

EDISON MISSION ENERGY  
 Form 10-K  
 March 12, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K  
 ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

| Commission File Number | Exact name of registrants as specified in their charters, addresses of principal executive offices, telephone numbers and states of incorporation | I.R.S. Employer Identification No. |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 333-68630              | EDISON MISSION ENERGY<br>3 MacArthur Place, Suite 100<br>Santa Ana, California 92707 714-513-8000<br>State of Incorporation: Delaware             | 95-4031807                         |
| 333-59348              | MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC<br>235 Remington Boulevard, Suite A<br>Bolingbrook, Illinois 60440 630-771-7800<br>State of Incorporation: Delaware       | 33-0868558                         |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Registrant              | Title of each Class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Edison Mission Energy   | None                | not applicable                            |
| Midwest Generation, LLC | None                | not applicable                            |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

| Registrant              | Title of each Class                      | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Edison Mission Energy   | Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share | not applicable                            |
| Midwest Generation, LLC | None                                     | not applicable                            |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Edison Mission Energy YES  NO  Midwest Generation, LLC YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Edison Mission Energy YES  NO  Midwest Generation, LLC YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Edison Mission Energy YES  NO  Midwest Generation, LLC YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edison Mission Energy  Midwest Generation, LLC

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Edgar Filing: EDISON MISSION ENERGY - Form 10-K

Edison Mission Energy YES x NO o Midwest Generation, LLC YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

|                            |                              |                        |                            |                                |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Edison Mission Energy      | Large accelerated<br>filer o | Accelerated<br>filer o | Non-accelerated<br>filer x | Smaller reporting<br>company o |
| Midwest Generation,<br>LLC | Large accelerated<br>filer o | Accelerated<br>filer o | Non-accelerated<br>filer x | Smaller reporting<br>company o |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Edison Mission Energy YES o NO x Midwest Generation, LLC YES o NO x

Aggregate market value of the registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of Edison Mission Energy as of June 30, 2013: \$0. Number of shares outstanding of Edison Mission Energy's Common Stock as of March 12, 2014: 100 shares (all shares held by an affiliate of Edison Mission Energy).

This combined Form 10-K is filed separately by two registrants: Edison Mission Energy and Midwest Generation, LLC. Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant solely on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating exclusively to the other registrant.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of Amendment No. 1 to Edison Mission Energy's and Midwest Generation's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this annual report on Form 10-K, are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12 and 13 hereof.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <u>GLOSSARY</u>  | 1  |
| <u>EXPLANATORY NOTE</u>  | 4  |
| <u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>  | 6  |
| <u>PART I</u>  | 8  |
| <u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u>  | 8  |
| <u>Overview</u>  | 8  |
| <u>Chapter 11 Cases</u>  | 8  |
| <u>Location and Available Information</u>  | 9  |
| <u>Electric Power Industry</u>   | 10 |
| <u>Wholesale Markets</u>   | 10 |
| <u>Competition</u>   | 10 |
| <u>Operating Segments</u>  | 11 |
| <u>Overview of EME Facilities</u>  | 11 |
| <u>Seasonality</u>   | 13 |
| <u>Merchant Power Plants</u>   | 13 |
| <u>Midwest Generation Plants</u>   | 14 |
| <u>Natural Gas</u>   | 16 |
| <u>Contracted Power Plants—Domestic and International</u>                            | 16 |
| <u>Natural Gas</u>   | 16 |
| <u>Coal</u>  | 17 |
| <u>Renewable Energy</u>  | 17 |
| <u>Asset Management and Trading Activities</u>                                       | 19 |
| <u>Significant Customers</u>   | 19 |
| <u>Insurance</u>   | 19 |
| <u>Regulatory Matters</u>  | 19 |
| <u>Environmental Matters and Regulations</u>   | 20 |
| <u>Air Quality</u>   | 20 |
| <u>Water Quality</u>   | 23 |
| <u>Coal Combustion Wastes</u>  | 23 |
| <u>Climate Change</u>  | 23 |
| <u>Employees</u>   | 24 |
| <u>EME's and Midwest Generation's Relationship with Certain Affiliated Companies</u> | 25 |
| <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>   | 25 |
| <u>Risks Related to Restructuring</u>  | 25 |
| <u>Liquidity Risks</u>   | 26 |
| <u>Regulatory and Environmental Risks</u>  | 28 |
| <u>Market Risks</u>  | 29 |
| <u>Operating Risks</u>   | 30 |
| <u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>  | 30 |
| <u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u>  | 30 |
| <u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>   | 30 |
| <u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>   | 31 |
| <u>PART II</u>   | 32 |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | <u>32</u> |
| <u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>  | <u>33</u> |
| <u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>                        | <u>35</u> |
| <u>EDISON MISSION ENERGY OVERVIEW</u>   | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Highlights of Operating Results</u>  | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Management's Overview</u>  | <u>37</u> |
| <u>Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and Costs</u>  | <u>38</u> |
| <u>MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC</u>  | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Results of Operations</u>  | <u>39</u> |
| <u>Operating Loss</u>   | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Disclosures and Statistical Definitions</u>   | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Average Realized Energy Price</u>  | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Average Realized Fuel Costs</u>  | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Statistical Definitions</u>  | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Other Income (Expense)</u>   | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Income Taxes</u>   | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Related Party Transactions</u>   | <u>43</u> |
| <u>New Accounting Guidance</u>  | <u>43</u> |
| <u>EDISON MISSION ENERGY</u>  | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Results of Operations</u>  | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Midwest Generation Plants</u>  | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Renewable Energy Projects</u>  | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Interest Income (Expense)</u>  | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Income Taxes</u>   | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Results of Discontinued Operations</u>   | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Related Party Transactions</u>   | <u>46</u> |
| <u>New Accounting Guidance</u>  | <u>47</u> |
| <u>LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES</u>  | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Available Liquidity</u>  | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Capital Investment Plan</u>  | <u>48</u> |
| <u>Historical Consolidated Cash Flow (EME)</u>  | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</u>   | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</u>   | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</u>   | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Historical Consolidated Cash Flow (Midwest Generation)</u>   | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</u>   | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</u>   | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</u>   | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Margin, Collateral Deposits and Other Credit Support for Energy Contracts</u>  | <u>51</u> |
| <u>EME's Intercompany Tax-Allocation Agreement</u>  | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Midwest Generation's Equity Distributions and Tax Payments</u>   | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Dividend Restrictions in Major Financings</u>  | <u>52</u> |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Contingencies</u>                            | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Commercial Commitments</u>   | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Contingencies</u>  | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Off-Balance Sheet Transactions</u>   | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Introduction</u>   | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Sale Leaseback</u>   | <u>55</u> |
| <u>EME's Obligations to Midwest Generation</u>  | <u>56</u> |
| <u>MARKET RISK EXPOSURES</u>  | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Derivative Instruments</u>   | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Unrealized Gains and Losses</u>  | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Fair Value Disclosures</u>   | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Commodity Price Risk</u>   | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Energy Price Risk</u>  | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Capacity Price Risk</u>  | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Coal Price Risk</u>  | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Basis Risk</u>   | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Credit Risk</u>  | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Interest Rate Risk</u>   | <u>61</u> |
| <u>CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND POLICIES</u>   | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Accounting for Liabilities Subject to Compromise</u>   | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Impairment of Long-Lived Assets</u>  | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Derivatives</u>  | <u>64</u> |
| <u>Income Taxes</u>   | <u>65</u> |
| <u>Accounting for Contingencies, Guarantees and Indemnities</u>                                     | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>                          | <u>67</u> |
| <u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>  | <u>68</u> |
| <u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>   | <u>68</u> |
| <u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u>   | <u>69</u> |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <u>EME REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>   | 70  |
| <u>MIDWEST GENERATION REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>                              | 71  |
| Edison Mission Energy  |     |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS</u>   | 72  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS</u>   | 73  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>   | 74  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF TOTAL EQUITY</u>   | 75  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>   | 76  |
| Midwest Generation, LLC  |     |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS</u>   | 77  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS</u>   | 78  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>   | 79  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF MEMBER'S EQUITY</u>  | 80  |
| <u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>   | 81  |
| <u>COMBINED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>   | 82  |
| <u>Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>  | 82  |
| <u>Note 2. Property, Plant and Equipment</u>   | 91  |
| <u>Note 3. Variable Interest Entities (EME)</u>  | 92  |
| <u>Note 4. Fair Value Measurements</u>   | 95  |
| <u>Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements (EME)</u>  | 100 |
| <u>Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities</u>   | 103 |
| <u>Note 7. Income Taxes</u>  | 109 |
| <u>Note 8. Compensation and Benefit Plans</u>  | 115 |
| <u>Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies</u>   | 128 |
| <u>Note 10. Environmental Developments</u>   | 135 |
| <u>Note 11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</u>   | 136 |
| <u>Note 12. Supplemental Cash Flows Information</u>  | 138 |
| <u>Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges</u>  | 139 |
| <u>Note 14. Discontinued Operations (EME)</u>  | 140 |
| <u>Note 15. Related Party Transactions</u>   | 141 |
| <u>Note 16. Restructuring Activities</u>   | 143 |
| <u>Note 17. Condensed Combined Debtors' Financial Information (EME)</u>  | 146 |
| <u>Note 18. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)</u>   | 148 |
| <b>PART III</b>  | 150 |
| <u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>   | 150 |
| <u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>   | 150 |
| <u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | 150 |
| <u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>                      | 150 |
| <u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>   | 150 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <u>PART IV</u>  | <u>151</u> |
| <u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | <u>151</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>                                       | <u>157</u> |

v

---

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

vi

---



GLOSSARY

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below.

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2010 Tax Relief Act   | Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act of 2010             |
| Acquisition Agreement | a sale of certain assets of EME to NRG pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement              |
| Adjusted EBITDA       | adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization                     |
| Ambit                 | American Bituminous Power Partners, L.P. or its waste coal facility                         |
| AOCI                  | accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)   |
| ARO(s)                | asset retirement obligation(s)  |
| BACT                  | best available control technology   |
| Bankruptcy Code       | Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code   |
| Bankruptcy Court      | United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division      |
| bcf                   | billion cubic feet  |
| Big 4 Projects        | Kern River, Midway-Sunset, Sycamore and Watson natural gas power projects                   |
| Btu                   | British thermal units   |
| CAA                   | Clean Air Act   |
| CAIR                  | Clean Air Interstate Rule   |
| Certificate Holders   | certain of the holders of the pass-through certificates of Midwest Generation's lessor debt |
| Chapter 11 Cases      | Initial Debtors' chapter 11 cases and the Homer City Debtors chapter 11 cases, collectively |
| CO <sub>2</sub>       | carbon dioxide  |
| Commonwealth Edison   | Commonwealth Edison Company   |
| Confirmation Order    | The confirmation order issued by the Bankruptcy Court in March 2014                         |
| CPS                   | Combined Pollutant Standard   |
| CPUC                  | California Public Utilities Commission  |
| CSAPR                 | Cross-State Air Pollution Rule  |
| Debtor Entities       | collectively 19 debtors composed of the Initial Debtors and the Homer City Debtors          |
| EIX                   | Edison International  |
| EME                   | Edison Mission Energy   |
| EMMT                  | Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc.  |
| ERCOT                 | Electric Reliability Council of Texas   |
| FASB                  | Financial Accounting Standards Board  |
| FERC                  | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  |
| FPA                   | Federal Power Act   |
| GAAP                  | United States generally accepted accounting principles                                      |
| GECC                  | General Electric Capital Corporation  |
| GHG                   | greenhouse gas  |
| GWh                   | gigawatt-hours  |
| Homer City            | EME Homer City Generation L.P.  |



|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Homer City Debtors                 | three additional EME subsidiaries that filed during the second quarter 2013   |
| Initial Debtors                    | EME and 16 of its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Midwest Generation   |
| ISO(s)                             | independent system operator(s)  |
| LIBOR                              | London Interbank Offered Rate   |
| LSTC                               | liabilities subject to compromise   |
| MATS                               | Mercury and Air Toxics Standards  |
| Midwest Generation                 | Midwest Generation, LLC   |
| MISO                               | Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator  |
| MMBtu                              | million British thermal units   |
| Moody's                            | Moody's Investors Service, Inc.   |
| MW                                 | megawatts   |
| MWh                                | megawatt-hours  |
| NAAQS                              | National Ambient Air Quality Standard(s)  |
| NERC                               | North American Electric Reliability Corporation   |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                    | nitrogen oxide  |
| NRG                                | NRG Energy, Inc.  |
| NRG Sale                           | Sale of substantially all of EME's assets, including its equity interest in substantially all of its debtor and non-debtor subsidiaries, to a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy Inc., upon Bankruptcy Court confirmation and consummation of a plan of reorganization |
| NSR                                | New Source Review   |
| OCI                                | other comprehensive income (loss)   |
| PJM                                | PJM Interconnection, LLC  |
| Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount    | Payment of cure amount as set forth in Asset Purchase Agreement   |
| Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback | a sale leaseback transaction for the Powerton Station and Units 7 and 8 of the Joliet Station with third-party lessors in August 2000   |
| PRB                                | Powder River Basin  |
| PSA                                | Plan Sponsor Agreement  |
| PSD                                | Prevention of Significant Deterioration   |
| Purchaser                          | NRG Energy Holdings Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG  |
| Reorganization Trust               | A new entity to be formed which will make distributions pursuant to the Plan for the benefit of EME's existing creditors  |
| RPM                                | Reliability Pricing Model   |
| RTO(s)                             | regional transmission organization(s)   |
| S&P                                | Standard & Poor's Ratings Services  |
| SCE                                | Southern California Edison Company  |
| SIP(s)                             | state implementation plan(s)  |
| SO <sub>2</sub>                    | sulfur dioxide  |
| Settlement Transaction             | A proposed settlement transaction with Edison International as contemplated in the Support Agreement  |
| Support Agreement                  | Transaction Support Agreement dated as of December 16, 2012 by and among EME, Edison International, and   |



|                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| The Plan             | The Third Amended Plan of Reorganization filed by the   |
| US EPA               | Debtor Entities with the Bankruptcy Court   |
| US Treasury Grant(s) | United States Environmental Protection Agency   |
| VIE(s)               | Cash grants, under the American Recovery and<br>Reinvestment Act of 2009<br>variable interest entity(ies) |

3

---

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

This annual report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 of Edison Mission Energy (EME) and Midwest Generation, LLC (Midwest Generation).

EME, an indirect subsidiary of Edison International (EIX), is a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the business of owning, leasing, operating and selling energy and capacity from independent power production facilities. Midwest Generation, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of EME, operates and sells energy and capacity at four coal-fired generating stations and two oil-fired generating peakers in Illinois.

As of the date of this filing, EME and 19 of its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Midwest Generation, have filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the Bankruptcy Code) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division (the Bankruptcy Court).

Information on the cases, including each item filed on the docket, is available at

[www.edisonmissionrestructuring.com](http://www.edisonmissionrestructuring.com). The information set forth on this web site shall not be deemed to be a part of, or incorporated by reference into, EME's and Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K.

The consolidated financial statements of EME reflect the accounts of EME and its subsidiaries, including Midwest Generation, and are labeled debtor-in-possession to reflect EME's status. Midwest Generation's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Midwest Generation and its subsidiaries and are labeled debtor-in-possession to reflect Midwest Generation's status. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated for each reporting entity. The discussion in this annual report and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements generally applies to both EME and Midwest Generation unless otherwise specified as indicated parenthetically next to each corresponding disclosure.

This annual report also includes separate sections under Item 9A. Controls and Procedures and separate Exhibit 31 and Exhibit 32 certifications for EME and Midwest Generation.

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements reflect EME and Midwest Generation's current expectations and projections about future events based on EME's and Midwest Generation's knowledge of present facts and circumstances and assumptions about future events and include any statement that does not directly relate to a historical or current fact. Other information distributed by EME and Midwest Generation that is incorporated in this annual report, or that refers to or incorporates this annual report, may also contain forward-looking statements. In this annual report and elsewhere, the words "expects," "believes," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "intends," "plans," "probable," "may," "will," "could," "would," "should," and variations of such words and similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or plans, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements necessarily involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Some of the risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause results to differ from those currently expected, or that otherwise could impact EME or Midwest Generation or their respective subsidiaries, include but are not limited to:

- their ability to consummate the Plan with respect to the Chapter 11 Cases, and to consummate the NRG Sale;
- the existence and duration of the Chapter 11 Cases, and the impact of orders and decisions of the Bankruptcy Court;
- beliefs and assumptions regarding their ability to continue as going concerns;
- their ability to meet liquidity requirements during periods of operating losses and capital spending programs, and Midwest Generation's ability to fund cash flow deficits and environmental retrofits;
- their significant cash requirements and limited ability to borrow funds and access the capital markets on reasonable terms;
- EME's continued ability to monetize tax benefits generated by it and its subsidiaries, either through the Settlement Agreement or otherwise;
- the impact of reduced natural gas prices resulting from, among other things, shale gas technology on electric capacity and energy prices;
- supply and demand for electric capacity and energy, and the resulting prices and dispatch volumes;
- volatility of market prices for energy and capacity;
- the difficulty of predicting wholesale prices, transmission congestion, energy demand, and other aspects of the wholesale power generation market;
- environmental laws and regulations, or changes in the application of those laws and regulations, that could require additional expenditures or otherwise affect the cost and manner of business operations, including compliance with the CPS, the CAIR, the MATS rules and thermal discharge and other water quality standards;
- the cost and availability of fuel, sorbents, and other commodities used for power generation and emission controls, and of related transportation services;
- the cost and availability of emission credits or allowances;
- transmission congestion in and to each market area and the resulting differences in prices between delivery points;
- the availability and creditworthiness of counterparties, their ability to pay amounts owed in excess of collateral provided in support of obligations, and the resulting effects on liquidity in power and fuel markets;
- governmental, statutory, regulatory or administrative changes or initiatives, including the market structure rules applicable to each market and price mitigation strategies adopted by ISOs and RTOs;
- market volatility and other market conditions that could increase their obligations to post collateral beyond the amounts currently expected, and the potential effect of such conditions on the ability of EME, Midwest Generation and their respective subsidiaries to provide sufficient collateral in support of their hedging activities and purchases of fuel;
- completion of permitting and construction of their capital projects;
- weather conditions, natural disasters and other unforeseen events;
- the extent of additional supplies of capacity, energy and ancillary services from current competitors or new market entrants, including the development of new generation facilities, and technologies that may be able to produce electricity





at a lower cost than their generating facilities and/or increased access by competitors to their markets as a result of transmission upgrades;

• competition in all aspects of their business;

• operating risks, including equipment failure, availability, heat rate, output, costs of repairs and retrofits, and availability and cost of spare parts;

• creditworthiness of suppliers and other project participants and their ability to deliver goods and services under their contract obligations or to pay damages if they fail to fulfill those obligations;

• effects of legal proceedings, changes in or interpretations of tax laws, rates or policies, and changes in accounting standards;

• general political, economic and business conditions; and

• their ability to attract and retain skilled people, particularly during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases.

Certain of the risk factors listed above are discussed in more detail in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and in "Item 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures."

Additional information about the risk factors listed above and other risks and uncertainties is contained throughout this annual report. Readers are urged to read this entire annual report, including the information incorporated by reference, and carefully consider the risks, uncertainties and other factors that affect EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and EME and Midwest Generation are not obligated to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements. Readers should review future reports filed by EME and Midwest Generation with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Overview

EME is a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the business of developing, acquiring, owning or leasing, operating and selling energy and capacity from independent power production facilities. EME also sells energy and capacity under contracts to specific purchasers or on a merchant basis in the marketplace and into wholesale markets. It also engages in hedging and energy trading activities in power markets, and provides scheduling and other services through its Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc. (EMMT) subsidiary.

EME's subsidiaries or affiliates typically have been formed to own full or partial interests in one or more power generation facilities and ancillary facilities, with each plant or group of related plants being individually referred to by EME as a project. EME's operating projects primarily consist of coal- and gas-fired generating facilities and wind energy facilities. As of December 31, 2013, EME's subsidiaries and affiliates owned or leased interests in 42 operating projects with an aggregate net physical capacity of 9,381 megawatts (MW) of which EME's pro rata share was 7,944 MW.

Midwest Generation, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of EME, was formed in 1999 for the purpose of owning or leasing, making improvements to, and operating and selling the energy and capacity of the power generation assets it purchased from Commonwealth Edison Company (Commonwealth Edison). EME's coal-fired facilities are primarily owned or leased and operated by Midwest Generation. As of December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation operated 4,619 megawatts (MW) of power plants in Illinois (the Midwest Generation plants):

- the Powerton, Joliet, Will County, and Waukegan coal-fired generating plants consisting of 4,314 MW; and
- the Fisk and Waukegan on-site, oil-fired generating peakers consisting of 305 MW.

Midwest Generation leases the Powerton Station and Units 7 and 8 of the Joliet Station from third-party lessors pursuant to a sale-leaseback transaction completed in August 2000 (the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback). Midwest Generation's obligations under these leases are guaranteed by EME. In connection with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, Midwest Generation facilitated the issuance of lessor debt of \$1.147 billion in the form of pass-through certificates (the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds).

Midwest Generation is a party to a contract with EMMT under which, EMMT acting as an agent for Midwest Generation, sells energy and capacity from the Midwest Generation plants into the wholesale market, engages in hedging activities, and provides scheduling and other services. EMMT has the ability to enter into fuel hedging arrangements on Midwest Generation's behalf.

EME was formed in 1986 and is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. EME is an indirect subsidiary of EIX. Midwest Generation, a Delaware limited liability company, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Edison Mission Midwest Holdings Co. Edison Mission Midwest Holdings is a wholly owned subsidiary of Midwest Generation EME, LLC, which is in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of EME.

#### Chapter 11 Cases

On December 17, 2012, EME and 16 of its wholly owned subsidiaries, Camino Energy Company, Chestnut Ridge Energy Company, Edison Mission Energy Fuel Services, LLC, Edison Mission Fuel Resources, Inc., Edison Mission Fuel Transportation, Inc., Edison Mission Holdings Co., Edison Mission Midwest Holdings Co., Midwest Finance Corp., Midwest Generation EME, LLC, Midwest Generation, Midwest Generation Procurement Services, LLC, Midwest Peaker Holdings, Inc., Mission Energy Westside, Inc., San Joaquin Energy Company, Southern Sierra Energy Company, and Western Sierra Energy Company (the Initial Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On May 2, 2013, 3 additional EME subsidiaries, EME Homer City Generation L.P. (Homer City), Homer City Property Holdings Inc., and Edison Mission Finance Company (collectively, the Homer City Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Initial Debtors and the Homer City Debtors' chapter 11 cases (collectively, the Chapter 11 Cases) are being jointly administered under case No. 12-49219 (JPC). The Initial Debtors and the Homer City Debtors are collectively referred to as the

Debtor Entities. The Debtor Entities filed the Chapter 11 Cases due to a combination of pending debt maturities, low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation, and capital requirements associated with retrofitting the Midwest Generation plants to comply with governmental regulations.

The Debtor Entities remain in possession of their property and continue their business operations uninterrupted as "debtors-in-possession" under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the

8

---

Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. Other than the Debtor Entities, none of EME's other direct or indirect subsidiaries is a debtor in the Chapter 11 Cases. The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases automatically stayed most actions against the Debtor Entities, including actions to enforce the payment of EME's \$3.7 billion of unsecured senior notes and Midwest Generation's obligations related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback.

In October 2013, EME entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the Acquisition Agreement) and the Debtor Entities entered into a Plan Sponsor Agreement that, upon completion, would implement a reorganization of the Debtor Entities through a sale of substantially all of EME's assets, including its equity interests in substantially all of its debtor and non-debtor subsidiaries, to a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy Inc. (the Purchaser). The sale transaction (the NRG Sale) is a key component of EME's plan of reorganization.

In February 2014, EME entered into a Settlement Agreement with EIX and certain of its unsecured creditors holding a majority of its outstanding senior unsecured notes (the Settlement Agreement). Under the Settlement Agreement, EME filed a Third Amended Plan of Reorganization (the Plan) under which, on the effective date of the Plan (the Effective Date), EME will emerge from bankruptcy free of liabilities but will remain an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of EIX. A new entity (the Reorganization Trust) will be formed and will make distributions pursuant to the Plan for the benefit of EME's existing creditors. All assets and liabilities of EME that are not otherwise discharged in the bankruptcy or transferred to NRG as part of the NRG Sale will be transferred to the Reorganization Trust, with the exception of (i) EME's income tax benefits generated as of the Effective Date which had not previously been paid to EME under tax-allocation agreements with EIX (EME Tax Attributes), estimated at \$1.19 billion, which will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group, (ii) liabilities totaling \$241 million associated with the qualified pension plan, the executive retirement plan, the executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions, which are being assumed by EIX and (iii) EME's indirect interest in Capistrano Wind Partners. EIX has disclosed that they have estimated their exposure to the qualified pension plan, executive retirement plan, executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions to be approximately \$350 million. EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes as follows: \$225 million payable on the Effective Date in cash, with one half of the balance payable on each of September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2016, together with interest at 5% per annum from the Effective Date. EME and the Reorganization Trust will release EIX and its subsidiaries, officers, directors, and representatives from all claims, except for those deriving from commercial arrangements between Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and certain of EME's subsidiaries and obligations under the Settlement Agreement.

The Bankruptcy Court issued a Confirmation Order in March 2014, which confirmed the Plan. The completion of the NRG Sale is expected in April 2014.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that EME and Midwest Generation will continue as going concerns. Financial statements prepared on this basis assume the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the 12-month period following the date of the financial statements. EME's and Midwest Generation's ability to continue as going concerns is dependent on the successful completion of the NRG Sale and an emergence from bankruptcy. However, there is no assurance that these events will occur within their expected time frames or at all.

For further discussion of these matters, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Edison Mission Energy Overview—Management's Overview."

#### Location and Available Information

EME's headquarters and principal executive offices are located at 3 MacArthur Place, Suite 100, Santa Ana, California 92707, and its telephone number is (714) 513-8000. Midwest Generation's principal executive offices are located at 235 Remington Boulevard, Suite A, Bolingbrook, Illinois 60440, and its telephone number is (630) 771-7800.

EME's and Midwest Generation's Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, are electronically filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are available on the Securities and Exchange Commission's internet web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Unless indicated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to EME in this annual report include EME and its consolidated subsidiaries and the partnerships or limited liability entities through which EME and its partners own and manage their project investments. In addition, references to Midwest Generation in this annual report include Midwest Generation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Information on the Chapter 11 Cases, including each item filed on the docket, is available at [www.edisonmissionrestructuring.com](http://www.edisonmissionrestructuring.com). The information set forth on this web site shall not be deemed to be a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### Electric Power Industry

EME and Midwest Generation are both impacted by changes in the United States electric industry. The electric power industry, including companies engaged in providing generation, transmission, distribution and retail sales and service of electric power, has undergone significant deregulation over the last three decades, which has led to increased competition, especially in the generation sector. For further discussion of these regulations, see "Regulatory Matters." In areas served by independent system operators (ISOs) and regional transmission organizations (RTOs), market participants have open access to transmission service typically at a system-wide rate. ISOs and RTOs may also operate real-time and day-ahead energy and ancillary service markets, which are governed by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approved tariffs and market rules. The development of such organized markets into which independent power producers are able to sell has reduced their dependence on bilateral contracts with electric utilities. In addition, capacity markets in various regional wholesale power markets compensate supply resources for the capability to supply electricity when needed, and demand resources for the electricity they avoid using.

#### Wholesale Markets

EME's largest power plants are the Midwest Generation plants. Power generated at the Midwest Generation plants is primarily sold into PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM), an RTO which includes all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. Sales may also be made from PJM into the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator (MISO) RTO, which includes all or parts of Illinois, Wisconsin, Indiana, Michigan and other states in the region.

PJM operates a wholesale spot energy market and determines the market-clearing price for each hour based on bids submitted by participating generators indicating the minimum prices at which a bidder is willing to dispatch energy at various incremental generation levels. PJM requires all load-serving entities and generators, such as the Midwest Generation plants, to maintain prescribed levels of capacity, including a reserve margin, to ensure system reliability. PJM's capacity markets have a single market-clearing price for each capacity zone. In May of each year, PJM conducts a capacity auction (RPM - Reliability Pricing Model) to commit generation, energy efficiency and demand side resources three years forward, and to provide a long-term pricing signal for the construction of capacity resources.

#### Competition

Developments in shale gas technology have dramatically reduced natural gas prices which in turn has created downward pressure on power prices. As a result, the Midwest Generation plants face increased competition from power generation facilities fueled by natural gas.

State and local environmental regulations, particularly those that impose stringent state specific emission limits, could put the Midwest Generation plants at a disadvantage compared with competing power plants operating in nearby states and subject to less stringent state emission limits or to federal emission limits alone. The Combined Pollutant Standard (CPS) puts the Midwest Generation plants at a disadvantage compared with competing plants not subject to similar regulations, and federal air quality regulations, such as the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) rule, put the Midwest Generation plants at a disadvantage compared to plants utilizing other fuels. Potential future climate change regulations could also put the Midwest Generation plants at a disadvantage compared to power plants utilizing other fuels as well as utilities that may be able to recover climate change compliance costs through rate-base mechanisms. The ability of the Midwest Generation plants to compete can also be affected by future environmental regulations and by governmental and regulatory activities designed to support the construction and operation of power generation facilities fueled by renewable energy sources.

EME and Midwest Generation are subject to competition from energy marketers, public utilities, government-owned power agencies, industrial companies, financial institutions, and other independent power producers. These companies may have competitive advantages as a result of scale, the location of their generation facilities or other factors. Some of EME's and Midwest Generation's competitors have a lower cost of capital and, in the case of utilities, may be able to recover fixed costs through rate base mechanisms, allowing them to build, buy and upgrade generation facilities without relying exclusively on market clearing prices to recover their investments.



## Operating Segments

## EME

EME operates in one line of business, independent power production, with all its continuing operations located in the United States, except Doga Enerji, which is located in the Republic of Turkey. Operating revenues are primarily derived from the generation and sale of energy and capacity from coal-fired, natural gas-fired and wind power plants and energy trading.

## Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation operates in one line of business, independent power production, with all its operations located in the United States. Operating revenues are primarily derived from sales of energy and capacity generated from coal-fired generating plants and oil-fired generating peakers.

## Overview of EME Facilities

As of December 31, 2013, EME's operations consisted of ownership or leasehold interests in the following operating projects:

| Power Plants                                 | Location     | Primary Electric Purchaser <sup>2</sup> | Fuel Type   | Ownership Interest |                | Net Physical Capacity (in MW) | EME's Capacity Pro Rata Share (in MW) |
|--|--------------|---|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>MERCHANT POWER PLANTS</b>                 |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Midwest Generation plants <sup>1, 7, 8</sup> | Illinois     | PJM                                     | coal        | 100                | %              | 4,314                         | 4,314                                 |
| Midwest Generation plants <sup>1, 7</sup>    | Illinois     | PJM                                     | oil         | 100                | %              | 305                           | 305                                   |
| <b>Merchant Natural Gas</b>                  |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Sunrise <sup>1, 7</sup>                      | California   | CAISO                                   | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 586                           | 293                                   |
| <b>Merchant Wind</b>                         |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Goat Wind                                    | Texas        | ERCOT                                   | wind        | 99.9               | % <sup>3</sup> | 150                           | 150                                   |
| Lookout                                      | Pennsylvania | PJM                                     | wind        | 100                | %              | 38                            | 38                                    |
| Big Sky <sup>7</sup>                         | Illinois     | PJM                                     | wind        | 100                | %              | 240                           | 240                                   |
| <b>CONTRACTED POWER PLANTS – Domestic</b>    |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| <b>Natural Gas</b>                           |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| <b>Big 4 Projects</b>                        |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Kern River <sup>1</sup>                      | California   | SCE                                     | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 305                           | 153                                   |
| Midway-Sunset <sup>1</sup>                   | California   | PG&E                                    | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 225                           | 113                                   |
| Sycamore <sup>1, 7</sup>                     | California   | SCE                                     | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 318                           | 159                                   |
| Watson <sup>4, 7</sup>                       | California   | SCE                                     | natural gas | 49                 | %              | 416                           | 204                                   |
| <b>Westside Projects<sup>1</sup></b>         |              |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Coalinga                                     | California   | PG&E                                    | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 38                            | 19                                    |
| Mid-Set                                      | California   | PG&E                                    | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 38                            | 19                                    |
| Salinas River                                | California   | PG&E                                    | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 38                            | 19                                    |
| Sargent Canyon                               | California   | PG&E                                    | natural gas | 50                 | %              | 38                            | 19                                    |

| Power Plants   | Location           | Primary Electric Purchaser <sup>2</sup> | Fuel Type   | Ownership Interest |                | Net Physical Capacity (in MW) | EME's Capacity Pro Rata Share (in MW) |
|--|--------------------|---|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Walnut Creek <sup>7</sup><br>Renewable Energy<br>Capistrano Wind Partners <sup>5</sup> | California         | SCE                                     | natural gas | 100                | %              | 479                           | 479                                   |
| Broken Bow I   | Nebraska           | NPPD                                    | wind        | 16                 | %              | 80                            | 13                                    |
| Cedro Hill   | Texas              | CSA                                     | wind        | 31                 | %              | 150                           | 46                                    |
| Crofton Bluffs   | Nebraska           | NPPD                                    | wind        | 20                 | %              | 40                            | 8                                     |
| Mountain Wind I  | Wyoming            | PC                                      | wind        | 31                 | %              | 61                            | 19                                    |
| Mountain Wind II   | Wyoming            | PC                                      | wind        | 31                 | %              | 80                            | 25                                    |
| Tapestry Wind  |                    |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Buffalo Bear   | Oklahoma           | WFEC                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 19                            | 19                                    |
| Pinnacle   | West Virginia      | MDGS/USM                                | wind        | 100                | %              | 55                            | 55                                    |
| Taloga   | Oklahoma           | OGEC                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 130                           | 130                                   |
| Viento Funding II  |                    |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Elkhorn Ridge <sup>7</sup>   | Nebraska           | NPPD                                    | wind        | 67                 | % <sup>5</sup> | 80                            | 53                                    |
| San Juan Mesa <sup>7</sup>   | New Mexico         | SPS                                     | wind        | 75                 | % <sup>5</sup> | 120                           | 90                                    |
| Wildorado  | Texas              | SPS                                     | wind        | 99.9               | % <sup>3</sup> | 161                           | 161                                   |
| Community Wind North   | Minnesota          | NSPC                                    | wind        | 99                 | % <sup>3</sup> | 30                            | 30                                    |
| Crosswinds   | Iowa               | CBPC                                    | wind        | 99                 | % <sup>3</sup> | 21                            | 21                                    |
| Forward  | Pennsylvania       | CECG                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 29                            | 29                                    |
| Hardin   | Iowa               | IPLC                                    | wind        | 99                 | % <sup>3</sup> | 15                            | 15                                    |
| High Lonesome  | New Mexico         | APSC                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 100                           | 100                                   |
| Jeffers  | Minnesota          | NSPC                                    | wind        | 99.9               | % <sup>3</sup> | 50                            | 50                                    |
| Laredo Ridge   | Nebraska           | NPPD                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 80                            | 80                                    |
| Minnesota Wind projects <sup>6</sup>   | Minnesota          | NSPC/IPLC                               | wind        | 99                 | % <sup>3</sup> | 50                            | 50                                    |
| Odin   | Minnesota          | MRES                                    | wind        | 99.9               | % <sup>3</sup> | 20                            | 20                                    |
| Sleeping Bear  | Oklahoma           | PSCO                                    | wind        | 100                | %              | 95                            | 95                                    |
| Spanish Fork   | Utah               | PC                                      | wind        | 100                | %              | 19                            | 19                                    |
| Storm Lake <sup>1</sup>  | Iowa               | MEC                                     | wind        | 100                | %              | 108                           | 108                                   |
| Coal   |                    |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| American Bituminous  | West Virginia      | MPC                                     | waste coal  | 50                 | %              | 80                            | 40                                    |
| CONTRACTED POWER PLANTS – International  |                    |   |             |                    |                |                               |                                       |
| Doga <sup>1</sup>  | Republic of Turkey | TEDAS                                   | natural gas | 80                 | %              | 180                           | 144                                   |
| Total  |                    |   |             |                    |                | 9,381                         | 7,944                                 |

<sup>1</sup> Plant is operated under contract by an EME operations and maintenance subsidiary or the plant is operated or managed directly by an EME subsidiary.

<sup>2</sup> Electric purchaser abbreviations are as follows:

|       |  |       |   |
|-------|--|-------|---|
| APSC  | Arizona Public Service Company               | NSPC  | Northern States Power Company           |
| CAISO | California Independent System Operator       | OGEC  | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company       |
| CBPC  | Corn Belt Power Cooperative                  | PC    | PacifiCorp                              |
| CECG  | Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. | PG&E  | Pacific Gas & Electric Company          |
| CSA   | City of San Antonio                          | PJM   | PJM Interconnection, LLC                |
| ERCOT | Electric Reliability Council of Texas        | PSCO  | Public Service Company of Oklahoma      |
| IPLC  | Interstate Power and Light Company           | SCE   | Southern California Edison Company      |
| MDGS  | Maryland Department of General Services      | SPS   | Southwestern Public Service             |
| MEC   | Mid-American Energy Company                  | TEDAS | Türkiye Elektrik Dagitim Anonim Sirketi |
| MPC   | Monongahela Power Company                    | USM   | University System of Maryland           |
| MRES  | Missouri River Energy Services               | WFEC  | Western Farmers Electric Cooperative    |
| NPPD  | Nebraska Public Power District               |       |   |

<sup>3</sup> Represents EME's current ownership interest. If the project achieves a specified rate of return, EME's interest will decrease.

<sup>4</sup> A dispute exists with one of EME's offtakers at this facility, and currently the facility is only being paid for an output of 397 MW.

<sup>5</sup> Ownership percentages are calculated based on the partners' investment into each project.

<sup>6</sup> Composed of four individual wind projects.

<sup>7</sup> Material properties owned or leased by EME's subsidiaries and affiliates. Each property represents at least five percent of EME's income before tax, excluding asset impairment charges, or is one in which EME has an investment balance greater than \$40 million. Most of these properties are subject to mortgages or other liens or encumbrances granted to the lenders providing financing for the plant or project.

<sup>8</sup> Certain of these sites are leased pursuant to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback.

At December 31, 2013, the fuel sources for these projects were as follows:

| Fuel Source      | Percentage of EME's Generation Capacity |
|------------------|---|
| Coal             | 55%                                     |
| Natural gas/oil  | 24%                                     |
| Renewable energy | 21%                                     |

#### Seasonality

Due to fluctuations in electric demand resulting from warm weather during the summer months and cold weather during the winter months, electric revenues from Midwest Generation's coal-fired plants normally vary substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, maintenance outages generally are scheduled during periods of lower projected electric demand (spring and fall), further reducing generation and increasing major maintenance costs which are recorded as an expense when incurred. Accordingly, Midwest Generation's income is seasonal and has significant variability from quarter to quarter. Seasonal fluctuations may also be affected by changes in market prices. For further discussion regarding market prices, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk—Energy Price Risk."

EME's third quarter equity in income from its unconsolidated energy projects is normally higher than equity in income related to other quarters of the year due to seasonal fluctuations and higher energy contract prices during the summer months.

#### Merchant Power Plants

A description of EME's larger power plants and major investments in energy projects is set forth below. In addition to the facilities and power plants that EME owns, EME uses the term "its" in regard to facilities and power plants that

EME or an EME subsidiary operates under sale leaseback arrangements.

13

---

## Midwest Generation Plants

The Midwest Generation plants consist of the following:

| Operating Plant or Site               | Location                | Leased/<br>Owned | Fuel | Megawatts |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------|-----------|
| <b>Electric Generating Facilities</b> |                         |                  |      |           |
| Joliet Unit 6                         | Joliet, Illinois        | owned            | coal | 290       |
| Joliet Units 7 and 8                  | Joliet, Illinois        | leased           | coal | 1,036     |
| Powerton Station                      | Pekin, Illinois         | leased           | coal | 1,538     |
| Waukegan Station <sup>1</sup>         | Waukegan, Illinois      | owned            | coal | 689       |
| Will County Station <sup>2</sup>      | Romeoville, Illinois    | owned            | coal | 761       |
| <b>Peaking Units</b>                  |                         |                  |      |           |
| Fisk                                  | Chicago, Illinois       | owned            | oil  | 197       |
| Waukegan                              | Waukegan, Illinois      | owned            | oil  | 108       |
| Total                                 |                         |                  |      | 4,619     |
| <b>Non-Operating Plant or Site</b>    |                         |                  |      |           |
| Crawford Station <sup>3</sup>         | Chicago, Illinois       |                  |      |           |
| Fisk Station <sup>4</sup>             | Chicago, Illinois       |                  |      |           |
| Collins Station <sup>5</sup>          | Grundy County, Illinois |                  |      |           |
| Crawford peaker <sup>5</sup>          | Chicago, Illinois       |                  |      |           |
| Joliet peaker <sup>5</sup>            | Joliet, Illinois        |                  |      |           |
| Calumet peaker <sup>5</sup>           | Chicago, Illinois       |                  |      |           |
| Electric Junction peaker <sup>5</sup> | Aurora, Illinois        |                  |      |           |
| Lombard peaker <sup>5</sup>           | Lombard, Illinois       |                  |      |           |
| Sabrooke peaker <sup>5</sup>          | Rockford, Illinois      |                  |      |           |

<sup>1</sup> The Waukegan Station is composed of Units 7 and 8. Midwest Generation permanently shut down Waukegan Station Unit 6 (100 MW) on December 21, 2007.

<sup>2</sup> The Will County Station is composed of Units 3 and 4. Midwest Generation permanently shut down Will County Station Units 1 and 2, totaling 299 MW of capacity, on December 29, 2010 in accordance with the CPS.

<sup>3</sup> Midwest Generation permanently shut down Crawford Station Unit 7 (213 MW) on August 28, 2012 and Crawford Station Unit 8 (319 MW) on August 24, 2012.

<sup>4</sup> Midwest Generation permanently shut down Fisk Station Unit 19 (326 MW) on August 30, 2012.

<sup>5</sup> Ceased operations before December 31, 2005.

Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison have various reciprocal permanent and temporary easements over Midwest Generation's parcels for the location, use, maintenance and repair of those facilities and equipment that are used in connection with the operations of Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison.

#### The Joliet and Powerton Stations

The Joliet Station is located in Joliet, Will County, Illinois, approximately 40 miles southwest of Chicago on an approximately 467-acre site. The operating units comprising the Joliet Station are referred to as Units 6, 7 and 8. The operation of Units 6, 7 and 8 began in 1959, 1965 and 1966, respectively. Joliet Unit 6 is a 290 MW coal-fired unit located adjacent to, but across the Des Plaines River from, Joliet Units 7 and 8. Joliet Units 7 and 8 are coal-fired and have a combined capacity of 1,036 MW. The Powerton Station is a 1,538 MW coal-fired station located in Pekin, Tazewell County, Illinois on an approximately 568-acre site. The site also includes an approximately 1,440-acre lake. The operating units comprising the Powerton Station are referred to as Units 5 and 6 and began operations in 1972 and 1975, respectively.

In conjunction with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, Midwest Generation leased substantially all the property on which the generating units are located to the owner trusts under site leases, and the owner trusts in turn subleased their undivided ground interest in the property back to Midwest Generation under site subleases. The terms of the site subleases



are 33.75 years for the Powerton property and 30 years for the Joliet property, with renewal options. Rent is due under the leases on each January 2 and July 2.

The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases constitutes an event of default under the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation did not make any of the three scheduled lease payments of \$76 million due on January 2, 2013, July 2, 2013 and January 2, 2014. Midwest Generation did pay the ratable portion of the rent due under the leases attributable to the period between December 17, 2012 and January 2, 2013 of \$7 million and beginning in July 2013, EME and Midwest Generation agreed, among other things, to make monthly rental payments of \$3.75 million. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback."

#### The Waukegan Station

The Waukegan Station is a 689 MW coal-fired power plant located in Waukegan, Lake County, Illinois, on Lake Michigan. The Waukegan Station occupies approximately 194 acres, inclusive of the switchyard. The operating units comprising the Waukegan Station are referred to as Units 7 and 8 and began operations in 1958 and 1962, respectively.

#### The Will County Station

The Will County Station is a 761 MW coal-fired power plant located in Romeoville, Will County, Illinois. The Will County Station is located on approximately 215 acres, inclusive of the switchyard. The operating units comprising the Will County Station are referred to as Units 3 and 4 and began operations between 1955 and 1963.

#### On-Site Peaking Facilities

The on-site peaking units consist of 305 MW at Fisk and Waukegan, which were commissioned in 1968. The Fisk and Waukegan peaking units burn fuel oil. Natural gas is used by the Fisk peaking unit for ignition.

#### Power Sales

Energy and capacity from the Midwest Generation plants are sold under terms, including price, duration and quantity, arranged by EMMT, an EME subsidiary engaged in power marketing and trading activities, with customers through a combination of forward energy sales, spot market sales and bilateral agreements (resulting from negotiations or from auctions). Power generated at the Midwest Generation plants is sold into the PJM market.

#### Fuel Supply

Midwest Generation purchases coal from several suppliers located in the Southern Powder River Basin (PRB) of Wyoming. The total volume of coal consumed annually depends on the amount of electricity generated. Excluding consumption from retired stations, historical consumption has ranged between 13.4 million to 16.5 million tons. Coal consumption in the current low natural gas price environment may be lower than the historical range. Coal is transported under transportation agreements with Union Pacific Railroad and various short-haul carriers. In late 2011, Midwest Generation signed agreements, effective January 1, 2012, to provide such fuel transportation on a long-term basis. As of December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation leased approximately 2,400 railcars to transport the coal from the mines to the generating stations under leases with various termination dates through 2015. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

#### Emission Allowances for the Coal Plants

The federal Acid Rain Program requires electric generating stations to hold sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) allowances sufficient to cover their annual emissions. Pursuant to Illinois' implementation of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), the Midwest Generation plants are required to hold seasonal and annual NO<sub>x</sub> allowances. The CAIR remains in effect until a replacement regulation becomes effective.

Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR), like the CAIR, is an allowance-based regulation that provides for emissions trading. If CSAPR were to become effective, the amount of actual SO<sub>2</sub> or NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from plant operations would need to be matched by a sufficient amount of SO<sub>2</sub> or NO<sub>x</sub> allowances that were either allocated or purchased in the open market. SO<sub>2</sub> allowances under the federal Acid Rain Program could not be used to satisfy the requirements under CSAPR. Midwest Generation believes its current environmental remediation plan developed to comply with the CPS, along with the allowances allocated to it under the CAIR, will be sufficient to comply with the requirements of either the CAIR or CSAPR (as applicable). For additional information, see "Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air

Quality."

15

---



## Natural Gas

### Sunrise Project

EME owns a 50% interest in Sunrise Power Company, LLC, which owns a 586 MW natural gas-fired combined cycle facility in Kern County, California (Sunrise). The project operates on a merchant basis selling into the California ISO market and dispatch depends on market conditions. Historically, Sunrise has operated more during the summer months due to higher demand driven by warmer weather, and for the summer months of 2013, Sunrise had resource adequacy contracts for capacity with PG&E and SDG&E. However, the profitability of Sunrise as a merchant generator depends on market prices for power and natural gas and future results may differ from historical earnings.

## Contracted Power Plants

## Natural Gas

### Walnut Creek Project

Walnut Creek is a 479 MW natural gas-fired peaker plant in southern California. Construction on the project began in June 2011 and in December 2012, EME began start-up and testing at the project, including the sale of power on a merchant basis. The project achieved commercial operation in the second quarter of 2013 and began selling power under its 10 year- power sales agreement with SCE in June 2013.

### Natural Gas - Cogeneration

In December 2010, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) approved a comprehensive settlement of various issues related to power sales from cogeneration facilities. The settlement establishes a mechanism to foster new power purchase agreements for such facilities and provides for transition power purchase agreements during implementation. The settlement became effective on November 23, 2011. Cogeneration facilities subject to the settlement, including the Big 4 Projects described below, are eligible to continue to receive administratively set pricing through July 2015 and participate in three competitive solicitations required to be conducted by each investor-owned utility for new seven-year contracts.

### Big 4 Projects

EME owns partnership investments in Kern River Cogeneration Company (Kern River), Midway-Sunset Cogeneration Company (Midway-Sunset), Sycamore Cogeneration Company (Sycamore) and Watson Cogeneration Company (Watson and collectively with Kern River, Midway-Sunset, and Sycamore, the Big 4 Projects).

#### Kern River

Kern River sold electricity to SCE under a transition power purchase agreement, pursuant to the settlement described above, through the end of 2013. Kern River began selling electricity under a long-term power purchase agreement with PG&E in January 2014. Kern River sells steam to Chevron North America Exploration and Production Company, a division of Chevron U.S.A., Inc, under an agreement that expires concurrently with the PG&E power purchase agreement. For additional information regarding a dispute between the partners in Kern River, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Contingencies—Chevron Adversary Proceeding."

#### Midway-Sunset

Midway-Sunset sells electricity to PG&E under a power purchase agreement that expires in 2016 and sells electricity and steam to Aera Energy LLC under agreements that expire concurrently with the power purchase agreement. Midway-Sunset signed a new power purchase agreement with PG&E that, upon approval by the CPUC, will extend electricity sales through 2020. In connection with the new PG&E agreement, in 2015 Midway-Sunset will invest \$14 million to convert two of its turbines to peaking operation, and has extended the Aera agreements as well.

#### Sycamore

Sycamore sold electricity to SCE under a transition power purchase agreement, pursuant to the settlement described above, through the end of 2013. Sycamore began selling electricity under a long-term power purchase agreement with SCE in January 2014. Sycamore sells steam to Chevron North America Exploration and Production Company, a division of Chevron U.S.A., Inc., under an agreement that expires concurrently with the SCE power purchase agreement. For additional



information regarding a dispute between the partners in Sycamore, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Contingencies—Chevron Adversary Proceeding." Watson

Watson sells electricity to SCE under a transition power purchase agreement, pursuant to the settlement described above. Watson currently sells power and steam to Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company LLC (Tesoro) under agreements that expire in 2014. Tesoro assumed these agreements from BP West Coast Products LLC during the second quarter of 2013.

#### Westside Projects

EME owns 50% partnership interests in each of Coalinga Cogeneration Company, Mid-Set Cogeneration Company, Salinas River Cogeneration Company, and Sargent Canyon Cogeneration Company, each of which owns a 38 MW natural gas-fired cogeneration facility located in California (collectively, the Westside Projects). These projects sell electricity to PG&E under power purchase agreements that expire in 2016. The power purchase agreements became effective in December 2011.

#### Natural Gas - International

##### Doga Project

EME owns an 80% interest in Doga Enerji, which owns a 180 MW natural gas-fired cogeneration plant near Istanbul in the Republic of Turkey (Doga). Doga sells electricity to TEDAS under a power purchase agreement that expires in 2019, after which date the facility is to be conveyed to the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of Turkey.

#### Coal

##### American Bituminous Project

EME owns a 50% interest in American Bituminous Power Partners, L.P., which owns an 80 MW waste coal facility located in Grant Town, West Virginia (Ambit). Ambit sells electricity to Monongahela Power Company under a power purchase agreement that expires in 2036.

#### Renewable Energy

##### Wind

EME owns interests in the following operating wind projects which either sell electricity pursuant to long-term power purchase agreements with third parties with original terms ranging from 10 to 30 years or are operated on a merchant basis. The table below provides the project's power purchase agreement expiration for each contracted wind project, the project's primary RTO or ISO for each merchant wind project, either the expiration date of the project's production tax credits or an indication that EME elected to receive a US Treasury Grant, and the project's commercial operation or acquisition date.

| Wind Plants                       | Power Purchase Agreement Expiration Year/RTO or ISO | Production Tax Credit Expiration Date                            | Commercial Operation or Acquisition Date |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Capistrano Wind Partners          |   |  |  |
| Broken Bow I                      | 2032 <sup>6</sup>                                   | December 2022  | December 2012                            |
| Cedro Hill                        | 2030  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | November 2010                            |
| Crofton Bluffs                    | 2032 <sup>6</sup>                                   | November 2022  | November 2012                            |
| Mountain Wind I                   | 2033  | July 2018  | July 2008                                |
| Mountain Wind II                  | 2033  | September 2018   | September 2008                           |
| Tapestry Wind                     |   |  |  |
| Buffalo Bear                      | 2033  | December 2018  | December 2008                            |
| Pinnacle                          | 2031  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | December 2011/January 2012               |
| Taloga                            | 2031  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | July 2011                                |
| Viento Funding II                 |   |  |  |
| Elkhorn Ridge                     | 2029  | December 2018  | March 2009                               |
| San Juan Mesa                     | 2025  | December 2015  | December 2005                            |
| Wildorado                         | 2027  | April 2017   | April 2007                               |
| Big Sky <sup>5</sup>              | PJM   | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | February 2011                            |
| Community Wind North <sup>1</sup> | 2031  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | May 2011                                 |
| Crosswinds <sup>2</sup>           | 2022 <sup>6</sup>                                   | June 2017  | June 2007                                |
| Forward                           | 2017  | April 2018   | April 2008                               |
| Goat Wind <sup>5</sup>            | ERCOT   | Phase I - April 2018; Phase II - qualified for US Treasury Grant | April 2008/June 2009                     |
| Hardin <sup>3</sup>               | 2027  | May 2017   | May 2007                                 |
| High Lonesome                     | 2039  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | July 2009                                |
| Jeffers                           | 2028  | October 2018   | October 2008                             |
| Laredo Ridge                      | 2031  | Qualified for US Treasury Grant                                  | February 2011                            |
| Lookout <sup>5</sup>              | PJM   | September 2018   | October 2008                             |
| Minnesota <sup>4</sup>            | 2021-2029 <sup>7</sup>                              | June 2009-July 2016  | April 2006                               |
| Odin                              | 2028  | June 2018  | May 2008                                 |
| Sleeping Bear                     | 2032  | October 2017   | September 2007                           |
| Spanish Fork                      | 2028  | July 2018  | July 2008                                |
| Storm Lake                        | 2019  | June 2009  | May 1999                                 |

<sup>1</sup> Twelve separate limited liability companies collectively form the wind farm.

<sup>2</sup> Ten separate limited liability companies collectively form the wind farm.

<sup>3</sup> Seven separate limited liability companies collectively form the wind farm.

<sup>4</sup> Thirty-four separate limited liability companies each own a small wind-powered electric generation facility.

<sup>5</sup> Merchant wind-powered projects.

<sup>6</sup> Agreement includes a five-year renewal option.

<sup>7</sup> Each of the Minnesota Wind projects sells electricity under a power purchase agreement with Northern States Power Company that expires between 2025 and 2029, or with Interstate Power and Light Company that expires in 2021.



### Asset Management and Trading Activities

EME's power marketing and trading subsidiary, EMMT, manages the energy and capacity of EME's merchant generating plants and, in addition, trades electric power, natural gas, oil, weather and related commodity and financial products, including forwards, futures, options and swaps. EMMT segregates its activities into two categories: Asset Management—EMMT engages in the sale of energy and capacity and the purchase and sale of fuels, including natural gas and fuel oil, through intercompany contracts with EME's subsidiaries that own or lease EME's facilities. Historically, EME has used derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to market risks that arise from price fluctuations of electricity, capacity, fuel, emission allowances, and transmission rights. The objective of these activities has been to sell the output of the facilities on a forward basis or to hedge the risk of future changes in prices or price differences between different locations. Hedging activities typically include on-peak and off-peak periods and may include load service requirements contracts with local utilities. Transactions related to hedging activities are designated separately from EMMT's trading activities. Not all contracts entered into by EMMT for hedging purposes qualify as hedges for accounting purposes.

Trading—EMMT seeks to generate trading profits from volatility in the price of electricity, capacity, fuels, and transmission congestion by buying and selling contracts in wholesale markets under guidelines approved by EME's risk management committee.

### Significant Customers

For a discussion of EME's and Midwest Generation's significant customers, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Credit Risk."

### Insurance

EME and Midwest Generation maintain insurance policies consistent with those normally carried by companies engaged in similar business and owning similar properties. EME's and Midwest Generation's insurance programs include all-risk property insurance, including business interruption, covering real and personal property, including losses from boiler or machinery breakdowns, and the perils of earthquake and flood, subject to specific sublimits. EME and Midwest Generation also carry general liability insurance covering liabilities to third parties for bodily injury or property damage resulting from operations, automobile liability insurance and excess liability insurance. Limits and deductibles in respect of these insurance policies are comparable to those carried by other electric generating facilities of similar size. No assurance can be given that this insurance will be adequate to cover all losses or claims.

### Regulatory Matters

#### General

EME's and Midwest Generation's operations are subject to extensive regulation. EME's operating projects, including the Midwest Generation plants, are subject to energy, environmental and other governmental laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels in connection with project development, ownership and operation, and the use of electric energy, capacity and related products, including ancillary services, from the projects. In addition, EME and Midwest Generation are subject to the market rules, procedures, and protocols of the markets in which they participate.

#### Federal Power Act

The FERC has exclusive jurisdiction over the rates, terms and conditions of wholesale sales of electricity and transmission services in interstate commerce (other than transmission that is "bundled" with retail sales), including ongoing, as well as initial, rate jurisdiction. The FERC also has jurisdiction over the sale or transfer of specified assets, including wholesale power sales contracts and generation facilities and, in some cases, jurisdiction over the issuance of securities or the assumption of specified liabilities and some interlocking directorates. Dispositions of EME's and Midwest Generation's jurisdictional assets and certain types of financing arrangements may require FERC approval.

Each of EME's domestic generating facilities is either a qualifying facility (QF), as determined by the FERC, or the subsidiary owning the facility is an exempt wholesale generator (EWG). Most QFs are exempt from the ratemaking and several other provisions of the Federal Power Act (FPA). Midwest Generation and EME's other EWGs, except the Goat Wind and Cedro Hill wind projects, are subject to the FERC's ratemaking jurisdiction under the FPA, but have been authorized to sell power at market-based rates to purchasers which are not affiliated electric utility companies as long as the absence of market power is shown. In addition, EME's power marketing subsidiaries, including EMMT, has been authorized by the

FERC to make wholesale market sales of power at market-based rates and are subject to the FERC ratemaking regulation under the FPA.

If one of the projects in which EME has an interest were to lose its QF or EWG status, the project would no longer be entitled to the related exemptions from regulation and could become subject to rate regulation by the FERC and state authorities. Loss of status could also trigger defaults under covenants contained in the project's power sales agreements and financing agreements.

#### Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) provides the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission with jurisdiction to regulate financial derivative products, including swaps, options and other derivative products, collectively referred to in this annual report as "swaps."

The Dodd-Frank Act subjects swaps to new mandatory clearing and trading requirements, if no exemption applies. It may also impose capital requirements on non-exempt market participants. The clearing and trading requirements could result in increased margining requirements which may increase the costs of hedging activity. EME and Midwest Generation, through EMMT, use swaps to hedge commercial risks associated with the generation, purchase and sale of electricity and fuel to wholesale customers. In addition, EMMT utilizes swaps as part of its proprietary trading activities.

New rules and regulations on clearing, trading or other requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act have been enacted and other rules and regulations are under consideration. The potential impact of those rules and regulations will depend on the content, which remains uncertain.

#### Reliability Standards

North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) establishes and enforces reliability standards for the bulk power system. EME and Midwest Generation believe they have taken appropriate steps to be substantially compliant with current NERC reliability standards that apply to their operations.

#### Transmission of Wholesale Power

EME's projects that sell power to wholesale purchasers other than the local utility to which the project may be interconnected require the transmission of electricity over power lines owned by others. Midwest Generation utilizes power lines owned by others for the transmission of electricity. The prices and other terms and conditions of transmission contracts are regulated by the FERC when the entity providing the transmission service is subject to FERC jurisdiction.

#### Environmental Matters and Regulations (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

Legislative and regulatory activities by federal, state, and local authorities in the United States relating to energy and the environment impose numerous restrictions and requirements with respect to the operation of EME's existing facilities, including the Midwest Generation plants, and affect the timing, cost, location, design, construction, and operation of new facilities by EME's subsidiaries, as well as the cost of mitigating the environmental impacts of past operations. In addition, as discussed in "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies," the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and others have from time to time sought to involve EME and Midwest Generation in litigation related to facilities owned by EME's subsidiaries. The facilities of EME's subsidiaries which are most affected by environmental regulation are located in Illinois. Additional information about environmental matters, including projected environmental capital expenditures, is included in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital Investment Plan" and "—Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets."

#### Air Quality

The Clean Air Act (CAA), which regulates air pollutants from mobile and stationary sources, has a significant impact on the operation of the Midwest Generation plants. The CAA requires the US EPA to establish concentration levels in the ambient air for six criteria pollutants to protect public health and welfare. These concentration levels are known as



National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The six criteria pollutants are carbon monoxide, lead, NO<sub>x</sub>, ozone, particulate matter, and SO<sub>2</sub>.

Federal environmental regulations require states to adopt state implementation plans (SIPs), for certain pollutants, which detail how the state will attain the standards that are mandated by the relevant law or regulation. The SIPs must be equal to or more stringent than the federal requirements and must be submitted to the US EPA for approval. Each state identifies the

areas within its boundaries that meet the NAAQS (attainment areas) and those that do not (non-attainment areas), and must develop a SIP both to bring non-attainment areas into compliance with the NAAQS and to maintain compliant air quality in attainment areas. If the attainment status of areas changes, states may be required to develop new SIPs that address the changes. Many of EME's and its subsidiaries' facilities are located in areas that have not attained NAAQS for ozone (affected by NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from power plants) and fine particulate matter (affected by SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from power plants).

As described further below, on December 11, 2006, Midwest Generation entered into an agreement with the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA), which was subsequently embodied in an Illinois rule called the CPS, to reduce mercury, NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the Midwest Generation plants. The CPS requires Midwest Generation to achieve air emission reductions for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, and those reductions should contribute to or effect compliance through SIPs with various existing US EPA ambient air quality standards. It is possible that if lower ozone, particulate matter, NO<sub>x</sub> or SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS are finalized by US EPA in the future, Illinois may implement regulations that are more stringent than those required by the CPS.

Nitrogen Oxide and Sulfur Dioxide

Clean Air Interstate and Cross-State Air Pollution Rules

The CAIR, issued by the US EPA on March 10, 2005, mandated significant reductions in NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowance caps under the CAA in 28 eastern states and the District of Columbia. In 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit initially vacated the CAIR, but later remanded the CAIR to the US EPA for the issuance of a revised rule. The CAIR remains in effect until a replacement regulation becomes effective.

On July 6, 2011, the US EPA adopted the CSAPR. The CSAPR contemplated emissions reductions for annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and annual and ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in two phases: a first phase originally scheduled to be effective January 1, 2012 and, in most states subject to the program (including Illinois), a second phase effective January 1, 2014 that requires additional reductions in annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The CSAPR, like the CAIR, is an allowance-based regulation that provides for emissions trading.

In August 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CSAPR and directed the US EPA to continue administering the CAIR pending the promulgation of a valid replacement. The U.S. Supreme Court agreed to review the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit's August 2012 decision and heard oral arguments on the matter in December 2013.

Revised NAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub>

In June 2010, the US EPA finalized the primary NAAQS for SO<sub>2</sub> by establishing a new one-hour standard at a level of 75 parts per billion. In June 2011, the Illinois EPA submitted its initial recommended attainment/nonattainment designations in connection with the standard. The Illinois EPA recommended designating parts of Tazewell County (where the Powerton plant is located) and Will and Cook Counties as nonattainment with this standard. The recommended designation for parts of Will and Cook Counties included the area where the Will County plant is located, but not the areas where Midwest Generation's other plants in those counties are located. The US EPA approved these designations in August 2013.

Illinois

All of Midwest Generation's Illinois coal-fired electric generating units are subject to the CPS, which specifies the control technologies that are to be installed on some units by specified dates. Midwest Generation must either install the required technology by the specified deadline or shut down the unit. The principal emission standards and control technology requirements for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> under the CPS are as described below:

**NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions**—Beginning in calendar year 2012 and continuing in each calendar year thereafter, Midwest Generation must comply with an annual and seasonal NO<sub>x</sub> emission rate of no more than 0.11 lbs/million British thermal units (Btu). Midwest Generation's 2013 fleetwide NO<sub>x</sub> emission rate complied with this regulation.

**SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions**—Midwest Generation must comply with an overall annual emission rate beginning with 0.44 lbs/million Btu in 2013 and decreasing annually until it reaches 0.11 lbs/million Btu in 2019 and thereafter. Midwest Generation's 2013 fleetwide SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate complied with this regulation, including an emissions tonnage cap of 57,000 tons that applied in 2013.

Testing of dry sorbent injection using Trona on select Midwest Generation units has demonstrated significant reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Use of dry sorbent injection technology in conjunction with low sulfur coal is expected to require substantially less capital and time to construct than the use of spray dryer absorber technology, but would likely result in

21

---

higher ongoing operating costs and may consequently result in lower dispatch rates and competitiveness of Midwest Generation's plants, depending on competitors' costs. For additional discussion, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Edison Mission Energy Overview—Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and Costs."

#### Mercury/Hazardous Air Pollutants

##### Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Rule

In December 2011, the US EPA announced the MATS rule, limiting emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) from coal- and oil-fired electrical generating units. The rule became effective on April 16, 2012 with a compliance deadline of April 16, 2015 for existing units. In November 2012, the US EPA issued proposed revisions to aspects of the regulation relating to new units. A number of parties have filed notices of appeal challenging the rule, although the only appeals that are currently moving forward relate to the standards applicable to existing units. EME and Midwest Generation do not expect that these standards will require material changes to the approach for compliance with state and federal environmental regulations already contemplated for CPS compliance.

#### Illinois

The CPS requires that, beginning in calendar year 2015, and continuing thereafter on a rolling 12-month basis, Midwest Generation must either achieve an emission standard of 0.008 lbs mercury/gigawatt-hours (GWh) gross electrical output or a minimum 90% reduction in mercury for each unit (except Unit 3 at the Will County Station, which will be included in calendar year 2016). In 2012, Midwest Generation notified the Illinois EPA that all units except Waukegan Station Unit 7 and Will County Station Unit 3 were in compliance with these requirements. Midwest Generation is required to install cold side electrostatic precipitator or fabric filtration equipment on Waukegan Station Unit 7 by December 31, 2014 and on Will County Station Unit 3 by December 31, 2015 to comply with the CPS.

#### Ozone

##### National Ambient Air Quality Standards

In January 2010, the US EPA proposed a revision to the primary and secondary NAAQS for 8-hour ozone that it had finalized in 2008. The 8-hour ozone standard established in 2008 was 0.075 parts per million. In January 2010, the US EPA proposed establishing a primary 8-hour ozone NAAQS between 0.060 and 0.070 parts per million and a distinct secondary standard to protect sensitive vegetation and ecosystems. In September 2011, President Obama announced that the proposed revision was being withdrawn. The ozone NAAQS established in 2008 remains in place, but the implementation process must be completed before the 0.075 parts-per-million standard can be enforced. New primary and secondary ozone standards are expected to be proposed in 2014.

In June 2012, the US EPA designated the counties in Illinois where Midwest Generation's coal-fired power plants are located as nonattainment with the 2008 NAAQS, other than Tazewell County, where the Powerton Station is located. Illinois has not yet submitted a SIP outlining how compliance with the 2008 NAAQS will be achieved.

#### Regional Haze

The regional haze rules under the CAA are designed to prevent impairment of visibility in certain federally designated areas. The goal of the rules is to restore visibility in mandatory federal Class I areas, such as national parks and wilderness areas, to natural background conditions by 2064. Sources such as power plants that are reasonably anticipated to contribute to visibility impairment in Class I areas may be required to install best available retrofit technology (BART) or implement other control strategies to meet regional haze control requirements.

In July 2012 the US EPA approved Illinois' regional haze SIP, which provided that the emission reductions that the Midwest Generation plants will be required to make pursuant to the CPS, discussed above in "—Nitrogen Oxide and Sulfur Dioxide—Illinois," satisfy the BART requirement.

#### New Source Review Requirements

The New Source Review (NSR) regulations impose certain requirements on facilities, such as electric generating stations, if modifications are made to air emissions sources at the facility. Since 1999, the US EPA has pursued a coordinated compliance and enforcement strategy to address NSR compliance issues at the nation's coal-fired power plants. The US EPA has filed enforcement actions against Midwest Generation and Homer City alleging NSR violations. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9.

Commitments and Contingencies—Contingencies."

22

---

## Water Quality

### Clean Water Act

Regulations under the federal Clean Water Act govern critical operating parameters at generating facilities, such as the temperature of effluent discharges and the location, design, and construction of cooling water intake structures at generating facilities. In March 2011, the US EPA proposed standards under the federal Clean Water Act that would affect cooling water intake structures at generating facilities. The standards are intended to protect aquatic organisms by reducing capture in screens attached to cooling water intake structures (impingement) and in the water volume brought into the facilities (entrainment). The regulations are expected to be finalized in 2014. The required measures to comply with the proposed standards regarding entrainment are subject to the discretion of the permitting authority, and EME is unable at this time to assess potential costs of compliance, which could be significant for the Midwest Generation plants.

In June 2013, the US EPA proposed changes to the Steam Electric Guideline Regulation which sets discharge limits for various operations which discharge to waters of the United States. EME is reviewing the proposed rule and intends to provide comments. The rule is scheduled for issuance by May 2014.

### Illinois

Midwest Generation is a party to an administrative proceeding before the Illinois Pollution Control Board to determine whether more stringent thermal and effluent water quality standards for the Chicago Area Waterway System and Lower Des Plaines River, which supply cooling water to Midwest Generation's Will County and Joliet Stations, will be implemented. This proceeding could conclude in 2014 and the rule, if implemented, is expected to affect the manner in which those stations use water for station cooling. It is not possible to predict the final form of the rule or how it would impact the operation of the affected stations; however, if the regulations proposed by the Illinois EPA are adopted without modification, significant capital expenditures may be required.

### Coal Combustion Wastes

US EPA regulations currently classify coal ash and other coal combustion residuals as solid wastes that are exempt from hazardous waste requirements. This classification enables beneficial uses of coal combustion residuals, such as for cement production and fill materials. Midwest Generation currently provides a portion of its coal combustion residuals for beneficial uses. In June 2010, the US EPA published proposed regulations relating to coal combustion residuals that could result in more stringent requirements for the management and disposal of such materials. For further discussion see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 10. Environmental Developments."

### Climate Change

There have been a number of federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Any climate change regulation or other legal obligation that would require substantial reductions in GHG emissions or that would impose additional costs or charges for the GHG emissions could significantly increase the cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels, and especially from coal-fired plants, which could adversely affect EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses.

### Federal Legislative/Regulatory Developments

In June 2010, the US EPA issued the Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule (GHG Tailoring Rule). This regulation generally subjects newly constructed sources of GHG emissions and newly modified existing major sources to the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) air permitting program beginning in January 2011 (and later, to the Title V permitting program under the CAA); however, the GHG Tailoring Rule significantly increases the emissions thresholds that apply before facilities are subjected to these programs. The emissions thresholds for carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) equivalents in the final rule vary from 75,000 tons per year to 100,000 tons per year depending on the date and whether the sources are new or modified.

In June 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit dismissed the challenge by industry groups and some states to the GHG Tailoring Rule. In December 2012, petitions for rehearing by the full

District of Columbia Circuit filed by states and industry groups were denied. Subsequently, the U.S. Supreme Court agreed to review the District of Columbia Circuit's determination that the CAA required the US EPA to regulate GHGs pursuant to the PSD program once GHGs were regulated under another provision of the CAA. A decision in this appeal is expected by June 2014.

In July 2012, the US EPA published a final rule maintaining the CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions thresholds (for purposes of PSD and Title V permitting) originally established in the GHG Tailoring Rule.

Regulation of GHG emissions pursuant to the PSD program could affect efforts to modify EME's and Midwest Generation's facilities in the future, and could subject new capital projects to additional permitting or emissions control requirements that could delay such projects. In December 2010, the US EPA announced that it had entered into a settlement with various states and environmental groups to resolve a long-standing dispute over regulation of GHGs from electrical generating units pursuant to the New Source Performance Standards in the CAA and would propose performance standards for emissions from new and modified power plants and emissions guidelines for existing power plants. In March 2012, the US EPA announced proposed CO<sub>2</sub> emissions limits for new power plants but did not finalize these regulations.

In September 2013, the US EPA proposed new regulations governing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from new electric generating stations. These regulations replace its original proposal. The US EPA intends to issue proposed GHG emission standards for reconstructed and existing electric generating stations in June 2014 and to promulgate such standards in June 2015. States would be required to submit their implementation plans responding to such guidelines to the US EPA one year after the regulations are promulgated.

Since January 2010, the US EPA's Final Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule has required all sources within specified categories, including electric generation facilities, to monitor emissions and to submit annual reports to the US EPA by March 31 of each year. EME's 2013 GHG emissions were approximately 31 million metric tons. Midwest Generation's 2013 GHG emissions were approximately 25 million metric tons.

#### Regional Initiatives and State Legislation (EME only)

Regional initiatives and state legislation may also require reductions of GHG emissions, and it is not yet clear whether or to what extent any federal legislation would preempt them. If state and/or regional initiatives remain in effect after federal legislation is enacted, generators could be required to satisfy them in addition to federal standards.

EME's operations in California are subject to two laws governing GHG emissions. The first law, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32), establishes a comprehensive program to reduce GHG emissions. AB 32 requires the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to develop regulations, effective in 2012, that would reduce California's GHG emissions to 1990 levels in yearly increments by 2020. In December 2011, the CARB regulation was officially published, establishing a California cap-and-trade program. The first compliance period under the regulations is for 2013 GHG emissions. CARB regulations implementing a cap-and-trade program, and the cap-and-trade program itself, continue to be the subject of litigation. EME's facilities complied with AB 32 in 2013. In December 2011, a federal district court enjoined the Low Carbon Fuel Standard, another AB 32 program regulating the carbon content of transportation fuels (including electricity), on constitutional commerce clause grounds. In September 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed in part and reversed in part the district court's judgment, vacated the preliminary injunction, and remanded the case to the district court for further proceedings. A motion to rehear the case was denied by the Court of Appeals in January 2014.

The second law, SB 1368, required the CPUC and the California Energy Commission to adopt GHG emissions performance standards restricting the ability of California investor-owned and publicly owned utilities, respectively, to enter into long-term arrangements for the purchase of electricity. The standards that have been adopted prohibit these entities from entering into long-term financial commitments with generators that emit more than 1,100 pounds of CO<sub>2</sub> per MW-hour (MWh) (the performance of a combined-cycle gas turbine generator). EME believes that all of its California facilities meet the SB 1368 standards.

#### Litigation Developments

Litigation alleging that GHG is a public and private nuisance may affect EME and Midwest Generation whether or not they are named as defendants. The law is unsettled on whether or not this litigation presents questions capable of judicial resolution or political questions that should be resolved by the legislative or executive branches.

#### Employees

At December 31, 2013, EME employed 1,047 people, including 586 employees of Midwest Generation. At December 31, 2013, approximately 42% and 75% of the employees of EME and Midwest Generation, respectively, were covered



by a collective bargaining agreement governing wages, certain benefits and working conditions. This collective bargaining

24

---

agreement expires on December 31, 2014. Midwest Generation also has a separate collective bargaining agreement governing retirement, health care, disability and insurance benefits that expires on March 31, 2015.

#### EME's and Midwest Generation's Relationship with Certain Affiliated Companies

EIX is a holding company. EME is an indirect subsidiary of EIX, and Midwest Generation is an indirect subsidiary of EME. EIX is also the corporate parent of SCE, an electric utility that serves customers in California.

EME and EIX will continue to provide ongoing shared services to each other and to Midwest Generation in the ordinary course, through the earlier of the consummation of the NRG Sale or, under certain circumstances, through July 31, 2014. If EIX fails to provide these services, it could have a material adverse effect on EME.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

#### Risks Related to Restructuring

EME and Midwest Generation will be subject to the risks and uncertainties associated with bankruptcy cases for the duration of the Chapter 11 Cases.

EME, Midwest Generation and the other Debtor Entities filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. For the duration of the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtor Entities' business and operations are, and will continue to be, subject to various risks, including but not limited to, the following:

- it may be difficult for the Debtor Entities to obtain and maintain commercial relationships on competitive terms with customers, suppliers and others;

- it may be difficult to retain and motivate key employees through the process of reorganization, and to attract new employees;

- EME's and Midwest Generation's senior management will be required to spend significant time and effort dealing with bankruptcy and restructuring activities rather than focusing exclusively on business operations; and

- EME and Midwest Generation may not be able to maintain or obtain sufficient financing sources for operations or to fund any reorganization plan and meet future obligations.

EME and Midwest Generation are also subject to risks and uncertainties with respect to the actions and decisions of creditors and other third parties who have interests in the Chapter 11 Cases that may be inconsistent with EME's and Midwest Generation's plans. These risks and uncertainties could affect business and operations in various ways and may also affect the date of the Debtor Entities' emergence from Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. EME and Midwest Generation cannot predict or quantify the ultimate impact that events occurring during the Chapter 11 Cases will have on their business, financial condition and results of operations. These risks could affect EME's and Midwest Generation's ability to continue as going concerns.

Failure to complete the NRG Sale could negatively affect EME's future business and financial results.

On October 18, 2013, EME announced the execution of the Acquisition Agreement with NRG and the Purchaser. Before the NRG Sale may be completed, the parties must satisfy all conditions set forth in the Acquisition Agreement, including, among other things, governmental and regulatory approvals. Certain conditions, such as the confirmation of the Plan and the entry of a Confirmation Order by the Bankruptcy Court, have already been met. If the remaining conditions or requirements are not satisfied or waived the NRG Sale will not be consummated. These conditions or changes could have the effect of delaying completion of, or imposing additional costs on, the NRG Sale.

Termination of the Acquisition Agreement would cause a termination of the Settlement Agreement, which in turn would create additional uncertainties regarding the confirmation of the Plan and could delay the resolution of the Chapter 11 Cases.

If the NRG Sale is not completed, EME still will be required to pay its own costs relating to the NRG Sale, including legal, accounting, financial advisory, filing and printing costs. Also, in certain specified circumstances, EME may be required to pay NRG a cash fee of \$65 million plus reimbursement of all reasonable and documented out-of-pocket expenses if the Acquisition Agreement is terminated.

Uncertainties while the NRG Sale is pending that could adversely affect EME's financial results.

The pursuit of the NRG Sale and the preparation for the transition of EME's assets from EME to NRG may place a significant burden on EME's management and internal resources. Uncertainty about the effect of the NRG Sale on

25

---

employees, customers, suppliers and others may have an adverse effect on their businesses. Employee retention will be particularly challenging prior to the completion of the NRG transaction, due to uncertainty about future employment prospects with NRG.

EME may not be able to successfully implement the restructuring set forth in the Plan.

The effectiveness of the Plan is subject to a number of conditions which include, among other things:

• Consummation of the NRG Sale, which is expected in April 2014;

• Payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount; and

• Establishment of the Reorganization Trust and funding of escrow accounts therein.

If these conditions are not met, it is unclear whether EME, Midwest Generation and the other Debtor Entities will be able to reorganize their businesses and emerge from Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. If a protracted reorganization or liquidation were to occur, there can be no assurance as to what, if any, distribution holders of claims against, or equity interests in, the Debtor Entities ultimately would receive with respect to their claims or equity interests; in addition, the Debtor Entities could continue to face ongoing litigation and incur other significant costs.

Material claims have been asserted in the Chapter 11 Cases. The amount of approved claims as ultimately determined by the Bankruptcy Court may be different than the current estimated claims liability.

Although many material claims have been resolved during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, certain of those claims remain unresolved. EME and Midwest Generation have recorded an estimate for expected claims in liabilities subject to compromise; however the ultimate resolution of such claims and other obligations may vary from this estimate. The amount of approved claims as ultimately determined by the Bankruptcy Court could have a material impact on the recoveries of creditors of the relevant Debtor Entities.

#### Liquidity Risks

EME and Midwest Generation have significant cash requirements and significant obligations, and expect to incur substantial losses in 2014 and subsequent years.

At December 31, 2013, EME, and its subsidiaries without contractual dividend restrictions, had cash and cash equivalents of \$1,126 million, which includes Midwest Generation cash and cash equivalents of \$118 million. As of December 31, 2013, EME had significant obligations including:

• \$3.7 billion senior unsecured debt and \$315 million of other obligations were recorded as a liability subject to compromise (LSTC) as a result of the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases;

• \$1.5 billion in secured project level debt held by non-debtor EME subsidiaries, which is not guaranteed by EME and is not recorded in LSTC; and

• \$1.4 billion of principal and accrued interest on the intercompany loan from Midwest Generation under which EME has historically made payments to Midwest Generation. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME did not make any of the three scheduled principal and interest payments of \$61 million due on January 2, 2013, July 2, 2013 and January 2, 2014. Upon consummation of the Plan and the NRG Sale, this loan and accrued interest will be canceled.

As of December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had significant obligations including:

• \$434 million of lease financing obligations related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, which are recorded in LSTC (these obligations are guaranteed by EME); and

• \$106 million of other obligations in Midwest Generation's LSTC.

In addition, under the CPS, Midwest Generation must install certain emissions control equipment or permanently retire the units. Currently, Midwest Generation estimates that its CPS compliance plan would require the installation of up to \$833 million of emissions controls if all units are retrofit. EME's and Midwest Generation's current financial position limits their financial flexibility, places them at a competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that have less debt and increases their vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions.

EME and Midwest Generation have experienced, and continue to experience, operating losses due to low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation at the Midwest Generation plants. EME has been limited in the amount of capital it can contribute to Midwest Generation. Forward market prices indicate that these trends are expected to continue for a number of years. As a result, Midwest Generation expects to incur an operating

cash flow deficit and operating losses in

26

---

2014 and subsequent years which, coupled with the need to retrofit the Midwest Generation plants to comply with governmental regulations, will exhaust its liquidity. If cash flow and other means for assuring liquidity are unavailable or insufficient, Midwest Generation may be unable to complete environmental improvements at its coal plants (which in turn could lead to unit shutdowns) or its ability to provide credit support for contracts for power and fuel related to merchant activities may be severely limited. For further discussion of liquidity, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources."

EME's and Midwest Generation's access to additional capital may be limited and restrictive covenants may adversely affect their operations.

EME's and Midwest Generation's access to the capital markets may be limited by, among other things, the Chapter 11 Cases, their non-investment grade credit ratings, their current operating losses, their long-term business prospects, and general conditions in the financial and credit markets. In addition, the urgency of a capital-raising transaction may require them to pursue additional capital at an inopportune time. They may not be successful in obtaining additional capital for these and other reasons. An inability to access capital when needed may limit their ability to meet their operating needs and, in turn, may have a material adverse effect in their financial condition, results of operation and cash flows.

EME and Midwest Generation may not have adequate liquidity to prepay obligations or post required amounts of additional collateral.

The Chapter 11 Cases will likely continue to result in requirements that EME and Midwest Generation either prepay obligations or post significant amounts of collateral in the form of cash, short-term investments, lien capacity, and letters of credit, to support their businesses. Their commodity agreements may require them to post additional collateral under certain circumstances, including, among others, changes in commodity prices for power and fuel. Increases in collateral requirements could strain EME's and Midwest Generation's liquidity and may have a material adverse effect on their financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

EME may not be able to monetize tax benefits that it has generated.

Historically, EME participated in tax-allocation agreements with EIX in which EME would be eligible to receive payments from EIX for tax losses and credits generated by EME. As a result of losses within the EIX consolidated tax group, EIX has not fully utilized EME's tax benefits. Further, as a result of the tax-allocation agreements being applied to give priority to SCE generated tax benefits, EIX has not fully compensated EME for EME's tax benefits utilized by EIX. During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013.

The parties to the Settlement Agreement have agreed that EME will receive payment for 50% of the EME Tax Attributes. If the Settlement Agreement is terminated, as a result of the termination of the Acquisition Agreement or otherwise, then, as of January 1, 2014 benefits that had been previously generated by EME and utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis but are unpaid under the tax-allocation agreements will not be available for use by EME in its own consolidated tax return and will not be paid by EIX. Additionally, tax benefits that had previously been generated by EME and not utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis will generally be available for use by EME in its own consolidated return, but may be reduced by cancellation of indebtedness income (COD income) or as a result of the application of the consolidated return rules.

For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes."

EME is a holding company and may be limited in its ability to access funds from its subsidiaries to meet its obligations.

EME has no material assets other than the stock and other equity interests of its subsidiaries and depends upon dividends and other transfers of funds from its subsidiaries to meet its obligations. EME's subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to provide EME with funds. The ability of EME's subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other payments to EME depends on their operating results and may be restricted by, among other things, applicable corporate and other laws, potentially adverse tax consequences, and restrictions contained in agreements entered into by the subsidiaries. If EME is unable to access the cash flow of its subsidiaries, it may have difficulty meeting its own obligations. For further discussion, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Dividend Restrictions in Major

Financings."

The intercompany loan between Midwest Generation and EME will be subject to allowance or disallowance in the Chapter 11 Cases.

If the Plan and the NRG Sale are consummated, the intercompany loan between Midwest Generation (as the lender) and EME (as the borrower) will be canceled. If the NRG Sale does not close, the intercompany loan will be subject to allowance

27

---

or disallowance as part of the claims reconciliation process in the Chapter 11 Cases. Any party in interest in the Chapter 11 Cases will have the ability to object to any such claim. The allowance or disallowance of any claim arising under the intercompany loan could have a material impact on the recoveries of the creditors of the relevant Debtor Entities.

#### Regulatory and Environmental Risks

EME and Midwest Generation are subject to extensive environmental regulation and permitting requirements that may involve significant and increasing costs.

EME's and Midwest Generation's operations are subject to extensive and frequently changing environmental regulations with respect to, among other things, air quality, water quality and waste disposal, which involve significant and increasing costs and substantial uncertainty. EME and Midwest Generation are required to obtain, and comply with conditions established by, licenses, permits and other approvals in order to construct, operate or modify their facilities. Failure to comply with these requirements could subject them to civil or criminal liability, the imposition of liens or fines, or actions by regulatory agencies seeking to curtail operations of their projects. They may also be exposed to risks arising from past, current or future contamination at their former or existing facilities or arising from off-site waste disposal sites that have been used in their operations.

EME and Midwest Generation devote significant resources to environmental monitoring, emissions control equipment and emission allowances to comply with environmental regulatory requirements and believe that they are currently in substantial compliance with environmental regulatory requirements. However, the US EPA has filed enforcement actions against Midwest Generation and Homer City alleging violations of the CAA and other regulations at the Midwest Generation plants and the Homer City plant previously owned by Homer City. For more detail with respect to these matters, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

The current trend is toward more stringent standards, stricter regulation, and more expansive application of environmental regulations. The adoption of laws and regulations to implement CO<sub>2</sub> controls could adversely affect coal-fired power plants. Other environmental laws, particularly with respect to air emissions, disposal of ash, wastewater discharge and cooling water systems, are also generally becoming more stringent. The continued operation of EME's and Midwest Generation's facilities, particularly the Midwest Generation plants, is expected to require substantial capital expenditures for environmental controls. If EME and Midwest Generation cannot comply with all applicable regulations, they could be required to retire or suspend operations at some facilities, or restrict or modify the operations of facilities, and business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. EME and Midwest Generation are required to surrender emission allowances equal to emissions of specific substances in connection with the operation of their facilities. This may require the purchase of allowances, which are subject to price volatility and which could be unavailable.

Typically, environmental laws require a lengthy and complex process for obtaining licenses, permits and approvals prior to construction, operation or modification of a project or generating facility. EME and Midwest Generation cannot provide assurance that they will be able to obtain and comply with all necessary licenses, permits and approvals for their plants. If there is a delay in obtaining required approvals or permits, or if they fail to obtain and comply with such permits, the operation of their facilities may be interrupted or become subject to additional costs. The controls required at Midwest Generation's coal plants as a result of environmental regulations, including the CPS, are expected to require material expenditures.

Capital expenditures relating to required environmental controls for the Midwest Generation plants (including the CPS, to which all of Midwest Generation's coal-fired generating units are subject) are expected to be significant. Midwest Generation voluntarily shut down coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations in August of 2012 and may ultimately decide to shut down other units rather than install controls. Unit shutdowns could have an adverse effect on EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses, results of operation and financial condition. For more information about environmental compliance plans, see "Item 1. Business—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality—Nitrogen Oxide and Sulfur Dioxide," "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Edison Mission Energy Overview—Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and



Costs," "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets" and "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 10. Environmental Developments."

EME and Midwest Generation are subject to extensive energy industry regulation.

EME's and Midwest Generation's operations are subject to extensive regulation by governmental agencies. EME's and Midwest Generation's projects are subject to federal laws and regulations that govern, among other things, transactions by and with purchasers of power, including utility companies, the development and construction of generation facilities, the ownership and operation of generation facilities, and access to transmission. Generation facilities are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations that govern, among other things, the geographical location, zoning, land use and operation of a project. EME and Midwest Generation must obtain and periodically renew licenses, permits and approvals for facilities in the course of business. The FERC may impose various forms of market mitigation measures, including price caps and operating restrictions, where it determines that potential market power might exist and that the public interest requires mitigation. RTOs and ISOs may impose bidding and scheduling rules, both to curb the potential exercise of market power and to facilitate market functions. Such actions may materially affect EME's and Midwest Generation's results of operations. The facilities are also subject to mandatory reliability standards promulgated by NERC, compliance with which can increase the facilities' operating costs or capital expenditures.

This extensive governmental regulation creates significant risks and uncertainties for EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses. Existing regulations may be revised or reinterpreted and new laws and regulations may be adopted or become applicable to their facilities or operations in a manner that may have a detrimental effect on their businesses or result in significant additional costs.

The generation and transmission of electricity are dangerous and involve inherent risks of injury to employees and the general public.

Electricity and the facilities that produce and transmit it can be dangerous for employees and the general public.

Injuries caused by such facilities can subject EME or Midwest Generation to liabilities that, despite the existence of insurance coverage, can be significant but are also very difficult to predict. The range of possible liabilities includes amounts that could adversely affect EME's and Midwest Generation's liquidity and results of operations.

#### Market Risks

EME and Midwest Generation have substantial interests in merchant energy power plants which are subject to market risks related to wholesale energy prices. Wholesale energy prices have substantially declined in recent years.

EME's merchant energy power plants, including the Midwest Generation plants, do not have long-term power purchase agreements. Consequently, these projects are subject to market forces which determine the amount and price of energy, capacity and ancillary services sold from the power plants. Unlike most other commodities, electric power can be stored economically only on a very limited basis and generally must be produced when it is to be used. As a result, the wholesale power markets are subject to significant and unpredictable price fluctuations over relatively short periods of time. Due to the volume of sales into PJM from the Midwest Generation plants, EME and Midwest Generation have concentrated exposure to market conditions and fluctuations in PJM. The prices at which the Midwest Generation plants can sell their power and capacity have declined significantly due largely to lower priced natural gas which supplies power plants that compete with the Midwest Generation plants, the increased use of demand response technology, and changes in final demand for power during the economic slowdown.

Market prices of energy, capacity and ancillary services sold from these power plants are influenced by multiple factors beyond the control of EME and Midwest Generation, and thus there is considerable uncertainty whether or when current depressed prices will recover. Hedging activities may not cover the entire exposure of their assets or positions to market price volatility, and the level of coverage will vary over time. The effectiveness of hedging activities may depend on the amount of credit available to post collateral, either in support of performance guarantees or as cash margin, and liquidity requirements may be greater than anticipated or difficult to meet. There is no assurance that EME's and Midwest Generation's hedging strategies will successfully mitigate market risks. For more detail with respect to these matters, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk."

EME's and Midwest Generation's financial results can be affected by changes in prices, transportation cost, and supply interruptions related to fuel, sorbents, and other commodities used for power generation and emission controls.

In addition to volatile power prices, EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses are subject to changes in the cost of fuel, transportation, sorbents, and other commodities used for power generation and emission controls. These costs can be volatile and are influenced by many factors outside EME's and Midwest Generation's control. The price at which energy can be sold may not rise or fall at the same rate as a corresponding rise or fall in commodity costs.  
Operations at the Midwest Generation

plants are dependent upon the availability and affordability of coal which is available only from a limited number of suppliers and is transported by rail under a multi-year, long-term transportation contract. All of these factors may have an adverse effect on EME's and Midwest Generation's financial condition and results of operations. For additional information, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures—Coal Price Risk."

Competition could adversely affect EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses.

EME and Midwest Generation have numerous competitors in all aspects of their businesses, some of whom may have greater liquidity, greater access to credit and other financial resources, lower cost structures, greater ability to withstand losses, larger staffs or more experience. Multiple participants in the wholesale markets, including many regulated utilities, have a lower cost of capital than most merchant generators and often are able to recover fixed costs through rate base mechanisms, allowing them to build, buy and upgrade generation assets without relying exclusively on market clearing prices to recover their investments. These factors could affect EME's and Midwest Generation's ability to compete effectively in the markets in which those entities operate. Newer plants owned by competitors are often more efficient than the Midwest Generation plants and some of EME's older facilities and may also have lower costs of operation. Over time, some merchant facilities may become obsolete in their markets, or be unable to compete with such plants.

#### Operating Risks

EME's and Midwest Generation's capital projects may not be successful.

EME's and Midwest Generation's capital projects are subject to risks including, without limitation, risks related to financing, construction, permitting, and governmental approvals. Significant expenditures may be required before a project is determined to be feasible or economically attractive. The timing of such projects may be delayed beyond the date that equipment is ready for installation, in which case they may be required to incur material equipment and/or material costs with no deployment plan at delivery.

EME's and Midwest Generation's projects may be affected by general operating risks and hazards customary in the power generation industry, and there may not be adequate insurance to cover all these hazards.

The operation of power generation facilities is a potentially dangerous activity that involves many operating risks, including transmission disruptions and constraints, equipment failures or shortages, and system limitations, degradation and interruption. EME's and Midwest Generation's operations are also subject to risks of human performance and workforce capabilities. There can be no assurance that their insurance will be sufficient or effective under all circumstances or protect against all hazards to which they may be subject or that insurance coverage will continue to be available on terms similar to those presently available, or at all. The Midwest Generation plants are older facilities that are subject to higher risks of failure or outage, and EME has experienced serial defects in certain models of wind turbines deployed at its wind projects.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

EME's and Midwest Generation's power generation and ancillary facilities are described in "Item 1. Business—Overview of EME Facilities" and "Merchant Power Plants—Midwest Generation Plants," respectively. Most of these properties are subject to mortgages or other liens or encumbrances granted to the lenders providing financing for the plant or project.

EME leases its principal office in Santa Ana, California. The office lease is currently for approximately 71,000 square feet and expires on December 31, 2020. EME also leases office space in Chicago, Illinois; Bolingbrook, Illinois; and Boston, Massachusetts. The Chicago lease is for approximately 8,000 square feet and expires on November 30, 2016. The Bolingbrook lease is for approximately 20,000 square feet and expires on June 30, 2014. The Boston lease is for approximately 35,000 square feet and expires on September 30, 2017. Some of the leases contain extension and/or early termination options.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion of the material legal proceedings specifically affecting EME and Midwest Generation, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

30

---

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES (EME only)

None.

31

---

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND  
ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

EME

As of the date hereof, 100 shares of the outstanding common stock of EME are owned by Mission Energy Holding Company, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Edison Mission Group Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of EIX. There is no market for EME's common stock. Dividends on EME's common stock are paid when declared by EME's board of directors. EME did not pay or declare any dividends during 2013, 2012 and 2011. For more information about dividend restrictions, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Dividend Restrictions in Major Financings" and "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements."

Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation is wholly owned by Edison Mission Midwest Holdings Co., which is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of EME. There is no market for Midwest Generation's membership interest. Distributions on the membership interest will be paid when declared by Midwest Generation's board of managers. Midwest Generation paid cash distributions to Edison Mission Midwest Holdings totaling \$225 million in 2011. No cash distributions were made by Midwest Generation in 2013 and 2012. For more information about distributions and restrictions on distributions, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Midwest Generation Equity Distributions and Tax Payments" and "—Dividend Restrictions in Major Financings."

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

## EME

The following selected financial data was derived from EME's audited financial statements and is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information and financial statements, including notes to the financial statements, included in this annual report.

| (in millions)   | Years Ended December 31, |          |            |         |         |
|---|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011       | 2010    | 2009    |
| <b>INCOME STATEMENT DATA</b>  |                          |          |            |         |         |
| Operating Revenues  | \$1,331                  | \$1,287  | \$1,653    | \$1,788 | \$1,715 |
| Operating Expenses <sup>1</sup>   | 1,910                    | 1,615    | 2,351      | 1,594   | 1,511   |
| Operating income (loss)   | (579 )                   | (328 )   | (698 )     | 194     | 204     |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before reorganization items and income taxes | (615 )                   | (594 )   | (888 )     | 65      | 32      |
| Reorganization items, net   | 120                      | 43       | —          | —       | —       |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes  | (93 )                    | 160      | (441 )     | (16 )   | (60 )   |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations  | (642 )                   | (797 )   | (447 )     | 81      | 92      |
| Income (loss) from operations of discontinued subsidiaries, net of tax                | 1                        | (112 )   | (632 )     | 82      | 102     |
| Net Income (Loss)   | (641 )                   | (909 )   | (1,079 )   | 163     | 194     |
| Net (Income) Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests                            | (29 )                    | (16 )    | 1          | 1       | 3       |
| Net Income (Loss) Attributable to EME Common Shareholder                              | \$(670 )                 | \$(925 ) | \$(1,078 ) | \$164   | \$197   |

Operating expenses included asset impairment charges of \$466 million, \$15 million, \$704 million and \$40 million<sup>1</sup> in 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges."

| (in millions)                                   | December 31, |         |         |         |         |
|---|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|   | 2013         | 2012    | 2011    | 2010    | 2009    |
| <b>BALANCE SHEET DATA</b>                       |              |         |         |         |         |
| Current assets                                  | \$1,535      | \$1,323 | \$1,941 | \$1,579 | \$1,554 |
| Total assets                                    | 6,973        | 7,520   | 8,323   | 9,321   | 8,633   |
| Current liabilities                             | 529          | 820     | 548     | 497     | 557     |
| Liabilities subject to compromise               | 4,015        | 3,959   | —       | —       | —       |
| Long-term debt net of current portion           | 1,171        | 749     | 4,855   | 4,342   | 3,929   |
| Total EME common shareholder's equity (deficit) | (121 )       | 444     | 1,662   | 2,817   | 2,761   |



## Midwest Generation

The following selected financial data was derived from Midwest Generation's audited financial statements and is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information and financial statements, including the notes to the financial statements, included in this annual report.

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |            |          |         |         |
|--|--------------------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012       | 2011     | 2010    | 2009    |
| <b>INCOME STATEMENT DATA</b>                               |                          |            |          |         |         |
| Operating revenues from marketing affiliate                | \$817                    | \$892      | \$1,286  | \$1,479 | \$1,487 |
| Operating expenses <sup>1</sup>                            | 1,403                    | 2,489      | 1,802    | 1,191   | 1,117   |
| Operating income (loss)                                    | (586 )                   | (1,597 )   | (516 )   | 288     | 370     |
| Total other income (expense)                               | (23 )                    | 77         | 74       | 69      | 55      |
| Income (loss) before reorganization items and income taxes | (609 )                   | (1,520 )   | (442 )   | 357     | 425     |
| Reorganization items, net                                  | 41                       | 6          | —        | —       | —       |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes                       | (17 )                    | (62 )      | (172 )   | 142     | 166     |
| Net income (loss)  | \$(633 )                 | \$(1,464 ) | \$(270 ) | \$215   | \$259   |

<sup>1</sup> Operating expenses included asset impairment charges of \$466 million, \$640 million and \$40 million in 2013, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating expenses in 2012 included a \$1.4 billion charge for a valuation allowance on Midwest Generation's note receivable from EME. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges" and "—Note 15. Related Party Transactions."

| (in millions)                            | December 31, |       |       |       |       |
|--|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|  | 2013         | 2012  | 2011  | 2010  | 2009  |
| <b>BALANCE SHEET DATA</b>                |              |       |       |       |       |
| Current assets                           | \$273        | \$322 | \$610 | \$680 | \$677 |
| Total assets                             | 1,806        | 2,428 | 4,190 | 4,942 | 5,063 |
| Current liabilities                      | 91           | 41    | 239   | 263   | 333   |
| Liabilities subject to compromise        | 540          | 529   | —     | —     | —     |
| Lease financings, net of current portion | —            | 2     | 439   | 556   | 665   |
| Other long-term obligations              | 113          | 190   | 243   | 345   | 320   |
| Member's equity                          | 1,062        | 1,666 | 3,269 | 3,778 | 3,745 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

EDISON MISSION ENERGY OVERVIEW

EME is a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the business of developing, acquiring, owning or leasing, operating and selling energy and capacity from independent power production facilities. EME also sells energy and capacity under contracts to specific purchasers or on a merchant basis in the marketplace and into wholesale markets. It also engages in hedging and energy trading activities in power markets, and provides scheduling and other services through its EMMT subsidiary.

Highlights of Operating Results

The following table reconciles net loss attributable to the EME common shareholder on EME's consolidated statements of operations to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as adjusted for certain items discussed below (Adjusted EBITDA):

| (in millions)  | Years Ended<br>December 31, |          | Change | Year Ended   |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|
|  | 2013                        | 2012     |        | December 31, |
| Net loss attributable to EME common shareholder        | \$(670 )                    | \$(925 ) | \$255  | \$(1,078 )   |
| Depreciation and amortization                          | 271                         | 268      | 3      | 289          |
| Net interest expense                                   | 89                          | 324      | (235 ) | 321          |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt                   | 3                           | —        | 3      | —            |
| Asset impairments and other charges <sup>1</sup>       | 464                         | 28       | 436    | 714          |
| Reorganization items, net                              | 120                         | 43       | 77     | —            |
| Restructuring costs <sup>2</sup>                       | 7                           | —        | 7      | —            |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes <sup>3</sup>      | (13 )                       | 230      | (243 ) | (375 )       |
| Gain on sale of investments <sup>4</sup>               | —                           | —        | —      | (8 )         |
| Loss (income) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (1 )                        | 112      | (113 ) | 632          |
| Adjusted EBITDA  | \$270                       | \$80     | \$190  | \$495        |

<sup>1</sup> For additional information see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges."

<sup>2</sup> For additional information see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 16. Restructuring Activities—Cost Reduction Activities."

<sup>3</sup> Provision (benefit) for income taxes excludes the impact of production tax credits and includes changes in the valuation allowance. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes."

<sup>4</sup> Reflects the sale of March Point in 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA is equal to net income (loss) under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) before net interest expense, income tax expense (benefit), depreciation and amortization, adjusted to include the impact of production tax credits and exclude the impact of discontinued operations, gain (loss) on sale of assets, gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt and leases, impairment of assets and investments, restructuring costs, and reorganization items. Production tax credits are recognized as wind energy is generated based on a per-kilowatt-hour rate prescribed in applicable federal and state statutes. This non-GAAP financial measure reflects an additional way of viewing EME's business and augments the understanding of facts and trends affecting the business. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be relied upon to the exclusion of GAAP financial measures and may not be comparable to those of other companies' non-GAAP financial measures having the same or similar names.



The following table shows the Adjusted EBITDA of EME's projects:

| (in millions)                        | Years Ended December 31, |          |        |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------|
|                                      | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011   |
| Midwest Generation plants            | \$(72 )                  | \$(152 ) | \$225  |
| Renewable energy projects            | 152                      | 143      | 144    |
| Energy trading <sup>1</sup>          | 77                       | 66       | 74     |
| Walnut Creek                         | 71                       | (2 )     | (1 )   |
| Big 4 Projects <sup>2</sup>          | 45                       | 36       | 44     |
| Sunrise <sup>2</sup>                 | (7 )                     | 8        | 32     |
| Doga <sup>2</sup>                    | 6                        | 11       | 26     |
| Westside Projects <sup>2</sup>       | 6                        | —        | 7      |
| Other projects                       | 8                        | 16       | 15     |
|                                      | \$286                    | \$126    | \$566  |
| Corporate administrative and general | (96 )                    | (115 )   | (137 ) |
| Production tax credits               | 80                       | 69       | 66     |
| Adjusted EBITDA                      | \$270                    | \$80     | \$495  |

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted EBITDA for energy trading does not include administrative and general costs, which are included in corporate administrative and general to calculate consolidated Adjusted EBITDA.

<sup>2</sup> Adjusted EBITDA is equal to equity in income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates.

EME's Adjusted EBITDA in 2013 increased compared to 2012 primarily due to the following:

- \$80 million increase in results from the Midwest Generation plants primarily attributable to lower plant operations and support fuel costs partially offset by lower capacity revenues;

- \$73 million increase in results from Walnut Creek which achieved commercial operation in the second quarter of 2013 and began selling power under its power purchase agreement with SCE in June 2013;

- \$20 million increase in the results of the renewable energy projects primarily attributable to the results of Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs wind projects that achieved commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2012, and higher generation and merchant pricing at Big Sky and Goat Wind projects, partially offset by higher plant operations costs at the High Lonesome wind project and income allocated to outside investors of Capistrano Wind Partners;

- \$19 million decrease in corporate administrative and general expenses due to lower departmental spending and a reduction in shared services charges from EIX;

- \$11 million increase in energy trading income primarily attributable to higher power and natural gas trading revenues and marketing service fees, partially offset by lower revenues from congestion contracts;

- \$9 million increase in the results of the Big 4 Projects due to higher energy margin at Watson and Sycamore and a property tax refund at Watson; and

- \$6 million increase in the results of Westside Projects primarily attributable to higher energy margin, partially offset by higher fuel costs and operating expenses.

The 2013 increase in Adjusted EBITDA was partially offset by the following:

- \$15 million decrease in the results of the Sunrise project primarily due to lower generation and lower merchant prices; and

- \$5 million decrease attributable to lower distributions received from the Doga project.

EME's Adjusted EBITDA in 2012 decreased compared to 2011 primarily due to the following:

- \$377 million decrease in results from the Midwest Generation plants primarily attributable to lower capacity and average realized energy prices, reduced generation and higher fuel prices, partially offset by lower planned maintenance costs;

- \$24 million decrease in the results of the Sunrise project due to lower revenues as the project transitioned to merchant generation;

- \$15 million decrease in the results of the Big 4 Projects and the Westside Projects due to lower energy margins resulting from lower natural gas prices in 2012 and due to additional revenue in 2011 from a CPUC-approved settlement that



provided for price adjustments for energy sold prior to the settlement as discussed in "Item 1. Business—Contracted Power Plants—Natural Gas - Cogeneration";

• \$15 million decrease in distributions received from the Doga project compared to 2011 due to the release of previously restricted cash in 2011 as a result of the repayment of the remaining project debt; and

• \$8 million decrease in energy trading income due to lower revenues from trading power and PJM congestion contracts.

The 2012 decrease in Adjusted EBITDA was partially offset by the following:

• \$22 million decrease in corporate administrative and general expenses attributable to lower development expenses at wind projects and lower departmental spending.

#### Management's Overview

The Debtor Entities filed the Chapter 11 Cases due to a combination of pending debt maturities, low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation, and capital requirements associated with retrofitting the Midwest Generation plants to comply with governmental regulations.

During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, activities have focused on developing strategic alternatives to maximize the value of the Debtors' Estates. EME and Midwest Generation sponsored several initiatives during 2013 intended to reduce expenses, preserve liquidity, stabilize operations and relationships with vendors, employees, and other essential constituents, and reconcile claims submitted through the Bankruptcy Court. As a result, at December 31, 2013, EME increased cash and cash equivalents by \$288 million and Midwest Generation reduced plant operations expense by \$111 million as compared to the balances at December 31, 2012. During 2013, plant reliability was also improved. EME and Midwest Generation also developed the Plan, which is to be achieved through the NRG Sale and the Settlement Agreement. These activities ultimately culminated in the confirmation of the Plan by the Bankruptcy Court in March 2014. Completion of the NRG Sale is expected in April 2014.

The cost reduction and business stabilization efforts protected the value of the Debtor Entities, despite the continued weak market conditions for merchant generators. However, as a result of continuing low commodity prices and based on further analysis of their capital allocation strategy, EME and Midwest Generation recorded an impairment charge of \$464 million related to Midwest Generation's Will County Station. This impairment does not constitute a decision on further operations of this station, including capital investments necessary to comply with state and federal environmental regulations. For additional information, see Note 13—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that EME and Midwest Generation will continue as going concerns. Financial statements prepared on this basis assume the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the 12-month period following the date of the financial statements. EME's and Midwest Generation's ability to continue as going concerns is dependent on the successful completion of the NRG Sale and an emergence from bankruptcy. However, there is no assurance that these events will occur within their expected time frames or at all.

#### Plan of Reorganization and the NRG Sale

The Plan implements a reorganization of the Debtor Entities through a sale of substantially all of EME's assets under the NRG Sale and through the terms of the Settlement Agreement, which establishes a path for EME to emerge from bankruptcy free of liabilities as a wholly-owned subsidiary of EIX. Upon the NRG Sale, the Purchaser will acquire substantially all of EME's assets, including its equity interests in substantially all of its debtor and non-debtor subsidiaries, for a total purchase price of \$2.635 billion, subject to certain adjustments provided in the Acquisition Agreement. The Acquisition Agreement provides for \$350 million of the total purchase price to be paid in the form of 12,671,977 newly issued shares of NRG's common stock which trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol NRG. Upon closing, the Purchaser will assume substantially all of the liabilities related to assets to be acquired, including, among other things, (i) all liabilities of EME under the Powerton and Joliet leases, other than the cure amount as set forth in the Acquisition Agreement (the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount); (ii) all trade and vendor accounts payable and accrued liabilities arising from the operation of the Debtor Entities' businesses prior to the date of the closing of the transaction; and (iii) all cure amounts and other liabilities of the Debtor Entities other

than the Homer City Debtors and certain agreed-upon excluded liabilities. NRG will not acquire (i) the Homer City Debtors, (ii) potential litigation claims of EME against its parent, EIX and (iii) various tax attributes of EME, including tax losses, tax loss carryforwards, tax credits, and tax refunds.

The Plan generally provides for each of EME's general unsecured creditors to receive a pro rata portion of the NRG stock and cash consideration to be paid by the Purchaser to EME under the Acquisition Agreement (less certain distributions to be paid to other creditors of EME) and a pro rata share of any new securities issued by the reorganized successor entity.

Under the Settlement Agreement, a Reorganization Trust will be formed, which will make distributions pursuant to the Plan for the benefit of EME's existing creditors. All assets and liabilities of EME that are not otherwise discharged in the bankruptcy or transferred to NRG as part of the NRG Sale will be transferred to the Reorganization Trust, with the exception of (i) the EME Tax Attributes, estimated at \$1.19 billion, which will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group, (ii) liabilities totaling \$241 million associated with the qualified pension plan, the executive retirement plan, the executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions, which are being assumed by EIX and (iii) EME's indirect interest in Capistrano Wind Partners. EIX has disclosed that they have estimated their exposure to the qualified pension plan, executive retirement plan, executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions to be approximately \$350 million. EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes as follows: \$225 million payable on the Effective Date in cash, with one half of the balance payable on each of September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2016, together with interest at 5% per annum from the Effective Date.

The estimated value of the EME Tax Attributes will be updated within approximately six months of the Effective Date. When the updated estimate is finalized, the amounts of the two installment payments remaining to be made by EIX will be fixed and EIX will deliver to the Reorganization Trust two zero coupon promissory notes evidencing its obligation to make those payments.

EME and the Reorganization Trust will release EIX and its subsidiaries, officers, directors, and representatives from all claims, except for those deriving from commercial arrangements between SCE and certain of EME's subsidiaries and obligations under the Settlement Agreement. EIX and its subsidiaries that directly and indirectly own EME will provide a similar release to EME and the Reorganization Trust. Under the Plan, EIX and its subsidiaries, officers, directors and representatives will also be beneficiaries of orders of the Bankruptcy Court releasing them from claims of third parties in EME's bankruptcy proceedings and the Reorganization Trust will be obligated to set aside \$50 million in escrow to secure its obligations to EIX under the Settlement Agreement, including its obligation to protect against liabilities, if any, not discharged in the Chapter 11 Cases for which the Reorganization Trust remains responsible. Such escrowed amount will decline over time to zero on the later of September 30, 2016 and the date on which certain third-party claims pending on September 30, 2016 are resolved.

The following conditions, and others, shall have been satisfied or waived for the Plan to become effective:

- Consummation of the NRG Sale, which is expected in April 2014;
- Payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount; and
- Establishment of the Reorganization Trust and funding of escrow accounts therein.

#### Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and Costs

All of Midwest Generation's Illinois coal-fired electric generating units are subject to an Illinois regulation called the Combined Pollutant Standard (CPS), which sets emission limits for mercury, nitrogen oxide and SO<sub>2</sub> and specifies the control technologies that are to be installed on some units by specified dates. Midwest Generation must install the required technology by the specified deadline, shut down the unit, or curtail generation to be in compliance with the CPS.

On April 4, 2013, Midwest Generation was granted a variance, subject to various conditions, by the Illinois Pollution Control Board from the CPS system-wide annual SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate in 2015 and 2016 and an extension of the Waukegan Unit 8 unit specific retrofit requirements from December 31, 2014 until May 31, 2015. Among the conditions of the variance, the Illinois Pollution Control Board accelerated the unit specific retrofit requirements of Powerton Unit 6 to December 31, 2014 and required the retrofitting of Waukegan Unit 7 by December 31, 2014. Midwest Generation has accepted the variance. As a result of the variance, it is more likely that Midwest Generation will install environmental controls at Waukegan Unit 7, which had been impaired from an accounting perspective during the fourth quarter of 2011. If Midwest Generation ultimately decides to install environmental controls at



Waukegan Unit 7, less of Midwest Generation's available liquidity will be available to install environmental controls at other units.

Decisions whether to proceed with retrofitting of any particular units to comply with CPS requirements for SO<sub>2</sub> and mercury emissions, including those that have received permits, are subject to a number of factors such as market conditions, regulatory and legislative developments, liquidity, forecasted commodity prices, and capital and operating costs applicable at the time decisions are required or made. Midwest Generation is not expected to generate sufficient cash flows from operating

activities, and will likely need to borrow funds or find other sources of capital to fund the retrofits of its coal-fired plants. As part of the NRG Sale, NRG has committed to invest in environmental upgrades in an amount not to exceed \$350 million at the Powerton and Joliet Stations.

All capital projects needed to comply with nitrogen oxide emission limits of the CPS have been completed. Capital projects needed to comply with mercury limits of the CPS have been completed except for the projects that must be undertaken on Waukegan Unit 7 and Will County Unit 3. Waukegan Unit 7 and Will County Unit 3 are subject to a unique CPS requirement to convert hot-side electrostatic precipitator (ESP) equipment to cold-side ESP or fabric filtration equipment in order to reduce mercury emissions. Final decisions to retrofit or shut down units will be made in light of the timing requirements under the CPS and other applicable environmental regulations and the economic projections of those retrofits, on a unit-by-unit basis, at the time the decision is made. Based on work to date through December 31, 2013, the estimated costs of retrofitting the Midwest Generation plants for full CPS compliance, as well as compliance with the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS), are as follows:

| Unit       | Remaining Cost<br>(in millions) | Unit          | Remaining Cost<br>(in millions) |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Joliet 6   | \$75                            | Waukegan 7    | \$55                            |
| Joliet 7   | 114                             | Waukegan 8    | 64                              |
| Joliet 8   | 129                             | Will County 3 | 104                             |
| Powerton 5 | 133                             | Will County 4 | 93                              |
| Powerton 6 | 66                              |               |                                 |

For additional discussion of environmental regulatory developments, see "Item 1. Business—Environmental Matters and Regulations" and "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 10. Environmental Developments."

#### MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC

##### Results of Operations

As of December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation operates 4,619 MW of total net physical capacity at the Midwest Generation plants.

In accordance with GAAP, Midwest Generation accounts for the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback as a lease financing in its separate consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, Midwest Generation records the power plants as assets in a similar manner to a capital lease and records depreciation expense from the power plants and interest expense from the lease financing. For additional discussion of the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Sale Leaseback."

The following table reconciles Midwest Generation's results of operations to the EME consolidated Adjusted EBITDA results of the Midwest Generation plants as reported by EME:

| (in millions)                                | Years Ended December 31, |                  |                           |                        |                  |                           |                        |                  |                           |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 2013                     |                  |                           | 2012                   |                  |                           | 2011                   |                  |                           |
|  | Midwest<br>Generations   | Adjust-<br>ments | EME<br>Adjusted<br>EBITDA | Midwest<br>Generations | Adjust-<br>ments | EME<br>Adjusted<br>EBITDA | Midwest<br>Generations | Adjust-<br>ments | EME<br>Adjusted<br>EBITDA |
| Operating Revenues                           | \$ 817                   | \$—              | \$ 817                    | \$ 892                 | \$—              | \$ 892                    | \$ 1,286               | \$—              | \$ 1,286                  |
| Operating Expenses                           |                          |                  |                           |                        |                  |                           |                        |                  |                           |
| Fuel   | 539                      | —                | 539                       | 582                    | —                | 582                       | 512                    | —                | 512                       |
| Plant operations                             | 258                      | —                | 258                       | 369                    | —                | 369                       | 457                    | (1)              | 456                       |
| Plant operating leases <sup>1</sup>          | —                        | 75               | 75                        | —                      | 75               | 75                        | —                      | 75               | 75                        |
| Depreciation and amortization                | 119                      | (119)            | —                         | 128                    | (128)            | —                         | 158                    | (158)            | —                         |
| Asset impairments and other charges          | 465                      | (465)            | —                         | 14                     | (14)             | —                         | 653                    | (653)            | —                         |
| Administrative and general <sup>2</sup>      | 22                       | (5)              | 17                        | 18                     | —                | 18                        | 22                     | —                | 22                        |
| Impairment of loan to affiliate <sup>3</sup> | —                        | —                | —                         | 1,378                  | (1,378)          | —                         | —                      | —                | —                         |
| Total operating expenses                     | 1,403                    | (514)            | 889                       | 2,489                  | (1,445)          | 1,044                     | 1,802                  | (737)            | 1,065                     |
| Operating Income (Loss)                      | (586)                    | 514              | (72)                      | (1,597)                | 1,445            | (152)                     | (516)                  | 737              | 221                       |
| Other Income                                 | —                        | —                | —                         | —                      | —                | —                         | 3                      | 1                | 4                         |
| Adjusted EBITDA                              | \$(586)                  | \$514            | \$(72)                    | \$(1,597)              | \$1,445          | \$(152)                   | \$(513)                | \$738            | \$ 225                    |

<sup>1</sup> Represents levelized rent expense of the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback as recorded as an operating lease at EME.

<sup>2</sup> Adjustment represents restructuring costs which are excluded from Adjusted EBITDA.

<sup>3</sup> Represents the valuation allowance charge recorded on Midwest Generation's note receivable from EME. For

additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 15. Related Party Transactions."

The following table presents key additional data for Midwest Generation:

| Statistics <sup>1</sup>                 | Years Ended December 31, |   |         |   |         |   |
|---|--------------------------|---|---------|---|---------|---|
|   | 2013                     |   | 2012    |   | 2011    |   |
| Generation (in GWh) <sup>2</sup>        | 22,694                   |   | 22,913  |   | 28,145  |   |
| Aggregate plant performance             |                          |   |         |   |         |   |
| Equivalent availability                 | 89.2                     | % | 85.9    | % | 82.9    | % |
| Capacity factor                         | 60.2                     | % | 53.6    | % | 62.2    | % |
| Load factor                             | 67.5                     | % | 62.4    | % | 75.0    | % |
| Forced outage rate                      | 3.0                      | % | 4.6     | % | 5.3     | % |
| Average realized price/MWh <sup>2</sup> | \$34.38                  |   | \$34.26 |   | \$36.83 |   |
| Capacity revenues only (in millions)    | \$35                     |   | \$97    |   | \$244   |   |
| Average realized fuel costs/MWh         | \$23.76                  |   | \$24.62 |   | \$18.06 |   |

<sup>1</sup> For an explanation of how the statistical data is determined, see "—Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Disclosures and Statistical Definitions."

<sup>2</sup> gigawatt-hours (GWh); megawatt-hours (MWh).

#### Operating Loss

Midwest Generation's operating loss decreased \$1.0 billion in 2013 compared to 2012. Excluding a \$464 million impairment charge related to the Will County Station in 2013 and a \$1.4 billion affiliate loan impairment charge in 2012, Midwest Generation's operating loss decreased \$97 million in 2013 primarily attributable to lower plant operations and support fuel



costs partially offset by lower capacity revenues. Lower plant operations costs were driven by \$43 million of savings associated with the voluntary shutdown of the coal-fired Fisk and Crawford Stations in August 2012 and \$68 million of cost reduction activities implemented at all the Midwest Generation stations. Lower support fuel costs were primarily attributable to lower volumes associated with system optimization and lower prices of activated carbon and urea. For further discussion of impairment charges, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges" and "—Note 15. Related Party Transactions." Midwest Generation's operating loss increased \$1.1 billion in 2012 compared to 2011. Excluding the \$1.4 billion affiliate loan impairment charge in 2012 and \$640 million of impairment charges in 2011, Midwest Generation's operating loss increased \$343 million in 2012 primarily attributable to lower capacity and average realized energy prices, reduced generation and higher fuel prices, partially offset by lower planned maintenance costs and lower depreciation. Reduced generation primarily resulted from lower economic dispatch. Lower planned maintenance costs were the result of a reduction in scope related to the voluntary shutdown of coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations in 2012, cost savings on the execution of projects and the deferral of projects into future years.

#### Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Disclosures and Statistical Definitions

Average realized energy price and average realized fuel costs are presented as an aid in understanding the operating results of Midwest Generation. These statistical measures are both non-GAAP performance measures since they exclude unrealized gains or losses recorded as operating revenues or fuel costs. Management believes that these measures are meaningful for investors as this information reflects the impact of hedge contracts at the time of actual generation in period-over-period comparisons or as compared to real-time market prices. These measures may not be comparable to those of other companies.

#### Average Realized Energy Price

The average realized energy price reflects the average price at which energy is sold into the market including the effects of hedges, real-time and day-ahead sales, and PJM fees and ancillary services. It is determined by dividing (i) operating revenues adjusted for unrealized gains (losses) and other non-energy related revenues by (ii) generation as shown in the table below. Revenues related to capacity sales are excluded from the calculation of average realized energy price. The following table shows the average realized energy price for Midwest Generation:

| (in millions)                     | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                   | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Operating revenues                | \$817                    | \$892   | \$1,286 |
| Adjusted for:                     |                          |         |         |
| Unrealized (gains) losses         | 1                        | 2       | (3      |
| Capacity and other revenues       | (38                      | ) (109  | ) (247  |
| Realized revenues                 | \$780                    | \$785   | \$1,036 |
| Generation (in GWh)               | 22,694                   | 22,913  | 28,145  |
| Average realized energy price/MWh | \$34.38                  | \$34.26 | \$36.83 |

A reconciliation of the operating revenues of Midwest Generation presented in the preceding table and renewable energy projects presented in "Edison Mission Energy—Results of Operations—Renewable Energy Projects" to consolidated EME operating revenues is set forth below:

| (in millions)                                   | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Operating revenues                              |                          |         |         |
| Midwest Generation                              | \$817                    | \$892   | \$1,286 |
| EME Renewable energy projects                   | 279                      | 255     | 221     |
| Other EME subsidiaries                          | 235                      | 140     | 146     |
| Consolidated EME operating revenues as reported | \$1,331                  | \$1,287 | \$1,653 |



## Average Realized Fuel Costs

The average realized fuel costs for Midwest Generation reflects the average cost per MWh at which fuel is consumed for generation sold into the market, including emission allowance costs and the effects of hedges. It is determined by dividing (i) fuel costs adjusted for unrealized gains (losses) and the cost of coal sales by (ii) generation as shown in the table below:

| (in millions)                   | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                 | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Fuel costs                      | \$539                    | \$582   | \$512   |
| Adjusted for:                   |                          |         |         |
| Unrealized losses               | —                        | (4      | ) (4    |
| Cost of coal sales <sup>1</sup> | —                        | (14     | ) —     |
| Realized fuel costs             | \$539                    | \$564   | \$508   |
| Generation (in GWh)             | 22,694                   | 22,913  | 28,145  |
| Average realized fuel costs/MWh | \$23.76                  | \$24.62 | \$18.06 |

<sup>1</sup> During 2012, Midwest Generation sold one million tons of coal.

A reconciliation of the fuel costs of Midwest Generation to consolidated EME fuel costs is set forth below:

| (in millions)                           | Years Ended December 31, |       |       |
|---|--------------------------|-------|-------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012  | 2011  |
| Fuel costs                              |                          |       |       |
| Midwest Generation                      | \$539                    | \$582 | \$512 |
| Other EME subsidiaries                  | 25                       | 20    | 18    |
| Consolidated EME fuel costs as reported | \$564                    | \$602 | \$530 |

## Statistical Definitions

Equivalent availability reflects the impact of the unit's inability to achieve full load, referred to as derating, as well as outages which result in a complete unit shutdown. The Midwest Generation plants are not available during periods of planned and unplanned maintenance. The equivalent availability factor is defined as the number of MWh the coal plants are available to generate electricity divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period.

The capacity factor indicates how much power a unit generated compared to the maximum amount of power that could be generated according to its rating. It is defined as the actual number of MWh generated by the coal plants divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period.

The load factor indicates how much power a unit generated compared to the maximum amount of power that a unit was available to generate electricity. It is determined by dividing capacity factor by the equivalent availability factor.

The forced outage rate refers to forced outages and deratings excluding events outside of management's control as defined by NERC. Examples include floods, tornado damage and transmission outages.

## Other Income (Expense)

| (in millions)                  | Years Ended December 31, |        |       |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-------|
|                                | 2013                     | 2012   | 2011  |
| Interest and other income      | \$—                      | \$—    | \$3   |
| Interest income from affiliate | —                        | 110    | 111   |
| Interest expense               | (23                      | ) (33  | ) (40 |
| Total other income (expense)   | \$(23                    | ) \$77 | \$74  |

Beginning in 2013, Midwest Generation ceased accruing interest income on its intercompany loan with EME. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 15. Related Party Transactions." The declines in interest expense primarily relate to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback.

#### Income Taxes

The valuation allowance Midwest Generation recorded in 2013 and 2012 resulted in a significant variance between the effective tax rate and the statutory rate. Midwest Generation's effective tax rate was 39% in 2011. Midwest Generation's effective tax rates also differ from the federal statutory rate of 35% due to state income taxes. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes."

#### Related Party Transactions

For a discussion of related party transactions, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Income Taxes and Tax-Allocation Agreements" and "—Note 15. Related Party Transactions."

#### New Accounting Guidance

For a discussion of new accounting guidance affecting Midwest Generation see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—New Accounting Guidance."



## EDISON MISSION ENERGY

## Results of Operations

## Midwest Generation Plants

In accordance with GAAP, EME records rent expense related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback on a levelized basis over the terms of the respective leases, which is reflected below under plant operating leases. Neither the value of the leased assets nor the lessor debt is reflected on EME's consolidated balance sheet. For additional discussion of the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Off-Balance Sheet Transactions—Sale Leaseback."

The following table presents Adjusted EBITDA for the Midwest Generation plants as part of the consolidated financial statements of EME:

| (in millions)              | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|                            | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Operating Revenues         | \$817                    | \$892   | \$1,286 |
| Operating Expenses         |                          |         |         |
| Fuel                       | 539                      | 582     | 512     |
| Plant operations           | 258                      | 369     | 456     |
| Plant operating leases     | 75                       | 75      | 75      |
| Administrative and general | 17                       | 18      | 22      |
|                            | 889                      | 1,044   | 1,065   |
| Other Income               | —                        | —       | 4       |
| Adjusted EBITDA            | \$(72)                   | \$(152) | \$225   |

For a discussion of Midwest Generation's operating results and additional data for the Midwest Generation plants, see "Midwest Generation, LLC—Results of Operations."

## Renewable Energy Projects

The following table presents Adjusted EBITDA and key performance measures related to EME's renewable energy projects:

| (in millions, except operating statistics)                 | Years Ended December 31, |        |        |
|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012   | 2011   |
| Operating Revenues   | \$279                    | 255    | 221    |
| Operating Expenses   |                          |        |        |
| Plant operations   | 97                       | 89     | 78     |
| Administrative and general                                 | 3                        | 6      | 4      |
|  | 100                      | 95     | 82     |
| Equity in income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates     | —                        | (1)    | 1      |
| Other income   | 2                        | —      | 3      |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (29)                     | (16)   | 1      |
| Renewable energy projects                                  | \$152                    | \$143  | \$144  |
| Production tax credits                                     | 80                       | 69     | 66     |
| Adjusted EBITDA  | \$232                    | \$212  | \$210  |
| Statistics <sup>1</sup>                                    |                          |        |        |
| Generation (in GWh) <sup>2</sup>                           | 6,318                    | 5,991  | 5,564  |
| Aggregate plant performance <sup>2</sup>                   |                          |        |        |
| Equivalent availability <sup>3</sup>                       | 94.1                     | % 93.5 | % 91.7 |
| Capacity factor  | 35.6                     | % 34.9 | % 35.6 |

<sup>1</sup> The statistics section summarizes key performance measures related to wind projects, which represents substantially all of the renewable energy projects.

<sup>2</sup> Includes renewable energy projects that are not consolidated by EME. Generation excluding unconsolidated projects was 5,569 GWh in 2013, 5,197 GWh in 2012 and 4,816 GWh in 2011.

<sup>3</sup> Equivalent availability for renewable energy projects reflects the impact of the projects inability to generate power at full capacity, including outages due to regular maintenance. Equivalent availability is defined as the number of MWh the units are available to generate electricity divided by the product of the capacity of the units (in MW) and the number of hours in the period.

Adjusted EBITDA from renewable energy projects increased \$20 million in 2013 compared to 2012. Revenues increased \$24 million and production tax credits increased \$11 million, mainly as a result of the Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs wind projects achieving commercial operation in the fourth quarter of 2012 and also as a result of higher generation and higher energy prices at the merchant Big Sky and Goat Wind projects.

Plant operations expense increased \$8 million primarily as a result of the Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs wind projects achieving commercial operations and increased expenses at the Big Sky and High Lonesome projects.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests increased \$13 million primarily as a result of the sale of the Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs wind projects to Capistrano Wind Partners.

Adjusted EBITDA increased \$2 million in 2012 compared to 2011. Revenues increased \$34 million and production tax credits increased \$3 million, primarily attributable to Taloga and Pinnacle wind projects that achieved commercial operation in the second half of 2011. EME' share of installed capacity of new wind projects that commenced operations during 2012 and 2011 was 88 MW and 295 MW, respectively.

Plant operations expense increased \$11 million in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to the addition of new projects that achieved commercial operation and increased expenses at the Big Sky and High Lonesome projects.

In 2012, \$16 million of net income from the wind projects was allocated to outside investors in Capistrano Wind Partners. For additional information, see "Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 3. Variable Interest Entities—Projects or Entities that are Consolidated—Capistrano Wind Partners."

The following table reconciles Adjusted EBITDA from EME's renewable energy projects to its operating income (loss) as included in EME's consolidated statements of operations:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |       |       |   |
|--|--------------------------|-------|-------|---|
|  | 2013                     | 2012  | 2011  |   |
| Adjusted EBITDA  | \$232                    | \$212 | \$210 |   |
| Less:  |                          |       |       |   |
| Depreciation and amortization                              | 154                      | 149   | 136   |   |
| Asset impairments and other charges <sup>1</sup>           | (2                       | ) —   | 30    |   |
| Production tax credits <sup>2</sup>                        | 80                       | 69    | 66    |   |
| Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates       | —                        | (1    | ) 1   |   |
| Other income   | 2                        | —     | 3     |   |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (29                      | ) (16 | ) 1   |   |
| Operating Income (Loss)                                    | \$27                     | \$11  | \$(27 | ) |

<sup>1</sup> For further discussion of the 2011 impairment charge, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges."

<sup>2</sup> Production tax credits are included in the provision (benefit) for income taxes.

## Interest Income (Expense)

| (in millions)                                 | Years Ended December 31, |          |          |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011     |
| Interest income                               | \$2                      | \$2      | \$1      |
| Interest expense, net of capitalized interest |                          |          |          |
| EME debt                                      | —                        | (254     | ) (257   |
| Nonrecourse debt                              | (91                      | ) (72    | ) (65    |
| Interest expense, net                         | \$(89                    | ) \$(324 | ) \$(321 |

EME's net interest expense decreased \$235 million in 2013 from 2012 and increased \$3 million in 2012 from 2011. The 2013 decrease in net interest expense was primarily due to the fact that EME ceased accruing interest on its \$3.7 billion of unsecured senior notes during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases. The 2012 increase in net interest expense was primarily due to higher debt balances from new project financings partially offset by higher capitalized interest. Unpaid contractual interest for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$281 million and \$11 million, respectively. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 16. Restructuring Activities—LSTC."

## Income Taxes

Historically, EME participated in tax-allocation agreements with EIX in which EME would be eligible to receive payments from EIX for tax losses and credits generated by EME. During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013. As a result, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME recorded a valuation allowance of \$752 million and \$444 million, respectively. Upon the effectiveness of the Settlement Agreement, EME would expect to reverse the valuation allowance it has recorded. EME also recorded a \$27 million non-cash income tax benefit offset by an equal valuation allowance during the fourth quarter of 2013 related to excess tax benefits that had not been generated by EME.

The valuation allowance EME recorded in 2013 and 2012 resulted in a significant variance between the effective tax rate and the statutory rate. EME's effective tax rate was 50% in 2011. EME's effective tax rates also differ from the federal statutory rate of 35% due to production tax credits, estimated state income tax benefits allocated from EIX, and taxes on income allocated to noncontrolling interests. Production tax credits of \$80 million, \$69 million and \$66 million were included in income taxes for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Estimated state income tax benefits allocated from EIX of \$5 million, \$3 million and \$6 million were recognized for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The benefit for state taxes was lower in 2012 due to an adjustment in state apportionment factors.

For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes."

## Results of Discontinued Operations

EME's income (loss) from discontinued operations primarily reflects the results of Homer City and was \$1 million, \$(112) million and \$(632) million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The 2013 results from discontinued operations reflects the withdrawal from the benefit plan that provided postretirement medical, dental, vision, and life insurance coverage to certain Homer City retirees and the subsequent cost, reflected in reorganization items, for a Bankruptcy Court approved settlement between the Homer City Debtors and the union for the affected Homer City retirees. The 2012 results reflect a pre-tax charge of \$89 million (\$53 million after tax) associated with the divestiture of Homer City. The 2011 results included a pre-tax earnings charge of \$1,032 million (\$623 million after tax) recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011 resulting from the write-off of prepaid rent and leasehold improvements related to the Homer City lease. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 14. Discontinued Operations."

## Related Party Transactions

For a discussion of related party transactions, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Income Taxes and Tax-Allocation Agreements,"

"—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements—Credit Facilities and Letters of Credit" and "—Note 15. Related Party Transactions."

46

---

New Accounting Guidance

For a discussion of new accounting guidance affecting EME, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—New Accounting Guidance."

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

## Available Liquidity

The following table summarizes EME's and Midwest Generation's available liquidity at December 31, 2013:

| (in millions)  | Debtor<br>Entities | Non-debtor<br>Entities | Cash and<br>Cash<br>Equivalents |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| EME as a holding company                                       | \$564              | —                      | \$564                           |
| Midwest Generation   | 118                | —                      | 118                             |
| Other EME subsidiaries   | 3                  | 441                    | 444                             |
| EME and subsidiaries without contractual dividend restrictions | 685                | 441                    | 1,126                           |
| EME subsidiaries with contractual dividend restrictions        | —                  | 50                     | 50                              |
| Total  | \$685              | \$491                  | \$1,176                         |

EME, as a holding company, does not directly operate any revenue-producing generation facilities. EME relies on cash distributions from its projects to meet its obligations, including debt service obligations on long-term debt. Midwest Generation generates liquidity through cash flows from operations and payments by EME under an intercompany loan issued in connection with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. However, at December 31, 2012, Midwest Generation recorded a \$1.4 billion charge, equal to the full carrying amount of the loan and accrued interest, and ceased accruing interest income associated with the intercompany loan. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME did not make any of the three scheduled \$61 million principal and interest payments due to Midwest Generation. Upon consummation of the Plan and the NRG Sale, this loan and accrued interest will be canceled. For additional information on the intercompany loan, see "Off-Balance Sheet Transactions—EME's Obligations to Midwest Generation."

Likewise, during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation did not make any of the three scheduled \$76 million lease payments under the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds issued to finance these leases. Midwest Generation did pay the ratable portion of the rent due under the leases attributable to the period between December 17, 2012 and January 2, 2013 of \$7 million and beginning in July 2013, EME and Midwest Generation agreed, among other things, to make monthly rental payments of \$3.75 million. Upon consummation of the NRG Sale, Midwest Generation will assume the Powerton and Joliet leases and EME will retain all liabilities with respect to the payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount. The cure amount would have been approximately \$147 million at December 31, 2013. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 16. Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale."

## Capital Investment Plan

Forecasted capital expenditures through 2016 by EME's subsidiaries for existing projects are as follows:

| (in millions)        | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Midwest Generation   |       |       |       |
| Environmental        | \$125 | \$235 | \$229 |
| Plant capital        | 7     | 17    | 33    |
| Walnut Creek Project | 6     | —     | —     |
| Total                | \$138 | \$252 | \$262 |

Midwest Generation's forecasted environmental expenditures are based on using dry scrubbing with sodium-based sorbents and upgrading particulate removal systems to comply with CPS requirements for SO<sub>2</sub> and mercury emissions and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (US EPA) MATS regulations on hazardous air pollutant emissions. On April 4, 2013, Midwest Generation was granted a variance, subject to various conditions, by the Illinois Pollution Control Board from the CPS system-wide annual SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate in 2015 and 2016 and an extension of the Waukegan Unit 8 unit specific retrofit requirements from December 31, 2014 until May 31, 2015. For additional information on environmental expenditures, see "Edison Mission Energy Overview—Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and Costs."



The timing of commencing capital projects may vary from the amounts set forth in the above table. Midwest Generation is not expected to generate sufficient cash flows from operating activities, and will likely need to borrow funds or find other sources of capital to fund the retrofits of its coal-fired plants. As part of the NRG Sale, NRG has committed to invest in environmental upgrades in an amount not to exceed \$350 million at the Powerton and Joliet Stations.

#### Historical Consolidated Cash Flow (EME only)

The following table presents EME's condensed consolidated statement of cash flows:

| (in millions)   | 2013  | 2012    | 2011   |
|---|-------|---------|--------|
| Operating cash flows from continuing operations                                 | \$233 | \$(467) | \$660  |
| Operating cash flows from discontinued operations, net                          | (2)   | (46)    | (34)   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                             | 231   | (513)   | 626    |
| Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations            | 112   | 564     | 277    |
| Investing cash flows from continuing operations                                 | (57)  | (430)   | (664)  |
| Investing cash flows from discontinued operations, net                          | —     | (31)    | (14)   |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (57)  | (461)   | (678)  |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations | \$288 | \$(333) | \$273  |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations          | \$(2) | \$(77)  | \$(48) |

#### Cash Flows From Operating Activities

The fluctuation in cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations in 2013 as compared to cash used in operating activities from continuing operations in 2012 was mainly attributable to lower rent payments for the leased facilities at the Powerton and Joliet plants due to the forbearance agreement (see "—Available Liquidity") and fewer coal purchases as existing coal inventory was utilized. The fluctuation was also attributable to \$15 million of tax-allocation payments received, net of payments, in 2013 compared to tax-allocation payments, net of receipts, of \$62 million in 2012 and tax-allocation payments received, net of payments, of \$213 million in 2011. 2013 operating cash flows also increased due to the classification of pre-petition obligations as LSTC.

The decrease in cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations in 2012 as compared to 2011 was primarily attributable to decreased operating income due to declining energy prices, increased operating costs and higher interest payments due to new energy project financings. The remaining changes relate to the timing of cash receipts and disbursements related to working capital items.



## Cash Flows From Financing Activities

The change in financing activities is primarily due to cash contributions from noncontrolling interests and the timing of financings and repayment of debt as summarized in the following table:

| (in millions)   | 2013  | 2012  | 2011  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests                    | \$94  | \$288 | \$—   |
| Debt financings   |       |       |       |
| Walnut Creek Project  | 149   | 195   | 187   |
| Renewable energy projects   | 22    | 79    | 326   |
| Other projects  | 4     | —     | —     |
| Debt repayments   |       |       |       |
| Walnut Creek Project  | (60 ) | —     | —     |
| Renewable energy projects   | (40 ) | (36 ) | (89 ) |
| Other projects  | (23 ) | (20 ) | (18 ) |
| Borrowing held in escrow pending completion of project construction | —     | 97    | (97 ) |
| Financing costs and others  | (34 ) | (39 ) | (32 ) |
| Total cash provided by financing activities                         | \$112 | \$564 | \$277 |

## Cash Flows From Investing Activities

The change in investing activities is primarily due to the timing of capital expenditures and cash collateral to secure letter of credit facilities associated with the termination of EME's revolving credit facility. Changes in other investing activities are reflected in the following table:

| (in millions)                              | 2013    | 2012     | 2011     |
|--|---------|----------|----------|
| Capital expenditures                       |         |          |          |
| Midwest Generation plants                  |         |          |          |
| Environmental                              | \$(12 ) | \$(21 )  | \$(82 )  |
| Plant capital                              | (4 )    | (9 )     | (21 )    |
| Walnut Creek Project                       | (70 )   | (203 )   | (258 )   |
| Renewable energy projects                  | (3 )    | (114 )   | (298 )   |
| Other capital expenditures                 | (3 )    | (8 )     | (13 )    |
| Investments in other assets                | 4       | (8 )     | (30 )    |
| Collateral for letter of credit facilities | 27      | (49 )    | —        |
| Other investing activities                 | 4       | (18 )    | 38       |
| Homer City plant                           | —       | (31 )    | (14 )    |
| Total cash used in investing activities    | \$(57 ) | \$(461 ) | \$(678 ) |

## Historical Consolidated Cash Flow (Midwest Generation only)

The following table presents Midwest Generation's condensed consolidated statement of cash flows:

| (in millions)  | 2013  | 2012     | 2011    |
|--|-------|----------|---------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities            | \$43  | \$13     | \$364   |
| Net cash used in financing activities                | (6 )  | (116 )   | (334 )  |
| Net cash used in investing activities                | (14 ) | (15 )    | (112 )  |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$23  | \$(118 ) | \$(82 ) |

#### Cash Flows From Operating Activities

The increase in cash provided by operating activities in 2013 as compared to 2012 was primarily attributable to fewer coal purchases as existing coal inventory was utilized, which was partially offset by lower capacity revenues. 2013 operating cash flows also increased due to the classification of pre-petition obligations as LSTC.

The 2012 decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to lower capacity prices, lower average realized energy prices, higher fuel prices and reduced generation. The remaining changes relate to the timing of cash receipts and disbursements related to working capital items.

#### Cash Flows From Financing Activities

The decrease in 2013 was primarily due to lower rent payments for the leased facilities at the Powerton and Joliet plants due to the forbearance agreement (see "—Available Liquidity") in 2013 as compared with 2012. The decrease in 2012 was primarily due to \$225 million of distributions made by Midwest Generation to its parent in 2011, with no distributions made in 2012.

#### Cash Flows From Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities consisted primarily of capital expenditures for 2013, 2012 and 2011.

#### Margin, Collateral Deposits and Other Credit Support for Energy Contracts

To reduce their exposure to market risk, EME and Midwest Generation hedge a portion of their electricity price exposure through Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc. (EMMT). In connection with entering into contracts, EMMT may be required to support its risk of nonperformance through parent guarantees, margining, or other credit support. EME has entered into guarantees in support of certain of EMMT's hedging and trading activities. However, EME and EMMT have historically also provided collateral in the form of cash and letters of credit for the benefit of counterparties. EME's ability to provide collateral during the Chapter 11 Cases is limited. For further details, see "Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—EME—Summary of Derivative Instruments."

Midwest Generation anticipates that sales of its power through EMMT may require additional credit support, depending upon market conditions and the strategies adopted for the sale of this power. Changes in forward market prices and margin requirements and increases in merchant sales could further increase the need for credit support related to hedging activities. Midwest Generation has only a limited ability to provide collateral to support bilateral contracts for power and fuel to the extent that any such transactions relate to its merchant energy operations. Pursuant to an order from the Bankruptcy Court, such credit support would require prior written notice to certain of Midwest Generation's unsecured creditors, who would have an opportunity to object. In the event of an objection, Midwest Generation would not be able to provide collateral unless the Bankruptcy Court orders otherwise. There is no assurance that Midwest Generation will be able to provide credit support to EMMT.

Future cash collateral requirements for hedging and trading activities may be higher than the margin and collateral requirements at December 31, 2013, if wholesale energy prices change or if EMMT enters into additional transactions. EME estimates that, under existing arrangements, margin and collateral requirements for energy and congestion contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2013 could increase by approximately \$2 million over the remaining life of the contracts using a 95% confidence level.

EMMT's approach to trading and risk management depends, in part, on the ability to use clearing brokers to enter into market transactions. As a result of its financial position, EMMT has limited access to enter into such transactions and has been subject to increased initial collateral and margin requirements. There is no assurance that EMMT will continue to be able to utilize clearing brokers. If EMMT becomes unable to utilize clearing brokers, it may seek to execute bilateral transactions with third parties which could be unavailable on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

#### EME's Intercompany Tax-Allocation Agreement

Historically, EME participated in tax-allocation agreements with EIX in which EME would be eligible to receive payments from EIX for tax losses and credits generated by EME. During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013. Termination does not relieve any party of any obligations with respect to any tax year beginning prior to the year of termination. The parties to the Settlement Agreement have estimated the EME

Tax Attributes to be \$1.19 billion and have agreed that EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes as follows: \$225 million payable on the Effective Date in cash, with one half of the balance payable on each of September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2016, together with interest at 5% per annum from the Effective Date.

During 2013, in connection with EIX's finalization of their 2012 consolidated tax returns, EME recorded a net non-cash contribution from EIX of \$25 million related to tax benefits which EME had previously believed would be utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis but would not be paid under the tax-allocation agreements. In addition, EME received a net tax-allocation payment from EIX of approximately \$12 million as a partial payment for tax benefits previously recorded as non-cash distributions.

If the Settlement Agreement is terminated, as a result of the termination of the Acquisition Agreement or otherwise, then, as of January 1, 2014 benefits that had been previously generated by EME and utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis but are unpaid under the tax-allocation agreements will not be available for use by EME in its own consolidated tax return and will not be paid by EIX. At December 31, 2013, EME has a non-cash distribution to its parent of \$185 million related to tax benefits for which, under the tax-allocation agreements as applied, EME has not yet, and may never be, entitled to be paid. In addition, EME has not yet, and may never be, paid for the approximately \$1,435 million of tax benefits generated by EME which have not yet been utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return.

Capistrano Wind Holdings and Capistrano Wind, LLC, which still participate in tax-allocation agreements with EIX, have generated \$126 million of tax benefits, \$27 million of which has been used by the EIX consolidated tax group, for all of which either payment has been received or payment is expected to be received under the tax-allocation agreements.

#### Midwest Generation's Equity Distributions and Tax Payments

Midwest Generation is included in the consolidated federal and state income tax returns of EIX and is party to a tax-allocation agreement with its parent, Edison Mission Midwest Holdings. Midwest Generation's tax-allocation agreement only permits the use of net operating losses to offset future taxable income and does not include the right to receive payments. As a result, benefits for Midwest Generation's tax losses that would have been utilized by Midwest Generation in a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate return basis are accounted for as non-cash equity distributions. The following table summarizes the cash payments made by Midwest Generation as equity distributions through Edison Mission Midwest Holdings, non-cash equity distributions, and payments made pursuant to tax-allocation agreements:

| (in millions)                                | 2013 | 2012  | 2011  |
|--|------|-------|-------|
| Cash equity distributions                    | \$—  | \$—   | \$225 |
| Non-cash equity distributions                | —    | 106   | —     |
| Tax payments under tax-allocation agreements | —    | —     | 8     |
| Total  | \$—  | \$106 | \$233 |

For further information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes—Midwest Generation—Intercompany Tax-Allocation Agreement," and "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Liquidity Risks."

#### Dividend Restrictions in Major Financings

Each of EME's direct or indirect subsidiaries is organized as a legal entity separate and apart from EME and its other subsidiaries. Except for certain of EME's wind projects in portfolio financings, assets of EME's subsidiaries are not available to satisfy EME's obligations or the obligations of any of its other subsidiaries. However, unrestricted cash or other assets that are available for distribution may, subject to applicable law and the terms of financing arrangements of the parties, be advanced, loaned, paid as dividends or otherwise distributed or contributed to EME or to its subsidiary holding companies. For further details, including the restricted net assets of EME, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements—Debt Covenants." Covenants in the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback documents include restrictions on the ability of EME and Midwest Generation to, among other things, incur debt, create liens on its property, merge or consolidate, sell assets,

make investments, engage in transactions with affiliates, make distributions, make capital expenditures, enter into agreements restricting its ability to make distributions, engage in other lines of business, enter into swap agreements, or engage in transactions for any speculative purpose. For further discussion on the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

## Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Contingencies

## EME

The following table summarizes significant prospective cash requirements for EME as of December 31, 2013 under existing contractual obligations, including payments due by period. As described in the Acquisition Agreement, the Purchaser is in the process of evaluating the Debtor Entities' executory contracts in order to determine which contracts may be rejected. A provision for claims associated with the contracts that have been rejected/failed to reject with the Bankruptcy Court is included in LSTC below. For further discussion, see "Edison Mission Energy Overview—Plan of Reorganization and the NRG Sale"

| (in millions)  | Total   | Payments Due by Period |                 |                 |                      |
|--|---------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
|  |         | Less than<br>1 year    | 1 to 3<br>years | 3 to 5<br>years | More than<br>5 years |
| Long-term debt of EME's non-debtor subsidiaries <sup>1</sup>   | \$1,751 | \$369                  | \$254           | \$290           | \$838                |
| Short-term debt <sup>2</sup>                                   | 4       | 4                      | —               | —               | —                    |
| Power plant and other operating lease obligations <sup>3</sup> | 776     | 287                    | 115             | 20              | 354                  |
| Purchase obligations <sup>4</sup>                              |         |                        |                 |                 |                      |
| Midwest Generation fuel supply contracts                       | 194     | 194                    | —               | —               | —                    |
| Midwest Generation coal transportation agreements <sup>5</sup> | 1,836   | 259                    | 514             | 425             | 638                  |
| Gas transportation agreements                                  | 32      | 7                      | 15              | 10              | —                    |
| Capital expenditures   | 9       | 9                      | —               | —               | —                    |
| Other contractual obligations                                  | 122     | 48                     | 50              | 9               | 15                   |
| Employee benefit plan contribution <sup>6</sup>                | 19      | 19                     | —               | —               | —                    |
| Total excluding LSTC <sup>7</sup>                              | \$4,743 | \$1,196                | \$948           | \$754           | \$1,845              |
| LSTC <sup>8</sup>  | 4,015   |                        |                 |                 |                      |
| Total  | \$8,758 |                        |                 |                 |                      |

Amount is primarily project debt, including \$257 million of interest payments over the applicable period of the debt.

<sup>1</sup> For additional details, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements."

<sup>2</sup> Amount is primarily project debt. For additional details, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements."

Amount is primarily related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. For further discussion, see "—Off-Balance

<sup>3</sup> Sheet Transactions—Sale Leaseback" and "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

<sup>4</sup> For additional details, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

<sup>5</sup> Reflects a reduction in minimum volumes for the voluntarily cessation of coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations.

Amount includes estimated contributions for 2014 for EME to participate in EIX sponsored pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions. The consummation of the NRG Sale would terminate participation in the EIX sponsored plans. For more information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 8. Compensation and Benefit Plans—Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions."

<sup>7</sup> The contractual obligations table does not include derivative obligations, AROs and the total net liability for uncertain tax positions of \$168 million (\$52 million current tax liability and \$116 million non-current tax liability) at December 31, 2013. EME cannot make reliable estimates of the cash flows by period due to uncertainty surrounding the timing of resolving these open tax issues with the Internal Revenue Service. For more information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging

Activities," "—Note 2. Property, Plant and Equipment" and "—Note 7. Income Taxes," respectively.

Amount primarily reflects \$3.7 billion of EME's unsecured senior notes that was previously recorded as long-term<sup>8</sup> debt. For additional details, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements" and "—Note 16. Restructuring Activities."

## Midwest Generation

The following table summarizes significant prospective cash requirements for Midwest Generation as of December 31, 2013 under existing contractual obligations and other commercial commitments, including payments due by period. As described in the Acquisition Agreement, the Purchaser is in the process of evaluating Midwest Generation's executory contracts in order to determine which contracts may be rejected. A provision for claims associated with the contracts that have been rejected/failed to reject with the Bankruptcy Court is included in LSTC below. For further discussion, see "Edison Mission Energy Overview—Plan of Reorganization and the NRG Sale"

| (in millions)                                   | Total   | Payments Due by Period |                 |                 |                      |
|---|---------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
|   |         | Less than<br>1 year    | 1 to 3<br>years | 3 to 5<br>years | More than<br>5 years |
| Operating lease obligations <sup>1</sup>        | \$4     | 2                      | 2               | —               | —                    |
| Purchase obligations <sup>1</sup>               |         |                        |                 |                 |                      |
| Fuel supply contracts                           | 194     | 194                    | —               | —               | —                    |
| Coal transportation agreements <sup>2</sup>     | 1,836   | 259                    | 514             | 425             | 638                  |
| Capital expenditures                            | 9       | 9                      | —               | —               | —                    |
| Other contractual obligations                   | 15      | 15                     | —               | —               | —                    |
| Employee benefit plan contribution <sup>3</sup> | 14      | 14                     | —               | —               | —                    |
| Total excluding LSTC <sup>4</sup>               | \$2,072 | \$493                  | \$516           | \$425           | \$638                |
| LSTC <sup>5</sup>                               | 540     |                        |                 |                 |                      |
| Total   | \$2,612 |                        |                 |                 |                      |

<sup>1</sup> For additional details, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies."

<sup>2</sup> Reflects a reduction in minimum volumes for the voluntarily cessation of coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations.

Amount includes estimated contributions for 2014 for Midwest Generation to participate in EIX sponsored pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions. The consummation of the NRG Sale would terminate

<sup>3</sup> participation in the EIX sponsored plans. For more information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 8. Compensation and Benefit Plans—Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions."

The contractual obligations table does not include derivative obligations, AROs and the total net liability for uncertain tax positions of \$43 million (\$41 million current tax liability and \$2 million non-current tax liability) at

<sup>4</sup> December 31, 2013. Midwest Generation cannot make reliable estimates of the cash flows by period due to uncertainty surrounding the timing of resolving these open tax issues with the Internal Revenue Service. For more information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," "—Note 2. Property, Plant and Equipment" and "—Note 7. Income Taxes," respectively.

Amount primarily reflects principal payments related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback that were <sup>5</sup> previously recorded as lease financing. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies" and "—Note 16. Restructuring Activities."

## Commercial Commitments (EME only)

At December 31, 2013, letters of credit under EME's and its subsidiaries' credit facilities aggregated \$163 million and were scheduled to expire as follows: \$59 million in 2014, \$17 million in 2017, \$9 million in 2018, \$27 million in 2020, \$18 million in 2021, \$13 million in 2022 and \$20 million in 2023. Standby letters of credit include \$22 million issued in connection with the power purchase agreement with Southern California Edison Company, an affiliate of EME, under the Walnut Creek credit facility. At December 31, 2013, EME had \$22 million of cash collateral supporting its standby letters of credit. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements—Credit Facilities and Letters of Credit."

## Contingencies



For a discussion of contingencies related to the Midwest Generation New Source Review and other litigation, the Homer City NSR and other litigation, environmental remediation and the Chevron adversary proceeding, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Contingencies."

## Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

## Introduction

Midwest Generation has off-balance sheet activities related to operating leases in place primarily for leased railcars and operating equipment with termination dates in various years through 2017. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Lease Commitments." EME has off-balance sheet transactions in two principal areas: investments in projects accounted for under the equity method, which is discussed in detail in "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 3. Variable Interest Entities," and operating leases resulting from the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback discussed below.

## Sale Leaseback (EME only)

Midwest Generation has entered into the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and EME has provided guarantees related to this transaction. Neither the value of the leased assets nor the lessor debt is reflected on EME's consolidated balance sheet. In accordance with GAAP, EME records rent expense related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback on a levelized basis over the terms of the respective leases, which is reflected below under plant operating leases.

The lessor equity and lessor debt associated with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback is summarized in the following table:

| Power Station(s) | Acquisition Price (in millions) | Equity Investor <sup>1</sup> | Original Equity Investment in Owner-Lessor (in millions) | Amount of Lessor Debt at December 31, 2013 (in millions) | Maturity Date of Lessor Debt |
|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| Powerton/Joliet  | \$1,367                         | PSEG/Citigroup, Inc.         | \$238  | \$345 Series B   | 2016                         |

<sup>1</sup> PSEG- PSEG Resources, Inc.

The lease payments to be made are structured to service the lessor debt and provide a return to the owner-lessor's equity investors. To the extent that EME's cash rent payments exceed the amount levelized over the term of each lease, EME records prepaid rent. To the extent that EME's cash rent payments are less than the amount levelized, EME reduces the amount of prepaid rent.

Each lease sets forth a termination value payable upon certain circumstances, which generally declines over time. A default under the terms of the Powerton and Joliet leases could result in foreclosure and a loss by Midwest Generation of its lease interest in the plant. In addition, under certain circumstances, a default would trigger obligations under EME's guarantee of such leases. These events could have an adverse effect on EME's and Midwest Generation's results of operations and financial position.

The following table summarizes the lease payments and rent expense:

| (in millions)                     | Years Ended December 31, |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                   | 2013                     | 2012  | 2011  |
| Powerton and Joliet Stations      |                          |       |       |
| Midwest Generation lease payments | \$30                     | \$151 | \$151 |
| EME rent expense                  | \$75                     | \$75  | \$75  |

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME's aggregate prepaid rent was \$791 million and \$836 million, respectively.

During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation did not make any of the three scheduled \$76 million lease payments under the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds issued to finance these leases. Prior to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME and Midwest Generation had entered into a forbearance agreement with the owner-lessors, the owner-lessors' equity owners, and the Certificate Holders under which Midwest Generation paid the ratable portion of the rent due under the leases attributable to the period between December 17, 2012 and January 2, 2013 of \$7 million. After the expiration of the forbearance agreement, beginning in July 2013, EME and Midwest Generation agreed, among other things, to make monthly rental payments of \$3.75 million. Upon consummation of the NRG Sale, Midwest Generation will assume the Powerton and Joliet

leases and EME will retain all liabilities with respect to the payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount.

55

---

For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Sale Leaseback," "—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Lease Commitments" and "—Note 16. Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale."

**EME's Obligations to Midwest Generation (EME only)**

Proceeds of \$1.367 billion were received by Midwest Generation from the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. These proceeds were loaned to EME through four intercompany notes and used by EME to repay corporate indebtedness. EME is obligated to repay the principal on the notes in a series of installments and interest is due semi-annually on January 2 and July 2. Although interest and principal payments historically made by EME to Midwest Generation under the intercompany loan assisted in the payment of the lease rental payments owed by Midwest Generation, the intercompany obligation does not appear on EME's consolidated balance sheet. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME did not make any of the three scheduled principal and interest payments of \$61 million. Upon consummation of the Plan and the NRG Sale, this loan and accrued interest will be canceled. For additional information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements— Note 15. Related Party Transactions" and "—Note 16. Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale."

## MARKET RISK EXPOSURES (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

### Introduction

EME's and Midwest Generation's primary market risk exposures are associated with the sale of electricity and capacity from, and the procurement of fuel for, its merchant power plants. These market risks arise from price fluctuations of electricity, capacity, fuel, emission allowances, and transmission rights. Additionally, EME's financial results can be affected by fluctuations in interest rates. EME and Midwest Generation have historically managed these risks in part by using derivative instruments in accordance with established policies and procedures. Both EME and Midwest Generation use commodity derivatives for non-trading purposes. EME also has derivatives used for trading purposes.

### Derivative Instruments

EME and Midwest Generation have historically used derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to market risks that arise from price fluctuations of electricity, capacity, fuel, emission allowances, and transmission rights. For derivative instruments recorded at fair value, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings at the end of each accounting period unless the instrument qualifies for hedge accounting. For derivatives that qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, changes in their fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item settles and is recognized in earnings. However, the ineffective portion of a derivative that qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting is recognized currently in earnings.

### Unrealized Gains and Losses

Unrealized gains and losses from derivative instruments (other than the effective portion of derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting) are recorded as part of operating revenues or fuel costs. The following table summarizes unrealized gains (losses) from non-trading activities:

| (in millions)                           | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Midwest Generation                      |                          |         |         |
| Non-qualifying hedges                   | \$ (1 )                  | \$ (4 ) | \$ (2 ) |
| Ineffective portion of cash flow hedges | —                        | (2 )    | 1       |
| Total unrealized losses                 | \$ (1 )                  | \$ (6 ) | \$ (1 ) |

At December 31, 2013, no cumulative unrealized gains or losses were recognized from non-qualifying hedge contracts or the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges related to 2014.

### Fair Value Disclosures

In determining the fair value of EME's and Midwest Generation's derivative positions, third-party market pricing is used where available. For further explanation of the fair value hierarchy and a discussion of EME's and Midwest Generation's derivative instruments, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4. Fair Value Measurements" and "—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," respectively.

The net fair value of commodity derivatives used for non-trading purposes for both EME and Midwest Generation at December 31, 2013 was zero. A 10% change in the market price of the underlying commodity at December 31, 2013 would increase or decrease the net fair value of non-trading commodity derivatives by approximately \$1 million.

EME also has derivatives used for trading purposes. The net fair value of EME's derivatives used for trading purposes at December 31, 2013 was \$59 million. A 10% change in the market price of the underlying commodity at December 31, 2013 would increase or decrease the net fair value of trading contracts by approximately \$6 million.

The impact of changes to the various inputs used to determine the fair value of Level 3 derivatives would not be anticipated to be material to EME's results of operations as such changes would be offset by similar changes in derivatives classified within Level 3 as well as other levels. Level 3 assets and liabilities are 50% and 2%, respectively, of derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value before the impact of offsetting collateral and netting as of December 31, 2013.



## Commodity Price Risk

## Introduction

EME's and Midwest Generation's merchant operations are exposed to commodity price risk, which reflects the potential impact of a change in the market value of a particular commodity. Commodity price risks are actively monitored, with oversight provided by a risk management committee, to ensure compliance with EME's and Midwest Generation's risk management policies. Despite this, there can be no assurance that all risks have been accurately identified, measured and/or mitigated.

## Energy Price Risk

EME is exposed to energy price risk through its indirect ownership of Midwest Generation, 428 MW of merchant renewable energy projects in PJM and ERCOT, 50% of the Sunrise project, and its proprietary trading. Midwest Generation is exposed to energy price risk through sales of energy and capacity into the PJM market. Energy and capacity from Midwest Generation are sold under terms, including price, duration and quantity, arranged by EMMT with customers through a combination of bilateral agreements (resulting from negotiations or from auctions), forward energy sales, and spot market sales. Power is sold into PJM at spot prices based upon locational marginal pricing. The following table depicts the average historical market prices for energy per megawatt-hour at the Northern Illinois Hub:

|   | 2013    | 2012    | 2011    |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| 24-Hour Average Historical Market Prices <sup>1</sup> | \$32.18 | \$28.56 | \$33.21 |

<sup>1</sup> Energy prices were calculated at the Northern Illinois Hub delivery point using historical hourly day-ahead prices as published by PJM or provided on the PJM web-site.

The following table sets forth the forward market prices for energy per megawatt-hour as quoted for sales into the Northern Illinois Hub at December 31, 2013:

|                                    | 24-Hour Forward Energy Prices <sup>1</sup> |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2014 calendar "strip" <sup>2</sup> | \$31.45                                    |
| 2015 calendar "strip" <sup>2</sup> | \$30.34                                    |

<sup>1</sup> Energy prices were determined by obtaining broker quotes and information from other public sources relating to the Northern Illinois Hub delivery point.

<sup>2</sup> Market price for energy purchases for the entire calendar year.

Power prices remained low in 2013 due to an abundance of low-priced natural gas. Forward market prices at the Northern Illinois Hub fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including natural gas prices, transmission congestion, changes in market rules, electricity demand (which in turn is affected by weather, economic growth, and other factors), plant outages in the region, and the amount of existing and planned power plant capacity. The actual spot prices for electricity delivered by Midwest Generation into these markets may vary materially from the forward market prices set forth in the preceding table.

EMMT engages in hedging activities for Midwest Generation to hedge the risk of future changes in the price of electricity. The following table summarizes the hedge positions at December 31, 2013 for electricity expected to be generated in 2014:

|                                 | MWh (in thousands) | Average price/MWh <sup>1</sup> |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Midwest Generation <sup>2</sup> | 170                | \$43.66                        |

The above hedge positions consist of futures contracts accounted for as economic hedges during different periods of the year and the day. Market prices tend to be higher during on-peak periods and during summer months, although there is significant variability of power prices during different periods of time. Accordingly, the above hedge positions are not directly comparable to the 24-hour Northern Illinois Hub prices set forth above.

<sup>2</sup> Includes hedging transactions primarily at the Northern Illinois Hub in PJM.





### Sunrise (EME only)

Beginning July 1, 2012, Sunrise, in which EME has a 50% interest, operated as a merchant generator and sold power at spot prices from its 586 MW facility into the California ISO market. Spot prices are currently expected to be between the price for the NP15 and SP15 trading locations in that market. As a gas-fired merchant generator, Sunrise purchases natural gas based on spot prices and, accordingly, the plant is dispatched in periods when the power prices exceed the cost of fuel and other variable operations and maintenance costs. Dispatch depends on market conditions. Historically, Sunrise has operated more during the summer months due to higher demand driven by warmer weather, and for the summer months of 2013, Sunrise had resource adequacy contracts for capacity with PG&E and SDG&E.

### Capacity Price Risk

EME and Midwest Generation are exposed to capacity price risk through sales of capacity into the PJM market. Under the RPM, capacity commitments are made in advance to provide a long-term pricing signal for construction and maintenance of capacity resources. The following table summarizes the status of capacity sales for Midwest Generation at December 31, 2013:

|                                 | RPM Capacity Sold in<br>Base Residual Auction |                     | Other Capacity Purchases,<br>Net of Sales <sup>1</sup> |                             | Aggregate<br>Average<br>Price per<br>MW-day |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
|                                 | MW  | Price per<br>MW-day | MW   | Average Price<br>per MW-day |   |
| January 1, 2014 to May 31, 2014 | 4,650   | \$27.73             | (2,207 ) <sup>2</sup>                                  | \$6.08                      | \$47.29                                     |
| June 1, 2014 to May 31, 2015    | 4,625   | 125.99              | (704 )   | 5.65                        | 147.59                                      |
| June 1, 2015 to May 31, 2016    | 3,620   | 136.00              | 0.2  | 43.00                       | 135.99                                      |
| June 1, 2016 to May 31, 2017    | 3,331   | 59.37               | —  | —                           | 59.37                                       |

Other capacity sales and purchases, net includes contracts executed in advance of the RPM base residual auction to hedge the price risk related to such auction, participation in RPM incremental auctions and other capacity transactions entered into to manage capacity risks.

<sup>2</sup> Includes the impact of a 13 MW capacity swap transaction executed between Big Sky and Midwest Generation.

The RPM auction capacity price for the delivery period of June 1, 2016 to May 31, 2017 of \$59.37 per MW-day for the western portion of PJM, affecting Midwest Generation, was substantially down from the prior auction mainly due to increased competition from new or upgrades to existing, gas-fired generation, higher imports from nearby markets and low growth in demand due to the slow economy. The impact of lower capacity prices for these periods compared to previous years will have an adverse effect on Midwest Generation's revenues unless such lower capacity prices are offset by increased energy prices.

Revenues from the sale of capacity beyond the periods set forth above will depend upon the amount of capacity available and future market prices either in PJM or nearby markets if those facilities have an opportunity to capture a higher value associated with those markets.

### Coal Price Risk

Midwest Generation purchases coal primarily from the Southern PRB of Wyoming. Coal purchases are made under a variety of supply agreements. The following table summarizes the amount of coal Midwest Generation has under contract at December 31, 2013:

|   | 2014 |
|---|------|
| Amount of Coal Under Contract in Millions of Equivalent Tons <sup>1</sup> | 13.4 |

<sup>1</sup> The amount of coal under contract in equivalent tons is calculated based on contracted tons and applying an 8,800 Btu equivalent.

Midwest Generation is subject to price risk for purchases of coal that are not under contract. Market prices of PRB coal based on 8,800 Btu per pound heat content and 0.8 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> per million British thermal units (MMBtu) sulfur content increased to a price of \$12.07 per ton at January 3, 2014, compared to a price of \$10 per ton at December 28, 2012, and decreased compared to a price of \$12.75 per ton at December 31, 2011, as reported by the Energy Information Administration.



In 2013, Midwest Generation did not take delivery of 9.8 million tons of coal under a long-term coal supply agreement. In order to meet coal demand, Midwest Generation entered into short-term requirements contracts more reflective of market prices with the same counterparty. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Fuel Supply Contracts."

In 2012, Midwest Generation agreed to sell one million tons of coal in order to better manage coal inventories. This transaction resulted in a loss of approximately \$6 million recorded in the third quarter of 2012.

Midwest Generation believes it is fully contracted in 2014 based on its anticipated coal requirements in 2014.

#### Basis Risk

Sales made from Midwest Generation in the day-ahead market receive the actual day-ahead prices at the busbars (delivery points) of the individual plants. In order to mitigate price risk from changes in forward spot prices at the individual plant busbars, Midwest Generation may enter into cash settled futures contracts as well as forward contracts with counterparties for energy to be delivered in future periods. Currently, a liquid market for entering into these contracts at the individual plant busbars does not exist. A liquid market does exist for settlement points at the Northern Illinois Hub and the AEP/Dayton and Indiana Hubs. Midwest Generation's hedging activities use these settlement points (and, to a lesser extent, other similar trading hubs) to enter into hedging contracts. To the extent that, on the settlement date of a hedge contract, spot prices at the relevant busbar are lower than spot prices at the settlement point, the proceeds actually realized from the related hedge contract are effectively reduced by the difference. This is referred to as "basis risk." During 2013, day-ahead prices at the individual busbars of Midwest Generation compared to the AEP/Dayton Hub, Indiana Hub and Northern Illinois Hub were on average lower by 8%, lower by 1% and lower by less than 1%, respectively. During 2012, day-ahead prices at the individual busbars of Midwest Generation compared to the AEP/Dayton Hub, Indiana Hub and Northern Illinois Hub were on average lower by 8%, lower by 2% and higher by less than 1%, respectively. Differences in day-ahead pricing between the individual busbars of Midwest Generation generally arise due to transmission congestion.

In order to mitigate basis risk, Midwest Generation may purchase financial transmission rights and basis swaps in PJM. A financial transmission right is a financial instrument that entitles the holder to receive the difference between actual day-ahead prices for two delivery points in exchange for a fixed amount.

#### Credit Risk

For further information related to credit risk and how EME and Midwest Generation manage credit risk, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

The credit risk exposure from counterparties of merchant energy hedging and trading activities is measured as the sum of net receivables (accounts receivable less accounts payable) and the current fair value of net derivative assets.

EME's subsidiaries enter into master agreements and other arrangements in conducting such activities which typically provide for a right of setoff in the event of bankruptcy or default by the counterparty. At December 31, 2013, the balance sheet exposure as described above, by the credit ratings of EME's counterparties, was as follows:

| (in millions)<br>Credit Rating <sup>1</sup> | December 31, 2013     |            |              |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--------------|
|   | Exposure <sup>2</sup> | Collateral | Net Exposure |
| A or higher                                 | \$69                  | \$—        | \$69         |
| A-  | —                     | —          | —            |
| BBB+  | 7                     | —          | 7            |
| BBB   | —                     | —          | —            |
| BBB-  | —                     | —          | —            |
| Below investment grade                      | 1                     | (1 )       | —            |
| Total                                       | \$77                  | \$(1 )     | \$76         |

EME assigns a credit rating based on the lower of a counterparty's Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P) or

<sup>1</sup> Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) rating. For ease of reference, the above table uses the S&P classifications to summarize risk, but reflects the lower of the two credit ratings.



Exposure excludes amounts related to contracts classified as normal purchase and sales and non-derivative<sup>2</sup> contractual commitments that are not recorded on the consolidated balance sheet, except for any related accounts receivable.

The credit risk exposure set forth in the above table is composed of \$43 million of net accounts receivable and payables and \$34 million representing the fair value of derivative contracts. The exposure is based on master netting agreements with the related counterparties. Credit ratings may not be reflective of the actual related credit risks. In addition to the amounts set forth in the above table, EME's subsidiaries have posted a \$71 million cash margin in the aggregate with various counterparties to support hedging and trading activities. The margin posted to support these activities also exposes EME to credit risk of the related entities.

The coal plants sell electric power generally into the PJM market by participating in PJM's capacity and energy markets or transacting in capacity and energy on a bilateral basis. Sales into PJM accounted for approximately 62% and 100% of EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated operating revenues, respectively, in 2013. At December 31, 2013, EME's account receivable due from PJM was \$32 million.

EME's turbine supply agreements contain significant suppliers' obligations for failure to meet performance obligations and warranty agreements. EME's reliance on these contractual provisions is subject to credit risks. Generally, these are unsecured obligations of the turbine manufacturer. A material adverse development with respect to EME's turbine suppliers may have a material impact on EME's wind projects. Two of EME's turbine suppliers, Suzlon Wind Energy Corporation and Clipper Windpower, LLC (Clipper), have been experiencing significant adverse credit and liquidity issues. As a result, EME's ability to enforce performance and warranty guarantees is subject to the credit risk of these counterparties. In April 2013, EME entered into a settlement agreement with Clipper with respect to claims under and termination of the turbine supply, maintenance, and warranty agreements and other related documents.

#### Interest Rate Risk (EME only)

Interest rate changes can affect earnings and the cost of capital for capital improvements or new investments in power projects. EME mitigates the risk of interest rate fluctuations for a number of its project financings by arranging for fixed rate financing or variable rate financing with interest rate swaps, interest rate options, or other hedging mechanisms. A 10 percent change in market interest rates at December 31, 2013 would increase or decrease the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements by approximately \$14 million. The fair market values of fixed interest rate obligations are subject to interest rate risk. Both the fair market value and carrying value of EME's consolidated long-term debt (including current portion) were \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2013. A 10 percent change in market interest rates at December 31, 2013 would increase or decrease the fair value of total long-term debt by approximately \$1 million. Based on the amount of unhedged variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013, a 10 percent change in market interest rates at December 31, 2013 would increase or decrease EME's 2013 annual income before taxes by approximately \$1 million.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND POLICIES (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

### Introduction

The accounting policies described below are considered critical to obtaining an understanding of EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated financial statements because their application requires the use of significant estimates and judgments by management. Management estimates and judgments are inherently uncertain and may differ significantly from actual results achieved. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if the estimate requires significant assumptions and changes in the estimate or if different estimates that could have been selected had been used could have a material impact on the results of operations or financial position. For more information on accounting policies, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

### Accounting for Liabilities Subject to Compromise

On December 17, 2012, the Debtor Entities filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

**Nature of Estimates Required.** The Debtor Entities are considered debtors-in-possession and are required to present unsecured pre-petition obligations that have at least a possibility of not being fully repaid as a separate line item on the consolidated balance sheet called LSTC. Evaluation of actual or potential bankruptcy claims related to contingencies, not already reflected on the balance sheet are accrued and reported as LSTC. LSTC, including claims that have become known after the bankruptcy filing, are reported on the basis of the expected amount of the allowed claim. Adjustments to amounts classified as LSTC are presented as a separate line item on the consolidated statement of operations called Reorganization items.

**Key Assumptions and Approach Used.** The determination of potential claims and the expected amount of an allowed claim requires significant management judgment. At December 31, 2013, LSTC primarily consisted of the Senior Notes and related interest payable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and other liabilities, which are subject to possible compromise in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases. In addition, \$32 million of LSTC was recorded at December 31, 2013 relating to contracts rejected during the Chapter 11 Cases. The claims related to rejected contracts were determined based on the gross amounts outstanding under the rejected contracts in periods subsequent to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases.

**Effect if Different Assumptions Used.** The amounts classified as LSTC on the consolidated balance sheets and the corresponding impacts to Reorganization items on the consolidated statements of operations could be materially different. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" and "—Note 16. Restructuring Activities."

### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

**Nature of Estimates Required.** Long-lived assets, including intangible assets, are evaluated for impairment in accordance with applicable authoritative guidance. Authoritative guidance requires that if the undiscounted expected future cash flow from a company's assets or group of assets (without interest charges) is less than its carrying value, asset impairment must be recognized on the financial statements. The impairment charges, if applicable, are calculated as the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value, which represents the discounted expected future cash flows attributable to the asset or, in the case of assets expected to be sold, at fair value less costs to sell. Long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment whenever indicators exist or when there is a commitment to sell or dispose of the asset. These evaluations may result from significant decreases in the market price of an asset, a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which an asset is being used in its physical condition, a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of an asset, as well as economic or operational analyses.

**Key Assumptions and Approach Used.** The assessment of impairment requires significant management judgment to determine: (1) if an indicator of impairment has occurred, (2) how assets should be grouped, (3) the forecast of undiscounted expected future cash flow over the asset's estimated useful life to determine if an impairment exists, and (4) if an impairment exists, the fair value of the asset or asset group. Factors that are considered important, which could trigger an impairment, include operating losses from a project, projected future operating losses, the financial

condition of counterparties, or significant negative industry or economic trends. The determination of fair value requires management to apply judgment in: (1) estimating future prices of energy and capacity in wholesale energy markets and fuel prices that are susceptible to significant change, (2) environmental and maintenance expenditures, and (3) the time period due to the length of the estimated remaining useful lives.

In preparing long-term cash forecasts, EME and Midwest Generation include assumptions about future prices for electricity, capacity, fuel and related products and services, as applicable, future operations and maintenance costs and future capital

expenditure requirements under different scenarios. As appropriate, EME and Midwest Generation use a probability weighted approach when determining whether impairment indicators exist. Assumptions included in the long-term cash flow forecasts for merchant projects include:

• Observable market prices for electricity, fuel and related products and services to the extent available and long-term prices developed based on a fundamental price model;

• Long-term capacity prices based on the assumption that capacity markets would continue consistent with their current structure, with expected increases in revenues as a result of declines in reserve margins beyond the price of the latest auctions;

• Trends for additions and retirements for generation resources; and

• Plans for compliance with both existing and possible future environmental regulations.

The fair value of EME's and Midwest Generation's long-lived assets as determined using the discounted cash flow models discussed above qualify as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. EME and Midwest Generation include allocated acquired emission allowances, when applicable, as part of each power plant asset group. In the case of the Powerton and Joliet Stations, EME also includes prepaid rent in the respective asset group. EME's and Midwest Generation's unit of account is at the plant level and, accordingly, the closure of a unit at a multi-unit site would not result in an impairment of property, plant and equipment unless such condition were to affect an impairment assessment on the entire plant.

**Effect if Different Assumptions Used.** The estimates and assumptions used to determine whether an impairment exists are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. The estimated fair value of an asset would change materially if different estimates and assumptions were used to determine the amounts or timing of future revenues, environmental compliance costs or operating expenditures.

**Effect on 2013 Results**

**Midwest Generation - Will County Station**

In connection with the preparation of its financial statements in the third quarter of 2013, Midwest Generation concluded, based on continued low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation and further analysis of its capital allocation strategy, that indicators of potential impairment existed for its Will County Station and an impairment evaluation was performed.

The long-lived asset group that was subject to the impairment evaluation was determined to include the property, plant and equipment of the station. Management utilized the probability weighted estimates of future undiscounted cash flows to be received at the Will County Station and concluded that such amounts did not recover its carrying amount. Forecasted commodity prices and plant dispatch levels are the most significant input into the cash flow estimates. However, as part of these alternative cash flow scenarios, management considered a shortened estimated useful life of the station if environmental improvements were not made.

To measure the amount of the impairment loss, management used the market approach, which considers sales of similar facilities and numerous recent decisions by other power generators to shut down similar coal plants rather than install additional equipment, corroborated by the income approach, which considers discounted cash flows. This resulted in an impairment charge related to the Will County Station of \$464 million. The estimated fair value of zero for the Will County Station was determined using both observable inputs and unobservable inputs, which are Level 3 inputs as defined by accounting guidance for fair value measurements. These inputs included a range of zero to \$169 per kilowatt hour of recent transactions for scrubbed coal plants in similar markets.

**Merchant Wind Projects (EME only)**

Due to the decline in forecasted power prices since the fourth quarter of 2011, EME reviewed the long-term cash forecasts for the merchant wind projects in a manner consistent with the Key Assumptions and Approach Used described above. The expected future undiscounted cash flows of these projects recovered the carrying amount of these asset groups and, accordingly, no impairments were recorded. The expected future cash flows for these merchant wind projects are dependent upon a number of assumptions, the most significant of which are expected future power prices and operating costs. A decline in the forecasted cash flows in future periods could result in impairment, requiring a write-down of the carrying amount to fair value. The carrying values of the Goat Wind, Big Sky and Lookout asset groups at December 31, 2013 were \$177 million, \$308 million and \$57 million, respectively.





#### Effect on 2012 Results

##### Ambit (EME only)

The Ambit project has operated under constrained liquidity conditions for a number of years. In 2012, the avoided energy costs, which form the basis for the project's energy revenues under its power purchase agreement, declined significantly. As a result, in 2013 Ambit did not make all of its scheduled land lease payments; the land lease is subordinated to debt service. In February 2013, the EME operations and maintenance subsidiary that operated the plant provided a 180-day notice of its intent to terminate its operations and maintenance contract.

These factors were considered indicators of potential impairment and in connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2012, EME reviewed the Ambit project for impairment. The results of the impairment analysis indicated that the probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows are not expected to be sufficient to recover the respective carrying value of the long-lived assets of \$49 million. The asset group at the project consisted of property, plant and equipment and deferred revenue. The fair value of the asset group was determined to be \$34 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$15 million.

#### Effect on 2011 Results

##### Midwest Generation Plants

In connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2011, Midwest Generation concluded, based on the current energy price environment, it was less likely that Midwest Generation would install environmental controls required by the CPS at its Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations; and such assessment was an indicator that these stations were impaired. The long-lived asset groups that were subject to the impairment evaluation were determined to include the property, plant and equipment of each station. Management updated the probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows expected to be received at these stations and concluded that such amounts did not recover the respective station's carrying amounts. As part of these alternative cash flow scenarios, management considered a shortened estimated useful life of each station if environmental improvements were not made and a forecasted reduction in generation from lower forward power prices.

To measure the amount of the impairment loss, the income approach was considered the most relevant, but market data obtained prior to the significant decline in power prices was used to corroborate the income approach. The discounted cash flow analysis assumptions that have the most significant impact on fair value are forecasted energy and capacity prices. The discounted cash flow analysis indicated a fair value of zero. Midwest Generation also concluded it was unlikely that a third party would consummate the purchase of the Fisk, Crawford or Waukegan Stations in the current economic and regulatory environment resulting in a determination that the fair value of each of these stations was zero. This resulted in impairment charges of \$115 million, \$186 million and \$339 million for the Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations, respectively. Environmental and other remediation or ongoing maintenance costs are expected to be offset by the salvage value of the asset groups. Midwest Generation voluntarily ceased coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations in August 2012.

##### Selected Wind Projects (EME only)

In connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2011, EME reviewed the Storm Lake wind project and four small wind projects in Minnesota for impairment, based on an expected future increase in operating costs and declines in long-term power prices that the projects could potentially realize following the term of the power purchase agreements. The probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows of each project were not expected to be sufficient to recover the respective carrying value of each of these long-lived assets (\$53 million in aggregate). The income approach was utilized to determine fair value for these asset groups. The most significant assumptions used in determining fair value were discount rates, future wind generation, the future availability of the project to generate energy and future plant operations expense. The asset groups at each project consisted of property, plant and equipment and, where appropriate, deferred revenue. In aggregate, the fair value of these five asset groups was determined to be \$23 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$30 million.

#### Derivatives

EME and Midwest Generation use derivative instruments to manage exposure to changes in electricity, fuel oil, gas and interest rates. Derivative instruments that do not meet the normal purchases and sales exception at fair value are

recorded with changes in the derivative's fair value recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. For derivatives that qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment, the effective portion of the changes in the derivative's

fair value is recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. EME records derivative instruments used for trading at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in income.

Management's judgment is required to determine if a transaction meets the definition of a derivative and, if it does, whether the normal purchases and sales exception applies or whether individual transactions qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Management's judgment is also required to determine the fair value of derivative transactions.

**Key Assumptions and Approach Used.** EME and Midwest Generation determine the fair value of derivative instruments based on forward market prices in active markets adjusted for nonperformance risk. If quoted market prices are not available, internally developed models are used to determine the fair value. When actual market prices or relevant observable inputs are not available, it is appropriate to use unobservable inputs which reflect management assumptions, including extrapolating limited short-term observable data and developing correlations between liquid and non-liquid trading hubs. In assessing nonperformance risks, EME and Midwest Generation review credit ratings of counterparties (and related default rates based on such credit ratings) and prices of credit default swaps. The market price (or premium) for credit default swaps represents the price that a counterparty would pay to transfer the risk of default, typically bankruptcy, to another party. A credit default swap is not directly comparable to the credit risks of derivative contracts, but provides market information of the related risk of nonperformance.

In addition, a fair value hierarchy is established that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. For further information, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 4. Fair Value Measurements."

**Effect if Different Assumptions Used.** As described above, fair value is determined using a combination of market information or observable data and unobservable inputs which reflect management's assumptions. Changes in observable data would impact results. In addition, unobservable inputs could have an impact on results. Fair value for Level 3 derivatives is derived using observable and unobservable inputs. As of December 31, 2013, EME Level 3 derivatives had a net fair value of \$32 million. Midwest Generation did not have Level 3 derivatives as of December 31, 2013. While it is difficult to determine the impact of a change in any one input, if the fair value of EME Level 3 derivatives were increased or decreased by 10%, the impact would be a \$21 million increase or decrease to operating revenues.

For EME's and Midwest Generation's derivative instruments that are measured at fair value using quantitative pricing models, a significant change in estimate could affect EME's and Midwest Generation's results of operations. For further sensitivities in EME's and Midwest Generation's assumptions used to calculate fair value, see "Market Risk Exposures—Derivative Instruments—Fair Value Disclosures." For further information on derivative instruments, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

#### Income Taxes

During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013. However, under the Plan, EME will continue to be included in the consolidated federal and combined state income tax returns of EIX. EME's tax provision is determined using the "benefits for losses" method. This method is similar to a separate company return, except that EME recognizes, without regard to separate company limitations, additional tax liabilities or benefits based on the impact to the combined group including EME's taxable income or losses and state apportionment factors. Realization of any tax benefits generated by EME is dependent on EME's continued inclusion in the consolidated EIX tax returns, and the generation of sufficient consolidated taxable income by the EIX consolidated tax group prior to the expiration of the loss and credit carryforwards.

Midwest Generation's tax provision is determined based on a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate return basis, except for the use of state tax apportionment factors of the EIX group for purposes of determining state income taxes.

**Nature of Estimates Required.** As part of the process of preparing their consolidated financial statements, EME and Midwest Generation are each required to estimate their income taxes for each jurisdiction in which they operate. This process involves estimating actual current period tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as depreciation, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in

deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within each of their respective consolidated balance sheets. EME and Midwest Generation take certain tax positions they believe are applied in accordance with the applicable tax laws. However, these tax positions are subject to interpretation by the Internal Revenue Service, state tax authorities and the courts. EME and Midwest Generation determine their uncertain tax positions in accordance with the authoritative guidance.

Historically, in evaluating the realization of tax sharing assets, EME determined whether it was more likely than not the EIX consolidated tax group would generate sufficient taxable income to utilize EME's deferred income tax assets during the period in which EME was a part of the EIX consolidated income tax returns, or if it was more likely than not EME would utilize the deferred income tax assets on its own, after its expected separation from the consolidated group.

Midwest Generation must determine whether it is more likely than not it would utilize its own deferred income tax assets in a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate company basis.

**Key Assumptions and Approach Used.** Accounting for tax obligations requires management judgment. Management uses judgment in determining whether the evidence indicates it is more likely than not, based solely on the technical merits, that a tax position will be sustained, and to determine the amount of tax benefits to be recognized. Judgment is also used in determining the likelihood a tax position will be settled and possible settlement outcomes. In assessing its uncertain tax positions, EME and Midwest Generation consider, among others, the following factors: the facts and circumstances of the position, regulations, rulings, and case law, opinions or views of legal counsel and other advisers, and the experience gained from similar tax positions. Management evaluates uncertain tax positions at the end of each reporting period and makes adjustments when warranted based on changes in fact or law.

In evaluating the future utility of deferred income tax assets, EME and Midwest Generation consider existing performance and objectively verifiable evidence of future performance.

**Effect if Different Assumptions Used.** Actual income taxes may differ from the estimated amounts which could have a significant impact on the liabilities, revenues and expenses recorded in the financial statements. EME and Midwest Generation continue to be under audit or subject to audit for multiple years in various jurisdictions.

Significant judgment is required to determine the tax treatment of particular tax positions that involve interpretations of complex tax laws. A tax liability has been recorded with respect to tax positions in which the outcome is uncertain and the effect is estimable. Such liabilities are based on judgment and a final determination could take many years from the time the liability is recorded. Furthermore, settlement of tax positions included in open tax years may be resolved by compromises of tax positions based on current factors and business considerations that may result in material adjustments to income taxes previously estimated.

**Effect on EME's Results.** At December 31, 2012, EME established a valuation allowance for its deferred tax assets as it was not able to obtain objectively verifiable evidence supporting the taxable income forecast of the EIX consolidated tax group and was not able to determine whether it was more likely than not that future tax-sharing payments would occur. As a result, as of December 31, 2012, EME recorded a valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets of \$444 million, of which \$6 million was reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss and \$438 million in net loss on the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, at December 31, 2012, EME recorded a non-cash distribution to its parent of \$222 million related to tax benefits for which, under the tax-allocation agreements as applied, EME has not yet, and may never be, entitled to be paid.

At December 31, 2013, EME increased its deferred tax asset valuation allowance to \$752 million due to the accumulation of unpaid tax benefits related to net operating losses and production tax credits and \$167 million related to an asset impairment charge on the Will County Station. In addition, at December 31, 2013, EME has not yet, and may never be, entitled to be paid for the \$185 million remaining as a non-cash distribution to its parent.

Upon the effectiveness of the Settlement Agreement, EME would expect to reverse the valuation allowance it has recorded. For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 16.

**Restructuring Activities—Plan of Reorganization."**

**Effect on Midwest Generation's Results.** As a result of recognized losses and the indications of expected future losses, Midwest Generation recorded a valuation allowance of \$533 million against its net deferred tax assets, of which \$12 million was reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss and \$521 million in net loss for the year ended December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, \$106 million of tax benefits that would have been collected by Midwest Generation in a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate return basis but was not collectible under Midwest Generation's tax allocation agreement were accounted for as non-cash distributions to Midwest Generation's parent.

At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation increased its deferred tax asset valuation allowance to \$767 million due to the accumulation of unpaid tax benefits related to net operating losses and \$167 million related to an asset impairment charge described above.

For further discussion, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 7. Income Taxes."

#### Accounting for Contingencies, Guarantees and Indemnities

**Nature of Estimates Required.** EME and Midwest Generation record loss contingencies when they determine that the outcome of future events is probable of occurring and when the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. When a guarantee or indemnification subject to authoritative guidance is entered into, EME and Midwest Generation record a liability for the estimated fair value of the underlying guarantee or indemnification. Gain contingencies are recognized in the financial statements when they are realized.

**Key Assumptions and Approach Used.** The determination of a reserve for a loss contingency is based on management judgment and estimates with respect to the likely outcome of the matter, including the analysis of different scenarios. Liabilities are recorded or adjusted when events or circumstances cause these judgments or estimates to change. In assessing whether a loss is a reasonable possibility, EME and Midwest Generation may consider the following factors, among others: the nature of the litigation, claim or assessment, available information, opinions or views of legal counsel and other advisors, and the experience gained from similar cases. EME and Midwest Generation provide disclosures for material contingencies when there is a reasonable possibility that a loss or an additional loss may be incurred. Some guarantees and indemnifications could have a significant financial impact under certain circumstances, and management also considers the probability of such circumstances occurring when estimating the fair value.

Midwest Generation agreed to reimburse Commonwealth Edison and Exelon Generation Company LLC for 50% of specific asbestos claims pending as of February 2003 and related expenses less recovery of insurance costs, and agreed to a sharing arrangement for liabilities and expenses associated with future asbestos-related claims as specified in a supplemental agreement. The estimated liability is based on studies that estimate future losses based on claims experience and other available information. In calculating future losses, various assumptions were made, including, but not limited to, the settlement of future claims under the supplemental agreement, the distribution of exposure sites and that the filing date of asbestos claims will not be after 2044. At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had \$53 million recorded in LSTC related to this contractual indemnity.

**Effect if Different Assumptions Used.** Actual amounts realized upon settlement of contingencies may be different than amounts recorded and disclosed and could have a significant impact on the liabilities, revenues and expenses recorded on the consolidated financial statements. In addition, for guarantees and indemnities actual results may differ from the amounts recorded and disclosed and could have a significant impact on EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated financial statements. For a discussion of contingencies, guarantees and indemnities, see "Item 8. Combined Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies—Guarantees and Indemnities," "—Contingencies" and "Item 1. Business—Environmental Matters and Regulations."

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information responding to Item 7A is filed with this report under "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."



ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Edison Mission Energy                             | <u>70</u> |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Midwest Generation                                | <u>71</u> |
| EDISON MISSION ENERGY   |           |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                  | <u>72</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | <u>73</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012   | <u>74</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Total Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                | <u>75</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                  | <u>76</u> |
| MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC   |           |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                  | <u>77</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 | <u>78</u> |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and 2012   | <u>79</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Member's Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011             | <u>80</u> |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011                  | <u>81</u> |
| COMBINED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS   | <u>82</u> |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EME

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

EME's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's President and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of EME's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period, EME's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

EME's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), for EME. Under the supervision and with the participation of its President and Chief Financial Officer, EME's management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of EME's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework set forth in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its evaluation under the COSO framework, EME's management concluded that EME's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in EME's internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth quarter of the most recent year to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, EME's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Midwest Generation

##### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Midwest Generation's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's President and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Midwest Generation's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period, Midwest Generation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

##### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Midwest Generation's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), for Midwest Generation. Under the supervision and with the participation of its President and Chief Financial Officer, Midwest Generation's management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework set forth in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its evaluation under the COSO framework, Midwest Generation's management concluded that Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

##### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth quarter of the most recent year to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting.

#### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Edison Mission Energy:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 8 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Edison Mission Energy (the "Company") and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, on December 17, 2012, the Company and several of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Uncertainties inherent in the bankruptcy process raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are described in Note 16. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
Los Angeles, California  
March 12, 2014

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Managers and Member of Midwest Generation, LLC:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 8 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Midwest Generation, LLC (the "Company") and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As more fully discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, on December 17, 2012, the Company filed voluntary petitions for relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Uncertainties inherent in the bankruptcy process raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are described in Note 16. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
Los Angeles, California  
March 12, 2014

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in millions)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |         |           |
|--|--------------------------|---------|-----------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011      |
| Operating Revenues   | \$1,331                  | \$1,287 | \$1,653   |
| Operating Expenses   |                          |         |           |
| Fuel   | 564                      | 602     | 530       |
| Plant operations   | 405                      | 495     | 571       |
| Plant operating leases   | 75                       | 75      | 75        |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 271                      | 268     | 289       |
| Asset impairments and other charges  | 464                      | 28      | 714       |
| Administrative and general   | 131                      | 147     | 172       |
| Total operating expenses   | 1,910                    | 1,615   | 2,351     |
| Operating loss   | (579)                    | (328)   | (698)     |
| Other Income (Expense)   |                          |         |           |
| Equity in income from unconsolidated affiliates                                  | 45                       | 46      | 86        |
| Dividend income  | 6                        | 12      | 30        |
| Interest expense, net  | (89)                     | (324)   | (321)     |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt   | (3)                      | —       | —         |
| Other income, net  | 5                        | —       | 15        |
| Total other expense  | (36)                     | (266)   | (190)     |
| Loss from continuing operations before reorganization items and income taxes     | (615)                    | (594)   | (888)     |
| Reorganization items, net  | 120                      | 43      | —         |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes   | (93)                     | 160     | (441)     |
| Loss From Continuing Operations  | (642)                    | (797)   | (447)     |
| Income (Loss) from Operations of Discontinued Subsidiaries, net of tax (Note 14) | 1                        | (112)   | (632)     |
| Net Loss   | (641)                    | (909)   | (1,079)   |
| Net (Income) Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests (Note 3)              | (29)                     | (16)    | 1         |
| Net Loss Attributable to Edison Mission Energy Common Shareholder                | \$(670)                  | \$(925) | \$(1,078) |
| Amounts Attributable to Edison Mission Energy Common Shareholder                 |                          |         |           |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of tax                                      | \$(671)                  | \$(813) | \$(446)   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax                           | 1                        | (112)   | (632)     |
| Net Loss Attributable to Edison Mission Energy Common Shareholder                | \$(670)                  | \$(925) | \$(1,078) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS  
(in millions)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          |            |
|--|--------------------------|----------|------------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011       |
| Net Loss   | \$(641 )                 | \$(909 ) | \$(1,079 ) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax  |                          |          |            |
| Valuation allowance on deferred tax asset  | —                        | (6 )     | —          |
| Pension and postretirement benefits other than pensions:   |                          |          |            |
| Prior service adjustment, net of tax   | (2 )                     | —        | —          |
| Net gain (loss) adjustment, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$22, \$4 and \$(10) for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively   | 35                       | —        | (15 )      |
| Amortization of net loss and prior service adjustment included in expense, net of tax  | 5                        | 4        | 2          |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualified as cash flow hedges:  |                          |          |            |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the periods, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$19, \$(6) and \$(7) for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively | 34                       | (17 )    | (12 )      |
| Reclassification adjustments included in net loss, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$(3), \$16 and \$25 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively             | 5                        | (25 )    | (38 )      |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax  | 77                       | (44 )    | (63 )      |
| Comprehensive Loss   | (564 )                   | (953 )   | (1,142 )   |
| Comprehensive (Income) Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests   | (29 )                    | (16 )    | 1          |
| Comprehensive Loss Attributable to Edison Mission Energy Common Shareholder  | \$(593 )                 | \$(969 ) | \$(1,141 ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in millions)

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets  |                      |                      |
| Current Assets  |                      |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$1,176              | \$888                |
| Accounts receivable—trade   | 83                   | 73                   |
| Receivables from affiliates   | 3                    | 8                    |
| Inventory   | 114                  | 175                  |
| Derivative assets   | 44                   | 53                   |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents  | 7                    | 11                   |
| Margin and collateral deposits  | 71                   | 61                   |
| Prepaid expenses and other  | 37                   | 54                   |
| Total current assets  | 1,535                | 1,323                |
| Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates  | 513                  | 534                  |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, less accumulated depreciation of \$1,314 and \$1,431 at respective dates | 3,877                | 4,516                |
| Other Assets  |                      |                      |
| Deferred financing costs  | 34                   | 44                   |
| Long-term derivative assets   | 18                   | 37                   |
| Restricted deposits   | 102                  | 102                  |
| Rent payments in excess of levelized rent expense under plant operating leases                          | 791                  | 836                  |
| Other long-term assets  | 103                  | 128                  |
| Total other assets  | 1,048                | 1,147                |
| Total Assets  | \$6,973              | \$7,520              |

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in millions, except share and per share amounts)

|                                      | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Liabilities and Shareholder's Equity |                      |                      |
| Current Liabilities                  |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable                     | \$35                 | \$29                 |
| Payables to affiliates               | 16                   | 34                   |
| Accrued liabilities and other        | 149                  | 67                   |
| Interest payable                     | 2                    | 1                    |
| Current portion of long-term debt    | 323                  | 307                  |
| Short-term debt                      | 4                    | 382                  |

Edgar Filing: EDISON MISSION ENERGY - Form 10-K

|   |         |         |
|---|---------|---------|
| Total current liabilities   | 529     | 820     |
| Liabilities subject to compromise   | 4,015   | 3,959   |
| Long-term debt net of current portion   | 1,171   | 749     |
| Deferred taxes and tax credits, net (Note 7)  | 58      | 81      |
| Deferred revenues   | 506     | 533     |
| Long-term derivative liabilities  | 56      | 118     |
| Other long-term liabilities   | 377     | 528     |
| Total Liabilities   | 6,712   | 6,788   |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 5, 6, 9 and 10)  |         |         |
| Equity  |         |         |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (10,000 shares authorized; 100 shares issued and outstanding at each date) | 64      | 64      |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 1,137   | 1,095   |
| Retained deficit  | (1,261  | ) (577  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (61     | ) (138  |
| Total Edison Mission Energy common shareholder's equity (deficit)   | (121    | ) 444   |
| Noncontrolling Interests  | 382     | 288     |
| Total Equity  | 261     | 732     |
| Total Liabilities and Equity  | \$6,973 | \$7,520 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

74

---



EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF TOTAL EQUITY  
(in millions)

|  | Edison Mission Energy Shareholder's Equity |                                  |                                   |  |                                  |                 |
|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|
|  | Common<br>Stock                            | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings<br>(Deficit) | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income (Loss) | Non-<br>controlling<br>Interests | Total<br>Equity |
| Balance at December 31, 2010   | \$64                                       | \$1,336                          | \$1,448                           | \$ (31 )   | \$4                              | \$2,821         |
| Net loss   | —  | —                                | (1,078 )                          | —  | (1 )                             | (1,079 )        |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax   | —  | —                                | —                                 | (63 )  | —                                | (63 )           |
| Payments to Edison International for stock purchases related to stock-based compensation | —  | —                                | (5 )                              | —  | —                                | (5 )            |
| Excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises                                    | —  | 2                                | —                                 | —  | —                                | 2               |
| Other stock transactions, net  | —  | 4                                | —                                 | —  | —                                | 4               |
| Purchase of noncontrolling interests   | —  | (15 )                            | —                                 | —  | (1 )                             | (16 )           |
| Balance at December 31, 2011   | 64   | 1,327                            | 365                               | (94 )  | 2                                | 1,664           |
| Net income (loss)  | —  | —                                | (925 )                            | —  | 16                               | (909 )          |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax   | —  | —                                | —                                 | (44 )  | —                                | (44 )           |
| Payments to Edison International for stock purchases related to stock-based compensation | —  | —                                | (17 )                             | —  | —                                | (17 )           |
| Non-cash distribution to Edison International <sup>1</sup>                               | —  | (222 )                           | —                                 | —  | —                                | (222 )          |
| Excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises                                    | —  | 5                                | —                                 | —  | —                                | 5               |
| Other stock transactions, net  | —  | 6                                | —                                 | —  | —                                | 6               |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests <sup>2</sup>                                 | —  | —                                | —                                 | —  | 288                              | 288             |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests  | —  | —                                | —                                 | —  | (18 )                            | (18 )           |
| Transfers of assets to Capistrano Wind Partners <sup>3</sup>                             | —  | (21 )                            | —                                 | —  | —                                | (21 )           |
| Balance at December 31, 2012   | 64   | 1,095                            | (577 )                            | (138 )   | 288                              | 732             |
| Net income (loss)  | —  | —                                | (670 )                            | —  | 29                               | (641 )          |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax   | —  | —                                | —                                 | 77   | —                                | 77              |
| Payments to Edison International for stock purchases related to stock-based compensation | —  | —                                | (14 )                             | —  | —                                | (14 )           |
| Cash contribution from Edison International <sup>1</sup>                                 | —  | 12                               | —                                 | —  | —                                | 12              |
| Non-cash contribution from Edison International <sup>1</sup>                             | —  | 25                               | —                                 | —  | —                                | 25              |
| Excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises                                    | —  | 3                                | —                                 | —  | —                                | 3               |

Edgar Filing: EDISON MISSION ENERGY - Form 10-K

|  |      |         |           |        |       |       |
|--|------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
| Other stock transactions, net                            | —    | 2       | —         | —      | —     | 2     |
| Contributions from noncontrolling interests <sup>2</sup> | —    | —       | —         | —      | 94    | 94    |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests                | —    | —       | —         | —      | (29)  | (29)  |
| Balance at December 31, 2013                             | \$64 | \$1,137 | \$(1,261) | \$(61) | \$382 | \$261 |

During 2012, EME recorded a non-cash distribution to EIX related to the tax-allocation agreements. During 2013,

<sup>1</sup> EME received contributions from EIX related to the tax-allocation agreements. See Note 7—Income Taxes—EME—Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities.

<sup>2</sup> Funds contributed by third-party investors to Capistrano Wind Partners. For further information, see Note 3—Variable Interest Entities—Projects or Entities that are Consolidated—Capistrano Wind Partners.

Additional paid in capital was reduced by \$21 million due to a new tax basis in the assets transferred to Capistrano Wind Partners. For further information, see Note 3—Variable Interest Entities—Projects or Entities that are Consolidated—Capistrano Wind Partners.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

75

---

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in millions)

|   | Years Ended December 31, |          |            |
|---|--------------------------|----------|------------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011       |
| <b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>   |                          |          |            |
| Net loss  | \$(641                   | ) \$(909 | ) \$(1,079 |
| Adjustments to reconcile loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |                          |          |            |
| Non-cash reorganization items, net  | 48                       | 23       | —          |
| Equity in income from unconsolidated affiliates                                       | (45                      | ) (46    | ) (85      |
| Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates  | 45                       | 24       | 82         |
| Mark to market on interest rate swaps   | (6                       | ) —      | —          |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 290                      | 292      | 330        |
| Deferred taxes and tax credits  | (72                      | ) 162    | (903       |
| Asset impairments and other charges   | 464                      | 117      | 1,738      |
| Loss on early extinguishment of debt  | 3                        | —        | —          |
| Proceeds from US Treasury Grants  | —                        | 44       | 388        |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:  |                          |          |            |
| (Increase) decrease in margin and collateral deposits                                 | (10                      | ) (20    | ) 14       |
| (Increase) decrease in receivables  | (5                       | ) 30     | 251        |
| Decrease (increase) in inventory  | 61                       | (6       | ) (42      |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other                                     | 13                       | (9       | ) (9       |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash and cash equivalents                           | 4                        | (2       | ) (4       |
| Decrease (increase) in rent payments in excess of levelized rent expense              | 45                       | (76      | ) (76      |
| Increase in payables, other current liabilities and liabilities subject to compromise | 50                       | 5        | 172        |
| Decrease (increase) in derivative assets and liabilities, net                         | 33                       | (26      | ) —        |
| Decrease (increase) in other operating—assets   | 3                        | (2       | ) (73      |
| Decrease in other operating—liabilities   | (47                      | ) (68    | ) (44      |
| Operating cash flows from continuing operations                                       | 233                      | (467     | ) 660      |
| Operating cash flows from discontinued operations, net                                | (2                       | ) (46    | ) (34      |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities                                   | 231                      | (513     | ) 626      |
| <b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>   |                          |          |            |
| Cash contributions from noncontrolling interests                                      | 94                       | 288      | —          |
| Borrowings under short-term debt  | 4                        | 195      | 32         |
| Borrowings under long-term debt   | 171                      | 79       | 481        |
| Payments on debt  | (123                     | ) (56    | ) (107     |
| Borrowing held in escrow pending completion of project construction                   | —                        | 97       | (97        |
| Cash contribution from Edison International related to the tax-allocation agreements  | 12                       | —        | —          |
| Cash dividends to noncontrolling interests  | (29                      | ) (18    | ) —        |
| Payments to affiliates related to stock-based awards                                  | (14                      | ) (17    | ) (8       |
| Excess tax benefits related to stock-based exercises                                  | 3                        | 5        | 2          |
| Financing costs   | (6                       | ) (9     | ) (26      |
| Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations                  | 112                      | 564      | 277        |

Edgar Filing: EDISON MISSION ENERGY - Form 10-K

Cash Flows From Investing Activities

|  |       |        |        |   |
|--|-------|--------|--------|---|
| Capital expenditures   | (92   | ) (355 | ) (672 | ) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets   | 3     | 4      | 9      |   |
| Proceeds from return of capital and loan repayments from unconsolidated affiliates | 24    | 10     | 46     |   |
| Proceeds from settlement of insurance claims                                       | 2     | 2      | —      |   |
| Cash settlement with turbine manufacturer  | 5     | —      | —      |   |
| Purchase of interest of acquired companies   | —     | —      | (3     | ) |
| Investments in and loans to unconsolidated affiliates                              | (3    | ) —    | (10    | ) |
| Increase in restricted deposits and restricted cash and cash equivalents           | —     | (83    | ) (4   | ) |
| Investments in other assets  | 4     | (8     | ) (30  | ) |
| Investing cash flows from continuing operations                                    | (57   | ) (430 | ) (664 | ) |
| Investing cash flows from discontinued operations, net                             | —     | (31    | ) (14  | ) |
| Net cash used in investing activities  | (57   | ) (461 | ) (678 | ) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations    | 288   | (333   | ) 273  |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period from continuing operations        | 888   | 1,221  | 948    |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period from continuing operations              | 1,176 | 888    | 1,221  |   |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations             | (2    | ) (77  | ) (48  | ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period from discontinued operations      | 2     | 79     | 127    |   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period from discontinued operations            | \$—   | \$2    | \$79   |   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in millions)

|   | Years Ended December 31, |            |          |
|---|--------------------------|------------|----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012       | 2011     |
| Operating Revenues from Marketing Affiliate       | \$817                    | \$892      | \$1,286  |
| Operating Expenses                                |                          |            |          |
| Fuel  | 539                      | 582        | 512      |
| Plant operations                                  | 258                      | 369        | 457      |
| Depreciation and amortization                     | 119                      | 128        | 158      |
| Asset impairments and other charges               | 465                      | 14         | 653      |
| Administrative and general                        | 22                       | 18         | 22       |
| Impairment of loan to affiliate (Note 15)         | —                        | 1,378      | —        |
| Total operating expenses                          | 1,403                    | 2,489      | 1,802    |
| Operating loss                                    | (586 )                   | (1,597 )   | (516 )   |
| Other Income (Expense)                            |                          |            |          |
| Interest and other income                         | —                        | 110        | 114      |
| Interest expense                                  | (23 )                    | (33 )      | (40 )    |
| Total other income (expense)                      | (23 )                    | 77         | 74       |
| Loss before reorganization items and income taxes | (609 )                   | (1,520 )   | (442 )   |
| Reorganization items, net                         | 41                       | 6          | —        |
| Benefit for income taxes                          | (17 )                    | (62 )      | (172 )   |
| Net Loss  | \$(633 )                 | \$(1,464 ) | \$(270 ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS  
(in millions)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |             |           |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012        | 2011      |
| Net Loss   | \$ (633 )                | \$ (1,464 ) | \$ (270 ) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax  |                          |             |           |
| Valuation allowance on deferred tax asset  | —                        | (12 )       | —         |
| Pension and postretirement benefits other than pensions:   |                          |             |           |
| Net gain (loss) adjustment, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$17, \$0 and \$ (8) for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively                                     | 25                       | (1 )        | (13 )     |
| Amortization of net loss and prior service adjustment included in expense, net of tax  | 3                        | 2           | 1         |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualified as cash flow hedges:  |                          |             |           |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$ (1), \$3 and \$15 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively | (1 )                     | 4           | 23        |
| Reclassification adjustments included in net loss, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$ (2), \$17 and \$16 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively      | 2                        | (26 )       | (25 )     |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax  | 29                       | (33 )       | (14 )     |
| Comprehensive Loss   | \$ (604 )                | \$ (1,497 ) | \$ (284 ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in millions, except unit amounts)

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets  |                      |                      |
| Current Assets  |                      |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$118                | \$95                 |
| Due from affiliates, net (Note 15)  | 47                   | 40                   |
| Inventory   | 94                   | 165                  |
| Derivative assets   | —                    | 2                    |
| Other current assets  | 14                   | 20                   |
| Total current assets  | 273                  | 322                  |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, less accumulated depreciation of \$1,011 and \$1,260 at respective dates | 1,523                | 2,078                |
| Other long-term assets  | 10                   | 28                   |
| Total Assets  | \$1,806              | \$2,428              |
| Liabilities and Member's Equity   |                      |                      |
| Current Liabilities   |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable  | \$9                  | \$10                 |
| Accrued liabilities   | 73                   | 18                   |
| Due to affiliates   | 6                    | 3                    |
| Interest payable  | 3                    | 1                    |
| Derivative liabilities  | —                    | 3                    |
| Current portion of lease financings   | —                    | 6                    |
| Total current liabilities   | 91                   | 41                   |
| Liabilities subject to compromise   | 540                  | 529                  |
| Benefit plans and other long-term liabilities   | 113                  | 192                  |
| Total Liabilities   | 744                  | 762                  |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Notes 6, 9 and 10)   |                      |                      |
| Member's Equity   |                      |                      |
| Membership interests, no par value (100 units authorized, issued and outstanding at each date)          | —                    | —                    |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 3,405                | 3,405                |
| Retained deficit  | (2,322               | ) (1,689             |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (21                  | ) (50                |
| Total Member's Equity   | 1,062                | 1,666                |
| Total Liabilities and Member's Equity   | \$1,806              | \$2,428              |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF MEMBER'S EQUITY  
(in millions)

|   | Membership<br>Interests | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings<br>(Deficit) | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income (Loss) | Member's<br>Equity |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010                    | \$—                     | \$3,511                          | \$270                             | \$(3 )   | \$3,778            |
| Net loss  | —                       | —                                | (270 )                            | —  | (270 )             |
| Other comprehensive loss, net<br>of tax         | —                       | —                                | —                                 | (14 )  | (14 )              |
| Cash distribution to parent                     | —                       | —                                | (225 )                            | —  | (225 )             |
| Balance at December 31, 2011                    | —                       | 3,511                            | (225 )                            | (17 )  | 3,269              |
| Net loss  | —                       | —                                | (1,464 )                          | —  | (1,464 )           |
| Other comprehensive loss, net<br>of tax         | —                       | —                                | —                                 | (33 )  | (33 )              |
| Non-cash distribution to<br>parent <sup>1</sup> | —                       | (106 )                           | —                                 | —  | (106 )             |
| Balance at December 31, 2012                    | —                       | 3,405                            | (1,689 )                          | (50 )  | 1,666              |
| Net loss  | —                       | —                                | (633 )                            | —  | (633 )             |
| Other comprehensive income,<br>net of tax       | —                       | —                                | —                                 | 29   | 29                 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013                    | \$—                     | \$3,405                          | \$(2,322 )                        | \$(21 )  | \$1,062            |

<sup>1</sup> During 2012, Midwest Generation recorded a non-cash distribution to its parent related to the tax-allocation agreements. See Note 7—Income Taxes—Midwest Generation—Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
(Debtor-in-Possession)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in millions)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |            |          |
|--|--------------------------|------------|----------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012       | 2011     |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities   |                          |            |          |
| Net loss   | \$(633 )                 | \$(1,464 ) | \$(270 ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile loss to net cash provided by operating activities:                              |                          |            |          |
| Non-cash reorganization items, net   | 26                       | 6          | —        |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 119                      | 129        | 160      |
| Deferred taxes and tax credits   | (19 )                    | 54         | (145 )   |
| Asset impairments and other charges  | 465                      | 14         | 653      |
| Impairment of loan to affiliate  | —                        | 1,378      | —        |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:   |                          |            |          |
| (Increase) decrease in due to/from affiliates, net   | (4 )                     | (64 )      | 28       |
| Decrease (increase) in inventory   | 71                       | (6 )       | (36 )    |
| Increase in other current assets   | 3                        | (3 )       | (25 )    |
| Decrease in emission allowances  | —                        | —          | 2        |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, other current liabilities and liabilities subject to compromise | 3                        | (34 )      | (7 )     |
| Increase (decrease) in interest payable  | 2                        | (5 )       | (4 )     |
| Decrease in derivative assets and liabilities, net   | 1                        | 6          | 1        |
| Increase in other operating - liabilities  | 9                        | 2          | 7        |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 43                       | 13         | 364      |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities   |                          |            |          |
| Cash distributions to parent   | —                        | —          | (225 )   |
| Repayments of lease financing  | (6 )                     | (116 )     | (109 )   |
| Net cash used in financing activities  | (6 )                     | (116 )     | (334 )   |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities   |                          |            |          |
| Capital expenditures   | (16 )                    | (30 )      | (103 )   |
| Proceeds from sale of assets   | 1                        | 3          | —        |
| Proceeds from settlement of insurance claims   | —                        | 2          | —        |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted deposits and restricted cash and cash equivalents                      | 1                        | (2 )       | —        |
| Investments in other assets  | —                        | —          | (18 )    |
| Repayment of loan from affiliate   | —                        | 12         | 9        |
| Net cash used in investing activities  | (14 )                    | (15 )      | (112 )   |
| Net increase (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents  | 23                       | (118 )     | (82 )    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period   | 95                       | 213        | 295      |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period   | \$118                    | \$95       | \$213    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EDISON MISSION ENERGY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES  
COMBINED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted )

This is a combined annual report of Edison Mission Energy (EME) and its indirect subsidiary Midwest Generation, LLC (Midwest Generation). EME is a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the business of developing, acquiring, owning or leasing, operating and selling energy and capacity from independent power production facilities. EME also sells energy and capacity under contracts to specific purchasers or on a merchant basis in the marketplace and into wholesale markets. It also engages in hedging and energy trading activities in power markets, and provides scheduling and other services through its Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc. (EMMT) subsidiary.

EME's coal-fired facilities are primarily owned or leased and operated by Midwest Generation. As of December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation operated 4,619 megawatts (MW) of power plants in Illinois (the Midwest Generation plants) based on installed capacity acknowledged by PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM):

the Powerton, Joliet, Will County, and Waukegan coal-fired generating plants consisting of 4,314 MW; and

the Fisk and Waukegan on-site, oil-fired generating peakers consisting of 305 MW.

Midwest Generation leases the Powerton Station and Units 7 and 8 of the Joliet Station from third-party lessors pursuant to a sale-leaseback transaction completed in August 2000 (the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback). Midwest Generation's obligations under these leases are guaranteed by EME. In connection with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, Midwest Generation facilitated the issuance of lessor debt of \$1.147 billion in the form of pass-through certificates (the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds).

EME is incorporated under the state laws of Delaware and is an indirect subsidiary of Edison International (EIX). Midwest Generation, a Delaware limited liability company, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Edison Mission Midwest Holdings Co. Edison Mission Midwest Holdings is a wholly owned subsidiary of Midwest Generation EME, LLC, which is in turn a wholly owned subsidiary of EME.

#### Chapter 11 Cases

On December 17, 2012, EME and 16 of its wholly owned subsidiaries, Camino Energy Company, Chestnut Ridge Energy Company, Edison Mission Energy Fuel Services, LLC, Edison Mission Fuel Resources, Inc., Edison Mission Fuel Transportation, Inc., Edison Mission Holdings Co., Edison Mission Midwest Holdings Co., Midwest Finance Corp., Midwest Generation EME, LLC, Midwest Generation, Midwest Generation Procurement Services, LLC, Midwest Peaker Holdings, Inc., Mission Energy Westside, Inc., San Joaquin Energy Company, Southern Sierra Energy Company, and Western Sierra Energy Company (the Initial Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On May 2, 2013, 3 additional EME subsidiaries, EME Homer City Generation L.P. (Homer City), Homer City Property Holdings Inc., and Edison Mission Finance Company (collectively, the Homer City Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Initial Debtors' and the Homer City Debtors' chapter 11 cases (collectively, the Chapter 11 Cases) are being jointly administered under case No. 12-49219 (JPC). The Initial Debtors and the Homer City Debtors are collectively referred to as the Debtor Entities. The Debtor Entities filed the Chapter 11 Cases due to a combination of pending debt maturities, low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation, and capital requirements associated with retrofitting the Midwest Generation plants to comply with governmental regulations.

In October 2013, EME entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the Acquisition Agreement) and the Debtor Entities entered into a Plan Sponsor Agreement that, upon completion, would implement a reorganization of the Debtor Entities through a sale of substantially all of EME's assets, including its equity interests in substantially all of its debtor and non-debtor subsidiaries, to a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG Energy Inc. (the Purchaser). The sale transaction (the NRG Sale) is a key component of EME's plan of reorganization.

In February 2014, EME entered into a Settlement Agreement with EIX and certain of its unsecured creditors holding a majority of its outstanding senior unsecured notes (the Settlement Agreement). Under the Settlement Agreement, EME filed a Third Amended Plan of Reorganization (the Plan) under which, on the effective date of the Plan (the

Effective Date), EME will emerge from bankruptcy free of liabilities but will remain an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of EIX. A new entity (the Reorganization Trust) will be formed and will make distributions pursuant to the Plan for the benefit of EME's existing creditors. All assets and liabilities of EME that are not otherwise discharged in the bankruptcy or transferred to NRG as part of the NRG Sale will be transferred to the Reorganization Trust, with the exception of (i) EME's income tax benefits generated as of the Effective Date which had not previously been paid to EME under tax-allocation agreements with EIX

(EME Tax Attributes), estimated at \$1.19 billion, which will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group, (ii) liabilities totaling \$241 million associated with the qualified pension plan, the executive retirement plan, the executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions, which are being assumed by EIX and (iii) EME's indirect interest in Capistrano Wind Partners. EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes. EIX has disclosed that they have estimated their exposure to the qualified pension plan, executive retirement plan, executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions to be approximately \$350 million.

The Bankruptcy Court issued a Confirmation Order in March 2014, which confirmed the Plan. The completion of the NRG Sale is expected in April 2014. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities—Plan of Reorganization.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that EME and Midwest Generation will continue as going concerns. Financial statements prepared on this basis assume the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the 12-month period following the date of the financial statements. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities or any other adjustments that might be necessary if EME and Midwest Generation were unable to continue as going concerns. EME's and Midwest Generation's ability to continue as going concerns is dependent on the successful completion of the NRG Sale and an emergence from bankruptcy. However, there is no assurance that these events will occur within their expected time frames or at all.

#### Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements of EME reflect the accounts of EME and its subsidiary, Midwest Generation. The consolidated financial statements of EME also include the accounts of partnerships in which EME has a controlling interest and variable interest entities (VIEs) in which EME is deemed the primary beneficiary. EME's investments in unconsolidated affiliates and VIEs, in which EME is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary, are mainly accounted for by the equity method. For a discussion of EME's VIEs, see Note 3—Variable Interest Entities. Midwest Generation's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Midwest Generation and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated for each reporting entity. The notes to the consolidated financial statements apply to EME and Midwest Generation as indicated parenthetically next to each corresponding disclosure.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires EME and Midwest Generation to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents included money market funds totaling \$983 million and \$615 million for EME and \$101 million and \$75 million for Midwest Generation at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The carrying value of cash equivalents equals the fair value as all investments have original maturities of less than three months.

#### Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents, and Restricted Deposits

Restricted deposits consisted of cash balances that are restricted to pay amounts required for lease payments, debt service or to provide collateral. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME's restricted cash and deposits included \$22 million and \$49 million, respectively, to support letters of credit issued under EME's letter of credit facilities. Restricted deposits of \$4 million as of both December 31, 2013 and 2012 were included in other long-term assets on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheets. These cash balances are restricted to provide collateral or other deposits required by contract.

## Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of weighted-average cost or market. Inventory is recorded at actual cost when purchased and then expensed at weighted-average cost as used. Inventory consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                          | EME          |       | Midwest Generation |       |
|--|--------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
|  | December 31, |       | December 31,       |       |
|  | 2013         | 2012  | 2013               | 2012  |
| Coal, fuel oil and other raw materials | \$50         | \$123 | \$48               | \$119 |
| Spare parts, materials and supplies    | 64           | 52    | 46                 | 46    |
| Total inventory                        | \$114        | \$175 | \$94               | \$165 |

### VIEs (EME only)

A VIE is a legal entity whose equity owners do not have sufficient equity at risk, or as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack any of the following three characteristics: decision making rights, the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive the residual returns of the entity. The primary beneficiary is identified as the variable interest holder that has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the VIE unless specific exceptions or exclusions are met. Commercial and operating activities are generally the factors that most significantly impact the economic performance of VIEs in which EME has a variable interest. Commercial and operating activities include construction, operation and maintenance, fuel procurement, dispatch and compliance with regulatory and contractual requirements.

### Allocation of Net Income or Losses to Investors in Certain VIEs (EME only)

During 2012 and 2013, EME raised third-party capital to support the development of a portion of EME's wind portfolio by selling indirect equity interests in certain wind projects through a new venture, Capistrano Wind Partners. Capistrano Wind Partners' partnership agreements contain complex allocation provisions for taxable income and losses, tax credits and cash distributions. EME allocates net income for this consolidated investment to third-party investors based on the Hypothetical Liquidation Book Value (HLBV) method. HLBV is a balance sheet oriented approach that calculates the change in the claims of each partner on the net assets of the investment at the beginning and end of each period. Each partner's claim is equal to the amount each party would receive or pay if the net assets of the investment were to liquidate at book value and the resulting cash was then distributed to investors in accordance with their respective liquidation preferences. EME reports the net income (loss) attributable to the third-party investors as (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the consolidated statements of operations. For further information, see Note 3—Variable Interest Entities—Categories of VIEs—Capistrano Wind Equity Capital.

### Purchased Emission Allowances, Exemptions and Offsets (EME only)

Purchased emission allowances are stated at the lower of weighted-average cost or market. Purchased emission allowances are recorded at cost when purchased and then expensed at weighted-average cost as used. Cost is reduced to market value if the market value of emission allowances has declined and it is probable that revenues earned from the generation of power will not cover the amounts recorded in the ordinary course of business. Purchased emission allowances are classified as current or long-term assets based on the time the allowances are expected to be used. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME had \$4 million and \$16 million, respectively, of purchased emission allowances, exemptions and offsets, primarily related to the Walnut Creek facility, reflected in other long-term assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

### Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment, including leasehold improvements and construction in progress, are capitalized at cost. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the property, plant and equipment and over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life for leasehold improvements. The costs of repairs and maintenance, including periodic major maintenance, are expensed as incurred. As part of the acquisition of the Midwest Generation plants, EME acquired emission allowances under the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (US EPA) Acid Rain Program. EME uses these emission allowances in the normal course of its business to generate electricity and has classified them as part of property, plant and equipment.

Acquired emission allowances are amortized on a straight-line basis.

84

---

Estimated useful lives for property, plant and equipment are as follows:

|   | EME   | Midwest Generation                                |
|---|---|---|
| Power plant facilities                    | 2.75 to 35 years                                  | 2.75 to 30 years                                  |
| Leasehold improvements                    | Shorter of life of lease or estimated useful life | Shorter of life of lease or estimated useful life |
| Emission allowances                       | 25 to 33.75 years                                 | 25 to 33.75 years                                 |
| Equipment, furniture and fixtures         | 3 to 10 years                                     | 3 to 7 years                                      |
| Plant and equipment under lease financing | not applicable                                    | 30 to 33.75 years                                 |

The remaining estimated useful life or lease term at December 31, 2013 for the Midwest Generation plants is as follows. Estimated useful lives of individual facilities could be impacted by decisions related to the installation of environmental remediation equipment. If environmental compliance equipment is not installed, the useful life may be shortened.

|                                   |          |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Joliet Unit 6                     | 5 years  |
| Joliet Units 7 and 8 <sup>1</sup> | 17 years |
| Powerton Station <sup>1</sup>     | 20 years |

<sup>1</sup> Represents leased facilities. The leases may be renewed based on criteria outlined in their respective agreements. Interest incurred on funds borrowed by EME is capitalized during the construction period. Such capitalized interest is included in property, plant and equipment. Capitalized interest is amortized over the depreciation period of the major plant and facilities for the respective project. Capitalized interest was \$7 million, \$31 million and \$27 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Midwest Generation did not record capitalized interest during the period.

#### Asset Retirement Obligations

Authoritative guidance on asset retirement obligations (AROs) requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for an ARO in the period in which it is incurred, including a liability for the fair value of a conditional ARO, if the fair value can be reasonably estimated even though uncertainty exists about the timing and/or method of settlement. When an ARO liability is initially recorded, the entity capitalizes the cost by increasing the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, the liability is increased for accretion expense to its present value each period, and the capitalized cost is depreciated over the useful life of the related asset. Settlement of an ARO liability for an amount other than its recorded amount results in an increase or decrease in expense.

EME and Midwest Generation have recorded a liability representing expected future costs associated with site reclamations, facilities dismantlement and removal of environmental hazards, which is included in other long-term liabilities on EME's consolidated balance sheets and benefit plans and other long-term liabilities on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheets.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

EME and Midwest Generation evaluate the impairment of long-lived assets based on a review of estimated future cash flows expected to be generated whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such investments or assets may not be recoverable. EME's and Midwest Generation's unit of account is at the plant level and, accordingly, the closure of a unit at a multi-unit site would not result in an impairment of property, plant and equipment unless such condition were to affect an impairment assessment on the entire plant. If the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds the expected future cash flows, undiscounted and without interest charges, an impairment loss is recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over fair value. Fair value is determined via market, cost and income based valuation techniques, as appropriate. For further discussion, see Note 13—Asset Impairments and Other Charges.

EME also evaluates investments in unconsolidated affiliates for potential impairment. If the carrying value of an unconsolidated affiliate exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recorded if the decline is other than temporary.

#### Sale Leaseback

Midwest Generation has entered into the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and EME has provided guarantees related to this transaction. Under the terms of the leases (33.75 years for Powerton and 30 years for Joliet), Midwest Generation makes





semi-annual lease payments on each January 2 and July 2, which began January 2, 2001. If a lessor intends to sell its interest in the Powerton or Joliet Stations, Midwest Generation has a right of first refusal to acquire the interest at fair market value. Under the terms of each lease, Midwest Generation may request a lessor, at its option, to refinance the lessor debt, which, if completed, would affect the base lease rent. The gain on the sale of the power stations has been deferred and is being amortized over the term of the leases. For additional information on the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, see Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Lease Commitments.

#### EME

EME accounts for long-term leases associated with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback as operating leases on its separate consolidated financial statements. Minimum lease payments under operating leases are levelized (total minimum lease payments divided by the number of years of the lease) and recorded as rent expense over the terms of the leases. Lease payments in excess of the minimum are recorded as rent expense in the year incurred.

#### Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation accounts for the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback as a lease financing in its separate consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, Midwest Generation records the power plants as assets in a similar manner to a capital lease and records depreciation expense from the power plants and interest expense from the lease financing.

#### Allowance for Losses on Notes Receivable (Midwest Generation only)

Notes receivable are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. A valuation allowance will be recorded when it is probable that Midwest Generation will be unable to collect amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms and schedule of the loan agreement. For additional information on Midwest Generation's impaired intercompany loan, see Note 15—Related Party Transactions.

#### Accounting for Reorganization

As a result of the EME and Midwest Generation Chapter 11 Cases, realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities are subject to a significant number of uncertainties. The consolidated financial statements prepared under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 852 Reorganizations require the following accounting policies for debtors-in-possession.

#### Liabilities Subject to Compromise (LSTC)

Unsecured prepetition liabilities that have at least a possibility of not being fully repaid have been reclassified into LSTC, a separate line item on the consolidated balance sheet. LSTC, including claims that have become known after the bankruptcy filing, are reported on the basis of the probably allowed claim. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

#### Reorganization Items

Adjustments to amounts classified as LSTC are presented as Reorganization Items, a separate line item on the consolidated statement of operations. Reorganization items include direct and incremental costs of bankruptcy, such as professional fees. In 2012, reorganization items also included the write off of deferred financing costs of \$15 million related to the classification of EME's senior notes as part of LSTC. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

#### Interest Expense

EME and Midwest Generation will not pay interest expense during bankruptcy and it is not expected to be an allowable claim. Therefore, the filing entities will not accrue interest expense for financial reporting purposes; however, unpaid contractual interest is calculated for disclosure purposes.

#### Deferred Financing Costs (EME only)

Bank, legal and other direct costs incurred in connection with obtaining financing are deferred and amortized as interest expense on a basis that approximates the effective interest rate method over the term of the related debt. Amortization of deferred financing costs charged to interest expense was \$13 million, \$19 million and \$15 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For additional information, see "Reorganization Items" above.



### Revenue Recognition

Generally, revenues and related costs are recognized when electricity is generated, or services are provided, unless the transaction is accounted for as a derivative and does not qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception. EME's subsidiaries enter into power and fuel hedging, optimization transactions and energy trading contracts, all subject to market conditions. One of EME's subsidiaries executes these transactions primarily through the use of physical forward commodity purchases and sales and financial commodity swaps and options. With respect to its physical forward contracts, EME's subsidiaries generally act as the principal, take title to the commodities, and assume the risks and rewards of ownership. EME's subsidiaries record the settlement of non-trading physical forward contracts on a gross basis. EME nets the cost of purchased power against related third-party sales in markets that use locational marginal pricing, currently PJM. Financial swap and option transactions are settled net and, accordingly, EME's subsidiaries do not take title to the underlying commodity. Therefore, gains and losses from settlement of financial swaps and options are recorded net in operating revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Revenues under certain long-term power sales contracts are recognized based on the output delivered at the lower of the amount billable or the average rate over the contract term. The excess of the amounts billed over the portion recorded as revenues is reflected in deferred revenues on the consolidated balance sheets.

EME accounts for grant income on the deferred method and, accordingly, will recognize operating revenues related to such income over the estimated useful life of the projects. EME received US Treasury Grants for eligible wind projects of \$44 million and \$388 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Power Purchase Agreements (EME only)

EME enters into long-term power purchase agreements in the normal course of business. A power purchase agreement may be considered a variable interest in a VIE. Under this classification, the power purchase agreement is evaluated to determine if EME is the primary beneficiary in the VIE, in which case, such entity would be consolidated. EME does not have any power purchase agreements in which it is the primary beneficiary.

A power purchase agreement may also contain a lease for accounting purposes. This generally occurs when a power purchase agreement (signed or modified after June 30, 2003) designates a specific power plant in which the buyer purchases substantially all of the output and does not otherwise meet a fixed price per unit of output exception. EME has a number of power purchase agreements that contain leases in which EME is considered the lessor. These agreements are classified as operating leases. EME records rental income under these contracts as electricity is delivered at rates defined in power sales agreements. Revenues from these power sales agreements were \$224 million, \$124 million and \$109 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

A power purchase agreement that does not contain a lease may be classified as a derivative subject to a normal purchases and sales exception, in which case the power purchase agreement is classified as an executory contract. The contracts that are not eligible for the normal purchases and sales exception are defined as a derivative and are recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. For further information on derivatives and hedging activities, see Note 6—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.

Power purchase agreements that do not meet the preceding classification are accounted for on the accrual basis.

#### Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Authoritative guidance on derivatives and hedging establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts). EME and Midwest Generation are required to record derivatives on their balance sheets as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value unless otherwise exempted from derivative treatment as normal purchases and sales. All changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge criteria are met, which requires that EME and Midwest Generation formally document, designate, and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting.

The accounting guidance for cash flow hedges provides that the effective portion of gains or losses on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges be reported as a component of other comprehensive loss and be reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The remaining gains or losses on the derivative instruments, if any, must be recognized currently in earnings.



#### Stock-Based Compensation (EME only)

EIX's stock options, performance shares, deferred stock units and restricted stock units have been granted to EME employees under EIX's long-term incentive compensation programs. EME employees ceased receiving new awards under these programs upon the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases, however, existing awards continue to vest. Upon completion of the NRG Sale and emergence from bankruptcy, all of EME's employees will be terminated. The terminated employees will generally receive one additional year of vesting and any unvested long-term incentive compensation awards will be canceled.

Generally, EIX does not issue new common stock for settlement of equity awards. Rather, a third party is used to purchase shares from the market and deliver for settlement of option exercises, performance shares, and restricted stock units. EIX has discretion to settle certain performance shares awards in common stock; however, awards are generally settled half in cash and half in common stock. Deferred stock units granted to management are settled in cash and represent a liability. Restricted stock units are settled in common stock; however, EIX will substitute cash awards to the extent necessary to pay tax withholding or any government levies.

EME recognizes stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. EME recognizes stock-based compensation expense for awards granted to retirement-eligible participants on a prorated basis over the initial year or over the period between the date of grant and the date the participant first becomes eligible for retirement. In conjunction with the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME ceased participating in EIX's long-term incentive compensation programs, and no new EIX stock-based compensation was awarded to EME employees in 2013.

#### Income Taxes and Tax-Allocation Agreements

##### EME

Historically, EME participated in tax-allocation agreements with EIX in which EME would be eligible to receive payments from EIX for tax losses and credits generated by EME. During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013. The parties to the Settlement Agreement have estimated the EME Tax Attributes to be \$1.19 billion and have agreed that EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes as follows: \$225 million payable on the Effective Date in cash, with one half of the balance payable on each of September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2016, together with interest at 5% per annum from the Effective Date.

If the Settlement Agreement is terminated, as a result of the termination of the Acquisition Agreement or otherwise, then, as of January 1, 2014 benefits that had been previously generated by EME and utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis but are unpaid under the tax-allocation agreements will not be available for use by EME in its own consolidated tax return and will not be paid by EIX. Additionally, tax benefits that had previously been generated by EME and not utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis will generally be available for use by EME in its own consolidated return, but may be reduced by cancellation of indebtedness income (COD income) or as a result of the application of the consolidated return rules.

Under the Plan, EME will continue to be included in the consolidated federal and combined state income tax returns of EIX. EME's tax provision is determined using the "benefits for losses" method. This method is similar to a separate company return, except that EME recognizes, without regard to separate company limitations, additional tax liabilities or benefits based on the impact to the combined group including EME's taxable income or losses and state apportionment factors. Realization of any tax benefits generated by EME is dependent on EME's continued inclusion in the consolidated EIX tax returns, and the generation of sufficient consolidated taxable income by the EIX consolidated tax group prior to the expiration of the loss and credit carryforwards. Differences between the amount recorded in tax provision under the benefits for losses method and the amount of cash expected to be paid or received through the intercompany tax allocation agreements is recorded to equity.

EME accounts for deferred income taxes using the asset-and-liability method, wherein deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted income tax rates. Historically, in evaluating the realization of tax sharing assets, EME determined whether it was more likely than not the EIX consolidated tax group would generate sufficient taxable income to utilize EME's deferred income tax assets during the period in which EME was a part of

the EIX consolidated income tax returns, or if it was more likely than not EME would utilize the deferred income tax assets on its own, after its expected separation from the consolidated group. As a result, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME recorded a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets of \$752 million and \$444 million, respectively. Upon the effectiveness of the Settlement Agreement, EME would expect to reverse the valuation allowance it has recorded. For further information, see Note 7—Income Taxes.

Investment and energy tax credits are deferred and amortized over the term of the power purchase agreement of the respective project while production tax credits are recognized when earned. EME's investments in wind-powered electric generation projects qualify for federal production tax credits, unless a US Treasury Grant has been elected. Certain of EME's wind projects also qualify for state tax credits, which are accounted for similarly to federal production tax credits.

Interest income, interest expense and penalties associated with income taxes are reflected in provision (benefit) for income taxes on EME's consolidated statements of operations.

#### Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation is included in the consolidated federal and state income tax returns of EIX and is party to a tax-allocation agreement with its parent Edison Mission Midwest Holdings (the Midwest Generation Tax Allocation Agreement). Midwest Generation's tax allocation method is to allocate current tax liabilities or benefits on a separate return basis, except for the use of state tax apportionment factors of the EIX group for purposes of determining state income taxes. The Midwest Generation Tax Allocation Agreement only permits the use of net operating losses to offset future taxable income and does not include the right to receive payments. Accordingly, if Midwest Generation offsets net operating loss carryforwards against taxable income in the future, such tax benefits are accounted for as non-cash equity contributions from its parent at the time of use. Tax benefits recognized associated with net operating losses carrybacks that are not paid under the Midwest Generation Tax Allocation Agreement are accounted for as non-cash distributions to the parent company.

Midwest Generation accounts for deferred income taxes using the asset-and-liability method, wherein deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted income tax rates. In evaluating the realization of deferred income tax assets, Midwest Generation must determine whether it is more likely than not it would utilize its own deferred income tax assets in a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate company basis. As a result, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Midwest Generation recorded a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets of \$767 million and \$533 million, respectively. For further information regarding the valuation allowance, see Note 7—Income Taxes.

Also, while Midwest Generation is generally subject to separate return limitations for net losses, under the Midwest Generation Tax Allocation Agreement it is permitted to transfer to Edison Mission Midwest Holdings, or its subsidiaries, net operating loss benefits or other current or deferred tax attributions, which would not yet be realized in a separate return in exchange for a reduction in Midwest Generation's intercompany account balances (including subordinated loans). Differences between amounts recorded in tax provision based on a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate company basis and the amount of cash expected to be paid or received through the Midwest Generation Tax Allocation Agreement are recorded to equity.

Under the Acquisition Agreement, prior to the closing date, Midwest Generation may reorganize into a single-member limited liability corporation, in which case it will become a disregarded entity for tax purposes and its existing income tax attributes will accumulate to EME. Under the Settlement Agreement, the EME Tax Attributes will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group.

Interest income, interest expense and penalties associated with income taxes are reflected in benefit for income taxes on Midwest Generation's consolidated statements of operations.

#### New Accounting Guidance

##### Accounting Guidance Adopted in 2013

###### Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011 and December 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued accounting standards updates modifying the disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of offsetting assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet under master netting agreements and related arrangements associated with financial and derivative instruments. The guidance requires increased disclosure of the gross and net recognized assets and liabilities, collateral positions, and narrative descriptions of setoff rights. EME and Midwest Generation adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013.

###### Presentation of Items Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standards update which requires disclosure related to items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). The guidance requires entities to present separately, for each component of other comprehensive income (OCI), current period reclassifications and the remainder of the current-period OCI. In addition, for certain current period reclassifications, an entity is required to disclose the effect of the item reclassified out of AOCI on the respective line item of net income. EME adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2013.

###### Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted

###### Joint and Several Liabilities

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which modifies the requirements for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date. The guidance requires companies to measure these obligations as the sum of the amount the company has agreed with co-obligors to pay and any additional amount it expects to pay on behalf of one or more co-obligors. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2013. EME and Midwest Generation do not expect this guidance to have a material impact on results of operations.

###### Presentation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which clarifies that a liability for an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented as a reduction of a deferred tax asset when settlement of the liability with the taxing authority results in the reduction of a net operating loss or tax credit carryforward. The requirement to record a non-cash settlement in a net manner does not affect EME and Midwest Generation's analysis of the realization of deferred tax assets. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2013. EME and Midwest Generation do not expect this guidance to have a material impact on results of operations.



## Note 2. Property, Plant and Equipment (EME, Midwest Generation)

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                                  | EME          |         | Midwest Generation |         |
|--|--------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|  | December 31, |         | December 31,       |         |
|  | 2013         | 2012    | 2013               | 2012    |
| Land   | \$34         | \$36    | \$31               | \$32    |
| Power plant facilities                         | 4,453        | 4,612   | \$613              | \$1,293 |
| Leasehold improvements                         | 4            | 4       | —                  | —       |
| Emission allowances                            | 541          | 672     | 496                | 639     |
| Construction in progress <sup>1</sup>          | 54           | 495     | 53                 | 28      |
| Equipment, furniture and fixtures              | 105          | 128     | 8                  | 13      |
| Plant and equipment under lease financing      | —            | —       | 1,333              | 1,333   |
|  | 5,191        | 5,947   | 2,534              | 3,338   |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,314        | 1,431   | 1,011              | 1,260   |
| Net property, plant and equipment              | \$3,877      | \$4,516 | \$1,523            | \$2,078 |

<sup>1</sup> Included \$466 million at December 31, 2012 for EME's new gas and wind projects under construction.

The power sales agreements of certain EME wind projects qualify as operating leases pursuant to authoritative guidance on leases. The carrying amount and related accumulated depreciation of the property of these wind projects totaled \$1.7 billion and \$362 million, respectively, at December 31, 2013.

Property, plant and equipment for Midwest Generation includes leased properties pursuant to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. Midwest Generation recorded amortization expense related to the leased facilities of \$42 million for each of the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Accumulated amortization related to the leased facilities was \$555 million and \$514 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012 respectively.

For information on impairment charges relating to property, plant and equipment, see Note 13—Asset Impairments and Other Charges.

## Asset Retirement Obligations

## EME

A reconciliation of the changes in EME's ARO liability is as follows:

| (in millions)     | Years Ended December 31, |      |      |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
|                   | 2013                     | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning balance | \$80                     | \$70 | \$47 |
| Accretion expense | 6                        | 5    | 5    |
| Revisions         | —                        | —    | (1 ) |
| Liabilities added | 8                        | 5    | 19   |
| Ending balance    | \$94                     | \$80 | \$70 |

EME has recorded AROs related to its new gas-fired peaker plant in connection with the commencement of commercial operations there, as well as its wind facilities due to site lease obligations to return the land to grade at the end of the respective leases. Wind-related AROs cover site reclamation and turbine and related facility dismantlement. The earliest settlement of any of these obligations is anticipated to be in 2019. However, the operation of an individual facility may impact the timing of the ARO for that facility. Decisions made in conjunction with each facility's operation could extend or shorten the anticipated life depending on improvements and other factors.

## Midwest Generation

A reconciliation of the changes in Midwest Generation's ARO liability is as follows:

| (in millions)     | Years Ended December 31, |      |      |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------|------|
|                   | 2013                     | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning balance | \$2                      | \$2  | \$2  |
| Accretion expense | —                        | —    | 1    |
| Revisions         | —                        | —    | (1   |
| Ending balance    | \$2                      | \$2  | \$2  |

Midwest Generation has conditional AROs related to asbestos removal and disposal costs for owned buildings and power plant facilities. Midwest Generation has not recorded a liability related to these structures because they cannot reasonably estimate the obligation's fair value at this time. The range of time over which Midwest Generation may settle these obligations in the future (demolition or other method) is sufficiently large to not allow for the use of expected present value techniques. At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had assets with a fair value of \$4 million that were legally restricted for purposes of settling AROs.

## Note 3. Variable Interest Entities (EME only)

## Description of Use of VIEs

EME and its subsidiaries and affiliates have used VIEs as part of joint development agreements and constructing or acquiring full or partial interests in power generation facilities and ancillary facilities, referred to by EME as a project. EME's subsidiaries and affiliates have financed the development and construction or acquisition of its projects by capital contributions from EME and the incurrence of debt or lease obligations by its subsidiaries and affiliates owning the operating facilities. These project level debt or lease obligations are generally secured by project specific assets and structured as nonrecourse to EME, with several exceptions, including EME's guarantee provided as part of the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback.

## Categories of VIEs

## Projects or Entities that are Consolidated

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, EME consolidated 16 and 15 projects, respectively, that have noncontrolling interests held by others. These projects have a total generating capacity of 958 megawatts (MW) and 878 MW, respectively. The increase in the number of consolidated projects was due to the sale of an indirect equity interest in the Broken Bow I wind project, discussed below under Capistrano Wind Equity Capital. In determining that EME was the primary beneficiary of the projects that are consolidated, key factors considered were EME's ability to direct commercial and operating activities and EME's obligation to absorb losses of the variable interest entities.

EME's summarized financial information for consolidated projects consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                         | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets                        | \$70                 | \$74                 |
| Net property, plant and equipment     | 1,187                | 1,117                |
| Other long-term assets                | 112                  | 90                   |
| Total assets                          | \$1,369              | \$1,281              |
| Current liabilities                   | \$43                 | \$50                 |
| Long-term debt net of current portion | 214                  | 186                  |
| Deferred revenues                     | 152                  | 156                  |
| Long-term derivative liabilities      | 12                   | 23                   |
| Other long-term liabilities           | 47                   | 40                   |
| Total liabilities                     | \$468                | \$455                |
| Noncontrolling interests              | \$382                | \$288                |

Assets serving as collateral for the debt obligations had a carrying value of \$609 million and \$497 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and primarily consist of property, plant and equipment. The debt obligations are nonrecourse to EME. The consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 includes \$10 million and \$29 million of pre-tax losses, respectively, and \$59 million and \$75 million of operating cash flows, respectively, related to VIEs that are consolidated.

#### Capistrano Wind Equity Capital

In February 2012, Edison Mission Wind Inc. (Edison Mission Wind) sold its indirect equity interests in the Cedro Hill wind project (150 MW in Texas), the Mountain Wind Power I wind project (61 MW in Wyoming) and the Mountain Wind Power II wind project (80 MW in Wyoming) to a new venture, Capistrano Wind Partners. Outside investors provided \$238 million of the funding and Mission Energy Holding Company (MEHC) made a \$4 million preferred investment. In December 2012, Edison Mission Wind sold its indirect equity interest in the Crofton Bluffs wind project (40 MW in Nebraska) to Capistrano Wind Partners for \$58 million. Outside investors provided \$46 million of the funding. In January 2013, Edison Mission Wind sold its indirect equity interest in the Broken Bow I wind project (80 MW in Nebraska) to Capistrano Wind Partners for \$112 million. Outside investors provided \$94 million of the funding.

Through their ownership of Capistrano Wind Holdings, an indirect subsidiary of EME, Edison Mission Wind, and EME's parent company, MEHC, own 100% of the Class A equity interests in Capistrano Wind Partners, and the Class B preferred equity interests are held by outside investors. In the event that Edison Mission Wind is no longer included in the consolidated income tax returns of EIX, MEHC's equity interest converts to common stock such that Capistrano Wind Holdings would remain included in the EIX consolidated tax group. The closing of the NRG Sale would trigger the provisions to increase MEHC's holding of Capistrano Wind Holdings' common stock. For additional information, see Note 7—Income Taxes and Note 16—Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale.

Under the terms of the Capistrano Wind Holdings formation documents, preferred equity interests receive 100% of the cash available for distribution, up to a scheduled amount to target a certain return and thereafter cash distributions are shared. Cash available for distribution includes 90% of the tax benefits realized by MEHC and contributed to Capistrano Wind Partners.

Edison Mission Wind retains indirect beneficial ownership of the common equity in the projects, net of MEHC's preferred investment, and retains responsibilities for managing the operations of Capistrano Wind Partners and its projects. Accordingly, EME consolidates these projects. The \$378 million contributed by the third-party interests and the \$4 million preferred investment made by MEHC are reflected in noncontrolling interests on EME's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013. The transactions between Edison Mission Wind and Capistrano Wind Partners were accounted for as a transfer among entities under common control and, therefore, resulted in no change in the book basis of the transferred assets. However, the transaction did trigger a taxable gain and new tax basis in the assets with a corresponding adjustment to deferred taxes and a reduction to equity of \$21 million.



## Projects that are not Consolidated

EME accounts for the majority of its investments in domestic gas and wind energy projects in which it has less than a 100% ownership interest, and does not have both the right to direct the commercial and operating activities and the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits from the VIEs, under the equity method. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME had significant variable interests in 5 natural gas projects that are not consolidated, consisting of the Big 4 Projects (Kern River, Midway-Sunset, Sycamore and Watson) and Sunrise. A subsidiary of EME operates 3 of the Big 4 Projects and Sunrise and EME's partner provides the fuel management services for the Big 4 Projects. In addition, the executive director of these gas projects is provided by EME's partner. Commercial and operating activities of these gas projects are jointly controlled by a management committee of each VIE. Accordingly, EME accounts for its variable interests in these projects under the equity method. The Kern River and Sycamore projects are subject to ongoing litigation in the Chapter 11 Cases. For additional information see Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Chevron Adversary Proceedings.

The following table presents the carrying amount of EME's investments in unconsolidated VIEs and the maximum exposure to loss for each investment:

| (in millions)              | December 31, 2013 |                  |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|                            | Investment        | Maximum Exposure |
| Natural gas-fired projects | \$325             | \$325            |
| Wind projects              | 188               | 188              |

EME's exposure to loss in its VIEs accounted for under the equity method is generally limited to its investment in these entities. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, outstanding debt for projects that are not consolidated consisted of long-term debt that was secured by a pledge of project entity assets, but does not provide for recourse to EME. At December 31, 2013, such outstanding indebtedness was \$25 million, of which \$6 million was proportionate to EME's ownership in the project. At December 31, 2012, such outstanding indebtedness was \$32 million, of which \$8 million was proportionate to EME's ownership interest in the projects.

The following table presents summarized financial information of the investments in unconsolidated affiliates accounted for by the equity method:

| (in millions)                | Years Ended December 31, |              |         |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                              | 2013                     | 2012         | 2011    |
| Revenues                     | \$754                    | \$607        | \$769   |
| Expenses                     | 656                      | 519          | 601     |
| Net income                   | \$98                     | \$88         | \$168   |
|                              |                          | December 31, |         |
| (in millions)                |                          | 2013         | 2012    |
| Current assets               |                          | \$411        | \$337   |
| Noncurrent assets            |                          | 657          | 711     |
| Total assets                 |                          | \$1,068      | \$1,048 |
| Current liabilities          |                          | \$120        | \$78    |
| Noncurrent liabilities       |                          | 72           | 82      |
| Equity                       |                          | 876          | 888     |
| Total liabilities and equity |                          | \$1,068      | \$1,048 |

The difference between the carrying value of these equity investments and the underlying equity in the net assets was \$9 million at December 31, 2013. The difference is being amortized over the life of the projects. The majority of noncurrent liabilities are composed of project financing arrangements that are nonrecourse to EME. The undistributed earnings of equity method investments were \$18 million and \$19 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2013, the investments in unconsolidated affiliates accounted for by the equity method that represent at least 5% of EME's loss before tax, excluding asset impairment charges, or in which EME has an investment balance greater than \$40 million:

| Unconsolidated Affiliates | Location        | Investment at December 31, 2013<br>(in millions) | Ownership Interest at December 31, 2013 | Operating Status                |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| San Juan Mesa             | Elida, NM       | \$74   | 75%                                     | Operating wind-powered facility |
| Elkhorn Ridge             | Bloomfield, NE  | 81   | 67%                                     | Operating wind-powered facility |
| Sunrise                   | Fellows, CA     | 174  | 50%                                     | Operating gas-fired facility    |
| Sycamore                  | Bakersfield, CA | 48   | 50%                                     | Operating cogeneration facility |
| Watson                    | Carson, CA      | 34   | 49%                                     | Operating cogeneration facility |

The following table presents summarized financial information of EME's investments in unconsolidated affiliates:

| (in millions)                            | December 31, |       |
|--|--------------|-------|
|  | 2013         | 2012  |
| Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates |              |       |
| Equity investments                       | \$513        | \$527 |
| Cost investments                         | —            | 7     |
| Total                                    | \$513        | \$534 |

At December 31, 2012, EME had a 38% ownership interest in Covanta Huntington L.P., a small biomass project, that it accounted for under the cost method of accounting as it did not have a significant influence over the project's operating and financial activities. In January 2013, EME received \$7.5 million for the sale of all of its indirect interest in the project.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME accounted for its 80% interest in Doga Enerji (Doga) on the cost method as accumulated distributions exceeded accumulated earnings. EME has not estimated the fair value of cost method investments as quoted market prices are not available and the determination of fair value is highly subjective and cannot be readily ascertained.

#### Note 4. Fair Value Measurements (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

##### Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (referred to as an "exit price"). Fair value of an asset or liability considers assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about nonperformance risk, which was not material as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for both EME and Midwest Generation.

##### Valuation Techniques Used to Determine Fair Value

Assets and liabilities are categorized into a three-level fair value hierarchy based on valuation inputs used to determine fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The fair value of transfers in and out of each level is determined at the end of each reporting period.

##### Level 1

The fair value of Level 1 assets and liabilities is determined using unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are available at the measurement date for identical assets and liabilities. This level includes exchange-traded derivatives and money market funds.

## Level 2

The fair value of Level 2 assets and liabilities is determined using the income approach by obtaining quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the instrument. This level includes over-the-counter derivatives and interest rate swaps. Over-the-counter derivative contracts are valued using standard pricing models to determine the net present value of estimated future cash flows. Inputs to the pricing models include forward published or posted clearing prices from exchanges (New York Mercantile Exchange and Intercontinental Exchange) for similar instruments and discount rates. A primary price source that best represents trade activity for each market is used to develop observable forward market prices in determining the fair value of these positions. Broker quotes, prices from exchanges, or comparison to executed trades are used to validate and corroborate the primary price source. These price quotations reflect mid-market prices (average of bid and ask) and are obtained from sources believed to provide the most liquid market for the commodity.

## Level 3

The fair value of Level 3 assets and liabilities is determined using the income approach through various models and techniques that require significant unobservable inputs. This level includes over-the-counter options and derivative contracts that trade infrequently, such as congestion revenue rights and long-term power agreements.

Assumptions are made in order to value derivative contracts in which observable inputs are not available. Changes in fair value are based on changes to forward market prices, including extrapolation of short-term observable inputs into forecasted prices for illiquid forward periods. In circumstances where fair value cannot be verified with observable market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model could produce a materially different estimate of fair value. Modeling methodologies, inputs, and techniques are reviewed and assessed as markets continue to develop and more pricing information becomes available. The fair value is adjusted when it is concluded that a change in inputs or techniques would result in a new valuation that better reflects the fair value of those derivative contracts.

## EME

The following table sets forth EME's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy:

| (in millions)                   | December 31, 2013 |         |         | Netting and Collateral <sup>1</sup> | Total   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|
|                                 | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 |                                     |         |
| Assets at Fair Value            |                   |         |         |                                     |         |
| Money market funds <sup>2</sup> | 983               | \$—     | \$—     | \$—                                 | \$983   |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |         |                                     |         |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$28    | \$33    | \$(4 )                              | \$57    |
| Interest rate                   | —                 | 5       | —       | —                                   | 5       |
| Total assets                    | \$983             | \$33    | \$33    | \$(4 )                              | \$1,045 |
| Liabilities at Fair Value       |                   |         |         |                                     |         |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |         |                                     |         |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$—     | \$1     | \$(1 )                              | \$—     |
| Natural gas                     | 1                 | —       | —       | (1 )                                | —       |
| Interest rate                   | —                 | 56      | —       | —                                   | 56      |
| Total liabilities               | \$1               | \$56    | \$1     | \$(2 )                              | \$56    |

| (in millions)                   | December 31, 2012 |         |         |                                     | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------|
|                                 | Level 1           | Level 2 | Level 3 | Netting and Collateral <sup>1</sup> |       |
| Assets at Fair Value            |                   |         |         |                                     |       |
| Money market funds <sup>2</sup> | 615               | \$—     | \$—     | \$—                                 | \$615 |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |         |                                     |       |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$41    | \$52    | \$(3 )                              | \$90  |
| Total assets                    | \$615             | \$41    | \$52    | \$(3 )                              | \$705 |
| Liabilities at Fair Value       |                   |         |         |                                     |       |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |         |                                     |       |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$6     | \$1     | \$(7 )                              | \$—   |
| Natural gas                     | 3                 | —       | —       | (3 )                                | —     |
| Interest rate                   | —                 | 118     | —       | —                                   | 118   |
| Total liabilities               | \$3               | \$124   | \$1     | \$(10 )                             | \$118 |

<sup>1</sup> Represents cash collateral and the impact of netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified within the same level is included in that level.

<sup>2</sup> Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents on EME's consolidated balance sheets.

#### Level 3 Valuation Process

The process of determining fair value of commodity derivative contracts is the responsibility of the risk department, which reports to the chief financial officer. This department obtains observable and unobservable inputs through broker quotes, exchanges, and internal valuation techniques and uses both standard and proprietary models to determine fair value. Each reporting period, the risk and key finance departments collaborate to determine the appropriate fair value methodologies and classifications for each derivative. Inputs are validated for reasonableness by comparison against prior prices, other broker quotes, and volatility fluctuation thresholds. Inputs used and valuations are reviewed period-over-period and compared with market conditions to determine reasonableness.

The following table sets forth the valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs used to determine fair value for EME's Level 3 assets and liabilities:

|                      | December 31, 2013        |             | Valuation Techniques   | Significant Unobservable Input | Range               | Weighted Average |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                      | Fair Value (in millions) |             |                        |                                |                     |                  |
|                      | Assets                   | Liabilities |                        |                                |                     |                  |
| Electricity          |                          |             |                        |                                |                     |                  |
| Congestion contracts | \$54                     | \$21        | Latest auction pricing | Congestion prices              | \$(35.56) - \$16.06 | \$0.08           |
| Power contracts      | 4                        | 5           | Discounted cash flows  | Power prices                   | \$30.51 - \$60.15   | \$36.86          |
| Netting              | (25 )                    | (25 )       |                        |                                |                     |                  |
| Total                | \$33                     | \$1         |                        |                                |                     |                  |



|                      | December 31, 2012        |             | Valuation Techniques   | Significant Unobservable Input | Range              | Weighted Average |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                      | Fair Value (in millions) |             |                        |                                |                    |                  |
|                      | Assets                   | Liabilities |                        |                                |                    |                  |
| Electricity          |                          |             |                        |                                |                    |                  |
| Congestion contracts | \$71                     | \$20        | Latest auction pricing | Congestion prices              | \$(8.93) - \$18.03 | \$0.19           |
| Power contracts      | 2                        | 2           | Discounted cash flows  | Power prices                   | \$22.54 - \$48.85  | \$39.62          |
| Netting              | (21 )                    | (21 )       |                        |                                |                    |                  |
| Total                | \$52                     | \$1         |                        |                                |                    |                  |

#### Level 3 Fair Value Sensitivity

For congestion contracts, generally, an increase (decrease) in congestion prices in the last auction relative to the contract price will increase (decrease) fair value. For power contracts, generally, an increase (decrease) in long-term forward power prices at illiquid locations relative to the contract price will increase (decrease) fair value.

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of EME's consolidated Level 3 net derivative assets and liabilities:

| (in millions)  | 2013   | 2012  |
|--|--------|-------|
| Fair value of net assets at beginning of period  | \$51   | \$83  |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)   |        |       |
| Included in earnings <sup>1</sup>  | (11 )  | 9     |
| Included in AOCI <sup>2</sup>  | —      | 1     |
| Purchases  | 46     | 58    |
| Settlements  | (54 )  | (46 ) |
| Transfers out of Level 3   | —      | (54 ) |
| Fair value of net assets at end of period  | \$32   | \$51  |
| Change during the period in unrealized gains (losses) related to assets and liabilities held at end of period <sup>1</sup> | \$(2 ) | \$22  |

<sup>1</sup> Reported in operating revenues on EME's consolidated statements of operations.

<sup>2</sup> Included in reclassification adjustments in EME's consolidated statement of OCI.

There were no transfers between levels during 2013 and no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2012.

Significant transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 occurred in the first quarter of 2012 due to significant observable inputs becoming available as the transactions neared maturity.

#### Fair Value of Long-term Debt

The carrying amounts and fair values of EME's long-term debt were as follows:

| (in millions)                             | December 31, 2013 |            | December 31, 2012 |            |
|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value | Carrying Amount   | Fair Value |
| Long-term debt, including current portion | \$1,494           | \$1,491    | \$1,056           | \$1,057    |

In assessing the fair value of EME's long-term debt, EME primarily uses quoted market prices, except for floating-rate debt for which the carrying amounts were considered a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of EME's long-term debt is classified as Level 2.

The carrying amount of short-term debt at December 31, 2012 approximates fair value.

## Midwest Generation

The following table sets forth Midwest Generation's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy:

| (in millions)                   | December 31, 2013 |         |                      | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|-------|
|                                 | Level 1           | Level 2 | Netting <sup>1</sup> |       |
| Assets at Fair Value            |                   |         |                      |       |
| Money market funds <sup>2</sup> | \$101             | \$—     | \$—                  | \$101 |
| Total assets                    | \$101             | \$—     | \$—                  | \$101 |
|                                 | December 31, 2012 |         |                      |       |
| (in millions)                   | Level 1           | Level 2 | Netting <sup>1</sup> | Total |
| Assets at Fair Value            |                   |         |                      |       |
| Money market funds <sup>2</sup> | 75                | \$—     | \$—                  | \$75  |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |                      |       |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$2     | \$—                  | \$2   |
| Total assets                    | \$75              | \$2     | \$—                  | \$77  |
| Liabilities at Fair Value       |                   |         |                      |       |
| Derivative contracts            |                   |         |                      |       |
| Electricity                     | \$—               | \$3     | \$—                  | \$3   |
| Total liabilities               | \$—               | \$3     | \$—                  | \$3   |

<sup>1</sup> Represents the impact of netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified within the same level is included in that level.

<sup>2</sup> Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheets.

Midwest Generation does not have any Level 3 assets and liabilities. There were no transfers between levels during 2013 and 2012.

## Note 5. Debt and Credit Agreements (EME only)

## Debt

Debt with recourse to EME totaled \$3.7 billion and is classified as part of liabilities subject to compromise (LSTC) as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Nonrecourse debt, as summarized below, is debt whereby lenders rely on specific project assets to repay such obligations. The following table summarizes long-term debt (rates and terms as of December 31, 2013), excluding LSTC:

| (in millions)   | Current Rate <sup>1</sup> | Effective Interest Rate <sup>2</sup> | Maturity Date | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Walnut Creek Energy Term Loan                               | 2.50%<br>LIBOR+2.25%      | 5.46%                                | May 2023      | \$417             | \$330             |
| WCEP Holdings, LLC Term Loan                                | 4.24%<br>LIBOR+4.00%      | 7.63%                                | May 2023      | 53                | 52                |
| Big Sky Wind, LLC Vendor financing loan                     | 3.87%<br>LIBOR+3.50%      | 3.87%                                | October 2014  | 231               | 222               |
| High Lonesome Mesa, LLC Bonds                               | 6.85%<br>Fixed            | 6.85%                                | November 2017 | 65                | 69                |
| American Bituminous Power Partners, L.P. <sup>3</sup> Bonds | 0.05%<br>Floating         | 0.05%                                | October 2017  | 39                | 46                |
| Viento Funding II, Inc. Term Loan                           | 3.10%<br>LIBOR+2.75%      | 5.61%                                | July 2023     | 200               | 191               |
| Tapestry Wind, LLC Term Loan                                | 2.75%<br>LIBOR+2.50%      | 4.51%                                | December 2021 | 201               | 210               |
| Cedro Hill Wind, LLC Term Loan                              | 3.38%<br>LIBOR+3.13%      | 7.01%                                | December 2025 | 118               | 125               |
| Laredo Ridge Term Loan                                      | 3.00%<br>LIBOR+2.75%      | 5.90%                                | March 2026    | 69                | 71                |
| Crofton Bluffs Wind, LLC Term Loan <sup>4</sup>             | 3.12%<br>LIBOR+2.88%      | 5.37%                                | December 2027 | 26                | 27                |
| Broken Bow Wind, LLC Term Loan <sup>4</sup>                 | 3.12%<br>LIBOR+2.88%      | 5.56%                                | December 2027 | 50                | 52                |
| Others  | Various                   | Various                              | Various       | 29                | 43                |
| Total debt  |                           |                                      |               | \$1,498           | \$1,438           |
| Less: Short-term debt                                       |                           |                                      |               | 4                 | 382               |
| Total long-term debt  |                           |                                      |               | 1,494             | 1,056             |
| Less: Current maturities of long-term debt                  |                           |                                      |               | 323               | 307               |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion                      |                           |                                      |               | \$1,171           | \$749             |

<sup>1</sup> London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)

The effective rate at which interest expense is reflected in the financial statements after the consideration of the

<sup>2</sup> current rate of debt and any amounts subject to interest rate swaps. For further discussion, see Note 6—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Interest Rate Risk Management.

Principal payments are due annually through October 1, 2017. Interest rates are reset weekly based on current bond yields for similar securities. On October 1, 2013, American Bituminous Power Partners, L.P. (Ambit) made the required annual principal payment to bondholders by drawing on its line of credit. The current interest rate on this

<sup>3</sup> short-term debt is 5.25%. Ambit was unable to fully reimburse the draw down which is a potential event of default. However, Ambit and various counterparties, including the line of credit issuer, executed an agreement effective October 1, 2013 to waive any event of default.

<sup>4</sup>

The interest rate swaps for this obligation expired in December 2013 and forward starting rate swaps became effective. For additional information, see Note 6—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Long-term debt maturities at December 31, 2013, for the next five years are summarized as follows: \$323 million in 2014, \$93 million in 2015, \$91 million in 2016, \$141 million in 2017, and \$91 million in 2018.

## Chapter 11 Cases

The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases constitutes an event of default under various financing documents as summarized below.

### Senior Notes

The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases may constitute an event of default under EME's senior notes and, as a result, the principal and interest due under these debt instruments are immediately due and payable. The creditors are stayed from taking any action as a result of the default under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code and the obligations related to the senior notes are recorded as part of LSTC. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

### Viento II Financing

In July 2013, EME completed, through its subsidiary, Viento Funding II, Inc., an amendment of its Viento II Financing, a nonrecourse financing of its interests in the Wildorado, San Juan Mesa and Elkhorn Ridge wind projects. The amendment increased the financing amount to \$238 million, which included a \$202 million 10-year partially amortizing term loan, a \$27 million 7-year letter of credit facility and a \$9 million 7-year working capital facility. Interest under the term loan accrues at LIBOR plus 2.75% initially with the rate increasing 0.25% on every fourth anniversary. EME reaffirmed the pledge of its interest in Viento Funding II, Inc. in connection with the amendment but is not a borrower or a guarantor. The amendment cured any possible event of default, and therefore the Viento Funding II debt was classified as a long-term liability on the consolidated balance sheets.

Viento Funding II terminated \$78 million amortizing notional amount 3.415% interest rate swap agreements and entered into several tranches of new interest rate swap agreements to hedge the majority of exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. As a result of the termination, EME wrote off \$4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs as a loss on early extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of operations. For additional information, see Note 6—Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Interest Rate Risk Management.

### High Lonesome Financing

The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases constitutes an event of default under the documents governing the issuance of the Series 2010A and 2010B Bonds (the Bonds). In July 2013, the applicable bondholders granted a permanent waiver of default, subject to EME assuming the state production tax credit agreement in the Chapter 11 Cases. Pursuant to assumption and rejection procedures previously approved by the Bankruptcy Court, EME assumed the agreement effective as of July 15, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, there were \$40 million and \$25 million outstanding under the Series 2010A and Series 2010B Bonds, respectively, and \$7 million of outstanding letters of credit.

### Credit Facilities and Letters of Credit

During 2013, EME terminated its letter of credit facility with DNB Bank and, through its subsidiaries, completed new letter of credit facilities totaling \$101 million. The new letter of credit facilities consist of \$75 million at Edison Mission Wind, expiring April 30, 2016, \$10 million at Mountain Wind Power LLC, expiring September 17, 2020 and \$16 million at Mountain Wind Power II, LLC, expiring September 17, 2020. Through its subsidiaries, EME has a total letter of credit capacity of \$292 million.

At December 31, 2013, EME's subsidiaries' had issued letters of credit aggregating \$163 million supported by \$22 million of cash collateral. The outstanding letters of credit were scheduled to expire as follows: \$59 million in 2014, \$17 million in 2017, \$9 million in 2018, \$27 million in 2020, \$18 million in 2021, \$13 million in 2022 and \$20 million in 2023. Certain letters of credit are subject to automatic renewal provisions. Included in the outstanding letters of credit were \$22 million issued in connection with the power purchase agreement with Southern California Edison Company (SCE), an affiliate of EME, under the Walnut Creek credit facility.

### 2012 Financings

#### Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs

Effective March 30, 2012, EME, through its subsidiaries, Broken Bow Wind, LLC (Broken Bow I) and Crofton Bluffs Wind, LLC (Crofton Bluffs), completed two nonrecourse financings of its interests in the Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs wind projects. The financings included construction loans totaling \$79 million that were converted to 15-year amortizing term loans on December 21, 2012 and December 14, 2012 for Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs, respectively, \$13 million of letter of credit facilities and \$6 million of working capital facilities.



Interest under the term loans will accrue at LIBOR plus 2.88%, with the term loan rate increasing 0.13% after the third, sixth, ninth, and twelfth years. As of December 31, 2013, Broken Bow I and Crofton Bluffs have \$50 million and \$26 million outstanding under the term loans, respectively, and \$10 million and \$3 million of outstanding letters of credit, respectively.

#### 2011 Financings

##### Tapestry Wind

In December 2011, EME, through its subsidiary, Tapestry Wind, LLC, completed a nonrecourse financing of its interests in the Taloga, Buffalo Bear and Pinnacle wind projects. The financing included a \$214 million 10-year partially amortizing term loan, a \$12 million 10-year debt service reserve letter of credit facility, an \$8 million 10-year project letter of credit facility and an \$8 million 10-year working capital facility. Interest under the term loans accrues at LIBOR plus 2.5% initially, with the rate increasing 0.13% on the fourth and eighth anniversary of the closing date. A total of \$97 million of cash proceeds received from the 10-year term loan was deposited into an escrow account as of December 2011 pending completion of the Pinnacle wind project. During 2012, certain neighbors of the Pinnacle wind project filed civil complaints alleging, among other things, that the noise emissions and shadow flicker from the Pinnacle wind farm constituted a nuisance and seeking compensatory damages, punitive damages and other equitable relief. During the fourth quarter of 2012, all of the civil complaints were settled and the escrowed loan proceeds were released to Tapestry Wind, LLC. At December 31, 2013, there was \$201 million outstanding under the loan and \$20 million of outstanding letters of credit.

##### Walnut Creek

In July 2011, EME completed, through two wholly owned subsidiaries, Walnut Creek Energy and WCEP Holdings, LLC, nonrecourse financings to fund construction of the Walnut Creek gas-fired project. The financings included floating rate construction loans totaling \$495 million that converted to 10-year amortizing term loans in June 2013, which is when Walnut Creek started earning revenues under its long-term purchase power agreement.

##### Big Sky Turbine Financing

In October 2009, EME's subsidiary, Big Sky Wind, LLC (Big Sky), entered into turbine financing arrangements with the turbine manufacturer Suzlon Wind Energy Corporation (Suzlon) for wind turbine purchase obligations related to the 240 MW Big Sky wind project. The loan associated with the financing agreement has a five-year final maturity. However, the satisfaction of certain criteria, including project performance and absence of serial defects, may trigger earlier repayment. In September 2012, Suzlon sued Big Sky in New York federal court seeking a declaratory judgment that the early repayment provisions had been satisfied and that Big Sky should be required to repay the loan in full in February 2013. Big Sky answered Suzlon's complaint, denied the allegations and counterclaimed. The counterclaim alleged that certain serial defects existing in the turbine equipment supplied by Suzlon precluded application of the early repayment provisions. The litigation is still pending in New York federal court. The Big Sky loan is secured by a leasehold mortgage on the project's real property assets, a pledge of all other collateral of the Big Sky wind project, as well as a cash reserve account into which one-third of distributable cash flow, if any, of the Big Sky wind project is to be deposited on a monthly basis. The loan is also secured by pledges of Big Sky's direct and indirect ownership interests in the project, but is nonrecourse to EME. For further details regarding consolidated assets pledged as security for debt obligations, see Note 3—Variable Interest Entities.

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$231 million outstanding under the vendor financing loan at an effective interest rate of 3.87%. EME has been in discussions with Suzlon regarding a potential sale of EME's interest in the Big Sky wind project in exchange for forgiveness of debt and other consideration. These discussions are ongoing and EME has not made any decisions with respect to a potential sale. As a result, Big Sky's long-lived assets, consisting of property, plant and equipment and deferred revenue, were evaluated for impairment under the Held for Use model of Accounting Standards Codification 360 Property, Plant, and Equipment (ASC 360). The probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows associated with this asset group exceeded its carrying value at December 31, 2013 and consequently no impairment has been recognized. If EME and Suzlon do agree upon a sale transaction under terms similar to those currently under discussion, EME would record a material loss. If EME and Suzlon do not agree upon a sale transaction, Big Sky will need to arrange alternative financing, if available, to repay the loan at maturity or reach agreement with the lender to extend the maturity date of the loan. EME does not intend to make an investment

in the project and is under no obligation to do so. If a restructuring of the loan or a sale effort is unsuccessful, Suzlon may foreclose on the project resulting in a write-off of the entire investment in the project. At December 31, 2013, EME's investment in the Big Sky wind project consisted of assets of \$447 million and liabilities of \$369 million.



## Debt Covenants

Certain project financings contain covenants and restriction requirements to meet certain financial ratios and reporting requirements. Distributions from projects are typically restricted if covenant requirements are not met. Key existing covenants of EME's non-debtor subsidiaries include:

| Debt Service Coverage Ratio <sup>1</sup>      | Covenant Level | Actual Performance as of December 31, 2013 |
|---|----------------|--|
| High Lonesome                                 | 1.20 to 1.00   | 1.88 <sup>2</sup>                          |
| Viento II                                     | 1.40 to 1.00   | 2.75                                       |
| Tapestry Wind                                 | 1.20 to 1.00   | 1.48                                       |
| Laredo Ridge                                  | 1.20 to 1.00   | 1.79                                       |
| Cedro Hill                                    | 1.20 to 1.00   | 1.53                                       |
| Broken Bow                                    | 1.20 to 1.00   | 1.67                                       |
| Crofton Bluffs                                | 1.20 to 1.00   | 2.23                                       |
| Walnut Creek Energy <sup>3</sup>              | 1.20 to 1.00   | N/A  |
| WCEP Holdings, LLC <sup>3</sup>               | 2.50 to 1.00   | N/A  |
| Required reserve account balance <sup>4</sup> |                |  |
| Ambit   | Twenty million | Four million                               |

The Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR) is typically calculated over a 12-month historical period and is

<sup>1</sup> individually defined for each borrowing in the applicable financing agreement, credit agreement, trust indenture, or other document governing the financing requirements.

<sup>2</sup> Calculated at October 31, 2013, the last payment date.

<sup>3</sup> Commercial operations started in the second quarter of 2013.

<sup>4</sup> Ambit is required to maintain funded reserve accounts primarily for debt servicing and maintenance costs. The underfunded reserve does not create an event of default under the loan but does restrict distributions from Ambit. EME's non-debtor subsidiaries were in compliance with all of their debt covenants at December 31, 2013 except for the required reserve amount at Ambit. Accordingly, the net assets of Ambit are considered restricted. Restricted net assets are those that cannot be transferred to EME in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends without the consent of third parties, typically lenders or partners. In addition to Ambit, EME also has partnership agreements which require partners' approval for distributions and financing agreements which require the minimum reserve or operating account funding levels. Net assets are considered restricted if distributions are dependent upon approval by EME's unaffiliated partners. At December 31, 2013, restricted net assets of EME's subsidiaries was \$1.7 billion.

## Note 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (EME, Midwest Generation)

EME and Midwest Generation use derivative instruments to reduce their exposure to market risks that arise from price fluctuations of electricity, capacity, fuel, emission allowances, transmission rights, and interest rates. The derivative financial instruments vary in duration, ranging from a few days to several years, depending upon the instrument. To the extent that EME and Midwest Generation do not use derivative instruments to hedge these market risks, the unhedged portions will be subject to the risks and benefits of spot market price movements.

Risk management positions may be designated as cash flow hedges or economic hedges, which are derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges. Economic hedges are accounted for at fair value on EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheets as derivative assets or liabilities with offsetting changes recorded on the consolidated statements of operations. For derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the fair value is recognized on EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheets as derivative assets or liabilities with offsetting changes in fair value, to the extent effective, recognized in AOCI until reclassified into earnings when the related forecasted transaction occurs. The portion of a cash flow hedge that does not offset the change in the fair value of the transaction being hedged, which is commonly referred to as the ineffective portion, is immediately recognized in earnings. The results of derivative activities are recorded in cash flows from operating activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows.



Derivative instruments that are utilized by EME for trading purposes are measured at fair value and included on the consolidated balance sheets as derivative assets or liabilities, with offsetting changes recognized in operating revenues on the consolidated statements of operations.

Where EME's and Midwest Generation's derivative instruments are subject to a master netting agreement or contain collateral deposit requirements and the criteria of authoritative guidance are met, EME presents its derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis on its consolidated balance sheets. EME's and Midwest Generation's master netting agreements allow for the right of offset for contracts with physical settlement. They do not allow for cross commodity settlement unless all positions are liquidated.

Since EME's and Midwest Generation's credit ratings are below investment grade, EME and its subsidiaries have provided collateral in the form of cash and letters of credit for the benefit of derivative counterparties and brokers. The amount of margin and collateral deposits generally varies based on changes in fair value of the related positions.

Future changes in power prices could expose EME and Midwest Generation to additional collateral postings.

EME's and Midwest Generation's approach to trading and risk management depends, in part, on the ability to use clearing brokers to enter into market transactions. As a result of their financial position, EME and Midwest Generation have limited access to enter into such transactions and have been subject to increased initial collateral and margin requirements. There is no assurance that EME and Midwest Generation will continue to be able to utilize clearing brokers. If EME and Midwest Generation become unable to utilize clearing brokers, they may seek to execute bilateral transactions with third parties which could be unavailable on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

#### Notional Volumes of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes notional volumes of derivatives used for hedging and trading activities:

|                      |                  |                |                  |                    |                         |       |                    |                         |     | December 31, 2013       |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|-------------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                      |                  |                |                  | Economic Hedges    |                         |       | Trading Activities |                         |     |                         |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity Instrument |                  | Classification | Unit of Measure  | Midwest Generation | Other EME Sub-sidiaries | EME   | Midwest Generation | Other EME Sub-sidiaries | EME |                         |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Forwards/Futures | Sales, net     | GWh <sup>1</sup> | 170                | 7                       | 177   | 2                  | —                       |     |                         |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Forwards/Futures | Purchases, net | GWh              | —                  | —                       | —     | 1                  |                         |     |                         |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Congestion       | Purchases, net | GWh              | —                  | 56                      | 56    | 4                  | 262,188                 | 4   |                         |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                  |                |                  |                    |                         |       |                    |                         |     | December 31, 2012       |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                      |                  |                |                  | Cash Flow Hedges   |                         |       | Economic Hedges    |                         |     | Trading Activities      |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity Instrument |                  | Classification | Unit of Measure  | Midwest Generation | Other EME Sub-sidiaries | EME   | Midwest Generation | Other EME Sub-sidiaries | EME | Other EME Sub-sidiaries |         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Forwards/Futures | Sales, net     | GWh              | 3,615              | —                       | 3,615 | 1                  | 47                      | 48  | 2                       | —       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Forwards/Futures | Purchases, net | GWh              | —                  | —                       | —     | —                  | —                       | —   | —                       | 492     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Capacity         | Purchases, net | GW-Day           | —                  | —                       | —     | —                  | —                       | —   | —                       | 60      | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity          | Congestion       | Purchases, net | GWh              | —                  | —                       | —     | —                  | 263                     | 263 | 4                       | 268,529 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural gas          | Forwards/Futures | Purchase, net  | bcf              | —                  | —                       | —     | —                  | —                       | —   | —                       | 9.9     |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup> gigawatt-hours (GWh); gigawatts-day (GW-Day); billion cubic feet (bcf).

These positions adjust financial and physical positions, or day-ahead and real-time positions, to reduce costs or

<sup>2</sup> increase gross margin. The net sales positions of these categories are primarily related to hedge transactions that are not designated as cash flow hedges.

<sup>3</sup> Hedge transactions for capacity result from bilateral trades. Capacity sold in the PJM Interconnection, LLC Reliability Pricing Model (PJM RPM) auction is not accounted for as a derivative.

<sup>4</sup> Congestion contracts include financial transmission rights, transmission congestion contracts or congestion revenue rights. These positions are similar to a swap, where the buyer is entitled to receive a stream of revenues (or charges) based on the hourly day-ahead price differences between two locations.

## EME

## Interest Rate Risk Management

EME mitigates the risk of interest rate fluctuations for a number of its project financings by arranging for fixed rate financing or variable rate financing with interest rate swaps, interest rate options, or other hedging mechanisms. Through July 2013, as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases and the short-term forbearance agreements that had been executed with the lenders and the EME subsidiary borrowers, EME could no longer conclude it was probable that the future interest payments associated with the Viento II Financing would occur. Accordingly, the cash flow hedges associated with these interest rate swaps were prospectively dedesignated. Unrealized gains of \$6 million were recorded in interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations during the year ending December 31, 2013 from changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps. In conjunction with the amendment of the Viento II Financing in July 2013, EME entered into new interest rate swaps and re-designated the existing interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. Interest rate swap termination fees of \$6 million were recorded as reduction to derivative liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. For additional information, see Note 5—Debt and Credit Agreements.

The following table summarizes EME's interest rate swaps:

|                                  | Effective Date | Expiration Date | Fixed Swap<br>Rate Paid |   | Notional Value (in millions) |                      |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------|
|                                  |                |                 |                         |   | December 31,<br>2013         | December 31,<br>2012 |
| Project Financing                |                |                 |                         |   |                              |                      |
| Viento Funding II                | June 2009      | June 2016       | 3.18                    | % | 48                           | 65                   |
| Viento Funding II                | March 2011     | December 2020   | 3.42                    | % | 30                           | 108                  |
| Viento Funding II                | July 2013      | July 2023       | 3.03                    | % | 103                          | —                    |
| Cedro Hill                       | December 2010  | December 2025   | 4.29                    | % | 106                          | 112                  |
| Laredo Ridge                     | March 2011     | March 2026      | 3.46                    | % | 62                           | 64                   |
| Tapestry                         | December 2011  | December 2021   | 2.21                    | % | 181                          | 189                  |
| Broken Bow <sup>1</sup>          | December 2012  | December 2013   | 0.83                    | % | —                            | 47                   |
| Broken Bow <sup>1</sup>          | December 2013  | December 2027   | 2.96                    | % | 45                           | —                    |
| Crofton Bluffs <sup>1</sup>      | December 2012  | December 2013   | 0.78                    | % | —                            | 24                   |
| Crofton Bluffs <sup>1</sup>      | December 2013  | December 2027   | 2.75                    | % | 23                           | —                    |
| Walnut Creek Energy <sup>2</sup> | November 2011  | May 2013        | 0.81                    | % | —                            | 181                  |
| Walnut Creek Energy <sup>2</sup> | June 2013      | May 2023        | 3.54                    | % | 375                          | —                    |
| WCEP Holdings <sup>2</sup>       | July 2011      | May 2013        | 0.79                    | % | —                            | \$26                 |
| WCEP Holdings <sup>2</sup>       | June 2013      | May 2023        | 4.00                    | % | \$48                         | —                    |
| Forward Starting Swaps           |                |                 |                         |   |                              |                      |
| Tapestry                         | December 2021  | December 2029   | 3.57                    | % | 60                           | 60                   |
| Viento Funding II                | July 2023      | June 2028       | 4.99                    | % | 65                           | —                    |

<sup>1</sup> During the fourth quarter of 2013, the existing interest rate swaps for the Broken Bow and Crofton Bluffs projects expired and the forward starting swaps became effective.

<sup>2</sup> During the second quarter of 2013, the existing interest rate swaps for the Walnut Creek Project expired and, in conjunction with the conversion to term loans, the forward starting swaps became effective.

## Summary of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes EME's derivative instruments, including amounts offset by collateral and under master netting agreements:

| (in millions)  | December 31, 2013 |                        |          | Long Term |                        |          | Net  |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------|----------|------|
|  | Gross             | Netting and Collateral | Subtotal | Gross     | Netting and Collateral | Subtotal |      |
| <b>Assets</b>  |                   |                        |          |           |                        |          |      |
| Electricity contracts  | \$81              | \$(37)                 | \$44     | \$23      | \$(10)                 | \$13     | \$57 |
| Natural gas contracts  | 47                | (47)                   | —        | 4         | (4)                    | —        | —    |
| Total derivatives subject to a master netting agreement                  | 128               | (84)                   | 44       | 27        | (14)                   | 13       | 57   |
| Total derivatives not subject to a master netting agreement <sup>1</sup> | —                 | —                      | —        | 5         | —                      | 5        | 5    |
| Total assets   | 128               | (84)                   | 44       | 32        | (14)                   | 18       | 62   |
| <b>Liabilities</b>   |                   |                        |          |           |                        |          |      |
| Electricity contracts  | 36                | (36)                   | —        | 9         | (9)                    | —        | —    |
| Natural gas contracts  | 46                | (46)                   | —        | 5         | (5)                    | —        | —    |
| Total derivatives subject to a master netting agreement                  | 82                | (82)                   | —        | 14        | (14)                   | —        | —    |
| Total derivatives not subject to a master netting agreement <sup>1</sup> | —                 | —                      | —        | 56        | —                      | 56       | 56   |
| Total liabilities  | \$82              | \$(82)                 | \$—      | \$70      | \$(14)                 | \$56     | \$56 |

<sup>1</sup> EME's interest rate swaps are not subject to master netting agreements and do not require EME to post collateral.

| (in millions)  | December 31, 2012 |                        |          | Long Term |                        |          | Net |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------|----------|-----|
|  | Gross             | Netting and Collateral | Subtotal | Gross     | Netting and Collateral | Subtotal |     |
| <b>Assets</b>  |                   |                        |          |           |                        |          |     |
| Electricity contracts  | 120               | (67)                   | 53       | 52        | (15)                   | 37       | 90  |
| Natural gas contracts  | 33                | (33)                   | —        | 1         | (1)                    | —        | —   |
| Coal contracts   | 2                 | (2)                    | —        | —         | —                      | —        | —   |
| Total assets   | 155               | (102)                  | 53       | 53        | (16)                   | 37       | 90  |
| <b>Liabilities</b>   |                   |                        |          |           |                        |          |     |
| Electricity contracts  | 71                | (71)                   | —        | 15        | (15)                   | —        | —   |
| Natural gas contracts  | 36                | (36)                   | —        | 1         | (1)                    | —        | —   |
| Coal contracts   | 2                 | (2)                    | —        | —         | —                      | —        | —   |
| Total derivatives subject to a master netting agreement                  | 109               | (109)                  | —        | 16        | (16)                   | —        | —   |
| Total derivatives not subject to a master netting agreement <sup>1</sup> | —                 | —                      | —        | 118       | —                      | 118      | 118 |
| Total liabilities  | 109               | (109)                  | —        | 134       | (16)                   | 118      | 118 |

<sup>1</sup> EME's interest rate swaps are not subject to master netting agreements and do not require EME to post collateral. EME's subsidiaries have posted \$71 million and \$61 million cash margin in the aggregate with various counterparties at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 respectively, to support hedging and trading activities. The cash margin posted is required by counterparties as an initial collateral deposit and cannot be offset against the fair value of open contracts except



in the event of default. EME's exposure is composed of \$43 million and \$44 million of net accounts receivable at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. For positions subject to a master netting agreement, EME is in a net asset position, and in the event of default, cash collateral would be returned to EME. EME did not have any collateral received from counterparties as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

#### Income Statement Impact of Derivative Instruments

The following table provides the cash flow hedge activity as part of EME's AOCI:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |                         |                     |                         |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|  | 2013                     |                         | 2012                |                         |
|  | Commodity Contracts      | Interest Rate Contracts | Commodity Contracts | Interest Rate Contracts |
| Beginning of period derivative gains (losses)        | (1 )                     | (118 )                  | 35                  | (90 )                   |
| Effective portion of changes in fair value           | (2 )                     | 55                      | 5                   | (28 )                   |
| Reclassification to operating revenues               | 3                        | —                       | (41 )               | —                       |
| Reclassification to interest expense                 | —                        | 5                       | —                   | —                       |
| End of period derivative gains (losses) <sup>1</sup> | —                        | (58 )                   | (1 )                | (118 )                  |

<sup>1</sup> Unrealized derivative gains (losses) are before income taxes. Amounts recorded in AOCI include commodity and interest rate contracts. For additional information, see Note 11—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

EME recorded losses of \$1 million, none and \$4 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in operating revenues on the consolidated statements of operations representing the amount of cash flow hedge ineffectiveness. EME also amortized \$5 million of the deferred unrealized losses in AOCI related to the dedesignated Viento II interest rate hedge to interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations in 2013.

The effect of realized and unrealized gains from derivative instruments used for economic hedging and trading purposes on the consolidated statements of operations is presented below:

| (in millions)      | Income Statement Location | Years Ended December 31, |      |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|
|                    |                           | 2013                     | 2012 |
| Economic hedges    | Operating revenues        | \$(9 )                   | \$31 |
|                    | Fuel                      | —                        | 2    |
|                    | Interest expense, net     | 6                        | —    |
| Trading activities | Operating revenues        | 75                       | 68   |

#### Midwest Generation

##### Summary of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes Midwest Generation's commodity short-term derivative instruments for non-trading purposes, including amounts offset by collateral and under master netting agreements:

| (in millions)         | December 31, 2013 |                        |     | December 31, 2012 |                        |     |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----|-------------------|------------------------|-----|
|                       | Gross             | Netting and Collateral | Net | Gross             | Netting and Collateral | Net |
| Assets                |                   |                        |     |                   |                        |     |
| Electricity contracts | \$1               | \$(1 )                 | \$— | \$12              | \$(10 )                | \$2 |
| Liabilities           |                   |                        |     |                   |                        |     |
| Electricity contracts | 1                 | (1 )                   | —   | 13                | (10 )                  | 3   |

Midwest Generation does not have any long-term derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012



The following table provides the cash flow hedge activity as part of Midwest Generation's AOCI:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |      |   |
|--|--------------------------|------|---|
|  | 2013                     | 2012 |   |
| Beginning of period derivative gains (losses)        | (2                       | ) 34 |   |
| Effective portion of changes in fair value           | (2                       | ) 7  |   |
| Reclassification to operating revenues               | 4                        | (43  | ) |
| End of period derivative gains (losses) <sup>1</sup> | —                        | (2   | ) |

<sup>1</sup> Unrealized derivative gains (losses) are before income taxes. Amounts recorded in AOCI include commodity contracts. For additional information, see Note 11—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

Midwest Generation recorded net gains (losses) of \$(1) million, none and \$4 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in operating revenues on the consolidated statements of operations representing the amount of cash flow hedge ineffectiveness.

The effect of realized and unrealized gains from derivative instruments used for non-trading purposes on the consolidated statements of operations is presented below:

| (in millions)   | Income Statement Location | Years Ended December 31, |        |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------|
|                 |                           | 2013                     | 2012   |
| Economic hedges | Operating revenues        | \$(9                     | ) \$31 |
|                 | Fuel                      | —                        | 2      |

#### Energy Trading Derivative Instruments (EME only)

The change in the fair value of energy trading derivative instruments was as follows:

| (in millions)  | 2013 | 2012  |   |
|--|------|-------|---|
| Fair value of trading contracts at beginning of period | \$84 | \$107 |   |
| Net gains from energy trading activities               | 75   | 68    |   |
| Amount realized from energy trading activities         | (88  | ) (93 | ) |
| Other changes in fair value                            | (12  | ) 2   |   |
| Fair value of trading contracts at end of period       | \$59 | \$84  |   |

#### Commodity Price Risk Management

EME's and Midwest Generation's merchant operations are exposed to commodity price risk, which reflects the potential impact of a change in the market value of a particular commodity. Commodity price risks are actively monitored, with oversight provided by a risk management committee, to ensure compliance with EME's risk management policies. EME uses estimates of the variability in gross margin to help identify, measure, monitor and control its overall market risk exposure and earnings volatility with respect to hedge positions at the coal plants and the merchant wind projects, and uses "value at risk" metrics to help identify, measure, monitor and control its overall risk exposure in respect to its trading positions. These measures allow management to aggregate overall commodity risk, compare risk on a consistent basis and identify changes in risk factors. Value at risk measures the possible loss, and variability in gross margin measures the potential change in value, of an asset or position, in each case over a given time interval, under normal market conditions, at a given confidence level. Given the inherent limitations of these measures and reliance on a single type of risk measurement tool, EME supplements these approaches with the use of stress testing and worst-case scenario analysis for key risk factors, as well as stop-loss triggers and volumetric exposure limits. When appropriate, EME manages the spread between the electric prices and fuel prices, and uses forward contracts, swaps, futures, or options contracts to achieve those objectives.

#### Credit Risk

In conducting EME's hedging and trading activities and Midwest Generation's marketing activities, EMMT enters into transactions with utilities, energy companies, financial institutions, and other companies, collectively referred to as

counterparties. In the event a counterparty were to default on its trade obligation, EME and Midwest Generation would be exposed to the risk of possible loss associated with market price changes occurring since the original contract was executed if the nonperforming counterparty were unable to pay the resulting damages owed to EME or Midwest Generation. Midwest Generation's agreement with EMMT transfers the risk of non-payment of accounts receivable from counterparties to EMMT; therefore, EMMT would be exposed to the risk of non-payment of accounts receivable accrued for products delivered prior to the time a counterparty defaulted.

Credit risk is measured as the loss that EME would expect to incur if a counterparty failed to perform pursuant to the terms of its contractual obligations. To manage credit risk, EME evaluates the risk of potential defaults by counterparties. To mitigate credit risk from counterparties, master netting agreements are used whenever possible and counterparties may be required to pledge collateral when deemed necessary.

The majority of EME's consolidated wind projects and unconsolidated affiliates that own power plants sell power under power purchase agreements. Generally, each project or plant sells its output to one counterparty. A default by the counterparty, including a default as a result of a bankruptcy, would likely have a material adverse effect on the operations of the project or plant.

The majority of the coal for the Midwest Generation plants is purchased from suppliers under contracts which may be for multiple years. None of the coal suppliers to the coal plants have investment grade credit ratings and, accordingly, Midwest Generation may have limited recourse to collect damages in the event of default by a supplier.

The Midwest Generation plants sell electric power generally into the PJM market by participating in PJM's capacity and energy markets or transacting in capacity and energy on a bilateral basis. Sales into PJM accounted for 100%, 92% and 81% of Midwest Generation's consolidated operating revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Sales into PJM accounted for approximately 62%, 64% and 63% of EME's consolidated operating revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) rates PJM's debt Aa3. PJM, a regional transmission organization (RTO) with over 300 member companies, maintains its own credit risk policies and does not extend unsecured credit to non-investment grade companies. Losses resulting from a PJM member default are shared by all other members using a predetermined formula. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME's account receivable due from PJM was \$32 million and \$40 million, respectively.

#### Note 7. Income Taxes (EME, Midwest Generation)

##### EME

##### Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is composed of the following:

| (in millions)   | Years Ended December 31, |      |         |
|---|--------------------------|------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012 | 2011    |
| Continuing Operations   |                          |      |         |
| Current   |                          |      |         |
| Federal   | \$—                      | \$—  | \$48    |
| State   | —                        | —    | (44)    |
| Total current   | —                        | —    | 4       |
| Deferred  |                          |      |         |
| Federal   | \$(33)                   | \$26 | \$(389) |
| State   | (60)                     | 134  | (56)    |
| Total deferred  | (93)                     | 160  | (445)   |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations | (93)                     | 160  | (441)   |
| Discontinued operations   | 6                        | (73) | (411)   |
| Total   | \$(87)                   | \$87 | \$(852) |



The components of income (loss) before income taxes applicable to continuing operations and discontinued operations are as follows:

| (in millions)           | Years Ended December 31, |           |             |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|
|                         | 2013                     | 2012      | 2011        |
| Continuing operations   | \$ (735 )                | \$ (637 ) | \$ (888 )   |
| Discontinued operations | 7                        | (185 )    | (1,043 )    |
| Total                   | \$ (728 )                | \$ (822 ) | \$ (1,931 ) |

#### Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

The components of net accumulated deferred income tax asset (liability) were:

| (in millions)   | December 31, |          |
|---|--------------|----------|
|   | 2013         | 2012     |
| Deferred tax assets                                     |              |          |
| Accrued charges and liabilities                         | \$ 232       | \$ 234   |
| Net operating loss carryforwards                        | 1,202        | 841      |
| Production tax and other credit carryforwards           | 332          | 254      |
| Derivative instruments                                  | 15           | 49       |
| Other   | 5            | 6        |
| Total   | 1,786        | 1,384    |
| Valuation allowance                                     | (752 )       | (444 )   |
| Net deferred tax assets                                 | 1,034        | 940      |
| Deferred tax liabilities                                |              |          |
| Property, plant and equipment - basis differences       | \$ 1,069     | \$ 989   |
| Deferred investment tax credit                          | 4            | 4        |
| State taxes   | 19           | 28       |
| Total   | 1,092        | 1,021    |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net                           | \$ (58 )     | \$ (81 ) |
| Classification of net accumulated deferred income taxes |              |          |
| Included in deferred taxes and tax credits, net         | \$ 58        | \$ 81    |

#### Valuation Allowance

Historically, EME participated in tax-allocation agreements with EIX in which EME would be eligible to receive payments from EIX for tax losses and credits generated by EME. During 2012, EIX modified the tax-allocation agreements to terminate EME's participation on December 31, 2013. Termination does not relieve any party of any obligations with respect to any tax year beginning prior to the year of termination. As a result, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME recorded a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets of \$752 million and \$444 million, respectively. The net increase during 2013 of \$308 million was due to the accumulation of unpaid tax benefits related to net operating losses and production tax credits and \$167 million related to an asset impairment charge on the Will County Station recorded during the third quarter of 2013. In 2012, \$438 million of the valuation allowance was recorded to net loss on the consolidated statements of operations and \$6 million was reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Upon the effectiveness of the Settlement Agreement, EME would expect to reverse the valuation allowance it has recorded. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring—Plan of Reorganization. At December 31, 2013 amounts included in other long-term assets, payables to affiliates, and other long-term liabilities associated with the tax-allocation agreements were \$31 million, \$15 million and \$6 million, respectively. At December 31,

2012, amounts included in other long-term assets, payables to affiliates and other long-term liabilities associated with the tax-allocation agreements were \$18 million, \$33 million and \$21 million, respectively.

#### Net Operating Loss and Federal Tax Credit Carryforwards

At December 31, 2013, EME had \$3,226 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards which expire between 2031 and 2033 and \$2,106 million of state net operating loss carryforwards which expire between 2022 and 2033, if unused. Additionally, there were \$332 million of federal tax credit carryforwards of which \$317 million expire between 2029 and 2033, if unused, and the remainder have no expiration date. Included in net operating loss carryforwards were excess tax benefits that had not been generated by EME. Accordingly, EME recorded a \$27 million non-cash income tax benefit offset by an equal valuation allowance during the fourth quarter of 2013.

In addition, at December 31, 2012, EME recorded a non-cash distribution to its parent of \$222 million related to tax benefits for which, under the tax-allocation agreements as applied, EME has not yet, and may never be, entitled to be paid. During 2013, in connection with EIX's finalization of their 2012 consolidated tax returns, EME recorded a net non-cash contribution from EIX of \$25 million related to tax benefits which EME had previously believed would be utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return on a statutory basis but would not be paid under the tax-allocation agreements. In addition, EME received a net tax-allocation payment from EIX of approximately \$12 million as a partial payment for tax benefits previously recorded as non-cash distributions. At December 31, 2013, EME has not yet, and may never be, entitled to be paid for the \$185 million remaining as a non-cash distribution to its parent. In addition, EME has not yet, and may never be, paid for the approximately \$1,435 million of tax benefits generated by EME which have not yet been utilized in the EIX consolidated tax return. Under the Settlement Agreement, EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring—Plan of Reorganization.

Capistrano Wind Holdings and Capistrano Wind, LLC, which still participate in tax-allocation agreements with EIX, have generated \$126 million of tax benefits, \$27 million of which has been used by the EIX consolidated tax group, for all of which either payment has been received or payment is expected to be received under the tax-allocation agreements.

#### Effective Tax Rate

The table below provides a reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) computed at the federal statutory income tax rate to the income tax provision (benefit):

| (in millions)   | Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012      | 2011      |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes                   | \$ (735 )                | \$ (637 ) | \$ (888 ) |
| Benefit for income taxes at federal statutory rate of 35%             | \$ (257 )                | \$ (223 ) | \$ (311 ) |
| Increase (decrease) in income tax from                                |                          |           |           |
| State tax - net of federal benefit                                    | (32 )                    | 11        | (56 )     |
| Excess losses over tax allocation losses                              | (27 )                    | —         | —         |
| Change in valuation allowance   | 308                      | 438       | —         |
| Production tax credits, net   | (79 )                    | (68 )     | (66 )     |
| Qualified production deduction  | —                        | —         | (6 )      |
| Deferred tax adjustments  | 1                        | —         | (8 )      |
| Taxes on income allocated to noncontrolling interests                 | (10 )                    | (4 )      | —         |
| Other   | 3                        | 6         | 6         |
| Total provision (benefit) for income taxes from continuing operations | \$ (93 )                 | \$ 160    | \$ (441 ) |
| Effective tax rate  | 13 %                     | * %       | 50 %      |

\*Not meaningful.

Estimated state income tax benefits allocated from EIX of \$5 million, \$3 million and \$6 million were recognized for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2012, EME's state tax benefit was reduced by a change in future state apportionment factors resulting from EME's exit from the EIX consolidated tax group.



## Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

## Unrecognized Tax Benefits

The following table provides a reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits:

| (in millions)  | 2013  | 2012  | 2011  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Balance at January 1                                       | \$159 | \$171 | \$153 |
| Tax positions taken during the current year                |       |       |       |
| Increases  | —     | —     | 9     |
| Decreases  | —     | —     | —     |
| Tax positions taken during a prior year                    |       |       |       |
| Increases  | —     | —     | 9     |
| Decreases  | —     | (12)  | —     |
| Decreases for settlements during the period                | —     | —     | —     |
| Decreases resulting from a lapse in statute of limitations | —     | —     | —     |
| Balance at December 31                                     | \$159 | \$159 | \$171 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$154 million of the unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. EME believes that it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits could be reduced by an amount up to \$66 million within the next 12 months as a result of settling the audit for tax years 2003 through 2006. EIX's federal income tax returns and California combined franchise tax returns are currently open for years subsequent to 2002. In addition, specific California refund claims made by EIX for years 1991 through 2002 remain subject to audit.

## Accrued Interest and Penalties

The total amount of accrued interest and penalties related to EME's income tax liabilities was \$76 million and \$65 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The net after-tax interest and penalties recognized in income tax expense was \$6 million, \$8 million and \$10 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

## Tax Dispute

The Internal Revenue Service examination phase of tax years 2003 through 2006 was completed in the fourth quarter of 2010, which included a proposed adjustment related to EME. The proposed adjustment increases the taxable gain on the 2004 sale of EME's international assets, which if sustained, would result in a federal tax payment of approximately \$207 million, including approximately \$59 million of interest and \$42 million in penalties through December 31, 2013. EME disagrees with the proposed adjustment and filed a protest with the Internal Revenue Service in the first quarter of 2011. During the fourth quarter of 2013, the Internal Revenue Service advised EME that it intends to issue technical advice adverse to EME supporting the proposed adjustment by Internal Revenue Service examination increasing the taxable gain on the 2004 sale of EME's international assets (the technical advice adverse to EME was received in February 2014). The technical advice did not address penalties. EME is continuing to protest the asserted penalty with Internal Revenue Service Appeals. EME anticipates that the Internal Revenue Service will issue a deficiency notice for the tax, interest and possibly penalties related to this issue at the conclusion of the Internal Revenue Service appeals process. After the receipt of such deficiency notice, EME will have 90 days to file a petition in United States Tax Court. If a petition is not timely filed, EME anticipates after the expiration of the 90-day period, the Internal Revenue Service will assess the underpayment of tax, interest and penalties, if any, and demand payment. Under the Settlement Agreement, this liability along with the rest of the EME Tax Attributes will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring—Plan of Reorganization.

## Tax Election at Homer City

On March 15, 2012, Homer City made an election to be treated as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes. As a result of this election, Homer City is treated for tax purposes as distributing its assets and liabilities to its partners, both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of EME, and triggering tax deductions of approximately \$1 billion. Such tax deductions were included in EIX's 2011 consolidated tax returns.





## Midwest Generation

## Income Tax Benefit

The benefit for income taxes is composed of the following:

| (in millions)            | Years Ended December 31, |         |          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
|                          | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011     |
| Current                  |                          |         |          |
| Federal                  | \$—                      | \$—     | \$2      |
| State                    | —                        | —       | 12       |
| Total current            | —                        | —       | 14       |
| Deferred                 |                          |         |          |
| Federal                  | \$(14                    | ) \$(76 | ) \$(145 |
| State                    | (3                       | ) 14    | (41      |
| Total deferred           | (17                      | ) (62   | ) (186   |
| Benefit for income taxes | \$(17                    | ) \$(62 | ) \$(172 |

## Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

The components of net accumulated deferred income tax asset (liability) were:

| (in millions)                                     | December 31, |        |
|---|--------------|--------|
|   | 2013         | 2012   |
| Deferred tax assets                               |              |        |
| State taxes                                       | \$3          | \$—    |
| Deferred income                                   | 2            | 2      |
| Derivative instruments                            | 6            | 24     |
| Impairment of loan to affiliate - tax             | 539          | 539    |
| Property, plant and equipment - basis differences | 107          | —      |
| Net operating loss carryforwards                  | 88           | —      |
| Accrued charges and liabilities                   | 22           | 16     |
| Total   | 767          | 581    |
| Valuation allowance                               | (767         | ) (533 |
| Net deferred tax assets                           | —            | 48     |
| Deferred tax liabilities                          |              |        |
| State taxes                                       | —            | 3      |
| Property, plant and equipment - basis differences | —            | 45     |
| Total   | —            | 48     |
| Deferred taxes, net                               | \$—          | \$—    |

## Valuation Allowance

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Midwest Generation recorded a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets of \$767 million and \$533 million, respectively, as a result of recently recognized losses and the indications of expected future losses. The net increase during 2013 of \$234 million was due to the accumulation of unpaid tax benefits related to net operating losses and \$167 million related to an asset impairment charge described above. In 2012, \$521 million of the valuation allowance was recorded to net loss on the consolidated statements of operations and \$12 million was reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

#### Intercompany Tax-Allocation Agreement

At December 31, 2012, \$106 million of tax benefits that would have been collected by Midwest Generation in a hypothetical tax return prepared on a separate return basis but was not collectible under Midwest Generation's tax allocation agreement were accounted for as non-cash distributions to Midwest Generation's parent. Midwest Generation's tax-allocation agreement only permits the use of net operating losses to offset future taxable income and does not include the right to receive payments.

#### Net Operating Loss Carryforwards

As of December 31, 2013, on a separate return basis, Midwest Generation had \$517 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards which expire in 2031 and 2033, \$341 million of state net operating loss carryforwards which expire between 2025 and 2033, if unused. Under the Acquisition Agreement, prior to the closing date, Midwest Generation may reorganize into a single-member limited liability corporation, in which case it will become a disregarded entity for tax purposes and its existing income tax attributes will accumulate to EME. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring—Plan of Reorganization.

#### Effective Tax Rate

The table below provides a reconciliation of income tax benefit computed at the federal statutory income tax rate to the income tax benefit:

| (in millions)   | Years Ended December 31, |            |          |
|---|--------------------------|------------|----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012       | 2011     |
| Loss before income taxes                                  | (650 )                   | \$(1,526 ) | \$(442 ) |
| Benefit for income taxes at federal statutory rate of 35% | \$(228 )                 | \$(534 )   | \$(155 ) |
| State tax, net of federal benefit                         | (26 )                    | (52 )      | (19 )    |
| Change in valuation allowance                             | 234                      | 521        | —        |
| Other   | 3                        | 3          | 2        |
| Total benefit for income taxes                            | \$(17 )                  | \$(62 )    | \$(172 ) |
| Effective tax rate  | 3 %                      | 4 %        | 39 %     |

#### Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

##### Unrecognized Tax Benefits

The following table provides a reconciliation of unrecognized tax benefits:

| (in millions)          | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|
| Balance at January 1   | \$44 | \$44 | \$44 |
| No activity            | —    | —    | —    |
| Balance at December 31 | \$44 | \$44 | \$44 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$41 million of the unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. Midwest Generation believes that it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefits could be reduced by an amount up to \$36 million within the next 12 months as a result of settling the audit for tax years 2003 through 2006.

EIX's federal income tax returns and California combined franchise tax returns are currently open for years subsequent to 2002. In addition, specific California refund claims made by EIX for years 1991 through 2002 remain subject to audit.

#### Accrued Interest and Penalties

The total amount of accrued interest expense and penalties was \$26 million and \$23 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The net after-tax interest expense and penalties recognized in income tax expense was \$2 million, \$2 million and \$3 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Bonus Depreciation Impact (EME, Midwest Generation)**

The Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 and the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and Job Creation Act of 2010 (2010 Tax Relief Act) extended 50% bonus depreciation for qualifying property through 2012 and created a new 100% bonus depreciation for qualifying property placed in service between September 9, 2010 and December 31, 2011. The 50% bonus depreciation provisions continued for qualifying property placed in service through 2013 as a result of the American Taxpayer Relief Act signed into law in January 2013. Based on these rules, EME and Midwest Generation continue to accelerate federal tax deductions in 2012 and 2013 for qualifying capital expenditures.

**Note 8. Compensation and Benefit Plans (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)**

**Employee Savings Plan**

A 401(k) plan is maintained to supplement eligible employees' retirement income. The EME 401(k) plan received contributions from EME of \$8 million, \$17 million and \$15 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Midwest Generation 401(k) plan received contributions from Midwest Generation of \$3 million, \$7 million and \$6 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

**Long-Term Incentive Plan (EME only)**

The Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) is a performance-based cash awards program based on three categories: plant reliability, cost reduction and the adjusted enterprise values of EME and Midwest Generation. A payment under the plant reliability and cost reduction components will occur based on the achievement of the specified measures through the earlier of December 31, 2014 or emergence from bankruptcy. A payment under the adjusted enterprise value components will only occur based on the values of EME and Midwest Generation at emergence from bankruptcy. Management has concluded that a payment under the plant reliability and cost reduction components is probable and has determined the probable range of all payments under the LTIP to be from \$17 million to \$52 million. At December 31, 2013, EME accrued \$8.5 million associated with the LTIP, based on an earning period through December 31, 2014.

**Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions**

EME and Midwest Generation have historically participated in pension and other postretirement benefit plans sponsored by EIX and SCE under a shared services agreement with EIX. The shared services agreement with EIX is expected to terminate at the earlier of the consummation of the NRG Sale or, under certain circumstances, by July 31, 2014. GAAP requires that the change in ownership of EME must occur prior to changes in certain pension plan assumptions. For further discussion of the NRG Sale, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

**Pension Plans**

EME noncontributory defined benefit pension plans (the non-union plan has a cash balance feature) cover most employees meeting minimum service requirements. The expected contributions (all by the employer) are approximately \$16 million, including Midwest Generation, for the year ended December 31, 2014. Midwest Generation maintains a pension plan specifically for the benefit of its union employees. A portion of Midwest Generation's non-union employees participate in the EIX pension plan. Eligibility depends on a number of factors, including the employee's hire date. Both plans are noncontributory, defined benefit pension plans and cover employees who fulfill minimum service requirements. The EIX plan has a cash balance feature. The expected contributions (all by the employer) for the plans are approximately \$12 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The funded position of the company's pension is very sensitive to changes in market conditions. Changes in overall interest rate levels significantly affect the company's liabilities, while assets held in the various trusts established to fund the company's long-term pension are affected by movements in the equity and bond markets. The market value of the investments (reflecting investment returns, contributions and benefit payments) within the plan trusts declined 35% during 2008. This reduction in value of plan assets combined with increased liabilities has resulted in a change in the pension plan funding status from a surplus to a material deficit, which will result in increased future expense and cash contributions. The company pension remains underfunded as liabilities have increased significantly as a result of steady declines in interest rates.



Information on plan assets and benefit obligations is shown below:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |                           |           | 2012       |                           |          | EME |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------|----------|-----|
|  | 2013                     | Midwest                   | Other EME | 2012       | Other EME                 | EME      |     |
|  | Midwest                  | Other EME                 | EME       | Midwest    | Other EME                 | EME      |     |
|  | Generation               | Subsidiaries <sup>1</sup> |           | Generation | Subsidiaries <sup>1</sup> |          |     |
| Change in projected benefit obligation   |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year                              | \$225                    | \$105                     | \$330     | \$195      | \$121                     | \$316    |     |
| Service cost   | 12                       | 2                         | 14        | 14         | 2                         | 16       |     |
| Interest cost  | 9                        | 5                         | 14        | 8          | 6                         | 14       |     |
| Actuarial (gain) loss  | (22 )                    | (2 )                      | (24 )     | 13         | 14                        | 27       |     |
| Curtailment gain   | —                        | —                         | —         | —          | (9 )                      | (9 )     |     |
| Transfers out <sup>2</sup>   | —                        | (7 )                      | (7 )      | —          | (23 )                     | (23 )    |     |
| Benefits paid  | (14 )                    | (15 )                     | (29 )     | (5 )       | (6 )                      | (11 )    |     |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year                                    | \$210                    | \$88                      | \$298     | \$225      | \$105                     | \$330    |     |
| Change in plan assets  |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year                                 | \$149                    | \$66                      | \$215     | \$121      | \$56                      | \$177    |     |
| Actual return on plan assets   | 24                       | 11                        | 35        | 19         | 7                         | 26       |     |
| Employer contributions   | 8                        | 6                         | 14        | 14         | 9                         | 23       |     |
| Benefits paid  | (14 )                    | (15 )                     | (29 )     | (5 )       | (6 )                      | (11 )    |     |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year                                       | \$167                    | \$68                      | \$235     | \$149      | \$66                      | \$215    |     |
| Funded status at end of year   | \$(43 )                  | \$(20 )                   | \$(63 )   | \$(76 )    | \$(39 )                   | \$(115 ) |     |
| Amounts recognized on consolidated balance sheets:                             |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Long-term liabilities  | \$(43 )                  | \$(14 )                   | \$(57 )   | \$(76 )    | \$(39 )                   | \$(115 ) |     |
| LSTC <sup>3</sup>  | —                        | (6 )                      | \$(6 )    | —          | —                         | —        |     |
| Amounts recognized in AOCI:  |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Prior service cost   | \$—                      | \$—                       | \$—       | \$1        | \$—                       | \$1      |     |
| Net loss   | 2                        | 17                        | 19        | 40         | 27                        | 67       |     |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of year                                  | \$189                    | \$89                      | \$278     | \$195      | \$105                     | \$300    |     |
| Pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets: |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Projected benefit obligation   | \$210                    | \$88                      | \$298     | \$225      | \$105                     | \$330    |     |
| Accumulated benefit obligation   | 189                      | 89                        | 278       | 195        | 105                       | 300      |     |
| Fair value of plan assets  | 167                      | 68                        | 235       | 149        | 66                        | 215      |     |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine obligations at end of year:     |                          |                           |           |            |                           |          |     |
| Discount rate  | 4.75                     | % 4.75                    | % 4.75    | % 4.50     | % 4.50                    | % 4.50   | %   |
| Rate of compensation increase  | 4.00                     | % 4.00                    | % 4.00    | % 4.50     | % 4.50                    | % 4.50   | %   |

<sup>1</sup> Includes Homer City.

<sup>2</sup> Represents amount of EME's executive post retirement benefits liability assumed by EIX.

<sup>3</sup> During the fourth quarter of 2013, EME reclassified \$6 million of executive retirement plan liabilities to LSTC.



Expense components and other amounts recognized in OCI:

Expense components:

| (in millions)                     | Years Ended December 31, |  |       |                       |  |       |                       |  |       |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|--|-------|
|                                   | 2013                     |  |       | 2012                  |  |       | 2011                  |  |       |
|                                   | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME   |
| Service cost                      | \$12                     | \$2  | \$14  | \$14                  | \$—  | \$14  | \$13                  | \$2  | \$15  |
| Interest cost                     | 9                        | 4  | 13    | 8                     | 4  | 12    | 8                     | 4  | 12    |
| Expected return on<br>plan assets | (10                      | ) (3   | ) (13 | ) (9                  | ) (3   | ) (12 | ) (9                  | ) (1   | ) (10 |
| Net amortization                  | 2                        | 4  | 6     | 2                     | 4  | 6     | 1                     | 2  | 3     |
| Special termination<br>charges    | —                        | —  | —     | —                     | 2  | 2     | —                     | —  | —     |
| Total expense                     | \$13                     | \$7  | \$20  | \$15                  | \$7  | \$22  | \$13                  | \$7  | \$20  |

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Homer City.

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in OCI:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |  |         |                       |  |       |                       |  |      |
|--|--------------------------|--|---------|-----------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|--|------|
|  | 2013                     |  |         | 2012                  |  |       | 2011                  |  |      |
|  | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME     | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME  |
| Net (gain) loss  | \$(36                    | ) \$(7   | ) \$(43 | ) \$4                 | \$2  | \$6   | \$20                  | \$5  | \$25 |
| Amortization of net<br>loss                                  | (2                       | ) (4   | ) (6    | ) (2                  | ) (4   | ) (6  | ) (1                  | ) (2   | ) (3 |
| Total in other<br>comprehensive<br>(income) loss             | \$(38                    | ) \$(11  | ) \$(49 | ) \$2                 | \$(2   | ) \$— | \$19                  | \$3  | \$22 |
| Total in expense and<br>other comprehensive<br>(income) loss | \$(25                    | ) \$(4   | ) \$(29 | ) \$17                | \$5  | \$22  | \$32                  | \$10   | \$42 |

<sup>1</sup> Includes Homer City.

The estimated amortization amounts expected to be reclassified from OCI for 2014 are \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for prior service costs and \$2.1 million and none for net loss for EME and Midwest Generation, respectively.

The following are weighted-average assumptions used to determine expenses:

|                                     | Years Ended December 31, |  |      |               |                       |  |      |     |                       |  |      |     |              |     |              |   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|------|---------------|-----------------------|--|------|-----|-----------------------|--|------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|---|
|                                     | 2013                     |  |      |               | 2012                  |  |      |     | 2011                  |  |      |     |              |     |              |   |
|                                     | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME  | EME           | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME  | EME | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME  | EME | EME          | EME |              |   |
| Discount rate                       | 3.75% -<br>4%            | 3.75   | %    | 3.75%<br>- 4% | 4.50                  | %  | 4.50 | %   | 4.50                  | %  | 5.25 | %   | 5.25         | %   | 5.25         | % |
| Rate of<br>compensation<br>increase | 4.50                     | %  | 4.50 | %             | 4.50                  | %  | 4.50 | %   | 4.50                  | %  | 5.00 | %   | 4.5% -<br>6% | %   | 4.5% -<br>6% | % |
|                                     | 7.00                     | %  | 7.00 | %             | 7.00                  | %  | 7.50 | %   | 7.50                  | %  | 7.50 | %   | 7.50         | %   | 7.50         | % |

Expected  
long-term return on  
plan assets

<sup>1</sup> Includes Homer City.

117

---



The following are benefit payments, which would reflect expected future service, expected to be paid:

| Years Ending December 31,<br>(in millions) | Midwest<br>Generation | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME  |
|--|-----------------------|--|------|
| 2014                                       | \$11                  | \$4                                    | \$15 |
| 2015                                       | 12                    | 4                                      | 16   |
| 2016                                       | 13                    | 4                                      | 17   |
| 2017                                       | 14                    | 4                                      | 18   |
| 2018                                       | 15                    | 4                                      | 19   |
| 2019-2023                                  | 84                    | 20                                     | 104  |

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Homer City.

In connection with EME's transfer of substantially all the remaining assets and certain specified liabilities of Homer City to an affiliate of GECC, the employees of Homer City transferred with the plan and, a curtailment adjustment of \$9 million was made in 2012 to the projected pension benefit obligation to reflect the departure of the Homer City employees. For further discussion see Note 14—Discontinued Operations.

#### Transfer of Certain Postretirement Benefits to EIX

In March 2012, EME transferred the executive deferred compensation and executive postretirement benefit liabilities related to active employees to EIX. EME paid EIX \$25 million, the after-tax amount of such liabilities as of March 1, 2012. EME agreed to fund changes to the projected benefit obligation of the executive postretirement benefits and the employer portion of the executive deferred compensation plan through EME's emergence from bankruptcy. In 2013, EME funded \$3 million in connection with this agreement. Upon the effectiveness of the Settlement Agreement, EIX would assume the liability associated with the qualified pension plan and the liabilities associated with the related participants in the executive retirement and executive deferred compensation plan. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring—Plan of Reorganization.

#### Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

EME and Midwest Generation non-union employees retiring at or after age 55 with at least 10 years of service may be eligible for postretirement medical, dental, vision, and life insurance coverage. Eligibility for a company contribution toward the cost of these benefits in retirement depends on a number of factors, including the employee's hire date. Midwest Generation union-represented employees who retire at age 55 with at least 10 years of service may be eligible for access to postretirement medical, dental, vision and hearing coverage by paying the full cost for these benefits. The expected contributions (all by the employers) for the year ending December 31, 2014 for postretirement benefits other than pensions are \$3 million and \$2 million for EME and Midwest Generation, respectively. In January 2014, EME filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court to terminate postretirement benefits other than pensions for the Debtor Entities upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases. The motion remains pending with the Bankruptcy Court. In December 2012, the divestiture by Homer City of substantially all of its remaining assets and certain specified liabilities closed. An affiliate of General Electric Capital Corporation (GECC) assumed control of Homer City and as part of the closing, Homer City's obligation to establish and fund voluntary employee beneficiary association trusts was waived. Effective May 1, 2013, Homer City withdrew from the benefit plan that provided postretirement medical, dental, vision and life insurance coverage to certain Homer City retirees, effectively terminating access and company subsidy for these programs. Employees who were eligible for the plan continued to receive coverage for these benefits up to June 30, 2013. At December 31, 2012, EME had \$31 million of postretirement benefits other than pensions (PBOP) related obligations on its consolidated balance sheet related to Homer City employees, of which \$11 million was funded through an EIX sponsored retirement plan for non-bargaining unit employees, and \$20 million was funded by Homer City through a separate retirement plan for bargaining unit employees. At December 31, 2013, EME accrued \$22 million for a Bankruptcy Court approved settlement between the Homer City Debtors and the union for the affected Homer City retirees which, among other things, provided for an agreed claim amount and established that 55% of any recovery of Edison Mission Finance Company's note from Homer City will be distributed to the affected retirees. For further information see Note 14—Discontinued Operations.



Information on plan assets and benefit obligations is shown below:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31,<br>2013 |                           |        | 2012                  |  |         | EME |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|---------|-----|
|  | Midwest<br>Generation            | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries | EME    | Midwest<br>Generation | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME     |     |
| Change in benefit obligation   |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year                                    | \$59                             | \$69                      | \$128  | \$59                  | \$71                                   | \$130   |     |
| Service cost   | 1                                | 1                         | 2      | 1                     | 2                                      | 3       |     |
| Interest cost  | 3                                | 1                         | 4      | 3                     | 3                                      | 6       |     |
| Withdrawal <sup>2</sup>  | —                                | (31)                      | (31)   | —                     | —                                      | —       |     |
| Actuarial gain   | (7)                              | (3)                       | (10)   | (3)                   | (5)                                    | (8)     |     |
| Benefits paid  | (1)                              | (1)                       | (2)    | (1)                   | (2)                                    | (3)     |     |
| Benefit obligation at end of year  | \$55                             | \$36                      | \$91   | \$59                  | \$69                                   | \$128   |     |
| Change in plan assets  |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year                             | \$—                              | \$—                       | \$—    | \$—                   | \$—                                    | \$—     |     |
| Employer contributions   | 1                                | 1                         | 2      | 1                     | 2                                      | 3       |     |
| Benefits paid  | (1)                              | (1)                       | (2)    | (1)                   | (2)                                    | (3)     |     |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year                                   | \$—                              | \$—                       | \$—    | \$—                   | \$—                                    | \$—     |     |
| Funded status at end of year   | \$(55)                           | \$(36)                    | \$(91) | \$(59)                | \$(69)                                 | \$(128) |     |
| Amounts recognized on consolidated balance sheets:                         |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Long-term liabilities  | \$(55)                           | \$(36)                    | \$(91) | \$(59)                | \$(69)                                 | \$(128) |     |
| Amounts recognized in AOCI:  |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Prior service cost (credit)  | \$9                              | \$—                       | \$9    | \$10                  | \$(2)                                  | \$8     |     |
| Net loss (gain)  | 2                                | (1)                       | 1      | 9                     | 5                                      | 14      |     |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine obligations at end of year: |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Discount rate  | 5.00                             | % 5.00                    | % 5.00 | % 4.25                | % 4.25                                 | % 4.25  | %   |
| Assumed health care cost trend rates:                                      |                                  |                           |        |                       |  |         |     |
| Rate assumed for following year  | 7.75                             | % 7.75                    | % 7.75 | % 8.50                | % 8.50                                 | % 8.50  | %   |
| Ultimate rate  | 5.00                             | % 5.00                    | % 5.00 | % 5.00                | % 5.00                                 | % 5.00  | %   |
| Year ultimate rate reached   | 2020                             | 2020                      | 2020   | 2020                  | 2020                                   | 2020    |     |

<sup>1</sup> Includes Homer City.

<sup>2</sup> Represents the withdrawal of Homer City from the postretirement benefits plan.

Expense components and other amounts recognized in OCI

Expense components:

| (in millions)    | Years Ended December 31, |  |     |                       |  |     |                       |  |     |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|-----|-----------------------|--|-----|-----------------------|--|-----|
|                  | 2013                     |  |     | 2012                  |  |     | 2011                  |  |     |
|                  | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME |
| Service cost     | \$1                      | \$1  | \$2 | \$1                   | \$1  | \$2 | \$2                   | \$—  | \$2 |
| Interest cost    | 3                        | 1  | 4   | 3                     | 1  | 4   | 2                     | 2  | 4   |
| Net amortization | 1                        | —  | 1   | 1                     | —  | 1   | 1                     | (1)  | —   |
| Total expense    | \$5                      | \$2  | \$7 | \$5                   | \$2  | \$7 | \$5                   | \$1  | \$6 |

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Homer City.

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in OCI:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |  |        |                       |  |       |                       |  |     |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------|-----------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|--|-----|
|  | 2013                     |  |        | 2012                  |  |       | 2011                  |  |     |
|  | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME    | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME |
| Net (gain) loss  | \$(7)                    | \$(3)  | \$(10) | \$(3)                 | \$—  | \$(3) | \$1                   | \$(1)  | \$— |
| Net amortization   | (1)                      | —  | (1)    | (1)                   | —  | (1)   | (1)                   | 1  | —   |
| Total in other<br>comprehensive<br>(income) loss             | \$(8)                    | \$(3)  | \$(11) | \$(4)                 | \$—  | \$(4) | \$—                   | \$—  | \$— |
| Total in expense and<br>other comprehensive<br>(income) loss | \$(3)                    | \$(1)  | \$(4)  | \$1                   | \$2  | \$3   | \$5                   | \$1  | \$6 |

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Homer City.

The estimated amortization amounts expected to be reclassified from other comprehensive (income) loss for 2014 are \$0.7 million and \$1 million for prior service cost and \$0.1 million and none for net loss for EME and Midwest Generation, respectively.

The following are weighted-average assumptions used to determine expense:

|   | Years Ended December 31, |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |
|---|--------------------------|--|--------|---|-----------------------|--|--------|---|-----------------------|--|--------|---|-----------------------|--|--------|---|
|   | 2013                     |  |        |   | 2012                  |  |        |   | 2011                  |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |
|   | Midwest<br>Generation    | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME    |   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME    |   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME    |   | Midwest<br>Generation | Other<br>EME<br>Sub-<br>sidiaries <sup>1</sup> | EME    |   |
| Discount rate                               | 4.25                     | % 4.25   | % 4.25 | % | 4.75                  | % 4.75   | % 4.75 | % | 5.50                  | % 5.50   | % 5.50 | % | 5.50                  | % 5.50   | % 5.50 | % |
| Assumed health<br>care cost trend<br>rates: |                          |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |                       |  |        |   |
| Current year                                | 8.50                     | % 8.50   | % 8.50 | % | 9.50                  | % 9.50   | % 9.50 | % | 9.75                  | % 9.75   | % 9.75 | % | 9.75                  | % 9.75   | % 9.75 | % |
| Ultimate rate                               | 5.0                      | % 5.0  | % 5.0  | % | 5.25                  | % 5.25   | % 5.25 | % | 5.5                   | % 5.5  | % 5.5  | % | 5.5                   | % 5.5  | % 5.5  | % |
| Year ultimate rate<br>reached               | 2020                     | 2020   | 2020   |   | 2019                  | 2019   | 2019   |   | 2019                  | 2019   | 2019   |   | 2019                  | 2019   | 2019   |   |

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Homer City.

Increasing the health care cost trend rate by one percentage point would increase the accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2013, by \$13 million and \$8 million and annual aggregate service and interest costs by \$1 million and \$1 million for EME and Midwest Generation, respectively. Decreasing the health care cost trend rate by one percentage point

120

---

would decrease the accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2013, by \$11 million and \$7 million and annual aggregate service and interest costs by \$1 million and \$1 million for EME and Midwest Generation, respectively.

The following benefit payments would be expected to be paid:

| Years Ending December 31,<br>(in millions) | Midwest<br>Generation | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries | EME |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| 2014                                       | \$2                   | \$1                       | \$3 |
| 2015                                       | 2                     | 1                         | 3   |
| 2016                                       | 2                     | 2                         | 4   |
| 2017                                       | 2                     | 2                         | 4   |
| 2018                                       | 2                     | 2                         | 4   |
| 2019-2023                                  | 17                    | 11                        | 28  |

#### Discount Rate

The discount rate enables EME and Midwest Generation to state expected future cash flows at a present value on the measurement date. EME and Midwest Generation select its discount rate by performing a yield curve analysis. This analysis determines the equivalent discount rate on projected cash flows, matching the timing and amount of expected benefit payments. Two corporate yield curves were considered, Citigroup and AON-Hewitt.

#### Plan Assets

##### Description of Pension Investment Strategies

The investment of plan assets is overseen by a fiduciary investment committee. Plan assets are invested using a combination of asset classes, and may have active and passive investment strategies within asset classes. Target allocations for 2013 and 2012 pension plan assets are 30% for US equities, 16% for non-US equities, 35% for fixed income, 15% for opportunistic and/or alternative investments and 4% for other investments. EIX employs multiple investment management firms. Investment managers within each asset class cover a range of investment styles and approaches. Risk is managed through diversification among multiple asset classes, managers, styles and securities. Plan, asset class and individual manager performance is measured against targets. EIX also monitors the stability of its investment managers' organizations.

Allowable investment types include:

• **United States Equities:** Common and preferred stocks of large, medium, and small companies which are predominantly United States-based.

• **Non-United States Equities:** Equity securities issued by companies domiciled outside the United States and in depository receipts which represent ownership of securities of non-United States companies.

• **Fixed Income:** Fixed income securities issued or guaranteed by the United States government, non-United States governments, government agencies and instrumentalities including municipal bonds, mortgage backed securities and corporate debt obligations. A portion of the fixed income positions may be held in debt securities that are below investment grade.

• **Opportunistic, Alternative and Other Investments:**

• **Opportunistic:** Investments in short to intermediate term market opportunities. Investments may have fixed income and/or equity characteristics and may be either liquid or illiquid.

• **Alternative:** Limited partnerships that invest in non-publicly traded entities.

• **Other:** Investments diversified among multiple asset classes such as global equity, fixed income currency and commodities markets. Investments are made in liquid instruments within and across markets. The investment returns are expected to approximate the plans' expected investment returns.

Asset class portfolio weights are permitted to range within plus or minus 3%. Where approved by the fiduciary investment committee, futures contracts are used for portfolio rebalancing and to reallocate portfolio cash positions. Where authorized, a few of the plans' investment managers employ limited use of derivatives, including futures contracts, options, options on futures and interest rate swaps in place of direct investment in securities to gain efficient exposure to markets. Derivatives are not used to leverage the plans or any portfolios.



#### Determination of the Expected Long-Term Rate of Return on Assets

The overall expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption is based on the long-term target asset allocation for plan assets and capital markets return forecasts for asset classes employed.

#### Capital Markets Return Forecasts

The capital markets return forecast methodologies primarily use a combination of historical market data, current market conditions, proprietary forecasting expertise, complex models to develop asset class return forecasts and a building block approach. The forecasts are developed using variables such as real risk-free interest, inflation, and asset class specific risk premiums. For equities, the risk premium is based on an assumed average equity risk premium of 5% over cash. The forecasted return on private equity and opportunistic investments are estimated at a 2% premium above public equity, reflecting a premium for higher volatility and liquidity. For fixed income, the risk premium is based off of a comprehensive modeling of credit spreads.

#### Fair Value of Plan Assets

The plan assets for EME's and Midwest Generation's pension are included in the SCE Company Retirement Plan Trust (Master Trust) assets which include investments in equity securities, US treasury securities, other fixed-income securities, common/collective funds, mutual funds, other investment entities, foreign exchange and interest rate contracts, and partnership/joint ventures. Equity securities, US treasury securities, mutual and money market funds are classified as Level 1 as fair value is determined by observable, unadjusted quoted market prices in active or highly liquid and transparent markets. Common/collective funds are valued at the net asset value (NAV) of shares held. Although common/collective funds are determined by observable prices, they are classified as Level 2 because they trade in markets that are less active and transparent. The fair value of the underlying investments in equity mutual funds and equity common/collective funds are based upon stock-exchange prices. The fair value of the underlying investments in fixed-income common/collective funds, fixed-income mutual funds and other fixed income securities including municipal bonds are based on evaluated prices that reflect significant observable market information such as reported trades, actual trade information of similar securities, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, bids, offers and relevant credit information. Foreign exchange and interest rate contracts are classified as Level 2 because the values are based on observable prices but are not traded on an exchange. Futures contracts trade on an exchange and therefore are classified as Level 1. The partnerships classified as Level 2 can be readily redeemed at NAV and the underlying investments are liquid publicly traded fixed-income securities which have observable prices. The remaining partnerships/joint ventures are classified as Level 3 because fair value is determined primarily based upon management estimates of future cash flows. Other investment entities are valued similarly to common collective funds and are therefore classified as Level 2. The Level 1 registered investment companies are either mutual or money market funds. The remaining funds in this category are readily redeemable at NAV and classified as Level 2 and are discussed further at footnote 7 to the pension plan master trust investments table below.

EIX reviews the process/procedures of both the pricing services and the trustee to gain an understanding of the inputs/assumptions and valuation techniques used to price each asset type/class. For further discussion on the valuation techniques to determine fair value, see Note 4—Fair Value Measurements. The values of Level 1 mutual and money market funds are publicly quoted. The trustees obtain the values of common/collective and other investment funds from the fund managers. The values of partnerships are based on partnership valuation statements updated for cash flows.



## Pension Plan

The following table sets forth the Master Trust investments that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2013 by asset class and level within the fair value hierarchy:

| (in millions)                                      | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Corporate stocks <sup>1</sup>                      | \$653   | \$—     | \$—     | \$653   |
| Common/collective funds <sup>2</sup>               | —       | 546     | —       | 546     |
| U.S. government and agency securities <sup>3</sup> | 195     | 471     | —       | 666     |
| Partnerships/joint ventures <sup>4</sup>           | —       | 148     | 390     | 538     |
| Corporate bonds <sup>5</sup>                       | —       | 553     | —       | 553     |
| Other investment entities <sup>6</sup>             | —       | 282     | —       | 282     |
| Registered investment companies <sup>7</sup>       | 112     | 81      | —       | 193     |
| Interest-bearing cash                              | 12      | —       | —       | 12      |
| Other  | 6       | 109     | —       | 115     |
| Total  | \$978   | \$2,190 | \$390   | \$3,558 |
| Receivables and payables, net                      |         |         |         | (81)    |
| Net plan assets available for benefits             |         |         |         | \$3,477 |
| EME's share of net plan assets                     |         |         |         | \$235   |
| Midwest Generation's share of net plan assets      |         |         |         | \$167   |

The following table sets forth the Master Trust investments that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2012 by asset class and level within the fair value hierarchy:

| (in millions)                                      | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total   |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Corporate stocks <sup>1</sup>                      | \$743   | \$—     | \$—     | \$743   |
| Common/collective funds <sup>2</sup>               | —       | 635     | —       | 635     |
| U.S. government and agency securities <sup>3</sup> | 242     | 350     | —       | 592     |
| Partnerships/joint ventures <sup>4</sup>           | —       | 166     | 414     | 580     |
| Corporate bonds <sup>5</sup>                       | —       | 508     | —       | 508     |
| Other investment entities <sup>6</sup>             | —       | 271     | —       | 271     |
| Registered investment companies <sup>7</sup>       | 98      | 28      | —       | 126     |
| Interest-bearing cash                              | 24      | —       | —       | 24      |
| Other  | 1       | 100     | —       | 101     |
| Total  | \$1,108 | \$2,058 | \$414   | \$3,580 |
| Receivables and payables, net                      |         |         |         | (38)    |
| Net plan assets available for benefits             |         |         |         | \$3,542 |
| EME's share of net plan assets                     |         |         |         | \$215   |
| Midwest Generation's share of net plan assets      |         |         |         | \$149   |

<sup>1</sup> Corporate stocks are diversified. For 2013 and 2012, respectively, performance is primarily benchmarked against the Russell Indexes (51% and 60%) and Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) index (49% and 40%).

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, the common/collective assets were invested in equity index funds that seek to track performance of the Standard and Poor's (S&P 500) Index (27% and 29%), Russell 200 and Russell 1000 indexes (28% and 28%) and the MSCI Europe, Australasia and Far East (EAFE) Index (15% and 11%). A non-index U.S. equity fund representing 23% and 25% of this category for 2013 and 2012, respectively, is actively managed. Another fund representing 6% and 6% of this category for 2013 and 2012, respectively, is a global asset allocation fund.

<sup>3</sup> Level 1 U.S. government and agency securities are U.S. treasury bonds and notes. Level 2 primarily relates to the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

Partnerships/joint venture Level 2 investments consist primarily of a partnership which invests in publicly traded fixed income securities, primarily from the banking and finance industry and U.S. government agencies. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, approximately 64% and 56% of the Level 3 partnerships are invested in (1) asset backed securities, including distressed mortgages and (2) commercial and residential loans and debt and equity of banks. The remaining Level 3 partnerships are invested in small private equity and venture capital funds. Investment strategies for these funds include branded consumer products, early stage technology, California geographic focus, and diversified US and non-US fund-of-funds.

Corporate bonds are diversified. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, this category includes \$78 million and \$65 million for collateralized mortgage obligations and other asset backed securities of which \$15 million and \$7 million are below investment grade.

Other investment entities were primarily invested in (1) emerging market equity securities, (2) a hedge fund that invests through liquid instruments in a global diversified portfolio of equity, fixed income, interest rate, foreign currency and commodities markets, and (3) domestic mortgage backed securities.

Level 1 of registered investment companies primarily consisted of a global equity mutual fund which seeks to outperform the MSCI World Total Return Index. Level 2 primarily consisted of a short-term bond fund.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately 67% and 66%, respectively, of the publicly traded equity investments, including equities in the common/collective funds, were located in the United States.

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of Level 3 investments for 2013 and 2012:

| (in millions)                                  | 2013  | 2012  |
|--|-------|-------|
| Fair value, net at beginning of period         | \$414 | \$448 |
| Actual return on plan assets:                  |       |       |
| Relating to assets still held at end of period | 61    | 88    |
| Relating to assets sold during the period      | 10    | 13    |
| Purchases                                      | 45    | 98    |
| Dispositions                                   | (140) | (233) |
| Transfers in and /or out of Level 3            | —     | —     |
| Fair value, net at end of period               | \$390 | \$414 |

#### Stock-Based Compensation (EME only)

EME participated in an EIX shareholder-approved incentive plan (the 2007 Performance Incentive Plan) that included stock-based compensation. In conjunction with the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME ceased participating in EIX's long-term incentive compensation programs, and no new EIX stock-based compensation was awarded to EME employees in 2013.

#### Stock Options

Under various plans, EIX had granted stock options to EME employees at exercise prices equal to the average of the high and low price, and beginning in 2007, at the closing price at the grant date, EIX granted stock options and other awards related to or with a value derived from its common stock to directors and certain employees. EME employees ceased receiving new stock option awards upon the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases, however, existing awards continue to vest. Options generally expire 10 years after the grant date and vest over a period of four years of continuous service, with expense recognized evenly over the requisite service period, except for awards granted to retirement-eligible participants, as discussed in Note 1—Stock-Based Compensation. Upon completion of the NRG Sale and emergence from bankruptcy, all of EME's employees will be terminated. The terminated employees will generally receive one additional year of vesting and any unvested long-term incentive compensation awards will be canceled. Stock options granted in 2003 through 2006 accrued dividend equivalents for the first five years of the option term. Stock options granted in 2007 and later have no dividend equivalent rights except for options granted to EIX's Board of Directors in 2007. Unless transferred to nonqualified deferral plan accounts, dividend equivalents accumulate without interest. Dividend equivalents are paid in cash after the vesting date. EIX has discretion to pay certain dividend equivalents in shares of EIX common stock. Additionally, EIX will substitute cash awards to the extent necessary to pay tax withholding or any government levies.



The fair value for each option granted was determined as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires various assumptions noted in the following table:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |             |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|
|  | 2012                     | 2011        |
| Expected terms (in years)                | 6.9                      | 7.0         |
| Risk-free interest rate                  | 1.1% - 1.7%              | 1.4% - 3.1% |
| Expected dividend yield                  | 2.8% - 3.1%              | 3.1% - 3.5% |
| Weighted-average expected dividend yield | 3.0                      | % 3.4       |
| Expected volatility                      | 17% - 18%                | 18% - 19%   |
| Weighted-average volatility              | 18.3                     | % 18.9      |

The expected term represents the period of time for which the options are expected to be outstanding and is primarily based on historical exercise and post-vesting cancellation experience and stock price history. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on a zero coupon U.S. Treasury issued STRIPS (separate trading of registered interest and principal of securities) whose maturity equals the option's expected term on the measurement date. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of EIX's common stock for the length of the options expected term for 2012. The volatility period used was 83 months and 84 months at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

A summary of the status of EIX's stock options granted to EME employees is as follows:

|  | Stock Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Outstanding, December 31, 2012                   | 2,700,234     | \$38.23                         |                                    |   |
| Granted  | —             | —                               |                                    |   |
| Expired  | (13,607 )     | 49.24                           |                                    |   |
| Transferred to affiliates                        | (16,286 )     | 40.06                           |                                    |   |
| Forfeited  | (13,468 )     | 41.38                           |                                    |   |
| Exercised  | (904,058 )    | 35.43                           |                                    |   |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013                   | 1,752,815     | 39.55                           | 5.49                               |   |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2013 | 1,686,929     | 39.52                           | 5.42                               | \$12                                    |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2013                 | 926,385       | 38.92                           | 3.76                               | 8                                       |

At December 31, 2013, there was \$2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options, net of expected forfeitures. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2 years. Performance Shares

A target number of contingent performance shares were awarded to EME executives in March 2011 and March 2012, and vest at the end of December 2013 and 2014, respectively. Upon completion of the NRG Sale and emergence from bankruptcy, all of EME's employees will be terminated. The terminated employees will generally receive one additional year of vesting resulting in the complete vesting of awards issued under this program.

Performance shares awarded contain dividend equivalent reinvestment rights. An additional number of target contingent performance shares will be credited based on dividends on EIX common stock for which the ex-dividend date falls within the performance period. The vesting of EIX's performance shares is dependent upon a market condition and three years of continuous service subject to a prorated adjustment for employees who are terminated under certain circumstances or retire, but payment cannot be accelerated. The market condition is based on EIX's total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return of a specified group of peer companies at the end of a three-calendar-year period. The number of performance shares earned is determined based on EIX's ranking among these companies. Performance shares earned are settled half in cash and half in common stock; however, EIX has discretion under certain of the awards to pay the half subject



to cash settlement in common stock. EIX also has discretion to pay certain dividend equivalents in EIX common stock. Additionally, cash awards are substituted to the extent necessary to pay tax withholding or any government levies. The portion of performance shares that can be settled in cash is classified as a share-based liability award. The fair value of these shares is remeasured at each reporting period and the related compensation expense is adjusted. The portion of performance shares payable in common stock is classified as a share-based equity award. Compensation expense related to these shares is based on the grant-date fair value. Performance shares expense is recognized ratably over the requisite service period based on the fair values determined, except for awards granted to retirement-eligible participants.

The fair value of performance shares is determined using a Monte Carlo simulation valuation model. The Monte Carlo simulation valuation model requires various assumptions noted in the following table:

|                                    | Years Ended December 31, |   |      |   |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|------|---|
|                                    | 2012                     |   | 2011 |   |
| Equity awards                      |                          |   |      |   |
| Grant date risk-free interest rate | 0.4                      | % | 1.2  | % |
| Grant date expected volatility     | 13.2                     | % | 20.4 | % |
| Liability awards <sup>1</sup>      |                          |   |      |   |
| Expected volatility                | 12.1                     | % | 15.9 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate            |                          |   |      |   |
| 2012 awards                        | 0.4                      | % | *    |   |
| 2011 awards                        | 0.2                      | % | 0.3  | % |

<sup>1</sup> The portion of performance shares classified as share-based liability awards are revalued at each reporting period.

\*Not applicable.

The risk-free interest rate is based on the daily spot rate on the grant or valuation date on U.S. Treasury zero coupon issue or STRIPS with terms nearest to the remaining term of the performance shares and is used as proxy for the expected return for the specified group of peer companies. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of EIX's (and the specified group of peer companies') common stock for the most recent 36 months. Historical volatility for each company in the specified group is obtained from a financial data services provider.

A summary of the status of EIX nonvested performance shares granted to EME employees is as follows:

|                                | Equity Awards |  | Liability Awards |                             |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                | Shares        | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value | Shares           | Weighted-Average Fair Value |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2012 | 41,229        | \$ 39.14                               | 41,161           | \$ 46.48                    |
| Granted                        | —             | —                                      | —                | —                           |
| Forfeited                      | (538 )        | 40.39                                  | (535 )           |                             |
| Vested <sup>1</sup>            | (24,293 )     | 31.04                                  | (24,271 )        |                             |
| Transferred to affiliates      | (460 )        | 39.67                                  | (460 )           |                             |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2013 | 15,938        | 51.41                                  | 15,895           | 57.74                       |

<sup>1</sup> Includes performance shares issued in 2011 that will be paid in 2014 as the performance targets were met.

The current portion of nonvested performance shares classified as liability awards is reflected in accrued liabilities and the long-term portion is reflected in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

At December 31, 2013, there was less than \$1 million (based on the December 31, 2012 fair value of performance shares classified as equity awards) of total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance shares. That cost is expected to be fully recognized in 2014.

### Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock units were awarded to EME executives in March 2011 and March 2012 and vest and become payable in January 2014 and 2015, respectively. Upon completion of the NRG Sale and emergence from bankruptcy, all of EME's employees will be terminated. The terminated employees will generally receive one additional year of vesting resulting in the complete vesting of awards issued under this program.

Each restricted stock unit awarded is a contractual right to receive one share of EIX common stock, if vesting requirements are satisfied. Restricted stock units awarded contain dividend equivalent reinvestment rights. An additional number of restricted stock units will be credited based on dividends on EIX common stock for which the ex-dividend date falls within the performance period. The vesting of EIX's restricted stock units is dependent upon continuous service through the end of the three-calendar-year-plus-two-days vesting period. Vesting is subject to a pro-rated adjustment for employees who are terminated under certain circumstances or retire. Cash awards are substituted to the extent necessary to pay tax withholding or any government levies.

The following is a summary of the status of EIX nonvested restricted stock units granted to EME employees:

|                                | Restricted<br>Stock Units | Weighted-Average<br>Grant-Date<br>Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2012 | 111,220                   | \$38.36                                      |
| Granted                        | —                         | —  |
| Forfeited                      | (1,065                    | ) 40.69                                      |
| Paid Out                       | (37,221                   | ) 33.89                                      |
| Affiliate transfers—net        | (901                      | ) 40.46                                      |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2013 | 72,033                    | 40.61  |

The fair value for each restricted stock unit awarded is determined as the closing price of EIX common stock on the grant date.

Compensation expense related to these shares, which is based on the grant-date fair value, is recognized ratably over the requisite service period, except for awards whose holders become eligible for retirement vesting during the service period, in which case recognition is accelerated into the year the holders become eligible for retirement vesting. At December 31, 2013, there was \$0.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock units, net of expected forfeitures, which is expected to be fully recognized in 2014.

## Supplemental Data on Stock-Based Compensation

| (in millions, except per award amounts)                        | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|--|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Stock-based compensation expense <sup>1</sup>                  |                          |         |         |
| Stock options  | \$2                      | \$3     | \$2     |
| Performance shares   | —                        | 1       | 1       |
| Restricted stock units   | 1                        | 1       | 2       |
| Other  | —                        | 2       | 2       |
| Total stock-based compensation expense                         | \$3                      | \$7     | \$7     |
| Income tax benefits related to stock compensation expense      | \$1                      | \$3     | \$3     |
| Excess tax benefits <sup>2</sup>                               | 3                        | 5       | 2       |
| Stock options  |                          |         |         |
| Weighted average grant date fair value per option granted      | \$—                      | \$5.22  | \$5.61  |
| Fair value of options vested                                   | 3                        | 3       | 3       |
| Cash used to purchase shares to settle options                 | 45                       | 44      | 18      |
| Cash from participants to exercise stock options               | 32                       | 26      | 12      |
| Value of options exercised                                     | 13                       | 18      | 6       |
| Tax benefits from options exercised                            | 5                        | 6       | 2       |
| Performance shares <sup>3</sup> classified as equity awards    |                          |         |         |
| Weighted average grant date fair value per share granted       | \$—                      | \$51.41 | \$31.14 |
| Fair value of shares vested                                    | 0.8                      | 1.4     | 0.8     |
| Value of shares settled  | —                        | 0.7     | —       |
| Tax benefits realized from settlement of awards                | —                        | 0.3     | —       |
| Performance shares <sup>3</sup> classified as liability awards |                          |         |         |
| Value of shares settled  | \$—                      | \$0.7   | \$—     |
| Tax benefits realized from settlement of awards                | —                        | 0.3     | —       |
| Restricted stock units   |                          |         |         |
| Weighted average grant date fair value per unit granted        | \$—                      | \$43.16 | \$38.03 |
| Value of shares settled  | 1.3                      | 1       | 2       |
| Tax benefits realized from settlement of awards                | 0.5                      | —       | 1       |

<sup>1</sup> Reflected in administration and general on the consolidated statements of operations.

<sup>2</sup> Reflected in excess tax benefits related to stock-based awards in cash flows from financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows.

<sup>3</sup> The performance shares awarded in 2011 will be paid during 2014 as performance targets were met.

## Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies (EME and Midwest Generation, except as noted)

## Lease Commitments

## EME

EME leases office space, property and equipment under lease agreements that expire in various years through 2039. Amounts classified as LSTC, related to Midwest Generation's rejected railcars and river barge contracts, are discussed below.



Future minimum payments for operating leases at December 31, 2013 for EME are:

| Years Ending December 31,<br>(in millions) | Powerton and<br>Joliet<br>Stations <sup>1</sup> | Other Operating<br>Leases | LSTC |
|--|---|---------------------------|------|
| 2014                                       | \$272   | \$ 15                     | \$32 |
| 2015                                       | 67  | 12                        | —    |
| 2016                                       | 26  | 10                        | —    |
| 2017                                       | 1   | 10                        | —    |
| 2018                                       | 1   | 8                         | —    |
| Thereafter                                 | 239   | 115                       | —    |
| Total future commitments                   | \$606   | \$170                     | \$32 |

<sup>1</sup> Reflects principal and interest payments related to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback.

The minimum commitments do not include contingent rentals with respect to the wind projects which may be paid under certain leases on the basis of a percentage of sales calculation if this is in excess of the stipulated minimum amount.

Operating lease expense amounted to \$102 million, \$108 million and \$110 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation has operating leases in place primarily for railcars and operating equipment with termination dates in various years through 2017. In connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation rejected executory contracts related to 2,282 railcars and 11 river barges. Rejected contracts are recorded as LSTC. In addition, the principal payments associated with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback are also recorded in LSTC. For further discussion of LSTC, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

Future minimum operating lease payments at December 31, 2013 for Midwest Generation are:

| Years Ending December 31,<br>(in millions) | Operating Leases | LSTC  |
|--|------------------|-------|
| 2014                                       | \$2              | \$283 |
| 2015                                       | 1                | 59    |
| 2016                                       | 1                | 23    |
| 2017                                       | —                | —     |
| 2018                                       | —                | —     |
| Thereafter                                 | —                | 101   |
| Total future commitments                   | \$4              | \$466 |

Operating lease expense amounted to \$7 million, \$14 million and \$16 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback

Covenants in the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback documents include restrictions on the ability of EME and Midwest Generation to, among other things, incur debt, create liens on its property, merge or consolidate, sell assets, make investments, engage in transactions with affiliates, make distributions, make capital expenditures, enter into agreements restricting its ability to make distributions, engage in other lines of business, enter into swap agreements, or engage in transactions for any speculative purpose.

The filing of the Chapter 11 Cases constitutes an event of default under the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and under instruments governing the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds issued to finance these leases. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation did not make any of the three scheduled lease payments of \$76 million due on January 2, 2013, July 2, 2013 and January 2, 2014. Prior to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME and Midwest Generation had entered into a forbearance agreement with the owner-lessors, the owner-lessors' equity owners, and the Certificate Holders under which Midwest Generation paid the ratable portion of the rent due under the leases attributable to the period between December 17, 2012 and January 2, 2013 of \$7 million. After the expiration of the forbearance agreement, beginning in July 2013, EME and Midwest Generation agreed, among other things, to make monthly rental payments of \$3.75 million. In



addition, the Bankruptcy Court approved the extension of the statutory deadline by which the Debtor Entities must assume or reject the Powerton and Joliet leases until March 31, 2014. Upon consummation of the NRG Sale, Midwest Generation will assume the Powerton and Joliet leases and EME will retain all liabilities with respect to the payment of the cure amount as set forth in the Asset Purchase Agreement (the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount). The cure amount would have been approximately \$147 million at December 31, 2013. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale.

Each lease sets forth a termination value payable upon certain circumstances, which generally declines over time. A default under the terms of the Powerton and Joliet leases could result in foreclosure and a loss by Midwest Generation of its lease interest in the plant. In addition, under certain circumstances, a default would trigger obligations under EME's guarantee of such leases. These events could have an adverse effect on EME's and Midwest Generation's results of operations and financial position. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the remaining lessor debt held by pass-through trustees of the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds was \$345 million with a fixed interest rate of 8.56%. In 2013, Midwest Generation made a total of \$30 million in principal and interest payments to the trustees thereby reducing its obligations under the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. In December 2013, \$10 million was distributed to the holders of the Senior Lease Obligation Bonds as a partial interest payment and the remainder of the funds remained with the trustees.

#### Capital Lease Commitments

At December 31, 2012, EME and Midwest Generation had capital leased assets reflected in property, plant and equipment on their consolidated balance sheets of \$4 million and accumulated amortization of \$1 million. At December 31, 2012, EME had \$1 million and \$2 million included in accrued liabilities and other and other long-term liabilities, respectively, and Midwest Generation had \$1 million and \$2 million included in current portion of lease financings and benefit plans and other long-term liabilities, respectively, on their consolidated balance sheets representing the present value of the minimum lease payments due under these leases. During 2013, the leases were renegotiated and no longer qualify as capital leases.

#### Other Commitments

Certain other minimum commitments are estimated as follows:

| (in millions)                               | 2014  | 2015  | 2016  | 2017  | 2018  |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Midwest Generation                          |       |       |       |       |       |
| Fuel supply contracts                       | \$194 | \$—   | \$—   | \$—   | \$—   |
| Coal transportation agreements <sup>1</sup> | 259   | 257   | 257   | 213   | 212   |
| Capital expenditures                        | 9     | —     | —     | —     | —     |
| Other contractual obligations               | 15    | —     | —     | —     | —     |
| Other EME subsidiaries                      |       |       |       |       |       |
| Gas transportation agreements               | 7     | 7     | 8     | 7     | 3     |
| Other contractual obligations               | 33    | 30    | 20    | 7     | 2     |
|   | \$517 | \$294 | \$285 | \$227 | \$217 |

<sup>1</sup> Years 2014 through 2018 reflect a reduction in minimum volumes for the voluntarily cessation of coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations.

#### Fuel Supply Contracts

At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had commitments to purchase coal from a third-party supplier at fixed prices, subject to adjustment clauses. In 2013, Midwest Generation did not take delivery of 9.8 million tons of coal under a long-term coal supply agreement. In order to meet coal demand, Midwest Generation entered into short-term requirements contracts more reflective of market prices with the same counterparty. Negotiations regarding the settlement of the long-term coal supply agreement have yet to occur. Midwest Generation has the right to reject this fuel supply contract in connection with Chapter 11 Cases. Midwest Generation continues to enter into short-term requirements contracts with the counterparty to meet its coal demand.

#### Coal Transportation Agreements

At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had contractual agreements for the transportation of coal. The commitments under these contracts are based on either actual coal purchases derived from committed coal volumes

set forth in fuel supply

130

---

contracts or minimum quantities as set forth in the transportation agreements as adjusted for provisions that mitigate the financial exposure of Midwest Generation related to a plant closure under certain circumstances as specified in the agreements. The commitment for the transportation of coal at December 31, 2013 was estimated to aggregate \$1.8 billion.

#### Capital Commitments

At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had firm commitments for capital expenditures related to both environmental and non-environmental improvements.

#### Other Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2013, Midwest Generation had contractual commitments for the purchase of materials used in the operation of environmental controls equipment and EME's other subsidiaries were party to operations and maintenance agreements. The commitments for EME's other subsidiaries are estimated to aggregate \$107 million.

#### Gas Transportation Agreements (EME only)

At December 31, 2013, EME had contractual commitments to purchase and re-sell natural gas transportation. Under the terms of these agreements, which expire in April 2018, EME will purchase the gas transportation for \$32 million and re-sell it for \$39 million. Earnings under these agreements will be earned ratably over the course of the agreements.

#### Employees

At December 31, 2013, EME employed 1,047 people, including 586 employees of Midwest Generation. At December 31, 2013, approximately 42% and 75% of the employees of EME and Midwest Generation, respectively, were covered by a collective bargaining agreement governing wages, certain benefits and working conditions. This collective bargaining agreement expires on December 31, 2014. Midwest Generation also has a separate collective bargaining agreement governing retirement, health care, disability and insurance benefits that expires on March 31, 2015.

#### Interconnection Agreement (Midwest Generation only)

Midwest Generation has entered into interconnection agreements with Commonwealth Edison to provide interconnection services necessary to connect the Midwest Generation plants with its transmission systems. Unless terminated earlier in accordance with their terms, the interconnection agreements will terminate on a date mutually agreed to by both parties. Midwest Generation is required to compensate Commonwealth Edison for all reasonable costs associated with any modifications, additions or replacements made to the interconnection facilities or transmission systems in connection with any modification, addition or upgrade to the Midwest Generation plants.

#### Guarantees and Indemnities

EME and certain of its subsidiaries have various financial and performance guarantees and indemnity agreements which are issued in the normal course of business. The contracts discussed below included performance guarantees.

#### Environmental Indemnities Related to the Midwest Generation Plants

In connection with the acquisition of the Midwest Generation plants, EME and Midwest Generation agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Edison with respect to specified environmental liabilities before and after December 15, 1999, the date of sale. The indemnification obligations are reduced by any insurance proceeds and tax benefits related to such indemnified claims and are subject to a requirement that Commonwealth Edison takes all reasonable steps to mitigate losses related to any such indemnification claim. Also, in connection with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback, EME agreed to indemnify the owner-lessors for specified environmental liabilities. These indemnities are not limited in term or amount. Due to the nature of the obligations under these indemnities, a maximum potential liability cannot be determined. Commonwealth Edison has advised EME that Commonwealth Edison believes it is entitled to indemnification for all liabilities, costs, and expenses that it may be required to bear as a result of the litigation discussed below under "—Contingencies—Midwest Generation New Source Review and Other Litigation," and one of the Powerton-Joliet owner-lessors has made a similar request for indemnification. Except as discussed below, EME and Midwest Generation have not recorded a liability related to these environmental indemnities.

Midwest Generation entered into a supplemental agreement with Commonwealth Edison and Exelon Generation Company LLC on February 20, 2003 to resolve a dispute regarding interpretation of Midwest Generation's reimbursement obligation for asbestos claims under the environmental indemnities set forth in the Asset Sale Agreement. Under this supplemental agreement, Midwest Generation agreed to reimburse Commonwealth Edison and

Exelon Generation for 50% of specific asbestos claims pending as of February 2003 and related expenses less recovery of insurance costs, and agreed to a

131

---

sharing arrangement for liabilities and expenses associated with future asbestos-related claims as specified in the agreement. The obligations under this agreement are not subject to a maximum liability. The supplemental agreement had an initial five-year term with an automatic renewal provision for subsequent one-year terms (subject to the right of either party to terminate). There were approximately 290 cases for which Midwest Generation was potentially liable that had not been settled and dismissed at December 31, 2013. Midwest Generation had \$53 million recorded in LSTC at December 31, 2013 and 2012 related to this contractual indemnity. For discussion of LSTC, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

#### Indemnities Related to the Homer City Plant (EME only)

In connection with the 1999 acquisition of the Homer City plant from NYSEG and Penelec (sellers), Homer City agreed to indemnify the sellers with respect to specified environmental liabilities before and after the date of sale. EME guaranteed this indemnity obligation of Homer City. In connection with Homer City's divestiture of assets to an affiliate of GECC on December 14, 2012, EME re-affirmed its guaranty to NYSEG and Penelec. Also in connection with the recent asset transfer to the GECC affiliate, all operative documents with respect to Homer City's sale leaseback (including all EME indemnities in favor of the former owner-lessors) were terminated. In connection with the transfer, the GECC affiliate did not assume (and Homer City retained) liabilities for monetary fines and penalties for violations of environmental laws or environmental permits prior to the closing date. EME has not recorded a liability related to this indemnity. For discussion of the New Source Review lawsuit filed against Homer City, see "—Contingencies—Homer City New Source Review and Other Litigation."

#### Indemnities Provided under Asset Sale and Sale Leaseback Agreements

The asset sale agreements for the sale of EME's international assets contain indemnities from EME to the purchasers, including indemnification for taxes imposed with respect to operations of the assets prior to the sale and for pre-closing environmental liabilities. Not all indemnities under the asset sale agreements have specific expiration dates. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME had \$20 million recorded in LSTC related to these matters. For discussion of LSTC, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities.

In connection with the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and, previously, a sale leaseback transaction related to the Collins Station in Illinois, EME, Midwest Generation and another wholly owned subsidiary of EME entered into tax indemnity agreements. Under certain of these tax indemnity agreements, Midwest Generation, as the lessee in the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback agreed to indemnify the respective owner-lessors for specified adverse tax consequences that could result from certain situations set forth in each tax indemnity agreement, including specified defaults under the respective leases. Although the Collins Station lease terminated in April 2004, Midwest Generation's indemnities in favor of its former lease equity investors are still in effect. EME provided similar indemnities in the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback. The potential indemnity obligations under these tax indemnity agreements could be significant. Due to the nature of these potential obligations, EME and Midwest Generation cannot determine a range of estimated obligations which would be triggered by a valid claim from the owner-lessors. EME and Midwest Generation have not recorded a liability for these matters.

#### Other Indemnities

EME and Midwest Generation provide other indemnifications through contracts entered into in the normal course of business. These include, among other things, indemnities for specified environmental liabilities and for income taxes with respect to assets sold. EME's and Midwest Generation's obligations under these agreements may or may not be limited in terms of time and/or amount, and in some instances EME and Midwest Generation may have recourse against third parties. EME and Midwest Generation cannot determine a range of estimates and have not recorded a liability related to these indemnities.

#### Contingencies

In addition to the matters disclosed in these notes, EME and Midwest Generation are involved in other legal, tax and regulatory proceedings before various courts and governmental agencies regarding matters arising in the ordinary course of business. EME and Midwest Generation believe the outcome of these other proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not materially affect their results of operations or liquidity.

#### Midwest Generation New Source Review and Other Litigation

In August 2009, the US EPA and the State of Illinois filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois alleging that Midwest Generation or Commonwealth Edison performed repair or replacement projects at six Illinois coal-fired electric generating stations in violation of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements and of the New Source Performance Standards of the Clean Air Act (CAA), including alleged requirements to obtain a construction permit and to install controls sufficient to meet best available control technology (BACT) emission



rates. The US EPA also alleged that Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison violated certain operating permit requirements under Title V of the CAA. Finally, the US EPA alleged violations of certain opacity and particulate matter standards at the Midwest Generation plants. In addition to seeking penalties ranging from \$25,000 to \$37,500 per violation, per day, the complaint called for an injunction ordering Midwest Generation to install controls sufficient to meet BACT emission rates at all units subject to the complaint and other remedies. The remedies sought by the plaintiffs in the lawsuit could go well beyond the requirements of the Combined Pollutant Standard (CPS). Several Chicago-based environmental action groups intervened in the case.

Nine of the ten PSD claims raised in the complaint have been dismissed, along with claims related to alleged violations of Title V of the CAA, to the extent based on the dismissed PSD claims, and all claims asserted against Commonwealth Edison and EME. The dismissals were affirmed by the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals in July 2013. The court denied a motion to dismiss a claim by the Chicago-based environmental action groups for civil penalties in the remaining PSD claim, but noted that the plaintiffs will be required to convince the court that the statute of limitations should be equitably tolled. The court did not address other counts in the complaint that allege violations of opacity and particulate matter limitations under the Illinois State Implementation Plan and Title V of the CAA. In February 2012, certain of the environmental action groups that had intervened in the case entered into an agreement with Midwest Generation to dismiss without prejudice all of their opacity claims as to all defendants. The agreed upon motion to dismiss was approved by the court on March 26, 2012.

In January 2012, two complaints were filed against Midwest Generation in Illinois state court by residents living near the Crawford and Fisk Stations on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, each asserting claims of nuisance, negligence, trespass, and strict liability. The plaintiffs seek to have their suits certified as a class action and request injunctive relief, as well as compensatory and punitive damages. The complaints are similar to two complaints previously filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, which were dismissed in October 2011 for lack of federal jurisdiction. Midwest Generation's motions to dismiss the cases were denied in August 2012, following which the plaintiffs filed amended complaints alleging substantially similar claims and requesting similar relief. Midwest Generation has filed motions to dismiss the amended complaints, and these complaints are stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases.

In October 2012, Midwest Generation and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency entered into Compliance Commitment Agreements outlining specified environmental remediation measures and groundwater monitoring activities to be undertaken at its Powerton, Joliet, Crawford, Will County and Waukegan generating stations. Midwest Generation has submitted certification to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency that all compliance measures have been successfully completed. Also in October 2012, several environmental groups filed a complaint before the Illinois Pollution Control Board against Midwest Generation, alleging violations of the Illinois groundwater standards through the operation of coal ash disposal ponds at its Powerton, Joliet, Waukegan and Will County generating stations. The complaint requests the imposition of civil penalties, injunctive relief and remediation. The matter was stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, although that stay was lifted in part in April 2013 so that the proceedings could continue for the sole purpose of adjudicating Midwest Generation's motion to dismiss the complaint. In October 2013, the Pollution Control Board denied Midwest Generation's motion to dismiss the complaint, and in December 2013 the Bankruptcy Court granted the environmental groups' motion to lift the stay as to the remainder of the case. In December 2012, the Sierra Club filed a complaint before the Illinois Pollution Control Board against Midwest Generation, alleging violations of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions standards at its Powerton, Joliet, Waukegan and Will County generating stations. The complaint is based on alleged violations of the US EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) regulations for 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub>, which have not yet been incorporated into any specific state implementation plan in Illinois. The complaint requests the imposition of civil penalties, injunctive relief, and the imposition of further reductions on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions to offset past emissions. The complaint was stayed as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases. In November 2013, the Bankruptcy Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to lift the stay.

Adverse decisions in these cases could involve penalties, remedial actions and damages that could have a material impact on the financial condition and results of operations of Midwest Generation and EME. EME cannot predict the outcome of these matters or estimate the impact on the Midwest Generation plants, or its and Midwest Generation's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. EME and Midwest Generation have not recorded a liability for

these matters.

Homer City New Source Review and Other Litigation (EME only)

In January 2011, the US EPA filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against Homer City, the sale leaseback owner participants of the Homer City plant, and two prior owners of the Homer City plant. The complaint alleged violations of the PSD and Title V provisions of the CAA, as a result of projects in the 1990s performed by prior owners without PSD permits and the subsequent failure to incorporate emissions limitations that meet BACT into the station's Title V operating permit. In addition to seeking penalties ranging from \$32,500 to \$37,500 per

133

---

violation, per day, the complaint called for an injunction ordering Homer City to install controls sufficient to meet BACT emission rates at all units subject to the complaint and for other remedies. The PADEP, the State of New York, and the State of New Jersey intervened in the lawsuit. In October 2011, all of the claims in the US EPA's lawsuit were dismissed with prejudice. The dismissal was affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in August 2013, and in December 2013 the plaintiffs' request for rehearing was denied.

Adverse decisions in this case could involve penalties, remedial actions and damages. EME cannot predict the outcome of these matters or estimate the impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows. EME has not recorded a liability for these matters.

#### Environmental Remediation

Legislative and regulatory activities by federal, state, and local authorities in the United States relating to energy and the environment impose numerous restrictions and requirements with respect to the operation of EME's existing facilities, including the Midwest Generation plants, and affect the timing, cost, location, design, construction, and operation of new facilities by EME's subsidiaries, as well as the cost of mitigating the environmental impacts of past operations.

With respect to potential liabilities arising under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) or similar laws for the investigation and remediation of contaminated property, EME and Midwest Generation accrue a liability to the extent the costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated.

Midwest Generation had accrued a probable amount of approximately \$8 million at December 31, 2013 for estimated environmental investigation and remediation costs for two stations at the Midwest Generation plants. This estimate is based upon the number of sites, the scope of work and the estimated costs for investigation and/or remediation where such expenditures could be reasonably estimated. EME and Midwest Generation also have identified sites for which a reasonable estimate cannot be made. Future estimated costs may vary based on changes in regulations or requirements of federal, state or local governmental agencies, changes in technology, and actual costs of disposal. In addition, future remediation costs will be affected by the nature and extent of contamination discovered at the sites that require remediation. Given the prior history of the operations at its facilities, EME and Midwest Generation cannot be certain that the existence or extent of all contamination at its sites has been fully identified.

#### Chevron Adversary Proceeding (EME only)

In December 2012, Chevron Kern River Company and Chevron Sycamore Cogeneration Company filed a complaint against Southern Sierra Energy Company and Western Sierra Energy in the Chapter 11 Cases. The plaintiffs and defendants are partners in the Kern River and Sycamore projects. The complaint alleged that the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases constituted a default under the partnership agreements related to those projects, entitling the defendants to expel the plaintiffs from the partnerships and pay for their interests at a price based on the net book value of the partnerships, and sought a declaratory judgment, injunctive relief, and relief from the automatic stay in support of those alleged remedies. In January 2013, the Bankruptcy Court denied the plaintiffs' request for relief from the automatic stay and a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal, and the defendants moved to stay proceedings until the plaintiffs' appeal was decided. In September 2013, the U.S. District Court issued an order denying the plaintiffs' request for leave to appeal the denial of the preliminary injunction, and permitting their appeal from denial of the motion for relief from the automatic stay.

In November 2013, Chevron Kern River Company and Chevron Sycamore Cogeneration Company filed an amended complaint that reiterated the allegations and requests of the initial complaint and added (i) allegations regarding the NRG sale and (ii) a request for damages for breach based on a reduction in value in their interests in the Kern River and Sycamore partnerships. In January 2014, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed the amended complaint with prejudice.

#### Insurance

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, EME had receivables of \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively. During 2013 and 2011, \$1 million and \$5 million, respectively, related to business interruption insurance coverage was recorded and has been reflected in other income, net on EME's consolidated statements of operations, of which \$2 million has been reflected in interest and other income on Midwest Generation's consolidated statements of operations. EME received \$6 million and \$2 million during 2013 and 2012, respectively, of which \$2 million in 2012 was received by Midwest Generation, in cash payments related to insurance claims.



#### Note 10. Environmental Developments (EME, Midwest Generation)

##### Midwest Generation Environmental Compliance Plans and Costs

On April 4, 2013, Midwest Generation was granted a variance, subject to various conditions, by the Illinois Pollution Control Board from the CPS system-wide annual SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate in 2015 and 2016 and an extension of the Waukegan Unit 8 unit specific retrofit requirements from December 31, 2014 until May 31, 2015. Among the conditions of the variance, the Illinois Pollution Control Board accelerated the unit specific retrofit requirements of Powerton Unit 6 to December 31, 2014 and required the retrofitting of Waukegan Unit 7 by December 31, 2014. Midwest Generation has accepted the variance.

As a result of the variance, it is more likely that Midwest Generation will install environmental controls at Waukegan Unit 7, which had been impaired from an accounting perspective during the fourth quarter of 2011. If Midwest Generation ultimately decides to install environmental controls at Waukegan Unit 7, less of Midwest Generation's available liquidity will be available to install environmental controls at other units. Based on work to date through December 31, 2013, the estimated costs of retrofitting the Midwest Generation plants for full CPS compliance, as well as compliance with the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS), are as follows:

| Unit       | Remaining Cost<br>(in millions) | Unit          | Remaining Cost<br>(in millions) |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Joliet 6   | \$ 75                           | Waukegan 7    | \$ 55                           |
| Joliet 7   | 114                             | Waukegan 8    | 64                              |
| Joliet 8   | 129                             | Will County 3 | 104                             |
| Powerton 5 | 133                             | Will County 4 | 93                              |
| Powerton 6 | 66                              |               |                                 |

##### Greenhouse Gas Regulation

There have been a number of federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Any climate change regulation or other legal obligation that would require substantial reductions in GHG emissions or that would impose additional costs or charges for the GHG emissions could significantly increase the cost of generating electricity from fossil fuels, and especially from coal-fired plants, which could adversely affect EME's and Midwest Generation's businesses.

In September 2013, the US EPA proposed new regulations governing carbon dioxide emissions from new electric generating stations. These regulations replace its original proposal. The US EPA intends to issue proposed GHG emission standards for reconstructed and existing electric generating stations in June 2014 and to promulgate such standards in June 2015. States would be required to submit their implementation plans responding to such guidelines to the US EPA one year after the regulations are promulgated.

##### Cross-State Air Pollution Rule

In August 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the US EPA's Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) and directed the US EPA to continue administering the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) pending the promulgation of a valid replacement. The U.S. Supreme Court agreed to review the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit's August 2012 decision and heard oral arguments on the matter in December 2013.

##### Hazardous Air Pollutant Regulations

In December 2011, the US EPA announced the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) rule, limiting emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) from coal- and oil-fired electrical generating units. The rule became effective on April 16, 2012 with a compliance deadline of April 16, 2015 for existing units. In November 2012, the US EPA issued proposed revisions to aspects of the regulation relating to new units. A number of parties have filed notices of appeal challenging the rule, although the only appeals that are currently moving forward relate to the standards applicable to existing units. EME and Midwest Generation do not expect that these standards will require material changes to the approach for compliance with state and federal environmental regulations already contemplated for CPS compliance.

##### Water Quality

Regulations under the federal Clean Water Act govern critical operating parameters at generating facilities, such as the temperature of effluent discharges and the location, design, and construction of cooling water intake structures at

generating

135

---

facilities. In March 2011, the US EPA proposed standards under the federal Clean Water Act that would affect cooling water intake structures at generating facilities. The standards are intended to protect aquatic organisms by reducing capture in screens attached to cooling water intake structures (impingement) and in the water volume brought into the facilities (entrainment). The regulations are expected to be finalized in 2014. The required measures to comply with the proposed standards regarding entrainment are subject to the discretion of the permitting authority, and EME is unable at this time to assess potential costs of compliance, which could be significant for the Midwest Generation plants.

In June 2013, the US EPA proposed changes to the Steam Electric Guideline Regulation which sets discharge limits for various operations which discharge to waters of the United States. EME is reviewing the proposed rule and intends to provide comments. The rule is scheduled for issuance by May 2014.

#### Coal Combustion Wastes

US EPA regulations currently classify coal ash and other coal combustion residuals as solid wastes that are exempt from hazardous waste requirements. This classification enables beneficial uses of coal combustion residuals, such as for cement production and fill materials. Midwest Generation currently provides a portion of its coal combustion residuals for beneficial uses. In June 2010, the US EPA published proposed regulations relating to coal combustion residuals that could result in more stringent requirements for the management and disposal of such materials. Two different proposed approaches are under consideration.

The first approach, under which the US EPA would list these residuals as special wastes subject to regulation as hazardous wastes, could require EME and Midwest Generation to incur additional capital and operating costs. The second approach, under which the US EPA would regulate these residuals as nonhazardous wastes, would establish minimum technical standards for units that are used for the disposal of coal combustion residuals, but would allow procedural and enforcement mechanisms (such as permit requirements) to be exclusively a matter of state law. Many of the proposed technical standards are similar under both proposed options (for example, surface impoundments may need to be retrofitted, depending on which standard is finally adopted), but the second approach is not expected to require the retrofitting of landfills used for the disposal of coal combustion residuals.

#### Note 11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (EME, Midwest Generation)

##### EME

EME's AOCI, net of tax and including discontinued operations, consisted of:

| (in millions)                 | Unrealized Gains<br>and Losses on<br>Cash Flow<br>Hedges | Unrecognized<br>Losses and Prior<br>Service<br>Adjustments, Net <sup>1</sup> | Valuation<br>Allowance on<br>Deferred Tax<br>Asset | AOCI    |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011  | \$(34 )  | \$(60 )  | \$—  | \$(94 ) |
| OCI before reclassifications  | (17 )  | —  | (6 )   | (23 )   |
| Amount reclassified from AOCI | (25 )  | 4  | —  | (21 )   |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | (76 )  | (56 )  | (6 )   | (138 )  |
| OCI before reclassifications  | 34   | 33   | —  | 67      |
| Amount reclassified from AOCI | 5  | 5  | —  | 10      |
| Balance at December 31, 2013  | \$(37 )  | \$(18 )  | \$(6 )   | \$(61 ) |

<sup>1</sup> For further detail, see Note 8—Compensation and Benefit Plans.

<sup>2</sup> EME and Midwest Generation do not expect to reclassify unrealized losses on cash flow hedges into earnings in the next 12 months. For further explanation, see "—Unrealized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges."

The after-tax amounts recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2013 and 2012 for commodity contracts were losses of none and \$1 million, respectively, and for interest rate contracts was losses of \$37 million and \$75 million, respectively. EME's significant items reclassified out of AOCI and the effect on the statement of operations consisted of:

| (in millions)                                     | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2013 | Affected Line Item in the Statement of<br>Operations           |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedges   |                                    |  |
| Electricity commodity hedges                      | \$(3)                              | ) Operating revenues   |
| Interest rate contracts                           | (5)                                | ) Interest expense   |
| Tax benefit                                       | 3                                  | Benefit for income taxes                                       |
| Total, net  | \$(5)                              | ) Net loss   |
| Amortization of retirement benefit items          |                                    |  |
| Unamortized prior service cost on terminated plan | \$(3)                              | ) Plant operations and administrative and general <sup>1</sup> |
| Actuarial losses                                  | (5)                                | ) Plant operations and administrative and general <sup>1</sup> |
| Tax benefit                                       | 3                                  | Provision for income taxes                                     |
| Total, net  | \$(5)                              | ) Net loss   |

<sup>1</sup> For the year ended December 31, 2013, \$5 million and \$3 million were reclassified from AOCI to plant operations, and administrative and general expenses, respectively

Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation's AOCI, net of tax, consisted of:

| (in millions)                 | Unrealized Gains<br>and Losses on<br>Cash Flow<br>Hedges | Unrecognized<br>Losses and Prior<br>Service<br>Adjustments, Net <sup>1</sup> | Valuation<br>Allowance on<br>Deferred Tax<br>Asset | AOCI   |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011  | \$21   | \$(38)   | \$—  | \$(17) |
| OCI before reclassifications  | 4  | (1)  | (12)   | (9)    |
| Amount reclassified from AOCI | (26)   | 2  | —  | (24)   |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | (1)  | \$(37)   | (12)   | \$(50) |
| OCI before reclassifications  | (1)  | 25   | —  | 24     |
| Amount reclassified from AOCI | 2  | 3  | —  | 5      |
| Balance at December 31, 2013  | \$—  | \$(9)  | \$(12)   | \$(21) |

<sup>1</sup> For further detail, see Note 8—Compensation and Benefit Plans.

Midwest Generation's significant items reclassified out of AOCI and the effect on the statement of operations consisted of:

| (in millions)                                   | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2013 | Affected Line Item in the Statement of<br>Operations |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedges |                                    |  |
| Electricity commodity hedges                    | \$(4)                              | ) Operating revenues                                 |
| Tax benefit                                     | 2                                  | Benefit for income taxes                             |
| Total, net                                      | \$(2)                              | ) Net loss   |
| Amortization of retirement benefit items        |                                    |  |
| Prior services costs                            | \$(1)                              | ) Plant operations                                   |
| Actuarial losses                                | (3)                                | ) Plant operations                                   |
| Tax benefit                                     | 1                                  | Provision for income taxes                           |
| Total, net                                      | \$(3)                              | ) Net loss   |





## Unrealized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges (EME, Midwest Generation)

At December 31, 2013, unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of tax, consisted of interest rate swap contracts that qualify for hedge accounting. These losses arise because current forecasts of future interest rates are lower than the contract rates. No unrealized losses on commodity cash flow hedges are expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months as no commodity cash flow hedges are designated beyond December 31, 2013.

## Note 12. Supplemental Cash Flows Information (EME, Midwest Generation)

## EME

Supplemental cash flows information for EME, including discontinued operations, consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                                     | Years Ended December 31, |       |       |
|---|--------------------------|-------|-------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012  | 2011  |
| Cash paid (received)                              |                          |       |       |
| Interest (net of amount capitalized) <sup>1</sup> | \$62                     | \$168 | \$290 |
| Income taxes                                      | (17)                     | 59    | (216) |
| Cash payments under plant operating leases        | 30                       | 199   | 311   |
| Details of assets acquired                        |                          |       |       |
| Fair value of assets acquired                     | \$—                      | \$—   | \$1   |
| Liabilities assumed                               | —                        | —     | —     |
| Net assets acquired                               | \$—                      | \$—   | \$1   |
| Non-cash contribution from EIX <sup>2</sup>       | \$25                     | \$—   | \$—   |
| Non-cash distribution to EIX <sup>2</sup>         | \$—                      | \$222 | \$—   |
| Non-cash activities from vendor financing         | \$9                      | \$11  | \$21  |

Interest paid by EME for December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$69 million, \$199 million and \$317 million, <sup>1</sup> respectively. Interest capitalized by EME for December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$7 million, \$31 million and \$27 million, respectively.

During 2013, EME received a non-cash contribution from EIX related to the tax-allocation agreements. During <sup>2</sup> 2012, EME recorded a non-cash distribution to EIX related to the tax-allocation agreements. See Note 7—Income Taxes—EME—Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities.

EME's accrued capital expenditures at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$9 million, \$31 million and \$29 million, respectively. Accrued capital expenditures will be included as an investing activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows in the period paid.

## Midwest Generation

Supplemental cash flows information for Midwest Generation consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                                | Years Ended December 31, |       |      |
|--|--------------------------|-------|------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012  | 2011 |
| Cash paid                                    |                          |       |      |
| Interest                                     | \$24                     | \$36  | \$43 |
| Income taxes                                 | —                        | —     | 8    |
| Non-cash distribution to parent <sup>1</sup> | \$—                      | \$106 | \$—  |

<sup>1</sup> During 2012, Midwest Generation recorded a non-cash distribution to its parent related to the tax-allocation agreements. See Note 7—Income Taxes—Midwest Generation—Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities.

Midwest Generation's accrued capital expenditures at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$9 million, \$9 million and \$4 million, respectively. Accrued capital expenditures will be included as an investing activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows in the period paid.

## Note 13. Asset Impairments and Other Charges (EME, Midwest Generation)

## EME

Asset impairments and other charges for EME consisted of the following:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31, |      |       |
|--|--------------------------|------|-------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012 | 2011  |
| Midwest Generation plants impairments                | \$466                    | \$—  | \$640 |
| Ambit impairment                                     | —                        | 15   | —     |
| Wind projects impairment and other charges           | (2                       | ) 13 | 74    |
| EME asset impairments and other charges <sup>1</sup> | \$464                    | \$28 | \$714 |

<sup>1</sup> The fair value of long-lived assets as determined using the discounted cash flow models discussed below qualify as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

## 2013 Impairments

## Will County Station

In connection with the preparation of its financial statements in the third quarter of 2013, Midwest Generation concluded, based on continued low realized energy and capacity prices, high fuel costs and low generation and further analysis of its capital allocation strategy, that indicators of potential impairment existed for its Will County Station and an impairment evaluation was performed.

The long-lived asset group that was subject to the impairment evaluation was determined to include the property, plant and equipment of the station. Management utilized the probability weighted estimates of future undiscounted cash flows to be received at the Will County Station and concluded that such amounts did not recover its carrying amount. Forecasted commodity prices and plant dispatch levels are the most significant input into the cash flow estimates. However, as part of these alternative cash flow scenarios, management considered a shortened estimated useful life of the station if environmental improvements were not made.

To measure the amount of the impairment loss, management used the market approach, which considers sales of similar facilities and numerous recent decisions by other power generators to shut down similar coal plants rather than install additional equipment, corroborated by the income approach, which considers discounted cash flows. This resulted in an impairment charge related to the Will County Station of \$464 million. The estimated fair value of zero for the Will County Station was determined using both observable inputs and unobservable inputs, which are Level 3 inputs as defined by accounting guidance for fair value measurements. These inputs included a range of zero to \$169 per kilowatt hour of recent transactions for scrubbed coal plants in similar markets. For additional information on the impairment policy of long-lived assets, see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.

## 2012 Impairments

## Ambit

The Ambit project has operated under constrained liquidity conditions for a number of years. In 2012, the avoided energy costs, which form the basis for the project's energy revenues under its power purchase agreement, declined significantly. As a result, in 2013 Ambit did not make all of its scheduled land lease payments; the land lease is subordinated to debt service. In February 2013, the EME operations and maintenance subsidiary that operated the plant provided a 180-day notice of its intent to terminate its operations and maintenance contract.

These factors were considered indicators of potential impairment and in connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2012, EME reviewed the Ambit project for impairment. The results of the impairment analysis indicated that the probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows are not expected to be sufficient to recover the respective carrying value of the long-lived assets of \$49 million. The asset group at the project consisted of property, plant and equipment and deferred revenue. The fair value of the asset group was determined to be \$34 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$15 million. For additional information on the impairment policy of long-lived assets, see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.



## 2011 Impairments

### Wind Projects

In connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2011, EME reviewed the Storm Lake wind project and four small wind projects in Minnesota for impairment, based on an expected future increase in operating costs and declines in long-term power prices that the projects could potentially realize following the term of the power purchase agreements. The probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows of each project were not expected to be sufficient to recover the respective carrying value of each of these long-lived assets (\$53 million in aggregate). The income approach was utilized to determine fair value for these asset groups. The most significant assumptions used in determining fair value were discount rates, future wind generation, the future availability of the project to generate energy and future plant operations expense. The asset groups at each project consisted of property, plant and equipment and, where appropriate, deferred revenue. In aggregate, the fair value of these five asset groups was determined to be \$23 million, resulting in an impairment charge of \$30 million. For additional information on the impairment policy of long-lived assets, see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, EME significantly reduced development of renewable energy projects to conserve cash and in light of more limited market opportunities. As a result, EME reduced staffing and undertook efforts to reduce funding joint development projects, thereby reducing the development pipeline of potential wind projects to a projected installed capacity of approximately 1,300 megawatts at the end of 2011. These changes triggered charges of \$34 million.

### Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations

In connection with the preparation of its year-end financial statements in the fourth quarter of 2011, Midwest Generation concluded, based on the current energy price environment, it was less likely that Midwest Generation would install environmental controls required by the CPS at its Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations; and such assessment was an indicator that these stations were impaired. The long-lived asset groups that were subject to the impairment evaluation were determined to include the property, plant and equipment of each station. Management updated the probability weighted future undiscounted cash flows expected to be received at these stations and concluded that such amounts did not recover the respective station's carrying amounts. As part of these alternative cash flow scenarios, management considered a shortened estimated useful life of each station if environmental improvements were not made and a forecasted reduction in generation from lower forward power prices. To measure the amount of the impairment loss, the income approach was considered the most relevant, but market data obtained prior to the significant decline in power prices was used to corroborate the income approach. The discounted cash flow analysis assumptions that have the most significant impact on fair value are forecasted energy and capacity prices. The discounted cash flow analysis indicated a fair value of zero. Midwest Generation also concluded it was unlikely that a third party would consummate the purchase of the Fisk, Crawford or Waukegan Stations in the current economic and regulatory environment resulting in a determination that the fair value of each of these stations was zero. This resulted in impairment charges of \$115 million, \$186 million and \$339 million for the Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations, respectively. Environmental and other remediation or ongoing maintenance costs are expected to be offset by the salvage value of the asset groups. Midwest Generation voluntarily ceased coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations in August 2012. For additional information on the impairment policy of long-lived assets, see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.

### Midwest Generation

Midwest Generation's asset impairments and other charges were \$465 million, \$14 million and \$653 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of the 2013 charges, \$464 million related to the Will County Station. Of the 2011 charges, \$640 million related to the Fisk, Crawford and Waukegan Stations. See above for further discussion of impairment charges on the Midwest Generation plants.

### Note 14. Discontinued Operations (EME only)

In September 2012, Homer City, a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of EME, and Homer City Generation, L.P., an affiliate of GECC, entered into the Homer City Master Transaction Agreement (MTA) for the divestiture by Homer

City of substantially all of its remaining assets and certain specified liabilities. Accordingly, in the third quarter of 2012, Homer City met the definition of a discontinued operation and was classified separately on EME's consolidated financial statements. In December 2012, the transaction closed and Homer City Generation, L.P. assumed control of Homer City. On May 2, 2013, the Homer City Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The 2013 results from discontinued operations reflects the withdrawal from the benefit plan that provided postretirement medical, dental, vision, and life insurance coverage to certain Homer City retirees and the subsequent cost, reflected in reorganization items, for a Bankruptcy Court approved settlement between the Homer City Debtors and the union for the affected Homer City retirees. EME recorded an impairment charge of \$1,032 million (\$623 million after tax) in 2011 related to Homer City's long-lived assets, and an asset write-down of \$89 million (\$53 million after tax) in 2012 to reflect the ultimate carrying value of assets and liabilities transferred to Homer City Generation, L.P.

Summarized results of discontinued operations for EME are:

| (in millions)  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2013                    | 2012     | 2011     |
| Total operating revenues                                   | \$—                     | \$395    | \$527    |
| Total operating expenses                                   | 29                      | (496 )   | (538 )   |
| Asset impairments and other charges                        | —                       | (89 )    | (1,032 ) |
| Other income   | —                       | 5        | —        |
| Income (loss) before reorganization items and income taxes | 29                      | (185 )   | (1,043 ) |
| Reorganization items, net                                  | 22                      | —        | —        |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes                       | 6                       | (73 )    | (411 )   |
| Income (loss) from operations of discontinued subsidiaries | \$1                     | \$(112 ) | \$(632 ) |

The assets and liabilities associated with the discontinued operations are segregated on the consolidated balance sheets as follows:

| (in millions)                     | December 31, |      |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------|
|                                   | 2013         | 2012 |
| Cash and cash equivalents         | \$—          | \$2  |
| Other current assets              | —            | 7    |
| Carrying value adjustment         | —            | (9 ) |
| Assets of discontinued operations | \$—          | \$—  |

#### Note 15. Related Party Transactions (EME, Midwest Generation)

In November 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the Debtor Entities' continued performance under various agreements and arrangements that govern shared services between EIX and EME and its subsidiaries. The shared services agreement with EIX is expected to terminate at the earlier of the consummation of the NRG Sale or, under certain circumstances, by July 31, 2014.

#### EME

Historically, specified administrative services such as payroll, employee benefit programs, insurance, and information technology have been shared among all affiliates of EIX, and the costs of these corporate support services have been allocated to all affiliates, including EME. As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, certain of these services have been reduced or canceled. Costs are allocated based on one of the following formulas: percentage of time worked, equity in investment and advances, number of employees, or multi-factor (operating revenues, operating expenses, total assets and number of employees). In addition, EME is billed for any services directly requested for its benefit. Labor and expenses of these directly requested services are specifically identified and billed at cost, subject to a reasonable markup. EME believes the allocation methodologies utilized are reasonable. EME made reimbursements for the cost of these programs and other services totaling \$12 million, \$60 million and \$60 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amount due to (from) EIX was \$1 million and \$(1) million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Edison Mission Operation & Maintenance, Inc., a direct, wholly owned affiliate of EME, has entered into operation and maintenance agreements with partnerships in which EME has a 50% or less ownership interest. Pursuant to the negotiated agreements, Edison Mission Operation & Maintenance is to perform all operation and maintenance activities necessary for





the production of power by these partnerships' facilities. The agreements continue until terminated by either party. Edison Mission Operation & Maintenance is paid for all costs incurred with operating and maintaining such facilities and may also earn incentive compensation as set forth in the agreements. EME also has investments in wind projects that are accounted for under the equity method for which Edison Mission Operation & Maintenance has entered into operation and maintenance agreements with these wind projects. EME recorded revenues under the operation and maintenance agreements of \$25 million for 2013, \$24 million for 2012 and \$23 million for 2011, reflected in operating revenues on EME's consolidated statements of operations. Receivables from affiliates for Edison Mission Operation & Maintenance totaled \$3 million and \$4 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. EME owns interests in partnerships that sell electricity generated by their project facilities to Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and others under the terms of power purchase agreements. Sales by these partnerships to SCE under these agreements amounted to \$297 million, \$233 million and \$277 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Walnut Creek Project began selling power under its 10-year power purchase agreement with SCE in June 2013. EME recorded operating revenues on its consolidated statements of operations of \$84 million for 2013. The amount due from SCE was \$8 million at December 31, 2013. For further information on Walnut Creek related party transactions, see Note 5—Debt and Credit Agreements—Credit Facilities and Letters of Credit.

#### Midwest Generation

##### EMMT Agreement

Midwest Generation has entered into a master purchase, sale and services agreement with EMMT, pursuant to which EMMT arranges for purchases and sales of the following products, including related services: (i) energy and capacity; (ii) natural gas; (iii) fuel oil; and (iv) emission allowances. Midwest Generation compensates EMMT with respect to these transactions, and reimburses EMMT for brokers' fees, taxes, and other reasonably incurred direct out-of-pocket expenses. Payment for these services is due within 30 days of billing. The net fees earned by EMMT were \$1 million during each of 2013, 2012 and 2011. The amount due from EMMT was \$47 million and \$39 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

##### Notes Receivable from EME

Proceeds of \$1.367 billion were received by Midwest Generation from the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and were loaned to EME through four intercompany notes. EME is obligated to repay the principal on the notes in a series of installments on the dates and in the amounts set forth on a schedule to each note and interest is due semi-annually on January 2 and July 2 at an 8.30% fixed interest rate. The notes are due to be repaid in full by January 2, 2016. At December 31, 2012, Midwest Generation determined that it was probable a loss would be realized in connection with this intercompany loan and recorded a \$1.4 billion charge, equal to the full carrying amount of the loan and accrued interest, and ceased accruing interest income. In addition, during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME did not make any of the three scheduled \$61 million principal and interest payments due to Midwest Generation. Notes receivable from EME on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheet consisted of the following:

| (in millions)                                      | December 31, 2013 |                     |      | December 31, 2012 |                     |      |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|---------------------|------|
|  | Carrying Value    | Valuation Allowance | Net  | Carrying Value    | Valuation Allowance | Net  |
| Current portion of notes receivable from affiliate | \$19              | \$(19)              | )\$— | \$12              | \$(12)              | )\$— |
| Interest receivable from affiliate                 | 55                | (55)                | )—   | 55                | (55)                | )—   |
| Notes receivable from affiliate                    | 1,304             | (1,304)             | )—   | 1,311             | (1,311)             | )—   |
| Total  | \$1,378           | \$(1,378)           | )\$— | \$1,378           | \$(1,378)           | )\$— |

Interest income from affiliate included in interest and other income on Midwest Generation's consolidated statement of operations was none, \$110 million and \$111 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The fair value of the note receivable from EME was zero at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Upon consummation of the Plan and the NRG Sale, this loan and accrued interest will be canceled. For additional information, see Note 16—Restructuring Activities—NRG Sale.

##### Services Agreements with EME and EIX

Historically, specified administrative services such as payroll, employee benefit programs, insurance, and information technology have been shared among all affiliates of EIX, and the costs of these corporate support services have been

142

---

allocated to all affiliates, including Midwest Generation. As a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, certain of these services have been reduced or canceled. Costs are allocated based on one of the following formulas: percentage of time worked, equity in investment and advances, number of employees, or multi-factor (operating revenues, operating expenses, total assets and number of employees). In addition, Midwest Generation is billed for any services directly requested for its benefit. Labor and expenses of these directly requested services are specifically identified and billed at cost, subject to a reasonable markup. Midwest Generation believes the allocation methodologies utilized are reasonable. Midwest Generation made reimbursements for the cost of these programs and other services totaling \$17 million, \$27 million and \$30 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amount due to EIX and EME was none and \$1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to these agreements.

#### Management and Support Agreements with Midwest Generation EME, LLC

Midwest Generation has entered into agreements with Midwest Generation EME for management and administrative services and support services, including construction and construction management, operations and maintenance management, technical services and training, environmental, health and safety services, administrative and IT support, and other managerial and technical services needed to operate and maintain electric power facilities. Under the terms of the agreements, Midwest Generation reimburses Midwest Generation EME for actual costs incurred by functional area in providing support services, or in the case of specific tasks requested by Midwest Generation, the amount negotiated for the task. Actual costs billable under these agreements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$29 million, \$23 million and \$24 million, respectively. The amount due to Midwest Generation EME was \$6 million and \$2 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to these agreements.

#### Note 16. Restructuring Activities (EME, Midwest Generation)

The Plan implements a reorganization of the Debtor Entities through a sale of substantially all of EME's assets under the NRG Sale and through the terms of the Settlement Agreement, which establishes a path for EME to emerge from bankruptcy free of liabilities as a wholly-owned subsidiary of EIX.

##### NRG Sale

On October 18, 2013, EME, Midwest Generation, and certain other Debtor Entities entered into a Plan Sponsor Agreement (the PSA) with NRG Energy, Inc. (NRG), the Purchaser, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases, the counterparties to the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback and certain of EME's noteholders that are signatories to the PSA, that provides for the parties to support and pursue confirmation by the Bankruptcy Court of the Plan, that will implement a reorganization of the Debtor Entities through a sale of substantially all of the assets of EME to NRG pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement. The PSA contains representations, warranties and covenants of the parties to support and pursue confirmation of the Plan. The Acquisition Agreement between EME, NRG and the Purchaser, a wholly owned subsidiary of NRG, provides for the sale of substantially all of EME's assets, including the outstanding equity interests in certain of EME's direct subsidiaries (and thereby such subsidiaries' assets and liabilities), EME's cash and cash equivalents and EME's interest in substantially all of the other assets used in the operation of EME's and its subsidiaries' businesses (the Acquired Assets) to the Purchaser upon Bankruptcy Court confirmation and consummation of the Plan. Upon closing, the Purchaser will assume substantially all of the liabilities related to assets to be acquired, including, among other things, (i) all liabilities of EME under the Powerton and Joliet leases, other than the cure amount as set forth in the Acquisition Agreement (the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount); (ii) all trade and vendor accounts payable and accrued liabilities arising from the operation of the Debtor Entities' businesses prior to the date of the closing of the transaction; and (iii) all cure amounts and other liabilities of the Debtor Entities other than the Homer City Debtors and certain agreed-upon excluded liabilities.

In particular, with respect to the Powerton and Joliet leases, at the closing of the transaction, NRG will (i) replace the existing EME guarantees with NRG guarantees; (ii) replace EME as a party to the tax indemnity agreements relating to the Powerton and Joliet leases; and (iii) covenant to make a capital investment in the Powerton and Joliet Stations, provided that NRG will not be obligated to make capital investments in excess of \$350 million.

In consideration of the foregoing, at the closing of the transaction, EME will retain all liabilities with respect to the payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount and would be responsible for bearing the costs of such cure payment for all amounts due under the lease before January 2, 2014. In addition, the intercompany note issued by EME for the benefit of Midwest Generation, will be canceled. Midwest Generation will assume the Powerton and Joliet leases and the other operative documents related thereto, as modified by mutual agreement of the parties, and all monetary defaults under each lease would be cured at closing. The Acquired Assets do not include (i) the Homer City Debtors, (ii) potential litigation claims of EME

against its parent, EIX and (iii) various tax attributes of EME, including tax losses, tax loss carryforwards, tax credits, and tax refunds.

The total purchase price to be paid by the Purchaser for the Acquired Assets is \$2.635 billion, subject to certain adjustments provided in the Acquisition Agreement. The Acquisition Agreement provides for \$350 million of the total purchase price to be paid in the form of 12,671,977 newly issued shares of NRG's common stock which trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol NRG.

The Acquisition Agreement provides specific termination rights to each party, which include a right to terminate if certain milestone dates are not met, for material breaches of the Acquisition Agreement not cured within a specified period or if EME enters into or seeks approval of a superior proposal. Under specified circumstances, NRG will be entitled to receive a cash fee of \$65 million, and expense reimbursement of all reasonable and documented out-of-pocket expenses, if the Acquisition Agreement is terminated.

Before the NRG Sale may be completed, the parties must satisfy all conditions set forth in the Acquisition Agreement, including, among other things, governmental and regulatory approvals. Certain conditions, such as the confirmation of the Plan and the entry of a Confirmation Order by the Bankruptcy Court, have already been met. Certain other closing conditions have already been satisfied, including the receipt of various government and regulatory approvals and the declaration of effectiveness of the Registration Statement for the common stock to be issued by NRG as a portion of the purchase price. The Acquisition Agreement contains certain representations and warranties made by EME, NRG and the Purchaser. There are also various pre-closing and post-closing covenants binding on the parties. If the remaining conditions or requirements are not satisfied or waived the NRG Sale will not be consummated.

#### Plan of Reorganization

The Plan generally provides for each of EME's general unsecured creditors to receive a pro rata portion of the NRG stock and cash consideration to be paid by the Purchaser to EME under the Acquisition Agreement (less certain distributions to be paid to other creditors of EME) and a pro rata share of any new securities issued by the reorganized successor entity.

Under the Settlement Agreement, a Reorganization Trust will be formed, which will make distributions pursuant to the Plan for the benefit of EME's existing creditors. All assets and liabilities of EME that are not otherwise discharged in the bankruptcy or transferred to NRG as part of the NRG Sale will be transferred to the Reorganization Trust, with the exception of (i) the EME Tax Attributes, estimated at \$1.19 billion, which will be retained by the EIX consolidated tax group, (ii) liabilities totaling \$241 million associated with the qualified pension plan, the executive retirement plan, the executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions, which are being assumed by EIX and (iii) EME's indirect interest in Capistrano Wind Partners. EIX has disclosed that they have estimated their exposure to the qualified pension plan, executive retirement plan, executive deferred compensation plan and uncertain federal and state tax positions to be approximately \$350 million. EIX will pay the Reorganization Trust amounts equal to 50% of the EME Tax Attributes as follows: \$225 million payable on the Effective Date in cash, with one half of the balance payable on each of September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2016, together with interest at 5% per annum from the Effective Date.

The estimated value of the EME Tax Attributes will be updated within approximately six months of the Effective Date. When the updated estimate is finalized, the amounts of the two installment payments remaining to be made by EIX will be fixed and EIX will deliver to the Reorganization Trust two zero coupon promissory notes evidencing its obligation to make those payments.

EME and the Reorganization Trust will release EIX and its subsidiaries, officers, directors, and representatives from all claims, except for those deriving from commercial arrangements between SCE and certain of EME's subsidiaries and obligations under the Settlement Agreement. EIX and its subsidiaries that directly and indirectly own EME will provide a similar release to EME and the Reorganization Trust. Under the Plan, EIX and its subsidiaries, officers, directors and representatives will also be beneficiaries of orders of the Bankruptcy Court releasing them from claims of third parties in EME's bankruptcy proceedings and the Reorganization Trust will be obligated to set aside \$50 million in escrow to secure its obligations to EIX under the Settlement Agreement, including its obligation to protect against liabilities, if any, not discharged in the Chapter 11 Cases for which the Reorganization Trust remains responsible. Such escrowed amount will decline over time to zero on the later of September 30, 2016 and the date on

which certain third-party claims pending on September 30, 2016 are resolved.

144

---

The Bankruptcy Court issued a Confirmation Order in March 2014, which confirmed the Plan. The completion of the NRG Sale is expected in April 2014. The following conditions, and others, shall have been satisfied or waived for the Plan to become effective:

- Consummation of the NRG Sale, which is expected in April 2014;
- Payment of the Powerton and Joliet Cure Amount; and
- Establishment of the Reorganization Trust and funding of escrow accounts therein.

#### LSTC

EME's LSTC are summarized below:

| (in millions)                            | December<br>31, 2013 | December<br>31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Senior notes, net                        | \$ 3,700             | \$ 3,700             |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 53                   | 32                   |
| Interest payable                         | 154                  | 154                  |
| Other                                    | 108                  | 73                   |
| Total liabilities subject to compromise  | \$ 4,015             | \$ 3,959             |

In connection with the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, EME classified both its \$3.7 billion unsecured senior notes and \$154 million of accrued interest related to the unsecured senior notes as LSTC and ceased accruing interest expense. The accrued interest reclassified to LSTC primarily relates to \$97 million and \$38 million of interest payments that were due on November 15 and December 17, 2012, respectively, that EME did not make. Unpaid contractual interest for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$281 million and \$11 million, respectively.

Midwest Generation's LSTC are summarized below:

| (in millions)                            | December<br>31, 2013 | December<br>31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Lease financing                          | \$ 434               | \$ 434               |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 42                   | 29                   |
| Interest payable                         | 11                   | 13                   |
| Other                                    | 53                   | 53                   |
| Total liabilities subject to compromise  | \$ 540               | \$ 529               |

In connection with the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, Midwest Generation classified \$13 million of accrued interest due on the Powerton and Joliet Sale Leaseback as LSTC but did not cease accruing interest expense. Upon closing of the NRG Sale, approximately \$32 million of LSTC will be transferred from Midwest Generation to EME. For further discussion, see Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Lease Commitments.

#### Claims

The Bankruptcy Court established June 17, 2013 and October 29, 2013 as the bar date for filing proofs of claim against the Initial Debtors and Homer City Debtors estates, respectively.

As of the date of this filing, EME and Midwest Generation have received 766 and 303 proofs of claim, respectively.

New and amended claims may be filed in the future, including claims amended to assign value to claims originally filed with no value. EME and Midwest Generation are in the process of reconciling such claims to the amounts listed in LSTC. LSTC have been recorded based on the expected probable claim, which is subject to judgment and could change as new information develops during the reconciliation process. Differences in liability amounts estimated and claims filed by creditors will be investigated and resolved, including through the filing of objections with the Bankruptcy Court as appropriate. Through this process, EME and Midwest Generation may identify additional liabilities that need to be recorded as LSTC and the Bankruptcy Court may determine liabilities currently estimated as part of LSTC are without merit. The claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. The resolution of such claims could result in material adjustments to EME or Midwest Generation's financial statements.

Determination of how liabilities will ultimately be treated cannot be made until the

Bankruptcy Court approves a plan of reorganization. Accordingly, the ultimate amount or treatment of such liabilities is not determinable at this time.

#### Reorganization Items

Reorganization items represent the direct and incremental costs of bankruptcy, such as professional fees, LSTC claim adjustments and losses related to terminated contracts that are probable and can be estimated. Write off of unamortized deferred financing costs and debt discounts relate to EME's unsecured pre-petition debt, which has been reclassified to LSTC on the consolidated balance sheet following the Chapter 11 filing on December 17, 2012. Professional fees primarily relate to legal advisors and consultants working directly on the bankruptcy filing. EME's and Midwest Generation's significant items in reorganization charges, excluding discontinued operations, consisted of:

| (in millions)  | Years Ended December 31,      |                           |        | 2012                  |                           |       |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|
|  | 2013<br>Midwest<br>Generation | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries | EME    | Midwest<br>Generation | Other EME<br>Subsidiaries | EME   |
| Provision for allowable claims                                       | \$ 19                         | \$ —                      | \$ 19  | \$ 6                  | \$ —                      | \$ 6  |
| Write off of unamortized deferred financing costs and debt discounts | —                             | —                         | —      | —                     | 15                        | 15    |
| Professional fees  | 22                            | 79                        | 101    | —                     | 22                        | 22    |
| Total reorganization items, net                                      | \$ 41                         | \$ 79                     | \$ 120 | \$ 6                  | \$ 37                     | \$ 43 |

#### Cost Reduction Activities

EME eliminated approximately 150 positions in its regional and corporate offices and generating stations in April 2013, including 120 positions at Midwest Generation. EME recorded charges of approximately \$7 million, and Midwest Generation recorded its share of these charges, a total of \$5 million, in administrative and general expense on their respective consolidated statements of operations in the second quarter of 2013.

#### Shutdown of Fisk and Crawford

Midwest Generation voluntarily ceased coal-fired operations at the Fisk and Crawford Stations in August 2012. Midwest Generation decommissioned and retired the units during the fourth quarter of 2012. During the second quarter of 2012, EME recorded a charge of \$9 million (pre-tax) related to severance and other employee benefits due to the approximately 200 employees affected by the planned shutdowns; and Midwest Generation recorded a charge of \$6 million (pre-tax) related to severance and other employee benefits due to the approximately 175 employees affected by the planned shutdowns. These charges were included in administrative and general expense on each of EME's and Midwest Generation's consolidated statements of operations.

#### Note 17. Condensed Combined Debtors' Financial Information (EME only)

The financial statements below represent the condensed combined financial statement of the Debtor Entities. Non-debtor EME subsidiaries are accounted for as non-consolidated subsidiaries in these financial statements, as such, their net loss is included as "Equity in loss of non-debtor entities, net of tax" in the Debtors' Statements of Operations and its net assets are included as "Investment in non-debtor entities" in the Debtors' Statements of Financial Position.

Intercompany transactions among the Debtor Entities have been eliminated in the condensed combined financial statements of the Debtor Entities contained here.



Debtor Entities' Condensed Combined Statements of Operations

| (in millions)                                       | Years Ended December 31, |         |
|---|--------------------------|---------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012    |
| Operating revenues                                  | \$826                    | \$901   |
| Operating expenses                                  | (1,517)                  | (1,262) |
| Other income (expense)                              | 45                       | (226)   |
| Reorganization items                                | (120)                    | (43)    |
| Provision for income taxes                          | (41)                     | (153)   |
| Income from Operations of Discontinued Subsidiaries | 4                        | —       |
| Net loss attributable to debtor entities            | (803)                    | (783)   |
| Equity in loss of non-debtor entities, net of tax   | 133                      | (142)   |
| Net loss attributable to Debtors                    | \$(670)                  | \$(925) |

Debtors Entities' Condensed Combined Statements of Comprehensive Loss

| (in millions)                        | Years Ended December 31, |         |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                                      | 2013                     | 2012    |
| Net Loss                             | \$(670)                  | \$(925) |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | 77                       | (44)    |
| Comprehensive Loss                   | (593)                    | \$(969) |

Debtor Entities' Condensed Combined Statements of Financial Position

| (in millions)   | December 31, |         |
|---|--------------|---------|
|   | 2013         | 2012    |
| Total current assets  | 838          | \$638   |
| Investments in unconsolidated affiliates  | 146          | 152     |
| Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation of \$555 and \$845 at respective dates | 898          | 1,428   |
| Investment in non-debtor entities   | 2,042        | 2,019   |
| Total other assets  | 873          | 974     |
| Total assets  | 4,797        | \$5,211 |

| (in millions)                     | December 31, |         |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                                   | 2013         | 2012    |
| Total current liabilities         | 250          | \$94    |
| Liabilities subject to compromise | 4,014        | 3,959   |
| Deferred taxes                    | 117          | 131     |
| Other long-term liabilities       | 155          | 295     |
| Total liabilities                 | 4,536        | \$4,479 |
| Total equity                      | 261          | 732     |
| Total liabilities and equity      | \$4,797      | \$5,211 |

## Debtors' Condensed Combined Statements of Cash Flows

| (in millions)   | Years Ended December 31, |          |
|---|--------------------------|----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012     |
| Operating cash flows from continuing operations                                 | \$(3 )                   | \$(598 ) |
| Operating cash flows from discontinued operations, net                          | (2 )                     | (46 )    |
| Net cash used in operating activities   | (5 )                     | (644 )   |
| Net cash provided by financing activities                                       | 223                      | 173      |
| Investing cash flows from continuing operations                                 | 40                       | (109 )   |
| Investing cash flows from discontinued operations, net                          | —                        | (31 )    |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities                             | 40                       | (140 )   |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations | 260                      | (534 )   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period from continuing operations     | 425                      | 959      |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period from continuing operations           | 685                      | 425      |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations          | (2 )                     | (77 )    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period from discontinued operations   | 2                        | 79       |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period from discontinued operations         | —                        | 2        |
| Cash paid for reorganization items, net   | \$72                     | \$20     |

## Note 18. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) (EME, Midwest Generation)

The following table summarizes the unaudited quarterly statements of operations for EME.

| (in millions)  | First   | Second   | Third               | Fourth   |
|--|---------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| 2013   |         |          |                     |          |
| Operating revenues   | \$307   | \$315    | \$385               | \$324    |
| Operating loss   | (47 )   | (63 )    | (431 ) <sup>1</sup> | (38 )    |
| Loss from continuing operations  | (81 )   | (102 )   | (447 )              | (12 )    |
| Income (loss) from operations of discontinued subsidiaries, net of tax | (1 )    | 18       | (1 )                | (15 )    |
| Net loss   | \$(82 ) | \$(84 )  | \$(448 )            | \$(27 )  |
| 2012   |         |          |                     |          |
| Operating revenues   | \$343   | \$324    | \$340               | \$280    |
| Operating loss   | (48 )   | (98 )    | (71 )               | (111 )   |
| Loss from continuing operations  | (58 )   | (75 )    | (86 )               | (578 )   |
| Income (loss) from operations of discontinued subsidiaries, net of tax | (24 )   | (29 )    | (76 )               | 17       |
| Net loss   | \$(82 ) | \$(104 ) | \$(162 )            | \$(561 ) |

<sup>1</sup> Reflects a \$464 million pre-tax (\$297 million, after tax) impairment charge related to Will County. For more information, see Note 13—Asset Impairments and Other Charges.

The following table summarizes the unaudited quarterly statements of operations for Midwest Generation.

| (in millions)                        | First   | Second  | Third    | Fourth                |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------------|
| 2013                                 |         |         |          |                       |
| Operating revenues                   | \$ 179  | \$ 203  | \$ 232   | \$ 203                |
| Operating loss                       | (60 )   | (40 )   | (463 )   | (23 ) <sup>1</sup>    |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | —       | 1       | (1 )     | (17 )                 |
| Net loss                             | \$(74 ) | \$(74 ) | \$(471 ) | \$(14 )               |
| 2012                                 |         |         |          |                       |
| Operating revenues                   | \$ 233  | \$ 213  | \$ 253   | \$ 193                |
| Operating loss                       | (33 )   | (88 )   | (39 )    | (1,437 ) <sup>2</sup> |
| Benefit for income taxes             | (5 )    | (27 )   | (7 )     | (23 )                 |
| Net loss                             | \$(9 )  | \$(42 ) | \$(12 )  | \$(1,401 )            |

<sup>1</sup> Reflects a \$464 million pre-tax (\$297 million, after tax) impairment charge related to Will County. For more information, see Note 13—Asset Impairments and Other Charges.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects a \$1.4 billion pre-tax charge for a valuation allowance recorded by Midwest Generation on its note receivable from EME. For more information, see Note 15—Related Party Transactions.

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2014.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2014.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2014.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to an amendment to this Form 10-K to be filed with the SEC not later than April 30, 2014.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES  
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT FEES

The following tables set forth the aggregate fees billed to EME (consolidated total including EME and its subsidiaries) and Midwest Generation (consolidated total including Midwest Generation and its subsidiaries) for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP:

| (in thousands)                  | EME and Subsidiaries |       |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|                                 | 2013                 | 2012  |
| Audit fees                      | 2,419                | 3,593 |
| Audit related fees <sup>1</sup> | —                    | 44    |
| Tax fees <sup>2</sup>           | 32                   | 250   |
| All other fees                  | 5                    | 3     |
| Total                           | 2,456                | 3,890 |

<sup>1</sup> The nature of the services comprising these fees were assurance and related services related to the performance of the audit or review of the financial statements and not reported under "Audit Fees" above.

<sup>2</sup> The nature of the services comprising these fees were to support compliance with federal, state and foreign tax reporting and payment requirements, including tax return review and review of tax laws, regulations or cases.