

MASONITE INTERNATIONAL CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
March 27, 2017

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Masonite  
International  
Corporation  
(Exact name  
of registrant  
as specified  
in its charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

---

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING  
OF SHAREHOLDERS  
AND  
PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL GENERAL  
MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS  
TO BE HELD ON MAY 11, 2017

March 27, 2017

---

March 27, 2017

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to join Masonite International Corporation's Board of Directors and senior leadership at the 2017 annual general meeting of shareholders, which will be held at 9:00 a.m. local time on Thursday, May 11, 2017 at the University Club of Tampa, 201 N. Franklin Street, Suite 3800, Tampa, FL, 33602.

The attached notice of the 2017 annual general meeting of shareholders and proxy statement provide important information about the meeting and will serve as your guide to the business to be conducted at the meeting. Your vote is very important to us. We urge you to read the accompanying materials regarding the matters to be voted on at the meeting and to submit your voting instructions by proxy. The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each of the nominees listed in proposal 1 and FOR proposals 2 and 3 listed in the attached notice.

You may submit your proxy either by returning the enclosed proxy card or voting instruction form, to the extent you received hard copies of the proxy materials, or by submitting your proxy over the telephone or the Internet. If you submit your proxy before the meeting but later decide to attend the meeting in person, you may still vote in person at the meeting.

Thank you for your continued support.

Robert J. Byrne

/s/ Robert J Byrne

Chairman of the Board

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
<u>PROXY STATEMENT</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>RECORD DATE; PROXIES; VOTING</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL 1)</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; BOARD AND COMMITTEE MATTERS</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 2)</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL 3)</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>OTHER BUSINESS</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>ANNUAL REPORT</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>WHERE TO FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>70</u>

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an annual general meeting (the "Meeting") of the holders of common shares (the "Shareholders") of Masonite International Corporation, successor entity to Masonite Inc. and formerly known as Masonite Worldwide Holdings, Inc. (the "Company" or "Masonite") will be held at the University Club of Tampa, 201 N. Franklin Street, Suite 3800, Tampa, FL, 33602 on May 11, 2017 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) for the following purposes:

1. TO ELECT Frederick J. Lynch, Jody L. Bilney, Robert J. Byrne, Peter R. Dachowski, Jonathan F. Foster, George A. Lorch, Rick J. Mills, Francis M. Scricco and John C. Wills to the Board of Directors;
2. TO VOTE, on an advisory basis, on the compensation of our named executive officers as set forth in the Proxy Statement (as defined below);
3. TO APPOINT Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as the auditors of the Company through to the next annual general meeting of the Shareholders and authorize the Board of Directors of the Company to fix the remuneration of the auditors;
4. TO RECEIVE the financial statements of the Company for the period ended January 1, 2017, together with the report of the auditors thereon; and
5. TO TRANSACT such further or other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each of the nominees listed in proposal 1 and FOR proposals 2 and 3. The Proxy Statement provides additional information relating to the matters to be dealt with at the Meeting and forms part of this notice.

DATED at Tampa, Florida this 27<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2017.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/s/ Robert E. Lewis

Robert E. Lewis  
Senior Vice President,  
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary  
Masonite International Corporation

PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR PROXY BY TELEPHONE OR THE INTERNET, OR BY MARKING, SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING A PROXY CARD OR VOTING INSTRUCTION FORM.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholders Meeting to Be Held on May 11, 2017: This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report are available free of charge on <http://investor.masonite.com/Investors/financial-reports/Annual-Meeting-Proxy-Statement/default.aspx>

---

MASONITE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

PROXY STATEMENT

Unless otherwise indicated, or the context otherwise requires, "Company" or "Masonite" refers to Masonite International Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. Unless otherwise indicated, all dollar amounts are expressed in US dollars and references to "\$" are to US dollars.

This proxy statement (the "Proxy Statement") is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by Masonite's Board of Directors (the "Board") on behalf of Masonite, for use at the annual general meeting (the "Meeting") of holders ("Shareholders") of common shares ("Common Shares") of the Company to be held at the University Club of Tampa, 201 N. Franklin Street, Suite 3800, Tampa, FL, 33602 on May 11, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. (Eastern Time), and at all postponements or adjournments thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying notice of the Meeting (the "Notice of Meeting").

In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we sent a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials on or about March 27, 2017 to our Shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 13, 2017. We also provided access to our proxy materials over the Internet beginning on that date. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail and did not receive, but would like to receive, a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

RECORD DATE; PROXIES; VOTING

Who Can Vote; Votes Per Share

The Board has set March 13, 2017 as the record date for the Meeting. At the Meeting, each Shareholder of record of Common Shares at the close of business on the record date will be entitled to vote on all matters proposed to come before the Meeting, except to the extent such Shareholder has transferred any such Common Shares after the Record Date and the transferee of such Common Shares establishes ownership thereof and makes a written demand to the Secretary of the Company, not later than ten days before the date of the Meeting, to be included in the list of Shareholders entitled to vote at the Meeting, in which case the transferee will be entitled to vote such Common Shares. Each such Shareholder of record will be entitled to one vote per Common Share on each matter submitted to a vote of Shareholders, as long as those shares are represented at the Meeting, either in person or by proxy.

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Common Shares and an unlimited number of special shares (the "Special Shares"). As of March 13, 2017, there were 30,069,430 Common Shares and no Special Shares outstanding.



#### How to Vote; Submitting Your Proxy; Revoking Your Proxy

You may vote your shares either by voting in person at the Meeting or by submitting a completed form of proxy in the manner described in this Proxy Statement. By submitting your form of proxy, you are legally authorizing another person to vote your shares. The persons specified on the enclosed form of proxy are officers of the Company. A registered Shareholder who wishes to appoint any person other than those specified on the enclosed form of proxy to represent him, her or it at the Meeting may do so by crossing out the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy and inserting such other person's name in the blank space provided in the form of proxy or by completing another proper form of proxy. Such other person need not be a Shareholder. Please note that if you appoint as proxy any person other than those specified on your form of proxy and neither you nor your proxy attends the Meeting in person, then your shares will not be voted.

If your shares are not registered in your name but in the "street name" of a bank, broker or other holder of record (a "nominee"), then your name will not appear in Masonite's register of Shareholders, and you are considered a "Beneficial Holder". Those shares are held in your nominee's name, on your behalf, and your nominee will be entitled to vote your shares. If your shares are held in street name, please refer to the information from your bank, broker or other nominee on how to submit voting instructions, which includes the deadlines for submission of voting instructions. Beneficial Holders may vote shares held in street name at the Meeting only if they obtain a signed proxy from the registered holder (bank, broker or other nominee) giving the Beneficial Holder the right to vote the shares and bring it to the Meeting.

To be valid, forms of proxy submitted by registered holders must be deposited at the offices of American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (the "Agent"), 6201 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11219, so as not to arrive later than 9:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) on May 10, 2017, or be provided, at the Meeting, to the chair of the Meeting (the "Chair of the Meeting"). If the Meeting is adjourned, forms of proxy must be deposited at the Agent 48 hours (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and holidays) before the time set for any reconvened meeting at which the forms of proxy are to be used, or be provided, at the Meeting, to the Chair of the Meeting or any reconvened meeting. The document appointing a proxy must be in writing and completed and signed by a Shareholder or his or her attorney authorized in writing or, if the Shareholder is a corporation, under its corporate seal or by an officer or attorney thereof duly authorized. Instructions provided to the Agent by a Shareholder must be in writing and completed and signed by the Shareholder or his or her attorney authorized in writing or, if the Shareholder is a corporation, under its corporate seal or by an officer or attorney thereof duly authorized. Persons signing as officers, attorneys, executors, administrators, and trustees or similar appointment should so indicate and provide satisfactory evidence of such authority.

Your proxy is revocable. A Beneficial Holder that has given instructions to its nominee with respect to the voting of Common Shares may instruct the nominee to thereafter revoke the relevant proxy in accordance with the instructions provided to the Beneficial Holder by its bank, broker or other nominee. A registered Shareholder that

has submitted a form of proxy may revoke the proxy: (i) by completing and signing a form of proxy bearing a later date and depositing it as aforesaid; or (ii) by depositing an instrument in writing executed by the Shareholder or by his or her attorney authorized in writing: (A) at the registered office of the Company at any time up to and including the last business day preceding the day of the applicable Meeting, or any adjournment thereof, at which the proxy is to be used, or (B) with the Chair of the Meeting at the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Even if you plan to attend the Meeting, we encourage you to vote in advance so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Meeting. Voting your proxy by the Internet, telephone or mail will not limit your right to vote at the Meeting if you later decide to attend in person, subject to compliance with the foregoing requirements.

#### How Your Proxy Will be Voted; Discretionary Authority of Proxies

The persons named in the accompanying form of proxy will vote the Common Shares in respect of which they are appointed, on any ballot that may be called for, in accordance with the instructions of the Shareholder as indicated in the form of proxy. If a Shareholder signs and returns a proxy card, but does not give voting instructions, the shares represented by that proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board, as follows:

FOR the election of Frederick J. Lynch, Jody L. Bilney, Robert J. Byrne, Peter R. Dachowski, Jonathan F. Foster, George A. Lorch, Rick J. Mills, Francis M. Scricco and John C. Wills to the Board to fill these positions as described under the heading "Election of Directors."

FOR, the approval, on an advisory basis, of the executive compensation paid by Masonite to its Named Executive Officers included in this Proxy Statement as described under the heading "Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation."

FOR the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as auditors of the Company and to authorize the Board to fix the auditor's remuneration as described under the heading "Appointment of Independent Registered Accounting Firm."

If any other matters are properly brought up at the Annual Meeting (other than the proposals contained in the Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement), then the named proxies will have the authority to vote your shares on those matters in accordance with their discretion and judgment. The Board currently does not know of any matters to be raised at the Meeting other than the proposals contained in the Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement. If a Shareholder votes via the Internet or by telephone, his, her or its electronic vote authorizes the named proxies in the same manner as if the Shareholder signed, dated and returned a proxy card by mail.

#### Quorum; Votes Necessary to Pass Resolutions

Pursuant to the Articles of the Company, a quorum for the transaction of business at the Meeting is at least 3 persons who are, or who represent by proxy, unrelated Shareholders, holding in the aggregate at least 15% of the Common Shares entitled to be voted at the Meeting. For purposes of determining a quorum, abstentions and broker "non-votes" present in person or by proxy are counted as represented. A broker "non-vote" occurs when a nominee (such as a broker) holding shares for a beneficial owner abstains from voting on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power for that proposal and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner on how to vote those shares. Under current New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, your broker will not have discretion to vote your uninstructed shares with respect to all of the proposals described herein other than Proposal 3 (appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the auditors of the Company and Board authorization to fix its remuneration). Your broker will have discretion to vote your uninstructed shares on Proposal 3.

The matters being considered and voted on at the Meeting are subject to differing standards for approval as follows: Proposal no. 1 is the election of directors. Each Shareholder may, in respect of each Common Share held, cast one vote with respect to each vacancy on the Board. There is no cumulative voting for the election of Directors. Each Shareholder should indicate its decision in respect of each nominee by voting "FOR" the nominee or "WITHHOLD" voting for the nominee. Those nominees receiving the most votes will be elected as Directors until all vacancies are filled. If the number of nominees for election is equal to the number of vacancies to be filled, then all such nominees will be declared elected by acclamation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election is required to tender his or her resignation following certification of the Shareholder vote.

Proposal no. 2 (vote on executive compensation) is an ordinary resolution of the Shareholders, and will be considered approved upon an affirmative vote of a majority (in excess of 50%) of the votes cast on the matter by Shareholders at the meeting represented in person or by proxy. Notwithstanding the approval or non-approval of this resolution, it is advisory in nature and is non-binding.

Proposal no. 3 (appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the auditors of the Company and Board authorization to fix its remuneration) is an appointment. Each Shareholder may cast a vote "FOR" or "WITHHOLD" voting for Ernst & Young LLP as auditor, and their appointment is dependent on no other independent registered public accounting firm being put forward at the meeting and receiving more "FOR" votes than them.

Abstentions and broker "non-votes" will not be counted as votes cast and will not affect the voting results for any of the above-noted matters.

**Solicitation of Proxies; Tabulation of Votes**

The Company will bear the cost of soliciting proxies on its behalf. Our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies in person or by telephone, electronic transmission and facsimile transmission. We will not be specially compensating our directors, officers and employees for those services, but they may be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the solicitation. We will also reimburse brokers, fiduciaries and custodians for their costs in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our Common Shares. American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC will act as our Scrutineer at the Meeting and assist us in tabulating the votes.

#### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL 1)

At the Meeting, nine Directors are to be elected. Each of the nominees set forth below currently serves as a Director of Masonite. The nominees for Director receiving a plurality of the votes cast at the Meeting will be elected Directors. Notwithstanding the foregoing, our Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth our procedures if a director is elected to the Board in an uncontested election but receives a majority of “withheld” votes. In an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes “withheld” from his or her election than votes “for” such election is required to tender his or her resignation following certification of the Shareholder vote. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is required to consider all relevant factors and make recommendations to the Board with respect to any such letter of resignation. The Board is required to take action with respect to this recommendation within 90 days after the certification of the election results.

Each nominee elected as a Director will continue in office until the 2018 Annual Meeting and until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal. If any nominee becomes unable to serve or withdraws his or her name as a nominee, proxies may be voted for the election of such other person as the Board may designate.

The following table sets forth the names of, and certain information for, the individuals proposed to be nominated for election as Directors. The nominees make up the current Board of the Company. Biographies for each nominee, which include a summary of each nominee’s present principal occupation and recent employment history, are set out below.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE DESCRIBED BELOW AS DIRECTOR.

Name and Province of Residence	Principal Occupation	Date Appointed as a Director
FREDERICK J. LYNCH Tampa, FL	President and Chief Executive Officer of Masonite	June 2009
JODY L. BILNEY <sup>(3)(4)</sup> Louisville, KY	Senior Vice President, Chief Consumer Officer, Humana, Inc.	January 2014
ROBERT J. BYRNE <sup>(4)</sup> Orlando, FL	President, Power Pro-Tech Services, Inc.	June 2009
PETER R. DACHOWSKI <sup>(2)(4)</sup> Berwyn, PA	Senior Adviser, Graham Partners and Corporate Director	July 2013
JONATHAN F. FOSTER <sup>(1)(4)(5)</sup> New York, NY	Managing Director of Current Capital LLC and Corporate Director	June 2009
GEORGE A. LORCH <sup>(2)(3)(4)</sup> Naples, FL	Corporate Director	June 2009
RICK J. MILLS <sup>(1)(4)(5)</sup> Nashville, TN	Corporate Director	September 2013
FRANCIS M. SCRICCO <sup>(2)(3)(4)</sup> Boston, MA	Corporate Director	June 2009
JOHN C. WILLS <sup>(1)(4)</sup> Aiken, SC	Corporate Director	June 2009

(1)Member of the Audit Committee.

(2)Member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

(3)Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

(4)Independent member of the Board as defined under applicable NYSE listing standards.

(5)Audit Committee financial expert.

#### Biographies

The present principal occupations and recent employment history of each of the Directors nominated for election at the Meeting above are as follows:

Frederick J. Lynch, (age 52) has served as President of Masonite since July 2006 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Masonite since May 2007. Mr. Lynch has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009. Mr. Lynch joined Masonite from AlphaPharma Inc., where he served as President of the human generics division and Senior Vice President of global supply chain from 2003 until 2006. Prior to joining AlphaPharma Inc. in 2003, Mr. Lynch spent nearly 18 years at Honeywell International Inc. (formerly AlliedSignal Inc.), most recently as Vice President and General Manager of the specialty chemical business. Mr. Lynch also currently serves as a Director of Ingevity Corporation. Jody L. Bilney, (age 55) has served as a Director of Masonite since January 2014. Ms. Bilney has served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Consumer Officer of Humana, Inc. since April 2013. Prior to that, she served in various senior executive marketing roles with Bloomin' Brands, Inc. from 2006 through

March 2013, most recently serving as Executive Vice President and Chief Brand Officer. Prior to joining Bloomin' Brands, she held senior executive positions with Openwave Systems, Inc., Charles Schwab & Co., Inc., and Verizon Communications, Inc.

Robert J. Byrne, (age 55) has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009 and has been Chairman of the Board of Masonite since July 2010. Mr. Byrne is the founder and has served as the President of Power Pro-Tech Services, Inc., which specializes in the installation, maintenance and repair of emergency power and solar photovoltaic power systems since 2002. Power Pro-Tech is Mr. Byrne's fourth start-up. His other entrepreneurial ventures have been in telecommunications, private equity and educational software. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Byrne was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of EPIK Communications, a start-up telecommunications company which merged with Progress Telecom in 2001 and was subsequently acquired by Level3 Communications. Having begun his career in investment banking, Mr. Byrne served as Partner at Advent International, a global private equity firm, from 1997 to 1999 and immediately prior to that, from 1993 to 1997, served as a Director of Orion Capital Partners. Mr. Byrne currently serves as a Director of NextEra Energy Partners, L.P.

Peter R. Dachowski, (age 68) has served as a Director of Masonite since July 2013. Mr. Dachowski spent 35 years with both CertainTeed Corporation, a North American manufacturer of exterior and interior residential and commercial building envelope construction products, and its parent company Saint-Gobain, most recently serving as CertainTeed's Chairman and CEO from 2004 to 2011. Prior to rejoining CertainTeed, he served as President of Saint-Gobain's worldwide insulation business and as a member of Saint-Gobain's Global Corporate Management Committee from 1994 to 2011. He was employed by The Boston Consulting Group as a Consultant and Engagement Manager from 1973 to 1976 after beginning his career as a Financial Analyst with the Treasury Department of Exxon Corporation in 1971. Mr. Dachowski is currently a Senior Advisor to Graham Partners, a middle-market private equity firm, and an advisor to other private equity firms and hedge funds on potential investments in the building products field.

Jonathan F. Foster, (age 56) has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009. Mr. Foster is the founder and a Managing Director of Current Capital LLC, a private equity investing and management services firm. Previously, from 2007 until 2008, Mr. Foster served as a Managing Director and Co-Head of Diversified Industrials and Services at Wachovia Securities. From 2005 until 2007, he served as Executive Vice President Finance and Business Development of Revolution LLC. From 2002 until 2004, Mr. Foster was a Managing Director of The Cypress Group, a private equity investment firm and from 2001 until 2002 he served as a Senior Managing Director of Bear Stearns & Co. From 1999 until 2000, Mr. Foster served as the Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of ToysRUs.com, Inc.

Previously, Mr. Foster was with Lazard for over ten years in various positions, including as a Managing Director. Mr. Foster currently serves as a Director of Lear Corporation, Chemtura Corporation, and Berry Plastics Group, Inc. and was formerly a Director of Sabine Oil & Gas from 2015 to 2016.

George A. Lorch, (age 75) has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009. Mr. Lorch spent over 37 years with Armstrong World Industries, Inc., which designs and manufactures flooring and ceilings. From 1993 to 1994 Mr. Lorch served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of Armstrong World Industries, Inc. and from 1994 to 2000, he served as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President. In 2000, he became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Armstrong Holdings, Inc. and upon retirement at the end of 2000, he was named Chairman Emeritus. Mr. Lorch currently serves as a Director of WPX Energy and Autoliv Inc. and served on the Board of Directors of Pfizer Inc. until April 2015, where he had been Lead Independent Director since December 2011, served as its Non-Executive Chairman from December 2010 to December 2011, and had been a director since 2000.

Rick J. Mills, (age 69) has served as a Director of Masonite since September 2013. Prior to his retirement in 2008, Mr. Mills spent over 37 years with Cummins, Inc., most recently serving as the Corporate Vice President and President of the Components Group from 2005 to 2008. Prior to that he served Cummins in a number of financial and operational roles, including Vice President and Group President of Filtration and Corporate Controller. Mr. Mills also currently serves as a Director of Commercial Metals Company and Flowserve Corporation.

Francis M. Scricco, (age 67) has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009. Prior to joining our Board, Mr. Scricco was with Avaya, Inc., a global business communications provider, where he served as Senior Vice President, Global Services from March 2004 to February 2007 and subsequently as Senior Vice President, Manufacturing, Logistics and Procurement until his retirement in October 2008. Prior to joining Avaya, Inc., he was employed by Arrow Electronics as its COO from 1997 to 2000 and then as its President and CEO from 2000 to 2002. Mr. Scricco's first operating role was as a General Manager for General Electric. He began his career with The Boston Consulting Group in 1973. Mr. Scricco is currently a Director of Tembec, Inc., an integrated forest products company, and Chairman of the Board of Visteon Corporation, a global automotive supplier.

John C. Wills, (age 63) has served as a Director of Masonite since June 2009. Mr. Wills retired from Masco Corporation in 2007 where as Group President he was responsible for their worldwide plumbing business. He started his career with Procter & Gamble Corporation and entered the building product industry in 1985 when he joined Moen Incorporated in Canada. He also has retail big box experience participating in the start-up of The Home Depot in Canada in 1991, which at the time was called Aikenhead's Home



Improvement Warehouse. Mr. Wills is currently serves as a director of ACPI (formerly Armstrong Cabinets), and Phillips Service Industries, Inc.

#### Director Qualifications

The Board seeks to ensure that the Board is composed of members whose particular experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, when taken together, will allow the Board to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively. Consistent with the Company's Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee charter, in identifying candidates for membership on the Board, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee takes into account all factors it considers appropriate, which may include strength of character, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant technical skills, diversity and the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need on the Board. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of the Directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow our Board to fulfill its responsibilities.

When determining whether our current Directors have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, taken as a whole, to enable our Board to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of our business and structure, our Board focused primarily on our longer-tenured Directors' contributions to our success in recent years, the specific expertise that the more recently elected Directors have and are expected to continue to contribute, and on the information discussed in the biographies set forth under "Election of Directors-Biographies." With respect to Mr. Lynch, our Board considered in particular his current role as our Chief Executive Officer, his familiarity with our business operations, and his extensive management expertise. With respect to Mr. Foster, our Board considered in particular his experience as a Chief Financial Officer and member of the audit committee and board of directors of public companies, as well as his financial, investment banking and transactional experience. With respect to Mr. Byrne, our Board considered in particular his financial, investment banking and transactional experience and his proven entrepreneurial and operational skills in the industrial services industry. With respect to Mr. Dachowski, our Board considered in particular his extensive financial and building products industry experience. With respect to Mr. Lorch, our Board considered in particular his extensive management expertise and board experience at public companies, including serving as non-executive chairman of Pfizer and as a Director, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a public company in the building products industry. With respect to Mr. Mills, the Board considered his extensive management and financial experience in the manufacturing industry. With respect to Ms. Bilney, the Board considered her extensive marketing and branding experience with highly successful companies such as Humana Inc. With respect to Mr. Scricco, our Board considered in particular his extensive management experience, including as Chief Executive Officer of an electronics distribution business, his

prior public-company board experience, his strategy consulting experience, and his familiarity with product marketing, distribution channels and branding. With respect to Mr. Wills, our Board considered in particular his sales and marketing experience in a large multiproduct public company in the building products industry and specifically his familiarity with big box retail customers such as The Home Depot.

#### Process for Shareholders to Recommend Director Nominees

Pursuant to its charter, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will evaluate candidates for nomination to the Board, including those recommended by Shareholders on a substantially similar basis as it considers other nominees, as described above. Shareholders wishing to propose a candidate for consideration may do so by submitting the proposed candidate's name, age, business address and residential address, principal occupation or employment, and certain other information required by our Articles, to the attention of our Corporate Secretary in accordance with our Articles and the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) ("BCBCA"). Please note that our Articles require that timely notice be provided by any Shareholder who proposes director nominations for consideration at a Shareholders' meeting, in addition to other requirements. See "Shareholder Proposals For 2018 Annual Meeting" below. All recommendations for nomination received by the Corporate Secretary that satisfy the requirements of our Articles and the BCBCA relating to such director nominations will be presented to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee for its consideration.

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; BOARD AND COMMITTEE MATTERS

### Board Structure and Director Independence

Our business, property and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board, which has nine Directors. Our Board has determined, after considering all the relevant facts and circumstances, that all of the Directors other than Mr. Lynch, our President and Chief Executive Officer, are independent, as "independence" is defined by the listing standards of the NYSE, because they have no direct or indirect material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, Shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us) that would cause the independence requirements of the NYSE listing standards to not be satisfied, and otherwise meet the NYSE listing standards. Members of our Board are kept informed of our business through discussions with our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other officers, by reviewing materials provided to them, by visiting our offices and facilities, and by participating in meetings of the Board and its committees. We currently separate the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. This structure properly reflects our belief that our Shareholders' interests are best served by the day-to-day management direction of the Company under Mr. Lynch, as President and Chief Executive Officer, together with the leadership of our Chairman of the Board, Mr. Byrne.

### Meetings of the Board

In 2016 there were six meetings of the entire Board and 24 committee meetings. All incumbent Directors attended at least seventy-five percent (75%) or more of the meetings of the Board and of the committees on which they served. Absent extraordinary circumstances, we expect all Directors and nominees to attend our annual meetings of Shareholders. All Directors attended the 2016 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders either in person or telephonically.

### Executive Sessions

As required by the NYSE listing standards, non-employee Directors meet by themselves, without management or employee-Directors present, at regularly scheduled in-person Board meetings, meetings of the committees of the Board and telephonic meetings, as appropriate. The Chairman of the Board, Mr. Byrne, or the Chair of the committee, as applicable, presides at these meetings.

### Board Committees; Membership

We currently have the following committees: Audit Committee, Human Resources and Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, each of which has the responsibilities and composition described below. The Board has adopted charters for each of these committees describing the authority and responsibilities delegated to each committee by the Board. All committee charters are available at our website, [www.masonite.com](http://www.masonite.com), and available in print to any shareholder without charge, upon request to Masonite International

Corporation, One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, FL 33602 Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by calling (800) 895-2723.

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee currently consists of Jonathan F. Foster (Chair), Rick J. Mills, and John C. Wills. The Audit Committee met thirteen times in 2016. Each member of our Audit Committee is independent under applicable NYSE listing standards and meets the standards for independence required by U.S. securities law requirements applicable to public companies, including Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Each member is financially literate under applicable NYSE listing standards and our Board has determined that each of Mr. Foster and Mr. Mills is qualified as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of applicable SEC regulations. The Audit Committee oversees and evaluates and, where necessary or advisable, makes recommendations as to the quality and integrity of the financial statements of the Company, the internal control and financial reporting systems of the Company, the compliance by the Company with legal and regulatory requirements in respect of financial disclosure, the qualification, independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of the Company's internal audit functions. In addition, the Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, termination and oversight of the work of the independent registered public accounting firm (including oversight of the resolution of any disagreements between management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing audit reports or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company, subject to any applicable approvals required from our Board or our Shareholders.

#### Human Resources and Compensation Committee

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee currently consists of Francis M. Scricco (Chair), George A. Lorch, and Peter R. Dachowski. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee met seven times in 2016. Each member of our Human Resources and Compensation Committee is independent under applicable NYSE listing standards and qualifies as a "non-employee director" for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee reviews and, as it deems appropriate, recommends to the Board policies, practices and procedures relating to the compensation and succession planning for the executive officers and other managerial employees and the establishment and administration of employee benefits plans. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee also exercises all authority under the Company's employee equity incentive plans, subject to any applicable approvals required from our Board or our Shareholders. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee may delegate its authority as it deems appropriate to a subcommittee composed of one or more members. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has utilized Frederic W. Cook & Co. ("FW Cook") as its independent consulting firm since 2010. For a discussion concerning the processes and procedures for considering and determining executive and director compensation and the role of executive officers and the compensation consultant in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive and

director compensation, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 26 and "Director Compensation" beginning on page 19.

#### Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee currently consists of George A. Lorch (Chair), Francis M. Scricco and Jody L. Bilney. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met four times in 2016. Each member of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is independent under applicable NYSE listing standards. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews and, as it deems appropriate, recommends to the Board policies and procedures relating to Director and Board committee nominations and corporate governance policies, oversees compliance with the Company's ethics training and compliance programs, reviews policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management and provides oversight for risk management processes, and establishes and administers assessment of board, committee and individual Director performance.

#### Board Role in Risk Oversight

Management has responsibility for managing overall risk to the enterprise. A management risk committee meets quarterly to assess identified risks and steps being taken to appropriately mitigate risk. The Board has delegated to the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee the primary responsibility for overseeing our risk management framework and methods for identifying and managing management's adherence to the framework, including periodic review of the structure and effectiveness of our risk management committee. The Audit Committee reviews the guidelines and policies governing the process by which risk assessment and risk management are managed by management with the oversight of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Audit Committee also reviews the Company's major financial risk exposures and management's actions to monitor and control such exposures. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee conducts a compensation plan risk assessment in order to ensure that our compensation plans focus on growth in shareholder value without incentivizing undue risk. Our Board receives reports from the committees and periodically assesses the enterprise-level risks that face the Company from a strategic point of view and reviews options for risk mitigation.

#### Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics

Our Board has adopted corporate governance guidelines. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines reflect the principles by which we operate. From time to time, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board review and revise our Corporate Governance Guidelines in response to evolving best practices as appropriate. We have also adopted a Values Guide/Code of Conduct (the "Code of Conduct"), which applies to all of our Directors, officers and employees. We intend to post any amendments to or waivers from our Code of Conduct on our website to the extent applicable to our CEO, CFO, Corporate Controller, and any other officer who may function as a Chief Accounting Officer or a Director. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Code of Conduct, and other information

are available at our website, [www.masonite.com](http://www.masonite.com), and such information is available in print to any Shareholder without charge, upon request to Masonite International Corporation, One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, FL 33602, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by calling (800) 895-2723.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal 2016, Messrs. Scricco, Lorch, and Dachowski served on the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. During fiscal 2016, no member of our Human Resources and Compensation Committee was an employee or officer or former officer of Masonite or had any relationships requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. None of our executive officers has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has or has had one or more executive officers who served as a member of our Board or our Human Resources and Compensation Committee during fiscal 2016.

#### Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

The Company's Related Person Transaction Policy defines a "Related Person Transaction" as any transaction that would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K in which the Company was or is to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any Related Person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than an employment relationship or transaction involving an executive officer and any related compensation. A "transaction" includes, but is not limited to, any financial transaction, arrangement or relationship (including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness) or any series of similar transactions, arrangement or relationships. A "Related Person" is (i) any person who is, or at any time since the beginning of the last fiscal year, was an executive officer, a director or a director nominee of the Company; (ii) a security holder who is known to the Company to own of record or beneficially more than 5% of any class of the Company's voting securities at the time of occurrence or existence of the Related Person Transaction; and (iii) a person who is an immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons (the term "immediate family" shall include any child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law or sister-in-law and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any of the foregoing persons). Under the Related Person Transaction Policy, each Related Person Transaction must be approved or ratified in accordance with the guidelines set forth in the policy by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or by the disinterested members of the Board. In considering whether to approve or ratify any Related Person Transaction, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee or the disinterested members of the Board, as the case may be, shall consider all factors that in their discretion are relevant to the Related Person Transaction. Additionally, any employment relationship or transaction involving an executive officer and any related compensation must be approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board or recommended by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee to the Board for its approval. In considering whether to approve or ratify any Related Person Transaction, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

or the disinterested members of the Board, as the case may be, shall consider all factors that in their discretion are relevant to the Related Person Transaction. There were no transactions, or currently proposed transactions, considered to be a Related Person Transaction since the beginning of our last fiscal year and through the date of this Proxy Statement.

#### Communications with Directors

Interested parties, including Shareholders, may contact the Chairman of the Board or one or more members of the Board or its committees by writing to them at: Board of Masonite International Corporation, c/o Masonite International Corporation, One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, Florida 33602.

#### Certain Legal Proceedings

As a result of a liquidity shortfall triggered by the unprecedented downturn in the U.S. housing and construction market that commenced in 2006, on March 16, 2009, Masonite and several affiliated Canadian companies, voluntarily filed to reorganize under the Company's Creditors Arrangement Act in Canada in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. In addition, Masonite and its U.S. subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. As such, our Director and Chief Executive Officer Mr. Frederick J. Lynch and two other executive officers, Lawrence W. Repar and Gail N.

Auerbach, our Senior Vice President, Human Resources, served as executive officers of a company that filed a petition under the federal bankruptcy laws at or within two years prior to the time of the filing.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, as amended, requires directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than ten percent (10%) of our Common Shares to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our Common Shares. Based solely on a review of the copies of these reports received by us and on written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that all such reports were submitted on a timely basis during fiscal 2016 except for one Form 4 reporting that the performance criteria of a previously issued performance-based restricted stock unit award had been satisfied for each of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, and Lewis and Gail N. Auerbach which were filed one day late.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following tables show the amount of our Common Shares beneficially owned as of March 13, 2017, by those known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of our Common Shares, by our Directors and named executive officers individually and by our Directors and all of our executive officers as a group.

The percentage of Common Shares outstanding provided in the tables are based on 30,069,430 shares outstanding as of March 13, 2017. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities.

5% Owners:

Except as otherwise indicated below, based solely on filings made under Sections 13(d) and 13(g) of the Exchange Act as of March 13, 2017, the only Shareholders known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of any class of our voting securities are:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	
	Total Common Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Common Shares Beneficially Owned
Baron Capital Group, Inc. <sup>(1)</sup>	2,106,379	7.0%
BlackRock, Inc. <sup>(2)</sup>	2,097,333	6.9%
The Vanguard Group <sup>(3)</sup>	2,282,386	7.6%

Based on the most recently available Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017, as of December 31, 2016, the number of shares reported includes (a) 1,962,900 Common Shares over which BAMCO, Inc.

(1) ("BAMCO") has shared voting and dispositive power and (b) 143,479 Common Shares over which Baron Capital Management, Inc. ("BCM") has shared voting and dispositive power. BAMCO and BCM are subsidiaries of Baron Capital Group, Inc. ("BCG"). Ronald Baron owns a controlling interest in BCG. The mailing address for the holder listed above is 767 Fifth Avenue, 49th Floor, New York, NY 10153.

Based on the most recently available Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 25, 2017, as of December 31, 2016, the number of shares reported includes (a) 1,958,265 Common Shares over which Blackrock Inc.

(2) ("Blackrock") has sole voting power; and (b) 2,097,333 Common Shares over which Blackrock has sole dispositive power. The mailing address for the holder listed above is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

Based on the most recently available Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 10, 2017, as of December 31, 2016, the number of shares reported includes (a) 59,964 Common Shares over which The Vanguard Group

(3) ("Vanguard") has sole voting power; (b) 2,220,222 Common Shares over which Vanguard has sole dispositive power; (c) 3,500 Common Shares over which Vanguard has shared voting power; and (d) 62,164 Common Shares over which Vanguard has shared dispositive power. The mailing address for the holder listed above is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.



## Directors and Executive Officers:

Name of Beneficial Owner <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned as of March 13, 2017			
	Common Shares Directly or Indirectly Owned <sup>(2)</sup>	SARS Exercisable Within 60 Days of March 13, 2017 <sup>(3)</sup>	RSUs Vesting Within 60 Days of March 13, 2017 <sup>(4)</sup>	Total Stock-Based Ownership <sup>(5)</sup>
Frederick J. Lynch	220,870	230,150	—	451,020
Robert J. Byrne	35,486	—	1,958	37,444
Jonathan F. Foster	7,186	—	1,015	8,201
George A. Lorch	32,269	—	1,015	33,284
Francis M. Scricco	10,269	—	1,015	11,284
John C. Wills	4,334	—	1,015	5,349
Peter R. Dachowski	5,672	—	1,015	6,687
Rick J. Mills	4,172	—	1,015	5,187
Jody L. Bilney	3,524	—	1,015	4,539
Russell T. Tiejema	6,264	551	—	6,815
Lawrence P. Repar	10,325	695	—	11,020
Robert E. Lewis	17,185	20,386	—	37,571
James A. “Tony” Hair	7,366	5,062	—	12,428
All directors and executive officers as a group (14 persons)	394,788	261,129	9,063	664,980

As of March 13, 2017 (i) no Director or executive officer beneficially owned more than 1% of the outstanding Common Shares of the Company other than Mr. Lynch who owned 1.48%, and (ii) the Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, beneficially owned approximately 2.19% of the Common Shares of the Company (including Common Shares they can acquire within 60 days). The address of each of our Directors and executive officers listed above is c/o Masonite International Corporation, One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, Florida 33602.

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents Common Shares owned by the Directors and executive officers. With respect to Mr. Byrne, includes 31,176 Common Shares which are held in trust and, with respect to Mr. Wills, includes 2,811 Common Shares held by Jokaw Inc.

<sup>(2)</sup> The number of Common Shares shown in this column are not currently outstanding but are deemed beneficially owned because of the right to acquire upon the exercise of SARS that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 13, 2017. Since the SARs are settled in Common Shares, the table assumes that the SARs were converted to Common Shares using a price of \$81.15 per Common Share, the closing price of our Common Shares on the NYSE on March 13, 2017.

<sup>(3)</sup> The number of Common Shares shown in this column are not currently outstanding but are deemed beneficially owned because of the right to acquire upon the vesting of time vesting restricted stock units within 60 days of March 13, 2017.

<sup>(4)</sup> These amounts are the sum of the number of shares shown in the prior columns.



## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Mr. Lynch, our President and Chief Executive Officer, does not receive any additional compensation for serving on our Board. During 2016, the annual Director compensation program was structured as follows:

• Annual cash retainer: \$100,000

• Annual equity retainer: \$70,000 (\$135,000 for the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board)

• No meeting fees (Board or Committee)

• Additional annual cash retainer for Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Chair: \$12,500

• Additional annual cash retainer for Audit Committee and Human Resources and Compensation Committee Chairs: \$17,500

• Additional annual cash retainer for the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board: \$55,000

All cash retainers are payable in equal installments at the beginning of each fiscal quarter. As indicated above, our non-employee Directors other than the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board also receive an annual equity retainer of restricted stock units (with the number of restricted stock units granted determined by dividing \$70,000 by the fair market value of a Common Share on the grant date). The number of restricted stock units granted to the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board is determined by dividing \$135,000 by the fair market value of a common share on the grant date. These grants are made annually immediately after a Director is re-elected to our Board and will vest on the first anniversary of the grant date, subject to the Director's continued service on the Board through the vesting date.

On December 14, 2016, after consulting with the Board's compensation consultant, the Board determined to increase the cash retainer for the Chairman of the Audit Committee by \$2,500 to \$20,000 effective January 1, 2017, to increase the cash retainer for the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board by \$5,000 to \$60,000 effective January 1, 2017, and to increase the annual equity retainer of restricted stock units made to the Non-Executive Chairman of the Board by \$5,000 to \$140,000 effective with the equity award that is scheduled to be made after re-election to our Board at the Meeting.

All Directors are reimbursed for reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the attending meetings of our Board and its committees.

We have stock ownership guidelines that require each of our non-employee Directors to own meaningful equity stakes in Masonite to further align their economic interests with those of our Shareholders. Our stock ownership guidelines require that our non-employee Directors own common shares in an amount not less than three times the

amount of their annual cash retainer. Compliance with these guidelines will be measured once per fiscal year on the last day of the first fiscal quarter. Restricted stock units count as shares for purposes of the guidelines. There is no particular date by which the requisite share ownership level must be achieved. However, until the required level of ownership is achieved, each non-employee Director must retain at least fifty percent of the number of shares acquired by the Director upon the settlement of any restricted stock units. All of our non-employee Directors, other than Mr. Mills and Ms. Bilney, owned the requisite number of shares as of the last measurement date on April 3, 2016.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Stock Awards(\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Total (\$)
Robert J. Byrne, Chairman	155,000	135,000	290,000
Jody L. Bilney	100,000	70,000	170,000
Peter R. Dachowski	100,000	70,000	170,000
Jonathan F. Foster	117,500	70,000	187,500
George A. Lorch	112,500	70,000	182,500
Rick J. Mills	100,000	70,000	170,000
Francis M. Scricco	117,500	70,000	187,500
John C. Willis	100,000	70,000	170,000

- This column includes the annual cash retainers described above. Mr. Byrne received \$55,000 for serving as non-executive Chairman of the Board. Mr. Foster received \$17,500 for serving as chair of the Audit Committee.
- (1) Mr. Lorch received \$12,500 for serving as the chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Mr. Scricco received \$17,500 for serving as the chair of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. On May 12, 2016, each non-employee Director then on the Board other than Mr. Byrne was awarded 1,015 restricted stock units and Mr. Byrne was awarded 1,958 restricted stock units under the Masonite International Corporation Amended and Restated 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan"). The amounts reported in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the restricted stock units computed in accordance with
- (2) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 "Stock Compensation," as issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board. The assumptions made when calculating the amounts are found in Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017. As of January 1, 2017, the non-executive Directors held the following outstanding restricted stock units: Mr. Byrne – 1,958; Mr. Foster – 1,015; Ms. Bilney – 1,015; Mr. Lorch – 1,015; Mr. Scricco – 1,015; Mr. Wills – 1,015; Mr. Dachowski – 1,015; and Mr. Mills – 1,015.

## SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table provides information about our Common Shares that may be issued upon exercise of options, warrants and rights under the 2012 Plan, the Masonite International Corporation 2009 Plan and the Masonite International Corporation 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All outstanding awards relate to Common Shares. Information is as of January 1, 2017.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights <sup>(4)</sup> (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	975,937 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$41.50	2,304,207 <sup>(5)</sup>
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders <sup>(1)</sup>	403,904 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$16.59	—
Total	1,379,841	\$24.47	2,304,207

Under applicable Canadian laws, the 2009 Plan was not required to be approved by security holders. For additional (1) information concerning our equity compensation plans, see the discussion in Note 8 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017.

(2) Consists of outstanding (i) stock appreciation rights under the 2012 Plan covering an aggregate of 92,430 Common Shares calculated assuming the SARs were converted to Common Shares using a price of \$65.80 per Common Share, the closing price of our Common Shares on the NYSE on December 30, 2016, (ii) time-based vesting restricted stock unit awards under the 2012 Plan covering an aggregate of 217,158 Common Shares, and (iii) performance-based vesting restricted stock unit awards under the 2012 Plan covering an aggregate of 666,349 Common Shares. The number of shares to be issued in respect of performance-based restricted stock unit awards has been calculated based on the assumption that the maximum levels of performance applicable to these awards will be achieved. The target payout of performance-based vesting restricted stock unit awards is 284,768 shares.

(3) Consists of outstanding stock appreciation rights under the 2009 Plan covering an aggregate of 403,904 Common Shares calculated assuming the SARs were converted to Common Shares using a price of \$65.80 per Common Share, the closing price of our Common Shares on the NYSE on December 30, 2016.

(4) Reflects the weighted average exercise price of stock appreciation rights only. As restricted stock unit awards have no exercise price, they are excluded from the weighted average exercise price calculation set forth in column (b).

(5) Includes 719,618 shares available for future issuance under the Masonite International Corporation 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Board has reviewed and discussed the following section of this Proxy Statement entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that this Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2017.

Submitted by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee of the Company's Board:

Francis M. Scricco (Chairman)

George A. Lorch

Peter R. Dachowski

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Executive Summary

#### Our Business

We are a leading global designer and manufacturer of interior and exterior doors for the residential new construction, the residential repair, renovation and remodeling, and the non-residential building construction markets. Since 1925, we have provided our customers with innovative products and superior service at compelling values. As of January 1, 2017, we served more than 7,000 customers in 65 countries and had approximately 10,200 employees worldwide.

#### Executive Compensation Program Attributes

Our executive compensation program is supported by an underlying philosophy that compensation should attract and retain high caliber talent, reward performance and align with the interests of our Shareholders. We execute this philosophy by providing our executives with base salaries, cash bonus awards under our annual cash bonus plan, grants of a combination of performance-based and time-based equity awards under our long-term incentive program, severance and change in control benefits, and other employee benefits. To focus our named executive officers ("NEOs") on delivering both short- and long-term results, a significant amount of their target total direct compensation mix is weighted towards at-risk compensation.

#### Adoption of Compensation Best Practices

As part of our compensation philosophy, we have taken a number of actions to ensure a fair, balanced, and transparent executive compensation structure, including:

- Payouts under our executive annual cash bonus plan ("Management Incentive Plan" or "MIP") are based solely on our achievement of specified financial and operational performance goals;

- We deliver a majority of our long-term incentive awards in the form of performance-vesting equity awards that are tied to the achievement of challenging financial objectives and Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs") which only have value if our share price increases;

- We have stock ownership guidelines for our NEOs to ensure they maintain a significant investment in our Common Shares, thereby aligning their economic interests with those of our Shareholders;

- We have implemented "claw back" provisions that enable us to recover both cash-based and equity-based incentive compensation from our employees, including our NEOs, following either certain detrimental misconduct or certain financial misconduct that contributes to the Company's financial or operational results used to determine cash or equity awards under our plans being misstated and, for

equity-based compensation only, following an employee's material violation of any restrictive covenants to which that employee is a party;

We have a policy that prohibits derivative transactions in our Common Shares, including trading in puts, calls, covered calls, or other derivative products involving our securities; engaging in any hedging or monetization transaction with respect to our securities; or holding company securities in a margin account or pledging our securities as collateral for a loan;

We do not have any plans or agreements that provide tax gross-ups under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code; We no longer grant any equity awards that provide for "single trigger" vesting on or following a change of control. All awards now require a qualifying termination following a change of control ("a double trigger") in order to accelerate vesting; and

Our NEOs receive no special perquisites, unless such benefits serve a reasonable business purpose, such as providing newly hired executives with relocation benefits and, commencing in 2017, providing our executives with more comprehensive physical examinations.

#### 2016 Executive Compensation Highlights

After considering the results of the annual market assessment conducted by FW Cook, the Human Resource and Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, and management's recommendation (other than with respect to Mr. Lynch), we approved base salary merit increases for Messrs. Lynch, Lewis and Hair for fiscal year 2016.

Our 2016 MIP Adjusted EBITDA was \$256.0 million which exceeded the target level of \$245.0 million and our MIP Net Revenue was \$2,025.2 million which exceeded the target level of \$1,988.0 million for corporate participants. Additionally we exceeded the target amount for the average unit price increase adder. As a result, the MIP paid out at 126.3% of target for corporate participants.

In 2016 we began including SARs as a component of our long-term incentive awards. Because SARs will only have value if our NEOs are successful in increasing our share price above the SARs' exercise price, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee believes that they provide an incentive to drive long-term growth in the business. We increased the already significant percentage of our annual long-term incentive awards that are "at risk" in the form of performance-based awards, as 80% of Mr. Lynch's long-term incentive awards and 70% of each other NEO's long-term incentive awards consisted of performance-based restricted stock units and SARs. The performance-based restricted stock units require that we achieve certain pre-



established performance levels based on 2018 performance of Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets.

#### 2016 Corporate Governance Highlights

Our Board is committed to maintaining sound governance practices and standards with respect to its oversight of our executive compensation program, including the following:

- The Human Resources and Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, FW Cook, is retained directly by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee and performs no other services for us;

- Upon request by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, FW Cook conducted an updated benchmarking study of our executive compensation in late 2015, and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee considered the results of such study in making compensation decisions in 2016;

- The Human Resources and Compensation Committee reviews our compensation programs annually to confirm that such programs do not encourage unnecessary risk-taking; and

- The Human Resources and Compensation Committee follows an equity grant policy that sets forth the timing and approvals required for equity grants.

#### Introduction to Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") explains the philosophy and objectives of our compensation program and our process for setting compensation for our named executive officers for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017.

Our executive compensation program is overseen by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. As discussed in greater detail below, we offer our NEOs a balanced compensation structure, comprised of the following components:

- Annual base salary;

- Annual cash bonuses;

- Long-term equity incentive awards;

- Severance and change in control benefits; and

Other employee benefits.

In making its decisions on an executive's compensation, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee considers the nature and scope of all elements of the executive's total compensation package, the executive's responsibilities and his or her effectiveness in supporting our key strategic, operational and financial goals (but does not assign any specific weighting to any of the items considered).

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Our Named Executive Officers

For the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017, the following individuals were our NEOs:

Frederick J. Lynch, our President and Chief Executive Officer

Russell T. Tiejema, our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

Lawrence P. Repar, our Executive Vice President, Chief Customer Experience Officer

(Mr. Repar has notified the Company that he intends to retire from the Company on or about August 1, 2017)

Robert E. Lewis, our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

James A. "Tony" Hair, our Senior Vice President, Business Leader - Residential

Executive Compensation Objectives

Our executive compensation programs are overseen by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, which is comprised of Messrs. Francis M. Scricco (Committee chair), George A. Lorch and Peter R. Dachowski. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee consults with the Board in determining the compensation package of our Chief Executive Officer, and has ultimate responsibility for determining the compensation for all of the NEOs. In making its compensation decisions, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee considers, among other things, market data and trends, input from the Human Resources and Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant FW Cook (whose role is discussed below), and, with respect to the NEOs other than our Chief Executive Officer, the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer (as discussed in more detail below). The Human Resources and Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and annually reviewing the overall compensation philosophy of the Company for its executive officers. The key principles guiding the Human Resources and Compensation Committee in making compensation determinations are as follows:

We offer a total compensation program comprised of base salary and variable compensation (consisting of short-term cash and long-term equity components) linked to business goals, designed to attract, retain

and motivate talented executives to deliver the Company's financial and operating performance objectives and long-term vision.

• To align the interests of management with those of our Shareholders, our pay mix is weighted in favor of at-risk compensation, both in the form of annual cash bonus and equity awards.

• Pay for performance is an important concept of our compensation philosophy. Consistent with this focus, our compensation program includes annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives.

#### Compensation Philosophy and Pay Mix

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee strives to provide pay opportunities that generally align within a competitive range to the market median (i.e., within 15% above or below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile), as determined using both our peer group and national market survey data, and considers competitive compensation practices and other relevant factors such as experience, contribution, internal equity, and performance in setting each NEO's target total direct compensation. Target total direct compensation represents the sum of target total cash compensation (base salary and target annual bonus) and target annual equity awards. Given our performance-based program, the actual amount of compensation realized will be contingent on our ability to perform against our pre-established performance goals and grow our stock price.

Our compensation policy provides for a mix of performance-based and guaranteed compensation elements and our Human Resources and Compensation Committee strives to achieve an appropriate balance between these two types of compensation, as well as an appropriate mix of cash and equity-based compensation. The mix of compensation elements is primarily designed to reward individual performance and enterprise value growth and is weighted towards at-risk compensation, both in the form of performance-based annual cash bonuses and equity-based compensation, including performance-based and time-based awards.

The charts below illustrate the target total direct compensation for 2016 for Mr. Lynch and the average of the other four NEOs.

**Prior Year's Shareholder Advisory Vote**

Each year, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee considers the outcome of the stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation when making future decisions relating to the compensation of our named executive officers and our executive compensation program and policies. We believe that our stockholders recognize the positive attributes of our executive compensation program. We received strong support for our executive compensation from our stockholders at our 2016 annual general meeting of Shareholders, at which approximately 95% of the votes cast on the "say on pay" proposal were in favor of the 2015 compensation for our NEOs. Given this strong support, among other considerations, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined not to implement any significant changes to our compensation programs in fiscal 2016 as a result of the shareholder advisory vote (although, as mentioned above, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee separately determined to increase the percentage of our annual long-term incentive awards that are "at risk" in the form of performance-based awards).

As the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act requires that votes on the frequency of stockholder votes on executive compensation be held at least once every 6 years, we currently expect the next stockholder vote on frequency to occur at the Company's 2020 annual general meeting.

#### Role of our Human Resources and Compensation Committee

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee makes compensation decisions for our NEOs after reviewing our performance for the preceding fiscal year, our short- and long-term strategies, and current economic and market conditions, and carefully evaluating each NEO's performance during the preceding fiscal year against established organizational goals, leadership qualities, operational performance, business responsibilities, career with us, current compensation arrangements and long-term potential to enhance enterprise value. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee takes a holistic view in its assessment of executive compensation arrangements, taking into consideration the foregoing factors and shareholder considerations, not necessarily relying on any one factor exclusively in determining compensation for our NEOs. In making compensation decisions, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee receives advice from FW Cook and input from our Chief Executive Officer (and other executive officers), as further discussed below.

#### Role of our Chief Executive Officer and Other Executive Officers

Our Chief Executive Officer reviews the base salaries of our NEOs (other than himself) on an annual basis and, if applicable, recommends base salary increases to the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, based on each NEO's performance and responsibilities. Mr. Lynch confers with our Senior Vice President of Human Resources, Gail N. Auerbach, and together they consider applicable market data provided by FW Cook. In addition, Mr. Lynch and Ms. Auerbach provide the Human Resources and Compensation Committee with input regarding our annual cash incentive plan (as discussed below) and equity grants for executive officers, including but not limited to recommendations regarding eligibility for such grants and the size of the applicable grant (determined as a percentage of base salary). Additionally, our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Russell T. Tiejema, provides input to Mr. Lynch and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee with respect to the financial performance aspects of our annual bonus plan and any performance-based equity awards. Although Mr. Lynch often attends meetings of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, he recuses himself from those portions of the meetings related to his compensation. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Board, is exclusively responsible for determining any base salary increases and for making any other compensation decisions with respect to Mr. Lynch.

#### Role of Compensation Consultant

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has utilized FW Cook as its independent consulting firm since 2010. FW Cook is engaged by, and reports directly to, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. FW Cook provides our Human Resources and Compensation Committee with input and guidance on all components of our executive compensation program. Except for services provided to the Committee related to executive and director compensation and non-employee director compensation, FW Cook did not provide any services to us during 2016.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has evaluated whether any work performed by FW Cook raised any conflict of interest and determined that it did not.

#### Benchmarking

##### Peer Group Review and Benchmarking Study

After the Company became publicly listed in late 2013 the Human Resources and Compensation Committee engaged FW Cook to review the peer group previously used in benchmarking executive compensation. In conducting this review, FW Cook examined the following general criteria: (i) operational fit reflecting companies in a similar industry and subject to similar economic opportunities and pressures as well as similar business and performance characteristics; (ii) financial scope reflecting companies of similar size and scale (with size for purposes of peer group development generally defined as 1/3 to 3 times Masonite's revenue and market cap), in addition to relevant secondary measures such as total assets and net income; and (iii) competitors for talent reflecting companies with whom Masonite competes for executive talent and that operate in similar economic markets. Based on FW Cook's review, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved the following list of companies as an appropriate peer group for benchmarking executive compensation:

American Woodmark Corp.	Louisiana Pacific Corp.
Apogee Enterprises Inc.	Ply Gem Holdings, Inc.
Armstrong World Industries	Quanex Building Products
Fortune Brands Home & SEC	Smith (A O) Corp.
Gibraltar Industries Inc.	Universal Forest Prods Inc.
Griffon Corp.	USG Corp.
Lennox International Inc.	Vulcan Materials Co.

In late 2015, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee requested that FW Cook conduct an updated benchmarking study of our executive compensation based on the peer group (as described above) as well as other market information from third-party surveys. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee considered the results of the late 2015 benchmarking study in making compensation decisions in 2016. After reviewing this study, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined that target total direct compensation (representing the sum of target total cash compensation (base salary and target MIP bonus) and target long-term incentive compensation) was within, but slightly below, the competitive range of market median for the CEO and for all other NEOs as a group.

For purposes of determining the size of the long-term incentive awards that were granted to our NEOs in February 2016 (as described below in the "Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards" section), the Human Resources and Compensation Committee considered the results of the late 2015 benchmarking study as a factor, in addition to individual performance levels and responsibilities and providing an appropriate long-term retention incentive for the NEOs (none of which were individually weighted).

In addition, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved base salary merit adjustments in 2016 for certain of the NEOs (as described below in the “Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program - Base Salary” section) after taking into consideration the results of the late 2015 benchmarking and the competitiveness of base salaries.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee intends to continue to strive to provide pay opportunities that generally align each NEO’s target total direct compensation within a competitive range of the market median and expects that a significant portion of each NEO’s total compensation package will continue to be focused on rewarding long-term future performance through a combination of at-risk cash and equity incentive awards.

#### Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program

For 2016, our executive compensation program consisted of the following elements:

##### Base Salary

Base salary is designed to provide our NEOs with a fixed amount of income that is competitive in relation to the responsibilities of each NEO’s position. In February 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved base salary merit adjustments for certain of the NEOs after considering prevailing market practices for executive merit adjustments and the results of the late 2015 benchmarking study. Mr. Repar did not receive a merit adjustment in 2016 because the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined that his base salary was already competitive in comparison to the market. Mr. Tiejema did not receive a merit adjustment as he joined us on November 2, 2015 and his annual base salary was determined at the time of hire. Mr. Hair’s merit adjustment reflects his increased level of responsibility within the organization, including his assumption of reporting responsibility for our United Kingdom business unit. The following table sets forth the 2016 base salary, 2015 base salary, and, if applicable, the percentage increase for each NEO:

Executive	2016 Base Salary	2015 Base Salary	% Increase
Frederick J. Lynch	\$935,000	\$910,000	2.7%
Russell T. Tiejema	\$400,000	\$400,000	-
Lawrence P. Repar	\$630,000	\$630,000	-
Robert E. Lewis	\$412,000	\$400,000	3.0%
James A. “Tony” Hair	\$400,000	\$360,000	11.1%

##### Annual Cash Incentive Bonus

##### General

The compensation program for our NEOs includes our MIP. The MIP, a sub-plan of our 2012 Plan, is a formulaic short-term incentive plan which provides executive officers with a cash bonus award based on the

achievement of annual performance goals. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee approves the MIP each year, including the funding threshold, the maximum bonus that may become payable to each participant, the applicable performance goals, and the weighting, payout parameters and specific targets for each performance goal. Each fiscal year, Mr. Lynch, following discussions with Ms. Auerbach and our Mr. Tiejema, makes recommendations to the Human Resources and Compensation Committee for the MIP performance goals (and the applicable targets for achievement of each such performance goal at threshold, target and maximum levels of performance) applicable to all NEOs (including himself) as well as any proposed changes in the terms of the MIP for that fiscal year. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee considers these recommendations, as well as input from FW Cook regarding both current incentive plan design trends and specific feedback regarding Mr. Lynch's recommendations, in approving the MIP for each fiscal year. Mr. Lynch has no involvement in the determination of a NEO's actual MIP payout each year, including his own.

#### 2016 Bonus Pool

In February 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee established under the 2012 Plan a minimum Adjusted EBITDA threshold of \$150.0 million for fiscal year 2016 that was required to be achieved in order to fund a bonus pool of \$7,015,000 under the MIP, which represented the aggregate maximum bonuses that could become payable under the MIP to the senior officers of the Company based in the United States, including our NEOs, with the expectation that the Human Resources and Compensation Committee would use negative discretion to determine the final bonus amount for each eligible participant by reducing the bonus amount otherwise payable to such participant solely based upon achievement of the Adjusted EBITDA threshold after taking into account the Company's actual performance against the specific performance measures under the MIP that were set in early 2016. For fiscal year 2016, the Company's Adjusted EBITDA was \$252.5 million, which exceeded the threshold required to fund the bonus pool under the MIP. In determining and approving the final bonus amount for our NEOs and the other senior officers who were participants in the MIP, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee exercised negative discretion to reduce the bonus amount otherwise payable under the funded bonus pool after taking into consideration the Company's actual performance against the pre-established performance measures under the 2016 MIP that were set by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee as described below.

#### 2016 Management Incentive Plan

After considering management's recommendations and input from FW Cook, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined that: (i) for corporate (non-business leader) participants in the 2016 MIP (including Messrs. Lynch, Tiejema, Repar and Lewis) the performance measures would focus on our ability to grow the total Company top line (MIP Net Revenue) and total Company profitability (MIP Adjusted EBITDA) (each as defined in the "MIP and LTIP Definitions and Reconciliation" section below), and (ii) for business leader participants in the 2016 MIP (including Mr. Hair) the performance measures would additionally focus on the ability to grow the top line (MIP Net Revenue) and profitability (MIP Adjusted EBITDA) of the applicable business unit(s) (for Mr. Hair, the Company's North American Residential and United Kingdom business units). Unlike prior years, the



performance measures did not include the ability to improve (i.e., shorten) the cash conversion cycle (“Cash Conversion Cycle”) because the Human Resources and Compensation Committee wanted the performance measures to be focused on driving growth in the top and bottom lines.

Corporate Participants

For fiscal year 2016, the MIP performance goals and the individual weighting assigned to each performance goal as a percentage of the applicable target bonus for corporate participants (including Messrs. Lynch, Tiejema, Repar and Lewis) were established as follows:

Corporate Performance Goals	Weighting
MIP Net Revenue	30%
MIP Adjusted EBITDA	70%
Total	100%

The table below shows the threshold, target and maximum MIP performance goals for corporate participants for fiscal year 2016:

Performance Goal	Threshold	Target	Maximum
MIP Net Revenue	\$1,888.6M	\$1,988.0M	\$2,186.8M
MIP Adjusted EBITDA	\$205.0M	\$245.0M	\$280.0M

Business Unit Participants

For fiscal year 2016, Mr. Hair’s MIP bonus was calculated as follows: 85% based on the results of the North American Residential (“NAR”) business unit sub-plan and 15% based on the results of the United Kingdom (U.K.) business unit sub-plan (see business unit sub-plan structure below). For fiscal year 2016, the MIP performance goals and the individual weighting assigned to each performance goal as a percentage of the applicable target bonus for Mr. Hair’s business unit sub-plans were established as follows:

Business Unit Performance Goals	Weighting
Business Unit MIP Net Revenue	25%
Business Unit MIP Adjusted EBITDA	50%
Corporate Results	25%
Total	100%

The table below shows the threshold, target and maximum MIP performance goals for Mr. Hair's Business units for fiscal year 2016:

Performance Goal	Threshold	Target	Maximum
NAR MIP Net Revenue	\$1,175.2M	\$1,237.0M	\$1,360.7M
NAR MIP Adjusted EBITDA	\$148.1M	\$176.9M	\$202.2M
U.K. MIP Net Revenue	\$305.5M	\$321.6M	\$353.7M
U.K. MIP Adjusted EBITDA	\$40.7M	\$48.6M	\$55.6M

For 2016, the MIP also required achievement of a minimum MIP Adjusted EBITDA of \$180 million in order for any MIP bonuses to become payable to corporate or business unit participants (even if the MIP Net Revenue performance goal was achieved at or above the threshold level). For 2016 the payout percentages for each performance goal for performance at threshold, target and maximum were set as follows:

Performance Goal	Payout at Threshold Performance Level	Payout at Target Performance Level	Payout at Maximum Performance Level
MIP Net Revenue	50%	100%	200%
MIP Adjusted EBITDA	50%	100%	200%

Following the completion of the fiscal year, the MIP payout pool was calculated using data derived from the audited financial results. For each performance goal, performance between the threshold and target levels and between the target and maximum levels was determined using straight-line interpolation.

#### AUP Adder

Additionally, for 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved an Average Unit Price (AUP) Adder that would result in the payout percentages under the MIP based on the achievement of MIP Net Revenue and MIP Adjusted EBITDA (as described above) being increased by up to an additional 20% if the Company exceeded its internal average unit price increase target (which is not publicly disclosed due to the proprietary nature and competitive sensitivity of this information). We believe that the internal average unit price increase target is reasonably attainable, yet provides an appropriate incentive to maximize our performance and shareholder value (particularly when combined with the minimum Adjusted EBITDA thresholds described below that must be achieved before the AUP Adder can even apply). In order for the AUP Adder to apply, the Company was required to achieve a minimum MIP Adjusted EBITDA of \$180.0 million. Additionally, in order for the AUP Adder to apply to business unit sub-plan participants (including Mr. Hair) the applicable business unit was required to achieve the defined minimum Adjusted EBITDA (\$148.1 million for the NAR business unit and \$40.7 million for the U.K. business unit).

Based on performance against the pre-established performance goals the 2016 MIP bonus for corporate participants was calculated as follows:

Performance Goals	Financial Weighting	Actual Results	Plan Payout	Weighted Payout
MIP Net Revenue ((\$) millions)	30%	\$2,025.2	118.7%	35.6%
MIP Adjusted EBITDA ((\$) millions)	70%	\$256.0	118.8%	83.2%
				118.8%

Because the Company exceeded its internal average unit price increase target and achieved MIP Adjusted EBITDA greater than \$180.0 million, the AUP Adder was triggered for corporate participants causing the weighted payout of 118.8% to be increased by 7.5% to a total of 126.3%.

Based on performance against the pre-established performance goals the 2016 MIP bonus for the NAR business unit was calculated as follows:

Performance Goals	Financial Weighting	Actual Results	Plan Payout	Weighted Payout
NAR MIP Net Revenue ((\$) millions)	25%	\$1,302.7	153.1%	38.3%
NAR Unit MIP Adjusted EBITDA ((\$) millions)	50%	\$185.1	119.8%	59.9%
Corporate Results	25%		118.8%	29.7%
				127.9%

Because the Company exceeded its internal average unit price increase target and the North American Residential business unit achieved MIP Adjusted EBITDA of greater than \$148.1 million, the AUP Adder was triggered with respect to the NAR business unit's results causing the weighted payout of 127.9% for the NAR business unit to be increased by 7.5% to a total of 135.4%.

Based on performance against the pre-established performance goals the 2016 MIP bonus for the U.K. business unit was calculated as follows:

Performance Goals	Financial Weighting	Actual Results	Plan Payout	Weighted Payout
U.K. MIP Net Revenue ((\$) millions)	25%	\$298.8	0.0%	0.0%
U.K. Unit MIP Adjusted EBITDA ((\$) millions)	50%	\$35.9	0.0%	0.0%
Corporate Results	25%		118.8%	29.7%
				29.7%

Because the U.K. business unit did not achieve MIP Adjusted EBITDA of greater than \$40.7 million, the AUP Adder did not impact the calculation of the results of the U.K. business unit.

The actual 2016 bonus payouts for each NEO eligible to participate in the MIP in 2016 were calculated by multiplying (1) the NEO's annual base salary, times (2) the NEO's target bonus percentage, times (3) the final MIP payout percentage of 126.3% for Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, and Lewis and 119.5% for Mr. Hair. Applying this formula, the bonuses paid to each NEO eligible to participate in the 2016 MIP were as follows:

Executive	Base Salary	Target Bonus as Percentage of Base Salary	2016 Overall Plan Payout Percentage	2016 Bonus
Frederick J. Lynch	\$935,000	110%	126.3%	\$1,298,996
Lawrence P. Repar	\$630,000	60%	126.3%	\$477,414
Robert E. Lewis	\$412,000	50%	126.3%	\$260,178
Russel T. Tiejema	\$400,000	60%	126.3%	\$303,120
James A. "Tony" Hair	\$400,000	50%	119.5%	\$239,000

Bonuses earned under the 2016 MIP were paid in March 2017.

#### New Hire Sign-On Bonuses

In certain circumstances, we provide cash sign-on bonuses to attract executive talent. We determine whether to provide a newly hired executive with a sign-on bonus on a case-by-case basis after taking into account the specific circumstances involving hiring the executive, such as compensating the executive for certain bonus payments that the executive may forfeit from a previous employer or creating an additional incentive for the executive to join us.

Pursuant to Mr. Tiejema's employment offer letter and to partially compensate him for a forfeited bonus payout from his prior employer, Mr. Tiejema was paid a sign-on bonus of \$150,000 at the end of our first financial quarter in 2016, subject to his repayment of some or all of the sign-on bonus if he resigns from employment or is terminated for cause on or before November 2, 2017.

#### Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards

##### February 2016 Annual Long-Term Incentive Grant

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee believes that a significant portion of the equity awards granted to our executive officers should be earned based on the level of our performance pursuant to the financial and operating objectives described below. Tying a portion of the annual equity grants to our long-term performance serves to tie a greater portion of our NEOs' compensation to the achievement of our financial and operating performance objectives and serves as a balance to the MIP, which measures our performance over a one-year period. In 2016 the Human Resources and Compensation Committee decided to increase the portion of the NEO's long-term incentive compensation directly tied to stock performance by awarding SARs as part of the NEO's executive

awards. For 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined that the target equity value granted to Mr. Lynch would consist of 60% restricted stock units subject to performance-based vesting conditions, 20% restricted stock units subject to only time-based vesting conditions, and 20% SARs subject to only time-based vesting conditions. For 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee determined that the target equity value granted to each of Messrs. Repar, Tiejema, Lewis, and Hair respectively would consist of 50% restricted stock units subject to performance-based vesting conditions, 30% restricted stock units subject to only time-based vesting conditions, and 20% SARs subject to only time-based vesting conditions. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee believed that a greater portion of Mr. Lynch's annual equity award should be earned based upon our long-term performance in light of his responsibilities for the Company as a whole.

After taking into consideration the results of the late 2015 benchmarking study, in addition to individual performance levels and responsibilities and providing an appropriate long-term retention incentive for the NEOs (none of which were individually weighted), the Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved target values for long-term incentive grants in 2016 as follows (which remained unchanged from the target values in 2015):

Executive 2016 Target Equity Value as a Percentage of Base Salary

Frederick J. Lynch 300%

Russell T. Tiejema 125%

Lawrence P. Repar 100%

Robert E. Lewis 100%

James A. "Tony" Hair 100%

On February 29, 2016, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee granted to each of our NEOs an award consisting of the following.

Executive	Performance- Vesting Restricted Stock Units	Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	Stock Appreciation Rights
Frederick J. Lynch	28,833	9,611	33,432
Russell T. Tiejema	4,283	2,569	5,959
Lawrence P. Repar	5,396	3,237	7,508
Robert E. Lewis	3,529	2,117	4,910
James A. "Tony" Hair	3,426	2,055	4,767

#### Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units

One-half of the performance-vesting restricted stock units vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant subject to the level at which the 2018 LTIP Adjusted EBITDA Margin performance goal is achieved, with 100% of the units vesting upon achievement at the target level, 50% of the units vesting for performance at the threshold level, and 200% of the units vesting for performance at the maximum level. The remaining one-half of the performance-vesting restricted stock units vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant subject to the level at which the Return

on Assets ("ROA") performance goal is achieved, with 100% of the units vesting upon achievement at the target level, 50% of the units vesting for performance at the threshold level, and 200% of the units vesting for performance at the maximum level. In each case, straight-line interpolation will be used to determine the number of units that will vest if the level of achievement is between threshold and target or between target and maximum and any outstanding units that do not vest once the applicable level of performance has been determined will be automatically forfeited. For purposes of this grant, "LTIP Adjusted EBITDA Margin" means 2018 LTIP Adjusted EBITDA (as defined under the "MIP and LTIP Definitions and Reconciliation" section below) divided by 2018 Net Revenue (as defined under the MIP except that acquisitions and divestitures affect the calculation in the year of completion using the methodology established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee) and "ROA" means 2018 LTIP Adjusted EBITDA divided by total assets at the end of fiscal 2018 (as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and using the methodology established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee). The Committee believes that Adjusted EBITDA Margin and ROA are the most appropriate metrics for measuring financial performance under the LTIP because they encourage management to focus on actions to improve the long term financial health of the Company, which the Committee in turn believes is important to investors. With respect to Adjusted EBITDA Margin, management is incentivized to grow the business profitably versus simply emphasizing revenue growth. With respect to ROA, management is incentivized to achieve growth in an efficient manner while optimizing the amount of investment required to attain desired higher profitability. We believe that these performance targets will be challenging to achieve and will require substantial efforts from management in order to achieve them. Since only the target number of performance-vesting restricted stock units has been granted, additional shares will be issued upon vesting to the extent the level of performance achieved exceeds the target level.

#### Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units

We grant a portion of the annual long-term incentive grant in the form of time-vesting restricted stock units to help build ownership as a newer public company and to aid in our ability to retain our management team over a longer time horizon. The time-vesting restricted stock units vest over three years, with 25% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 25% on the second anniversary and 50% on the third anniversary.

#### Stock Appreciation Rights

We also grant a portion of the annual long-term incentive grant in the form of SARs in order to help build ownership as a newer public company and to aid in our ability to retain our management team over a longer time horizon. The SARs vest over three years, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on the second anniversary and 34% on the third anniversary and expire 10 years after grant. Upon exercise, the SARs are settled in unrestricted shares of our Common Stock having an aggregate fair market value equal to the positive difference between the fair market value of a share of our Common Stock on the exercise date and the exercise price of the SAR multiplied by the number of shares for which the SAR is exercised. The exercise price of the SARs granted to the NEOs is the closing price of our Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the day before

the grant. The number of SARs granted is determined using the Black-Scholes model which calculates the current economic value of a SAR using assumptions that include the exercise price, the term of the award, a risk-free rate of interest, dividend yield, and market volatility.

Each of the awards described above are subject to accelerated vesting under certain circumstances as described below in the "Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control" section.

#### 2014 Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Awards

In February 2014, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee granted the following target number of performance-vesting restricted stock units to the following NEOs: Mr. Lynch - 33,957, Mr. Repar - 6,907, Mr. Lewis - 4,275, and Mr. Hair - 2,754.

As previously disclosed, the performance measures for these awards were 2016 Adjusted EBITDA Margin (targeted at 12% for maximum vesting) and 2016 Return on Assets (targeted at 16.5% for maximum vesting) (each as described under the "Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units" section of our proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders). Subject to the level at which the respective performance measure is achieved (as described below), the award vested in February 2017.

Following the completion of the 2016 fiscal year, the actual number of units earned was calculated using data derived from our audited financial results in assessing actual performance against the performance measures as follows:

Performance Goals	Weighting	Actual Results	Award Payout	Weighted Payout
2016 Adjusted EBITDA Margin (%)	50.0%	12.7%	200.0%	100.0%
2016 Return on Assets (%)	50.0%	17.5%	200.0%	100.0%
				200.0%

The actual number of units earned that vested in February 2017 is set forth below (calculated by multiplying the target number of units awarded by the payout percentage):

Executive	Target Award Units	2014 PRSU Award Payout Percentage	Units Earned
Frederick J. Lynch	33,957	200.0%	67,914
Lawrence W. Repar	6,907	200.0%	13,814
Robert E. Lewis	4,275	200.0%	8,550
James A. "Tony" Hair	2,754	200.0%	5,508

#### Timing of Equity Grants

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee follows an equity grant policy that sets forth the timing and approvals required for equity grants. Pursuant to this policy, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee typically makes annual awards of equity at its first scheduled meeting taking place after the release of earnings for the fourth fiscal quarter and the year (generally at the end of February), which meeting date is set in advance. The Board and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee have in the past made, and may in the future make, limited grants of equity on other dates in order to recognize or retain key employees, to compensate an employee in connection with a promotion, or to compensate newly hired executives for equity or other benefits lost upon termination of their previous employment or to otherwise induce them to join the Company. The Board and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee may make the foregoing “off-cycle” equity grants to employees who are below the executive level on (i) the last business day prior to the 15th day of each month or (ii) the last business day of each month, whichever date first follows the applicable trigger date. Grants to newly hired or promoted executive level employees are made at meetings of the Board or the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, as the case may be, at which such new hire or promotion is to be considered, and in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Recognition or special retention grants to executive level employees are made at meetings of the Board or the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, as the case may be, at which such recognition or special retention is to be considered, and in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has delegated to the CEO the authority to make limited “off-cycle” grants to employees below the executive level of the types and on the pre-established grant dates described above.

We monitor and periodically review our equity grant policies to ensure compliance with plan rules and applicable law.

#### Stock Ownership Guidelines

We have stock ownership guidelines that require each of our NEOs and all of our other senior officers to own meaningful equity stakes in Masonite to further align their long-term economic interests with those of our Shareholders. Our stock ownership guidelines require that (1) our Chief Executive Officer owns Common Shares in an amount not less than five times his base salary and (2) all other executive officers (including our NEOs) own Common Shares in an amount not less than three times their respective base salaries. Compliance with these guidelines will be measured once per fiscal year on the last day of the first fiscal quarter. Vested stock appreciation rights and vested and unvested time-based restricted stock units count as shares for purposes of the guidelines, but unvested stock appreciation rights do not count. To the extent performance-based restricted stock units are granted, such restricted stock units will only count towards the guideline when and if earned. There is no particular date by which the requisite share ownership level must be achieved. However, until the required level of ownership is achieved, each executive must retain at least fifty percent of the number of shares acquired upon the exercise of stock appreciation



rights or the settlement of any restricted stock units (net of shares forfeited to pay any applicable exercise price and to satisfy any applicable tax withholding). All of the NEOs who are currently employed by the Company (other than Mr. Hair who joined the Company in 2013 and Mr. Tiejema who joined the Company in 2015) have achieved the required level of stock ownership.

#### Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Each NEO is entitled to receive severance benefits under the terms of his employment agreement upon either termination by us without cause or a resignation by the NEO for good reason. We provide these severance benefits in order to provide an overall compensation package that is competitive with that offered by the companies with whom we compete for executive talent. Additionally, severance benefits allow our executives to focus on our objectives without concern for their employment security in the event of a termination.

The severance benefits provided upon a qualifying termination of an NEO's employment in connection with a change in control are higher than severance benefits provided under other qualifying termination events, which is consistent with market practice. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee approved these enhanced change in control severance benefits because it considers maintaining a stable and effective management team to be important to protecting and enhancing the best interests of us and our Shareholders. To that end, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee recognizes that the possibility of a change in control may exist from time to time, and that this possibility, and the uncertainty and questions it may raise among management may result in the departure or distraction of management to the detriment of us and our Shareholders. Accordingly, the enhanced severance benefits have been put in place to encourage the attention, dedication and continuity of members of our management team to their assigned duties without the distraction that may arise from the possibility or occurrence of a change in control.

#### Other Compensation

We provide the following benefits to our NEOs on the same basis provided to all of our U.S. based employees:

- medical, dental and vision insurance;
- 401(k) plan;
- short- and long-term disability, life insurance, accidental death and dismemberment insurance;
- health, limited purpose health and dependent care flexible spending accounts and/or a health care saving account; and
- various voluntary supplemental insurance products.

Additionally, commencing in 2017, we began providing our executives with more comprehensive physical examinations. Also, upon the hiring of a new executive, we may provide such executive with certain relocation benefits and each of our NEOs is eligible to participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation plan which permits the NEO to defer base salary and/or bonuses.

We believe these benefits are consistent with those offered by companies with which we compete for employees.

#### Clawback Policies

##### Management Incentive Plan

We have implemented a clawback policy under the MIP, which provides that if an employee engages in (i) certain conduct during a plan year which is injurious to the Company or its reputation, (ii) illegal acts, theft, fraud, intentional misconduct or gross negligence related to the employee's position with the Company or (iii) fraud, gross negligence, or intentional or willful misconduct that contributes to the Company's financial or operational results that are used to determine the extent to which any MIP award is payable being misstated (regardless of whether we are required to prepare an accounting restatement) that is discovered during or within three years after the relevant plan year, the employee will forfeit his or her right to any MIP award for that plan year and will be required to return to us any amounts relating to previously paid MIP awards for such plan year. The plan administrator of the MIP is responsible for determining whether a recoverable event has occurred based on relevant facts and circumstances. The compensation recovery will be in addition to any other remedies available to the Company for any such behavior.

##### Equity Incentive Plans

The award agreements under the 2012 Plan and our 2009 Equity Incentive Plan provide that if we determine that a participant has materially violated any of the participant's covenants regarding confidentiality, non-disclosure of confidential information and, during the applicable period of time following such participant's termination of employment as specified in such award agreement, non-competition and non-solicitation of employees, then the following will result:

any outstanding awards, whether vested or unvested, will immediately be terminated and forfeited for no consideration;

if shares have already been distributed to the participant but the participant no longer holds some or all of such shares, the participant must repay us, in cash, an amount equal to the sum of (i) the total amount of any cash previously paid to the participant in respect of the award and (ii) the total amount of any value received by the participant upon any sale of the shares; and

if shares have been distributed to the participant and the participant continues to hold some or all of the shares, the participant will transfer such shares to the company for no consideration.

Additionally, equity awards granted under the 2012 Plan after December 31, 2014 are subject to a revised clawback policy with terms that are substantially similar to the clawback policy in effect for cash-based incentive compensation under the MIP as described above.

#### Accounting and Tax Implications

As a general matter, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee takes into account the various tax and accounting implications of the compensation vehicles employed by the Company.

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718 Compensation - Stock Compensation, which requires us to recognize compensation expense for share-based payments. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee takes into account FASB ASC Topic 718 in determining the amounts of long-term incentive grants to executives and employees, awarding both stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units.

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) (as interpreted by IRS Notice 2007-49) denies a federal income tax deduction for certain compensation in excess of \$1 million per year paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the three other most highly-paid executive officers (other than the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) of a publicly-traded corporation. Certain types of compensation, including compensation based on performance criteria that are approved in advance by stockholders, are excluded from the deduction limit. In addition, "grandfather" provisions may apply to certain compensation arrangements that were entered into by a corporation before it was publicly held. The Company may rely on the exemption from Section 162(m) afforded to it by such grandfather provisions for compensation paid pursuant to the Company's pre-existing plans. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee's policy is to qualify compensation paid to our executive officers for deductibility for federal income tax purposes to the extent feasible. However, to retain highly skilled executives and remain competitive with other employers, the Human Resources and Compensation Committee will have the right to authorize compensation that would not otherwise be deductible under Section 162(m) or otherwise and to pay bonuses in any amount, including discretionary bonuses or bonuses with performance goals that are different from those under the MIP.

#### MIP and LTIP Definitions and Reconciliation

The definitions for each of the financial performance goals for purposes of the 2016 MIP and LTIP awards, as applicable, are as follows:

"Adjusted EBITDA" is defined as net income (loss) attributable to Masonite adjusted to exclude depreciation; amortization; share based compensation expense; loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment; registration

and listing fees; restructuring costs; asset impairment; loss (gain) on disposal of subsidiaries; interest expense (income), net; loss on extinguishment of debt; other expense (income), net; income tax expense (benefit); loss (income) from discontinued operations, net of tax; and net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest. A reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net income (loss) attributable to Masonite is included on page 101 of our Annual Report on form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017.

"MIP Adjusted EBITDA" is defined as Adjusted EBITDA, as adjusted for any acquisitions or divestitures in the current year using the methodology established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, plus transaction costs (including fees and expenses) incurred related to acquisitions or divestitures, plus transaction costs (including fees and expenses) associated with debt or equity offerings, plus costs, expenses or adjustments related to non-budgeted Board initiatives undertaken in the current year, plus conversion costs for new retail business wins, plus or minus any changes to generally accepted accounting principles and other adjustments for unusual and nonrecurring events approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee or our Board, and plus or minus the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations versus plan.

"2018 LTIP Adjusted EBITDA" is defined as Adjusted EBITDA for fiscal 2018, as adjusted for any acquisitions or divestitures using the methodology established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, plus transaction costs (including fees and expenses) incurred related to acquisitions or divestitures, plus transaction costs (including fees and expenses) associated with debt or equity offerings, plus or minus any changes to generally accepted accounting principles, plus or minus other adjustments for unusual or nonrecurring events approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee or our Board, plus costs, expenses, or other adjustments related to items that are outside of the scope of the Company's core, on-going business activities or not within the reasonable control of the Company's management as approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee or our Board and plus or minus the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations versus plan.

"MIP Net Revenue" is defined as net sales (as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding net sales from any acquisitions or divestitures in the current year using the methodology established by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, plus or minus any changes to generally accepted accounting principles and other adjustments for unusual and nonrecurring events approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee or our Board, and plus or minus the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations versus plan.

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the total compensation paid to or earned by each of our named executive officers for services provided to us during the fiscal years ended January 1, 2017 (“2016”), January 3, 2016 (“2015”), and December 28, 2014 (“2014”).

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Bonus (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	Option Award (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) <sup>(5)</sup>	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(6)</sup>	Total (\$)
Frederick J. Lynch President and Chief Executive Officer	2016	930,192 -		2,243,976	560,989	1,298,996	15,182	5,049,335
	2015	905,192 -		4,729,893	-	2,022,020	13,851	7,670,956
	2014	878,269 -		2,654,952	-	268,155	14,932	3,816,309
Russell T. Tiejema Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer <sup>(7)</sup>	2016	400,000	150,000	399,951	99,992	303,120	58,852	1,411,915
	2015	46,154 -	-	699,940	-	-	152	746,246
Lawrence P. Repar Executive Vice President and Chief Customer Experience Officer <sup>(8)</sup>	2016	630,000 -		503,908	125,984	477,414	16,862	1,754,169
	2015	630,000 -		1,379,891	-	763,560	15,257	2,788,707
	2014	630,000 -		629,997	-	114,534	25,203	1,399,734
Robert E. Lewis, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2016	409,692 -		329,557	82,390	260,178	16,163	1,097,980
	2015	398,077 -		499,970	-	404,000	16,182	1,318,229
	2014	387,115 -		779,946	-	59,085	14,465	1,240,611
James A. “Tony” Hair, Senior Vice President, Business Leader - Residential <sup>(9)</sup>	2016	392,308 -		319,926	79,990	239,000	14,219	1,045,443

Amounts shown in this column for 2016 salary for Messrs. Lynch, Lewis and Hair reflect their salary increases (1) which were effective as of March 7, 2016, as disclosed under the heading above entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program - Base Salary.”

(2) Amount shown represents the signing bonus paid to Mr. Tiejema on April 7, 2016, pursuant to the terms of his employment offer letter.

Amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted during the applicable fiscal year in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of restricted stock units granted in 2016, please see note 8 “Share Based Compensation” in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017. The amounts shown include the grant date fair value of performance-vesting restricted stock units granted in 2016, based on the probable outcome of the related performance conditions at target levels, calculated in (3) accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Each grant of performance-vesting restricted stock units is subject to achievement of the applicable performance conditions as described in the heading above entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards-February 2016 Annual Long-Term Incentive Grant-Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units.” The grant date fair value per unit of the performance-vesting restricted stock units are as follows: \$58.37 for the annual long-term incentive grants made on February 29, 2016 to each of Messrs. Lynch (28,833 units), Repar (5,396 units), Lewis (3,529



units), Tiejema (4,283 units) and Hair (3,426 units). The grant date fair value of each of the performance-vesting restricted stock units granted on February 29, 2016 based on the maximum level of performance is as follows: Mr. Lynch, \$3,365,964 (57,666 units); Mr. Repar, \$629,929 (10,792 units); Mr. Lewis, \$411,975 (7,058 units); Mr. Tiejema, \$856,658 (8,566 units); and Mr. Hair, \$399,951 (6,852 units). The grant date fair value per unit of the time-vesting restricted stock units granted on February 29, 2016 is \$58.37.

Amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of stock appreciation rights granted during the applicable fiscal year in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock appreciation rights granted in 2016, please see note 8 "Share Based Compensation" in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017. The grant date fair value per stock appreciation right of the stock appreciation rights granted on February 29, 2016 is \$16.78.

Amounts shown in this column represent annual performance-based cash bonuses that were earned under the MIP during the specified year and paid in the following year. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Annual Cash Incentive Bonus" for a description of the bonuses for fiscal year 2016.

Amounts shown in this column for 2016 include company contributions to our 401(k) plan of \$13,250 for each of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Lewis, and Hair; taxable fringe benefits paid by us for group term life insurance of \$1,932 for Mr. Lynch, \$990 for Mr. Tiejema, \$3,612 for Mr. Repar, \$2,913 for Mr. Lewis, and \$969 for Mr. Hair; and \$57,862 paid for moving expenses for Mr. Tiejema.

(7) Mr. Tiejema joined us on November 2, 2015.

(8) Mr. Repar has notified the Company that he intends to retire from the Company on or about August 1, 2017.

(9) Mr. Hair was not a named executive officer in 2014 or 2015.

#### Employment Agreements

On December 22, 2015, the Company entered into new employment agreements with each of Messrs. Lynch, Tiejema, Repar, Lewis, and Hair, effective as of December 31, 2015. These employment agreements superseded and replaced the employment agreements between the Company and each of Messrs. Lynch, Repar and Lewis, the terms of which expired on December 31, 2015, and the employment offer letter between the Company and Mr. Tiejema (other than the terms of the signing bonus for Mr. Tiejema which are set forth in his employment offer letter), which had provided for at-will employment with the Company. The employment agreements provide for a term that commences on December 31, 2015 and expires on December 31, 2018, unless earlier terminated.

#### Frederick J. Lynch

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Lynch continues to serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Lynch's base salary was increased from \$910,000 to \$935,000 in 2016 and he is eligible to earn an annual bonus targeted at 110% of his base salary, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals.

#### Russell T. Tiejema

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Tiejema continues to serve as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Tiejema's base salary was \$400,000 in 2016 and he is eligible to earn an annual bonus targeted at 60% of his base salary, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals. In addition, pursuant to Mr. Tiejema's employment offer letter and to partially compensate him for a forfeited bonus payout from his prior employer, Mr. Tiejema received a sign-on bonus of \$150,000 at the end of our first financial quarter in 2016, subject

to his repayment of some or all of the sign-on bonus if he resigns from employment or is terminated for cause on or before November 2, 2017.

Lawrence P. Repar

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Repar continues to serve as our Executive Vice President, Chief Customer Experience Officer. Mr. Repar's base salary is \$630,000 and he is eligible to earn an annual bonus targeted at 60% of his base salary, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals. Mr. Repar has notified the Company that he intends to retire from the Company's employment on or about August 1, 2017.

Robert E. Lewis

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Lewis continues to serve as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. Mr. Lewis' base salary was increased from \$400,000 to \$412,000 in 2016 and he is eligible to earn an annual bonus targeted at 50% of his base salary, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals.

James A. "Tony" Hair

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Hair continues to serve as our Senior Vice President, Business Leader – Residential. Mr. Hair's base salary was increased from \$360,000 to \$400,000 in 2016 and he is eligible to earn an annual bonus targeted at 50% of his base salary, subject to the achievement of applicable performance goals.

All of our NEOs are eligible to participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan (as discussed in greater detail below) and all of our employee benefit plans, including the 401(k) plan. Messrs. Lynch, Tiejema, Lewis, and Hair are entitled to four weeks of vacation per year and Mr. Repar is entitled to five weeks of vacation per year. In addition, all of our NEOs are subject to covenants, during the term of their employment and for a period of 24 months thereafter, not to (i) engage in any business that competes with us, (ii) solicit customers, or (iii) solicit or hire our employees.



## Grants of Plan Based Awards for 2016

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(1)</sup>			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards <sup>(2)</sup>		
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)
Frederick J. Lynch							
Annual Cash Bonus	-	514,250	1,028,500	2,262,700	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	14,417	28,833	57,666
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Russell T. Tiejema							
Annual Cash Bonus	-	120,000	240,000	528,000	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	2,142	4,283	8,566
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lawrence P. Repar							
Annual Cash Bonus	-	189,000	378,000	831,600	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	2,698	5,396	10,792
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robert E. Lewis							
Annual Cash Bonus	-	103,000	206,000	453,200	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	1,765	3,529	7,058
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
James A. "Tony" Hair							
Annual Cash Bonus	-	100,000	200,000	440,000	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	1,713	3,426	6,852
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	02/29/16	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Grants of Plan Based Awards 2016 (continued)

Named Executive Officer	All Other Stock Awards Number of Shares or Units (#) <sup>(3)</sup>	All Other Option Awards Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) <sup>(4)</sup>	Exercise Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) <sup>(5)</sup>
Frederick J. Lynch				
Annual Cash Bonus	-	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	-	-	-	1,682,982
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	9,611	-	-	560,994
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	-	33,432	58.37	560,989
Russell T. Tiejema				
Annual Cash Bonus	-	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	-	-	-	249,999
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	2,569	-	-	149,953
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	-	5,959	58.37	99,992
Lawrence P. Repar				
Annual Cash Bonus	-	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	-	-	-	314,965
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	3,237	-	-	188,944
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	-	7,508	58.37	125,984
Robert E. Lewis				
Annual Cash Bonus	-	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	-	-	-	205,988
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	2,117	-	-	123,569
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	-	4,910	58.37	82,390
James A. "Tony" Hair				
Annual Cash Bonus	-	-	-	-
Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	-	-	-	199,976
Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units	2,055	-	-	119,950
Time Vested Stock Appreciation Rights	-	4,767	58.37	79,990

The amounts set forth in the "Threshold," "Target" and "Maximum" columns above indicate the threshold, target and maximum amounts for each of the NEOs under our 2016 MIP. The actual payouts were approved by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee on February 27, 2017 and are included in the "Non-Equity (1) Incentive Plan Compensation column" on the Summary Compensation Table. The amounts shown in the "Target" column reflect a bonus target of 110% of base salary for Mr. Lynch, 60% of base salary for each of Messrs. Tiejema and Repar, and 50% of base salary for each of Messrs. Lewis and Hair. For a more complete description of the 2016

MIP, including discussion of actual payouts thereunder, see the heading above entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program - Annual Cash Incentive Bonus."

With respect to the performance-vesting restricted stock units granted to each of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Lewis, Tiejema and Hair, the amounts set forth in the "Threshold," "Target" and "Maximum" columns above correspond to the number of shares that would be earned by each such NEO upon achievement of the applicable 2018 Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets performance measures at the specified threshold, target and maximum levels, respectively. Subject to achievement of the applicable performance measure, the performance-vesting restricted stock units are scheduled to vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant. See (2) "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards-February 2016 Annual Long-Term Incentive Grant-Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units" for a description of these performance-vesting restricted stock units and the applicable performance measures. These performance-vesting restricted stock units are subject to achievement of the applicable performance conditions as described in the heading above entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards-February 2016 Annual Long-Term Incentive Grant-Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units."

The time-vesting restricted stock units granted on February 29, 2016 to Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Lewis, Tiejema and (3) Hair are scheduled to vest over 3 years, with 25% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 25% on the second anniversary and 50% on the third anniversary.

The time-vesting stock appreciation rights granted on February 29, 2016 to Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Lewis, Tiejema and Hair are scheduled to vest over 3 years, with 33% vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, 33% on (4) the second anniversary and 34% on the third anniversary. The grants were issued with an exercise price of \$58.37 per share. Proceeds upon the exercise of the stock appreciation rights will equal the market value of stock at the time of exercise less the exercise price times the number of shares exercised.

Amounts in this column reflect the grant date fair value of the restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights granted to each NEO in 2016 in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation of such awards, please see note 8 "Share Based Compensation Plans" in the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017. The amounts (5) shown include the grant date fair value of performance-vesting restricted stock units granted in 2016, based on the probable outcome of the related performance conditions at target levels, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of each of the performance-vesting restricted stock units granted on February 29, 2016 based on the maximum level of performance is as follows: Mr. Lynch, \$3,365,964 (57,666 units); Mr. Repar, \$629,929 (10,792 units); Mr. Lewis, \$411,975 (7,058 units); Mr. Tiejema, \$856,658 (8,566 units); and Mr. Hair, \$399,951 (6,852 units).

## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Options/SARs		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Unexercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options		
Frederick J. Lynch	122,785	-	-	-	13.64	07/09/19
	30,406	-	-	-	19.06	12/12/19
	34,020	-	-	-	19.06	12/12/19
	87,708	-	-	-	20.19	07/05/21
	72,000	-	-	-	32.68	08/06/23
	-	33,432 <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-	58.37	02/28/26
Russell T. Tiejema	-	5,959 <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-	58.37	02/28/26
Lawrence P. Repar	29,021	-	-	-	20.19	07/05/21
	15,500	-	-	-	32.68	08/06/23
	-	7,508 <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-	58.37	02/28/26
Robert E. Lewis	18,750	-	-	-	17.37	04/15/22
	8,700	-	-	-	32.68	08/06/23
	-	4,910 <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-	58.37	02/28/26
James A. "Tony" Hair	11,621	-	-	-	48.88	10/28/23
	-	4,767 <sup>(1)</sup>	-	-	58.37	02/28/26

(1) 33% of the stock appreciation rights granted on February 29, 2016 are scheduled to vest on February 28 of each of 2017, 2018 and 2019.

Represents the unvested portion in the aggregate of: (i) 14,553 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lynch on February 24, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on February 24, 2017; (ii) 13,006 restricted stock units granted to Mr.

(2) Lynch on February 27, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2016, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2017, and fifty percent (50%) on February 27, 2018; and (iii) 9,611 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lynch on February 29, 2016, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2017, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2018, and fifty percent (50%) on February 28, 2019.

Represents the unvested performance-vesting restricted stock units granted in February 2014 that were earned based on the Company's achievement of the applicable 2016 Adjusted EBITDA Margin and 2016 Return on Assets at the end of the 2016 fiscal year and which vested on February 27, 2017. On February 27, 2017, the Human

(3) Resources and Compensation Committee approved the Company's performance results at 200% achievement of target results (which is the amount shown in this table). See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards-2014 Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Awards" for additional detail.



## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End (continued)

Name	Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	
	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Frederick J. Lynch	26,642 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,753,044	67,914 <sup>(3)</sup>	4,468,741
			30,347 <sup>(4)</sup>	1,996,833
			32,679 <sup>(6)</sup>	2,150,278
			28,833 <sup>(5)</sup>	1,897,211
Russell T. Tiejema	11,338 <sup>(7)</sup>	746,040	4,283 <sup>(5)</sup>	281,821
Lawrence P. Repar	20,753 <sup>(8)</sup>	1,365,547	13,814 <sup>(3)</sup>	908,961
			6,002 <sup>(4)</sup>	394,932
			5,396 <sup>(5)</sup>	355,057
Robert E. Lewis	9,608 <sup>(9)</sup>	632,206	8,550 <sup>(3)</sup>	562,590
			3,811 <sup>(4)</sup>	250,764
			3,529 <sup>(5)</sup>	232,208
James A. "Tony" Hair	7,561 <sup>(10)</sup>	497,514	5,508 <sup>(3)</sup>	362,426
			3,430 <sup>(4)</sup>	225,694
			3,426 <sup>(5)</sup>	225,431

(4) Represents the unvested performance-vesting restricted stock units granted in February 2015, which are scheduled to vest on February 27, 2018, subject to achievement of the applicable Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets at the end of the 2017 fiscal year. Amounts shown represent the number of shares that would be earned by each of the NEOs upon achievement of the applicable 2017 Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets performance measures at the target levels. The maximum number of shares that may be earned by each NEO are as follows: Mr. Lynch, 60,694; Mr. Repar, 12,004; Mr. Lewis, 7,622 and Mr. Hair, 6,860.

(5) Represents the unvested performance-vesting restricted stock units granted to each of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, Lewis and Hair in February 2016 which are scheduled to vest on February 28, 2019, subject to achievement of the applicable Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets at the end of the 2018 fiscal year. Amounts shown represent the number of shares that would be earned by each of the NEOs upon achievement of the applicable 2018 Adjusted EBITDA Margin and Return on Assets performance measures at the target levels. The maximum number of shares that may be earned by each NEO are as follows: Mr. Lynch, 57,666; Mr. Repar, 10,792; Mr. Tiejema, 8,566; Mr. Lewis, 7,058; and Mr. Hair, 6,852. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Elements of Our Executive Compensation Program-Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards-February 2016 Annual Long-Term Incentive Grant-Performance-Vesting Restricted Stock Units" for a description of the performance-vesting restricted stock units and the applicable performance measures.

(6) Represents the unvested performance-vesting restricted stock units granted on November 5, 2015, which will be earned based upon the Company's achievement of the applicable levels of the Company's compound annualized

stock price growth on an absolute basis and relative to the median of the compound annualized stock price growth for a group of peer companies, in each case, for the three-year performance period beginning on the grant date and ending on November 5, 2018. The amount shown represents 100% of the number of target shares that would be issued upon the Company's achievement of the target level of the Company's annualized stock price growth



on an absolute basis. The maximum number of shares that may be earned by Mr. Lynch is 163,395, which represents 500% of the target number of units granted, noting that such maximum number of units that may be earned is subject to a cap of \$20.0 million. With respect to any units that are earned for the completed performance period, such units are scheduled to vest as follows: one-third will vest on November 5, 2018, one-third will vest on November 5, 2019 and one-third will vest on November 5, 2020.

Represents the unvested portion in the aggregate of 11,691 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Tiejema on (7) November 2, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on November 2, 2016, twenty-five percent (25%) on November 2, 2017, and fifty percent (50%) on November 2, 2018.

Represents the unvested portion in the aggregate of (i) 4,604 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Repar on February 24, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on February 24, 2017; (ii) 4,002 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Repar on February 27, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2016, twenty-five percent (8) (25%) on February 27, 2017, and fifty percent (50%) on February 27, 2018; (iii) 12,212 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Repar on December 22, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on December 22, 2017, twenty-five percent (25%) on December 22, 2018, and fifty percent (50%) on December 22, 2019; and (iv) 3,237 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Repar on February 29, 2016, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2017, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2018, and fifty percent (50%) on February 28, 2019.

Represents the unvested portion in the aggregate of (i) 2,850 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lewis on February 24, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on February 24, 2017; (ii) 6,686 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lewis on December 2, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on December 2, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on December 2, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on December 2, 2017; (iii) 2,541 restricted stock (9) units granted to Mr. Lewis on February 27, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2016, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2017, and fifty percent (50%) on February 27, 2018.; (iv) 1,634 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lewis on December 15, 2015, which vest as to fifty percent (50%) on December 15, 2016 and fifty percent (50%) on December 15, 2017; and (v) 2,117 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Lewis on February 29, 2016, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2017, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2018, and fifty percent (50%) on February 28, 2019.

Represents the unvested portion in the aggregate of: (i) 1,836 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Hair on February 24, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 24, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on February 24, 2017; (ii) 5,743 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Hair on December 2, 2014, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on December 2, 2015, twenty-five percent (25%) on December 2, 2016, and fifty percent (50%) on December 2, 2017; (iii) 2,287 restricted stock (10) units granted to Mr. Hair on February 27, 2015, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2016, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 27, 2017, and fifty percent (50%) on February 27, 2018; and (iv) 2,055 restricted stock units granted to Mr. Hair on February 29, 2016, which vest as to twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2017, twenty-five percent (25%) on February 28, 2018, and fifty percent (50%) on February 28, 2019.

## Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2016

The following table provides information regarding the amounts received by our named executive officers upon the vesting of restricted stock units and the exercise of stock appreciation rights during the year ended January 1, 2017.

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER	OPTION AWARDS		STOCK AWARDS	
	NUMBER OF SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE (#)	VALUE REALIZED ON EXERCISE (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	NUMBER OF SHARES ACQUIRED ON VESTING (#)	VALUE REALIZED ON VESTING (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>
Frederick J. Lynch	90,000	5,056,200	94,411	6,458,633
Russell T. Tiejema	-	-	2,922	162,902
Lawrence P. Repar	-	-	27,278	1,864,342
Robert E. Lewis	-	-	16,299	1,101,116
James A. "Tony" Hair	-	-	3,494	211,103

(1) Value realized on exercise of stock appreciation rights is calculated based on the difference between the per share price of our stock at the time of exercise and the exercise price of such stock appreciation rights.

(2) Value realized on vesting of restricted stock units is calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock units that vested by the per share price of our stock on the applicable vesting date.

## Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2016

The following table provides information regarding contributions, earnings and balances for our named executive officers under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan.

Named Executive Officer	Executive Contributions in 2016 (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in 2016 (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2016 (\$)	Aggregate Balance at January 3, 2017 (\$)
Frederick J. Lynch	1,243,558 <sup>(1)</sup>	268,876 <sup>(2)</sup>	-	2,617,771 <sup>(3)</sup>
Russell T. Tiejema	-	-	-	-
Lawrence P. Repar	-	-	-	-
Robert E. Lewis	-	-	-	-
James A. "Tony" Hair	-	-	-	-

Represents the amounts that the NEO elected to defer in 2016 under the Deferred Compensation Plan. These (1) represent compensation earned by the NEO in 2016, and are therefore also reported in the appropriate columns in the "Summary Compensation Table" for 2016 as described above.

Represents the net amounts credited to the account of the NEO under the Deferred Compensation Plan as a result (2) of the performance of the securities in which the account was invested, as more fully described in the narrative disclosure below. These amounts do not represent above-market earnings/losses, and thus are not reported in the "Summary Compensation Table" as described above.

Represents the amount of the NEO's account balance under the Deferred Compensation Plan at the end of 2016.

(3) The amounts that were previously reported as compensation for each NEO in the Summary Compensation Table in previous years are as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Amounts Previously Reported (\$)
Frederick J. Lynch	1,105,337
Russell T. Tiejema	-
Lawrence P. Repar	-
Robert E. Lewis	-
James A. "Tony" Hair	-

#### Deferred Compensation Plan

The Masonite International Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan ("Deferred Compensation Plan") is an unfunded non-qualified deferred compensation plan that permits certain key employees to defer a portion of their compensation to a future time. Eligible employees may elect to defer a portion of their base salary, bonus and/or restricted stock units and eligible directors may defer a portion of their director fees or restricted stock units under the Deferred Compensation Plan. All contributions to the plan on behalf of the participant are fully vested (other than restricted stock unit deferrals which remain subject to the vesting terms of the applicable equity incentive plan) and are placed into a grantor trust, commonly referred to as a "rabbi trust." Although we are permitted to make matching contributions under the terms of the Deferred Compensation Plan, we have not elected to do so. The Deferred Compensation Plan invests the base salary and bonus contributions in diversified securities from a selection of investments chosen by the participants who may periodically reallocate the assets in their respective accounts. Participants are entitled to receive the benefits in their accounts upon separation of service or upon a specified date, with benefits payable as a single lump sum or in annual installments. In the event of a change in control, each participant's account will be distributed in the form of a single lump-sum payment on the second anniversary of the change in control, unless such participant elects, during the 12-month period beginning on the change in control, to receive a single lump-sum payment or installments commencing on either (i) any specified date that is at least 5 years after the second anniversary of the change in control or (ii) the seventh anniversary of the change in control.

#### Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control

##### NEO Employment Agreements

The employment agreements entered into with each of our NEOs entitle them to receive the payments and benefits described below upon each termination and change in control event described below.

Termination without cause or for good reason, other than in connection with a change in control

If the employment of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, Lewis or Hair is terminated by us other than for cause or disability (as defined below), or if any such NEO resigns for good reason (as defined below), and such termination is not in connection with a change in control, he or she will be entitled to receive:

- a lump sum payment of an amount equal to a pro-rata portion of the annual bonus, based on actual performance, that he or she would have been paid if he or she had remained employed by us; and
- continued payment of base salary for 24 months if the date of termination is more than two years after the NEO became employed by the Company, and for 12 months if the date of termination is less than two years after the NEO became an employee of the Company; and
- continued participation in our medical, dental and hospitalization coverage for 12 months on the same terms and conditions as immediately prior to such NEO's date of termination (i.e., at active employee rates).

Termination without cause or for good reason in connection with a change in control

In the event the employment of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, Lewis or Hair is terminated by us other than for cause or disability, or by such NEO for good reason, either during the two year period following a change in control or if such NEO's employment is terminated at the request of a third party or otherwise arises in anticipation of a change in control, he or she will be entitled to receive:

- a lump sum payment of an amount equal to a pro-rata portion of the annual bonus, based on actual performance, that he or she would have been paid if he or she had remained employed by us; and
- a lump sum payment equal to two times the sum of base salary and the average amount of such NEO's annual bonuses earned during the two calendar years immediately preceding the date of termination; and
- continued participation in our medical, dental and hospitalization coverage for 24 months on the same terms and conditions as immediately prior to such NEO's date of termination (i.e., at active employee rates).

If any payments or benefits provided to Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, Lewis or Hair in connection with a change in control are subject to excise taxes as a result of the application of Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, such payments and benefits will be reduced so that no excise tax is payable, but only if this reduction results in a more favorable after-tax position for such executive.

#### Termination upon expiration of the term

If the term of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Tiejema, Lewis, or Hair's employment agreement expires without the Company offering to renew it on the same terms and conditions upon the expiration of the term, each such NEO will be entitled to receive:

- continued payment of base salary for 24 months; and
- continued participation in our medical, dental and hospitalization coverage for 12 months on the same terms and conditions as immediately prior to his or her date of termination (i.e., at active employee rates).

#### Release

All severance payments to our NEOs are subject to the execution and non-revocation of an effective release in our favor and the NEO's continued compliance with the restrictive covenants set forth in his or her employment agreement.

#### Definitions

For purposes of all of the employment agreements:

"cause" is generally defined as:

- conviction of, or plea of no contest to a felony (other than in connection with a traffic violation);
- the NEO's continued failure to substantially perform his or her material duties under the employment agreement;
- an act of fraud or gross or willful material misconduct; or
- a material breach by the NEO of the restrictive covenants of the employment agreement.

"change in control" means:

- an acquisition of more than 50% of our voting securities (other than acquisitions from or by us);
- an acquisition of more than 30% of our voting securities in one or a series of related transactions during any 12-month period (other than acquisition from or by us);
- certain changes in a majority of the board of directors;

- a merger or consolidation of the Company other than a merger or consolidation in which the Company is the surviving entity (other than a recapitalization in which no person or entity acquires more than 50% of our voting securities); or
- a sale or disposition of at least 40% of the total gross fair market value of our assets, other than a sale or disposition of all or substantially all of our assets to a person or entity that owns more than 50% of our voting securities.

"disability" is generally defined as the NEO being unable to perform his material duties under the employment agreement due to illness, physical or mental disability or other similar incapacity that continues for 180 consecutive days or 240 days in any 24-month period.

"good reason" is generally defined as:

- any material diminution or material adverse change to the applicable NEO's title, duties or authorities;
- a reduction in the NEO's base salary or target bonus, except for a base salary reduction of up to 10% as part of across-the-board reductions in base salary for all senior executives;
- a material adverse change in the applicable NEO's reporting responsibilities or the assignment of duties substantially inconsistent with his or her position or status with the Company;
- a relocation of the NEO's primary place of employment to a location more than 25 miles further from his or her primary residence than the current location of the Company's offices;
- any material breach by the Company of the material provisions of the employment agreement or any other agreement with the Company or its affiliates;
- the failure of any successor of the Company to assume in writing the obligations under the employment agreement; or
- any material diminution in the aggregate value of employee benefits provided to the NEO on the effective date of the employment agreement; however, if such reduction occurs at any time other than within the 2 year period following a change in control, such NEO will not have good reason for across-the-board reductions in benefits applicable to all senior executives.

#### NEO Equity Award Agreements

The equity award agreements governing the outstanding restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights held by the NEOs provide for certain accelerated vesting of the underlying award, as summarized below:

##### Change in Control

For purposes of the 2012 Plan and the 2009 Plan and the applicable equity award agreements, a "change in control" generally has the same meaning as set forth in the employment agreements with the NEOs as described above.

With respect to all unvested restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights granted on or after July 2, 2013, if within 30 days prior or 24 months following the completion of a change in control or at any time prior to a change in control at the request of a prospective purchaser whose proposed purchase would constitute a change in control upon its completion, the participant's employment is terminated either without "cause" or by the participant for "good reason" (each as defined above for the NEOs), any such awards will become fully vested on the date of such termination of employment.

##### Death or Disability

If a participant's employment is terminated due to death or disability, all awards will become fully vested (except for certain stock appreciation rights granted on July 5, 2011 which are not subject to accelerated vesting upon death or disability and all of which were vested as of January 3, 2016). With respect to any performance-based restricted stock units, the number of units subject to such accelerated vesting will be counted at target.

For purposes of the 2012 Plan and the 2009 Plan and the applicable equity award agreements, a "disability" generally means the inability of a participant to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.

The following table sets forth, for each of our NEOs the amount of the severance payments and benefits and the accelerated vesting of the restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights that the NEO would have been entitled to under the various termination and change in control events described above, assuming they had terminated employment on January 1, 2017.

## Summary of Potential Payments upon Termination and/or Change of Control

The following sets forth, for each of our NEOs the amount of the severance payments and benefits and the accelerated vesting of the restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights that the NEO would have been entitled to under the various termination and change in control events described above, assuming they had terminated employment on January 1, 2017.

		Cash Severance (\$)	Pro-Rata Bonus (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Health and Welfare Benefits (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Accelerated Vesting of RSU (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	Accelerated Vesting of SARs(\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	Total (\$)
Frederick J. Lynch	Without Cause/For Good Reason Without a CIC	1,870,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	1,298,996	20,954	-	-	3,189,950
	Without Cause/For Good Reason in connection with a CIC	5,191,016 <sup>(6)</sup>	-	41,908	12,266,107	33,432	17,747,431
	Termination upon Expiration of the Employment Agreement	1,870,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	-	20,954	-	-	1,890,954
	Death or Disability	-	-	-	12,266,107	248,400	12,514,507
Russell T. Tiejema	Without Cause/For Good Reason Without a CIC	400,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	303,120	18,138	-	-	721,258
	Without Cause/For Good Reason in connection with a CIC	1,103,120 <sup>(6)</sup>	-	36,277	1,027,862	44,275	2,211,534
	Termination upon Expiration of the Employment Agreement	400,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	-	18,138	-	-	418,138
	Death or Disability	-	-	-	1,027,862	44,275	1,072,137
Lawrence P. Repar	Without Cause/For Good Reason Without a CIC	1,260,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	477,414	20,816	-	-	1,758,230
	Without Cause/For Good Reason in connection with a CIC	2,500,974 <sup>(6)</sup>	-	41,632	3,024,497	55,784	5,622,888
	Termination upon Expiration of the Employment Agreement	1,260,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	-	20,816	-	-	1,280,816
	Death or Disability	-	-	-	3,024,497	55,784	3,080,281
Robert E. Lewis	Without Cause/For Good Reason Without a CIC	824,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	260,178	20,698	-	-	1,104,876
	Without Cause/For Good Reason in	1,488,178 <sup>(6)</sup>	-	41,396	1,677,768	36,481	3,243,823



	connection with a CIC						
	Termination upon						
	Expiration of the	824,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	-	20,698	-	-	844,698
	Employment						
	Agreement						
	Death or Disability	-	-	-	1,677,768	36,481	1,714,250
	Without Cause/For						
James A.	Good Reason Without	800,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	239,000	20,816	-	-	1,059,816
“Tony” Hair	a CIC						
	Without Cause/For						
	Good Reason in	1,294,285 <sup>(6)</sup>	-	41,632	1,311,065	35,419	2,682,401
	connection with a CIC						
	Termination upon						
	Expiration of the	800,000 <sup>(5)</sup>	-	20,816	-	-	820,816
	Employment						
	Agreement						
	Death or Disability	-	-	-	1,311,065	35,419	1,346,484

(1) Represents the full annual cash performance bonus amount for 2016.

Represents the value of continued health and welfare benefits at active employee rates, based upon the NEO's  
 (2) benefit election as of January 1, 2017, for a period of 12 months upon a termination without cause or for good reason without a change in control or due to

expiration of the employment agreement, or 24 months upon a termination without cause or for good reason with a change in control, as applicable.

Amounts shown are calculated by aggregating the sums determined by multiplying, for each award, (x) the number of restricted stock units that receive accelerated vesting as a result of the applicable termination of employment, by (3)(y) the closing stock price on December 30, 2016 of \$65.80. The value of accelerated performance-vesting restricted stock units is calculated assuming that the applicable performance measures are achieved at the target levels.

Amounts shown are calculated by aggregating the sums determined by multiplying, for each award, (x) the number of shares subject to stock appreciation rights that receive accelerated vesting as a result of the applicable (4) termination of employment, by (y) the difference between the closing price per share of our common stock on December 30, 2016 of \$65.80, and the applicable exercise price of the stock appreciation right.

Represents a cash severance amount equal to 24 months of base salary if the date of termination is more than two (5) years after the NEO became employed by the Company (in the case of Messrs. Lynch, Repar, Lewis and Hair), or 12 months of base salary if the date of termination is less than two years after the NEO became an employee of the Company (in the case of Mr. Tiejema).

Represents a cash severance amount equal two times (2x) the sum of base salary and the average amount of the (6)NEO's annual cash performance bonuses, if any, earned during the two calendar years immediately preceding the calendar year in which the date of termination occurred (i.e., 2016 and 2015).

#### ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 2)

In accordance with the requirements of Section 14A of the Exchange Act and the related rules of the SEC, our Shareholders are being asked to approve, in an advisory, non-binding vote, the compensation of our NEOs as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion.

In considering their vote, we urge Shareholders to review the information on our compensation policies and decisions regarding the NEOs presented in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on pages 26 to 61, as well as the discussion regarding the Human Resources and Compensation Committee on pages 13 to 14.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a "say-on-pay" resolution, is non-binding. Although this resolution is non-binding, the Board and the Human Resources and Compensation Committee value the opinions of our Shareholders and will review and consider the voting results when making future compensation decisions for our NEOs. We currently intend to hold this vote annually. The next such vote will be held at the Company's 2018 annual general meeting.

We believe that our compensation components provide a reasonable balance of base compensation, cash incentive compensation and long-term equity-based incentive compensation that is closely aligned with the Company's overall performance. The Company aims to provide executive officers with a reasonable level of security through base salary and benefits, while rewarding them through cash and equity-based incentive compensation to achieve business objectives and create shareholder value. We believe that each of our compensation components is integral to attracting, retaining and rewarding qualified NEOs.

The text of the resolution in respect of Proposal no. 2 is as follows:

RESOLVED, that, the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby approved.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter which is reviewed annually by the Audit Committee. Additionally, a brief description of the primary responsibilities of the Audit Committee is included in "Corporate Governance; Board and Committee Matters - Board Committees; Membership - Audit Committee" on page 13 of this Proxy Statement. Under the Audit Committee charter, management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements, the application of accounting and financial reporting principles and internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing the Company's financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing and expressing an opinion on the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of the Company with management and with Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte"), the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also discussed with Deloitte the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") Auditing Standard No. 1301 "Communications with Audit Committees." In addition, the Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding Deloitte's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm their independence.

Based upon the review and discussions described in the preceding paragraph, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited financial statements of the Company be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2017 filed with the SEC.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board:

Jonathan F. Foster (Chair)

Rick J. Mills

John C. Wills

#### APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL 3)

Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte") served as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2017 ("fiscal 2016") and audited our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2016 and prior years. On October 7, 2016, following a competitive process undertaken by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee approved the selection of Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y") to serve as Masonite's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017, subject to the approval of Masonite's shareholders. At the same time, the Audit Committee approved the dismissal of Deloitte, effective upon the completion of Deloitte's audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2016 and the filing of the related Annual Report on Form 10-K. On March 1, 2017 Masonite filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2016, indicating that Deloitte's term as Masonite's independent registered accounting firm had ended.

The reports of Deloitte on Masonite's consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2016 and the fiscal year ended January 3, 2016 ("fiscal 2015"), did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. During fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2015 and the subsequent interim period through March 1, 2017, there were (i) no "disagreements" as that term is defined in Item 304 (a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K with Deloitte on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreement(s), if not resolved to the satisfaction of Deloitte would have caused Deloitte to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement(s) in connection with its report on Masonite's consolidated financial statements for such years, and (ii) no "reportable events" as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

The change in independent auditors was previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 13, 2016 and amended on March 1, 2017. A copy of Deloitte's letters, dated October 13, 2016 and March 1, 2017, stating its agreement with the statements made in these Forms 8-K filings were included as an exhibit to each such Form 8-K filing.

During fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2015 and the subsequent interim period through March 1, 2017, neither Masonite nor anyone acting on its behalf consulted with E&Y, regarding either (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on Masonite's consolidated financial statements, and neither a written report nor oral advice was provided to Masonite that E&Y concluded was an important factor considered by Masonite in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was

either the subject of a “disagreement,” as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K, or a “reportable event,” as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

On the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board recommends the appointment of E&Y as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017 and to authorize the Board to fix its remuneration for such term.

Representatives of Deloitte and E&Y are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting in order to respond to appropriate questions and to make any other statement deemed appropriate.

#### Service Fees Paid to the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the independent registered public accounting firm retained to audit our financial statements. The Audit committee is responsible for the audit fee negotiations associated with the appointment of E&Y as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

Deloitte began acting as our independent auditors with respect to the audit of our financial statements beginning with the 2012 fiscal year. The fees charged by Deloitte for professional services rendered in connection with all audit and non-audit related matters for the years ended January 1, 2017 and January 3, 2016 were as follows:

Type of Fees	2016 (\$)	2015 (\$)
Audit Fees	2,325,320	2,800,034
Audit-Related Fees	25,796	197,224
Tax Fees	352,639	186,446
All Other Fees	2,000	2,000
Totals	2,705,755	3,185,703

#### Independent Registered Public Accountants-Fee Information

##### Audit Fees

Fees for audit services in 2016 and in 2015 consisted of (a) audits of the Company’s annual consolidated financial statements (b) reviews of the Company’s quarterly condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and (c) annual stand-alone statutory audits.

#### Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related services principally include assurance and related services by the independent auditors that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements, or other filings that are not captured under "Audit Fees" above. In 2016 these services included an assessment of the segment change, interim dividend audits and a UK and Irish GAAP assessment and in 2015 these services included due diligence procedures and audits and accounting consultations related to acquisitions and the Company's debt refinancing, procedures associated with required filings with the SEC made in connection with or as a result of our listing on the NYSE, and consultations as to the accounting or disclosure treatment of transactions or events and/or the actual or potential impact of final or proposed rules standards or interpretations by the SEC, FASB, and other regulatory or standard-setting bodies.

#### Tax Fees

Tax services in 2016 and 2015 included the preparation of original and amended income tax, Value Added Tax (VAT), and other tax returns in various non-U.S. jurisdictions, advice and planning related to transfer pricing and R&D credits, international income and other tax, VAT, and customs matters.

#### All Other Fees

Other fees in 2016 and 2015 included various educational information on comprehensive authoritative accounting regulatory literature including webcasts, podcasts, websites, database subscriptions, checklists, research reports and similar tools.

The Audit Committee considered whether Deloitte & Touche LLP's provision of the above non-audit services is compatible with maintaining such firm's independence and satisfied itself as to Deloitte & Touche LLP's independence. Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors Consistent with the SEC policies regarding auditor independence and the Audit Committee's charter, the Audit Committee has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation for and reviewing the performance of the independent auditors. In exercising this responsibility, the Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies with respect to audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors and the related fees. Pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific

limit above which separate pre-approval is required. Management is required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditors in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Audit Committee has delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee the authority to pre-approve permissible non-audit services and fees. Any action taken in this regard is reported to the Audit Committee at the next scheduled Audit Committee meeting.

During fiscal 2016, 100% of services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with this policy. The Board requests that Shareholders approve the appointment of E&Y and authorize the Board to fix E&Y's remuneration for such term. This appointment will be dependent on no other independent registered public accounting firm being put forward at the meeting and receiving more "FOR" votes than E&Y. If the approval of this appointment (or the appointment of an alternate registered public accounting firm) does not occur, then the BCBCA provides that the current auditors, Deloitte, will continue to act for the Company until such time as the Shareholders approve successor auditors.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS AUDITORS TO SERVE UNTIL THE NEXT ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF THE COMPANY AND THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO FIX THE AUDITOR'S REMUNERATION.



#### SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

It is currently contemplated that our 2018 annual meeting of Shareholders will take place on May 10, 2018. In accordance with the rules established by the SEC, any Shareholder proposal submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 to be included in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy for that meeting must be received by us by November 27, 2017. In order for your proposal to be included in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy, the proposal must comply with the requirements established by the SEC, the BCBCA and our Current Articles. If you would like to submit a Shareholder proposal to be included in our proxy materials, you should send your proposal to our Corporate Secretary at the Company's principal executive office located at 2771 Rutherford Road, Concord, Ontario, Canada L4K 2N6.

Our Articles require the timely notice of certain information to be provided by any Shareholder who proposes director nominations for consideration at a Shareholders' meeting. Failure to deliver a proposal in accordance with these requirements may result in it not being deemed timely received. To be timely, notice of a director nomination must be received by our Corporate Secretary at the registered office or the principal executive office of the Company no less than 30 days nor more than 65 days before the date of the 2018 annual general meeting, subject to certain exceptions as described in the Articles. As such, assuming the 2018 annual general meeting is held on May 10, 2018, any such director nominations submitted for consideration at such meeting must be received no earlier than March 6, 2018 and no later than April 10, 2018 in order for it to be deemed timely received.

Pursuant to the BCBCA, a registered or beneficial Shareholder who holds no less than 1/100 of the issued Common Shares of the Company and provided those shares have a fair market value of no less than Cdn\$2,000 (approximately US\$1,485 as of March 13, 2017), may submit a proposal (other than a proposal to appoint a director as outlined above) for consideration at an annual general meeting of the Company (a "BCBCA Proposal"). In order to be valid, the proposal must meet several technical requirements, including a requirement that the proposal be for a valid purpose relating to the business and affairs of the Company, and that the form of proposal is delivered to the registered office of the Company no less than three months prior to the anniversary of the last annual general meeting of the Company. If a Shareholder submits a BCBCA Proposal for consideration at the 2018 annual general meeting outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act, and that submission occurs after the close of business on February 11, 2018, assuming the meeting is held on May 10, 2018, then the Company would not need to include such proposal with the notice of meeting and other meeting materials for the 2018 annual general meeting and, in accordance with the BCBCA and the Articles of the Company, could prohibit that matter from being considered at that meeting.

#### OTHER BUSINESS

Management knows of no other matter that will come before the Meeting. However, if any further business properly comes before the Meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the Meeting, the persons named as proxies in the accompanying form of proxy will vote them in accordance with their discretion and judgment on such matters.

#### ANNUAL REPORT

We have provided each Shareholder whose proxy is being solicited hereby access to a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended January 1, 2017, without exhibits. Written requests for additional copies should be directed to: Masonite International Corporation, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, FL 33602 Attention: Corporate Secretary. Exhibits will be provided upon written request to the Corporate Secretary and payment of an appropriate processing fee.

#### HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

SEC rules permit companies and intermediaries such as brokers to satisfy delivery requirements for Proxy Statements and notices with respect to two or more Shareholders sharing the same address by delivering a single Proxy Statement or a single notice addressed to those Shareholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding", provides cost savings for companies. Some brokers household proxy materials, delivering a single Proxy Statement or notice to multiple Shareholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected Shareholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate Proxy Statement or notice, or if you are receiving duplicate copies of these materials and wish to have householding apply, please notify your broker. You can also request prompt delivery of a copy of the Proxy Statement and annual report by contacting Masonite Investor Relations, by mail at One Tampa City Center, 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 300, Tampa, Florida 33602, by telephone at (813) 877-2726, or by email at [investorrelations@masonite.com](mailto:investorrelations@masonite.com).

WHERE TO FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act and in accordance therewith, we file annual, quarterly and current reports and other information with the SEC. This information can be inspected and copied at the Public Reference Room at the SEC's office at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Such information may also be accessed electronically by means of the SEC's home page on the Internet at <http://www.sec.gov>. We are an electronic filer, and the SEC maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains the reports and other information we file electronically.

Our website address is [www.masonite.com](http://www.masonite.com). Please note that our website address is provided as an inactive textual reference only. We make available free of charge, through our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. To access these filings, go to our website, [www.masonite.com](http://www.masonite.com), and click on "Corporate", then "Investor Relations" and then "SEC Filings." The information provided on or accessible through our website is not part of this proxy statement.

By Order of the Board,

/s/ Robert E. Lewis

Robert E. Lewis  
Senior Vice President,  
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary





