MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD Form DEF 14A May 14, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

N/A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

| Payr | Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| X | No f | ee required. | | | |
| | Fee | computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. | | | |
| | (1) | Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: | | | |
| | (2) | Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: | | | |
| | (3) | Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): | | | |
| | (4) | Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: | | | |
| | (5) | Total fee paid: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | Fee | paid previously with preliminary materials. | | | |
| | | ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. | | | |
| | (1) | Amount Previously Paid: | | | |

| (2) | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |
|-----|---|
| | |
| | |
| (2) | |
| (3) | Filing Party: |
| | |
| | |
| (4) | Date Filed: |

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

May 14, 2013

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2013 annual general meeting of shareholders of Marvell Technology Group Ltd., a Bermuda company, scheduled to be held at the Network Meeting Center at Techmart, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, California 95054, on Wednesday, June 26, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. Pacific time.

As described in the accompanying notice of annual general meeting of shareholders and proxy statement, shareholders will be asked to (1) vote on the election of five directors, each for a term of one year; (2) conduct an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and (3) re-appoint PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorize the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014. Directors and executive officers will be present at the annual general meeting to respond to any questions that our shareholders may have regarding the business to be transacted.

In accordance with rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we are pleased to furnish these proxy materials to shareholders over the Internet rather than in paper form. We believe these rules allow us to provide our shareholders with expedited and convenient access to the information they need, while helping to conserve natural resources and lower the costs of printing and our carbon footprint.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual general meeting, please submit your proxy as soon as possible. On or about May 15, 2013, we mailed our shareholders a notice containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials and submit their proxy electronically over the Internet. The notice also included instructions on how you can receive a paper copy of your proxy materials, including the notice of the annual general meeting, proxy statement and proxy card. If you received your proxy materials by mail, the notice of the annual general meeting, proxy statement and proxy card from our board of directors were enclosed. If you received your proxy materials via e-mail, the e-mail contained voting instructions and links to our proxy materials on the Internet.

All shareholders of record on April 29, 2013 are invited to attend the annual general meeting. Only shareholders and persons holding proxies from shareholders may attend the meeting. If you are a shareholder of record, please bring a form of personal identification to be admitted to the meeting. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee and you plan to attend the meeting, you must present proof of your beneficial ownership of those shares as of the record date, such as a bank or brokerage account statement or letter, together with a form of personal identification, to be admitted to the meeting.

On behalf of our board of directors and all of our employees, I wish to thank you for your continued support.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dr. Sehat Sutardja

Dr. Sehat Sutardja

DR. SEHAT SUTARDJA

Chairman of the Board,

President and Chief Executive Officer

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

Canon s Court

22 Victoria Street

Hamilton HM 12

Rermuda

NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held On June 26, 2013

The 2013 annual general meeting of shareholders of Marvell Technology Group Ltd., a Bermuda company, is scheduled to be held on June 26, 2013, at 4:00 p.m. Pacific time. The annual general meeting of shareholders (the annual general meeting) will take place at the Network Meeting Center at Techmart, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, California 95054.

The purposes of the annual general meeting are:

- 1. To elect five directors, who will hold office for a one-year term until the 2014 annual general meeting of shareholders or until their respective successors are duly elected;
- 2. To conduct an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation; and
- 3. To re-appoint PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorize the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014.

If any other matters properly come before the annual general meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by all properly executed proxies in their discretion.

We will also lay before the meeting our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 pursuant to the provisions of the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended, and our Third Amended and Restated Bye-Laws.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice of annual general meeting. None of the proposals requires the approval of any other proposal to become effective.

We have established the close of business on April 29, 2013 as the record date for determining those shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual general meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Only holders of common shares, par value \$0.002 per share, as of the record date are entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual general meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. Execution of a proxy will not in any way affect your right to attend the annual general meeting and vote in person, and any person giving a proxy has the right to revoke it at any time before it is exercised. If you attend the annual general meeting and vote in person, your proxy will not be used.

Your board of directors recommends that you vote *FOR* the board s nominees for Director, *FOR* the approval of our named executive officer compensation and *FOR* the approval of our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm.

Your attention is directed to the accompanying proxy statement. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual general meeting in person, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the annual general meeting. For specific voting instructions, please refer to the information provided in the following proxy statement, together with your proxy card or the voting instructions you receive by mail, e-mail or that are provided via the Internet.

This notice incorporates the accompanying proxy statement.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/s/ Dr. Sehat Sutardja

Dr. Sehat Sutardja

DR. SEHAT SUTARDJA

Chairman of the Board of Directors,

President and Chief Executive Officer

Santa Clara, California

May 14, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>Introduction</u> | 1 |
| Information Regarding the Annual General Meeting | 1 |
| Questions and Answers About our Annual General Meeting | 2 |
| Presentation of Financial Statements | 7 |
| Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors | 8 |
| Board of Directors and Committees of the Board | 9 |
| Executive Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation | 21 |
| Executive Compensation | 22 |
| Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 47 |
| Related Party Transactions | 49 |
| Report of the Audit Committee | 51 |
| Proposal No. 2: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation | 53 |
| Proposal No. 3: Re-Appointment of Auditors and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, and Authorization of the Audit Committee to Fix Remuneration | 55 |
| Information Concerning Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 55 |
| Future Shareholder Proposals and Nominations for the 2014 Annual General Meeting | 57 |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance | 57 |
| Other Matters | 58 |
| Annual Report On Form 10-K | 58 |
| | 50 |

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

Canon s Court

22 Victoria Street

Hamilton HM 12

Bermuda

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

June 26, 2013

INTRODUCTION

These proxy materials are furnished in connection with the solicitation by the board of directors of Marvell Technology Group Ltd., a Bermuda company, of proxies for use at our 2013 annual general meeting of shareholders (referred to herein as the annual general meeting or the meeting) scheduled to be held at 4:00 p.m. Pacific time on June 26, 2013 at the Network Meeting Center at Techmart, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara. California 95054.

INFORMATION REGARDING THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

General

This proxy statement has information about the meeting and was prepared by our management for the board of directors of Marvell Technology Group Ltd. This proxy statement is being made available on or about May 15, 2013. Each member of our board of directors supports each action for which your vote is solicited.

Your board of directors asks you to appoint Sehat Sutardja, Ph.D., our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Brad D. Feller, our Interim Chief Financial Officer, as your proxy holders to vote your shares at the meeting. You make this appointment by properly completing the enclosed proxy as described below. If appointed by you, your shares represented by a properly completed proxy received by us will be voted at the meeting in the manner specified therein or, if no instructions are marked on the proxy, your shares will be voted as described below. Although management does not know of any other matter to be acted upon at the meeting, unless contrary instructions are given, shares represented by valid proxies will be voted by the persons named on the accompanying proxy card in the manner the proxy holders deem appropriate for any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

We maintain our registered and business office in Bermuda at Canon s Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda. Our telephone number in Bermuda is (441) 296-6395.

Record Date and Shares Outstanding

The record date for the annual general meeting has been set as the close of business on April 29, 2013. Only shareholders of record as of such date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. On the record date, there were 496,922,801 issued common shares, par value \$0.002 per share (common shares or shares). Each issued common share is entitled to one vote on the proposals to be voted on at the meeting. Shares held as of the record date include common shares that are held directly in your name as the shareholder of record and those shares held for you as a beneficial owner through a broker, bank or other nominee.

In this proxy statement, we sometimes refer to our group holding company, Marvell Technology Group Ltd., as we, us, our or Marvell. In this proxy statement, we refer to the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 as fiscal 2009, the fiscal year ended January 30, 2010 as fiscal 2010, the fiscal year ended January 29, 2011 as fiscal 2011, the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 as fiscal 2012, the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 as fiscal 2013 and the fiscal year ending February 1, 2014 as fiscal 2014.

-1-

OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT OUR ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: We have made these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, have delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail, in connection with our solicitation of proxies for use at the 2013 annual general meeting of shareholders to be held at 4:00 p.m. Pacific time on June 26, 2013. These materials were first sent or given to shareholders on or about May 15, 2013. You are invited to attend the annual general meeting and are requested to vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement.

Q: What is included in these proxy materials?

A: These proxy materials include:

Our proxy statement for the annual general meeting of shareholders

A Shareholder Letter from our Chairman

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 2, 2013, dated March 29, 2013 and as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)

If you requested printed versions of these materials by mail, these materials also include the proxy card or voting instruction form for the annual general meeting.

Q: What proposals will be considered at the meeting?

A: The specific proposals to be considered and acted upon at the annual general meeting are summarized in the accompanying Notice of Annual General Meeting of Shareholders and include (1) the election of five directors, who will hold office for a one-year term until the 2014 annual general meeting of shareholders or until their successors are duly elected (see Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors at page 8 of this proxy statement); (2) an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve compensation of our named executive officers (see Proposal No. 2: Advisory Vote to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation at page 53 of this proxy statement); and (3) the re-appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PricewaterhouseCoopers) as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorization of the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014 (see Proposal No. 3: Re-appointment of Auditors and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, and Authorization of the Audit Committee to Fix Remuneration at page 55 of this proxy statement).

If any other matters properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by all properly executed proxies in their discretion.

In addition, in accordance with Section 84 of the Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended (the Companies Act) and Bye-Law 73 of our Third Amended and Restated Bye-Laws (the Bye-Laws), our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 will be presented at the annual general meeting. These statements have been approved by our board of directors. There is no requirement under Bermuda law that these statements be approved by shareholders, and no such approval will be sought at the meeting.

Q: How does our board of directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?

A: At the annual general meeting, our board of directors unanimously recommends our shareholders vote:

1. FOR the election of five director nominees listed in Proposal No. 1, who will hold office for a one-year term until the 2014 annual general meeting of shareholders or until their respective successors are duly elected (see Proposal No. 1);

-2-

- 2. FOR the approval, on an advisory and non-binding basis, of named executive officer compensation, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and narrative disclosures (see Proposal No. 2); and
- 3. FOR the re-appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorization of the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014 (see Proposal No. 3).

If any other matters properly come before the annual general meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by all properly executed proxies in their discretion.

Q: Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a paper copy of proxy materials?

A: The SEC has adopted rules to allow proxy materials to be posted on the Internet and to provide only a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to shareholders. We have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) to our shareholders of record and beneficial owners. All shareholders receiving the Notice will have the ability to access the proxy materials over the Internet and request to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a paper copy may be found in the Notice. In addition, the Notice contains instructions on how you may request access to proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically on an ongoing basis. The Notice also instructs you on how to submit your proxy electronically over the Internet or by mail.

Q: How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

A: The Notice will provide you with instructions regarding how to:

View the proxy materials for the annual general meeting on the Internet; and

Instruct us to send future proxy materials to you by email.

Our proxy materials are also available on our website at the investor relations page of our website at www.marvell.com or by going to www.marvellproxy.com.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual general meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Q: Who can vote?

A: The record date for the annual general meeting has been set as the close of business on April 29, 2013. Only shareholders of record as of such date will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. On the record date, there were 496,922,801 common shares outstanding. Each share outstanding is entitled to one vote on the proposals to be voted on at the meeting. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors. Shares held as of the record date include shares that are held directly in your name as the shareholder of record and those shares held for you as a beneficial owner through a broker, bank or other nominee.

Q: What should I do now to vote?

A: You may vote your shares either by voting in person at the meeting or by submitting a completed proxy. The meeting will take place on June 26, 2013. After carefully reading and considering the information contained in

-3-

Table of Contents

this proxy statement, please follow the instructions as summarized below depending on whether you hold shares directly in your name as shareholder of record or you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name. Most of our shareholders hold their shares through a broker, bank or other nominee in street name rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between the procedures for voting shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Q: If I am a shareholder of record, how do I vote my shares?

A: If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (the Transfer Agent), you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares and the Notice was sent directly to you. There are four ways to vote:

In person. If you are a shareholder of record, you may vote in person at the annual general meeting. We will provide you a ballot when you arrive.

Via the Internet. You may submit your proxy via the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice.

By Telephone. You may submit your proxy by calling the toll free number found on the proxy card.

By Mail. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may submit your proxy by filling out the proxy card and sending it back in the envelope provided.

Please be aware that if you issue a proxy or give voting instructions over the Internet or by telephone, you may incur costs such as Internet access and telephone charges for which you will be responsible.

Q: If my shares are held in street name by my broker, bank or other nominee, how do I vote my shares?

A: If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of the shares held in street name, and the Notice will, subject to the terms made between you and the shareholder of record, be forwarded to you by your broker, bank or other nominee who is considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record. If the shares you own are held in street name by a bank or brokerage firm, your bank or brokerage firm, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. In order to vote your shares, you will need to follow the directions your bank or brokerage firm provides you. Many banks and brokerage firms also offer the option of submitting voting instructions over the Internet or by telephone, instructions for which would be provided by your bank or brokerage firm on your vote instruction form.

If your shares are held in street name, you may also vote your shares in person at the annual meeting. You must bring an account statement or letter from your brokerage firm or bank showing that you are the beneficial owner of the shares as of the record date in order to be admitted to the annual meeting. To be able to vote your shares held in street name at the annual meeting, you will need to obtain a proxy from the shareholder of record.

Q: What happens if I do not cast a vote?

A: Many of our shareholders hold their shares through a broker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Shareholders of record If you are a shareholder of record and you do not cast your vote or submit a proxy, no votes will be cast on your behalf on any of the items of business at the annual general meeting. However, if you sign and return the proxy card with no further instructions, the proxy holders will vote your shares in the manner recommended by our board of directors on all matters presented in this proxy statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a

-4-

Table of Contents

vote at the annual general meeting. With regard to Proposal No. 1 through Proposal No. 3, a shareholder may also abstain from voting. An abstention occurs when a shareholder sends in a proxy with explicit instructions to decline to vote regarding a particular matter. Abstention votes have the same effect as an against vote with respect to the election of directors (Proposal No. 1). Abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for all other proposals.

Beneficial owners If you hold your shares in street name it is critical that you cast your vote if you want it to count in the election of directors (Proposal No. 1) and approval of named executive officer compensation (Proposal No. 2). The term broker non-vote refers to shares held by a broker or other nominee (for the benefit of its client) that are represented at the meeting, but with respect to which such broker or nominee is not instructed to vote on a particular proposal and does not have discretionary authority to vote on that proposal. Brokers and nominees do not have discretionary voting authority on certain non-routine matters and accordingly may not vote on such matters absent instructions from the beneficial holder. Thus, if you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee how to vote in the election of directors (Proposal No. 1) or with respect to votes related to named executive officer compensation (Proposal No. 2), no votes will be cast on your behalf.

The proposal at the annual general meeting to appoint PricewaterhouseCoopers as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorize the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014 (Proposal No. 3) is considered a routine matter for which brokerage firms may vote unvoted shares. The election of directors (Proposal No. 1) and the proposal to approve on an advisory basis named executive officer compensation (Proposal No. 2) are not considered routine matters for which brokerage firms may vote unvoted shares and it is important to us that you affirmatively vote for Proposal No. 1 and Proposal No. 2.

Q: How are votes counted?

A: Each share will be entitled to one vote. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors. All votes will be tabulated by the inspector of elections appointed for the meeting, who will count the votes, determine the existence of a quorum, validity of proxies and ballots, and certify the results of the voting.

Q: What if I plan to attend the meeting in person?

A: To help ensure your shares are voted, we recommend that you submit your proxy or voting instruction form anyway. If you are a shareholder of record, please bring a form of personal identification to be admitted to the meeting. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee and you plan to attend the meeting, you must present proof of your beneficial ownership of those shares as of the record date, such as a bank or brokerage account statement or letter, together with a form of personal identification, to be admitted to the meeting.

Q: How can I change my instructions after I have submitted a proxy?

A: Subject to any rules your broker, bank or other nominee may have, you may change your proxy instructions at any time before your proxy is voted at the annual general meeting. You may submit another proxy on a later date via the Internet or by telephone (only your latest Internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the annual general meeting will be counted), by signing and returning a new proxy card or vote instruction form with a later date, or by attending the annual general meeting and voting in person.

Q: What if other matters come up at the meeting?

A: The matters described in this proxy statement are the only matters that we know will be voted on at the meeting. If any other matters properly come before the annual general meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by all properly executed proxies in their discretion.

Table of Contents

Q: What quorum is required for action at the meeting?

A: The presence in person of two or more persons representing in person or by proxy in excess of 50% of the total issued voting shares of Marvell throughout the meeting shall constitute a quorum at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for the purpose of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. In the event there are not sufficient shares present for a quorum at the time of the annual general meeting, the meeting will stand adjourned for one week or otherwise as may be determined by our board of directors in accordance with the Bye-Laws in order to permit the further solicitation of proxies.

Q: What vote is required to approve each proposal?

A: Proposal No. 1: The nominees for director receiving the affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual general meeting will be elected as directors to serve until the next annual general meeting of shareholders or until their successors are duly elected. Abstention votes have the same effect as an against vote with respect to the election of directors and broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

Proposal No. 2: Our shareholders will have an advisory (non-binding) vote on named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement, which requires the affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual general meeting in order to be approved. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal. The vote is advisory and therefore not binding on our board of directors; however, our board of directors and the executive compensation committee (the ECC) will consider the result of the vote when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation policies and practices.

Proposal No. 3: Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as our auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, and authorization of the audit committee, acting on behalf of our board of directors, to fix the remuneration of the auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, in both cases for our fiscal year ending February 1, 2014, requires the affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual general meeting in order to be approved. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

Q: Does any shareholder own a majority of our shares?

A: No.

Q: What does it mean if I receive more than one notice or e-mail about the Internet availability of the proxy materials or more than one paper copy of the proxy materials?

A: If you receive more than one Notice, more than one e-mail or more than one paper copy of the proxy materials, it means that you have multiple accounts with brokers or the Transfer Agent. Please vote all of these shares. To instruct for all of your shares to be voted by proxy, you must complete, sign, date and return each proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive and do so for all shares represented by each Notice and e-mail that you receive (unless you have requested and received a proxy card or voting instruction card for the shares represented by one or more of those notices or e-mails). We encourage you to have all your shares registered in the same name and address. You may do this by contacting your broker or the Transfer Agent.

Q: May shareholders ask questions at the annual general meeting?

A: Yes. Representatives of Marvell will answer shareholders—questions of general interest following the formal agenda of the annual general meeting. In order to give a greater number of shareholders an opportunity to ask questions, individuals or groups will be allowed to ask only one question and no repetitive or follow-up questions will be permitted.

-6-

Q: Who is making and paying for this proxy solicitation?

A: This proxy is solicited on behalf of our board of directors. We will pay the cost of distributing this proxy statement and related materials. We have hired Georgeson Inc. to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and the solicitation of proxies for an initial fee estimated at \$7,500, plus an additional fee per shareholder for shareholder solicitations. We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. We will also reimburse brokers for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of shares or other persons for whom they hold shares. To the extent necessary in order to ensure sufficient representation at the meeting, we or our proxy solicitor may solicit the return of proxies by personal interview, mail, telephone, facsimile, Internet or other means of electronic transmission. The extent to which this will be necessary depends upon how promptly proxies are returned. We urge you to send in your proxy without delay.

Q: How can I find out the results of the voting at the annual general meeting?

A: Preliminary voting results will be announced at the meeting. Final voting results will be published in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC within four business days of the meeting. If the final voting results are not available within four business days after the meeting, we will provide the preliminary results in the Form 8-K and the final results in an amendment to the Form 8-K within four business days after the final voting results are known to us.

| the final voting results are known to us. |
|---|
| Q: Whom should I call if I have questions about the annual general meeting? |
| A: You should contact either of the following: |
| Sukhi Nagesh |
| Vice President, Investor Relations |
| Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. |
| 5488 Marvell Lane |
| Santa Clara, CA 95054 |
| Fax: (408) 222-1917 |
| Phone: (408) 222-8373 |
| or |

or

The proxy solicitor:

Georgeson Inc.

480 Washington Blvd., 26th Floor

Jersey City, NJ 07310

Phone: (201) 222-4227

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with Section 84 of the Companies Act and Bye-Law 73 of the Bye-Laws, our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 will be presented at the annual general meeting. These statements have been approved by our board of directors. There is no requirement under Bermuda law that these statements be approved by shareholders, and no such approval will be sought at the meeting.

-7-

PROPOSAL NO. 1:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nominees

The Bye-Laws provide for not less than two directors or such number in excess thereof as our board of directors may determine. The number of directors is currently fixed at six and there are currently six members serving on our board of directors. The nominating and governance committee and our board of directors have nominated five current members of our board of directors to stand for election at the annual general meeting of shareholders. If the current nominees are elected, we will have five members serving on our board of directors and the number of directors will be fixed at five. Previously, our board of directors was divided into three classes and served staggered three year terms. Beginning this year, all nominees elected as directors will serve one year terms until the next annual general meeting of shareholders. In the event any new nominees are appointed as directors after this annual general meeting, he or she will be required to stand for reelection at the next annual general meeting and each annual general meeting of shareholders thereafter. On April 19, 2013, Dr. Pantas Sutardja informed the board of directors that he had made a decision to not stand for re-election to the board of directors, such that his tenure as a director would conclude effective as of the date of our 2013 annual general meeting.

Our director nominees for the 2013 annual general meeting are Dr. Juergen Gromer, Dr. John G. Kassakian, Arturo Krueger, Dr. Sehat Sutardja and Dr. Randhir Thakur. Biographical information for the nominees can be found beginning on page 9 of this proxy statement. We have been advised that each of our nominees is willing to be named as such herein and each of the nominees is willing to serve as a director if elected. However, if one or more of Dr. Gromer, Dr. Kassakian, Mr. Krueger, Dr. Sehat Sutardja and/or Dr. Thakur should be unable to serve as director, the proxy holders may vote for a substitute nominee recommended by the nominating and governance committee and approved by our board of directors.

Board Recommendation and Required Vote

Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the nominees for director identified above.

Unless authority to do so is withheld, the proxy holders named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented thereby FOR the election of each such nominee. Assuming the presence of a quorum, the required vote is the affirmative vote of a simple majority of votes cast and entitled to vote at the annual general meeting. Abstentions are included in the calculation of votes cast and will have the same effect as an against vote with respect to the election of such director. Broker non-votes will be entirely excluded from the vote and will have no effect on the outcome. If the proposal for the appointment of a director nominee does not receive the required affirmative vote of a simple majority of the votes cast, then the director will not be appointed and the position on our board of directors that would have been filled by the director nominee will become vacant. Our board of directors has the ability to fill the vacancy upon the recommendation of the nominating and governance committee, in accordance with the Bye-Laws, with that director subject to election by our shareholders at the next following annual general meeting of shareholders.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

Directors and Nominees

The following table sets forth information with respect to our directors, including each of our nominees, as of April 26, 2013. In addition to the information presented below regarding each nominee s specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board of directors to the conclusion that he should serve as a director, we also believe that all of our director nominees have a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment of service to us and our board of directors. To the extent applicable, we value their experience on other public company boards of directors and board committees.

| | | Term | |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------|---|
| Name of Director or Nominee | Age | Expires | Background |
| Sehat Sutardja, Ph.D.* | 51 | 2013 | Dr. Sehat Sutardja, one of our co-founders, has |
| - | | | Executive Officer and Co-Chairman of our boa |
| | | | |

nders, has served as the President, Chief of our board of directors since our inception in 1995, and Chairman of our board of directors since 2003. Dr. Sehat Sutardja holds one private company directorship. Dr. Sehat Sutardja is widely regarded as one of the pioneers of the modern semiconductor age. His breakthrough designs and guiding vision have helped transform numerous industry segments, from data storage to the high performance, low power chips now driving the growing global markets for mobile computing and telephony. His lifetime passion for electronics began early; he became a certified radio repair technician at age 13 and electronics have been a major part of his life ever since. Dr. Sutardia has become an internationally-recognized proponent of new energy efficiency standards for consumer electronics. To that end, he has been working with the governments in both the U.S. and China to establish efficiency performance standards that could produce significant cost and carbon savings. Dr. Sehat Sutardja has been deeply involved in the daily challenges of running a global growth company for many years and participates heavily in our engineering and marketing efforts across analog, video processor and microprocessor design, while offering input across all of our other product lines. It is this understanding of our employees and products that have positioned him well to serve as our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and as a director.

Dr. Sehat Sutardja holds a BS in Electrical Engineering from Iowa State University, and a MS and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the University of California at Berkeley. In 2006, Dr. Sehat Sutardja was recognized as the Inventor of the Year by the Silicon Valley Intellectual Property Law Association. Dr. Sehat Sutardja was elected as a Fellow to the IEEE in 2007 and holds over 260 U.S. patents. Dr. Sehat Sutardja is the brother of Dr. Pantas Sutardja who is a named executive officer.

Dr. Juergen Gromer has served as a director since October 2007. Dr. Gromer is the retired President of Tyco Electronics Ltd., an electronics company, a position which he held from April 1999 until December 31, 2007. Dr. Gromer

Juergen Gromer, Ph.D. (1)(2)(3)*

-9-

2013

| Name of Director or Nominee | Age | Term Expires | Background formerly held senior management positions from 1983 to 1998 at AMP Incorporated (acquired by Tyco International in April 1999) including Senior Vice President of Worldwide Sales and Services, President of the Global Automotive Division, Vice President of Central and Eastern Europe and General Manager of AMP. Dr. Gromer has over 20 years of AMP and Tyco Electronics experience, serving in a wide variety of regional and global assignments, which allows Dr. Gromer to provide important perspectives on issues facing a technology company. Dr. Gromer brings considerable directorial, financial, governance and global leadership experience to our board of directors, as he is currently serving on the boards of Tyco Electronics Ltd. (now called TE Connectivity Ltd.) and WABCO Holdings Inc., and previously served as a director of RWE Rhein Ruhr from 2000 to 2009. Dr. Gromer is also Chairman of the Board of the Society of Economic Development of the District Bergstrasse/Hessen, a member of the Advisory Board of Commerzbank and a director of the Board and Vice President of the American Chamber of Commerce in Germany. |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|--|
| John G. Kassakian, Sc.D. (1)(2)(3)* | 70 | 2013 | Dr. Gromer received his undergraduate degree and Ph.D. in Physics from the University of Stuttgart, Germany. Dr. John G. Kassakian has served as a director since July 2008. Dr. Kassakian has been a member of the faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) since 1973 and has served as Director of the MIT Laboratory for Electromagnetic and Electronic Systems from 1991 to 2009. Dr. Kassakian is the founding President of the IEEE Power Electronics Society, a member of the National Academy of Engineering, and is the recipient of the IEEE Centennial Medal, the IEEE William E. Newell Award, the IEEE Power Electronics Society s Distinguished Service Award and the IEEE Millennium Medal. Dr. Kassakian s expertise in the semiconductor field and academic experience related to the technology sector makes Dr. Kassakian a valuable contributor to our board of directors. |
| Arturo Krueger (1)(2)(3)* | 73 | 2013 | Dr. Kassakian holds S.B., S.M., E.E. and Sc.D. degrees from MIT. Arturo Krueger has served as a director since August 2005 and as our lead independent director since April 2009. Mr. Krueger has more than 40 years of experience in the international semiconductor industry and acquired a wealth of experience in complex systems architecture, semiconductor design and development, operations, and international marketing, as well as general management of a large company. From 1964 to 1966 Mr. Krueger was employed with Control Data Corporation (CDC) working |

Name of Director or Nominee Age Expires

Background

on the CDC s 6600 Super Computer. Afterward he joined Motorola SPS starting as Systems Engineer, moving up to international Design and R&D Management, Marketing and Operations. Starting in 1996 Mr. Krueger served as the director of the sector wide Advanced Architectural and Design Automation Lab. Prior to his retirement in February 2001, Mr. Krueger was promoted to Corporate Vice President and General Manager of Motorola Corporation s Semiconductor Products Sector for Europe, Middle East and Africa. Since his retirement in February 2001, Mr. Krueger has been a consultant to automobile manufacturers and to semiconductor companies serving the automotive and telecommunication markets and serves on several advisory boards. Mr. Krueger brings a deep understanding of the modern semiconductor industry, the complex world of microelectronic systems design and architectures, and the financial aspects of running a large company. Mr. Krueger also brings considerable directorial and governance experience to our board of directors, as he is currently serving on the board of QuickLogic Corporation, a semiconductor company.

Mr. Krueger holds a MS in Electrical Engineering from the Institute of Technology in Switzerland and has studied Advanced Computer Science at the University of Minnesota.

Dr. Randhir Thakur (1)(2)(3)*

50 2013

Dr. Randhir Thakur is executive vice president and general manager of the Silicon Systems Group at Applied Materials, Inc., which comprises the entire portfolio of semiconductor manufacturing systems at Applied Materials. In this role, Dr. Thakur is responsible for strengthening Applied Materials leadership in its core wafer fabrication equipment markets. Since rejoining Applied Materials in May 2008, Dr. Thakur has served in various executive positions, including senior vice president and general manager of the Display and Thin Film Solar group, where he led the business offering manufacturing systems for flat panel displays, and Applied Materials thin film solar products. From 2005 to May 2008, Dr. Thakur worked at SanDisk Corporation, a supplier of innovative flash memory data storage products, where he served as executive vice president of Technology and Fab Operations and head of Worldwide Operations. From 2000 to 2005, Dr. Thakur held a series of progressively advancing executive roles within various semiconductor product groups at Applied Materials, including group vice president and general manager of Front End Products. Prior to joining Applied Materials in 2000, Dr. Thakur served as chief technology officer and general manager at Steag Electronic Systems, and vice president of Research Development and Technology at AG Associates, and held various technical leadership positions at Micron Technology. Dr. Thakur

-11-

Name of Director or Nominee Age Expires Background

Term

brings a wealth of experience in the semiconductor and consumer electronics industry, while helping to grow new markets and new products. We believe with his past and current experience in managing a large customer-focused and innovation-driven organization and various aspects of operations management and manufacturing, Dr. Thakur is well suited to serve on our board of directors.

Dr. Thakur holds a BS with honors in Electronics and Telecommunications Engineering from the National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra, India, a MS in Electrical Engineering from the University of Saskatchewan, Canada and a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Oklahoma. Dr. Thakur holds close to 300 patents and has published more than 200 papers.

- * Nominee for election.
- (1) Member of the nominating and governance committee.
- (2) Member of the ECC.
- (3) Member of the audit committee.

Except as noted above, there are no family relationships among any of our directors, nominees for director and executive officers.

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Practices, Board Leadership Structure, Risk Management, Meetings, Independence and Compensation of Directors

Corporate Governance Guidelines and Practices

Our board of directors has adopted a set of corporate governance guidelines and practices to establish a framework within which it will conduct its business. The corporate governance guidelines and practices can be found on our website at www.marvell.com/investors/governance.jsp. The corporate governance guidelines and practices were last revised on April 19, 2013. The corporate governance guidelines and practices provide, among other things, that:

| in the absence of a non-executive Chairman of the Board, our board of directors shall designate a lead independent director who |
|---|
| among other duties, is responsible for presiding over executive sessions of independent directors; |

a majority of the directors must be independent;

our board of directors shall appoint all members of the board committees;

the nominating and governance committee screens and recommends board candidates to our board of directors;

the audit committee, ECC and nominating and governance committee must consist solely of independent directors; and

the independent directors shall meet regularly in executive session without the presence of the non-independent directors or members of our management.

We also provide our directors annual training events on issues facing us and on subjects that would assist the directors in discharging their duties.

Table of Contents

Our board of directors may modify the corporate governance guidelines and practices from time to time, as appropriate. Our independent directors have appointed Mr. Krueger to serve as the lead independent director for our board of directors. Mr. Krueger has served as our lead independent director since April 2009. The duties of the lead independent director are set forth as follows in our corporation governance guidelines and practices:

develop the agenda for, and moderate executive sessions of, meetings of our independent directors;

help promote good communication between the independent directors and the Chairman of the Board and/or the Chief Executive Officer;

chair the meetings of our board of directors in the absence of the Chairman of the Board;

make recommendations to the Chairman of the Board regarding the appropriate schedule of board meetings, seeking to ensure that the independent directors can perform their duties responsibly while not interfering with the flow of our operations;

jointly with the Chairman of the Board, set agendas for board meetings and make recommendations to the Chairman of the Board regarding the structure of board meetings;

make recommendations to the Chairman of the Board in assessing the quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information from our management that is necessary for the independent directors to effectively and responsibly perform their duties; and

coordinate with the nominating and governance committee and corporate counsel to promote a thorough annual self-assessment by our board of directors and its committees.

Board Leadership Structure

Our board of directors believes that our Chief Executive Officer is best situated to serve as Chairman of the Board, because he is the director most familiar with our business and industry, and most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and leading the discussion and execution of strategy. Independent directors and management have different perspectives and roles in strategy development. Our independent directors bring experience, oversight and expertise from outside the company and industry, while the Chief Executive Officer brings company-specific experience and expertise. Our board of directors believes that the combined role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer promotes strategy development and execution, and facilitates information flow between management and our board of directors, which are essential to effective governance. Our independent directors have appointed Mr. Krueger to serve as the lead independent director for our board of directors. The role of our lead independent director is described above.

One of the key responsibilities of our board of directors is to develop strategic direction and hold management accountable for the execution of strategy once it is developed. Our board of directors believes the combined role of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, together with an independent lead director, is in the best interest of shareholders because it provides the appropriate balance between strategy development and independent oversight of management.

Risk Management

Our board of directors has an active role, as a whole and also at the committee level, in overseeing management of our risks. Our board of directors regularly reviews information regarding our liquidity, intellectual property, significant litigation matters and operations, as well as the risks associated with each. Our ECC is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to our executive compensation plans and arrangements as well as our compensation plans that generally apply to all employees. The audit committee oversees management of financial, legal and IT compliance risks as well as business continuity planning. The nominating and governance committee manages risks associated with

the independence of our board of directors and potential conflicts of interest. While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and

-13-

Table of Contents

overseeing the management of such risks, the entire board of directors is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks.

During fiscal 2013, our board of directors received reports on the most important strategic issues and risks facing Marvell. In addition, our board of directors and its committees receive regular reports from our head of internal audit or other senior management regarding compliance with applicable risk related policies, procedures and limits.

We believe that our leadership structure supports the risk oversight function. As indicated above, certain important categories of risk are assigned to committees that review, evaluate and receive management reports on risk.

Meetings of our Board of Directors; Attendance

There were nine meetings of our board of directors in fiscal 2013, which included four in-person meetings at the U.S. headquarters of our U.S. operating subsidiary and one in-person meeting in Bermuda. Each director, other than Dr. Ta-lin Hsu, attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of our board of directors and committees on which such director served. The independent directors met in executive sessions without the presence of the non-independent directors or members of our management at each of our five regularly scheduled in-person meetings. Our board of directors also formed a special committee with the authority to declare dividends that is made up of independent directors.

Although directors are encouraged to attend annual general meetings of shareholders, we do not have a formal policy requiring such attendance. None of our current independent directors attended the 2012 annual general meeting of shareholders.

Director Independence

Our board of directors has determined that, among the director nominees standing for election, each of Dr. Gromer, Dr. Kassakian, Mr. Krueger and Dr. Thakur are independent as such term is defined by the rules and regulations of the NASDAQ Stock Market (Nasdaq) and the rules and regulations of the SEC. To be considered independent, our board of directors must affirmatively determine that neither the director, nor any member of his or her immediate family, has had any direct or indirect material relationship with us within the previous three years.

Our board of directors considered relationships, transactions and/or arrangements with each of the directors and concluded that none of the non-employee directors (Outside Directors), or any of his immediate family members, has or has had within the previous three years any relationship with us that would impair his independence.

-14-

Compensation of Directors

Cash Compensation

Directors who are also employees of Marvell do not receive any additional compensation for their services as directors. For a summary of the fiscal 2013 cash compensation paid to our Outside Directors, please see the Director Compensation Table below. Beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2013, the cash compensation program changed for certain elements as set forth in the following table. The retainer fees are paid in quarterly installments in arrears, and are prorated as appropriate based upon the dates and capacities in which each individual Outside Director serves. Outside Directors are reimbursed for travel and other reasonable out-of-pocket expenses related to attendance at board of directors and committee meetings.

| Cash Compensation Element | Progran | Compensation n for First Half Fiscal 2013 | Progran Half | ompensation of Fiscal 2013 |
|--|---------|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Annual Retainer | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 |
| Lead Independent Director | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| Audit Committee Chairmanship | \$ | 15,000 | \$ | 25,000 |
| Audit Committee Member | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 12,500 |
| Executive Compensation Committee Chairmanship | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 20,000 |
| Executive Compensation Committee Member | \$ | 6,500 | \$ | 10,000 |
| Nominating and Governance Committee Chairmanship | \$ | 10,000 | \$ | 15,000 |
| Nominating and Governance Committee Member | \$ | 6,500 | \$ | 7,500 |

Equity Compensation

Each Outside Director is eligible to receive equity awards under the Amended and Restated 2007 Director Stock Incentive Plan (2007 Director Plan). Each Outside Director who is first elected or appointed at the annual general meeting of shareholders will be granted an option to purchase a number of common shares with an aggregate grant date fair value equal to \$110,000 (Annual Option Award) immediately following each annual general meeting of shareholders. In addition, each Outside Director who is first elected or appointed at the annual general meeting of shareholders will be granted a restricted stock unit (RSU) award (the Annual RSU Award) for a number of shares with an aggregate fair market value equal to \$110,000 immediately following each annual general meeting of shareholders. The Annual Option Award and the Annual RSU Award each vest as to 100% of the shares on the earlier of the date of the next annual general meeting of shareholders or the one year anniversary of the date of grant. An Outside Director who is elected or appointed after an annual general meeting of shareholders will receive a pro rata stock option award and RSU award based on the number of quarters completed since the prior annual general meeting of shareholders. In no event shall any Outside Director be awarded in any calendar year an Annual Option Award or Annual RSU Award under the 2007 Director Plan for more than 25,000 shares and 10,000 shares, respectively.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

Each director is expected, within five years of joining our board of directors or, for current members of our board directors, from the date of the most recent update to the stock ownership guidelines effective as of March 15, 2012, to own common shares that have a value equivalent to three times his or her annual cash retainer.

Director Compensation Table Fiscal 2013

The following table details the total compensation paid to our Outside Directors in fiscal 2013.

| | | | | | Change in | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | | | | | Pension | | |
| | | | | | Value and | | |
| | | | | Non-Equity | Nonqualified | | |
| | | | | Incentive | Deferred | | |
| | Fees Earned or | Stock | Option | Plan | Compensation | All Other | |
| | Paid in Cash | Awards | Awards | Compensation | Earnings | Compensation | Total |
| Name | (\$) | (\$)(1)(2) | (\$)(3)(4) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) | (\$) |
| Dr. Juergen Gromer | 121,500 | 107,900 | 91,505 | | | | 320,905 |
| Dr. Ta-lin Hsu (5) | 31,945 | | | | | | 31,945 |
| Dr. John G. Kassakian | 83,532 | 107,900 | 91,505 | | | | 282,937 |
| Arturo Krueger | 129,000 | 107,900 | 91,505 | | | | 328,405 |
| Dr. Randhir Thakur | 39,498 | 107,900 | 91,505 | | | | 238,903 |

Change in

30

- (1) The dollar value of RSUs shown represents the grant date fair value calculated on the basis of the fair market value of the underlying common shares on the grant date, reduced for the expected dividend yield, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718) and without any adjustment for estimated forfeitures. The actual value that a director will realize on each RSU award will depend on the price per share of our common shares at the time shares underlying the RSUs are sold. There can be no assurance that the actual value realized by a director will be at or near the grant date fair value of the RSUs awarded. This number was calculated based on the maximum number of RSUs that could be granted pursuant to the Annual RSU Award on June 28, 2012, which is 10,000 RSUs under the 2007 Director Plan.
- (2) The following table provides the number of shares subject to outstanding RSUs held at February 2, 2013 for each current Outside Director, as applicable:

| | Total RSU |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| | Awards |
| | Outstanding |
| Name | (#) |
| Dr. Juergen Gromer | 10,000 |
| Dr. John G. Kassakian | 10,000 |
| Arturo Krueger | 10,000 |
| Dr. Randhir Thakur | 10,000 |

(3) The dollar value of the options shown represents the estimated grant date fair value determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 pursuant to the Black-Scholes option pricing model, with no adjustment for estimated forfeitures. For a discussion of valuation assumptions used in the calculations, see Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2013. The actual value, if any, that a director may realize on each option will depend on the excess of the share price over the exercise price on the date the option is exercised and the shares underlying such option are sold. There is no assurance that the actual value realized by a director will be at or near the value estimated by the Black-Scholes model. This number was calculated based on the maximum number of stock options that could be granted pursuant to the Annual Option Award on June 28, 2012, which is an option to purchase up to 25,000 shares under the 2007 Director Plan.

(4) The following table provides the number of shares subject to outstanding options held at February 2, 2013 for each current Outside Director, as applicable:

| | Total Option |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Awards |
| | Outstanding |
| Name | (#) |
| Dr. Juergen Gromer | 120,000 |
| Dr. John G. Kassakian | 108,000 |
| Arturo Krueger | 182,000 |
| Dr. Randhir Thakur | 25,000 |

(5) Dr. Hsu s term as director concluded as of the 2012 annual general meeting of shareholders held on June 28, 2012.

Committees of our Board of Directors

Our board of directors has the following committees: the audit committee, the ECC and the nominating and governance committee. Our board of directors has adopted written charters for each of these committees, copies of which are available on our website at www.marvell.com/investors/governance.jsp. Each of the committee charters is reviewed annually by the respective committee, which may recommend appropriate changes for approval by our board of directors. Our board of directors has also formed a special committee of independent directors of the board that may approve dividends in accordance with Bermuda law.

| Andit | Com | i++ |
|-------|-----|-----|
| | | |

Composition:

At the beginning of fiscal 2013, the audit committee was composed of Dr. Gromer (Chairman), Dr. Hsu and Mr. Krueger. On May 8, 2012, it was announced that Dr. Hsu had made a decision to resign from our board of directors and as a member of the audit committee effective as of the date of our 2012 annual general meeting. On May 8, 2012, Dr. Kassakian was appointed to the audit committee effective as of May 16, 2012. On March 21, 2013, Dr. Thakur was appointed to the audit committee.

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2013:

11

Functions:

The audit committee s responsibilities are generally to assist our board of directors in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee management s conduct of our accounting and financial reporting processes. The audit committee also, among other things, appoints our independent registered public accounting firm, oversees our internal audit function and the independent registered public accounting firm, reviews and discusses with management and our independent registered public accounting firm the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as reported by management. The audit committee meets quarterly and at such additional times as are necessary or advisable.

Date Charter Last Revised:

April 19, 2013

Qualifications:

Our board of directors has determined that each member of the audit committee meets the applicable independence and financial literacy requirements of Nasdaq and the SEC. Our board of directors has determined that Dr. Gromer is an audit committee financial expert as required by applicable Nasdaq and SEC rules.

31

Executive Compensation Committee

Composition:

At the beginning of fiscal 2013, the ECC was composed of Dr. Gromer (Chairman), Dr. Hsu, Dr. Kassakian and Mr. Krueger. On May 8, 2012, it was announced that Dr. Ta-lin Hsu had made a decision to resign from our board of directors and as a member of the ECC effective as of the date of our 2012 annual general meeting. On March 21, 2013, Dr. Thakur was appointed to the ECC.

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2013:

10

Functions:

The ECC has the authority to approve salaries and bonuses and other compensation matters for our executive officers, is responsible for administering equity award programs for non-executive employees, reviews and recommends changes to our incentive compensation and other equity based plans and administers executive officer compensation within the terms of any of our applicable compensation plans.

The ECC or a subcommittee comprised of one director meets monthly to approve new hire and secondary equity grants. For more detail of our equity grant practices, please see Executive Compensation Other Considerations Equity Grant Practices below.

Date Charter Last Revised:

April 19, 2013

Qualifications:

Our board of directors has determined that each member of the ECC meets the applicable independence requirements of Nasdaq and the SEC. In addition, each member of the ECC is an outside director under Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and a non-employee director under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Nominating and Governance Committee

Composition:

At the beginning of fiscal 2013, the nominating and governance committee was composed of Dr. Gromer, Dr. Kassakian and Mr. Krueger (Chairman). Upon his election the board of directors at the 2012 annual general meeting of shareholders, Dr. Thakur was appointed to the nominating and governance committee effective as of June 28, 2012.

Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2013:

6

Functions:

The nominating and governance committee is responsible for developing and implementing policies and practices relating to corporate governance and practices, including reviewing and monitoring implementation of our corporate governance guidelines. The nominating and governance committee also makes recommendations to our board of directors regarding the

-18-

size and composition of our board of directors and its committees and screens and recommends candidates for election to our board of directors. In addition, the nominating and governance committee reviews, ratifies and/or approves related party transactions. The nominating and governance committee also reviews periodically with the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer the succession plans relating to positions held by executive officers.

Date Charter Last Revised: April 19, 2013

Qualifications: Our board of directors has determined that each member of the nominating and governance committee meets the applicable independence requirements of Nasdaq

and the SEC.

Role of Compensation Consultants

Under its charter, the ECC has the authority to retain outside legal counsel and other advisors. Pursuant to that authority, the ECC retained Mercer as its independent compensation consultant in April 2009. The total amount of all fees paid to Mercer for services to the ECC in fiscal 2013 was \$555,617.

During the fiscal year, management decided to retain Mercer and its MMC affiliates to provide services unrelated to executive compensation and the fees for these services was \$143,006. These services unrelated to named executive officer compensation included:

Review of global equity grant guidelines, including assessment of competitiveness, development of revised guidelines, and analysis of pay mix and share usage implications;

Support in development of the LTI Choice alternative program for employees below the NEO level; and

Benchmarking and leveling review of the Vice President population.

The ECC has retained Mercer to provide information, analyses and advice regarding executive compensation, as described below; however, the ECC makes all decisions regarding the compensation of our executive officers. The Mercer consultant who performs these services reports to the chairman of the ECC. In addition, the Mercer consultant attended meetings of the ECC during fiscal 2013, as requested by the Chairman of the ECC. Mercer provided the following services to us during fiscal 2013:

Evaluated the competitive positioning of our named executive officers base salaries, annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation relative to our peer companies to support fiscal 2013 decision-making;

Advised on fiscal 2013 target award levels within the annual and long-term incentive program and, as needed, on actual compensation actions;

Assessed the alignment of company compensation levels relative to our performance against our peer group and relative to the ECC s articulated compensation philosophy;

Assessed whether our compensation programs might encourage inappropriate risk taking that could have a material adverse effect on us;

Reviewed appropriateness of the peer group in terms of size, industry, business profile and talent market;

-19-

Table of Contents

Advised on the fiscal 2013 performance measures and performance targets for the annual and long-term incentive programs; and

Assisted with the preparation of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis for this proxy statement.

Additional information concerning the compensation policies and objectives established by the ECC and the respective roles of our Chief Executive Officer and the compensation consultant in assisting with the determination of compensation for each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, referred to in this proxy statement as our named executive officers, is included under the heading Executive Compensation.

Nominations for Election of Directors

The nominating and governance committee identifies, recruits and recommends to our board of directors, and our board of directors approves, director nominees for election at each annual general meeting of shareholders and new directors for election by our board of directors to fill vacancies that may arise. Under the Bye-Laws, any director appointed by our board of directors would need to be reappointed by shareholders at our next annual general meeting of shareholders.

The nominees for election at this annual general meeting were unanimously recommended and approved by the nominating and governance committee and our board of directors, respectively. The nominating and governance committee will consider proposals for nomination from shareholders that are made in writing to our Secretary at Canon s Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda that are timely and that contain sufficient background information concerning the nominee to enable proper judgment to be made as to his or her qualifications. For general information regarding shareholder proposals and nominations, see Future Shareholder Proposals and Nominations for the 2014 Annual General Meeting on page 57.

Director Qualifications

The nominating and governance committee believes that the following specific, minimum qualifications must be met by a nominee for the position of director:

the highest personal and professional ethics and integrity;

the ability to work together with other directors, with full and open discussion and debate as an effective, collegial group;

current knowledge and experience in our business or operations, or contacts in the community in which we do business and in the industries relevant to our business, or substantial business, financial or industry-related experience; and

the willingness and ability to devote adequate time to our business.

We are required to have at least one member of our board of directors who meets the criteria for an audit committee financial expert as defined by Nasdaq and the SEC, and to have a majority of independent directors who meet the definition of independent director under applicable Nasdaq and SEC rules. We also believe it is appropriate for certain key members of management to participate as members of our board of directors. Other than the foregoing there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees.

When making its determination whether a nominee is qualified for the position of director, the nominating and governance committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem are in the best interests of the company and its shareholders, such as the following qualities and skills:

relationships that may affect the independence of the director or conflicts of interest that may affect the director s ability to discharge his or her duties;

-20-

Table of Contents

diversity of experience and background of the proposed director, including the need for financial, business, academic, public sector or other expertise on our board of directors or its committees; and

the fit of the individual s skills and experience with those of the other directors and potential directors in comparison to the needs of the company.

When evaluating a candidate for nomination, the nominating and governance committee does not assign specific weight to any of these factors or believe that all of the criteria necessarily apply to every candidate.

Identifying and Evaluating Nominees for Director

The nominating and governance committee reviews the appropriate skills and characteristics required of directors in the context of the current composition of our board of directors. Candidates considered for nomination to our board of directors may come from several sources, including current and former directors, professional search firms and shareholder nominations. It is the policy of the nominating and governance committee to solicit and consider annually recommendations for candidates to our board of directors from our shareholders who hold 5% or more of our outstanding common shares as of December 31 of each year and to review with such shareholders the nominating process and the results of their prior recommendations.

A shareholder seeking to recommend a prospective nominee for the nominating and governance committee s consideration should submit the candidate s name and qualifications to our Secretary at Canon s Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda. The nominating and governance committee will consider candidates recommended by shareholders in the same manner as candidates recommended to the nominating and governance committee from other sources. Nominees for director are evaluated by the nominating and governance committee, which may retain the services of a professional search firm to assist them in identifying or evaluating potential nominees.

Shareholder Communications with our Board of Directors

At present, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is responsible for maintaining effective communications with our shareholders, customers, employees, communities, suppliers, creditors, governments and corporate partners. It is the policy of our board of directors that management speaks for the company. This policy does not preclude independent directors from meeting with shareholders, but management, where appropriate, should be present at such meetings.

Nonetheless, our board of directors has established a process for shareholders to send communications to our directors. If you wish to communicate with our board of directors or individual directors, you may send your communication in writing to: General Counsel, Marvell Semiconductor, Inc., 5488 Marvell Lane, Santa Clara, California 95054. You must include your name and address in the written communication and indicate whether you are a shareholder of Marvell. The General Counsel (or other officer acting in such capacity) will compile all such communications and will forward them to the appropriate director or directors or committee of our board of directors based on the subject matter or to the director or directors to whom such communications is addressed.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The ECC for fiscal 2013 consisted of the following members: Dr. Gromer, Dr. Hsu (until his resignation on June 28, 2012), Dr. Kassakian and Mr. Krueger. None of the current or former members of the ECC who served during fiscal 2013 is a current or former officer or employee of us or our subsidiaries, or had any relationship with us not otherwise disclosed herein under applicable SEC rules. In addition, to our knowledge, there are no ECC interlocks between us and other entities, involving our executive officers or directors who serve as executive officers or directors of such other entities.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides information regarding the compensation paid to the four named executive officers of Marvell Technology Group Ltd. during fiscal 2013, which ran from January 29, 2012 until February 2, 2013. During fiscal 2013, the named executive officers were:

Dr. Sehat Sutardja, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board;

Mr. Clyde R. Hosein, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (through October 16, 2012);

Mr. Brad Feller, Interim Chief Financial Officer (from October 16, 2012); and

Dr. Pantas Sutardja, Vice President, Chief Technology Officer, Chief Research and Development Officer and Director.

The Chief Technology Officer (or CTO) is the only executive in addition to the Chief Executive Officer (or CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (or CFO) who has the responsibility level and business impact to qualify as an executive officer.

During fiscal 2013, Mr. Feller, Vice President and Corporate Controller of Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. (MSI), was appointed Interim Chief Financial Officer of Marvell following the resignation of Mr. Hosein. Mr. Feller continues to serve as our Interim Chief Financial Officer while we continue to conduct a search for a new CFO.

Executive Summary

Our Business

We are a leading global semiconductor provider of high-performance application specific standard products. Our core strength of expertise is the development of complex System-on-a-Chip devices leveraging our extensive technology portfolio of intellectual property in the areas of analog, mixed-signal, digital signal processing and embedded ARM-based microprocessor integrated circuits. We develop platforms that we define as integrated hardware and software that incorporate digital computing technologies designed and configured to provide an optimal computing solution compared to individual components. Our broad product portfolio includes devices for data storage, enterprise-class Ethernet data switching, Ethernet physical-layer transceivers, handheld cellular, Ethernet-based wireless networking, personal area networking, Ethernet-based PC connectivity, control plane communications controllers, video-image processing and power management solutions.

Fiscal 2013 Business Highlights

Fiscal 2013 was a disappointing year for us. Within the storage end market, we experienced a decline in net revenue in our hard disk drive products due to a weaker PC market. Within our mobile end market, we saw a continued decline in net revenue from our leading North American handset customer due to continued competitive challenges that they face. In addition, later in the year, we endured product transitions within the TD-SCDMA market in China, which led to a reduction in our fourth fiscal quarter revenue. Our net revenue of \$3.2 billion in fiscal 2013 was 7% lower compared to net revenue of \$3.4 billion in fiscal 2012. Net income for fiscal 2013 was \$306.6 million, or \$0.54 per share, compared to net income of \$615.1 million in fiscal 2012, or \$0.99 per share.

Despite the difficult year, our financial position is strong and we remain committed to delivering shareholder value through our share repurchase and dividend programs.

Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$1.9 billion at February 2, 2013 and we generated cash flow from operations of \$729.0 million during fiscal 2013;

In May 2012, we announced our first quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per share. As a result, we paid cash dividends for a total of \$98.8 million in fiscal 2013 and we recently announced another dividend of \$0.06 per share to be paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2014; and

We repurchased a total of 91.0 million of our common shares for \$959.1 million in cash during fiscal 2013.

-22-

Table of Contents

CEO Pay Against Company Performance

As discussed below under Compensation Philosophy, a key element of Marvell s compensation programs is the explicit link between compensation and both overall business results and individual performance. Given the company performance described above, Marvell has made the following key decisions relating to fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2014 compensation of our CEO:

No annual incentive payout for fiscal 2013 under Section 162(m) plan, and no discretionary bonus for fiscal 2013;

No base salary increase for fiscal 2014;

Challenging annual incentive goals for fiscal 2014, with corporate funding based on achievement of revenue and net operating margin objectives; and

Target fiscal 2014 compensation is below the peer group median.

To further illustrate the alignment of our compensation program with business performance, with an emphasis on shareholder value creation, we considered the relationship between pay opportunity and realizable pay. While most of the required compensation disclosures discuss the awards that *may be earned*, realizable pay considers actual earnings based on performance. For this purpose, consider the following definitions:

Pay opportunity means the sum of salary, target cash bonus opportunity for each fiscal year, the grant value of stock options granted in the year and the grant value of restricted stock units granted in the year; and

Realizable pay means the sum of salary, actual cash bonus paid for each fiscal year, the current in the money value of stock options granted in the year and the current market value of restricted stock units granted in the year, assuming that shares underlying any vested restricted stock units are still held by the CEO, and that any vested stock options remain unexercised.

The following graph shows the pay opportunity and realizable pay of Dr. Sehat Sutardja, our President and CEO, for each of the fiscal years ended 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, and the correlation of the share price of our common shares on these dates.

The chart indicates that Dr. Sutardja s realizable pay during the past three years has been significantly lower than the pay opportunity value. This is because the largest portion of his compensation opportunity is provided in the form of equity-based long-term incentive awards, of which a majority has been in the form of stock options that have zero value if share price has not increased and/or other performance goals have not been met.

The impact of company performance on Dr. Sutardja s realizable pay highlights the compensation program alignment with shareholder interests. For purposes of the graph, realizable long-term incentive values are based on the last trading day of the fiscal year.

CEO Pay-for-Performance Chart

| | FY2009 | FY2010 | FY2011 | FY2012 | FY2013 |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Dr. Sehat Sutardja Realizable Pay ¹ | \$ 1,930,743 | \$ 1,494,250 | \$ 4,811,196 | \$ 963,076 | \$ 2,752,000 |
| Dr. Sehat Sutardja Pay Opportunity ² | \$ 1,962,699 | \$ 1,314,000 | \$ 10,683,022 | \$ 13,324,040 | \$ 13,916,750 |
| FYE Dividend-Adjusted Stock Price | \$ 7.29 | \$ 17.43 | \$ 19.16 | \$ 15.79 | \$ 9.51 |

- (1) Realizable pay calculated as base salary + actual short-term incentive received + value of in-the-money equity awards as of February 1, 2013.
- (2) Pay Opportunity calculated as base salary + target short-term incentive opportunity + grant date value of equity awards. Consideration of Say on Pay Vote

We received less shareholder support for our fiscal 2012 executive compensation program than we had received for our fiscal 2011 program (73.6% versus 99.0%). We take shareholder feedback very seriously and to ensure that our compensation programs are aligned with good governance practices, we have maintained or introduced the following governance guidelines as part of our pay practices over the last few years:

Stock ownership: Our executive team holds a significant stake in Marvell and we have strengthened our ownership guidelines. The CEO stock ownership guideline was increased to six times base salary and the definition of shares counted towards the guideline for all officers was amended to only include owned shares.

Table of Contents

Evergreen provisions: The evergreen provision in our Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan expired as of January 31, 2013. Even though we had the right, our board of directors declined to use the evergreen provision in the prior two fiscal years.

Clawback: Our CEO and CFO are subject to a clawback policy that is in addition to that required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Gross ups: We have no gross up provisions.

Adopted 162(m) plan: We adopted incentive plan guidelines that are in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Code (Section 162(m)).

Independent Compensation Practices: Our compensation policy for our named executive officers is determined by our independent ECC, which is informed by an independent compensation advisory consultant.

Insider Trading Policy: We have a nonhedging policy which means our employees, officers and directors may not engage in short sales of our securities or in trading market exchanged derivative securities tied to our securities.

Effective Risk Management: We employ a strong risk management program with specific responsibilities assigned to management, the board of directors, and each committee of the board of directors.

Compensation Philosophy

The ECC oversees the development and administration of our executive compensation program, including the underlying philosophy and related policies. Our primary business objective is to create long-term value for our shareholders. To achieve this objective, the executive compensation program is intended to achieve five primary objectives:

Market Competitive: Provide a market-competitive level of total compensation opportunity that reflects the individual executive s role and ability to impact business performance.

Performance-Based: Establish an explicit link between compensation and both overall business results and individual performance.

Long-Term Focused: Promote a long-term focus for our named executive officers through incentive compensation.

Aligned with Shareholders: Align the interests and objectives of our named executive officers and employees with furthering our growth and creating shareholder value.

Equity Stake: Share the enterprise value created by our named executive officers and employees through distribution of equity to key employees.

The ECC believes that both the elements and level of fiscal 2013 compensation for executive officers is consistent with the five primary objectives contained in our compensation philosophy as well as the overall goal of emphasizing sustained share price growth. In particular, the ECC believes that the structure and level of our fiscal 2013 compensation is linked to our business performance.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2013 Compensation Principles

To support the objectives contained in our compensation philosophy, the ECC utilized the guiding principles set forth in the table below in the fiscal 2013 compensation decision-making process. In future years, the principles will be revised as needed to reflect changes in our performance level, business model and overall market conditions.

Compensation Philosophy Fiscal 2013 Compensation Principles Cash compensation levels generally aligned between market 25th percentile and median, particularly in light of our relative size in relation to the revised peer group. **Market Competitive** Long-term incentive awards are designed to provide competitive total compensation opportunities for NEOs, but competitive levels of total compensation can only be realized based on company stock price growth. Annual incentive awards under the officer plan are intended to qualify under Section 162(m). Consistency of Performance-Based performance measures across the executive team, and between the executive team and the broader employee pool. Majority of total compensation opportunity delivered Long-term Focused through long-term incentive awards. Majority of long-term incentive opportunity in the form Aligned with Shareholders of stock options that only deliver value to employees based on stock price growth. Strong executive stock ownership guidelines and broad **Equity Stake** equity award participation.

-26-

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2013 Peer Group Revision

During fiscal 2013, the ECC reviewed its pre-existing peer group for alignment with companies that are similar in revenue, industry segment and/or which compete with us for talent. The ECC utilized competitive market compensation data based on the practices of the revised peer group to guide fiscal 2013 pay decisions:

Peer Group for Fiscal 2013

Status for Fiscal

New Peer

Remained Peer

New Peer

Remained Peer

Company 2013 Peer Group

Adobe Systems Incorporated Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

Analog Devices, Inc. **Broadcom Corporation**

LSI Corporation

NVIDIA Corporation QUALCOMM Incorporated Texas Instruments, Inc.

Juniper Networks, Inc. Remained Peer New Peer NetApp, Inc. Remained Peer Remained Peer Remained Peer