

BOYD GAMING CORP
Form 10-K
March 18, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 1-12882

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada 88-0242733
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ninth Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89169
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(702) 792-7200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, par value of \$0.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

1

Table of Contents

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2012, the aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for such date, was approximately \$383.2 million. Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding as of February 28, 2013
Common stock, \$0.01 par value	86,871,977

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year end of December 31, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related
Stockholder Matters

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence 99

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services 99

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules 99

SIGNATURES 213

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

Overview

Boyd Gaming Corporation (the “Company,” the “Registrant,” “Boyd Gaming,” “we” or “us”) is a multi-jurisdictional gaming company that has been operating for approximately 37 years.

We are a diversified operator of 21 wholly-owned gaming entertainment properties and one controlling interest in a limited liability company. Headquartered in Las Vegas, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Louisiana, Mississippi, Indiana, Kansas, Iowa and New Jersey, which we aggregate in order to present the following five reportable segments:

Las Vegas Locals

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
The Orleans Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Las Vegas, Nevada
Suncoast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Eldorado Casino	Henderson, Nevada
Jokers Wild Casino	Henderson, Nevada

Downtown Las Vegas

California Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Fremont Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel	Las Vegas, Nevada

Midwest and South

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Tunica, Mississippi
IP Casino Resort Spa	Biloxi, Mississippi
Par-A-Dice Hotel and Casino	East Peoria, Illinois
Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa	Michigan City, Indiana
Treasure Chest Casino	Kenner, Louisiana
Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel	Vinton, Louisiana
Sam's Town Hotel and Casino	Shreveport, Louisiana

Peninsula Gaming

Diamond Jo Dubuque	Dubuque, Iowa
Diamond Jo Worth	Northwood, Iowa
Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino	Opelousas, Louisiana
Amelia Belle Casino	Amelia, Louisiana
Kansas Star Casino	Mulvane, Kansas

Atlantic City

Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa	Atlantic City, New Jersey
----------------------------	---------------------------

Hawaiian Operations

In addition to these properties, we own and operate a travel agency in Hawaii, and a captive insurance company, also in Hawaii, that underwrites travel-related insurance.

Dania Jai-Alai

We also own and operate Dania Jai-Alai, which is a pari-mutuel jai-alai facility with approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida. The results of Dania Jai-Alai are included as part of the “Other” category in our segment information. As discussed in Note 24, Subsequent Events, on February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment Center, LLC (“Dania

Table of Contents

Entertainment") entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "New Dania Agreement") for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the New Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

Echelon Development

We owned 87 acres of land on the Las Vegas Strip, where our multibillion dollar Echelon development project ("Echelon") was to be located. On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of Echelon. We originally expected to resume development in the project in three to five years. However, as discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development and Note 24, Subsequent Events, in December 2012, we reconsidered our options for the development of Echelon. After considering our current business strategy, the current and future direction of business on the Las Vegas Strip, anticipated return on investment, and our overall financial position, we concluded that developing a large-scale project on the Strip from the ground up was not consistent with our current strategy. As a result, we expect to dispose of the Echelon site and strengthen our financial position.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting to sell the Echelon site for \$350.0 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Our Emphasis

Our main business emphasis is on slot revenues, which are highly dependent upon the volume and spending levels of customers at our properties. Gross and net revenues are one of the main performance indicators of our properties. Our properties have historically generated significant operating cash flow, with the majority of our revenue being cash-based. Our industry is capital intensive; we rely heavily on the ability of our properties to generate operating cash flow in order to fund maintenance capital expenditures, repay debt financing and associated interest costs, purchase our debt or equity securities, pay income taxes, fund acquisitions, provide excess cash for future development and pay dividends.

Economic Influence

Throughout the current recession, global economic issues affecting both consumer wealth and consumer confidence have resulted in a meaningful decrease in expenditures on gaming and leisure activities. As a result, over the past several years, we have undertaken several programs aimed at reducing our cost structure in an effort to manage our properties' operations under tightened revenue trends. In addition, we have established a more efficient business model that we believe will help enable us to realize improved results when normalized business volumes return. Our present objective is to manage our cost and expense structure to address the current deterioration in business volumes and generate strong and stable cash flows.

Positioning

We continually work to position our Company for greater success by strengthening our existing operations and growing through capital investment and other strategic initiatives. For instance, in November 2012, we completed our acquisition (the "Peninsula Acquisition") of Peninsula Gaming, LLC ("Peninsula Gaming") and added five properties to our portfolio; the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center (the "Kansas Star") in Mulvane, Kansas, Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa, Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa, Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana, and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana. In October 2011, we purchased the IP Casino Resort Spa (the "IP") which is a premier casino resort on the Mississippi gulf coast and includes 1,100 guest rooms and suites, a 70,000 square-foot casino, a 1,400-seat theater offering regular headline entertainment, a spa and salon, 73,000 square feet of meeting and convention space, as well as eight restaurants. Additionally, in January 2009, we opened our 22-story hotel at Blue Chip Casino, Hotel and Spa in Michigan City, Indiana ("Blue Chip"), which

includes 300 guest rooms, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues.

Boyd Brand Awareness

We have also established a nationwide branding initiative and loyalty program. Previously, players were able to use their “Club Coast” or “B Connected” cards to earn and redeem points at nearly all of our wholly-owned Boyd Gaming properties in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Mississippi. In June 2010, we launched an enhanced, multi-property player loyalty program under the “B Connected” brand, which replaced the “Club Coast” program. Customers under the “Club Coast” program were able to keep all earned benefits and club points they had previously earned under the program. The new “B Connected” club, among other benefits, extends the time period over which players may qualify for promotion from player level to level and increases the credits awarded to reel slot and table games players.

In addition to the “B Connected” player loyalty program, we launched the “B Connected Mobile” program in July 2010. “B Connected Mobile,” the first multi-property, loyalty program based iPhone application of its kind in the gaming industry, is a personalized mobile application that delivers customized offers and information directly to a customer's iPhone, iPad, or Android device, making "B Connected Mobile" the first application of its kind available on multiple platforms. The application further

Table of Contents

expands the benefits of the “B Connected” program. “B Connected Mobile” provides real-time personalized information when a customer visits a Boyd property, including hotel, dining and gaming offers, such as “Best Rates Available” on hotel rooms for “B Connected” members, instant access to event information, schedules and special offers at all Boyd Gaming properties using a search engine which allows customers to find Boyd Gaming casinos that have their favorite machines and displays the games' locations on a casino floor map, the ability to track “B Connected” point balances in real time, and the ability to make immediate hotel or restaurant reservations. These tools help customers get the greatest value out of their B Connected membership, and ensure that our marketing is as effective as possible.

Borgata Brand Awareness

The Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa ("Borgata") sponsors its own program to expand its brand awareness and leverage its strong loyalty card program, predicated on efforts to use marketing and promotional programs to serve an important role: to retain existing customers, maintain trip frequency and acquire new customers. Borgata offers its guests comprehensive, competitive and targeted marketing and promotion programs. The “My Borgata Rewards” program, for example, offers players a hassle-free way of earning slot dollars, comp dollars and other rewards and benefits based on game play, with convenient on-line access of account balances and other program information. In addition, Borgata strives to differentiate its casino with high-quality guest services to further enhance overall brand and customer experience to position Borgata as the must visit property in Atlantic City.

Other Promotional Activities

From time to time, we offer other promotional offers and discounts targeted towards new customers, frequent customers, inactive customers, customers of various levels of play, and prospective customers who have not yet visited our properties, and mid-week and other promotional activities that seek to generate visits to our properties during slower periods. Complementaries are usually in the form of monetary discounts, and other rewards generally can only be redeemed at our restaurants, retail and spa facilities.

General Business Developments

Significant developments affecting our business for the five years ended December 31, 2012 are as follows:

On December 27, 2012, we entered into the First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "First Credit Facility Amendment"), among the Company, certain financial institutions as lenders (the “Credit Facility Lenders”) and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer, that (i) decreases the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined therein) for the fiscal quarters ending June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2013, (ii) increases the maximum Total Leverage Ratio (as defined therein) for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (iii) increases the maximum Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined therein) for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (iv) during the first four calendar quarters after the execution of any management agreement pursuant to which management fees are payable to the Company or a restricted subsidiary of the Company, adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to reflect the annualized pro forma management fees paid in cash or to be paid in cash pursuant to such agreement, (v) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude any non-cash income or gain and any non-cash loss, costs, and expenses resulting from earn out obligations and other contingent consideration, (vi) adjusts the calculation of Borgata EBIT (as defined therein) such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Borgata EBIT will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Borgata EBIT out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, and (vii) modifies the definition of Interest Coverage Ratio to exclude any non-cash interest expense resulting from earn out obligations and other contingent consideration.

On December 27, 2012, Marina District Finance Company, Inc. (the “MDFC”) entered into the Second Amendment to Credit Agreement (the “Second Borgata Credit Facility Amendment”), among MDFC, MDFC's parent company, Marina District Development Company ("MDDC"), certain financial institutions as lenders (each a "Borgata Lender", and collectively the "Borgata Lenders") and Wells Fargo, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), as administrative agent,

that (i) decreases the minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to \$110.0 million for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (ii) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude certain losses, charges, and expenses, (iii) adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Consolidated EBITDA will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Consolidated EBITDA out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, (iv) reduces the Aggregate Commitments (as defined therein) to \$60.0 million, (v) modifies the Use of Proceeds covenant to provide that the proceeds of revolving loans can only be used to repurchase or redeem MDFC's senior secured notes if, after giving affect thereto, the aggregate amount of outstanding loans and letters of credit under the Borgata bank credit facility does not exceed \$50.0 million, and (vi) adds a covenant prohibiting MDFC and MDDC from repurchasing or redeeming MDFC's senior secured notes at any time unless Consolidated EBITDA was at least \$125.0 million for the most recently ended period of four consecutive fiscal quarters prior thereto.

Table of Contents

On December 12, 2012, Kansas Star opened its new and permanent casino floor and all five restaurants to the public, including the Woodfire Grille Steakhouse, The Kitchen Buffet, An Pho, Shark Bar and Panini Jo's. The full casino floor has 1,829 slot machines, 45 table games, 10 table poker room, and gift shop. Construction is in process to transform the former temporary casino into a multi-purpose arena.

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities.

Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

On July 24, 2012, we announced that we had entered into a development agreement with Sunrise Sports Entertainment, LLP, the operator of the BB&T Center, a major entertainment venue in South Florida and home to the NHL's Florida Panthers, for a new project in Broward County, Florida. The agreement provides the Company the opportunity to take advantage of the potential to expand gaming in South Florida at the site of the BB&T Center. On July 24, 2012, we announced a development agreement and management agreement with Wilton Rancheria, a federally-recognized tribe located about 30 miles southeast of Sacramento, California, to develop and manage a gaming entertainment complex.

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities related to the IP, on an as-is basis. The net purchase price was \$280.6 million. Accordingly, the acquired assets and assumed liabilities of IP are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2011.

On October 31, 2011, we announced that we had entered into an agreement with bwin.party digital entertainment plc, the world's largest publicly traded online gaming company. Should Congress legalize online poker in the United States, and subject to regulatory approvals, we would acquire a 10% stake in a new company that would offer online poker to U.S.-based players under bwin.party's brands, including PartyPoker. Separately, we entered into a 15-year agreement to use bwin.party's technology platform and associated services, at favorable rates and costs to us, to offer online poker to U.S. players under a brand we develop.

On March 24, 2010, as a result of the amendment to our operating agreement with MGM Resorts International (the successor in interest to MGM MIRAGE) ("MGM"), which provided, among other things, for the termination of MGM's participating rights in the operations of Borgata, we effectively obtained control of Borgata. As a result, we have included Borgata in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and its results of operations and cash flows from March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010 and for the full year ended December 31, 2011 in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Prior period amounts were not restated or recasted as a result of this change.

Blue Chip opened on January 22, 2009, following completion of an expansion project that added a 22-story hotel, which includes 300 guest rooms, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues to the existing property structures.

In 2008, we established our nationwide branding initiative and loyalty program. Players are able to use their "B Connected" (or, formerly, "Club Coast") cards to earn and redeem points at nearly all of our wholly-owned Boyd Gaming properties in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Mississippi.

The Water Club, a 798-room boutique hotel expansion project at Borgata, opened in June 2008. The expansion includes five swimming pools, a state-of-the-art spa, additional meeting and retail space, and a separate porte-cochere

and front desk.

Business Strategy

Our properties generally operate in highly competitive environments. We compete against other gaming companies as well as

4

Table of Contents

other hospitality, entertainment and leisure companies. We believe that the following factors have contributed to our success in the past and are central to our success in the future:

- we emphasize slot revenues, the most consistently profitable segment of the gaming industry;
- we have comprehensive marketing and promotion programs;
 - six of our Las Vegas properties are well-positioned to capitalize on the Las Vegas locals market;
- our downtown Las Vegas properties focus their marketing programs on, and derive a majority of their revenues from, a unique niche - Hawaiian customers;
- our operations are geographically diversified within the United States;
- we have the ability to expand certain existing properties and make opportunistic and strategic acquisitions; and
- we have an experienced management team.

Properties

As of December 31, 2012, we own or operate 1,255,576 square feet of casino space, containing 31,577 slot machines, 758 table games and 11,416 hotel rooms. We derive the majority of our gross revenues from our gaming operations, which generated approximately 72% of gross revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, and 2011 and 73% of gross revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010 respectively. Food and beverage revenues, which generated approximately 14% of gross revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, represent the next most significant revenue source, followed by room and other, both of which separately contributed less than 10% of gross revenues during all of these respective years.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our properties (listed by the segment in which each such property is reported), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

	Year Opened or Acquired	Casino Space (Sq. ft.)	Slot Machines	Table Games	Hotel Rooms	Hotel Occupancy	Average Daily Rate
Las Vegas Locals							
Gold Coast Hotel and Casino	2004	85,500	1,880	49	711	85 %	\$46
The Orleans Hotel and Casino	2004	133,800	2,593	60	1,885	88 %	\$51
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	1979	126,700	2,053	26	646	87 %	\$44
Suncoast Hotel and Casino	2004	95,000	2,016	34	426	83 %	\$64
Eldorado Casino	1993	24,200	406	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
Jokers Wild Casino	1993	28,100	433	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
Downtown Las Vegas							
California Hotel and Casino	1975	36,000	1,047	28	781	87 %	\$34
Fremont Hotel and Casino	1985	30,200	1,047	24	447	85 %	\$37
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel	1993	27,000	867	19	406	88 %	\$38
Midwest and South							
Mississippi							
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	1994	66,000	1,277	30	842	62 %	\$47
IP Casino Resort Spa	2011	70,000	1,783	63	1,100	90 %	\$83
Illinois							
Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino	1996	26,000	1,176	20	202	92 %	\$66
Indiana							
Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa	1999	65,000	1,954	42	486	76 %	\$72
Louisiana							
Treasure Chest Casino	1997	24,000	982	36	N/A	N/A	N/A
Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel	2001	15,000	1,639	—	203	92 %	\$56
Sam's Town Hotel and Casino	2004	30,000	1,048	29	514	85 %	\$84
Peninsula Gaming							
Iowa							
Diamond Jo Dubuque	2012	37,291	992	19	N/A	N/A	N/A
Diamond Jo Worth	2012	37,957	988	22	N/A	N/A	N/A
Louisiana							
Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino	2012	41,235	1,424	—	N/A	N/A	N/A
Amelia Belle Casino	2012	24,452	838	17	N/A	N/A	N/A
Kansas							
Kansas Star Casino	2012	71,854	1,829	45	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total of wholly-owned properties		1,095,289	28,272	575	8,649		
Atlantic City, New Jersey							
Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa	2003	160,287	3,305	183	2,767	85 %	\$133
Total all properties		1,255,576	31,577	758	11,416		
N/A = Not Applicable							

Hawaiian Operations

In addition to these properties, we own and operate a travel agency in Hawaii, and a captive insurance company, also in Hawaii, that underwrites travel-related insurance.

Dania Jai-Alai

6

Table of Contents

We also own and operate Dania Jai-Alai, which is a pari-mutuel jai-alai facility with approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida. The results of Dania Jai-Alai are included as part of the “Other” category in our segment information. As discussed in Note 24, Subsequent Events, on February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment entered into the Dania Agreement for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

Las Vegas Locals Segment

Our Las Vegas Locals segment consists of six casinos that serve the resident population of the Las Vegas metropolitan area, which had been one of the fastest growing areas in the United States prior to the economic downturn beginning in late 2007. Las Vegas has historically been characterized by a vibrant economy and strong demographics that include a large population of retirees and other active gaming customers. Although we are seeing signs of stabilization, the current recession has had an adverse impact on the growth and economy of Las Vegas, resulting in significant declines in the local housing market and unstable unemployment in the Las Vegas valley, which has negatively affected consumer spending. Our Las Vegas Locals segment competes directly with other locals' casinos and gaming companies, some of which operate larger casinos and offer different promotions than ours.

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino (“Gold Coast”) is located on Flamingo Road, approximately one mile west of the Las Vegas Strip and one-quarter mile west of Interstate 15, the major highway linking Las Vegas and southern California. Its location offers easy access from all four directions in the Las Vegas valley. The primary target market for Gold Coast consists of local middle-market customers who actively gamble. Gold Coast's amenities include 711 hotel rooms and suites along with meeting facilities, multiple restaurant options, a 70-lane bowling center and gaming, including slots, table games, a race and sports book and a bingo center.

The Orleans Hotel and Casino

The Orleans Hotel and Casino (“The Orleans”) is located on Tropicana Avenue, a short distance from the Las Vegas Strip. The target markets for The Orleans are both local residents and visitors to the Las Vegas area. The Orleans provides an exciting New Orleans French Quarter-themed environment. Amenities at The Orleans include 1,885 hotel rooms, a variety of restaurants and bars, a spa and fitness center, 18 stadium-seating movie theaters, a 70-lane bowling center, banquet and meeting space, and a special events arena that seats up to 9,500 patrons.

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall (“Sam's Town Las Vegas”) is located on the Boulder Strip, approximately six miles east of the Las Vegas Strip, and features a contemporary western theme. Its informal, friendly atmosphere appeals to both local residents and visitors alike. Amenities at Sam's Town Las Vegas include 646 hotel rooms, a variety of restaurants and bars, 18 stadium-seating movie theaters, and a 56-lane bowling center. Gaming, bowling and live entertainment create a social center that has attracted many Las Vegas residents to Sam's Town Las Vegas.

Suncoast Hotel and Casino

Suncoast Hotel and Casino (“Suncoast”) is located in Peccole Ranch, a master-planned community adjacent to Summerlin, and is readily accessible from most major points in Las Vegas, including downtown and the Las Vegas Strip. The primary target market for Suncoast consists of local middle-market customers who gamble frequently. Suncoast is a Mediterranean-themed facility that features 426 hotel rooms, multiple restaurant options, 25,000 square feet of banquet and meeting facilities, 16 stadium-seating movie theatres, and a 64-lane bowling center.

Eldorado Casino and Jokers Wild Casino

Located in downtown Henderson, the Eldorado Casino (“Eldorado”) is approximately 14 miles from the Las Vegas Strip. Jokers Wild Casino (“Jokers Wild”) is also located in Henderson. The amenities at each of these properties include slots, table games, a sports book, and dining options. The principal customers of these properties are Henderson residents.

Downtown Las Vegas Segment

We directly compete with 11 casinos that operate in downtown Las Vegas; however, we have developed a distinct niche for our downtown properties by focusing on customers from Hawaii. Our downtown properties focus their

marketing on gaming enthusiasts from Hawaii and tour and travel agents in Hawaii with whom we have cultivated relationships since we opened our California Hotel and Casino (“California”) in 1975. Through our Hawaiian travel agency, Vacations Hawaii, we operate as many as four charter flights from Honolulu to Las Vegas each week, helping to ensure a stable supply of air transportation. We also have strong, informal relationships with other Hawaiian travel agencies and offer affordable all-inclusive packages. These relationships, combined with our Hawaiian promotions, have allowed California, Fremont Hotel and Casino (“Fremont”) and Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel (“Main Street Station”) to capture a significant share of the Hawaiian tourist trade in Las Vegas. During the year ended December 31, 2012, patrons from Hawaii comprised approximately 66% of the occupied room nights at California, 48% of the occupied room nights at Fremont, and 52% of the occupied room nights at Main Street Station.

Table of Contents

California Hotel and Casino

California's amenities include 781 hotel rooms, multiple dining options, a sports book, and meeting space. California and Main Street Station are connected by an indoor pedestrian bridge.

Fremont Hotel and Casino

Fremont is adjacent to the principal pedestrian thoroughfare in downtown Las Vegas known as the Fremont Street Experience. The property's amenities include 447 hotel rooms, a race and sports book, and meeting space.

Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel

Main Street Station's amenities include 406 hotel rooms and three restaurants, one of which includes a brewery. In addition, Main Street Station features a 96-space recreational vehicle park, the only such facility in the downtown area.

Midwest and South Segment

Our Midwest and South properties consist of four dockside riverboat casinos, one racino and two barge-based casinos that operate in four states in the Midwest and southern United States. Generally, these states allow casino gaming on a limited basis through the issuance of a limited number of gaming licenses. Our Midwest and South properties generally serve customers within a 100-mile radius and compete directly with other casino facilities operating in their respective immediate and surrounding market areas, as well as with gaming operations in surrounding jurisdictions.

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall ("Sam's Town Tunica") is a barge-based casino located in Tunica County, Mississippi. The property has extensive amenities, including 842 hotel rooms, an entertainment lounge, four dining venues, and the 1,600-seat River Palace Arena. Tunica is the closest gaming market to Memphis, Tennessee and is located approximately 30 miles south of Memphis.

IP Casino Resort Spa

IP overlooks the scenic back bay of Biloxi and is one of the premier resorts on the Mississippi Gulf Coast, and a recipient of an AAA Four Diamond Award. The property features nearly 1,100 hotel rooms and suites; a 70,000-square-foot casino with 1,783 slot machines and 63 table games; 73,000 square feet of convention and meeting space; a spa and salon; a 1,400-seat theater offering regular headline entertainment; six lounges and bars; and eight restaurants, including a steak and seafood restaurant, and an upscale Asian restaurant.

Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino

Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino ("Par-A-Dice") is a dockside riverboat casino located on the Illinois River in East Peoria, Illinois that features a 202-room hotel. Located adjacent to the Par-A-Dice riverboat is a land-based pavilion, which includes three restaurants, a cocktail lounge, and a gift shop. Par-A-Dice is strategically located near Interstate 74, a major east-west interstate highway.

Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa

Blue Chip is a dockside riverboat casino located in Michigan City, Indiana, which is 40 miles west of South Bend, Indiana and 60 miles east of Chicago, Illinois. The property competes primarily with five casinos in northern Indiana and southern Michigan and, to a lesser extent, with casinos in the Chicago area and racinos located near Indianapolis. In 2006, we began operations on our newly constructed single-level dockside riverboat at Blue Chip. The new boat allowed us to expand our casino and, in connection with the construction of our new boat, add a new parking structure and enhance the land-based pavilion. On January 22, 2009, we completed an expansion project at Blue Chip that added a 22-story hotel, which included 300 additional guest rooms and increased total guest rooms to 486, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues to the existing property structure.

Treasure Chest Casino

Treasure Chest Casino ("Treasure Chest") is a dockside riverboat casino located on Lake Pontchartrain in the western suburbs of New Orleans, Louisiana. The property is designed as a classic 18th century Victorian style paddlewheel riverboat, with a total capacity for 1,750 people. The entertainment complex located adjacent to the riverboat houses a 140-seat Caribbean showroom and two restaurants. Located approximately five miles from the New Orleans International Airport, Treasure Chest primarily serves residents of suburban New Orleans.

Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel

Delta Downs is located in Vinton, Louisiana and has historically conducted horse races on a seasonal basis and operated year-round simulcast facilities for customers to wager on races held at other tracks. In 2002, we began slot operations in connection with a renovation project that expanded the facility. We completed an expansion of the casino in 2004 and opened a 203-room hotel at the property in 2005. Delta Downs is approximately 25 miles closer to Houston than the next closest gaming property, located in Lake Charles, Louisiana. Customers traveling from Houston, Beaumont and other parts of southeastern Texas will

Table of Contents

generally drive past Delta Downs to reach Lake Charles.

Sam's Town Hotel and Casino

Sam's Town Hotel and Casino ("Sam's Town Shreveport") is a dockside riverboat casino located along the Red River in Shreveport, Louisiana. Amenities at the property include 514 hotel rooms, a spa, four restaurants, a live entertainment venue, and convention and meeting space. Feeder markets include east Texas (including Dallas), Texarkana, Arkansas and surrounding Louisiana cities, including Bossier City, Minden, Ruston and Monroe. The continued expansion of Native American gaming in Oklahoma could have a material adverse impact on the operations of Sam's Town Shreveport.

Peninsula Gaming Segment

Our Peninsula Gaming properties consist of three casinos, one racino and one riverboat casino that operate in three states including Louisiana, Iowa and Kansas. Generally, these states allow casino gaming on a limited basis through the issuance of a limited number of gaming licenses. Our Peninsula Gaming properties generally compete directly with other casino facilities operating in their respective immediate and surrounding market areas, as well as with gaming operations in surrounding jurisdictions.

Diamond Jo Dubuque

Diamond Jo is a land-based casino located in the Port of Dubuque, a waterfront development on the Mississippi River in downtown Dubuque, Iowa. The Diamond Jo is a two-story, approximately 188,000 square foot property that includes 992 slot machines and 19 table games. Additional amenities include a 30-lane bowling center, a 33,000 square foot event center, and two banquet rooms. The property also features five dining outlets, including the Kitchen Buffet, a 184-seat live action buffet, Woodfire Grille, the casino's 133-seat high-end restaurant, Mojo's, a 124-seat sports bar, a deli and a snack shop, as well as three full service bars.

Diamond Jo Worth

The Diamond Jo Worth is a land-based casino situated on a 36-acre site in Northwood, Iowa, which is located in north-central Iowa, near the Minnesota border and approximately 30 miles north of Mason City. The casino currently has 988 slot machines, 22 table games and 7 poker tables in operation, as well as a 5,200 square foot event center and several dining options, including the Kitchen Buffet, a 190-seat buffet restaurant, and Woodfire Grille, a 114-seat high-end restaurant. There is a 100-room hotel adjacent to the casino, which is owned and operated by a third party. Under an agreement with the third party operator, the Diamond Jo Worth has the option to purchase the hotel from the third party operator. Diamond Jo Worth also operates a convenience store and gas station at the site. In March 2011, an additional 60-room hotel opened, which is owned and operated by a third party and provides additional hotel room capacity for the casino guests.

Evangeline Downs

The Evangeline Downs is a land-based racino located in Louisiana. The racino currently includes a casino with 1,424 slot machines and approximately 23,000 square foot convention center. The racino features a 353-seat Cajun buffet, 60-seat Gumbo bar, a 90-seat Cafe and Blackberry, a 140-seat fine dining restaurant. In the clubhouse, Silk's Fine Dining offers a varied menu and the grandstand area contains a concession and bar. The racino includes a one-mile dirt track, a 7/8-mile turf track, stables for 980 horses, a grandstand and clubhouse seating for 1,295 patrons, an apron and patio space for an additional 3,000 patrons. In addition, an affiliate of Evangeline Downs opened a 117-room hotel adjacent to the racino in November 2010 that includes 41 suites, two meeting rooms and an indoor pool.

Evangeline Downs currently operates four Off Track Betting ("OTB") locations in Louisiana in each of Port Allen, Henderson, Eunice and St. Martinville. Each of the OTB's offers simulcast pari-mutuel wagering and video poker. Under Louisiana's racing and off-track betting laws, we have a right of prior approval with respect to any applicant seeking a permit to operate an OTB within a 55-mile radius of our Evangeline Downs racetrack, which effectively gives us the exclusive right, at our option, to operate additional OTB's within such a radius, provided that such OTB is not also within a 55-mile radius of another horse racetrack.

Amelia Belle Casino

The Amelia Belle Casino is located in south-central Louisiana, and is a three-level riverboat with gaming located on the first two decks and includes 838 slot machines, and 17 table games. The third deck of the riverboat includes a 119-seat buffet and banquet room.

Kansas Star Casino

The Kansas Star Casino serves as Lottery Gaming Facility Manager for the South Central Gaming Zone on behalf of the Kansas Lottery pursuant to a Management Agreement that became effective on January 14, 2011 ("Kansas Management Contract"). We began construction of the Kansas Star in March 2011. In December 2011, we completed construction of our 162,000 square foot indoor event center, and on December 20, 2011, we began casino operations, utilizing this space in the interim, while the remaining casino facilities were being constructed. On December 12, 2012, we opened our permanent casino which includes additional gaming space, 1,829 slot machines, 45 table games, 10 poker tables and a number of amenities including a buffet, steakhouse,

Table of Contents

deli, noodle bar, a casino bar as well as a poker themed bar. In addition, a 150 room hotel adjacent to the Kansas Star opened to the public in October 2012. We are currently in the process of renovating the 162,000 square foot indoor event center which housed our interim casino operations during much of 2012. When completed, the event center will be able to host a number of events including concerts, trade shows and equestrian events.

Atlantic City, New Jersey

Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa

Borgata opened in Atlantic City, New Jersey in July 2003. Atlantic City is predominantly a regional day-trip and overnight-trip market. Borgata directly competes with ten other Atlantic City casinos as well as with gaming operations in surrounding jurisdictions. Borgata is an upscale destination resort that features a 160,287 square-foot casino with 3,305 slot machines and 183 table games. The property has a total of 2,767 guest rooms and suites comprised of 1,971 guest rooms and suites at the Borgata hotel and 798 guest rooms and suites at The Water Club. Marina District Development Company, LLC ("MDDC") developed, owns and operates Borgata. Borgata features six fine-dining restaurants with acclaimed chefs including Bobby Flay, Michael Mina, Wolfgang Puck, Michael Schulson and Stephen Kalt, six casual dining restaurants, eight quick dining options, 17 retail boutiques, two European-style spas, two nightclubs and over 8,200 parking spaces. In addition, the property contains approximately 88,000 square feet of meeting and event space, as well as two entertainment venues.

We own a 50% interest in Marina District Development Holding Co., LLC ("Holding Company"), which owns all the equity interests in MDDC, d.b.a. Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa. As the managing member, we are responsible for the day-to-day operations of Borgata, including the operation and maintenance of the facility. Borgata employs a management team and full staff to perform these services for the property. We maintain the oversight and responsibility for the operations, but do not receive a management fee from Borgata. As discussed further in Other Events below, we amended our operating agreement with MGM (our original 50% partner in Borgata), which provided, among other things, for the termination of MGM's participating rights in the operations of Borgata.

Segments

For further information related to our segment information for revenues and operating income as of and for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, see Note 20, Segment Information to our consolidated financial statements presented in Part IV, Item 15, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Development Project

Echelon

We owned 87 acres of land on the Las Vegas Strip, where our multibillion dollar Echelon development project was to be located. On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of Echelon. We originally expected to resume development in the project in three to five years. However, as discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development and Note 24, Subsequent Events, during the three months ended December 31, 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting to sell the Echelon site for \$350.0 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Central Energy Facility

LVE is a joint venture between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC. We had entered into an Energy Sales Agreement (the "ESA") with LVE to design, build, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon) and operate a district energy system and central energy center for our planned Echelon resort development to provide electricity, emergency electricity generation, and chilled and hot water to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties. LVE

began construction of the facility in 2007.

On March 1, 2013, as part of the sale of the Echelon site, we entered into a definitive agreement with LVE to permit Genting to acquire LVE's power plant improvements on the Echelon site. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013 and Genting paid LVE \$187.0 million at the closing.

Other Events

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities of Peninsula Gaming. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

Development agreement with Sunrise Sports, LLP

On July 24, 2012, we announced that we had entered into a development agreement with Sunrise Sports Entertainment, LLP, the operator of the BB&T Center, a major entertainment venue in South Florida and home to the NHL's Florida Panthers, for a new project in Broward County, Florida. The agreement provides the Company the opportunity to take advantage of the potential to expand gaming in South Florida at the site of the BB&T Center.

Development agreement with Wilton Rancheria

On July 24, 2012, we announced a development agreement and management agreement with Wilton Rancheria, a federally-recognized tribe located about 30 miles southeast of Sacramento, California, to develop and manage a gaming entertainment complex.

Agreement to sell Dania Jai-Alai

On April 29, 2011, the Aragon Group and Summersport Enterprises, LLC, two of our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries (the "Dania Sellers"), and Dania Entertainment entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Original Dania Agreement") for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of Dania Jai-Alai. Pursuant to the terms of the Original Dania Agreement, the Dania Sellers agreed to sell and transfer, and Dania Entertainment agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities related to Dania Jai-Alai, for a purchase price of \$80 million. On September 15, 2011, Dania Entertainment elected to extend the closing date of its pending acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai in Dania Beach, Fla. The sale was then expected to close on or before November 28, 2011. As permitted under the terms of the definitive sale agreement, Dania Entertainment had made an additional, non-refundable payment of \$2 million to Boyd Gaming in exchange for the extension of the closing date. Boyd Gaming previously received a \$5 million non-refundable deposit upon execution of the definitive agreement. The Original Dania Agreement provided that the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Original Dania Agreement was to occur on or prior to November 28, 2011; however, on November 28, 2011, we announced the termination of the Original Dania Agreement after receiving notice from Dania Entertainment that Dania Entertainment would be unable to close on such date. On February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment entered into the New Dania Agreement for the sale of certain assets and liabilities for a purchase price of \$65.5 million as part of a settlement agreement pursuant to which Dania Entertainment dismissed, with prejudice, its lawsuit filed in November 2011 against the Dania Sellers. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the New Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

Agreement with bwin.party

On October 31, 2011, we announced that we had entered into an agreement with bwin.party digital entertainment plc, the world's largest publicly traded online gaming company. Should Congress legalize online poker in the United States, and subject to regulatory approvals, we would acquire a 10% stake in a new company that would offer online poker to United States-based players under bwin.party's brands, including PartyPoker. Separately, we entered into a 15-year agreement to use bwin.party's technology platform and associated services to offer online poker to United States players under a brand we develop, assuming Congress passes enabling legislation.

Acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa ("IP")

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa ("IP") in Biloxi, Mississippi pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities, respectively, related to the IP, on an as-is basis. The net purchase

price was approximately \$280.6 million. In addition to the net purchase price, the Company intends to perform certain capital improvement projects with respect to the property at an estimated cost of \$44 million. The financial position of IP is presented in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2012; and its results of operations are included in our 2011 consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the period from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011.

Consolidation of Borgata

On March 24, 2010, as a result of the amendment to our operating agreement with MGM, which provided, among other things, for the termination of MGM's participating rights in the operations of Borgata, we effectively obtained control of Borgata. As a result, we have consolidated the financial position and results of operations of Borgata from March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010. Prior period amounts were not restated or recasted as a result of this change. The financial position of Borgata is presented in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; its results of operations for the full year are included in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011; its results of operations

Table of Contents

for the period from March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010 are included in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Seasonality

Our cash flows from operating activities are seasonal in nature. Operating results are usually stronger in spring and summer, or during the second and third quarter of our calendar fiscal year, and are traditionally the peak seasons for our business, with autumn and winter being non-peak seasons. Any excess cash flow achieved from operations during peak seasons is used to subsidize non-peak seasons. Performance in non-peak seasons is usually dependent on favorable weather and a long-weekend holiday calendar. In the event that we are unable to generate excess cash flows in one or more peak seasons, we may not be able to subsidize non-peak seasons.

Competition

We face significant competition in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Such competition may intensify in some of these jurisdictions if new gaming operations open in these markets or existing competitors expand their operations. Our properties compete directly with other gaming properties in each state in which we operate, as well as in adjacent states. We also compete for customers with other casino operators in other markets, including casinos located on Native American reservations, and other forms of gaming, such as lotteries and internet gaming. Many of our competitors are larger and have substantially greater name recognition and marketing and financial resources. In some instances, particularly with Native American casinos, our competitors pay substantially lower taxes or no taxes at all. We believe that increased legalized gaming in other states, particularly in areas close to our existing gaming properties and the development or expansion of Native American gaming in or near the states in which we operate, could create additional competition for us and could adversely affect our operations or future development projects. There is also current legislation pending in certain states, such as Nevada, California and Iowa, to legalize internet gaming in their states. Internet gaming could create additional competition for us and could adversely affect our operations.

Government Regulation

We are subject to extensive regulation under laws, rules and supervisory procedures primarily in the jurisdictions where our facilities are located or docked. If additional gaming regulations are adopted in a jurisdiction in which we operate, such regulations could impose restrictions or costs that could have a significant adverse effect on us. From time to time, various proposals have been introduced in the legislatures of some of the jurisdictions in which we have existing or planned operations that, if enacted, could adversely affect the tax, regulatory, operational or other aspects of the gaming industry and us. We do not know whether or not such legislation will be enacted. The federal government has also previously considered a federal tax on casino revenues and the elimination of betting on NCAA events and may consider such a tax or eliminations on betting in the future. In addition, gaming companies are currently subject to significant state and local taxes and fees in addition to normal federal and state corporate income taxes, and such taxes and fees are subject to increase at any time. Any material increase in these taxes or fees could adversely affect us.

Some jurisdictions, including Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi and New Jersey, empower their regulators to investigate participation by licensees in gaming outside their jurisdiction and require access to periodic reports respecting those gaming activities. Violations of laws in one jurisdiction could result in disciplinary action in other jurisdictions.

Employees and Labor Relations

At December 31, 2012, we employed approximately 25,247 persons, of which 19,390 were employed by Boyd Gaming Corporation and 5,857 were employed by Borgata. On such date, Boyd had collective bargaining agreements with three unions covering 1,528 employees and Borgata had collective bargaining agreements with four unions covering 2,276 employees. Other agreements are in various stages of negotiation. Employees covered by expired agreements have continued to work during the negotiations, in two cases under the terms of the expired agreements.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Nevada in June 1988. Our principal executive offices are currently located at 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ninth Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89169, and our main telephone number is (702) 792-7200. Our website

is www.boydgaming.com.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You may read and copy, at prescribed rates, any document we have filed at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 (1-800-732-0330) for further information on the public reference room. The SEC also maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC (<http://www.sec.gov>). You also may read and copy reports and other information filed by us at the office of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc., 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

Table of Contents

We make our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to these reports, available free of charge on our corporate website as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. In addition, our Code of Business Conduct, Corporate Governance Guidelines, and charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation and Stock Option Committee, and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee are available on our website. We will provide reasonable quantities of electronic or paper copies of filings free of charge upon request. In addition, we will provide a copy of the above referenced charters to stockholders upon request.

Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Such statements contain words such as "may," "will," "might," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "outlook," "could," "would," "estimate," "continue," "pursue," "target," "project," "intend," "plan," "seek," "estimate," "should," and "continue," or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, and may include statements regarding (all capitalized terms have the meaning ascribed to such terms throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K):

- the factors that contribute to our ongoing success and our ability to be successful in the future;
- our business model, areas of focus and strategy for realizing improved results when normalized business volumes return;
- competition, including expansion of gaming into additional markets, the impact of competition on our operations, our ability to respond to such competition, and our expectations regarding continued competition in the markets in which we compete;
- our estimated effective income tax rates; estimated tax benefits; and merits of our tax positions;
- the general effect, and expectation, of the national and global economy on our business, as well as the economies where each of our properties are located;
- our belief as to the resiliency of certain of the local economies where certain of our properties are located;
- our expenses;
 - indebtedness, including Boyd Gaming's and Borgata's ability to refinance or pay amounts outstanding under our respective bank credit facilities and notes when they become due and our compliance with related covenants, and our expectation that we and Borgata will need to refinance all or a portion of our respective indebtedness at or before maturity;
- our expectations with respect to Borgata, including our responsibility and control over day-to-day operations and the managerial resources we expect to devote to effectuate the sale of the MGM Interest;
- our statements with respect to our B Connected loyalty program, including its ability to drive profitable business to our properties;
- our belief that Borgata's future results will be negatively impacted the opening of a new property in Atlantic City;
- our expectation regarding the trends that will affect the gaming industry over the next few years and the impact of these trends on merger and acquisition activity in general;
- our belief that consumer confidence will strengthen as the job market recovers and expands;
- our expectations with respect to the valuation of Borgata's tangible and intangible assets;
- the type of covenants that will be included in any future debt instruments;
- our expectations with respect to continued disruptions in the global capital markets, the effect of such disruptions on consumer confidence and reduced levels of consumer spending and the impact of these trends on our financial results;
- our ability to meet our projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures and the costs associated with our expansion, renovations and development of new projects;
- our ability to pay dividends or to pay any specific rate of dividends, and our expectations with respect to the receipt of dividends from Borgata;
- our commitment to finding opportunities to strengthen our balance sheet and to operate more efficiently;
 - our intention to pursue acquisition opportunities that are a good fit for our business, deliver a solid return for shareholders, and are available at the right price;
 -

our intention to fund purchases made under our share repurchase program, if any, with existing cash resources and availability under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended, the "Credit Facility");

- our assumptions and expectations regarding our critical accounting estimates;
- Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted Earnings Per Share and their usefulness as measures of operating performance or valuation;
- our expectations for capital improvement projects with respect to IP and Peninsula;
- the impact of new accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements;
- that our Credit Facility, the MDFC \$150 million payment priority secured revolving credit facility (the "Borgata bank credit facility") and the Peninsula Gaming \$875.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "Peninsula

Table of Contents

Credit Facility”) and our respective cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to meet our respective projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures for the next twelve months;

- our ability to fund any expansion projects using cash flows from operations and availability under the Credit Facility;
- our market risk exposure and efforts to minimize risk;
- expansion, development, investment and renovation plans, including the scope of such plans, expected costs, financing (including sources thereof and our expectation that long-term debt will substantially increase in connection with such projects), timing and the ability to achieve market acceptance;
- our belief that, except for the Copeland matter discussed herein, all pending claims, if adversely decided, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations;
- that margin improvements will remain a driver of profit growth for us going-forward;
- our belief that the risks to our business associated with the United States Coast Guard, ("USCG") inspection should not change by reason of inspection by American Bureau of Shipping Consulting, ("ABSC").
- development opportunities in existing or new jurisdictions and our ability to successfully take advantage of such opportunities;
- regulations, including anticipated taxes, tax credits or tax refunds expected, and the ability to receive and maintain necessary approvals for our projects;
- our expectation that Congress legalizes online gaming in the United States;
- our asset impairment analyses and our intangible asset and goodwill impairment tests;
- the resolution of our pending litigation, including the litigation involving Treasure Chest casino;
- the likelihood of interruptions to our rights in the land we lease under long-term leases for certain of our hotel and casinos;
- the outcome of various tax audits and assessments, including our appeals thereof, timing of resolution of such audits, our estimates as to the amount of taxes that will ultimately be owed and the impact of these audits on our consolidated financial statements;
- the impact of our Nevada use tax refund claims;
- our overall outlook, including all statements under the heading Overall Outlook in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- our ability to receive insurance reimbursement and our estimates of self-insurance accruals and future liability;
- that operating results for previous periods are not necessarily indicative of future performance;
- that estimates and assumptions made in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP may differ from actual results;
- our expectations regarding our cost containment efforts;
- the benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition, the effect of the Peninsula Acquisition on Boyd Gaming's future financial results and profile, the impact for customers and employees, future capital expenditures, expenses, revenues, earnings, economic performance, financial condition, losses and future prospects;
- the impact of the financing we entered into in connection with the Peninsula Acquisition;
- the anticipated benefits of geographical diversity resulting from the Peninsula Acquisition;
- the future results of Peninsula Gaming's gaming properties, including without limitation, Kansas Star;
- our belief that recently issued accounting pronouncements discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K will not have a material impact on our financial statements;
- our estimates as to the effect of any changes in our Consolidated EBITDA on our ability to remain in compliance with certain Credit Facility covenants;
- the anticipated closing of the sale of Dania Jai-Alai to Dania Entertainment pursuant to the New Dania Agreement;
- the anticipated new development project with Sunrise Sports Entertainment, and the passage of enabling legislation;
- the anticipated new development project with Wilton Rancheria, and the passage of enabling legislation;
- expectations, plans, beliefs, hopes or intentions regarding the future, and:
- assumptions underlying any of the foregoing statements.

Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking

statements include:

- The effects of intense competition that exists in the gaming industry.
- The economic downturn and its effect on consumer spending.

The fact that our expansion, development and renovation projects (including enhancements to improve property performance) are subject to many risks inherent in expansion, development or construction of a new or existing project, including:

- design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects;

Table of Contents

delays and significant cost increases, shortages of materials, shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages; poor performance or nonperformance of any of our partners or other third parties upon whom we are relying in connection with any of our projects;

construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems, weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses;

failure by us, our partners, or Borgata to obtain financing on acceptable terms, or at all; and

failure to obtain necessary government or other approvals on time, or at all.

The risk that USCG may not continue to allow in-place underwater inspections of our riverboats.

The risk that any of our projects may not be completed, if at all, on time or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us.

The risk that significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of any of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The risk that our projects may not help us compete with new or increased competition in our markets.

The risk that new gaming licenses or jurisdictions become available (or offer different gaming regulations or taxes) that results in increased competition to us.

The risk associated with owning real property, including environmental regulation and uncertainties with respect to environmental expenditures and liabilities;

The risk associated with challenges to legalized gaming in existing or current markets;

The risk that the actual fair value for assets acquired and liabilities assumed from any of our acquisitions differ materially from our preliminary estimates.

The risk that negative industry or economic trends, including the market price of our common stock trading below its book value, reduced estimates of future cash flows, disruptions to our business, slower growth rates or lack of growth in our business, may result in significant write-downs or impairments in future periods.

The risks associated with growth and acquisitions, including our ability to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems.

The risk that we may not receive gaming or other necessary licenses for new projects or that regulatory authorities may revoke, suspend, condition or limit our gaming or other licenses, impose substantial fines and take other adverse actions against any of our casino operations.

Our inability to select the new joint venture partner for Borgata and the possibility that a new operating agreement will be entered into with the new venture partner, which could result in changes to Borgata's ongoing operations.

The risk that we may be unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, including cost overruns on any particular project, as well as other capital expenditures through cash flow, borrowings under our Credit Facility or the Borgata's Credit Facility, as amended, and additional financings, which could jeopardize our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts.

- The risk that we or Borgata may be unable to refinance our respective outstanding indebtedness as it comes due, or that if we or Borgata do refinance, the terms are not favorable to us or them.

Risks associated with our ability to comply with the Total Leverage, Secured Leverage and Interest Coverage ratios as defined in our Credit Facility, and the risks associated with Borgata's ability to comply with the minimum consolidated EBITDA and minimum liquidity covenants in its Borgata bank credit facility;

The risk that we ultimately may not be successful in dismissing the action filed against Treasure Chest and may lose our ability to operate that property, which result could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The effects of the extensive governmental gaming regulation and taxation policies that we are subject to, as well as any changes in laws and regulations, including increased taxes, which could harm our business.

The effects of federal, state and local laws affecting our business such as the regulation of smoking, the regulation of directors, officers, key employees and partners and regulations affecting business in general.

The effects of extreme weather conditions or natural disasters on our facilities and the geographic areas from which we draw our customers, and our ability to recover insurance proceeds (if any).

• The risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance at any of our facilities.

• The risk that the instability in the financial condition of our lenders could have a negative impact on our Credit Facility and the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

• The effects of events adversely impacting the economy or the regions from which we draw a significant percentage of our customers, including the effects of the current economic recession, war, terrorist or similar activity or disasters in, at, or around our properties.

• The effects of energy price increases on our cost of operations and our revenues.

• Financial community and rating agency perceptions of us, and the effect of economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy and the gaming and hotel industry.

Table of Contents

•The effect of the expansion of legalized gaming in the mid-Atlantic region.

•Borgata's expected liabilities under the multiemployer pensions in which it operates.

Additional factors that could cause actual results to differ are discussed in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in other current and periodic reports filed from time to time with the SEC. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect us are described below. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of our securities, including our common stock, senior notes and senior subordinated notes, as well as Borgata's senior secured notes, could decline significantly, and investors could lose all or part of their investment. We encourage investors to also review the risks and uncertainties relating to our business contained in Part I, Item 1, Business - Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Risks Related to our Business

Our business is particularly sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending as a result of downturns in the economy.

Consumer demand for entertainment and other amenities at casino hotel properties, such as ours, are particularly sensitive to downturns in the economy and the corresponding impact on discretionary spending on leisure activities. Changes in discretionary consumer spending or consumer preferences brought about by factors such as perceived or actual general economic conditions, effects of the current decline in consumer confidence in the economy, including the current housing, employment and credit crisis, the impact of high energy and food costs, the increased cost of travel, the potential for continued bank failures, decreased disposable consumer income and wealth, or fears of war and future acts of terrorism could further reduce customer demand for the amenities that we offer, thus imposing practical limits on pricing and negatively impacting our results of operations and financial condition.

For example, we have recently experienced one of the toughest economic periods in Las Vegas Locals history. The current housing crisis and economic slowdown in the United States has resulted in a significant decline in the amount of tourism and spending in Las Vegas. Similarly, weak economic conditions have also adversely affected tourism and spending in Atlantic City, where Borgata is located. Since our business model relies on consumer expenditures on entertainment, luxury and other discretionary items, continuation or deepening of the economic downturn will further adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Intense competition exists in the gaming industry, and we expect competition to continue to intensify.

The gaming industry is highly competitive for both customers and employees, including those at the management level. We compete with numerous casinos and hotel casinos of varying quality and size in market areas where our properties are located. We also compete with other non-gaming resorts and vacation destinations, and with various other casino and other entertainment businesses, and could compete with any new forms of gaming that may be legalized in the future. The casino entertainment business is characterized by competitors that vary considerably in their size, quality of facilities, number of operations, brand identities, marketing and growth strategies, financial strength and capabilities, level of amenities, management talent and geographic diversity. In most markets, we compete directly with other casino facilities operating in the immediate and surrounding market areas. In some markets, we face competition from nearby markets in addition to direct competition within our market areas.

For example, the Kansas Star is located approximately 33 miles north of the Kansas/Oklahoma border and faces competition from established gaming facilities in Kansas and Oklahoma, including First Council Casino, Native Lights Casino, and Kaw Southwind Casino, which are located in Newkirk Oklahoma approximately 60 miles south of

the Kansas Star, in addition to potential expansion of gaming facilities in Oklahoma. The Kansas Star may face additional competition in the Wichita, Kansas metropolitan area. The Wyandotte Nation of Oklahoma has filed an application with the U.S. Department of Interior to have certain land located in Park City, Kansas (in the Wichita metro area) taken into trust by the U.S. Government and to permit gaming. If successful, the Wyandotte Nation would be permitted to open a Class II gaming facility, and upon successful negotiation of a compact with the State of Kansas would be permitted to open a Class III gaming facility. In July 2011, the Wyandotte Nation brought suit against the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Interior to compel the Secretary to take the Park City land into trust. This litigation is ongoing.

In recent years, with fewer new markets opening for development, competition in existing markets has intensified. We have invested in expanding existing facilities, developing new facilities, and acquiring established facilities in existing markets. In addition, our competitors have also invested in expanding their existing facilities and developing new facilities. This expansion of existing casino entertainment properties, the increase in the number of properties and the aggressive marketing strategies of many of our

Table of Contents

competitors have increased competition in many markets in which we compete, and this intense competition can be expected to continue. For example, on May 25, 2012, a new property was opened in Atlantic City which will compete with Borgata for gaming customers. In addition, competition may intensify if our competitors commit additional resources to aggressive pricing and promotional activities in order to attract customers.

If our competitors operate more successfully than we do, if they attract customers away from us as a result of aggressive pricing and promotion, if they are more successful than us in attracting and retaining employees, if their properties are enhanced or expanded, if they operate in jurisdictions that give them operating advantages due to differences or changes in gaming regulations or taxes, or if additional hotels and casinos are established in and around the locations in which we conduct business, we may lose market share or the ability to attract or retain employees. In particular, the expansion of casino gaming in or near any geographic area from which we attract or expect to attract a significant number of our customers could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Also, our business may be adversely impacted by the additional gaming and room capacity in states which may be competitive in the other markets where we operate or intend to operate. Several states are also considering enabling the development and operation of casinos or casino-like operations in their jurisdictions.

For example, the expansion of casino gaming in or near the mid-Atlantic region from which Borgata attracts and expects to attract most of its customers has had an adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition. In January 2010, table game legislation was signed into Pennsylvania law which allows up to 250 table games at each of the twelve largest authorized casinos and up to 50 table games at each of the remaining two smaller authorized casinos. Table games became operational at the existing casinos in the Philadelphia region in mid-July 2010. In addition, other states near New Jersey, including New York and Delaware, either have or are currently contemplating gaming legislation. In January 2010, Delaware legalized table games, which became operational in June 2010 at all three Delaware casinos. Convenience may be a more important factor than amenities for some customers, especially mid-week and repeat customers. These customers may prefer the convenience of a closer drive to a nearby casino rather than dealing with a longer drive to enjoy the amenities that Borgata has to offer. Expansion of gaming facilities in Pennsylvania and other nearby states therefore has resulted in fewer customer visits to Borgata, which has adversely impacted Borgata's business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, we compete with legalized gaming from casinos located on Native American tribal lands. Expansion of Native American gaming in areas located near our properties, or in areas in or near those from which we draw our customers, could have an adverse effect on our operating results. For example, increased competition from federally recognized Native American tribes near Blue Chip and Sam's Town Shreveport has had a negative impact on our results. Native American gaming facilities typically have a significant operating advantage over our properties due to lower gaming taxes, allowing those facilities to market more aggressively and to expand or update their facilities at an accelerated rate. Although we have expanded our facility at Blue Chip in an effort to be more competitive in this market, competing Native American properties could continue to have an adverse impact on the operations of both Blue Chip and Sam's Town Shreveport.

We also compete to some extent with other forms of gaming on both a local and national level, including state-sponsored lotteries, charitable gaming, on-and off-track wagering, Internet gaming, and other forms of entertainment, including motion pictures, sporting events and other recreational activities. It is possible that these secondary competitors could reduce the number of visitors to our facilities or the amount they are willing to wager, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate revenue or maintain our profitability and cash flows.

Increased competition may require us to make substantial capital expenditures to maintain and enhance the competitive positions of our properties, including updating slot machines to reflect changing technology, refurbishing public service areas periodically, replacing obsolete equipment on an ongoing basis and making other expenditures to increase the attractiveness and add to the appeal of our facilities. Because we are highly leveraged, after satisfying our obligations under our outstanding indebtedness, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient funds to undertake these expenditures or that we will be able to obtain sufficient financing to fund such expenditures. If we are

unable to make such expenditures, our competitive position could be materially adversely affected.

The global financial crisis and decline in consumer spending may have an effect on our business and financial condition in ways that we currently cannot accurately predict.

The significant economic distress affecting financial institutions has had, and may continue to have, far-reaching adverse consequences across many industries, including the gaming industry. Volatility in the financial markets and the weakened global economy, together with the recent downgrade of the United States credit rating and ongoing European debt crisis, has contributed to the current uncertain economic climate. The ongoing credit and liquidity crisis has greatly restricted the availability of capital and has caused the cost of capital (if available) to be much higher than it has traditionally been. Therefore, we have no assurance

Table of Contents

that we will have further access to credit or capital markets at desirable times or at rates that we would consider acceptable, and the lack of such funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, including our ability to refinance our or Borgata's indebtedness, our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions and our ability or willingness to fund new development projects.

We are not able to predict the duration or severity of economic downturns or the resulting impact on the solvency or liquidity of our lenders. If a large percentage of our lenders were to file for bankruptcy or otherwise default on their obligations to us, we may not have the liquidity under our Credit Facility to fund our current projects. There is no certainty that our lenders will continue to remain solvent or fund their respective obligations under our Credit Facility. If we were otherwise required to renegotiate or replace our Credit Facility, there is no assurance that we would be able to secure terms that are as favorable to us, if at all.

We may incur impairments to goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, or long-lived assets.

In accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for goodwill and other intangible assets, we test our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually or if a triggering event occurs. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual goodwill intangible assets impairment test dates to October 1. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company performed annual impairment tests on its goodwill on April 1 and October 1. The change in the impairment test dates for all reporting units to October 1 did not delay, accelerate or avoid an impairment charge, as the January 1 and April 1 tests were performed on their respective test dates during 2012, and did not result in any impairment. Management believes that the new impairment test date is preferable because it is more closely aligned with the Company's annual financial planning process. These financial plans are a key component utilized in the annual impairment testing process. The change in the impairment test dates constitutes a change in accounting principle under ASC 250, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections," and had no impact on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations or cash flows. The Company determined it was impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods prior to October 1, 2012 without the use of hindsight. As such, the Company has prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

The results of our annual scheduled impairment test of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets did not require us to record an impairment charge during the nine months ended September 30, 2012; however, in December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development. On March 4, 2013, we sold the Echelon site and related improvements on the site and received net proceeds of \$157.0 million.

In addition, in accordance with the provisions of the authoritative accounting guidance for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we test long-lived assets for impairment if a triggering event occurs. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we performed an interim impairment test on the trademark we recorded in connection with the valuation of Borgata due to our consideration of a change in facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in the Atlantic City region. As a result, we recorded a \$5.0 million impairment to the trademark. The impairment test was performed due to our consideration of certain facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in Atlantic City. We believe our actual results have been adversely impacted by increased regional competition, and that in addition, Borgata's projected future results could be further negatively impacted by a new property that formally opened in Atlantic City, on May 25, 2012. We also believe the refinancing of Borgata's debt and recapitalization of its member equity contributed to the results of this impairment test.

Having performed an initial interim impairment test related to the Borgata trademark during the first quarter of 2011, we have established the first quarter as its prospective annual impairment test date as well, and we performed an interim impairment test over the Borgata trademark at January 1, 2012. Our analyses consisted of a valuation of the Borgata trademark, using the relief from royalty method. The only significant changes in our assumptions from the initial fair valuation were revised revenue and profitability projections, reflecting the impact of the changed present

and forecasted circumstances. The impairment test consisted of a comparison of the fair value of trademark with its carrying amount. As a result of the impairment test, we did not record any impairment in the first quarter of 2012.

On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. At that time, we did not anticipate the long-term effects of the current economic downturn, evidenced by lower occupancy rates, declining room rates and reduced consumer spending across the country, but particularly in the Las Vegas geographical area, nor did we predict the incremental amount of additional supply into the market.

The change in circumstances implies that the carrying amounts of the assets related to Echelon may not be recoverable; therefore, we performed an impairment test of these assets during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The outcome of these evaluations resulted in no impairment of Echelon's assets, as the estimated weighted net undiscounted cash flows from the project exceeded the current carrying value of the assets of approximately \$1.1 billion at both December 31, 2011 and 2010. However,

Table of Contents

during the three months ended December 31, 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded we would not resume development. On March 4, 2013, we sold the Echelon site and related improvements on the site and received net proceeds of \$157.0 million. Based on the exploration of the viability of alternatives for the project, in the three months ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$1.0 billion based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets.

We also recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$17.5 million to the Sam's Town Shreveport gaming license in connection with the annual impairment test. During the year ended December 31, 2012, this property's operating results were less than expected due to weaker than anticipated discretionary consumer spending and increased competition.

Due to the circumstances regarding the final development plan of Echelon, we reviewed our former investment in Morgans/LV Investment LLC ("Morgans"), a joint venture with Morgans Hotel Group Co., for impairment during the year ended December 31, 2009. Considering the subsequent mutual termination of this joint venture, certain of our contributions, primarily related to the architectural and design plans, were ultimately not realizable and, as a result, we recorded an other-than-temporary non cash impairment charge of \$13.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2009 related to such costs.

In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2009, in conjunction with an amendment to the Original Dania Agreement to settle the contingent payment prior to the satisfaction of the legal conditions, we recorded the remaining \$28.4 million of the \$75 million contingent liability as an additional cost of the acquisition (by increasing goodwill). We tested the goodwill for recoverability, which resulted in a non-cash impairment charge of \$28.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2009.

If our estimates of projected cash flows related to our assets are not achieved, we may be subject to a future impairment charge, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Our partner in the Holding Company, the limited liability company that owns and operates Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa in Atlantic City, New Jersey, has divested its 50% interest and we do not have the ability to select the new partner.

We own a 50% controlling interest in the limited liability company that operates Borgata. MGM currently beneficially owns the other 50% interest. As a result of the New Jersey Department of Gaming Enforcement's (the "NJDE") investigation of MGM's relationship with its joint venture partner in Macau, MGM entered into a settlement agreement with the NJDE and the New Jersey Casino Control Commission (the "NJCCC") under which MGM placed its 50% ownership interest in Borgata (the "MGM Interest") into a divestiture trust (the "Divestiture Trust"), which was established for the purpose of selling the MGM Interest to a third party. On February 20, 2013, MGM announced that it had entered into an amendment with the NJDE, effective February 13, 2013, pursuant to which MGM was allowed to reapply to the New Jersey Casino Control Commission for licensure in New Jersey with the deadline to sell the MGM Interest deferred pending the outcome of the licensure process.

We are the managing member of the limited liability company that operates Borgata, and have been, and will continue to be responsible for the day-to-day operations of Borgata, including the operations and improvement of the facility and business. Additionally, we hold a right of first refusal on any sale of the MGM Interest in Borgata. However, if MGM's efforts to be relicensed in New Jersey fail and they are forced to sell the MGM Interest, we believe we will need to expend managerial resources to effectuate the eventual sale of the MGM Interest from the Divestiture Trust to a new partner, regardless of whether we exercise our right of first refusal. Other than exercising our right of first refusal, we generally do not have the ability to affect the selection of the potential new partner at Borgata.

While we believe we will retain direct control of the operations of Borgata, based on our current operating agreement, a new partner may want to negotiate greater rights or different terms. If we agree to consider changes to the operating agreement, these negotiations may decrease our ability to directly control the facility and effectively manage our financial risk. Any new partner could have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our

economic or business interests or goals. The ongoing operation of the facility could change if we agree to negotiate agreements with a new partner that contain terms that differ from our existing operating agreement. In addition, the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, matures in August 2014. At the time of maturity, if Borgata is unable to refinance its bank credit facility on favorable terms, additional credit support and/or capital contributions in the form of equity may be necessary to fund the ongoing operations of Borgata. This additional credit and/or equity may need to be contributed by us or a new partner, if any, or from both. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be unable to meet the operating cash flow needs of Borgata, and our investment would be at risk. Moreover, if any new partner does not have the financial resources to meet its share of the obligations, or subsequently declares bankruptcy, we could be required to fund more than our 50% share.

Table of Contents

We face risks associated with growth and acquisitions.

As part of our business strategy, we regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, through acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities or through redeveloping our existing gaming facilities. For example, in November 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition, and in October 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP. In January 2009, we completed the hotel construction project at Blue Chip. We may also pursue expansion opportunities, including joint ventures, in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. The expansion of our operations, whether through acquisitions, development or internal growth, could divert management's attention and could also cause us to incur substantial costs, including legal, professional and consulting fees. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will receive gaming or other necessary licenses or approvals for our new projects or that gaming will be approved in jurisdictions where it is not currently approved.

Ballot measures or other voter-approved initiatives to allow gaming in jurisdictions where gaming, or certain types of gaming (such as slots), was not previously permitted could be challenged, and, if such challenges are successful, these ballot measures or initiatives could be invalidated. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that there will not be similar or other challenges to legalized gaming in existing or current markets in which we may operate or have development plans, and successful challenges to legalized gaming could require us to abandon or substantially curtail our operations or development plans in those locations, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

There can be no assurance that we will not face similar challenges and difficulties with respect to new development projects or expansion efforts that we may undertake, which could result in significant sunk costs that we may not be able to fully recoup or that otherwise have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our expansion and development opportunities, including the development costs associated with the Kansas Star facility, may face significant risks inherent in construction projects.

We regularly evaluate expansion, development, investment and renovation opportunities. For example, we are undergoing further development of the Kansas Star facility, which entails significant risks.

This project and any other development projects we may undertake will be subject to many other risks inherent in the expansion or renovation of an existing enterprise or construction of a new enterprise, including unanticipated design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects. Our current and future projects could also experience:

- changes to plans and specifications (including changes for the Kansas Star construction facility, some of which may require the approval of the Kansas Lottery Commission);
- delays and significant cost increases;
- shortages of materials;
- shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages for contractors and subcontractors;
- labor disputes or work stoppages;
- disputes with and defaults by contractors and subcontractors;
- health and safety incidents and site accidents;
- engineering problems, including defective plans and specifications;
- poor performance or nonperformance by any of our joint venture partners or other third parties on whom we place reliance;
-

changes in laws and regulations, or in the interpretation and enforcement of laws and regulations, applicable to gaming facilities, real estate development or construction projects, including by the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission;

- unforeseen construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems;
- environmental issues, including the discovery of unknown environmental contamination;
- weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses;
- other unanticipated circumstances or cost increases; and
- failure to obtain necessary licenses, permits, entitlements or other governmental approvals.

The occurrence of any of these development and construction risks could increase the total costs of our construction projects, including the Kansas Star facility, or delay or prevent the construction or opening or otherwise affect the design and features of our construction projects, such as the Kansas Star facility, which could materially adversely affect our plan of operations, financial condition and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

We have entered into a fixed-price, or guaranteed maximum price, contract with a construction manager for the construction of

Table of Contents

the first phase of the Kansas Star facility, however there is no guarantee we will be able to do so with respect to construction of the final phase of the development. As a result, we may be required to rely heavily on our in-house development and construction team to manage construction costs and coordinate the work of the various trade contractors. The lack of any fixed-price contract with a construction manager or general contractor for construction of the final phase would put more of the risk of cost-overruns on us. If we are unable to manage costs or we are unable to raise additional capital required to complete the Kansas Star facility, we may not be able to complete the project, which may have an adverse impact on our business and prospects for growth.

In addition, actual costs and construction periods for any of our projects can differ significantly from initial expectations. Our initial project costs and construction periods are based upon budgets, conceptual design documents and construction schedule estimates prepared at inception of the project in consultation with architects and contractors. Many of these costs can increase over time as the project is built to completion. We can provide no assurance that any project will be completed on time, if at all, or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us. Significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The failure to obtain necessary government approvals in a timely manner, or at all, can adversely impact our various expansion, development, investment and renovation projects.

Certain permits, licenses and approvals necessary for some of our current or anticipated projects have not yet been obtained. The scope of the approvals required for expansion, development, investment or renovation projects can be extensive and may include gaming approvals, state and local land-use permits and building and zoning permits. Unexpected changes or concessions required by local, state or federal regulatory authorities could involve significant additional costs and delay the scheduled openings of the facilities. We may not obtain the necessary permits, licenses and approvals within the anticipated time frames, or at all.

In addition, although we design our projects to minimize disruption of our existing business operations, expansion and renovation projects require, from time to time, all or portions of affected existing operations to be closed or disrupted. Any significant disruption in operations of a property could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The development costs of the Kansas Star facility are estimates only, and actual development costs may be higher than expected.

We have developed our budgets based on our plans, which are subject to change. We expect the total development cost of the Kansas Star facility to be approximately \$329 million, including the privilege fee, construction costs, land acquisition costs, development costs relating to a hotel which is being developed by a third party, costs of furniture, fixtures and equipment, pre-opening expenses, initial cage cash, and other development costs. While we believe that the overall budget for the development costs for the Kansas Star facility is reasonable, these development costs are only estimates and the actual development costs may be significantly higher than expected. Unforeseen or unexpected difficulties or delays during construction may also adversely impact the Kansas Star facility's budget. Our inability to pay development costs as they are incurred will negatively affect our ability to complete the Kansas Star facility on time.

Our Lottery Gaming Facility Management Contract with the State of Kansas contractually obligates us to open certain phases of our project by certain specified dates. For example, with certain exceptions, our permanent gaming facility must be completed by January 14, 2013, and our entire construction project (as set forth in the Management Contract) must be completed no later than January 14, 2015. If we fail to meet these future completion dates, we would be in breach of the Management Contract. If we breach our Management Contract, the State of Kansas has certain remedies, up to and including cancellation of our contract, which if it occurred, would cause a material adverse impact with respect to our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

If we are unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as other capital expenditures, through cash flow from operations, borrowings under our Credit Facility and additional financings, our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts will be jeopardized.

We intend to finance our current and future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as our other capital expenditures, primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under our Credit Facility, and equity or debt financings. If we are unable to finance our current or future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, or our other capital expenditures, we will have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing, delaying or abandoning planned expansion, development, investment and renovation projects as well as other capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, forgoing any future distribution of dividends, obtaining additional equity financing or joint venture partners, or modifying our Credit Facility. These sources of funds may not be sufficient to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, and other financing may not be available on acceptable terms, in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, our existing indebtedness contains certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness.

Table of Contents

In the past few years there have been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital. We anticipate that these disruptions may continue for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that funding for any of our expansion projects would come from cash flows from operations and availability under our Credit Facility (to the extent that availability exists under our Credit Facility, as applicable, after we meet our working capital needs).

If availability under our Credit Facility does not exist or we are otherwise unable to make sufficient borrowings thereunder, any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. As a result, if we are unable to obtain adequate project financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be forced to sell assets in order to raise capital for projects, limit the scope of, or defer such projects, or cancel the projects altogether. In the event that capital markets do not improve and we are unable to access capital with more favorable terms, additional equity and/or credit support may be necessary to obtain construction financing for the remaining cost of the project.

Risks Related to the Regulation of our Industry

We are subject to extensive governmental regulation, as well as federal, state and local laws affecting business in general, which may harm our business.

The ownership, management and operation of our gaming facilities are subject to extensive laws, regulations and ordinances which are administered by the Nevada Gaming Commission and Gaming Control Board, Mississippi Gaming Commission, Indiana Gaming Commission, Illinois Gaming Board, New Jersey Casino Control Commission, Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission, the Kansas Lottery Commission, the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission, the Louisiana State Gaming Control Board, the Louisiana State Racing Commission and various other federal, state and local government entities and agencies. We are subject to regulations that apply specifically to the gaming industry and horse racetracks and casinos, in addition to regulations applicable to businesses generally. A more detailed description of the governmental gaming regulations to which we are subject is included in Exhibit 99.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. If additional gaming regulations are adopted in a jurisdiction in which we operate, such regulations could impose restrictions or costs that could have a significant adverse effect on us. From time to time, various proposals are introduced in the legislatures of some of the jurisdictions in which we have existing or planned operations that, if enacted, could adversely affect the tax, regulatory, operational or other aspects of the gaming industry and our company.

To date, we have obtained all governmental licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals necessary for the operation of our properties. However, we can give no assurance that any additional licenses, permits and approvals that may be required will be given or that existing ones will be renewed or will not be revoked. Renewal is subject to, among other things, continued satisfaction of suitability requirements. Any failure to renew or maintain our licenses or to receive new licenses when necessary would have a material adverse effect on us.

Gambling

Legislative or administrative changes in applicable legal requirements, including legislation to prohibit casino gaming, have been proposed in the past. For example, in 1996, the State of Louisiana adopted a statute in connection with which votes were held locally where gaming operations were conducted and which, had the continuation of gaming been rejected by the voters, might have resulted in the termination of operations at the end of their current license terms. During the 1996 local gaming referendums, Lafayette Parish voted to disallow gaming in the Parish, whereas St. Landry Parish, the site of our racino, voted in favor of gaming. All parishes where riverboat gaming operations are currently conducted voted to continue riverboat gaming, but there can be no guarantee that similar referenda might not produce unfavorable results in the future. Proposals to amend or supplement the Louisiana Riverboat Economic Development and Gaming Control Act and the Pari-Mutuel Act also are frequently introduced in the Louisiana State legislature. In the 2001 session, a representative from Orleans Parish introduced a proposal to repeal the authority of horse racetracks in Calasieu Parish (the site of Delta Downs) and St. Landry Parish (the site of our racino) to conduct

slot machine gaming at such horse racetracks and to repeal the special taxing districts created for such purposes. If adopted, this proposal would have effectively prohibited us from operating the casino portion of our racino. In addition, the Louisiana legislature, from time to time, considers proposals to repeal the Pari-Mutuel Act.

The legislation permitting gaming in Iowa authorizes the granting of licenses to “qualified sponsoring organizations.” Such “qualified sponsoring organizations” may operate the gambling structure itself, subject to satisfying necessary licensing requirements, or it may enter into an agreement with an operator to operate gambling on its behalf. An operator must be approved and licensed by the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission. The DRA, a not-for-profit corporation organized for the purpose of operating a pari-mutuel greyhound racing facility in Dubuque, Iowa, first received a riverboat gaming license in 1990 and, pursuant to the Amended DRA Operating Agreement, has served as the “qualified sponsoring organization” of the Diamond Jo since March 18, 1993. The term of the Amended DRA Operating Agreement expires on December 31, 2018. The WCDA, pursuant to the WCDA Operating Agreement, serves as the “qualified sponsoring organization” of Diamond Jo Worth. The term of the WCDA

Table of Contents

Operating Agreement expires on March 31, 2015, and is subject to automatic three-year renewal periods. If the Amended DRA Operating Agreement or WCDA Operating Agreement were to terminate, or if the DRA or WCDA were to otherwise discontinue acting as our “qualified sponsoring organization” with respect to our operation of the Diamond Jo or Diamond Jo Worth, respectively, and we were unable to obtain approval from the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission to partner with an alternative “qualified sponsoring organization” as required by our gaming license, we would no longer be able to continue our Diamond Jo or Diamond Jo Worth operations, which would materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Regulation of smoking

Each of New Jersey and Illinois has adopted laws that significantly restrict, or otherwise ban, smoking at our properties in those jurisdictions. The New Jersey and Illinois laws that restrict smoking at casinos, and similar legislation in other jurisdictions in which we operate, could materially impact the results of operations of our properties in those jurisdictions.

On April 15, 2007, an ordinance in Atlantic City became effective which extended smoking restrictions under the New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act. This ordinance mandated that casinos restrict smoking to designated areas of up to 25% of the casino floor. During April 2008, Atlantic City's City Council unanimously approved an amendment to the ordinance, banning smoking entirely on all casino gaming floors and casino simulcasting areas, but allowing smoking in separately exhausted, non-gaming, smoking lounges. The amendment to the ordinance became effective on October 15, 2008, however, on October 27, 2008, Atlantic City's City Council voted to postpone the full smoking ban for at least one year due to, among other things, the weakened economy and increased competition in adjoining states. The postponement of the full smoking ban became effective on November 16, 2008. In December 2009, Atlantic City's City Council announced that it would not consider a full smoking ban in casinos pending further review.

Additionally, on July 1, 2012, a state statute in Indiana will become effective that imposes a state wide smoking ban in specified businesses, buildings, public places and other articulated locations. The statute specifically exempts riverboat casinos, and all other gaming facilities in Indiana, from the smoking ban. However, the statute allows local government to enact a more restrictive smoking ban than the state statute and also leaves in place any more restrictive local legislation that exists as of the effective date of the statute. To date, neither Michigan City nor LaPorte County, where Blue Chip is located, have enacted any ordinance or other law which would impose a smoking ban on Blue Chip.

Regulation of directors, officers, key employees and partners

Our directors, officers, key employees and joint venture partners must meet approval standards of certain state regulatory authorities. If state regulatory authorities were to find a person occupying any such position or a joint venture partner unsuitable, we would be required to sever our relationship with that person or the joint venture partner may be required to dispose of their interest. State regulatory agencies may conduct investigations into the conduct or associations of our directors, officers, key employees or joint venture partners to ensure compliance with applicable standards.

Certain public and private issuances of securities and other transactions that we are party to also require the approval of some state regulatory authorities.

Live racing regulations

Louisiana gaming regulations and our gaming license for the Evangeline Downs require that we, among other things, conduct a minimum of 80 live racing days in a consecutive 20-week period each year of live horse race meetings at the horse racetrack. Live racing days typically vary in number from year to year and are based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the number of suitable race horses and the occurrence of severe weather. If we fail to have the minimum number of racing days, our gaming license with respect to the racino may be canceled, and the casino will be required to cease operations. Any cessation of our operation would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Regulations affecting businesses in general

In addition to gaming regulations, we are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting businesses in general. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, restrictions and conditions

concerning alcoholic beverages, environmental matters, smoking, employees, currency transactions, taxation, zoning and building codes, and marketing and advertising. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. For example, Nevada recently enacted legislation that eliminated, in most instances, and, for certain pre-existing development projects, reduced, property tax breaks and retroactively eliminated certain sales tax exemptions offered as incentives to companies developing projects that meet certain environmental “green” standards. As a result, we, along with other companies developing projects that meet such standards, may not realize the full tax benefits that were originally anticipated.

Table of Contents

We are subject to extensive taxation policies, which may harm our business.

The federal government has, from time to time, considered a federal tax on casino revenues and may consider such a tax in the future. If such an increase were to be enacted, our ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future to finance casino development projects could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, gaming companies are currently subject to significant state and local taxes and fees, in addition to normal federal and state corporate income taxes, and such taxes and fees are subject to increase at any time. For example, in June 2006, the Illinois legislature passed certain amendments to the Riverboat Gambling Act, which affected the tax rate at Par-A-Dice. The legislation, which imposes an incremental 5% tax on adjusted gross gaming revenues, was retroactive to July 1, 2005. As a result of this legislation, we were required to pay additional taxes, resulting in a \$6.7 million tax assessment in June 2006.

We are subject to significant taxes and fees relating to our gaming operations, which are subject to increase at any time. Currently, in Iowa, we are taxed at an effective rate of approximately 21% of our adjusted gross receipts by the State of Iowa, we pay the city of Dubuque a fee equal to \$500,000 per year and we pay a fee equal to 4.5% and 5.76% of adjusted gross receipts to the DRA and WCDA, respectively. In addition, all Iowa gaming licensees share equally in costs of the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission and related entities to administer gaming in Iowa, which is currently approximately \$0.9 million per year per facility. Currently, at Evangeline Downs, we are taxed at an effective rate of approximately 36.5% of our adjusted gross slot revenue and pay to the Louisiana State Racing Commission a fee of \$0.25 for each patron who enters the racino on live race days from the hours of 6:00 pm to midnight, enters the racino during non-racing season from the hours of noon to midnight Thursday through Monday, or enters any one of our OTBs. Our Amelia Belle riverboat casino in Louisiana pays an annual state gaming tax rate of 21.5% of adjusted gross receipts. Additionally, ABC has an agreement with the Parish of St. Mary to permit the berthing of the riverboat casino in Amelia, Louisiana. That agreement provides for percentage fees based on the level of net gaming revenue as follows: the first \$60 million, 2.5%; \$60 to \$96 million, 3.5%; and greater than \$96 million, 5.0%. The annual minimum fee due under the agreement is \$1.5 million. The Kansas Star, pursuant to its Management Contract with the State of Kansas pays total taxes of between 27% and 31% of gross gaming revenue, based on achievement of the following revenue levels: 27% on gross gaming revenue up to \$180 million, 29% on amounts from \$180 million to \$220 million, and 31% on amounts above \$220 million in gross gaming revenue. KSC is also contractually obligated to pay its proportionate share of certain expenses incurred by the Kansas Lottery Commission and the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission, which are estimated to be approximately \$3.9 million on an annual basis.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the "Department"), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. We estimate the refund to be in the range of \$17.9 million to \$20.3 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing

on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge (“Judge”) affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. We are appealing the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department has appealed the decision on employee meals. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset; and pending the ultimate resolution of the appeal at the State Supreme Court, we expect the offset issue will either be addressed by the Supreme Court or remanded back to District Court. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of our appeal to the State Supreme Court, we will not record any gain until a final, non-appealable decision has been rendered. On July 6, 2012 the Department retracted its previous guidance requiring payment of sales tax, on complimentary and employee meals, for periods subsequent to February 15, 2012. The updated guidance defers the requirement to collect and remit sales tax, without interest or penalty, on complimentary and employee meals until the occurrence of a defined future event. Based on the Department's updated guidance,

Table of Contents

we have not collected, remitted or accrued a liability for sales tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties.

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip previously received a valuation notice from the county assessor indicating an unanticipated increase of nearly 400% to its assessed property value as of January 1, 2006. In December 2007, we received the property tax bill related to our 2006 tax assessment in the amount \$6.2 million, which we appealed. In February 2009, we received a notice of revaluation, reducing the initial tax assessment by approximately \$2.2 million. Since then, we have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received in years 2007 through 2012, all of which were based on the 2006 valuation notice. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reached settlements with the county assessor, reducing the annual valuation for years 2006 through 2009. Based on these settlements, we revised our cumulative property tax accrual to reflect the retrospective effect of the revised valuations. The impact of these revisions to the valuations resulted in a reduction of our property tax accrual of approximately \$9.7 million, which was cumulatively reversed through property tax expense during the year ended December 31, 2011.

We received the 2010 tax assessment in January 2013 but have not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 or 2012. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the 2009 settlement valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment and believe the assessments for the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012 could result in a total property tax obligation, net of previous payments, ranging between \$5.0 million and \$14.1 million. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$14.1 million for this property tax liability as of December 31, 2012, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome within our range, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount billed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, which have not been received as of December 31, 2012, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

New Jersey Income Taxes

Atlantic City casinos, including Borgata, currently pay a 9.25% effective tax rate on gross gaming revenues. We also pay property taxes, sales and use taxes, payroll taxes, franchise taxes, room taxes, parking fees, various license fees, investigative fees and our proportionate share of regulatory costs. Our profitability depends on generating enough revenues to pay gaming taxes and other largely variable expenses, such as payroll and marketing, as well as largely fixed expenses, such as property taxes and interest expense. Borgata is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes and therefore federal income taxes are the responsibility of its members. Casino partnerships in New Jersey, however, are subject to state income taxes under the Casino Control Act. Therefore, Borgata is required to record New Jersey state income taxes. We cannot assure you that the State of New Jersey will not enact legislation that increases gaming tax rates.

Increase in Taxation

If there is any material increase in state and local taxes and fees, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We own real property and are subject to extensive environmental regulation, which creates uncertainty regarding future environmental expenditures and liabilities, and could affect our ability to develop, sell or rent our property or to borrow money where such property is required to be used as collateral.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, including those governing discharges to air and water, the generation, handling, management and disposal of petroleum products or hazardous substances or wastes, and the health and safety of our employees. Permits may be required for our operations and these permits are subject to renewal, modification and, in some cases, revocation. In addition, under environmental laws, ordinances or regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of property may be liable for the costs of investigation and removal or remediation of some kinds of hazardous substances or petroleum products on, under, or in its property, without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or caused, the presence of the

contaminants, and regardless of whether the practices that resulted in the contamination were legal at the time they occurred. Additionally, as an owner or operator, we could also be held responsible to a governmental entity or third parties for property damage, personal injury and investigation and cleanup costs incurred by them in connection with any contamination. The liability under those laws has been interpreted to be joint and several unless the harm is divisible and there is a reasonable basis for allocation of the responsibility. The costs of investigation, remediation or removal of those substances may be substantial, and the presence of those substances, or the failure to remediate a property properly, may impair our ability to use our property.

In addition, as part of our business in Worth County, Iowa, we operate a gas station, which includes a number of underground storage tanks containing petroleum products. The presence of, or failure to remediate properly, the substances may adversely affect

Table of Contents

the ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow funds using the property as collateral. Additionally, the owner of a site may be subject to claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from a site.

We have reviewed environmental assessments, in some cases including soil and groundwater testing, relating to our currently owned and leased properties in Dubuque, Iowa, and other properties we may lease from the City of Dubuque or other parties. As a result, we have become aware that there is contamination present on some of these properties apparently due to past industrial activities. Additionally, the location of the Kansas Star is the site of several non-operational oil wells, the remediation of which has been addressed in connection with the construction of the development project. With respect to parcels we currently own or lease, we believe, based on the types and amount of contamination identified, the anticipated uses of the properties and the potential that the contamination, in some cases, may have migrated onto our properties from nearby properties, that any cost to clean up these properties will not result in a material adverse effect on our earnings and cash flows. We have also reviewed environmental assessments and are not aware of any environmental liabilities related to our properties at Evangeline Downs, Diamond Jo Worth and Amelia Belle Casino.

We do not anticipate any material adverse effect on our earnings, cash flows or competitive position relating to existing environmental matters, but it is possible that future developments could lead to material costs of environmental compliance for us and that these costs could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Borgata is a participant in a multiemployer pension plan, and the plan has been certified in critical status by the fund's actuary.

In connection with Borgata's collective bargaining agreement with the culinary and hotel workers union, Local 54/UNITE HERE, it participates in the UNITE HERE National Retirement Fund pension plan (the "Fund"). On March 31, 2010, as a result of the extraordinary decline in the financial markets and downturn in the economy, the Fund was certified in critical status by the Fund's actuary under the federal multiemployer plan funding laws pursuant to the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (the "PPA"). In connection with the certification, the Fund's board of trustees has adopted a rehabilitation plan effective on April 1, 2010 (the "Rehabilitation Plan") with the goal of enabling the Fund to emerge from critical status by January 1, 2023. The Rehabilitation Plan provides for certain increases in employer contributions and, in some cases, a reduction in participant benefits. On May 28, 2010, Borgata agreed upon a schedule with Local 54/UNITE HERE pursuant to which it began making increased monthly contributions to the Fund effective October 1, 2011.

Borgata's current monthly pension contributions to the Fund range from \$0.4 million to \$0.5 million, and its unfunded vested liability to the Fund is \$63.8 million for the plan year beginning on January 1, 2011. A renewed economic decline could have a significant adverse effect on the financial condition of the Fund, which may require Borgata to make contributions in addition to those already contemplated. Any such increases in required contributions could adversely affect Borgata's results of operations.

Additionally, in connection with Borgata's collective bargaining agreements with the Local 68 Engineers Union Pension Plan and the NJ Carpenters Pension Fund, it participates in other multiemployer pension plans that have been certified in critical status under the federal multiemployer plan funding laws pursuant to the PPA. The boards of trustees of these plans have adopted rehabilitation plans and Borgata is currently in discussions with the boards regarding its level of participation in the rehabilitation plans. The impact of the rehabilitation plans is not expected to have a material adverse effect on Borgata's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Borgata's current monthly pension contributions to the funds associated with these plans is approximately less than \$0.1 million per month in the aggregate. Borgata's aggregate unfunded vested liability to these funds is approximately \$4.5 million.

Under applicable federal law, any employer contributing to a multiemployer pension plan that completely ceases participating in the plan while it is underfunded is subject to payment of such employer's assessed share of the

aggregate unfunded vested benefits of the plan. In certain circumstances, an employer can also be assessed withdrawal liability for a partial withdrawal from a multiemployer pension plan. Based on an estimate provided by the Fund in April 2010, Borgata has estimated that its pre-tax withdrawal, assuming a hypothetical immediate and complete withdrawal from the Fund, could be in excess of \$47 million. In addition, Borgata estimates the pre-tax withdrawal liability for the other funds to which it contributes to be approximately \$4.0 million. However, the exact amount of potential exposure could be higher or lower than the estimate, depending on, among other things, the nature and timing of any triggering events and the funded status of the Fund, or other funds to which it contributes, at that time.

Risks Related to our Properties

We own facilities that are located in areas that experience extreme weather conditions. Extreme weather conditions may interrupt our operations, damage our properties and reduce the number of customers who visit our facilities in the affected areas.

Table of Contents

For example, due to flooding of the Mississippi River, the Mississippi Gaming Commission ordered the nine casinos located in Tunica, Mississippi to close indefinitely to ensure the safety of visitors and employees. Accordingly, effective May 1, 2011, we closed Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall in Tunica. We were able to reopen on May 28, 2011; however, Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall suffered minor damage, and have reached a settlement with our insurer. In addition, the Amelia Belle was negatively impacted by the opening of the Morganza Spillway, due to imminent threat of severe flooding.

In addition, certain of our properties have been forced to close due to hurricanes. In August 2008, Treasure Chest was closed for eight days including Labor Day weekend due to Hurricane Gustav. In September 2008, Treasure Chest was closed for two days as a result of Hurricane Ike and in 2005 the property was closed for 44 days as a result of Hurricane Katrina. Delta Downs was closed for six days in August 2008 due to Hurricane Gustav and seven days in September 2008 due to Hurricane Ike. Hurricane Gustav forced the closure of Evangeline Downs for five days in 2008 and Amelia Belle was closed from August 2005 to May 2007 due to Hurricane Katrina. In 2005, Delta Downs suffered significant property damage as a result of Hurricane Rita and closed for 42 days. In September 2011, Borgata was closed for three days due to Hurricane Irene. In October and November 2012, Borgata was closed for four days due to Hurricane Sandy.

Moreover, Blue Chip, Par-A-Dice, Sam's Town Tunica, Sam's Town Tunica, Sam's Town Shreveport, Treasure Chest and Borgata are each located in an area that has been identified by the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") as a special flood hazard area, which, according to the FEMA statistics, has a 1% chance of a flood equal to or exceeding the base flood elevation (a 100-year flood) in any given year.

In addition to the risk of flooding and hurricanes, snowstorms and other adverse weather conditions may interrupt our operations, damage our properties and reduce the number of customers who visit our facilities in the affected area. For example, during January and February 2011, much of the country was impacted by some of the worst winter weather in decades, particularly in the Midwest. Although our properties at Blue Chip and Par-A-Dice were not closed as a result, these storms made it very difficult for our customers to visit, and we believe such winter weather had a material and adverse impact on the results of our operations during such time. Additionally, February 2010 was the snowiest month ever recorded in Atlantic City, which generally kept would-be gamblers from traveling to Borgata, contributing to a drop in Borgata's monthly revenues from January to February. The 2010 winter season was the worst on record, and travel throughout the entire Northeast was extremely difficult. The residual impact from these record winter storms resulted in day trip visitations to Atlantic City that were reduced or delayed as regional school calendars were extended in order to make up for prior school closures. Additionally, extreme heat and low precipitation levels in the latter half of the first six months of 2010, particularly in the month of June, had an adverse impact on visitation and spending at Borgata's property. If there is a prolonged disruption at Borgata or any of our other properties due to natural disasters, terrorist attacks or other catastrophic events, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

To maintain our gaming license for our Evangeline Downs racino, we must conduct a minimum of 80 live racing days in a consecutive 20-week period each year of live horse race meetings at the racetrack, and poor weather conditions may make it difficult for us to comply with this requirement.

While we maintain insurance coverage that may cover certain of the costs and loss of revenue that we incur as a result of some extreme weather conditions, our coverage is subject to deductibles and limits on maximum benefits. There can be no assurance that we will be able to fully collect, if at all, on any claims resulting from extreme weather conditions. If any of our properties are damaged or if their operations are disrupted as a result of extreme weather in the future, or if extreme weather adversely impacts general economic or other conditions in the areas in which our properties are located or from which they draw their patrons, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If we are not ultimately successful in dismissing the action filed against Treasure Chest Casino, we may potentially lose our ability to operate the Treasure Chest Casino property and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino ("Treasure Chest"), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain

Table of Contents

other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Pending before the District Court are a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action filed by Guidry, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which the Company joined, and a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction filed by the U.S. Attorney, which may result in the case being remanded to state court. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. A ruling has not yet been issued. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court but allowed for additional filings by September 13, 2011. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for loss profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations. Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all possible losses that our properties could suffer. In addition, our insurance costs may increase and we may not be able to obtain similar insurance coverage in the future. Although we have "all risk" property insurance coverage for our operating properties, which covers damage caused by a casualty loss (such as fire, natural disasters, acts of war, or terrorism), each policy has certain exclusions. In addition, our property insurance coverage is in an amount that may be significantly less than the expected replacement cost of rebuilding the facilities if there was a total loss. Our level of insurance coverage also may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty. In addition, certain casualty events, such as labor strikes, nuclear events, acts of war, loss of income due to cancellation of room reservations or conventions due to fear of terrorism, deterioration or corrosion, insect or animal damage and pollution, may not be covered at all under our policies. Therefore, certain acts could expose us to substantial uninsured losses. We also have "builder's risk" insurance coverage for our development and expansion projects. Builder's risk insurance provides coverage for projects during their construction for damage caused by a casualty loss. In general, our builder's risk coverage is subject to the same exclusions, risks and deficiencies as those described above for our all-risk property coverage. Our level of builder's risk insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty.

Blue Chip, Par-A-Dice, Sam's Town Tunica, Sam's Town Shreveport, Treasure Chest and Borgata are each located in an area that has been identified by the director of the FEMA as a special flood hazard area. According to the FEMA statistics, a special flood hazard area has a 1% chance of a flood equal to or exceeding the base flood elevation (a 100-year flood) in any given year. Over a 30-year period, the risk of a 100-year flood in a special flood hazard area is 26%. Our level of flood insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major flood. Due to flooding of the Mississippi River, Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall was closed from May 1, 2011 until May 28, 2011. Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall was damaged, and while we carry business interruption insurance and general liability insurance, we have not settled on our claims, and this insurance may not be adequate to cover all losses in any such event.

We renew our insurance policies (other than our builder's risk insurance) on an annual basis. The cost of coverage may become so high that we may need to further reduce our policy limits or agree to certain exclusions from our coverage.

Table of Contents

Our debt instruments and other material agreements require us to meet certain standards related to insurance coverage. Failure to satisfy these requirements could result in an event of default under these debt instruments or material agreements.

We draw a significant percentage of our customers from certain geographic regions. Events adversely impacting the economy or these regions, including public health outbreaks and man-made or natural disasters, may adversely impact our business.

The California, Fremont and Main Street Station draw a substantial portion of their customers from the Hawaiian market. For the year ended December 31, 2012, patrons from Hawaii comprised 66% of the room nights sold at the California, 48% at Fremont and 52% at Main Street Station. Decreases in discretionary consumer spending, as well as an increase in fuel costs or transportation prices, a decrease in airplane seat availability, or a deterioration of relations with tour and travel agents, particularly as they affect travel between the Hawaiian market and our facilities, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Las Vegas properties also draw a substantial number of customers from certain other specific geographic areas, including the Southern California, Arizona and Las Vegas local markets. Native American casinos in California and other parts of the United States have diverted some potential visitors away from Nevada, which has had and could continue to have a negative effect on Nevada gaming markets. In addition, due to our significant concentration of properties in Nevada, any man-made or natural disasters in or around Nevada, or the areas from which we draw customers to our Las Vegas properties, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Each of our properties located outside of Nevada depends primarily on visitors from their respective surrounding regions and are subject to comparable risk.

Additionally, the expansion of casino gaming in or near the mid-Atlantic region from which Borgata attracts and expects to attract most of its customers could have a significant adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition. In 2010, Pennsylvania passed legislation allowing table games at certain casinos in the state, and other states near New Jersey, including New York, Delaware, Connecticut, and Maryland have or are currently contemplating gaming legislation. The expansion of gaming facilities in nearby states will further increase competition and may adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Borgata also competes with Native American tribes in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic region. Expansion of Native American gaming could have an adverse effect on Borgata's business, results of operations and financial condition, as Native American gaming facilities typically have a significant operating advantage over Borgata due to lower gaming taxes, allowing those facilities to market more aggressively and to expand or update their facilities at an accelerated rate.

The strength and profitability of our business depends on consumer demand for hotel casino resorts in general and for the type of amenities our properties offer. Changes in consumer preferences or discretionary consumer spending could harm our business. The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, other terrorist activities in the United States and elsewhere, military conflicts in Iraq, Afghanistan and in the Middle East, outbreaks of infectious disease and pandemics, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters, among other things, have had negative impacts on travel and leisure expenditures. In addition, other factors affecting travel and discretionary consumer spending, including general economic conditions, disposable consumer income, fears of further economic decline and reduced consumer confidence in the economy, may negatively impact our business. We cannot predict the extent to which similar events and conditions may continue to affect us in the future. An extended period of reduced discretionary spending and/or disruptions or declines in tourism could significantly harm our operations.

Furthermore, our facilities are subject to the risk that operations could be halted for a temporary or extended period of time, as a result of casualty, flooding, forces of nature, adverse weather conditions, mechanical failure, or extended or extraordinary maintenance, among other causes. If there is a prolonged disruption at any of our properties due to natural disasters, terrorist attacks or other catastrophic events, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

The outbreak of public health threats at any of our properties or in the areas in which they are located, or the perception that such threats exist, including pandemic health threats, such as the avian influenza virus, SARS, or the

H1N1 flu, among others, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Likewise, adverse economic conditions that affect the national or regional economies in which we operate, whether resulting from war, terrorist activities or other geopolitical conflict, weather, general or localized economic downturns or related events or other factors, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, to the extent that the airline industry is negatively impacted due to the effects of the economic recession and continued economic downturn, outbreak of war, public health threats, terrorist or similar activity, increased security restrictions or the public's general reluctance to travel by air, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Energy price increases may adversely affect our cost of operations and our revenues.

Our casino properties use significant amounts of electricity, natural gas and other forms of energy. In addition, our Hawaiian air charter operation uses a significant amount of jet fuel. While no shortages of energy or fuel have been experienced to date, substantial increases in energy and fuel prices, including jet fuel prices, in the United States have, and may continue to, negatively affect our results of operations. The extent of the impact is subject to the magnitude and duration of the energy and fuel price increases, of which the impact could be material. In addition, energy and gasoline price increases could result in a decline of disposable income of potential customers, an increase in the cost of travel and a corresponding decrease in visitation and spending at our properties, which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Borgata has an executory contract with a wholly-owned subsidiary of a local utility company with terms that extend to June 2028, 20 years from the opening of The Water Club. The utility company provides Borgata with electricity and thermal energy (hot water and chilled water). Obligations under the thermal energy executory contract contain both fixed fees and variable fees based upon usage rates. The fixed fee components under the thermal energy executory contract were estimated at approximately \$11.6 million per annum at December 31, 2012. Borgata is also obligated to purchase a certain portion of its electricity demand at essentially a fixed rate which is estimated at approximately \$1.7 million per annum. Electricity demand in excess of the commitment is subject to market rates based on Borgata's tariff class.

Our facilities, including our riverboats and dockside facilities, are subject to risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance.

Generally, all of our facilities are subject to the risk that operations could be halted for a temporary or extended period of time, as the result of casualty, forces of nature, mechanical failure, or extended or extraordinary maintenance, among other causes. In addition, our gaming operations, including those conducted on riverboats or at dockside facilities could be damaged or halted due to extreme weather conditions.

We currently conduct our Treasure Chest, Par-A-Dice, Blue Chip, Sam's Town Shreveport and Amelia Belle gaming operations on riverboats. Each of our riverboats must comply with United States Coast Guard ("USCG") requirements as to boat design, on-board facilities, equipment, personnel and safety. Each riverboat must hold a Certificate of Inspection for stabilization and flotation, and may also be subject to local zoning codes. The USCG requirements establish design standards, set limits on the operation of the vessels and require individual licensing of all personnel involved with the operation of the vessels. Loss of a vessel's Certificate of Inspection would preclude its use as a casino.

USCG regulations require a hull inspection for all riverboats at five-year intervals. Under certain circumstances, alternative hull inspections may be approved. The USCG may require that such hull inspections be conducted at a dry-docking facility, and if so required, the cost of travel to and from such docking facility, as well as the time required for inspections of the affected riverboats, could be significant. To date, the USCG has allowed in-place underwater inspections of our riverboats twice every five years on alternate two and three year schedules. The USCG may not continue to allow these types of inspections in the future. The loss of a dockside casino or riverboat casino from service for any period of time could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Indiana and Louisiana have adopted alternate inspection standards for riverboats in those states. The standards require inspection by the American Bureau Shipping Consulting ("ABSC"). ABSC inspection for our riverboats at Blue Chip, Treasure Chest and Sam's Town Shreveport commenced during 2010. The Par-A-Dice riverboat will remain inspected by the USCG for the foreseeable future. ABSC imposes essentially the same design, personnel, safety, and hull inspection standards as the USCG. Therefore, the risks to our business associated with USCG inspection should not change by reason of inspection by ABSC. Failure of a vessel to meet the applicable USCG or ABSC standards would preclude its use as a casino.

USCG regulations also require us to prepare and follow certain security programs. In 2004, we implemented the American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program at our riverboat casinos and dockside facilities. The

American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program is specifically designed to address maritime security requirements at riverboat casinos and their respective dockside facilities. Only portions of those regulations will apply to our riverboats inspected by ABSC. Changes to these regulations could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Some of our hotels and casinos are located on leased property. If we default on one or more leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected hotel and/or casino.

We lease certain parcels of land on which The Orleans, Suncoast, Treasure Chest, Sam's Town Shreveport, IP and Borgata's hotel and gaming facility are located. In addition, we lease other parcels of land on which portions of the California and the Fremont are located. As a ground lessee, we have the right to use the leased land; however, we do not retain fee ownership in the underlying land. Accordingly, with respect to the leased land, we will have no interest in the land or improvements thereon at the expiration of the ground leases. Moreover, since we do not completely control the land underlying the property, a landowner could take

Table of Contents

certain actions to disrupt our rights in the land leased under the long term leases. While such interruption is unlikely, such events are beyond our control. If the entity owning any leased land chose to disrupt our use either permanently or for a significant period of time, then the value of our assets could be impaired and our business and operations could be adversely affected. If we were to default on any one or more of these leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected land and any improvements on the land, including the hotels and casinos. This would have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations as we would then be unable to operate all or portions of the affected facilities.

Risks Related to our Indebtedness

We have a significant amount of indebtedness.

We had total consolidated long-term debt, including current maturities, of approximately \$3.00 billion at December 31, 2012, excluding debt held by MDFC and Peninsula Gaming. If we pursue, or continue to pursue, any expansion, development, investment or renovation projects, we expect that our long-term debt will substantially increase in connection with related capital expenditures. This indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

- difficulty in satisfying our obligations under our current indebtedness;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, which would reduce the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, expansion efforts and other general corporate purposes;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; placing us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and
- limiting, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds.

Failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our debt instruments contain, and any future debt instruments likely will contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional debt, including providing guarantees or credit support;
- incur liens securing indebtedness or other obligations;
- make certain investments;
- dispose of assets;
- make certain acquisitions;
- pay dividends or make distributions and make other restricted payments;
- enter into sale and leaseback transactions;
- engage in any new businesses; and
- enter into transactions with our stockholders and our affiliates.

In addition to our debt instruments, our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, MDFC and Peninsula Gaming, each have a significant amount of indebtedness which contain restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on each company, including limitations on dividends, distributions and certain other restricted payments, which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Boyd Gaming Credit Facility

At December 31, 2012, approximately \$1.47 billion was outstanding under our Credit Facility (including \$782.5 million of term loans and \$684.1 million of revolving commitments), with \$14.5 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of approximately \$253.1 million

Interest on \$450 million of term loans under our Credit Facility amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing March 31, 2011, payable on a quarterly basis. The interest rate per annum applicable to such term loans are based upon, at the option of the Company, LIBOR or the "base rate," plus an applicable margin in either case. The applicable margin is a percentage per annum determined in accordance with a specified pricing grid based on the total leverage ratio. Interest on \$332.5 million of term loans under our Credit Facility amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing in March 2012 and payable on a quarterly basis. At any time and to the extent that such

Table of Contents

term loan is a Eurodollar Rate Loan, the term loan will bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof for each quarterly interest period at a rate per annum equal to the "effective Eurodollar Rate" for such period plus 4.75%, and at any time and to the extent that such term loan bears interest at the base rate, the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate per annum equal to the base rate for such Interest period plus 3.75%. The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the revolver portion of our Credit Facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate). The applicable margin on the outstanding balance of the loans and commitments of the non-extending lenders continues to range from 0.625% to 1.625% (if using LIBOR), and from 0.00% to 0.375% (if using the base rate). A fee of a percentage per annum (which ranges from 0.250% to 0.500%) determined by the level of the total leverage ratio is payable on the unused portions of the Credit Facility. The "base rate" under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility was 4.2% and 4.2% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants that:

- require the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio;
- establish a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio;
- establish a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio;
- impose limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness;
- impose limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions; and
- impose restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments.

Subject to certain exceptions, we may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness.

Our Credit Facility requires us to maintain a minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, a Total Leverage Ratio and a Secured Leverage Ratio (each as defined in the Credit Facility) that adjust over the life of our Credit Facility. We believe that we were in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants, including the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, the maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio and the maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio.

However, in the event that we project that our future performance may result in our not being in compliance with these covenants, we could implement certain actions in an effort to minimize the possibility of a breach of the maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio, the maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio and the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio covenants. These actions may include, among others, reducing payroll, benefits and certain other operating costs, deferring or eliminating certain maintenance, expansion or other capital expenditures, reducing our outstanding indebtedness through repurchases or redemption, and/or increasing cash by selling assets or issuing equity.

Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility

In connection with the Peninsula Acquisition and Peninsula Gaming (as successor to Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Boyd ("Merger Sub")) entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Peninsula Credit Agreement") dated as of November 14, 2012, with the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender, and L/C issuer. The Credit Agreement provides for the

\$875.0 million Peninsula Credit Facility, which consists of (a) a term loan facility of \$825.0 million and (b) a revolving credit facility of \$50.0 million. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$854.4 million (including \$825.0 million in term loans and \$29.4 million of revolving commitments) was outstanding. The maturity date for obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility is November 17, 2017.

The interest rate on the outstanding balance of the term loan is based upon, at Peninsula's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.50%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.50%. The interest rate on the outstanding balance from time to time of the revolving loans is based upon, at the Peninsula's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.00%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.00%. The base rate under the Peninsula Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one-month period plus 1.00%. The Peninsula Credit Facility also establishes, with respect to outstanding balances under the Term Loan, a minimum Eurodollar rate for any interest period of 1.25%. In addition, Peninsula Gaming will incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Peninsula Credit Facility at a per annum rate of 0.50%.

Table of Contents

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the revolver rank second in right of payment to the obligations under the term loan. The Peninsula Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants (and are subject to customary exceptions). Peninsula Gaming is required to maintain (i) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a maximum consolidated leverage ratio over each twelve month period ending on the last day of each fiscal quarter (discussed below), (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 as of the end of each calendar quarter, and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year.

The minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

The maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Fund Indebtedness less Excess Cash to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA.

Capital Expenditures should not be made by Peninsula Gaming or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (excluding (i) capital expenditures which adds to or improves any existing property and (ii) capital expenditures made prior to the first anniversary of the Funding Date relating to integration and/or transition of business systems) in an aggregate amount in excess of \$20.0 million in any fiscal year; provided that no default has occurred and is continuing or would result from such expenditure, any portion of such maximum amount, if not expended in the fiscal year for which it is permitted, may be carried over for expenditure in the next following fiscal year.

While we are not guarantors of the Peninsula Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Agreement contains other financial and other covenants that could affect Peninsula Gaming's ability to pay dividends to us, including, without limitation, various covenants that:

- impose limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness;
- impose limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions; and
- impose restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments.

Borgata Bank Credit Facility

Borgata has significant indebtedness, including the Borgata bank credit facility, which could affect its ability to pay dividends to us. While we received a one-time distribution from Borgata of approximately \$135.4 million in August 2010 in connection with Borgata's financing, any future distribution from Borgata (other than distributions to satisfy tax liabilities relating to income of Borgata) will be subject to the limitations on dividends, distributions and certain other restricted payments under Borgata's bank credit facility and the indenture governing Borgata's senior secured notes.

At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, was \$20.0 million, which bore an interest rate of 4.94%. Contractual availability under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, at December 31, 2012 was \$40.0 million.

On December 27, 2012, MDFC entered into a Second Borgata Credit Facility Amendment that (i) decreases the minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to \$110.0 million for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (ii) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude certain losses, charges, and expenses, (iii) adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012

through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Consolidated EBITDA will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Consolidated EBITDA out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, (iv) reduces the Aggregate Commitments (as defined therein) to \$60.0 million, (v) modifies the Use of Proceeds covenant to provide that the proceeds of revolving loans can only be used to repurchase or redeem MDFC's senior secured notes if, after giving affect thereto, the aggregate amount of outstanding loans and letters of credit under the Borgata bank credit facility does not exceed \$50.0 million, and (vi) adds a covenant prohibiting MDFC and MDDC from repurchasing or redeeming MDFC's senior secured notes at any time unless Consolidated EBITDA was at least \$125.0 million for the most recently ended period of four consecutive fiscal quarters prior thereto.

As amended, the Borgata bank credit facility provides for a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility and matures in August 2014. The Borgata bank credit facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Borgata's assets, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations

Table of Contents

under the Borgata bank credit facility have priority in payment to Borgata's senior secured notes. Neither we, nor our subsidiaries, are guarantors of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, accrue interest at a selected rate based upon either: (i) highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, or (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 1.50%, and in any event not less than 1.50% (such highest rate, the "base rate"), or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each clause (i) and (ii) an applicable margin as provided in the Borgata bank credit facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata bank credit facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 1.00% per annum.

The Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, (i) establishing a minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Borgata bank credit facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's ability to incur additional debt; and (iii) imposing restrictions on Borgata's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions, make certain restricted payments, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities.

In addition, Borgata's bank credit facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including covenants that limit Borgata's ability to:

- incur additional debt;
- pay dividends and make other distributions;
- create liens;
- enter into transactions with affiliates;
- merge or consolidate; and
- engage in unrelated business activities.

The increase in our consolidated leverage and debt service obligations as a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, may adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and earnings per share.

As a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, we now have a greater amount of debt on a consolidated basis than we have maintained in the past. As of December 31, 2012, our indebtedness primarily consists of \$1.6 billion in principal outstanding under our Credit Facility and \$1.3 billion aggregate principal amount of our senior and senior subordinated notes, which are the obligations of Boyd Gaming, \$854.9 million in principal outstanding under Peninsula's Credit Facility and \$350 million aggregate principal amount of Peninsula's senior note, and \$20.0 million outstanding under the Borgata bank credit facility and Borgata's \$791.5million aggregate outstanding principal amount of senior secured notes which are the obligations of Borgata. Our maintenance of higher levels of indebtedness could have adverse consequences including impairing our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

Our ability to meet our expenses and debt obligations will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic, regulatory and other factors. Furthermore, our operations may not generate sufficient cash flows to enable us to meet our expenses and service our debt. As a result, we may need to enter into new financing arrangements to obtain the necessary funds. If we determine that it is necessary to seek additional funding for any reason, we may not be able to obtain such funding or, if funding is available, obtain it on acceptable terms. If we fail to make a payment on our debt, we could be in default on such debt, and this default could cause us to be in default on our other outstanding indebtedness.

The terms of the Peninsula Gaming indebtedness limits the payment of dividends (other than tax distributions), distributions and management fees from Peninsula Gaming to Boyd Acquisition II, LLC ("HoldCo"). The promissory note that HoldCo entered into upon the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition (the "HoldCo Note"), which we entered into upon the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition, imposes limitations on HoldCo and on Peninsula Gaming and Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries with respect to (i) incurrence of indebtedness, (ii) liens, (iii) consolidations and

mergers, (iv) sales and other dispositions of assets and (v) restricted payments, including investments. Subject to certain exceptions, we may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the HoldCo Note in connection with certain assets sales by Peninsula Gaming or upon a change of control.

To service our indebtedness, we will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures and expansion efforts will depend upon our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

It is unlikely that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our Credit Facility in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness, as such indebtedness matures and to fund our other liquidity needs. We believe that we will need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, at or before maturity, and

Table of Contents

cannot provide assurances that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our Credit Facility, on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. We may have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying planned expenses and capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity or debt financing or joint venture partners. These financing strategies may not be affected on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, certain states' laws contain restrictions on the ability of companies engaged in the gaming business to undertake certain financing transactions. Some restrictions may prevent us from obtaining necessary capital. We and our subsidiaries may still be able to incur substantially more debt, which could further exacerbate the risks described above.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The terms of the indenture governing our senior and senior subordinated notes will not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from doing so. At December 31, 2012, contractual availability under the Boyd Credit Facility, Peninsula Credit Facility and Borgata bank credit facility were \$253.1 million, \$12.7 million and \$40.0 million, respectively. All of those borrowings would be effectively senior to our senior and senior subordinated notes and the guarantees of our subsidiary guarantors to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such borrowings. If new debt is added to our, or our subsidiaries', current debt levels, the related risks that we or they now face could intensify.

Borgata may be unable to refinance its indebtedness.

Borgata has outstanding indebtedness consisting of a \$60.0 million bank credit facility that matures in August 2014 and \$800 million in senior secured debt, \$400 million of which matures in October 2015 and \$400 million of which matures in August 2018.

Borgata's ability to refinance its indebtedness will depend on its ability to generate future cash flow and Borgata is entirely dependent on its operations, including the Water Club, for all of its cash flow. Its ability to generate cash in the future, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond its control.

It is unlikely that Borgata's business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations in amounts sufficient to enable it to pay the principal on its indebtedness at maturity and to fund its other liquidity needs. We believe Borgata will need to refinance all or a portion of its indebtedness before maturity, and we cannot provide assurances that it will be able to repay or refinance its indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Borgata may have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying planned expenses and capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity or debt financing or joint venture partners. These financing strategies may not be affected on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, New Jersey laws and regulations contain restrictions on the ability of companies engaged in the gaming business to undertake certain financing transactions. Such restrictions may prevent Borgata from obtaining necessary capital.

If we are unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as other capital expenditures, through cash flow, borrowings under the credit facility and additional financings, our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts will be jeopardized.

We intend to finance our current and future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as our other capital expenditures, primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under the Credit Facility, and equity or debt financings. If we are unable to finance our current or future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, or our other capital expenditures, we will have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing, delaying or abandoning planned expansion, development, investment and renovation projects as well as other capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, reducing the amount or suspending or discontinuing the distribution of dividends, obtaining additional equity financing or joint venture partners, or modifying the Credit Facility. These sources of funds may not be sufficient to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, and other financing may not be available on acceptable terms, in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, our existing indebtedness contains certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness.

Recently, there have been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital. We anticipate that these disruptions may continue for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that we will be able to fund any expansion projects using cash flows from operations and availability under the Credit Facility (to the extent that availability exists after we meet our working capital needs).

If availability under the Credit Facility does not exist or we are otherwise unable to make sufficient borrowings thereunder, any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. As a result, if we are unable to obtain adequate project financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be forced to sell assets in order to raise capital for projects, limit the scope of, or defer such projects, or cancel the projects altogether. In the event that capital markets do not improve and we are unable to access capital with more favorable terms, additional equity and/or credit support may be necessary to obtain construction financing for the remaining cost of the project.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to the Peninsula Acquisition

We may face integration difficulties and may be unable to integrate Peninsula Gaming's business into Boyd Gaming's business successfully or realize the anticipated benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition.

The merger involved the combination of two companies that previously operated as independent companies. Peninsula Gaming is now an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Boyd Gaming. We will be required to devote significant management attention and resources to integrating the two companies business practices and operations. Potential difficulties we may encounter as part of the integration process include the following:

- the inability to successfully combine our two businesses in a manner that permits the us to achieve the full revenue and other benefits anticipated to result from the Peninsula Acquisition;
- complexities associated with managing the combined businesses, including difficulty addressing possible differences in corporate cultures and management philosophies and the challenge of integrating complex systems, technology, networks and other assets of each of the companies in a seamless manner that minimizes any adverse impact on customers, suppliers, employees and other constituencies; and
- potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses associated with the Peninsula Acquisition.

In addition, it is possible that the integration process could result in:

- diversion of the attention of each company's management; and
- the disruption of, or the loss of momentum in, each company's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies,

any of which could adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers, employees and other constituencies or our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition, or could reduce our earnings or otherwise adversely affect our business and financial results.

Our future results may differ materially from the unaudited pro forma financial statements that we have previously disclosed.

The pro forma financial statements that we have previously disclosed are presented for illustrative purposes only, are based on various adjustments, assumptions and preliminary estimates and may not be an indication of our financial condition or results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition for several reasons. Our actual financial condition and results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition may not be consistent with, or evident from, these pro forma financial statements. In addition, the assumptions used in preparing the pro forma financial information may not prove to be accurate, and other factors may affect our financial condition or results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition. Any potential decline in our financial condition or results of operations may cause significant variations to our stock price.

Our future results could suffer if we cannot effectively manage our expanded operations following the Peninsula Acquisition.

Following the Peninsula Acquisition, the size of the combined businesses is significantly larger than the previous size of either Boyd Gaming's or Peninsula Gaming's business. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to manage this expanded business, which will pose substantial challenges for management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of new operations and associated increased costs and complexity. There can be no assurances that we will be successful or that we will realize any operating efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancements or other benefits currently anticipated from the Peninsula Acquisition.

We expect to further incur substantial expenses related to the Peninsula Acquisition and the integration of our businesses.

We have already incurred and expect to incur further substantial expenses in connection with the Peninsula Acquisition and the integration of our businesses. There are a large number of processes, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems that must be integrated, including purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, payroll, pricing, marketing and benefits. While we have assumed that a certain level of expenses will be incurred,

there are many factors beyond our control that could affect the total amount or the timing of the integration expenses. Moreover, many of the expenses that will be incurred are, by their nature, difficult to estimate accurately. These integration expenses likely will result in us taking significant charges against earnings, and the amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

The increase in our consolidated leverage and debt service obligations as a result of the Peninsula Acquisition may adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and earnings per share

As a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, we have a greater amount of debt on a consolidated basis than we had maintained in the past. As of December 31, 2012, our indebtedness primarily consists of \$1.6 billion in principal outstanding under our Credit Facility and \$1.3 billion aggregate principal amount of our senior and senior subordinated notes, which are the obligations of Boyd Gaming, \$854.9 million in principal outstanding under the Peninsula Credit Facility and \$350 million aggregate principal amount

Table of Contents

of Peninsula's senior note, and \$20.0 million outstanding under the Borgata bank credit facility and Borgata's \$791.5million aggregate outstanding principal amount of senior secured notes which are the obligations of Borgata. Our maintenance of higher levels of indebtedness could have adverse consequences including impairing our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

Our ability to meet our expenses and debt obligations will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic, regulatory and other factors. Furthermore, our operations may not generate sufficient cash flows to enable us to meet our expenses and service our debt. As a result, we may need to enter into new financing arrangements to obtain the necessary funds. If we determine that it is necessary to seek additional funding for any reason, we may not be able to obtain such funding or, if funding is available, obtain it on acceptable terms. If we fail to make a payment on our debt, we could be in default on such debt, and this default could cause us to be in default on our other outstanding indebtedness.

The terms of the Peninsula Gaming indebtedness limit payment of dividends (other than tax distributions), distributions and management fees from Peninsula Gaming to HoldCo. The HoldCo Note imposes limitations on HoldCo and on Peninsula Gaming and Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries with respect to (i) incurrence of indebtedness, (ii) liens, (iii) consolidations and mergers, (iv) sales and other dispositions of assets and (v) restricted payments, including investments. Subject to certain exceptions, we may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the HoldCo Note in connection with certain assets sales by Peninsula Gaming or upon a change of control.

Risks Related to our Equity Ownership

Our common stock price may fluctuate substantially, and a shareholder's investment could decline in value. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to many factors, including:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;
- announcements of significant acquisitions or other agreements by us or by our competitors;
- our sale of common stock or other securities in the future;
- trading volume of our common stock;
- conditions and trends in the gaming and destination entertainment industries;
- changes in the estimation of the future size and growth of our markets; and
- general economic conditions, including, without limitation, changes in the cost of fuel and air travel.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to companies' operating performance. Broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholder derivative lawsuits and/or securities class action litigation has often been instituted against that company. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

Certain of our stockholders own large interests in our capital stock and may significantly influence our affairs. William S. Boyd, our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, together with his immediate family, beneficially owned approximately 37% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012. As such, the Boyd family has the ability to significantly influence our affairs, including the election of members of our Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law, approving or disapproving other matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including a merger, consolidation, or sale of assets.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

ITEM 2. Properties.

Information relating to the location and general characteristics of our properties appears in tabular format under Part I, Item 1, Business - Properties, and is incorporated herein by reference.

As of December 31, 2012, some of our hotel casinos and development projects are located on leased property, including:

• The Orleans, located on 77 acres of leased land.

• Suncoast, located on 49 acres of leased land.

• California, located on 13.9 acres of owned land and 1.6 acres of leased land.

Table of Contents

- Fremont, located on 1.4 acres of owned land and 0.9 acres of leased land.
- IP Casino Resort Spa, located on 24 acres of owned land and 3.9 acres of leased land.
- Treasure Chest, located on 14 acres of leased land.
- Sam's Town Shreveport, located on 18 acres of leased land.
- Borgata, located on 26 acres of owned land and 19.6 acres of leased land.
- Diamond Jo Dubuque, located on 7 acres of owned land and leases approximately 2.0 acres of parking surfaces.
- Diamond Jo Worth, located on 36 acres of owned land and 10 acres of leased land. Diamond Jo Worth also leases 30 acres of additional hunting land at its Pheasant Links facility in Emmons, Minnesota.
- Evangeline Downs, located on 649 acres of owned land and leases the facilities that comprise the Henderson, Eunice and St. Martinville OTB's.
- Kansas Star, located on 202 acres of land.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino ("Treasure Chest"), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Pending before the District Court are a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action filed by Guidry, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which the Company joined, and a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction filed by the U.S. Attorney, which may result in the case being remanded to state court. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. A ruling has not yet been issued. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its

jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court but allowed for additional filings by September 13, 2011. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for loss profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking

Table of Contents

that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the "Department"), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. We estimate the refund to be in the range of \$17.9 million to \$20.3 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge ("Judge") affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. We are appealing the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department has appealed the decision on employee meals. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset; and pending the ultimate resolution of the appeal at the State Supreme Court, we expect the offset issue will either be addressed by the Supreme Court or remanded back to District Court. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of our appeal to the State Supreme Court, we will not record any gain until a final, non-appealable decision has been rendered. On July 6, 2012 the Department retracted its previous guidance requiring payment of sales tax, on complimentary and employee meals, for periods subsequent to February 15, 2012. The updated guidance defers the requirement to collect and remit sales tax, without interest or penalty, on complimentary and employee meals until the occurrence of a defined future event. Based on the Department's updated guidance, we have not collected, remitted or accrued a liability for sales tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties.

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip previously received a valuation notice from the county assessor indicating an unanticipated increase of nearly 400% to its assessed property value as of January 1, 2006. In December 2007, we received the property tax bill related to our 2006 tax assessment in the amount \$6.2 million, which we appealed. In February 2009, we received a notice of revaluation, reducing the initial tax assessment by approximately \$2.2 million. Since then, we have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received in years 2007 through 2012, all of which were based on the 2006 valuation notice. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reached settlements with the county assessor, reducing the annual valuation for years 2006 through 2009. Based on these settlements, we revised our cumulative property tax accrual to reflect the retrospective effect of the revised valuations. The impact of these revisions to the valuations resulted in a reduction of our property tax accrual of approximately \$9.7 million, which

was cumulatively reversed through property tax expense during the year ended December 31, 2011. We received the 2010 tax assessment in January 2013 but have not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 or 2012. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the 2009 settlement valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment and believe the assessments for the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012 could result in a total property tax obligation, net of previous payments, ranging between \$5.0 million and \$14.1 million. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$14.1 million for this property tax liability as of December 31, 2012, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome within our range, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount billed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, which have not been received as of December 31, 2012, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

Table of Contents

Legal Matters

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

ITEM 4A. Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth the non-director executive officers of Boyd Gaming Corporation as of March 13, 2013:

Name	Age	Position
Paul J. Chakmak	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Brian A. Larson	57	Executive Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel
Josh Hirsberg	51	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)
Anthony D. McDuffie	52	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

Paul J. Chakmak has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since January 1, 2008.

Mr. Chakmak joined us in February 2004 as our Senior Vice President - Finance and Treasurer, and was appointed Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer on June 1, 2006.

Brian A. Larson has served as our Executive Vice President and General Counsel since January 1, 2008 and as our Secretary since February 2001. Mr. Larson became our Senior Vice President and General Counsel in January 1998. He became our Associate General Counsel in March 1993 and Vice President-Development in June 1993.

Josh Hirsberg joined the Company as our Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer effective January 1, 2008. Prior to his position with the Company, Mr. Hirsberg served as the Chief Financial Officer for EdgeStar Partners, a Las Vegas-based resort development concern. He previously held several senior-level finance positions in the gaming industry, including Vice President and Treasurer for Caesars Entertainment and Vice President, Strategic Planning and Investor Relations for Harrah's Entertainment.

Anthony D. McDuffie has served as our Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since March 2013. Prior to being appointed Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, Mr. McDuffie, served as the Company's Director, Accounting Policy & Reporting, since October 2012. Mr. McDuffie previously served as Vice President, Finance and Controller of Pinnacle Airlines Corp. from October 2011 until September 2012. Prior to joining Pinnacle Airlines, Mr. McDuffie served as a financial accounting consultant to businesses in the manufacturing, health care and emergency air ambulance industries from May 2009 until October 2011. Mr. McDuffie served as Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Caesars Entertainment Corporation from November 2001 to May 2009.

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BYD." Information with respect to sales prices and record holders of our common stock is set forth below.

Market Information

The following table sets forth, for the calendar quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

Table of Contents

	High	Low
Year Ended December 31, 2012		
First Quarter	\$9.61	\$6.91
Second Quarter	8.25	6.79
Third Quarter	7.32	5.30
Fourth Quarter	6.99	4.76
Year Ended December 31, 2011		
First Quarter	12.42	9.00
Second Quarter	10.26	7.73
Third Quarter	9.64	4.90
Fourth Quarter	7.63	4.48

On February 28, 2013, the closing sales price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$6.57 per share. On that date, we had approximately 844 holders of record of our common stock and our directors and executive officers owned approximately 36% of the outstanding shares. There are no other classes of common equity outstanding.

Dividends

Dividends are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors. In July 2008, our Board of Directors suspended the payment of a quarterly dividend for future periods, and we therefore have not paid any dividends since that date, or within the span of the past three-year period. We are subject to certain limitations regarding the payment of dividends, such as restricted payment limitations related to our Credit Facility and our outstanding notes.

Share Repurchase Program

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to \$100 million. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. Through December 31, 2012, we have repurchased 1.7 million shares of our common stock under the share repurchase program and are authorized to repurchase up to an additional \$92.1 million in shares.

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our Credit Facility.

We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our Credit Facility and our outstanding notes.

No purchases under our stock repurchase program were made during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. In the future, we may acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine.

Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains information concerning securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Stock Performance Graph

The graph below compares the five-year cumulative total return on our common stock to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's MidCap 400 Index ("S&P 400") and certain companies in our peer group, which is comprised of Ameristar Casinos, Inc., Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc. and Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc. The performance graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2007 in each of the Company's common stock, the S&P 400 and our peer group, and that all dividends were reinvested. The stock price performance shown in this graph is neither necessarily indicative of, nor intended to suggest, future stock price performance.

Table of Contents

	Indexed Returns		
	Boyd Gaming Corp.	S&P 400	Peer Group
December 2008	14.08	63.77	31.00
December 2009	24.91	87.61	48.94
December 2010	31.55	110.94	61.84
December 2011	22.20	109.02	53.03
December 2012	19.76	128.51	80.12

The performance graph should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act of 1934, unless we specifically incorporate the performance graph by reference therein.

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

We have derived the selected consolidated financial data presented below as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 from the audited consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data presented below as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not contained herein. Operating results for the periods presented below are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for future years.

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues					
Gaming	\$2,110,233	\$1,986,644	\$1,812,487	\$1,372,091	\$1,477,476
Food and beverage	417,506	388,148	347,588	229,374	251,854
Room	264,903	246,209	211,046	122,305	140,651
Other	145,460	135,176	123,603	100,396	117,574
Gross Revenue	\$2,938,102	\$2,756,177	\$2,494,724	\$1,824,166	\$1,987,555
Operating income (loss)	\$(854,875)	\$233,104	\$189,359	\$156,193	\$(153,429)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(1,143,847)	\$(6,278)	\$20,486	\$5,317	\$(249,536)
Income taxes	\$220,772	\$(1,721)	\$(8,236)	\$(1,076)	\$26,531
Noncontrolling interests	\$14,210	\$4,145	\$(1,940)	\$—	\$—
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(908,865)	\$(3,854)	\$10,310	\$4,241	\$(223,005)
Basic net income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(10.37)	\$(0.04)	\$0.12	\$0.05	\$(2.54)
Diluted net income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(10.37)	\$(0.04)	\$0.12	\$0.05	\$(2.54)
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$192,828	\$178,756	\$145,623	\$93,202	\$98,152
Total assets	6,332,193	5,883,054	5,656,861	4,459,957	4,605,427
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	4,827,853	3,347,226	3,193,065	2,576,911	2,647,058
Total stockholders' equity	467,127	1,374,079	1,361,369	1,156,369	1,143,522

The following summarizes the significant transactions recorded during each of the years referenced:

Year Ended December 31, 2012

\$1.05 billion of non-cash impairment charges, primarily consisting of \$993.9 million related to the Echelon development, \$17.5 million for the write-down of the Sam's Town Shreveport gaming license, and \$39.4 million related to various parcels of undeveloped land. In December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development.

\$145.4 million of incremental net revenue and \$18.9 million of incremental operating income related to the acquisition of IP from January 1, 2012 through September 30, 2012, compared to the same period in the prior year, as the acquisition of IP occurred on October 4, 2011;

\$56.9 million of incremental net revenue and \$4.7 million of incremental operating income related to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming on November 20, 2012, and the inclusion of its results in our consolidated financial statements from such date through December 31, 2012;

\$18.7 million of acquisition costs were recorded, primarily related to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming on November 20, 2012;

\$7.7 million gain on insurance proceeds related to the subrogation of insurance claims related to the fire that occurred during construction of The Water Club at Borgata in September 2007 and from business interruption proceeds due to the

43

Table of Contents

mandated closure of the property by civil authorities and the Division of Gaming Enforcement for three days in August 2011 related to Hurricane Irene; and

\$7.1 million gain on insurance settlement, net of flood expenses, recorded for gains on business interruption proceeds due to flooding of the Mississippi River and temporary closure of our Tunica property in May 2011.

Year Ended December 31, 2011

\$44.6 million of incremental net revenue and \$3.2 million of incremental operating income related to the acquisition of IP on October 4, 2011 and the inclusion of their results in our consolidated financial statements from such date through December 31, 2011;

\$7.0 million of income related to the forfeited deposits from the buyers on the proposed sale of Dania Jai-Alai, which sale was never completed;

\$6.4 million of acquisition costs were recorded, of which \$4.8 million related to the purchase of IP on October 4, 2011;

\$5.0 million non-cash impairment charge to the Borgata trademark, representing the amount by which the carrying amount exceeded its fair value due to our consideration of certain facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in Atlantic City;

\$4.6 million bargain purchase gain representing the excess fair value of the identified assets over the total purchase consideration related to the acquisition of IP; and

\$1.1 million non-cash impairment charge to Borgata's investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary, representing the amount by which the carrying value of the investment exceeded its potential liquidation value.

Year ended December 31, 2010

\$28.2 million of incremental interest expense at Borgata, of which \$26.1 million related to the impact of additional amounts at a higher rate, and \$2.0 million related to the accelerated write off of deferred loan fees on refinanced borrowings;

\$10 million of other income, representing a fee from MGM related to the amendment to our operating agreement, whereby we assumed effective control of Borgata;

\$7.5 million of preopening expense related to the ongoing maintenance and preservation of Echelon, as well as other business development activities;

\$4.7 million of write-downs and other charges, of which \$4.0 million related to acquisition expenses; and

\$2.5 million gain on equity distribution in connection with a \$30.8 million priority distribution received from Borgata, which is equal to the excess prior capital contributions made by us.

Year ended December 31, 2009

\$41.8 million of write-downs and other charges, net;

\$17.8 million of preopening expenses;

\$15.3 million gain on the early retirement of debt;

\$14.3 million gain related to our share of property damage insurance recoveries at Borgata;

\$8.9 million of retroactive interest expense related to our contingent payment for Dania Jai-Alai; and

\$1.8 million of accelerated interest expense related to our Credit Facility.

Year ended December 31, 2008

\$385.5 million of write-downs and other charges, net;

\$28.6 million gain on the early retirements of debt;

\$20.3 million of preopening expenses; and

Table of Contents

\$3.7 million one-time permanent unfavorable tax adjustment related to non-recurring state income tax valuation allowances.

The following is a listing of significant events affecting our business during the five-year period ended December 31, 2012:

On December 27, 2012, we entered into the First Credit Facility Amendment, among the Company, the Credit Facility Lenders and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issue, that (i) decreases the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined therein) for the fiscal quarters ending June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2013, (ii) increases the maximum Total Leverage Ratio (as defined therein) for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (iii) increases the maximum Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined therein) for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (iv) during the first four calendar quarters after the execution of any management agreement pursuant to which management fees are payable to the Company or a restricted subsidiary of the Company, adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to reflect the annualized pro forma management fees paid in cash or to be paid in cash pursuant to such agreement, (v) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude any non-cash income or gain and any non-cash loss, costs, and expenses resulting from earn out obligations and other contingent consideration, (vi) adjusts the calculation of Borgata EBIT (as defined therein) such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Borgata EBIT will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Borgata EBIT out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, and (vii) modifies the definition of Interest Coverage Ratio to exclude any non-cash interest expense resulting from earn out obligations and other contingent consideration.

On December 27, 2012, MDFC entered into the Second Borgata Credit Facility Amendment, among MDFC, MDDC, the Borgata Lenders and Wells Fargo, as administrative agent, that (i) decreases the minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to \$110.0 million for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (ii) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude certain losses, charges, and expenses, (iii) adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Consolidated EBITDA will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Consolidated EBITDA out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, (iv) reduces the Aggregate Commitments (as defined therein) to \$60.0 million, (v) modifies the Use of Proceeds covenant to provide that the proceeds of revolving loans can only be used to repurchase or redeem MDFC's senior secured notes if after giving affect thereto, the aggregate amount of outstanding loans and letters of credit under the Borgata bank credit facility does not exceed \$50.0 million, and (vi) adds a covenant prohibiting MDFC and MDDC from repurchasing or redeeming MDFC's senior secured notes at any time unless Consolidated EBITDA was at least \$125.0 million for the most recently ended period of four consecutive fiscal quarters prior thereto.

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities.

Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

On July 24, 2012, we announced that we had entered into a development agreement with Sunrise Sports Entertainment, LLP, the operator of the BB&T Center, a major entertainment venue in South Florida and home to the NHL's Florida Panthers, for a new project in Broward County, Florida. The agreement provides the Company the opportunity to take advantage of the potential to expand gaming in South Florida at the site of the BB&T Center.

On July 24, 2012, we announced a development agreement with Wilton Rancheria, a federally-recognized tribe located about 30 miles southeast of Sacramento, California, to develop and manage a gaming entertainment complex.

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities

related to the IP, on an as-is basis. The net purchase price was \$280.6 million. Accordingly, the acquired assets and assumed liabilities of IP are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011, reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2011.

On October 31, 2011, we announced that we had entered into an agreement with bwin.party digital entertainment plc,

Table of Contents

the world's largest publicly traded online gaming company. Should Congress legalize online poker in the United States, and subject to regulatory approvals, we would acquire a 10% stake in a new company that would offer online poker to U.S.-based players under bwin.party's brands, including PartyPoker. Separately, we entered into a 15-year agreement to use bwin.party's technology platform and associated services, at favorable rates and costs to us, to offer online poker to U.S. players under a brand we develop.

On March 24, 2010, as a result of the amendment to our operating agreement with MGM Resorts International (the successor in interest to MGM MIRAGE) ("MGM"), which provided, among other things, for the termination of MGM's participating rights in the operations of Borgata, we effectively obtained control of Borgata. As a result, we have included Borgata in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and its results of operations and cash flows from March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010 and for the full year ended December 31, 2011 in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Prior period amounts were not restated or recasted as a result of this change.

Blue Chip opened on January 22, 2009, following completion of an expansion project that added a 22-story hotel, which includes 300 guest rooms, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues to the existing property structures.

In 2008, we established our nationwide branding initiative and loyalty program. Players are able to use their "B Connected" (or, formerly, "Club Coast") cards to earn and redeem points at nearly all of our wholly-owned Boyd Gaming properties in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Mississippi.

The Water Club, a 798-room boutique hotel expansion project at Borgata, opened in June 2008. The expansion includes five swimming pools, a state-of-the-art spa, additional meeting and retail space, and a separate porte-cochere and front desk.

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Overview

Boyd Gaming Corporation (the "Company," "Boyd Gaming," "we" or "us") is a multi-jurisdictional gaming company that has been operating for approximately 37 years.

Our Properties

We are a diversified operator of 21 wholly-owned gaming entertainment properties and one controlling interest in a limited liability company. Headquartered in Las Vegas, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Louisiana, Mississippi, Indiana, Kansas, Iowa and New Jersey, which we aggregate in order to present the following five reportable segments:

Table of Contents

Las Vegas Locals

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
The Orleans Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Las Vegas, Nevada
Suncoast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Eldorado Casino	Henderson, Nevada
Jokers Wild Casino	Henderson, Nevada

Downtown Las Vegas

California Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Fremont Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel	Las Vegas, Nevada

Midwest and South

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Tunica, Mississippi
IP Casino Resort Spa	Biloxi, Mississippi
Par-A-Dice Hotel and Casino	East Peoria, Illinois
Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa	Michigan City, Indiana
Treasure Chest Casino	Kenner, Louisiana
Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel	Vinton, Louisiana
Sam's Town Hotel and Casino	Shreveport, Louisiana

Peninsula Gaming

Diamond Jo Dubuque	Dubuque, Iowa
Diamond Jo Worth	Northwood, Iowa
Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino	Opelousas, Louisiana
Amelia Belle Casino	Amelia, Louisiana
Kansas Star Casino	Mulvane, Kansas

Atlantic City

Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa	Atlantic City, New Jersey
----------------------------	---------------------------

Hawaiian Operations

In addition to these properties, we own and operate a travel agency in Hawaii, and a captive insurance company, also in Hawaii, that underwrites travel-related insurance.

Dania Jai-Alai

We also own and operate Dania Jai-Alai, which is a pari-mutuel jai-alai facility with approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida. The results of Dania Jai-Alai are included as part of the "Other" category in our segment information. As discussed in Note 24, Subsequent Events, on February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment entered into the New Dania Agreement for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the New Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

Echelon Development

We owned 87 acres of land on the Las Vegas Strip, where our multibillion dollar Echelon development project was to be located. On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of Echelon. We originally expected to resume development in the project in three to five years. However, as discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 24, Subsequent Events, during the three months ended December 31, 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development.

Table of Contents

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting to sell the Echelon site for \$350.0 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Our Emphasis

We operate gaming entertainment properties, most of which also include hotel, dining, retail and other amenities. Our main business emphasis is on slot revenues, which are highly dependent upon the volume and spending levels of customers at our properties, which affects our operating results.

Our properties have historically generated significant operating cash flow, with the majority of our revenue being cash-based. While we do provide casino credit, subject to certain gaming regulations and jurisdictions, most of our customers wager with cash and pay for non-gaming services by cash or credit card.

Our industry is capital intensive and we rely heavily on the ability of our properties to generate operating cash flow in order to fund maintenance capital expenditures, fund acquisitions, provide excess cash for future development, repay debt financing and associated interest costs, purchase our debt or equity securities, pay income taxes and pay dividends.

Our Key Performance Indicators

We use several key performance indicators to evaluate the operations of our wholly owned properties and Borgata. These key performance indicators include the following indicators in the following categories:

Gaming revenue indicators:

Slot handle and table game drop are indicators of volume and/or market share. Slot handle means the dollar amount wagered in slot machines and table game drop means the total amount of cash deposited in table games drop boxes, plus the sum of markers issued at all table games.

Slot win and table game hold percentages represent the relationship between slot handle and table game drop to gaming wins and losses. Slot win and table game hold means the difference between customer wagers and customer winnings on slot machines and table games, respectively.

Food and beverage revenue indicators: average guest check is an indicator of volume and product offerings and is defined as the average amount spent per customer visit; number of guests served is an indicator of volume; and the cost per guest served is an indicator of operating margin.

Room revenue indicators: hotel occupancy rate is an indicator of volume measuring the utilization of our available rooms; and average daily rate ("ADR") is a price indicator.

Our Strategy

Our overriding strategy is to increase shareholder value. We follow several strategic initiatives on which we are focused to improve and grow our business.

Strengthening our Balance Sheet: We remain committed to strengthening our balance sheet through diversifying and increasing cash flows to provide for deleveraging.

Operating Efficiently: We also remain committed to operating more efficiently and endeavor to prevent unneeded expense in our business. The efficiencies of our business model position us to flow a substantial portion of revenue gains directly to the bottom line. Margin improvements will remain a driver of profit growth for the Company going

forward.

Evaluating Acquisition Opportunities: We evaluate potential transactions and acquisitions in a way that is strategic, deliberate, and disciplined. Our intention is to pursue opportunities that are a good fit for our business, deliver a solid return for shareholders, and are available at the right price.

Maintaining our Brand: The ability of our employees to deliver great customer service remains a key differentiator for our Company and our brands. Our employees are an important reason that our customers continue to choose our properties over the competition across the country.

Our Focus

Our focus has been and will remain on: (i) ensuring our existing operations are managed as efficiently as possible, improving profitability and remaining positioned for growth; (ii) our capital structure and strengthening our balance sheet, not just by paying

48

Table of Contents

down debt, but also by strengthening our operations and diversifying our asset base; and (iii) our growth strategy, which is built on finding those assets that are a good strategic fit and provide an appropriate return to our shareholders.

Overall Outlook

We believe that our key operating results for the year ended December 31, 2012 demonstrate some recovering trends in our business with certain regions showing more favorable results than others. Although the severe economic recession over the course of the past several years has had a profound effect on consumer confidence and has shifted spending away from discretionary items, such as leisure, hospitality, gaming and entertainment activities, we realized some recoverability in our business, as we saw several consecutive quarters of encouraging trends and anticipated that this recovery would continue. However, starting in May 2012, we began to experience weakness in economic trends locally, nationally and globally, due to a variety of factors. We believe the economic recovery slowed and consumer confidence retracted during the second quarter of 2012 and as a result, our results for the second quarter did not meet our expectations, which also impacted our results for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the fourth quarter, we were encouraged to see sequential growth in our Las Vegas Locals business. We recognized \$993.9 million in non-cash impairment charges related to our Echelon project. The disposal of Echelon represents our priority to strengthen our balance sheet and improve our long-term financial position.

Economic Influence

Due to a number of factors affecting consumers, including the increasing Federal deficit and uncertainties surrounding the ability to achieve reconciliation to avoid the fiscal cliff, volatility in the stock market, the European debt crisis and high unemployment levels, all of which have resulted in reduced levels of consumer spending, the outlook for the gaming industry remains unpredictable. We believe the severity and length of recovery from this economic recession has had a profound effect on consumer behavior and has led to a shift in spending from discretionary items. Because of these uncertain conditions, we have increasingly focused on managing our operating margins and increasing our brand awareness. Our present objective is to manage our cost and expense structure to address current business volumes, generate strong and stable cash flows and position the Company to benefit from improved flow through of revenue growth.

Positioning

We continually work to position our Company for greater success by strengthening our existing operations and growing through capital investment and other strategic initiatives. For instance, in November 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition and added five properties to our portfolio; the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas, Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa, Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa, Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana, and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

In October 2011, we purchased the IP Casino Resort Spa (the "IP") which is a premier casino resort on the Mississippi gulf coast and includes 1,100 guest rooms and suites, a 70,000 square-foot casino, a 1,400-seat theater offering regular headline entertainment, a spa and salon, 73,000 square feet of meeting and convention space, as well as eight restaurants. Additionally, in January 2009, we opened our 22-story hotel at Blue Chip Casino, Hotel and Spa in Michigan City, Indiana ("Blue Chip"), which includes 300 guest rooms, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues.

Boyd Brand Awareness

We have also established a nationwide branding initiative and loyalty program. Previously, players were able to use their "Club Coast" or "B Connected" cards to earn and redeem points at nearly all of our wholly-owned Boyd Gaming properties in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Mississippi. In June 2010, we launched an enhanced, multi-property player loyalty program under the "B Connected" brand, which replaced the "Club Coast" program. Customers under the "Club Coast" program were able to keep all earned benefits and club points they had previously earned under the program. The new "B Connected" club, among other benefits, extends the time period over which players may qualify for promotion and increases the credits awarded to reel slot and table games players.

In addition to the “B Connected” player loyalty program, we launched the “B Connected Mobile” program in July 2010. “B Connected Mobile,” the first multi-property, loyalty program based iPhone application of its kind in the gaming industry, is a personalized mobile application that delivers customized offers and information directly to a customer's iPhone, iPad, or Android device, making “B Connected Mobile” the first application of its kind available on multiple platforms. The application further expands the benefits of the “B Connected” program. “B Connected Mobile” provides real-time personalized information when a customer visits a Boyd property, including hotel, dining and gaming offers, such as “Best Rates Available” on hotel rooms for “B Connected” members, instant access to event information, schedules and special offers at all Boyd Gaming properties using a search engine which allows customers to find Boyd Gaming casinos that have their favorite machines and displays the games' locations on a casino floor map, the ability to track “B Connected” point balances in real time, and the ability to make immediate hotel or restaurant reservations. These tools help customers get the greatest value out of their B Connected membership, and ensure that our marketing is as effective as possible.

Table of Contents

We have continued to improve our B Connected loyalty program with the introduction of "B Connected Social" in the first quarter of 2012, which rewards users for using B Connected Online, B Connected Mobile, or sharing offers and events on social networks. B Connected Social is a dynamic network loyalty program that allows B Connected members to share offers with friends, connect to their favorite social networks, check in online via certain social networks, as well as, participate in a variety of online activities including interfacing with B Connected Online or B Connected Mobile, participate in online contests, and register for alerts to deliver targeted information specific to the B Connected member.

Borgata Brand Awareness

Borgata sponsors its own program to expand its brand awareness and leverage its strong loyalty card program, predicated on efforts to use marketing and promotional programs to serve an important role: to retain existing customers, maintain trip frequency and acquire new customers. Borgata offer its guests comprehensive, competitive and targeted marketing and promotion programs. The "My Borgata Rewards" program, for example, offers players a hassle-free way of earning slot dollars, comp dollars and other rewards and benefits based on game play, with convenient on-line access of account balances and other program information. In addition, Borgata strives to differentiate its casino with high-quality guest services to further enhance overall brand and customer experience to position Borgata as the must visit property in Atlantic City.

Other Promotional Activities

From time to time, we offer other promotional offers and discounts targeted towards new customers, frequent customers, inactive customers, customers of various levels of play, and prospective customers who have not yet visited our properties, as well as mid-week and other promotional activities that seek to generate visits to our properties during slower periods. Comp dollars, generally in the form of monetary discounts, and other rewards generally can only be redeemed at our restaurants, retail and spa facilities.

Development Activities

Echelon

On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of Echelon. We originally expected to resume development in the project of three to five years. As discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 24, Subsequent Events, in December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting to sell the Echelon site for \$350.0 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Central Energy Facility

LVE is a joint venture between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC. We had entered into an ESA with LVE to design, build, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon) and operate a district energy system and central energy center for our planned Echelon resort development to provide electricity, emergency electricity generation, and chilled and hot water to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties.

LVE began construction of the facility in 2007 and expected to provide full energy services to Echelon in 2010, when we originally expected to open. However, LVE suspended construction in January 2009, after our announcement of the delay of Echelon. On April 3, 2009, LVE notified us that, in its view, Echelon would be in breach of the ESA unless it recommences and proceeds with construction of the Echelon development project by May 6, 2009.

On March 1, 2013, as part of the sale of the Echelon site, we entered into a definitive agreement with LVE to permit Genting to acquire LVE's power plant improvements on the Echelon site. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013 and Genting paid LVE \$187.0 million at the closing.

We regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through the development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, along with opportunities associated with acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities.

Other Events

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities.

Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012

Table of Contents

through December 31, 2012, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

New Development Agreements

On July 24, 2012, we announced that we had entered into a development agreement with Sunrise Sports Entertainment, LLP, the operator of the BB&T Center, a major entertainment venue in South Florida and home to the NHL's Florida Panthers, for a new project in Broward County, Florida. The agreement provides the Company the opportunity to take advantage of the potential to expand gaming in South Florida at the site of the BB&T Center.

On July 24, 2012, we announced a development agreement and management agreement with Wilton Rancheria, a federally-recognized tribe located about 30 miles southeast of Sacramento, California, to develop and manage a gaming entertainment complex.

Borgata Closure Due to Post-Tropical Storm

The Borgata was ordered to close from October 28, 2012 to November 2, 2012 by the Division of Gaming Enforcement Office of the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey ("NJDE") due to a post-tropical storm. As a result of the storm, the property suffered minor property damage, however, the surrounding area experienced severe flooding and significant property damage.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

We believe that our key operating results for the year ended December 31, 2012 demonstrate some recovering trends in our business with certain regions showing more favorable operating results than others. Although over the course of the past several years, the severe economic recession has had a profound effect on consumer confidence, and has shifted spending away from discretionary items, such as leisure, hospitality, gaming and entertainment activities, results during the year ended December 31, 2012 indicate that we continue to face a challenging economic environment despite having realized some stabilizing trends in our business. Generally, the job market is strengthening, as the national unemployment rate has continued to decline throughout 2012; however, these favorable factors were offset by fluctuating consumer confidence and uncertainties regarding government fiscal policies. Starting in May 2012, we began to experience weakness in economic trends locally and nationally, that were further amplified by the global macro-economic issues, due to a variety of factors. As the job market recovers and expands, we believe that consumer confidence will strengthen further.

Specifically, in our Las Vegas Locals region, visitor counts, room rates and convention sales began to stabilize and slightly increase over the prior year. Our Downtown Las Vegas segment is benefiting from successful marketing efforts to our Hawaiian customers, and the strength of the local Hawaiian economy. The economy in the Midwest and South region has been more resilient than the national and Las Vegas economies. Although we continue to be the market leader in Atlantic City, the entire market continues to experience a difficult period, due to increased local and regional competition, as well as the recovery that is ongoing due to the post-tropical storm that closed the Borgata from October 28, 2012 to November 2, 2012.

As discussed in Note 3, Consolidation of Certain Interests, we concluded that a change in control of the Borgata had occurred and we began consolidating the Borgata into our financial statements effective March 24, 2010. We had previously accounted for and reported the Borgata as an equity method investment. Given the significance of the impact of this change in accounting method on our financial statements and to enhance the understanding of our financial results, in addition to a comparison of our actual results, we are also providing a supplemental comparison of

certain line items in our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 on a pro forma basis, giving effect to the consolidation of Borgata as if it had occurred on January 1, 2010, rather than March 24, 2010. The pro forma presentations are provided for the purposes of comparability, and all such results and discussions reflecting these pro forma adjustments are identified as such.

Table of Contents

Overview of Key Operating Results

Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010 Actual	2010 Pro Forma
	(In thousands)			
Net revenues	\$2,487,426	\$2,336,238	\$2,140,899	\$2,299,188
Operating income (loss)	(854,875)	233,104	189,359	197,504
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	(908,865)	(3,854)	10,310	10,310

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Net Revenues

Net revenues were \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to \$2.3 billion for the comparable period in the prior year, an increase of approximately \$151 million or 6.5%. The Peninsula Acquisition, which occurred on November 20, 2012, renumerated \$56.9 million in net revenues during the year ended December 31, 2012. Additionally, the IP, acquired on October 4, 2011, contributed \$187.9 million in net revenues during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$44.6 million in net revenues during the period from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011. Our year to date results were impacted by weaker than expected mid-year results, and our increase in net revenues were partially offset by increased promotional activities. Promotional allowances increased by \$30.7 million primarily due to \$2.2 million related to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming on November 20, 2012, and a full year of IP promotional allowances of \$47.1 million, compared to \$11.6 million in the prior year for the period from October 4, 2011 to December 31, 2011. The incremental increase in promotional allowances from Peninsula Gaming and IP was offset by a \$6.9 million decrease in promotional activities at Borgata. As discussed below, we saw stabilizing and improving trends throughout the year ended December 31, 2012, which were offset by a decline in certain local markets, the most significant decrease of which was in Atlantic City.

Operating Income (Loss)

Operating loss was \$854.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to operating income of \$233.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The primary decrease was due to \$1.1 billion of non-cash impairment charges, of which \$993.9 million related to the Echelon project and \$17.5 million related to the write-down of the Sam's Town Shreveport gaming license in connection with our annual impairment test. Additionally, the decrease in operating income was due to an increase in gaming, food and beverage, and selling, general and administrative expenses that were not offset by a proportionate increase in net revenues. Although Peninsula Gaming contributed \$56.9 million in incremental net revenues, this increase was offset by a \$44.1 million decrease in net revenues at Borgata, which was closed from October 28, 2012 to November 2, 2012, due to a post-tropical storm. Operating income was also negatively impacted by other operating items, net, which included charges of \$18.7 million of acquisition costs related primarily to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming and the evaluation of other acquisition opportunities during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Net Loss Attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation

Net loss attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation was \$908.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a net loss of \$3.9 million for the corresponding period of the prior year, due primarily to the flow through impact of the impairment and other operating items, net, discussed above and significantly higher interest costs associated with the acquisition financing of Peninsula Gaming including the 8.375% Senior Note due 2018 and the Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility. During the year ended December 31, 2012, interest expense, net, increased \$39.3 million or 15.7%, respectively, compared to the prior year.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Net Revenues

Net revenues were \$2.34 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$2.14 billion in net revenues for the comparable period in the prior year, an increase of approximately \$195.3 million or 9.1%, due primarily to the consolidation of the Borgata On a pro forma basis to reflect the consolidation of the Borgata as if had occurred on January 1, 2010, net revenues increased approximately \$37.1 million or 1.6%. The increase in net revenues during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the pro forma during the comparable period in the prior year was due to the acquisition of IP. The IP acquisition which occurred on October 4, 2011, remunerated \$44.6 million in net revenues during the period from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011. While certain properties and regions showed growth in the latter half of the year ended December 31, 2011, our business continued to stabilize throughout the year but revenue growth was partially offset by increased promotional activities. Promotional allowances increased by \$22.0 million primarily due to the acquisition of IP, which represented \$11.6 million of this increase, as well as Borgata's promotional allowances which increased by \$12.9 million in response to increased competition. The increase in IP and Borgata promotional allowances was offset by our cost containment measures at other properties. As discussed below,

Table of Contents

we saw stabilizing and improving trends throughout the year ended December 31, 2011, which were offset by a decline in our other segments, the most significant decrease of which was in Atlantic City.

Operating Income

Operating income was \$233.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$189.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, representing an increase of \$43.7 million or 23.1%, reflecting the impact of the consolidation of the Borgata in late first quarter 2010. On a pro forma basis, operating income was \$233.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$197.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, representing an increase of \$35.6 million or 18.0%. The increase in operating income was due to improved operating efficiencies, which given our focus on cost containment over the past several years, largely improved our profit margins, which increased overall by 160 basis points. The increase was also somewhat attributable to the operating performance of IP since its acquisition, which contributed approximately \$3.2 million in operating income during the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase was offset by an incremental \$9.3 million in other operating items, net, which represented charges of \$14.1 million, and included \$6.7 million of asset impairment charges, \$6.4 million of acquisition costs related primarily to the acquisition of IP and the evaluation of other acquisition opportunities and \$1.4 million related to the insurance deductible and other non-reimbursable costs related to the flooding at Sam's Town Tunica during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net Loss Attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation

Net loss attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation was \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to net income of \$10.3 million for the corresponding period of the prior year. The net loss was due primarily to significantly higher interest costs. On a comparative basis, non-recurring other income and gains recorded during these periods were relatively consistent.

Operating Revenues

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following analysis discusses our operating revenues on a consolidated basis, which are further supplemented by our operating segment detail below.

We derive the majority of our gross revenues from our gaming operations, which generated approximately 72%, 72% and 73% of gross revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 respectively. Food and beverage gross revenues, which generated approximately 14% of gross revenues for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, represent the next most significant revenue source, followed by room and other, both of which separately contributed less than 10% of gross revenues during all of these respective years.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010 Actual	2010 Pro Forma	
	(In thousands)				
REVENUES					
Gaming	\$2,110,233	\$1,986,644	\$1,812,487	\$1,950,318	
Food and beverage	417,506	388,148	347,588	378,806	
Room	264,903	246,209	211,046	235,200	
Other	145,460	135,176	123,603	132,782	
Gross revenues	2,938,102	2,756,177	2,494,724	2,697,106	
Less promotional allowances	450,676	419,939	353,825	397,918	
Net revenues	\$2,487,426	\$2,336,238	\$2,140,899	\$2,299,188	
COSTS AND EXPENSES					
Gaming	\$1,011,064	\$924,451	\$859,818	\$919,679	
Food and beverage	219,921	200,165	180,840	194,340	
Room	55,531	56,111	49,323	51,508	
Other	111,075	108,907	99,458	106,585	
	\$1,397,591	\$1,289,634	\$1,189,439	\$1,272,112	
MARGINS					
Gaming	52.09	% 53.47	% 52.56	% 52.84	%
Food and beverage	47.33	% 48.43	% 47.97	% 48.70	%
Room	79.04	% 77.21	% 76.63	% 78.10	%
Other	23.64	% 19.43	% 19.53	% 19.73	%

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Gaming

Gaming revenues are significantly comprised of the net win from our slot machine operations and to a lesser extent from table games win. Gaming revenues increased by \$123.6 million, or 6.2%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the prior year period primarily due to an \$118.6 million increase in gaming revenues at IP compared to the period from consummation on October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011. Excluding IP and Peninsula Gaming, overall slot handle decreased 1.7%, while slot hold remained relatively unchanged compared to the same period in the prior year. Although gaming margins decreased slightly from 53.5% to 52.2%, we continue to focus on our cost containment measures.

Food and Beverage

Food and beverage revenues increased by \$29.4 million, or 7.6% during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the corresponding amount from the prior year period primarily due to an \$28.0 million increase in food and beverage revenues at IP compared to the period from consummation on October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011. Excluding Peninsula Gaming, the number of food covers increased 5.9%, and the average guest check increased 2.1%. The \$23.6 million increase in food and beverage expense is due to the 5.9% increase in food covers and a 3.6% increase in the cost per cover.

Room

Room revenues increased by \$18.7 million, or 7.6%, of which IP contributed \$23.1 million in incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the period from consummation on October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011 in the prior year. The ADR increased 1.5%, which was slightly offset by a 1.7% decrease in hotel occupancy largely driven by a decrease in leisure travel. Room margins improved from 77.2% to 79.0% due to our cost containment measures, as the increase in our cost per room of less than 1.0% was more than offset by the 1.5%

increase in the ADR.

Other

Other revenues increased by \$10.3 million, or 7.6%, of which IP contributed \$9.0 million in incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the period from consummation on October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011 in the prior year. Additionally, the Peninsula Acquisition that closed on November 20, 2012, resulted in \$1.7 million of incremental other revenues for the year ending December 31, 2012. The results reflect the differing amenities offered at our properties, including

54

Table of Contents

entertainment and nightclub revenues, retail sales, theater tickets and other venues. Related other expenses remained relatively flat as compared to the prior year due to our cost containment measures, resulting in an increase in overall margins.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Gaming

Gaming revenues are significantly comprised of the net win from our slot machine operations and to a lesser extent from table games win. Gaming revenues increased by \$174.2 million, or 9.6%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due primarily to the consolidation of the Borgata. On a pro forma basis, gaming revenues increased by \$36.3 million, or 1.9%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the prior year. IP accounted for a \$38.5 million increase in gaming revenues, rendering an essentially flat performance year over year across various properties. Gaming related costs increased due to the consolidation of Borgata and the addition in 2011 of the IP. On a pro forma basis, gaming related costs were relative flat, reflecting on our focus on cost containment measures and resulting in a slight increase of 63 basis points in gross gaming margins.

Food and Beverage

Food and beverage revenues increased by \$40.6 million, or 11.7% during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due primarily to the consolidation of the Borgata. On a pro forma comparative basis, food and beverage revenues increased by \$9.3 million, or 2.5% from the prior year period. The increase in food and beverage revenues compared to prior year pro forma results is primarily due to the acquisition of IP in October 4, 2011. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2012, there was a 2.8% increase in the average guest check, which more than offset the 1.5% decrease in food covers. IP contributed \$8.5 million of food and beverage revenue, and its average guest check and food covers are included herein. The increase in food and beverage costs of \$19.3 million and \$5.8 million on an actual and pro forma basis is due to a 3.7% increase in cost per cover.

Room

Room revenues increased by \$35.2 million, or 16.7%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the corresponding the prior year period, due primarily to the consolidation of the Borgata. Room revenues increased by \$11.0 million, or 4.7% during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared on a pro forma basis to the prior year period. The increase during the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to the prior year pro forma results is due to the acquisition of IP, which contributed \$7.2 million in room revenues since its acquisition on October 4, 2011. The increase was also due to an increase in the ADR of 1.6% and increase in occupancy of 0.9% driven by destination and convention business. The increase in room costs and expenses during the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$6.8 million, or 13.8% on an actual comparison basis and \$4.6 million, or 8.9% on a pro forma basis, compared to the same period in the prior year is due to the increased occupancy coupled with a 1.2% increase in cost per room, which resulted in a reduction in margin of 89 basis points.

Other

Other revenues increased by \$11.6 million, or 9.4% during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the prior year due to the consolidation of the Borgata. Other revenues increased by \$2.4 million, or 1.8%, on a pro forma basis. The increases in actual and pro forma results during the year ended December 31, 2011, were primarily due to increased hotel occupancy and differing amenities offered at our properties, including entertainment and nightclub revenues, retail sales, theater tickets and other venues. Related other expenses increased by 2.2% and 9.5% as compared to the prior year and actual and pro forma amounts due to lower overall margins on the respective composition of increased sales.

Table of Contents

Revenues by Reportable Segment

The following table presents our net revenues by Reportable Segment, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010 Actual	2010 Pro Forma
Net Revenues	(In thousands)			
Las Vegas Locals	\$591,306	\$604,965	\$607,366	\$607,366
Downtown Las Vegas	224,178	224,251	218,222	218,222
Midwest and South	924,188	771,354	728,767	728,767
Peninsula Gaming	56,925	—	—	—
Atlantic City	686,222	730,274	580,140	738,429
Reportable segment net revenues	2,482,819	2,330,844	2,134,495	2,292,784
Other	4,607	5,394	6,404	6,404
Net revenues	\$2,487,426	\$2,336,238	\$2,140,899	\$2,299,188

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Las Vegas Locals

Net revenues declined slightly by 2.3% during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Local competition has created an elevated promotional environment that resulted in an 2.7% increase in promotional allowances during the year ended December 31, 2012. Additionally, gross gaming revenues decreased \$13.0 million primarily due to a 4.3% and 1.7% decrease in table game drop and slot drop respectively, which were not offset by slight increases in table game and slot hold. These decreases were only partially offset by sales growth generated in our food and beverage outlets as food covers increased 3.2%, resulting in a \$2.3 million increase in food and beverage revenues as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year.

Downtown Las Vegas

Net revenues were virtually unchanged during the year ended December, 2012, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The leisure travel market continues to be challenging and we experienced a 2.4% decrease in the hotel occupancy rate, primarily driven by a 7.3% decrease in our Hawaiian occupied rooms compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Additionally, we experienced a \$2.2 million decrease in gaming revenue due to a 1.8% decrease in slot drop. These decreases were offset by a \$1.1 million increase in food and beverage revenues and a decrease of \$0.8 million in promotional allowances due to our cost containment.

Midwest and South

Net revenues increased by \$152.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in net revenues was from the acquisition of IP, which renumerated \$187.9 million in year to date net revenues compared to \$44.6 million in net revenues for the fourth quarter of 2011, an increase of \$143.3 million respectively. Including IP, food covers increased 24.3% and the average guest check increased 8.7% respectively. Similarly, including IP, table game drop and slot handle increased 34.3% and 16.6%, respectively, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year.

Peninsula Gaming

Net revenues were \$56.9 million for the period of acquisition from November 20, 2012 to December 31, 2012. The segment reported growth from the prior year when Peninsula Gaming was a standalone company, due to a full quarter of contributions from the Kansas Star, which commenced operations on December 20, 2011.

Atlantic City

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, decreased by 6.0% to \$686.2 million from \$730.3 million. Overall, results during the year were negatively impacted by the order to close Borgata from October 28, 2012 to November 2, 2012 due to a post-tropical storm. As a result of the storm, the property suffered minor property damage, however, the surrounding area experienced severe flooding and significant property damage. Additionally, throughout the year, Borgata has been adversely impacted by increased local and regional competition particularly in the Atlantic City and Eastern Pennsylvania gaming markets. As a result of these factors, gaming revenues, food and beverage revenues, and room revenues decreased by \$39.3 million, \$7.7 million, and \$2.1 million, respectively. The decrease in gaming revenues was attributed

Table of Contents

to a decrease in table game drop, and slot drop of 9.7% and 3.8%, respectively. Despite the challenging environment, Borgata continues to be the leader in the Atlantic City market.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Las Vegas Locals

Net revenues declined slightly by 0.4% during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, reflecting improved overall operating performance, generated by successful cost containment initiatives. Although local competition has created an elevated promotional environment; however, through strategic marketing, the region has increased margins by 148 basis points for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The segment also generated growth in hotel occupancy and average daily rates due to increased convention business in the Las Vegas market generally.

Downtown Las Vegas

Net revenues increased 2.8% during the year ended December, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, due primarily to growth in all primary operating revenues: gaming, food and beverage and room, generated largely from our Hawaiian customers. Greater efficiencies in our operations contributed to strong flow-through in our results, which were partially offset by significantly higher fuel costs at our Hawaiian charter operation. Jet fuel prices have risen sharply during the year, and while our ability to increase fares is limited by fierce competition, we recently introduced a new aircraft on the charter service that will increase capacity and improve costs.

Midwest and South

Net revenues increased 5.8% during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year as our business continued to grow across this region, due to geographic resiliency, most particularly resulting from economic strength in southern Louisiana. The increase in net revenues was entirely from the acquisition of IP, which renumeralated \$44.6 million to our revenues for the fourth quarter of 2011. Margin improvements of 212 basis points (excluding the effect of IP), have resulted from tight cost control, including disciplined marketing spend.

Atlantic City

Net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the prior year increased by 25.9% to \$730.3 million. The 2011 period included a full year of results for the Borgata in contrast to the prior year, which only included the results for Borgata from March 24, 2010, when we began consolidating the property, On a pro forma basis, net revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the prior year period decreased by 1.1% to \$730.3 million from \$738.4 million. Overall, results during 2011 were negatively impacted by the closure of the property during Hurricane Irene, which cost the property three days of business volume during a relatively busy summer month. Additionally, throughout 2011, Borgata was adversely impacted by promotional spend, which increased to 34.6% of gross gaming revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 32.8% for the prior year. This spend represented increased promotional incentives in response to the increasingly competitive environment in the Atlantic City and Eastern Pennsylvania gaming markets.

Other Costs and Expenses

The following costs and expenses, as presented in our consolidated statements of operations, are further discussed below:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	2010
			Actual	Pro Forma
	(In thousands)			
Selling, general and administrative	\$452,926	\$394,991	\$369,217	\$398,198
Maintenance and utilities	155,016	153,512	140,722	154,244

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Depreciation and amortization	214,332	195,343	199,275	216,029
Corporate expense	50,719	48,962	48,861	48,861
Preopening expense	11,541	6,634	7,459	7,459
Other operating items, net	6,650	8,007	4,713	4,781
Impairment of assets	1,053,526	6,051	—	—

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, increased slightly from 14.3% to 15.4% during

57

Table of Contents

the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of gross revenues was largely due to a decrease in gross gaming revenues in our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown, and Atlantic City segments, that were not offset by the additional expenses associated with IP and Peninsula Gaming. These costs primarily include marketing, technology, compliance and risk, surveillance and security. These costs have generally been reduced in the periods presented due to disciplined and targeted marketing spend, and our ongoing cost containment efforts.

Maintenance and Utilities

Maintenance and utilities expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, decreased from 5.6% to 5.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The decreases is primarily due to the fact that no major maintenance projects were undertaken in either period, coupled with cost reductions associated with the Company's conscious energy savings initiatives.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense as a percentage of gross revenues increased to 7.3% from 7.1% during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in gross revenues due to the addition of IP and Peninsula Gaming, that resulted in incremental revenues for the period from January 1, 2012 through October 4, 2012 and from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, offset depreciation and amortization expense for capital assets acquired and placed in service during the current year, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year.

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, rent and various other administrative expenses that are not directly related to our casino and/or hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense. The levels of corporate expense, as a percentage of gross revenues decreased slightly from 1.8% to 1.7%, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year which reflects the ongoing efforts to contain costs in all elements of the business.

Preopening Expenses

We expense certain costs of start-up activities as incurred. During each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded preopening expenses related to Echelon, our ongoing efforts to develop gaming activities in other jurisdictions and expenses related to other business development activities. Additionally, the Periodic Fees related to LVE, as discussed above, are included in the expenses related to Echelon during the year ended December 31, 2012; however, such amounts were eliminated upon the consolidation of LVE and not reflected in total preopening expenses.

Impairment and Other Operating Items, Net

Impairment and other operating items, net generally include losses on the impairment or disposal of certain assets, costs incurred in relation to acquisition activities and costs (or recoveries) associated with property damage from natural disasters. These costs were comprised of the following items during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Impairments	\$1,053,526	\$6,051
Other operating items, net		
Acquisition-related expenses	\$18,651	\$6,375

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Gain on insurance settlement, net of flood expenses	(7,098)	1,428	
Gain on insurance proceeds	(7,694)	—	
Hurricane expenses	2,668		—	
Asset write-downs, net of gain on disposal	123		690	
Measurement period adjustments	—		(486)
Total other operating items, net	\$6,650		\$8,007	

Impairments

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded asset impairments primarily related to the following items:

58

Table of Contents

Development Assets: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$1.05 billion, primarily consisting of \$993.9 million related to the Echelon development and \$39.4 million related to various parcels of undeveloped land.

Sam's Town Shreveport: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$17.5 million which relates to the write-down of Sam's Town Shreveport's gaming license in connection with our annual impairment test.

Borgata: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$2.8 million related to a parking structure project that will not be further developed.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded asset impairments primarily related to the following items:

Impairment of Trademark: We recorded a \$5.0 million impairment to the trademark, representing the amount by which the carrying amount exceeded its fair value.

Impairment of Investment in Unconsolidated Subsidiary: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge to Borgata's investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary in the amount of \$1.1 million, representing the amount by which the carrying value of the investment exceeded its potential liquidated value.

Other Operating Items, Net

Acquisition-Related Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we recorded \$18.7 million, \$6.4 million, and \$4.0 million of expenses related to evaluating various acquisition possibilities and other business development activities.

Acquisition related expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily relate to our acquisition of Peninsula Gaming that was completed on November 20, 2012. Acquisition expenses for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 primarily relate to our acquisition of IP that was completed on October 4, 2011.

Gain on Insurance Settlement, Net of Flood Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded \$7.1 million of gains on business interruption insurance proceeds, net of flood expenses, due to flooding of the Mississippi River and temporary closure of our Tunica property in May 2011, compared to flood expenses of \$1.4 million, net of recoveries, during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Gain on Insurance Proceeds

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized a gain of \$7.7 million consisting of \$3.9 million related to the subrogation of insurance claims related to the fire that occurred during construction of The Water Club at Borgata in September 2007 and \$3.8 million from business interruption proceeds due to the mandated closure of the property by civil authorities and the Division of Gaming Enforcement for three days in August 2011 related to Hurricane Irene.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$25.8 million in 2011 over the prior year due to the consolidation of the Borgata and the incremental costs incurred by the addition of IP during 2011. These costs primarily include marketing, technology, compliance and risk, surveillance and security. These costs have generally been reduced in the periods presented due to disciplined and targeted marketing spend, and our ongoing cost containment efforts. On a pro forma basis, selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, declined slightly from 14.8% to 14.3% during 2011 as compared to the prior year, despite the reporting of additional costs related to IP.

Maintenance and Utilities

Maintenance and utilities expenses decreased \$12.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the prior year. On a pro forma basis, maintenance and utilities expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, decreased

from 5.7% to 5.6%, during 2011 as compared to the prior year. The decrease is due primarily to the fact that no major maintenance projects were undertaken, coupled with cost reductions associated with the Company's energy savings initiatives.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$3.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the same period in the prior year. Depreciation and amortization expense declined, on a pro forma basis, as a percentage of gross revenues from 8.0% to 7.1% during 2011 as compared to the prior year. The decrease in depreciation expense was due to certain property and equipment becoming fully depreciated and no significant expansion capital expenditures being placed into service during these periods and was despite the recording of approximately \$4.9 million of additional depreciation and amortization related to IP.

Table of Contents

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, rent and various other administrative expenses that are not directly related to our casino and/or hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense. The levels of corporate expense, as a percentage of gross revenues remained flat at 1.8% of gross revenues during each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which reflects the ongoing efforts to contain costs in all elements of the business.

Preopening Expenses

We expense certain costs of start-up activities as incurred. During each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we recorded preopening expenses related to Echelon, which as a percentage of gross revenues remained relatively flat, expenses related to our efforts to develop gaming activities in other jurisdictions and expenses related to other business development activities. Additionally, the Periodic Fees related to LVE, as discussed above, are included in the expenses related to Echelon during the year ended December 31, 2012; however, such amounts were eliminated upon the consolidation of LVE and not reflected in total preopening expenses.

Impairment and Other Operating Items, Net

Other operating items, net generally include losses on the impairment or disposal of certain assets, costs incurred in relation to acquisition activities and costs (or recoveries) associated with property damage from natural disasters. During the year ended December 31, 2011, asset impairment charges primarily related to the write down of Borgata's trademark value by \$5.0 million, and an impairment of its equity method investment of \$1.1 million. Acquisition expenses represent our costs related to the IP acquisition of \$4.8 million, as well as costs incurred during the evaluation of other business prospects and opportunities. Additionally, we incurred \$1.4 million related to the payment of our insurance deductible and related and non-reimbursable costs, net of recoveries, for the closure of Sam's Town Tunica during the year due to the flooding of the Mississippi river.

Other Expense (Income)

Interest Expense, net

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		2010	2010	
	2012	2011	Actual	Pro Forma	
Interest Expense, net	(In thousands)				
Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$183,796	\$152,618	\$119,310	\$119,310	
Peninsula Gaming	9,814	—	—	—	
Borgata	82,902	81,314	45,139	50,199	
Variable interest entity	12,323	16,753	16,104	16,104	
	\$288,835	\$250,685	\$180,553	\$185,613	
Average Long-Term Debt Balance					
Boyd Gaming Corporation, excluding	\$2,707,189	\$2,447,557	\$2,467,303	\$2,467,303	
Peninsula Gaming	848,500	—	—	—	
Borgata	815,308	822,589	706,102	706,102	
Weighted Average Interest Rates					
Boyd Gaming Corporation	6.8	% 6.2	% 4.8	% 4.8	%
Peninsula Gaming	6.5	% —	% —	% —	%
Borgata	10.2	% 9.9	% 6.4	% 7.1	%

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Summary

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest and interest income, was \$288.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to \$250.7 million during the comparable period in the prior year, representing an increase of 15.2%. Excluding the effects of the interest recorded related to the variable interest entity's non-recourse debt during each of the years ended December

60

Table of Contents

31, 2012 and 2011, interest expense would have been \$276.5 million and \$233.9 million, respectively, or an increase of 18.2%.

Boyd Gaming Corporation

The increase in interest expense, net of capitalized interest and interest income of \$31.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the corresponding period in the prior year was due to higher average interest rates on amounts outstanding under our credit facility related to certain refinancing and incremental borrowing activities associated with the Peninsula Acquisition. Interest expense also increased during the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the issuance of \$350 million 9.0% senior notes due 2020 on June 8, 2012. The interest rate on the credit facility is substantially lower than on our high yield notes, thereby diluting the rate effect of our high yield notes, resulting in an overall weighted average borrowing rate of 6.6% and 6.2% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At December 31, 2012, 50.8% of our debt was based upon variable rates of interest, compared to 62.8% of our debt at December 31, 2011.

We previously were a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million, whereby we received payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and made payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. As market interest rates during the period were significantly lower than the 5.1% weighted-average fixed rate associated with these swaps, the effect of the swaps increased our interest expense by \$11.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Our interest rate swap agreements expired on June 30, 2011.

Peninsula Gaming

The average balance under the Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility for the period November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012 was \$848.5 million at a blended rate of 5.7%. During the period November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012 the blended interest rate on the Peninsula Gaming outstanding senior notes was 8.4%, and the average outstanding balances during the period November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012 was \$350.0 million. The interest rate on the Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility is substantially lower than on the high yield notes, thereby diluting the rate effect, resulting in an overall weighted average borrowing rate of 6.5% during the period November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, 70.9% of Peninsula Gaming's debt was based upon variable rates of interest, compared to 0.7% of our debt at December 31, 2011.

Borgata

The increase in interest expense, net of capitalized interest and interest income, during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, as compared to corresponding period in the prior year were due to higher average interest rates. The increase in interest expense of \$1.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 is due to a lower average balance of variable rate debt. On December 27, 2012, Borgata's credit facility was amended, reducing the aggregate commitment of the bank credit facility to a maximum amount of \$60 million from \$75 million. At December 31, 2012, variable rate debt represented 2.5% of outstanding debt compared to 4.8% in the prior year. As such, Borgata's average interest rates were not diluted by a higher proportion of variable rate debt compared to Borgata's fixed rate notes.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Summary

Interest expense was \$250.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to \$180.6 million during the comparable period in the prior year, representing an increase of 38.8%. On a pro forma basis, interest expense was \$250.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to \$185.6 million during the comparable period in the prior year, representing an increase of 35.1%. Excluding the effects of the interest expense related to the variable interest entity's non-recourse debt during each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, interest expense would have been \$233.9 million and \$169.5 million, respectively, or an increase of 38.0%.

Boyd Gaming Corporation

The increase in interest expense of \$33.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the corresponding period in the prior year on an actual and pro forma basis was due to higher interest rates on amounts outstanding under our credit facility related to certain refinancing and incremental borrowing activities in the fourth quarter of 2011, and the full year impact of the refinancing transaction that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2010. Average balances during the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect approximately \$1.43 billion in amounts outstanding under our credit facility at a blended rate of 3.5%, as compared to average outstanding balances during the year ended December 31, 2010 of \$1.42 billion at a blended rate of 3.3%. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the blended interest rate on our outstanding senior and senior subordinated notes was 8.1% at each date, and our average outstanding balances during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$2.4 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively. The interest rate on the credit facility is substantially lower than on our high yield notes, thereby diluting the rate effect of our high yield notes, resulting in an overall weighted average borrowing rate of 6.2% and 4.8% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At December 31, 2011, 62.8% of our debt was based upon variable rates of interest, compared to 59.8% of our debt at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

We previously were a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million, whereby we received payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and made payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. As market interest rates during the period were significantly lower than the 5.1% weighted-average fixed rate associated with these swaps, the effect of the swaps increased our interest expense by \$11.8 million and \$22.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our interest rate swap agreements expired on June 30, 2011.

Borgata

The increase in interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the prior year was due to higher average interest rates on higher average outstanding debt balances. The increases were \$36.2 million and \$31.1 million on an actual and pro forma basis, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2011, which reflects the effect of the refinancing, which closed during the third quarter of 2010. Interest expense increased by 62% during the year ended December 31, 2011 due entirely to the refinancing impact, the full effect of which was realized in such year. At December 31, 2011, the blended interest rate on Borgata's credit facility and senior secured notes was 4.5% and 9.7%, respectively, as compared to blended interest rates of 4.2% and 9.7% on these respective borrowings at December 31, 2010.

Gain on Early Retirements of Debt

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

During the year ended December, 2012, we did not repurchase or retire any of our debt.

During the year ended December, 2011, Borgata purchased and retired a principal amount of \$8.5 million of its senior secured notes for a purchase price of \$8.2 million, resulting in a gain of less than \$0.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we purchased and retired \$33.0 million principal amount of Boyd's senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was \$28.9 million resulting in a gain of \$3.6 million, net. The gains are computed net of original issue discount, deferred financing and underwriting fees. In November 2010, we tendered for purchase all of our outstanding 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012. Approximately \$92.1 million principal amount of the 7.75% senior subordinated notes were tendered for purchase pursuant to our tender offer. We paid \$95.3 million in connection with the tender offer, including accrued interest of \$2.9 million, and recognized a loss on such tender of \$0.8 million, based on the difference between the consideration fee, redemption price and the net carrying value of the notes in addition to unamortized debt financing costs written off in conjunction with the purchase of the notes. Additionally, in December 2010, we called the remaining 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012 at par, which had a principal balance of \$66.8 million. We recognized a loss of \$0.4 million upon calling such notes, which consisted of our write-off of the remaining unamortized debt financing costs associated with the notes.

Gain on Equity Distribution

Year Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no equity distributions from Borgata. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we received a \$135.4 million distribution from Borgata. The distribution included a priority distribution of \$30.8 million, which is equal to the excess prior capital contributions we previously made. We recorded a \$2.5 million gain upon receipt of this distribution, which gain was equal to the basis difference on our equity contribution during the period in which we were amortizing a portion of such excess contribution.

Other Income (Loss)

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded approximately \$0.1 million for our share of loss from operations related to an investment in a third party venture whose primary purpose is to operate a hotel adjacent to the Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we received \$7.0 million in non-refundable fees related to the anticipated closing of the sale of Dania Jai-Alai, which was terminated due to the buyer's inability to close as scheduled. We also recorded a \$4.6 million bargain purchase gain related to the acquisition of IP.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we received a \$10 million fee from MGM in consideration for the amendment to our operating agreement related to Borgata.

Income Taxes

Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The effective tax rate during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 19.3%, (27.4%) and 40.2%, respectively. The tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was adversely impacted by the valuation allowance on our deferred tax

Table of Contents

assets. The valuation allowance was also applied to our federal and certain state income tax net operating losses and other deferred tax assets. The tax benefit was favorably impacted by the reversal of interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits, resulting from the effective settlement reached in connection with our IRS audit. During the year ended December 31, 2011, our tax provision was adversely impacted by certain recurring permanent adjustments that are unaffected by our loss from continuing operations and favorably impacted by a nontaxable acquisition related gain. Additionally, in the year ended December 31, 2011, and to a lesser extent in the year ended December 31, 2010, our state tax provision was adversely impacted by a statutory change in state income tax rates, changes in apportionment and the geographic mix of our income. The relative impact of equity based state taxes was more significant in the year ended December 31, 2011 due to a loss from continuing operations. Our effective tax rates are also impacted by permanent adjustments related to our consolidation of Borgata and LVE. We consolidate Borgata and LVE for financial statement purposes; however, under federal income tax statutes, we are subject to income tax on our fifty percent interest in Borgata and exclude LVE in its entirety. In 2012 the exclusion of Borgata and LVE's loss adversely impacted our effective tax rate.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Financial Position

The following discussion highlights the material changes in our financial position as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Current Maturities of Our Indebtedness

We classified certain non-extending balances due under our Credit Facility as a current maturity, as such amounts come due within the next twelve months. In March 2012, we reclassified \$10.9 million for a note payable under our Credit Facility that matures on March 28, 2013 from long-term to current. Subsequently, on February 28, 2013, we paid the balance due on this note payable.

While we anticipate the remaining availability under our Credit Facility will provide the short term liquidity required to fund our existing debt obligations, management will review other plans to aggressively pursue the repayment of all debt as currently due.

Long-Term Debt Refinancing Activities

In November, 2011, we signed a Lender Joinder Agreement to increase the term loan commitments under our Credit Facility by an aggregate amount of \$350 million. This commitment was funded on November 10, 2011. We used the proceeds to repay the non-extended portion of our Credit Facility, which would have otherwise matured in May 2012. We believe this borrowing, as well as remaining availability under our Credit Facility provides the short term liquidity required to fund our existing debt obligations.

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items, was approximately \$1.48 billion. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

Acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa

On October 4, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of IP for a net purchase price of \$280.6 million. The purchase was financed with cash on hand and a borrowing under our Credit Facility of approximately \$200 million. At

December 31, 2011, we reported IP's total assets and liabilities of \$318.2 million and \$27.3 million, respectively, in our consolidated balance sheet.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entity

Given that we are the primary beneficiary and as a result of our adoption of the authoritative accounting guidance regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities, we were required to consolidate the financial position and results of operations of LVE. At December 31, 2012, we reported LVE's total assets and total liabilities of \$188.8 million and \$233.8 million, respectively in our consolidated balance sheet. At December 31, 2011, we reported LVE's total assets and total liabilities of \$189.9 million and \$238.9 million, respectively in our consolidated balance sheet. However, LVE's financial position, including its working capital and indebtedness, are not discussed herein as such indebtedness is non-recourse to us and will not require our working capital or free cash flows in order to service such. Therefore, the assets and liabilities of LVE are completely disregarded from the discussion below.

Table of Contents

Consolidation of Borgata

As of December 31, 2012, we reported Borgata's total assets and liabilities of \$1.38 billion and \$934.2 million respectively in our consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2011, we reported Borgata's total assets and liabilities of \$1.44 billion and \$951.8 million respectively in our consolidated balance sheet.

Working Capital

Historically, we have operated with minimal or negative levels of working capital in order to minimize borrowings and related interest costs under our Credit Facility. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had balances of cash and cash equivalents of \$192.8 million and \$178.8 million, respectively. Despite such amounts of cash, we had working capital deficits of \$195.3 million and \$129.1 million at such respective dates. However, without giving effect to the consolidation of LVE, as we have no claim to their assets, nor any recourse for their obligations, our cash balances and working capital deficits were as follows at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Cash balance:		
Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$125,996	\$132,494
Peninsula Gaming	32,239	—
Borgata	34,125	46,224
Working capital surplus (deficit):		
Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(126,415) \$(91,935
Peninsula Gaming	(16,817) —
Borgata	(16,855) (8,621

We, Peninsula Gaming, and Borgata separately manage our working capital positions, including our levels of cash and indebtedness. Our respective bank credit facilities generally provide any necessary funds for our day-to-day operations, interest and tax payments, as well as capital expenditures. On a daily basis, we evaluate our cash position and adjust the balance under our respective bank credit facilities as necessary, by either borrowing or paying down with excess cash. We also plan the timing and the amounts of our capital expenditures. We each believe that our borrowing capacity under our respective bank credit facilities, subject to restrictive covenants, and cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to meet our respective projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. The source of funds for the repayment of our respective debt or our respective development projects is derived primarily from cash flows from operations and availability under our respective bank credit facilities, to the extent availability exists after we meet our respective working capital needs, and subject to restrictive covenants.

We, Peninsula Gaming, or Borgata could also seek to secure additional working capital, repay our respective current debt maturities, or fund our respective development projects, in whole or in part, through incremental bank financing and additional debt or equity offerings. If availability does not exist under our respective bank credit facilities, or we are not otherwise able to draw funds on our respective bank credit facilities, additional financing may not be available to either us or Borgata, and if available, may not be on terms favorable to either us or Borgata.

Indebtedness

As of December 31, 2012, our indebtedness primarily consists of the following: (i) \$1.5 billion outstanding under our \$1.8 billion Boyd Credit Facility (including \$782.5 million of term loans), and \$1.3 billion aggregate principal amount of our senior and senior subordinated notes, which are the obligations of Boyd, (ii) \$854.4 million outstanding under our \$875 million Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility (including an \$825 million term loan), and \$350 million aggregate principal amount of our senior notes, which are obligations of the Peninsula Gaming subsidiaries, and (iii) \$20.0

million under a \$60 million Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, and \$791.5 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes, all of which are the obligations of Borgata. On March 7, 2013, we issued a notice of election to redeem \$150 million of our 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014 (the "6.75% Notes") outstanding on April 6, 2013. The 6.75% Notes will be redeemed at a redemption price of 100% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, April 6, 2013.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt, net of current maturities consists of the following:

	December 31, 2012			
	Outstanding Principal	Unamortized Discount	Unamortized Origination Fees	Long-Term Debt, Net
	(In thousands)			
Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,474,850	\$(5,001) \$(3,214) \$1,466,635
9.125% senior notes due 2018	500,000	—	(7,320) 492,680
9.00% senior notes due 2020	350,000	—	—	350,000
6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014	215,668	—	—	215,668
7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016	240,750	—	—	240,750
Other	158,141	(32,666) —	125,475
	2,939,409	(37,667) (10,534) 2,891,208
Peninsula Gaming Financing				
Bank credit facility	854,400	—	—	854,400
8.375% senior notes due 2018	350,000	—	—	350,000
Other	494	(3) —	491
	1,204,894	(3) —	1,204,891
Total Boyd Debt	4,144,303	(37,670) (10,534) 4,096,099
Borgata Debt:				
Bank credit facility	20,000	—	—	20,000
9.50% senior secured notes due 2015	398,000	(2,525) (5,928) 389,547
9.875% senior secured notes due 2018	393,500	(2,103) (7,620) 383,777
	811,500	(4,628) (13,548) 793,324
Less current maturities	61,570	—	—	61,570
Long-term debt, net	\$4,894,233	\$(42,298) \$(24,082) \$4,827,853

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011			
	Outstanding Principal	Unamortized Discount	Unamortized Origination Fees	Long-Term Debt, Net
	(In thousands)			
Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,632,750	\$(4,318)	\$(6,717)	\$1,621,715
9.125% senior notes due 2018	500,000	—	(8,556)	491,444
6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014	215,668	—	—	215,668
7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016	240,750	—	—	240,750
Other	11,071	—	—	11,071
	2,600,239	(4,318)	(15,273)	2,580,648
Borgata Debt:				
Bank credit facility	40,200	—	—	40,200
9.50% senior secured notes due 2015	398,000	(3,271)	(7,680)	387,049
9.875% senior secured notes due 2018	393,500	(2,366)	(8,575)	382,559
	831,700	(5,637)	(16,255)	809,808
Less current maturities	43,230			43,230
Long-term debt, net	\$3,388,709	\$(9,955)	\$(31,528)	\$3,347,226

Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt**Bank Credit Facility**

On December 3, 2010, we entered into an Amendment and Restatement Agreement among the Credit Facility Lenders, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as swing line lender (the “Amendment and Restatement Agreement”). Pursuant to the terms of the Amendment and Restatement Agreement, our First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2007, as amended by the First Amendment and Consent to First Amended Credit Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2009, was amended and restated to, among other things, (i) reduce the aggregate commitments under the former credit facility and (ii) permit consenting Credit Facility Lenders to extend the maturity date of their commitments, new Credit Facility Lenders to issue revolving commitments and term loans and existing Credit Facility Lenders to increase their commitments (each, an “Extending Lender”) in each case with a maturity date five years from the effective date. All capitalized terms used in this disclosure, not otherwise defined herein, have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Credit Facility.

In December 2012, we entered into the First Credit Facility Amendment among the Credit Facility Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer. The First Credit Facility Amendment restates the definition of Consolidated EBITDA, consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio, Total Leverage Ratio and Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined below).

The amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility are comprised of the following:

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Extended Revolving Facility	\$660,000	\$807,000
Initial Term Loan	450,000	475,000
Incremental Term Loan	332,500	338,965
Swing Loan	24,135	750
Total amounts outstanding under Credit Facility, net	\$1,466,635	\$1,621,715

Table of Contents

Availability

As of December 31, 2012, our bank credit facility is comprised of the following components and commitments:

	Original Commitment (In thousands)	Present Commitment	Remaining Availability
Extended Revolving Facility	\$960,000	\$960,000	\$253,105
Initial Term Loan	500,000	500,000	—
Incremental Term Loan	—	350,000	—
Total commitments under Credit Facility, net	\$1,460,000	\$1,810,000	\$253,105

Extended Revolving Facility

Each of the Extending Lenders permanently reduced their commitments under the former credit facility by up to 50% of the amount thereof. As a result, the aggregate commitments under the Credit Facility were reduced from \$3 billion to approximately \$1.5 billion (excluding the non-extending amounts), which commitments may be increased from time to time by up to \$500 million through additional revolving credit or term loans under the Credit Facility. The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the Extended Revolving Facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate). The applicable margin on the outstanding balance of the loans and commitments of the non-extending lenders continues to range from 0.625% to 1.625% (if using LIBOR), and from 0.0% to 0.375% (if using the base rate). A fee of a percentage per annum (which ranges from 0.250% to 0.500%) determined by the level of the total leverage ratio is payable on the unused portions of the Credit Facility. The “base rate” under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%.

Initial Term Loan

The Credit Facility included the conversion of certain outstanding revolving commitments to a term loan in the amount of \$500 million (the "Initial Term Loan"). Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility, the Initial Term Loan amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing March 31, 2011, payable on a quarterly basis. The interest rate per annum applicable to term loans under the Credit Facility are based upon, at the option of the Company, LIBOR or the “base rate,” plus an applicable margin in either case. The applicable margin is a percentage per annum determined in accordance with a specified pricing grid based on the total leverage ratio.

Increased Term Loan

In November 2011, we exercised \$350 million of the \$500 million increase option under our Credit Facility through an Increased Term Loan. The proceeds from the Increased Term Loan were used to repay the outstanding Non-Extended Revolving Facility, and all related commitments thereunder were terminated. Pursuant to its terms, the Increased Term Loan amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing in March 2012 and payable on a quarterly basis. At any time and to the extent that the Increased Term Loan is a Eurodollar Rate Loan, the Increased Term Loan shall bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof for each quarterly interest period at a rate per annum equal to the “effective Eurodollar Rate” for such period plus 4.75%, and at any time and to the extent that the Increased Term Loan bears interest at the base rate, the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate per annum equal to the base rate for such Interest period plus 3.75%.

Interest and Fees

The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the Extended Revolving Facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate). The applicable margin on the outstanding balance of the loans and commitments of the non-extending lenders continues to range from 0.625% to 1.625% (if using LIBOR), and from 0.00% to 0.375% (if using the base rate). A fee of a percentage per annum (which ranges from 0.250% to 0.500%) determined by the level of the total leverage ratio is payable on the unused portions of the Credit

Facility. The “base rate” under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%.

The letter of credit fees under the Credit Facility remain the same as those under the Credit Facility; however, the margins payable to Extending Lenders are based on the margins applicable to the Extended Revolving Facility. Subject to certain conditions, amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility may be prepaid without premium or penalty, and the unutilized portion of any of the commitments may be terminated without penalty.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility was 4.2% and 4.2% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$1.47 billion was outstanding under our Credit Facility, with \$14.5

Table of Contents

million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of approximately \$253.1 million

Guarantees

The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility.

Financial and Other Covenants

The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, as amended December 27, 2012, including, without limitation, various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 through March 31, 2013, (ii) establishing a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio (discussed below), (iii) establishing a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio (discussed below), (iv) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, (v) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions and (vi) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. Subject to certain exceptions, the Company may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness.

The minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

The maximum permitted consolidated Total Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Total Leverage Ratio, as amended December 27, 2012, during the remaining term of the Credit Facility.

	Maximum Total Leverage Ratio
For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending	
December 31, 2012 through September 30, 2013	7.75 to 1.00
December 31, 2013	7.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2014 through September 30, 2014	7.25 to 1.00
December 31, 2014 and March 31, 2015	7.00 to 1.00
June 30, 2015 and thereafter	6.75 to 1.00

The maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio is calculated as Secured Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Secured Leverage Ratio, as amended December 27, 2012, during the remaining term of the Credit Facility.

	Maximum Secured Leverage Ratio
For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending	
December 31, 2012	4.25 to 1.00
March 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013	4.50 to 1.00
December 31, 2013	4.25 to 1.00
March 31, 2014 through December 31, 2014	4.00 to 1.00
March 31, 2015	3.75 to 1.00
June 30, 2015 and thereafter	3.50 to 1.00

Compliance with Financial Covenants

We believe that, at December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants, including the minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio, the maximum permitted consolidated Total Leverage Ratio and the maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio, respectively.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Credit Facility and the subsequent issuance of the Increased Term Loan, we incurred approximately \$20.6 million and \$13.9 million, respectively, in incremental debt financing costs, which have been

deferred and are being amortized over the remaining term of the Credit Facility. In May 2012, in conjunction with the Lender Joinder Agreement and the subsequent

68

Table of Contents

issuance of the Incremental Term Loan, we incurred approximately \$1.5 million of incremental debt financing costs, which were expensed when this borrowing was repaid, due to the reduction in available commitment under the Credit Facility.

Senior Notes

9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018

Significant Terms

On November 10, 2010, we issued, through a private placement, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 9.125% senior notes due December 2018. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on December 1 and June 1 of each year, which commenced on June 1, 2011. The notes will mature on December 1, 2018 and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the notes), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required under certain circumstances to offer to purchase the notes. At any time prior to December 1, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 109.125% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that we raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to December 1, 2014, we may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole premium. Subsequent to December 1, 2014, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.563% in 2014 to 100% in 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers of these senior notes at the time of the private placement, on September 15, 2011, the Company commenced an offer to exchange all of the outstanding \$500 million aggregate principal amount of the notes that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. On October 18, 2011, the expiration date of the exchange offer, 100% of the notes were validly tendered and accepted for exchange.

Senior Notes

9.00% Senior Notes due July 2020

Significant Terms

On June 8, 2012, we issued \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 9.00% senior notes due July 2020. The notes require semiannual interest payments on January 1 and July 1 of each year, commencing on January 1, 2013. The notes will mature on July 1, 2020 and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change in control (as defined in the indenture governing the notes), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal

amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required under certain circumstances to purchase the notes. At any time prior to July 1, 2015, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 109% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest (as defined in the indenture), if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that we raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to July 1, 2016, we may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole premium. Subsequent to July 1, 2016, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.50% in 2016 to 100% in 2018 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers of these senior notes on June 8, 2012, the date the 9.00% notes were issued, we agreed that, subject to certain suspension and other rights provided in the Registration Rights Agreement, we will file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to a registered exchange offer to exchange the 2020 notes for new notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 2020 notes, consummate the exchange offer

Table of Contents

within 365 days of the issuance of the 2020 notes, and in certain circumstances, if required by the registration rights agreement, file a shelf registration statement.

Senior Subordinated Notes

6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014

Significant Terms

On March 7, 2013, we issued a notice of election to redeem \$150 million of our 6.75% Notes outstanding on April 6, 2013. The 6.75% Notes will be redeemed at a redemption price of 100% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, April 6, 2013. On April 15, 2004, we issued, through a private placement, \$350 million principal amount of 6.75% senior subordinated notes due April 2014. In July 2004, all, except for \$50 thousand in aggregate principal amount of these notes, were exchanged for substantially similar notes that were registered with the SEC. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on April 15 and October 15 of each year, through April 2014, at which time the entire principal balance becomes due and payable. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. Presently, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at a redemption price of 100% plus accrued and unpaid interest through maturity in 2014.

Senior Subordinated Notes

7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due February 2016

Significant Terms

On January 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million principal amount of 7.125% senior subordinated notes due February 2016. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on February 1 and August 1 of each year, through February 2016, at which time the entire principal balance becomes due and payable. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. We may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 103.563% in 2011 to 100% in 2014 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Repurchases of Senior Subordinated Notes

We did not repurchase any of our senior subordinated or senior notes during the year ended December 31, 2011. In addition to the tender for purchase and call for redemption of all of our outstanding 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012, as described below, during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we also purchased and retired \$33.0 million in principal amount of our senior subordinated notes during the year ended December 31, 2010. The total purchase price of the notes was \$28.9 million resulting in a gain of \$3.6 million, net of associated deferred financing fees, which was recorded on our consolidated statements of operations for the respective period. The transactions were funded by availability under our former bank credit facility.

Senior Subordinated Notes

7.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due December 2012

Significant Terms

In November 2010, we tendered for purchase all of our outstanding 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012. Approximately \$92.1 million principal amount of the 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012 were tendered pursuant to our tender offer. We paid \$95.3 million in connection with the tender offer, including accrued interest of \$2.9 million, and recognized a loss on such tender of \$0.8 million, based on the difference between the consideration fee, redemption price and the net carrying value of the notes in addition to unamortized debt financing costs written off in conjunction with the purchase of the notes. Additionally, in December 2010, we called the remaining 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012 at par, which had a principal balance of \$66.8 million. We recognized a loss of \$0.4 million upon calling such notes, which consisted of our write-off of the remaining unamortized debt financing

costs associated with the notes.

Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements under our current outstanding senior subordinated notes and senior notes consist of semi-annual interest payments (based upon fixed annual interest rates ranging from 6.75% to 9.125%) and repayment of our 6.75% and 7.125% senior subordinated notes due on April 15, 2014 and February 1, 2016, respectively, and repayment of our 9.125% senior notes due on December 1, 2018.

Other Notes

On November 20, 2012, Boyd completed its previously announced acquisition of Peninsula Gaming pursuant to the Merger Agreement entered into on May 16, 2012, by and among Boyd, HoldCo, Merger Sub, Peninsula Gaming Partners, LLC ("PGP") and Peninsula Gaming, and HoldCo issued the HoldCo Note, in favor of PGP, for approximately \$147.8 million. Discount on the note was \$34.2 million leaving a note payable to PGP in the amount of \$113.6 million, which is still preliminary and subject to

70

Table of Contents

purchase accounting adjustments. The HoldCo Note provides for interest at a per annum rate equal to (i) from the issue date to but excluding the first anniversary of the issue date) zero percent, (ii) from the first anniversary of the issue date to but excluding the second anniversary of the issue date, six percent, (iii) from the second anniversary of the issue date to but excluding the third anniversary of the issue date, eight percent, and (iv) from and after the third anniversary of the issue date, ten percent. At the option of HoldCo, interest may be paid in cash or paid-in-kind. Accrued but unpaid interest is added to the principal balance of the HoldCo Note semi-annually. Interest will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. HoldCo may prepay the obligations under the HoldCo Note at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty.

Peninsula Gaming Debt

Bank Credit Facility

Credit Agreement

On November 20, 2012, Boyd completed its previously announced Peninsula Acquisition and Merger Sub entered into the Peninsula Credit Agreement dated as of November 14, 2012, with the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender, and L/C issuer.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, upon consummation of the Merger, Peninsula Gaming assumed all assets and liabilities of Merger Sub and became the borrower under the Credit Agreement (as defined below) and, together with Peninsula Gaming Corp. upon consummation of the Finance Company Merger, the issuer of Peninsula Gaming Senior Notes (as defined below)

The Peninsula Credit Agreement provides for the \$875 million Peninsula Credit Facility, which consists of (a) a term loan facility of \$825 million (the "Peninsula Term Loan") and (b) a revolving credit facility of \$50 million (the "Peninsula Revolver"). The Peninsula Term Loan was fully funded concurrently with the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition. A portion of the Peninsula Revolver was funded concurrently with the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition. The maturity date for obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility is November 17, 2017.

Interest and Fees

The interest rate on the outstanding balance of the Peninsula Term Loan is based upon, at Peninsula Gaming's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.50%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.50%. The interest rate on the outstanding balance from time to time of the Revolving Loans is based upon, at Peninsula Gaming's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.00%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.00%. The base rate under the Peninsula Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one-month period plus 1.00%. The Peninsula Credit Facility also establishes, with respect to outstanding balances under the Peninsula Term Loan, a minimum Eurodollar rate for any interest period of 1.25%. In addition, Peninsula Gaming will incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Peninsula Credit Facility at a per annum rate of 0.50%.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Peninsula Credit Facility was 5.7% at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$29.4 million was outstanding under the Peninsula Revolver, with \$7.9 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of \$12.7 million.

Guarantees

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the Peninsula Revolver rank second in right of payment to the obligations under the Peninsula Term Loan.

Optional and Mandatory Prepayments

The Peninsula Credit Facility requires that Peninsula Gaming prepay the loans with proceeds of any significant asset sale or event of loss. The Peninsula Credit Facility also requires fixed quarterly amortization and requires that Peninsula Gaming use a portion of its annual excess cash flow to prepay the loans. The Peninsula Revolver can be terminated without premium or penalty, upon payment of the outstanding amounts owned with respect thereto. The Peninsula Term Loan can be prepaid without premium or penalty, except that a 1.0% premium is payable in connection with prepayments of the Peninsula Term Loan prior to November 20, 2013 through the issuance of indebtedness having a lower interest rate than the interest rate payable in respect of the Peninsula Term Loan.

Table of Contents

Financial and Other Covenants

The Peninsula Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants (and are subject to customary exceptions). Peninsula Gaming is required to maintain (i) maximum consolidated interest coverage ratio over each twelve month period ending on the last fiscal day of each quarter (discussed below), (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.0 to 1.0 as of the end of each calendar quarter, and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year.

The minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) the twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

The maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Fund Indebtedness less Excess Cash to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio during the remaining term of the Peninsula Credit Facility

For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending	Maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio		
March 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013	7.25	to	1.00
December 31 2013 through June 30, 2014	7.00	to	1.00
September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2014	6.75	to	1.00
March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2015	6.50	to	1.00
September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015	6.25	to	1.00
March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016	6.00	to	1.00
September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016	5.75	to	1.00
March 31, 2107 and June 30, 2017	5.50	to	1.00
September 30, 2017 and thereafter	5.25	to	1.00

Capital Expenditures should not be made by Peninsula Gaming or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (excluding (i) capital expenditures which adds to or improves any existing property and (ii) capital expenditures made prior to the first anniversary of the Funding Date relating to integration and/or transition of business systems) in an aggregate amount in excess of \$20.0 million in any fiscal year; provided that no default has occurred and is continuing or would result from such expenditure.

Optional and Mandatory Prepayments

The Peninsula Credit Facility requires that Peninsula Gaming prepay the loans with proceeds of any significant asset sale or event of loss. The Peninsula Credit Facility also requires fixed quarterly amortization and requires that Peninsula Gaming use a portion of its annual excess cash flow to prepay the loans. The Peninsula Revolver can be terminated without premium or penalty, upon payment of the outstanding amounts owned with respect thereto. The Peninsula Term Loan can be prepaid without premium or penalty, except that a 1.0% premium is payable in connection with prepayments of the Peninsula Term Loan prior to November 20, 2013 through the issuance of indebtedness having a lower interest rate that the interest rate payable in respect of the Peninsula Term Loan.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

We believe that, at December 31, 2012, Peninsula Gaming was in compliance with its financial covenants, including capital expenditures and the minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio and the maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio at December 31, 2012.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Credit Facility, we incurred approximately \$33.8 million in debt financing costs, that have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Credit Facility using the effective interest method.

Peninsula Gaming 8.375% Senior Notes Due 2018

Significant Terms

On August 16, 2012, we closed an offering of \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 8.375% senior notes due February 2018 by Merger Sub and Boyd Acquisition Finance Corp. ("Boyd Finance Co.," and together with Merger Sub, the "Issuers"), a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Merger Sub. The notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated August 16, 2012 (the "Indenture") by and among the Issuers, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee"). The consummation of the

Table of Contents

Peninsula Acquisition occurred on November 20, 2012, at which time, Peninsula Gaming and PGC assumed the obligations of the Merger Sub and Boyd Finance Co. and became the Issuers under the Indenture. The Indenture provides that the Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.375% per annum. The Notes mature on February 15, 2018. Prior to the consummation of the Peninsula Acquisition, the Notes were not guaranteed. Upon the consummation of the Peninsula Acquisition, the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries (other than PGP). The Notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the Indenture), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required, under certain circumstances, to offer to purchase the notes. At any time prior to August 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes at a redemption price equal to 108.375% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that the Issuers raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to August 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole premium. Subsequent to August 15, 2014, we may redeem all or a portion of the Notes at a redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 106.281% in 2014 to 100% in 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Registration

The senior notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the "Securities Act") and will be offered only to: (i) qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act; and (ii) outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in compliance with Regulation S under the Securities Act.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the issuance of the Notes, we incurred approximately \$14.2 million in debt financing costs that have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Notes using the effective interest method.

Other

KSC has agreements with various slot vendors to finance the purchase of slot machines over a period of twelve months at zero percent financing for the interim phase of the Kansas Star development project. The total financing under these agreements was \$22.3 million with an imputed discount of \$1.0 million. As of December 31, 2012, KSC had \$0.5 million recorded related to slot machine financing at KSC. Monthly financing payments conclude February 2013.

Borgata Debt

Borgata Bank Credit Facility

Significant Terms

On August 6, 2010, MDFC announced that it had closed a \$950 million debt financing, consisting of the establishment of the Borgata bank credit facility and the issuance of \$800 million of aggregate principal amount of notes. MDFC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of MDDC, which develops and owns Borgata, and which is the guarantor of both the Borgata bank credit facility and the notes. The proceeds from the financing were used to (i) pay fees and expenses related to the financing; (ii) repay the former credit facility; and (iii) make a one-time distribution to Borgata's joint venture owners.

On November 11, 2011, MDFC entered into a First Amendment to Credit Agreement (the "First Borgata Credit Facility Amendment") among MDFC, MDDC, the Borgata Lenders and Wells Fargo, as administrative agent for the Borgata Lenders. The First Borgata Credit Facility Amendment modifies certain terms of the Borgata bank credit facility.

On December 27, 2012, MDFC entered into the Second Borgata Credit Facility Amendment to Credit Agreement among MDFC, MDDC, the Borgata Lenders and Wells Fargo, as administrative agent for the Borgata Lenders, that (i) decreases the minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to \$110.0 million for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (ii) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude certain losses, charges, and expenses, (iii) adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Consolidated EBITDA will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Consolidated EBITDA out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, (iv) reduces the Aggregate Commitments (as defined therein) to \$60.0 million, (v) modifies the Use of Proceeds covenant to provide that the proceeds of revolving loans can only be used to repurchase or redeem MDFC's senior secured notes if, after giving affect thereto, the aggregate amount of outstanding loans and letters of credit under the Borgata bank credit facility does not exceed \$50.0 million, and (vi) adds a covenant prohibiting MDFC and MDDC from

Table of Contents

repurchasing or redeeming MDFC's senior secured notes at any time unless Consolidated EBITDA was at least \$125.0 million for the most recently ended period of four consecutive fiscal quarters prior thereto.

As amended, the Borgata bank credit facility provides for a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility and matures in August 2014. The Borgata bank credit facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Borgata's assets, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata bank credit facility have priority in payment to Borgata's senior secured notes.

Guarantees

Neither Boyd Gaming Corporation nor its subsidiaries are guarantors of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

Interest Rate

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, accrue interest at a selected rate based upon either: (i) highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, or (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 1.50%, and in any event not less than 1.50% (such highest rate, the "base rate"), or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each clause (i) and (ii) an applicable margin as provided in the Borgata bank credit facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata bank credit facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 1.00% per annum.

At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, was \$20.0 million, which bore an interest rate of 4.9%. Contractual availability under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, at December 31, 2012 was \$40.0 million.

Financial and Other Covenants

The Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, (i) establishing a minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Borgata bank credit facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's ability to incur additional debt; and (iii) imposing restrictions on Borgata's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions, make certain restricted payments, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities.

Compliance with Financial Covenants

We believe that MDFC was in compliance with the amended Borgata bank credit facility covenants, including the minimum consolidated EBITDA.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Borgata bank credit facility and the amendment thereto, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we incurred approximately \$0.4 million, \$1.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, in incremental debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the remaining term of the Borgata bank credit facility.

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.5% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015

Significant Terms

In August 2010, MDFC issued, through a private placement, \$400 million principal amount of 9.5% senior secured notes due October 2015, at an issue price of 98.943%, resulting in a discount at issuance of \$4.2 million. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on April 15 and October 15, commencing April 15, 2011. The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other

companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it is in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012.

At any time prior to October 15, 2013, the notes may be redeemed at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a “make-whole premium” and accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, until October 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to 35% of the notes at a redemption price of 109.50% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings. In addition, at any time prior to October 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to an aggregate of 10% of the notes in each twelve month period at a redemption price of 103% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. On or after October 15, 2013, MDFC shall have the option to redeem the 2015 Notes, in whole or in part, at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.75% beginning on October 15, 2013 to 102.375% beginning on October 15, 2014, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

Table of Contents

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.875% Senior Secured Notes Due 2018

Significant Terms

In August 2010, MDFC issued, through a private placement, \$400 million principal amount of 9.875% senior secured notes due August 2018, at an issue price of 99.315%, resulting in an original issue discount of \$2.7 million. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on February 15 and August 15, commencing February 15, 2011. The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it is in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012.

At any time prior to August 15, 2014, the notes may be redeemed at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a "make-whole premium" and accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, until August 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to 35% of the notes at a redemption price of 109.875% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings. In addition, at any time prior to August 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to an aggregate of 10% of the notes in each twelve month period at a redemption price of 103% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. On or after August 15, 2013, MDFC shall have the option to redeem the 2018 Notes, in whole or in part, at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.938% beginning on August 15, 2014, to 102.469% beginning on August 15, 2015, to 100% beginning on August 15, 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest, to the applicable redemption date.

Original Issue Discount

The original issue discount has been recorded as an offset to the principal amount of these notes and is being accreted to interest expense over the term of the notes using the effective interest method. At December 31, 2012, the effective interest rate on the 9.5% notes due 2015 notes and the 9.875% notes due 2018 was 10.2% and 10.3%, respectively.

Repurchase of Senior Secured Notes

During the year ended December 31, 2011, MDFC repurchased and retired \$8.5 million, principal amount, in total, of their senior secured notes, which included \$2.0 million of the 9.5% notes and \$6.5 million of the 9.875% notes. The total purchase price of the notes was \$8.2 million, resulting in a gain of \$0.1 million, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded as a gain on early retirement of debt in our consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Indenture

The indenture governing both the 9.5% notes and the 9.875% notes allow for the incurrence of additional indebtedness, if after giving effect to such incurrence, our coverage ratio (as defined in the indenture, essentially a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to fixed charges, including interest) for a trailing four quarter period on a pro forma basis would be at least 2.0 to 1.0. Such pro forma coverage ratio was above 2.0 to 1.0 at the dates in which these respective tranches of senior secured notes were issued; however, at December 31, 2012, our coverage ratio (as defined in the indenture) is below 2.0 to 1.0. Accordingly, the indenture prohibits us from incurring new indebtedness; however, we may still borrow under the \$60 million senior secured credit facility. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Borgata bank credit facility was \$20.0 million leaving contractual availability of \$40.0 million.

Table of Contents

Scheduled Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as discussed above, are as follows:

	For the Year Ending December 31,			Total
	Boyd Gaming	Peninsula Gaming	Borgata	
	(In thousands)			
For the year ending December 31,				
2013	\$52,841	\$8,729	\$—	\$61,570
2014	258,168	8,262	20,000	286,430
2015	1,389,850	8,253	398,000	1,796,103
2016	240,750	8,250	—	249,000
2017	—	821,400	—	821,400
Thereafter	997,800	350,000	393,500	1,741,300
Total outstanding principal of long-term debt	\$2,939,409	\$1,204,894	\$811,500	\$4,955,803

Table of Contents

Cash Flows Summary

Years Ended December 31, 2012 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$142,445	\$253,510	\$269,391
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(125,974)	(87,224)	(75,958)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received	(1,324,198)	(278,456)	—
Cash paid to acquire development agreement	—	(24,450)	—
Net cash effect upon change in controlling interest of Borgata	—	—	26,025
Net cash effect upon consolidation of variable interest entity	—	—	41
Decrease in restricted investments	—	26,801	(1,131)
Other investing activities	15,013	542	2,146
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,435,159)	(362,787)	(48,877)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Borrowings under Boyd bank credit facility	787,100	391,329	758,774
Payments under Boyd bank credit facility	(951,250)	(183,579)	(1,250,674)
Borrowings under Peninsula Gaming bank credit facility	871,100	—	—
Payments under Peninsula Gaming bank credit facility	(16,700)	—	—
Borrowings under Borgata bank credit facility	632,700	741,300	533,673
Payments under Borgata bank credit facility	(652,900)	(762,000)	(1,105,062)
Proceeds from issuance of senior secured notes	700,000	—	490,000
Proceeds from issuance of Borgata senior secured notes	—	—	773,176
Debt financing costs, net	(65,083)	(15,374)	(27,057)
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	—	(8,198)	(187,693)
Payments under note payable	—	—	(46,875)
Payments under notes payable by variable interest entity	—	(27,000)	—
Proceeds from variable interest entity's issuance of debt	3,374	7,199	18,091
Payments on loans to members of variable interest entity	(928)	(592)	(1,194)
Distributions from Borgata	—	—	(123,422)
Other financing activities	(627)	(675)	170
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,306,786	142,410	(168,093)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	14,072	33,133	52,421
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	178,756	145,623	93,202
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$192,828	\$178,756	\$145,623

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we generated net operating cash flow of \$142.4 million, \$253.5 million, and \$269.4 million, respectively. Generally, operating cash flows decreased during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the prior year, due to increased interest expense including interest incurred on debt issued in advance of the closing of the Peninsula transaction, increased selling, general and administrative expenses, and nonrecurring acquisition costs primarily related to the Peninsula acquisition. Generally, operating cash flows decreased during the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the prior year, due to a decrease in net income, which was primarily driven by increases in interest incurred on higher average outstanding balances compounded by higher average interest rates on fixed-rate debt.

We received distributions from Borgata of \$20.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. Borgata has significant uses for its cash flows, including maintenance capital expenditures, interest payments, state income taxes and the repayment of debt. Borgata's cash flows are primarily used for its business needs and are not generally available, except to the extent distributions are paid to us, to service our indebtedness. As discussed above, Borgata's bank credit facility, as amended, and senior secured

Table of Contents

notes contain certain covenants. Borgata's bank credit facility, as amended, allows for certain limited distributions to be made to its partners. In the event that Borgata fails to comply with its covenants, it may be prevented from making any distributions to us during such period of noncompliance.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our industry is capital intensive and we use cash flows for investments in maintenance capital expenditures, acquisitions and future development or business opportunities.

Capital Expenditures

Our estimated total capital expenditures for 2013 are expected to be approximately \$153.0 million and are primarily comprised of \$43.0 million for various maintenance capital expenditures across all our properties, \$25.0 million for gaming equipment, \$17.2 million for room renovations, and \$17.1 million of certain capital improvement projects with respect to the IP. We intend to fund such capital expenditures through our bank credit facility and operating cash flows.

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$126.0 million. Major capital expenditures during the year included \$34.7 million related to Borgata's room renovation and refurbishment associated with the suite remodel, \$28.0 million for capital improvement projects at IP, \$11.4 million for building renovations, of which \$6.8 million related to room remodel at Gold Coast and Sam's Town Shreveport, and \$7.6 million related to Peninsula Gaming, primarily related to the development of the Kansas Star. In addition, we paid approximately \$9.0million for maintenance capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$87.2 million and included the initial phase of Borgata's suite remodel, which included spending of approximately \$15.6 million, \$7.2 million for the room remodeling for Sam's Town Shreveport, and \$9.1 million for gaming equipment. In addition, we paid approximately \$57.4 million for maintenance capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$76.0 million and included the Echelon development project, which included spending of approximately \$25.9 million, and maintenance capital expenditures of approximately \$52.1 million.

Borgata Capital Expenditures

Borgata continually performs on-going refurbishment and maintenance at facilities to maintain standards of quality. Certain of these maintenance costs are capitalized, if such improvement or refurbishment extends the life of the related asset, while other maintenance costs that do not so qualify are expensed as incurred. Although Borgata does not have any present future expansion projects, if any opportunities arise, such projects will require significant capital commitments. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. Borgata must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in the debt agreements.

Borgata intends to incur \$25.0 million, primarily on room remodel and various maintenance capital projects with such capital expenditures being funded through the credit facility and operating cash flows. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. Borgata must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in the debt agreements.

Asset Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired Peninsula Gaming for a net purchase price of approximately \$1.32 billion, net of cash. We completed the Peninsula Gaming transaction on November 20, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we acquired IP for a net purchase price, net of cash of \$278.5 million on October 2, 2011.

Additionally, we purchased the membership interests of an LLC for \$24.5 million, and in exchange recorded assets at the same value.

Cash from Borgata Consolidation

As a result of our consolidation of Borgata during the year ended December 31, 2010, we included its cash balance of \$26.0 million as an investing cash flow.

Restricted Investment

During the year ended December 31, 2011, as a result of the consolidation of LVE as a variable interest entity, we recorded the liquidation of its restricted investment in the amount of \$27.2 million, the proceeds of which were used to repay certain of its existing indebtedness, all of which is non-recourse to us.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

We rely upon our financing cash flows to provide funding for investment opportunities, repayments of obligations and ongoing operations.

Borrowings and Payments under Credit Facility

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we had net cash used of \$164.2 million due to payments against Boyd's bank credit facility, and PGL had net cash provided of \$854.4 million due to the issuance of a credit facility of \$875 million related to the acquisition of PGL. In conjunction with the Boyd and Peninsula Gaming credit facilities, Boyd and PGL incurred \$2.6 million and \$33.8 million, respectively, in debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Peninsula Gaming credit facility. Borgata had net cash uses of less than \$1.0 million due to payments against Borgata's bank credit facility, as amended. The use of funds for the repayments of Boyd's bank credit facility was primarily from cash flows from operations. The source of funds from the borrowings of our PGL's Credit Facility was primarily related to incremental cash necessary to close on our acquisition of Peninsula Gaming during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, net borrowings under our Credit Facility were \$207.8 million, while net payments under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, were \$20.7 million. The use of funds from the borrowings of our Credit Facility was primarily related to incremental cash necessary to close on our acquisition of IP during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2011, while source of funds for the repayments of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, were primarily from cash flows from operations. We actively manage our cash position for purposes of managing our outstanding credit facility borrowings. In November 2011, we repaid the non-extending portion of our Credit Facility upon the consummation of our refinancing effort, which included the issuance of the Incremental Term Loan for \$350 million. Borgata repaid its previous credit facility during the year ended December 31, 2010 upon the consummation of a refinancing effort, which included the issuance of \$800 million in senior notes, as discussed below.

Proceeds from Issuance of Notes

On June 8, 2012, we issued \$350 million aggregate principal amount 9.00% Senior Notes. In connection with the issuance of the 9.00% Senior Notes, we incurred approximately \$14.0 million in debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the 9.00% Senior Notes. On August 16, 2012, we issued \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 8.375% Senior Notes. In connection with the issuance of the 8.375% Senior Notes, PGL incurred approximately \$14.2 million in debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the 8.375% Senior Notes. On November 20, 2012, upon the consummation of the Merger of PGL, PGL and PGC assumed the obligations of the 8.375% Senior Notes. The 8.375% are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by PGL's subsidiaries (other than PGC). In August 2010, Borgata completed a refinancing of its existing debt structure, and thereby repaid all amounts due under its existing credit facility by issuing \$400 million aggregate principal amount 9.5% Senior Secured Notes and \$400 million aggregate principal amount 9.875% Senior Secured Notes. In connection with the issuance of the Senior Secured Notes, we incurred approximately \$26,800 in debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the terms of the notes. On November 2010, we issued, through a private placement, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018. The notes will mature on December 1, 2018 and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries.

Retirements of Long-Term Debt

During the year ended December 31, 2011, Borgata repurchased and retired \$8.5 million, principal amount, in total, of their senior secured notes, which included \$2.0 million of the 9.5% notes and \$6.5 million of the 9.875% notes. The total purchase price of the notes was \$8.2 million, resulting in a gain of \$0.1 million, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded as a gain on early retirement of debt in our consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Excluding the tender offer and redemption discussed below, during the year ended December 31, 2010, we purchased and retired \$33.0 million principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was \$28.9 million, resulting in a gain of \$3.9 million, net of associated deferred financing fees. Such gain was offset by the

loss we recorded in connection with our tender offer and redemption of our former 7.75% senior subordinated notes.

In November 2010, we tendered for purchase all of our outstanding 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012. Approximately \$92.1 million principal amount of the 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012 were tendered for purchase pursuant to our tender offer. We paid \$95.3 million in connection with the tender offer, including accrued interest of \$2.9 million, and recognized a loss on such tender of \$0.8 million, based on the difference between the consideration fee, redemption price and the net carrying value of the notes in addition to unamortized debt financing costs written off in conjunction with the purchase of the notes. Additionally, in December 2010, we called the remaining 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012 at par, which had a principal balance of \$66.8 million. We recognized a loss of \$0.4 million upon calling such notes, which consisted of our write-off of the remaining unamortized debt financing costs associated with the notes.

Table of Contents

Payments on Variable Interest Entity Non-Recourse Obligation

During the year ended December 31, 2011, LVE made a principal repayment of \$27.0 million related to its outstanding obligations, the proceeds for which were funded from the liquidation of restricted investments, as discussed above.

Distributions from Borgata

During the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily in connection with its debt refinancing, Borgata made distribution to us of \$154.2 million, which included a return of capital of \$30.8 million. This distribution was made on a one-time basis, at the time of its debt refinancing. Subsequently, the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, allows for certain limited distributions to be made to its partners, and accordingly, we do not anticipate significant future distributions.

Dividends

Dividends are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors. We are subject to certain limitations regarding payment of dividends, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our Credit Facility. In July 2008, our Board of Directors suspended the quarterly dividend for the current and future periods; therefore, we did not declare a dividend during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Share Repurchase Program

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our Credit Facility. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our Credit Facility.

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to \$100 million. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and 2010, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock. We are currently authorized to repurchase up to an additional \$92.1 million in shares of our common stock under the share repurchase program.

We have in the past, and may in the future, acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine.

Other Items Affecting Liquidity

There have been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital, with such disruptions expected to continue for the foreseeable future. Despite these disruptions, we anticipate the ability to fund our capital requirements using cash flows from operations and availability under our Boyd and Peninsula Gaming Credit Facility, to the extent availability exists after we meet our working capital needs for the next twelve months. Any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. The outcome of the following specific matters, including our commitments and contingencies, may also affect our liquidity.

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities.

Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane, Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

Acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa

On October 4, 2011, we completed our previously announced acquisition of the assets of the IP, for a purchase price of \$280.6 million in cash, net of certain retrospective working capital adjustments. Following the closing of the transaction, we also made a charitable contribution to the Engelstad Family Foundation equal to an aggregate of \$10 million, which is included in the net purchase price, and which funds are intended to be distributed on behalf of, and in the name of, Boyd Gaming, over five years to charitable organizations to be designated by Boyd Gaming. In addition, following the closing, we intend to perform certain capital

Table of Contents

improvement projects with respect to the IP with costs estimated to be \$44 million. As of December 31, 2012, we have incurred a total of \$29.5 million related to these improvement projects.

Disposition of Echelon project and Dania

We are committed to strengthening our balance sheet. For instance, on February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment Center, LLC (the "Buyer") entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, our pari-mutuel facility, located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida at which jai-alai and related gaming operations are conducted, including poker and inter-track wagering, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million (the "Purchase Price"). The closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to occur at or before May 24, 2013.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting to sell the Echelon site for \$350.0 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Commitments

Capital Spending and Development

We continually perform on-going refurbishment and maintenance at our facilities to maintain our standards of quality. Certain of these maintenance costs are capitalized, if such improvement or refurbishment extends the life of the related asset, while other maintenance costs that do not so qualify are expensed as incurred. Although we do not have any present future expansion projects, if any opportunities arise, such projects will require significant capital commitments. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. We must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in our debt agreements.

Our estimated total capital expenditures for 2013 are expected to be approximately \$153.0 million and are primarily comprised of \$43.0 million for various maintenance capital expenditures across all our properties, \$25.0 million for gaming equipment, \$17.2 million for room renovations, and \$17.1 million of certain capital improvement projects with respect to the IP. We intend to fund such capital expenditures through our Credit Facility and operating cash flows.

Borgata

Utility Contract

In 2005, Borgata amended its executory contracts with a wholly-owned subsidiary of a local utility company, extending the end of the term to 20 years from the opening of The Water Club. The utility company provides Borgata with electricity and thermal energy (hot water and chilled water). Obligations under the thermal energy executory contract contain both fixed fees and variable fees based upon usage rates. The fixed fee components under the thermal energy executory contract are currently estimated at approximately \$11.4 million per annum. Borgata also committed to purchase a certain portion of its electricity demand at essentially a fixed rate, which is estimated at approximately \$1.7 million per annum. Electricity demand in excess of the commitment is subject to market rates based on Borgata's tariff class.

Investment Alternative Tax

The New Jersey Casino Control Act provides, among other things, for an assessment of licensees equal to 1.25% of their gross gaming revenues in lieu of an investment alternative tax equal to 2.5% of gross gaming revenues.

Generally, Borgata may satisfy this investment obligation by investing in qualified eligible direct investments, by making qualified contributions or by depositing funds with the New Jersey Casino Reinvestment Development Authority ("CRDA"). Funds deposited with the CRDA may be used to purchase bonds designated by the CRDA or,

under certain circumstances, may be donated to the CRDA in exchange for credits against future CRDA investment obligations. CRDA bonds have terms up to fifty years and bear interest at below market rates.

Borgata's CRDA obligations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$7.7 million, \$8.1 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, of which valuation provisions of \$4.4 million, \$3.5 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, were recorded due to the respective underlying agreements.

Purse Enhancement Agreement

In August 2008, Borgata and the ten other casinos in the Atlantic City market (collectively, the "Casinos") entered into a Purse Enhancement Agreement (the "Agreement") with the New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority (the "NJSEA") and the Casino Reinvestment Development Authority in the interest of further deferring or preventing the proliferation of competitive gaming at New Jersey racing tracks through December 31, 2011. In addition to the continued prohibition of casino gaming in New Jersey outside of Atlantic City, legislation was enacted to provide for the deduction of certain promotional gaming credits from the

Table of Contents

calculation of the tax on casino gross revenue.

Under the terms of the Agreement, the Casinos are required to make scheduled payments to the NJSEA totaling \$90 million to be used for certain authorized purposes (the “Authorized Uses”) as defined by the Agreement. For each year, each casino's share of the scheduled payments will equate to a percentage representing its gross gaming revenue for the prior calendar year compared to the gross gaming revenues for that period for all Casinos. Each casino, solely and individually, shall be responsible for its respective share of the scheduled amounts due. In the event that any casino shall fail to make its payment as required, the remaining Casinos shall have the right, but not the obligation, to cure a payment delinquency. As a result, Borgata expenses its pro rata share of the \$90 million, estimated to be approximately \$15.0 million based on its actual market shares of gross gaming revenue, on a straight-line basis over the applicable term of the Agreement. Borgata recorded expense of \$5.1 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Atlantic City Tourism District

As part of the State of New Jersey's plan to revitalize Atlantic City, a new law was enacted in February 2011 requiring that a tourism district (the “Tourism District”) be created and managed by the CRDA. The Tourism District has been established to include each of the Atlantic City casino properties along with certain other tourism related areas of Atlantic City. The law requires that a public-private partnership be created between the CRDA and a private entity that represents existing and future casino licensees. The private entity, known as The Atlantic City Alliance (the “ACA”), has been established in the form of a not-for-profit limited liability company, of which MDCC is a member. The public-private partnership between the ACA and CRDA shall be for an initial term of five years and its general purpose shall be to revitalize the Tourism District. The law requires that a \$5 million contribution be made to this effort by all casinos prior to 2012 followed by an annual amount of \$30 million to be contributed by the casinos commencing January 1, 2012 for a term of five years. Each casino's share of the annual contributions will equate to a percentage representing its gross gaming revenue for the prior calendar year compared to the aggregate gross gaming revenues for that period for all casinos. As a result, Borgata will expense their pro rata share of the \$155 million as incurred. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, Borgata incurred expense of \$6.1 million and \$0.9 million for the pro rata share of the initial contribution to the ACA.

Capital Spending and Development

Borgata continually performs on-going refurbishment and maintenance at facilities to maintain standards of quality. Certain of these maintenance costs are capitalized, if such improvement or refurbishment extends the life of the related asset, while other maintenance costs that do not so qualify are expensed as incurred. Although Borgata does not have any present future expansion projects, if any opportunities arise, such projects will require significant capital commitments. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. Borgata must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in the debt agreements.

Borgata intends to incur \$25 million during 2013, primarily on room remodel and various maintenance capital projects with such capital expenditures being funded through the credit facility and operating cash flows. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. Borgata must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in the debt agreements.

Contingencies

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino (“Treasure Chest”), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest

license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007,

Table of Contents

the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Pending before the District Court are a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action filed by Guidry, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which the Company joined, and a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction filed by the U.S. Attorney, which may result in the case being remanded to state court. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. A ruling has not yet been issued. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court but allowed for additional filings by September 13, 2011. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for loss profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the "Department"), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. We estimate the refund to be in the range of \$17.9 million to \$20.3 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge ("Judge") affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of

limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. We are appealing the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department has appealed the decision on employee meals. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset; and pending the ultimate resolution of the appeal at the State Supreme Court, we expect the offset issue will either be addressed by the Supreme Court or remanded back to District Court. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of our appeal to the State Supreme Court, we will not record any gain until a final, non-appealable decision has been rendered. On July 6, 2012 the Department retracted its previous guidance requiring payment of sales tax, on complimentary and employee meals, for periods subsequent to February 15, 2012. The updated guidance defers the requirement to collect and remit sales tax, without interest or penalty, on complimentary and employee meals until the occurrence of a defined future event. Based on the Department's updated guidance, we have not collected, remitted or accrued a liability for sales tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties.

Table of Contents

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip previously received a valuation notice from the county assessor indicating an unanticipated increase of nearly 400% to its assessed property value as of January 1, 2006. In December 2007, we received the property tax bill related to our 2006 tax assessment in the amount \$6.2 million, which we appealed. In February 2009, we received a notice of revaluation, reducing the initial tax assessment by approximately \$2.2 million. Since then, we have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received in years 2007 through 2012, all of which were based on the 2006 valuation notice. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reached settlements with the county assessor, reducing the annual valuation for years 2006 through 2009. Based on these settlements, we revised our cumulative property tax accrual to reflect the retrospective effect of the revised valuations. The impact of these revisions to the valuations resulted in a reduction of our property tax accrual of approximately \$9.7 million, which was cumulatively reversed through property tax expense during the year ended December 31, 2011.

We received the 2010 tax assessment in January 2013 but have not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 or 2012. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the 2009 settlement valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment and believe the assessments for the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012 could result in a total property tax obligation, net of previous payments, ranging between \$5.0 million and \$14.1 million. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$14.1 million for this property tax liability as of December 31, 2012, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome within our range, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount billed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, which have not been received as of December 31, 2012, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012:

	Year Ending December 31,						
	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter
	(In thousands)						
CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS:							
Long Term Debt							
Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt:							
Bank credit facility	\$1,474,850	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$1,389,850	\$—	\$—	\$—
9.125% senior notes	500,000	—	—	—	—	—	500,000
9.00% senior notes	350,000	—	—	—	—	—	350,000
6.75% senior subordinated notes	215,668	—	215,668	—	—	—	—
7.125% senior subordinated notes	240,750	—	—	—	240,750	—	—
Other	158,141	10,341	—	—	—	—	147,800
	2,939,409	52,841	258,168	1,389,850	240,750	—	997,800
Peninsula Gaming Financing:							
Bank credit facility	854,400	8,235	8,262	8,253	8,250	821,400	—
8.375% senior notes	350,000	—	—	—	—	—	350,000
Other	494	494	—	—	—	—	—
	\$1,204,894	\$8,729	\$8,262	\$8,253	\$8,250	\$821,400	\$350,000
Borgata Debt:							
Bank credit facility	\$20,000	\$—	\$20,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
9.50% senior secured notes	398,000	—	—	398,000	—	—	—
9.875% senior secured notes	393,500	—	—	—	—	—	393,500
	811,500	—	20,000	398,000	—	—	393,500
Long-term debt	\$4,955,803	\$61,570	\$286,430	\$1,796,103	\$249,000	\$821,400	\$1,741,300
Interest on Fixed Rate Debt							
Boyd Gaming	\$546,284	\$110,308	\$99,835	\$95,589	\$79,722	\$78,197	\$82,633
Peninsula Gaming	152,667	29,312	29,312	29,312	29,312	29,312	6,107
Borgata	323,935	76,687	76,668	68,686	38,858	38,858	24,178
Operating Leases							
Boyd Gaming	\$456,885	\$15,872	\$12,921	\$11,590	\$10,043	\$9,875	\$396,584
Borgata	357,266	7,195	6,864	6,480	6,414	6,382	323,931
PURCHASE OBLIGATIONS:							

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Entertainment Contracts							
Boyd Gaming	\$4,099	\$4,099	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Borgata	4,058	1,300	1,352	1,406	—	—	—
Construction Projects							
Boyd Gaming	\$20,899	\$20,779	\$120	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Borgata	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other							
Boyd Gaming	\$110,043	\$45,979	\$29,426	\$5,171	\$4,503	\$2,657	\$22,307
Borgata	2,313	2,313	—	—	—	—	—
OTHER LONG-TERM CONTRACTS:							
Boyd Gaming	\$614,138	\$13,828	\$25,185	\$25,063	\$25,015	\$25,013	\$500,034
Borgata	237,408	19,338	19,338	19,338	19,338	13,338	146,718
TOTAL CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS							
	\$7,785,798	\$408,580	\$587,451	\$2,058,738	\$462,205	\$1,025,032	\$3,243,792

Table of Contents

Other Opportunities

We regularly investigate and pursue additional expansion opportunities in markets where casino gaming is currently permitted. We also pursue expansion opportunities in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. Such expansions will be affected and determined by several key factors, which may include the following:

- the outcome of gaming license selection processes;
- the approval of gaming in jurisdictions where we have been active but where casino gaming is not currently permitted;
- identification of additional suitable investment opportunities in current gaming jurisdictions; and
- availability of acceptable financing.

Additional projects may require us to make substantial investments or may cause us to incur substantial costs related to the investigation and pursuit of such opportunities, which investments and costs we may fund through cash flow from operations or availability under our Credit Facility. To the extent such sources of funds are not sufficient, we may also seek to raise such additional funds through public or private equity or debt financings or from other sources. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available or that, if available, such financing will be obtainable on terms favorable to us. Moreover, we can provide no assurances that any expansion opportunity will result in a completed transaction.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off balance sheet arrangements mainly consist of the following agreements to provide electricity, emergency electricity generation, and chilled and hot water to Echelon and Borgata.

On February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment Center, LLC (the "Buyer") entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, our pari-mutuel facility, located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida at which jai-alai and related gaming operations are conducted, including poker and inter-track wagering, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million (the "Purchase Price"). The closing of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement is subject to certain closing conditions and is expected to occur at or before May 24, 2013.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. The sale agreement includes the 87-acre land parcel, as well as site improvements. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157.0 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Utility Contract

In 2005, Borgata amended its executory contracts with a wholly-owned subsidiary of a local utility company, extending the end of the term to 20 years from the opening of The Water Club. The utility company provides Borgata with electricity and thermal energy (hot water and chilled water). Obligations under the thermal energy executory contract contain both fixed fees and variable fees based upon usage rates. The fixed fee components under the thermal energy executory contract are currently estimated at approximately \$11.4 million per annum. Borgata also committed to purchase a certain portion of its electricity demand at essentially a fixed rate, which is estimated at approximately \$1.7 million per annum. Electricity demand in excess of the commitment is subject to market rates based on Borgata's tariff class.

Indemnification

We have entered into certain agreements that contain indemnification provisions, as well as indemnification agreements involving certain of our executive officers and directors. These agreements provide indemnity insurance pursuant to which directors and officers are indemnified or insured against liability or loss under certain

circumstances, which may include liability or related loss under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act. In addition, our Restated Articles of Incorporation and Restated Bylaws contain provisions that provide for indemnification of our directors, officers, employees and other agents to the maximum extent permitted by law.

Outstanding Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$14.5 million and \$15.5 million, respectively.

Other Arrangements

We have not entered into any transactions with special purpose entities, nor have we engaged in any derivative transactions other than interest rate swaps, interest rate collars and interest rate caps.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. In accordance with GAAP, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in our consolidated financial statements. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an ongoing basis, management reviews and refines those estimates, the following of which materially impact our consolidated financial statements: the recoverability of long-lived assets; preservation of assets held for development; application of acquisition method of accounting to our controlling interest in Borgata; valuation of indefinite-lived intangible assets and goodwill; determination of self-insured reserves; and provisions for deferred tax assets, certain tax liabilities and uncertain tax positions.

Judgments are based on information including, but not limited to, historical experience, industry trends, conventional practices, expert opinions, terms of existing agreements and information from outside sources. Judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty, and therefore actual results could differ from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies require a higher degree of judgment and complexity, the sensitivity of which could result in a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Recoverability of Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. If triggering events are identified, we then compare the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of the asset to the carrying value of the asset. The asset is not impaired if the undiscounted future cash flows exceed its carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then an impairment charge is recorded, typically measured using a discounted cash flow model, which is based on the estimated future results of the relevant reporting unit discounted using our weighted-average cost of capital and market indicators of terminal year free cash flow multiples.

We reconsider changes in circumstances on a frequent basis, and if a triggering event related to potential impairment has occurred, we solicit third party valuation expertise to assist in the valuation of our investment. There are three generally accepted approaches available in developing an opinion of value: the cost, sales comparison and income approaches. We generally consider each of these approaches in developing a recommendation of the fair value of the asset; however the reliability of each approach is dependent upon the availability and comparability of the market data uncovered, as well as, the decision-making criteria used by market participants when evaluating a property. We will bifurcate our investment and apply the most indicative approach to overall fair valuation, or in some cases, a weighted analysis of any or all of these methods.

Developing an opinion of land value is typically accomplished using a sales comparison approach by analyzing recent sales transactions of similar sites. Potential comparables are researched and the pertinent facts are confirmed with parties involved in the transaction. This process fosters a general understanding of the potential comparable sales and facilitates the selection of the most relevant comparables by the appraiser. Valuation is typically accomplished using a unit of comparison such as price per square foot of land or potential building area. Adjustments are applied to the unit of comparison from an analysis of comparable sales, and the adjusted unit of comparison is then used to derive a value for the property.

The cost approach is based on the premise that a prudent investor would pay no more for an asset of similar utility than its replacement or reproduction cost. The cost to replace the asset would include the cost of constructing a similar asset of equivalent utility at prices applicable at the time of the valuation date. To arrive at an estimate of the fair value using the cost approach, the replacement cost new is determined and reduced for depreciation of the asset.

Replacement cost new is defined as the current cost of producing or constructing a similar new item having the nearest equivalent utility as the property being valued.

The income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of the asset. The underlying premise of this approach is that the value of an asset can be measured by the present worth of the net economic benefit (cash receipts less cash outlays) to be received over the life of the subject asset. The steps followed in applying this approach include estimating the expected before-tax cash flows attributable to the asset over its life and converting these before-tax cash flows to present value through capitalization or discounting. The process uses a rate of return that accounts for both the time value of money and risk factors. There are two common methods for converting net income into value, those methods are the direct capitalization and discounted cash flow methods ("DCF"). Direct capitalization is a method used to convert an estimate of a single year's income expectancy into an indication of value in one direct step by dividing the income estimate by an appropriate capitalization rate. Under the DCF method, anticipated future cash flows and a reversionary value are discounted to an opinion of net present value at a specific internal rate of return or a yield rate, because net operating income of the subject property is not fully stabilized.

Our long-lived assets were carried at \$3.96 billion at December 31, 2012, or 62.5% of our consolidated total assets. A long-lived

Table of Contents

asset shall be tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. The following are examples of such events or changes in circumstances:

- i. a significant decrease in the market price of a long-lived asset;
- ii. a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used or in its physical condition;
- iii. a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset, including an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;
- iv. an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or construction of a long-lived asset;
- v. a current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset; and/or
- vi. a current expectation that, more likely than not, a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life.

As further discussed in Note 4, Property and Equipment, Net, during the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized \$39.4 million of non-cash impairment charges related to various parcels of undeveloped land. As further discussed in Note 4, Assets Held for Development, we also recognized \$993.9 million of non-cash impairment charges related to our Echelon project including land, and construction in progress based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets.

Disposal of Assets Held for Development

In August 2008, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. At that time, we did not anticipate the long-term effects of the current economic downturn, evidenced by lower occupancy rates, declining room rates and reduced consumer spending across the country, but particularly in the Las Vegas geographical area; nor did we predict that the incremental supply becoming available on the Las Vegas Strip would face such depressed demand levels, thereby elongating the time for absorption of this additional supply into the market.

The further delay of the Echelon project implied that the carrying amounts of the assets related to the development may not be recoverable; therefore, at the time, we performed an impairment test of these assets. These impairment tests were comprised of an appraisal of the development and an analysis of its future undiscounted cash flow, and contemplated several viable alternative plans for the future development of Echelon. The cash inflows related to the revenue projections for the individual components associated with each planned construction scenario, offset by outflows for estimated costs to complete the development and ongoing maintenance and operating costs.

We initially performed this evaluation during the year ended December 31, 2009. We updated these analyses during the year ended December 31, 2011 to evaluate any further depression in real estate or land values as well as any deterioration in our initial cash flow assumptions. The outcome of this evaluation resulted in no impairment of Echelon's assets, as the estimated weighted net undiscounted cash flows from the project exceed the current carrying value of the assets of approximately \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011.

In December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded we would not resume development. As a result of this decision, we now expect to dispose of the assets. We updated our impairment analysis to compare the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets. Based on this scenario, we recognized an impairment at December 31, 2012, of \$993.9 million based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets as discussed in Note 4,

Property and Equipment, and Note 5, Assets Held for Development. Due to the termination of the project, no additional project costs will be capitalized.

Application of Acquisition Method of Accounting

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") entered into on May 16, 2012, by and among the Company, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, Peninsula Gaming Partners, LLC ("PGP") and Peninsula Gaming, LLC, under which an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the

Table of Contents

Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Peninsula Gaming, the assets and assumed the liabilities. The acquisition added five properties from three different states including Kansas, Iowa and Louisiana. Peninsula Gaming owns and operates Diamond Jo casino in Dubuque, Iowa, Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino, in St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, various off track betting facilities in Louisiana, Diamond Jo casino in Northwood, Iowa, Amelia Belle casino in Amelia, Louisiana, and the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center ("Kansas Star") near Wichita, Kansas. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. As a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, the Company will be able to expand its operations to the new geographical areas. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items was approximately \$1.48 billion.

The financial position of Peninsula Gaming is consolidated in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. In total, Peninsula Gaming represents 25.4% of our consolidated total assets at December 31, 2012, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, PGL contributed \$56.9 million in net revenues for the period from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

Acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa ("IP")

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa ("IP") in Biloxi, Mississippi pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities, respectively, related to the Imperial Palace Biloxi, on an as-is basis. The net purchase price was approximately \$280.6 million. In addition to the net purchase price, the Company intends to perform certain capital improvement projects with respect to the property at an estimated cost of \$44 million. The business combination resulted in the recording of a bargain purchase gain of approximately \$4.6 million, due to the excess fair value of net identifiable assets over the total consideration, and is reflected in other income on the consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Consolidation of Borgata

Upon effectively obtaining control of Borgata, we were required to apply acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for business combinations. The application of the acquisition method of accounting guidance had the following effects on our consolidated financial statements: (i) our previously held equity interest was measured at a provisional fair value at the date control was obtained; (ii) we recognized and measured the identifiable assets and liabilities in accordance with promulgated valuation recognition and measurement provisions; and (iii) we recorded the noncontrolling interest held in trust for the economic benefit of MGM as a separate component of our stockholders' equity.

The provisional fair value measurements and estimates of these items were subsequently refined during the one-year measurement period. We had provisionally recorded these fair values using an earnings valuation multiple model, because, at the time of the preliminary estimate, we had not completed our procedures with respect to the independent valuation of the business enterprise and Borgata's tangible and intangible assets. Our subsequent valuation procedures have necessitated a revision of the valuation of the provisional assets and liabilities. Thus, upon finalization of our valuation, certain measurement period adjustments were identified and retrospectively recorded as of December 31, 2010. These measurement period adjustments materially shifted the value of certain tangible and intangible assets. We have applied the measurement period adjustments retrospectively to the consolidated balance sheet reported as of December 31, 2010. However, the impact on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, as retrospectively adjusted, to the statement as reported was not material, and was therefore not adjusted for any measurement period adjustments. The revisions to the provisional values of assets consists of reallocations of certain tangible assets and the recordation of other intangible assets; the accrual of certain liabilities, including the recording of the deferred tax effect of the appreciated asset values; and the resulting effect on the fair value of the controlling and noncontrolling interests.

We determined the fair value of identifiable intangible assets such as customer relationships, a trademark and any other significant tangible assets or liabilities, such as long-lived property. The enterprise value allocation methodology required management to make assumptions and apply judgment to estimate the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities. Management estimated the fair value of assets and liabilities primarily using discounted cash flows and replacement cost analysis. If estimates or assumptions used to complete the enterprise valuation and estimate the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities significantly differed from assumptions made, the resulting difference could materially affect the fair value of net assets. We will continue to perform impairment tests of the indefinite-lived intangible assets in accordance with our existing policy, as discussed below. Additionally, given the anticipated sale of the MGM Interest, we will maintain a heightened awareness of any potential triggering events which would indicate a possible impairment of the intangible assets or long-lived assets.

The financial position of Borgata is consolidated in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; and during the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a step up to the basis of Borgata's historical financial statements of \$16.8 million,

Table of Contents

which is an appreciation over their historical book basis of approximately 1%.

Valuation of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Gaming license rights represent the value of the license to conduct gaming in certain jurisdictions, which is subject to highly extensive regulatory oversight and a limitation on the number of licenses available for issuance with these certain jurisdictions. These assets, considered indefinite-lived intangible assets, are not subject to amortization, but instead are subject to an annual impairment test, performed in the second quarter of each year, and between annual test dates in certain circumstances. If the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference. License rights are tested for impairment using a discounted cash flow approach, and trademarks are tested for impairment using the relief-from-royalty method. The value of gaming licenses is determined using a multi-period excess earnings method, which is a specific discounted cash flow model. The value is determined at an amount equal to the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to future gaming revenue, discounted to present value at a risk-adjusted rate of return. With respect to the application of this methodology, we used the following significant projections and assumptions: gaming revenues; gaming operating expenses; general and administrative expenses; tax expense; terminal value; and discount rate. These projections are modeled for a five year period.

Trademarks are based on the value of our brand, which reflects the level of service and quality we provide and from which we generate repeat business. Trademarks are valued using the relief from royalty method, which presumes that without ownership of such trademarks, we would have to make a stream of payments to a brand or franchise owner in return for the right to use their name. By virtue of this asset, we avoid any such payments and record the related intangible value of our ownership of the brand name. We used the following significant projections and assumptions to determine value under the relief from royalty method: revenue from gaming and hotel activities; royalty rate; tax expense; terminal growth rate; discount rate; and the present value of tax benefit. The projections underlying this discounted cash flow model were forecasted for fifteen years. Applying the selected pretax royalty rates to the applicable revenue base in each period yielded pretax income for each property's trademarks and trade name. These pretax totals were tax effected utilizing the applicable tax rate to arrive at net, after-tax cash flows. The net, after-tax flows were then discounted to present value utilizing an appropriate discount rate. The present value of the after-tax cash flows were then added to the present value of the amortization tax benefit (considering the 15-year amortization of intangible assets pursuant to recent tax legislation) to arrive at the recommended fair values for the trademarks and trade names.

At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of our trademarks was \$186.8 million, which includes the addition of \$50.8 million related to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming and \$60.0 million related to the consolidation of Borgata. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of our trademarks exceeded their carrying value. At December 31, 2011, the carrying value of our trademarks was \$136 million, which includes the addition of \$25.3 million related to the acquisition of IP and \$65 million related to the consolidation of Borgata during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At December 31, 2011, the fair value of our trademarks exceeded their carrying value.

These indefinite-lived intangible assets are not subject to amortization, but are subject to an annual impairment test and between annual test dates in certain circumstances. Our impairment test, performed in the second quarter of 2011 did not result in any impairment of these intangible assets during the year ended December 31, 2011. We did however, perform an interim test with respect to the Borgata trademark, and recorded a \$5.0 million impairment of the Borgata trademark during the year ended December 31, 2011, based on a degradation in their forecasted revenues due to our consideration of certain facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in Atlantic City. We believe our actual results have been adversely impacted by increased regional competition, and that in addition, Borgata's projected future results will be further negatively impacted by the opening of a new property in Atlantic City, which was announced in February 2011.

We evaluate whether any triggering events or changes in circumstances had occurred subsequent to our annual impairment test that would indicate an impairment condition may exist. This evaluation required significant judgment, including consideration of whether there had been any significant adverse changes in legal factors or in our business climate, adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, loss of key personnel or likely sale or disposal of all or a significant portion of a reporting unit. Based upon this evaluation, we concluded that there had not been any triggering events or changes in circumstances that indicated an impairment condition existed as of December 31, 2012. If an event described above occurs, and results in a significant impact to our revenue and profitability projections, or any significant assumption in our valuations methods is adversely impacted, the impact could result in a material impairment charge in the future.

Valuation of Goodwill

The authoritative guidance related to goodwill impairment requires goodwill to be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually using a two-step impairment test. Step One of the test is a screen used to identify whether or not goodwill impairment may exist. In Step One, an entity compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. If a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, goodwill impairment may exist. Step Two of the test must then be performed to

Table of Contents

measure the amount of impairment, if any. In Step Two, an entity compares the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount. An impairment loss is measured by the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill over its implied fair value. The implied fair value of goodwill should be determined in the same manner that goodwill is measured in a business combination; that is, an entity must allocate the fair value of a reporting unit to the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination.

We solicit third party valuation expertise to assist in the performance of the Step One valuations of the goodwill of our reporting units. We perform the test in the second quarter of our fiscal calendar year, using a weighting of two different approaches was employed to determine fair value: (i) the income approach and (ii) the market approach.

The income approach is based on a discounted cash flow method, which focuses on the expected cash flow of the subject company. In applying this approach, the cash flow available for distribution is calculated for a finite period of years. Cash flow available for distribution is defined, for purposes of this analysis, as the amount of cash that could be distributed as a dividend without impairing the future profitability or operations of the subject company. The cash flow available for distribution and the terminal value (the value of the subject company at the end of the estimation period) are then discounted to present value to derive an indication of value of the business enterprise.

In the valuation of an asset, the income approach focuses on the income-producing capability of the subject asset. The underlying premise of this approach is that the value of an asset can be measured by the present worth of the net economic benefit (cash receipts less cash outlays) to be received over the life of the subject asset. The steps followed in applying this approach include estimating the expected after-tax cash flows attributable to the asset over its life and converting these after-tax cash flows to present value through “discounting.” The discounting process uses a rate of return which accounts for both the time value of money and investment risk factors. Finally, the present value of the after-tax cash flows over the life of the asset is totaled to arrive at an indication of the fair value of the asset.

The market approach is comprised of the guideline company method, which focuses on comparing the subject company to selected reasonably similar, or “guideline”, publicly-traded companies. Under this method, valuation multiples are: (i) derived from the operating data of selected guideline companies; (ii) evaluated and adjusted based on the strengths and weaknesses of the subject company relative to the selected guideline companies; and (iii) applied to the operating data of the subject company to arrive at an indication of value. In the valuation of an asset, the market approach measures value based on what typical purchasers in the market have paid for assets which can be considered reasonably similar to those being valued. When the market approach is utilized, data are collected on the prices paid for reasonably comparable assets. Adjustments are made to the similar assets to compensate for differences between reasonably similar assets and the asset being valued. The application of the market approach results in an estimate of the price reasonably expected to be realized from the sale of the subject asset.

The two methodologies were weighted 80.0% toward the income approach and 20.0% toward the market approach, to arrive at an overall fair value. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair value of our reporting units exceeded their carrying value. At such dates, we evaluated whether any triggering events or changes in circumstances had occurred subsequent to our annual impairment test that would indicate an impairment condition may exist. This evaluation required significant judgment, including consideration of whether there had been any significant adverse changes in legal factors or in our business climate, adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, loss of key personnel or likely sale or disposal of all or a significant portion of a reporting unit. Based upon this evaluation, we concluded that there had not been any triggering events or changes in circumstances that indicated an impairment condition existed at either December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Although we satisfied Step One by a fair margin for each reporting unit tested certain underlying assumptions and variables could greatly impact the results of future tests.

On a macro-economic level, we believe that over the next few years, several trends are expected to continue to adversely affect the gaming industry. The most significant trends include (i) delayed development of new construction; (ii) increased bankruptcy filings; and (iii) decreased consolidation. The impact of the weakening economy, credit crunch, and general outlook of the casino resort industry is illustrated through the recent trend of abandoned casino projects. Bankruptcy has served as a deterrent to deals because of the large decline in cash flow as well as significant increases in leverage. Debt to EBITDA ratios for public companies has nearly doubled overall in the past few years, indicating that such a drastic increase shows the inability to service debt. Although we cannot control or influence the impact of these factors from a fair valuation perspective, they could nonetheless have a material effect on the results of valuation, particularly the guideline company method under the market approach, in the future.

Additionally, several of the assumptions underlying the discounted cash flow method under the income approach could pose a high degree of sensitivity to the resulting fair value. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following: total revenue, depreciation expense, depreciation overhang, tax expense and effective rates, debt-free net working capital, capital additions,

Table of Contents

terminal year growth factor, discount rate and the capitalization rate. A change in any of these variables that cause our undiscounted cash flows or terminal value or both to adversely and materially change would result in the failure of the Step One test, and a resulting impairment of our goodwill in an amount up to its book value of \$694.9 million.

Determination of Self-Insured Reserves

The Company is fully self-insured for general liability costs and self-insured for workers' compensation costs up to a stop loss limit of \$0.5 million. Self-insurance reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, ("Case Reserves") as well as accruals of estimates for claims incurred but not yet reported ("IBNR"). Case reserves represent estimated liability for unpaid loss, based on a claims administrator's estimates of future payments on individual reported claims, including Loss Adjustment Expenses ("LAE"). Generally, LAE includes claims settlement costs directly assigned to specific claims, such as legal fees. We estimate case and LAE reserves on a combined basis, but do not include claim administration costs in our estimated ultimate loss reserves. IBNR reserves include the provision for unreported claims, changes in case reserves, and future payments on reopened claims.

We have relied upon an industry-based method to establish our self-insurance reserves, which projects the ultimate losses estimated by multiplying the exposures by a selected ultimate loss rate. The selected ultimate loss rates were determined based on a review of ultimate loss rates for prior years, adjusted for loss and exposure trend, and benefit level changes. We believe this method best provides an appropriate result, given the maturing experience and relative stabilization of our claims history. In previous years, and in certain instances, loss rates were based on industry Loss Development Factors ("LDFs"). Industry LDFs are from various national sources for workers compensation and general liability claims, and we utilize the most recent information available, although there is some lag time between compilation and publishing of such reports, during which unfavorable trends or data could emerge, which would not be reflected in our reserves.

For workers' compensation, using payroll by state as weights, we calculate a weighted average industry LDF; for general liability claims, we use gross revenues as weights, and apply to a weighted average Industry LDF to yield an initial expectation of the ultimate loss amount. The paid LDFs are used to determine the percentage of the expected ultimate loss that is expected to be unpaid as of the reserving date. This future unpaid percentage is multiplied by the expected ultimate losses to derive the expected future paid losses. As a loss year matures, the expected future paid losses are replaced by actual paid losses.

In the computation of workers' compensation claims, we exclude any claim which has reached our stop loss limitation; and therefore, we do not include any allowance for expected recoverable from excess or reinsurance. We are, however, contingently liable in the event such reinsurer cannot meet its obligations. Although we place this risk with insurers rated better than A with AM Best, a national insurance company rating agency, there can be no assurance that such reinsurer will be able to meet their obligations in the future. At December 31, 2012, unpaid case reserves on claims in excess of \$0.5 million, which we have subrogated to the reinsurer, totaled less than \$0.2 million.

In estimating our reserves for unpaid losses, it is also necessary to project future loss payments. Actual future losses will not develop exactly as projected and may, in fact, vary significantly from the projections. Further, the projections make no provision for future emergence of new classes of losses or types of losses not sufficiently represented in our historical database or that are not yet quantifiable. Additionally, our results are estimates based on long term averages. Actual loss experience in any given year may differ from what is suggested by these averages. The sensitivity of key variables and assumptions in the analysis was considered. Key variables and assumptions include (but are not limited to) loss development factors, trend factors and the expected loss rates/ratios used. It is possible that reasonable alternative selections would produce materially different reserve estimates.

Management believes the estimates of future liability are reasonable based upon this methodology; however, changes in key variables and assumptions used above, or generally in health care costs, accident frequency and severity could

materially affect the estimate for these reserves.

Provisions for Deferred Tax Assets, Certain Tax Liabilities and Uncertain Tax Positions

Income taxes are recorded under the asset and liability method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and attributable to operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the need to establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets is assessed periodically based on more-likely-than-not realization threshold. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with the usability of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards before expiration, and tax planning alternatives.

Table of Contents

The Company's income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and other tax authorities in the locations where it operates. The Company assesses potentially unfavorable outcomes of such examinations based on accounting standards for uncertain income taxes, which prescribe a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements.

We recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits of the position, that the tax position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of any related appeals or litigation. The tax benefits recognized in the consolidated financial statements from such a position are measured as the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution.

We have established contingency reserves for material, known tax exposures. Our tax reserves reflect management's judgment as to the resolution of the issues involved if subject to judicial review. While we believe our reserves are adequate to cover reasonably expected tax risks, there can be no assurance that, in all instances, an issue raised by a taxing authority will be resolved at a financial cost that does not exceed its related reserve. With respect to these reserves, our income tax expense would include (i) any changes in tax reserves arising from material changes during the period in the facts and circumstances (i.e., new information) surrounding a tax issue and (ii) any difference from our tax position as recorded in the financial statements and the final resolution of a tax issue during the period.

Our balance for uncertain tax benefits as of December 31, 2012 was \$38.4 million. While we believe that our reserves are adequate to cover reasonably expected tax risks, in the event that the ultimate resolution of our uncertain tax positions differ from our estimates, we may be exposed to material increases in income tax expense, which could materially impact our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and certain regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of such proposed standards, we have not yet determined the effect, if any, that the implementation of such proposed standards would have on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update 2012-02 Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment ("Update 2011-02")

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Update 2012-02 which is an amendment to Topic 350-30 of the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").

The objective of Update 2012-02 is to amend ASC 350-30 is to reduce the cost and complexity of performing an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and to improve consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The guidance permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value to its carrying value. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, the entity must record an impairment.

Update 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, although early adoption is permitted. In September 2012, the Company adopted Update 2012-02. Update 2012-02 will not have a material impact on the computation of the impairment of indefinite-lived intangible

assets.

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk, specifically long-term U.S. treasury rates and the applicable spreads in the high-yield investment market, short-term and long-term LIBOR rates, and short-term Eurodollar rates, and their potential impact on our long-term debt. We attempt to limit our exposure to interest rate risk by managing the mix of our long-term fixed-rate borrowings and short-term borrowings under ours and Borgata's bank credit facilities.

Borrowings under Boyd's Credit Facility are based upon, at our option, LIBOR or the "base rate," plus an applicable margin in either case. The "base rate" under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%. Pursuant to the Credit Facility, (i) at any time and to the extent that the Incremental Term Loan is a Eurodollar Rate Loan, the Incremental Term Loan shall bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof for each Interest Period at a rate per annual equal to the "effective Eurodollar

Table of Contents

Rate” for such period plus 4.75%, and (ii) at any time and to the extent that the Incremental Term Loan is a Base Rate Committed Loan, the Incremental Term Loan shall bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate per annum equal to the Base Rate for such Interest Period plus 3.75%. The applicable margin is a percentage per annum determined in accordance with a specified pricing grid based on the total leverage ratio. The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the extended revolving facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate).

Borrowings under Peninsula Gaming's Credit Facility consist of the Term Loan and the Revolver. The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the Term Loan is (i) 4.50% (if using LIBOR), or 3.50% (if using the base rate). The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the Revolver is (i) 4.00% (if using LIBOR) or 3.00% (if using the base rate). In addition, Peninsula Gaming will incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Facility at a per annum rate of 0.50%. The “base rate” under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one-month period plus 1.00%.

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, accrue interest at a rate based upon either: (i) the highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, and (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 1.50%, and in any event not less than 1.50% (such highest rate, the “base rate”), or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each of clause (i) and (ii) an applicable margin as provided in the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, ranging from 0.50% per annum to 1.00% per annum.

Historically, we have attempted to manage the impact of interest rate risk on Boyd's long-term debt by utilizing derivative financial instruments in accordance with established policies and procedures. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company previously entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap arrangements in order to manage interest rate risk relating to its Credit Facility. We were a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million, whereby we received payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and made payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. These interest rate swap agreements modified the Company's exposure to interest rate risk by synthetically converting a portion of the Company's floating rate debt to a fixed rate. The interest rate swap agreements terminated on June 30, 2011.

The following table provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, including debt obligations. For our debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity dates. The weighted-average variable rates are based upon prevailing interest rates.

Table of Contents

The scheduled maturities of our long-term debt outstanding for the years ending December 31 are as follows.

	Expected Maturity Date Year Ending December 31,						Total	Fair Value
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter		
(In thousands, except percentages)								
Boyd Gaming Corporation								
Debt								
Long-term debt								
(including current portion):								
Fixed-rate	\$10,341	\$215,668	\$—	\$240,750	\$—	\$850,000	\$1,316,759	\$1,447,574
Average interest rate	8.3	% 7.2	% 7.2	% 9.1	% 9.1	% 9.1	% 8.3	%
Variable-rate	\$42,500	\$42,500	\$1,389,850	\$—	\$—	\$147,800	\$1,622,650	\$1,508,516
Average interest rate	4.2	% 4.2	% 4.2	% 4.2	% 4.2	% 4.2	% 4.2	%
Peninsula Gaming								
Long-term debt								
(including current portion):								
Fixed-rate	\$479	\$12	\$3	\$—	\$—	\$350,000	\$350,494	\$368,215
Average interest rate	8.4	% 8.4	% 8.4	% 8.4	% 8.4	% 8.4	% 8.4	%
Variable-rate	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$8,250	\$821,400	\$—	\$854,400	\$868,838
Average interest rate	5.7	% 5.7	% 5.7	% 5.7	% 5.7	% —	% 5.7	%
Borgata Debt								
Long-term debt								
(including current portion):								
Fixed-rate	\$—	\$—	\$398,000	\$—	\$—	\$393,500	\$791,500	\$776,100
Average interest rate	9.7	% 9.7	% 9.7	% 9.9	% 9.9	% 9.9	% 9.8	%
Variable-rate	\$—	\$20,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$20,000	\$20,000
Average interest rate	4.9	% 4.9	% —	% —	% —	% —	% 4.9	%

As of December 31, 2012, Boyd, Peninsula Gaming, and Borgata's long-term variable-rate borrowings represented approximately 50.2%, 70.9%, and 2.5%, of total long-term debt, respectively. Based on December 31, 2012 debt

levels, a 100 basis point change in LIBOR or the base rate would cause the annual interest costs to change by approximately \$14.8 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.2 million for Boyd, Peninsula Gaming and Borgata respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table provides other information about our long-term debt at December 31, 2012.

	December 31, 2012				
	Outstanding Face Amount (In thousands)		Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt					
Bank credit facility	\$1,474,850		\$1,466,635	\$1,508,516	Level 2
9.125% senior notes due 2018	500,000		492,680	523,995	Level 1
9.00% Senior Notes due 2020	350,000		350,000	347,158	Level 1
6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014	215,668		215,668	216,460	Level 1
7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016	240,750		240,750	236,537	Level 1
Other	158,141		125,475	123,424	Level 3
Total Boyd Gaming Debt	2,939,409		2,891,208	2,956,090	
Peninsula Gaming Financing					
Bank credit facility	854,400	—	854,400	868,838	Level 2
8.375% senior notes due 2018	350,000	350,000,000	350,000	367,721	Level 1
Other	494		491	494	
Total Peninsula Gaming Debt	1,204,894		1,204,891	1,237,053	
Borgata Debt					
Borgata bank credit facility	20,000		20,000	20,000	Level 2
9.50% senior secured notes due 2015	398,000		389,547	402,275	Level 1
9.875% senior secured notes due 2018	393,500		383,777	373,825	Level 1
Total Borgata Debt	811,500		793,324	796,100	
Total long-term debt	\$4,955,803		\$4,889,423	\$4,989,243	

The estimated fair value of Boyd, and Peninsula Gaming's credit facility is based on a relative value analysis performed on or about December 31, 2012. The estimated fair value of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, at December 31, 2012 approximates its carrying value due to the short-term maturities and variable pricing of the Eurodollar loans comprising the Borgata bank credit facility. The estimated fair values of Boyd's senior subordinated and senior notes, Peninsula Gaming's senior notes, and Borgata's senior secured notes are based on quoted market prices as of December 31, 2012. Debt included in the "Other" category is fixed-rate debt that is due March 2013 and is not traded and does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated its fair value based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this Item is contained in Part IV, Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K under Financial Statements. The audited consolidated financial statements for Marina District Development Company, LLC, d.b.a. Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa, our 50% joint venture in Atlantic City, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are included in Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as filed with the SEC on March 5, 2011.

ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no changes in or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosures during the two years in the period ended December 31, 2012.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

96

Table of Contents

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"). Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on the evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we include a report of management's assessment of the design and effectiveness of our internal controls as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Our independent registered public accounting firm also reported on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. Management's report and the independent registered public accounting firm's attestation report are located below.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the most recent fiscal year, December 31, 2012, based on the framework set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties from three different states including Kansas, Iowa and Louisiana. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. However, we have elected to exclude Peninsula Gaming from the scope of our report on internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. The financial position of Peninsula Gaming represented approximately 25.3% of our total assets at December 31, 2012, and its results of operations increased our net revenues by 2.3% and decreased our operating loss by 0.6%, during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Based on our evaluation under the framework set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012, the end of our most recent fiscal year.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, which report follows below.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Boyd Gaming Corporation and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Boyd Gaming Corporation and Subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Peninsula Gaming, LLC (“Peninsula Gaming”), which was acquired on November 20, 2012. The financial position of Peninsula Gaming represents approximately 25.3% of the Company’s total assets at December 31, 2012, and its results of operations increased the Company’s net revenues by 2.3% and decreased its operating loss by 0.6%, during the year ended December 31, 2012. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting for Peninsula Gaming. The Company’s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company’s Board of Directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the

Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada
March 16, 2013

98

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information required by this item regarding the members of our board of directors and our audit committee, including our audit committee financial expert, is set forth under the captions Board Committees - Audit Committee, Director Nominees, and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this item regarding non-director executive officers of the Company is set forth in Item 4A of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Code of Ethics. We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (“Code of Ethics”) that applies to each of our directors, executive officers and employees. Our Code of Ethics is posted on our website at www.boydgaming.com.

Any waivers or amendments to our Code of Ethics will be posted on our website.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is set forth under the captions Executive Officer and Director Compensation, Compensation and Stock Option Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation and Stock Option Committee Report in our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is set forth under the captions Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information in our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is set forth under the captions Transactions with Related Persons and Director Independence in our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information about principal accounting fees and services, as well as the audit committee's pre-approval policies appears under the captions Audit and Non-Audit Fees and Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services in our Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits Financial Statement Schedules

Table of Contents

	Page No.
1. Financial Statements.	
The following consolidated financial statements for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 are filed as part of this Report:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>102</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>110</u>

The accompanying audited consolidated financial statements of Boyd Gaming Corporation (and together with its subsidiaries, the “Company,” “we” or “us”) have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-K and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and include all information and footnote disclosures necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”).

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Boyd Gaming Corporation and Subsidiaries:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Boyd Gaming Corporation and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Boyd Gaming Corporation and Subsidiaries as at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada
March 16, 2013

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
as of December 31, 2012 and 2011

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands except per share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 192,828	\$ 178,756
Restricted cash	22,900	15,753
Accounts receivable, net	62,040	58,589
Inventories	18,618	17,493
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	48,709	47,465
Income taxes receivable	2,875	3,268
Deferred income taxes and current tax assets	7,623	21,570
Total current assets	355,593	342,894
Property and equipment, net	3,624,988	3,542,108
Assets held for development	331,770	1,089,819
Debt financing costs, net	85,468	32,099
Restricted investments held by variable interest entity	21,382	21,367
Other assets, net	98,425	67,173
Intangible assets, net	1,119,638	574,018
Goodwill, net	694,929	213,576
Total assets	\$ 6,332,193	\$ 5,883,054
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 61,570	\$ 43,230
Accounts payable	91,210	98,015
Accrued liabilities	364,542	295,459
Deferred income taxes and income taxes payable	8,129	5,630
Current maturities of non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	225,113	29,686
Total current liabilities	750,564	472,020
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	4,827,853	3,347,226
Deferred income taxes	139,943	379,958
Other long-term tax liabilities	43,457	45,598
Other liabilities	103,249	71,193
Non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	—	192,980
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 86,871,977 and 86,572,098 shares outstanding	869	863
Additional paid-in capital	655,694	644,174
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(351,810)) 557,055
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(962)) —
Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity	303,791	1,202,092
Noncontrolling interest	163,336	171,987
Total stockholders' equity	467,127	1,374,079

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$6,332,193	\$5,883,054
--	-------------	-------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
REVENUES			
Operating revenues:			
Gaming	\$2,110,233	\$1,986,644	\$1,812,487
Food and beverage	417,506	388,148	347,588
Room	264,903	246,209	211,046
Other	145,460	135,176	123,603
Gross revenues	2,938,102	2,756,177	2,494,724
Less promotional allowances	450,676	419,939	353,825
Net revenues	2,487,426	2,336,238	2,140,899
COST AND EXPENSES			
Operating costs and expenses:			
Gaming	1,011,064	924,451	859,818
Food and beverage	219,921	200,165	180,840
Room	55,531	56,111	49,323
Other	111,075	108,907	99,458
Selling, general and administrative	452,926	394,991	369,217
Maintenance and utilities	155,016	153,512	140,722
Depreciation and amortization	214,332	195,343	199,275
Corporate expense	50,719	48,962	48,861
Preopening expense	11,541	6,634	7,459
Impairments of assets	1,053,526	6,051	—
Other operating items, net	6,650	8,007	4,713
Total operating costs and expenses	3,342,301	2,103,134	1,959,686
Operating income from Borgata	—	—	8,146
Operating income (loss)	(854,875)	233,104	189,359
Other expense (income):			
Interest income	(1,169)	(46)	(5)
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	290,004	250,731	180,558
Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	—	265	480
(Gain) loss on early retirements of debt	—	14	(2,758)
Gain on equity distribution	—	—	(2,535)
Other income	137	(11,582)	(10,000)
Other non-operating expenses	—	—	3,133
Total other expense, net	288,972	239,382	168,873
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,143,847)	(6,278)	20,486
Income taxes	220,772	(1,721)	(8,236)
Net income (loss)	(923,075)	(7,999)	12,250
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	14,210	4,145	(1,940)
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(908,865)	\$(3,854)	\$10,310
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$(10.37)	\$(0.04)	\$0.12
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	87,652	87,263	86,601
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$(10.37)	\$(0.04)	\$0.12

Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	87,652	87,263	86,831
---	--------	--------	--------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (923,075)	\$ (7,999)	\$ 12,250
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:			
Fair value of derivative instruments, net	5,539	11,562	6,416
Fair value of adjustments to available-for-sale securities	(962)	—	—
Comprehensive income (loss)	(918,498)	3,563	18,666
Less: other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	5,539	3,968	(4,116)
Less: net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	(14,210)	(4,145)	1,940
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$ (909,827)	\$ 3,740	\$ 20,842

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Boyd Gaming Corporation Stockholders' Equity						
	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings/ (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Stockholders' Equity
	(In thousands, except share data)						
Balances, January 1, 2010	86,130,454	\$861	\$623,035	\$550,599	\$(18,126)	\$—	\$1,156,369
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	10,310	—	1,940	12,250
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	10,532	(4,116)	6,416
Stock options exercised	114,524	1	669	—	—	—	670
Share-based compensation costs	—	—	11,324	—	—	—	11,324
Noncontrolling interest attributable to Borgata	—	—	—	—	—	219,256	219,256
Noncontrolling interest attributable to LVE	—	—	—	—	—	(44,916)	(44,916)
Balances, December 31, 2010	86,244,978	862	635,028	560,909	(7,594)	172,164	1,361,369
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	(3,854)	—	(4,145)	(7,999)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	7,594	3,968	11,562
Stock options exercised	72,757	1	396	—	—	—	397
Release of restricted stock units	254,363	—	(383)	—	—	—	(383)
Tax effect of share-based compensation arrangements	—	—	(863)	—	—	—	(863)
Share-based compensation costs	—	—	9,996	—	—	—	9,996
Balances, December 31, 2011	86,572,098	863	644,174	557,055	—	171,987	1,374,079
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	(908,865)	—	(14,210)	(923,075)
Capital investment attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	20	20
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	5,539	5,539
Unrealized loss on investment available for sale	—	—	—	—	(962)	—	(962)

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Stock options exercised	16,835	—	117	—	—	—	117
Release of restricted stock units, net of tax	283,044	3	(252)	—	—	—	(249)
Tax effect from share-based compensation arrangements	—	—	(586)	—	—	—	(586)
Share-based compensation costs	—	—	12,247	—	—	—	12,247
Other	—	3	(6)	—	—	—	(3)
Balances, December 31, 2012	86,871,977	\$869	\$655,694	\$ (351,810)	\$ (962)	\$ 163,336	\$ 467,127

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$(923,075)	\$(7,999)	\$12,250
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	214,332	195,343	199,275
Amortization of debt financing costs	21,616	11,853	5,369
Amortization of discounts on debt	3,716	3,390	1,294
Share-based compensation expense	12,247	9,996	11,324
Deferred income taxes	(218,594)	(2,381)	6,284
Operating and non-operating income from Borgata	—	—	(5,013)
Distributions of earnings received from Borgata	—	—	1,910
Gain on equity distribution	—	—	(2,535)
Noncash asset write-downs	1,053,526	7,764	—
Gain on insurance settlement	(7,098)	—	—
Gain on insurance subrogation settlement	(7,694)	—	—
(Gain) loss on early retirements of debt	—	14	(2,758)
Bargain purchase gain	—	(4,582)	—
Other operating activities	8,959	8,392	(5,635)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	(3,858)	3,741	(3,326)
Accounts receivable, net	9,475	(11,794)	(3,808)
Inventories	575	114	(519)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,192	(3,673)	(3,371)
Income taxes receivable	450	2,010	15,658
Other long-term tax assets	(12,537)	6,601	(4,725)
Other assets, net	1,065	(2,839)	(3,038)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(12,385)	42,910	36,934
Income taxes	—	(5,905)	805
Other long-term tax liabilities	601	5,815	2,305
Other liabilities	(6,068)	(5,260)	10,711
Net cash provided by operating activities	142,445	253,510	269,391
Cash Flows from Investing Activities			
Capital expenditures	(125,974)	(87,224)	(75,958)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received	(1,324,198)	(278,456)	—
Cash paid to acquire development agreement	—	(24,450)	—
Net cash effect upon change in controlling interest in Borgata	—	—	26,025
Net cash effect upon consolidation of variable interest entity	—	—	41
Change in restricted investments	—	26,801	(1,131)
Other investing activities	15,013	542	2,146
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,435,159)	(362,787)	(48,877)

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - Continued
for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Cash Flows from Financing Activities			
Borrowings under bank credit facility	787,100	391,329	758,774
Payments under bank credit facility	(951,250)	(183,579)	(1,250,674)
Borrowings under Peninsula bank credit facility	871,100	—	—
Payments against Peninsula bank credit facility	(16,700)	—	—
Borrowings under Borgata bank credit facility	632,700	741,300	533,673
Payments under Borgata bank credit facility	(652,900)	(762,000)	(1,105,062)
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes, net	700,000	—	490,000
Proceeds from issuance of Borgata senior secured notes, net	—	—	773,176
Debt financing costs, net	(65,083)	(15,374)	(27,057)
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	—	(8,198)	(187,693)
Payments under note payable	—	—	(46,875)
Payments on non-recourse debt of variable interest entity	—	(27,000)	—
Proceeds from issuance of non-recourse debt by variable interest entity	3,374	7,199	18,091
Payments on loans to variable interest entity's members	(928)	(592)	(1,194)
Distributions from Borgata	—	—	(123,422)
Other financing activities	(627)	(675)	170
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,306,786	142,410	(168,093)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	14,072	33,133	52,421
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	178,756	145,623	93,202
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$192,828	\$178,756	\$145,623
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information			
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$239,871	\$233,043	129,070
Cash paid (received) for income taxes, net of refunds	492	4,946	(9,661)
Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities			
Payables incurred for capital expenditures	\$15,810	\$6,324	\$8,798
Increase (decrease) in fair value of derivative instruments	—	11,931	17,742
Increase in term loan under Credit Facility	—	350,000	—
Extinguishment of previous Borgata credit facility with advance from new Borgata credit facility	—	—	73,010
Fair Value of Peninsula Gaming Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed			
Accounts receivable, net	\$6,217	\$—	\$—
Inventories	1,839	—	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	40,554	—	—
Property and equipment, net	430,093	—	—
Intangible assets	577,501	—	—
Other assets	49,339	—	—
Fair value of assets acquired, net of cash received	\$1,105,543	\$—	\$—

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Accounts payable	\$19,231	\$—	\$—
Accrued liabilities	48,165	—	—
Obligations under assessment arrangements	26,444	—	—
Other liabilities	15,919	—	—
Fair value of liabilities assumed	109,759	—	—
Fair value of net assets	\$995,784	\$—	\$—

107

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS — (Continued)
for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Fair Value of IP Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed			
Accounts receivable, net	\$—	\$1,230	\$—
Inventories	—	1,579	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	6,638	—
Property and equipment, net	—	264,703	—
Intangible assets	—	28,600	—
Fair value of assets acquired, net of cash received	\$—	\$302,750	\$—
Accounts payable	\$—	\$3,018	\$—
Accrued liabilities	—	14,182	—
Deferred income taxes	—	2,512	—
Fair value of liabilities assumed	—	19,712	—
Fair value of net assets	\$—	\$283,038	\$—
Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed Under Development Agreement			
Intangible assets	\$—	\$21,373	\$—
Note receivable	—	3,077	—
Fair value of assets acquired	\$—	\$24,450	\$—
Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Consolidated (net of Cash Recorded) Due to Change in Controlling Interest of Borgata			
Accounts receivable, net	\$—	\$—	\$29,099
Inventories	—	—	4,118
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	—	9,201
Deferred income taxes	—	—	1,290
Property and equipment, net	—	—	1,293,792
Intangible assets	—	—	14,000
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	—	—	65,000
Other assets, net	—	—	36,641
Fair value of assets consolidated	\$—	\$—	\$1,453,141
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$—	\$—	\$632,289
Accounts payable	—	—	8,729
Income taxes payable	—	—	7,579
Accrued liabilities	—	—	66,854
Other liabilities	—	—	40,204
Fair value of liabilities assumed	\$—	\$—	\$755,655

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS — (Continued)
 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Assets and Liabilities Consolidated (net of Cash Recorded) Due to Consolidation of Variable Interest Entity			
Accounts receivable, net	\$—	\$—	\$1,351
Assets held for development	—	—	163,806
Debt financing costs, net	—	—	3,647
Restricted investments	—	—	48,168
Total assets consolidated, net of cash	\$—	\$—	\$216,972
Accounts payable	\$—	\$—	\$393
Accrued liabilities	—	—	1,040
Obligations of variable interest entity	—	—	243,059
Other liabilities	—	—	19,904
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	(47,092)
Total liabilities and noncontrolling interests consolidated	\$—	\$—	\$217,304

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization

Boyd Gaming Corporation (and together with its subsidiaries, the "Company," "Boyd Gaming," "we" or "us") was incorporated in the state of Nevada in 1988 and has been operating since 1973. The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BYD".

We are a diversified operator of 21 wholly owned gaming entertainment properties and one controlling interest in a limited liability company. Headquartered in Las Vegas, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Louisiana, Mississippi, Indiana, Kansas, Iowa and New Jersey which we aggregate in order to present the following five reportable segments:

Las Vegas Locals

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
The Orleans Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Las Vegas, Nevada
Suncoast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Eldorado Casino	Henderson, Nevada
Jokers Wild Casino	Henderson, Nevada

Downtown Las Vegas

California Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Fremont Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel	Las Vegas, Nevada

Midwest and South

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Tunica, Mississippi
IP Casino Resort Spa	Biloxi, Mississippi
Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino	East Peoria, Illinois
Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa	Michigan City, Indiana
Treasure Chest Casino	Kenner, Louisiana
Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel	Vinton, Louisiana
Sam's Town Hotel and Casino	Shreveport, Louisiana

Peninsula Gaming

Diamond Jo	Dubuque, Iowa
Diamond Jo Worth	Northwood, Iowa
Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino	Opelousas, Louisiana
Amelia Belle Casino	Amelia, Louisiana
Kansas Star Casino	Mulvane, Kansas

Atlantic City

Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa	Atlantic City, New Jersey
----------------------------	---------------------------

Hawaiian Operations

In addition to these properties, we own and operate a travel agency in Hawaii, that operates our Hawaiian charter and a captive insurance company, also in Hawaii, that underwrites travel-related insurance. Results for our travel agency

and our captive insurance company are included in our Downtown Las Vegas segment, as our Downtown Las Vegas properties concentrate significant marketing efforts on gaming customers from Hawaii.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Dania Jai-Alai

We also own and operate Dania Jai-Alai, which is a pari-mutuel jai-alai facility with approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida. The results of Dania Jai-Alai are included as part of the “Other” category in our segment information. As discussed in Note 24, Subsequent Events, on February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment Center, LLC (“Dania Entertainment”) entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the “New Dania Agreement”) for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the New Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

Echelon Development

Additionally, we owned 87 acres of land on the Las Vegas Strip, where our multibillion dollar Echelon development project (“Echelon”) was to be located. On August 1, 2008, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, we announced the delay of Echelon. We originally expected to resume development in the project in three to five years. However, as discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 24, Subsequent Events, in December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Genting Assets, Inc. (“Genting”) to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE Energy Partners, LLC (“LVE”). See “Development Project-Central Energy Facility.” The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$157 million of net proceeds after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Basis of Presentation

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming, LLC

On November 20, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming, LLC (“Peninsula Gaming”) pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities of Peninsula Gaming. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items, was approximately \$1.48 billion. The acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Acquisition of IP

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa (“IP”) in Biloxi, Mississippi pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities, respectively, related to the IP, on an as-is basis. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items, was approximately \$280.6 million.

The financial position of IP is included in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; its results of its operations for the full year ended December 31, 2012 and the period from October 4 through December 31, 2011 are included in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31,

2012, and 2011.

Effective Control of Borgata

On March 24, 2010, as a result of the amendment to our operating agreement with MGM Resorts International (the successor in interest to MGM MIRAGE) ("MGM") (our original 50% partner in Borgata), which provided, among other things, for the termination of MGM's participating rights in the operations of Borgata, we effectively obtained control of Borgata. The amendment to the operating agreement was related to MGM's divestiture of its interest pursuant to a regulatory settlement, as discussed further in Note 3, Consolidation of Certain Interests. This resulting change in control required acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for business combinations. As a result, we measured our previously held equity interest at a provisional fair value as of March 24, 2010, the date of effective control.

The financial position of Borgata is included in our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011; its results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the period from March 24 through December 31, 2010 are included in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Prior period amounts were not restated or recasted as a result of this change. We recorded the noncontrolling interest held in trust for the economic benefit of MGM as a separate component of our stockholders' equity. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximately \$1.39 billion and \$1.44 billion, respectively, of our consolidated total assets are related to Borgata.

111

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entity

LVE is a joint venture between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC. We had entered into an Energy Sales Agreement (the "ESA") with LVE to design, build, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon) and operate a district energy system and central energy center for our planned Echelon resort development. The ESA with LVE provides electricity, emergency electricity generation, and chilled and hot water to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties.

New consolidation guidance regarding the variable interest model became effective on January 1, 2010. Under this new qualitative model, the primary beneficiary is identified as the variable interest holder that has both the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. The primary beneficiary is required to consolidate the variable interest entity unless specific exceptions or exclusions are met. The authoritative literature on consolidations provides guidance related to variable interest entities.

a qualitative approach for identifying the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity based on (i) the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity, and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could be significant to the entity;

ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity; and separate disclosure by the primary beneficiary on the face of the balance sheet to identify (i) assets that can only be used to settle obligations of the variable interest entity, and (ii) liabilities for which creditors do not have recourse to the primary beneficiary.

For the following quantitative and qualitative reasons, we presently believe that substantially all of LVE's activities are presently performed for our benefit. Pursuant to the terms of the ESA, we are obligated to purchase substantially all of its thermal output at a fixed and variable pricing arrangement that protects LVE from commodity risk. This agreement is long-term in duration, terming for 25 years from the commencement of the commercial operations of Echelon. Additionally, during the period of suspension, we are obligated to pay fees to LVE to subsidize the holding costs of the facility. We have a fixed price put option to purchase the assets of LVE, but have no future obligation to absorb any operating losses or otherwise provide financial support, except as contractually provided as described above. We do not hold any equity interest in LVE and have not guaranteed any of its outstanding debt obligations, nor would such debt have recourse to any of our lenders, note holders or general creditors.

This guidance required us to consolidate LVE for financial statement purposes, as we determined that we are presently the primary beneficiary of the executory contract, the ESA, giving rise to the variable interest.

LVE began construction of the facility in 2007 but suspended construction in January 2009, after our announcement of the delay of Echelon. On April 3, 2009, LVE notified us that, in its view, Echelon would be in breach of the ESA unless it recommences and proceeds with construction of the Echelon development project by May 6, 2009.

On March 7, 2011, Echelon and LVE entered into both a purchase option agreement (the "Purchase Option Agreement") and a periodic fee Agreement (the "Periodic Fee Agreement"). LVE had agreed not to initiate any litigation with respect to its April 3, 2009 claim of an alleged breach of the ESA and both Echelon and LVE have mutually agreed that neither LVE nor Echelon would give notice of, file or otherwise initiate any claim or cause of action, in or before any court, administrative agency, arbitrator, mediator or other tribunal, that arises under the ESA, subject to certain exceptions, and any statute of limitations or limitation periods for defenses, claims, causes of actions and counterclaims shall be tolled while the Periodic Fee Agreement is in effect. Under the Periodic Fee Agreement, Echelon had agreed to pay LVE, beginning March 4, 2011, a monthly periodic fee (the "Periodic Fee") and an operation and maintenance fee until Echelon either (i) resumed construction of the project or (ii) exercised its option to purchase LVE's assets pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Option Agreement. The amount of the Periodic Fee was fixed at \$11.9 million annually through November 2013. Thereafter, the amount of the Periodic Fee was to be approximately \$10.8 million annually. The operation and maintenance fee cannot exceed \$0.6 million per annum without Echelon's prior approval.

On January 27, 2013, the Purchase Option Agreement was amended. Under the Amended Purchase Option Agreement, Echelon Resorts LLC ("Echelon Resorts") had the right, at its sole discretion, upon written notice to LVE, to purchase the assets of LVE including the central energy center and related distribution system for a price of \$187.0 million, subject to certain possible adjustments. Both the ESA and the Periodic Fee Agreement would have been terminated concurrent with the purchase of the LVE

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

assets pursuant to the Purchase Option Agreement, as amended.

On March 1, 2013, as part of the sale of the Echelon site, we entered into a definitive agreement with LVE to permit Genting to acquire LVE's power plant improvements on the Echelon site. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013 and Genting paid LVE \$187.0 million at the closing.

Other Investments

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates, which are less than 50% owned and do not meet the consolidation criteria of the authoritative accounting guidance for voting interest, controlling interest or variable interest entities, are accounted for under the equity method.

Intercompany Transactions

All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at their date of purchase, and are on deposit with high credit quality financial institutions. Although these balances may at times exceed the federal insured deposit limit, we believe such risk is mitigated by the quality of the institution holding such deposit. The carrying values of these instruments approximate their fair values as such balances are generally available on demand.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists primarily of advance payments related to: (i) future bookings with our Hawaiian travel agency; and (ii) amounts restricted by regulation for racing purposes at Delta Downs and Evangeline Downs. Certain of these restricted cash balances are invested in highly liquid instruments with a maturity of 90 days or less.

Accounts Receivable, net

Accounts receivable consist primarily of casino, hotel and other receivables. Accounts receivable are typically non-interest bearing and are initially recorded at cost. Accounts are written off when management deems the account to be uncollectible, based upon historical collection experience, the age of the receivable and other relevant economic factors. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained to reduce our receivables to their carrying amount. As a result, the net carrying value approximates fair value.

The activity comprising our allowance for doubtful accounts during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
		(In thousands)	
Beginning balance, January 1	\$28,491	\$26,514	\$4,169
Additions due to consolidation of Borgata	—	—	24,212
Additions due to acquisition of IP	—	2,072	—
Additions	1,549	3,864	2,766
Deductions	(4,347)	(3,959)	(4,633)
Ending balance	\$25,693	\$28,491	\$26,514

Management does not believe that any significant concentrations of credit risk existed as of December 31, 2012.

Inventories

Inventories consist primarily of food and beverage and retail items and are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the weighted-average inventory method.

Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives

113

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

of the assets or, for leasehold improvements, over the shorter of the asset's useful life or term of the lease.

The estimated useful lives of our major components of property and equipment are:

Building and improvements	10 through 40 years
Riverboats and barges	10 through 40 years
Furniture and equipment	3 through 10 years

Gains or losses on disposals of assets are recognized as incurred. Costs of major improvements are capitalized, while costs of normal repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred.

We evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. For an asset that is to be disposed of, we recognize the asset at the lower of carrying value or fair market value, less costs of disposal, as estimated based on comparable asset sales, solicited offers, or a discounted cash flow model. For a long-lived asset to be held and used, we review the asset for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. We then compare the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of the asset to the carrying value of the asset. The asset is not impaired if the undiscounted future cash flows exceed its carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then an impairment charge is recorded, typically measured using a discounted cash flow model, which is based on the estimated future results of the relevant reporting unit discounted using our weighted-average cost of capital and market indicators of terminal year free cash flow multiples. If an asset is under development, future cash flows include remaining construction costs. All resulting recognized impairment charges are recorded as operating expenses. See Note 18, Impairments and Other Operating Items, Net, for a discussion of impairment charges related to our long-lived assets.

Assets Held for Development

The costs incurred relative to projects under development are carried at cost. Development costs clearly associated with the acquisition, development, and construction of a project are capitalized as a cost of that project, during the periods in which activities necessary to get the property ready for its intended use are in progress. Certain pre-acquisition costs, not qualifying for capitalization, are charged to preopening or other operating expense as incurred.

We evaluate our investment in assets held for development in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance on impairment or disposal of long lived assets. For a long-lived asset to be held and used, such as these assets under development, we review the asset for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. We then compare the estimated undiscounted future cash flows of the asset to the carrying value of the asset. The asset is not impaired if the undiscounted future cash flows exceed its carrying value. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted future cash flows, then an impairment charge is recorded, typically measured using a discounted cash flow model, which is based on the estimated future results of the relevant reporting unit discounted using our weighted-average cost of capital and market indicators of terminal year free cash flow multiples. For these assets under development, future cash flows include remaining construction costs.

Capitalized Interest

Interest costs associated with major construction projects are capitalized as part of the cost of the constructed assets. When no debt is incurred specifically for a project, interest is capitalized on amounts expended for the project using

our weighted-average cost of borrowing. Capitalization of interest ceases when the project (or discernible portions of the project) is substantially complete. If substantially all of the construction activities of a project are suspended, capitalization of interest will cease until such activities are resumed. Interest capitalized during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. There were no activities or expenditures which qualified for interest capitalization during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Debt Financing Costs

Debt financing costs, which include legal, and other direct costs related to the issuance of our outstanding debt, are deferred and amortized to interest expense over the contractual term of the underlying long-term debt using the effective interest method. In the event that our debt is modified, repurchased or otherwise reduced prior to its original maturity date, we ratably reduce the unamortized debt financing costs.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Restricted Investments

In accordance with the terms of the tax-exempt loan agreements, which are the obligations of LVE, unused proceeds are required to be held in escrow pending approval of construction expenditures. These investments are held in an interest-bearing account.

CRDA Investments

New Jersey state law provides, among other things, for an assessment of licensees equal to 1.25% of gross gaming revenues in lieu of an investment alternative tax equal to 2.5% of gross gaming revenues. Generally, a licensee may satisfy this investment obligation by: (i) investing in qualified eligible direct investments; (ii) making qualified contributions; or (iii) depositing funds with the New Jersey Casino Reinvestment Development Authority (“CRDA”). Funds deposited with the CRDA may be used to purchase bonds designated by the CRDA or, under certain circumstances, may be donated to the CRDA in exchange for credits against future CRDA investment obligations. Our net deposits with the CRDA, held by Borgata, eligible to be used to fund qualified investments were \$28.5 million and \$25.9 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are included in other assets, net, on our consolidated balance sheets.

Peninsula Gaming Investments

Peninsula Gaming has an investment in \$22.4 million aggregate principal amount of 7.5% Urban Renewal Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Taxable Series 2007 (“City Bonds”). This investment is classified as available-for-sale and is recorded at fair-value. The fair value at December 31, 2012 was \$17.9 million. At December 31, 2012, \$0.3 million is included as a current asset in other current assets, and \$17.6 million is included in long-term other assets, net.

Future maturities of the City Bonds, excluding the discount, at December 31, 2012 for the years ending December 31 are summarized as follows:

City Bond Maturities	(In thousands)
2013	\$ 330
2014	355
2015	380
2016	410
2017	440
Thereafter	20,520
Total	\$ 22,435

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets include customer relationships, favorable lease rates, development agreements, gaming license rights and trademarks.

Amortizing Intangible Assets

Customer relationships represent the value of repeat business associated with our customer loyalty programs. These intangible assets are being amortized on an accelerated method over their approximate useful life. Favorable lease rates represent the amount by which acquired lease rental rates are favorable to market terms. These favorable lease values are amortized over the remaining lease term, primarily on leasehold land interests, originally ranging in duration from 41 to 52 years. Development agreements are contracts between two parties establishing an agreement for development of a product or service. These agreements are amortized over the respective cash flow period of the related agreement.

Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

Trademarks are based on the value of our brands, which reflect the level of service and quality we provide and from which we generate repeat business. Gaming license rights represent the value of the license to conduct gaming in certain jurisdictions, which is subject to highly extensive regulatory oversight, and a limitation on the number of licenses available for issuance with these certain jurisdictions. These assets, considered indefinite-lived intangible assets, are not subject to amortization, but instead are subject to an annual impairment test, historically performed in the second quarter of each year, and between annual test dates in certain circumstances. If the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference. License rights are tested for impairment using a discounted cash flow approach, and trademarks are tested for impairment using the relief-from-royalty method.

115

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment test dates to October 1 to better align with the Company's annual financial planning process. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company performed annual impairment tests on defined sub-sets of its indefinite-lived intangible assets on January 1, April 1 and October 1. The January 1 and April 1 tests were performed on their respective test dates during 2012, and did not result in any impairment.

Goodwill

Goodwill is an asset representing the future economic benefits arising from other assets in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized. Goodwill is not subject to amortization, but it is subject to an annual impairment test and between annual test dates in certain circumstances.

Goodwill for relevant reporting units is tested for impairment using a weighted discounted cash flow analysis and an earnings multiple valuation technique based on the estimated future results of our reporting units discounted using our weighted-average cost of capital and market indicators of terminal year capitalization rates. The implied fair value of a reporting unit's goodwill is compared to the carrying value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to its assets and liabilities and the amount remaining, if any, is the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of the goodwill is less than its carrying value then it must be written down to its implied fair value.

In January 2012, the Company adopted accounting guidance simplifying how entities test goodwill for impairment. The guidance permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test. If it is determined the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then the entity must perform the test to measure the amount of the impairment loss, if any. An entity is no longer required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual goodwill impairment test dates to October 1. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company performed annual impairment tests on its goodwill on April 1 and October 1 for different reporting units. The change in the impairment test dates for all reporting units to October 1 did not delay, accelerate or avoid an impairment charge. The April 1 test performed during 2012 prior to the change did not result in any impairment. Management believes that the new impairment test date is preferable because it is more closely aligned with the Company's annual financial planning and budgeting process. These financial plans are a key component utilized in the annual impairment testing process. The change in the impairment test dates constitutes a change in accounting principle under ASC 250, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections," and had no impact on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations or cash flows. The Company determined it was impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods prior to October 1, 2012 without the use of hindsight. As such, the Company has prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

Slot Bonus Point Program

We have established promotional programs to encourage repeat business from frequent and active slot machine customers and patrons. Members earn points based on gaming activity and such points can be redeemed for cash,

complimentary slot play, and other free goods and services. We record bonus points redeemed for complimentary slot play as a reduction to gaming revenue and bonus points redeemed for free goods and services as promotional allowances. The accruals are based on estimates and assumptions regarding the mix of cash, complimentary slot play, and other free goods and services that will be redeemed and the costs of providing those benefits. Historical data is used to assist in the determination of the estimated accruals. The slot bonus point accrual is included in accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

Long-Term Debt, Net

Long-term debt is reported at amortized cost. Any discount and underwriting or other transaction costs paid to the initial purchasers or lenders upon issuance of our debt instruments are recorded as an adjustment to the face amount of our outstanding debt. This resulting difference between the net proceeds upon issuance and the face amount of the underlying debt is accreted to interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the underlying debt.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded under the asset and liability method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and attributable to operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the need to establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets is assessed periodically based on a more-likely-than-not realization threshold. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with the utilization of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards before expiration and tax planning strategies.

Other Long Term Tax Liabilities

The Company's income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) and other tax authorities in the locations where it operates. The Company assesses potentially unfavorable outcomes of such examinations based on accounting standards for uncertain income taxes, which prescribe a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements.

Uncertain tax position accounting standards apply to all tax positions related to income taxes. These accounting standards utilize a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition occurs when the Company concludes that a tax position, based on its technical merits, is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement is only addressed if the position is deemed to be more likely than not to be sustained. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement. Use of the term “more likely than not” indicates the likelihood of occurrence is greater than 50%.

Tax positions failing to qualify for initial recognition are recognized in the first subsequent interim period that they meet the “more likely than not” standard. If it is subsequently determined that a previously recognized tax position no longer meets the “more likely than not” standard, it is required that the tax position is derecognized. Accounting standards for uncertain tax positions specifically prohibit the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions. As applicable, the Company will recognize accrued penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes.

Self-Insurance Reserves

We are self-insured for general liability costs and self-insured up to certain stop loss amounts for employee health coverage and workers' compensation costs. Borgata is currently self-insured with respect to each catastrophe related property damage claim, non-catastrophe related property damage claim, general liability claim, and non-union employee medical case, respectively. Insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accruals of estimates for claims incurred but not yet reported. In estimating these accruals, we consider historical loss experience and make judgments about the expected levels of costs per claim. Management believes the estimates of future liability are reasonable based upon our methodology; however, changes in health care costs, accident frequency and severity and other factors could materially affect the estimate for these liabilities. Certain of these claims represent obligations to make future payments; and therefore we discount such reserves to an amount representing the present value of the claims which will be paid in the future using a blended rate, which represents the inherent risk and the average payout duration. Self-insurance reserves are included in other liabilities on

our consolidated balance sheets.

117

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Beginning balance	\$ 34,500	\$ 31,721	\$ 27,825
Additions			
Charged to costs and expenses	103,802	89,464	77,307
Due to consolidation of Borgata	—	—	15,544
Due to acquisitions	359	1,111	—
Payments made	99,998	87,796	88,955
Ending Balance	\$ 38,663	\$ 34,500	\$ 31,721

Derivative Instruments

The Company applies hedge accounting to certain derivative instruments, which is conditional upon satisfying specific documentation and performance criteria. In particular, the underlying hedged item must expose the Company to risks associated with market fluctuations and the instrument used as the hedging derivative must generate offsetting effects in prescribed magnitudes. If these criteria are not met, a change in the market value of the financial instrument and all associated settlements would be recognized as gains or losses in the period of change.

Under cash flow hedge accounting, effective derivative results are initially recorded in other comprehensive income (“OCI”) and later reclassified to earnings, coinciding with the income recognition relating to the variable interest payments being hedged (i.e., when the interest expense on the variable-rate liability is recorded in earnings). Any hedge ineffectiveness (which represents the amount by which hedge results exceed the variability in the cash flows of the forecasted transaction due to the risk being hedged) is recorded in current period earnings.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had certain derivative instruments that were not designated to qualify for hedge accounting. The periodic change in the mark-to-market of these derivative instruments was recorded in period earnings.

Derivatives are included in the consolidated balance sheets as assets or liabilities at fair value. Certain interest rate swap contract liabilities included in our consolidation of LVE are recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and all other non-stockholder changes in equity, or other comprehensive income (loss). Components of the Company's comprehensive income (loss) are reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders' equity. The cumulative balance of other comprehensive income (loss) consists of fair value adjustments related to hedged derivative instruments and unrealized gains and losses on the investment available for sale resulting from changes in fair value.

Noncontrolling Interest

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, noncontrolling interests are comprised of: (i) the 50% interest in Borgata, held in trust for the economic benefit of MGM; and (ii) all 100% of the members' equity interest in LVE, the variable interest entity, which is consolidated in our financial statements, but in which we hold no equity interest. Noncontrolling interests are reported as a separate component of our stockholders' equity in our consolidated balance sheet. Our consolidated net income is reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both us and the noncontrolling interest.

Revenue Recognition

Gaming revenue represents the net win from gaming activities, which is the aggregate difference between gaming wins and losses. The majority of our gaming revenue is counted in the form of cash and chips and therefore is not subject to any significant or complex estimation procedures. Cash discounts, commissions and other cash incentives to customers related to gaming play are recorded as a reduction of gross gaming revenues.

Race revenue recognition criteria are met at the time the results of the event are official.

118

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Room revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of occupancy.

Food and beverage revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of service.

Promotional Allowances

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as a promotional allowance. Promotional allowances also include incentives earned in our slot bonus program such as cash, complimentary play, and the estimated retail value of goods and services (such as complimentary rooms and food and beverages). We reward customers, through the use of bonus programs, with points based on amounts wagered that can be redeemed for a specified period of time, principally for complimentary play, and to a lesser extent for goods or services, depending upon the property.

The amounts included in promotional allowances for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Rooms	\$144,605	\$130,168	\$109,268
Food and beverage	191,419	175,391	159,229
Other	114,652	114,380	85,328
Total promotional allowances	\$450,676	\$419,939	\$353,825

The estimated costs of providing such promotional allowances for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Rooms	\$62,323	\$58,821	\$53,928
Food and beverage	182,138	158,881	159,617
Other	21,641	18,092	16,884
Total cost of promotional allowances	\$266,102	\$235,794	\$230,429

Gaming Taxes

We are subject to taxes based on gross gaming revenues in the jurisdictions in which we operate. These gaming taxes are assessed based on our gaming revenues and are recorded as a gaming expense in the consolidated statements of operations. These taxes totaled approximately \$270.3 million, \$258.4 million and \$256.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Advertising Expense

Direct advertising costs are expensed the first time such advertising appears. Advertising costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations and totaled \$38.3 million, \$33.1 million and \$31.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, aircraft costs and various other expenses that are not directly related to our casino hotel operations. Corporate expense totaled \$50.7 million, \$49.0 million and \$48.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Preopening Expenses

Certain costs of start-up activities are expensed as incurred. The following reconciles our preopening expenses to provide the amounts incurred, net of the amounts eliminated upon the consolidation of LVE.

119

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Preopening expense:			
Amounts incurred by Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$22,437	\$17,492	\$8,405
Amounts eliminated upon consolidation of LVE	(10,896) (10,858) (946
Amounts reported in our consolidated statements of operations	\$11,541	\$6,634	\$7,459

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense, net of estimated forfeitures, over the employee's requisite service period. Compensation costs related to stock option awards are calculated based on the fair value of each major option grant on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the following assumptions: expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rates, expected option lives and dividend yields. We formed our assumptions using historical experience and observable market conditions.

The following table discloses the weighted-average assumptions used in estimating the fair value of our significant stock option grants and awards during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Expected stock price volatility	77.11	% 79.70	% 72.90	%
Annual dividend rate	—	—	—	
Risk-free interest rate	0.55	% 0.40	% 0.90	%
Expected option life (in years)	4.3	3.0	4.3	
Estimated fair value per share	\$3.04	\$3.44	\$4.67	

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) applicable to Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the additional dilution for all potentially-dilutive securities, such as stock options.

The weighted average number of common and common share equivalent shares used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share calculations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, consisted of the following amounts:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	87,652	87,263	86,601
Potential dilutive effect	—	—	230
Diluted	87,652	87,263	86,831

Anti-dilutive options totaling 8.1 million have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share during the years ended December 31, 2010, as these shares were out of the money. Due to the net losses for the years

ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the effect of all potential common share equivalents was anti-dilutive, and therefore all such shares were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

In January 2012, the Company adopted guidance requiring the presentation of comprehensive income (loss), the components of

120

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

net income (loss), and the components of other comprehensive income (loss) either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income (loss) or in two separate but consecutive statements. Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and all other non-stockholder changes in equity, or other comprehensive income (loss). Components of the Company's comprehensive income (loss) are reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). The cumulative balance of other comprehensive income (loss) consists of fair value adjustments related to hedged derivative instruments held by the variable interest entity and unrealized gains and losses from the available for sale investment.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that subject us to credit risk consist of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and interest rate swap contracts. Our interest rate swap contracts terminated on June 30, 2011.

Our policy is to limit the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution, and place investments with financial institutions evaluated as being creditworthy, or in short-term money market and tax-free bond funds which are exposed to minimal interest rate and credit risk. We have bank deposits which may at times exceed federally-insured limits.

Concentration of credit risk, with respect to gaming receivables, is limited through our credit evaluation process. We issue markers to approved gaming customers only following credit checks and investigations of creditworthiness. Credit valuations of counterparties to our swap contracts are performed to reflect the impact of the credit ratings of both such counterparties, based primarily upon the market value of the credit default rates of the respective parties.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts presented in our consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. These reclassifications related to the disaggregation of non-cash impairment charges that were previously accumulated in other operating items, net, to impairment of assets in our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These reclassifications had no effect on our retained earnings or net income (loss) as previously reported.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and certain regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of such proposed standards, we have not yet determined the effect, if any, that the implementation of such proposed standards would have on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update 2012-02 Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment ("Update 2011-02")

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Update 2012-02 which is an amendment to Topic 350-30 of the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").

The objective of Update 2012-02 is to amend ASC 350-30 is to reduce the cost and complexity of performing an impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment and to improve consistency in impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories. The guidance permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicates that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, an entity concludes that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity is not required to take further action. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to determine the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test by comparing the fair value to its carrying value. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, the entity must record an impairment.

Update 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15,

121

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

2012, although early adoption is permitted. In September 2012, the Company adopted Update 2012-02. Update 2012-02 did not have a material impact on the computation of the impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets.

NOTE 2. ASSET ACQUISITIONS

Peninsula Gaming

Overview

On November 20, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") entered into on May 16, 2012, by and among the Company, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, Peninsula Gaming Partners, LLC and Peninsula Gaming, under which an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Peninsula Gaming, the assets and assumed the liabilities. The acquisition added five properties from three different states including Kansas, Iowa and Louisiana. Peninsula Gaming owns and operates Diamond Jo casino in Dubuque, Iowa, Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino, in St. Landry Parish, Louisiana, various off track betting facilities in Louisiana, Diamond Jo casino in Northwood, Iowa, Amelia Belle casino in Amelia, Louisiana, and the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center ("Kansas Star") near Wichita, Kansas. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our consolidated statements of operations and cash flows from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2012. As a result of this acquisition, the Company will be able to expand its operations to the new geographical areas. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items was approximately \$1.48 billion.

Consideration Transferred

The fair value of the consideration transferred on the acquisition date, and as retrospectively adjusted, included the purchase price of the net assets transferred and certain liabilities incurred on behalf of the sellers. Total consideration was comprised of the following:

	Total Consideration (In thousands)
Cash Paid to Seller	\$ 1,353,737
HoldCo Note	113,600
Contingent consideration - Kansas Star earn out	9,800
Gross Consideration	\$ 1,477,137

The fair value of the Note to Seller and Contingent Consideration were estimated by management with the assistance of an independent third-party valuation firm as of the acquisition date. The amount of the HoldCo Note remains subject to adjustment pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement.

Contingent Consideration

The Company is required to make a contingent payment to the sellers if the EBITDA of certain assets of Kansas Star Casino ("KSC") exceeds \$105.0 million. The payout is 7.5 times each dollar in excess of EBITDA greater than \$105.0 million in the calendar year 2015. The fair value of the contingent consideration was calculated using an option pricing model, which requires management to forecast EBITDA for 2015, a discount rate based on weighted average cost of capital and volatility of earnings. The fair value of the contingent consideration arrangement at the acquisition

date was estimated to be \$9.8 million. The actual payout will be determined based on actual EBITDA of KSC for calendar year 2015, and payments are not limited by a maximum value. If the actual EBITDA of KSC is less than the target, the Company is not required to make any additional consideration payout.

Status of Purchase Price Allocation

The Company has recognized the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merger based on preliminary fair value estimates as of the date of the Merger. The determination of the fair values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities (and the related determination of estimated lives of depreciable tangible and identifiable intangible assets) requires significant judgment. As such, management has not completed its valuation analysis and calculations in sufficient detail necessary to arrive at the final estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, along with the related allocations of goodwill and intangible assets. The fair values of certain tangible assets, intangible assets, the note payable to seller, certain contingent liabilities and residual goodwill are the most significant areas not yet finalized and therefore are subject to change. The final fair value determinations

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

are expected to be completed no later than the third quarter of 2013. The final fair value determinations may be significantly different than those reflected in the consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2012.

Acquisition Method of Accounting

The Company followed the acquisition method of accounting per ASC 805 guidance. In accordance with ASC 805, the Company allocated the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values, which were determined primarily by management with assistance from third-party appraisals. The excess of the purchase price over those fair values was recorded as goodwill. The fair values set forth below are preliminary. The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price.

	As Recorded, at Fair Value (In thousands)
Current assets	\$48,610
Property and equipment, net	430,093
Intangible assets	577,501
Other assets	49,339
Total acquired assets	1,105,543
Current liabilities	67,396
Other liabilities	42,363
Total liabilities assumed	109,759
Net identifiable assets acquired	995,784
Goodwill	481,353
Net assets acquired	\$1,477,137

The following table summarizes the acquired property and equipment and weighted average useful lives.

	Useful Lives	As Recorded, at Fair Value (In thousands)
Land		\$39,240
Buildings and improvements	3 through 40 years	283,391
Furniture and equipment	1 through 12 years	88,069
Riverboat	5 through 40 years	19,393
Total property and equipment acquired		\$430,093

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table summarizes the acquired intangible assets and weighted average useful lives of definite-lived intangible assets.

	Useful Lives	As Recorded, at Fair Value (In thousands)
Customer relationships	4.9 years	\$ 136,300
Non-compete agreement	0.9 years	3,200
Trademark	Indefinite	50,800
Gaming license rights	Indefinite	387,201
Total intangible assets acquired		\$577,501

The goodwill recognized is attributable primarily to expected synergies and the assembled workforce of Peninsula Gaming. All of the \$481.3 million of goodwill was assigned to the Peninsula Gaming reportable segment. All of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes. As of December 31, 2012, there were no changes in the recognized amounts of goodwill resulting from the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming.

The Company recognized \$18.7 million of acquisition related costs that were expensed in the current period. These costs are included in the consolidated statements of operations in the line item entitled "Other operating items, net". Consolidated Statement of Operations for the period from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012

The following supplemental information presents the financial results of Peninsula Gaming included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Period from November 20 to December 31, 2012 (In thousands)
Consolidated Statement of Operations	
Net revenues	\$56,925
Net loss	\$(5,225)

IP Casino Resort Spa

Overview

On October 4, 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP Casino Resort Spa ("IP") in Biloxi, Mississippi pursuant to an Agreement for Purchase and Sale, under which the seller agreed to sell and transfer, and the Company agreed to purchase and assume, certain assets and liabilities, respectively, related to the IP, on an as-is basis. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items, was approximately \$280.6 million. The business combination resulted in the recording of a bargain purchase gain of approximately \$4.6 million, due to the excess fair value of net identifiable assets over the total consideration. The bargain purchase gain was reported in other income in our consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Consideration Transferred

The fair value of the consideration transferred on the acquisition date, and included the purchase price of the net assets transferred and certain liabilities incurred on behalf of the sellers. Total consideration was comprised of the following:

	Total Consideration (In thousands)
Purchase price	\$287,000
Liabilities assumed on behalf of the seller	1,881
Working capital adjustments	(8,252)
Total consideration	\$280,629

124

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

In addition to this total consideration, the Company is in the process of performing certain capital improvement projects with respect to the property at an estimated cost of \$44 million. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, to the extent that the costs of the capital improvements exceed the original cost estimate, the Company will be solely responsible for the additional costs; however, to the extent that costs are less than the original cost estimate, the Company is obligated to pay the seller an amount equal to one-half of the difference between the actual costs and the original estimated costs. The Company has not recorded any contingent consideration as a result; however, as it is presently likely that these capital improvements will require the entire \$44 million spend. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company has incurred \$28.0 million in capital improvement expenditures related to these projects. Cumulative total project expenditures were \$29.5 million through December 31, 2012.

Acquisition Method of Accounting

The Company followed the acquisition method of accounting per ASC 805 guidance. In accordance with ASC 805, the Company allocated the purchase price to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values, which were determined primarily by management with assistance from third-party appraisals. The excess of the purchase price over those fair values was recorded as goodwill. The fair values set forth below are preliminary. The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price.

The Company recognized \$4.8 million of acquisition related costs that were expensed during the year ended December 31, 2011. These costs are included in the consolidated income statement in the line item entitled “Other operating items, net”.

Consolidated Balance Sheet Impact

The following table summarizes the recognized fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of October 4, 2011.

	As Recorded, at Fair Value (In thousands)
Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,173
Accounts receivable, net	1,230
Inventories	1,579
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,638
Total current assets	11,620
Property and equipment, net	264,703
Intangible assets	28,600
Total acquired assets	304,923
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	3,018
Accrued liabilities	14,182
Total current liabilities	17,200
Other liabilities	2,512
Total liabilities assumed	19,712

Net identifiable assets \$285,211

The fair value of the current assets acquired and current liabilities assumed was presumed to be historical acquired value, based on the relatively short term nature of these assets and liabilities. The \$1.2 million of acquired accounts receivable was net of a \$2.1 million reserve, reducing the gross amount of \$3.3 million to an amount reflecting the expected cash flows from such outstanding balances.

Bargain Purchase Gain

The business combination resulted in the recording of a bargain purchase gain, due to the excess fair value of net identifiable assets over the total consideration. The gain was computed as follows:

125

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Bargain Purchase Gain (In thousands)
Fair value of net identifiable assets	\$285,211
Total consideration	280,629
Bargain purchase gain	\$4,582

The bargain purchase gain was reported in other income in our consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

for the period from October 4, 2011 through December 31, 2011

The following supplemental information presents the financial results of IP included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011.

	Period from October 4 to December 31, 2011 (In thousands)
Consolidated Statement of Operations	
Net revenues	\$44,627
Net income	\$3,203

Supplemental Unaudited Pro Forma Information

The following table presents pro forma results of the Company, as though Peninsula Gaming had been acquired as of January 1, 2011. The pro forma results do not necessarily represent the results that may occur in the future. The pro forma amounts include the historical operating results of the Company and Peninsula Gaming prior to the acquisition, with adjustments directly attributable to the acquisition.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012		
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (As Reported) (In thousands)	Peninsula Gaming	Boyd Gaming Corporation (Pro Forma)
Net revenues	\$2,487,426	\$465,188	\$2,952,614
Net loss attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(908,865) \$(43,210) \$(952,075
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(10.37)	\$(10.86

The following table presents pro forma results of the Company, as though IP and Peninsula had been acquired as of the beginning of the earliest period presented, January 1, 2011. The pro forma results do not necessarily represent the results that may occur in the future. The pro forma amounts include the historical operating results of the Company, Peninsula Gaming and IP combined prior to the acquisition, with adjustments directly attributable to the acquisition.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31, 2011		Boyd Gaming Corporation (Pro Forma)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (As Reported) (In thousands)	Combined (Historical)	
Net revenues	\$2,336,238	\$457,934	\$2,794,172
Net loss attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(3,854)) \$(17,063) \$(20,917
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.04))) \$(0.24

Pro Forma and Other Adjustments

The unaudited pro forma results, as presented above, include adjustments to record: (i) the net incremental depreciation expense for the adjustment of property and equipment to fair value and the allocation of a portion of the purchase price to amortizing intangible assets; (ii) the elimination of the historical management fee paid by Peninsula Gaming to an affiliate; (iii) the increase in interest expense incurred on the incremental borrowings incurred by Boyd to fund the acquisition; (iv) the estimated tax effect of the pro forma adjustments and on the historical taxable income of Peninsula Gaming; and (v) miscellaneous adjustments as a result of the preliminary purchase price allocation on the amortization of certain assets and liabilities.

Other Acquisitions**Development Agreement**

In September 2011, the Company acquired the membership interests of a limited liability company (the "LLC") for a purchase price of \$24.5 million. The primary asset of the LLC was a previously executed development agreement (the "Development Agreement") with a Native American tribe (the "Tribe"). The purchase price was allocated primarily to an intangible asset associated with the Company's rights under the agreement to assist the Tribe in the development and management of a gaming facility on the Tribes land.

In July 2012, the Company and the Tribe amended and replaced the agreement with a new development agreement and a management agreement (the "Agreements"). The Agreements obligate us to fund certain pre-development costs, which are estimated to be approximately \$1 million to \$2 million annually, for the next several years and to assist the Tribe in its development and oversight of the gaming facility construction. Upon opening, we will manage the gaming facility. The pre-development costs funded by us are reimbursable to us with future cash flows from the operations of the gaming facility under terms of a note receivable from the Tribe.

The Agreements provide that the Company will receive future revenue for its services to the Tribe contingent upon successful development of the gaming facility and based on future net revenues at the gaming facility. Development is in the preliminary stages and no time schedule has been established as to when the Tribe will be able to formalize plans and begin construction.

NOTE 3. CONSOLIDATION OF CERTAIN INTERESTS**Controlling Interest**

Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa

Overview

The Company and MGM Resorts International ("MGM") each originally held a 50% interest in Marina District Development Holding Co., LLC ("Holding Company"). The Holding Company owns all the equity interests in Marina District Development Company, LLC, d.b.a. Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa.

In February 2010, we entered into an agreement with MGM to amend the operating agreement to, among other things, facilitate the transfer of MGM's interest in the Holding Company ("MGM Interest") to a divestiture trust ("Divestiture Trust") established for the purpose of selling the MGM Interest to a third party. The proposed sale of the MGM Interest through the Divestiture Trust was a part of a then-proposed settlement agreement between MGM and the New Jersey Department of Gaming Enforcement (the "NJDGE"). Pursuant to the terms of the amended operating agreement, in connection with the refinancing of the Borgata bank credit facility on August 6, 2010, the Holding Company made a \$135.4 million one-time distribution to us, of which \$30.8 million was a priority distribution equal to the excess prior capital contributions made by us.

On March 17, 2010, MGM announced that its settlement agreement with the NJDGE had been approved by the New Jersey Casino Control Commission ("NJCCC"). Under the terms of the settlement agreement, MGM agreed to transfer the MGM Interest into

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

the Divestiture Trust and further agreed to sell such interest within a 30-month period. During the first 18 months of such period, MGM has the power to direct the trustee to sell the MGM Interest, subject to the approval of the NJCCC. If the sale has not occurred by such time, the trustee will be solely responsible for the sale of the MGM Interest. The MGM Interest was transferred to the Divestiture Trust on March 24, 2010.

MGM has subsequently announced that it has entered into an amendment with respect to its settlement agreement with the NJDGE, as approved by the NJCCC. The amendment provides that the mandated sale of the MGM Interest be increased by an additional 18 months to a total of 48 months. During the first 36 months (or until March 24, 2013), MGM has the right to direct the Divestiture Trust to sell the MGM Interest. If a sale is not concluded by that time, the Divestiture Trust will be responsible for selling MGM's Interest during the following 12-month period.

Effective Change in Control

In connection with the amendments to the operating agreements MGM relinquished all of its specific participating rights under the operating agreement, and we retained all authority to manage the day-to-day operations of Borgata. MGM's relinquishment of its participating rights effectively provided us with direct control of Borgata. Accordingly, on March 24, 2010, we effectively obtained control of Borgata. This resulting change in control required acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for business combinations.

Acquisition Method of Accounting

The application of the acquisition method of accounting guidance had the following effects on our consolidated financial statements: (i) our previously held equity interest was measured at a provisional fair value at the date control was obtained; (ii) we recognized and measured the identifiable assets and liabilities in accordance with promulgated valuation recognition and measurement provisions; and (iii) we recorded the noncontrolling interest held in trust for the economic benefit of MGM as a separate component of our stockholders' equity. The provisional fair value measurements and estimates of these items were estimated as of the date we effectively obtained control.

Bargain Purchase Gain

The fair valuation resulted in the recording of a bargain purchase gain, due to the excess fair value of Borgata over the historical basis of our equity interest in Borgata. Recorded in other operating items, net on the consolidated statement of operations, this gain was recorded as a cumulative adjustment during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The gain was computed as follows:

	Bargain Purchase Gain (In thousands)
Fair value of controlling equity interest	\$397,931
Carrying value of equity investment in Borgata	397,622
Bargain purchase gain	\$309

The fair value of our controlling interest included a \$72.4 million control premium, which was reflected in the fair value of the enterprise, and included in the calculation of the bargain purchase gain. A control premium of 10% was applied to the enterprise value members' equity, excluding interest bearing debt, to calculate an indicated value of equity on a controlling basis. While the value of control is somewhat below prevailing market rates, we believe the

control premium reflects the value of our influence, mitigated by only a 50% interest and return.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidated Statement of Operations

We have not applied the measurement period adjustments retrospectively to the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, because the impact on such, as retrospectively adjusted to the statements as reported was not material. Had the measurement period adjustments been retrospectively adjusted, the results of operations would have reflected the following impact as if the adjustments had been recorded on the date of effective control for the year ended December 31, 2010.

	Year Ended December 31, 2010 (In thousands)	
Maintenance and utilities	\$ 141	
Depreciation and amortization	2,221	
Other operating items, net	(61)
Total operating costs and expenses	2,301	
Interest expense	3,458	
Total other expense, net	3,458	
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$(1,157)

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Results of Borgata

(for the period from March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010)

reflected on a fully consolidated basis

The results of Borgata, as included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations from the date we effectively obtained control, March 24, 2010 through December 31, 2010, are comprised of the following. These results do not reflect the retrospective impact from the measurement period adjustments discussed above, as such amounts were not material to the year ended December 31, 2010.

	March 24, through December 31, 2010 (In thousands)
Statement of Operations	
Revenues	
Gaming	\$506,073
Food and beverage	116,534
Room	91,045
Other	33,752
Gross revenues	747,404
Less promotional allowances	167,264
Net revenues	580,140
Costs and expenses	
Gaming	203,962
Food and beverage	55,989
Room	11,806
Other	27,209
Selling, general and administrative	94,983
Maintenance and utilities	49,913
Depreciation and amortization	52,886
Other operating items, net	(8)
Total costs and expenses	496,740
Operating income	83,400
Other expense	
Interest expense	45,139
Total other expense, net	45,139
Income before provision for state income taxes	38,261
Provision for state income taxes	(4,067)
Net income	\$34,194

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Supplemental Pro Forma Information

Pro Forma Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010

(unaudited)

The following supplemental pro forma information presents the financial results as if the effective control of Borgata had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented herein, or on January 1, 2010. This supplemental pro forma information has been prepared for comparative purposes and does not purport to be indicative of what the actual results for the year ended December 31, 2010 would have been had the consolidation of Borgata been completed as of the earlier date, nor are they indicative of any future results.

131

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			Boyd Gaming Corporation Pro Forma	
	Boyd Gaming Corporation	Borgata Stub Period	Adjustments		
Revenues					
Gaming	\$ 1,812,487	\$ 137,831	\$—	\$ 1,950,318	
Food and beverage	347,588	31,218	—	378,806	
Room	211,046	24,154	—	235,200	
Other	123,603	9,179	—	132,782	
Gross revenues	2,494,724	202,382	—	2,697,106	
Less promotional allowances	353,825	44,093	—	397,918	
Net revenues	2,140,899	158,289	—	2,299,188	
Costs and expenses					
Gaming	859,818	59,861	—	919,679	
Food and beverage	180,840	13,500	—	194,340	
Room	49,323	2,185	—	51,508	
Other	99,458	7,127	—	106,585	
Selling, general and administrative	369,217	28,981	—	398,198	
Maintenance and utilities	140,722	13,522	—	154,244	
Depreciation and amortization	199,275	16,754	—	216,029	
Corporate expense	48,861	—	—	48,861	
Preopening expenses	7,459	—	—	7,459	
Impairments and other operating items	736	—	—	736	
Other operating items, net	3,977	68	—	4,045	
Total costs and expenses	1,959,686	141,998	—	2,101,684	
Operating income from Borgata	8,146	—	(8,146) —	
Operating income	189,359	16,291	(8,146) 197,504	
Other expense (income)					
Interest income	(5) —	—	(5)
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	180,558	5,060	—	185,618	
Other income	(9,520) —	—	(9,520)
Gain on early retirements of debt	(2,758) —	—	(2,758)
Gain on controlling interest in Borgata	(2,535) —	—	(2,535)
Other non-operating expenses from Borgata, net	3,133	—	(3,133) —	
Total other expense, net	168,873	5,060	(3,133) 170,800	
Income (loss) before income taxes	20,486	11,231	(5,013) 26,704	
Income taxes	(8,236) (1,206) —	(9,442)
Net income (loss)	12,250	10,025	(5,013) 17,262	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,940) —	(5,012) (6,952)
Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$ 10,310	\$ 10,025	\$(10,025) \$ 10,310	

The pro forma adjustments reflect the differences resulting from the conversion of the equity method of accounting to a fully consolidated presentation.

132

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Borgata Distributions

Borgata's bank credit facility allows for certain limited distributions to be made to its joint venture partners. Excluding the \$135.4 million one-time distribution we received from Borgata in connection with their debt refinancing, as discussed above, our distributions from Borgata were \$20.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Variable Interest

LVE Energy Partners, LLC

LVE is a joint venture between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Echelon Resorts, we had entered into an Energy Sales Agreement ("ESA") with LVE to design, build, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon Resorts) and operate a central energy center and related distribution system for our planned Echelon resort development and to provide chilled and hot water, electricity and emergency electricity generation to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties.

Current accounting guidance requires us to consolidate LVE for financial statement purposes, as we determined that we are the primary beneficiary of the executory contract, the ESA, giving rise to the variable interest.

As discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 24, Subsequent Events, on March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. In connection with this transaction, on March 4, 2013, we exercised an option to acquire the central energy center assets from LVE for \$187.0 million and immediately sold these assets to the buyer of Echelon. The ESA agreement was terminated. As a result, we will cease consolidation of LVE as of March 4, 2013.

The effects of the consolidation of LVE on our financial position as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and its impact on our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are reconciled by respective line items to amounts as reported in our consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations are presented below.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The impact on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was as follows:

	December 31, 2012			Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (In thousands)	LVE, LLC	Eliminations	
ASSETS				
Current assets	\$354,140	\$1,453	\$—	\$355,593
Property and equipment, net	3,624,988	—	—	3,624,988
Assets held for development	168,251	163,519	—	331,770
Debt financing costs, net	83,020	2,448	—	85,468
Restricted investments	—	21,382	—	21,382
Other assets	98,425	—	—	98,425
Intangible assets, net	1,119,638	—	—	1,119,638
Goodwill, net	694,929	—	—	694,929
Total Assets	\$6,143,391	\$188,802	\$—	\$6,332,193
LIABILITIES				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$61,570	\$—	\$—	\$61,570
Accounts payable	91,046	164	—	91,210
Accrued and other liabilities	356,056	8,486	—	364,542
Income taxes payable	8,129	—	—	8,129
Current non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	—	225,113	—	225,113
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	4,827,853	—	—	4,827,853
Deferred income taxes	139,943	—	—	139,943
Long-term tax and other liabilities	146,706	—	—	146,706
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Common stock	869	—	—	869
Additional paid-in capital	655,694	—	—	655,694
Retained earnings, including accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(352,772)) —	—	(352,772)
Noncontrolling interest	208,297	(44,961)) —	163,336
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$6,143,391	\$188,802	\$—	\$6,332,193

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2011			Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation	LVE, LLC	Eliminations	
	(In thousands)			
ASSETS				
Current assets	\$340,762	\$2,132	\$—	\$342,894
Property and equipment, net	3,542,108	—	—	3,542,108
Assets held for development	926,013	163,806	—	1,089,819
Debt financing costs, net	29,544	2,555	—	32,099
Restricted investments	—	21,367	—	21,367
Other assets	67,173	—	—	67,173
Intangible assets, net	574,018	—	—	574,018
Goodwill, net	213,576	—	—	213,576
Total Assets	\$5,693,194	\$189,860	\$—	\$5,883,054
LIABILITIES				
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$43,230	\$—	\$—	\$43,230
Accounts payable	97,727	288	—	98,015
Accrued and other liabilities	294,578	881	—	295,459
Income taxes payable	5,630	—	—	5,630
Current non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	—	29,686	—	29,686
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	3,347,226	—	—	3,347,226
Deferred income taxes	379,958	—	—	379,958
Long-term tax and other liabilities	101,747	15,044	—	116,791
Long-term non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	—	192,980	—	192,980
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Common stock	863	—	—	863
Additional paid-in capital	644,174	—	—	644,174
Retained earnings	557,055	—	—	557,055
Noncontrolling interest	221,006	(49,019)) —	171,987
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$5,693,194	\$189,860	\$—	\$5,883,054

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The summarized impact on our consolidated statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, 2012			Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (In thousands)	LVE, LLC	Eliminations	
REVENUES				
Other revenue	\$ 145,460	\$ 10,896	\$(10,896)	\$ 145,460
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Selling, general and administrative	\$452,872	\$54	\$—	\$452,926
Preopening expenses	22,437	—	(10,896)	11,541
Operating income	\$(865,717)	\$ 10,842	\$—	\$(854,875)
Other expense				
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 277,681	\$ 12,323	\$—	\$ 290,004
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$(1,142,366)	\$(1,481)	\$—	\$(1,143,847)
Income taxes	220,772	—	—	220,772
Net income (loss)	(921,594)	(1,481)	—	(923,075)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	12,729	—	1,481	14,210
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(908,865)	\$(1,481)	\$ 1,481	\$(908,865)

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (In thousands)	LVE, LLC	Eliminations	
REVENUES				
Other revenue	\$ 135,176	\$ 10,858	\$(10,858)	\$ 135,176
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 394,991	\$—	\$—	\$ 394,991
Maintenance and utilities	153,512	—	—	153,512
Preopening expenses	17,492	—	(10,858)	6,634
Operating income	\$ 222,246	\$ 10,858	\$—	\$ 233,104
Other expense				
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 233,978	\$ 16,753	\$—	\$ 250,731
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$(383)	\$(5,895)	\$—	\$(6,278)
Income taxes	(1,721)	—	—	(1,721)
Net loss	(2,104)	(5,895)	—	(7,999)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,750)	—	5,895	4,145
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$(3,854)	\$(5,895)	\$ 5,895	\$(3,854)

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated)
	Boyd Gaming Corporation (In thousands)	LVE, LLC	Eliminations	
REVENUES				
Other revenue	\$ 123,603	\$—	\$—	\$ 123,603
COSTS AND EXPENSES				
Selling, general and administrative	\$369,217	\$—	\$—	\$369,217
Maintenance and utilities	140,722	—	—	140,722
Preopening expenses	8,405	—	(946)) 7,459
Operating income	\$ 188,413	\$—	\$946	\$ 189,359
Other expense				
Interest expenses, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 164,454	\$ 16,104	\$—	\$ 180,558
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$35,644	\$(16,104)) \$946	\$20,486
Income taxes	(8,236)) —	—	(8,236)
Net income (loss)	27,408	(16,104)) 946	12,250
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(17,098)) —	15,158	(1,940)
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$ 10,310	\$(16,104)) \$16,104	\$ 10,310

NOTE 4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment, net consists of the following:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Land	\$377,748	\$614,697
Buildings and improvements	3,827,980	3,513,230
Furniture and equipment	1,306,150	1,185,737
Riverboats and barges	187,620	168,204
Other	50,720	37,368
Total property and equipment	5,750,218	5,519,236
Less accumulated depreciation	2,125,230	1,977,128
Property and equipment, net	\$3,624,988	\$3,542,108

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$199.5 million, \$190.6 million and \$199.0 million, respectively.

Other assets presented in the table above primarily relate to property and equipment-related costs capitalized in conjunction with major improvements and that have not yet been placed into service, and such costs are not currently being depreciated. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. An impairment loss shall be recognized only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. The carrying amount

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

of a long-lived asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. That assessment shall be based on the carrying amount of the asset at the date it is tested for recoverability. An impairment loss shall be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we reclassified \$237.0 million of land adjacent to the Echelon project to assets held for development, based on the decision not to resume development of the Echelon project, and the expectation to dispose of the assets, including the adjacent land. See Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 18, Impairments and Other Operating Items, Net, for discussion of impairment charges recognized in the year ended December 31, 2012.

There were no impairments of long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

NOTE 5. ASSETS HELD FOR DEVELOPMENT

Assets held for development, which is comprised of assets associated with the site of our Echelon project, consists of the following:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Echelon Project Infrastructure		
Land	\$453,013	\$215,969
Construction and development costs	499,842	500,787
Project management and other costs	115,712	115,712
Professional and design fees	93,545	93,545
Central Energy Facility		
Construction and development costs	163,519	163,806
Total assets held for development	1,325,631	1,089,819
Impairment	993,861	—
Total assets held for development, net of impairment	\$331,770	\$1,089,819

Echelon Project Infrastructure and Central Energy Facility

At December 31, 2012, and 2011, the capitalized costs related to the Echelon project included land and related costs. The construction and development costs consist primarily of site preparation work, underground utility installation and infrastructure and common area development. Professional and design fees include architectural design, development and permitting fees, inspections, consulting and legal fees. The capitalized construction costs of the central energy facility include labor, materials, construction overhead and capitalized interest, all of which has been directly incurred by LVE. The assets of the central energy facility are pledged as collateral to the outstanding debt obligations of LVE, as further discussed in Note 9, Non-recourse Obligations of Variable Interest Entity.

In December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development. As a result of this decision, we now expect to dispose of the assets. The value of certain

additional parcels of land adjacent to the Echelon site that are also expected to be sold have been reclassified to assets held for development at December 31, 2012 presentation purposes.

Due to the termination of the project, no additional project costs will be capitalized. Additionally, we have recognized an impairment of \$993.9 million based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets.

NOTE 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following:

139

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2012				
	Weighted Average Life Remaining	Gross Carrying Value (In thousands)	Cumulative Amortization	Cumulative Impairment Losses	Intangible Assets, Net
Amortizing intangibles:					
Customer relationships	4.5 years	\$ 154,000	\$(23,059)	\$—	\$ 130,941
Non-competition agreement	0.9 years	3,200	(354)	—	2,846
Favorable lease rates	35.4 years	45,370	(8,867)	—	36,503
Development agreement	—	21,373	—	—	21,373
		223,943	(32,280)	—	191,663
Indefinite lived intangible assets:					
Trademarks	Indefinite	191,800	—	(5,000)	186,800
Gaming license rights	Indefinite	955,135	(33,960)	(180,000)	741,175
		1,146,935	(33,960)	(185,000)	927,975
Balance, December 31, 2012		\$ 1,370,878	\$(66,240)	\$(185,000)	\$ 1,119,638
	December 31, 2011				
	Weighted Average Life Remaining	Gross Carrying Value (In thousands)	Cumulative Amortization	Cumulative Impairment Losses	Intangible Assets, Net
Amortizing intangibles:					
Customer relationships	2.2 years	\$ 17,700	\$(10,026)	\$—	\$ 7,674
Favorable lease rates	36.4 years	45,370	(7,825)	—	37,545
Development agreement	—	21,373	—	—	21,373
		84,443	(17,851)	—	66,592
Indefinite lived intangible assets:					
Trademarks	Indefinite	141,000	—	(5,000)	136,000
Gaming license rights	Indefinite	567,886	(33,960)	(162,500)	371,426
		708,886	(33,960)	(167,500)	507,426
Balance, December 31, 2011		\$ 793,329	\$(51,811)	\$(167,500)	\$ 574,018

Amortizing Intangible Assets

Customer Relationships

Customer relationships represent the value of repeat business associated with our customer loyalty programs. The value of customer relationships is determined using a multi-period excess earnings method, which is a specific discounted cash flow model. The value is determined at an amount equal to the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to these customers, discounted to present value at a risk-adjusted rate of return. With respect to the application of this methodology, we used the following significant projections and assumptions: revenue of our rated customers, based on expected level of play; promotional allowances provided to these existing

customers; attrition rate related to these customers; operating expenses; general and administrative expenses; trademark expense; discount rate; and the present value of tax benefit.

Favorable Lease Rates

Favorable lease rates represent the rental rates for assumed land leases that are favorable to comparable market rates. The fair value is determined on a technique whereby the difference between the lease rate and the then current market rate for the remaining

140

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

contractual term is discounted to present value. The assumptions underlying this computation include the actual lease rates, the expected remaining lease term, including renewal options, based on the existing lease; current rates of rent for leases on comparable properties with similar terms obtained from market data and analysis; and an assumed discount rate. The estimates underlying the result covered a term of 41 to 52 years.

Development Agreement

Development agreement is an acquired contract under which a gaming facility will be developed on the Tribe's land. This asset although amortizable, will not be amortized until development is completed, which at December 31, 2012 remains indeterminate. In the interim, this asset will be subject to an annual impairment test.

Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets**Trademarks**

Trademarks are based on the value of our brands, which reflect the level of service and quality we provide and from which we generate repeat business. Trademarks are valued using the relief from royalty method, which presumes that without ownership of such trademark, we would have to make a stream of payments to a brand or franchise owner in return for the right to use their name. By virtue of this asset, we avoid any such payments and record the related intangible value of our ownership of the Borgata name. We used the following significant projections and assumptions to determine value under the relief from royalty method: revenue from gaming and hotel activities; royalty rate; tax expense; terminal growth rate; discount rate; and the present value of tax benefit. The projections underlying this discounted cash flow model were forecasted for 15 years.

Gaming License Rights

Gaming license rights represent the value of the license to conduct gaming in certain jurisdictions, which is subject to highly extensive regulatory oversight, and a limitation on the number of licenses available for issuance therein. The value of gaming licenses is determined using a multi-period excess earnings method, which is a specific discounted cash flow model. The value is determined at an amount equal to the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to future gaming revenue, discounted to present value at a risk-adjusted rate of return. With respect to the application of this methodology, we used the following significant projections and assumptions: gaming revenues; gaming operating expenses; general and administrative expenses; tax expense; terminal value; and discount rate. These projections are modeled for a five-year period.

Activity For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table sets forth the changes in these intangible assets during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Customer Relationships	Non-competition Agreement	Favorable Lease Rates	Development Agreements	Trademarks	Gaming License Rights	Intangible Assets, Net
	(In thousands)						
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$—	\$ —	\$39,631	\$—	\$50,700	\$371,426	\$461,757
Additions	14,000	—	—	—	65,000	—	79,000
Impairments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization	—	—	(1,043)	—	—	—	(1,043)

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Balance, December 31, 2010	14,000	—	38,588	—	115,700	371,426	539,714
Additions	3,300	—	—	21,373	25,300	—	49,973
Impairments	—	—	—	—	(5,000) —	(5,000)
Amortization	(9,626) —	(1,043) —	—	—	(10,669)
Balance, December 31, 2011	7,674	—	37,545	21,373	136,000	371,426	574,018
Additions	136,300	3,200	—	—	50,800	387,249	577,549
Impairments	—	—	—	—	—	(17,500)	(17,500)
Amortization	(13,033) —	(354) —	(1,042) —	(14,429)
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$130,941	\$ 2,846	\$36,503	\$21,373	\$186,800	\$741,175	\$1,119,638

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Future Amortization

Customer relationships are being amortized on an accelerated basis over an approximate remaining five-year period. Favorable lease rates are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average original useful life of 43.8 years. Future amortization is as follows:

	Customer Relationships (In thousands)	Non-competition Agreement	Favorable Lease Rates	Total
For the year ending December 31,				
2013	\$45,675	\$2,846	\$1,043	\$49,564
2014	33,310	—	1,043	34,353
2015	25,652	—	1,043	26,695
2016	14,870	—	1,043	15,913
2017	11,434	—	1,043	12,477
Thereafter	—	—	31,288	31,288
Total future amortization	\$130,941	\$2,846	\$36,503	\$170,290

Trademarks and gaming license rights are not subject to amortization, as we have determined that they have an indefinite useful life; however, these assets are subject to an annual impairment test.

Impairment Considerations

Indefinite lived intangible assets are not subject to amortization, but they are subject to an annual impairment test each year and between annual test dates in certain circumstances.

Interim Testing

During the first quarter of 2012, we performed an interim impairment test of the Borgata trademark asset. Having performed an initial interim impairment test related to the Borgata trademark asset during the first quarter of 2011, we had established the first quarter as its prospective annual impairment test date. Our analyses consisted of a valuation of the Borgata trademark, using the relief from royalty method, as discussed above. The impairment test consisted of a comparison of the fair value of trademark with its carrying amount. As a result of the impairment test, we did not record any impairment in the first quarter of 2012.

During the first quarter of 2011, we performed an interim impairment test of the Borgata trademark asset we recorded in connection with the valuation of Borgata due to our consideration of certain facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in Atlantic City. We believe our actual results had been adversely impacted by increased regional competition and that, in addition, our projected future results would be further impacted by the opening of a new property in Atlantic City, which was announced in February 2011. We also believe the refinancing of Borgata's debt and recapitalization of its member equity contributed to the results of this impairment test. As a result of the impairment test, we recorded a \$5.0 million impairment charge in the first quarter of 2011, representing the amount by which the carrying amount exceeded its fair value.

Annual Testing

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment test dates to October 1 to better align with the Company's annual financial planning process. Prior to the

fourth quarter of 2012, the Company performed annual impairment tests on defined sub-sets of its indefinite-lived intangible assets on January 1, April 1 and October 1. The January 1 and April 1 tests were performed on their respective test dates during 2012, and did not result in any impairment.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Sam's Town Shreveport's operating results were less than expected due to weaker than anticipated discretionary consumer spending and increased competition. As a result, we recognized a non-cash impairment charge of \$17.5 million to our gaming license rights at our Sam's Town Shreveport location. The impairment testing performed during the second quarter of 2012, prior to the change of impairment testing date to October 1, did not require us to record an impairment charge. The impairment testing performed in the second quarter of 2011 also did not require us to record an impairment charge; however, if our estimates of projected cash flows related to these assets are not achieved, or if any other significant assumptions are changed, we may be subject to an interim impairment test prior to our next annual scheduled impairment test. Such test could

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

result in a future impairment charge, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Gaming license rights are tested for impairment using a discounted cash flow approach, and trademarks are tested for impairment using the relief-from-royalty method. If the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset is less than its carrying amount, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference. If our estimates of projected cash flows related to these assets are not achieved, or if any other significant assumptions are changed, we may be subject to an interim impairment test prior to our next annual scheduled impairment test. As a result of such test, we may be subject to a future impairment charge, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 7. GOODWILL

Goodwill is an asset representing the future economic benefits arising from other assets in a business combination that are not individually identified and separately recognized and consists of the following:

	Gross Carrying Value	Cumulative Amortization	Cumulative Impairment Losses	Goodwill, Net
	(In thousands)			
Goodwill, net by Reportable Segment:				
Las Vegas Locals	\$378,192	\$—	\$(165,479)) \$212,713
Downtown Las Vegas	6,997	(6,134)) —	863
Midwest and South	50,671	—	(50,671)) —
Peninsula Gaming	481,353	—	—	481,353
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$917,213	\$(6,134)) \$(216,150)) \$694,929

We evaluate goodwill using a weighted average allocation of both the income and market approach models. The income approach is based upon a discounted cash flow method, whereas the market approach uses the guideline public company method. Specifically, the income approach focuses on the expected cash flow of the subject reporting unit, considering the available cash flow for a finite period of years. Available cash flow is defined as the amount of cash that could be distributed as a dividend without impairing the future profitability or operations of the reporting unit. The underlying premise of the income approach is that the value of goodwill can be measured by the present value of the net economic benefit to be received over the life of the reporting unit. The market approach focuses on comparing the reporting unit to selected reasonable similar (or “guideline”) publicly-traded companies. Under this method, valuation multiples are: (i) derived from the operating data of selected guideline companies; (ii) evaluated and adjusted based on the strengths and weaknesses of our reporting unit relative to the selected guideline companies; and (iii) applied to the operating data of our reporting unit to arrive at an indication of value. The application of the market approach results in an estimate of the price reasonable expected to be realized from the sale of the subject reporting unit.

Changes in Goodwill

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded \$481.4 million of goodwill due to our acquisition of Peninsula Gaming on November 20, 2012. There were no changes in our goodwill, net, during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table sets forth the changes in our goodwill, net, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Goodwill, Net (In thousands)
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$213,576
Additions	—
Impairments	—
Balance, December 31, 2010	213,576
Additions	—
Impairments	—
Balance, December 31, 2011	213,576
Additions	481,353
Impairments	—
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$694,929

Impairment Testing

As discussed in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, during the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual goodwill impairment test dates to October 1. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company performed annual impairment tests on its goodwill on April 1 and October 1 for different reporting units. The change in the impairment test dates for all reporting units to October 1 did not delay, accelerate or avoid an impairment charge. The April 1 test performed prior to the change did not result in any impairment. Management believes that the new impairment test date is preferable because it is more closely aligned with the Company's annual financial planning and budgeting process. These financial plans are a key component utilized in the annual impairment testing process. The change in the impairment test dates constitutes a change in accounting principle under ASC 250, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections," and had no impact on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations or cash flows. The Company determined it was impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods prior to October 1, 2012 without the use of hindsight. As such, the Company has prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

Prior to this change, we performed an annual impairment test of our goodwill in the second quarter of each year, which resulted in no impairment charge as of such measurement dates in 2012, 2011 or 2010, nor was there an impairment charge as of the October 1, 2012 test date. However, if our estimates of projected cash flows related to these properties are not achieved, or our enterprise values are significantly adversely affected by economic changes, or if any other significant assumptions are changed, we may be subject to an interim impairment test prior to our next scheduled annual impairment test, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 8. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

December 31,

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Payroll and related expenses	\$86,716	\$80,720
Interest	67,145	41,344
Gaming liabilities	85,561	76,591
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	125,120	96,804
Total accrued liabilities	\$364,542	\$295,459

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 9. NON-RECOURSE OBLIGATIONS OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY

The non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity represent the outstanding debt of LVE and is comprised of the following:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity, current:		
Notes payable to members	\$33,061	\$29,686
Construction and term loan facility	119,052	—
Tax-exempt variable rate bonds	73,000	—
Non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity, long term:		
Construction and term loan facility	—	119,980
Tax-exempt variable rate bonds	—	73,000
Total non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity	\$225,113	\$222,666

Assets serving as collateral for these debt obligations, primarily consist of certain assets held for development with a carrying value of \$163.5 million and \$163.8 million and restricted investments of \$21.4 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 include \$1.5 million, \$5.9 million and \$15.2 million of losses, respectively, related to this consolidated variable interest entity. The consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 reflect \$2.3 million, \$6.7 million and \$21.4 million of net operating cash outflows, respectively, related to this consolidated variable interest entity; however, none of the offsetting consolidated income or operating cash inflows are available to service this debt, which is non-recourse and non-guaranteed by Boyd.

Construction and Term Loan Facility

In December 2007, LVE entered into a construction and term loan facility with two commercial banks with a committed amount of up to \$143.5 million, of which \$119.1 million and \$120.0 million were outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Proceeds from the construction loan were used to finance the construction of the central energy center and district energy system. The loan is secured by the assets of LVE and does not contain financial covenants. The original loan maturities were as follows: \$83.1 million and \$4.2 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, with the remainder in 2013.

The construction loan bears interest at a variable rate based on the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). LVE entered into an interest rate swap with scheduled increases in the notional amount designed to fix the LIBOR portion of the interest rate on this debt until its maturity in November 2013, which was hedged against the outstanding debt. However, due to the construction delays, the outstanding amount of debt did not increase as fast as the contractual increases in notional amount of the swap, which rendered a portion of the swap ineffective, and as a result the swap was de-designated in July 2011.

Tax-exempt Variable Rate Bonds

In December 2007, LVE issued \$100 million of tax-exempt variable rate bonds through the State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry, which mature in October 2035. Unused proceeds from the tax-exempt, variable rate bonds are required to be escrowed pending approved construction expenditures. Such unused funds are reported as restricted investments on our consolidated balance sheet.

The tax-exempt variable rate bonds bear interest at rates that are determined by a remarketing agent on a weekly basis. LVE entered into an interest rate swap with a total notional amount of \$100 million that effectively fixes the underlying interest rate index on these bonds until November 2013. Investors in these bonds receive liquidity and credit support provided by a letter of credit from a commercial bank. This letter of credit expires in November 2013, but can be accelerated by the bank in the event of a default under the construction and term loan facility.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

In July 2011, LVE retired \$27 million of these tax-exempt bonds, using funds in its restricted investment account, which is held in escrow.

Events of Default

The central energy center and district energy system are being financed by LVE with debt that is non-recourse to us. The construction loan was to be converted to a term loan in the fourth quarter of 2010 assuming the district energy system and central energy center were completed. The district energy system and central energy center were not completed by the fourth quarter of 2010 and consequently, the full amount of the construction loan became due and payable in December 2010. However, in March 2011, the banks that are financing the energy facilities agreed not to exercise their rights under the financing agreements resulting from the event of default discussed above through December 2013, provided that no additional events of default occur. The members of LVE have provided a total of \$10 million in letters of credit to the banks to support LVE's obligations. Under the March 2011 agreement, LVE is obligated to use any excess funds, after paying fees and interest on the tax-exempt bonds and the construction loan, to reduce the outstanding balance of the construction loan. The banks have waived all existing defaults under the financing agreements and were relieved of their commitment to provide additional funding.

Disposition of Consolidated Variable Interest Entity

As discussed in Note 5, Assets Held for Development, and Note 24, Subsequent Events, on March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. At such date, the Purchase Option Agreement was exercised, and prospectively, will no longer be consolidated in our consolidated financial statements based on the authoritative literature on consolidations.

NOTE 10. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt, net of current maturities consists of the following:

146

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2012			
	Outstanding	Unamortized	Unamortized	Long-Term
	Principal	Discount	Origination	Debt, Net
	(In thousands)			
Boyd Gaming Long-Term Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,474,850	\$(5,001)	\$(3,214)	\$1,466,635
9.125% senior notes due 2018	500,000	—	(7,320)	492,680
9.00% senior notes due 2020	350,000	—	—	350,000
6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014	215,668	—	—	215,668
7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016	240,750	—	—	240,750
Other	158,141	(32,666)	—	125,475
	2,939,409	(37,667)	(10,534)	2,891,208
Peninsula Gaming Financing				
Bank credit facility	854,400	—	—	854,400
8.375% senior notes due 2018	350,000	—	—	350,000
Other	494	(3)	—	491
	1,204,894	(3)	—	1,204,891
Total Boyd Debt	4,144,303	(37,670)	(10,534)	4,096,099
Borgata Debt:				
Bank credit facility	20,000	—	—	20,000
9.50% senior secured notes due 2015	398,000	(2,525)	(5,928)	389,547
9.875% senior secured notes due 2018	393,500	(2,103)	(7,620)	383,777
	811,500	(4,628)	(13,548)	793,324
Less current maturities	61,570	—	—	61,570
Long-term debt, net	\$4,894,233	\$(42,298)	\$(24,082)	\$4,827,853

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2011			Long-Term Debt, Net
	Outstanding Principal (In thousands)	Unamortized Discount	Unamortized Origination Fees	
Boyd Gaming Long-Term Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,632,750	\$(4,318)	\$(6,717)	\$1,621,715
9.125% senior notes due 2018	500,000	—	(8,556)	491,444
6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014	215,668	—	—	215,668
7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016	240,750	—	—	240,750
Other	11,071	—	—	11,071
	2,600,239	(4,318)	(15,273)	2,580,648
Borgata Debt:				
Bank credit facility	40,200	—	—	40,200
9.50% senior secured notes due 2015	398,000	(3,271)	(7,680)	387,049
9.875% senior secured notes due 2018	393,500	(2,366)	(8,575)	382,559
	831,700	(5,637)	(16,255)	809,808
Less current maturities	43,230	—	—	43,230
Long-term debt, net	\$3,388,709	\$(9,955)	\$(31,528)	\$3,347,226

Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt**Bank Credit Facility
Agreement**

In December 2010, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among certain financial institutions (each a “Lender”), Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as swing line lender (the “Amendment and Restatement Agreement”). Pursuant to the terms of the Amendment and Restatement Agreement, our First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2007, as amended by the First Amendment and Consent to First Amended Credit Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2009 (as amended, the “Credit Facility”), was amended and restated to, among other things, (i) reduce the aggregate commitments under the former credit facility and (ii) permit consenting Lenders to extend the maturity date of their commitments, new Lenders to issue revolving commitments and term loans and existing Lenders to increase their commitments (each, an “Extending Lender”) in each case with a maturity date five years from the effective date. All capitalized terms used in this note not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Credit Facility.

In December 2012, we entered into the first amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among certain financial institutions (collectively, the “Lenders”), and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer. The amendment restates the definition of Consolidated EBITDA, consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio, Total Leverage Ratio and Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined below).

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Amounts Outstanding

The net amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility are comprised of the following:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Extended Revolving Facility	\$660,000	\$807,000
Initial Term Loan	450,000	475,000
Increased Term Loan	332,500	338,965
Swing Loan	24,135	750
Total outstanding borrowings under Credit Facility, net	\$1,466,635	\$1,621,715

Availability

As of December 31 2012, our Credit Facility is comprised of the following components and commitments:

	Original Commitment	Present Commitment	Remaining Availability
	(In thousands)		
Extended Revolving Facility	\$960,000	\$960,000	\$253,105
Initial Term Loan	500,000	500,000	—
Increased Term Loan	—	350,000	—
Total commitments under Credit Facility	\$1,460,000	\$1,810,000	\$253,105

Extended Revolving Facility

Each of the Extending Lenders permanently reduced their commitments under the former credit facility by up to 50% of the amount thereof. As a result, the aggregate commitments under the Credit Facility were reduced from \$3 billion to approximately \$1.5 billion (excluding the non-extending amounts), which commitments may be increased from time to time by up to \$500 million through additional revolving credit or term loans under the Credit Facility.

Lender Joinder Agreement

On May 30, 2012, we entered into a lender joinder agreement (the "Lender Joinder Agreement") among the Company, Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America"), Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and UBS Loan Finance LLC, each as an increasing lender, and Bank of America, as the administrative agent, providing for, among other things, an incremental Class A Revolving Commitment (as defined in the Company's Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 17, 2010, among the lenders party thereto, Bank of America, as administrative agent and letter of credit issuer and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as swing line lender in the amount of \$150 million (the "Increased Revolving Commitment"). The Increased Revolving Commitment became effective and the initial revolving loans were funded thereunder on May 30, 2012.

Pursuant to the Lender Joinder Agreement, concurrently with the closing of the 9.00% senior notes due 2020 offering (see 9.00% Senior Notes due 2020 below), we were required to give an irrevocable notice of election to permanently reduce the Class A Revolving Commitment under the Credit Facility by \$150 million, which became effective with the issuance of the 9.00% senior notes on June 8, 2012.

Initial Term Loan

The Credit Facility included the conversion of certain outstanding revolving commitments to a term loan in the amount of \$500 million (the "Initial Term Loan"). Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Facility, the Initial Term Loan amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing March 31, 2011, payable on a quarterly basis. The interest rate per annum applicable to term loans under the Credit Facility are based upon, at the option of the Company, LIBOR or the "base rate," plus an applicable margin in either case. The applicable margin is a percentage per annum determined in accordance with a specified pricing grid based on the total leverage ratio.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Increased Term Loan

In November 2011, we exercised \$350 million of the \$500 million increase option under our Credit Facility through an Increased Term Loan. The proceeds from the Increased Term Loan were used to repay the outstanding Non-Extended Revolving Facility, and all related commitments thereunder were terminated. Pursuant to its terms, the Increased Term Loan amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing in March 2012 and payable on a quarterly basis. At any time and to the extent that the Increased Term Loan is a Eurodollar Rate Loan, the Increased Term Loan shall bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof for each quarterly interest period at a rate per annum equal to the “effective Eurodollar Rate” for such period plus 4.75%, and at any time and to the extent that the Increased Term Loan bears interest at the base rate, the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate per annum equal to the base rate for such Interest period plus 3.75%.

Interest and Fees

The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the Extended Revolving Facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate). The applicable margin on the outstanding balance of the loans and commitments of the non-extending lenders continues to range from 0.625% to 1.625% (if using LIBOR), and from 0.00% to 0.375% (if using the base rate). A fee of a percentage per annum (which ranges from 0.250% to 0.500%) determined by the level of the total leverage ratio is payable on the unused portions of the Credit Facility. The “base rate” under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%.

The letter of credit fees under the Credit Facility remain the same as those under the Credit Facility; however, the margins payable to Extending Lenders are based on the margins applicable to the Extended Revolving Facility. Subject to certain conditions, amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility may be prepaid without premium or penalty, and the unutilized portion of any of the commitments may be terminated without penalty.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility was 4.2% and 4.2% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$1.47 billion was outstanding under our Credit Facility, with \$14.5 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of approximately \$253.1 million

Guarantees

The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility.

Financial and Other Covenants

The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, as amended December 27, 2012, including, without limitation, various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 through March 31, 2013, (ii) establishing a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio (discussed below), (iii) establishing a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio (discussed below), (iv) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, (v) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions and (vi) imposing

restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. Subject to certain exceptions, the Company may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness.

The minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) the twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

The maximum permitted consolidated Total Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Total Leverage Ratio, as amended December 27, 2012, during the remaining term of the Credit Facility.

150

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Maximum Total Leverage Ratio
For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending	
December 31, 2012 through September 30, 2013	7.75 to 1.00
December 31, 2013	7.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2014 through September 30, 2014	7.25 to 1.00
December 31, 2014 and March 31, 2015	7.00 to 1.00
June 30, 2015 and thereafter	6.75 to 1.00

The maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio is calculated as Secured Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Secured Leverage Ratio, as amended December 27, 2012, during the remaining term of the Amended Credit Facility.

	Maximum Secured Leverage Ratio
For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending	
December 31, 2012	4.25 to 1.00
March 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013	4.50 to 1.00
December 31, 2013	4.25 to 1.00
March 31, 2014 through December 31, 2014	4.00 to 1.00
March 31, 2015	3.75 to 1.00
June 30, 2015 and thereafter	3.50 to 1.00

Compliance with Financial Covenants

We believe that, we were in compliance with our debt covenants, including the maximum consolidated Total Leverage Ratio, the maximum Secured Leverage Ratio and the minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio at December 31, 2012.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Credit Facility and the subsequent issuance of the Increased Term Loan, we incurred approximately \$20.6 million and \$13.9 million, respectively, in incremental debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the remaining term of the Credit Facility. In May 2012, in conjunction with the Lender Joinder Agreement and the subsequent issuance of the Incremental Term Loan, we incurred approximately \$1.5 million of incremental debt financing costs, which were expensed when this borrowing was repaid, due to the reduction in available commitment under the Credit Facility.

Senior Notes9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018Significant Terms

On November 10, 2010, we issued, through a private placement, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 9.125% senior notes due December 2018. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on December 1 and June 1 of each year, which commenced on June 1, 2011. The notes will mature on December 1, 2018 and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a

change of control (as defined in the indenture governing the notes), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required under certain circumstances to offer to purchase the notes. At any time prior to December 1, 2013, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 109.125% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that we raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to December 1, 2014, we may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

premium. Subsequent to December 1, 2014, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.563% in 2014 to 100% in 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers of these senior notes at the time of the private placement, on September 15, 2011, the Company commenced an offer to exchange all of the outstanding \$500 million aggregate principal amount of the notes that have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. On October 18, 2011, the expiration date of the exchange offer, 100% of the notes were validly tendered and accepted for exchange.

Senior Notes

9.00% Senior Notes due July 2020

Significant Terms

On June 8, 2012, we issued \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 9.00% senior notes due July 2020. The notes require semiannual interest payments on January 1 and July 1 of each year, commencing on January 1, 2013. The notes will mature on July 1, 2020 and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change in control (as defined in the indenture governing the notes), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required under certain circumstances to purchase the notes. At any time prior to July 1, 2015, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes at a redemption price equal to 109% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest (as defined in the indenture), if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that we raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to July 1, 2016, we may redeem the notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole premium. Subsequent to July 1, 2016, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.50% in 2016 to 100% in 2018 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers of these senior notes on June 8, 2012, the date the 9.00% notes were issued, we agreed that, subject to certain suspension and other rights provided in the Registration Rights Agreement, we will file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to a registered exchange offer to exchange the 2020 notes for new notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 2020 notes, consummate the exchange offer within 365 days of the issuance of the 2020 notes, and in certain circumstances, if required by the registration rights agreement, file a shelf registration statement.

Senior Subordinated Notes

6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014

Significant Terms

On March 7, 2013, we issued a notice of election to redeem \$150 million of our 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014 (the "6.75% Notes") outstanding on April 6, 2013. The 6.75% Notes will be redeemed at a redemption price of 100.00% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, April 6, 2013.

On April 15, 2004, we issued, through a private placement, \$350 million principal amount of 6.75% senior subordinated notes due April 2014. In July 2004, all, except for \$50 thousand in aggregate principal amount of these notes, were exchanged for substantially similar notes that were registered with the SEC. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on April 15 and October 15 of each year, through April 2014, at which time the entire principal balance becomes due and payable. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. Presently, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at a redemption price of 100% plus accrued and unpaid interest through maturity in 2014.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Senior Subordinated Notes

7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due February 2016

Significant Terms

On January 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million principal amount of 7.125% senior subordinated notes due February 2016. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on February 1 and August 1 of each year, through February 2016, at which time the entire principal balance becomes due and payable. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. Presently, we may redeem all or a portion of the notes at redemption prices ranging from 103.563% in 2011 to 100% in 2014 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Repurchase of Senior Subordinated Notes

We did not repurchase any of our senior or senior subordinated notes during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011. In addition to the tender purchase and call for redemption of all of our outstanding 7.75% senior subordinated notes due 2012, as described below, during the year ended December 31, 2010, we also purchase and retired \$33 million in principal amount of our senior subordinated notes during the year ended December 31, 2010. The total purchase price of the notes was \$28.9 million, resulting in a gain of \$3.6 million, net of associated deferred financing fees, which was recorded on our consolidated statements of operations for the respective period. The transactions were funded by the availability under our former bank credit facility.

Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements under our current outstanding senior subordinated notes and senior notes consist of semi-annual interest payments (based upon fixed annual interest rates ranging from 6.75% to 9.125%) and principal repayment of our 6.75% and 7.125% senior subordinated notes due on April 15, 2014 and February 1, 2016, respectively, and principal repayment of our 9.125% and 9.00% senior notes due on December 1, 2018 and July 1, 2020, respectively.

Other Notes

On November 20, 2012, Boyd completed its previously announced acquisition of Peninsula Gaming pursuant to the Merger Agreement entered into on May 16, 2012, by and among Boyd, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC ("HoldCo"), Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Boyd ("Merger Sub"), PGP and Peninsula Gaming, and HoldCo issued a promissory note that HoldCo entered into upon the closing of the acquisition (the "HoldCo Note"), in favor of PGP, for approximately \$147.8 million. Discount on the note was \$34.2 million leaving a note payable to PGP in the amount of \$113.6 million, which is still preliminary and subject to purchase accounting adjustments. The HoldCo Note provides for interest at a per annum rate equal to (i) from the issue date to but excluding the first anniversary of the issue date,) zero percent, (ii) from the first anniversary of the issue date to but excluding the second anniversary of the issue date, six percent, (iii) from the second anniversary of the issue date to but excluding the third anniversary of the issue date, eight percent, and (iv) from and after the third anniversary of the issue date, ten percent. At the option of HoldCo, interest may be paid in cash or paid-in-kind. Accrued but unpaid interest is added to the principal balance of the HoldCo Note semi-annually. Interest will be computed on the basis of a 360-day year comprised of twelve 30-day months. HoldCo may prepay the obligations under the HoldCo Note at any time, in whole or in part, without premium or penalty.

Peninsula Gaming Debt
Bank Credit Facility
Credit Agreement

On November 20, 2012, Boyd completed its previously announced acquisition of Peninsula Gaming pursuant to the Merger Agreement and Merger Sub entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Peninsula Credit Agreement") dated as of November 14, 2012, with the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender, and L/C issuer.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, upon consummation of the Merger, Peninsula Gaming assumed all assets and liabilities of Merger Sub and became the borrower under the Credit Agreement (as defined below) and, together with Peninsula Gaming Corp. upon consummation of the Finance Company Merger, the issuer of Peninsula Gaming Senior Notes (as defined below)

The Peninsula Credit Agreement provides for a \$875.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "Peninsula Credit Facility"), which consists of (a) a term loan facility of \$825.0 million (the "Peninsula Term Loan") and (b) a revolving credit facility of \$50.0

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

million (the “Peninsula Revolver”). The Peninsula Term Loan was fully funded concurrently with the closing of the Peninsula Merger. A portion of the Peninsula Revolver was funded concurrently with the closing of the acquisition. The maturity date for obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility is November 17, 2017.

Interest and Fees

The interest rate on the outstanding balance of the Peninsula Term Loan is based upon, at Peninsula Gaming's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.50%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.50%. The interest rate on the outstanding balance from time to time of the Revolving Loans is based upon, at Peninsula Gaming's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.00%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.00%. The base rate under the Peninsula Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one-month period plus 1.00%. The Peninsula Credit Facility also establishes, with respect to outstanding balances under the Term Loan, a minimum Eurodollar rate for any interest period of 1.25%. In addition, Peninsula Gaming will incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Peninsula Credit Facility at a per annum rate of 0.50%.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Peninsula Credit Facility was 5.7% at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$29.4 million was outstanding under the Peninsula Revolver, with \$7.9 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of \$12.7 million.

Guarantees and Collateral

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the Revolver rank senior in right of payment to the obligations under the Term Loan.

Optional and Mandatory Prepayments

The Peninsula Credit Facility requires that Peninsula Gaming prepay the loans with proceeds of any significant asset sale or event of loss. The Peninsula Credit Facility also requires fixed quarterly amortization of principal equal to 0.25% of the original aggregate principal amount of the Peninsula Term Loan beginning March 31, 2013 and requires that Peninsula Gaming use a portion of its annual excess cash flow to prepay the loans. The Peninsula Revolver can be terminated without premium or penalty, upon payment of the outstanding amounts owned with respect thereto. The Peninsula Term Loan can be prepaid without premium or penalty, except that a 1.0% premium is payable in connection with prepayments of the Peninsula Term Loan prior to November 20, 2013 through the issuance of indebtedness having a lower interest rate than the interest rate payable in respect of the Peninsula Term Loan.

Financial and Other Covenants

The Peninsula Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants (and are subject to customary exceptions). Peninsula Gaming is required to maintain (i) maximum consolidated interest coverage ratio over each twelve month period ending on the last fiscal day of each quarter (discussed below), (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.0 to 1.0 as of the end of each calendar quarter, and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year.

The minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) the twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Fund Indebtedness less Excess Cash to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA. The following table provides our maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio during the remaining term of the Peninsula Credit Facility.

	Maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio		
For the Trailing Four Quarters Ending			
March 31, 2013 through September 30, 2013	7.25	to	1.00
December 31 2013 through June 30, 2014	7.00	to	1.00
September 30, 2014 through December 31, 2014	6.75	to	1.00
March 31, 2015 through June 30, 2015	6.50	to	1.00
September 30, 2015 through December 31, 2015	6.25	to	1.00
March 31, 2016 through June 30, 2016	6.00	to	1.00
September 30, 2016 through December 31, 2016	5.75	to	1.00
March 31, 2107 through June 30, 2017	5.50	to	1.00
September 30, 2017 and thereafter	5.25	to	1.00

Capital Expenditures should not be made by Peninsula Gaming or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (excluding (i) capital expenditures which adds to or improves any existing property and (ii) capital expenditures made prior to the first anniversary of the Funding Date relating to integration and/or transition of business systems) in an aggregate amount in excess of \$20.0 million in any fiscal year; provided that no default has occurred and is continuing or would result from such expenditure.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

We believe that, at December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with our financial covenants, including capital expenditures and the minimum consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio and the maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio at December 31, 2012.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Credit Facility, we incurred approximately \$33.8 million in debt financing costs, that have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Credit Facility using the effective interest method.

Senior Notes

Peninsula Gaming 8.375% Senior Notes Due 2018

Significant Terms

On August 16, 2012, we closed and offering of \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 8.375% senior notes due February 2018 by Merger Sub and Boyd Acquisition Finance Corp. ("Boyd Finance Co.," and together with Merger Sub, the "Issuers"), a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Merger Sub. The notes were issued pursuant to an Indenture dated August 16, 2012 (the "Indenture") by and among the Issuers, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee"). The consummation of the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming occurred on November 20, 2012, at which time, Peninsula Gaming and PGC assumed the obligations of the Merger Sub and Boyd Finance Co. and became the Issuers under the Indenture. The Indenture provides that the Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.375% per annum. The Notes mature on February 15, 2018. Prior to the consummation of the acquisition, the Notes were not guaranteed. Upon the consummation of the acquisition, the Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several

basis, by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries (other than PGP). The Notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we are in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012. In addition, upon the occurrence of a change of control (as defined in the Indenture), we will be required, unless certain conditions are met, to offer to repurchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the date of purchase. If we sell assets or experience an event of loss, we will be required, under certain circumstances, to offer to purchase the notes. At any time prior to August 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes at a redemption price equal to 108.375% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, with the net cash proceeds that the Issuers raise in one or more equity offerings. In addition, prior to August 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem the Notes, in whole or in part,

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, up to, but excluding, the applicable redemption date, plus a make whole premium. Subsequent to August 15, 2014, we may redeem all or a portion of the Notes at a redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 106.281% in 2014 to 100% in 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The senior notes have not been, and will not be, registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the "Securities Act") and will be offered only to: (i) qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act; and (ii) outside the United States to non-U.S. persons in compliance with Regulation S under the Securities Act.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the issuance of the Notes, we incurred approximately \$14.2 million in debt financing costs that have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the Notes using the effective interest method.

Financial and Other Covenants

The PGL credit facility is subject to guarantee, collateral requirements and certain restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies.

Other

KSC has agreements with various slot vendors to finance the purchase of slot machines over a period of twelve months at zero percent financing for the interim phase of the Kansas Star development project. The total financing under these agreements was \$22.3 million with an imputed discount of \$1.0 million. As of December 31, 2012, KSC had \$0.5 million recorded related to slot machine financing at KSC. Monthly financing payments conclude February 2013.

Borgata Debt

Borgata Bank Credit Facility

Significant Terms

On August 6, 2010, Marina District Finance Company, Inc. (the "MDFC") announced that it had closed a \$950 million debt financing, consisting of the establishment of a \$150 million new payment priority secured revolving credit facility (the "Borgata bank credit facility") and the issuance of \$800 million of aggregate principal amount of notes. MDFC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marina District Development Company ("MDDC"), which develops and owns Borgata, and which is the guarantor of both the Borgata bank credit facility and the notes. The proceeds from the financing were used to (i) pay fees and expenses related to the financing; (ii) repay the former credit facility; and (iii) make a one-time distribution to Borgata's joint venture owners.

On November 11, 2011, MDFC entered into a First Amendment to Credit Agreement (the "First Borgata Credit Facility Amendment") among MDFC, MDDC, certain other financial institutions (each a "Borgata Lender", and collectively the "Borgata Lenders") and Wells Fargo, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), as administrative agent (in such capacity, "Administrative Agent") for the Borgata Lenders. The terms of the First Borgata Credit Facility Amendment modifies certain terms of the Borgata bank credit facility among Borgata, the Borgata Lenders from time to time party thereto, the Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo.

On December 27, 2012, MDFC entered into a Second Amendment to Credit Agreement among MDFC, MDDC ("Borgata Lenders") and Wells Fargo, as administrative agent for the Borgata Lenders that (i) decreases the minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined therein) to \$110 million for fiscal quarters ending December 31, 2012 and thereafter, (ii) modifies the definition of Consolidated EBITDA to exclude certain losses, charges, and expenses, (iii) adjusts the calculation of Consolidated EBITDA such that for the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2012 through the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2013, Consolidated EBITDA will be computed by including the four fiscal quarters with the highest Consolidated EBITDA out of the most recently ended five fiscal quarters, (iv) reduces the Aggregate Commitments (as defined therein) to \$60 million, (v) modifies the Use of Proceeds covenant to provide that the proceeds of revolving loans can only be used to repurchase or redeem MDFC's senior secured notes if, after giving affect thereto, the aggregate amount of outstanding loans and letters of credit under the Borgata bank credit facility does not exceed \$50 million and (vi) adds a covenant prohibiting MDFC and MDDC from repurchasing or redeeming MDFC's senior secured notes at any time unless Consolidated EBITDA was at least \$125 million for the most recently ended period of four consecutive fiscal quarters prior thereto.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

As amended, the Borgata bank credit facility provides for a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility and matures in August 2014. The Borgata bank credit facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Borgata's assets, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata bank credit facility have priority in payment to Borgata's senior secured notes.

Guarantees

Neither Boyd Gaming Corporation, nor its subsidiaries are guarantors of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

Interest Rate

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, accrue interest at a selected rate based upon either: (i) highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, or (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 1.50%, and in any event not less than 1.50% (such highest rate, the "base rate"), or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each clause (i) and (ii) an applicable margin as provided in the Borgata bank credit facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata bank credit facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 1.00% per annum.

At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, was \$20.0 million, which bore an interest rate of 4.94%. Contractual availability under the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, at December 31, 2012 was \$40.0 million.

Financial and Other Covenants

The Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, (i) establishing a minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Borgata bank credit facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's ability to incur additional debt; and (iii) imposing restrictions on Borgata's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions, make certain restricted payments, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities.

Compliance with Financial Covenants

We believe that MDFC was in compliance with the amended Borgata bank credit facility covenants, including the minimum consolidated EBITDA.

Debt Financing Costs

In conjunction with the Borgata bank credit facility and the amendment thereto, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we incurred incremental debt financing costs of \$0.4 million, \$1.2 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, related to the Borgata bank credit facility in incremental debt financing costs, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the remaining term of the Borgata bank credit facility.

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.5% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015

Significant Terms

In August 2010, MDFC issued, through a private placement, \$400 million principal amount of 9.5% senior secured notes due October 2015, at an issue price of 98.943%, resulting in a discount at issuance of \$4.2 million. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on April 15 and October 15, commencing April 15, 2011. The notes are

guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it is in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012.

At any time prior to October 15, 2013, the notes may be redeemed at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a "make-whole premium" and accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, until October 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to 35% of the notes at a redemption price of 109.50% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings. In addition, at any time prior to October 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to an aggregate of 10% of the notes in each twelve month period at a redemption price of 103% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. On or after October 15, 2013, MDFC shall have the option to redeem the 2015 Notes, in whole or in part, at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.75% beginning on October 15, 2013 to 102.375% beginning on October 15, 2014, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the applicable redemption date.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.875% Senior Secured Notes Due 2018

Significant Terms

In August 2010, MDFC issued, through a private placement, \$400 million principal amount of 9.875% senior secured notes due August 2018, at an issue price of 99.315%, resulting in an original issue discount of \$2.7 million. The notes require semi-annual interest payments on February 15 and August 15, commencing February 15, 2011. The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it is in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2012.

At any time prior to August 15, 2014, the notes may be redeemed at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus a "make-whole premium" and accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, until August 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to 35% of the notes at a redemption price of 109.875% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds from certain equity offerings. In addition, at any time prior to August 15, 2013, MDFC may redeem up to an aggregate of 10% of the notes in each twelve month period at a redemption price of 103% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but not including, the redemption date. On or after August 15, 2013, MDFC shall have the option to redeem the 2018 Notes, in whole or in part, at redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) ranging from 104.938% beginning on August 15, 2014, to 102.469% beginning on August 15, 2015, to 100% beginning on August 15, 2016 and thereafter, plus accrued and unpaid interest, to the applicable redemption date.

Original Issue Discount

The original issue discount has been recorded as an offset to the principal amount of these notes and is being accreted to interest expense over the term of the notes using the effective interest method. At December 31, 2012, the effective interest rate on the 9.5% notes due 2015 notes and the 9.875% notes due 2018 was 10.2% and 10.3%, respectively.

Repurchase of Senior Secured Notes

During the year ended December 31, 2011, MDFC repurchased and retired \$8.5 million, principal amount, in total, of their senior secured notes, which included \$2.0 million of the 9.5% notes and \$6.5 million of the 9.875% notes. The total purchase price of the notes \$8.2 million, resulting in a gain of \$0.1 million, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded as a gain on early retirement of debt in our consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Indenture

The indenture governing both the 9.5% notes and the 9.875% notes allow for the incurrence of additional indebtedness, if after giving effect to such incurrence, our coverage ratio (as defined in the indenture, essentially a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to fixed charges, including interest) for a trailing four quarter period on a pro forma basis would be at least 2.0 to 1.0. Such pro forma coverage ratio was above 2.0 to 1.0 at the dates in which these respective tranches of senior secured notes were issued; however, at December 31, 2012, our coverage ratio (as defined in the indenture) is below 2.0 to 1.0. Accordingly, the indenture prohibits us from incurring new indebtedness; however, we may still borrow under the \$60 million senior secured credit facility. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the Borgata bank credit facility was \$20.0 million leaving contractual availability of \$40.0

million.

158

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Scheduled Maturities of Long-Term Debt

The scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as discussed above, are as follows:

	Boyd Gaming (In thousands)	Peninsula Gaming	Borgata	Total
For the year ending December 31,				
2013	\$52,841	\$8,729	\$—	\$61,570
2014	258,168	8,262	20,000	286,430
2015	1,389,850	8,253	398,000	1,796,103
2016	240,750	8,250	—	249,000
2017	—	821,400	—	821,400
Thereafter	997,800	350,000	393,500	1,741,300
Total outstanding principal of long-term debt	\$2,939,409	\$1,204,894	\$811,500	\$4,955,803

NOTE 11. INCOME TAXES

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are provided to record the effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its amount as reported in our consolidated balance sheets. These temporary differences result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities presented on the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	December 31, 2012 (In thousands)	2011
Current deferred tax liability	\$7,473	\$—
Non-current deferred tax liability	139,943	379,958
Current deferred tax asset	3,561	21,570
Net deferred tax liability	\$143,855	\$358,388

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The components comprising our deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	December 31, 2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax assets		
Difference between book and tax basis of property	\$ 114,742	\$—
Federal net operating loss carryforwards	39,996	11,504
Share-based compensation	28,532	25,465
State net operating loss carryforwards	26,230	13,883
Reserve for employee benefits	14,647	14,159
Preopening expense	8,155	4,141
Tax credit carryforwards	4,309	2,722
Provision for doubtful accounts	3,709	4,807
Reserve differential for gaming activities	2,510	596
Other	16,322	19,259
Gross deferred tax assets	259,152	96,536
Valuation allowance	(204,583) (11,238
Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	54,569	85,298
Deferred tax liabilities		
Difference between book and tax basis of intangible assets	161,214	152,140
State tax liability	19,389	28,770
Prepaid services and supplies	11,068	6,723
Gain on early retirement of debt	6,731	6,731
Difference between book and tax basis of property	—	243,812
Other	22	5,510
Gross deferred tax liabilities	198,424	443,686
Deferred tax liabilities, net	\$ 143,855	\$ 358,388

At December 31, 2012, we had unused federal general business tax credits of approximately \$4.3 million which may be carried forward or used until expiration beginning in 2030. We have a federal income tax net operating loss of approximately \$104.0 million, which may be carried forward or used until expiration beginning in 2031. We also have state income tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$365.9 million, which may be used to reduce future state income taxes. The state net operating loss carryforwards will expire in various years ranging from 2013 to 2032, if not fully utilized.

As a result of certain realization requirements of ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation, the table of deferred tax assets and liabilities shown above does not include certain deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, that arose directly from (or the use of which was postponed by) tax deductions related to equity compensation that are greater than the compensation recognized for financial reporting. Equity will be increased if and

when such deferred tax assets are ultimately realized. The Company uses ASC 740 ordering when determining when excess tax benefits have been realized.

Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets

Management assesses available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to use the existing deferred tax assets. In evaluating our ability to recover deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely

160

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax-planning strategies and results of recent operations. A significant piece of objective negative evidence evaluated was the cumulative loss incurred over the three-year period ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, we concluded that it was more likely than not that the benefit from certain deferred tax assets would not be realized. As a result of our analysis, a valuation allowance of \$182.5 million has been recorded on our federal income tax net operating loss carryforwards and certain other deferred tax assets. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be adjusted if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced or increased or if objective negative evidence in the form of cumulative losses is no longer present and additional weight may be given to subjective evidence such as our projections for growth. A valuation allowance in the amount of \$22.1 million has also been recorded on a material portion of our state income tax operating losses, along with certain other state deferred tax assets, which are not presently expected to be realized.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes

A summary of the provision (benefit) for income taxes is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Current			
Federal	\$(235) \$(550) \$1,892
State	302	2,603	3,090
Total current taxes	67	2,053	4,982
Deferred			
Federal	(215,710) (3,287) 1,022
State	(5,129) 2,955	2,232
Total deferred taxes	(220,839) (332) 3,254
Provision for income taxes	\$(220,772) \$1,721	\$8,236

Our tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was adversely impacted by a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance was also applied to our federal and certain state income tax net operating losses and other deferred tax assets. The tax benefit was favorably impacted by the reversal of interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits, resulting from the effective settlement reached in connection with our IRS audit.

Our tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2011 was adversely impacted by certain recurring permanent adjustments that are unaffected by our loss from continuing operations and favorably impacted by a nontaxable acquisition related gain. Additionally, our state tax provision was adversely impacted by a statutory change in state income tax rates, changes in apportionment and the geographic mix of our income. The relative impact of equity based state taxes was also more significant in 2011 due to a loss from continuing operations.

Our tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2010 was adversely impacted by a statutory change in state income tax rates, changes in apportionment and the geographic mix of our income; and favorably impacted by the release of

valuation allowances resulting from the organizational restructuring of our Louisiana properties.

Our effective tax rates are also impacted by permanent adjustments related to our consolidation of Borgata and LVE. We consolidate Borgata and LVE for financial statement purposes; however, under federal income tax statutes, we are subject to income tax on our fifty percent interest in Borgata and exclude LVE in its entirety.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table provides a reconciliation between the federal statutory rate and the effective income tax rate, expressed as a percentage of income from operations before income taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Tax at federal statutory rate	35.0	% 35.0	% 35.0	%	
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(15.5))% —	% —	%	
Noncontrolling interests	(0.5))% (27.7))% (1.5))%	
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	0.4	% (52.8))% 11.9	%	
Company provided benefits	(0.1))% (6.9))% 3.5	%	
Compensation-based credits	0.1	% 16.3	% (6.0))%	
Accrued interest on uncertain tax benefits	—	% (16.0))% 1.6	%	
Nontaxable gain on acquisition	—	% 25.5	% —	%	
Other, net	(0.1))% (0.8))% (4.3))%	
Effective tax rate	19.3	% (27.4))% 40.2	%	

Status of Examinations

During 2012, we effectively settled our 2001-2004 Internal Revenue Service examination and received Notices of Proposed Adjustments (“Adjustments”) in connection with our 2005-2009 Internal Revenue Service examination. We have agreed to certain Adjustments and the related tax effect is presented in our consolidated balance sheet. We continue to evaluate the remaining Adjustments and to the extent they remain unresolved at the audit’s conclusion, we intend to contest such Adjustments through available administrative procedures. The Internal Revenue Service has rescinded the Adjustments issued in 2011 related to our capitalization policy on certain repair expenditures, consistent with guidelines issued in anticipation of final tangible property regulations. The expiration of the statute of limitation related to our federal tax returns for the tax years 2003 through 2004 and 2005 through 2009 have been extended to December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014, respectively. Additionally, although tax years 2001 and 2002 are closed by statute, the tax returns filed in those years are subject to adjustment, to the extent of net operating loss carrybacks utilized in those years. The statute of limitations for our remaining federal tax returns will expire over the period September 2014 through September 2016.

We are also currently under examination for various state income and franchise tax matters. Certain adjustments in the state examinations are contingent on resolution of our federal examinations. As it relates to our material state returns, we are subject to examination for tax years ended on or after December 31, 2001 and the statute of limitations will begin to expire over the period September 2013 through October 2017.

Based on our current expectations for the final resolutions of these federal and state income tax matters, we believe that we have adequately reserved for any tax liability; however, the ultimate resolution of these examinations may result in an outcome that is different than our current expectation. We do not believe the ultimate resolution of these examinations will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Other Long-Term Tax Liabilities

The impact of an uncertain income tax position taken in our income tax return is recognized at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position is

not recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. Our liability for uncertain tax positions is recorded as other current tax liabilities and other long-term tax liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

162

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Unrecognized tax benefit, beginning of year	\$42,320	\$38,336	\$29,053
Additions:			
Tax positions related to consolidation of Borgata	—	—	8,714
Tax positions related to current year	1,468	1,438	1,511
Tax positions related to prior years	15,456	3,718	—
Reductions:			
Tax positions related to prior years	(10,969) (1,172) (918
Settlement with taxing authorities	(9,852) —	—
Lapse of applicable statute of limitations	—	—	(24
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$38,423	\$42,320	\$38,336

Included in the \$38.4 million balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2012, are \$6.7 million of federally tax effected benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. We recognize accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in our income tax provision. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recognized accrued interest and penalties of approximately \$(0.2) million, \$2.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, in our income tax provision. We have accrued \$12.4 million and \$12.6 million of interest and penalties as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, in our consolidated balance sheets.

As a result of the effective settlement in our 2001-2004 Internal Revenue Service examination, we reduced our unrecognized tax benefits by \$9.9 million, of which \$0.1 million impacted our effective tax rate. Additionally, we reduced the interest accrued on our unrecognized tax benefits by \$4.0 million and recorded a \$2.6 million benefit to our tax provision.

We are in various stages of the examination and appeals process in connection with many of our audits and it is difficult to determine when these examinations will be closed; however, it is reasonably possible over the next twelve-month period that our unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012, may decrease by approximately \$1.2 million to \$22.6 million, of which up to \$1.1 million could impact our effective tax rate. Such reduction is due to the resolution of certain issues, primarily related to the depreciable lives of assets, raised in connection with our federal and state examinations. Other than the resolution of the audits discussed above, we do not anticipate any material changes to our unrecognized tax benefits over the next twelve-month period.

NOTE 12. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We have utilized derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk.

Derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes must be adjusted to fair value through income. We designated our interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges through September 30, 2010, and measured their effectiveness using the long-haul method. If the derivative qualifies and is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in fair value will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged item

through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The effective portion of any gain or loss on our interest rate swaps is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). We use the hypothetical derivative method to measure the ineffective portion of our interest rate swaps. Any ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

The Company previously entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap arrangements in order to manage interest rate risk relating to its Credit Facility, which matured on June 30, 2011. We were a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million, whereby we received payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and made payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. These interest rate swap agreements modified the Company's exposure to interest rate risk by synthetically converting a portion of the Company's floating rate debt to a fixed rate. The interest rate swap agreements

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

matured on June 30, 2011, however, the following presents the activity related to our accounting for the interest rate swaps during the periods in which they were outstanding.

Derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes must be adjusted to fair value through income. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we designated certain interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges.

Hedge Accounting

These derivative instruments were accounted for as cash flow hedges through September 30, 2010. Accounting for cash flow hedging requires determining a division of hedge results deemed effective and ineffective. However, most of the Company's hedges were designated in such a way so as to perfectly offset specifically-defined interest payments, such that no ineffectiveness has occurred, nor would any ineffectiveness occur, as long as the forecasted cash flows of the designated hedged items and the associated swap contracts remain unchanged.

However, on October 1, 2010, in anticipation of the refinancing of our bank credit facility, we de-designated all of our interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges. Concurrent with the de-designation of the hedging relationship, hedge accounting was suspended and the amount remaining in accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with this cash flow hedging relationship was frozen. This amount was amortized into interest expense over the respective remaining terms of the associated agreements. Prospectively, all changes in fair value of these interest rate swaps will be recognized immediately in earnings.

Fair Value

Fair value approximates the amount the Company would pay if these contracts were settled at the respective valuation dates. Fair value is estimated based upon current, and predictions of future, interest rate levels along a yield curve, the remaining duration of the instruments and other market conditions, and therefore, is subject to significant estimation and a high degree of variability and fluctuation between periods. The fair value is adjusted, to reflect the impact of credit ratings of the counterparties of the Company, as applicable. These adjustments resulted in a reduction in the fair values as compared to their settlement values.

Credit risk relating to derivative counterparties is mitigated by using multiple, highly rated counterparties, and the credit quality of each is monitored on an ongoing basis.

The fair values of our derivative instruments at December 31, 2010 included credit valuation adjustments to reflect the impact of the credit ratings of both the Company and our counterparties, based primarily upon the market value of the credit default swaps of the respective parties. These credit valuation adjustments resulted in a reduction in the fair values of our derivative instruments as compared to their settlement values.

Classification of Changes in Fair Value

The effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI Into Income
Gain Recognized in OCI on Derivative		

Derivatives in a Cash Flow Hedging

Relationship -

Interest Rate Swap Contracts	(Effective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)
Year Ended			
December 31, 2011	\$—	Interest expense	\$(11,824)
Year Ended			
December 31, 2010	\$16,356	Interest Expense	\$(4,580)

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments - Interest Rate Swap Contracts Year Ended	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Ineffective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI Into Income (Ineffective Portion)
December 31, 2011	Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	\$265
Year Ended		
December 31, 2010	Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	\$480

The net effect of our floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps resulted in an increase in interest expense of \$11.8 million and \$22.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively, as compared to the contractual rate of the underlying hedged debt, for these periods. Due to the maturity of the floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps in June 2011, there was no interest expense recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Due to the de-designation of the floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps in October 2010, we recognized losses of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million on the change in fair value of these swaps during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition, the Company amortized \$11.8 million and \$4.6 million during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, through other comprehensive income related to these and other derivatives that were previously de-designated as hedging instruments.

NOTE 13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

Capital Spending and Development

We continually perform on-going refurbishment and maintenance at our facilities to maintain our standards of quality. Certain of these maintenance costs are capitalized, if such improvement or refurbishment extends the life of the related asset, while other maintenance costs that do not so qualify are expensed as incurred. The commitment of capital and the related timing thereof are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate regulatory bodies. We must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in our debt agreements.

Echelon

In August 2008, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. At that time, we did not anticipate the long-term effects of the current economic downturn, evidenced by lower occupancy rates, declining room rates and reduced consumer spending across the country, but particularly in the Las Vegas geographical area; nor did we predict that the incremental supply becoming available on the Las Vegas Strip would face such depressed demand levels, thereby elongating the time for absorption of this additional supply into the market.

The further delay of the Echelon project implied that the carrying amounts of the assets related to the development may not be recoverable; therefore, at the time, we performed an impairment test of these assets. These impairment tests were comprised of an appraisal of the development and an analysis of its future undiscounted cash flow, and contemplated several viable alternative plans for the future development of Echelon. The cash inflows related to the revenue projections for the individual components associated with each planned construction scenario, offset by outflows for estimated costs to complete the development and ongoing maintenance and operating costs.

We initially performed this evaluation during the year ended December 31, 2009. We updated these analyses during the year ended December 31, 2011 to evaluate any further depression in real estate or land values as well as any deterioration in our initial cash flow assumptions. The outcome of this evaluation resulted in no impairment of Echelon's assets, as the estimated weighted net undiscounted cash flows from the project exceed the current carrying value of the assets of approximately 1.1 billion at December 31, 2011.

In December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded we would not resume development. As a result of this decision, we now expect to dispose of the assets. We updated our impairment analysis to compare

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets. Based on this scenario, we recognized an impairment at December 31, 2012, of \$993.9 million based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets as discussed in Note 4, Property and Equipment, net, and Note 5, Assets Held for Development. Due to the termination of the project, no additional project costs will be capitalized.

The following information summarizes the contractual obligations during the year ended December 31, 2012 with respect to our various material commitments, which are in addition to capitalized costs and annual recurring project costs, related to Echelon:

Energy Sales Agreement

LVE is a joint venture between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Echelon Resorts, we entered into an Energy Sales Agreement ("ESA") with LVE, to design, build, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon Resorts) and operate a central energy center and related distribution system for our planned Echelon resort development. Pursuant to the ESA, LVE would provide chilled and hot water, electricity and emergency electricity generation to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties. However, since we are obligated to purchase substantially all of the output of the central energy center, we were the primary beneficiary under the terms of the ESA.

LVE suspended construction of the central energy center while the Echelon project was delayed. On April 3, 2009, LVE notified us that, in its view, Echelon Resorts would be in breach of the ESA unless it recommenced and proceeded with construction of the Echelon development project by May 6, 2009.

On March 7, 2011, Echelon Resorts and LVE entered into both a purchase option agreement (the "Purchase Option Agreement") and a periodic fee agreement (the "Periodic Fee Agreement"). Under the Periodic Fee Agreement, Echelon Resorts and LVE had mutually agreed that neither LVE nor Echelon Resorts would give notice of, file or otherwise initiate any claim or cause of action, in or before any court, administrative agency, arbitrator, mediator or other tribunal, that arises under the ESA, subject to certain exceptions, and any statute of limitations or limitation periods for defenses, claims, causes of actions and counterclaims shall be tolled while the Periodic Fee Agreement is in effect. The prohibition on the initiation of litigation and the tolling of the statute of limitations provided for in the Periodic Fee Agreement should be applicable to any litigation with respect to LVE's April 3, 2009 claim of an alleged breach of the ESA. Under the Periodic Fee Agreement, Echelon Resorts agreed to pay LVE, beginning on March 4, 2011, a monthly Periodic Fee and an operation and maintenance fee until either (i) Echelon Resorts notified LVE that it has resumed construction of a portion of the Echelon development project that it owns and Echelon Resorts and LVE have mutually agreed to changes to the dates in their respective construction milestones under the ESA, or (ii) Echelon Resorts exercised its option to purchase LVE's assets pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Option Agreement. The amount of the Periodic Fee was fixed at \$11.9 million annually through November 2013. The operation and maintenance fee could not exceed \$0.6 million per annum without Echelon's prior approval. We had posted a letter of credit in the amount of \$6 million to secure Echelon's Resorts obligation to pay the Periodic Fee and the operation and maintenance fee.

On January 27, 2013, the Purchase Option Agreement was amended. Under the Amended Purchase Option Agreement, Echelon Resorts had the right, at its sole discretion, upon written notice to LVE, to purchase the assets of

LVE including the central energy center and related distribution system for a price of \$187.0 million, subject to certain possible adjustments. Both the ESA and the Periodic Fee Agreement would have been terminated concurrent with the purchase of the LVE assets pursuant to the Purchase Option Agreement, as amended.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash, which resulted in the execution of the Purchase Option Agreement. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel, as well as site improvements, including the district energy system and central energy center that was to be built by LVE. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$163.8 million of proceeds after payments were made to LVE for the central energy center and related distribution system. After certain additional transaction fees are paid, we realized approximately \$157 million in net proceeds from the sale after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

Line Extension and Service Agreement (“LEA”)

In March 2007, we entered into an LEA with Nevada Power Company (currently known as NV Energy) related to the construction of a substation at Echelon and the delivery of power to Echelon. The agreement provides that Echelon is to pay liquidated damages of \$5 million to NV Energy, in the event that we do not physically accept permanent electric service by January 1, 2012 through the substation to be built by NV Energy pursuant to the LEA. On August 29, 2008, NV Energy issued a letter declaring a force majeure event that extended the time for performance of obligations under the LEA, including its obligation to construct the

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

substation from which Echelon was to accept delivery of permanent electric service. NV Energy has not built the substation, and we currently do not have an obligation to pay the liquidated damage amount of \$5 million due to the force majeure event and because delivery of permanent electric service from the substation is not possible.

Clark County Fees

In November 2007, we entered into an agreement with Clark County for the development of the project. The agreement required payment of \$5.2 million, allocated among four annual installments, which commenced in January 2008. We made the first of those payments. In December 2008, Clark County granted us a one year deferral for each of the remaining fixed annual installments due under the development agreement and was in the process of reviewing our request for a further deferral of the remaining fixed annual payments for up to five years. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding amount on this agreement is \$3.9 million. We have accounted for this liability in our impairment.

LEED Tax Credits

We were pursuing Echelon's certification under the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED") Silver Standard (or equivalent) for the project as part of the State of Nevada's tax incentive program (the "LEED Program"). The LEED Program allowed for Echelon to receive an exemption on the non-state, local sales and use tax rate of 5.75% on qualifying construction materials purchased prior to December 31, 2010. We reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume construction of Echelon. As such, we would not qualify for the LEED Silver Standard (or equivalent) certification. As a result, we do not remain eligible for the LEED program. We included the estimated amount for the abated local portion of sales and use tax, plus interest at a rate of 6.00% per annum, on the qualifying construction materials as part of our impairment at December 31, 2012.

Other Agreements

During the year ended December 31, 2012, certain other agreements, such as office leases and warehouse leases were charged to preopening expense as incurred. While we can provide no assurances, we do not believe that any of our other agreements for the project give rise to any material liabilities resulting from the decision not to pursue further development of the project.

Kansas Management Contract

On January 14, 2011, the Kansas Management Contract was approved by the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission ("KRGCC"). The Kansas Management Contract contractually obligates the Kansas Star Casino, LLC ("KSC") to open certain phases of the project by certain specified dates. With certain exceptions, the permanent gaming facility must be completed by January 14, 2013, and the entire construction project (as set forth in the contract) including the development of an equine complex for events and shows that includes multiple arenas and barn facilities and 150 additional hotel rooms must be completed no later than January 14, 2015. In addition, as of January 14, 2015, KSC is obligated to have made a minimum investment in infrastructure related to the Kansas Star development of \$225.0 million, inclusive of any third party investments but exclusive of a \$25.0 million privilege fee. We opened its permanent gaming facility on December 12, 2012 and project to date expenditures total more than \$225.0 million as of December 31, 2012 which exceeds the minimum investment in infrastructure commitment. We currently expect to meet the remaining January 14, 2015 completion date.

As part of the Kansas Management Contract, KSC committed to donate \$1.5 million each year to support education in the local area in which the Kansas Star casino operates for the duration of the Kansas Management Contract. The first

distribution under this commitment was made as scheduled in 2012 and was recorded as an expense in Selling, general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

Mulvane Development Agreement

On March 7, 2011, Kansas Star entered into a Development Agreement with Mulvane (“Mulvane Development Agreement”) related to the provision of water, sewer, and electrical utilities to the Kansas Star site. This agreement sets forth certain parameters governing the use of public financing for the provision of such utilities, through the issuance of general obligation bonds by Mulvane, paid for through the imposition of a special tax assessment on the Kansas Star site payable over 15 years in an amount equal to Mulvane's full obligations under the general obligation bonds.

During the construction period of the infrastructure improvements, Mulvane will issue obligation bonds, the proceeds of which will be used to pay for the construction costs of the improvements. During the year ended, December 31, 2012, Mulvane has issued \$18.8 million in general obligation bonds related to these infrastructure improvements. All payments under the special tax assessment are secured by an irrevocable letters of credit issued by the Company in favor of Mulvane. The amount of the outstanding

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

letters of credit supporting these utilities improvements shall be reduced to an amount equal to three times the annual special assessment tax imposed on KSC plus outstanding letters of credit issued related to infrastructure improvements to be completed in future years.

During 2013, the City of Mulvane will perform additional infrastructure improvements that will benefit the Kansas Star site. Upon completion of the improvements, the City will issue additional 15 years general obligation bonds to repay construction costs of the improvements. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has issued \$0.9 million in letters of credit in favor of the City to secure payments made by the City related to these additional infrastructure improvements which will be reduced to an amount equal to three times the annual special assessment tax imposed on KSC upon completion of these additional improvements and issuance of the additional general obligation bonds. Future obligations approximate less than \$2.0 million per year through 2027.

Minimum Assessment Agreement

In 2007, Diamond Jo Dubuque entered a Minimum Assessment Agreement with the City of Dubuque. Under the Minimum Assessment Agreement, Diamond Jo Dubuque and the City agreed to a minimum taxable value related to the new casino of \$57.9 million. Diamond Jo Dubuque and the City agreed to pay property taxes to the City based on the actual taxable value of the casino, but not less than the minimum taxable value. Scheduled payments of principal and interest on the City Bonds will be funded through Diamond Jo Dubuque's payment obligations under the Minimum Assessment Agreement. Diamond Jo Dubuque's is also obligated to pay any shortfall should property taxes be insufficient to fund the principal and interest payments on the City Bonds.

As a result of purchase accounting the Minimum Assessment Agreement obligation was revalued to fair value. Interest costs under the Minimum Assessment Agreement obligation are expensed as incurred. The remaining obligation under the Minimum Assessment Agreement at December 31, 2012 was \$1.9 million, which was recorded in Accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet and \$15.2 million, net of a \$3.3 million discount, which was recorded as a long-term obligation in Other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The discount will be amortized to interest expense over the life of the Minimum Assessment Agreement. Total minimum payments by Diamond Jo Dubuque under the Minimum Assessment Agreement are approximately \$1.9 million per year through 2036.

Merger Earnout

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Boyd, is obligated to make an additional payment to PGP in 2016 if KSC's EBITDA, as defined in the Merger Agreement, for 2015 exceeds \$105.0 million. The additional payment would be in an amount equal to 7.5 times the amount by which KSC's 2015 EBITDA exceeds \$105.0 million. The actual payout will be determined based on actual EBITDA of KSC for calendar year 2015, and payments are not limited by a maximum value. If the actual 2015 EBITDA of KSC is less than the target, the Company is not required to make any additional consideration payment.

Contingent Payments

In connection with KSC's acquisition of a land purchase option to purchase land upon which KSC's casino is currently being developed, KSC is required to pay a former casino project developer and option holder 1% of KSC's EBITDA each month for a period of 10 years commencing December 20, 2011.

Borgata

Utility Contract

In 2005, Borgata amended its executory contracts with a wholly-owned subsidiary of a local utility company, extending the end of the term to twenty years from the opening of The Water Club. The utility company provides Borgata with electricity and thermal energy (hot water and chilled water). Obligations under the thermal energy executory contract contain both fixed fees and variable fees based upon usage rates. The fixed fee components under the thermal energy executory contract are currently estimated at approximately \$11.6 million per annum. Borgata also committed to purchase a certain portion of its electricity demand at essentially a fixed rate, which is estimated at approximately \$1.7 million per annum. Electricity demand in excess of the commitment is subject to market rates based on Borgata's tariff class.

Investment Alternative Tax

The New Jersey Casino Control Act provides, among other things, for an assessment of licensees equal to 1.25% of their gross gaming revenues in lieu of an investment alternative tax equal to 2.5% of gross gaming revenues.

Generally, Borgata may satisfy this investment obligation by investing in qualified eligible direct investments, by making qualified contributions or by depositing funds with the New Jersey Casino Reinvestment Development Authority ("CRDA"). Funds deposited with the CRDA may be used to purchase bonds designated by the CRDA or, under certain circumstances, may be donated to the CRDA in exchange for

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

credits against future CRDA investment obligations. CRDA bonds have terms up to fifty years and bear interest at below market rates.

Borgata's CRDA obligations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$7.7 million, \$8.1 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, of which valuation provisions of \$4.4 million, \$3.5 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, were recorded due to the respective underlying agreements.

Purse Enhancement Agreement

In August 2008, Borgata and the ten other casinos in the Atlantic City market (collectively, the "Casinos") entered into a Purse Enhancement Agreement (the "Agreement") with the New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority (the "NJSEA") and the Casino Reinvestment Development Authority in the interest of further deferring or preventing the proliferation of competitive gaming at New Jersey racing tracks through December 31, 2011. In addition to the continued prohibition of casino gaming in New Jersey outside of Atlantic City, legislation was enacted to provide for the deduction of certain promotional gaming credits from the calculation of the tax on casino gross revenue.

Under the terms of the Agreement, the Casinos are required to make scheduled payments to the NJSEA totaling \$90 million to be used for certain authorized purposes (the "Authorized Uses") as defined by the Agreement. For each year, each casino's share of the scheduled payments will equate to a percentage representing its gross gaming revenue for the prior calendar year compared to the gross gaming revenues for that period for all Casinos. Each casino, solely and individually, shall be responsible for its respective share of the scheduled amounts due. In the event that any casino shall fail to make its payment as required, the remaining Casinos shall have the right, but not the obligation, to cure a payment delinquency. As a result, Borgata expenses its pro rata share of the \$90 million, estimated to be approximately \$15.0 million based on its actual market shares of gross gaming revenue, on a straight-line basis over the applicable term of the Agreement. Borgata recorded expense of \$5.1 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Atlantic City Tourism District

As part of the State of New Jersey's plan to revitalize Atlantic City, a new law was enacted in February 2011 requiring that a tourism district (the "Tourism District") be created and managed by the CRDA. The Tourism District has been established to include each of the Atlantic City casino properties along with certain other tourism related areas of Atlantic City. The law requires that a public-private partnership be created between the CRDA and a private entity that represents existing and future casino licensees. The private entity, known as The Atlantic City Alliance (the "ACA"), has been established in the form of a not-for-profit limited liability company, of which MDCC is a member. The public-private partnership between the ACA and CRDA shall be for an initial term of five years and its general purpose shall be to revitalize the Tourism District. The law requires that a \$5 million contribution be made to this effort by all casinos prior to 2012 followed by an annual amount of \$30 million to be contributed by the casinos commencing January 1, 2012 for a term of five years. Each casino's share of the annual contributions will equate to a percentage representing its gross gaming revenue for the prior calendar year compared to the aggregate gross gaming revenues for that period for all casinos. As a result, Borgata will expense their pro rata share of the \$155 million as incurred. During the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, Borgata incurred expense of \$6.1 million and \$0.9 million for the pro rata share of the initial contribution to the ACA.

Boyd Leases

The Orleans Hotel and Casino

The Orleans is situated on approximately 77 acres of leased land. The lease had an effective commencement date of October 1, 1995, an initial term of 50 years, and includes an option, exercisable by us, to extend the initial term for an additional 25 years. The lease provides for monthly rental payments of \$0.3 million through February 2011 which such annual rental payments will thereafter increase by a compounding basis at a rate of 3.0% per annum. In addition,

we have an option to purchase the real property during a two-year period commencing February 2016.

Suncoast Hotel and Casino

Suncoast is situated on approximately 49 acres of leased land. The lease had an effective commencement date of September 1, 1995, an initial term of 60 years, and contains three options to extend the term of the lease for ten years each. The original lease term expires in December 2055, exclusive of the three options to extend the term of the lease for 10 years each. The lease provides for monthly rental payments of approximately \$0.2 million in 2004 that increase slightly each year. The landlord has the option to require us to purchase the property at the end of 2014 and each year end through 2018, at the fair market value of the real property at the time the landlord exercises the option, subject to certain pricing limitations. If we do not purchase the property if and when required, we would be in default under the lease agreement.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

California Hotel and Casino

The California is situated on approximately 14 acres of owned land, and two acres of leased land, respectively. The leased land had an effective commencement date of September 1, 1973 with a term of 60 years. The lease provides for monthly rental payments of \$3,000 through the June 30, 1973, and \$6,500 from July 1, 1974 through August 31, 2003, with a cost-of-living index adjustment preceding the initial month of each of three-year periods from September 1, 2003 through August 31, 2027, and the initial month of each of the final two-year periods from September 1, 2027 through August 31, 2030, and the initial month of the final two-month remaining period. Monthly rent for the last 30 years of the lease will be negotiated and agreed upon, but shall be no less than \$6,500 per month, or less than any rent computed for a prior month, whichever is more. In addition, we have the right of first refusal in the event the lessor shall receive from a third party a bona fide offer to purchase the premises.

Fremont Hotel and Casino

The Fremont is situated on approximately three acres of land, of which one acre is leased pursuant to six separate long-term ground lease agreements (collectively, the “Fremont Ground Leases”). The Fremont Ground Leases have lease terms ranging between 79 to 99 years. Five of the Fremont Ground Leases have expiration dates in either July or August 2053, and the sixth Fremont Ground Lease has an expiration date in December 2077. The lease expiring in December 2077, also contains a right of first refusal in the event that the lessor intends to sell that leased premises. None of the Fremont Ground Leases have option rights to further extend their lease terms. Each of the Fremont Ground Leases provide for monthly rental payments, with a cumulative current monthly rent of approximately \$0.1 million. The monthly rental obligations of the Fremont Ground Leases are generally subject to periodic adjustment based on changes in the consumer price index (“CPI”). Principally, these CPI adjustments are done in either five or ten-year lease term cycles; however, one of the Fremont Ground Leases adjusts every two years of its lease term.

Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall

Sam's Town Tunica is located on approximately 150 acres of owned real estate (the “Property”). However, the original sellers of the Property have an option to repurchase the Property in 2033 (the “Option Exercise Date”) for \$0.9 million. The option will be deemed to be automatically exercised unless the original sellers notify the Company to the contrary at least 60 days prior to the Option Exercise Date.

Sam's Town Hotel and Casino

Sam's Town Shreveport is located on 18 acres of leased land and is a party to a Hotel Ground Lease with the City of Shreveport dated as of March 10, 1998, as amended, and an Amended and Restated Ground Lease dated as of March 10, 1998, as amended (together, the “Shreveport Ground Leases”). The initial terms of the Shreveport Ground Leases expired on April 30, 1999, but the Shreveport Ground Leases have been renewed and are still in effect. The Shreveport Ground Leases may be renewed for additional renewal terms which finally expire on March 10, 2048. Aggregate rent payable under the Shreveport Ground Leases is equal to (i) base rent of \$0.53 million, as of December 31, 2012 plus (ii) percentage rent of 1% of the adjusted gross revenue from hotel and casino operations plus (iii) 4.75% of adjusted gross gaming revenue for admission taxes. Also, real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and other charges against the property are payable by the Company. Sam's Town Shreveport is also a party to a Commercial Lease with the State of Louisiana dated as of July 6, 1994, as amended by an amendment dated as of April 24, 2001 (together, the “Lease”). The initial term of the Lease expired in July 2004 but was renewed for an additional ten-year term and is still in effect. The Lease may be renewed for two additional ten-year renewal terms. The annual rent now payable under the Lease is \$0.07 million.

Treasure Chest Casino

Treasure Chest is located on 14 acres of leased land and is a party to an Amended and Restated Lease for Parking and Other Amenities with the City of Kenner dated as of December 3, 1993, as amended (the "Lease"). The initial term of the Lease expired but the Lease has been renewed and is still in effect. The Lease may be renewed for additional renewal terms which finally expire on July 1, 2029. Rent payable under the Lease is the sum of (i) a base rent determined by formula plus (ii) a \$2.50 per capita rent for each person entering the casino. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, rent paid to the City was \$5.1 million, \$5.1 million and \$4.6 million. Treasure Chest is also a party to a Commercial Lease with the State of Louisiana dated as of March 9, 1994 (the "State Lease"). The initial term of the State Lease expired in March 2004 but was renewed for an additional ten-year term and is still in effect. The Lease may be renewed for two additional ten-year renewal terms. The annual rent now payable under the Lease is \$0.1 million.

IP Casino Resort Spa

IP is located on 24 acres of owned land and leases approximately 4 acres of submerged tidelands from the state of Mississippi. The lease commenced on December 2005 and expires in 2035. The lease payment is adjusted annually at the end of each term

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

based on the all urban consumer price index. The lease expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately \$0.2 million for the stub period and will approximate \$0.8 million during the year ending December 31, 2012. Additionally, IP leases a parking lot from the City of Biloxi on a monthly basis. The parking lot lease will expire in August 2013 unless extended by written agreement.

Diamond Jo Dubuque

DJL currently has approximately 500 surface parking spaces that are in close proximity to its casino located on properties that DJL leases under an operating lease for \$500,000 annually through December 2018. In accordance with an operating agreement between DJL and the Dubuque Racing Association, Ltd. (“DRA”), the DRA reimburses DJL for these lease payments.

Diamond Jo Worth

DJW leases, under an operating lease, 10 acres of land north of the casino that is used for patron parking. This lease requires DJW to pay less than \$0.1 million per year as rent through June 2016. The property lease also allows for the purchase of the leased land at the expiration of the lease for a total purchase price of approximately \$0.8 million. In addition, DJW also leases, under an operating lease, 30 acres of land through August 2013 for use as additional hunting land at its Pheasant Links facility in Emmons, Minnesota. Total rent expense for these leases are less than \$0.1 million annually.

The Company currently leases, under an operating lease, approximately 10,876 square feet of office space in Dubuque, Iowa. Total rent expense for this lease is approximately \$0.2 million annually.

The Company leases three of its OTB facilities and other equipment under noncancelable operating leases. The Company also leases certain gaming machines and other equipment under cancelable leases. These cancelable leases require either fixed monthly payments or contingent monthly rental payments based on usage of the equipment.

Borgata Leases

As of December 31, 2010, MDDC owns approximately 26 acres of land and all improvements thereon with respect to that portion of the property consisting of the Borgata Hotel. In addition, MDDC, as lessee, entered into a series of ground leases with MGM, as lessor, for a total of approximately 20 acres of land underlying the employee parking garage, public space expansion, rooms expansion, and modified surface parking lot reside, as well as, an undeveloped parcel. On November 4, 2010, MGM announced that it had closed the sale of land leased to MDDC for the public space expansion, rooms expansion, parking structure and the undeveloped parcel. Other than MDDC's obligation to pay rent (in an amount equal to the amount paid under the parking structure ground lease) and property taxes pursuant to the alternative parking structure ground lease, Borgata's obligations under the ground leases were not modified by the sale. The leases consist of:

• Lease and Option Agreement, dated as of January 16, 2002, as amended by a letter agreement, dated April 10, 2009, a letter agreement, dated September 21, 2009, the Modification of Lease and Option Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2004, and the Second Modification of Employee Parking Structure Lease and Option Agreement, dated March 23, 2010, for approximately 2 acres of land underlying the parking garage;

• Expansion Ground Lease, dated as of January 1, 2005, as amended by the Modification of Expansion Ground Lease, dated March 23, 2010, for approximately 4 acres of land underlying the Public Space Expansion;

• Tower Expansion & Additional Structured Parking Ground Lease Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, as amended by the Modification of Tower Expansion & Additional Structured Parking Ground Lease Agreement, dated

February 20, 2010, and the Second Modification of Tower Expansion & Additional Structured Parking Ground Lease Agreement, dated March 23, 2010, for approximately 2 acres of land underlying the Rooms Expansion and 3 acres of land underlying a parking structure each;

Surface Lot Ground Lease, dated as of August 20, 2004, as amended by the Modification of Surface Lot Ground Lease, dated March 23, 2010, for approximately 8 acres of land consisting of the surface parking lot; and

Ground Lease Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2010, for approximately 1 acre of an undeveloped land parcel.

Pursuant to the alternative parking structure ground lease, (i) commencing on the date of the Divestiture Trust's agreement to sell the land underlying the ground leases, MDDC became responsible for all real property taxes assessed against the land underlying the alternative parking structure ground lease and (ii) payment of monthly rent under the alternative parking structure ground lease shall be deferred until the earliest to occur of (x) the date 18 months following the execution of the sale agreement, (y) completion of construction of The Water Club parking garage, and (z) expiration of the term of the Divestiture Trust. Effective as of the date of execution of the sale agreement, the monthly rent due under the alternative parking structure ground lease was in an amount

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

consistent with the rent due under the parking structure ground lease on a per square foot basis.

The lease terms extend until December 31, 2070 with the exception of the surface parking lot lease. The surface parking lot ground lease is on a month-to-month term and may be terminated by either party effective on the last day of the month that is six months after notice is given. In addition, the surface parking lot ground lease will terminate on any termination of the Divestiture Trust, unless the New Jersey Casino Control Commission ("NJCCC") approves an extended term of such lease.

MDDC owns all improvements made on the leased lands during the term of each ground lease. Upon expiration of such term, ownership of such improvements reverts back to the landlord.

If during the term of the rooms expansion ground lease, the public space expansion ground lease or the alternate parking structure ground lease, the third party landlord ("Landlord") or any person associated with the Landlord is found by the NJCCC to be unsuitable to be associated with a casino enterprise and such person is not removed from such association in a manner acceptable to the NJCCC, then MDDC may, upon written notice to the Landlord, elect to purchase the leased land for the appraised value as determined under the terms of such ground leases, unless the Landlord elects, upon receipt of such notice, to sell the land to a third party, subject to the ground leases. If the Landlord elects to sell the land to a third party but is unable to do so within one year, then the Landlord must sell the land to MDDC for the appraised value.

In addition, MDDC has an option to purchase the land leased under the parking structure ground lease at any time during the term of that lease so long as it is not in default thereunder, at fair market value as determined in accordance with the terms of parking structure ground lease. In the event that the land underlying the surface parking lot ground lease is sold to a third party, MDDC has the option to build a parking garage, if necessary, to replace the lost parking spaces on the land underlying the alternate parking structure ground lease.

Future Minimum Lease Payments and Rental Income

Future minimum lease payments required under noncancelable operating leases, which are primarily these land leases, as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Boyd Gaming Lease Obligations (In thousands)	Borgata Lease Obligations	Total Lease Obligations
For the Year Ending December 31,			
2013	\$19,174	\$7,195	\$26,369
2014	13,657	6,863	20,520
2015	11,776	6,480	18,256
2016	10,215	6,414	16,629
2017	10,040	6,382	16,422
Thereafter	396,584	323,931	720,515
	\$461,446	\$357,265	\$818,711

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$29.3 million, \$28.4 million and \$26.7 million, and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Future minimum rental income, which is primarily related to retail and restaurant facilities located within our properties, as of December 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Boyd Gaming Rental Income (In thousands)	Borgata Rental Income	Total Rental Income
For the Year Ending December 31,			
2013	\$1,091	\$1,743	\$2,834
2014	496	1,605	2,101
2015	377	1,605	1,982
2016	196	1,506	1,702
2017	146	1,435	1,581
Thereafter	54	6,327	6,381
	\$2,360	\$14,221	\$16,581

Contingencies

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino ("Treasure Chest"), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would

limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Pending before the District Court are a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action filed by Guidry, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which the Company joined, and a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction filed by the U.S. Attorney, which may result in the case being remanded to state court. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. A ruling has not yet been issued. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court but allowed for additional filings by September 13, 2011. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

which effectively struck Copeland's demands for loss profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the "Department"), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. We estimate the refund to be in the range of \$17.9 million to \$20.3 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge ("Judge") affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. We are appealing the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department has appealed the decision on employee meals. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset; and pending the ultimate resolution of the appeal at the State Supreme Court, we expect the offset issue will either be addressed by the Supreme Court or remanded back to District Court. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of our appeal to the State Supreme Court, we will not record any gain until a final, non-appealable decision has been rendered. On July 6, 2012 the Department retracted its previous guidance requiring payment of sales tax, on complimentary and employee meals, for periods subsequent to February 15, 2012. The updated guidance defers the requirement to collect and remit sales tax, without interest or penalty, on complimentary and employee meals until the occurrence of a defined future event. Based on the Department's updated guidance, we have not collected, remitted or accrued a liability for sales tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties.

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip previously received a valuation notice from the county assessor indicating an unanticipated increase of nearly 400% to its assessed property value as of January 1, 2006. In December 2007, we received the property tax bill related to our 2006 tax assessment in the amount \$6.2 million, which we appealed. In February 2009, we received a notice of revaluation, reducing the initial tax assessment by approximately \$2.2 million. Since then, we have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received in years 2007 through 2012, all of which were based on the 2006 valuation notice. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we reached settlements with the county assessor, reducing the annual valuation for years 2006 through 2009. Based on these settlements, we revised our cumulative property tax accrual to reflect the retrospective effect of the revised valuations. The impact of these revisions to the valuations resulted in a reduction of our property tax accrual of approximately \$9.7 million, which was cumulatively reversed through property tax expense during the year ended December 31, 2011.

We received the 2010 tax assessment in January 2013 but have not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 or 2012. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the 2009 settlement valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment and believe the assessments for the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012 could result in a total property tax obligation, net of previous payments, ranging between \$5.0 million and \$14.1 million. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$14.1 million for this property

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

tax liability as of December 31, 2012, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome within our range, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount billed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2012, which have not been received as of December 31, 2012, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

Legal Matters

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 14. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

Share Repurchase Program

We have in the past, and may in the future, acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine from time to time. In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to \$100 million. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program.

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our Credit Facility.

We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our Credit Facility.

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock. We are currently authorized to repurchase up to an additional \$92.1 million in shares of our common stock under the share repurchase program.

Dividends

Dividends are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors. We are subject to certain limitations regarding payment of dividends, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our Credit Facility. No dividends were declared during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Stock Option Incentive Plan

On May 17, 2012, at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Company's 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2002 Plan") as the 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan") in order to (a) provide for a term ending ten years from the date of stockholder approval at the Annual Meeting, (b) increase the maximum number of shares of the Company's common stock authorized for issuance over the term of the 2012 Plan by 4 million shares from 17 million to 21 million shares, (c) permit the future grant of certain equity-based awards, including awards designed to constitute performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and (d) make certain other changes. Under our 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approximately 2.2 million shares remain available for grant at December 31, 2012. The number of authorized but

unissued shares of common stock under this plan as of December 31, 2012 was approximately 17.8 million shares. Options granted under the plan generally become exercisable ratably over a three-year period from the date of grant. Options that have been granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the market price of our common stock on the date of grant and will expire no later than ten years after the date of grant.

175

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Summarized stock option plan activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows.

	Options	Weighted Average Option Price	Weighted Average Remaining Term (In years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	9,569,657	\$27.68		
Granted	1,190,867	8.34		
Canceled	(126,496)) 24.64		
Exercised	(114,525)) 6.31		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	10,519,503	25.76		
Granted	541,340	6.74		
Canceled	(316,743)) 29.91		
Exercised	(72,757)) 5.46		
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	10,671,343	24.81		
Granted	537,840	5.22		
Canceled	(366,344)) 21.4		
Exercised	(16,835)) 6.95		
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	10,826,004	\$23.98	5.0	\$810
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	8,911,028	\$28.20	4.9	\$1,011
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	9,545,547	\$26.31	4.5	\$47

Share-based compensation costs related to stock option awards are calculated based on the fair value of each option grant on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The following table summarizes the information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2012.

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$5.22-\$5.22	537,840	9.8	\$ 5.22	—	\$ —
6.60-6.60	1,162,552	5.8	6.60	1,162,552	6.60
6.70-6.70	537,840	8.9	6.70	179,284	6.70
7.55-7.55	1,327,655	6.8	7.55	1,327,655	7.55
8.34-8.34	1,160,533	7.8	8.34	776,472	8.34
11.28-33.31	470,928	0.9	16.35	470,928	16.35
36.76-36.76	1,436,827	1.9	36.76	1,436,827	36.76
38.11-38.11	491,000	4.9	38.11	491,000	38.11
39.00-39.00	1,336,500	3.8	39.00	1,336,500	39.00
39.78-52.35	2,364,329	3.7	39.95	2,364,329	39.95
5.22-52.35	10,826,004	5.0	\$ 23.98	9,545,547	\$ 26.31

The total intrinsic value of in-the-money options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$19 thousand, \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the years ended December 31,

176

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

2012, 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$4.8 million, \$5.1 million and \$9.7 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$3.8 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to unvested stock options, which is expected to be recognized over approximately 1.4 years, the weighted-average remaining requisite service period.

Restricted Stock Units

Our 2012 Plan amended and restated the 2002 Plan, which provided for the grant of Restricted Stock Units (“RSUs”). A RSU is an award which may be earned in whole, or in part, upon the passage of time, and which may be settled for cash, shares, other securities or a combination thereof. The RSUs do not contain voting rights and are not entitled to dividends. The RSUs are subject to the terms and conditions contained in the applicable award agreement and our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan.

We annually award RSUs to certain members of our Board of Directors. Each RSU is fully vested upon grant and is to be paid in shares of common stock upon cessation of service to the Company. We also grant RSUs to members of management of the Company, which represents a contingent right to receive one share of our common stock upon vesting.

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, certain of our executive management employees were granted RSUs, totaling approximately 751,000 units, 695,000 units, and 429,000 units, respectively. Each of these RSUs represent a contingent right to receive one share of Boyd Gaming Corporation common stock upon vesting. These RSUs were issued for past service; therefore, they are fully vested on the date of issuance and expensed on the date of issuance.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Summarized RSU activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows.

	Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	970,108	
Granted	485,067	\$8.36
Canceled	(19,080)	
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	1,436,095	
Granted	765,516	\$6.96
Canceled	(41,340)	
Awarded	(310,881)	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	1,849,390	
Granted	860,376	\$5.51
Canceled	(9,781)	
Awarded	(328,838)	
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	2,371,147	
Vested at December 31, 2011	573,798	
Vested at December 31, 2012	955,693	

As of December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$7.8 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to unvested RSUs, which is expected to be recognized over approximately 2.0 years.

Performance Stock Units

Our 2012 Plan amended and restated the 2002 Plan, which provided for the grant of Performance Stock Units (“PSUs”). A PSU is an award which may be earned in whole, or in part, upon the passage of time, and the attainment of performance criteria, and which may be settled for cash, shares, other securities or a combination thereof. The PSUs do not contain voting rights and are not entitled to dividends. The PSUs are subject to the terms and conditions contained in the applicable award agreement and our 2012 Plan.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, certain executive management employees were granted PSUs, totaling approximately 423,955 units. Each of these PSUs represent a contingent right to receive a share of Boyd Gaming Corporation common stock; however, the actual denomination of units awarded is dependent upon the occurrence of: (i) a requisite service period; and (ii) an evaluation of specific performance conditions. The performance conditions are based on Company metrics for net revenue growth, EBITDA growth and customer service scores, all of which shall be determined on a comprehensive annual three year growth rate. Based upon actual and combined achievement, the number of units awarded could range from zero, if no conditions are met, a 50% payout if only threshold performance is achieved, a payout of 100% for target performance, or a payout of up to 200% of the original award for achievement of maximum performance. Each condition weighs equally and separately in determining the payout, and based upon management's estimates at the service inception date, the Company is expected to meet the target for each performance condition. Therefore, the related compensation costs of these PSUs assumes all units granted will be awarded.

These PSUs will vest three years from the service inception date, during which time achievement of the related performance conditions will be evaluated, and the number of shares expected to be awarded, and resulting

compensation expense, will be adjusted accordingly.

178

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Summarized PSU activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows.

	Performance Stock Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	—	
Granted	406,602	\$6.70
Canceled	—	
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	406,602	
Granted	423,955	\$5.24
Canceled	(1,427))
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	829,130	
Vested at December 31, 2011	—	
Vested at December 31, 2012	—	

As of December 31, 2012, there was approximately \$6.8 million of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs related to unvested PSUs, which is expected to be recognized over approximately 2.5 years.

Career Shares

Our Career Shares Program is a stock incentive award program for certain executive officers to provide for additional capital accumulation opportunities for retirement. The program incentivizes and rewards executives for their period of service. Our Career Shares Program was adopted in December 2006, and modified in October 2010, as part of the overall update of our compensation programs. The Career Shares Program rewards eligible executives with annual grants of Boyd Gaming Corporation stock units, to be paid out at retirement. The payout at retirement is dependent upon the executive's age at such retirement and the number of years of service with the Company. Executives must be at least 55 years old and have at least 10 years of service to receive any payout at retirement. Career Shares do not contain voting rights and are not entitled to dividends. Career Shares are subject to the terms and conditions contained in the applicable award agreement and our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. The Career Share awards are tranching by specific term, in the following periods: 10 years, 15 years and 20 years of service. These grants vest over the remaining period of service required to fulfill the requisite years in each of these tranches, and compensation expense is recorded in accordance with the specific vesting provisions.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Summarized Career Shares activity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows.

	Career Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	304,441	
Granted	146,622	\$8.60
Canceled	(18,201)	
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	432,862	
Granted	113,495	\$10.81
Canceled	(6,668)	
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	539,689	
Granted	163,137	\$7.69
Canceled	—	
Awarded	—	
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	702,826	
Vested at December 31, 2011	314,888	
Vested at December 31, 2012	441,736	

Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based awards exchanged for employee services in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for share-based payments. Under the guidance, share-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense, net of estimated forfeitures, over the employee's requisite service period.

The following table summarizes our share-based compensation costs by award type.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Stock Options	\$4,634	\$4,850	\$9,104
Restricted Stock Units	5,816	3,062	1,759
Performance Stock Units	729	76	—
Career Shares	1,068	2,008	461
Total shared-based compensation costs	\$12,247	\$9,996	\$11,324

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table provides classification detail of the total costs related to our share-based employee compensation plans reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Gaming	\$233	\$192	\$318
Food and beverage	44	37	61
Room	21	17	29
Selling, general and administrative	1,183	977	1,619
Corporate expense	10,766	8,773	9,297
Total shared-based compensation expense	\$12,247	\$9,996	\$11,324

NOTE 15. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Comprehensive income includes net income and all other non-stockholder changes in equity, or other comprehensive income. Components of the Company's comprehensive income are reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. The cumulative balance of other comprehensive income consists of fair value adjustments related to hedged derivative instruments and unrealized gains and losses on the investment available for sale resulting from changes in fair value.

A portion of the net derivative instruments market adjustment included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net, at December 31, 2011 relates to certain derivative instruments that we de-designated as cash flow hedges.

The following table reports the effects of the changes in the fair valuations of certain of our financial instruments.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	\$—	\$11,824	\$16,356
Fair value adjustment of investment available for sale	(962) —	—
Tax effect	—	(4,230) (5,824
Fair value adjustments, net of tax	\$(962) \$7,594	\$10,532

NOTE 16. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST

Noncontrolling interest represents: (i) the 50% interest in Borgata, held by the Divestiture Trust for the economic benefit of MGM, which was initially recorded at fair value at the date of the effective change in control, on March 24, 2010; and (ii) all 100% of the members' equity interest in LVE, the variable interest entity which was consolidated in our financial statements effective January 1, 2010, but in which we hold no equity interest. Pursuant to the authoritative accounting guidance for noncontrolling interests, a noncontrolling interest continues to be attributed its share of losses even if that attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance, as is the case with LVE, as presented below.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Changes in the noncontrolling interest since such date are as follows:

	Borgata	LVE	Other	Total
	(In thousands)			
Beginning balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 325,580	\$ (27,818) \$—	\$ 297,762
Distributions	(123,422) —	—	(123,422)
Attributable net income (loss)	17,098	(15,158) —	1,940
Comprehensive income	—	(4,116) —	(4,116)
Balance, December 31, 2010	219,256	(47,092) —	172,164
Attributable net income (loss)	1,750	(5,895) —	(4,145)
Comprehensive income	—	3,968	—	3,968
Balance, December 31, 2011	221,006	(49,019) —	171,987
Capital investment	—	—	20	20
Attributable net income (loss)	(12,729) (1,481) —	(14,210)
Comprehensive income	—	5,539	—	5,539
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 208,277	\$ (44,961) \$ 20	\$ 163,336

Borgata

Distributions

In connection with the refinancing of the Borgata credit facility in August 2010, the Holding Company made a \$123.4 million one-time distribution to the Divestiture Trust, reflected above as a distribution to the noncontrolling interest.

LVE

Comprehensive Income

LVE has entered into interest rate derivative contracts in order to hedge exposure to increasing interest rates, and the impact of those rates on the cash flows of its variable-rate debt. LVE's active interest rate swaps are as follows:

Effective Date	Notional Amount	Fixed Rate	Maturity Date
	(In thousands)		
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments:			
December 21, 2007	\$ 131,986	4.59	% November 1, 2013
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:			
December 21, 2007	100,000	3.42	% November 1, 2013
Totals	\$ 231,986		

The fair value of these derivatives at December 31, 2011 and 2010 represents the amount LVE would have to pay the counterparty to terminate these contracts as of those dates. At inception, these interest rate derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges and were determined to be highly effective. Therefore, the changes in fair value of the effective portion of these derivatives have been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Unrealized gains and losses on the discontinued hedge that was previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings, or when it is probable that it will not occur. Prior to our consolidation of LVE, hedge accounting had been discontinued on the interest rate swap related to the taxable debt because it was no longer expected to be highly effective in hedging the exposure to increasing interest rates and the

impact of those rates on cash flows. The ineffective portion of the swap was due to the construction delays, which caused the outstanding amount of the variable-rate debt to increase at a slower pace than the contractual increases in notional amount of the swap. In July 2011, hedge accounting was discontinued on the interest rate swap related to the tax-exempt debt when \$27.0 million of principal was repaid.

NOTE 17. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We have adopted the authoritative accounting guidance for fair value measurements, which does not determine or affect the circumstances under which fair value measurements are used, but defines fair value, expands disclosure requirements around fair

182

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

value and specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions.

These inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

As required by the guidance for fair value measurements, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Thus, assets and liabilities categorized as Level 3 may be measured at fair value using inputs that are observable (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable (Level 3). Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Balances Measured at Fair Value

The following tables show the fair values of certain of our financial instruments.

	December 31, 2012			
	Balance	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$192,828	\$192,828	\$—	\$—
Restricted cash	22,900	22,900	—	—
CRDA deposits	28,464	—	—	28,464
Investment available for sale	17,907	—	—	17,907
Liabilities				
Merger earnout	\$9,800	\$—	\$—	\$9,800

	December 31, 2011			
	Balance	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$178,756	\$178,756	\$—	\$—
Restricted cash	15,753	15,753	—	—
CRDA deposits	25,905	—	—	25,905

Cash and Restricted Cash

The fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, classified in the fair value hierarchy as Level 1, is based on statements received from our banks at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The fair value of Borgata's CRDA deposits, classified in the fair value hierarchy as Level 3, is based on estimates of the realizable value applied to balances on statements received from the CRDA at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Investment Available for Sale

Peninsula Gaming has an investment in a single municipal bond issuance of \$22.4 million aggregate principal amount of 7.5% Urban Renewal Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Taxable Series 2007 ("City Bonds"). Peninsula Gaming is the only holder of this instrument and there is no quoted market price for this instrument. As such, the fair value of this investment is classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The estimate of the fair value of such investment was determined using a combination of current market rates and estimates of market conditions for instruments with similar terms, maturities, and degrees of risk and an estimate from an independent source of what market participants would use in pricing the bonds. Unrealized gains and losses on this instrument resulting from changes in the fair value of the instrument are not charged to earnings, but rather are recorded as other comprehensive income (loss) in the stockholders' equity section of the Company's balance sheets. The carrying value of the investment available for sale is included in Other assets, net, on the consolidated balance sheets. The discount associated with this investment is netted with the investment on the consolidated balance sheets and is being accreted over the life of the investment using the effective interest method. The accretion of such discount is included in Interest income on the consolidated statements of operations.

Merger Earnout

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Boyd, is obligated to make an additional payment to PGP in 2016 if KSC's EBITDA, as defined in the Merger Agreement, for 2015 exceeds \$105.0 million. The additional payment would be in an amount equal to 7.5 times the amount by which KSC's 2015 EBITDA exceeds \$105.0 million. The actual payout will be determined based on actual EBITDA of KSC for calendar year 2015, and payments are not limited by a maximum value. If the actual 2015 EBITDA of KSC is less than the target, the Company is not required to make any additional consideration payment. The liability was initially recorded upon consummation of the Merger, at the estimated fair value of the earnout using the modified Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the following assumptions: expected EBITDA volatility, forecasted 2015 EBITDA, risk-free interest rates and risk adjusted discount rate. We formed our assumptions using historical experience in the gaming industry and observable market conditions. The contingent consideration agreement will be fair valued periodically with updated assumptions and any change in the fair value of the obligation will be included in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). At December 31, 2012, the Company recorded a liability of \$9.8 million related to the earnout which is included in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Contingent Payments

In connection with KSC's acquisition of a land purchase option to purchase land upon which KSC's casino is currently being developed, KSC is required to pay a former casino project developer and option holder 1% of KSC's EBITDA each month for a period of 10 years commencing December 20, 2011. The liability was initially recorded upon consummation of the Merger, at the estimated fair value of the contingent land purchase price using a discounted cash flows approach. At December 31, 2012, the Company recorded a current liability of \$0.9 million related to this agreement which was recorded in Accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and a long term obligation of \$3.6 million which is included in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 assets and liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2012.

	Period from November 20, 2012 through December 31, 2012	
	Assets	Liabilities
	Investment available for sale	Merger earnout and Contingent land purchase price
	(In thousands)	
Balance at November 20, 2012	\$ 18,853	\$ (14,304)
Total gains (losses) (realized or unrealized):		
Included in earnings	16	(86)
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(962)	—)
Transfers in or out of Level 3	—	—
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements:		
Settlements	—	27
Ending balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 17,907	\$ (14,363)
	Included in interest income	Included in interest expense
Gains (losses) included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date	\$ 16	\$ (86)

Balances Disclosed at Fair Value

The following tables provide the fair value measurement information about our note receivable, obligation under minimum assessment agreements and other financial instruments at December 31, 2012.

	December 31, 2012		Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
	Outstanding Face Amount (In thousands)	Carrying Value		
Assets				
Note receivable	\$ 2,470	\$ 2,470	\$ 2,470	Level 3
Liabilities				
Obligation under assessment arrangements	\$ 38,787	\$ 29,335	\$ 29,113	Level 3
Other financial instruments	500	413	413	Level 3

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

The following table provides the fair value measurement information about our long-term debt at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

	December 31, 2012		Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
	Outstanding Face Amount (In thousands)	Carrying Value		
Boyd Gaming Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,474,850	\$1,466,635	\$1,508,516	Level 2
9.125% Senior Notes due 2018	500,000	492,680	523,995	Level 1
9.00% Senior Notes due 2020	350,000	350,000	347,158	Level 1
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	215,668	215,668	216,460	Level 1
7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	240,750	240,750	236,537	Level 1
Other	158,141	125,475	123,424	Level 3
	2,939,409	2,891,208	2,956,090	
Peninsula Gaming Financing				
Bank credit facility	854,400	854,400	868,838	Level 2
8.375% Senior Notes due 2018	350,000	350,000	367,721	Level 1
Other	494	491	494	Level 3
	1,204,894	1,204,891	1,237,053	
Total Boyd debt	4,144,303	4,096,099	4,193,143	
Borgata Debt:				
Borgata bank credit facility	20,000	20,000	20,000	Level 2
Borgata 9.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2015	398,000	389,547	402,275	Level 1
Borgata 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018	393,500	383,777	373,825	Level 1
	811,500	793,324	796,100	
Total debt	\$4,955,803	\$4,889,423	\$4,989,243	

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2011		Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
	Outstanding Face Amount (In thousands)	Carrying Value		
Boyd Gaming Debt:				
Bank credit facility	\$1,632,750	\$1,621,715	\$1,388,630	Level 2
9.125% Senior Notes due 2018	500,000	491,444	471,000	Level 1
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	215,668	215,668	208,120	Level 1
7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	240,750	240,750	208,249	Level 1
Other	11,071	11,071	10,517	Level 3
Total Boyd debt	2,600,239	2,580,648	2,286,516	
Borgata Debt:				
Borgata bank credit facility	40,200	40,200	40,200	Level 2
Borgata 9.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2015	398,000	387,049	378,100	Level 1
Borgata 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018	393,500	382,559	358,085	Level 1
Total Borgata debt	831,700	809,808	776,385	
Total debt	\$3,431,939	\$3,390,456	\$3,062,901	

The following table provides the fair value measurement information on other liabilities at December 31, 2012.

	December 31, 2012		Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
	Outstanding Face Amount (In thousands)	Carrying Value		
Contingent liability	\$9,800	\$—	\$9,800	Level 3

The estimated fair value of the Boyd bank credit facility is based on a relative value analysis performed on or about December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The estimated fair value of PGL's credit facility is based on a relative value analysis performed on or about December 31, 2012. The estimated fair value of Borgata's bank credit facility at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 approximates its carrying value due to the short-term nature and variable repricing of the underlying Eurodollar loans comprising the Borgata bank credit facility. The estimated fair values of our senior subordinated and senior notes, PGL's senior notes and Borgata's senior secured notes are based on quoted market prices as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Debt included in the "Other" category is fixed-rate debt that is due March 2013 and is not traded and does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated its fair value based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

Fair Value of Non-Recourse Obligations of Variable Interest Entity

At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value of LVE's long-term debt approximates its fair value due to the prevailing interest rates on the debt, which are comparable to market.

There were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 measurements during the years ended December 31, 2012 or the year ended December 31, 2011. All Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 additions were due to the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming on November 20, 2011, which included the Peninsula Gaming 8.375% Senior Notes due 2018 (Level 1), the Peninsula Gaming credit facility (Level 2) and the available for sale city bonds (Level 3).

NOTE 18. IMPAIRMENTS AND OTHER OPERATING ITEMS, NET

187

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Impairments	\$1,053,526	\$6,051	\$—
Other operating items, net			
Acquisition-related expenses	\$18,651	\$6,375	\$3,977
Gain on insurance settlement, net of flood expenses	(7,098) 1,428	—
Gain on insurance proceeds	(7,694) —	—
Hurricane expenses	2,668	—	—
Asset write-downs, net of gain on disposal	123	690	736
Measurement period adjustments	—	(486) —
Total other operating items, net	\$6,650	\$8,007	\$4,713

Impairments

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded asset impairments primarily related to the following items:

Development Assets: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$1.05 billion, primarily consisting of \$993.9 million related to the Echelon development and \$39.4 million related to various parcels of undeveloped land. We decided not to proceed with the development of the Echelon site and as a result, recognized impairment associated with the project development costs.

Sam's Town Shreveport: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$17.5 million which relates to the write-down of Sam's Town Shreveport's gaming license in connection with our annual impairment test. During the year ended December 31, 2012, this property's operating results were less than expected due to weaker than anticipated discretionary consumer spending and increased competition.

Borgata: We recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$2.8 million related to a parking structure project that will not be further developed.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded asset impairments and write-downs, net primarily related to the following items:

Impairment of Trademark: Due to our consideration of certain facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in Atlantic City, we performed an interim impairment test on the indefinite lived trademark recorded upon the consolidation of Borgata. We believe our actual results have been adversely impacted by increased regional competition, and that in addition, Borgata's projected future results will be further negatively impacted by the opening of a new property in Atlantic City, which was announced in February 2011. We also believe the refinancing of Borgata's debt and recapitalization of its member equity contributed to the results of this impairment test.

Our analysis consisted of a valuation of the trademark, using the relief from royalty method. The only significant change in our assumptions from the initial fair valuation were revised revenue and profitability projections, reflecting the impact of the changed present and forecasted circumstances. The impairment test consisted of a comparison of the fair value of trademark with its carrying amount. As a result, we recorded a \$5.0 million impairment to the trademark, representing the amount by which the carrying amount exceeded its fair value.

Impairment of Investment in Unconsolidated Subsidiary: We also recorded a non-cash impairment charge to Borgata's investment in an unconsolidated subsidiary in the amount of \$1.1 million, representing the amount by which the carrying value of the investment exceeded its potential liquidated value. Borgata previously entered into an agreement with two other Atlantic City casinos to form ACES. With each member having a 33.3% interest, this New Jersey limited liability company was formed for the purpose of contracting with New Jersey Transit to operate express rail service between Manhattan and Atlantic City. Each member has guaranteed, jointly and severally, liability for all terms, covenants and conditions of the ACES agreement with New Jersey Transit consisting primarily of the necessary operating and capital expenses of ACES. ACES suspended services in September, 2011, and accordingly, the joint venture agreement terminated in January 2012, which forced a liquidation of the joint venture's assets.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Subsequent to the recordation of this impairment charge, the carrying value of this investment was \$2.8 million at December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the primary asset related to this investment was liquidated.

Other Operating Items, Net

Acquisition-Related Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we recorded \$18.7 million, \$6.4 million, and \$4.0 million of expenses related to evaluating various acquisition possibilities and other business development activities.

Acquisition related expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily relate to our acquisition of Peninsula Gaming that was completed on November 20, 2012. Acquisition expenses for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 primarily relate to our acquisition of IP that was completed on October 4, 2011.

Gain on Insurance Settlement, Net of Flood Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded \$7.1 million of gains on business interruption insurance proceeds, net of flood expenses, due to flooding of the Mississippi River and temporary closure of our Tunica property in May 2011, compared to flood expenses of \$1.4 million, net of recoveries, during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Gain on Insurance Proceeds

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized a gain of \$7.7 million consisting of \$3.9 million related to the subrogation of insurance claims related to the fire that occurred during construction of The Water Club at Borgata in September 2007 and \$3.8 million from business interruption proceeds due to the mandated closure of the property by civil authorities and the Division of Gaming Enforcement for three days in August 2011 related to Hurricane Irene.

Hurricane Expenses

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recognized \$2.7 million for hurricane expenses in our Midwest and South region related to the mandatory closure of several of our properties for Hurricane Isaac.

Asset Write-downs, Net of Gain on Disposal

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$0.1 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.7 million respectively, in connection with the disposal of certain property and equipment in the ordinary course of business.

Measurement Period Adjustments

In connection with the valuation procedures we performed on Borgata, we recorded measurement adjustments during the year ended December 31, 2011, which were primarily comprised of a \$0.3 million bargain purchase gain.

NOTE 19. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We and Borgata contribute to multiemployer pension defined benefit plans under terms of collective-bargaining agreements that cover our union-represented employees. These unions cover certain of our culinary, hotel and other trade workers. We and Borgata are obligated to make defined contributions under these plans.

The significant risks of participating in multiemployer plans include, but are not limited to, the following:

We and Borgata may elect to stop participating in our multi-employer plans. As a result, we and Borgata may be required to pay a withdrawal liability based on the underfunded status of the plan as applicable. Our ability to fund such payments would be based on the results of our operations and subject to the risk factors that impact our business. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected and impact our ability to meet our obligations to the multiemployer plan.

We and Borgata may contribute assets to the multiemployer plan for the benefit of our covered employees that are used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.

- We and Borgata may be required to fund additional amounts if other participating employers stop contributing to the multiemployer plan.

Contributions, based on wages paid to covered employees, totaled approximately \$8.1 million, \$7.1 million, and \$7.1 million for

189

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. These aggregate contributions were not individually significant to any of the respective plans. Our share of the unfunded vested liability related to multi-employer plans, if any, is not determinable and our participation is not individually significant on an individual multiemployer plan basis. On October 4, 2011, we acquired IP, and on November 20, 2012, we acquired Peninsula Gaming, which resulted in greater employer contributions during the years ended December 31, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011. There were no significant changes that would affect the comparability of our employer contributions during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. As of January 1, 2011, Borgata's share of the unfunded vested liability related to its pension plans is \$68.3 million.

We and Borgata have retirement savings plans under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering our non-union employees. The plans allow employees to defer up to the lesser of the Internal Revenue Code prescribed maximum amount or 100% of their income on a pre-tax basis through contributions to the plans. We expensed our voluntary contributions to the 401(k) profit-sharing plans and trusts of \$5.3 million, \$5.1 million, and \$5.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have aggregated certain of our properties in order to present five Reportable Segments: (i) Las Vegas Locals; (ii) Downtown Las Vegas; (iii) Midwest and South; (iv) Peninsula Gaming; and (v) Atlantic City. The table below lists the classification of each of our properties.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Las Vegas Locals	
Gold Coast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
The Orleans Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Las Vegas, Nevada
Suncoast Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Eldorado Casino	Henderson, Nevada
Jokers Wild Casino	Henderson, Nevada
Downtown Las Vegas	
California Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Fremont Hotel and Casino	Las Vegas, Nevada
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel	Las Vegas, Nevada
Midwest and South	
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall	Tunica, Mississippi
IP Casino Resort Spa	Biloxi, Mississippi
Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino	East Peoria, Illinois
Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa	Michigan City, Indiana
Treasure Chest Casino	Kenner, Louisiana
Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel	Vinton, Louisiana
Sam's Town Hotel and Casino	Shreveport, Louisiana
Peninsula Gaming	
Diamond Jo	Dubuque, Iowa
Diamond Jo Worth	Northwood, Iowa
Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino	Opelousas, Louisiana
Amelia Belle Casino	Amelia, Louisiana
Kansas Star Casino	Mulvane, Kansas
Atlantic City	
Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa	Atlantic City, New Jersey

Results of Operations - Adjusted EBITDA

We determine each of our wholly-owned properties' profitability based upon Property EBITDA, which represents each property's earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, preopening expenses, other operating items, net, share-based compensation expense, deferred rent, change in value of derivative instruments, and gain/loss on early retirements of debt, as applicable. Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA is the aggregate sum of the Property EBITDA for each of the properties included in our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, Midwest and South, and Peninsula Gaming segments, and also includes our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items.

Results for Downtown Las Vegas include the results of our travel agency and captive insurance company in Hawaii. Effective April 1, 2008, we reclassified the reporting of our Midwest and South segment to exclude the results of

Dania Jai-Alai, our pari-mutuel jai-alai facility, since it does not share similar economic characteristics with our other Midwest and South operations; therefore, the results of Dania Jai-Alai are included as part of the “Other” category on the accompanying table.

We reclassify the reporting of corporate expense on the accompanying table in order to exclude it from our subtotal for Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA and include it as part of total other operating costs and expenses. Furthermore, corporate expense is now presented to include its portion of share-based compensation expense. Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, aircraft expenses and various other expenses not directly related to our casino and hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense. Other operating costs and expenses include Property EBITDA from

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Dania Jai-Alai, deferred rent, and share-based compensation expense charged to our Reportable Segments. Interest expense is net of interest income and amounts capitalized.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain operating data for our Reportable Segments, and reconciles Adjusted EBITDA to operating income (loss), as reported in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Net Revenues			
Las Vegas Locals	\$591,306	\$604,965	\$607,366
Downtown Las Vegas	224,178	224,251	218,222
Midwest and South	924,188	771,354	728,767
Peninsula Gaming	56,925	—	—
Atlantic City	686,222	730,274	580,140
Reportable Segment Net Revenues	2,482,819	2,330,844	2,134,495
Other	4,607	5,394	6,404
Net revenues	\$2,487,426	\$2,336,238	\$2,140,899
Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA			
Las Vegas Locals	\$128,742	\$145,848	\$137,464
Downtown Las Vegas	32,832	35,214	34,227
Midwest and South	192,349	167,101	143,699
Peninsula Gaming	21,152	—	—
Atlantic City	116,976	158,126	136,278
	492,051	506,289	451,668
Operating income from Borgata, net	—	—	8,146
Adjusted EBITDA	492,051	506,289	459,814
Other operating costs and expenses			
Depreciation and amortization	214,332	195,343	199,275
Corporate expense	50,719	48,962	48,861
Preopening expenses	11,541	6,634	7,459
Impairments and other operating items, net	1,053,526	14,058	4,713
Other	16,808	8,188	10,147
Total other operating costs and expenses	1,346,926	273,185	270,455
Operating income	\$(854,875)	\$233,104	\$189,359

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Total Assets

The Company's total assets, by Reportable Segment, consisted of the following amounts at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(In thousands)	
Assets		
Las Vegas Locals	\$1,215,494	\$1,260,458
Downtown Las Vegas	133,689	131,140
Midwest and South	1,367,063	1,406,136
Peninsula Gaming	1,604,778	—
Atlantic City	1,388,562	1,435,332
Total Reportable Segment assets	5,709,586	4,233,066
Corporate	395,436	1,421,848
Other	227,171	228,140
Total assets	\$6,332,193	\$5,883,054

Capital Expenditures

The Company utilizes the Corporate entities to centralize the development of major renovation and other capital development projects that are included as construction in progress. After the project is complete, the corporate entities transfer the projects to the segment subsidiaries.

The Company's capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, by Reportable Segment, consisted of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands)		
Capital Expenditures:			
Las Vegas Locals	\$23,349	\$15,782	\$11,863
Downtown Las Vegas	7,248	4,420	3,356
Midwest and South	60,572	19,770	18,632
Peninsula Gaming	7,606	—	—
Atlantic City	34,742	32,626	12,637
Total Reportable Segment Capital Expenditures	133,517	72,598	46,488
Other	706	106	(1,797)
Corporate entities	(25,580)) 11,859	4,092
Total Capital Expenditures	108,643	84,563	48,783
Change in Accrued Property Additions	17,331	2,661	27,175
Cash-Based Capital Expenditures	\$125,974	\$87,224	\$75,958

NOTE 21. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents selected quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

	December 31, 2012				
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Year
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Summary Operating Results:					
Net revenues	\$633,083	\$615,222	\$613,279	\$625,842	\$2,487,426
Operating income (loss)	76,582	58,239	48,348	(1,038,044)	(854,875)
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	5,852	977	(15,796)	(899,898)	(908,865)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$0.07	\$0.01	\$(0.18)	\$(10.27)	\$(10.37)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$0.07	\$0.01	\$(0.18)	\$(10.27)	\$(10.37)

	December 31, 2011				
	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Year
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Summary Operating Results:					
Net revenues	\$564,946	\$574,403	\$590,215	\$606,674	\$2,336,238
Operating income	48,104	61,990	68,164	54,846	233,104
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	(3,521)	(2,951)	3,109	(491)	(3,854)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share:					
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$(0.04)	\$(0.03)	\$0.04	\$(0.01)	\$(0.04)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$(0.04)	\$(0.03)	\$0.04	\$(0.01)	\$(0.04)

NOTE 22. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Pursuant to the prior registrations of our 9.125% Senior Notes due 2018, certain 100% owned subsidiaries provided joint and several and full and unconditional guarantees of the debt. Under the Securities Act of 1933, separate condensed consolidating information for our subsidiary guarantors and non-guarantors is presented below. The non-guarantors primarily represent special purpose entities, tax holding companies, our less significant operating subsidiaries and our less than wholly-owned subsidiaries.

The tables below present the condensed consolidating balance sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the condensed consolidating statements of operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2012					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$2,520	\$118,714	\$37,002	\$34,592	\$—	\$192,828
Other current assets	87,967	(4,905)	36,491	49,843	(6,631)	162,765
Property and equipment, net	67,499	1,691,120	500,660	1,365,709	—	3,624,988
Assets held for development	775	330,995	—	—	—	331,770
Investments in subsidiaries	3,093,287	(191,180)	—	—	(2,902,107)	—
Intercompany receivable	—	264,687	—	—	(264,687)	—
Other assets, net	45,844	12,791	81,891	64,749	—	205,275
Intangible assets, net	—	468,229	589,845	61,564	—	1,119,638
Goodwill, net	—	212,795	482,134	—	—	694,929
Total assets	\$3,297,892	\$2,903,246	\$1,728,023	\$1,576,457	\$(3,173,425)	\$6,332,193
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity						
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$42,500	\$10,341	\$8,729	\$—	\$—	-\$61,570
Non-recourse debt	—	—	—	225,113	—	225,113
Current liabilities	70,721	203,484	83,090	109,441	(2,855)	463,881
Intercompany payable	134,386	—	129,985	—	(264,371)	—
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	2,723,232	—	1,311,296	793,325	—	4,827,853
Due from affiliates	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other long-term liabilities	23,262	185,350	42,595	35,442	—	286,649
Non-recourse debt	—	—	—	—	—	—
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock	869	31,097	305,387	—	(336,484)	869
Additional paid-in capital	655,694	2,538,402	502,742	476,733	(3,517,877)	655,694
Retained earnings (deficit)	(351,810)	(65,428)	(654,839)	(63,597)	783,864	(351,810)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(962)	—	(962)	—	962	(962)
	303,791	2,504,071	152,328	413,136	(3,069,535)	303,791

Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity (deficit)						
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—163,336	163,336
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	303,791	2,504,071	152,328	413,136	(2,906,199)	467,127
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,297,892	\$2,903,246	\$1,728,023	\$1,576,457	\$(3,173,425)	\$6,332,193

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets - continued

December 31, 2011

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$364	\$128,185	\$3,944	\$46,263	\$—	\$178,756
Other current assets	29,818	70,448	13,459	50,413	—	164,138
Property and equipment, net	115,346	2,120,227	75,739	1,230,796	—	3,542,108
Assets held for development	—	926,013	—	163,806	—	1,089,819
Investments in subsidiaries	3,777,298	353,740	32	—	(4,131,070)	—
Intercompany receivable	—	187,911	—	—	(187,911)	—
Other assets, net	28,501	15,068	5,993	71,077	—	120,639
Intangible assets, net	—	487,907	21,374	64,737	—	574,018
Goodwill, net	—	212,794	782	—	—	213,576
Total assets	\$3,951,327	\$4,502,293	\$121,323	\$1,627,092	\$(4,318,981)	\$5,883,054
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity						
Equity						
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$42,500	\$730	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$43,230
Non-recourse debt	—	—	—	29,686	—	29,686
Current liabilities	146,054	152,437	16,725	102,484	(18,596)	399,104
Intercompany payable	455	—	216,211	—	(216,666)	—
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	2,527,076	10,341	—	809,809	—	3,347,226
Other long-term liabilities	33,150	404,463	1,537	57,599	—	496,749
Non-recourse debt	—	—	—	192,980	—	192,980
Preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	—
Common stock	863	31,128	32	—	(31,160)	863
Additional paid-in capital	644,174	2,984,250	41,724	476,733	(3,502,707)	644,174
Retained earnings (deficit)	557,055	918,944	(154,906)	(42,199)	(721,839)	557,055
Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity (deficit)	1,202,092	3,934,322	(113,150)	434,534	(4,255,706)	1,202,092
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	171,987	171,987
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	1,202,092	3,934,322	(113,150)	434,534	(4,083,719)	1,374,079
	\$3,951,327	\$4,502,293	\$121,323	\$1,627,092	\$(4,318,981)	\$5,883,054

Total liabilities and
stockholders' equity

196

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

	Year Ended December 31, 2012					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ 138,926	\$ 1,689,284	\$ 111,921	\$ 697,118	\$(149,823)	\$ 2,487,426
Costs and expenses						
Operating	—	940,450	85,419	371,722	—	1,397,591
Selling, general and administrative	—	296,840	16,931	139,155	—	452,926
Maintenance and utilities	—	92,312	4,281	58,423	—	155,016
Depreciation and amortization	7,984	126,121	15,963	64,264	—	214,332
Corporate expense	86,084	368	1,631	—	(37,364)	50,719
Preopening expenses	1,207	15,438	5,552	240	(10,896)	11,541
Impairment of assets	97,868	1,044,112	—	2,811	(91,265)	1,053,526
Other operating items, net	15,575	(5,503)	3,081	(6,503)	—	6,650
Total costs and expenses	208,718	2,510,138	132,858	630,112	(139,525)	3,342,301
Equity in loss (earnings) of subsidiaries	929,465	(535)	(87,151)	—	(841,779)	—
Operating income (loss)	(999,257)	(820,319)	66,214	67,006	831,481	(854,875)
Other expense (income)						
Interest expense, net	174,345	634	18,630	95,226	—	288,835
Other income	—	—	(91,128)	—	91,265	137
Total other expense, net	174,345	634	(72,498)	95,226	91,265	288,972
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,173,602)	(820,953)	138,712	(28,220)	740,216	(1,143,847)
Income taxes	264,737	(50,745)	5,501	1,279	—	220,772
Net income (loss)	(908,865)	(871,698)	144,213	(26,941)	740,216	(923,075)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	14,210	14,210
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming	\$(908,865)	\$(871,698)	\$ 144,213	\$(26,941)	\$ 754,426	\$(908,865)

Corporation

Comprehensive income
(loss)

\$(909,827) \$(871,698) \$144,213 \$(21,402) \$740,216 \$(918,498)

197

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations - continued

	Year Ended December 31, 2011					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ 149,168	\$ 1,550,197	\$ 55,767	\$ 730,274	\$(149,168)	\$ 2,336,238
Costs and expenses						
Operating	—	848,973	57,620	383,041	—	1,289,634
Selling, general and administrative	—	258,026	10,023	126,942	—	394,991
Maintenance and utilities	—	89,092	2,255	62,165	—	153,512
Depreciation and amortization	8,371	118,621	2,914	65,437	—	195,343
Corporate expense	95,847	147	1,194	—	(48,226)	48,962
Preopening expenses	907	16,356	—	(10,629)	—	6,634
Other operating items, net	6,054	1,602	3	6,399	—	14,058
Total costs and expenses	111,179	1,332,817	74,009	633,355	(48,226)	2,103,134
Equity in loss (earnings) of subsidiaries	(75,144)	1,345	—	—	73,799	—
Operating income (loss)	113,133	216,035	(18,242)	96,919	(174,741)	233,104
Other expense (income)						
Interest expense, net	151,931	687	—	98,067	—	250,685
Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	265	—	—	—	—	265
Gain on early retirements of debt	20	—	—	(6)	—	14
Other income	(7,000)	(4,582)	—	—	—	(11,582)
Total other expense, net	145,216	(3,895)	—	98,061	—	239,382
Income (loss) before income taxes	(32,083)	219,930	(18,242)	(1,142)	(174,741)	(6,278)
Income taxes	28,229	(34,349)	5,652	(1,253)	—	(1,721)
Net income (loss)	(3,854)	185,581	(12,590)	(2,395)	(174,741)	(7,999)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	4,145	4,145
	\$(3,854)	\$ 185,581	\$(12,590)	\$(2,395)	\$(170,596)	\$(3,854)

Net income (loss)
attributable to Boyd Gaming
Corporation

Comprehensive income (loss)	\$3,740	\$185,581	\$(12,590)	\$1,573	\$(174,741)	\$3,563
--------------------------------	---------	-----------	-------------	---------	--------------	---------

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Consolidating Statements of Operations - continued

	Year Ended December 31, 2010					
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ 134,190	\$ 1,501,899	\$ 58,860	\$ 580,140	\$(134,190)	\$ 2,140,899
Costs and expenses						
Operating	—	835,489	54,984	298,966	—	1,189,439
Selling, general and administrative	—	265,376	8,858	94,983	—	369,217
Maintenance and utilities	—	87,499	4,256	48,967	—	140,722
Depreciation and amortization	11,955	129,693	4,741	52,886	—	199,275
Corporate expense	83,437	59,710	9,295	—	(103,581)	48,861
Preopening expenses	1,580	—	7,523	—	(1,644)	7,459
Other operating items, net	4,456	68	197	(8)	—	4,713
Total costs and expenses	101,428	1,377,835	89,854	495,794	(105,225)	1,959,686
Equity in loss (earnings) of subsidiaries	(65,159)	(47,393)	—	—	104,406	(8,146)
Operating income (loss)	97,921	171,457	(30,994)	84,346	(133,371)	189,359
Other expense (income)						
Interest expense, net	118,585	731	(6)	61,243	—	180,553
Fair value adjustment of derivative instruments	480	—	—	—	—	480
Gain on early retirements of debt	(2,758)	—	—	—	—	(2,758)
Other income	—	(12,535)	—	—	—	(12,535)
Other non-operating expenses, net	—	3,133	—	—	—	3,133
Total other expense, net	116,307	(8,671)	(6)	61,243	—	168,873
Income (loss) before income taxes	(18,386)	180,128	(30,988)	23,103	(133,371)	20,486

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

Income taxes	28,696	(32,838)	(27)	(4,067)	—	(8,236)
Net income (loss)	10,310	147,290	(31,015)	19,036	(133,371)	12,250
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	(1,940)	(1,940)
Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation	\$10,310	\$147,290	\$(31,015)	\$19,036	\$(135,311)	\$10,310
Comprehensive income	\$20,842	\$147,290	\$(31,015)	\$14,920	\$(133,371)	\$18,666

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended December 31, 2012					Eliminations	Consolidated
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)			
Cash flows from operating activities							
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 77,534	\$ 16,372	\$ 9,995	\$ 34,252	\$ 4,292		\$ 142,445
Cash flows from investing activities							
Capital expenditures	(50,536)	(33,088)	(7,894)	(34,456)	—		(125,974)
Cash paid for acquisition, net of cash received	(198,726)	—	(1,125,472)	—	—		(1,324,198)
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	4,292	—	—	—	(4,292)		—
Other investing activities	(790)	7,245	1,828	6,730	—		15,013
Net cash from investing activities	(245,760)	(25,843)	(1,131,538)	(27,726)	(4,292)		(1,435,159)
Cash flows from financing activities							
Borrowings under bank credit facility	787,100	—	871,100	632,700	—		2,290,900
Payments under bank credit facility	(951,250)	—	(16,700)	(652,900)	—		(1,620,850)
Debt issuance costs, net	(16,651)	—	(47,989)	(443)	—		(65,083)
Proceeds from issuance of senior secured notes	350,000	—	350,000	—	—		700,000
Proceeds from variable interest entities' issuance of debt	—	—	—	3,374	—		3,374
Proceeds on loans to members of variable interest entity	—	—	—	(928)	—		(928)
Other financing activities	1,183	—	(1,810)	—	—		(627)
	170,382	—	1,154,601	(18,197)	—		1,306,786

Net cash from financing activities

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	2,156	(9,471) 33,058	(11,671) —	14,072
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	364	128,185	3,944	46,263	—	178,756
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$2,520	\$118,714	\$37,002	\$34,592	\$—	\$192,828

200

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows - continued

Year Ended December 31, 2011

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash flows from operating activities						
Net cash from operating activities	\$97,965	\$68,797	\$26,294	\$60,454	\$—	\$253,510
Cash flows from investing activities						
Capital expenditures	(24,815)	(28,204)	(1,579)	(32,626)	—	(87,224)
Cash paid for business acquisition, net	(278,456)	—	—	—	—	(278,456)
Cash paid for development agreement	—	—	(24,450)	—	—	(24,450)
Other investing activities	895	—	—	26,448	—	27,343
Net cash from investing activities	(302,376)	(28,204)	(26,029)	(6,178)	—	(362,787)
Cash flows from financing activities						
Borrowings under bank credit facility	391,329	—	—	741,300	—	1,132,629
Payments under bank credit facility	(183,579)	—	—	(762,000)	—	(945,579)
Debt financing costs, net	(14,221)	—	—	(1,153)	—	(15,374)
Proceeds from issuance of debt	—	—	—	7,199	—	7,199
Payments on long-term debt	—	(690)	—	—	—	(690)
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	—	—	—	(8,198)	—	(8,198)
Proceed from stock options exercised	15	—	—	—	—	15
Payments under note payable by variable interest entity	—	—	—	(27,000)	—	(27,000)
Other financing activities	—	—	—	(592)	—	(592)
	193,544	(690)	—	(50,444)	—	142,410

Net cash from financing activities

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(10,867) 39,903	265	3,832	—	33,133
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,231	88,282	3,679	42,431	—	145,623
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$364	\$128,185	\$3,944	\$46,263	\$—	\$178,756

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows - continued

	Year Ended December 31, 2010					Eliminations	Consolidated
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) (In thousands)	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned)			
Cash flows from operating activities							
Net cash from operating activities	\$226,650	\$78,597	\$970	\$91,379	\$(128,205))	\$269,391
Cash flows from investing activities							
Capital expenditures	(6,463)) (56,884)) (2,059)) (10,552)) —		(75,958)
Net cash effect upon change in controlling interest of Borgata	—	26,025	—	26,025	(26,025))	26,025
Other investing activities	69	—	—	987	—		1,056
Net cash from investing activities	(6,394)) (30,859)) (2,059)) 16,460	(26,025))	(48,877)
Cash flows from financing activities							
Borrowings under bank credit facility	758,774	—	—	533,673	—		1,292,447
Payments under bank credit facility	(1,250,674)) —	—	(1,105,062)) —		(2,355,736)
Debt financing costs, net	(20,617)) —	—	(6,440)) —		(27,057)
Proceeds from issuance of debt	490,000	—	—	773,176	—		1,263,176
Proceeds from issuance of debt by variable interest entity	—	—	—	18,091	—		18,091
Payments on long-term debt	—	(46,875)) —	(1,194)) —		(48,069)
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	(187,041)) (652)) —	—	—		(187,693)
Other financing activities	170	—	—	(277,652)) 154,230		(123,252)
Net cash from financing activities	(209,388)) (47,527)) —	(65,408)) 154,230		(168,093)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents							
	10,868	211	(1,089)) 42,431	—		52,421
	363	88,071	4,768	—	—		93,202

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period						
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$11,231	\$88,282	\$3,679	\$42,431	\$—	\$145,623

NOTE 23. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Boyd Percentage Ownership

William S. Boyd, our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, together with his immediate family, beneficially owned approximately 37% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012. As such, the Boyd family has the ability to significantly influence our affairs, including the election of members of our Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law, approving or disapproving other matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including a merger, consolidation or sale of assets. For each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, there were no related party transactions between the Company and the Boyd family other than compensation, including salary and equity incentives.

Compensation of Certain Borgata Employees

Borgata reimburses Boyd for compensation paid to employees performing services for Borgata and for out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred related to travel. Boyd is also reimbursed for various payments made on Borgata's behalf, primarily related to third party insurance premiums and certain financing fees. The related amounts due to Boyd for these types of expenditures paid by Boyd were \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Reimbursable expenditures were \$10.9

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

million, \$10.0 million and \$9.1 million for each of the years ended December 31 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In each case, reimbursable expenses are included in selling, general and administrative on the consolidated statements of operations. Related party reimbursements are eliminated in consolidation.

Borgata Ground Leases

Borgata entered into a series of ground lease agreements with MGM totaling 19.6 acres that provide the land on which Borgata's existing employee parking garage, public space expansion, rooms expansion, and modified surface parking lot reside, as well as, an undeveloped parcel. The lease terms extend until December 31, 2070 with the exception of the surface parking lot lease which could be terminated by either party effective on the last day of the month that is six months after notice is given. On November 4, 2010, MGM sold the land on which the employee parking garage, public space expansion and rooms expansion, as well as, the undeveloped parcel. Borgata did not have any amounts due to MGM or the third party land owner for these types of expenditures at either December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010. Rent incurred for ground lease agreements was \$5.8 million, \$5.1 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which was included in selling, general and administrative on the consolidated statements of operations. Of these amounts, rent paid to MGM was less than \$0.1 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and was \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Pursuant to the ground lease agreements, Borgata is responsible for the direct payment of related property taxes paid on its behalf. Borgata did not have any amounts due to MAC, Corp. ("MAC"), a second tier, wholly-owned subsidiary of MGM or the third party land owner for these types of expenditures at either December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Total property taxes incurred were \$15.6 million, \$14.0 million and \$12.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Of these amounts, property tax paid related to MGM was \$2.8 million, \$2.5 million, and \$9.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which was included in selling, general and administrative on the consolidated statements of operations.

NOTE 24. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after December 31, 2012. During this period, the following subsequent events have occurred.

On February 22, 2013, we and Dania Entertainment entered into the New Dania Agreement for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, our pari-mutuel facility, located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida at which jai-alai and related gaming operations are conducted, including poker and inter-track wagering, for a purchase price of \$65.5 million. The closing of the transactions contemplated by the New Dania Agreement is expected to occur on or prior to May 24, 2013, subject to certain closing conditions.

On February 28, 2013, we paid off \$10.3 million for another loan that was scheduled to mature on February 28, 2013.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel, as well as site improvements. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we received \$163.8 million of proceeds. After certain additional transaction fees are paid, we realized approximately \$157.0 million in net proceeds from the sale after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC.

On March 7, 2013, we announced and notified the trustee for our 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 that on April 6, 2013 we will redeem \$150 million of our outstanding 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 at a

redemption price of 100.00% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, April 6, 2013, subject to the right of holders of record on April 1, 2013 to receive accrued and unpaid interest on the redemption date.

Table of Contents

Financial Statement Schedules. Schedules are omitted since they are not applicable, not required or the information 2. required to be set forth therein is included in Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto included in this Report.

3. Exhibit List

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	Method of Filing
2.1	Purchase Agreement, entered into as of June 5, 2006, by and among the Registrant, FGB Development, Inc., Boyd Florida, LLC, The Aragon Group, Inc., Summersport Enterprises, LLLP, the Shareholders of The Aragon Group, Inc., The Limited Partners of Summersport Enterprises, LLLP, and Stephen F. Snyder, as Shareholder Representative With Respect to Dania Jai-alai	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
2.2	Unit Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 25, 2006, as amended, by and among the Registrant, Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc., Silverado South Strip, LLC, and Michael J. Gaughan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on October 31, 2006.
2.3	Agreement for Exchange of Assets and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of September 29, 2006, entered into by and between Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc. and Harrah's Operating Company, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
2.4	Letter Agreement entered into as of February 26, 2007, by and between Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc. and Harrah's Operating Company, Inc. amending that certain Agreement for Exchange of Assets and Joint Escrow Instructions previously entered into by and between the parties as of September 29, 2006	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.
2.5	Letter Agreement entered into as of August 11, 2006, by and among the Registrant, FGB Development, Inc., Boyd Florida, LLC, The Aragon Group, Inc., Summersport Enterprises, LLLP, and Stephen F. Snyder, individually and as Shareholder Representative, amending certain provisions of that certain Purchase Agreement previously entered into among the parties as of June 5, 2006	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
2.6**	Second Amendment to the Purchase Agreement entered into as of February 16, 2007, by and	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for

among the Registrant, the Aragon Group and the other parties thereto

the quarter ended March 31, 2007.

2.7

Third Amendment to the Purchase Agreement and Promissory Note related thereto entered into as of January 15, 2009, by and among Boyd Gaming Corporation, the Aragon Group and the other parties thereto

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.7 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

204

Table of Contents

2.8	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 16, 2012, entered into by and among, Boyd Gaming Corporation, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, Peninsula Gaming Partners, LLC and Peninsula Gaming, LLC.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 16, 2012.
2.9	Membership Interest Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions, dated as of March 1, 2013, by and between Echelon Resorts, LLC, Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc., Genting Assets, Inc, and Genting Berhad. dated March 1, 2013.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 7, 2013
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement among LVE Energy Partners, LLC, Echelon Resorts LLC, and Boyd Gaming Corporation, dated March 1, 2013.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 7, 2013
3.1	Amended and Restated Bylaws	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 14, 2008.
3.2	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 24, 2006.
4.1	Form of Indenture relating to \$350,000,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014, dated as of April 15, 2004, by and between the Registrant, as Issuer, and the Initial Purchasers, named therein	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-116373, which was declared effective on June 25, 2004.
4.2	Form of Indenture relating to senior debt securities	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Registrant's Automatic Shelf Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated December 16, 2005.
4.3	Form of Indenture relating to subordinated debt securities	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Registrant's Automatic Shelf Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated December 16, 2005.
4.4	Form of Specimen Common Stock Certificate	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of the Registrant's Automatic Shelf Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated December 16, 2005.
4.5	Indenture (including form of Subordinated Debt Securities) with respect to Subordinated Debt Securities, dated as of January 25, 2006, by and	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 26, 2006.

between the Registrant, as Issuer, and Wells
Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 4.6 | First Supplemental Indenture with respect to the 7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016, dated as of January 30, 2006, by and between the Registrant, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 31, 2006. |
| 4.7 | Lender Joinder Agreement, dated November 2, 2011, among The Company, Bank of America, N.A., as the Administrative Agent, and Bank of America, N.A., as the Increasing Lender | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 3, 2011. |

205

Table of Contents

4.8	Indenture governing the Company's 9.125% senior notes, dated November 10, 2010, by and between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 12, 2010.
4.9	Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 10, 2010, by and between the Company and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, on behalf of itself and as representative of the several initial purchasers.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 12, 2010.
4.10	Indenture governing the Company's 9% Senior Notes due 2020, dated June 8, 2012, by and between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 13, 2012.
4.11	Registration Rights Agreement, dated June 8, 2012, by and among the Company, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, on behalf of themselves and as representatives of the several initial purchasers.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 13, 2012.
10.1	Ninety-Nine Year Lease dated June 30, 1954, by and among Fremont Hotel, Inc., and Charles L. Ronnow and J.L. Ronnow, and Alice Elizabeth Ronnow	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.
10.2	Lease Agreement dated October 31, 1963, by and between Fremont Hotel, Inc. and Cora Edit Garehime	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.
10.3	Lease Agreement dated December 31, 1963, by and among Fremont Hotel, Inc., Bank of Nevada and Leon H. Rockwell, Jr.	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.
10.4	Lease Agreement dated June 7, 1971, by and among Anthony Antonacci, Margaret Fay Simon and Bank of Nevada, as Co-Trustees under Peter Albert Simon's Last Will and Testament, and related Assignment of Lease dated February 25, 1985 to Sam-Will, Inc. and Fremont Hotel, Inc.	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.
10.5	Lease Agreement dated July 25, 1973, by and between CH&C and William Peccole, as Trustee	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

of the Peter Peccole 1970 Trust

June 30, 1995.

10.6 Lease Agreement dated July 1, 1974, by and among Fremont Hotel, Inc. and Bank of Nevada, Leon H. Rockwell, Jr. and Margorie Rockwell Riley

Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.

10.7 Ninety-Nine Year Lease, dated December 1, 1978, by and between Matthew Paratore, and George W. Morgan and LaRue Morgan, and related Lease Assignment dated November 10, 1987, to Sam-Will, Inc., d.b.a. Fremont Hotel and Casino

Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.

206

Table of Contents

10.8	Form of Indemnification Agreement	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-64006, which was declared effective on October 15, 1993.
10.9*	1993 Flexible Stock Incentive Plan and related agreements	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-64006, which was declared effective on October 15, 1993.
10.10*	1993 Directors Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, as amended	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-79895, dated June 3, 1999.
10.11*	1993 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and related agreement	Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-64006, which was declared effective on October 15, 1993.
10.12	401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 33-51672, of California Hotel and Casino and California Hotel Finance Corporation, which was declared effective on November 18, 1992.
10.13*	2000 Executive Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on April 21, 2000).	Incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on April 21, 2000.
10.14*	1996 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended on May 25, 2000)	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000.
10.15	Second Amended and Restated Joint Venture Agreement of Marina District Development Company, dated as of August 31, 2000	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000.
10.16	Contribution and Adoption Agreement by and among Marina District Development Holding Co., LLC, MAC, Corp. and Boyd Atlantic City, Inc., effective as of December 13, 2000	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.
10.17*	Annual Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
10.18*	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for

the quarter ended September 30, 2008.

10.19* Form of Stock Option Award Agreement
pursuant to the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

10.20* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement and
Notice of Award pursuant to the 2002 Stock
Incentive Plan

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

10.21*	The Boyd Gaming Corporation Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan for the Board of Directors and Key Employees	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.22*	Amendment Number 1 to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.23*	Amendment Number 2 to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.24*	Amendment Number 3 to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.25*	Amendment Number 4 to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004.
10.26	Ground Lease dated as of October 1, 1995, between the Tiberti Company and Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc. (as successor to Gold Coast Hotel and Casino)	Incorporated by reference to an exhibit to Coast Resorts, Inc.'s Amendment No. 2 to General Form for Registration of Securities on Form 10 (Commission File No. 000-26922) filed with the Commission on January 12, 1996.
10.27*	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement Under the Registrant's Directors' Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
10.28*	Boyd Gaming Corporation's 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated on May 15, 2008)	Incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on April 2, 2008.
10.29	Joint Venture Agreement dated as of January 3, 2006, between Morgans/LV Investment LLC, Echelon Resorts Corporation and for limited purposes, the Registrant and Morgans Hotel Group, L.L.C.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 3, 2006.
10.30*	Amendment Number 5 to the Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
10.31*	Amended and Restated 2000 Executive Management Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 24, 2006.
10.32*		

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

	Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 24, 2006.
10.33*	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units under 2002 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
10.34	First Amendment to Morgans Las Vegas, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement, by and between Morgans Las Vegas LLC and Echelon Resorts Corporation, Dated May 15, 2006	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

208

Table of Contents

10.35	Second Amendment to Morgans Las Vegas, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement, by and between Morgans LV Investment LLC and Echelon Resorts Corporation, Dated June 30, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 1, 2008.
10.36	Third Amendment to Morgans Las Vegas, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement, by and between Morgans LV Investment LLC and Echelon Resorts Corporation, Dated September 23, 2008	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 25, 2008.
10.37	Letter Agreement to the Morgans Las Vegas, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement, dated May 15, 2006	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
10.38	First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2007, among the Registrant, as Borrower, certain commercial lending institutions as the Lenders, Bank of America, N.A., as the Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as the Syndication Agent and Swing Line Lender, and Citibank, N.A., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Merrill Lynch Bank USA and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.39	First Amendment and Consent to First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2009, among the Registrant, as Borrower, certain commercial lending institutions as the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as the Administrative Agent for the Lenders	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.
10.40	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 27, 2012, among the Registrant, as Borrower, certain commercial lending institutions as the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as the Administrative Agent for the Lenders	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 28, 2012.
10.41	Stock Purchase Agreement, entered into as of August 1, 2006, by and between Michael J. Gaughan and the Registrant	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
10.42		

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

	Form of Term Note issued by the Registrant to Michael J. Gaughan on August 1, 2006 in connection with the Stock Purchase Agreement entered into between the parties on the same date	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
10.43*	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plans	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 24, 2006.
10.44*	Form of Career Restricted Stock Unit Award Unit Agreement under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 13, 2006.
10.45*	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement and Notice of Award Pursuant to the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
10.46*	Change in Control Severance Plan for Tier I, II and III Executives	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

10.47	Periodic Fee Agreement, entered into as of March 4, 2011, by and amongst Echelon Resorts LLC and LVE Energy Partners, LLC	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.
10.48	Agreement for Purchase and Sale, dated June 15, 2011, amongst the Company, Imperial Palace of Mississippi, LLC and Key Largo Holdings, LLC	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.
10.49	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated November 11, 2011, among Marina District Finance Company, Inc., as the Borrower, Marina District Development Company, LLC, together with the Borrower as the Credit Parties, certain commercial lending institutions as the Lenders and Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as the Administrative Agent	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
10.50	Form of Performance Share Unit Agreement and Notice of Award Pursuant to the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.
10.51	Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission Gaming License, dated July 15, 1999	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Diamond Jo, LLC's Form S-4 filed October 12, 1999.
10.52	Offer to Purchase Real Estate, Acceptance and Lease, dated September 27, 2006, between Diamond Jo, LLC and Dubuque County Historical Society	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2006.
10.53	Closing Agreement, dated September 27, 2006, between Diamond Jo, LLC and Dubuque County Historical Society	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2006.
10.54	Real Estate Ground Lease, dated September 27, 2006, between Diamond Jo, LLC and Dubuque County Historical Society	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2006.
10.55	Minimum Assessment Agreement, dated October 1, 2007, among Diamond Jo, LLC, the City of Dubuque, Iowa and the City Assessor of the City of Dubuque, Iowa	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.63 of Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 28, 2008.
10.56	Amended and Restated Port of Dubuque Public Parking Facility Development Agreement, dated	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.65 of Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Annual Report on

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

October 1, 2007, between the City of Dubuque,
Iowa and Diamond Jo, LLC

Form 10-K filed March 28, 2008.

10.57

Lottery Gaming Facility Management Contract,
dated October 19, 2010

Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of
Peninsula Gaming, LLC's Current Report on
Form 8-K filed February 4, 2011.

210

Table of Contents

10.58	Credit Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2012, among Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, as the Initial Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., as Administration Agent, Collateral Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, the other lenders party thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., and UBS Securities LLC as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 20, 2012.
10.59	Seller Merger Consideration Note, dated November 20, 2012 made by Boyd Acquisition II, LLC in favor of Peninsula Gaming Partners, LLC.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 20, 2012.
12	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	Filed electronically herewith
18	Accountants preferability letter for change in annual goodwill impairment test date.	Filed electronically herewith
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.	Filed electronically herewith
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.	Filed electronically herewith
24	Power of Attorney (included in Part IV to this Annual Report on Form 10-K).	Filed electronically herewith
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).	Filed electronically herewith
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).	Filed electronically herewith
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a - 14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.	Filed electronically herewith
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a - 14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.	Filed electronically herewith
99.2	Indenture governing Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC's and Boyd Acquisition Finance Corp.'s 8.375% Senior Notes due 2018, dated August 16, 2012, by and among the Issuers and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 21, 2012.

Table of Contents

101	The following materials from Boyd Gaming Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and (vi) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*	Filed electronically herewith
-----	---	-------------------------------

* Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements/

** Certain portions of this exhibit have been granted confidential treatment by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on March 16, 2013.

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION

By: /s/ Anthony D. McDuffie
Anthony D. McDuffie
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Keith E. Smith, Josh Hirsberg and Anthony D. McDuffie, and each of them, his attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ WILLIAM S. BOYD William S. Boyd	Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 16, 2013
/s/ MARIANNE BOYD JOHNSON Marianne Boyd Johnson	Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, Executive Vice President and Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ KEITH E. SMITH Keith E. Smith	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 16, 2013
/s/ JOSH HIRSBERG Josh Hirsberg	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	March 16, 2013
/s/ ANTHONY D. MCDUFFIE Anthony D. McDuffie	Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 16, 2013
/s/ ROBERT L. BOUGHNER Robert L. Boughner	Executive Vice President, Chief Business Development Officer and Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ WILLIAM R. BOYD William R. Boyd	Vice President and Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ RICHARD FLAHERTY Richard Flaherty	Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ THOMAS V. GIRARDI Thomas V. Girardi	Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ MAJ. GEN. BILLY G. MCCOY, RET. USAF Maj. Gen. Billy McCoy Ret. USAF	Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ FREDERICK J. SCHWAB Frederick J. Schwab	Director	March 16, 2013

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-K

/s/ CHRISTINE J. SPADAFOR Christine J. Spadafor	Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ PETER M. THOMAS Peter M. Thomas	Director	March 16, 2013
/s/ VERONICA J. WILSON Veronica J. Wilson	Director	March 16, 2013