TAL International Group, Inc. Form 10-Q October 28, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from to Commission file number- 001-32638

# TAL International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in the charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1796526

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

100 Manhattanville Road, Purchase, New York

(Address of principal executive office)

10577-2135

(Zip Code)

(914) 251-9000

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer ý Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a

smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO ý

As of October 24, 2011, there were 36,399,903 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$.001 par value outstanding.

#### Table of Contents

### TAL International Group, Inc.

#### Index

		Page No.
	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	$\frac{\overline{4}}{4}$
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and	
	<u>September 30, 2010</u>	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and	
	<u>September 30, 2010</u>	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>19</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>40</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>41</u>
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>42</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>42</u>
Signature		<u>44</u>
	2	

#### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. In addition, we, or our executive officers on our behalf, may from time to time make forward-looking statements in reports and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or in connection with oral statements made to the press, potential investors or others. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, including statements regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. The words "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "predict," "believe," "think," "plan," "will," "should," "intend," "seek," "potential" and similar expressions and variations are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

Forward-looking statements in this report are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 18, 2011, in this report as well as in the other documents we file with the SEC from time to time, and such risks and uncertainties are specifically incorporated herein by reference.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws and rules and regulations of the SEC, we undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information. We caution you not to unduly rely on the forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this report.

#### PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements of TAL International Group, Inc. ("TAL" or the "Company") as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 included herein have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the rules and regulations of the SEC. In addition, certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results for the interim periods. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC, on February 18, 2011, from which the accompanying December 31, 2010 Balance Sheet information was derived, and all of our other filings filed with the SEC from October 11, 2005 through the current date pursuant to the Exchange Act.

#### TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

#### **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

#### (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

#### (Unaudited)

	Se	September 30, 2011		ecember 31, 2010
ASSETS:				
Leasing equipment, net of accumulated depreciation				
and allowances of \$594,033 and \$511,634	\$	2,648,379	\$	2,086,194
Net investment in finance leases, net of allowances of				
\$1,138 and \$1,169		155,181		171,417
Equipment held for sale		38,313		29,220
Revenue earning assets		2,841,873		2,286,831
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash of		,- ,		,,
\$35,586 and \$23,018)		98,964		85,612
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$636 and				
\$429		60,936		48,311
Goodwill		71,898		71,898
Deferred financing costs		23,200		17,802
Other assets		2,598		4,737
Fair value of derivative instruments		704		2,024
Total assets	\$	3,100,173	\$	2,517,215
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Equipment purchases payable	\$	22,698	\$	57,756
Fair value of derivative instruments		81,113		61,647
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses		59,575		59,329
Net deferred income tax liability		179,452		139,741
Debt		2,214,495		1,770,332
Total liabilities		2,557,333		2,088,805
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 500,000 shares				
authorized, none issued				
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares				
authorized, 36,411,746 and 33,725,066 shares issued				
respectively		36		34
Treasury stock, at cost, 3,011,843 shares		(37,535)		(37,535)
Additional paid-in capital		488,524		399,816
Accumulated earnings		101,951		76,053
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(10,136)		(9,958)
Total stockholders' equity		542,840		428,410
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	3,100,173	\$	2,517,215

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

#### TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

#### **Consolidated Statements of Operations**

#### (Dollars and shares in thousands, except earnings per share)

#### (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	2011		2010	
Revenues:							
Leasing revenues:							
Operating leases	\$ 116,850	\$	81,250	\$ 314,468	\$	220,094	
Finance leases	4,061		4,448	12,531		13,962	
Total leasing revenues	120,911		85,698	326,999		234,056	
Equipment trading revenue	16,121		9,273	53,214		25,967	
Management fee income	683		786	2,122		2,279	
Other revenues	37		45	166		462	
Other revenues	31		43	100		402	
<b>Total revenues</b>	137,752		95,802	382,501		262,764	
Operating expenses							
(income):	12.000		7 575	42.202		22.420	
Equipment trading expenses	13,900		7,575	43,283		22,428	
Direct operating expenses	5,112		5,032	13,575		19,849	
Administrative expenses	10,964		9,979	32,139		31,077	
Depreciation and	44.0=4		24 400	400.006		0 < = 10	
amortization	41,872		31,489	109,286		86,742	
Provision (reversal) for				4 = 0		(= <0)	
doubtful accounts	17		(162)	158		(760)	
Net (gain) on sale of leasing							
equipment	(14,875)		(8,547)	(39,659)		(20,250)	
Total operating expenses	56,990		45,366	158,782		139,086	
Total operating expenses	30,770		15,500	130,702		137,000	
Operating income	80,762		50,436	223,719		123,678	
Other expenses (income):	,		,	,		ĺ	
Interest and debt expense	28,504		21,793	77,985		56,608	
Write-off of deferred	,		,,,,	,,,		,	
financing costs	1,043		675	1,043		675	
Net loss on interest rate	,			,			
swaps	23,229		9,709	30,361		31,495	
•	,		ŕ	,		,	
Total other expenses	52,776		32,177	109,389		88,778	
	,,		,	,		,	
Income before income taxes	27,986		18,259	114,330		34,900	
Income tax expense	9,907		6,482	40,473		12,572	
Net income	\$ 18,079	\$	11,777	\$ 73,857	\$	22,328	
Net income per common							
share Basic	\$ 0.55	\$	0.39	\$ 2.29	\$	0.73	

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income per common share Diluted	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 2.27	\$ 0.73
Weighted average number of				
common shares				
outstanding Basic	33,085	30,443	32,188	30,436
Weighted average number of				
common shares				
outstanding Diluted	33,475	30,750	32,603	30,656
Cash dividends paid per				
common share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.35	\$ 1.47	\$ 0.90

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

#### **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

#### (Dollars in thousands)

#### (Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,			
		2011		2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		2011		2010
Net income	\$	73,857	\$	22,328
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash				
provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		109,286		86,742
Amortization of deferred financing costs		3,539		1,478
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment		(39,659)		(20,250)
Net loss on interest rate swaps		30,361		31,495
Write-off of deferred financing costs		1,043		675
Realized loss on interest rate swaps terminated prior				
to their contractual maturities		(12,524)		
Deferred income taxes		39,820		12,572
Stock compensation charge		1,739		1,384
Net equipment purchased for resale activity		(12,885)		(2,835)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		(15,178)		(16,650)
Net cash provided by operating activities		179,399		116,939
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of leasing equipment		(755,780)		(454,517)
Investments in finance leases		(3,766)		(433)
Proceeds from sale of equipment, net of selling costs		93,109		73,004
Cash collections on finance lease receivables, net of				
income earned		27,004		24,648
Other		40		(180)
Net cash used in investing activities		(639,393)		(357,478)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Issuance of common stock		85,524		
Common stock dividends paid		(47,496)		(27,394)
Financing fees paid under debt facilities		(9,980)		(8,665)
Borrowings under debt facilities		851,399		476,000
Payments under debt facilities		(395,048)		(232,639)
Proceeds received from sale-leaseback transactions		(=,=,=,=)		40,013
Payments under capital lease obligations		(12,300)		(7,554)
Stock options exercised		1,247		(1)-1
(Increase) in restricted cash		(12,568)		(7,351)
Net cash provided by financing activities		460,778		232,410
Net increase (decrease) in unrestricted cash and cash				
equivalents	\$	784	\$	(8,129)
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of				
period		62,594		59,890

Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of		
period	\$ 63,378	\$ 51,761

#### Supplemental non-cash investing activities:

Accrued and unpaid purchases of equipment \$ 22,698 \$ 164,507

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

6

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Note 1 Description of the Business, Basis of Presentation, Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

#### A. Description of the Business

TAL International Group, Inc. ("TAL" or the "Company") leases intermodal transportation equipment, primarily maritime containers, and provides maritime container management services, through a worldwide network of offices, third party depots and other facilities. The Company operates in both international and domestic markets. The majority of the Company's business is derived from leasing its containers to shipping line customers through a variety of long-term and short-term contractual lease arrangements. The Company also sells its own containers and containers purchased from third parties for resale. TAL also enters into management agreements with third party container owners under which the Company manages the leasing and selling of containers on behalf of the third party owners.

#### **B.** Basis of Presentation

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring items) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the unaudited condensed financial statements.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the accompanying prior period financial statements and notes to conform to the current year's presentation.

Effective October 1, 2010, the Company increased the residual value estimates used in its equipment depreciation policy. The impact of the change in residual value estimates resulted in a decrease of depreciation expense of approximately \$5.5 million (\$3.5 million after tax or \$0.11 per fully diluted share) in the third quarter of 2011 and approximately \$16.3 million (\$10.5 million after tax or \$0.32 per fully diluted share) in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

#### C. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 ("ASU 2011-05"), *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)*. This update requires presentation of the components of net income, other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The presentation required by ASU 2011-05 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-05 to impact its consolidated financial results as it is presentation-only in nature.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-08 ("ASU 2011-08), *Testing Goodwill for Impairment*. This update allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. ASU 2011-08 permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 1 Description of the Business, Basis of Presentation, Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-08.

#### Note 2 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company believes the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, net investment in finance leases and other assets approximated fair value as of September 30, 2011.

The Company estimates that at September 30, 2011, the carrying value of the Company's debt instruments was approximately \$10.1 million higher than its fair value. The Company estimated the fair value of its debt instruments based on the net present value of its future debt payments, using a discount rate which reflects the Company's estimate of current market interest rates as of September 30, 2011.

#### Note 3 Dividends

The Company paid the following quarterly dividends during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 on its issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	· Share yment
September 1, 2011	September 22, 2011	\$ 17.2 million	\$ 0.52
June 2, 2011	June 23, 2011	\$ 16.5 million	\$ 0.50
March 3, 2011	March 24, 2011	\$ 13.8 million	\$ 0.45
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$ 0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$ 0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$ 0.25

#### Note 4 Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The Company records compensation cost relating to stock-based payment transactions in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 718 (ASC 718) *Compensation Stock Compensation*. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award).

The following compensation costs were reported in administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations related to the Company's stock-based compensation plans as a

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **Note 4 Stock-Based Compensation Plans (Continued)**

result of the modification of certain stock options during 2010, and restricted shares granted during the years 2009, 2010 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine M End Septem	ded	
	2	011	2010		2011			2010
Stock options	\$		\$	128	\$		\$	140
Restricted stock		545		456		1,739		1,244
Total	\$	545	\$	584	\$	1,739	\$	1,384

Total unrecognized compensation cost of approximately \$3.6 million as of September 30, 2011 related to 314,250 restricted shares granted during 2009, 2010 and 2011 will be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of approximately 1.3 years.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company issued 3,773 and 67,680 net shares of common stock due to stock option exercises, respectively.

#### Note 5 Net Investment in Finance Leases

The following table represents the components of the net investment in finance leases (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011			cember 31, 2010
Gross finance lease receivables	\$	199,603	\$	223,611
Allowance on gross finance lease receivables(1)		(1,138)		(1,169)
Gross finance lease receivables, net of allowance		198,465		222,442
Unearned income		(43,284)		(51,025)
Net investment in finance leases	\$	155,181	\$	171,417

The Company evaluates potential losses in its finance lease portfolio by regularly reviewing the specific receivables in the portfolio and analyzing historical loss experience. For the period 2004 through the third quarter of 2011, the Company's loss experience on its gross finance lease receivables, after considering equipment recoveries, was less than 1%. Net investment in finance lease receivables is generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collection of the full balance is remote.

In order to estimate its allowance for losses contained in the gross finance lease receivables, the Company categorizes the credit worthiness of the receivables in the portfolio based on internal customer credit ratings, which are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, on an ongoing basis. The internal customer credit ratings are developed based on a review of the financial performance and condition, operating environment, geographical location and trade routes of our customers.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **Note 5** Net Investment in Finance Leases (Continued)

The categories of gross finance lease receivables based on the Company's internal customer credit ratings can be described as follows:

Tier 1 These customers are typically large international shipping lines who have been in business for many years and have world class operating capabilities and significant financial resources. In most cases, the Company has had a long commercial relationship with these customers and currently maintains regular communication with them at several levels of management which provides TAL with insight into the customer's current operating and financial performance. In the Company's view, these customers have the greatest ability to withstand cyclical downturns and would likely have greater access to needed capital than lower rated customers. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 1 customers to range from minimal to modest.

Tier 2 These customers are typically either smaller shipping lines with less operating scale or shipping lines with a high degree of financial leverage, and accordingly the Company views these customers as subject to higher volatility in financial performance over the business cycle. The Company generally expects these customers to have less access to capital markets or other sources of financing during cyclical down turns. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 2 customers as moderate.

*Tier 3* Customers in this category exhibit volatility in payments on a regular basis, thus they are considered non-performing. The Company has initiated or implemented plans to recover equipment on lease to these customers and believes that default is likely, or has already occurred.

Based on the above categories, the Company's gross finance lease receivables as of September 30, 2011, are as follows (in thousands):

Tier 1	\$ 138,832
Tier 2	60,771
Tier 3	

\$ 199,603

The Company considers an account past due when a payment has not been received in accordance with the terms of the related lease agreement. As of September 30, 2011, approximately \$0.1 million of the Company's Tier 1 gross finance lease receivables and \$0.4 million of the Company's Tier 2 gross finance lease receivables were past due, substantially all of which were aged approximately 31 days. Gross finance lease receivables that were in non-accrual status as of September 30, 2011 were immaterial. The Company recognizes income on gross finance lease receivables in non-accrual status as collections are made.

The following table represents the activity of the Company's allowance on gross finance lease receivables for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (in thousands):

, 0			(Write-offs) Reversals	Other	Ending Balance
\$ 1,169	\$	(31)	\$	\$	\$ 1,138
	10				
	Beginning Balance	Balance (Rever	<b>Balance</b> ( <b>Reversals</b> )  \$ 1,169 \$ (31)	Balance (Reversals) Reversals  \$ 1,169 \$ (31) \$	Balance (Reversals) Reversals Other  \$ 1,169 \$ (31) \$ \$

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 6 Debt

Debt consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

	Sep	tember 30, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Asset backed securitization term notes (ABS)	\$	1,266,656	\$	984,880
Term loan facilities		445,820		441,133
Asset backed warehouse facility		292,500		122,500
Revolving credit facility		90,000		90,000
Capital lease obligations		119,519		131,819
Total Debt	\$	2.214.495	\$	1.770.332

As of September 30, 2011 we had \$917.5 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates and \$1,297.0 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (such as LIBOR). We economically hedge the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on a portion of our floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap contracts that convert our floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of September 30, 2011, we had interest rate swaps in place with a total notional value of \$955.8 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt obligations.

The Company is subject to certain financial covenants under its debt facilities and as of September 30, 2011, was in compliance with all such covenants.

#### Asset Backed Securitization Term Notes

In January and May 2011, the Company issued \$174 million and \$235 million of fixed rate secured notes under the Asset Backed Securitization ("ABS") facilities, respectively. The notes, which were rated "A" by Standard & Poor's, were issued at par, have annual interest rates of 4.60% and 4.31%, and have scheduled maturities of January 2021 and May 2021, respectively.

#### Asset Backed Warehouse Facility

On August 12, 2011, the Company renewed its \$400 million asset backed warehouse facility. Under the renewed facility, the period in which funds are available on a revolving basis has been extended to August 12, 2013, after which if the facility is not refinanced, the notes will convert to term notes with a maturity date of August 12, 2017. The term notes amortize on a level basis over the four year term period to 60% of the outstanding balance.

The interest rate on the notes is LIBOR plus 2.25% during the two-year revolving period and will increase for the term period. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, financial covenants, representations and warranties, and events of default, which are subject to various exceptions and qualifications.

#### Term Loan Facilities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company entered into two delayed draw term loan agreements with financial institutions that mature in 2018. As of September 30, 2011, the

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 6 Debt (Continued)

Company had borrowed \$49.9 million under one of these facilities and had additional available borrowing capacity of \$100 million under the other facility.

#### **Note 7 Derivative Instruments**

#### **Interest Rate Swaps**

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk exposure. The interest rate swap agreements utilized by TAL effectively modify the Company's exposure to interest rate risk by converting its floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. These agreements involve the receipt of floating rate amounts in exchange for fixed rate interest payments over the lives of the agreements without an exchange of the underlying principal amounts. The counterparties to these agreements are highly rated financial institutions. In the unlikely event that the counterparties fail to meet the terms of the interest rate swap agreements, the Company's exposure is limited to the interest rate differential on the notional amount at each monthly settlement period over the life of the agreements. The Company does not anticipate any non-performance by the counterparties. Substantially all of the assets of certain indirect, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company have been pledged as collateral for the underlying indebtedness and the amounts payable under the interest rate swap agreements for each of these entities. In addition, certain assets of TAL International Container Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, are pledged as collateral for the Revolving Credit Facility and the amounts payable under certain interest rate swap agreements.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had in place total interest rate swap contracts to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under its debt facilities as summarized below:

Total Notional	Weighted Average	Weighted
Amount at	Fixed Leg Interest Rate at	Average
September 30, 2011	September 30, 2011	Remaining Term
\$955.8 million	3.39%	4.0 years

Most of the Company's interest rate swap contracts have not been accounted for as hedging instruments under FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 815 (ASC 815) *Derivatives and Hedging*, and therefore changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts are reflected in the statements of operations as net loss on interest rate swaps.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company terminated various interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$275 million, and partially replaced them with a \$100 million notional value swap with a 2018 expiration date. The Company paid \$12.5 million to its swap counterparties to terminate these contracts. As some of these interest rate swap contracts were non-designated, the related loss of \$10.2 million has been previously recognized in the Company's statements of operations as net loss on interest rate swaps. As of September 30, 2011, the unamortized pre-tax balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss attributable to interest rate swap contracts that have been terminated and de-designated was approximately \$13.9 million, of which \$3.1 million is expected to be amortized to interest expense over the next 12 months. Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss attributable to the de-designated and terminated interest rate swap contracts would be recognized in earnings immediately in conjunction with a termination of the related debt agreements.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **Note 7 Derivative Instruments (Continued)**

#### Foreign Currency Rate Swaps

In April 2008, the Company entered into foreign currency rate swap agreements to manage foreign currency rate risk exposure by exchanging Euros for U.S. Dollars based on expected payments under its Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The Company will pay a total of approximately 3.9 million Euros and receive approximately \$6.0 million over the remaining term of the foreign currency rate swap agreements, which expire in April 2015. The Company does not account for the foreign currency rate swap agreements as hedging instruments under ASC 815, and therefore changes in the fair value of the foreign currency rate swap agreements are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations in administrative expenses.

#### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company has elected to use the income approach to value its interest rate swap and foreign currency rate swap contracts, using observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount (discounted) assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. The Level 2 inputs for the interest rate swap and forward valuations are limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (specifically futures contracts and spot currency rates) and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (specifically forward currency points, LIBOR cash and swap rates, basis swap adjustments and credit risk at commonly quoted intervals).

#### Location of Derivative Instruments in Financial Statements

# Fair Value of Derivative Instruments (in millions)

	<b>Asset Derivatives</b>				Liability Derivatives					
	September 30 Balance	September 30, 2011 December 31, 2010 Balance Balance		September 30 Balance	0, 2011	December 31 Balance	, 2010			
Instrument	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value		
Interest rate swap	Fair value of derivative	varac	Fair value of derivative	varac	Fair value of derivative	, uiuc	Fair value of derivative	Value		
contracts not designated	instruments	\$	instruments	\$ 1.1	instruments	\$ 81.1	instruments	\$ 61.6		
Foreign exchange contracts	Fair value of derivative instruments	0.7	Fair value of derivative instruments	0.9	Fair value of derivative instruments		Fair value of derivative instruments			
Total Derivatives		\$ 0.7		\$ 2.0		\$ 81.1		\$ 61.6		

#### TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **Note 7 Derivative Instruments (Continued)**

#### Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under ASC 815 Effect of Derivative Instruments on Statement of Operations (in millions)

		Amount of (Gain) Loss Recognized in Income on Derivatives							
	Location of (Gain) Loss Recognized in	Three months Nine in ended En			Nine n Enc	line months Ended eptember 30			
<b>Derivative Instrument</b>	Income on Derivatives	2	2011	2	2010	2	2011	2	2010
Interest rate swap contracts	Net loss on interest rate swaps	\$	23.2	\$	9.7	\$	30.4	\$	31.5
Foreign exchange contracts	Administrative expenses		(0.3)		0.7		0.2		(0.4)
Total		\$	22.9	\$	10.4	\$	30.6	\$	31.1

#### Note 8 Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except earnings per share):

	Three Mor Septem	 	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011	2010	2011		2010			
Numerator:								
Net income applicable to common stockholders for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 18,079	\$ 11,777	\$ 73,857	\$	22,328			
Denominator:								
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	33,085	30,443	32,188		30,436			
Dilutive stock								
options	390	307	415		220			
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share	33,475	30,750	32,603		30,656			
Earnings per share:								
Basic	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.39	\$ 2.29	\$	0.73			
Diluted	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.38	\$ 2.27	\$	0.73			

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, 1,500 and 13,000 options to purchase shares of common stock, respectively, were not included in the calculation of weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share because their effects were anti-dilutive.

#### TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 9 Segment and Geographic Information

#### **Industry Segment Information**

The Company conducts its business activities in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and has two segments:

Equipment leasing the Company owns, leases and ultimately disposes of containers and chassis from its lease fleet, as well as manages leasing activities for containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading the Company purchases containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resells these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment. Included in the Equipment trading segment revenues are leasing revenues from equipment purchased for resale that is currently on lease until containers are dropped off.

The following tables show segment information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and the consolidated totals reported (dollars in thousands):

	Thr	ee Months En	ded	Three Months Ended						
	Sep	tember 30, 20	)11	Sep	September 30, 2010					
	Equipment	Equipment		Equipment	Equipment					
	Leasing	Trading	Totals	Leasing	Trading	Totals				
Total revenues	\$ 119,578	\$ 18,174	\$ 137,752	\$ 85,566	\$ 10,236	\$ 95,802				
Equipment trading expenses		13,900	13,900		7,575	7,575				
Depreciation and amortization										
expense	40,874	998	41,872	31,302	187	31,489				
Net (gain) on sale of leasing										
equipment	(14,875)		(14,875)	(8,547)		(8,547)				
Interest and debt expense	27,722	782	28,504	21,261	532	21,793				
<b>Income before income taxes</b> (1)	49,949	2,309	52,258	26,891	1,752	28,643				

(1) Segment income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$23.2 million and \$9.7 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 9 Segment and Geographic Information (Continued)

		e Months En tember 30, 2 Equipment	011		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Equipment Equipment					
	Leasing	Trading		otals	Leasing	Trading	Totals			
Total revenues	\$ 325,374	\$ 57,127	\$ 3	382,501	\$ 234,192	\$ 28,572	\$ 262,764			
Equipment trading expenses		43,283		43,283		22,428	22,428			
Depreciation and										
amortization expense	108,139	1,147		109,286	86,249	493	86,742			
Net (gain) on sale of leasing										
equipment	(39,659)			(39,659)	(20,206)	(44)	(20,250)			
Interest and debt expense	76,180	1,805		77,985	55,213	1,395	56,608			
Income before income										
taxes(2)	135,566	10,168		145,734	63,405	3,665	67,070			
Goodwill at September 30	70,898	1,000		71,898	70,898	1,000	71,898			
Total assets at September 30	3,021,058	79,115	3,	100,173	2,236,216	39,561	2,275,777			
Purchases of leasing										
equipment(3)	722,567	33,213		755,780	438,749	15,768	454,517			
Investments in finance										
leases(3)	3,766			3,766	433		433			

- (2) Segment income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$30.4 million and \$31.5 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (3)

  Represents cash disbursements for purchases of leasing equipment and certain trading equipment purchased for resale, but that will be on lease for a period typically in excess of one year, as reflected in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the period indicated.

There are no intercompany revenues or expenses between segments. Additionally, certain administrative expenses have been allocated between segments based on an estimate of services provided to each segment. A portion of the Company's equipment purchased for resale was purchased through certain sale-leaseback transactions with our shipping line customers. Due to the expected longer term nature of these transactions, these purchases are reflected as leasing equipment as opposed to assets held for sale and the cash flows associated with these transactions are and will be reflected as purchases of leasing equipment and proceeds from the sale of equipment in investing activities.

#### Geographic Segment Information

The Company earns its revenues from international containers which are deployed by its customers in a wide variety of global trade routes. Substantially all of the Company's leasing related revenue is denominated in U.S. dollars. The following table represents the geographic allocation of revenues for

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 9 Segment and Geographic Information (Continued)

the periods indicated based on the customers' primary domicile and allocates equipment trading revenue based on the location of sale (in thousands):

	,	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2011 2010			2011		2010			
Total revenues:										
United States of										
America	\$	10,650	\$	8,156	\$	30,117	\$	22,096		
Asia		58,869		37,573		161,982		104,494		
Europe		59,917		44,240		166,485		120,015		
Other										
International		8,316		5,833		23,917		16,159		
Total	\$	137,752	\$	95,802	\$	382,501	\$	262,764		

As all of the Company's containers are used internationally, where no one container is domiciled in one particular place for a prolonged period of time, substantially all of the Company's long-lived assets are considered to be international.

#### Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies

#### Residual Value Guarantees

During 2008, the Company entered into commitments for equipment residual value guarantees in connection with certain finance leases that were sold or brokered to financial institutions. The guarantees represent the Company's commitment that these assets will be worth a specified amount at the end of lease terms (if the lessee does not default on the lease) which expires in 2016. At September 30, 2011, the maximum potential amount of the guarantees under which the Company could be required to perform was approximately \$27.1 million. The carrying values of the guarantees of \$1.1 million have been deferred and are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and approximate fair value as of September 30, 2011. The Company accounts for the residual value guarantees under Accounting Standards Codification 450 (Contingencies) and expects that the market value of the equipment covered by the guarantees will equal or exceed the value of the guarantees. Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company has performed fair value measurements of the guarantees using Level 2 inputs, which were based on significant other observable inputs other than quoted prices, either on a direct or indirect basis.

#### **Purchase Commitments**

At September 30, 2011, commitments for capital expenditures totaled approximately \$44.5 million.

#### Note 11 Income Taxes

The consolidated income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was determined based upon estimates of the Company's consolidated effective income tax rates for the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The difference between the consolidated effective income tax rate and the U.S. federal statutory rate is primarily attributable to state income taxes, foreign income taxes and the effect of certain permanent differences.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Note 12 Comprehensive Income and Other

The following table provides a reconciliation of the Company's net income to comprehensive income (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011			2010		2011		2010
Net income	\$	18,079	\$	11,777	\$	73,857	\$	22,328
Other comprehensive income:								
Unrealized loss on derivative instrument designated as a cash flow hedge (net of tax								
benefit of \$0, \$2,394, \$827 and \$4,342, respectively)				(4,322)		(1,513)		(7,838)
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(26)		294		34		(385)
Amortization of net loss (gain) on derivative instruments previously designated as								
cash flow hedges (net of tax expense (benefit) of \$(258), \$69, \$(711) and \$203,								
respectively)		473		(124)		1,301		(366)
Total	\$	18,526	\$	7,625	\$	73,679	\$	13,739

The Company recorded approximately \$0.3 million of unrealized foreign exchange losses and \$0.2 million of unrealized foreign currency exchange gains, which are reported in administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statement of operations, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million of unrealized foreign exchange losses, respectively. These gains and losses resulted primarily from fluctuations in exchange rates related to the Company's Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets and liabilities.

#### **Issuance of Common Stock**

On April 6, 2011, the Company completed a public offering of 5,500,000 shares of common stock. Of the total shares offered, the Company issued and sold 2,500,000 shares of common stock and certain of the Company's stockholders sold an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company's proceeds from the offering were \$85.5 million.

#### Note 13 Subsequent Events

#### Quarterly Dividend

On October 25, 2011 the Company's Board of Directors approved and declared a \$0.52 per share quarterly cash dividend on its issued and outstanding common stock, payable on December 22, 2011 to shareholders of record at the close of business on December 1, 2011.

#### ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of TAL International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with related consolidated financial data and our annual audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 18, 2011. The statements in this discussion regarding industry outlook, our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources and other non-historical statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described under "Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements" in our Form 10-K. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

#### **Our Company**

We are one of the world's largest and oldest lessors of intermodal containers and chassis. Intermodal containers are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport freight by ship, rail or truck. Because of the handling efficiencies they provide, intermodal containers are the primary means by which many goods and materials are shipped internationally. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically.

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and have two business segments:

Equipment leasing we own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading we purchase containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment.

#### **Operations**

Our consolidated operations include the acquisition, leasing, re-leasing and subsequent sale of multiple types of intermodal containers and chassis. As of September 30, 2011, our total fleet consisted of 1,009,028 containers and chassis, including 26,947 containers under management for third parties, representing 1,648,168 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU). We have an extensive global presence, offering leasing services through 17 offices in 11 countries and approximately 221 third party container depot facilities in 39 countries as of September 30, 2011. Our customers are among the largest shipping lines in the world. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, our twenty largest customers accounted for 79% of our leasing revenues, our five largest customers accounted for 48% of our leasing revenues, and our largest customer, CMA CGM, accounted for 16% of our leasing revenues.

#### Table of Contents

The following tables provide the composition of our equipment fleet as of the dates indicated below (in both units and TEU's):

	<b>Equipment Fleet in Units</b>										
	Sep	tember 30, 2	011	Dece	ember 31, 20	10	Sept	ember 30, 20	10		
	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total		
Dry	829,700	24,765	854,465	694,351	25,657	720,008	648,665	25,893	674,558		
Refrigerated	48,794	177	48,971	44,955	260	45,215	42,143	299	42,442		
Special	45,655	2,005	47,660	43,062	2,172	45,234	43,109	2,216	45,325		
Tank	4,679		4,679	2,648		2,648	2,349		2,349		
Chassis	10,793		10,793	9,208		9,208	8,760		8,760		
Equipment leasing fleet	939,621	26,947	966,568	794,224	28,089	822,313	745,026	28,408	773,434		
Equipment trading fleet(1)	42,460		42,460	33,373	_0,002	33,373	33,531	,	33,531		
Total	982,081	26,947	1,009,028	827,597	28,089	855,686	778,557	28,408	806,965		
Percentage	97.3%	2.7%	100.0%	96.7%	3.3%	100.0%	96.5%	3.5%	100.0%		

(1) Includes 38,330 units on lease under sale-leaseback transactions as of September 30, 2011.

	<b>Equipment Fleet in TEUs</b>									
	Sept	ember 30, 20	11	Dece	ember 31, 20	10	Septe	ember 30, 20	10	
	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total	Owned	Managed	Total	
Dry	1,334,892	44,902	1,379,794	1,116,392	46,462	1,162,854	1,043,852	46,851	1,090,703	
Refrigerated	92,517	307	92,824	85,166	455	85,621	79,565	531	80,096	
Special	80,329	3,355	83,684	74,273	3,622	77,895	74,219	3,700	77,919	
Tank	4,679		4,679	2,698		2,698	2,399		2,399	
Chassis	19,223		19,223	16,367		16,367	15,577		15,577	
Equipment leasing fleet	1,531,640	48,564	1,580,204	1,294,896	50,539	1,345,435	1,215,612	51,082	1,266,694	
Equipment trading fleet(2)	67,964		67,964	51,748		51,748	52,961		52,961	
Total	1,599,604	48,564	1,648,168	1,346,644	50,539	1,397,183	1,268,573	51,082	1,319,655	
Percentage	97.1%	2.9%	100.0%	96.4%	3.6%	100.0%	96.1%	3.9%	100.0%	

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes 62,575 TEU on lease under sale-leaseback transactions as of September 30, 2011.

We primarily lease three principal types of equipment: (1) dry freight containers, which are used for general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel, (2) refrigerated containers, which are used for perishable items such as fresh and frozen foods, and (3) special containers, which are used for heavy and oversized cargo such as marble slabs, building products and machinery. We also lease chassis, which are used for the transportation of containers domestically, and tank containers, which are used to transport bulk liquid products such as chemicals. Our in-house equipment sales group manages the sale process for our used containers and chassis from our

equipment leasing fleet and buys and sells used and new containers and chassis acquired from third parties.

#### **Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2011, the percentages of our equipment fleet and leasing revenues by equipment type are as follows:

<b>Equipment Type</b>	Percent of total fleet units	Percent of leasing revenue
Dry	84.7%	64.7%
Refrigerated	4.9	21.9
Special	4.7	8.0
Chassis	1.0	2.0
Tank	0.5	2.2
Equipment leasing		
fleet	95.8	98.8
Equipment trading fleet	4.2	1.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%

We generally lease our equipment on a per diem basis to our customers under three types of leases: long-term leases, finance leases and service leases. Long-term leases, typically with initial contractual terms ranging from three to eight years, provide us with stable cash flow and low transaction costs by requiring customers to maintain specific units on-hire for the duration of the lease. Finance leases, which are typically structured as full payout leases, provide for a predictable recurring revenue stream with the lowest daily cost to the customer because customers are generally required to retain the equipment for the duration of its useful life. Service leases command a premium per diem rate in exchange for providing customers with a greater level of operational flexibility by allowing the pick-up and drop-off of units during the lease term. We also have expired long-term leases whose fixed terms have ended but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments pursuant to the terms of the initial contract. Some leases have contractual terms that have features reflective of both long-term and service leases and we classify such leases as either long-term or service leases, depending upon which features we believe are more predominant.

The following table provides a summary of our equipment leasing fleet portfolio by lease type, based on total on-hire units as of the dates indicated below:

Lease Portfolio	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Long-term leases	67.7%	65.4%	66.0%
Finance leases	7.6	8.8	9.4
Service leases	20.7	18.5	14.4
Expired long-term leases (units on hire)	4.0	7.3	10.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

As of September 30, 2011, our long-term and finance leases had an average remaining contract term of approximately 50 months, assuming no leases are renewed.

#### **Operating Performance**

Our profitability is primarily determined by the extent to which our leasing and other revenues exceed our ownership, operating and administrative expenses. Our profitability is also impacted by the gain or loss that we realize on the sale of our used equipment and the net sales margins on our equipment trading activities.

Our leasing revenues are primarily driven by our owned fleet size, utilization and average rental rates. Our leasing revenues also include ancillary fees driven by pick-up and drop-off volumes. Leasing revenues in the third quarter of 2011 increased 13.5% from the second quarter of 2011 and 41.1% from

#### **Table of Contents**

the third quarter of 2010 due to significant growth in our owned container fleet, continued high utilization and an increase in average dry container lease rates.

*Owned fleet size.* As of September 30, 2011, our owned fleet included 1,599,604 TEUs, an increase of 18.8% from December 31, 2010 and 26.1% from September 30, 2010. The increase in fleet size over both periods was due to several factors including large purchases of new containers and the completion of several large sale-leaseback transactions.

The investments we have made in our fleet this year have been supported by a favorable supply / demand balance for containers and an increase in the use of leasing by many of our major customers. A severe global shortage of containers developed in 2010 due to a lack of container production in 2009, a rapid recovery in trade volumes in 2010, and practical constraints faced by container manufacturers in ramping-up production to meet the renewed demand for containers. Our investment program in 2011 has been further supported by an increased reliance on leasing by our shipping line customers due to financial challenges they are facing as a result of low freight rates and the need to fund large vessel purchases. Historically, shipping lines have generally purchased 55%-60% of the containers they operate and leased 40-45% from leasing companies like TAL. In 2010 and 2011, we estimate that leasing companies have purchased the majority of new containers, and we have seen an increased level of customer interest in sale-leaseback transactions, where our customers typically sell a large number of in-service containers to leasing companies and then lease-back the same containers for further use.

Most of our 2011 investments were made in the first half of the year. At the beginning of the year, many of our customers and market forecasters were projecting that containerized trade growth would exceed 10% in 2011. We concluded a large number of lease agreements with our customers during the first part of the year as many of them sought to lock-in access to equipment due to their expectations for strong trade growth and the limited availability of containers. We placed large orders for new containers during the first and early second quarters to support these lease agreements. However, trade growth in 2011 has so far been less than expected, and the pick-up timing for containers committed to lease in the first part of the year has been slower than we had expected. We have significantly slowed the pace of our new container purchases in the second half of the year to reflect the slower pace of pick-ups and our reduced expectations for 2011 containerized trade growth.

As of October 26, 2011, we have invested over \$750 million in new container purchases or sale-leaseback transactions. Approximately 85% of this equipment (together with our beginning inventory of factory units as of January 1, 2011) is either on-hire or committed to lease transactions. A significant portion of the new dry containers committed to leases were picked up between June and September, and most of the containers we purchased through sale-leaseback transactions were placed on-hire at the end of the second quarter or during the third quarter. As a result, growth in our leasing revenue was particularly strong in the third quarter, and we expect further growth in our leasing revenue during the fourth quarter as we benefit from a full period of revenue from equipment placed on hire during the third quarter.

*Utilization.* Our average utilization remained fairly steady and high at 98.4% during the third quarter of 2011. Ending utilization decreased 0.6% from 98.8% as of June 30, 2011 to 98.2% as of September 30, 2011. Our dry container utilization has remained exceptionally high due to the general shortage of containers that developed in 2010, and as shipping lines have generally preferred to retain existing leased containers on-hire in 2011 to help them mitigate potential peak-season container shortages and to benefit from low historical lease rates compared to higher current market leasing rates for new equipment. We expect container redeliveries to increase in the fourth quarter, and our utilization to decrease slightly, as the peak season for dry containers passes.

Utilization and leasing demand for our refrigerated containers remained strong during the third quarter of 2011. The utilization of our refrigerated containers does not heavily influence our overall utilization since they represent only 4.9% of the units in our fleet. However, these container types are

significantly more expensive than dry containers, generate higher per diem lease rates and currently represent approximately 21.9% of our leasing revenue. Leasing demand for both special containers and chassis remained steady.

The following tables set forth our equipment fleet utilization(1) for the periods indicated below:

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2011	Quarter Ended June 30, 2011	Quarter Ended March 31, 2011	Quarter Ended December 31, Se 2010	Quarter Ended eptember 30, 2010	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010
Average Utilization	98.4%	98.6%	98.39	% 98.6%	98.1%	95.4%
	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, Se	eptember 30, 2010	June 30, 2010
Ending Utilization	98.2%	98.8%	98.59	6 98.3%	98.5%	97.1%

(1)
Utilization is computed by dividing our total units on lease by the total units in our fleet excluding new units not yet leased.

Average rental rates. Average lease rates for our dry container product line in the third quarter of 2011 increased 4.0% from the second quarter, and increased 20.2% from the third quarter of 2010. New dry container prices have decreased from peak levels reached in the first quarter of this year, but they remain at historically high levels, and market leasing rates remain above our portfolio average rates. Our average dry container lease rates increased in the third quarter as new containers and sale-leaseback containers were placed on lease and as selected existing leases were re-priced. We expect our average lease rates to continue to trend upwards in the fourth quarter, although at a more moderate pace, due to the higher average rate at the beginning of the fourth quarter, and as our remaining new containers committed to leases go on-hire.

During the third quarter of 2011, average lease rates for refrigerated containers were generally flat compared to the second quarter of 2011, and 1.2% higher than the third quarter of 2010, while the average lease rates for special containers were 1.0% higher than the second quarter of 2011, and 1.6% higher compared to the third quarter of 2010. The increase in average lease rates for our refrigerated containers from the third quarter of last year was primarily due to the expiration of lease rate concessions that were provided to certain customers in 2009 for lease extension transactions, and partially offset by new units going on-hire at lease rates slightly below our portfolio average. The increase in average lease rates for our special containers was due to new special containers going onto leases with rates higher than our portfolio average.

Equipment disposals. During the third quarter of 2011, we recognized a \$14.9 million gain on the sale of our used containers compared to gains of \$16.9 million in the second quarter of 2011 and \$8.5 million in the third quarter of 2010. Gain on sale decreased in the third quarter as compared to the second quarter of 2011 primarily due to the higher cost of containers sold, partially offset by higher selling volumes and slightly higher average sale prices. Selling volumes increased from the second quarter largely due to redeliveries from a recently completed sales lease back transaction. These units were purchased for prices well above the typical net book value for our older containers, and the sale margin on these units was much lower than that of our other disposals. Average selling prices for dry containers during the third quarter of 2011 increased slightly from the second quarter level and climbed 54.2% above the third quarter level in 2010. Selling prices for used dry containers have climbed to record levels this year as the ongoing tight supply / demand situation continues to limit the number of older containers being made available for disposal. However, used dry container selling prices started to trend down toward the end of the third quarter, and we expect further pressure in the fourth quarter from increased redeliveries and an increase in equipment disposals from our customers and competitors.

#### **Table of Contents**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 we sold approximately 47,143 TEU's of our owned containers, or 3.6% of our owned equipment fleet as of the beginning of the year. This annual disposal rate of 4.9% is below the 6%-8% annual long term average disposal rate we expect given the 12-14 year expected useful life of our containers. Our inventory of disposal containers began the year at a low level and container drop-off volumes in 2011 have been exceptionally low.

*Equipment ownership expenses.* Our ownership expenses, which consist principally of depreciation and interest expense, increased by \$9.5 million or 15.5% in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter, and increased by \$17.1 million or 32.1% compared to the third quarter of 2010.

TAL purchased a large volume of new containers during 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The average net book value of our revenue earning assets in the third quarter of 2011 increased by 7.8% compared to the second quarter, and by 47.7% compared to the third quarter 2010. Depreciation expense increased \$6.7 million or 19.1% during the third quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter and increased \$10.4 million or 33.0% compared to the third quarter 2010, though the change from the third quarter of 2010 would have been \$15.9 million or 50.4% without the change in residual value estimates that was made effective October 1, 2010. The large increase in depreciation expense in the third quarter 2011 over the second quarter is due to the pick-up of a significant amount of the new dry containers during the third quarter and at the end of the second quarter. Under TAL's depreciation policy, depreciation starts at the time of on-hire or January 1 of the year following acceptance, whichever comes first.

Interest expense increased \$2.8 million or 10.7% in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter and increased \$6.7 million or 30.8% compared to the third quarter of 2010. The increase from both periods was due to an increase in our average outstanding debt, partially offset by a decrease in our average effective interest rate.

Credit performance. Our credit performance remained strong during the first nine months of 2011, and we recorded a provision for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million. However, our concern about credit risk is heightened again due to the difficult conditions our customers are facing and the sizable financial losses many have reported this year. Freight rates on the major East/West trade lanes have been severely pressured in 2011 by reduced vessel utilization. Effective vessel capacity has increased significantly in 2011 due to ongoing deliveries of new vessels and the re-introduction of ships that had been laid up in 2009 and 2010. Containerized trade growth was not large enough in 2011 to fully utilize this increased vessel capacity. Higher fuel prices have combined with the drop in freight rates to squeeze the profitability of our customers, and many customers reported large losses in the first half of this year. We anticipate that our customers will continue to experience difficult operating conditions for the rest of the year and are likely to experience large financial losses in the second half of the year. Vessel over-capacity is generally expected to continue to pressure freight rates for some time, especially on the major East/West trade lanes, due to the large number of orders placed for very large container ships. As a result, we face an increased likelihood that larger customers might default on our lease agreements and expect the potential for credit losses to remain historically high.

*Operating expenses.* Our direct operating expenses were \$5.1 million during the third quarter of 2011, compared to \$4.4 million in the second quarter of 2011 and \$5.0 million during the third quarter of 2010. Our direct operating expenses increased during the third quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter due to higher repair and storage costs resulting from a higher volume of redeliveries and slightly lower utilization. Our direct operating expenses were relatively flat during the third quarter of 2011 compared to the third quarter of 2010.

Our administrative expenses increased to \$11.0 million during the third quarter of 2011 from \$10.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 and \$10.0 million in the third quarter of 2010. Our administrative expenses increased \$0.4 million compared to the second quarter primarily due to

#### Table of Contents

unrealized foreign exchange losses on our Euro denominated assets and liabilities. Our administrative expenses increased \$1.0 million compared to the third quarter of 2010 primarily due to unrealized foreign exchange losses on our Euro denominated assets and liabilities and increased incentive compensation.

#### **Dividends**

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

Record Date	Payment Date	Aggregate Payment	Per Share Payment	
September 1, 2011	September 22, 2011	\$ 17.2 million	\$	0.52
June 2, 2011	June 23, 2011	\$ 16.5 million	\$	0.50
March 3, 2011	March 24, 2011	\$ 13.8 million	\$	0.45
September 2, 2010	September 23, 2010	\$ 10.7 million	\$	0.35
June 3, 2010	June 24, 2010	\$ 9.1 million	\$	0.30
March 11, 2010	March 25, 2010	\$ 7.6 million	\$	0.25

While most of our dividends have historically been treated as a non-taxable return of capital, based on our current estimates we believe that a portion of TAL's dividends paid in 2011 will be taxable to TAL shareholders with the balance treated as a return of capital. The taxability of the dividends to TAL shareholders does not impact TAL's corporate tax position. Investors should consult with a tax advisor to determine the proper tax treatment of these distributions.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 in thousands of dollars and as a percentage of total revenues:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	201	2011 2010			2011 2010				
	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	Dollars	Percent	
Leasing revenues	\$ 120,911	87.8%	\$ 85,698	89.5%	\$ 326,999	85.5%	\$ 234,056	89.1%	
Equipment trading									
revenue	16,121	11.7	9,273	9.7	53,214	13.9	25,967	9.9	
Management fee	(02	0.5	707	0.0	2 122	0.6	2.270	0.0	
income Other revenues	683 37		786 45	0.8	2,122 166	0.6	2,279 462	0.8	
Other revenues	31		43		100		402	0.2	
<b>Total revenues</b>	137,752	100.0	95,802	100.0	382,501	100.0	262,764	100.0	
Operating expenses	137,732	100.0	75,002	100.0	302,301	100.0	202,701	100.0	
(income):									
Equipment trading									
expenses	13,900	10.1	7,575	7.9	43,283	11.3	22,428	8.5	
Direct operating									
expenses	5,112	3.7	5,032	5.3	13,575	3.6	19,849	7.6	
Administrative	10.064	0.0	0.050	10.4	22 120	0.4	21.055	11.0	
expenses	10,964	8.0	9,979	10.4	32,139	8.4	31,077	11.8	
Depreciation and amortization	41,872	30.4	31,489	32.9	109,286	28.6	86,742	33.0	
Provision (reversal)	41,072	50.4	31,409	32.9	109,200	20.0	00,742	33.0	
for doubtful									
accounts	17		(162)	(0.2)	158		(760)	(0.3)	
Net (gain) on sale									
of leasing									
equipment	(14,875	(10.8)	(8,547)	(8.9)	(39,659)	(10.4)	(20,250)	(7.7)	
Total operating									
expenses	56,990	41.4	45,366	47.4	158,782	41.5	139,086	52.9	
Operating	00 = 40	<b>=</b> 0.4	<b>5</b> 0.40.6		222 = 10	-o -	400 (50		
income	80,762	58.6	50,436	52.6	223,719	58.5	123,678	47.1	
Other expenses (income):									
Interest and debt									
expense	28,504	20.7	21,793	22.7	77,985	20.4	56,608	21.5	
Write-off of			,,,,		,,,		,		
deferred financing									
costs	1,043	0.7	675	0.7	1,043	0.3	675	0.3	
Net loss on interest									
rate swaps	23,229	16.9	9,709	10.1	30,361	7.9	31,495	12.0	
Total other									
expenses	52,776	38.3	32,177	33.5	109,389	28.6	88,778	33.8	
Income before	27.001	20.2	10.250	10.1	114 222	20.0	24.000	10.0	
income taxes	27,986		18,259	19.1	114,330	29.9	34,900	13.3	
Income tax expense	9,907	7.2	6,482	6.8	40,473	10.6	12,572	4.8	
Not income	¢ 10.070	12 107	¢ 11 777	12.207	¢ 72.057	10.207	¢ 22.220	0 507	
Net income	\$ 18,079	13.1%	\$ 11,777	12.3%	\$ 73,857	19.3%	\$ 22,328	8.5%	

#### Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 to Three Months Ended September 30, 2010.

**Leasing revenues.** The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic

26

#### **Table of Contents**

locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011 2010			2010
		(in thousands)		
Leasing revenues:				
Operating lease revenues:				
Per diem revenue	\$	111,290	\$	76,065
Fee and ancillary lease revenue		5,560		5,185
Total operating lease revenue		116,850		81,250
Finance lease revenue		4,061		4,448
Total leasing revenues	\$	120,911	\$	85,698

Total leasing revenues were \$120.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$85.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$35.2 million, or 41.1%.

Per diem revenue increased by \$35.2 million compared to the third quarter of 2010. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$24.5 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects an increase in the average number of units in our fleet; and

\$9.9 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during the second half of 2010 and during 2011 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue increased \$0.4 million as compared to the third quarter of 2010 primarily due to an increase in handling revenue and fees resulting from increased redeliveries.

Finance lease revenue decreased by \$0.4 million in the third quarter of 2011, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio.

*Equipment Trading Activities.* Equipment trading revenue represents the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
		2011		2010	
		(in thousands)			
Equipment trading revenues	\$	16,121	\$	9,273	
Equipment trading expenses		(13,900)		(7,575)	
Equipment trading margin	\$	2,221	\$	1,698	

The equipment trading margin increased \$0.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The trading margin increased primarily due to an increase in sales volume.

#### **Table of Contents**

*Direct operating expenses.* Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$5.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$0.1 million. This increase was primarily driven by increased storage and handling costs due to a higher volume of redeliveries, and was partially offset by a decrease in survey costs due to fewer new equipment acceptances during the third quarter of 2011.

*Administrative expenses.* Administrative expenses were \$11.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$10.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to unrealized foreign exchange losses on our Euro denominated assets and liabilities and increased incentive compensation.

**Depreciation and amortization.** Depreciation and amortization was \$41.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$31.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$10.4 million or 33.0%. Depreciation increased by approximately \$17.1 million due to a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet and was partially offset by a decrease of \$5.5 million due to an increase in the estimated residual values included in our depreciation policy effective October 1, 2010, and a decrease of \$1.2 million due to another vintage year of equipment becoming fully depreciated during the fourth quarter of 2010.

**Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts.** For the three months ended September 30, 2011, our provision for doubtful accounts was negligible compared to a reversal of \$0.2 million in the third quarter of 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, there was a net reversal of certain provisions recorded in 2009 due to better than expected container recoveries. In general, our provision for doubtful accounts has remained low due to the absence of any major customer defaults.

Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment. Gain on sale of equipment was \$14.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to a gain of \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$6.4 million. Gain on sale increased by \$10.7 million primarily due to higher selling prices, partially offset by a decrease of \$4.9 million due to the higher cost of equipment sold. The higher cost of equipment sold was driven by the large portion of units sold in the third quarter that had been recently purchased in a sale-leaseback transaction for prices higher than the typical net book value for our older containers. Gain on sale of equipment also decreased by \$0.1 million due to lower selling volumes.

Interest and debt expense. Interest and debt expense was \$28.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$21.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$6.7 million. Interest and debt expense increased by \$9.7 million due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2011 and the second half of 2010, and decreased by \$3.0 million due to a lower effective interest rate.

*Net loss on interest rate swaps.* Net loss on interest rate swaps was \$23.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$9.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The fair value of our interest rate swap contracts decreased during the third quarter of 2011 due to a decrease in long-term interest rates.

*Income tax expense.* Income tax expense was \$9.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$6.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The effective tax rates were 35.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 35.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

## Table of Contents

While we record income tax expense we do not currently pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

## Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010.

*Leasing revenues.* The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011 2010					
	(in thousands)					
Leasing revenues:						
Operating lease revenues:						
Per diem revenue	\$ 300,338	\$	205,330			
Fee and ancillary lease revenue	14,130		14,764			
Total operating lease revenue	314,468		220,094			
Finance lease revenue	12,531		13,962			
Total leasing revenues	\$ 326,999	\$	234,056			

Total leasing revenues were \$327.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$234.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$92.9 million, or 39.7%.

Per diem revenue increased by \$95.0 million compared to 2010. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$66.9 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects increased utilization and an increase in the average number of units in our fleet;

\$29.4 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during the second half of 2010 and during 2011 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average, and the expiration of lease incentives primarily provided during 2009; and

\$1.9 million decrease due to the recognition of revenue in the first half of 2010 for the early termination of certain lease contracts that did not reoccur in 2011.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue decreased by \$0.6 million as compared to the prior year primarily due to a decrease in handling revenue resulting from a decrease in the overall volume of activity.

Finance lease revenue decreased by \$1.4 million in 2011, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio.

*Equipment Trading Activities.* Equipment trading revenue represents the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold,

#### Table of Contents

including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2011 2010			
		(in thou	san	ds)	
Equipment trading revenues	\$	53,214	\$	25,967	
Equipment trading expenses		(43,283)		(22,428)	
Equipment trading margin	\$	9,931	\$	3,539	

The equipment trading margin increased \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The trading margin increased by \$3.4 million due to increased sales volume and increased \$3.0 million due to an increase in selling margin per unit.

*Direct operating expenses.* Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$13.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$19.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$6.2 million. The primary reasons for the decrease are outlined below:

- \$3.8 million decrease in storage and handling costs due to a decrease in the number of idle units;
- \$2.3 million decrease in repair costs due to a lower repair volume, primarily for our dry and refrigerated containers; and
- \$0.8 million decrease in container repositioning costs due to low redelivery volumes.

*Administrative expenses*. Administrative expenses were \$32.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$31.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Our administrative expenses increased primarily due to increased incentive compensation.

**Depreciation and amortization.** Depreciation and amortization was \$109.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$86.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$22.6 million or 26.1%. Depreciation increased by approximately \$42.6 million due to a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet and was partially offset by a decrease of \$16.3 million due to an increase in the estimated residual values included in our depreciation policy effective October 1, 2010, and a decrease of \$3.7 million due to another vintage year of equipment becoming fully depreciated during the fourth quarter of 2010.

**Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts.** Provision for doubtful accounts was \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a reversal of \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, there was a net reversal of certain provisions recorded in 2009 due to better than expected container recoveries. In general, our provision for doubtful accounts has remained low due to an absence of any major customer defaults.

*Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment.* Gain on sale of leasing equipment was \$39.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to a gain of \$20.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$19.4 million. Gain on sale increased by \$28.4 million primarily due to higher selling prices, partially offset by a decrease of \$4.7 million due to the higher cost of equipment sold. The higher cost of equipment sold was driven by the large portion of units sold

#### Table of Contents

in the third quarter that had been recently purchased in a sale-leaseback transaction for prices higher than the typical net book value for our older containers. Gain on sale of equipment also decreased by \$5.0 million due to lower selling volumes.

*Interest and debt expense.* Interest and debt expense was \$78.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$56.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$21.4 million, or 37.8%. Interest and debt expense increased by \$28.0 million due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2011 and the second half of 2010, and decreased by \$6.6 million due to a lower effective interest rate.

*Net loss on interest rate swaps.* Net loss on interest rate swaps was \$30.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$31.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The fair value of our interest rate swap contracts decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to a decrease in long-term interest rates.

*Income tax expense.* Income tax expense was \$40.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$12.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The effective tax rates were 35.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 36.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We anticipate that our annual effective tax rate will be approximately 35.4%.

While we record income tax expense we do not currently pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

#### **Business Segments**

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and in two business segments, Equipment leasing and Equipment trading.

## Equipment leasing

We own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties. Equipment leasing segment revenues represent leasing revenues from operating and finance leases, fees earned on managed container leasing activities, as well as other revenues. Expenses related to equipment leasing include direct operating expenses, administrative expenses, depreciation expense, and interest expense. The Equipment leasing segment also includes gains and losses on the sale of owned leasing equipment.

## **Table of Contents**

## Segment Comparison of Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 to Quarter Ended September 30, 2010

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment leasing segment for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
	2011		2010		
	(in thousands)				
Equipment leasing segment:					
Total revenues	\$	119,578	\$	85,566	
Depreciation and amortization		40,874		31,302	
Interest and debt expense		27,722		21,261	
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment		(14,875)		(8,547)	
Income before income taxes(1)		49,949		26,891	

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$23.2 million and \$9.7 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Equipment leasing revenue. Total revenue for the Equipment leasing segment was \$119.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$85.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$34.0 million, or 39.7%. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$24.5 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects an increase in the average number of units in our fleet;

\$9.9 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during the second half of 2010 and during 2011 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average;

\$0.4 million increase in fee and ancillary lease revenue due to an increase in handling revenue and fees resulting from increased redeliveries; and

\$0.4 million decrease in finance lease revenue primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio.

Equipment leasing income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$49.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$26.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$23.0 million. The primary reasons for the increase in income before income taxes are as follows:

\$34.0 million increase in Equipment leasing revenue in the third quarter of 2011;

\$6.4 million increase in gain on the sale of leasing equipment primarily due to higher selling prices, partially offset by the higher cost of equipment sold and lower selling volumes;

\$9.6 million increase in depreciation expense, due to a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet, partially offset by the impact of an increase in the estimated residual values included in our depreciation policy effective October 1, 2010 and the impact of another vintage year of equipment becoming fully depreciated during the fourth quarter of 2010;

\$6.5 million increase in interest and debt expense due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2011 and the second half of 2010, partially offset by a lower effective interest rate; and

## Table of Contents

\$1.0 million increase in administrative expenses primarily due to unrealized foreign exchange losses on our Euro denominated assets and liabilities and increased incentive compensation.

## Segment Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment leasing segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011 2010			2010	
	(in thousands)				
Equipment leasing segment:					
Total revenues	\$	325,374	\$	234,192	
Depreciation and amortization		108,139		86,249	
Interest and debt expense		76,180		55,213	
Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment		(39,659)		(20,206)	
Income before income taxes(1)		135,566		63,405	

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$30.4 million and \$31.5 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

*Equipment leasing revenue.* Total revenue for the Equipment leasing segment was \$325.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$234.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$91.2 million, or 38.9%. The primary reasons for the increase are as follows:

\$66.9 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects increased utilization and an increase in the average number of units in our fleet;

\$29.4 million increase due to higher per diem rates resulting from a significant number of units placed on-hire during the second half of 2010 and during 2011 at lease rates exceeding our portfolio average, and the expiration of lease incentives primarily provided during 2009;

\$1.9 million decrease due to the recognition of revenue in the first half of 2010 for the early termination of certain lease contracts that did not reoccur in 2011;

\$1.4 million decrease in finance lease revenue primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio; and

\$0.6 million decrease in fee and ancillary lease revenue primarily due to a decrease in handling revenue resulting from a decrease in the overall volume of activity.

Equipment leasing income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$135.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$63.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$72.2 million. The primary reasons for the increase in income before income taxes are as follows:

\$91.2 million increase in Equipment leasing revenue during 2011;

\$19.4 million increase in gain on the sale of leasing equipment primarily due to higher selling prices, partially offset by the higher cost of equipment sold, and lower selling volumes;

\$6.2 million decrease in direct operating expenses, primarily resulting from decreased storage and repair costs associated with improved utilization and decreased volumes of idle containers;

33

## Table of Contents

\$21.9 million increase in depreciation expense, due to a net increase in the size of the depreciable fleet, partially offset by the impact of an increase in the estimated residual values included in our depreciation policy effective October 1, 2010 and the impact of another vintage year of equipment becoming fully depreciated during the fourth quarter of 2010;

\$21.0 million increase in interest and debt expense due to a higher average debt balance mostly due to new equipment purchases during 2011 and the second half of 2010, partially offset by a lower effective interest rate; and

\$1.0 million increase in administrative expenses primarily due to increased incentive compensation.

## Equipment trading

We purchase containers from shipping line customers and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container traders and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment. Equipment trading segment revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of containers purchased for resale. Also included in Equipment trading segment revenues are leasing revenues from equipment purchased for resale that is currently on lease until containers are dropped off. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs. Other expenses in this segment include administrative overhead expenses, depreciation expense, provision for doubtful accounts and interest expense.

#### Segment Comparison of Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 to Quarter Ended September 30, 2010

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment trading segment for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
	2011 2010				
	(in thousands)				
Equipment trading segment:					
Total leasing revenues	\$	2,053	\$	963	
Equipment trading revenues		16,121		9,273	
Equipment trading expenses		(13,900)		(7,575)	
Equipment trading margin		2,221		1,698	
Interest and debt expense		782		532	
Income before income taxes(1)		2,309		1,752	

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$23.2 million and \$9.7 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

*Equipment trading margin.* The Equipment trading margin, the difference between Equipment trading revenues and expenses, increased \$0.5 million in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the third quarter of 2010. The trading margin increased primarily due to an increase in sales volume.

Equipment trading income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$2.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$1.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010. Income before income taxes increased

#### Table of Contents

primarily due to an increase in Equipment trading leasing revenue resulting from several sale-leaseback transactions concluded during 2011, and an increase in the Equipment trading margin.

## Segment Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table lists selected revenue and expense items for our Equipment trading segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011 2010					
		(in thousands)				
Equipment trading segment:						
Total leasing revenues	\$	3,913	\$	2,605		
Equipment trading revenues		53,214		25,967		
Equipment trading expenses		(43,283)		(22,428)		
Equipment trading margin		9,931		3,539		
Interest and debt expense		1,805		1,395		
Income before income taxes(1)		10,168		3,665		

(1) Income before income taxes excludes net loss on interest rate swaps of \$30.4 million and \$31.5 million and the write-off of deferred financing fees of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

*Equipment trading margin.* The Equipment trading margin, the difference between Equipment trading revenues and expenses, increased \$6.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. The trading margin increased due to an increase in sales volume and an increase in selling margin per unit.

Equipment trading income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$10.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Income before income taxes increased primarily due to an increase in the Equipment trading margin, and an increase in Equipment trading leasing revenue resulting from several sale-leaseback transactions concluded during 2011.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows provided by operating activities, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on finance lease receivables and borrowings under our credit facilities. Our cash in-flows and borrowings are used to finance capital expenditures, meet debt service requirements and pay dividends.

We continue to have sizable cash in-flows. For the twelve months ended September 30, 2011, cash provided by operating activities, together with the proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment and principal payments on our finance leases, was \$382.8 million. In addition, as of September 30, 2011, we had \$63.4 million of unrestricted cash and \$217.5 million of additional borrowing capacity under our current credit facilities.

We continue to increase our availability and issue debt under our existing credit and ABS facilities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued \$409 million of fixed rate secured notes under the ABS facilities. We also increased the size of our asset backed warehouse credit facility to bring the maximum availability to \$400 million. In addition, we entered into secured term loan facilities with delayed draw features, further increasing our borrowing capacity by \$150 million.

## **Table of Contents**

On April 6, 2011, we completed a public offering of 5,500,000 shares of our common stock. Of the total shares sold, we sold 2,500,000 shares of common stock and certain of our stockholders sold an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of common stock. Our proceeds from the offering, net of underwriting discounts and other fees, were \$85.5 million.

As of September 30, 2011, major committed cash outflows in the next 12 months include \$67.2 million of committed but unpaid capital expenditures and \$352.7 million of scheduled principal payments on our existing debt facilities.

We believe that cash provided by operating activities and existing cash, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on our finance lease receivables and availability under our borrowing facilities will be sufficient to meet our obligations over the next 12 months.

At September 30, 2011, our outstanding indebtedness was comprised of the following (amounts in millions):

	A	Current Amount tstanding	Current Maximum Borrowing Level				
Asset backed securitization term notes (ABS)	\$	1,266.7	\$	1,266.7			
Term loan facilities		445.8		545.8			
Asset backed warehouse facility		292.5		400.0			
Revolving credit facility		90.0		100.0			
Capital lease obligations		119.5		119.5			
Total Debt	\$	2.214.5	\$	2.432.0			

The maximum commitment levels depicted in the chart above may not reflect the actual availability under all of the credit facilities. Certain of these facilities are governed by borrowing bases that limit borrowing capacity to an established percentage of relevant assets.

As of September 30, 2011 we had \$917.5 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates and \$1,297.0 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (such as LIBOR). We economically hedge the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on a portion of our floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap contracts that convert our floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of September 30, 2011, we had interest rate swaps in place with a total notional value of \$955.8 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt obligations.

#### **Debt Covenants**

We are subject to certain financial covenants under our debt agreements. At September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all such covenants. Below are the primary financial covenants to which we are subject:

Minimum Earnings Before Interest and Taxes ("EBIT") to Cash Interest Expense:

Minimum Tangible Net Worth ("TNW"); and

Maximum Indebtedness to TNW.

Non-GAAP Measures

We rely primarily on our results measured in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in evaluating our business. EBIT, Cash Interest Expense, TNW, and Indebtedness

## **Table of Contents**

are non-GAAP financial measures defined in our debt agreements that are used to determine our compliance with certain covenants contained in our debt agreements and should not be used as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. However, we believe that the inclusion of this non-GAAP information provides additional information to investors regarding our debt covenant compliance.

## Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense

For the purpose of this covenant, EBIT is calculated based on the cumulative sum of our earnings for the last four quarters (excluding income taxes, interest expense, amortization, net gain or loss on interest rate swaps and certain non-cash charges). Cash Interest Expense is calculated based on interest expense adjusted to exclude interest income, amortization of deferred financing costs, and the difference between current and prior period interest expense accruals.

Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest Expense is calculated on a consolidated basis and for certain of our wholly owned special purpose entities ("SPEs"), whose primary activity is to issue asset backed notes. EBIT for each of our SPEs is calculated based on the net earnings generated by the assets pledged as collateral for the underlying debt issued. The actual EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio for each SPE may differ depending on the specific net earnings associated with those pledged assets. As of September 30, 2011, the required and actual Consolidated EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio and EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio for each of the issuers of our debt facilities that have a borrowing capacity of approximately \$200 million or greater were as follows:

	Minimum EBIT to Cash Interest	Actual EBIT to Cash Interest
Entity/Issuer	Expense Ratio	Expense Ratio
Consolidated	1.10	2.74
TAL Advantage I, LLC	1.10	4.23
TAL Advantage II, LLC	1.10	1.78
TAL Advantage III, LLC	1.30	2.48
TAL Advantage IV, LLC	1.10	2.16

Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW Covenants

We are required to meet consolidated Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW covenants. For the purposes of calculating these covenants, all amounts are based on the consolidated balance sheet of TAL International Group, Inc. TNW is calculated as total tangible assets less total indebtedness, which includes equipment purchases payable and, in certain cases, includes the fair value of derivative instruments liability.

For the majority of our debt facilities, the required Minimum TNW is calculated as \$321.4 million plus 50% of cumulative net income or loss since January 1, 2006. As of September 30, 2011, the required Minimum TNW and actual TNW for each of our SPEs was \$481.3 million and \$762.3 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2011, the required and actual Maximum Indebtedness to TNW ratios

## **Table of Contents**

for each of our debt facilities that have a borrowing capacity of approximately \$200 million or greater was as follows (in thousands):

	Maximum Indebtedness	Actual Indebtedness
Entity/Issuer	to TNW Ratio	to TNW Ratio
TAL Advantage I, LLC	4.75	3.05
TAL Advantage II, LLC	4.75	2.94
TAL Advantage III, LLC	4.75	2.94
TAL Advantage IV, LLC	4.75	2.94

As of September 30, 2011, our outstanding debt on facilities with borrowing capacity of approximately \$200 million or greater was approximately \$1,749.0 million.

Failure to comply with these covenants would result in a default under the related credit agreements and could result in the acceleration of our outstanding debt if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the creditors.

## **Cash Flow**

The following table sets forth certain cash flow information for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010
\$	179,399	\$	116,939
\$	(755,780)	\$	(454,517)
	(3,766)		(433)
	93,109		73,004
	27,004		24,648
	40		(180)
\$	(639,393)	\$	(357,478)
	. , ,		. , ,
\$	460,778	\$	232,410
	\$	Septem 2011 \$ 179,399  \$ (755,780) (3,766) 93,109 27,004 40  \$ (639,393)	\$ contact   September   2011   \$ 179,399   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$   \$

## **Operating Activities**

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$62.5 million to \$179.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$116.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to increased profitability, partially offset by a \$10.1 million increase in our net purchases of trading equipment and payments of \$12.5 million to our interest rate swap counterparties for the termination of certain interest rate swap contracts.

## **Investing Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$281.9 million to \$639.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$357.5 million in 2010. Major reasons for the increase were as follows:

We paid \$755.8 million for equipment in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$454.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

## **Table of Contents**

Sales proceeds from the disposal of equipment increased \$20.1 million to \$93.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$73.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Proceeds from the disposal of used containers in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased primarily as a result of higher selling prices.

Cash collections on finance leases, net of income earned, increased by \$2.4 million to \$27.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$24.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to a prepayment of principal by one of our customers.

#### Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$460.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$232.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The major changes were as follows:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we had net borrowings of \$434.1 million under our various debt facilities, which were primarily used to finance the purchase of equipment, compared to net borrowings of \$267.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. In addition, on April 6, 2011, we sold 2,500,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$85.5 million. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we paid \$47.5 million in dividends, compared to \$27.4 million in dividends paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

## **Contractual Obligations**

We are party to various operating and capital leases and are obligated to make payments related to our long term borrowings. We are also obligated under various commercial commitments, including obligations to our equipment manufacturers. Our equipment manufacturer obligations are in the form of conventional accounts payable, and are satisfied by cash flows from operating and long term financing activities.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of September 30, 2011:

	Contractual Obligations by Period										
Contractual Obligations:		Total		maining 2011		2012		2013	2014	_	015 and ereafter
					(de	ollars in	mil	lions)			
Total debt obligations(1)	\$	2,494.5	\$	86.2	\$	428.4	\$	366.2	\$ 347.1	\$	1,266.6
Capital lease obligations(2)		140.7		2.1		18.9		17.0	20.7		82.0
Operating leases (mainly											
facilities)		3.2		0.6		1.6		0.7	0.3		
Purchase obligations:											
Equipment purchases payable		22.7		22.7							
Equipment purchase											
commitments		44.5		44.5							
Total contractual obligations	\$	2,705.6	\$	156.1	\$	448.9	\$	383.9	\$ 368.1	\$	1,348.6

(2) Amounts include interest.

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts include actual and estimated interest for floating-rate debt based on September 30, 2011 rates and the net effect of the interest rate swaps.

## **Table of Contents**

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

At September 30, 2011, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such entities which are often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which were established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements. We are, therefore, not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our estimates are based on historical experience and currently available information. Actual results could differ from such estimates. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 18, 2011.

## ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of changes in value of a financial instrument, derivative or non-derivative, caused by fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity prices. Changes in these factors could cause fluctuations in results of our operations and cash flows. In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate risks.

## Interest Rate Risk

We enter into interest rate swap contracts to fix the interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt. We assess and manage the external and internal risk associated with these derivative instruments in accordance with our overall operating goals. External risk is defined as those risks outside of our direct control, including counterparty credit risk, liquidity risk, systemic risk and legal risk. Internal risk relates to those operational risks within the management oversight structure and includes actions taken in contravention of our policy.

The primary external risk of our interest rate swap contracts is counterparty credit exposure, which is defined as the ability of a counterparty to perform its financial obligations under a derivative contract. All derivative agreements are with highly rated financial institutions. Credit exposures are measured based on the market value of outstanding derivative instruments. Both current exposures and potential exposures are calculated for each derivative contract to monitor counterparty credit exposure.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had in place total interest rate swap contracts to fix the floating interest rates on borrowings under its debt facilities as summarized below:

Total Notional	Weighted Average	
Amount at	Fixed Leg Interest Rate	Weighted Average
September 30, 2011	at September 30, 2011	Remaining Term
\$955.8 million	3.39%	4.0 years

Changes in the fair value of these interest rate swap contracts will be recognized in the consolidated statements of operations as net gains or losses on interest rate swaps.

Since approximately 74% of our variable rate debt is hedged using interest rate swaps, our interest expense is not significantly affected by changes in interest rates. However, our earnings are impacted by changes in interest rate swap valuations which cause gains or losses to be recorded. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, net loss on interest rate swaps totaled \$23.2 million, compared to a net loss on interest rate swaps of \$9.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. During

## **Table of Contents**

the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net loss on interest rate swaps totaled \$30.4 million, compared to a net loss on interest rate swaps of \$31.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Although we have significant foreign based operations, the U.S. dollar is the operating currency for the large majority of our leases and obligations, and most of our revenues and expenses in 2011 and 2010 were denominated in U.S. dollars. However we pay our non-U.S. staff in local currencies, and certain of our direct operating expenses and disposal transactions for our older containers are structured in foreign currencies.

We recorded unrealized foreign currency exchange losses of approximately \$0.3 million in the third quarter of 2011, and unrealized foreign currency exchange gains of \$0.2 million in the third quarter of 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recorded unrealized foreign currency exchange losses of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. These gains and losses resulted primarily from fluctuations in exchange rates related to our Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets and liabilities.

In April 2008, we entered into foreign currency rate swap agreements to exchange Euros for U.S. Dollars based on expected payments under our Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The foreign currency rate swap agreement expires in April 2015. The fair value of this derivative contract was \$0.7 million at September 30, 2011, and is reported as an asset in Fair Value of Derivative Instruments on the consolidated balance sheet.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Based upon the required evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of September 30, 2011 our disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that information was gathered, analyzed and disclosed on a timely basis.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

From time to time, we are a party to litigation matters arising in connection with the normal course of our business. While we cannot predict the outcome of these matters, in the opinion of our management, based on information presently available to us, we believe that we have adequate legal defenses, reserves or insurance coverage and any liability arising from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business. Nevertheless, unexpected adverse future events, such as an unforeseen development in our existing proceedings, a significant increase in the number of new cases or changes in our current insurance arrangements could result in liabilities that have a material adverse impact on our business.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

For a detailed discussion of our risk factors, refer to our 2010 Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2011.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

On March 13, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of our common stock. The stock repurchase program, as amended, authorizes us to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of our common stock. There were no material repurchases of stock during the three months ended September 30, 2011.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description		
4.65*	Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of August 12, 2011 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association		
4.66*	Amended and Restated Series 2009-1 Supplement dated as of August 12, 2011 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association		
4.67*	Amended and Restated Note Purchase Agreement dated as of August 12, 2011 by and between TAL Advantage III LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto		
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended		
31.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended		
32.1*	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350		
32.2*	Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350		
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document		
101.SCH**	XBRL Instance Extension Schema		
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase		
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase		

## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number 101.LAB**	Exhibit Description XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Filed herewith.

\*\*

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability.

43

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securit the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.	ies Exchange Act of 1934, the Regis	strant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by
	TAL Intern	ational Group, Inc.
October 28, 2011	Ву:	/s/ JOHN BURNS
	44	John Burns Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)