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#### Abstract
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## PART I

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION

| (In thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Unaudited) } \\ \text { June 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 164,396 | \$ 158,136 | \$ 212,419 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements | 106,588 | 183,229 | 355,382 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 11,850 | 12,240 | 5,034 |
| Available-for-sale securities, at fair value | 1,952,433 | 1,799,384 | 924,616 |
| Trading account securities | 1,349 | 1,610 | 2,815 |
| Brokerage customer receivables | 31,293 | 27,900 | 29,212 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale | 112,955 | 85,985 | 142,798 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 6,055,140 | 5,213,871 | 5,023,087 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 44,596 | 40,283 | 39,722 |
| Net loans | 6,010,544 | 5,173,588 | 4,983,365 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 280,892 | 247,875 | 228,550 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets | 207,499 | 272,772 | 669,599 |
| Goodwill | 270,774 | 196,716 | 195,827 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 22,211 | 17,607 | 19,376 |
| Total assets | \$9,172,784 | \$8,177,042 | \$7,768,993 |

## Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

Deposits:

| Non-interest bearing | $\$ \mathbf{6 8 6 , 8 6 9}$ | $\$ 620,091$ | $\$ 638,843$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest bearing | $\mathbf{6 , 8 7 5 , 7 5 2}$ | $6,109,343$ | $5,660,207$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits | $\mathbf{7 , 5 6 2 , 6 2 1}$ | $6,729,434$ | $6,299,050$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable | $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 1,000 | 4,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | $\mathbf{3 7 9 , 6 4 9}$ | 349,317 | 351,888 |
| Other borrowings | $\mathbf{8 0 , 0 9 7}$ | 95,796 | 152,401 |
| Subordinated notes | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 0 0}$ | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | $\mathbf{2 3 0 , 3 7 5}$ | 230,458 | 209,921 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | $\mathbf{8 5 , 2 3 9}$ | 93,126 | 104,680 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 , 4 5 0 , 9 8 1}$ | $7,549,131$ | $7,171,940$ |

Shareholders equity:
Preferred stock

## Edgar Filing: WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Common stock | $\mathbf{2 5 , 6 1 9}$ | 23,941 | 23,568 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surplus | $\mathbf{5 0 7 , 9 2 8}$ | 420,426 | 411,115 |
| Common stock warrants | $\mathbf{6 9 7}$ | 744 | 780 |
| Retained earnings | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 4 5 3}$ | 201,133 | 165,602 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $\mathbf{( 4 7 , 8 9 4}$ | $(18,333)$ | $(4,012)$ |
| Total shareholders equity | $\mathbf{7 2 1 , 8 0 3}$ | 627,911 | 597,053 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 1 7 2 , 7 8 4}$ | $\$ 8,177,042$ | $\$ 7,768,993$ |
| See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements. |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |


| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Interest income |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$109,420 | \$80,890 | \$206,071 | \$ 153,169 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 141 | 44 | 265 | 72 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements | 434 | 351 | 1,954 | 501 |
| Securities | 24,561 | 16,921 | 46,092 | 31,350 |
| Trading account securities | 17 | 24 | 23 | 46 |
| Brokerage customer receivables | 543 | 447 | 1,008 | 861 |
| Total interest income | 135,116 | 98,677 | 255,413 | 185,999 |
| Interest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits | 62,069 | 36,288 | 116,351 | 65,259 |
| Interest on Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 3,714 | 3,048 | 6,994 | 5,617 |
| Interest on notes payable and other borrowings | 2,687 | 905 | 3,341 | 2,684 |
| Interest on subordinated notes | 1,056 | 745 | 1,857 | 1,424 |
| Interest on long-term debt trust preferred securities | 4,348 | 3,809 | 8,464 | 7,219 |
| Total interest expense | 73,874 | 44,795 | 137,007 | 82,203 |
| Net interest income | 61,242 | 53,882 | 118,406 | 103,796 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,743 | 1,294 | 3,279 | 2,525 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses | 59,499 | 52,588 | 115,127 | 101,271 |
| Non-interest income |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth management | 7,531 | 7,817 | 17,668 | 15,761 |
| Mortgage banking | 5,860 | 5,555 | 10,970 | 12,083 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,746 | 1,594 | 3,444 | 2,933 |
| Gain on sales of premium finance receivables | 1,451 | 1,726 | 2,446 | 3,382 |
| Administrative services | 1,204 | 1,124 | 2,358 | 2,138 |
| Gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net | (95) | 978 | (15) | 978 |
| Other | 6,596 | $(2,253)$ | 16,147 | 3,646 |
| Total non-interest income | 24,293 | 16,541 | 53,018 | 40,921 |
| Non-interest expense |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 33,351 | 29,181 | 66,829 | 58,644 |
| Equipment | 3,293 | 2,977 | 6,467 | 5,726 |
| Occupancy, net | 4,845 | 3,862 | 9,513 | 7,701 |
| Data processing | 2,025 | 1,743 | 3,884 | 3,458 |



See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

| (In thousands) | Comprehensive Income | Common Stock | Surplus | Common Stock <br> Warrants | Retained <br> Earnings | Accumulated <br> Other <br> Compre hensive Income (Loss) | Total Shareholders <br> Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 |  | \$21,729 | \$319,147 | \$ 828 | \$139,566 | \$ $(7,358)$ | \$ 473,912 |
| Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | \$ 28,652 |  |  |  | 28,652 |  | 28,652 |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of reclassification adjustment | 3,240 |  |  |  |  | 3,240 | 3,240 |
| Unrealized gains on derivative instruments | 106 |  |  |  |  | 106 | 106 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 31,998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cash dividends declared
$(2,616)$
Common stock issued for: New issuance, net of costs

Business combinations
1,000 54,872
55,872
598 29,834
30,432
warrants $\quad 3 \quad 136 \quad 91$

Director compensation
plan
Employee stock purchase plan and exercises of stock options

21
Restricted stock award
Balance at June 30, 2005
$\$ 23,568 \quad \$ 411,115 \quad \$ 780 \quad \$ 165,602 \quad \$(4,012) \quad \$ 597,053$

## Balance at December 31, 2005

$\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 9 4 1} \quad \$ 420,426 \quad \$ 744 \quad \$ 201,133 \quad \$(18,333) \quad \$ 627,911$

## Comprehensive income:



|  | Six Month 2006 | June 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disclosure of reclassification amount and income tax impact: |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities arising during the period, net | \$(47,899) | \$6,250 |
| Unrealized holding gains on derivative instruments arising during the period, net |  | 172 |
| Less: Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income, net | (15) | 978 |
| Less: Income tax expense (benefit) | $(18,323)$ | 2,098 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities and derivative instruments | \$(29,561) | \$3,346 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| (In thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 36,624 | \$ | 28,652 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 3,279 |  | 2,525 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 7,904 |  | 6,685 |
| Share-based compensation expense |  | 5,944 |  | 1,335 |
| Tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements |  | 3,278 |  | 2,572 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation arrangements |  | $(2,780)$ |  |  |
| Net amortization of premium on securities |  | 143 |  | 2,856 |
| Fair market value change of interest rate swaps |  | $(7,591)$ |  | 5,719 |
| Originations and purchases of mortgage loans held-for-sale |  | $(912,080)$ |  | $(1,057,767)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans held-for-sale |  | 890,819 |  | 1,026,272 |
| Gain on sales of premium finance receivables |  | $(2,446)$ |  | $(3,382)$ |
| Decrease in trading securities, net |  | 261 |  | 784 |
| Net (increase) decrease in brokerage customer receivables |  | $(3,393)$ |  | 2,635 |
| Gain on mortgage loans sold |  | $(5,709)$ |  | $(6,374)$ |
| (Gains) losses on available-for-sale securities, net |  | 15 |  | (978) |
| (Gain) loss on sales of premises and equipment, net |  | (27) |  | 42 |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets, net |  | 106,928 |  | 3,450 |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities, net |  | $(4,673)$ |  | 18,283 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities |  | 116,496 |  | 33,309 |
| Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities |  | 423,454 |  | 63,004 |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities |  | 86,480 |  | 485,719 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities |  | $(633,344)$ |  | $(448,922)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of premium finance receivables |  | 202,882 |  | 284,415 |
| Net cash paid for acquisitions |  | $(51,282)$ |  | $(78,644)$ |
| Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits with banks |  | 590 |  | 15 |
| Net increase in loans |  | $(669,006)$ |  | $(536,558)$ |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net |  | $(26,922)$ |  | $(21,451)$ |
| Net Cash Used for Investing Activities |  | $(667,148)$ |  | $(252,422)$ |
| Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in deposit accounts |  | 410,263 |  | 607,645 |
| Decrease in other borrowings, net |  | $(18,499)$ |  | $(77,150)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net |  | 29,000 |  | $(2,000)$ |


| Increase in Federal Home Loan Bank advances, net | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ | 25,300 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Proceeds from issuance of subordinated note | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation arrangements | $\mathbf{2 , 7 8 0}$ |  |
| Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 8 4}$ | 55,872 |
| Issuance of common shares resulting from exercise of stock options, | $\mathbf{5 , 5 1 6}$ | 3,837 |
| employee stock purchase plan and conversion of common stock warrants | $\mathbf{( 3 , 3 7 3}$ | $(2,616)$ |
| Dividends paid | $\mathbf{4 8 0 , 2 7 1}$ | 610,888 |
| Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities | $\mathbf{( 7 0 , 3 8 1 )}$ | 391,775 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents | $\mathbf{3 4 1 , 3 6 5}$ | 176,026 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 0 , 9 8 4}$ | $\$ 567,801$ |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(1) Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of Wintrust Financial Corporation and Subsidiaries ( Wintrust or Company ) presented herein are unaudited, but in the opinion of management reflect all necessary adjustments of a normal or recurring nature for a fair presentation of results as of the dates and for the periods covered by the consolidated financial statements.
Wintrust is a financial holding company currently engaged in the business of providing traditional community banking services to customers in the Chicago metropolitan area and southern Wisconsin. Additionally, the Company operates various non-bank subsidiaries.
As of June 30, 2006, Wintrust had 15 wholly-owned bank subsidiaries (collectively, Banks ), nine of which the Company started as de novo institutions, including Lake Forest Bank \& Trust Company ( Lake Forest Bank ), Hinsdale Bank \& Trust Company ( Hinsdale Bank ), North Shore Community Bank \& Trust Company ( North Shore Bank ), Libertyville Bank \& Trust Company ( Libertyville Bank ), Barrington Bank \& Trust Company, N.A. ( Barrington Bank ), Crystal Lake Bank \& Trust Company, N.A. ( Crystal Lake Bank ), Northbrook Bank \& Trust Company ( Northbrook Bank ), Beverly Bank \& Trust Company, N.A. ( Beverly Bank ) and Old Plank Trail Community Bank, N.A. ( Old Plank Trail Bank ). The Company acquired Advantage National Bank ( Advantage Bank ) in October 2003, Village Bank \& Trust ( Village Bank ) in December 2003, Northview Bank and Trust ( Northview Bank ) in September 2004, Town Bank in October 2004, State Bank of The Lakes in January 2005, First Northwest Bank on March 31, 2005 and Hinsbrook Bank and Trust ( Hinsbrook Bank ) in May 2006. In December 2004, Northview Bank s Wheaton branch became its main office, it was renamed Wheaton Bank \& Trust ( Wheaton Bank ) and its two Northfield locations became branches of Northbrook Bank and its Mundelein location became a branch of Libertyville Bank. In May 2005, First Northwest Bank was merged into Village Bank.
The Company provides, on a national basis, loans to businesses to finance insurance premiums on their commercial insurance policies ( premium finance receivables ) through First Insurance Funding Corporation ( FIFC ). FIFC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Crabtree Capital Corporation ( Crabtree ) which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Lake Forest Bank.
Wintrust, through Tricom, Inc. of Milwaukee ( Tricom ), provides high-yielding short-term accounts receivable financing ( Tricom finance receivables ) and value-added out-sourced administrative services, such as data processing of payrolls, billing and cash management services, to the temporary staffing industry, with clients located throughout the United States. Tricom is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hinsdale Bank.
The Company provides a full range of wealth management services through its trust, asset management and broker-dealer subsidiaries. Trust and investment services are provided at each of the Banks through the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Wayne Hummer Trust Company, N.A. ( WHTC ), a de novo company started in 1998. Wayne Hummer Investments, LLC ( WHI ) is a broker-dealer providing a full range of private client and securities brokerage services to clients located primarily in the Midwest and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of North Shore Bank. Focused Investments, LLC ( Focused ) is a broker-dealer that provides a full range of investment services to individuals through a network of relationships with community-based financial institutions primarily in Illinois. Focused is a wholly-owned subsidiary of WHI. Wayne Hummer Asset Management Company ( WHAMC ) provides money management services and advisory services to individuals, institutions and municipal and tax-exempt organizations, in addition to portfolio management and financial supervision for a wide range of pension and profit-sharing plans. WHAMC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wintrust. WHI, WHAMC and Focused were acquired in 2002, and are collectively referred to as the Wayne Hummer Companies . In February 2003, the Company acquired Lake Forest Capital Management ( LFCM ), a registered investment advisor, which was merged into WHAMC.
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In May 2004, the Company acquired SGB Corporation d/b/a WestAmerica Mortgage Company ( WestAmerica ) and its affiliate, Guardian Real Estate Services, Inc. ( Guardian ). WestAmerica engages primarily in the origination and purchase of residential mortgages for sale into the secondary market, and Guardian provides document preparation and other loan closing services to WestAmerica and a network of mortgage brokers. WestAmerica maintains principal origination offices in eleven states, including Illinois, and originates loans in other states through wholesale and correspondent offices. WestAmerica and Guardian are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Barrington Bank.
Wintrust Information Technology Services Company provides information technology support, item capture, imaging and statement preparation services to the Wintrust subsidiaries and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wintrust.
The accompanying consolidated financial statements are unaudited and do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company s Annual Report and Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. Operating results reported for the three-month and year-to-date periods are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire year. Reclassifications of certain prior period amounts have been made to conform to the current period presentation.
The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Management believes that the estimates made are reasonable, however, changes in estimates may be required if economic or other conditions develop differently from management $s$ expectations. Certain policies and accounting principles inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Management views critical accounting policies to be those which are highly complex or dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates and assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on the financial statements. Management currently views the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for losses on lending-related commitments, the valuation of the retained interest in the premium finance receivables sold, the valuations required for impairment testing of goodwill, the valuation and accounting for derivative instruments and the accounting for income taxes as the areas that are most complex and require the most subjective and complex judgments and as such could be the most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

## (2) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers cash and cash equivalents to include cash on hand, cash items in the process of collection, non-interest bearing amounts due from correspondent banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements with original maturities of three months or less.
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## (3) Available-for-sale Securities

The following table is a summary of the available-for-sale securities portfolio as of the dates shown:

|  | June 30, 2006 |  |  |  | December 31, 2005 |  |  |  | June 30, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  | mortized Cost |  | Fair Value |  | mortized <br> Cost |  | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ | 36,068 | \$ | 32,912 | \$ | 36,577 | \$ | 34,586 | \$ 38,586 | \$ 38,050 |
| U.S. Government agencies |  | 680,153 |  | 663,445 |  | 724,273 |  | 714,715 | 438,077 | 435,055 |
| Municipal |  | 53,660 |  | 52,568 |  | 48,853 |  | 48,397 | 52,229 | 52,073 |
| Corporate notes and other debt |  | 109,317 |  | 105,223 |  | 8,467 |  | 8,358 | 8,454 | 8,347 |
| Mortgage-backed |  | 1,049,603 |  | 996,787 |  | 891,799 |  | 874,067 | 296,371 | 293,534 |
| Federal Reserve/FHLB stock and other equity securities |  | 101,203 |  | 101,498 |  | 119,103 |  | 119,261 | 97,427 | 97,557 |
| Total available-for-sale securities |  | 2,030,004 |  | ,952,433 |  | 1,829,072 |  | ,799,384 | \$ 931,144 | \$ 924,616 |

The increase in Corporate notes and other debt as of June 30, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005 is related to purchases made with available liquidity which resulted from lower than expected loan growth in recent quarters. In general, the available-for-sale securities portfolio consists of fixed-rate investments with temporary impairment resulting from increases in interest rates since the purchase of the investments. The Company performed an analysis on continuous unrealized losses existing for greater than twelve months and determined there was not a significant change since December 31, 2005. The Company has the ability to hold these investments until such time as the values recover or maturity.
(4) Loans

The following table is a summary of the loan portfolio as of the dates shown:


## Mix:

| Commercial and commercial real estate | $\mathbf{6 2 . 7 \%}$ | $60.6 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Home equity | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ | 12.0 | 12.6 |


| Residential real estate | $\mathbf{4 . 9}$ | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Premium finance receivables | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ | 15.6 | 15.8 |
| Indirect consumer loans | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Tricom finance receivables | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Other loans | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | 1.6 | 2.2 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Indirect consumer loans include auto, boat, snowmobile and other indirect consumer loans. Premium finance receivables are recorded net of unearned income of $\$ 20.7$ million at June 30, 2006, $\$ 16.0$ million at December 31, 2005 and $\$ 18.8$ million at June 30, 2005. Total loans include net deferred loan fees and costs and purchase accounting adjustments totaling $\$(2.1)$ million at June 30, 2006, $\$ 2.6$ million at December 31, 2005 and $\$ 2.3$ million at June 30, 2005.
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## (5) Deposits

The following table is a summary of deposits as of the dates shown:


## Mix:

| Non-interest bearing | $\mathbf{9 . 1 \%}$ | $9.2 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW accounts | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ | 10.5 | 11.6 |
| Wealth management deposits | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| Money market accounts | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ | 9.0 | 10.8 |
| Savings accounts | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| Time certificates of deposit | $\mathbf{6 1 . 4}$ | 60.4 | 56.2 |
| Total deposits | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Wealth management deposits represent FDIC-insured deposits at the Banks from brokerage customers of WHI and trust and asset management customers of WHTC.
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(6) Notes Payable, Federal Home Loan Bank Advances, Other Borrowings and Subordinated Notes:

The following table is a summary of notes payable, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, other borrowings and subordinated notes as of the dates shown:

| (Dollars in thousands) | December |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | June 30, 2005 |
| Notes payable | \$ 30,000 | \$ | 1,000 | \$ 4,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 379,649 |  | 349,317 | 351,888 |
| Other borrowings: |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds purchased |  |  | 235 | 1,923 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 78,168 |  | 93,312 | 148,203 |
| Other | 1,929 |  | 2,249 | 2,275 |
| Total other borrowings | 80,097 |  | 95,796 | 152,401 |
| Subordinated notes | 83,000 |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Total notes payable, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, other borrowings and subordinated notes | \$ 572,746 | \$ | 496,113 | \$ 558,289 |

The notes payable balance is $\$ 30.0$ million. The increase in notes payable since December 31, 2005 is attributable to $\$ 22.0$ million used to meet the capitalization requirements for the Banks and the remainder for general corporate purposes. The total amount of the agreement is $\$ 51.0$ million consisting of a $\$ 50$ million revolving note, which matures on September 1, 2006 pursuant to the loan agreement and $\$ 1.0$ million note that matures on June 1, 2015. The loan agreement provides the Company with borrowing capacity to support further growth, including possible acquisitions, and other corporate purposes. Interest is calculated, at the Company s option, at a floating rate equal to either: (1) LIBOR plus 140 basis points or (2) the greater of the lender s prime rate or the Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points. The loan agreement is secured by the stock of the Company s bank subsidiaries.
Federal Home Loan Bank advances consist of fixed rate obligations of the Banks and are collateralized by qualifying residential real estate loans.
At June 30, 2006, securities sold under repurchase agreements represent $\$ 78.0$ million of customer balances in sweep accounts in connection with master repurchase agreements at the Banks and $\$ 209,000$ of short-term borrowings from brokers.
At June 30, 2006, other includes a mortgage that matures on May 1, 2010, related to the Company s Northfield banking office.
The subordinated notes represent three $\$ 25.0$ million notes, issued in October 2002, April 2003 and October 2005 (funded in May 2006) and two notes totaling $\$ 8.0$ million assumed in connection with the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank. The $\$ 25.0$ million notes require annual principal payments of $\$ 5.0$ million beginning in the sixth year, with final maturities in the tenth year. The Company may redeem the subordinated notes at any time prior to maturity. The interest rate on each note is equal to LIBOR plus 160 basis points. The Hinsbrook Bank subordinated notes mature in 2012 and 2013, are redeemable at any time prior to their maturity dates and have interest rates equal to prime plus 225 basis points.
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## (7) Long-term Debt Trust Preferred Securities

As of June 30, 2006, the Company owned $100 \%$ of the Common Securities of nine trusts, Wintrust Capital Trust I, Wintrust Capital Trust III, Wintrust Statutory Trust IV, Wintrust Statutory Trust V, Wintrust Capital Trust VII, Wintrust Capital Trust VIII, Northview Capital Trust I, Town Bankshares Capital Trust I, and First Northwest Capital Trust I (the Trusts ) set up to provide long-term financing. The Northview, Town and First Northwest capital trusts were acquired as part of the acquisitions of Northview Financial Corporation, Town Bankshares, Ltd., and First Northwest Bancorp, Inc., respectively. The Trusts were formed for purposes of issuing Trust Preferred Securities to third-party investors and investing the proceeds from the issuance of the Trust Preferred Securities and Common Securities solely in Subordinated Debentures ( Debentures ) issued by the Company (or assumed by the Company in connection with an acquisition), with the same maturities and interest rates as the Trust Preferred Securities. The Debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. In each Trust the Common Securities represent approximately 3\% of the Debentures and the Trust Preferred Securities represent approximately $97 \%$ of the Debentures.
The Trusts are reported in the Company s consolidated financial statements as unconsolidated subsidiaries. Accordingly, the Debentures, which include the Company s ownership interest in the Common Securities of the Trusts, are reflected as Long-term debt trust preferred securities and the Common Securities are included in available-for-sale securities in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Condition.
The following table provides a summary of the Company s Long-term debt trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2006. The Debentures represent the par value of the obligations owed to the Trusts and basis adjustments for unamortized fair value adjustments recognized at the respective acquisition dates for the Northview, Town and First Northwest obligations.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Trust <br> Preferred Securities | Debentures |  |  |  |  | Earliest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rate Structure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate at } \\ & 6 / 30 / 2006 \end{aligned}$ | Issue Date | Maturity <br> Date | Redemption Date |
| Wintrust Capital Trust I | \$ 31,050 | \$ 32,010 | Fixed | 9.00\% | 09/1998 | 09/2028 | 09/2003 |
| Wintrust Capital Trust III | 25,000 | 25,774 | L+3.25 | 8.32\% | 04/2003 | 04/2033 | 04/2008 |
| Wintrust Statutory Trust IV | 20,000 | 20,619 | L+2.80 | 8.30\% | 12/2003 | 12/2033 | 12/2008 |
| Wintrust Statutory Trust V | 40,000 | 41,238 | L+2.60 | 8.10\% | 05/2004 | 05/2034 | 06/2009 |
| Wintrust Capital Trust VII | 50,000 | 51,550 | L+1.95 | 7.28\% | 12/2004 | 03/2035 | 03/2010 |
| Wintrust Capital Trust VIII | 40,000 | 41,238 | L+1.45 | 6.95\% | 08/2005 | 09/2035 | 09/2010 |
| Northview Capital Trust I | 6,000 | 6,305 | Fixed | 6.35\% | 08/2003 | 11/2033 | 08/2008 |
| Town Bankshares Capital Trust I | 6,000 | 6,333 | L+3.00 | 8.15\% | 08/2003 | 11/2033 | 08/2008 |
| First Northwest Capital Trust I | 5,000 | 5,308 | L+3.00 | 8.50\% | 05/2004 | 05/2034 | 05/2009 |
| Total |  | \$ 230,375 |  |  |  |  |  |

The interest rates on the variable rate debentures are based on the three-month LIBOR rate and reset on a quarterly basis. The interest rate on the Northview Capital Trust I changes to a variable rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus $3.00 \%$ effective February 8, 2008. Distributions on all issues are payable on a quarterly basis. See Note 15 for discussion on the redemption of the $9.0 \%$ Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities (the Preferred Securities ) issued by Wintrust Capital Trust I.
The Company has guaranteed the payment of distributions and payments upon liquidation or redemption of the Trust Preferred Securities, in each case to the extent of funds held by the Trusts. The Company and the Trusts believe that, taken together, the obligations of the Company under the guarantees, the Debentures, and other related agreements provide, in the aggregate, a full, irrevocable and unconditional guarantee, on a subordinated basis, of all of the obligations of the Trusts under the Trust Preferred Securities. Subject to certain limitations, the Company has the right to defer the payment of interest on the Debentures at any time, or from time to time, for a period not to exceed 20
consecutive quarters. The Trust Preferred Securities are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the Debentures at maturity or their earlier redemption. The Debentures are redeemable in whole or in part prior to maturity at any time after the dates shown in the table, and earlier at the discretion of the Company if certain conditions are met, and, in any event, only after the Company has obtained Federal Reserve approval, if then required under applicable guidelines or regulations.
The Trust Preferred Securities, subject to certain limitations, qualify as Tier 1 capital of the Company for regulatory purposes. On February 28, 2005, the Federal Reserve issued a final rule that retains Tier 1 capital treatment for trust preferred securities but with stricter limits. Under the new rule, which is effective on March 31, 2009, and has a transition period until then, the aggregate amount of the trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements is limited to $25 \%$
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of Tier 1 capital elements (including trust preferred securities), net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other capital elements in excess of the limit could be included in Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions. Applying the final rule at June 30, 2006, the Company would still be considered well-capitalized under regulatory capital guidelines.

## (8) Segment Information

The segment financial information provided in the following tables has been derived from the internal profitability reporting system used by management to monitor and manage the financial performance of the Company. The Company evaluates segment performance based on after-tax profit or loss and other appropriate profitability measures common to each segment. Certain indirect expenses have been allocated based on actual volume measurements and other criteria, as appropriate. Inter-segment revenue and transfers are generally accounted for at current market prices. The net interest income and segment profit of the banking segment includes income and related interest costs from portfolio loans that were purchased from the premium finance segment. For purposes of internal segment profitability analysis, management reviews the results of its premium finance segment as if all loans originated and sold to the banking segment were retained within that segment s operations, thereby causing inter-segment eliminations. Similarly, for purposes of analyzing the contribution from the wealth management segment, management allocates a portion of the net interest income earned by the Banking segment on deposits balances of customers of the wealth management segment to the wealth management segment. (See Wealth management deposits discussion in Deposits section of this report for more information on these deposits.) The following table presents a summary of certain operating information for each reportable segment for the three months ended for the period shown:

| Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, |  |  |  | \$ Change in Contribution |  | \% Change in Contribution |
| Net interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking | \$ | 60,147 | \$ | 52,458 | \$ | 7,689 | 14.7\% |
| Premium finance |  | 10,014 |  | 10,160 |  | (146) | (1.4) |
| Tricom |  | 934 |  | 987 |  | (53) | (5.4) |
| Wealth management |  | 272 |  | 418 |  | (146) | (34.9) |
| Parent and inter-segment eliminations |  | $(10,125)$ |  | $(10,141)$ |  | 16 | 0.2 |
| Total net interest income | \$ | 61,242 | \$ | 53,882 | \$ | 7,360 | 13.7\% |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking | \$ | 10,296 | \$ | 12,305 | \$ | $(2,009)$ | (16.3)\% |
| Premium finance |  | 1,451 |  | 1,881 |  | (430) | (22.9) |
| Tricom |  | 1,204 |  | 1,124 |  | 80 | 7.1 |
| Wealth management |  | 8,866 |  | 9,291 |  | (425) | (4.6) |
| Parent and inter-segment eliminations |  | 2,476 |  | $(8,060)$ |  | 10,536 | 130.7 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ | 24,293 | \$ | 16,541 | \$ | 7,752 | 46.9\% |
| Segment profit (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking | \$ | 16,899 | \$ | 17,378 | \$ | (479) | (2.8)\% |
| Premium finance |  | 5,035 |  | 5,619 |  | (584) | (10.4) |
| Tricom |  | 453 |  | 407 |  | 46 | 11.3 |
| Wealth management |  | (433) |  | (264) |  | (169) | (64.0) |
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| Parent and inter-segment eliminations |  | $(4,343)$ |  | $(10,161)$ |  | 5,818 | 57.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total segment profit | \$ | 17,611 | \$ | 12,979 |  | \$ 4,632 | 35.7\% |
| Segment assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banking | \$ | 9,103,871 |  | 7,613,019 |  | \$ 1,490,852 | 19.6\% |
| Premium finance |  | 959,403 |  | 809,976 |  | 149,427 | 18.4 |
| Tricom |  | 50,938 |  | 54,523 |  | $(3,585)$ | (6.6) |
| Wealth management |  | 65,235 |  | 65,154 |  | 81 | 0.1 |
| Parent and inter-segment eliminations |  | $(1,006,663)$ |  | $(773,679)$ |  | $(232,984)$ | 30.1 |
| Total segment assets | \$ | 9,172,784 |  | 7,768,993 |  | \$ 1,403,791 | 18.1\% |
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The following table presents a summary of certain operating information for each reportable segment for six months ended for the period shown:

| Six Months |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ended | \$ Change | \% Change |
| June 30, | in | in |
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## (9) Derivative Financial Instruments

Management uses derivative financial instruments to protect against the risk of interest rate movements on the value of certain assets and liabilities and on future cash flows. The instruments that have been used by the Company include interest rate swaps and interest rate caps with indices that relate to the pricing of specific liabilities and covered call and put options that relate to specific investment securities. In addition, interest rate lock commitments provided to customers for the origination of mortgage loans that will be sold into the secondary market as well as forward agreements the Company enters into to sell such loans to protect itself against adverse changes in interest rates are deemed to be derivative instruments.
Derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market risk and credit risk. Market risk is associated with changes in interest rates and credit risk relates to the risk that the counterparty will fail to perform according to the terms of the agreement. The amounts potentially subject to market and credit risks are the streams of interest payments under the contracts and the market value of the derivative instrument which is determined based on the interaction of the notional amount of the contract with the underlying, and not the notional principal amounts used to express the volume of the transactions. Management monitors the market risk and credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments as part of its overall Asset/Liability management process.
In accordance with SFAS 133, the Company recognizes all derivative financial instruments in the consolidated financial statements at fair value regardless of the purpose or intent for holding the instrument. Derivative financial instruments are included in other assets or other liabilities, as appropriate, on the Consolidated Statements of Condition. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are either recognized periodically in income or in shareholders equity as a component of other comprehensive income depending on whether the derivative financial instrument qualifies for hedge accounting, and if so, whether it qualifies as a fair value hedge or cash flow hedge. Generally, changes in fair values of derivatives accounted for as fair value hedges are recorded in income in the same period and in the same income statement line as changes in the fair values of the hedged items that relate to the hedged risk(s). Changes in fair values of derivative financial instruments accounted for as cash flow hedges, to the extent they are effective hedges, are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes. Changes in fair values of derivative financial instruments not qualifying as hedges pursuant to SFAS 133 are reported in non-interest income. Derivative contracts are valued using the average fair values provided by the respective counterparties as well as two independent sources.

## Interest Rate Swaps

The tables below identify the Company s interest rate swaps at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, which were entered into to economically hedge certain interest-bearing liabilities (dollars in thousands).

| June 30, 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issue Date | Notional Amount | Fair <br> Value | Receive Rate | Pay <br> Rate | Maturity <br> Date | Counterparty Call Option |
| Pay fixed, receive variable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 2005 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 807 | 8.32\% | 6.71\% | April 2033 | April 2008 |
| February 2005 | 20,000 | 623 | 8.30\% | 6.40\% | December 2033 | December 2008 |
| February 2005 | 40,000 | 1,343 | 8.10\% | 6.27\% | May 2034 | June 2009 |
| February 2005 | 50,000 | 1,949 | 7.28\% | 5.68\% | March 2035 | March 2010 |
| November 2002 | 25,000 | 1,290 | 5.23\% | 4.23\% | October 2012 | None |
| August 2005 | 40,000 | 1,604 | 6.95\% | 5.27\% | September 2035 | September 2010 |
| Total | 200,000 | 7,616 |  |  |  |  |

## Receive fixed, pay variable:

November 2002
$\mathbf{3 1 , 0 5 0}(\mathbf{1 , 7 6 6}) \quad \mathbf{9 . 0 0 \%} \quad \mathbf{8 . 3 6 \%}$ September 2028 Any time

Total $\$ \mathbf{2 3 1 , 0 5 0} \quad \$ \mathbf{5 , 8 5 0}$
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December 31, 2005

| Issue | Notional | Fair | Receive | Pay | Maturity | Counterparty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Amount | Value | Rate | Rate | Date | Call Option |

## Pay fixed, receive variable:

| February 2005 | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$$ | $(75)$ | $7.40 \%$ | $6.71 \%$ | April 2033 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | April 2008

## Receive fixed, pay variable:

November 2002 (371) $31,050.00 \%$ 6.35\% September 2028 Any time

Total $\$ 231,050 \quad \$(1,809)$
The Company does not enter into derivatives for purely speculative purposes. These interest rate swaps were entered into to economically hedge certain funding liabilities and were not accounted for as hedges pursuant to the requirements of SFAS 133. The changes in fair value as well as the quarterly cash settlements are recognized in non-interest income. In the second quarter of 2006, the Company recognized $\$ 3.3$ million of gains, compared to $\$ 6.8$ million of losses in the second quarter of 2005 . These swaps resulted in $\$ 8.8$ million in gains and $\$ 5.7$ million in losses for the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company terminated its position in all of these interest rate swaps in July 2006 at an aggregate fair value that approximated the aggregate fair value as of June 30, 2006.

The Company s banking subsidiaries sometimes enter into interest rate swaps to change a specific loan yield from fixed to variable or vice versa. As of June 30, 2006, these swaps had an aggregate notional value of $\$ 12.3$ million, aggregate positive fair values of $\$ 114,000$ and aggregate negative fair values of $\$ 112,000$. These interest rate swaps are not reflected in the preceding table.

## Mortgage Banking Derivatives

The Company s mortgage banking derivatives have not been designated in SFAS 133 hedge relationships. These derivatives include commitments to fund certain mortgage loans (interest rate locks) to be sold into the secondary market and forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans. It is the Company s practice to enter into forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans when interest rate lock commitments are entered into in order to economically hedge the effect of changes in interest rates on its commitments to fund the loans as well as on its portfolio of mortgage loans held-for-sale. At June 30, 2006, the Company had approximately $\$ 148$ million of interest rate lock commitments and $\$ 257$ million of forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans. The estimated fair values of these mortgage banking derivatives are reflected by a derivative asset of $\$ 642,000$ and a derivative liability of $\$ 439,000$. The fair values were estimated based on changes in mortgage rates from the dates of the commitments. Changes in the fair value of these mortgage banking derivatives are included in mortgage banking revenue.
Other Derivatives

The Company has also used interest rate caps to hedge cash flow variability of certain deposit products. However, no interest rate cap contracts were entered into in 2005 or in 2006 to date, and the Company had no interest rate cap contracts outstanding at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 or June 30, 2005.
Periodically, the Company will sell options to a bank or dealer for the right to purchase certain securities held within the Banks investment portfolios (covered call options). These option transactions are designed primarily to increase the total return associated with the investment securities portfolio. These options do not qualify as hedges pursuant to SFAS 133, and accordingly, changes in fair values of these contracts are recognized as other non-interest income. The Company recognized premium income from these call option transactions of $\$ 684,000$ and $\$ 2.6$ million in the second quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively and $\$ 2.5$ million and $\$ 5.4$ million for the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. There were no covered call options outstanding as of June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 or June 30, 2005.
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## (10) Business Combinations

The Company completed one business combination in the second quarter of 2006 and two business combinations in the first quarter of 2005. All were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting; thus the results of operations prior to their respective dates were not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other fair value purchase accounting adjustments were recorded upon the completion of each acquisition.
On May 31, 2006, Wintrust completed the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bancshares, Inc. ( HBI ) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Hinsbrook Bank \& Trust. HBI was acquired for a total purchase price of $\$ 115.1$ million, consisting of $\$ 58.2$ million cash, the issuance of $1,120,033$ shares of Wintrust s common stock (then valued at $\$ 56.8$ million) and vested stock options valued at $\$ 65,000$. HBI s results of operations have been included in Wintrust s results of operations since June 1, 2006. Certain purchase price allocations, such as the core deposit intangibles valuation, are preliminary. The final allocation is not expected to result in material changes.
On March 31, 2005, Wintrust completed the acquisition of First Northwest Bancorp, Inc. ( FNBI ) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, First Northwest Bank. FNBI was acquired for a total purchase price of $\$ 44.7$ million, consisting of $\$ 14.5$ million cash, the issuance of 595,123 shares of Wintrust s common stock (then valued at $\$ 30.0$ million) and vested stock options valued at $\$ 238,000$. FNBI s results of operations have been included in Wintrust s results of operations since April 1, 2005. In May 2005, First Northwest Bank was merged into Village Bank.
In January 2005, Wintrust completed the acquisition of Antioch Holding Company ( Antioch ) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, State Bank of The Lakes. Antioch was acquired for a total purchase price of $\$ 95.4$ million of cash.
Antioch s results of operations have been included in Wintrust s consolidated financial statements since January 1, 2005, the effective date of the acquisition.
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## (11) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

A summary of the Company s goodwill assets by business segment is presented in the following table:
(Dollars in thousands)
Banking
Premium finance
Tricom
Wealth management
Parent and other

| January 1, | Goodwill | Impairment | June 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | Acquired | Losses | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| $\$ 173,640$ | $\$ 74,002$ | $\$$ | $\$ 247,642$ |

## Total

\$ 196,716 \$ 74,058 \$
\$ 270,774

The increase in the Banking segment s goodwill in the first six months of 2006 primarily relates to $\$ 73.8$ million recorded in connection with the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank. The remaining increase relates to contingent consideration earned by the former owners of Guardian as a result of attaining certain performance measures pursuant to the terms of the Guardian purchase agreement as well as adjustments of prior estimates of fair values associated with other Bank acquisitions. Wintrust could pay additional consideration pursuant to the Guardian transaction through June 2009.
The increase in goodwill in the wealth management segment represents additional contingent consideration earned by the former owners of LFCM as a result of attaining certain performance measures pursuant to the terms of the LFCM purchase agreement. Wintrust could pay additional consideration pursuant to this transaction through January 2007. LFCM was merged into WHAMCO.
A summary of finite-lived intangible assets as of June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005 and the expected amortization as of June 30, 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wealth management segment: Customer list intangibles |  |  |  |
| Gross carrying amount Accumulated amortization | $\begin{array}{rl} \$ & 3,252 \\ & (2,270) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,252 \\ (2,071) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,252 \\ (1,845) \end{gathered}$ |
| Net carrying amount | 982 | 1,181 | 1,407 |
| Banking segment: Core deposit intangibles |  |  |  |
| Gross carrying amount | 26,158 | 19,988 | 19,988 |
| Accumulated amortization | $(4,929)$ | $(3,562)$ | $(2,019)$ |
| Net carrying amount | 21,229 | 16,426 | 17,969 |


| Total other intangible assets, net $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 2 1 1}$ |  | 17,607 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated amortization |  |  |
| Actual in 6 months ended June 30, 2006 | \$ | 1,566 |
| Estimated remaining in 2006 |  | 1,985 |
| Estimated 2007 |  | 3,287 |
| Estimated 2008 |  | 2,732 |
| Estimated 2009 |  | 2,444 |
| Estimated 2010 |  | 2,263 |

The customer list intangibles recognized in connection with the acquisitions of LFCM in 2003 and WHAMC in 2002 are being amortized over seven-year periods on an accelerated basis. The core deposit intangibles recognized in connection with the Company s seven bank acquisitions since 2003 are being amortized over ten-year periods on an accelerated basis. Amortization expense associated with finite-lived intangibles totaled approximately $\$ 1.6$ million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.
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## (12) Stock-Based Compensation Plans

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment ( SFAS 123R ), using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning January 1, 2006, based on the requirements of SFAS 123R for all share-based payments granted after that date and for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Results for prior periods have not been restated.
Prior to 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method set forth in APB 25 , as permitted by SFAS 123 . The intrinsic value method provides that compensation expense for employee stock options is generally not recognized if the exercise price of the option equals or exceeds the fair value of the stock on the date of grant. As a result, for periods prior to 2006, compensation expense was generally not recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income for stock options. Compensation expense has always been recognized for restricted share awards ratably over the period of service, usually the restricted period, based on the fair value of the stock on the date of grant. Compensation cost charged against income related to restricted share awards was $\$ 1.5$ million ( $\$ 955,000$ net of tax) and $\$ 1.0$ million ( $\$ 638,000$ net of tax) for the second quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively. On a year-to-date basis, compensation cost charged against income related to restricted share awards was $\$ 3.1$ million ( $\$ 1.9$ million net of tax) and $\$ 1.8$ million ( $\$ 1.1$ million net of tax) for 2006 and 2005, respectively. On January 1, 2006, the Company reclassified $\$ 5.2$ million of liabilities related to previously recognized compensation cost for restricted share awards that had not been vested as of that date to surplus as these awards represent equity awards as defined in SFAS 123R.
As a result of adopting SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, the Company s income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended June 30, 2006, are $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 878,000$ lower, respectively, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB 25. On a year-to-date basis, the Company s income before income taxes and net income are $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million lower, respectively, than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB 25. Basic and diluted EPS for the three months ended June 30, 2006, are \$0.04 and $\$ 0.03$ lower, respectively, than if the Company had continued to account for share-based payments under APB 25 . On a year-to-date basis, basic and diluted EPS are both $\$ 0.07$ lower.
SFAS 123R requires the recognition of stock based compensation for the number of awards that are ultimately expected to vest. As a result, recognized stock compensation expense was reduced for estimated forfeitures prior to vesting primarily based on historical annual forfeiture rates of approximately $8.4 \%$. Estimated forfeitures will be reassessed in subsequent periods and may change based on new facts and circumstances. Prior to January 1, 2006, actual forfeitures were accounted for as they occurred for purposes of required pro forma stock compensation disclosures.
The following table reflects the Company s pro forma net income and earnings per share as if compensation expense for the Company s stock options, determined based on the fair value at the date of grant consistent with the method of SFAS 123, had been included in the determination of the Company s net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005.


| Earnings per share Basic |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As reported | \$ | 0.55 | \$ | 1.26 |
| Compensation cost of stock options based on fair value, net of related tax effect |  | (0.03) |  | (0.07) |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.52 | \$ | 1.19 |
| Earnings per share Diluted |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 0.53 | \$ | 1.20 |
| Compensation cost of stock options based on fair value, net of related tax effect |  | (0.03) |  | (0.06) |
| Pro forma | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | 1.14 |
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The Company estimates the fair value of stock options at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model that utilizes the assumptions outlined in the following table. These assumptions are consistent with the provisions of SFAS 123R and the Company s prior period pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, including stock option expense. Option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions and are sensitive to changes in the option s expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock, which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Expected life is based on historical exercise and termination behavior, and expected stock price volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company s common stock, which correlates with the expected life of the options. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury curve. Management reviews and adjusts the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of an option on a periodic basis to better reflect expected trends.

|  | For the Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| June | June 30, |  |
|  | 30, | 2005 |
| Expected dividend yield | 2006 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Expected volatility | $0.5 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| Risk-free rate | $4.64 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ |
| Expected option life (in years) | 8.22 | 8.50 |

In general, the Company awards stock based compensation in the form of stock options and restricted shares, both pursuant to the Wintrust Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Incentive Plan ( the Plan ). A summary of option activity under the Plan as of June 30, 2006, and changes for the six months then ended is presented below:

| Stock Options | Common Shares | Weighted Average Strike Price | Remaining Contractual Term ${ }^{(1)}$ | Intrinsic Value ${ }^{(2)}$ (\$000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 3,019,482 | \$29.63 |  |  |
| Granted | 166,600 | 52.07 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(242,174)$ | 16.77 |  |  |
| Forfeited or canceled | $(29,849)$ | 49.50 |  |  |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2006 | 2,914,059 | \$31.76 | 6.03 | \$58,457 |
| Vested or expected to vest at June 30, 2006 | 2,776,229 | \$31.01 | 6.01 | \$57,639 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2006 | 1,745,975 | \$21.41 | 4.62 | \$51,974 |
| (1) Represents the weighted average contractual life remaining in years. |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Aggregate intrinsic value |  |  |  |  |

represents the total pretax
intrinsic value
(i.e., the
difference
between the
Company s
average of the high and low stock price on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2006 and the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares) that would have been received by the option holders if they had exercised their options on June 30, 2006. This amount will change based on the fair market value of the Company s stock.
The weighted average per share grant date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 20.07$ and $\$ 20.27$ respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 8.9$ million and $\$ 7.0$ million, respectively.
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A summary of the restricted share award activity under the Plan as of June 30, 2006, and changes for the six months then ended is presented below:

| Restricted Shares | Common Shares | Weighted Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | t-Date <br> Value |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 206,157 | \$ | 53.55 |
| Granted | 145,403 |  | 51.85 |
| Vested (shares issued) | $(69,487)$ |  | 53.63 |
| Forfeited | $(1,709)$ |  | 51.89 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2006 | 280,364 | \$ | 52.66 |

The fair value of restricted shares is determined based on the average of the high and low trading prices on the grant date. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of shares granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 51.85$ and $\$ 54.00$, respectively.
As of June 30, 2006, there was $\$ 26.3$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share based arrangements under the Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.7 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, was $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 2.3$ million, respectively.
Cash received from option exercises under the Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 4.1$ million and $\$ 3.0$ million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercises totaled $\$ 3.3$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
The Company issues new shares to satisfy option exercises and vesting of restricted shares.

## (13) Earnings Per Share

The following table shows the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income | \$ 17,611 | \$ 12,979 | \$ 36,624 | \$ 28,652 |
| Average common shares outstanding | 24,729 | 23,504 | 24,395 | 22,672 |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares | 894 | 1,125 | 917 | 1,166 |
| Weighted average common shares and effect of dilutive potential common shares | 25,623 | 24,629 | 25,312 | 23,838 |
| Net income per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.26 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 1.45 | \$ 1.20 |

The effect of dilutive common shares outstanding results from stock options, restricted stock unit awards, stock warrants, and shares to be issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Directors Deferred Fee and Stock

Plan, all being treated as if they had been either exercised or issued, computed by application of the treasury stock method.
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## (14) Recent Accounting Developments

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company early-adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 ( SFAS 156 ). SFAS 156 requires separately recognized servicing assets to be recorded at fair value upon the purchase of a servicing right or selling of a loan with servicing retained. SFAS 156 also permits entities to choose to either subsequently measure servicing rights at fair value and report changes in the fair value in earnings or amortize servicing rights in proportion to and over the estimated net servicing income and assess them for impairment. The latter method results in recording servicing rights at lower of amortized cost or fair value. The Company elected to subsequently measure its mortgage servicing rights at fair value. The adoption of SFAS 156 resulted in an increase in the beginning balance of retained earnings by $\$ 1.1$ million to reflect the excess of the fair value over the carrying value of the servicing rights as of the date of adoption, net of tax, as a cumulative-effect adjustment of the change in accounting.
In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this guidance on its financial statements.

## (15) Subsequent Events

On July 31, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has authorized the Company to repurchase up to 2 million shares of common stock over the next 18 months. The Company may repurchase such shares from time to time for cash in open market or privately negotiated transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws. On August 4, 2006, the Company announced that all $1,242,000$ of the Preferred Securities issued by Wintrust Capital Trust I will be redeemed on September 5, 2006 (the Redemption Date ), at a redemption price for each Preferred Security equal to the $\$ 25.00$ liquidation amount, plus any accrued and unpaid distributions to the Redemption Date. Distributions will cease to accrue on the Preferred Securities effective on the Redemption Date.
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## ITEM 2 <br> MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition as of June 30, 2006, compared with December 31, 2005, and June 30, 2005, and the results of operations for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 should be read in conjunction with the Company s unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes contained in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties and, as such, future results could differ significantly from management $s$ current expectations. See the last section of this discussion for further information on forward-looking statements.

## Overview and Strategy

Wintrust is a financial holding company providing traditional community banking services as well as a full array of wealth management services to customers in the Chicago metropolitan area and southern Wisconsin. Additionally, the Company operates other financing businesses on a national basis through several non-bank subsidiaries.

## Community Banking

As of June 30, 2006, the Company s community banking franchise consisted of 15 community banks (the Banks ) with 72 locations. The Company developed its banking franchise through the de novo organization of nine banks ( 50 locations) and the purchase of seven banks, one of which was merged into another of our banks, with 22 locations. In May 2006, the Company completed its acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank, which has five Illinois banking locations, and in March 2006, the Company opened its newest de novo bank, Old Plank Trail Bank. Wintrust s first bank was organized in December 1991, as a highly personal service-oriented community bank. Each of the banks organized or acquired since then share that same commitment to community banking. The Company has grown to $\$ 9.17$ billion in total assets at June 30, 2006 from $\$ 7.77$ billion in total assets at June 30, 2005, an increase of $18 \%$. The historical financial performance of the Company has been affected by costs associated with growing market share in deposits and loans, establishing and acquiring banks, opening new branch facilities and building an experienced management team. The Company s financial performance generally reflects the improved profitability of its banking subsidiaries as they mature, offset by the costs of establishing and acquiring banks and opening new branch facilities. The Company s experience has been that it generally takes 13 to 24 months for new banks to achieve operational profitability depending on the number and timing of branch facilities added.
The following table presents the Banks in chronological order based on the date in which they joined Wintrust. Each of the Banks has established additional full-service banking facilities subsequent to their initial openings.

|  | De novo / Acquired | Date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lake Forest Bank | De novo | December, 1991 |
| Hinsdale Bank | De novo | October, 1993 |
| North Shore Bank | De novo | September, 1994 |
| Libertyville Bank | De novo | October, 1995 |
| Barrington Bank | De novo | December, 1996 |
| Crystal Lake Bank | De novo | December, 1997 |
| Northbrook Bank | De novo | November, 2000 |
| Advantage Bank (organized 2001) | Acquired | October, 2003 |
| Village Bank (organized 1995) | Acquired | December, 2003 |
| Beverly Bank | De novo | April, 2004 |
| Wheaton Bank (formerly Northview Bank; organized 1993) | Acquired | Acquired |
| Town Bank (organized 1998) | Acquired | October, 2004 |
| State Bank of The Lakes (organized 1894) |  | January, 2005 |
| First Northwest Bank (organized 1995; merged into Village Bank in | Acquired |  |
| May 2005) | De novo | March, 2005 |
| Old Plank Trail Bank | Acquired | March, 2006 |
| Hinsbrook Bank (organized 1987) |  | May, 2006 |
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Following is a summary of the activity related to the expansion of the Company s banking franchise since June 30, 2005:
2006 Banking Expansion Activity
Acquisitions:
Ø Hinsbrook Bank, with locations in Willowbrook, Downers Grove, Glen Ellyn, Darien and Geneva, Illinois De Novo bank opening:
Ø New Lenox, Illinois de novo opening of Old Plank Trail Bank
New branch locations:
Ø Gurnee, Illinois permanent location with drive-through replacing temporary location, a branch of Libertyville Bank

Ø Algonquin, Illlinois branch location of Crystal Lake Bank
Ø Mokena, Illinois branch location of Old Plank Trail Bank
Ø Elm Grove, Wisconsin branch of Town Bank
Ø Frankfort, Illinois branch location of Old Plank Trail Bank

## 2005 Banking Expansion Activity

New branch locations:
Ø Downers Grove, Illinois permanent location with drive-through replacing temporary location, a branch of Hinsdale Bank.

Ø Wales, Wisconsin a branch of Town Bank
Ø Glen Ellyn, Illinois a temporary branch location for Glen Ellyn Bank \& Trust, a branch of Wheaton Bank
Ø Northbrook, Illinois in West Northbrook, a branch of Northbrook Bank
Ø Beverly neighborhood of Chicago, Illinois main bank permanent location with drive-through for Beverly Bank

Ø Buffalo Grove, Illinois Buffalo Grove Bank \& Trust, a branch of Northbrook Bank
Ø Lake Bluff, Illinois drive-through facility added to existing bank office; a branch of Lake Forest Bank While committed to a continuing growth strategy, management s ongoing focus is to balance further asset growth with earnings growth by seeking to more fully leverage the existing capacity within each of the operating subsidiaries. One aspect of this strategy is to continue to pursue specialized earning asset niches in order to maintain the mix of earning assets in higher-yielding loans as well as diversify the loan portfolio. Another aspect of this strategy is a continued focus on less aggressive deposit pricing at the Banks with significant market share and more established customer bases.

## Specialty Lending

First Insurance Funding Corporation ( FIFC ) is the Company s most significant specialized earning asset niche, originating $\$ 777$ million in loan (premium finance receivables) volume in the second quarter of $2006, \$ 1.5$ billion in the first six months of 2006 and $\$ 2.7$ billion in the calendar year 2005. FIFC makes loans to businesses to finance the insurance premiums they pay on their commercial insurance policies. The loans are originated by FIFC working through independent medium and large insurance agents and brokers located throughout the United States. The insurance premiums financed are primarily for commercial customers purchases of liability, property and casualty and other commercial insurance. This lending involves relatively rapid turnover of the loan portfolio and high volume of
loan originations. Because of the indirect nature of this lending and because the borrowers are located nationwide, this segment may be more susceptible to third party fraud than relationship lending; however, management established various control procedures to mitigate the risks associated with this lending. The majority of these loans are purchased by the Banks in order to more fully utilize their lending capacity as these loans generally provide the Banks with higher yields than alternative investments. FIFC sold approximately $\$ 203$ million, or $26 \%$, of the receivables generated in the second quarter of 2006 to an unrelated third party while retaining servicing rights. On a year-to-date basis, FIFC sold approximately $\$ 303$ million, or $20 \%$, of its loan originations. The Company began selling premium finance receivables to a third party in 1999. The Company s strategy is to maintain its average loan-to-deposit ratio in the range of $85-90 \%$ as well as to be asset-
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driven. The sale of premium finance receivables provides the Company with a means to achieve both of these objectives. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company s average loan-to-deposit ratio was $82 \%$, below the target range. This was due to deposit growth at recently opened de novo locations exceeding expectations coupled with strong but slower loan origination growth at the Banks. These sales provide the Company with an additional source of liquidity in addition to the recognition of gains. Consistent with the Company s strategy to be asset-driven, it is probable that similar sales of these receivables will occur in the future; however, future sales of these receivables depend on the level of new volume growth in relation to the capacity to retain such loans within the Banks loan portfolios.
As part of its continuing strategy to enhance and diversify its earning asset base and revenue stream, in May 2004, the Company acquired SGB Corporation d/b/a WestAmerica Mortgage Company ( WestAmerica ) and WestAmerica s affiliate, Guardian Real Estate Services, Inc. ( Guardian ). WestAmerica engages primarily in the origination and purchase of residential mortgages for sale into the secondary market, and Guardian provides the document preparation and other loan closing services to WestAmerica and a network of mortgage brokers. WestAmerica sells its loans with servicing released and does not currently engage in servicing loans for others. WestAmerica maintains principal origination offices in ten states, including Illinois, and originates loans in other states through wholesale and correspondent offices. WestAmerica provides the Banks with the ability to use an enhanced loan origination and documentation system which allows WestAmerica and the Banks to better utilize existing operational capacity and expand the mortgage products offered to the Banks customers. WestAmerica s production of adjustable rate mortgage loan products and other variable rate mortgage loan products may be purchased by the Banks for their loan portfolios resulting in additional earning assets to the combined organization, thus adding further desired diversification to the Company s earning asset base.
In October 1999, the Company acquired Tricom as part of its continuing strategy to pursue specialized earning asset niches. Tricom is a company based in the Milwaukee area that has been in business since 1989 and specializes in providing high-yielding, short-term accounts receivable financing and value-added, out-sourced administrative services, such as data processing of payrolls, billing and cash management services, to clients in the temporary staffing industry. Tricom s clients, located throughout the United States, provide staffing services to businesses in diversified industries. These receivables may involve greater credit risks than generally associated with the loan portfolios of more traditional community banks depending on the marketability of the collateral. The principal sources of repayments on the receivables are payments to borrowers from their customers who are located throughout the United States. The Company mitigates this risk by employing lockboxes and other cash management techniques to protect its interests. By virtue of the Company sfunding resources, this acquisition has provided Tricom with additional capital necessary to expand its financing services in a national market. Tricom s revenue principally consists of interest income from financing activities and fee-based revenues from administrative services.
In addition to the earning asset niches provided by the Company s non-bank subsidiaries, several earning asset niches operate within the Banks, including indirect auto lending which is conducted through Hinsdale Bank and Barrington Bank s Community Advantage program that provides lending, deposit and cash management services to condominium, homeowner and community associations. In addition, Hinsdale Bank operates a mortgage warehouse lending program that provides loan and deposit services to mortgage brokerage companies located predominantly in the Chicago metropolitan area, and Crystal Lake Bank has developed a specialty in small aircraft lending which is operated through its North American Aviation Financing division. The Company continues to pursue the development and/or acquisition of other specialty lending businesses that generate assets suitable for bank investment and/or secondary market sales.

## Wealth Management

Wintrust s strategy also includes building and growing its wealth management business, which includes trust, asset management and securities brokerage services marketed primarily under the Wayne Hummer name. In February 2002, the Company completed its acquisition of the Wayne Hummer Companies, comprised of Wayne Hummer Investments LLC ( WHI ), Wayne Hummer Management Company (subsequently renamed Wayne Hummer Asset Management Company ( WHAMC ) and Focused Investments LLC ( Focused ), each based in the Chicago area. To further augment its wealth management business, in February 2003, the Company acquired Lake Forest Capital Management ( LFCM ),
a registered investment advisor. LFCM was merged into WHAMC.
WHAMC, a registered investment advisor, provides money management and advisory services to individuals and institutional municipal and tax-exempt organizations. WHAMC also provides portfolio management and financial 23
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supervision for a wide-range of pension and profit sharing plans. In addition, WHAMC is investment advisor for the PathMaster Domestic Equity Fund a mutual fund that became effective in December 2005. The PathMaster Fund is a quantitatively based fund that employs a variety of fundamental investment analytical factors in allocating its holdings of exchange traded funds according to the underlying securities size and style categorization.
WHI, a registered broker-dealer, provides a full-range of investment products and services tailored to meet the specific needs of individual investors throughout the country, primarily in the Midwest. Although headquartered in downtown Chicago, WHI also operates an office in Appleton, Wisconsin as well as in 18 of the Company s banking locations in Illinois and Wisconsin. Focused, a NASD member broker/dealer, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of WHI and provides a full range of investment services to clients through a network of relationships with unaffiliated community-based financial institutions located primarily in Illinois.
In September 1998, the Company formed a trust subsidiary to expand the trust and investment management services that were previously provided through the trust department of Lake Forest Bank. The trust subsidiary, originally named Wintrust Asset Management Company, was renamed Wayne Hummer Trust Company ( WHTC ) in May 2002, to bring together the Company $s$ wealth management subsidiaries under a common brand name. In addition to offering trust administrative services to existing bank customers at each of the Banks, the Company believes WHTC can successfully compete for trust business by targeting small to mid-size businesses and affluent individuals whose needs command the personalized attention offered by WHTC s experienced trust professionals. WHAMC serves as the investment advisor to WHTC s clients.
The following table presents a summary of the approximate amount of assets under administration and/or management in the Company s wealth management operating subsidiaries as of the dates shown:

|  |  | December |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | June 30, | 31, | June 30, |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2005 | 2005 |
|  |  |  |  |
| WHTC | $\mathbf{6 8 0 , 7 1 1}$ | $\$$ | 658,753 |
| WHAMC ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 8 , 3 4 6}$ | 823,409 | 868,957 |
| WHAMC s proprietary mutual funds | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 7 2}$ | 161,568 | 168,708 |
| WHI brokerage assets in custody | $\mathbf{5 , 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $5,300,000$ | $5,100,000$ |

## (1) Excludes the proprietary mutual funds <br> managed by WHAMC

At the time of the Company s acquisition of the Wayne Hummer Companies, WHAMC was advisor to a family of mutual funds known as the Wayne Hummer funds. In the first quarter of 2006 WHAMC sold the last of these funds, the Wayne Hummer Growth Fund, and realized a gain of approximately $\$ 2.4$ million on the sale. Wayne Hummer will focus its mutual fund efforts on the PathMaster Fund and similar funds and separately managed mutual fund products currently under consideration. In the second quarter of 2006, WHAMC ceased managing a low-margin institutional account with assets totaling approximately $\$ 240$ million.
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## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Earnings Summary

The Company s key operating measures for 2006 , as compared to the same periods last year, are shown below:

|  | Three Months |  | Three <br> Months |  | Percentage (\%)/ <br> Basis Point (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Change |
| Net income | \$ | 17,611 | \$ | 12,979 | 36\% |
| Net income per common share Diluted |  | 0.69 |  | 0.53 | 30 |
| Net revenue ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 85,535 |  | 70,423 | 21 |
| Net interest income |  | 61,242 |  | 53,882 | 14 |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(6)}$ |  | 3.10\% |  | 3.19\% | (9) bp |
| Core net interest margin ${ }^{(2)(6)}$ |  | 3.32 |  | 3.41 | (9) |
| Net overhead ratio ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 1.44 |  | 1.73 | (29) |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(4)}$ (6) |  | 65.01 |  | 70.22 | (521) |
| Return on average assets |  | 0.80 |  | 0.69 | 11 |
| Return on average equity |  | 10.48 |  | 9.03 | 145 |

Net income
Net income per common share Diluted

Net revenue ${ }^{(1)}$
Net interest income
Net interest margin ${ }^{(6)}$
Core net interest margin ${ }^{(2)}$ (6)
Net overhead ratio ${ }^{(3)}$
Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(4)}{ }^{(6)}$
Return on average assets
Return on average equity

Six Months

| Ended |
| :---: |
| June 30, |
| 2006 |
| $\$ \quad \mathbf{3 6 , 6 2 4}$ |
|  |

171,424
118,406
3.11\%

Six Months
Ended June 30, 2005
\$ 36,624
1.45
3.32
1.36
64.08
0.87
11.26

| $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 7 2 , 7 8 4}$ | $\$$ | $7,768,993$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{6 , 0 5 5 , 1 4 0}$ |  | $5,023,087$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 , 5 6 2 , 6 2 1}$ |  | $6,299,050$ |
|  | 230,921 | 21 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 0 , 3 7 5}$ |  | 209 |
|  | $\mathbf{7 2 1 , 8 0 3}$ |  | 597,053 |


| Book value per common share | 28.17 | 25.33 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market price per common share | 50.85 | 52.35 | (3) |
| Allowance for credit losses to total loans ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  | (5) bp |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.33 | 0.28 | 5 |
| (1) Net revenue is net interest income plus non-interest income. |  |  |  |
| (2) The core net interest margin excludes the net interest expense associated with Wintrust s Long-term debt trust preferred securities. |  |  |  |
| (3) The net overhead ratio is calculated by netting total non-interest expense and total non-interest income, annualizing this amount, and dividing by that period stotal average assets. A lower ratio indicates a higher degree of efficiency. |  |  |  |
| (4) The efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing total non-interest expense by tax-equivalent net revenue (less securities gains or losses). A lower ratio indicates more efficient revenue |  |  |  |

generation
(5) The allowance for credit losses includes both the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for lending related commitments.
(6) See following section titled, Supplemental Financial Measures/Ratios
for additional
information on this performance measure/ratio.
Certain returns, yields, performance ratios, and quarterly growth rates are annualized in this presentation and throughout this report to represent an annual time period. This is done for analytical purposes to better discern for decision-making purposes underlying performance trends when compared to full-year or year-over-year amounts. For example, balance sheet growth rates are most often expressed in terms of an annual rate. As such, $5 \%$ growth during a quarter would represent an annualized growth rate of $20 \%$.
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## Supplemental Financial Measures/Ratios

The accounting and reporting polices of Wintrust conform to generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) in the United States and prevailing practices in the banking industry. However, certain non-GAAP performance measures and ratios are used by management to evaluate and measure the Company s performance. These include taxable-equivalent net interest income (including its individual components), net interest margin (including its individual components), core net interest margin and the efficiency ratio. Management believes that these measures and ratios provide users of the Company s financial information with a more meaningful view of the performance of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and of the Company s operating efficiency. Other financial holding companies may define or calculate these measures and ratios differently.
Management reviews yields on certain asset categories and the net interest margin of the Company and its banking subsidiaries on a fully taxable-equivalent ( FTE ) basis. In this non-GAAP presentation, net interest income is adjusted to reflect tax-exempt interest income on an equivalent before-tax basis. This measure ensures comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. Net interest income on a FTE basis is also used in the calculation of the Company s efficiency ratio. The efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing non-interest expense by total taxable-equivalent net revenue (less securities gains or losses), measures how much it costs to produce one dollar of revenue. Securities gains or losses are excluded from this calculation to better match revenue from daily operations to operational expenses.
Management also evaluates the net interest margin excluding the net interest expense associated with the Company s Long-term debt trust preferred securities ( Core Net Interest Margin ). Because these instruments are utilized by the Company primarily as capital instruments, management finds it useful to view the net interest margin excluding this expense and deems it to be a more meaningful view of the operational net interest margin of the Company. A reconciliation of certain non-GAAP performance measures and ratios used by the Company to evaluate and measure the Company s performance to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures is shown below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| (A) Interest income (GAAP) | \$ 135,116 | \$ 98,677 | \$ 255,413 | \$ 185,999 |
| Taxable-equivalent adjustment: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | 105 | 152 | 235 | 305 |
| Liquidity management assets | 261 | 194 | 542 | 333 |
| Other earning assets | 6 | 8 | 7 | 13 |
| Interest income FTE | \$ 135,488 | \$ 99,031 | \$ 256,197 | \$ 186,650 |
| (B) Interest expense (GAAP) | 73,874 | 44,795 | 137,007 | 82,203 |
| Net interest income FTE | \$ 61,614 | \$ 54,236 | \$ 119,190 | \$ 104,447 |
| (C) Net interest income (GAAP) (A minus B) | \$ 61,242 | \$ 53,882 | \$ 118,406 | \$ 103,796 |
| Net interest income FTE | \$ 61,614 | \$ 54,236 | \$ 119,190 | \$ 104,447 |
| Add: Interest expense on long-term debt trust preferred securities, net ${ }^{(1)}$ | 4,238 | 3,704 | 8,232 | 7,018 |
| Core net interest income $\mathrm{FTE}^{2}$ ) | \$ 65,852 | \$ 57,940 | \$ 127,422 | \$ 111,465 |
| (D) Net interest margin (GAAP) | 3.08\% | 3.17\% | 3.09\% | 3.17\% |

Net interest margin FTE
Core net interest margin
(E) Efficiency ratio (G
Efficiency ratio FTE
(1) Interest expense
from the
Long-term debt
trust preferred
securities is net
of the interest
income on the
Common
Securities owned
by the Trusts and
included in
interest income.
(2) Core net interest
income and core
net interest
margin are by
definition
non-GAAP
measures/ratios.
The GAAP
equivalents are
the net interest
income and net
interest margin
determined in
accordance with
GAAP (lines $C$
and D in the
table).

| $\mathbf{3 . 1 0 \%}$ | $3.19 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1 \%}$ | $3.20 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 2 \%}$ | $3.41 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 2 \%}$ | $3.41 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 5 . 2 9 \%}$ | $70.58 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 3 8 \%}$ | $67.71 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5 . 0 1 \%}$ | $70.22 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0 8 \%}$ | $67.40 \%$ |

Interest expense
from the
Long-term debt trust preferred securities is net
of the interest
income on the
Common
Securities owned by the Trusts and included in
interest income.
Core net interest
income and core
net interest
margin are by
definition
non-GAAP
measures/ratios.
The GAAP
equivalents are the net interest income and net interest margin determined in accordance with GAAP (lines C table).
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## Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Critical accounting policies inherently have greater complexity and greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments than other accounting policies, and as such have a greater possibility that changes in those estimates and assumptions could produce financial results that are materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates and assumptions. Management currently views critical accounting policies to include the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for lending-related commitments, the valuation of the retained interest in the premium finance receivables sold, the valuations required for impairment testing of goodwill, the valuation and accounting for derivative instruments and the accounting for income taxes as the areas that are most complex and require the most subjective and complex judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available. For a more detailed discussion on these critical accounting policies, see Summary of Critical Accounting Policies beginning on page 72 of the Company s Annual Report to shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## Net Income

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 totaled $\$ 17.6$ million, an increase of $\$ 4.6$ million, or $36 \%$, over the $\$ 13.0$ million recorded in the second quarter of 2005 . On a per share basis, net income for the second quarter of 2006 totaled $\$ 0.69$ per diluted common share, an increase of $\$ 0.16$ per share, or $30 \%$, as compared to the 2005 second quarter total of $\$ 0.53$ per diluted common share. The return on average equity for the second quarter of 2006 was $10.48 \%$, compared to $9.03 \%$ for the prior year quarter.
Net income for the first six months of 2006 , totaled $\$ 36.6$ million, an increase of $\$ 8.0$ million, or $28 \%$, compared to $\$ 28.7$ million for the same period in 2005. On a per share basis, net income per diluted common share was $\$ 1.45$ for the first six months of 2006 , an increase of $\$ 0.25$ per share, or $21 \%$, compared to $\$ 1.20$ for the first six months of 2005. Return on average equity for the first six months of 2006 was $11.26 \%$ versus $10.93 \%$ for the same period of 2005.
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## Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is the difference between interest income and fees on earning assets and interest expense on deposits and borrowings, is the major source of earnings for Wintrust. Tax-equivalent net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 totaled $\$ 61.6$ million, an increase of $\$ 7.4$ million, or $14 \%$, as compared to the $\$ 54.2$ million recorded in the same quarter of 2005 . Average loans in the second quarter of 2006 increased $\$ 782$ million, or $15 \%$, over the second quarter of 2005 ( $\$ 658$ million, or $13 \%$, excluding the impact of the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank) and $\$ 442$ million, or $33 \%$, on an annualized basis, over the first quarter of 2006.
The following table presents a summary of the Company s net interest income and related net interest margins, calculated on a fully taxable equivalent basis, for the second quarter of 2006 as compared to the second quarter of 2005 (linked quarters):

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 |  |  |  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average |  | Interest | Rate | Average | Interest | Rate |
| Liquidity management assets ${ }^{(1)(2)(8)}$ | \$ 2,090,691 |  | 25,397 | 4.87\% | \$ 1,723,855 | \$ 17,510 | 4.07\% |
| Other earning assets ${ }^{(2)(3)(8)}$ | 32,304 |  | 566 | 7.00 | 31,382 | 479 | 6.12 |
| Loans, net of unearned income ${ }^{(2)(4)(8)}$ | 5,849,916 |  | 109,525 | 7.51 | 5,067,904 | 81,042 | 6.41 |
| Total earning assets ${ }^{(8)}$ | \$ 7,972,911 |  | 135,488 | 6.82\% | \$ 6,823,141 | \$99,031 | 5.82\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(43,137)$ |  |  |  | $(40,671)$ |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 123,842 |  |  |  | 139,587 |  |  |
| Other assets | 731,765 |  |  |  | 612,667 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$8,785,381 |  |  |  | \$7,534,724 |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 6,494,473 |  | 62,069 | 3.83\% | \$ 5,523,215 | \$ 36,288 | 2.64\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 371,369 |  | 3,714 | 4.01 | 341,361 | 3,048 | 3.58 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 233,430 |  | 2,687 | 4.62 | 165,014 | 905 | 2.20 |
| Subordinated notes | 61,242 |  | 1,056 | 6.82 | 50,000 | 745 | 5.89 |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | 230,389 |  | 4,348 | 7.47 | 209,939 | 3,809 | 7.18 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$7,390,903 |  | 73,874 | 4.01\% | \$ 6,289,529 | \$44,795 | 2.85\% |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 633,500 |  |  |  | 597,953 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 87,221 |  |  |  | 70,491 |  |  |
| Equity | 673,757 |  |  |  | 576,751 |  |  |

Total liabilities and shareholders equity
\$ 8,785,381
\$7,534,724

| Interest rate spread ${ }^{(5)(8)}$ |  |  |  | 2.81\% |  |  |  | 2.97\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net free funds/contribution (6) | \$ | 582,008 |  | 0.29 | \$ | 533,612 |  | 0.22 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 3.10 |  |  | \$ 54,236 | 3.19\% |

Net interest income/Net interest margin ${ }^{(8)}$
(1) Liquidity
management
assets include available-for-sale securities, interest earning deposits with banks, federal
funds sold and
securities
purchased under
resale agreements.
(2) Interest income on tax-advantaged
loans, trading
account securities
and securities
reflects a
tax-equivalent
adjustment based
on a marginal
federal corporate tax rate of $35 \%$.
The total
adjustments for
the quarters ended
June 30, 2006 and
2005 were
$\$ 372,000$ and
$\$ 354,000$,
respectively.
(3) Other earning
assets include
brokerage
customer
receivables and trading account securities.
(4) Loans, net of unearned income, include mortgages held-for-sale and non-accrual loans.
(5) Interest rate spread is the difference between the yield earned on earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
(6) Net free funds are the difference between total average earning assets and total average interest-bearing liabilities. The estimated contribution to net interest margin from net free funds is calculated using the rate paid for total interest-bearing liabilities.
(7) The core net interest margin excludes the effect
of the net interest expense associated with Wintrust $s$ Long-term debt trust preferred securities.
(8) See

Supplemental
Financial
Measures/Ratios section of this
report for
additional
information on this performance measure/ratio.
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The following table presents a summary of the Company s net interest income and related net interest margins, calculated on a fully taxable equivalent basis, for the second quarter of 2006 as compared to the first quarter of 2006 (sequential quarters):

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 |  |  |  | For the Three Months Ended <br> March 31, 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average |  | Interest | Rate | Average |  | Interest | Rate |
| Liquidity management assets ${ }^{(1)(2)(8)}$ | \$ 2,090,691 |  | \$ 25,397 | 4.87\% | \$ 2,060,242 |  | 23,456 | 4.62\% |
| Other earning assets ${ }^{(2)(3)(8)}$ | 32,304 |  | 566 | 7.00 | 31,818 |  | 473 | 5.94 |
| Loans, net of unearned income ${ }^{(2)(4)}$ (8) | 5,849,916 |  | 109,525 | 7.51 | 5,408,010 |  | 96,781 | 7.26 |
| Total earning assets ${ }^{(8)}$ | \$7,972,911 |  | \$135,488 | 6.82\% | \$ 7,500,070 |  | 120,710 | 6.53\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(43,137)$ |  |  |  | $(41,629)$ |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 123,842 |  |  |  | 127,868 |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 731,765 |  |  |  | 653,568 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$8,785,381 |  |  |  | \$ 8,239,877 |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 6,494,473 |  | 62,069 | 3.83\% | \$ 6,202,123 |  | 54,282 | 3.55\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 371,369 |  | 3,714 | 4.01 | 356,655 |  | 3,280 | 3.73 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 233,430 |  | 2,687 | 4.62 | 85,889 |  | 654 | 3.09 |
| Subordinated notes | 61,242 |  | 1,056 | 6.82 | 50,000 |  | 801 | 6.41 |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | 230,389 |  | 4,348 | 7.47 | 230,431 |  | 4,116 | 7.15 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$7,390,903 |  | \$73,874 | 4.01\% | \$ 6,925,098 |  | 63,133 | 3.69\% |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 633,500 |  |  |  | 595,322 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 87,221 |  |  |  | 81,189 |  |  |  |
| Equity | 673,757 |  |  |  | 638,268 |  |  |  |

Total liabilities and shareholders equity
\$ 8,785,381
\$8,239,877

Interest rate spread ${ }^{(5)}{ }^{(8)}$
Net free funds/contribution (6)
\$ 582,008
2.81\%
2.84\%
$\mathbf{0 . 2 9} \$ 574,972$
0.28

Net interest income/Net interest margin ${ }^{(8)}$

Core net interest margin ${ }^{(7)}{ }^{(8)}$
\$ 61,614
3.10\%
\$ 57,577
$3.12 \%$
3.32\%
3.33\%
(1)

Liquidity
management
assets include
available-for-sale
securities, interest
earning deposits
with banks, federal
funds sold and securities
purchased under
resale agreements.
(2) Interest income on tax-advantaged loans, trading account securities and securities reflects a tax-equivalent adjustment based on a marginal federal corporate tax rate of $35 \%$. The total adjustments for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 was $\$ 372,000$ and for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was $\$ 413,000$.
(3) Other earning assets include brokerage customer receivables and trading account securities.
(4) Loans, net of unearned income, include mortgages held-for-sale and non-accrual loans.
(5) Interest rate spread is the difference between the yield earned on earning assets
and the rate paid
on
interest-bearing
liabilities.
(6) Net free funds are the difference between total average earning assets and total average interest-bearing liabilities. The estimated contribution to net interest margin from net free funds is calculated using the rate paid for total interest-bearing liabilities.
(7) The core net interest margin excludes the effect of the net interest expense associated with Wintrust s Long-term debt trust preferred securities.
(8) See

Supplemental Financial Measures/Ratios section of this report for additional information on this performance measure/ratio.
Net interest margin represents tax-equivalent net interest income as a percentage of the average earning assets during the period. For the second quarter of 2006 the net interest margin was $3.10 \%$, a decrease of two basis points when compared to the net interest margin of $3.12 \%$ in first quarter of 2006 and a decrease of nine basis points when compared to the second quarter of 2005. The core net interest margin, which excludes the net interest expense related to Wintrust s Long-term debt trust preferred securities, was $3.32 \%$ for the second quarter of 2006, 3.33\% for the first quarter of 2006 and $3.41 \%$ for the second quarter of 2005 .
The net interest margin declined nine basis points in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 as the yield on earning assets increased by 100 basis points, the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities increased
by 116 basis points and the contribution from net free funds increased by seven basis points. The earning asset yield improvement in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 was primarily attributable to a 110 basis point increase
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in the yield on loans. The higher loan yield is reflective of the interest rate increases effected by the Federal Reserve Bank offset by continued competitive loan pricing pressures. The interest-bearing liability rate increase of 116 basis points was due to higher costs of retail deposits as rates have generally risen in the past 12 months, continued competitive pricing pressures on fixed-maturity time deposits in most markets and the promotional pricing activities associated with opening additional de novo branches and branches acquired through acquisition. The net interest margin in the second quarter of 2006 declined slightly to $3.10 \%$ when compared to the $3.12 \%$ recorded in the first quarter of 2006 as the net interest margin in the last five quarters has been hampered by both the loan-to-deposit ratio falling below the Company s targeted range of $85 \%$ to $90 \%$ and competitive loan pricing pressures in all lending areas. The competitive lending market has restricted anticipated improvements in the Company s net interest margin in a rising rate environment due to loan portfolio yields increasing slower on loans than the rate on deposits.
The yield on total earning assets for the second quarter of 2006 was $6.82 \%$ as compared to $5.82 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005. The increase of 100 basis points from the second quarter of 2005 resulted primarily from the rising short-term interest rate environment in the last 24 months offset by the effects of a flattening yield curve and highly competitive pricing in all lending areas. The second quarter 2006 yield on loans was $7.51 \%$, a 110 basis point increase when compared to the prior year second quarter yield of $6.41 \%$. Compared to the first quarter of 2006, the yield on earning assets increased 29 basis points primarily as a result of a 25 basis point increase in the yield on total loans and a 25 basis point increase in the yield on liquidity management assets. The average loan-to-average deposit ratio was $82.1 \%$ in the second quarter of $2006,82.8 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005 and $79.6 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006. Solid internal loan growth in the second quarter of 2006 helped improve this ratio.
The rate paid on interest-bearing deposits increased to $3.83 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 as compared to $2.64 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005. The rate paid on wholesale funding, consisting of Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago advances, notes payable, subordinated notes, other borrowings and trust preferred securities, increased to $5.25 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $4.42 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005 and $4.93 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 as a result of higher short-term funding and trust preferred borrowings costs. The Company utilizes certain borrowing sources to fund the additional capital requirements of the subsidiary banks, manage its capital, manage its interest rate risk position and for general corporate purposes.
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The following table presents a summary of the Company s net interest income and related net interest margins, calculated on a fully taxable equivalent basis, for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005.

|  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 |  |  | For the Six Months Ended June30, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | Average | Interest | Rate | Average |  | Interest | Rate |
| Liquidity management assets ${ }^{(1)(2)(8)}$ | \$ 2,075,572 | \$ 48,853 | 4.75\% | \$ 1,613,378 |  | 32,256 | 4.03\% |
| Other earning assets ${ }^{(2)(3)(8)}$ | 32,062 | 1,038 | 6.48 | 32,743 |  | 920 | 5.66 |
| Loans, net of unearned income ${ }^{(2)(4)}$ (8) | 5,630,511 | 206,306 | 7.39 | 4,953,408 |  | 153,474 | 6.25 |
| Total earning assets ${ }^{(8)}$ | \$7,738,145 | \$ 256,197 | 6.68\% | \$ 6,599,529 |  | 186,650 | 5.70\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(42,421)$ |  |  | $(39,473)$ |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 125,661 |  |  | 136,584 |  |  |  |
| Other assets | 682,908 |  |  | 577,794 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 8,504,293 |  |  | \$7,274,434 |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 6,348,873 | \$ 116,351 | 3.70\% | \$ 5,266,607 |  | 65,259 | 2.50\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 364,043 | 6,994 | 3.87 | 319,667 |  | 5,617 | 3.54 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 159,822 | 3,341 | 4.21 | 231,606 |  | 2,684 | 2.34 |
| Subordinated notes | 55,652 | 1,857 | 6.64 | 50,000 |  | 1,424 | 5.66 |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | 230,410 | 8,464 | 7.31 | 207,313 |  | 7,219 | 6.93 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 7,158,800 | \$ 137,007 | 3.86\% | \$ 6,075,193 |  | 82,203 | 2.72\% |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 614,136 |  |  | 566,768 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 75,409 |  |  | 103,817 |  |  |  |
| Equity | 655,948 |  |  | 528,656 |  |  |  |

Total liabilities and shareholders equity
\$ 8,504,293
\$7,274,434

Interest rate spread ${ }^{(5)(8)}$
Net free funds/contribution ${ }^{(6)}$
\$ 579,345
2.82\%
2.98\%
$\mathbf{0 . 2 9}$ \$ 524,336
0.22

Net interest income/Net interest margin ${ }^{(8)}$

Core net interest margin ${ }^{(7)(8)}$
\$ 119,190
$\mathbf{3 . 1 1 \%}$
\$ 104,447
3.20\%
3.32\%
$3.41 \%$

## (1) Liquidity <br> management

assets include
available-for-sale securities, interest earning deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities
purchased under
resale agreements.
(2) Interest income on tax-advantaged loans, trading account securities and securities
reflects a
tax-equivalent
adjustment based on a marginal
federal corporate tax rate of $35 \%$. The total adjustments for the six months ended June 30,
2006 and 2005
were \$784,000 and $\$ 651,000$, respectively.
(3) Other earning assets include
brokerage
customer receivables and trading account securities.
(4) Loans, net of unearned income, include mortgages held-for-sale and non-accrual loans.
(5) Interest rate spread is the difference between the yield earned on earning assets and the rate paid
on
interest-bearing
liabilities.
(6) Net free funds are
the difference
between total
average earning
assets and total
average
interest-bearing
liabilities. The
estimated
contribution to net
interest margin
from net free funds
is calculated using
the rate paid for
total
interest-bearing
liabilities.
(7) The core net interest margin excludes the effect
of the net interest expense associated with Wintrust $s$ Long-term debt trust preferred securities.
(8) See

## Supplemental

Financial
Measures/Ratios
section of this
report for
additional
information on
this performance
measure/ratio.
The tax-equivalent net interest income for the six months ending June 30, 2006 totaled $\$ 119.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 14.7$ million, or $14 \%$, compared to the $\$ 104.4$ million recorded for the same period in 2005 . Growth in the Company s earning asset base was the primary contributor to this increase. Average earning assets increased $\$ 1.1$ billion, or $17 \%$, in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same period of 2005. The 2006 year-to-date net interest margin was $3.11 \%$, compared to $3.20 \%$ for the prior year period. The nine basis point decrease in net interest margin resulted from the yield on earning assets increasing by 98 basis points, the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities increasing by 114 basis points and the contribution from net free funds increasing by seven basis points. The loan yield increased by 114 basis points while the rate paid on interest-bearing deposits increased 120 basis points in 2006 compared to 2005. The competitive lending markets described above in the quarterly results have impacted the year-to-date results in a similar manner. Loan yields increasing faster than interest-bearing deposit rates in a rising rate environment have not occurred as anticipated.
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The yield on total earning assets for the first six months of 2006 was $6.68 \%$ compared to $5.70 \%$ in 2005 , an increase of 98 basis points resulting primarily from the effect of higher yields on loans. Average loans, the highest yielding component of the earning asset base, increased $\$ 677$ million, or $14 \%$, in the first six months of 2006 compared to the prior year period. The average yield on loans during the six months ended June 30, 2006, was $7.39 \%$, an increase of 114 basis points compared to $6.25 \%$ for the same period of 2005.
The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities for the first six months of 2006 was $3.86 \%$ compared to $2.72 \%$ in the first six months of 2005, an increase of 114 basis points. Deposits accounted for $89 \%$ of total interest bearing liabilities in the first six months of 2006 and $87 \%$ in the same period of 2005 . The average rate paid on deposits was $3.70 \%$ in the first six months of 2006, an increase of 120 basis points compared to the average rate of $2.50 \%$ in the first six months of 2005.
The following table presents an analysis of the changes in the Company s tax-equivalent net interest income comparing the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and March 31, 2006, the six-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 and the three-month periods ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005. The reconciliations set forth the changes in the tax-equivalent net interest income as a result of changes in volumes, changes in rates and differing number of days in each period.
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## Non-interest Income

For the second quarter of 2006, non-interest income totaled $\$ 24.3$ million and increased $\$ 7.8$ million, or $47 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, non-interest income totaled $\$ 53.0$ million, an increase of $\$ 12.1$ million, or $30 \%$, compared to the same period of 2005 . The increases in both the quarterly and the year-to-date periods were significantly impacted by higher levels of trading income recognized related to changes in fair values of interest rate swaps.
The following tables present non-interest income by category for the periods presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | \$ <br> Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 |  |  |
| Brokerage | \$ 5,086 | \$ 5,393 | \$ (307) | (5.7)\% |
| Trust and asset management | 2,445 | 2,424 | 21 | 0.9 |
| Total wealth management | 7,531 | 7,817 | (286) | (3.7) |
| Mortgage banking | 5,860 | 5,555 | 305 | 5.5 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,746 | 1,594 | 152 | 9.5 |
| Gain on sales of premium finance receivables | 1,451 | 1,726 | (275) | (15.9) |
| Administrative services | 1,204 | 1,124 | 80 | 7.1 |
| Gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net | (95) | 978 | $(1,073)$ | (109.7) |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |
| Fees from covered call and put options | 684 | 2,624 | $(1,940)$ | (73.9) |
| Trading income (loss) net cash settlement of swaps | 709 | (31) | 740 | NM |
| Trading income (loss) change in fair market value | 2,609 | $(6,789)$ | 9,398 | NM |
| Bank Owned Life Insurance | 676 | 550 | 126 | 22.9 |
| Miscellaneous | 1,918 | 1,393 | 525 | 37.7 |
| Total other | 6,596 | $(2,253)$ | 8,849 | NM |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 24,293 | \$ 16,541 | \$ 7,752 | 46.9\% |


|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  | \$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 2006 | 2005 | Change | Change |
| Brokerage | \$ 10,261 | \$ 10,914 | \$ (653) | (6.0)\% |
| Trust and asset management | 7,407 | 4,847 | 2,560 | 52.8 |
| Total wealth management | 17,668 | 15,761 | 1,907 | 12.1 |
| Mortgage banking | 10,970 | 12,083 | $(1,113)$ | (9.2) |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 3,444 | 2,933 | 511 | 17.4 |
| Gain on sales of premium finance receivables | 2,446 | 3,382 | (936) | (27.7) |
| Administrative services | 2,358 | 2,138 | 220 | 10.3 |
| Gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net | (15) | 978 | (993) | (101.5) |

Other:

| Fees from covered call and put options | $\mathbf{2 , 4 8 9}$ | 5,377 | $(2,888)$ | (53.7) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trading income net cash settlement of swaps | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 1}$ | 43 | 1,188 | NM |
| Trading income (loss) change in fair market value | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 4}$ | $(5,719)$ | 13,243 | NM |
| Bank Owned Life Insurance | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 6}$ | 1,148 | 158 | 13.8 |
| Miscellaneous | $\mathbf{3 , 5 9 7}$ | 2,797 | 800 | 28.6 |
| Total other | $\mathbf{1 6 , 1 4 7}$ | 3,646 | 12,501 | 342.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 , 0 1 8}$ | $\$ 40,921$ | $\$ 12,097$ | $29.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| NM data not |  |  |  |  |
| meaningful |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Wealth management is comprised of the trust and asset management revenue of WHTC and the asset management fees, brokerage commissions, trading commissions and insurance product commissions at WHI, WHAMC and Focused Investments. Wealth management totaled $\$ 7.5$ million in the second quarter of 2006, a $\$ 286,000$ decrease from the $\$ 7.8$ million recorded in the second quarter of 2005. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, wealth management fees increased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $12 \%$, compared to the same period last year. Trust and asset management revenue in the year-to-date period includes a $\$ 2.4$ million gain recognized as a result of the sale of the Wayne Hummer Growth Fund in the first quarter of 2006. While revenue from retail brokerage trading in the debt and equity markets decreased $\$ 307,000$ compared to the second quarter of 2005 , this revenue source was essentially the same as recorded in the first quarter of 2006. The Company anticipates continued recognition of revenue enhancement capabilities and cost saving opportunities as a result of the conversion to an out-sourced securities clearing platform completed by Wayne Hummer Investments in the third quarter of 2005 and continued growth of the wealth management platform throughout its banking locations. Wealth management growth generated in the banking locations is significantly outpacing the growth derived from the traditional Wayne Hummer Investments downtown Chicago sources.
Brokerage fees are impacted by trading volumes and trust and asset management fees are affected by the valuations of the equity securities under management. Wintrust $s$ strategy is to grow the wealth management business in order to better service its customers and create a more diversified revenue stream. Total assets under management and/or administration by WHTC and WHAMC were $\$ 1.2$ billion at June 30, 2006, $\$ 1.6$ billion at December 31, 2005 and $\$ 1.7$ billion at June 30, 2005. The Wayne Hummer Growth Fund, which was managed by WHAMC and sold during the first quarter of 2006, had total assets of $\$ 162$ million at December 31, 2005. In addition, during the second quarter of 2006 WHAMC ceased managing a low-margin institutional account with assets totaling approximately $\$ 240$ million.
Mortgage banking includes revenue from activities related to originating, selling and servicing residential real estate loans for the secondary market. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006, this revenue source totaled $\$ 5.9$ million, an increase of $\$ 305,000$ when compared to the second quarter of 2005, attributable to a $\$ 1.1$ million increase between the comparable periods in the income recorded to recognize the fair market value of mortgage banking derivatives (primarily rate lock commitments and commitments to sell loans to end investors) offset by lower levels of traditional mortgage banking revenue. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, mortgage banking revenue decreased $\$ 1.1$ million when compared to the first half of 2005. This decrease is attributable to a $\$ 1.5$ million decrease from traditional mortgage banking revenue partially offset by an increase of $\$ 753,000$ in the income recorded to recognize the mortgage banking derivatives. Mortgage banking revenue was negatively impacted by the current interest rate environment and will continue to be dependent upon the relative level of long-term interest rates. A continuation of the existing rate environment may further negatively impact mortgage banking production and revenue. The Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 156: Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 ( SFAS 156 ) as of January 1, 2006. SFAS 156 requires separately recognized servicing assets, in the Company s case capitalized mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ), to be recorded at fair value upon the purchase of a servicing right or selling of a loan with servicing retained. SFAS 156 also permits entities to choose to either subsequently measure MSRs at fair value and report changes in the fair value in earnings or amortize MSRs in proportion to and over the estimated net servicing income and assess them for impairment. The latter method results in recording MSRs at lower of amortized cost or fair value. The Company has elected to subsequently measure MSRs at fair value. In connection with the adoption of SFAS 156 the Company recorded an increase in the beginning balance of retained earnings by $\$ 1.1$ million (to reflect the excess of the fair value over the carrying value of the MSRs at the date of adoption, net of tax, as a cumulative-effect adjustment of the change in accounting.) At June 30, 2006, the Company serviced approximately $\$ 508$ million of mortgage loans for others. The fair value of the MSRs related to such loans totaled $\$ 5.6$ million and is included in accrued interest receivable and other assets on the Consolidated Statements of Condition.
Service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 1.7$ million for the second quarter of 2006, an increase of $\$ 152,000$, or $10 \%$, when compared to the same quarter of 2005 . On a year-to-date basis, service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 3.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 511,000$, or $17 \%$, compared to the same period of 2005. Deposit service charges
primarily relate to customary fees on overdrawn accounts and returned items. The level of service charges received is substantially below peer group levels, as management believes in the philosophy of providing high quality service without encumbering that service with numerous activity charges.
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Gain on sales of premium finance receivables results from the Company s sales of premium finance receivables to an unrelated third party. The majority of the receivables originated by FIFC are purchased by the Banks to more fully utilize their lending capacity. However, the company has been selling premium finance receivables to an unrelated third party, with servicing retained, since 1999. Having a program in place to sell premium finance receivables to a third party allows the Company to execute its strategy to be asset-driven while providing the benefits of additional sources of liquidity and revenue.
In the second quarter of 2006, the Company sold $\$ 203$ million of premium finance receivables to an unrelated third party and recognized gains of $\$ 1.5$ million related to this activity, compared with $\$ 1.7$ million of recognized gains in the second quarter of 2005 on sales of $\$ 138$ million. On a year-to-date basis, the Company recognized gains of $\$ 2.4$ million in 2006 on sales of $\$ 303$ million, compared to gains of $\$ 3.4$ million in 2005 on sales of $\$ 284$ million of receivables. Recognized gains related to this activity are significantly influenced by the spread between the yield on the loans sold and the rate passed on to the purchaser. The yield on the loans sold and the rate passed on to the purchaser typically do not react in a parallel fashion, therefore causing the spreads to vary from period to period. This spread ranged from $2.62 \%$ to $3.24 \%$ in the first six months of 2006 , compared to a range of $3.46 \%$ to $3.74 \%$ in the first six months of 2005. The spreads narrowed as yields on the premium finance receivables have not risen commensurately with increases in short term rates. The lower amount of gain recognized in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the prior year quarter, was primarily due to the lower interest rate spread on the loans sold offset by a higher volume of loans sold. The Company continues to maintain an interest in the loans sold and establishes a servicing asset, interest only strip and a recourse obligation upon each sale. Recognized gains, recorded in accordance with SFAS 140, as well as the Company s retained interests in these loans are based on the Company s projection of cash flows that will be generated from the loans. The cash flow model incorporates the amounts contractually due from customers, including an estimate of late fees, the amounts due to the purchaser of the loans, commissions paid to agents as well as estimates of the terms of the loans and credit losses. Significant differences in actual cash flows and the projected cash flows can cause impairment to the servicing asset and interest only strip as well as adjustments to the recourse obligation. The Company typically makes a clean up call by repurchasing the remaining loans in the pools sold after approximately 10 months from the sale date. Upon repurchase, the loans are recorded in the Company s premium finance receivables portfolio and any remaining balance of the Company s retained interest is recorded as an adjustment to the gain on sale of premium finance receivables. The Company continuously monitors the performance of the loan pools to the projections and adjusts the assumptions in its cash flow model when warranted. In the second quarter of 2006, clean up calls resulted in a charge of approximately $\$ 7,000$ compared to gains of $\$ 79,000$ (primarily from reversing the remaining balances of the related liability for the Company s recourse obligation related to the loans) in the second quarter of 2005. Credit losses were estimated at $0.15 \%$ of the estimated average balance for loans sold in the second quarter of 2006 and 2005. (See Allowance for Credit Losses section later in this report for more details.) The estimated average terms of the loans during the second quarters of 2006 and 2005 were approximately 9 months. The applicable discount rate used in determining gains related to this activity was unchanged during 2005 and 2006.
At June 30, 2006, premium finance receivables sold and serviced for others for which the Company retains a recourse obligation related to credit losses totaled approximately $\$ 302$ million. The recourse obligation is estimated in computing the net gain on the sale of the premium finance receivables. At June 30, 2006, the recourse obligation carried in other liabilities was approximately $\$ 271,000$.
Credit losses incurred on loans sold are applied against the recourse obligation liability that is established at the date of sale. Credit losses, net of recoveries, in the first six months of 2006 and 2005 for premium finance receivables sold and serviced for others, totaled $\$ 118,000$ and $\$ 81,000$, respectively. At June 30, 2006, non-performing loans related to this sold portfolio were approximately $\$ 1.7$ million, or $0.56 \%$ of the sold loans. Ultimate losses on premium finance receivables are substantially less than the non-performing loans for the reasons noted in the Non-performing Premium Finance Receivables portion of the Asset Quality section of this report.
Wintrust has a strategy of targeting its average loan-to-deposit ratio in the range of $85-90 \%$. During the second quarter of 2006 , the ratio was approximately $82 \%$. In the short-term, the ratio was below the targeted range as deposit growth at recently opened de novo branches and acquired banks was very strong and loan originations at the Banks were
slower than expected as the Company chose not to compromise on underwriting standards when competing for loan balances. Consistent with the Company s strategy to be asset-driven and the liquidity benefits of selling a portion of the premium finance receivables originated, it is probable that similar sales of premium finance receivables will occur in the future.
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The administrative services revenue contributed by Tricom added $\$ 1.2$ million to total non-interest income in the second quarter of 2006 , an increase of $\$ 80,000$ compared to the second quarter of 2005 . This revenue comprises income from administrative services, such as data processing of payrolls, billing and cash management services, to temporary staffing service clients located throughout the United States. Tricom also earns interest and fee income from providing high-yielding, short-term accounts receivable financing to this same client base, which is included in the net interest income category. On a year-to-date basis, administrative service revenue increased $\$ 220,000$, or $10 \%$. Fees from covered call option transactions were $\$ 684,000$ in the second quarter of 2006, reflecting a decrease of $\$ 1.9$ million from the $\$ 2.6$ million recognized in the second quarter of 2005 . On a year-to-date basis the Company recognized fee income of $\$ 2.5$ million in 2006 and $\$ 5.4$ million in 2005. As the Company strives to write these call options at strike prices near the historical cost basis of the underlying securities, adjusted for amortization/accretion, the increase in market interest rates in the first six months of 2006 resulted in unrealized losses in the securities and the ability to realize less premium income for covered call options written against such securities. During the first six months of 2006, call option contracts were written against $\$ 1.1$ billion of underlying securities compared to $\$ 1.6$ billion in the first six months of 2005 . The same security may be included in this total more than once to the extent that multiple option contracts were written against it if the initial option contracts were not exercised. The Company routinely enters into these transactions with the goal of enhancing its overall return on its investment portfolio. The Company writes call options with terms of less than three months against certain U.S. Treasury and agency securities held in its portfolio for liquidity and other purposes. These call option transactions are designed to increase the total return associated with the investment securities portfolio and do not qualify as hedges pursuant to SFAS 133. There were no outstanding call options at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 or June 30, 2005.
The Company recognized trading income related to interest rate swaps not designated in hedge relationships and the trading account assets of its broker-dealers. Trading income recognized for the net cash settlement of swaps is income that would have been recognized regardless of whether the swaps were designated in hedging relationships. However, in the absence of hedge accounting, the net cash settlement of the swaps is included in trading income rather than net interest income. Total trading income in the second quarter of 2006 totaled $\$ 3.3$ million compared to a loss of $\$ 6.8$ million the second quarter of 2005. On a year-to-date basis trading income totaled $\$ 8.8$ million, compared to a loss of $\$ 5.7$ million in the same period of 2005. The trading income is almost entirely related to the appreciation in the interest rate swaps as the fair market value of the rate swaps increased as rates have risen since June 30, 2005. In July 2006, the Company settled its position in these interest rate swap contracts by selling them to third parties at prices similar to the fair values recorded as of June 30, 2006. The Company realized approximately $\$ 5.8$ million from the settlement of these swaps and eliminated any further earnings volatility due to the changes in fair values. These interest rate swaps were initially entered into to hedge the Company s variable rate trust-preferred securities and subordinated notes and were determined to not qualify for hedge accounting. Management is currently reviewing various alternative derivative products to hedge these debt instruments.
Bank Owned Life Insurance ( BOLI ) income totaled $\$ 676,000$ in the second quarter of 2006 and $\$ 550,000$ in the same period of 2005. This income represents adjustments to the cash surrender value of BOLI policies. The Company originally purchased $\$ 41.1$ million of BOLI in 2002 to consolidate existing term life insurance contracts of executive officers and to mitigate the mortality risk associated with death benefits provided for in executives employment contracts. The Company has purchased additional BOLI since then, including $\$ 8.9$ million of BOLI that was owned by State Bank of The Lakes and $\$ 8.4$ million owned by Hinsbrook Bank when Wintrust acquired these banks. As of June 30, 2006, the Company s recorded investment in BOLI was $\$ 80.4$ million. Income attributable to changes in cash surrender value of the BOLI policies was $\$ 1.3$ million for the first six months of 2006 and $\$ 1.1$ million for the same period of 2005.
Miscellaneous other non-interest income includes service charges and fees and miscellaneous income and totaled $\$ 1.9$ million in the second quarter of 2006 and $\$ 1.4$ million in the second quarter of 2005 . On a year-to-date basis, miscellaneous other non-interest income totaled $\$ 3.6$ million in 2006 and $\$ 2.8$ million in 2005.
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## Non-interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2006 totaled $\$ 55.9$ million and increased $\$ 6.9$ million, or $14 \%$, from the second quarter 2005 total of $\$ 49.0$ million. For the first six months of 2006, non-interest expense totaled $\$ 110.4$ million and increased $\$ 13.0$ million, or $13 \%$, from the $\$ 97.3$ million reported for the first six months of 2005. Most categories of non-interest expense increased in these quarterly and year-to-date periods as a result of the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank in May 2006, the continued expansion of the Banks with new branch locations and the opening of the Company s newest de novo bank at the end of the first quarter of 2006. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank added $\$ 900,000$ to total non-interest expense in the second quarter of 2006. Including Hinsbrook Bank s five banking locations, Wintrust added or expanded 19 locations in the past 12 months that increased most categories of non-interest expense. Salary and employee benefits, equipment, occupancy and marketing are directly impacted by the addition of new locations and the expansion of existing locations. Since June 30, 2005, total loans and total deposits increased $21 \%$ and $20 \%$, respectively, requiring higher levels of staffing and resulting in other costs in order to both attract and service a larger customer base.

The following tables present non-interest expense by category for the periods presented:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3 0 ,}$ | June 30, | $\$$ | $\%$ |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2005 | Change | Change |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | $\mathbf{\$ 3 3 , 3 5 1}$ | $\$ 29,181$ | $\$ 4,170$ | $14.3 \%$ |  |
| Equipment | $\mathbf{3 , 2 9 3}$ | 2,977 | 316 | 10.6 |  |
| Occupancy, net | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4 5}$ | 3,862 | 983 | 25.5 |  |
| Data processing | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2 5}$ | 1,743 | 282 | 16.2 |  |
| Advertising and marketing | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 9}$ | 1,216 | 33 | 2.7 |  |
| Professional fees | $\mathbf{1 , 6 8 2}$ | 1,505 | 177 | 11.8 |  |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | $\mathbf{8 2 3}$ | 869 | $(46)$ | $(5.3)$ |  |
| Other: | $\mathbf{9 9 9}$ | 902 | 97 | 10.8 |  |
| Commissions ry party brokers | $\mathbf{9 9 2}$ | 994 | $(2)$ | $(0.2)$ |  |
| Postage | $\mathbf{7 8 9}$ | 811 | $(22)$ | $(2.7)$ |  |
| Stationery and supplies | $\mathbf{5 , 8 5 9}$ | 4,956 | 903 | 18.2 |  |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{8 , 6 3 9}$ | 7,663 | 976 | 12.7 |  |
| Total other |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 5 , 9 0 7}$ | $\$ 49,016$ | $\$ 6,891$ | $14.1 \%$ |  |
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(Dollars in thousands)
Salaries and employee benefits
Equipment
Occupancy, net
Data processing
Advertising and marketing
Professional fees
Amortization of other intangible assets
Other:
Commissions 13 party brokers
Postage
Stationery and supplies
Miscellaneous
Total other

Total non-interest expense

| Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, | June 30, | \$ | \% |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2005 | Change | Change |
| $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{6 6 , 8 2 9}$ | $\$ 58,644$ | $\$ 8,185$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 , 4 6 7}$ | 5,726 | 741 | 12.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 , 5 1 3}$ | 7,701 | 1,812 | 23.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 , 8 8 4}$ | 3,458 | 426 | 12.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 , 3 6 9}$ | 2,210 | 159 | 7.2 |
| $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 8}$ | 2,974 | 144 | 4.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 6}$ | 1,625 | $(59)$ | $(3.6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 1}$ | 1,915 | 176 | 9.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 , 8 7 8}$ | 1,899 | $(21)$ | $(1.1)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 8}$ | 1,642 | $(64)$ | $(3.9)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 7 4}$ | 9,526 | 1,548 | 16.3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 2 1}$ | 14,982 | 1,639 | 10.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 0 , 3 6 7}$ | $\$ 97,320$ | $\$ 13,047$ | $13.4 \%$ |

Salaries and employee benefits comprised $60 \%$ of total non-interest expense in the second quarter of 2006 and in the second quarter of 2005. Salaries and employee benefits totaled $\$ 33.4$ million for the second quarter of 2006 , an increase of $\$ 4.2$ million, or $14 \%$, compared to the prior year s second quarter total of $\$ 29.2$ million. On a year-to-date basis, salaries and employee benefits totaled $\$ 66.8$ million, an increase of $\$ 8.2$ million, or $14 \%$, as compared to the prior year amount. Hinsbrook Bank contributed $\$ 449,000$ to salaries and employee benefits expense since May 31, 2006. The adoption of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, accounted for $\$ 1.3$ million of the increase in the quarterly period and $\$ 2.7$ million of the increase in the year-to-date period. See Note 12, Stock-Based Compensation Plans, of the Financial Statements presented under Item 1 of this report for additional information on the adoption of SFAS 123R. The balance of the increase was attributable to annual salary adjustments, increases in employee benefits expense and the general growth and development of the banking franchise.
Occupancy expense for the second quarter of 2006 was $\$ 4.8$ million, an increase of $\$ 983,000$, or $26 \%$, compared to the same period of 2005 . On a year-to-date basis, occupancy expense totaled $\$ 9.5$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.8$ million, or $24 \%$, compared to the $\$ 7.7$ million recorded for the same period of 2005 . Occupancy expense increased primarily as a result of the Company s continued banking expansion.
Commissions paid to third party brokers primarily represent the commissions paid on revenue generated by Focused through its network of unaffiliated banks.
Other categories of non-interest expense, including equipment expense, data processing, advertising and marketing and other, increased in the second quarter of 2006 over the second quarter of 2005 as well as in the first six months of 2006 relative to the same period last year. These increases are noted in the preceding tables of non-interest expense and are due primarily to the general growth and expansion of the banking franchise. The percentage increase in each of these categories is in line with the $21 \%$ increase in total loans and $20 \%$ increase in total deposits over the last twelve months.

## Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 10.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 7.1$ million for the same period of 2005 . On a year-to-date basis, income tax expense was $\$ 21.2$ million in 2006 and $\$ 16.2$ million in 2005. The effective tax rate was $36.8 \%$ and $35.5 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively, and $36.6 \%$ and $36.1 \%$ on a year-to-date basis for 2006 and 2005 , respectively.
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## Operating Segment Results

As described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company s operations consist of four primary segments: banking, premium finance, Tricom and wealth management. The Company s profitability is primarily dependent on the net interest income, provision for loan losses, non-interest income and operating expenses of its banking segment. The net interest income of the banking segment includes income and related interest costs from portfolio loans that were purchased from the premium finance segment. For purposes of internal segment profitability analysis, management reviews the results of its premium finance segment as if all loans originated and sold to the banking segment were retained within that segment s operations. Similarly, for purposes of analyzing the contribution from the wealth management segment, management allocates a portion of the net interest income earned by the Banking segment on deposits balances of customers of the wealth management segment to the wealth management segment. (See Wealth management deposits discussion in Deposits section of this report for more information on these deposits.)
The banking segment s net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 totaled $\$ 60.1$ million as compared to $\$ 52.4$ million for the same period in 2005, an increase of $\$ 7.7$ million, or $15 \%$. This increase resulted from average total earning asset growth of $\$ 1.1$ billion offset by the effect of a 9 basis point decrease in net interest margin. The banking segment s non-interest income totaled $\$ 10.3$ million in the second quarter of 2006, a decrease of $\$ 2.0$ million, or $16 \%$, when compared to the second quarter of 2005 total of $\$ 12.3$ million. The decrease in non-interest income is primarily a result of a lower level of fees from covered call options. The banking segment s net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 totaled $\$ 16.9$ million, a decrease of $\$ 479,000$, or $3 \%$, as compared to the second quarter of 2005 total of $\$ 17.4$ million. On a year-to-date basis, net interest income totaled $\$ 116.4$ million for the first six months of 2006, an increase of $\$ 16.0$ million, or $16 \%$, as compared to the $\$ 100.4$ million recorded in the same period last year. This increase resulted from average total earning asset growth of $\$ 1.1$ billion. Non-interest income decreased $\$ 3.6$ million to $\$ 20.8$ million in the first six months of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005 . The banking segment s after-tax profit for the six months ended June 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 33.1$ million, an increase of $\$ 577,000$, or $2 \%$, as compared to the prior year total of $\$ 32.5$ million. The banking segment accounted for the majority of the Company s total asset growth since June 30, 2005, increasing by $\$ 1.5$ billion.
Net interest income for the premium finance segment totaled $\$ 10.0$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 146,000$, or $1 \%$, compared to the $\$ 10.2$ million in the same period in 2005. This segment was negatively impacted by both competitive asset pricing pressures and higher variable funding costs over the last twelve months. The premium finance segment s non-interest income totaled $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million for the quarters ended June 30, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Non-interest income for this segment primarily reflects the gains from the sale of premium finance receivables to an unrelated third party. Wintrust sold $\$ 203$ million of premium finance receivables to an unrelated third party financial institution in the second quarter of 2006 and $\$ 138$ million in the second quarter of 2005. Net after-tax profit of the premium finance segment totaled $\$ 5.0$ million and $\$ 5.6$ million for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On a year-to-date basis, net interest income totaled $\$ 19.6$ million for the first six months of 2006 , a decrease of $\$ 1.4$ million, or $7 \%$, as compared to the $\$ 21.1$ million recorded last year. Non-interest income decreased $\$ 1.2$ million to $\$ 2.4$ million in the first six months of 2006 as a result of lower interest rate spread realized on the loans sold to an unrelated third party partially offset by a larger volume of premium finance receivables sold to an unrelated third party in the first six months of 2006 ( $\$ 303$ million) than in the first six months of 2005 ( $\$ 284$ million). The premium finance segment s after-tax profit for the six months ended June 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 9.7$ million, a decrease of $\$ 1.9$ million, or $17 \%$, as compared to the prior year total of $\$ 11.6$ million.
The Tricom segment data reflects the business associated with short-term accounts receivable financing and value-added out-sourced administrative services, such as data processing of payrolls, billing and cash management services, which Tricom provides to its clients in the temporary staffing industry. The segment s net interest income was $\$ 934,000$ in the second quarter of 2006, down approximately $\$ 53,000$ when compared to the $\$ 987,000$ reported for the same period in 2005. Increasing sales penetration helped offset the effects of competitive pricing pressures, causing the administrative services revenues in the second quarter of 2006 to increase $\$ 80,000$ over the second quarter of 2005. The segment s net income was $\$ 453,000$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 407,000$ in the same quarter in 2005. On a year-to-date basis, net interest income totaled $\$ 1.9$ million for each of the first six months of

2006 and 2005. Non-interest income increased $\$ 219,000$ to $\$ 2.4$ million in the first six months of 2006. The Tricom segment s after-tax profit for the six months ended June 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 825,000$, an increase of $\$ 24,000$, or $3 \%$, as compared to $\$ 801,000$ in the first six months of 2005.
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The wealth management segment reported net interest income of $\$ 272,000$ for the second quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 418,000$ in the same quarter of 2005 . Net interest income is comprised of the net interest earned on brokerage customer receivables at WHI and an allocation of a portion of the net interest income earned by the Banking segment on non-interest bearing and interest-bearing wealth management customer account balances on deposit at the Banks. The allocated net interest income included in this segment s profitability was $\$ 28,000(\$ 17,000$ after tax) in the second quarter of 2006 and $\$ 25,000(\$ 15,000$ after tax) in the second quarter of 2005. This segment recorded non-interest income of $\$ 8.9$ million for the second quarter of 2006 as compared to $\$ 9.3$ million for the second quarter of 2005 , a decrease of $\$ 425,000$ or $5 \%$. The wealth management segment $s$ net loss totaled $\$ 433,000$ for the second quarter of 2006 compared to a loss of $\$ 264,000$ for the second quarter of 2005. In the second quarter of 2006, WHAMC ceased managing a low-margin institutional account with assets totaling approximately $\$ 240$ million. On a year-to-date basis, net interest income totaled $\$ 641,000$ for the first six months of 2006 , a decrease of $\$ 423,000$, or $40 \%$, as compared to the $\$ 1.1$ million recorded last year. The allocated net interest income included in this segment s profitability was $\$ 120,000(\$ 74,000$ after tax) in the first six months of 2006 and $\$ 327,000(\$ 201,000$ after tax) in the first six months of 2005. Rising short-term interest rates, coupled with the flattening of the yield curve, have diminished the portion of the contribution from these funds allocated to the wealth management segment. Non-interest income increased $\$ 2.5$ million to $\$ 20.6$ million in the first six months of 2006 . This increase was primarily related to a $\$ 2.4$ million gain recognized as a result of the sale of the Wayne Hummer Growth Fund. This segment safter-tax profit for the six months ended June 30, 2006, totaled $\$ 654,000$ compared to the prior year loss of $\$ 688,000$, an increase of $\$ 1.3$ million. The bulk of this increase is attributable to the $\$ 2.4$ million gain on the sale of the Wayne Hummer Growth Fund.
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## FINANCIAL CONDITION

Total assets were $\$ 9.2$ billion at June 30, 2006, representing an increase of $\$ 1.4$ billion, or $18 \%$, over $\$ 7.8$ billion at June 30, 2005. Approximately $\$ 563$ million of the increase in total assets in this period is a result of the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank while the remaining increase is primarily a result of the addition of other new Bank locations and the expansion of existing locations. Total assets at June 30, 2006, increased $\$ 791$ million, or $38 \%$ on an annualized basis, since March 31, 2006. Total funding, which includes deposits, all notes and advances, including the Long-term debt-trust preferred securities, was $\$ 8.5$ billion at June 30, 2006, representing an increase of $\$ 1.3$ billion, or $18 \%$, over the June 30, 2005 reported amounts. Total funding at June 30, 2006, increased $\$ 722$ million, or $37 \%$ on an annualized basis, since December 31, 2005. See Notes 3-7 of the Financial Statements presented under Item 1 of this report for additional period-end detail on the Company s interest-earning assets and funding liabilities.

## Interest-Earning Assets

The following table sets forth, by category, the composition of average earning asset balances and the relative percentage of total average earning assets for the periods presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2006 |  | March 31, 2006 |  | June 30, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance | Percent | Balance | Percent | Balance | Percent |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and commercial real estate | \$ 3,499,902 | 44\% | \$ 3,193,336 | 43\% | \$ 2,890,876 | 42\% |
| Home equity | 630,806 | 8 | 621,154 | 8 | 638,139 | 9 |
| Residential real estate ${ }^{(1)}$ | 369,721 | 5 | 347,163 | 5 | 398,616 | 6 |
| Premium finance receivables | 986,160 | 12 | 913,198 | 12 | 808,490 | 12 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 224,715 | 3 | 205,102 | 3 | 189,974 | 3 |
| Tricom finance receivables | 40,173 | 1 | 43,567 |  | 33,726 |  |
| Other loans | 98,439 | 1 | 84,490 | 1 | 108,083 | 2 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | \$ 5,849,916 | 74\% | \$ 5,408,010 | 72\% | \$ 5,067,904 | 74\% |
| Liquidity management assets ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2,090,691 | 26 | 2,060,242 | 28 | 1,723,855 | 25 |
| Other earning assets ${ }^{(3)}$ | 32,304 |  | 31,818 |  | 31,382 | 1 |
| Total average earning assets | \$ 7,972,911 | 100\% | \$7,500,070 | 100\% | \$ 6,823,141 | 100\% |
| Total average assets | \$ 8,785,381 |  | \$8,239,877 |  | \$7,534,724 |  |

Total average earning assets to total average assets
$91 \%$
$91 \%$
$91 \%$

[^0]assets include
available-for-sale
securities, interest
earning deposits
with banks,
federal funds sold
and securities
purchased under
resale
agreements.
(3) Other earning
assets include
brokerage
customer
receivables and
trading account securities.
Total average earning assets for the second quarter of 2006 increased $\$ 1.1$ billion, or $17 \%$, to $\$ 8.0$ billion, compared to the second quarter of 2005. The ratio of total average earning assets as a percent of total average assets remained consistent at $91 \%$ for each of the quarterly periods shown in the above table.
Total average loans during the second quarter of 2006 increased $\$ 782$ million, or $15 \%$, over the previous year second quarter. Average commercial and commercial real estate loans increased $21 \%$, premium finance receivable increased $22 \%$ and Tricom finance receivables increased $19 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the average balances in the second quarter of 2005. Average total loans increased $\$ 442$ million, or $33 \%$ on an annualized basis, over the average balance in the first quarter of 2006. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank (effective May 31, 2006), contributed approximately $\$ 124$ million to average total loans in the second quarter of 2006.
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Liquidity management assets include available-for-sale securities, interest earning deposits with banks, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. The balances of these assets can fluctuate based on deposit inflows and outflows, the level of other funding sources and loan demand.
Other earning assets in the table include brokerage customer receivables and trading account securities at WHI. In the normal course of business, WHI activities involve the execution, settlement, and financing of various securities transactions. WHI s customer securities activities are transacted on either a cash or margin basis. In margin transactions, WHI, under an agreement with the out-sourced securities firm, extends credit to its customers, subject to various regulatory and internal margin requirements, collateralized by cash and securities in customer s accounts. In connection with these activities, WHI executes and the out-sourced firm clears customer transactions relating to the sale of securities not yet purchased, substantially all of which are transacted on a margin basis subject to individual exchange regulations. Such transactions may expose WHI to off-balance-sheet risk, particularly in volatile trading markets, in the event margin requirements are not sufficient to fully cover losses that customers may incur. In the event a customer fails to satisfy its obligations, WHI under an agreement with the outsourced securities firm, may be required to purchase or sell financial instruments at prevailing market prices to fulfill the customer s obligations. WHI seeks to control the risks associated with its customers activities by requiring customers to maintain margin collateral in compliance with various regulatory and internal guidelines. WHI monitors required margin levels daily and, pursuant to such guidelines, requires customers to deposit additional collateral or to reduce positions when necessary.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Average Balances for the Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2006 |  | June 30, 2005 |  |
|  | Balance | Percent | Balance | Percent |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and commercial real estate | \$ 3,347,496 | 43\% | \$ 2,774,934 | 42\% |
| Home equity | 626,041 | 8 | 620,224 | 9 |
| Residential real estate ${ }^{(1)}$ | 358,415 | 5 | 388,764 | 6 |
| Premium finance receivables | 949,900 | 12 | 842,388 | 13 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 214,989 | 3 | 189,677 | 3 |
| Tricom finance receivables | 41,861 | 1 | 31,662 |  |
| Other loans | 91,809 | 1 | 105,759 | 2 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | 5,630,511 | 73 | 4,953,408 | 75 |
| Liquidity management assets ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2,075,572 | 27 | 1,613,378 | 24 |
| Other earning assets ${ }^{(3)}$ | 32,062 |  | 32,743 | 1 |
| Total average earning assets | \$ 7,738,145 | 100\% | \$6,599,529 | 100\% |
| Total average assets | \$ 8,504,293 |  | \$7,274,434 |  |

Total average earning assets to total average assets
91\%
91\%

[^1](2) Liquidity
management
assets include
available-for-sale
securities, interest
earning deposits
with banks,
federal funds sold
and securities
purchased under
resale
agreements.
(3) Other earning assets include
brokerage
customer
receivables and trading account securities.
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Average earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2006 increased $\$ 1.1$ billion, or $17 \%$, over the first six months of 2005. The ratio of year-to-date total average earning assets as a percent of total average assets remained consistent at $91 \%$ for each reporting period shown in the above table, consistent with this ratio on a quarterly basis. Total average loans increased by $\$ 677$ million in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same period of 2005. Average commercial and commercial real estate loans increased $21 \%$, Tricom finance receivables increased $32 \%$ and indirect auto loans increased $13 \%$ in the first six months of 2006 compared to the first six months of 2005.

## Deposits

Total deposits at June 30, 2006, were $\$ 7.6$ billion and increased $\$ 1.3$ billion, or $20 \%$, compared to total deposits at June 30, 2005. See Note 5 to the financials statements of Item 1 of this report for a summary of period end deposit balances.
The following table sets forth, by category, the composition of average deposit balances and the relative percentage of total average deposits for the periods presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance | Percent | Balance | Percent | Balance | Percent |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ 633,500 | 9\% | \$ 595,322 | 9\% | \$ 597,953 | 10\% |
| NOW accounts | 772,420 | 11 | 714,361 | 11 | 717,873 | 12 |
| Wealth management deposits | 441,665 | 6 | 425,528 | 6 | 403,326 | 6 |
| Money market accounts | 623,646 | 9 | 602,217 | 9 | 663,005 | 11 |
| Savings accounts | 308,540 | 4 | 306,545 | 4 | 304,082 | 5 |
| Time certificates of deposit | 4,348,202 | 61 | 4,153,472 | 61 | 3,434,929 | 56 |
| Total average deposits | \$ 7,127,973 | 100\% | \$6,797,445 | 100\% | \$ 6,121,168 | 100\% |

Total average deposits for the second quarter of 2006 were $\$ 7.1$ billion, an increase of $\$ 1.0$ billion, or $16 \%$, over the second quarter of 2005 and an increase of $\$ 331$ million, or $20 \%$ on an annualized basis, over the first quarter of 2006. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank (effective May 31, 2006), contributed approximately $\$ 141$ million to average deposits in the second quarter of 2006.
Wealth management deposits represent balances from brokerage customers of WHI and trust and asset management customers of WHTC on deposit at the Company s Banks. Consistent with reasonable interest rate risk parameters, the funds have generally been invested in loan production of the Banks as well as other investments suitable for banks.
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## Other Funding Sources

Although deposits are the Company s primary source of funding its interest-earning assets, the Company sability to manage the types and terms of deposits is somewhat limited by customer preferences and market competition. As a result, the Company uses several other funding sources to support its interest-earning asset growth. These sources include short-term borrowings, notes payable, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, subordinated notes, trust preferred securities, the issuance of equity securities and the retention of earnings.
Average total interest-bearing funding, from sources other than deposits and including the long-term debt trust preferred securities, totaled $\$ 896$ million in the second quarter of 2006, an increase of $\$ 130$ million compared to the second quarter of 2005 average balance of $\$ 766$ million, and an increase of $\$ 173$ million compared to the first quarter 2006 average balance of $\$ 723$ million.
The following table sets forth, by category, the composition of average other funding sources for the periods presented:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | June 30, | March 31, | June 30, |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2006 | 2005 |
| Notes payable | $\mathbf{4 , 6 1 5}$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$, 985$ |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | $\mathbf{3 7 1 , 3 6 9}$ | 356,655 | 341,361 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Other borrowings: | $\mathbf{6 8 , 5 1 4}$ | 2,980 | 5,239 |
| Federal funds purchased | $\mathbf{1 5 8 , 2 0 9}$ | 79,664 | 149,670 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 2}$ | 2,245 | 3,120 |
| Other | $\mathbf{2 2 8 , 8 1 5}$ | 84,889 | 158,029 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total other borrowings | $\mathbf{6 1 , 2 4 2}$ | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 0 , 3 8 9}$ | 230,431 | 209,939 |
| Subordinated notes |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | $\mathbf{8 8 9 6 , 4 3 0}$ | $\$ 722,975$ | $\$ 766,314$ |

Notes payable represents the average amount outstanding on the Company s $\$ 51.0$ million loan agreement with an unaffiliated bank. In the second quarter of 2006, the Company used this borrowing facility to add capital to the Banks and for other general corporate purposes. The balance of notes payable as of June 30, 2006, was $\$ 30.0$ million.
In May 2006, in connection with the acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank, the Company increased its outstanding subordinated notes with the funding of a $\$ 25.0$ million subordinated note with the holder of the other subordinated notes with substantially similar terms as the other subordinated notes. The Company also acquired $\$ 8.0$ million of subordinated debt that was on Hinsbrook s balance sheet. Subordinated notes totaled $\$ 83.0$ million as of June 30, 2006. In August 2005, the Company issued $\$ 40.0$ million of trust preferred securities through Wintrust Capital Trust VIII and redeemed $\$ 20.0$ million of trust preferred securities previously issued through Wintrust Capital Trust II, resulting in an increase in average long-term debt trust preferred securities in the first and second quarters of 2006 as compared to the second quarter of 2005 .
See Notes 6 and 7 of the Financial Statements presented under Item 1 of this report for details of period end balances of these various funding sources.
There were no material changes outside the ordinary course of business in the Company s contractual obligations during the second quarter of 2006 as compared to December 31, 2005.
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## Shareholders Equity

Total shareholders equity was $\$ 721.8$ million at June 30, 2006 and increased $\$ 124.8$ million since June 30, 2005 and $\$ 93.9$ million since the end of 2005. Significant increases from December 31, 2005, include the retention of $\$ 33.2$ million of earnings (net income of $\$ 36.6$ million less dividends of $\$ 3.4$ million), $\$ 57.1$ million from the issuance of 1.1 million shares of the Company s common stock in connection with business combinations, $\$ 11.6$ million from the issuance of new shares of the Company s common stock in settlement of the forward sale agreement of common stock, $\$ 11.1$ million for SFAS $123(\mathrm{R}), \$ 5.7$ million from the issuance of shares of the Company s common stock and related tax benefit pursuant to various stock compensation plans and $\$ 1.1$ million from the cumulative-effect adjustment of the change in accounting for MSRs pursuant to SFAS 156. Increases in unrealized net losses from available-for-sale securities, net of tax, decreased shareholders equity $\$ 29.6$ million from December 31, 2005. The annualized return on average equity for the three months ended June 30, 2006 was $10.48 \%$, compared to $9.03 \%$ for the second quarter of 2005 .
The following tables reflect various consolidated measures of capital as of the dates presented and the capital guidelines established by the Federal Reserve Bank for a bank holding company:

|  | June 30, | March 31, | June 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 2006 | 2005 |
| Leverage ratio | $\mathbf{8 . 2 \%}$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | $\mathbf{9 . 5}$ | 10.5 | 9.8 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ | 11.9 | 11.4 |
| Total average equity-to-total average assets * | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | 7.7 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| * based on |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ quarterly |  |  |  |
| average |  |  |  |
| balances |  |  |  |


|  | Minimum <br> Capital <br> Requirements | Adequately <br> Capitalized | Well <br> Capitalized |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leverage ratio | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 8.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |

The Company attempts to maintain an efficient capital structure in order to provide higher returns on equity. Additional capital is required from time to time, however, to support the growth of the organization. The issuance of additional common stock, additional trust preferred securities or subordinated debt are the primary forms of capital that are considered as the Company evaluates its capital position. The Company s goal is to support the continued growth of the Company and to meet the well-capitalized total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio with these new issuances of regulatory capital. As indicated in Note 7 to the Financial Statements presented under Item 1 of this report, in August 2005, the Company issued $\$ 40.0$ million of additional trust preferred securities and redeemed $\$ 20.0$ million of $10.50 \%$ fixed rate trust preferred securities. In addition, on October 25, 2005, the Company signed a $\$ 25.0$ million subordinated note agreement, which was funded in the second quarter of 2006. See Note 6 to the financial statements presented under Item 1 of this report for further information on the terms of this note.
In January and July 2006, Wintrust declared semi-annual cash dividends of $\$ 0.14$ per common share. In January and July 2005, Wintrust declared semi-annual cash dividends of $\$ 0.12$ per common share. The dividend payout ratio (annualized) was $9.6 \%$ for the first six months of 2006 and $9.9 \%$ for the first six months of 2005. The Company continues to target an earnings retention ratio of approximately $90 \%$ to support continued growth.

In December 2004, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 1.2 million shares of its common stock at $\$ 59.50$ per share. The offering was made under the Company s current shelf registration statement filed with the SEC in October 2004. In connection with the public offering, the Company entered into a forward sale agreement relating to 1.2 million shares of its common stock. The use of the forward sale agreement allowed the Company to deliver common stock and receive cash at the Company s election, to the extent provided by the forward sale agreement. Management believes this flexibility allowed a more timely and efficient use of capital resources. The Company s objective with the use of the forward sale agreement was to efficiently provide funding for the acquisitions of Antioch and FNBI and for general corporate purposes. The Company issued 1.0 million shares of common stock in March 2005 in partial settlement of the forward sale agreement and received net proceeds of approximately $\$ 55.9$ million. In May 2006, the Company issued the remaining 200,000 shares of common stock under this forward sale agreement and received net proceeds of approximately $\$ 11.6$ million to provide funding for the acquisition of HBI.
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## ASSET QUALITY

Allowance for Credit Losses
The following table presents a summary of the activity in the allowance for credit losses for the periods presented:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2006 |  | $\text { June } 30 \text {, }$ $2005$ |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$40,367 | \$39,337 | \$ | 40,283 | \$ | 34,227 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,743 | 1,294 |  | 3,279 |  | 2,525 |
| Allowance acquired in business combinations | 3,852 |  |  | 3,852 |  | 4,793 |
| Reclassification to allowance for unfunded loan commitments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 967 | 554 |  | 2,077 |  | 1,217 |
| Home equity loans |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans | 5 |  |  | 32 |  | 44 |
| Consumer and other loans | 79 | 92 |  | 190 |  | 139 |
| Premium finance receivables | 577 | 416 |  | 1,023 |  | 859 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 95 | 121 |  | 172 |  | 234 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charge-offs | 1,723 | 1,183 |  | 3,516 |  | 2,493 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 117 | 46 |  | 237 |  | 243 |
| Home equity loans | 22 |  |  | 22 |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer and other loans | 58 | 9 |  | 83 |  | 15 |
| Premium finance receivables | 136 | 172 |  | 273 |  | 312 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 24 | 47 |  | 83 |  | 100 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recoveries | 357 | 274 |  | 698 |  | 670 |
| Net charge-offs | $(1,366)$ | (909) |  | $(2,818)$ |  | (1,823) |
| Allowance for loan losses at period-end | \$44,596 | \$39,722 | \$ | 44,596 | \$ | 39,722 |
|  | \$ 491 | \$ | \$ | 491 | \$ |  |

Allowance for lending-related commitments at period-end

| Allowance for credit losses at period-end | \$45,087 | \$39,722 | \$ | 45,087 | \$ | 39,722 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annualized net charge-offs (recoveries) by category as a percentage of its own respective category $s$ average: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and commercial real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 0.10\% | 0.07\% |  | 0.11\% |  | 0.07\% |
| Home equity loans | (0.01) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans | 0.01 |  |  | 0.02 |  | 0.02 |
| Consumer and other loans | 0.09 | 0.31 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.24 |
| Premium finance receivables | 0.18 | 0.12 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.13 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 0.13 | 0.16 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.14 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | 0.09\% | 0.07\% |  | 0.10\% |  | 0.07\% |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of the provision for credit losses <br> 78.37\% <br> 70.25\% <br> 85.94\% <br> 72.20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans at period-end |  |  |  | 55,140 |  | 23,087 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans at period-end |  |  |  | 0.74\% |  | 0.79\% |
| Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of loans at period-end |  |  |  | 0.74\% |  | 0.79\% |

## Edgar Filing: WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q
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Management believes that the loan portfolio is well diversified and well secured, without undue concentration in any specific risk area. Loan quality is continually monitored by management and is reviewed by the Banks Boards of Directors and their Credit Committees on a monthly basis. Independent external reviews of the loan portfolio are provided by the examinations conducted by regulatory authorities and an independent loan review performed by an entity engaged by the Board of Directors. The amount of additions to the allowance for loan losses, which is charged to earnings through the provision for credit losses, is determined based on management s assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Management evaluates on a quarterly basis a variety of factors, including actual charge-offs during the year, historical loss experience, delinquent and other potential problem loans, and economic conditions and trends in the market area in assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.
The Company allocates the entire allowance for loan losses to specific loan portfolio groups and maintains its allowance for loan losses at a level believed adequate by management to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio and is based on the size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, an assessment of Problem Loan Report loans and actual loss experience, industry concentration, geographical concentrations, levels of delinquencies, historical loss experience including an analysis of the seasoning of the loan portfolio, changes in trends in risk ratings assigned to loans, changes in underwriting standards and other pertinent factors, including regulatory guidance and general economic conditions. The allowance for loan losses also includes an element for estimated probable but undetected losses and for imprecision in the credit risk models used to calculate the allowance. The Company reviews Problem Loan Report loans on a case-by-case basis to allocate a specific dollar amount of reserves, whereas all other loans are reserved for based on assigned reserve percentages evaluated by loan groupings. The loan groupings utilized by the Company are commercial, commercial real estate, residential real estate, home equity, premium finance receivables, indirect consumer, Tricom finance receivables and consumer. Determination of the allowance is inherently subjective as it requires significant estimates, including the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current environmental factors and economic trends, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The allowance for lending-related commitments is computed using a methodology similar to that used to determine the allowance for loan losses. Loan losses are charged off against the allowance, while recoveries are credited to the allowance. A provision for credit losses is charged to operations based on management speriodic evaluation of the factors previously mentioned, as well as other pertinent factors. Evaluations are conducted on a monthly basis.
The provision for credit losses totaled $\$ 1.7$ million for the second quarter of 2006, compared to $\$ 1.3$ million for the second quarter of 2005. For the quarter ended June 30, 2006 net charge-offs totaled $\$ 1.4$ million, compared to $\$ 909,000$ for the same period of 2005 . On a ratio basis, annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were $0.09 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 and $0.07 \%$ in the same period in 2005. The increase in the provision for credit losses in the second quarter of 2006 is primarily a result of a higher level of net charge-offs recorded.
On a year-to-date basis, the provision for credit losses totaled $\$ 3.3$ million for the first six months of 2006, compared to $\$ 2.5$ million for the first six months of 2005 . Net charge-offs for the first six months of 2006 totaled $\$ 2.8$ million, compared to $\$ 1.8$ million for the first six months of 2005 . On a ratio basis, annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were $0.10 \%$ for the six months of 2006 and $0.07 \%$ in the same period in 2005 . The increase in the provision for credit losses for the first six months of 2006 is primarily a result of a higher level of net charge-offs recorded.
During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company reclassified a portion of its allowance for loan losses to a separate liability account. The reclassification totaled $\$ 491,000$ and represents the portion of the allowance for loan losses that was associated with lending-related commitments, specifically unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. The allowance for loan losses is a reserve against loan amounts that are actually funded and outstanding while the allowance for lending-related commitments relates to certain amounts that the Company is committed to lend but for which funds have not yet been disbursed. The allowance for credit losses is comprised of the allowance for loan losses and the allowance for lending-related commitments. In future periods, the provision for credit losses may contain both a component related to funded loans (provision for loan losses) and a component related to lending-related commitments (provision for unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit).

Management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate to provide for inherent losses in the portfolio. There can be no assurances however, that future losses will not exceed the amounts provided for, thereby affecting future results of
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operations. The amount of future additions to the allowance for loan losses will be dependent upon management $s$ assessment of the adequacy of the allowance based on its evaluation of economic conditions, changes in real estate values, interest rates, the regulatory environment, the level of past-due, non-performing loans, and other factors.

## Past Due Loans and Non-performing Assets

The following table sets forth Wintrust s non-performing assets at the dates indicated. The information in the table should be read in conjunction with the detailed discussion following the table.

|  | December |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, | March 31, | 31, | June 30, |

Loans past due greater than 90 days and still accruing:
Residential real estate and home equity
Commercial, consumer and other
Premium finance receivables
Indirect consumer loans
Tricom finance receivables
Total past due greater than 90 days and still accruing

## Non-accrual loans:

| Residential real estate and home equity | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 6}$ | 234 | 457 | 843 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial, consumer and other | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 8 6}$ | 10,358 | 11,712 | 9,599 |
| Premium finance receivables | $\mathbf{6 , 1 8 0}$ | 6,402 | 6,189 | 6,088 |
| Indirect consumer loans | $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ | 216 | 335 | 145 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-accrual | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 0 6}$ | 17,210 | 18,693 | 16,675 |


| Residential real estate and home equity | 1,831 | 741 | 616 | 1,158 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, consumer and other | 15,985 | 13,249 | 13,610 | 10,980 |
| Premium finance receivables | 9,204 | 10,140 | 11,400 | 9,370 |
| Indirect consumer loans | 327 | 463 | 563 | 403 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-performing loans | 27,347 | 24,593 | 26,189 | 21,911 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,519 | 1,952 | 1,400 |  |
| Total non-performing assets | \$29,866 | \$26,545 | \$27,589 | \$21,911 |

## Total non-performing loans by category as a

 percent of its own respective category s period end balance:| Residential real estate and home equity | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 \%}$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial, consumer and other | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1}$ | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.36 |
| Premium finance receivables | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8}$ | 1.12 | 1.40 | 1.18 |
| Indirect consumer loans | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4}$ | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.21 |
| Tricom finance receivables |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-performing loans | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 \%}$ | $0.45 \%$ | $0.50 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-performing assets as a percentage <br> of total assets | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 \%}$ | $0.32 \%$ | $0.34 \%$ | $0.28 \%$ |


| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of <br> non-performing loans | $\mathbf{1 6 3 . 0 8 \%}$ | $164.15 \%$ | $153.82 \%$ | $181.28 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Non-performing Residential Real Estate and Home Equity
The non-performing residential real estate and home equity loans totaled $\$ 1.8$ million at June 30 , 2006. The balance increased $\$ 673,000$ from June 30, 2005 and $\$ 1.1$ million from March 31, 2006. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank accounted for $\$ 414,000$ of the increase. Each non-performing credit is well secured and in the process of collection. Management believes that the current reserves against these credits are appropriate to cover any potential losses.
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Non-performing Commercial, Consumer and Other
The commercial, consumer and other non-performing loan category totaled $\$ 16.0$ million as of June 30, 2006. The balance in this category increased $\$ 5.0$ million from June 30, 2005 and $\$ 2.7$ million from March 31, 2006. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank accounted for $\$ 2.8$ million of increase. Management believes that the current reserves against these credits are appropriate to cover any potential losses on any of the relatively small number of credits in this category.

## Non-performing Premium Finance Receivables

The following table presents the level of non-performing premium finance receivables as of the dates indicated, and the amount of net charge-offs for the quarterly periods then ended.
(Dollars in thousands)
Non-performing premium finance receivables

- as a percent of premium finance receivables outstanding

Net charge-offs of premium finance receivables

- annualized as a percent of average premium finance receivables

| June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | March 31, <br> 2006 | June 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 2 0 4}$ | $\$ 10,140$ | $\$ 9,370$ |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 \%}$ | $1.12 \%$ | $1.18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ \mathbf{4 4 1}$ | $\$$ | 309 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 1 8 \%}$ | $0.14 \%$ | $\$ 244$ |
|  |  |  |

The level of non-performing premium finance receivables as a percent of total premium finance receivables improved from the levels reported at March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2005. As noted below, fluctuations in this category may occur due to timing and nature of account collections from insurance carriers. Management is comfortable with administering the collections at this level of non-performing premium finance receivables and expects that such ratios will remain at relatively low levels.
The ratio of non-performing premium finance receivables fluctuates throughout the year due to the nature and timing of canceled account collections from insurance carriers. Due to the nature of collateral for premium finance receivables it customarily takes 60-150 days to convert the collateral into cash collections. Accordingly, the level of non-performing premium finance receivables is not necessarily indicative of the loss inherent in the portfolio. In the event of default, Wintrust has the power to cancel the insurance policy and collect the unearned portion of the premium from the insurance carrier. In the event of cancellation, the cash returned in payment of the unearned premium by the insurer should generally be sufficient to cover the receivable balance, the interest and other charges due. Due to notification requirements and processing time by most insurance carriers, many receivables will become delinquent beyond 90 days while the insurer is processing the return of the unearned premium. Management continues to accrue interest until maturity as the unearned premium is ordinarily sufficient to pay-off the outstanding balance and contractual interest due.

## Non-performing Indirect Consumer Loans

Total non-performing indirect consumer loans were $\$ 327,000$ at June 30 , 2006, compared to $\$ 403,000$ at June 30, 2005 and $\$ 463,000$ at March 31, 2006. The ratio of these non-performing loans to total indirect consumer loans was $0.14 \%$ at June 30, 2006, compared to $0.21 \%$ at June 30, 2005 and $0.22 \%$ at March 31, 2006. As noted in the Allowance for Credit Losses table, net charge-offs (annualized) as a percent of total indirect consumer loans were $0.13 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 compared to $0.16 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. The levels of non-performing and net charge-offs of indirect consumer loans continue to be below standard industry ratios for this type of lending.
Credit Quality Review Procedures
The Company utilizes a loan rating system to assign risk to loans and utilizes that risk rating system to assist in developing an internal problem loan identification system ( Problem Loan Report ) as a means of reporting non-performing and potential problem loans. At each scheduled meeting of the Boards of Directors of the Banks and Wintrust s Risk Management committees, a Problem Loan Report is presented, showing all loans that are non-performing and loans that may warrant additional monitoring. Accordingly, in addition to those loans disclosed
under Past Due Loans and Non-performing Assets, there are certain loans in the portfolio which management has identified, through its Problem Loan Report, which exhibit a higher than normal credit risk. These Problem Loan Report credits are reviewed individually by management to determine whether any specific reserve amount should be allocated for each respective credit. However, these loans are still performing and, accordingly, are not included in non-performing loans. Management s philosophy is to be proactive and conservative in assigning risk ratings to loans and identifying loans to be included on the Problem Loan Report. The principal amount of loans on the Company s Problem Loan Report (exclusive of those loans reported as non-performing) as of June 30, 2006, March 31, 2006, and June 30, 2005 totaled $\$ 99.9$ million, $\$ 71.7$ million and $\$ 72.0$ million, respectively. The acquisition of Hinsbrook Bank accounted for $\$ 23.4$ million of the
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increase in Problem Loan Report loans from March 31, 2006 to June 30, 2006. Management believes these loans are performing and, accordingly, does not have serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms.

## LIQUIDITY

Wintrust manages the liquidity position of its banking operations to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet customers needs for loans and deposit withdrawals. The liquidity to meet these demands is provided by maturing assets, sales of premium finance receivables, liquid assets that can be converted to cash and the ability to attract funds from external sources. Liquid assets refer to federal funds sold and to marketable, unpledged securities, which can be quickly sold without material loss of principal.
Please refer to the Interest-Earning Assets, Deposits, Other Funding Sources and Shareholders Equity discussions of this report for additional information regarding the Company s liquidity position.

## INFLATION

A banking organization s assets and liabilities are primarily monetary. Changes in the rate of inflation do not have as great an impact on the financial condition of a bank as do changes in interest rates. Moreover, interest rates do not necessarily change at the same percentage as does inflation. Accordingly, changes in inflation are not expected to have a material impact on the Company. An analysis of the Company s asset and liability structure provides the best indication of how the organization is positioned to respond to changing interest rates. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risks section of this report.
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## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws. Forward-looking information in this document can be identified through the use of words such as may, will, intend, plan, expect, anticipate, should, would, believe, estimate, contemplate, possible, and point. The information is premised on many factors, some of which are outlined below. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and is including this statement for purposes of invoking these safe harbor provisions. Such forward-looking statements may be deemed to include, among other things, statements relating to the Company s projected growth, anticipated improvements in earnings, earnings per share and other financial performance measures, and management s long-term performance goals, as well as statements relating to the anticipated effects on financial results of condition from expected developments or events, the Company s business and growth strategies, including anticipated internal growth, plans to form additional de novo banks and to open new branch offices, and to pursue additional potential development or acquisitions of banks, wealth management entities or specialty finance businesses. Actual results could differ materially from those addressed in the forward-looking statements as a result of numerous factors, including the following:

Competitive pressures in the financial services business which may affect the pricing of the Company s loan and deposit products as well as its services (including wealth management services).

Changes in the interest rate environment, which may influence, among other things, the growth of loans and deposits, the quality of the Company s loan portfolio, the pricing of loans and deposits and net interest income.

The extent of defaults and losses on our loan portfolio.
Unexpected difficulties or unanticipated developments related to the Company s strategy of de novo bank formations and openings. De novo banks typically require 13 to 24 months of operations before becoming profitable, due to the impact of organizational and overhead expenses, the startup phase of generating deposits and the time lag typically involved in redeploying deposits into attractively priced loans and other higher yielding earning assets.

The ability of the Company to obtain liquidity and income from the sale of premium finance receivables in the future and the unique collection and delinquency risks associated with such loans.

Failure to identify and complete acquisitions in the future or unexpected difficulties or unanticipated developments related to the integration of acquired entities with the Company.

Legislative or regulatory changes or actions, or significant litigation involving the Company.
Changes in general economic conditions in the markets in which the Company operates.
The ability of the Company to receive dividends from its subsidiaries.
The loss of customers as a result of technological changes allowing consumers to complete their financial transactions without the use of a bank.

The ability of the Company to attract and retain senior management experienced in the banking and financial services industries.
The Company undertakes no obligation to release revisions to these forward-looking statements or reflect events or circumstances after the date of this filing.
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## ITEM 3 <br> QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

As a continuing part of its financial strategy, the Company attempts to manage the impact of fluctuations in market interest rates on net interest income. This effort entails providing a reasonable balance between interest rate risk, credit risk, liquidity risk and maintenance of yield. Asset-liability management policies are established and monitored by management in conjunction with the boards of directors of the Banks, subject to general oversight by the Risk Management Committee of the Company s Board of Directors. The policies establish guidelines for acceptable limits on the sensitivity of the market value of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates.
Interest rate risk arises when the maturity or repricing periods and interest rate indices of the interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities, and derivative financial instruments are different. It is the risk that changes in the level of market interest rates will result in disproportionate changes in the value of, and the net earnings generated from, the Company s interest earning assets, interest bearing liabilities and derivative financial instruments. The Company continuously monitors not only the organization s current net interest margin, but also the historical trends of these margins. In addition, management attempts to identify potential adverse changes in net interest income in future years as a result interest rates fluctuations by performing simulation analysis of various interest rate environments. If a potential adverse change in net interest margin and/or net income is identified, management would take appropriate actions with its asset-liability structure to mitigate these potentially adverse situations. Please refer to Item 2
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further discussion of the net interest margin.
Since the Company s primary source of interest bearing liabilities is customer deposits, the Company sability to manage the types and terms of such deposits may be somewhat limited by customer preferences and local competition in the market areas in which the Banks operate. The rates, terms and interest rate indices of the Company s interest earning assets result primarily from the Company s strategy of investing in loans and securities that permit the Company to limit its exposure to interest rate risk, together with credit risk, while at the same time achieving an acceptable interest rate spread.
The Company s exposure to interest rate risk is reviewed on a regular basis by management and the Risk Management Committees of the Boards of Directors of the Banks and the Company. The objective is to measure the effect of interest rates on net income and to adjust balance sheet and derivative financial instruments to minimize the inherent risk while at the same time maximize net interest income. Tools used by management include a standard gap analysis and a rate simulation model whereby changes in net interest income are measured in the event of various changes in interest rate indices. An institution with more assets than liabilities re-pricing over a given time frame is considered asset sensitive and will generally benefit from rising rates, and conversely, a higher level of re-pricing liabilities versus assets would generally be beneficial in a declining rate environment.
Standard gap analysis starts with contractual re-pricing information for assets, liabilities and derivative financial instruments. These items are then combined with re-pricing estimations for administered rate (NOW, savings and money market accounts) and non-rate related products (demand deposit accounts, other assets, other liabilities). These estimations recognize the relative insensitivity of these accounts to changes in market interest rates, as demonstrated through current and historical experiences. Also included are estimates for those items that are likely to materially change their payment structures in different rate environments, including residential loan products, certain commercial and commercial real estate loans and certain mortgage-related securities. Estimates for these sensitivities are based on industry assessments and are substantially driven by the differential between contractual rates and current market rates for similar products.
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The following table illustrates the Company $s$ estimated interest rate sensitivity and periodic and cumulative gap positions as of June 30, 2006:

|  | Time to Maturity or Repricing |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $0-90$ | $\mathbf{0 1 - 3 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ | Over 5 |  |
|  | Days | Days | Years | Years | Total |

Assets:
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements
Interest-bearing deposits with banks
Available-for-sale securities

Total liquidity management assets
Loans, net of unearned income (1)

Other earning assets
\$ 106,588
106,588

| 11,850 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 274,085 | 334,744 | 518,467 | 825,137 | $1,952,433$ |

Total earning assets
Other non-earning assets
Total assets (RSA)
\$4,216,810
$1,336,641$
$1,717,589$
1,901,744
9,172,784

## Liabilities and Shareholders

Equity:

| Interest-bearing deposits ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$3,355,754 | 2,410,337 | 979,654 | 130,007 | 6,875,752 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 14,770 | 44,130 | 152,738 | 168,011 | 379,649 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 110,097 |  |  |  | 110,097 |
| Subordinated notes | 83,000 |  |  |  | 83,000 |
| Long-term debt trust preferred securities | 192,061 |  | 6,304 | 32,010 | 230,375 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 3,755,682 | 2,454,467 | 1,138,696 | 330,028 | 7,678,873 |
| Demand deposits |  |  |  | 686,869 | 686,869 |
| Other liabilities |  |  |  | 85,239 | 85,239 |
| Shareholders equity |  |  |  | 721,803 | 721,803 |

Effect of derivative financial instruments:
Interest rate swaps (Company pays fixed, receives floating) $(208,497)$

20,504
187,993
Interest rate swap (Company pays floating, receives fixed)

34,854
$(1,292)$
$(2,512)$
$(31,050)$

Total liabilities and
shareholders equity including effect of derivative financial

| instruments (RSL) | $\$ 3,582,039$ | $2,453,175$ | $1,156,688$ | $1,980,882$ | $9,172,784$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 634,771$ | $(1,116,534)$ | 560,901 | $(79,138)$ |  |
| Repricing gap (RSA RSL) | $\$ 634,771$ | $(481,763)$ | 79,138 |  |  |
| Cumulative repricing gap |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative RSA/Cumulative |  |  |  |  |  |
| RSL | $118 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $101 \%$ |  |  |
| Cumulative RSA/Total assets | $46 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $79 \%$ |  |  |
| Cumulative RSL/Total assets | $39 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $78 \%$ |  |  |
| Cumulative GAP/Total assets | $7 \%$ | $(5) \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |  |
| Cumulative GAP/Cumulative |  | $15 \%$ | $(9) \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| RSA |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Loans, net of unearned income, include mortgage loans
held-for-sale and nonaccrual loans.
(2) Non-contractual interest-bearing deposits are subject to immediate withdrawal and are included in 0-90 days.
While the gap position and related ratios illustrated in the table are useful tools that management can use to assess the general positioning of the Company s and its subsidiaries balance sheets, it is only as of a point in time. As a result of the static position and inherent limitations of gap analysis, management uses an additional measurement tool to evaluate its asset-liability sensitivity that determines exposure to changes in interest rates by measuring the percentage change in net interest income due to changes in interest rates over a two-year time horizon. Management measures its exposure to changes in interest rates using several interest rate scenarios.
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One interest rate scenario utilized is to measure the percentage change in net interest income assuming an instantaneous permanent parallel shift in the yield curve of 100 and 200 basis points, both upward and downward. Utilizing this measurement concept, the interest rate risk of the Company, expressed as a percentage change in net interest income over a two-year time horizon due to changes in interest rates, at June 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2005, is as follows:

| +200 | +100 | -100 | -200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basis | Basis | Basis | Basis |
| Points | Points | Points | Points |

Percentage change in net interest income due to an immediate 100 and 200 basis point shift in the yield curve:
June 30, 2006
December 31, 2005
June 30, 2005

| $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 8 ) \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 0 ) \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1.4 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $(3.9) \%$ | $(8.7) \%$ |
| $8.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $(5.9) \%$ | $(12.9) \%$ |

These results are based solely on an instantaneous permanent parallel shift in the yield curve and do not reflect the net interest income sensitivity that may arise from other factors, such as changes in the shape of the yield curve or the change in spread between key market rates. The above results are conservative estimates due to the fact that no management actions to mitigate potential changes in net interest income are included in this simulation process. These management actions could include, but would not be limited to, delaying a change in deposit rates, extending the maturities of liabilities, the use of derivative financial instruments, changing the pricing characteristics of loans or modifying the growth rate of certain types of assets or liabilities.
One method utilized by financial institutions to manage interest rate risk is to enter into derivative financial instruments. A derivative financial instrument includes interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and floors, futures, forwards, option contracts and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. As of June 30, 2006, the Company had $\$ 243$ million of interest rate swaps outstanding. See Note 9 of the Financial Statements presented under Item 1 of this report for further information on the Company $s$ derivative financial instruments.
During the first six months of 2006, the Company also entered into certain covered call option transactions related to certain securities held by the Company. The Company uses the covered call option transactions (rather than entering into other derivative interest rate contracts, such as interest rate floors) to mitigate the effects of net interest margin compression and increase the total return associated with the related securities. Although the revenue received from the covered call options is recorded as non-interest income rather than interest income, the increased return attributable to the related securities from these covered call options contributes to the Company s overall profitability. The Company s exposure to interest rate risk may be impacted by these transactions as the call options may expire without being exercised, and the Company would continue to own the underlying fixed rate securities. To mitigate this risk, the Company may acquire fixed rate term debt or use financial derivative instruments. There were no covered call options outstanding as of June 30, 2006.
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## ITEM 4

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer carried out an evaluation under their supervision, with the participation of other members of management as they deemed appropriate, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as contemplated by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon, and as of the date of that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective, in all material respects, in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company (and its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the periodic reports the Company is required to file and submit to the SEC under the Exchange Act.
There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II Other Information

## Item 1A: Risk factors

There were no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors in the Company s 2005 Form 10-K.

## Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company s Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to an aggregate of 450,000 shares of its common stock pursuant to the repurchase agreement that was publicly announced on January 27, 2000. No shares were repurchased in the first six months of 2006, and as of June $30,2006,85,950$ shares were available for repurchase. On July 31, 2006, the Company s Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 shares of its outstanding common stock over the next 18 months. This repurchase plan supercedes the previously announced share repurchase plan.

## Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

(a) The Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on May 25, 2006.
(b) At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the following matters were submitted to a vote of the shareholders:

1. To elect four Class I Directores to hold office for a three year term, unless the proposal in paragraph (3) below is adopted, in which case such Directors shall serve until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2007:

|  | Votes | Withheld |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Director Nominees | For | Authority |
| James B. McCarthy | $21,809,849$ | 556,568 |
| Thomas J. Neis | $22,057,610$ | 308,807 |
| J. Christopher Reyes | $22,134,004$ | 232,413 |
| Edward J. Wehmer | $22,148,752$ | 217,665 |

2. To elect one Class II Director to hold office until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2007:

|  | Votes | Withheld |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Director Nominees | For | Authority |
| Allan E. Bulley, Jr. | $22,046,606$ | 319,811 |

All director nominees were elected at the Annual Meeting. The following Class II and Class III directors continued to serve after the Annual Meeting:

Continuing Director Director Class Term Expires
Bruce K. Crowther Class II 2007
Bert A. Getz, Jr.
Albin F. Moschner
Ingrid S. Stafford
Class II
2007

Peter D. Crist
Joseph F. Damico
John S. Lillard
Hollis W. Rademacher
John J. Schornack
Class II 2007

Class II 2007
Class III 2008
Class III 2008
Class III 2008
Class III 2008
Class III 2008
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3. A proposal to adopt an amendment to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to provide for the annual election of all Directors, to be phased in over three years:

| Votes For | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21,622,950$ | 695,375 | 48,092 | 125,923 |

This proposal received the requisite votes of at least $85 \%$ of the voting power of the outstanding shares of stock of the Company entitled to vote at the 2006 Annual Meeting to pass.
4. Ratification of the appointment of Ernst \& Young LLP to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2006:

| Votes For | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21,929,713$ | 407,217 | 29,487 | 125,923 |

## Item 6: Exhibits.

(Exhibits marked with $a *$ denote management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements)
(a) Exhibits
3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Wintrust Financial Corporation, as amended.
3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of Wintrust Financial Corporation, as amended.
3.3 Statement of Resolution Establishing Series of Junior Serial Preferred Stock A of Wintrust Financial Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company s Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 1998).
4.1 Certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of $10 \%$ of the total assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, have not been filed as Exhibits. The Company hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any of these agreements to the Commission upon request.
10.1 Employment Agreement entered into between Wintrust Financial Corporation and Thomas P. Zidar, Executive Vice President.*
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# WINTRUST FINANCIAL CORPORATION 

(Registrant)
Date: August 9, 2006

/s/ DAVID L. STOEHR

David L. Stoehr
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
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