

GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 14, 2006

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**FORM 10-Q**

**x** **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

or

**o** **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE**  
**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-11226

**GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION**  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**COLORADO**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

**84-0630963**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**1515 South Tejon, Suite 201, Colorado Springs,**  
**Colorado**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**80906**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (719) 471-9013

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer x

Edgar Filing: GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) o Yes x NO

Number of Shares outstanding at August 11, 2006: 9,744,250

---

**Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION  
**CONSOLIDATED**  
**BALANCE SHEETS**

Assets	June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 214,813	\$ 167,169
Short-term investments	669,071	888,627
Interest receivable and other current assets	6,968	15,753
Prepaid insurance	4,241	24,827
Total current assets	895,093	1,096,376
Property and equipment, at cost:		
Land	2,025	2,025
Mineral Claims	20,657	20,657
Furniture and fixtures	10,064	10,064
Machinery and equipment	34,122	33,013
	66,868	65,759
Less accumulated depreciation	(39,729)	(37,224)
	27,139	28,535
Total assets	\$ 922,232	\$ 1,124,911
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 27,527	43,808
Total current liabilities	27,527	\$ 43,808
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, no par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 9,744,250 shares at June 30, 2006, 9,744,250 shares at December 31, 2005	7,499,429	7,499,429
Additional paid-in capital	2,728,273	1,927,736
Accumulated comprehensive loss - foreign currency translation adjustment	(31,511)	(31,511)
Accumulated deficit	(9,301,486)	(8,314,551)
Total shareholders' equity	894,705	1,081,103
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 922,232	\$ 1,124,911

GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND ACCUMULATED DEFICIT

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED  
June 30, 2006 and 2005  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006		Six Months Ended June 30, 2006		2005
Revenue:					
Distribution from mining joint venture in excess of carrying value	\$		\$	250,000	\$ 250,000
Expenses:					
General and administrative (1)	1,070,430	148,627	1,276,688		244,648
Depreciation expense	1,251	1,252	2,505		2,505
Exploration expense		14,687	2,245		28,528
	1,071,681	164,566	1,281,438		275,681
Operating loss	(1,071,681 )	(164,566 )	(1,031,438 )		(25,681 )
Other income					
Interest and other income	8,466	10,061	17,192		17,662
Gold bullion mark-up to market	9,579		27,311		
	18,045	10,061	44,503		17,662
Net loss	\$ (1,053,636 )	\$ (154,505 )	\$ (986,935 )		\$ (8,019 )
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.11 )	\$ (0.02 )	\$ (0.10 )		\$ (0.00 )
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	9,744,250	9,744,250	9,744,250		9,731,819
ACCUMULATED DEFICIT:					
Beginning of period	\$ (8,247,850 )	\$ (7,566,040 )	\$ (8,314,551 )		\$ (7,712,526 )
Net loss	(1,053,636 )	(154,505 )	(986,935 )		(8,019 )
End of period	\$ (9,301,486 )	\$ (7,720,545 )	\$ (9,301,486 )		(7,720,545 )

(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense as follows:

General and administrative:	\$791,597	\$791,597
-----------------------------	-----------	-----------

GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED  
June 30, 2006 and 2005  
(Unaudited)

	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (986,935 )	\$ (8,019 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,505	2,505
Increase in market value of gold asset	(27,311 )	
Non-cash stock based compensation expense	791,597	
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable and other current assets	8,785	(23,052 )
Decrease (increase) in prepaid insurance	20,586	(447 )
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(7,341 )	(39,004 )
Net cash used in operating activities	(198,114 )	(68,017 )
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Decrease (increase) in short-term investments, net	246,867	(287,327 )
Purchase of equipment	(1,109 )	(1,104 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	245,758	(288,431 )
Cash flows provided by financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		93,112
Net cash provided by financing activities		93,112
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	47,644	(263,336 )
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	167,169	457,000
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 214,813	\$ 193,664

**GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(unaudited)

(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring items, necessary for a fair presentation. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The accounting policies set forth in those annual financial statements are the same as the accounting policies utilized in the preparation of these financial statements, except as modified for appropriate interim financial statement presentation.

(2) INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

The Company accounts for its investment in the Cripple Creek & Victor Gold Mining Company (the Joint Venture) on the equity method. During 1992, the Company's investment balance in the Joint Venture was reduced to zero. Joint Venture distributions in excess of the investment carrying value are recorded as income, as the Company is not currently required to finance the Joint Venture's operating losses or capital expenditures. Correspondingly, the Company does not record its share of Joint Venture losses incurred subsequent to the reduction of its investment balance to zero. To the extent the Joint Venture is subsequently profitable, the Company will not record its share of equity income until the cumulative amount of previously unrecorded Joint Venture losses has been recouped. As of June 30, 2006, the Company's share of accumulated unrecorded losses from the Joint Venture was \$12,693,000.

The Company recognizes revenue as Minimum Annual Distributions from the Joint Venture are received as all services necessary for revenue recognition have been previously provided to the Joint Venture by the Company. The Joint Venture Agreement, as amended, provides for the Company to receive a Minimum Annual Distribution of \$250,000 during the Initial Phase. Beginning in 1994, such Minimum Annual Distributions are potentially recoupable against the Company's future share of Net Proceeds, if any. Whether future gold prices and the results of the Joint Venture's operations will reach and maintain a level necessary to repay the Initial Loans, complete the Initial Phase, and thereafter generate net income from which Minimum Annual Distributions can be recouped, cannot be assured due to uncertainties inherent within any mining operation. Based on the amount of Initial Loans payable to the Manager and the

uncertainty of future operating revenues, there is no assurance that the Company will receive more than the Minimum Annual Distribution from the Joint Venture in the foreseeable future.

### (3) EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share are computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. On a weighted average basis there were 419,341 shares and 402,265 shares of dilutive securities outstanding during the six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, which were not reported in loss per share as they would be anti-dilutive. For the same three and six month periods of 2005 there were 326,667 and 319,530 shares of dilutive securities outstanding which were not reported in loss per share as they also would be anti-dilutive.

### (4) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123R, Share Based Payment ( SFAS 123R ), on January 1, 2006. Prior to adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ( APB 25 ) and related Interpretations, as permitted by SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation ( SFAS 123 ). Accordingly, no compensation expense was required to be recognized for options granted that had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

The Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective method. Under this method, compensation cost recognized in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 includes: a) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, and b) compensation cost for all stock-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123R. The results for prior periods have not been restated.

The adoption of SFAS 123R increased the Company's net loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 by \$791,597. This expense increased the basic and diluted loss per share by \$0.08 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. The Company did not recognize a tax benefit from stock-based compensation expense because the Company considers it is more likely than not that the related deferred tax assets, which have been reduced by a full valuation allowance, will not be realized.

The following illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the fair value based method had been applied to the prior comparable period.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005
Reported net income	\$ (154,505 )	\$ (8,019 )
Stock-based employee compensation under the fair value based method prior to adoption of SFAS 123R, net of related tax effects	(244,360 )	(244,360 )
Pro forma net income	\$ (398,865 )	\$ (252,379 )
Loss per share:		
Basic - as reported	\$ (0.02 )	\$ 0.00
Basic - pro forma	(0.04 )	(0.03 )
Diluted - as reported	(0.02 )	0.00
Diluted - pro forma	(0.04 )	(0.03 )

The Company recognized compensation expense of \$791,597 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 for employee stock options that prior to January 1, 2006 would not have been recognized under APB 25.

The following summarizes the activity of the Company's stock options for the six months ended June 30, 2006:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1)
Number of shares under option:				
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	385,000	\$ 2.13		
Granted	125,000	8.50		
Exercised				
Canceled or expired				
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	510,000	\$ 3.69	7.3	\$ 2,261,688
Exercisable at June 30, 2006	510,000	\$ 3.69	7.3	\$ 2,261,688

(1) The intrinsic value of a stock option is the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option.

As of June 30, 2006, there are no unvested stock options outstanding. As of June 30, 2006, there was no unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options.



The Black-Scholes option pricing model is used by the Company to determine the weighted average fair value of options. The fair value of options at date of grant and the assumptions utilized to determine such values are indicated in the following table:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006				2005			
Weighted average fair value at date of grant for options granted during the period	\$	791,597			\$	244,360		
Risk-free interest rates		5.03	%			4.05	%	
Expected stock price volatility		61	%			70	%	
Expected dividend yield		0				0		

-

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Overview

The Company's principal mining investment and source of cash flows has been its interest in the Joint Venture. The Joint Venture engages in gold mining activity in the Cripple Creek area of Colorado. The Company's Joint Venture co-venturer is AngloGold Ashanti (Colorado) Corp. (AngloGold, formerly Pikes Peak Mining Company), a wholly-owned subsidiary of AngloGold Ashanti North America Inc., which is a wholly owned subsidiary of AngloGold Ashanti Ltd.

The Company's rights and obligations relating to its Joint Venture interest are governed by the Joint Venture Agreement. The Joint Venture is currently, and for the foreseeable future will be, operating in the Initial Phase, as defined. In accordance with the Joint Venture Agreement, AngloGold manages the Joint Venture, and is required to finance all operations and capital expenditures during the Initial Phase.

The Joint Venture Agreement defines an Initial Phase that will end when (i) the Initial Loans (defined below) have been repaid, (ii) a cash reserve has been established to fund accrued reclamation and severance tax obligations, plus an amount approximating nine months of estimated operating costs, plus an amount approximating twelve months of estimated capital costs, and (iii) Net Proceeds (defined in the Joint Venture Agreement generally as gross revenues less costs) in the amount of \$58 million have been distributed as follows: 80% to AngloGold and 20% to the Company. After the Initial Phase, the Joint Venture will distribute metal in kind in the proportion of 67% to AngloGold and 33% to the Company, and the venture participants will be responsible for their proportionate share of the Joint Venture costs. In addition, the Company will generally

be entitled to receive, in each year during the Initial Phase or until the mining of ore by the Joint Venture ceases due to the exhaustion of economically recoverable reserves, whichever occurs first, an annual minimum distribution of \$250,000 (a Minimum Annual Distribution ). The first three Minimum Annual Distributions in 1991, 1992 and 1993 were not deemed to be a distribution of Net Proceeds to the Company and were not applied against the Company's share of any Net Proceeds. The Minimum Annual Distributions received on January 15, 1994 and thereafter constitute an advance on Net Proceeds and will be recouped against future shares of Net Proceeds to the Company.

Initial Loans generally constitute funds loaned to the Joint Venture, and interest thereon, to finance operations and mine development by either AngloGold or third-party financial institutions and are repayable prior to distributions to the venture participants. AngloGold (the Manager ) reported that Initial Loans, payable to AngloGold, of approximately \$353 million were outstanding at June 30, 2006. Under the Agreement as amended, the Joint Venture has not distributed any Net Proceeds. Based on the amount of Initial Loans payable to the Manager, management of the Company believes that, absent a significant and sustained increase in the prevailing market prices for gold, it is unlikely that the Company will receive more than the Minimum Annual Distribution from the Joint Venture in the foreseeable future.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash used in operations was approximately \$198,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to cash used in operations of approximately \$68,000 during the same period in 2005. The primary reason for the approximately \$130,000 increase in cash used in operations in the 2006 period compared to the 2005 period was an increase in operating loss during the 2006 period, primarily consisting of increased legal fees.

The Company's working capital was approximately \$868,000 at June 30, 2006 compared to \$1,053,000 at December 31, 2005. Working capital decreased by approximately \$185,000 at June 30, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005. The decrease in working capital at June 30, 2006 was due to increased general and administrative expenses during the 2006 period, primarily consisting of legal expenses of approximately \$86,000 expended in the successful defense of a law suit brought by the Sierra Club and the Mineral Policy Center under the Clean Water Act, and \$123,000 in legal and due diligence costs related to a business combination initiative which was ultimately terminated in July 2006.

We believe that the Company's working capital, augmented by the Minimum Annual Distribution from the Joint Venture, is adequate to support operations at the current level for the coming year, barring unforeseen events. We anticipate that our Philippine subsidiary will hold all work on a standby basis until the Mineral Profits Sharing Agreement is awarded to the claim owner. If opportunities to economically pursue or expand Philippine, Nevada, Colorado operations, or any other opportunity are available, and we elect to pursue them, additional working capital may also be required.

It is possible that the Company will elect to continue the Illipah exploration program which will require additional capital. There is no assurance that we will be able to obtain such additional capital, if required, or that such capital would be available to the Company on terms that would be acceptable. Furthermore, if we were to commence such operations, it is not presently known when or if a positive cash flow could be derived from the operations. The Company is considering financing a portion of CC&V's initial loan (\$353 million at June 30, 2006). The initial loan is currently 100% financed by AngloGold. To finance a portion of CC&V's initial loan corresponding to the Company's equity (33%) in the Joint Venture, the Company would have to raise additional capital in some form of debt and/or equity issue. There is no assurance the Company could raise these funds, or, if possible, obtain acceptable terms on the financing. Such financing would likely result in a substantial dilution of the Company's current equity holders.

#### Results of Operations

The Company recorded net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2006, of approximately \$1,054,000, compared to net loss of approximately \$155,000 in the comparable 2005 period. The increase in net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared with the corresponding period in 2005 was due primarily to increased attorneys' fees and \$791,597 in stock-based compensation recorded in accordance with the Company's implementation of SFAS 123R (see Note 4 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION for additional information) related to the issuance of stock options during the 2006 period. The increased attorneys' fees were primarily expended in defense of litigation brought by the Sierra Club and Mineral Policy Center, alleging numerous violations of the Clean Water Act by the Company, CC&V and various AngloGold entities. The Court recently decided the case for the Company and the other defendants, and awarded the Company and the other defendants their court costs. The Company has not recorded a receivable for its court costs, approximately \$130,000, and will not do so until the judge completes her review of all of the defendants court cost submissions, rules on the submissions, and the time period for the litigants to appeal has expired. The increased general and administrative expenses were mitigated in part by a second quarter \$9,579 mark up to market of the 310 troy ounces of gold bullion the Company owns and reduced exploration expenditures during the 2006.

The Company accounts for its investment in the Joint Venture on the equity method. During 1992, the Company's investment balance in the Joint Venture was reduced to zero. Joint Venture distributions in excess of the investment carrying value are recorded as income as received, as the Company is not required to finance the Joint Venture's operating losses or capital expenditures. Correspondingly, the Company does not record its share of Joint Venture losses incurred subsequent to the reduction of its investment balance to zero. To the extent the Joint Venture is subsequently profitable, the Company will not record its share of equity income until the cumulative amount of previously unrecorded Joint Venture losses has been recouped.

The Manager reported that the Joint Venture achieved net income of approximately \$8.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to a net income of \$0.5 million for the corresponding period in 2005. The increase in net income in the 2006 period was primarily due to higher revenue from gold sales (\$40.5 million vs \$30.6 million) and decreased production expenses (\$15.8 million vs \$16.2 million, offset in part by increased depreciation, depletion, amortization and reclamation expenses (\$6.8 million vs \$6.5 million) and increased interest costs (\$8.8 million vs \$7.3 million) during the period. During the first six months of 2006, the Joint Venture achieved net income of \$14.5 million compared to net income of \$2.5 million during the first six months of 2005.

Whether future gold prices and the results of the Joint Venture's operations will reach and maintain a level necessary to repay the Initial Loans, complete the Initial Phase, and thereafter generate net income cannot be assured. Based on the amount of Initial Loans payable to the Manager and the uncertainty of future operating revenues, management of the Company believes that, without a significant and sustained increase in the prevailing market price for gold, it is unlikely that the Company will receive more than the Minimum Annual Distribution from the Joint Venture in the foreseeable future.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not hedge, sell forward or otherwise commit any asset on a contingency basis. We do not normally commit to multi-year contracts other than employment agreements and office space rental. Our Joint Venture, the Cripple Creek & Victor Gold Mining Company, in the course of normal business, periodically executes long term supply contracts to limit its exposure to various supply risks. The Joint Venture has not previously hedged or sold forward gold or other assets for the joint account.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### Disclosure controls and procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the

Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer, who is also our Chief Financial Officer, concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in alerting him in a timely manner to material information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries that is required to be included in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act.

#### Internal control over financial reporting

Internal control over financial reporting is defined as a process designed by or under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer, who is also our Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our Board of Directors, through our Audit Committee, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. These include procedures that (i) pertain to maintenance of records in reasonable detail to accurately reflect our transactions and disposition of assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

We are not required to report management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, and we have not undertaken the kind of review of such controls that would have been required if we were required to make such a report. An outside contractor is currently reviewing our internal controls. We expect the review to be completed by September 2006. However, in connection with our review of disclosure controls and procedures now in place, above, we have noted certain areas in our systems of internal control which, if left unresolved or unaddressed, could result in material deficiencies and weaknesses in our internal controls. These areas include, lack of segregation of duties due to limited personnel, limited capability due to the size of our Company to interpret and apply United States generally accepted accounting standards, lack of adequate documentation of our system of internal controls, lack of formal accounting policy and procedures and related documentation, and lack of a formal budgeting process. Although we have not instituted new internal control processes related to these identified areas, as has been characteristic of companies that have completed their review of internal controls and have had to report on the effect of such review, we are considering what appropriate remedial actions, if any, are necessary to improve our systems of internal controls. In conjunction with our outside audit for the year ended December 31, 2005, we determined that we had a significant deficiency in our accounts payable accruals at year end. The deficiency could have resulted in under accruals of approximately \$18,000 at year end, which would have understated the net loss for the year by a comparable amount. Management has instituted a policy requiring review of

subsequent period invoices to address this deficiency.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2006, there were no changes to internal control over financial reporting that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. The Company conducts periodic evaluations of its controls to enhance, where necessary, its procedures and controls. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings. As previously reported, the Sierra Club and Mineral Policy Center filed two complaints (01-cv-02325-MSK-MEH and 01-cv-02307-MSK-MEH) in U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado against the Cripple Creek & Victor Gold Mining Company (CC&V), and other defendants, including the Company, alleging certain violations of the U.S. Clean Water Act (CWA).

The initial complaint was served on the Company on or about March 13, 2001. The Sierra Club and Mineral Policy Center served additional notices to the Company in letters dated June 29, 2001 and September 28, 2001 of their intent to sue for additional alleged violations of the CWA. Sierra Club and Mineral Policy Center filed a second complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado alleging certain additional violations of the CWA and served notice on the Company on or about November 30, 2001.

U.S. District Judge Marcia Krieger ruled April 13, 2006 in favor of the Defendants and against the Plaintiffs on all claims. The court awarded the Defendants costs. The Company has not recorded a receivable for its court costs, approximately \$130,000, and will not do so until the judge completes her review of all of the Defendants court cost submissions, rules on the submissions, and the time period for the litigants to appeal has expired.

ITEM 1A. Risk factors. There have been no material changes from the risk factors discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

ITEM 5. Other information. On May 11, 2006, pursuant to a court order issued by the District Court for the County of El Paso, Colorado, in accordance with C.R.S. § 7-90-313,

## Edgar Filing: GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORP - Form 10-Q

the Company filed an amendment to its articles of incorporation to increase its authorized capital to 100,000,000 shares, effective June 15, 2004. The court order and the text of the articles of amendment are attached as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2006.

### ITEM 6. Exhibits.

31.1. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification. (Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification Principal Executive Officer.)

31.2. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification. (Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification Principal Financial Officer.)

32.1. Section 1350 Certification.

32.2. Section 1350 Certification.

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### GOLDEN CYCLE GOLD CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Date: August 11, 2006

/s/ R. Herbert Hampton  
R. Herbert Hampton, President, Chief  
Executive Officer, and Treasurer  
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal  
Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting  
Officer)