

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY

Form 10-Q

August 06, 2014

United States

Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 28, 2014

(Presented as June 30, 2014)

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File Number 001-10684

International Game Technology

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

88-0173041

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6355 South Buffalo Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (702) 669-7777

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of August 1, 2014:

247.2 million shares of common stock at \$.00015625 par value

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY
OF
TERMS
AND
ABBREVIATIONS
(as
used in
this
document)

PART I –
FINANCIAL
INFORMATION

Item 1.

Unaudited
Consolidated
Interim
Financial
Statements

Item 2.

Management's
Discussion
and
Analysis
of 28
Financial
Condition
and
Results
of
Operations

Item 3.

Quantitative
and
Qualitative
Disclosures
about
Market
Risk

Item 4. 43

Controls
and
Procedures

PART II – OTHER
INFORMATION

Item 1.

Legal 44
Proceedings

Item
1A. 44
Risk
Factors

Item 2.

Unregistered
Sales of
Equity 46
Securities
and
Use of
Proceeds

Item 3.

Defaults 46
Upon
Senior
Securities

Item 4.

Mine 46
Safety
Disclosures

Item 5.

Other 46
Information

Item 6.

47
Exhibits

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS (as used in this document)

| Abbreviation/term | Definition |
|------------------------------|---|
| Fiscal dates -- as presented | Fiscal dates--actual |
| June 30, 2014 | June 28, 2014 |
| June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2013 |
| September 30, 2013 | September 28, 2013 |
| AOCI | accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) |
| APIC | additional paid-in-capital |
| ASP | average sales price per machine unit |
| ASU | Accounting Standards Update |
| 5.35% Bonds | 5.35% fixed rate notes due 2023 |
| 5.5% Bonds | 5.5% fixed rate notes due 2020 |
| 7.5% Bonds | 7.5% fixed rate notes due 2019 |
| bps | basis points |
| CDS | central determination system |
| CEO | chief executive officer |
| CFO | chief financial officer |
| DAU | Daily Active Users |
| DCF | discounted cash flow |
| DoubleDown | Double Down Interactive LLC |
| EBITDA | earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization |
| EPS | earnings per share |
| Exchange Act | Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended |
| FASB | Financial Accounting Standards Board |
| FV | fair value |
| GAAP | generally accepted accounting principles |
| IGT, we, our, the Company | International Game Technology and its consolidated entities |
| IGT rgs™ | IGT Remote Game Server™ |
| IP | intellectual property |
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |
| LBG | Lightning Box Games Pty |
| LIBOR | London inter-bank offered rate |
| MAU | Monthly Active Users |
| MDA | management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations |
| pp | percentage points |
| R&D | research and development |
| SEC | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| SIP | 2002 Stock Incentive Plan |
| SG&A | sales, general and administrative |
| TITO | Ticket-In-Ticket-Out |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| US | United States |
| UTBs | unrecognized tax benefits |
| VIE | variable interest entity |
| VWAP | average daily volume weighted average price |
| VLT | video lottery terminal |
| WAP | wide area progressive |
| WMS | WMS Gaming, Inc. |

Yield

*

average revenue per unit per day

not meaningful (in tables)

3

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

| | |
|--|----|
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | 5 |
| CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS | 6 |
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS | 7 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS INFORMATION | 8 |
| NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 9 |
| 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES | 9 |
| 2. VARIABLE INTERESTS AND AFFILIATES | 10 |
| 3. RECEIVABLES | 11 |
| 4. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK | 12 |
| 5. INVENTORIES | 12 |
| 6. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 12 |
| 7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES | 13 |
| 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS | 14 |
| 9. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS | 16 |
| 10. CREDIT FACILITIES AND INDEBTEDNESS | 17 |
| 11. CONTINGENCIES | 18 |
| 12. INCOME TAXES | 21 |
| 13. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS | 22 |
| 14. EARNINGS PER SHARE | 23 |
| 15. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | 24 |
| 16. BUSINESS SEGMENTS | 25 |
| 17. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING | 26 |
| 18. BUSINESS ACQUISITION | 26 |

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

27

4

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(See Accompanying Notes)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|--|---|---------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (In millions, except per share amounts) | | | |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Gaming operations | \$217.6 | \$247.3 | \$671.0 | \$744.3 |
| Product sales | 166.9 | 259.2 | 613.1 | 772.9 |
| Interactive | 83.1 | 72.5 | 237.5 | 192.0 |
| Total | 467.6 | 579.0 | 1,521.6 | 1,709.2 |
| COSTS AND OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Cost of gaming operations | 82.5 | 95.6 | 259.3 | 282.7 |
| Cost of product sales | 69.4 | 119.3 | 284.8 | 363.7 |
| Cost of interactive | 30.8 | 26.9 | 90.1 | 75.1 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 103.3 | 114.4 | 345.2 | 325.0 |
| Research and development | 53.4 | 59.8 | 172.1 | 172.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16.4 | 19.3 | 49.7 | 58.0 |
| Contingent acquisition-related costs | 2.2 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 58.6 |
| Impairment and restructuring | - | 1.5 | 17.8 | 3.1 |
| Total | 358.0 | 456.0 | 1,236.2 | 1,338.5 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 109.6 | 123.0 | 285.4 | 370.7 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | | |
| Interest income | 10.4 | 11.5 | 31.2 | 34.0 |
| Interest expense | (26.6) | (30.5) | (99.9) | (92.4) |
| Other | 0.7 | (6.7) | (4.6) | (9.6) |
| Total | (15.5) | (25.7) | (73.3) | (68.0) |
| INCOME BEFORE TAX | 94.1 | 97.3 | 212.1 | 302.7 |
| Income tax provision | 22.0 | 31.6 | 35.0 | 93.5 |
| NET INCOME | \$72.1 | \$65.7 | \$177.1 | \$209.2 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (1.5) | (10.1) | (3.1) | (15.2) |
| Unrealized gain (loss), net of tax | (0.2) | 4.4 | (0.6) | 4.4 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | \$70.4 | \$60.0 | \$173.4 | \$198.4 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.29 | \$0.25 | \$0.71 | \$0.79 |
| Diluted | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.79 |
| CASH DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE | \$0.11 | \$0.09 | \$0.33 | \$0.24 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | | |
| Basic | 247.0 | 260.6 | 249.1 | 263.4 |
| Diluted | 247.9 | 263.2 | 250.8 | 265.6 |

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(See Accompanying Notes)

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | (In millions, except par value) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 235.6 | \$ 713.3 |
| Investment securities | - | 28.8 |
| Restricted cash and investment securities | 61.9 | 64.9 |
| Restricted cash and investment securities of VIEs | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 42.8 | 44.1 |
| Jackpot annuity investments of VIEs | 11.4 | 12.4 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 295.5 | 348.6 |
| Current maturities of contracts and notes receivable, net | 214.5 | 229.3 |
| Inventories | 89.5 | 90.1 |
| Deferred income taxes | 97.4 | 111.1 |
| Other assets and deferred costs | 162.5 | 131.3 |
| Total current assets | 1,212.6 | 1,776.0 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 435.6 | 483.9 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 219.9 | 234.5 |
| Jackpot annuity investments of VIEs | 28.3 | 34.1 |
| Contracts and notes receivable, net | 116.4 | 165.6 |
| Goodwill | 1,470.3 | 1,471.1 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 94.5 | 130.6 |
| Deferred income taxes | 137.9 | 128.8 |
| Other assets and deferred costs | 341.9 | 188.2 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 4,057.4 | \$ 4,612.8 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ - | \$ 826.6 |
| Accounts payable | 83.2 | 110.0 |
| Jackpot liabilities, current portion | 123.0 | 131.7 |
| Accrued employee benefits | 20.7 | 40.2 |
| Accrued income taxes | (0.3 |) 7.8 |
| Dividends payable | 27.2 | 25.9 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 267.7 | 366.3 |
| Total current liabilities | 521.5 | 1,508.5 |
| Long-term debt | 1,987.7 | 1,366.3 |
| Jackpot liabilities | 273.0 | 293.3 |
| Other liabilities | 109.8 | 190.6 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 2,892.0 | 3,358.7 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | - | - |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock: \$0.00015625 par value; 1,280.0 shares authorized; 274.6 and 271.4 issued; 247.2 and 256.2 outstanding | - | - |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,464.2 | 1,433.1 |

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------|---|------------|---|
| Treasury stock at cost: 27.4 and 15.2 shares | (486.3 |) | (274.9 |) |
| Retained earnings | 183.0 | | 87.7 | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4.5 | | 8.2 | |
| TOTAL EQUITY | 1,165.4 | | 1,254.1 | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 4,057.4 | | \$ 4,612.8 | |

6

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(See Accompanying Notes)

| Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|---------------|---------|
| | (In millions) | |
| OPERATING | | |
| Net income | \$177.1 | \$209.2 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 145.2 | 175.6 |
| Acquisition-related contingent earn-out costs | 8.8 | 28.0 |
| Discounts and deferred issuance costs | 28.4 | 33.7 |
| Share-based compensation | 23.1 | 28.7 |
| Impairment | 9.0 | 3.1 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | (6.6) | (1.4) |
| Other non-cash items | 10.3 | 9.1 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding acquisitions: | | |
| Receivables | 87.0 | (20.7) |
| Inventories | (0.9) | 3.7 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (115.9) | (58.7) |
| Jackpot liabilities | (41.3) | (58.2) |
| Income taxes, net of employee stock plans | (41.9) | (24.1) |
| Other assets and deferred costs | (220.9) | 7.2 |
| Net operating cash flows | 61.4 | 335.2 |
| INVESTING | | |
| Capital expenditures | (69.1) | (97.1) |
| Proceeds from assets sold | 16.7 | 15.3 |
| Investment securities, net | 28.9 | - |
| Jackpot annuity investments, net | 34.6 | 39.3 |
| Changes in restricted cash | 3.8 | 8.8 |
| Loans receivable cash advanced | (5.9) | - |
| Loans receivable payments received | 14.9 | 22.6 |
| Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (1.5) | - |
| Net investing cash flows | 22.4 | (11.1) |
| FINANCING | | |
| Debt proceeds | 650.0 | 160.0 |
| Debt repayments | (875.0) | (300.0) |
| Debt issuance costs | (0.6) | (3.2) |
| Employee stock plan proceeds | 7.1 | 12.3 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | 6.6 | 1.4 |
| Share repurchases, including net shares | (211.4) | (81.0) |
| Dividends paid | (80.5) | (55.5) |
| Acquisition-related contingent consideration | (56.1) | (27.9) |
| Net financing cash flows | (559.9) | (293.9) |
| FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES EFFECT ON CASH AND EQUIVALENTS | (1.6) | (7.2) |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND EQUIVALENTS | (477.7) | 23.0 |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
| BEGINNING CASH AND EQUIVALENTS | 713.3 | 206.3 |
| ENDING CASH AND EQUIVALENTS | \$235.6 | \$229.3 |

7

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

(See Accompanying Notes)

| Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|---------------|------------|
| | (In millions) | |
| INVESTMENT SECURITIES | | |
| Purchases | \$ (115.0) | \$ - |
| Proceeds from sale | 143.9 | - |
| Net | \$ 28.9 | \$ - |
| JACKPOT FUNDINGS | | |
| Change in jackpot liabilities | \$ (41.3) | \$ (58.2) |
| Jackpot annuity purchases | (5.1) | (3.8) |
| Jackpot annuity proceeds | 39.7 | 43.1 |
| Net change in jackpot annuity investments | 34.6 | 39.3 |
| Net jackpot funding | \$ (6.7) | \$ (18.9) |
| CAPITAL EXPENDITURES | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ (20.5) | \$ (18.7) |
| Gaming operations equipment | (45.8) | (78.1) |
| Intellectual property | (2.8) | (0.3) |
| Total | \$ (69.1) | \$ (97.1) |
| PAYMENTS | | |
| Interest | \$ 71.4 | \$ 57.7 |
| Income taxes | 79.3 | 116.6 |
| Acquisition-related payments: | | |
| Release of Indemnification holdback | 22.0 | - |
| Retention bonuses | 58.3 | 29.7 |
| Contingent earn-out: | | |
| Operating cash flows (accrued subsequent to acquisition) | 26.0 | 17.2 |
| Financing cash flows (accrued at acquisition) | 34.1 | 27.9 |
| Total contingent earn-out | 60.1 | 45.1 |
| Total all acquisition-related payments | \$ 140.4 | \$ 74.8 |
| NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ITEMS | | |
| Accrued capital asset additions | \$ 1.9 | \$ (1.0) |
| Interest accretion for jackpot annuity investments | 12.0 | 13.5 |
| Business acquisitions: | | |
| Fair value of assets | \$ 2.4 | \$ - |
| Fair value of liabilities | 0.9 | - |

Payments for acquisition-related contingent earn-out consideration

Amounts accrued as of the acquisition date are reflected in financing cash flows. Payments for amounts accrued subsequent to the acquisition date, in excess of amounts accrued as part of the purchase price allocation, are reflected in operating cash flows within changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Depreciation and amortization

Amounts reflected in operating cash flows are comprised of operating expenses shown separately on the income statements, plus those amounts included within cost of product sales, cost of gaming operations, and cost of interactive.

8

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND CONSOLIDATION

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52/53-week period ending on the Saturday nearest to September 30. Similarly, our quarters end on the Saturday nearest to the last day of the quarter end month. For simplicity, fiscal periods in this report are presented using the calendar month end as outlined in the table below.

| Fiscal Periods | As Presented | Actual | blank |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Current quarter and nine months | June 30, 2014 | June 28, 2014 | |
| Prior year quarter and nine months | June 30, 2013 | June 29, 2013 | |
| Prior year end | September 30, 2013 | September 28, 2013 | |

Our consolidated interim financial statements for the third quarter ended June 30, 2014 incorporate all of the accounts of International Game Technology, including all majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries and VIEs for which IGT is the primary beneficiary. All inter-company accounts and transactions were eliminated. These financial statements were prepared without audit on a basis consistent with the comparative prior year quarter ended June 30, 2013, and as appropriate, with the audited financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Certain information and footnote disclosures have been condensed or omitted in conformity with SEC and US GAAP guidance for interim financial statements. All adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary to fairly state our consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows have been included for all periods presented. Interim period results are not necessarily indicative of full year results. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Unless otherwise indicated in this report:

references to years relate to our fiscal years ending September 30

dollar amounts in tables are presented in millions, except per share amounts and par value

current refers to the quarter ended June 30, 2014

italicized text with an attached superscript trademark or copyright notation indicates trademarks of IGT or its licensors, and additional IGT trademark information is available on our website at www.IGT.com

Use of Estimates

We are required to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, observance of known trends in our company and the industry as a whole, and information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect reported amounts for assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosures. Actual results may differ from initial estimates.

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS OR UPDATES

Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011, the FASB issued an ASU to require new disclosures associated with offsetting financial instruments and derivative instruments on the balance sheet that will enable users to evaluate the effect on an entity's financial position. In January 2013, the FASB issued an ASU to clarify the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The scope of the new disclosures was narrowed to include derivatives, repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending that are offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Both ASUs were effective for our 2014 first quarter and had no material impact on our financial statements.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS OR UPDATES--NOT YET ADOPTED

Share-based Payments With Performance Targets Achievable After The Service Period

In June 2014, the FASB issued an ASU to clarify that performance targets in share-based payments that affect vesting and can be achieved after the requisite service period should be accounted for as a performance condition. As such, the performance condition would not be reflected in the grant date fair value estimate and compensation cost would be recognized over the service period if achievement of the performance condition is probable. This ASU is effective for our 2017 first quarter, but can be adopted earlier, and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements. Optional transition methods include (a) prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or (b) retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented and to all new or modified awards thereafter.

New Revenue Recognition Standard

In May 2014, the FASB issued an ASU establishing a new ASC Topic—Revenue From Contracts With Customers, which is a comprehensive new revenue recognition standard that will supersede virtually all existing revenue guidance. Under the new standard, revenue will be recognized when control of the promised goods or services is transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. The standard creates a five-step model that will generally require companies to use more judgment and make more estimates than under current guidance when considering the terms of contracts along with all relevant facts and circumstances. These include the identification of customer contracts and separating performance obligations, the determination of transaction price that potentially includes an estimate of variable consideration, allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation, and recognizing revenue in line with the pattern of transfer.

The standard will be effective for our 2018 first quarter and early adoption is not permitted. The standard allows for adoption under either "full retrospective" in which prior periods presented are recast under the new guidance or "modified retrospective" in which it would be applied only to the most current period presented along with a cumulative-effect adjustment at the date of adoption. The standard also requires extensive additional disclosures to provide greater insight into revenues recognized and deferred, including quantitative and qualitative information about significant judgments and changes in those judgments made to determine the timing and amount of revenues recognized. We are currently evaluating the impact that this standard will have on our financial statements.

Discontinued Operations

In April 2014, the FASB issued an ASU that raises the threshold for a disposal to qualify as discontinued operations, such that it must represent a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results or an acquired business that is classified as held for sale at acquisition. This ASU also requires new disclosures for both discontinued operations and disposals of individually significant components that don't qualify as discontinued operations. This ASU will be effective for our 2015 first quarter and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Presentation Of An Unrecognized Tax Benefit When A Net Operating Loss Carryforward Exists

In July 2013, the FASB issued an ASU requiring the netting of unrecognized tax benefits against a deferred tax asset for a loss or other carryforward that would apply in settlement of the uncertain tax position. This ASU will be effective for our 2015 first quarter and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Cumulative Translation Adjustment Upon Derecognition Of Certain Subsidiaries

In March 2013, the FASB issued an ASU requiring the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income when an entity either sells a part or all of its investment in or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a foreign entity. This ASU will be effective prospectively for our 2015 first quarter and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Obligations Resulting From Joint And Several Liability Arrangements

In February 2013, the FASB issued an ASU to require new disclosures for an entity that is jointly and severally liable to measure the obligation as the sum of the amount the entity has agreed with co-obligors to pay and any additional amount it expects to pay on behalf of a co-obligor. This ASU will be effective for our 2015 first quarter and is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

2. VARIABLE INTERESTS AND AFFILIATES

VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

New Jersey Trusts

Regulation in New Jersey requires that annuitized WAP jackpot payments to winners be administered through an individual trust set up for each WAP system. These trusts are VIEs and IGT is the primary consolidating beneficiary, because these VIE trusts are designed for the sole purpose of administering jackpot payments for IGT WAP winners and IGT guarantees all liabilities of the trusts. The assets of these consolidated VIEs can only be used to settle trust obligations and have been segregated on our balance sheet. The consolidation of these VIEs primarily increases jackpot liabilities and related assets, as well as interest income and equivalent offsetting interest expense. Consolidated VIE trust assets and equivalent liabilities totaled \$41.2 million at June 30, 2014 and \$48.6 million at September 30, 2013.

Latin America Distributor

In March 2012, we contracted with a third party distributor in Latin America to sell IGT products. The distributor was a VIE as it was unable to finance its activities without additional support from IGT; however, the distributor was not consolidated because IGT does not have contractual or implied control. This arrangement was terminated by mutual agreement in January 2014. For the nine months ended June 30, 2014, we recognized revenues of \$2.7 million and ending receivables totaled \$6.6 million.

3. RECEIVABLES

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Allowances for Credit Losses | \$ 27.1 | \$ 22.3 |

CUSTOMER FINANCING—CONTRACTS AND NOTES

Reconciliation of Allowances for Credit Losses

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$88.4 | \$80.6 | \$78.5 | \$72.8 |
| Charge-offs and other adjustments | (5.3) | - | (5.3) | - |
| Recoveries | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions | 2.3 | (4.5) | 12.2 | 3.3 |
| Ending balance | \$85.4 | \$76.1 | \$85.4 | \$76.1 |
| Current portion | \$78.2 | \$61.4 | \$78.2 | \$61.4 |
| Noncurrent portion | 7.2 | 14.7 | 7.2 | 14.7 |

| Recorded Investment (principal and interest due, net of deferred interest and fees) | June 30, 2014 | | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|--|---------------|--------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| | Contracts | Notes | Total | Contracts | Notes | Total |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$23.1 | \$75.5 | \$98.6 | \$7.4 | \$75.0 | \$82.4 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 317.6 | 0.1 | 317.7 | 375.8 | 15.2 | 391.0 |
| Total | \$340.7 | \$75.6 | \$416.3 | \$383.2 | \$90.2 | \$473.4 |

| Allowances for Credit Losses | June 30, 2014 | | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| | Contracts | Notes | Total | Contracts | Notes | Total |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$10.8 | \$56.5 | \$67.3 | \$2.6 | \$60.8 | \$63.4 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 18.1 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 14.4 | 0.7 | 15.1 |
| Total | \$28.9 | \$56.5 | \$85.4 | \$17.0 | \$61.5 | \$78.5 |

| Recorded Investment Aging Analysis | June 30, 2014 | | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|--|---------------|--------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|
| | Contracts | Notes | Total | Contracts | Notes | Total |
| Past Due | | | | | | |
| 1-29 days | \$7.5 | \$1.3 | \$8.8 | \$18.4 | \$1.5 | \$19.9 |
| 30-59 days | 4.2 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 6.5 |
| 60-89 days | 3.3 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 5.8 |
| Over 90 days | 21.8 | 62.8 | 84.6 | 16.1 | 54.9 | 71.0 |
| Total(1) | \$36.8 | \$66.7 | \$103.5 | \$44.1 | \$59.1 | \$103.2 |
| Amount not past due (2) | 303.9 | 8.9 | 312.8 | 339.1 | 31.1 | 370.2 |
| Grand total | \$340.7 | \$75.6 | \$416.3 | \$383.2 | \$90.2 | \$473.4 |
| (1)Alabama impaired note included in total past due | | \$66.3 | \$66.3 | | \$56.2 | \$56.2 |
| (2)Alabama impaired note included in amount not past due | | 8.7 | 8.7 | | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| Over 90 days, accruing interest | \$0.5 | \$- | \$0.5 | \$- | \$1.3 | \$1.3 |
| Nonaccrual status | 22.2 | 75.5 | 97.7 | 13.5 | 76.2 | 89.7 |

| Recorded Investment by Credit Quality Indicator | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|---|---------------|--------------------|
|---|---------------|--------------------|

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

| (Using Credit Profile by Internally Assigned Risk Grade) | Contracts | Notes | Total | Contracts | Notes | Total |
|--|-----------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Low | \$142.8 | \$- | \$142.8 | \$126.1 | \$- | \$126.1 |
| Medium | 77.6 | 0.1 | 77.7 | 100.2 | 0.1 | 100.3 |
| High (3) | 120.3 | 75.5 | 195.8 | 156.9 | 90.1 | 247.0 |
| Total | \$340.7 | \$75.6 | \$416.3 | \$383.2 | \$90.2 | \$473.4 |
| (3) Alabama impaired note included | | \$75.0 | \$75.0 | | \$75.0 | \$75.0 |

11

| Impaired Loans | June 30, 2014 | | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| | Contracts | Notes | Total | Contracts | Notes | Total |
| Recorded investment | \$223.6 | \$75.6 | \$299.2 | \$5.7 | \$75.0 | \$80.7 |
| Unpaid principal face | 17.5 | 75.5 | 93.0 | 5.8 | 75.0 | 80.8 |
| Related allowance | 10.6 | 56.5 | 67.1 | 2.6 | 60.8 | 63.4 |
| Average recorded investment | 11.4 | 75.3 | 86.7 | 4.1 | 75.0 | 79.1 |

Interest income recognized on impaired contracts, none of which was cash basis, totaled \$0.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2014 and \$0.5 million during the comparable nine months of the prior year.

4. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Net Receivables By Region At June 30, 2014

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Nevada | 12 % | Argentina | 12 % |
| Illinois | 7 % | Europe | 8 % |
| Louisiana | 5 % | Mexico | 6 % |
| California | 5 % | Australia | 5 % |
| Other (less than 4% individually) | 29 % | Other (less than 4% individually) | 11 % |
| North America | 58 % | International | 42 % |

5. INVENTORIES

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 59.6 | \$ 51.2 |
| Work-in-process | 1.7 | 2.7 |
| Finished goods | 28.2 | 36.2 |
| Total | \$ 89.5 | \$ 90.1 |

6. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Land | \$ 61.2 | \$ 61.2 |
| Buildings | 232.0 | 231.3 |
| Leasehold improvements | 15.4 | 16.4 |
| Machinery, furniture and equipment | 288.1 | 309.0 |
| Gaming operations equipment | 751.7 | 785.9 |
| Total cost | 1,348.4 | 1,403.8 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (912.8) | (919.9) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 435.6 | \$ 483.9 |

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

GOODWILL

| Activity By Segment For the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2014 | North America | International | Total |
|---|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Beginning balance | 1,275.4 | \$ 195.7 | \$1,471.1 |
| Acquisitions | 0.5 | - | 0.5 |
| Foreign currency adjustment | - | (1.3) | (1.3) |
| Ending balance | \$ 1,275.9 | \$ 194.4 | \$1,470.3 |

OTHER INTANGIBLES

Additions for the nine months ended June 30, 2014 included \$2.8 million of patents with a weighted average life of 5 years, as well as \$1.9 million of developed technology with a weighted average life of 5 years from the Strategy9 business acquisition described in Note 18.

| | June 30, 2014 | | | September 30, 2013 | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Patents | \$377.4 | \$ 350.3 | \$27.1 | \$376.1 | \$ 334.1 | \$42.0 |
| Developed technology | 131.0 | 90.9 | 40.1 | 129.1 | 79.9 | 49.2 |
| Contracts | 20.1 | 19.1 | 1.0 | 20.1 | 18.6 | 1.5 |
| Reacquired rights | 13.4 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 5.2 | 9.5 |
| Customer relationships | 61.2 | 49.1 | 12.1 | 61.2 | 40.1 | 21.1 |
| Trademarks | 12.5 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 7.3 |
| Total | \$615.6 | \$ 521.1 | \$94.5 | \$613.7 | \$ 483.1 | \$130.6 |

Aggregate Amortization

As Of And For The Periods Ended June 30,

Third

| Quarters | Nine Months | | Future Annual Estimates | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| \$13.8 | \$15.9 | \$40.8 | \$47.8 | \$54.3 | \$37.5 | \$20.9 | \$11.1 | \$6.0 |

8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FINANCIAL ASSETS (LIABILITIES) CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

| | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|------------|----------|---------|---------|
| June 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 69.1 | \$ 69.1 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Derivative assets | 65.8 | - | 65.8 | - |
| Derivative liabilities | (68.7) | - | (68.7) | - |
| Acquisition contingent earn-out payable | (55.8) | - | - | (55.8) |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 168.0 | \$ 168.0 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Investment securities | 28.8 | - | 28.8 | - |
| Derivative assets | 74.6 | - | 74.6 | - |
| Derivative liabilities | (72.2) | - | (72.2) | - |
| Acquisition contingent earn-out payable | (106.4) | - | - | (106.4) |

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Money market funds were primarily money market securities valued based on quoted market prices in active markets.

Investment securities were commercial paper debt securities valued based on quoted market prices for similar instruments, using observable market based inputs.

Derivative assets and liabilities were valued using quoted forward pricing from bank counterparties, LIBOR credit default swap rates for non-performance risk, forward yields for the 10-year treasury sourced from Bloomberg, and net settlement amounts where appropriate. These are presented primarily as components of other assets, other liabilities, notes payable, and AOCI. See Note 9.

Acquisition contingent earn-out payable relates to the estimated FV of consideration due for the business acquisitions of DoubleDown and Strategy9 (see Note 18) dependent on whether the business reaches certain performance targets. A DCF model is used to determine the FV with the expected payments and probability-weighted performance projections. Changes in projections and/or probabilities are the most significant assumptions and result in directionally similar changes in FV. Changes in the risk-adjusted discount rate cause a directionally opposite change in FV. Changes in FV are recorded to earnings within contingent acquisition-related costs and the payable is presented as a component of other liabilities, current and noncurrent depending on the expected payment timing.

To derive the estimated FV at June 30, 2014 for DoubleDown earn-out, we applied probability rates of 9% - 64% to various scenarios along with a discount rate of 18%. The 2014 accretion was primarily related to the time-value of money. The payable balance totaled \$55.2 million current at June 30, 2014 versus \$57.6 million current and \$48.8 million noncurrent at September 30, 2013. To derive the estimated FV of \$0.6 million noncurrent at June 30, 2014 for Strategy9 earn-out, we applied probability rates of 10% - 50% to future scenarios along with a discount rate of 10%.

Reconciliation of Items Carried at Fair Value Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Acquisition Contingent Consideration Payable for the Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$(106.4) | \$(116.4) |
| Issuances | (0.6) | - |
| Accretion (fair value adjustment) | (8.8) | (28.0) |
| Payments | 60.0 | 45.0 |
| Ending balance | \$(55.8) | \$(99.4) |

FINANCIAL ASSETS (LIABILITIES) NOT CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Unrealized Gain (Loss) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| June 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Jackpot investments | \$302.4 | \$338.3 | \$338.3 | \$- | \$- | \$ 35.9 |
| Contracts & notes receivable | 330.9 | 325.4 | - | - | 325.4 | (5.5) |
| Jackpot liabilities | (396.0) | (385.8) | - | - | (385.8) | 10.2 |
| Debt | (1,920.6) | (2,029.6) | (1,409.3) | (620.3) | - | (109.0) |
| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Jackpot investments | \$325.1 | \$366.3 | \$366.3 | \$- | \$- | \$ 41.2 |
| Contracts & notes receivable | 394.9 | 388.3 | - | - | 388.3 | (6.6) |
| Jackpot liabilities | (425.0) | (425.2) | - | - | (425.2) | (0.2) |
| Debt | (2,121.9) | (2,346.6) | (2,346.6) | - | - | (224.7) |

Valuation Techniques and Balance Sheet Presentation

Jackpot investments were valued based on quoted market prices.

Contracts and notes receivable were valued using DCF, incorporating expected payments and market interest rates relative to the credit risk of each customer (low 7.5 %, medium 8.0 %, high 9.5 % - 11.00 %). Credit risk is determined on a number of factors, including customer size, type, financial condition, historical collection experience, account aging, and credit ratings derived from credit reporting agencies and other industry trade reports. Contracts are secured by the underlying assets sold and notes are secured by the developed property and/or other assets. The high risk category includes most of our development financing loans in new markets and customers in regions with a history of currency or economic instability, such as Latin America. See Notes 3 and 4.

Jackpot liabilities were valued using DCF, incorporating expected future payment timing, estimated funding rates based on the treasury yield curve, and IGT's nonperformance credit risk. Expected annuity payments over 1-25 years (average 10 years) were discounted using the 10-year treasury yield curve rate (2.53%) for the estimated funding rate and the 10-year credit default swap rate (2.52%) for nonperformance risk. The present value (carrying value) of the expected lump sum payments were discounted using the 1-year treasury yield curve rate (.10%) with the 1-year credit default swap rate (.32%) for the current amounts and the 2-year treasury yield curve rate (.46%) with the 2-year credit default swap rate (.54%) for noncurrent amounts. Significant increases (decreases) in any of these inputs in isolation would result in a lower (higher) FV measurement. Generally, changes in the estimated funding rates do not correlate with changes in nonperformance credit risk.

Debt is predominantly level 1 and valued using quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical financial instrument when traded as an asset in an active market. Outstanding borrowings, if any, under our revolving credit facility are level 2 and FV is determined using DCF of expected payments at current borrowing rates. Carrying values in the table excluded swap adjustments and equity components of convertible debt.

9. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

FOREIGN CURRENCY HEDGING

The notional amount of foreign currency contracts hedging our exposure related to monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currency totaled \$54.9 million at June 30, 2014 and \$91.9 million at September 30, 2013.

PRESENTATION OF DERIVATIVE AMOUNTS

Except for counterpart netting of interest receivable and payable related to our interest rate swaps, all derivatives are recorded on a gross basis.

| Balance Sheet Location and Fair Value | June 30, 2014 | | September 30, 2013 | |
|--|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Assets | Liabilities | Assets | Liabilities |
| Non-designated Hedges: Foreign Currency Contracts | | | | |
| Other assets and deferred costs (current) | \$0.1 | \$ - | \$ 0.5 | \$ - |
| Other accrued liabilities | - | 1.6 | - | 1.1 |
| Designated Hedges: Interest Rate Swaps | | | | |
| Other assets and deferred costs (noncurrent) | 64.8 | - | 66.5 | - |
| Long-term debt | - | 67.1 | - | 71.1 |
| Gross Derivatives | 64.9 | 68.7 | 67.0 | 72.2 |
| Counter-party Netting: Swaps Interest Receivable and Payable | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | | | | |
| Due from counter-party | 2.0 | - | 15.6 | - |
| Due to counter-party | (1.1) | - | (8.0) | - |
| Net Derivatives | \$65.8 | \$ 68.7 | \$ 74.6 | \$ 72.2 |

Income Statement Location and Income (expense)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------|--------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Non-designated Hedges: Foreign Currency Contracts | | | | |
| Other income (expense) | \$(0.6) | \$ 2.5 | \$(0.8) | \$ 2.6 |
| Designated Hedges: Interest Rate Swaps | | | | |
| Effectiveness - Interest expense | \$ 6.7 | \$ 7.6 | \$ 20.3 | \$ 19.2 |
| Ineffectiveness - Other income (expense) | 2.0 | (2.6) | 2.3 | (3.1) |

10. CREDIT FACILITIES AND INDEBTEDNESS

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| Credit facility | \$ 625.0 | \$ - |
| 3.25% Convertible Notes (due May 2014) | - | 850.0 |
| 7.5% Bonds (due June 2019) | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| 5.5% Bonds (due June 2020) | 300.0 | 300.0 |
| 5.35% Bonds (due October 2023) | 500.0 | 500.0 |
| Total principal debt obligations (at face) | 1,925.0 | 2,150.0 |
| Discounts: | | |
| 3.25% Convertible Notes | - | (23.3) |
| 7.5% Bonds | (1.6) | (1.8) |
| 5.5% Bonds | (0.9) | (0.9) |
| 5.35% Bonds | (1.9) | (2.1) |
| Swap FV adjustments: | | |
| 7.5% Bonds | 44.6 | 48.4 |
| 5.5% Bonds | 22.5 | 22.6 |
| Total outstanding debt recorded, net | \$ 1,987.7 | \$ 2,192.9 |

IGT was compliant with all covenants and embedded features required no bifurcation at June 30, 2014.

Credit Facility

At June 30, 2014, \$625.0 million was outstanding under our \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility, \$353.5 million was available, and \$21.5 million was reserved for letters of credit, performance bonds, and bank guarantees.

3.25% Convertible Notes

At maturity on May 1, 2014, these notes were redeemed for cash at the face amount.

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Contractual interest expense | \$ 2.5 | \$ 6.9 | \$ 16.4 | \$ 20.7 |
| Discount amortization | 3.6 | 9.3 | 23.4 | 27.3 |

11. CONTINGENCIES

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, in the normal course of its operations, the Company is a party to litigation matters and claims. Litigation can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict and the Company's view of these matters may change in the future as the litigation and events related thereto unfold. The Company expenses legal fees as incurred. The Company records a provision for contingent losses when it is both probable that a liability will be incurred and the amount or range of the loss can be reasonably estimated. With respect to litigation and other legal proceedings where the Company has determined that a loss is reasonably possible, the Company is unable to estimate the amount or range of reasonably possible loss in excess of amounts already accrued, due to the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of and uncertainties regarding such litigation and legal proceedings. An unfavorable outcome to any legal matter, if material, could have an adverse effect on the Company's operations or its financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Atlantic Lotteries

On April 26, 2012, representatives of a purported class of persons allegedly harmed by VLT gaming filed an action in the Supreme Court of New Foundland and Labrador. Atlantic Lottery Corporation has impleaded VLC, Inc., IGT-Canada, Inc., International Game Technology and other third party defendants seeking indemnification for any judgment recovered against Atlantic Lottery Corporation in the main action. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class action certification on September 17, 2012. The Court has decided to address the motion for certification in two phases. Under Phase 1, the Court will determine whether the Plaintiffs have pleaded a cause of action. Hearings on Phase 1 were held on June 6 and 7, 2013. The Court has not yet issued a decision. Should the Court conclude that Plaintiffs have pleaded a cause of action, then, under Phase 2, the Court would determine the appropriateness of certification of the putative class.

Shareholder Derivative Action

On April 8, 2011, the Company was nominally sued in a derivative complaint filed in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned *Arduini v. Hart, et al.*, Case No. 3:11-cv-00255. Plaintiff purportedly brought this action on behalf of the Company. The complaint asserts claims against various current and former officers and directors of the Company, for breaches of fiduciary duties, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and contribution and indemnification. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of damages. A motion to dismiss was filed. On March 14, 2012, defendants' motion to dismiss the action was granted. On April 3, 2012, the plaintiff appealed to the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oral arguments were made to the Ninth Circuit on April 10, 2014.

Lightning Box Games

On July 30, 2013, IGT was sued in US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois for patent infringement by Lightning Box Games (LBG), captioned *Lightning Box Games PTY LTD. v. International Game Technology and Caesars Entertainment Corporation*, Case No. 13-cv-5423. LBG alleges infringement of two related patents for "Electronic System for Playing of Reel-Type Games," and specifically accuses IGT's MultiPLAY video slot machines of infringing one or more claims of the patents. LBG is seeking, among other items, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, monetary damages resulting from the infringing conduct (including pre- and post-judgment interest), and court costs. On April 23, 2014, IGT and LBG executed a Settlement Agreement. On April 29, 2014, the Court dismissed the case with prejudice.

Mark E Pollack Arbitration

In January 2013, IGT notified Mark E Pollack that it was terminating eight agreements between IGT and Mr. Pollack relating to services provided by Mr. Pollack (e.g., providing ideas for gaming machines and initiating and arranging meetings with various artists and intellectual property owners). Mr. Pollack disagreed that IGT could terminate the agreements and the parties entered mediation pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions in the respective

agreements. On October 22, 2013, the parties filed arbitration demands submitting the dispute to arbitration before Judicial Arbitration Mediation Services (JAMS), captioned International Game Technology v. Pollack, Case No. 1260002648 and Pollack v. International Game Technology, Case No. 1260002648. Mr. Pollack is requesting unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. An arbitrator has been selected, and the arbitration hearing date is currently scheduled for November 3, 2014.

WMS Gaming, Inc.

2013 Northern District of Illinois

On July 2, 2013, IGT was sued by WMS Gaming, Inc. in US District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, captioned WMS Gaming, Inc. v. IGT, Case No. 1:13-cv-4788. The suit relates to a contract between the parties. WMS alleges that IGT breached the contract, anticipatorily repudiated the contract, breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violated the Nevada Unfair Trade Practices Act. WMS sought a temporary restraining order, which was denied. WMS is seeking, among other things, declaratory judgment, specific performance, injunctive relief, unspecified monetary damages, and attorneys' fees and costs. IGT intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted in this lawsuit. On March 25, 2014 the Court stayed this action pending resolution of the related arbitration between IGT and WMS. On June 13, 2014, IGT, Scientific Games Corporation and WMS Gaming, Inc. entered into a Master Settlement Agreement and IGT and Scientific Games Corporation entered into a TITO Game Manufacturer License Agreement. This action was dismissed with prejudice on June 23, 2014.

18

2013 Arbitration

Related to foregoing lawsuit, on September 10, 2013 IGT filed an arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (AAA) against WMS, captioned IGT v. WMS Gaming, Inc., No. 79 517 112 13. IGT and WMS have a license agreement for TITO enabled gaming machines. IGT alleges WMS failed to pay license fees owed on certain products covered by the agreement. IGT is seeking a judgment that WMS must pay license fees on certain products and monetary damages of \$50.0 million resulting from WMS's failure to pay license fees on those products. On June 13, 2014, IGT, Scientific Games Corporation and WMS Gaming, Inc. entered into a Master Settlement Agreement and IGT and Scientific Games Corporation entered into a TITO Game Manufacturer License Agreement. This action was dismissed with prejudice on July 14, 2014.

2013 District of Nevada

Related to the foregoing lawsuit and arbitration, on October 22, 2013, WMS filed suit in the US District Court for the District of Nevada, captioned WMS Gaming, Inc. v. IGT, Case No. 3:13-cv-00583. WMS is seeking, among other things, a declaratory judgment that the arbitration provision of the license agreement is unenforceable, alleging that IGT is seeking to arbitrate non-arbitrable issues, and seeking a refund of royalties WMS has allegedly overpaid. WMS has filed a motion for preliminary injunction, seeking to enjoin the arbitration. WMS's motion for preliminary injunction was denied on March 21, 2014 and the case was stayed pending the related arbitration between IGT and WMS. On June 13, 2014, IGT, Scientific Games Corporation and WMS Gaming, Inc. entered into a Master Settlement Agreement and IGT and Scientific Games Corporation entered into a TITO Game Manufacturer License Agreement. This action was dismissed with prejudice on June 19, 2014.

Global Draw Ltd

On September 17, 2013, Global Draw Limited (an English company) issued proceedings in London against IGT-UK Group Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of IGT) and IGT, captioned 2013 High Court of Justice (Commercial Court) in London, England, Case No. 2013, Folio 1246. The claim arises out of a Sale and Purchase Agreement dated April 26, 2011 (SPA) pursuant to which Global Draw purchased from IGT-UK all of the shares in an English company called Barcrest Limited. Global Draw seeks to claim against IGT-UK under the terms of indemnities and warranties contained in the SPA, and against IGT under the terms of a guarantee given by IGT in respect of the liabilities of IGT-UK. On November 7, 2013 IGT-UK filed and served its defense and counterclaim in response to the claim and IGT has also entered its defense. The claims assert damages of £1.3 million and US \$2.9 million excluding interest, plus other unquantified damages. On June 24, 2014 Global Draw updated the \$2.9 million damage assertion to \$5.2 million. IGT intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted in this lawsuit. Global Draw has filed a summary judgment application pertaining to the interpretation of certain terms of the SPA that governed the sale of Barcrest Limited. A hearing on the summary judgment application was held on June 24-25, 2014.

Shareholder Class Actions Relating to the Pending Transaction with GTECH S.p.A.

Since the announcement of the Company's entry into a merger agreement with GTECH S.p.A. ("GTECH") (see Note 19), various putative shareholder class action complaints have been filed by purported shareholders of the Company. As of August 1, 2014, the Company has received the following complaints, each filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada for Clark County: Klein v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704058-B, filed July 18, 2014; Zak v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704095-C, filed July 21, 2014; Steinberg v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704098-C, filed July 21, 2014; Kanter v. Satre, et al., Case No. A-14-704101-C, filed July 21, 2014; Tong v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704140-B, filed July 21, 2014; MacDougall v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704147-C, filed July 22, 2014; Longo v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704277-B, filed July 23, 2014; Kitchen v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704286, filed July 23, 2014; Lerman v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704287-C, filed July 23, 2014; Gonzalez, et al. v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704288-C, filed July 23, 2014; Krol v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704330-C, filed July 24, 2014; Irving Firemen's Relief & Retirement Fund v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704334-B, filed July 24, 2014; Neumann v. International Game

Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704393-B, filed July 25, 2014; Taber v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704403-B, filed July 25, 2014; Iron Workers District Council of Tennessee Valley & Vicinity Welfare, Pension & Annuity Plans v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704409-C, filed July 25, 2014; Aberman v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704454-B, filed July 27, 2014; Epstein, et al. v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704509-B, filed July 28, 2014; and Lowinger v. International Game Technology, et al., Case No. A-14-704759-B, filed July 30, 2014.

The complaints purport to be brought on behalf of all similarly situated shareholders of the Company and generally allege that the members of the IGT board of directors breached their fiduciary duties to IGT shareholders by approving the proposed merger transaction for inadequate consideration, entering into a merger agreement containing preclusive deal protection devices and failing to take steps to maximize the value to be paid to IGT shareholders. The complaints also allege claims against IGT and GTECH, and, in some cases, certain of GTECH's subsidiaries, for aiding and abetting these alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The complaints seek preliminary and permanent injunctions against the completion of the transaction, or, alternatively, damages in favor of the plaintiffs and the class in the event that the transaction is completed. Certain of the complaints also seek, in the event that the transaction is completed, rescission of the transaction or rescissory damages in favor of the plaintiffs and the class. IGT intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted in these lawsuits.

OTHER ARRANGEMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISKS

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds not reflected in our balance sheet. We do not expect any material losses to result from these arrangements and are not dependent on off-balance sheet financing arrangements to fund our operations.

Performance Bonds

Performance bonds outstanding related to certain gaming operations equipment totaled \$13.0 million at June 30, 2014. We are liable to reimburse the bond issuer in the event of exercise due to our nonperformance.

Letters of Credit

Outstanding letters of credit issued under our domestic credit facility to ensure payment to certain vendors and governmental agencies totaled \$8.3 million at June 30, 2014.

IGT Licensor Arrangements

Our sales agreements that include software and IP licensing arrangements may require IGT to indemnify the third-party licensee against liability and damages (including legal defense costs) arising from any claims of patent, copyright, trademark infringement, or trade secret misappropriation. Should such a claim occur, we could be required to make payments to the licensee for any liabilities or damages incurred. Historically, we have not incurred any significant settlement costs due to infringement claims. As we consider the likelihood of incurring future costs to be remote, no liability has been recorded.

Self-Insurance

We retain a portion of our workers' compensation, automobile liability, directors' and officers' liability, electronic errors and omissions liability, and property and crime risks in the form of deductibles or self-insured retentions and we are self-insured for various levels of employee medical, dental, prescription drug, and disability coverage. We purchase stop loss coverage to protect against unexpected claims. Accrued insurance claims and reserves include estimated settlements for known claims, and actuarial estimates for claims incurred but not reported.

State and Federal Taxes

We are subject to sales, use, income, gaming and other tax audits and administrative proceedings in various US federal, state, local, and foreign jurisdictions. While we believe we have properly reported our tax liabilities in each jurisdiction, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

Product Warranties

The majority of our products are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 to 180 days. We estimated accrued warranty costs in the table below based on historical trends in product failure rates and expected costs to provide warranty services.

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$4.4 | \$4.2 |
| Reduction for payments made | (2.7) | (5.3) |
| Accrual for new warranties issued | 3.3 | 7.0 |
| Adjustments for pre-existing warranties | (1.8) | (1.9) |
| Ending balance | \$3.2 | \$4.0 |

12. INCOME TAXES

Our provision for income taxes is based on an estimated effective annual income tax rate, as well as the impact of discrete items, if any, occurring during the period. The provision differs from income taxes currently payable because certain items of income and expense are recognized in different periods for financial statement purposes than for tax return purposes. We reduce deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Our effective tax rate for the nine months ended June 30, 2014 decreased to 16.5% from 30.9% for the same prior year period. The current year effective tax rate was positively impacted by the settlement of US federal income tax audits for 1999 and 2006 through 2009, as well as the expiration of the statute of limitations for 2010, partially offset by the adverse impact of nondeductible foreign currency losses related to the Argentine peso devaluation in January 2014. Our 2013 effective tax rate was favorably impacted by an increase in our manufacturing deduction and certain favorable discrete tax items of \$5.9 million, including the expiration of the statute of limitations in certain foreign jurisdictions and a retroactive reinstatement of the R&D tax credit.

At June 30, 2014, our gross UTBs totaled \$54.7 million, excluding related accrued interest and penalties of \$10.1 million. At June 30, 2014, \$42.4 million of our UTBs, including related accrued interest, penalties, and indirect effects in other jurisdictions, would affect our effective tax rate if recognized. During the nine months ended June 30, 2014, our UTBs decreased \$54.5 million and related interest and penalties decreased \$13.3 million. We do not believe our total UTBs will change significantly during the next twelve months.

As a result of the IRS period of assessment for 2010 passing in June 2014, we reduced our tax provision by \$9.4 million and UTBs by \$11.0 million, inclusive of related interest, penalties, and indirect effects in other jurisdictions. In December 2013, we settled audits with the US tax authorities related to our 1999 and 2006 through 2009 tax years, resulting in reductions to our provision of \$29.6 million and UTBs of \$33.1 million, inclusive of related interest, penalties, and indirect effects in other jurisdictions.

We are also subject to examination in various state and foreign jurisdictions. We believe we have recorded all appropriate provisions for outstanding issues for all jurisdictions and open years. However, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

13. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

SIP Share-based Compensation As Of And For The Nine Months Ended June 30, 2014

| OPTIONS | Shares | Weighted Average | | |
|---|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Exercise Price Per Share | Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year | 9.1 | \$ 18.57 | | |
| Granted | - | - | | |
| Exercised | (0.4) | 12.99 | | |
| Forfeited | (0.2) | 16.11 | | |
| Expired | (0.4) | 25.61 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 8.1 | \$ 18.53 | 4.2 | \$ 8.1 |
| Vested and expected to vest | 8.1 | \$ 18.54 | 4.2 | \$ 8.1 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 7.3 | \$ 18.80 | 4.0 | \$ 7.7 |

| RESTRICTED SHARE UNITS | Shares | Weighted Average | | |
|---|--------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Grant Date Fair Value Per Share | Remaining Vesting Period (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| Outstanding at beginning of fiscal year | 6.3 | \$ 14.55 | | |
| Granted | 3.6 | 16.17 | | |
| Vested | (2.6) | 14.41 | | |
| Forfeited | (0.9) | 15.29 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 6.4 | \$ 15.40 | 1.6 | \$ 103.1 |
| Expected to vest | 5.0 | \$ 15.28 | 1.6 | \$ 79.9 |

OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|---|--------|
| Shares available for future grant (see Note 19) | 19.9 |
| Unrecognized costs for outstanding awards | \$72.1 |
| Weighted average future recognition period (in years) | 1.9 |

14. EARNINGS PER SHARE

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income available to common shares | \$72.1 | \$65.7 | \$177.1 | \$209.2 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 247.0 | 260.6 | 249.1 | 263.4 |
| Dilutive effect of non-participating share-based awards | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 247.9 | 263.2 | 250.8 | 265.6 |
| Basic EPS | \$0.29 | \$0.25 | \$0.71 | \$0.79 |
| Diluted EPS | \$0.29 | \$0.25 | \$0.71 | \$0.79 |
| Weighted average shares excluded from diluted EPS because the effect would be anti-dilutive: | | | | |
| Share-based awards | 9.8 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 8.6 |
| 3.25 % Convertible Notes | - | 42.6 | - | 42.6 |
| Hedges | - | (42.6) | - | (42.6) |
| Warrants | 42.6 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 42.6 |
| Total shares repurchased, including net shares: (1) | - | 0.4 | 12.3 | 7.5 |
| Average price per share | \$- | \$17.04 | \$17.25 | \$15.68 |
| Aggregate payments | \$0.1 | \$5.9 | \$211.4 | \$81.0 |
| Remaining authorization at June 30, 2014 (see Note 19) | \$209.7 | | | |
| (1) Net shares tendered by employees at vesting for tax withholding obligations (in thousands) | 7.5 | 3.8 | 635.2 | 254.3 |

Accelerated Share Repurchase

In January 2014, we received the final delivery of 3.4 million shares of IGT common stock under a \$200.0 million accelerated share repurchase transaction executed in November 2013. We received 11.6 million total shares based on the VWAP over the transaction period for an average discounted price of \$17.22 per share.

15. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | TOTAL | |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | Foreign - Currency Translation | Treasury Instruments | Foreign - Currency Translation | Treasury Instruments | | |
| Periods Ended June 30, 2014 | Third Quarter | | Nine Months | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$(1.4) | \$ 7.6 | \$ 6.2 | \$0.2 | \$ 8.0 | \$ 8.2 |
| Activity before reclassifications: | | | | | | |
| Amount before tax | (1.5) | - | (1.5) | (3.1) | - | (3.1) |
| Income tax | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amount net of tax | (1.5) | - | (1.5) | (3.1) | - | (3.1) |
| Reclassifications to earnings: | | | | | | |
| Amount before tax * | - | (0.3) | (0.3) | - | (0.9) | (0.9) |
| Income tax effect | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Amount net of tax | - | (0.2) | (0.2) | - | (0.6) | (0.6) |
| Net other comprehensive income | (1.5) | (0.2) | (1.7) | (3.1) | (0.6) | (3.7) |
| Ending balance | \$(2.9) | \$ 7.4 | \$ 4.5 | \$(2.9) | \$ 7.4 | \$ 4.5 |
| Periods Ended June 30, 2013 | Third Quarter | | Nine Months | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$(0.6) | \$ - | \$ (0.6) | \$4.5 | \$ - | \$ 4.5 |
| Activity before reclassifications: | | | | | | |
| Amount before tax | (10.1) | 6.9 | (3.2) | (15.2) | 6.9 | (8.3) |
| Income tax | - | (2.5) | (2.5) | - | (2.5) | (2.5) |
| Amount net of tax | (10.1) | 4.4 | (5.7) | (15.2) | 4.4 | (10.8) |
| Reclassifications to earnings: | | | | | | |
| Amount before tax * | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Income tax effect | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Amount net of tax | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net other comprehensive income | (10.1) | 4.4 | (5.7) | (15.2) | 4.4 | (10.8) |
| Ending balance | \$(10.7) | \$ 4.4 | \$ (6.3) | \$(10.7) | \$ 4.4 | \$ (6.3) |
| *Income statement location of earnings reclassifications | | Interest expense | | Interest expense | | |

16. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We view our business in the following two operating segments:

North America includes our operations associated with land-based and online real-money customers located in the US and Canada, as well as all customers serviced by our US-based online social gaming operations

International includes our operations associated with customers located in all other jurisdictions

Certain income and expenses related to company-wide initiatives primarily comprised of general and administrative costs and other income (expense) are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to an operating segment. We do not recognize inter-company revenues or expenses upon the transfer of gaming products between operating segments. Segment accounting policies are consistent with those of our consolidated financial statements and segment profit is measured on the basis of operating income. Impairment and restructuring charges, if any, are reflected within the segment where actions occurred.

Our business segments are designed to allocate resources within a framework of management responsibility. Operating costs included in one segment may benefit other segments. Realignment of our business development and administrative functions may result in ongoing changes to allocations of operating cost amongst our operating segments.

Business Segments Financial Information

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| NORTH AMERICA | | | | |
| Revenues | \$374.7 | \$461.0 | \$1,216.4 | \$1,346.0 |
| Gaming operations | 189.9 | 214.1 | 581.7 | 643.0 |
| Product sales | 111.1 | 184.7 | 425.0 | 544.2 |
| Interactive | 73.7 | 62.2 | 209.7 | 158.8 |
| Gross profit | 230.1 | 270.5 | 715.4 | 787.3 |
| Gaming operations | 115.4 | 128.8 | 346.0 | 391.7 |
| Product sales | 68.5 | 102.8 | 238.9 | 297.8 |
| Interactive | 46.2 | 38.9 | 130.5 | 97.8 |
| Operating income | 113.3 | 131.4 | 312.0 | 368.0 |
| INTERNATIONAL | | | | |
| Revenues | \$92.9 | \$118.0 | \$305.2 | \$363.2 |
| Gaming operations | 27.7 | 33.2 | 89.3 | 101.3 |
| Product sales | 55.8 | 74.5 | 188.1 | 228.7 |
| Interactive | 9.4 | 10.3 | 27.8 | 33.2 |
| Gross profit | 54.8 | 66.7 | 172.0 | 200.4 |
| Gaming operations | 19.7 | 22.9 | 65.7 | 69.9 |
| Product sales | 29.0 | 37.1 | 89.4 | 111.4 |
| Interactive | 6.1 | 6.7 | 16.9 | 19.1 |
| Operating income | 19.0 | 23.4 | 48.2 | 83.6 |
| CORPORATE (unallocated) | | | | |
| Operating expenses | \$(22.7) | \$(31.8) | \$(74.8) | \$(80.9) |
| CONSOLIDATED | | | | |
| Revenues | \$467.6 | \$579.0 | \$1,521.6 | \$1,709.2 |

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Gaming operations | 217.6 | 247.3 | 671.0 | 744.3 |
| Product sales | 166.9 | 259.2 | 613.1 | 772.9 |
| Interactive | 83.1 | 72.5 | 237.5 | 192.0 |
| Gross profit | 284.9 | 337.2 | 887.4 | 987.7 |
| Gaming operations | 135.1 | 151.7 | 411.7 | 461.6 |
| Product sales | 97.5 | 139.9 | 328.3 | 409.2 |
| Interactive | 52.3 | 45.6 | 147.4 | 116.9 |
| Operating income | 109.6 | 123.0 | 285.4 | 370.7 |

25

17. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Impairment - Alabama | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.6 |
| Business realignment | - | - | 16.5 | - |
| Impairment - UK building held for sale | - | 1.5 | - | 1.5 |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 1.5 | \$ 17.8 | \$ 3.1 |

Impairment - Alabama

IGT machines ceased to be operated at the VictoryLand, Country Crossing and Greentrack facilities in 2010, as a result of challenges related to the legality of electronic charitable bingo in Alabama. Impairment has been recognized each year since, as the prospects of collecting our Alabama development financing declined with deteriorating market conditions and the decreasing value of associated property collateral. Fair value of the collateral was determined using expected cash flows discounted at risk-based market rates. The net carrying amount of the remaining Alabama note receivable increased to \$18.7 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 related to the payment of the collateral's property taxes.

Business Realignment

To address the recent challenges facing the gaming industry, in March 2014 cost-saving measures were completed under a plan to realign our operating structure. Under this business realignment, we reduced our global workforce by 7% and recorded charges of \$16.5 million, including \$7.7 million for the impairment of abandoned software. No further charges related to this business realignment are expected.

Restructuring Charges And Liability Through June 30, 2014

| | North | | Consolidated |
|---|---------|---------------|--------------|
| | America | International | |
| Severance (cash) | \$ 6.0 | \$ 2.8 | \$ 8.8 |
| Impairment (non-cash) | 7.0 | 0.7 | 7.7 |
| Total restructuring charges | \$ 13.0 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 16.5 |
| Severance accrued | | | \$ 8.8 |
| Payments | | | (8.5) |
| Remaining restructuring liability (expected to be paid within three months) | | | \$ 0.3 |

18. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

In May 2014, IGT purchased the net assets related to the Strategy9 slot tournament manager, previously distributed by IGT under a royalty arrangement, for initial cash of \$1.5 million plus contingent consideration up to \$2.8 million. Consideration at acquisition of \$2.4 million, which included an estimated FV for contingencies of \$0.9 million, was allocated to \$1.9 million of developed technology and \$0.5 million of deductible goodwill related to non-separable intangibles. Strategy9 is reflected in our North America business segment and the pro forma impact of the business acquisition is not material to our consolidated results.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

PROPOSED MERGER

On July 15, 2014, we entered into a definitive merger agreement with GTECH S.p.A. for the acquisition of IGT by GTECH for \$6.4 billion, comprised of \$4.7 billion in cash and stock and the assumption of \$1.7 billion in net debt. Under the terms of the merger agreement, IGT and GTECH will combine under a newly formed holding company (NewCo) domiciled in the UK that will apply for listing solely on the NYSE. At the closing of the transaction, IGT shares will cease trading on the NYSE and GTECH shares will cease trading on the Borsa Italiana (MSE). IGT will survive as a wholly owned subsidiary of NewCo, which is expected to continue under the name GTECH plc. In addition to the corporate headquarters in the UK, NewCo will maintain operating headquarters in Las Vegas, NV, Providence, RI and Rome, Italy.

At the effective time of the merger, each share of IGT common stock will be converted into the right to receive a combination of \$13.69 in cash, plus a number of ordinary shares of NewCo equal to \$4.56 divided by a calculation of the dollar value of GTECH shares prior to the transaction closing, subject to adjustments and limitations set forth in the merger agreement. IGT shareholders can also elect to receive all stock or all cash consideration, subject to proration in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

The merger agreement contains certain customary covenants regarding the operation of IGT's business during the period prior to the transaction closing, including, among others, limitations on IGT's ability to: (i) issue or grant shares of capital stock or other equity interests in IGT; (ii) acquire shares of capital stock or other equity interests in IGT; and (iii) incur new indebtedness or issue debt securities, in each case subject to certain exceptions. Consummation of the merger is expected in the first half of calendar 2015, subject to certain closing conditions, including, among others: (i) IGT and GTECH shareholder approvals; (ii) expiration or termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and certain other antitrust approvals; (iii) certain gaming regulatory approvals; and (iv) effectiveness of the registration statement and NYSE listing approval for the NewCo shares. The merger agreement also contains certain termination rights for IGT and GTECH, such that under certain circumstances, IGT may be required to pay a termination fee of \$135.3 million or reimburse certain regulatory expenses incurred by GTECH, and GTECH may be required to pay a termination fee of up to \$270.6 million.

For additional details about the terms and conditions of the merger agreement and related matters, refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, Support Agreement, and Voting Agreement, all dated July 15, 2014 and filed as Exhibit 2.1, 10.1, and 10.2, respectively, to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 18, 2014. Additional information regarding the proposed merger transaction will be contained in a definitive proxy statement/prospectus to be filed by NewCo with the SEC. During the nine months ended June 30, 2014, we recorded \$1.3 million of professional fees within SG&A related to the merger agreement.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following MDA is intended to enhance the reader's understanding of our operations and current business environment from the perspective of our company's management. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013, as well as the accompanying Consolidated Interim Financial Statements and Notes included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. Information posted on our website is not incorporated into this Form 10-Q.

Our MDA is organized into the following sections:

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS
OVERVIEW
CONSOLIDATED RESULTS
BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS
LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES
RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Unless otherwise indicated in this report:

International Game Technology, IGT, we, our, or the Company refers to International Game Technology and its consolidated entities

italicized text with an attached superscript trademark or copyright notation indicates trademarks of IGT or its licensors, and additional IGT trademark information is available on our website at www.IGT.com

references to years relate to our fiscal years ending September 30

current refers to our fiscal third quarter and nine months ended June 30, 2014

Note refers to the Notes of our Consolidated Interim Financial Statements in Item 1 of this report

references to EPS are on a diluted basis

table amounts are presented in millions, except units and EPS

discussion and analysis relates to results for the current fiscal periods as compared with the prior year fiscal periods

We sometimes refer to the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which results from the translation of foreign functional currencies into US dollars and foreign currency transactions remeasurement. The impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations represents the difference between current rates and prior period rates applied to current period activity.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains statements that do not relate to historical or current facts, but are "forward looking" statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to analyses and other information based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements may also relate to future events or trends, our future prospects and proposed new products, services, developments, or business strategies, among other things. These statements can generally (although not always) be identified by their use of terms and phrases such as anticipate, appear, believe, could, would, estimate, expect, indicate, intend, may, plan, predict, project, pursue, will, continue, and other similar terms and phrases, as well as the use of the future tense.

Examples of forward looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to, the following categories of expectations about:

- statements as to the expected timing, completion and effects of the proposed merger transaction with GTECH
- our ability to successfully introduce new products and their impact on replacement demand
- the timing, features, benefits, and continued or future success of new product introductions and ongoing product, marketing, and strategic initiatives
- our future financial and operational performance
- our strategic and operational plans, including our ability to manage and leverage cost reduction initiatives
- our leadership position in the gaming industry or in online casino-style social gaming
- the advantages offered to customers by our anticipated products and product features
- economic conditions and other factors affecting the gaming industry
- gaming growth, expansion, and new market opportunities
- future trends in the demand for our products
- developments with respect to economic, political, regulatory and other conditions affecting our international operations
- mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, including the anticipated benefits of completed acquisitions and possible acquisitions of, or investments in, businesses, products, and technologies
- research and development activities, including anticipated benefits from such activities
- fluctuations in future gross margins, tax rates, and liabilities
- future product sales or machine placements
- legislative, legal or regulatory developments and related market opportunities
- available capital resources to fund future operating requirements, capital expenditures, payment obligations, acquisitions, dividends, and share repurchases
- losses from off-balance sheet arrangements
- financial returns to shareholders related to management of our costs
- the impact of recently adopted accounting pronouncements
- the outcome and expense of litigation

Actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward looking statements. Our future financial condition, results of operations and business, as well as any forward looking statements, are subject to change and to inherent known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, risks and uncertainties detailed in our periodic public filings with the SEC, including those discussed under the sections entitled "Risk Factors" in this report, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 28, 2013 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 29, 2014, and those contained or incorporated by reference into such documents and in our subsequent filings with the SEC.

You should not assume at any point in the future that the forward looking statements in this report are still valid. We do not intend, and undertake no obligation, to update our forward looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances.

OVERVIEW

International Game Technology is a global gaming company specializing in the design, development, manufacture, and marketing of casino-style gaming equipment, systems technology, and game content across multiple platforms—land-based, online real-money and online social. We are a leading supplier of gaming entertainment products worldwide and provide a diverse offering of quality products and services at competitive prices, designed to enhance the gaming player experience.

We derive our revenues from the distribution of casino games, gaming equipment and systems technology for land-based, online real-money, and online social markets. Operating results reviewed by our CEO encompass all revenue sources within each geographical region. We currently view our business in two operating segments, North America and International, each incorporating all revenue categories—Gaming Operations, Product Sales, and Interactive.

We measure segment profit on the basis of operating income. Certain income and expenses are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to an operating segment. Other segment and financial information is contained in our BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS below and Note 16.

BUSINESS TRENDS

Lower gross gaming revenue in many US regional markets during the first nine months of 2014 has adversely impacted our financial results. These declines have negatively affected our gaming operations yields and are inhibiting casino operators' willingness to purchase gaming machines. Furthermore, the absence of a replacement opportunity in size similar to the replacement units sold under Canadian government lottery contracts in 2013 is resulting in lower machine sales for 2014. Finally, an increasing number of gaming machine competitors in the market place, combined with limited casino operator capital, continues to negatively impact our results.

Our international operations have also been impacted by worsening regulatory compliance delays and import restrictions, which continue to impede our ability to satisfy market demand for products in certain foreign jurisdictions. Notwithstanding these current market conditions, we continue to believe that long-term growth opportunities exist internationally, particularly in Asian markets.

We expect that our interactive business, particularly social gaming, will continue to provide growth opportunities. The dynamic growth of social networking and entertainment consumption on mobile devices, such as smart phones and tablets, continues to fuel growth in online social gaming. We believe that online gaming appeals to a broader consumer demographic than land-based gaming.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

We continue to partner with our customers to build stronger relationships and deliver innovative gaming products and services. We remain focused on the following strategic objectives for

2014, designed to improve our business and increase shareholder value, with adjustments as new opportunities arise and the industry evolves:

Assembling the most compelling and highest performing game library available—serving both operators and players
Expanding and managing the broadest distribution network globally
Maximizing shareholder value through the efficient operation of our business, the optimal generation of cash flow, and the responsible, dependable return of capital through dividends

PROPOSED MERGER

On July 15, 2014, we entered into a definitive merger agreement with GTECH S.p.A. for the acquisition of IGT by GTECH for \$6.4 billion, comprised of \$4.7 billion in cash and stock and the assumption of \$1.7 billion in net debt. Under the terms of the merger agreement, IGT and GTECH will combine under a newly formed holding company (NewCo) domiciled in the UK that will apply for listing solely on the NYSE. At the closing of the transaction, IGT shares will cease trading on the NYSE and GTECH shares will cease trading on the Borsa Italiana (MSE). IGT will survive as a wholly owned subsidiary of NewCo, which is expected to continue under the name GTECH plc. In addition to the corporate headquarters in the UK, NewCo will maintain operating headquarters in Las Vegas, NV, Providence, RI and Rome, Italy.

At the effective time of the merger, each share of IGT common stock will be converted into the right to receive a combination of \$13.69 in cash, plus a number of ordinary shares of NewCo equal to \$4.56 divided by a calculation of the dollar value of GTECH shares prior to the transaction closing, subject to adjustments and limitations set forth in the merger agreement. IGT shareholders can also elect to receive all stock or all cash consideration, subject to proration in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement.

The merger agreement contains certain customary covenants regarding the operation of IGT's business during the period prior to the transaction closing, including, among others, limitations on IGT's ability to: (i) issue or grant shares of capital stock or other equity interest in IGT; (ii) acquire shares of capital stock or other equity interests in IGT; and (iii) incur new indebtedness or issue debt securities, in each case subject to certain exceptions. Consummation of the merger is expected in the first half of calendar 2015, subject to certain closing conditions, including, among others: (i) IGT and GTECH shareholder approvals; (ii) expiration or termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and certain other antitrust approvals; (iii) certain gaming regulatory approvals; and (iv) effectiveness of the registration statement and NYSE listing approval for the NewCo shares. The merger agreement also contains certain termination rights for IGT and GTECH, such that under certain circumstances, IGT may be required to pay a termination fee of \$135.3 million or reimburse certain regulatory expenses incurred by GTECH, and GTECH may be required to pay a termination fee of up to \$270.6 million.

For additional details about the terms and conditions of the merger agreement and related matters, refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, Support Agreement, and Voting Agreement, all dated July 15, 2014 and filed as Exhibit 2.1, 10.1, and 10.2, respectively, to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 18, 2014. Additional information regarding the proposed merger transaction will be contained in a definitive proxy statement/prospectus to be filed by NewCo with the SEC. During the nine months ended June 30, 2014, we recorded \$1.3 million of professional fees within SG&A related to the merger agreement.

SUMMARY OF CONSOLIDATED RESULTS

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | | Nine Months | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | |
| Revenues | \$467.6 | \$579.0 | \$ (111.4) | -19% | \$1,521.6 | \$1,709.2 | \$ (187.6) | -11% |
| Operating income | 109.6 | 123.0 | (13.4) | -11% | 285.4 | 370.7 | (85.3) | -23% |
| Net Income | 72.1 | 65.7 | 6.4 | 10 % | 177.1 | 209.2 | (32.1) | -15% |
| EPS | \$0.29 | \$0.25 | \$ 0.04 | 16 % | \$0.71 | \$0.79 | \$ (0.08) | -10% |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Revenue decline for our third quarter ended June 30, 2014 was due to a decrease of \$86.3 million or 19% in North America and \$25.1 million or 21% in International. Product sales decreased \$92.3 million or 36% due to lower machine unit volume and gaming operations decreased \$29.7 million or 12% due to declines in yield and installed base. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$10.6 million or 15% in interactive revenues due to growing contributions from social gaming.

Operating income decreased due to lower revenues. Net income and EPS increased primarily due to favorable net other income(expense) and a reduction of \$9.4 million in our income tax provision related to a discrete tax item. EPS benefitted from fewer shares outstanding due to share repurchases.

Nine Months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Revenue decline for the nine months ended June 30, 2014 was due to a decrease of \$129.6 million or 10% in North America and \$58.0 million or 16% in International. Product sales decreased \$159.8 million or 21% due to lower machine unit volume and gaming operations decreased \$73.3 million or 10% due to declines in yield and installed base. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$45.5 million or 24% in interactive revenues due to growing contributions from social gaming.

Operating income, net income, and EPS decreased primarily due to lower revenues. The decrease to net income and EPS was partially offset by a lower effective tax rate of 16.5% versus 30.9% in the prior year period, primarily due to a reduction of \$39.0 million in our income tax provision related to discrete tax items. As in the quarter, EPS benefitted from fewer shares outstanding due to share repurchases.

To address the challenges facing the gaming industry and their impact on IGT, we implemented a plan to realign our operating structure during our 2014 second quarter. Under this business realignment, we reduced our global workforce by 7% and expect to realize cost savings of \$30.0 million in the 2014 second half and an estimated \$50.0 million on an annualized basis going forward. During our 2014 second quarter, we incurred charges of \$16.5 million related to the business realignment, including \$7.7 million for the impairment of abandoned software.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS - A YEAR OVER YEAR COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

GAMING OPERATIONS

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | 2014 | 2013 | Change |
| Revenues | \$217.6 | \$247.3 | \$ (29.7) -12% | \$671.0 | \$744.3 | \$ (73.3) -10% |
| Gross margin | 62 % | 61 % | 1 pp | 61 % | 62 % | (1) pp |
| Installed base units ('000) | 50.5 | 56.7 | (6.2) -11% | 50.5 | 56.7 | (6.2) -11% |
| MegaJackpots® (premium brand) | 23.3 | 25.8 | (2.5) -10% | 23.3 | 25.8 | (2.5) -10% |
| Lease (CDS, Racino, other) | 27.2 | 30.9 | (3.7) -12% | 27.2 | 30.9 | (3.7) -12% |
| Yield (\$0.00) | \$46.02 | \$47.96 | \$ (1.94) -4 % | \$46.75 | \$47.91 | \$ (1.16) -2 % |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Lower gaming operations revenue was driven by installed base declines, along with lower yields. Gross margin improved slightly due to favorable shift in product mix to higher-margin lease units and lower depreciation expense. The decline in yield was driven by reduced play levels and most significant in MegaJackpots®.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Gaming operations decreases in revenue and yield were driven by the same factors as the quarter. Gross margin decline was primarily due to lower yield.

PRODUCT SALES

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | 2014 | 2013 | Change |
| Revenues | \$166.9 | \$259.2 | \$(92.3) -36% | \$613.1 | \$772.9 | \$(159.8) -21% |
| Machines | 87.2 | 177.9 | (90.7) -51% | 370.1 | 537.8 | (167.7) -31% |
| Non-machine (1) | 79.7 | 81.3 | (1.6) -2% | 243.0 | 235.1 | 7.9 3% |
| Gross margin | 58 % | 54 % | 4 pp | 54 % | 53 % | 1 pp |
| Machine units recognized ('000)(2) | 7.3 | 13.4 | (6.1) -46% | 28.0 | 38.4 | (10.4) -27% |
| Machine ASP ('000) | \$11.9 | \$13.3 | \$(1.4) -11% | \$13.2 | \$14.0 | \$(0.8) -6% |
| Machine units shipped ('000)(3) | 7.1 | 13.6 | (6.5) -48% | 27.7 | 37.2 | (9.5) -26% |
| New/expansion | 1.7 | 3.4 | (1.7) -50% | 8.7 | 9.6 | (0.9) -9% |
| Replacement | 5.4 | 10.2 | (4.8) -47% | 19.0 | 27.6 | (8.6) -31% |

(1)systems, licensing and parts/other; (2)correlates with revenues recognized; (3)includes deferred revenue units

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Product sales revenue decreased on lower machine unit volume. The decrease was most significant in North America replacement units, largely due to 3,300 VLT replacement units in the prior year quarter under large government contracts in Canada, as well as lower machine demand overall. Additionally, the prior year quarter included 1,300 new Illinois units versus 400 in the current quarter. Lower non-machine revenues was primarily due to a decrease of \$7.1 million in parts, partially offset by an increase of \$5.4 million in IP license fees. ASP decreased primarily due to a higher volume of lower-priced lease unit conversions. Improvement in gross margin was primarily attributable to a favorable mix of higher-margin non-machine revenue.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Similar to the quarter, product sales revenue decreased on lower machine units. The decrease was most significant in North America replacement units, as the prior year period included 8,400 VLT replacement units under large government contracts in Canada. Non-machine revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$7.2 million in systems. ASP declined primarily due to a greater mix of lower-priced units with volume discounts and lease

conversion units. Gross margin improvement was due to favorable product mix.

32

INTERACTIVE

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------------|--|--|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | | |
| Revenues | \$ 83.1 | \$ 72.5 | \$ 10.6 15 % | \$ 237.5 | \$ 192.0 | \$ 45.5 24 % | | |
| Social gaming | 71.8 | 61.4 | 10.4 17 % | 205.4 | 157.1 | 48.3 31 % | | |
| IGTi | 11.3 | 11.1 | 0.2 2 % | 32.1 | 34.9 | (2.8) -8 % | | |
| Gross margin | 63 % | 63 % | - pp | 62 % | 61 % | 1 pp | | |
| DoubleDown average user metrics(1) | | | | | | | | |
| DAU ('000) | 1,833 | 1,690 | 143 8 % | 1,774 | 1,613 | 161 10 % | | |
| MAU ('000) | 5,975 | 6,658 | (683) -10 % | 6,130 | 5,950 | 180 3 % | | |
| Bookings per DAU (\$0.00) | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.03 7 % | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.06 17 % | | |

(1) as a single application with multiple games, active users equal unique users

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Interactive revenue increased due to growth in our DoubleDown Casino®. Social gaming revenue continued to build with increases in both DAU and bookings per DAU. Mobile applications comprised 34% of social bookings compared to 25% in the prior year quarter. These increases were driven primarily by the ongoing roll-out of IGT content to the DoubleDown Casino® and effective player marketing strategies. IGTi revenues improved primarily due to an increase in IGT rgs™ customers partially offset by discontinued lower-performing product offerings. Mobile generated 35% of IGTi online casino revenues compared to 22% in the prior year quarter.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Interactive revenue growth was due to the same factors as the quarter. Mobile applications comprised 31% of social bookings compared to 20% in the prior year period. IGTi mobile generated 32% of online casino revenues compared to 21% in the prior year period. Interactive gross margin improvement was primarily due to a higher contribution from social gaming.

OPERATING EXPENSES

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | | Nine Months | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|------|-------------|---------|-------------|------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$103.3 | \$114.4 | \$11.1 | 10 % | \$345.2 | \$325.0 | \$(20.2) | -6 % |
| Research and development | 53.4 | 59.8 | 6.4 | 11 % | 172.1 | 172.3 | 0.2 | 0 % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16.4 | 19.3 | 2.9 | 15 % | 49.7 | 58.0 | 8.3 | 14 % |
| Subtotal | 173.1 | 193.5 | 20.4 | 11 % | 567.0 | 555.3 | (11.7) | -2 % |
| Percent of revenue | 37 % | 33 % | | | 37 % | 32 % | | |
| Contingent acquisition-related costs | 2.2 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 89 % | 17.2 | 58.6 | 41.4 | 71 % |
| Impairment and restructuring | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | * | 17.8 | 3.1 | (14.7) | * |
| Total operating expenses | \$175.3 | \$214.2 | \$38.9 | 18 % | \$602.0 | \$617.0 | \$15.0 | 2 % |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

The decrease in total operating expenses was primarily attributable to lower contingent acquisition-related costs (detailed in the table below) and cost savings resulting from the business realignment plan implemented in March 2014. Additionally, favorable legal settlements and gains from the sale of business assets collectively totaled \$5.1 million during the 2014 third quarter. The prior year quarter also included \$3.7 million of proxy contest fees.

These decreases were offset by an increase in bad debt provisions of \$8.0 million primarily related to specific customer receivables, as the prior year quarter included significant recoveries. Additionally, advertising costs increased \$3.8 million correlated with social gaming revenue growth.

| Acquisition-related charges (mostly DoubleDown) | 2014 | 2013 | Third Quarter Change | | |
|---|-------|--------|----------------------|-----|---|
| Earn-out (See Note 8 about valuation factors) | \$2.2 | \$11.8 | \$9.6 | 81 | % |
| Retention | - | 7.4 | 7.4 | 100 | % |
| Total contingent costs | 2.2 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 89 | % |
| Amortization of acquired intangibles | 3.4 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 23 | % |
| Total acquisition-related charges | \$5.6 | \$23.6 | \$18.0 | 76 | % |

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

The decrease in total operating expenses was primarily attributable to the lower acquisition-related charges (detailed in the table below), partially offset by an increase in SG&A and impairment and restructuring expenses.

| Acquisition-related charges (mostly DoubleDown) | 2014 | 2013 | Nine Months Change | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------------------|----|---|
| Earn-out (See Note 8 about valuation factors) | \$8.8 | \$28.0 | \$19.2 | 69 | % |
| Retention | 8.4 | 30.6 | 22.2 | 73 | % |
| Total contingent costs | 17.2 | 58.6 | 41.4 | 71 | % |
| Amortization of acquired intangibles | 10.2 | 13.3 | 3.1 | 23 | % |
| Total acquisition-related charges | \$27.4 | \$71.9 | \$44.5 | 62 | % |

Increases in SG&A expenses included \$13.5 million for player marketing correlated with revenue growth, \$6.2 million for professional fees largely due to increased commercial litigation, and accrued contingent litigation charges, partially offset by cost savings resulting from the March 2014 business realignment. Depreciation and amortization declined due to lower patent amortization.

Under the implementation of the business realignment plan in March 2014, we incurred charges of \$16.5 million, which included \$8.8 million of cash charges related to a 7% workforce reduction and \$7.7 million of noncash impairment related to abandoned software.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | | Nine Months | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | |
| Interest Income | \$ 10.4 | \$ 11.5 | \$ (1.1) | -10 % | \$ 31.2 | \$ 34.0 | \$ (2.8) | -8 % |
| WAP investments(1) | 3.8 | 4.3 | (0.5) | -12 % | 12.0 | 13.5 | (1.5) | -11 % |
| Receivables and investments | 6.6 | 7.2 | (0.6) | -8 % | 19.2 | 20.5 | (1.3) | -6 % |
| Interest Expense | (26.6) | (30.5) | 3.9 | 13 % | (99.9) | (92.4) | (7.5) | -8 % |
| WAP jackpot liabilities(1) | (3.8) | (4.3) | 0.5 | 12 % | (11.9) | (13.5) | 1.6 | 12 % |
| Borrowings | (19.3) | (17.2) | (2.1) | -12 % | (65.3) | (52.4) | (12.9) | -25 % |
| Convertible debt equity discount | (3.5) | (9.0) | 5.5 | 61 % | (22.7) | (26.5) | 3.8 | 14 % |
| Other, including gain (loss) | 0.7 | (6.7) | 7.4 | * | (4.6) | (9.6) | 5.0 | * |
| Total other income (expense), net | \$ (15.5) | \$ (25.7) | \$ 10.2 | 40 % | \$ (73.3) | \$ (68.0) | \$ (5.3) | -8 % |

(1)WAP interest income and expense relates to previous jackpot winner liabilities and accretes at approximately the same rate.

WAP interest income also includes earnings on restricted cash and investments held for future winner payments.

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

The favorable change in total other income (expense) was primarily due to lower interest expense mostly related to the maturity of our 3.25% Convertible Notes in May 2014 and favorable gain/loss including \$4.6 million related to FV changes on interest rate swaps and \$2.5 million related to foreign currency exchange.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

The unfavorable change in total other income (expense) was primarily due to increased interest expense related to additional bonds issued in September 2013 and lower interest income, partially offset by favorable gain/loss mostly related to FV changes on interest rate swaps.

INCOME TAX PROVISION (See Note 12)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | | Nine Months | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------------|------|-------------|--------|-------------|------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | \$22.0 | \$31.6 | \$ 9.6 | 30 % | \$35.0 | \$93.5 | \$58.5 | 63 % |
| Effective tax rate | 23.4% | 32.5% | 9.1 | pp | 16.5% | 30.9% | 14.4 | pp |

Our estimated annual effective income tax rate for 2014 was favorably impacted by the settlement of US federal audits in December 2013, as well as the expiration of the statute of limitations for 2010 in June 2014 that reduced our tax provision by \$29.6 million and \$9.4 million, respectively. These tax benefits were partially offset by the adverse impact of nondeductible foreign currency losses related to the Argentine peso devaluation in January 2014.

Our estimated annual effective tax rate for 2013 was favorably impacted by an increase in our manufacturing deduction and certain favorable discrete tax items of \$5.9 million, including the expiration of the statute of limitations in certain foreign jurisdictions and a retroactive reinstatement of the R&D tax credit.

In general, differences between our effective tax rate and the US federal statutory rate of 35% principally result from the geographical distribution of taxable income, differences between the book and tax treatment of certain permanent items, and changes in unrecognized tax benefits.

BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS—North America (See Note 16)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Total Revenues | \$374.7 | \$461.0 | \$ (86.3) -19% | \$1,216.4 | \$1,346.0 | \$ (129.6) -10% |
| Gross Margin | 61 % | 59 % | 2 pp | 59 % | 58 % | 1 pp |
| Operating Income | \$113.3 | \$131.4 | \$ (18.1) -14% | \$312.0 | \$368.0 | \$ (56.0) -15% |
| Margin | 30 % | 29 % | 1 pp | 26 % | 27 % | (1) pp |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America revenues declined driven by decreases of \$73.6 million in product sales and \$24.2 million in gaming operations, partially offset by an increase of \$11.5 million in interactive. Total gross margin improved due to higher margins in product sales and gaming operations. Operating income declined due to lower revenues, while operating margin improved with lower expenses.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America revenues declined driven by decreases of \$119.2 million in product sales and \$61.3 million in gaming operations, partially offset by an increase of \$50.9 million in interactive. Total gross margin improved as higher margin in product sales was offset by lower gaming operations margin. Operating income and margin declined due to lower revenues.

GAMING OPERATIONS—North America

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Revenues | \$189.9 | \$214.1 | \$ (24.2) -11% | \$581.7 | \$643.0 | \$ (61.3) -10% |
| Gross margin | 61 % | 60 % | 1 pp | 59 % | 61 % | (2) pp |
| Installed base units ('000) | 39.3 | 42.5 | (3.2) -8 % | 39.3 | 42.5 | (3.2) -8 % |
| MegaJackpots® (premium brand) | 20.0 | 22.5 | (2.5) -11% | 20.0 | 22.5 | (2.5) -11% |
| Lease (CDS, racino, other) | 19.3 | 20.0 | (0.7) -3 % | 19.3 | 20.0 | (0.7) -3 % |
| Yield (\$0.00) | \$52.41 | \$55.34 | \$ (2.93) -5 % | \$52.81 | \$54.85 | \$ (2.04) -4 % |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America gaming operations revenues decreased primarily due to installed base decline and lower yields, which was most significant in MegaJackpots®. Gross margin improvement was primarily due to favorable mix shift and lower depreciation expense. Yield decline was primarily due to lower play levels.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

Gaming operations decreases in revenue and yield were driven by the same factors as the quarter comparison. Gross margin decline was primarily due to lower yields, attributable to lower play levels.

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY - Form 10-Q

PRODUCT SALES—North America

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | 2014 | 2013 | Change |
| Revenues | \$111.1 | \$184.7 | \$(73.6) -40% | \$425.0 | \$544.2 | \$(119.2) -22% |
| Machines | 51.6 | 123.5 | (71.9) -58% | 248.8 | 374.1 | (125.3) -33% |
| Non-machine | 59.5 | 61.2 | (1.7) -3% | 176.2 | 170.1 | 6.1 4% |
| Gross margin | 62 % | 56 % | 6 pp | 56 % | 55 % | 1 pp |
| Machine units recognized ('000) | 4.0 | 10.0 | (6.0) -60% | 19.3 | 28.3 | (9.0) -32% |
| Machine ASP ('000) | \$12.9 | \$12.4 | \$0.5 4% | \$12.9 | \$13.2 | \$(0.3) -2% |
| Machine units shipped ('000) | 4.0 | 10.3 | (6.3) -61% | 19.3 | 28.3 | (9.0) -32% |
| New/expansion | 1.4 | 2.5 | (1.1) -44% | 7.2 | 6.9 | 0.3 4% |
| Replacement | 2.6 | 7.8 | (5.2) -67% | 12.1 | 21.4 | (9.3) -43% |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America product sales revenue decline was driven primarily by lower machine unit demand. The decrease was most significant in replacement units, as the prior year quarter included 3,300 VLT units under large government contracts in Canada. Additionally, new machines in the prior year quarter included 1,300 Illinois units versus 400 in the current quarter. Non-machine revenues decreased due to a decline in parts/other and systems sales, partially offset by an increase in IP license fees. ASP increased primarily due to a greater mix of higher-priced units, when compared to the large prior year replacement contracts in Canada of lower-priced VLT units. Gross margin improvement was primarily attributed to favorable product mix and increased high-margin license fees.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America product sales revenue decline was driven primarily by lower replacement machine units recognized. The decrease in replacement units was primarily due to 8,400 VLT replacement units in the prior year period under large government contracts in Canada, partially offset by an increase of 2,200 poker replacement units in the current nine months under a multi-property corporate contract. Non-machine revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$4.2 million in systems. ASP declined, primarily due to a greater mix of lower-priced units with volume discounts. Gross margin improved primarily due to favorable product mix.

INTERACTIVE—North America

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------|-------------|---------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change | 2014 | 2013 | Change |
| Revenues | \$73.7 | \$62.2 | \$11.5 18% | \$209.7 | \$158.8 | \$50.9 32% |
| Social gaming | 71.8 | 61.4 | 10.4 17% | 205.4 | 157.1 | 48.3 31% |
| IGTi | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 138% | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.6 153% |
| Gross margin | 63 % | 63 % | - pp | 62 % | 62 % | - pp |
| DoubleDown average user metrics | | | | | | |
| DAU ('000) | 1,833 | 1,690 | 143 8% | 1,774 | 1,613 | 161 10% |
| MAU ('000) | 5,975 | 6,658 | (683) -10% | 6,130 | 5,950 | 180 3% |
| Bookings per DAU (\$0.00) | \$0.43 | \$0.40 | \$0.03 7% | \$0.42 | \$0.36 | \$0.06 17% |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America interactive revenues increased due to continued growth in social gaming. Our DoubleDown Casino® social gaming revenues continue to build with increases in both DAU and bookings per DAU. This growth was driven by the ongoing roll-out of new content to the DoubleDown Casino® and effective player marketing strategies. Mobile comprised 34% of social gaming bookings compared to 25% in the prior year quarter.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

North America interactive revenues increased driven by the same factors as the quarter, with mobile comprising 31% of bookings compared to 20% in the prior year period.

BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS—International (See Note 16)

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Total Revenues | \$92.9 | \$118.0 | \$ (25.1) -21% | \$305.2 | \$363.2 | \$ (58.0) -16% |
| Gross Margin | 59 % | 57 % | 2 pp | 56 % | 55 % | 1 pp |
| Operating Income | \$19.0 | \$23.4 | \$ (4.4) -19% | \$48.2 | \$83.6 | \$ (35.4) -42% |
| Margin | 20 % | 20 % | - pp | 16 % | 23 % | (7) pp |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International revenues declined in all categories, most significantly in product sales with a decrease of 25%. Gross margin improvement was due to increases in gaming operations and product sales margins. Operating income declined due to lower revenues, while operating margin was maintained due to lower expenses.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International revenues declined in all categories, most significantly in product sales with a decrease of 18%. Changes in foreign currency exchange negatively impacted revenues by \$12.2 million. Gross margin improvement was due to higher margins in gaming operations and interactive, partially offset by decline in product sales margin. Operating income and operating margin decline was attributable to lower revenue coupled with higher expenses, primarily bad debt provisions and business realignment charges.

GAMING OPERATIONS—International

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Revenues | \$27.7 | \$33.2 | \$ (5.5) -17% | \$89.3 | \$101.3 | \$ (12.0) -12% |
| Gross margin | 71 % | 69 % | 2 pp | 74 % | 69 % | 5 pp |
| Installed base units ('000) | 11.2 | 14.2 | (3.0) -21% | 11.2 | 14.2 | (3.0) -21% |
| MegaJackpots® (premium brand) | 3.3 | 3.3 | - 0 % | 3.3 | 3.3 | - 0 % |
| Lease (CDS, racino, other) | 7.9 | 10.9 | (3.0) -28% | 7.9 | 10.9 | (3.0) -28% |
| Yield (\$0.00) | \$25.04 | \$25.76 | \$ (0.72) -3 % | \$26.76 | \$26.55 | \$0.21 1 % |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International gaming operations revenue decreased primarily due to installed base decline in lease operations, as well as lower yield in Megajackpots®. Gross margin increased primarily due to lower depreciation expense. Installed base decline was driven primarily by lease to for-sale unit conversions in Mexico. Yield declined due to lower play levels and unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International gaming operations revenue decreased and gross margin increased for reasons similar as the quarter. Installed base decline was driven primarily by lease to for-sale unit conversions and casino closures in Mexico. Yield improved due to the removal of lower-yielding units in Mexico, partially offset by lower play levels and unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates.

PRODUCT SALES—International

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Revenues | \$55.8 | \$74.5 | \$ (18.7) -25% | \$188.1 | \$228.7 | \$ (40.6) -18% |
| Machines | 35.6 | 54.4 | (18.8) -35% | 121.3 | 163.7 | (42.4) -26% |
| Non-machine | 20.2 | 20.1 | 0.1 0 % | 66.8 | 65.0 | 1.8 3 % |
| Gross margin | 52 % | 50 % | 2 pp | 48 % | 49 % | (1) pp |
| Machine units recognized ('000) | 3.3 | 3.4 | (0.1) -3 % | 8.7 | 10.1 | (1.4) -14% |
| Machine ASP ('000) | \$10.7 | \$16.1 | \$ (5.4) -34% | \$13.9 | \$16.2 | \$ (2.3) -14% |
| Machine units shipped ('000) | 3.1 | 3.3 | (0.2) -6 % | 8.4 | 8.9 | (0.5) -6 % |
| New/expansion | 0.3 | 0.9 | (0.6) -67% | 1.5 | 2.7 | (1.2) -44% |
| Replacement | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.4 17 % | 6.9 | 6.2 | 0.7 11 % |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International product sales revenue decreased primarily due to lower ASP and lower demand in several regions. ASP decreased primarily due to a higher mix of lower-priced machine conversion units in Mexico. Non-machine revenues improved slightly with an increase in systems, partially offset by a decrease in parts sales. Gross margin improved primarily due to favorable product mix and lower non-standard costs.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International product sales revenue decreased primarily due to lower machine unit volume, as well as unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates. Unit decline was most significant in Latin America. Non-machine revenues increased primarily due to an increase in system sales, partially offset by lower parts/other. Gross margin declined primarily due to unfavorable product mix. ASP declined primarily due to a higher mix of lower-priced machines in Mexico.

INTERACTIVE—International

| Periods Ended June 30, | Third Quarters | | | Nine Months | | |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e | 2014 | 2013 | C h a n g e |
| Revenues - IGTi | \$9.4 | \$10.3 | \$ (0.9) -9% | \$27.8 | \$33.2 | \$ (5.4) -16% |
| Gross margin | 65 % | 65 % | - pp | 61 % | 58 % | 3 pp |

Quarters ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International IGTi revenues declined primarily due to discontinued lower-performing product offerings.

Nine months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 compared

International IGTi revenues declined with an improved gross margin, primarily due to discontinued lower-performing product offerings that carried higher royalty and operational costs.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY

At June 30, 2014, our principal sources of liquidity were cash and equivalents and amounts available under our revolving bank credit facility discussed below under CREDIT FACILITIES AND INDEBTEDNESS. Other potential sources of capital include, but are not limited to, the issuance of debt and equity securities (see Note 19). Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe the combination of these resources will satisfy our needs for working capital, jackpot liabilities, capital expenditures, debt service, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations into the foreseeable future.

Selected Financial Information and Statistics

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 235.6 | \$ 713.3 | \$ (477.7) |
| Accounts receivable, net | 295.5 | 348.6 | (53.1) |
| Inventories | 89.5 | 90.1 | (0.6) |
| Working Capital | 691.1 | 267.5 | 423.6 |
| Trailing-twelve month statistics: | | | |
| Days sales outstanding (excluding contracts and notes) | 50 | 54 | (4) |
| Inventory turns | 4.9 | 5.8 | (0.9) |

Cash and equivalents decreased during the nine months ended June 30, 2014 primarily due to \$225.6 million in net debt payments, \$211.4 million cash used for share repurchases, \$185.0 million for prepaid licensing rights, \$140.4 million for acquisition-related contingent payments, \$80.5 million for dividends, and \$69.1 million for capital expenditures. These cash expenditures were primarily offset by cash generated from operations before the licensing prepayment and acquisition-related payments of \$330.7 million and net investing proceeds of \$91.5 million. Restricted cash and investments and jackpot annuity investments are used for funding jackpot winner payments and online player deposits.

In March 2014, we invested \$185.0 million, and committed to pay an additional \$5.0 million before Sept 30, 2014, as well as \$37.6 million ratably over the next ten years, to secure long-term licensing rights for Wheel of Fortune® and Jeopardy®. This new arrangement extended our global land-based rights through 2024 and expanded our rights to include social gaming and online real-money wagering in the US.

Payments of \$140.4 million for acquisition-related contingent consideration were comprised of \$58.3 million for retention bonuses, \$60.1 million for earn-out, and \$22.0 million for release of an indemnification holdback. Payments of \$56.1 million, related to earn-out and an indemnification holdback accrued at acquisition, were included in financing cash flows. The remaining \$84.3 million, related to retention and earn-out accrued subsequent to acquisition, was included in operating cash flows within the net change of accounts payable and other liabilities.

Foreign subsidiary operations held 48% of our cash and equivalents at June 30, 2014, of which \$8.5 million was held in Argentina pesos, and 17% at September 30, 2013. We recorded a currency exchange loss of \$4.4 million as a result of the Argentina peso currency devaluation in January 2014.

Working capital increased primarily due to the maturity of our 3.25% Convertible Notes in May 2014. Inventory turns decreased due to lower sales volume. Days sales outstanding decreased due to lower revenues and accounts receivable.

Cash Flows Summary

| Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 | Favorable (Unfavorable) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|
| Operations | \$61.4 | \$335.2 | \$ (273.8) |
| Investing | 22.4 | (11.1) | 33.5 |
| Financing | (559.9) | (293.9) | (266.0) |
| Effects of exchange rates | (1.6) | (7.2) | 5.6 |
| Net change in cash and equivalents | \$(477.7) | \$23.0 | \$ (500.7) |

Operating cash flows decreased primarily due to cash used for prepaid licensing rights of \$185.0 million and acquisition-related contingent payments of \$84.3 million. Reduced cash used for investing was primarily due to increased proceeds of \$28.9 million from investment securities and lower capital expenditures (see table below). Increased cash used for financing was primarily due to increases of \$130.4 million used for share repurchases, \$82.4 million in net debt payments and \$28.2 million used for acquisition-related contingent payments. See Note 14 for more information about our share repurchases.

| Nine Months Ended June 30, | 2014 | 2013 | Increase (Decrease) |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Property, plant and equipment | \$20.5 | \$18.7 | \$ 1.8 |
| Gaming operations equipment | 45.8 | 78.1 | (32.3) |
| Intellectual property | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$69.1 | \$97.1 | \$ (28.0) |

CREDIT FACILITIES and INDEBTEDNESS (See Note 10)

At June 30, 2014, \$625.0 million was outstanding on our \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility, \$353.5 million was available, and \$21.5 million was reserved for letters of credit, performance bonds, and bank guarantees. We were in compliance with all debt covenants at June 30, 2014, with an interest coverage ratio of 8.6:1 and a net funded debt leverage ratio of 2.9:1.

The credit facility interest rates and facility fees are based on our public debt ratings or our net funded debt to EBITDA ratio, whichever is more favorable to IGT. Net funded debt is defined as debt minus any unrestricted cash and investments in excess of \$150.0 million. At June 30, 2014, the credit facility carried an interest rate of LIBOR plus 100 bps and a facility fee of 17.5 bps. Following the GTECH merger announcement, Standard and Poor's downgraded IGT's credit rating to BBB- on July 17, 2014.

The credit facility carries no limitations on shares repurchases or dividend payments provided no default exists and includes the following covenants (all terms as defined in the facility documents):

- a minimum ratio of 3.0 adjusted EBITDA to interest expense (interest coverage ratio)
- a maximum ratio of 3.5 for net funded debt to adjusted EBITDA (net funded debt leverage ratio)
- certain restrictions on our ability to:
 - pledge the securities of our subsidiaries
 - permit our subsidiaries to incur or guaranty additional debt, or enter into swap agreements
 - incur liens
 - merge with or acquire other companies, liquidate or dissolve
 - sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all assets
 - change the nature of our business

The facility specifies a number of events of default (some of which are subject to applicable grace or cure periods), including failure to make timely principal and interest payments or satisfy the covenants. An event of default, if not cured, could cause the entire outstanding borrowings under the credit facility to become immediately due and payable, lenders may cease making loans and/or terminate commitments, and cross-default provisions may be triggered in other debt issuances.

3.25% Convertible Notes

At maturity on May 1, 2014, these notes were redeemed using a combination of cash on hand and borrowings on our revolving credit facility. For additional information, see Item 8—Note 12 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

| | June 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | \$ 4,057.4 | \$ 4,612.8 | \$ (555.4) |
| Liabilities | 2,892.0 | 3,358.7 | (466.7) |
| Total Equity | 1,165.4 | 1,254.1 | (88.7) |

Changes During The Nine Months Ended June 30, 2014

Total assets decreased primarily related to reductions in cash and investments of \$510.1 million mostly related to net debt payments, share repurchases, and dividends. Total liabilities decreased primarily related to reductions in net debt of \$205.2 million and other liabilities, in part related to acquisition-related payments of \$140.4 million. Total equity decreased primarily due to share repurchases partially offset by earnings.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Except for the maturity of our 3.25% Convertible Notes on May 1, 2014 discussed above, there has been no significant changes in our contractual obligations and arrangements with off-balance sheet risk since those presented in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013. See Note 11.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS OR UPDATES (See Note 1)

There are no recently issued accounting standards or updates expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Our consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with US GAAP. Accordingly, we are required to make estimates incorporating judgments and assumptions we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, observance of known trends in our company and the industry as a whole, as well as information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect amounts recorded in the financial statements and actual results may differ from initial estimates.

The following accounting estimates are the most sensitive to changes from external factors and critical to understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, as they require subjective or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain or variable. There has been no significant changes since those presented in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013.

- Revenue Recognition
- Goodwill, Other Intangible Assets, and Royalties
- Jackpot Liabilities and Expenses
- Inventory and Gaming Operations Equipment
- Income Taxes

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company's market risk profile has not changed materially during the first nine months of 2014 since those presented in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures. We recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving desired control objectives. Judgment is required when designing and evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of potential controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, with the supervision and participation of management, including our CEO and CFO, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As a part of our normal operations, we update our internal controls as necessary to accommodate any modifications to our business processes or accounting procedures. No changes occurred during the most recent quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a description of our material legal proceedings, see Note 11, which is incorporated by reference in response to this item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ from expectations are described under Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013 and as set forth below.

Completion of the merger transaction with GTECH is subject to certain conditions, and if these conditions are not satisfied or waived, the mergers will not be completed.

The obligations of GTECH and IGT to complete the mergers are subject to satisfaction or waiver of a number of conditions, including, among other conditions, (i) IGT and GTECH obtaining shareholder approvals, (ii) expiration or termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and obtaining certain other antitrust approvals, (iii) obtaining certain gaming regulatory approvals, (iv) effectiveness of the registration statement for the NewCo shares, (v) NYSE listing approval for the NewCo shares, (vi) the expiration or early termination of a sixty-day GTECH creditor opposition period, (viii) the absence of any order prohibiting or restraining the mergers, (ix) subject to certain materiality exceptions, the accuracy of each party's representations and warranties in the merger agreement and performance by each party of their respective obligations under the merger agreement, (x) the receipt of a merger order relating to the NewCo merger from the High Court of England and Wales and (xi) in the case of IGT's obligation to close the IGT merger, IGT's receipt of a tax opinion.

The satisfaction of all of the required conditions could delay the completion of the mergers for a significant period of time or prevent it from occurring. Any delay in completing the mergers could cause the combined company not to realize some or all of the benefits that the combined company expects to achieve if the mergers are successfully completed within its expected timeframe. Further, there can be no assurance that the conditions to the closing of the mergers will be satisfied or waived or that the mergers will be completed.

In addition, if the mergers are not completed on or before July 15, 2015 (subject to certain extension rights), either GTECH or IGT may choose not to proceed with the mergers. IGT may also terminate the merger agreement under certain circumstances, including among others in order to enter into an agreement with respect to a proposal that is determined by the IGT board of directors to be superior to the merger agreement, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement (including an opportunity for GTECH to match any such proposal). GTECH may also terminate the merger agreement under certain circumstances, including among others (i) if GTECH shareholders exercise rescission rights under Italian law in respect of more than 20% of GTECH's shares outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement, (ii) if NewCo would, as a result of a change in applicable law, be treated as a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes as of or after the closing or (iii) if the special voting shares provided for by the NewCo articles of association cannot be implemented under certain circumstances.

Failure to complete the mergers could negatively impact the stock price and the future business and financial results of IGT.

If the mergers are not completed for any reason, including as a result of IGT stockholders failing to approve the merger agreement, the ongoing businesses of IGT may be adversely affected and, without realizing any of the benefits of having completed the mergers, IGT would be subject to a number of risks, including the following:

• under the merger agreement, IGT may be required, under certain circumstances, to pay GTECH a termination fee of approximately \$135.3 million or reimburse GTECH for certain expenses;

under the merger agreement, IGT is subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of its business prior to completing the transaction, which may adversely affect its ability to execute certain of its business strategies;

IGT has incurred and will continue to incur significant costs and fees associated with the proposed transaction;

IGT may experience negative reactions from the financial markets, including negative impacts on its stock price;

IGT may experience negative reactions from its customers, regulators and employees; and

matters relating to the mergers (including integration planning) will require substantial commitments of time and

resources by IGT management, which would otherwise have been devoted to day-to-day operations and other

opportunities that may have been beneficial to IGT as an independent company.

44

In addition, IGT could be subject to litigation related to any failure to complete the mergers or related to any enforcement proceeding commenced against IGT to perform its obligations under the merger agreement. If the mergers are not completed, these risks may materialize and may adversely affect IGT's businesses, financial condition, financial results and stock price.

Legal proceedings in connection with the mergers, the outcomes of which are uncertain, could delay or prevent the completion of the mergers.

IGT, the members of the IGT board of directors, GTECH, NewCo and its subsidiary, Georgia Worldwide Corporation, are named as defendants in multiple putative class action lawsuits brought by purported IGT stockholders challenging the proposed mergers. The actions allege that members of the IGT board of directors breached their fiduciary duties by agreeing to sell IGT for inadequate consideration and pursuant to an inadequate process, and that GTECH, NewCo and Georgia Worldwide Corporation aided and abetted these alleged breaches. Among other remedies, the plaintiffs seek to enjoin the mergers.

One of the conditions to the closing of the mergers is that no temporary restraining order, preliminary or permanent injunction or other order issued by any court of competent jurisdiction or other legal restraint or prohibition preventing the consummation of the mergers shall be in effect. As such, if the plaintiffs are successful in obtaining an injunction prohibiting the defendants from consummating the mergers on the agreed upon terms, then such injunction may prevent the mergers from becoming effective, or from becoming effective within the expected timeframe.

IGT's business relationships may be subject to disruption due to uncertainty associated with the mergers.

Parties with which IGT does business may experience uncertainty associated with the transaction, including with respect to current or future business relationships with GTECH, IGT or the combined company. IGT's business relationships may be subject to disruption as customers, distributors, suppliers, vendors and others may attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships or consider entering into business relationships with parties other than GTECH, IGT or the combined company. These disruptions could have an adverse effect on the businesses, financial condition, results of operations or prospects of the combined company, including an adverse effect on the combined company's ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the mergers. The risk and adverse effect of such disruptions could be exacerbated by a delay in completion of the mergers or termination of the merger agreement. In addition, employee retention may be particularly challenging during the pendency of the mergers, as employees of IGT may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined company.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Share Repurchases

The purpose of our common stock repurchase plan is to increase shareholder value and to reduce outstanding share count dilution. On June 13, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized share repurchases of up to \$1.0 billion with no expiration date specified (see Note 19). We may use open market or privately negotiated transactions, as well as Rule 10b5-1 trading plans, depending on market conditions and other factors. Total shares purchased during the 2014 third quarter included 7,500 net shares tendered by employees at vesting for tax withholding obligations.

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as part of a Publicly Announced Plan | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares Still Available for Purchase Under the Plan |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| 2014 Third Quarter (in millions, except price per share) | | | | |
| March 30 - April 26, 2014 | - | - | - | \$ 209.7 |
| April 27 - May 24, 2014 | - | - | - | 209.7 |
| May 25 - June 28, 2014 | - | - | - | 209.7 |

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

None

46

Item 6. Exhibits

Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 15, 2014, among GTECH S.p.A., GTECH Corporation, Georgia
2.1 Worldwide Limited, Georgia Worldwide Corporation and International Game Technology (incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 18, 2014)

Support Agreement, dated as of July 15, 2014, among International Game Technology, De Agostini S.p.A. and
10.1 DeA Partecipazioni S.p.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K
filed on July 18, 2014)

Voting Agreement, dated as of July 15, 2014, among International Game Technology, Georgia Worldwide
10.2 Limited, De Agostini S.p.A. and DeA Partecipazioni S.p.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to
Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 18, 2014)

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to
section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to
section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C.
Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a – 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C.
Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 6, 2014

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY

By: /s/ John Vandemore
John Vandemore
Chief Financial Officer
and Treasurer
(Principal Financial
Officer)