

Accenture plc
Form 4
July 22, 2014

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2015
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
ROWLAND DAVID

(Last) (First) (Middle)

C/O ACCENTURE, 161 N. CLARK STREET

(Street)

CHICAGO, IL 60601

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
Accenture plc [ACN]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year)
07/19/2014

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

Director 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) Other (specify below)

Chief Financial Officer

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D) Price			
Common Stock	07/01/2010		J ⁽²⁾	586 A \$ 0	11,848	D	

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

Edgar Filing: Accenture plc - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Filing Date
Common Stock Equivalents (CSE)	\$ 0	07/01/2010		A	586	(1) (1)	Common Stock	586
Common Stock Equivalents (CSE)	\$ 0	07/01/2010		J(2)	586	(1) (1)	Common Stock	586

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
FRADIN RUSSELL P 56 TOP GALLANT RD P. O. BOX 10212 STAMFORD, CT 06902	X			

Signatures

/s/ Kevin Feeney for Russell Fradin 07/06/2010

Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- These are Common Stock Equivalents received as compensation for service as an outside director of Gartner, Inc. They were granted
- (1) under the Company's 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan (2003 LTIP). The Common Stock Equivalents convert into Gartner Common Stock on the date the outside director's continuous status as a director terminates, or as otherwise provided in the 2003 LTIP.
 - (2) This reporting person has elected to receive an immediate distribution of his CSE shares.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. Illness, disease or malady, in which event the FDA may attach drug status to a product. An FDA Rule effective February 7, 2001 defines the types of statements that can be made concerning the effect of a dietary supplement on the structure or function of the body pursuant to DSHEA. The Rule establishes criteria for

determining when a statement is a claim to diagnose, cure, mitigate, treat or prevent disease thereby making the product an unapproved new drug. That Rule has not had any material effect on the Company's existing products and the Company will comply with the provisions of the Rule for any new products. As part of its regulatory authority, the FDA may periodically conduct audits of the physical facilities, machinery, processes and procedures that the Company uses to manufacture products. The FDA may perform these audits at any time without advance notice. As a result of these audits, the FDA may order the Company to make certain changes in its manufacturing facilities and processes. The Company may be required to make additional expenditures to comply with these orders or possibly discontinue selling certain products until it complies with these orders. As a result, the Company's business could be adversely affected. In February 1997, the FDA issued a Proposed Rule entitled, "CGMP in Manufacturing, Packing, or Holding Dietary Supplements," which proposes current, good manufacturing practices (i.e., "CGMPs") specific to dietary supplements and dietary supplement ingredients. This Proposed Rule, if finalized, would have required some of the quality control provisions contained in the CGMPs for drugs. On March 13, 2003, the FDA published a proposed rule in the Federal Register which proposes comprehensive CGMPs for the manufacturing, packing and holding of dietary supplements, to help reduce risks seen by the FDA that are associated with adulterated or misbranded dietary supplement products. The FDA accepted public comments on the proposed CGMPs until August 11, 2003; but the FDA has not promulgated final CGMPs. The minimum standards include requirements for the design and construction of physical plants that are intended to facilitate maintenance, cleaning, and proper manufacturing operations, for quality control procedures, for testing final product or incoming and in-process materials, for handling consumer complaints, and for maintaining records. On November 18, 1998, the FTC issued its "Dietary Supplements: An Advertising Guide for Industry." Such guide provides an application of FTC law to dietary supplement advertising and includes examples of how principles of advertisement interpretation and substantiation apply in the context of dietary supplement advertising. The Guide provides additional explanation but does not substantively change the FTC's existing policy that all supplement marketers have an obligation to ensure that claims are presented truthfully and to verify the adequacy of the support behind such claims. Page 3 The FTC, which exercises jurisdiction over the advertising of nutritional and dietary supplements under the Federal Trade Commission Act, has in the past several years instituted enforcement actions against several nutritional supplement companies alleging false and misleading advertising of certain products. These enforcement actions have resulted in the payment of fines and/or consent decrees by certain of the companies involved. The FTC continues to monitor advertising with respect to nutritional and dietary supplements. The Company has not been the subject of any FTC inquiries or actions.

Research and Development Expenses. The Company has not expended a material amount of its resources on research and development activities. Costs and Expenses of Compliance with Environmental Laws. The Company does not have any material amount of cost related to environmental regulations and the Company does not expect to incur material expenses for that purpose in fiscal year 2004. Number of Employees. The approximate number of employees working for the company as of the end of fiscal year 2003 was 153. Item 2. Description of Property. The Company's headquarters are located in an office-warehouse building of approximately 88,000 square feet located in Parker, Colorado, which the Company leases from an affiliate of the Company's President. The property includes the executive offices of the Company, as well as the Company's manufacturing and warehouse facilities. The Company's lease expires in September 30, 2006, and the Company believes that its rental rate is comparable to that which would be charged by an unaffiliated landlord. The Company was in the process of relocating from its previous facilities at year end 2003, and as of the date of this report, the relocation has been substantially completed. (See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" incorporated by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement.) Item 3. Legal Proceedings. Oralabs, Inc. is a party to a legal proceeding that was brought in the Circuit Court of the First Judicial District of Heinz County, Mississippi that was served on the Company on February 26, 2004. The litigation was brought by individuals who allege that a five-year-old child ingested a portion of a bottle that allegedly was manufactured by Oralabs, Inc. for one of its products. The product was not specified in the complaint. The complaint alleges that the minor child suffered permanent injuries and damages as a result of the ingestion of the portion of the bottle, and the plaintiffs claim compensatory damages in an unstated amount and punitive damages in the amount of \$1,925,000. Oralabs, Inc.'s insurance company is tendering a defense, although punitive damages would not be covered by the insurance policy. Oralabs, Inc. intends to vigorously defend the suit and believes that it has no liability to the plaintiffs. If a significant uninsured judgement is awarded against Oralabs, Inc., it could put an extreme financial strain upon it. Oralabs, Inc. is also party to a legal proceeding that it commenced as plaintiff in the United

States District Court for the District of Colorado against Molded Container Corp. d/b/a The Humphrey Line, an Oregon corporation. The case was filed on December 29, 2003 and claims that the defendant is infringing certain intellectual property acquired by Oralabs, Inc. from a third party, and also asserts claims under Colorado law for deceptive trade practices and misappropriation of business values. In response, the defendant is seeking a declaration that the patents are not infringed, are unenforceable and are invalid, and in the event that the defendant prevails, Oralabs, Inc. likely will be obligated to pay certain court approved costs of the defendant and may be obligated to pay the attorneys fees involved in the litigation.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. A special meeting of the Company's stockholders was held on December 15, 2003, at which time approval of a one-for-two reverse stock split was approved by a vote of 7,258,700 shares in favor and none opposed. Page 4 PART II Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters. (a) (i) Market Price of and Dividends on the Company's Common Stock. The common stock of the Company trades on the NASDAQ Small Cap Market under the symbol OLAB. The following sets forth the range of high and low bid information for the Company's common stock for fiscal years 2002 and 2003. The source of such information is as reported by NASDAQ. All of the following prices and numbers of shares have been adjusted to give effect to the one-for-two reverse stock split adopted by the Company on December 16, 2003.

Reported High Bid	Reported Low Bid	First quarter, fiscal 2002
\$2.50	\$2.02	Second quarter, fiscal 2002
\$2.56	\$1.94	Third quarter, fiscal 2002
\$2.12	\$1.02	Fourth quarter, fiscal 2002
\$1.50	\$0.92	First quarter, fiscal 2003
\$1.76	\$1.16	Second quarter, fiscal 2003
\$1.56	\$1.04	Third quarter, fiscal 2003
\$1.98	\$1.06	Fourth quarter, fiscal 2003
\$1.96	\$1.50	

The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without adjustment for retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not necessarily present actual transactions. (ii) Disclosure of Equity Compensation Plans. The Company maintains the 1997 Stock Plan (the "ISOP Plan"), pursuant to which the Company granted 250,000 stock options to employees in 1997. The Company also maintains the 1997 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan ("Director Plan") under which the Company makes an initial grant of 10,000 options and annual grants thereafter of 2,500 options to its non-employee Number of securities Weighted-average Number of securities to be issued upon exercise price of remaining available Plan Category exercise of outstanding for future issuance outstanding options, warrants under equity options, warrants and rights (\$)

compensation plans and rights (#)	Equity compensation	210,900	\$2.00	0	plans approved by
shareholders	Equity compensation plans not	40,000	\$2.97	60,000	approved by shareholders
Total	250,900	\$2.15	60,000		

(b) As of March 23, 2004, there were approximately 897 record holders of the common stock of the Company. (c) The Company has not paid any cash dividends and it is not intended that any cash dividends will be paid in the foreseeable future. Page 5 Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation. Critical Accounting Policies We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations is discussed throughout Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results. In the ordinary course of business, we have made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the following discussion addresses our most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our most difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Although the Company believes that it has strict credit policies, it is not unusual, in the normal course of business, for the a customer to file for bankruptcy or not pay for product purchased from the Company. The Company has estimated an allowance based upon current balances and historical information which is considered an operating expense. This estimate is subject to judgment and could vary based on the customer mix in the future. Allowance for Returns Product is returned by customers for various reasons and the Company has estimated an allowance based upon the historical rates of these returns. The sales are recorded net of this allowance. This estimate is subject to judgment as the historical mix of products sold could vary from the future mix of products sold. In addition, the customer mix may change in the future. Inventory obsolescence As product mix shifts, the Company must identify any slow-moving and obsolete inventory it may have on hand. This inventory is reduced to its net realizable value based upon recent sales and similar transactions occurring in the open market. This inventory value is an estimate that is subject to changes in the open market such as demand and

availability of product. Results of Operations. For the period ending December 31, 2003 as compared with the period ending December 31, 2002. Product sales decreased \$80,879. Please refer to the Trends section for a detailed explanation. Cost of goods sold decreased by \$72,768. As a percentage of sales, gross profit stabilized at 2002 levels of 32%. An increase in overhead of approximately \$140,000 related to the new facility, an increase of freight costs of approximately \$40,000, and an increase in regulatory product testing of approximately \$80,000 were offset by decreases in labor of approximately \$265,000, a decrease in raw materials costs of approximately \$40,000, and a decrease of approximately \$30,000 in obsolete inventory written off during the year. The Company anticipates some efficiencies in manufacturing due to reduced labor costs; favorable selling prices from custom packaging that should see growth in year 2004 and a larger concentration of lip balm sales going to value priced brands to compete with more expensive, more advertised brands. These efficiencies will be offset by costs to continue to provide retailers superior quality and by increased overhead rates related to the new facility which will cause gross profit to remain relatively close to 2002 and 2003 levels. Engineering increased \$96,278 or 62 %, the increase is due to an increase in labor costs related in large part to modifications to lip balm filling lines to make them able to operate in the new facility. The Company anticipates comparable levels of costs in year 2004 to that of year 2003 as machinery development will likely continue throughout the year. Selling and marketing decreased \$53,416 or 3%. Commissions to sales staff decreased approximately \$219,000 as compensation methodology was changed; the Company expects sales compensation to remain the same as a percentage of sales. Advertising decreased approximately \$143,000 as the Company eliminated advertising in trade publications in favor of focusing on point of sale promotions and lower selling prices. The Company plans to continue this approach. A portion of bad debts in year 2003, in the amount of approximately \$230,000, was related to receivables written off in conjunction with the acquisition of assets of one of the Company's customers. The remainder of the increase of Bad debts, approximately \$100,000, was due primarily to a customer who declared bankruptcy during 2003. Page 6 General and administrative expenses increased \$492,034 or 24%. An increase in salaries of approximately \$275,000 was largely due to additional staffing for accounting and information technology and to a lesser extent to increased salaries. The Company anticipates a modest increase in salaries in year 2004. Legal fees increased approximately \$68,000 due to in large part to fees involving patent and trademark issues and other corporate legal services. The Company anticipates comparable professional fees in year 2004. Moving expenses of approximately \$134,000 primarily consisted of rents for the new facility prior to occupancy due to needing to secure a lease on the facility and other minor costs related to the move. Other operating expenses increased by \$325,449, which was primarily due to approximately \$308,000 loss on abandonment of leasehold improvements to the two Englewood, CO facilities, one of which is still being occupied and the other vacated in February, 2004. In year 2004, the Company may declare obsolete and replace machinery valued from \$100,000 to \$300,000 if it cannot be brought up to operating requirements. Interest and other income increased \$72,008 or 88%. The increase was due to state income tax credits of approximately \$108,000 received in year 2003 related to prior periods. This was offset by approximately \$36,000 of decreases to royalty income, interest income, other income, and capital gain on sale of assets. The Company anticipates Interest and other income will be significantly less in 2004 as the state income tax credits is not a recurring event. Other expenses increased by \$75,993 as approximately \$69,000 was for costs related to manufacturing, distribution and marketing in a foreign country. The Company does not anticipate significant Other expenses in year 2004, but cannot guarantee against any unforeseen extraordinary events. Net income decreased by \$430,709 or 100% resulting in net income of \$1,222 for year 2003 as explained by the above activities. Additionally, as a percentage of sales, net operating income decreased from 5% in year 2002 to 1% in year 2003 as explained by the above activities. Liquidity and Capital Resources. At December 31, 2003, the Company had \$2,561,108 of cash and a current ratio of approximately 5 to 1. The Company believes its current capital resources are sufficient to fund operations for the next twelve months. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$282,706 consisting of the following items: Accounts Receivable, net of Allowance for doubtful accounts, increased \$103,635. Receivables increased \$159,856 due to timing differences while allowances were increased \$56,221. The Company believes there are adequate allowances given the more than 1,000 active customers and only one customer with over 10% of the revenues, that being 12%. (See, "Allowance for Doubtful Accounts" above). Inventory increased \$418,610 as the Company carried approximately \$267,536 more of raw materials to increase stock levels of high usage items and reduced exposure to potential timely vendor supply issues; approximately \$21,000 more in work in process; approximately \$87,391 more in cost of overhead due in large part to expanded facilities; and approximately \$42,000 for cost of labor allocated to inventory. The Company anticipates inventory levels to remain near year-end numbers,

but to increase work in process and finished goods while reducing raw materials to capitalize on the warehousing capabilities in the new facility and to be better equipped to ship customers with short lead times. Income tax payable decreased \$513,077 as Income taxes payable decreased \$270,089 and Income taxes receivable increased \$242,988 as it relates to income taxes paid. The Company anticipates returning to a profitable position in year 2004 and applying the credit towards that net income. Deferred tax liability and asset increased \$224,470. Deferred tax liability long-term increased \$41,611; Deferred tax asset long-term increased \$32,383; and Deferred tax asset - current decreased \$150,476. This is attributed to timing differences in the treatment of deductions for book versus tax income. Prepaid expenses and Deposits decreased \$10,531 substantially as the result of a smaller deposit on production materials being produced abroad specifically for the Company by a third party manufacturer. The Company does not anticipate any significant changes in Prepaid expenses or Deposits during year 2004. Accounts payable increased \$637,566. This is due to a change in timing of disbursements to vendors. The Company had been paying substantial portions of payables within ten days to take advantage of early pay discounts, but changed to paying based on vendor invoice due dates because of a reduction in operating cash balances. The Company will likely need to maintain this posture for the better part of year 2004 as more investment in capital related to utilizing new facility will be needed. Accrued liabilities decreased \$158,858. Reserve for sales commissions was reduced by \$141,606 as earned commissions in excess of draws paid out went from \$164,922 at 2002 year-end to \$23,316 at 2003 year-end. The Company anticipates that accruals will stay closer to the 146,332 year-end 2003 figures through year 2004. Page 7 Reserve for returns decreased \$142,699. The Company booked an additional \$150,000 in reserve for returns at year-end for 2002 to allow for an expected return of a portion of a large promotion to a major customer. The reserve for returns is calculated as a percentage of sales with consideration to historical returns. As sales were flat, and the additional reserve notwithstanding, the reserve remained the same. Should the Company experience growth in sales during year 2004 then this reserve will grow proportionately. (See, "Allowance for Returns" above). Net cash used in investing activities was \$376,330 consisting of investments in property and equipment: Property and Equipment decreased \$369,466. During 2003 leasehold improvements were written off in the amount of \$302,753 as daily operations were ceased for the two Englewood, CO facilities and moved to the Parker, Colorado facility. One facility was vacated in November, 2003 and the other substantially vacated in February, 2004. The Company anticipates investing between \$200,000 and \$400,000 in leasehold improvements and equipment during the year 2004. Trends. The lip balm category, which was approximately 80% of revenues in both years 2003 and 2002, saw little change. Revenues in 2003 were \$11,274,552 as compared to \$11,569,418 in year 2002. Collectively the volume of units sold and customer base did not see any significant change in year 2003. Year 2004 promises to be a challenging year as timely shipping of quality product will be paramount to retailers looking to continue their movement towards short lead times with store shelves always in stock. The Company's move mid first quarter 2004 to a larger, improved facility in Parker, Colorado together with a new scan based inventory system and anticipated automation development of machinery should position the Company to meet and exceed expectations as year 2004 unfolds. The move was delayed from the third quarter of 2003, as originally disclosed in the 2002 10-KSB. The sour drops and breath fresheners revenues were \$2,211,111 in year 2003 compared to \$1,995,759 in year 2002, or an 11% increase. The increase brings revenues for this category closer to where they were in year 2001, which was \$2,617,693. Convenience store and vending distribution has stayed somewhat stable, while dollar store distribution has varied significantly from year to year. Because a large part of this product category is sold to dollar stores, we cannot estimate what the sales will be for 2004. The nutritional supplement revenues, on a relatively smaller scale, were up slightly to \$582,557 in 2003 as compared to \$536,941 in 2002, or an 8% increase. The Company has maintained consistent sales of the 5-HTP and Cheat & Lean(TM) products, but has been unable to develop a wide distribution network for these products. Therefore, the Company anticipates these revenues will stay substantially the same. The international business revenues were \$870,175 in 2003 as compared to \$1,298,565 in 2002, a 33% decrease. This is the second year in a row of decreased revenues in the 30% range. Although this business represents less than 10% of the Company's revenues it is still considered important to the overall success of the Company. In 2004 the Company hopes to establish a manufacturing venture in South America that the Company has been making efforts towards in 2003. This could help international revenues, but how quickly and to what extent cannot be predicted at this time. The Company's move to its new facility has created a higher rent expense while more than doubling the square footage and consolidating to one facility from the two prior facilities. This move gives the Company the opportunity to better operate the business and handle any growth the Company may be able to achieve. Impact of Inflation. The Company's financial condition

has not been affected by the modest inflation of the recent past. The Company believes that revenues will not be materially affected by inflation. The Company's lip care and oral care products are primarily very low retail price points and impulse items. The nutritional supplements are a small part (approximately 4%) of revenues in a category that is on a downward trend and could be negatively impacted by inflation. CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS: The provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "Reform Act") provide companies with a "safe harbor" when making forward-looking statements. This "safe harbor" encourages companies to provide prospective information about their companies without fear of litigation. The Company wishes to take advantage of this "safe harbor" and is including this section in its Annual Report on Form 10-KSB in order to do so. All statements in this Form 10-KSB that are not historical facts, including without limitation statements about management's expectations for any period beyond the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, are forward-looking statements and involve various risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of the Company, and any one of which, or a combination of which, could materially reflect the results of the Company's operations and whether forward-looking statements made by the Company ultimately prove to be accurate. The following discussion outlines certain risk factors that in the future could affect the Company's results and cause them to differ materially from those that may be set forth in any forward-looking statement made by or on behalf of the Company. The Company cautions the reader, however, that this list of risk factors and others discussed elsewhere in this report may not be exhaustive. Page 8 NASDAQ Listing. During 2002 and 2003, the staff of the NASDAQ SmallCap Stock Market advised the Company that the listing of its common stock on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market was subject to delisting as a result of the failure of the Company to maintain compliance with one or more of the rules required for continued inclusion on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market. The Company enacted a reverse stock split in December 2003 to maintain compliance with the rule that requires a minimum bid price of \$1.00 for listed shares. Although the Company has been in compliance with that requirement and the other requirements for continued listing since that time, the Company can give no assurance that it will continue to meet the requirements for continued listing on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market in the future. Competition. The businesses in which the Company is engaged are highly competitive and are engaged in to a large extent by companies which are substantially larger and have significantly greater resources than the Company. Although the Company believes that its branded products have achieved some measure of name recognition, to a large extent the Company does not have the capital resources, marketing and distribution networks, manufacturing facilities, personnel, product name recognition or advertising budget of the larger companies. If the Company were to be forced out of the large mass retailers by larger, better financed competitors, it would be reliant on smaller niche markets that the larger, better financed competitors are not interested in. The same situation applies to international business, where there are larger more dominant competitors that the Company must always deal with. The industries in which the Company competes in experience consolidations of competitors from time to time and the Company's business could be adversely affected by such activities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully in the future. To respond to competition the Company created added value packaging for promotions that resulted in increased cost of goods sold. There is an increased effort by all competitors for shelf and counter space and the cost of product placement is increasing. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to maintain its shelf and counter presence in the future. Managing Manufacturing and Growth. The Company has done several things to position itself for growth in 2004 and for years to follow. In positioning for growth the Company has made changes to management, customer service, manufacturing, and sales and administrative personnel. The Company previously conducted its business from two separate facilities, but now the Company has moved into a single facility that will more than double its space. The Company believes that this will permit it to obtain efficiencies in its production but it will be at a substantially increased facilities cost. That excess overhead could negatively impact the Company's ability to earn a profit or cause it to lose money, which was a partial contributor to the decrease in income in 2003. The Company experienced a period of significant growth during fiscal years ended December 31, 1996 and 1997. Significant growth did not occur in fiscal year 1998, but it occurred again in 1999, 2000, 2001. The Company did not experience growth in 2002 or 2003. Although revenue decreased in 2002 and did not significantly change in 2003, the volume of manufacturing was very close for the past three years. The Company has had opportunities to grow the business but has not done so because of capacity issues in manufacturing. Although the Company feels it has positioned itself for growth, if the Company is unsuccessful in managing growth, if such growth should occur, there could be a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, the loss of a significant number of customers, or a significant reduction in purchase volume by or financial difficulty

of such customers, for any reason, could have a material adverse effect on the Company. Successful management of growth, if it occurs, will require the Company to improve its financial controls, operating procedures and management information systems, and to train, motivate and manage its employees. Product Liability Insurance. Because the Company manufactures and sells certain products designed to be ingested, it faces the risk that materials used for the final products may be contaminated with substances that may cause sickness or other injury to persons who have used the products. Although the Company maintains standards designed to prevent such events, certain portions of the process of product development, including the production, harvesting, storage and transportation of raw materials, along with the handling, transportation and storage of finished products delivered to consumers, are not within the control of the Company. Furthermore, sickness or injury to persons may occur if products manufactured by the Company are ingested in dosages which exceed the dosage recommended on the product label or are otherwise misused. The Company cannot control misuse of its products by consumers or the marketing, distribution and resale of its products by its customers. With respect to product liability claims in the United States, the Company has \$2 million per occurrence and \$2 million in aggregate liability insurance. However, there can be no assurance that such insurance will continue to be available, or if available, will be adequate to cover potential liabilities. The Company generally does not obtain contractual indemnification from parties supplying raw materials or marketing its products and, in any event, any such indemnification is limited by its terms and, as a practical matter, to the creditworthiness of the indemnifying party. Page 9 Dependence on Key Personnel. The Company's future success depends in large part on the continued service of its key personnel. In particular, the loss of the services of Gary Schlatter, its President and Chief Executive Officer, could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company. The Company's subsidiary has an employment agreement with Mr. Schlatter which expires on April 30, 2006, but it is expected to be extended. The Company's future success and growth also depends on its ability to continue to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified employees. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to do so. Government Regulation. The manufacturing, processing, formulation, packaging, labeling and advertising of some of the Company's products are subject to regulation by one or more federal agencies and under various laws (see Description of Business-Government Regulation above). There can be no assurance that the scope of such regulations will not change or otherwise cause an increase in the expenses and resources of the Company which must be applied to complying with such regulations. As an example, the Company's sun-block lip balms are regulated by the FDA. If the FDA were to conclude that any of the Company's products violate FDA rules or regulations, the FDA may seek to restrict or remove such products from the market. Such action may be taken against the Company and any entity which manufactures products for the Company. As an additional example, regulations concerning good manufacturing practices with respect to OTC drugs and nutritional supplements do have an adverse impact upon the cost or methods of producing the products. It is anticipated that new labeling laws, expected to be in effect in 2005, will result in increased costs, in order to be in compliance. The Company's business is also regulated by various agencies of the states and localities in which the Company's products are sold and governmental regulations in foreign countries where the Company sells or may seek to commence sales. Such regulations could prevent or delay entry into a market or prevent or delay the introduction of Company products. For example, international sales are expected to be slowed by the long process of registering new products. The Company may be subject to additional laws or regulations administered by the FDA or other federal, state or foreign regulatory authorities, the repeal or amendment of laws or regulations or more stringent interpretations of current laws or regulations, from time to time in the future. The Company is unable to predict the nature of such future laws, regulations, interpretations or applications, nor can it predict what effect additional governmental regulations or administrative orders, when and if promulgated, would have on its business in the future. They could, however, require reformulation of certain products to meet new standards, recall or discontinuance of certain products not able to be reformulated, imposition of additional record keeping requirements, expanded documentation of the properties of certain products, expanded or different labeling and scientific substantiation regarding ingredients, product claims, safety or efficacy. Failure to comply with applicable FDA requirements could result in sanctions being imposed on the Company or the manufacturers of its products, including, warning letters, fines, product recalls and seizures. Any or all such requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. Dependence upon Significant Distributors and Retailers. The Company had two major customers that accounted for approximately \$1,644,000 and \$1,199,000 respectively, or 12% and 8% of net sales during the year ended December 31, 2003. The Company had over 1,000 purchasing customers in fiscal 2003 and believes that the loss of revenues from any customer could

gradually be replaced, but there could be adverse effects upon the Company's business until those revenues are replaced. The Company is always at risk for its customers filing for bankruptcy or liquidation. This has happened in the past and could happen again in the future. Dependence upon Third Party Suppliers. With respect to some of the Company's products, the product itself is formulated and supplied to the Company by third party vendors, and the Company then packages the products for sale. For other products, the Company provides some or all of the raw materials and a third party completes preparation of the product and/or its packaging. Should these relationships terminate, or should these parties be otherwise unable to perform their obligations on terms satisfactory to the Company, the Company would be required to establish relationships with substitute parties. Although the Company believes that it can do so and that raw materials are available at comparable prices from several suppliers, there can be no assurance that this will be the case, in which case there could be a material adverse effect upon the Company. Page 10 No Assurance of Proprietary Protection. The Company owns numerous patents. The Company also holds several domestic and international trademarks and has several applications pending. Certain aspects of the Company's business, although not the subject of patents, include formulations and processes considered to be proprietary in nature. There can be no assurance that any such "proprietary" information will not be appropriated or that the Company's competitors will not independently develop products that are substantially equivalent or superior to the Company's. Even if the pending trademark registrations are issued to the Company, there can be no assurance that the Company would be able to successfully defend its patents or trademarks against claims from or use by competitors, and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain patent or trademark protection for any new products. In addition, in the event that any of the Company's products are determined to infringe upon the patents or proprietary rights of others, the Company could be required to modify its products or obtain licenses for the manufacture or sale of the products, or could be prohibited from selling the products. (Oralabs, Inc. is a plaintiff in litigation it commenced to assert a violation of some of its intellectual property. See Item 3, "Legal Proceedings"). No Assurance of Scientific Proof. The Company's nutritional supplement products are intended to provide relief of certain symptoms or to otherwise aid in the health of the consumers. If scientific data were to conclude that the products do not do so, or if for any other reason the Company's products were not viewed by the public as providing any meaningful benefit, there could be a material adverse effect upon the sales of the products. In addition, the nutritional supplement industry has been known to experience radical ups and downs of certain product sales in a short period of time which could adversely affect the Company's sales or inventory positions. Sometimes these cycles are the result of studies or the media creating a positive or negative impact on the industry and the public at large. Limited Distribution for Nutritional Supplement Products. The Company began selling its nutritional supplement products in 1998. The nutritional supplement industry is influenced by products that become popular due to changing consumer tastes and media attention. The Company is competing against much larger and better established manufacturers in this business than in the Company's primary business. The Company does not expect its sales of nutritional products to significantly change. Item 7. Financial Statements. Financial Statements meeting the requirements specified in Item 7 of Form 10-KSB follow the signature page and are listed in Item 13 of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB. Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. None. Item 8A. Controls and Procedures. Control deficiencies have been identified by management in consultation with Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman PC, the Company's independent auditors. Certain matters involving internal control deficiencies considered to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants have been reported to the audit committee of the board of directors. The reportable conditions include conditions surrounding the following: accounts receivable processing, inventory accounting; timely accounting reconciliations; lack of qualified accounting personnel due to turnover and operational requirements. Management is actively working to correct the internal control deficiencies identified and such efforts include: instituting new controls; enforcing existing policies and providing oversight with respect to inventory valuation and accounts receivable processing; and timely accounting reconciliations; actively interviewing candidates with the intention of expeditiously filling such vacancies and providing necessary resources to meet operational demands. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this annual report on Form 10-KSB (the "Evaluation Date")), have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, and except as provided above, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to the

Company and its consolidated subsidiaries would be made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report on Form 10-KSB was being prepared. Changes in Internal Controls. Except as provided above there were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the Company's disclosure controls and procedures subsequent to the Evaluation Date, nor any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in such disclosure controls and procedures requiring corrective actions. As a result, no corrective actions were taken. Our external auditors have not issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as it is not required for the Company at this time. Page 11 PART III Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of the Registrant. The following table identifies each of the Company's directors and executive officers, indicating the principal occupation or employment of each such person and the name and principal business of any organization by which such person is so employed: Name of Director or Principal Occupation Name and Business Individual Executive Officer or Employment of Employer -----

Name of Director or Principal Occupation Name and Business Individual Executive Officer or Employment of Employer	-----
Gary H. Schlatter	Director and Executive President of Company
Allen R. Goldstone	Director Consultant Creative Business, LLC Business Consulting Firm Michael I. Friess
Michael Friess	Director and Secretary Attorney Michael Friess Robert C. Gust
Robert C. Gust	Director Partner Apogee Group LLC. Emile Jordan
Emile Jordan	Executive Officer Chief Financial Officer Company Code of Ethics. Our Board of Directors adopted a code of ethics that applies to our Principal Executive Officer, Gary H. Schlatter, and our Principal Financial Officer, Emile Jordan. Both of these individuals signed an acknowledgement of his receipt of our code of ethics. We are filing a copy of our code of ethics with the Securities and Exchange Commission by including it as Exhibit 14.1 to this report. The balance of the information required for this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement. Item 10. Executive Compensation. The information required for this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement. Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management. The information required for this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement. Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. The information required for this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement. Page 12 PART IV Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K. (a) The following documents are filed as a part of this Form 10-KSB immediately following the signature pages: 1. Consolidated Financial Statements (OraLabs Holding Corp. and Consolidated Subsidiaries): Independent Auditors' Report Consolidated Balance Sheet - December 31, 2003 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity from December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2003 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 2. Exhibits required to be filed are listed below: Certain of the following exhibits are hereby incorporated by reference pursuant to Rule 12(b)-32 as promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, from the reports noted below: Exhibit No. Description --- ----- 3.1(i)(1) Articles of Incorporation 3.1(ii)(2) Amended and Restated Bylaws 3.1(ii)(4) Second Amended and Restated Bylaws 4(2) Specimen Certificate for Common Stock 10.1(2) 1997 Stock Plan 10.2(2) 1997 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan 10.3(3) Amended and Restated Employment Agreement Between the Company's Subsidiary and Gary Schlatter 10.4(2) Stock Option Grant under 1997 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan 10.5(i)(5) Business Lease Between the Company's Subsidiary and Gary Schlatter (September 1, 2000) 10.5(iii)(8) Amended Business Lease between the Company's Subsidiary and 2780 South Raritan, LLC effective October 15, 2000. 10.5(iv)(9) Lease Between the Company's Subsidiary and 18501 East Plaza Drive, LLC dated September 4, 2003 10.9(7) Agreement (effective May 1, 2000, amending the Employment Agreement listed above as Exhibit 10.3). 10.10(10) Amended and Restated Employment Agreement Between the Company's Subsidiary and Gary Schlatter dated May 1, 2003 11 No statement re: computation of per share earnings is computation can be clearly determined from the material contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB. 14.1(11) Code of Ethics 21(2) List of Subsidiaries of the Company 23.1(11) Consent of Independent Public Accountants (Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman P.C.) 31.1(11) Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 31.2(11) Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 32.1(11) Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 32.2(11) Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 Page 13 1 Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit C of the Definitive Information Statement filed by the Company's predecessor, SSI

Capital Corp., on July 24, 1997. 2 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed for fiscal year 1997. 3 Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B of the Form 8-K filed by the Company's predecessor, SSI Capital Corp., on May 14, 1997. 4 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed for fiscal year 1998. 5 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed for the quarter ended September 30, 2000. 6 N/A 7 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed for the quarter ended March 31, 2000. 8 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB filed for fiscal year 2000. 9 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed for the quarter ended September 30, 2003. 10 Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-QSB filed for the quarter ended June 30, 2003. 11 Filed herewith. (b) Forms 8-K were filed by the Company during the 4th Quarter of 2003 on November 24, 2003 (reporting that the Company was notified that it failed to comply with the minimum bid price requirement for continued listing on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market), on December 4, 2003 (reporting that the Company's Board of Directors authorized a reverse stock split subject to shareholder approval at a meeting to be held on December 16, 2003), and on December 22, 2003) reporting that the 1-for-2 reverse stock split was approved by the stockholders and became effective on December 16, 2003). Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services. The information required for this item is incorporated herein by reference to the 2004 Definitive Proxy Statement. Page 14 SIGNATURES In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. ORALABS HOLDING CORP. By: /s/ Gary H. Schlatter

----- Gary H. Schlatter, President By: /s/ Emile Jordan -----
 Emile Jordan, Chief Financial Officer Date: May 26, 2004 In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.
 Signature Title Date ----- /s/ Gary H. Schlatter Director, President, May 26, 2004 -----
 Chief Executive Officer Gary H. Schlatter /s/ Michael I. Friess Director, Secretary May 26, 2004
 ----- Michael I. Friess /s/ Allen R. Goldstone Director May 26, 2004 -----

Allen R. Goldstone /s/ Robert C. Gust Director May 26, 2004 ----- Robert C. Gust Page 15
 Consolidated Financial Statements And Independent Auditors' Report December 31, 2003 ORALABS HOLDING
 CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Table of Contents Page ---- Independent Auditors'
 Report.....1 Consolidated Financial Statements Consolidated Balance
 Sheet.....2 Consolidated Statements of Income.....3 Consolidated
 Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity.....4 Consolidated Statements of Cash
 Flows.....5 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....6-14 INDEPENDENT
 AUDITORS' REPORT To the Board of Directors and Stockholders OraLabs Holding Corp. and Subsidiaries Parker,
 Colorado We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of OraLabs Holding Corp. and Subsidiaries
 as of December 31, 2003, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for
 the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the
 Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based
 on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States
 of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether
 the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis,
 evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes
 assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the
 overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our
 opinion. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects,
 the financial position of OraLabs Holding Corp. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003, and the results of their
 operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 in conformity with accounting
 principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman PC April 9, 2004
 Denver, Colorado 1 ORALABS HOLDING CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Balance Sheet December 31,
 2003 Assets Current assets Cash \$ 2,561,108 Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$415,422
 1,896,672 Inventories 2,422,153 Deferred tax asset - current 154,952 Income taxes receivable 242,988 Prepaid
 expenses 143,635 Deposits 117,670 ----- Total current assets 7,539,178 ----- Non-current assets
 Property and equipment, net 865,427 ----- Total non-current assets 865,427 ----- Total assets \$
 8,404,605 ===== Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity Current liabilities Accounts payable - trade

Edgar Filing: Accenture plc - Form 4

\$ 1,045,267 Accrued liabilities 146,332 Reserve for returns 396,419 Current portion of long-term debt 22,874
----- Total current liabilities 1,610,892 ----- Non-current liabilities Long-term debt, less current
portion 24,655 Deferred tax liability long-term 41,611 ----- Total non-current liabilities 66,266
----- Commitments and contingencies Stockholders' equity Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 1,000,000
authorized; none issued and outstanding - Common stock, \$.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 4,580,615
issued and outstanding 4,581 Additional paid-in capital 1,221,484 Retained earnings 5,501,382 ----- Total
stockholders' equity 6,727,447 ----- Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$ 8,404,605
===== See notes to consolidated financial statements. 2 ORALABS HOLDING CORP. AND
SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Income For the Years Ended December 31, 2003 2002 -----
----- Product sales \$ 14,068,220 \$ 14,149,099 Cost of goods sold 9,597,861 9,670,629 -----
----- Gross profit 4,470,359 4,478,470 ----- Operating expenses Engineering
250,987 154,709 Selling and marketing 1,508,649 1,562,065 General and administrative 2,577,568 2,085,534 Other
348,580 23,131 ----- Total operating expenses 4,685,784 3,825,439 -----
----- Income from operations (loss) (215,425) 653,031 ----- Other (expense)
income Interest and other income 154,056 82,048 Other expense (75,993) 0 ----- Total
other income 78,063 82,048 (Loss) Income before income taxes (137,362) 735,079 Income tax benefit (expense)
Current 72,245 (395,966) Deferred 66,339 92,818 ----- Total income tax benefit
(expense) 138,584 (303,148) ----- Net income \$ 1,222 \$ 431,931
===== Basic weighted average common shares outstanding
4,580,615 4,580,615 ===== Basic income per common share \$ 0.00
\$ 0.09 ===== Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding
4,580,615 4,580,615 ===== Diluted income per common share \$
0.00 \$ 0.09 ===== See notes to consolidated financial statements. 3
ORALABS HOLDING CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
For the Years Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 Additional Total Common Stock Paid-in Retained Stockholders'
Shares Amount Capital Earnings Equity ----- Balance - December 31, 2001
4,580,615 \$ 4,581 \$ 1,221,484 \$ 5,068,229 \$ 6,294,294 Net income - - 431,931 431,931 -----
----- Balance - December 31, 2002 4,580,615 4,581 1,221,484 5,500,160 6,726,225 Net income - - -
1,222 1,222 ----- Balance - December 31, 2003 4,580,615 \$ 4,581 \$ 1,221,484
\$ 5,501,382 \$ 6,727,447 ===== See notes to
consolidated financial statements. 4 ORALABS HOLDING CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements
of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2003 2002 ----- Cash flows from operating
activities Net income \$ 1,222 \$ 431,931 ----- Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided
by operating activities Depreciation 436,938 426,591 Allowance for doubtful accounts 56,221 184,852 Deferred tax
liability and asset 224,470 (69,038) Loss on sale of assets 308,858 - Changes in assets and liabilities Accounts
receivable - trade (159,856) (40,264) Inventories (418,610) (283,673) Prepaid expenses and deposits 10,531 183,155
Accounts payable - trade 637,566 (237,866) Accrued liabilities (158,858) (72,930) Reserve for returns (142,699)
113,521 Income taxes payable (513,077) 87,862 ----- 281,484 292,210 ----- Net cash
provided by operating activities 282,706 724,141 ----- Cash flows from investing activities Purchase of
property and equipment (376,330) (308,152) ----- Net cash used in investing activities (376,330)
(308,152) ----- Cash flows from financing activities Payments of principal on long-term debt (22,875)
(12,220) ----- Net cash used in financing activities (22,875) (12,220) ----- Net
(decrease) increase in cash (116,499) 403,769 Cash - beginning of year 2,677,607 2,273,838 ----- Cash
- end of year \$2,561,108 \$2,677,607 ===== Supplemental disclosure of cash flow
information: Cash paid for: Income taxes ----- 2003 \$ 150,000 2002 \$ 277,500 Supplemental
disclosure of non-cash activity: During 2002, the Company entered into \$82,624 of notes payable for the purchase of
property and equipment. See notes to consolidated financial statements 5 Note 1 - Description of Business and
Summary of Significant Accounting Policies OraLabs Holding Corp. and Subsidiaries, (the Company), was formed in
June 1997. SSI Capital Corp. (SSI) a New York Corporation was incorporated on January 30, 1981. Effective August
22, 1997, SSI was merged into the Company and the outstanding shares of SSI were converted to shares of the
Company on one-for-two basis. In December, 2003, the Company effected a one-for-two reverse stock split. All

references to common stock in the Company's financial statements have been retroactively adjusted for the merger and the two separate one-for-two reductions in shares outstanding. OraLabs Holding Corp. and Subsidiaries (ORALABS), a Colorado corporation was incorporated on August 10, 1990. ORALABS is in the business of manufacturing and distributing lip balm, fresh breath and other products. ORALABS is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

Principles of Consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ORALABS and the accounts of SSI since the date of the reverse acquisition and the accounts of OL Sub Corp. (an inactive entity) since inception. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company continually monitors its positions with, and the credit quality of, the financial institutions it invests with. As of the balance sheet date, and periodically during the year, the Company has maintained balances in various operating accounts in excess of federally insured limits.

Accounts Receivable Accounts receivable represents receivables from customers for product purchased. Such amounts are recorded gross of any discounts. Promotional discounts and bad debts are estimated and accounted for in the allowance for doubtful accounts. Management continually monitors and periodically adjusts the allowances associated with these receivables.

Inventories Inventories consist of raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods, and are stated at the lower of cost or market, determined using the average cost method.

Property and Equipment Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is provided utilizing the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives for owned assets, ranging from 5 to 7 years, and the related lease terms for leasehold improvements.

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with the criteria set forth in SFAS 48. Revenue is recognized as product is shipped net of estimated returns. The Company allows for returns for defective product and records an estimate of these returns based on historical operations and experience.

Income Taxes The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets based on the differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years.

6 Reclassifications Certain amounts in the 2002 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2003 presentation.

Advertising Costs The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expenses were as follows: For the Year Ended December 31, 2003 \$ 1,769 2002 \$144,842

Research and Development Costs Expenditures made for research and development are charged to expense as incurred. Total research and development costs of \$37,172 and \$40,722 for December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, were expensed in operations.

Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share In accordance with FAS 128, basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the number of weighted average common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the number of weighted average common shares outstanding during the year, including potential common shares, which consisted of stock options.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," an interpretation of ARB 51 (FIN No. 46). The primary objectives of FIN 46 are to provide guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights (Variable Interest Entities or "VIEs") and to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate the VIE. This new model for consolidation applies to an entity which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The disclosure requirements of FIN No. 46 became effective for financial statements issued after January 31, 2003. The adoption of this standard did not have an impact on the Company's financial statements. In April 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," which is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. This statement amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments including certain instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In May 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity,"

which is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation- Transition and Disclosure." This statement amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure provision of SFAS 123 to require more prominent disclosure about the effects of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation on reported net income. The effective date for this Statement is for fiscal years ended after December 15, 2002. Adoption of this statement had no impact on the Company's financial statements. 7 In November 2002, the FASB published interpretation No, 45 "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." The Interpretation expands on the accounting guidance of Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and incorporates without change the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 34, which is being superceded. The Interpretation elaborates on the existing disclosure requirements for most guarantees, including loan guarantees such as standby letters of credit. It also clarifies that at the time a company issues a guarantee, that company must recognize an initial liability for the fair value, or market value, of the obligations it assumes under that guarantee and must disclose that information in its interim and annual financial statements. The initial recognition and initial measurement provisions apply on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, regardless of the guarantor's fiscal year-end. The disclosure requirements in the Interpretation are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The Company does not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, receivables, prepaids, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximated fair value as of December 31, 2003 because of the relatively short maturity of these instruments.

Concentration of Business and Credit Risk The Company is engaged primarily in the manufacture and sale of lip balm, fresh breath and other products throughout North America and Internationally. The potential for severe financial impact can result from negative effects of economic conditions within the market or geographic area. Since the Company's products are inexpensive, the potential negative effect of changes in economic conditions are less than would be expected for higher priced products of other industries.

Stock-Based Compensation The Company has elected to account for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. Accordingly, compensation cost for stock options in the accompanying statements of operations is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the measurement date over the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock.

Note 2 - Balance Sheet Disclosures Inventories are summarized as follows at December 31, 2003: Raw materials \$ 1,748,610 Work in process and finished goods 673,543 ----- \$ 2,422,153 ===== Property and equipment consist of the following at December 31, 2003: Machinery and equipment \$ 1,971,311 Leasehold improvements 143,462 ----- 2,114,773 Less accumulated depreciation (1,249,346) ----- \$ 865,427 =====

Note 3 - Line-of-Credit The Company has a \$2,000,000 line-of-credit with a bank which matures in April 2004, which the Company expects to renew. Interest is at a variable rate tied to LIBOR and is periodically adjusted. As of December 31, 2003 the Company had no outstanding balance on this line-of-credit. 8

Note 4 - Income Taxes The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had a net operating loss ("NOL") carry forward of \$584,000 that will expire in 2024. The net current and long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities in the accompanying balance sheet include the following at December 31, 2003: Current deferred tax asset \$ 154,952 Current deferred tax liability - ----- Net current deferred tax asset \$ 154,952 ===== Long-term deferred tax asset \$ 218,143 Long-term deferred tax liability (259,754) ----- Net long-term

based on the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Corporation's net income and basic income per common share would have been changed to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

For the Years Ended December 31, 2003	2002	-----	-----	Net income - as reported	\$ 1,222	\$ 431,931	Net
(loss)income - pro forma	\$ (4,876)	\$ 420,953	Basic income per common share - as reported	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09	Basic (loss)	
income per common share - pro forma	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09	The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used:				
For the Years Ended December 31, 2003	2002	-----	-----	Approximate risk free rate	4.00%	5.00%	Average
expected life	5 years	5 years	Dividend yield	0%	0%	Volatility	73%
65%	Estimated fair value of total options granted		\$6,098	\$10,978	Note 8 - Income Per Share		
The following table sets forth the computation for basic and diluted earnings per share:							
For the Years Ended December 31, 2003	2002	-----	-----	Numerator for basic earnings per share	\$ 1,222	\$ 431,931	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	Numerator for diluted income per common share	\$ 1,222	\$ 431,931	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares	4,580,615	4,580,615	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	Effect of dilutive securities - options -	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted average shares	4,580,615	4,580,615	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	Diluted income per common share	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09	-----

Where the inclusion of potential common shares is anti-dilutive, such shares are excluded from the computation. Note 9 - Acquisitions and expansion During 2003, the Company entered into an agreement with a Company to purchase substantially all the intellectual property (patent's and trademarks) of a former customer. The Company agreed to pay out over the next 10 years, certain amounts contingent upon the sales of products related to the patent's and trademarks acquired. As of December 31, 2003, there was no value assigned to the property acquired. Additionally, during 2003, the Company expensed approximately \$230,000 of receivables from this Company that were deemed to be uncollectible. During 2003, the Company began to pursue certain opportunities in South America. As of December 31, 2003, the Company expensed approximately \$67,000 of legal and other costs related to these opportunities. 13 Note 10 - Major Customers The Company had two major customers that accounted for net sales of approximately \$1,644,000 and \$1,199,000, respectively, of which \$594,000 was due from these customers and included in accounts receivable at year end. For the year ended December 31, 2002, one customer accounted for net sales of approximately \$1,816,000. Note 11 - Export Sales During the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had export sales of approximately \$870,000 and \$1,298,000, or 6% and 9% of product sales, respectively. All of the Company's export business is transacted in U.S. dollars and the Company had no foreign currency translation adjustments Note 12 - Significant Fourth Quarter Adjustments In the fourth quarter for the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company made the following adjustments to the financial statements: The Company made the decision to write off approximately \$230,000 of receivables from a company from which the Company acquired substantially all of its intellectual property. The Company wrote off approximately \$737,000 of leasehold improvements related to the Company's prior leases that were abandoned by the Company late in 2003 and early 2004. These leasehold improvements had a net book value of approximately \$308,000. The Company expensed approximately \$65,000 related to expansion opportunities in South America. 14 EXHIBIT INDEX Exhibit No. Description ----- 14.1 Code of Ethics 23.1 Consent of Independent Public Accountants (Ehrhardt Keefe Steiner & Hottman P.C.) 31.1 Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 31.2 Certification Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Emile Jordan 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Gary H Schlatter