Hyatt Hotels Corp Form 10-Q October 30, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-34521

#### HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware 20-1480589 (State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

71 South Wacker Drive

12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

60606

(312) 750-1234

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

As of October 25, 2013, there were 44,035,369 shares of the registrant's Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding and 112,527,463 shares of the registrant's Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

# HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>34</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>56</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>57</u>
	PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>58</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>58</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>59</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>59</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>59</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>60</u>
Signatures		<u>61</u>
-		

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.
HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In millions of dollars, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months September 30, 2013		Nine Months E September 30, 2013	
REVENUES:	Φ.501	<b>4.702</b>	Φ1.505	φ1. <b>7</b> 04
Owned and leased hotels	\$521	\$503	\$1,585	\$1,504
Management and franchise fees	77	68	248	227
Other revenues	22	22	63	59
Other revenues from managed properties	406	384	1,197	1,159
Total revenues	1,026	977	3,093	2,949
DIRECT AND SELLING, GENERAL, AND				
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:				
Owned and leased hotels	399	382	1,203	1,148
Depreciation and amortization	81	88	254	263
Other direct costs	10	8	25	21
Selling, general, and administrative	77	75	236	238
Other costs from managed properties	406	384	1,197	1,159
Direct and selling, general, and administrative expenses	973	937	2,915	2,829
Net gains and interest income from marketable securities	3 12	8	22	18
held to fund operating programs	12	0	22	10
Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	16	(5)	10	(6 )
Interest expense	(15)	(18)	(48)	(53)
Asset impairments	_	_	(11)	_
Gains on sales of real estate	26		125	
Other income (loss), net	2	(5)	(12)	12
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	94	20	264	91
(PROVISION) BENEFIT FOR INCOME TAXES	(39)	3	(89)	(19)
NET INCOME	55	23	175	72
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO				
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS				
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO HYATT	A = =	4.22	<b>4.77</b>	<b>4.72</b>
HOTELS CORPORATION	\$55	\$23	\$175	\$72
EARNINGS PER SHARE - Basic				
Net income	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
EARNINGS PER SHARE - Diluted	Ψ 0.00	Ψ 0.11.	Ψ1.10	Ψ 0
Net income	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated final			,	,

# HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In millions of dollars) (Unaudited)

	Three Months September 30, 2013		Nine Months September 30 2013	Ended, September 30, 2012
Net income	\$55	\$23	\$175	\$72
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of income				
tax of \$- and \$(3) for the three months ended and \$- and	16	28	(10)	23
\$(2) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and	10	20	(10 )	23
2012, respectively				
Unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net of				
income tax of \$- and \$- for the three months ended and		1	_	2
\$- and \$1 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013	3	1		2
and 2012, respectively				
Other comprehensive income (loss)	16	29	(10)	25
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	71	52	165	97
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO				
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS				
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO	\$71	\$52	\$165	\$97
HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION	Ψ/Ι	Ψ32	Ψ105	ΨΖΙ

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions of dollars, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$774	\$413
Restricted cash	434	72
Short-term investments	38	514
Receivables, net of allowances of \$12 and \$11 at September 30, 2013 and	290	531
December 31, 2012, respectively	7.1	0.0
Inventories	71	80
Prepaids and other assets	113	83
Prepaid income taxes	19	12
Deferred tax assets	17	19
Assets held for sale	_	34
Total current assets	1,756	1,758
Investments	473	283
Property and equipment, net	3,827	4,139
Financing receivables, net of allowances	125	126
Goodwill	133	133
Intangibles, net	529	388
Deferred tax assets	142	183
Other assets	884	620
TOTAL ASSETS	\$7,869	\$7,630
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$23	\$4
Accounts payable	117	138
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	411	338
Accrued compensation and benefits	128	137
Liabilities held for sale		1
Total current liabilities	679	618
Long-term debt	1,283	1,229
Other long-term liabilities	1,154	962
Total liabilities	3,116	2,809
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 11) EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized and		
none outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012		
Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 1,000,000,000 shares	2	2
authorized, 44,018,779 outstanding and 44,055,052 issued at September 30,		
2013, Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 444,521,875 shares		
authorized, 112,527,463 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013		
and Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 1,000,000,000 shares		
authorized, 46,631,778 outstanding and 46,668,051 issued at December 31,		
2012, Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 448,985,467 shares		
,		

authorized 115,434,342 shares issued and outstanding at December 3	31, 2012		
Additional paid-in capital	3,030	3,263	
Retained earnings	1,789	1,614	
Treasury stock at cost, 36,273 shares at September 30, 2013 and Dec 2012	cember 31, (1	) (1	)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(77	) (67	)
Total stockholders' equity	4,743	4,811	
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	10	10	
Total equity	4,753	4,821	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$7,869	\$7,630	
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statement	ents.		
3			

# HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions of dollars) (Unaudited)

		nths Ended er 30, September 2012	er 30,
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$175	\$72	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	254	263	
Deferred income taxes	41	(18	)
Asset impairments	11		
Equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures and distributions received	23	21	
Income from cost method investments	(4	) —	
Foreign currency losses	4	3	
Gains on sales of real estate	(125	) —	
Realized gains from other marketable securities	(1	) (9	)
Net unrealized gains from other marketable securities	_	(8	)
Working capital changes and other	(22	) 42	
Net cash provided by operating activities	356	366	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of marketable securities and short-term investments	(246	) (283	)
Proceeds from marketable securities and short-term investments	683	351	
Contributions to investments	(416	) (52	)
Proceeds from sale of investments	_	52	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(85	) (180	)
Capital expenditures	(150	) (210	)
Issuance of financing receivable		(53	)
Proceeds from financing receivables	278	2	
Proceeds from sales of real estate and assets held for sale	495		
Sales proceeds transferred to escrow as restricted cash	(422	) —	
Sales proceeds transferred from escrow to cash and cash equivalents	71	_	
Increase in restricted cash - investing	(19	) (19	)
Other investing activities	(11	) (27	)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	178	(419	)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from long-term debt, net of issuance costs of \$3 million	388	_	
Repayments of long-term debt	(304	) —	
Repurchase of common stock	(252	) (33	)
Other financing activities	(5	) 3	
Net cash used in financing activities	(173	) (30	)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	_	(5	)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	361	(88)	)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—BEGINNING OF YEAR	413	534	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—END OF PERIOD	\$774	\$446	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$61	\$64	

Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$67	\$37
Non-cash operating activities are as follows:		
Non-cash performance guarantee (see Note 11)	\$126	\$—
Non-cash investing activities are as follows:		
Non-cash contract acquisition costs (see Note 7)	\$126	\$—
Change in accrued capital expenditures	\$(4	) \$(35)

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (amounts in millions of dollars, unless otherwise indicated) (Unaudited)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION

Hyatt Hotels Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries ("Hyatt Hotels Corporation") provide hospitality services on a worldwide basis through the management, franchising and ownership of hospitality related businesses. As of September 30, 2013, we operated or franchised 270 full service, Hyatt-branded hotels, consisting of 109,550 rooms throughout the world. We hold ownership interests in certain of these hotels. As of September 30, 2013, we operated or franchised 240 select service, Hyatt-branded hotels with 32,042 rooms, of which 238 hotels are located in the United States. We operate these hotels in 47 countries throughout the world. We hold ownership interests in certain of these hotels. We develop, operate, manage, license or provide services to Hyatt-branded timeshare, fractional and other forms of residential or vacation properties.

As used in these Notes and throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the terms "Company," "HHC," "we," "us," or "our" mean Hyatt Hotels Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information, the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all information or footnotes required by GAAP for complete annual financial statements. As a result, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 (the "2012 Form 10-K"). We have eliminated all intercompany transactions in our condensed consolidated financial statements. We consolidate entities for which we either have a controlling financial interest or are considered to be the primary beneficiary. Management believes that the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are all of a normal recurring nature, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods.

#### 2. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

#### **Adopted Accounting Standards**

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") released Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-10 ("ASU 2011-10"), Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360): Derecognition of in Substance Real Estate-a Scope Clarification (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). ASU 2011-10 clarifies when a parent (reporting entity) ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of default on the subsidiary's nonrecourse debt, the reporting entity should apply the guidance for Real Estate Sales (Subtopic 360-20). The provisions of ASU 2011-10 are effective for public companies for fiscal years and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-10 did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11 ("ASU 2011-11"), Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities and in January 2013 the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-01 ("ASU 2013-01"), Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 requires companies to provide new disclosures about offsetting and related arrangements for financial instruments and derivatives. ASU 2013-01 clarified the scope of ASU 2011-11. The provisions of ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and are required to be applied retrospectively. The adoption of ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02 ("ASU 2012-02"), Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU 2012-02 gives companies the option to perform a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the indefinite-lived

intangible asset. Under the guidance in ASU 2012-02, if this option is selected, a company is not required to calculate the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible unless the entity determines it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The provisions of ASU 2012-02 are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, but early adoption was permitted. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 did not materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02 ("ASU 2013-02"), Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-02 requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The provisions of ASU 2013-02 are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 resulted in additional disclosure within our equity footnote.

#### Future Adoption of Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-04 ("ASU 2013-04"), Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). ASU 2013-04 requires an entity to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The provisions of ASU 2013-04 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. When adopted, ASU 2013-04 is not expected to materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-05 ("ASU 2013-05"), Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). ASU 2013-05 requires that when a reporting entity (parent) ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a nonprofit activity or a business within a foreign entity, the parent is required to release any related cumulative translation adjustment into net income. The provisions of ASU 2013-05 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. When adopted, ASU 2013-05 is not expected to materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB released Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-11 ("ASU 2013-11"), Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). ASU 2013-11 requires an entity to present an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. The provisions of ASU 2013-11 are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. When adopted, ASU 2013-11 is not expected to materially impact our condensed consolidated financial statements.

### 3. EQUITY AND COST METHOD INVESTMENTS

We have investments that are recorded under both the equity and cost methods of accounting. These investments are considered to be an integral part of our business and are strategically and operationally important to our overall results. Our equity and cost method investment balances recorded at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Equity method investments	\$402	\$212
Cost method investments	71	71
Total investments	\$473	\$283
6		

During the third quarter of 2013, a wholly owned Hyatt subsidiary invested \$325 million in Playa Hotels & Resorts B.V. ("Playa"), a company that has been formed to own, operate and develop all-inclusive resorts. Playa's current portfolio consists of 13 all-inclusive resorts totaling approximately 5,800 rooms across the Dominican Republic, Mexico and Jamaica. In connection with our investment, Hyatt has entered into franchise agreements for six of the 13 all-inclusive resorts, or approximately 2,800 rooms, which will operate as Hyatt-branded resorts. Under an agreement with Hyatt, Playa will have certain exclusive rights to operate Hyatt-branded all-inclusive resorts in five Latin American and Caribbean countries through 2018. Playa issued Hyatt \$100 million in common shares and \$225 million in preferred shares. Our investment in common shares gives us an ownership interest of 21.8%, which has been classified as an equity method investment. The \$225 million preferred shares have been classified as an available for sale debt security and recorded in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. See Note 4 for further discussion of our preferred investment.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we invested an additional \$66 million in an equity method hospitality venture that was established to develop, own and operate a hotel property in the state of Hawaii. The hotel opened during the third quarter of 2013.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, a joint venture in which we held an interest and classified as an equity method investment, sold the hotel it owned and dissolved the venture. The venture was included in our owned and leased hotel segment. As a result of this transaction, we received a \$5 million distribution, which was recorded as a deferred gain and will be amortized over the remaining life of our management agreement for the hotel. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we sold our interest in two joint ventures classified as equity method investments, which were included in our owned and leased segment, to a third party for \$52 million. Each venture owns a hotel that we currently manage. At the time of the sale we signed agreements with the third party purchaser to extend our existing management agreements for the hotels owned by the ventures by ten years. A \$28 million pre-tax gain on the sale was deferred and will be amortized over the life of the extended management agreements.

Income from cost method investments included in other income (loss), net in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 represents an insignificant and \$4 million preferred return, respectively. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included insignificant income from cost method investments.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded \$1 million in impairment charges in equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures related to a vacation ownership property.

The following table presents summarized financial information for all unconsolidated ventures in which we hold an investment that is accounted for under the equity method.

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended Septem	
	30,		30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Total revenues	\$246	\$268	\$721	\$762
Gross operating profit	78	93	236	246
Income from continuing operations	28	11	24	14
Net income	28	11	24	14

Subsequent to the issuance of the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2013, the Company identified an error in the underlying accounting for foreign currency translation for a joint venture in which the Company has an ownership interest. The error impacts the Company's share of equity in earnings of this equity method investment. As of December 31, 2012, the cumulative impact to equity from these errors was a decrease of \$10 million, which included an increase of \$9 million to beginning retained earnings and a decrease of \$19 million to accumulated other comprehensive loss. The cumulative impact of these errors to investments as of December 31, 2012 was a decrease of \$10 million. Additionally, equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures was understated by an insignificant amount for each of the three and nine months ended

September 30, 2012. The Company expects to likewise correct previously presented historical financial statements to be included in future filings, including the annual financial statements to be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2013.

#### 4. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). GAAP establishes a valuation hierarchy for prioritizing the inputs that places greater emphasis on the use of observable market inputs and less emphasis on unobservable inputs. When determining fair value, an entity is required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of the hierarchy are as follows: Level One—Fair values based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities; Level Two—Fair values based on quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in inactive markets for identical assets and liabilities, and inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable for the asset or liability;

Level Three—Fair values based on inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. Valuation techniques could include the use of discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

We have various financial instruments that are measured at fair value including certain marketable securities and derivative instruments. We currently do not have non-financial assets or non-financial liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

We utilize the market approach and income approach for valuing our financial instruments. The market approach utilizes prices and information generated by market transactions involving identical or similar assets and liabilities and the income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts (for example, cash flows or earnings) to a single present amount (discounted). For instances in which the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the classification of fair value assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had the following financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	September 30, 2013	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Marketable securities recorded in				
cash and cash equivalents				
Interest bearing money market funds	\$67	\$ 67	\$—	\$—
Marketable securities included in				
short-term investments, prepaids and				
other assets, and other assets				
Mutual funds	314	314	_	_
Equity securities	8	8		_
U.S. government obligations	120	_	120	_
U.S. government agencies	48	_	48	_
Corporate debt securities	109	_	109	_
Mortgage-backed securities	20	_	20	_
Asset-backed securities	17	_	17	_
Municipal and provincial notes and bonds	3	_	3	_
Preferred shares	225	_	_	225
Derivative instruments				
Foreign currency forward contracts	(7)	_	(7)	_
	,		,	
	December 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Marketable securities recorded in cash		Active Markets for Identical Assets	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs
Marketable securities recorded in cash and cash equivalents		Active Markets for Identical Assets	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds		Active Markets for Identical Assets	Observable Inputs	Unobservable Inputs
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in	2012	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds	2012	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in	<ul><li>2012</li><li>\$117</li></ul>	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds	<ul><li>2012</li><li>\$117</li><li>275</li></ul>	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities	2012 \$117 275 10	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  — —	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations	2012 \$117 275 10 111	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  —  —  111	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies	2012 \$117 275 10	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  — —	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities	2012 \$117 275 10 111	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  —  —  111	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities Mortgage-backed securities Asset-backed securities	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68 540	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  111 68 540	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities Mortgage-backed securities Asset-backed securities Municipal and provincial notes and	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68 540 22 10	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities Mortgage-backed securities Asset-backed securities Municipal and provincial notes and bonds	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68 540 22	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—  111 68 540 22	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities Mortgage-backed securities Asset-backed securities Municipal and provincial notes and bonds Derivative instruments	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68 540 22 10	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
and cash equivalents Interest bearing money market funds Marketable securities included in short-term investments, prepaids and other assets, and other assets Mutual funds Equity securities U.S. government obligations U.S. government agencies Corporate debt securities Mortgage-backed securities Asset-backed securities Municipal and provincial notes and bonds	2012 \$117 275 10 111 68 540 22 10	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One) \$ 117	Observable Inputs (Level Two)  \$—	Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, there were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy. Our policy is to recognize transfers in and transfers out as of the end of each quarterly reporting period.

#### Marketable Securities and Other Investments

Our portfolio of marketable securities and other investments consists of various types of mutual funds, equity securities, preferred shares and fixed income securities, including U.S. Treasury securities, government agencies, municipal, provincial and corporate bonds. The fair value of our mutual funds and equity securities were classified as Level One as they trade with sufficient frequency and volume to enable us to obtain pricing information on an ongoing basis. The remaining securities, other than our investment in preferred shares, were classified as Level Two due to the use and weighting of multiple market inputs being considered in the final price of the security. Market inputs include quoted market prices from active markets for identical securities, quoted market prices for identical securities in inactive markets, and quoted market prices in active and inactive markets for similar securities. Our investment in preferred shares were classified as Level Three due to the unobservable market data related to the investment.

We invest a portion of our cash balance into short-term interest bearing money market funds that have a maturity of less than ninety days. Consequently, the balances are recorded in cash and cash equivalents. The funds are held with open-ended registered investment companies and the fair value of the funds is classified as Level One as we are able to obtain market available pricing information on an ongoing basis.

The impact to net income from total gains or losses included in net gains (losses) and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs due to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was insignificant.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we invested \$225 million in Playa for preferred shares. See Note 3 for further detail regarding this transaction. Hyatt has the option to convert its preferred shares into shares of common stock at any time through the later of the second anniversary of our investment or an initial public offering by Playa. The preferred investment is redeemable at Hyatt's option beginning in August 2021 or upon Playa's initial public offering or any equity issuance by Playa. In the event of an initial public offering or other equity issuance, Hyatt has the option to redeem up to \$125 million of preferred shares. As a result, we have classified the preferred investment as an available for sale debt security and recorded the \$225 million investment in other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Investments in debt securities that are classified as available for sale shall be measured subsequently at fair value in the statement of financial position. We believe that as of September 30, 2013, the cost of our investment approximated its fair value.

Included in our portfolio of marketable securities and other investments are investments in debt and equity securities classified as available for sale. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 these were as follows:

Equity securities	Cost \$7	13 I Gross Unrealized Gain \$1	Gross Unrealized Loss \$—	Fair Value
Preferred shares	225	<del></del>	<del></del>	225
Total	\$232	\$1	<b>\$</b> —	\$233
	December 31, 201 Cost or Amortized Cost	2 I Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value
Corporate debt securities	\$443	\$8	\$(8)	\$443
U.S. government agencies and municipalities	31	_	_	31
Equity securities	9	1		10
Total	\$483	\$9	\$(8)	\$484

Gross realized gains and losses on available for sale securities were \$1 million and insignificant for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$1 million and insignificant for the nine months ended

September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### **Derivative Instruments**

Our derivative instruments are foreign currency exchange rate instruments and interest rate swaps. The instruments are valued using an income approach with factors such as interest rates and yield curves, which represent market observable inputs and are generally classified as Level Two. Credit valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that derivatives are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality and our nonperformance risk. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed all of our 2015 Notes and settled the related outstanding interest rate swaps, see Note 8 for further details on our debt settlement. As of December 31, 2012, the credit valuation adjustments were insignificant. See Note 9 for further details on our derivative instruments.

## Mortgage Backed Securities

As of January 1, 2012, the balance of our Level Three mortgage backed securities was \$2 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 we sold these securities for \$2 million. There were no other significant purchases, issuances, settlements or gains or losses (realized or unrealized) related to our Level Three mortgage backed securities in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were no purchases, issuances, settlements or gains or losses (realized or unrealized) related to Level Three mortgage backed securities. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had no Level Three mortgage backed securities.

#### Other Financial Instruments

We estimated the fair value of financing receivables using discounted cash flow analyses based on current market assumptions for similar types of arrangements. Based upon the availability of market data, we have classified our financing receivables as Level Three. The primary sensitivity in these calculations is based on the selection of appropriate interest and discount rates. Fluctuations in these assumptions will result in different estimates of fair value. For further information on financing receivables, see Note 5.

We estimated the fair value of debt, excluding capital leases, which, as of September 30, 2013, consisted primarily of \$250 million of 3.875% senior notes due 2016 (the "2016 Notes"), \$196 million of 6.875% senior notes due 2019 (the "2019 Notes"), \$250 million of 5.375% senior notes due 2021 (the "2021 Notes"), and \$350 million of 3.375% senior notes due 2023 (the "2023 Notes" which, together with the 2016 Notes, the 2019 Notes, and the 2021 Notes are collectively referred to as the "Senior Notes"), and construction loans. As of December 31, 2012 the fair value of our debt included our \$250 million of 5.750% senior notes due in 2015 (the "2015 Notes") which were redeemed in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our 2016 Notes, our 2019 Notes, a portion of which were tendered during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our 2021 Notes and our construction loans. Our Senior Notes are classified as Level Two due to the use and weighting of multiple market inputs in the final price of the security. Market inputs include quoted market prices from active markets for identical securities, quoted market prices for identical securities in inactive markets, and quoted market prices in active and inactive markets for similar securities. Our construction loans have been classified as Level Three, as we subjectively determine the risk rating which impacts the discount rate.

The carrying amounts and fair values of our other financial instruments are as follows:

	Asset (Liability)				
	September 30, 2	013			
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant s Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Financing receivables Secured financing to hotel owners	\$32	\$38	\$ <i>—</i>	\$	\$ 38
Vacation ownership mortgage receivable	37	38	_	_	38
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	64	63	_	_	63
Debt, excluding capital lease obligations	(1,097 )	(1,147	) —	(1,093 )	(54)
	Asset (Liability) December 31, 20				
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level One)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level Two)	Significant s Unobservable Inputs (Level Three)
Financing receivables			(== : := = :==)		
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$310	\$314	\$ <i>—</i>	<b>\$</b> —	\$314
Vacation ownership mortgage receivable	39	39	_	_	39
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	64	64	_	_	64
Debt, excluding capital lease obligations	(1,017)	(1,137	) —	(1,126 )	(11 )

### 5. FINANCING RECEIVABLES

We have divided our financing receivables, which include loans and other financing arrangements, into three portfolio segments based on their initial measurement, risk characteristics and our method for monitoring or assessing credit risk. These portfolio segments correspond directly with our assessed class of receivables and are as follows:

Secured Financing to Hotel Owners—These financing receivables are senior secured mortgage loans and are collateralized by hotel properties currently in operation. These loans at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 include financing provided to certain franchisees for the renovation and conversion of certain franchised hotels. These franchisee loans accrue interest at fixed rates ranging between 5.0% and 5.5%. Secured financing to hotel owners at December 31, 2012 consisted primarily of a \$277 million mortgage loan receivable to an unconsolidated hospitality venture which was formed to acquire ownership of a hotel property in Waikiki, Hawaii. This mortgage receivable had interest set at 30-day LIBOR +3.75% due monthly and a stated maturity date of July 2013. This receivable was repaid in full, including payment of all accrued, but unpaid interest in the third quarter of 2013.

Vacation Ownership Mortgage Receivables—These financing receivables are comprised of various mortgage loans related to our financing of vacation ownership interval sales. As of September 30, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate on vacation ownership mortgage receivables was 14.0%.

Unsecured Financing to Hotel Owners—These financing receivables are primarily made up of individual unsecured loans and other types of financing arrangements provided to hotel owners. During 2012, we entered into a loan agreement to provide a \$50 million mezzanine loan for the construction of a hotel that we will manage. Under the loan agreement, interest accrues at the greater of one-month LIBOR plus 5.0%,

or 6.5%. Our other financing receivables have stated maturities and interest rates. However, the expected repayment terms may be dependent on the future cash flows of the hotels and these instruments, therefore, are not considered loans as the repayment dates are not fixed or determinable. Because these arrangements are not considered loans, we do not include them in our impaired loans analysis. Since these receivables may come due earlier than the stated maturity date, the expected maturity dates have been excluded from the maturities table below. The three portfolio segments of financing receivables and their balances at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$39	\$317	
Vacation ownership mortgage receivables at various interest rates	45	48	
with varying payments through 2023	43	40	
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	147	147	
	231	512	
Less allowance for losses	(98	) (99	)
Less current portion included in receivables, net	(8	) (287	)
Total long-term financing receivables, net	\$125	\$126	

Financing receivables held by us as of September 30, 2013 are scheduled to mature as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Secured Financing to	Vacation Ownership
Teal Ending December 31,	Hotel Owners	Mortgage Receivables
2013	\$—	\$2
2014	1	7
2015	38	7
2016	_	7
2017	_	5
2018		4
Thereafter	_	13
Total	39	45
Less allowance	(7	) (8
Net financing receivables	\$32	\$37

Allowance for Losses and Impairments

We individually assess all loans in the secured financing to hotel owners portfolio and the unsecured financing to hotel owners portfolio for impairment. We assess the vacation ownership mortgage receivables portfolio, which consists entirely of loans, for impairment on an aggregate basis. In addition to loans, we include other types of financing arrangements in unsecured financing to hotel owners which we do not assess individually for impairment. However, we do regularly evaluate our reserves for these other financing arrangements and record provisions in the financing receivables allowance as necessary. Impairment charges for loans within all three portfolios and reserves related to our other financing arrangements are recorded as provisions in the financing receivables allowance. We consider the provisions on all of our portfolio segments to be adequate based on the economic environment and our assessment of the future collectability of the outstanding loans.

The following tables summarize the activity in our financing receivables allowance for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Secured	Vacation	Unsecured	Total	
	Financing	Ownership	Financing	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2013	\$7	\$9	\$83	\$99	
Provisions	_	_	2	2	
Write-offs	_	(2	) (2	) (4	)
Recoveries	_	_	_	_	
Other Adjustments	_	_	(1	) (1	)
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$7	\$7	\$82	\$96	
Provisions		1	1	2	
Write-offs	_	_	_	_	
Recoveries	_	_		_	
Other Adjustments	_	_		_	
Allowance at September 30, 2013	\$7	\$8	\$83	\$98	
	Secured	Vacation	Unsecured	Total	
	Financing	Ownership	Financing	Total	
Allowance at January 1, 2012	\$7	\$8	\$75	\$90	
Provisions		3	6	9	
Write-offs		(3	) (3	) (6	)
Recoveries	_	_	(2	) (2	)
Other Adjustments		_			
Allowance at June 30, 2012	\$7	\$8	\$76	\$91	
Provisions		1	2	3	
Write-offs	_	(1	) —	(1	)
Recoveries	_	_		_	
Other Adjustments	_	_	_	_	
Allowance at September 30, 2012	\$7	\$8	\$78	\$93	

We routinely evaluate loans within financing receivables for impairment. To determine whether an impairment has occurred, we evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal. A loan is considered to be impaired when the Company determines that it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms. We do not recognize interest income for impaired loans unless cash is received, in which case the payment is recorded to other income (loss), net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we did not record any impairment charges for loans to hotel owners. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded insignificant and \$3 million of impairment charges for loans, respectively. The gross value of our impaired loans and related reserve does increase, outside of impairments recognized, due to the accrual and related reserve of interest income on these loans.

An analysis of our loans included in secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners had the following impaired amounts at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all of which had a related allowance recorded against them:

Impaired Loans

September 30, 2013

	Gross Loan Balance (Principal and Interest)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Recorded Loan Balance
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$40	\$39	\$(7	)	\$40
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	53	39	(53	)	54
Impaired Loans					
December 31, 2012					
	Gross Loan Balance (Principal and Interest)	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Recorded Loan Balance
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$40	\$39	\$(7	)	\$40
Unsecured financing to hotel owners	53	40	(53	)	51

Interest income recognized on these impaired loans within other income (loss), net on our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was as follows: Interest Income

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended Septembe	
	30,		30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$1	\$1	\$2	\$2
Unsecured financing to hotel owners				_
Credit Monitoring				

On an ongoing basis, we monitor the credit quality of our financing receivables based on payment activity. Past-due Receivables—We determine financing receivables to be past-due based on the contractual terms of each individual financing receivable agreement.

Non-Performing Receivables—Receivables are determined to be non-performing based upon the following criteria: (1) if interest or principal is more than 90 days past due for secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners; (2) if interest or principal is more than 120 days past due for vacation ownership mortgage receivables; or (3) if an impairment charge has been recorded for a loan or a provision established for our other financing arrangements. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, no interest income was accrued for secured financing to hotel owners and unsecured financing to hotel owners more than 90 days past due or for vacation ownership receivables more than 120 days past due. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, insignificant interest income was accrued for vacation ownership receivables past due more than 90 days but less than 120 days.

If a financing receivable is non-performing, we place the financing receivable on non-accrual status. We only recognize interest income when cash is received for financing receivables on non-accrual status. Accrual of interest income is resumed when the receivable becomes contractually current and collection doubts are removed.

The following tables summarize our aged analysis of past-due financing receivables by portfolio segment, the gross balance of financing receivables greater than 90 days past-due and the gross balance of financing receivables on non-accrual status as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Analysis of Financing Receivables September 30, 2013

	Receivables Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Receivables on Non-Accrual Status
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$40
Vacation ownership mortgage receivables	2	_	_
Unsecured financing to hotel owners *	3	3	83
Total	\$5	\$3	\$123
Analysis of Financing Receivables December 31, 2012			
	Receivables Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Receivables on Non-Accrual Status
Secured financing to hotel owners	\$—	<b>\$</b> —	\$40
Vacation ownership mortgage receivables	2	_	_
Unsecured financing to hotel owners *	3	3	81

<sup>\*</sup> Certain of these receivables have been placed on non-accrual status and we have recorded allowances for these receivables based on estimates of the future cash flows available for payment of these financing receivables. However, a majority of these payments are not past due.

\$5

\$3

#### 6. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

Total

We continually assess strategic acquisitions and dispositions to complement our current business. Acquisitions

The Driskill—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we acquired The Driskill hotel in Austin, Texas ("The Driskill") for a purchase price of approximately \$85 million. The Driskill has a long-standing presence in a market which we view as a key location for our guests. Due to the iconic nature of the hotel and its membership in the Historic Hotels of America and Associated Luxury Hotels International, we have chosen to retain The Driskill name. Of the total \$85 million purchase price, significant assets acquired consist of \$72 million of property and equipment, a \$7 million indefinite-lived brand intangible, a \$5 million management intangible and \$1 million of other assets which have been included primarily in our owned and leased hotel segment.

Hyatt Regency Mexico City—During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of a company that owned a full service hotel in Mexico City, Mexico in order to expand our presence in the region. The total purchase price was approximately \$202 million. As part of the purchase, we acquired cash and cash equivalents of \$12 million, resulting in a net purchase price of \$190 million. We began managing this property during the second quarter of 2012 as the Hyatt Regency Mexico City.

In conjunction with the acquisition, we entered into a holdback escrow agreement. Pursuant to the holdback escrow agreement, we withheld \$11 million from the purchase price and placed it into an escrow account, which was classified as restricted cash on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we released \$1 million from escrow to the seller. As of September 30, 2013, the remaining funds in the escrow account had been released to the seller.

Receivables on

\$121

The following table summarizes the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed for Hyatt Regency Mexico City as of the acquisition date, primarily in our owned and leased hotels segment (in millions):

Cash and cash equivalents	\$12
Other current assets	4
Land, property, and equipment	190
Intangibles	12
Goodwill	29
Total assets	247
Current liabilities	4
Other long-term liabilities	41
Total liabilities	45
Total net assets acquired	\$202

The acquisition created goodwill of Mexican Peso 404 million, or \$29 million as of the date of acquisition, which is not deductible for tax purposes and is recorded within our owned and leased segment. The definite lived intangibles, which are substantially comprised of management intangibles, are being amortized over a weighted average useful life of 17 years. The other long-term liabilities acquired consist primarily of a \$41 million deferred tax liability, the majority of which relates to property and equipment.

#### Dispositions

Andaz Napa—During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Andaz Napa for \$71 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$27 million. The Company entered into a long-term management agreement with the purchaser of the hotel. The gain on sale has been deferred and is being recognized in management and franchise fees over the term of the management contract, within our Americas management and franchising segment. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of Andaz Napa have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange. As part of the closing conditions for Andaz Napa, an affiliate of the purchaser and Hyatt entered into a purchase and sale agreement for the Andaz Savannah.

Andaz Savannah—During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Andaz Savannah for \$42 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$4 million. The Company entered into a long-term management agreement with the purchaser of the hotel. The gain on sale has been deferred and is being recognized in management and franchise fees over the term of the management contract, within our Americas management and franchising segment. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of Andaz Savannah have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange.

Hyatt Regency Denver Tech—During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Hyatt Regency Denver Tech for \$59 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, and entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the purchaser of the hotel. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$26 million, which has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of Hyatt Regency Denver Tech have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange.

Hyatt Regency Santa Clara—During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Hyatt Regency Santa Clara for \$91 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, and entered into a long-term management agreement with the purchaser of the property. As part of the sale agreement, we have the potential for

an additional earn-out of up to \$7 million based on the hotel's performance in 2013. If achieved, this contingent payment will be received during the first quarter of 2014. At that time, the gain would be deferred and recognized in management and franchise fees over the term of the management contract. This property had been classified as assets and liabilities held for sale as of June 30, 2013. The sale resulted in an insignificant loss, which has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the three months ended September 30, 2013. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of Hyatt Regency Santa Clara have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange.

Hyatt Fisherman's Wharf—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Hyatt Fisherman's Wharf for \$100 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, and entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the owner of the property. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$55 million, which has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of Hyatt Fisherman's Wharf have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange.

Hyatt Santa Barbara—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold Hyatt Santa Barbara for \$60 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, and entered into a long-term franchise agreement with the owner of the property. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$44 million, which has been recognized in gains on sales of real estate on our condensed consolidated statements of income during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The operating results and financial position of this hotel prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment.

Hyatt Place—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold three Hyatt Place properties for a combined \$36 million, net of closing costs, to an unrelated third party, resulting in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$2 million. These properties had been classified as assets and liabilities held for sale as of December 31, 2012. The Company retained long-term management agreements for each hotel with the purchaser of the hotels. The gain on sale has been deferred and is being recognized in management and franchise fees over the term of the management contracts, within our Americas management and franchising segment. The operations of the hotels prior to the sale remain within our owned and leased hotels segment.

Artwork - During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold artwork to an unrelated third party and recognized a pre-tax gain of \$29 million which was recognized in other income (loss), net on our condensed consolidated statements of income. See "Like-Kind Exchange Agreements" below, as proceeds from the sale of artwork have been held as restricted for use in a potential like-kind exchange.

#### Like-Kind Exchange Agreements

In conjunction with the 2013 sales of Andaz Savannah, Andaz Napa, Hyatt Regency Denver Tech, Hyatt Regency Santa Clara and Hyatt Fisherman's Wharf we entered into like-kind exchange agreements with an intermediary. Pursuant to the like-kind exchange agreements, the proceeds from the sales of these hotels were placed into an escrow account administered by an intermediary. Accordingly, we classified combined net proceeds of \$363 million related to these properties as restricted cash on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we released the net proceeds from the first quarter 2013 sales of two of the three Hyatt Place properties discussed above of \$23 million and the 2012 sales of four Hyatt Place properties of \$44 million from restricted cash on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, as like-kind exchange agreements were not consummated within allowable time periods.

In conjunction with the second quarter 2013 sale of artwork, we placed proceeds received into restricted cash pursuant to a like-kind exchange agreement administered by an intermediary. We intend to use a portion of the proceeds to fund future artwork purchases.

#### 7. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We review the carrying value of our goodwill and indefinite lived brand intangible by performing either a qualitative assessment or a two-step process. Under step one, we compare the carrying value of our brand intangible, and our goodwill at the reporting unit level, to their respective fair values during our annual impairment test in the fourth quarter or more often as needed. We define a reporting unit at the individual property or business level. When determining fair value in step one, we utilize internally developed discounted future cash flow models, third party appraisals and, if appropriate, current estimated net sales proceeds from pending offers. We then compare the estimated fair value to our carrying value. If the carrying value of our indefinite lived brand intangible is in excess of the fair value, an impairment charge is recognized in an amount equal to the excess. If the carrying value of our goodwill is in excess of the fair value, we must determine our implied fair value of goodwill to evaluate if any impairment charge is necessary. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, no impairment charges were recorded related to goodwill or our indefinite lived intangible asset. Goodwill was \$133 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013, our indefinite-lived brand intangible acquired as part of the 2013 acquisition of The Driskill was \$7 million, see Note 6.

Definite lived intangible assets primarily include contract acquisition costs, acquired lease rights, and acquired franchise and management intangibles. Contract acquisition costs and franchise and management intangibles are generally amortized on a straight-line basis over their contract terms, which range from approximately 5 to 40 years and 20 to 30 years, respectively. Acquired lease rights are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Definite lived intangibles are tested for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we entered into 30 year management agreements for four hotels in France. Using exchange rates at September 30, 2013, the value of the contract acquisition cost at inception was \$121 million. The intangible is being amortized into expense on a straight-line basis over the 30 year term of the management agreements, which began in the second quarter of 2013 in conjunction with the conversion of the hotels to Hyatt management. There were no impairment charges related to intangible assets with definite lives during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The following is a summary of intangible assets at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Weighted	
September 30, 2013	Average Useful	December 31, 2012
	Lives in Years	
\$349	26	\$203
140	112	139
128	25	122
7	_	_
7	14	10
631		474
(102)		(86)
\$529		\$388
	\$349 140 128 7 7 631 (102	September 30, 2013 Average Useful Lives in Years  \$349

Amortization expense relating to intangible assets was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 3		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Amortization expense	\$6	\$7	\$18	\$19	

#### 8. DEBT

Long-term debt, net of current maturities, at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$1,283 million and \$1,229 million, respectively.

Senior Notes—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we issued and sold \$350 million 3.375% Senior Notes due July 15, 2023 at a public offering price of 99.498%. We received net proceeds of \$345 million from the sale of the 2023 Notes, after deducting underwriters' discounts and offering expenses. We used the net proceeds to pay the redemption price (as defined below) in connection with the redemption of the 2015 Notes and to repurchase the 2019 Notes tendered in the cash tender offer, with any remaining proceeds intended to be used

for general corporate purposes. Interest on the 2023 Notes is payable semi-annually on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on January 15, 2014. See Note 9 for the interest rate lock associated with the 2023 Notes. Debt Redemption—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed all of our outstanding 2015 Notes, of which an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million was outstanding. The redemption price, which was calculated in accordance with the terms of the 2015 Notes and included principal plus a make-whole premium, was \$278 million. After the issuance of our 2015 Notes, we entered into eight \$25 million interest rate swap contracts. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we terminated four of the eight interest rate swap contracts, for which we received cash payments of \$8 million to settle the fair value of the swaps. The cash received from the termination of the four swaps was being amortized from the settlement date as a benefit to interest expense over the remaining term of the 2015 Notes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we settled the remaining four outstanding interest rate swap agreements. At the time the 2015 Notes were redeemed, we recognized a gain of \$7 million, which included the remaining unamortized benefit from the settlement of the initial four swaps during 2012 of \$5 million and a gain on the remaining four swaps of \$2 million that were terminated in 2013 in anticipation of the 2015 Note redemption. The gain is included within debt settlement costs in other income (loss), net on the condensed consolidated statements of income. See Note 9 for the settlement of the interest rate swaps associated with the 2015 Notes.

Tender Offer—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we completed a cash tender offer (the "cash tender offer") for any and all of our 2019 Notes, of which an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million was outstanding. We purchased \$54 million aggregate principal amount of 2019 Notes in the cash tender offer at a purchase price of \$66 million, which included premiums payable in connection with the cash tender offer. Following the cash tender offer, \$196 million aggregate principal amount of 2019 Notes remains outstanding.

Floating Average Rate Construction Loan—During the year ended December 31, 2012, we obtained a construction loan in order to develop a hotel in Brazil. The term of this loan is up to 18 years and the interest is at a floating average rate estimated at 7.5%. As of September 30, 2013, we had borrowed Brazilian Real ("BRL") 74 million, or \$33 million, against this construction loan of which BRL 50 million, or \$22 million, has not yet been utilized in construction and is therefore held in restricted cash.

#### 9. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

It is our policy that derivative transactions are executed only to manage exposures arising in the normal course of business and not for the purpose of creating speculative positions or trading. As a result of the use of derivative instruments, we are exposed to the risk that counterparties to derivative contracts will fail to meet their contractual obligations. To mitigate the counterparty credit risk, we have a policy of only entering into contracts with carefully selected major financial institutions based upon their credit rating and other factors. Our derivative instruments do not contain credit-risk related contingent features.

All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. On the date the derivative contract is entered, we designate the derivative as one of the following: a hedge of a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be paid (cash flow hedge), a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge), or an undesignated hedge instrument. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is qualified, designated and highly effective as a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the condensed consolidated balance sheet until they are reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is qualified, designated and highly effective as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current earnings. Changes in the fair value of undesignated derivative instruments and the ineffective portion of designated derivative instruments are reported in current period earnings. Cash flows from designated derivative financial instruments are classified within the same category as the item being hedged on the statement of cash flows. Cash flows from undesignated derivative financial instruments are included in the investing category on the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. We do not offset any derivative assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and none of our derivatives are subject to master netting arrangements.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements—In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes due to our borrowing activities. Our objective is to manage the risk of interest rate changes on the results of

operations, cash flows, and the market value of our debt by creating an appropriate balance between our

fixed and floating-rate debt. Interest rate derivative transactions, including interest rate swaps, are entered into to maintain a level of exposure to interest rates which the Company deems acceptable.

As of December 31, 2012, we held a total of four \$25 million interest rate swap contracts, each of which was set to expire on August 15, 2015. Taken together, these swap contracts effectively converted a total of \$100 million of the \$250 million of our 2015 Notes to floating rate debt based on three-month LIBOR plus a fixed rate component. The fixed rate component of the four swaps varied by contract, ranging from 4.5675% to 4.77%. The interest rate swaps were designated as fair value hedges, as their objective was to protect the 2015 Notes against changes in fair value due to changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. The swaps were designated as fair value hedges at inception and at December 31, 2012 were highly effective in offsetting fluctuations in the fair value of the 2015 Notes prior to their redemption during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The swaps were settled in anticipation of the redemption of the 2015 Notes. We received \$2 million of cash payments to settle the fair value of the swaps during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which included an insignificant amount of accrued interest. The cash received offset premiums paid at the time of the settlement of the 2015 Notes. See Note 8 for a discussion of the settlement of our 2015 Notes.

At December 31, 2012, the fixed to floating interest rate swaps were recorded within other assets at a value of \$1 million offset by a fair value adjustment to long-term debt of \$1 million. At December 31, 2012, the difference between the other asset value and fair market value adjustment to long-term debt includes the ineffective portion of the swap life-to-date which was insignificant.

Interest Rate Lock—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we entered into treasury-lock derivative instruments with \$175 million of notional value to hedge a portion of the risk of changes in the benchmark interest rate associated with the 2023 Notes issued during the nine months ending September 30, 2013 as changes in the benchmark interest rate would result in variability in cash flows related to such debt. These derivative instruments were designated as cash flow hedges at inception and were highly effective in offsetting fluctuations in the benchmark interest rate. See Note 8 for a discussion of our 2023 Notes.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we settled the aforementioned treasury-lock derivative instruments at the date of issuance of the 2023 Notes. The \$1 million loss on the settlement was recorded to accumulated other comprehensive loss and will be amortized to interest expense over the life of the 2023 Notes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the amount of incremental interest expense was insignificant. Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Instruments—We transact business in various foreign currencies and utilize foreign currency forward contracts to offset the risks associated with the effects of certain foreign currency exposures. Our strategy is to have increases or decreases in our foreign currency exposures offset by gains or losses on the foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate the risks and volatility associated with foreign currency transaction gains or losses. These foreign currency exposures typically arise from intercompany loans and other intercompany transactions. Our foreign currency forward contracts generally settle within 12 months. We do not use these forward contracts for trading purposes. We do not designate these forward contracts as hedging instruments, Accordingly, we record the fair value of these contracts as of the end of our reporting period to our condensed consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of income within other income (loss), net for both realized and unrealized gains and losses. The balance sheet classification for the fair values of these forward contracts is to prepaids and other assets for unrealized gains and to accrued expenses and other current liabilities for unrealized losses. At September 30, 2013, the foreign currency forward contracts were recorded within accrued expenses and other current liabilities at a value of \$7 million while contracts recorded within prepaids and other assets were insignificant. At December 31, 2012, the foreign currency forward contracts were recorded within accrued expenses and other current liabilities at a value of \$1 million while contracts recorded within prepaids and other assets were insignificant.

The U.S. dollar equivalent of the notional amount of the outstanding forward contracts, the majority of which relate to intercompany loans, with terms of less than one year, is as follows (in U.S. dollars):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Pound Sterling	\$158	\$161
Korean Won	31	31

Swiss Franc	25	32
Canadian Dollar	3	30
Total notional amount of forward contracts	\$217	\$254

Certain energy contracts at our hotel facilities include derivatives. However, we qualify for and have elected the normal purchases or sales exemption for these derivatives.

The effects of derivative instruments on our condensed consolidated financial statements were as follows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Effect of Derivative Instruments on Income

		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Location of Gain (Loss)	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Fair value hedges:						
Interest rate swaps						
Gains on derivatives	Other income (loss), net*	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	<b>\$</b> —	\$1	
Losses on borrowings	Other income (loss), net*				(1	)
		Three Mor September	nths Ended	Nine Mont September		
	Location of Gain (Loss)	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Derivatives not designated as hedges: Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income (loss), net	\$(12	\$(7)	\$(1)	\$(9	)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, there was an insignificant gain recognized in income related to the ineffective portion of these hedges. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, there was an \*insignificant loss recognized in income related to the ineffective portion of these hedges. No amounts were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

#### 10. INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rates for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were a provision of 41.3% and a benefit of 17.0%, respectively. The effective income tax rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were a provision of 33.8% and 20.7%, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a shift in our earnings mix to locations with higher tax rates, partially offset by a benefit of \$3 million related to the reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the quarter. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due the impact of a shift in our earnings mix to locations with higher tax rates. This increase is offset by a \$4 million benefit for an adjustment to the opening balance of certain deferred tax assets, a benefit of \$3 million (including \$1 million interest) related to the settlement of tax audits, a benefit of \$4 million (including \$2 million of interest and penalties) related to the expiration of statutes of limitations in certain foreign locations, a benefit of \$3 million related to the reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the third quarter, and a benefit of \$2 million with respect to foreign currency fluctuations related to uncertain tax positions. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the effective tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of foreign tax credits of \$8 million and a benefit of \$3 million from a reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the quarter. In addition, a benefit of \$2 million was realized due to the release of tax reserves related to issues that were resolved with our ongoing U.S. federal tax audit of prior years.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the effective tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of foreign tax credits of \$17 million, a release of \$5 million in reserves for interest related to our treatment for expensing certain renovation costs in prior years and a benefit of \$3 million from a reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the third quarter.

The rate was further reduced by a benefit of \$2 million that was realized due to the release of tax reserves related to issues that were resolved with our U.S. federal tax audit of prior years. These benefits are partially offset by a provision of approximately \$7 million resulting from a reduction in the deferred tax assets of certain non-consolidated investments as well as a provision of approximately \$7 million (including \$2 million of interest and penalties) for uncertain tax positions in foreign jurisdictions.

Total unrecognized tax benefits were \$52 million and \$75 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which \$27 million and \$42 million, respectively, would impact the effective tax rate if recognized. It is reasonably possible that a reduction of up to \$5 million of unrecognized tax benefits could occur within twelve months from the resolution of tax audits and the expiration of certain statutes of limitations.

#### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into various commitments, guarantees, surety bonds, and letter of credit agreements, which are discussed below:

Commitments—As of September 30, 2013, we are committed, under certain conditions, to lend or invest up to \$444 million, net of any related letters of credit, in various business ventures.

Included in the \$444 million in commitments is our share of a hospitality venture's commitment to purchase a hotel within a to-be completed building in New York City for a total purchase price of \$375 million. The hospitality venture will be funded upon the purchase of the hotel, and our share of the purchase price commitment is 66.67% (or approximately \$250 million). In accordance with the purchase agreement, we have agreed to fund a \$50 million letter of credit as security towards this future purchase obligation. The agreement stipulates that the purchase of the completed property is contingent upon the completion of certain contractual milestones. The \$50 million funded letter of credit is included as part of our total letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2013, and therefore netted against our future commitments amount disclosed above. For further discussion, see the "Letters of Credit" section of this footnote.

Performance Guarantees—Certain of our contractual arrangements with third party owners require us to guarantee payments to the owners if specified levels of operating profit are not achieved by their hotels.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a \$2 million and \$3 million charge related to these agreements, respectively. Under these agreements, we had recorded a guarantee liability of \$124 million, net of amortization and using exchange rates as of September 30, 2013. The remaining maximum potential payments related to these agreements are \$541 million. Included within the remaining maximum potential performance guarantees of \$541 million are the following:

Property Description	Maximum Guarantee Amount (local currency)	Maximum Guarantee Amount (USD at September 30, 2013)	Initial Liability Recorded (local currency)	Liability Recorded at September 30, 2013
Four hotels in France*	Euro 377	\$509	Euro 90	\$118
A hotel in Thailand	Thai baht 360	11	Thai baht 157	5
Select service hotels in the U.S.		15		1
Other		6		_
Total		\$541		\$124

<sup>\*</sup>Our performance guarantee for the four hotels in France does not have an annual cap.

In connection with the inception of a performance guarantee, we recognize a liability for the fair value of our guarantee obligation within other long-term liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets with an offset to contract acquisition cost intangible assets. Upon commencement of the guarantee period, we amortize the guarantee liability using a systematic and rational risk-based approach over the term of the respective performance guarantee. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we amortized \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively, of these liabilities as income to other income (loss), net on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Additionally, we enter into certain management contracts where we have the right, but not an obligation, to make payments to certain hotel owners if their hotels do not achieve specified levels of operating profit. If we

choose not to fund the shortfall, the hotel owner has the option to terminate the management contract. As of September 30, 2013, there were no amounts recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities related to these performance test clauses.

Debt Repayment Guarantees—We have entered into various debt repayment guarantees related to our hospitality venture investments in certain properties. The maximum exposure under these agreements as of September 30, 2013 was \$272 million. As of September 30, 2013, we had a \$9 million liability representing the carrying value of these guarantees. Included within the \$272 million in debt repayment guarantees are the following:

Property Description	Maximum Guarantee Amount	Recorded at September 30, 2013
Vacation ownership development	\$110	\$1
Hotel property in Brazil	75	3
Hawaii hotel development	30	1
Hotel property in Minnesota	25	4
Other	32	
Total Debt Repayment Guarantees	\$272	\$9

With respect to debt repayment guarantees related to certain hospitality venture properties, the Company has agreements with its respective partners that require each partner to pay a pro-rata portion of the guarantee amount based on each partner's ownership percentage. Assuming successful enforcement of these agreements, our maximum exposure under our various debt repayment guarantees as of September 30, 2013, would be \$146 million. Surety Bonds—Surety bonds issued on our behalf totaled \$24 million at September 30, 2013, and primarily relate to workers' compensation, taxes, licenses, and utilities related to our lodging operations.

Letters of Credit—Letters of credit outstanding on our behalf as of September 30, 2013 totaled \$114 million, the majority of which relate to our ongoing operations. Of the \$114 million letters of credit outstanding, \$101 million reduces the available capacity under our revolving credit facility.

Capital Expenditures—As part of our ongoing business operations, significant expenditures are required to complete renovation projects that have been approved.

Other —We act as general partner of various partnerships owning hotel properties that are subject to mortgage indebtedness. These mortgage agreements generally limit the lender's recourse to security interests in assets financed and/or other assets of the partnership and/or the general partner(s) thereof.

In conjunction with financing obtained for our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, we may provide standard indemnifications to the lender for loss, liability or damage occurring as a result of our actions or actions of the other hospitality venture owners.

We are subject from time to time to various claims and contingencies related to lawsuits, taxes, and environmental matters, as well as commitments under contractual obligations. Many of these claims are covered under current insurance programs, subject to deductibles. We reasonably recognize a liability associated with commitments and contingencies when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. Although the ultimate liability for these matters cannot be determined at this point, based on information currently available, we do not expect that the ultimate resolution of such claims and litigation will have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Amount

# 12. EQUITY

25

Stockholders' Equity and Noncontrolling Interests—The following table details the equity activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

	Stockholders' equity	Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries	S Total equity
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$4,811	\$ 10	\$4,821
Net income	175	_	175
Other comprehensive loss	(10)	_	(10)
Repurchase of common stock	(252)	_	(252)
Directors compensation	2	_	2
Employee stock plan issuance	2	_	2
Share based payment activity	15	_	15
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$4,743	\$ 10	\$4,753
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$4,808	\$ 10	\$4,818
Net income	72	_	72
Other comprehensive loss	25	_	25
Repurchase of common stock	(35)	_	(35)
Directors compensation	1	_	1
Employee stock plan issuance	2	_	2
Share based payment activity	12	_	12
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$4,885	\$ 10	\$4,895

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss—The following table details the accumulated other comprehensive loss activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

	Balance at July 1, 2013		Current period other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Balance at September 30, 2013	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(80	)	\$16	<b>\$</b> —	\$(64	)
Unrealized gain (loss) on AFS securities					_	
Unrecognized pension cost	(6	)			(6	)
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	(7	)	<del></del>		(7	)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$(93	)	\$16	<b>\$</b> —	\$(77	)
	Balance at January 1, 2013		Current period other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassification	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (a)	Balance at September 30, 2013	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(54	)	\$(12)	\$2	\$(64	)
Unrealized gain (loss) on AFS securities	_		_			
Unrecognized pension cost	(6	)	_		(6	)
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	(7	)			(7	)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$(67		\$(12)	\$2	\$(77	

<sup>(</sup>a) Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of an insignificant tax impact, reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss were recognized within equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

	Balance at July 1, 2012		Current period other comprehensive income (loss)	Balance at September 30, 2012	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(88	)	\$28	\$(60	)
Unrealized gain (loss) on AFS securities	(1)	)	1	_	
Unrecognized pension cost	(6	)	_	(6	)
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	(8	)	_	(8	)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$(103)	)	\$29	\$(74	)
	Balance at January 1, 2012		Current period other comprehensive income (loss)	Balance at September 30, 2012	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$(83	)	\$23	\$(60	)
Unrealized gain (loss) on AFS securities	(2)		2	•	_
	(2)	)	2		
Unrecognized pension cost	(2 )	)		<del></del>	)
Unrecognized pension cost Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	,	) )		(6 (8	)
	(6	)	2 — — \$25	`	)

2013 Share Repurchase—During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we announced that the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$200 million of the Company's common stock, in addition to the authorization to repurchase \$200 million of the Company's common stock in 2012. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market

conditions, applicable law and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company repurchased 6,136,089 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$41.14 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$252 million, excluding related expenses, which were insignificant. The shares repurchased represented approximately 4% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012. The shares of Class A common stock that were repurchased on the open market were retired and returned to authorized and unissued status while the shares of Class B common stock that were repurchased were retired and the total number of authorized Class B shares was reduced by the number of shares repurchased. As of September 30, 2013 we had \$11 million remaining under the current share repurchase authorization. See Note 18 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information regarding our New Share Repurchase Plan.

2012 Share Repurchase—During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we announced that the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$200 million of the Company's common stock. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 911,244 shares of Class A common stock at an average price of \$38.78 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$35 million, excluding related expenses, which were insignificant. The \$35 million of share repurchases were settled in cash during the quarter with the exception of \$2 million that was recorded as accrued expenses at September 30, 2012 as the share settlement occurred prior to period end but the related cash payment was not settled until October. The shares repurchased represented less than 1% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding prior to the repurchase. The shares of Class A common stock were repurchased on the open market, retired, and returned to authorized and unissued status.

#### 13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

As part of our long-term incentive plan, we award Stock Appreciation Rights ("SARs"), Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs"), Performance Share Units ("PSUs"), and Performance Vested Restricted Stock ("PSSs") to certain employees. Compensation expense and unearned compensation figures within this note exclude amounts related to employees of our third party owned, Hyatt managed hotels as this expense has been and will continue to be reimbursed by our third party hotel owners and is recorded in other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties in our condensed consolidated statements of income. Expenses for awards that are not reimbursed to us by our third party hotel owners for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended	
			September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Stock appreciation rights	\$2	\$2	\$6	\$6
Restricted stock units	4	4	12	10
Performance share units and Performance vested restricted stock	1		2	1

Stock Appreciation Rights—Each vested SAR gives the holder the right to the difference between the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the exercise date and the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the grant date. Vested SARs can be exercised over their life as determined by the plan. All SARs have a 10-year contractual term and are settled in shares of our Class A common stock. The Company is accounting for these SARs as equity instruments.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted 526,917 SARs to employees with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$17.98. The fair value of each SAR was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-valuation model.

Restricted Stock Units—The Company grants both RSUs that may be settled in stock and RSUs that may be settled in cash. Each vested stock-settled RSU will be settled with a single share of our Class A common stock. The value of the stock-settled RSUs was based on the closing stock price of our Class A common stock as of the grant date. We record compensation expense earned for RSUs on a straight-line basis from the date of grant. In certain situations we also grant cash-settled RSUs which are recorded as a liability instrument. The liability and related expense for cash-settled RSUs are insignificant as of, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted a total of 468,410 RSUs (an insignificant portion of which are cash-settled RSUs) to employees which, with respect to stock-settled RSUs, had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$43.50.

Performance Share Units and Performance Vested Restricted Stock—The Company has granted to certain executive officers both PSUs, which are performance stock units, and PSSs, which are performance vested restricted stock. The number of PSUs that will ultimately vest and be paid out in Class A common stock and the number of PSSs that will ultimately vest with no further restrictions on transfer depends upon the performance of the Company at the end of the applicable three year performance period relative to the applicable performance target. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted to its executive officers a total of 218,686 PSSs, which vest in full if the maximum performance metric is achieved. At the end of the performance period, the PSSs that do not vest will be forfeited. The PSSs had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$43.44. The performance period is three years beginning January 1, 2013 and ending December 31, 2015. The PSSs will vest at the end of the performance period only if the performance threshold is met; there is no interim performance metric.

Our total unearned compensation for our stock-based compensation programs as of September 30, 2013 was \$16 million for SARs, \$36 million for RSUs and \$5 million for PSUs and PSSs, which will be recorded to compensation expense primarily over the next four years with respect to SARs and RSUs and over the next three years with respect to PSUs and PSSs. The amortization for certain RSU awards extends to seven years.

#### 14. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In addition to those included elsewhere in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements, related-party transactions entered into by us are summarized as follows:

Leases—Our corporate headquarters has been located at the Hyatt Center in Chicago, Illinois, since 2005. A subsidiary of the Company holds a master lease for a portion of the Hyatt Center and has entered into sublease agreements with certain related parties. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, one of these sublease agreements was amended to reduce the related party's occupied space. As a result, we received a payment of \$4 million in 2012, representing the discounted future sublease payments, less furniture and fixtures acquired. Future sublease income from sublease agreements with related parties under our master lease is \$10 million.

Legal Services—A partner in a law firm that provided services to us throughout the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is the brother-in-law of our Executive Chairman. We incurred insignificant and \$1 million in legal fees with this firm for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We incurred \$1 million and \$2 million in legal fees with this firm during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Legal fees, when expensed, are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had insignificant amounts due to the law firm, respectively.

Other Services—A member of our board of directors is a partner in a firm whose affiliates own hotels from which we recorded management and franchise fees of \$2 million and \$2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$6 million and \$5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had \$1 million in receivables due from these properties.

Equity Method Investments—We have equity method investments in entities that own properties for which we provide management and/or franchise services and receive fees. We recorded fees of \$8 million and \$9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We recorded fees of \$24 million and \$28 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had receivables due from these properties of \$9 million and \$7 million, respectively. In addition, in some cases we provide loans (see Note 5) or guarantees (see Note 11) to these entities. Our ownership interest in these equity method investments generally varies from 8% to 69%.

Share Repurchase—During 2013, we repurchased 2,906,879 shares of Class B common stock at a weighted average price of \$41.36 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$120 million. The shares repurchased represented approximately 2% of the Company's total shares of common stock outstanding prior to the repurchase. The shares of Class B common stock were repurchased from trusts held for the benefit of certain Pritzker family members in privately-negotiated transactions and were retired, thereby reducing the total number of shares outstanding and reducing the shares of Class B common stock authorized and outstanding by the repurchased share amount.

#### 15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our operating segments are components of the business which are managed discretely and for which discrete financial information is reviewed regularly by the chief operating decision maker to assess performance and make decisions regarding the allocation of resources. Our chief operating decision maker is the Chief Executive Officer. Our results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 reflect the segment structure of our organization following our realignment, which was effective during the fourth quarter of 2012. Segment results presented here for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 have been recast to show our results as if our new operating structure had existed in this period.

Following the realignment, our four operating segments, which comprise our reportable segments and are defined below, are (1) owned and leased hotels; (2) Americas management and franchising; (3) ASPAC management and franchising; and (4) EAME/SW Asia management. Our unallocated corporate overhead, the results of our vacation ownership business, and the results of our Hyatt co-branded credit card continue to be reported within corporate and other. We define our reportable segments as follows:

Owned and Leased Hotels—This segment derives its earnings from owned and leased hotel properties located predominantly in the United States but also in certain international locations and for purposes of segment Adjusted EBITDA, includes our pro rata share of the Adjusted EBITDA of our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, based on our ownership percentage of each venture.

Americas Management and Franchising—This segment derives its earnings primarily from a combination of hotel management and licensing of our family of brands to franchisees located in the U.S., Latin America, Canada and the Caribbean. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners and franchisees with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to payroll costs at managed properties where the Company is the employer. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation.

ASPAC Management and Franchising—This segment derives its earnings primarily from a combination of hotel management and licensing of our family of brands to franchisees located in Southeast Asia, as well as China, Australia, South Korea and Japan. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners and franchisees with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to reservations, marketing and IT costs. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation.

EAME/SW Asia Management—This segment derives its earnings primarily from hotel management of our family of brands located primarily in Europe, Africa and the Middle East as well as countries along the Persian Gulf, the Arabian Sea, and India. This segment's revenues also include the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of managed hotel property owners with no added margin. These costs relate primarily to reservations, marketing and IT costs. These revenues and costs are recorded on the lines other revenues from managed properties and other costs from managed properties, respectively. The intersegment revenues relate to management fees that are collected from the Company's owned hotels, which are eliminated in consolidation.

Our chief operating decision maker evaluates performance and makes decisions regarding the allocation of resources based on each segment's Adjusted EBITDA. We define Adjusted EBITDA as net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation plus our pro-rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures' Adjusted EBITDA before equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures; asset impairments; gains on sales of real estate; other income (loss), net; depreciation and amortization; interest expense; and (provision) benefit for income taxes.

The table below shows summarized consolidated financial information by segment. Included within corporate and other are unallocated corporate expenses, revenues and expenses on our vacation ownership properties, and the results of our co-branded credit card.

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Owned and Leased Hotels (a)					
Revenues	\$521	\$503	\$1,585	\$1,504	
Adjusted EBITDA	111	115	351	340	
Depreciation and Amortization	73	80	231	241	
Americas Management and Franchising					
Revenues	437	425	1,302	1,295	
Intersegment Revenues (b)	20	19	63	59	
Adjusted EBITDA	52	49	162	149	
Depreciation and Amortization	4	5	13	15	
ASPAC Management and Franchising					
Revenues	35	28	110	88	
Intersegment Revenues (b)	1	1	2	2	
Adjusted EBITDA	9	9	32	31	
Depreciation and Amortization	1		1	_	
EAME/SW Asia Management					
Revenues	30	20	95	64	
Intersegment Revenues (b)	3	4	11	11	
Adjusted EBITDA	11	5	39	19	
Depreciation and Amortization	1	1	3	2	
Corporate and other					
Revenues	27	25	77	70	
Adjusted EBITDA	(24)	(24)	(82)	(80)	
Depreciation and Amortization	2	2	6	5	
Eliminations (b)					
Revenues	(24)	(24)	(76)	(72)	
Adjusted EBITDA	<del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Depreciation and Amortization					
TOTAL					
Revenues	\$1,026	\$977	\$3,093	\$2,949	
Adjusted EBITDA	159	154	502	459	
Depreciation and Amortization	81	88	254	263	

During the second quarter of 2013, we classified a property as held for sale. We conducted an analysis to determine if our carrying value is greater than fair value based on expected sales price. As a result of this assessment we recorded a \$3 million impairment charge to asset impairments in the condensed consolidated statements of income (a) in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In conjunction with our regular assessment of impairment indicators in the first quarter of 2013, we identified property and equipment whose carrying value exceeded its fair value and as a result recorded an \$8 million impairment charge to asset impairments in the condensed consolidated statements of income in the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

<sup>(</sup>b) Intersegment revenues are included in the segment revenue totals and eliminated in Eliminations.

The table below provides a reconciliation of our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		d			
	September 30,				September 30,			
	2013	2	2012		2013		2012	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$159	\$	§ 154		\$502		\$459	
Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	16	(	5	)	10		(6	)
Asset impairments		_	_		(11	)		
Gains on sales of real estate	26	_	_		125			
Other income (loss), net (see Note 17)	2	(	5	)	(12	)	12	
Pro rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted	(13	) (	18	`	(48	`	(58	`
EBITDA	(13	) (	10	,	(40	,	(36	,
EBITDA	190	1	126		566		407	
Depreciation and amortization	(81	) (	88	)	(254	)	(263	)
Interest expense	(15	) (	18	)	(48	)	(53	)
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(39	) 3	3		(89	)	(19	)
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$55	\$	\$23		\$175		\$72	

#### 16. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share, including a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months End 30,	ded September
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator:				
Net income	\$55	\$23	\$175	\$72
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests				
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels	\$55	\$23	\$175	\$72
Corporation	Ψ33	ψ <i>23</i>	\$173	\$12
Denominator:				
Basic weighted average shares outstanding:	156,339,842	165,486,594	159,339,902	165,554,820
Share-based compensation	557,345	267,283	508,368	410,888
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	156,897,187	165,753,877	159,848,270	165,965,708
Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Net income	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	_	_	_	_
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Corporation	Ψ0.33	ψ0.14	Ψ1.10	ψυ. Η
Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Net income	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests			_	_
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels	\$0.35	\$0.14	\$1.10	\$0.44
Corporation	40.00	¥ V.1.	¥ 1.10	¥ 0.11

The computations of diluted net income per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 do not include the following shares of Class A common stock assumed to be issued as stock-settled SARs and RSUs because they are anti-dilutive.

	Three Month	Three Months Ended September		Ended September	
	30,		30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Stock-settled SARs	307,900	15,000	198,600	10,000	
RSUs	_			10.400	

#### 17. OTHER INCOME (LOSS), NET

Other income (loss), net includes interest income, cost method investment income (see Note 3), debt settlement costs (see Note 8), charitable contribution to Hyatt Thrive Foundation, gain on sale of artwork (see Note 6), gains on other marketable securities, foreign currency losses, including losses on foreign currency exchange rate instruments (see Note 9), realignment costs, and transaction costs. The table below provides the components of other income (loss), net for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Nine M	onths Ended	d
	Septembe	er 30,	Septem	ber 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Interest income	\$4	\$6	\$14	\$17	
Cost method investment income	_	_	4	_	
Debt settlement costs	_	_	(35	) —	
Charitable contribution to Hyatt Thrive Foundation	_	_	(20	) —	
Gain on sale of artwork		_	29	_	
Gains on other marketable securities	1	_	1	17	
Foreign currency losses	(1	) (1	) (4	) (3	)
Realignment costs		(12	) —	(19	)
Transaction costs	(3	) —	(3	) (1	)
Other	1	2	2	1	
Other income (loss), net	\$2	\$(5	) \$(12	) \$12	

### 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On October 1, 2013, we acquired the hotel formerly known as The Peabody Orlando in a like-kind exchange transaction, for approximately \$717 million. The hotel has been rebranded as Hyatt Regency Orlando. The details of the transaction were previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 1, 2013.

In October 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$200 million of the Company's common stock (the "New Share Repurchase Plan"). These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The common stock repurchase program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares of common stock and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

In October 2013, Hyatt received \$89 million from our preferred equity investment in Hyatt Regency New Orleans.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. This quarterly report contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include statements about the Company's plans, strategies, financial performance, prospects or future events and involve known and unknown risks that are difficult to predict. As a result, our actual results, performance or achievements may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as "may," "could," "expect," "intend," "plan," "seek," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue," "like variations of these terms and similar expressions, or the negative of these terms or similar expressions. Such forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by us and our management, are inherently uncertain. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to: the factors discussed in our filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K; general economic uncertainty in key global markets; the rate and the pace of economic recovery following economic downturns; levels of spending in business and leisure segments as well as consumer confidence; declines in occupancy and average daily rate; limited visibility with respect to short and medium-term group bookings; our ability to successfully achieve certain levels of operating profit at hotels that have performance guarantees with our third party owners; the impact of hotel renovations; our ability to successfully implement our organizational realignment; loss of key personnel; hostilities, including future terrorist attacks, or fear of hostilities that affect travel; travel-related accidents; natural or man-made disasters such as earthquakes, tsunamis, tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, oil spills and nuclear incidents; the seasonal and cyclical nature of the real estate and hospitality businesses; changes in distribution arrangements, such as through Internet travel intermediaries; our ability to successfully execute and implement our common stock repurchase program; changes in the tastes and preferences of our customers; relationships with associates and labor unions and changes in labor laws; financial condition of, and our relationships with, third-party property owners, franchisees and hospitality venture partners; if our third-party owners, franchisees or development partners are unable to access capital necessary to fund current operations or implement our plans for growth; risks associated with potential acquisitions and dispositions; timing of acquisitions and dispositions; changes in federal, state, local or foreign tax law; increases in interest rates and operating costs; foreign exchange rate fluctuations or currency restructurings; lack of acceptance of new brands or innovation; general volatility of the capital markets and our ability to access the capital markets; changes in the competitive environment in our industry and the markets where we operate; cyber risks and information technology failures; outcomes of legal proceedings; and violation of regulations or laws related to our franchising business. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements set forth above. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake or assume any obligation to update publicly any of these forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, new information or future events, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking statements, except to the extent required by applicable laws. If we update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes, which appear elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Executive Overview

We are a global hospitality company engaged in the management, franchising, ownership and development of Hyatt-branded hotels, resorts and residential and vacation ownership properties around the world. As of September 30, 2013, our worldwide property portfolio consisted of 535 properties (143,656 rooms and units), including:

214 managed properties (76,169 rooms), all of which we operate under management agreements with third-party property owners;

168 franchised properties (28,370 rooms), all of which are owned by third parties that have franchise agreements with us and are operated by third parties;

- 87 owned properties (including 1 consolidated hospitality venture) (22,289 rooms), 3 capital leased properties (1,225 rooms), and 5 operating leased properties (1,840 rooms), all of which we manage;
- 23 managed properties and 10 franchised properties owned or leased by unconsolidated hospitality ventures (11,699 rooms);
- 45 vacation ownership properties (963 units), all of which we manage; and

40 residential properties (1,101 units), all of which we manage and some of which we own.

Our results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 reflect the segment structure of our organization following our realignment, which became effective during the fourth quarter of 2012. Segment results discussed here for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, have been recast to discuss our results as if our new operating structure had existed in those periods. Further, we evaluated our operating segments and have changed from three reportable segments to four reportable segments, which are consolidated and reported in U.S. dollars and described below:

Owned and leased hotels, which consists of our owned and leased full service and select service hotels and, for purposes of segment Adjusted EBITDA, our pro rata share of the Adjusted EBITDA of our unconsolidated hospitality ventures, based on our ownership percentage of each venture;

Americas management and franchising, which consists of our management and franchising of properties located in the United States, Latin America, Canada and the Caribbean;

ASPAC management and franchising, which consists of our management and franchising of properties located in Southeast Asia, as well as China, Australia, South Korea, and Japan; and

• EAME/SW Asia management, which consists of our management of properties located primarily in Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and India, as well as countries along the Persian Gulf and the Arabian Sea. As a part of our realignment, costs incurred in our global development efforts, which were previously aggregated in corporate and other have been allocated to the operating and reporting segment to which they relate. The results of our vacation ownership business, Hyatt co-branded credit card, and unallocated corporate overhead continue to be reported within corporate and other. See Note 15 for further discussion of our segment structure.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we announced a number of transactions that are consistent with and a demonstration of our strategy to recycle assets. We sold four full service hotels, including two Andaz properties and two Hyatt Regency properties, for a total of \$263 million, net of closing costs. As part of these transactions, we entered into long-term management or franchise agreements to maintain our presence in these properties. In August 2013, we entered the all-inclusive resort business with an investment in Playa, an owner, operator and developer of all-inclusive resorts. The investment will increase our presence with resorts in the Dominican Republic, Mexico and Jamaica through franchise agreements for six of the 13 resorts in Playa's current portfolio. These six resorts will operate under Hyatt brands after the completion of significant renovations. Two of the six properties are expected to open in the fourth quarter of 2013, while the other four will convert to Hyatt brands in 2014 and 2015. Also, in August 2013, we announced that a wholly owned Hyatt subsidiary planned to purchase the 1,641-room Peabody Orlando hotel for \$717 million. This transaction closed on October 1, 2013 in a like-kind exchange and the hotel has subsequently been rebranded as the Hyatt Regency Orlando.

Our financial performance for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 included an increase in our consolidated revenues of \$49 million, or 5%, compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Owned and leased hotels revenue for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$18 million compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012, which includes a net favorable currency impact of \$1 million. The increase in owned and leased hotels revenue was primarily due to our comparable full service hotels, which had revenue increases of \$26 million and were partially offset by non-comparable hotel revenues. Increases in comparable revenues were primarily driven by higher average daily rates and transient business. Our non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenue decreased \$8 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012, as the impact from hotel sales more than offset increases from acquisitions and new owned and leased hotel openings.

Our management and franchise fees for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, increased \$9 million as compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Fee increases were primarily due to base and incentive fees in EAME/SW Asia for newly converted hotels. Additionally, franchise fees increased from new and converted hotels in the Americas. Our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA for the third quarter of 2013 increased by \$5 million compared to the third quarter of 2012. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012 was driven primarily by the EAME/SW Asia management segment

which had an Adjusted EBITDA increase of \$6 million driven by management fees. Americas management and franchising Adjusted EBITDA increased \$3 million while ASPAC management and franchising and Corporate were flat. Our owned and leased hotels had an Adjusted EBITDA decrease of \$4 million, primarily driven by our noncomparable hotels. See "—Non-GAAP Measure Reconciliation" below, for an explanation of how we use Adjusted EBITDA, why we present it and material limitations on its usefulness.

Comparable full service RevPAR within our Americas management and franchising business increased 7.3% (7.7% excluding the effects of currency) during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was driven by increased average daily rates as well as group and transient occupancy growth in the United States. Comparable select service RevPAR within our Americas management and franchising business increased 4.5% during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase was primarily driven by increased average daily rates.

Our ASPAC management and franchising business had a decrease in comparable RevPAR of 3.0% (or an increase of 2.1% excluding the effects of currency) for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2012, primarily driven by declines in average daily rate. Our performance in the region continues to be negatively impacted by displacement due to renovations as well as weak market conditions in Asia and increased supply in China.

Our EAME/SW Asia management business had comparable RevPAR growth of 1.2% (2.4% excluding the effects of currency) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The growth was driven by increased occupancy in most areas within the region, partially offset by decreased average daily rates in the United Kingdom, which benefited from the Olympics in 2012.

Selling, general and administrative expenses, excluding the impact of the rabbi trust, for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 decreased \$2 million compared to the prior year quarter primarily due to reductions in payroll and related costs and professional fees.

As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$812 million in cash and cash equivalents, investments in highly-rated money market funds and short-term investments. At September 30, 2013, we had available credit facilities with banks for various corporate purposes. The amount of undrawn borrowing availability as of September 30, 2013 was approximately \$1.4 billion.

### Results of Operations

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared with Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

### Consolidated Results

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
(In millions, except percentages)	2013	•			
REVENUES:					
Total revenues	\$1,026	\$977	\$49	5	%
DIRECT AND SELLING, GENERAL, AND					
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:					
Owned and leased hotels	399	382	(17	) (4	)%
Depreciation and amortization	81	88	7	8	%
Other direct costs	10	8	(2	) (25	)%
Selling, general, and administrative	77	75	(2	) (3	)%
Other costs from managed properties	406	384	(22	) (6	)%
Direct and selling, general, and administrative expenses	973	937	(36	) (4	)%
Net gains and interest income from marketable securities	12	8	4	50	%
held to fund operating programs	12	o	4	30	70
Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality	16	(5	) 21	420	%
ventures	10	(3	) 21	420	70
Interest expense	(15	) (18	) 3	17	%
Gains on sales of real estate	26	_	26	100	%
Other income (loss), net	2	(5	) 7	140	%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	94	20	74	370	%
(PROVISION) BENEFIT FOR INCOME TAXES	(39	) 3	(42	) (1,400	)%
NET INCOME	55	23	32	139	%
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING					%
INTERESTS					70
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO HYATT HOTELS	\$55	\$23	\$32	139	%
CORPORATION	Ψ33	Ψ23	Ψ32	137	70
37					

	Nine Months Ended September 30,							
(In millions, except percentages)	2013		2012	Better / (Worse)				
REVENUES:								
Total revenues	\$3,093		\$2,949		\$144		5	%
DIRECT AND SELLING, GENERAL, AND								
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:								
Owned and leased hotels	1,203		1,148		(55	)	(5	)%
Depreciation and amortization	254		263		9		3	%
Other direct costs	25		21		(4	)	(19	)%
Selling, general, and administrative	236		238		2		1	%
Other costs from managed properties	1,197		1,159		(38	)	(3	)%
Direct and selling, general, and administrative expenses	2,915		2,829		(86	)	(3	)%
Net gains and interest income from marketable securities	22		18		4		22	%
held to fund operating programs	22		10		4		22	70
Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality	10		(6	)	16		267	%
ventures	10		(U	)	10		207	70
Interest expense	(48	)	(53	)	5		9	%
Asset impairments	(11	)	_		(11	)	(100	)%
Gains on sales of real estate	125		_		125		100	%
Other income (loss), net	(12	)	12		(24	)	(200	)%
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	264		91		173		190	%
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(89	)	(19	)	(70	)	(368	)%
NET INCOME	175		72		103		143	%
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING								%
INTERESTS			_		_		_	70
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO HYATT HOTELS	\$175		\$72		\$103		143	%
CORPORATION	φ1/3		Φ12		φ1U3		143	70

Revenues. Consolidated revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013, increased \$49 million, or 5%, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, which included \$1 million net favorable foreign currency impacts, and a \$22 million increase in other revenues from managed properties. Consolidated revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased \$144 million, or 5%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, including net favorable foreign currency impacts of \$2 million, and a \$38 million increase in other revenues from managed properties.

Other revenues from managed properties includes an increase of \$3 million and \$4 million resulting from changes in the underlying assets for our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. These gains are offset in other costs from managed properties, thus having no net impact to our earnings. Excluding these amounts, other revenues from managed properties increased \$19 million, or 5%, in the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012 and \$34 million, or 3%, in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. This increase in other revenues from managed properties was due to a higher volume of reimbursements paid to us by our managed properties, which was driven in part by new hotels and owned hotels that have been sold subject to long-term management agreements in 2013 and 2012.

Owned and leased hotels revenues increased \$18 million and \$81 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Comparable owned and leased hotels revenue increased \$26 million and \$67 million during the three and nine months ending September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in the prior year, which includes net favorable foreign currency impacts of \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively. The increases during the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the same period in the prior year, were primarily driven by comparable full

service hotels in the United States, which benefited from higher average daily rates, as well as increased demand. The increases during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were primarily due to

transient business in the United States. Non-comparable owned and leased hotels revenue decreased \$8 million and increased \$14 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Hotels sold over the comparative periods in 2013 and 2012 are as follows: two full service hotels sold in the second quarter of 2013, four full service hotels sold in the third quarter of 2013, and a total of 11 select service hotels sold in the first quarter of 2013 and the fourth quarter of 2012. The owned and leased hotel acquisitions or openings impacting the comparative periods in 2013 and 2012 are as follows: the purchase of two full service hotels and the opening of one full service leased hotel in 2012 and the purchase of one full service hotel in 2013.

Management fees increased \$9 million and \$21 million during the three and nine month periods ending September 30, 2013, respectively, when compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increases include an insignificant and \$1 million unfavorable currency impact in both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013. Included in consolidated management fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 were base management fees of \$41 million and \$121 million, respectively, an 11% and 5% increase from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Incentive management fees were \$20 million and \$80 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, an 11% and 14% increase compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The increases in fees were primarily driven by increases in base management and incentive fees from hotels newly converted to Hyatt brands in EAME/SW Asia, which are seasonally stronger in the second and third quarters, as well as franchise fees from new and recently converted properties in the Americas. Other revenues, which includes our vacation ownership business and our co-branded credit card, were flat and increased \$4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The tables below provide a breakdown of revenues by segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

For further discussion of segment revenues for the periods presented, please refer to "—Segment Results" below.

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended September 30,				
(in millions, except percentages)	2013	2012	Better / (Worse)			
Owned and leased hotels	\$521	\$503	\$18	4	%	
Americas management and franchising	437	425	12	3	%	
ASPAC management and franchising	35	28	7	25	%	
EAME/SW Asia management	30	20	10	50	%	
Corporate and other	27	25	2	8	%	
Eliminations	(24	) (24	) —	_	%	
Consolidated revenues	\$1,026	\$977	\$49	5	%	
	Nine Mon	ths Ended Se	ptember 30,			
(in millions, except percentages)	2013	2012	Better / (Worse)			
Owned and leased hotels	\$1,585	\$1,504	\$81	5	%	
Americas management and franchising	1,302	1,295	7	1	%	
ASPAC management and franchising	110	88	22	25	%	
EAME/SW Asia management	95	64	31	48	%	
Corporate and other	77	70	7	10	%	
Eliminations	(76	) (72	) (4	) (6	)%	
Consolidated revenues	\$3,093	\$2,949	\$144	5	%	
	11 . 1	. 11 (	h 1 /7 '11'	1 4 5 5 111	1	

Owned and leased hotels expense. Owned and leased hotels expense increased by \$17 million and \$55 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increases were driven by comparable owned and leased hotels expense which increased \$19 million and \$37 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, primarily driven by higher payroll and related costs, rent expense, and higher property taxes. Non-comparable owned and leased hotels expense decreased \$3 million and increased \$16 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The decrease in the three months ended September 30, 2013

compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily driven by six

full service and 11 select service hotels sold in 2013 and 2012, partially offset by hotels that were purchased or opened during the same periods. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was largely due to the purchase of three full service hotels and the opening of one full service leased hotel in 2013 and 2012, partially offset by the aforementioned sold hotels. Additionally, expenses recognized with respect to our employee benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust increased \$1 million and \$2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the respective periods in 2012. In each reporting period, changes in these expenses are fully offset to the account net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs, thus having no net impact to our earnings.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased by \$7 million and \$9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months.

million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was driven by non-comparable properties due to hotels sold, accelerated amortization of an intangible asset in 2012 that did not recur in 2013 and a reduction in depreciation expense at certain select service hotels.

Other direct costs. Other direct costs, which represent costs associated with our vacation ownership operations and our co-branded credit card, increased \$2 million and \$4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to direct costs of our vacation ownership operations.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$2 million, or 3%, and decreased by \$2 million, or 1%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Included in selling, general and administrative expenses is the financial performance of the investment securities held in a rabbi trust to fund certain benefit programs. The financial performance of these investments resulted in an increase in costs of \$4 million and \$5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These expenses are offset in net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs, thus having no net impact to our earnings.

Excluding the rabbi trust amounts, selling, general and administrative costs decreased \$2 million, or 3%, and decreased \$7 million, or 3%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, the \$2 million decrease was driven by payroll and related costs and professional fees related to development activities. The \$7 million decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to costs incurred last year that did not recur this year, including expenses incurred in connection with the rebranding of Hyatt House and a reduction in corporate technology expenses. Payroll and related costs also decreased in the nine month period as compared to the same period last year. These decreases were partially offset by increased legal fees during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs. Net gains and interest income from marketable securities held to fund operating programs includes securities held to fund our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust and securities held to fund our Hyatt Gold Passport program. These securities generated net gains of \$12 million and \$22 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to net gains of \$8 million and \$18 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Marketable securities held to fund our benefit programs funded through a rabbi trust resulted in net gains of \$12 million and \$23 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to net gains of \$7 million and \$16 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These changes are driven by the market performance of the underlying securities. The gains on securities held in the rabbi trust are offset by our owned and leased hotels expense for our hotel staff and selling, general and administrative expenses for our corporate staff and personnel supporting our business segments, having no net impact on our earnings. Of the \$5 million change in the underlying securities in the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, \$4 million was offset in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$1 million was offset in owned and leased hotel expenses. Of the \$7 million change in the underlying securities in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$5

million was offset in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$2 million was offset in owned and leased hotel expenses.

Marketable securities held to fund our Gold Passport program and related to our owned and leased hotels were flat and generated a net loss of \$1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013,

respectively, compared to net gains of \$1 million and \$2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The gains and losses on securities held to fund our Gold Passport program and related to our owned and leased hotels are offset by corresponding changes to our owned and leased hotel revenues, thus having no net impact on our earnings.

Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures. Equity earnings from unconsolidated hospitality ventures were \$16 million and \$10 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to equity losses from unconsolidated hospitality ventures of \$5 million and \$6 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The \$21 million and \$16 million increases during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 were driven by a \$8 million increase in distributions, an \$8 million gain in connection with the sale of residential properties, and increased net income generated from the ventures. These increases were partially offset by increased pre-opening costs for a hotel in Hawaii that opened in the third quarter of 2013.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$3 million and \$5 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The reduction in interest expense was primarily due to an increase in capitalized interest for construction in progress. Additionally, the impact of all of our debt transactions in the second quarter of 2013 reduced our interest expense following the redemption of our 2015 Notes, the partial tender of our 2019 Notes and the issuance of our 2023 Notes. Asset impairments. Asset impairments, which are recorded as necessary based on our regular evaluation of impairment indicators, were \$11 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. There were no impairment charges recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2013 or the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The impairment charges in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 related to property and equipment within our owned and leased segment. In addition, we identified that a 10% change in our estimates of projected future operating cash flows, discount rates, or terminal value growth rates used in our calculations of the fair values of the goodwill reporting units could result in an impairment charge of up to the entire \$14 million goodwill balance of one of our reporting units as of September 30, 2013. The Company will continue to monitor the impact of the current economic environment on the goodwill of our reporting units.

Other income (loss), net. Other income (loss), net, increased by \$7 million and decreased by \$24 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The increase during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to costs incurred for the Company's realignment last year, partially offset by lower interest income and higher transaction costs in the current year. The decrease during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to costs incurred in connection with the settlement of our 2015 Notes and the partial tender of our 2019 Notes as well as a contribution to Hyatt Thrive Foundation, partially offset by a gain on sale of artwork. The tables below provide a breakdown of other income (loss), net, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Three Months Ended September 30,					
2013	2012	Better / (Worse)			
\$4	\$6	\$(2	)		
1	_	1			
(1	) (1	) —			
_	(12	) 12			
(3	) —	(3	)		
1	2	(1	)		
\$2	\$(5	\$7			
	2013 \$4 1 (1 —————————————————————————————————	2013 2012 \$4 \$6 1 — (12 (3 ) — (12 1 2	2013 2012 Better / (Worse) \$4 \$6 \$(2)  1 (1		

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents costs incurred as part of the realignment of corporate and regional operations and includes employee separation costs, consulting fees, and legal fees.

Represents transaction costs incurred in connection with our investment in Playa and to acquire the Hyatt Regency Orlando.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
(in millions)	2013	2012	Better / (W	Better / (Worse)			
Interest income	\$14	\$17	\$(3	)			
Cost method investment income	4	_	4				
Debt settlement costs (1)	(35	) —	(35	)			
Charitable contribution to Hyatt Thrive Foundation (2)	(20	) —	(20	)			
Gain on sale of artwork	29	_	29				
Gains on other marketable securities	1	17	(16	)			
Foreign currency losses	(4	) (3	) (1	)			
Realignment costs (3)	_	(19	) 19				
Transaction costs (4)	(3	) (1	) (2	)			
Other	2	1	1				
Other income (loss), net	\$(12	) \$12	\$(24	)			

Debt settlement costs primarily represent \$40 million in premiums partially offset by a \$7 million gain related to (1)the unamortized benefit from the settlement of the interest rate swaps on the 2015 Notes and other non-cash charges.

- (2) During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we committed to fund \$20 million to a charitable foundation with the intent that the foundation will fund charitable activities over time.
- (3) Represents costs incurred as part of the realignment of corporate and regional operations and includes employee separation costs, consulting fees, and legal fees.
- (4) Represents transaction costs incurred in connection with our investment in Playa and to acquire the Hyatt Regency Orlando.

Provision (benefit) for income taxes. Our effective income tax rate was a provision of 41.3% and a benefit of 17.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective income tax rates were a provision of 33.8% and 20.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to a shift in our earnings mix to locations with higher tax rates, offset by a benefit of \$3 million related to the reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the quarter.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the effective tax rate differs from the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the impact of a shift in our earnings mix to locations with higher tax rates. This increase is offset by a \$4 million benefit for an adjustment to the opening balance of certain deferred tax assets, a benefit of \$3 million (including \$1 million interest) related to the settlement of tax audits, a benefit of \$4 million (including \$2 million of interest and penalties) related to the expiration of statutes of limitations in certain foreign locations, a benefit of \$3 million related to the reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the third quarter, and a benefit of \$2 million with respect to foreign currency fluctuations related to uncertain tax positions.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the effective tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of foreign tax credits of \$8 million and a benefit of \$3 million from a reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the quarter. In addition, a benefit of \$2 million was realized due to the release of tax reserves related to issues that were resolved with our ongoing U.S. federal tax audit of prior years.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the effective tax rate is lower than the U.S. statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the recognition of foreign tax credits of \$17 million, a release of \$5 million in reserves for interest related to our treatment for expensing certain renovation costs in prior years and a benefit of \$3 million from a reduction in statutory tax rates enacted by foreign jurisdictions during the third quarter. The rate was further reduced by a benefit of \$2 million that was realized due to the release of tax reserves related to issues that were resolved with our U.S. federal tax audit of prior years. These benefits are partially offset by a provision of

approximately \$7 million resulting from a reduction in the deferred tax assets of certain non-consolidated investments as well as a provision of approximately \$7 million (including \$2 million of interest and penalties) for uncertain tax positions in foreign jurisdictions.

#### Segment Results

We evaluate segment operating performance using segment revenue and segment Adjusted EBITDA, as described in Note 15. The segment results presented below are presented before intersegment eliminations.

Our results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 reflect the segment structure of our organization following our realignment, which was effective during the fourth quarter of 2012. Segment results presented here for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, have been recast to show our results as if our new operating structure had existed in these periods.

Owned and Leased Hotels. Revenues increased \$18 million and \$81 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included \$1 million and \$3 million in net favorable currency impacts, respectively.

Worldwide comparable hotel revenues increased \$26 million and \$67 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$24 million and \$57 million was from full service hotels, respectively, and \$2 million and \$10 million was from select service hotels, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, revenue growth at our comparable full service hotels was driven by higher average daily rates and increased occupancy in the Americas. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 benefited largely from transient business in the United States. Comparable owned and leased hotel revenues also benefited from a lease termination fee during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods in the prior year. Revenue growth at comparable select service hotels for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was driven by increased average daily rates. Comparable RevPAR at our owned and leased hotels in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by 6.0% and 5.9% compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These increases were driven primarily by average daily rate growth, as well as increased group and transient demand during the three and nine month periods.

Non-comparable owned and leased hotel revenues decreased \$8 million and increased \$14 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease during the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily driven by six full service hotels sold in 2013, three select service hotels sold in the first quarter of 2013, and eight select service hotels sold in the fourth quarter of 2012. This decrease was partially offset by three full service hotels either purchased or newly opened in 2012 and 2013. The \$14 million increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily driven by the purchase of three full service hotels and the opening of one full service leased hotel during 2013 and 2012, partially offset by the aforementioned full service hotels sold, which primarily occurred in the second and third quarter of 2013, and thus had less of an impact on the nine month comparative period. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we removed four full service properties that were sold in the period from the comparable owned and leased hotel results and moved them to the non-comparable owned and leased hotel results. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we removed the six full service and three select service properties that we sold during that period from the comparable owned and leased hotel results.

	Three Months Ended September 30,												
	RevPA	.R		Occ	upan	cy				ADR			
(Comparable Owned and Leased Hotels)	2013	2012	Better / (Worse)	201	3	2012		Chang Occ %	e in pts	2013	2012	Better (Wor	
Full Service	\$165	\$155	6.4	% 78.i	3 %	76.3	%	2.0	%	\$211	\$203	3.6	%
Select Service	94	90	4.4	% 81.	l %	82.7	%	(1.6	)%	116	109	6.5	%
Total Owned and Leased Hotels	\$144	\$135	6.0	% 79.	2 %	78.2	%	1.0	%	\$181	\$173	4.8	%

	Three Mor	nths Ended Septe	ember 30,		
(in millions except percentages)	2013	2012	Better / (	(Worse)	
Segment Revenues	\$521	\$503	\$18	3.6	%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$111	\$115	\$(4	) (3.5	)%
43					

	Nine N	Months E	Ended S	Septer	mber 3	0,								
	RevPA	λR			Occup	oano	су				ADR			
(Comparable Owned and Leased Hotels)	2013	2012	Bette (Wor		2013		2012		Chang Occ %		2013	2012	Bette (Wor	
Full Service	\$162	\$153	5.8	%	75.6	%	74.8	%	0.8	%	\$214	\$204	4.7	%
Select Service	89	84	6.3	%	79.0	%	78.5	%	0.5	%	113	107	5.6	%
Total Owned and Leased Hotels	\$140	\$132	5.9	%	76.6	%	75.9	%	0.7	%	\$182	\$174	4.9	%
			N	Nine I	Months	En	ded Se	epte	mber 3	0,				
(in millions except percentag	es)		2	2013			2012			Bette	r / (Wo	rse)		
Segment Revenues			\$	1,58	5		\$1,50	4		\$81		5.4		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA			\$	351			\$340			\$11		3.2		%

Adjusted EBITDA decreased by \$4 million and increased by \$11 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included insignificant currency impacts. Adjusted EBITDA at our comparable owned and leased properties increased \$5 million and \$22 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same period in 2012, due primarily to increased average daily rates at our full service and select service hotels in the United States, partially offset by higher compensation and related expenses, higher rent expense, and higher property taxes. Our comparable hotels in ASPAC and EAME/SW Asia were negatively impacted by weak market conditions in Seoul and difficult market conditions in London due to the Olympics in 2012. Non-comparable hotels decreased \$4 million and \$1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to the sale of the aforementioned full service and select service hotels, partially offset by the hotels purchased in 2012 and 2013. Adjusted EBITDA at our joint venture hotels decreased \$5 million and \$10 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Pre-opening costs for a hospitality venture hotel in Hawaii, which opened in the third quarter of 2013, negatively impacted Adjusted EBITDA \$3 million and \$4 million, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The sale of two hospitality venture hotels in the third quarter of 2012, decreased Adjusted EBITDA \$2 million and \$5 million, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Americas management and franchising. Americas management and franchising total segment revenues increased by \$12 million and \$7 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included insignificant currency impacts. Other revenues from managed properties increased by \$6 million, or 1.6%, and decreased by \$5 million, or 0.5%, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Management and franchise fees increased \$6 million and \$12 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to a \$4 million and \$8 million increase in franchise fees, respectively. Management fees increased \$2 million and \$4 million during the three and nine month periods, respectively. The increase in franchise fees is primarily due to new and recently converted hotels. The increase in management fees during the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior year was driven by full service hotels which experienced increases in both occupancy and average daily rate. The increases in management fees during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were primarily due to increases in average daily rate for transient and group business as well as transient occupancy growth during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our full service hotels comparable RevPAR improved 7.3% and 5.2% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increase during the three

months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to increased average daily rates, with increases in occupancy from group and transient demand also contributing to the improved RevPAR. The increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily driven by increases in average daily rate as well as transient occupancy.

Comparable RevPAR at our select service hotels in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by 4.5% and 5.6% compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, driven primarily by average daily rate growth.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, no properties left the comparable Americas full service systemwide hotels. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we removed two properties that left the chain in late 2012 and one property that was undergoing a significant renovation from the comparable Americas full service systemwide hotels. We did not remove any properties from the comparable Americas select service systemwide hotels in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30,													
	RevPA	.R			Occu	pano	су				ADR			
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better (Work		2013		2012			nge in % pts	2013	2012	Better (Wors	
Americas Full Service	\$138	\$128	7.3	%	78.4	%	75.9	%	2.5	%	\$175	\$169	3.9	%
Americas Select Service	86	83	4.5	%	79.2	%	78.7	%	0.5	%	109	105	3.8	%
				Three	e Mon	ths I	Ended	Sep	tembe	er 30.				
(in millions except percenta	ges)			2013			2012	•			er / (Wo	orse)		
Segment Revenues	<i>5</i> /										/ (	)		
Management and Franchise	Fees			\$65			\$59			\$6		10.2	2	%
Other Revenues from Mana		erties		372			366			6		1.6		%
Total Segment Revenues						\$425 \$1			\$12		2.8		%	
Segment Adjusted EBITDA			\$52				\$49			\$3		6.1		%
-	Nine Months Ended September 30,													
	RevPA	R			Occu	pano	су				ADR			
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better (Wors		2013		2012			nge in % pts	2013	2012	Better (Wors	
Americas Full Service	\$134	\$128	5.2	%	75.4	%	74.6	%		· %	\$178	\$171	4.0	%
Americas Select Service	84	80	5.6	%	77.3	%	76.1	%	1.2	%	109	105	3.9	%
				Nine	Month	ıs Eı	nded S	epte	mber	30.				
(in millions except percenta	ges)			2013			2012	1			er / (Wo	rse)		
Segment Revenues	,											,		
Management and Franchise	Fees			\$204			\$192			\$12		6.3		%
Other Revenues from Mana	ged Prop	erties		1,098			1,103			(5		) (0.5		)%
Total Segment Revenues				\$1,30	2		\$1,29	5		\$7		0.5		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA				\$162			\$149			\$13		8.7		%
Adjusted EBITDA increased	Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$3 million and \$13 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013,						d ni	ptember	30, 201					

Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$3 million and \$13 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to increased management and franchise fees, partially offset by increased legal fees and sales and marketing expenses. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year was driven primarily by increased management and franchise fees and lower selling, general and administrative fees. The lower selling, general and administrative fees were primarily due to favorable cost comparisons related to the rebranding of Hyatt House in 2012 and lower bad debt expense in the current year, partially offset by increased payroll and related costs and professional fees.

ASPAC management and franchising. ASPAC management and franchising segment revenues increased by \$7 million and \$22 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included insignificant and \$1 million in net unfavorable currency

impacts, respectively.

Management and franchise fees decreased \$2 million and \$4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decreases in management and franchise fees were driven by continued occupancy declines in China due to government austerity measures and increased supply in certain markets. Renovations at several of our large managed properties in the region and slower economic activity in Asia also added to the decline in fees. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, RevPAR decreased 3.0% (or increased 2.1% excluding the unfavorable currency impact) and 3.0% (or increased 0.3% excluding the unfavorable currency impact) compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Excluding the aforementioned unfavorable currency impacts, RevPAR for the three and nine months was up slightly, as most of the ASPAC region, outside of China and South Korea, improved year over year.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, no properties left the comparable ASPAC full service systemwide hotels. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we removed two properties from the comparable ASPAC full service systemwide hotels due to significant renovations at those properties.

	Three Months Ended September 30,												
	RevPA	AR.			Occu	pan	су			ADR			
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better (Worse		2013		2012		Change in Occ % pts		2012	Better / (Worse	
ASPAC Full Service	\$151	\$156	(3.0	)%	69.0	%	67.9	%	1.1 %	\$219	\$230	(4.5	)%
				Thre	e Mor	nths	Ended	Sen	tember 30,				
(in millions except percent	ages)			2013		10115	2012	_		ter / (Wo	orse)		
Segment Revenues	,									•	ŕ		
Management and Franchise	e Fees			\$17			\$19		\$(2		) (10.	5	)%
Other Revenues from Man	aged Pro	operties		18			9		9		100	.0	%
Total Segment Revenues		_		\$35			\$28		\$7		25.0	)	%
Segment Adjusted EBITD	A			\$9			\$9		\$		_		%
		Months E	nded Se	ptem						4 DD			
(C 11 C 11	RevPA	AK.	D	,	Occu	pan	су		CI :	ADR		D	,
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better (Worse		2013		2012		Change in Occ % pts		2012	Better / (Worse	
ASPAC Full Service	\$151	\$155	(3.0	)%	67.0	%	66.3	%	_	\$225	\$234	(4.0	)%
				Nine	- Mont	he I	Ended S	Sente	ember 30,				
(in millions except percent	ages)			2013		.115 1	2012	•		ter / (Wo	orse)		
Segment Revenues	uges)			201.	,		2012		Det	.01 / ( * * *	3150)		
Management and Franchise	e Fees			\$58			\$62		\$(4		) (6.5		)%
Other Revenues from Man		operties		52			26		26		100		%
Total Segment Revenues		орчино		\$11	0		\$88		\$22		25.0		%
Segment Adjusted EBITD	A			\$32			\$31		\$1		3.2		%
Adjusted EBITDA was flat and increased \$1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013,													

Adjusted EBITDA was flat and increased \$1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included \$1 million and \$1 million in unfavorable currency impacts.

Adjusted EBITDA was flat for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, as lower management and franchise fees were offset by reduced selling, general, and administrative costs, primarily due to lower payroll and related expenses and a reduction in technology expenses. The \$1 million increase in Adjusted EBITDA during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was driven primarily by lower payroll and related costs, a reduction in technology

expenses, and lower legal fees, partially offset by reduced management and franchise fees of \$4 million.

EAME/SW Asia management. EAME/SW Asia management segment revenues increased by \$10 million and \$31 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, which included insignificant currency impacts in each period. Other revenues from managed properties increased \$5 million and \$14 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These increases were driven by new managed hotels in 2013.

Management and franchise fees increased by \$5 million and \$17 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The increase during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was driven by an increase in incentive fees of \$3 million and \$14 million, respectively, primarily from newly converted hotels. The remaining increase in both the three and nine month periods was driven by base fees, also primarily from the newly converted hotels. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, RevPAR increased 1.2% and 3.8% respectively (or 2.4% and 5.0% excluding the unfavorable currency impact). Excluding the unfavorable currency impact, most areas within the region increased RevPAR, with the exception of the United Kingdom which declined significantly due to the Olympics in 2012.

There were no properties removed from the comparable EAME/SW Asia full service hotels portfolio during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30,												
	RevPA	AR.			Occup	pano	су			ADR			
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better / (Worse		2013		2012		Change in Occ % pts	71113	2012	Better / (Worse	
EAME/SW Asia Full Service	\$131	\$130	1.2	%	58.9	%	56.8	%	2.1 %	\$223	\$228	(2.4	)%
				Thr	ee Mo	nths	Ended	Ser	otember 30,				
(in millions except percent	ages)			201			2012	•		tter / (W	orse)		
Segment Revenues													
Management and Franchise	e Fees			\$19	)		\$14		\$5		35.	7	%
Other Revenues from Man	aged Pro	perties		11			6		5		83.		%
<b>Total Segment Revenues</b>				\$30			\$20		\$1		50.		%
Segment Adjusted EBITD	A			\$11			\$5		\$6		120	0.0	%
Nine Months Ended September 30,													
	RevPA		maca se <sub>l</sub>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Occu		cv			ADR			
(Comparable Systemwide Hotels)	2013	2012	Better / (Worse		2013		2012		Change in Occ % pts	7/11/4	2012	Better / (Worse	
EAME/SW Asia Full													
Service	\$145	\$140	3.8	%	63.0	%	59.0	%	4.0 %	\$230	\$237	(2.7	)%
	\$145	\$140	3.8						4.0 %	\$230	\$237	(2.7	)%
	·	\$140	3.8		ne Mon			Sep	4.0 % tember 30,	\$230 tter / (W		(2.7	)%
Service	·	\$140	3.8	Nin	ne Mon		Ended S	Sep	4.0 % tember 30,			(2.7	)%
Service (in millions except percent	ages)	\$140	3.8	Nin	ne Mon		Ended S	Sep	4.0 % tember 30,	tter / (W		·	)%
Service  (in millions except percent Segment Revenues	ages) e Fees		3.8	Nin 201	ne Mon		Ended S 2012	Sep	4.0 % tember 30,	tter / (W	orse)	8	,
(in millions except percent Segment Revenues Management and Franchise Other Revenues from Man Total Segment Revenues	ages) e Fees aged Pro		3.8	Nin 201 \$62 33 \$95	ne Mon 3		Ended \$ 2012 \$45 19 \$64	Sep	4.0 % tember 30, Be \$1 14 \$3	tter / (W 7	(orse) 37. 73. 48.	8 7 4	%
(in millions except percent Segment Revenues Management and Franchise Other Revenues from Man	ages) e Fees aged Pro	pperties		Nin 201 \$62 33 \$95 \$39	ne Mon 3	ths	Ended \$ 2012 \$45 19 \$64 \$19	Sep	4.0 % tember 30, Be \$1 14 \$3 \$2	tter / (W 7 1 0	73. 48. 10.	8 7 4 5.3	% %

respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which included insignificant currency

impacts in both comparative periods. The \$6 million increase in Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 was driven by a \$5 million increase in management fees from newly converted hotels and a \$2 million favorable impact from selling, general, and administrative fees, most notably from lower payroll and related costs and legal fees. The \$20 million increase in Adjusted EBITDA during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year

was primarily attributable to a \$17 million increase in management and franchise fees and a \$5 million reduction in payroll and related costs, partially offset by lower bad debt recoveries in 2013.

Corporate and other. Corporate and other includes unallocated corporate expenses, the results of our vacation ownership business and the results of our co-branded credit card. Corporate and other revenues increased \$2 million and \$7 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The increase during the three months was primarily due to revenues from our co-branded credit card. The increase during the nine months was driven by \$3 million in other revenues from managed properties, \$2 million in revenues from our vacation ownership properties, and \$2 million in revenues from our co-branded credit card.

	I nree IV	ionths En	aea Septen	1ber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
(in millions except percentages)	2013	2012	Better /	(Worse)	2013	2012	Bette	r / (Worse)			
Corporate and other revenues	\$27	\$25	\$2	8.0	% \$77	\$70	\$7	10.0	%		
Corporate and other Adjusted EBITDA	\$(24	) \$(24	) \$—	_	% \$(82	) \$(80	) \$(2	) (2.5	)%		

Adjusted EBITDA was flat and decreased \$2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Adjusted EBITDA was flat during the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior year as the increase in our co-branded credit card revenues were offset by unfavorable other direct costs from our vacation ownership business. The \$2 million decrease in Adjusted EBITDA during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in the prior year was due to increased other costs from managed properties of \$3 million, higher direct costs for our vacation ownership business of \$3 million, increased selling, general, and administrative costs for our unallocated corporate expenses of \$2 million and higher direct costs for our co-branded credit card of \$1 million, which more than offset the \$7 million increase in corporate and other revenues.

Eliminations. Eliminations of \$24 million and \$76 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and eliminations of \$24 million and \$72 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, primarily represent fees charged by our management and franchising segments to our owned and leased hotels for managing their operations.

Non-GAAP Measure Reconciliation

We use the term Adjusted EBITDA throughout this quarterly report. Adjusted EBITDA, as we define it, is a non-GAAP measure. We define consolidated Adjusted EBITDA as net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation plus our pro-rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted EBITDA using our ownership percentage of each venture, adjusted to exclude the following items:

equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures;

asset impairments;

gains on sales of real estate;

other income (loss), net;

depreciation and amortization;

interest expense; and

(provision) benefit for income taxes.

We calculate consolidated Adjusted EBITDA by adding the Adjusted EBITDA of each of our reportable segments to corporate and other Adjusted EBITDA.

Our board of directors and executive management team focus on Adjusted EBITDA as a key performance and compensation measure both on a segment and on a consolidated basis. Adjusted EBITDA assists us in comparing our performance over various reporting periods on a consistent basis because it removes from our operating results the impact of items that do not reflect our core operating performance both on a segment and on a consolidated basis. Our President and Chief Executive Officer, who is our chief operating decision maker, also evaluates the performance of each of our reportable segments and determines how to allocate resources to those segments, in significant part, by

assessing the Adjusted EBITDA of each segment. In addition, the compensation committee of our board of directors determines the annual variable compensation for certain members of our management based in part on consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, segment Adjusted EBITDA or some combination of both.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors because it provides investors the same information that we use internally for purposes of assessing our operating performance and making compensation decisions. Adjusted EBITDA is not a substitute for net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation, net income, cash flows from operating activities or any other measure prescribed by GAAP. There are limitations to using non-GAAP measures such as Adjusted EBITDA. Although we believe that Adjusted EBITDA can make an evaluation of our operating performance more consistent because it removes items that do not reflect our core operations, other companies in our industry may define Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do. As a result, it may be difficult to use Adjusted EBITDA or similarly named non-GAAP measures that other companies may use to compare the performance of those companies to our performance. Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of the income generated by our business or discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business. Our management compensates for these limitations by reference to our GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA supplementally. See our condensed consolidated statements of income and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this quarterly report.

The following table sets forth Adjusted EBITDA by segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Mo	onths Ended Septe	ember 30,		
(in millions, except percentages)	2013	2012	Better / (V	Worse)	
Owned and leased hotels	\$111	\$115	\$(4	) (3.5	)%
Americas management and franchising	52	49	3	6.1	%
ASPAC management and franchising	9	9	_		%
EAME/SW Asia management	11	5	6	120.0	%
Corporate and other	(24	) (24	) —		%
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	\$159	\$154	\$5	3.2	%
	Nine Mor	nths Ended Septer	mber 30,		
(in millions, except percentages)	2013	2012	Better / (V	Worse)	
Owned and leased hotels	\$351	\$340	\$11	3.2	%
Americas management and franchising	162	149	13	8.7	%
ASPAC management and franchising	32	31	1	3.2	%
EAME/SW Asia management	39	19	20	105.3	%
Corporate and other	(82	) (80	) (2	) (2.5	)%
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA	\$502	\$459	\$43	9.4	%

The table below provides a reconciliation of our consolidated Adjusted EBITDA to EBITDA and a reconciliation of EBITDA to net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three M	/Iont	ths Ende	d	Nine M	ont	hs Ende	d
(in millions)	Septem	ber :	30,		Septem	ber	30,	
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$159		\$154		\$502		\$459	
Equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures	16		(5	)	10		(6	)
Asset impairments	_				(11	)		
Gains on sales of real estate	26				125			
Other income (loss), net	2		(5	)	(12	)	12	
Pro rata share of unconsolidated hospitality ventures Adjusted	(13	)	(18	)	(48	`	(58	`
EBITDA	(13	,	(10	,	(40	,	(36	,
EBITDA	190		126		566		407	
Depreciation and amortization	(81	)	(88)	)	(254	)	(263	)
Interest expense	(15	)	(18	)	(48	)	(53	)
(Provision) benefit for income taxes	(39	)	3		(89	)	(19	)
Net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation	\$55		\$23		\$175		\$72	

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We finance our business primarily with existing cash, short-term investments and cash generated from our operations. As part of our business strategy, we also recycle capital by using net proceeds from dispositions to support acquisitions and new investment opportunities. When appropriate, we will borrow cash under our revolving credit facility or from other third party sources, and may also raise funds by issuing debt or equity securities as necessary. We maintain a cash investment policy that emphasizes preservation of capital. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$812 million and \$927 million, respectively. We believe that our cash position, short-term investments and cash from operations, together with borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility and our access to the capital markets, will be adequate to meet all of our funding requirements and capital deployment objectives for the foreseeable future.

We may, from time to time, seek to retire or purchase additional amounts of our outstanding equity and/or debt securities through cash purchases and/or exchanges for other securities, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we continued to make purchases of our common stock under our previously approved repurchase program. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we repurchased \$29 million and \$252 million of the Company's common stock, respectively. The common stock repurchase program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares of common stock and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. See Note 12 for further details of our existing 2013 repurchase plan which was announced on May 1, 2013 and provides authorization for the Company to repurchase an additional \$200 million of common stock. See Note 18 for further details of our New Repurchase Plan, which was announced on October 30, 2013 and provides authorization for the Company to repurchase an additional \$200 million of common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we entered into management agreements and a related

performance guarantee with a third party that acquired four hotels in France. The performance guarantee does not have an annual cap and the maximum total commitment under the performance guarantee at inception is Euro 377 million, or \$509 million, using exchange rates as of September 30, 2013. Our current expectation is that the likelihood of a significant payment under the performance guarantee in 2013 is low. We became subject to the guarantee during the second quarter of 2013 with the conversion of the hotels to Hyatt management. See Note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed our outstanding 2015 Notes which had an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million outstanding. In accordance with the terms of the 2015 Notes, the redemption price was \$278 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we also completed a cash tender offer to repurchase any and all of our outstanding 2019 Notes. Prior to the repurchase we had an aggregate principal amount of \$250 million outstanding, of which \$54 million was repurchased. An early tender premium of \$12 million was paid in relation to this purchase making the total cash outflow related to the tender offer \$66 million. The remaining \$196 million of the 2019 Notes remains on our balance sheet subject to the original terms of the note agreement. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we announced that a wholly owned Hyatt subsidiary closed on its investment in Playa, a company that has been formed to own, operate and develop all-inclusive resorts. Hyatt invested a total of \$325 million in Playa during the third quarter of 2013 in return for \$100 million in common shares and \$225 million in preferred shares. See Note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information. In October 2013, we acquired the hotel formerly known as The Peabody Orlando hotel in a like-kind exchange transaction, for approximately \$717 million. The hotel has subsequently been rebranded as Hyatt Regency Orlando. The details of the transaction were previously disclosed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 1, 2013.

#### Sources and Uses of Cash

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$774 million and \$413 million, respectively. Additionally, we had short-term investments in certificates of deposit and other marketable securities of \$38 million and \$514 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

	Nine Mon	ths Ended Septe	mber
(in millions)	30,		
	2013	2012	
Cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$356	\$366	
Investing activities	178	(419	)
Financing activities	(173	) (30	)
Effects of changes in exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	_	(5	)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$361	\$(88	)

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities totaled \$356 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$366 million in the same period last year. Cash flow from operations decreased in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 when compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as a larger portion of net income in 2013 was driven by investing activities, including the gains on sales of real estate and artwork. Additionally, changes in working capital had a negative impact to operating cash flows due to timing of certain receivables and payables. Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash flows provided by investing activities totaled \$178 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$419 million in the same period last year. The increase in cash provided by investing activities is primarily due to dispositions during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, higher net proceeds from the sale and maturity of securities compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and proceeds from notes receivables during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Specific activity in each period is as follows:

## 2013 Activity:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had a total of \$437 million in net proceeds from marketable securities and short-term investments.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold six full service properties for \$423 million, net of closing costs, of which \$363 million is classified as restricted cash in anticipation of consummation of a like-kind exchange agreement.

During the third quarter of 2013, we received proceeds of \$277 million related to a mortgage loan receivable. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we released \$44 million from restricted cash in conjunction with the sale of four Hyatt Place properties in 2012, as a like-kind exchange was not executed within the allowable time frame.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold three Hyatt Place properties for a combined \$36 million, net of closing costs.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we invested a total of \$416 million in unconsolidated hospitality ventures, which included \$325 million for an investment in Playa and \$66 million related to our commitment to the development of a hotel property in the state of Hawaii.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, capital expenditures were \$150 million (see "Capital Expenditures" below).

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we acquired The Driskill in Austin, Texas for a purchase price of \$85 million.

#### 2012 Activity:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we acquired a full service hotel in Mexico City, Mexico for a net purchase price of \$190 million, of which \$10 million was held in restricted cash at September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, capital expenditures were \$210 million (see "Capital Expenditures" below).

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we invested a total of \$52 million in unconsolidated hospitality ventures, which included \$45 million related to our commitment to the development of a hotel property in the state of Hawaii

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, we executed a \$50 million note related to the development of a property in New York City.

During the third quarter 2012, we had a total of \$68 million in net proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities and sales of equity securities owned by Hyatt.

During the third quarter of 2012, we sold our interest in two equity method investments, which owned and operated hotels, to a third party for \$52 million.

#### Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$173 million and \$30 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed all of our outstanding 2015 Notes for an aggregate redemption price of \$278 million. In addition, we completed a tender offer on our 2019 Notes, of which \$66 million in aggregate was paid. In conjunction with the aforementioned debt redemption and tender offer, we issued and sold \$350 million of 2023 Notes and received proceeds of \$345 million. In addition, the Company repurchased 6,136,089 shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$252 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, we had no drawings on our revolving credit facility, but we drew \$33 million on a construction loan for the development of a hotel in Brazil during the nine months ended September 30, 2013

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 911,244 shares of Class A common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$35 million, of which \$33 million was paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The following is a summary of our debt to capital ratios:

(in millions, avant parantages)	September 30,		December 31,	
(in millions, except percentages)	2013		2012	
Consolidated debt (1)	\$1,306		\$1,233	
Stockholders' equity	4,743		4,811	
Total capital	6,049		6,044	
Total debt to total capital	21.6	%	20.4	%
Consolidated debt (1)	1,306		1,233	
Less: Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments	812		927	
Net consolidated debt (cash and short-term investments)	\$494		\$306	
Net debt to total capital	8.2	%	5.1	%

Excludes approximately \$737 million and \$568 million of our share of unconsolidated hospitality venture (1) indebtedness as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, substantially all of which is non-recourse to us.

#### Capital Expenditures

We routinely make capital expenditures to enhance our business. We classify our capital expenditures into maintenance, enhancements to existing properties and investment in new properties.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had total capital expenditures of \$150 million, which includes \$63 million for maintenance, \$40 million for enhancements to existing properties and \$47 million for investment in new properties. During the comparable period in 2012, our total capital expenditures were \$210 million, which included \$114 million for enhancements to existing properties, \$64 million for maintenance and \$32 million for investment in new properties. We have been and will continue to be prudent with respect to our capital spending, taking into account our cash flow from operations.

## Senior Notes

The following table below sets forth the principal of our Senior Notes. Interest on the Senior Notes is payable semi-annually.

Description	Principal Amount
Description	(in millions)
2016 Notes	\$250
2019 Notes	196
2021 Notes	250
2023 Notes	350
Total	\$1,046

We are in compliance with all applicable covenants under the indenture governing our Senior Notes as of September 30, 2013. See Notes 8 for further discussion on our Senior Notes.

**Revolving Credit Facility** 

We draw upon our credit facility to provide financing for working capital and general corporate purposes, including commercial paper back-up and permitted investments and acquisitions. The borrowing availability under our revolving credit facility is \$1.5 billion and the facility's expiration is September 9, 2016. There were no borrowings under the revolving credit facility during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There was no outstanding balance on this credit facility at September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

We had \$101 million and \$105 million in outstanding undrawn letters of credit that are issued under our revolving credit facility (which reduces the availability thereunder by the corresponding amount) as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

We are in compliance with all applicable covenants as of September 30, 2013.

Letters of Credit

We issue letters of credit either under the revolving credit facility or directly with financial institutions. We had \$114 million and \$120 million in letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We had letters of credit issued directly with financial institutions of \$13 million and \$15 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. These letters of credit had weighted average fees of 129 basis points at September 30, 2013. The range of maturity on these letters of credit was up to one year as of September 30, 2013. Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. We have discussed those estimates that we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application in our 2012 Form 10-K. Since the date of our 2012 Form 10-K, we have entered into a Euro 377 million, or \$509 million (using exchange rates as of September 30, 2013) performance guarantee, and as a result, we are including here our policy for accounting for guarantees, which was initially included in our 2012 Form 10-K.

#### Guarantees

We enter into performance guarantees related to certain hotels that we manage or debt repayment guarantees with respect to certain hotels in which we hold an equity investment. We record a liability for the fair value of these performance and debt repayment guarantees at their inception date. The offset depends on the circumstances in which the guarantee was issued. We amortize the liability for the fair value of a guarantee into income over the term of the guarantee using a systematic and rational, risk-based approach. Performance guarantees are amortized into income in other income (loss), net in the condensed consolidated income statement and debt repayment guarantees that relate to our equity method investments are amortized into income in equity earnings (losses) from unconsolidated hospitality ventures in the condensed consolidated income statement. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate the likelihood of funding a guarantee. To the extent we determine an obligation to fund under a guarantee is both probable and estimable, we will record a separate contingent liability. The expense related to the separate contingent liability is recognized in other income (loss), net in the period that we determine funding is probable.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to market risk primarily from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. In certain situations, we seek to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates by entering into financial arrangements to provide a hedge against a portion of the risks associated with such volatility. We continue to have exposure to such risks to the extent they are not hedged. We enter into derivative financial arrangements to the extent they meet the objectives described above, and we do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. At September 30, 2013, we were a party to hedging transactions, including the use of derivative financial instruments, as discussed below.

#### Interest Rate Risk

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes due to our borrowing activities. Our objective is to manage the risk of interest rate changes on the results of operations, cash flows, and the market value of our debt by creating an appropriate balance between our fixed and floating-rate debt. Interest rate derivative transactions, including interest rate swaps, are entered into to maintain a level of exposure to interest rate variability that the Company deems acceptable.

After the issuance of our 2015 Notes, we entered into eight \$25 million interest rate swap contracts, each of which was set to expire on August 15, 2015. Taken together, these eight interest rate swap contracts effectively converted a total of \$200 million of the \$250 million 2015 Notes to floating rate debt based on three-month LIBOR plus a fixed rate component. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we terminated four of the eight \$25 million interest rate swap contracts, for which we received cash payments of \$8 million to settle the fair value of the swaps. The cash received from the termination of the four swaps was being amortized from the settlement date as a benefit to interest expense over the remaining term of the 2015 Notes.

As of December 31, 2012, we still held a total of four \$25 million interest rate swap contracts, each of which was set to expire on August 15, 2015. Taken together, these four swap contracts effectively converted a total of \$100 million of the \$250 million of our 2015 Notes to floating rate debt based on three-month LIBOR plus a fixed rate component. The fixed rate component of the four swaps varied by contract, ranging from 4.5675% to 4.77%. The interest rate swaps were designated as fair value hedges as their objective was to protect the 2015 Notes against changes in fair value due to changes in the three-month LIBOR interest rate. The swaps were designated as fair value hedges at inception and at December 31, 2012 were highly effective in offsetting fluctuations in the fair value of the 2015 Notes prior to their redemption during the nine month period ending September 30, 2013.

At December 31, 2012, the fixed to floating interest rate swaps were recorded within other assets at a value of \$1 million offset by a fair value adjustment to long-term debt of \$1 million. At December 31, 2012, the difference between the other asset value and fair market value adjustment to long-term debt includes the ineffective portion of the swap life-to-date which was insignificant.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we redeemed all of our 2015 Notes (see Note 8) and settled the remaining four outstanding swap agreements. At the time the 2015 Notes were redeemed, we recognized a gain of \$7 million, which included the remaining unamortized benefit from the settlement of the initial four swaps during 2012 of \$5 million and a gain on the remaining four swaps of \$2 million that were terminated in 2013 in anticipation of the redemption of the 2015 Notes. The gain is included within debt settlement costs in other income (loss), net on the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Foreign Currency Exposures and Exchange Rate Instruments

We transact business in various foreign currencies and utilize foreign currency forward contracts to offset our exposure associated with the fluctuations of certain foreign currencies. These foreign currency exposures typically arise from intercompany loans and other intercompany transactions. The U.S. dollar equivalent of the notional amount of the forward contracts as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$217 million and \$254 million, respectively, all of which expire within the next twelve months. We intend to offset the gains and losses related to our intercompany loans and transactions with gains or losses on our foreign currency forward contracts such that there is a negligible effect on net income attributable to Hyatt Hotels Corporation. We expect to continue this practice relating to our intercompany loans and transactions, and may also begin to manage the risks associated with other transactional and translational foreign currency volatility within our business. See Note 9 to the accompanying condensed

consolidated financial statements for further information on our foreign currency exposures and exchange rate risk.

#### ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules and forms, In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including proceedings involving tort and other general liability claims, workers' compensation and other employee claims, intellectual property claims and claims related to our management of certain hotel properties. Most occurrences involving liability, claims of negligence and employees are covered by insurance with solvent insurance carriers. We recognize a liability when we believe the loss is probable and reasonably estimable. We currently believe that the ultimate outcome of such lawsuits and proceedings will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. In addition, please see the legal proceedings disclosed in response to Item 3 to Part 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and Item I to Part 2 of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

At September 30, 2013, there have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in response to Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities** 

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's purchases of shares of Class A and Class B common stock during the quarter ended September 30, 2013:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Weighted Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number(or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Program
July 1 to July 31, 2013	673,012	\$41.25	673,012	\$12,455,335
August 1 to August 31, 2013	29,490	41.99	29,490	\$11,217,011
Total	702,502	\$41.28	702,502	

On August 1, 2012, we announced the approval of a share repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to \$200 million shares of Class A and Class B common stock in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. On May 1, 2013, we announced the approval of an expansion of the share repurchase program pursuant to which we are authorized to (1) purchase up to an additional \$200 million shares of Class A and Class B common stock in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan. The 2012 and 2013 repurchase programs do not have an expiration date. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had approximately \$11 million remaining under its current share repurchase authorizations. See Note 18 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information regarding our New Share Repurchase Plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not Applicable.

#### Item 5. Other Information.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, Hyatt engaged in certain activities that are subject to disclosure pursuant to Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 and Section 13(r) of the Exchange Act and which are disclosed in Exhibit 99.1 to this quarterly report. Hyatt will be required to separately file, concurrently with this quarterly report, a notice that such activities have been disclosed in this quarterly report, which notice must also contain information required by Section 13(r) of the Exchange Act.

Item 6. Exhibits. Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.1	Purchase and Sales Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2013, between UST Hotel Joint Venture, Ltd. and Hyatt Equities, L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-34521) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 28, 2013)
10.2	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated September 30, 2013, between UST Hotel Joint Venture, Ltd. and Hyatt Equities, L.L.C.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Disclosure required pursuant to Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
60	

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**Hyatt Hotels Corporation** 

Date: October 30, 2013 By: /s/ Mark S. Hoplamazian

Mark S. Hoplamazian

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the undersigned, in his capacity as the principal financial officer of the registrant.

Date: October 30, 2013 By: /s/ Gebhard F. Rainer

Gebhard F. Rainer

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)