HARLEYSVILLE SAVINGS FINANCIAL CORP Form 10-Q August 10, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20429

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 0-29709

HARLEYSVILLE SAVINGS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania (State or other jurisdiction of

23-3028464 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

271 Main Street, Harleysville, Pennsylvania 19438

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(215) 256-8828

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "Accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company x Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes x No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value, 3,747,827 shares outstanding as of August 10, 2012.

HARLEYSVILLE SAVINGS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Index

			PAGE(S)
Part I		AL INFORMATION	
	Item 1.	Financial Statements	
		<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2012, and September 30, 2011</u>	1
		<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	2
		<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	3
		<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders</u> Equity for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	4
		Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	5
		Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	6 - 30
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30 - 33
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34 - 35
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	36
Part II	OTHER IN	NFORMATION	
	Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
	Item 1A.	Risk Factors	36
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	36
	Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	37
	Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	37
	Item 5.	Other Information	37
	Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	37
	Signatures		38

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except share and per share data)		e 30, 012	Sep	otember 30, 2011
Assets				
Cash and amounts due from depository institutions	\$:	5,714	\$	3,857
Interest bearing deposits	2'	7,132		18,725
Total cash and cash equivalents	3′	2,846		22,582
•		_,0 .0		22,302
Investments and mortgage-backed securities:		0.426		10.515
Available for sale (amortized cost - June 30, \$29,057; September 30, \$18,560)		9,136		18,515
Held to maturity (fair value - June 30, \$215,960; September 30, \$240,581)		7,423		231,756
Loans receivable (net of allowance for loan losses - June 30, \$3,867; September 30, \$3,311)		0,593		518,486
Accrued interest receivable Federal Home Loan Bank stock - at cost		2,595		2,847
Foreclosed real estate	1.	1,240		13,110 196
Office properties and equipment, net	1.	1,703		12,005
Prepaid expenses and other assets		7,190		16,216
1 repaire expenses and other assets		7,170		10,210
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 822	2,726	\$	835,713
I inhilities and Stackholdons Fauity				
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Liabilities:				
Deposits Deposits	\$ 54	9,665	\$	524,401
Long-term debt		3,765	Ψ	250,194
Accrued interest payable		946		1.315
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance		5,592		1,368
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		3,834		1,353
				·
Total liabilities	76.	3,802		778,631
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred Stock: \$.01 par value; 7,500,000 shares authorized; none issued				
Common stock: \$.01 par value; 15,000,000 shares authorized; 3,921,177 shares issued; outstanding June 30,		39		39
2012 3,747,031 shares September 30, 2011 3,758,751 shares Additional paid-in capital		8,637		8,346
Treasury stock, at cost (June 30, 2012, 174,146 shares;		2,655)		(2,405)
September 30, 2011, 162,426 shares)	(,	2,033)		(4,403)
Retained earnings - partially restricted	5'	2,851		51,131
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		52		(29)
(coop)				(2))
Total stockholders equity	58	8,924		57,082
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 822	2,726	\$	835,713

 $See\ notes\ to\ unaudited\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

page -1-

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands, except per share data)		Months Ended	f For the Nine Months En June 30,			
(in thousands, except per share data)	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Interest Income:						
Interest on mortgage loans	\$ 4,410	\$ 4,724	\$ 13,567	\$ 14,215		
Interest on commercial loans	1,437	1,276	4,234	3,758		
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	1,269	1,476	4,026	4,210		
Interest on consumer and other loans	898	995	2,795	3,055		
Interest on other taxable investments	367	807	1,162	2,342		
Interest on tax-exempt investments	217	215	552	634		
Dividends on investment securities	1	1	3	2		
Total interest income	8,599	9,494	26,339	28,216		
	,	,	,	,		
Interest Expense:						
Interest on deposits	1,426	1,866	4,434	5,778		
Interest on borrowings	2,265	2,870	7,178	8,697		
Total interest expense	3,691	4,736	11,612	14,475		
Total interest expense	3,071	4,730	11,012	14,473		
Net Interest Income	4,908	4,758	14,727	13,741		
Provision for loan losses	255	165	760	490		
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	4,653	4,593	13,967	13,251		
Other Income:						
Customer service fees	135	137	430	402		
Gain on sale of investments	34		34			
Income on bank-owned life insurance	121	1,163	364	1,407		
Other income	308	220	803	644		
Total other income	598	1,520	1,631	2,453		
Other Expenses:						
Salaries and employee benefits	1,927	1,905	5,808	5,529		
Occupancy and equipment	352	326	1,059	1,039		
Deposit insurance premiums	140	234	410	724		
Data processing	185	199	562	529		
Other	781	722	2,257	2,067		
Total other expenses	3,385	3,386	10,096	9,888		
•	,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Income before Income Tax Expense	1,866	2,727	5,502	5,816		
Income tax expense	555	739	1,606	1,518		

Edgar Filing: HARLEYSVILLE SAVINGS FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net Income	\$ 1,311	\$ 1,988	\$ 3,896	\$ 4,298
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.53	\$ 1.04	\$ 1.16
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.53	\$ 1.03	\$ 1.15
Dividends Per Share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.57

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

page -2-

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	Т	hs End	ed	
(In Thousands) Net Income)12 ,311	20	011
Other Comprehensive Income	\$1	,311	\$1	,988
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of tax benefit 2012, \$15; 2011, \$12		(29)(1)		(24)(1)
Officialized 1955 off securities available 191 sale, net of the benefit 2012, \$15, 2011, \$12		(2)(1)		(21)(1)
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 1,	,282	\$ 1	,964
	20)12	20	011
(1) Disclosure of change in components of unrealized loss on securities available for sale net of tax for the	`	,12		J11
three month ended: Net unrealized loss arising during the three months ended	\$	(11)	\$	(36)
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income	Ψ	(33)	Ψ	(50)
		(44)		(36)
Tax benefit		15		12
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale	\$	(29)	\$	(24)
(In Thousands)		Nine Mont June 2012	e 30 ,	led 2011
Net Income	\$	3,896	\$ 4	4,298
Other Comprehensive Income		·		
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net of tax (benefit) expense 2012, \$42; 2011, \$13		81(1)		26(1)
Total Comprehensive Income	\$	3,977	\$ 4	4,324
		2012	2	2011
(1) Disclosure of change in components of unrealized gain on securities available for sale net of tax for the nine month ended:				
Net unrealized gain arising during the nine months ended	\$		\$	39
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income		(33)		
		123		39
Tax expense		(42)		(13)
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale				

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

page -3-

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity

(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Common Stock Shares Outstanding	Commo Stock	Addit n Paic Cap	d-in	Retained Earnings- Partially Restricted	Accumulated Other Comprehensi (Loss) / Income	_	Stock	Fotal kholders quity
Balance at October 1, 2011	3,758,751	\$ 39	\$ 8,	,346	\$ 51,131	\$ (29)	\$ (2,405)	\$	57,082
Net income Dividends - \$.58 per share					3,896 (2,176)				3,896 (2,176)
Restricted stock	565			(8)	(2,170)		8		(2,170)
Stock option compensation				145					145
Treasury stock delivered under 401(k) plan	60,778			78			835		913
Treasury stock repurchase	(115,546)						(1,679)		(1,679)
Treasury stock delivered under reinvestment plan	32,039			88			442		530
Employee options exercised	10,444			(12)			144		132
Change in unrealized holding loss on available-for-sale securities, net of tax						81			81
Balance at June 30, 2012	3,747,031	\$ 39	\$ 8	,637	\$ 52,851	\$ 52	\$ (2,655)	\$	58,924

	Common			Retained	Accumulated		
	Stock		Additional	Earnings-	Other		Total
(In thousands, except share and per share data)	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	Partially Restricted	Comprehensiv Income	e Treasury Stock	Stockholders Equity
Balance at October 1, 2010	3,687,409	\$ 39	\$ 8,126	\$ 48,562	\$ 7	\$ (3,383)	\$ 53,351
Net income				4,298			4,298
Dividends - \$.57 per share				(2,114)			(2,114)
Stock option compensation			158				158
Treasury stock delivered under ESOP	10,000		10			137	147
Treasury stock delivered under reinvestment plan	30,709		39			421	460
Employee options exercised	19,802		(47)			272	225
Change in unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification and tax					26		26
Balance at June 30, 2011	3,747,920	\$ 39	\$ 8,286	\$ 50,746	\$ 33	\$ (2,553)	\$ 56,551

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In Thousands)	Nine Months E 2012	nded June 30, 2011
Operating Activities:		
Net Income	\$ 3,896	\$ 4,298
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	458	462
Provision for loan losses	760	490
Writedowns of foreclosed real estate	10	
Gains on securities	(34)	
Loss on sale of foreclosed real estate	(43)	
Amortization of deferred loan fees	163	155
Net accretion of premiums and discounts	(127)	(165)
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(364)	(365)
Gain on death benefit on bank owned life insurance policy		(1,042)
Stock based compensation	145	158
Changes in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash:		
Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,481	39
Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets	(10,611)	(19)
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	252	133
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(369)	(51)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(3,383)	4,093
Investing Activities:		
Purchase of mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	(41,585)	(51,062)
Purchase of investment securities held to maturity	(49,970)	(49,232)
Purchase of investment securities available-for-sale	(100,117)	(59,083)
Net redemption FHLB stock	1,870	2,296
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities available-for-sale	58	_,_> 0
Proceeds from the redemption of investment securities available-for-sale	89,587	63,229
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity	65,795	63,049
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed real estate	321	22,012
Purchase of bank owned life insurance		(191)
Proceeds on bank owned life insurance		2,102
Principal collected on mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	50,220	32,135
Principal collected on long term loans	137,622	86,063
Long term loans originated	(120,778)	(86,220)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(156)	(347)
Net cash provided by investing activities	32,867	2,739
Financing Activities:		
Net increase in demand deposits, NOW accounts and savings accounts	37,846	16,373
Net decrease in certificates of deposit	(12,582)	(13,371)
Cash dividends	(1,646)	(1,654)
Proceeds from long-term debt	2,000	
Repayment of long-term debt	(48,429)	(10,147)
Acquisition of treasury stock	(1,679)	(2, 2,
Treasury stock delivered under employee stock plans	1,045	372
Net increase in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	4,224	4,396
Provided by financing activities	(19,221)	(4,031)

INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	1	0,263	2,801
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	2	2,582	20,190
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 3	2,845	5 22,991
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest (credited and paid)	\$ 1	2,246	14,526
Income taxes		1,990	1,370
Non-Cash Activities during the period for:			
Foreclosed real estate acquired in settlement of loans	\$	126	3 138
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements			

Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Harleysville Savings Financial Corporation (the Company) and its wholly owned subsidiary, Harleysville Savings Bank (the Bank). The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank, and the Bank s wholly owned subsidiaries, HSB Inc, a Delaware corporation which was formed in order to hold certain assets, Freedom Financial LLC, a Pennsylvania limited liability Company that allows the Company to offer non deposit products, and HARL LLC, a limited liability Company that allows the Bank to invest in equity investments. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and therefore do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. However, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire fiscal year ending September 30, 2012 or any other period. The financial information should be read in conjunction with the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended September 30, 2011.

Use of Estimates in Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant of these estimates are the allowance for loan losses, the determination of other-than-temporary impairment on securities and the valuation of deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition date of June 30, 2012 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

page -6-

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2011-03 (*Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements*). The FASB has issued this Update to clarify the accounting principles applied to repurchase agreements, as set forth by FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. This update, entitled Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements, amends one of three criteria used to determine whether or not a transfer of assets may be treated as a sale by the transferor. Under Topic 860, the transferor may not maintain effective control over the transferred assets in order to qualify as a sale. This Update eliminates the criteria under which the transferor must retain collateral sufficient to repurchase or redeem the collateral on substantially agreed upon terms as a method of maintaining effective control. This Update is effective for both public and nonpublic entities for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 31, 2011, and requires prospective application to transactions or modifications of transactions which occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2011-04 (*Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*). This update amends FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, to bring U.S. GAAP for fair value measurements in line with International Accounting Standards. The Update clarifies existing guidance for items such as: the application of the highest and best use concept to non-financial assets and liabilities; the application of fair value measurement to financial instruments classified in a reporting entity—s stockholder—s equity; and disclosure requirements regarding quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements of level 3 assets. The Update also creates an exception to Topic 820 for entities which carry financial instruments within a portfolio or group, under which the entity is now permitted to base the price used for fair valuation upon a price that would be received to sell the net asset position or transfer a net liability position in an orderly transaction. The Update also allows for the application of premiums and discounts in a fair value measurement if the financial instrument is categorized in level 2 or 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Lastly, the ASU contains new disclosure requirements regarding fair value amounts categorized as level 3 in the fair value hierarchy such as: disclosure of the valuation process used; effects of and relationships between unobservable inputs; usage of nonfinancial assets for purposes other than their highest and best use when that is the basis of the disclosed fair value; and categorization by level of items disclosed at fair value, but not measured at fair value for financial statement purposes. For public entities, this Update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company—s consolidated financial statements.

page -7-

U.S. Government Agencies

Total Held to Maturity Securities

2. INVESTMENT AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair value of the Company s securities gross unrealized gains and losses, as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

Available for sale securities: (In Thousands) Equity securities Collateralized mortgage obligations	Amortized Cost \$ 330 785	June 30 Gross Unrealized Gains \$ 91 51	Gross Unrealized Losses \$ (63)	Fair Value \$ 358 836
U.S. Government money market funds	27,942			27,942
Total Available for Sale Securities	\$ 29,057	\$ 142	\$ (63)	\$ 29,136
	Amortized	Septembe Gross Unrealized	r 30, 2011 Gross Unrealized	Fair
(In Thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Equity securities	\$ 355	\$ 44	\$ (110)	\$ 289
Collateralized mortgage obligations	785	21		806
U.S. Government money market funds	17,420			17,420
Total Available for Sale Securities	\$ 18,560	\$ 65	\$ (110)	\$ 18,515
Held to maturity securities:	Amortized	June 30 Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Fair
(In Thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Mortgage-backed securities- U.S. Government Sponsored				
Enterprises (GSE s)	\$ 135,948	\$ 7,330	\$	\$ 143,278
Collateralized mortgage obligations	15,241	118	(12)	15,347
Municipal bonds	13,256	1,049		14,305

	September 30, 2011							
		Gross	Gross					
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair				
(In Thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value				
Mortgage-backed securities- U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises								
(GSE s)	\$ 124,576	\$ 7,855	\$	\$ 132,431				
Collateralized mortgage obligations	25,165	118	(33)	25,250				
Municipal bonds	16,022	814	(21)	16,815				
U.S. Government Agencies	65,993	146	(54)	66,085				

42,978

\$ 207,423

(59)

(71)

111

\$ 8,608

43,030

\$ 215,960

Total Held to Maturity Securities

\$ 231,756

\$ 8,933

\$ (108)

\$ 240,581

page -8-

All the Company s mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are secured by residential properties. At June 30, 2012, The Bank holds \$16.1 million in Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs) of which \$13.7 million are issued by Government Sponsored Enterprises and \$2.4 million are privately-issued. These private label securities are adequately rated.

A summary of securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by category, at June 30, 2012 is as follows:

	Less than 12 Months		nths	12 Months or Longer			Total			
								7	Γotal	
		Unr	ealized		Unr	ealized	Total	Uni	realized	
(In Thousands)	Fair Value	Lo	osses	Fair Value	Lo	osses	Fair Value	L	osses	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 4,173	\$	(4)	\$ 752	\$	(8)	\$ 4,925	\$	(12)	
Municipal bonds										
U.S. Government Agencies	13,929		(59)				13,929		(59)	
Subtotal debt securities	18,102		(63)	752		(8)	18,854		(71)	
Equity securities				149		(63)	149		(63)	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 18,102	\$	(63)	\$ 901	\$	(71)	\$ 19,003	\$	(134)	

At June 30, 2012, debt securities in a gross unrealized loss position consisted of 10 securities that at such date had an aggregate depreciation of 0.37% from the Company s amortized cost basis. Management believes that the estimated fair value of the securities disclosed above is primarily dependent upon the movement in market interest rates. Management evaluated the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities until maturity and the Company does not believe it will be required to sell such securities prior to the recovery of the amortized cost basis. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2012 represents an other-than-temporary impairment.

As of June 30, 2012, there were two equity securities in an unrealized loss position. Management evaluated the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer such as changes in technology that may impair the earnings potential of the investment or the discontinuance of a segment of the business that may effect the future earnings potential. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities until the anticipated recovery of fair value occurs. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss of June 30, 2012 represents an other-than-temporary impairment.

A summary of securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by category, at September 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Less than	12 Mo	onths	12 Month	s or Longer	To		
							T	otal
		Unre	Total	Unr	ealized			
(In Thousands)	Fair Value	Lo	osses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Lo	osses
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$ 11,830	\$	(33)	\$	\$	\$ 11,830	\$	(33)
Municipal bonds				1,517	(21)	1,517		(21)
U.S. Government Agencies	12,942		(54)			12,942		(54)
Subtotal debt securities	24,772		(87)	1,517	(21)	26,289		(108)
Equity Securities	45		(13)	114	(97)	159		(110)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 24,817	\$	(100)	\$ 1,631	\$ (118)	\$ 26,448	\$	(218)

page -9-

The following table sets forth the stated maturities of the investment and mortgage-backed securities at June 30, 2012. Money market funds and equity securities are not included in the table based on lack of maturity.

	June 30, 2012							
	Amortized							
(In Thousands)	Cost	Fair Value						
Available for sale:								
Due in one year or less	\$	\$						
Due after one year through five years								
Due after five years through ten years	785	836						
Due after ten years								
Total	\$ 785	\$ 836						
Held to maturity:								
Due in one year or less	\$ 65,775	\$ 66,210						
Due after one year through five years	108,604	115,085						
Due after five years through ten years	33,044	34,665						
Due after ten years								
Total	\$ 207,423	\$ 215,960						

Certain of the Company s investment securities, totaling \$18.2 million and \$8.9 million at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, were pledged as collateral to secure deposit sweep accounts and public deposits as required or permitted by law. Other securities, totaling \$52.4 million and \$52.8 million at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, were pledged for long-term advances of \$50 million as described in Note 8.

3. LOANS RECEIVABLE

Loans receivable consists of the following:

(In Thousands)	June 30, 2012	September 30, 20	011
Residential Mortgages	\$ 316,588	\$ 336,37	79
Construction	6,381	5,83	18
Home Equity	80,432	85,52	21
Commercial Mortgages	97,512	91,08	85
Commercial Business Loans	6,448	6,20	62
Consumer Non-Real Estate	1,133	1,13	36
Total	508,494	526,20	01
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	(2,956)	(3,40	01)
Deferred loan fees	(1,078)	(1,00	03)
Allowance for loan losses	(3,867)	(3,3)	11)
Loans Receivable - net	\$ 500,593	\$ 518,48	86

The total amount of loans being serviced for the benefit of others was approximately \$629,000 and \$1.7 million at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

page -10-

Table of Contents

The loans receivable portfolio is segmented into consumer and commercial loans. Consumer loans consist of the following classes: residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and consumer non-real estate loans. Commercial loans consist of the following classes: commercial mortgages and commercial business loans. For all classes of loans receivable, the accrual of interest is discontinued when the contractual payment of principal or interest has become 90 days past due or management has serious doubts about further collectability of principal or interest, even though the loan is currently performing. A loan may remain on accrual status if it is in the process of collection and is either guaranteed or well secured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, unpaid interest credited to income in the current year is reversed and unpaid interest accrued in prior years is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Interest received on nonaccrual loans including impaired loans generally is either applied against principal or reported as interest income, according to management s judgment as to the collectability of principal. Generally, loans are restored to accrual status when the obligation is brought current, has performed in accordance with the contractual terms for a reasonable period of time (generally nine months) and the ultimate collectability of the total contractual principal and interest is no longer in doubt. The past due status of all classes of loans receivable is determined based on contractual due dates for loan payments.

The allowance for loan losses represents management sestimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the principal balance of loans receivable are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on the Company s past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These significant factors may include changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in existing general economic and business conditions affecting our primary lending areas, credit quality trends, collateral value, loan volumes and concentrations, seasoning of the loan portfolio, recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, duration of the current business cycle and bank regulatory examination results. The applied loss factors are reevaluated quarterly to ensure their relevance in the current economic environment.

page -11-

Table of Contents

Residential mortgage lending generally entails a lower risk of default than other types of lending. Other consumer loans and commercial real estate loans generally involve more risk of collectability because of the type and nature of the collateral and, in certain cases, the absence of collateral. It is the Company s policy to establish specific reserves for losses on delinquent consumer loans and commercial loans when it determines that losses are probable.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management s estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of substantially all of the Company s impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan s collateral. Interest payments on impaired loans and non-accrual loans are applied to principal unless the ability to collect the principal amount is fully secured, in which case interest is recognized on the cash basis.

For residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the property. Appraised values are discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial business loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower s financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging or equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments, for commercial and consumer loans. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans criticized special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management is close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Loan not classified are rated pass.

page -12-

In addition, Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management s comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company s internal risk rating system as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

		G.		June 30, 2012								
(In Thousands)	Pass		ecial ntion	cial ition Substanc		Do	ubtful	Total				
Residential Mortgages	\$ 311,342	\$	71	\$	4,343	\$	832	\$ 316,588				
Construction	6,381							6,381				
Home Equity	80,186				246			80,432				
Commercial Mortgages	91,110				6,402			97,512				
Commercial Business Loans	6,448							6,448				
Consumer Non-Real Estate	1,133							1,133				
	·							,				
Total	\$ 496,600	\$	71	\$	10,991	\$	832	\$ 508,494				

	September 30, 2011									
		Special								
(In Thousands)	Pass Mention Substance		tandard	Do	ubtful	Total				
Residential Mortgages	\$ 333,243	\$ 77	\$	2,417	\$	642	\$ 336,379			
Construction	5,818						5,818			
Home Equity	85,285	50		186			85,521			
Commercial Mortgages	80,615	10,343				127	91,085			
Commercial Business Loans	6,162	100					6,262			
Consumer Non-Real Estate	1,136						1,136			
Total	\$ 512,259	\$ 10,570	\$	2,603	\$	769	\$ 526,201			

page -13-

The following table summarizes information in regards to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	June 30, 2012 Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
\$ 1,546	\$ 1,555	\$	\$ 1,564	\$ 45
60	94		60	2
1,986	1,986		1,974	
			3	
\$ 3,629	\$ 3,826	\$ (488)	\$ 3,451	\$ 10
186	186	(131)	221	2
4,416	4,416	(735)	4,416	61
\$ 5,175	\$ 5,381	\$ (488)	\$ 5,015	\$ 55
246	280	(131)	281	4
6,402	6,402	(735)	6,390	61
			3	
	\$ 1,546 60 1,986 \$ 3,629 186 4,416 \$ 5,175	Recorded Investment Principal Balance \$ 1,546 \$ 1,555 60 94 1,986 1,986 \$ 3,629 \$ 3,826 186 186 4,416 4,416 \$ 5,175 \$ 5,381 246 280	Recorded Investment Unpaid Principal Balance Related Allowance \$ 1,546 \$ 1,555 \$ 60 94 1,986 1,986 \$ 3,629 \$ 3,826 \$ (488) 186 186 (131) 4,416 (735) \$ 5,175 \$ 5,381 \$ (488) 246 280 (131)	Recorded Investment Principal Balance Related Allowance Recorded Investment \$ 1,546 \$ 1,555 \$ 1,564 60 94 60 1,986 1,986 1,974 3 3 \$ 3,629 \$ 3,826 \$ (488) \$ 3,451 186 186 (131) 221 4,416 4,416 (735) 4,416 \$ 5,175 \$ 5,381 \$ (488) \$ 5,015 246 280 (131) 281 6,402 6,402 (735) 6,390

page -14-

	September 30, 2011										
	Recorded	Unpaid	Related	Average Recorded	Intonoc	t Income					
(In Thousands)	Investment	Principal Balance	Allowance	Investment		gnized					
With no related allowance recorded:	mvestment	Bulance	7 tho wance	mvestment	Rece	gilized					
Residential Mortgages	\$ 1,526	\$ 1,530	\$	\$ 1,541	\$	87					
Construction	ψ 1,520	Ψ 1,550	Ψ	ψ 1,511	Ψ	07					
Home Equity	77	77		77							
Commercial Mortgages	127	232		194							
Commercial Business Loans	127	232		174							
Consumer Non-Real Estate				5							
Consumer Non-Real Estate				3							
With an allowance recorded:											
Residential Mortgages	\$ 1,533	\$ 1,632	\$ (198)	\$ 1,639	\$	28					
Construction											
Home Equity	109	109	(79)	111		2					
Commercial Mortgages			,								
Commercial Business Loans											
Consumer Non-Real Estate											
Total:	A 2 2 2 2	A 2 1 6 2	Φ (100)	ф. 2.1 00	Φ.						
Residential Mortgages	\$ 3,059	\$ 3,162	\$ (198)	\$ 3,180	\$	115					
Construction											
Home Equity	186	186	(79)	188		2					
Commercial Mortgages	127	232		194							
Commercial Business Loans											
Consumer Non-Real Estate				5							

page -15-

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by the analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

			Jur	ne 30, 2012		
	30-89 Days Pa	Greater ast than 90	Total	Total Loans	Loans Receivable > 90 Days and	
(In Thousands)	Due	Days	Past Due	Current	Receivables	Accruing
Residential Mortgages	\$ 7,970	\$ 3,635	\$ 11,605	\$ 304,983	\$ 316,588	\$
Construction				6,381	6,381	
Home Equity	309	53	362	80,070	80,432	
Commercial Mortgages		3,684	3,684	93,828	97,512	
Commercial Business Loans				6,448	6,448	
Consumer Non-Real Estate	58	2	60	1,073	1,133	
Total	\$ 8,337	\$ 7,374	\$ 15,711	\$ 492,783	\$ 508,494	\$

			Septer	mber 30, 2011						
						Loans				
						Receivable > 90 Days				
Greater										
30-89 Days Past than 90 Total Total Loans										
(In Thousands)	Due	Days	Past Due	Current	Receivables	Accruing				
Residential Mortgages	\$ 1,719	\$ 2,771	\$ 4,490	\$ 331,889	\$ 336,379	\$				
Construction				5,818	5,818					
Home Equity	467	100	567	84,954	85,521	15				
Commercial Mortgages	4,180	127	4,307	86,778	91,085					
Commercial Business Loans				6,262	6,262					
Consumer Non-Real Estate		4	4	1,132	1,136					
Total	\$ 6,366	\$ 3,002	\$ 9,368	\$ 516,833	\$ 526,201	\$ 15				

page -16-

The following table provides the activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for three and nine-months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The balance in the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment method by loan class along with the balance of loans receivable by class disaggregated on the basis of the Company s impairment methodology.

Allowance for Loan Loss:

	 sidential ortgages	Cons	truction	Home Equity	 mmercial ortgages	Bu	nmercial Isiness Joans	Non	sumer -Real tate	llocated	Totals
Three Months ended June 30, 2012:											
Beginning Balance, April 1, 2012	\$ 1,022	\$	38	\$ 486	\$ 1,746	\$	226	\$	7	\$ 284	\$ 3,809
Charge-offs	(161)								(36)		(197)
Recoveries											
Provisions	439		(28)	56	(94)		(133)		37	(22)	255
Ending balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 1,300	\$	10	\$ 542	\$ 1,652	\$	93	\$	8	\$ 262	\$ 3,867

page -17-

					Commercial Consumer											
		idential	~			ome		mmercial		usiness		n-Real				
	Mo	rtgages	Con	struction	Е	quity	M	ortgages	J	Loans	Ŀ	Estate U	Jnal	llocate	d	Totals
Nine Months ended June 30, 2012:																
Beginning Balance, October 1, 2011	\$	871	\$	33	\$	431	\$	1,516	\$	214	\$	5	\$	241	\$	3,311
Charge-offs		(190)										(19)				(209)
Recoveries												5				5
Provisions		619		(23)		111		136		(121)		18		20		760
Ending balance, June 30, 2012	\$	1,300	\$	10	\$	542	\$	1,652	\$	93	\$	9	\$	261	\$	3,867
Ending balance:																
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	488	\$		\$	131	\$	735	\$		\$		\$		\$	1,354
Ending balance:																
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	812	\$	10	\$	411	\$	917	\$	93	\$	9	\$	261	\$	2,513
Loans:																
Ending balance:	\$ 3	16,588	\$	6,381	\$8	0,432	\$	97,512	\$	6,448	\$	1,133	\$		\$:	508,494
Ending balance:																
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	5,175	\$		\$	246	\$	6,402	\$		\$		\$		\$	11,823
Ending balance:																
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 3	11,413	\$	6,381	\$8	0,186	\$	91,110	\$	6,448	\$	1,133	\$		\$ 4	496,671

page -18-

Table of Contents

	idential rtgages	Construction		Home Equity	Commercial Mortgages		Commercial Business Loans		ess Non-		Unallocated		Totals
Three Months ended June 30, 2011:													
Beginning Balance, April 1, 2011	\$ 992	\$	9	\$ 500	\$	960	\$	117	\$	10	\$	207	\$ 2,795
Charge-offs	(199)					(105)				(6)			(310)
Recoveries										2			2
Provisions	87		1	(59)		43		27		(1)		67	165
Ending balance, June 30, 2011	\$ 880	\$	10	\$ 441	\$	898	\$	144	\$	5	\$	274	\$ 2,652

page -19-

Table of Contents

		dential tgages	Con	struction		Iome quity	 mmercial ortgages	В	nmercial usiness Loans	No	onsumer on-Real Estate	Unal	located	7	Γotals
Nine Months ended June 30, 2011:															
Beginning Balance, October 1, 2011	\$	856	\$	35	\$	395	\$ 801	\$	234	\$	14	\$	169	\$	2,504
Charge-offs		(224)					(105)				(18)				(347)
Recoveries											5				5
Provisions		248		(25)		46	202		(90)		4		105		490
Ending balance, June 30, 2011	\$	880	\$	10	\$	441	\$ 898	\$	144	\$	5	\$	274	\$	2,652
Ending balance:															
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	196	\$		\$	89	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$	285
Ending balance: Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	684	\$	10	\$	352	\$ 898	\$	144	\$	5	\$	274	\$	2,367
Loans:															
Ending balance:	\$ 33	86,426	\$	6,883	\$8	5,276	\$ 82,909	\$	7,274	\$	1,218	\$		\$ 5	19,986
Ending balance:															
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	3,006	\$		\$	154	\$ 127	\$		\$		\$		\$	3,287
Ending balance:															
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 33	3,420	\$	6,883	\$8	5,122	\$ 82,782	\$	7,274	\$	1,218	\$		\$ 5	16,699

page -20-

Table of Contents

A troubled debt restructuring (TDR) is a formal restructure of a loan when the lender, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower. The concessions may be granted in various forms, including reduction in the stated interest rate, reduction in the loan balance or accrued interest, or extension of the maturity date.

Troubled debt restructurings are comprised of three loans totaling \$4.7 million at June 30, 2012, compared to no loans restructured at September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes information in regards to troubled debt restructurings for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Number of Contracts	Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investments	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investments		
Troubled Debt Restructurings					
Commercial real estate	3	\$ 4,632	\$ 4,691		

There were no troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

As indicated in the table above, the Company modified three commercial real estate loans during the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a result of the modified terms of the new loans, the Company extended the maturity on one of the three modified loans and modified the interest rate on the remaining two modified loans. The effective interest rate of the new terms of the modified loans was reduced when compared to the weighted average interest rate of the original terms of the modified loans. The borrower has remained current since the modification.

page -21-

The following table presents nonaccrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011:

(In Thousands)	June 30, 2012	ember 30, 2011
Residential Mortgages	\$ 3,635	\$ 3,059
Construction		
Home Equity	53	171
Commercial Mortgages	3,684	127
Commercial Business Loans		
Consumer Non-Real Estate	2	
Total	\$ 7,374	\$ 3,357

4. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK STOCK

Federal law requires a member institution of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) to hold stock of its district FHLB according to a predetermined formula. The restricted stock is carried at cost. In December 2008, the FHLB of Pittsburgh notified member banks that it was suspending dividend payments and the repurchase of capital stock. During 2011 and 2012, the FHLB allowed certain redemptions. In February 2012, the FHLB of Pittsburgh reinstated the stock dividend at a rate of 0.10%.

Management s determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the FHLB.

Management believes no impairment charge is necessary related to the FHLB restricted stock as of June 30, 2012.

page -22-

5. DEPOSITS

Deposits are summarized as follows:

	(In Thousands)				
	June 30,	September 30,			
	2012	2011			
Non-interest bearing checking accounts	\$ 26,399	\$ 20,836			
Now accounts	39,031	30,175			
Interest bearing checking accounts	41,232	39,135			
Money market deposit accounts	155,418	134,611			
Passbook and club accounts	4,717	4,194			
Certificate of deposits	282,868	295,450			
Total Deposits	\$ 549,665	\$ 524,401			

The aggregate amount of certificate accounts in denominations of \$100,000 or more at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 amounted to approximately \$56.9 million and \$61.5 million, respectively.

6. COMMITMENTS

At June 30, 2012, the following commitments were outstanding:

	(In T	housands)
Letters of credit	\$	203
Commitments to originate loans		9,248
Unused portion of home equity lines of credits		55,840
Unused portion of commercial lines of credits		7,055
Undisbursed portion of construction loans in process		2,624
Total	\$	74.970

Outstanding letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The majority of these standby letters of credit expire within the next twelve months. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending other loan commitments. The Company requires collateral supporting these letters of credit as deemed necessary. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of such collateral would be sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments required under the corresponding guarantees. The current amount of the liability as of June 30, 2012 for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued is not material.

page -23-

7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following shares were used for the computation of earnings per share:

	For the Three M June		For the Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Basic	3,736,462	3,737,439	3,746,038	3,717,906	
Diluted	3,823,689	3,771,917	3,801,159	3,752,059	

The difference between the number of shares used for computation of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share represents the dilutive effect of stock options and unvested restricted stock grants. There were 168,334 stock options and 5,283 unvested restricted stock grants that were anti-dilutive for the three months and nine months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. There were 230,820 stock options and 2,822 unvested restricted stock grants that were anti-dilutive for the three month and nine months periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

Advances consists of the following:

	June 3 2012	September 30, 2011		
Maturing Period	Amount	(In Thou Weighted Interest Rate	Amount	Weighted Interest Rate
1 to 12 months	\$ 26,139	3.80%	\$ 53,029	4.50%
13 to 24 months	41,663	4.29%	32,778	4.07%
25 to 36 months	2,115	3.58%	27,563	4.13%
37 to 48 months	20,000	4.13%	17,656	3.84%
49 to 60 months	30,000	4.86%	10,000	4.71%
61 to 72 months	68,848	3.98%	45,000	4.52%
73 to 84 months	15,000	3.93%	64,168	4.38%
Total	\$ 203,765	4.16%	\$ 250,194	4.34%

Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances are collateralized by Federal Home Loan Bank stock and substantially all first mortgage loans. The Company has a line of credit with the FHLB of which \$0 out of \$75.0 million was used at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. Included in the table above at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are convertible advances whereby the FHLB has the option at a predetermined strike rate to convert the fixed interest rate to an adjustable rate tied to London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The Company then has the option to repay these advances if the FHLB converts the interest rate. These advances are included in the periods in which they mature. The Company has a total FHLB borrowing capacity of \$329.1 million of which \$153.8 million was used as of June 30, 2012. In addition, there are four long-term advances from other financial institutions totaling \$50 million that are secured by investment and mortgage-backed securities.

page -24-

9. REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory—and possibly additional discretionary—actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company—s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank—s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank—s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to assets (as defined). Management believes, as of June 30, 2012, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of June 30, 2012, the most recent notification from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation categorized the Company and Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

The Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are also presented in the table below. The Company s capital ratios are not significantly different than the Bank s disclosed below.

	Actu	al	For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Consid Capitalized Prompt Co Action Pro	d Under orrective
(Dollars in Thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
At June 30, 2012						
Tier 1 Capital (to assets)	\$ 58,791	7.13%	\$ 32,991	4.00%	\$ 41,238	5.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	58,791	12.47%	18,852	4.00%	28,278	6.00%
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	62,671	13.30%	37,704	8.00%	47,129	10.00%
At September 30, 2011						
Tier 1 Capital (to assets)	\$ 57,055	6.77%	\$ 33,722	4.00%	\$ 42,153	5.00%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	57,055	12.23%	18,662	4.00%	27,993	6.00%
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets) 10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES	60,366	12.94%	37,325	8.00%	46,656	10.00%

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with accounting guidance, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company s various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

page -25-

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is determined at a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

The guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).

An asset s or liability s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

Description (In Thousands)	June 30, 2012	(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
Available for sale securities: (1)				
Equity securities	\$ 358	\$ 358	\$	\$
U.S. Government money market funds	27,942	27,942		
Collateralized mortgage obligations	836		836	
Total	\$ 29,136	\$ 28,300	\$ 836	\$

Description (In Thousands)	ember 30, 2011	Quote A M for 1	evel 1) d Prices in Active larkets Identical Assets	Signific Obse	evel 2) cant Other ervable aputs	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
Available for sale securities: (1)						
Equity securities	\$ 289	\$	289	\$		\$
U.S. Government money market funds	17,420		17,420			
Collateralized mortgage obligations	806				806	
Total	\$ 18,515	\$	17,709	\$	806	\$

(1) Securities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, generally monthly.

page -26-

There were no transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

Description (In Thousands)	June 30 2012	(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets), for Identical Assets	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
Impaired Loans	\$ 6,87	7 \$	\$	\$ 6,877
Totals	\$ 6,87	7 \$	\$	\$ 6,877
Description (In Thousands)	September 30, 2011	(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs	(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs
Impaired Loans	\$ 1,365	\$	\$	\$ 1,365
Foreclosed Real Estate	196			196
Totals	\$ 1,561	\$	\$	\$ 1,565

The following valuation techniques were used to measure fair value of the Company s financial instruments in the tables above and below:

Cash and Cash Equivalents (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents approximate those assets fair values.

Securities

The fair value of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Loans Receivable (Carried at Cost)

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Due to the significant judgment involved in evaluating credit quality, loans are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

page -27-

Table of Contents

Impaired loans are those loans which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan s collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.

Foreclosed real estate is real estate owned and is carried at the lower of the investment in the assets or the fair value of the assets less estimated selling costs. The use of independent appraisals and management s best judgment are significant inputs in arriving at the fair value measure of the underlying collateral and therefore foreclosed real estate are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of this restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable (Carried at Cost)

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

Deposit Liabilities (Carried at Cost)

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, passbook savings and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of a aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Borrowings (Carried at Cost)

Fair values of borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments (Disclosed at Cost)

Fair values for the Company s off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. The fair value of these off-balance sheet financial instruments are not considered material as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessarily required to interpret the data to develop the estimates.

page -28-

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments as of June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows.

June 30, 2012 **Quoted Prices in** Active Significant Markets Other Significant For **Estimated** Identical Unobservable Unobservable Fair Assets Inputs Inputs Carrying (In Thousands) Amount Value (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) Assets: \$ Cash and cash equivalents \$ 32,846 \$ 32,846 \$ 32,846 \$ Securities held to maturity 207,423 215,960 215,960 Securities available-for-sale 29,136 29,136 28,300 836 Loans receivable - net 500,593 527,150 527,150 Federal Home Loan Bank stock 11,240 11,240 11,240 Accrued interest receivable 2,595 2,595 2,595 Liabilities: Checking, passbook, club and NOW deposit accounts 111,379 111,379 111,379 Money Market deposit accounts 155,418 155,418 155,418 Certificate of deposit accounts 282,868 292,010 292,010 Borrowings 203,765 259,606 259,606

946

Off balance sheet financial instruments

Accrued interest payable

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2011

946

946

Fair Value Measurements at

		Quoted Prices in Active		
	Estimated	Markets For Identical	Significant Other Unobservable	Significant Unobservable
Carrying	Fair	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
Amount	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
\$ 22,582	\$ 22,582	\$ 22,582	\$	\$
231,756	240,581		240,581	
18,515	18,515	17,709	806	
518,486	543,732			543,732
13,110	13,110	13,110		
2,847	2,847	2,847		
94,340	94,340	93,340		
134,611	134,611	134,611		
295,450	303,290		303,290	
250,194	276,735		276,735	
1.315	1,315	1,315		
	\$ 22,582 231,756 18,515 518,486 13,110 2,847 94,340 134,611 295,450	Carrying Amount Fair Value \$ 22,582 \$ 22,582 231,756 240,581 18,515 18,515 518,486 543,732 13,110 13,110 2,847 2,847 94,340 94,340 134,611 134,611 295,450 303,290 250,194 276,735	Active Markets For Estimated Identical Carrying Fair Assets (Level 1) \$ 22,582 \$ 22,582 \$ 22,582 231,756 240,581 18,515 18,515 17,709 518,486 543,732 13,110 13,110 13,110 2,847 2,847 2,847 94,340 94,340 93,340 134,611 134,611 295,450 303,290 250,194 276,735	Active Markets For Other Unobservable Carrying Amount Carrying Amount Carrying Fair Assets (Level 1) \$ 22,582 \$ 22,582 \$ 22,582 \$ 10,581 \$ 240,581 \$ 240,581 \$ 240,581 \$ 240,581 \$ 18,515 \$ 18,515 \$ 17,709 \$ 806 \$ 518,486 \$ 543,732 \$ 13,110 \$ 13,110 \$ 13,110 \$ 2,847 \$

Off balance sheet financial instruments

page -29-

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on many judgments. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments include deferred income taxes and premises and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been and have not been considered in the estimate.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains certain forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that are based on the beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. In addition, in those and other portions of this document, the words anticipate, believe, estimate, intend, should and similar expressions, or the negative thereof, as they relate to the Company or the Company s management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current views of the Company with respect to future-looking events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein as anticipated, believed, estimated, expected or intended. The Company does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

The Company s business consists of attracting deposits from the general public through a variety of deposit programs and investing such deposits principally in first mortgage loans secured by residential properties, commercial loans and commercial lines of credit in the Company s primary market area. The Company also originates a variety of consumer loans, predominately home equity loans and lines of credit also secured by residential properties in the Company s primary lending area. The Company serves its customers through its full-service branch network as well as through remote ATM locations, the internet and telephone banking.

Critical Accounting Policies and Judgments

The Company s consolidated financial statements are prepared based on the application of certain accounting policies. Certain of these policies require numerous estimates and strategic or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or subject to variations and may significantly affect the Company s reported results and financial position for the period or in future periods. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions, or estimates in any of these areas could have a material impact on the Company s future financial condition and results of operations. The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements: allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary security impairment and deferred income taxes.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Loan Losses The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The Company evaluates the need to establish allowances against losses on loans on a monthly basis. When additional allowances are necessary, a provision for loan losses is charged to earnings.

page -30-

Table of Contents

Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses consists of three key elements: (1) specific allowances for certain impaired loans; (2) a general valuation allowance on certain identified problem loans; and (3) a general valuation allowance on the remainder of the loan portfolio. Although we determine the amount of each element of the allowance separately, the entire allowance for loan losses is available for the entire portfolio.

Specific Allowance Required for Certain Impaired Loans: We establish an allowance for certain impaired loans for the amounts by which the collateral value, present value of future cash flows or observable market price are lower than the carrying value of the loan. Under current accounting guidelines, a loan is defined as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

General Valuation Allowance on Certain Identified Problem Loans We also establish a general allowance for classified loans that do not have an individual allowance. We segregate these loans by loan category and assign allowance percentages to each category based on inherent losses associated with each type of lending and consideration that these loans, in the aggregate, represent an above-average credit risk and that more of these loans will prove to be uncollectible compared to loans in the general portfolio.

General Valuation Allowance on the Remainder of the Loan Portfolio We establish another general allowance for loans that are not classified to recognize the inherent losses associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, has not been allocated to particular problem assets. This general valuation allowance is determined by segregating the loans by loan category and assigning allowance percentages based on our historical loss experience, delinquency trends and management s evaluation of the collectibility of the loan portfolio. The allowance may be adjusted for significant factors that, in management s judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. These significant factors may include changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in existing general economic and business conditions affecting our primary lending areas, credit quality trends, collateral value, loan volumes and concentrations, seasoning of the loan portfolio, recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, duration of the current business cycle and bank regulatory examination results. The applied loss factors are reevaluated quarterly to ensure their relevance in the current economic environment.

Other-than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

Securities are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other-than-temporary. Management utilizes criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, in addition to the reasons underlying the decline, to determine whether the loss in value is other-than-temporary. The term other-than-temporary is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment.

Deferred Tax Assets

In evaluating our ability to recover deferred tax assets, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including our past operating results and our forecast of future taxable income. In determining future taxable income, management makes assumptions for the amount of taxable income, the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require us to make judgments about our future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates we use to manage our business. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. An increase in the valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period and could have a significant impact on our future earnings.

page -31-

Changes in Financial Position for the Nine-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012

Total assets at June 30, 2012 were \$822.7 million, a decrease of \$13.0 million or 1.6% for the nine-month period then ended. This was primarily due to decreases in loans receivable of \$17.9 million, investments held to maturity of \$24.3 million, and repurchase of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock of \$1.9 million. The decrease was partially offset by the growth in cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$10.3 million, investments available for sale of \$10.6 million, and prepaid expense and other assets of \$11.0 million. The increase in other assets of \$11.0 million was due to the set up of a receivable for the non-receipt of \$10.0 million in called securities over month end.

Total deposits increased \$25.3 million to \$549.7 million or 4.8% during the nine-month period ended June 30, 2012. Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance also increased by \$4.2 million or 308.8% due to the timing of property tax payments. The increases were primarily offset by a decrease in borrowings of \$46.4 million or 18.6% due to normal repayments for the period.

Comparisons of Results of Operations for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012 with the Three-Month and Nine-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

Net Income

Net income for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.3 million compared to \$2.0 million for the comparable period in 2011. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three-month period ending June 30, 2012 was \$0.35 and \$0.34 compared to \$0.53 and \$0.53 for the 2011 period. Net income for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.9 million compared to \$4.3 million for the comparable period in 2011. There was a onetime Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI), net death benefit claim, of \$927,000 (net of \$115,000 state income taxes), or \$0.24 per diluted share due to the death of an officer during the comparable period in 2011. Basic and diluted earnings per share for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.04 and \$1.03 compared to \$1.16 and \$1.15 for the comparable period in 2011.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$4.9 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$4.8 million for the comparable period in 2011. Net interest income increased to \$14.7 million for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$13.7 million for the nine-month period in 2011. Although our average balance of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities decreased our average interest rate spread increased from 2.03% to 2.26% in the respective nine-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2012 resulting in an overall increase of \$1.0 million in net interest income when comparing the third quarter 2012 with the same period in 2011.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income decreased to \$598,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$1.5 million for the comparable period in 2011. Non-interest income decreased to \$1.6 million for the nine-months ended June 30, 2012 from \$2.5 million for the comparable period in 2011. The decreases are primarily due to a onetime Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) benefit of \$1.0 million in 2011. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in other income of \$159,000 due to ATM fees, growth in commercial loans, NSF fees and a gain on the sale of a REO property.

page -32-

Non-Interest Expenses

For the three-month period ended June 30, 2012, non-interest expenses decreased by \$1,000 or 0.03% to \$3.4 million compared to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2011. For the nine month period ended June 30, 2012, non-interest expenses increased by \$207,000 or 2.1% to \$10.1 million compared to \$9.9 million for the same period in 2011. Salary and employee benefits increased \$22,000 to \$2.0 million and \$279,000 to \$5.8 million for the three and nine month period ended June 30, 2012. These increases are primarily due to normal salary increases and additional employee benefits expenses. Occupancy and equipment increased \$26,000 and \$19,000 for the three and nine month period ended June 2012. FDIC insurance expense decreased to \$140,000 and \$410,000 for the three and nine month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$234,000 and \$724,000 for the same periods in 2011 due to the new deposit insurance assessment calculation using assets and tier one capital. Other expenses increased by \$59,000 and \$190,000 for the three and nine month period ended June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the growth in commercial loans, ATM processing, advertising expenses, and Reo expenses. The annualized ratio of non-interest expenses to average assets for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 1.63%, 1.64% and 1.54%, 1.58%, respectively.

Income Taxes

The Company made provisions for income taxes of \$555,000 and \$1.6 million for the three-month and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$739,000 and \$1.5 million for the comparable periods in 2011. These provisions are based on the levels of pre-tax income, adjusted primarily for tax-exempt interest income on investments.

Liquidity and Capital Recourses

For a financial institution, liquidity is a measure of the ability to fund customers needs for loans and deposit withdrawals. The Bank regularly evaluates economic conditions in order to maintain a strong liquidity position. One of the most significant factors considered by management when evaluating liquidity requirements is the stability of the Bank s core deposit base. In addition to cash, the Bank maintains a portfolio of short-term investments to meet its liquidity requirements.

The Company also relies upon cash flow from operations and other financing activities, generally short-term and long-term debt. Liquidity is also provided by investing activities including the repayment and maturity of loans and investment securities as well as the management of asset sales when considered necessary. The Bank also has access to and sufficient assets to secure lines of credit and other borrowings in amounts adequate to fund any unexpected cash requirements.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$75.0 million in commitments to fund loan originations, disburse loans in process and meet other obligations. Management anticipates that the majority of these commitments will be funded within the next nine months by means of normal cash flows and new deposits.

The Company invests excess funds in overnight deposits and other short-term interest-earning assets, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements. The Company also has available borrowings with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh up to the Company s maximum borrowing capacity, which was \$329.1 million at June 30, 2012 of which \$153.8 million was outstanding at June 30, 2012.

The Bank s net income for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.9 million compared to \$4.3 million for the comparable period in 2011. This increased the Bank s stockholder s equity to \$58.9 million or 7.16% of total assets. This amount is well in excess of the Bank s minimum regulatory capital requirement.

page -33-

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company has instituted programs designed to decrease the sensitivity of its earnings to material and prolonged increases in interest rates. The principal determinant of the exposure of the Company s earnings to interest rate risk is the timing difference between the repricing or maturity of the Company s interest-earning assets and the repricing or maturity of its interest-bearing liabilities. If the maturities of such assets and liabilities were perfectly matched, and if the interest rates borne by its assets and liabilities were equally flexible and moved concurrently, neither of which is the case, the impact on net interest income of rapid increases or decreases in interest rates would be minimized. The Company s asset and liability management policies seek to decrease the interest rate sensitivity by shortening the repricing intervals and the maturities of the Company s interest-earning assets. Although management of the Company believes that the steps taken have reduced the Company s overall vulnerability to increases in interest rates, the Company remains vulnerable to material and prolonged increases in interest rates during periods in which its interest rate sensitive liabilities exceed its interest rate sensitive assets. The authority and responsibility for interest rate management is vested in the Company s Board of Directors. The Chief Executive Officer implements the Board of Directors policies during the day-to-day operations of the Company.

Each month, the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) presents the Board of Directors with a report, which outlines the Company s asset and liability gap position in various time periods. The gap is the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities which mature or reprice over a given time period.

The CFO also meets weekly with the Company s other senior officers to review and establish policies and strategies designed to regulate the Company s flow of funds and coordinate the sources, uses and pricing of such funds. The first priority in structuring and pricing the Company s assets and liabilities is to maintain an acceptable interest rate spread while reducing the effects of changes in interest rates and maintaining the quality of the Company s assets.

The following table summarizes the amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding as of June 30, 2012, which are expected to mature, prepay or reprice in each of the future time periods shown. Except as stated below, the amounts of assets or liabilities shown which mature or reprice during a particular period were determined in accordance with the contractual terms of the asset or liability. Adjustable and floating-rate assets are included in the period in which interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in the period in which they are due and fixed-rate loans and mortgage-backed securities are included in the periods in which they are anticipated to be repaid.

The passbook accounts, negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts, interest bearing accounts, and money market deposit accounts, are included in the Over 5 Years categories based on management s beliefs that these funds are core deposits having significantly longer effective maturities based on the Company s retention of such deposits in changing interest rate environments.

Generally, during a period of rising interest rates, a positive gap would result in an increase in net interest income while a negative gap would adversely affect net interest income. Conversely, during a period of falling interest rates, a positive gap would result in a decrease in net interest income while a negative gap would positively affect net interest income. However, the following table does not necessarily indicate the impact of general interest rate movements on the Company s net interest income because the repricing of certain categories of assets and liabilities is discretionary and is subject to competitive and other pressures. As a result, certain assets and liabilities indicated as repricing within a stated period may in fact reprice at different rate levels.

page -34-

Table of Contents					
	1 Year	1 to 3	3 to 5	Over 5	
(In Thousands)	or less	Years	Years	Years	Total
Interest-earning assets:	Ф. 02 525	Ф. 07.010	ф. 55 000	Φ 07.050	Ф 222 0 60
Mortgage loans	\$ 82,727	\$ 87,312	\$ 55,880	\$ 97,050	\$ 322,969
Commercial loans	52,134	18,658	16,729	16,439	103,960
Mortgage-backed securities	56,370	48,784	23,904	22,967	152,025
Consumer and other loans	70,742	8,284	1,906	633	81,565
Investment securities and other investments	89,078	13,422	13,695	6,711	122,906
Total interest-earning assets	351,051	176,460	112,114	143,800	783,425
Interest-bearing liabilities:					
Passbook and Club accounts	453			4,264	4,717
NOW and checking accounts	8,026			72,237	80,263
Consumer Money Market Deposit accounts	59,708			67,795	127,503
Business Money Market Deposit accounts	21,078			6,837	27,915
Certificate accounts	114,811	115,877	52,180		282,868
Borrowed money	28,563	42,733	51,019	81,450	203,765
Total interest-bearing liabilities	232,639	158,610	103,199	232,583	727,031
Repricing GAP during the period	\$ 118,412	\$ 17,850	\$ 8,915	\$ (88,783)	\$ 56,394
Cumulative GAP	\$ 118,412	\$ 136,262	\$ 145,177	\$ 56,394	
Ratio of GAP during the period to total assets	14.39%	2.17%	1.08%	-10.79%	
Ratio of cumulative GAP to total assets	14.39%	16.56%	17.65%	6.85%	

page -35-

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and regulations and are operating in an effective manner.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. It is the opinion of management that these matters will not materially affect the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not applicable.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) Not applicable.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company of shares of common stock of the Company during the third quarter of fiscal 2012.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
April 1-30, 2012				50,492
May 1-31, 2012				50,492
June 1-30, 2012				50,492
Total		\$		50,492

(1) On June 30, 2008, the Company announced its current program to repurchase up to 5.0% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company, or 196,000 shares. The program does not have an expiration date and all shares are purchased in the open market.

page -36-

Table of Contents

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other information.

Not applicable.

Item 6.	Exhibits
No.	Descriptions
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Operating and Financial Officer
22.0	Section 1250 Cartification of Chief Evacutive Officer and Chief Operating and Financial Officer

32.0 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating and Financial Officer The following Exhibits are being furnished* as part of this report:

No.	Description
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.*

^{*} These interactive data files are being furnished as part of this Quarterly Report, and, in accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

page -37-

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HARLEYSVILLE SAVINGS FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: August 10, 2012 By: /s/ Ronald B. Geib

Ronald B. Geib Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 10, 2012 By: /s/ Brendan J. McGill

Brendan J. McGill

Executive Vice President

Chief Operating and Financial Officer

page -38-