$ 31.0$ million with remaining maturities of 2.1 years. The weighted average rate was $4.78 \%$ at September 30, 2006 compared to $4.38 \%$ at December 31, 2005. During September of 2006, we obtained $\$ 50$ million in FHLB advances to fund our loan growth. Although the Bank has no restrictions on borrowings as a result of the recent regulatory actions, the Company may not increase its borrowings, incur any debt or renew existing debt without the consent of the Federal Reserve Bank.

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, five wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts established by Nara Bancorp had issued $\$ 38$ million of pooled Trust Preferred Securities ( trust preferred securities ). Trust preferred securities accrue and pay distributions periodically at specified annual rates as provided in the related indentures. The trusts used the net proceeds from the offering to purchase a like amount of subordinated debentures (the Debentures ) of Nara Bancorp. The Debentures are the sole assets of the trusts. Nara Bancorp s obligations under the subordinated debentures and related documents, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by Nara Bancorp of the obligations of the trusts. The trust preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon the maturity of the Debentures, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indentures. Nara Bancorp has the right to redeem the Debentures in whole (but not in part) on or after specific dates, at a redemption price specified in the indentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date. The weighted average cost of the trust preferred securities was $9.34 \%$ and $8.91 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The dividend payments on trust preferred securities require a pre-approval from the Federal Reserve Bank.

## Off-Balance-Sheet Activities And Contractual Obligations

We routinely engage in activities that involve, to varying degrees, elements of risk that are not reflected, in whole or in part, in the consolidated financial statements. These activities are part of our normal course of business and include traditional off-balance-sheet credit-related financial instruments, interest rate swap contracts, operating leases and long-term debt.

Traditional off-balance-sheet credit-related financial instruments are primarily commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These activities could require us to make cash payments to third parties in the event certain specified future events occur. The contractual amounts represent the extent of our exposure in these off-balance-sheet activities. However, since certain off-balance-sheet commitments, particularly standby letters of credit, are expected to expire or be only partially used, the total amount of commitments does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. These activities are necessary to meet the financing needs of our customers.

We enter into interest rate swap contracts under which we are required to either receive cash from or pay cash to counterparties depending on changes in interest rates. We also purchased interest rate caps at premium to protect against further rise in interest rate. We utilize interest rate swap contracts and interest rate caps to help manage the risk of changing interest rates. Our accounting for interest rate swap, caps and floor contracts is discussed below under Item 3.

We do not anticipate that our current off-balance-sheet activities will have a material impact on our future results of operations or our financial condition. Further information regarding our financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk can be found in Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk .

We continue to lease our banking facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases with terms providing monthly payments over periods up to 18 years.
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## Stockholders Equity and Regulatory Capital

To ensure adequate levels of capital, we conduct an ongoing assessment of projected sources and uses of capital in conjunction with projected increases in assets and levels of risk. We consider on an ongoing basis, among other things, capital generated from operations, access to capital from financial markets or the issuance of additional securities, including common stock or notes, to meet our capital needs. Total stockholders equity was $\$ 176.6$ million at September 30, 2006. This represented an increase of $\$ 29.9$ million or $20 \%$ over total stockholders equity of $\$ 146.8$ million at December 31, 2005. The increase is primarily attributed to net income and proceeds from options exercised, offset by cash dividends paid for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

The federal banking agencies require a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-adjusted assets of $8 \%$ and a minimum ratio of Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$. In addition to the risk-based guidelines, federal banking regulators require banking organizations to maintain a minimum amount of Tier I capital to total assets, referred to as the leverage ratio. For a banking organization rated in the highest of the five categories used by regulators to rate banking organizations, the minimum leverage ratio of Tier I capital to total assets must be $4 \%$. In addition to these uniform risk-based capital guidelines and leverage ratios that apply across the industry, the regulators have the discretion to set individual minimum capital requirements for specific institutions at rates significantly above the minimum guidelines and ratios.

At September 30, 2006, our Tier I capital, defined as stockholders equity less intangible assets, plus proceeds from the Trust Preferred Securities (subject to limitations), was $\$ 213.2$ million, compared to $\$ 182.5$ million at December 31, 2005, representing an increase of $\$ 30.7$ million or $17 \%$. This increase was primarily due to the net income and proceeds from options exercised, offset by the cash dividends paid. At September 30, 2006, we had a ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of $13.17 \%$ and a ratio of Tier I capital to total risk-weighted assets of $12.09 \%$. The Tier I leverage ratio was $10.91 \%$ at September 30, 2006.

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

The Company s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the table below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Required To Be } \\ \text { Well Capitalized } \\ \text { under Prompt }\end{array} \\ \text { Corrective Action } \\ \text { Provisions } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right]$
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$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Required To Be } \\ \text { Well Capitalized } \\ \text { under Prompt }\end{array} \\ \text { Corrective Action } \\ \text { Provisions } \\ \text { Ratio }\end{array}\right]$

During 2005, our regulatory agencies placed additional restrictions and requirements on our Company including the requirement to obtain prior approval before payment of dividends or to issue debt, including trust preferred securities.

## Liquidity Management

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital resulting from our inability to meet our obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. Liquidity risk includes the ability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources and to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect our ability to liquidate assets quickly and with a minimum loss of value or to access other sources of cash. Factors considered in liquidity risk management are stability of the deposit base, marketability, maturity, and pledging of investments, alternative sources of funds, and the demand for credit.

Our sources of liquidity are derived from financing activities, which include customer and broker deposits, federal funds facilities, borrowings from the State Treasurer and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. In addition, these funding sources are augmented by payments of principal and interest on loans and the routine liquidation of securities from our available-for-sale portfolio. Our uses of funds include withdrawal of and interest payments on deposits, originations of loans, purchases of investment securities, and payment of operating expenses.

We manage liquidity risk by managing interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and by maintaining alternative sources of funds as described above. The sale of investment securities available-for-sale can also serve as a contingent source of funds.

We have established broker deposit relationships, lines with correspondent banks and borrowing lines with the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco. At September 30, 2006, our borrowing capacity included $\$ 246.0$ in brokered deposits (policy limitation), $\$ 61.0$ million in line facilities from correspondent banks and $\$ 323.4$ million in unused Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco advances. In addition to these lines, our liquid assets include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and securities available for sale that are not pledged. The aggregate book value of these assets totaled $\$ 117.3$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 142.5$ million at December 31, 2005. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The objective of our asset and liability management activities is to improve our earnings by adjusting the type and mix of assets and liabilities to effectively address changing conditions and risks. Through overall management of our balance sheet and by controlling various risks, we seek to optimize our financial returns within safe and sound parameters. Our operating strategies for attaining this objective include managing net interest margin through
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appropriate risk/return pricing of asset and liabilities and to emphasizing growth in retail deposits, as a percentage of interest-bearing liabilities, to reduce our cost of funds. We also seek to improve earnings by controlling non-interest expense, and enhancing non-interest income. We also use risk management instruments to modify interest rate characteristics of certain assets and liabilities to hedge against our exposure to interest rate fluctuations with the objective of, reducing the effects these fluctuations might have on associated cash flows or values. Finally, we perform internal analyses to measure, evaluate and monitor risk.

## Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the most significant market risk impacting us. Market risk is the risk of loss to future earnings, to fair values of our assets and liabilities, or to future cash flows that may result from changes in the price of a financial instrument. Interest rate risk occurs when interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities do not reprice simultaneously and in equal volume. A key objective of asset and liability management is to manage interest rate risk associated with changing asset and liability cash flows and values of our assets and liabilities and market interest rate movements. The management of interest rate risk is governed by policies reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Directors. Our Board delegates responsibility for interest rate risk management to the Asset and Liability Management Committee ( ALCO ), which is composed of Nara Bank s senior executives and other designated officers.

The fundamental objective of our ALCO is to manage our exposure to interest rate fluctuations while maintaining adequate levels of liquidity and capital. Our ALCO meets regularly to monitor interest rate risk, the sensitivity of our assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and market values of assets and liabilities, investment activities and directs changes in the composition of the statement of financial condition. Our strategy has been to reduce the sensitivity of our earnings to interest rate fluctuations by more closely matching the effective maturities or repricing characteristics of our assets and liabilities. Certain assets and liabilities, however, may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Furthermore, interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate prior to changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind. We consider the anticipated effects of these factors when implementing our interest rate risk management objectives.

## Swaps and Caps

As part of our asset and liability management strategy, we may enter into derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, with the overall goal of minimizing the impact of interest rate fluctuations on our net interest margin. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of fixed-rate and variable-rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional amounts. During 2002, we entered into eight different interest rate swap agreements and seven of these agreements are outstanding at September 30, 2006. In August 2006, we purchased $\$ 100$ million of interest rate caps which mature in 15 months in November 2007, tied to 3-month LIBOR, adjusting monthly. See footnote 8 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

## Interest Rate Sensitivity

We monitor interest rate risk through the use of a simulation model. The simulation model provides us with the ability to simulate our net interest income. In order to measure, at September 30, 2006, the sensitivity of our forecasted net interest income to changing interest rates, both rising and falling interest rate scenarios were projected and compared to base market interest rate forecasts. One application of our simulation model measures the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets and liabilities, defined as our market value of equity. This analysis assesses the changes in market values of interest rate sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an immediate and parallel changes in market interest rates.
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At September 30, 2006, our net interest income and market value of equity exposed to immediate and parallel hypothetical changes in market interest rates are illustrated in the following table.

| Simulated Rate Changes | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Income Sensitivity | Market Value of <br> Equity Volatility |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| +200 basis points | $3.20 \%$ | $(11.72) \%$ |
| +100 basis points | $1.76 \%$ | $(5.73) \%$ |
| -100 basis points | $(1.30) \%$ | $5.03 \%$ |
| -200 basis points | $(2.90) \%$ | $8.29 \%$ |

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

## a. Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Acting President and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act )) as of September 30, 2006. Based upon that evaluation, our Acting President and our Chief Financial Officer have determined that our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed and are being operated in a manner that provides reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Acting President and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

## b. Management s responsibility for financial statements

Our management is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of all information presented in this report. The consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include amounts based on management $s$ best estimates and judgments. Management believes the consolidated financial statements fairly reflect the form and substance of transactions and that the financial statements fairly represent the Company s condensed consolidated financial position and results of operations for the periods and as of the dates stated therein.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is composed solely of independent directors, meets regularly with our independent registered public accounting firm, Crowe Chizek and Company LLP, and representatives of management to review accounting, financial reporting, internal control and audit matters, as well as the nature and extent of the audit effort. The Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement of the independent auditors. The independent auditors have free access to the Audit Committee.

## c. Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II

## OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are a party to routine litigation incidental to our business, none of which is considered likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

Item 1A. Risk Factors
There were no material changes from risk factors previously disclosed in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
None

Item 5. Other Information
None

Item 6. Exhibits
See Index to Exhibits
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 9, 2006

Date: November 9, 2006

## NARA BANCORP, INC.

/s/ Min Jung Kim
Min Jung Kim
Acting President and Chief Operating Officer
/s/ Alvin D. Kang
Alvin D. Kang
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal financial officer)
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## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Number <br> 31.1 .1 | Description of Document <br> Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley of 2002 <br> 31.1.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley of 2002 |  |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley of 2002 |
| 32.1 .1 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley of 2002 <br> Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor <br> Protection Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 .2 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor <br> Protection Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 .3 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor <br> Protection Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to section 906 of the Public Company Accounting Reform and Investor <br> Protection Act of 2002 |


[^0]:    * The decrease in non-interest expense for Trade Finance Services (TFS) during the period is due to a change in the allocation methodology. In 2005, overhead expense was allocated to TFS in proportion to the number of employees of TFS. In 2006, the expense for TFS was allocated based on direct expenses, space usage and contribution to earnings.

[^1]:    * The decrease in non-interest expense for Trade Finance Services (TFS) during the period is due to a change in the allocation methodology. In 2005, overhead expense was allocated to TFS in proportion to the number of employees of TFS. In 2006, the expense for TFS was allocated based on direct expenses, space usage and contribution to earnings.

