

CYTRX CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
May 17, 2011

---

---

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities  
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant  R  
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant  F

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement  
 Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))  
 Definitive Proxy Statement  
 Definitive Additional Materials  
 Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

CytRx Corporation  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)  
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.  
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

---

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

---

(3)

Edgar Filing: CYTRX CORP - Form DEF 14A

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

---

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

---

(5) Total fee paid:

---

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

---

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

---

(3) Filing Party:

---

(4) Date Filed:

---

---

---

---

---

11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650  
Los Angeles, California 90049

May 17, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of CytRx Corporation. The meeting will be held at the Four Seasons Hotel Los Angeles, 300 South Doheny Drive, Los Angeles, California, at 10:00 A.M., local time, on Thursday, June 30, 2011.

The Notice of Meeting and the Proxy Statement on the following pages cover the formal business of the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, I will also report on CytRx's current operations and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

We sincerely hope you will be able to attend the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, however, and regardless of the number of shares you own, it is important that your shares be represented at the Annual Meeting. Therefore, please take the time to vote your shares by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card to us.

Thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

/s/ STEVEN A. KRIEGSMAN

Steven A. Kriegsman  
President and Chief Executive Officer

11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650  
Los Angeles, California 90049

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS  
to be held on June 30, 2011

Notice is hereby given to the holders of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, of CytRx Corporation that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on Thursday, June 30, 2011 at the Four Seasons Hotel Los Angeles, 300 South Doheny Drive, Los Angeles, California, at 10:00 A.M., local time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect two directors to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;
- (2) To approve an amendment to the CytRx Corporation Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the authorized number of shares of common stock from 175,000,000 to 250,000,000;
- (3) To approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement;
- (4) To recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
- (5) To ratify the selection of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011; and
- (6) To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Only those stockholders of record at the close of business on May 2, 2011 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any postponement or adjournment thereof. A complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available at the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ BENJAMIN S. LEVIN

Benjamin S. Levin  
Corporate Secretary

May 17, 2011

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE, AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED BUSINESS REPLY ENVELOPE (OR USE TELEPHONE OR INTERNET VOTING PROCEDURES, IF AVAILABLE THROUGH YOUR BROKER). IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING AND WISH TO DO SO, YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON.



11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650  
Los Angeles, California 90049

To Be Held June 30, 2011

### PROXY STATEMENT

This Proxy Statement is furnished to holders of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, of CytRx Corporation, a Delaware corporation, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for use at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the Four Seasons Hotel Los Angeles, 300 South Doheny Drive, Los Angeles, California, at 10:00 A.M., local time, on Thursday, June 30, 2011, and at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card are first being mailed to our stockholders on or about May 17, 2011.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters referred to in the attached Notice of Meeting and described in detail in this Proxy Statement. These matters are:

- the election of two directors;
- the approval of an amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation;
- to approve, by non-binding vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement;
- to recommend, by non-binding vote, the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes to approve the compensation of our named executive officers; and
- the ratification of our appointment of independent accountants.

In addition, management will report on our performance during fiscal 2010 and respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on May 2, 2011 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

What constitutes a quorum?

Our Restated Bylaws provide that the presence, in person or by proxy, at our Annual Meeting of the holders of a majority of outstanding shares of our common stock will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

For the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum, proxies marked “withhold authority” or “abstain” will be counted as present. Shares represented by proxies that include so-called broker non-votes (shares held by a broker or nominee that has no authority to vote upon a particular matter) also will be counted as shares present for purposes of

establishing a quorum. On the record date, there were 109,227,069 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding, exclusive of treasury shares.

What are the voting rights of the holders of our common stock?

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote per share with respect to each of the matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. With regard to the election of directors, the three nominees receiving the greatest number of votes cast will be elected. Approval of the amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock. Approval of each of the other proposals requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on that proposal at the Annual Meeting.

In the election of directors, you may vote “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN” with respect to each of the nominees. If you abstain in the election of directors, it will not impact the election of directors. In tabulating the voting results for the election of directors, only “FOR” and “AGAINST” votes are counted.

With respect to Proposal 4, the advisory vote on the frequency of holding future advisory votes on executive compensation, you may vote “EVERY YEAR,” “EVERY TWO YEARS,” “EVERY THREE YEARS” or “ABSTAIN.” If you abstain from voting on Proposal 4, it will not have an effect on the outcome of the vote.

With respect to each of Proposals 3 and 5, you may vote “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN.” If you elect to abstain, it will have the same effect as an “AGAINST” vote.

What are the Board’s recommendations?

The recommendations of our Board of Directors are set forth together with the description of each Proposal in this Proxy Statement. In summary, our Board of Directors recommends a vote:

- “FOR” election of the incumbent directors named in this Proxy Statement as described in Proposal 1;
- “FOR” approval of the amendment to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation as described in Proposal 2;
- “FOR” approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement as described in Proposal 3.
- “EVERY YEAR” for the conduct of an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers as described in Proposal 4; and
- “FOR” ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011 as described in Proposal 5.

Proxies

If the enclosed proxy card is executed, returned in time and not revoked, the shares represented thereby will be voted at the Annual Meeting and at any postponement or adjournment thereof in accordance with the directions indicated on the proxy card. IF NO DIRECTIONS ARE INDICATED, PROXIES WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS’ RECOMMENDATIONS IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND, AS TO ANY OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY BROUGHT BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING OR ANY POSTPONEMENT OR ADJOURNMENT THEREOF, IN THE SOLE DISCRETION OF THE PROXIES.

A stockholder who returns a proxy card may revoke it at any time prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting by (i) giving written notice of revocation to our Corporate Secretary prior to the Annual Meeting, (ii) properly submitting



to us prior to the Annual Meeting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or (iii) appearing at the Annual Meeting and voting in person. All written notices of revocation of proxies should be addressed as follows: CytRx Corporation, 11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650, Los Angeles, California 90049, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

This proxy statement and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 are available on our website at [www.cytrx.com](http://www.cytrx.com)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSAL 1 — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	5
PROPOSAL 2 — APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE CYTRX CORPORATION RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION	32
PROPOSAL 3 — ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	33
PROPOSAL 4 — ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	34
PROPOSAL 5 — RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	35
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	36
OTHER MATTERS	36
APPENDIX A — Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of CytRx Corporation	A-1



## PROPOSAL 1

### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Pursuant to our Restated Bylaws, our Board of Directors has fixed the number of our directors at seven. Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and our Bylaws provide for the classification of these directors into three classes, which we refer to as Class I, Class II and Class III, with each Class to consist as nearly as possible of an equal number of directors. One Class of directors is to be elected at each annual meeting of stockholders to serve for a term of three years.

We have two incumbent directors in Class II whose terms expire at the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors has nominated the incumbent Class II directors, Steven A. Kriegsman and Marvin R. Selter, for reelection as Class II directors to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. At a meeting of our Board of Directors on May 2, 2011, Mr. Wennekamp resigned as a Class II director and was reappointed by our Board as a Class III director in order to make the number of directors in each class equal.

Information concerning Messrs. Kriegsman and Selter, as well as the directors whose terms of office will continue after the Annual Meeting, is set forth below. Each director's age is indicated in parentheses after his name.

#### Class II — Nominees to Serve as Directors Until the 2014 Annual Meeting

We believe that Messrs. Kriegsman and Selter will be available and able to serve as directors. In the event that one of them is unable or unwilling to serve, the proxy holders will vote the proxies for such other nominee as they may determine.

Steven A. Kriegsman (69) has been has been CytRx's President and Chief Executive Officer and a director since July 2002. He also serves as a director of RXi Pharmaceuticals Corporation and is Chairman of its Compensation and Transactions Committees. He previously served as Director and Chairman of Global Genomics from June 2000. Mr. Kriegsman is an inactive Chairman and Founder of Kriegsman Capital Group LLC, a financial advisory firm specializing in the development of alternative sources of equity capital for emerging growth companies in the healthcare industry. During his career, he has advised such companies as SuperGen Inc., Closure Medical Corporation, Novoste Corporation, Miravant Medical Technologies, and Maxim Pharmaceuticals. In the past five years, Mr. Kriegsman has also served on the Board of Directors of Bradley Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Hythiam, Inc. Mr. Kriegsman has a B.S. degree with honors from New York University in Accounting and completed the Executive Program in Mergers and Acquisitions at New York University, The Management Institute. Mr. Kriegsman is a graduate of the Stanford Law School Directors' College.

Mr. Kriegsman was formerly a Certified Public Accountant with KPMG in New York City. In February 2006, Mr. Kriegsman received the Corporate Philanthropist of the Year Award from the Greater Los Angeles Chapter of the ALS Association and in October 2006, he received the Lou Gehrig Memorial Corporate Award from the Muscular Dystrophy Association. Mr. Kriegsman has been a guest speaker and lecturer at various universities including California Institute of Technology (Caltech), Brown University, and New York University. Mr. Kriegsman has been active in various charitable organizations including the Biotechnology Industry Organization, the California Health Institute, the ALS Association, the Los Angeles Venture Association, the Southern California Biomedical Council, the American Association of Dance Companies and the Palisades-Malibu YMCA.

Marvin R. Selter (83) has been a director since October 2003. He has been President and Chief Executive Officer of CMS, Inc. since he founded that firm in 1968. CMS, Inc. is a national management consulting firm. In 1972, Mr. Selter originated the concept of employee leasing. He served as a member of the Business Tax Advisory

Committee—City of Los Angeles, Small Business Board—State of California and the Small Business Advisory Commission—State of California. Mr. Selter also serves on the Valley Economic Development Center as past Chairman and Audit Committee Chairman, the Board of Valley Industry and Commerce Association as past Chairman, the Advisory Board of the San Fernando Economic Alliance and the California State University—Northridge as Past Chairman of the Economic Research Center and President of the Olive View UCLA Medical Center Foundation. He has served, and continues to serve, as a member of boards of directors of various hospitals, universities, private medical companies and other organizations. Mr. Selter attended Rutgers—The State University, majoring in Accounting and Business Administration. He was an LPA having served as Controller, Financial Vice President and Treasurer at distribution, manufacturing and service firms. He has lectured extensively on finance, corporate structure and budgeting for the American Management Association and other professional teaching associations.

Mr. Selter has founded, operated, and grown his own successful businesses, which gives him a valuable insight into the financial constraints and operational challenges facing companies in the development stage and as they mature. He also has many years of involvement in various governmental agencies and charitable organizations, which affords him an important perspective on the business regulatory process and capital-raising activities. In addition, he has significant education and work experience in accounting and financial matters that he is able to utilize as the named financial expert on our Audit Committee.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” ELECTION OF MR. KRIEGSMAN AND MR. SELTER AS DIRECTORS.

## Continuing Directors

The following is a description of the incumbent directors in and Class III and Class I whose terms of office will continue after the Annual Meeting:

### Class III — Term Expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting

Max Link, Ph.D. (70), our Chairman of the Board, has been a director since 1996. Dr. Link has been retired from business since 2003. From March 2002 until its acquisition by Zimmer Holdings, Dr. Link served as Chairman and CEO of Centerpulse, Ltd. From May 1993 to June 1994, Dr. Link served as the Chief Executive Officer of Corange Ltd. (the holding company for Boehringer Mannheim Therapeutics, Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics and DePuy International). From 1992 to 1993, Dr. Link was Chairman of Sandoz Pharma, Ltd. From 1987 to 1992, Dr. Link was the Chief Executive Officer of Sandoz Pharma and a member of the Executive Board of Sandoz, Ltd., Basel. Prior to 1987, Dr. Link served in various capacities with the United States operations of Sandoz, including President and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Link also serves as a director of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Celsion Corporation, Inc. and Discovery Laboratories, Inc.

Dr. Link has extensive executive-level experience with a number of large pharmaceutical companies, including Sandoz Pharma, Ltd. In these positions, he was responsible for major strategic and other business initiatives, including new drug development, acquisitions and dispositions of new drug candidates and other technology, licensing, marketing and distribution agreements and other key contractual strategic arrangements that affect, or are likely to affect, our company's own business efforts. As an executive officer and board member of these other companies, he has experience with the regulatory schemes in foreign jurisdictions and also has been exposed to different approaches to corporate governance matters, potential conflicts of interest, and similar matters, which enables him to offer importance guidance to our Board of Directors.

Richard L. Wennekamp (68) has been a director since October 2003. He retired from Community Bank in June 2008 where he was the Senior Vice President-Credit Administration since October 2002. From September 1998 to July 2002, Mr. Wennekamp was an executive officer of Bank of America Corporation, holding various positions, including Managing Director-Credit Product Executive for the last four years of his 22-year term with the bank. From 1977 through 1980, Mr. Wennekamp was a Special Assistant to former President of the United States, Gerald R. Ford, and the Executive Director of the Ford Transition Office. Prior thereto, he served as Staff Assistant to the President of the United States for one year, and as the Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of Commerce of the U.S.

Mr. Wennekamp's senior executive experience in the banking and financial services industry distinguishes him from our other directors and adds unique capabilities and a different perspective to the deliberations of our Board of Directors. As a former chief credit officer at Bank of America and Community Bank, he understands the credit needs, financing requirements, and operational constraints of development-stage and mature businesses.

### Class I — Term Expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting

Louis Ignarro, Ph.D. (69) has been a director since July 2002. He previously served as a director of Global Genomics since November 20, 2000. Dr. Ignarro serves as the Jerome J. Belzer, M.D. Distinguished Professor of Pharmacology in the Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology at the UCLA School of Medicine. Dr. Ignarro has been at the UCLA School of Medicine since 1985 as a professor, acting chairman and assistant dean. Dr. Ignarro received the Nobel Prize for Medicine in 1998. Dr. Ignarro received a B.S. in pharmacy from Columbia University and his Ph.D. in Pharmacology from the University of Minnesota. Dr. Ignarro is a Nobel Laureate and an esteemed medical researcher whose experience enables him to offer importance scientific guidance to our Board of Directors.

Joseph Rubinfeld, Ph.D. (78) has been a director since July 2002. He co-founded SuperGen, Inc. in 1991 and has served as its Chief Executive Officer and President and as a director since its inception until December 31, 2003. He resigned as Chairman Emeritus of SuperGen, Inc. on February 8, 2005. Dr. Rubinfeld was also Chief Scientific Officer of SuperGen from 1991 until September 1997. Dr. Rubinfeld is also a founder of JJ Pharma. Dr. Rubinfeld was one of the four initial founders of Amgen, Inc. in 1980 and served as a Vice President and its Chief of Operations until 1983. From 1987 until 1990, Dr. Rubinfeld was a Senior Director at Cetus Corporation and from 1968 to 1980, Dr. Rubinfeld was employed at Bristol-Myers Company, International Division in a variety of positions. Dr. Rubinfeld received a B.S. degree in chemistry from C.C.N.Y. and an M.A. and Ph.D. in chemistry from Columbia University.

Dr. Rubinfeld served as a senior executive of several large pharmaceutical companies before leaving to co-found and serves as Chief Executive Officer or in other senior executive capacities with highly successful companies. Dr. Rubinfeld's academic training and business experience enhances the breadth and scope of our Board's oversight of our company's management, business, strategic relationships, and other activities, while his vision adds to the long-range planning of our Board of Directors and management.

#### Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees

##### Board of Directors

The property, affairs and business of CytRx are conducted under the general supervision and management of our Board of Directors as called for under the laws of Delaware and our Restated Bylaws. Our Board of Directors has established a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nomination and Governance Committee.

The Board of Directors held seven meetings during 2010. Each director attended at least 75% of the total meetings of the Board during 2010, except Dr. Ignarro. Each director who served on a committee of our Board of Directors attended at least 75% of all committee meetings during 2010. Board agendas include regularly scheduled executive sessions for the independent directors to meet without management present. In 2010, the independent directors met two times in executive session.

##### Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Link, Ignarro, Selter and Wennekamp each is "independent" under the current independence standards of both The NASDAQ Capital Market and the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and have no material relationships with us (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of any entity) that could be inconsistent with a finding of their independence as members of our Board of Directors or as the members of our Audit Committee. Our Board of Directors also has determined that Mr. Selter, one of the independent directors serving on our Audit Committee, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by SEC rules.

The following table provides information concerning the current membership of our Board committees:

Name	Class of Directors	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nomination and Governance Committee
Steven A. Kriegsman	II			
Louis Ignarro, Ph.D.	I			
Max Link, Ph.D.	III	(1)	(2)	(3)
Joseph Rubinfeld, Ph.D.	I			
Marvin R. Selter	II	(1)	(2)	(3)
Richard L. Wennekamp	III	(1)	(2)	(3)

(1) Members of our Audit Committee. Mr. Selter is the Chairman of the Committee.

(2) Members of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Wennekamp is Chairman of the committee.



(3) Members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Wennkamp is Chairman of the Committee.

#### Audit Committee

Our Board of Directors has determined that each of the current members of the Audit Committee are “independent” under the current independence standards of The NASDAQ Capital Market.

The Audit Committee’s responsibilities include oversight activities described below under the “Report of the Audit Committee.” The Audit Committee reviews our financial structure, policies and procedures, appoints our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews with our independent registered public accounting firm the plans and results of the audit engagement, approves permitted non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, reviews the independence of our independent registered public accountants and reviews the adequacy of our internal accounting controls.

The Audit Committee has discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm the firm's independence from management and us, including the matters in the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board and considered the compatibility of permitted non-audit services with the auditors' independence. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which is available on our website at <http://www.cytrx.com>.

#### Audit Committee Report

Set forth below is the Audit Committee Report:

The following Report does not constitute soliciting material and should not be considered or deemed filed, or incorporated by reference into any filing, by us with the SEC, except to the extent we specifically incorporate this Report by reference.

The primary function of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to:

- The quality and integrity of our financial statements and reports.
- Our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence.
- The performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors.

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors in April 2003, which was amended by the Board of Directors in March 2007.

The Audit Committee's primary duties and responsibilities are to:

- Serve as an independent and objective party to monitor our financial reporting process and internal control system.
  - Review and appraise the audit efforts of our independent accountants and internal audit function.
- Provide an open avenue of communication among the independent accountants, internal auditors, our management and the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee provides assistance to the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibility to the stockholders, potential stockholders, the investment community and others relating to our financial statements and the financial reporting process, the systems of internal accounting and financial controls, the internal audit function, the annual independent audit of our financial statements and the ethics programs when established by our management and the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee has the sole authority (subject, if applicable, to stockholder ratification) to appoint or replace the outside auditors and is directly responsible for determining the compensation of the independent auditors.

The Audit Committee must pre-approve all auditing services and all permitted non-auditing services to be provided by the outside auditors. In general, the Audit Committee's policy is to grant such approval where it determines that the non-audit services are not incompatible with maintaining the auditors' independence and there are cost or other efficiencies in obtaining such services from the auditors as compared to other possible providers. During 2010, the Audit Committee approved all of the non-audit services proposals submitted to it.

The Audit Committee met five times during 2010. The Audit Committee schedules its meetings with a view to ensuring that it devotes appropriate attention to all of its tasks. In discharging its oversight role, the Audit Committee is empowered to investigate any matter brought to its attention, with full access to all of our books, records, facilities and personnel, and to retain its own legal counsel and other advisers as it deems necessary or appropriate.

As part of its oversight of our financial statements, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with both management and its outside auditors our interim financial statements and annual audited financial statements that are included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Report on Form 10-K, respectively. Our management advised the Audit Committee in each case that all such financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and reviewed significant accounting issues with the Audit Committee. These reviews included discussion with the outside auditors of matters required to be discussed pursuant to Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended by SAS No. 90 (Communication with Audit Committees).

The Audit Committee retained BDO USA, LLP to audit our financial statements for 2010. The Audit Committee also has selected BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2011.

The Audit Committee discussed with BDO USA, LLP, which audited our annual financial statements for 2010, matters relating to its independence, including a review of audit and non-audit fees and the letter and written disclosures made by BDO USA, LLP to the Audit Committee pursuant to Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees).

In addition, the Audit Committee reviewed initiatives aimed at strengthening the effectiveness of CytRx's internal control structure. As part of this process, the Audit Committee continued to monitor and review staffing levels and steps taken to implement recommended improvements in internal procedures and controls.

Taking all of these reviews and discussions into account, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC.

Respectfully submitted,

Audit Committee:

Marvin R. Selter, Chairman  
Max Link, Ph.D.  
Richard L. Wennekamp

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is authorized to review and make recommendations to the full Board of Directors relating to the annual salaries and bonuses of our officers and to determine in its sole discretion all grants of stock options, the exercise price of each option, and the number of shares to be issuable upon the exercise of each option under our various stock option plans. The Committee also is authorized to interpret our stock option plans, to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the plans, to determine the term and provisions of the respective option agreements, and to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for the administration of the plans. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which is available on our website at [www.cytrx.com](http://www.cytrx.com). As indicated above with respect to service on our Audit Committee, our Board of Directors has determined that each of the current members of the Compensation Committee, Messrs. Wennekamp, Link and Selter, are "independent" under the current independence standards of The NASDAQ Capital Market.

The Compensation Committee held five meetings during 2010.

Nomination and Governance Committee

The Nomination and Governance Committee assists our Board of Directors in discharging its duties relating to corporate governance and the compensation and evaluation of the Board. The Nomination and Governance Committee also operates pursuant to a written charter, a copy of which likewise is available on our website at [www.cytrx.com](http://www.cytrx.com). As indicated above with respect to service on our Audit Committee, our Board of Directors has determined that each of the current members of the Nomination and Governance Committee, Messrs. Wennekamp, Link and Selter, are "independent" under the current independence standards of The NASDAQ Capital Market.

The principal responsibilities of the Nomination and Governance Committee include:

- Overseeing our corporate governance practices and developing and recommending to our Board a set of Corporate Governance Guidelines.

- Assisting our Board in identifying qualified director candidates, selecting nominees for election as directors at meetings of stockholders and selecting candidates to fill vacancies on our Board, and developing criteria to be used in making such recommendations.
- Creating and recommending to our Board a policy regarding the consideration of director candidates recommended by stockholders and procedures for stockholders' submission of nominees of director candidates.
- Reviewing and recommending the compensation for non-employee directors and making recommendations to our Board for its approval.
- Establishing criteria for our Board and for all committees (including the Nomination and Governance Committee) to use to evaluate their performance on an annual basis.
  - Overseeing developments related to corporate governance and advising our Board in connection therewith.

The Nomination and Governance Committee has sole authority, in connection with the identification of qualified director candidates, to retain and terminate any search firm for such purpose (including the authority to approve any such firm's fees and other retention terms). We do not currently employ an executive search firm, or pay a fee to any other third party, to locate qualified candidates for director positions.

The Nomination and Governance Committee held three meetings during 2010.

The Nomination and Governance Committee has not established any specific minimum qualifications for director candidates or any specific qualities or skills that a candidate must possess in order to be considered qualified to be nominated as a director.

Qualifications for consideration as a director nominee may vary according to the particular areas of expertise being sought as a complement to the existing board composition. In making its nominations, our Nomination and Governance Committee generally will consider, among other things, an individual's business experience, industry experience, financial background, breadth of knowledge about issues affecting our company, time available for meetings and consultation regarding company matters and other particular skills and experience possessed by the individual.

#### Stockholder Recommendations of Director Candidates

The policy of the Nomination and Governance Committee is that a stockholder wishing to submit recommendations for director candidates for consideration by the Nomination and Governance Committee for election at an annual meeting of shareholders must do so in writing by December 15 of the previous calendar year. The written recommendation must include the following information:

- A statement that the writer is a stockholder and is proposing a candidate for consideration.
  - The name and contact information for the candidate.
  - A statement of the candidate's business and educational experience.
  - Information regarding the candidate's qualifications to be a director.
-

The number of shares of our common stock, if any, owned either beneficially or of record by the candidate and the length of time such shares have been so owned.

- The written consent of the candidate to serve as a director if nominated and elected.
- Information regarding any relationship or understanding between the proposing stockholder and the candidate.
- A statement that the proposed candidate has agreed to furnish us all information as we deem necessary to evaluate such candidate's qualifications to serve as a director.

As to the stockholder giving the notice, the written recommendation must state the name and address of the stockholder and the number of shares of our common stock which are owned beneficially or of record by the shareholder.

Any recommendations in proper form received from stockholders will be evaluated in the same manner that potential nominees recommended by our Board members or management are evaluated.

#### Stockholder Nominations of Directors

Our Bylaws specify the procedures by which stockholders may nominate director candidates directly, as opposed to merely recommending a director candidate to the Nomination and Governance Committee as described above. Any stockholder nominations must comply with the requirements of our Bylaws and should be addressed to: Corporate Secretary, CytRx Corporation, 11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650, Los Angeles, California 90049.

#### Stockholder Communication with Board Members

Stockholders who wish to communicate with our Board members may contact us by telephone, facsimile or regular mail at our principal executive office. Written communications specifically marked as a communication for our Board of Directors, or a particular director, except those that are clearly marketing or soliciting materials, will be forwarded unopened to the Chairman of our Board, or to the particular director to which they are addressed, or presented to the full Board or the particular director at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting. In addition, communications sent to us via telephone or facsimile for our Board of Directors or a particular director will be forwarded to our Board or the director by an appropriate officer.

#### Transactions with Related Persons

##### General

Our Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving, as appropriate, all transactions with related persons, in accordance with its Charter and the requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market.

Transactions between us and one or more related persons may present risks or conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest. Our Code of Ethics requires all employees, officers and directors to avoid activities or relationships that conflict, or may be perceived to conflict, with our interests or adversely affect our reputation. It is understood, however, that certain relationships or transactions may arise that would be deemed acceptable and appropriate so long as there is full disclosure of the interest of the related parties in the transaction and review and approval by disinterested directors to ensure there is a legitimate business reason for the transaction and that the transaction is fair to us and our stockholders.

As a result, the procedures followed by the Audit Committee to evaluate transactions with related persons require:

- that all related person transactions, all material terms of the transactions, and all the material facts as to the related person's direct or indirect interest in, or relationship to, the related person transaction must be communicated to the Audit Committee; and
- that all related person transactions, and any material amendment or modification to any related person transaction, be reviewed and approved or ratified by the Audit Committee, as required by the requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market.



- Our Audit Committee will evaluate related person transactions based on:
  - information provided by members of our board of directors in connection with the required annual evaluation of director independence;
  - pertinent responses to the Directors' and Officers' Questionnaires submitted periodically by our officers and directors and provided to the Audit Committee by our management;
  - background information on nominees for director provided by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of our board of directors; and
- any other relevant information provided by any of our directors or officers.

In connection with its review and approval or ratification, if appropriate, of any related person transaction, our Audit Committee is to consider whether the transaction will compromise standards included in our Code of Ethics. In the case of any related person transaction involving an outside director or nominee for director, the Audit Committee also is to consider whether the transaction will compromise the director's status as an independent director as prescribed by The NASDAQ Capital Market.

#### Exemption Clause

Item 404(a)(7)(a) of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K states that: Disclosure need not be provided if the transaction is one where the rates or charges involved in the transaction are determined by competitive bid, or the transaction involves rendering of services as a common or contract carrier, or public utility, at rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority.

#### Applicable Definitions

For purposes of our Audit Committee's review:

- "related person" has the meaning given to such term in Item 404(a) of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K ("Item 404(a)"); and
- "related person transaction" means any transaction for which disclosure is required under the terms of Item 404(a) involving the Company and any related persons.

#### Board Member Attendance at Annual Meetings

Our Board of Directors has no formal policy regarding attendance of directors at our annual stockholder meetings. Our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was attended by all of our directors.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Our executive officers and directors and any person who owns more than 10% of our outstanding shares of common stock are required under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock and to furnish us with copies of those reports. Based solely on our review of copies of reports we have received and written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that our directors and executive officers and greater than 10% shareholders for 2010 complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements.

## Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Based solely upon information made available to us, the following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of May 3, 2011 by (1) each person who is known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock; (2) each of our directors; (3) our named executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table under the caption “Executive Compensation”; and (4) all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the SEC rules. Shares of common stock subject to warrants or options that are presently exercisable, or exercisable within 60 days of May 3, 2011, which are indicated by footnote, are deemed outstanding in computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the warrants or options, but not in computing the percentage ownership of any other person. The percentage ownership reflected in the table is based on 109,227,069 shares of our common stock outstanding as of May 3, 2011. Except as otherwise indicated, the holders listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown, subject to applicable community property laws. An asterisk (\*) represents beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

N a m e o f B e n e f i c i a l Owner	Shares of Common Stock	
	Number	Percent
Louis Ignarro, Ph.D.(1)	668,916	*
Steven A. Kriegsman(2)	7,041,915	6.3 %
Max Link, Ph.D.(3)	289,519	*
Joseph Rubinfeld, Ph.D.(4)	227,000	*
Marvin R. Selter(5)	572,451	*
Richard L. Wennekamp(6)	220,000	*
Dan Levitt, M.D., Ph.D.(7)	319,444	*
John Y. Caloz (8)	272,226	*
Scott Wieland, Ph.D.(9)	264,731	*
Benjamin S. Levin(10)	744,464	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (eleven persons)(11)	10,708,173	9.3 %

(1) Includes 577,000 shares subject to options or warrants.

(2) Includes 3,020,815 shares subject to options or warrants. Mr. Kriegsman’s address is c/o CytRx Corporation, 11726 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 650, Los Angeles, CA 90049.

(3) Includes 234,543 shares subject to options or warrants.

(4) Consists of shares subject to options or warrants.

(5) Includes 215,000 shares subject to options or warrants owned by Mr. Selter. The remainder of the shares shown are owned, of record, by the Selter Family Trust or Selter IRA Rollover.

(6) Includes 215,000 shares subject to options or warrants.

(7) Consists of shares subject to options or warrants.

- (8) Consists of shares subject to options or warrants.
- (9) Consists of shares subject to options or warrants.
- (10) Consists of shares subject to options or warrants.
- (11) Includes 6,177,730 shares subject to options or warrants.

## Executive Officers

Set forth below is information regarding our current executive officers (other than information relating to Steven A. Kriegsman, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which is set forth above under “Continuing Directors”). Each officer’s age is indicated in parentheses after his name.

Daniel Levitt, M.D., Ph.D. (63) joined us in October 2009 as our Chief Medical Officer. Dr. Levitt brings more than 24 years of senior management experience, having spearheaded numerous drug development programs to commercialization at leading biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies. Prior to joining CytRx, Dr. Levitt served from January 2007 to February 2009 as Executive Vice President, Research and Development at Cerimon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Prior to that, from August 2003 to April 2006, he was Chief Medical Officer and Head of Clinical and Regulatory Affairs at Dynavax Technologies Corporation, managing clinical trials for four programs and overseeing multi-country regulatory strategies. From August 2002 to July 2003, Dr. Levitt was Chief Operating Officer and Head of Research and Development at Affymax, Inc., and prior to that he spent six years at Protein Design Labs, Inc., completing his tenure as that firm’s President and Head of Research and Development. Dr. Levitt’s past experience includes a position as Head of Drug Development at Geron Corporation, and Head of the Cytokine Development Unit and Global Clinical Oncology at Sandoz Pharmaceuticals Ltd., and as Director, Clinical Oncology and Immunology at Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc. Dr. Levitt graduated Magna Cum Laude and Phi Beta Kappa with a Bachelor of Arts degree from Brandeis University. He earned both his M.D. and his Ph.D. in Biology from the University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine. Dr. Levitt has received 10 major research awards and authored or co-authored nearly 200 papers and abstracts.

John Y. Caloz (59) joined us in October 2007 as our Chief Accounting Officer. In January of 2009 Mr. Caloz was named Chief Financial Officer. He has a history of providing senior financial leadership in the life sciences sector, as Chief Financial Officer of Occulogix, Inc, a NASDAQ listed, a medical therapy company. Prior to that, Mr. Caloz served as Chief Financial Officer of IRIS International Inc., a Chatsworth, CA based medical device manufacturer. He served as Chief Financial Officer of San Francisco-based Synarc, Inc., a medical imaging company, and from 1993 to 1999 he was Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Phoenix International Life Sciences Inc. of Montreal, Canada, which was acquired by MDS Inc. in 1999. Mr. Caloz was a partner at Rooney, Greig, Whitrod, Filion & Associates of Saint Laurent, Quebec, Canada, a firm of Chartered Accountants specializing in research and development and high tech companies, from 1983 to 1993. Mr. Caloz, a Chartered Accountant, holds a degree in Accounting from York University, Toronto, Canada.

Scott Wieland, Ph.D. (52) joined CytRx in 2005 as the Vice President, Clinical and Regulatory Affairs and was promoted to the position of Senior Vice President, Drug Development in December 2008. Prior to that, he served in senior level positions in the areas of Drug Development, Clinical and Regulatory Affairs at various biotech firms. He spent five years at NeoTherapeutics, Inc. serving as the Director of Product Development and was later promoted to Vice President of Product Development. From 1990 to 1997, he served as Director of Regulatory Affairs at CoCensys, Inc. Dr. Wieland has a Ph.D. in Biopsychology and an M.A. in Psychology from the University of Arizona. He has an MBA from Webster University. Dr. Wieland received his B.S. in Physiological Psychology from the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Scott Geyer (56) joined CytRx in November 2009 as our Senior Vice President, Manufacturing. Prior to joining CytRx, he served since May 2009, and also from May 2007 through November 2008, as Vice President, Technical Operations at Cerimon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. He previously served from December 2008 through April 2009 as Senior Vice President, Technical Operations & Product Development at TRF Pharma, Inc., from October 2004 through April 2007 as Vice President, Technical Operation at Xencor, Inc., and from October 2003 through February 2004 as Vice President, Manufacturing and Process Development at BioMarin Pharmaceuticals Inc. Mr. Geyer’s past experience includes holding senior positions at Onyx Pharmaceuticals and Protein Design Labs, Inc., as well as positions at

Ares-Sorono Group and SmithKline Beckman, among others. Mr. Geyer has co-authored numerous publications in peer-reviewed journals. He holds an M.S. in veterinary microbiology from Texas A&M University and a B.S. in microbiology from the University of Southwestern Louisiana.

Benjamin S. Levin (35) has been our General Counsel, Vice President — Legal Affairs and Corporate Secretary since July 2004. From November 1999 to June 2004, Mr. Levin was an associate in the transactions department of the Los Angeles office of O'Melveny & Myers LLP. Mr. Levin received his S.B. in Economics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and a J.D. from Stanford Law School.

David J. Haen (33) joined CytRx in October 2003 as Director of Business Development and was promoted to Vice President of Business Development in December 2007. From 1999 to 2003, Mr. Haen worked as an associate for Kriegsman Capital Group LLC, a financial advisory firm focused on emerging companies in the life sciences field. Mr. Haen received a B.A. in Communications and Business from Loyola Marymount University.

## Compensation Discussion and Analysis

### Overview of Executive Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors has responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring our executive compensation program philosophy and practices. Generally speaking, the Compensation Committee recommends compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers for final approval by our Board of Directors.

The Compensation Committee seeks to ensure that the total compensation paid to our named executive officers is fair, reasonable and competitive. Generally, the types of compensation and benefits provided to the named executive officers are similar to those provided to our other officers.

The Compensation Committee operates under a formal charter, copies of which are available on our website at [www.cytrx.com](http://www.cytrx.com), that governs its duties and conduct.

Throughout this Proxy Statement, the individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table are referred to as the “named executive officers.”

### Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The components of our executive compensation consist of salary, annual cash bonuses awarded based on the Compensation Committee’s subjective assessment of the achievement of corporate goals and each individual executive’s job performance during the past year, stock option grants to provide executives with longer-term incentives, and occasional special compensation awards (either cash, stock or stock options) to reward extraordinary efforts or results.

The Compensation Committee believes that an effective executive compensation program should provide base annual compensation that is reasonable in relation to individual executive’s job responsibilities and reward the achievement of strategic goals of our company. We use annual and other periodic cash bonuses to reward an officer’s achievement of specific goals, including goals related to the development of our drug candidates and management of working capital. We use employee stock options as a retention tool and as a means to align the executive’s long-term interests with those of our stockholders, with the ultimate objective of affording our executives an appropriate incentive to improve stockholder value. The Compensation Committee evaluates both performance and compensation to maintain our company’s ability to attract and retain excellent employees in key positions and to assure that compensation provided to key employees remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives of comparable companies.

Each of the corporate goals established and subsequently reviewed by the Compensation Committee results from a collaboration among our named executive officers, including the leadership of our President and Chief Executive Officer and the support of our principal legal, financial, clinical, medical and business development officers. The Committee’s assessment of the relative contribution of each named executive officer is based on periodic reports to our full Board of Directors regarding the progress of these business accomplishments and the individual efforts of our named executive officers, and year-end consultations, which include discussions of performance reviews, with our President and Chief Executive Officer that are a normal part of the Committee’s compensation determinations. The Committee employs no objective measure of any individual’s contribution.

The bonus amounts awarded to our eligible named executive officers are a function of their office and total compensation relative to the total compensation of our President and Chief Executive Officer, as adjusted by their

relative employee evaluation, and with consideration given to comparable company data for similarly situated employees. The bonus amounts awarded to each named executive officer is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

Because of the size of our company, the small number of executive officers in our company, and our company's financial priorities, the Compensation Committee has not implemented any pension benefits, deferred compensation plans or other similar plans for our named executive officers.

#### Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Compensation Committee annually recommends the compensation of our named executive officers to our Board of Directors, which makes final decisions. Our Board of Directors may accept or reject the Committee's recommendations or require revisions to such recommendations.



Our President and Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, typically attends all meetings of the Compensation Committee, except for executive sessions. At the request of the Compensation Committee, our CEO provides his assessment of the performance of our named executive officers, other than himself. Our CEO also takes an active part in the discussions of the compensation of named executive officers other than himself and assists in the development of a review matrix of each executive's contributions to the goals of the company that forms the basis for some compensation determinations. The Compensation Committee grants due consideration to our CEO's assessments when making recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding the compensation of our named executive officers. All Compensation Committee deliberations and determinations regarding the compensation of our CEO are made without the presence of our CEO. All recommendations regarding our CEO's compensation are based on the Compensation Committee's own assessment and evaluation, which are reviewed and acted upon by our Board of Directors.

#### Setting Executive Compensation

Based on the foregoing objectives, the Compensation Committee has structured the company's annual cash and incentive-based cash and non-cash executive compensation to seek to motivate our named executives to achieve the company's business goals, including goals related to the development of the our drug candidates and management of working capital, to reward the executives for achieving such goals, and to retain the executives. In doing so, the Compensation Committee historically has not employed outside compensation consultants. However, during 2010, the Compensation Committee obtained three industry compensation surveys and used them in its compensation deliberations regarding cash and equity compensation for our executive officers. The surveys used were an Equilar survey of public companies with a market capitalization between \$50 million and \$200 million, a survey of public and private life sciences companies of all sizes provided by Radford, and a survey of public and private companies in Los Angeles provided by salary.com (which the Compensation Committee uses to adjust to geographic differences in cost of living).

The Compensation Committee utilized this data to set annual salary increases and bonus amounts for our executive officers at levels targeted at or around the third quartile of compensation amounts provided to executives at comparable companies, considering each individual's experience level related to their position with us. The Compensation Committee has no policy regarding the use of benchmarks, and we have no established policy or target for the allocation between cash and non-cash incentive compensation.

The Compensation Committee is authorized to retain its own independent advisors to assist in carrying out its responsibilities, but has not relied upon outside compensation consultants.

#### Performance-driven Compensation

We emphasize performance in annually reviewing and setting our executive officers' base salary, bonuses and equity incentive compensation. This emphasis on performance with respect to a substantial portion of compensation is intended to motivate our executive officers to pursue our corporate goals, reward them for achievement of these goals and align their interests with those of our stockholders.

Each year, we determine goals that we hope to achieve in the coming year, both on a corporate and individual basis. Our overall corporate performance as compared to these goals, and an individual's performance compared to his or her individual goals, primarily drive the recommendations that the compensation committee makes with respect to each executive officers' base salary, cash bonus and equity incentive compensation. Other factors, such as larger macroeconomic conditions of the industry and market in which we compete, as well as strategic business decisions and issues related to key employee retention, also influence compensation decisions. For example, for 2009, in response to the financial crisis in late 2008, our management and Compensation Committee determined that no salary increase would be made in 2009.

Individual performance goals for each year initially are identified and developed by senior executives through a self-evaluation and goal-setting process, and our CEO refines and documents those goals in conjunction with the Compensation Committee. At the end of the year, the Compensation Committee reviews each performance goal and determines the extent to which we achieved such goals, and our CEO assesses the achievement of specific performance goals relating to other executive officers.

In establishing performance goals, the Compensation Committee considers whether the goals could possibly result in an incentive for any executives to take unwarranted risks in our company's business and seeks to avoid creating any such incentives.

## Company Performance Goals

For 2010, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors approved the following performance goals:

- Initiate up to three clinical trials for bafetinib in patients with CLL, prostate cancer and brain cancer
  - Finalize INNO-206 formulation for clinical development
- Initiate up to three clinical trials for INNO-206 in patients with pancreatic cancer, soft tissue sarcomas and stomach cancer
- Continue development of tamibarotene for APL, and consider expansion of tamibarotene development into new indications
  - Review potential in-licensing and acquisition opportunities in oncology
  - Seek opportunities to spin out, sell or partner molecular chaperone assets
  - Dispose of some or all of the Company's RXi stock to raise working capital
- Continue compliance with financial and legal regulations, remain in good standing with all financial and legal regulatory agencies

For 2010, the Compensation Committee determined that each of the corporate goals had either been achieved, or substantial progress towards achievement had been made, and noted the particular contributions of executive officers to the achievement of those goals.

## Individual Performance

The Compensation Committee reviews our executive officers' performance based on overall achievement of the corporate goals and a review of individual goals developed for each executive officer every year. The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of our CEO, determines the relative achievement of the performance goals applicable to each executive officer, and assigns a performance rating based on a set of criteria set forth in an evaluation form. No specific formula is used with respect to setting any particular element of compensation based on the individual performance metrics. The score assigned to each officer was based on a subjective assessment by our Compensation Committee members of the officer's performance against the scoring standards of:

- 1 – Consistently Exceeds Expectations
- 2 – Sometimes Exceeds Expectations
- 3 – Meets Expectations
- 4 – Sometimes Meets Expectations
- 5 – Needs Improvement

The numerical job scores, with a 1 being the best, and 5 being the worst, are determined based on an initial self-assessment by the officer, which is subject to change based on an evaluation of the self-assessment by the officer's direct supervisor and on the Compensation Committee's own assessment of the officer's job performance.

For 2010, our Compensation Committee determined that the individual performance scores indicated below were merited by the officer's respective contributions to our key business achievements discussed above, as well as the

performance of their day-to-day responsibilities. On an officer-by-officer basis, our Compensation Committee also considered the following:

Mr. Kriegsman's individual performance goals relate primarily to overall corporate objectives, including building stockholder value, managing working capital, management and successful operation of the executive management team, and development of personnel for future success. Based on those criteria, and noting our non-dilutive management of working capital through sales of RXi shares and successful completion of key strategic clinical goals, the Compensation Committee gave a rating of 1.2 to Mr. Kriegsman.

Mr. Caloz's individual performance goals relate primarily to achievement of key financial objectives, such as managing and raising working capital, controlling spending, managing accounting personnel and maintaining regulatory compliance. Based on those criteria, the Compensation Committee noted Mr. Caloz's role in the improved performance of the accounting department, its compliance with filing deadlines, and our non-dilutive sales of RXi shares, and gave a rating of 2.4 to Mr. Caloz.

Dr. Levitt's individual performance goals relate primarily to the achievement of key strategic and clinical objectives related to our clinical research programs, including ultimate oversight of the design and execution of our clinical programs, and analysis and implementation of new clinical opportunities improve stockholder value. Based on those criteria, the Compensation Committee noted Dr. Levitt's efforts towards our achievement of our key clinical goals, and his development of strategic plans to build value, and gave a rating of 1.1 to Dr. Levitt.

Mr. Levin's individual performance goals relate primarily to the management of the company's legal risk, advice provided to the Board of Directors and management, and maintaining regulatory compliance. Based on those criteria, the Compensation Committee noted Mr. Levin's timely and useful advice on key corporate matters that reduced corporate risk, and his work ensuring compliance with various regulations, and gave a rating of 1.2 to Mr. Levin.

Dr. Wieland's individual performance goals relate primarily to the execution of the objectives related to our clinical development, including planning, initiation, budgeting and management of our clinical programs. Based on those criteria, the Compensation Committee noted Dr. Wieland's role in our achievement of key clinical goals and gave a rating of 2.0 to Dr. Wieland.

#### 2010 Executive Compensation Components

For 2010, as in recent years, the principal components of compensation for the named executive officers were:

- base salary;
- annual bonuses; and
- equity incentive compensation.

#### Base Salary

The Company provides named executive officers and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the year. Generally, the base salary element of compensation is used to recognize the experience, skills, knowledge and responsibilities required of each named executive officer, and reflects our executive officers' overall sustained performance and contributions to our business.

During its review of base salaries for executives, the Compensation Committee primarily considers:

- the negotiated terms of each executive's employment agreement, if any;
- each executive's individual performance;
- an internal review of the executive's compensation, both individually and relative to other named executive officers; and
- to a lesser extent, base salaries paid by comparable companies.

Salary levels are typically considered annually as part of the company's performance review process, as well as upon a change in job responsibility. Merit-based increases to salaries are based on the company's available resources and the Compensation Committee's assessment of the individual's performance. Both assessments are based upon written evaluations of such criteria as job knowledge, communication, problem solving, initiative, goal-setting, and expense management. The increase in 2010 base salaries over 2009 was made in consideration of our successful achievement or substantial progress towards the corporate performance goals for 2010, achievement of individual performance goals as they related to each executive officer and the subjective assessment of each executive officer's performance of major job responsibilities. Each of the base salary increases was reviewed in light of the Equilar, Radford and salary.com survey data to validate that they were within acceptable ranges based on market salaries.

## Annual and Special Bonuses

The Compensation Committee has not established an incentive compensation program with fixed performance targets. Because we do not generate significant revenues and have not commercially released any products, the Compensation Committee bases its discretionary annual bonus awards on the achievement of corporate and individual goals, efforts related to extraordinary transactions, effective fund-raising efforts, effective management of personnel and capital resources, and bonuses paid by comparable companies, among other criteria. Mr. Kriegsman's employment agreement entitles him to an annual cash bonus in an amount to be determined in our discretion, but not less than \$150,000, and Dr. Levitt's employment agreement provides that his bonus will not be less than 25% of his base salary. Any cash bonuses to our other named executive officers are entirely in our discretion.

During 2010, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Kriegsman an annual cash bonus of \$450,000, and granted cash bonuses to the other named executive officers ranging from \$25,000 to \$135,000, principally based on their efforts in helping us advance the development of our products and raise capital.

## Equity Incentive Compensation

We believe that strong long-term corporate performance is achieved with a corporate culture that encourages a long-term focus by our executive officers through the use of equity awards, the value of which depends on our stock performance. We have established equity incentive plans to provide all of our employees, including our executive officers, with incentives to help align those employees' interests with the interests of our stockholders and to enable them to participate in the long-term appreciation of our stockholder value. Additionally, equity awards provide an important retention tool for key employees, as the awards generally are subject to vesting over an extended period of time based on continued service with us.

Typically, equity awards are granted annually at the end of each year based primarily on corporate performance as a whole during the preceding year. In addition, we may grant equity awards upon the occurrence of certain events during the year, for example, upon an employee's hire or achievement of a significant business objective.

No formula is used in setting equity award grants and the determination of whether to grant equity awards, as well as the size of such equity awards, to our executive officers; rather, it involves subjective assessments by our Board of Directors, Compensation Committee and, with respect to executive officers other than himself, our CEO. Generally, annual equity awards are driven by our retention of experienced employees, and we consider individual performance and contributions during the preceding year to the extent our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee believe such factors are relevant. As with base salary and cash bonuses, for 2010 our Board of Directors and compensation Committee also considered data from three surveys in determining equity award grants to our executive officers.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Kriegsman nonqualified options to purchase 750,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$1.01 per share, which equaled the closing market price on the date of grant. The option vests monthly over three years, unless Mr. Kriegsman's employment is terminated by us without "cause," or by Mr. Kriegsman for "good reason," in which case they vest immediately. In addition, in connection with the annual review of our other named executive officers, the Compensation Committee also granted stock options to those named executive officers. All of these other stock options had an exercise price equal to the closing market price on the date of grant, and also vest monthly over three years, provided that such executives remain in our employ through such monthly vesting periods.

Generally speaking, we have not taken into consideration any amounts realized by our named executive officers from prior stock option or stock awards in determining whether to grant new stock options or stock awards. No named

executive officers have exercised options since 2003.

#### Retirement Plans, Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

Our executive officers are eligible to participate in the same group insurance and employee benefit plans as our other salaried employees. These benefits include medical, dental, vision, and disability benefits and life insurance.

We have adopted a tax-qualified employee savings and retirement plan, our 401(k) Plan, for eligible U.S. employees, including our named executive officers. Eligible employees may elect to defer a percentage of their eligible compensation in the 401(k) Plan, subject to the statutorily prescribed annual limit. We may make matching contributions on behalf of all participants in the 401(k) Plan in an amount determined by our board of directors. We did not make any matching contribution to the 401(k) Plan for 2010. Matching contributions, if any, are subject to a vesting schedule; all employee contributions are at all times fully vested. We intend the 401(k) Plan, and the accompanying trust, to qualify under Sections 401(k) and 501 of the Internal Revenue Code so that contributions by employees to the 401(k) Plan, and income earned (if any) on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the 401(k) Plan, and so that we will be able to deduct our contributions, if any, when made. The trustee under the 401(k) Plan, at the direction of each participant, may invest the assets of the 401(k) Plan in any of a number of investment options.



We do not provide any of our executive officers with any other perquisites or personal benefits, other than benefits to Mr. Kriegsman provided for in his employment agreement. As required by his employment agreement, during 2010 we paid insurance premiums with respect to a life insurance policy for Mr. Kriegsman which had a face value of approximately \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2010 and under which Mr. Kriegsman's designee is the beneficiary. We periodically review the levels of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to our named executive officers, but no changes to these benefits were made during 2010, and we do not expect any such changes in the foreseeable future.

#### Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements

We have entered into written employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. The main purpose of these agreements is to protect the company from business risks such as competition for the executives' service, loss of confidentiality or trade secrets, and solicitation of our other employees, and to define our right to terminate the employment relationship. The employment agreements also protect the executive from termination without "cause" (as defined) and, in Mr. Kriegsman's case, entitles him to resign for "good reason" (as defined). Each employment agreement was individually negotiated, so there are some minor variations in the terms among executive officers. Generally speaking, however, the employment agreements provide for termination and severance benefits that the Compensation Committee believes are consistent with industry practices for similarly situated executives. The Compensation Committee believes that the termination and severance benefits help the company retain the named executive officers by providing them with a competitive employment arrangement and protection against unknowns such as termination without "cause" that go along with the position.

In the event of termination without "cause," the named executive officers will be entitled to a lump-sum payment equal to six months of base salary (24 months in the case of Mr. Kriegsman). Mr. Kriegsman's employment agreement also provides for our continuation of Mr. Kriegsman's life insurance and medical benefits during his 24-month severance period. If Mr. Kriegsman's employment is terminated by us without "cause," or by Mr. Kriegsman for "good reason," within two years following a change of control of CytRx, he also would be entitled under his employment agreement to receive a "gross-up" payment equal to the sum of any excise tax on his termination benefits (including any accelerated vesting of his options under our Plans as described below) plus any penalties and interest.

#### Change of Control Arrangements

The company's 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan and 2008 Stock Incentive Plan provide generally that, upon a change of control of CytRx, all unvested stock options and awards under the Plans held by plan participants, including the named executive officers, will become immediately vested and exercisable immediately prior to the effective date of the transaction. The Compensation Committee believes that such "single trigger" change of control policy is consistent with the objective of aligning the interests of the named executive officer's and of the company's stockholders by allowing the executives to participate equally with stockholders in the event of a change of control transaction.

The foregoing severance and change of control arrangements, including the quantification of the payment and benefits provided under these arrangements, are described in more detail elsewhere in this Annual Report under the heading "Executive Compensation – Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control."

#### Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee has no requirement that each named executive officer maintain a minimum ownership interest in our company.

Our long-term incentive compensation consists solely of periodic grants of stock options to our named executive officers. The stock option program:

- links the creation of stockholder value with executive compensation;
- provides increased equity ownership by executives;
- functions as a retention tool, because of the vesting features included in all options granted by the Compensation Committee; and
- helps us to maintain competitive levels of total compensation.

We normally grant stock options to new executive officers when they join our company based upon their position with us and their relevant prior experience. The options granted by the Compensation Committee generally vest monthly over the first three years of the ten-year option term. Vesting and exercise rights generally (except in the case of Mr. Kriegsman) cease upon termination of employment (or, in the case of exercise rights, 90 days thereafter), except in the case of death (subject to a one-year limitation), disability or retirement. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. In addition to the initial option grants, our Compensation Committee may grant additional options to retain our executives and reward, or provide incentive for, the achievement of corporate goals and strong individual performance. Our Board of Directors has granted our President and Chief Executive Officer discretion to grant up to 100,000 options to employees upon joining our company, and to make grants from an additional “discretionary pool” of up to 100,000 options during each annual employee review cycle. Options are granted based on a combination of individual contributions to our company and on general corporate achievements, which may include the attainment of product development milestones (such as commencement and completion of clinical trials) and attaining other annual corporate goals and objectives. On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee assesses the appropriate individual and corporate goals for our executives and provides additional option grants based upon the achievement by the new executives of both individual and corporate goals. We expect that we will continue to provide new employees with initial option grants in the future to provide long-term compensation incentives and will continue to rely on performance-based and retention grants to provide additional incentives for current employees. Additionally, in the future, the Compensation Committee may consider awarding additional or alternative forms of equity incentives, such as grants of bonus stock, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

It is our policy to award stock options at an exercise price equal to The NASDAQ Capital Market’s closing price of our common stock on the date of the grant. In certain limited circumstances, the Compensation Committee may grant options to an executive at an exercise price in excess of the closing price of the common stock on the grant date. The Compensation Committee has never granted options with an exercise price that is less than the closing price of our common stock on the grant date, nor has it granted options which are priced on a date other than the grant date. For purposes of determining the exercise price of stock options, the grant date is deemed to be the first day of employment for newly hired employees, or the date on which the Compensation Committee or the Chief Executive Officer, as applicable, approves the stock option grant to existing employees.

We have no program, practice or plan to grant stock options to our executive officers, including new executive officers, in coordination with the release of material nonpublic information. We also have not timed the release of material nonpublic information for the purpose of affecting the value of stock options or other compensation to our executive officers, and we have no plan to do so. We have no policy regarding the adjustment or recovery of stock option awards in connection with the restatement of our financial statements, as our stock option awards have not been tied to the achievement of specific financial goals.

## Tax and Accounting Implications

### Deductibility of Executive Compensation

As part of its role, the Compensation Committee reviews and considers the deductibility of executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides that corporations may not deduct compensation of more than \$1,000,000 that is paid to certain individuals. We believe that compensation paid to our executive officers generally is fully deductible for federal income tax purposes.

### Accounting for Share-Based Compensation

Beginning on January 1, 2006, we began accounting for share-based compensation in accordance with the requirements of FASB Statement 123(R), Share-Based Payment. This accounting treatment has not significantly affected our compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee takes into consideration the tax consequences of compensation to the named executive officers, but tax considerations are not a significant part of the company's compensation policy.

#### Benchmarking

The Compensation Committee does not attempt to establish or measure executive compensation against any benchmarks. We have not established any policy regarding recoupment, or "clawback," of any performance-based compensation in the event our company's historical performance is subsequently revised or restated in a way that would have produced a lower compensation amount. We also have not relied upon wealth accumulation analyses, or "tally sheets," or internal pay equity analyses in making executive compensation decisions.

These policies remained in place throughout 2010, and we expect to continue to follow them for the foreseeable future.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in Compensation Decisions

There are no "interlocks," as defined by the SEC, with respect to any member of the Compensation Committee. Max Link, Ph.D., Marvin R. Selter and Richard L. Wennkamp all served as members of the Compensation Committee during 2010.

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table presents summary information concerning all compensation paid or accrued by us for services rendered in all capacities during 2010, 2009 and 2008 by Steven A. Kriegsman and John Y. Caloz, who are the only individuals who served as our principal executive and financial officers during the year ended December 31, 2010, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers as of December 31, 2010:

## Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)	Total (\$)
<b>Steven A. Kriegsman</b>						
<b>President and Chief Executive Officer</b>						
	2010	650,000	450,000	564,750	10,000	1,674,750
	2009	550,000	450,000	906,000	10,000	1,916,000
	2008	551,000	150,000	517,800	10,000	1,228,800
<b>John Y. Caloz</b>						
<b>Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer</b>						
	2010	325,000	25,000	37,650	—	387,650
	2009	275,000	80,000	137,750	—	492,750
<b>Daniel Levitt, M.D., M.D., Ph.D.</b>						
<b>Chief Medical Officer</b>						
	2010	375,000	135,000	188,250	—	698,250
	2009	83,894	—	405,000	—	488,894
<b>Benjamin S. Levin</b>						
<b>General Counsel, General Counsel, Vice President — Legal Affairs and Secretary</b>						
	2010	315,000	85,000	75,300	—	475,300
	2009	276,000	75,000	119,100	—	470,100
	2008	276,000	55,000	125,300	—	456,300
<b>Scott Wieland, Ph.D.</b>						
<b>Senior Vice President – Drug Development</b>						
	2010	315,000	75,000	75,300	—	465,300
	2009	275,000	75,000	105,750	—	455,750
	2008	255,500	73,250	43,730	—	372,480

(1) Bonuses to the named executive officers reported above were paid in December of the applicable year.

(2) The values shown in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of equity-based awards granted during the fiscal year, in accordance with ASC 718, “Share Based-Payment.” At the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on July 1, 2009, the Company’s stockholders approved an amendment to the Company’s 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan to allow for a one-time stock option re-pricing program for employees and officers. Pursuant to the re-pricing program, 3,265,500 eligible stock options held by ten eligible employees and officers were amended to reduce the exercise prices of the options to \$1.15 per share, which was the closing sale price of CytRx’s common stock as reported on The NASDAQ Capital Market on the July 1, 2009 completion date

of the re-pricing program, and to impose a new option vesting schedule. The values in this column include the incremental increase in the fair value of the re-priced options over the fair value of the original award. The fair value of the stock options at the date of grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, based on the assumptions described in Note 15 of the Notes to Financial Statements included in this Annual Report.

(3) This amount represents life insurance premiums.

## 2010 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

In 2010, we granted stock options to our named executive officers under our 2008 Stock Incentive Plan as follows:

## 2010 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Grant Date	All Other Option Awards (# of CytRx Shares)	Exercise Price of Option Awards (\$/Share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards (\$)
Steven A. Kriegsman President and Chief Executive Officer	12/14/2010	750,000	\$ 1.01	\$564,750
John Y. Caloz Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	12/14/2010	50,000	\$ 1.01	\$37,650
Daniel Levitt, M.D., Ph.D. Chief Medical Officer	12/14/2010	250,000	\$ 1.01	\$188,250