SERVIDYNE, INC.
Form 10-Q
March 17, 2010

Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarter ended January 31, 2010
Commission file number 0-10146
SERVIDYNE, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Georgia	58-0522129
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1945 The Exchange, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30339-2029
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (770) 953-0304
Former name, former address, former fiscal year, if changed since last report: N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated Filer o	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller Reporting Company þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes o No þ

The number of shares of $1.00 par value Common Stock of the Registrant outstanding as of February 28, 2010, was 3,676,083.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
- ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION
- ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS
- ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

SIGNATURES
EX-31.1
EX-31.2
EX-32.1
EX-32.2

Table of Contents

SERVIDYNE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(UNAUDITED)

	January 31, 2010	April 30, 2009
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	**$ 2,299,200**	$ 4,821,126
Receivables (Note 5):		
Trade accounts and notes, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of $88,718 and $121,463, respectively	**1,209,192**	1,277,508
Contracts, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of $4,294 and $4,294, respectively, including retained amounts of $487,706 and $219,385, respectively	**1,820,248**	1,764,327
Costs and earnings in excess of billings	**486,201**	408,950
Assets of discontinued operations (Note 10)		314,906
Deferred income taxes	**463,745**	529,708
Other current assets	**1,254,446**	1,485,599
Total current assets	**7,533,032**	10,602,124
INCOME-PRODUCING PROPERTIES, net	**17,407,778**	17,630,790
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	**702,544**	797,556
ASSETS OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Note 10)		1,909,434
OTHER ASSETS:		
Real estate held for future development or sale	**853,109**	853,109
Intangible assets, net (Note 8)	**2,900,141**	2,832,286
Goodwill (Note 8)	**6,354,002**	6,354,002
Other assets	**2,979,113**	2,665,355
Total assets	**$ 38,729,719**	$ 43,644,656
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Trade and subcontractors payables	**$ 1,137,791**	$ 851,633
Accrued expenses	**1,229,569**	1,388,229
Deferred revenue	**460,654**	601,347
Billings in excess of costs and earnings	**925,894**	28,215
Liabilities of discontinued operations (Note 10)		175,541
Short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt	**608,452**	526,287
Total current liabilities	**4,362,360**	3,571,252
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	**1,484,602**	2,246,919
LIABILITIES OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Note 10)		3,370,826

OTHER LIABILITIES	**1,009,366**	824,877
MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE, less current maturities	**14,820,699**	15,092,252
OTHER LONG-TERM DEBT, less current maturities	**850,000**	1,000,000
Total liabilities	**22,527,027**	26,106,126
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 12)		
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Common stock, $1 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 3,919,473 issued and 3,676,083 outstanding at January 31, 2010; 3,917,778 issued and 3,691,369 outstanding at April 30, 2009	**3,919,473**	3,917,778
Additional paid-in capital	**6,161,710**	6,026,101
Retained earnings	**7,127,654**	8,569,451
Treasury stock (common shares) of 243,390 and 226,409, respectively	**(1,006,145)**	(974,800)
Total shareholders equity	**16,202,692**	17,538,530
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	**$ 38,729,719**	$ 43,644,656

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SERVIDYNE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

	THIRD QUARTER ENDED JANUARY 31,		NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31,	
	2010	2009	**2010**	2009
REVENUES:				
Building Performance Efficiency (BPE)	**$ 4,119,890**	$ 3,338,921	**$ 11,915,539**	$ 9,493,104
Real Estate	**717,899**	716,102	**2,080,802**	2,099,033
	4,837,789	4,055,023	**13,996,341**	11,592,137
COST OF REVENUES:				
BPE	**2,651,957**	2,098,300	**7,947,470**	6,018,788
Real Estate	**458,561**	444,588	**1,388,890**	1,334,150
	3,110,518	2,542,888	**9,336,360**	7,352,938
SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:	**2,454,058**	2,469,754	**7,144,254**	7,567,681
OTHER (INCOME) AND EXPENSES:				
Other income	**(5,752)**	(281,323)	**(73,634)**	(316,907)
Interest income	**(25)**	(7,622)	**(7,650)**	(108,024)
Interest expense	**269,958**	269,956	**812,402**	835,860
	264,181	(18,989)	**731,118**	410,929
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	**(990,968)**	(938,630)	**(3,215,391)**	(3,739,411)
INCOME TAX BENEFIT	**(452,064)**	(465,830)	**(1,313,781)**	(1,535,354)
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	**(538,904)**	(472,800)	**(1,901,610)**	(2,204,057)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Note 10):				
Loss from discontinued operations, adjusted for applicable income tax benefit of $19,451, $11,377, $50,649 and $24,778, respectively	**(31,736)**	(18,562)	**(82,638)**	(40,428)
	690,622		**690,622**	

Gain on disposition of income-producing properties, adjusted for applicable income tax expense of $498,017, $0, $498,017 and $0, respectively				
EARNINGS (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	**658,886**	(18,562)	**607,984**	(40,428)
NET EARNINGS (LOSS)	**$ 119,982**	$ (491,362)	**$ (1,293,626)**	$ (2,244,485)
NET EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE (Note 7):				
From continuing operations basic and diluted	**$ (0.15)**	$ (0.12)	**$ (0.52)**	$ (0.59)
From discontinued operations basic and diluted	**.18**	(.01)	**.16**	(.01)
NET EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE BASIC AND DILUTED	**$ 0.03**	$ (0.13)	**$ (0.36)**	$ (0.60)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC AND DILUTED	**3,685,268**	3,711,559	**3,688,952**	3,726,066

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SERVIDYNE, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31,	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	**$ (1,293,626)**	$ (2,244,485)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	**(607,984)**	40,428
Loss on disposal of assets	**1,378**	9,683
Depreciation and amortization	**1,022,030**	1,081,000
Amortization of mortgage discount		(20,000)
Deferred tax benefit	**(1,336,445)**	(1,560,134)
Stock compensation expense	**137,711**	151,927
Adjustment to cash surrender value of life insurance	**(75,122)**	(76,150)
Straight-line rent	**(23,108)**	(27,160)
Provision for doubtful accounts, net	**(32,745)**	(16,162)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	**45,140**	313,161
Costs and earnings in excess of billings	**(77,251)**	(110,752)
Other current and long-term assets	**98,847**	(111,825)
Trade and subcontractors payable	**286,158**	(120,163)
Accrued expenses and deferred revenue	**(299,353)**	(201,097)
Accrued incentive compensation		(494,000)
Billings in excess of costs and earnings	**897,679**	108,178
Other liabilities	**(4,000)**	(14,222)
Net cash used in operating activities	**(1,260,691)**	(3,291,773)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition, net of cash acquired		(902,657)
Premiums paid on officers life insurance policies	**(27,464)**	(27,464)
Release of restricted cash held in escrow		3,470,700
Deposit of restricted cash		(139,833)
Purchase of held to maturity investments		(150,000)
Additions to income-producing properties	**(135,523)**	(163,462)
Additions to property and equipment	**(74,617)**	(147,631)
Additions to intangible assets	**(432,368)**	(263,005)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	**2,000**	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	**(667,972)**	1,676,648
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Short-term loan proceeds	**100,000**	
Mortgage repayments	**(254,389)**	(237,926)

Debt repayments	**(185,000)**	(280,875)
Repurchase of common stock	**(31,345)**	(135,507)
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	**(148,578)**	(426,467)
Net cash used in financing activities	**(519,312)**	(1,080,775)
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:		
Operating activities	**(13,319)**	71,344
Investing activities	**(1,021)**	(6,776)
Financing activities	**(59,611)**	(21,054)
Net cash (used in) provided by discontinued operations	**(73,951)**	43,514
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	**(2,521,926)**	(2,652,386)
Cash at beginning of period	**4,821,126**	8,382,947
Cash at end of period	**$ 2,299,200**	$ 5,730,561

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Supplementary Disclosures of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities:
On June 6, 2008, the Company purchased substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Atlantic Lighting & Supply Co., Inc. for $902,657 in cash (net of cash received and including acquisition costs) and 17,381 shares of Servidyne common stock. The related assets and liabilities at the date of acquisition were as follows:

Total assets acquired, net of cash	**$ 1,577,844**
Total liabilities assumed	**(583,937)**
Net assets acquired, net of cash	**993,907**
Less value of shares issued for acquisition	**(91,250)**
Total cash paid (including acquisition costs)	**$ 902,657**

On January 29, 2010, the Company transferred its interest in an income-producing property and related assets to the note holder, which satisfied in full the Company s liability for the related mortgage note payable.

Elimination of mortgage note payable	**$ (3,159,348)**
Disposition of income-producing property, net	**1,727,165**
Disposition of other related assets and liabilities, net	**193,545**

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SERVIDYNE, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS

Servidyne, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, the Company) was organized under Delaware law in 1960. In 1984, the Company changed its state of incorporation from Delaware to Georgia. The Company s Building Performance Efficiency (BPE) Segment provides comprehensive energy efficiency and demand response solutions, sustainability programs, and other building performance-enhancing products and services to owners and operators of existing buildings, energy services companies, and public and private utilities. The Company s Real Estate Segment engages in the asset management of its portfolio of commercial real estate income-producing properties and undeveloped land.

NOTE 2. UNAUDITED STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the accompanying disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, which are necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2009. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of annual results.

The Company has made reclassifications related to certain income-producing properties that have been sold in accordance with the guidance now codified as Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360-35, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (ASC 360-35). In addition, the Company has made certain reclassifications in the prior year to conform with the current year presentation.

NOTE 3. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance now codified as FASB ASC topic 105-10, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (ASC 105-10 or the Codification), which became effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Other than resolving certain minor inconsistencies in current U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), the Codification does not change GAAP, but rather is intended to make it easier to find and research GAAP applicable to particular transactions or specific accounting issues. The Codification organizes previous accounting pronouncements into approximately 90 accounting topics and is now considered to be the single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP. The Company adopted ASC 105-10 in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Adoption had no impact on the determination or reporting of the Company s financial results. All references to specific authoritative guidance have been updated within this report to reflect the new Accounting Standards Codification structure.

Table of Contents

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance now codified as FASB ASC topic 855, *Subsequent Events* (ASC 855). ASC 855 modifies the names of the two types of subsequent events and, for public entities, modifies the definition of subsequent events to refer to events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. Also, ASC 855 requires that entities disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated and the basis for that date. ASC 855 was effective for all interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASC 855 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

In February 2010, the FASB issued new guidance codified as FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-09, *Subsequent Events* (ASU 2010-09). ASU 2010-09 updates FASB ASC 855. ASU 2010-09 removes the requirement to disclose the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. The Company adopted the previously issued guidance included in ASC 855 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The Company adopted ASU 2010-09 in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. The Company has determined that adoption did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of the Company s financial results.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance now codified within FASB ASC topic 825, *Financial Instruments* (ASC 825). Following this new guidance, ASC 825 requires disclosure about the fair value of financial instruments for publicly traded companies in interim reporting periods, as well as in annual reporting periods. The Company adopted the new provisions of ASC 825 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. See Note 11 for fair value disclosure of the Company s financial instruments.

In April 2008, the FASB issued guidance now codified as FASB ASC Subtopic 350-30, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other; General Intangibles Other than Goodwill* (ASC 350-30) and ASC topic 275, *Risks and Uncertainties* (ASC 275). This new guidance was designed to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under ASC 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, and other guidance under GAAP. The Company adopted ASC 350-30 and ASC 275 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The Company has determined that adoption did not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of the Company s financial results.

NOTE 4. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has three (3) outstanding types of equity-based incentive compensation instruments in effect with employees, non-employee directors and certain outside service providers: stock options, stock appreciation rights, and restricted stock. Most of these equity-based instruments have been granted under the terms of the Company s 2000 Stock Award Plan (the 2000 Award Plan). The total number of shares that can be granted under the 2000 Award Plan is 1,155,000 shares. The Company typically uses authorized, unissued shares to provide shares for these equity-based instruments.

For the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, total equity-based compensation expenses were $45,012 and $137,711, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $17,105 and $52,330, respectively. Comparatively, for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2009, total equity-based compensation expenses were $53,992 and $151,927, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $20,517 and $57,925, respectively. All of these expenses are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. At January 31, 2010, there were total unrecognized equity-based compensation expenses of $314,682 that are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.7 years.

6

Table of Contents

Stock Options
A summary of stock options activity for the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, is as follows:

	Options to Purchase Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at April 30, 2009	482,486	$ 4.46
Granted		
Forfeited		
Expired		
Exercised		
Outstanding at January 31, 2010	482,486	$ 4.46
Vested at January 31, 2010	471,986	$ 4.44
Non-vested at January 31, 2010, that are expected to vest	10,500	$ 5.24

Stock options typically vest over a period of two (2) years. The maximum contractual term of the stock options is ten (10) years. As of January 31, 2010, none of the outstanding stock options, vested or non-vested, were in the money.
A summary of information about all stock options outstanding as of January 31, 2010, is as follows:

Exercise Price	Number of Outstanding Options	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
$4.42	415,629	2.77
$4.59	55,440	5.15
$5.19	917	4.38
$5.24	10,500	3.37

The Company estimates the fair value of each stock option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The risk-free interest rate utilized in the Black-Scholes calculation is the interest rate of the U.S. Treasury Bill having the same maturity period as the expected life of the stock option awards. The expected life of the stock options granted is based on the estimated holding period of the awarded stock options. The expected volatility of the stock options granted is based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock over the preceding five-year period using the month-end closing stock price.
Compensation expenses related to the vesting of options for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, were $725 and $3,142, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $276 and $1,194, respectively. Comparatively, related compensation expenses for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2009, were $8,039 and $15,195, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $3,055 and $5,968, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs)

A summary of SARs activity for the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, is as follows:

	SARs	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at April 30, 2009	565,350	$ 4.37
Granted	250,000	3.65
Exercised		
Forfeited	(10,500)	4.09
Outstanding at January 31, 2010	804,850	$ 4.15
Vested at January 31, 2010	88,200	$ 3.88
Non-vested at January 31, 2010, that are expected to vest	468,618	$ 4.20

All SARs have a five-year vesting period. Typically, thirty percent (30%) of the SARs will vest on the third (3rd) year anniversary of the date of grant, thirty percent (30%) will vest on the fourth (4th) year anniversary of the date of grant, and forty percent (40%) will vest on the fifth (5th) year anniversary of the date of grant. All SARs have early vesting provisions by which one hundred percent (100%) of the SARs would vest immediately (1) on the date of a change in control of the Company; or (2) if the Company s stock price were to close at or above a certain price for ten (10) consecutive trading days. For SARs granted prior to the stock dividend that occurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the triggering price for early vesting is $19.05 per share. For SARs granted subsequent to the stock dividend that occurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the triggering price for early vesting for SARs issued under the 2000 Award Plan is $20.00 per share, and the triggering price for early vesting for SARs not issued under the 2000 Award Plan is $19.05 per share. The maximum contractual term of all SARs is ten (10) years. As of January 31, 2010, none of the outstanding SARs, vested or non-vested, were in the money.

A summary of information about all SARs outstanding as of January 31, 2010, is as follows:

Exercise Price	Outstanding SARs	Vested SARs	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)
$3.94	182,700	88,200	6.41
$3.79	111,300	0	6.85
$4.19	10,500	0	7.36
$6.19	38,850	0	7.66
$5.00	52,500	0	8.23
$4.76	136,500	0	8.37
$4.00	22,500	0	8.63
$2.30	30,000	0	9.36
$4.00	200,000	0	9.79
$2.12	20,000	0	9.85

The Company estimates the fair value of each award of SARs on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The risk-free interest rate utilized in the Black-Scholes calculation is the interest rate on the U.S. Treasury Bill having the same maturity as the expected life of the Company s SARs awards. The expected life of the SARs granted is based on the estimated holding period of the awards. The expected volatility of the SARs granted is based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock over the preceding five-year period using the month-end closing stock price.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the SARs granted in the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, was estimated on the respective grant dates using the following weighted average assumptions in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model:

Expected life (years)	5
Dividend yield	4.25%
Expected stock price volatility	55.59%
Risk-free interest rate	2.35%
Fair value of SARs granted	$ 0.33

Compensation expenses related to the vesting of SARs for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, were $42,402 and $127,785, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $16,113 and $48,558, respectively. Comparatively, related compensation expenses for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2009, were $40,537 and $123,778, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $15,405 and $47,035, respectively.

Shares of Restricted Stock

Periodically, the Company has awarded shares of restricted stock to employees, non-employee directors and certain outside service providers. The awards are recorded at fair market value on the date of grant and typically vest over a period of one (1) year. As of January 31, 2010, there were unrecognized compensation expenses totaling $5,263 related to grants of shares of restricted stock, which the Company expects to be recognized over the ensuing year. Compensation expenses related to the vesting of shares of restricted stock for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, were $1,886 and $6,784, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $716 and $2,578, respectively. Comparatively, the related compensation expenses for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2009, were $5,416 and $12,954, respectively, and the related income tax benefits were $2,058 and $4,922, respectively.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity for the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010:

	Number of Shares of Restricted Stock	Weighted Average Fair Value per Share on Grant Date
Non-vested restricted stock at April 30, 2009	5,295	$ 4.61
Granted	2,000	2.12
Forfeited	(200)	2.12
Vested	(4,245)	4.55
Non-vested restricted stock at January 31, 2010	2,850	$ 3.09

NOTE 5. RECEIVABLES

All net contract and trade receivables are expected to be collected within one (1) year.

NOTE 6. OPERATING SEGMENTS

The table below shows selected financial data on a segment basis before intersegment eliminations. In this presentation, management fee expenses charged by the Parent Company are not included in the segments results.

For the Third Quarter Ended January 31, 2010	BPE	Real Estate	Parent Company (1)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues from unaffiliated customers					
BPE Segment services and products:					
Energy savings projects	$2,533,469				$2,533,469
Lighting products	379,244				379,244
Energy management services	417,386				417,386
Productivity software	789,791				789,791
Total revenues from unaffiliated customers	$4,119,890	$717,899	$	$	$4,837,789
Intersegment revenue		136,041		(136,041)	
Total revenues from continuing operations	$4,119,890	$853,940	$	$(136,041)	$4,837,789
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	$ (353,718)	$204,522	$(851,719)	$ 9,947	$ (990,968)

For the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2010	BPE	Real Estate	Parent Company (1)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues from unaffiliated customers					
BPE Segment services and products:					
Energy savings projects	$ 6,594,695				$ 6,594,695
Lighting products	1,319,982				1,319,982
Energy management services	1,438,900				1,438,900
Productivity software	2,561,962				2,561,962
Total revenues from unaffiliated customers	$11,915,539	$2,080,802	$	$	$13,996,341
Intersegment revenue	141,545	408,126		(549,671)	
Total revenues from continuing operations	$12,057,084	$2,488,928	$	$(549,671)	$13,996,341
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	$ (1,096,677)	$ 468,894	$(2,588,099)	$ 491	$ (3,215,391)

For the Third Quarter Ended January 31, 2009	BPE	Real Estate	Parent Company (1)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues from unaffiliated customers					
Interest and other income					
BPE Segment services and products:					
Energy savings projects	$1,373,724				$1,373,724
Lighting products	461,245				461,245
Energy management services	520,761				520,761
Productivity software	983,191				983,191
Total revenues from unaffiliated customers	$3,338,921	$716,102	$	$	$4,055,023
Intersegment revenue		126,356		(126,356)	
Total revenues from continuing operations	$3,338,921	$842,458	$	$(126,356)	$4,055,023
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	$ (481,401)	$160,719	$(615,926)	$ (2,022)	$ (938,630)

For the Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009	BPE	Real Estate	Parent Company (1)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues from unaffiliated customers					
Interest and other income					
BPE Segment services and products:					
Energy savings projects	$ 3,545,614				$ 3,545,614
Lighting products	1,378,075				1,378,075
Energy management services	1,762,224				1,762,224
Productivity software	2,807,191				2,807,191
Total revenues from unaffiliated customers	$ 9,493,104	$2,099,033	$	$	$11,592,137
Intersegment revenue		409,388		(409,388)	
Total revenues from continuing operations	$ 9,493,104	$2,508,421	$	$(409,388)	$11,592,137
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	$(1,861,363)	$ 606,707	$(2,472,217)	$ (12,538)	$ (3,739,411)

(1) The Parent Company s net loss in each period was derived from corporate headquarters activities and consisted primarily of the following: Parent Company executive officers compensation expenses and costs related to the Company s status as a publicly-held company, which include, among other items, legal fees, NASDAQ fees, compliance costs, investor relations expenses, non-employee director s fees, and other reporting costs. The corporate headquarters activities do not earn revenue. All relevant costs related to the business operations of the Company s operating segments either are paid directly by the respective operating

segments or are allocated to the segments by the Parent Company. The allocation method is dependent on the nature of each expense item. Allocated expenses include, among other items, accounting services, information technology services, insurance costs, and audit and tax preparation fees.

NOTE 7. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed giving effect to dilutive stock equivalents resulting from outstanding stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. The potential dilutive effect on the number of common shares for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 was 0 shares and 474 shares, respectively. Because the Company had a loss from continuing operations for the quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, as well as for the quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2009, all stock equivalents were anti-dilutive during these periods, and therefore are excluded when determining the diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding.

NOTE 8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization for the Company s intangible assets as of January 31, 2010, and April 30, 2009, are as follows:

	January 31, 2010	
Intangible assets, subject to amortization:	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Proprietary BPE software solutions	$ 4,032,898	$ 2,691,738
Acquired computer software	662,488	481,316
Real estate lease costs	591,594	239,573
Customer relationships	404,632	280,212
Deferred loan costs	254,949	130,912
Non-compete agreements	63,323	52,769
Tradename	61,299	6,811
Other	44,882	41,300
	$ 6,116,065	$ 3,924,631
Intangible assets and goodwill, not subject to amortization:		
Trademark	$ 708,707	
Goodwill	$ 6,354,002	

	April 30, 2009	
Intangible assets, subject to amortization:	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Proprietary BPE software solutions	$ 3,689,695	$ 2,340,980
Acquired computer software	466,589	458,883
Real estate lease costs	565,597	190,189
Customer relationships	404,632	252,216
Deferred loan costs	254,949	114,163
Non-compete agreements	63,323	29,023
Tradename	61,299	3,746
Other	45,844	39,149
	$ 5,551,928	$ 3,428,349
Intangible assets and goodwill, not subject to amortization:		
Trademark	$ 708,707	

Goodwill	$ 6,354,002

Aggregate amortization expense for all amortizable intangible assets:

For the nine months ended January 31, 2010	$498,919
For the nine months ended January 31, 2009	571,339
For the quarter ended January 31, 2010	174,130
For the quarter ended January 31, 2009	194,709

Estimated future amortization expenses for all amortized intangible assets for the fiscal years ended:

Remainder of fiscal year 2010	$ 190,598
2011	636,905
2012	497,867
2013	341,746
2014	242,806
2015	113,921
Thereafter	167,591
	$ 2,191,434

Table of Contents

The Company completed the annual impairment analysis of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2010. The annual analysis resulted in a determination of no impairment. All of the Company s goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are assigned to the BPE Segment, which has also been determined to be the reporting unit.

The valuation methodologies used to calculate the fair value of the BPE Segment were the discounted cash flow method of the income approach and the guideline company method of the market approach.

With the income approach, the cash flows anticipated over several periods, plus a terminal value at the end of that time horizon, are discounted to their present value using an appropriate rate of return. Projected cash flows are discounted to present value using an estimated weighted average cost of capital, reflecting returns to both equity and debt investors. The Company believes that this is a relevant and beneficial method to use in determining fair value, because it explicitly considers the future cash flow generating potential of the reporting unit.

In the guideline method of the market approach, the value of a reporting unit is estimated by comparing the subject to similar businesses or guideline companies whose securities are actively traded in public markets. The comparison is generally based on data regarding each of the companies stock prices and earnings, which is expressed as a fraction known as a multiple. The premise of this method is that if the guideline public companies are sufficiently similar to each other, then their multiples should be similar. The multiples for the guideline companies are analyzed, adjusted for differences as compared to the subject company, and then applied to the applicable business characteristics of the subject company to arrive at an indication of the fair value. The Company believes that the inclusion of a market approach analysis in the fair value calculation is beneficial, because it provides an indication of value based on external, market-based measures.

In the application of the income approach, financial projections were developed for use in the discounted cash flow calculations. Significant assumptions included revenue growth rates; margin rates; selling, general and administrative (SG&A) costs; and working capital and capital expenditure requirements over a period of ten (10) years. Revenue growth rate and margin rate assumptions were developed using historical Company data, current backlog, specific customer commitments, status of outstanding customer proposals, and future economic and market conditions expected. Consideration was then given to the SG&A costs, working capital, and capital expenditures required to deliver the revenue and margin determined. The other significant assumption used with the income approach was the assumed rate at which to discount the cash flows. The rate was determined by utilizing the weighted average cost of capital method.

In the income approach model, three (3) separate financial projection scenarios were prepared using the above assumptions: the first used the expected revenue growth rates, the second used higher revenue growth rates, and the third used lower revenue growth rates. The discount rates used in the scenarios ranged from 19% for the lower growth scenario to 21% for the higher growth scenario. For the assessment of fair value of the BPE Segment based on the income approach, the results of the three (3) scenarios were weighted equally (33% for the expected case and 33% each for the other scenarios) to produce the applicable fair value indication using the income approach. The weightings reflect the Company s view of the relative likelihood of each scenario.

In the application of the market approach, the Company considered valuation multiples derived from five (5) public comparable companies that were identified as belonging to a group of industry peers. The applicable financial multiples of the comparable companies were adjusted for profitability and size and then applied to the BPE Segment. The comparable companies selected for the market approach were similar to the BPE Segment in terms of business description and markets served. As such, the Company believes a market participant is likely to consider the market approach in determining the fair value of the BPE Segment. In addition, the Company believes a market participant will consider the cash flow generating capacity of the BPE Segment using an income approach. Both the market and income approaches provide meaningful indications of the fair value of the BPE Segment. The outcomes of the income approach and market approach were weighted 70% and 30%, respectively, with the resulting fair value compared to the carrying value of the BPE Segment. This test of fair value indicated that no impairment existed at January 31, 2010.

NOTE 9. ACQUISITIONS

Fiscal 2010

There were no acquisitions in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010.

Fiscal 2009

On June 6, 2008, Atlantic Lighting & Supply Co., LLC (AL&S LLC), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of Atlantic Lighting & Supply Co., Inc. (the Seller), for a total consideration, including the assumption of certain operating liabilities, of approximately $1.5 million (excluding acquisition costs). The Seller was engaged in the business of distributing energy efficient lighting products to building owners and operators, and the Company is continuing to conduct this business. The acquisition was made pursuant to an asset purchase agreement dated June 6, 2008, between the Company, AL&S LLC, the Seller, and the shareholders of the Seller (the Agreement). The consideration consisted of 17,381 newly-issued shares of the Company s common stock, with a fair value of $91,250, the payment of approximately $618,000 in cash to the Seller, the payment of approximately $165,000 in cash to satisfy outstanding debt to two (2) lenders of the Seller, and the assumption of certain operating liabilities of the Seller that totaled approximately $584,000. The amounts and types of the consideration were determined through negotiations among the parties.

Pursuant to the Agreement, AL&S LLC acquired substantially all of the assets of the Seller, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory, personal property and equipment, proprietary information, intellectual property, and the Seller s right, title, and interest to assigned contracts. Only certain specified operating liabilities of the Seller were assumed, including executory obligations under assigned contracts and certain current balance sheet operating liabilities.

Table of Contents

During the quarter ended January 31, 2009, the Company finalized its allocation of the purchase price. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

	Assets and Liabilities Acquired from Seller	**Estimated Life**
Current assets	$ 322,514	
Property, furniture and equipment, net	58,699	Various (3-5)
Trade name	61,299	15 years
Non-compete agreements	63,323	2 years
Customer relationships	186,632	5 years
Goodwill	895,285	Indefinite
Total assets acquired	$ 1,587,752	
Current liabilities	(483,937)	
Long term liabilities	(100,000)	
Net assets acquired	$ 1,003,815	

The goodwill amount is not subject to amortization. The amounts assigned to all intangible assets are deductible for tax purposes over a period of fifteen (15) years. The goodwill amount has been assigned to the BPE Segment.
The following table summarizes what the results of operations of the Company would have been on a pro forma basis for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2009 if the acquisition had occurred prior to the beginning of the period. These results do not purport to represent what the results of operations for the Company actually would have been or to be indicative of the future results of operations of the Company (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

	Nine Months Ended January 31, 2009
Revenues	$ 11,790
Loss from continuing operations	$ (2,205)
Loss from discontinued operations	$ (41)
Net loss	$ (2,246)
Loss per share from continuing operations basic and diluted	$ (0.59)
Loss per share from discontinued operations basic and diluted	$ (0.01)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	$ (0.60)

15

Table of Contents

NOTE 10. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, the anchor tenant of the Real Estate Segment s owned office building in Newnan, Georgia, defaulted on its lease obligations, and subsequently vacated its leased space during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Accordingly, management did not anticipate that this tenant would make any additional lease payments. Given this event, management determined that the building s fair market value was less than its book value at that time, and therefore the Real Estate Segment recorded an impairment loss of approximately $2,007,000 in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. Additionally, given this event, the segment also recorded an impairment loss of approximately $151,000 in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 to write off the remaining net book value of the anchor tenant s capitalized lease cost, which was initially recorded as an intangible asset when the office building was acquired by the segment in fiscal 2007.

On January 29, 2010, the Real Estate Segment s interest in the property was transferred to the note holder. In this transaction, the Real Estate Segment transferred its approximately $2.0 million interest in the property and related assets to the note holder, which satisfied in full the Company s liability for the approximately $3.2 million remaining balance on the property s non-recourse mortgage loan. Correspondingly, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of approximately $1.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 as a result of the elimination of the balance of the indebtedness on the property.

As a result of this transaction, the Company s financial statements have been prepared with the results of operations and cash flows of this disposed property shown as discontinued operations. All historical statements have been restated in accordance with GAAP. Summarized financial information for discontinued operations for the third quarter and the nine (9) months ended January 31, 2010, and January 31, 2009, is as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		**Nine Months Ended January 31,**	
	2010	2009	**2010**	2009
REAL ESTATE SEGMENT				
Rental revenues	**$ 9,677**	$ 44,373	**$ 50,572**	$158,297
Rental property operating expenses, including depreciation	**60,864**	74,312	**183,859**	223,503
Operating loss from discontinued operations	**(51,187)**	(29,939)	**(133,287)**	(65,206)
Income tax benefit	**19,451**	11,377	**50,649**	24,778
Operating loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	**(31,736)**	(18,562)	**(82,638)**	(40,428)
Gain on disposition of income-producing properties	**1,188,639**		**1,188,639**	
Income tax expense	**(498,017)**		**(498,017)**	
Gain on disposition of income-producing properties, net of tax	**690,622**		**690,622**	
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	**$ 658,886**	$(18,562)	**$ 607,984**	$ (40,428)

16

Table of Contents

NOTE 11. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of the Company s cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to their short-term maturities.

As of January 31, 2010, the Company had the following assets and liabilities that represent financial instruments: a certificate of deposit (CD), mortgage notes payable, and other debt.

The CD, which had a balance of $450,000 as of January 31, 2010, is included within Other assets in the Company s condensed consolidated balance sheet. This CD secures a letter of credit, which is required by the terms of the mortgage on the Company s owned corporate headquarters building. Based on the rates currently available on certificates of deposit with similar terms, the CD s carrying amount approximates its fair value as of January 31, 2010. Based on the borrowing rates currently available for mortgage notes with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of mortgage notes payable was $14,279,733 as of January 31, 2010. Based on the borrowing rates currently available for bank loans with similar terms and average maturities, the fair value of other debt was $958,390 as of January 31, 2010.

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and other claims that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of business. While the resolution of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company believes that the final outcome of any such matters would not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements, including the notes to those statements, which are presented elsewhere in this report. The Company also recommends that this discussion and analysis be read in conjunction with management s discussion and analysis section and the consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2009.

The following discussion has been updated to reflect the reclassifications discussed in Note 2 Unaudited Statements to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The Company s fiscal year 2010 will end on April 30, 2010.

The Company currently expects that the BPE Segment will be generating positive EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) and net earnings within the next two (2) fiscal quarters, as revenues continue to grow. BPE backlog at January 31, 2010, of $8.6 million was 22% higher than the backlog at October 31, 2009, due to a strengthening in customer order activity. The BPE Segment entered the current fiscal year with a backlog of approximately $10 million, which was the primary factor that produced a year-over-year increase in BPE revenues during each of the first three (3) quarters of the current fiscal year, and an overall increase in BPE revenues of 26% for the first nine (9) months, compared to the same period last year. This growth included an 86% year-over-year increase in Energy Savings Projects revenues for the first nine (9) months of the current fiscal year. Nevertheless, BPE revenues outpaced new orders during the first six (6) months of the current fiscal year, leading to a decline in overall order backlog during that period.

Beginning in September 2009, however, new order activity began to strengthen, and new order bookings increased in each successive month thereafter. The BPE Segment was awarded approximately $5 million in new orders during the Company s third fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2010, including order bookings from customers in both the private sector and the government sector. As a result, BPE backlog as of January 31, 2010, was approximately $8.6 million, which represents the highest quarterly backlog of the current fiscal year. This stronger order activity continued during February 2010, the first month of the fourth fiscal quarter.

The Company believes that the recent increase in BPE order activity is a direct result of three (3) distinct factors: the success of the Company s new sales and marketing efforts, which were initiated in fiscal 2009; an overall improvement in the capital spending environment for many of BPE s customers; and the beginning of the long-anticipated infusion of U.S. government expenditures for energy efficiency upgrades of government facilities. The Company currently expects that these factors will continue to be favorable for the BPE Segment during the fourth fiscal quarter, and will continue in fiscal year 2011.

In addition, the Company has enjoyed initial success in marketing the BPE Segment s new product line, Fifth Fuel Management . As a result, the Company currently anticipates that new order activity will be generated from electric utilities by this new offering over the next several quarters. The BPE Segment has recently expanded its sales force to offer this new technology-enabled demand response and energy efficiency system to a network of utilities and independent system operators in the U.S., as well as to owners and operators of large commercial office buildings, retail stores, hotels, light industrial facilities and institutional buildings. During February 2010, the Company received its initial multi-year orders for

Table of Contents

this new offering, with Fifth Fuel Management order bookings totaling more than $400,000 for the month. The Company created Fifth Fuel Management by expanding its Web-based iTendant® platform to become a real-time, energy optimization and demand response system. The new system was successfully tested at several large luxury hotels during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 in a pilot program for a major U.S. electric utility, implementing the demand response participation by controlling the hotels peak time energy usage. Demand response is emerging as a critical tactic to help address the growing imbalance in the supply and demand of generated electric power in the United States. The Company designed Fifth Fuel Management to be a cost effective and reliable way for utilities to optimize their customers demand response participation and to enable owners and operators of large buildings to maximize the value of their investments in energy efficiency. The Company expects Fifth Fuel Management to provide additional opportunities for sales of the BPE Segment s existing services and products, and to allow the BPE Segment to leverage its established customer base of building owners and operators to help utilities gain better utilization of their existing energy generating facilities and infrastructures. The Company believes the BPE Segment is now much better positioned to participate in the growing utility market sector, and as a result, anticipates that it will begin generating additional recurring revenues over the next year through new multi-year contracts with utilities. However, the Company s ability to develop the new Fifth Fuel Management offering to its full potential will require additional capital. To support revenue growth over a longer time horizon, in addition to the inherent potential of the utility market sector, the Company anticipates continued strong BPE order growth from the government sector and from customers in the private sector. The Company s BPE Segment offers the government sector many of the same offerings provided to private sector customers, including energy savings projects and other energy efficiency-focused products and services, usually by acting as a subcontractor to large energy services company (ESCO) partners to provide services to end-user government facilities. Through this channel, the BPE Segment provides services to a wide range of government facilities, including U.S. military bases, federal and state prisons, and large public educational facilities, school districts, and a variety of other federal, state and municipal buildings and facilities. The Company believes that future growth in BPE s government business should be underpinned by two (2) recent U.S. Government actions: in December 2008, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) announced a program to fund $80 billion of energy savings performance contracts through sixteen (16) large ESCOs to improve the energy efficiency of government buildings; and in February 2009, President Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, which will provide an additional approximately $75 billion for the performance of energy efficiency projects in government buildings. The Company has existing business relationships with half of these sixteen (16) selected ESCOs and a long history of providing these exact types of services to the government sector. The Company believes that it is well positioned to perform a significant amount of these funded projects.

While the potential market demand for the BPE Segment s offerings appears to be quite promising, there can be no assurance that this will result in sustained revenue growth, particularly if recent macro-economic conditions were to continue, or worsen, for an extended period of time.

The Company s Real Estate Segment is in the business of creating long-term value and has periodically realized gains through the sale of its real estate assets. The Company has historically generated substantial liquidity from such periodic sales, and the proceeds from such sales often have then been redeployed to other segments of the Company. However, the current real estate portfolio consists of a limited number of properties, and given recent declines in commercial real estate markets and asset valuations in the United States, the Company may be unable to sell any of its real estate assets at acceptable prices, or at all, in the near future. Nevertheless, the Company is currently marketing for sale its owned shopping center in Jacksonville, Florida, and has engaged a third party real estate broker to

assist it in those efforts. Based on the range of prices contained in the initial non-binding offers that the Company has already received from prospective purchasers, the Company currently expects to sell the shopping center in the near future at an acceptable price, and generate a gain on sale and substantial liquidity as a result of such sale.

The loss from operations during the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 resulted in significant usage of the Company s cash, continuing the trend of substantial cash usage to fund operating losses in recent quarters, with the exception of the second quarter of fiscal 2010, when the Company generated approximately $27,000 in positive cash flow from operations. The Company believes that it has, or can obtain, sufficient capital resources to operate its business in the ordinary course until the BPE Segment begins to generate sufficient cash flow to fund the Company s operations. Although as noted above, the BPE Segment is currently expected to begin to generate positive EBITDA and net earnings from operations within the next two (2) fiscal quarters, a longer period of time will be required before the BPE Segment generates sufficient cash flow to fund the Company s operations.

Historically, operating performance has been indicative of the BPE Segment s cash flows before taking into account the timing of receivables and payables. Given the continuing substantial revenue growth and earnings that the Company currently expects the BPE Segment to achieve in the next few fiscal quarters, the timing of when the segment will begin to generate consistent positive cash flows from operations will be dependent on the timing of collections on customer receivables and payments to vendors and suppliers. In addition there can be no guarantee that the expected substantial revenue growth, positive EBITDA and net earnings from operations at the BPE Segment will actually occur, particularly if recent macro-economic conditions continue, or worsen, for an extended period of time. See Liquidity and capital resources for more information.

Results of operations of the third quarter and first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the third quarter and first nine (9) months of fiscal 2009.

In the following charts, changes in revenues, cost of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses, and loss from continuing operations before income taxes from period to period are analyzed on a segment basis, net of intersegment eliminations. For net earnings and similar profit information on a consolidated basis, refer to the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements. For net earnings presented by segment before intercompany eliminations, refer to Note 6 Operating Segments to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

REVENUES

From Continuing Operations

For the third quarter of fiscal 2010, consolidated revenues from continuing operations, net of inter-segment eliminations, were $4,837,789 compared to $4,055,023 for the third quarter of fiscal 2009, an increase of approximately 19%. For the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, consolidated revenues from continuing operations were $13,996,341, compared to $11,592,137 for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2009, an increase of approximately 21%.

Table of Contents

CHART A

REVENUES FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS SUMMARY BY SEGMENT
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Amount	Percentage	Nine Months Ended January 31,		Amount	Percentage
	2010	2009	Change	Change	2010	2009	Change	Change
BPE (1)	$4,120	$3,339	$781	23	$11,915	$ 9,493	$2,422	26
Real Estate	718	716	2	0	2,081	2,099	(18)	(1)
	$4,838	$4,055	$783	19	$13,996	$11,592	$2,404	21

NOTES TO CHART A

(1) The following table indicates the BPE Segment revenues by service and product type:

BPE SEGMENT REVENUES SUMMARY BY SERVICE & PRODUCT TYPE
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Amount	Percentage	Nine Months Ended January 31,		Amount	Percentage
	2010	2009	Change	Change	2010	2009	Change	Change
Energy Savings Projects	$2,534	$1,374	$1,160	84	$ 6,594	$3,546	$3,048	86
Lighting Products	379	461	(82)	(18)	1,320	1,378	(58)	(4)
Energy Management Services	417	521	(104)	(20)	1,439	1,762	(323)	(18)
Productivity Software	790	983	(193)	(20)	2,562	2,807	(245)	(9)
	$4,120	$3,339	$ 781	23	$11,915	$9,493	$2,422	26

BPE Segment revenues increased by approximately $781,000, or 23%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, due to:

(a) an increase in energy savings (lighting and mechanical) project revenues of approximately $1,160,000; partially offset by:

(b) a decrease in lighting product revenues of approximately $82,000 generated by the Company s energy efficient lighting distribution business;

(c) a decrease in energy management services revenues of approximately $104,000; and

(d) a decrease in productivity software revenues of approximately $193,000.

BPE Segment revenues increased by approximately $2,422,000, or 26%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, due to:

(a) an increase in energy savings (lighting and mechanical) project revenues of approximately $3,048,000;

partially offset by:

(b) a decrease in lighting product revenues of approximately $58,000 generated by the Company s energy efficient lighting distribution business;

Table of Contents

(c) a decrease in energy management services revenues of approximately $323,000; and

(d) a decrease in productivity software revenues of approximately $245,000.

The following table indicates the backlog of contracts and rental income, by segment.

	January 31,		Increase (Decrease)	
	2010	2009	Amount	Percentage
BPE (1)	$ 8,602,000	$11,313,000	$(2,711,000)	(24)
Real Estate	2,715,000	2,720,000	(5,000)	(0)
Less: Intersegment eliminations (2)	(591,000)	(543,000)	(48,000)	(9)
Total Backlog	$10,726,000	$13,490,000	$(2,764,000)	(20)

(1) BPE backlog at January 31, 2010, decreased by approximately $2,711,000, or 24%, compared to the year-earlier period, primarily due to:

(a) a decrease of approximately $1,662,000 in energy savings (lighting and mechanical) projects, due primarily to the near completion during the current fiscal year of an approximately $3.2 million project in the prior year s backlog, partially offset by new projects added in the current fiscal year;

(b) a decrease of approximately $437,000 in productivity software products and services; and

(c) a decrease of approximately $612,000 in energy management consulting services, primarily as a result of the successful completion of approximately $700,000 of multi-year consulting services projects.

Despite the decline in BPE backlog compared to the year-earlier period, BPE backlog at January 31, 2010, increased by approximately $1,575,000, or 22%, compared to the immediately prior quarter ended October 31, 2009.

The Company estimates that the BPE backlog at January 31, 2010, will be recognized prior to January 31, 2011.

BPE backlog includes some contracts that can be cancelled by customers with less than one (1) year s notice, and assumes that such cancellation provisions will not be invoked. The value of such contracts included in the prior year s backlog that were subsequently cancelled was approximately $126,000, or 1.1%

(2) Represents rental revenues at the Company s corporate headquarters building to be paid to the Real Estate Segment by the Parent Company and the BPE Segment.

Table of Contents

COST OF REVENUES
From Continuing Operations

As a percentage of total segment revenues from continuing operations (see Chart A), the total applicable costs of revenues (see Chart B) were 64% and 63% for the third quarters of fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 67% and 63% for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively. In reviewing Chart B, the reader should recognize that the volume of revenues generally will affect the amounts and percentages presented.

The figures in Chart B are net of intersegment eliminations.

CHART B
COST OF REVENUES
FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS SUMMARY BY SEGMENT
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Percentage of Segment Revenues for the Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,		Percentage of Segment Revenues for the Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
BPE (1)	$2,652	$2,098	64	63	$7,947	$6,019	67	63
Real Estate	459	445	64	62	1,389	1,334	67	64
	$3,111	$2,543	64	63	$9,336	$7,353	67	63

NOTES TO CHART B

(1) BPE Segment cost of revenues increased by approximately $554,000, or 26%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, and increased by approximately $1,928,000, or 32%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the same respective periods in fiscal 2009, primarily due to the corresponding increases in revenues (see Chart A).

On a percentage-of-revenues basis, BPE Segment cost of revenues increased by approximately 1% and 4% in the third quarter and first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2009, primarily due to a change in the mix of services and products.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES
From Continuing Operations

As a percentage of total segment revenues from continuing operations (see Chart A), the total applicable selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) (see Chart C), net of intersegment eliminations, were 51% and 61% in the third quarters of fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 51% and 65% for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively. In reviewing Chart C, the reader should recognize that the volume of revenues generally will affect the percentages presented. The percentages in Chart C are based upon expenses as they relate to the respective segment revenues from continuing operations (see Chart A), with the exception that Parent Company and total expenses relate to total consolidated revenues from continuing operations.

Table of Contents

The figures in Chart C are net of intersegment eliminations.

CHART C

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINSTRATIVE EXPENSES
FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BY SEGMENT
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Percentage of Segment Revenues for the Third Quarter Ended January 31,		Nine Months Ended January 31,		Percentage of Segment Revenues for the Nine Months Ended January 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
BPE (1)	$1,530	$1,418	37	42	$4,252	$4,440	36	47
Real Estate (2)	125	167	17	23	423	497	20	24
Parent Company (3)	799	885	17	22	2,469	2,630	18	23
	$2,454	$2,470	51	61	$7,144	$7,567	51	65

NOTES TO CHART C

(1) BPE Segment SG&A expenses increased by approximately $112,000, or 8%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to approximately $71,000 of one-time, outside consulting costs.

BPE Segment SG&A expenses decreased by approximately $188,000, or 4%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in general and administrative costs and product and project development expenses, offset by approximately $166,000 of one-time, outside consulting costs.

On a percentage-of-revenues basis, BPE Segment SG&A expenses decreased by 5% and 11% in the third quarter and first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2009, primarily due to the increase in revenues (see Chart A) without corresponding proportional increases in expenses.

(2) Real Estate Segment SG&A expenses decreased by approximately $42,000, or 25%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in personnel-related costs and legal fees.

Real Estate Segment SG&A expenses decreased by approximately $74,000, or 15%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to decreases in personnel-related costs, consulting fees and legal fees.

(3) Parent Company SG&A expenses decreased by approximately $86,000, or 10%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, and decreased by approximately $161,000, or 6%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in legal fees related to costs incurred in the prior year to settle an insurance claim.

On a percentage-of-revenues basis, Parent Company SG&A expenses decreased by 5% in both the third quarter and first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, compared to the same periods in fiscal 2009, due to the increase in revenues (see Chart A) and the decrease in expenses.

Table of Contents

LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES

Consolidated loss before income taxes from continuing operations was $990,968 in the third quarter of fiscal year 2010, compared to $938,630 in the same period of fiscal year 2009, an increase of $52,338, or 6%. For the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010, the consolidated loss before income taxes from continuing operations was $3,215,391, compared to $3,739,411 in the same period of fiscal year 2009, a reduction of $524,020, or 14%.

The figures in Chart D are net of intersegment eliminations.

CHART D

LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES
SUMMARY BY SEGMENT
(Dollars in Thousands)

	Third Quarter Ended January 31,		(Increase) Decrease	Nine Months Ended January 31,		(Increase) Decrease
	2010	2009	Amount	2010	2009	Amount
BPE (1)	$ (76)	$ (183)	$ 107	$ (275)	$ (989)	$ 714
Real Estate (2)	(114)	(148)	34	(474)	(421)	(53)
Parent Company (3)	(800)	(608)	(192)	(2,466)	(2,329)	(137)
Total	$ (990)	$ (939)	$ (51)	$ (3,215)	$ (3,739)	$ 524

NOTES TO CHART D

(1) BPE Segment loss before income taxes decreased by approximately $107,000, or 58%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to an increase in revenues of approximately $781,000 (see Chart A) and an increase in gross margin of approximately $227,000, partially offset by an increase in SG&A expenses of

approximately $112,000 (see Chart C).

BPE Segment loss before income taxes decreased by approximately $714,000, or 72%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to an increase in revenues of approximately $2,422,000 (see Chart A), an increase in gross margin of approximately $494,000, a decrease in SG&A expenses of approximately $188,000 (see Chart C), and an increase in interest and other income of approximately $31,000.

(2) Real Estate Segment loss before income taxes decreased by approximately $34,000, or 23%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009,

primarily due to a decrease in SG&A expenses of approximately $42,000 (see Chart C).

Real Estate Segment loss before income taxes increased by approximately $53,000, or 13%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to a decrease in gross margin of approximately $73,000 and a decrease in interest and other income of approximately $75,000, partially offset by a decrease in SG&A expenses of approximately $74,000 (see Chart C) and a decrease in interest expense of approximately $22,000.

Table of Contents

(3) Parent Company loss before income taxes increased by approximately $192,000, or 32%, in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to one-time other income of approximately $285,000 recognized in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 related to the settlement of an insurance claim, partially offset by a decrease in SG&A expenses of approximately $86,000 (see Chart C).

Parent Company loss before income taxes increased by approximately $137,000, or 6%, in the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, primarily due to one-time other income of approximately $285,000 recognized in

the third quarter of fiscal 2009 related to the settlement of an insurance claim, partially offset by a decrease in SG&A expenses of approximately $161,000 (see Chart C).

INCOME TAX BENEFIT

The Company s effective rate for income taxes, based upon estimated annual income tax rates, approximated 40.9% of the loss from continuing operations before income taxes for the first nine (9) months of fiscal 2010 and 41.1% for the comparable period in fiscal year 2009.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On January 29, 2010, the Real Estate Segment disposed of its interest in its owned office building in Newnan, Georgia. In this transaction, the Real Estate Segment transferred its approximately $2.0 million interest in the property and related assets to the note holder, which satisfied in full the Company s liability for the approximately $3.2 million remaining balance on the property s non-recourse mortgage loan. Correspondingly, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of approximately $1.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 as a result of the elimination of the balance of the indebtedness on the property.

The Company s federal and state tax liabilities on the disposition was approximately $0.4 million. These tax liabilities primarily resulted from the pre-tax gain of approximately $1.2 million on the disposition, partially offset by operating losses of the property during the current fiscal year. These tax liabilities were offset by the Company s net operating loss carry-forwards for tax purposes. In accordance with GAAP, the disposition is recorded in discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the period ended January 31, 2010.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Between October 31, 2009, and January 31, 2010, the Company s cash decreased by $604,221 to $2,299,200, and between April 30, 2009, and January 31, 2010, the Company s cash decreased by a total of $2,521,926, or 52%. The Company s working capital decreased by approximately $3,860,000, or 55%, between April 30, 2009, and January 31, 2010, which was largely the result of current year losses from continuing operations before depreciation, amortization and income taxes, as well as discretionary capital expenditures and other scheduled regular debt service payments.

Table of Contents

The following describes the changes in the Company s cash from April 30, 2009, to January 31, 2010:

Operating activities used cash of approximately $1,261,000, primarily as a result of:

(a) current year losses from continuing operations before depreciation, amortization and income taxes of approximately $2,193,000;

partially offset by:

(b) an increase in billings in excess of costs and earnings of approximately $898,000.

Investing activities used cash of approximately $668,000, primarily as a result of:

(a) approximately $432,000 used for additions to intangible assets, primarily related to enhancements to the BPE Segment s proprietary building productivity software solutions, and to purchased accounting software;

(b) approximately $136,000 used for additions to income-producing properties related to building improvements; and

(c) approximately $75,000 used for additions to property and equipment, primarily related to vehicle and computer hardware purchases.

Financing activities used cash of approximately $519,000 primarily for:

(a) scheduled principal payments on real estate mortgage notes of approximately $254,000;

(b) payment of the regular quarterly cash dividends to shareholders of approximately $149,000;

(c) scheduled principal payments on other debt of approximately $185,000; and

(d) repurchases of the Company s common stock of approximately $31,000;

partially offset by:

(e) short-term loan proceeds of approximately $100,000.

The Company s operating activities used approximately $267,000 of cash during the third quarter. The Company believes that it has, or can obtain, sufficient capital resources to operate its business in the ordinary course until the BPE Segment begins to generate sufficient cash flow to fund the Company s operations, although there can be no guarantee that this will be the case, particularly if recent macro-economic conditions continue for an extended period of time, or worsen.

Achieving sufficient positive cash flow from the operations of the BPE Segment to fund the Company s operations will depend on the occurrence of a number of assumed factors, including the timing and volume of additional revenues

generated by new material contracts, which historically have been difficult to predict, and the timing of collections of customer receivables and payments to vendors and suppliers. Consequently, there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve sufficient positive cash flow to fund the Company s operations through BPE Segment operations in the near term, or at all.

The Company has historically generated substantial liquidity from the periodic sales of real estate assets. As a result, the current real estate portfolio consists of a limited number of properties. The Company is currently marketing for sale its owned shopping center in Jacksonville, Florida, and has engaged a third party real estate broker to assist it in those efforts. Based on the range of prices contained in the initial non-binding offers that the Company has already received from prospective purchasers, the

Company currently expects to sell the shopping center in the near future at an acceptable price, and generate a gain on sale and substantial liquidity as a result of such sale. However, given the recent decline in commercial real estate markets and asset valuations in the United States, the Company may be unable to sell any of its real estate assets in the near future at acceptable prices, or at all.

The Company in recent years has not utilized bank lines of credit for operating purposes and does not currently have in place any such lines of credit. The Company does have the ability, however, to draw approximately $900,000 in loans against its interest in the cash surrender value of certain life insurance policies.

In the event that currently available cash, cash generated from operations, cash generated from real estate sales, and funds borrowed against the Company s interest in life insurance policies were not sufficient to meet future operating cash requirements, the Company would need to sell additional real estate or other assets at potentially otherwise unacceptable prices, seek external debt financing or refinancing of existing debt, seek to raise funds through the issuance of equity securities, or limit growth or curtail operations to levels consistent with the constraints imposed by the available cash and cash flow, or any combination of these options. In addition, the development of the BPE Segment s new Fifth Fuel Management offering to its full potential will require the investment of additional capital, which the Company may seek to raise through outside sources or the sale of assets. Moreover, depending on the form of such additional capital, the equity interests of the Company s existing shareholders could be diluted.

The Company s ability to secure debt or equity financing or to sell real estate or other assets, whether for normal working capital and capital expenditure purposes or to fully develop the Fifth Fuel Management offering, could be limited by economic and financial conditions at any time, but likely would be severely limited by credit, equity and real estate market conditions similar to those that have existed in recent years. Management cannot provide assurance that any reductions in planned expenditures or in operations would be sufficient to cover potential shortfalls in available cash, or that debt or equity financing or real estate or other asset sales would be available on terms acceptable to the Company, if at all, in which event the Company could deplete its capital resources before achieving sufficient cash flows to fund operations.

The Company has no material commitments for capital expenditures. However, the Company does expect that total capital spending in fiscal year 2010 will approximate $850,000, including BPE Segment expenditures of approximately $510,000 and Real Estate Segment expenditures of approximately $290,000. Of these forecasted amounts, approximately $390,000, or 76%, of the BPE Segment expenditures and approximately $150,000, or 52%, of the Real Estate Segment expenditures were already expended during the first nine (9) months of the fiscal year. Other significant uses of cash are anticipated to be regular scheduled principal repayments of the Real Estate Segment s mortgage loans and the regular cash requirements of corporate headquarters. The Company s uses of cash are not expected to change materially in the near future, with the exception of Real Estate Segment capital expenditures, which may increase if significant discretionary tenant improvements and lease commission payments are used for tenant leasing. This use of cash would be recovered during the terms of such new leases by the additional rental income generated as a result.

Table of Contents

The Company currently has three (3) mortgage notes on long-term real estate assets and two (2) other long-term debt obligations. None of the Real Estate Segment s mortgage notes contain any financial covenants, with the exception of a provision in one (1) of the owned shopping center mortgage loans that requires a Real Estate Segment subsidiary to maintain a net worth of at least $4 million; that subsidiary s net worth was approximately $16.4 million as of January 31, 2010. None of the Company s other long-term debt obligations have any financial or non-financial covenants.

The cash principal payment obligations during the next twelve (12) months related to the Company s long-term debt are expected to be approximately $511,000.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including without limitation, statements containing the words believes, anticipates, estimates, expects, plans, projects, forecast words of similar import, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements in this report include, without limitation: the Company s expected continuing strengthening of orders and achievement of net earnings from operations and positive EBITDA for its BPE Segment; trends in the BPE Segment s government business and private sector business; the Company s expectations of generating higher recurring revenues through long-term contracts as a result of the BPE Segment s new Fifth Fuel Management offering; the Company s current expectations regarding the possible sale of its Jacksonville, Florida property; and the expected timing of the recognition as revenue of current backlog. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other matters which may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance, or uncertainties expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors affecting forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the length and severity of the current economic recession and disruptions in the capital markets; the ability and timing of the BPE Segment achieving increased sales, positive cash flows, and profits; the health of the commercial real estate market; the Company s ability to attract, retain, and motivate key personnel; the Company s ability to secure additional capital; and the other factors identified under the caption Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2009, as updated from time to time in the Company s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, including in this Quarterly Report.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A critical accounting policy is one which is both important to the portrayal of the Company s financial position and results of operations, and requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect the amounts reported in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these financial statements, the Company has made its best estimates and used its best judgments regarding certain amounts included in the financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. The application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgment and the use of assumptions regarding future uncertainties, and as a result, actual results could differ from those estimates. Management believes that the Company s most critical accounting policies include:

Revenue Recognition

Revenues derived from implementation, training, support, and base service license fees from customers accessing the Company s proprietary building productivity software on an application service provider (ASP) basis are recognized when all of the following conditions are met: there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement; service has been provided to the customer; the collection of fees is probable; and the amount of fees to be paid by the customer is fixed and determinable. The Company s license arrangements do not include general rights of return. Revenues are recognized ratably over the contract period, which is typically no longer than twelve (12) months, beginning on the commencement date of each contract. Amounts that have been invoiced are recorded in accounts receivable and in revenue or deferred revenue, depending on the timing of when the revenue recognition criteria have been met. Additionally, the Company defers such direct costs and amortizes them over the same time period as the revenue is recognized.

Energy management services are accounted for separately and are recognized as the services are rendered. Revenues derived from sales of proprietary building productivity software solutions (other than ASP solutions) and hardware products are recognized when the software solutions and products are sold.

Energy savings project revenues are reported on the percentage-of-completion method, using costs incurred to date in relation to estimated total costs of the contracts to measure the stage of completion. Original contract prices are adjusted for change orders in the amounts that are reasonably estimated. The nature of the change orders usually involves a change in the scope of the project, for example, a change in the number or type of units being installed. The price of change orders is based on the specific materials, labor, and other project costs affected. Contract revenue and costs are adjusted to reflect change orders when they are approved by both the Company and its customer for both scope and price. For a change order that is unpriced; that is, the scope of the work to be performed is defined, but the adjustment to the contract price is to be negotiated later, the Company evaluates the particular circumstances of that specific instance in determining whether to adjust the contract revenue and/or costs related to the change order. For unpriced change orders, the Company will record revenue in excess of costs related to a change order on a contract only when the Company deems that the adjustment to the contract price is probable based on its historical experience with that customer. The cumulative effects of changes in estimated total contract costs and revenues (change orders) are recorded in the period in which the facts requiring such revisions become known, and are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method. At the time it is determined that a contract is expected to result in a loss, the entire estimated loss is recorded. Energy efficient lighting product revenues are recognized when the products are shipped.

The Company leases space in its income-producing properties to tenants and recognizes minimum base rentals as revenue on a straight-line basis over the lease terms. The lease term usually begins when the tenant takes possession of, or controls the physical use of, the leased asset. Generally, this occurs on the lease commencement date. In determining what constitutes a leased asset, the Company evaluates whether the Company or the tenant is the owner of the improvements. If the Company is the owner of the improvements, then the leased asset is the finished space. In such instances, revenue recognition begins when the tenant takes possession of the finished space, typically when the improvements are substantially complete. If the Company determines that the improvements belong to the tenant, then the leased asset is the unimproved space, and any improvement allowances funded by the Company pursuant to the terms of the lease are treated as lease incentives that reduce the revenue recognized over the term of the lease. In these circumstances, the Company begins revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the finished space, typically when the improvements are substantially complete. If the Company determines that the improvements belong to the tenant, then the leased asset is the unimproved space, and any improvement allowances funded by the Company pursuant to the terms of the lease are treated as lease incentives that reduce the revenue recognized over the term of the lease. In these circumstances, the Company begins revenue recognition when the

tenant takes possession of the unimproved space. The Company considers a number of different factors in order to determine who owns the improvements. These factors include: (1) whether the lease stipulates the terms and conditions of how an improvement allowance may be spent; (2) whether the tenant or the Company retains legal title to the improvements; (3) the uniqueness of the improvements; (4) the expected economic life of the improvements relative to the length of the lease; and (5) who constructs or directs the construction of the improvements. The determination of who owns the improvements is subject to significant judgment. In making the determination, the Company considers all of the above factors; however, no one factor is determinative in reaching a conclusion. Certain leases may also require tenants to pay additional rental amounts as partial reimbursements for their shares of property operating and common area expenses, real estate taxes, and insurance costs, which additional rental amounts are recognized only when earned. In addition, certain retail leases require tenants to pay incremental rental amounts, which are contingent upon their stores sales. These percentage rents are recognized only if and when earned and are not recognized on a straight-line basis.

Revenues from the sales of real estate assets are recognized when all of the following has occurred: (1) the property is transferred from the Company to the buyer; (2) the buyer s initial and continuing investment is adequate to demonstrate a commitment to pay for the property; and (3) the buyer has assumed all future ownership risks of the property. Costs of sales related to sales of real estate assets are based on the specific property sold. If a portion or unit of a property is sold, a proportionate share of the total cost of the property is charged to cost of sales.

Long-Lived Assets: Income-Producing Properties, Capitalized Software, and Property and Equipment

Income-producing properties are stated at historical cost or, if the Company determines that impairment has occurred, at fair market value, and are depreciated for financial reporting purposes using the straight-line method over the respective estimated useful lives of the assets. Significant additions that extend asset lives are capitalized and are depreciated over their respective estimated useful lives. Normal maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred. Interest and other carrying costs related to real estate assets under active development are capitalized. Other costs of development and construction of real estate assets are also capitalized. Capitalization of interest and other carrying costs is discontinued when a development project is substantially completed or if active development ceases. Property and equipment are recorded at historical cost and are depreciated for financial reporting purposes using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets.

The Company s most significant long-lived assets are income-producing properties held in its Real Estate Segment. The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Such review takes place on a quarterly basis. The types of events and circumstances that might indicate impairment in the Real Estate Segment include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A significant decrease in the market price of a long-lived asset;

- A significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used or in its physical condition;

- A significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;

- An accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of a long-lived asset;
- A current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset;
- A current expectation that, more likely than not, a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life;
- The Company has recently sold similar income-producing properties at losses;
- The Company has received purchase offers at prices below carrying value;
- Income-producing properties that have significant vacancy rates or significant rollover exposure from one or more tenants;
- A major tenant experiencing financial difficulties that may jeopardize the tenant s ability to meet its lease obligations;
- Depressed market conditions;
- Presence of a new competitive property constructed in the asset s market area; and
- Evidence of significant corrective measures required to cure structural problems, physical obsolescence, or deterioration of essential building components.

The Company has determined that the lowest level of identifiable cash flows for long-lived assets in its Real Estate Segment is at each of the individual income-producing properties. Each of these income producing properties operates independent of one another, and financial information for these properties is recorded on an individual property basis. When there are indicators of impairment, the recoverability of long-lived assets is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset against the future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. The Company estimates future undiscounted cash flows of the Real Estate Segment using assumptions regarding occupancy, counter-party creditworthiness, costs of leasing including tenant improvements and leasing commissions, rental rates and expenses of the property, as well as the expected holding period and cash to be received from disposition. The Company has considered all of these factors in its undiscounted cash flows.

The BPE Segment has long-lived assets that consist primarily of capitalized software costs, classified as intangible assets, net on the balance sheet, as well as a portion of the property and equipment on the balance sheet. Software development costs are accounted as required for software in a Web hosting arrangement. Software development costs that are incurred in a preliminary project stage are expensed as incurred. Costs that are incurred during the application development stage are capitalized and reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Capitalization ceases when the computer software development project, including testing of the computer software, is substantially complete and the software product is ready for its intended use. Capitalized costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated economic life of the product.

Events or circumstances which would trigger an impairment analysis of these long-lived assets include:

- A change in the estimated remaining useful life of the asset;
- A change in the manner in which the asset is used in the income generating business of the Company; or
- A current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses, or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset.

Long-lived assets in the BPE Segment are grouped together for purposes of impairment analysis, as assets and liabilities of the BPE Segment are not independent of one another. Annually at the end of the fiscal third quarter, unless events or circumstances occur in the interim as discussed above, the Company reviews its BPE Segment s long-lived assets for impairment. Future undiscounted cash flows of the segment, as measured in its goodwill impairment analysis, are used to determine whether impairment of long-lived assets exists in the BPE Segment.

Valuation of Goodwill and Other Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are reviewed for impairment annually at the end of the fiscal third quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying basis of an asset may not be recoverable. Although management believes goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are appropriately stated in the condensed consolidated financial statements, future changes in strategy or market conditions could significantly impact these judgments and result in an impairment charge.

The Company completed its annual testing of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment in the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2010. The annual analysis resulted in a determination of no impairment. All of the Company s goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are assigned to the BPE Segment, which has also been determined to be the reporting unit.

The valuation methodologies used to calculate the fair value of the BPE Segment were the discounted cash flow method of the income approach and the guideline company method of the market approach. The Company believes that these two methodologies are commonly used valuation methodologies. GAAP states that both methodologies are acceptable in determining the fair value of a reporting unit. In assessing the fair value of the BPE Segment, the Company believes a market participant would likely consider both the cash flow generating ability of the reporting unit, as well as, current market multiples of companies facing similar risks in the marketplace.

With the income approach, the cash flows anticipated over several periods, plus a terminal value at the end of that time horizon, are discounted to their present value using an appropriate rate of return. Projected cash flows are discounted to present value using an estimated weighted average cost of capital, reflecting returns to both equity and debt investors. The Company believes that this is a relevant and beneficial method to use in determining fair value, because it explicitly considers the future cash flow generating potential of the reporting unit.

In the guideline method of the market approach, the value of a reporting unit is estimated by comparing the subject to similar businesses or guideline companies whose securities are actively traded in public

Table of Contents

markets. The comparison is generally based on data regarding each of the companies stock prices and earnings, which is expressed as a fraction known as a multiple. The premise of this method is that if the guideline public companies are sufficiently similar to each other, then their multiples should be similar. The multiples for the guideline companies are analyzed, adjusted for differences as compared to the subject company, and then applied to the applicable business characteristics of the subject company to arrive at an indication of the fair value. The Company believes that the inclusion of a market approach analysis in the fair value calculation is beneficial, because it provides an indication of value based on external, market-based measures.

In the application of the income approach, financial projections were developed for use in the discounted cash flow calculations. Significant assumptions included revenue growth rates; margin rates; SG&A costs; and working capital and capital expenditure requirements over a period of ten (10) years. Revenue growth rate and margin rate assumptions were developed using historical Company data, current backlog, specific customer commitments, status of outstanding customer proposals, and future economic and market conditions expected. Consideration was then given to the SG&A costs, working capital, and capital expenditures required to deliver the revenue and margin determined. The other significant assumption used with the income approach was the assumed rate at which to discount the cash flows. The rate was determined by utilizing the weighted average cost of capital method.

In the income approach model, three (3) separate financial projection scenarios were prepared using the above assumptions: the first used the expected revenue growth rates, the second used higher revenue growth rates, and the third used lower revenue growth rates. The discount rates used in the scenarios ranged from 19% for the lower growth scenario to 21% in the higher growth scenario. In each of the three (3) discounted cash flow models, there was no indication of goodwill impairment. For the assessment of fair value of the BPE Segment based on the income approach, the results of the three (3) scenarios were weighted equally (33% for the expected case and 33% each for the other scenarios) to produce the applicable fair value indication using the income approach. The weightings reflect the Company s view of the relative likelihood of each scenario.

In the application of the market approach, the Company considered valuation multiples derived from five (5) public comparable companies that were identified as belonging to a group of industry peers. The applicable financial multiples of the comparable companies were adjusted for profitability and size and then applied to the BPE Segment. This result also indicated that no impairment existed.

The comparable companies selected for the market approach were similar to the BPE Segment in terms of business description and markets served. As such, the Company believes a market participant is likely to consider the market approach in determining the fair value of the BPE Segment. In addition, the Company believes a market participant will consider the cash flow generating capacity of the BPE Segment using an income approach. Both the market and income approaches provide meaningful indications of the fair value of the BPE Segment. The outcomes of the income approach and market approach were weighted 70% and 30%, respectively, with the resulting fair value compared to the carrying value of the BPE Segment. This test of fair value indicated that no impairment existed at January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and to tax loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be applied to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company periodically reviews its deferred tax assets (DTA) to assess whether it is more likely than not that a tax asset will not be realized. The realization of a DTA ultimately depends on the existence of sufficient taxable income. A valuation allowance is established against a DTA if there is not sufficient evidence that it will be realized. The Company weighs all available evidence in order to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that a DTA will be realized in a future period. The Company considers general economic conditions, market and industry conditions, as well as internal Company specific conditions, trends, management plans, and other data in making this determination. Evidence considered is weighted according to the degree that it can be objectively verified. Reversals of temporary differences are weighted with more significance than projections of future earnings of the Company.

Positive evidence considered includes, among others, the following: deferred tax liabilities in excess of DTA, future reversals of temporary differences, Company historical evidence of not having DTAs expire prior to utilization, long carryforward period remaining for net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, lack of cumulative taxable loss in recent years, taxable income projections that conclude that NOL carryforwards will be utilized prior to expiration, and evidence of appreciated real estate holdings planned to be sold prior to expiration of the NOL carryforward period. Negative evidence considered includes, among others, the fact that the current real estate market conditions and lack of readily available credit could make it difficult for the Company to trigger gains on sales of real estate.

The valuation allowance currently recorded against the DTA for state NOL carryforwards was recorded for certain separate return limitation years. These were years that the separate legal entities generated tax losses prior to the filing of a consolidated tax return. In order for these losses to be utilized in the future, the legal entity which generated the losses must generate the taxable income to offset it. The allowance was recorded as management determined that it was not more-likely-than-not that these losses would be utilized prior to expiration.

The Company will have to generate $7.6 million of taxable income in future years to realize the federal NOL carryforwards and an additional $23.3 million of taxable income in future years to realize the state NOL carryforwards. These amounts of taxable income would allow for the reversal of the $3.8 million DTA related to NOL carryforwards. There is a long carryforward period remaining for the NOL carryforwards. The oldest federal NOL carryforwards will expire in the April 30, 2024, tax-year, and the most recent federal NOL carryforwards will expire in the April 30, 2028, tax-year. The significant state NOL carryforwards will also expire between the April 30, 2024, and April 30, 2028, tax years. The Company has no material permanent book/tax differences.

The Company has no material uncertain tax position obligations. The Company s policy is to record interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense (benefit) in the consolidated statement of operations.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management has evaluated the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as defined by Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report. This evaluation was carried out with the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. No system of controls, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the system of controls are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that the system of controls has operated effectively in all cases. The Company s disclosure controls and procedures, however, are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of disclosure controls and procedures are met.

Based on management s evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of disclosure controls and procedures were met.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Company s earnings in a future period could be adversely affected by non-cash adjustments to goodwill and other intangible assets, if a future test of these assets indicates a material impairment of those assets.

As prescribed by GAAP, the Company undertakes an annual review of goodwill and other intangible assets balances. This test is performed during the third quarter of the year, unless there has been a triggering event that warrants an earlier interim testing for possible impairment. The Company s recently completed annual test indicated that no impairment existed as of January 31, 2010. However, future impairment tests could yield different results, depending upon such factors as the actual performance of the BPE Segment against the assumptions used in the Company s testing or changes in the BPE Segment s industry. Consequently, future tests may result in an impairment to goodwill or other intangible assets, in which event the Company would be required to record a non-cash charge to earnings in its financial statements during the period in which such impairment is determined to exist.

Table of Contents

Any such change could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations. The Company s goodwill and other intangible assets at January 31, 2010, were approximately $9.3 million, or approximately 24% of the Company s total assets, most of which was assigned to the BPE Segment.
Additionally, the reader should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2009, as updated in subsequent Quarterly Reports, which could materially affect the business, financial condition or future operating results of the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company or that the Company currently deems to be immaterial also could materially affect the Company s business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	SERVIDYNE, INC. (Registrant)
Date: March 17, 2010	/s/ Alan R. Abrams Alan R. Abrams Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 17, 2010	/s/ Rick A. Paternostro Rick A. Paternostro Chief Financial Officer