

GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10-K  
September 21, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 001-35413

Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

58-2412516  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

121 Greenway Boulevard  
Carrollton, GA  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

30117  
(Zip Code)

(770) 836-3100  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:  
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant computed by reference to the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange as of the last business day of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter is not available because the registrant's stock did not begin trading until February 2, 2012.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, as of September 17, 2012 was 29,375,013.

---

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K incorporate information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with the registrant's 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

---

---

---

GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
FORM 10-K—ANNUAL REPORT  
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012  
Table of Contents

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	22
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	
Item 2. Properties	35
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	35
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	35
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	36
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	38
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	40
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	57
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	57
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	57
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	57
Item 9B. Other Information	57
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	58
Item 11. Executive Compensation	58
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	58
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	58
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	58
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	58
SIGNATURES	60

Forward-Looking Statements:

This Form 10-K contains “forward-looking statements” about Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. (also referred to herein as “we”, “our”, “us”, “Company”, “Greenway” or “Greenway Medical”) that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially and adversely from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in “Part I, Item 1. - Business”, Part I, Item 1A—“Risk Factors” and Part II, Item 7—“Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” but appear throughout this Form 10-K. Forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to, statements relating to our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, asset quality, volatility of our common stock, financial condition or other future financial or business performance, strategies, expectations, or business prospects, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as “estimate,” “plan,” “project,” “forecast,” “intend,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “seek,” “target” or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements reflect our judgment based on currently available information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements.

The following uncertainties and factors, among others (including the factors described in the section entitled “Risk Factors” in this report), could affect our future performance and cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements:

our ability to adapt to evolving technology and industry standards;

our ability to implement our growth strategy;

our ability to retain management and other qualified personnel;

failure to prevent disruptions in service or damage to our third-party providers’ data centers;

failure to avoid liability for the use of content we provide;

regulation of the healthcare information technology industry;

our ability to ensure our solutions meet industry and government standards;

failure to maintain adequate security measures for our customers confidential information and personal identifiable information and patient’s protected health information;

our ability to obtain new provider clients;

failure of the HITECH Act and other incentive programs to be fully implemented or funded by the government;

our ability to implement our strategic relationships as currently intended;

failure to establish, protect or enforce our intellectual property; and

restrictions in our credit facility and future indebtedness.

Additionally, there may be other factors that could preclude us from realizing the predictions made in the forward-looking statements. We operate in a continually changing business environment and new factors emerge from time to time. We cannot predict such factors or assess the impact, if any, of such factors on our financial position or results of operations. All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-K speak only as of the date of this Form 10-K and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-K or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

This report also contains statistical data and estimates, including those relating to market size and growth rates of the markets in which we participate, that we obtained from industry publications and generated with internal analysis and estimates. These publications include forward-looking statements made by the authors of such reports. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Some data and information are also based on our good faith estimates, which are derived from our review of internal surveys as well as the independent sources listed above. Although we believe these sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the information and cannot assure you of its accuracy or completeness.

## PART I

### Item 1. Business.

#### Overview

We are a leading provider of integrated information technology solutions and managed business services to ambulatory healthcare providers throughout the United States. At the core of our suite of solutions and services is the PrimeSUITE platform, our award-winning, innovative and flexible software and business services solutions for ambulatory care providers. PrimeSUITE integrates clinical, financial and administrative functionality, including electronic health record “EHR”, practice management “PM” and interoperability capabilities. We developed PrimeSUITE in a way that employs a single database that gives providers a comprehensive view of the patient record.

We believe that our approach supports efficient workflows throughout each patient encounter, reduces clinical and administrative errors and allows for the seamless exchange of data between our provider customers and the broader healthcare community. We augment our software solutions by offering managed business services, including clinically driven revenue cycle management (“RCM”) and EHR-enabled research services. By integrating clinical, financial and administrative data and processes, our solutions and services enable providers to deliver more advanced care and improve their efficiency and profitability. Based on our own internal tracking data, over 12,000 providers, which we define as physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants, use our solutions and services to deliver care to and manage the clinical, financial and administrative information of over 24 million patients treated annually. The number of provider sites where our solutions are installed has grown from 50 in 2003 to more than 2,100 as of June 30, 2012, with each site representing between one and 100 providers. Our technology solutions and services address the needs of providers in all ambulatory settings: independent physician practices, multi-specialty group practices, hospital-affiliated and hospital-owned clinics and practices, retail clinics, employer clinics, university and academic health centers, federally qualified health centers (“FQHCs”), community health centers (“CHCs”), integrated delivery networks (“IDNs”), accountable care communities (“ACCs”) and accountable care organizations (“ACOs”). Our single database technology platform, which reflects over 13 years of development, is available in either remotely hosted cloud-based solutions or a premise-based model, and is scalable to serve the needs of ambulatory provider groups of any size. As providers’ needs evolve, our platform allows for the efficient development and integration of new solutions, which we refer to as our innovation platform.

The ambulatory EHR market has historically been underpenetrated and installed systems are often underutilized. Adoption of these technologies has been low for several reasons including resistance among providers to making the required investment, as well as concerns that creating and managing electronic records may disrupt clinical and administrative workflows. The adoption rate of technology solutions for the ambulatory market is accelerating as more providers achieve benefits from these solutions, including increased efficiencies and associated return on investment from adoption of solutions such as PrimeSUITE. Through legislative and regulatory action, the government has provided additional financial incentives and implementation support for ambulatory providers to adopt EHR solutions, and providers have responded by seeking fully integrated EHR, practice management and associated technology and service solutions. Several emerging trends impacting consumers and providers, including increasing consumer involvement in clinical quality measures, more financial responsibility for care shifted to the consumer, as well as shifts in provider reimbursement to quality-based systems, an emerging focus on improving the coordination of care among providers and changing scope of practice among clinical professionals, are creating strong incentives for the adoption of technologies that meet the needs of a rapidly changing ambulatory healthcare environment.



We believe we are competitively positioned to penetrate this market opportunity and to take advantage of emerging trends in ambulatory care including demand for improved care coordination, interoperability, mobility, consumer-ism and data liquidity. Our integrated technology solution is consistently rated among the best in the industry. Since 2004, PrimeSUITE has received 13 “Best in KLAS” awards in ambulatory EHR and PM categories. KLAS is an independent body that measures healthcare technology vendor performance.

We maintain a customer retention rate of approximately 95% in a market in which 35% to as much as 50% of providers who have adopted technologies are considering replacing their current vendors, according to KLAS. We believe this success is a reflection of our historical and continuing focus on usability at the point of care as our foremost development priority and our commitment and dedication to customer service from initial implementation and training to on-going support.

During fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, our total revenue was \$124.0 million and operating income was \$4.9 million compared to \$89.8 million and \$3.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, and \$64.6 million and \$3.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2010.

#### Initial Public Offering

On February 7, 2012, we completed an initial public offering of common stock. The total offering size was 7,666,667 shares after exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option, consisting of 6,388,833 shares issued by us and 1,277,834 shares sold by existing stockholders of the Company. The Company’s common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “GWAY.”

#### Industry Overview

Healthcare in the United States has historically been provided through two different settings: ambulatory or outpatient care, which includes physician offices, outpatient surgery centers, employer and retail clinics; and acute, or inpatient care, which is primarily hospitals. There is an increasing focus on delivering high-quality care in the most cost-effective and convenient setting, which is causing a shift in care delivery from acute care to ambulatory providers. This shift is increasing the volume and changing the types of care delivered in the ambulatory setting. This pattern is expected to continue as a result of demographic trends, increasing awareness of the effectiveness of preventive care and early diagnosis in ambulatory settings to avoid, or reduce hospital admissions, as well as anticipated expanded health insurance coverage provided for by healthcare reform provisions.

In addition to increased ambulatory care volume, providers face financial and operating challenges related to pressure on reimbursement rates and intensifying documentation, administration and regulatory requirements. Over the past several years, reimbursement has not grown at the same rate as the underlying cost of delivering care. Furthermore, the increasing complexity of the reimbursement process, including new claims coding standards, as well as the proliferation of consumer-oriented health plan designs have led to added administrative burdens for providers. In an effort to align provider incentives with improved quality of care and cost efficiencies, payers are introducing new payment methodologies that tie reimbursement to providers’ ability to coordinate care and to demonstrate improved patient outcomes.

The significant burdens created by this changing environment have made the adoption of innovative software solutions critical to providers, as legacy systems may not adequately support their needs. Ambulatory providers have traditionally used practice management solutions to manage their financial and administrative functions, but have been slow to convert their clinical workflows from paper charts digital systems. The use of paper records can restrict the throughput of the provider and prevent the efficient collection and sharing of critical information. This can cause clinical errors such as adverse drug interactions and result in failure to accurately document the clinical services



provided, which leads to lower reimbursement for services rendered and a greater rate of denied claims. The ability for ambulatory providers to enter and store discrete clinical data in EHRs has become more important in recent years in order to address emerging industry trends including coordination of care and pay-for-performance, as well as community-wide quality reporting initiatives that require interoperable technology solutions. We believe the implementation of integrated EHR/PM solutions provides a compelling return on investment to providers by enhancing clinical and administrative workflow, improving the quality of care, reducing administrative staff, and repurposing large paper record rooms for revenue-generating activities.

Despite the clinical and financial advantages of EHR solutions, their adoption rates by ambulatory providers have been substantially lower than those of PM solutions. According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, in 2011 approximately 55% of providers had implemented at least a basic EHR technology. It is estimated that a much smaller percentage of providers that have EHR systems fully utilize the technology in daily practice. Adoption of these technologies has been low for several reasons including the cost of acquiring, implementing and supporting the technology as well as the fear of disrupting clinical and administrative workflows.

#### Market Opportunity

Our solutions and services are marketed to providers of ambulatory healthcare including independent physician practices, multi-specialty group practices, hospital-affiliated and hospital-owned clinics and practices, retail clinics, employer clinics, university and academic health centers, FQHCs, CHCs, IDNs, ACCs, and ACOs.

We estimate the current market for our solutions and services to be approximately \$35 billion. We believe our potential customer base includes approximately 638,000 physicians at over 230,000 practices as well as approximately 3,500 retail and employer-based clinics that contain an additional 8,000 providers. Our core ambulatory solution, PrimeSUITE, services an estimated \$10 billion market. While slightly more than 50% of the EHR/PM market is penetrated, only 10% of providers fully utilize their installed EHR solution. Further, we believe that the replacement market is large, and growing, as a number of provider groups are looking to migrate from legacy systems to solutions that offer a single database, fully integrated technology and related business services. The markets for certain of our other solutions include \$16 billion for our RCM services, \$3.5 billion for our data exchange solution, and \$2 billion for our speech understanding solution. However, we operate in a competitive industry, and there is no guarantee that providers that have not implemented EHR/PM solutions or those that do not fully utilize their current solutions will choose to implement or fully utilize our products.

Several factors are encouraging adoption of EHR/PM solutions and related technologies and services by ambulatory providers and we believe will serve to drive the growth of our business.

**Compelling Return on Investment.** We believe providers are becoming increasingly aware of and comfortable with the potential benefits of using integrated EHR/PM solutions including helping them practice more advanced medicine and deliver higher-quality care, while simultaneously improving revenue generation and operating and cost efficiency. These systems can help providers practice more advanced medicine and enhance the quality of the care they deliver, while increasing their efficiency and profitability. Through the adoption and proper use of these solutions, providers can increase revenue and reduce costs. Providers are recognizing the potential of EHR/PM solutions to significantly improve their operations and profitability.

**Government Initiatives and Incentives.** Over the last several years, the government has enacted initiatives to accelerate the adoption of certified EHR solutions. Most importantly, the recently enacted HITECH Act, part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act certified ("ARRA"), specifically targeted healthcare by providing more than \$19 billion of incentives through Medicare and Medicaid programs to encourage the adoption of certified EHR solutions in the ambulatory market. An eligible professional that qualifies for incentives can receive up to an aggregate of \$44,000 from Medicare or \$63,750 from Medicaid. In conjunction with the HITECH Act, \$650 million in grants were allocated to create Regional Extension Centers ("RECs") to encourage and support ambulatory providers in the implementation of certified EHR solutions. In order to qualify for these incentives, providers must achieve "meaningful use" of their certified EHR solutions. Meaningful use

criteria have helped to establish standards for EHR solutions, resulting in higher adoption rates among providers, and a migration toward solutions that will help those providers achieve meaningful use.

Trends in the Evolving Ambulatory Market. Three major trends impacting ambulatory providers are: greater electronification of health data, which includes adoption of ambulatory technology solutions and the inter-operability of solutions across the broader healthcare community; growing consumerism, including more consumer participation in reimbursing providers, and greater involvement in demanding quality outcomes; and initiatives aimed at improving population health. The electronic capture and exchange of health information is becoming standardized within the ambulatory market, leading to heightened interest in and need for interoperable technology solutions that achieve data liquidity. Furthermore, as patients are increasingly responsible for paying for the care they receive, they are becoming more engaged in decisions about which providers to use. Similar to consumers in other industries, patients weigh factors such as cost, quality, convenience and overall experience when selecting where to receive their care. Finally, providers want to deliver the most advanced care possible and participate in the improvement of population health. This may include acting as investigators in clinical trials or contributing to health surveillance initiatives. Ambulatory providers now understand that the adoption of integrated EHR/PM and related technology solutions can help them succeed in this evolving and complex market by taking advantage of these key trends.

We believe that many existing EHR and PM technology vendors do not adequately meet the needs of the ambulatory healthcare market. EHR systems are often difficult to use and disrupt provider workflows, creating inefficiencies and ultimately leading to low adoption rates within provider groups. Additionally, many EHR/PM systems are not integrated, which creates additional inefficiencies between the delivery and documentation of patient care and the administrative and financial processes of the provider. Lack of interoperability with IT systems in other care settings prevents the exchange of clinical, financial and administrative data with the rest of the healthcare community. Finally, many vendors have multiple versions of their software installed across their customer bases, which reduces their ability to provide effective service and support to ambulatory providers. Due in part to these dynamics, 35% to as much as 50% of providers who have adopted EHR solutions indicated that they are considering replacing their existing EHR systems, according to surveys conducted by KLAS. Greenway Medical has consistently invested in innovation, constantly updating its PrimeSUITE platform, and delivering new versions to existing users.

#### Our Solutions

The foundation of our offering is an integrated suite of technology solutions designed for the unique needs and workflows of ambulatory providers. Provider usability, at the point of care, has been the foremost priority in the development, innovation and evolution of our set of solutions. At the core of our suite of solutions and services is PrimeSUITE, our award-winning, innovative and flexible software and business services solutions for ambulatory care providers. We believe our design and built-in clinical decision support capabilities help providers improve patient safety, quality of care and efficiency. PrimeSUITE has over 3,200 clinical templates, designed for the needs of over 30 specialties and subspecialties. This is a patented process that offers data capture layouts that are intuitive to providers and make it easier to enter patient health information at the point of care. We believe PrimeSUITE's ease of use has led to more than 90% of our provider customers making full use of PrimeSUITE's functionality, which we believe is substantially higher than industry averages. Through its design, PrimeSUITE's functionality addresses the core, day-to-day operations of providers that include documenting clinical information about patients, conditions and treatments, managing revenue collection and finances and conducting necessary administrative tasks. Our fully integrated EHR and PM solutions incorporate clinical, financial, and administrative data within a single database. This allows the EHR and PM systems to operate seamlessly and creates efficiencies between the process of delivering and documenting care and the process of billing and collecting for services.



Since the initial release of PrimeSUITE, we have introduced additional solutions to enhance data liquidity, mobility and productivity of providers. These solutions, which are often offered in conjunction with the PrimeSUITE platform, include:

PrimeEXCHANGE, which facilitates data liquidity by enabling standards-based interoperability of clinical and financial data between providers and the broader healthcare community;

PrimePATIENT, a consumer-driven patient portal also enables web-enabled consultations between patients and physicians, often referred to as e-visits that can supplement or replace traditional in-person office visits, save time for both patients and provider, and increase revenue for physicians;

PrimeDATA CLOUD, a collaborative care portal that enables the aggregation of clinical, financial and administrative data across both related and disparate entities and electronic health record systems. The secure aggregation of data makes it possible for healthcare communities to manage population health, access longitudinal health records and report on quality outcomes.

PrimeMOBILE allows providers to access PrimeSUITE from their mobile devices when working remotely;

PrimeSPEECH, a sophisticated speech understanding solution that simplifies data entry into PrimeSUITE, improving workflow saving time and money that providers currently spend on transcription services; and

PrimeIMAGE, a photo archive solution for providers.

We have also developed several managed business service offerings that leverage our technology solutions and the integrated PrimeSUITE database. These include:

PrimeRCM, our clinically-driven revenue cycle management services; and

PrimeRESEARCH, our EHR-enabled research service that allows providers to participate in clinical research and contribute to population health initiatives.

All of our technology solutions and services work together within the PrimeSUITE platform because of our single database structure. Our providers achieve high ease of use because our single database eliminates double entry of data when reviewing a record for clinical, financial or administrative functions.

We believe these innovative solutions and services enable us to act as long-term partners with our customers, helping them to achieve success by providing them the following key benefits:

Enable the Delivery of Higher-Quality Care and More Advanced Medicine. Our provider customers can deliver higher-quality care and practice more advanced medicine using PrimeSUITE's clinical decision support capabilities, clinical alerts and reminders, electronic order entry and tracking and active device controls that integrate data from peripheral medical devices directly into the patient's record. PrimeSUITE's clinical decision support capabilities assist providers in patient evaluation and diagnosis, evidence-based treatment, error reductions and proper data capture. Our clinical alerts and reminders ensure care is delivered to patients in a timely manner by notifying providers if a patient is due for an exam or test and identifying potential drug contraindications based on the patient's medical history. Our electronic order entry application increases the speed and accuracy of ordering, tracking and viewing results of prescriptions and lab tests. Active device controls capture data from peripheral medical devices,

and integrate it directly into the patient's record. Over time, clinical encounter data captured in PrimeSUITE creates a comprehensive electronic healthcare record that enables providers to more effectively identify and proactively address emerging trends in a patient's health.

Deliver Improved Financial Performance. Our solutions enhance provider economics by increasing revenue, improving receivables collection, and reducing administrative costs. They enable increased revenue capture at the point of care, whether in the office or working remotely on a mobile device, and the ability to see more patients due to more efficient workflows. Automated reporting of key metrics, through practice management dashboards, supports the generation of additional revenue by helping the provider track progress towards qualification for available incentive payments, such as those based on improvement in quality measures or for demonstrating use of e-prescribing and certified EHR technology. Reduced administrative costs are realized through reduction or elimination of transcription, paper chart, administrative staff and other costs. Additionally, space currently used to store paper records can be repurposed for revenue-generating activities, including additional exam and procedure rooms, which enhances revenue and profitability.

A series of case studies, conducted on our behalf and funded by the Company, studied the return on investment a select group of customers can realize following the implementation of PrimeSUITE. Based on management's review of these studies, we believe that customers can significantly increase revenue and cash flow following implementation of PrimeSUITE.

Enhance the Workflow of the Provider. PrimeSUITE accommodates and supports the unique clinical workflows of providers in over 30 specialties and subspecialties and the financial and administrative workflows of their staff. Through our patented processes for specialty-specific templates, our suite of solutions delivered on the PrimeSUITE platform are adaptable to a provider's workflow, which encourages quick adoption and overcomes their aversion to switch to electronic systems from traditional paper-based records.

The PrimeSUITE database captures and displays the relevant data to each provider or staff member during each step of a patient encounter. PrimeSUITE is designed to allow a patient's clinical and administrative record to follow the patient from registration to the examination room to check-out and to be accessed and updated by multiple staff members simultaneously during the patient's visit. Administrative staff use PrimeSUITE to schedule appointments and enter patient information at check-in. Alternatively, patients can use PrimePATIENT, our provider portal solution, to schedule appointments and enter their information online. All demographic, financial and clinical information identified during initial registration, check-in and triage are aggregated and presented to the provider at the point of care. A set of easy-to-use and highly customizable clinical templates capture the provider's interaction with the patient. This information can be captured through a desktop or tablet when in the office or via a mobile device using PrimeMOBILE when working outside the office. PrimeSUITE enables providers to order prescriptions and lab tests electronically as well as track and view results, thus increasing the speed, quality and accuracy of the care delivered. Clinical information captured during the patient encounter automatically generates recommended evaluation and management, as well as procedural codes for billing purposes. The integration of clinical, financial and administrative information and its availability to all providers and staff before, during and after patient visits can help providers improve their efficiency.



Position Providers for the Future of Healthcare. We believe the future of healthcare will require providers to deliver high-quality care in the most collaborative and cost-effective way possible, while dealing with increasing consumerism among patients and the desire to participate in the improvement of population health. We believe that in order to succeed in the future, providers will need an integrated and inter-operable ambulatory platform that allows them to connect, communicate and collaborate electronically with patients, other providers and the broader healthcare community. We believe providers will also need the ability to satisfy increasing consumer demands and contribute to the improvement of population health. In addition, the emergence of pay-for-performance and value-based reimbursement models will require that providers not only enhance the quality of care and patient experience but also be able to quantify and report on various measures and adapt quickly to changes in the healthcare market.

Our vision of the future of a smarter healthcare system has guided the development and success of our scalable and flexible PrimeSUITE platform for the last 13 years. We believe our technology enables the seamless creation and addition of new innovations and functionality designed to respond to emerging trends, therefore enhancing the value of our solutions to our customers. Since the introduction of PrimeSUITE we have developed and integrated into PrimeSUITE new solutions to address new trends and advances in technology, including the need for data liquidity and interoperability, patient portal, speech understanding and mobile technology. We have also developed managed business services that leverage the power of our technology solutions to provide clinically-driven revenue cycle services and allow providers to participate in clinical research and contribute to population health initiatives. We believe these innovative solutions and services differentiate us from our competition and enable us to act as long-term partners in the success of our customers.

The following diagram visually represents our suite of technology solutions and managed business services centered on our core PrimeSUITE technology:

10

---

## Our Strengths

We believe we have the following key competitive strengths:

**Proven, Long-Term Vision.** We partner with ambulatory providers to enable them to meet the changing needs of the ambulatory market. We have succeeded in developing innovative solutions and services to help providers respond to the key trends in the ambulatory market, which we identified early in our history as electronification, consumerism and improving population health. Our solutions rely on core EHR and PM capabilities, are interoperable and enable easy aggregation and sharing of patient information, enhance physician-patient relationships by providing online self-service options for patients and allowing providers to participate in improving population health through clinical research, health surveillance and disease registries. We continuously monitor themes that will shape the future for ambulatory care and develop innovative solutions and services to help providers succeed in an evolving market.

**Integrated Technology Model.** Our integrated, scalable and flexible technology provides a range of benefits to our customers while also providing us a strong foundation for a sustainable business model. Our architecture has proven to be mission-critical, secure and reliable for over 12,000 providers. All of our solutions and services are based on a single, integrated database that contains clinical, financial and administrative data and supports exceptional interoperability, data analytics and reporting. We have and will continue to develop a technology model that supports rapid innovation. Using our Greenway Service Manager architecture, our centralized support team can easily update customers to new versions of our solutions and provide monitoring services remotely. Our technology architecture scales to support ambulatory providers ranging from single provider practices to large enterprises with hundreds of providers. Our technology allows customers the flexibility to choose the deployment option they prefer, including either a cloud-based and premise-based model. Furthermore, our cloud-based internal technologies enable us to focus on innovative product and service development while outsourcing non-core activities, such as server hosting, server maintenance, application security and or other IT services. We believe this technology model provides a distinct competitive advantage. We are able to focus resources on our innovative product and service development, our strong customer service and our efficient and centralized customer support model.

**Superior Customer Service and Support.** We believe that successful adoption of our solutions requires partnering with our customers to empower them to utilize our technology to its maximum capability. As such, customer service and support are one of our core priorities. Our commitment to our customers' success starts during the sales process and continues throughout our relationship, including initial implementation, training, ongoing education and support, as well as continuous development of new functionalities, technology upgrades and business services. . In addition to traditional training, we offer on-demand, web-based training options, webinars covering cutting-edge industry topics, such as how customers can meet "meaningful use" incentive criteria, and our annual user conference where customers meet one another, exchange ideas and learn how other customers have used our products and services to improve their businesses. We consider customer input critical to the development of new functionalities and a core part of customer service and support. We deliver a single version of our technology platform to all of our customers, which enable us to deliver differentiated customer support. We also offer phone, email and web-based technical and business support 24 hours a day and seven days a week, as well as remote monitoring and upgrade deployment services. We continuously improve our support processes, which leads to faster response and issue resolution times. Our high-quality customer service has contributed to our approximately

95% customer retention rate in a market where it is estimated that 35% to as many as 50% of providers who have adopted EHR technology are considering replacing it.

**Trusted Brand.** We have a trusted and recognized brand with our customers and within our industry. As ambulatory providers compare available EHR solutions across multiple vendors, our recognized brand and reputation for differentiated technology, solutions and services position us for success. Our PrimeSUITE solution has received 13 “Best in KLAS” awards since 2004. The Certification Commission for Health Information Technology (“CCHIT”) has certified PrimeSUITE as a Complete EHR for 2011/2012 and granted it the highest usability rating of Five Stars. Furthermore, PrimeSUITE has been selected as a solution of choice or option by a substantial majority of regional extension centers (“RECs”) with established operations. We believe that word-of-mouth referrals are a significant source of bookings, showing that our customers trust our solutions and services and are willing to recommend us to colleagues. These accolades, combined with our continued involvement in industry initiatives, focus on innovation and high levels of customer service and support, drive increased brand recognition among customers and in our industry.

**Attractive Business Model.** Our broad range of solutions and services and our high customer retention rate provide us with a powerful business model. This model has driven our growth rate over the past several years due to our continued ability to sell our core PrimeSUITE solution to new customers and then build upon its success by providing complementary technology solutions and business services. Our high customer retention leads to a growing percentage of recurring revenue from support services, business services such as revenue cycle management and subscription revenue. Recurring revenue represented 46% of revenue in 2012. The combination of this recurring revenue with our backlog of new business sold provides high revenue visibility. Our integrated technology solution provides operating leverage, allowing us to focus our research and development solely on innovation as opposed to integration of legacy technologies. Furthermore, our cost structure is also more efficient due to the ease of supporting and upgrading our technology platform. These factors help us drive predictable revenue growth and generate greater operating profit.

**Experienced Management Team.** Our management team has significant experience in our industry and a majority of our executives have worked together for more than a decade. In the late 1990s, our team worked with ambulatory providers to develop a vision of the future of the healthcare market, including electrification, increasing consumerism and improved population health. Our team’s vision is now coming to fruition and has driven the design of our innovative suite of solutions and business services and our differentiated technology model. Our operational teams are organized around the key growth areas and we have instilled a culture of innovation and customer service throughout the Company. Furthermore, our management has been and remains heavily involved in the industry organizations that set policy and standards for healthcare in general and, more specifically, healthcare information technology. Our leadership efforts have served to establish our reputation for a consistent focus on developing solutions to meet both the current and future needs of providers in an evolving healthcare system.

## Our Strategy

Our objective is to be the most trusted and effective provider of technology solutions and managed business services for ambulatory providers. Our principal strategies to meet our objective are:

**Increase our Share of the Expanding Market for Ambulatory Technology Solutions.** We plan to capitalize on the large and growing ambulatory technology market opportunity by leveraging our targeted and multi-pronged sales strategy. We utilize a combination of direct, enterprise, indirect and small practice solutions sales teams, in addition to strategic partners, to attract new customers and drive penetration of PrimeSUITE. We believe our solutions address the most important clinical, financial and administrative needs of our large and growing customer base, and we are experiencing increasing demand for our solutions. Furthermore, as the ambulatory care market expands, we are offering our solutions to a wider range of customers, including FQHCs and employer and retail health clinics and other innovative delivery networks. Our market is underpenetrated and many customers are not satisfied with their current solutions. This dissatisfaction creates substantial opportunity to grow our business by attracting new customers and displacing existing and incumbent competitive products.

**Generate Greater Revenue per Customer by Expanding Their Use of Our Suite of Solutions and Services.** We will continue to cross-sell our integrated product and service offerings to customers already using PrimeSUITE. As our customers successfully implement and utilize PrimeSUITE to improve efficiency and profitability of their practices, they increasingly adopt our complementary technologies and managed business services. These technologies include PrimeEXCHANGE, PrimePATIENT, PrimeDATA CLOUD, PrimeMOBILE, PrimeSPEECH and PrimeIMAGE, and managed business services include PrimeRCM and PrimeRESEARCH. These solutions fully integrate with PrimeSUITE and its data structure to provide additional technology capabilities, further positioning our customers at the forefront of technology innovation. These solutions are built to work seamlessly with PrimeSUITE and are not considered separate technologies. As our customers use more of our solutions and services, we become even more critical to their operating infrastructure, further solidifying our partnership with them and generating increased revenue per customer.

**Develop Innovative Solutions for the Evolving Needs of the Ambulatory Provider Market.** We continuously monitor and work with our customers to understand the evolving technology needs of the ambulatory provider market. The insights we gather help drive our development of new and innovative solutions and services. Two recent and notable examples are our PrimeRESEARCH and PrimeDATA CLOUD solutions. PrimeRESEARCH helps physicians identify opportunities to participate as investigators in clinical research studies which simultaneously increase revenue and provide access to cutting edge therapies for their patients. PrimeDATA CLOUD is a collaborative care portal that securely and cost-effectively empowers population health through the sharing and aggregation of clinical, financial and administrative data across electronic health record systems in different provider settings. In both cases, these products are used in conjunction with PrimeSUITE and are highly complementary to one another. We will continue to work closely with customers to develop solutions that position them to succeed as the ambulatory care market evolves.

**Expand Margins by Leveraging our Operating Platform.** We expect operating margins to increase as we continue to grow revenue by substantially leveraging our existing infrastructure and operations. Our focused technology and business model enables us to efficiently deploy

capital and resources in key areas such as sales and marketing and research and development. We have made, and will continue to make, investments in our technology infrastructure and processes, which we believe will allow us to profitably grow our business as we add new customers and solutions.

**Pursue Targeted Acquisitions.** We intend to pursue acquisitions on a targeted basis, seeking out complementary and innovative technologies and services that augment and differentiate our current solutions.

## Our Products and Services

Our technology solutions and services are fully integrated into PrimeSUITE to address the needs of providers in all ambulatory settings: independent physicians, group practices, hospital-affiliated and hospital-owned clinics and practices, retail clinics, employer clinics, university and academic health centers, FQHCs, CHCs, ACCs, ACOs, Patient-Centered Medical Homes ("PCMHs") and IDNs to better serve patients and communities, more efficiently manage their practice and increase profitability.

PrimeSUITE. At the core of our solution is PrimeSUITE, which is a single integrated application with electronic health record, practice management and interoperability functionality. PrimeSUITE is comprised of EHR functionality including a patient chart, e-prescribing, clinical decision support, orders management, as well as practice management functionality with, registration, scheduling, accounts receivable and financial reporting. PrimeSUITE is a web-based application used by organizations such as health system delivery networks seeking integrated care coordination and data sharing on an enterprise level, as well as management service organizations, billing services and ambulatory surgery centers that need autonomy and separation among practices, while managing operations from a centralized location. Other groups, such as independent physician associations, may also use this application to provide services, such as enterprise fee schedule updates, practice analysis, security configuration, master-file maintenance, broadcast reporting, clinical data sharing, and auditing. Our fully integrated set of ambulatory care technology solutions which build upon PrimeSUITE include the following:

PrimeEXCHANGE. Greenway's standards-based interoperability engine facilitates secure data exchange between physician practices and the entire healthcare and stakeholder community. Supported transactions include patient demographics, patient insurance, charges, lab results, microbiology reports, prescriptions, clinical summaries, transcriptions and radiology reports.

PrimePATIENT. Greenway's secure patient web portal enhances the patient-provider relationship through self-service clinical, financial and administrative options available online in place of office visits or phone calls. We believe PrimePATIENT will empower patients – the consumers of healthcare services – to more effectively engage with providers. PrimePATIENT improves office efficiencies and, we believe, increases patient satisfaction. Capabilities include appointment requests, on-line bill payment, on-line registration, prescription re-fills, secure messaging with care providers, clinical summary access and patient health record integration.

PrimeDATA CLOUD. A collaborative care portal that empowers the aggregation of clinical, financial and administrative data across both related and disparate entities and electronic health record systems. This secure aggregation of data allows communities to manage population health, access longitudinal health records and report on quality outcomes.

PrimeMOBILE. Provides the information providers need most at their convenience. Providers can access schedule and patient data or capture charges using an iPhone®, iPad®, Android™ or MS Mobile phone.

PrimeSPEECH. Provides embedded speech understanding and generates discrete data, in real time, that populates patient records. PrimeSPEECH replaces traditional voice recognition and transcription services, improving accuracy and efficiency.

PrimeIMAGE. Provides digital imagery and data capture within the patient's chart. Compatible with ultrasound, endoscopies, laparoscopy, CT, MRI, NM, microscopy and surgical imagery to further streamline diagnostics and care coordination.



We also provide certain ancillary services such as an electronic data interchange (“EDI”) which includes electronic claims processing, statement processing, eligibility verification, and database access fees. These services are delivered through our technology solutions and through various third-parties.

We augment our innovative technology solutions with the following managed business services for ambulatory providers:

**PrimeRESEARCH.** An EHR-enabled service that allows our customers to deliver the most advanced medicine possible and provides our customers with access to a vast network of clinical trials (Phase II, III, IV, post-market and observation), registries, pharmaceutical research, remote monitoring services, benchmarking services, EDC integration, and clinical trial management software.

**PrimeRCM.** A clinically-driven revenue cycle service that includes accounts receivable management, patient and insurance follow up, and financial performance benchmarking. PrimeRCM is driven to provide expertise and service to navigate our customers through the emerging changes in reimbursement models, quality care initiatives, and accountable care.

In addition to our technology solutions and managed business services, we provide certain professional services to our customers. Our client services team consists of well-trained and qualified members experienced in registered nurse, licensed vocational nurse, practice management, certified coding, consulting, HIPAA compliance, project management and Practice Management Institute certification. These individuals work together along with dedicated members of our customers' organizations to ensure the success of the implementation of their PrimeSUITE solution infrastructure.

Our comprehensive technology solutions, managed business services and professional services competitively support improved patient care and efficiency for ambulatory providers.

#### Our Customers

Our customers include independent physician practices, multi-specialty group practices, hospital-affiliated and hospital-owned clinics and practices, retail clinics, employer clinics, university and academic health centers, FQHCs, CHCs, IDNs, ACCs, ACOs and PCMHs.

We derive our revenue primarily from sales of our PrimeSUITE software, related hardware and professional services to providers in ambulatory settings. While a sizable number of our software sales are made as perpetual licenses to our customers, our software is easily deployable as a subscription service and many of our applications are currently marketed in that manner. We also derive substantial revenue from our software related services platform, which we believe is more robust than typical software maintenance, and significant revenue from transaction processing services. These robust offerings yield a customer retention rate of approximately 95%. We have no significant customer concentration and no individual customer accounts for more than five percent of our revenue.

All of our revenues are generated in the United States and 100% of our long-lived assets are located in the United States.

#### Sales and Marketing

We employ experienced and well-trained sales executives with extensive industry expertise. We primarily sell to our customers through our direct sales force. As of June 30, 2012, we employed approximately 140 sales and marketing employees. Given the experience of our sales team and the constant sharing of market data, competitive intelligence and other relevant information from around the industry, we believe our sales force provides us with a significant competitive advantage. Our sales force promotes and sells our services to new customers and expands the services we provide to our existing customer base. Our marketing efforts focus on creating a strong brand identity for Greenway through industry leadership, trade shows, web strategies, print media, social media and development of

industry-related seminars.

To support our commitment to provide exemplary healthcare information technology services to our customers we work closely with several companies in our industry. Together, we deliver the solutions and integrate the tools our customers need to ensure data travels seamlessly throughout their healthcare community. These strategic alliances include relationships with industry leading companies such as Tech Data, CDW, Hewlett-Packard, Microsoft, Dell, and Take Care Health Systems.

15

---

We are in the process of rolling out our EHR technology solution in Walgreens stores nationwide as part of that Company's strategy of developing robust ambulatory care. We believe our relationship with Walgreens presents a tremendous opportunity to expand into a market we have not traditionally served, and to partner with one of the leading companies in the industry. Under our agreement, we have granted Walgreens a perpetual, non-exclusive license to utilize our EHR technology in its stores.

We also have entered into an agreement with McGraw-Hill Higher Education forming McGraw Hill's Integrated Electronic Health Records: An Online Course and Worktext for Greenway Medical Technologies PrimeSUITE. This product is a comprehensive learning resource offered through McGraw Hill's Connect Plus. PrimeSUITE is used in conjunction with patient data and the corresponding workbook to gain a better understanding of health information management, practice management, and EHRs. The alliance with McGraw-Hill and academic institutions throughout the nation is part of Greenway's effort to aid in the creation of a national healthcare information technology workforce.

We pride ourselves on consistent industry leadership. Since our inception, our executives have filled leadership roles within industry trade groups and have supported public policy initiatives affecting the advancement and innovation of healthcare information technology. Highlights of these numerous executive positions include those within the national HIMSS Electronic Health Record Association ("EHR Association"), Health Information Management Systems Society ("HIMSS"), the CCHIT, Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium ("CDISC"), National Quality Forum ("NQF") and the Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise ("IHE-USA") Board of Directors. Additionally, since 2005, members of our leadership team have formally testified before Congress and the administration on numerous occasions and advised several presidential campaigns on healthcare information technology initiatives. This work has contributed to the advancement of critical industry initiatives such as the guidelines for EHR "meaningful use" and accountable care organizations. We believe that our involvement and contributions to these industry initiatives allow us to have relevant and important input in how technology will continue to impact healthcare in the future and is therefore critical to our product development efforts.

#### Customer Support

Our Customer Support offering is the center piece of our value proposition, enabling us to deliver differentiated support through our highly scalable platform. We strive to optimize our customers' experience through our people and our innovative services, which we believe leads to a successful long-term partnership. We employ physicians who, along with other certified healthcare and technology professionals, are involved in the design, development, deployment and support of all of our services. This collaboration of clinical and technical professionals and our innovative Greenway Service Manager technology enables us to offer industry leading service in a timely and efficient manner. Our customer support team currently has approximately 90 employees who support our customers through phone, email, and web-based interactions 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

#### Technology and Development

Our innovation platform utilizes the latest mobile, web and cloud computing technologies, including Microsoft .NET, Microsoft Azure, Force.com and Apple iOS. This platform ensures data flows seamlessly from mobile and remote environments to the integrated EHR/PM and to various health information exchanges. This innovation integrates all clinical, financial and administrative data to promote information sharing and ensure quick user adoption through simple, intuitive and tools that optimize daily processes.

Greenway has developed solutions using sophisticated tools and technology platform. This approach permits remote access, reduces support costs and ensures cross-platform, multi-location and organizational compatibility.



Throughout our history, we have invested to stay at the forefront of technological trends and changes. We have made the transition to a cloud platform which we believe will provide the access, security and scalability needed for the future of ambulatory healthcare delivery and positions our Company and our customers well for the future.

### Competition

The ambulatory EHR market is fragmented. Our primary competitors in EHR and PM include Allscripts, athenahealth, Cerner, eClinicalWorks, Epic, GE, Quality Systems, and Vitera Healthcare Solutions. Companies compete on factors including price, delivery of new technology, service, quality of implementation and training, on-time implementation, quality of support provided, product response time, ease of use, enhanced workflow, whether the product works as promoted, and whether the product supports integration goals.

We believe we excel in customer service. Given the referral-based nature of the healthcare industry, we believe our long term commitment to our customers has enabled us to build a strong reputation around integrity, trust, and innovation.

### Intellectual Property

Our success and ability to compete in our industry depend in part on our ability to establish, protect and enforce our intellectual property rights. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret and other related laws and confidentiality policies and contractual provisions to protect, maintain and enforce our proprietary technology and intellectual property rights. We are the owner of 12 registered United States trademarks/service marks. We also have nine pending United States trademarks or service marks. Our intellectual property portfolio includes various unregistered copyrights and Internet domain names. We currently own two issued United States patents and we have 21 filed patent applications in various stages of examination.

### Government Regulation

As a participant in the healthcare industry, our operations and relationships with our customers and other medical professionals are subject to a variety of government regulations. These laws and regulations are broad in scope and they are subject to evolving interpretations, which could require us to incur substantial costs associated with compliance and to alter one or more of our practices. We devote significant efforts to establish and maintain compliance with all regulatory requirements that we believe are applicable to our business and the services we offer. Specifically, but without limitation, the following laws and regulations may affect our operations and contractual relationships:

#### The HITECH Act

As discussed above, the HITECH Act provides funds to incentivize physician providers to adopt EHR systems, which, beginning in 2011, are to be allocated to aid the development of an information technology (“IT”) infrastructure for healthcare and to assist providers and other entities in adopting and using healthcare information technology. CMS establishes and oversees the criteria that healthcare providers must meet to receive HITECH Act stimulus funding, while the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (“ONC”) establishes and oversees the functionality that EHR systems must meet.

In order for our customers to qualify for funding under the HITECH Act, our technology must meet various requirements for product certification under the regulations, and must enable our customers to achieve “meaningful use,” as defined under CMS regulations. CMS regulations provide for a phased approach to implementation of the “meaningful use” standards. CMS has defined Stage 1 which focuses on electronically capturing health information in a coded format, implementing decision support, sharing information with patients, testing the ability to change information, and initiating the reporting of clinical quality measurement to CMS. CMS also recently defined Stage 2 criteria, which will take effect in 2014. Stage 2 revises some Stage 1 criteria and builds on Stage 1 in various ways, including an increased focus on encouraging the use of IT for continuous quality improvement at the point of care and the proper exchange of information between providers to improve care coordination for patients. Stage 3 criteria, which will take effect in 2016, would require physicians to demonstrate the use of EHR technology in ways that are reserved for future rulemaking based upon the experiences with Stages 1 and 2. Also, a final rule has been implemented by the ONC to adopt an initial set of standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria to enhance the use of health information technology and support its “meaningful use.” For providers to receive “meaningful use” incentive funds, they must use EHRs that are certified according to regulations put forth by the ONC. Currently, ONC recognizes a variety of Authorized Testing and Certification Bodies (“ATCBs”) eligible to test for and designate that EHRs are certified for “meaningful use” quality reporting. These ONC-ATCBs are the only organizations capable of designating that an EHR is certified for “meaningful use” incentive capture. Greenway’s PrimeSUITE 2011 is an ONC-ATCB Complete EHR and is 2011/2012 compliant as certified by CCHIT, an ONC-ATCB. As such, PrimeSUITE supports the Stage 1 “meaningful use” measures required to qualify eligible professionals and hospitals for funding under the ARRA.

#### Privacy and Security Laws

HIPAA. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended (including by the HITECH Act), including the regulations issued and effective thereunder, which we collectively refer to as HIPAA, contains substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use and disclosure of individuals’ protected health information. HIPAA applies to covered entities, such as certain healthcare providers and health plans, as well as business associates that perform functions on behalf of or provide services to covered entities.

As a result of our dealings with clients and others in the medical industry, which may be considered covered entities under or otherwise subject to the requirements of HIPAA, we are, in some circumstances, considered a business associate under HIPAA. As a business associate, we are subject to the HIPAA requirements relating to the privacy and security of protected health information. Among other things, HIPAA requires business associates to (i) maintain physical and technical and administrative safeguards to prevent protected health information from misuse, (ii) report security incidents and other inappropriate uses or disclosures of the information, including to individuals and governmental authorities, and (iii) assist covered entities from which we obtain health information with certain of their duties under HIPAA. We have policies and safeguards in place intended to protect health information as required by HIPAA and have processes in place to assist us in complying with applicable laws and regulations regarding the protection of this data and responding to any security incidents.

In the near future, the Department of Health and Human Services is expected to publish a set of final regulations that will modify and add provisions to HIPAA to reflect the requirements of the HITECH Act. These HITECH Act regulations could expand the applicability of HIPAA to our business. For example, the proposed HITECH Act regulations would, among other things:

Expand the circumstances we could be considered a business associate subject to HIPAA (for example, regional health information organizations and health information exchanges that process or transmit data on behalf of covered entities are business associates if they require routine access to protected health information);

Require us to comply directly with many of HIPAA's privacy requirements for which currently we are only obligated to comply contractually via our agreements with covered entities and other business associates; and

Require us to implement additional provisions in our agreements with our subcontractors.

We are monitoring these proposed changes to HIPAA with the goal of effecting compliance when and if any new regulations go into effect. Further, certain HITECH Act requirements are already in effect such as (1) the imposition of new civil and criminal penalties for violating privacy and security requirements on business associates that were only once imposed on covered entities and (2) breach notification procedures.



Other Laws. In addition to HIPAA, most states have enacted confidentiality laws that protect against the unauthorized disclosure of confidential health information, and many states have adopted or are considering further legislation in this area, including privacy safeguards, security standards and data security breach notification requirements. Such state laws, if more stringent than HIPAA requirements, are not preempted by the federal requirements, and we must comply with them even though they may be subject to different interpretations by various courts and other governmental authorities. In addition, numerous other state and federal laws govern the collection, dissemination, use, accesses to, confidentiality and retention of health information.

#### False or Fraudulent Claim Laws

There are numerous federal and state laws that forbid submission of false information or the failure to disclose information in connection with the submission and payment of physician claims for reimbursement. In some cases, these laws also forbid abuse of existing systems for such submission and payment, for example, by systematic over treatment or duplicate billing of the same services to collect increased or duplicate payments.

In particular, the federal False Claims Act, or the FCA, prohibits a person from: (i) knowingly presenting or causing to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval by an officer, employee or agent of the United States, and (ii) conspiring to defraud the government by getting a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the government. In addition, the FCA prohibits a person from knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to such a claim. The FCA's "reverse false claim" provision also creates liability for persons who knowingly and improperly conceal the retention of an overpayment of government money. Violations of the FCA may result in treble damages, significant monetary penalties, and other collateral consequences including, potentially, exclusion from participation in federally funded healthcare programs. The scope and implications of the recent amendments to the FCA pursuant to the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009, or FERA, have yet to be fully determined or adjudicated and as a result it is difficult to predict how future enforcement initiatives may impact our business. Pursuant to the healthcare reform legislation enacted in March 2010, a claim that includes items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Law constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the FCA. The scope and implications of the FERA amendments have yet to be fully determined or adjudicated and as a result it is difficult to predict how future enforcement initiatives may impact our business.

In addition, under the Civil Monetary Penalty Act of 1981, the HHS Office of Inspector General has the authority to impose administrative penalties and assessments against any person, including an organization or other entity, who, among other things, knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, to a state or federal government employee or agent certain false or otherwise improper claims.

#### Anti-Kickback Laws

There are numerous federal and state laws that govern patient referrals, physician financial relationships, and inducements to healthcare providers and patients. The federal healthcare Anti-Kickback Law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase or order of any item, good, facility or service covered by these programs. Courts have interpreted the law to provide that a financial arrangement may violate this law if any one of the purposes of an arrangement is to encourage patient referrals or other federal healthcare program business, regardless of whether there are other legitimate purposes for the arrangement. There are several limited exclusions known as safe harbors that may protect some arrangements from enforcement penalties. Penalties for federal Anti-Kickback violations can be severe, and include imprisonment, criminal fines, civil money penalties with triple damages (when the FCA is implicated) and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. Many states have similar anti-kickback laws, some of which are not limited to items or services for which payment is made by a

federal healthcare program.

### Stark Law and Similar State Laws

The Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, also known as the Stark Law, prohibits certain types of referral arrangements between physicians and healthcare entities. Physicians are prohibited from referring patients for certain designated health services reimbursed under federally funded programs to entities with which they or their immediate family members have a financial relationship or an ownership interest, unless such referrals fall within a specific exception. Violations of the statute can result in civil monetary penalties and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Furthermore, reimbursement claims for care rendered under forbidden referrals may be deemed false or fraudulent, resulting in liability under other fraud and abuse laws. Laws in many states (which can vary widely) similarly forbid billing based on referrals between individuals and/or entities that have various financial, ownership, or other business relationships.

### Healthcare Reform Law

The PPACA and related measures call for increased scrutiny of, and may impose requirements on, physicians and insurance companies, and their third-party contractors. While we do not directly provide healthcare to patients, these reforms may indirectly affect our business. The PPACA expands false claim laws, amends key provisions of other anti-fraud and abuse statutes, provides the government with new enforcement tools and funding for enforcement, and enhances both criminal and administrative penalties for noncompliance.

### Electronic Prescribing

States have differing prescription format and signature requirements, and many existing laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate the methods of e-commerce now being developed. However, federal and state laws now allow the use of electronic prescriptions. On November 7, 2005, HHS published its final E-Prescribing and the Prescription Drug Program regulations, referred to herein as the E-Prescribing Regulations. These regulations are required by the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (“MMA”) and became effective beginning on January 1, 2006. The E-Prescribing Regulations consist of detailed standards and requirements, in addition to the HIPAA standards discussed previously, for prescription and other information transmitted electronically in connection with a drug benefit covered by the MMA’s Prescription Drug Benefit. These standards cover not only transactions between prescribers and dispensers for prescriptions but also electronic eligibility and benefits inquiries and drug formulary and benefit coverage information. The standards apply to prescription drug plans participating in the MMA’s Prescription Drug Benefit. Aspects of our services are affected by such regulation, as our clients need to comply with these requirements.

### Anti-Tampering Laws

For certain prescriptions that cannot or may not be transmitted electronically from physician to pharmacy, both federal and state laws require that the written forms used exhibit anti-tampering features. For example, the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans’ Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act of 2007 has since April 2008 required that most prescriptions covered by Medicaid must demonstrate security features that prevent copying, erasing, or counterfeiting of the written form. Because our clients will, on occasion, need to use printed forms, we must take these laws into consideration for purposes of the prescription functions of our solutions.

### United States Food and Drug Administration

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) has published draft guidance and other policy statements concerning its regulation of or authority over software products as medical devices. In 2011, the FDA finalized a rule classifying medical device data systems as a Class 1 device under the federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended (“FDCA”).

The FDA has taken the position that health information technology software is a medical device under the FDCA, and we anticipate the FDA's increased attempt to become involved in regulation of our industry. If any of our software products is considered a medical device under the FDCA, we could be subject to the FDA requirements discussed below.

Medical devices are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA under the FDCA. Under the FDCA, medical devices include any instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, contrivance, or other similar or related article, including a component part or accessory, that is intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease. FDA regulations govern, among other things, product development, testing, manufacture, packaging, labeling, storage, clearance or approval, advertising and promotion, sales and distribution, and import and export. FDA requirements with respect to devices that are determined to pose lesser risk to the public include:

establishment registration and device listing with the FDA;

the Quality System Regulation (“QSR”), which requires manufacturers, including third-party or contract manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation, and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of manufacturing;

labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the advertising and promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved off-label uses and other requirements related to advertising and promotional activities;

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur;

corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA any field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the FDCA that may present a risk to health; and

post-market surveillance regulations, which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device.

FDA policy also requires software manufacturers and users to consider cybersecurity and data privacy issues.

Non-compliance with applicable FDA requirements can result in, among other things, public warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, failure of the FDA to grant marketing approvals, withdrawal of marketing approvals, a recommendation by the FDA to disallow us from entering into government contracts, and criminal prosecutions. The FDA also has the authority to request repair, replacement, or refund of the cost of any device.

#### Corporate Practice of Medicine Laws, Fee-Splitting Laws, and Anti-Assignment Laws

In many states, there are laws that prohibit non-licensed practitioners from practicing medicine, prevent corporations from being licensed as practitioners, and prohibit licensed medical practitioners from practicing medicine in partnership with non-physicians, such as business corporations. In some states, these prohibitions take the form of laws or regulations forbidding the splitting of physician fees with non-physicians or others. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted to prevent business service providers from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. There are also federal and state laws that forbid or limit assignment of claims for reimbursement from government-funded programs. Some of these laws limit the manner in which business service companies may handle payments for such claims and prevent such companies from charging their physician clients on

the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. The Medicare and Medicaid programs impose specific requirements on billing agents who receive payments on behalf of medical care providers.

#### Employees

As of June 30, 2012, we had approximately 650 full-time employees. Approximately 400 of our employees are based at our Carrollton, Georgia headquarters. We also utilize approximately 130 independent contractors. None of our employees are represented by a union or party to collective bargaining agreements. We believe our relationship with our employees is positive, which is a key component of our operating strategy.

#### Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Georgia on September 15, 1998. In connection with our recently completed initial public offering, we reincorporated in Delaware on February 7, 2012.

We have offices located at 121 Greenway Boulevard, Carrollton, Georgia 30117 and our telephone number at this location is (770) 836-3100. Our website address is [www.greenwaymedical.com](http://www.greenwaymedical.com).

Our annual reports on Form 10-K, including this Form 10-K, as well as our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, on our website. These reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Information included or referred to on, or otherwise accessible through, our website is not intended to form a part of or be incorporated by reference into this report.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including without limitation those described below, that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, performance and the trading price of our common stock. You should carefully consider the following risks as well as the other information included in this Form 10-K, including “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

If we are unable to successfully introduce new technology solutions or services or fail to keep pace with advances in technology, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our business depends on our ability to adapt to evolving technologies and industry standards and introduce new technology solutions and services accordingly. If we cannot adapt to changing technologies, our technology solutions and services may become obsolete, and our business would suffer. Because the healthcare information technology market is constantly evolving, our existing technology may become obsolete and fail to meet the requirements of current and potential customers. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to continue to enhance our existing technology solutions and services, develop new technology that addresses the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of our customers, license leading technologies and respond to technological advances and emerging industry standards and practices on a timely and cost-effective basis. The development of our proprietary technology entails significant technical and business risks. We may not be successful in developing, using, marketing, selling, or maintaining new technologies effectively or adapting our proprietary technology to evolving customer requirements or emerging industry standards, and, as a result, our business and reputation could suffer. We may not be able to introduce new technology solutions on schedule, or at all, or such solutions may not achieve market acceptance. Moreover, competitors may develop competitive products that could adversely affect our results of operations. A failure by us to introduce new products or to introduce these products on schedule could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to implement our growth strategy or manage future growth effectively, our business would be harmed, and our recent growth rates may not be indicative of our future growth rates.

Our future success depends upon our ability to grow, and if we are unable to implement our growth strategy or manage our growth effectively, we may incur unexpected expenses and be unable to meet our customers’ requirements, all of which would negatively impact our ability to generate revenue as well as results of operations and financial condition.

To manage future growth, we will need to hire, train and retain highly skilled and motivated employees. We will also need to continue to improve our internal controls, reporting systems and procedures. If we do not effectively manage our growth, we may not be able to execute on our business plan, respond to competitive pressures, take advantage of market opportunities, satisfy customer requirements or maintain high-quality service offerings.

Our systems, procedures, controls and existing space may not be adequate to support expansion of our operations. Our future operating results will depend on the ability of our management to manage a business that operates in a constantly changing industry and regulatory environment with increasing government involvement. Our future results will also depend on the ability of our management team to implement and improve our technical, administrative, financial control and reporting systems. We may not be able to expand and upgrade our systems and infrastructure to accommodate future growth. Inability to effectively manage future growth would have a significant negative impact on our business, financial condition, and results of operations and profitability because we may incur unexpected expenses and be unable to meet our customers’ needs, expectations and requirements.





If we lose members of our management team or other employees or if we are unable to attract, hire, integrate and retain other necessary employees, our business would be harmed.

The future of our business is highly dependent on our ability to innovate. Further, our future success depends in part on our ability to attract, hire, integrate and retain the members of our management team and other qualified personnel, such as members of our innovation team. Our future success also depends on the continued contributions of our executive officers, each of whom may be difficult to replace. The loss of any of our executive officers or the inability to continue to attract qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business. We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers. The replacement of any of these executives would involve significant time and expense and may significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our business objectives. Competition for the caliber and number of employees we require is intense. We may face difficulty identifying and hiring qualified personnel at compensation levels consistent with our existing compensation and salary structure. In addition, we invest significant time and expense in training each of our employees, which increases their value to competitors who may seek to recruit them. If we fail to retain our employees, we could incur significant expenses in hiring, integrating and training their replacements and the quality of our services and our ability to serve our customers could diminish, resulting in a material adverse effect on our business.

We may not be able to maintain or increase our profitability.

We may not succeed in maintaining or increasing our profitability on an annual basis and could incur quarterly or annual losses in future periods. We have and expect to continue to incur additional operating expenses associated with our new status as a public company and we intend to continue to increase our operating expenses as we grow our business. We also expect to continue to make investments in our proprietary technology solutions, sales and marketing, infrastructure, facilities and other resources as we seek to grow, thereby incurring additional costs. If our revenue does not increase to offset these increases in costs, our operating results would be negatively affected. You should not consider our historic revenue growth rates as indicative of future growth rates.

Disruptions in service or damage to our third-party providers' data centers could adversely affect our business.

We rely on third-parties who provide access to data centers. Our information technologies and systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from various causes, including (i) acts of God and other natural disasters, war and acts of terrorism and (ii) power losses, computer systems failures, internet and telecommunications or data network failures, operator error, losses of and corruption of data and similar events. We conduct business continuity planning and work with our third-party providers to protect against fires, floods, other natural disasters and general business interruptions to mitigate the adverse effects of a disruption, relocation or change in operating environment at the data centers we utilize. The situations we plan for and the amount of insurance coverage we maintain may not be adequate in any particular case. In addition, the occurrence of any of these events could result in interruptions, delays or cessations in service to our customers. Any of these events could impair or prohibit our ability to provide our services, reduce the attractiveness of our services to current or potential customers and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, despite the implementation of security measures, our infrastructure, data centers, or systems that we interface with, including the Internet and related systems, may be vulnerable to physical break-ins, hackers, improper employee or contractor access, computer viruses, programming errors, denial-of-service attacks or other attacks by third-parties seeking to disrupt operations or misappropriate information or similar physical or electronic breaches of security. Any of these can cause system failure, including network, software or hardware failure, which can result in service disruptions. As a result, we may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against security breaches and hackers or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches.

We may be liable for use of content we provide.

We provide content for use by healthcare providers in treating patients. This content includes coding and drug databases developed by third-parties and prepopulated templates providers can use to document visits and record patient health information. If this content in the third-party databases is incorrect or incomplete, adverse consequences, including death, may occur and give rise to product liability and other claims against us. A court or government agency may take the position that our delivery of health information directly, including through licensed practitioners, or delivery of information by a third-party site that a consumer accesses through our websites, exposes us to personal injury liability, or other liability for wrongful delivery or handling of healthcare services or erroneous health information. While we maintain product liability insurance coverage in an amount that we believe is sufficient for our business, this coverage may not be adequate or continue to be available on acceptable terms, if at all. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or under-insured could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Even unsuccessful claims could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and our competitors may be able to compete more efficiently or evolve more rapidly than we do, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, revenue, growth rates and market share.

The market for EHR, PM and other healthcare information technologies is highly competitive and we expect competition to increase in the future. We face competition from existing and new entrants. We believe our most significant competitors in EHR and PM are Allscripts, athenahealth, Cerner, eClinicalWorks, Epic, GE, Quality Systems, and Vitera Healthcare Solutions. Our competitors may be able to respond more quickly and effectively than we can to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards, regulations or customer needs and requirements. Some of these competitors have longer operating histories, greater brand recognition and greater financial, marketing and other resources than us. Moreover, we expect that competition will continue to increase as a result of incentives provided by the HITECH Act, which was enacted in 2009 as part of the American Recovery Reinvestment Act (“ARRA”) and consolidation in both the information technology and healthcare industries. Further, if one or more of our competitors or potential competitors were to merge or partner with another of our competitors, the change in the competitive landscape could adversely affect our ability to compete effectively. We may not be able to compete successfully with these companies, and these or other competitors may introduce technologies or services that render our technologies or services obsolete or less marketable. Even if our technology solutions and services are more effective than the offerings of our competitors, current or potential customers might prefer competitive technologies or services to our technology solutions and services. Increased competition could also result in pricing pressures, which would negatively impact our margins, growth rate or market share.

If providers do not purchase our technology solutions and services or delay in choosing our solutions or services, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our business model depends on our ability to sell our technology solutions and services. Acceptance of our technology solutions and services may require providers to adopt different behavior patterns and new methods of conducting business and exchanging information. Providers may not integrate our technology solutions and services into their workflow and may not accept our solutions and services as a replacement for traditional methods of practicing medicine. Achieving market acceptance for our solutions and services will continue to require substantial sales and marketing efforts and the expenditure of significant financial and other resources to create awareness and demand by providers. If providers fail to broadly accept our technology solutions and services, or if we fail to position our technology solutions and services as a preferred method for information management and healthcare delivery, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Government programs in the United States initiated to accelerate the adoption and utilization of health information technology and to counter the effects of the current economic situation, may not be effective in changing the behavior of providers or may not be fully implemented or fully funded by the government.

While government programs have been initiated to improve the efficiency and quality of the healthcare sector and also counter the effects of the current economic situation, including expenditures to stimulate business and accelerate the adoption and utilization of health care technology, these programs may not be fully implemented or fully funded and there is no guarantee that our customers will receive any of these funds. For example, the passage of the HITECH Act authorizes more than \$19 billion in expenditures to incentivize adoption of electronic health records. Although we believe that our technology solutions and services will meet the requirements of the HITECH Act, qualifying our customers for financial incentives, these financial incentives, may not apply to our technology solutions or services. Also, providers may be slow to adopt EHR systems in response to these government programs, may not select our technology solutions and services, or may decide not implement an EHR system at all. Any delay in the purchase of our EHR technology solutions and services in response to government programs, or the failure of providers to purchase an EHR system, could have an adverse effect on our business, growth rate, financial condition and results of

operations. It is also possible that in light of the budget deficit and the increasing pressure to reduce federal government expenditures or for other economic or political reasons, Congress may repeal or not fund the HITECH Act as originally planned or otherwise amend it in a manner that have an adverse effect on our business.

We must ensure our EHR systems are certified pursuant to the HITECH Act standards, and failure to continue to provide solutions that are certified could put us at a competitive disadvantage.

The HITECH Act provides financial incentives for healthcare providers that demonstrate “meaningful use” of EHR and mandates use of health information technology systems that are certified according to technical standards developed under the supervision of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”). The HITECH Act also imposes certain requirements upon governmental agencies to use, and requires health care providers, health plans, and insurers contracting with such agencies to use, systems that are certified according to such standards. Such standards and implementation specifications that are being developed under the HITECH Act includes named standards, architectures, and software schemes for the authentication and security of individually identifiable health information and the creation of common solutions across disparate entities.

The HITECH Act’s certification requirements affect our business because we have invested and continue to invest in conforming our technology solutions to these standards. HHS has contracted with CCHIT to develop certification programs for electronic health records and health information exchanges. PrimeSUITE 2011 has been certified as a complete EHR by CCHIT, which indicates that our EHR solutions meet the 2011/2012 criteria to support Stage 1 “meaningful use” as required by HHS to assist providers in their efforts to meet the goals and objectives of “meaningful use,” making such providers and hospitals eligible for funding under the HITECH Act if our EHR is used appropriately. However, Stage 1 only refers to the first set of “meaningful use” objectives that must be met to be eligible for incentive payments. Stage 2 criteria, which was recently defined and is set to begin in 2014, expands upon the Stage 1 criteria while ensuring a focus on the meaningful use of EHRs. Stage 3 requirements have yet to be defined. As the standards are developed, we may need to use additional resources to meet the newly defined requirements, which could lead to delays necessary to modify our technology solutions. We must ensure that our technology solutions are or will be certified according to applicable HITECH Act technical standards so that our customers have an opportunity to qualify for “meaningful use” incentive payments. Failure to comply could jeopardize our relationships with customers who are relying upon us to provide certified software. Lastly, if for some reason we are not able to comply with these applicable HITECH Act standards within the required timeframe, our products and services could be less attractive to customers than the offerings of other EHR vendors who have complied.

Our technology solutions are required to meet the standards for interoperability, which could require us to incur substantial additional development costs.

Our customers and the industry leaders enacting regulatory requirements are concerned with and often require that our technology solutions and healthcare devices be interoperable with other third-party healthcare information technology suppliers. Market forces or regulatory authorities could create software interoperability standards that would apply to our solutions, and if our technology solutions are not consistent with those standards, we could be forced to incur substantial additional development costs. CCHIT has developed a comprehensive set of criteria for the functionality, interoperability and security of various software modules in the healthcare information technology industry. CCHIT, however, continues to modify and refine those standards. Achieving and maintaining CCHIT certification is a competitive imperative that could result in larger than expected software development expenses and administrative expenses in order to conform to these requirements. These standards and specifications, once finalized, will be subject to interpretation by the entities designated to certify such technology. We will incur increased development costs in delivering solutions if we need to change or enhance our technology solutions to be in compliance with these varying and evolving standards, and delays may result in connection therewith. If our technology solutions are not consistent with these evolving standards, our market position and sales could be impaired and we may have to invest significantly in changes to our technology solutions. In addition, HHS may require other additional certifications from additional certifying bodies. If we are required to obtain certification from additional bodies, it would be costly and outcomes are unknown.



If our security measures are breached or fail and unauthorized access is obtained to a customer's data, our service may be perceived as insecure, the attractiveness of our services to current or potential customers may be reduced, and we may incur significant liabilities.

Our services involve the storage and transmission of customers' proprietary information and patient information, including health, financial, payment and other personal or confidential information. We rely on proprietary and commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring, as well as other processes, to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of such information. Because of the sensitivity of this information and due to requirements under applicable laws and regulations, the effectiveness of such security efforts is very important. If our security measures are breached or fail as a result of third-party action, employee error, malfeasance or otherwise, someone may be able to obtain unauthorized access to customer or patient data. Improper activities by third-parties, advances in computer and software capabilities and encryption technology, new tools and discoveries and other events or developments may facilitate or result in a compromise or breach of our computer systems. Techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, and we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or fail to implement adequate preventive measures. Our security measures may not be effective in preventing such unauthorized access. If a breach of our security occurs, we could face damages for contract breach, penalties for violation of applicable laws or regulations, possible lawsuits by individuals affected by the breach and significant remediation costs and efforts to prevent future occurrences. In addition, whether there is an actual or a perceived breach of our security, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose current or potential customers.

Our growth depends, in part, on establishing and maintaining strategic relationships.

We must continue to maintain our existing strategic relationships, such as we have with Walgreens and McGraw Hill. We also need to establish additional strategic relationships with leaders in a number of healthcare and health information technology industry segments. We believe that these relationships contribute towards our ability to increase exposure to our technology solutions to a larger number of healthcare providers and further enhance the Greenway brand. These relationships also assist us in developing and deploying new technology solutions and services, and generate sources of additional revenue and cash flows.

We must carefully manage these relationships as strategic partners may decide to compete with us in some or all of our markets. In addition, we may not be able to maintain or establish relationships with potential partners if we conduct business with their competitors. We depend, in part, on our strategic partners' ability to generate increased acceptance and use of our technology and services. Many of these strategic relationships, such as Walgreens and McGraw Hill, are new and have yet to be fully developed. We may not fully realize the expected benefits of such relationships. Further, if we lose any of these strategic relationships or fail to establish additional relationships, or if our strategic relationships fail to benefit us as expected, we may not be able to execute our business plan, and our business, financial condition and results of operations may suffer.

We offer our services in many states and, therefore, may be subject to state and local taxes that could harm our business or that we may have inadvertently failed to pay.

We may lose sales or incur significant costs should various tax jurisdictions be successful in imposing taxes on a broader range of services. Imposition of such taxes on our services could result in substantial unplanned costs, would effectively increase the cost of such services to our customers and may adversely affect our ability to retain existing customers or to gain new customers in the areas in which such taxes are imposed.

Future acquisitions may result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of indebtedness and increased amortization expense.

Future acquisitions may result in dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt, the assumption of known and unknown liabilities, the write-off of software development costs and the amortization of expenses related to intangible assets, all of which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may have difficulty integrating future acquisitions into our business.

We may from time to time acquire other companies or their businesses. As a result, we may be exposed to several risks relating to integrating these additional businesses, including those risks listed below, any of which may adversely affect our business or operating results:

- inability to integrate new operations, products, services and personnel;

- diversion of resources from our existing business;



failure in client communication and branding awareness;

inability to generate revenue from new products and services sufficient to offset associated acquisition costs;

inability to maintain uniform standards, controls and policies;

accounting issues that adversely affect our financial results;

impairment of employee and customer relations as a result of any integration of new management personnel; and

assumption of liabilities or other obligations associated with an acquired business.

We may be unable to adequately establish, protect or enforce our intellectual property.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to establish, protect and enforce our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. If we fail to establish, protect or enforce our intellectual property rights, we may lose an important advantage in the market in which we compete. We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret law and contractual obligations to protect our key intellectual property rights, all of which provide only limited protection. Our intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to help us maintain our position in the market and our competitive advantages. Although we have filed 21 U.S. patent applications, some or all of these patents may not be issued and therefore, may not provide us with the protection that we seek. We have been issued two U.S. patents, however, any patents issued to us could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of intellectual property are uncertain. Any patents that may be issued in the future from pending or future patent applications or our two issued patents may not provide sufficiently broad protection or may not prove to be enforceable in actions against alleged infringers. Also, any other intellectual property registrations may not be issued for pending or future applications and may not be enforceable or provide adequate protection of our proprietary rights.

We also rely on trade secrets to protect our proprietary technology. Trade secrets may not be protectable if not properly kept confidential. We strive to enter into non-disclosure agreements with our employees, customers, contractors and business partners to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. The steps we have taken, however, may not be sufficient to prevent unauthorized use of our technology, and adequate remedies may not be available in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of our trade secrets and proprietary technology. Moreover, others may reverse engineer or independently develop technologies that are competitive to ours or infringe our intellectual property.

Accordingly, despite our efforts, we may be unable to prevent third-parties from using our intellectual property or our technology for their competitive advantage. Any such use could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Monitoring unauthorized uses of and enforcing our intellectual property rights can be difficult and costly. Legal intellectual property actions are inherently uncertain and may not be successful, and may require a substantial amount of resources and divert our management's attention.

Claims by others that we infringe their intellectual property could force us to incur significant costs or revise the way we conduct our business.

Our competitors protect their proprietary rights by means of patents, trade secrets, copyrights, trademarks and other intellectual property. We have not conducted an independent review of patents and other intellectual property issued

to third-parties. Because patent applications in the United States and many other jurisdictions are kept confidential for 18 months before they are published, we may be unaware of third parties' patent applications, some which may relate to our proprietary technology. We may receive letters from third parties alleging, or inquiring about, possible infringement misappropriation or violation of their intellectual property rights. Any party asserting that we infringe, misappropriate or violate proprietary rights may force us to defend ourselves, and potentially our customers, against the alleged claim. These claims and any resulting lawsuit, if successful, could subject us to significant liability for damages and/or invalidation of our proprietary rights or interruption or cessation of our operations. The risk of such claims and lawsuits will likely increase as we increase in size, the scope of our services and technology platforms increase, our geographic presence and market share expand and the number of competitors in our market increases. Any such claims or lawsuit could:

be time-consuming and expensive to defend, whether meritorious or not;

require us to stop providing products or services that use the technology that allegedly infringes the other party's intellectual property;

divert the attention of our technical and managerial resources;

require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements with third-parties, which may not be available on terms that we deem acceptable;

prevent us from operating all or a portion of our business or force us to redesign our products, services or technology platforms, which could be difficult and expensive and may make the performance or value of our product or service offerings less attractive;

subject us to significant liability for damages or result in significant settlement payments; or

require us to indemnify our customers, as certain of our customer contracts require us to indemnify the customer for certain claims of infringement or alleged infringement of third-party's intellectual property rights resulting from customer's use of our intellectual property.

Furthermore, during the course of litigation, confidential information may be disclosed in the form of documents or testimony in connection with discovery requests, depositions or trial testimony. Disclosure of our confidential information and our involvement in intellectual property litigation could materially adversely affect our business. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of intellectual property litigation more effectively than we can because they have substantially greater resources. In addition, any litigation could significantly harm our relationships with current and prospective customers. Any of the foregoing could disrupt our business and have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may need additional capital to fund our operations and finance our growth, and we may not be able to secure such capital on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

In order for us to grow and successfully execute our business plan, we may require additional financing which may not be available or may not be available on acceptable terms. If such financing is available, it may dilute the existing stockholders' ownership interests in the Company. Failure to obtain financing may have a material adverse effect on our financial position and may cause you to lose your entire investment in the Company. In addition, if we are unable to secure additional financing on acceptable terms or at all, it will impact our ability to conduct acquisitions.

We depend upon third-party service providers for certain technologies. If these third-party providers fail to fulfill their contractual obligations to us, fail to maintain or support those technologies or choose not to sell them to us, our business and operations could be disrupted and our operating results would be harmed.

We have entered into certain arrangements with third-party service providers. Technologies provided by these providers support some of our solutions. If these technologies fail or are of poor quality, our business, reputation and operating results could be harmed. Failure of the service providers to perform satisfactorily could result in client dissatisfaction, disrupt our operations and adversely affect operating results. With respect to these service providers, we have significantly less control over the technologies they provide to us than if we maintained and operated them ourselves, which increases our risk. In some cases, functions necessary to some of our solutions are performed by these third-party technologies. If we need to find an alternative source for performing these functions, we may have to expend significant money, resources and time to develop the alternative, and if this development is not accomplished in a timely manner and without significant disruption to our business, we may be unable to fulfill our responsibilities to clients or the expectations of clients, with the attendant potential for liability claims and a loss of business reputation.

Demand by smaller providers could accelerate transition to a subscription pricing model which could reduce near-term revenue.

The adoption of EHRs by the large untapped market of smaller provider customers and their greater need to minimize capital outlays could accelerate adoption of subscription-based arrangements as opposed to perpetual licensing arrangements. Under subscription-based arrangements, providers pay a monthly fee over a 36 to 60 month term to utilize our software as compared to perpetual license arrangements, under which providers utilize our software in exchange for a one-time license fee. While an increased amount of subscription arrangements will result in increased recurring revenue over a longer period of time than we have achieved historically, near-term revenue would be reduced as a result, while costs associated with these sales would still be expensed currently. For comparable transactions entered into at the beginning of an annual period, the impact of subscription-based versus perpetual license arrangements would have the effect of reducing the license revenue to be recognized by 66% to 80% in the initial year which would then be made up over the remaining two to five years of the subscription arrangement. If we fail to appropriately price our subscription fees to account for the decrease in near-term revenue, it could have an adverse effect on our business.

The terms of our existing credit facility and future indebtedness could restrict our operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes in our business or to take specified actions.

Our existing credit facility contains, and any future indebtedness would likely contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to take actions that may be in our best interests. The credit facility includes covenants, including requirements that:

- restrict our ability to pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of our capital stock or make other restricted payments;

- limit our ability to make certain investments or sell or transfer assets;

- require us to obtain consent from our lenders with respect to acquisitions under certain circumstances;

- restrict our ability to consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of our properties or assets; and

- we do not impair our lenders' security interests in our assets.

Our credit facility requires us to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios. Our ability to meet those financial ratios can be affected by events beyond our control, and we may not be able to continue to meet those ratios. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the credit facility.

Upon the occurrence of an event of default, our lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the credit facility to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the credit facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure such indebtedness. We have pledged all of our assets and personal property as collateral under the credit facility. If any of the lenders accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we may not have sufficient funds to repay our existing debt.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated. Our failure to comply with regulatory requirements could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity and negatively affect our business.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated and is constantly evolving due to the changing political, legislative, regulatory landscape and other factors. Many healthcare laws are complex, and their application to specific services and relationships may not be clear. In particular, many existing healthcare laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate or address the services that we provide. Further, healthcare laws differ from state to state and it is difficult to ensure our business complies with evolving laws in all states. Our operations may be adversely affected by enforcement initiatives. Our failure to accurately anticipate the application of these laws and regulations to our business, or any other failure to comply with regulatory requirements, could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity and negatively affect our business. Federal and state legislatures and agencies periodically consider proposals to revise aspects of the healthcare industry or to revise or create additional statutory and regulatory requirements. Such proposals, if implemented, could impact our operations, the use of our services and our ability to market new services, or could create unexpected liabilities for us. We cannot predict what changes to laws or regulations might be made in the future or how those changes could affect our business or our operating costs.

For example, recently enacted public laws reforming the U.S. healthcare system may impact our business. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) and The Health Care and Education and Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the “Reconciliation Act”), which amends the PPACA (collectively the “Health Reform Laws”), were signed into law in March 2010. The Health Reform Laws contain various provisions which may impact the Company and our customers. Some of these provisions may have a positive impact, by expanding the use of electronic health records in certain federal programs, for example, while others, such as reductions in reimbursement for certain types of providers, may have a negative impact due to fewer available resources. Increases in fraud and abuse penalties may also adversely affect participants in the health care sector, including the Company.

If a breach of our measures protecting personal data covered by HIPAA or the HITECH Act occurs, we may incur significant liabilities.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended (“HIPAA”), and the regulations that have been issued under it contain substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use, collection, storage and disclosure of individuals’ protected health information. Under HIPAA, covered entities must establish administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic protected health information maintained or transmitted by them or by others on their behalf. In February 2009, HIPAA was amended by the HITECH Act to add provisions that impose certain of HIPAA’s privacy and security requirements directly upon business associates of covered entities. The HITECH Act transferred enforcement authority of the security rule from the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (“CMS”) to the Office for Civil Rights of HHS, thereby consolidating authority over the privacy and security rules under a single office within HHS. Further, HITECH empowered state attorneys general to enforce HIPAA.

The HITECH Act heightened enforcement of privacy and security rules, indicating that the imposition of penalties will likely be more common in the future and such penalties will be more severe. For example, the HITECH Act requires that the HHS fully investigate all complaints if a preliminary investigation of the facts indicates a possible violation due to “willful neglect” and impose penalties if such neglect is found. Further, where our liability as a business associate to our clients was previously merely contractual in nature, the HITECH Act now treats the breach of duty under a business associate agreement to carry the same liability as if the covered entity engaged in the breach. In other words, as a business associate, we are now directly responsible for complying with HIPAA. While we strive to adhere to strict policies and procedures, we may find ourselves subject to increased liability as a possible liable party and we may incur increased costs as we implement the various obligations between clients through these agreements.

Finally, regulations also require business associates to notify covered entities, who in turn must notify affected individuals and government authorities of data security breaches involving unsecured protected health information. Our customers are covered entities and we are a business associate of our customers under HIPAA and the HITECH Act as a result of our contractual obligations to perform certain functions on behalf of and provide certain services to those customers. We have performed an assessment of the potential risks and vulnerabilities to the confidentiality, integrity and availability of electronic health information. In response to this risk analysis, we implemented and maintain physical, technical and administrative safeguards intended to protect all personal data and have processes in place to assist us in complying with applicable laws and regulations regarding the protection of this data and properly responding to any security incidents. If we knowingly breach the HITECH Act’s requirements, we could be exposed to criminal liability. A breach of our safeguards and processes could expose us to civil penalties (up to \$1.5 million for identical incidences) and the possibility of civil litigation.

If we or our customers fail to comply with federal and state laws governing submission of false or fraudulent claims to government healthcare programs and financial relationships among healthcare providers, we or our customers may be subject to civil and criminal penalties or loss of eligibility to participate in government healthcare programs.

As a participant in the healthcare industry, our operations and relationships, and those of our customers, are regulated by a number of federal, state and local governmental entities. The impact of these regulations can adversely affect us even though we may not be directly regulated by specific healthcare laws and regulations. We must ensure that our technology solutions can be used by our customers in a manner that complies with those laws and regulations. Inability of our customers to do so could affect the marketability of our technology solutions or our compliance with our customer contracts, or even expose us to direct liability under the theory that we had assisted our customers in a violation of healthcare laws or regulations. A number of federal and state laws, including anti-kickback restrictions and laws prohibiting the submission of false or fraudulent claims, apply to healthcare providers and others that make, offer, seek or receive referrals or payments for technology solutions or services that may be paid for through any

federal or state healthcare program and, in some instances, any private program. These laws are complex and their application to our specific services and relationships may not be clear and may be applied to our business in ways that we do not anticipate. Federal and state regulatory and law enforcement authorities have recently increased enforcement activities with respect to Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse regulations and other healthcare reimbursement laws and rules. From time to time, participants in the healthcare industry receive inquiries or subpoenas to produce documents in connection with government investigations. We could be required to expend significant time and resources to comply with these requests, and the attention of our management team could be diverted by these efforts. The occurrence of any of these events could give our customers the right to terminate our contracts with us and result in significant harm to our business and financial condition.

These laws and regulations may change rapidly, and it is frequently unclear how they apply to our business. Any failure of our technology solutions or services to comply with these laws and regulations could result in substantial civil or criminal liability and could, among other things, adversely affect demand for our services, invalidate all or portions of some of our contracts with our customers, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our revenue, cause us to be disqualified from serving customers doing business with government payers, and give our customers the right to terminate our contracts with them, any one of which could have an adverse effect on our business.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile, which could cause the value of our common stock to decline.

The trading price of our common stock may be volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors. In addition to the risks described in this section, factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

- fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;

- changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;

- investors' general perception of us; and

- changes in general economic, industry and market conditions.

In addition, if the stock market in general experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some companies that have had volatile market prices for their securities have had securities class actions filed against them. If a suit were filed against us, regardless of its merits or outcome, it would likely result in substantial costs and divert management's attention and resources. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

The requirements of being a public reporting company, including compliance with the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and adoption of corporate governance practices that are customary for public companies, may strain our resources, increase our costs and distract management; and we may be unable to comply with these requirements in a timely or cost-effective manner.

We have recently become a public company. As a public company, we are required to ensure that we have the ability to prepare financial statements that comply with SEC reporting requirements on a timely basis. We are also subject to other reporting and corporate governance requirements, including the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act") and the regulations promulgated thereunder, which impose significant compliance obligations upon us. Specifically, we are required to:

- prepare and distribute periodic reports and other stockholder communications in compliance with our obligations under the federal securities laws and applicable stock exchange rules;

- create or expand the roles and duties of our Board of Directors and committees of the board;





institute compliance and internal audit functions that are more comprehensive;

evaluate and maintain our system of internal control over financial reporting, and, beginning with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, report on management's assessment thereof, in compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related rules and regulations of the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

involve and retain outside legal counsel and accountants in connection with the activities listed above;

enhance our investor relations function; and

maintain internal policies, including those relating to disclosure controls and procedures.

As a public company, we are required to commit significant resources and management time and attention to the above-listed requirements, which cause us to incur significant costs and which may place a strain on our systems and resources. As a result, our management's attention might be diverted from other business concerns. In addition, we might not be successful in implementing these requirements. The cost of preparing and filing annual and quarterly reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC and furnishing audited reports to stockholders cause our expenses to be higher than they would be if we had remained a privately-held company.

In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. To maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, significant resources and management oversight are required. We are implementing additional procedures and processes for the purpose of addressing the standards and requirements applicable to public companies. We have and continue to incur significant additional annual expenses related to these activities and, among other things, additional directors' and officers' liability insurance, director fees, reporting requirements, transfer agent fees, hiring additional accounting, legal and administrative personnel, increased auditing and legal fees and similar expenses. We may not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or our independent registered public accounting firm may not issue a favorable assessment. If either we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal control over financial reporting or our independent registered public accounting firm are unable to provide us with an unqualified report, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our stock.

Evolving regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses and continuing uncertainty.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, new SEC regulations, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and New York Stock Exchange rules are creating uncertainty for public companies. We continually evaluate and monitor developments with respect to new and proposed rules and cannot predict or estimate the amount of the additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices.

We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and we may be harmed.

Future sales of shares by existing stockholders or the possibility or perception of such future sales could cause our stock price to decline.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of common stock in the public market, or the perception that these sales could occur, could substantially decrease the market price of our common stock. If our existing stockholders sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline. Substantially all of the shares of our common stock are eligible for sale in the public market.

Your ability to influence corporate matters may be limited because a small number of stockholders beneficially own a substantial amount of our common stock and have substantial control over us.

As of September 17, 2012, our officers, directors and principal stockholders (greater than 5% stockholders) collectively beneficially own approximately 53% of our issued and outstanding common stock. As a result, these stockholders may be able to exert significant influence over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our Company or its assets, and may have interests that are different from yours and may vote in a way with which you disagree and which may be adverse to your interests. In addition, this concentration of ownership may have the effect of preventing, discouraging or deferring a change of control, which could depress the market price of our common stock.

Transactions engaged in by our principal stockholders, our officers or directors involving our common stock may have an adverse effect on the price of our stock.

As described above, as of September 17, 2012, our officers, directors and principal stockholders (greater than 5% stockholders) collectively control approximately 53% of our issued and outstanding common stock. Subsequent sales of our shares by these stockholders could have the effect of lowering our stock price. The perceived risk associated with the possible sale of a large number of shares by these stockholders, or the adoption of significant short positions by hedge funds or other significant investors, could cause some of our stockholders to sell their stock, thus causing the price of our stock to decline. In addition, actual or anticipated downward pressure on our stock price due to actual or anticipated sales of stock by our directors or officers could cause other institutions or individuals to engage in short sales of our common stock, which may further cause the price of our stock to decline. From time to time our directors and executive officers may sell shares of our common stock on the open market. These sales will be publicly disclosed in filings made with the SEC. In the future, our directors and executive officers may sell a significant number of shares for a variety of reasons unrelated to the performance of our business. Our stockholders may perceive these sales as a reflection on management's view of the business and result in some stockholders selling their shares of our common stock. These sales could cause the price of our stock to drop.

Provisions in our corporate governing documents and Delaware law may discourage a takeover attempt.

Provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and Delaware law impose various procedural and other requirements, which could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us or for stockholders to effect certain corporate actions. For example, our certificate of incorporation authorizes our Board of Directors to determine the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of unissued series of preferred stock, without any vote or action by our stockholders. Therefore, the Board of Directors can authorize and issue shares of preferred stock with voting or conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting or other rights of holders of our common stock. In addition, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide for a staggered, or classified Board of Directors consisting of three classes of directors, each serving staggered three-year terms. These rights may have the effect of delaying or deterring a change of control of our Company. These provisions could limit the price that certain investors may be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Stockholders may be diluted by future issuances of capital stock or securities or instruments that are convertible into our capital stock.

Our Board of Directors has the authority, without action or vote of our stockholders, to issue all or any part of our authorized but unissued shares of common stock, including shares that may be issued to satisfy our obligations under our equity incentive plans, shares of our authorized but unissued preferred stock and securities and instruments that are convertible into our common stock. Issuances of common stock or voting preferred stock would reduce your influence over matters on which our stockholders vote and, in the case of issuances of preferred stock, likely would

result in your interest in us being subject to the prior rights of holders of that preferred stock.

Further, we may need to raise additional funds in the future to finance our operations and/or acquire complementary businesses. If we obtain capital in future offerings, the value of the price per share of our stockholders' common stock could be reduced. In addition, if we issue additional equity securities in a future offering and certain stockholders do not participate in such offering, there will effectively be dilution in their percentage ownership interest in the Company.

Under the Company's 2011 Stock Plan and the Company's previous incentive stock plans, the Company granted , and in the future intends to grant, awards of stock options to purchase common stock and other awards to our officers, directors, employees and consultants. We will in the future grant stock options and other awards to certain current or future officers, directors, employees and consultants of the Company under additional plans or individual agreements. The grant and exercise of these awards, as applicable, will have the effect of diluting our stockholders' ownership interests in the Company. We may also issue additional equity securities in connection with other types of transactions, including shares issued as part of the purchase price for acquisitions of assets or other companies from time to time in connection with strategic partnerships or joint ventures, or as incentives to management or other providers of resources to the Company. Such additional issuances are likely to have the same dilutive effect.

We currently have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock.

We currently do not pay dividends on our common stock and we do not anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any declaration and payment of future dividends to holders of our common stock may be limited by restrictive covenants of our debt agreements, and will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on many factors, including our financial condition, results of operations, earnings, capital requirements, business expansion opportunities, level of indebtedness, statutory and contractual restrictions applying to the payment of dividends and other considerations that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Further, we may not have sufficient surplus to be able to legally pay any dividends in the future. The absence of sufficient surplus may result from extraordinary cash expenses, actual expenses exceeding contemplated costs, funding of capital expenditures, or increases in reserves.

Item 2. Facilities

We lease our corporate headquarters of approximately 15,000 square feet, located at 121 Greenway Boulevard, Carrollton, Georgia 30117. We also lease several other properties, located in and around Carrollton for other business operations, such as research and development, client services, and network operations. To accommodate the growth of our business, we are also nearing the completion of new facilities, consisting of a total of approximately 80,000 square feet, on property that we own that is adjacent to our current corporate headquarters.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in various legal proceedings arising from the normal course of business activities. We are not presently a party to any material litigation.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Market for Common Stock

Our common stock has traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol "GWAY" since February 2, 2012. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low intraday sales prices per share of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE, for the periods indicated.

	Price Range	
	High	Low
2012		
Quarter ended March 31, 2012(1)	\$ 16.19	\$ 10.10
Quarter ended June 30, 2012	\$ 17.50	\$ 12.20

(1) Our common stock began trading on February 2, 2012.

## Holders of Record

The number of record holders of our common stock as of September 17, 2012 was 404 (excluding individual participants in nominee security position listings).

## Dividends

Since our incorporation, we have not declared or paid any dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends for the foreseeable future. Our existing credit facility prohibits us from paying cash dividends, and any future financing agreements may prohibit us from paying any type of dividends.

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table gives information about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under all of our existing equity compensation plans at June 30, 2012, including the 1999 Option Plan, the 2004 Stock Plan, and the 2011 Stock Plan.

Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (A)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (B)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (A)) (C)
---	---	---



Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	3,519,610 (1)	\$ 5.80	2,566,094 (2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	—	—	—
Total	3,519,610	\$ 5.80	2,566,094

(1) Includes options outstanding under the Company's 1999 Option Plan, 2004 Stock Plan, and 2011 Stock Plan, and warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2012.

(2) Includes shares available for issuance under the Company's 2004 Stock Plan and 2011 Stock Plan.

## Sales of Unregistered Securities

Between June 30, 2011 and March 15, 2012 (the date of the filing of our registration statement on Form S-8, No. 333-180138), we (i) granted to our directors, officers, employees and consultants options to purchase 328,702 shares of our common stock with per share exercise prices ranging from \$13.31 to \$14.50 under our stock incentive plans and (ii) issued and sold an aggregate of 64,177 shares of common stock that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") to our directors, officers, employees and consultants pursuant to the exercise of stock options for cash consideration with aggregate exercise proceeds of approximately \$360,600. Further, on March 31, 2012, a holder of a Company warrant exercised its right to purchase an aggregate of 157,895 shares of our common stock. The exercise price was \$4.75 per common share for a total of \$750,000. The warrant holder was also entitled to receive, at the time of exercise of the warrant, an additional payment of \$750,000 in connection with the conversion of our preferred stock which occurred in connection with our initial public offering. The total exercise price and this additional payment were offset. In addition, in August 2011, we issued 864 shares of our common stock to a consultant as compensation for services which the parties valued at \$10,000.

None of the foregoing transactions involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commission, or any public offering, and the company believes the transactions were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act in reliance on Section 4(2) thereof, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, or Rule 701 thereunder, as transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering or transactions pursuant to compensatory benefit plans. Appropriate legends were affixed to the securities issued in these transactions. Each of the recipients of securities in these transactions had adequate access, through employment, business or other relationships, to information about us.

## Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

## Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total return provided to holders of the common stock of Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. relative to the cumulative total returns of the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index and the Standard & Poors 1500 Health Care Technology Index since the pricing of the initial public offering of Greenway's common stock on February 1, 2012. An investment of \$100 is assumed to have been made in our common stock and in each of the indexes on February 1, 2012, and its relative performance is tracked through June 30, 2012.

	2/1/12	2/29/12	3/31/12	4/30/12	5/31/12	6/30/12
Greenway Medical Technologies	100	148.00	152.80	154.00	164.30	163.10
NYSE Composite Index	100	102.29	103.47	102.37	94.11	98.37
S&P 1500 Health Care Technology Index	100	111.05	110.89	107.16	101.57	106.90

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the financial statements, related notes and other financial information included in this Form 10-K. We derived the balance sheet data as of June 30, 2008, from our audited financial statements, that were subsequently revised to conform to Regulation S-X and, as such, are now unaudited. The selected historical financial data in this section is not intended to replace our historical financial statements and the accompanying notes. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our future results.

	For the years ended June 30,				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Statements of operations data					
Revenue:					
Systems sales	\$ 18,207	\$ 20,650	\$ 24,172	\$ 31,726	\$ 39,300
Training and consulting services	5,998	7,925	11,863	18,373	27,816
Support services	8,457	11,421	16,031	22,401	33,143
Electronic data interchange and business services	6,137	8,716	12,576	17,339	23,754
Total revenue	38,799	48,712	64,642	89,839	124,013
Cost of revenue:					
Systems sales(1)	5,478	6,500	6,752	7,522	10,259
Training and consulting services(1)	5,073	5,708	8,152	13,550	18,881
Support services(1)	2,763	3,279	4,179	7,059	10,564
Electronic data interchange and business services(1)	4,439	5,954	8,713	12,280	16,197
Total cost of revenue(1)	17,753	21,441	27,796	40,411	55,901
Gross profit	21,046	27,271	36,846	49,428	68,112
Operating expenses:					
Sales, general and administrative(1)	16,860	20,370	27,727	37,399	47,565
Research and development(1)	5,356	5,767	5,991	8,218	15,696
Total operating expenses(1)	22,216	26,137	33,718	45,617	63,261
Operating income (loss)	(1,170 )	1,134	3,128	3,811	4,851
Interest (income) expense and other expense, net	(244 )	153	115	46	(14 )
Income (loss) before income taxes	(926 )	981	3,013	3,765	4,865
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	—	26	148	(29,200 )	1,955
Net income (loss)	(926 )	955	2,865	32,965	2,910
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	(6,471 )	(9,014 )	(8,038 )	(54,961 )	28,395

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$	(7,397 )	\$ (8,059 )	\$ (5,173 )	\$ (21,966 )	\$ 31,305
--	----	----------	-------------	-------------	--------------	-----------

Per share data:

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share:

Basic	\$	(0.74 )	\$ (0.81 )	\$ (0.48 )	\$ (1.90 )	\$ 1.66
Diluted	\$	(0.74 )	\$ (0.81 )	\$ (0.48 )	\$ (1.90 )	\$ .11

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

Basic	9,940	9,947	10,684	11,579	18,809
Diluted	9,940	9,947	10,684	11,579	25,369

(1) Includes stock-based compensation in the following amounts:

Cost of revenue:

System sales	\$	—	\$ —	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 11
Training and consulting services		78	57	64	74	290
Support services		100	14	23	37	112
Electronic data interchange and business services		6	1	1	9	55
Total cost of revenue	\$	184	\$ 72	\$ 96	\$ 127	\$ 468

Operating expenses:

Sales, general and administrative	\$	1,196	\$ 482	\$ 463	\$ 1,118	\$ 1,543
Research and development		168	11	63	154	744
Total operating expenses		1,364	493	526	1,272	2,287
Total stock-compensation expense	\$	1,548	\$ 565	\$ 622	\$ 1,399	\$ 2,755

(in thousands)	As of June 30,				
	2008 (Unaudited)	2009	2010	2011	2012
Balance sheet data:					
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$8,161	\$9,711	\$19,179	\$16,168	\$34,395
Working capital	8,564	9,861	16,966	14,446	34,630
Total assets	19,944	22,210	38,604	82,156	133,123
Deferred revenue	3,233	3,717	4,320	8,672	12,192
Long-term obligations	2,218	1,904	—	349	116
Convertible preferred stock at fair value	87,360	95,818	103,855	158,815	--
Accumulated deficit	(134,791 )	(142,850 )	(148,024 )	(170,020 )	(138,715 )
Total stockholders' (deficit) equity	(77,056 )	(84,539 )	(79,996 )	(99,484 )	98,846
Other Financial Data					
	For the years ended June 30,				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	(Unaudited) (in thousands)				
Adjusted EBITDA(1)	\$700	\$2,029	\$4,144	\$6,385	\$12,061
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,416 )	(2,070 )	6,628	6,243	8,286
Capital expenditures	3,128	325	2,784	4,129	8,041

(1) Adjusted EBITDA, a non-GAAP measure, is an unaudited number and represents income (loss) before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, acquisition-related transaction costs and stock-based compensation. See discussion and reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), the most comparable GAAP equivalent, in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations."

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes that appear elsewhere in this Report. In addition to historical financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed below. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this Report, particularly in "Risk Factors."

Business overview

We are a leading provider of integrated information technology solutions and managed business services to ambulatory healthcare providers throughout the United States. At the core of our suite of solutions and services is PrimeSUITE, our award-winning, fully-integrated EHR, PM and interoperability solution. PrimeSUITE integrates clinical, financial and administrative data in a single database to enable comprehensive views of patient records and efficient workflow throughout each patient encounter, reduce clinical and administrative errors, and allow for the seamless exchange of data between our customers and the broader healthcare community. We augment our solutions by offering managed business services such as clinically-driven revenue cycle and EHR-enabled research services. By integrating clinical, financial and administrative data processes, our solutions and services are designed to allow providers to deliver advanced care and improve their efficiency and profitability. Over 12,000 providers, which we define as physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, and physician assistants, use our solutions to deliver care to and capture the clinical, financial and administrative information of over 24 million patients treated annually.

Our technology solutions and services are designed to address the needs of providers in all ambulatory settings: independent physician practices, group practices, hospital-affiliated and hospital-owned clinics and practices, retail clinics, employer clinics, university and academic centers, federally-qualified health centers ("FQHCs"), community health centers ("CHCs"), accountable care communities ("ACCs") and accountable care organizations ("ACOs"), and integrated delivery networks ("IDNs"). Our single database technology platform, which reflects over 12 years of development, is scalable to serve the needs of ambulatory providers of any size. As providers' needs evolve, our platform allows for the efficient development and integration of new solutions, which we refer to as our innovation platform. Our solutions are available on either a cloud-based or premise-based model.

The ambulatory EHR market has historically been underpenetrated and installed systems have been underutilized. Adoption of these technologies has been low for several reasons including providers' resistance to making the required investment as well as concerns that electronic records would disrupt clinical and administrative workflows. Adoption of EHR solutions is accelerating as more providers realize the possible return on investment from adoption of solutions such as PrimeSUITE. Government initiatives and legislation have provided financial incentives and implementation support for ambulatory providers to adopt EHR solutions.

In order for us to continue to deliver on this commitment to our providers we are committed to investing in our innovation platform and managed business services to address the trends and challenges we believe will affect our providers now and in the future. We will invest in the development of new products and enhancements to existing products that we believe present opportunities for substantial efficiencies to ourselves and our providers' businesses. In responding to the acceleration of EHR adoption, government regulations such as the HITECH Act and ARRA, and other market trends such as increasing consumerism, the shift to quality-based reimbursement and the focus on improving the coordination of care among providers, we face also the following opportunities, challenges and risks, which could impact our business:

**Maintaining Adequate Capacity to Satisfy Potential Increased Demand.** We have taken steps to position ourselves to take advantage of expected increased demand by increasing our direct sales force, enhancing our relationships with strategic alliance partners with established sales forces and increasing our systems installation capacity by utilizing third-party training and implementation specialists certified in PrimeSUITE deployment. While we believe these steps are sufficient to satisfy expected demand, additional investments and steps may be required.

**Ensuring Continued Certification of Our Solutions.** In order to qualify for government incentives for EHR adoption, our solutions must continue to meet various and changing requirements for product certification and must enable our eligible providers to achieve “meaningful use” as defined by existing and new regulations. We will continue to invest significant resources to ensure compliance of our solutions and to train and consult with our eligible providers to enable them to navigate “meaningful use” regulations. Our ability to achieve certification under applicable standards from time to time and the length and cost of related solutions development and enhancement could materially impact our ability to take advantage of increased demand and require larger research and development investments than anticipated.

Ensuring Our Ability to Address Emerging Demand Trends. Trends toward community-based purchasing decisions where individuals, hospitals, health systems and IDNs subsidize the purchase of EHR solutions for their affiliated physicians in order to expand connectivity within their provider community, and government-funded providers and initiatives, such as RECs, to encourage and support the implementation of EHR, could result in longer sales cycles and installation periods. This may also increase the need for additional training and implementation specialists because of the size and complexity of those sales. As a result, while we expect these trends to result in increased demand for our solutions and managed business services, they may require additional investment by us and may have unintended or unexpected consequences that could impact our business.

Demand by Smaller Providers Could Accelerate Transition to Subscription Pricing Model. The adoption of EHR by the large untapped market of smaller provider customers and their greater need to minimize capital outlays could accelerate adoption of subscription-based arrangements as opposed to perpetual licensing arrangements. While additional subscription arrangements will result in increased recurring revenue over a longer period of time than we have achieved historically, near-term revenue would be reduced as a result while costs associated with these sales would still be expensed currently.

Uncertain Impact of Recent Legislation. Recently enacted public laws reforming the U.S. healthcare system may impact our business. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) and The Health Care and Education and Reconciliation Act of 2010 (the “Reconciliation Act”), which amends the PPACA (collectively the “Health Reform Laws”), were signed into law in March 2010. The Health Reform Laws contain various provisions which may impact the Company and our customers. Some of these provisions may have a positive impact, by expanding the use of electronic health records in certain federal programs, for example, while others, such as reductions in reimbursement for certain types of providers, may have a negative impact due to fewer available resources. Increases in fraud and abuse penalties may also adversely affect participants in the health care sector, including the Company.

## Sources of Revenue and Expenses

### Revenue

We derive our revenue primarily from sales of our PrimeSUITE platform of proprietary solutions, related hardware and professional services to providers in ambulatory settings. Currently, a sizable percentage of our solution sales are made as perpetual licenses to our customers; however, our software is currently available in a cloud-based or a premise-based model.



We classify our revenue as: (1) Systems Sales, (2) Training and Consulting Services, (3) Support Services, and (4) Electronic Data Interchange and Business Services. Systems Sales are products comprised of software licenses, primarily PrimeSUITE, and related hardware and third-party software. Training and Consulting Services include implementation, training and consulting associated with Systems Sales. Support Services includes solutions we offer on a per user or transaction basis, such as the PrimeSUITE platform deployed as a service and EXCHANGE services for connectivity to third-parties and third-party database charges. Electronic Data Interchange and Business Services include third-party charges for patient claims, statements and eligibility, and clinically-driven RCM and EHR-enabled research services.

As our installed customer base continues to grow, we anticipate that Support Services and Electronic Data Interchange and Business Services, which are recurring in nature, will expand as a percentage of our total revenue. There is moderate seasonality to our annual revenue. Typically, the smallest percentage of sales occurs in the first fiscal quarter due primarily to provider purchasing patterns. See “Results of Operations” for more information.

### Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue for Systems Sales consists primarily of third-party hardware and software costs. Cost of revenue for Training and Consulting Services consists primarily of compensation (including stock-based compensation) and benefits of our billable professionals and fees to third-party specialists for deployment, implementation and training, and travel costs. Cost of revenue for Support Services consists primarily of compensation (including stock-based compensation) and benefits of support specialists, and fees to third-parties for database and remote hosting services. Cost of revenue for Electronic Data Interchange consists primarily of fees to third-parties for processing claims, statements and eligibility requests; cost of revenue for Business Services consists primarily of compensation (including stock-based compensation) and benefits of our personnel and various third-party costs associated with delivery of our clinically-driven revenue cycle management and EHR-enabled clinical research services. As higher-margin recurring revenue increases as a percentage of total revenue, we believe overall gross margin will also increase over time.

### Sales, General and Administrative Expenses

Sales, general and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses consist primarily of compensation (including stock-based compensation) and benefits, commissions, travel, professional fees, advertising and other administrative and general expenses, including depreciation and amortization of equipment and leasehold improvements, for the Company’s sales and marketing functions; executive offices, administration, human resources, corporate information technology support, legal, finance and accounting, investor relations and other corporate services. We intend to invest in our infrastructure as appropriate to expand our market share and accommodate our growing customer base. In the future we expect to incur additional costs related to being a public company, including increased legal and accounting and compliance costs in connection with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. As a result, we expect SG&A expenses to increase as we grow, but remain relatively constant as a percentage of revenue and ultimately decline as we achieve leverage from our infrastructure investments.

### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of compensation (including stock-based compensation) and benefits, third-party contractor costs and other facility and administrative costs, including depreciation of equipment directly related to development of new products and upgrading and enhancing existing products. In accordance with GAAP, research and development costs related to new application development and enhancements to existing products are expensed until technological feasibility is established. Once technological feasibility is established such costs are capitalized until the product or enhancement is ready for market, at which point capitalization ceases. We capitalize research and development costs under these criteria including the compensation-related costs of personnel and related third-party contractors working directly on specific projects. We intend to invest in our innovation platform to maintain cutting-edge technology for the benefit of our customers as well as to meet evolving requirements of the market, including certifications and standards.

### Provision for Income Taxes

In preparing our financial statements, we estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and financial reporting purposes. These differences result in deferred income tax assets and liabilities.



## Statement of Operations Data

The following tables set forth our statement of operations data for each period presented:

	For the years ended June 30,		
	2010	2011	2012
	(in thousands)		
Revenue:			
Systems sales	\$ 24,172	\$ 31,726	\$ 39,300
Training and consulting services	11,863	18,373	27,816
Support services	16,031	22,401	33,143
Electronic data interchange and business services	12,576	17,339	23,754
Total revenue	64,642	89,839	124,013
Cost of revenue:			
Systems sales(1)	6,752	7,522	10,259
Training and consulting services(1)	8,152	13,550	18,881
Support services(1)	4,179	7,059	10,564
Electronic data interchange and business services(1)	8,713	12,280	16,197
Total cost of revenue	27,796	40,411	55,901
Gross profit	36,846	49,428	68,112
Operating expenses:			
Sales, general and administrative(1)	27,727	37,399	47,565
Research and development(1)	5,991	8,218	15,696
Total operating expenses	33,718	45,617	63,261
Operating income	3,128	3,811	4,851
Interest (income) expense and other expense, net	115	46	(14)
Income before income taxes	3,013	3,765	4,865
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	148	(29,200)	1,955
Net income	\$ 2,865	\$ 32,965	\$ 2,910
Other Financial Data:			
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$ 4,144	\$ 6,385	\$ 12,061

(1) Includes stock-based compensation in the following amounts:

## Cost of revenue:

System sales	\$ 8	\$ 7	\$ 11
Training and consulting services	64	74	290
Support services	23	37	112
Electronic data interchange and business services	1	9	55
Total cost of revenue	96	127	468
Operating expenses:			

Sales, general and administrative	\$	463	\$	1,118	\$	1,543
Research and development		63		154		744
Total operating expenses		526		1,272		2,287
Total stock-compensation expense	\$	622	\$	1,399	\$	2,755

(2) Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure that is described and reconciled to net income (loss) in the next section and is not a substitute for net income (loss), the GAAP equivalent.

## Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of liquidity calculated in accordance with GAAP, and should be viewed as a supplement to — not a substitute for — our results of operations presented on the basis of GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA does not purport to represent cash flow provided by, or used in, operating activities as defined by GAAP. Our statement of cash flows presents our cash flow activity in accordance with GAAP. Furthermore, Adjusted EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies. Non-GAAP information should not be construed as an alternative to GAAP information, as the items excluded from the non-GAAP measures often have a material impact on our financial results. Management uses, and investors should use, non-GAAP measures in conjunction with our GAAP results.

In connection with the ongoing operation of our business, our management regularly reviews Adjusted EBITDA to assess our performance. We define Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before net interest (income) expense, taxes, depreciation, amortization, acquisition-related costs, and stock-based compensation expenses. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of our operating performance because it allows management, lenders, investors and analysts to evaluate and assess our core operating results from period-to-period after removing the impact of changes to our capitalization structure, acquisition related costs, income tax status, and other items of a non-operational nature that affect comparability.

We believe that various forms of the Adjusted EBITDA metric are often used by analysts, investors and other interested parties to evaluate companies such as ours for the reasons discussed above. Additionally, Adjusted EBITDA is used to measure certain financial covenants in our credit facility. Adjusted EBITDA is also used for planning purposes and in presentations to our Board of Directors as well as in our incentive compensation programs.

The following table presents a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income (loss), the most comparable GAAP measure, for each of the periods indicated:

	For the years ended June 30,				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	(Unaudited) (in thousands)				
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA:					
Net income (loss)	\$(926 )	\$955	\$2,865	\$32,965	\$2,910
Stock-based compensation	1,548	565	622	1,399	2,755
Depreciation and amortization	334	406	432	1,252	4,372
Acquisition-related transaction costs	—	—	—	—	123
Interest (income) expense, net	(256 )	77	77	(31 )	(54 )
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	—	26	148	(29,200 )	1,955
Adjusted EBITDA	\$700	\$2,029	\$4,144	\$6,385	\$12,061



## Comparison of Year Ended June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2011

Revenue. Total revenue was \$124.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$89.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$34.2 million or 38%. Systems sales grew by 24% and accounted for \$7.6 million or 22% of total revenue growth during the period. Training and consulting services grew by 51% and accounted for \$9.4 million or 28% of total revenue growth during the period. Support services and electronic data interchange and business services combined also grew 43% during the period, accounting for the remaining 50% of growth in total revenue. Systems sales, including training and consulting services, are one-time in nature and the substantial growth is attributable to our increased share in a growing market, our success in 2012 in solution sales to several larger providers and our success in implementing systems more rapidly which drove increased revenue in training and consulting services. Support services, electronic data interchange and business services are recurring and growth in this revenue is largely attributable to our growing customer base. Our ability to sell additional products and services to our existing customer base also benefitted revenue growth in 2012 compared to the prior period.

Cost of Revenue. Total cost of revenue was \$55.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$40.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$15.5 million or 38%. Cost of systems sales grew by 36% and accounted for \$2.7 million or 18% of total growth in cost of revenue during the period. Cost of training and consulting services grew by 39% and accounted for \$5.3 million or 34% of total growth in cost of revenue during the period. Cost of support services and electronic data interchange and business services combined grew 38% during the period, accounting for the remaining 48% of growth in total cost of revenue. On an overall basis, gross profit margins for 2012 were comparable to 2011. Gross margin improvements were attained in support services and in electronic data interchange and business services attributable to increased scale of higher margin service offerings combined with focused expense management. These gains were offset by absorption in cost of goods of increased amortization of acquired technology and capitalized software development totaling \$2.5 million or approximately 200 basis points. We anticipate overall margin improvement as revenue growth provides additional scale and as our margin improvement initiatives continue.

Sales, General and Administrative. Total SG&A expenses were \$47.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$37.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$10.2 million or 27%. Growth in SG&A is largely a result of the required infrastructure to support the overall growth in the business including public company costs. SG&A expenses were 38% of revenue for 2012 as compared to 42% for 2011 illustrative of the leverage as the business scales. We believe our investments in our sales force and marketing and advertising position us to capture increased market share in what we believe will be an expanding market over the next several years. We believe that these investments can continue to be leveraged to maintain our sales growth in future years without a correspondingly linear increase in cost.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses were \$15.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$8.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase of \$7.5 million or 91%. As a percentage of revenue, research and development expenses were 13% for 2012 as compared to 9% for 2011. Our organically developed innovation platform requires increased investment in research and development which continues to evolve to meet the needs of our customers, our market and industry regulators. In addition to research and development to support our innovation platform, we develop new products and enhanced functionality for existing products. These application development costs are capitalized once technological feasibility is attained and capitalization ceases once the technology is available for market. We capitalized \$12.2 million and \$5.7 million of application development costs for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.



**Interest and Other Expenses.** Interest and other expense, net of interest (income), was \$(14,000) for the year ended June 30, 2011, as compared to expense of \$46,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010. The change in net interest is related to availability of surplus cash from the proceeds of our offering to invest. At June 30, 2011, the Company had no outstanding balance on its credit facility.

**Income Taxes.** The Company's effective tax rate for the year was 40%. The Company's effective rate is greater than the Federal statutory rate principally due to the effect of stock-based compensation expense recorded currently but which is not deductible for income tax purposes creating a permanent difference between book and taxable income. The Company has available approximately \$73 million in net operating losses and \$3.3 million in credits for research and development to offset taxable income and tax expense. These and other temporary differences have resulted in net deferred tax assets which, prior to 2011, had been fully reserved with a valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2011, the Company assessed the positive and negative evidence regarding its ability to realize its deferred tax assets and concluded that it was more likely than not that these assets will be fully realized over the next several years. At March 31, 2011, the Company's historic operations had generated taxable income over the past 12 quarters utilizing a portion of its net operating loss carryforwards in previously filed returns filed and anticipated utilizing an additional portion of its carryforwards for the year ending June 30, 2011. Combined with prospects for the next several years, the Company believed then and continues to believe now, it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will be fully realized. Accordingly, the Company recorded a tax benefit of \$31.0 million at March 31, 2011 to recognize the value of its net deferred tax assets which is reflected in the \$29.2 million tax benefit for the year ended June 30, 2011. Accordingly, effective tax rate for 2011 was not meaningful.

#### Comparison of Year Ended June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2010

**Revenue.** Total revenue was \$89.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$64.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$25.2 million or 39%. Systems sales grew by 31% and accounted for \$7.6 million or 30% of total revenue growth during the period. Training and consulting services grew by 55% and accounted for \$6.5 million or 26% of total revenue growth during the period. Support services and electronic data interchange and business services combined also grew 39% during the period, accounting for the remaining 44% of growth in total revenue. Systems sales, including training and consulting services, are one-time in nature and the substantial growth is attributable to our increased share in a growing market and our success in 2011 in solution sales to several larger providers. Support services, electronic data interchange and business services are recurring and growth in this revenue is largely attributable to our growing customer base. Our ability to sell additional products and services to our existing customer base also benefitted revenue growth in 2011 compared to the prior period.

**Cost of Revenue.** Total cost of revenue was \$40.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$27.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$12.6 million or 45%. Cost of systems sales grew by 11% and accounted for \$0.8 million or 6% of total growth in cost of revenue during the period. Cost of training and consulting services grew by 66% and accounted for \$5.4 million or 43% of total growth in cost of revenue during the period. Cost of support services and electronic data interchange and business services combined grew 50% during the period, accounting for the remaining 51% of growth in total cost of revenue. On an overall basis, gross profit margins declined 200 basis points in 2011 as compared to 2010. This decline is attributable to: (1) a significant increase in deployment and training services necessitating the use of third-party specialists at net lower margins; (2) increased headcount and other direct costs for our software services related to meeting customers' needs for "meaningful use" and to expand our capacity to deliver our software as a service, including transition costs for moving to a new hosting partner; and (3) increased competitiveness in the marketplace leading to greater discounting. A significant portion of the impact on gross margins is related to expenses incurred in assisting customers in meeting Stage 1 "meaningful use" standards. We do not expect a recurrence to the same extent for "meaningful use" standards for Stages 2 and 3.

Sales, General and Administrative. Total SG&A expenses were \$37.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$27.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$9.7 million or 35%. Growth in SG&A is largely a result of the required infrastructure to support the overall growth in the business. We increased headcount in our direct sales force and the significant growth in sales activity led to a substantial increase in commissions, incentive compensation and related expenditures such as travel. We also increased our investments in marketing and advertising, which combined with headcount growth in the sales force, positions us to capture increased market share in what we believe will be an expanding market over the next several years. We believe that these investments can be leveraged to maintain our sales growth in future years without a corresponding increase in cost.

**Research and Development Expenses.** Research and development expenses were \$8.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, compared to \$6.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, an increase of \$2.2 million or 37%. Our innovation platform requires increased investment in research and development which continues to evolve to meet the needs of our customers, our market and industry regulators. In addition to research and development to support our innovation platform, we develop new products and enhanced functionality for existing products. These application development costs are capitalized once technological feasibility is attained and capitalization ceases once the technology is available for market. We capitalized \$5.7 million and \$1.2 million for software development for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**Interest and Other Expenses.** Interest and other expense, net of interest income, was \$46,000 for the year ended June 30, 2011, as compared to \$115,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010. The change in net interest is related to availability of surplus cash to pay off existing indebtedness and invest. At June 30, 2011, the Company had no outstanding balance on its credit facility.

**Income Taxes.** The Company has available net operating losses and credits for research and development to offset taxable income and tax expense. These and other temporary differences have resulted in net deferred tax assets which have previously been fully reserved with a valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2011, the Company assessed the positive and negative evidence regarding its ability to realize its deferred tax assets and concluded that it was more likely than not that these assets will be fully realized over the next several years. At March 31, 2011, the Company's historic operations had generated taxable income over the past 12 quarters utilizing a portion of its net operating loss carryforwards in previously filed returns filed. On the basis of its near-term prospects, the Company anticipated utilizing an additional portion of its carryforwards for the year ending June 30, 2011, and, on the basis of prospects for the next several years, believes it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will be fully realized. Accordingly, the Company recorded a tax benefit of \$31.0 million at March 31, 2011, to recognize the value of its net deferred tax assets which is reflected in the \$29.2 million tax benefit for the year ended June 30, 2011. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the provision for income taxes comprises federal Alternative Minimum Tax and provision for taxes to various states and jurisdictions in which the Company transacts business. Effective tax rate was not meaningful.

## Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

2012 – Quarter Ended (In thousands)	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	Total
<b>Revenue:</b>					
Systems sales	\$6,648	\$9,205	\$10,271	\$13,176	\$39,300
Training and consulting services	6,603	6,301	7,643	7,269	27,816
Support services	7,056	7,710	8,741	9,636	33,143
Electronic data interchange and business services	5,343	5,906	6,210	6,295	23,754
Total revenue	25,650	29,122	32,865	36,376	124,013
<b>Cost of revenue:</b>					
Systems sales	1,847	2,761	2,558	3,093	10,259
Training and consulting services(1)	4,431	4,560	5,355	4,535	18,881
Support services(1)	2,257	2,672	2,691	2,944	10,564
Electronic data interchange and business services(1)	3,821	4,153	4,226	3,997	16,197
Total cost of revenue	12,356	14,146	14,830	14,569	55,901
Gross profit	13,294	14,976	18,035	21,807	68,112
<b>Operating expenses:</b>					
Sales, general and administrative(1)	10,678	11,482	11,802	13,603	47,565
Research and development(1)	3,165	3,844	4,021	4,666	15,696
Total operating expenses	13,843	15,326	15,823	18,269	63,261
Operating income (loss)	(549 )	(350 )	2,212	3,538	4,851
Interest and other expense, (income) net	(47 )	(48 )	115	(6 )	(14 )
Income (loss) before income taxes	(596 )	(398 )	2,327	3,532	4,865
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(190 )	(130 )	948	1,327	1,955
Net income (loss)	\$(406 )	\$(268 )	\$1,379	\$2,205	\$2,910
<b>Other Financial Data:</b>					
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$930	\$1,303	\$3,974	\$5,854	\$12,061

(1) Includes stock-based compensation in the following amounts:

<b>Cost of revenue:</b>					
System sales	\$8	\$(2 )	\$3	\$2	\$11
Training and consulting services	92	119	34	45	290
Support services	79	3	15	15	112
Electronic data interchange and business services	—	43	6	6	55
Total cost of revenue	179	163	58	68	468

Operating expenses:

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Sales, general and administrative	214	343	218	768	1,543
Research and development	664	( 76 )	68	88	744
Total operating expenses	878	267	286	856	2,287
Total stock-compensation expense	\$1,057	\$430	\$344	\$924	\$2,755

48

---

## Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

2011– Quarter Ended (In thousands)	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	Total
<b>Revenue:</b>					
Systems sales	\$ 4,459	\$ 8,596	\$ 6,727	\$ 11,944	\$ 31,726
Training and consulting services	3,417	4,350	4,434	6,172	18,373
Support services	4,849	5,360	5,802	6,390	22,401
Electronic data interchange and business services	3,783	4,016	4,639	4,901	17,339
Total revenue	16,508	22,322	21,602	29,407	89,839
<b>Cost of revenue:</b>					
Systems sales	1,222	2,390	1,587	2,323	7,522
Training and consulting services(1)	2,910	3,176	3,386	4,078	13,550
Support services(1)	1,249	1,655	1,846	2,309	7,059
Electronic data interchange and business services(1)	2,580	3,044	3,162	3,494	12,280
Total cost of revenue	7,961	10,265	9,981	12,204	40,411
Gross profit	8,547	12,057	11,621	17,203	49,428
<b>Operating expenses:</b>					
Sales, general and administrative(1)	8,596	8,926	9,623	10,254	37,399
Research and development(1)	1,824	1,519	2,285	2,590	8,218
Total operating expenses	10,420	10,445	11,908	12,844	45,617
Operating income (loss)	(1,873 )	1,612	(287 )	4,359	3,811
Interest expense and other expense, net	2	5	14	25	46
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,875 )	1,607	(301 )	4,334	3,765
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	6	25	(30,975 )	1,744	(29,200 )
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,881 )	\$ 1,582	\$ 30,674	\$ 2,590	\$ 32,965
<hr/>					
<b>Other Financial Data:</b>					
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$ (1,444 )	\$ 2,373	\$ 444	\$ 5,012	\$ 6,385

(1) Includes stock-based compensation in the following amounts:

<b>Cost of revenue:</b>					
System sales	\$ 1	\$ 5	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 7
Training and consulting services	23	36	15	—	74
Support services	7	17	13	—	37
Electronic data interchange and business services	—	9	—	—	9
Total cost of revenue	31	67	29	—	127
<b>Operating expenses:</b>					
Sales, general and administrative	208	424	427	59	1,118

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Research and development	39	65	50	—	154
Total operating expenses	247	489	477	59	1,272
Total stock-compensation expense	\$278	\$556	\$506	\$59	\$1,399

(2) Adjusted EBITDA is an unaudited number and represents income (loss) before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization and stock-based compensation. See discussion of Adjusted EBITDA above under “Adjusted EBITDA.”

Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of liquidity calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and should be viewed as a supplement to—not a substitute for—our results of operations presented on the basis of GAAP. Adjusted EBITDA does not purport to represent cash flow provided by, or used in, operating activities as defined by GAAP. Our statement of cash flows presents our cash flow activity in accordance with GAAP. Furthermore, Adjusted EBITDA is not necessarily comparable to similarly-titled measures reported by other companies.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is used by and is useful to investors and other users of our financial statements in evaluating our operating performance because it provides them with an additional tool to compare business performance across companies and across periods. We believe that:

- EBITDA is widely used by investors to measure a company's operating performance without regard to such items as interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization, which can vary substantially from company to company depending upon accounting methods and book value of assets, capital structure and the method by which assets were acquired; and
- investors commonly adjust EBITDA information to eliminate the effect of stock-based compensation expenses and other charges, which can vary widely from company to company and impair comparability.

Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA:

- as a measure of operating performance to assist in comparing performance from period to period on a consistent basis;
- as a measure for planning and forecasting overall expectations and for evaluating actual results against such expectations;
- in communications with the Board of Directors, stockholders, analysts and investors concerning our financial performance; and
- historically, as a significant performance measurement included in our bonus plan.

The table below sets forth a reconciliation of net income to Adjusted EBITDA:

2012- Quarter Ended (In thousands)	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	Total
Net loss	\$ (406 )	\$ (268 )	\$ 1,379	\$ 2,205	\$ 2,910
Stock-based compensation expense	1,057	430	344	924	2,755
Depreciation and amortization expense	460	1,149	1,353	1,410	4,372
Acquisition-related transaction costs		123			123
Interest and other expenses, net	9	(1 )	(50 )	(12 )	(54 )
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(190 )	(130 )	948	1,327	1,955
Adjusted EBITDA:	\$ 930	\$ 1,303	\$ 3,974	\$ 5,854	\$ 12,061



Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

2011 - Quarter Ended (In thousands)	September 30	December 31	March 31	June 30	Total
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,881 )	\$ 1,582	\$ 30,674	\$ 2,590	\$ 32,965
Stock-based compensation expense	278	556	506	59	1,399
Depreciation and amortization expense	173	215	247	617	1,252
Acquisition-related transaction costs					
Interest and other expenses, net	(20 )	(5 )	(8 )	2	(31 )
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	6	25	(30,975 )	1,744	(29,200 )
Adjusted EBITDA:	\$ (1,444 )	\$ 2,373	\$ 444	\$ 5,012	\$ 6,385

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal capital requirements are to fund operations. We have typically funded our capital needs from operating cash flow now augmented by approximately \$33 million net proceeds from our offering completed in February 2012. In March 2011, we entered into a new loan agreement with Bank of America, N.A. This facility provides financing up to \$5,000,000 (based on eligible receivables) with interest at LIBOR plus 275 basis points, is secured by a pledge of the Company's assets and contains customary provisions regarding covenants. The financial covenants require us to maintain a leverage ratio not exceeding 2:1 and an EBITDA to interest expense ratio of at least 3:1. At June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with these covenants and there were no amounts outstanding on the credit facility.

We are not a capital intensive business. Our capital expenditures heretofore have comprised technology, fixtures and equipment to accommodate our growth and we acquired and renovated a building placed into service in 2011. Additionally, we capitalize the application development costs for new technology and enhancements to our innovation platform. In 2012, we incurred approximately \$12.2 million in the aggregate for these capital expenditures all of which were funded from existing resources. We are completing new facilities to accommodate the growth of our business. We estimate this facility will cost approximately \$12 million and will be completed by December 2012. We believe that our current cash, short-term investments and funds available under our credit facility or if required, other financing combined with our anticipated cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for the next 12 months and for a reasonable period thereafter.

## Initial Public Offering

On February 7, 2012, we completed an initial public offering of common stock. The total offering size was 7,666,667 shares (after exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option), consisting of 6,388,883 shares issued and sold by us. Net proceeds to the Company were approximately \$56.3 million, of which \$23.3 million was used for a cash payment to holders of our outstanding preferred stock concurrently with the conversion of such shares into shares of common stock in connection with the closing of the IPO. Certain holders of our outstanding preferred stock who received cash payments included certain executive officers and directors. We did not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders.

## Cash Flow Summary

Cash and cash equivalents were \$5.6 million at June 30, 2012, as compared with \$5.7 million at June 30, 2011, and as compared with \$19.2 million at June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, we also had \$29.4 and \$10.4 million, respectively, in short-term investments classified as available for sale.

Our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities, as reported in our Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report, are summarized as follows:

	For the years ended June 30,		
	2010	2011	2012
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 6,628	\$ 6,243	\$ 8,286
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,005 )	(20,314 )	(42,136 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	6,845	614	33,713
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,468	\$ (13,457 )	\$ (137 )

### Operating Activities

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$8.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, as compared with net cash provided by operating activities of \$6.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2011. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2012 consisted of our net income of \$8.3 million reduced by a net increase in working capital of approximately \$4.8 million in 2012, which was offset by net non-cash charges of \$10.2 million (including principally \$4.3 million in depreciation and amortization, \$2.8 million in stock compensation expense, a net increase in deferred income taxes of \$1.7 million and a provision for doubtful accounts of \$1.4 million). The increase in working capital consisted primarily of increases in accounts receivable, prepaids and other current assets offset by increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and deferred revenue.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$6.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2011, as compared with net cash provided by operating activities of \$6.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2011 consisted of our net income of \$33.0 million, reduced by net non-cash charges of \$25.5 million (including principally \$31.6 million reversal of deferred tax asset valuation allowance, increase in deferred income taxes of \$2.3 million, \$1.4 million in stock compensation expense, \$1.3 million in depreciation and amortization and provision for doubtful accounts of \$1.1 million) offset by a net increase in working capital of approximately \$1.3 million. The increase in working capital primarily consisted of increases in accounts receivable, prepaids and other current assets offset by increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and deferred revenue.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$6.6 million in the year ended June 30, 2010, and consisted of our net income of \$2.9 million, non-cash charges of \$1.7 million (including principally \$622,000 stock compensation expense, \$432,000 depreciation and amortization and provision for doubtful accounts of \$620,000) and a net decrease in working capital of \$2.0 million. The decrease in working capital primarily consisted of increases in accounts receivable, offset by increases accounts payable and accrued liabilities and deferred revenue.

Investing Activities. During the year ended June 30, 2011, we began investing surplus cash resources and as of June 30, 2012, had net short-term investments of \$29.4 million. Our policy is to invest only in fixed income instruments denominated and payable in U.S. dollars, including obligations of the U.S. government and its agencies, money market instruments, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances, corporate bonds of U.S. companies, municipal securities and asset backed securities. We do not invest in auction rate securities, futures contracts, or hedging instruments. Securities of a single issuer valued at cost at the time of purchase should not exceed 10% of the market value of the portfolio but securities issued by the U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies are specifically exempted from these restrictions. The final maturity of each security within the portfolio should not exceed 24 months.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$42.1 million and \$20.3 million for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This increase was related to increases in net purchases of short-term investments, purchases of property and equipment and in our development of our technology platform. For 2012, net purchases of short-term investments were \$18.9 million, purchases of property and equipment were \$8.0 million; we invested \$12.2 million for capitalized software development of our innovation platform and \$3 million cash paid for a business consisting principally of acquired technology.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$20.3 million and \$4.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This increase was related to our net purchases of short-term investments of \$10.5 million, \$4.1 million in purchases of property and equipment, including acquisition and renovation of a new building, and investment of \$5.7 million for capitalized software development of our innovation platform.

Net cash used in investing activities for 2010 was \$4.0 million consisting of purchases of property and equipment of \$2.8 million, primarily the acquisition and renovation of a new building placed in service in first quarter of 2011, and \$1.2 million for capitalized software development.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$33.7 million and \$614,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This change was substantially all related directly to our IPO.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$614,000 and \$6.8 million for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The net change in cash provided by financing activities from 2010 is directly related to proceeds from warrant exercises which were used in part to pay debt.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2010, was \$6.8 million comprised of \$9.1 million net proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants, and \$2.3 million in payments on debt and capital leases.

#### Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Our contractual cash payment commitments as of June 30, 2012, are set forth below (in thousands):

	Payments due by period					
	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Operating leases	\$ 3,764	\$ 1,480	\$ 1,152	\$ 835	\$ 290	\$ 7

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We engage in no activities, obligations or exposure with off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements and notes to our financial statements, which were prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of the financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, including those related to revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, capitalization of software development costs and the provision for income taxes. We base our estimates and judgments on our historical experience, knowledge of factors affecting our business and our belief as to what could occur in the future considering available information and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

The accounting estimates we use in the preparation of our financial statements will change as new events occur, more experience is acquired, additional information is obtained and our operating environment changes. Changes in estimates are made when circumstances warrant. Such changes in estimates and refinements in estimation methodologies are reflected in our reported results of operations and, if material, the effects of changes in estimates are disclosed in the notes to our financial statements. By their nature, these estimates and judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty and actual results could differ materially from the amounts reported based on these

estimates.

53

---

## Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue from the following sources:

- The sale of information systems, which includes software, hardware and peripherals, and related implementation and training;
- The provision of system support services, which includes software application support and hardware maintenance; and
- The provision of outsourcing services, which includes the processing of medical claims, electronic patient statements and managed business services including clinically-driven revenue cycle management and our newly-developed EHR-enabled clinical research.

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with U.S. GAAP, principally ASC 985-605, Software Revenue Recognition, and ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition, Multiple-Element Arrangements, both amended effective for our year beginning July 1, 2010. Our adoption of this revised guidance did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

The Company enters into contractual obligations to sell hardware, perpetual software licenses, deployment and training services, support services, outsourcing services and managed business services. Revenue recognized in accordance with ASC 605-25, as amended, requires elements of multiple-element arrangements be assigned relative values using (in order of preference) vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”), third-party evidence (“TPE”) or the best estimate of selling price (“BESP”). The tangible elements sold under our agreements are accounted for under this revised standard. The software elements will continue to be accounted for under ASC 985-605, as amended. Software and hardware revenue is recognized upon shipment if persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, collection of the resulting receivable is probable, and the amount of fees to be paid is fixed or determinable. Services revenue is recognized as performed or ratably over the term of the arrangement as applicable.

The Company also generates revenue from providing its software products as a service under software subscription agreements. These agreements include the right to use the software and receive unspecified future product enhancements and upgrades when and if available for a specified term, usually 36 to 60 months. Support services are not sold separately in such arrangements. Revenue from all of the deliverables related to subscription agreements, including training and support services is recognized ratably over the life of the agreement. Any amounts invoiced or cash received in advance is recorded as deferred revenue.

Recognition of revenue under ASC 985-605 involves estimates and judgments regarding:

- 1) Our assessment of VSOE for the individual elements of our contracts containing multiple elements — which we base on either the price charged when the same element is sold separately or the price established by management having the relevant authority to do so, for an element not yet sold separately. VSOE calculations are updated and reviewed regularly depending on the nature of the product or service. We base VSOE for the related undelivered elements on either renewals or stand-alone sales as appropriate.
- 2) Our determination that total fees for our products and services are fixed or determinable — which we base on signed contracts and orders.
- 3) Our assessment that collection of amounts due is reasonably assured — which we base on our standard payment terms and collection history.

Risks associated with these estimates and judgments and the effects thereof include: 1) if VSOE of fair value of any undelivered element does not exist, all revenue is deferred until VSOE of fair value of the undelivered element is established or the element has been delivered and 2) if the fees are not fixed or determinable, or if collection is not

reasonably assured, then the revenue recognized in various periods will be less than amounts that would have been otherwise recognizable using the residual method provided under ASC 985-605.

Although we believe that our approach to estimates and judgments as described herein is reasonable, actual results could differ and we may be exposed to increases or decreases in revenue that could be material.

#### Fair Value Considerations For Equity

Until completion of our IPO in February 2012, our equity instruments consisted of common stock and Convertible Preferred Stock Series A and B, which were reflected in our financial statements as temporary equity. We considered fair value of these instruments under guidance in ASC 820 for purposes of recording share-based compensation expense and consideration of any adjustments to fair value of convertible preferred stock for each reporting period. Our consideration of value at or around each measurement date considered a number of estimates and judgments, including:

- company performance, our growth rate and financial condition;
- the value of companies that we consider peers based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, similarity to us with respect to industry, business model, stage of growth, financial risk or other factors;
- changes in the Company and our prospects since the last time the Board of Directors approved option grants and/or made a determination of fair value;
- amounts recently paid by investors for our common stock in arm's-length transactions;



- the rights, preferences and privileges of our convertible preferred stock relative to those of our common stock;
- the likelihood of achieving a liquidity event, such as an initial public offering or sale of all or a portion of the company;
- future financial projections; and
- valuations completed near the time of the grant.

Risks associated with these estimates and judgments and the effects thereof include the fact that consideration of future performance could be materially different than our estimates and that these and other inputs included in our valuations, such as the appropriate discount rate, or that the valuation model applied prove to be flawed. The impact of different equity valuation results from those utilized in our financial statements mean that the carrying value of our temporary equity for any period may be higher or lower than amounts reported with a corresponding impact on income available to common stockholders. In connection with this offering, all our convertible preferred stock will convert to common stock and the carrying value will be eliminated in its entirety with any difference accreted in our statement of operations.

These same risks are associated with determination of estimated fair value with respect to our common stock. Fair value of our common stock is the basis for determination of strike price for stock options issued and is an input in the Black-Scholes option pricing model for determination of estimated fair value of stock options issued. Other estimates, judgments and associated risks regarding share-based compensation are more fully described below.

From 2007 through June 30, 2010, we prepared valuations of our equity on at least an annual basis in a manner consistent with the method outlined in the AICPA Practice Guide, Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation. We also had an interim valuation prepared as of December 31, 2009, to evaluate the impact of a tender offer transaction completed at that time. These valuations used an option-pricing model for valuation of our equity based on income and market-comparable approaches to enterprise value of the Company. The market-comparable approach estimates the fair market value of a company by applying market multiples of publicly-traded firms in the same or similar lines of business to the results and projected results of the company being valued. When choosing the market-comparable companies to be used for the market-comparable approach, we focused on companies operating within the healthcare information technology space. The comparable companies remained largely unchanged during the valuation process. The income approach involves applying an appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate to projected debt free cash flows, based on forecasted revenue and costs. Allocation of value to our equity instruments has historically utilized an option-pricing model. In March 2011, June 2011 and September 2011, we prepared additional valuations using the probability weighted expected return model to reflect the change in our circumstances progressing toward a potential liquidity event.

#### Share-Based Compensation

Estimated fair value of stock option grants is determined using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable, characteristics not present in these employee stock options. Additionally, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected volatility of the stock price. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimates, in management's opinion, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its share-based awards.

We considered the fair value of our common stock and the exercise price of the grant as variables in the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine employee stock-based compensation. This model requires the input of assumptions

on each grant date, some of which are highly subjective, including the expected term of the option, expected stock price volatility and expected forfeitures.

We determined the expected term of our options based upon historical exercises, post-vesting cancellations and the contractual term of the option. We concluded that it was not practicable to calculate the volatility of our share price due to the fact that our securities are not publicly-traded and therefore there is no readily determinable market value for our stock. Therefore, we based expected volatility on the historical volatility of a publicly-traded peer entity for the same expected term of our options. We intend to continue to consistently apply this process using the same or similar entities until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of our own share price becomes available, or unless circumstances change such that the identified entity is no longer similar to us. In this latter case, more suitable entities whose share prices are publicly available would be utilized in the calculation. We based the risk-free rate for the expected term of the option on the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity Rate as of the grant date. We determined the forfeiture rate based upon our historical experience with pre-vesting option cancellations. If we had made different assumptions and estimates than those described above, the amount of our recognized and to be recognized stock-based compensation expense, net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share amounts could have been materially different.

We believe that we have used reasonable methodologies, approaches and assumptions consistent with the AICPA Practice Guide, Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation, to determine the fair value of our common stock. We have reviewed key factors and events between each date below and have determined that the combination of the factors and events described above reflect a true measurement of the fair value of our common stock over an extended period of time and believe that the fair value of our common stock is appropriately reflected in the chart below.

#### Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets

Our deferred tax assets are comprised primarily of net operating loss carryforwards (“NOLs”) and research and development credits. At June 30, 2010, we had NOLs of approximately \$69.5 million which will begin to expire in 2020. At June 30, 2010, we had research tax credit carryforwards of \$2.4 million which begin expiring in 2023. At June 30, 2010, we had federal alternative minimum tax (“AMT”), credit carryforwards of \$77,000. The federal AMT credit carryforwards do not expire. A valuation allowance of \$31 million had been recorded at June 30, 2010.

During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that it would be more likely than not that the cumulative net operating loss and other deferred tax benefits would be recoverable by us, creating a \$31 million income tax benefit due to the deferred tax asset recorded on our balance sheet as of March 31, 2011. This determination was based on the following factors:

- For the twelve quarters ending March 31, 2011, the Company’s statements of operations reflected cumulative income before taxes of \$3.5 million. The quarter ending June 30, 2011, was anticipated to generate significant positive results (which results were record revenues of \$29.4 million and income before taxes of \$4.3 million).
- The Company had utilized a significant portion of its net operating loss carryforwards in tax returns filed in the three years ending June 30, 2010 and anticipated utilization of an additional portion of such carryforwards for its return for fiscal 2011.

- The significant growth in revenues and earnings the Company has experienced over the past three years is forecasted to continue. The Company has achieved or exceeded its forecast in each of the past four years as it has progressed toward significant scale and profitability.
- The Company's market segment is extremely positively impacted by the HITECH Act which provides significant funding through 2014 to providers for acquisition and "meaningful use" of EHR technology systems as part of the Federal government's initiatives to facilitate improvements in healthcare delivery and mitigate costs.
- The above factors are somewhat tempered by the current state of the U.S. economy, which is experiencing slow to modest growth. However, the healthcare sector appears to have been less affected than other sectors of the economy due in part to the impact of certain government initiatives.

The determination of when to adjust the valuation allowance requires significant judgment on the part of management based on our evaluation of the weight of positive and negative evidence, historical experience, knowledge of current business factors and our belief of what could occur in the future. Although realization is not assured, we concluded that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2011, for which a valuation allowance was determined to be unnecessary will be realized in the ordinary course of operations. Our assessment remains the same at June 30, 2011 and 2012. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if actual future earnings are lower than estimated, or if there are differences in the timing or amount of future reversals of existing taxable or deductible temporary differences.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, our investments include money market funds and high quality debt securities. Our investments in debt securities are subject to interest rate risk. To minimize the exposure due to an adverse shift in interest rates, we invest in short-term securities and maintain average portfolio duration of approximately one year.

Our operations consist of research and development and sales activities in the United States. As a result, our financial results are not affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or economic conditions in foreign markets.

#### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements set forth herein commence on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

#### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, June 30, 2012 (the "Evaluation Date"), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of the Evaluation Date.

#### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

This annual report on Form 10-K does not include a report of management's assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting or an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm due to a transition period established by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for newly public companies.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012 that have or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the previously mentioned evaluation.

#### Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item will be included in the definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or an amendment to this Report to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and is incorporated into this Report by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be included in the definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or an amendment to this Report to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and is incorporated into this Report by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item will be included in the definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or an amendment to this Report to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and is incorporated into this Report by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be included in the definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or an amendment to this Report to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and is incorporated into this Report by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be included in the definitive proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders or an amendment to this Report to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after our fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and is incorporated into this Report by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

	Page
Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-3
<u>Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-4
<u>Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-5
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-7

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Supplemental schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
3.2	Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
4.1	Form of the Company's Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
4.2.1	Form of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on September 23, 2011)
4.2.2	Form of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on September 23, 2011)
4.3	Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement, by and among Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. and the investors listed on Schedule A thereto, dated October 30, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form S-1 (File No. 333-175619) filed on July 15, 2011)
4.4	Second Amended and Restated Voting Agreement by and among Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. and the investors listed on the schedules thereto dated October 30, 2006 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-1/A (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
10.1*	Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. 2011 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
10.2*	Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. 2004 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
10.2.1*	2004 Stock Plan Form of ISO and NSO Notice of Stock Option Grant and Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.1 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
10.2.2*	Amendment to 2004 Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
10.3*	Greenway Medical Technologies 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

- 10.3.1\* 1999 Stock Option Plan Form of ISO Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
- 10.3.2\* 1999 Stock Option Plan Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
- 10.4\* Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. and each of its directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
- 10.5 Triple Net Lease, by and between Elizabeth Village, LLC and Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc., dated as of July 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form S-1 (File No. 333-175619) filed on July 15, 2011)
- 10.6 Credit Agreement, among Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc., Bank of America, N.A., and the other lenders, named therein, dated as of March 22, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on August 26, 2011)
- 10.6.1 Amendment to Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on December 5, 2011)
- 10.6.2 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.2 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
- 10.7 Security Agreement, by and between Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of March 22, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form S-1 (File No. 333-175619) filed on July 15, 2011)
- 10.8+ Software License and Services Agreement, by and between Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. and Walgreen Co., dated as of February 28, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on December 5, 2011)
- 10.9\* Form of 2011 Incentive Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
- 10.10\*+ Form of 2012 Incentive Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2012)
- 10.11\* Form of Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. 2011 Stock Plan Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2012)
- 14.1 Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Company's Form S-1/A (File No. 333-175619) filed on January 18, 2012)
- 21† List of subsidiaries
- 23.1^ Consent of Grant Thornton LLP
- 31.1^



Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2^ Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1^ Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 Interactive Data File\*\*

---

^ Filed herewith

\* Denotes management contract or compensatory arrangement.

+ Certain portions have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request. Omitted information will be filed separately with the SEC.

† The Company does not have any subsidiaries.

\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of SEC Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these Sections.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 21st day of September, 2012.

GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: /s/ Wyche T. Green, III

Wyche T. Green, III  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Wyche T. Green, III Wyche T. Green, III	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)	September 21, 2012
/s/ W. Thomas Green, Jr. W. Thomas Green, Jr.	Chairman of the Board of Directors	September 21, 2012
/s/ James A. Cochran James A. Cochran	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	September 21, 2012
/s/ Noah Walley Noah Walley	Director	September 21, 2012
/s/ Thomas T. Richards Thomas T. Richards	Director	September 21, 2012
/s/ Walter Turek Walter Turek	Director	September 21, 2012
/s/ Neal Morrison Neal Morrison	Director	September 21, 2012
/s/ Robert Hensley	Director	

September 21,  
2012

Robert Hensley

60

---

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-3
<u>Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-4
<u>Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-5
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-7

F-1

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) (the "Company") as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of operations, changes in convertible preferred and shareholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc., as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Atlanta, Georgia  
September 21, 2012

F-2

---

## GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Balance Sheets  
(Dollars in Thousands)

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,585	\$ 5,722
Short-term investments	29,350	10,446
Accounts receivable, net of a \$720 and \$585 allowance for doubtful accounts in 2012 and 2011, respectively	28,875	18,113
Inventory	281	460
Prepays and other current assets	3,001	1,705
Deferred tax assets	1,699	476
Total current assets	68,791	36,922
Property and equipment, net	20,340	9,632
Software development cost, net	17,156	6,811
Acquired technology and other assets	510	—
Deferred tax assets — noncurrent	25,846	28,751
Goodwill	440	—
Other assets	40	40
Total assets	\$ 133,123	\$ 82,156
Liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 12,436	\$ 7,904
Accrued liabilities	9,533	5,900
Deferred revenue	12,192	8,672
Total current liabilities	34,161	22,476
Obligation for purchased technology	116	349
Commitments (Note 10)		
Convertible preferred stock, at fair value		
Series A-Issued and outstanding -0- and 3,333,333 shares at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively (cumulative liquidation preference \$-0- and \$35,073, respectively)	—	75,633
Series B-Issued and outstanding -0- and 4,631,579 shares at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively (cumulative liquidation preference \$-0- and \$31,425,	—	83,182

respectively)

Shareholders' equity (deficit):

Common stock	3	11,498
Additional paid-in capital	237,558	59,038
Accumulated deficit	(138,715)	(170,020)
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	98,846	(99,484)
Total liabilities, convertible preferred and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 133,123	\$ 82,156

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

---

## GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

## Statements of Operations

(In Thousands, except Per Share Data)

	For the years ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenue:			
Systems sales	\$39,300	\$31,726	\$24,172
Training and consulting services	27,816	18,373	11,863
Support services	33,143	22,401	16,031
Electronic data interchange and business services	23,754	17,339	12,576
Total revenue	124,013	89,839	64,642
Cost of revenue:			
Systems sales	10,259	7,522	6,752
Training and consulting services	18,881	13,550	8,152
Support services	10,564	7,059	4,179
Electronic data interchange and business services	16,197	12,280	8,713
Total cost of revenue	55,901	40,411	27,796
Gross profit	68,112	49,428	36,846
Operating expenses:			
Sales, general and administrative	47,565	37,399	27,727
Research and development	15,696	8,218	5,991
Total operating expenses	63,261	45,617	33,718
Operating income	4,851	3,811	3,128
Interest income	103	58	37
Interest expense	(49 )	(27 )	(114 )
Other expense, net	(40 )	(77 )	(38 )
Income before income taxes	4,865	3,765	3,013
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	1,955	(29,200 )	148
Net income	2,910	32,965	2,865
Preferred stock dividends and accretion	28,395	(54,961 )	(8,038 )
Income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$31,305	\$(21,996 )	\$(5,173 )
Per share data:			
Net income (loss) per share available to common shareholders:			
Basic and diluted	\$1.66	\$(1.90 )	\$(0.48 )
Diluted	\$0.11	\$(1.90 )	\$(0.48 )
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding			
Basic	18,808	11,579	10,684
Diluted	25,369	11,579	10,684

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.





## GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)  
(Dollars in Thousands)

For the years ended June 30, 2010, 2011 and 2012	Convertible Preferred Series A		Series B		Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) Common Stock		Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Balance, June 30, 2009	3,333	\$45,766	4,632	\$50,050	9,948	\$9,769	\$48,543	\$(142,851)	\$(84,539)
Exercise of stock warrants, net of issue cost	-	-	-	-	1,506	1,506	7,489	-	8,995
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-	25	25	74	-	99
Employee stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	622	-	622
Accretion of preferred stock issue cost	-	-	-	262	-	-	-	(262)	(262)
Preferred dividends	-	2,406	-	2,162	-	-	-	(4,568)	(4,568)
Accretion adjustment of preferred stock fair value	-	1,294	-	1,914	-	-	-	(3,208)	(3,208)
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,865	2,865
Balance, June 30, 2010	3,333	49,466	4,632	54,388	11,479	11,300	56,728	(148,024)	(79,996)
Common stock issued for acquired technology	-	-	-	-	50	50	350	-	400
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-	148	148	561	-	709
Employee stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,399	-	1,399
Accretion of preferred stock issue cost	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	(32)	(32)
Preferred dividends	-	2,598	-	2,235	-	-	-	(4,833)	(4,833)
Accretion adjustment of	-	23,569	-	26,527	-	-	-	(50,096)	(50,096)

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

preferred stock fair value									
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32,965	32,965
Balance, June 30, 2011	3,333	75,633	4,632	83,182	11,677	11,498	59,038	(170,020)	(99,484 )
Exercise of stock options and warrants	-	-	-	-	344	-	896	-	896
Employee stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,755	-	2,755
Preferred dividends	-	1,299	-	1,184	-	-	-	(2,483 )	(2,483 )
Accretion adjustment of preferred stock fair value	-	(14,827)	-	(16,051)	-	-	-	30,878	30,878
Convert \$1 par common to \$.0001 par common	-	-	-	-	-	(11,497)	11,497	-	-
Sale of common stock, net of issue cost and expenses	-	-	-	-	6,389	1	56,252	-	56,253
Convert preferred stock to common stock	(3,333)	(62,105)	(4,632)	(68,315)	10,712	1	130,420	-	130,421
Payments in connection with preferred stock conversion	-	-	-	-	-	-	(23,300 )	-	(23,300 )
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,910	2,910
Balance, June 30, 2012	-	\$-	-	\$-	29,122	3	\$ 237,558	\$ (138,715)	\$ 98,846

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Statements of Cash Flows  
(In Thousands)

	For the years ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 2,910	32,965	\$ 2,865
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Loss on the sale of property and equipment	—	—	1
Net stock compensation expense	2,755	1,399	622
Provision for deferred income taxes	1,682	2,333	—
Reversal of deferred tax valuation allowance	—	(31,560)	—
Depreciation and amortization	4,372	1,252	432
Provision for bad debts	1,412	1,083	620
Reduction in obligation for acquired technology	(100)	—	—
Changes in current assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(12,176)	(7,680)	(4,319)
Inventory	179	(136)	165
Prepays and other current assets	(1,296)	(1,012)	181
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	5,028	3,247	5,458
Deferred revenue	3,520	4,352	603
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,286	6,243	6,628
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of short-term investments	(29,609)	(17,561)	—
Sales of short-term investments	10,707	7,115	—
Purchases of property and equipment	(8,041)	(4,129)	(2,784)
Business combination to acquire technology and other assets	(3,000)	—	—
Capitalized software development cost	(12,193)	(5,739)	(1,221)
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,136)	(20,314)	(4,005)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Repayments on term debt arrangements	—	—	(2,204)
Payments on capital leases	—	(12)	(45)
Payments on obligation for acquired technology	(137)	(83)	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants, net of issuance costs	897	709	9,094
Conversion of preferred stock	(23,300)	—	—
Sale of Common Stock	56,253	—	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	33,713	614	6,845
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(137)	(13,457)	9,468
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	5,722	19,179	9,711
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 5,585	\$ 5,722	\$ 19,179
Supplemental cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 8	\$ 27	\$ 114
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 196	\$ 333	\$ 109
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Conversion of preferred stock	\$ 130,421	\$ —	\$ —

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Common stock and obligation for future payments at fair value, given in exchange for acquisition of technology	\$	954	\$	974	\$	—
Reduction in obligation for acquired technology	\$	100	\$	—	\$	—

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-6

---

GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Notes to Financial Statements

1. Description of Company

Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. was incorporated September 15, 1998, as a Georgia corporation headquartered in Carrollton, Georgia. In connection with our recently completed initial public offering (“IPO”), we reincorporated in Delaware on February 7, 2012. As appropriate to the context, “Greenway”, the “Company”, and “we”, “us” and “our” are used interchangeably to refer to Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. We develop market and sell an integrated suite of healthcare technology solutions, including practice management and electronic medical record software applications and related technologies and services for physician practices, clinics and other providers in ambulatory settings throughout the United States.

The Company is subject to the risks and challenges similar to other companies in the health care information technology market including, but not limited to, operating in a rapidly evolving market, competition from larger companies, dependence on new products and on key personnel, as well as the regulatory requirements in the healthcare information environment.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal Year

The Company’s fiscal year-end is June 30. Unless otherwise noted, all references to 2012, 2011, and 2010 refer to the fiscal years ended June 30 of the respective year.

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The more significant estimates reflected in these financial statements include the valuation of equity issued prior to the Company’s IPO, useful lives of intangible assets, potential impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, the allowance for doubtful accounts and the valuation of share-based compensation.

Subsequent Events

The Company discloses material events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. In general, these events are recognized if the condition existed at the date of the balance sheet, but are not recognized if the condition did not exist at the balance sheet date. The Company discloses non-recognized events if required to keep the financial statements from being misleading.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of money market accounts. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Short-Term Investments

The Company has classified its short-term investments as available-for-sale securities. These securities are reported at fair value with any changes in market value reported as a part of comprehensive income.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are stated at the amount the Company expects to collect and do not bear interest. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon the expected collectability of accounts receivable. When specific amounts are determined to be uncollectible, they are charged to the allowance. Management determines the collectability of accounts receivable based primarily on the periodic review of accounts receivable aging schedules, past experience and knowledge of individual customers.

Following is a schedule of the changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts (in thousands):

	For the years ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 585	\$ 900	\$ 450
Charged to expense	1,412	1,083	620
Write-offs	(1,277)	(1,398)	(170)
Balance at end of period	\$ 720	\$ 585	\$ 900

F-7

---

## Inventory

Inventories consist primarily of computer equipment expected to be resold and are stated at the lower of cost, determined using the First-In-First-Out (FIFO) method, or market, defined as net realizable value.

## Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Major property additions, replacements and betterments are capitalized, while maintenance and repairs that do not extend the useful lives of these assets are expensed as incurred. Depreciation expense was approximately \$1.1 million, \$1.1 million and \$432,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Depreciation is provided using the specific straight-line method over the useful lives of the property and equipment, which are as follows:

Software	3	years
Computer and other equipment	3	years
	Lesser of lease	
Leasehold improvements	term or 7	years
Furniture and fixtures	5	years
Buildings	39	years

## Software Development Costs

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 985-20, Software, Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Marketed, which requires the capitalization of costs incurred in connection with the research and development of new software products and enhancements to existing software products once technological feasibility is established. Technological feasibility is established when all planning, designing, coding, and testing activities necessary to establish that the product can be produced to meet its design specifications are completed including functions, features, and technical performance requirements.

Capitalized software development costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated economic life of the related product, which is typically three years. The establishment of technological feasibility and the ongoing assessment of the recoverability of these costs require considerable judgment by management with respect to certain external factors including, but not limited to, anticipated future gross product revenue, estimated economic life, and changes in technology.

Capitalized software development costs for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, were approximately \$12.2 million, \$5.7 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively. Approximately \$1.9 million and \$149,000 of amortization expense was recorded for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Inasmuch as none of the related projects were released to market prior to June 30, 2010, no amortization was recorded for the year ended June 30, 2010.

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 350-40, Internal Use Software and expenses all costs incurred that relate to planning and post-implementation phases of development. Costs incurred in the development phase are capitalized and amortized over the product's estimated useful life. For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, the Company capitalized \$456,000 and \$1.4 million of costs related to software developed for internal use. The Company did not capitalize any amounts for such development in 2010.

Internal software development costs are generally amortized on a straight-line basis over three years beginning with the date the software is placed into service. Amortization of software developed for internal use was \$351,000 for the



year ended June 30, 2012. No amortization was incurred for 2011 or 2010 as none of the projects were completed prior to June 30, 2011.

#### Goodwill

Goodwill is an asset representing the future economic benefits arising from assets acquired in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) ASC Topic 350, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (“ASC 350”). The Company considers that in light of relevant qualitative factors, its relatively immaterial investment in goodwill was not impaired at June 30, 2012. The goodwill impairment will continue to be considered annually or more frequently if facts and circumstances warrant.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for potential impairment whenever adverse events or changes in circumstances or business climate indicate that expected undiscounted future cash flows related to such long-lived assets may not be sufficient to support the net book value of such assets. Impairment exists when the carrying value of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. An impairment loss is recognized only if the carrying value of a long-lived asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. There were no such impairment losses during the three years ended June 30, 2012.

## Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with U.S. GAAP, principally ASC 985-605, Software Revenue Recognition. In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements and ASU 2009-14, Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements — both representing consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force, to amend certain revenue recognition guidance. ASU 2009-13 amended guidance in ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition, Multiple-Element Arrangements — to (1) modify the separation criteria by eliminating the criterion that requires objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered item(s), and (2) eliminate use of the residual method of allocation and instead require that arrangement consideration be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to all deliverables based on their relative selling price. ASU 2009-14 amended the scope of arrangements under ASC 985-605, Software, Revenue Recognition to exclude tangible products containing software components and non-software components that function together to deliver a product's essential functionality.

The amended guidance in ASC 985-605 and ASC 605-25 was effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with early application and retrospective application permitted. The Company adopted this amended guidance effective July 1, 2010 but there was no significant impact on our financial statements as a result.

The Company generates revenue from the following sources:

- The sale of information systems, which includes software, hardware and peripherals, deployment and training

- The provision of system support services (PCS), which includes software application support and hardware maintenance

- The provision of outsourcing services, which includes the processing of medical claims, electronic patient statements and business services including clinically-driven revenue cycle management and EHR-enabled research

The Company enters into contractual obligations to sell hardware, perpetual software licenses, deployment and training services, PCS services and outsourcing services. ASC 985-605-25 requires revenue earned on software arrangements involving multiple elements to be allocated to each element based on the relative fair values of those elements. The fair value of an element must be based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE). The Company limits its assessment of VSOE for each element to either the price charged when the same element is sold separately or the price established by management having the relevant authority to do so, for an element not yet sold separately. VSOE calculations are updated and reviewed annually. When evidence of fair value exists for the delivered and undelivered elements of a transaction, then discounts for individual elements are aggregated and the total discount is allocated to the individual elements in proportion to the elements' fair value relative to the total contract fair value.

When evidence of fair value exists for the undelivered elements only, the residual method, provided for under ASC 985-605, is used. Under the residual method, the Company defers revenue related to the undelivered elements in a sale based on VSOE of fair value of each of the undelivered elements and allocates the remainder of the contract price, net of all discounts, to revenue recognized from the delivered elements. Undelivered elements of a sale may include, among other things, training services, outsourcing services and PCS. Revenue from these elements is recognized as the service is delivered and, in the case of PCS, ratably over the service period. If VSOE of fair value of any undelivered element does not exist, all revenue is deferred until VSOE of fair value of the undelivered element is established or the element has been delivered.

Revenue is recognized on the hardware and software deliverables upon shipment at which point VSOE has been established for all of the undelivered items which consist of training services, outsourcing services and PCS. The Company recognizes the revenue on the delivered elements using the residual method in accordance with ASC 985-605. The residual method allocates an amount of the arrangement to the elements for which fair value can be determined (training, PCS and outsourcing services) and any remaining arrangement consideration (the residual revenue) is then allocated to the delivered elements (perpetual software licenses, hardware staging and installation, and data conversion). The fair value of undelivered elements (training, PCS and outsourcing services) is determined based on VSOE of fair value of those elements and these amounts are deferred and recognized as revenue ratably over the maintenance term or as the services are provided. The residual revenue is allocated to perpetual software licenses, hardware, staging and installation, and data conversion and is recognized upon shipment of the software if persuasive evidence of an agreement exists, collection of the resulting receivable is probable, and the amount of fees to be paid is fixed or determinable.

The fair value of training is determined based on VSOE of fair value of those services sold separately. VSOE of fair value of PCS and outsourcing services is determined by reference to the price the Company's customers are required to pay for the services when sold separately via renewals.

Training also includes deployment services, principally start-up monitoring and workflow consulting, performed in and around the time a new implementation occurs as well as follow-up training to assist a practice's providers in enhancing proficiency and efficiency as staffing changes occur.

The Company also generates revenue from its software products under software subscription agreements. These software subscription agreements include the right to use the software and receive unspecified future product enhancements and upgrades when and if available for a specified term, usually 60 months. PCS services are not sold separately in subscription arrangements. Revenue from all of the deliverables related to subscription agreements, including training services and PCS is recognized ratably over the life of the agreement. Any amounts invoiced or cash received in advance is recorded as deferred revenue.

The Company records reimbursements of out-of-pocket expenses as revenue in the accompanying statements of operations. These amounts totaled approximately \$6.6 million, \$3.3 million and \$2.1 million for each of the three years ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company presents sales net of sales tax and other sales-related taxes collected from customers.

#### Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue represents deposits and other amounts received from customers for contracts for which the revenue earnings process has not yet been completed.

#### Share-Based Compensation

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718, Compensation — Stock Compensation which requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant based on an option-pricing model. The estimated fair value of such awards ultimately expected to vest is recognized ratably as expense over the requisite service period.

The Company will only recognize a tax benefit from stock based awards in additional paid-in capital if an incremental tax benefit is realized after all other tax attributes currently available to the Company have been utilized. In addition, the Company has elected to account for the indirect effects of stock based awards on other tax attributes, such as the research tax credit, through its statement of operations.

Equity instruments issued to nonemployees are recorded at their fair value on the measurement date. The measurement of share-based compensation is subject to periodic adjustment as the underlying equity instruments vest. The fair value of options granted to consultants is expensed over the vesting period.

#### Shipping and Handling

Shipping and handling fees charged to customers are included in hardware and third-party software revenue, and shipping and handling costs are included in cost of revenue in the accompanying statements of operations.

#### Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses of approximately \$2.2 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.6 million were included within sales, general and administrative expenses in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 740-10, Income Taxes, which requires the use of an asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. Under ASC 740, deferred tax assets or liabilities at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to apply to taxable income in the period in which the deferred tax asset or liability is expected to be settled or realized.

As required by the uncertain tax position guidance under ASC 740-10, the Company recognizes the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting this more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount to be recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent cumulative likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. Recognition, de-recognition, and measurement is based on management's best judgment given the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date.

#### Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is the total of net income and all other non-owner changes in shareholders' equity. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, comprehensive income approximated net income.

#### Net Income (Loss) Per Share Available to Common Shareholders

Basic income (loss) per share available to common shareholders is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Income (loss) available to common shareholders reflects accretion of preferred stock dividends, preferred stock issue cost and adjustment to recognize the estimated fair value of the put feature ascribed to these securities.

Diluted per share amounts give effect to all potentially dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. Such potentially dilutive common share equivalents included Series A and B Preferred Stock convertible into 8.8 million shares of common stock for each of the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and which were converted to common stock in February 2012; outstanding warrants exercisable for common shares totaling approximately 121,000

at June 30, 2012, and 260,000 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; and stock options exercisable for shares of common stock totaling approximately 1.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, and 1.7 million each for June 30, 2011 and 2010. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and warrants is computed using the treasury stock method. The computation of diluted loss per share does not assume conversion, exercise, or contingent exercise of securities that would have an anti-dilutive effect on earnings and inasmuch as inclusion of any or all of the potentially dilutive common share equivalents is anti-dilutive for each of the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, presentation of loss per share available to common shareholders — basic and diluted are the same for the periods presented.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable.

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions that are considered in the Company's investment strategy. Trade receivables are unsecured and the Company is at risk to the extent such amounts become uncollectible.

For each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, no customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue. At June 30, 2012, one customer accounted for 15% of accounts receivable; however, no customer accounted for more than 10% of accounts receivable at June 30, 2011 or 2010.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The book values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their fair values, principally because of the short-term maturities of these instruments. The Company measures and reports certain financial assets at fair value on a recurring basis, including its short-term investments in money market funds and available-for-sale securities. As provided by their terms, and until their conversion in connection with our IPO, the Company's Series A and Series B Convertible Preferred Stock issuances were carried at estimated fair value based on the greater of a) liquidation preference including accrued dividends or b) fair value of these instruments as determined by independent appraisal.

The Company applies ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, with respect to fair value of (a) nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Company's financial statements on a recurring basis and (b) all financial assets and liabilities. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: Level 1 — Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 — Observable inputs other than those included in Level 1 (for example, quoted market prices for similar assets in active markets or quoted market prices for identical assets in inactive markets); and Level 3 — Unobservable inputs reflecting management's own assumptions about the inputs used in estimating the value of the asset.

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of short term investments, which are measured using level 1 and 2 inputs (see Note 3) and its Series A and B Convertible Preferred Stock classified as temporary equity, which is measured using Level 3 inputs. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in transfers within the fair value measurement hierarchy. The Company did not identify any transfers among levels of the fair value measurements hierarchy during fiscal 2012 and 2011.

The Company's financial instruments measured at fair value as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 consisted of (in thousands):

	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value Hierarchy Category		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
At June 30, 2012:				
Available-for-sale equity securities	Short-term investments	\$ 45	\$ 29,305	—
Series A convertible preferred stock:	Temporary equity	—	—	\$ —
Series B convertible preferred stock:	Temporary equity	—	—	\$ —
At June 30, 2011:				
Available-for-sale equity securities	Short-term investments	\$ 4,581	\$ 5,865	—
Series A convertible preferred stock:	Temporary equity	—	—	\$ 75,633
Series B convertible preferred stock:	Temporary equity	—	—	\$ 83,182

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

The following table presents the change (in thousands) in the estimated fair value of the Series A and B Convertible Preferred Stock measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Series A Convertible Preferred Stock:			
Fair value measurement at beginning of period	\$ 75,633	\$ 49,466	\$ 45,766
Change in fair value recorded in accumulated deficit	(13,528)	26,167	3,700
Conversion to common in connection with IPO	(62,105)	—	—
Fair value measurement at end of period	\$ —	\$ 75,633	\$ 49,466
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Series B Convertible Preferred Stock:			
Fair value measurement at beginning of period	\$ 83,182	\$ 54,388	\$ 50,050
Change in fair value recorded in accumulated deficit	(14,867)	28,794	4,338
Conversion to common in connection with IPO	(68,315)	—	—
Fair value measurement at end of period	\$ —	\$ 83,182	\$ 54,388

F-11

---

Prior to the conversion in connection with our IPO, the Series A and B Convertible Preferred Stock were re-measured to fair value each reporting period. The changes in fair value, combined with dividends and accretion of preferred stock issuance costs, are recorded in the statements of changes in convertible preferred and shareholders' equity (deficit) and are based on the change in the underlying fair value of the Company's equity during each fiscal year presented. The fair value of the Company's equity is the estimated amount for which a share of each of the Company's equity instruments could be sold in a current transaction between willing parties. The Company estimated its fair value using primarily a discounted cash flow model. The operating assumptions used in the discounted cash flow model are generally consistent with the Company's past performance and with the projections and assumptions used in the Company's operating plans. Such assumptions are subject to change as a result of changing economic and competitive conditions.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update, ("ASU"), 2010-28, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts ("ASU 2010-28"). ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity must consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating impairment may exist. ASU 2010-28 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805) - Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations ("ASU 2010-29"). This standard update clarifies that, when presenting comparative financial statements, SEC registrants should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the current period business combinations had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The update also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. ASU 2010-29 is effective prospectively for material (either on an individual or aggregate basis) business combinations entered into in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted.

In connection with our acquisition of technology and other assets as described in Note 4, ASU 2010-28 and ASU 2010-29 became applicable to us; their adoption had no impact on our financial statements. We expect that ASU 2010-29 may impact our disclosures for any future business combinations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board on fair value measurement. These amendments have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value." The common requirements are expected to result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments are to be applied prospectively and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company plans to adopt these provisions in the first quarter of Fiscal 2013. Adoption of these provisions is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The amendments to the Codification in this ASU allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a



single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. In December 2011 ASU 2011-12 was issued to defer the effective date relating to these reclassification adjustments requirements. Other provisions of ASU 2011-05 are to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company plans to adopt these provisions in the first quarter of Fiscal 2013. Adoption of these provisions is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The Board decided to simplify how companies are required to test goodwill for impairment. Companies now have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not (likelihood of more than 50%) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If after considering the totality of events and circumstances a company determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it will not have to perform the two-step impairment test. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. If a company has not yet issued their financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period, the company may choose to perform the qualitative assessment. ASU 2011-08 will be effective for our fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, and we are currently evaluating the impact on our financial statements.

### 3. Short-term Investments

Short-term investments consist of money market funds, U.S. agency bonds and corporate bonds with original maturities greater than three months and remaining maturities of less than one year. Investments are also made in corporate bonds with original maturities of greater than one year but maximum remaining maturities of 18 months; these investments are also included in short-term investments since the Company's intent is to convert them into cash as may be necessary to meet liquidity needs. At June 30, 2012, all of the Company's investments were classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value with any changes in market value reported as a part of comprehensive income. As of June 30, 2012, gross accumulated unrealized gains and losses for these investments were immaterial. Fair value is based on the Level 1 or 2 criteria of the fair value hierarchy specified in ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

Available-for-sale-securities at fair value consists of (in thousands):

	June 30	
	2012	2011
U.S. agency bonds	\$2,071	\$1,413
Corporate bonds	359	4,452
Mutual funds	26,875	—
Money market funds	45	4,581
Total	\$29,350	\$10,446

#### 4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Land	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,088
Building	4,433	4,433
Leasehold improvements	304	291
Equipment	1,390	2,753
Furniture and fixtures	3,366	1,230
Purchased software	3,056	1,315
Acquired technology	3,894	974
	17,615	12,084
Less — Accumulated depreciation	(6,509)	(4,070)
	11,106	8,014
Construction in progress	9,234	1,618
Total	\$ 20,340	\$ 9,632

#### Acquired Technology and Other Assets

In September 2010, the Company acquired certain technology and intellectual property in exchange for cash and 50,000 shares of common stock. The \$600,000 cash portion of the purchase price is payable over three years in variable amounts based on sales of the Company's product offering into which the technology is incorporated. The purchase agreement provided for a potential reduction of this cash portion of the purchase price when and if an initial public offering of the Company's common stock were to be completed. Accordingly, in connection with our offering completed in February, this obligation was reduced \$100,000. The fair value of the aggregate consideration was estimated at \$974,000 and allocated in its entirety to acquired technology estimated to have a useful life of three years.

In October 2011, we acquired certain technology and other assets of Cy Solutions which we believe will facilitate our penetration of the Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) market. Total consideration was approximately \$4,000,000 which includes \$1,000,000 contingent on attainment of certain performance objectives. Based on an independent valuation, the estimated value of total consideration was allocated to acquired intangibles and other assets as follows:

Assets Acquired	Estimated Fair Value (in thousands)	Estimated Useful Life
-----------------	--	-----------------------

Edgar Filing: GREENWAY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

Developed Technology	\$	2,920	3 years
Customer Relationships		530	5 years
Non-competition Agreements		64	3-5 years
Goodwill		440	Indefinite
Total fair value of consideration	\$	3,954	

Amortization of acquired technology is charged to cost of systems sold and totaled \$1.0 million, \$201,000 and \$88,000, respectively, for each of the years in the period ended June 30, 2012. Amortization of approximately \$1.0 million is anticipated for the years ending June 30, 2013 and 2014, which is substantially the remaining useful life of the acquired intangibles.

F-13

---

## Construction of New Facilities and Real Estate Tax Incentive Transaction

In December 2011, we entered into a sale-leaseback transaction pursuant to which we sold certain land and a building under development as our new administrative headquarters located in Carrollton, Georgia. The transaction contemplates an ultimate total purchase price of approximately \$12 million and in December, approximately \$1 million already incurred for the project, was received in cash and was simultaneously invested and is subject to an Industrial Revenue Bonds (IRB's) financing agreement. As development of the project progresses, the balance of \$11 million contemplated under this agreement will be paid from our resources, then sold and proceeds reinvested in a similar fashion. This agreement is intended to permit counties to attract business investment by offering property tax incentives. In accordance with Georgia law, we entered into this sale-leaseback agreement with Carroll County (the "County") and acquired an Industrial Development Revenue Bond. The arrangement is structured so that our lease payments to the County equal and offset the County's bond payments to the Company. The Bond is non-recourse to the County, our lease payments are pledged to secure repayment of the Bond, and the lease and bond provide for the legal right of offset. Consequently, the investment and lease obligation related to this arrangement have been offset in our balance sheet. The agreement has a maximum expiration date of 2021. If we had not entered into this transaction, property tax payments would have been higher. We can reacquire such property and terminate the agreement at a nominal price of ten dollars. The subject property was included in property and equipment - construction in progress in our balance sheet as of June 30, 2012.

## 5. Accrued Liabilities

The following table shows the components of accrued liabilities (in thousands) as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	June 30	
	2012	2011
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 4,936	\$ 3,172
Accrued sales tax	1,477	1,330
Accrued third party services	1,827	1,177
Obligation for purchased technology	954	-
Other accrued expenses	339	221
Total	\$ 9,533	\$ 5,900

## 6. Transactions with Related Parties

Effective July 1, 2000, the Company entered into an agreement to lease the corporate office from Green Family Real Estate, LLC, an entity controlled by the Company's Chairman, for approximately \$20,000 per month, plus annual adjustments for inflation, until June 30, 2015 (see Note 10).

In 2000, the Company entered into an agreement to rent on an hourly basis an airplane from Greenway Air, LLC, an entity controlled by the Company's Chairman. Expenses incurred related to this agreement were approximately \$51,000, \$67,000 and \$50,000 for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, respectively. In March 2002, the Company purchased a 1% interest in Greenway Air, LLC, for \$12,500. This investment is recorded at cost in the accompanying balance sheets.

The Company has considered applicable guidance regarding variable interest entities and has determined that neither of these arrangements is such an entity.

The Company has two institutional shareholders who, as of the June 30, 2012, collectively owned approximately 44% (25% for one investor and 19% for the other) of the Company's common stock. One representative of each of these institutional shareholders sits on the Company's Board of Directors. Given this substantial ownership position, these shareholders are able individually, and collectively, to exercise substantial influence over the affairs of the Company.

In April 2011, the Company purchased three commercial lots totaling approximately six acres which are being used to build new facilities to accommodate its growth. The property was purchased from the Company's Chairman pursuant to authorization from the Board of Directors. Aggregate consideration was approximately \$483,000 and was based on an independent appraisal.

#### 7. Credit Facility

During December of 2008, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the "Agreement"), the proceeds of which were used to pay all existing obligations to previous debt holders. The Agreement provided available financing of up to \$7,000,000. All indebtedness under this Agreement was paid in full at June 30, 2010. In March 2011, the Company closed on a new loan agreement which provides financing up to \$5,000,000, based on eligible receivables, with interest at LIBOR plus 275 basis points, is secured by a pledge of the Company's assets and contains customary provisions regarding covenants including a prohibition on payment of cash dividends. There were no amounts outstanding on the credit facility at June 30, 2012 and the full amount of the facility was available.

## 8. Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)

On February 1, 2012, our registration statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-175619) was declared effective for our initial public offering (the "IPO"), and on February 7, 2012, we consummated the IPO consisting of the sale of 7,666,667 shares of our common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, including 6,388,833 shares (including the underwriters' exercise of their over-allotment option to purchase an addition 1,000,000 shares) issued and sold by us. Following the sale of the shares in connection with the closing of the IPO, the offering terminated. As a result of the offering, including the underwriters' over-allotment option, we received total net proceeds of approximately \$56.3 million, after deducting total expenses of \$7.5 million, consisting of underwriting discounts and commissions of \$4.5 million and offering-related expenses of approximately \$3.0 million.

We used a portion of the net proceeds from the IPO to pay \$23.3 million consisting of a cash payment to holders of our outstanding preferred stock concurrently with the conversion of such shares into shares of common stock in connection with the closing of the IPO. Certain holders of our outstanding preferred stock who received cash payments included certain executive officers and directors. We intend to use the remaining proceeds to finance the construction of new facilities to accommodate the growth of our business (approximately \$12.0 million), as well as for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include financing our growth, developing new technology solutions and services, and funding capital expenditures, acquisitions and investments. The Company currently does not have any pending material acquisitions. We did not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders.

As indicated above, in connection with the IPO all of the convertible preferred stock outstanding automatically converted into shares of common stock as follows:

- (1) 3,333,333 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock converted into 4,210,533 shares of common stock based on application of the conversion ratio of 1.2631: 1;
- (2) 4,631,579 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock converted into a like number of shares of common stock based on a conversion ratio of 1: 1;
- (3) in connection with the conversion of our convertible preferred stock, a mandatory cash payment of up to \$42 million was due the holders thereof based on \$4.75 per equivalent common share upon conversion; this amount was satisfied by (a) a payment of \$23.3 million made to certain holders and (b) issuance of 1,870,124 shares of our common stock to those holders electing to receive common stock at the \$10 IPO price in lieu of cash.

Concurrent with the IPO, the Company converted to a Delaware corporation via merger and, in connection therewith, increased the authorized common stock and modified the par value to \$0.0001 per share.

The amount of stock authorized, issued and outstanding, after effect of the foregoing, is summarized (in thousands) as follows as of June 30:

	2012		2011		
	Common Stock	Preferred	Common Stock	Series A Preferred	Series B Preferred
Authorized	80,000	20,000	25,000	3,458	4,632
Issued	29,122	-	11,677	3,333	4,632
Outstanding	29,122	-	11,677	3,333	4,632

### Stock Options

On November 16, 2011, we adopted, and on December 16, 2011, we received shareholder approval of the Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc. 2011 Stock Plan (the "2011 Plan") which provides for issuance of equity awards for up to 3,000,000 shares of our common stock. The Plan allows the Company to grant incentive and non-statutory stock options as well as other types of equity awards to eligible employees, directors, and consultants of the Company. Options are generally granted for a term of 10 years and generally vest 25% after the first year and then in equal monthly increments for the subsequent three years. However, the vesting period may be accelerated following a change in control of the Company, as defined in the Plan. Incentive options granted to employees who, at the date of grant, own more than 10% of the voting power of the Company's stock have an exercise price equal to 110% of the fair market value at the date of grant and expire five years from the date of grant. The plan provides for an equitable adjustment of the number of shares covered by outstanding awards to reflect changes in capital structure.

We also have options granted, fully-vested and outstanding under our previous 1999 Stock Plan and our 2004 Stock Plan, though no new awards will be granted under the 1999 or 2004 plans. Activity under all our option plans is summarized as follows:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding as of June 30, 2009	1,911,152	\$ 4.53	
Granted	603,452	5.52	
Exercised	(24,710 )	4.00	
Canceled	(149,213 )	4.01	
Outstanding as of June 30, 2010	2,340,681	4.91	
Granted	915,307	7.95	
Exercised	(147,583 )	4.80	
Canceled	(322,592 )	4.09	
Outstanding as of June 30, 2011	2,785,813	\$ 4.92	
Granted	821,654	15.15	
Exercised	(154,906 )	5.14	
Canceled	(55,423 )	6.88	
Outstanding as of June 30, 2012	3,397,138	\$ 8.25	\$ 35,336,000
Options exercisable as of June 30, 2012	1,874,010	\$ 5.80	





The following table sets forth the Company's outstanding options and options exercisable, including the exercise price range, number of shares, weighted average exercise price and remaining contractual lives by groups of similar price and grant date as of June 30, 2012:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Exercisable as of June 30, 2012	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	
\$ 3.00	11,331	1.00	\$ 3.00	11,331	1.00	
\$ 4.00	22,882	1.79	\$ 4.00	16,632	1.54	
\$ 4.75	975,196	3.13	\$ 4.75	964,196	3.10	
\$ 5.19	483,549	7.30	\$ 5.19	283,600	7.27	
\$ 6.00	73,702	2.19	\$ 6.00	73,702	2.19	
\$ 6.92	277,329	8.17	\$ 6.92	177,657	8.12	
\$ 7.00	5,064	1.00	\$ 7.00	5,064	1.00	
\$ 7.09	553,681	8.58	\$ 7.09	266,066	8.59	
\$ 11.58	178,500	9.00	\$ 11.58	7,250	9.00	
\$ 13.31	258,526	9.04	\$ 13.31	-	-	
\$ 14.29	34,553	9.39	\$ 14.29	31,262	-	
\$ 14.50	3,750	9.69	\$ 14.50	3,750	-	
\$ 14.64	31,875	4.04	\$ 14.64	-	-	
\$ 16.25	487,200	9.92	\$ 16.25	33,500	-	
	3,397,138	6.80		1,874,010	5.18	

The fair value of stock option grants is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable, characteristics not present in these employee stock options. Additionally, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected volatility of the stock price. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimates, in management's opinion, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its share-based awards.

The Company's expected volatility assumptions are based on the historical volatility of a publicly traded peer entity over the same expected term of the option. Expected life assumptions and assumed forfeiture rates are based on historical experience. The risk-free interest rate was selected based upon yields of U.S. Treasury issues with a term equal to the expected life of the option being valued.

The assumptions utilized for stock option grants during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	For the years ending June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rate	.69% - 1.51 %	1.14% - 2.02 %	1.79% - 2.49%
Expected dividend yield	-	-	-
Expected volatility	53.3%	44.1%	54.8%

Expected lives of options	5 years	5 years	5 years
Forfeiture rate	1%	4%	5%
	\$4.87 -	\$2.66	\$2.53 -
Fair Value	\$10.24	-\$6.41	\$3.41

The weighted average fair value of the options granted during 2010, 2011 and 2012 was \$2.72, \$3.19 and \$9.00, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense recorded for option grants was approximately \$2.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$622,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there was approximately \$7.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.9 years.

Aggregate intrinsic value represents the value of the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal period in excess of the weighted average exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding or exercisable. For 2010 and 2011, fair value of the Company's common stock based on an independent valuation is used inasmuch as the Company was not publicly traded at that time. Options expected to vest are unvested shares net of expected forfeitures. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was approximately \$1.5 million, \$615,000, and \$71,000 during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## Warrants

At June 30, 2012 the Company had warrants outstanding for purchase of 121,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share. These warrants expire October 2012.

## 9. Income Taxes

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had gross net operating losses (NOLs) of approximately \$73 million. These NOLs will be available to offset any future taxable income and will begin to expire in 2021. The Company has also generated research credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.3 million.

The components of the Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes were as follows (in thousands):

	For the years ending June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Current:			
Federal	\$ —	\$ 10	\$ 75
State	273	17	73
	273	27	148
Deferred:			
Federal	1,416	2,106	—
State	266	227	—
Change in deferred tax asset valuation allowance	—	(31,560)	—
	1,682	(29,227)	—
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ 1,955	\$ (29,200)	\$ 148

The following is a reconciliation of income taxes at the federal statutory rate with income taxes recorded by the Company (in thousands):

	For the years ending June 30		
	2012	2011	2010
Income tax computed at the federal statutory rate	\$ 1,654	\$ 1,280	\$ 1,024
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	195	151	121
Equity compensation	673	391	342
Other permanent items	432	505	359
Research and development and other credits	(975)	—	525
Other	(24)	33	—
Change in valuation allowance	—	(31,560)	(2,223)
	\$ 1,955	\$ (29,200)	\$ 148

The Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30 2012	2011
Deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Deferred revenue	\$3	\$47
Stock option obligations	1,115	858
Investments	138	131
Fixed assets	19	5
Intangibles	287	—
Research and development credit	3,320	2,380
Allowance for doubtful accounts	274	222
Other	1,463	1,214
Inventory	13	206
Net operating loss carryforwards	27,432	26,752
Deferred tax assets	34,064	31,815
Deferred tax (liabilities):		
Capitalized software	(6,519 )	(2,588 )
Net deferred tax assets	\$27,545	\$29,227

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits. The Company will recognize accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense when and if incurred. The Company had no interest or penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits accrued as of June 30, 2012. The Company does not anticipate that the amount of the unrecognized benefit will significantly increase within the next 12 months. However, net operating loss and R&D credit carryforwards remain subject to examination to the extent they are carried forward and impact a year that is open to examination by tax authorities.

At March 31, 2011, the Company determined that it would be more likely than not that the cumulative net operating loss and other deferred tax benefits would be recoverable. Accordingly, net deferred tax assets of approximately \$31.0 million were recorded on the Company's balance sheet as of that date with a corresponding \$31.0 million income tax benefit recorded in the statement of operations.

This determination was based on our evaluation of positive and negative evidence as follows:

The significant growth in revenues and earnings the Company has experience over the past three years was forecast to continue as reflected in the Company's business plan for 2012 — 2014. The Company has achieved or exceeded it forecast in each of the past four years as it has progressed toward significant scale and profitability.

The Company's market segment is extremely positively impacted by the HITECH Act which provides significant funding through 2014 to providers for acquisition and "meaningful use" of Electronic Health Records technology systems as part of the Federal government's initiatives to facilitate improvements in healthcare delivery and mitigate costs.

The weight of this positive evidence is somewhat tempered by the current state of the U.S. economy which has experienced a recession and now lackluster growth. However, the healthcare sector has been less affected than other sectors of the economy due in part to the impact of government involvement.

The determination of when to adjust the valuation allowance requires significant judgment on the part of management. Although realization is not assured, management concluded that it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets at March 31, 2011, would be realized in the ordinary course of operations. Therefore a valuation allowance was determined to be unnecessary. Management's conclusions regarding realization of deferred tax assets are the same at June 30, 2012 and 2011. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if actual future earnings are lower than estimated, or if there are differences in the timing or amount of future reversals of existing taxable or deductible temporary differences.

#### 10. Leases

Rental expense, recognized on a straight-line basis, for all building and equipment leases totaled approximately \$1.2 million, \$526,000 and \$266,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, future minimum lease payments under operating leases with non-cancelable terms are as follows (in thousands):

	For the years ending June 30
2013	\$ 1,480
2014	1,152
2015	835
2016	290
2017	7
Total	\$ 3,764

#### 11. Retirement Savings Plan

The Company offers a retirement savings plan (the Plan) under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code to eligible employees, as defined in the plan document. The Plan allows a participant to make pre-tax contributions up to the maximum allowable percentage of eligible earnings under IRS guidelines. In addition, the Company can elect to make a discretionary matching contribution based on a uniform percentage of participants' contributions determined by the Board of Directors each year. The Company may also make additional discretionary contributions upon a resolution of the Board of Directors. The Company made no matching or discretionary contributions to the Plan for the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012.

#### 12. Segment information

The Company complies with ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting. ASC 280, which is based on a management approach to segment reporting and requires that the Company disclose information about the business components (operating segments) as utilized to make operating decisions and assess performance. The objective of this guidance is to help financial statement users understand the Company's performance, assess prospects for future cash flows and judge the entity as a whole. An operating segment is defined as a component that engages in business activities whose operating results are reviewed by the chief operating decision maker and for which discrete financial information is available. The Company manages its resources and assesses its performance on an enterprise-wide basis. The Company does report revenue according to the nature of the products and services provided to its customers; providers in various settings within the ambulatory sector of the domestic healthcare market who share similar economic characteristics.

