INTERMOLECULAR INC

Form DEF 14A April 18, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

INTERMOLECULAR, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for
- o which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

April 18, 2014

Dear Fellow Stockholders:

We are pleased to invite you to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will take place on Wednesday, May 28, 2014 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025. Annual meetings play an important role in maintaining communications and understanding among our management, board of directors and stockholders, and we hope you will join us.

On the pages following this letter you will find the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which lists the items of business to be considered at the Annual Meeting, and the proxy statement, which describes the items of business listed in the notice and provides other information you may find useful in deciding how to vote.

For our Annual Meeting, we have elected to use the Internet as our primary means of providing our proxy materials to stockholders. Consequently, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access our proxy statement and our 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders, which contains, among other things, our 2013 audited consolidated financial statements, and instructions on how you can vote using the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also includes instructions on how you can request and receive, free of charge, a printed copy of our proxy materials, including our 2013 Annual Report, notice of our Annual Meeting, our proxy statement and a proxy card. All stockholders who do not receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, including our 2013 Annual Report, by mail. The electronic delivery of our proxy materials will reduce our printing and mailing costs and minimize the environmental impact of the proxy materials. If you are a stockholder of record, please follow the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to vote on the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting if you do not plan to attend in person. If you received a printed copy of our proxy materials, to vote, simply complete, sign and date your proxy card and mail it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If your shares are held in "street name" — that is, held for your account by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary — you should obtain instructions from the bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary that you must follow for your shares to be voted.

The ability to have your vote counted at the Annual Meeting is an important stockholder right. Regardless of the number of shares you hold, and whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we hope that you will promptly cast your vote.

Thank you for your ongoing support and continued interest in Intermolecular.

Sincerely,

/s/ David E. Lazovsky DAVID E. LAZOVSKY

President and Chief Executive Officer

INTERMOLECULAR, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be Held on Wednesday, May 28, 2014

Notice is hereby given that the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, on Wednesday, May 28, 2014 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect the three nominees identified in the attached proxy statement as members of our board of directors to serve as Class III directors for a term of three years;
- 2. To approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as described in the attached proxy statement;
- 3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014; and
- 4. To transact other business, if any, that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment of the Annual Meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on Monday, March 31, 2014 are entitled to receive this notice of our Annual Meeting and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments of the meeting.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this Notice. This Notice of Annual Meeting, our 2013 Annual Report and our proxy statement and form of proxy are first being made available to stockholders on or about April 18, 2014.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote by telephone or Internet by following the voting procedures described in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you received printed proxy materials and wish to vote by mail, by promptly completing, dating and signing the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the accompanying envelope. If you mail the proxy card in the United States, postage is prepaid. You may revoke your proxy if you decide to attend the Annual Meeting and wish to vote your shares in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors /s/ Sandeep Jaggi SANDEEP JAGGI

General Counsel, Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property and Corporate Secretary

April 18, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	<u>2</u>
BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS	<u>6</u>
Our Board of Directors	<u>6</u>
Director Independence	6 8 9 9
Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight	9
Committees of our Board of Directors	9
Board Meetings and Attendance	<u>10</u>
Director Attendance at Annual Meeting	<u>10</u>
Director Compensation	<u>10</u>
Director Nomination Process	<u>11</u>
Communicating with our Board of Directors	<u>12</u>
Other Corporate Governance Matters	<u>12</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	12
Compensation Risk Assessment	12
Executive Compensation Process	12
Transactions with Related Persons	<u>12</u>
Related Person Transaction Policy	<u>13</u>
AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS	<u>15</u>
Audit Committee Report	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 15
Auditor Fees and Services	<u>16</u>
MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING	<u>17</u>
PROPOSAL 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	<u>17</u>
PROPOSAL 2—NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED	
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u>17</u>
PROPOSAL 3—RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KMPG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT	10
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014	<u>18</u>
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u>19</u>
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>21</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>21</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>21</u>
Summary Compensation Table	<u>30</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	31 32
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End	<u>32</u>
2013 Option Award Exercises and Stock Awards Vested	<u>34</u>
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control	<u>34</u>
Limitation of Liability and Indemnification	35 35
Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans	<u>35</u>
Equity Compensation Plan Information	<u>36</u>
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CERTAIN	
BENEFICIAL OWNERS	<u>37</u>
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	<u>40</u>

INTERMOLECULAR, INC.

3011 N. First Street

San Jose, California 95134

PROXY STATEMENT

For our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 28, 2014

Intermolecular, Inc., a Delaware corporation (which is referred to as "we," "us," "the company" or "Intermolecular" in this proxy statement), is sending you this proxy statement and proxy card in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our company's board of directors for use at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held on Wednesday, May 28, 2014 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025. If the Annual Meeting is adjourned for any reason, then the proxies may be used at any adjournments of the Annual Meeting. You may obtain directions to the location of the Annual Meeting by viewing them on our website, ir.intermolecular.com, or by contacting Investor Relations at the contact information listed below.

This Notice of Annual Meeting, this proxy statement, the enclosed proxy card and our 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders are first being made available to our stockholders on or about April 18, 2014.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2014 Annual

Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 28, 2014:

This proxy statement and the 2013 Annual Report are available for viewing, printing and downloading at www.proxyvote.com.

Our 2013 Annual Report is available on the "Investors" section of our website at www.intermolecular.com. Alternatively, if you would like us to send you a copy of our Annual Report, without charge, please contact:

Intermolecular, Inc.

3011 N. First Street

San Jose, California 95134

Attention: Investor Relations

If you would like us to send you a copy of the exhibits listed on the exhibit index of the 2013 Annual Report, we will do so upon your payment of our reasonable expenses for furnishing a requested exhibit.

Certain documents referenced in this proxy statement are available on our website at www.intermolecular.com. We are not including the information contained on our website, or any information that may be accessed by links on our website, as part of, or incorporating it by reference into, this proxy statement.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders will consider and vote on the following matters:

The election of the three nominees identified in this proxy statement as members of our board of directors to serve as Class III directors for a term of three years;

The approval, on a non-binding, advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement (a "say-on-pay" vote);

The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014; and

The transaction of other business, if any, that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Who is entitled to vote?

To be able to vote on the above matters, you must have been a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 31, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting. The aggregate number of shares entitled to vote at this meeting is 47,124,811 shares of our common stock, which is the number of shares that were issued and outstanding as of the record date.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of our common stock that you owned on the record date entitles you to one vote on each matter that is voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Is my vote important?

Your vote is important regardless of how many shares you own. Please take the time to read the instructions below and vote. Choose the method of voting that is easiest and most convenient for you and, if you vote by mail, please cast your vote as soon as possible.

How may I vote?

Stockholder of Record (Shares Registered in Your Name): If you are a stockholder of record, which means that your shares are registered in your own name, not in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary, then you can vote in one of the following four ways:

You may vote via the Internet or by phone. To vote via the Internet or by phone, follow the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you vote by telephone or via the Internet, you do not need to return a proxy card by mail. Internet and telephone voting are available 24 hours a day. Votes submitted by telephone or through the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 27, 2014.

You may vote by mail. If you have received printed proxy materials by mail and would like to vote by mail, you need to complete, date and sign the proxy card that accompanies this proxy statement and promptly mail it to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. in the enclosed postage-paid envelope so that it is received prior to the Annual Meeting. You do not need to put a stamp on the enclosed envelope if you mail it from within the United States. The persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares you own in accordance with your instructions on the proxy card you mail. If you return the proxy card, but do not give any instructions on a particular matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares you own in accordance with the recommendations of our board of directors. Our board of directors recommends that you vote FOR each of proposals 1, 2 and 3. Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. must receive your proxy card no later than May 27, 2014, the day before the Annual Meeting, for your proxy and your vote to be counted.

You may vote in person. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote by delivering your completed proxy card in person or by completing and submitting a ballot, which will be provided at the meeting.

Beneficial Owner (Shares Held in "Street Name"): If the shares you own are held in "street name" by a bank,

brokerage firm or other intermediary, then your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. In order to vote your shares, you will need

to follow the instructions your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary provides you. Many banks, brokerage firms and other intermediaries also offer the

option of voting over the Internet or by telephone, instructions for which would be provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary.

Brokers are subject to New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, rules. NYSE rules direct that, if you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by a broker, the broker, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to your broker, the broker will be able to vote your shares with respect to certain "discretionary" items, but will not be allowed to vote your shares with respect to certain "non-discretionary" items and your shares will be treated as "broker non-votes." "Broker non-votes" are shares that are held in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary that indicates on its proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter.

Under the NYSE rules, which affect us because they apply to brokers who hold shares of our common stock, the proposal to elect the three nominees to serve as Class III directors and the say-on-pay vote are non-discretionary items, which means that if you do not give instructions to your broker, your broker will not be able to vote your shares in its discretion on these proposals and your shares will be treated as "broker non-votes." We urge you to provide voting instructions to your broker so that your votes may be counted.

The proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014 is a discretionary item under NYSE rules. Accordingly, your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary may exercise its discretionary authority with respect to this proposal if you do not provide voting instructions.

If you wish to attend the Annual Meeting to personally vote your shares held in "street name," you will need to obtain a proxy card from the holder of record (i.e., your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary).

May I change my vote after I have mailed my proxy card?

Yes. If you are a stockholder of record, you may change your vote and revoke your earlier proxy at any time before it is exercised by taking one of the following actions:

signing and returning another proxy card with a later date;

giving our corporate secretary a written notice that you want to revoke your proxy; or

attending the meeting, notifying our corporate secretary that you are present and then voting in person.

Your attendance at the meeting alone will not revoke your proxy.

If you own shares in "street name," your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary should provide you with appropriate instructions for changing your vote.

What constitutes a quorum?

In order for business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting, our bylaws require that a quorum must be present. A quorum consists of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting, that is, at least 23,562,406 shares.

Shares of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy (including shares that reflect abstentions, "broker non-votes" and votes withheld for director nominees) will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists.

If a quorum is not present, the Annual Meeting will be adjourned until a quorum is obtained.

What vote is required for each item?

Election of Directors (Proposal 1): The three director nominees identified in this proxy statement receiving a plurality, or the highest number, of votes cast at the Annual Meeting, regardless of whether that number represents a majority of the votes cast, will be elected. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will be counted in determining which nominees have received a plurality of votes cast since neither represents votes cast for or against a candidate. Advisory Say-on-Pay Vote (Proposal 2): The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of all of the shares of our common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on this proposal is needed to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers, as set forth in this proxy statement. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will have an effect on the outcome of this proposal because approval of this proposal is based solely on the number of votes cast affirmatively or negatively. Although the outcome of this say-on-pay vote is non-binding and advisory, the compensation committee of the board of directors will review and consider the outcome of this vote when making future compensation decisions for our

named executive officers.

Ratification of the Appointment of KPMG LLP (Proposal 3): The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of all of the shares of our common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on the proposal is needed to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will have an effect on the outcome of this proposal because approval of this proposal is based solely on the number of votes cast affirmatively or negatively.

How will votes be counted?

Each share of common stock voted at the Annual Meeting will be counted as one vote. Shares will not be voted in favor of a matter, and will not be counted as voting on a particular matter, if either (1) the holder of the shares withholds authority in the proxy card to vote for a particular director nominee or nominees or abstains from voting on a particular matter, or (2) the shares constitute "broker non-votes."

Who will count the votes?

Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will count, tabulate and certify the votes. A representative of Broadridge will serve as the inspector of elections at the Annual Meeting.

How does the board of directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?

Our board of directors recommends that you vote:

FOR Proposal 1—to elect the three nominees identified in this proxy statement as Class III directors;

FOR Proposal 2—to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement; and

FOR Proposal 3—to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014.

Will any other business be conducted at the Annual Meeting or will other matters be voted on?

We are not aware of any other business to be conducted or matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. If any other matter properly comes before the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card that accompanies this proxy statement will exercise their judgment in deciding how to vote, or otherwise act, at the meeting with respect to that matter or proposal with respect to the shares they have authority to vote.

Where can I find the voting results?

We will report the voting results from the Annual Meeting in a Current Report on Form 8-K, which we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, within four business days after the Annual Meeting. May I recommend a candidate for Intermolecular's board of directors?

Yes. Stockholders may recommend director candidates for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee of our board of directors by sending a written notice to our corporate secretary at the address under "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2015 annual meeting?" below. If a stockholder would like a candidate to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, the stockholder must follow the procedures for stockholder proposals outlined immediately below under "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2015 annual meeting?" You can find more detailed information on our process for selecting board members and our criteria for board nominees in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS—Director Nomination Process" below and in the Corporate Governance Guidelines posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com.

Alternatively, our bylaws provide that stockholders may nominate director candidates for consideration at the 2015 annual meeting directly without approval of the nominating and corporate governance committee. In order to nominate candidates directly, stockholders must follow the procedures outlined in "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2015 annual meeting?" immediately below.

How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2015 annual meeting?

If you are interested in submitting a proposal or information about a proposed director candidate for inclusion in the proxy statement for our 2015 annual meeting, you must follow the procedures outlined in Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion in the proxy statement, we must receive your stockholder proposal or information about your proposed director candidate at the address noted below no later than December 19, 2014.

If you wish to present a proposal or a proposed director candidate at the 2015 annual meeting, but do not wish to have the proposal or director candidate considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and proxy card, you must also give written notice to our corporate secretary at the address noted below. We must receive this required notice by February 27, 2015, but no sooner than January 28, 2015. However, if the 2015 annual meeting is held before April 28, 2015 or after July 27, 2015, then we must receive the required notice of a proposal or proposed director candidate no earlier than the 120th day prior to the 2015 annual meeting and no later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to the 2015 annual meeting, or (2) the 10th day following the date on which notice of the date of the 2015 annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure was made, whichever occurs first.

Any proposals, notices or information about proposed director candidates should be sent to:

Intermolecular, Inc.

3011 N. First Street

San Jose, California 95134

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Who bears the costs of soliciting these proxies?

We will bear the costs of soliciting proxies. We are soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting by mailing this proxy statement and accompanying materials to our stockholders. We are also soliciting proxies in the following ways:

Our directors, officers and employees may, without additional pay, solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile, email and personal interviews.

We will request brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward copies of the proxy materials to the persons for whom they hold shares and request instructions for voting the proxies. We will reimburse the brokerage houses and other persons for their reasonable expenses in connection with this distribution.

Who should I contact if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting or your ownership of our common stock, please contact Investor Relations at the contact information identified on page 1 of this proxy statement.

What is "householding" and how may I receive my own separate copy of the proxy statement or annual report? The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (such as banks and brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

At the present time, Intermolecular does not "household" for any of our stockholders of record. However, if you hold shares of common stock in street name, your bank, broker or other nominee may be householding our proxy materials this year. Once you have received notice from your bank, broker or other nominee that it will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. If you only received a single set of proxy materials and would like to receive a separate set of materials, direct your written request to Broadridge Financial Solutions, at Broadridge Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York, 11717, or by telephone at 1-800-542-1061 and an additional set of materials will promptly be delivered to you. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report in the future, please notify your bank, broker or other nominee. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement at their address and would like to request householding of their communications in the future should contact their bank or broker.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Our Board of Directors

In accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, our board of directors is divided into three classes, each of which consists, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of directors constituting our entire board of directors and each of whose members serve for staggered three year terms. As a result, only one class of our board of directors is elected each year. The members of the classes are divided as follows:

the Class I directors are Marvin D. Burkett and John L. Walecka, and their terms expire at the conclusion of the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2015;

the Class II directors are Irwin Federman and David E. Lazovsky, and their terms expire at the conclusion of the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2016; and

the Class III directors are Thomas R. Baruch, Bruce M. McWilliams and George M. Scalise, and their terms expire at the conclusion of this Annual Meeting.

Upon the expiration of the term of a class of directors, directors in that class are eligible to be elected for a new three-year term at the annual meeting of stockholders in the year in which their term expires.

Below is information about each member of our board of directors, including nominees for election as Class III directors. This information includes each director's age as of March 31, 2014 and length of service as a director of Intermolecular, his principal occupation and business experience for at least the past five years, and the names of other publicly held companies of which he has served as a director during at least the past five years.

In addition to the information presented below regarding each director's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board of directors to the conclusion that he should serve as a director, we also believe that each of our directors has a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment to service. There are no family relationships among any of our directors, nominees for director and executive officers. Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2015 (Class I Directors)

Marvin D. Burkett, age 71, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2011. A 40-year veteran of the semiconductor industry, Mr. Burkett brings to our board of directors years of experience with global semiconductor and personal computing companies, as well as in-depth knowledge of public company financial and accounting principles. Mr. Burkett served as Senior Adviser to NVIDIA Corporation (NVIDIA) from February 2009 until January 2011. Previously, he began at NVIDIA in August 2002 and served as its Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer from September 2002 to February 2009. Prior to NVIDIA, Mr. Burkett served as the Chief Financial Officer of Arcot Systems, Inc., and also as its Financial Consultant from February 2000 to September 2002. Mr. Burkett also served as an Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Packard Bell NEC, Inc. (PBNEC) from 1998 to 1999. Prior to PBNEC, he spent 26 years at Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. from 1972 to 1998, where he served in a variety of positions, including Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate Controller. Mr. Burkett also worked in the Semiconductor Division of Raytheon Company. Mr. Burkett has served as a member of the board of directors and the chairman of the audit committee for G2 Holdings Corporation since January 2011. Mr. Burkett has also served as a member of the board of directors of Entegris, Inc. since May 2010 and Audience, Inc. since September 2010, serving as the chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation committee for each company. Mr. Burkett previously served as a member of the board of directors and chairman of the audit committee of NetLogic Microsystems, Inc. Mr. Burkett holds an MBA and a B.S. in applied mathematics and business administration from the University of Arizona. John L. Walecka, age 54, has served as a member of our board of directors since January 2005. Mr. Walecka is a founding partner and has served as a general partner of Redpoint Ventures (Redpoint) since its founding in 1999, and brings to our board of directors the extensive experience he has gained working closely with entrepreneurs to build industry-leading companies in emerging technology sectors. Prior to founding Redpoint, he was a general partner with Brentwood Venture Capital from 1984 to 1999. Mr. Walecka serves on the board of directors for each of Moogsoft, Inc., a provider of agile service IT management software, Lastline, Inc., a developer of advanced malware security platforms, Avnera Corporation, a designer of ASoC products for the consumer audio industry, Envia Systems, Inc., a company focused on development of advanced lithium ion battery materials technology, Quantifind, Inc., a developer

of social media predictive analytics, and Datameer, Inc., a big-data analytics software provider. From August 2003 to October 2012, Mr. Walecka served as a member of the board of directors for Fortinet, Inc. (Fortinet), a leading provider of high-performance computer network security products and services. At varying times during his service with Fortinet's board of directors, Mr, Walecka was a member of the board's audit,

compensation, and nominating and corporate governance committees. Earlier in his career, he worked for Hewlett Packard Corporation and the Stanford University Smart Product Design Laboratory. He holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in engineering from Stanford University, and an MBA from Stanford University's Graduate School of Business. Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2016 (Class II Directors)

Irwin Federman, age 78, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2005. Mr. Federman brings to our board of directors an extensive knowledge of the semiconductor industry, as well as public company governance experience. Mr. Federman has been a managing member at U.S. Venture Partners, a venture capital firm, since April 1990. Mr. Federman was President and Chief Executive Officer of Monolithic Memories, Inc., a semiconductor company, from 1979 to 1987. Mr. Federman also serves on the board of directors for each of SanDisk Corporation, a supplier of flash memory integrated circuits and systems, Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., a security software company, Mellanox Technologies, Ltd., a supplier of interconnect integrated circuits and systems, Neoconix, Inc., a supplier of miniaturized, high-performance electrical connectors, ON24, Inc., a cloud-based webcasting communications platform company, Silego Technology, Inc., a fabless semiconductor company, SupplyFrame, Inc., a provider of sales and marketing solutions for the electronics industry, and LivingSocial, Inc., a deal-of-the-day website company offering discounted gift certificates usable at local or national companies. Mr. Federman holds a B.S. in Economics from Brooklyn College and was awarded an Honorary Doctorate of Engineering from Santa Clara University.

David E. Lazovsky, age 42, is our founder and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our board of directors since September 2004. Mr. Lazovsky brings an in-depth knowledge of semiconductor manufacturing operations and our business and operations to our board of directors. He previously held several senior management positions at Applied Materials Inc. (Applied Materials). From 1996 through August 2004, Mr. Lazovsky held management positions in the Metal Deposition and Thin Films Product Business Group where he was responsible for managing more than \$1 billion in Applied Materials' semiconductor manufacturing equipment business. From 2003 through 2004, Mr. Lazovsky managed key strategic accounts in Business Management where he worked closely with leading integrated circuit manufacturers to ensure Applied Materials was developing and providing cutting-edge technology solutions. From 2002 through 2003, Mr. Lazovsky served as the Technology Program Manager for the Endura 2 Platform, Applied Materials' flagship 300mm metallization platform. From 2000 through 2002, Mr. Lazovsky served as Applied Materials' Director of Business Management for the European region in the Metal Deposition Product Business Group. Previously, Mr. Lazovsky served at Applied Materials as a Business Manager from 1997 to 2000, Account Product Manager from 1996 to 1997 and Total Product Support Engineer from 1995 to 1996. Mr. Lazovsky holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering from Ohio University and, as of March 31, 2014, held 86 pending or issued U.S. patents.

Director Nominees for Terms Expiring in 2017 (Class III Directors)

Thomas R. Baruch, age 75, has served as a member of our board of directors since November 2004. Mr. Baruch is the founder of CMEA Ventures, a venture capital firm that was established in 1989 as an affiliated fund of New Enterprise Associates. Mr. Baruch was also a partner emeritus of CMEA Ventures from 1989 through 2010, and is presently a general partner of Formation 8, a venture capital firm that provides financial and strategic capital to early growth smart enterprise and energy technology companies. Mr. Baruch brings to our board of directors an extensive knowledge of the clean technology industry and experience he has gained working closely with entrepreneurs to build leading companies in the clean-energy industry, as well as years of public company governance experience. Mr. Baruch currently serves as a member of the board of directors for each of FORO Energy, a company developing a new hybrid thermal mechanical drilling technology for geothermal energy wells, Calysta Energy, Inc., a developer of high-value sustainable fuels and chemicals, LaunchPad Central, Inc., a software-based entrepreneur qualification consultancy, Grabit, Inc., an industrial automation and materials handling solutions provider, Urban Electric Power, LLC, a company commercializing advanced zinc anode rechargeable battery technologies, and Exela Pharma Sciences, LLC, a provider of regulatory compliance solutions for the pharmaceutical industry. In addition, Mr. Baruch is currently Chairman of the Board for Codexis, Inc., where he also serves on the board's compensation committee. Before starting CMEA Ventures, Mr. Baruch was a founder and Chief Executive Officer of Microwave Technology, Inc. (Microwave), a supplier of gallium arsenide integrated circuits. Prior to his employment with

Microwave, Mr. Baruch managed a dedicated venture fund at Exxon Corporation, and was president of the Exxon Materials Division. Earlier in his career, Mr. Baruch worked as a patent attorney and remains a registered patent attorney. He is also both a member of the Executive Committee of the Council of Competitiveness, and a member of the Steering Committee of the ESIS Initiative (Energy, Security, Innovation and Sustainability) of the Council of Competitiveness. Mr. Baruch is also a member of the board of trustees of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, the National Advisory Council on Innovation and Entrepreneurship, and the Sierra Club Climate Recovery Cabinet. Mr. Baruch holds a B.S. in engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and a J.D. from Capital University. Bruce M. McWilliams, age 57, has served as a member of our board of directors since March 2005. Dr. McWilliams brings to our board of directors broad experience in the electronics manufacturing and clean technology sectors, as well as

extensive management experience. Dr. McWilliams has served as Chief Executive Officer of SuVolta, Inc., a developer of low-power, high-performance integrated circuit technology, since June 2009. Dr. McWilliams also served as a director of Tessera Technologies, Inc. from 1999 to January 2011, where he previously served as its Chief Executive Officer from June 1999 to September 2008 and Chief Strategic Officer from September 2008 to March 2009. Dr. McWilliams also founded and served as Chief Executive Officer of SVision LLC, a silicon chip-based display company, from 1996 to 1999. His management experience also includes serving as Senior Vice President at Flextronics International Ltd. (Flextronics) from 1995 to 1996, a position he assumed upon Flextronics' acquisition of nCHIP, Inc., a multi-chip module packaging company that he co-founded and led as Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to 1995. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors and audit committee for Inphi Corporation, a semiconductor packaging company, and as a member of the board of directors of NovaTorque, Inc., a magnet motor design company. Dr. McWilliams is also a trustee of Carnegie Mellon University and a member of its advisory boards for Physics and Human and Computer Interaction. He previously served on the board of directors of REEL Solar, Inc., a solar heating technology company, from 2009 through December 2011. He holds B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in physics from Carnegie Mellon University.

George M. Scalise, age 79, has served as a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Scalise brings to our board of directors extensive knowledge of the semiconductor industry and market analysis. Mr. Scalise served as President of the Semiconductor Industry Association, or SIA, an association of semiconductor manufacturers and suppliers, from June 1997 to December 2010. Mr. Scalise previously worked at Apple Computer, Inc., where he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer from March 1996 to June 1997, and has also held executive management positions at National Semiconductor Corporation, Maxtor Corporation, Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation and Motorola Semiconductor. Mr. Scalise was Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco from May 2003 to December 2005, and also served on President George W. Bush's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology from 2001 to 2008. He currently serves on the boards of directors of ATMI, Inc. (ATMI) and Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (Cadence), and also serves as a member of the compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees for Cadence and audit, technology and nominating and corporate governance committees of ATMI. He served on the California Council on Science and Technology and was a member of the Joint High-Level Advisory Panel of the United States-Israel Science and Technology Commission, and chaired the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board at the U.S. Department of Energy. Mr. Scalise holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering from Purdue University, and is also a graduate of the Stanford Law School's Directors' College.

Director Independence

As required under the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, independent directors must comprise a majority of a listed company's board of directors, as affirmatively determined by the board of directors. In addition, Nasdaq Marketplace Rules require that, subject to specified exceptions, each member of a listed company's audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees be independent. Our board of directors consults with our counsel to ensure that the board of directors' determinations are consistent with all relevant securities and other laws and regulations regarding the definition of "independent" in each circumstance, including those set forth in pertinent listing standards of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, as in effect from time to time.

Consistent with these considerations, on April 2, 2014, our board of directors undertook a review of its composition, the composition of its committees and the independence of each director. Based upon information requested from and provided by each director concerning his background, employment and affiliations, including family relationships, our board of directors has determined that none of Messrs. Baruch, Burkett, Federman, Scalise and Walecka or Dr. McWilliams, representing six of our seven existing directors, has a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director, and that each of these directors is "independent" as that term is defined under the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. Our board of directors also determined that Messrs. Burkett and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams, who comprise our audit committee, Messrs. Baruch, Federman and Walecka, who comprise our compensation committee, and Messrs. Baruch and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams, who comprise our nominating and corporate governance committee, satisfy the independence standards for those committees established by applicable SEC rules and the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. In making this determination, our

board of directors considered the relationships that each non-employee director has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining their independence, including the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by each non-employee director and any relationships summarized below under the heading "Transactions with Related Persons" that may pertain to each such director. Mr. Lazovsky is not considered independent because he is an employee of Intermolecular.

As required under the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, our independent directors meet in regularly scheduled executive sessions at which only independent directors are present.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

Our board of directors has separated the positions of chairman of the board and chief executive officer. Separating these positions allows our chief executive officer to focus on our day-to-day business, while allowing the chairman of the board to lead the board in its fundamental role of providing advice to and independent oversight of management. The board recognizes the time, effort and energy that the chief executive officer is required to devote to his position in the current business environment, as well as the commitment required to serve as chairman of the board, particularly as the board's oversight responsibilities continue to grow. While our bylaws and corporate governance guidelines do not require that our chairman and chief executive officer positions be separate, the board believes that having separate positions and having an independent outside director serve as chairman is the appropriate leadership structure for the company at this time, and demonstrates our commitment to good corporate governance.

While our board is ultimately responsible for risk oversight, our board committees assist the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in certain areas of risk. In particular, our audit committee focuses on financial risk, including internal controls. Our nominating and corporate governance committee focuses on the management of risks associated with independence of the board, potential conflicts of interest and corporate governance. Finally, our compensation committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs.

Committees of our Board of Directors

Our board of directors has an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Each of these standing committees operates under a charter that has been approved by our board of directors. Current copies of each committee's charter are posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com. The composition and functioning of all of our committees comply with all applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, and SEC rules and regulations. The composition and responsibilities of each committee are described below. Members serve on these committees until their resignation or until otherwise determined by our board of directors.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process. Among other matters, the audit committee: appoints the independent registered public accounting firm; evaluates the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, independence and performance; determines the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm; reviews and approves the scope of the annual audit and the audit fee; discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the results of the annual audit and the review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements; approves the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm to perform any proposed permissible non-audit services; monitors the rotation of partners of the independent registered public accounting firm on our engagement team as required by law; reviews our consolidated financial statements and our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations to be included in our annual and quarterly reports to be filed with the SEC; reviews our critical accounting policies and estimates; and annually reviews the audit committee charter and the committee's performance, which is included below under "AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS-Audit Committee Report."

The current members of our audit committee are Messrs. Burkett and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams. Mr. Burkett serves as the chairman of the committee. All members of our audit committee meet the requirements for financial literacy under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Burkett is an audit committee financial expert as defined under the applicable rules of the SEC and has the requisite financial sophistication as defined under the applicable rules and regulations of The Nasdaq Stock Market. Each of the members of our audit committee qualifies as an independent director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market relating to audit committee independence. The audit committee operates under a written charter that satisfies the applicable standards of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market.

Our audit committee met nine times and did not act by written consent during 2013.

All audit and non-audit services, other than de minimis non-audit services, to be provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm must be approved in advance by our audit committee. For more information regarding our audit committee, see "AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS" below.

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee reviews and recommends policies relating to the compensation and benefits of our officers and employees. The compensation committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our chief executive officer and other executive officers, evaluates the performance of these officers in light of those goals and objectives, and sets the compensation of these officers based on such evaluations. The compensation committee also approves grants of stock options and other equity awards under our equity compensation plans. The compensation committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the compensation committee and its members, including compliance of the compensation committee with its charter, which is included below under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION-Compensation Committee Report."

The current members of our compensation committee are Messrs. Baruch, Federman and Walecka. Mr. Baruch serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of our compensation committee is a non-employee, independent and outside director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, The Nasdaq Stock Market and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, respectively, relating to compensation committee independence. The compensation committee operates under a written charter.

Our compensation committee met seven times and acted by written consent once during 2013.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for making recommendations to our board of directors regarding candidates for directorships, and the size and composition of our board of directors and standing committees. In addition, the nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for overseeing our corporate governance policies and reporting and making recommendations to our board of directors concerning governance matters.

The current members of our nominating and corporate governance committee are Messrs. Baruch and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams. Mr. Baruch serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of our nominating and corporate governance committee is an independent director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market relating to nominating and corporate governance committee independence. The nominating and corporate governance committee operates under a written charter.

Our nominating and corporate governance committee met two times and did not act by written consent during 2013. The processes and procedures followed by our nominating and corporate governance committee in identifying and evaluating director candidates are described below under the heading "Director Nomination Process."

Board Meetings and Attendance

Our board met five times and acted by written consent seven times during 2013. During 2013, each incumbent director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the number of board meetings and the number of meetings held by all committees on which he then served.

Director Attendance at Annual Meeting

Members of our board, and nominees for director, are encouraged to attend our annual meetings of stockholders. Messrs. Burkett, Federman and Lazovsky attended our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

Director Compensation

We maintain a non-employee director compensation program that is intended to fairly compensate our non-employee directors for the time and effort necessary to serve on our board of directors. In structuring compensation arrangements for non-employee directors, the compensation committee took into account our need to attract and retain high-quality directors by offering compensation packages competitive with those of companies of similar size, in similar industries or markets and at the same stage of maturity as our company.

In accordance with this program, our non-employee directors are entitled to receive annual cash retainers of \$35,000. In addition, (i) non-employee directors who serve as chairs of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are entitled to receive additional annual cash retainers of \$20,000, \$10,000 and \$7,500, respectively, (ii) non-employee directors who serve as non-chair members of the audit, compensation and nominating

and corporate governance committees are entitled to receive additional annual cash retainers of \$7,500, \$5,000 and \$2,500, respectively, and

(iii) the independent chairman of our board of directors is entitled to receive an additional annual cash retainer of \$20,000. All cash retainers are paid quarterly in arrears.

In addition, under this compensation program, a non-employee director receives an initial option to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock when he or she joins our board of directors. Thereafter, a non-employee director will receive an option to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders (provided that the non-employee director has served on our board of directors for at least six months prior to the date of the annual meeting). Each initial stock option grant will vest as to 25% of the underlying shares on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the non-employee director's continued service through the applicable vesting date. Each annual stock option grant will vest in full on the earlier to occur of the first anniversary of the applicable grant date or the date of the annual meeting of our stockholders immediately following the applicable vesting date. Each initial stock option grant and annual stock option grant will automatically vest in full and become exercisable immediately prior to a "change in control" of the company (as defined in our 2011 Incentive Award Plan).

In 2013, we also reimbursed each of our non-employee directors for reasonable travel expenses incurred in connection with attendance at board of directors and committee meetings.

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our non-employee directors during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Name	Fees Paid or Earned in	Option Awards	Total
	Cash (\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$)
Thomas R. Baruch	72,500	44,195	116,695
Marvin D. Burkett	55,000	44,195	99,195
Irwin Federman	40,000	44,195	84,195
Bruce M. McWilliams	45,000	44,195	89,195
George M. Scalise	45,000	44,195	89,195
John L. Walecka	40,000	44,195	84,195

Amounts reported reflect the grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2013, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the non-employee director. The assumptions used to calculate the value of (1) all stock options granted to non-employee directors are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014. As of December 31, 2013, Messrs. Baruch, Burkett and Federman, Dr. McWilliams, and Messrs. Scalise and Walecka held options to purchase 145,000, 32,500, 20,000, 77,375, 20,000, and 20,000 shares, respectively, of our common stock.

Director Nomination Process

Our nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for reviewing with the board of directors, on at least an annual basis, the appropriate characteristics, skills and experience required for the board of directors as a whole and its individual members. In evaluating the suitability of individual candidates (both new candidates and current members), the nominating and corporate governance committee, in recommending candidates for election, and the board of directors, in approving (and, in the case of vacancies, appointing) such candidates, takes into account many factors, including: personal and professional integrity, ethics and values; experience in corporate management, such as serving as an officer or former officer of a publicly held company, and a general understanding of marketing, finance and other elements relevant to the success of a publicly-traded company in today's business environment; experience relevant to our industry and with relevant social policy concerns; experience as a board member or executive officer of another publicly held company; relevant academic expertise or other proficiency in an area of our operations; practical and mature business judgment, including ability to make independent analytical inquiries; diversity of personal background, perspective and experience; promotion of a diversity of business or career experience relevant to the success of the company; and any other relevant qualifications, attributes or skills. The board

evaluates each individual in the context of the board as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best perpetuate the success of the business and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment using its diversity of experience in these various areas. In determining whether to recommend a director for re-election, the nominating and corporate governance committee may consider the director's past attendance at meetings and participation in and contributions to the activities of the board. The nominating and corporate governance committee may decide to retain an executive search firm to identify director candidates, and if so, will identify the search firm and approve the search firm's fees

and other retention terms and will specify for the search firm the criteria to use in identifying potential candidates, consistent with the director qualification criteria described above.

The nominating and corporate governance committee will also consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. When recommending nominees for election as directors, our nominating and corporate governance committee shall consider candidates proposed by stockholders and shall apply the same criteria, and shall follow substantially the same process in considering them, as it does in considering other candidates. Stockholders nominating director candidates must follow the procedures set forth under "INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING—May I recommend a candidate for Intermolecular's board of directors?" and "—How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2015 annual meeting?"

You can find more detailed information on our process for selecting board members and our criteria for board nominees in the corporate governance guidelines posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com.

Communicating with our Board of Directors

Interested persons, including stockholders, may communicate with our board by sending a letter to: Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Intermolecular, Inc., 3011 N. First Street, San Jose, California 95134. Our corporate secretary will submit all correspondence to the chairman of the board directors and to any specific director to whom the correspondence is directed.

Other Corporate Governance Matters

We believe in sound corporate governance practices and have adopted formal corporate governance guidelines to enhance our effectiveness. Our board adopted these corporate governance guidelines in order to ensure that it has the necessary practices in place to review and evaluate our business operations as needed and to make decisions that are independent of our management. The corporate governance guidelines are also intended to align the interests of directors and management with those of our stockholders. The corporate governance guidelines set forth the practices our board follows with respect to board and committee composition and selection, board meetings, chief executive officer performance evaluation and management development and succession planning for senior management, including the chief executive officer position. A copy of our corporate governance guidelines is available on our website at ir.intermolecular.com.

We have also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all of our employees, officers and directors, including those officers responsible for financial reporting as required by applicable Nasdaq listing standards, which is a "code of ethics" as defined by applicable SEC rules. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is publicly available on our website at ir.intermolecular.com. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics includes an enforcement mechanism, and if we make any amendments to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics other than technical, administrative, or other non-substantive amendments, or grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from a provision of this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for our directors, executive officers or other principal financial officers, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver, its effective date, and to whom it applies, on our website at ir.intermolecular.com or in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC. There were no waivers of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics during 2013.

Complete copies of our corporate governance guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the charters for our audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are available on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com. Alternatively, you may request a copy of any of these documents free of charge by writing to:

Intermolecular, Inc.

3011 N. First Street

San Jose, California 95134

Attention: Investor Relations

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of our compensation committee has at any time during the prior three years been an officer or employee of ours. None of our executive officers currently serves or in the prior three years has served as a member of

the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our board of directors or compensation committee.

Compensation Risk Assessment

The compensation committee has assessed our compensation policies and practices and concluded that they do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. In reaching this conclusion, the compensation committee considered various characteristics of our compensation plans and related policies, including but not limited to the following:

The mix of fixed (base salary) and variable (annual cash incentive and equity) compensation, including short-term (annual cash incentive) and long-term (equity) incentives, which reduces the significance of any one particular compensation component.

The mix of various stock options and restricted stock awards that vest over time (generally four years from grant), which discourages unnecessary short-term risk-taking while encouraging retention and focusing employees' attention on longer-term performance.

Sales commission programs that are generally subject to annual caps (with the excess rolled forward), which decrease the incentive for an employee to take unnecessary risks to achieve an individual goal.

Executive Compensation Process

The processes and procedures followed by our compensation committee in considering and determining executive compensation are described under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below. The compensation committee has the authority to retain compensation consultants and other outside advisors to assist in the evaluation and determination of executive officer compensation. For further information, see "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION—Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below. Additionally, the compensation committee may delegate authority to one or more subcommittees as it deems appropriate.

Transactions with Related Persons

We describe below transactions, since January 1, 2013, to which we were a party or will be a party, in which:

The amounts involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000; and

A director, executive officer, holder of more than 5% of our common stock or any member of their immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

We believe that all of these transactions were on terms as favorable as could have been obtained from unrelated third parties.

Symyx Asset Purchase

In 2005, we entered into a Collaborative Development and License Agreement with Symyx Technologies, Inc. (Symyx), as well as an Alliance Agreement (Symyx Alliance Agreement) with Symyx. Both agreements were amended periodically through 2009. Under the Symyx agreements, Symyx granted us, and we agreed to pay Symyx royalties for, a license to certain high-throughput combinatorial patents held or licensed by them and related software to design, develop and manufacture integrated circuits, photovoltaic cells, glass coatings, light emitting diodes, organic light-emitting diodes and thin films for electronics, optical and energy applications. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recorded \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million in cost of revenue, respectively, and had accrued liabilities due to Symyx of \$0.5 million and \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. On July 28, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Symyx, pursuant to which we agreed to allow Symyx to sell in the initial public offering of our common stock a total of 3,968,204 shares of common stock held by them, and Symyx agreed to (i) sell its shares in the offering, (ii) sell to us all of its patents that related to combinatorial processing, and (iii) terminate our future royalty obligations under the Symyx agreements to the extent they would have accrued after December 31, 2011. In connection with the consummation of this asset purchase transaction in November 2011, we issued Symyx a secured promissory note in a principal amount equal to \$27.3 million with a term of 24 months and an interest rate equal to 4%. The note was payable in quarterly installments, each in an amount equal to the greater of \$0.5 million that quarter or the amount of accrued interest, with a balloon payment at maturity, if applicable. The note was also pre-payable by us at any time without penalty or premium, and was secured by tangible personal property, excluding intellectual property. In addition, we reimbursed Symyx for 50% of the underwriting discounts and commissions paid by them in connection with the sale of their common stock in the offering, which amounted to approximately \$1.4 million.

On May 31, 2013, we used \$25.0 million of the net proceeds from a revolving line with Silicon Valley Bank and \$1.5 million of cash to retire and repay all remaining principal and accrued interest upon the Symyx note. Over the life of the Symyx note the Company paid a total of \$29.0 million, of which approximately \$1.6 million related to interest expense.

ATMI Engagement

In November 2006, we entered into an Alliance Agreement with ATMI, Inc., which was the beneficial owner of approximately 5.6% of our common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2013. ATMI and Intermolecular each have an independent board member that serves on both companies' boards of directors. In July 2007, we entered into a Wets Workflow Purchase Agreement with ATMI, and then in December 2008 entered into a Dry Workflow Purchase Agreement with ATMI, pursuant to which we sold to ATMI certain high productivity development tools. Under the Alliance Agreement and related ancillary agreements, we agreed to work with ATMI to conduct research and development and other activities with respect to materials and our proprietary high productivity combinatorial platform technology for use in semiconductor applications. Under the agreements, ATMI pays us fees for services and both parties may provide royalties to the other for licensed technology sold to third parties. Since November 2006, the agreement has been amended numerous times, with the last amendment signed in December 2013. ATMI accounted for \$8.4 million (13.0%) of our revenue during the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$16.5 million (24.7%) and \$15.8 million (29.3%) of our revenue for years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For more information about these transactions, see Note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014.

Agreements with Our Stockholders

We have entered into an amended and restated investor rights agreement with certain holders of warrants and common stock, including entities that hold 5% or more of our common stock and/or with which certain of our directors are affiliated. This agreement provides (i) that certain such holders have the right to demand that we file a registration statement, subject to certain limitations, and (ii) that all such holders have the right to request that their shares be covered by a registration statement that we are otherwise filing.

Indemnification Agreements

Please see below under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION-Limitation of Liability and Indemnification" for information on our indemnification arrangements with our directors and executive officers.

Related Person Transaction Policy

Our board of directors has adopted a written related party transaction policy. This policy covers, with certain exceptions set forth in Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act, any transaction, arrangement or relationship, or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships in which we were or are to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and a related party had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. Under the policy, the audit

committee is required to review the relevant facts and circumstances of any such transaction, arrangement or relationship, including whether the transaction is on comparable terms to arm's length dealings with third parties, the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction, and the conflicts of interest and corporate opportunity provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Such transactions, arrangements or relationships may only be consummated or continue if the audit committee approves or ratifies such transaction, arrangement or relationship. If advance approval by the audit committee is not feasible, then management may preliminarily enter into the transaction, arrangement or relationship upon prior approval by the chairman of the audit committee, subject to ratification of the transaction, arrangement or relationship at the audit committee's next regularly scheduled meeting. No director may participate in approval of a related party transaction for which he or she is a related party. Each transaction required to be reported under Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K since the beginning of our last fiscal year was entered into in compliance with our related person transaction policy described above except for the amendment we entered into with ATMI in December 2013, which altered the payment structure under certain of our existing agreements with ATMI for the 2014 fiscal year, as described in Part I, Item 1 - Business of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014. For the ATMI amendment, while the policy was not strictly followed, we obtained prior approval of the chairman of the audit committee and the audit committee has since reviewed and ratified the transaction.

AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS

Audit Committee Report

The material in this report is not "soliciting material," is not deemed "filed" with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Intermolecular under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The following is the report of the audit committee with respect to Intermolecular's audited consolidated balance sheets for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, redeemable convertible preferred stock and of stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013 and the related notes thereto (together, the "financial statements").

Responsibilities. The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors. The role of the audit committee is to oversee our financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Our management has the primary responsibility for our financial statements as well as our financial reporting process and principles, internal controls and disclosure controls. The independent auditors, KPMG LLP (KPMG), are responsible for performing an audit of our financial statements and expressing an opinion as to the conformity of such financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. KPMG is also responsible for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting.

Review with Management. The audit committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements (including the quality of our accounting principles) with management. Our management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) and for evaluating the effectiveness of those internal controls and for evaluating any changes in those controls that will, or is reasonably likely to, affect internal controls over financial reporting. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) and for evaluating the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures.

Review and Discussions with Independent Accountants. The audit committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements (including the quality of our accounting principles) with KPMG. The audit committee has discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed by the Public Company Accounting and Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 16, "Communications with Audit Committees; Related Amendments to PCAOB Standards; and Transitional Amendments to PCAOB AU Section 380," which includes, among other items, matters related to the conduct of the audit of our financial statements, and the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction with an Audit of Financial Statements. Further, the audit committee reviewed KPMG's Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to its audit of the consolidated financial statements

The audit committee has also received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding KPMG's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with KPMG its independence from us.

Conclusion. Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Marvin D. Burkett (chair)

Bruce M. McWilliams

George M. Scalise

Auditor Fees and Services

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed (or expected to be billed) by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Type	2013	2012
Audit Fees (1):	\$ 1,018,500	\$ 1,054,600
Audit Related Fees (2):	_	_
Tax Fees (3):	_	_
All Other Fees (4):	_	_
Total Fees:	\$ 1,018,500	\$ 1,054,600

Audit Fees—This category includes the aggregate fees and expenses billed or accrued for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the independent auditors for the audit of our annual financial statements

- (1) and review of financial statements included in our Annual Reports and Quarterly Reports filed with the SEC or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years.
- Audit Related Fees—This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for services (2) by the independent auditors that are reasonably related to the performance of the audits of the financial statements and are not reported above under 'Audit Fees'.
- Tax Fees—This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two years for professional services rendered by the independent auditors for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice.
- (4) All Other Fees—This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services by the independent auditors that are not reported under 'Audit Fees', 'Audit Related Fees', or 'Tax Fees.'

Pre-approval Policies and Procedures

Before an independent registered public accounting firm is engaged by Intermolecular or its subsidiaries to render audit or non-audit services, our audit committee must review the terms of the proposed engagement and pre-approve the engagement. Our audit committee may establish policies that allow the audit committee to delegate authority to a member of the audit committee to provide such pre-approvals for audit or non-audit services, provided that such person will be required to report all such pre-approvals to the full audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. In addition, if such policies are established for non-audit services, the audit committee must be informed of each non-audit service provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. Audit committee pre-approval of non-audit services (other than review and attest services) are not required if such services fall within available exceptions established by the SEC. All fees paid to KPMG LLP for audit and non-audit services provided during fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were pre-approved by the audit committee in accordance with the policy described above.

MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING PROPOSAL 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors is divided into three classes, with one class being elected each year and members of each class holding office for a three-year term. We have two Class I directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders; two Class II directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders; and three Class III directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of this 2014 Annual Meeting. Our board of directors currently consists of seven members.

At this Annual Meeting, our stockholders will have an opportunity to vote for three nominees for Class III directors: Thomas R. Baruch, Bruce M. McWilliams and George M. Scalise. Each of the nominees are currently directors of Intermolecular and you can find more information about each of them in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS—Our Board of Directors" above.

The persons named in the enclosed proxy card will vote to elect these three nominees as Class III directors, unless you withhold authority to vote for the election of one or more nominees by marking the proxy card to that effect. If elected, each of the nominees for Class III director will hold office until the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified. Each of the nominees has indicated his willingness to serve if elected. However, if any nominee should be unable to serve, the persons named in the proxy card may vote the proxy for a substitute nominee nominated by our board of directors, or our board of directors may reduce the number of directors.

Our board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.

PROPOSAL 2—NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS ("SAY-ON-PAY" VOTE)

In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, we are asking our stockholders to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the Summary Compensation table and related compensation tables, notes and narrative in this proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting. At our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, holders of our common stock expressed a preference to hold a stockholder advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers on an annual basis. Accordingly, our next advisory say-on-pay vote (following the non-binding advisory vote at this 2014 Annual Meeting) will occur at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

Summary

The compensation committee of our board of directors maintains an executive compensation program that aligns executive pay with the performance of the company and the individual executives on both short-term and long-term bases, links executive pay with business strategies focused on long-term growth and creating value for our stockholders and uses compensation as a tool to assist the company in attracting and retaining the high-caliber executives that we believe are critical to our success.

We encourage stockholders to review the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, which describes the material aspects of our executive compensation philosophy and the design of our executive compensation program. Our board of directors believes our executive compensation program is effective in creating long-term value for our stockholders and motivating our executives, including our named executive officers, to work towards the achievement of our long-term business and financial goals. Specifically:

We emphasize pay-for-performance with regard to cash compensation. We believe that a significant portion of our executives' cash compensation should be variable, at risk and tied to the company's success in meeting short-term financial and strategic objectives, as well as targeted towards motivating and rewarding superior work performance. During 2013, we measured success, in part, through corporate financial objectives related to achievement of 2013 revenue above certain specified levels, as well as through key work achievements by our executives, the sum of which we believe to be critical to our success. Accordingly, the amount of annual cash bonuses we paid to our named executive officers with respect to 2013 correlated to our relative success as measured in each of these areas.

Our compensation programs are aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders. We place a strong emphasis on the use of equity awards as a key component of our compensation program. In addition to linking our executives' compensation to the creation of stockholder value, these awards generally require continued service over a multi-year period as a condition to vesting, which creates a strong retention incentive and helps ensure the continuity of our operations. In 2013, we granted a mix of restricted stock awards and stock options to our executives, which we believe focus our named executive officers on generating long-term stockholder value.

We are committed to strong governance standards with respect to our compensation program, procedures and practices. As part of its commitment to strong corporate governance and best practices, our compensation committee engages an independent compensation consultant to provide empirical data and compensation analysis. Our compensation consultant provided no other services to us in 2013 other than those provided directly to or on behalf of the compensation committee.

At the Annual Meeting the stockholders will be asked to approve the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the Company's stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the narrative disclosure set forth in the Company's proxy statement."

The vote sought by this proposal is advisory and not binding on the company, our board of directors or the compensation committee. Although the vote is non-binding, the company, our board of directors and the compensation committee value the input of our stockholders, and the compensation committee will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation determinations for our named executive officers. Our board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this proposal.

PROPOSAL 3—RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014
The audit committee of our board of directors has selected KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014. KPMG LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2013. Although stockholder approval of our audit committee's selection of KPMG LLP is not required by law, we believe that it is advisable to give stockholders an opportunity to ratify this selection. If our stockholders do not ratify this selection, our audit committee will reconsider the selection. We expect that representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if they wish.

Our board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this proposal.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Below is information about each of our current executive officers, other than Mr. Lazovsky, our President and Chief Executive Officer, whose information is included above in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS—Our Board of Directors". This information includes each officer's age as of March 31, 2014, his or her position with Intermolecular, the length of time he has held each position and his business experience for at least the past five years. Our board of directors elects our officers annually, and officers serve until they resign or the board of directors terminates their position. There are no family relationships among any of our executive officers, directors and nominees for director.

C. Richard Neely, Jr., age 59, has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since October 2013. Prior to joining the Company, and from August 2012 to June 2013, Mr. Neely was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Tessera Technologies, Inc. (Tessera), a company that develops, invests in, licenses and delivers innovative miniaturization technologies and products for next-generation electronic devices. Prior to joining Tessera, and from February 2011 to August 2012, Mr. Neely served as Chief Financial Officer at Livescribe, Inc. (Livescribe), a developer of a paper-based computing platform consisting of a digital pen, digital paper, software applications and developer tools, Prior to Livescribe, and from 2005 to January 2011, Mr. Neely was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Monolithic Power Systems, Inc., a leading fabless manufacturer of high-performance analog and mixed-signal semiconductors. From 2002 to 2005, Mr. Neely was Chief Financial Officer at NuCORE Technologies, Inc., a company specializing in sales and marketing of quality telecommunications products. From 1998 to 1999, Mr. Neely was Vice-President, Finance and Corporate Controller at Synopsys, Inc., an electronic automation design company. From 1996 to 1998, Mr. Neely was Vice-President, Finance and Corporate Controller at Heartport, Inc., a medical device maker, and from 1980 to 1996, Mr. Neely was Director and Controller at Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. Mr. Neely is currently a member of the board of directors, and chairman of the board's audit committee, for Perceptron, Inc., a developer and manufacturer of non-contact measurement, scanning and inspection solutions for industrial applications. Mr. Neely was also previously a member of the board of directors and board audit committee for ATI Liquidating, Inc. (formerly Aviza Technology, Inc.), a designer and manufacturer of semiconductor capital equipment and process technologies. Mr. Neely holds a B.A. in economics from Whitman College, where he graduated summa cum laude, and was also elected to Phi Beta Kappa. He also holds an MBA in finance and marketing from the University of Chicago, where he was also elected to the Dean's List for scholastic achievement.

Tony P. Chiang, Ph.D., age 44, has served as our Chief Technology Officer since May 2005 and is responsible for our technology strategy and direction, HPC platform development, core technology and applications development and informatics. Prior to joining Intermolecular in August 2000, Dr. Chiang worked at Applied Materials Inc., a semiconductor equipment company, where he served in various roles from February 1996 to July 2000. In August 2000, he founded Angstron Systems Inc. (Angstron), a venture-backed atomic layer deposition technology company that was acquired by Novellus Systems Inc. (Novellus) in April 2004. Following the Angstron acquisition, Dr. Chiang then served as Director of Technology for Novellus until April 2005. He is also the founder the TESC Family Foundation, a charitable foundation based in the San Francisco Bay Area. Dr. Chiang holds a B.S. in materials science and engineering from Cornell University and a Ph.D. in materials science and engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. As of March 31, 2014, he held 310 pending or issued U.S. patents spanning advanced materials, process, device and device integration technologies, as well as combinatorial systems and methods. Sandeep Jaggi, J.D., Ph.D., age 50, has served as our General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property since July 2011, and had previously served as our Vice President, Legal Affairs, Licensing and Intellectual Property since July 2010. Prior to joining Intermolecular, he was General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property at Robert Bosch Healthcare Inc. (formerly known as Health Hero Network Inc.) from January 2006 to July 2010. Prior to Robert Bosch Healthcare Inc., he held several senior management positions at LSI Corporation from August 1995 to January 2006, including Vice President, Chief IP Counsel, Assistant Corporate Secretary and Assistant General Counsel. From October 1989 to August 1995, Dr. Jaggi worked for Lockheed Martin at NASA's Stennis Space Center in Mississippi and NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas, where he held several positions including Project Leader, Principal Engineer and Senior Scientist. During his time in Houston, he

founded ETS Inc., which commercialized space based-technologies for NASA and the U.S. Navy. He obtained a J.D. with a specialization in intellectual property law from Santa Clara University, and also holds a B.Tech. in Electrical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, and an M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Tulane University. As of March 31, 2014, he held 13 pending or issued U.S. patents. He is licensed to practice law in the State of California and before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Raj Jammy, Ph.D., age 47, has served as our Senior Vice President and General Manager of the Semiconductor Group since March 2013. Prior to joining Intermolecular, Dr. Jammy was Vice President of Materials and Emerging Technologies at SEMATECH, the semiconductor technology manufacturing industry consortium, since 2008. In that capacity he was responsible for leading the consortium's efforts in front-end CMOS logic, novel memory technologies, 3D TSV interconnects

and emerging beyond-CMOS technologies with disruptive scaling potential. Dr. Jammy had previously directed SEMATECH's Front End Processes division from 2005 to 2008. Dr. Jammy began his career in the industry at International Business Machines Corporation's (IBM) Semiconductor Research and Development Center, where he worked on front-end technologies for deep-trench DRAMs. He subsequently became manager of the Thermal Processes and Surface Preparation group in IBM's DRAM development organization. In 2002, Dr. Jammy moved to the T. J. Watson Research Center, where he managed IBM's efforts in high-k gate dielectrics and metal gates until 2005. Dr. Jammy received a Ph.D. in electrical engineering from Northwestern University. He serves on a variety of conference and industry committees, including the IEEE VLSI Technology Symposium as technical program chairman. He was also previously the Technical Program Chair for the IEEE VLSI TSA Conference. As of March 31, 2014, Dr. Jammy held more than 90 patents and was an author or co-author of over 225 publications and presentations.

Sandeep Nijhawan Ph.D., age 43, has served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of our Clean Energy Group since February 2013. He previously served as Vice President and General Manager of our Clean Energy Group from March 2012 to January 2013 and as Vice President and General Manager of our LED Technologies Group from May 2011 to February 2012. From August 2009 to May 2011, Dr. Nijhawan was the founder and CEO of Siorah Inc., a venture-backed LED start-up company whose assets were purchased by Intermolecular in May 2011. From July 2009 to November 2009, he was Entrepreneur-in-Residence at Redpoint Ventures. From February 1999 to July 2009, Dr. Nijhawan served in various management roles at Applied Materials Inc., most recently leading the LED lighting business from 2005 to July 2009. From 1999 to 2004, Dr. Nijhawan was the key accounts and technology manager for the front-end semiconductor manufacturing group. Dr. Nijhawan earned his Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1999, M.S. in Aerospace Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1994, MBA from the International Institute of Management Development, Lausanne, Switzerland in 2004, and B.Tech. from the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India in 1992. As of March 31 2014, he held 87 issued or pending US patents. Hector Thomas Blanco, age 64, has served as our Senior Vice President of Human Resources since July 2013. Prior to joining Intermolecular, Mr. Blanco was the Chief People Officer at Progress Financial Corporation from August 2012 to June 2013. From February 2006 to January 2012, Mr. Blanco worked at Tessera, where he was Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer, responsible for HR, IT and facilities. Prior to joining Tessera, he served in a variety of senior management roles from 1999 to 2006, including Vice President of Human Resources and Administration at Cloudmark, Inc., a company that provides carrier-grade messaging security and infrastructure solutions for fixed, mobile and social networks, Vice President of Human Resources and Administration at Liquid Audio, Inc., a developer of a major standard, multiple software client/server media distribution system for streaming and downloading audio on the Internet, and Senior Director of International Human Resources at Aspect Communications Corporation, a provider of contact center software solutions and services that enable businesses to manage and optimize customer communications. Mr. Blanco also spent 14 years at Bank of America Corporation, where he was Senior Vice President, holding multiple HR positions, Mr. Blanco holds a B.A. in Spanish/English Literature, and has completed coursework for his M.A., both from Queens College of the City University of New York.

Mr. Peter L. Eidelman, previously our Chief Financial Officer, resigned from employment with us effective August 2, 2013 to pursue other interests. Mr. J. Craig Hunter, previously our Senior Vice President of Global Sales and Marketing, resigned from employment with us effective February 3, 2014.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed with management the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to our board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, and in this proxy statement.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

Thomas R. Baruch (chair)

Irwin Federman

John L. Walecka

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

This section discusses the policies and decisions with respect to the compensation of our named executive officers, or "NEOs," who are named in the "Summary Compensation Table" below and what we consider to be the most important factors relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions. Our NEOs for 2013 were determined in accordance with SEC rules and included our principal executive officer, those who served as our principal financial officer during 2013, and our three next most highly compensated executive officers, as follows:

David E. Lazovsky, President and Chief Executive Officer

C. Richard Neely, Jr., Senior Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer

Raj Jammy, Senior Vice President and General Manager, Semiconductor Group

Sandeep Jaggi, General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property

Sandeep Nijhawan, Senior Vice President and General Manager, Clean Energy Group

Reed K. Birnbaum, former interim Chief Financial Officer

Peter L. Eidelman, former Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Eidelman resigned his employment with us effective as of August 2, 2013 to pursue other interests. Mr.

Birnbaum, who at the time of Mr. Eidelman's resignation was our Vice-President of Finance and Corporate Controller, assumed the position of interim Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer as of the date of Mr. Eidelman's resignation. Mr. Neely was appointed as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on October 2, 2013, at which time Mr. Birnbaum returned to his prior position with us as Vice-President of Finance and Corporate Controller.

Executive Summary

The following table sets forth the key elements of our NEOs' compensation, along with the primary objective associated with each compensation element:

Compensation Element Primary Objective

To recognize ongoing performance of job responsibilities and provide a predictable source of income and to attract and Base salary

retain employees.

To emphasize annual corporate and individual objectives that contribute directly to the company's success and to provide reward opportunities for our NEOs (and employees Annual performance-based cash compensation

generally) when key business and individual objectives are

met.

To motivate and reward achievement of long-term

performance objectives and increases in stockholder value; to emphasize and reinforce our focus on team success; and to

attract and retain our NEOs.

Severance and change in control benefits

Long-term equity incentive compensation

Retirement savings (401(k)) plan

Health and welfare benefits

To encourage the continued attention and dedication of our NEOs and provide reasonable individual security to enable our NEOs to focus on the company's best interests, particularly when considering strategic alternatives. To provide retirement savings in a tax-efficient manner. To provide a basic level of financial protection from health,

dental, disability and loss of life risks.

To serve the foregoing objectives, our overall compensation program is generally designed to be flexible, with the elements complementing each other, rather than being purely formulaic. In alignment with the objectives set forth above, our compensation committee has generally determined the overall compensation of our NEOs and its allocation among the elements described above, relying on the analyses and advice provided by its compensation consultant as well as on input from our management team.

Our compensation decisions for the NEOs in 2013, including each of the key elements of our executive compensation program, are discussed in more detail below. This discussion is intended to be read in conjunction with the executive compensation tables and related disclosures immediately following this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. **Determination of Compensation**

Roles of Our Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions Our compensation committee is responsible for overseeing our executive compensation program, as well as determining and approving the ongoing compensation arrangements for our NEOs other than our chief executive officer, for whom our compensation committee recommends compensation arrangements to the full board of directors (excluding the chief executive officer). The compensation committee meets periodically throughout the year to review and consider adjustments (or, with respect to our chief executive officer, recommend adjustments), if any, to the compensation, including base salary, annual performance-based cash compensation (bonuses) and long-term incentive compensation (in the form of equity awards), for our NEOs. The full board of directors (excluding the chief executive officer) is responsible for establishing, reviewing and adjusting compensation for our chief executive officer, generally based on recommendations of our compensation committee. However, the board of directors delegated the responsibility for determining our chief executive officer's 2013 long-term equity compensation to the compensation committee. Accordingly, for 2013, the board of directors determined each individual component of compensation for our chief executive officer (other than his long-term equity compensation), and the compensation committee determined each individual component of compensation for our other NEOs (and of our chief executive officer with respect to his 2013 long-term equity compensation only) as part of our annual compensation review.

Our chief executive officer evaluates the individual performance and contributions of each other NEO and, at least annually, reports to the compensation committee his recommendations regarding each element of the other NEOs' compensation. Our chief executive officer does not participate in any formal discussions with the compensation committee or the board of directors regarding his own compensation, and he recuses himself from meetings when his compensation is discussed.

We do not generally rely on formulaic guidelines for determining the mix or levels of cash and equity-based compensation, but rather maintain a flexible compensation program that allows us to adapt components and levels of compensation to motivate and reward individual NEOs within the context of our desire to attain financial and operational goals. Subjective factors considered by the board of directors and the compensation committee in compensation determinations include an NEO's skills and capabilities, contributions as a member of the executive management team, contributions to our overall performance and whether the total compensation potential and structure is sufficient to retain an NEO when considering the compensation potential that may be available elsewhere. Competitive Market Data and Engagement of Compensation Consultants

The market for experienced management is highly competitive in our industry. Our goal is to attract and retain the most highly qualified executives to manage each of our business functions. In doing so, we draw upon a pool of talent that is highly sought after both by large and established technology companies in our geographic area and by other competitive companies in development or early stage phases. Established organizations in our industry seek to recruit top talent from emerging companies in the sector just as smaller organizations look to attract and retain the best talent from the industry as a whole. The competition for technical and non-technical skills is aggressive across the sector, and we expect it to remain aggressive for the foreseeable future.

Our compensation committee, in the case of our NEOs other than our chief executive officer, and the board of directors, in the case of our chief executive officer, make determinations regarding compensation in large part based upon our financial resources, but also consider competitive market data.

Our compensation committee directly engages Compensia, an independent compensation consultant, to assist the committee in the continuing analysis of our executive compensation program. Services provided by Compensia with respect to the compensation plans and arrangements maintained during 2013 included: (i) providing compensation survey data from peer companies and assisting the compensation committee with the application of this data; (ii) advising on the reasonableness and effectiveness of our compensation components, levels and programs for our executives, including our NEOs; and (iii) analyzing the impact of our initial public offering in 2011 on our compensation components, levels and programs and advising as to any appropriate compensation changes, including any changes or additions to equity compensation.

The peer group we used for establishing 2013 compensation programs was selected in November 2012, following discussions among the compensation committee and Compensia. The peer group members consist of semiconductor and electronics manufacturing, design and intellectual-property related and clean technology companies with annual revenues ranging from \$50 to \$200 million. The peer group members also possess market capitalizations, geographic locations and employee numbers comparable to those of our company. Moreover, we believe the peer group selected below appropriately reflects the ongoing evolution of our company towards intellectual property licensing comprising a greater portion of our revenue. The following are the companies that comprise the peer group used for 2013 compensation programs:

Cavium MIPS Technologies Supertex

CEVA PDF Solutions Tessera Technologies
DTS Rambus Universal Display
Entropic Communications RPX Volterra Semiconductor

Inphi Rubicon Technology

After review and consultation with Compensia, the compensation committee determined that Compensia is independent and that there is no conflict of interest resulting from retaining Compensia currently or during 2013. Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We operate in a highly competitive and dynamic technology industry, which is characterized by frequent technological advances and rapidly changing market requirements. To succeed in this environment, we must continuously develop and refine new and existing products and services, and to achieve these objectives, we need a highly talented and seasoned team of technical, sales, marketing, operations, financial and other business professionals. Our executive compensation philosophy recognizes that, given that the market for experienced management is highly competitive in our industry, key and core to our success is our ability to attract and retain the most highly-qualified executives to manage each of our business functions. We

believe that executive officer compensation should be structured to provide competitive base salaries and benefits, as well as the opportunity to participate in our company's continued growth as an option holder and/or stockholder, to motivate our executives to attain established financial, operational and other goals that we believe will lead to an increase in stockholder value.

In determining the form and amount of compensation payable to the NEOs, we are guided by the following objectives and principles:

Compensation levels should be competitive to attract and retain key executives, and should reflect internal parity. We aim to provide an executive compensation program that attracts, motivates and retains high performance individuals and rewards them for our achieving and maintaining a competitive position in our industry. Total compensation (i.e., maximum achievable compensation) should increase with position and responsibility.

Compensation should relate directly to performance, and incentive compensation should constitute a significant portion of total compensation. We aim to foster a pay-for-performance culture, with a significant portion of total compensation being "at risk." Accordingly, a significant portion of total compensation should be tied to and vary with our financial, operational and strategic performance, as well as individual performance. Executives with greater roles and the ability to directly impact our strategic goals and long-term results should bear a greater proportion of the risk that these goals and results are not achieved. The amount of "at risk" pay is determined accordingly.

Long-term incentive compensation should align executives' interests with our stockholders' interests, and should reinforce a culture of ownership, excellence and responsiveness. Awards of long-term incentives, including equity-based compensation, encourage executives to focus on our long-term growth and prospects and motivate executives to manage the company from the perspective of stockholders with a meaningful stake in our success, as well as to focus on a long-term career orientation.

Compensation should enable executives to share in the success that they help create. We aim to motivate and reward our executive officers whose knowledge, skills and performance ensure our continued success. Our compensation programs are designed to recognize the impact of our executive officers on our company's achievements. We view the components of our executive compensation program as related but distinct, and we regularly reassess the total compensation of our NEOs to ensure that our overall compensation objectives are met. We have considered, but not relied exclusively upon, the following factors in determining the appropriate level for each compensation component: (i) our understanding of the competitive market based on the collective experience of members of our compensation committee, their review of compensation surveys and their discussions with Compensia; (ii) our recruiting and retention goals; (iii) our view of internal parity and consistency; (iv) the length of service of our executive officers; and (v) our overall performance and other considerations that our compensation committee determines are relevant.

Each of the primary elements of our executive compensation program is discussed in more detail below. While we have identified particular compensation objectives that each element of executive compensation serves, our compensation programs are designed to be flexible and complementary and to collectively serve all of the executive compensation objectives described above. Accordingly, whether or not specifically mentioned below, we believe that, as a part of our overall executive compensation policy, each individual element, to a greater or lesser extent, serves each of our compensation objectives and that, collectively, they are effective in achieving our overall objectives. Elements of Executive Compensation Program

The following describes the primary components of our executive compensation program for each of our NEOs, the rationale for that component and how compensation amounts are determined.

Base Salary

We provide our executive officers, including our NEOs, with a base salary to compensate them for services rendered to our company during the fiscal year, which is intended to be generally aligned with competitive practices at the 50th percentile level. The base salary payable to each NEO is intended to provide a fixed and reliable component of compensation reflecting the executive's skill set, experience, role and responsibilities. Generally, initial base salary

amounts were established based on consideration of, among other factors, the scope of the NEO's responsibilities, years of service and the compensation

committee's general knowledge of the competitive market based on, among other things, experience with other companies and our industry and comparative market data provided by Compensia.

Thereafter, the base salaries of our NEOs are reviewed annually by the compensation committee and merit salary increases are made as deemed appropriate based on such factors as the scope of an executive officer's responsibilities, individual contribution, prior experience and sustained performance. Based on such factors, the compensation committee increased our NEOs' base salaries in 2013, with the exception of the base salary of our President and Chief Executive Officer, whose base salary was increased by the full Board of Directors after review of a recommendation by the compensation committee. The 2013 base salaries for our NEOs were determined in light of a number of factors, including creating more alignment of our NEOs' base salaries with competitive practices at the 50th percentile level; the relative performance and tenure of the NEO; and the criticality of the NEO's position to our business. The base salaries of our NEOs in 2013 are set forth below under "Summary Compensation Table."

Annual Performance-Based Cash Compensation

We use cash bonuses to motivate our NEOs to achieve financial and strategic objectives of immediate importance while making progress towards our longer-term growth and other goals. We also use cash bonuses to recognize superior work performance by our NEOs. In 2013, all of our NEOs were eligible for annual cash bonuses under our 2013 executive management incentive bonus program. Determination of the bonus payouts for the NEOs is generally based on the achievement of selected corporate objectives, individual performance and target bonus amounts assigned to each NEO under our bonus program (determined by reference to each executive officer's base salary), with the compensation committee retaining discretion to award cash bonuses to those NEOs whose work performance was considered to be superior or of high importance to the long-term achievement of our business objectives. For 2013, the target bonus opportunities for our NEOs were 60% (for our chief executive officer) and 40% (for each of our other NEOs) of their respective base salaries. These target bonus opportunities were identical to the ones we adopted for use in fiscal year 2012. Actual bonuses payable were capped at 150% of each executive officer's target bonus opportunity. Our corporate objective for 2013 related to the achievement of 2013 revenue above certain specified levels. The level of achievement of the pre-established revenue objectives was based on performance during each of the first three quarters of 2013, as well performance over the full fiscal year 2013 period. We believe that reference to this corporate objective under our bonus program is appropriate, as achievement of this objective is related to and reflective of our progress towards our long-term business objectives.

The 2013 pre-established corporate performance objective and relevant weightings for the components of the objective are set forth in the table below:

2013 Performance Objective	Weighting of Performance Objective (% of Target)	Actual 2013 Achievement (\$)	Actual 2013 Achievement (% of Target)	
2013 Revenue:				
Quarter 1 Revenue > \$17 million	16.68	17.4 million	102.35	
Quarter 2 Revenue > \$17 million	16.66	16.6 million	97.65	
Quarter 3 Revenue > \$23 million	16.66	17.7 million	76.96	
Total 2013 Revenue > \$80 million	50	67.4 million	84.25	
	Total Weighting: 100			

Under the cash bonus program, achievement of at least 85% of the total 2013 revenue objective of \$80 million was required in order for our NEOs to be eligible for cash bonuses under the "performance objectives" provision of the program. Our attainment of this objective during 2013 was less than 85%, and accordingly our NEOs as a group did not qualify for cash bonuses under this provision. However, the compensation committee did award cash bonuses to certain NEOs in recognition of their individual work performance achievements, as well as in light of the criticality of their position to the success of our

business. The actual annual bonuses awarded to our NEOs with respect to 2013 are set forth in the table below:

	Actual Bonus as a Percentage of	
Executive	Target Bonus (%)	Actual Bonus (\$)
David E. Lazovsky	_	_
C. Richard Neely, Jr.	12.33	3,514
Raj Jammy		_
Sandeep Jaggi	26.67	30,407
Sandeep Nijhawan	26.41	30,113
Reed K. Birnbaum	_	27,000 (1)
Peter L. Eidelman	_	

Mr. Birnbaum was not a participant in the Annual Performance-based Cash Compensation Plan maintained for our executives, but was a participant in the general cash bonus program maintained by us for non-executive employees.

(1) The non-executive bonus program generally provides for accrual and payment of cash bonuses to non-executive employees based upon their relative work performance, criticality of position, and other factors relating to both our performance as a business and employee retention considerations.

Mr. Neely's cash bonus was awarded to him in light of his immediate performance contributions as our Chief Financial Officer. Dr. Jaggi's cash bonus was awarded to him in recognition of his performance with respect to developing our portfolio of intellectual properties. Dr. Nijhawan's cash bonus was awarded to him for his significant contributions with respect to growing our clean energy business.

A similar executive incentive program, which is based on the achievement of revenue, net income and backlog objectives, as well as individual NEO work achievement, has been adopted for 2014 with target bonus opportunities remaining at 60% of base salary for our chief executive officer and 40% of base salary for our other NEOs. Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives

The goals of our long-term equity-based awards are to reward and encourage long-term corporate performance based on the value of our common stock and, thereby, to align the interests of our executive officers, including our NEOs, with those of our stockholders. We maintain the 2011 Incentive Award Plan, or the 2011 Plan, to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility, to provide equity incentives to our employees, consultants and non-employee directors and to promote the success of our business. We do not currently have any formal stock ownership requirements or guidelines for our NEOs, given the limited market for our securities. We will continue to periodically review best practices and re-evaluate our position with respect to such requirements or guidelines.

Historically we have made annual grants of options to purchase shares of our common stock to our NEOs. In 2013, the compensation committee determined to grant awards of restricted stock (in addition to stock options) to our NEOs. Since our NEOs are able to benefit from stock options only if the market price of our common stock increases relative to the option's exercise price, we believe stock options provide meaningful incentives to our NEOs to achieve increases in the value of our stock over time and are an effective tool for meeting our compensation goal of increasing long-term stockholder value by tying the value of these stock options to our future performance. In contrast, restricted stock grants are generally made in exchange for nominal consideration, and therefore confer full value of the shares upon recipients and encourage recipients to maximize the value of our common stock. We also believe stock option and restricted stock awards encourage the retention of our NEOs because the vesting of such equity awards is largely based on continued employment. Accordingly, we believe that providing a mix of restricted stock and stock options furthers our compensation objectives by motivating our NEOs to remain employed with us, to increase stockholder value and to hold their shares of our common stock for an extended duration.

Each year, the compensation committee, based on recommendations from our chief executive officer and its review of competitive market survey data, approves a pool of shares to be awarded to employees as annual equity awards and reserves a portion of the pool for equity awards to be granted to the executive management team. However, there is no set program for equity incentive awards, and our compensation committee retains discretion to grant equity awards to employees at any time, including in connection with the promotion of an employee, to reward an employee for

exceptional performance, for retention purposes or in other circumstances recommended by management. Stock options have a per share exercise price that is not less than the closing trading price of a share of our common stock on the grant date. The stock options granted to the NEOs in 2013 vest over a four-year period as follows: 25% of the shares underlying an option vest on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, and the remainder of the shares underlying an option vest in substantially equal monthly installments over the next 36 months. The restricted stock awards granted to the NEOs in 2013 vest over a four-year period with respect to 25% of the shares subject thereto on each of the first

four anniversaries of the vesting commencement. We believe the vesting schedules for our stock options and restricted stock awards appropriately encourage long-term employment with our company while allowing our executives to realize compensation in line with the value they have created for our stockholders.

In 2013, our NEOs received a combination of options to purchase shares of our common stock, as well as awards of restricted stock. In determining the size of the pool and the allocation to NEOs, the compensation committee, or with respect to our chief executive officer, the board of directors, received input from Compensia and, other than with respect to himself, our chief executive officer, reviewed a summary of peer group data from Compensia, drew upon the experience of its members with similar companies, and considered the role and responsibility of each NEO and the perceived need to reward and retain the NEO.

In January 2013, our NEOs then in service on behalf of us were granted an option to purchase shares of our common stock as part of our annual "refresher" grant process. In determining the size of these awards, the compensation committee approved a pool of approximately 527,400 shares, of which 53.47% were reserved for executives. Also in January 2013, our NEOs then in service with us were granted an award of restricted stock as part of our annual "refresher" grant process. The compensation committee approved a pool of approximately 487,900 shares, of which 32.28% were reserved for executives. Executive officers, including our NEOs (with the exception of Mr. Birnbaum), received grants of restricted stock while other employees received restricted stock unit awards. The compensation committee determined that restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards were appropriate to align our executives' and employees' interests with those of our stockholders while limiting the number of shares subject to the award.

Additionally, during 2013, certain of our NEOs received additional equity awards. In April 2013, Dr. Jaggi was awarded 50,000 shares of restricted stock by the compensation committee as a special incentive equity award, in recognition of his performance with respect to developing our portfolio of intellectual properties. In November 2013, Dr. Nijhawan was awarded 50,000 stock options and 70,000 shares of restricted stock by the compensation committee as a special incentive equity award, in recognition of his contributions with respect to growing our clean energy business.

Finally, both Mr. Neely and Dr. Jammy, as NEOs hired during 2013, received equity awards from the compensation committee as part of their new-hire compensation packages. The equity awards for Mr. Neely and Dr. Jammy were based upon the analyses received by the compensation committee from Compensia with respect to compensation practices for executive-level new hires, and also as a result of arm's length negotiation between us and Mr. Neely and Dr. Jammy.

The table below sets forth the equity awards granted to our NEOs during 2013:

	2013	2013
Executive	Stock Option	Restricted Stock
	Grants	Grants
David E. Lazovsky	82,000 shares	40,000 shares
C. Richard Neely, Jr.	210,000 shares (1)	40,000 shares (1)
Raj Jammy	200,000 shares (2)	45,000 shares (2)
Sandeep Jaggi	35,000 shares	70,000 shares (3)
Sandeep Nijhawan	90,000 shares (4)	105,000 shares (4)
Reed K. Birnbaum	12,700 shares	16,000 shares (5)
Peter L. Eidelman	35,000 shares (6)	17,500 shares (6)

- (1) Mr. Neely's stock options and restricted stock were granted in conjunction with his commencement of employment with us as of October 2, 2013.
- (2) Dr. Jammy's stock options and restricted stock were granted in conjunction with his commencement of employment with us as of March 29, 2013.
- (3) Of the 70,000 shares of restricted stock awarded to Dr. Jaggi during 2013, 50,000 of these shares were granted to him by the compensation committee effective April 30, 2013, as a special incentive equity award.
- (4) Of the 90,000 stock options and 105,000 shares of restricted stock awarded to Dr. Nijhawan during 2013, 50,000 of these stock options and 70,000 of these restricted stock shares were granted to him by the compensation committee

effective November 7, 2013, as a special incentive equity award.

- (5) Mr. Birnbaum was awarded restricted stock unit awards.
- (6) Mr. Eidelman forfeited each award when he resigned his employment with us effective as of August 2, 2013.

Retirement Savings

We maintain a qualified retirement savings plan under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code, to provide retirement benefits to our eligible employees. Eligible employees may defer a portion of their compensation, within limits prescribed under the Code, on a pre-tax basis to the 401(k) plan. Historically, the 401(k) plan has been funded entirely with employee contributions; however, in the future, we may match a portion of our employees' annual contributions, within prescribed limits.

Employee Benefits and Perquisites

All of our full-time employees, including our NEOs, are eligible to participate in our health and welfare plans, including:

medical, dental and vision benefits;

medical and dependent care flexible spending accounts;

short-term and long-term disability insurance; and

life insurance.

We believe that these benefits comprise key elements of a comprehensive compensation program. Our health and welfare benefits help ensure that the compensation packages of our NEOs are market competitive. Our employee benefit programs are designed to be affordable and competitive in relation to the market. In 2013, none of our executive officers, including our NEOs, received any personal benefits or perquisites that were not made generally available to all of our full-time employees.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

As described more fully below in the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control," we have entered into change in control severance agreements with our NEOs that provide for various severance and change in control benefits. We believe that the protections contained in these agreements will help to ensure the day-to-day stability necessary to enable our NEOs to properly focus their attention on their duties and responsibilities with our company and will provide security with regard to some of the most uncertain events relating to continued employment, thereby limiting concern and uncertainty and promoting productivity. For a description of the material terms of these agreements, see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

Impact of Say-On-Pay Advisory Vote

At our 2013 annual meeting, holders of more than 92% of our common stock voted to approve the compensation of the NEOs. Although this "say-on-pay" vote is advisory and non-binding, the compensation committee values the input of our stockholders and considered the outcome of the vote when determining our executive compensation program for 2013. The compensation committee evaluated the results of the 2013 vote and noting the stockholders' support for our named executive officers' compensation, we did not materially change our approach to executive compensation during 2013. The compensation committee intends to continue to take into consideration the outcome of our stockholders' future advisory say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for the NEOs. Tax and Accounting Considerations

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). Generally, Section 162(m) of the Code, or Section 162(m), disallows a tax deduction for any publicly-held corporation for individual compensation exceeding \$1.0 million in any taxable year to its chief executive officer and each of its other NEOs, other than its chief financial officer, unless compensation qualifies as "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of the Code. Where reasonably practicable and to the extent that the Section 162(m) deduction disallowance becomes applicable to our company, our compensation committee may seek to qualify the variable compensation paid to our NEOs for an exemption from the deductibility limitations. As such, in approving the amount and form of compensation for our NEOs, our compensation committee considers all elements of the cost to us of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 162(m). However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. Section 409A of the Code requires that "nonqualified deferred compensation" be deferred and paid under plans or arrangements that satisfy the requirements of the Code with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Failure to satisfy these requirements can expose

employees and other service providers to accelerated income tax liabilities, penalty taxes and interest on their vested compensation under such plans. Accordingly, as a general matter, we design and administer our compensation and benefits plans and arrangements for all of our

employees and other service providers, including our NEOs, so that they are either exempt from or comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

Internal Revenue Code Section 280G. Section 280G of the Code, or Section 280G, disallows a tax deduction with respect to excess parachute payments to certain executives of companies that undergo a change in control. In addition, Section 4999 of the Code, or Section 4999, imposes a 20% excise tax on the individual with respect to the excess parachute payment. Parachute payments are compensation linked to or triggered by a change in control and may include, but are not limited to, bonus payments, severance payments, certain fringe benefits, and payments and acceleration of vesting from long-term incentive plans including stock options and other equity-based compensation. Excess parachute payments are parachute payments that exceed a threshold determined under Section 280G based on the executive's prior compensation. In approving the compensation arrangements for our NEOs, our compensation committee considers all elements of the cost to the company of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 280G. However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation arrangements that could give rise to loss of deductibility under Section 280G and the imposition of excise taxes under Section 4999 when it believes that such arrangements are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. We follow ASC Topic 718, for our stock-based compensation awards. ASC Topic 718 requires companies to calculate the grant date "fair value" of their stock-based awards using a variety of assumptions. ASC Topic 718 also requires companies to recognize the compensation cost of their stock-based awards in their income statements over the period that an employee is required to render service in exchange for the award. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards under our equity incentive award plans will be accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee regularly considers the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Non Equity

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our NEOs for the years ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013:

Name and Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) (1)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Option Awards (\$) (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (3)	All Other Compensation (\$) (4)	Total (\$)
David E. Lazovsky	2013	363,750		388,400	439,504	_	_	1,191,654
President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	350,000	_	112,349	271,172	68,922	_	802,443
	2011	316,042	75,000	_	179,540	_	_	570,582
C. Richard Neely, Jr. Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	70,170	3,514	222,400	648,228	_	_	944,312
Raj Jammy Senior Vice President and General Manager, Semiconductor Group	2013	214,937	_	391,950	952,220	_	207,630	1,766,737
Sandeep Jaggi General Counsel and	2013	271,775	30,407	624,700	182,844	_	_	1,109,726
Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property	2012	255,000	2,077	74,960	172,561	33,476	_	538,074
Sandeep Nijhawan Senior Vice President and General Manager, Clean Energy Group	2013	270,298	30,113	720,300	363,650	_	_	1,384,361
Reed K. Birnbaum Former Interim Chief Financial Officer	2013	212,560	27,000	118,260	66,346	_	_	424,166
Peter L. Eidelman	2013	166,894		165,550	182,844	_	_	515,288
Former Chief Financial Officer	2012	273,000	2,077	80,314	184,890	35,839	_	576,120
	2011	256,841	61,000	_	85,495	_	_	403,336

²⁰¹³ amounts reflect the payment of discretionary annual bonuses awarded to certain of our NEOs over and above (1)the non-equity incentive plan amounts determined by reference to our pre-established corporate objectives. Refer to the section entitled "Annual Performance-Based Cash Compensation" above for more information.

Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of restricted stock awards and stock options, computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used to calculate the value of all restricted stock and stock option awards made to NEOs are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our 2013 Annual Report

⁽²⁾ on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014. There can be no assurance that awards will vest or, with respect to stock options, will be exercised (and if the awards do not vest or are not exercised, as applicable, no value will be realized by the individual), or that the value of the stock options upon exercise will approximate the aggregate grant date fair value determined under ASC Topic 718.

- (3) 2013 amounts reflect each NEO's payout under our 2013 annual cash incentive program based upon the level of achievement of our pre-established corporate objectives.
- (4) Dr. Jammy's compensation includes a one-time cash relocation benefit of \$207,630.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of plan-based awards made to our NEOs for the year ended December 31, 2013:

December 31, 201.	<i>5</i> .	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All Other Stock Awards:	All Other Option Awards:	Exercise or Base	Fair Value
Name	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	dTarget (\$)	Maximun (\$)	Number of a Shares of Stock (#) (2)	Securities Underlying Options(#)	of Option	of Stock and Option Awards (\$) (4)
David E. Lazovsky	February 4, 2013	_	_	_	40,000	_	_	388,400
2420 (511)	February 4, 2013		_	_	_	82,000	\$9.71	439,504
	2013 Non-Equity Incentive Award	_	218,250	327,375	_	_	_	
C. Richard Neely, Jr.		_		_	40,000	_	_	222,400
November 7, 2013		_	_	_	210,000	\$5.56	648,228	
	2013 Non-Equity Incentive Award	_	28,500	42,750	_	_	_	
Raj Jammy	April 30, 2013		_	_	45,000		—	391,950
	April 30, 2013 2013 Non-Equity	_	 95 500	129 250	_	200,000	\$8.71	952,220
	Incentive Award	_	85,500	128,250		_	_	100 200
Sandeep Jaggi	January 25, 2013 April 30, 2013	_	_	_	20,000 50,000	_	_	189,200 435,500
	January 25, 2013	_	_	_	_	35,000	\$9.46	182,844
	2013 Non-Equity Incentive Award	_	114,000	171,000	_	_	_	
Sandeep Nijhawan	January 25, 2013	_	_	_	35,000	_	_	331,100
	November 7, 2013 January 25, 2013		_	_	70,000		— \$9.46	389,200 208,960
	November 7, 2013	_	_	_	_	50,000	\$5.56	154,690
	2013 Non-Equity Incentive Award	_	114,000	171,000	_	_	_	
Reed K. Birnbaum	January 25, 2013		_	_	6,000	_	_	56,760
Au	August 7, 2013		_	_	10,000		_	61,500
	January 25, 2013 2013 Non-Equity	_	_	_	_	12,700	\$9.46	66,346
	Incentive Award		_	_	_		_	
Peter L. Eidelman	•	_	_	_	17,500		— \$9.46	165,550
	January 25, 2013 2013 Non-Equity Incentive Award	_	_	_	_		φ ઝ.4 0 —	182,844
							_	

- Reflects the range of payouts that could potentially be earned under our 2013 bonus program. Please see the section entitled "Annual Performance-Based Cash Compensation" above for more information.
 - These restricted stock awards and restricted stock unit awards vest in four substantially equal installments on each
- (2) anniversary of the grant, subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date and accelerated vesting under certain circumstances, as described under the section entitled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.
 - These stock options vest with respect to 25% of the initial shares subject to the option on the first anniversary of the grant date, and with respect to 1/48th of the initial shares subject to the option on each monthly anniversary of
- (3) the grant date thereafter, subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date and accelerated vesting under certain circumstances, as described under the section entitled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.
 - Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of restricted stock awards and stock options granted in 2013,
- (4) computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used to calculate the value of all restricted stock and stock option awards made to NEOs are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held as of December 31, 2013 by our NEOs:

NEOS:		Option Aw	ards (1)			Stock Awards	(2)
Name	Vesting Commencement Date	Securities Underlying Unexercise Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	(\$/Share)	Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$) (3)
David E. Lazovsky	September 5, 2007	300,000	_	1.66	September 4, 2017	—	_
	January 20, 2009	200,000	_	2.00	January 19, 2019	_	_
	February 4, 2010	179,679	7,821	2.66	February 3, 2020	_	_
	March 31, 2011	36,099	16,401	6.20	March 30, 2021	_	_
	February 1, 2012	25200	29,800	8.84	January 22, 2022	_	_
	February 4, 2013		82,000	9.71	February 3, 2023		
	March 1, 2012	_	_	_	_	13,613	66,976
C D' 1 1	February 4, 2013		_			40,000	196,800
C. Richard Neely, Jr.	October 2, 2013		210,000	5.56	November 6, 2023	_	_
Raj Jammy		_ _ _		8.71 —	— April 29, 2023 —	40,000 45,000	196,800 221,400
Sandeep Jaggi	July 12, 2010	213,541	36,459	2.66	August 18, 2020	_	_
	February 2, 2011	17,708	7,292	6.20	March 30, 2021	_	_
	February 1, 2012	16,040	18,960	8.84	January 22, 2022	_	_
	January 25, 2013	_	35,000	9.46	January 24, 2023	_	_
	March 1, 2012 January 25, 2013 April 30, 2013	 	_ _ _	_ _ _	_ _ _	8,663 20,000 50,000	42,622 98,400 246,000
Sandeep Nijhawan	May 5, 2011	79,114	43,385	6.20	June 5, 2021	_	
,	February 1, 2012	8,015	9,485	8.84	January 22, 2022	_	_
	May 4, 2012	11,874	18,126	6.60	May 3, 2022	_	_
	January 25, 2013		40,000	9.46	January 24, 2023	_	_
	November 7, 2013	_	50,000	5.56	November 6, 2023	_	_

	March 1, 2012 January 25, 2013 November 7,	<u> </u>	_	_		4,332 35,000	21,313 172,200
	2013	_	_		_	70,000	344,400
Reed K. Birnbaum	April 18, 2011	26,667	13,333	6.20	April 26, 2021	_	_
	February 1, 2012	6,410	7,590	8.84	January 22, 2022	_	_
	January 25, 2013	i —	12,700	9.46	January 24, 2023	_	_
	March 1, 2012		_	_	_	4,620	22,730
	January 25, 2013	i —		_		6,000	29,520
	August 7, 2013	_	_	_	_	10,000	49,200

- Each stock option vests with respect to 25% of the initial shares subject to the option on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, and with respect to 1/48th of the initial shares subject to the option in monthly
- (1) installments over the three-year period thereafter, subject to continued service with our company through the applicable vesting dates and accelerated vesting under certain circumstances, as described under the section entitled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.
 - Each restricted stock award vests in four substantially equal installments on each anniversary of the vesting
- (2) commencement date, subject to continued employment through the applicable vesting date and accelerated vesting under certain circumstances, as described under the section entitled "Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control" below.
- (3) Calculated based on the closing trading price of our common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$4.92).

2013 Option Award Exercises and Stock Awards Vested

The table below sets forth the stock option awards exercised by and the stock awards vested to our NEOs during 2013.

	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Executive	Number of Shares Acquired Upon Exercise (#)	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$) (1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (2)
David E. Lazovsky	_	_	4,537	43,918
Sandeep Jaggi	_	_	2,887	27,946
Sandeep Nijhawan	_	_	1,443	13,968
Reed K. Birnbaum	_	_	1,155	11,180
Peter L. Eidelman (3)	523,500	2,579,313	3,093	29,940

- Amounts shown were calculated based on the closing trading price of our common stock on the applicable exercise date, less the applicable exercise price.
- Calculated based on the closing trading price of our common stock on the applicable date of vesting of the stock award.
- (3)Mr. Eidelman resigned from employment with us effective August 2, 2013 to pursue other interests.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Change in Control Severance Agreements

We entered into change in control severance agreements (the "CIC Severance Agreements") with each of our NEOs (other than Messrs. Birnbaum and Neely and Dr. Jammy), which became effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering in 2011. We entered into a CIC Severance Agreement with Dr. Jammy upon his commencement of employment with us in March 2013, and with Mr. Neely upon his commencement of employment with us in October 2013. Under the terms of these agreements, each NEO would be entitled to the payments and benefits described below upon a qualifying termination of employment. Mr. Birnbaum is not party to a CIC Severance Agreement.

Change in Control and Involuntary Termination

If, on or after the first anniversary of the NEO's commencement of employment, the NEO's employment is terminated by us other than for "cause" or by the NEO for "good reason" (each as defined in the CIC Severance Agreement) on or within the one-month period preceding, or the one-year period following, a "change in control" (as defined in the CIC Severance Agreement), the NEO will be entitled to:

the payment of accrued salary, bonus, vacation and expense reimbursement;

accelerated vesting of all equity compensation then held by the NEO (to the extent then unvested);

a lump sum payment equal to one times (or, with respect to Mr. Lazovsky, 1.5 times) the sum of the NEO's annual base salary and his target annual bonus; and

company-paid premiums for COBRA continuation coverage for up to 18 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or 12 months (all other NEOs) after the date of termination.

Involuntary Termination

If, on or after the first anniversary of the NEO's commencement of employment, the NEO's employment is terminated by us other than for "cause" or by the NEO for "good reason" at any time other than the period beginning one month preceding and ending one year following a "change in control," the NEO will be entitled to:

the payment of accrued salary, bonus, vacation and expense reimbursement;

a lump sum payment equal to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other NEOs) of the NEO's annual base salary; and

company-paid premiums for COBRA continuation coverage for up to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other NEOs) after the date of termination.

Each NEO's right to receive the severance payments described above is subject to continued compliance with certain restrictive covenants and the applicable executive's delivery of an effective general release of claims in favor of the company.

Summary of Potential Payments

The following table summarizes the payments that would be made to certain of our NEOs upon the occurrence of certain qualifying terminations of employment in connection with a change in control, assuming such NEO's termination of employment with us occurred on December 31, 2013 and, where relevant, that a change in control of Intermolecular occurred on December 31, 2013. Amounts shown in the table below do not include accrued but unpaid salary or other benefits earned or accrued by the NEO that are available to all salaried employees, such as accrued paid time off (PTO).

Name	Benefit	Termination Without Cause, For Good Reason (No Change of Control) (\$)		Termination Without Cause, For Good Reason In Connection with a Change of Control (\$)	
David E. Lazovsky	Cash Severance	365,000	(1)	766,500	(2)
	Accelerated Vesting	_		303,773	(3)
	Healthcare Continuation (4)	24,826		37,239	
	Total	389,826		1,107,512	
C. Richard Neely, Jr.	Cash Severance	142,500	(1)(5)	399,000	(2)(5)
	Accelerated Vesting	_		196,800	(3)(5)
	Healthcare Continuation (4)	9,195	(5)	18,390	(5)
	Total	151,695		614,190	
Raj Jammy	Cash Severance	142,500	(1)	399,000	(2)
	Accelerated Vesting	_		221,400	(3)
	Healthcare Continuation (4)	3,804		7,608	
	Total	146,304		628,008	
Sandeep Jaggi	Cash Severance	142,500	(1)	399,000	(2)
	Accelerated Vesting	_		483,623	(3)
	Healthcare Continuation (4)	3,804		7,608	
	Total	146,304		890,231	
Sandeep Nijhawan	Cash Severance	142,500	(1)	399,000	(2)
	Accelerated Vesting	_		545,013	(3)
	Healthcare Continuation (4)	10,813		21,626	
	Total	153,313		965,639	

⁽¹⁾ Represents a lump sum cash severance payment equal to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other NEOs, excluding Mr. Birnbaum) of the NEO's annual base salary.

(4)

⁽²⁾ Represents a lump sum cash severance payment equal to 1.5 times (Mr. Lazovsky) or one times (all other NEOs, excluding Mr. Birnbaum) the sum of the NEO's annual base salary and target bonus for 2013.

Represents the aggregate value of the NEO's (excluding Mr. Birnbaum) unvested equity awards that would have vested on an accelerated basis. The value of stock options was determined by multiplying the number of

⁽³⁾ accelerating option shares by the closing trading price of our common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$4.92) and subtracting the applicable exercise prices. The value of restricted stock awards was determined by multiplying the number of accelerating restricted shares by the closing trading price of our common stock on December 31, 2013 (\$4.92).

Represents the aggregate full premium payments that would be required to be paid to or on behalf of the NEO (excluding Mr. Birnbaum) to provide continued health insurance coverage under COBRA (based on the executive's health insurance coverage as of December 31, 2013) for the payout period provided under each NEO's CIC Severance Agreement.

Under the terms and conditions of his CIC Severance Agreement, Mr. Neely may not receive any of the (5)enumerated severance, vesting or healthcare continuation benefits until attainment of his first anniversary of employment. Mr. Neely's employment commenced with us on October 2, 2013.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that we indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits our amended and restated certificate of incorporation from limiting the liability of our directors for the following:

any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or to our stockholders;

acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

• unlawful payment of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions; and

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

If Delaware law is amended to authorize corporate action further eliminating or limiting the personal liability of a director, then the liability of our directors will be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, as so amended. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not eliminate a director's duty of care and, in appropriate circumstances, equitable remedies, such as injunctive or other forms of non-monetary relief, remain available under Delaware law. This provision also does not affect a director's responsibilities under any other laws, such as the federal securities laws or other state or federal laws. Under our amended and restated bylaws, we are also empowered to enter into indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, employees and other agents and to purchase insurance on behalf of any person whom we are required or permitted to indemnify.

In addition to the indemnification required in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, we have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our current directors, officers and certain employees. These agreements provide for the indemnification of our directors, officers and certain employees for all reasonable expenses and liabilities incurred in connection with any action or proceeding brought against them by reason of the fact that they are or were our agents. We believe that these provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and indemnification agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers. Furthermore, we have obtained director and officer liability insurance to cover liabilities our directors and officers may incur in connection with their services to us. This description of the indemnification provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws and our indemnification agreements is qualified in its entirety by reference to these documents, each of which is referenced as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014. The limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against directors for breach of their fiduciary duties. They may also reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and officers, even though an action, if successful, might benefit us and our stockholders. A stockholder's investment may be harmed to the extent we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers pursuant to these indemnification provisions. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that, in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. There is no pending litigation or proceeding naming any of our directors or officers as to which indemnification is being sought, nor are we aware of any pending or threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification by any director or officer.

Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans

Certain of our directors and executive officers have adopted written plans, known as Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans, pursuant to which they have contracted with a broker to sell shares of our common stock on a periodic, non-discretionary basis.

Under a Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plan, a broker executes trades pursuant to parameters established by the director or officer at the time he enters into the plan, but after establishing the plan the director or officer cannot provide any additional direction to the broker. The director or officer may amend or terminate the plan in limited circumstances. Our directors and executive officers may adopt additional Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans in the future, and they may also buy or sell shares of our common stock outside of a Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plan when they are not in possession of material, nonpublic information.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Vesting of Restricted Stock Units	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Restricted Stock Units	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (1)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (2)	6,906,398 (3)	\$4.96 (4)	5,238,699
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders Total			

⁽¹⁾ Excludes securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights.

Consists of the Intermolecular, Inc. 2011 Incentive Award Plan and the Intermolecular, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive

Plan (Amended and Restated September 5, 2007). We terminated the 2004 Plan on October 26, 2011 in connection with the adoption of the 2011 Plan. No new awards may be granted under the 2004 Plan following its termination, but awards outstanding at the time of termination remain outstanding in accordance with their terms.

⁽³⁾ Includes 437,655 shares that may be issued under restricted stock unit awards at December 31, 2013.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes 437,655 shares that may be issued under restricted stock unit awards.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth, as of March 31, 2014, the total number of shares owned beneficially by each of our directors and NEOs, individually, all of our directors and executive officers as a group, and the present owners of 5% or more of our total outstanding shares.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under rules issued by the SEC. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has sole or shared voting power or investment power. In addition, these rules provide that an individual or entity beneficially owns any shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options or warrants held by such person or entity that were exercisable on March 31, 2014 or within 60 days after March 31, 2014; and any reference in the footnotes to this table to stock options or warrants refers only to such options or warrants. In computing the percentage ownership of each individual and entity, the number of outstanding shares of common stock includes, in addition to the shares outstanding as of March 31, 2014, any shares subject to options or warrants held by that individual or entity that were exercisable on or within 60 days after March 31, 2014. These shares are not considered outstanding, however, for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other stockholder. Each of the stockholders listed has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by the stockholder unless noted otherwise, subject to community property laws where applicable. Unless otherwise noted below, the address of each beneficial owner listed on the table is c/o Intermolecular, Inc., 3011 N. First Street, San Jose, California 95134.

	Snares Beneficially Owned				
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number	Percent	age		
5% Stockholders			-		
ATMI, Inc. (1)	2,592,342	5.5	%		
Advanced Technology Investment Company LLC (2)	2,720,893	5.8	%		
Park West Asset Management LLC (3)	4,117,736	8.7	%		
FMR LLC (4)	2,404,053	5.1	%		
Raging Capital Management, LLC (5)	4,809,870	10.2	%		
Entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures (6)	5,572,695	11.8	%		
Entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures (7)	7,595,039	16.1	%		
U.S. Venture Partners (8)	4,280,780	9.1	%		
Directors and Executive Officers					
David E. Lazovsky (9)	4,029,664	8.4%			
C. Richard Neely, Jr. (10)	50,000	*			
Raj Jammy (11)	117,978	*			
Sandeep Jaggi (12)	395,432	*			
Sandeep Nijhawan (13)	255,482	*			
Thomas R. Baruch (14)	5,717,695	12.1	%		
Marvin D. Burkett (15)	32,500	*			
Irwin Federman (16)	4,300,780	9.1	%		
Bruce M. McWilliams (17)	250,625	*			
George M. Scalise (18)	195,000	*			
John L. Walecka (19)	7,615,039	16.2	%		
All of our directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons) (20)	24,032,131	48.6	%		
Former Executive Officers					
Peter L. Eidelman (21)	_				
Reed K. Birnbaum (22)	44,372	*			
*Panrasants a hanaficial ownership of lass than 1%					

^{*}Represents a beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

Shares Reneficially Owned

⁽¹⁾ Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 25, 2014. The address of ATMI, Inc. is 7 Commerce Drive, Danbury, Connecticut 06810-4169.

Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2014. Consists of: (i) 1,614,624 shares held by Advanced Technology Investment Company LLC, and (ii) 1,106,269 shares held by MDC Capital (Cayman) Limited, as trustee for Fifty First Investment Company LLC. The address of Mubadala Development Company PJSC is Post Office Box 45005, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.

(3) Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014. The address of Park West Asset Management LLC is 900 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 165, Larkspur, California 94939.

Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014. Consists of: (i) 1,217,187 shares held by Fidelity Management & Research Company (Fidelity), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC (FMR) and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (Fidelity Funds), and (ii) 1,186,866 shares held by Fidelity SelectCo, LLC (SelectCo), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (SelectCo Funds). Each of Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR (i) through its control of Fidelity, and the Fidelity Funds each has sole power to dispose of the 1,217,187 shares owned by the Fidelity Funds, and (ii) through its control of SelectCo, and the SelectCo Funds each has sole power to dispose of (4) the 1,186,866 shares owned by the SelectCo Funds. Members of the family of Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR, are the predominant owners, directly or through trusts, of Series B voting common shares of FMR, representing 49% of the voting power of FMR. The Johnson family group and all other Series B shareholders have entered into a shareholders' voting agreement under which all Series B voting common shares will be voted in accordance with the majority vote of Series B voting common shares. Accordingly, through their ownership of voting common shares and the execution of the shareholders' voting agreement, members of the Johnson family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR. Neither FMR nor Edward C. Johnson 3d, has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the Fidelity Funds, which power resides with the Fidelity Funds' Boards of Trustees. Fidelity carries out the voting of the shares under written guidelines established by the Fidelity Funds' Boards of Trustees. The address of FMR LLC is 245 Summer Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.

Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 10, 2014. Consists of 4,809,870 shares held by Raging Capital Master Fund, Ltd., a Cayman Islands exempted company (Raging Master). Raging Capital Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Raging Capital), is the investment manager for Raging Master. William C. Martin is the chairman, chief investment officer and managing member of Raging Capital. By virtue of (5) these relationships, each of Raging Capital and William C. Martin may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power over the shares held by Raging Master. The principal business address of each of Raging Capital and William C. Martin is Ten Princeton Avenue, PO Box 228, Rocky Hill, New Jersey 08553. The principal business address of Raging Master is c/o Ogier Fiduciary Services (Cayman) Limited, 89 Nexus Way, Camana Bay, Grand Cayman KY 1-9007, Cayman Islands.

Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014. Consists of: (i) 5,445,462 shares held by CMEA Ventures VI, L.P. (CMEA VI), and (ii) 127,233 shares held by CMEA Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG (CMEA VI GmbH). CMEA Ventures VI Management, L.P. (CMEA VI Mgmt) is the general partner of each of CMEA VI and CMEA VI GmbH. Thomas R. Baruch, David J. Collier, Faysal A. Sohail and James F. Watson are the general partners of CMEA VI Mgmt and, as such, have voting and dispositive power over these shares. Each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by these entities, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of each of the entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures is One Letterman Drive, Building C, Suite CM500, San Francisco, California 94129.

Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012. Consists of: (i) 7,423,391 shares held by Redpoint Ventures II, L.P. (RV II), and (ii) 171,648 shares held by Redpoint Associates II, LLC (RA II). Redpoint Ventures II, LLC (RV II LLC), a Delaware limited liability company, is the sole general partner of RV II. Voting and dispositive decisions with respect to shares held by RV II and RA II are shared by Jeffery D. Brody, R.

(7) Thomas Dyal, Timothy M. Haley, G. Bradford Jones, John L. Walecka and Geoffrey Y. Yang in their capacities as managing members of each of RV II and RA II. Each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by these entities, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of each of the entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures is 3000 Sand Hill Road, Building Two, Suite 290, Menlo Park, California 94025.

Based upon a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014. Consists of 4,280,780 shares held by U.S. Venture Partners IX, L.P. (USVP IX). Presidio Management Group IX, LLC (PMG IX) is the general partner of USVP IX. Each of Irwin Federman, Steven M. Krausz, David E. Liddle, Paul Matteucci, Jonathan D. Root, Casey

(8) M. Tansey and Philip M. Young are the managing members of PMG IX and may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power over the shares held by USVP IX. Such persons disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by USVP IX, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of U.S. Venture Partners is 2735 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025.

- Consists of: (i) 2,833,283 shares held by David E. Lazovsky, (ii) 175,049 shares held by Juel D. Lazovsky, (iii) 145,784 shares held by The Lazovsky 2010 Irrevocable Children's Trust, (iv) 785,619 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014 by Mr. Lazovsky, (v) 14,481
- (9) shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 29, 2012, (vi) 35,448 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 4, 2013, and (vii) 40,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 26, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 40,000 shares of restricted common stock held by Mr. Neely that vest over four years with a (10) vesting commencement date of November 7, 2013, and (ii) 10,000 shares of restricted common stock held by Mr. Neely that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 26, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 58,334 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014, (ii) 39,644 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of April 30, 2013, and (iii) 20,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 26, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 291,246 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014, (ii) 9,008 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 26, 2012, (iii) 17,678 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of January 25, 2013, (iv) 50,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of April 30, 2013, and (v) 27,500 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 26, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 130,042 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014, (ii) 4,504 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 26, 2012, (iii) 30,936 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of January 25, 2013, (iv) 70,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of November 7, 2013, and (v) 20,000 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of February 26, 2014.
- Consists of the shares described in Note (6) above. Mr. Baruch disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures as described in Note (6) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. Also includes 145,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.
- Consists of 32,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.
- Consists of the shares described in Note (8) above. Mr. Federman disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares (16) held by the entities affiliated with U.S. Ventures as described in Note (8) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. Also includes 20,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 173,250 shares held by Bruce and Astrid McWilliams 1997 Trust UAD 11/06/97, and (ii) 77,375 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.

Consists of: (i) 160,000 shares held by George M. Scalise and Dorothea Scalise TR Family Trust UA 12/28/88, and (ii) 35,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.

- Consists of the shares described in Note (7) above. Mr. Walecka disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures as described in Note (7) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. Also includes 20,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.
- Consists of: (i) 21,667,626 shares held by entities affiliated with certain of our directors, and (ii) 2,364,505 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014.
- Mr. Eidelman resigned from employment with us effective August 2, 2013 to pursue other interests. Beneficial ownership is based on share information provided to us by Mr. Eidelman.
 - Consists of: (i) 1,441 shares held by Mr. Birnbaum, and (ii) 42,931 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 31, 2014. Mr. Birnbaum, who at the time of Mr. Eidelman's resignation was our Vice-President of Finance and Corporate Controller, assumed the position of interim Chief
- (22) Financial Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer as of August 2, 2013, the date of Mr. Eidelman's resignation. Mr. Neely was appointed as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on October 2, 2013, at which time Mr. Birnbaum returned to his prior position with us as Vice-President of Finance and Corporate Controller.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and directors, and any person or entity who owns more than 10% of a registered class of our common stock or other equity securities, to file with the SEC certain reports of ownership and changes in ownership of our securities. Executive officers, directors and stockholders who hold more than 10% of our outstanding common stock are required by the SEC to furnish us with copies of all required forms filed under Section 16(a). We prepare Section 16(a) forms on behalf of our executive officers and directors based on the information provided by them.

Based solely on review of this information and written representations by our executive officers and directors that no other reports were required, we believe that, during 2013, no reporting person failed to file the forms required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act on a timely basis except Mr. George M. Scalise, who filed one late Form 4 in connection with the reporting of certain sales transactions.

The board of directors hopes that stockholders will attend the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, you are urged to complete, date, sign and return the enclosed proxy in the accompanying envelope. A prompt response will greatly facilitate arrangements for the meeting, and your cooperation will be appreciated.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ David E. Lazovsky DAVID E. LAZOVSKY President and Chief Executive Officer April 18, 2014