ESCALON MEDICAL CORP Form 10-K September 28, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-20127

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP.
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

PENNSYLVANIA
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF
INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

33-0272839 (I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

565 E. SWEDESFORD ROAD, SUITE 200, WAYNE, PA 19087 (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES, INCLUDING ZIP CODE)

(610) 688-6830 (REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$0.001 (TITLE OF CLASS) NASDAQ CAPITAL MARKET (NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes $[\]$ No [X]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes [] No [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes $[\]$ No [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant on September 20, 2007, was approximately \$25,228,000, computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold on the NASDAQ Capital Market on such date.

As of September 20, 2007, there were 6,386,857 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Certain information required by Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be set forth in, and is incorporated by reference from the registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP.

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	PART I	
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	15
Item 2.	Properties	15
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	15
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and	
	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	16
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	17
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and	
	Results of Operation	19
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	36

Item	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and	
		Financial Disclosure	67
Item	9A.	Controls and Procedures	67
Item	9B.	Other Information	68
		PART III	
Item	10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	68
Item	11.	Executive Compensation	68
Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and	
		Related Stockholder Matters	68
Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director	
		Independence	68
Item	14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	68
		PART IV	
Item	15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	69

ii

PART 1

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Escalon Medical Corp. ("Escalon" or the "Company") is a Pennsylvania corporation initially incorporated in California in 1987, and reincorporated in Pennsylvania in November 2001. Within this document, the "Company" collectively shall mean Escalon and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Sonomed, Inc. ("Sonomed"), Escalon Vascular Access, Inc. ("Vascular"), Escalon Medical Europe GmbH ("EME"), Escalon Digital Vision, Inc. ("EMI"), Escalon Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Pharmaceutical"), Escalon Holdings, Inc. ("EHI"), Escalon IP Holdings, Inc., Escalon Vascular IP Holdings, Inc., Sonomed IP Holdings, Inc., Drew Scientific Holdings, Inc., and Drew Scientific Group, Plc ("Drew") and its subsidiaries. The Company operates in the healthcare market specializing in the development, manufacture, marketing and distribution of medical devices and pharmaceuticals in the areas of ophthalmology, diabetes, hematology and vascular access. The Company and its products are subject to regulation and inspection by the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"). The FDA and other governmental authorities require extensive testing of new products prior to sale and have jurisdiction over the safety, efficacy and manufacture of products, as well as product labeling and marketing. The Company's Internet address is www.escalonmed.com.

DREW BUSINESS

Drew is a diagnostics company specializing in the design, manufacture and distribution of instruments for blood cell counting and blood analysis. Drew is focused on providing instrumentation and consumables for the physician office and veterinary office laboratories. Drew also supplies the reagent and other consumable materials needed to operate the instruments.

DIABETES TESTING

Drew sells two diabetic testing products: the DS5 and the Hb-Gold. The DS5 instrument, dispenser and associated reagent kit measure long-term glucose control in diabetic patients. The system's small size and ease of use make it ideal for main laboratory, clinic or satellite laboratory settings. The Hb-Gold instrument and associated reagent kit provides for the in vitro measurement of certain genetic diseases of the blood. In the United States, this

instrument is available for research only.

HEMATOLOGY

Drew offers a broad array of equipment for use in the field of human and veterinary hematology. Drew's Excell product lines are for use in the field of human hematology, whereas the Hemavet product line is for use in the veterinary field.

SONOMED BUSINESS

Sonomed develops, manufactures and markets ultrasound systems for diagnostic or biometric applications in ophthalmology. The systems are of four types: A-Scans, B-Scans, High Frequency B-Scans ("UBMs") and pachymeters.

A-SCANS

The A-Scan provides information about the internal structure of the eye by sending a beam of ultrasound along a fixed axis through the eye and displaying the various echoes reflected from the surfaces intersected by the beam. The principal echoes occur at the cornea, both surfaces of the lens and the retina. The system displays the position and magnitudes of the echoes on an electronic display. The A-Scan also

1

includes software for measuring distances within the eye. This information is primarily used to calculate lens power for implants.

B-SCANS

The B-Scan is primarily a diagnostic tool that supplies information to physicians where the media within the eye are cloudy or opaque. Whereas physicians normally use light, which cannot pass through such media, the ultrasound beam is capable of passing through the opacity and displaying an image of the internal structures of the eye. Unlike the A-Scan, the B-Scan transducer is not in a fixed position; it swings through a 60 degree sector to provide a two-dimensional image of the eye.

UBM

The UBM is a high frequency / high resolution ultrasound device, designed to provide highly detailed information of the anterior segment of the eye. The UBM is used for glaucoma evaluation, tumor evaluation and differentiation, pre and post-intraocular lense implantation and corneal refractive surgery. The device allows the surgeons to do precise measurements within the anterior chamber of the eye.

PACHYMETERS

The pachymeter uses the same principles as the A-Scan, but the system is tailored to measure the thickness of the cornea. With the advent of refractive surgery (where the cornea is actually cut and reshaped) this measurement has become critical. Surgeons must know the precise thickness of the cornea so as to set the blade to make a cut of approximately 20% of the thickness of the cornea.

VASCULAR BUSINESS

Vascular develops, manufactures and markets vascular access products. These products are Doppler-guided vascular access assemblies used to

locate desired vessels for access. Primary specialty groups that use the device are cardiac catheterization labs and interventional radiologists. The Company's vascular products include the PD Access(TM) and SmartNeedle(TM) lines of monitors, Doppler-guided bare needles and Doppler-guided infusion needles.

PD ACCESS(TM) AND SMARTNEEDLE(TM) MONITORS, NEEDLES AND CATHETER PRODUCTS

These devices detect blood flow using Doppler ultrasound technology and differentiate between a venous and arterial vessel. The devices utilize a miniature Doppler ultrasound probe that is positioned within the lumen of a vascular access needle. When a Doppler-guided needle pierces the skin of a patient, the probe and monitor can determine if the user is approaching an artery or vein, guiding them to a successful vascular access.

EMI BUSINESS

EMI markets a CFA (Color/Fluorescein Angiography) digital imaging system, designed specifically for ophthalmology. This diagnostic tool, ideal for use in detecting retinal problems in diabetic and elderly patients, provides a high-resolution image, far superior to conventional film in image quality, processing and capture. The instant image display provides users with the necessary clinical information that allows treatment to be performed while the patient is still in the physician's office. On January 30, 2006 EMI acquired substantially all of the assets of MRP Group, Inc. ("MRP") in exchange for 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$47,000 in cash. The MRP business consists of ophthalmic technology solutions offering two retinal imaging systems. Approximately 200 of these systems have been installed at leading medical and retinal care centers. The operating results of MRP are included as part of the EMI business unit as of January 30, 2006.

2

MEDICAL/TREK BUSINESS

Medical/Trek manufactures and distributes the following ophthalmic surgical products under the Company's and/or Trek Medical Product's names. Vitreoretinal ophthalmic surgeons primarily utilize these products.

ISPAN INTRAOCULAR GASES

The Company distributes two intraocular gas products C3F8 and SF6, which are used by vitreoretinal surgeons as a temporary tamponade in detached retina surgery. Under a non-exclusive distribution agreement with Scott Medical Products ("Scott"), the Company distributes packages of Scott gases in canisters containing up to 25 grams of gas. Along with the intraocular gases, the Company manufactures and distributes a patented disposable universal gas kit, which delivers the gas from the canister to the patient.

VISCOUS FLUID TRANSFER SYSTEMS

The Company markets viscous fluid transfer systems and related disposable syringe products, which aid surgeons in the process of injecting and extracting Silicone Oil. Adjustable pressures and vacuums provided by the equipment allow surgeons to manipulate the flow of Silicone Oil during surgery. Silicon Oil refers to Adatosil(R) 5000 Silicone Oil, whose license of distribution rights were sold to Bausch & Lomb Surgical, Inc. Pursuant to that agreement, the Company has not received any revenue from Silicon Oil since August 2005.

FIBER OPTIC LIGHT SOURCES

Light source and fiber optic products are widely used by vitreoretinal surgeons during surgery. The Company offers surgeons a complete line of light sources along with a variety of fiber optic probes and illuminated tissue manipulators.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Company conducts development of medical devices for the diagnosis and monitoring of medical disorders in the areas of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and hematology at the Company's Dallas, Texas, Oxford, Connecticut and Barrow-in-Furness, United Kingdom facilities. The Company conducts medical device and vascular access product development at its New Berlin, Wisconsin facility located near Milwaukee. The development of ultrasound ophthalmic equipment is performed at the Company's Lake Success, New York facility on Long Island. Company-sponsored research and development expenditures for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$3,461,000, \$2,828,000 and \$1,893,000, respectively.

MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTION

The Company leases an aggregate of approximately 39,600 square feet of space at its facilities in Texas, Connecticut and the United Kingdom. These sites are currently used for engineering, product design and development and product assembly. All of the Company's medical devices and consumables for the diagnosis and monitoring of medical disorders in the areas of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and hematology are distributed from the Company's Dallas, Texas, Oxford, Connecticut and Barrow-in-Furness, United Kingdom facilities. See Business Conditions in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition of Results of Operations for additional information.

The Company leases approximately 11,200 square feet of space in New Berlin, Wisconsin, near Milwaukee, for its surgical products and vascular access operations. The facility is currently used for engineering, product design and development, manufacturing and product assembly. The Company also leases approximately 2,500 square feet in Lawrence, Massachusetts used primarily for product design and

3

development in the EMI business unit. The Company subcontracts component manufacture, assembly and sterilization to various vendors. The New Berlin manufacturing facility includes a class 10,000 clean room. A class 10,000 clean room is a controlled environment for producing devices while avoiding any significant contaminants. The cleanliness provided by the clean room exceeds the requirements of the FDA. The Company's ophthalmic surgical products and vascular access products are distributed from the Company's Wisconsin facility.

The Company designs, develops and services its ultrasound ophthalmic products at its approximately 12,200 square foot facility in Lake Success, New York. The Company has achieved ISO9001 certification at all of its manufacturing facilities for all medical devices, ultrasound devices and consumables the Company produces. ISO9001 requires an implemented quality system that applies to product design. These certifications can be obtained only after a complete audit of a company's quality system by an independent outside auditor. These certifications require that facilities undergo periodic reexamination. The Company has obtained European Community certification ("CE") for disposable delivery systems, fiber optic light probes, medical devices and consumables for the diagnosis and monitoring of medical disorders in the areas of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and hematology, vascular access products and certain ultrasound models.

The manufacture, testing and marketing of each of the Company's products entails risk of product liability. Product liability insurance is carried by the Company to cover primary risk.

GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS

The Company's products are subject to stringent ongoing regulation by the FDA and similar health authorities, and if these governmental approvals or clearances of the Company's products are restricted or revoked the Company could face delays that would impair the Company's ability to generate funds from operations.

The Company has received the necessary FDA clearances and approvals for all products that the Company currently markets. The FDA and comparable agencies in state and local jurisdictions and in foreign countries impose substantial requirements upon the manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical and medical device equipment and related disposables, including the obligation to adhere to the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practice regulations. Compliance with these regulations requires time-consuming detailed validation of manufacturing and quality control practices, FDA periodic inspections and other procedures. If the FDA finds any deficiencies in the validation processes, for example, the FDA may impose restrictions on marketing the specific products until such deficiencies are corrected.

Drew received a warning letter from the FDA in April, 2007 that certain deficiencies were noted during the FDA's audit of the Company's United Kingdom facility. Drew has addressed the deficiencies and has brought the facility into compliance with FDA regulations. As such, the FDA notified Drew in June 2007 that the warning letter was removed.

The FDA and similar health authorities in foreign countries extensively regulate the Company's activities. The Company must obtain either 510(K) clearances or pre-market approvals and new drug application approvals prior to marketing a product in the United States. Foreign regulation also requires that the Company obtain other approvals from foreign government agencies prior to the sale of products in those countries. Also, the Company may be required to obtain FDA clearance or approval before exporting a product or device that has not received FDA marketing clearance or approval.

The Company has received CE approval on several of the Company's products that allows the Company to sell the products in the countries comprising the European Community. In addition to the CE mark, however, some foreign countries may require separate individual foreign regulatory clearances.

4

MARKETING AND SALES

The Drew business unit sells its products through internal sales and marketing employees located in the United States and in the United Kingdom as well as through a large network of distributors, both domestic and international.

The Sonomed product line is sold through internal sales employees as well as independent sales representatives located in the United States and Europe, to a large network of distributors and directly to medical institutions.

Vascular business unit products are marketed domestically through internal sales and marketing employees located in the United States as well as through an independent sales representative in Europe and a network of domestic

and foreign distributors that are managed by the Company's sales team.

The Medical/Trek and EMI business units sell their ophthalmic devices and instruments directly to end users through internal sales and marketing employees located at the Company's Wisconsin and Massachusetts facilities. Sales are primarily made to teaching institutions, key hospitals and eye surgery centers focusing primarily on physicians and operating room personnel performing vitreoretinal surgery. The EMI product line is sold through internal sales employees and independent sales representatives in the United States.

SERVICE AND SUPPORT

The Company maintains a full-service program for all products sold. The Company provides limited warranties on all products against defects and performance. Product repairs are made at the Wisconsin facility for surgical devices, vascular access products and EMI devices. Sonomed's products are serviced at the Company's New York facility. Drew's products are serviced at its Connecticut facility.

THIRD PARTY REIMBURSEMENT

It is expected that physicians and hospitals will purchase certain of the Company's products and that they in turn will bill various third party payors for health care services provided to their patients using these products. These payors include Medicare, Medicaid and private insurers. Government agencies generally reimburse health care providers at a fixed rate based on the procedure performed. Third party payors may deny reimbursement if they determine that a procedure performed using any one of the Company's products was unnecessary, inappropriate, not cost-effective, experimental or used for a non-approved indication.

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS AND LICENSES

The pharmaceutical and medical device communities place considerable importance on obtaining patent and trade secret protection for new technologies, products and processes for the purpose of strengthening the Company's position in the market place and protecting the Company's economic interests. The Company's policy is to protect its technology by aggressively obtaining patent protection for substantially all of its developments and products, both in the United States and in selected countries outside the United States. It is the Company's policy to file for patent protection in those foreign countries in which the Company believes such protection is necessary to protect its economic interests. The duration of the Company's patents, trademarks and licenses vary through 2020. The Company has 21 United States patents and 19 patents issued abroad that cover the Company's surgical products and pharmaceutical technology.

With respect to the Company's ultrafast laser technology, licensed to Intralase Corp. "Intralase", 16 patents have been issued in the United States and 11 overseas. In 1997, Intralase and Escalon entered into an agreement under which Intralase became the exclusive licensee of these patents, technology and intellectual property owned by the Company. This agreement was amended and restated in

5

October 2000. The original and amended license agreement is referred to as the "License Agreement." Disputes arose between the parties culminating in litigation between the parties. On February 27, 2007, the Company settled all outstanding disputes and litigation with Intralase pursuant to which the Company transferred to Intralase its ownership of all patents and intellectual property

formally licensed to Intralase and the license agreement was terminated. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings for additional information.

Drew has approximately 60 patents related to its technology.

The Company intends to vigorously defend its patents if the need arises.

While in the aggregate the Company's patents are of material importance to its business taken as a whole, the patents, trademarks and licenses that are the most critical to the Company's ability to generate revenues are the following:

- The Escalon trademark is due for renewal on January 19, 2013, and the Company intends to renew the trademark. The Sonomed trademark was renewed on November 25, 2006.
- In the Vascular business unit, the Company has two patents that are of material importance. The first patent is an apparatus for the cannulation of blood vessels. This patent will expire on February 23, 2011. The second patent is also an apparatus for the cannulation of blood vessels. This patent will expire on January 11, 2009. The Vascular unit has also one patent application pending for the cannulation of blood vessels with a hypodermic needle.

COMPETITION

There are numerous direct and indirect competitors of the Company in the United States and abroad. These competitors include ophthalmic-oriented companies that market a broad portfolio of products including prescription ophthalmic pharmaceuticals, ophthalmic devices, consumer products (such as contact lens cleaning solution) and other eye care products; large integrated pharmaceutical companies that market a limited number of ophthalmic pharmaceuticals in addition to many other pharmaceuticals; and smaller specialty pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies that are engaged in the development and commercialization of prescription ophthalmic pharmaceuticals and products and, to some extent, drug delivery systems. The Company's competitors for medical devices and ophthalmic pharmaceuticals include, but are not limited to, Bausch & Lomb, Inc., Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Paradigm Medical, Inc., Quantel, Inc. and Accutome, Inc.

Several large companies dominate the ophthalmic market, with the balance of the industry being highly fragmented. The Company believes that these large companies capture approximately 85% of the overall ophthalmic market. The balance of the market is comprised of smaller companies ranging from start-up entities to established market players. The ophthalmic market in general is intensely competitive, with each company eager to expand its market share. The Company's strategy is to compete primarily on the basis of technological innovation to which it has proprietary rights. The Company believes, therefore, that its success will depend in large part on protecting its intellectual property through patents and other governmental regulations.

Sonomed's principal competitors are Alcon Laboratories, Inc, Quantel, Inc. and Accutome, Inc. Management believes that Sonomed is in a market leadership position. Sonomed has had a leading presence in the ophthalmic ultrasound industry for over 30 years. Management believes that this has

6

helped Sonomed build a reputation as a long-standing operation that provides a

quality product, which has enabled the Company to establish effective distribution coverage within the United States market. Sonomed seeks to preserve its position in the market through continued product enhancement. Various competitors offering similar products at a lower price could threaten Sonomed's market position. The development of laser technologies for ophthalmic biometrics and imaging may also diminish the Company's market position. This equipment can be used instead of ultrasound equipment in most, but not all, patients. Such equipment, however, is more expensive.

The Medical/Trek and EMI businesses sell a broad range of ophthalmic surgical and diagnostic products. The more significant products are ISPAN(R) gases and delivery systems. Medical/Trek and EMI also manufacture various ophthalmic surgical products for major ophthalmic companies to be sold under their names. To remain competitive, the Company needs to maintain a low-cost operation. There are numerous other companies that can provide this manufacturing service. There are a variety of other devices that directly compete with the camera back marketed by EMI.

The Vascular access product line is comprised of disposable devices, and currently Vascular has no direct competition. However, a significantly higher priced non-disposable device that facilitates vascular access is currently being marketed. Vascular produces the only device that can be accommodated within a standard needle for assisting medical practitioners in gaining access to a vessel in the human vascular system. There are no similar devices on the market that enable medical practitioners to gain access using their normal procedures. The only similar product utilizes a separate ultrasound monitor, but no disposables are utilized. When using the competing device, medical practitioners need to look at the monitor while advancing the needle into the patient. The perceived disadvantage of the Company's vascular product is that the retail price is substantially greater than the cost of a traditional needle.

Drew is a diagnostics company specializing in the design, manufacture and distribution of instruments for blood cell counting and blood analysis. Drew is focused on the market for the physician office and veterinary office laboratories. Drew's principal competition is Beckman Coulter and Bayer Diagnostics in the human market and IDDEX in the veterinary market. Currently Drew has only a nominal share of these markets, and the Company will seek to increase Drew's market share. The Company's strategy is to market instruments and consumables that are competitive for the low volume users in the domestic and overseas markets. Drew's success will depend on its ability to enhance its current product range and control its production costs. Drew recognizes that other companies may adopt similar strategies which could hinder Drew's ability to increase market share.

HUMAN RESOURCES

As of June 30, 2007, the Company employed 167 full-time employees and 12 part-time employees. 80 of the Company's employees are employed in manufacturing, 37 are employed in general and administrative positions, 40 are employed in sales and marketing and 22 are employed in research and development. Escalon's employees are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and the Company considers its relationship with its employees to be good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

CAUTIONARY FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Certain statements contained in, or incorporated by reference in, this report are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which provide current expectations or forecasts of future events. Such statements can

be identified by the use of terminology such as "anticipate," "believe,"
"could," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "intend," "may," "plan," "possible,"
"project," "should," "will," "would," and similar words or expressions. The
Company's forward-looking statements include certain information relating to
general business strategy, growth strategies, financial results, liquidity,
product development, the introduction of new products, the potential markets and
uses for the Company's products, the Company's regulatory filings

7

with the FDA, acquisitions, the development of joint venture opportunities, intellectual property and patent protection and infringement, the loss of revenue due to the expiration on termination of certain agreements, the effect of competition on the structure of the markets in which the Company competes, increased legal, accounting and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance costs, defending the Company in litigation matters and the Company's cost-saving initiatives. The reader must carefully consider forward-looking statements and understand that such statements involve a variety of risks and uncertainties, known and unknown, and may be affected by assumptions that fail to materialize as anticipated. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed, and actual results may vary materially. It is not possible to foresee or identify all factors affecting the Company's forward-looking statements, and the reader therefore should not consider the following list of such factors to be an exhaustive statement of all risks, uncertainties or potentially inaccurate assumptions.

FORM 10-K

The Company cautions the reader to consider carefully these factors as well as the specific factors discussed with each specific forward-looking statement in this annual report and in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). In some cases, these factors have impacted, and in the future (together with other unknown factors) could impact, the Company's ability to implement the Company's business strategy and may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements. Any expectation, estimate or projection contained in a forward-looking statement may not be achieved.

The Company also cautions the reader that forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, but investors are advised to consult any further disclosures by the Company on this subject in the Company's filings with the SEC, in which the Company discusses in more detail various important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected or historical results. Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company's forward-looking statements, the material factors include, without limitation, the following:

ANY ACQUISITIONS, STRATEGIC ALLIANCES, JOINT VENTURES AND DIVESTITURES THAT THE COMPANY EFFECTS COULD RESULT IN FINANCIAL RESULTS THAT DIFFER FROM MARKET EXPECTATIONS.

In the normal course of business, the Company engages in discussions with third parties regarding possible acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures and divestitures. As a result of any such transactions, the Company's financial results may differ from the investment community's expectations in a given quarter. In addition, acquisitions and alliances may require the Company to integrate a different company culture, management team, business infrastructure, accounting systems and financial reporting systems. The Company may not be able to effect any such acquisitions or alliances. The Company may have difficulty developing, manufacturing and marketing the products of a newly acquired company in a way that enhances the performance of the Company's

combined businesses or product lines to realize the value from expected synergies. Depending on the size and complexity of an acquisition, the Company's successful integration of the entity depends on a variety of factors, including the retention of key employees and the management of facilities and employees in separate geographical areas. These efforts require varying levels of management resources, which may divert the Company's attention from other business operations. The Company acquired Drew during the first quarter of fiscal 2005. Drew does not have a history of producing positive operating cash flows and, as a result, at the time of acquisition, was operating under financial constraints and was under-capitalized and has continued to negatively impact the Company's financial results. As Drew is integrated into the Company, management continues to work to reverse the situation, while at the same time seeking to strengthen Drew's market position. The Company loaned approximately \$16 million to Drew. The funds have been primarily used to procure components to build up inventory to support the manufacturing process, to pay off accounts payable and debt of Drew, and to expand the sales and marketing and research and development efforts, to fund new product development and underwrite operating losses since its acquisition. The Company cannot rule out that further working capital will be required by Drew. If the Company does not realize the expected benefits or synergies of such transactions, the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and stock price could be negatively impacted. Also, the Company's results may be adversely impacted because of acquisition-related costs, amortization costs for certain intangible assets and impairment losses related to

8

goodwill in connection with such transactions. Finally, acquisitions or alliances by the Company may not occur, which could impair the Company's growth.

THE COMPANY'S RESULTS FLUCTUATE FROM QUARTER TO QUARTER.

The Company has experienced quarterly fluctuations in operating results and anticipates continued fluctuations in the future. A number of factors contribute to these fluctuations:

- Acquisitions, such as Drew, and subsequent integration of the acquired company, although such acquisitions may not occur;
- The timing and expense of new product introductions by the Company or its competitors, although the Company might not successfully develop new products and any such new products may not gain market acceptance;
- The cancellation or delays in the purchase of the Company's products;
- Fluctuations in customer demand for the Company's products;
- Fluctuations in royalty income;
- The gain or loss of significant customers;
- Changes in the mix of products sold by the Company;
- Competitive pressures on prices at which the Company can sell its products; and
- Announcements of new strategic relationships by the Company or its competitors.

 General economic conditions and other external factors such as energy costs.

The Company sets its spending levels in advance of each quarter based, in part, on the Company's expectations of product orders and shipments during that quarter. A shortfall in revenue, therefore, in any particular quarter as compared to the Company's plan could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. Also, the Company's quarterly results could fluctuate due to general market conditions in the healthcare industry or global economy generally, or market volatility unrelated to the Company's business and operating results.

THE COMPANY'S COST SAVING INITIATIVES MAY NOT BE EFFECTIVE.

The Company has implemented cost-saving initiatives that may not be effective in returning the Company to profitability. If these initiatives are insufficient, additional measures may be necessary.

FAILURE OF THE MARKET TO ACCEPT THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS COULD ADVERSELY IMPACT THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.

The Company's business and financial condition will depend in part upon the market acceptance of the Company's products. The Company's products may not achieve market acceptance. Market acceptance depends on a number of factors including:

- The price of the products;
- The continued receipt of regulatory approvals for multiple indications;
- The establishment and demonstration of the clinical safety and efficacy of the Company's products; and
- The advantages of the Company's products over those marketed by the Company's competitors.

Any failure to achieve significant market acceptance of the Company's products will have a material adverse impact on the Company's business.

THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS ARE SUBJECT TO STRINGENT ONGOING REGULATION BY THE FDA AND SIMILAR HEALTH CARE REGULATORY AUTHORITIES, AND IF THE FDA'S APPROVALS OR CLEARANCES OF THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS ARE RESTRICTED OR REVOKED, THE COMPANY COULD FACE DELAYS THAT WOULD IMPAIR THE COMPANY'S ABILITY TO GENERATE FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS.

9

The FDA and similar health care regulatory authorities in foreign countries extensively regulate the Company's activities. The Company must obtain either 510(K) clearances or pre-market approvals and new drug application approvals prior to marketing a product in the United States. Foreign regulation also requires that the Company obtain other approvals from foreign government agencies prior to the sale of products in those countries. Also, the Company may be required to obtain FDA approval before exporting a product or device that has not received FDA marketing clearance or approval.

The Company has received the necessary FDA approvals for all products that the Company currently markets in the United States. Any restrictions on or revocation of the FDA approvals and clearances that the

Company has obtained, however, would prevent the continued marketing of the impacted products and other devices. The restrictions or revocations could result from the discovery of previously unknown problems with the product. Consequently, FDA revocation would impair the Company's ability to generate funds from operations.

The FDA and comparable agencies in state and local jurisdictions and in foreign countries impose substantial requirements upon the manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical and medical device equipment and related disposables, including the obligation to adhere to the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practice regulations. Compliance with these regulations requires time-consuming detailed validation of manufacturing and quality control processes, FDA periodic inspections and other procedures. If the FDA finds any deficiencies in the validation processes, for example, the FDA may impose restrictions on marketing the specific products until such deficiencies are corrected.

The Company has received CE approval on several of the Company's products that allows the Company to sell the products in the countries comprising the European Community. In addition to the CE mark, however, some foreign countries may require separate individual foreign regulatory clearances. The Company may not be able to obtain regulatory clearances for other products in the United States or foreign markets.

The process for obtaining regulatory clearances and approvals underlying clinical studies for any new products or devices and for multiple indications for existing products is lengthy and will require substantial commitments of Company's financial resources and Company's management's time and effort. Any delay in obtaining clearances or approvals or any changes in existing regulatory requirements would materially adversely impact the Company's business.

The Company's failure to comply with the applicable regulations would subject the Company to fines, delays or suspensions of approvals or clearances, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions, injunctions or civil or criminal penalties, which would adversely impact the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

THE SUCCESS OF COMPETITIVE PRODUCTS COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS.

The Company faces intense competition in the medical device and pharmaceutical markets, which are characterized by rapidly changing technology, short product life cycles, cyclical oversupply and rapid price erosion. Many of the Company's competitors have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources. The Company's strategy is to compete primarily on the basis of technological innovation, reliability, quality and price of the Company's products. Without timely introductions of new products and enhancements, the Company's products will become technologically obsolete over time, in which case the Company's revenues and operating results would suffer. The success of the Company's new product offerings will depend on several factors, including the Company's ability to:

- Properly identify customer needs;
- Innovate and develop new technologies, services and applications;
- Establish adequate product distribution coverage;
- Obtain and maintain required regulatory approvals from the FDA and other regulatory agencies;

- Protect the Company's intellectual property;
- Successfully commercialize new technologies in a timely manner;
- Manufacture and deliver the Company's products in sufficient volumes on time;
- Differentiate the Company's offerings from the offerings of the Company's competitors;
- Price the Company's products competitively;
- Anticipate competitors' announcements of new products, services or technological innovations; and
- Anticipate general market and economic conditions.

The Company cannot ensure that the Company will be able to compete effectively in the competitive environments in which the Company operates.

THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS EMPLOY PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGY, AND THIS TECHNOLOGY MAY INFRINGE ON THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF THIRD PARTIES.

The Company holds several United States and foreign patents for the Company's products. Other parties, however, hold patents relating to similar products and technologies. If patents held by others were adjudged valid and interpreted broadly in an adversarial proceeding, the court or agency could deem them to cover one or more aspects of the Company's products or procedures. Any claims for patent infringements or claims by the Company for patent enforcement would consume time, result in costly litigation, divert technical and management personnel or require the Company to develop non-infringing technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. The Company may become subject to one or more claims for patent infringement. The Company may not prevail in any such action, and the Company's patents may not afford protection against competitors with similar technology.

If a court determines that any of the Company's products infringes, directly or indirectly, on a patent in a particular market, the court may enjoin the Company from making, using or selling the product. Furthermore, the Company may be required to pay damages or obtain a royalty-bearing license, if available, on acceptable terms.

LACK OF AVAILABILITY OF KEY SYSTEM COMPONENTS COULD RESULT IN DELAYS, INCREASED COSTS OR COSTLY REDESIGN OF THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS.

Although some of the parts and components used to manufacture the Company's products are available from multiple sources, the Company currently purchases most of the Company's components from single sources in an effort to obtain volume discounts. Lack of availability of any of these parts and components could result in production delays, increased costs or costly redesign of the Company's products. Any loss of availability of an essential component could result in a material adverse change to the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. Some of the Company's suppliers are subject to the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practice regulations. Failure of these suppliers to comply with these regulations could result in the delay or limitation of the supply of parts or components to the Company, which would adversely impact the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

THE COMPANY'S ABILITY TO MARKET OR SELL THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS MAY BE ADVERSELY IMPACTED BY LIMITATIONS ON REIMBURSEMENTS BY GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS, PRIVATE INSURANCE PLANS AND OTHER THIRD PARTY PAYORS.

The Company's customers bill various third party payors, including government programs and private insurance plans, for the health care services provided to their patients. Third party payors may reimburse the customer, usually at a fixed rate based on the procedure performed, or may deny reimbursement if they determine that the use of the Company's products was elective, unnecessary, inappropriate, not cost-effective, experimental or used for a non-approved indication. Third party payors may deny reimbursement notwithstanding FDA approval or clearance of a product and may challenge the prices charged for the medical products and services. The Company's ability to sell the Company's products on a profitable basis may be adversely impacted by denials of reimbursement or limitations on

11

reimbursement, compared with reimbursement available for competitive products and procedures. New legislation that further reduces reimbursements under the capital cost pass-through system utilized in connection with the Medicare program could also adversely impact the marketing of the Company's products.

FUTURE LEGISLATION OR CHANGES IN GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS MAY ADVERSELY IMPACT THE MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTS.

In the past several years, the federal government and Congress have made proposals to change aspects of the delivery and financing of health care services. The Company cannot predict what form any future legislation may take or its impact on the Company's business. Legislation that sets price limits and utilization controls adversely impact the rate of growth of the markets in which the Company participates. If any future health care legislation were to adversely impact those markets, the Company's product marketing could also suffer, which would adversely impact the Company's business.

THE COMPANY MAY BECOME INVOLVED IN PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION, WHICH MAY SUBJECT THE COMPANY TO LIABILITY AND DIVERT MANAGEMENT ATTENTION.

The testing and marketing of the Company's products entails an inherent risk of product liability, resulting in claims based upon injuries or alleged injuries or a failure to diagnose associated with a product defect. Some of these injuries may not become evident for a number of years. Although the Company is not currently involved in any product liability litigation, the Company may be party to litigation in the future as a result of an alleged claim. Litigation, regardless of the merits of the claim or outcome, could consume a great deal of the Company's time and attention away from the Company's core businesses. The Company maintains limited product liability insurance coverage of \$1,000,000 per occurrence and \$2,000,000 in the aggregate, with umbrella policy coverage of \$5,000,000 in excess of such amounts. A successful product liability claim in excess of any insurance coverage may adversely impact the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The Company's product liability insurance coverage may not continue to be available to the Company in the future on reasonable terms or at all.

THE COMPANY'S INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS COULD BE ADVERSELY IMPACTED BY CHANGES IN LAWS OR POLICIES OF FOREIGN GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES AND SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS IN THE COUNTRIES IN WHICH THE COMPANY OPERATES.

The Company derives a portion of its revenue from sales outside the

United States. Changes in the laws or policies of governmental agencies, as well as social and economic conditions, in the countries in which the Company operates could impact the Company's business in these countries and the Company's results of operations. Also, economic factors, including inflation and fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, and competitive factors such as price competition, business combinations of competitors or a decline in industry sales from continued economic weakness, both in the United States and other countries in which the Company conducts business, could adversely impact the Company's results of operations.

THE COMPANY IS DEPENDENT ON ITS MANAGEMENT AND KEY PERSONNEL TO SUCCEED.

The Company's principal executive officers and technical personnel have extensive experience with the Company's products, the Company's research and development efforts, the development of marketing and sales programs and the necessary support services to be provided to the Company's customers. Also, the Company competes with other companies, universities, research entities and other organizations to attract and retain qualified personnel. The loss of the services of any of the Company's executive officers or other technical personnel, or the Company's failure to attract and retain other skilled and experienced personnel, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's ability to maintain or expand businesses.

12

THE MARKET PRICE OF THE COMPANY'S STOCK HAS HISTORICALLY BEEN VOLATILE, AND THE COMPANY HAS NOT PAID CASH DIVIDENDS.

The volatility of the Company's common stock imposes a greater risk of capital losses on shareholders as compared to less volatile stocks. In addition, such volatility makes it difficult to ascribe a stable valuation to a shareholder's holdings of the Company's common stock. The following factors have and may continue to have a significant impact on the market price of the Company's common stock:

- Any acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures and divestitures that the Company effects, if any;
- Announcements of technological innovations;
- Changes in marketing, product pricing and sales strategies or new products by the Company's competitors;
- Changes in domestic or foreign governmental regulations or regulatory requirements; and
- Developments or disputes relating to patent or proprietary rights and public concern as to the safety and efficacy of the procedures for which the Company's products are used.

Moreover, the possibility exists that the stock market, and in particular the securities of technology companies such as the Company, could experience extreme price and volume fluctuations unrelated to operating performance.

The Company has not paid cash dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

THE IMPACT OF TERRORISM OR ACTS OF WAR COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS.

Terrorist acts or acts of war, whether in the United States or abroad, could cause damage or disruption to the Company's operations, its suppliers, channels to market or customers, or could cause costs to increase, or create political or economic instability, any of which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business.

THE COMPANY'S CHARTER DOCUMENTS AND PENNSYLVANIA LAW MAY INHIBIT A TAKEOVER.

Certain provisions of Pennsylvania law and the Company's Bylaws could delay or impede the removal of incumbent directors and could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire, control of the Company. These provisions could limit the share price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of the Company's common stock. The Company's Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with directors in each class elected for three-year terms. The Bylaws impose various procedural and other requirements that could make it more difficult for shareholders to effect certain corporate actions. The Company's Board of Directors may issue shares of preferred stock without shareholder approval on such terms and conditions, and having such rights, privileges and preferences, as the Board may determine. The rights of the holders of common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely impacted by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The Company has no current plans to issue any shares of preferred stock.

THERE ARE INHERENT UNCERTAINTIES INVOLVED IN ESTIMATES, JUDGMENTS AND ASSUMPTIONS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH UNITED STATES GAAP. ANY CHANGES IN ESTIMATES, JUDGMENTS AND ASSUMPTIONS USED COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS, FINANCIAL POSITION AND OPERATING RESULTS.

The consolidated financial statements included in the periodic reports the Company files with the SEC are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP involves making estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets (including intangible assets),

13

liabilities and related reserves, revenues, expenses and income. This includes estimates, judgments and assumptions for assessing the recoverability of the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets, pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, and SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. If any estimates, judgments or assumptions change in the future, the Company may be required to record additional expenses or impairment charges. Any resulting expense or impairment loss would be recorded as a charge against our earnings and could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and operating results. Estimates, judgments and assumptions are inherently subject to change in the future, and any such changes could result in corresponding changes to the amounts of assets (including goodwill and other intangible assets), liabilities, revenues, expenses and income. Any such changes could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and operating results.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluate its estimates, including, among others, those relating to:

product returns,

- allowances for doubtful accounts,
- inventories and related reserves,
- intangible assets and Goodwill,
- income and other tax accruals,
- deferred tax asset valuation allowances,
- discounts and allowances,
- warranty obligations, and
- contingencies and litigation.

The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. The Company's assumptions and estimates may, however, prove to have been incorrect and the Company's actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. While the Company believes the assumptions and estimates it makes are reasonable, any changes to the Company's assumptions or estimates, or any actual results which differ from the Company's assumptions or estimates, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and operating results.

THE COMPANY WILL BE EXPOSED TO RISKS RELATING TO EVALUATIONS OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING REQUIRED BY SECTION 404 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

The Company anticipates spending a substantial amount of management time and resources to comply with changing laws, rules, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and regulations promulgated by the SEC.

Under the current and proposed rules and regulations of the SEC, the Company is currently not required to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 until the Company files our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company's fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, as long as the Company continues to meet the definition of a non-accelerated filer. In the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending June 30, 2008, the Company's management will be required to provide an assessment as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which assessment will be deemed furnished to rather than filed with the SEC. In the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending June 30, 2008 and for each fiscal year thereafter, the Company's management will be required to provide an assessment as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm will be required to provide an attestation as to the Company's management's assessment, which assessment and attestation will be filed with the SEC. The assessment and attestation processes required by Section 404 are relatively new to the Company. Accordingly, the Company may encounter problems or delays in completing our obligations and receiving an unqualified report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting by our independent registered public accounting firm.

While the Company believes that it will be able to timely meet the Company's obligations under Section 404 and that the Company's management will be able to certify as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, there is no assurance that the Company will do so. If the Company is unable to timely comply with Section 404, the Company's management is unable to certify as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting or the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is unable to attest to that certification, the price of the Company's common stock may be adversely affected. Even if the Company timely meets the certification and attestation requirements of Section 404, it is possible that the Company's independent registered public accounting firm will advise the Company that they have identified significant deficiencies and/or material weaknesses, which may also adversely affect the price of our common stock.

SUBSTANTIALLY ALL OF OUR CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AND MARKETABLE SECURITIES ARE HELD AT A SINGLE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION.

Substantially all of the Company's cash and cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities are presently held at one national financial institution. Accordingly, the Company is subject to credit risk if this financial institution is unable to repay the balance in the account or deliver the Company's securities or if the financial institution should become bankrupt or otherwise insolvent. Any of the above events could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's business and financial condition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} The Company does not believe there are any unresolved SEC staff comments. \end{tabular}$

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company currently leases an aggregate of approximately 61,800 square feet of space for its (i) corporate offices in Wayne, Pennsylvania, (ii) Drew has an administrative office and manufacturing facility in Barrow-in-Furness, United Kingdom, an administrative office and manufacturing facility in Dallas, Texas, and a manufacturing facility in Oxford, Connecticut. (iii) Sonomed has a manufacturing facility in Lake Success, New York, and (iv) Vascular has a manufacturing facility in New Berlin, Wisconsin. The corporate offices in Pennsylvania cover approximately 7,100 square feet and expire in April 2008. The facility in the United Kingdom covers approximately 8,000 square feet whose lease expires in September 2009. The facility in Texas covers approximately 20,000 whose lease expires in March 2014. The Connecticut facility lease covers approximately 3,300 square feet and expires in January 2008. The New York facility leases covering approximately 12,200 square feet, expires in October 2011. The Wisconsin lease, covering approximately 11,200 square feet of space expires in July 2015. Annual rent under all of the Company's lease arrangements was approximately \$866,000.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

INTRALASE CORP.

In 1997, Intralase and the Company entered into an agreement under which Intralase became the exclusive licensee of certain patents, technology and intellectual property owned by the Company. This agreement was amended and restated in October 2000. The original and amended license agreement is referred to as the "License Agreement." Disputes arose between the parties culminating in litigation between the parties.

On February 27, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with

Intralase to settle all outstanding disputes and litigation between the parties. Under the settlement agreement, Intralase made a lump-sum payment to the Company of \$9,600,000 in exchange for which all pending litigation between the parties was dismissed, the parties exchanged general releases, the Company transferred to Intralase its ownership of all patents and intellectual property formerly licensed to Intralase by the Company, and the license agreement was terminated. In addition, the settlement payment from Intralase is deemed satisfaction

15

for all outstanding past, current and future royalties owed or alleged to be owed by Intralase to the Company.

INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH

Drew entered into a license agreement with the Institute of Child Health ("ICH") on May 10, 1993 to use ICH's intellectual property to manufacture, lease, sell, use and sublicense certain products and all related consumables used therein in the testing of blood and fluids. Under the license agreement Drew was obligated to pay royalties to ICH on the products and consumables. On January 23, 2006, the Company received a letter from ICH alleging that Drew had failed to remit certain moneys due under the license agreement and has sought an accounting to determine such amount due.

Both parties continue to amicably negotiate a mutually agreeable solution to this matter. Drew does not believe that the ultimate disposition of this issue will have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company, from time to time is involved in various legal proceedings and disputes that arise in the normal course of business. These matters have included intellectual property disputes, contract disputes, employment disputes and other matters. The Company does not believe that the resolution of any of these matters has had or is likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

 $\,$ No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

PART II.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "ESMC." The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices as quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

16

HIGH LOW

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Quarter ended September 30, 2006	\$ 5.19	\$ 4.06
Quarter ended December 31, 2006	\$ 4.19	\$ 2.35
Quarter ended March 31, 2007	\$ 4.38	\$ 2.55
Quarter ended June 30, 2007	\$ 4.53	\$ 3.75
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006		
Quarter ended September 30, 2005	\$ 9.08	\$ 5.71
Quarter ended December 31, 2005	\$ 5.85	\$ 4.54
Quarter ended March 31, 2006	\$ 5.99	\$ 4.59
Quarter ended June 30, 2006	\$ 5.34	\$ 4.40

As of September 20, 2007, there were 4,333 holders of record of the Company's common stock. On September 20, 2007 the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as reported by the NASDAQ Capital Market was \$6.40 per share.

Escalon has never declared or paid a cash dividend on its common stock and presently intends to retain any future earnings to finance future growth and working capital needs.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data are derived from the consolidated financial statements of the Company. The data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included herein in Item 7 and the financial statements and related notes to consolidated financial statements thereto included herein in Item 8.

17

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA-STATEMENT OF OPERATION

	2007	FOR THE YEARS 2007 2006 2		•
	TRUOMA)	rs in thousa	NDS, EXCEPT	PER SHARE AMO
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA: NET REVENUES:				
Product revenue Other revenue		\$ 27,544 2,247	•	•
REVENUES, NET	38,838	29 , 791	26,924	14,721
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of goods sold	15 , 771	16,004	13,158	5,476
Marketing, general and administrative	13,806	13 , 995	12,556	5,206
Research and development	3,461	2,828	1,893	776
Write-down of license and distribution rights	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	33,039	32,827	27,607	11,458
(LOSS) INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	5 , 799	(3,036)	(683)	3,263

OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME: Gain on sale of available for sale securities Equity in Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC Interest income Interest expense	(88) 208	•	(64) 69 (55)	0 59 (407)
TOTAL OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME	166	1,082	3,362	(348)
NET (LOSS) INCOME BEFORE TAXES	5,965	(1,955)	2,679	2,915
Provision for income taxes	51	31	232	173
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ 5,914		\$ 2,447	\$ 2,742 \$
BASIC NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE		\$ (0.32) =====		
DILUTED NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE	•	\$ (0.32) =====	•	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - BASIC USED IN PER SHARE CALCULATION		6 , 152		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - DILUTED USED IN PER SHARE CALCULATION		6 , 152		

18

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBIDIARIES SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA-BALANCE SHEET

	AT JUNE 30,								
	2007			2006		2005		2004	2
	(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)								
BALANCE SHEET DATA:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	8 , 879	\$	3,380	\$	5,116	\$	12,602	\$
Working capital		17,238		10,616		13,613		13,966	
Total assets		45,017		38,645		40,049		29,457	
Long-term debt, net of current portion		0		163		392		2,396	
Total liabilities		5,612		5,545		5,530		5 , 996	
Accumulated deficit		(28,208)		(34,122)		(32,136)		(34, 585)	(
Total shareholders' equity		39,406		33,100		34,519		23,461	

No cash dividends were paid in any of the periods presented.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and other financial information contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K and the discussion under "Risk Factors" included in Part IA of this Form 10-K.

The Company operates primarily in five reportable business segments: Drew, Sonomed, Vascular, Medical/Trek and EMI.

Drew is a diagnostics company specializing in the design, manufacture and distribution of instruments for blood cell counting and blood analysis. Drew is focused on providing instrumentation and consumables for the physician office and veterinary office laboratories. Drew also supplies the reagent and other consumable materials needed to operate the instruments.

Sonomed develops, manufactures and markets ultrasound systems used for diagnosis or biometric applications in ophthalmology.

 $\label{eq:Vascular develops, manufactures and markets vascular access products. \\$

 ${\tt Medical/Trek\ develops,\ manufactures\ and\ distributes\ ophthalmic\ surgical\ products\ under\ the\ Escalon\ Medical\ Corp.\ and/or\ Trek\ Medical\ Products\ names.}$

EMI manufactures and markets digital camera systems for ophthalmic fundus photography. For a more complete description of these businesses and their products, see Item 1 - Description of Business.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW - FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 AND 2006

The following highlights are discussed in further detail within this Form 10-K. The reader is encouraged to read this Form 10-K in its entirety to gain a more complete understanding of factors impacting Company performance and financial condition.

- Product revenue increased approximately 0.6% during fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year. The increase is primarily related to strong sales in the Company's Sonomed and EMI business units which increased approximately 27.0% and 241.9%, respectively, offset by sales decreases in the Drew and Vascular business units of 18.4% and

19

4.8%, respectively. Sales in the Medical/Trek business units increased approximately 0.8% when compared to the prior fiscal year.

- During July 2005, the Company sold 58,555 shares of Intralase common stock that had originally been received by the Company in connection with the license of its laser properties to Intralase in 1997. The shares were sold at \$19.8226 per share and yielded net proceeds of \$1,157,336 after the payment of brokers' commissions and other fees. During 2007, Intralase accepted a \$25 per share tender offer for all its outstanding shares. The Company received \$75,000 for its remaining holdings in Intralase of 3,000 shares. The net proceeds for each of the transactions were recorded as other income.
- Other revenue increased approximately \$8,698,000 or 387.1% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year. The increase is due primarily to the \$9,600,000 settlement reached with Intralase on February 27, 2007 as described in Item 3 above.
- Cost of goods sold as a percentage of product revenue decreased to approximately 56.5% of revenues during the fiscal year ended June

30, 2007, as compared to approximately 58.1% of product revenue for the prior fiscal year. Gross margins in the Drew business unit have historically been lower than those in the Company's other business units. Cost of goods sold in the Drew business unit was approximately 66.1% of product revenue during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to approximately 64.7% in the prior fiscal year. The aggregate cost of goods sold as a percentage of product revenue of the Sonomed, Vascular, EMI and Medical/Trek business units during fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 decreased to approximately 49.7% of product revenue from approximately 51.0% in the prior fiscal year.

- Operating expenses increased approximately 0.8% during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year. The modest increase was due to increased research and development costs of \$606,000, primarily at Drew, offset by a decrease in selling, general and administrative costs of \$467,000 primarily due to the realization of savings related to the cost reduction plan implemented in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 AND 2006

The following table shows consolidated product revenue by business unit as well as identifying trends in business unit product revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

	FISCAL	YEARS ENDED	JUNE 30,
	2007	2006	% CHANGE
PRODUCT REVENUE:			
Drew	\$ 11 , 627	\$ 14,253	-18.4%
Sonomed	9,823	7,737	27.0%
Vascular	3,467	3,640	-4.8%
EMI	1,484	434	241.9%
Medical/Trek	1,492	1,480	0.8%
TOTAL	\$ 27,893	\$ 27 , 544	1.3%
IOIUU			1.5%

20

Consolidated product revenue increased approximately \$349,000, or 1.3%, to \$27,893,000 during the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the last fiscal year.

In the Drew business unit, product revenue decreased \$2,626,000, or 18.4% as compared to last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to the non-renewal of two OEM agreements and the continued delay in the introduction of Drew's new line of products in fiscal 2007. In July 2007, Drew received 510(k) clearance from the FDA to market the new TRILOGY Analyzer. TRILOGY, a multifunction analyzer used in determination of analytes in body fluids, is an open system intended for clinical use in a professional setting for use with various chemistry assays. Drew anticipates receiving approval on its new D3

Analyzer in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 which will provide two upgraded instruments for sale in fiscal 2008. Additionally, Drew anticipates submitting its new DS-360 Analyzer for FDA approval in the second half of fiscal 2008.

Product revenue increased \$2,086,000, or 27.0%, to \$9,823,000 in the Sonomed business unit as compared to the last fiscal year. The increase in product revenue was primarily caused by an increase in sales of the Company's EZ AB scan ultrasound systems as well as increased sales of the new VuMax high frequency systems and an overall increase in export sales.

Product revenue decreased \$173,000, or 4.8%, to \$3,467,000, at the Vascular business unit during the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to last fiscal year. The decrease was primarily caused by a decrease in revenues from Company's distributor network due to the termination of its relationship with several distributors during 2006 and 2005. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in direct sales to end users by the Company's domestic sales team. The Company continues to replace the territories of the terminated distributors with direct sales efforts.

Product revenue increased \$1,050,000 or 241.9% in the EMI business unit when compared to the last fiscal year. This increase is attributable to the increase in sales of the digital imaging systems from the January 2006 MRP acquisition. The EMI product offering continues to expand and has seen significant market acceptance during the year ended June 30, 2007.

In the Medical/Trek business unit, product revenue increased \$12,000 or 0.8% to \$1,492,000 during the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the last fiscal year.

The following table presents consolidated other revenue by reportable business unit for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

		FISCAL	YEARS	ENDED	JUNE	30,
	2	007	20	06	% CI	HANGE
OTHER REVENUE:						
Drew	\$	243	\$	283		-14.1%
Sonomed		0		0		0.0%
Vascular		0		0		0.0%
EMI		0		0		0.0%
Medical/Trek	1	0,702	1,	,964		444.9%
TOTAL	\$ 1	0,945	\$ 2	,247		387.1%

Consolidated other revenue increased by approximately \$8,698,000, or 387.1%, to \$10,945,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year. The increase is primarily due to the \$9,600,000 settlement reached with Intralase on February 27, 2007. Under the settlement agreement, Intralase made a lump sum payment to Escalon of \$9,600,000 in exchange for which all pending litigation between the parties was dismissed, the parties exchanged general releases, the Company transferred to Intralase its ownership of patents and intellectual property formerly licensed to Intralase by

21

the Company, and the License Agreement was terminated. In addition, the payment from Intralase satisfied all outstanding past, current and future royalties owed or alleged to be owed by Intralase to the Company.

Other revenue from the prior year of \$1,964,000 and the amount in the current year in excess of the \$9,600,000 Intralase settlement of \$1,102,000 are related to royalties received from Intralase license agreement received prior to the settlement and royalties received by Drew related to the Bio-Rad license agreement.

The following table presents consolidated cost of goods sold by reportable business unit and as a percentage of related unit product revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

	FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,							
		2007	 9	===== 6 		2006 		%
COST OF GOODS SOLD):							
Drew Sonomed Vascular EMI Medical/Trek	\$	7,681 4,976 1,393 711 1,011	<u> </u>	66.1% 50.7% 40.2% 47.9% 67.8%	\$	9,225 3,962 1,535 290 992		64.7% 51.2% 42.2% 66.8% 67.0%
TOTAL	\$	15 , 772		56.5%	\$	16,004		58.1%

Consolidated cost of goods sold totaled approximately \$15,772,000, or 56.5% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$16,004,000 or 58.1 of product revenue, for the prior fiscal year.

Cost of goods sold in the Drew business unit totaled \$7,681,000, or 66.1% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$9,225,000, or 64.7% of product revenue, for the prior fiscal year. The increase in the cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenue is due to the margin compression related to the continued aging of Drew's existing product offering. The decrease in instrument gross margins was partially offset by an increase in the sale of higher volume spare parts and continued sales of higher gross margin reagents. This margin compression is expected to continue until Drew's updated product offering comes on line for sale during fiscal 2008.

Cost of goods sold in the Sonomed business unit totaled \$4,976,000, or 50.7% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$3,962,000, or 51.2% of product revenue, for prior fiscal year. The primary reason for the decrease as a percentage of product revenue was an increase in the percentage of domestic sales during the period. The Company historically experiences a higher selling price per unit on its domestic product sales.

Cost of goods sold in the Vascular business unit totaled \$1,393,000, or 40.2% of product revenue, for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$1,535,000, or 42.2% of product revenue, for the last fiscal year. The decrease

as a percentage of product revenue was due to lower overtime and higher production efficiencies in the current period as compared to the prior year.

Cost of goods sold in the EMI business unit totaled \$711,000, or 47.9% of product revenue, for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$290,000, or 66.8% of product revenue, for the last fiscal year. The significant improvement in gross margins is related to improved production techniques implemented during the year and EMI's ability to drive down the cost of components due to increased purchases made during the year to keep pace with its significant sales growth.

22

Cost of goods sold in the Medical/Trek business unit totaled \$1,011,000, or 67.8% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$992,000, or 67.0% of product revenue, for the last fiscal year.

The following table presents consolidated marketing, general and administrative expenses as well as identifying trends in business unit marketing, general and administrative expenses for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

		FISCAL	YEARS	ENDED	JUNE	30,
	20	2007 		06	% 	CHANGE
MARKETING, GENERAL AND	ADMINIST	TRATIVE:				
Drew Sonomed Vascular EMI Medical/Trek	\$	5,475 1,931 1,598 480 4,323	·	6,006 2,098 1,682 550 3,659		-8.9% -8.0% -5.0% -12.7% 18.2%
TOTAL	\$ 2	 13,807	 \$ 1	.3 , 995		 -1.4%

Consolidated marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$188,000, or 1.4%, to \$13,807,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Drew business unit decreased \$531,000, or 8.9%, to \$5,475,000 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to the realization of previously announced cost reductions initiated during the first quarter of the 2007 fiscal year, offset by related charges incurred in consolidating our foot print in the United Kingdom down to one site from three in the previous year, and from increased legal and severance costs. The full effect of our previously announced cost reduction plan is expected to be realized during fiscal 2008.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Sonomed business unit decreased by \$167,000, or 8.0%, to \$1,931,000 as compared to the prior fiscal year. The decrease is due primarily to the decreased need, as compared to the prior fiscal year, for travel and advertising expenses related to the introduction and expansion into international markets related to the roll out of Sonomed's new UBM Instrument.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Vascular business unit decreased \$84,000, or 5.0%, to \$1,598,000 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease was due mainly to the discontinuance of the relationship with an under performing European sales consultant and a more efficient approach to domestic sales travel and other marketing related expenses, including printed material and attendance at trade shows.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the EMI business unit decreased \$70,000 or 12.7% to \$480,000 as compared to last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily related to additional costs incurred in the prior year on printed and other advertising materials.

The Medical/Trek business unit's marketing, general and administrative expenses increased \$664,000 or 18.2% to \$4,323,000 as compared to the last fiscal year. The increase was due primarily to \$163,000 incurred during this fiscal year under the new SFAS No. 123(R) rules, increased discretionary bonuses of \$175,000, increased corporate salaries of approximately \$194,000 and increased third party consultants in accounting, valuation services, and information technology of \$68,000 and increased insurance costs of \$64,000.

23

The following table presents consolidated research and development expenses by reportable business unit and as a percentage of related unit product revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

		FISCAL	YEARS	ENDED	JUNE	30,
		2007		2006	% 	CHANGE
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT:						
Drew Sonomed Vascular EMI Medical/Trek	\$	2,355 495 172 350 89	\$	1,734 511 164 85 334		35.8% -3.1% 4.9% 311.8% -73.4%
TOTAL	 \$ ==	3,461	\$ ====	2,828 =====	==	22.4%

Consolidated research and development expenses increased \$633,000, or 22.4%, to \$3,461,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to the prior fiscal year. Research and development expenses were primarily expenses associated with the planned introduction of new or enhanced products in the Drew and EMI business units.

Research and development expenses in the Drew business unit increased \$621,000, or 35.8%, to \$2,355,000. The increase is primarily due to increased employee headcount, consulting and other related product development expenses for Drew's continued research on two new instruments, the Drew DS-360 and the XL2280.

Research and development expenses in the Sonomed business unit

decreased \$16,000 to \$495,000 as compared to the last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to a completion of Sonomed's new UBM instrument in the prior year offset by continued enhancements to Sonomed's existing products.

Research and development in the EMI business unit increased \$265,000 to \$350,000 as compared to the last fiscal year. The increase is due to additional employees related to continued development and enhancement of the Company's digital ophthalmic product offerings.

Research and development in the Medical/Trek business unit decreased \$245,000 to \$89,000 as compared to the last fiscal year. This decrease is due primarily to the elimination of the corporate research and development department in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 related to the Company's previously announced cost reduction plan.

Gain on sale of available for sale securities was approximately \$75,000 and \$1,157,000 during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively due to the sale of 3,000 shares and 58,585 shares of Intralase common stock during fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company has no remaining available for sale securities.

The Company recognized a loss of approximately \$88,000 and \$174,000 related to its investment in Ocular Telehealth Management ("OTM") during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Commencing July 1, 2005, the Company began recognizing all of the losses of OTM in its consolidated financial statements. OTM is an early stage privately held company. Prior to July 1, 2005, the share of OTM's loss recognized by the Company was in direct proportion to the Company's ownership equity in OTM. OTM began operations during the three-month period ended September 30, 2004. (See note 14 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.)

24

Interest income was \$208,000 and \$162,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase was due to higher cash balances and effective yields on investments.

Interest expense was \$29,000 and \$64,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005

The following table shows consolidated product revenue by business unit as well as identifying trends in business unit product revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

	 FISCAL	YEARS	ENDED	JUNE	30,
	 2006	2	005	%	CHANGE
PRODUCT REVENUE:					
Drew Sonomed Vascular Medical/Trek/EMI	\$ 14,253 7,737 3,640 1,914	\$	11,294 7,663 3,180 1,727		26.2% 1.0% 14.5% 10.8%

	=======	========	
TOTAL	\$ 27,544	\$ 23,864	15.4%

Consolidated product revenue increased approximately \$3,680,000, or 15.4%, to \$27,544,000 during the year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to fiscal year 2005.

In the Drew business unit, product revenue increased \$2,959,000, or 26.2% as compared to fiscal year 2005. The increase is primarily due to additional sales in the domestic and international markets of diabetics and hematology instruments. Sales of spare parts and reagents and controls, which are used to operate the instruments, also increased during the period to support the increase in the installed base of the related instruments.

Product revenue increased \$74,000, or 1%, to \$7,737,000 in the Sonomed business unit as compared to fiscal year 2005. The increase in product revenue was primarily caused by an increase in sales of the Company's EZ AB scan ultrasound systems and an increase in export sales, which were partially offset by a decrease in domestic sales and in demand for the Company's pachymeter product. The domestic market for pachymeters had previously expanded due to enhanced techniques in glaucoma screening performed by optometrists, who had historically not been users of the pachymeter. Domestic demand for the pachymeter returned to historic levels during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 due to market saturation and increased price competition within the marketplace.

Product revenue increased \$460,000, or 14.5%, to \$3,640,000, at the Vascular business unit during the year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to fiscal year 2005. The increase in product revenue in the Vascular business unit was primarily caused by an increase in direct sales to end users by the Company's domestic sales team. These increases were partially offset by decreases in revenue from the Company's distributor network. The Company terminated its relationship with several of its distributors during fiscal year 2005.

In the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit, product revenue increased \$187,000 or 10.8% to \$1,914,000 during the year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to the fiscal year 2005. The increase in Medical/Trek/EMI product revenue is primarily attributed to an increase in the Trek business unit revenue

25

from Bausch & Lomb. Also contributing to the increase was an increase in EMI sales of digital imaging systems from the MRP acquisition. (See note 12 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.)

Other revenue decreased by approximately \$813,000, or 26.5%, to \$2,246,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to fiscal year 2005. The decrease is primarily due to an approximately \$1,283,000 decrease in royalties received from Bausch & Lomb in connection with its sales of Silicone Oil. The Company's contract with Bausch & Lomb called for annual step-downs in the calculation of Silicone Oil revenue to be received by the Company from 64% from August 13, 2003 to August 12, 2004 to 45% from August 13, 2004 to August 12, 2005. The Company's contract with Bausch & Lomb ended in August 2005, and accordingly, the Company received no royalties after the termination date and will receive no future royalties under this agreement. (See note 11 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for a description of the step-down provisions under the contract with Bausch & Lomb.) Royalties from Bio-Rad related to an OEM agreement between Bio-Rad and Drew increased by approximately \$51,000 to \$282,508 due to higher sales of Drew's products in covered areas.

While this agreement terminated as of May 15, 2005, the parties have continued to operate under the terms of the expired agreement pending negotiation of a potential extension and/or revision.

The following table presents consolidated cost of goods sold by reportable business unit and as a percentage of related unit product revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

		F	ISCAL Y	EARS E	NDED	JUNE 30,	
		 2006	%			2005	ે
COST OF GOODS SOLD:							
Drew	\$	9,225	(54.7%	\$	7,554	66.9%
Sonomed		3,962	į	51.2%		3,115	40.7%
Vascular		1,535	4	12.2%		1,432	45.0%
Medical/Trek/EMI		1,282	(57.0%		1,058	61.3%
TOTAL	\$	16,004	ţ	58.1%	\$	13,159	55.1%
	==:		=====	====	==		======

Consolidated cost of goods sold totaled approximately \$16,004,000, or 58.1% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$13,159,000 or 55.1% of product revenue for fiscal year 2005.

Cost of goods sold in the Drew business unit totaled \$9,225,000, or 64.7% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$7,554,000, or 66.9% of product revenue, for fiscal year 2005. The decrease in the cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenue was due to a shift in the mix of products sold and a decrease in manufacturing gains experienced in fiscal year 2005. Instrument and OEM sales historically have lower margins than the sales of reagents and controls, which are used to operate the instruments.

Cost of goods sold in the Sonomed business unit totaled \$3,962,000, or 51.2% of product revenue, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$3,115,000, or 40.7% of product revenue for fiscal year 2005. The primary reason for the increase was an increase in the percentage of international sales during the period. The Company historically experiences a lower selling price per unit on its international product sales. In addition, the Company experienced a significantly higher margin in the prior year on pachymeters sales due to significant market demand. The demand returned to normal commencing in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004.

Cost of goods sold in the Vascular business unit totaled \$1,535,000, or 42.2% of product revenue, for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to \$1,432,000, or 45.0% of product revenue for fiscal year

26

2005. The Company experienced lower overtime and higher production efficiencies in the current period as compared to fiscal year 2005.

Cost of goods sold in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit totaled \$1,282,000, or 67% of product revenue, for fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as

compared to \$1,058,000, or 61.3% of product revenue for fiscal year 2005. Fluctuations in Medical/Trek/EMI cost of goods sold primarily emanates from product mix, which was primarily controlled by market demand. Further contributing to the increase was the integration of MRP into the existing EMI product lines.

The following table presents consolidated marketing, general and administrative expenses as well as identifying trends in business unit marketing, general and administrative expenses for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

		FISCAL	YEARS	ENDED	JUNE	30,
		2006	2	2005		CHANGE
MARKETING, GENERAL AND A	 DMINI	STRATIVE:				
Drew	\$	6,006	\$	4,104		46.3%
Sonomed	·	2,098		1,608		30.4%
Vascular		1,682		1,424		18.1%
Medical/Trek/EMI		4,209		5,420		-22.3%
TOTAL	\$	13,995	\$	12,556		11.5%

Consolidated marketing, general and administrative expenses increased \$1,439,000, or 11.5%, to 13,995,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30,2006 as compared to fiscal year 2005.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Drew business unit increased \$1,902,000, or 46.4%, to \$6,006,000 as compared to fiscal year 2005. The increase is primarily due to higher personnel and advertising costs related to improving the image of the Drew brand with both customers and distributors, improving the product distributor network and expanding the management team, which ultimately helped contribute to the 11.5% increase in product revenue when compared to fiscal year 2005.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Sonomed business unit increased by \$490,000, or 30.5%, to \$2,098,000 as compared to fiscal year 2005. The increase is due primarily to increased personnel, travel and advertising and trade show expenses related to the expansion into international markets and the roll out of Sonomed's new UBM Instrument.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Vascular business unit increased \$258,000, or 18.2%, to \$1,682,000 as compared to fiscal year 2005. Sales salaries and other personnel-related expenses increased approximately \$157,000, travel related expenses for sales personnel increased by approximately \$90,000, advertising increased by approximately \$9,000 and the expense for customer samples increased by approximately \$19,000 when compared to fiscal year 2005. All of the increases were related to supporting a higher volume of business during the current period as compared to fiscal year 2005. Legal expenses increased \$49,000 over the prior period due to additional patent applications, planning and review.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit decreased \$1,211,000 or 22.4% to \$4,209,000 as compared to fiscal year 2005. Of the decrease, \$1,087,000 is due to a one-time

supplemental retirement benefit awarded in June 2005 to the Company's Chairman and CEO (see note 10 to the consolidated financial statements). EMI marketing, general and administrative expenses increased to \$560,000 from \$222,000 experienced in fiscal year 2005 due mainly to the integration of MRP with the EMI lines, and the joint marketing and integration of the Company's product line with ANKA Systems. Legal expenses were \$754,000, a 22,000 increase over fiscal year 2005. The Company expects litigation costs due to Intralase and other matters to continue to impact earnings in the near term.

2.7

Research and development expenses increased \$935,000, or 49.4%, to \$2,828,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to fiscal year 2005. Drew accounted for \$1,734,000 of the \$2,828,000 incurred for the year. These funds relate to the continued research on Drew's two new instruments the Drew 360 and the XL22. Approximately \$510,000 was incurred during the period by Sonomed as it finalized the development of its new UBM Instrument. The remainder was incurred on various projects by legacy Escalon Companies to continue to enhance and improve their product lines.

Gain on sale of available for sale securities was approximately \$1,157,000 and \$3,412,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was due to the sale of fewer shares of Intralase common stock in July 2005. (See note 15 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.)

The Company recognized a loss of approximately \$174,000 and \$64,000 related to its investment in OTM during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Commencing July 1, 2005, the Company began recognizing all of the losses of OTM in its consolidated financial statements. OTM is an early stage privately held company. Prior to July 1, 2005, the share of OTM's loss recognized by the Company was in direct proportion to the Company's ownership equity in OTM. OTM began operations during the three-month period ended September 30, 2004. (See note 12 of the notes to consolidated financial statements.)

Interest income was \$162,000 and \$69,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase was due to higher cash balances and effective yields on investments.

Interest expense was \$64,000 and \$55,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 respectively. The Company paid off several of its debt facilities to several entities in advance of their maturities during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. Additionally, the Company reversed accrued loan commitment fees as a result of the satisfaction of the debt and the release by the lender of those fees. The fees were originally accrued based on contract terms.

28

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table presents overall liquidity and capital resources from continuing operations during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Table amounts are in thousands:

JUNE 30, 2007 2006

CURRENT RATIO:

Current assets Less: Current liabilities	\$	21,763 4,525		14,911 4,295		
WORKING CAPITAL		17,238		10,616 ======		
CURRENT RATIO	4.8 TO 1			3.5 TO 1		
DEBT TO TOTAL CAPITAL RATIO:						
Notes payable and current maturities Long-term debt	\$	150	\$	233 163		
Total debt	\$	150	\$	396		
Total equity		39,406		33,100		
TOTAL CAPITAL		39 , 556		33 , 496		
TOTAL DEBT TO TOTAL CAPITAL		0.4%		1.2%		

WORKING CAPITAL POSITION

Working capital increased \$6,622,000 as of June 30, 2007 and the current ratio increased to 4.8 to 1 from 3.5 to 1 when compared to June 30, 2006. The increase in working capital was caused primarily by an increase in cash of \$5,499,000 from \$3,380,000 to \$8,879,000 in 2006 and 2007, respectively. Accounts receivable increased \$657,000 from \$3,996,000 in 2006 to \$4,653,000 in 2007. Overall total current assets increased \$6,852,000 from \$14,911,000 in 2006 to \$21,763,000 in 2007. Total current liabilities which consist of current portion of long term debt, accounts payable and accrued expenses increased \$230,000, from \$4,295,000 in 2006 to \$4,525,000 in 2007.

CASH PROVIDED BY OR USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES

During fiscal 2007, the Company generated approximately \$5,783,000 of cash for operating activities. In fiscal 2006, the Company used approximately \$2,605,000 in operating activities. The net increase in cash generated from operating activities of approximately \$8,388,000 in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006 is due primarily to the following factors:

Income/loss from operations increased approximately \$7,901,000 in fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006, from \$(1,986,000) to \$5,915,000 in 2007. The net income in 2007 was driven by net income in the legacy Escalon companies of approximately \$9,585,000 offset by a net loss at our Drew division of approximately \$3,670,000. The income in the legacy Escalon companies includes the \$9,600,000 settlement reached with Intralase as described in Item 3 above. The loss at Drew was primarily driven by revenue decreases due to the non-renewal of two OEM agreements and the delay in the introduction of several new key products due to development setbacks and increased research and development expenses related to continued research on two new instruments, the DS-360 and the XL2280 and completion of the new Trilogy Analyzer which received FDA approval during July 2007.

CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Cash flows used in investing activities for 2007 were approximately \$216,000. This amount is made up of the net proceeds of \$75,000 realized on the sale of the remaining Intralase securities held by the Company as available for sale securities, purchases of fixed assets of \$260,000 and investment in OTM of \$31,000.

Cash flows generated by investing activities of approximately \$783,000 during fiscal 2006 relate primarily to the net proceeds of approximately \$1,157,000 realized on the sale of a portion of the Intralase securities held by the Company as available for sale securities. The securities that were sold were originally acquired in connection with the license of intellectual laser properties to Intralase (see note 15 to the consolidated financial statements). Partially offsetting the cash realized on the securities sale were costs related to the purchase of fixed assets during 2006 of \$327,000 and costs related to the MRP acquisition of \$47,000.

Any necessary capital expenditures have generally been funded out of cash from operations, and the Company is not aware of any factors that would cause historical capital expenditure levels to not be indicative of capital expenditures in the future and, accordingly, does not believe that the Company will have to commit material resources to capital investment for the foreseeable future.

Cash flows used in financing activities in the amount of \$62,000 during 2007 relate to repayment of debt of \$245,000, offset by the proceeds \$183,000 from the issuance of common stock options.

Cash flows generated in financing activities were approximately \$147,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The Company received proceeds of \$374,000 from the issuance of common stock upon the exercise of stock options. This was partially offset by repayment of debt of \$227,000.

DEBT HISTORY

Drew has long-term debt facilities through the Texas Mezzanine Fund and through Symbiotics, Inc. The Texas Mezzanine Fund debt provided for interest at fixed rate of 8% per annum until July 1, 2005. The interest rate was then adjusted to the prime rate plus 4% per annum. Each June 1, the rate will be adjusted to the prime rate plus 4% per annum. The debt has a minimum interest rate of 8% per annum to a maximum interest rate of 18% per annum. The interest rate on the Texas Mezzanine Fund was 10.25% per annum and 8% per annum as of June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Drew is required to pay the Texas Mezzanine Fund 1% of fiscal year revenues over \$11,500,000 as defined in a revenue participation agreement. The note is due in June 2008 and is secured by certain assets of Drew. The outstanding balance as of June 30, 2007 was \$133,534. The Symbiotics, Inc. term debt, which originated from the acquisition of a product line from Symbiotics, Inc., is payable in monthly principal installments of \$8,333 plus interest at a fixed rate of 5.00% per annum. The outstanding balance on the Symbiotics as of June 30, 2007 was approximately \$16,666.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The Company was not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The following table presents the Company's contractual obligations as of June 30, 2007 (interest is not included in the table as it is not material):

30

	 TOTAL	ESS THAN YEAR	1.	-3 YEARS	 3-5 YEARS	IORE TH 5 YEAR
Long-term debt	\$ 150,200	\$ 150,200	\$	0	\$ 0	\$
Operating lease agreements	2,746,091	657 , 452		968,734	792 , 819	327,0
TOTAL	\$ 2,896,291	 \$ 807 , 652	\$	968,734	\$ 792 , 819	 \$ 327,0

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT ABOUT SIGNIFICANT ITEMS LIKELY TO IMPACT LIQUIDITY

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired approximately 67% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, pursuant to the Company's exchange offer for all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew and subsequently acquired the remaining shares during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. As of June 30, 2006, the Company has acquired all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew. Drew does not have a history of producing positive operating cash flows and, as a result, at the time of acquisition, was operating under financial constraints and was under-capitalized. As Drew is integrated into the Company, management continues to work to reverse the situation, while at the same time seeking to strengthen Drew's market position. The Company has loaned approximately \$16 million to Drew. The funds have been primarily used to procure components to build up inventory to support the manufacturing process as well as to pay off accounts payable and debt of Drew. The Company may need to provide further working capital for Drew.

COMMON STOCK

The Company's common stock is currently listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market. In order to continue to be listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market, the following requirements must be met:

- Shareholders' equity of \$2,500,000 or market value of listed securities of \$35,000,000 or net income from continuing operations (in the latest fiscal year or two of the last three fiscal years) of \$500,000;
- 500,000 publicly held shares;
- \$1,000,000 market value of publicly held shares;
- A minimum bid price of \$1;
- 300 round lot shareholders;
- Two market makers; and
- Compliance with corporate governance standards.

As of June 30, 2007, Escalon complied with these requirements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that impact amounts reported therein. The most significant of those involve the application of Statement of Accounting Standards ("SFAS') No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," discussed further in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K. The financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and, as such, include amounts based on informed estimates and judgments of management. For example, estimates are used in determining valuation allowances for deferred income taxes, uncollectible receivables, obsolete inventory, sales returns and rebates and purchased intangible assets. Actual results achieved in the future could differ from current estimates. The Company used what it believes are reasonable assumptions and, where applicable, established valuation techniques in making its estimates.

31

REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products at the time of shipment, when title and risk of loss transfer. The Company provides products to its distributors at agreed wholesale prices and to the balance of its customers at set retail prices. Distributors can receive discounts for accepting high volume shipments. The discounts are reflected immediately in the net invoice price, which is the basis for revenue recognition. No further material discounts are given.

The Company's considerations for recognizing revenue upon shipment of product to a distributor are based on the following:

- Persuasive evidence that an arrangement (purchase order and sales invoice) exists between a willing buyer (distributor) and the Company that outlines the terms of the sale (company information, quantity of goods, purchase price and payment terms). The buyer (distributor) does not have a right of return.
- Shipping terms are ex-factory shipping point. At this point the buyer (distributor) takes title to the goods and is responsible for all risks and rewards of ownership, including insuring the goods as necessary.
- The Company's price to the buyer (distributor) is fixed and determinable as specifically outlined on the sales invoice. The sales arrangement does not have customer cancellation or termination clauses.
- The buyer (distributor) places a purchase order with the Company; the terms of the sale are cash, COD or credit. Customer credit is determined based on the Company's policies and procedures related to the buyer's (distributor's) creditworthiness. Based on this determination, the Company believes that collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company assesses collectibility based on creditworthiness of the customer and past transaction history. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and does not require collateral from its customers. For many of the Company's international customers, the Company requires an irrevocable letter of credit to be issued by the customer before the purchase order is accepted.

VALUATION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company annually evaluates for impairment its intangible assets and goodwill in accordance with SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. These intangible assets include goodwill, trademarks and trade names. Factors the Company considers important that could trigger an impairment review include significant under-performance relative to historical or projected future operating results or significant negative industry or economic trends. If these criteria indicate that the value of the intangible asset may be impaired, an evaluation of the recoverability of the net carrying value of the asset is made. If this evaluation indicates that the intangible asset is not recoverable, the net carrying value of the related intangible asset will be reduced to fair value. Any such impairment charge could be significant and could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial statements if and when an impairment charge is recorded. No impairment losses were recorded for goodwill, trademarks and trade names during any of the periods presented based on these evaluations.

INCOME/(LOSS) PER SHARE

The Company computes net income/(loss) per share under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," (SFAS 128) and Staff Accounting Bulletin, No. 98 (SAB 98).

Under the provisions of SFAS 128 and SAB 98, basic and diluted net income/(loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income/(loss) for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The calculation of diluted net income/(loss) per share excludes potential common shares if the impact is anti-dilutive. Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income/(loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during

32

the period. Diluted earnings per share are determined in the same manner as basic earnings per share, except that the number of shares is increased by assuming exercise of dilutive stock options and warrants using the treasury stock method.

TAXES

Estimates of taxable income of the various legal entities and jurisdictions are used in the tax rate calculation. Management uses judgment in estimating what the Company's income will be for the year. Since judgment is involved, there is a risk that the tax rate may significantly increase or decrease in any period.

In determining income/(loss) for financial statement purposes, management must make certain estimates and judgments. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of certain tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of certain deferred tax assets, which arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. SFAS 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes" also requires that the deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence, it is more likely that not that all or some portion of the recorded deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods.

In evaluating the Company's ability to recover the Company's deferred tax assets, management considers all available positive and negative evidence including the Company's past operating results, the existence of

cumulative losses and near-term forecasts of future taxable income that is consistent with the plans and estimates management is using to manage the underlying businesses.

Through June 30, 2007, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against the Company's net operating losses due to uncertainty of their realization as a result of the Company's earnings history, the number of years the Company's net operating losses and tax credits can be carried forward, the existence of taxable temporary differences and near-term earnings expectations. The amount of the valuation allowance could decrease if facts and circumstances change that materially increase taxable income prior to the expiration of the loss carryforwards. Any reduction would reduce (increase) the income tax expense (benefit) in the period such determination is made by the Company.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R) ("SFAS 123(R)") "Share-Based Payments". SFAS 123(R) is a revision of SFAS No. 123 and supersedes ABP Opinion No. 25. The Company used the modified prospective transition method and therefore did not have to restate results for prior periods. Under this transition method, stock-based compensation expense for 2006 includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, July 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimate in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123. Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after July 1, 2006 is based on the grant-date fair value estimate in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). The Company will recognize these compensation costs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

Valuations are based on highly subjective assumptions about the future, including stock price volatility and exercise patterns. The fair value of share-based payment awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee terminations. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with APB 25.

33

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, or FIN 48. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the enterprise's financial statements. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in the tax return. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect this Interpretation will have on the Company's financial position, liquidity and statement of operations, but do not expect the effect to be significant.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value

and expands the disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 157 on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company does not expect the effect to be significant.

On September 29, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, and amendment of FASB Statements Nos. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), or FAS 158. FAS 158 requires companies to recognize a net liability or asset to report the funded status of their defined benefit pension and post retirement benefit plans. The Company does not any defined benefit plans and therefore the effect of adoption at December 31, 2006 has not had an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatement when Quantifying Misstatements in the Current Year Financial Statements," or SAB 108. SAB 108 was issued in order to eliminate the diversity in practice surrounding how public companies quantify financial statement misstatements. The Company does not expect SAB 108 will have a material effect on our financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALIITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In the normal course of doing business, we are exposed to the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates.

INTEREST RATE RISK

The table below provides information about the Company's financial instruments consisting of both variable and fixed interest rate debt obligations. For debt obligations, the table represents principal cash flows and related interest rates by expected maturity dates. Interest rates as of June 30, 2007 were variable at prime plus 4%, currently 10.25% per annum, on the Texas Mezzanine Fund debt, and were fixed at 5.00% per annum, on the Symbiotics, Inc. term debt. (See note 6 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the Company's debt obligations.)

		2007	 TOTAL
Texas Mezzanine Fund Note	\$	133,534	\$ 133,534
Interest rate	Pr	ime Plus 4%	
Symbiotics, Inc. Note	\$	16,666	\$ 16,666
Interest rate		5.00%	
TOTAL	\$	150,200	\$ 150,200

CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

For the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005, approximately 12.5%, 12.8% and 14.5%, respectively, of our net revenues were generated in currencies other than the United States dollar. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies relative to the United States dollar affect our reported results of operations. If the United States dollar weakens relative to the foreign currency, then our earnings generated in the foreign currency will, in effect, increase when converted into United States dollars and vice versa. Exchange rate differences resulting from the strength or weakness of the United States dollar against the Euro and the United Kingdom Pound Sterling resulted in increases of approximately \$279,000 in net revenues in 2007 compared to 2006, \$37,000 in net revenues in 2006 compared to 2005 and a decrease of approximately \$52,000 in net revenues in 2005 compared to 2004. During the three years ended June 30, 2007, no subsidiary was domiciled in a highly inflationary environment and the impact of inflation and changing prices on our net sales and revenues and on loss from continuing operations was not material.

During 2007, our subsidiary in the United Kingdom generated 12.5% of our net product revenues. Conducting an international business inherently involves a number of difficulties, risks, and uncertainties, such as export and trade restrictions, inconsistent and changing regulatory requirements, tariffs and other trade barriers, cultural issues, longer payment cycles, problems in collecting accounts receivable, political instability, local economic downturns, seasonal reductions in business activity in Europe during the traditional summer vacation months, and potentially adverse tax consequences.

35

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	37
Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2007 and 2006	38
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	39
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	40
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	41
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	42

36

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Escalon Medical Corporation

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Escalon Medical Corporation as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the related statements of income,

stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year periods ended June 30, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Escalon Medical Corporation as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania

September 27, 2007

ASSETS

Current assets:

Inventory, net Other current assets 37

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

\$ 8,879,46 4,653,07 7,761,37

JUNE 30, 2007

469,10

21,763,01

873,19 21,072,26

620,10

216,22 326,86 145,55

\$ 45,017,21

TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS

Available for sale securities

Accounts receivable, net

Furniture and equipment, net Goodwill Trademarks and trade names Patents, net Covenant not to compete and customer list, net Other assets

TOTAL ASSETS

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:	
Current Habilities: Current portion of long-term debt Accounts payable	\$ 150,20 1,626,27
Accrued expenses	2,748,13
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	4,524,60
Long-term debt, net of current portion	
Accrued post-retirement benefits	1,087,00
TOTAL LIABILITIES	5,611,60
Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,386,857 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital Accumulated (deficit) Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	6,38 1,601,34 66,045,05 (28,207,82 (39,35
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	39,405,60
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 45,017,21

See notes to consolidated financial statements

38

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,	2007	2006	2005
NET REVENUES: Product revenue Other revenue	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 27,543,785 2,246,913	
REVENUES, NET	38,837,780	29,790,698	26,924,622
COSTS AND EXPENSES: Cost of goods sold Marketing, general and administrative Research and development TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	3,461,322 33,038,975	13,994,788 2,828,196	1,892,706 27,607,141
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	3,798,803	(3,036,190)	(662,319)
OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME: Gain on sale of available for sale securities	75,000	1,157,336	3,411,761

		(173,844)		
Interest income	•	161,588		•
Interest expense		(63,521)		
TOTAL OTHER INCOME	166,852	1,081,559		3,362,294
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	5,965,657	(1,954,631)		2,679,775
Provision for income taxes	51,054	31,309		231,664
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 5,914,603	\$ (1,985,940)	\$	2,448,111
BASIC NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ 0.93	\$ (0.32)	\$	0.42
DILUTED NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE		(0.32)		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - BASIC	6,374,929	6,152,455	==	5,831,564 ======
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - DILUTED		6,152,455		

See notes to consolidated financial statements

39

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

	COMMON STOCK		COMMON	ADDITIONAL	7 COLIMI
	SHARES	AMOUNT	STOCK WARRANTS	PAID-IN CAPITAL	ACCUMU DEFI
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2005 Comprehensive Income (Loss):	5,963,477	\$ 5,964	\$1,601,346	\$63,898,190	\$(32,1
Net (loss)	0	0	0	0	(1,9
Change in unrealized gains on	0	0	0	0	
available for sale securities	0	0	0	0	
Foreign currency translation					
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	0	0	0	0	(1,9
Exercise of stock options	131,180	131	0	296,123	` '
Income tax benefit from exercise					
of stock options	0	0	0	77,807	
Purchase of assets of MRP	250,000	250	0	1,427,250	
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2006	6,344,657	\$ 6,345	\$1,601,346	\$65,699,370	\$(34,1
Comprehensive Income:					
Net income	0	0	0	0	\$ 5,9
Change in unrealized gains on available for sale securities	0	0	0	0	

Foreign currency translation	0	0	0		0	
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME						
Exercise of stock options	42,200	\$ 42	0	\$	84,692	
Compensation expense	0	0	0	\$	162,576	
Income tax benefit from exercise						
of stock options	0	0	0	\$	98,412	
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2007	6,386,857	 6,387	1,601,346	6	6,045,050	(28,2

40

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30,	2007
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,914,603
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in)	+ 0,311,000
operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	590,846
Post retirement benefits	0
Compensation expense related to stock options	162,576
Gain on sale of available for sale securities	(75,000)
Reserve on notes receivable	0
Loss on Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC	87 , 852
Abandonment of leasehold improvements	0
Change in operating assets and liabilities:	
Accounts receivable, net	(656,830)
Inventory, net	(638,454)
Other current and long-term assets	84,918
Accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities	312,135
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	5,782,645
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Proceeds from the sale of available for sale securities	75,000
Investment in Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC	(31,000)
Purchase of fixed assets	(259,705)
Purchase of MRP, net of cash acquired	0
Purchase of Drew, net of cash acquired	0
Acquistion costs, related to Drew	0
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(215,705)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Principal payments on term loans	(245,188)
Issuance of common stock - stock options	183,146
Line of credit repayment	0
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(62,042)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(5,146)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	5,499,752

3	3,379,710
\$ 8	3,879,462
===	
\$	25,217
\$	98,412
\$	0
\$	0
\$ 	(50,220)
	\$ 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

See notes to consolidated financial statements

41

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND BUSINESS CONDITIONS

Escalon Medical Corp. ("Escalon" or the "Company") is a Pennsylvania corporation initially incorporated in California in 1987, and reincorporated in Pennsylvania in November 2001. Within this document, the "Company" collectively shall mean Escalon and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Sonomed, Inc. ("Sonomed"), Escalon Vascular Access, Inc. ("Vascular"), Escalon Medical Europe GmbH ("EME"), Escalon Digital Vision, Inc. ("EMI"), Escalon Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Pharmaceutical"), Escalon Holdings, Inc. ("EHI"), Escalon IP Holdings, Inc., Escalon Vascular IP Holdings, Inc., Sonomed IP Holdings, Inc., Drew Scientific Holdings, Inc., and Drew Scientific Group, Plc ("Drew") and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Additionally, the Company's investment in Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC ("OTM") is accounted for under the equity method.

The Company operates in the healthcare market specializing in the development, manufacture, marketing and distribution of medical devices and pharmaceuticals in the areas of ophthalmology, diabetes, hematology and vascular access. The Company and its products are subject to regulation and inspection by the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"). The FDA requires extensive testing of new products prior to sale and has jurisdiction over the safety, efficacy and manufacture of products, as well as product labeling and marketing. The Company's Internet address is www.escalonmed.com.

In connection with the presentation of the current period consolidated financial statements, certain prior period balance have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

The Drew business unit has experienced significant losses and negative cash flow from operations in the last two years. Management has begun to implement cost reductions in each of Drew's three locations in order to bring Drew's cost structure in line with anticipated revenues. Management anticipates that these cuts combined with budgeted profits in legacy Escalon entities and the continued growth of its Intralase royalty revenue stream will provide

sufficient liquidity in the coming fiscal year.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that impact the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

For the purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company considers all cash accounts, which are not subject to withdrawal restrictions or penalties, and highly liquid investments with original maturities of 90 days or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

42

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107 ("SFAS" 107"), "Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments". The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, line of credit, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value because of their short-term maturity. The carrying value of available for sale securities approximates market based-upon market arms-length transactions in the underlying security. The carrying amounts of long-term debt approximate fair value since the Company's interest rates approximate current interest rates. While we believe the carrying value of the assets and liabilities is reasonable, considerable judgment is used to develop estimates of fair value; thus the estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange.

MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company reports debt and marketable securities in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115 ("SFAS 115"), "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." All of the equity securities held by the Company at June 30, 2007 and 2006 are classified as available for sale securities. Accordingly, amounts are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity (see note 15).

REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products at the time of shipment, when title and risk of loss transfer. The Company provides products to its distributors at agreed wholesale prices and to the balance of its customers at set retail prices. Distributors can receive discounts for accepting high volume shipments. The discounts are reflected immediately in the

net invoice price, which is the basis for revenue recognition. No further material discounts or sales incentives are given.

The Company's considerations for recognizing revenue upon shipment of product to a distributor are based on the following:

- Persuasive evidence that an arrangement (purchase order and sales invoice) exists between a willing buyer (distributor) and the Company that outlines the terms of the sale (company information, quantity of goods, purchase price and payment terms). The buyer (distributor) does not have a right of return.
- Shipping terms are ex-factory shipping point. At this point the buyer (distributor) takes title to the goods and is responsible for all risks and rewards of ownership, including insuring the goods as necessary.
- The Company's price to the buyer (distributor) is fixed and determinable as specifically outlined on the sales invoice. The sales arrangement does not have customer cancellation or termination clauses.
- The buyer (distributor) places a purchase order with the Company; the terms of the sale are cash, COD or credit. Customer credit is determined based on the Company's policy and procedures related to the buyer's (distributor's) creditworthiness. Based on this determination, the Company believes that collectibility is reasonably assured.

With respect to additional consideration related to the sale of Silicone Oil by Bausch & Lomb and the licensing of the Company's intellectual laser technology, revenue is recognized upon notification from the other parties of amount earned or upon receipt of royalty payments.

 $\hbox{Provision has been made for estimated sales returns based on historical experience.} \\$

SHIPPING AND HANDLING REVENUES AND COSTS

Shipping and handling revenues are included in product revenue and the related costs are included in cost of goods sold.

43

INVENTORIES

Raw materials, work in process and finished goods are recorded at lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. The composition of inventories is as follows:

	JUNE	30,
	2007	2006
Raw materials Work in process	\$ 4,825,018 680,994	\$ 4,219,836 809,807
Finished goods	2,556,913 	2,345,985

	8,062,925	7,375,628
Valuation allowance	(301,555)	(252,712)
TOTAL INVENTORY	\$ 7,761,370	\$ 7,122,916
		========

Valuation allowance activity for the years ended June 30 was as follows:

JUNE	30,
2007	2006
\$ 252,712 65,221 (16,378)	
\$ 301,555	\$ 252 , 712
	2007 \$ 252,712 65,221 (16,378)

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition and does not require collateral for accounts receivable arising in the normal course of business. The Company maintains allowances for potential credit losses based on the Company's historical trends, specific customer issues and current economic trends. Accounts are written off when they are determined to be uncollectible based on management's assessment of individual accounts. Credit losses, when realized, have been within the range of management's expectations. Allowance for doubtful accounts activity for the years ended June 30 was as follows:

	2007	JUNE 30, 2006
BALANCE, JULY 1 Provision for bad debts Write-off's	\$ 649,577 96,000 (178,699)	\$ 490,465 347,968 (188,856)
BALANCE, JUNE 30	\$ 566,878	\$ 649,577

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the estimated useful life of the asset or lease term. Depreciation on property and equipment is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated economic useful life of the related assets. Estimated useful lives are generally 3 to 5 years for computer equipment and software, 5 to 7

years for furniture and fixtures and 5 to 10 years for production and test equipment. Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$394,517, \$324,458 and \$321,142 respectively.

Property and equipment consist of the following at:

	JUNE 30,			
	2007	2006		
Equipment Furniture and Fixtures Leasehold Improvements	\$ 2,157,562 62,846 135,895	\$ 2,289,994 65,891 128,866		
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	2,356,303	2,484,751 (1,514,795)		
	\$ 873,191 =======	\$ 969 , 956		

LONG-LIVED ASSETS

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. An asset's value is impaired if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows, undiscounted and without interest charges, to be generated by the asset are less than the carrying value of the asset. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income and historical trends, as well as the effects of demand and competition. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset. Such estimates require the use of judgment and numerous subjective assumptions, which if actual experience varies, could result in material differences in the requirements for impairment charges.

INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142 ("SFAS 142"), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which discontinues the amortization of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets that have indefinite lives. In accordance with SFAS 142, these assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis.

ACCRUED WARRANTIES

The Company provides a limited one year warranty against manufacturer's defects on its products sold to customers. The Company's standard warranties require the Company to repair or replace, at the Company's discretion, defective parts during such warranty period. The Company accrues for its product warranty liabilities based on estimates of costs to be incurred during the warranty period, based on historical repair information for warranty costs.

BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

The Company allocates the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. When acquisitions are deemed material by management, the Company engages independent third-party appraisal firms to assist in determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such a valuation requires management to make significant estimates and assumption, especially with respect to intangible assets.

45

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective July 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), using the modified prospective transition method. Under this transition method, stock based compensation expense for the year ended June 30, 2007 included compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of July 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimate in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123. On June 30, 2006, the Compensation Committee of the Company approved the acceleration of vesting of all of the outstanding stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The acceleration applied to all stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2006 under the Company's 1991 Stock Option Plan, 1992 Stock Option Plan, 1993 Stock Option Plan, 1999 Stock Option Plan and 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. Since all options issued prior to July 1, 2006 were accelerated, and therefore fully vested, there was no compensation expense recorded in fiscal year 2007 related to these options.

Stock-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards granted after July 1, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimate in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). As of June 30, 2007, there was \$127,052 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements under the plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of four years.

The Company measures compensation expense for its non-employee stock-based compensation under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services". The fair value of the option issued is used to measure the transaction, as this is more reliable than the fair value of the services received. Fair value is measured as the value of the Company's common stock on the date that the commitment for performance by the counterparty has been reached or the counterparty's performance is complete. The fair value of the equity instrument is charged directly to compensation expense and additional paid-in capital.

For the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 the Company reported stock-based compensation through the disclosure-only requirements of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 ("SFAS 123"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148 ("SFAS 148"), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure - an Amendment to FASB No. 123." Compensation expense for options is measured using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"). Under APB 25, because the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options is generally equal to the market price of the Company's underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recognized.

SFAS 123 establishes an alternative method of expense recognition for stock-based compensation awards based on fair values. The following table illustrates the impact on net income and earnings per share if the Company had

applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123.

46

	2006	2005	
Net (loss) income, as reported Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method	\$(1,985,940)	\$2,448,111	
for all awards, net of related tax effects	(288,848)	(539,026)	
Pro forma net (loss) income	\$(2,274,788) =======	\$1,909,085	
(Loss) earnings per share:			
Basic - as reported	\$ (0.323) ======		
Basic - pro forma	\$ (0.370) ======	\$ 0.327 ======	
Diluted - as reported	\$ (0.323) ======		
Diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.370) ======	\$ 0.306	

The Company has followed the guidelines of SFAS 123 to establish the valuation of its stock options. The fair value of these equity awards was estimated at the date of grant using these Black-Scholes option pricing method. For the purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the equity awards is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. For the purposes of applying SFAS 123, the estimated per share value of the options granted during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$5.52 and \$4.93, respectively. The fair value was estimated using the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0.0%; volatility ranging between 0.60 and 2.51; risk free interest ranging between 3.30% and 4.5%; and expected life of 10 years. The volatility assumption is based on volatility seen in the Company's stock over the last five years. This assumption was made according to the guidance of SFAS 123. There is no reason to believe that future volatility will compare to historic volatility.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

 $\,$ All research and development costs are charged to operations as incurred.

ADVERTISING COSTS

Advertising costs are charged to operations as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$134,811, \$279,670 and \$190,963 respectively.

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss)

by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. All outstanding stock options and warrants are considered potential common stock. The dilutive effect, if any, of stock options and warrants is calculated using the treasury stock method.

47

A reconciliation of the denominator of the basic and diluted earnings per share for the three years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

	2007	2007 2006		
Basic Weighted average shares outstanding Effect of diluted securities	6,374,929	6,152,455	5,831,564	
Stock Options and warrants	59,346	0	399,460	
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	6,434,275	6,152,455	6,231,024	
	=======			

As of June 30, 2006, the impact of all dilutive securities were omitted from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they reduce the loss per share (anti-dilutive). As of June 30, 2007, 2006, and 2005, 120,000 warrants, which were issued in March 2004 (see note 6), to purchase shares of Escalon common stock were outstanding. These warrants were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as the exercise price of the warrants exceeded the average share price of the Company's common stock for of the year ended June 30, 2005 making the warrants anti-dilutive.

INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted rates in effect in the years when those temporary differences are expected to reverse. The impact on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates, should a change occur, is recognized in income in the period that include the enactment date.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The Company reports comprehensive income in accordance with the provision of SFAS No.130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," which establishes standards for reporting comprehensive income and its component in financial statements. Comprehensive income, as defined, includes all changes in equity during a period from non-owner sources.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The Company translates the assets and liabilities of international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars at the current rates of exchange in effect as of each balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated using average rates in effect during the period. Gains and losses from translation adjustments are included in accumulated other comprehensive income on the consolidated balance sheet. Foreign currency transaction gains or losses are recognized in

current operations and have not been significant to the Company's operating results in any period. In addition, the effect of foreign currency rate changes on cash and cash equivalents has not been significant in any period.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, or FIN 48. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the enterprise's financial statements. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the

48

financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in the tax return. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect this interpretation will have on the Company's financial position, liquidity and statement of operations, but do not expect the effect to be significant.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 157 on the Company's consolidated financial statements. However, the Company does not expect the effect to be significant.

On September 29, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, and amendment of FASB Statements Nos. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), or FAS 158. FAS 158 requires companies to recognize a net liability or asset to report the funded status of their defined benefit pension and post retirement benefit plans. The Company does not have any defined benefit plans and therefore the effect of adoption has not had an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatement when Quantifying Misstatements in the Current Year Financial Statements," or SAB 108. SAB 108 was issued in order to eliminate the diversity in practice surrounding how public companies quantify financial statement misstatements. The Company does not expect SAB 108 will have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

3. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

GOODWILL, TRADEMARKS AND TRADE NAMES

Goodwill, trademarks and trade names represent intangible assets obtained from EOI, Endologix, Sonomed and Drew acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired.

The Company adopted SFAS 142 effective July 1, 2001. Under SFAS 142, goodwill and identified intangible assets that have indefinite lives are no longer amortized but reviewed for impairment annually or more frequently if certain indicators arise.

In accordance with SFAS 142, effective July 1, 2001, the Company discontinued the amortization of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets that have indefinite lives. Intangible assets that have finite lives continue to be amortized over their estimated useful lives. Management has evaluated the carrying value of goodwill and its identifiable intangible assets that have indefinite lives during each of the fiscal years subsequent to July 1, 2001, utilizing discounted cash flows of the respective business units. After evaluating the discounted cash flow of each of its respective business units, management concluded that the carrying value of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets did not exceed their fair values and therefore were not impaired. In accordance with SFAS 142, these intangible assets will continue to be assessed on an annual basis, and impairment, if any, would be recorded as a charge against income from operations.

49

The following table presents unamortized intangible assets by business unit as of June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	2007	2006
	NET	NET
	CARRYING	CARRYING
	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
GOODWILL		
Sonomed Drew Vascular	\$ 9,525,550 9,574,655 941,218	\$ 9,525,550 9,574,655 941,218
Medical/Trek/EMI	1,030,837	1,030,837
TOTAL	\$21,072,260	\$21,072,260
	========	========

	2007 NET CARRYING AMOUNT	2006 NET CARRYING AMOUNT
TRADEMARKS AND TRADENAMES		
Sonomed Medical/Trek/EMI	\$616,906 3,200	\$616,906 3,200
TOTAL	\$620 , 106	\$620 , 106

PATENTS

It is the Company's practice to seek patent protection on processes and products in various countries. Patent application costs are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful lives, not exceeding 17 years, on a straight-line basis from the date the related patents are issued. Costs

associated with patents no longer being pursued are expensed. Accumulated patent amortization was \$365,729 and \$263,799 at June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$98,404, \$75,150 and \$66,509, respectively.

Amortization expense, relating entirely to patents, is estimated to be approximately \$62,000 for 2008, \$42,000 for 2009 thru 2011 and \$21,000 for 2012.

COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE AND CUSTOMER LIST

The Company recorded the value of a covenant not to compete and a customer list as intangible assets as part of the acquisition of MRP (See note 12). The valuation was based on the fair market value of these assets at the time of acquisition. These assets are amortized over their estimate useful lives, not exceeding 5 years, on a straight-line basis from the date of acquisition. Accumulated amortization was \$116,109 and \$22,986 at June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$93,213, \$22,986 and \$0 respectively.

50

The following table presents amortized intangible assets by business unit as of June 30, 2007:

	GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	ADJUSTED GROSS CARRYING IMPAIRMENT AMOUNT		ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING VALUE	
AMORTIZED INTANGIBLE ASSET PATENTS	S					
Drew Vascular Medical/Trek/EMI	\$ 279,740 36,916 265,301	\$ 0 0 0		\$ (154,474) (36,916) (174,339)	0	
TOTAL	\$ 581,957 ======	\$ 0	\$ 581,957 ======	\$ (365,729)	\$ 216,228 	
COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE/ CUSTOMER LIST						
Medical/Trek/EMI	\$ 442,969	\$ 0	\$ 442,969	\$ (116,109)	\$ 326,860	
TOTAL	\$ 442,969 ======	\$ 0	\$ 442,969 ======	\$ (116,109) =======	\$ 326,860 ======	

Amortization expense, relating entirely to covenant not to compete and the customer list is estimated to be approximately \$93,000\$ each for the years 2008 thru 2010 and \$47,000\$ for 2011.

The following table presents amortized intangible assets by business unit as of June 30, 2006:

	GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	IMPAIRMENT	ADJUSTED GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING VALUE	
AMORTIZED INTANGIBLE ASSETS PATENTS						
Drew	\$ 275,284	\$ 0	\$ 275,284	\$ (90,955)	\$ 184,329	
Vascular	36,916			(18, 458)		
Medical/Trek/EMI	265,301	0	265,301	(154, 386)	110,915	
TOTAL	\$ 577 , 501	\$ 0	\$ 577 , 501	\$ (263,799)	\$ 313,702	
	=======	=======	=======	========	=========	
COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE/ CUSTOMER LIST						
Medical/Trek/EMI	\$ 442,969	\$ 0	\$ 442,969	\$ (22,896)	\$ 420,073	
TOTAL	\$ 442,969 =======	\$ 0	\$ 442 , 969	\$ (22,896)		

4. NOTE RECEIVABLE

In connection with a co-marketing agreement with Anka Systems, Inc. ("Anka"), in October 2005, the Company extended a \$400,000 loan to Anka (See note 17). Anka is an early stage privately held company. Under the terms of this note, repayment was due within six months after written demand or immediately upon an event of default. On February 16, 2006, the Company demanded repayment in accordance with the terms of the note. The note was paid in full in April 2006.

51

5. ACCRUED EXPENSES

The following table presents accrued expenses:

	JUN	NE 30, 2007	JUI	NE 30, 2006
Accrued compensation	\$	1,694,394	\$	1,260,139
Warranty accruals		255,740		255,740
Legal accruals		0		221,369
Other accruals		797 , 999		766,523
TOTAL ACCRUED EXPENSES	\$	2,748,133	\$	2,503,771
	===		===	

In addition to normal accruals, other accruals as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 relate to the remaining lease payments on a facility that ceased manufacturing operations prior to the Drew acquisition, accruals for litigation existing prior to the Drew acquisition, franchise and ad valorem tax accruals and other sundry operating expenses accruals.

Accrued compensation as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 primarily relates to payroll, bonus and vacation accruals, and payroll tax liabilities.

6. LONG-TERM DEBT

The Company has two long-term debt facilities through its Drew subsidiary: the Texas Mezzanine Fund and Symbiotics, Inc. The Texas Mezzanine Fund debt provided for interest at fixed rate of 8% per annum until July 1, 2005. The interest rate was then adjusted to the prime rate plus 4% per annum. Each June 1, the rate will be adjusted to the prime rate plus 4% per annum. The debt has a minimum interest rate of 8% per annum to a maximum interest rate of 18% per annum. The interest rate on the Texas Mezzanine Fund was 12% per annum and 10.25% per annum as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Drew is required to pay an additional interest payment to the Texas Mezzanine Fund of 1% of fiscal year revenues over \$11,500,000 as defined in a revenue participation agreement. The note is due in June 2008 and is secured by certain assets of Drew. The outstanding balance of the note was \$133,534 and \$278,717 as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Symbiotics, Inc. term debt, which originated from the acquisition of a product line from Symbiotics, Inc., is payable in monthly installments of \$8,333 with interest at a fixed rate of 5% per annum. The outstanding balance of this note was \$16,666 and \$116,671 as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The schedule below presents principal amortization for the next five years under each of the Company's loan agreements as of June 30, 2007:

TWELVE MONTHS ENDING JUNE 30,		EXAS ZANINE		SYMBIOTICS	 TOTAL
2008 2009	\$	133,534	\$	16,666	150 , 200 0
TOTAL	\$	133,534	\$ ===	16,666 ======	 150,200
	Curre	nt portion	of l	ong-term debt	150,200
	Long-	term portio	on		\$ 0

52

7. CAPITAL STOCK TRANSACTIONS

STOCK OPTION PLANS

As of June 30, 2007, Escalon had in effect five employee stock option plans which provide for incentive and non-qualified stock options. After accounting for shares issued upon exercise of options, a total of 1,281,352 shares of the Company's common stock remain available for issuance as of June 30, 2007. Under the terms of the plans, options may not be granted for less than the fair market value of the Common Stock at the date of grant. Vesting generally occurs ratably over five years and the option is exercisable over a period no longer than 10 years after the grant date. As of June 30, 2007,

options to purchase 810,227 shares of the Company's common stock were outstanding and exercisable, and 416,317 shares were reserved for future grants.

On June 30, 2006, the Compensation Committee of the Company approved the acceleration of vesting of all of the outstanding stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The acceleration applies to all stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2006 under the Company's 1991 Stock Option Plan, 1992 Stock Option Plan, 1993 Stock Option Plan, 1999 Stock Option Plan and 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.

The Company took this action in order to reduce the future compensation expense associated with unvested stock options following the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Share Based Payment (revised 2004) ("SFAS 123R"). The Company was required to apply the expense recognition provisions of SFAS 123R beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. As a result of the acceleration, the Company expects to reduce the stock option expense it otherwise would be required to record in connection with the accelerated options by approximately \$1.18 million over the original option vesting periods.

The Company's Board of Directors took this action with the belief that it is in the best interest of our shareholders, as it will reduce the Company's reported compensation expense in future periods. In addition, because some of these options have exercise prices in excess of the current market value, the Board also believed that these options might not have been fully achieving the Company's original objective of employee retention and incentive compensation. The senior officers of the Company who are subject to reporting under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 will be subject to the following restriction with respect to the sale of shares purchased upon exercise of a stock option whose vesting has been accelerated: Such sale shall be prohibited until the earlier of: (i) the date on which the option would otherwise have vested; (ii) twelve months from the date of the extension; or (iii) termination of employment.

53

The following is a summary of Escalon's stock option activity and related information for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

		2007			2006		
	COMMON STOCK OPTIONS	WEIGH AVER EXERCISE	AGE	COMMON STOCK OPTIONS	WEIGHT AVERAG EXERCISE	GE	C S OP
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	920,685	\$	5.31	847,210	\$	4.20	618
Granted	117,000	\$	2.65	318,400	\$	6.63	242
Exercised	(42,000)	\$	2.00	(121,183)	\$	2.22	(13
Forfeited	(130,650)	\$	5.52	(123,742)	\$	1.00	
Outstanding at the end of the year	865 , 035	\$	5.31	920,685	\$	5.31	===
Exercisable at the end of the year	810,227			920,685			

Weighted average fair value of options
granted during the year \$ 2.65 \$ 6.63

=======

=======

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2007:

	NUMBER OUTSTANDING AT JUNE, 30 2007	WEIGHTED AVERAGE REMAINING CONTRACTUAL LIFE (YEARS)	WEIGHTE AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE			
RANGE OF EXERCISE PRICES						
\$1.45 to \$ 2.12	120,981	3.24	\$ 1.8	8 120,981	\$	1.88
\$2.37 to \$ 2.77	243,675	6.58	\$ 2.6	2 188,867	\$	2.61
\$4.97 to \$ 5.59	74,000	8.28	\$ 5.0	5 74,000	\$	5.05
\$6.19 to \$ 6.19	168,250	7.08	\$ 6.1	9 168,250	\$	6.19
\$6.94 to \$ 8.06	258 , 129	7.47	\$ 7.4	258,129	\$	7.41
Total	865,035			810,227		

54

SALE OF COMMON STOCK AND WARRANTS

On March 17, 2004, the Company completed a \$10,400,000 private placement of common stock and common stock purchase warrants to accredited and institutional investors. The Company sold 800,000 shares of its common stock at \$13.00 per share. The investors also received warrants to purchase an additional 120,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$15.60 per share. If not exercised, the warrants expire on September 13, 2009. The securities were sold pursuant to the exemptions from registration of Rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933. The Company has subsequently filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, declared effective on April 20, 2004, to register for resale by the holders all of the common stock issued in conjunction with this private placement and common stock purchasable upon exercise of the warrants.

The net proceeds to the Company from the offering, after costs associated with the offering, of \$9,787,918, have been allocated among common stock and warrants based on their relative fair values. The Company used the Black-Sholes pricing model to determine the fair value of the warrants to be \$1,601,346.

8. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and

2005 consists of the following:

	2007	2006	2005	
Current income tax provision				
Federal State		\$ - 31,309		
	51,054	31,309		
Deferred income tax provision				
Federal State		(1,962,685) (460,383)		
Change in valuation allowance	(2,104,275)	(2,423,068)	(1,942,636)	
Income tax expense	\$ 51,054 ======	\$ 31,309 ======	\$ 231,664 =======	

Income taxes as a percentage of income for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 differ from statutory federal income tax rate due to the following:

	2007	2006
Statutory federal income tax rate	34.0%	-34.0%
Change in valuation allowance	-34.0%	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal and income tax impact Other		
Effective income tax rate	_	_

55

As of June 30, 2007, the Company had deferred income tax assets of \$12,347,516. The deferred income tax assets have been reduced by a \$10,607,531 valuation allowance. The valuation allowance is based on uncertainty with respect to the ultimate realization of net operating loss carryforwards.

The components of the net deferred tax income tax assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

		2007		2006
Deferred income tax assets:				
Net operating loss carryforward	\$, , -	\$	12,837,989
Accrued bonus		62 , 560		273 , 966
Executive post retirement costs		369 , 580		456 , 540
General business credit		450 , 199		450 , 199
Allowance for doubtful accounts		90,734		146,876
Accrued vacation		158 , 885		202,722
Inventory reserve		83,318		84,601
Accelerated depreciation		41,967		382 , 450
Warranty reserve		26,432		95 , 574
Total deferred income tax assets		12,347,516		14,930,826
Valuation allowance		(10,607,531)		(12,711,806)
		1,739,985		2,214,020
Deferred income tax liabilities:				
Accelerated amortization		(1,739,985)		(2,214,020)
Total deferred income tax liabilities		(1,739,985)		(2,214,020)
	\$		\$	
	==	========	==	========

As of June 30, 2007, the Company has a valuation allowance of \$10,607,531, which primarily relates to the federal net operating loss carryforwards. The valuation allowance is a result of management evaluating its estimates of the net operating losses available to the Company as they relate to the results of operations of acquired businesses subsequent to their being acquired by Escalon. The Company evaluates a variety of factors in determining the amount of the valuation allowance, including the Company's earnings history, the number of years the Company's operating loss and tax credits can be carried forward, the existence of taxable temporary differences, and near term earnings expectations. Future reversal of the valuation allowance will be recognized either when the benefit is realized or when it has been determined that it is more likely than not that the benefit will be realized through future earnings. Any tax benefits related to stock options that may be recognized in the future through reduction of the associated valuation allowance will be recorded as additional paid-in capital. The Company has available federal and state net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$31,856,000 and \$2,643,000, respectively, of which \$26,487,000 and \$1,048,000, respectively, will expire over the next ten years, and \$5,369,000 and \$1,595,000, respectively, will expire in years eleven through twenty. Not included in the \$31,856,000 federal net operating loss is approximately \$8.2 million federal NOL carry forward at June 30, 2007 which represents amounts that were transferred to the Company as a result of the acquisition of Drew. Use of this transferred NOL could be limited under Section 382 and can only be used against future Drew taxable income. Any tax benefit realized from such use would first reduce acquired goodwill.

The Company continues to monitor the realization of its deferred tax assets based on changes in circumstances, for example, recurring periods of income for tax purposes following historical periods of cumulative losses or changes in tax laws or regulations. The Company's income tax provision and management's assessment of the realizability of the Company's deferred tax assets involve significant judgments and estimates. If taxable income expectations change, in the near term the Company may be required to reduce the valuation allowance which would result in a material benefit to the Company's results of operations in the period in which the benefit is determined by the

Company.

56

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

COMMITMENTS

The Company leases its manufacturing, research and corporate office facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating lease arrangements. The future amounts to be paid under these arrangements as of June 30, 2007 are as follows:

TWELVE MONTHS ENDING	LEASE OBLIGATION		
IMELVE MONING ENDING	OBLIGATIONS		
2008	\$ 657,452		
2009	497,722 471,012		
2011	410,021		
2012	382 , 797		
Thereafter	327,086		
TOTAL	\$2,746,091		

Rent expense charged to operations during the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$866,000, \$890,000 and \$772,000, respectively.

CONTINGENCIES

ROYALTY AGREEMENT: CLINICAL DIAGNOSTICS SOLUTIONS

Drew and Clinical Diagnostics Solutions, Inc. ("CDS") entered into a Private Label Manufacturing Agreement dated April 1, 2002 for the right to sell formulations or products of CDS including reagents, controls and calibrators ("CDS products") on a private label basis. The agreement term is 15 years and automatically renews year-to-year thereafter. Drew is obligated to pay CDS a royalty of 7.5% on all sales of CDS products produced from Drew's United Kingdom facility.

INTRALASE CORP. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In 1997, Intralase and the Company entered into an agreement under which Intralase became the exclusive licensee of certain patents, technology and intellectual property owned by Escalon Medical. This agreement was amended and restated in October 2000. The original and amended license agreement is referred to as the "License Agreement." Disputes arose between the parties culminating in litigation between the parties.

On February 27, 2007 the Company entered into an agreement with Intralase to settle all outstanding disputes and litigation between the parties. Under the settlement agreement, Intralase made a lump-sum payment to the Company of \$9,600,000 in exchange for which all pending litigation between the parties was dismissed, the parties exchanged general releases, the Company transferred to Intralase its ownership of all patents and intellectual property formerly licensed to Intralase by the Company, and the license agreement was terminated.

In addition, the payment from Intralase satisfies all outstanding past, current and future royalties owed or alleged to be owed by Intralase to the Company.

57

INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH

Drew entered into a license agreement with the Institute of Child Health ("ICH") on May 10, 1993 to use ICH's intellectual property to manufacture, lease, sell, use and sublicense certain products and all related consumables used therein in the testing of blood and fluids. Under the license agreement Drew was to pay royalties to ICH on the products and consumables. On January 23, 2006, the Company received a letter from ICH alleging that Drew has failed to remit certain moneys due under the license agreement and has sought an accounting to determine such amount due.

Both parties continue to amicably negotiate a mutually agreeable solution to this matter. The Company does not believe that the ultimate disposition of this issue will have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company, from time to time is involved in various legal proceedings and disputes that arise in the normal course of business. These matters have included intellectual property disputes, contract disputes, employment disputes and other matters. The Company does not believe that the resolution of any of these matters has had or is likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

10. RETIREMENT AND POST-RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company adopted a 401(k) retirement plan effective January 1, 1994. Escalon employees become eligible for the plan commencing on the date of employment. Company contributions are discretionary, and no Company contributions have been made since the plan's inception.

On January 14, 2000, Escalon acquired Sonomed. Sonomed adopted a 401(k) retirement plan effective on January 1, 1993. This plan has continued subsequent to the acquisition and is available only to Sonomed employees. The Company's contribution for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$36,702, \$35,034\$ and \$24,928, respectively.

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired Drew. Drew adopted a 401(k) retirement plan effective on July 1, 1995. This plan has continued subsequent to the acquisition and is available only to Drew's United States employees. Company contributions are discretionary, and no contributions have been made since Drew was acquired by the Company. Drew also has two defined contribution retirement plans which were effective November 24, 2002 and February 1, 1992. These plans have continued subsequent to the acquisition and are available only to Drew's United Kingdom Employees. Drew contributions for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$29,794, \$10,000 and \$39,817, respectively.

On June 23, 2005, the Company entered into a Supplemental Executive Retirement Benefit Agreement with its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The agreement provides for the payment of supplemental retirement benefits to the covered executive in the event of his termination of services with the Company under the following circumstances.

- If the covered executive retires at age 65 or older, the Company would be obligated to pay the executive \$8,000 per month for life, with payments commencing the month after retirement. If the covered executive were to

die within a period of three years after such retirement, the Company would be obligated to continue making such payments until a minimum of 36 monthly payments have been made to the covered executive and his beneficiaries in the aggregate.

- If the covered executive dies before his retirement while employed by the Company, the Company would be obligated to make 36 monthly payments to his beneficiaries of \$8,000 per month commencing in the month after his death.

58

- If the covered executive were to become disabled while employed by the Company, the Company would be obligated to pay the executive \$8,000 per month for life, with payments commencing the month after he suffers such disability. If the covered executive were to die within three years after suffering such disability, the Company would be obligated to continue making such payments until a minimum of 36 monthly payments have been made to the covered executive and his beneficiaries in the aggregate.
- If the covered executive's employment with the Company is terminated by the Company, or if the executive terminates his employment with the Company for good reason, as defined in the agreement, the Company would be obligated to pay the executive \$8,000 per month for life. If the covered executive were to die within a period of three years after such termination, the Company would be obligated to continue making such payments until a minimum of 36 monthly payments have been made to the covered executive and his beneficiaries in the aggregate.

In fiscal 2005 the Company accrued \$1,087,000, which represents the present value of the supplemental retirement benefits awarded. This amount is accrued at June 30, 2007 and 2006.

11. SALE OF SILICONE OIL PRODUCT LINE, LICENSING OF LASER TECHNOLOGY AND OTHER REVENUE

SALE OF SILICONE OIL PRODUCT LINE

In the first quarter of fiscal 2000, Escalon received \$2,117,000 from the sale to Bausch & Lomb of its license and distribution rights for the Silicone Oil product line. This sale resulted in a \$1,864,000 gain after writing off the remaining net book value of license and distribution rights associated with that product line. The Company's contract to receive additional consideration based on sales of Silicone Oil by Bausch & Lomb expired on August 12, 2005.

The agreement with Bausch & Lomb, which commenced on August 13, 2000, was structured so that the Company received consideration from Bausch & Lomb based on its adjusted gross profit from its sales of Silicone Oil on a quarterly basis. The consideration was subject to a factor, which stepped down according to the following schedule:

From	8/13/00	to	8/12/01	100%
From	8/13/01	to	8/12/02	82%
From	8/13/02	to	8/12/03	72%
${\tt From}$	8/13/03	to	8/12/04	64%
From	8/13/04	to	8/12/05	45%

Royalties received from Silicone Oil during 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$0, \$203,000 and \$1,486,000, respectively.

INTRALASE: LICENSING OF LASER TECHNOLOGY

In 1997, Intralase and the Company entered into an agreement under which Intralase became the exclusive licensee of certain patents, technology and intellectual property owned by Escalon Medical. This agreement was amended and restated in October 2000. The original and amended license agreement is referred to as the "License Agreement." Disputes arose between the parties culminating in litigation between the parties.

As part of the settlement agreement described in footnote 9 of these financial statements, on February 27, 2007 the Company transferred to Intralase its ownership of all patents and intellectual property formerly licensed to Intralase by the Company, and the license agreement was terminated. In addition, the settlement payment from Intralase satisfies all outstanding past, current and future royalties owed or alleged to be owed by Intralase to the Company.

59

BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. ROYALTY

The royalty received from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. ("Bio-Rad") relates to a certain non-exclusive Eighth Amendment to an OEM Agreement ("OEM Agreement") between the Company's Drew subsidiary and Bio-Rad, dated July 19, 1994. Bio-Rad pays a royalty based on sales of certain of Drew's products in certain geographic regions.

The material terms of the OEM Agreement, provided:

- Drew receives an agreed royalty per test;
- Royalty payments will be made depending on the volume of tests provided by Bio-Rad. If less than 3,750 tests per month are provided by Bio-Rad, Bio-Rad will calculate the number of tests used on a quarterly basis in arrears and pay Drew within 45 days of the end of the quarter. If more than 3,750 tests per month are provided by Bio-Rad, Bio-Rad will pay an estimated monthly royalty and within 45 days of the end of the quarter will make final settlement upon the actual number of tests.

While the agreement, as amended by the Eighth Amendment, expired on May 15, 2005, the parties have continued to operate under the terms of the expired agreement pending negotiation of a potential extension and/or revision.

OTHER REVENUE

Other revenue includes quarterly payments received from:

- (1) Bausch & Lomb in connection with the sale of the Silicone Oil product line. This agreement expired August 12, 2005;
- (2) Royalty payments received from Intralase relating to the licensing of the Company's intellectual laser technology; and the settlement payment from Intralase described in footnote 9 of these financial statements in the amount of \$9,600,000
- (3) Royalty payments received from Bio-Rad.
- (4) Settlement payment from Intralase described in footnote 9 of these financial statements.

The following table presents other revenue received by the Company for the

years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	2007	2006	2005
ROYALTY INCOME:			
Bio-Rad royalty Baush and Lomb IntraLase royalty Settlement payment (see note 9)	\$ 242,826 0 1,102,216 9,600,000	\$ 283,000 203,000 1,761,000 0	\$ 240,000 1,486,000 1,334,000 0
TOTAL	\$10,945,042 ========	\$ 2,247,000	\$ 3,060,000

12. ACQUISITION OF DREW AND MRP, AND PRO FORMA RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired approximately 67% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, a United Kingdom company, pursuant to the Company's exchange offer for all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, and acquired all of the remaining Drew shares during fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The results of Drew's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements, and the Company has been operating Drew as an additional business unit since July 23, 2004.

60

The aggregate purchase price of Drew was \$8,525,966, net of acquired cash of \$151,996, consisting of direct acquisition costs of \$1,246,376, primarily for investment banking, legal and accounting fees that were directly related to the acquisition of Drew, and 900,000 shares of Escalon common stock valued at \$7,430,439. The value of the 900,000 shares issued was based on a five-day average of the market price of the stock (two days before through two days after the shares were exchanged).

The Company accounted for the purchase under FAS 141. Under FAS 141, the Company paid a premium (i.e., goodwill) over the fair value of the net tangible and identified intangible assets. The Company acquired Drew as part of its strategy to diversify its business into the diagnostic medical devices market. Drew afforded the Company a combined capital equipment product with a continuing revenue stream product in the form of disposables. The application of purchase accounting under FAS 141 requires that the total purchase price be allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the acquisition date, with amounts exceeding the fair values being recorded as goodwill. The allocation process requires an analysis of acquired fixed assets, contracts, customer lists and relationships, trademarks, patented technology, service markets, contractual commitments, legal contingencies and brand value to identify and record the fair value of all assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The Company engaged a third-party to value the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. In valuing acquired assets and assumed liabilities, fair values were based on expected discounted cash flows, current replacement cost or other techniques as deemed appropriate.

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation of estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition of Drew of July 23, 2004.

Current assets Furniture and equipment Patents Other long-term assets Goodwill	\$ 3,859,771 868,839 297,246 7,406 9,574,655
Total assets acquired	\$14,607,917
Line of credit Current liabilities Long-term debt	\$ 1,617,208 3,392,286 1,072,457
Total liabilities assumed	\$ 6,081,951
Net assets acquired	\$ 8,525,966

The following pro forma results of operations information has been prepared to give effect to the purchase of Drew as if such transaction had occurred at the beginning of the period being presented. The information presented is not necessarily indicative of results of future operations of the combined companies.

61

	2007	Fiscal year en 2006	
Revenues, net Cost of goods sold	\$ 38,837,780 15,771,254	16,003,904	13,158,061
Gross profit	23,066,526	13,786,794	
Operating expenses Other income and (expense)	· · · · · ·	16,822,984 1,081,559	
Net (loss) income before taxes	5,965,657	(1,954,631)	2,679,775
Provision for income taxes	51,054		231,664
Net (loss) income	\$ 5,914,603 =======	\$ (1,985,940) =======	\$ 2,448,111
Basic net (loss) income per share	\$ 0.928	\$ (0.323)	\$ 0.420
Diluted net (loss) income per share	\$ 0.919	\$ (0.323)	\$ 0.393
Weighted average shares - basic	6,374,929 =======	6,152,455 ======	5,831,564 ======
Weighted average shares - diluted	6,434,275	6,152,455	6,231,024

MRP ACQUISITION

On January 30, 2006 EMI acquired substantially all of the assets of MRP Group, Inc. ("MRP") in exchange for 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$47,000 in cash. The MRP business consists of ophthalmic technology solutions offering two retinal imaging systems. Approximately 200 of these systems have been installed at leading medical and retinal care centers. The operating results of MRP are included as part of the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit as of January 30, 2006.

The Company accounted for the purchase under FAS 141. Under FAS 141, the Company paid a premium (i.e., goodwill) over the fair value of the net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired to obtain a leading edge technology platform in the digital imaging marketplace. The application of purchase accounting under FAS 141 requires that the total purchase price be allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the acquisition date, with amounts exceeding the fair values being recorded as goodwill in the amount of \$905,807. The allocation process requires an analysis of acquired fixed assets, contracts, customer lists and relationships, trademarks, patented technology, service markets, contractual commitments, legal contingencies and brand value to identify and record the fair value of all assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The values of certain assets and liabilities are based on preliminary valuations and are subject to adjustment as additional information is obtained. The Company will have 12 months from the closing of the acquisition to finalize the valuation. Business unit disclosures and pro forma statement of operations data for 2006 and 2005 do not include MRP operations and assets as they are not material in relation to the consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation of estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition of MRP of January 30, 2006.

Current assets	\$ 143,569
Furniture and equipment	50,000
Patents and Trademarks	11,200
Covenant not to compete	319,609

62

Customer list	123,360
Goodwill	905,807
Total assets acquired	\$1,553,545
Current liabilities	78,984
Net assets acquired	\$1,474,561
	========

13. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company's operations are classified into five principal reporting segments for 2007 and 2006 and four principal reporting segments in 2005. In 2005, Medical/Trek and EMI were combined.

Table amounts in thousands:

63

SEGMENT STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (IN THOUSANDS) - TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

	2007	DREW 2006	2005	2007	SONOMED 2006	2005
REVENUES, NET:						
Product revenue Other revenue	\$ 11,627 243	\$ 14,253 283	\$ 11,294 240	\$ 9,823 	\$ 7 , 737	\$ 7,663 \$
TOTAL REVENUE, NET	11,870	14,536	11,534	9,823	7,737	7,663
COSTS AND EXPENSES: Cost of goods sold Operating expenses	7,681 7,830	9,225 7,740	7,554 5,211	4,976 3,779	3,962 3,670	3,115 3,593
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	15,511	16,965	12,765	8,755	7,632	6,708
(LOSS) INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	(3,641)	(2,429)	(1,231)	1,068	105	955
OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME: Gain on sale of available for sale securities Equity in OTM Interest income Interest expense	- - - (28)	- - - (63)	- - 4.00 (83)	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
TOTAL OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME	(28)	(63)	(79)			-
(LOSS) AND INCOME BEFORE TAXES	(3,669)	(2,492)	(1,310)	1,068	105	955
Income taxes	0	0	0	37	21	21
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$ (3,669)	\$ (2,492) ======	\$ (1,310) ======	\$ 1,031 ======	\$ 84	\$ 934 \$ ====================================
Depreciation and amortization Assets Expenditures for long-lived assets	\$ 320 \$ 17,569 \$ 269	\$ 271 \$ 17,205 \$ 167	\$ 213 \$ 7,977 \$ 26	\$ 19 \$ 14,073 \$ 0	\$ 13,381	\$ 24 \$ \$ 13,472 \$ \$ 23 \$

SEGMENT STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (IN THOUSANDS) - TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

		2007		EMI 2006	:			MEDICAL/TREK 2006		TREK 2005		
REVENUES, NET:												
Product revenue Other revenue	\$	1,484	\$	434	\$	0 –		1,492 10,702		1,480 1,964		1,727 2,820
TOTAL REVENUE, NET		1,484		434		0		12 , 194		3,444	_	4,547
COSTS AND EXPENSES: Cost of goods sold Operating expenses		711 830		290 635		0		1,011 2,722		992 2,668	_	1,058 3,897
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES		1,541		925		0		3 , 733		3,660	_	4 , 955
(LOSS) INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		(57)		(491)		0		8,461		(216)	_	(408)
OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME:											_	
Gain on sale of available for sale securities Equity in OTM		-		_		_		75.00 (88)		1,157 (174)		3,412 (64)
Interest income Interest expense		- -		_ _		_ _		208		162		66
TOTAL OTHER (EXPENSE) AND INCOME		0		0		0		195		1,145	-	3,443
(LOSS) AND INCOME BEFORE TAXES		(57)		(491)		0		8,656		929	_	3,035
Income taxes								14		10		211
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$	(57)	\$	(491)	\$	0		8,642	\$	919	\$	2,824
Depreciation and amortization Assets Expenditures for	== \$ \$	107 1,907	== \$ \$	33 1,750	\$	0	== \$ \$	85 9 , 619	== \$ \$	63 2 , 471	\$	106 16,426
long-lived assets	\$	0	\$	56	\$	0	\$	9	\$	82	\$	44

The Company operates in the healthcare market, specializing in the development manufacture and marketing of (1) ophthalmic medical devices and pharmaceuticals; (2) in-vitro diagnostic ("IVD")

64

instrumentation and consumables for use in human and veterinary hematology; and (3) vascular access devices. The business segments reported above are the segments for which separate financial information is available and for which operating results are evaluated regularly by executive management in deciding how to allocate resources and assessing performance. The accounting policies of the business segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. For the purposes of this illustration, corporate expenses, which consist primarily of executive management and administrative support functions, are allocated across the business segments

based upon a methodology that has been established by the Company, which includes a number of factors and estimates and that has been consistently applied across the business segments. These expenses are otherwise included in the Medical/Trek business unit.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, Drew derived its revenue from the sale of instrumentation and consumables for blood cell counting and blood analysis in the areas of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and human and veterinary hematology. Sonomed derived its revenue from the sale of A-Scans, B-Scans and pachymeters. These products are used for diagnostic or biometric applications in ophthalmology. Vascular derived its revenue from the sale of PD Access(TM) and SmartNeedle(TM) monitors, needles and catheter products. These products are used by medical personnel to assist in gaining access to arteries and veins in difficult cases. Medical/Trek derived its revenue from the sale of ISPAN(TM) gas products, various disposable ophthalmic surgical products, revenue derived from Bausch & Lomb's sale of Silicone Oil (the contract for which expired on August 12, 2005) and from royalty revenue related to Increase's licensing of the Company's intellectual laser technology. EMI derived its revenue CFA digital imaging systems and related products.

No customer represented more than 10% of consolidated revenue during the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Of the external revenue reported above, the following amounts were derived internationally during the years ended June 30:

2007	2006	2005
,177,708	\$ 8,471,608	\$ 5,616,000
,901,532	4,316,856	3,818,000
126,854	226,665	323,000
8,900		
40,400	29 , 671	48,000
,255,394	\$13,044,800	\$ 9,805,000
	,177,708 s,901,532 126,854 8,900 40,400	,177,708 \$ 8,471,608 ,901,532 4,316,856 126,854 226,665 8,900 40,400 29,671

14. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Escalon and a member of the Company's Board of Directors are founding and equal members of Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC ("OTM"). OTM is a diagnostic telemedicine company providing remote examination, diagnosis and management of disorders affecting the human eye. OTM's initial solution focuses on the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy by creating access and providing annual dilated retinal examinations for the diabetic population. OTM was founded to harness the latest advances in telecommunications, software and digital imaging in order to create greater access and a more successful disease management for populations that are susceptible to ocular disease. Through June 30, 2007, Escalon had invested \$288,000 in OTM and owned 45% of OTM. The members of OTM have agreed to review the operations of OTM after 24 months of operations which began in April 2004, at which time the members each have the right to sell their membership back to OTM at fair market value. Such sale would be subject to OTM's ability to buy back the membership. The members met in May 2006 and decided to continue the operations of OTM, emphasizing that all additional funding will be provided pro-rata consistent with membership percentage ownership. The Company will provide administrative support functions to OTM. For the years ended 2007, 2006 and 2005 the Company recorded losses of \$87,852, \$173,844 and \$63,613, respectively.

65

Two relatives of a senior executive officer have provided legal services as either an employee or a consultant to the Company. Richard DePiano, Jr. (son of the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO")) is Chief Operating Officer and General Counsel to the Company, Mr. DePiano's salary plus bonus for the years 2007, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$185,000, \$180,000 and \$165,000, respectively. Caryn Lindsey (daughter-in-law of the CEO) acted as a consultant and employee for the Company during 2006 and 2005. Ms. Lindsey in 2007, 2006 and 2005 received consulting fees and salary of \$ 0, \$110,939 and \$118,000, respectively. Also, in 2005 3,000 options to purchase common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$4.97 per share were granted to Ms. Lindsey.

15. INTRALASE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERING AND SALE OF INTRALASE COMMON STOCK

In October 1997, Escalon licensed its intellectual laser properties to Intralase in exchange for an equity interest of 252,535 shares of Common Stock (as adjusted for splits), as well as royalties on future product sales. The Company has historically accounted for these shares a \$0 basis because a readily determinable market value was previously not available. On October 7, 2004, Intralase announced the initial public offering of shares of its common stock at a price of \$13.00 per share. The shares of common stock were restricted for a period of less than one year and were permitted to be sold after April 6, 2005 pursuant to a certain Fourth Amended Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and Intralase. During 2007, Intralase accepted a \$25 per share tender offer for all its outstanding shares, as such,, Escalon received \$75,000 for its remaining holdings in Intralase of 3,000 shares.. The Company sold 58,355 shares of Intralase common stock in July 2005 at \$19.8226 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$1,160,316. After paying broker commissions and other fees of \$2,980, the Company received net proceeds of \$1,157,335. The Company sold 191,000 shares of Intralase common stock in May 2005 at \$17.9134 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$3,421,459. After paying broker commissions and other fees of \$9,698, the Company received net proceeds of \$3,411,761. During 2007, Intralase accepted a \$25 per share tender offer for all of its outstanding shares. In April 2007, the Company received \$75,000 for its remaining 3,000 shares of Intralase stock. The net proceeds from the sales were recorded in other income and expense. As of June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company had -0- and 3,000 shares, respectively of Intralase that were classified as available-for-sale securities and had a market value of \$-0- and \$50,220.

16. ANKA CO-MARKETING AGREEMENT

On October 11, 2005 the Company signed a non-exclusive co-marketing agreement with privately held Anka, a provider of web-based connectivity solutions for the ophthalmic physician. Anka's connectivity solutions are used in major eye healthcare centers and provide seamless integration of data from various clinical modalities commonly used in eye healthcare settings. The co-marketing agreement will enable the Company to jointly market its existing digital imaging hardware with Anka's connectivity solutions. By integrating the sales and marketing efforts, the alliance should provide economies of operation and a greater market reach. Anka is an early stage privately held company located in the Washington, D.C. area.

In connection with the co-marketing agreement, Company extended a \$300,000 loan in October 2005 and an additional loan of \$100,000 in January 2006, pursuant to demand notes, to Anka. Under the terms of these notes, repayment is due within six months after written demand or immediately upon an event of default. On February 16, 2006, the Company demanded repayment in accordance with the terms of the notes and expects timely repayment. The loan was paid in full in April 2006.

66

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

NONE

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K annual report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act and the Company's controls and procedures are also effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fourth fiscal quarter of 2007 that would have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 404

Under the rules and regulations of the SEC, the Company is currently not required to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 until the Company files its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company's fiscal year ending June 30, 2008, so long as the Company continues to meet the definition of a non-accelerated filer. In the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending June 30, 2008, the Company's management will be required to provide an assessment as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which assessment will be deemed furnished to rather than filed with the SEC. In the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending June 30, 2009 and for each fiscal year thereafter, the Company's management will be required to provide an assessment as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm will be required to provide an attestation as to the Company's management's assessment, which assessment and attestation will be filed with the SEC. The assessment and attestation processes required by Section 404 are relatively new to the Company. Accordingly, the Company may encounter problems or delays in completing the Company's obligations and receiving an unqualified report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

While the Company believes that we will be able to timely meet our obligations under Section 404 and that the Company's management will be able to

certify as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, there is no assurance that we will do so. If the Companyis unable to timely comply with Section 404, the Company's management is unable to certify as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting or the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is unable to attest to that certification, the price of the Company's common stock may be adversely affected. Even if the Company timely meets the certification and attestation requirements of Section 404, it is possible that the Company's independent registered public accounting firm will advise the Company that they have identified significant deficiencies and/or material weaknesses.

67

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

NONE

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Item 10 will be provided by incorporating the information required under such item by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K annual report, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K annual report under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120-day period.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Item 11 will be provided by incorporating the information required under such item by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K annual report, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K annual report under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120-day period.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Item 12 will be provided by incorporating the information required under such item by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K annual report, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K annual report under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120-day period..

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Item 13 will be provided by incorporating the information required under such item by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K annual report, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K annual report under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such $120-{\rm day}$ period.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Item 14 will be provided by incorporating the information required under such item by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K annual report, or, alternatively, by amendment to this Form 10-K annual report under cover of Form 10-K/A no later than the end of such 120-day period.

68

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K:

(1) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries are included in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 and 2006

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 20, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended June 20, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 20, 2007, 2006 and 2005 $\,$

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is not applicable or the information is included in our Consolidated Financial Statements or the related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

69

(3) EXHIBITS

The following is a list of exhibits filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, where so indicated by footnote, exhibits, which were previously filed, are incorporated by reference. For exhibits incorporated by reference, the location of the exhibit in the previous filing is indicated parenthetically, followed by the footnote reference to the previous filing.

- 3.1 (a) Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant. (8)
 - (b) Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of September 28, 2001 between Escalon Pennsylvania, Inc. and Escalon Medical Corp. (8)
- 3.2 Bylaws of Registrant. (8)
- 4.5 (a) Warrant Agreement between Registrant and U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation. (1)
 - (b) Amendment to Warrant Agreement between the Registrant and U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation. (2)
 - (c) Amendment to Warrant Agreement between the Registrant and American

Stock Transfer Corporation. (3)

- 4.6 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 31, 1997 by and among the Registrant and Combination. (4)
- 4.7 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 31, 1997 by and among the Registrant and Combination. (4)
- 4.8 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued December 31, 1997 to David Stefansky. (4)
- 4.9 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued December 31, 1997 to Combination. (4)
- 4.10 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued December 31, 1997 to Richard Rosenblum. (4)
- 4.11 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued December 31, 1997 to Trautman, Kramer & Company. (4)
- 10.6 Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Richard J. DePiano dated May 12, 1998. (6)**
- 10.7 Non-Exclusive Distributorship Agreement between Registrant and Scott Medical Products dated October 12, 2000. (9)
- 10.9 Assets Sale and Purchase Agreement between the Registrant and Endologix, Inc. dated January 21, 1999. (5)
- 10.13 Supply Agreement between the Registrant and Bausch & Lomb Surgical, Inc. dated August 13, 1999. (5)
- 10.15 Registrant's Amendment and Supplement Agreement and Release between the Registrant and Endologix, Inc. dated February 28, 2001. (10)
- 10.16 2003 Amendment to Loan Agreement. (12)
- 10.17 Allonge to the Amended and Restated Term/Time Note. (12)
- 10.18 Allonge to the Amended and Restated Line of Credit Note. (12)
- 10.20 PNC Bank, N.A. Letter Agreement dated November 16, 2001. (11)
- 10.21 PNC Bank, N.A. Amended and Restated Committed Line of Credit Note dated November 16, 2001. (11)
- 10.22 PNC Bank, N.A. Amended and Restated Time Note dated November 16, 2001. (11)
- 10.23 PNC Bank, N.A. Pledge Agreement dated November 16, 2001. (11)
- 10.24 PNC Bank, N.A. Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated November $16,\ 2001.\ (11)$
- 10.29 Registrant's amended and restated 1999 Equity Incentive Plan. (13) **
- 10.30 Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of March 16, 2004 (the "Securities Purchase Agreement") between the Company and the Purchasers signatory thereto. (14)
- 10.31 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 16, 2004 between the Company and the Purchasers signatory thereto. (14)

- 10.32 Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to each Purchaser under the Securities Purchase Agreement. (14)
- 10.33 Manufacturing Supply and Distribution Agreement between Sonomed, Inc. and Ophthalmic Technologies, Inc. dated as of March 11, 2004. (15)

70

- 10.34 Supplemental Executive Retirement Benefit Agreement for Richard DePiano dated June 23, 2005. (16)**
- 10.35 Settlement Agreement with Intralase Corp, dated February 27, 2007 (*).
- 21 Subsidiaries. (11)
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (*).
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (*).
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (*).
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (*).
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (*).

- * Filed herewith.
- ** Management contract of compensatory plan.
- (1) Filed as an exhibit to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 dated November 9, 1993 (Registration No. 33-69360).
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 1994.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 1995.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated January 20, 1998 (Registration No. 333-44513).
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 1999.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K/A, dated March 31, 2000.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form s-* dated February 25, 2000 (Registration No. 333-31138).
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, as filed by the Company with the SEC on September 21, 2001.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended

June 30, 2001.

- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001.
- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-KSB/A for the year ended June 30, 2002.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2002.
- (13) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2003.
- (14) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form s_3 dated April 8, 2004 (Registration No. 333-114332).
- (15) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
- (16) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Form 8-K, dated June 23, 2005.

71

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Escalon Medical Corp. (Registrant)

By: /s/ Richard J. DePiano
----Richard J. DePiano
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: September 28, 2007

Jay L. Federman

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

4	Richard J. DePiano Richard J. DePiano	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and Director	September	28,	2007
By: /s/	Robert M. O'Connor Robert M. O'Connor	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	September	28,	2007
By: /s/	Anthony Coppola	Director	September	28,	2007
By: /s/	Anthony Coppola Jay L. Federman	Director	September	28,	2007

By:	/s/	William L.G. Kwan	Director	September	28,	2007
		William L.G. Kwan				
By:	/s/	Lisa Napolitano	Director	September	28,	2007
		Lisa Napolitano				
By:	/s/	Fred Choate	Director	September	28,	2007
		Fred Choate				