FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC Form 10-K March 13, 2008

# **Table of Contents**

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

### OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan 38-3150651

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098-2639

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (248) 312-2000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated
Filer o
Accelerated Filer b
Non-Accelerated Filer o
Company o
(Do not check if a smaller reporting

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b

The estimated aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing sale price (\$12.05 per share) as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on June 29, 2007, was approximately \$507.3 million. The registrant does not have any non-voting common equity shares.

As of March 11, 2008, 60,325,344 shares of the registrant s Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant s Proxy Statement relating to its 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders have been incorporated into Part III of this Report on Form 10-K.

# **Table of Contents**

<u>PART I</u>		3
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	3
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	RISK FACTORS	12
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	18
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	18
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	18
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	18
PART II		19
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED	
	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	19
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	21
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION	
	AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	23
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	48
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	50
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING	
	AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	96
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	96
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	97
	PART III	98
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	98
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	98
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND	
	MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	98
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR	
	<u>INDEPENDENCE</u>	98
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	98
PART IV		99
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	99
Employment Agreen	<u>nent</u>	
<u>Subsidiaries</u> Consent		
Section 302 Certifica	ation	
Section 302 Certifica		
Section 906 Certifica		
Section 906 Certifica	<u>ution</u>	

Employment Agreement dated February 28, 2007 of Matthew I. Roslin

List of Subsidiaries of the Company

Consent of Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP

Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

# **Cautions Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains certain forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. ( Flagstar or the Company ) and these statements are subject to risk and uncertainty. Forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, include those using words or phrases such as believes, anticipates, trend, pattern or similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as plans, objective, continue, remain, would, should, could, might, may or similar expressions. There are a number of important factors that co can, cause our future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed under the heading Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K. The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

### **Table of Contents**

### **PART I**

# **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

Where we say we, us, or our, we usually mean Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. In some cases, a reference to we, us, or include our wholly-owned subsidiary Flagstar Bank, FSB, and Flagstar Capital Markets Corporation (FCMC), its wholly-owned subsidiary, which we collectively refer to as the Bank.

# General

The Company is a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. Our business is primarily conducted through our principal subsidiary, Flagstar Bank, FSB (the Bank), a federally chartered stock savings bank. At December 31, 2007, our total assets were \$15.8 billion, making us the largest publicly held savings bank in the Midwest and one of the top 15 largest savings banks in the United States.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLB) and is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank is deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF).

At December 31, 2007, we operated 164 banking centers (of which 42 are located in retail stores such as Wal-Mart) located in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia. We also operate 143 home loan centers located in 27 states. This includes an additional 13 banking centers we opened during 2007, including six in Georgia. Our plan over the next five years is to increase our earning asset base and banking center network. To do this, we plan to continue to add banking centers and grow our lending channels in an effort to expand our market share in the markets we serve and to penetrate new markets. Toward this goal, during 2008, we expect to expand our banking center network by up to 13 new banking centers, with seven in Georgia.

Our earnings include net interest income from our retail banking activities, and non-interest income from sales of residential mortgage loans to the secondary market, the servicing of loans for others, the sale of servicing rights related to mortgage loans serviced and fee-based services provided to our customers. Approximately 97.4% of our total loan production during 2007 represented mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit that were collateralized by first or second mortgages on single-family residences.

At December 31, 2007, we had 3,960 full-time equivalent salaried employees of which 877 are account executives and loan officers.

# **Operating Segments**

Our business is comprised of two operating segments—banking and home lending. Our banking operation offers a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and to small and middle market businesses through a network of banking centers (i.e., our bank branches) in Michigan, Indiana, and Georgia. Our home lending operation originates, acquires, sells and services mortgage loans on one-to-four family residences. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other, with funding for the home lending operation primarily provided by deposits and borrowings obtained through the banking operation. Financial information regarding our two operating segments is set forth in Note 26 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report under—Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. A more detailed discussion of our two operating segments is set forth below.

**Banking Operation.** Our banking operation collects deposits and offers a broad base of banking services to consumer, municipal and commercial customers. We collect deposits at our 164 banking centers and via the Internet. We also sell certificates of deposit through independent brokerage firms. In addition to deposits, we borrow funds by obtaining advances from the FHLB or by entering into repurchase agreements using as collateral our mortgage-backed securities that we hold as investments. The banking operation invests these funds in a variety of consumer and commercial loan products.

We have developed a variety of deposit products ranging in maturity from demand-type accounts to certificates with maturities of up to ten years, savings accounts and money market accounts. We primarily rely

3

### **Table of Contents**

upon our network of strategically located banking centers, the quality and efficiency of our customer service, and our pricing policies to attract deposits.

In past years, our national accounts division garnered funds through nationwide advertising of deposit rates and the use of investment banking firms ( wholesale deposits ). During 2005, 2006 and through June 2007, we did not solicit any funds through the national accounts division as we had access to more attractive funding sources through FHLB advances, security repurchase agreements and other forms of deposits that had the potential for a long term customer relationship. Beginning in July 2007, wholesale deposits became attractive from a cost of funds standpoint, so we began to solicit funds through this division again.

While our primary investment vehicle is single-family residential first mortgage loans originated or acquired by our home lending operation, our banking operation offers consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and to small to middle market businesses. During the past three years, we have placed increasing emphasis on commercial real estate lending and on expanding on our commercial lending as a diversification from our national mortgage lending platform. In 2006, we expanded our commercial real estate lending to add 19 states to diversify our lending activity beyond Michigan, Indiana and Georgia.

During 2007, we originated a total of \$742.2 million in consumer loans versus \$1.1 billion originated in 2006. At December 31, 2007, our consumer loan portfolio totaled \$338.2 million or 4.1% of our investment loan portfolio, and contained \$56.5 million of second mortgage loans, \$179.8 million of home equity lines of credit, and \$101.9 million of various other consumer loans.

We also offer a full line of commercial loan products and banking services especially developed for our commercial customers. Commercial loans are made on a secured or unsecured basis, but a vast majority are also collateralized by personal guarantees of the principals of the borrowing business. Assets providing collateral for secured commercial loans require an appraised value sufficient to satisfy our loan-to-value ratio requirements. We also generally require that our commercial customers maintain a minimum debt-service coverage ratio. In addition, we consider the creditworthiness and managerial ability of our borrowers, the enforceability and collectibility of any relevant guarantees and the quality of the collateral.

At December 31, 2007, our commercial real estate loan portfolio totaled \$1.5 billion, or 19.2% of our investment loan portfolio, and our non-real estate commercial loan portfolio was \$23.0 million, or 0.3% of our investment loan portfolio. At December 31, 2006, our commercial real estate loan portfolio totaled \$1.3 billion or 14.6% of our investment loan portfolio, and our non-real estate commercial loan portfolio totaled \$14.6 million, or 0.2% of our investment loan portfolio. During 2007, we originated \$639.9 million of commercial loans versus \$671.5 million in 2006.

We also offer warehouse lines of credit to other mortgage lenders. These lines allow the lender to fund the closing of a mortgage loan. Each extension or drawdown on the line is collateralized by the mortgage loan being funded, and in many cases, we subsequently acquire the mortgage loan. These lines of credit are, in most cases, personally guaranteed by a qualified principal officer of the borrower. The aggregate amount of warehouse lines of credit granted to other mortgage lenders at December 31, 2007, was \$1.2 billion, of which \$316.7 million was outstanding at December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2006, \$1.2 billion in warehouse lines of credit had been granted, of which \$291.7 million was outstanding.

Our banking operation also offers a variety of other value-added, fee-based banking services.

**Home Lending Operation.** Our home lending operation originates, acquires, sells and services single-family residential mortgage loans. The origination or acquisition of residential mortgage loans constitutes our most

significant lending activity. At December 31, 2007, approximately 62.7% of our interest-earning assets consisted of first mortgage loans on single-family residences.

During 2007, we were one of the country s leading mortgage loan originators. We utilize three production channels to originate or acquire mortgage loans Retail, Broker and Correspondent. Each production channel produces similar mortgage loan products and applies, in most instances, the same underwriting standards.

4

### **Table of Contents**

**Retail.** In a retail transaction, we originate the loan through our nationwide network of 143 home loan centers, as well as from our 164 banking centers located in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia and our national call center located in Troy, Michigan. When we originate loans on a retail basis, we complete the origination documentation inclusive of customer disclosure and other aspects of the lending process and fund the transaction internally. During 2007, we closed \$2.0 billion of loans utilizing this origination channel, which equaled 7.8% of total originations as compared to \$2.1 billion or 11.7% of total originations in 2006 and \$4.0 billion or 14.2% of total originations in 2005.

**Broker.** In a broker transaction, an unaffiliated mortgage brokerage company completes the loan paperwork, but we supply the funding for the loan at closing (also known as table funding) and thereby become the lender of record. At closing, the broker may receive an origination fee from the borrower and we may also pay the broker a fee to acquire the mortgage servicing rights on the loan. We currently have active broker relationships with over 6,200 mortgage brokerage companies located in all 50 states. Brokers remain our largest loan production channel. During 2007, we closed \$12.4 billion utilizing this origination channel, which equaled 49.3% of total originations, as compared to \$9.0 billion or 48.3% in 2006 and \$16.1 billion or 57.1% in 2005.

Correspondent. In a correspondent transaction, an unaffiliated mortgage company completes the loan paperwork and also supplies the funding for the loan at closing. We acquire the loan after the mortgage company has funded the transaction, usually paying the mortgage company a market price for the loan plus a fee to acquire the mortgage servicing rights on the loan. Unlike several of our competitors, we do not generally acquire loans in bulk from correspondents but rather, we acquire each loan on a loan-level basis and require that each loan be originated to our underwriting guidelines. We have active correspondent relationships with over 1,200 companies located in all 50 states. During 2007, we closed \$10.8 billion utilizing this origination channel, which equaled 42.9% of total originations versus \$7.2 billion or 40.0% originated in 2006 and \$8.1 billion or 28.7% originated in 2005.

We maintain 15 sales support offices that assist our brokers and correspondents nationwide. We also continue to make increasing use of the Internet as a tool to facilitate the mortgage loan origination process through our broker and correspondent production channels. Our brokers and correspondents are able to register and lock loans, check the status of in-process inventory, deliver documents in electronic format, generate closing documents, and request funds through the Internet. Since 2006, virtually all mortgage loans that closed used the Internet in the completion of the mortgage origination or acquisition process. We expect to continue to utilize technology to streamline the mortgage origination process and bring service and convenience to our correspondent partners and customers.

*Underwriting.* Mortgage loans acquired or originated by the home lending operation are underwritten on a loan-by-loan basis rather than on a pool basis. In general, mortgage loans produced through any of our production channels are reviewed by one of our in-house loan underwriters or by a contract underwriter employed by a mortgage insurance company. However, certain of our correspondents have delegated underwriting authority. Any loan not underwritten by a Flagstar- employed underwriter must be warranted by the underwriter s employer, whether it is a mortgage insurance company or correspondent mortgage company.

We believe that our underwriting process, which relies on the electronic submission of data and images and is based on an imaging workflow process, allows for underwriting at a higher level of accuracy and timeliness than exists with processes that rely on paper submissions. We also provide our underwriters with integrated quality control tools, such as automated valuation models ( AVMs ), multiple fraud detection engines and the ability to electronically submit IRS Form 4506s, to ensure underwriters have the information that they need to make informed decisions. The process begins with the submission of an electronic application and an initial determination of eligibility. The application and required documents are then faxed or uploaded to our corporate underwriting department and all documents are

identified by optical character recognition or our underwriting staff. The underwriter is responsible for checking the data integrity and reviewing credit. The file is then reviewed in accordance with the applicable guidelines established by us for the particular product.

5

### **Table of Contents**

Quality control checks are performed by the underwriting department, using the tools outlined above, as necessary, and a decision is then made and communicated to the prospective borrower.

Mortgage Loans. All mortgage loans acquired or originated by our home lending operation are collateralized by a first mortgage on a one-to-four family residential property. A large majority of our mortgage loan products conform to the respective underwriting guidelines established by Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae or Freddie Mac, which we collectively refer to as the Agencies. We generally require that any first mortgage loan with a loan-to-value ratio in excess of 80% carry mortgage insurance. A loan-to-value ratio is the percentage that the original principal amount of a loan bears to the appraised value of the mortgaged property at the time of underwriting. However, in the case of a purchase money mortgage loans, in which the loan proceeds are used to acquire the property rather than refinance an existing mortgage loan, we use the lower of the appraised value of the property or the purchase price of the property securing the loan in determining this ratio. We also verify the reasonableness of the appraised value of loans by utilizing an AVM. We generally require a lower loan-to-value ratio, and thus a higher down payment, for loans on homes that are not occupied as a principal residence by the borrower. In addition, all first mortgage loans originated are subject to requirements for title, flood, windstorm, fire, and hazard insurance. Real estate taxes are generally collected and held in escrow for disbursement. We are also protected against fire or casualty loss on home mortgage loans by a blanket mortgage impairment insurance policy that insures us when the mortgagor s insurance is inadequate.

Construction Loans. Our home lending operation also makes short-term loans for the construction of one-to-four family residential housing throughout the United States, with a large concentration in our southern Michigan market area. These construction loans usually convert to permanent financing upon completion of construction. All construction loans are collateralized by a first lien on the property under construction. Loan proceeds are disbursed in increments as construction progresses and as inspections warrant. Construction/permanent loans may have adjustable or fixed interest rates and are underwritten in accordance with the same terms and requirements as permanent mortgages, except that during a construction period, generally up to nine months, the borrower is required to make interest-only monthly payments. Monthly payments of principal and interest commence one month from the date the loan is converted to permanent financing. Borrowers must satisfy all credit requirements that would apply to permanent mortgage loan financing prior to receiving construction financing for the subject property. During 2007, we originated a total of \$126.7 million in construction loans versus \$114.8 million originated in 2006 and \$103.9 million originated in 2005. At December 31, 2007, our portfolio of loans held for investment included \$90.4 million of loans secured by properties under construction, or 1.12% of total loans held for investment.

**Secondary Market Loan Sales and Securitizations.** We sell a majority of the mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities.

The following table indicates the breakdown of our loan sales/securitizations for the period as indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2007 Principal Sold	2006	2005 Principal
		Principal Sold	
			Sold
	%	<b>%</b>	%
Agency Securitizations	89.74%	83.14%	89.56%
Whole Loan Sales	6.49%	14.57%	7.88%
Private Securitizations	3.77%	2.29%	2.56%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Most of the mortgage loans that we sell are securitized through the Agencies. In an Agency securitization, we exchange mortgage loans that are owned by us for mortgage-backed securities that are guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or insured through Ginnie Mae and are collateralized by the same mortgage loans that were exchanged. Most or all of these mortgage-backed securities may then be sold to secondary market

6

### **Table of Contents**

investors, which may be the Agencies or other third parties in the secondary market. We receive cash payment for these securities upon the settlement dates of the respective sales, at which time we also transfer the related mortgage-backed securities to the purchaser.

We have also securitized a smaller portion of our mortgage loans through a process which we refer to as a private-label securitizations, to differentiate it from an Agency securitization. In a private-label securitization, we sell mortgage loans to our wholly-owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity, which then sells the mortgage loans to a separate, transaction-specific trust formed for this purpose in exchange for cash and certain interests in the trust and those mortgage loans. Each trust then issues and sells mortgage-backed securities to third party investors that are secured by payments on the mortgage loans. These securities are rated by two of the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (i.e. rating agencies.) We have no obligation to provide credit support to either the third-party investors or the trusts, although we are required to make certain servicing advances with respect to mortgage loans in the trusts. Neither the third-party investors nor the trusts generally have recourse to our assets or us, nor do they have the ability to require us to repurchase their mortgage-backed securities. We do not guarantee any mortgage-backed securities issued by the trusts. However, we do make certain customary representations and warranties concerning the mortgage loans as discussed below, and if we are found to have breached a representation or warranty, we could be required to repurchase the mortgage loan from the applicable trust. Each trust represents a qualifying special purpose entity, as defined under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ( SFAS ) 140, Accounting for Transfer and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125, and therefore is not consolidated for financial reporting purposes.

In addition to the cash we receive from the securitization of mortgage loans, we retain certain interests in the securitized mortgage loans and the trusts. Such retained interests include residual interests, which arise as a result of our private-label securitizations, and mortgage servicing rights (MSRs), which can arise as a result of our Agency securitizations, our private-label securitizations, or both.

The residual interests created upon the issuance of private-label securitizations represent the first loss position and are not typically rated by any nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The value of residual interests represents the present value of the future cash flows expected to be received by us from the excess cash flows created in the securitization transaction. Excess cash flows are dependent upon various factors including estimated prepayment speeds, credit losses and over-collateralization requirements. Residual interests are not typically entitled to any cash flows until both the over-collateralization account, which represents the difference between the bond balance and the value of the collateral underlying the security, has reached a certain level and certain expenses are paid. The over-collateralization requirement may increase if certain events occur, such as increases in delinquency rates or cumulative losses. If certain expenses are not paid or over-collateralization requirements are not met, the trustee applies cash flows to the over-collateralization account until such requirements are met and no excess cash flows would flow to the residual interest. A delay in receipt of, or reduction in the amount of excess cash flows would result in a lower valuation of the residual interests.

Residual interests are designated by us as trading or available-for-sale securities at the time of securitization and are periodically evaluated for impairment. The available-for-sale residual interests are marked to market with changes in the value recognized in other comprehensive income, net of tax. If available-for-sale residual interests are deemed to be impaired and the impairment is considered other-than-temporary, the impairment is recognized in the current period earnings. The trading residual interests are marked to market in the current period earnings. We use an internally developed model to value the residual interest. The model takes into consideration the cash flow structure specific to each transaction, such as over-collateralization requirements and trigger events, and key valuation assumptions, including credit losses, prepayment rates and discount rates.

Upon our sale of mortgage loans, we may retain the servicing of the securitized mortgage loans, or even sell them to other secondary market investors. In general, we do not sell the servicing rights to mortgage loans that we originate for our own portfolio or that we privately securitize. When we retain MSRs, we are entitled to receive a servicing fee equal to a specified percentage of the outstanding principal balance of the loans. We

7

### **Table of Contents**

may also be entitled to receive additional servicing compensation, such as late payment fees and earn additional income through the use of non-interest bearing escrows.

When we sell mortgage loans, whether through Agency securitizations, private-label securitizations or on a whole loan basis, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. We maintain a secondary market reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The secondary market reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases, actual credit losses on repurchased loans, loss indemnifications and recovery history, among other factors. Increases to the secondary market reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our other fees and charges. The amount of our secondary market reserve equaled \$27.6 million and \$24.2 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Loan Servicing. The home lending operation also services mortgage loans for others. Servicing residential mortgage loans for third parties generates fee income and represents a significant business activity for us. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, we serviced portfolios of mortgage loans that averaged \$23.4 billion, \$20.3 billion and \$26.8 billion, respectively. The servicing generated gross revenue of \$91.1 million, \$82.6 million and \$103.3 million in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. This revenue stream was offset by the amortization of \$78.3 million, \$69.6 million and \$94.5 million in previously capitalized values of MSRs in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. When a loan is prepaid or refinanced, any remaining MSR for that loan is fully amortized and therefore amortization expense in a period could exceed loan administration income. During a period of falling or low interest rates, the amount of amortization expense typically increases because of prepayments and refinancing of the underlying mortgage loans. During a period of higher or rising interest rates, payoffs and refinancing typically slow, reducing the rate of amortization.

As part of our business model, we occasionally sell MSRs into the secondary market if we determine that market prices provide us with an opportunity for appropriate profit or for capital management, balance sheet management or interest rate risk purposes. Over the past three years, we sold \$40.3 billion of loans serviced for others underlying our MSRs, including \$3.6 billion in 2007. The MSRs are sold in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans. At the time of the sale, we record a gain or loss based on the selling price of the MSRs less the carrying value and transaction costs. The market price of MSRs changes with demand and the general level of interest rates.

# **Other Business Activities**

We conduct business through a number of wholly-owned subsidiaries in addition to the Bank.

**Douglas Insurance Agency, Inc.** Douglas Insurance Agency, Inc. (Douglas) acts as an agent for life insurance and health and casualty insurance companies. Douglas also acts as a broker with regard to certain insurance product offerings to employees and customers. Douglas activities are not material to our business.

*Flagstar Reinsurance Company*. Flagstar Reinsurance Company (FRC) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company that was formed during 2007 as a successor in interest to another wholly-owned subsidiary, Flagstar Credit Inc., a reinsurance company which was subsequently dissolved in 2007. FRC is a reinsurance company that provides credit enhancement with respect to certain pools of mortgage loans underwritten and originated by us during each calendar year. With each pool, all of the primary risk is initially borne by one or more unaffiliated private mortgage

insurance companies. A portion of the risk is then ceded to FRC by the insurance company, which remains principally liable for the entire amount of the primary risk. To effect this, the private mortgage insurance company provides loss coverage for all foreclosure losses up to the entire amount of the insured risk with respect to each pool of loans. The respective private mortgage insurance

8

### **Table of Contents**

company then cedes a portion of that risk to FRC and pays FRC a corresponding portion of the related premium. The mortgage insurance company usually retains the portion of the insured risk ranging from 0% to 5% and from 10.01% to 100% of the insured risk. FRC s share of the total amount of the insured risk is an intermediate tranche of credit enhancement risk which covers the 5.01% to 10% range, and therefore its maximum exposure at any time equals 5% of the insured risk of the insured pools. At December 31, 2007, FRC s maximum exposure amounted to \$143.9 million. Pursuant to our individual agreements with the private mortgage insurance companies, we are obligated to maintain cash in a separately managed account for the benefit of these mortgage insurance companies to cover any losses experienced in the portion ceded to us. The amounts we maintain are determined periodically by these companies and reflect their overall assessment at the time of our probability of maximum loss related to our ceded portion and the related severity of such loss. Pursuant to these agreements, we are not obliged to provide any funds to the mortgage insurance companies to cover any losses in our ceded portion other than the funds we are required to maintain in this separately managed account. At December 31, 2007, this separately managed account had a balance of \$26.1 million. However, we believe the actual risk of loss is much lower because the credit enhancement is provided on an aggregated pool basis rather than on an individual loan basis. Also, FRC s obligation is subordinated to the primary insurers, and we believe that the insured mortgage loans are fully collateralized. As such, while FRC does bear some risk in the structure, we believe FRC s actual risk exposure is minimal. As of December 31, 2007, no claim had been made against FRC on the mortgage loan credit enhancement it provides.

*Flagstar Credit, Inc.* Flagstar Credit, Inc. (FCI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, transferred all of its assets to FRC effective October 1, 2007. The transfer was with the approval of each of the mortgage insurers and all actual and contingent liabilities that FCI had at the time were assumed by FRC without any further recourse to FCI and FRC succeeded to all rights and obligations of the agreements with the private mortgage insurers. Following this transfer, FRC has continued the operations of FCI without change and FCI ceased operation and was dissolved in 2007.

**Paperless Office Solutions, Inc.** Paperless Office Solutions, Inc. (POS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, provides on-line paperless office solutions for mortgage originators. DocVelocity is the flagship product developed by POS to bring web-based paperless mortgage processing to mortgage originators.

*Other Flagstar Subsidiaries*. In addition to the Bank, Douglas, FRC and POS, we have a number of wholly-owned subsidiaries that are inactive. We also own nine statutory trusts that are not consolidated with our operations. For additional information, see Notes 2 and 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data, herein.

*Flagstar Bank.* The Bank, our primary subsidiary, is a federally chartered, stock savings bank headquartered in Troy, Michigan. The Bank is also the sole shareholder of FCMC.

*Flagstar Capital Markets Corporation.* FCMC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank and its functions include holding investment loans, purchasing securities, selling and securitizing mortgage loans, maintaining and selling mortgage servicing rights, developing new loan products, establishing pricing for mortgage loans to be acquired, providing for lock-in support, and managing interest rate risk associated with these activities.

*Flagstar ABS LLC*. Flagstar ABS LLC ( ABS ) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FCMC that serves as a bankruptcy remote special purpose entity that has been created to hold trust certificates in connection with our private securitization offerings.

*Other Bank Subsidiaries.* The Bank, in addition to FCMC, also wholly-owns several other subsidiaries, all of which were inactive at December 31, 2007.

# **Regulation and Supervision**

Both the Company and the Bank are subject to regulation by the OTS. Also, the Bank is a member of the FHLB and its deposits are insured by the FDIC through the DIF. Accordingly, it is subject to an extensive regulatory framework which imposes activity restrictions, minimum capital requirements, lending and deposit restrictions and numerous other requirements primarily intended for the protection of depositors, federal

9

### **Table of Contents**

deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, rather than for the protection of shareholders and creditors. Many of these laws and regulations have undergone significant changes in recent years and are likely to change in the future. Future legislative or regulatory change, or changes in enforcement practices or court rulings, may have a significant and potentially adverse impact on our operations and financial condition. Our non-bank financial subsidiaries are also subject to various federal and state laws and regulations.

Holding Company Status and Acquisitions. The Company is a savings and loan holding company, as defined by federal banking law. We may not acquire control of another savings association unless the OTS approves such transaction and we may not be acquired by a company other than a bank holding company unless the OTS approves such transaction, or by an individual unless the OTS does not object after receiving notice. We may not be acquired by a bank holding company unless the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve ) approves such transaction. In any case, the public must have an opportunity to comment on any such proposed acquisition and the OTS or Federal Reserve must complete an application review. Without prior approval from the OTS, we may not acquire more than 5% of the voting stock of any savings institution. In addition, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act generally restricts any non-financial entity from acquiring us unless such non-financial entity was, or had submitted an application to become, a savings and loan holding company on or before May 4, 1999. Also, because we were a savings and loan holding company prior to that date, we may engage in non-financial activities and acquire non-financial subsidiaries.

Capital Adequacy. The Bank must maintain a minimum amount of capital to satisfy various regulatory capital requirements under OTS regulations and federal law. There is no such requirement that applies to the Company. Federal law and regulations establish five levels of capital compliance: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank met all capital requirements to which it was subject and satisfied the requirements to be treated as well-capitalized under OTS regulations. An institution is treated as well-capitalized if its ratio of total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets is 10.0% or more, its ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets is 6.0% or more, its leverage ratio (also referred to as its core capital ratio) is 5.0% or more, and it is not subject to any federal supervisory order or directive to meet a specific capital level. In contrast, an institution is only considered to be adequately capitalized if its capital structure satisfies lesser required levels, such as a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of not less than 4.0%, and (unless it is in the most highly-rated category) a leverage ratio of not less than 4.0%. Any institution that is neither well capitalized nor adequately capitalized will be considered undercapitalized. Any institution with a tangible equity ratio of 2.0% or less will be considered critically undercapitalized.

On November 1, 2007, the OTS and the other U.S. banking agencies issued final regulations implementing the new risk-based regulatory capital framework developed by The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, which is a working committee established by the central bank governors of certain industrialized nations, including the United States. The new risk-based regulatory capital framework, commonly referred to as Basel II, includes several methodologies for determining risk-based capital requirements, and the U.S. banking agencies have so far only adopted methodology known as the advanced approach. The implementation of the advanced approach is mandatory for the largest U.S. banks and optional for other U.S. banks.

For those other U.S. banks, the U.S. banking agencies had issued advance rulemaking notices through December 2006 that contemplated possible modifications to the risk-based capital framework applicable to those domestic banking organizations that would not be affected by Basel II. These possible modifications, known colloquially as Basel 1A, were intended to avoid future competitive inequalities between Basel I and Basel II organizations. However, the U.S. banking agencies withdrew the proposed Basel 1A capital framework in late 2007. Instead, in 2008, the U.S. banking agencies announced that they would issue a proposed rule that would allow all U.S. banks not subject to the advanced approach under Basel II with the option of adopting a standardized approach under Basel II. Upon

issuance of the proposed rule, we will assess the potential impact that it may have on our business practices as well as the broader competitive effects within the industry.

10

# **Table of Contents**

Qualified Thrift Lender. The Bank is required to meet a qualified thrift lender (QTL) test to avoid certain restrictions on our operations, including the activities restrictions applicable to multiple savings and loan holding companies, restrictions on our ability to branch interstate and the Company's mandatory registration as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. A savings association satisfies the QTL test if: (i) on a monthly average basis, for at least nine months out of each twelve month period, at least 65% of a specified asset base of the savings association consists of loans to small businesses, credit card loans, educational loans, or certain assets related to domestic residential real estate, including residential mortgage loans and mortgage securities; or (ii) at least 60% of the savings association s total assets consist of cash, U.S. government or government agency debt or equity securities, fixed assets, or loans secured by deposits, real property used for residential, educational, church, welfare, or health purposes, or real property in certain urban renewal areas. The Bank is currently, and expects to remain, in compliance with QTL standards.

Payment of Dividends. The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank and our non-banking subsidiaries. The Company s principal sources of funds are cash dividends paid by the Bank and other subsidiaries, investment income and borrowings. Federal laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends or other capital distributions that the Bank may pay us. The Bank has an internal policy to remain well-capitalized under OTS capital adequacy regulations (discussed immediately above). Accordingly, the Bank does not currently expect to pay dividends to the Company if such payment would result in the Bank not being well capitalized. In addition, the Bank must seek prior approval from the OTS at least 30 days before it may make a capital distribution to the Company.

FDIC Assessment. The FDIC insures the deposits of the Bank and such insurance is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States government. Through March 31, 2006, the FDIC administered two separate deposit insurance funds, the Bank Insurance Fund (the BIF) and the Savings Association Insurance Fund (the SAIF). The SAIF was the deposit insurance fund for most savings associations, including the Bank. In February 2006, President Bush signed into law the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Conforming Amendments Act of 2005, which among other things allowed for the merger of the BIF and the SAIF to form the DIF. Under FDIC guidelines issued in November 2006, the Bank s premiums increased to increase the capitalization of the DIF. For 2007, the assessment was approximately \$4.4 million, before any credits, as compared to \$1.1 million in 2006.

If the Bank were to fail, claims for administrative expenses of the receiver and for deposits in all of our branches (including claims of the FDIC as subrogee) would have priority over the claims of general unsecured creditors and shareholders.

Affiliate Transaction Restrictions. The Bank is subject to the affiliate and insider transaction rules applicable to member banks of the Federal Reserve System as well as additional limitations imposed by the OTS. These provisions prohibit or limit a banking institution from extending credit to, or entering into certain transactions with, affiliates, principal stockholders, directors and executive officers of the banking institution and its affiliates.

*Federal Reserve.* Numerous regulations promulgated by the Federal Reserve affect the business operations of the Bank. These include regulations relating to equal credit opportunity, electronic fund transfers, collection of checks, truth in lending, truth in savings and availability of funds.

Under Federal Reserve Board regulations, the Bank is required to maintain a reserve against its transaction accounts (primarily interest-bearing and non-interest-bearing checking accounts). Because reserves must generally be maintained in cash or in non-interest-bearing accounts, the effect of the reserve requirement is to increase the Bank s cost of funds.

*Patriot Act.* The USA PATRIOT Act, which was enacted following the events of September 11, 2001, includes numerous provisions designed to detect and prevent international money laundering and to block terrorist access to the

U.S. financial system. We have established policies and procedures intended to fully comply with the USA PATRIOT Act s provisions, as well as other aspects of anti-money laundering legislation and the Bank Secrecy Act.

11

### **Table of Contents**

Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations. Examination and enforcement by bank regulatory agencies for non-compliance with consumer protection laws and their implementing regulations have become more intense in nature. The Bank is subject to many federal consumer protection statutes and regulations, some of which are discussed below.

Federal regulations require extra disclosures and consumer protections to borrowers for certain lending practices. The term predatory lending, much like the terms safety and soundness and unfair and deceptive practices, is far-reaching and covers a potentially broad range of behavior. As such, it does not lend itself to a concise or a comprehensive definition. Predatory lending typically involves at least one, and perhaps all three, of the following elements:

Making unaffordable loans based on the assets of the borrower rather than on the borrower s ability to repay an obligation ( asset-based lending );

Inducing a borrower to refinance a loan repeatedly in order to charge high points and fees each time the loan is refinanced ( loan flipping ); and/or

Engaging in fraud or deception to conceal the true nature of the loan obligation from an unsuspecting or unsophisticated borrower.

The federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act includes provisions that protect consumers from the unauthorized transfer and use of their non-public personal information by financial institutions. Privacy policies are required by federal banking regulations which limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public personal information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. Pursuant to those rules, financial institutions must provide:

Initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;

Annual notices of their privacy policies to current customers; and

A reasonable method for customers to opt out of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

Many states also have predatory lending laws, and although the Bank is typically exempt from those laws due to federal preemption, they do apply to the brokers and correspondents from whom we purchase loans and, therefore have an effect on our business and our sales of certain loans into the secondary market.

These privacy protections affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors. In addition, states are permitted under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act to have their own privacy laws, which may offer greater protection to consumers than the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. Numerous states in which we do business have enacted such laws.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act, as amended by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act, or FACT Act, requires financial firms to help deter identity theft, including developing appropriate fraud response programs, and gives consumers more control of their credit data. It also reauthorizes a federal ban on state laws that interfere with corporate credit granting and marketing practices. In connection with FACT Act, financial institution regulatory agencies proposed rules that would prohibit an institution from using certain information about a consumer it received from an affiliate to make a solicitation to the consumer, unless the consumer has been notified and given a chance to opt out of such solicitations. A consumer—s election to opt out would be applicable for at least five years.

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act, or ECOA, generally prohibits discrimination in any credit transaction, whether for consumer or business purposes, on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (except in limited circumstances), receipt of income from public assistance programs, or good faith exercise of any rights under the Consumer Credit Protection Act.

The Truth in Lending Act, or TILA, is designed to ensure that credit terms are disclosed in a meaningful way so that consumers may compare credit terms more readily and knowledgeably. As a result of the TILA, all creditors must use the same credit terminology to express rates and payments, including the annual

12

### **Table of Contents**

percentage rate, the finance charge, the amount financed, the total of payments and the payment schedule, among other things.

The Fair Housing Act, or FH Act, regulates many practices, including making it unlawful for any lender to discriminate in its housing-related lending activities against any person because of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, handicap or familial status. A number of lending practices have been found by the courts to be, or may be considered illegal under the FH Act, including some that are not specifically mentioned in the FH Act itself.

The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, or HMDA, grew out of public concern over credit shortages in certain urban neighborhoods and provides public information that will help show whether financial institutions are serving the housing credit needs of the neighborhoods and communities in which they are located. The HMDA also includes a fair lending aspect that requires the collection and disclosure of data about applicant and borrower characteristics as a way of identifying possible discriminatory lending patterns and enforcing anti-discrimination statutes. In 2004, the Federal Reserve Board amended regulations issued under HMDA to require the reporting of certain pricing data with respect to higher-priced mortgage loans. This expanded reporting is being reviewed by federal banking agencies and others from a fair lending perspective.

The Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, or RESPA, requires lenders to provide borrowers with disclosures regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements. Also, RESPA prohibits certain abusive practices, such as kickbacks, and places limitations on the amount of escrow accounts.

Penalties under the above laws may include fines, reimbursements and other penalties. Due to heightened regulatory concern related to compliance with the FACT Act, ECOA, TILA, FH Act, HMDA and RESPA generally, the Bank may incur additional compliance costs or be required to expend additional funds for investments in its local community.

Community Reinvestment Act. The Community Reinvestment Act ( CRA ) requires the Bank to ascertain and help meet the credit needs of the communities it serves, including low- to moderate-income neighborhoods, while maintaining safe and sound banking practices. The primary federal regulatory agency assigns one of four possible ratings to an institution s CRA performance and is required to make public an institution s rating and written evaluation. The four possible ratings of meeting community credit needs are outstanding, satisfactory, needs to improve and substantial noncompliance. In 2006, the Bank received an outstanding CRA rating from the OTS.

Regulatory Enforcement. Our primary federal banking regulator is the OTS. Both the OTS and the FDIC may take regulatory enforcement actions against any of their regulated institutions that do not operate in accordance with applicable regulations, policies and directives. Proceedings may be instituted against any banking institution, or any institution-affiliated party, such as a director, officer, employee, agent or controlling person, who engages in unsafe and unsound practices, including violations of applicable laws and regulations. Both the OTS and the FDIC have authority under various circumstances to appoint a receiver or conservator for an insured institution that it regulates to issue cease and desist orders, to obtain injunctions restraining or prohibiting unsafe or unsound practices, to revalue assets and to require the establishment of reserves. The FDIC has additional authority to terminate insurance of accounts, after notice and hearing, upon a finding that the insured institution is or has engaged in any unsafe or unsound practice that has not been corrected, is operating in an unsafe or unsound condition or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, or order of, or condition imposed by, the FDIC.

**Federal Home Loan Bank System.** The primary purpose of the Federal Home Loan Banks (the FHLBs) is to provide loans to their respective members in the form of collateralized advances for making housing loans as well as for affordable housing and community development lending. The FHLBs are generally able to make advances to their member institutions at interest rates that are lower than the members could otherwise obtain. The FHLB system

consists of 12 regional FHLBs, each being federally chartered but privately owned by its respective member institutions. The Federal Housing Finance Board, a government agency, is generally responsible for regulating the FHLB system. The Bank is currently a member of the FHLB of Indianapolis.

13

# **Table of Contents**

# **Environmental Regulation**

Our business and properties are subject to federal and state laws and regulations governing environmental matters, including the regulation of hazardous substances and wastes. For example, under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and similar state laws, owners and operators of contaminated properties may be liable for the costs of cleaning up hazardous substances without regard to whether such persons actually caused the contamination. Such laws may affect us both as an owner or former owner of properties used in or held for our business, and as a secured lender on property that is found to contain hazardous substances or wastes. Our general policy is to obtain an environmental assessment prior to foreclosing on commercial property. We may elect not to foreclose on properties that contain such hazardous substances or wastes, thereby limiting, and in some instances precluding, the liquidation of such properties.

# Competition

We face substantial competition in attracting deposits and making loans. Our most direct competition for deposits has historically come from other savings institutions, commercial banks and credit unions in our local market areas. Money market funds and full-service securities brokerage firms also compete with us for deposits and, in recent years, many financial institutions have competed for deposits through the internet. We compete for deposits by offering high quality and convenient banking services at a large number of convenient locations, including longer banking hours and sit-down banking in which a customer is served at a desk rather than in a teller line. We may also compete by offering competitive interest rates on our deposit products.

From a lending perspective, there are a large number of institutions offering mortgage loans, consumer loans and commercial loans, including many mortgage lenders that operate on a national scale, as well as local savings institutions, commercial banks, and other lenders. We compete by offering competitive interest rates, fees and other loan terms and by offering efficient and rapid service.

# **Additional information**

Our executive offices are located at 5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098, and our telephone number is (248) 312-2000. Our stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol FBC.

We make our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act available free of charge on our website at <a href="www.flagstar.com">www.flagstar.com</a> as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These reports are also available without charge on the SEC website at <a href="www.sec.gov">www.sec.gov</a>.

# ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by various factors, many of which are beyond our control. These risk factors include the following:

# General business, economic and political conditions may significantly affect our earnings.

Our business and earnings are sensitive to general business and economic conditions in the United States. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, recession, unemployment, real estate values, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to foreign currencies, and the strength of the U.S. economy, as well as the local economies in which we conduct business. If any of these

conditions worsen, our business and earnings could be adversely affected. For example, business and economic conditions that negatively impact household incomes could decrease the demand for our home loans and increase the number of customers who become delinquent or default on their loans; or, a rising interest rate environment could decrease the demand for loans.

14

### **Table of Contents**

In addition, our business and earnings are significantly affected by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies. We are particularly affected by the policies of the Federal Reserve, which regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States, and the perception of those policies by the financial markets. The Federal Reserve s policies influence both the financial markets and the size and liquidity of the mortgage origination market, which significantly impacts the earnings of our mortgage lending operation and the value of our investment in MSRs and other retained interests. The Federal Reserve s policies and perceptions of those policies also influence the yield on our interest-earning assets and the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in those policies or perceptions are beyond our control and difficult to predict and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

# If we cannot effectively manage the impact of the volatility of interest rates, our earnings could be adversely affected.

Our main objective in managing interest rate risk is to maximize the benefit and minimize the adverse effect of changes in interest rates on our earnings over an extended period of time. In managing these risks, we look at, among other things, yield curves and hedging strategies. As such, our interest rate risk management strategies may result in significant earnings volatility in the short term because the market value of our assets and related hedges may be significantly impacted either positively or negatively by unanticipated variations in interest rates.

Our profitability depends in substantial part on our net interest margin, which is the difference between the rates we receive on loans made to others and investments and the rates we pay for deposits and other sources of funds. Our profitability also depends in substantial part on the volume of loan originations and the related fees received in our mortgage banking operations. Our net interest margin and our volume of mortgage originations will depend on many factors that are partly or entirely outside our control, including competition, federal economic, monetary and fiscal policies, and economic conditions generally. Historically, net interest margin and the mortgage origination volumes for the Bank and for other financial institutions have widened and narrowed in response to these and other factors. Our goal has been to structure our asset and liability management strategies to maximize the benefit of changes in market interest rates on our net interest margin and revenues related to mortgage origination volume. However, we can not give any assurance that a sudden or significant change in prevailing interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

We manage the strategic interest rate risk in our home lending operation primarily through the natural counterbalance of our loan production and servicing operations. Increasing long-term interest rates may decrease our mortgage loan originations and sales. Generally, the volume of mortgage loan originations is inversely related to the level of long-term interest rates. During periods of low long-term interest rates, a significant number of our customers may elect to refinance their mortgages (i.e., pay off their existing higher rate mortgage loans with new mortgage loans obtained at lower interest rates). Our profitability levels and those of others in the mortgage banking industry have generally been strongest during periods of low and/or declining interest rates, as we have historically been able to sell the resulting increased volume of loans into the secondary market at a gain. We have also benefited from periods of wide spreads between short and long term interest rates. If interest rates rise after we fix a price for a loan or commitment but before we close or sell such loan, the value of the loan will decrease and the amount we receive from selling the loan may be less than its cost to originate.

When interest rates fluctuate, repricing risks arise from the timing difference in the maturity and/or repricing of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet positions. While such repricing mismatches are fundamental to our business, they can expose us to fluctuations in income and economic value as interest rates vary. Our interest rate risk management strategies do not completely eliminate repricing risk. A significant amount of our deposit liabilities are certificates of deposits, and these account holders may be more sensitive to the interest rate paid on their account than other depositors. There is no guarantee that in a changing rate environment we will be able to retain all of these depositors

accounts. We also call on local municipal agencies as another source for deposit funding. While a valuable source of liquidity, we believe that municipal deposits are usually extremely rate sensitive and, therefore, prone to withdrawal if higher interest rates are

15

### **Table of Contents**

offered elsewhere. Because of the interest rate sensitivity of these depositors, there is no guarantee that in a changing rate environment we will be able to retain all funds in these accounts.

Changes in interest rates may cause a mismatch in our mortgage origination flow of loans, or pipeline and adversely affect our profitability. In our mortgage banking operation, we are exposed to interest rate risk from the time we commit to an interest rate on a mortgage loan application through the time we sell or commit to sell the mortgage loan. On a daily basis, we analyze various economic and market factors to estimate the percentage of mortgage loans we expect to sell for delivery at a future date. The amount of loans that we commit to sell is based in part on our expectation of the pull-through percentage, which is the ratio of mortgage loans closed divided by the number of mortgage loans on which we have issued binding commitments (and thereby locked in the interest rate) but have not yet closed (pipeline loans). If interest rates change in an unanticipated fashion, the actual percentage of pipeline loans that close may differ from the projected percentage. A mismatch of commitments to fund mortgage loans and commitments to sell mortgage loans may have an adverse effect on the results of operations in any such period. For instance, we may not have made commitments to sell these additional pipeline loans and therefore may incur significant losses upon their sale if the market rate of interest is higher than the mortgage interest rate to which we committed on such additional pipeline loans. Alternatively, we may have made commitments to sell more loans than actually closed or at prices that are no longer profitable to us. Our profitability may be adversely affected to the extent our economic hedging strategy for pipeline loans is not effective.

# The value of our mortgage servicing rights could decline with reduction in interest rates.

The market value of, and earnings from, our mortgage loan servicing portfolio may be adversely affected by declines in interest rates. When mortgage rates rise we would generally expect payoffs in our servicing portfolio to decline, which generally should result in increases to the fair value of our MSRs. When mortgage interest rates decline, mortgage loan prepayments tend to increase as customers refinance their loans. When this happens, the income stream from our current mortgage loan servicing portfolio may decline. In that case, we may be required to amortize the portfolio over a shorter period of time or reduce the carrying value of our mortgage loan servicing portfolio.

# Gains on Mortgage Servicing Rights May Be Difficult to Realize Due to Disruption in the Capital Markets.

Historically, we have sold mortgage servicing rights in secondary sales in order to realize profits, manage our capital levels and control interest rate risk. In the current environment, MSRs may be more difficult to sell, as many of the traditional buyers have exited the market due to a lack of capital availability, concern about housing prices or other reasons. If we do not sell MSRs, it could affect our profitability, result in increased capital requirements or require that we specifically hedge this asset.

We use estimates in determining the fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation.

A portion of our assets are carried on our statement of financial condition at fair value, including our initial capitalization of MSRs, trading assets and available for sale securities that represent certain retained interests from securitization activities, all other available-for-sale securities and derivatives. Generally, for assets that are reported at fair value, we use quoted market prices or internal valuation models that utilize observable market data inputs to estimate their fair value. In certain cases, observable market prices and data may not be readily available or their availability may be diminished due to market conditions. We use financial models to value certain of these assets. These models are complex and use asset specific collateral data and market inputs for interest rates. We cannot assure you that the models or the underlying assumptions will prove to be predictive and remain so over time, and therefore, actual results may differ from our models. Any assumptions we use are complex as we must make judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and actual experience may differ from our assumptions. Different

assumptions could result in significant declines in valuation, which in turn could result in significant declines in the dollar amount of assets we report on our statement of financial condition.

16

### **Table of Contents**

Current and further deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets may lead to increased loss severities and further worsening of delinquencies and non-performing assets in our loan portfolios. Consequently, our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and we may be required to materially increase our reserves.

A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that we could sustain losses because borrowers, guarantors, and related parties may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans. The underwriting and credit monitoring policies and procedures that we have adopted to address this risk may not prevent unexpected losses that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. Unexpected losses may arise from a wide variety of specific or systemic factors, many of which are beyond our ability to predict, influence or control.

As with most lending institutions, we maintain an allowance for loan losses to provide for defaults and non-performance. Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses, and future provisions for credit losses could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects. The allowance for loan losses reflects our estimate of the probable losses in our portfolio of loans at the relevant statement of financial condition date. Our allowance for loan losses is based on prior experience as well as an evaluation of the risks in the current portfolio, composition and growth of the portfolio and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of loan loss allowance is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that may be beyond our control and these losses may exceed current estimates.

Recently, the housing and the residential mortgage markets have experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions. If market conditions continue to deteriorate, they may lead to additional valuation adjustments on our loan portfolios and real estate owned as we continue to reassess the market value of our loan portfolio, the loss severities of loans in default, and the net realizable value of real estate owned. Problems in the United States residential real estate development industry could materially impact us. Poor economic conditions could result in decreased demand for residential housing, which, in turn, could adversely affect the development and construction efforts of residential real estate developers. Consequently, such economic downturns could adversely affect the ability of such residential real estate developer borrowers to repay these loans and the value of property used as collateral for such loans. Similar issues are arising in other markets, including commercial real estate. These problems faced by residential real estate developers and other commercial borrowers could have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

# Our secondary market reserve for losses could be insufficient.

We currently maintain a secondary market reserve, which is a liability on our statement of financial condition, to reflect our best estimate of expected losses that we have incurred on loans that we have sold or securitized into the secondary market and must subsequently repurchase or with respect to which we must indemnify the purchasers because of violations of customary representations and warranties. Increases to this reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales, with adjustments to our previous estimates recorded as an increase or decrease to our other fees and charges. The level of the reserve reflects management s continuing evaluation of loss experience on repurchased loans, indemnifications, recovery history, and present economic conditions, among other things. The determination of the appropriate level of the secondary market reserve inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires us to make significant estimates of repurchase risks and expected losses. Both the assumptions and estimates used could change materially, resulting in a level of reserve that is less than actual losses. Further, our bank regulators periodically review our secondary market reserve and may, in their discretion and based on their own judgment, which may differ from that of management, require us to increase the amount of the reserve

through additional provisions. Such increases will result in a reduction in net earnings and could have an adverse effect on our statement of financial condition and results of operations.

17

# **Table of Contents**

# Our home lending profitability could be significantly reduced if we are not able to resell mortgages.

Currently, we sell a substantial portion of the mortgage loans we originate. The profitability of our mortgage banking operations depends in large part upon our ability to aggregate a high volume of loans and to sell them in the secondary market at a gain. Thus, we are dependent upon (1) the existence of an active secondary market and (2) our ability to profitably sell loans or securities into that market.

Our ability to sell mortgage loans readily is dependent upon the availability of an active secondary market for single-family mortgage loans, which in turn depends in part upon the continuation of programs currently offered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other institutional and non-institutional investors. These entities account for a substantial portion of the secondary market in residential mortgage loans. Some of the largest participants in the secondary market, including Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae, are government-sponsored enterprises whose activities are governed by federal law. Any future changes in laws that significantly affect the activity of such government-sponsored enterprises could, in turn, adversely affect our operations.

In addition, our ability to sell mortgage loans readily is dependent upon our ability to remain eligible for the programs offered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other institutional and non-institutional investors. We expect to remain eligible to participate in such programs, but any significant impairment of our eligibility could materially and adversely affect our operations. Further, the criteria for loans to be accepted under such programs may be changed from time-to-time by the sponsoring entity. The profitability of participating in specific programs may vary depending on a number of factors, including our administrative costs of originating and purchasing qualifying loans.

# Our commercial real estate and commercial business loan portfolios carry heightened credit risk.

In recent years, we have emphasized the origination of commercial real estate and commercial business loans. At December 31, 2007, our balance of commercial loans was \$1.6 billion, which was 19.8% of loans held for investment and 10.1% of total assets. Loans collateralized by commercial real estate are generally thought to have a greater degree of credit risk than single-family residential mortgage loans and carry larger loan balances. This increased credit risk is a result of several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effects of general economic conditions on income-producing properties, and the greater difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans.

Furthermore, the repayment of loans collateralized by commercial real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate property. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, the borrower s ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Other commercial business loans generally have a greater credit risk than residential mortgage loans as well. Conversely, residential mortgage loans are generally made on the basis of the borrower s ability to make repayment from his or her employment or other income, and are secured by real property whose value tends to be more easily ascertainable. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may depend substantially on the success of the business itself. Further, any collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value.

# We have substantial risks in connection with securitizations and loan sales.

Securitization and loan sale transactions comprise a significant source of our overall funding. Our sales channels include whole loan sales, sales to government-sponsored enterprises and sales through private-label securitizations. Private-label securitizations, sponsored by us, involve transfers of loans to off-balance sheet qualifying special purpose entities, which in turn issue securities to third parties.

In a securitization transaction, we may recognize a gain or loss on sale resulting from related residuals and/or servicing rights in the securitized pool of loans when we sell or securitize the assets. The values assigned to the residuals and/or servicing assets depends upon certain assumptions that we make about the future performance of the securitized loan portfolio, including the level of credit losses and the rate of prepayments. Residuals, which are retained interests created in a mortgage loan securitization, typically

18

#### **Table of Contents**

represent the first loss position and are not typically rated by a nationally recognized rating agency. If we hold the residuals, we are at risk for the initial losses that occur with these securitizations. Decreases in the value of the residuals and/or servicing assets in securitizations that we have completed due to market interest rate fluctuations, higher than expected credit losses on prepayments, or changes in the volume of assets securitized or sold due to our inability to access the asset-backed securitization markets or other funding sources could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### Our ability to borrow funds and raise capital could be limited, which could adversely affect our earnings.

Our access to external sources of financing, as well as the cost of that financing, is dependent on various factors and could be adversely affected by a deterioration of our credit ratings including our servicer rating, which are influenced by a number of factors. These include, but are not limited to: material changes in operating margins; earnings trends and volatility; the prudence of funding and liquidity management practices; financial leverage on an absolute basis or relative to peers; the composition of the statement of financial condition and/or capital structure; geographic and business diversification; and our market share and competitive position in the business segments in which we operate. Material deterioration in any one or a combination of these factors could result in a downgrade of our credit or servicer ratings, thus increasing the cost of and/or limiting the availability of unsecured financing. A reduction in our credit rating or servicing rating could cause the loss of custodial deposits for our agency servicing portfolio.

Our ability to make mortgage loans depends largely on our ability to secure funds on terms acceptable to us. Our primary sources of funds to meet our financing needs include loan sales and securitizations, deposits, which include custodial amounts from our agency servicing portfolio, borrowings from the FHLB, borrowings from investment and commercial banks through repurchase agreements, and capital-raising activities. Our ability to maintain borrowing facilities is subject to renewal of these facilities. If we are unable to renew any of these financing arrangements or arrange for new financing on terms acceptable to us, or if we default on any of the restrictions imposed upon us by our borrowing facilities, then we may have to reduce the number of loans we are able to originate for sale in the secondary market or for our own investment. A sudden and significant reduction in loan originations that occurs as a result could adversely impact our earnings. There is no guarantee that we will be able to adequately access capital markets when or if a need for additional capital arises.

#### We may be required to raise capital at terms that are materially adverse to our shareholders.

We suffered a loss in excess of \$39 million during 2007 and as a result, saw our shareholders equity and regulatory capital decline in the second half of the year. While we currently have regulatory capital ratios in excess of the well capitalized requirement, there can be no assurance that we will not suffer additional losses or that additional capital will not otherwise be required for regulatory or other reasons. In those circumstances, we may be required to obtain additional capital to maintain our regulatory capital ratios at the well capitalized level. Such capital raising could be at terms that are dilutive to existing shareholders and there can be no assurance that any capital raising we undertake would be successful given the current level of disruption in financial markets.

## Our holding company is dependent on the Bank for funding of obligations and dividends.

As a holding company without significant assets other than the capital stock of the Bank, the Company s ability to service its debt, including payment of interest on debentures issued as part of capital raising activities using trust preferred securities or pay dividends to stockholders, is dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank on such capital stock. The declaration of dividends by the Bank on all classes of its capital stock is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Bank and to applicable regulatory limitations. If the earnings of the Company s subsidiaries are not sufficient to make dividend payments to the Company while maintaining adequate capital levels, the Company may not be able to make dividend payments to its common shareholders or service its

debt. See Item 1. Business Regulation and Supervision Payment of Dividends.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

We may not be able to replace key members of senior management or attract and retain qualified relationship managers in the future.

We depend on the services of existing senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. As we expand and as we continue to refine our business model, we will need to continue to attract and retain additional senior management and to recruit qualified individuals to succeed existing key personnel that leave our employ. In addition, as we continue to grow our business and plan to continue to expand our locations, products and services, we will need to continue to attract and retain qualified banking personnel. Competition for such personnel is especially keen in our geographic market areas and competition for the best people in most businesses in which we engage can be intense. If we are unable to attract and retain talented people, our business could suffer. The loss of the services of any senior management personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial conditions and prospects.

### The network and computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach.

Our computer systems could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Because we conduct part of our business over the Internet and outsource several critical functions to third parties, operations will depend on the ability, as well as that of third-party service providers, to protect computer systems and network infrastructure against damage from fire, power loss, telecommunications failure, physical break-ins or similar catastrophic events. Any damage or failure that causes interruptions in operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, a significant barrier to online financial transactions is the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Our Internet banking system relies on encryption and authentication technology to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure transmission of confidential information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms our third-party service providers use to protect customer transaction data. If any such compromise of security were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Market acceptance of Internet banking depends substantially on widespread adoption of the Internet for general commercial and financial services transactions. If another provider of commercial services through the Internet were to suffer damage from physical break-in, security breach or other disruptive problems caused by the Internet or other users, the growth and public acceptance of the Internet for commercial transactions could suffer. This type of event could deter our potential customers or cause customers to leave us and thereby materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### Our business is highly regulated.

The banking industry is extensively regulated at the federal and state levels. Insured depository institutions and their holding companies are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision by financial regulatory authorities covering all aspects of their organization, management and operations. The OTS is the primary regulator of the Bank and its affiliated entities. In addition to its regulatory powers, the OTS also has significant enforcement authority that it can use to address unsafe and unsound banking practices, violations of laws, and capital and operational deficiencies. Such regulation and supervision are intended primarily for the protection of the insurance fund and for our depositors and borrowers, and are not intended to protect the interests of investors in our common stock. Further, the Bank s business is affected by consumer protection laws and regulation at the state and federal level, including a variety of consumer protection provisions, many of which provide for a private right of action and pose a risk of class action lawsuits. Accordingly, the actions of governmental authorities responsible for regulatory, fiscal and monetary

affairs can have a significant and immediate impact on the activities of financial services firms such as ours. See further information in Item 1. Business Regulation and Supervision.

20

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Our business has volatile earnings because it operates based on a multi-year cycle.

The home lending segment of our business is a cyclical business that generally performs better in a low interest rate environment with a yield curve that is lower at the shorter time frames and higher at the longer time frames. In addition, other external factors, including tax laws, the strength of various segments of the economy and demographics of our lending markets, could influence the level of demand for mortgage loans. Gain on sale of loans is a large component of our revenue and could be adversely impacted by a significant decrease in the volume of our mortgage loan originations to the extent the effect of the volume decline is not offset by an increase in the profit margins on such loans sales.

## Our loans are geographically concentrated in only a few states.

A significant portion of our mortgage loan portfolio is geographically concentrated in certain states, including California, Michigan, Florida, Washington, Colorado, Texas and Arizona, which collectively represent approximately 67.3% of our mortgage loans held for investment balance at December 31, 2007. In addition, 63.1% of our commercial real estate loans are in Michigan. Continued adverse economic conditions in these few markets could cause delinquencies and charge-offs of these loans to increase, likely resulting in a corresponding and disproportionately large decline in revenues and an increase in credit risk. Also, we could be adversely affected by business disruptions triggered by natural disasters, or acts of war or terrorism.

# A large percentage of our loans are collateralized by real estate, and an adverse change in the real estate market may result in losses and adversely affect our portfolio.

Approximately 96.3% of our investment loan portfolio as of December 31, 2007, was comprised of loans collateralized by real estate. The collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment if the borrower defaults and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. Adverse changes in the economy affecting real estate values may have significantly impaired the value of our collateral as well as our ability to even sell the collateral upon foreclosure, particularly with respect to our loans with second liens. If the collateral is sold in the event of a default with respect to any of these loans, amounts received may be insufficient to recover all of the outstanding principal and uncollected interest on the loan. As a result, our profitability could continue to be negatively impacted by adverse changes in the real estate market.

# A significant part of our business strategy involves adding new branch locations, and our failure to grow may adversely affect our business, prospects, results of operations and financial condition.

Our expansion strategy consists principally of adding new branch locations in Michigan and Georgia growth areas that complement our existing branch network. While we anticipate that this expansion strategy will enhance long-term shareholder value, it is possible that our branch expansion strategy may not become accretive to our earnings over the short term. New branches generally require a significant initial capital investment for land and building expenses and take several years to become profitable. Accordingly, we anticipate that, in the short term, net operations will be negatively affected as we incur significant capital expenditures and noninterest expense in opening and operating new branches before the new branches can produce sufficient net interest income to offset the increased expense. In addition, the need to use capital to fund de novo branching may limit our ability to increase our interest-earning assets, generate MSRs or to pay or increase dividends on our common stock. There also is implementation risk associated with new branches. Numerous factors will determine whether our branch expansion strategy will be successful, such as our ability to select suitable branch locations, real estate acquisition costs, competition, interest rates, managerial resources, our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel, the effectiveness of our marketing strategy and our ability to attract deposits.

We are subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny with respect to bank secrecy and anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

In recent years, regulators have intensified their focus on the USA PATRIOT Act s anti-money laundering and Bank Secrecy Act compliance requirements. There is also increased scrutiny of our compliance with the

21

#### **Table of Contents**

rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. In order to comply with regulations, guidelines and examination procedures in this area, we have been required to adopt new policies and procedures and to install new systems. We can not be certain that the policies, procedures and systems we have in place are flawless. Therefore, there is no assurance that in every instance we are in full compliance with these requirements.

#### Other Risk Factors.

The above description of risk factors is not exhaustive. Other risk factors are described elsewhere herein as well as in other reports and documents that we file with or furnish to the SEC. Other factors that could also cause results to differ from our expectations may not be described in any such report or document. Each of these factors could by itself, or together with one or more other factors, adversely affect our business, results of operations and/or financial condition.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

At December 31, 2007, we operated from the headquarters in Troy, Michigan, a regional office in Jackson, Michigan, and a regional office in Atlanta, Georgia, 164 banking centers in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia and 143 home lending centers in 27 states. We also maintain 13 wholesale lending offices. Our banking centers consist of 97 free-standing office buildings, 41 in-store banking centers and 26 centers in buildings in which there are other tenants, typically strip malls and similar retail centers.

We own the buildings and land for 87 of our offices, own the building but lease the land for one of our offices, and lease the remaining 232 offices. The offices that we lease have lease expiration dates ranging from 2008 to 2017.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are party to legal proceedings incident to our business. However, at December 31, 2007, there were no legal proceedings that we anticipate will have a material adverse effect on us. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No items were submitted during the fourth quarter of the year covered by this annual report of Form 10-K to be voted on by security holders through a solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

22

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **PART II**

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol FBC. At December 31, 2007, there were 60,270,624 shares of our common stock outstanding held by approximately 14,400 shareholders of record.

## **Dividends**

The following table shows the high and low closing prices for the Company s common stock during each calendar quarter during 2007 and 2006, and the cash dividends per common share declared during each such calendar quarter. We have declared dividends on our common stock on a quarterly basis in the past. However, the amount of and nature of any dividends declared on our common stock in the future will be determined by our Board of Directors in their sole discretion. In their meeting on February 19, 2008, our Board of Directors suspended any future dividend on our common stock until the capital markets normalize and residential real estate shows signs of improvement.

Quarter Ending	Cl	Highest Closing Price		Closing Lowest Price		Dividends Declared in the Period	
December 31, 2007	\$	10.23	\$	5.90	\$	0.05	
September 30, 2007	\$	13.08	\$	9.73	\$	0.10	
June 30, 2007	\$	13.43	\$	11.30	\$	0.10	
March 31, 2007	\$	14.96	\$	11.95	\$	0.10	
December 31, 2006	\$	15.46	\$	14.31	\$	0.15	
September 30, 2006	\$	16.29	\$	14.01	\$	0.15	
June 30, 2006	\$	16.96	\$	14.67	\$	0.15	
March 31, 2006	\$	15.60	\$	14.08	\$	0.15	

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to securities to be issued under the Company s equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007.

	Number of Securities to Be	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number of Securities Remaining Available
Plan Category	Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	of Outstanding Options	for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
	2,697,997	\$ 14.04	5,236,705

Equity Compensation Plans approved by security holders (1)

Total 2,697,997 \$ 14.04 5,236,705

(1) Consists of our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, which provides for the granting of stock options, incentive stock options, cash-settled stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, performance shares and performance units and other awards. The 2006 Equity Incentive Plan consolidated, merged, amended and restated our 1997 Employees and Directors Stock Option Plan, 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, and 1997 Incentive Compensation Plan. Awards still outstanding under any of the prior plans will continue to be governed by their respective terms. Under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, the exercise price of any option granted must be at least equal to the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant. Non-qualified stock options granted to directors expire five years from the date of grant. Grants other than non-qualified stock options have term limits set by the Board of Directors in the applicable agreement. All securities remaining for future issuance represent option and stock awards available for award under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan.

## Sale of Unregistered Securities

The Company made no unregistered sales of its common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2007.

23

#### **Table of Contents**

### **Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

There were no shares of our common stock that we purchased in the fourth quarter of 2007.

On January 31, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had adopted a Stock Repurchase Program under which the Company was authorized to repurchase up to \$40.0 million worth of outstanding common stock. On February 27, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had increased the authorized repurchase amount to \$50.0 million. On April 26, 2007, the Board increased the authorized repurchase amount to \$75.0 million. This program expired on January 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2007, \$41.7 million was used to repurchase 3.4 million shares under the program.

## CUMULATIVE TOTAL STOCKHOLDER RETURN COMPARED WITH PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED INDICES DECEMBER 31, 2001 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Dec-01	Jun-02	Dec-02	Jun-03	Dec-03	Jun-04	Dec-04	Jun-05	Dec-05	Jun-06	Dec-06	Jun-07
nancial	100	107	98	109	129	132	149	145	152	158	174	173
ank	100	114	107	119	142	145	162	155	159	167	181	167
ll Cap												
_	100	100	85	96	118	130	145	148	157	169	180	195
000	100	95	80	94	117	125	139	137	145	157	172	182
ancorp	100	173	163	373	331	314	366	314	245	277	263	210

24

## **Table of Contents**

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

		2007		For the Y 2006	/ears	Ended Dec 2005	emb	er 31, 2004		2003
		(Dolla	ars i	n thousands	, exc	ept per share	e data	a and percer	ıtage	s)
Summary of Consolidated Statements of Operations: Interest income Interest expense	\$	905,509 695,631	\$	800,866 585,919	\$	708,663 462,393	\$	563,437 340,146	\$	503,068 308,482
Net interest income Provision for loan losses		209,878 88,297		214,947 25,450		246,270 18,876		223,291 16,077		194,586 20,081
Net interest income after provision for loan losses Other income Operating and administrative expenses		121,581 117,115 297,510		189,497 202,161 275,637		227,394 159,448 262,887		207,214 256,121 243,005		174,505 465,877 252,915
(Loss) earnings before federal income tax provision (Benefit) provision for federal income taxes		(58,814) (19,589)		116,021 40,819		123,955 44,090		220,330 77,592		387,467 135,481
Net (loss) earnings	\$	(39,225)	\$	75,202	\$	79,865	\$	142,738	\$	251,986
(Loss) earnings per share Basic Diluted	\$ \$	(0.64) (0.64)	\$ \$	1.18 1.17	\$ \$	1.29 1.25	\$ \$	2.34 2.22	\$ \$	4.21 3.95
Dividends per common share	\$	0.35	\$	0.60	\$	0.90	\$	1.00	\$	0.50
Dividend payout ratio		N/M		51%		70%		43%		11%

Note: N/M not meaningful.

At or for the Years Ended December 31,

## **Table of Contents**

	At or for the Tears Ended December 31,							,					
		2007		2006		2005		2004		2003			
		(Do	ollar	s in thousands,	exc	cept per share da	ata	and percentages	s)				
Summary of Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition:													
Total assets  Mortgage-backed securities held to	\$	15,792,736	\$	15,497,205	\$	15,075,430	\$	13,143,014	\$	10,553,246			
maturity Loans receivable		1,255,431 11,645,707		1,565,420 12,128,480		1,414,986 12,349,865		20,710 12,065,465		30,678 9,599,803			
Mortgage servicing rights Total deposits FHLB advances		413,986 8,236,744 6,301,000		173,288 7,623,488 5,407,000		315,678 8,521,756 4,225,000		187,975 7,433,776 4,090,000		260,128 5,729,650 3,246,000			
Security repurchase agreements Stockholders equity		108,000 692,978		990,806 812,234		1,060,097 771,883		728,954		638,801			
Other Financial and Statistical Data Tangible capital ratio		5.78%		6.37%		6.26%		6.19%		7.34%			
Core capital ratio Total risk-based capital		5.78%		6.37%		6.26%		6.19%		7.34%			
ratio Equity-to-assets ratio (at the end of the		10.66%		11.55%		11.09%		10.97%		13.30%			
period) Equity-to-assets ratio		4.39%		5.24%		5.12%		5.54%		6.05%			
(average for the period) Book value per share Shares outstanding Average shares	\$	4.48% 11.50 60,271	\$	5.29% 12.77 63,605	\$	5.07% 12.21 63,208	\$	5.68% 11.88 61,358	\$	5.17% 10.53 60,675			
outstanding Mortgage loans		61,152		63,504		62,128		61,057		59,811			
originated or purchased Other loans originated	\$	, ,	\$	18,966,354	\$	,	\$	,	\$				
or purchased Loans sold Mortgage loans		981,762 24,255,114		1,241,588 16,370,925		1,706,246 23,451,430		995,429 28,937,576		609,092 51,922,757			
serviced for others Capitalized value of mortgage servicing		32,487,337		15,032,504		29,648,088		21,354,724		30,395,079			
rights Interest rate spread		1.27%		1.15%		1.06%		0.88%		0.86%			
consolidated		1.33%		1.42%		1.74%		1.87%		2.01%			

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Net interest margin					
consolidated	1.40%	1.54%	1.82%	1.99%	2.16%
Interest rate spread					
bank only	1.39%	1.41%	1.68%	1.85%	1.91%
Net interest margin					
bank only	1.50%	1.63%	1.88%	2.08%	2.40%
Return on average					
assets	(0.24)%	0.49%	0.54%	1.17%	2.50%
Return on average					
equity	(5.14)%	9.42%	10.66%	20.60%	48.35%
Efficiency ratio	91.0%	66.1%	64.8%	50.7%	38.3%
Net charge off ratio	0.35%	0.20%	0.16%	0.16%	0.35%
Ratio of allowance to					
investment loans	1.28%	0.51%	0.37%	0.36%	0.55%
Ratio of					
non-performing assets					
to total assets	2.00%	1.03%	0.98%	0.99%	1.01%
Ratio of allowance to					
non-performing loans	52.8%	80.2%	60.7%	67.2%	64.9%
Number of banking					
centers	164	151	137	120	98
Number of home loan					
centers	143	76	101	112	128

Note: All per share data has been restated for the 2 for 1 stock split on May 15, 2003.

26

#### **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Overview

Operations of the Bank are categorized into two business segments: banking and home lending. Each segment operates under the same banking charter, but is reported on a segmented basis for financial reporting purposes. For certain financial information concerning the results of operations of our banking and home lending operations, see Note 26 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8, Financial Statements, herein.

Banking Operation. We provide a full range of banking services to consumers and small businesses in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia. Our banking operation involves the gathering of deposits and investing those deposits in duration-matched assets consisting primarily of mortgage loans originated by our home lending operation. The banking operation holds these loans in its loans held for investment portfolio in order to earn income based on the difference, or spread, between the interest earned on loans and investments and the interest paid for deposits and other borrowed funds. At December 31, 2007, we operated a network of 164 banking centers and provided banking services to approximately 122,704 customers. We continue to focus on expanding our branch network in order to increase our access to retail deposit funding sources. As we open new branches, we believe that the growth in deposits will continue over time. During 2007, we opened 13 banking centers, including six banking centers in Georgia. During 2007, we expect to open seven additional branches in the Atlanta, Georgia area and five branches in Michigan.

*Home Lending Operation*. Our home lending operation originates, securitizes and sells residential mortgage loans in order to generate transactional income. The home lending operation also services mortgage loans on a fee basis for others and sells mortgage servicing rights into the secondary market. Funding for our home lending operation is provided primarily by deposits and borrowings obtained by our banking operation.

The following tables present certain financial information concerning the results of operations of our banking operation and home lending operation during the past three years.

#### **BANKING OPERATION**

	At or for th	ne Ye	ears Ended De	ecem	ber 31,
	2007		2006	2005	
	(1	Dolla	rs in thousand	s)	
Net interest income	\$ 99,984	\$	159,255	\$	185,276
Net gain on sale revenue					
Other income	27,868		31,353		55,813
(Loss) earnings before federal taxes	(74,247)		59,728		123,726
Identifiable assets	\$ 15,014,734	\$	14,939,341	\$	14,176,340

#### HOME LENDING OPERATION

At or for the Years Ended December 31, 2007 2006 2005

(Dollars in thousands)

Net interest income	\$ 109,894	\$ 55,692	\$ 60,994
Net gain on sale revenue	64,928	135,002	81,737
Other income	24,319	35,806	21,898
Earnings before federal taxes	15,433	56,293	229
Identifiable assets	\$ 4,188,002	\$ 3,597,864	\$ 2,379,090

27

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Summary of Operations**

Our net loss for 2007 of (\$39.2) million (loss of \$0.64 per diluted share) represents a 152.1% decrease from the earnings of \$75.2 million (\$1.17 per diluted share) we achieved in 2006 and a decrease of 149.1% from the \$79.9 million (\$1.25 per diluted share) earned in 2005. The net loss during 2007 was affected by the following factors:

Higher provision for loan losses due to an increase in delinquency rates and non-performing loans;

Lower gain on sales of MSRs due to substantially lower volume of MSR sales;

Higher gain on loan sales due to increased volume and a slight decrease in overall gain on sale spread;

Impairment losses in residual interests and securities;

Lower net interest income due to the increase in the average interest rate that we paid on our deposits and interest-bearing liabilities offset by a lower average interest rate that we earned on our interest-earning assets;

Higher overhead costs in our banking group attributable in part to the 13 additional banking centers that were opened during the year as well as 14 banking centers opened in 2006 as part of our overall de novo branch bank strategy; and

Higher overhead costs in our home lending operation due to an increase in the number of salaried and commissioned personnel attributable to an increase of 67 home loan centers opened during the year as we focused on increasing our retail lending capability.

See Results of Operations below.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and reflect general practices within our industry. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates or judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates are based on information available to management as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, as this information changes, future financial statements could reflect different estimates or judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. The most significant accounting policies followed by us are presented in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 herein. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes and other information presented herein, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the consolidated financial statements and how these values are determined. Management views critical accounting policies to be those that are highly dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates or assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. Management currently views the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of MSRs, the valuation of residuals, the valuation of derivative instruments, and the determination of the secondary market reserve to be our critical accounting policies.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of probable losses that are inherent in our loans held for investment portfolio, but which have not yet been realized as of the date of our consolidated statement of financial condition. We recognize these losses when (a) available information indicates that it is probable that a loss has occurred and (b) the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We believe that the

accounting estimates related to the allowance for loan losses are critical because they require us to make subjective and complex judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. As a result, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. Our methodology for assessing the adequacy of the allowance involves a significant amount of judgment based on various factors such as

28

#### **Table of Contents**

general economic and business conditions, credit quality and collateral value trends, loan concentrations, recent trends in our loss experience, new product initiatives and other variables. Although management believes its process for determining the allowance for loan losses adequately considers all of the factors that could potentially result in loan losses, the process includes subjective elements and may be susceptible to significant change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provision for loan losses could be required that could adversely affect operations or financial position in future periods.

Valuation of Mortgage Servicing Rights. When our home lending operation sells mortgage loans in the secondary market it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee. At the time the loan is sold on a servicing retained basis, we record the mortgage servicing right as an asset at its fair value. Determining the fair value of MSRs involves a calculation of the present value of a set of market driven and MSR specific cash flows. MSRs do not trade in an active market with readily observable market prices. However, the market price of MSRs is generally a function of demand and interest rates. When mortgage interest rates decline, mortgage loan prepayments usually increase as customers refinance their loans. When this happens, the income stream from a MSR portfolio will decline. In that case, we may be required to amortize the portfolio over a shorter period of time or reduce the carrying value of our MSR portfolio. Accordingly, we must make assumptions about future interest rates and other market conditions in order to estimate the current fair value of our MSR portfolio. On an ongoing basis, we compare our fair value estimates to observable market data where available. On an annual basis, the value of our MSR portfolio is reviewed by an outside valuation expert. MSRs are recorded at the lower of carrying cost or fair market value.

From time to time, we sell some of these MSRs to unaffiliated purchasers in transactions that are separate from the sale of the underlying loans. At the time of the sale, we record a gain or loss based on the selling price of the MSRs less our carrying value and associated transaction costs.

*Valuation of Residuals.* Residuals are created upon the issuance of private-label securitizations. Residuals represent the first loss position and are not typically rated by the nationally recognized agencies. The value of residuals represents the present value of the future cash flows expected to be received by us from the excess cash flows created in the securitization transaction. In general, future cash flows are estimated by taking the coupon rate of the loans underlying the transaction less the interest rate paid to the investors, less contractually specified servicing and trustee fees adjusting for the effect of estimated prepayments and credit losses.

Cash flows are also dependent upon various restrictions and conditions specified in each transaction. For example, residual securities are not typically entitled to any cash flows unless over-collateralization has reached a certain level. The over-collateralization represents the difference between the bond balance and the collateral underlying the security. A sample of an over-collateralization structure may require 2% of the original collateral balance for 36 months. At month 37, it may require 4%, but on a declining balance basis. Due to prepayments, that 4% requirement is generally less than the 2% required on the original balance. In addition, the transaction may include an over-collateralization trigger event, the occurrence of which may require the over-collateralization to be increased. An example of such trigger event is delinquency rates or cumulative losses on the underlying collateral that exceed stated levels. If over-collateralization targets were not met, the trustee would apply cash flows that would otherwise flow to the residual security until such targets are met. A delay or reduction in the cash flows received will result in a lower valuation of the residual.

Residuals are designated as either available-for-sale or trading securities at the time of securitization and are periodically evaluated for impairment. These residuals are marked to market with changes in the value either recognized in other comprehensive income net of tax for available-for-sale securities or earnings for trading securities. If the available-for-sale security is deemed to be impaired and the impairment is other-than-temporary, the impairment is recognized in the current period earnings. We use an internally developed model to value the residuals. The model takes into consideration the cash flow structure specific to each transaction (such as over-collateralization

requirements and trigger events). The key valuation assumptions include credit losses, prepayment rates and, to a lesser degree, discount rates.

*Valuation of Derivative Instruments*. We utilize certain derivative instruments in the ordinary course of our business to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. These derivative instruments include forward sale commitments and interest rate swaps. We also issue interest rate lock commitments to borrowers in

29

#### **Table of Contents**

connection with single family mortgage loan originations. We recognize all derivative instruments on our consolidated statement of financial position at fair value. The valuation of derivative instruments is considered critical because many are valued using discounted cash flow modeling techniques in the absence of market value quotes. Therefore, we must make estimates regarding the amount and timing of future cash flows, which are susceptible to significant change in future periods based on changes in interest rates. Our interest rate assumptions are based on current yield curves, forward yield curves and various other factors. Internally generated valuations are compared to third party data where available to validate the accuracy of our valuation models.

Derivative instruments may be designated as either fair value or cash flow hedges under hedge accounting principles or may be undesignated. A hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of a recognized asset, liability or unrecognized firm commitment is referred to as a fair value hedge. A hedge of the exposure to the variability of cash flows from a recognized asset, liability or forecasted transaction is referred to as a cash flow hedge. In the case of a qualifying fair value hedge, changes in the value of the derivative instruments that are highly effective are recognized in current earnings along with the changes in value of the designated hedged item. In the case of a qualifying cash flow hedge, changes in the value of the derivative instruments that are highly effective are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative s change in fair value is recognized through earnings. Derivatives that are non-designated hedges are adjusted to fair value through earnings. Throughout 2006 and 2007, we had no derivatives designated as fair value hedges. On January 1, 2008, we derecognized all of our cash flow hedges.

Secondary Market Reserve. We sell most of the residential mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. We maintain a secondary market reserve to account for the expected credit losses related to loans we may be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The secondary market reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case, these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases, actual credit losses on repurchased loans and recovery history, among other factors. Increases to the secondary market reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our other fees and charges.

Like our other critical accounting policies, our secondary market reserve is highly dependent on subjective and complex judgments and assumptions. We continue to enhance our estimation process and adjust our assumptions. Our assumptions are affected by factors both internal and external in nature. Internal factors include, among other things, level of loan sales, as well as to whom the loans are sold, improvements to technology in the underwriting process, expectation of credit loss on repurchased loans, expectation of loss from indemnification payments made to loan purchasers, the expectation of the mix between repurchased loans and indemnifications, our success rate at appealing repurchase demands and our ability to recover any losses from third parties. External factors that may affect our estimate includes, among other things, the overall economic condition in the housing market, the economic condition of borrowers, the political environment at investor agencies and the overall U.S. and world economy. Many of the factors are beyond our control and lend to judgments that are susceptible to change.

30

#### **Table of Contents**

### **Results of Operations**

#### **Net Interest Income**

**2007.** During 2007, we recognized \$209.9 million in net interest income, which represented a decrease of 2.3% compared to the \$214.9 million reported in 2006. Net interest income represented 64.2% of our total revenue in 2007 as compared to 51.5% in 2006. Net interest income is primarily the dollar value of the average yield we earn on the average balances of our interest-earning assets, less the dollar value of the average cost of funds we incur on the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we had an average balance of \$15.0 billion of interest-earning assets, of which \$12.4 billion were loans receivable. Interest income recorded on these loans is reduced by the amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs. Interest income for 2007 was \$905.5 million, an increase of 13.1% from the \$800.9 million recorded 2006. Offsetting the increase in interest income was an increase in our cost of funds. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased 9.3%, from 4.32% during 2006 to 4.72% in 2007, while the average yield on interest-earning assets increased only 5.4%, from 5.74% during 2006 to 6.05% in 2007. As a result, our interest rate spread during 2007 was 1.33% at year-end. The compression of our interest rate spread during the year, combined with an increase in nonperforming assets caused our net interest margin for 2007 to decrease to 1.40% from 1.54% during 2006. Our net interest margin was also affected by the decline in our ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities, from 103% in 2006 to 101% in 2007. The Bank recorded an interest rate margin of 1.50% in 2007, as compared to 1.63% in 2006.

**2006.** During 2006, we recognized \$214.9 million in net interest income, which represented an decrease of 12.7% compared to the \$246.3 million reported in 2005. Net interest income represented 51.5% of our total revenue in 2006 as compared to 60.7% in 2005. Net interest income is primarily the dollar value of the average yield we earn on the average balances of our interest-earning assets, less the dollar value of the average cost of funds we incur on the average balances of our interest-bearing liabilities. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we had an average balance of \$14.0 billion of interest-earning assets, of which \$12.2 billion were loans receivable. Interest income recorded on these loans is reduced by the amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs. Interest income for 2006 was \$800.9 million, an increase of 13.0% from the \$708.7 million recorded in 2005. Offsetting the increase in earning assets was an increase in our cost of funds. Our interest-earning assets are funded with deposits and other short-term liabilities, primarily borrowings from the FHLB and security repurchase agreements. Typically, there is a spread between the long-term rates we earn on these mortgage loans and the short-term rates we pay on our funding sources. During 2006, the spread between these interest rates narrowed as short-term rates increased. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased 23.8% from 3.49%, during 2005 to 4.32% in 2006, while the average yield on interest-earning assets increased only 9.8%, from 5.23% during 2005 to 5.74% in 2006. As a result, our interest rate spread during 2006 was 1.42% at year-end. The compression of our interest rate spread during the year caused our net interest margin for 2006 to decrease to 1.54% from 1.82% during 2005. The adverse effect of the spread compression was offset in part by the increase in our ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities, from 102% in 2005 to 103% in 2006. The Bank recorded an interest rate margin of 1.63% in 2006, as compared to 1.88% in 2005.

31

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table presents interest income from average earning assets, expressed in dollars and yields, and interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed in dollars and rates. Interest income from earning assets was reduced by \$23.8 million, \$28.3 million and \$29.6 million of amortization of net premiums and net deferred loan origination costs in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Non-accruing loans were included in the average loans outstanding.

		20	07			F	or the Years	Enc 20		mber 31,		20	005
	Average Balance	-	Interest	Aver Yie Ra	ld/		Average Balance	]	Interest	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Balance		Interest
							(In	thou	usands)				
arning Assets: ivable, net backed securities	\$ 12,426,509 1,237,989 1,299,544	\$	769,485 59,960 76,064	4	.19% .84% .85%	\$	12,166,346 1,555,930 229,117	\$	711,037 77,607 12,222	5.84% 4.99% 5.33%	\$ 13,128,224 370,405 51,737	\$	688,791 19,019 853
st-earning assets s	14,964,042 1,226,178		905,509	6	.05%		13,951,393 1,330,755	\$	800,866	5.74%	13,550,366 1,240,143	\$	708,663
3	\$ 16,190,220					\$	15,282,148				\$ 14,790,509		
earing Liabilities:	\$ 7,716,896 5,847,888	\$	357,430 271,443		.63% .64%	\$	8,030,276 4,270,660	\$	331,516 187,756	4.13% 4.40%	\$ 7,971,506 4,742,079	\$	253,292 182,377
purchase	954,772 225,827		51,458 15,300	5	.39% .78%		1,028,916 232,149		52,389 14,258	5.09% 6.14%	187,585 347,224		7,953 18,771
est-bearing ities rs equity	14,745,383 681,879 762,958	\$	695,631	4	.72%		13,562,001 921,655 798,492	\$	585,919	4.32%	13,248,394 792,781 749,334	\$	462,393
ities and s equity	\$ 16,190,220					\$	15,282,148				\$ 14,790,509		
t-earning assets	\$ 218,659					\$	389,392				\$ 301,972		
t income		\$	209,878					\$	214,947			\$	246,270
e spread <sup>(1)</sup>				1	.33%					1.42%			
t margin <sup>(2)</sup>				1	.40%					1.54%			

erage interestets to interestbilities

101% 103%

- (1) Interest rate spread is the difference between rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and rates of interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- (2) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

32

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for the components of interest earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are presented in the preceding table. The table below distinguishes between the changes related to average outstanding balances (changes in volume while holding the initial rate constant) and the changes related to average interest rates (changes in average rates while holding the initial balance constant). Changes attributable to both a change in volume and a change in rates were included as changes in rate.

	For the Years Ended December 31,											
	2007 Versus 2006 Increase					2006 Versus 2005 Increa					ease	
		(De	ecre	ase) Due	e to:		(Decrease) Due				to:	
	1	Rate	V	olume	7	Γotal		Rate	V	olume	-	Γotal
					(.	Dollars i	n m	illions)				
Interest-Earning Assets:												
Loans receivable, net	\$	43.4	\$	15.2	\$	58.6	\$	72.7	\$	(50.5)	\$	22.2
Mortgage-backed securities		(1.8)		(15.9)		(17.7)		(2.2)		60.8		58.6
Other		6.7		57.0		63.7		8.4		2.9		11.3
Total	\$	48.3	\$	56.3	\$	104.6	\$	78.9	\$	13.2	\$	92.1
Interest- Bearing Liabilities:												
Total deposits	\$	38.9	\$	(12.9)	\$	26.0	\$	76.4	\$	1.8	\$	78.2
FHLB advances		14.3	_	69.4		83.7	_	23.5	_	(18.2)	_	5.3
Security repurchase agreements		2.8		(3.8)		(1.0)		8.8		35.6		44.4
Other		1.4		(0.4)		1.0		1.7		(6.2)		(4.5)
Total	\$	57.4	\$	52.3	\$	109.7	\$	110.4	\$	13.0	\$	123.4
Change in net interest income	\$	(9.1)	\$	4.0	\$	(5.1)	\$	(31.5)	\$	0.2	\$	(31.3)

#### **Provision for Loan Losses**

During 2007, we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$88.3 million as compared to \$25.4 million recorded during 2006 and \$18.9 million recorded in 2005. The provisions reflect our estimates to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level to cover probable losses in the portfolio for each of the respective periods.

The increase in the provision during 2007 as compared to 2006, which increased the allowance for loan losses to \$104.0 million at December 31, 2007 from \$45.8 million at December 31, 2006, reflects the increase in net charge-offs both as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the loans held for investment, and it also reflects the increase in overall loan delinquencies (i.e., loans at least 30 days past due) in 2007. Net charge-offs in 2007 totaled

\$30.1 million as compared to \$18.8 million in 2006, resulting from increased charge-offs of home equity and first and second residential mortgage loans and commercial real estate loans. As a percentage of the average loans held for investment, net charge-offs in 2007 increased to 0.38% from 0.20% in 2006. At the same time, overall loan delinquencies increased to 4.03% of total loans held for investment at December 31, 2007 from 1.34% at December 31, 2006. Total delinquent loans increased to \$327.4 million at December 31, 2007 as compared to \$119.4 million at December 31, 2006. In 2007, the increase in delinquencies impacted all categories of loans within the held for investment portfolio. The overall delinquency rate on residential mortgage loans increased to 3.74% at December 31, 2007 from 1.59% at December 31, 2006. The overall delinquency rate on commercial real estate loans increased to 6.13% at December 31, 2007 from 0.66% at December 31, 2006.

The increase in the provision during 2006 as compared to 2005, which increased the allowance for loan losses to \$45.8 million at December 31, 2006 from \$39.1 million at December 31, 2005, reflects the increase in net charge-offs both as a dollar amount and as a percentage of the loans held for investment, and it also reflects the increase in overall loan delinquencies (i.e., loans at least 30 days past due) in 2006. Net charge-offs in 2006 totaled \$18.8 million as compared to \$18.1 million in 2005, reflecting increased charge-offs of

33

#### **Table of Contents**

home equity and second mortgage loans and of overdrafts from checking accounts. As a percentage of the average loans held for investment, net charge-offs in 2006 increased to 0.20% from 0.16% in 2005. At the same time, overall loan delinquencies increased to 1.34% of total loans held for investment at December 31, 2006 from 1.10% at December 31, 2005, Total delinquent loans increased to \$119.4 million in 2006 as compared to \$115.9 million in 2005. The increase in delinquencies related primarily to residential mortgage loans, increasing to 1.59% at December 31, 2006 from 1.16% at December 31, 2005, as well as slight increases in delinquencies of home equity and second mortgage loans.

See the section captioned Allowance for Loan Losses in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

#### **Non-Interest Income**

Our non-interest income consists of (i) loan fees and charges, (ii) deposit fees and charges, (iii) loan administration, (iv) net gain on loan sales, (v) net gain on sales of MSRs, (vi) net loss on securities available for sale, (vii) loss on trading securities, and (viii) other fees and charges. Our total non-interest income equaled \$117.1 million during 2007, which was a 42.1% decrease from the \$202.2 million of non-interest income that we earned in 2006. The primary reason for the decrease was the decrease in 2007 of net gains from sales of MSRs.

Loan Fees and Charges. Both our home lending operation and banking operation earn loan origination fees and collect other charges in connection with originating residential mortgages and other types of loans. In each period, we recorded fee income net of any fees deferred for the purposes of complying with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 91, Accounting for Non-Refundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases. During 2007, we recorded gross loan fees and charges of \$78.0 million, an increase of \$27.1 million from the \$50.9 million recorded in 2006 and the \$71.6 million recorded in 2005. The increase in loan fees and charges resulted from an increase in the volume of loans originated during 2007 compared to 2006.

In accordance with SFAS 91, loan origination fees are capitalized and added as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees are accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or when the loan is sold. During 2007, we deferred \$76.5 million of fee revenue in accordance with SFAS 91, compared to \$43.4 million and \$59.0 million, respectively, in 2006 and 2005. This increase results from a 32.1% increase in total loan production during 2007 over 2006, as well as, significant enhancements to our systems and processes with respect to the capture of direct loan fees and charges for all types of our loans. These enhancements have been in process since 2006 but were completed in 2007. We began the enhancement process as a result of our continued expansion of our lending products, particularly commercial real estate loans, second mortgage and home equity lines-of-credit.

Deposit Fees and Charges. Our banking operation collects deposit fees and other charges such as fees for non-sufficient funds checks, cashier check fees, ATM fees, overdraft protection, and other account fees for services we provide to our banking customers. The amount of these fees tends to increase as a function of the growth in our deposit base. Total deposit fees and charges increased 10.0% during 2007 to \$23.0 million compared to \$20.9 million during 2006 and \$16.9 million during 2005. During that time, total customer accounts grew from 277,900 at January 1, 2006 to 293,236 at December 31, 2007.

**Loan Administration.** When our home lending operation sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service these loans and earn a servicing fee. When an underlying loan is prepaid or refinanced, the mortgage servicing right for that loan is fully amortized as no further fees will be earned for servicing that loan. During periods of falling interest rates, prepayments and refinancings generally increase and, unless we

provide replacement loans, it will usually result in a reduction in loan servicing fees and increase amortization recorded on the MSR portfolio.

Our loan administration fees and MSR amortization can fluctuate significantly. Such fees are affected by the size of our loans serviced for others portfolio, which is affected by sales of MSRs, subservicing fees, late fees and ancillary income and past due status of serviced loans. When loans serviced for others become ninety

34

#### **Table of Contents**

days or more past due we cease accruing servicing fees on such loans. Amortization of MSRs can be affected by sales of MSRs and changes in interest rates that cause changes in prepayments of the underlying loans. Changes in loan administration fees and changes in amortization of MSRs will not necessarily occur in proportion.

During 2007, the volume of loans serviced for others averaged \$23.4 billion, which represented a 15.3% increase from the \$20.3 billion serviced during 2006. During 2007, we recorded \$91.1 million in servicing fee revenue. The fee revenue recorded in 2007 was offset by \$78.4 million of MSR amortization. During 2006, we recorded \$82.6 million in servicing fee revenue which was offset by \$69.6 million of MSR amortization. During 2007, the amount of loan principal payments and payoffs received on serviced loans equaled \$3.2 billion, a 5.9% decrease from the 2006 total of \$3.4 billion. The decrease was primarily attributable to a continuing decline in mortgage loan refinancing in 2007 due to, among other things, the global liquidity crisis and the decline in housing values throughout the United States.

Net Gain on Loan Sales. Our home lending operation records the transaction fee income it generates from the origination, securitization and sale of mortgage loans in the secondary market. The amount of net gain on loan sales recognized is a function of the volume of mortgage loans sold and the gain on sale spread achieved, net of related selling expenses. Net gain on loan sales is also increased or decreased by any mark to market pricing adjustments on loan commitments and forward sales commitments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments (SFAS 133), increases to the secondary market reserve related to loans sold during the period, and related administrative expenses. The volatility in the gain on sale spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand and the general level of interest rates. Generally, we are able to sell loans into the secondary market at a higher margin during periods of low or decreasing interest rates. Typically, as the volume of acquirable loans increases in a lower or falling interest rate environment, we are able to pay less to acquire loans and are then able to achieve higher spreads on the eventual sale of the acquired loans. In contrast, when interest rates rise, the volume of acquirable loans decreases and therefore we may need to pay more in the acquisition phase, thus decreasing our net gain achievable. Prior to the global liquidity crisis that arose in the third quarter of 2007, our net gain was also affected by declining spreads available from securities we sell that are guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and by an over-capacity in the mortgage business that had placed continuing downward pressure on loan pricing opportunities for conventional residential mortgage products. In the latter part of 2007, these trends began to reverse as competitors left the mortgage industry and spreads widened.

The following table provides information on our net gain on loan sales reported in our consolidated financial statements to our loans sold within the period (dollars in thousands):

		For the 2007	Years ?	Ended Decei 2006	mber 3	1, 2005
		2007		2000		2003
Net gain on loan sales	\$	59,030	\$	42,381	\$	63,580
Loans sold and securitized Spread achieved	\$ 24	4,255,114 0.24%	\$ 1	6,370,925 0.26%	\$ 2	23,451,430 0.27%

**2007.** Net gain on loan sales totaled \$59.0 million during 2007, a 39.2% increase from the \$42.4 million realized during 2006. During 2007, the volume of loans sold and securitized totaled \$24.3 billion, a 48.0% increase from the \$16.4 billion of loan sales in 2006. Our calculation of net gain on loan sales reflects changes in amounts related to SFAS 133 pricing adjustments, lower of cost or market adjustments for loans transferred to held for investment and provisions to our secondary market reserve. Changes in amounts related to SFAS 133 pricing adjustments amounted

to \$(4.4) million and \$(4.5) million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Lower of cost or market adjustments for loans transferred to held for investment amounted to \$2.7 million and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Provisions to our secondary market reserve amounted to \$9.5 million and \$5.9 million, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Also included in our net gain on loan sales are the capitalized value of our MSR s, which totaled \$346.4 million and \$223.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We also reduced our net gain on loan sales by the amount of credit losses incurred on our available for sale portfolio, and such losses totaled \$3.6 million in 2007 and \$1.4 million in 2006.

35

## **Table of Contents**

**2006.** Net gain on loan sales totaled \$42.4 million during 2006, a 33.3% decrease from the \$63.6 million realized during 2005. During 2006, the volume of loans sold and securitized totaled \$16.4 billion, a 30.2% decrease from the \$23.5 billion of loan sales in 2005. Our calculation of net gain on loan sales reflects changes in amounts related to SFAS 133 pricing adjustments, lower of cost or market adjustments for loans transferred to held for investment and provisions to our secondary market reserve. Changes in amounts related to SFAS 133 pricing adjustments amounted to \$(4.4) million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Lower of cost or market adjustments for loans transferred to held for investment amounted to \$2.0 million and \$87,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Provisions to our secondary market reserve amounted to \$5.9 million and \$5.3 million, for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Also included in our net gain on loan sales is the capitalized value of our MSR s, which totaled \$223.9 million and \$329.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net Gain on Sales of Mortgage Servicing Rights. As part of our business model, our home lending operation occasionally sells MSRs in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying loans. At the time of the MSR sale, we record a gain or loss based on the selling price of the MSRs less our carrying value and transaction costs. Accordingly, the amount of net gains on MSR sales depends upon the gain on sale spread and the volume of MSRs sold. The spread is attributable to market pricing, which changes with demand, and the general level of interest rates. In general, if an MSR is sold on a flow basis shortly after it is acquired, little or no gain will be realized on the sale. MSRs created in a lower interest rate environment generally will have a higher market value because the underlying loan is less likely to be prepaid. Conversely, an MSR created in a higher interest rate environment will generally sell at a market price below the original fair value recorded because of the increased likelihood of prepayment of the underlying loans, resulting in a loss.

**2007.** During 2007, the net gain on the sale of MSRs totaled \$5.9 million compared to a net gain of \$92.6 million in 2006. The \$86.7 million decrease in net gain on the sale of MSRs is primarily due to a significant decrease in the volume of MSRs sold in 2007. We sold \$1.5 billion in loans on a servicing released basis and \$2.03 billion in bulk servicing sales in 2007.

**2006.** During 2006, the net gain on the sale of MSRs totaled \$92.6 million compared to a net gain of \$18.2 million in 2005. The \$74.4 million increase in net gain on the sale of MSRs is primarily due to a significant increase in the volume of MSRs sold in 2006. Throughout 2006, we believed that the market price accurately reflected the MSR value. As a result, we sold more MSRs in 2006 than prior periods. We sold \$2.3 billion in loans on a servicing released basis and \$25.2 billion in bulk servicing sales in 2006.

*Net Loss on Securities Available for Sale.* Securities classified as available for sale are comprised of residual interests from private-label securitizations and mortgage-backed and collateralized mortgage obligation securities.

**2007.** During 2007, we recognized a net loss on securities available for sale of \$16.7 million. The net loss included a gain of \$4.5 million on sales of securities available for sale, offset by an impairment of non-agency AAA rated securities available for sale of \$2.8 million and by an impairment of non-investment grade residual assets of \$18.4 million.

The \$4.5 million gain on sale of securities available for sale resulted from the sale of AAA-rated agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities with a principal balance of \$538.4 million.

The impairment of non-agency AAA rated securities available for sale of \$2.8 million was as a result of management s determination that the loss in the fair value of a specific mortgage-backed security was other-than-temporary. Consequently, the \$2.8 million was charged to operations rather than recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). See Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8, Financial Statements and

Supplemental Data, herein.

During 2007, we recognized an \$18.4 million other-than-temporary impairment on our residual interests that arose from private-label securitizations completed in 2006 and 2005. Although the residual interests are accounted for as available for sale assets, we determined that the impairment was other-than-temporary and therefore a loss should be recorded.

36

#### **Table of Contents**

The \$18.4 million in impairment charges on our residual interests resulted from unfavorable trends in the mortgage industry, benchmarking procedures applied against available industry data and our own experience that resulted in adjusting the critical assumptions utilized in valuing such securities relating to prepayment speeds, expected credit losses and the discount rate. The principal changes to our assumptions that caused the decline in fair value of these residuals were our increase in credit loss estimates and the discount rate. During 2007, we increased the credit loss estimates from 1.25% on our home equity lines of credit residual assets to 2.88% for the 2005 securitization and 4.99% for the 2006 securitization. We increased the credit loss estimates for our 2006 second mortgage securitization from 1.50% to 2.86%. Further, we increased the discount rate assumption from 15% to 20% during 2007 for all available-for-sale residual assets.

**2006.** The \$6.1 million in impairment charges on our residual interest in 2006 resulted from changes in the interest rate environment, benchmarking procedures applied against updated industry data and third party valuation data that resulted in adjusting the critical prepayment speed assumption utilized in valuing such security. Specifically, we completed a private securitization of home equity lines of credit in the fourth quarter of 2005. In determining the appropriate assumptions to model the transaction, we utilized our recent history of similar products, available industry information and advice from third party consultants experienced in securitizations. At the same time, we had observed prepayment speeds in the 30%-35% CPR range for our portfolio, which was consistent with the available industry data. After consulting with our advisors, we utilized a 40% CPR assumption in our modeling in order to reflect our belief that there would be only a modest increase in the prepayment speeds in the near term due to our expectations of interest rate movements and the possibility of an inverted yield curve. As short-term interest rates increased throughout the fourth quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006 and the yield curve flattened, the prepayment speed of the portfolio increased at a much higher rate than anticipated. We attributed this to fixed rate loans that became available at lower rates than the adjustable-rate home equity lines of credit ( HELOC ) loans in the securitization pool. We also noted that this increased prepayment speed with HELOCs was occurring industry-wide. The appropriateness of adjusting the model s prepayment speed upward was validated with both a third party valuation firm and with our own backtesting procedures. Based on this information, we adjusted our cash flow model to incorporate our updated prepayment speed during the first quarter of 2006. At March 31, 2006, a significant deterioration of the residual asset was determined to have occurred. We further analyzed the result and determined that approximately \$3.5 million of the deterioration was other than temporary. An additional amount of the deterioration was deemed to be temporary and recorded as a portion of other comprehensive income. This was based on our belief, following further discussions with our advisors, that prepayment speeds would moderate during the year as the portfolio seasoned. However, as the yield curve continued to flatten and even invert during the third and fourth quarters of 2006, prepayment speeds not only failed to moderate, but actually accelerated. Additionally, based on our analysis, we did not believe that the inverted yield curve would only be a short-term phenomenon. Based on these factors and our cash flow models, we determined that additional permanent impairment had taken place. Such amounts were recorded as identified and resulted in the \$6.1 million in impairment charges for 2006.

Loss on Trading Securities. Securities classified as trading are comprised of residual interests from the private-label securitization completed in March 2007, with the secondary closing in June 2007. Loss on securities classified as trading is the result of a reduction in the estimated fair value of the security with the related loss recorded in the statement of operations.

**2007.** During 2007, we recognized \$6.8 of losses on our residual interests from the private-label securitization, completed in March 2007. The loss is the result of unfavorable trends in the mortgage industry, benchmarking procedures applied against available industry data and our own experience that resulted in adjusting the critical assumptions utilized in valuing such security relating to prepayment speeds, loss expectations and the discount rate. The principal causes for the loss on our residual asset was due to our increase in the credit loss estimate from 1.50% to 3.28% and the increase in the discount rate from 15% to 20% at December 31, 2007. We had no trading assets during 2006 or prior.

*Other Fees and Charges.* Other fees and charges include certain miscellaneous fees, including dividends received on FHLB stock and income generated by our subsidiaries Flagstar Reinsurance Company (formerly Flagstar Credit, Inc.) and Douglas Insurance Agency, Inc.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

During 2007, we recorded \$15.0 million in dividends on an average outstanding balance of FHLB stock of \$328.3 million as compared to \$14.7 million and \$11.1 million in dividends on an average balance of FHLB stock outstanding of \$284.2 million and \$264.2 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2007, Flagstar Reinsurance Company earned fees of \$5.0 million versus \$4.8 million and \$4.9 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The amount of fees earned by Flagstar Reinsurance Company varies with the volume of loans that were insured during the respective periods. In addition, during 2007, we recorded in other fees and charges \$9.7 million related to our successful efforts to mitigate losses incurred in connection with a fraud discovered in 2004 relating to a series of warehouse loans.

## **Non-Interest Expense**

The following table sets forth detailed information regarding our non-interest expenses during the past three years.

#### NON-INTEREST EXPENSES

	For the Yo 2007	ears Ended Dece 2006	ember 31, 2005
	(De	ollars in thousand	ls)
Compensation and benefits Commissions Occupancy and equipment Advertising FDIC assessments Communication Other taxes Other	\$ 179,417	\$ 157,751	\$ 150,738
	83,047	74,208	87,746
	69,218	70,319	69,121
	10,334	9,394	7,550
	4,354	1,115	1,146
	6,317	6,190	7,181
	(1,756)	320	10,127
	41,497	49,824	46,362
Total Less: capitalized direct costs of loan closings, in accordance with SFAS 91	392,428	369,121	379,971
	(94,918)	(93,484)	(117,084)
Total, net  Efficiency ratio(1)	\$ 297,510	\$ 275,637	\$ 262,887
	91.0%	66.1%	64.8%

<sup>(1)</sup> Total operating and administrative expenses divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income

**<sup>2007.</sup>** Non-interest expenses, before the capitalization of direct costs of loan closings, totaled \$392.4 million in 2007 compared to \$369.1 million in 2006. The 6.3% increase in non-interest expense in 2007 was largely due to an increase in compensation and benefits, higher commissions resulting from an increase in the volume of loan originations in our

home lending operations, and higher FDIC assessments resulting from changes initiated by the FDIC. During 2007, we opened 13 banking centers, which brings the banking center network total to 164. As we increase the size of the banking center network, we expect that the operating expenses associated with the banking center network will continue to increase.

Our gross compensation and benefit expense, before the capitalization of direct costs of loan closings, totaled \$179.4 million. The 13.7% increase from 2006 is primarily attributable to normal salary increases and the employees hired at the new banking centers and, to a lesser extent, salaries paid to home loan center employees hired during the third quarter. Our full-time equivalent (FTE) salaried employees increased by 589 to 3,083 at December 31, 2007, reflecting employees hired for new banking centers, home loan center employees during the third quarter 2007, and an increase in account executives hired for the wholesale loan business. Commission expense, which is a variable cost associated with loan production, totaled \$83.0 million,

38

#### **Table of Contents**

equal to 31 basis points (0.31%) of total loan production in 2007. Occupancy and equipment totaled \$69.2 million during 2007, which reflects the continuing expansion of our deposit banking center network, offset in part by the closing of various non-profitable home loan centers. Advertising expense, which totaled \$10.3 million at December 31, 2007, increased \$0.9 million, or 10.0%, from the \$9.4 million reported in 2006. Our FDIC assessment was \$4.4 million at December 31, 2007 as compared to \$1.1 million at 2006. We paid \$6.3 million in communication expense for the year-ended December 31, 2007. These expenses typically include telephone, fax and other types of electronic communication. The increase in communication expenses is reflective of an increase in home loan centers. We pay taxes in the various states and local communities in which we are located and/or do business. For the year ended December 31, 2007 our state and local taxes totaled a tax benefit of \$(1.8) million, a decrease of \$2.1 million. Other expense totaled \$41.5 million during 2007. The fluctuation in other expenses is reflective of the expansion undertaken in our banking operation as well as our home lending operation as we continue to increase our national presence offset in part by the closing of non-profitable home loan centers, and general cost containment efforts.

**2006.** Non-interest expenses, before the capitalization of direct costs of loan closings, totaled \$369.1 million in 2006 compared to \$380.0 million in 2005. The 2.9% decrease in non-interest expense in 2006 was largely due to lower commissions resulting from a decrease in the volume of loan originations in our home lending operations and from our general cost containment efforts. Offsetting the savings in our home lending operation were certain expenses associated with the increase in the number of banking centers operated by our banking operation. During 2006, we opened 14 banking centers, which brought the banking center network total to 151.

Our gross compensation and benefit expense, before the capitalization of direct costs of loan closings, totaled \$157.8 million at December 31, 2006. The 4.7% increase from 2005 is primarily attributable to normal salary increases and the employees hired at the new banking centers. Our FTE salaried employees increased by 105 to 2,510 at December 31, 2006. Commission expense, which is a variable cost associated with loan production, totaled \$74.2 million, equal to 37 basis points (0.37%) of total loan production in 2006. Occupancy and equipment totaled \$70.3 million during 2006, which reflects the continuing expansion of our deposit banking center network, offset in part by the closing of various non- profitable home loan centers. Advertising expense, which totaled \$9.4 million at December 31, 2006, increased \$1.8 million, or 23.7%, from the \$7.6 million reported in 2005. Our FDIC assessment remained the same at \$1.1 million as compared to 2005. We paid \$6.2 million in communication expense for the year-ended December 31, 2006. These expenses typically include telephone, fax and other types of electronic communication. The decrease in communication expenses is reflective of fewer home loan centers. We pay taxes in the various states and local communities in which we are located and/or do business. For the year ended December 31, 2006 our state and local taxes totaled \$0.3 million, a decrease of \$9.8 million, which is the result of a restructuring of our corporate operations that better aligned our core functions in separate entities. Other expense totaled \$49.8 million during 2006. The fluctuation in other expenses is reflective of the varied levels of loan production, the expansion undertaken in our banking operation offset by the closing of the non-profitable home loan centers and the dismissal of our lawsuit against an insurance company in a coverage dispute that resulted in a charge in November 2006, of \$8.7 million, before taxes.

#### **Capitalization of Loan Fees and Costs**

Loan origination fees and costs are capitalized and recorded as an adjustment to the basis of the individual loans originated. These fees and costs are amortized or accreted into income as an adjustment to the loan yield over the life of the loan or expensed when the loan is sold. Accordingly, during 2007, we deferred \$94.9 million of gross loan origination costs, while during 2006 and 2005 the deferred expenses totaled \$93.5 million and \$117.1 million, respectively. These costs have not been offset by the revenue deferred for SFAS 91 purposes. During the year to date in 2007 and the years 2006 and 2005, we deferred \$76.5 million, \$43.4 million, and \$59.0 million in qualifying loan fee revenue, respectively. For further information, see Loan Fees and Charges, above.

On a per loan basis, the cost deferrals totaled \$775, \$992, and \$816 during 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. Net of deferred fee income, the cost deferred per loan totaled \$151, \$531, and \$405 for years

39

#### **Table of Contents**

2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. On a per loan basis, the cost deferrals for commissions totaled \$678, \$788, and \$566 during 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

#### (Benefit) Provision for Federal Income Taxes

For the year ended December 31, 2007, our benefit for federal income taxes as a percentage of pretax loss was 33.3% compared to provisions on pretax earnings of 35.2% in 2006 and 35.6% in 2005. For each period, the (benefit) provision for federal income taxes varies from statutory rates primarily because of certain non-deductible corporate expenses. Refer to Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data herein for further discussion of our federal income taxes.

#### **Analysis of Items on Statement of Financial Condition**

Securities Classified as Trading. Securities classified as trading are comprised of residual interests from the private-label securitization closed in March 2007 with a secondary closing in June 2007. The residual interest in this securitization was \$13.7 million at December 31, 2007. In accordance with SFAS 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments, management has elected to initially and subsequently measure this residual interest from the March 2007 securitization, and subsequent securitizations, at fair value. This does not affect the classification of the residuals from prior securitizations. Subsequent changes to fair value are recorded in operations in the period of the change. See Note 4 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements, herein.

Securities Classified as Available for Sale. Securities classified as available for sale, which are comprised of mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and residual interests from securitizations of mortgage loan products, increased from \$617.5 million at December 31, 2006, to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2007, approximately \$570.0 million of these securities classified as available for sale were pledged as collateral under security repurchase agreements. See Note 4 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements herein.

Mortgage-backed Securities Held to Maturity. Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity decreased from \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2006 to \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2007. The decrease was attributable to the repayment of principal and the reclassification, in March 2007, of \$321.1 million securities associated with the guaranteed mortgage securitization of fixed second mortgage loans completed in April 2006 to available for sale. At December 31, 2007, approximately \$107.6 million of mortgage-backed securities were pledged as collateral under security repurchase agreements and swap agreements as compared to \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2006. See Note 4 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements herein.

*Other Investments.* Our investment portfolio increased from \$24.0 million at December 31, 2006 to \$26.8 million at December 31, 2007. Investment securities consist of contractually required collateral, regulatory required collateral, and investments made by our non-bank subsidiaries.

Loans Available for Sale. We sell a majority of the mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. At December 31, 2007, we held loans available for sale of \$3.5 billion, which was an increase of \$0.3 billion from \$3.2 billion held at December 31, 2006. Our loan production is typically inversely related to the level of long-term interest rates. As long-term rates decrease, we tend to originate an increasing number of mortgage loans. A significant amount of the loan origination activity during periods of falling interest rates is derived from refinancing of existing mortgage loans. Conversely, during periods of increasing long-term rates, loan originations tend to decrease.

The following table shows the activity in our portfolio of loans available for sale during the past five years:

#### LOANS AVAILABLE FOR SALE ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

		2007	2006		2005		2004	2003
			(I	Ooll	ars in thousands	s)		
Balance, beginning of year	\$	3,188,795	\$ 1,773,394	\$	1,506,311	\$	2,759,551	\$ 3,302,212
Loans originated, net Loans sold servicing retained, net		26,054,106 (22,965,827)	18,057,340 (13,974,425)		25,202,205 (21,608,937)		31,943,915 (27,749,138)	55,866,218 (49,681,387)
Loans sold servicing released, net		(1,524,506)	(2,395,465)		(1,855,700)		(1,352,789)	(2,461,326)
Loan amortization/ prepayments Loans transferred from		(541,956)	(1,246,419)		(1,040,315)		(1,798,137)	(1,652,811)
(to) various loan portfolios, net		(699,302)	974,370		(430,170)		(2,297,091)	(2,613,355)
Balance, end of year	\$	3,511,310	\$ 3,188,795	\$	1,733,394	\$	1,506,311	\$ 2,759,551

Loans Held for Investment. Our largest category of earning assets consists of our loans held for investment portfolio. Loans held for investment consists of residential mortgage loans that we do not hold for resale (usually shorter duration and adjustable rate loans and second mortgages), other consumer loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans, warehouse loans to other mortgage lenders, and various types of commercial loans such as business lines of credit, working capital loans and equipment loans. Loans held for investment decreased from \$8.9 billion in December 2006, to \$8.0 billion in December 2007. Mortgage loans held for investment decreased \$387.8 million to \$5.8 billion, second mortgage loans decreased \$658.6 million to \$56.5 million, commercial real estate loans increased \$240.3 million to \$1.5 billion and consumer loans decreased \$58.4 million to \$281.7 million. For information relating to the concentration of credit of our loans held for investment, see Note 22 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statement, herein.

The following table sets forth a breakdown of our loans held for investment portfolio at December 31, 2007:

#### LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT, BY RATE

Fixed	Adjustable	
Rate	Rate	Total

(Dollars in thousands)

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Mortgage loans	\$ 1,019,318	\$ 4,804,634	\$ 5,823,952
Second mortgage loans	52,071	4,445	56,516
Commercial real estate loans	693,545	848,559	1,542,104
Construction loans	21,920	68,481	90,401
Warehouse lending		316,719	316,719
Consumer	100,845	180,901	281,746
Non-real estate commercial loans	8,916	14,043	22,959
Total	\$ 1,896,615	\$ 6,237,782	\$ 8,134,397

# **Table of Contents**

The two tables below provide detail for the activity and the balance in our loans held for investment portfolio over the past five years.

# LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

	2007	2006	At December 31, 2005	2004	2003
		(	Dollars in thousand	s)	
Mortgage loans	\$ 5,823,952	\$ 6,211,765	\$ 8,248,897	\$ 8,693,768	\$ 5,478,200
Second mortgage loans	56,516	715,154	700,492	196,518	141,010
Commercial real estate loans	1,542,104	1,301,819	995,411	751,730	549,456
Construction loans	90,401	64,528	65,646	67,640	58,323
Warehouse lending	316,719	291,656	146,694	249,291	346,780
Consumer loans	281,746	340,157	410,920	591,107	259,656
Non-real estate commercial					
loans	22,959	14,606	8,411	9,100	8,638
Total loans held for investment					
portfolio	8,134,397	8,939,685	10,576,471	10,559,154	6,842,063
Allowance for loan losses	(104,000)	(45,779)	(39,140)	(38,318)	(37,828)
Total loans held for investment portfolio, net	\$ 8,030,397	\$ 8,893,906	\$ 10,537,331	\$ 10,520,836	\$ 6,804,235

# LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

	For the Years Ended December 31,									
	2007		2006		2005		2004		2003	
			(D	olla	ars in thousand	ls)				
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,939,685	\$	10,576,471	\$	10,559,154	\$	6,842,063	\$	3,986,751	
Loans originated	996,702		2,406,068		5,101,206		4,840,028		1,901,105	
Change in lines of credit	153,604		(244,666)		186,041		(189,696)		1,267,338	
Loans transferred (to) from										
various portfolios, net	383,403		(1,018,040)		400,475		2,297,091		2,613,355	
Loan amortization/prepayments	(2,223,258)		(2,696,441)		(5,622,989)		(3,190,640)		(2,890,680)	
Loans transferred to repossessed										
assets	(115,739)		(83,707)		(47,416)		(39,692)		(35,806)	

Balance, end of year \$ 8,134,397 \$ 8,939,685 \$ 10,576,471 \$ 10,559,154 \$ 6,842,063

42

#### **Quality of Earning Assets**

The following table sets forth certain information about our non-performing assets as of the end of the last five years.

#### **NON-PERFORMING LOANS**

	2007		2006 A	t D	t December 31, 2005		2004		2003	
			(Do	llar	s in thousand	in thousands)				
Non-accrual loans	\$ 197,149	\$	57,071	\$	64,466	\$	57,026	\$	58,334	
Repurchased non-performing assets, net Real estate and other repossessed	9,776		22,096		34,777		35,013		11,956	
assets, net	109,274		80,995		47,724		37,823		36,778	
Total non-performing assets, net	\$ 316,199	\$	160,162	\$	146,967	\$	129,862	\$	107,068	
Ratio of non-performing assets to										
total assets	2.00%		1.03%		0.98%		0.99%		1.01%	
Ratio of non-accrual loans to loans held for investment	2.42%		0.64%		0.61%		0.54%		0.85%	
Ratio of allowance to non-accrual loans	52.75%		80.21%		60.71%		67.19%		64.85%	
Ratio of allowance to loans held for	32.1370		00.2170		00.7170		07.1770		01.0570	
investment	1.28%		0.51%		0.37%		0.36%		0.55%	
Ratio of net charge-offs to average	0.250		0.200		0.160		0.160		0.250	
loans held for investment	0.35%		0.20%		0.16%		0.16%		0.35%	

Delinquent Loans. Loans are considered to be delinquent when any payment of principal or interest is past due. While it is the goal of management to work out a satisfactory repayment schedule with a delinquent borrower, we will undertake foreclosure proceedings if the delinquency is not satisfactorily resolved. Our procedures regarding delinquent loans are designed to assist borrowers in meeting their contractual obligations. We customarily mail several notices of past due payments to the borrower within 30 days after the due date, and late charges are assessed in accordance with certain parameters. Our collection department makes telephone or personal contact with borrowers after a 30-day delinquency. In certain cases, we recommend that the borrower seek credit-counseling assistance and may grant forbearance if it is determined that the borrower is likely to correct a loan delinquency within a reasonable period of time. We cease the accrual of interest on loans that we classify as non-performing because they are more than 90 days delinquent. Such interest is recognized as income only when it is actually collected. At December 31, 2007, we had \$327.4 million in loans that were determined to be delinquent. Of those delinquent loans, \$197.1 million of loans were non-performing, of which \$139.1 million, or 70.5%, were single-family residential mortgage loans.

The following table sets forth information regarding delinquent loans as of the end of the last three years (dollars in thousands):

# **DELINQUENT LOANS**

	At December 31,							
Days Delinquent		2007		2006		2005		
		<b>5</b> 0.044	Φ.	10.1.10	4	20.052		
30	\$	59,811	\$	40,140	\$	30,972		
60		70,450		22,163		20,456		
90		197,149		57,071		64,466		
Total	\$	327,410	\$	119,374	\$	115,894		
1000	Ψ	327,110	Ψ	117,571	Ψ	113,071		

We currently calculate our delinquent loans using a method required by the Office of Thrift Supervision, when we prepare regulatory reports that we submit to the OTS each quarter. This method also called the OTS Method, considers a loan to be delinquent if no payment is received after the first day of the month following

43

#### **Table of Contents**

the month of the missed payment. Other companies with mortgage banking operations similar to ours usually use the Mortgage Bankers Association Method (MBA Method) which considers a loan to be delinquent if payment is not received by the end of the month of the missed payment. The key difference between the two methods is that a loan considered delinquent under the MBA Method would not be considered delinquent under the OTS Method for another 30 days. Under the MBA Method of calculating delinquent loans, 30 day delinquencies equaled \$150.9 million, 60 day delinquencies equaled \$59.8 million and 90 day delinquencies equaled \$267.6 million at December 31, 2007. Total delinquent loans under the MBA Method total \$478.3 million or 5.88% of loans held for investment at December 31, 2007. By comparison, delinquent loans at year-end 2006 totaled \$237.9 million, or 2.66% of total loans held for investment at December 31, 2006.

The following table sets forth information regarding non-performing loans as to which we have ceased accruing interest (dollars in thousands):

#### NON-ACCRUAL LOANS

	Investment Loan Portfolio	Non- Accrual Loans	of Loan Specified Portfolio	As a % of Non- Accrual Loans
Mortgage loans	\$ 5,823,952	\$ 134,551	2.31%	68.3%
Second mortgages	56,516	440	0.78%	0.2%
Commercial real estate	1,542,104	57,824	3.75%	29.3%
Construction	90,401	1,167	1.29%	0.6%
Warehouse lending	316,719		%	%
Consumer	281,746	3,167	1.12%	1.6%
Commercial non-real estate	22,959		%	%
Total loans	8,134,397	\$ 197,149	2.42%	100.0%
Less allowance for loan losses	(104,000)			
Total loans held for investment portfolio (net of allowance)	\$ 8,030,397			

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The allowance for loan losses represents management s estimate of probable losses in our loans held for investment portfolio as of the date of the consolidated financial statements. The allowance provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific customer relationships and for probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio but that have not been specifically identified.

We perform a detailed credit quality review at least annually on large commercial loans as well as on selected other smaller balance commercial loans and may allocate a specific portion of the allowance to such loans based upon this review. Commercial and commercial real estate loans that are determined to be substandard and exceed \$1.0 million are treated as impaired and are individually evaluated to determine the necessity of a specific reserve in accordance

with the provisions of SFAS 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. This pronouncement requires a specific allowance to be established as a component of the allowance for loan losses when it is probable all amounts due will not be collected pursuant to the contractual terms of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan exceeds its fair value. Fair value is measured using either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, reduced by estimated disposal costs. In estimating the fair value of collateral, we utilize outside fee-based appraisers to evaluate various factors, such as occupancy and rental rates in our real estate markets and the level of obsolescence that may exist on assets acquired from commercial business loans.

A portion of the allowance is also allocated to the remaining commercial loans by applying projected loss ratios, based on numerous factors identified below, to the loans within the different risk ratings.

44

#### **Table of Contents**

Additionally, management has sub-divided the homogeneous portfolios, including consumer and residential mortgage loans, into categories that have exhibited a greater loss exposure (such as sub-prime loans and loans that are not salable on the secondary market because of collateral or documentation issues). The portion of the allowance allocated to other consumer and residential mortgage loans is determined by applying projected loss ratios to various segments of the loan portfolio. Projected loss ratios incorporate factors such as recent charge-off experience, current economic conditions and trends, trends with respect to past due and non-accrual amounts, and are supported by underlying analysis.

Management maintains an unallocated allowance to recognize the uncertainty and imprecision underlying the process of estimating expected loan losses. Determination of the probable losses inherent in the portfolio, which are not necessarily captured by the allocation methodology discussed above, involve the exercise of judgment.

As the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance requires subjective and complex judgment by management about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain, subsequent evaluations of the loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the allowance for loan losses. In estimating the amount of credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio various assumptions are made. For example, when assessing the condition of the overall economic environment, assumptions are made regarding current economic trends and their impact on the loan portfolio. In the event the national economy were to sustain a prolonged downturn, the loss factors applied to our portfolios may need to be revised, which may significantly impact the measurement of the allowance for loan losses. For impaired loans that are collateral dependent, the estimated fair value of the collateral may deviate significantly from the proceeds received when the collateral is sold.

During the third quarter of 2007 and continuing in the fourth quarter of 2007, an increase in delinquency rates and an increase in seriously delinquent and non-performing loans, caused management to increase our overall allowance for loan losses. The overall delinquency rate (loans over 30 days delinquent using the OTS method) increased in 2007 to 4.03%, up from 1.34% as of December 31, 2006 and, for seriously delinquent loans (loans over 90 days delinquent using the OTS method), to 2.42% from 0.64%, respectively. At December 31, 2007, nonperforming loans totaled \$197.1 million, an increase of \$140.0 million over the amount at December 31, 2006. To better assess the extent of this credit exposure with respect to our commercial real estate portfolio, management conducted special reviews of commercial land and residential development loans with approximately \$234.6 million of outstanding principal in the third quarter of 2007. As a result of these reviews, management downgraded a number of loans to substandard and special mention classification. Substantially all of the loans that were downgraded to substandard have been evaluated for impairment under the provisions of SFAS 114. During 2007, the provision for loan losses totaled \$88.3 million, an increase of \$62.9 million over the provisions for 2006.

The allowance for loan losses increased to \$104.0 million at December 31, 2007 from \$45.8 million at December 31, 2006. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans decreased to 52.8% from 80.2% at December 31, 2006, which reflects the increase in non-accrual loans (i.e., loans over 90 days delinquent using the OTS method) to \$197.1 million at December 31, 2007 compared to \$57.1 million at December 31, 2006. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of investment loans increased to 1.28% from 0.51% at December 31, 2006. As discussed above, the increase in the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2007 reflects management s assessment of the effect of increased levels of impaired and adversely classified loans, increased delinquency rates in most loan categories, and increased levels of charge-offs.

45

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, and activity in the allowance for loan losses during the past five years:

#### ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

	Investment	At Decemb Percent	er 3	1, 2007	<b>.</b>	
	Loan	of	Reserve		Percentage to Total	
	Portfolio	Portfolio (Dollars in	Portfolio Amount (Dollars in thousands)			
Mortgage loans	\$ 5,823,952	71.6%	\$	32,334	31.1%	
Second mortgages	56,516	0.7		5,122	4.9	
Commercial real estate	1,542,104	19.0		47,273	45.5	
Construction	90,401	1.1		1,944	1.9	
Warehouse lending	316,719	3.9		1,387	1.3	
Consumer	281,746	3.4		13,064	12.6	
Commercial non-real estate	22,959	0.3		680	0.6	
Unallocated				2,196	2.1	
Total	\$ 8,134,397	100.0%	\$	104,000	100.0%	

# ALLOCATION OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

	2007	7	2006			At December 31, 2005			2004			2003				
	Reserve Amount	Loans To Total Loans		Reserve Lmount	Loans To Total Loans		Reserve Amount	T	oans Fo otal oans		eserve mount	To	ans To otal ans		Reserve Amount	Loa To To Loa
						(D	ollars in th	hous	ands)							
age loans d mortgages nercial real	\$ 32,334 5,122	71.6% 0.7%	\$	16,355 6,627	69.5% 8.0%	\$	20,466 7,156		78.0% 6.6%	\$	17,304 3,318	;	82.0% 1.9%	\$	20,347 2,129	8
10101011001	47,273	19.0%		7,748	14.5%		5,315		9.4%		2,319		7.1%		7,532	
ruction	1,944	1.1%		762	0.7%		604		0.6%		3,538		0.6%		2,380	
ouse lending	1,387	3.9%		672	3.3%		334		1.4%		5,167		2.4%		273	
mer nercial non-	13,064	3.4%		11,091	3.8%		3,396		3.9%		4,924		5.9%		3,710	
state	680	0.3%		362	0.2%		729		0.1%		1,748		0.1%		1,457	

s 104,000 100.0% \$ 45,779 100.0% \$ 39,140 100.0% \$ 38,318 100.0% \$ 37,828 10

46

#### ACTIVITY IN THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

	2007	For the Yea 2006	ars Ended Dece 2005	ember 31, 2004	2003
		(Dol	llars in thousand	s)	
Beginning balance	\$ 45,779	\$ 39,140	\$ 38,318	\$ 37,828	\$ 39,389
Provision for loan losses	88,297	25,450	18,876	16,077	20,081
Charge-offs					
Mortgage loans	(17,468)	(9,833)	(11,853)	(14,629)	(20,455)
Consumer loans	(9,827)	(7,806)	(4,713)	(1,147)	(881)
Commercial loans	(4,765)	(1,414)	(3,055)	(680)	(1,048)
Construction loans	( ) )	( ) ,	(- , ,	(2)	(313)
Other	(1,599)	(2,560)	(286)	(717)	(298)
Total charge offs	(33,659)	(21,613)	(19,907)	(17,175)	(22,995)
Recoveries					
Mortgage loans	687	665	1,508	1,081	641
Consumer loans	2,258	1,720	247	242	393
Commercial loans	174	40	98	265	114
Construction loans					
Other	464	377			205
m. i	2.502	2 002	1.052	1.500	1.252
Total recoveries	3,583	2,802	1,853	1,588	1,353
Charge-offs, net of recoveries	(30,076)	(18,811)	(18,054)	(15,587)	(21,642)
Ending balance	\$ 104,000	\$ 45,779	\$ 39,140	\$ 38,318	\$ 37,828
	,	,	,	,	,
Net charge-off ratio	0.38%	0.20%	0.16%	0.16%	0.35%

**Repossessed Assets.** Real property that we acquire as a result of the foreclosure process is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. Management decides whether to rehabilitate the property or sell it as is and whether to list the property with a broker. Generally, we are able to dispose of a substantial portion of this type of real estate and other repossessed assets during each year, but we invariably acquire additional real estate and other assets through repossession in the ordinary course of business. At December 31, 2007, we had \$109.3 million of repossessed assets compared to \$81.0 million at December 31, 2006.

The following schedule provides the activity for repossessed assets during each of the past five years:

#### NET REPOSSESSED ASSET ACTIVITY

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
		(Dolla	rs in thousands	)	
Beginning balance Additions Disposals	\$ 80,995 115,739 (87,460)	\$ 47,724 83,707 (50,436)	\$ 37,823 48,546 (38,645)	\$ 36,778 42,668 (41,623)	\$ 45,094 38,991 (47,307)
Ending balance	\$ 109,274	\$ 80,995	\$ 47,724	\$ 37,823	\$ 36,778

**Repurchased Assets.** We sell a majority of the mortgage loans we produce into the secondary market on a whole loan basis or by securitizing the loans into mortgage-backed securities. When we sell or securitize mortgage loans, we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied

47

# **Table of Contents**

and the types of documentation being provided. When a loan that we have sold or securitized fails to perform according to its contractual terms, the purchaser will typically review the loan file to determine whether defects in the origination process occurred and if such defects constitute a violation of our representations and warranties. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. If a defect is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. Loans that are repurchased and that are performing according to their terms are included within our loans held for investment portfolio. Repurchased assets are loans that we have reacquired because of representation and warranties issues related to loan sales or securitizations and that are non-performing at the time of repurchase. To the extent we later foreclose on the loan, the underlying property is transferred to repossessed assets for disposal. Upon obtaining title to such repurchased assets, the asset is transferred to repossessed assets for disposal. During 2007 and 2006, we repurchased \$69.9 million and \$68.4 million in unpaid principal balance of non-performing loans, respectively. The estimated fair value of the remaining repurchased assets totaled \$9.6 million and \$9.6 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and is included within other assets in our consolidated statements of financial condition.

The following table sets forth the underlying principal amount of non-performing loans we have repurchased or indemnified during the past five years, organized by the year of sale or securitization:

#### REPURCHASED ASSETS

	Total Non-performing									
Year	Total Loan Sales and Securitizations			Repurchased Loans						
Tour	Securitizations Loans Sal  (Dollars in thousands)									
2003 2004	\$	51,922,757 28,937,576	\$	34,924 57,794	0.07% 0.20%					
2005 2006 2007		24,703,575 16,968,994 24,710,651		46,721 17,668 1,945	0.19% 0.10% 0.01%					
Totals	\$	147,243,553	\$	159,052	0.11%					

*Accrued Interest Receivable.* Accrued interest receivable increased from \$52.8 million at December 31, 2006 to \$57.9 million at December 31, 2007 as our total earning assets increased. We typically collect interest in the month following the month in which it is earned.

*FHLB Stock.* Holdings of FHLB stock increased from \$277.6 million at December 31, 2006, to \$348.9 million at December 31, 2007. This increase was the result of the purchases of FHLB stock in 2007. As a member of the FHLB, we are required to hold shares of FHLB stock in an amount at least equal to 1.0% of the aggregate unpaid principal balance of our mortgage loans, home purchase contracts and similar obligations at the beginning of each year, or 1/20th of our FHLB advances, whichever is greater. Management believes that the volume of our holdings of FHLB

stock do not constitute a controlling or significant interest in the FHLB. As such, management does not believe that the FHLB is an affiliate or can in any other way be deemed to be a related party.

**Premises and Equipment.** Premises and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation, totaled \$237.6 million at December 31, 2007, an increase of \$18.4 million, or 8.4%, from \$219.2 million at December 31, 2006. During 2007, we added 13 additional banking centers and continued to invest in computer equipment. In addition, we acquired land for future bank expansion.

*Mortgage Servicing Rights.* Mortgage servicing rights totaled \$414.0 million at December 31, 2007, an increase of \$240.7 million, from \$173.3 million at December 31, 2006. The increase reflects our capitalization of \$346.3 million of MSRs, sales of \$27.7 million of MSRs and amortization of \$78.3 million of MSRs. The recorded amount of the MSR portfolio at December 31, 2007 and 2006 as a percentage of the unpaid principal balance of the loans we are servicing was 1.27% and 1.15%, respectively. When our home lending operation

48

#### **Table of Contents**

sells mortgage loans in the secondary market, it usually retains the right to continue to service the mortgage loans for a fee. The weighted average service fee on loans serviced for others is currently 0.36% of the loan principal balance outstanding. The amount of MSRs initially recorded is based on the fair value of the MSRs determined on the date when the underlying loan is sold. Our determination of fair value, and thus the amount we record (i.e., the capitalization amount) is based on estimated values paid by third party buyers in recent servicing rights sale transactions, internal valuations, and market pricing. Estimates of fair value reflect the following variables:

Anticipated prepayment speeds (also known as the Constant Prepayment Rate)

Product type (i.e., conventional, government, balloon)

Fixed or adjustable rate of interest

Interest rate

Term (i.e. 15 or 30 years)

Servicing costs per loan

Discounted yield rate

Estimate of ancillary income such as late fees, prepayment fees, etc.

The most important assumptions used in the MSR valuation model are anticipated annual loan prepayment speeds. During 2007, these speeds ranged between 16.3% and 28.7% on new production loans. The factors used for those assumptions are selected based on market interest rates and other market assumptions. Their reasonableness is confirmed through surveys conducted with independent third parties.

On an ongoing basis, the MSR portfolio is internally valued to assess any impairment in the asset. These impairment analyses consider the same variables that we address in determining the value of the portfolio at the financial statement date. In addition, a third party valuation of the MSR portfolio is obtained annually to confirm the reasonableness of the value generated by the internal valuation model.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the fair value of the MSR portfolio was \$457.9 million and \$197.6 million, respectively. At December 31, 2007, the fair value of each MSR was based upon the following weighted-average assumptions: (1) a discount rate of 9.2%; (2) an anticipated loan prepayment rate of 16.3% CPR; and (3) servicing costs per conventional loan of \$42 and \$45 for each government or adjustable-rate loan, respectively.

The following table sets forth activity in loans serviced for others during the past five years (dollars in thousands):

#### LOANS SERVICED FOR OTHERS ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

	For the Years Ended December 31,								
	2007	2006			2005		2004		2003
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 15,032,504	\$	29,648,088	\$	21,354,724	\$	30,395,079	\$	21,586,797
Loan servicing capitalized	24,255,114		16,370,925		21,595,729		27,584,787		49,461,431

Loan amortization/prepayments	(3,248,986)		(3,376,219)		(4,220,504)		(6,985,894)		(9,982,414)	
Loan servicing sales	(3,551,295)		(27,610,290)		(9,081,861)		(29,639,248)		(30,670,735)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 32,487,337	\$	15,032,504	\$	29,648,088	\$	21,354,724	\$	30,395,079	

*Other Assets*. Other assets increased \$12.1 million, or 9.5%, to \$138.6 million at December 31, 2007, from \$126.5 million at December 31, 2006. The majority of this increase was attributable to an increase of \$4.4 million in the fair value of derivatives and an increase in escrow advances of \$6.1 million.

49

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Liabilities

*Deposits*. Deposit accounts increased \$0.6 billion, or 7.9%, to \$8.2 billion at December 31, 2007, from \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2006. We increased the number of banking centers from 151 at December 31, 2006 to 164 at December 31, 2007.

Our deposits can be subdivided into four areas: the retail division, the municipal division, the national accounts division and Company controlled deposits. Retail deposit accounts increased \$0.2 billion, or 3.5% to \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2007, from \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2006. Saving and checking accounts totaled 13.28% of total retail deposits. In addition, at December 31, 2007, retail certificates of deposit totaled \$3.9 billion, with an average balance of \$25,911 and a weighted average cost of 5.0% while money market deposits totaled \$531.6 million, with an average cost of 3.9%. Overall, the retail division had an average cost of deposits of 4.5% at December 31, 2007 versus 4.4% at December 31, 2006.

We call on local municipal agencies as another source for deposit funding. Municipal deposits increased \$0.1 billion or 7.1% to \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2007, from \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2006. These balances fluctuate during the year as the municipalities collect semi-annual assessments and make necessary disbursements over the following six-months. These deposits had a weighted average cost of 5.0% at December 31, 2007. These deposit accounts include \$1.5 billion of certificates of deposit with maturities typically less than one year and \$72.0 million in checking and savings accounts.

In past years, our national accounts division garnered wholesale deposits through nationwide advertising of deposit rates and the use of investment banking firms. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and through June 30 2007, we did not solicit any funds through the division as we were able to access more attractive funding sources through FHLB advances, security repurchase agreements and other forms of deposits that provide the potential for a long term customer relationship. Beginning in July 2007, wholesale deposits became attractive from a cost of funds standpoint, so we began to solicit funds through our national accounts division. These deposit accounts increased \$79.0 million, or 7.4%, to \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2007, from the December 31, 2006 deposit amount. These deposits had a weighted average cost of 4.6% at December 31, 2007.

Company controlled deposits are accounts that represent the portion of the investor custodial accounts controlled by Flagstar that have been placed on deposit with the Bank. These deposits do not bear interest. Company controlled deposits increased \$229.2 million to \$473.4 million at December 31, 2007 from \$244.2 million at December 31, 2006. This increase is the result of our increase in mortgage loans being serviced for others during 2007.

The deposit accounts are as follows at December 31, (dollars in thousands):

	24	At Decemb 007	nber 31, 2006		
Demand accounts Savings accounts MMDA Certificates of deposit(1)	2	136,239 237,762 531,587 370,828	\$ 380,162 144,460 608,282 3,763,781		
Total retail deposits	5,0	076,416	4,896,685		

Municipal deposits	1,545,395	1,419,964
National accounts	1,141,549	1,062,646
Company controlled deposits	473,384	244,193
Total deposits	\$ 8,236,744	\$ 7,623,488

50

<sup>(1)</sup> The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of \$100,000 was approximately \$2.8 billion and \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

#### **Table of Contents**

Interest Rate Swaps. In October 2003, we entered into a series of interest rate swaps to offset our exposure to rising rates on a portion of our certificates of deposit portfolio. The notional amount of these swaps totaled \$500 million. Contractually, we receive a floating rate tied to LIBOR and pay a fixed rate. The swaps are categorized in two groups: the first receiving one-month LIBOR and the second receiving three-month LIBOR. These swaps have maturities ranging from three to five years. These interest rate swaps effectively act as a cash flow hedge against a rise in the cost of our deposits. On December 30, 2004, we extinguished \$250 million of the swaps for an after-tax gain of \$2.6 million. This gain was deferred and was reclassified into earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) over three years, which was the original duration of the extinguished swaps. At December 31, 2007, we had \$105.0 million (notional amount) of these interest rate swap agreements outstanding.

On December 19, 2002, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust II, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities. As part of the transaction, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the placement agent in which we pay a fixed rate of 6.88% on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and receive a floating rate equal to that being paid on the Flagstar Statutory Trust II securities. This swap matured in December 2007.

On September 22, 2005, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust VIII, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities. As part of the transaction, we entered into an interest rate swap with the placement agent in which we are required to pay a fixed rate of 4.33% on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and will receive a floating rate equal to that being paid on the Flagstar Statutory Trust VIII securities. The swap matures on October 7, 2010. The securities are callable after October 7, 2010.

FHLB Advances. FHLB advances increased \$0.9 billion, or 16.7%, to \$6.3 billion at December 31, 2007, from \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2006. We rely upon advances from the FHLB as a source of funding for the origination or purchase of loans for sale in the secondary market and for providing duration-specific short-term and medium-term financing. The outstanding balance of FHLB advances fluctuates from time to time depending upon our current inventory of mortgage loans available for sale and the availability of lower cost funding from our deposit base, the escrow accounts we hold, or alternative funding sources such as repurchase agreements. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data, herein for additional information on FHLB advances.

The \$1.9 billion portfolio of putable FHLB advances we hold, which matures in 2012, may be called by the FHLB based on FHLB volatility models. If these advances are called, we will be forced to find an alternative source of funding, which could be at a higher cost and, therefore, negatively impact net earnings.

Security Repurchase Agreements. Security repurchase agreements declined \$882.8 million to \$108.0 million at December 31, 2007, from \$990.8 million at December 31, 2006. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest. Securities, generally mortgage backed securities, are pledged as collateral under these financing arrangements. The fair value of collateral provided to a party is continually monitored, and additional collateral is obtained or requested to be returned, as appropriate. See Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data, herein, for additional information on security repurchase agreements.

**Long-Term Debt.** As part of our overall capital strategy, we may raise capital through the issuance of trust-preferred securities by our special purpose financing entities formed for the offerings. The trust preferred securities outstanding mature 30 years from issuance, are callable after five years, pay interest quarterly, and the interest expense is deductible for federal income tax purposes. The majority of the net proceeds from these offerings was contributed to the Bank as additional paid in capital and subject to regulatory limitations, is includable as regulatory capital. Under

these arrangements, we have the right to defer dividend payments to the trust preferred security holders for up to five years.

On December 19, 2002, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust II, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities pay interest at a floating rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, adjustable quarterly, after an initial rate of

51

#### **Table of Contents**

4.66%. As part of the transaction, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the placement agent in which we pay a fixed rate of 6.88% on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and receive a floating rate equal to that being paid on the Flagstar Statutory Trust II securities. The interest rate swap matured in December 2007.

On February 19, 2003, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust III, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have an effective cost for the first five years of 6.55% and a floating rate thereafter equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.25% adjustable quarterly.

On March 19, 2003, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust IV, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have an effective cost for the first five years of 6.75% and a floating rate thereafter equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.25% adjustable quarterly.

On December 29, 2004, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust V, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have a floating rate that reprices quarterly at three-month LIBOR plus 2.00%.

On March 30, 2005, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust VI, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have a floating rate that reprices quarterly at three-month LIBOR plus 2.00%.

On March 31, 2005, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust VII, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$50.0 million. The securities have an effective cost for the first five years of 6.47% and a floating rate thereafter equal to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 2.00% adjustable quarterly.

On September 22, 2005, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust VIII, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have a floating rate that reprices quarterly at three-month LIBOR plus 1.50%.

On June 28, 2007, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust IX, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$25.0 million. The securities have a floating rate that reprices quarterly at three-month LIBOR plus 1.45%.

On August 31, 2007, we, through our subsidiary Flagstar Statutory Trust X, completed a private placement sale of trust-preferred securities, providing gross proceeds totaling \$15.0 million. The securities have a floating rate that reprices quarterly at three-month LIBOR plus 2.50%.

Accrued Interest Payable. Accrued interest payable increased \$0.8 million, or 1.7%, to \$47.1 million at December 31, 2007 from \$46.3 million at December 31, 2006. These amounts represent interest payments that are payable to depositors and other entities from which we borrowed funds. These balances fluctuate with the size of our interest-bearing liability portfolio and the average cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. The interest-bearing liability portfolio increased 8.7% during the period and we had a 0.4% increase in the average cost of liabilities to 4.72%.

*Undisbursed Payments.* Undisbursed payments on loans serviced for others increased \$35.0 million, or 376.3%, to \$44.3 million at December 31, 2007, from \$9.3 million at December 31, 2006. These amounts represent payments received from borrowers for interest, principal and related loan charges, which have not been remitted to loan investors. These balances fluctuate with the size of the servicing portfolio and the transferring of servicing to the

purchaser in connection with servicing sales. Loans serviced for others at December 31, 2007, including subservicing of \$0.5 billion, equaled \$33.0 billion versus \$15.2 billion at December 31, 2006.

*Federal Income Taxes Payable (Receivable)*. Income taxes payable decreased, to a receivable of \$28,000 at December 31, 2007, from \$29.7 million at December 31, 2006. The Federal income taxes receivable is recorded in other assets on our consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2007. See

52

#### **Table of Contents**

Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein.

Secondary Market Reserve. We sell most of the residential mortgage loans that we originate into the secondary mortgage market. When we sell mortgage loans we make customary representations and warranties to the purchasers about various characteristics of each loan, such as the manner of origination, the nature and extent of underwriting standards applied and the types of documentation being provided. Typically these representations and warranties are in place for the life of the loan. If a defect in the origination process is identified, we may be required to either repurchase the loan or indemnify the purchaser for losses it sustains on the loan. If there are no such defects, we have no liability to the purchaser for losses it may incur on such loan. We maintain a secondary market reserve to account for the expected losses related to loans we might be required to repurchase (or the indemnity payments we may have to make to purchasers). The secondary market reserve takes into account both our estimate of expected losses on loans sold during the current accounting period, as well as adjustments to our previous estimates of expected losses on loans sold. In each case these estimates are based on our most recent data regarding loan repurchases, actual credit losses on repurchased loans and recovery history, among other factors. Increases to the secondary market reserve for current loan sales reduce our net gain on loan sales. Adjustments to our previous estimates are recorded as an increase or decrease in our other fees and charges. The amount of the secondary market reserve equaled \$27.6 million and \$24.2 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data, herein.

# **Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

We have various financial obligations, including contractual obligations and commercial commitments, which require future cash payments. Refer to Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplemental Data Notes 2, 10, 12, 13, 14 and 15. The following table presents the aggregate annual maturities of contractual obligations (based on final maturity dates) at December 31, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total
Deposits without stated maturities	\$ 1,277,928	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,277,928
Certificates of deposits	5,368,021	952,952	155,683	8,776	6,485,432
FHLB advances	1,851,000	1,300,000	2,650,000	500,000	6,301,000
Trust preferred securities				247,435	247,435
Operating leases	6,335	8,255	3,084	1,635	19,309
Security repurchase agreements	108,000				108,000
Other debt	25	50	50	1,125	1,250
Total	\$ 8,611,309	\$ 2,261,257	\$ 2,808,817	\$ 758,971	\$ 14,440,354

Included in the FHLB advances above are putable advances amounting to \$1.6 billion that may be called by the FHLB during 2008 and thereafter and \$0.3 billion of putable advances that may be called in 2009 and thereafter.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our principal uses of funds include loan originations, operating expenses, the payment of dividends and stock repurchases. At December 31, 2007, we had outstanding rate-lock commitments to lend \$3.2 billion in mortgage loans, along with outstanding commitments to make other types of loans totaling \$110.9 million. These commitments may expire without being drawn upon and, therefore, do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Total commercial and consumer unused collateralized lines of credit totaled \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2007.

53

#### **Table of Contents**

We suffered a loss in excess of \$39 million during 2007 and as a result, saw our shareholders equity and regulatory capital decline in the second half of the year. While we currently have regulatory capital ratios in excess of the well capitalized requirement, there can be no assurance that we will not suffer additional losses or that additional capital will not otherwise be required for regulatory or other reasons. In those circumstances, we may be required to obtain additional capital to maintain our regulatory capital ratios at the well capitalized level. Such capital raising could be at terms that are dilutive to existing shareholders and there can be no assurance that any capital raising we undertake would be successful given the current level of disruption in financial markets.

We paid a total cash dividend of \$21.4 million on our common stock during 2007. Any payment of dividends in the future is subject to the determination of our Board of Directors. On February 19, 2008, our Board of Directors suspended future dividends payable on our common stock. Under the capital distribution regulations, a savings association that is a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company must either notify or seek approval from the OTS of an association capital distribution at least 30 days prior to the declaration of a dividend or the approval by the Board of Directors of the proposed capital distribution. The 30-day period allows the OTS to determine whether the distribution would not be advisable. We currently must seek approval from the OTS prior to making a capital distribution from the Bank.

On January 31, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had adopted a Stock Repurchase Program under which the Company was authorized to repurchase up to \$40.0 million worth of outstanding common stock. On February 27, 2007, the Company announced that the Board of Directors had increased the authorized repurchase amount to \$50.0 million. On April 26, 2007, the Board increased the authorized repurchase amount to \$75.0 million. This program expired on January 31, 2008. At December 31, 2007, \$41.7 million had been used to repurchase 3.4 million shares under the program.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Our primary sources of funds are customer deposits, loan repayments and sales, advances from the FHLB, repurchase agreements, cash generated from operations, and customer escrow accounts. Additionally, we have issued trust preferred securities in eight separate offerings to the capital markets. We believe that these sources of capital will continue to be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future. The following sets forth certain additional information regarding our sources of liquidity.

**Deposits.** The following table sets forth information relating to our total deposit flows for each of the years indicated:

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003				
		(Dollars in thousands)							
Beginning deposits	\$ 7,623,488	\$ 8,521,756	\$ 7,433,776	\$ 5,729,650	\$ 4,435,182				
Interest credited	357,430	331,516	253,292	167,765	138,625				
Net deposit increase (decrease)	255,826	(1,229,784)	834,688	1,536,361	1,155,843				
Total deposits, end of the year	\$ 8,236,744	\$ 7,623,488	\$ 8,521,756	\$ 7,433,776	\$ 5,729,650				

**Borrowings.** The FHLB provides credit for savings institutions and other member financial institutions. We are currently authorized through a board resolution to apply for advances from the FHLB using our mortgage loans as collateral. We currently have an authorized line of credit equal to \$7.5 billion, secured by eligible residential mortgage loans. At December 31, 2007, we had available collateral sufficient to access \$7.0 billion of the line and had \$6.3 billion of FHLB advances outstanding at December 31, 2007.

54

#### **Table of Contents**

During May 2007, we completed arrangements with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago to borrow as needed from its discount window. The discount window is a borrowing facility that is intended to be used only for short-term liquidity needs arising from special or unusual circumstances. The amount we are allowed to borrow is based on the lendable value of the collateral that we provide. To collateralize the line, we pledge commercial loans that are eligible based on Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago guidelines. At December 31, 2007, we had pledged commercial loans amounting to \$1.1 billion with a lendable value of \$0.8 billion. At December 31, 2007, we had no borrowings outstanding against this line of credit.

Security Repurchase Agreements. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at the amounts at which the securities were sold plus accrued interest. Securities, generally mortgage backed securities, are pledged as collateral under these financing arrangements. The fair value of collateral provided to a party is continually monitored and additional collateral is obtained or requested to be returned, as appropriate. At December 31, 2007, security repurchase agreements amounted to \$108.0 million. Also at December 31, 2007, security repurchase agreements were secured by \$115.0 million of mortgage-backed securities held to maturity.

Loan Sales. Our home lending operation sells a significant portion of the mortgage loans that it originates. Sales of loans totaled \$23.3 billion, or 90.7% of originations in 2007, compared to \$16.0 billion, or 84.2% of originations, in 2006. The increase in sales during 2007 was attributable to the increase in originations and the decreased amount of loans retained by us for our own portfolio. As of December 31, 2007, we had outstanding commitments to sell \$3.8 billion of mortgage loans. Generally, these commitments are funded within 120 days.

*Loan Principal Payments.* In our capacity as an investor in loans, we derive funds from the repayment of principal on the loans we hold in portfolio. Payments totaled \$2.8 billion and \$3.9 billion during 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### LOAN REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

	At December 31, 2007													
	Within 1 Year		Year to 2 Years		Years to 3 Years		Years to Years	_	Years to 10 Years		10 Years to 15 Years		Over 15 Years	Totals
							(Dollars i	in tł	housands)					
Mortgage loans	\$ 82,565	\$	75,140	\$	74,149	\$	146,340	\$	356,194	\$	332,691	\$	4,709,216	\$ 5,776,295
Second mortgage Commercial real	1,897		1,833		1,771		3,424		7,984		6,642		32,863	56,414
estate Construction	164,081 90,306		146,638		131,033		236,741		464,667		215,028		185,217	1,543,405 90,306
Warehouse lending	316,719													316,719
Consumer Commercial	18,501		16,797		15,717		29,416		63,410		43,722		92,394	279,957
non-real estate	5,114		3,975		3,089		4,803		5,978					22,959
Гotal	\$ 679,183	\$	244,383	\$	225,759	\$	420,724	\$	898,233	\$	598,083	\$	5,019,690	\$ 8,086,055

**Escrow Funds.** As a servicer of mortgage loans, we hold funds in escrow for investors, various insurance entities, or for the government taxing authorities. At December 31, 2007, we held \$37.8 million in these escrows.

# **Impact of Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Financial Interpretation (FIN) FIN 46R requires us to separately report, rather than include in our consolidated financial statements, the separate financial statements of our wholly-owned subsidiaries Flagstar Trust, Flagstar Statutory Trust II, Flagstar Statutory Trust IV, Flagstar Statutory Trust VI, Flagstar Statutory Trust VII, Flagstar Statutory Trust VIII, Flagst

55

#### **Table of Contents**

Statutory Trust IX and Flagstar Statutory Trust X. We did this by reporting our investment in these entities under other assets.

Asset Securitization. The Bank, in its efforts to diversify its funding sources, occasionally transfers loans to a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) in a process known as a securitization in exchange for asset-backed securities. A QSPE is generally a trust that is severely limited in permitted activities, assets it may hold, sell, exchange or distribute. When a company transfers assets to a QSPE, the transfer is generally treated as a sale and the transferred assets are no longer recognized on the transferor s balance sheet. The QSPE in turn will offer the sold loans to investors in the form of a security. The proceeds the QSPE receives from investors are used to pay the company for the loans sold. The company will usually recognize a gain or loss on the transfer. Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 140, Accounting for the Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, provides specific criteria to meet the definition of a QSPE. QSPE s are required to be legally isolated from the transferor and bankruptcy remote, insulating investors from the impact of creditors of other entities, including the transferor, and are not consolidated within the financial statements.

When a company sells or securitizes loans it generally retains the servicing rights of those loans and may retain senior, subordinated, residual interests all of which are considered retained interest on the loans sold. Retained interests in securitizations were \$47.0 million and \$42.5 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Additional information concerning securitization transactions is included in Note 7 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplemental Data, herein.

#### **Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices**

The Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike most industrial companies, nearly all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

#### **Accounting and Reporting Developments**

See Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, herein for details of recently issued accounting pronouncements and their expected impact on our consolidated financial statements.

# ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, or equity prices. We do not have any material foreign currency exchange risk or equity price risk. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of assets and liabilities, changes in the relationships between rate indices, and the potential exercise of explicit or embedded options.

Interest rate risk is managed by the Executive Investment Committee ( EIC ), which is composed of several of our executive officers and other members of management, in accordance with policies approved by our Board of Directors. The EIC formulates strategies based on appropriate levels of interest rate risk. In determining the appropriate level of interest rate risk, the EIC considers the impact projected interest rate scenarios have on earnings

and capital, liquidity, business strategies, and other factors. The EIC meets monthly or as deemed necessary to review, among other things, the sensitivity of assets and liabilities to interest rate changes, the book and fair values of assets and liabilities, unrealized gains and losses, purchase and sale activity, loans available for sale and commitments to originate loans, and the maturities of investments, borrowings and time deposits. Any decision or policy change that requires implementation is directed to the

56

#### **Table of Contents**

Asset and Liability Committee ( ALCO ). The ALCO implements any directive from the EIC and meets weekly to monitor liquidity, cash flow flexibility and deposit activity.

Financial instruments used to manage interest rate risk include financial derivative products such as interest rate swaps and forward sales commitments. Further discussion of the use of and the accounting for derivative instruments is included in Notes 2 and 24 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this report. All of our derivatives are accounted for at fair market value. Although we have and will continue to economically hedge a portion of our mortgage loans available for sale, on October 1, 2005, for financial reporting purposes, we dedesignated all fair value hedges that solely related to our mortgage lending operation. This means that changes in the fair value of our forward sales commitments will not necessarily be offset by corresponding changes in the fair value of our mortgage loans available for sale because the mortgage loans available for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or market. In the future, additional volatility may be introduced into our consolidated financial statements.

To effectively measure and manage interest rate risk, we use sensitivity analysis to determine the impact on net market value of various interest rate scenarios, balance sheet trends, and strategies. From these simulations, interest rate risk is quantified and appropriate strategies are developed and implemented. Additionally, duration and net interest income sensitivity measures are utilized when they provide added value to the overall interest rate risk management process. The overall interest rate risk position and strategies are reviewed by our executive management and our Board of Directors on an ongoing basis. We have traditionally managed our business to reduce our overall exposure to changes in interest rates. However, management has the latitude to increase our interest rate sensitivity position within certain limits if, in management s judgment, the increase will enhance profitability.

In the past, the savings and loan industry measured interest rate risk using gap analysis. Gap analysis is one indicator of interest rate risk; however it only provides a glimpse into expected asset and liability repricing in segmented time frames. Today the thrift industry utilizes the concept of Net Portfolio Value (NPV). NPV analysis provides a fair value of the balance sheet in alternative interest rate scenarios. The NPV does not take into account management intervention and assumes the new rate environment is constant and the change is instantaneous.

The following table is a summary of the changes in our NPV that are projected to result from hypothetical changes in market interest rates. NPV is the market value of assets, less the market value of liabilities, adjusted for the market value of off-balance sheet instruments. The interest rate scenarios presented in the table include interest rates at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and as adjusted by instantaneous parallel rate changes upward to 300 basis points and downward to 200 basis points. The 2007 and 2006 scenarios are not comparable due to differences in the interest rate environments, including the absolute level of rates and the shape of the yield curve. Each rate scenario reflects unique prepayment, repricing, and reinvestment assumptions. Management derives these assumptions by considering published market prepayment expectations, the repricing characteristics of individual instruments or groups of similar instruments, our historical experience, and our asset and liability management strategy. Further, this analysis assumes that certain instruments would not be affected by the changes in interest rates or would be partially affected due to the characteristics of the instruments.

This analysis is based on our interest rate exposure at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates, which could impact NPV. Further, as this framework evaluates risks to the current statement of financial condition only, changes to the volumes and pricing of new business opportunities that can be expected in the different interest rate outcomes are not incorporated in this analytical framework. For instance, analysis of our history suggests that declining interest rate levels are associated with higher loan production volumes at higher levels of profitability. While this natural business hedge historically offset most, if not all, of the identified risks associated with declining interest rate scenarios, these factors fall outside of the net portfolio value framework. Further, there can be no assurance that this natural business hedge would positively affect the net portfolio value in the same manner and to the same extent as in the past because the current

#### **Table of Contents**

decline in the Federal Funds rate but an increase (rather than concurrent decrease) in rates for residential home mortgage loans.

There are limitations inherent in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in market interest rates. It is not possible to fully model the market risk in instruments with leverage, option, or prepayment risks. Also, we are affected by basis risk, which is the difference in repricing characteristics of similar term rate indices. As such, this analysis is not intended to be a precise forecast of the effect a change in market interest rates would have on us.

While each analysis involves a static model approach to a dynamic operation, the NPV model is the preferred method. If NPV rises in an up or down interest rate scenario, that would indicate an up direction for the margin in that hypothetical rate scenario. A perfectly matched balance sheet would possess no change in the NPV, no matter what the rate scenario. The following table presents the NPV in the stated interest rate scenarios (dollars in millions):

At	Dec	embe	er 31,
7 A L	$\mathbf{p}$		

		2007	ф	~			2006	Ф	er.
Scenario	NPV	NPV%	\$ Change	% Change	Scenario	NPV	NPV%	\$ Change	% Change
300	\$ 1,013	6.69%	\$ (203)	(16.7)%	300	\$ 908	6.19%	\$ (428)	(32.0)%
200	1,160	7.48	(56)	(4.6)	200	1,099	7.32	(237)	(17.7)
100	1,254	7.92	(38)	3.2	100	1,257	8.19	(79)	(5.9)
Current	1,216	7.56			Current	1,336	8.56		
-100	964	5.98	(252)	(20.7)	-100	1,281	8.14	(55)	(4.1)
-200	730	4.51	(486)	(40.0)	-200	1,206	7.62	(130)	(9.8)
				5	58				

# ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

# **Index to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Management s Report	60
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	61
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2007 and 2006	62
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	63
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the years ended	
December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	64
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	65
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	66
59	

#### **Table of Contents**

March 12, 2008

### Management s Report

Flagstar Bancorp s management is responsible for the integrity and objectivity of the information contained in this document. Management is responsible for the consistency of reporting this information and for ensuring that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America are used.

In discharging this responsibility, management maintains a comprehensive system of internal controls and supports an extensive program of internal audits, has made organizational arrangements providing appropriate divisions of responsibility and has established communication programs aimed at assuring that its policies, procedures and principles of business conduct are understood and practiced by its employees.

The consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related statements of operation, stockholders equity and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 included in this document have been audited by Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. All audits were conducted using standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and the independent registered public accounting firms reports and consents are included herein.

The Board of Directors responsibility for these consolidated financial statements is pursued mainly through its Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is composed entirely of directors who are not officers or employees of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc., and meets periodically with the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, both with and without management present, to assure that their respective responsibilities are being fulfilled. The internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm have full access to the Audit Committee to discuss auditing and financial reporting matters.

/s/ Mark T. Hammond Mark T. Hammond President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Paul D. Borja
Paul D. Borja
Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer

60

# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. We also have audited the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Flagstar Bancorp Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended

December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

/s/ Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP Southfield, Michigan March 12, 2008

61

# Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (In thousands, except share data)

			mbe	mber 31,			
		2007		2006			
Assets							
Cash and cash items	\$	129,992	\$	136,675			
Interest-bearing deposits	Ψ	210,177	Ψ	140,561			
Cash and cash equivalents		340,169		277,236			
Securities classified as trading		13,703		211,230			
Securities classified as available for sale		1,308,608		617,450			
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity (fair value \$1.3 billion and		1,500,000		017,430			
\$1.6 billion at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively)		1,255,431		1,565,420			
Other investments		26,813		24,035			
Loans available for sale		3,511,310		3,188,795			
Loans held for investment		8,134,397		8,939,685			
Less: allowance for loan losses		(104,000)		(45,779)			
Less, allowance for loan losses		(104,000)		(43,779)			
Loans held for investment, net		8,030,397		8,893,906			
Total interest-earning assets		14,356,439		14,430,167			
Accrued interest receivable		57,888		52,758			
Repossessed assets, net		109,274		80,995			
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		348,944		277,570			
Premises and equipment, net		237,652		219,243			
Mortgage servicing rights, net		413,986		173,288			
Other assets		138,561		126,509			
Total assets	\$	15,792,736	\$	15,497,205			
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity							
Liabilities							
Deposits	\$	8,236,744	\$	7,623,488			
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		6,301,000		5,407,000			
Security repurchase agreements		108,000		990,806			
Long term debt		248,685		207,472			
Total interest-bearing liabilities		14,894,429		14,228,766			
Accrued interest payable		47,070		46,302			
Secondary market reserve		27,600		24,200			
Payable for securities purchased			&				