MID PENN BANCORP INC
Form 10-K
March 25, 2013

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-13677

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Pennsylvania	25-1666413
(State or Other Jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation or Organization)	Identification Number)
349 Union Street	
Millersburg, Pennsylvania	17061

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code 717.692.2133

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, $1.00	The NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing price of the common equity of $10.95 per share, as reported by NASDAQ, on June 29, 2012, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately $38,184,074.

As of February 15, 2013, the registrant had 3,489,684 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is incorporated herein by reference in partial response to Part III, hereof.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
PART I		
Item 1 -	Business	3
Item 1A -	Risk Factors	12
Item 1B -	Unresolved Staff Comments	16
Item 2 -	Properties	17
Item 3 -	Legal Proceedings	17
Item 4 -	Mine Safety Disclosures	17
PART II		
Item 5 -	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters And Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	18
Item 6 -	Selected Financial Data	20
Item 7 -	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21

Item 7A -	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	42
Item 8 -	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	43
Item 9 -	Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	87
Item 9A -	Controls and Procedures	87
Item 9B -	Other Information	87
PART III		
Item 10 -	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	88
Item 11 -	Executive Compensation	88
Item 12 -	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	88
Item 13 -	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	88

Item 14 -	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	88
PART IV		
Item 15 -	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	89
		91
	Signatures	
EXHIBITS		92

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The disclosures set forth in this Item are qualified by the section captioned "Special Cautionary Notice Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" contained in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. is a one-bank holding company, incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in August 1991. Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries are collectively referred to herein as "Mid Penn" or the "Corporation." On December 31, 1991, Mid Penn acquired, as part of the holding company formation, all of the outstanding common stock of Mid Penn Bank, and the Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of Mid Penn. Mid Penn's other wholly owned subsidiaries are Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC and Mid Penn Investment Corporation. Mid Penn's primary business is to supervise and coordinate the business of its subsidiaries and to provide them with capital and resources.

Mid Penn Investment Corporation engaged in investing activities. A decision was made to close the Mid Penn Investment Corporation, effective August 31, 2010 due to lack of activity within the subsidiary.

In August of 2010, Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC was revived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mid Penn Bank to provide a wide range of personal and commercial insurance products.

Mid Penn's consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist almost entirely of that of Mid Penn Bank, which is managed as a single business segment. At December 31, 2012, Mid Penn had total consolidated assets of $705,200,000, total deposits of $625,461,000, and total shareholders' equity of $52,220,000.

As of December 31, 2012, Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. did not own or lease any properties. Mid Penn Bank owns or leases the banking offices as identified in Part I, Item 2.

All Mid Penn employees are employed by Mid Penn Bank. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had 185 full-time and 19 part-time employees. The Bank and its employees are not subject to a collective bargaining agreement, and the Bank believes it enjoys good relations with its personnel.

Mid Penn Bank

Millersburg Bank, the predecessor to Mid Penn Bank (the "Bank"), was organized in 1868, and became a state chartered bank in 1931, obtaining trust powers in 1935, at which time its name was changed to Millersburg Trust Company. In 1962, the Lykens Valley Bank merged with and into Millersburg Trust Company. In 1971, Farmer's State Bank of Dalmatia merged with Millersburg Trust Company and the resulting entity adopted the name "Mid Penn Bank." In 1985, the Bank acquired Tower City National Bank. In 1998, Mid Penn acquired Miners Bank of Lykens, which was merged into Mid Penn Bank. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation supervise the Bank. Mid Penn's and the Bank's legal headquarters are located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061. The Bank presently has 14 offices located in Dauphin, Northumberland, Schuylkill, and Cumberland Counties, Pennsylvania.

Mid Penn's primary business consists of attracting deposits and loans from its network of community banking offices operated by the Bank. The Bank engages in full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services, including, but not limited to, installment loans, personal loans, mortgage and home equity loans, secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, lines of credit, construction financing, farm loans, community development and local government loans and various types of time and demand deposits. Deposits of the Bank are insured by the Bank Insurance Fund of the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. In addition, the Bank provides a full range of trust and retail investment services. The Bank also offers other services such as Internet banking, telephone banking, cash management services, automated teller services and safe deposit boxes.

In addition, the Bank has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC, which provides a wide range of personal and commercial insurance products.

Business Strategy

The Bank engages in a full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services. These services are provided to small and middle-market businesses, high net worth individuals, and retail consumers through 14 full service banking facilities. The Bank seeks to develop long-term customer relationships, maintain high quality service and provide quick responses to customer needs. Mid Penn believes that an emphasis on local relationship building and its conservative approach to lending are important factors in the success and growth of Mid Penn.

3

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

The Bank seeks credit opportunities of good quality within its target market that exhibit positive historical trends, stable cash flows and secondary sources of repayment from tangible collateral. The Bank extends credit for the purpose of obtaining and continuing long-term relationships. Lenders are provided with detailed underwriting policies for all types of credit risks accepted by the Bank and must obtain appropriate approvals for credit extensions in excess of conservatively assigned lending limits. The Bank also maintains strict documentation requirements and extensive credit quality assurance practices in order to identify credit portfolio weaknesses as early as possible so any exposures that are discovered might be reduced.

Lending Activities

The Bank offers a variety of loan products to its customers, including loans secured by real estate, commercial and consumer loans. The Bank's lending objectives are as follows:

- to establish a diversified commercial loan portfolio; and
- to provide a satisfactory return to Mid Penn's shareholders by properly pricing loans to include the cost of funds, administrative costs, bad debts, local economic conditions, competition, customer relationships, the term of the loan, credit risk, collateral quality and a reasonable profit margin.

Credit risk is managed through portfolio diversification, underwriting policies and procedures and loan monitoring practices. The Bank generally secures its loans with real estate with such collateral values dependent and subject to change based on real estate market conditions within its market area. As of December 31, 2012, the Bank's highest concentration of credit is in Commercial Real Estate. Most of the Bank's business activity with customers was located in Central Pennsylvania, specifically in Dauphin, lower Northumberland, western Schuylkill, and eastern Cumberland Counties.

Investment Activities

Mid Penn's investment portfolio is used to improve earnings through investments of funds in higher-yielding assets than overnight funding alternatives, while maintaining asset quality, which provides the necessary balance sheet liquidity for Mid Penn. Mid Penn does not have any significant concentrations within investment securities.

Mid Penn's entire portfolio of investment securities is considered available for sale. As such, the investments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Mid Penn's investments include US Treasury, agency and municipal securities that are given a market price relative to investments of the same type with similar maturity dates. As the interest rate environment changes, Mid Penn's fair value of existing securities will change. This difference in value, or unrealized gain, amounted to $3,685,000, as of December 31, 2012. A majority of the investments are high quality

United States and municipal securities that, if held to maturity, are expected to result in no loss to the Bank.

For additional information with respect to Mid Penn's business activities, see Part II, Item 7 of this report, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Sources of Funds

The Bank primarily uses deposits and borrowings to finance lending and investment activities. Borrowing sources include advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh and overnight borrowings from the Bank's customers and correspondent banks. All borrowings, except for lines of credit with the Bank's correspondent banks, require collateral in the form of loans or securities. Collateral levels, therefore, limit borrowings and the available lines of credit extended by the Bank's creditors. As a result, deposits remain critical to the future funding and growth of the business. Deposit growth within the banking industry has been subject to strong competition from a variety of financial services companies. This competition may require financial institutions to adjust their product offerings and pricing to adequately grow deposits.

Competition

The banking business is highly competitive, and the profitability of Mid Penn depends principally upon the Bank's ability to compete in its market area. The Bank actively competes with other financial services companies for deposit, loan, and trust business. Competitors include other commercial banks, credit unions, savings banks, savings and loan associations, insurance companies, securities brokerage firms, finance companies, mutual funds, and service alternatives via the Internet. Financial institutions compete primarily on the quality of services rendered, interest rates on loans and deposits, service charges, the convenience of banking facilities, location and hours of operation and, in the case of loans to larger commercial borrowers, relative lending limits.

Many competitors are significantly larger than the Bank and have significantly greater financial resources, personnel and locations from which to conduct business. In addition, the Bank is subject to banking regulations while certain competitors may not be. There are relatively few barriers for companies wanting to enter into the financial services industry. For more information, see the "Supervision and Regulation" section below.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Mid Penn has been able to compete effectively with other financial institutions by emphasizing customer service. Mid Penn's customer service model is based on convenient hours, efficient and friendly employees, local decision making, and quality products. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (see discussion below), which breaks down many barriers between the banking, securities and insurance industries, may significantly affect the competitive environment in which Mid Penn operates.

The flow of cash into mutual funds, much of which is made through tax deferred investment vehicles such as 401(k) plans, and a generally strong economy, have, until recently, fueled high returns for these investments, in particular, certain equity funds. The recent economic turmoil has negatively impacted the returns on many of these investments and impacted the manner in which investors distribute their funds across investment alternatives. The safety of traditional bank products has again become an attractive option during this period of market volatility. Mid Penn's ability to attract funds in the future will be impacted by the public's appetite for the safety of insured or local investments versus the returns offered by alternative choices as part of their personal investment mix.

Supervision and Regulation

General

Bank holding companies and banks are extensively regulated under both Federal and state laws. The regulation and supervision of Mid Penn and the Bank are designed primarily for the protection of depositors, the Deposit Insurance Fund, and the monetary system, and not Mid Penn or its shareholders. Enforcement actions may include the imposition of a conservator or receiver, cease-and-desist orders and written agreements, the termination of insurance on deposits, the imposition of civil money penalties, and removal and prohibition orders. If a banking regulator takes any enforcement action, the value of an equity investment in Mid Penn could be substantially reduced or eliminated.

Federal and state banking laws contain numerous provisions affecting various aspects of the business and operations of Mid Penn and the Bank. Mid Penn is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Federal Reserve Board, and the Bank is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The following descriptions of and references to applicable statutes and regulations are not intended to be complete descriptions of these provisions or their effects on Mid Penn or the Bank. They are summaries only and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations.

Holding Company Regulation

Mid Penn is a registered bank holding company subject to supervision and regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"). As such, it is subject to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 ("BHCA") and many of the Federal Reserve's regulations promulgated thereunder. The Federal Reserve has broad enforcement powers over bank holding companies, including the power to impose substantial fines and civil penalties.

The BHCA requires Mid Penn to file an annual report with the Federal Reserve regarding the holding company and its subsidiary bank. The Federal Reserve Board also makes examinations of the holding company. The Bank is not a member of the Federal Reserve System; however, the Federal Reserve possesses cease-and-desist powers over bank holding companies and their subsidiaries where their actions would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or violation of law. The Federal Reserve Board also makes policy that guides the declaration and distribution of dividends by bank holding companies.

The BHCA restricts a bank holding company's ability to acquire control of additional banks. In addition, the BHCA restricts the activities in which bank holding companies may engage directly or through non-bank subsidiaries.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLB") became effective on March 11, 2000. The primary purpose of GLB was to eliminate barriers between investment banking and commercial banking and to permit, within certain limitations, the affiliation of financial service providers. Generally, GLB:

- repealed the historical restrictions against, and eliminated many federal and state law barriers to affiliations among banks, securities firms, insurance companies and other financial service providers;
- provided a uniform framework for the activities of banks, savings institutions and their holding companies;
- broadened the activities that may be conducted by and through national banks and other banking subsidiaries of bank holding companies;
- provided an enhanced framework for protecting the privacy of consumers' information;
- adopted a number of provisions related to the capitalization, membership, corporate governance and other measures designed to modernize the Federal Home Loan Bank System;
- modified the laws governing the implementation of the Community Reinvestment Act; and
- addressed a variety of other legal and regulatory issues affecting both day-to-day operations and long-term activities of financial institutions.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

More specifically, under GLB, bank holding companies, such as Mid Penn, that meet certain management, capital, and Community Reinvestment Act standards, are permitted to become financial holding companies and, by doing so, to affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and to engage in other activities that are financial in nature, incidental to such financial activities, or complementary to such activities. A bank holding company may become a financial holding company if each of its subsidiary banks is well capitalized under the FDIC Improvement Act's prompt corrective action provisions, is well managed and has at least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act. The required filing is a declaration that the bank holding company wishes to become a financial holding company and meets all applicable requirements. Mid Penn has not elected to become a financial holding company at this time.

No prior regulatory approval will be required for a financial holding company to acquire a company, other than a bank or savings association, engaged in activities permitted under GLB. Activities cited by GLB as being financial in nature include:

- securities underwriting, dealing and market making;
- sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;
- insurance underwriting and agency;
- merchant banking activities; and
- activities that the Federal Reserve has determined to be closely related to banking.

In addition to permitting financial services providers to enter into new lines of business, the law allows firms the freedom to streamline existing operations and to potentially reduce costs. The Act may increase both opportunity as well as competition. Many community banks are less able to devote the capital and management resources needed to facilitate broad expansion of financial services including insurance and brokerage services.

Corporate Governance

On July 30, 2002, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was enacted. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, auditor independence and accounting standards, executive compensation, insider loans, whistleblower protection, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act is applicable to all companies with equity securities registered or that file reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established:

- new requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise and responsibilities;
- additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company;
- new standards for auditors and regulation of audits;
-

increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and

- new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violations of the securities laws.

The SEC and NASDAQ have adopted numerous rules implementing the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act that affect Mid Penn. The changes are intended to allow shareholders to monitor more effectively the performance of companies and management.

Bank Regulation

The Bank, a Pennsylvania-chartered institution, is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the FDIC. The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC to the extent provided by law. The FDIC assesses deposit insurance premiums the amount of which may, in the future, depend in part on the condition of the Bank. Moreover, the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance of the Bank under certain circumstances. The Bank regulatory agencies have broad enforcement powers over depository institutions under their jurisdiction, including the power to terminate deposit insurance, to impose fines and other civil and criminal penalties, and to appoint a conservator or receiver if any of a number of conditions is met. In addition, the Bank is subject to a variety of local, state and federal laws that affect its operations.

Banking regulations include, but are not limited to, permissible types and amounts of loans, investments and other activities, capital adequacy, branching, interest rates on loans and the safety and soundness of banking practices.

Capital Requirements

Under risk-based capital requirements for bank holding companies, Mid Penn is required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance-sheet activities, such as standby letters of credit) of eight percent. At least half of the total capital is to be composed of common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill ("Tier 1 Capital" and together with Tier 2 Capital, Total Capital"). The remainder may consist of subordinated debt, non-qualifying preferred stock and a limited amount of the loan loss allowance ("Tier 2 Capital").

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has established minimum leverage ratio requirements for bank holding companies. These requirements provide for a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 Capital to adjusted average quarterly assets ("leverage ratio") equal to 3% for bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria, including having the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies will generally be required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4-5%. The requirements also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Furthermore, the requirements indicate that the Federal Reserve Board will continue to consider a "Tangible Tier 1 Leverage Ratio" (deducting all intangibles) in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activity. The Federal Reserve Board has not advised Mid Penn of any specific minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio.

The Bank is subject to similar capital requirements adopted by the FDIC. The FDIC has not advised the Bank of any specific minimum leverage ratios.

The capital ratios of Mid Penn and the Bank are described in Note 17 to Mid Penn's Consolidated Financial Statements, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Banking regulators continue to indicate their desire to further develop capital requirements applicable to banking organizations. Changes to capital requirements could materially affect the profitability of Mid Penn or the fair value of Mid Penn stock.

FDIC Improvement Act

As a result of the FDIC Improvement Act of 1991, banks are subject to increased reporting requirements and more frequent examinations by the bank regulatory agencies. The agencies also have the authority to dictate certain key decisions that formerly were left to management, including compensation standards, loan underwriting standards, asset growth, and payment of dividends. Failure to comply with these standards, or failure to maintain capital above specified levels set by the regulators, could lead to the imposition of penalties or the forced resignation of management. If a bank becomes critically undercapitalized, the banking agencies have the authority to place an institution into receivership.

Safety and Soundness Standards

Pursuant to FDICIA, the federal banking regulatory agencies have adopted a set of guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards for depository institutions such as the Bank. The guidelines establish general standards relating

to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If the institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails to implement an accepted plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions be taken, including restricting asset growth, restricting interest rates paid on deposits, and requiring an increase in the institution's ratio of tangible equity to assets.

Payment of Dividends and Other Restrictions

Mid Penn is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiary, the Bank. There are various legal and regulatory limitations on the extent to which the Bank can, among other things, finance, or otherwise supply funds to, Mid Penn. Specifically, dividends from the Bank are the principal source of Mid Penn's cash funds and there are certain legal restrictions under Pennsylvania law and Pennsylvania banking regulations on the payment of dividends by state-chartered banks. The relevant regulatory agencies also have authority to prohibit Mid Penn and the Bank from engaging in what, in the opinion of such regulatory body, constitutes an unsafe or unsound banking practice. The payment of dividends could, depending upon the financial condition of Mid Penn and the Bank, be deemed to constitute such an unsafe or unsound practice.

Prompt Corrective Action

In addition to the required minimum capital levels described above, federal law establishes a system of "prompt corrective actions" which Federal banking agencies are required to take, and certain actions which they have discretion to take, based upon the capital category into which a federally regulated depository institution falls. Regulations set forth detailed procedures and criteria for implementing prompt corrective action in the case of any institution, which is not adequately capitalized. Under the rules, an institution will be deemed to be "adequately capitalized" if it exceeds the minimum Federal regulatory capital requirements. However, it will be deemed "undercapitalized" if it fails to meet the minimum capital requirements, "significantly undercapitalized" if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3.0%, or a leverage ratio that is less than 3.0%, and "critically undercapitalized" if the institution has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2.0%.

The prompt corrective action rules require an undercapitalized institution to file a written capital restoration plan, along with a performance guaranty by its holding company or a third party. In addition, an undercapitalized institution becomes subject to certain automatic restrictions

7

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

including a prohibition on payment of dividends, a limitation on asset growth and expansion, in certain cases, a limitation on the payment of bonuses or raises to senior executive officers, and a prohibition on the payment of certain "management fees" to any "controlling person". Institutions that are classified as undercapitalized are also subject to certain additional supervisory actions, including increased reporting burdens and regulatory monitoring, a limitation on the institution's ability to make acquisitions, open new branch offices, or engage in new lines of business, obligations to raise additional capital, restrictions on transactions with affiliates, and restrictions on interest rates paid by the institution on deposits. In certain cases, bank regulatory agencies may require replacement of senior executive officers or directors, or sale of the institution to a willing purchaser. If an institution is deemed "critically undercapitalized" and continues in that category for four quarters, the statute requires, with certain narrowly limited exceptions, that the institution be placed in receivership.

Deposit Insurance

The FDIC insures deposits of the Bank through the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). The FDIC maintains the DIF by assessing depository institutions an insurance premium. The amount each institution is assessed is based upon a variety of factors that include the balance of insured deposits as well as the degree of risk the institution poses to the insurance fund. The FDIC insures deposits up to $250,000. The Bank pays an insurance premium into the DIF based on the quarterly average daily deposit liabilities net of certain exclusions. The FDIC uses a risk-based premium system that assesses higher rates on those institutions that pose greater risks to the DIF. The FDIC places each institution in one of four risk categories using a two-step process based first on capital ratios (the capital group assignment) and then on other relevant information (the supervisory group assignment). Subsequently, the rate for each institution within a risk category may be adjusted depending upon different factors that either enhance or reduce the risk the institution poses to the DIF, including the unsecured debt, secured liabilities and brokered deposits related to each institution. Finally, certain risk multipliers may be applied to the adjusted assessment. In 2009, the FDIC increased the amount assessed from financial institutions by increasing its risk-based deposit insurance assessment scale. The quarterly annualized assessment scale for 2009 ranged from twelve basis points of assessable deposits for the strongest institutions to 77.5 basis points for the weakest.

On November 12, 2009, the FDIC approved a rule to require insured institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012. An insured institution's risk-based deposit insurance assessments will continue to be calculated on a quarterly basis, but will be paid from the amount the institution prepaid until the later of the date that amount is exhausted or June 30, 2013, at which point any remaining funds would be returned to the insured institution. Consequently, Mid Penn's prepayment of DIF premiums made in December 2009 resulted in a prepaid asset of $2,719,000 at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2010, 2011, and 2012 the prepaid asset was $1,878,000, $871,000, and $12,000, respectively.

Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, as mandated by the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the assessment base that the FDIC will use to calculate assessment premiums will be a bank's average assets minus average tangible equity. As the asset base of the banking industry is larger than the deposit base, the range of assessment rates will change to a low of 2.5 basis points through a high of 45 basis points, per $100 of assets; however, the dollar amount of total actual premiums is expected to be roughly the

same.

The FDIC is required under the Dodd-Frank Act to establish assessment rates that will allow the Deposit Insurance Fund to achieve a reserve ratio of 1.35% of Insurance Fund insured deposits by September 2020. In addition, the FDIC has established a "designated reserve ratio" of 2.0%, a target ratio that, until it is achieved, will not likely result in the FDIC reducing assessment rates. In attempting to achieve the mandated 1.35% ratio, the FDIC is required to implement assessment formulas that charge banks over $10 billion in asset size more than banks under that size. Those new formulas began in the second quarter of 2011, but did not affect the Bank. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC is authorized to make reimbursements from the insurance fund to banks if the reserve ratio exceeds 1.50%, but the FDIC has adopted the "designated reserve ratio" of 2.0% and has announced that any reimbursements from the fund are indefinitely suspended.

Proposed Regulatory Capital Changes

In June 2012, the Federal Reserve Bank, the FDIC and the OCC issued proposed rules that would revise bank regulatory capital requirements and the risk-weighted asset rules. These rules represent the most extensive changes to bank capital requirements in the recent past. The rules will extend large parts of a regulatory capital administration to all U.S. banks and their holding companies, other than the smallest bank holding companies (generally, those with under $500 million in consolidated assets). The implementation of the rules has been delayed several times and it is uncertain when they will go into effect at this time. Below is a summary:

Summary of proposed rules for capital

- Revise the definition of regulatory capital components and related calculations, which would include conservative guidelines for determining whether an instrument could qualify as regulatory capital;
- Add common equity tier 1 capital as a new regulatory capital component;
- Increase the minimum tier 1 capital ratio requirement;
- Create a capital conservation buffer that would limit payment of capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers if the institution does not hold enough common equity tier 1 capital;
- Provide for a transition period for several aspects of the rule; and

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

· Incorporate the new and revised regulatory capital requirements into the Prompt Corrective Action rules.

Summary of proposed rules for risk-weighted assets

The proposal would expand the number of risk-weighted categories and increase the required capital for certain categories of assets, including higher-risk residential mortgages and higher-risk construction real estate loans. In addition, the rule would:

· revise risk weights for residential mortgages based on LTV ratios and certain loan characteristics, assigning risk weights between 35% and 200%;
· increase capital requirements for past-due loans from 100% to 150% and set the risk weight for high volatility commercial real estate loans at 150%; and
· revise the risk-weighted percentage for unused commitments with an original maturity of one year or less from 0% to 20% unless the commitment is unconditionally cancelable by the bank.

The risk-weighted asset rule will apply to all U.S. banks and savings banks and almost all of their holding companies, although smaller, “non-complex” banking organizations will not need to comply with some of the rule’s requirements. The Corporation is in the process of assessing the impact of these proposed changes on the regulatory ratios of the Corporation and the Bank on the capital, operations, liquidity and earnings of the Corporation and Bank.

Environmental Laws

Management does not anticipate that compliance with environmental laws and regulations will have any material effect on Mid Penn’s capital, expenditures, earnings, or competitive position. However, environmentally related hazards have become a source of high risk and potentially unlimited liability for financial institutions.

In 1995, the Pennsylvania General Assembly enacted the Economic Development Agency, Fiduciary and Lender Environmental Liability Protection Act, which among other things, provides protection to lenders from environmental liability and remediation costs under the environmental laws for releases and contamination caused by others. A lender who engages in activities involved in the routine practices of commercial lending, including, but not limited to, the providing of financial services, holding of security interests, workout practices, foreclosure or the recovery of funds from the sale of property shall not be liable under the environmental acts or common law equivalents to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources or to any other person by virtue of the fact that the lender engages in such commercial lending practice. A lender, however, will be liable if it, its employees or agents, directly cause an immediate release or directly exacerbate a release of regulated substance on or from the property, or known

and willfully compelled the borrower to commit an action which caused such release or violate an environmental act. The Economic Development Agency, Fiduciary and Lender Environmental Liability Protection Act does not limit federal liability which still exists under certain circumstances.

Consumer Protection Laws

A number of laws govern the relationship between the Bank and its customers. For example, the Community Reinvestment Act is designed to encourage lending by banks to persons in low and moderate income areas. The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act attempt to minimize lending decisions based on impermissible criteria, such as race or gender. The Truth-in-Lending Act and the Truth-in-Savings Act require banks to provide certain disclosure of relevant terms related to loans and savings accounts, respectively. Anti-tying restrictions (which prohibit conditioning the availability or terms of credit on the purchase of another banking product) further restrict the Bank's relationships with its customers.

Privacy Laws

In 2000, the federal banking regulators issued final regulations implementing certain provisions of GLB governing the privacy of consumer financial information. The regulations limit the disclosure by financial institutions, such as Mid Penn and the Bank, of nonpublic personal information about individuals who obtain financial products or services for personal, family, or household purposes. Subject to certain exceptions allowed by law, the regulations cover information sharing between financial institutions and nonaffiliated third parties. More specifically, the regulations require financial institutions to:

- provide initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal financial information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;
- provide annual notices of their privacy policies to their current customers; and
- provide a reasonable method for consumers to "opt out" of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Protection of Customer Information

In 2001, the federal banking regulators issued final regulations implementing the provisions of GLB relating to the protection of customer information. The regulations, applicable to Mid Penn and the Bank, relate to administrative, technical, and physical safeguards for customer records and information. These safeguards are intended to:

- insure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information;
- protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records; and
- protect against unauthorized access to or use of such records or information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer.

Affiliate Transactions

Transactions between Mid Penn and the Bank and its affiliates are governed by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. An “affiliate” of a bank or savings institution is any company or entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the bank or savings institution. Generally, a subsidiary of a depository institution that is not also a depository institution is not treated as an affiliate of the bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B. Sections 23A and 23B are intended to protect insured depository institutions from suffering losses arising from transactions with non-insured affiliates, by limiting the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in covered transactions with any one affiliate and with all affiliates of the bank in the aggregate, and requiring that such transactions be on terms that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices.

Effective April 1, 2003, Regulation W of the Federal Reserve comprehensively amended Sections 23A and 23B. The regulation unifies and updates staff interpretations issued over the years, incorporates several new interpretative proposals (such as to clarify when transactions with an unrelated third party will be attributed to an affiliate), and addresses new issues arising as a result of the expanded scope of non-banking activities engaged in by bank and bank holding companies in recent years and authorized for financial holding companies under the GLB.

The USA Patriot Act

In 2001, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA Patriot Act) was signed into law. The USA Patriot Act broadened the application of anti-money laundering regulations to apply to additional types of financial institutions, such as broker-dealers, and strengthened the ability of the U.S. government to detect and prosecute international money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The principal provisions of Title III of the USA Patriot Act require that regulated financial institutions, including state-chartered banks:

- establish an anti-money laundering program that includes training and audit components;
- comply with regulations regarding the verification of the identity of any person seeking to open an account;
- take additional required precautions with non-U.S. owned accounts; and
- perform certain verification and certification of money laundering risk for their foreign correspondent banking relationships.

The USA Patriot Act also expanded the conditions under which funds in a U.S. interbank account may be subject to forfeiture and increased the penalties for violation of anti-money laundering regulations. Failure of a financial institution to comply with the USA Patriot Act's requirements could have serious legal and reputational consequences for the institution. The Bank has adopted policies, procedures and controls to address compliance with the requirements of the USA Patriot Act under the existing regulations and will continue to revise and update its policies, procedures and controls to reflect changes required by the USA Patriot Act and implementing regulations.

Anti-Money Laundering and Anti-Terrorism Financing

Under Title III of the USA PATRIOT Act, also known as the International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorism Financing Act of 2001, all financial institutions, including Mid Penn and the Bank, are required in general to identify their customers, adopt formal and comprehensive anti-money laundering programs, scrutinize or prohibit altogether certain transactions of special concern, and be prepared to respond to inquiries from U.S. law enforcement agencies concerning their customers and their transactions. Additional information-sharing among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement authorities is encouraged by the presence of an exemption from the privacy provisions of the GLB Act for financial institutions that comply with this provision and the authorization of the Secretary of the Treasury to adopt rules to further encourage cooperation and information-sharing. The effectiveness of a financial institution in combating money-laundering activities is a factor to be considered in any application submitted by the financial institution under the Bank Merger Act, which applies to the Bank.

JOBS ACT

On April 5, 2012, President Obama signed the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the "JOBS Act") into law. The JOBS Act is aimed at facilitating capital raising by smaller companies and banks and bank holding companies by implementing the following changes:

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

- raising the threshold requiring registration under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") for banks and bank holdings companies from 500 to 2,000 holders of record;
- raising the threshold for triggering deregistration under the Exchange Act for banks and bank holding companies from 300 to 1,200 holders of record;
- raising the limit for Regulation A offerings from $5 million to $50 million per year and exempting some Regulation A offerings from state blue sky laws;
- permitting advertising and general solicitation in Rule 506 and Rule 144A offerings;
- allowing private companies to use "crowdfunding" to raise up to $1 million in any 12-month period, subject to certain conditions; and
- creating a new category of issuer, called an "Emerging Growth Company," for companies with less than $1 billion in annual gross revenue, which will benefit from certain changes that reduce the cost and burden of carrying out an equity IPO and complying with public company reporting obligations for up to five years.

While the JOBS Act is not expected to have any immediate application to the Corporation, management will continue to monitor the implementation rules for potential effects which might benefit the Corporation.

Dodd-Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Act, which became law in July 2010, significantly changes regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry, including: creating a Financial Services Oversight Council to identify emerging systemic risks and improve interagency cooperation; centralizing responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, which will be responsible for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer financial laws; permanently raising the current standard maximum deposit insurance amount to $250,000; establishing strengthened capital standards for banks, and disallowing certain trust preferred securities from qualifying as Tier 1 capital (subject to certain grandfather provisions for existing trust preferred securities); establishing new minimum mortgage underwriting standards; granting the Federal Reserve Board the power to regulate debit card interchange fees; and implementing corporate governance changes. Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking that will take effect over several years, thus making it difficult to assess the impact of the statute on the financial industry, including Mid Penn, at this time.

It is difficult to predict at this time the specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on financial institutions' operations is presently unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements.

Effects of Government Policy and Potential Changes in Regulation

Changes in regulations applicable to Mid Penn or the Bank, or shifts in monetary or other government policies, could have a material effect on our business. Mid Penn's and the Bank's business is also affected by the state of the financial services industry in general. As a result of legal and industry changes, management believes that the industry will continue to experience an increased rate of change as the financial services industry strives for greater product offerings, market share and economies of scale.

From time to time, legislation is enacted that has the effect of increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial institutions. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of banks, bank holding companies and other financial institutions are frequently made in Congress, and before various bank regulatory agencies. Mid Penn cannot predict the likelihood of any major changes or the impact such changes might have on Mid Penn and/or the Bank. Various congressional bills and other proposals have proposed a sweeping overhaul of the banking system, including provisions for: limitations on deposit insurance coverage; changing the timing and method financial institutions use to pay for deposit insurance; expanding the power of banks by removing the restrictions on bank underwriting activities; and tightening the regulation of bank derivatives activities; and allowing commercial enterprises to own banks.

Mid Penn's earnings are, and will be affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have had, and will likely continue to have, an impact on the operating results of commercial banks because of the Federal Reserve's power to implement national monetary policy to, among other things, curb inflation or combat recession. The Federal Reserve has a major impact on the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States government securities and through its regulation of, among other things, the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirements against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature and impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

From time to time, various types of federal and state legislation have been proposed that could result in additional regulation of, and restrictions on, the business of Mid Penn and the Bank. As a consequence of the extensive regulation of commercial banking activities in the United States,

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

the Bank's business is particularly susceptible to being affected by federal legislation and regulations that may increase the costs of doing business. Congress is currently debating major legislation that may fundamentally change the regulatory oversight of banking institutions in the United States. Whether any legislation will be enacted or additional regulations will be adopted, and how they might impact Mid Penn cannot be determined at this time.

Available Information

Mid Penn's common stock is registered under Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the trading symbol MPB. Mid Penn is subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act, and, accordingly, files reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The reports, proxy statements and other information filed with the SEC are available for inspection and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Mid Penn is an electronic filer with the SEC. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC's Internet site address is www.sec.gov.

Mid Penn's headquarters are located at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania 17061, and its telephone number is 1-866-642-7736. Mid Penn's Internet address is midpennbank.com. Mid Penn makes available through its website, free of charge, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Mid Penn has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all employees. This document is also available on Mid Penn's website. The information included on our website is not a part of this document.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Mid Penn is subject to interest rate risk

Mid Penn's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond Mid Penn's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest Mid Penn receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could

also affect (i) Mid Penn's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of Mid Penn's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of Mid Penn's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, Mid Penn's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on Mid Penn's results of operations. Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

Mid Penn is subject to lending risk

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 70.0% of Mid Penn's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because Mid Penn's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for possible loan and lease losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

Mid Penn's allowance for possible loan and lease losses may be insufficient

Mid Penn maintains an allowance for possible loan and lease losses, which is a reserve established through provisions for possible losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan and lease losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan and lease losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires Mid Penn to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem

credits and other factors, both within and outside of Mid Penn's control, may require an increase in the allowance. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review Mid Penn's allowance for possible loan and lease losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan and lease losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance, Mid Penn may need additional provisions to increase the allowance for possible loan and lease losses. Any increases in the allowance will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

Competition from other financial institutions may adversely affect Mid Penn's profitability

Mid Penn's banking subsidiary faces substantial competition in originating both commercial and consumer loans. This competition comes principally from other banks, credit unions, savings institutions, mortgage banking companies and other lenders. Many of its competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources and higher lending limits, a wider geographic presence, more accessible branch office locations, the ability to offer a wider array of services or more favorable pricing alternatives, as well as lower origination and operating costs. This competition could reduce the Corporation's net income by decreasing the number and size of loans that its banking subsidiary originates and the interest rates it may charge on these loans.

In attracting business and consumer deposits, its banking subsidiary faces substantial competition from other insured depository institutions such as banks, savings institutions and credit unions, as well as institutions offering uninsured investment alternatives, including money market funds. Many of Mid Penn's competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources, more aggressive marketing campaigns, better brand recognition, and more convenient branch locations. These competitors may offer higher interest rates than Mid Penn, which could decrease the deposits that Mid Penn attracts or require Mid Penn to increase its rates to retain existing deposits or attract new deposits. Increased deposit competition could adversely affect Mid Penn's ability to generate the funds necessary for lending operations. As a result, Mid Penn may need to seek other sources of funds that may be more expensive to obtain and could increase its cost of funds.

Mid Penn's banking subsidiary also competes with non-bank providers of financial services, such as brokerage firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, insurance agencies and governmental organizations, which may offer more favorable terms. Some of its non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive regulations that govern its banking operations. As a result, such non-bank competitors may have advantages over Mid Penn's banking subsidiary in providing certain products and services. This competition may reduce or limit Mid Penn's margins on banking services, reduce its market share and adversely affect its earnings and financial condition.

We have 5,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding which have preference over the common stock as to dividends and liquidation distributions, among other preferential rights

As of the date hereof, we have issued and outstanding 5,000 shares of 7% Non-Cumulative, Non-Voting, Non-Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, par value $1.00 per share (the “Series B Preferred Stock”). The Series B Preferred Stock affords holders a preference to assets upon liquidation and an annual dividend which rights impact the outstanding shares of common stock. The Preferred Stock's right to annual dividends makes less likely the possibility that we will declare dividends on the common stock. In the event of a liquidation of the Corporation's assets, holders of Series B Preferred Stock will have a right to receive as a liquidation payment any remaining assets of the Corporation prior to any distributions to holders of the common stock and the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock may be able to block actions otherwise approved by the holders of the common stock if such action is adverse to their rights.

The Basel III capital requirements may require us to maintain higher levels of capital, which could reduce our profitability

If adopted as proposed, Basel III targets higher levels of base capital, certain capital buffers and a migration toward common equity as the key source of regulatory capital. Although the new capital requirements are phased in over the next decade and may change substantially before final implementation, Basel III signals a growing effort by domestic and international bank regulatory agencies to require financial institutions, including depository institutions, to maintain higher levels of capital. The direction of the Basel III implementation activities or other regulatory viewpoints could require additional capital to support our business risk profile prior to final implementation of the Basel III standards. If Mid Penn is required to maintain higher levels of capital, Mid Penn may have fewer opportunities to invest capital into interest-earning assets, which could limit the profitable business operations available to Mid Penn and adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Future credit downgrades of the United States Government due to issues relating to debt and the deficit may adversely affect the Mid Penn

As a result of failure of the federal government to reach agreement over federal debt and the ongoing issues connected with the debt ceiling, certain rating agencies placed the United States government’s long-term sovereign debt rating on their equivalent of negative watch and announced the possibility of a rating downgrade. The rating agencies, due to constraints related to the rating of the United States, also placed government-sponsored enterprises in which Mid Penn invests and receives lines of credit on negative watch and a downgrade of the United State’s credit rating would trigger a similar downgrade in the credit rating of these government sponsored enterprises. Furthermore, the credit rating of other entities, such as state and local governments, may also be downgraded should the United States credit rating be downgraded. The impact that a credit rating downgrade may have on the national and local economy could have an adverse effect on Mid Penn’s financial condition and results of operations.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

A breach of information security could negatively affect our earnings

Increasingly, we depend upon data processing, communication and information exchange on a variety of computing platforms and networks, and over the Internet. While to date we have not been subject to cyber attacks or other cyber incidents, we cannot be certain all our systems are entirely free from vulnerability to attack, despite safeguards we have instituted. In addition, we rely on the services of a variety of vendors to meet our data processing and communication needs. Disruptions to our vendors' systems may arise from events that are wholly or partially beyond our vendors' control (including, for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages). If information security is breached, despite the controls we and our third party vendors have instituted, information can be lost or misappropriated, resulting in financial loss or costs to us or damages to others. These costs or losses could materially exceed the amount of insurance coverage, if any, which would adversely affect our earnings. In addition, our reputation could be damaged which could result in loss of customers, greater difficulty in attracting new customers, or an adverse affect on the value of our common stock.

Mid Penn's controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented

Management periodically reviews and updates Mid Penn's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of Mid Penn's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Mid Penn's ability to pay dividends depends primarily on dividends from its banking subsidiary, which is subject to regulatory limits

Mid Penn is a bank holding company and its operations are conducted by its subsidiaries. Its ability to pay dividends depends on its receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries. Dividend payments from its banking subsidiary are subject to legal and regulatory limitations, generally based on net profits, and retained earnings, imposed by the various banking regulatory agencies. The ability of Mid Penn's subsidiaries to pay dividends is also subject to their profitability, financial condition, capital expenditures and other cash flow requirements. There is no assurance that Mid Penn's subsidiaries will be able to pay dividends in the future or that Mid Penn will generate adequate cash flow to pay dividends in the future. Federal Reserve Board policy, which applies to Mid Penn as a registered bank holding company, also provides that dividends by bank holding companies should generally be paid out of current earnings looking back over a one-year period. Mid Penn's failure to pay dividends on its common stock could have a material adverse effect on the market price of its common stock.

Mid Penn's profitability depends significantly on economic conditions in central Pennsylvania

Mid Penn's success is dependent to a significant degree on economic conditions in central Pennsylvania, especially in Dauphin, lower Northumberland, western Schuylkill and eastern Cumberland Counties, which Mid Penn defines as our primary market. The banking industry is affected by general economic conditions including the effects of inflation, recession, unemployment, real estate values, trends in the national and global economics, and other factors beyond our control. An economic recession or a delayed recovery over a prolonged period of time in Central Pennsylvania area could cause an increase in the level of the Bank's non-performing assets and loan and lease losses, thereby causing operating losses, impairing liquidity, and eroding capital. Mid Penn cannot assure you that adverse changes in the local economy would not have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

Mid Penn may not be able to attract and retain skilled people

Mid Penn's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by Mid Penn can be intense and Mid Penn may not be able to hire people or to retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of Mid Penn's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on Mid Penn's business because of their skills, knowledge of Mid Penn's market, years of industry experience, and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

Mid Penn is subject to claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to Mid Penn's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to Mid Penn's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to Mid Penn they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of Mid Penn and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

The trading volume in Mid Penn's common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies

Mid Penn's common stock is listed for trading on NASDAQ; the trading volume in its common stock, however, is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of Mid Penn's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the

14

individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which Mid Penn has no control. Given the lower trading volume of Mid Penn's common stock, significant sales of Mid Penn's common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause Mid Penn's stock price to fall.

Mid Penn operates in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in federal, state and local laws and regulations

Mid Penn is subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by federal and state banking authorities. Any change in applicable regulations or federal, state or local legislation could have a substantial impact on Mid Penn and its operations. Additional legislation and regulations that could significantly affect Mid Penn's powers, authority and operations may be enacted or adopted in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations. Further, regulators have significant discretion and authority to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of laws by banks and bank holding companies in the performance of their supervisory and enforcement duties. The exercise of regulatory authority may have a negative impact on Mid Penn's results of operations and financial condition.

In response to the financial crisis that commenced in 2008, Congress has taken actions that are intended to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in financial institutions, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has taken actions to increase insurance coverage on deposit accounts. The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act provides for the creation of a consumer protection division at the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System that will have broad authority to issue regulations governing the services and products we provide consumers. This additional regulation could increase our compliance costs and otherwise adversely impact our operations. That legislation also contains provisions that, over time, could result in higher regulatory capital requirements and loan loss provisions for the Bank, and may increase interest expense due to the ability in July 2011 to pay interest on all demand deposits. In addition, there have been proposals made by members of Congress and others that would reduce the amount delinquent borrowers are otherwise contractually obligated to pay under their mortgage loans and limit an institution's ability to foreclose on mortgage collateral. These proposals could result in credit losses or increased expense in pursuing our remedies as a creditor. Recent regulatory changes impose limits on our ability to charge overdraft fees, which may decrease our non-interest income as compared to more recent prior periods.

The potential exists for additional federal or state laws and regulations, or changes in policy, affecting many aspects of our operations, including capital levels, lending and funding practices, and liquidity standards. New laws and regulations may increase our costs of regulatory compliance and of doing business and otherwise affect our operations, and may significantly affect the markets in which we do business, the markets for and value of our loans and investments, the fees we can charge and our ongoing operations, costs and profitability.

The soundness of other financial institutions may adversely affect Mid Penn

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. Mid Penn has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose Mid Penn to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, Mid Penn's credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by Mid Penn cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due to Mid Penn. Any such losses could have a material adverse affect on the Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

Prior levels of market volatility were unprecedented and future volatility may have materially adverse effects on our liquidity and financial condition

In the recent past, the capital and credit markets experienced extreme volatility and disruption for more than two years. In some cases, the markets exerted downward pressure on stock prices, security prices, and credit availability for certain issuers without regard to their underlying financial strength. If the such levels of market disruption and volatility return, there can be no assurance that we will not experience adverse effects, which may be material, on our liquidity, financial condition, and profitability.

Mid Penn's banking subsidiary may be required to pay higher FDIC insurance premiums or special assessments which may adversely affect its earning.

Poor economic conditions and the resulting bank failures have increased the costs of the FDIC and depleted its deposit insurance fund. Additional bank failures may prompt the FDIC to increase its premiums above the recently increased levels or to issue special assessments. Mid Penn generally is unable to control the amount of premiums or special assessments that its subsidiary is required to pay for FDIC insurance. Any future changes in the calculation or assessment of FDIC insurance premiums may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and our ability to continue to pay dividends on our common stock at the current rate or at all.

Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law and various anti-takeover provisions under our articles and bylaws could impede the takeover of Mid Penn

Various Pennsylvania laws affecting business corporations may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire Mid Penn, even if the acquisition would be advantageous to shareholders. In addition, we have various anti-takeover measures in place under our articles of

incorporation and bylaws, including a supermajority vote requirement for mergers, a staggered board of directors, and the absence of cumulative voting. Any one or more of these measures may impede the takeover of Mid Penn without the approval of our board of directors and may prevent our shareholders from taking part in a transaction in which they could realize a premium over the current market price of our common stock.

Mid Penn may need to or be required to raise additional capital in the future, and capital may not be available when needed and on terms favorable to current shareholders

Federal banking regulators require Mid Penn and its subsidiary bank to maintain adequate levels of capital to support their operations. These capital levels are determined and dictated by law, regulation, and banking regulatory agencies. In addition, capital levels are also determined by Mid Penn's management and board of directors based on capital levels that they believe are necessary to support Mid Penn's business operations.

If Mid Penn raises capital through the issuance of additional shares of its common stock or other securities, it would likely dilute the ownership interests of current investors and could dilute the per share book value and earnings per share of its common stock. Furthermore, a capital raise through issuance of additional shares may have an adverse impact on Mid Penn's stock price. New investors also may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to Mid Penn's current shareholders, which may adversely impact its current shareholders.

Mid Penn's ability to raise additional capital will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of its control, and on its financial performance. Accordingly, Mid Penn cannot be certain of its ability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms and acceptable time frames or to raise additional capital at all. If Mid Penn cannot raise additional capital in sufficient amounts when needed, its ability to comply with regulatory capital requirements could be materially impaired. Additionally, the inability to raise capital in sufficient amounts may adversely affect Mid Penn's financial condition and results of operations.

Mid Penn's profitability depends significantly on the economic conditions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the local region in which it does business

Mid Penn's profitability depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the specific markets in which Mid Penn operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, Mid Penn provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in south central Pennsylvania. The local economic conditions in this area has a significant impact on the demand for Mid Penn's products and services, as well as the ability of Mid Penn's customers to repay loans, the value of collateral securing loans, and the stability of Mid Penn's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions caused by inflation, recession, unemployment, changes in securities markets, or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, consequently, have a material adverse effect on Mid Penn's financial condition and results of

operation.

If we conclude that the decline in the value of any of our investment securities is other than temporary, we are required to write down the value of that security through a charge to earnings

We review our investment securities portfolio at each quarter-end reporting period to determine whether the fair value is below the current carrying value. When the fair value of any of our investment securities has declined below its carrying value, we are required to assess whether the decline is other than temporary. If we conclude that the decline is other than temporary, we are required to write down the value of that security through a charge to earnings. Changes in the expected cash flows of these securities and/or prolonged price declines have resulted and may result in our concluding in future periods that there is additional impairment of these securities that is other than temporary, which would require a charge to earnings to write down these securities to their fair value. Due to the complexity of the calculations and assumptions used in determining whether an asset is impaired, the impairment disclosed may not accurately reflect the actual impairment in the future.

Mid Penn’s financial performance may suffer if its information technology is unable to keep pace with its growth or industry developments

Effective and competitive delivery of Mid Penn’s products and services is increasingly dependent upon information technology resources and processes, both those provided internally as well as those provided through third party vendors. In addition to better serving customers, the effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables Mid Penn to reduce costs. Mid Penn’s future success will depend, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services to enhance customer convenience, as well as to create additional efficiencies in its operations. Many of Mid Penn’s competitors have greater resources to invest in technological improvements. Additionally, as technology in the financial services industry changes and evolves, keeping pace becomes increasingly complex and expensive for Mid Penn. There can be no assurance that Mid Penn will be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services, which could reduce its ability to compete effectively.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

16

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

With the exception of the Market Square Office and Derry Street Loan Operations Center in Harrisburg, PA, the Bank owns its main office, branch offices and certain parking facilities related to its banking offices, all of which are free and clear of any lien. The Bank's main office and all branch offices are located in Pennsylvania. All of these properties are in good condition and are deemed by management to be adequate for the Bank's purposes. The table below sets forth the location of each of the Bank's properties.

Property Location	Description of Property	Property Location	Description of Property
Millersburg 349 Union Street Millersburg, PA 17061	Main Office & Branch Office	Lykens Office 550 Main Street Lykens, PA 17048	Branch Office
Elizabethville Office 4642 State Route 209 Elizabethville, PA 17023	Branch Office	Allentown Boulevard Office 5500 Allentown Boulevard Harrisburg, PA 17112	Branch Office
Dalmatia Office 132 School House Road Dalmatia, PA 17017	Branch Office	Market Square Office 17 N. Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17101	Branch Office
Carlisle Pike Office 4622 Carlisle Pike Mechanicsburg, PA 17050	Branch Office	Steelton Office 51 South Front Street Steelton, PA 17113	Branch Office
Derry Street Office 4509 Derry Street Harrisburg, PA 17111	Branch Office	Middletown Office 1100 Spring Garden Drive Middletown, PA 17057	Branch Office
Front Street Office 2615 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110	Branch Office	Camp Hill Office 2101 Market Street Camp Hill, PA 17011	Branch Office
Tower City Office 545 East Grand Avenue Tower City, PA 17980	Branch Office	Operations Center 894 N. River Road Halifax, PA 17032	Operations Center
Dauphin Office 1001 Peters Mountain Road Dauphin, PA 17018	Branch Office	Derry Street Loan Administrative Operations 4099 Derry Street Harrisburg, PA 17111	Administrative Office

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Management is not aware of any litigation that would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Corporation. Mid Penn and the Bank have no proceedings pending other than ordinary routine litigation occurring in the normal course of business. In addition, management does not know of any material proceedings contemplated by governmental authorities against Mid Penn or the Bank or any of its properties.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Corporation's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol MPB. The following table shows the range of high and low sale prices for the Corporation's stock and cash dividends paid for the quarters indicated.

	High	Low	Cash Dividends Paid
Quarter Ended:			
March 31, 2012	$ 11.43	$ 6.09	$ 0.05
June 30, 2012	11.50	9.45	0.05
September 30, 2012	10.95	8.97	0.05
December 31, 2012	11.19	9.75	0.10
March 31, 2011	$ 12.33	$ 7.10	$ 0.05
June 30, 2011	9.75	8.10	0.05
September 30, 2011	8.97	7.20	0.05
December 31, 2011	8.50	6.60	0.05

Transfer Agent: Registrar and Transfer Company, 10 Commerce Drive, Cranford, NJ 07016. Phone: 1-800-368-5948.

Number of Shareholders: As of February 15, 2013, there were approximately 1,462 shareholders of record of Mid Penn's common stock.

Dividends: Cash dividends of $0.25 and $0.20 were paid in 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no cash dividends paid during 2010.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchases: Shareholders of Mid Penn may acquire additional shares of common stock by reinvesting their cash dividends under the Dividend Reinvestment Plan without paying a brokerage fee. Voluntary cash contributions may also be made under the Plan. For additional information about the Plan, contact the Transfer Agent.

Annual Meeting: The Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of Mid Penn will be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, May 7, 2013, at 349 Union Street, Millersburg, Pennsylvania.

Accounting, Auditing and Internal Control Complaints: Information on how to report a complaint regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters is available at Mid Penn's website: midpennbank.com.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Stock Performance Graph

	Period Ending					
Index	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012
Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.	100.00	80.64	40.79	29.73	30.61	46.60
Russell 3000	100.00	62.69	80.46	94.08	95.05	110.65
Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group*	100.00	78.25	74.51	82.19	82.68	95.49

*Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group consists of Mid-Atlantic commercial banks with assets less than $1B as of 9/30/2012.

Source : SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA
© 2013
www.snl.com

A detailed list of the Banks comprising the Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1, which is attached to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Summary of Selected Financial Data

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
INCOME:					
Total Interest Income	$ 30,366	$ 31,545	$ 30,148	$ 31,336	$ 31,856
Total Interest Expense	7,125	9,522	10,642	13,304	14,890
Net Interest Income	23,241	22,023	19,506	18,032	16,966
Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	1,036	1,205	2,635	9,520	1,230
Noninterest Income	3,683	2,996	3,414	3,656	3,682
Noninterest Expense	19,693	18,048	17,121	16,671	14,726
Income (Loss) Before Provision for (Benefit from)					
Income Taxes	6,195	5,766	3,164	(4,503)	4,692
Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes	1,244	1,223	416	(2,208)	1,104
Net Income (Loss)	4,951	4,543	2,748	(2,295)	3,588
Preferred Stock Dividends and Discount Accretion	514	514	514	514	16
Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Shareholders	4,437	4,029	2,234	(2,809)	3,572
COMMON STOCK DATA PER SHARE:					
Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share (Basic)	$ 1.27	$ 1.16	$ 0.64	$ (0.81)	$ 1.03
Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share (Fully Diluted)	1.27	1.16	0.64	(0.81)	1.03
Cash Dividends	0.25	0.20	-	0.52	0.80
Book Value Per Common Share	13.57	12.47	10.98	10.55	11.75
Tangible Book Value Per Common Share	13.19	12.10	10.58	10.15	11.34
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (BASIC)	3,486,543	3,481,414	3,479,780	3,479,780	3,483,097
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (FULLY DILUTED)	3,486,543	3,481,414	3,479,780	3,479,780	3,483,153
AT YEAR-END:					
Investments	$ 154,295	$ 159,043	$ 70,702	$ 47,345	$ 52,739
Loans and Leases, Net of Unearned Discount	484,220	482,717	467,735	480,385	434,643
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses	5,509	6,772	7,061	7,686	5,505
Total Assets	705,200	715,383	637,457	606,010	572,999

Total Deposits	625,461	634,055	554,982	500,015	436,824
Short-term Borrowings	-	-	1,561	16,044	23,977
Long-term Debt	22,510	22,701	27,883	38,057	55,223
Shareholders' Equity	52,220	53,452	48,201	46,704	50,890
RATIOS:					
Return on Average Assets	0.69%	0.66%	0.44%	-0.39%	0.67%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	8.78%	8.96%	5.71%	-4.43%	8.87%
Cash Dividend Payout Ratio	19.69%	17.24%	0.00%	-64.20%	77.67%
Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses to Loans and Leases	1.14%	1.40%	1.51%	1.60%	1.27%
Average Shareholders' Equity to Average Assets	7.98%	7.37%	7.73%	8.88%	7.55%

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SPECIAL CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain of the matters discussed in this document may constitute forward-looking statements for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and as such may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Mid Penn to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The words "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "believe," "estimate," and sim expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements.

Mid Penn's actual results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation:

- The effects of economic deterioration on current customers, specifically the effect of the economy on loan customers' ability to repay loans;
- Governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes, including the effects of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act;
- The effects of the failure of the federal government to reach a deal to raise the debt ceiling or avoid sequester, and the negative results on economic or business conditions resulting therefrom;
- The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters;
- The risks of changes in interest rates on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand, and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest rate protection agreements, as well as interest rate risks;
- The effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in Mid Penn's market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating locally, regionally, nationally and internationally, together with such competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone, computer and the internet;
- The costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation;
- Technological changes;
- Acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses;
- The failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of reserves for loan and lease losses and estimations of values of collateral and various financial assets and liabilities;
- Acts of war or terrorism;
- Volatilities in the securities markets; and
- Deteriorating economic conditions.

All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to Mid Penn are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations analyzes the major elements of Mid Penn's consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation and Notes thereto and other detailed information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report. Mid Penn is not aware of any known trends, events, uncertainties or of any current recommendations by the regulatory authorities which, if they were to be implemented, would have a material effect on Mid Penn's liquidity, capital resources or operations.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Mid Penn's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and conform to general practices within the banking industry. Application of these principles involves significant judgments and estimates by management that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. The judgments and estimates that we used are based on historical experiences and other factors, which are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and estimates that we have made, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the results of our operations.

Management of the Corporation considers the accounting judgments relating to the allowance for loan and lease losses, the evaluation of the Corporation's investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment, and the assessment of goodwill for impairment to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective and complex judgments.

The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of probable incurred credit losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which

may be susceptible to significant change. The loan and lease portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the consolidated balance sheet. Throughout the remainder of this report, the terms "loan" or "loans" refers to both loans and leases.

Valuations for the investment portfolio are determined using quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, investment valuation is based on pricing models, quotes for similar investment securities, and observable yield curves and spreads. In addition to valuation, management must assess whether there are any declines in value below the carrying value of the investments that should be considered other than temporary or otherwise require an adjustment in carrying value and recognition of the loss in the consolidated statement of income.

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other, requires that goodwill is not amortized to expense, but rather that it be tested for impairment at least annually. Impairment write-downs are charged to results of operations in the period in which the impairment is determined. The Corporation did not identify any impairment on its outstanding goodwill from its most recent testing, which was performed as of December 31, 2012. If certain events occur which might indicate goodwill has been impaired, the goodwill is tested when such events occur.

Financial Summary

The consolidated earnings of Mid Penn are derived primarily from the operations of its wholly owned subsidiary, Mid Penn Bank.

2012 versus 2011

Mid Penn recorded net income available to common shareholders of $4,437,000 for the year 2012, compared to $4,029,000 in 2011, which was an increase of $408,000 or 10.1%. This represents net income in 2012 of $1.27 per common share compared to $1.16 per common share in 2011.

Total assets of Mid Penn contracted in 2012, falling to $705,200,000, a decrease of $10,183,000, or 1.4% from $715,383,000 at year-end 2011. The majority of asset contraction came from a decrease in investments, which fell to $154,295,000 or 3.0% from $159,043,000 at the end of 2011. Federal funds sold also decreased, falling $3,439,000 or 53.4% from $6,439,000 at the end of 2011. These asset reductions were used to offset a reduction in deposits, which decreased 1.4% to $625,461,000 from $634,055,000 at year-end 2011. This deposit decrease was the result of the maturity of a $10,000,000 brokered certificate of deposit early in 2012.

The continued soft economy was the major contributor to modest loan growth during 2012. Loan balances increased 0.3% to $484,220,000 from $482,717,000 in 2011. Mid Penn experienced weak loan demand during 2012 despite a desire to sensibly lend to support creditworthy existing and new customers in the marketplace. Adding additional strain to weakened demand was the increase in unscheduled payoffs of large loans within the portfolio. The continued low interest rate environment and weak economy has increased the competitive pressure from other lending institutions to attract borrowers from other institutions as well as incenting borrowers to use surplus cash reserves to pay down debt rather than expand their operations.

Mid Penn's return on average shareholders' equity, (ROE), a widely recognized performance indicator in the financial industry, was 8.78% in 2012 and 8.96% in 2011. Return on average assets (ROA), another performance indicator, was 0.69% in 2012 and 0.66% in 2011.

Mid Penn's performance during 2012 was a solid improvement over the results reported in 2011. This improvement was the result of reduced provision for loan and lease losses, improving cost of funds, consistent management of controllable expenses, and growth in noninterest income sources throughout 2012.

Net charge-offs increased from $1,494,000 in 2011 to $2,299,000 during 2012. Despite the increase in net charge-offs from 2011, Mid Penn was able to reduce provision for loan and lease losses from $1,205,000 in 2011 to $1,036,000 in 2012. This stemmed from the fact that $1,499,000 of the net charge-offs during 2012 had a previously recorded balance included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. As Mid Penn continues to work to resolve the elevated levels of nonperforming loans, the relationship between net charge-offs and provision for loan and lease losses may continue to have a more tenuous link. Further discussion of these issues can be found in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses section below.

Net interest margin improved to 3.63% in 2012 from 3.52% in 2011. This improvement was driven by a 48 basis point improvement in the rate on supporting liabilities from 1.68% in 2011 to 1.20% in 2012. This improvement allowed average interest spread to increase to 3.49% from 3.29% in 2011 and net interest income on a tax equivalent basis to increase from $23,094,000 in 2011 to $24,494,000 in 2012. This increase was achieved in spite of the substantial pool of nonperforming loans being carried on the balance sheet. The amount of interest income lost on this pool of troubled loans in 2012 amounted to $2,974,000. Further discussion of net interest margin can be found in the Net Interest Income section below.

In addition to the interest lost on nonperforming loans, this pool of troubled assets increases Mid Penn's costs associated with the management and collection of this pool of assets. During 2012, the expenses associated with the increased collection and management efforts on troubled

assets were $369,000 as compared to $299,000 in 2011. These expenses remain at historically high levels as Mid Penn resolves problems associated with the pool of troubled assets.

Mid Penn's fundamental operating performance in 2012 was sound despite these issues and the general economic conditions experienced by the banking industry as a whole.

The Bank's tier one capital (to risk weighted assets) of $48,764,000, or 10.0%, and total capital (to risk weighted assets) of $54,363,000, or 11.1%, at December 31, 2012, are above the regulatory requirements. Tier one capital consists primarily of the Bank's shareholders' equity and any qualifying preferred stock. Total capital also includes qualifying subordinated debt, if any, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, within permitted limits. Risk-weighted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

2011 versus 2010

Mid Penn recorded net income available to common shareholders of $4,029,000 for the year 2011, compared to $2,234,000 in 2010, which was an increase of $1,795,000 or 80.3%. This represents net income in 2011 of $1.16 per common share compared to $0.64 per common share in 2010.

Total assets of Mid Penn continued to grow in 2011, reaching $715,383,000, an increase of $77,926,000, or 12.2% over $637,457,000 at year-end 2010. The majority of growth in assets came from increases in investments, which increased to $159,043,000 or 124.9% over $70,702,000 at the end of 2010. This growth was funded primarily through growth in deposits, which increased 14.2% to $634,055,000 from $554,982,000 at year-end 2010.

The continued soft economy was the major contributor to modest loan growth during 2011. Loan balances increased 3.2% to $482,717,000 from $467,735,000 in 2010. The modest growth numbers were a welcome improvement over the loan balance contraction experienced in 2010 from the end of 2009. Mid Penn experienced weak loan demand during 2011 despite a desire to sensibly lend to support creditworthy existing and new customers in the marketplace.

Mid Penn's return on average shareholders' equity, (ROE), a widely recognized performance indicator in the financial industry, was 8.96% in 2011 and 5.71% in 2010. Return on average assets (ROA), another performance indicator, was 0.66% in 2011 and 0.44% in 2010.

Mid Penn's performance during 2011 was a dramatic improvement over the results reported in 2010. This improvement was the result of reduced loan charge-offs and provision for loan and lease losses, improving cost of funds, consistent management of controllable expenses, and positive loan growth throughout 2011.

Net charge-offs decreased from $3,260,000 in 2010 to $1,494,000 during 2011. The reduction from 2010 allowed for a reduced provision for loan and lease losses from $2,635,000 in 2010 to $1,205,000 in 2011. The recession and problems in the commercial real estate sector of the economy continued to negatively impact a number of loans in the portfolio, causing continued elevation in the level of nonperforming loans from those experienced prior to 2009. Further discussion of these issues can be found in the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses section below.

Net interest margin improved to 3.52% in 2011 from 3.47% in 2010. This improvement was driven by a 40 basis point improvement in the rate on supporting liabilities from 2.08% in 2010 to 1.68% in 2011. This improvement allowed average interest spread to increase to 3.29% from 3.20% in 2010 and net interest income on a tax equivalent basis to increase from $20,468,000 in 2010 to $23,094,000 in 2011. This increase was achieved in spite of the substantial pool of nonperforming loans being carried on the balance sheet. The amount of interest income lost on this pool of troubled loans in 2011 amounted to $1,942,000. Further discussion of net interest margin can be found in the Net Interest Income section below.

FDIC insurance premiums increased in 2011 from 2010 and this expense remains at historically high levels as the FDIC continues its efforts to restore the Deposit Insurance Fund and keep it solvent to handle future bank failures should they occur. In addition to high deposit insurance premiums, the increasing regulatory and compliance burden necessitated the hiring of a dedicated compliance officer in 2010 to ensure Mid Penn's continued compliance with current and anticipated future regulatory changes. This hiring was followed in 2011 with the addition of three additional positions dedicated to compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act, U.S. Patriot Act, and general regulatory compliance. Mid Penn was negatively impacted by recent regulatory changes governing overdraft charges, which has resulted in a reduction in NSF revenue of $435,000 during 2011.

In addition to the interest lost on nonperforming loans, this pool of troubled assets increases Mid Penn's costs associated with the management and collection of this pool of assets. During 2011, the expenses associated with the increased collection and management efforts on troubled assets were $299,000 as compared to $307,000 in 2010. These expenses remain at historically high levels as Mid Penn resolves problems associated with the pool of troubled assets.

Mid Penn's fundamental operating performance in 2011 was sound despite these issues and the general economic conditions and credit crisis issues experienced by the banking industry as a whole.

The Bank’s tier one capital (to risk weighted assets) of $50,265,000 or 10.4% and total capital (to risk weighted assets) of $56,327,000 or 11.6% at December 31, 2011, are above the regulatory requirements. Tier one capital consists primarily of the Bank’s shareholders' equity and any qualifying preferred stock. Total capital also includes qualifying subordinated debt, if any, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, within permitted limits. Risk-weighted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, Mid Penn's primary source of revenue, represents the difference between interest income and interest expense. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and changes in average balances (volume) in the various interest-sensitive assets and liabilities.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

TABLE 1: AVERAGE BALANCES, EFFECTIVE INTEREST DIFFERENTIAL AND INTEREST YIELDS

Income and Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis for Years Ended (Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rates
ASSETS:									
Interest Earning Balances	$ 26,092	$ 236	0.90%	$ 50,458	$ 520	1.03%	$ 45,244	$ 818	1.81%
Investment Securities:									
Taxable	99,906	1,154	1.16%	81,017	1,632	2.01%	31,981	800	2.50%
Tax-Exempt	55,033	2,609	4.74%	35,238	2,015	5.72%	26,254	1,679	6.40%
Total Securities	154,939			116,255			58,235		
Federal Funds Sold	6,197	16	0.26%	9,922	25	0.25%	9,222	25	0.27%
Loans and Leases, Net	483,977	27,599	5.70%	475,677	28,424	5.98%	472,582	27,788	5.88%
Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks	2,772	5	0.18%	3,441	-	0.00%	3,995	-	0.00%
Total Earning Assets	673,977	31,619	4.69%	655,753	32,616	4.97%	589,278	31,110	5.28%
Cash and Due from Banks	8,057			7,941			7,466		
Other Assets	24,422			24,756			26,330		
Total Assets	$ 706,456			$ 688,450			$ 623,074		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:									
Interest Bearing Deposits:									
NOW	$ 126,171	458	0.36%	$ 57,342	144	0.25%	$ 48,024	69	0.14%
Money Market	236,434	1,992	0.84%	248,615	2,992	1.20%	163,415	2,357	1.44%
Savings	28,632	14	0.05%	27,801	15	0.05%	26,585	16	0.06%
Time	180,356	3,683	2.04%	209,574	5,358	2.56%	239,761	6,877	2.87%
Short-term Borrowings	1,044	3	0.29%	803	4	0.50%	3,798	18	0.47%
Long-term Debt	22,605	975	4.31%	23,394	1,009	4.31%	28,860	1,305	4.52%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	595,242	7,125	1.20%	567,529	9,522	1.68%	510,443	10,642	2.08%

Demand Deposits	47,670		63,484		58,480	
Other Liabilities	7,184		6,722		6,010	
Shareholders' Equity	56,360		50,715		48,141	
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	$ 706,456		$ 688,450		$ 623,074	
Net Interest Income		$ 24,494		$ 23,094		$ 20,468
Net Yield on Interest Earning Assets:						
Total Yield on Earning Assets		4.69%		4.97%		5.28%
Rate on Supporting Liabilities		1.20%		1.68%		2.08%
Average Interest Spread		3.49%		3.29%		3.20%
Net Interest Margin		3.63%		3.52%		3.47%

Interest and average rates are presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis, using an effective tax rate of 34%. For purposes of calculating loan yields, average loan balances include nonaccrual loans.

Loan fees of $1,148,000, $635,000, and $710,000 are included with interest income in Table 1 for the years 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

TABLE 2: VOLUME ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME

(Dollars in thousands)	2012 Compared to 2011 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In:			2011 Compared to 2010 Increase (Decrease) Due to Change In:		
Taxable Equivalent Basis	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
INTEREST INCOME:						
Interest Bearing Balances	$ (251)	$ (33)	$ (284)	$ 94	$ (392)	$ (298)
Investment Securities:						
Taxable	380	(858)	(478)	1,227	(395)	832
Tax-Exempt	1,132	(538)	594	575	(239)	336
Total Investment Securities	1,512	(1,396)	116	1,801	(633)	1,168
Federal Funds Sold	(9)	-	(9)	2	(2)	-
Loans and Leases, Net	496	(1,321)	(825)	186	450	636
Restricted Investment Bank Stocks	-	5	5	-	-	-
Total Interest Income	1,748	(2,745)	(997)	2,083	(577)	1,506
INTEREST EXPENSE:						
Interest Bearing Deposits:						
NOW	173	141	314	13	62	75
Money Market	(147)	(853)	(1,000)	1,229	(594)	635
Savings	-	(1)	(1)	1	(2)	(1)
Time	(747)	(928)	(1,675)	(866)	(653)	(1,519)
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	(721)	(1,642)	(2,362)	376	(1,186)	(810)
Short-term Borrowings	1	(2)	(1)	(14)	-	(14)
Long-term Debt	(34)	-	(34)	(247)	(49)	(296)
Total Interest Expense	(754)	(1,644)	(2,397)	115	(1,235)	(1,120)
NET INTEREST INCOME	$ 2,502	$ (1,102)	$ 1,400	$ 1,968	$ 658	$ 2,626

The effect of changing volume and rate has been allocated entirely to the rate column. Tax-exempt income is shown on a tax equivalent basis assuming a federal income tax rate of 34%.

During 2012, net interest income increased $1,400,000, or 6.1%, as compared to an increase of $2,626,000, or 12.8%, in 2011. The average balances, effective interest differential, and interest yields for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 and the components of net interest income, are presented in Table 1. A comparative

presentation of the changes in net interest income for 2012 compared to 2011, and 2011 compared to 2010, is provided in Table 2. This analysis indicates the changes in interest income and interest expense caused by the volume and rate components of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

The yield on earning assets decreased to 4.69% in 2012 from 4.97% in 2011. The yield on earning assets for 2010 was 5.28%. The change in the yield on earning assets was due primarily to changes in market interest rates and extreme rate competition within our market. The average “prime rate” for 2012, 2011, and 2010 was 3.25%. The yield on earning assets is also negatively impacted by the loss of interest on nonperforming loans. During 2012, this loss of interest amounted to $2,974,000. Had this interest been included in Mid Penn’s earnings, the yield on earning assets would have increased by 44 basis points.

Interest expense decreased by $2,397,000, or 25.2%, in 2012 as compared to a decrease of $1,120,000, or 10.5%, in 2011. The cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.20% in 2012 from 1.68% in 2011. The cost of interest bearing liabilities for 2010 was 2.08%. The reduction in cost of interest bearing liabilities was due to changes in market interest rates and Mid Penn’s ability to reduce the rates on Money Market accounts and Certificates of Deposit.

Net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis was 3.63% in 2012 compared to 3.52% in 2011 and 3.47% in 2010. The interest rate impact of earning assets and funding sources due to changes in interest rates can be reasonably estimated at current interest rate levels, the options selected by customers, and the future mix of the loan, investment, and deposit products in the Bank's portfolios, may significantly change the estimates used in the simulation models. In addition, our net interest income may be impacted by further interest rate actions of the Federal Reserve Bank. Management continues to monitor the net interest margin closely.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses is the expense necessary to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level adequate to absorb management's estimate of probable losses in the loan and lease portfolio. Mid Penn's provision for loan and lease losses is based upon management's monthly review of the loan portfolio. The purpose of the review is to assess loan quality, identify impaired loans and leases, analyze delinquencies, ascertain loan and lease growth, evaluate potential charge-offs and recoveries, and assess general economic conditions in the markets we serve.

During 2012, Mid Penn continued to experience a challenging economic and operating environment. Given the economic pressures that impact some borrowers, Mid Penn has maintained the allowance for loan and lease losses in accordance with Mid Penn's assessment process, which took into consideration the risk characteristics of the loan and lease portfolio and shifting collateral values from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the provision for loan and lease losses was $1,036,000, as compared to $1,205,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, Mid Penn had net charge-offs of $2,299,000 compared to net charge-offs of $1,494,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011. Loans charged off during 2012 were comprised of fifteen commercial real estate loans totaling $499,000. Eight of these loans totaling $218,000 were to three borrowers with the remaining loans to unrelated borrowers. In addition, there were charge-offs for ten commercial and industrial loans to unrelated borrowers totaling $834,000, six residential real estate loans to unrelated borrowers totaling $195,000, and four home equity loans to unrelated borrowers representing $268,000 of the total charged off during 2012. The remaining $592,000 was comprised of various consumer loans to unrelated borrowers with the majority belonging to one loan for $578,000. Mid Penn may need to make future adjustments to the allowance and the provision for loan and lease losses if economic conditions or loan credit quality differs substantially from the assumptions used in making Mid Penn's evaluation of the level of the allowance for loan losses as compared to the balance of outstanding loans.

Following its model for loan and lease loss allowance adequacy, management recorded a $1,036,000 provision in 2012, as well as a provision of $1,205,000 in 2011, and $2,635,000 in 2010. The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans was 1.14% at December 31, 2012, compared to 1.40% at December 31, 2011 and 1.51% at December 31, 2010. Several factors contributed to this reduction. First, the growth in the loan portfolio was very modest. This modest growth did not have a material impact on the amount of required reserves within the allowance for loan and lease losses from qualitative or quantitative factors. Secondly, while total risk elements within the loan portfolio remained virtually unchanged from 2011 to 2012, their composition within the allowance computation model changed significantly. Loans internally classified as special mention fell from $11,029,000 at December 31, 2011 to $7,916,000 at December 31, 2012, or a reduction of $3,113,000. Loans internally classified as substandard but not impaired increased $943,000 from $9,783,000 at December 31, 2011 to $10,726,000 at December 31, 2012. Additionally during 2012, the historic loss experience within these segments of the portfolio migrated downward as high levels of activity during 2009 rolled out of the calculation and were replaced by more current experience. The combination of the shifting balances and migrating loss experience resulted in a reduction of

$745,000 in required balances in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Finally, total impaired loans fell from $10,926,000 at December 31, 2011 to $9,744,000 at December 31, 2012, a reduction of $1,182,000. Specific reserves required on these impaired loans fell from $1,846,000 at December 31, 2011 to $1,383,000 at December 31, 2012, a reduction of $463,000.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

A summary of charge-offs and recoveries of loans and leases are presented in Table 3.

TABLE 3: ANALYSIS OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

(Dollars in thousands)	Years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Balance, beginning of year	$ 6,772	$ 7,061	$ 7,686	$ 5,505	$ 4,790
Loans and leases charged off:					
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	499	545	1,413	2,841	384
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	834	546	787	4,158	70
Real estate - residential	195	310	858	115	-
Consumer	860	142	146	209	188
Leases	-	44	230	108	5
Total loans and leases charged off	2,388	1,587	3,434	7,431	647
Recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off:					
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	15	26	21	-	1
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	31	10	3	16	20
Real estate - residential	-	19	70	-	-
Consumer	43	32	80	76	111
Leases	-	6	-	-	-
Total loans and leases recovered	89	93	174	92	132
Net charge-offs	2,299	1,494	3,260	7,339	515
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,036	1,205	2,635	9,520	1,230
Balance, end of year	$ 5,509	$ 6,772	$ 7,061	$ 7,686	$ 5,505

	Years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Ratio of net charge-offs during the year to average loans and leases outstanding during					

the year, net of unearned discount	0.48%	0.31%	0.69%	1.58%	0.13%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases at December 31	1.14%	1.40%	1.51%	1.60%	1.27%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of non-performing assets at December 31	42.05%	50.91%	35.05%	48.33%	96.92%

Noninterest Income

2012 versus 2011

Income from fiduciary activities for 2012 was $575,000, a $36,000, or 6.7%, increase from $539,000 in 2011. This revenue source is comprised of fees generated by Mid Penn's Trust department and fees from the sale of third-party mutual funds and annuities to the Bank's retail and commercial customers. Fees from third-party mutual fund and annuity sales were $389,000 in 2012 and $354,000 in 2011.

Service charges on deposit accounts amounted to $565,000 for 2012, a decrease of $139,000, or 19.7%, compared to $704,000 for 2011. The decrease in service charges in 2012 occurred in spite of general growth in transaction accounts during 2012. During this period of economic downturn, customers seem to have become more conscientious about their account balances and avoiding unnecessary charges related to insufficient funds. In addition to this behavioral change, Mid Penn was negatively impacted by regulatory changes contained in the Dodd-Frank Act governing overdraft charges, which has resulted in a reduction in NSF revenue.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

Mid Penn recognized gains on sale of investment securities in 2012 of $267,000 as a result of efforts to position the portfolio to provide improved earnings and cash flow in support of future loan growth.

Mortgage banking income remained robust during the year ended December 31, 2012. Historically low long-term mortgage rates triggered a wave of refinancing and increasing purchase activity, generating robust fee income from this line of business. Mortgage banking income for 2012 was $675,000, an increase of $285,000, or 73.1%, over $390,000 in 2011.

Merchant services revenue increased to $256,000 in 2012, an increase of $91,000, or 55.2%, compared to $165,000 for 2011. During 2012, Mid Penn successfully renegotiated the revenue sharing contract with its vendor, significantly augmenting the revenue stream. Sales efforts in this area were also very positive in 2012, adding to the enhanced revenue.

2011 versus 2010

Service charges on deposit accounts amounted to $704,000 for 2011, a decrease of $435,000 or 38.2% compared to $1,139,000 for 2010. The decrease in service charges in 2011 occurred in spite of general growth in transaction accounts during 2011. During this period of economic downturn, customers seem to have become more conscientious about their account balances and avoiding unnecessary charges related to insufficient funds. In addition to this behavioral change, Mid Penn was negatively impacted by regulatory changes contained in the Dodd-Frank Act governing overdraft charges, which has resulted in a reduction in NSF revenue.

Income from fiduciary activities for 2011 was $539,000, a $108,000 or 25.1% increase from $431,000 in 2010. This revenue source is comprised of fees generated by Mid Penn's Trust department and fees from the sale of third-party mutual funds and annuities to the Bank's retail and commercial customers. Trust income for 2011 was $185,000, a $15,000 or 7.5% decrease from $200,000 in 2010. Trust income can fluctuate from year to year, due to the number of estates settled during the year. Fees from third-party mutual fund and annuity sales were $354,000 in 2011and $231,000 in 2010.

Mortgage banking income remained robust during the year ended December 31, 2011. Historically low long-term mortgage rates triggered a wave of refinancing activity, generating robust fee income from this line of business. During 2011, Mid Penn decided to add approximately $1,960,000 in secondary market qualified loans to the loan portfolio. This resulted in a slight decline in mortgage banking income to $390,000 in 2011 from $423,000 in 2010.

TABLE 4: NONINTEREST INCOME

(Dollars in thousands)	Years ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Income from fiduciary activities	$ 575	$ 539	$ 431
Service charges on deposits	565	704	1,139
Net gain on sales of investment securities	267	-	-
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	247	258	270
Mortgage banking income	675	390	423
Merchant services revenue	256	165	141
ATM debit card interchange income	472	452	408
Other income	626	488	602
Total Noninterest Income	$ 3,683	$ 2,996	$ 3,414

Noninterest Expense

2012 versus 2011

The major component of noninterest expense is salaries and employee benefits. Increases in the 2012 workforce primarily included adding experienced team members to add depth to the sales and support areas and bolster compliance functions of Mid Penn. Mid Penn also recognized in 2012 a full year of salary and employee benefits expense from the 2011 additions within the support functions throughout the Corporation to enhance controls and support future growth. During 2012, medical benefits increased $184,000 from 2011 levels, primarily due to the increase in actual medical claims experienced from Mid Penn’s self-funded medical insurance plan. In addition, commission-based compensation paid to mortgage originators and retail investment representatives increased $144,000 from 2011 levels and are reflective of the enhanced revenues generated from these lines of business.

Legal and professional fees increased from $444,000 in 2011 to $604,000 in 2012. Mid Penn incurred elevated legal fees in 2012 stemming from coordination with the U.S. Treasury on the repayment of Mid Penn’s Capital Purchase Program funds and the buyout of the related warrants. In addition, Mid Penn engaged a computer consultant to perform an evaluation of the core computer system and its ancillary programs as a resource in making future enhancement decisions.

Loss on sale or write-down on foreclosed assets increased to $96,000 in 2012. During 2012, this item increased as a result of Mid Penn's ongoing analysis of the carrying values of repossessed properties and the adjustment of their values to current market rates.

Loan collection costs increased to $369,000 in 2012 from $299,000 in 2011. OREO expense increased to $253,000 in 2012 from $161,000 in 2011. These items have risen as Mid Penn continues to work through collection efforts on the pool of nonperforming assets within the loan portfolio.

ATM debit card processing and internet banking expenses have both increased in recent years due to increasing customer demand for these banking services.

During 2012, Mid Penn reached the end of a three year contract for its insurance coverage and experienced an increase in premium costs upon renewal of its policies. Also during 2012, Mid Penn made increasing use of temporary employees to finalize the conversion of loan and credit documents from paper storage to an electronic storage mechanism, significantly reducing the need for floor space and fire protection safeguards for these documents.

2011 versus 2010

The major component of noninterest expense is salaries and employee benefits. Increases in the 2011 workforce primarily included additions to compliance and operations support functions within Mid Penn, in order to provide enhanced controls and procedures to support a more sophisticated product line and customer base. The escalating compliance and regulatory burden experienced by banks throughout the industry necessitated the hiring of dedicated compliance staff as well as dedicating resources from support areas throughout Mid Penn to complying with the expanding regulatory changes. Mid Penn also recognized in 2011 a full year of salary and employee benefits expense from the 2010 additions within the support functions throughout the Corporation to enhance controls and support future growth. During 2011, medical benefits increased $126,000 from 2010 levels. In addition, commission-based compensation paid to mortgage originators and retail investment representatives increased $107,000 from 2010 levels.

Occupancy and equipment expenses also increased in 2011 primarily in connection with utility and snow removal costs from the harsh winter months early in the year.

FDIC insurance expense increased in 2011, closing the year at $1,057,000 as compared to $897,000 during 2010. The historically high levels of FDIC insurance expense during 2009, 2010, and 2011 stem from the escalation in Deposit Insurance premiums assessed by the FDIC on all its member banks to restore the Deposit Insurance Fund and keep it solvent to handle future bank failures should they occur. Mid Penn's premium level was also negatively impacted by

the increase in assets used in the calculation of Deposit Insurance premiums.

Computer expense increased from $578,000 in 2010 to $697,000 in 2011. Mid Penn has been making significant enhancements to technology platforms to enhance efficiencies within the support departments and enable updated products and services to customers. These charges reflect the ongoing service contracts for these enhancements.

Internet banking expense increased to $195,000 in 2011 from $138,000 in 2010. A major focus throughout 2010 was the implementation of an enhanced website and internet banking platform. The cost of providing enhanced functionality is reflected in this line item and is part of Mid Penn's efforts to provide a robust suite of technology-related products and services to the marketplace.

The final significant item was the (gain) loss on sale or write-down on foreclosed assets of ($20,000) in 2011 and $283,000 in 2010. During 2010, this item increased as a result of Mid Penn's ongoing analysis of the carrying values of repossessed properties and the adjustment of their values to current market rates in the face of the overall decline in real estate values plaguing the real estate market. In 2011, the carrying values on repossessed properties have stabilized and some small gains have been realized from the ongoing liquidation of these properties.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

TABLE 5: NONINTEREST EXPENSE

(Dollars in thousands)	Years ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Salaries and employee benefits	$ 10,518	$ 9,519	$ 8,760
Occupancy expense, net	1,077	1,075	916
Equipment expense	1,234	1,292	1,361
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	462	449	443
FDIC Assessment	1,034	1,057	897
Legal and Professional fees	604	444	529
Director fees and benefits expense	335	304	303
Marketing and advertising expense	378	354	308
Computer expense	648	697	578
Telephone expense	411	377	362
Loss (gain) / write-down on sale of foreclosed assets	96	(20)	283
Intangible amortization	45	65	65
Loan collection costs	369	299	307
ATM debit card processing expense	171	152	122
Internet banking expense	240	195	138
Meals, travel, and lodging expense	266	228	211
Insurance	126	86	81
OREO expense	253	161	110
Investor services	76	72	47
Contract labor	42	-	28
Other expenses	1,308	1,242	1,272
Total Noninterest Expense	$ 19,693	$ 18,048	$ 17,121

Investments

Mid Penn's investment portfolio is utilized to provide liquidity and managed to maximize return within reasonable risk parameters.

Mid Penn's entire portfolio of investment securities is considered available for sale. As such, the investments are recorded at fair value. Our investments are valued at a market price relative to investments of the same type with similar maturity dates. As the interest rate environment of these securities changes, the value of securities changes accordingly.

As of December 31, 2012, the unrealized gain on investment securities resulted in an increase in shareholders' equity of $2,432,000 (unrealized gain on securities of $3,685,000 less estimated income tax expense of $1,253,000). At December 31, 2011, the unrealized gain on investment securities resulted in an increase in shareholders' equity of $2,044,000 (unrealized gain on securities of $3,096,000 less estimated income tax expense of $1,052,000) compared to an increase in shareholders' equity of $176,000 (unrealized gain on securities of $266,000 less estimated income tax expense of $90,000) at December 31, 2010. Mid Penn does not have any significant concentrations within its portfolio of investment securities. Table 6 provides a summary of our available for sale investment securities.

TABLE 6: FAIR VALUE OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ 17,740	$ 27,617	$ 17,394
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	66,686	82,668	25,387
State and political subdivision obligations	69,479	48,366	27,678
Equity securities	390	392	243
	$ 154,295	$ 159,043	$ 70,702

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

Maturity and yield information relating to the investment portfolio is shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7: INVESTMENT MATURITY AND YIELD

(Dollars in thousands) As of December 31, 2012	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years thru Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ -	$ 17,740	$ -	$ -	$ 17,740
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	-	895	11,063	54,728	66,686
State and political subdivision obligations	326	5,609	27,282	36,262	69,479
Equity securities	-	-	-	390	390
	$ 326	$ 24,244	$ 38,345	$ 91,380	$ 154,295

	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years thru Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total
Weighted Average Yields					
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	-	1.73%	-	-	1.73%
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	-	4.25%	3.82%	4.14%	4.09%
State and political subdivision obligations	4.79%	6.38%	4.45%	3.83%	4.28%
Equity securities	-	-	-	4.10%	4.10%
	4.79%	2.90%	4.27%	4.02%	3.91%

Loans

At December 31, 2012, loans and leases totaled $484,220,000, a $1,503,000 or 0.3% increase from December 31, 2011. During 2012, Mid Penn experienced a net increase in commercial real estate and commercial/industrial loans of approximately $6,599,000. This increase was the result of an increase in business lending opportunities to credit-worthy borrowers within the markets Mid Penn serves during 2012. Mid Penn experienced a decrease in residential real estate loans of approximately $3,603,000 during 2012 as real estate values stabilized and borrowers felt more comfortable refinancing higher-priced debt.

At December 31, 2012, loans, net of unearned income, represented 72.1% of earning assets as compared to 71.0% on December 31, 2011 and 78.3% on December 31, 2010.

The Bank's loan portfolio is diversified among individuals and small and medium-sized businesses generally located within the Bank's trading area of Dauphin County, lower Northumberland County, western Schuylkill County and eastern Cumberland County. Commercial real estate, construction and land development loans are collateralized mainly by mortgages on the income-producing real estate or land involved. Commercial, industrial and agricultural loans are made to business entities and may be secured by business assets, including commercial real estate, or may be unsecured. Residential real estate loans are secured by liens on the residential property. Consumer loans include installment loans, lines of credit and home equity loans. The Bank has no concentration of credit to any one borrower. The Bank’s highest concentration of credit is in Commercial Real Estate financings.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management’s Discussion and Analysis

A distribution of the Bank's loan portfolio according to major loan classification is shown in Table 8.

TABLE 8: LOAN PORTFOLIO

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,									
	2012		2011		2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	$ 255,231	52.7	$ 249,204	51.6	$ 252,915	54.0	$ 253,878	52.8	$ 234,762	53.9
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	79,228	16.4	78,656	16.3	70,295	15.0	85,795	17.8	71,385	16.4
Real estate - residential	143,243	29.6	146,846	30.4	136,048	29.1	128,522	26.7	118,547	27.2
Consumer	6,770	1.4	8,327	1.7	8,922	1.9	12,884	2.7	11,103	2.5
Total Loans	484,472	100.0	483,033	100.0	468,180	100.0	481,079	100.0	435,797	100.0
Unearned income	(252)		(316)		(445)		(694)		(1,154)	
Loans net of unearned discount	484,220		482,717		467,735		480,385		434,643	
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(5,509)		(6,772)		(7,061)		(7,686)		(5,505)	
Net loans	$ 478,711		$ 475,945		$ 460,674		$ 472,699		$ 429,138	

Mid Penn’s maturity and rate sensitivity information related to the loan portfolio is reflected in Table 9.

TABLE 9: LOAN MATURITY AND INTEREST SENSITIVITY

(Dollars in thousands) As of December 31, 2012	One Year and Less	After One Year thru Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	$ 21,236	$ 31,707	$ 202,288	$ 255,231
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	38,878	20,754	19,596	79,228
Real estate - residential mortgages	16,073	17,683	109,487	143,243
Consumer	596	4,588	1,334	6,518
	$ 76,783	$ 74,732	$ 332,705	$ 484,220
Rate Sensitivity				
Predetermined rate	$ 75,137	$ 69,784	$ 141,187	$ 286,108
Floating or adjustable rate	1,646	4,948	191,518	198,112
	$ 76,783	$ 74,732	$ 332,705	$ 484,220

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

Credit Quality, Credit Risk, and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Other than as described herein, we do not believe there are any trends, events or uncertainties that are reasonably expected to have a material impact on future results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources. Further, based on known information, we believe that the effects of current and past economic conditions and other unfavorable business conditions may influence certain borrowers' abilities to comply with their repayment terms. Mid Penn continues to monitor closely the financial strength of these borrowers. Mid Penn does not engage in practices which may be used to artificially shield certain borrowers from the negative economic or business cycle effects that may compromise their ability to repay. Mid Penn does not normally structure construction loans with interest reserve components. Mid Penn has not in the past performed any commercial real estate or other type loan workouts whereby an existing loan was restructured into multiple new loans. Also, Mid Penn does not extend loans at maturity solely due to the existence of guarantees, without recognizing the credit as impaired. While the existence of a guarantee may be a mitigating factor in determining the proper level of allowance once impairment has been identified, the guarantee does not affect the impairment analysis.

TABLE 10: NONPERFORMING ASSETS

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Nonperforming Assets:					
Nonaccrual loans	$ 11,831	$ 11,800	$ 17,228	$ 14,933	$ 4,113
Accruing troubled debt restructured loans	426	571	2,323	308	51
Total nonperforming loans	12,257	12,371	19,551	15,241	4,164
Foreclosed real estate	843	931	596	663	1,516
Total nonperforming assets	13,100	13,302	20,147	15,904	5,680
Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	-	-	19	661	1,860
Total risk elements	$ 13,100	$ 13,302	$ 20,166	$ 16,565	$ 7,540
Nonperforming loans as a % of total loans outstanding	2.53%	2.56%	4.18%	3.17%	0.96%
Nonperforming assets as a % of total loans outstanding and other real estate	2.71%	2.76%	4.31%	3.31%	1.30%
Ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	44.95%	54.74%	36.12%	50.43%	132.20%

Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans prior to charging down or charging off the loan. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact and is not treated as a restructured credit. During 2012, nonperforming loans declined $114,000 from $12,371,000 at December 31, 2011. This improvement has been the result of slight improvement in some sectors of the general economy and maintaining a close relationship with troubled borrowers as they navigate their plan toward a resolution of credit issues.

Mid Penn's troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2012 totaled $3,394,000, of which, $426,000 are accruing residential mortgages in compliance with the terms of the modification. As a result of the evaluation, a specific allocation and, subsequently, charge offs have been taken as appropriate. Further discussion of troubled debt restructured loans can be found in Note 7 to Mid Penn's Consolidated Financial Statements, which are incorporated herein by reference. As of December 31, 2012, there were no defaulted troubled debt restructured loans as all troubled debt restructured loans were current with respect to their associated forbearance agreements.

Mid Penn entered into forbearance agreements on all loans currently classified as troubled debt restructures and all of these agreements have resulted in additional principal repayment. The terms of these forbearance agreements vary whereby principal payments have been decreased, interest rates have been reduced and/or the loan will be repaid as collateral is sold.

As a result of adopting the amendments in ASU No. 2011-02, Mid Penn reassessed all restructurings that occurred on or after January 1, 2011 for identification as troubled debt restructurings. Mid Penn identified no loans for which the allowance for loan losses had previously been measured under a general allowance for credit losses methodology that are now considered troubled debt restructurings in accordance with ASU No. 2011-02.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

The following table provides additional analysis of partially charged-off loans:

TABLE 11: PARTIALLY CHARGED OFF LOANS

(Dollars in thousands)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Period ending total loans outstanding (net of unearned income)	$ 484,220	$ 482,717
Allowance for loan and lease losses	5,509	6,772
Total Nonperforming loans	12,257	12,371
Nonperforming and impaired loans with partial charge-offs	3,744	4,505
Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total loans	0.77%	0.93%
Ratio of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs to total nonperforming loans	30.55%	36.42%
Coverage ratio net of nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	64.71%	86.09%
Ratio of total allowance to total loans less nonperforming loans with partial charge-offs	1.15%	1.42%

Mid Penn has not experienced any additional charge-offs on loans for which a partial charge-off had originally been taken.

Mid Penn considers a commercial loan or commercial real estate loan to be impaired when it becomes 90 days or more past due and not in the process of collection. This methodology assumes the borrower cannot or will not continue to make additional payments. At that time the loan would be considered collateral dependent as the discounted cash flow ("DCF") method indicates no operating income is available for evaluating the collateral position; therefore, all impaired loans are deemed to be collateral dependent.

Mid Penn evaluates loans for charge-off on a monthly basis. Policies that govern the recommendation for charge-off are unique to the type of loan being considered. Commercial loans rated as nonaccrual or lower will first have a collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. Once the collateral evaluation has been completed, a specific allocation of allowance is made based upon the results of the evaluation. In the event the

loan is unsecured, the loan would have been charged-off at the recognition of impairment. If the loan is secured, it will undergo a 90 day waiting period to ensure the collateral shortfall identified in the evaluation is accurate and then charged down by the specific allocation. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). Commercial real estate loans rated as impaired will also have an initial collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. An updated real estate valuation is ordered and the collateral evaluation is modified to reflect any variations in value. A specific allocation of allowance is made for any anticipated collateral shortfall and a 90-day waiting period begins to ensure the accuracy of the collateral shortfall. The loan is then charged down by the specific allocation. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). The process of charge-off for residential mortgage loans begins upon a loan becoming delinquent for 90 days and not in the process of collection. The existing appraisal is reviewed and a lien search is obtained to determine lien position and any instances of intervening liens. A new appraisal of the property will be ordered if deemed necessary by management and a collateral evaluation is completed. The loan will then be charged down to the value indicated in the evaluation. Consumer loans are recommended for charge-off after reaching delinquency of 90 days and the loan is not in the process of collection. The entire balance of the consumer loan is recommended for charge-off at this point.

As noted above, Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for both commercial loans and commercial real estate loans prior to charging down or charging off the loan. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In addition, Mid Penn takes a preemptive step when any commercial loan or commercial real estate loan becomes classified under its internal classification system. A preliminary collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans is prepared using the existing collateral information in the loan file. This process allows Mid Penn to review both the credit and documentation files to determine the status of the information needed to make a collateral evaluation. This collateral evaluation is preliminary but allows Mid Penn to determine if any potential collateral shortfalls exist.

Larger groups of small-balance loans, such as residential mortgages and consumer installment loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, individual consumer and residential loans are not separately identified for impairment disclosures unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as sub-standard non-accrual to be impaired, and all of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, all of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate within 30 days of the credit being classified as sub-standard non-accrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however no allowance recommendation will be made until which time Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation. The credit department employs an electronic tracking system to monitor the receipt of and need for updated appraisals. To date, there have been no significant time lapses noted with the above processes.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to provide a fair estimate of value based on auction sales or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary.

For impaired loans with no valuation allowance required, Mid Penn's practice of obtaining independent third party market valuations on the subject property within 30 days of being placed on non-accrual status sometimes indicates that the loan to value ratio is sufficient to obviate the need for a specific allocation in spite of significant deterioration in real estate values in Mid Penn's primary market area. These circumstances are determined on a case by case analysis of the impaired loans.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 12 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

Mid Penn does not currently, or plan in the future to, use automated valuation methodologies as a method of valuing real estate collateral.

As of December 31, 2012, Mid Penn had several unrelated loan relationships, with an aggregate carrying balance of $9,744,000, deemed impaired. This pool of loans is further broken down into a group of loans with an aggregate carrying balance of $2,858,000 for which specific allocations totaling $1,383,000 have been included within the loan loss reserve for these loans. The remaining $6,886,000 of loans requires no specific allocation within the loan loss reserve. The $9,744,000 pool of impaired loan relationships is comprised of $7,564,000 in real estate secured commercial relationships and $2,180,000 in business relationships. There are specific allocations against the real estate secured pool totaling $519,000, spread among thirteen relationships composed primarily of customers engaged

in real estate investment activities. The group of impaired business relationships with specific allocations is made up of six relationships and a specific allocation of $864,000 has been set aside against these credits. Five small business relationships account for $165,000 of the specific allocations due to the negative effects of the economy on their businesses. One additional large commercial participation loan in this pool has shown exceptional collateral devaluation and is responsible for a specific allocation of $699,000 of the total pool attributable to this segment. Management currently believes that the specific reserves are adequate to cover probable future losses related to these relationships.

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established in the form of a provision expense for loan and lease losses and is reduced by loan charge-offs net of recoveries. In conjunction with an internal loan review function that operates independently of the lending function, management monitors the loan portfolio to identify risk on a monthly basis so that an appropriate allowance is maintained. Based on an evaluation of the loan portfolio, management presents a monthly review of the allowance for loan and lease losses to the Board of Directors, indicating any changes in the allowance since the last review. In making the evaluation, management considers the results of recent regulatory examinations, which typically include a review of the allowance for loan and lease losses an integral part of the examination process.

In establishing the allowance, management evaluates on a quantitative basis individual classified loans and nonaccrual loans, and determines an aggregate reserve for those loans based on that review. In addition, an allowance for the remainder of the loan and lease portfolio is determined based on historical loss experience within certain components of the portfolio. These allocations may be modified if current conditions indicate that loan and lease losses may differ from historical experience.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

In addition, a portion of the allowance is established for losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio which have not been identified by the quantitative processes described above. This determination inherently involves a higher degree of subjectivity, and considers risk factors that may not have yet manifested themselves in historical loss experience. These factors include:

- Changes in local, regional, and national economic and business conditions affecting the collectability of the portfolio, the values of underlying collateral, and the condition of various market segments.
- Changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of nonaccrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified loans.
- Changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff as well as the quality of the institution's loan review system.
- Changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio and the terms of loans generally offered.
- The existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.

While the allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level believed to be adequate by management for covering estimated losses in the loan and lease portfolio, determination of the allowance is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Changes in these estimates may impact the provisions charged to expense in future periods.

Management believes, based on information currently available, that the allowance for loan and lease losses of $5,509,000 is adequate as of December 31, 2012.

The allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses among the major classifications is shown in Table 12 as of December 31 of each of the past five years.

TABLE 12: ALLOCATION OF THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Commercial real estate, construction and land development	$ 3,122	$ 3,567	$ 3,775	$ 3,334	$ 3,326
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	1,299	2,276	2,448	3,545	1,860
Real estate - residential	635	362	219	175	87
Consumer	444	424	424	467	172

Unallocated	9	143	195	165	60
	$ 5,509	$ 6,772	$ 7,061	$ 7,686	$ 5,505

The 2012 provision of $1,036,000 is a decrease of $169,000 from the $1,205,000 provision in 2011. The continued slowness in the economy and continuing credit quality concerns of Mid Penn’s loan portfolio during 2012 necessitated larger than pre-2009 provision levels, even though the amount was a reduction from 2011.

The allowance for loan and lease losses at December 31, 2012 was $5,509,000, or 1.14% of total loans less unearned discount as compared to $6,772,000, or 1.40% at December 31, 2011 and $7,061,000, or 1.51% at December 31, 2010.

Deposits and Other Funding Sources

Mid Penn's primary source of funds are deposits. Total deposits at December 31, 2012 decreased by $8,594,000, or 1.4% over December 31, 2011, which increased by $79,073,000, or 14.2% over December 31, 2010. Average balances and average interest rates applicable to the major classifications of deposits for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 are presented in Table 13.

Average short-term borrowings for 2012 were $1,044,000 as compared to $803,000 in 2011. These borrowings included customer repurchase agreements, treasury tax and loan note option borrowings and federal funds purchased.

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had $4,128,000 in brokered deposits. With continued success in the local deposit environment, along with the maturity of a $10,000,000 brokered certificate of deposit, the Bank reduced its brokered deposit funding by $9,226,000, after having reduced such funding by $3,140,000 in 2011.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

TABLE 13: DEPOSITS BY MAJOR CLASSIFICATION

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	$ 47,670	0.00%	$ 63,484	0.00%	$ 58,480	0.00%
Interest-bearing demand deposits	126,171	0.36%	57,342	0.25%	48,024	0.14%
Money market	236,434	0.84%	248,615	1.20%	163,415	1.44%
Savings	28,632	0.05%	27,801	0.05%	26,585	0.06%
Time	180,356	2.04%	209,574	2.56%	239,761	2.87%
	$ 619,263	0.99%	$ 606,816	1.40%	$ 536,265	1.74%

The maturity distribution of time deposits of $100,000 or more is reflected in Table 14.

TABLE 14: MATURITY OF TIME DEPOSITS $100,000 OR MORE

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Three months or less	$ 7,207	$ 7,824	$ 7,322
Over three months to twelve months	18,340	21,979	21,031
Over twelve months	32,763	36,807	37,870
	$ 58,310	$ 66,610	$ 66,223

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity, or capital, is evaluated in relation to total assets and the risk associated with those assets. The detailed computation of the Bank's regulatory capital ratios can be found in Note 17 of Item 8, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The greater a corporation's capital resources, the more likely it is to meet its cash obligations and absorb unforeseen losses. Too much capital, however, indicates that not enough of the corporation's earnings have been invested in the continued growth of the business or paid to shareholders. The buildup makes it difficult for a

corporation to offer a competitive return on the shareholders' capital going forward. For these reasons capital adequacy has been, and will continue to be, of paramount importance.

Shareholders' equity decreased in 2012 by $1,232,000, or 2.3%, following an increase in 2011 by $5,251,000, or 10.9%, and an increase in 2010 of $1,497,000, or 3.2%. Capital was negatively impacted in 2012 by the repayment and redemption of the $10,000,000 in the Series A preferred stock, but the impact was softened by the net income of $4,437,000 and the issuance of the $4,880,000 in Series B preferred stock in 2012. Subsequently, the Series B preferred stock offering of $5,000,000 was completed on January 3, 2013. Capital was positively impacted in 2011 by the net income of $4,029,000 and the increase in other comprehensive income from the increase in value of the assets in the available for sale investment portfolio. Capital was positively impacted in 2010 by the net income of $2,234,000 and the suspension of the common dividend to shareholders.

Mid Penn's normal intent for dividend payout is to provide quarterly cash returns to shareholders and earnings retention at a level sufficient to finance future growth. The dividends paid on common shares totaled $0.25 for the year ended December 31, 2012, which included two $0.05 dividends in the fourth quarter, while $0.20 in dividends were paid for the year ended December 31, 2011. Mid Penn suspended the quarterly cash dividend to shareholders throughout 2010, consistent with Federal Reserve Board policy that dividends payouts should not exceed net income for the previous four quarters, net of dividends paid during that period.

The dividend payout ratio, which represents the percentage of annual net income returned to shareholders in the form of cash dividends, was 19.69% for 2012 and 17.24% for 2011.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

Mid Penn maintained the following regulatory capital levels, leverage ratios, and risk-based capital ratios as of December 31, 2012, and 2011, as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual:		Capital Adequacy Minimum Capital Required:		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions:	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2012:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 48,822	6.8%	$ 28,530	4.0%	$ N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	48,822	10.0%	19,593	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	54,421	11.1%	39,185	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2012:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 48,764	6.9%	$ 28,111	4.0%	$ 35,138	5.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	48,764	10.0%	19,593	4.0%	29,389	6.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	54,363	11.1%	39,185	8.0%	48,981	10.0%
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2011:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 50,451	7.0%	$ 28,679	4.0%	$ N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	50,451	10.3%	19,566	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	56,513	11.6%	39,132	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2011:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 50,265	7.1%	$ 28,326	4.0%	$ 35,408	5.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	50,265	10.4%	19,367	4.0%	29,051	6.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	56,327	11.6%	38,735	8.0%	48,419	10.0%

Capital Purchase Program Participation

On December 19, 2008, Mid Penn entered into and closed a letter agreement with the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") pursuant to which the Treasury invested $10,000,000 in Mid Penn under the Treasury's

Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"). Under the letter agreement, the Treasury received (1) 10,000 shares of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, $1,000 liquidation preference ("Series A Preferred Stock"), and (2) warrants to purchase up to 73,099 shares of the Mid Penn common stock at an exercise price of $20.52 per share (the "Warrants").

On December 28, 2012, Mid Penn entered into a letter agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which Mid Penn repurchased from the Treasury all 10,000 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock issued to the Treasury, which constitutes all of the issued and outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Mid Penn repurchased the Series A Preferred Stock for a purchase price equal to the aggregate liquidation amount of the Preferred Stock of $10,000,000, plus accrued but unpaid dividends of $59,722. All 10,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock have subsequently been cancelled.

On January 23, 2013, Mid Penn entered into a letter agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which Mid Penn repurchased from the Treasury on that date the Warrants for $58,479. The Warrants have subsequently been cancelled.

As of the date hereof, Mid Penn has no further financial obligations under the Series A Preferred Stock, the Warrants, or the Treasury's CPP.

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for 2012 was $1,244,000 compared to $1,223,000 in 2011 and $416,000 in 2010. The effective tax rate was 20% for 2012, 21% for 2011, and 13% for 2010.

The tax expense in 2012 and 2011 resulted from net income generated in the normal course of business. Generally, our effective tax rate is below the statutory rate due to earnings on tax-exempt loans, investments, and bank-owned life insurance, and the impact of tax credits. The

realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on future earnings. As a result of Mid Penn’s adoption of ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes, no significant income tax uncertainties were identified; therefore, Mid Penn recognized no adjustment for unrealized income tax benefits for the periods ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. We currently anticipate that future earnings will be adequate to fully utilize deferred tax assets.

Liquidity

Mid Penn's asset-liability management policy addresses the management of Mid Penn's liquidity position and its ability to raise sufficient funds to meet deposit withdrawals, fund loan growth and meet other operational needs. Mid Penn utilizes its investments as a source of liquidity, along with deposit growth and increases in repurchase agreements and borrowings. (See Deposits and Other Funding Sources which appears earlier in this discussion.) Liquidity from investments is provided primarily through investments and interest-bearing balances with maturities of one year or less. Funds are available to Mid Penn through loans from the Federal Home Loan Bank and established federal funds (overnight) lines of credit. Mid Penn's major source of funds is its core deposit base.

Major sources of cash in 2012 came from the maturity of investment securities of $39,453,000, the sale of investment securities of $17,895,000, and the increase in demand deposit and savings accounts of $29,645,000.

Major uses of cash in 2012 were the purchase of investment securities of $53,553,000, as well as the decrease in time deposits of $38,239,000.

Major sources of cash in 2011 came from the net increase in deposits of $79,073,000, as well as the decrease in interest-bearing balances of $27,564,000.

The major uses of cash in 2011 were the net purchase of investment securities of $84,744,000 and the increase in loans and leases of $17,774,000.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Table 15 represents Mid Penn’s on-and-off balance sheet aggregate contractual obligations to make future payments as of December 31, 2012:

TABLE 15: AGGREGATE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

(Dollars in thousands)		Payments Due by Period				
	Note Reference	Total	One Year or Less	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five Years
Certificates of deposit	9	$ 163,653	$ 69,663	$ 73,265	$ 16,616	$ 4,109
Long-term debt	11	22,510	14,189	5,000	-	3,321
Operating lease obligations	19	255	114	141	-	-
Payments under benefit plans	13	1,609	124	292	332	861
		$ 188,027	$ 84,090	$ 78,698	$ 16,948	$ 8,291

We are not aware of any other commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on Mid Penn’s liquidity or capital resources.

Effects of Inflation

A bank's asset and liability structure is substantially different from that of an industrial company in that virtually all assets and liabilities of a bank are monetary in nature. Management believes the impact of inflation on its financial results depends principally upon Mid Penn's ability to manage the balance sheet sensitivity to changes in interest rates and, by such reaction, mitigate the inflationary impact on performance. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or at the same magnitude as the prices of other goods and services. As discussed previously, Management seeks to manage the relationship between interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Information shown elsewhere in this Annual Report will assist in the understanding of how Mid Penn is positioned to react to changing interest rates and inflationary trends. In particular, the summary of net liabilities, as well as the composition of loans, investments and deposits should be considered.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management’s Discussion and Analysis

Off-Balance Sheet Items

Mid Penn makes contractual commitments to extend credit and extends lines of credit, which are subject to Mid Penn's credit approval and monitoring procedures.

As of December 31, 2012, commitments to extend credit amounted to $99,958,000 as compared to $91,619,000 as of December 31, 2011.

Mid Penn also issues standby letters of credit to its customers. The risk associated with standby letters of credit is essentially the same as the credit risk involved in loan extensions to customers. Standby letters of credit increased to $10,417,000 at December 31, 2012, from $7,320,000 at December 31, 2011.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Management's Discussion and Analysis

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a financial institution, Mid Penn's primary source of market risk is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the exposure to fluctuations in Mid Penn's future earnings (earnings at risk) resulting from changes in interest rates. This exposure or sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of Mid Penn's portfolio of assets and liabilities. Each asset and liability reprices either at maturity or during the life of the instrument. Interest rate sensitivity is measured as the difference between the volume of assets and liabilities that are subject to repricing in a future period of time.

The principal purpose of asset-liability management is to maximize current and future net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate risk while satisfying liquidity and capital requirements. Net interest income is increased by increasing the net interest margin and by volume growth. Thus the goal of interest rate risk management is to maintain a balance between risk and reward such that net interest income is maximized while risk is maintained at an acceptable level.

Mid Penn utilizes an asset-liability management model to measure the impact of interest rate movements on its interest rate sensitivity position. Mid Penn's management also reviews the traditional maturity gap analysis regularly. Mid Penn does not always attempt to achieve an exact match between interest sensitive assets and liabilities because it believes that an actively managed amount of interest rate risk is inherent and appropriate in the management of the Corporation's profitability.

Modeling techniques and simulation analysis involve assumptions and estimates that inherently cannot be measured with complete precision. Key assumptions in the analyses include maturity and repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities, prepayments on amortizing assets, non-maturing deposit sensitivity, and loan and deposit pricing. These assumptions are inherently uncertain due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. However, the analyses are useful in quantifying risk and provide a relative gauge of Mid Penn's interest rate risk position over time.

Management reviews interest rate risk on a quarterly basis. This analysis includes earnings scenarios whereby interest rates are increased and decreased by 100, 200, and 300 basis points. These scenarios, detailed in Table 16, indicate that Mid Penn would experience enhanced net interest income over a one-year time frame due to upward interest rate changes, while a reduction in interest rates would result in a less pronounced reduction in net interest income over a one-year time frame; however, actual results could vary significantly from the calculations prepared by Management. At December 31, 2012, all interest rate risk levels according to the model were within the tolerance limits of Board approved policy with the exception of the up 200 basis point scenario. Because this variance is to the benefit of Mid Penn, Management is monitoring the situation but not taking any action at this time to remedy the variance to policy. In addition, the table does not take into consideration changes, which Management would make to realign its portfolio in the event of a changing rate environment.

TABLE 16: EFFECT OF HYPOTHETICAL CHANGES IN INTEREST RATES

December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
Change in Basis Points	% Change in Net Interest Income	Risk Limit	Change in Basis Points	% Change in Net Interest Income	Risk Limit
300	23.42%	+/- 25%	300	3.54%	+/- 25%
200	15.49%	+/- 15%	200	2.36%	+/- 15%
100	7.32%	+/- 10%	100	1.09%	+/- 10%
0			0		
(100)	-5.03%	+/- 10%	(100)	-1.54%	+/- 10%
(200)	-9.86%	+/- 15%	(200)	-2.91%	+/- 15%
(300)	-14.72%	+/- 25%	(300)	-4.29%	+/- 25%

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The following audited financial statements are set forth in this Annual Report of Form 10-K on the following pages:

Index to Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	44
Consolidated Balance Sheets	45
Consolidated Statements of Income	46
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	47
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	48
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	49
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	50

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Corporation") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. The Corporation's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Corporation is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Corporation as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their

cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

ParenteBeard LLC

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

March 25, 2013

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	$ 11,200	$ 9,847
Interest-bearing balances with other financial institutions	1,273	1,555
Federal funds sold	3,000	6,439
Total cash and cash equivalents	15,473	17,841
Interest-bearing time deposits with other financial institutions	23,563	27,477
Available for sale investment securities	154,295	159,043
Loans and leases, net of unearned interest	484,220	482,717
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	(5,509)	(6,772)
Net loans and leases	478,711	475,945
Bank premises and equipment, net	13,123	13,324
Restricted investment in bank stocks	2,503	3,120
Foreclosed assets held for sale	843	931
Accrued interest receivable	2,893	3,067
Deferred income taxes	1,789	2,439
Goodwill	1,016	1,016
Core deposit and other intangibles, net	288	274
Cash surrender value of life insurance	8,143	7,896
Other assets	2,560	3,010
Total Assets	$ 705,200	$ 715,383
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Noninterest bearing demand	$ 57,977	$ 73,261
Interest bearing demand	164,837	59,403
Money Market	210,588	271,521
Savings	28,406	27,978
Time	163,653	201,892
Total Deposits	625,461	634,055
Long-term debt	22,510	22,701
Accrued interest payable	620	1,064
Other liabilities	4,389	4,111
Total Liabilities	652,980	661,931
Shareholders' Equity:		
Series A Preferred stock, par value $1.00; authorized 10,000,000 shares; 5% cumulative dividend; 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 10,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011	-	10,000
Series B Preferred stock, par value $1.00; liquidation value $1,000; authorized 5,000 shares; 7% cumulative dividend; 4,880 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 0 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2011	4,880	-
Common stock, par value $1.00; authorized 10,000,000 shares; 3,489,684 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 3,484,509 at December 31, 2011	3,490	3,484
Additional paid-in capital	29,816	29,830

Retained earnings	11,741	8,222
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,293	1,916
Total Shareholders’ Equity	52,220	53,452
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	$ 705,200	$ 715,383

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Consolidated Statements of Income

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME			
Interest & fees on loans and leases	$ 27,233	$ 28,038	$ 27,397
Interest on interest-bearing balances	236	520	818
Interest and dividends on investment securities:			
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	1,137	1,619	788
State and political subdivision obligations, tax-exempt	1,722	1,329	1,108
Other securities	22	14	12
Interest on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	16	25	25
Total Interest Income	30,366	31,545	30,148
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Interest on deposits	6,147	8,509	9,319
Interest on short-term borrowings	3	4	18
Interest on long-term debt	975	1,009	1,305
Total Interest Expense	7,125	9,522	10,642
Net Interest Income	23,241	22,023	19,506
PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	1,036	1,205	2,635
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	22,205	20,818	16,871
NONINTEREST INCOME			
Income from fiduciary activities	575	539	431
Service charges on deposits	565	704	1,139
Net gain on sales of investment securities	267	-	-
Earnings from cash surrender value of life insurance	247	258	270
Mortgage banking income	675	390	423
ATM debit card interchange income	472	452	408
Other income	882	653	743
Total Noninterest Income	3,683	2,996	3,414
NONINTEREST EXPENSE			
Salaries and employee benefits	10,518	9,519	8,760
Occupancy expense, net	1,077	1,075	916
Equipment expense	1,234	1,292	1,361
Pennsylvania Bank Shares tax expense	462	449	443
FDIC Assessment	1,034	1,057	897
Legal and professional fees	604	444	529
Director fees and benefits expense	335	304	303
Marketing and advertising expense	378	354	308
Computer expense	648	697	578
Telephone expense	411	377	362
Loss (gain) on sale/write-down of foreclosed assets	96	(20)	283
Intangible amortization	45	65	65
Loan collection costs	369	299	307
Other expenses	2,482	2,136	2,009
Total Noninterest Expense	19,693	18,048	17,121

INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	6,195	5,766	3,164
Provision for income taxes	1,244	1,223	416
NET INCOME	4,951	4,543	2,748
Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion	514	514	514
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	$ 4,437	$ 4,029	$ 2,234
PER COMMON SHARE DATA:			
Basic Earnings Per Common Share	$ 1.27	$ 1.16	$ 0.64
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share	1.27	1.16	0.64
Cash Dividends	0.25	0.20	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Net income	$ 4,951	$ 4,543	$ 2,748
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period on available for sale securities, net of income taxes of $291, $962, and $(330), respectively	565	1,867	(641)
Reclassification adjustment for net gain on sales of available for sale securities included in net income, net of income taxes of $(91), $0, and $0, respectively	(176)	-	-
Change in defined benefit plans, net of income taxes of $(6), $6, and $(49), respectively	(12)	13	(96)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	377	1,880	(737)
Total comprehensive income	$ 5,328	$ 6,423	$ 2,011

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

47

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2009	$ 10,000	$ 3,480	$ 29,824	$ 2,627	$ 773	$ 46,704
Net income	-	-	-	2,748	-	2,748
Total other comprehensive loss, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	(737)	(737)
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	(500)	-	(500)
Amortization of warrant cost	-	-	(14)	-	-	(14)
Balance, December 31, 2010	10,000	3,480	29,810	4,875	36	48,201
Net income	-	-	-	4,543	-	4,543
Total other comprehensive income, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	1,880	1,880
Cash dividends	-	-	-	(696)	-	(696)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	-	4	34	-	-	38
Preferred dividends	-	-	-	(500)	-	(500)
Amortization of warrant cost	-	-	(14)	-	-	(14)
Balance, December 31, 2011	10,000	3,484	29,830	8,222	1,916	53,452
Net income	-	-	-	4,951	-	4,951
Total other comprehensive income, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	377	377
Cash dividends	-	-	-	(872)	-	(872)
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	-	6	50	-	-	56
Series A Preferred stock redemption	(10,000)					(10,000)
Series A Preferred dividends	-	-	-	(560)	-	(560)
Series B Preferred stock issuance, net of costs	4,880		(50)			4,830
Amortization of warrant cost	-	-	(14)	-	-	(14)
Balance, December 31, 2012	$ 4,880	$ 3,490	$ 29,816	$ 11,741	$ 2,293	$ 52,220

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Operating Activities:			
Net Income	$ 4,951	$ 4,543	$ 2,748
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,036	1,205	2,635
Depreciation	1,153	1,230	1,302
(Accretion) amortization of intangibles	(14)	77	18
Net amortization (accretion) of security premiums (discounts)	1,809	(767)	162
Gain on sales of investment securities	(267)	-	-
Earnings on cash surrender value of life insurance	(247)	(258)	(270)
Loss on disposal of property, plant, and equipment	1	46	4
Loss (gain) on sale / write-down of foreclosed assets	96	(20)	283
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	450	(526)	(288)
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable	174	(435)	149
(Increase) decrease in other assets	424	3,006	887
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(444)	(47)	(639)
Increase in other liabilities	278	392	279
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	9,400	8,446	7,270
Investing Activities:			
Net decrease (increase) in interest-bearing balances	3,914	27,564	(16,437)
Proceeds from the maturity of investment securities	39,453	26,413	8,982
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities	17,895	-	-
Purchases of investment securities	(53,553)	(111,157)	(33,472)
Redemptions of restricted investment in bank stock	617	708	201
Net (increase) decrease in loans and leases	(6,389)	(17,774)	8,690
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(995)	(1,415)	(1,587)
Proceeds from sale of bank premises and equipment	42	-	-
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	2,579	983	484
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	3,563	(74,678)	(33,139)
Financing Activities:			
Net increase in demand deposits and savings accounts	29,645	90,955	109,653
Net decrease in time deposits	(38,239)	(11,882)	(54,686)
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	-	(1,561)	(14,483)
Series A preferred stock dividend paid	(560)	(500)	(500)
Common stock dividend paid	(872)	(696)	-
Series A preferred stock redemption	(10,000)	-	-
Series B preferred stock issuance, net of costs	4,830	-	-
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	56	38	-
Long-term debt repayment	(191)	(5,182)	(10,174)
Net Cash (Used In) Provided By Financing Activities	(15,331)	71,172	29,810
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(2,368)	4,940	3,941
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	17,841	12,901	8,960
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	$ 15,473	$ 17,841	$ 12,901

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	$ 7,569	$ 9,569	$ 11,281
Income taxes paid	$ 1,700	$ 940	$ 510
Supplemental Noncash Disclosures:			
Loan transfers to foreclosed assets held for sale	$ 2,587	$ 1,298	$ 700

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries Mid Penn Investment Corporation, Mid Penn Bank ("Bank"), and the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiary Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC (collectively, "Mid Penn"). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

For comparative purposes, the December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 balances have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on net income.

Mid Penn has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date of December 31, 2012, for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these consolidated financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

(2) Nature of Business

The Bank engages in a full-service commercial banking and trust business, making available to the community a wide range of financial services, including, but not limited to, installment loans, mortgage and home equity loans, secured and unsecured commercial and consumer loans, lines of credit, construction financing, farm loans, community development loans, loans to non-profit entities and local government loans and various types of time and demand deposits, including but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, clubs, money market deposit accounts, certificates of deposit and IRAs. In addition, the Bank provides a full range of trust services through its Trust Department. Deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") to the extent provided by law.

The financial services are provided to individuals, partnerships, non-profit organizations, and corporations through its fourteen offices located in Dauphin County, the southern portion of Northumberland County, the western portion of Schuylkill County and the eastern portion of Cumberland County.

A decision was made to close the Mid Penn Investment Corporation, effective August 31, 2010 due to a lack of activity within the subsidiary.

Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC was revived in August of 2010 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank to provide a wide array of personal and commercial insurance products.

(3) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Mid Penn conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and to general practice within the financial industry. The following is a description of the more significant accounting policies.

(a) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets, the assessment of other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, and core deposit intangible and goodwill valuation.

(b) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, balances due from banks, and federal funds sold, all of which mature within ninety days.

(c) Investment Securities

Available for Sale Securities - includes debt and equity securities. Debt and equity securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported, net of deferred income taxes, as a component of

accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of investment securities are computed on the basis of specific identification of the cost of each security. Net gains on sales of investment securities were $267,000 in 2012, and $0 in 2011 and 2010. Mid Penn had no held to maturity securities in 2012 and 2011.

(d) Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are stated at their outstanding unpaid principal balances, net of an allowance for loan losses and any deferred fees or costs. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the yield (interest income) of the related loans. These amounts are generally being amortized over the contractual life of the loan. Premiums and discounts on purchased loans are amortized as adjustments to interest income using the effective yield method.

The loan portfolio is segmented into commercial and consumer loans. Commercial loans consist of the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial real estate-construction and lease financing. Consumer loans consist of the following classes: residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans.

For all classes of loans, the accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days or more past due or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is currently performing. A loan may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. Interest received on nonaccrual loans, including impaired loans, generally is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management's judgment as to the collectability of principal. Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time (generally six months) and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt. The past due status of all classes of loans receivable is determined based on contractual due dates for loan payments.

Commercial and industrial

Mid Penn originates commercial and industrial loans. Most of the Bank's commercial and industrial loans have been extended to finance local and regional businesses and include short-term loans to finance machinery and equipment purchases, inventory, and accounts receivable. Commercial loans also involve the extension of revolving credit for a combination of equipment acquisitions and working capital in expanding companies.

The maximum term for loans extended on machinery and equipment is based on the projected useful life of such machinery and equipment. Generally, the maximum term on non-mortgage lines of credit is one year. The loan-to-value ratio on such loans and lines of credit generally may not exceed 80% of the value of the collateral securing the loan. The Bank's commercial business lending policy includes credit file documentation and analysis of the borrower's character, capacity to repay the loan, the adequacy of the borrower's capital and collateral as well as an evaluation of conditions affecting the borrower. Analysis of the borrower's past, present, and future cash flows is also an important aspect of the Bank's current credit analysis. Nonetheless, such loans are believed to carry higher credit risk than more traditional investments.

Commercial and industrial loans typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself, which, in turn, is likely to be dependent upon the general economic environment. Mid Penn's commercial and industrial loans are usually, but not always, secured by business assets and personal guarantees. However, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise, and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business.

Commercial real estate and commercial real estate - construction

Commercial real estate and commercial real estate construction loans generally present a higher level of risk than loans secured by one to four family residences. This greater risk is due to several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effect of general economic conditions on income producing properties, and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. In addition, the repayment of loans secured by commercial real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate project. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Lease financing

Mid Penn originates leases for select commercial and state and municipal government lessees. The nature of the leased asset is often subject to rapid depreciation in salvage value over a relatively short time frame or may be of an industry specific nature, making appraisal or liquidation of the asset difficult. These factors have led the Bank to severely curtail the origination of new leases to state or municipal government agencies where default risk is extremely limited and to only the most credit-worthy commercial customers. These commercial customers are primarily leasing fleet vehicles for use in their primary line of business, mitigating some of the asset value concerns within the portfolio. Leasing has been a declining percentage of the Mid Penn's portfolio since 2006, representing 0.27% of the portfolio at December 31, 2012.

Residential mortgage

Mid Penn offers a wide array of residential mortgage loans for both permanent structures and those under construction. The Bank's residential mortgage originations are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market and surrounding areas. Residential mortgage loans have terms up to a maximum of 30 years and with loan to value ratios up to 100% of the lesser of the appraised value of the security property or the contract price. Private mortgage insurance is generally required in an amount sufficient to reduce the Bank's exposure to at or below the 85% loan to value level. Residential mortgage loans generally do not include prepayment penalties.

In underwriting residential mortgage loans, the Bank evaluates both the borrower's ability to make monthly payments and the value of the property securing the loan. Most properties securing real estate loans made by Mid Penn are appraised by independent fee appraisers. The Bank generally requires borrowers to obtain an attorney's title opinion or title insurance and fire and property insurance (including flood insurance, if necessary) in an amount not less than the amount of the loan. Real estate loans originated by the Bank generally contain a "due on sale" clause allowing the Bank to declare the unpaid principal balance due and payable upon the sale of the security property.

The Bank underwrites residential mortgage loans to the standards established by the secondary mortgage market, i.e., Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency standards, with the intention of selling the majority of residential mortgages originated into the secondary market. In the event that the facts and circumstances surrounding a residential mortgage application do not meet all underwriting conditions of the secondary mortgage market, the Bank will evaluate the failed conditions and evaluate the potential risk of holding the residential mortgage in the Bank's portfolio rather than rejecting the loan request. In the event that the loan is held in the Bank's portfolio, the interest rate on the residential mortgage would be increased to compensate for the added portfolio risk.

Consumer, including home equity

Mid Penn offers a variety of secured consumer loans, including home equity, automobile, and deposit secured loans. In addition, the Bank offers other secured and unsecured consumer loans. Most consumer loans are originated in Mid Penn's primary market and surrounding areas.

The largest component of Mid Penn's consumer loan portfolio consists of fixed rate home equity loans and variable rate home equity lines of credit. Substantially all home equity loans and lines of credit are secured by second mortgages on principal residences. The Bank will lend amounts, which, together with all prior leins, typically may be up to 85% of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Home equity term loans may have maximum terms up to 20 years while home equity lines of credit generally have maximum terms of five years.

Consumer loan terms vary according to the type and value of collateral, length of contract and creditworthiness of the borrower. The underwriting standards employed by the Bank for consumer loans include an application, a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is a primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the collateral, if any, in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Consumer loans may entail greater credit risk than do residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles or recreational equipment. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on such loans.

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan and lease losses represents management's estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments

represents management's estimate of losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The allowance for loan and lease losses is increased by the provision for loan and lease losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the principal balance of loans are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Non-residential consumer loans are generally charged off no later than 120 days past due on a contractual basis, earlier in the event of bankruptcy, or if there is an amount deemed uncollectible. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a monthly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on Mid Penn's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, collateral value, or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include changes in economic conditions, fluctuations in loan quality measures, changes in the experience of the lending staff and loan review systems, growth or changes in the mix of loans originated, and shifting industry or portfolio concentrations.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

Mid Penn considers a commercial loan (consisting of commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, commercial real estate-construction, and lease financing loan classes) to be impaired when it becomes 90 days or more past due and not in the process of collection. This methodology assumes the borrower cannot or will not continue to make additional payments. At that time the loan would be considered collateral dependent as the discounted cash flow ("DCF") method indicates no operating income is available for evaluating the collateral position; therefore, all impaired loans are deemed to be collateral dependent.

In addition, Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as sub-standard non-accrual to be impaired, and all of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, all of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

Mid Penn evaluates loans for charge-off on a monthly basis. Policies that govern the recommendation for charge-off are unique to the type of loan being considered. Commercial loans rated as nonaccrual or lower will first have a collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. Once the collateral evaluation has been completed, a specific allocation of allowance is made based upon the results of the evaluation. In the event the loan is unsecured, the loan would have been charged-off at the recognition of impairment. If the loan is secured, it will undergo a 90 day waiting period to ensure the collateral shortfall identified in the evaluation is accurate and then charged down by the specific allocation. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). Commercial loans secured by real estate rated as impaired will also have an initial collateral evaluation completed in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. An updated real estate valuation is ordered and the collateral evaluation is modified to reflect any variations in value. A specific allocation of allowance is made for any anticipated collateral shortfall and a 90 day waiting period begins to ensure the accuracy of the collateral shortfall. The loan is then charged down by the specific allocation. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). The process of charge-off for residential mortgage loans begins upon a loan becoming delinquent for 90 days and not in the process of collection. The existing appraisal is reviewed and a lien search is obtained to determine lien position and any instances of intervening liens. A new appraisal of the property will be ordered if deemed necessary by management and a collateral evaluation is completed. The loan will then be charged down to the value indicated in the evaluation. Consumer loans (including home equity loans and other consumer loans) are recommended for charge-off after reaching delinquency of 90 days and the loan is not in the process of collection. The entire balance of the consumer loan is recommended for charge-off at this point.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

As noted above, Mid Penn assesses a specific allocation for commercial loans prior to charging down or charging off the loan. Once the charge down is taken, the remaining balance remains a nonperforming loan with the original terms and interest rate intact (not restructured). In addition, Mid Penn takes a preemptive step when any commercial loan becomes classified under its internal classification system. A preliminary collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans is prepared using the existing collateral information in the loan file. This process allows Mid Penn to review both the credit and documentation files to determine the status of the information needed to make a collateral evaluation. This collateral evaluation is preliminary but allows Mid Penn to determine if any potential collateral shortfalls exist.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate within 30 days of the credit being classified as sub-standard non-accrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however no allowance recommendation will be made until which time Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation. The credit department employs an electronic tracking system to monitor the receipt of and need for updated appraisals. To date, there have been no significant time lapses noted with the above processes.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to provide a fair estimate of value based on auction sales or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary.

For impaired loans with no valuation allowance required, Mid Penn's practice of obtaining independent third party market valuations on the subject property within 30 days of being placed on non-accrual status sometimes indicates that the loan to value ratio is sufficient to obviate the need for a specific allocation in spite of significant deterioration in real estate values in Mid Penn's primary market area. These circumstances are determined on a case by case analysis of the impaired loans.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 18 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

Mid Penn does not currently, or plan in the future to, use automated valuation methodologies as a method of valuing real estate collateral.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, Mid Penn does not separately identify individual residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a troubled debt restructuring agreement.

Loans whose terms are modified are classified as troubled debt restructurings if the borrowers have been granted concessions and it is deemed that those borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring generally involve a temporary reduction in interest rate or an extension of a loan's stated maturity date. Non-accrual troubled debt restructurings are restored to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six consecutive months after modification. Loans classified as troubled debt restructurings are designated as impaired.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors, and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful, and loss. Loans criticized as special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Any loans not classified as noted above are rated pass.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In addition, Federal and State regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan and lease losses and may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management's comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

(e) Bank Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Buildings, furniture, fixtures, equipment, land improvements, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Building assets are depreciated using an estimated useful life of five to fifty years. Furniture, fixtures, and equipment are depreciated using an estimated useful life of three to ten years. Land improvements are depreciated over an estimated useful life of ten to twenty years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated using an estimated useful life that is the lesser of the remaining life of the lease or ten to thirty years. Maintenance and normal repairs are charged to expense when incurred, while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on disposals are reflected in current operations.

(f) Restricted Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank owns restricted stock investments in the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"). Federal law requires a member institution of the FHLB to hold stock according to a predetermined formula. The stock is carried at cost. In December 2008, the FHLB of Pittsburgh notified member banks that it was suspending dividend payments and the repurchase of capital stock; however, the dividend was reinstated in February 2012. Total dividends received in 2012 totaled $5,000. During 2012, the FHLB of Pittsburgh performed limited excess capital stock repurchases each calendar quarter. Any future capital stock repurchases will be made on a quarterly basis if conditions warrant such repurchases.

Management evaluates the restricted stock for impairment on an annual basis. Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the FHLB.

Management believes no impairment charge is necessary related to the FHLB restricted stock as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(g) Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale

Foreclosed assets held for sale consist primarily of real estate acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure in settlement of debt and are recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of transfer, establishing a new cost basis. Any valuation adjustments required at the date of transfer are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to acquisition, foreclosed assets are carried at fair value less costs of disposal, based upon periodic evaluations that consider changes in market conditions and development and disposal costs. Operating results from assets acquired in satisfaction of debt, including rental income less operating costs and gains or losses on the sale of, or the periodic evaluation of foreclosed assets, are recorded in noninterest expense.

(h) Mortgage Servicing Rights

Mortgage servicing rights are recognized as assets upon the sale of a mortgage loan. A portion of the cost of the loan is allocated to the servicing right based upon relative fair value. The fair value of servicing rights is based on the present value of estimated future cash flows of mortgages sold stratified by rate and maturity date. Assumptions that are incorporated in the valuation of servicing rights include assumptions about prepayment speeds on mortgages and the cost to service loans. Servicing rights are reported in other intangibles and are amortized over the estimated period of future servicing income to be received on the underlying mortgage loans. The carrying amount of mortgage servicing rights was $233,000 and $173,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Amortization expense is netted against loan servicing fee income and is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income in mortgage banking income. Servicing rights are evaluated for impairment based upon estimated fair value as compared to unamortized book value.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(i) Investment in Limited Partnership

Mid Penn invested as a limited partner in a partnership in September 2008 that provides low-income housing in Enola, Pennsylvania. The carrying value of Mid Penn's investment in the limited partnership was $496,000 at December 31, 2012 using the straight-line method. Mid Penn's maximum exposure to loss is limited to the carrying value of its investment at year-end. The partnership anticipates receiving $76,000 annually in low-income housing tax credits.

(j) Income Taxes

Certain items of income and expense are recognized in different accounting periods for financial reporting purposes than for income tax purposes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are provided in recognition of these temporary differences at currently enacted income tax rates. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. Mid Penn recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

(k) Core Deposit Intangible

Core deposit intangible is a measure of the value of consumer demand and savings deposits acquired in business combinations accounted for as purchases. The core deposit intangible is being amortized over an 8-year life on a straight-line basis. The core deposit intangible is subject to impairment testing whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying amount may not reflect benefit.

(l) Goodwill

Goodwill is the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired in connection with 2004 and 2006 business acquisitions accounted for as purchases. Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 350, Intangibles, Goodwill and Other requires a two-step process for testing the impairment of goodwill on at least an annual basis. In 2010, Mid Penn changed the valuation methodology for evaluating goodwill impairment from using an internally prepared analysis based on Mid Penn's stock price, to obtaining an independent valuation by a third party. This change in methodology did not have any effect on the results of operations of Mid Penn. Mid Penn did not identify any impairment on its outstanding goodwill from its most recent testing, which was performed as of December 31, 2012. In addition, Mid Penn did not identify any impairment in 2011 or 2010.

(m) Bank Owned Life Insurance

Mid Penn is the owner and beneficiary of bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") policies on current and former directors. The earnings from the BOLI policies are an asset that can be liquidated, if necessary, with associated tax costs. However, Mid Penn intends to hold these policies and, accordingly, Mid Penn has not provided deferred income taxes on the earnings from the increase in cash surrender value.

GAAP requires Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements to have a liability recognized related to the postretirement benefits covered by an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement, and a liability for the future death benefit.

(n) Marketing and Advertising Costs

Marketing and advertising costs are expensed as incurred.

(o) Postretirement Benefit Plans

Mid Penn follows the guidance in ASC Topic 715, Compensation-Retirement Benefits related to postretirement benefit plans. This guidance requires additional disclosures about defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement defined benefit plans.

(p) Other Benefit Plan

A funded contributory defined-contribution plan is maintained for substantially all employees. The cost of the Mid Penn defined contribution plan is charged to current operating expenses and is funded annually.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(q) Trust Assets and Income

Assets held by the Bank in a fiduciary or agency capacity for customers of the Trust Department are not included in the consolidated financial statements since such items are not assets of the Bank. Trust income is recognized on the cash basis, which is not materially different than if it were reported on the accrual basis.

(r) Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each of the years presented. The following data show the amounts used in computing basic and diluted earnings per share. As shown in the table that follows, diluted earnings per share is computed using weighted average common shares outstanding, plus weighted average common shares available from the exercise of all dilutive stock warrants issued to the U.S. Treasury under the provisions of the Capital Purchase Program, based on the average share price of Mid Penn's common stock during the period.

The computations of basic earnings per common share follow:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2012	2011	2010
Net Income	$ 4,951	$ 4,543	$ 2,748
Less: Dividends on preferred stock	(500)	(500)	(500)
Accretion of preferred stock discount	(14)	(14)	(14)
Net income available to common shareholders	$ 4,437	$ 4,029	$ 2,234
Weighted average common shares outstanding	3,486,543	3,481,414	3,479,780
Basic earnings per common share	$ 1.27	$ 1.16	$ 0.64

The computations of diluted earnings per common share follow:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2012	2011	2010
Net income available to common stockholders	$ 4,437	$ 4,029	$ 2,234
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	3,486,543	3,481,414	3,479,780
Dilutive effect of potential common stock arising from stock warrants:			
Exercise of outstanding stock warrants issued to U.S. Treasury under the Capital Repurchase Program	-	-	-

Adjusted weighted-average common shares outstanding	3,486,543	3,481,414	3,479,780
Diluted earnings per common share	$ 1.27	$ 1.16	$ 0.64

As of December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 Mid Penn had 73,099 warrants that were anti-dilutive because the fair value of the common stock was below the $20.52 exercise price of these warrants.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(4) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes, are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Defined Benefit Plan Liability	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Balance - December 31, 2011	$ 2,044	$ (128)	$ 1,916
Balance - December 31, 2012	$ 2,433	$ (140)	$ 2,293

(5) Restrictions on Cash and Due from Bank Accounts

The Bank is required to maintain reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. The amounts of those required reserve balances were $554,000 at December 31, 2012, and $254,000 at December 31, 2011.

(6) Investment Securities

Securities to be held for indefinite periods, but not intended to be held to maturity, are classified as available for sale and carried at fair value. Securities held for indefinite periods include securities that management intends to use as

part of its asset and liability management strategy and that may be sold in response to liquidity needs, changes in interest rates, resultant prepayment risk, and other factors related to interest rate and resultant prepayment risk changes.

Realized gains and losses on dispositions are based on the net proceeds and the adjusted book value of the securities sold, using the specific identification method. Unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale are based on the difference between book value and fair value of each security. These gains and losses are credited or charged to other comprehensive income, whereas realized gains and losses flow through the Corporation's results of operations.

ASC Topic 320, Investments – Debt and Equity Securities, clarifies the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities, management must assess whether (a) it has the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. These steps are done before assessing whether the entity will recover the cost basis of the investment. Previously, this assessment required management to assert it has both the intent and the ability to hold a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in fair value to avoid recognizing other-than-temporary impairment. This change does not affect the need to forecast recovery of the value of the security through either cash flows or market price.

In instances when a determination is made that other-than-temporary impairment exists but the investor does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, this guidance changes the presentation and amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in the income statement. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, amortized cost, fair value, and unrealized gains and losses on investment securities are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2012				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ 16,394	$ 1,346	$ -	$ 17,740
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	66,783	393	490	66,686
State and political subdivision obligations	67,033	2,542	96	69,479
Equity securities	400	-	10	390
	$ 150,610	$ 4,281	$ 596	$ 154,295

(Dollars in thousands)

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2011				
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ 26,116	$ 1,501	$ -	$ 27,617
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	82,777	491	600	82,668
State and political subdivision obligations	46,654	1,836	124	48,366
Equity securities	400	-	8	392
	$ 155,947	$ 3,828	$ 732	$ 159,043

Estimated fair values of debt securities are based on quoted market prices, where applicable. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments, adjusted for differences between the quoted instruments and the instruments being valued.

Included in equity securities is an investment in Access Capital Strategies, an equity fund that invests in low to moderate income financing projects. This initial investment was purchased in 2004 to help fulfill the Bank’s regulatory requirement of the Community Reinvestment Act and an additional investment was purchased in 2011. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the investment is reported at fair value.

Investment securities having a fair value of $96,124,000 at December 31, 2012, and $85,591,000 at December 31, 2011, were pledged to secure public deposits and other borrowings.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents gross unrealized losses and fair value of investments aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(Dollars in thousands)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
December 31, 2012	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available for sale securities:						
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	$ 30,345	$ 270	$ 15,839	$ 220	$ 46,184	$ 490
State and political subdivision obligations	9,389	66	1,231	30	10,620	96
Equity securities	-	-	390	10	390	10
Total temporarily impaired available for sale securities	$ 39,734	$ 336	$ 17,460	$ 260	$ 57,194	$ 596

(Dollars in thousands)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
December 31, 2011	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available for sale securities:						
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	$ 46,497	$ 593	$ 370	$ 7	$ 46,867	$ 600
State and political subdivision obligations	4,371	49	1,169	75	5,540	124
Equity securities	-	-	392	8	392	8
Total temporarily impaired available for sale securities	$ 50,868	$ 642	$ 1,931	$ 90	$ 52,799	$ 732

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis; and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, and the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer. In addition, for debt securities, the Corporation considers (a) whether management has the intent to sell the security, (b) it is more likely than not that management will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery, and (c) whether management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis. For equity securities, management considers the intent and ability to hold securities until recovery of unrealized losses.

At December 31, 2012, Mid Penn had 73 debt securities with unrealized losses. These securities have depreciated 1.03% from their amortized cost basis. At December 31, 2011, 45 debt securities with unrealized losses had depreciated 1.37% from the amortized cost basis. These securities are issued by either the U.S. Government or other governmental agencies. These unrealized losses were determined principally by reference to current interest rates for similar types of securities. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the U.S. Government or its agencies issued the securities, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer's financial condition. Based on the above conditions management has determined that no declines are deemed to be other-than-temporary.

The table below is the maturity distribution of investment securities at amortized cost and fair value at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in 1 year or less	$ 325	$ 326	$ 2,563	$ 2,576
Due after 1 year but within 5 years	21,690	23,349	23,923	24,856
Due after 5 years but within 10 years	26,208	27,282	17,626	18,979
Due after 10 years	35,204	36,262	28,658	29,572
	83,427	87,219	72,770	75,983
Mortgage-backed securities	66,783	66,686	82,777	82,668
Equity securities	400	390	400	392
	$ 150,610	$ 154,295	$ 155,947	$ 159,043

Mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2012, had an average life of 2.5 years compared to an average life of 2.3 years at December 31, 2011.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(7) Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The Bank has granted loans to certain of its executive officers, directors, and their related interests. These loans were made on substantially the same basis, including interest rates and collateral as those prevailing for comparable transactions with other borrowers at the same time. The aggregate amount of these loans was $4,817,000 and $6,791,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During 2012, $10,023,000 of new loans and advances were extended and repayments totaled $11,848,000. $149,000 of loans are no longer considered related parties as of December 31, 2012. None of these loans were past due, in non-accrual status, or restructured at December 31, 2012.

The classes of the loan portfolio, summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard, and doubtful within Mid Penn's internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2012	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial and industrial	$ 74,763	$ 1,651	$ 1,469	$ -	$ 77,883
Commercial real estate	260,941	5,375	18,551	-	284,867
Commercial real estate - construction	32,767	410	54	-	33,231
Lease financing	1,305	-	-	-	1,305
Residential mortgage	57,455	-	-	-	57,455
Home equity	22,336	188	396	-	22,920
Consumer	6,267	292	-	-	6,559
	$ 455,834	$ 7,916	$ 20,470	$ -	$ 484,220

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2011	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Commercial and industrial	$ 68,467	$ 3,836	$ 4,627	$ -	$ 76,930
Commercial real estate	271,551	6,530	14,815	-	292,896

Commercial real estate - construction	29,706	445	584	-	30,735
Lease financing	1,724	-	-	-	1,724
Residential mortgage	48,270	-	-	-	48,270
Home equity	23,248	218	683	-	24,149
Consumer	8,013	-	-	-	8,013
	$ 450,979	$ 11,029	$ 20,709	$ -	$ 482,717

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 192	$ 870	$ -	$ 463	$ 1,382	$ -
Commercial real estate	6,570	10,773	-	5,696	9,296	-
Commercial real estate - construction	-	-	-	584	592	-
Home equity	124	261	-	251	386	-
Consumer	-	578	-	-	-	-
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 223	$ 351	$ 111	$ 656	$ 792	$ 451
Commercial real estate	2,514	2,672	1,200	3,202	3,410	1,380
Commercial real estate - construction	54	53	54	-	-	-
Home equity	67	71	18	74	77	15
Total:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 415	$ 1,221	$ 111	$ 1,119	$ 2,174	$ 451
Commercial real estate	9,084	13,445	1,200	8,898	12,706	1,380
Commercial real estate - construction	54	53	54	584	592	-
Home equity	191	332	18	325	463	15
Consumer	-	578	-	-	-	-

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Average recorded investment of impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012 Average Recorded Investment	December 31, 2012 Interest Income Recognized	December 31, 2011 Average Recorded Investment	December 31, 2011 Interest Income Recognized	December 31, 2010 Average Recorded Investment	December 31, 2010 Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 462	$ 1	$ 752	$ 84	$ 1,222	$ 11
Commercial real estate	7,329	21	6,000	278	9,317	-
Commercial real estate - construction	-	-	1,016	18	1,858	-
Lease financing	-	-	-	-	181	-
Residential mortgage	-	-	-	28	97	-
Home equity	179	4	266	-	283	-
Consumer	292	-	-	-	-	-
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 242	$ -	$ 670	$ -	$ 938	$ -
Commercial real estate	2,727	-	3,281	-	4,384	-
Commercial real estate - construction	54	-	-	-	-	-
Residential mortgage	-	-	-	-	10	-
Home equity	71	-	76	-	25	-
Total:						
Commercial and industrial	$ 704	$ 1	$ 1,422	$ 84	$ 2,160	$ 11
Commercial real estate	10,056	21	9,281	278	13,701	-
Commercial real estate - construction	54	-	1,016	18	1,858	-
Lease financing	-	-	-	-	181	-
Residential mortgage	-	-	-	28	107	-
Home equity	250	4	342	-	308	-
Consumer	292	-	-	-	-	-

Non-accrual loans by loan portfolio class as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Commercial and industrial	$ 264	$ 1,117
Commercial real estate	10,785	8,899
Commercial real estate - construction	54	584
Residential mortgage	537	703
Home equity	191	496
Consumer	-	1
	$ 11,831	$ 11,800

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by the analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2012	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Loans Receivable > 90 Days and Accruing
Commercial and industrial	$ 123	$ 361	$ 234	$ 718	$ 77,165	$ 77,883	$ -
Commercial real estate	1,785	5,618	8,248	15,651	269,216	284,867	-
Commercial real estate - construction	-	-	54	54	33,177	33,231	-
Lease financing	1		-	1	1,304	1,305	-
Residential mortgage	495	35	531	1,061	56,394	57,455	-
Home equity	96	-	147	243	22,677	22,920	-
Consumer	1	2	-	3	6,556	6,559	-
Total	$ 2,501	$ 6,016	$ 9,214	$ 17,731	$ 466,489	$ 484,220	$ -

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2011	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans	Loans Receivable > 90 Days and Accruing
Commercial and industrial	$ 141	$ 663	$ 1,052	$ 1,856	$ 75,074	$ 76,930	$ -
Commercial real estate	1,037	909	6,204	8,150	284,746	292,896	-
Commercial real estate - construction	6	-	584	590	30,145	30,735	-
Lease financing	-	-	-	-	1,724	1,724	-
Residential mortgage	410	11	691	1,112	47,158	48,270	-
Home equity	111	-	428	539	23,610	24,149	-
Consumer	15	3	1	19	7,994	8,013	-
Total	$ 1,720	$ 1,586	$ 8,960	$ 12,266	$ 470,451	$ 482,717	$ -

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The allowance for loan and lease losses and recorded investment in financing receivables for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2012	Commercial and industrial	Commercial real estate	Commercial real estate - construction	Lease financing	Residential mortgage	Home equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses:									
Beginning balance	$ 2,274	$ 3,544	$ 23	$ 2	$ 362	$ 337	$ 87	$ 143	$ 6,772
Charge-offs	(834)	(493)	(6)	-	(195)	(268)	(592)	-	(2,388)
Recoveries	31	13	2	-	-	10	33	-	89
Provisions	(173)	48	45	(1)	414	264	573	(134)	1,036
Ending balance	$ 1,298	$ 3,112	$ 64	$ 1	$ 581	$ 343	$ 101	$ 9	$ 5,509
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	$ 111	$ 1,200	$ 54	$ -	$ -	$ 18	$ -	$ -	$ 1,383
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 1,187	$ 1,912	$ 10	$ 1	$ 581	$ 325	$ 101	$ 9	$ 4,126
Loans receivables:									
Ending balance	$ 77,883	$ 284,867	$ 33,231	$ 1,305	$ 57,455	$ 22,920	$ 6,559	$ -	$ 484,220
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	$ 415	$ 9,084	$ 54	$ -	$ -	191	$ -	$ -	$ 9,744
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 77,468	$ 275,783	$ 33,177	$ 1,305	$ 57,455	$ 22,729	$ 6,559	$ -	$ 474,476

(Dollars in thousands)	Commercial and	Commercial real estate	Commercial real estate	Lease financing	Residential mortgage	Home equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total

December 31, 2011	industrial	- construction						
Allowance for loan and lease losses:								
Beginning Balance	$ 2,447	$ 3,616	$ 159	$ 1	$ 219	$ 363	$ 61	$ 195	$ 7,061
Charge-offs	(546)	(545)	-	(44)	(310)	(40)	(102)	-	(1,587)
Recoveries	10	26	-	6	19	5	27	-	93
Provisions	363	447	(136)	39	434	9	101	(52)	1,205
Ending balance	$ 2,274	$ 3,544	$ 23	$ 2	$ 362	$ 337	$ 87	$ 143	$ 6,772
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	$ 451	$ 1,380	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 15	$ -	$ -	$ 1,846
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 1,823	$ 2,164	$ 23	$ 2	$ 362	$ 322	$ 87	$ 143	$ 4,926
Loans receivables:									
Ending balance	$ 76,930	$ 292,896	$ 30,735	$ 1,724	$ 48,270	$ 24,149	$ 8,013	$ -	$ 482,717
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	$ 1,119	$ 8,898	$ 584	$ -	$ -	$ 325	$ -	$ -	$ 10,926
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	$ 75,811	$ 283,998	$ 30,151	$ 1,724	$ 48,270	$ 23,824	$ 8,013	$ -	$ 471,791

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The recorded investments in troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2012	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
Commercial and industrial	$ 40	$ 35	$ 30
Commercial real estate	7,326	3,748	2,916
Residential mortgage	558	552	448
	$ 7,924	$ 4,335	$ 3,394

(Dollars in thousands) December 31, 2011	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
Commercial and industrial	$ 40	$ 35	$ 32
Commercial real estate	8,315	4,568	3,955
Residential mortgage	698	691	599
Home equity	29	28	16
	$ 9,082	$ 5,322	$ 4,602

Mid Penn’s troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2012 totaled $3,394,000, of which, $426,000, representing seven loans, are accruing residential mortgages in compliance with the terms of the modification. The remaining $2,968,000, representing 10 loans, are nonaccrual impaired loans, and resulted in a collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. As a result of the evaluation, a specific allocation and, subsequently, charge offs have been taken as appropriate. As of December 31, 2012, charge offs associated with troubled debt restructured loans while under a forbearance agreement totaled $0. As of December 31, 2012, there were no defaulted troubled debt restructured loans as all troubled debt restructured loans were current with respect to their associated forbearance agreements. One forbearance agreement was negotiated during 2008, 12 forbearance agreements were negotiated during 2009, while the remaining four were negotiated during 2010.

Mid Penn's troubled debt restructured loans at December 31, 2011 totaled $4,602,000, of which, $571,000, representing nine loans, are accruing residential mortgages in compliance with the terms of the modification. The remaining $4,031,000, representing 15 loans, are nonaccrual impaired loans, and resulted in a collateral evaluation in accordance with the guidance on impaired loans. As a result of the evaluation, a specific allocation and, subsequently, charge offs have been taken as appropriate. As of December 31, 2011, charge offs associated with troubled debt restructured loans while under a forbearance agreement totaled $0. As of December 31, 2011, there were no defaulted troubled debt restructured loans as all troubled debt restructured loans were current with respect to their associated forbearance agreements. One forbearance agreement was negotiated during 2008, 18 forbearance agreements were negotiated during 2009, while the remaining five were negotiated during 2010.

Mid Penn entered into forbearance agreements on all loans currently classified as troubled debt restructures and all of these agreements have resulted in additional principal repayment. The terms of these forbearance agreements vary whereby principal payments have been decreased, interest rates have been reduced and/or the loan will be repaid as collateral is sold.

As a result of adopting the amendments in ASU No. 2011-02, Mid Penn reassessed all restructurings that occurred on or after January 1, 2011 for identification as troubled debt restructurings. Mid Penn identified no loans for which the allowance for loan losses had previously been measured under a general allowance for credit losses methodology that are now considered troubled debt restructurings in accordance with ASU No. 2011-02.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses for the years 2012, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Balance, January 1	$ 6,772	$ 7,061	$ 7,686
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,036	1,205	2,635
Loans and leases charged off	(2,388)	(1,587)	(3,434)
Recoveries on loans and leases charged off	89	93	174
Balance, December 31	$ 5,509	$ 6,772	$ 7,061

If nonaccrual loans and leases had been current in accordance with their original terms and had been outstanding throughout the period or since origination, if held for part of the period, Mid Penn would have recorded interest income on these loans of $2,974,000, $1,942,000, and $3,819,000, in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Mid Penn has no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers with impaired or nonaccrual loans.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(8) Bank Premises and Equipment

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, bank premises and equipment are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Land	$ 2,712	$ 2,752
Buildings	10,007	10,478
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	9,045	7,946
Land and Leasehold improvements	828	725
Construction in progress	3	254
	22,595	22,155
Less accumulated depreciation	(9,472)	(8,831)
	$ 13,123	$ 13,324

Depreciation expense was $1,153,000 in 2012, $1,230,000 in 2011, and $1,302,000 in 2010.

(9) Deposits

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, time deposits amounted to $163,653,000 and $201,892,000, respectively. Interest expense on such certificates of deposit amounted to $3,683,000, $5,358,000, and $6,877,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

These time deposits at December 31, 2012, mature as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Time Deposits	
	Less than $100,000	$100,000 or more
Maturing in 2013	$ 44,116	$ 25,547
Maturing in 2014	28,381	15,276
Maturing in 2015	18,132	11,476
Maturing in 2016	8,103	3,433

Maturing in 2017	3,451	1,629
Maturing thereafter	3,160	949
	$ 105,343	$ 58,310

Brokered deposits included in the deposit totals equaled $4,128,000 at December 31, 2012 and $13,354,000 at December 31, 2011. Deposits and other funds from related parties held by Mid Penn at December 31, 2012 and 2011 amounted to $6,804,000 and $9,201,000, respectively.

(10) Short-term Borrowings

There were no short-term borrowings as of December 31, 2012 or 2011. The Bank has a line of credit commitment from the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) for overnight borrowings up to $40,000,000. This line is collateralized by certain qualifying loans and investment securities of the Bank. The Bank also has unused lines of credit with correspondent banks amounting to $12,500,000 at December 31, 2012.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(11) Long-term Debt

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ("FHLB") and through its membership, the Bank can access a number of credit products, which are utilized to provide liquidity. The maximum borrowing capacity available to the Bank at the FHLB at December 31, 2012 was $216,209,000, which includes the line of credit commitment for overnight borrowings. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had long-term debt in the amount of $22,510,000 and $22,701,000, respectively, consisting of:

(Dollars in thousands)	At December 31,	
	2012	2011
Loans maturing in 2013 with rates ranging from 3.24% to 4.75%	$ 14,189	$ 14,213
Loans maturing in 2015 at a rate of 4.18%	5,000	5,000
Loans maturing in 2026 at a rate of 4.80%	3,245	3,409
Loans maturing in 2027 at a rate of 6.71%	76	79
	$ 22,510	$ 22,701

The aggregate amounts due on long-term debt subsequent to December 31, 2012 are $14,365,000 (2013), $184,000 (2014), $5,193,000 (2015), $203,000 (2016), $213,000 (2017), and $2,352,000 thereafter.

(12) Fair Value Measurement

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell the asset or transfer the liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. This guidance provides additional information on determining when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased. The guidance also includes information on identifying circumstances when a transaction may not be considered orderly.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance provides a list of factors that a reporting entity should evaluate to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. When the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, further analysis of the information from that market is needed and significant adjustments to the related prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in

accordance with the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance.

This guidance clarifies that when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, some transactions may not be orderly. In those situations, the entity must evaluate the weight of the evidence to determine whether the transaction is orderly. The guidance provides a list of circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. A transaction price that is not associated with an orderly transaction is given little, if any, weight when estimating fair value.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own belief about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based upon the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Inputs - Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability;

Level 3 Inputs - Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

69

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

There were no transfers of assets between fair value Level 1 and Level 2 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The following table illustrates the assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels:

(Dollars in thousands)		Fair value measurements at December 31, 2012 using:		
Assets:	Total carrying value at December 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ 17,740	$ -	$ 17,740	$ -
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	66,686	-	66,686	-
State and political subdivision obligations	69,479	-	69,479	-
Equity securities	390	390	-	-
	$ 154,295	$ 390	$ 153,905	$ -

(Dollars in thousands)		Fair value measurements at December 31, 2011 using:		
Assets:	Total carrying value at December 31, 2011	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies	$ 27,617	$ -	$ 27,617	$ -
Mortgage-backed U.S. government agencies	82,668	-	82,668	-
State and political subdivision obligations	48,366	-	48,366	-

Equity securities	392	392	-	-
	$ 159,043	$ 392	$ 158,651	$ -

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

The following table illustrates the assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels:

(Dollars in thousands)		Fair value measurements at December 31, 2012 using:		
Assets:	Total carrying value at December 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Impaired Loans	$ 3,075	$ -	$ -	$ 3,075
Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale	105	-	-	105

(Dollars in thousands)		Fair value measurements at December 31, 2011 using:		
Assets:	Total carrying value at December 31, 2011	Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Impaired Loans	$ 5,621	$ -	$ -	$ 5,621
Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale	240	-	-	240

70

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and for which Mid Penn has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine the fair value as of December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements				
	Fair Value Estimate	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range Average	Weighted
Impaired Loans	$ 3,075	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	10% - 95% (28%)	
Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale	$ 105	Appraisal of collateral (1), (3)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	15% - 40% (24%)	

(1) Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally includes various level 3 inputs which are not identifiable
(2) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses. The range of liquidation expenses and other appraisal adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal.
(3) Includes qualitative adjustments by management and estimated liquidation expenses.

The following methodologies and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of Mid Penn's financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents is considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Interest-bearing Balances with other Financial Institutions:

The estimate of fair value was determined by comparing the present value of quoted interest rates on like deposits with the weighted average yield and weighted average maturity of the balances.

Securities Available for Sale:

The fair value of securities classified as available for sale is determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (level 2), which is a mathematical technique

used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Impaired Loans:

Mid Penn's rating system assumes any loans classified as sub-standard non-accrual to be impaired, and all of these loans are considered collateral dependent; therefore, all of Mid Penn's impaired loans, whether reporting a specific allocation or not, are considered collateral dependent.

It is Mid Penn's policy to obtain updated third party valuations on all impaired loans collateralized by real estate within 30 days of the credit being classified as sub-standard non-accrual. Prior to receipt of the updated real estate valuation Mid Penn will use any existing real estate valuation to determine any potential allowance issues; however no allowance recommendation will be made until which time Mid Penn is in receipt of the updated valuation.

In some instances Mid Penn is not holding real estate as collateral and is relying on business assets (personal property) for repayment. In these circumstances a collateral inspection is performed by Mid Penn personnel to determine an estimated value. The value is based on net book value, as provided by the financial statements, and discounted accordingly based on determinations made by management. Occasionally, Mid Penn will employ an outside service to provide a fair estimate of value based on auction sales or private sales. Management reviews the estimates of these third parties and discounts them accordingly based on management's judgment, if deemed necessary. Mid Penn considers the estimates used in its impairment analysis to be Level 3 inputs.

Mid Penn actively monitors the values of collateral on impaired loans. This monitoring may require the modification of collateral values over time or changing circumstances by some factor, either positive or negative, from the original values. All collateral values will be assessed by management at least every 18 months for possible revaluation by an independent third party.

Mid Penn does not currently, or plan to in the future, use automated valuation methodologies as a method of valuing real estate collateral.

Loans:

For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and which entail no significant changes in credit risk, carrying values approximated fair value. The fair value of other loans are estimated by calculating the present value of the cash flow difference between the current rate and the market rate, for the average maturity, discounted quarterly at the market rate.

71

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Foreclosed Assets Held for Sale:

Assets included in foreclosed assets held for sale are carried at fair value and accordingly is presented as measured on a non-recurring basis. Values are estimated using Level 3 inputs, based on appraisals that consider the sales prices of property in the proximate vicinity.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable:

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their fair values.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks:

The carrying amount of required and restricted investment in correspondent bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Mortgage Servicing Rights:

The fair value of servicing rights is based on the present value of estimated future cash flows on pools of mortgages stratified by rate and maturity date.

Deposits:

The fair value for demand deposits (e.g., interest and noninterest checking, savings, and money market deposit accounts) is by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). Fair value for fixed-rate certificates of deposit was estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation by combining all fixed-rate certificates into a pool with a weighted average yield and a weighted average maturity for the pool and comparing the pool with interest rates currently being offered on a similar maturity.

Short-term Borrowings:

Because of time to maturity, the estimated fair value of short-term borrowings approximates the book value.

Long-term Debt:

The estimated fair values of long-term debt were determined using discounted cash flow analysis, based on currently available borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Letters of Credit:

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account market interest rates, the remaining terms and present credit worthiness of the counterparties. The fair value of guarantees and letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements.

The following table summarizes the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 15,473	$ 15,473	$ 17,841	$ 17,841
Interest-bearing time balances with other financial institutions	23,563	23,563	27,477	27,477
Investment securities	154,295	154,295	159,043	159,043
Net loans and leases	478,711	495,181	475,945	498,029
Restricted investment in bank stocks	2,503	2,503	3,120	3,120
Accrued interest receivable	2,893	2,893	3,067	3,067
Mortgage servicing rights	233	233	173	173
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	$ 625,461	$ 629,096	$ 634,055	$ 644,474
Long-term debt	22,510	23,240	22,701	24,609
Accrued interest payable	620	620	1,064	1,064
Off-balance sheet financial instruments:				
Commitments to extend credit	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
Financial standby letters of credit	-	-	-	-

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following presents the carrying amount, fair value, and placement in the fair value hierarchy of Mid Penn's financial instruments as of December 31, 2012. Carrying values approximate fair values for cash and cash equivalents, interest-bearing time balances with other financial institutions, restricted investment in bank stocks, mortgage servicing rights, and accrued interest receivable and payable. Other than cash and cash equivalents, which are considered Level 1 Inputs, these instruments are Level 2 Inputs. This table excludes financial instruments for which the carrying amount approximates fair value.

			Fair Value Measurements		
(Dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Financial instruments - assets					
Net loans and leases	$ 478,711	$ 495,181	$ -	$ -	$ 495,181
Financial instruments - liabilities					
Deposits	$ 625,461	$ 629,096	$ -	$ 629,096	$ -
Long-term debt	22,510	23,240	-	23,240	-

(13) Postretirement Benefit Plans

Mid Penn has an unfunded noncontributory defined benefit Plan for directors. The Plan provides defined benefits based on years of service.

Mid Penn also has other postretirement benefit Plans covering full-time employees. These health care and life insurance Plans are noncontributory.

The significant aspects of each Plan are as follows:

(a) Health Insurance

For full-time employees who retire after at least 20 years of service, Mid Penn will pay premiums for major medical insurance (as provided to active employees) for a period ending on the earlier of the date the participant obtains other employment where major medical coverage is available or the date of the participant's death; however, in all cases payment of medical premiums by Mid Penn will not exceed five years. If the retiree becomes eligible for Medicare within the five-year period beginning on his/her retirement date, the Bank may pay, at its discretion, premiums for 65 Special coverage or a similar supplemental coverage. After the five-year period has expired, all Mid Penn paid benefits cease; however, the retiree may continue coverage through the Bank at his/her own expense. This Plan was amended in 2008 to encompass only those employees that had achieved ten years of full-time continuous service to Mid Penn as of January 1, 2008. Employees hired after that date and those that had not achieved the service requirements are not eligible for the Plan.

(b) Life Insurance

For full-time employees who retire after at least 20 years of service, Mid Penn will provide term life insurance. The amount of coverage prior to age 65 will be three times the participant's annual salary at retirement or $50,000, whichever is less. After age 65, the life insurance coverage amount will decrease by 10% per year, subject to a minimum amount of $2,000.

(c) Directors’ Retirement Plan

Mid Penn has an unfunded defined benefit retirement Plan for directors with benefits based on years of service. The adoption of this Plan generated unrecognized prior service cost of $274,000, which is being amortized over the expected future years of service of active directors. The unamortized balance at December 31, 2012, was $129,000.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Health and Life

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the Plan's health and life insurance benefit obligations and fair value of Plan assets for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and a statement of the funded status at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Change in benefit obligations:		
Benefit obligations, January 1	$ 904	$ 868
Service cost	21	22
Interest cost	37	42
Actuarial gain	(76)	(78)
Change in assumptions	38	80
Benefit payments	(30)	(30)
Benefit obligations, December 31	$ 894	$ 904
Change in fair value of plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets, January 1	$ -	$ -
Employer contributions	30	30
Benefit payments	(30)	(30)
Fair value of plan assets, December 31	$ -	$ -
Funded status at year end	$ (894)	$ (904)

The amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 and 2011, is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Accrued benefit liability	$ 894	$ 904

The amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income consist of:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012	2011
Net loss, pretax	$ 37	$ 74
Prior service cost, pretax	(2)	(3)

The accumulated benefit obligation for health and life insurance plans was $894,000 and $904,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The estimated prior service costs that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into net periodic benefit cost during 2013 is ($1,053).

The components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost for 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Service cost	$ 21	$ 22	$ 22
Interest cost	37	42	44
Amortization of prior service cost	(1)	(1)	(1)
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	$ 57	$ 63	$ 65

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Assumptions used in the measurement of Mid Penn's benefit obligations at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Weighted-average assumptions:		
Discount rate	4.00%	4.50%
Rate of compensation increase	3.00%	3.50%

Assumptions used in the measurement of Mid Penn's net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Weighted-average assumptions:			
Discount rate	4.50%	5.50%	5.75%
Rate of compensation increase	3.50%	4.50%	4.75%

Assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	7.50%	7.00%	7.50%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (the ultimate trend rate)	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2016	2016	2016

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care Plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

(Dollars in thousands)	One-Percentage Point Increase	One-Percentage Point Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	$ 5	$ 4
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	68	61

Mid Penn expects to contribute $37,000 to its life and health benefit Plans in 2013. The following table shows the estimated benefit payments for future periods:

(Dollars in thousands)	
1/1/2013 to 12/31/2013	$ 37
1/1/2014 to 12/31/2014	47
1/1/2015 to 12/31/2015	64
1/1/2016 to 12/31/2016	73
1/1/2017 to 12/31/2017	69
1/1/2018 to 12/31/2022	370

Benefit obligations were measured as of December 31, 2012, for the postretirement benefit Plan.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Retirement Plan

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in the directors' defined benefit Plan's benefit obligations and fair value of Plan assets for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and a statement of the status at December 31, 2012 and 2011. This Plan is unfunded.

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Change in benefit obligations:		
Benefit obligations, January 1	$ 1,069	$ 998
Service cost	22	24
Interest cost	49	53
Actuarial loss	10	3
Change in assumptions	5	51
Change due to plan amendment	53	-
Benefit payments	(69)	(60)
Benefit obligations, December 31	$ 1,139	$ 1,069
Change in fair value of plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets, January 1	$ -	$ -
Employer contributions	69	60
Benefit payments	(69)	(60)
Fair value of plan assets, December 31	$ -	$ -
Funded status at year end	$ (1,139)	$ (1,069)

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Accrued benefit liability	$ 1,139	$ 1,069

Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income consist of:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net prior service cost, pretax	$ 129	$ 151
Net loss, pretax	41	26

The accumulated benefit obligation for the retirement Plan was $1,139,000 at December 31, 2012 and $1,069,000 at December 31, 2011.

The estimated prior service costs that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during 2013 is $21,525.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The components of net periodic retirement cost for 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Service cost	$ 22	$ 24	$ 23
Interest cost	49	53	54
Amortization of prior-service cost	22	22	21
Net periodic retirement cost	$ 93	$ 99	$ 98

Assumptions used in the measurement of Mid Penn's benefit obligations at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Weighted-average assumptions:		
Discount rate	4.00%	4.50%
Change in consumer price index	2.00%	2.50%

Assumptions used in the measurement of Mid Penn's net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Weighted-average assumptions:			
Discount rate	4.50%	5.50%	5.75%
Change in consumer price index	2.50%	3.00%	3.25%

Mid Penn expects to contribute $87,000 to its retirement Plan in 2013. The following table shows the estimated benefit payments for future periods:

(Dollars in thousands)	
1/1/2013 to 12/31/2013	$ 87
1/1/2014 to 12/31/2014	89

1/1/2015 to 12/31/2015	92
1/1/2016 to 12/31/2016	94
1/1/2017 to 12/31/2017	96
1/1/2018 to 12/31/2022	491

Plan benefit obligations were measured as of December 31, 2012 for the directors' defined benefit Plan.

The Bank is the owner and beneficiary of insurance policies on the lives of certain officers and directors, which informally fund the retirement plan obligation. The aggregate cash surrender value of these policies was $3,513,000 and $3,408,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(14) Other Benefit Plans

(a) Defined-Contribution Plan

The Bank has a funded contributory defined-contribution Plan covering substantially all employees. The Bank did not contribute to the Plan in 2012, 2011, or 2010.

(b) Deferred Compensation Plans

The Bank has an executive deferred compensation Plan, which allows an executive officer to defer compensation for a specified period in order to provide future retirement income. The only participant in this Plan is a former executive officer. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had accrued a liability of approximately $192,000 and $181,000, respectively, for this Plan.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Bank also has a directors' deferred compensation Plan, which allows directors to defer receipt of fees for a specified period in order to provide future retirement income. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had accrued a liability of approximately $423,000 and $501,000, respectively, for this Plan.

(c) Salary Continuation Agreement

The Bank maintains a Salary Continuation Agreement ("Agreement") for a former executive officer. The Agreement provides the former executive officer with a fixed annual benefit. The benefit is payable beginning at age 65 for a period of 15 years. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank has accrued a liability of approximately $192,000 and $179,000, respectively, for the Agreement. The expense related to the Agreement was $13,000 for 2012, $12,000 for 2011, and $11,000 for 2010.

The Bank is the owner and beneficiary of an insurance policy on the life of the participating former executive officer, which informally funds the benefit obligation. The aggregate cash surrender value of this policy was approximately $1,143,000 and $1,107,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(d) Employee Stock Ownership Plan

Mid Penn has an Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") covering substantially all employees. Contributions to the ESOP are made at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Total expense related to Mid Penn's contribution to the ESOP for 2012, 2011 and 2010 was $0, respectively. The ESOP held 38,799 and 41,873 common shares of Mid Penn stock as of December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, all of which were allocated to Plan participants. The ESOP shares are valued using Level 1 inputs as there is an active market for identical assets at the measurement date. At December 31, 2012, the fair value of Mid Penn stock on the NASDAQ Stock Market was $11.19 per common share, resulting in a total fair value of the ESOP of $434,000. Shares held by the ESOP are considered outstanding for purposes of calculating earnings per share. Dividends paid on shares held by the ESOP are charged to retained earnings.

(e) Split Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had Split Dollar Life Insurance arrangements with two former executives for which the aggregate collateral assignment and cash surrender values are approximately $1,694,000 and $1,661,000, respectively.

(f) 401(k) Plan

The Bank has a 401(k) Plan that covers substantially all full-time employees. The Plan allows employees to contribute a portion of their salaries and wages to the Plan. The Plan provides for the Bank to match a portion of employee-elected salary deferrals, subject to certain percentage maximums of their salaries and wages. The Bank's

contribution to the Plan was $111,000, $115,000, and $90,000 for the years ending December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

(g) Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Mid Penn has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") in which all employees are eligible to participate. The Plan allows employees to use a portion of their salaries and wages to purchase common shares of Mid Penn stock at the market value of shares at the end of each calendar quarter.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(15) Federal Income Taxes

The following temporary differences gave rise to the net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	$ 1,873	$ 2,283
Loan fees	198	266
Benefit plans	974	1,082
Nonaccrual interest	1,204	882
AMT Credit Carryforward	333	-
Other	108	182
	4,690	4,695
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	(1,109)	(897)
Bond accretion	(80)	(115)
Goodwill and intangibles	(234)	(191)
Unrealized gain on securities	(1,253)	(1,053)
Prepaid expenses	(222)	-
Other	(3)	-
	(2,901)	(2,256)
Deferred tax asset, net	$ 1,789	$ 2,439

In assessing the realizability of federal or state deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and prudent, feasible and permissible as well as available tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based on the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that Mid Penn will realize the benefits of these deferred tax assets.

The provision for (benefit from) income taxes consists of the following:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Current	$ 794	$ 1,749	$ 704
Deferred	450	(526)	(288)
Total provision for income taxes	$ 1,244	$ 1,223	$ 416

A reconciliation of income tax at the statutory rate to Mid Penn's effective rate is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	2012	2011	2010
Provision at the expected statutory rate	$ 2,106	$ 1,960	$ 1,076
Effect of tax-exempt income	(827)	(710)	(635)
Effect of investment in life insurance	(84)	(88)	(92)
Nondeductible interest	49	49	51
Other items	-	12	16
Provision for income taxes	$ 1,244	$ 1,223	$ 416

Mid Penn has no unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in future periods. Mid Penn does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months.

No amounts for interest and penalties were recorded in income tax expense in the consolidated statement of income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, or 2010. There were no amounts accrued for interest and penalties at December 31, 2012 or 2011.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Mid Penn and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax and income tax for the state of Pennsylvania. Mid Penn is no longer subject to examination by taxing authorities for years before 2009. Tax years 2009 through the present, with limited exception, remain open to examination.

(16) Core Deposit Intangible

A summary of core deposit intangible is as follows at December 31, 2012.

(Dollars in thousands)	2004 Acquisition	2006 Acquisition	Total
Gross carrying amount	$ 291	$ 232	$ 523
Less accumulated amortization	(291)	(176)	(467)
Net carrying amount	$ -	$ 56	$ 56

The core deposit intangibles for the acquisitions are being amortized over the weighted average useful life of 8 years, with no estimated residual value.

Amortization expense amounted to $45,000 in 2012 and $65,000 in 2011 and 2010.

The estimated amortization expenses of intangible assets for each of the two succeeding fiscal years are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	
2013	$ 29
2014	27
	$ 56

(17) Regulatory Matters

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., is a bank holding company and, as such, chooses to maintain a well-capitalized status in its bank subsidiary. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require Mid Penn to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth below) of Tier 1 capital to average assets and of total capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Mid Penn met all capital adequacy requirements to which the Bank is subject, and the Bank is considered "well-capitalized". However, future changes in regulations could increase capital requirements and may have an adverse effect on capital resources.

The FDIC has also adopted a prepayment of projected deposit insurance premiums for a three-year period that would be paid on December 30, 2009. The prepayment was approximately $2,719,000 for the Corporation. The prepayment will be carried as a prepaid expense in other assets on the balance sheet and amortized into expense in the operating period to which it applies. As of December 31, 2012, the unamortized balance was $12,000. The prepayment period ended on December 31, 2012, and any excess funds in the prepaid account will be refunded by the FDIC in 2013.

Certain restrictions exist regarding the ability of the Bank to transfer funds to the Corporation in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances. At December 31, 2012, $0 of undistributed earnings of the Bank included in the consolidated shareholders' equity was available for distribution to the Corporation as dividends without prior regulatory approval, subject to regulatory capital requirements below.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Mid Penn maintained the following regulatory capital levels, leverage ratios, and risk-based capital ratios as of December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual:		Capital Adequacy Minimum Capital Required:		To Be Well-Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions:	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2012:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 48,822	6.8%	$ 28,530	4.0%	$ N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	48,822	10.0%	19,593	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	54,421	11.1%	39,185	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2012:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 48,764	6.9%	$ 28,111	4.0%	$ 35,138	5.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	48,764	10.0%	19,593	4.0%	29,389	6.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	54,363	11.1%	39,185	8.0%	48,981	10.0%
Corporation						
As of December 31, 2011:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 50,451	7.0%	$ 28,679	4.0%	$ N/A	N/A
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	50,451	10.3%	19,566	4.0%	N/A	N/A
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	56,513	11.6%	39,132	8.0%	N/A	N/A
Bank						
As of December 31, 2011:						
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)	$ 50,265	7.1%	$ 28,326	4.0%	$ 35,408	5.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	50,265	10.4%	19,367	4.0%	29,051	6.0%
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	56,327	11.6%	38,735	8.0%	48,419	10.0%

(18) Concentration of Risk and Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit written is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for direct, funded loans.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The term of these standby letters of credit is generally one year or less.

As of December 31, 2012, commitments to extend credit amounted to $99,958,000 and standby letters of credit amounted to $10,417,000.

Significant concentration of credit risk may occur when obligations of parties engaged in similar activities occur and accumulate in significant amounts.

In analyzing the Bank's exposure to significant concentration of credit risk, management set a parameter of 10% or more of the Bank's total net loans outstanding as the threshold in determining whether the obligations of the same or affiliated parties would be classified as significant concentration of credit risk. Concentrations by industry, product line, type of collateral, etc., are also considered. U.S. Treasury securities, obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations, and any assets collateralized by the same were excluded.

As of December 31, 2012, commercial real estate financing was the only similar activity that met the requirements to be classified as a significant concentration of credit risk. However, there is a geographical concentration in that most of the Bank's business activity is with customers located in Central Pennsylvania, specifically within the Bank's trading area made up of Dauphin County, lower Northumberland County, western Schuylkill County and eastern Cumberland County.

The Bank's highest concentrations of credit within the loan portfolio are in the areas of Commercial Real Estate financing (52.7%) as of December 31, 2012.

(19) Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases:

In April 2005, Mid Penn entered into a non-cancelable operating lease agreement to lease approximately 2,500 square feet of office space in the downtown Harrisburg area, with the initial term extending through April 2010. Mid Penn has the option to renew this lease for two additional five-year periods and has exercised the first of these options, extending the term of the lease through April of 2015. Mid Penn also has entered into a non-cancelable lease on a drive-up ATM site in Halifax, PA. This lease was renewed in 2012 and runs through October of 2015. In December 2011, Mid Penn entered into a non-cancelable operating lease agreement to lease approximately 5,900 square feet of office space on Derry St. in the Harrisburg area, with the initial term extending through November 2014. Mid Penn has the option to renew this lease for two additional three-year periods.

Minimum future rental payments under these operating leases as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	(Dollars in thousands)
2013	$ 114
2014	113
2015	28
	$ 255

Mid Penn paid rent payments in 2012, 2011, and 2010 of $120,000, $79,000, and $90,000, respectively.

Litigation:

Mid Penn is subject to lawsuits and claims arising out of its business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of Mid Penn.

(20) Common Stock

Mid Penn has reserved 50,000 of authorized, but unissued shares of its common stock for issuance under a Stock Bonus Plan (the “Plan”). Shares issued under the Plan are at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Under Mid Penn’s amended and restated dividend reinvestment plan, (DRIP), 200,000 of Mid Penn’s authorized but unissued common stock are reserved for issuance. The DRIP also allows for voluntary cash payments within specified limits, for the purchase of additional shares.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(21) Preferred Stock

On December 19, 2008, Mid Penn entered into and closed a Letter Agreement with the United States Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") pursuant to which the Treasury invested $10,000,000 in the Mid Penn Bank under the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"). Under the letter agreement, the Treasury received (1) 10,000 shares of Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, $1,000 liquidation preference ("Series A Preferred Stock"), and (2) warrants to purchase up to 73,099 shares of Mid Penn common stock at an exercise price of $20.52 per share (the "Warrants").

On December 28, 2012, Mid Penn entered into a letter agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which Mid Penn repurchased from the Treasury all 10,000 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock issued to the Treasury which constitutes all of the issued and outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Mid Penn repurchased the Series A Preferred Stock for a purchase price equal to the aggregate liquidation amount of the Preferred Stock of $10,000,000, plus accrued but unpaid dividends of $59,722. All 10,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock have subsequently been cancelled.

On January 23, 2013, Mid Penn entered into a letter agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which Mid Penn repurchased from the Treasury on that date the Warrants for $58,479. The Warrants have subsequently been cancelled.

As of the date hereof, Mid Penn has no further financial obligations under the Series A Preferred Stock, the Warrants or the Treasury's CPP.

(22) Stock Issued Under Private Placement Offering

On September 26, 2012, Mid Penn filed with the Pennsylvania Department of State a Statement with Respect to Shares which, effective upon filing, designated a series of preferred stock as "7% Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Non-Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B" ("Series B Preferred Stock"), and set forth the voting and other powers, designations, preferences and relative, participating, optional or other rights, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of the Series B Preferred Stock.

Sales of Preferred Stock

Mid Penn sold shares of its Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Non-Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B ("Series B Preferred Stock"), in transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof.

Between September 26, 2012, and December 31, 2012, Mid Penn sold 4,880 shares of its Series B Preferred Stock for total gross proceeds of $4,880,000, which have been offset by issuance costs of $50,000. On January 3, 2013, 120 additional shares were sold resulting in total gross proceeds of $5,000,000 for the Series B Preferred Stock offering.

The following table summarizes the Series B Preferred Stock shares sold and the gross proceeds received through the private placement offering as of December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)

Period	Shares	Gross Proceeds
September 26, 2012 - September 30, 2012	345	$ 345,000
October 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012	4,535	4,535,000
Total	4,880	$ 4,880,000

Terms of the Series B Preferred Stock

The annual dividend rate for the Series B Preferred Stock is 7% per annum of the liquidation preference of the Series B Preferred Stock or $70.00 per annum for each share of Series B Preferred Stock. The Board of Directors must approve each dividend payment from legally available funds. Dividends are payable to holders of record of the Series B Preferred Stock as they appear on our books on the record dates fixed by our Board of Directors. Dividends on any of Series B Preferred Stock are non-cumulative and we currently expect them to be declared quarterly for payment on February 15, May 15, August 15, and November 15 of each year. If a dividend payment date is not a business day, the dividend will be paid on the immediately preceding business day but no additional dividend payment will be prorated from the date of purchase to the first dividend payment date over a quarterly dividend period of 90 days.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Mid Penn may redeem shares of its Series B Preferred Stock at its option, in whole or in part, at any time subject to prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board, if then required, at a redemption price of $1,020 per share of Series B Preferred Stock plus an amount equal to any declared but unpaid dividends and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in a Certificate of Designations for the Series B Preferred Stock as filed with the Pennsylvania Department of State.

(23) Parent Company Statements

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012	2011
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 48	$ 50
Investment in subsidiaries	52,162	53,322
Other assets	25	80
Total assets	$ 52,235	$ 53,452
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Other liabilities	$ 15	$ -
Shareholders' equity	52,220	53,452
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$ 52,235	$ 53,452

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands)	For Years Ended December 31, 2012	2011	2010
Other Income	$ 4	$ -	$ -
Dividends from subsidiaries	6,628	1,246	575
Undistributed (loss) earnings of subsidiaries	(1,538)	3,398	2,301
Other expenses	(217)	(153)	(193)
Income tax benefit	74	52	65
Net income	4,951	4,543	2,748
Preferred stock dividends & discount accretion	514	514	514
Net income available to common shareholders	$ 4,437	$ 4,029	$ 2,234

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)	For Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	$ 4,951	$ 4,543	$ 2,748
Undistributed loss (earnings) of subsidiaries	1,538	(3,398)	(2,301)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	40	(52)	-
Increase in other liabilities	15	-	-
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,544	1,093	447
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Investment in subsidiaries	-	-	(5)
Dividends paid	(1,432)	(1,196)	(500)
Series A preferred stock redemption	(10,000)	-	-
Series B preferred stock issuance, net of costs	4,830	-	-
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	56	38	-
Net cash used in financing activities	(6,546)	(1,158)	(505)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(2)	(65)	(58)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	50	115	173
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	$ 48	$ 50	$ 115

(24) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standard Update 2013-02: Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The objective of this ASU is to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. This ASU requires an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income, by component, on the respective line items in the income statement if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. Reclassifications that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period are required to be cross-referenced to other U.S. GAAP disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. This is the case when a portion of the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is reclassified to a balance sheet account rather than directly to income or expense in the same reporting period. For example, some portion of net periodic pension cost is immediately reported in net income, but other portions may be capitalized to an asset balance such as fixed assets or inventory. An entity with significant defined benefit pension costs reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income but not to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period should identify the amount of each pension cost component reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and make reference to the relevant pension cost disclosure that provides greater detail about these reclassifications.

The amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. However, the amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income.

The provisions of this ASU are effective for public entities prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. Mid Penn has included these reclassification adjustments in the consolidated financial statements contained herein. Early adoption is permitted.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(25) Summary of Quarterly Consolidated Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table presents summarized quarterly financial data for 2012 and 2011.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	2012 Quarter Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Interest Income	$ 7,710	$ 7,885	$ 7,458	$ 7,313
Interest Expense	2,033	1,862	1,688	1,542
Net Interest Income	5,677	6,023	5,770	5,771
Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	300	225	150	361
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	5,377	5,798	5,620	5,410
Noninterest Income	738	931	1,057	957
Noninterest Expense	4,738	4,947	5,082	4,926
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	1,377	1,782	1,595	1,441
Provision for Income Taxes	243	422	329	250
Net Income	1,134	1,360	1,266	1,191
Preferred Stock Dividends and Discount Accretion	128	129	128	129
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	$ 1,006	$ 1,231	$ 1,138	$ 1,062
Per Share Data:				
Basic Earnings Per Share	$ 0.29	$ 0.35	$ 0.33	$ 0.30
Diluted Earnings Per Share	0.29	0.35	0.33	0.30
Cash Dividends	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.10

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	2011 Quarter Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Interest Income	$ 7,453	$ 8,075	$ 7,994	$ 8,023
Interest Expense	2,470	2,485	2,375	2,192
Net Interest Income	4,983	5,590	5,619	5,831
Provision for Loan and Lease Losses	200	550	405	50
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	4,783	5,040	5,214	5,781
Noninterest Income	758	705	764	769
Noninterest Expense	4,300	4,408	4,534	4,806
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	1,241	1,337	1,444	1,744
Provision for Income Taxes	241	278	312	392

Net Income	1,000	1,059	1,132	1,352
Preferred Stock Dividends and Discount Accretion	128	129	128	129
Net Income Available to Common Shareholders	$ 872	$ 930	$ 1,004	$ 1,223
Per Share Data:				
Basic Earnings Per Share	$ 0.25	$ 0.27	$ 0.29	$ 0.35
Diluted Earnings Per Share	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.35
Cash Dividends	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Mid Penn carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 as of December 31, 2012. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer concluded, as of December 31, 2012, that, Mid Penn's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in recording, processing, summarizing, and reporting information required to be disclosed by Mid Penn, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in Mid Penn's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Mid Penn's internal control over financial reporting.

Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. Management Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a and 15(d) – 15(f) under the Exchange Act of 1934 ("1934 Act"). The corporation's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to the corporation's ability to record, process, summarize, and report reliable financial data. All internal control systems have inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of internal control. Accordingly, even effective internal control over financial reporting

can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

In order to ensure that the corporation's internal control over financial reporting is effective, management regularly assesses such controls and did so most recently for its financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Management has concluded that Mid Penn's internal control over financial reporting, as of December 31, 2012, is effective based on those criteria.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of Mid Penn's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Mid Penn's internal control over financial reporting was not subject to attestation by Mid Penn's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit Mid Penn to provide only management's report in this annual report.

/s/ Rory G. Ritrievi	/s/ Kevin W. Laudenslager
Rory G. Ritrievi President and Chief Executive Officer	Kevin W. Laudenslager Vice President and Treasurer

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item, relating to directors, executive officers, and control persons is set forth under the captions “Executive Officers”, “Information Regarding Director Nominees and Continuing Directors”, “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance”, “Audit Committee Report”, and “Governance of the Corporation” in Mid Penn’s definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which pages are incorporated herein by reference.

The Corporation has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to directors, officers and employees of the Corporation and the Bank. The Corporation amended the Code of Ethics twice in 2005 and a copy of the Code of Ethics is included as Exhibit 14 to the Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2005. A request for the Corporation’s Code of Ethics can be made in writing to Kevin W. Laudenslager, 349 Union Street, Millersburg, PA 17061, by telephone at 1-866-642-7736, or through the Mid Penn website at midpennbank.com.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item, relating to executive compensation, is set forth under the captions “Compensation Discussion and Analysis”, “Executive Compensation”, “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control”, “Information Regarding Director Nominees and Continuing Directors”, “Compensation Committee Report” and “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” of Mid Penn’s definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which pages are incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item, relating to beneficial ownership of Mid Penn’s common stock, is set forth under the caption “Beneficial Ownership of Mid Penn Bancorp’s Stock Held By Principal Shareholders and Management” of

Mid Penn's definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which pages are incorporated herein by reference. Mid Penn does not maintain any equity compensation plans.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item, relating to transactions with management and others, certain business relationships and indebtedness of management, is set forth under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Governance of the Corporation" of Mid Penn's definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which page is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item, relating to the fees and services provided by Mid Penn's principal accountant, is set forth under the caption "Audit Committee Report" and "Proposal No. 4: Ratification of the Appointment of ParenteBeard, LLC as the Corporation's Independent Registered Public Accounting firm for 2013" of Mid Penn's definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which page is incorporated herein by reference.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

1. Financial statements are incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8 hereof.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Income

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. The financial statement schedules, required by Regulation S-X, are omitted because the information is either not applicable or is included

elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements.

3. The following Exhibits are filed as part of this filing on Form 10-K, or incorporated by reference hereto:

3(i) The Registrant's amended Articles of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 11, 2009.)

3(ii) Statement with Respect to Shares for Series B Preferred Stock. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 2012.)

3(iii) The Registrant's By-laws. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 30, 2010.)

10.1 Mid Penn Bank's Retirement Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant's Annual Report on form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2008.) *

7.2 Mid Penn Bank's Employee Stock Ownership Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant's Annual Report on form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2008.) *

10.3 The Registrant's Dividend Reinvestment Plan, as amended and restated. (Incorporated by reference to Registrant's

Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed with the SEC on October 12, 2005.)

7.4 Split Dollar Agreement between Mid Penn Bank and Eugene F. Shaffer. (Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual
Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 14, 2005.) *

7.5 Death Benefit Plan and Agreement between Mid Penn Bank and the Trustee of the Eugene F. Shaffer Irrevocable Trust.
(Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 14, 2005.) *

11 Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings. (Incorporated by reference to Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.)

12 Statements re: Computation of Ratios. (Incorporated by reference to Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.)

14 The Registrant's Code of Ethics. (Incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange
Commission on March 9, 2005.)

21 Subsidiaries of Registrant.

23 Consent of ParenteBeard LLC.

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Principal Executive Officer.

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Principal Financial Officer.

32 Principal Executive and Financial Officer's §1350 Certifications.

99.1 Listing of Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group Banks.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

99.2 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to the Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

99.3 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to the Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document.

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.

* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

By: /s/ Rory G. Ritrievi
Rory G. Ritrievi
President and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 25, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Arch 25, 2012

By: /s/ Rory G. Ritrievi Rory G. Ritrievi March 25, 2013

President, Chief Executive Officer and

Director (Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Kevin W. Laudenslager March 25, 2013

Kevin W. Laudenslager

Vice President, Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal

Accounting Officer)

By:	/s/ Robert A. Abel	March 25, 2013
	Robert A. Abel, Director	
By:	/s/ Steven T. Boyer	March 25, 2013
	Steven T. Boyer, Director	
By:	/s/ Jere M. Coxon	March 25, 2013
	Jere M. Coxon, Director	
By:	/s/ Matthew G. DeSoto	March 25, 2013
	Matthew G. DeSoto, Director	
By:	/s/ Robert C. Grubic	March 25, 2013
	Robert C. Grubic, Director	
By:	/s/ Gregory M. Kerwin	March 25, 2013
	Gregory M. Kerwin, Director	
By:	/s/ Robert E. Klinger	March 25, 2013
	Robert E. Klinger, Director	
By:	/s/ Theodore W. Mowery	March 25, 2013
	Theodore W. Mowery, Director	
By:	/s/ John E. Noone	March 25, 2013
	John E. Noone, Director	
By:	/s/ William A. Specht, III	March 25, 2013
	William A. Specht, Director	

91

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

EXHIBIT 21

SUBSIDIARIES OF REGISTRANT

Name	State of Incorporation
Mid Penn Bank	Pennsylvania
Mid Penn Insurance Services, LLC	Pennsylvania

EXHIBIT 23

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-170833) filed with the SEC on November 24, 2010, Form S-3/A (Registration No. 333-39341) filed with the SEC on October 7, 2005, Form S-3D (Registration No. 333-128958) filed with the SEC on October 12, 2005, and Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-156759) filed with the SEC on January 16, 2009 (effective February 4, 2009) of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. of our report dated March 25, 2013, relating to the consolidated financial statements which appears in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

/s/ ParenteBeard LLC

ParenteBeard LLC

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

March 25, 2013

93

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, Rory G. Ritrievi, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report.

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By __/s/ Rory G. Ritrievi__ ___

President and CEO

Date: March 25, 2013

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, Kevin W. Laudenslager, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report.

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report.

4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By __/s/ Kevin W. Laudenslager__ ___

Vice President and Treasurer

Date: March 25, 2013

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 32

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

AS ADDED BY SECTION 906 OF THE

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the annual report of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. (the "Corporation") on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Rory G. Ritrievi, President and CEO, and I, Kevin W. Laudenslager, Treasurer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as added pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

2. To my knowledge, the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

By __/s/ Rory G. Ritrievi_____

President and CEO

Date: March 25, 2013

By __/s/ Kevin W. Laudenslager ____

Vice President and Treasurer

Date: March 25, 2013

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.1

Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group

Company	City	State	Company	City	State
1st Colonial Bancorp, Inc.	Collingswood	NJ	Emclaire Financial Corp.	Emlenton	PA
1st Constitution Bancorp	Cranbury	NJ	Empire National Bank	Islandia	NY
Absecon Bancorp	Absecon	NJ	ENB Financial Corp	Ephrata	PA
Adirondack Trust Company	Saratoga Springs	NY	Enterprise National Bank N.J.	Kenilworth	NJ
Allegheny Valley Bancorp, Inc.	Pittsburgh	PA	ES Bancshares, Inc.	Newburgh	NY
American Bank Incorporated	Allentown	PA	Evans Bancorp, Inc.	Hamburg	NY
Annapolis Bancorp, Inc.	Annapolis	MD	Farmers and Merchants Bank	Upperco	MD
Apollo Bancorp, Inc.	Apollo	PA	Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.	Dunmore	PA
Ballston Spa Bancorp, Inc.	Ballston Spa	NY	First Bank	Hamilton	NJ
Bancorp of New Jersey, Inc.	Fort Lee	NJ	First Community Financial Corporation	Mifflintown	PA
Bank of Akron	Akron	NY	First Keystone Corporation	Berwick	PA
Bank of Utica	Utica	NY	First National Bank of Groton	Groton	NY
BCSB Bancorp, Inc.	Baltimore	MD	First Resource Bank	Exton	PA
Berkshire Bancorp Inc.	New York	NY	Fleetwood Bank Corporation	Fleetwood	PA
Brunswick Bancorp	New Brunswick	NJ	FNB Bancorp, Inc.	Newtown	PA
Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.	Berlin	MD	FNBM Financial Corporation	Minersville	PA
Capital Bank of New Jersey	Vineland	NJ	FNBPA Bancorp, Inc.	Port Allegany	PA
Carroll Bancorp, Inc.	Sykesville	MD	Frederick County Bancorp, Inc.	Frederick	MD
Carrollton Bancorp	Columbia	MD	Glen Burnie Bancorp	Glen Burnie	MD
CB Financial Services, Inc.	Carmichaels	PA	GNB Financial Services, Inc.	Gratz	PA
CBT Financial Corporation	Clearfield	PA	Greater Hudson Bank, National Assoc.	Middletown	NY
CCFNB Bancorp, Inc.	Bloomsburg	PA	Hamlin Bank and Trust Company	Smethport	PA
Cecil Bancorp, Inc.	Elkton	MD	Harford Bank	Aberdeen	MD
Citizens Financial Services, Inc.	Mansfield	PA	Harvest Community Bank	Pennsville	NJ
Citizens National Bank of Meyersdale	Meyersdale	PA	Highlands Bancorp, Inc.	Vernon	NJ
Clarion County Community Bank	Clarion	PA	Hilltop Community Bancorp, Inc.	Summit	NJ
Commercial National Financial Corporation	Latrobe	PA	Honat Bancorp, Inc.	Honesdale	PA
Community Bank of Bergen County	Maywood	NJ	Hopewell Valley Community Bank	Pennington	NJ
Community First Bank	Somerset	NJ	Howard Bancorp, Inc.	Ellicott City	MD
Community National Bank	Great Neck	NY	IBW Financial Corporation	Washington	DC
Community National Bank of Northwestern PA	Albion	PA	Jeffersonville Bancorp	Jeffersonville	NY
Community Partners Bancorp	Tinton Falls	NJ	Jonestown Bank and Trust Co.	Jonestown	PA
Cornerstone Financial Corp.	Mount Laurel	NJ	JTNB Bancorp, Inc.	Jim Thorpe	PA

County First Bank	La Plata	MD	Juniata Valley Financial Corp.	Mifflintown	PA
Damascus Community Bank	Damascus	MD	Kinderhook Bank Corporation	Kinderhook	NY
Delhi Bank Corp.	Delhi	NY	Kish Bancorp, Inc.	Reedsville	PA
Delmar Bancorp	Salisbury	MD	Landmark Bancorp, Inc.	Pittston	PA
Dimeco, Inc.	Honesdale	PA	Liberty Bell Bank	Marlton	NJ
DNB Financial Corporation	Downingtown	PA	Luzerne National Bank Corporation	Luzerne	PA
Elmer Bancorp, Inc.	Elmer	NJ	Lyons Bancorp, Inc.	Lyons	NY
Elmira Savings Bank	Elmira	NY	Manor Bank	Manor	PA
Embassy Bancorp, Inc.	Bethlehem	PA	Mars National Bank	Mars	PA

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.1 (continued)

Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group (continued)

Company	City	State
Mauch Chunk Trust Financial Corp.	Jim Thorpe	PA
Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.	Millersburg	PA
Mifflinburg Bank & Trust Company	Mifflinburg	PA
MNB Corporation	Bangor	PA
Muncy Bank Financial, Inc.	Muncy	PA
National Bank of Coxsackie	Coxsackie	NY
National Capital Bank of Washington	Washington	DC
Neffs Bancorp, Inc.	Neffs	PA
New Jersey Community Bank	Freehold	NJ
New Millennium Bank	New Brunswick	NJ
New Tripoli Bancorp, Inc.	New Tripoli	PA
Northumberland Bancorp	Northumberland	PA
Norwood Financial Corp.	Honesdale	PA
Old Line Bancshares, Inc.	Bowie	MD
Orange County Bancorp, Inc.	Middletown	NY
Parke Bancorp, Inc.	Sewell	NJ
Pascack Bancorp, Inc.	Waldwick	NJ
Patapsco Bancorp, Inc.	Dundalk	MD
Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.	Williamsport	PA
Penseco Financial Services Corporation	Scranton	PA
Peoples Financial Services Corp.	Hallstead	PA
Peoples Limited	Wyalusing	PA
Putnam County National Bank of Carmel	Carmel	NY
QNB Corp.	Quakertown	PA
Republic First Bancorp, Inc.	Philadelphia	PA
Royal Bancshares of Pennsylvania, Inc.	Narberth	PA
Rumson-Fair Haven Bank & Trust Co.	Rumson	NJ
Scottdale Bank & Trust Company	Scottdale	PA
Shore Community Bank	Toms River	NJ
Solvay Bank Corporation	Solvay	NY
Somerset Hills Bancorp	Bernardsville	NJ
Somerset Trust Holding Company	Somerset	PA
Stewardship Financial Corporation	Midland Park	NJ
Sussex Bancorp	Franklin	NJ
Tri-County Financial Corporation	Waldorf	MD
Turbotville National Bancorp, Inc.	Turbotville	PA
UNB Corporation	Mount Carmel	PA
Unity Bancorp, Inc.	Clinton	NJ
VSB Bancorp, Inc.	Staten Island	NY
West Milton Bancorp, Inc.	West Milton	PA

Woodlands Financial Services Company	Williamsport	PA

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.2

I, Rory G. Ritrievi, certify, based on my knowledge, that:

(i) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has discussed, reviewed, and evaluated with senior risk officer at least every six months during any part of the most recently completed fiscal year that was a TARP period (the applicable period), the senior executive officer (SEO) compensation plans and the employee compensation plans and the risks these plans pose to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

(ii) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has identified and limited during the applicable period any features of the SEO compensation plans that could lead SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that could threaten the value of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., and identified any features of the employee compensation plans that pose risks to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and has limited those features to ensure that Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. is not unnecessarily exposed to risks;

(iii) The compensation committee has reviewed, at least every six months during the applicable period, the terms of each employee compensation plan and identified any features of the plan that could encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to enhance the compensation of an employee, and has limited those features that would encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

(iv) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will certify to the reviews of the SEO compensation plans and employee compensation plans required under (i) and (iii) above;

(v) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will provide a narrative description of how it limited during the applicable period the features in (A) SEO compensation plans that could lead SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that could threaten the value of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.; (B) Employee compensation plans that unnecessarily expose Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to risks; and (C) Employee compensation plans that could encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to enhance the compensation of an employee;

(vi) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has required that bonus payments, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA (bonus payments), of the SEOs and twenty next most highly compensated employees be subject to a recovery or “clawback” provision during any part of the most recently completed fiscal year that was a TARP period if the bonus payments were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria;

(vii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has prohibited any golden parachute payment, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, to an SEO or any of the next five most highly compensated employees during the applicable period.

(viii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has limited bonus payments to its applicable employees in accordance with section 111 of EESA and the regulations and guidance established thereunder during the applicable period;

(ix) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its employees have complied with the excessive or luxury expenditures policy, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, during the applicable period; and any expenses requiring approval of the board of directors, a committee of the board of directors, an SEO, or an executive officer with a similar level of responsibility, were properly approved;

(x) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will permit a non-binding shareholder resolution in compliance with any applicable Federal securities rules and regulations on the disclosures provided under the Federal securities laws related to SEO compensation paid or accrued during the applicable period;

(xi) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will disclose the amount, nature, and justification for the offering during the applicable period of any perquisites, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, whose total value exceeds $25,000 for any employee who is subject to the bonus payment limitations identified in paragraph (viii);

(xii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will disclose whether Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., the board of directors of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or the compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has engaged during the applicable period, a compensation consultant; and the services the compensation consultant or any affiliate of the compensation consultant provided during this period;

(xiii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has prohibited the payment of any gross-ups, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, to the SEOs and the next twenty most highly compensated employees during the applicable period;

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.2 (continued)

(xiv) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has substantially complied with all other requirements related to employee compensation that are provided in the agreement between Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Treasury, including any amendments;

(xv) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has submitted to Treasury a complete and accurate list of the SEOs and the twenty next most highly compensated employees for the current fiscal year and the most recently completed fiscal year, with the non-SEOs ranked in descending order of level of annual compensation, and with the name, title, and employer of each SEO and most highly compensated employee identified; and

(xvi) I understand that a knowing and willful false or fraudulent statement made in connection with this certification may be punished by fine, imprisonment, or both.

Signed: /s/ Rory G. Ritrievi_____

Rory G. Ritrievi

Date: March 25, 2013

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.3

I, Kevin W. Laudenslager, certify, based on my knowledge, that:

(i) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has discussed, reviewed, and evaluated with senior risk officer at least every six months during any part of the most recently completed fiscal year that was a TARP period (the applicable period), the senior executive officer (SEO) compensation plans and the employee compensation plans and the risks these plans pose to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

(ii) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has identified and limited during the applicable period any features of the SEO compensation plans that could lead SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that could threaten the value of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., and identified any features of the employee compensation plans that pose risks to Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and has limited those features to ensure that Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. is not unnecessarily exposed to risks;

(iii) The compensation committee has reviewed, at least every six months during the applicable period, the terms of each employee compensation plan and identified any features of the plan that could encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to enhance the compensation of an employee, and has limited those features that would encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.;

(iv) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will certify to the reviews of the SEO compensation plans and employee compensation plans required under (i) and (iii) above;

(v) The compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will provide a narrative description of how it limited during the applicable period the features in (A) SEO compensation plans that could lead SEOs to take unnecessary and excessive risks that could threaten the value of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.; (B) Employee compensation plans that unnecessarily expose Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to risks; and (C) Employee compensation plans that could encourage the manipulation of reported earnings of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. to enhance the compensation of an employee;

(vi) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has required that bonus payments, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA (bonus payments), of the SEOs and twenty next most highly compensated employees be subject to a recovery or “clawback” provision during any part of the most recently completed fiscal year that was a TARP period if the bonus payments were based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria;

(vii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has prohibited any golden parachute payment, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, to an SEO or any of the next five most highly compensated employees during the applicable period.

(viii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has limited bonus payments to its applicable employees in accordance with section 111 of EESA and the regulations and guidance established thereunder during the applicable period;

(ix) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and its employees have complied with the excessive or luxury expenditures policy, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, during the applicable period; and any expenses requiring approval of the board of directors, a committee of the board of directors, an SEO, or an executive officer with a similar level of responsibility, were properly approved;

(x) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will permit a non-binding shareholder resolution in compliance with any applicable Federal securities rules and regulations on the disclosures provided under the Federal securities laws related to SEO

compensation paid or accrued during the applicable period;

(xi) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will disclose the amount, nature, and justification for the offering during the applicable period of any perquisites, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, whose total value exceeds $25,000 for any employee who is subject to the bonus payment limitations identified in paragraph (viii);

(xii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. will disclose whether Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., the board of directors of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc., or the compensation committee of Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has engaged during the applicable period, a compensation consultant; and the services the compensation consultant or any affiliate of the compensation consultant provided during this period;

(xiii) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has prohibited the payment of any gross-ups, as defined in the regulations and guidance established under section 111 of EESA, to the SEOs and the next twenty most highly compensated employees during the applicable period;

MID PENN BANCORP, INC.

Exhibit 99.3 (continued)

(xiv) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has substantially complied with all other requirements related to employee compensation that are provided in the agreement between Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. and Treasury, including any amendments;

(xv) Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc. has submitted to Treasury a complete and accurate list of the SEOs and the twenty next most highly compensated employees for the current fiscal year and the most recently completed fiscal year, with the non-SEOs ranked in descending order of level of annual compensation, and with the name, title, and employer of each SEO and most highly compensated employee identified; and

(xvi) I understand that a knowing and willful false or fraudulent statement made in connection with this certification may be punished by fine, imprisonment, or both.

Signed: /s/ Kevin W. Laudenslager_____

Kevin W. Laudenslager

Date: March 25, 2013