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## PART 1 FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Greene County Bancshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries are as follows:
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity For the six months ended June 30, 2006.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & \text { 2005** } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 44,358 | \$ 46,136 |
| Federal funds sold and other | 19,616 | 28,387 |
| Securities available for sale | 44,457 | 48,868 |
| Securities held to maturity (with a market value of \$2,696 and \$3,335) | 2,740 | 3,379 |
| FHLB, Bankers Bank and other stock, at cost | 6,879 | 6,489 |
| Loans held for sale | 2,054 | 2,686 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 1,437,935 | 1,378,642 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (20,834 | (19,739 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 52,735 | 49,985 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 39,081 | 39,622 |
| Other assets | 36,609 | 35,534 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,665,630 | \$ 1,619,989 |

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| Liabilities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | $\$ 1,283,606$ | $\$$ |
| Federal funds purchased | 26,000 | $1,295,879$ |
| Repurchase agreements | 25,133 | 17,498 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable | 123,281 | 105,146 |
| Subordinated debentures | 13,403 | 13,403 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 17,318 | 20,042 |
| Total liabilities | $1,488,741$ | $1,451,968$ |
| Shareholders equity |  | 19 |
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$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|cc|}\hline \text { Additional paid-in capital } & 71,285 & 70,700 \\ \hline \text { Retained earnings } & 86,389 & 78,158 \\ \hline \text { Accumulated other comprehensive loss } & (362 & (370 \\ \hline \text { Total shareholders equity } & 176,889 & 168,021 \\ \text { Total liabilities and shareholders equity } & \$ & 1,665,630\end{array}\right) \$ 1,619,989\right)$

* Condensed from audited consolidated financial statements.

See accompanying notes.
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GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll|} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Three Months } \\ \text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \text { (Unaudited) }\end{array} & \mathbf{2 0 0 5} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Six Months Ended } \\ \text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \text { (Unaudited) }\end{array} & \mathbf{2 0 0 5}\end{array}\right]$

| Income before income taxes | 8,878 | 6,053 | 17,049 | 10,659 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for income taxes | 3,395 | 2,339 | 6,470 | 4,010 |
| Net income | \$ 5,483 | \$ 3,714 | \$ 10,579 | \$ 6,649 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 5,498 | \$ 3,732 | \$ 10,587 | \$ 6,554 |
| Per share of common stock: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.49 | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.87 |
| Diluted earnings | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 0.86 |
| Dividends | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.24 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 9,785,936 | 7,650,884 | 9,778,288 | 7,649,982 |
| Diluted | 9,897,987 | 7,745,985 | 9,891,817 | 7,745,130 |

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See accompanying notes.
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## GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

 For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

See accompanying notes.
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## GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

 (Amounts in thousands)$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l} & \text { June 30, } & \text { June 30, } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 0 6} & \text { (Unaudited) }\end{array}\right)$

| Net cash provided from financing activities | 37,593 |  | 139,564 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents |  | ,549 | 11,288 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 74,523 |  | 70,648 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | 63,974 |  | \$ 81,936 |
| Supplemental disclosures cash and noncash |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid |  | 20,136 |  | 11,842 |
| Income taxes paid | 7,162 |  | 3,275 |  |
| Loans converted to other real estate | 3,121 |  | 2,570 |  |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities, net of tax | 8 |  | (95 |  |

See accompanying notes.
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## GREENE COUNTY BANCSHARES, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## June 30, 2006

## Unaudited

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

## NOTE 1 PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Greene County Bancshares, Inc. (the Company ) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Greene County Bank (the Bank ), have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ). Accordingly, they do not include all the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Certain amounts from prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders equity as previously reported.

## Note 2 Stock Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, ( SFAS No.123R ) which was issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board in December 2004. SFAS No. 123R revises SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock Based Compensation ( SFAS 123 ), and supersedes APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB No. 25) and its related interpretations. SFAS No.123R requires recognition of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments in the financial statements over the period the employee is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively the vesting period). SFAS No. 123R also requires measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award. SFAS No. 123R also amends SFAS No. 95 Statement of Cash Flows, to require that excess tax benefits be reported as financing cash inflows, rather than as a reduction of taxes paid, which is included within operating cash flows.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective application as permitted under SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, the Company is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company used the intrinsic value method as prescribed by APB No. 25 and thus recognized no compensation expense for options granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

The Company maintains a 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, whereby a maximum of 500,000 shares of common stock may be issued to directors and employees of the Company and the Bank. The Plan provides for the issuance of awards in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, restricted share units, deferred share units and performance awards. Stock options granted under the Plan are typically granted at exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant and typically have terms of ten years and vest at an annual rate of $20 \%$. At June $30,2006,342,798$ shares remained available for future grant. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for this plan was approximately $\$ 93,000$ and $\$ 185,000$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

The fair market value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. No options were granted during the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company granted 90,261 and 71,228 of stock options for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with a fair value of $\$ 8.90$ and $\$ 7.12$, respectively, for each option.
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The risk-free interest rate is based upon a U.S. Treasury instrument with a life that is similar to the expected life of the option grant. Expected volatility is based upon the historical volatility of the Company s common stock based upon prior years trading history. The expected term of the options is based upon the average life of previously issued stock options. The expected dividend yield is based upon current yield on date of grant. No post-vesting restrictions exist for these options. The following table illustrates the assumptions for the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of options granted to employees in the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. No options were granted during the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.57 | $\%$ | 4.20 | $\%$ |
| Volatility | 28.16 | $\%$ | 23.30 | $\%$ |
| Expected life | 8 years | 8 years |  |  |
| Dividend yield | 2.3 | $\%$ | 2.3 | $\%$ |

A summary of option activity under the stock option plan as of June 30, 2006 and changes during the six month period ended June 30, 2006 is presented below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { Average } \\ \text { Remaining } \\ \text { Contractual }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { Aggregate } \\ \text { Intrinsic }\end{array} \\ \text { Value }\end{array}\right]$

The total aggregate intrinsic value of options (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price of the options) exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 196$ and $\$ 40$ respectively. The total fair value of shares vesting during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 185$ and $\$ 214$, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, there was $\$ 1,210$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.9 years.

During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was $\$ 444$.

The adoption of SFAS No. 123R and its fair value compensation cost recognition provisions are different from the nonrecognition provisions under SFAS No. 123 and the intrinsic value method for compensation cost allowed by APB 25. The effect (increase/(decrease) of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Three Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { June 30, 2006 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Six Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { June 30, 2006 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Income before income tax expense } & \$ & (93 & ) & \$(185\end{array}\right)$

The following illustrates the effect on net income available to common shareholders if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to the prior year s three months and six months ended June 30, 2005:

|  | Three Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, 2005 |  | Six Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 3,714 | \$ | 6,649 |
| Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects | 4 |  | 7 |  |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value-based method for all awards, net of tax | (40 |  | (90 |  |
| Pro forma | \$ | 3,678 | \$ | 6,526 |
| Earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.49 | \$ | 0.87 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | 0.85 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | 0.86 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.84 |
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## NOTE 3 LOANS (Net)

Loans at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { December 31, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 5}\end{array} \\ \text { Commercial } & \$ 266,416 & \$ & 245,285 \\ \text { Commercial real estate } & 794,090 & 729,254 \\ \text { Residential real estate } & 300,298 & 319,797 \\ \text { Consumer } & 85,526 & 90,682 \\ \text { Other } & 2,458 & 3,476 \\ \text { Unearned interest } & (10,853 & ) & (9,852 \\ \text { Loans, net of unearned interest } & \$ 1,437,935 & \$ & 1,378,642\end{array}\right)$

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses and certain information about nonaccrual loans and loans 90 days past due but still accruing interest for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and twelve months ended December 31, 2005 were as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of year | 19,739 | \$ 15,721 |
| Add (deduct): |  |  |
| Reserve acquired in acquisition |  | 1,467 |
| Provision | 2,308 | 6,365 |
| Loans charged off | (1,813 | (5,583 |
| Recoveries of loans charged off | 600 | 1,769 |
| Ending balance | \$ 20,834 | \$ 19,739 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| Loans past due 90 days still on accrual | \$ 65 | \$ 809 |
| Nonaccrual loans | 4,816 | 5,915 |
| Total | \$ 4,881 | \$ 6,724 |
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## NOTE 4 EARNINGS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK

Basic earnings per share (EPS) of common stock is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share of common stock is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding during the period. Stock options are regarded as potential common shares. Potential common shares are computed using the treasury stock method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, 30,485 options are excluded from the effect of dilutive securities because they are anti-dilutive; 60,185 options are similarly excluded from the effect of dilutive securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 | Month <br> e erator) | ne 30, <br> Shares <br> (Denominator) | 2005 |  | Shares <br> (Denominator) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ | 5,483 | 9,785,936 | \$ | 3,714 | 7,650,884 |
| Effect of dilutive securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options outstanding |  |  | 112,051 |  |  | 95,101 |
| Diluted EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions | \$ | 5,483 | 9,897,987 | \$ | 3,714 | 7,745,985 |


|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 | onths En <br> e erator) | 30, <br> Shares <br> (Denominator) | 2005 | erator) | Shares <br> (Denominator) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ | 10,579 | 9,778,288 | \$ | 6,649 | 7,649,982 |
| Effect of dilutive securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options outstanding |  |  | 113,529 |  |  | 95,148 |
| Diluted EPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions | \$ | 10,579 | 9,891,817 | \$ | 6,649 | 7,745,130 |

## NOTE 5 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company s operating segments include banking, mortgage banking, consumer finance, subprime automobile lending and title insurance. The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, and internal reporting. Loans, investments, and deposits provide the revenues in the banking operation; loans and fees provide the revenues in consumer finance, mortgage banking, and subprime lending; and insurance commissions provide revenues for the title insurance company. Consumer finance, subprime automobile lending and title insurance do not meet the quantitative threshold on an individual basis, and are therefore shown below in Other Segments. Mortgage banking operations are included in Bank . All operations are domestic.
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Segment performance is evaluated using net interest income and noninterest income. Income taxes are allocated based on income before income taxes, and indirect expenses (includes management fees) are allocated based on time spent for each segment. Transactions among segments are made at fair value. Information reported internally for performance assessment follows.

| Three months ended June 30, 2006 | Bank | Other <br> Segments | Holding <br> Company | Eliminations | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Six months ended June 30, 2006 | Bank | Other <br> Segments | Holding <br> Company | Eliminations | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Six months ended June 30, 2005 | Bank | Other Segments | Holding Company |  | Eliminations | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ 24,687 | \$ 3,024 | \$ (312 | ) | \$ | \$ 27,399 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,027 | 655 |  |  |  | 2,682 |
| Noninterest income | 5,943 | 918 | 189 |  | (411 | 6,639 |
| Noninterest expense | 18,627 | 2,184 | 297 |  | (411 | 20,697 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 3,789 | 433 | (212 | ) |  | 4,010 |
| Segment profit | \$ 6,187 | \$ 670 | \$ (208 | ) | \$ | \$ 6,649 |
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## Asset Ouality Ratios

| As of and for the period ended June 30, 2006 | Bank | Other | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans net of unearned income | 0.31 | $\%$ | 1.32 | $\%$ | 0.34 | $\%$ |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.43 | $\%$ | 1.95 | $\%$ | 0.47 | $\%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans net of unearned income | 1.28 | $\%$ | 7.90 | $\%$ | 1.45 | $\%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans | 410.68 | $\%$ | 569.70 | 426.84 |  |  |
| YTD Annualized net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income | 0.12 | $\%$ | 2.52 | $\%$ | 0.17 | $\%$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { As of and for the period ended June 30, } 2005 & \text { Bank } & \text { Other } & \text { Total } \\ \hline \text { Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans net of unearned income } & 0.59 & \% & 1.29 & \% & 0.62\end{array}\right)$

| As of and for the year ended December 31, 2005 | Bank | Other | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans net of unearned income | 0.45 | $\%$ | 1.68 | $\%$ | 0.49 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.59 | $\%$ | 2.37 | $\%$ | 0.65 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans net unearned income | 1.26 | $\%$ | 7.89 | $\%$ | 1.43 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans | 278.65 | $\%$ | 470.69 | 293.56 |  |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income | 0.21 | $\%$ | 4.22 | $\%$ | 0.32 |

## NOTE 6 REVOLVING CREDIT AGREEMENT

On August 30, 2005, the Company entered into a revolving credit agreement with SunTrust Bank pursuant to which SunTrust agreed to loan the Company up to $\$ 35,000$, with this amount being reduced to $\$ 15,000$ after November 30, 2005. SunTrust s obligation to make advances to the Company under the credit agreement terminates on August 29, 2006, unless the loan is extended or earlier terminated. The fee for maintaining this credit agreement is $0.15 \%$ per annum on the unused portion of the commitment.

Forward-Looking Statements
This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements, which are based on assumptions and estimates and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the use of the words anticipate, will, believe, may, could, would, should, estimate, expect, intend, seek, or similar expressions. These forward-looking stateme address, among other things, the Company $s$ business plans, objectives or goal for future operations or expansion, the Company $s$ forecasted revenues, earnings, assets or other measures of performance, or estimates of risks and future costs and benefits. Although these statements reflect the Company s good faith belief based on current expectations, estimates and projections, they are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to, the following:
$\bullet$
the Company $s$ potential growth, including its entrance or expansion into new markets, and the need for sufficient capital to support that growth;

- the Company $s$ ability to successfully integrate the operations of any branches or banks acquired by it and to retain the customers of any such acquired branch or bank;
- changes in the quality or composition of the Company s loan or investment portfolios, including adverse developments in borrower industries or in the repayment ability of individual borrowers or issuers;
- an insufficient allowance for loan losses as a result of inaccurate assumptions;
- changes in interest rates, yield curves and interest rate spread relationships;
- the strength of the economies in the Company s target market areas, as well as general economic, market or business conditions;
- changes in demand for loan products and financial services;
- increased competition or market concentration;
- concentration of credit exposure;
- new state or federal legislation, regulations, or the initiation or outcome of litigation; and
- other circumstances, many of which may be beyond the Company s control.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any of the Company $s$ underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Company s actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this section and to the more detailed risk factors included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company does not intend to and assumes no responsibility for updating or
revising any forward-looking statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.
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## Presentation of Amounts

## All dollar amounts set forth below, other than per-share amounts, are in thousands unless otherwise noted.

## General

Greene County Bancshares, Inc. (the Company ) is the bank holding company for Greene County Bank (the Bank ), a Tennessee-chartered commercial bank that conducts the principal business of the Company. The Company is the third largest bank holding company headquartered in Tennessee. The Bank currently maintains a main office in Greeneville, Tennessee and 49 full-service bank branches primarily in East and Middle Tennessee. In addition to its commercial banking operations, the Bank conducts separate businesses through its three wholly-owned subsidiaries: Superior Financial Services, Inc. ( Superior Financial ), a consumer finance company; GCB Acceptance Corporation ( GCB Acceptance ), a subprime automobile lending company; and Fairway Title Co., a title company formed in 1998. The Bank also operates a mortgage banking operation which has its main office in Knox County, Tennessee, and a trust and money management function doing business as Presidents Trust from an office in Wilson County, Tennessee.

On November 21, 2003, the Company entered the Middle Tennessee market by completing its acquisition of Gallatin, Tennessee-based Independent Bankshares Corporation ( IBC ). IBC was the bank holding company for First Independent Bank, which had four offices in Gallatin and Hendersonville, Tennessee, in Sumner County, and Rutherford Bank and Trust, with three offices in Murfreesboro and Smyrna, Tennessee in Rutherford County. First Independent Bank and Rutherford Bank and Trust were subsequently merged with the Bank, with the Bank as the surviving entity.

On November 15, 2004 the Company established banking operations in Nashville, Tennessee, with the opening of its first full-service branch of Middle Tennessee Bank \& Trust, which, like all of the Bank s bank brands, operates within the Bank s structure. This branch in Davidson County, Tennessee expanded the Company s presence in the Middle Tennessee market and helped fill in the market between Sumner and Rutherford Counties. At June 30, 2006, the Bank had three Middle Tennessee Bank \& Trust branches in the Nashville area.

The Company opened a branch in Knoxville, Tennessee in late 2003 and expects to open its second branch in that city during 2006.

On December 10, 2004 the Company purchased three full-service branches from National Bank of Commerce located in Lawrence County Tennessee. This purchase ( NBC transaction ) added to the Bank s presence in Middle Tennessee.

On October 7, 2005, the Company purchased five bank branches in Montgomery County, Tennessee. This purchase (the Clarksville transaction ) also adds to the Bank s presence in Middle Tennessee.

## Growth and Business Strategy

The Company expects that, over the intermediate term, its growth from mergers and acquisitions, including acquisitions of both entire financial institutions and selected branches of financial institutions, will continue. De novo branching is also expected to be a method of growth, particularly in high-growth and other demographically-desirable markets.

The Company s strategic plan outlines geographic expansion within a 300 -mile radius of its headquarters in Greene County, Tennessee. This could result in the Company expanding westward and eastward up to and including Nashville, Tennessee and Roanoke, Virginia, respectively, east/southeast up to and including the Piedmont area of North Carolina and western North Carolina, southward to northern Georgia and northward into eastern and central Kentucky. In particular, the Company believes the markets in and around Knoxville, Nashville and Chattanooga, Tennessee are highly desirable areas with respect to expansion and growth plans.
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While the Bank operates under a single bank charter, it conducts business under 18 bank brands with a distinct community-based brand in almost every market. The Bank offers local decision making through the presence of its regional executives in each of its markets, while at the same time maintaining a cost effective organizational structure in its back office and support areas.

The Bank focuses its lending efforts predominately on individuals and small to medium-sized businesses while it generates deposits primarily from individuals in its local communities. To aid in deposit generation efforts, the Bank offers its customers extended hours of operation during the week as well as Saturday banking. The Bank also offers free online banking and in the beginning of 2005 established its High Performance Checking Program which has allowed it to continue to generate a significant number of core transaction accounts with significant balances.

In addition to the Company s business model, which is summarized in the paragraphs above, the Company is continuously investigating and analyzing other lines and areas of business. These include, but are not limited to, various types of insurance and real estate activities. Conversely, the Company frequently evaluates and analyzes the profitability, risk factors and viability of its various business lines and segments and, depending upon the results of these evaluations and analyses, may conclude to exit certain segments and/or business lines. Further, in conjunction with these ongoing evaluations and analyses, the Company may decide to sell, merge or close certain branch facilities.

## Overview

The Company s results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to the same period in 2005, reflected an increase in net interest income due primarily to organic loan growth, higher interest rates as a result of actions from the Federal Open Market Committee ( FOMC ) and the Company s continued expansion initiatives, including the Clarksville transaction in the fourth quarter of 2005. This increase in net interest income was offset, in part, by increases in noninterest expense from Company s expansion initiatives.

Reflecting improved credit quality offset in part by strong loan growth the Company s provision for loan losses decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. The provision for loan loss for the three months ended June 30 , 2006 increased by $\$ 184$ from the same period in 2005 , reflecting strong loan growth offset in part by improved credit quality.

The Company s net interest margin for the quarter and six months ended June 30,2006 increased from the same periods in 2005 , primarily as a result of the asset sensitivity of the Company and increases by the FOMC in key rates, as well as continued optimization of funding costs.

At June 30, 2006, the Company had total consolidated assets of approximately $\$ 1,665,630$, total consolidated deposits of approximately $\$ 1,283,606$, total consolidated loans, net of unearned interest, of approximately $\$ 1,437,935$, and total consolidated shareholders equity of approximately $\$ 176,889$. The Company s annualized return on average shareholders equity for the three and six months ended June 30 , 2006 , was $12.43 \%$ and $12.15 \%$, respectively, and its return on average total assets for the same periods was $1.34 \%$ and $1.31 \%$, respectively. The Company expects that its total assets, total consolidated deposits, total consolidated loans, net of unearned interest and total shareholders equity will continue to increase over the remainder of 2006 as a result of its expansion efforts, including its branch expansions in the Middle Tennessee, Knoxville, and Clarksville markets.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company s consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported periods.

Management continually evaluates the Company $s$ accounting policies and estimates it uses to prepare the consolidated financial statements. In general, management $s$ estimates are based on historical experience, information from regulators and third party professionals and various assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the facts and circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates made by management.
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The Company believes its critical accounting policies and estimates include the valuation of the allowance for loan losses and the fair value of financial instruments and other accounts. Based on management s calculation, an allowance of $\$ 20,834$, or $1.45 \%$, of total loans, net of unearned interest, was an adequate estimate of losses within the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2006. This estimate resulted in a provision for loan losses on the income statement of $\$ 1,244$ and $\$ 2,308$, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30,2006 . If the mix and amount of future charge-off percentages differ significantly from those assumptions used by management in making its determination, the allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses on the income statement could be materially affected.

The consolidated financial statements include certain accounting and disclosures that require management to make estimates about fair values. Estimates of fair value are used in the accounting for securities available for sale, loans held for sale, goodwill, other intangible assets, and acquisition purchase accounting adjustments. Estimates of fair values are used in disclosures regarding securities held to maturity, stock compensation, commitments, and the fair values of financial instruments. Fair values are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions such as interest rates, credit risk, prepayments and other factors. The fair values of financial instruments are subject to change as influenced by market conditions.

## Changes in Results of Operations

Net income. Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 5,483$ as compared to $\$ 3,714$ for the same period in 2005. This increase of $\$ 1,769$, or $47.63 \%$, resulted primarily from a $\$ 3,701$, or $26.30 \%$, increase in net interest income reflecting principally increased volume of interest-earning assets arising primarily from the Company s expansion initiatives and related growth in the loan portfolio. Offsetting this increase was a $\$ 2,257$, or $21.66 \%$, increase in total noninterest expense from $\$ 10,422$ for the three months ended June 30, 2005 to $\$ 12,679$ for the same period of 2006. This increase is also primarily attributable to the Company s expansion initiatives, as discussed above.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 10,579$ as compared to $\$ 6,649$ for the same period in 2005. The increase of $\$ 3,930$, or $59.11 \%$, reflects substantially the same trends that existed during the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

Net Interest Income. The largest source of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. The primary factors which affect net interest income are changes in volume and yields of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, which are affected in part by management s responses to changes in interest rates through asset/liability management. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, net interest income was $\$ 17,773$ as compared to $\$ 14,072$ for the same period in 2005 , representing an increase of $26.30 \%$.

The Company s average balance for interest-earning assets increased $20.38 \%$ from $\$ 1,234,493$ for the three months ended June 30,2005 to $\$ 1,486,031$ for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The Company experienced a $25.12 \%$ growth in average loan balances from $\$ 1,140,537$ for the three months ended June 30, 2005 to $\$ 1,426,984$ for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The growth in loans can be attributed to the Company s expansion initiatives, including the Clarksville transaction, that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2005.

The Company s average balance for interest bearing liabilities increased $17.60 \%$ from $\$ 1,095,925$ for the three months ended June 30, 2005 to $\$ 1,288,822$ for the first quarter ended June 30, 2006. The Company experienced a $13.09 \%$ growth in average interest bearing deposits from $\$ 999,911$ for the three months ended June 30, 2005 to $\$ 1,130,840$ for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The Company s expansion initiatives, including the Clarksville transaction, and the implementation of the High Performance Checking program, are the primary reasons for the growth in deposits.
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The Company s yield on loans (the largest component of interest-earning assets) increased by 83 basis points from the three months ended June 30,2005 to the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily a result of the increases by the FOMC in the discount rate as follows:

| FOMC Meeting Date | Beginning <br> Rate | Increase | Ending <br> Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 3, 2005 | 2.75 | \% 0.25 | \% 3.00 | \% |
| June 30, 2005 | 3.00 | \% 0.25 | \% 3.25 | \% |
| August 9, 2005 | 3.25 | \% 0.25 | \% 3.50 | \% |
| September 20, 2005 | 3.50 | \% 0.25 | \% 3.75 | \% |
| November 1, 2005 | 3.75 | \% 0.25 | \% 4.00 | \% |
| December 13, 2005 | 4.00 | \% 0.25 | \% 4.25 | \% |
| January 31, 2006 | 4.25 | \% 0.25 | \% 4.50 | \% |
| March 28, 2006 | 4.50 | \% 0.25 | \% 4.75 | \% |
| May 10, 2006 | 4.75 | \% 0.25 | \% 5.00 | \% |
| June 29, 2006 | 5.00 | \% 0.25 | \% 5.25 | \% |

The Company s cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased by 91 basis points from the three months ended June 30,2005 to the three months ended June 30, 2006. The cost of raising deposits and other borrowed funds are influenced by both local market conditions as well as FOMC actions. Management believes that these costs were prudently managed during this volatile interest rate cycle.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, net interest income increased by $\$ 7,560$, or $27.59 \%$, to $\$ 34,959$ from $\$ 27,399$ for the same period in 2005 , and the same trends outlined above with respect to the three months ended June 30, 2006 were observed.
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The following tables set forth certain information relating to the Company s consolidated average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. These yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average daily balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented.

|  | Three Months E <br> June 30, <br> 2006 <br> Average <br> Balance | Interest | Average <br> Rate |  | 2005 <br> Average <br> Balance | Interest | Average <br> Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans(1) | \$ 1,426,984 | \$ 27,781 | 7.81 | \% | \$ 1,140,537 | \$ 19,851 | 6.98 | \% |
| Investment securities | 54,571 | 649 | 4.77 | \% | 60,691 | 592 | 3.91 | \% |
| Other short-term investments | 4,476 | 59 | 5.29 | \% | 33,265 | 260 | 3.13 | \% |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 1,486,031 | \$ 28,489 | 7.69 | \% | \$ 1,234,493 | \$ 20,703 | 6.73 | \% |
| Noninterest earning assets | 146,520 |  |  |  | 104,107 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 1,632,551 |  |  |  | \$ 1,338,600 |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now accounts, money market and savings | \$ 505,383 | \$ 2,680 | 2.13 | \% | \$ 401,441 | \$ 1,161 | 1.16 | \% |
| Time deposits | 625,457 | 5,967 | 3.83 | \% | 598,470 | 4,340 | 2.91 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | \$ 1,130,840 | \$ 8,647 | 3.07 | \% | \$ 999,911 | \$ 5,501 | 2.21 | \% |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowings | 34,783 | 397 | 4.58 | \% | 15,014 | 95 | 2.54 | \% |
| Notes payable | 109,796 | 1,414 | 5.17 | \% | 70,656 | 879 | 4.99 | \% |
| Subordinated debentures | 13,403 | 258 | 7.72 | \% | 10,344 | 156 | 6.05 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 1,288,822 | \$ 10,716 | 3.34 | \% | \$ 1,095,925 | \$ 6,631 | 2.43 | \% |


| Noninterest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand deposits | 148,937 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 18,396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total noninterest bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 167,333 |  |  |  |  |  | 378 |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 1,456,155 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,303 |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 1,632,551 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,338,600 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ | 17,773 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 14,072 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread |  |  |  | 4.35 | \% |  |  |  |  | 4.30 | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets |  |  |  | 4.80 | \% |  |  |  |  | 4.57 | \% |

(1) Average loan balances included nonaccrual loans. Interest income collected on nonaccrual loans has been included.
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(1) Average loan balances included nonaccrual loans. Interest income collected on nonaccrual loans has been included.
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Provision for Loan Losses. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006, loan charge-offs were $\$ 790$ and $\$ 1,813$, respectively, and recoveries of charged-off loans were $\$ 297$ and $\$ 600$, respectively. The Company s provision for loan losses increased by $\$ 184$, or $17.36 \%$, to $\$ 1,244$ for the three months ended June 30,2006 , as compared to $\$ 1,060$ for the same periods in 2005 . For the six months ended June 30, 2006 the provision decreased by $\$ 374$, or $13.94 \%$, to $\$ 2,308$ from $\$ 2,682$ for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The Company s allowance for loan losses increased by $\$ 1,095$ to $\$ 20,834$ at June 30, 2006 from $\$ 19,739$ at December 31, 2005, while the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans, net of unearned income, remained relatively constant at $1.45 \%$ at June 30, 2006 compared to $1.43 \%$ and $1.46 \%$ at December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively. As of June 30, 2006, indicators of credit quality, as discussed below, have improved compared to December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005.
Management continually evaluates the Company s credit policies and procedures for effective risks and controls management. The Company $s$ trend in asset quality improvement is attributable to improved underwriting policies and management controls. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was $426.84 \%, 296.56 \%$ and $235.95 \%$ at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively, and the ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was $0.47 \%, 0.65 \%$ and $0.69 \%$ at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans, net of unearned interest, was $0.34 \%, 0.49 \%$ and $0.62 \%$ at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively. Within the Bank, the Company s largest subsidiary, the ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was $0.43 \%, 0.59 \%$ and $0.64 \%$ at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

The Company s year-to-date ( YTD ) annualized net charge-offs for June 30, 2006 were $\$ 2,426$ compared to actual net charge-offs of $\$ 3,814$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. YTD annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans improved from $0.27 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2005 to $0.17 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were $0.32 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. Within the Bank, annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans fell slightly from $0.16 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2005 to $0.12 \%$ for the same period in 2006. Net charge-offs within the Bank as a percentage of average loans were $0.21 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. YTD annualized net charge-offs in the Bank for June 30, 2006 were $\$ 1,638$ compared to actual net charge-offs of $\$ 2,490$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. YTD annualized net charge-offs in Superior Financial for June 30, 2006 were $\$ 143$ compared to actual net charge-offs of $\$ 441$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. YTD annualized net charge-offs in GCB Acceptance for June 30, 2006 were $\$ 645$ compared to actual net charge-offs of $\$ 883$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. At this point, management believes that total charge-offs for 2006 in Superior Financial and GBC Acceptance will slightly improve compared to 2005 charge-offs based on asset quality trends.

Based on the Company s allowance for loan loss calculation and review of the loan portfolio, management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2006. Management anticipates that the provision for loan losses during the third quarter of 2006 will be consistent with the second quarter of 2006 and also anticipates that the provision for loan losses for the entire year of 2006 may be less than the provision for 2005 if indicators of credit quality remain stabilized. However, the provision for loan losses could increase for the entire year of 2006, as compared to 2005 , if the Company s loan growth continues at the rate experienced through the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Noninterest Income. Income that is not related to interest-earning assets, consisting primarily of service charges, commissions and fees, has become an important supplement to the Company s traditional method of earning income through interest rate spreads.

Total noninterest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 5,028$ and $\$ 9,783$ as compared to $\$ 3,463$ and $\$ 6,639$, respectively, for the same periods in 2005. Service charges, commissions and fees remain the largest component of total noninterest income and increased from $\$ 2,836$ and $\$ 4,978$ for the three and six months, respectively, ended June 30, 2005 to $\$ 4,001$ and $\$ 7,232$, respectively, for the same periods in 2006. This increase primarily reflects additional service charges and NSF fees from deposit-related products stemming primarily from increased volume as a result of the Bank s High Performance Checking Program introduced in the first quarter of 2005 and also its expansion efforts. The Company believes that noninterest income will continue to improve over the second half of 2006 when compared to prior comparable periods as a result of the increased volume in deposits resulting from the Bank s expansion efforts and its High Performance Checking Program. In addition, other noninterest income increased by $\$ 400$ and $\$ 890$ to $\$ 1,027$ and $\$ 2,551$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, from $\$ 627$ and $\$ 1,661$ for the same periods in 2005. The increase is primarily attributable to increased fees from the sale of mutual funds and annuities, income from our check provider and a gain on the sale of foreclosed equipment.
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Noninterest Expense. Control of noninterest expense also is an important aspect in enhancing income. Noninterest expense includes personnel, occupancy, and other expenses such as data processing, printing and supplies, legal and professional fees, postage, FDIC assessment, etc. Total noninterest expense was $\$ 12,679$ and $\$ 25,385$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 10,422$ and $\$ 20,697$ for the same periods in 2005 . The $\$ 2,257$, or $21.66 \%$, increase in total noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period of 2005 principally reflects increases in all expense categories primarily as a result of the Company s expansion program as well as costs associated with the Bank s High Performance Checking Program, which the Company expects will continue for the remainder of 2006. This program is designed to generate significant numbers and balances of core transaction accounts.

Similarly, the $\$ 4,688$ or $22.65 \%$, increase in total noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 reflects substantially the same trends that existed during the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

Personnel costs are the primary element of the Company s noninterest expenses. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, salaries and benefits represented $\$ 6,266$, or $49.42 \%$, and $\$ 12,657$, or $49.86 \%$, respectively, of total noninterest expense. This was an increase of $\$ 1,167$, or $22.89 \%$, and $\$ 2,313$, or $22.36 \%$, respectively, from the $\$ 5,099$ and $\$ 10,344$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005. Including Bank branches and non-bank office locations, the Company had 60 locations at June 30, 2006 and at December 31, 2005, as compared to 53 at June 30, 2005, and the number of full-time equivalent employees increased $25.05 \%$ from 487 at June 30, 2005 to 609 at June 30, 2006. These increases in personnel costs, number of branches and employees are primarily the result of the Company s expansion initiative and are expected to increase for the remainder of 2006 as a result of the Bank s acquisition of five Clarksville, Tennessee branches from Old National Bank and continued expansion efforts in the Nashville area and Knoxville.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R Share-Based Payment. SFAS No. 123R requires recognition of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity incentives in the financial statements over the period the employee is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively the vesting period). The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective application as permitted under SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, the Company is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company used the intrinsic value method as prescribed by APB No. 25 and thus recognized no compensation expense for options granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The compensation cost that was charged against income for our stock option plans was $\$ 93$ and $\$ 185$ for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006. The amount of compensation cost that would have been recognized for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 if SFAS No. 123 had been adopted was $\$ 148$ and $\$ 214$. The total compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized at June 30,2006 is $\$ 1,210$ which will be recognized over the weighted average period of approximately 2.9 years. See Note 2 Stock Compensation in the consolidated financial statements for further information.

The Company s efficiency ratio improved from $60.81 \%$ at June 30,2005 to $56.74 \%$ at June 30,2006 . The improvement in the efficiency ratio is a result of the higher level of earnings generated given the current operating infrastructure in place in the six month period ended June 30, 2006 versus the higher initial start up costs incurred in the six month period ended June 30, 2005 relating to the company s High Performance Checking promotion plus the initial costs incurred in expanding the company s presence in Middle Tennessee. The efficiency ratio illustrates how much it cost the Company to generate revenue; for example, it cost the Company 56.74 cents to generate one dollar of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was $38.24 \%$ and $37.95 \%$, respectively, compared to $38.64 \%$ and $37.63 \%$ for the same periods in 2005.

## Changes in Financial Condition

Total assets at June 30, 2006 were $\$ 1,665,630$, an increase of $\$ 45,641$, or $2.82 \%$, from total assets of $\$ 1,619,989$ at December 31, 2005. The increase in assets was primarily reflective of the $\$ 59,293$, or $4.30 \%$, increase
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in loans, net of unearned interest, and was funded by federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and FHLB borrowings.
At June 30, 2006, loans, net of unearned interest were $\$ 1,437,935$ compared to $\$ 1,378,642$ at December 31, 2005, an increase of $\$ 59,293$, or $4.30 \%$, from December 31, 2005. The increase in loans during the first six months of 2006 primarily reflects an increase in commercial real estate loans and commercial loans.

Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans and loans 90 or more days past due. All loans that are 90 days past due are considered non-accrual unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of principal and interest. Non-accrual loans that are 120 days past due without assurance of repayment are charged off against the allowance for loan losses. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days and still accruing decreased by $\$ 1,843$, or $27.41 \%$, during the six months ended June 30, 2006 to $\$ 4,881$ from $\$ 6,724$ at December 31, 2005. At June 30, 2006, the ratio of the Company s allowance for loan losses to non-performing assets (which include non-accrual loans) was $263.55 \%$.

The Company maintains an investment portfolio to provide liquidity and earnings. Investments at June 30, 2006 with an amortized cost of $\$ 47,781$ had a market value of $\$ 47,513$. At December 31, 2005, investments with an amortized cost of $\$ 52,844$ had a market value of $\$ 52,202$. The decrease in investments from December 31, 2005 to June 30, 2006 results from the maturity of various securities that the Company decided not to reinvest.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows the Company to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. The Company s liquid assets include cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, investment securities and loans held for sale. Including securities pledged to collateralize municipal deposits, these assets represented $8.16 \%$ of the total liquidity base at June 30, 2006, as compared to $9.49 \%$ at December 31, 2005. The liquidity base is generally defined to include deposits, repurchase agreements, notes payable and subordinated debentures. In addition, the Company maintains borrowing availability with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ( FHLB ) of approximating $\$ 10$ at June 30, 2006. The Company also maintains federal funds lines of credit totaling $\$ 126,000$ at nine correspondent banks, of which $\$ 100,000$ was available at June 30, 2006. The Company believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy its current operating needs.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, operating activities of the Company provided $\$ 10,984$ of cash flows. Net income of $\$ 10,579$ comprised a substantial portion of the cash generated from operations. Cash flows from operating activities were also positively affected by various non-cash items, including (i) $\$ 2,308$ in provision for loan losses, and (ii) $\$ 2,077$ of depreciation and amortization. These increases in cash flows were offset by (i) $\$ 492$ decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities, (ii) $\$ 2,724$ increase in other assets, and (iii) deferred tax benefit of $\$ 874$. In addition, the cash flows provided by the proceeds from sales of mortgage loans exceeded the cash flows used by the originations of mortgage loans held for sale by $\$ 1,023$.

The Company s net increase in loans used $\$ 62,397$ in cash flows and was the primary component of the $\$ 59,126$ in net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006. In addition, the Company purchased $\$ 5,948$ in investment securities available for sale. This was offset by (i) $\$ 985$ in proceeds from the sale of investments securities available for sale (ii) $\$ 9,386$ in proceeds from the maturities of investment securities available for sale, and (iii) $\$ 640$ in proceeds from the maturities of securities held to maturity. Purchases of fixed asset additions, net of proceeds from sale of other real estate, used $\$ 1,737$ in cash flows.

Proceeds from notes payable of $\$ 195,900$ and increases in federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements of $\$ 33,635$ were the primary source of cash flows from financing activities. These cash flows were offset, in part, by the excess of repayments of notes payable in the amount of $\$ 177,765$ and a decrease in deposits of $\$ 12,273$. In addition, dividends paid in the amount of $\$ 2,348$ further reduced the total net cash provided from financing activities.

Capital Resources. The Company s capital position is reflected in its shareholders equity, subject to certain adjustments for regulatory purposes. Shareholders equity, or capital, is a measure of the Company s net worth, soundness and
viability. The Company continues to exhibit a strong capital position while consistently paying dividends to its shareholders. Further, the capital base of the Company allows it to take advantage of
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business opportunities while maintaining the level of resources deemed appropriate by management of the Company to address business risks inherent in the Company s daily operations.

On September 25, 2003, the Company issued $\$ 10,310$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2033, bear interest at a floating rate of $2.85 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years from the date of issuance without penalty. The Company used the proceeds of the offering to support its acquisition of IBC, and the capital raised from the offering qualifies as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes.

On June 28, 2005, the Company issued an additional $\$ 3,093$ of subordinated debentures, as part of a privately placed pool of trust preferred securities. The securities, due in 2035, bear interest at a floating rate of $1.68 \%$ above the three-month LIBOR rate, reset quarterly, and are callable in five years from the date of issuance without penalty. The Company used the proceeds to augment its capital position in connection with its significant asset growth, and the capital raised from the offering qualifies as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes.

On September 28, 2005, the Company consummated the sale of $1,833,043$ shares of its common stock in a public offering in which it received proceeds, after deducting the underwriting discount and the expenses of the offering, of approximately $\$ 44,100$. The Company contributed approximately $\$ 35,000$ of these net proceeds to the Bank to provide capital for the Clarksville transaction. On October 19, 2005, the underwriters in the public offering exercised their option to cover over-allotments and the Company sold an additional 274,957 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of approximately $\$ 6,700$.

Shareholders equity on June 30,2006 was $\$ 176,889$, an increase of $\$ 8,868$, or $5.28 \%$, from $\$ 168,021$ on December 31,2005 . The increase in shareholders equity primarily reflected net income for the six months ended June 30, 2006 of $\$ 10,579$ ( $\$ 1.07$ per share, assuming dilution). This increase was offset by quarterly dividend payments during the six months ended June 30, 2006 totaling $\$ 2,348$ ( $\$ 0.24$ per share).

On September 18, 2002 the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 2,000$ of the Company s outstanding shares of common stock beginning in October 2002. The repurchase plan was renewed by the Board of Directors in September 2003. On June 4, 2005 the Company announced that its Board of Directors had approved an increase in the amount authorized to be repurchased from $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 5,000$. The repurchase plan is dependent upon market conditions. To date, the Company has purchased 25,700 shares at an aggregate cost of approximately $\$ 538$ under this program, which was renewed by the Company s Board of Directors on November 15, 2005. Unless extended, the repurchase program will terminate on the earlier to occur of the Company s repurchase of the total authorized dollar amount of the Company s common stock or December 1, 2006.

The Company s primary source of liquidity is dividends paid by the Bank. Applicable Tennessee statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by the Bank. Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the Bank to maintain its compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a well-capitalized institution.

Risk-based capital regulations adopted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board ( FRB ) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC ) require bank holding companies and banks, respectively, to achieve and maintain specified ratios of capital to risk-weighted assets. The risk-based capital rules are designed to measure Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital in relation to the credit risk of both on- and off-balance sheet items. Under the guidelines, one of four risk weights is applied to the different on-balance sheet items. Off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments, are also subject to risk-weighting after conversion to balance sheet equivalent amounts. All bank holding companies and banks must maintain a minimum total capital to total risk-weighted assets ratio of $8.00 \%$, at least half of which must be in the form of core, or Tier 1, capital (consisting of common equity, retained earnings, and a limited amount of qualifying perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred securities, net of goodwill and other intangible assets and accumulated other comprehensive income). These guidelines also specify that bank holding companies that are experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels. At June 30, 2006, the Bank and the Company each satisfied their respective minimum regulatory capital requirements, and the Bank was well-capitalized within the meaning of federal regulatory requirements. The table below sets forth the capital position of the Bank and the Company at June 30, 2006.

|  | Required <br> Minimum <br> Ratio | Required <br> to be <br> Well Capitalized | Bank |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The FRB has recently issued regulations which will allow continued inclusion of outstanding and prospective issuances of trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital subject to stricter quantitative and qualitative limits than allowed under prior regulations. The new limits will phase in over a five-year transition period and would permit the Company s trust preferred securities to continue to be treated as Tier 1 capital.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 30, 2006, the Company had outstanding unused lines of credit and standby letters of credit totaling $\$ 431,886$ and unfunded loan commitments outstanding of $\$ 76,465$. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Company has the ability to liquidate federal funds sold or securities available-for-sale or, on a short-term basis, to borrow any then available amounts from the FHLB and/or purchase Federal funds from other financial institutions. At June 30, 2006, the Company had accommodations with upstream correspondent banks for unsecured federal funds lines. These accommodations have various covenants related to their term and availability, and in most cases must be repaid within less than a month. The following table presents additional information about the Company s off-balance sheet commitments as of June 30, 2006, which by their terms have contractual maturity dates subsequent to June 30, 2006:

|  |  | Less than <br> 1 Year |  | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years |  | More than <br> 5 Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commitments to make loans | fixed | \$ | 29,529 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 29,529 |  |
| Commitments to make loans | variable. | 46,936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unused lines of credit |  |  | 190 | 74,462 |  |  |  |  |  | ,440 |
| Letters of credit |  |  |  | 16,961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | \$ | 365,140 | \$ 91,423 | \$ | 5,659 | \$ | 46,129 | \$ | 508,351 |

## Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

In the ordinary course of operations, the Company enters into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include the funding of operations through debt issuances as well as leases for premises and equipment. The following table summarizes the Company s significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations as of June 30, 2006:

|  | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits without a stated maturity | \$ 648,131 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 648,131 |
| Certificate of deposits | 522,109 | 58,754 | 49,322 | 5,290 | 635,475 |
| Repurchase agreements | 25,133 |  |  |  | 25,133 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable | 60,778 | 791 | 55,344 | 6,368 | 123,281 |
| Subordinated debentures |  |  |  | 13,403 | 13,403 |
| Operating lease obligations | 593 | 757 | 279 | 283 | 1,912 |
| Deferred compensation | 495 | 1,356 |  | 1,062 | 2,913 |
| Purchase obligations | 45 |  |  |  | 45 |
| Total | \$ 1,257,284 | \$ 61,658 | \$ 104,945 | \$ 26,406 | \$ 1,450,293 |
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Additionally, the Company routinely enters into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for early termination of the contract. Management is not aware of any additional commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on the liquidity or capital resources of the Company.

## Effect of New Accounting Standards

In November 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Staff Position (FSP) FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, the Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, which amends SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and No. 124, Accounting for Certain Investments Held by Not-for-Profit Organizations, and APB Opinion No. 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock. This FSP addresses the determination as to when an investment is considered impaired, whether the impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary impairments. The guidance in this FSP is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of FSP FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1 did not have a material impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. This statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS No. 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a voluntary change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods for changes in accounting principles or error corrections, unless it is impractical to determine the period-specific effects or when a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions. This Statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 (revised 2004) did not have a material impact on its financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

## ITEM 3.

A comprehensive qualitative and quantitative analysis regarding market risk was disclosed in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. No material changes in the assumptions used in preparing, or results obtained from, the model have occurred since December 31, 2005.

Actual results for the year ending December 31, 2006 will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude, and frequency of interest rate changes, as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by it in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the Company s fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these pending claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to our risk factors as previously described in Part I, Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company made no unregistered sales of its equity securities or repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

## Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company was held on April 26, 2006. The following proposals were considered by shareholders at the Annual Meeting:

Proposal 1 Election of Directors
The following directors were re-elected:

|  | Votes |  | Broker <br> Non-Votes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Martha M. Bachman | For | Withheld | 181,275 |
| Charles S. Brooks | $7,453,953$ | 246,100 |  |
| W.T. Daniels | $7,389,129$ | 196,142 |  |
| Robin Haynes | $7,439,086$ | 109,420 |  |
| Charles H. Whitfield, Jr. | $7,525,808$ | 248,923 |  |
| Robert K. Leonard | $7,386,305$ | 140,394 |  |

The following directors will continue in office until the annual shareholders meeting for the year indicated:

| Mr. Phil M. Bachman | 2007 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mr. Terry Leonard | 2007 |
| Mr. Ronald E. Mayberry | 2007 |
| Mr. Kenneth R. Vaught | 2007 |
| Bruce Campbell | 2008 |
| Jerald K. Jaynes | 2008 |
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## Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. 31.1 | $\underline{\text { Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d- }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\underline{\underline{14(a)}}$ |
| Exhibit No. 31.2 | $\underline{\text { Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d- }}$ |
| Exhibit No. 32.1 | $\underline{\text { Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section }}$ |
|  | $\underline{\underline{1350}, \text { as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of }}$ |
|  | $\underline{2002}$ |
| Exhibit No. 32.2 | $\underline{\text { Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section }}$ |
|  | $\underline{1350, \text { as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of }}$ |
| $\underline{2002}$ |  |

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 8, 2006

Date: August 8, 2006
Greene County Bancshares, Inc.
Registrant

By: /s/ R. Stan Puckett
R. Stan Puckett

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Duly authorized representative)
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