KILROY REALTY CORP Form 10-K February 12, 2009 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

(MARK ONE)

# x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

# " TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-12675

# **KILROY REALTY CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction

of incorporation or organization)

95-4598246 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

90064

(Zip Code)

12200 W. Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200

Los Angeles, California 90 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (310) 481-8400

Table of Contents

#### Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, \$.01 par value 7.80% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value 7.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable

New York Stock Exchange

#### Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

x Large accelerated filer	" Accelerated filer	" Non-accelerated filer		<sup></sup> Smaller reporting company
		(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)		
Indicate by check mark whether	the registrant is a shell com	pany (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).	Yes "	No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1,535,639,832 based on the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for such shares on June 30, 2008.

As of February 12, 2009, 33,054,353 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company s Proxy Statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the registrant s fiscal year are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Item 1.	PART I Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	6
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	16
Item 2.	Properties	17
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
	PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	28
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	63
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	64
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	64
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	64
Item 9B.	Other Information	67
	PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	67
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	67
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	67
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	67
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	67
	PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	68
	SIGNATURES provise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise all references in this report to we us our or the Con	75

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to we, us, our or the Company mean Kilroy Realty Corporation, including our consolidated subsidiaries.

#### PART I

This document contains certain forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the 1933 Act ), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act )). These statements relate to, among other things, our future results of operations, cash available for distribution, property acquisitions, level of future property dispositions, ability to timely lease or re-lease space at current or anticipated rents, ability to complete current and future development or redevelopment properties within budget and on schedule, sources of growth, planned development and expansion of owned or leased property, capital requirements, compliance with contractual obligations and federal, state and local regulations, conditions of properties, environmental findings and general business, industry and economic conditions applicable to us. These statements are based largely on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date this annual report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ).

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS The Company

We are a real estate investment trust, or REIT, which owns, operates, develops, and acquires Class A suburban office and industrial real estate in key submarkets in Southern California, which we believe have strategic advantages and strong barriers to entry.

As of December 31, 2008, our stabilized portfolio of operating properties was comprised of 92 office buildings (the Office Properties ) and 42 industrial buildings (the Industrial Properties ), which encompassed an aggregate of approximately 8.7 million and 3.7 million rentable square feet, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the Office Properties were approximately 86.2% leased to 292 tenants, and the Industrial Properties were approximately 96.3% leased to 63 tenants. All of our properties are located in Southern California.

Our stabilized portfolio excludes undeveloped land, development and redevelopment properties currently under construction, lease-up properties and one industrial property that we are in the process of re-entitling for residential use. We define lease-up properties as properties we recently developed or redeveloped that have not yet reached 95% occupancy and are within one year following cessation of major construction activities. As of December 31, 2008, we had one development property in the lease-up phase, which encompasses approximately 51,000 rentable square feet of new medical office space and is located in the San Diego region of Southern California.

We own our interests in all of our properties through Kilroy Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership ), and Kilroy Realty Finance Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Finance Partnership ). We conduct substantially all of our activities through the Operating Partnership in which, as of December 31, 2008, we owned an approximate 95.0% general partnership interest. The remaining 5.0% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership was owned by certain of our executive officers and directors, certain of their affiliates, and other outside investors. Kilroy Realty Finance, Inc., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, is the sole general partner of the Finance Partnership and owns a 1.0% general partnership interest. The Operating Partnership owns the remaining 99.0% limited partnership interest of the Finance Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our development activities through Kilroy Services, LLC (KSLLC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Operating Partnership. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to we, us, our or the Company include the Operating Partnership, the Finance Partnership, KSLLC, Kilroy Realty Finance, Inc., and all other wholly-owned subsidiaries, which include Kilroy Realty TRS, Inc., Kilroy Realty Management, L.P., Kilroy RB LLC and Kilroy RB II LLC.



The following diagram illustrates the structure of Kilroy Realty Corporation and our subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008:

#### Available Information; Website Disclosure; Corporate Governance Documents

We make our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports available free of charge on our website at www.kilroyrealty.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file these materials with, or furnish them to, the SEC.

The following documents relating to corporate governance are also available free of charge on our website under Investor Relations Corporate Governance and available in print to any security holder upon request:

- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Code of Business Conduct and Ethics
- Audit Committee Charter
- Executive Compensation Committee Charter

• Nominating / Corporate Governance Committee Charter You may also request copies of any of these documents by writing to:

Attention: Investor Relations

Kilroy Realty Corporation

12200 West Olympic Boulevard, Suite 200

Los Angeles, CA 90064

#### **Business and Growth Strategies**

*Growth Strategies*. We believe that a number of factors and strategies will enable us to continue to achieve our objectives of long-term sustainable growth in Net Operating Income (defined below) and FFO (defined below) as well as maximization of long-term stockholder value. These factors and strategies include:

- the quality and location of our properties;
- our ability to efficiently manage our assets as a low cost provider of commercial real estate through our seasoned management team possessing core capabilities in all aspects of real estate ownership, including property management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, legal, construction management and new development;
- the development of our existing development pipeline land holdings;
- our pursuit of redevelopment opportunities in land-constrained markets; and
- our access to development and leasing opportunities as a result of our extensive experience and significant working relationships with major Southern California corporate tenants, municipalities and landowners given our over 60-year presence in the Southern California market.

Net Operating Income is defined as operating revenues (rental income, tenant reimbursements and other property income) less property and related expenses (property expenses, real estate taxes, provision for bad debts and ground leases) before depreciation. FFO is funds from operations as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ( NAREIT ). See Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations and Non-GAAP Supplemental Financial Measures: Funds From Operations for a reconciliation of these measures to generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) net income available for common stockholders.

Operating Strategies. We focus on enhancing long-term growth in Net Operating Income and FFO from our properties by:

- maintaining higher than average regional occupancy rates;
- maximizing cash flow from our properties through active leasing, early renewals, and effective property management;
- structuring leases to maximize returns and internal growth;
- managing portfolio credit risk through effective underwriting, including the use of credit enhancements and interests in collateral to
  mitigate portfolio credit risk;
- managing operating expenses through the efficient use of internal management, leasing, marketing, financing, accounting, legal, and construction management functions;

- maintaining and developing long-term relationships with a diverse tenant base;
- managing our properties to offer the maximum degree of utility and operational efficiency to tenants;
- continuing to effectively manage capital improvements to enhance our properties competitive advantages in their respective markets and improve the efficiency of building systems; and

• attracting and retaining motivated employees by providing financial and other incentives to meet our operating and financial goals. *Development Strategies*. We and our predecessors have developed office and industrial properties primarily located in Southern California since 1947. As of December 31, 2008, our development pipeline

included 116.7 gross acres of undeveloped land, with which we believe we will have the potential to develop over two million rentable square feet of office space in the future, depending upon economic conditions. Our strategy with respect to development is to:

- maintain a disciplined approach to development by emphasizing pre-leasing, phasing and cost control;
- continue to execute our build-to-suit philosophy in which we develop properties to be leased by specific, committed tenants providing for lower-risk development;
- be the premier provider of two- to six-story campus style office buildings in Southern California;
- reinvest capital from dispositions of non-strategic assets into new, state-of-the-market development assets with higher cash flow and rates of return; and
- evaluate redevelopment opportunities in land-constrained markets since such efforts generally achieve similar returns to new development with reduced entitlement risk and shorter construction periods.

We may engage in the additional development or redevelopment of office and/or industrial properties, primarily in Southern California, when market conditions support a favorable risk-adjusted return on such development or redevelopment. We expect that our significant working relationships with tenants, municipalities, and landowners in Southern California will give us further access to development opportunities. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to successfully develop or redevelop any of our properties or that we will have access to additional development or redevelopment opportunities.

*Financing Strategies.* Our financing policies and objectives are determined by our Board of Directors. Our goal is to limit our dependence on leverage and maintain a conservative ratio of debt-to-total market capitalization. Our funding strategies are to:

- maintain financial flexibility and the ability to access a variety of capital sources;
- maintain a staggered debt maturity schedule to limit risk exposure at any particular point in the capital and credit market cycles;
- complete financing in advance of the need for capital; and
- manage interest rate exposure.

We utilize multiple sources of capital, including borrowings under our \$550 million unsecured line of credit (the Credit Facility), proceeds from the issuance of debt or equity securities and other bank and/or institutional borrowings and dispositions of non-strategic assets. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to obtain capital as needed on terms favorable to us or at all. See Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations below.

#### **Significant Tenants**

As of December 31, 2008, our fifteen largest tenants in terms of annualized base rental revenues represented approximately 38.7% of total annualized base rental revenues, defined as annualized monthly contractual rents from existing tenants at December 31, 2008 determined on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease in accordance with GAAP. Of this amount, our largest tenant, Intuit Inc. (Intuit), leased an aggregate of approximately 541,600 rentable square feet of office space under three separate leases, representing 5.4% of our total annualized

base rental revenues at December 31, 2008.

For further information on the composition of our tenant base, see Item 2: Properties Significant Tenants.

#### Competition

We compete with several developers, owners and operators of office, industrial and other commercial real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. For further discussion of the potential impact of competitive conditions on our business, see Item 1A: Risk Factors below.

#### Segment and Geographic Financial Information

For financial information about our two reportable segments, Office Properties and Industrial Properties, see Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements.

All of our business is conducted in Southern California. For information about our revenues and long-lived assets and other financial information, see our consolidated financial statements included in this report and Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations.

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2008, we employed 134 people through the Operating Partnership, KSLLC and Kilroy Realty TRS, Inc. We believe that relations with our employees are good.

#### **Government Regulations Relating to the Environment**

Many laws and governmental regulations relating to the environment are applicable to our properties, and changes in these laws and regulations, or their interpretation by agencies and the courts, occur frequently and may adversely affect us.

*Existing conditions at some of our properties.* Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I or similar environmental site assessments on all of our properties. We generally obtain these assessments prior to the acquisition of a property and may later update them as required for subsequent financing of the property or as requested by a tenant. Site assessments are generally performed to American Society for Testing and Materials standards then-existing for Phase I site assessments and typically include a historical review, a public records review, a visual inspection of the surveyed site, and the issuance of a written report. These assessments do not generally include any soil samplings or subsurface investigations. Depending on the age of the property, the Phase I may have included an assessment of asbestos-containing materials. For properties where asbestos-containing materials were identified or suspected, an operations and maintenance plan was generally prepared and implemented.

Historical operations at or near some of our properties, including the presence of underground storage tanks, may have caused soil or groundwater contamination. The prior owners of the affected properties conducted remediation of known contamination in the soils on our properties, and we do not believe that further clean-up of the soils is required. We are not aware of any such condition, liability or concern by any other means that would give rise to material environmental liability. However, the assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns; there may be material environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns that arose at a property after the review was completed; future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liability; and current environmental conditions at our properties may be affected in the future by tenants, third parties or the condition of land or operations near our properties, such as the presence of underground storage tanks. We cannot be certain that costs of future environmental compliance will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

*Use of hazardous materials by some of our tenants.* Some of our tenants routinely handle hazardous substances and wastes on our properties as part of their routine operations. Environmental laws and regulations

may subject these tenants, and potentially us, to liability resulting from such activities. We generally require our tenants, in their leases, to comply with these environmental laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities. As of December 31, 2008, less than 5% of our tenants, representing less than 10% of the aggregate square footage of our properties, handled hazardous substances and/or wastes on our properties as part of their routine operations. These tenants are primarily involved in the life sciences and the light industrial and warehouse business. We are not aware of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products in connection with any of our properties, and management does not believe that on-going activities by our tenants will have a material adverse effect on our operations.

*Costs related to government regulation and private litigation over environmental matters.* Under applicable environmental laws and regulations, we may be liable for the costs of removal, remediation or disposal of certain hazardous or toxic substances present or released on our properties. These laws could impose liability without regard to whether we are responsible for, or even knew of, the presence or release of the hazardous materials. Government investigations and remediation actions may have substantial costs, and the presence or release of hazardous substances on a property could result in governmental clean-up actions, personal injury or similar claims by private plaintiffs.

*Potential environmental liabilities may exceed our environmental insurance coverage limits.* We carry what our management believes to be sufficient environmental insurance to cover any potential liability for soil and groundwater contamination and the presence of asbestos-containing materials at the affected sites identified in the environmental site assessments. The policy is subject to various terms, conditions, qualifications and limitations of coverage. Therefore, management cannot provide any assurance that our insurance coverage will be sufficient or that our liability, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, quoted trading price of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following section sets forth material factors that may adversely affect our business and operations. The following factors, as well as the factors discussed in Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations, and other information contained in this report, should be considered in evaluating us and our business.

*Global Market and Economic Conditions.* In the U.S., recent market and economic conditions have been unprecedented and challenging with tighter credit conditions and slower growth through the fourth quarter of 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008, continued concerns about the systemic impact of inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S. have contributed to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for the U.S. economy. In the second half of 2008, added concerns fueled by the federal government conservatorship of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association, the declared bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., the U.S. government financial assistance to American International Group Inc., Citibank, Bank of America and other federal government interventions in the U.S. credit markets lead to increased market uncertainty and instability in both U.S. and international capital and credit markets. These conditions, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment have contributed to volatility of unprecedented levels.

As a result of these market conditions, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to reduce, and in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers. Continued turbulence in the U.S. and international markets and economies may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and the liquidity

and financial condition of our tenants. If these market conditions continue, they may limit our ability, and the ability of our tenants, to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs, resulting in adverse effects on our financial condition and results of operations.

*Our operations depend upon the Southern California economy.* As of December 31, 2008, all of our properties and our future development pipeline land holdings are located in Southern California. Our business depends on our ability to generate FFO in excess of scheduled principal payments on debt, payments on the 7.450% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units of the Operating Partnership (the Series A Preferred Units ), distributions to preferred stockholders and capital expenditure requirements.

Events and conditions applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease funds available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events include:

- local oversupply or reduction in demand for office, industrial or other commercial space;
- inability to collect rent from tenants;
- vacancies or inability to rent spaces on favorable terms or at all;
- inability to finance property development and acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;
- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities, and real estate taxes;
- costs of complying with changes in governmental regulations;
- the relative liquidity of real estate investments;
- changing submarket demographics; and
- property damage resulting from seismic activity or other natural disasters.

The global economic crisis referenced above has particularly affected the economy of California, where foreclosures and unemployment rates exceed the national average. In addition, the State of California faces budgetary shortfalls which if left unaddressed will negatively impact government-provided state and local services, and also may adversely impact property owners and tenants. The geographical concentration of our properties may expose us to greater economic risks than if we owned properties in a different geographic region or in several geographic regions. Any adverse economic or real estate developments in the Southern California region could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow, and those of our tenants, as well as adversely affect the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to our stockholders.

*We depend on significant tenants.* As of December 31, 2008, our fifteen largest tenants represented approximately 38.7% of total annualized base rental revenues. Of this amount, our largest tenant, Intuit, leased an aggregate of approximately 541,600 rentable square feet of office space under three separate leases, representing 5.4% of our total annualized base rental revenues at December 31, 2008. See further discussion on the composition of our tenants by industry and our largest tenants under Item 1: Business Significant Tenants and Item 2: Properties Significant Tenants, respectively.

Although we have been able to mitigate the impact of past significant tenant defaults on our financial condition, revenues and results of operations, our financial condition, results of operations, our ability to borrow funds and cash flows would be adversely affected if any of our significant tenants fails to renew its lease(s), renews its lease(s) on terms less favorable to us or becomes bankrupt or insolvent or otherwise unable to satisfy its lease obligations.

*Downturn in tenants* businesses may reduce our cash flow. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we derived approximately 97.6% of our revenues from continuing operations from rental income and tenant

reimbursements. A tenant may experience a downturn in its business, which may weaken its financial condition and result in its failure to make timely rental payments or result in defaults under our leases. In the event of default by a tenant, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant also may adversely affect the income produced by our properties. If any tenant becomes a debtor in a case under the Bankruptcy Code, we cannot evict the tenant solely because of the bankruptcy. In addition, the bankruptcy court might permit the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. Our claim against the tenant for unpaid and future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed under the lease. Therefore, our claim for unpaid rent would likely not be paid in full. Any losses resulting from the bankruptcy of any of our existing tenants could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders.

*We may be unable to renew leases or re-lease available space.* As of December 31, 2008, we had office and industrial space available for lease representing approximately 10.8% of the total square footage of our properties. In addition, leases representing approximately 9.2% and 16.4% of the leased rentable square footage of our properties are scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010, respectively. Above market rental rates on some of our properties may force us to renew or re-lease expiring leases at rates below current lease rates. Management believes that the average rental rates for our properties, are approximately 5% below the current average quoted market rates and average rental rates for leases scheduled to expire in 2009 and approximately 5% to 10% below the current average quoted market rates for the entire portfolio, although individual properties within any particular submarket presently may be leased at, above or below the current market rental rates within that submarket. We cannot give any assurance that leases will be renewed or that available space will be re-leased at rental rates equal to or above the current rental rates. If the average rental rates for our properties decrease or existing tenants do not renew their leases, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

We are subject to governmental regulations that may affect the development, redevelopment and use of our properties. In addition to the governmental regulations relating to the environment described in Item 1: Business Government Regulations Relating to the Environment above, we are subject to additional governmental regulations that may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders.

Our properties are subject to regulation under federal laws, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the ADA) under which all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons, and state and local laws addressing earthquake, fire and life safety requirements. Although we believe that our properties substantially comply with present requirements under applicable governmental regulations, none of our properties have been audited or investigated for compliance by any regulatory agency. If we were not in compliance with material provisions of the ADA or other regulations affecting our properties, we might be required to take remedial action, which could include making modifications or renovations to properties. Federal, state or local governments may also enact future laws and regulations that could require us to make significant modifications or renovations to our properties. If we were to incur substantial costs to comply with the ADA or any other regulations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

Our properties are subject to land use rules and regulations that govern our development, redevelopment and use of our properties. Restrictions on our ability to develop, redevelop or use our properties resulting from changes in the existing land use rules and regulations could have an adverse effect on our financial position,

results of operations, cash flow, quoted trading prices of our securities, ability to satisfy our debt service obligations, and ability to pay distributions to stockholders. For example, the Airport Land Use Commission is currently evaluating updates to the existing airport compatibility plans for all public and military airports in San Diego County, which if adopted could adversely impact our business in this region.

Increasing utility costs in California may have an adverse effect on our operating results and occupancy levels. The State of California continues to address issues related to the supply of electricity, water and natural gas. In recent years, shortages of electricity have resulted in increased costs for consumers and certain interruptions in service. Increased consumer costs and consumer perception that the State is not able to effectively manage its utility needs may reduce demand for leased space in California office and industrial properties. A significant reduction in demand for office and industrial space could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders.

*Our debt level reduces cash available for distribution and may expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations.* Payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or to pay in cash the distributions necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed by our debt agreements may have important consequences to us, including the following:

- we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;
- cash flow may be insufficient to meet required principal and interest payments;
- we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;
- we may default on our obligations and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on our properties that secure the loans and receive an assignment of rents and leases; and

• our default under one mortgage loan could result in a default on other indebtedness with cross default provisions. If one or more of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected. In addition, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, a circumstance which could require us to pay income or excise tax notwithstanding our tax status as a REIT under the Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ). As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of indebtedness, \$81.6 million of which is contractually due prior to December 31, 2009. Our total debt and preferred equity represented 54.1% of our total market capitalization at December 31, 2008. See Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources for a presentation of our market capitalization.

*We face significant competition, which may decrease the occupancy and rental rates of our properties.* We compete with several developers, owners and operators of office, industrial and other commercial real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located but which have lower occupancy rates than our properties. For instance, the occupancy rate for our Long Beach stabilized office property portfolio in Los Angeles County at December 31, 2008 was 94.4% in comparison to 87.8% for the Long Beach submarket in total. We believe that our higher occupancy rates mean that, on average, our competitors have more space currently available for lease than we do. As a result, our competitors have an incentive to decrease rental rates until their available space is leased. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below the rates currently charged by us for comparable space, we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those currently charged in order to retain tenants when our tenant leases expire. Leases

representing approximately 108,400 rentable square feet, or 11.4% of our Long Beach stabilized office property portfolio, are scheduled to expire in 2009. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders may be adversely affected.

*Potential losses may not be covered by insurance.* We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, rental loss and terrorism insurance covering all of our properties. Management believes the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsurable losses such as loss from riots or acts of God. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to floods, are subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments.

*Earthquake damage to our properties could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.* As of December 31, 2008, all of our properties are located in Southern California. We carry earthquake insurance on our properties in an amount and with deductibles that management believes are commercially reasonable. However, the amount of our earthquake insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover losses from earthquakes. In addition, our earthquake insurance policies include substantial self-insurance portions and we may discontinue earthquake insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for earthquake insurance exceeds the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. If we experience a loss that is uninsured or which exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flow from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if the properties were irreparable.

*We may be unable to complete acquisitions and successfully operate acquired properties.* We continually evaluate the market of available properties and may acquire office and industrial properties and undeveloped land when strategic opportunities exist. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms and successfully operate them is subject to the following risks:

- the potential inability to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors with significant capital, including both publicly traded REITs and institutional investment funds;
- the possibility that, even if we enter into agreements for the acquisition of office and industrial properties, such acquisitions may never close since they remain subject to customary conditions to closing including the completion of due diligence investigations to management s satisfaction;
- we may be unable to finance acquisitions on favorable terms;
- we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties; and
- we may lease acquired properties at below expected rental rates.

If we cannot finance property acquisitions on favorable terms or operate acquired properties to meet financial expectations, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

*We may be unable to successfully complete and operate acquired, developed and redeveloped properties.* There are significant risks associated with property acquisition and development including the possibility that:

• we may be unable to lease acquired, developed or redeveloped properties at expected rental rates or within projected timeframes;

- we may not complete development or redevelopment properties on schedule or within budgeted amounts;
- we may expend funds on and devote management s time to acquisition, development or redevelopment properties that we may not complete;
- we may encounter delays or refusals in obtaining all necessary zoning, land use, other required entitlements, building, occupancy, and other required governmental permits and authorizations; and

• we may encounter delays, refusals, unforeseen cost increases and other impairments due to third-party litigation. If one or more of these events were to occur in connection with our acquired properties or our development or redevelopment properties currently under construction or planned for development, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders could be adversely affected.

While we primarily acquire, develop and redevelop office properties in Southern California markets, we may in the future acquire, develop or redevelop properties for retail or other use and expand our business to other geographic regions where we expect the development or acquisition of property to result in favorable risk-adjusted returns on our investment. Presently, we do not possess the same level of familiarity with development of property types other than office and industrial, or with outside markets, which could adversely affect our ability to acquire or develop properties or to achieve expected performance.

*We could default on leases for land on which some of our properties are located.* As of December 31, 2008, we owned one office complex, located on various land parcels, which we lease individually on a long-term basis. As of December 31, 2008, we had approximately 949,000 aggregate rentable square feet, or 7.7% of our total stabilized portfolio, of rental space located on these leased parcels. The leases for the land under the one office complex at the Kilroy Airport Center in Long Beach, California expire in 2084. If we default under the terms of any particular lease, we may lose the ownership rights to the property subject to the lease. Upon expiration of a lease and all of its options, we may not be able to renegotiate a new lease on favorable terms, if at all. The loss of the ownership rights to these properties or an increase of rental expense could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to stockholders.

*Real estate assets are illiquid, and we may not be able to sell our properties when we desire.* Our investments in our properties are relatively illiquid, limiting our ability to sell our properties quickly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, the Code generally imposes a 100% prohibited transaction tax on profits we derive from sales of properties held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business, which effectively limits our ability to sell properties other than on a selected basis. These restrictions on our ability to sell our properties could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, cash flow, quoted trading prices of our securities, ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and repay indebtedness and ability to pay distributions to stockholders.

*Common limited partners of the Operating Partnership have limited approval rights, which may prevent us from completing a change of control transaction that may be in the best interests of stockholders.* We may not withdraw from the Operating Partnership or transfer our general partnership interest or admit another general partner without the approval of the holders of a majority of the units representing common limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership (the Common Units ), except in the case of a termination transaction that requires the approval of the holders of 60% of the common units, including the common units held by us in our capacity as general partner. The right of common limited partners to vote on these transactions could limit our ability to complete a change of control transaction that might otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

*The Chairman of our Board of Directors and our President and Chief Executive Officer each have substantial influence over our affairs.* John B. Kilroy, Sr. is the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the father of John B. Kilroy, Jr., our President and Chief Executive Officer. Each is a member of our Board of Directors, and together, as of December 31, 2008, they beneficially owned 306,808 shares of common stock and an aggregate of 1,430,970 Common Units, which are redeemable in exchange for, at our option, an equal number of shares of our common stock, representing a total beneficial ownership of approximately 5.0% of the total outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2008, assuming the exchange, at our option, of the Common Units held by Messrs. Kilroy into shares of our common stock.

Pursuant to our charter, no stockholder may own, actually or constructively, more than 7.0% of our common stock without obtaining a waiver from the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has waived the ownership limits with respect to John B. Kilroy, Sr., John B. Kilroy, Jr., members of their families and some of their affiliated entities. These named individuals and entities may own either actually or constructively, in the aggregate, up to 19.6% of our outstanding common stock. Consequently, Messrs. Kilroy have substantial influence on us and could exercise their influence in a manner that is not in the best interest of our stockholders. Also, they may, in the future, have a substantial influence on the outcome of any matters submitted to our stockholders for approval.

Limited partners of the Operating Partnership must approve the dissolution of the Operating Partnership and the disposition of properties they contributed. For as long as limited partners own at least 5% of all of the Common Units, we must obtain the approval of limited partners holding a majority of the common units before we may dissolve the partnership. As of December 31, 2008, limited partners owned approximately 5.0% of the outstanding interests in the Operating Partnership, of which 4.1% was owned by John B. Kilroy, Sr. and John B. Kilroy, Jr. In addition, the Operating Partnership has agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to minimize the tax consequences to common limited partners resulting from the repayment, refinancing, replacement or restructuring of debt, or any sale, exchange or other disposition of any of our other assets. The exercise of one or more of these approval rights by the limited partners could delay or prevent us from completing a transaction that may be in the best interest of our stockholders.

There are restrictions on the ownership of our capital stock, which limit the opportunities for a change of control at a premium to existing stockholders. Provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law, our charter, our bylaws and the Operating Partnership s partnership agreement may delay, defer or prevent a change of control over us or the removal of existing management. Any of these actions might prevent the stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares of stock over the then-prevailing market prices.

The Code contains stringent ownership limits on us as a result of our decision to be taxed as a REIT, including:

- no more than 50% in value of our capital stock may be owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals, including some entities, during the last half of a taxable year;
- subject to exceptions, our common stock must be held by a minimum of 100 persons for at least 335 days of a 12-month taxable year, or a proportionate part of a short taxable year; and
- if we, or any entity which owns 10% or more of our capital stock, actually or constructively own 10% or more of one of our tenants, or a tenant of any partnership in which we are a partner, then any rents that we receive from that tenant in question will not be qualifying income for purposes of the Code s REIT gross income tests, regardless of whether we receive the rents directly or through a partnership.

Our charter also establishes clear ownership limits to protect our REIT status. No single stockholder may own, either actually or constructively, absent a waiver from the Board of Directors, more than 7.0% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of our common stock outstanding. Similarly, absent a waiver from the Board of Directors, no single holder of our 7.45% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred stock (the Series A Preferred Stock ), if issued, may actually or constructively own any class or series of our preferred

stock, so that their total capital stock ownership would exceed 7.0% by value of our total outstanding shares of capital stock; no single holder of our 7.8% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred stock (the Series E Preferred Stock ) may actually or constructively own more than 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of our Series E Preferred Stock; and no single holder of our 7.5% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred stock (the Series F Preferred Stock ) may actually or constructively own more than 9.8% (by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive) of our Series F Preferred Stock.

The Board of Directors may waive the ownership limits if it is satisfied that the excess ownership would not jeopardize our REIT status and if it believes that the waiver would be in our best interests. The Board of Directors has waived the ownership limits with respect to John B. Kilroy, Sr., John B. Kilroy, Jr., members of their families and some of their affiliated entities. These named individuals and entities may own either actually or constructively, in the aggregate, up to 19.6% of our outstanding common stock.

If anyone acquires shares in excess of any ownership limits, the transfer to the transferee will be void with respect to these excess shares, the excess shares will be automatically transferred from the transferee or owner to a trust for the benefit of a qualified charitable organization, the purported transferee or owner will have no right to vote those excess shares, and the purported transferee or owner will have no right to receive dividends or other distributions from these excess shares.

*Our charter contains provisions that may delay, deter, or prevent a change of control transaction.* The following provisions of our charter may delay or prevent a change of control over the Company, even if a change of control might be beneficial to our stockholders, deter tender offers that may be beneficial to our stockholders, or limit stockholders opportunity to receive a potential premium for their shares if an investor attempted to gain shares beyond our ownership limits or otherwise to effect a change of control:

- Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes with staggered terms. The staggered terms for directors may reduce the possibility of a tender offer or an attempt to complete a change of control transaction even if a tender offer or a change of control is in our stockholders interest. The Board of Directors adopted a resolution at its meeting on October 2, 2008 to submit and recommend for approval to stockholders at the 2009 annual meeting an amendment to our charter to de-stagger the Board of Directors;
- Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to issue up to 30,000,000 shares of preferred stock, including convertible preferred stock, without stockholder approval. The Board of Directors may establish the preferences, rights and other terms, including the right to vote and the right to convert into common stock any shares issued. The issuance of preferred stock could delay or prevent a tender offer or a change of control even if a tender offer or a change of control was in our stockholders interest. As of December 31, 2008, 5,060,000 shares of our preferred stock were issued and outstanding, consisting of 1,610,000 shares of our Series E Preferred Stock and 3,450,000 shares of our Series F Preferred Stock and an additional 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock were designated as 7.45% Series A Preferred Stock, which was reserved for possible issuance in exchange for outstanding Series A Preferred Units; and

• Our charter states that any director, or the entire Board of Directors, may be removed from office at any time, but only for cause and then only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors. *Loss of our REIT status would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our stock.* We currently operate in a manner that is intended to allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes under the Code. If we were to lose our REIT status, we would face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to stockholders for each of the years involved due to the following:

• we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

- we could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and
- unless entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders and all distributions to stockholders will be subject to tax as regular corporate dividends to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital and could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable treasury regulations that have been promulgated under the Code is greater in the case of a REIT that holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income in any year must be derived from qualifying sources. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our net taxable income, excluding capital gains. For distributions with respect to taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2009, recent IRS guidance allows us to satisfy up to 90% of this requirement through the distribution of shares of our common stock, if certain conditions are met. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may adversely affect our investors or our ability to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes or the desirability of an investment in a REIT relative to other investments. Although management believes that we are organized and operate in a manner to qualify as a REIT, we cannot be certain that we have been or will continue to be organized or be able to operate in a manner to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

To maintain our REIT status we may be forced to borrow funds on a short-term basis during unfavorable market conditions. To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our net taxable income each year, excluding capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our net taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% non-deductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. For distributions with respect to taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2009, recent IRS guidance allows us to satisfy up to 90% of these requirements through the distribution of shares of our common stock, if certain conditions are met. To maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of federal income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then-prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These short-term borrowing needs could result from differences in timing between the actual receipt of income and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required debt or amortization payments.

*Our growth depends on external sources of capital that are outside of our control.* We are required under the Code to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends-paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. For distributions with respect to taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2009, recent IRS guidance allows us to satisfy up to 90% of this requirement through the distribution of shares of our common stock, if certain conditions are met. Because of this distribution requirement, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary acquisition financing, from operating cash flow. Consequently, management relies on third-party sources of capital to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain the financing on favorable terms or at all. Any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage. Access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on general market conditions and

the availability of credit, the market s perception of our growth potential, our current and expected future earnings, our cash flow and cash distributions, and the quoted market prices of our securities. If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, quoted trading prices of our securities and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to pay distributions to our stockholders may be adversely impacted.

Our Board of Directors may change investment and financing policies without stockholder approval causing us to become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

*We are not limited in our ability to incur debt.* Our financing policies and objectives are determined by our Board of Directors. Our goal is to limit our dependence on leverage and maintain a conservative ratio of debt to total market capitalization. However, our organizational documents do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of indebtedness outstanding, which represented 46.1% of our total market capitalization. Our total debt and the liquidation value of our preferred equity as a percentage of total market capitalization was approximately 54.1% at December 31, 2008. See Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources for a presentation of our market capitalization. This ratio may be increased or decreased without the consent of our stockholders. Increases in the amount of debt outstanding would result in an increase in our debt service, which could adversely affect cash flow and our ability to make distributions to stockholders. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations and limits our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

We may issue additional shares of capital stock without stockholder approval, which may dilute stockholder investment. We may issue shares of our common stock, preferred stock or other equity or debt securities without stockholder approval. Similarly, we may cause the Operating Partnership to offer its common or preferred units for contributions of cash or property without approval by the limited partners of the Operating Partnership or our stockholders. Further, under certain circumstances, we may issue shares of our common stock in exchange for the 3.250% Exchangeable Senior Notes Due 2012 issued by the Operating Partnership (the Notes ). Existing stockholders have no preemptive rights to acquire any of these securities, and any issuance of equity securities under these circumstances may dilute a stockholder s investment.

We may invest in securities related to real estate which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions to stockholders. We may purchase securities issued by entities which own real estate and may, in the future, also invest in mortgages. In general, investments in mortgages are subject to several risks, including:

- borrowers may fail to make debt service payments or pay the principal when due;
- the value of the mortgaged property may be less than the principal amount of the mortgage note securing the property; and

• interest rates payable on the mortgages may be lower than our cost for the funds used to acquire these mortgages. Owning these securities may not entitle us to control the ownership, operation and management of the underlying real estate. In addition, we may have no control over the distributions with respect to these securities, which could adversely affect our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our securities, or the perception that this could occur, could result in decreasing the quoted market price per share for our securities. Management cannot predict whether future issuances of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will result in decreasing the market price per share of our common stock.

As of December 31, 2008, 33,086,148 shares of our common stock and 5,060,000 shares of our preferred stock, consisting of 1,610,000 shares of our Series E Preferred Stock and 3,450,000 shares of our Series F

Preferred Stock, were issued and outstanding, and an additional 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock were designated as 7.45% Series A Preferred Stock, which was reserved for possible issuance in exchange for outstanding Series A Preferred Units. As of December 31, 2008, we had reserved for future issuance the following shares of common stock: 1,753,729 shares issuable upon the exchange, at our option, of Common Units; 1,120,973 shares issuable under the Company s 2006 Incentive Award Plan; and 978,390 shares issuable under the Company s Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we filed a registration statement that was automatically effective and registered 2,188,340 shares of our common stock for possible issuance to the holders of Common Units. This registration statement also registered 306,808 shares of our common stock currently held by certain of the selling stockholders for possible resale. In addition, we have a currently-effective registration statement registering 6,269,570 shares of our common stock that may be issued in exchange for the Notes. Consequently, if and when the shares are issued, they may be freely traded in the public markets.

*Future terrorist activity or engagement in war by the U.S. may have an adverse affect on our financial condition and operating results.* Future terrorist attacks in the U.S., such as the attacks that occurred in New York and Washington, D.C. on September 11, 2001 and other acts of terrorism or war, may result in declining economic activity, which could harm the demand for and the value of our properties. In addition, the public perception that certain locations are at greater risk for attack, such as major airports, ports and rail facilities, may decrease the demand for and the value of our properties near these sites. A decrease in demand could make it difficult for us to renew or re-lease our properties at these sites at lease rates equal to or above historical rates. Terrorist activities also could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction or loss, and the availability of insurance for these acts may be less, and cost more, which could adversely affect our financial condition. To the extent that our tenants are impacted by future attacks, their businesses similarly could be adversely affected, including their ability to continue to honor their existing leases.

Terrorist acts and engagement in war by the U.S. also may adversely affect the markets in which our securities trade and may cause further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending and may result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets and economies. Any one of these events may cause a decline in the demand for our office and industrial leased space, delay the time in which our new or renovated properties reach stabilized occupancy, increase our operating expenses, such as those attributable to increased physical security for our properties, and limit our access to capital or increase our cost of raising capital.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES General

As of December 31, 2008, our stabilized portfolio of operating properties was comprised of 92 Office Properties and 42 Industrial Properties, which encompassed an aggregate of approximately 8.7 million and 3.7 million rentable square feet, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, the Office Properties were approximately 86.2% leased to 292 tenants, and the Industrial Properties were approximately 96.3% leased to 63 tenants.

Our stabilized portfolio excludes undeveloped land, development and redevelopment properties currently under construction, lease-up properties and one industrial property that the Company is in the process of re-entitling for residential use. As of December 31, 2008, we had one development property in the lease-up phase, which encompasses approximately 51,000 rentable square feet of new medical office space.

All of our properties are located in Southern California. We own all of our properties through the Operating Partnership and the Finance Partnership. The seven office buildings located at Kilroy Airport Center in Long Beach, California all are held subject to leases for the land that expire in 2084.

In general, the Office Properties are leased to tenants on a full service gross or modified gross basis, and the Industrial Properties are leased to tenants on a triple net basis. Under a full service lease, the landlord is obligated to pay the tenant s proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance and operating expenses up to the amount incurred during the tenant s first year of occupancy (Base Year) or a negotiated amount approximating the tenant s pro rata share of real estate taxes, insurance and operating expenses (Expense Stop). The tenant pays its pro rata share of increases in expenses above the Base Year or Expense Stop. A modified gross lease is similar to a full service gross lease, except tenants are obligated to pay their proportionate share of certain operating expenses, usually electricity, directly to the service provider. Under a triple net lease and a modified net lease, tenants pay their proportionate share of real estate taxes, operating costs and utility costs.

We believe that all of our properties are well-maintained and do not require significant capital improvements. As of December 31, 2008, we managed all of our properties through internal property managers.

#### **Office and Industrial Properties**

The following table sets forth certain information relating to each of the stabilized Office Properties and Industrial Properties owned as of December 31, 2008.

Property Location	No. of Buildings	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	•		Average Base Rental Revenue Per Sq. Ft. (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>
Office Properties:						
Los Angeles County						
23925 Park Sorrento, Calabasas, California	1	2001	11,789	100.0%	\$ 421	\$ 35.71
23975 Park Sorrento, Calabasas, California	1	2001	100,592	63.0%	2,329	38.08
24025 Park Sorrento, Calabasas, California	1	2000	102,264	100.0%	3,941	38.54
26541 Agoura Road Calabasas, California	1	1988	91,327	5.0%	16	3.49
2240 E. Imperial Highway, El Segundo, California	1	1983/2007	122,870	100.0%	2,699	21.97
2250 E. Imperial Highway, El Segundo, California	1	1983	293,261	96.5%	8,478	30.19
2260 E. Imperial Highway, El Segundo, California	1	1983	286,151	100.0%	5,409	18.90
909 N. Sepulveda Blvd., El Segundo, California	1	1972/2004	241,607	93.3%	5,373	23.83
999 N. Sepulveda Blvd., El Segundo, California	1	1962/2003	127,901	98.6%	2,558	22.38
3750 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California <sup>(5)</sup>	1	1989	10,457	100.0%	137	19.85
3760 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	1989	165,278	96.1%	4,337	27.29
3780 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	1989	219,745	86.6%	5,082	27.29
3800 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	2000	192,476	94.3%	5,268	29.02
3840 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	1999	136,026	100.0%	4,915	36.13
3880 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	1987	98,243	100.0%	1,328	13.52
3900 Kilroy Airport Way, Long Beach, California	1	1987	126,840	94.9%	2,833	23.93
12100 W. Olympic Blvd., Los Angeles, California	1	2002	150,167	100.0%	5,125	34.13
12200 W. Olympic Blvd., Los Angeles, California	1	2000	150,302	94.7%	4,169	37.93
12312 W. Olympic Blvd, Los Angeles, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1950/1998	78,000	100.0%	1,782	22.85
1633 26th Street, Santa Monica, California	1	1972/1997	44,915	100.0%	1,152	25.65
2100 Colorado Avenue, Santa Monica, California <sup>(4)</sup>	3	1992	94,844	100.0%	3,425	36.11
3130 Wilshire Blvd., Santa Monica, California	1	1969/1998	89,017	81.2%	2,126	29.41
501 Santa Monica Blvd., Santa Monica, California	1	1974	73,115	90.8%	2,340	36.74
Subtotal/Weighted Average Los Angeles County	25		3,007,187	92.1%	75,243	27.77
San Diego County						
12225 El Camino Real, Del Mar, California	1	1998	60,840	0.0%		
12235 El Camino Real, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	1998	54,673	100.0%	2,042	37.35
12340 El Camino Real, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2002	87,405	100.0%	3,884	44.44
12390 El Camino Real, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2000	72,332	100.0%	3,069	42.43
3579 Valley Centre Drive, Del Mar, California	1	1999	52,375	0.0%		
3611 Valley Centre Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2000	130,178	95.6%	4,349	36.87
3661 Valley Centre Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2001	129,752	100.0%	4,006	30.87
3721 Valley Centre Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2002	114,780	100.0%	3,767	32.82
3811 Valley Centre Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2000	112,067	100.0%	5,199	46.39
12348 High Bluff Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	1999	38,710	93.5%	1,211	33.44
12400 High Bluff Drive, Del Mar, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2003	208,464	100.0%	9,897	47.48
6200 Greenwich Drive, Governor Park, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1996	71,000	100.0%	1,516	21.35
6220 Greenwich Drive, Governor Park, California	1	1996	141,214	0.0%		
15051 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2001	70,617	100.0%	2,035	28.82
15073 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2001	46,759	100.0%	1,233	26.37
15231 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2005	65,638	100.0%	1,875	28.57
15253 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2005	37,437	100.0%	1,070	28.58
15333 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2006	78,880	100.0%	2,219	28.13
15378 Avenue of Science, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1984	68,910	100.0%	978	14.19
15004 Innovation Drive, I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2008	146,156	100.0%	7,137	48.83
15435 Innovation Drive, I-15 Corridor, California	1	2000	51,500	0%		

15445 Innovation Drive, I-15 Corridor, California	1	2000	51,500	0%		
13280 Evening Creek Drive South,						
I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(8)</sup>	1	2007	42,971	46.5%	520	26.03
13290 Evening Creek Drive South,						
I-15 Corridor, California	1	2007	61,176	0.0%		
13480 Evening Creek Drive North,						
I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2008	147,533	100.0%	7,775	52.70
13500 Evening Creek Drive North,						
I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2004	140,915	98.0%	4,900	35.50
13520 Evening Creek Drive North,						
I-15 Corridor, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	2004	140,915	53.0%	2,658	36.57

	No. of	Year Built/	Rentable	Percentage Occupied at	Annualized Base Rental Revenue	Average Base Rental Revenue Per Sq. Ft.
Property Location	Buildings	Renovated	Square Feet	12/31/08 <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$000 <del>\$3</del> )	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(3)</sup>
7525 Torrey Santa Fe, 56 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2007	103,979	100.0%	3,012	28.97
7535 Torrey Santa Fe, 56 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2007	130,243	100.0%	3,693	28.35
7545 Torrey Santa Fe, 56 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2007	130,354	100.0%	3,609	27.69
7555 Torrey Santa Fe, 56 Corridor, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2007	101,236	100.0%	3,175	31.36
10020 Pacific Mesa Blvd, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	2007	318,000	100.0%	7,683	24.16
4921 Directors Place, Sorrento Mesa, California	1	2007	55,500	0.0%	2 001	47.40
4939 Directors Place, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup> 4955 Directors Place, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2002	60,662	100.0%	2,881	47.49
5005 Wateridge Vista Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	2002 1999	76,246 61,460	100.0% 100.0%	2,276 1,248	29.85 20.31
5005 Wateridge Vista Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1999	111,318	100.0%	2,261	20.31
10243 Genetic Center Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California	1	2001	102,875	0.0%	2,201	20.51
10390 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	2001	68,400	100.0%	2,771	40.51
6055 Lusk Avenue, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1997	93,000	100.0%	1,554	16.71
6260 Sequence Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1997	130,536	100.0%	1,554	13.15
6290 Sequence Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1997	90,000	100.0%	2,098	23.31
6310 Sequence Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1997	62,415	100.0%	1,200	19.23
6340 Sequence Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1998	66,400	100.0%	1,246	18.77
6350 Sequence Drive, Sorrento Mesa, California	1	1998	132,600	100.0%	2,507	18.91
10394 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1995	59,630	100.0%	1,096	18.38
10398 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1995	43,645	100.0%	943	21.61
10421 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California	1	1995/2002	79,871	0.0%		
10445 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(16)</sup>	1	1995	48,709	0.0%		
10455 Pacific Center Court, Sorrento Mesa, California	1	1995	90,000	100.0%	1,112	12.36
10350 Barnes Canyon, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	1998	38,018	100.0%	915	24.07
10120 Pacific Heights, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1995	52,540	100.0%	977	18.60
5717 Pacific Center Blvd, Sorrento Mesa, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	2001/2005	67,995	100.0%	1,503	22.10
4690 Executive Drive, UTC, California <sup>(9)</sup>	1	1999	47,636	100.0%	1,064	22.34
9455 Towne Center Drive, UTC, California	1	1998	45,195	0.0%		
9785 Towne Center Drive, UTC, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1999	75,534	100.0%	1,374	18.19
9791 Towne Center Drive, UTC, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1999	50,466	100.0%	916	18.15
Subtotal/Weighted Average						
San Diego County	57		5,019,160	83.1%	124,171	29.83
Orange County						
4175 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California	1	1985	43,263	95.1%	841	20.44
8101 Kaiser Blvd. Anaheim, California	1	1988	59,790	94.5%	1,473	26.06
601 Valencia Avenue, Brea, California	1	1982	60,891	0.0%		
603 Valencia Avenue, Brea, California	1	2005	45,900	100.0%	993	21.63
111 Pacifica, Irvine Spectrum, California	1	1991	67,496	66.4%	1,232	30.39
Subtotal/Weighted Average Orange County	5		277,340	67.9%	4,539	24.65
01						
Other		1000	105.041	00.49	1.050	11.10
5151 Camino Ruiz, Camarillo, California <sup>(17)</sup>	2	1982	187,861	89.4%	1,879	11.19
5153 Camino Ruiz, Camarillo, California <sup>(10)</sup>	1	1982	38,655	100.0%	618	15.99
5155 Camino Ruiz, Camarillo, California <sup>(10)</sup>	1	1982	38,856	100.0%	625	16.09
2829 Townsgate Road, Thousand Oaks, California	1	1990	81,067	100.0%	2,438	30.07
Subtotal/Weighted Average						
Other	5		346,439	94.2%	5,560	17.03
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE OFFICE PROPERTIES	92		8,650,126	86.2%	209,513	28.38
Industrial Properties:						
Los Angeles County					-	
2031 E. Mariposa Avenue, El Segundo, California	1	1954	192,053	100.0%	2,960	15.41

Subtotal/Weighted Average						
Los Angeles County	1		192,053	100.0%	2,960	15.41
Orange County						
1000 E. Ball Road, Anaheim, California	1	1956	100,000	100.0%	757	7.57
1230 S. Lewis Road, Anaheim, California	1	1982	57,730	100.0%	388	6.72
1250 N. Tustin Avenue, Anaheim, California	1	1984	84,185	100.0%	753	8.94
3125 E. Coronado Street, Anaheim, California	1	1970	144,000	100.0%	1,087	7.55
3130/3150 Miraloma, Anaheim, California <sup>(11)</sup>	1	1970	144,000	100.0%	838	5.82
3250 E. Carpenter, Anaheim, California	1	1998	41,225	100.0%	314	7.62
3340 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California <sup>(18)</sup>	1	1966	153,320	40.8%		
3355 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California	1	1999	98,200	100.0%	923	9.40
4123 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California <sup>(13)</sup>	1	1985	70,863	100.0%	711	10.03

Property Location	No. of Buildings	Year Built/ Renovated	Rentable Square Feet	Percentage Occupied at 12/31/08 <sup>(1)</sup>	Annualized Base Rental Revenue (\$000 \$ <sup>3)</sup>	Average Base Rental Revenue Per Sq. Ft. (\$) <sup>(3)</sup>
4155 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California <sup>(12)</sup>	1	1985	74,618	88.8%	761	11.48
5115 E. La Palma Avenue, Anaheim, California	1	1967/1998	286,139	100.0%	2,078	7.26
5325 E. Hunter Avenue, Anaheim, California	1	1983	110,487	100.0%	564	5.10
1145 N. Ocean Boulevard, Anaheim, California	1	1999	65,447	100.0%	495	7.56
1201 N. Miller Street, Anaheim, California	1	1999	119,612	100.0%	881	7.37
1211 N. Miller Street, Anaheim, California	1	1999	200,646	100.0%	1,053	5.25
1231 N. Miller Street, Anaheim, California	1	1999	113,242	100.0%	689	6.08
660 N. Puente Street, Brea, California	1	1981	51,567	100.0%	402	7.80
950 W. Central Avenue, Brea, California	1	1983	24,000	100.0%	252	10.50
1050 W. Central Avenue, Brea, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1984	30,000	100.0%	314	10.47
1150 W. Central Avenue, Brea, California	1	1984	30,000	100.0%	330	11.00
895 Beacon Street, Brea, California	1	1987	54,795	100.0%	400	7.30
955 Beacon Street, Brea, California	1	1987	37,916	100.0%	219	5.78
1125 Beacon Street, Brea, California	1	1988	49,178	100.0%	420	8.54
925 Lambert Road, Brea, California <sup>(14)</sup>	1	1999	80,000	100.0%	573	7.16
1075 Lambert Road, Brea, California <sup>(14)</sup>	1	1999	98,811	100.0%	767	7.76
1675 MacArthur Blvd, Costa Mesa, California	1	1986	50,842	100.0%	625	12.29
25902 Towne Center Drive, Foothill Ranch, California	1	1998	309,685	100.0%	2,459	7.94
12681/12691 Pala Drive, Garden Grove, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1970	84,700	55.1%	383	8.21
7421 Orangewood Avenue, Garden Grove, California <sup>(7)</sup>	1	1981	82,602	100.0%	643	7.78
7091 Belgrave Avenue, Garden Grove, California	1	1971	70,000	100.0%	377	5.39
12271 Industry Street, Garden Grove, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	1972	20,000	100.0%	184	9.20
12311 Industry Street, Garden Grove, California <sup>(6)</sup>	1	1972	25,000	100.0%	227	9.08
12400 Industry Street, Garden Grove, California <sup>(15)</sup>	1	1972	64,200	100.0%		
7261 Lampson Avenue, Garden Grove, California	1	1974	47,092	100.0%	330	7.01
12472 Edison Way, Garden Grove, California	1	1984	55,576	100.0%	416	7.49
12442 Knott Avenue, Garden Grove, California	1	1985	58,303	100.0%	546	9.36
2055 S.E. Main Street, Irvine, California <sup>(4)</sup>	1	1973	47,583	100.0%	541	11.37
1951 E. Carnegie Avenue, Santa Ana, California	1	1981	100,000	100.0%	1,013	10.13
2525 Pullman Street, Santa Ana, California	1	2002	103,380	100.0%	684	6.62
14831 Franklin Avenue, Tustin, California	1	1978	36,256	100.0%	279	7.70
2911 Dow Avenue, Tustin, California	1	1998	51,410	100.0%	393	7.64
Subtotal/Weighted Average Orange County	41		3,526,610	96.1%	25,069	7.68
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES	42		3,718,663	96.3%	28,029	8.11
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE ALL PROPERTIES	134		12,368,789	89.2%	\$ 237,542	\$ 21.92

(1) Based on all leases at the respective properties in effect at December 31, 2008. Includes month-to-month leases at December 31, 2008.

(2) Calculated as contractual base rental revenues as of December 31, 2008, determined in accordance with GAAP, annualized to reflect a twelve-month period. Annualized base rental revenues excludes the amortization of deferred revenue recorded for tenant-funded tenant improvements. Excludes month-to-month leases and vacant space at December 31, 2008.

- (3) Calculated as annualized base rent divided by net rentable square feet leased at December 31, 2008. Excludes month-to-month leases and vacant space at December 31, 2008.
- (4) For this property, the lease is written on a triple net basis.

- (5) For this property, leases of approximately 4,000 rentable square feet are written on a modified gross basis, and leases of approximately 6,000 rentable square feet are written on a full service gross basis.
- (6) For this property, the leases are written on a modified gross basis.
- (7) For this property, the lease is written on a modified net basis.
- (8) For this property, a lease of approximately 20,000 rentable square feet is written on a modified net basis. The remaining 23,000 rentable square feet is currently being marketed for lease.
- (9) For this property, leases of approximately 36,000 rentable square feet are written on a modified net basis, and leases of approximately 11,000 rentable square feet are written on a modified gross basis.
- (10) For this property, leases of approximately 20,000 rentable square feet are written on a full service gross basis and leases of approximately 19,000 rentable square feet are written on a triple net basis.
- (11) For this property, a lease of approximately 144,000 rentable square feet is written on a modified net basis.
- (12) For this property, leases of approximately 47,000 rentable square feet are written on a full service gross basis, and leases of approximately 19,000 rentable square feet are written on a triple net basis.

- (13) For this property, a lease of approximately 15,000 rentable square feet is written on a modified gross basis, and a lease of approximately 56,000 rentable square feet is written on a triple net basis.
- (14) For these properties, leases of approximately 142,000 rentable square feet are written on a modified net basis, and a lease of approximately 37,000 rentable square feet is written on a modified gross basis.
- (15) For this property, 64,200 rentable square feet were occupied at December 31, 2008 on a month-to-month basis.
- (16) For this property, a lease was executed with Cardinal Health, Inc. during the fourth quarter of 2008. Cardinal Health, Inc. is expected to take occupancy during the first quarter of 2009. See additional information regarding Cardinal Health, Inc. in Item 2: Properties Significant Tenants.
- (17) For this property, leases of approximately 168,000 rentable square feet are written on a triple net basis and the remaining 20,000 rentable square feet are vacant.

(18) For this property, approximately 62,500 rentable square feet were occupied at December 31, 2008 on a month-to-month basis. **Re-entitlement Property** 

At December 31, 2008 we were in the process of re-entitling the following property for residential use:

Property Location	No. of Buildings	Year Acquired	Net Rentable Square Feet	Percentage Occupied at 12/31/08
17150 Von Karman				
Irvine, California	1	1997	157,458	0%
Lease-up Development Office Property				

The following office property, which is located in San Diego County, will be added to the stabilized office portfolio at the earlier of the property reaching 95% occupancy or one year following cessation of major construction activities. Construction was completed on this property during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Property Name / Submarket	No. of Buildings	Year Built	Net Rentable Square Feet	Percentage Occupied at 12/31/08
Sorrento Gateway-Lot 1				
Sorrento Mesa	1	2008	50,925	0%
Future Development Pipeline				

The following table sets forth certain information relating to our undeveloped land located in San Diego, California as of December 31, 2008.

			Total Cost
		Gross	Basis
		Site	As of
Project	Submarket	Acreage	12/31/2008
			(in millions)

Carlsbad Oaks Lots 4, 5, 7 & 8	Carlsbad	32.0	\$ 18.1
Pacific Corporate Center Lot 8	Sorrento Mesa	5.0	11.3
Rancho Bernardo Corporate Center	I-15 Corridor	21.0	27.2
San Diego Corporate Center	Del Mar	23.0	94.8
Santa Fe Summit Phase II and III	56 Corridor	21.8	69.1
Sorrento Gateway Lot 2	Sorrento Mesa	6.3	11.1
Sorrento Gateway Lot 7	Sorrento Mesa	7.6	10.0

Total

116.7 \$ 241.6

#### Significant Tenants

The following table sets forth information about our fifteen largest tenants as of December 31, 2008, based upon annualized rental revenues at December 31, 2008.

Tenant Name	Property Segment	Annualized Ba Rental Revenues <sup>(1)</sup> (in thousands	Rental Revenues <sup>(1)</sup>	Initial Lease Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Lease Expiration Date
Intuit, Inc.	Office	\$ 15,021		November 1997	Various(3)
Scripps Health	Office	12,336	6 4.4	July 2004	Various(4)
Bridgepoint Education, Inc. <sup>(5)</sup>	Office	9,746	5 3.5	April 2007	September 2018
Cardinal Health, Inc. <sup>(6)</sup>	Office	9,256	5 3.3	July 2007	August 2017
DIRECTV, Inc.	Office	8,530	) 3.1	November 1996	July 2014
AMN Healthcare, Inc.	Office	8,341	3.0	July 2003	July 2018
The Boeing Company	Office/Industrial	6,593	3 2.4	August 1984	Various(7)
Fish & Richardson P.C.	Office	6,071	2.2	October 2003	October 2018
Epson America, Inc.	Office	5,538	3 2.0	October 1999	October 2019
Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.	Office	5,164	1.9	December 2005	May 2016
Verenium Corporation	Office	5,158	3 1.8	November 2000	Various(8)
Hewlett-Packard Company	Office	4,348	3 1.6	October 1999	April 2012
Fair, Isaac and Company, Incorporated	Office	4,006	б <u>1.4</u>	August 2003	July 2010
Avnet, Inc.	Office	3,768	3 1.4	March 2003	February 2013
Epicor Software Corporation	Office	3,509	) 1.3	September 1999	August 2009
Total		\$ 107,385	5 38.7%	-	-

- (1) Based upon annualized contractual base rental revenue, which is calculated on a straight-line basis in accordance with GAAP, for leases for which rental revenue is being recognized by us as of December 31, 2008.
- (2) Represents the date of the first relationship between the tenant and us or our predecessor.
- (3) The Intuit leases, which contribute \$16,000, \$1.5 million and \$13.5 million of annualized base rental revenues, expired in January 2009 and will expire in August 2010 and August 2017, respectively.
- (4) The Scripps Health leases, which contribute \$5.2 million and \$7.1 million of annualized base rental revenues, expire in June 2021 and February 2027, respectively.
- (5) Bridgepoint Education, Inc. is presently expected to increase its current occupancy of 196,415 rentable square feet to 307,008 rentable square feet in phases through the third quarter of 2010. This anticipated expansion will increase our annualized base rental revenue from Bridgepoint Education, Inc. to approximately \$14.8 million in the third quarter of 2010. If the increase in occupancy occurs, Bridgepoint Education, Inc. would become our second largest tenant starting in the fourth quarter of 2009.
- (6) In December 2008, we executed a lease with Cardinal Health, Inc. for an additional 48,700 rentable square feet at 10445 Pacific Center Court in San Diego, CA. The lease will increase our annualized base rental revenue from Cardinal Health, Inc. by approximately \$0.8 million and is expected to commence in the first quarter of 2009.

- (7) The Boeing Company leases, which contribute \$0.7 million, \$5.4 million and \$0.5 million of annualized base rental revenues, expire in March 2009, July 2010 and October 2010, respectively.
- (8) The Verenium Corporation leases, which contribute \$2.9 million and \$2.3 million of annualized base rental revenues, expire in November 2015 and March 2017, respectively.

The following table sets forth the composition of our tenant base by industry at December 31, 2008, based on Standard Industrial Classifications.

Industry	Percentage of Total Annualized Base Rental Revenues at December 31, 2008
Professional, business and other services	34.3%
Manufacturing	19.2%
Education and health services	16.7%
Finance, insurance and real estate	15.1%
Information technology	9.9%
Government	2.0%
Construction	1.7%
Wholesale and retail trade	0.5%
Leisure and hospitality	0.5%
Transportation, warehousing and public utilities	0.1%
Total	100.0%

The following is a list of a representative sample of 25 of our tenants whose annualized base rental revenues were individually less than 1.0% of our total annualized base rental revenue at December 31, 2008:

- ADT Security Services, Inc.
- American Apparel USA, LLC
- American Financial Network
- Budget Press, Inc.
- Conkle, Kremer & Engel
- Contractors Bonding & Insurance Company IPC International Corporation
- Digital Artist Management, Inc.
- Dudek & Associates, Inc.
- Encore Software, Inc.

- Healthcare Communications, Group
- The Hospitality Group Worldwide Limited
- Houser & Allison, APC
- Innovative Medical Management, Inc.
- Interior Office Solutions, Inc.
- Mundell Odlum & Hawes, LLP
- New Vision Shutters
- North American Title Company

23

- Pacific West Association of REALTORS<sup>®</sup> Incorporated
- Pickford Realty, Ltd.
- ٠ Robert Half International, Inc.
- Samsung Information Systems America, Inc.
- Stoody, Mills & Lansford LLP
- Winters Financial Group, Inc.
- WL & Associates Realty Advisor, Inc.

Table of Contents

#### Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth a summary of our lease expirations for the Office Properties and Industrial Properties for each of the next ten years beginning with 2009, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal options or termination rights. See further discussion of our lease expirations under Item 1A: Risk Factors.

#### Lease Expirations by Segment Type<sup>(1)</sup>

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Expiring Leases	Net Rentable Area Subject to Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Percentage of Leased Square Feet Represented by Expiring Leases	Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (000 \$ <sup>3</sup> )		Percentage of Annualized Base Rental Revenue Represented by Expiring Leases <sup>(2)</sup>	Average Annualized Base Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (000 \$ <sup>3</sup> )	
Office Properties:	<i>.</i>	(20 (10	0.5%	<b>A</b> 1	6 40 6	<b>5</b> .0%	٨	25.65
2009	64	639,648	8.7%		6,406	7.8%	\$	25.65
2010	76	1,311,111	17.8		2,305	15.4		24.64
2011	51	518,501	7.0		0,759	5.1		20.75
2012	42	534,377	7.2		4,940	7.1		27.96
2013	37	529,882	7.2		3,139	6.3		24.80
2014	24	886,873	12.0		2,479	10.8		25.35
2015	14	476,464	6.5		4,380	6.9		30.18
2016	9	333,947	4.5		8,514	4.1		25.50
2017	11	1,084,438	14.7		9,624	14.1		27.32
2018	20	638,798	8.7		8,283	13.5		44.28
2019 and beyond	5 353	428,819 7,382,858	5.7 100.0%		8,684 9,513	8.9 100.0%		43.57 28.38
Industrial Properties:								
2009	10	355,413	10.3%		2,479	8.8%		6.97
2010	16	461,493	13.4		3,674	13.1		7.96
2011	12	345,634	10.0		3,217	11.5		9.31
2012	11	596,672	17.3		4,158	14.8		6.97
2013	4	581,508	16.8		4,259	15.2		7.32
2014	6	407,123	11.8		3,053	10.9		7.50
2015	4	260,889	7.6		2,102	7.5		8.06
2016	1	41,225	1.2		314	1.1		7.62
2017	1	192,053	5.6	/	2,960	10.6		15.41
2018	1	82,602	2.3		643	2.3		7.78
2019 and beyond	2	130,144	3.7		1,170	4.2		8.99
	68	3,454,756	100.0%	\$ 28	8,029	100.0%		8.11
Total Portfolio	421	10,837,614	100.0%	\$ 23'	7,542	100.0%	\$	21.92

(1) The information presented reflects leasing activity as of January 31, 2009. For leases that have been renewed early or space that has been re-leased to a new tenant, the expiration date and annualized base rent information presented takes into consideration the renewed or re-leased lease terms. Excludes space leased under month-to-month leases and vacant space at December 31, 2008.

(2) Reflects annualized contractual base rental revenue calculated on a straight-line basis.

### Secured Debt

At December 31, 2008, the Operating Partnership had six outstanding mortgage notes payable and one secured line of credit, which were secured by certain of our properties. Our secured debt represents an aggregate indebtedness of approximately \$316.5 million. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements and Schedule III Real Estate and Depreciation included with this report. 88.8% of our secured debt bears interest at a fixed rate and 11.2% bears interest at a variable rate. Management believes that, as of December 31, 2008, the value of the properties securing the respective secured obligations in each case exceeded the principal amount of the outstanding obligation.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Other than routine litigation incidental to the business, we are not a defendant in, and our properties are not subject to, any legal proceedings that, if determined adversely to us, would have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

# ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of stockholders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2008.

### PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol KRC. The following table illustrates the high, low and closing prices by quarter during 2008 and 2007 as reported on the NYSE. As of the date this report was filed, there were approximately 129 registered holders of our common stock.

					DCh	
						are Common
					Stoc	k Dividends
	2008	High	Low	Close	Γ	Declared
First quarter		\$ 53.64	\$44.81	\$ 49.11	\$	0.5800
Second quarter		55.54	46.52	47.03		0.5800
Third quarter		52.30	42.37	47.79		0.5800
Fourth quarter		45.97	21.71	33.46		0.5800
					Per Sh	are Common
					Stoc	k Dividends
	2007	High	Low	Close	Ι	Declared
First quarter		\$ 89.80	\$ 72.70	\$73.75	\$	0.5550
Second quarter		76.92	69.48	70.84		0.5550
Third quarter		73.20	56.79	60.63		0.5550
Fourth quarter		68.29	52.66	54.96		0.5550

We pay distributions to common stockholders quarterly each January, April, July and October at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Distribution amounts depend on our FFO (as defined under Item 1: Business Business and Growth Strategies ), financial condition and capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we issued 435,596 and 129,204 shares of common stock, respectively, in redemption of 435,596 and 129,204 Common Units by limited partners. The issuance was not dilutive to capitalization or distributions as the common shares were issued on a one-for-one basis pursuant to the terms set forth in the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, and the Common Units share in distributions with the common stock.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we accepted the return, at the current quoted market price, of 61,111 and 31,515 shares of our common stock, respectively, from certain key employees in accordance with the provisions of our incentive stock plan to satisfy minimum statutory tax-withholding requirements related to shares that vested during these periods.

The following table sets forth our share repurchase activity for the three months ended December 31, 2008:

Period	(a) Total number of shares (or units) purchased	pe	(b) e price paid r share r unit)	(c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
October 1 October 31, 2008					
November 1 November 30, 2008					
December 1 December 31, 2008	809(1)	\$	34.49		988,025

Total	809	\$ 34.49	988,025

(1) In December 2008, a total of 809 shares were tendered to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding obligations related to the vesting of restricted shares.

# PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following line graph compares the change in cumulative stockholder return on our shares of common stock to the cumulative total return of the NAREIT All Equity REIT Index, the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index, and the SNL REIT Office Index for the five-year period ended December 31, 2008. We include an additional index, the SNL REIT Office Index, to the performance graph since management believes it provides additional information to investors about our performance relative to a more specific peer group. The SNL REIT Office Index is a published and widely recognized index that comprises 16 office equity REITs, including us. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in us and each of the indices on December 31, 2003 and, as required by the SEC, the reinvestment of all distributions. The return shown on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

(1) This index is published by SNL Financial LC and includes 15 other office REITs and us.

# ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

# Kilroy Realty Corporation Consolidated

(in thousands, except per share, square footage and occupancy data)

		2008		Yea 2007	ar End	ed December 2006	r 31,	2005		2004
Statements of Operations Data:	<b></b>	252.004	<b>.</b>	000 (70	¢	016 745	<b>.</b>	205.070	<b>.</b>	100 461
Rental income	\$	252,084	\$	229,672	\$	216,745	\$	205,070	\$	182,461
Tenant reimbursements		31,035		25,322		22,440		20,270		18,549
Other property income		6,849		3,478		2,356		771		1,080
Total revenues		289,968		258,472		241,541		226,111		202,090
Property expenses		48,875		43,306		39,700		36,061		30,276
Real estate taxes		22,108		19,539		18,149		16,334		15,220
Provision for bad debts		4,051		473		744		(668)		832
Ground leases		1,617		1,582		1,583		1,207		976
General and administrative expenses		38,260		36,580		22,800		66,456		34,021
Interest expense		40,366		37,502		43,541		38,956		33,678
Depreciation and amortization		83,275		72,815		68,830		64,273		54,820
Total expenses		238,552		211,797		195,347		222,619		169,823
Interest and other investment (loss) income		(93)		1,606		1,653		604		521
Net settlement receipts (payments) on interest rate swaps						991		364		(2,893)
(Loss) gain on derivative instruments						(818)		378		3,099
Total other (loss) income		(93)		1,606		1,826		1,346		727
Income from continuing operations before minority interests Minority interests:		51,323		48,281		48,020		4,838		32,994
Distributions on Cumulative Redeemable Preferred units		(5,588)		(5,588)		(5,588)		(5,588)		(9,579)
Original issuance costs of redeemed preferred units Minority interest in (earnings) loss of Operating Partnership										(1,200)
attributable to continuing operations		(2,148)		(2,129)		(2,514)		1,193		(2,344)
Total minority interests		(7,736)		(7,717)		(8,102)		(4,395)		(13,123)
Income from continuing operations		43,587		40,564		39,918		443		19,871
Discontinued operations:										
Revenues from discontinued operations		199		10,312		22,788		16,734		23,585
Expenses from discontinued operations		135		(6,521)		(8,625)		(9,780)		(13,374)
Net gain on dispositions of discontinued operations		234		74,505		31,259		30,764		6,148
Impairment loss on property held for sale										(726)
Minority interest in earnings of Operating Partnership attributable										
to discontinued operations		(34)		(5,038)		(3,476)		(4,342)		(1,963)
Total income from discontinued operations		534		73,258		41,946		33,376		13,670
Net income		44,121		113,822		81,864		33,819		33,541
Preferred dividends		(9,608)		(9,608)		(9,608)		(9,608)		(3,553)
Net income available for common stockholders	\$	34,513	\$	104,214	\$	72,256	\$	24,211	\$	29,988
Share Data:										
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	3	2,466,591	3	32,379,997	3	1,244,062	2	28,710,726	2	8,244,459

Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	32,6	69,997	32,5	526,723	31,	389,999	28	,710,726	28,	422,027
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share basic	\$	1.05	\$	0.96	\$	0.97	\$	(0.32)	\$	0.58
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share diluted	\$	1.04	\$	0.95	\$	0.96	\$	(0.32)	\$	0.57
Net income per common share basic	\$	1.06	\$	3.22	\$	2.31	\$	0.84	\$	1.06
Net income per common share diluted	\$	1.06	\$	3.20	\$	2.30	\$	0.84	\$	1.06
Dividends declared per common share	\$	2.32	\$	2.22	\$	2.12	\$	2.04	\$	1.98

	Kilroy Realty Corporation Consolidated December 31.							
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004			
Balance Sheet Data:								
Total real estate held for investment, before								
accumulated depreciation and amortization	\$ 2,472,013	\$ 2,368,556	\$ 2,040,761	\$ 1,953,971	\$ 1,863,230			
Total assets	2,099,583	2,068,720	1,799,352	1,674,474	1,609,024			
Total debt	1,169,466	1,107,002	879,198	842,282	801,441			
Total liabilities	1,341,512	1,263,481	1,011,790	1,031,106	929,348			
Total minority interests	102,006	111,947	113,266	124,100	133,129			
Total preferred stock	121,582	121,582	121,582	121,582	121,582			
Total stockholders equity	656,065	693,292	674,296	519,268	546,547			
Other Data:								
Funds From Operations <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 118,952	\$ 110,584	\$ 118,184	\$ 63,603	\$ 87,643			
Cash flows provided by (used in):								
Operating activities	144,481	147,500	61,570	116,002	120,513			
Investing activities	(93,825)	(244,802)	(136,193)	(75,682)	(123,271)			
Financing activities	(52,835)	97,086	82,690	(41,292)	(2,281)			
Office Properties:								
Rentable square footage	8,650,126	8,088,769	7,835,040	7,948,152	7,674,424			
Occupancy	86.2%	93.7%	95.8%	92.5%	94.0%			
Industrial Properties:								
Rentable square footage	3,718,663	3,869,969	3,869,969	4,587,491	4,602,605			
Occupancy	96.3%	94.7%	95.8%	99.3%	95.5%			

(1) We calculate FFO in accordance with the White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of NAREIT. The White Paper defines FFO as net income or loss calculated in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items, as defined by GAAP, and gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and depreciation of non-real estate assets), and after adjustment for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

We believe that FFO is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance. The exclusion from FFO of gains and losses from the sale of operating real estate assets allows investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the assets that form the core of our activity and assists in comparing those operating results between periods. Also, because FFO is generally recognized as the industry standard for reporting the operations of REITs, it facilitates comparisons of operating performance to other REITs. However, other REITs may use different methodologies to calculate FFO, and accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to all other REITs.

Implicit in historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP is the assumption that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered presentations of operating results for real estate companies using historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, we believe that FFO along with the required GAAP presentations provides a more complete measurement of our performance relative to our competitors and a more appropriate basis on which to make decisions involving operating, financing and investing activities than the required GAAP presentations alone would provide.

However, FFO should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our operating performance since it does not reflect either depreciation and amortization costs or the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, which are significant economic costs and could materially impact our results of operations.

Non-cash adjustments to arrive at FFO were as follows: in all periods, minority interest in earnings of the Operating Partnership, depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and net gain (loss) from dispositions of operating properties. For additional information, see Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Non-GAAP Supplemental Financial Measure: Funds From Operations including a reconciliation of our GAAP net income available for common stockholders to FFO for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion relates to our consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Statements contained in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not historical facts may be forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Some of the information presented is forward-looking in nature, including information concerning projected future occupancy rates, rental rate increases, property development timing and investment amounts. Although the information is based on our current expectations, actual results could vary from expectations stated in this report. Numerous factors will affect our actual results, some of which are beyond our control. These include the breadth and duration of the current economic recession and its impact on our tenants, the strength of commercial and industrial real estate markets, market conditions affecting tenants, competitive market conditions, interest rate levels, volatility in our stock price, and capital market conditions. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this information, which speaks only as of the date of this report. We assume no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except to the extent we are required to do so in connection with our ongoing requirements under federal securities laws to disclose material information. For a discussion of important risks related to our business, and related to investing in our securities, including risks that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from results and events referred to in the forward-looking information, see Item 1A: Risk Factors and the discussion under the captions Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources below. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this report might not occur.

#### **Overview and Background**

We own, operate, and develop office and industrial real estate in Southern California. We operate as a self-administered REIT. We own our interests in all of our properties through the Operating Partnership and the Finance Partnership and conduct substantially all of our operations through the Operating Partnership. We owned a 95.0% and 93.7% general partnership interest in the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting periods.

Certain accounting policies are considered to be critical accounting policies. Critical accounting policies are those policies that require management to make significant estimates and/or assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the estimates and/or assumptions are made or where management is required to make significant judgments and assumptions with respect to the practical application of accounting principles in its business operations. Critical accounting policies are by definition those policies that are material to our financial statements and for which the impact of changes in estimates, assumptions and judgments could have a material impact to our financial statements.

The following critical accounting policies discussion reflects what we believe are the more significant estimates, assumptions and judgments used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. This discussion of our critical accounting policies is intended to supplement the description of our accounting policies

in the footnotes to our consolidated financial statements and to provide additional insight into the information used by management when evaluating significant estimates, assumptions and judgments. For further discussion of our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report.

### Rental Revenue Recognition

Rental revenue is our principal source of revenue. The timing of when we commence rental revenue recognition depends largely on our conclusion as to whether we are or the tenant is the owner for accounting purposes of the tenant improvements at the leased property. When we conclude that we are the owner of tenant improvements for accounting purposes, we record the cost to construct the tenant improvements as an asset and we commence rental revenue recognition when the tenant takes possession of the finished space, which is typically when such tenant improvements are substantially complete.

The determination of whether we are or the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements for accounting purposes is subject to significant judgment. In making that determination, we consider numerous factors and perform a detailed evaluation of each individual lease. No one factor is determinative in reaching a conclusion. The factors we evaluate include but are not limited to the following:

- whether the lease agreement requires landlord approval of how the tenant improvement allowance is spent prior to installation of the tenant improvements;
- whether the lease agreement requires the tenant to provide evidence to the landlord supporting the cost and what the tenant improvement allowance was spent on prior to payment by the landlord for such tenant improvements;
- whether the tenant improvements are unique to the tenant or reusable by other tenants;
- whether the tenant is permitted to alter or remove the tenant improvements without the consent of the landlord or without compensating the landlord for any lost utility or diminution in fair value; and

• whether the ownership of the tenant improvements remains with the landlord or remains with the tenant at the end of the lease term. In addition, we also record the cost of certain tenant improvements paid for or reimbursed by tenants when we conclude that we are the owner of such tenant improvements using the factors discussed above. For these tenant-funded tenant improvements, we record the amount funded or reimbursed by tenants as deferred revenue, which is amortized and recognized as rental revenue over the term of the related lease beginning upon substantial completion of the leased premises. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded \$28.1 million, \$41.1 million and \$5.9 million, respectively, of tenant-funded tenant improvements, primarily at certain of our in-process development and redevelopment properties, and we recognized \$11.3 million, \$4.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, of non-cash rental revenue related to the amortization of deferred revenue recorded in connection with these tenant-funded tenant improvements.

When we conclude that we are not the owner and the tenant is the owner of tenant improvements for accounting purposes, we record our contribution towards those improvements as a lease incentive, which is amortized as a reduction to rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease, and rental revenue recognition begins when the tenant takes possession of or controls the space.

Our judgment as to whether we are or the tenant is the owner of tenant improvements for accounting purposes is made on a lease by lease basis and has a significant impact on the amount of non-cash rental revenue that we record related to the amortization of deferred revenue for tenant-funded tenant improvements, and therefore on our results of operations. Our judgment as to whether we are or the tenant is the owner of the tenant improvements for accounting purposes can also have a significant effect on the timing of our overall revenue recognition and therefore on our results of operations.

### Tenant Reimbursement Revenue

Reimbursements from tenants consist of amounts due from tenants for common area maintenance, real estate taxes and other recoverable costs. Calculating tenant reimbursement revenue requires an in-depth analysis of the complex terms of each applicable underlying lease. Examples of judgments and estimates used when determining the amounts recoverable include:

- estimating the final expenses, net of accruals, that are recoverable;
- estimating the fixed and variable components of operating expenses for each building;
- conforming recoverable expense pools to those used in establishing the base year or base allowance for the applicable underlying lease; and

• concluding whether an expense or capital expenditure is recoverable per the terms of the underlying lease. During the year, we accrue estimated tenant reimbursement revenue in the period in which the reimbursable expenses are incurred and thus recoverable from the tenant based on our best estimate of the amounts to be recovered. Throughout the year, we perform analyses to properly match tenant reimbursement revenue with reimbursable costs incurred to date. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of each year, we perform preliminary reconciliations and accrue additional tenant reimbursement revenue or refunds. Subsequent to year end, we perform final detailed reconciliations and analyses on a lease-by-lease basis and bill or refund each tenant for any cumulative annual adjustments in the first and second quarters of each year for the previous year s activity.

Our historical experience for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 has been that our final reconciliation and billing process resulted in final amounts that approximated the total annual tenant reimbursement revenues recognized. We are currently in the process of performing our 2008 final reconciliations.

### Allowances for Uncollectible Current Tenant Receivables and Deferred Rent Receivables

Tenant receivables and deferred rent receivables are carried net of the allowances for uncollectible current tenant receivables and deferred rent receivables. Current tenant receivables consist primarily of amounts due for contractual lease payments and reimbursements of common area maintenance expenses, property taxes and other expenses recoverable from tenants. Deferred rent receivables represent the amount by which the cumulative straight-line rental revenue recorded to date exceeds cash rents billed to date under the lease agreement.

Management s determination of the adequacy of the allowance for uncollectible current tenant receivables and the allowance for deferred rent receivables is performed using a methodology that incorporates a specific identification analysis and an aging analysis and includes an overall evaluation of our historical loss trends and the current economic and business environment.

With respect to the allowance for uncollectible tenant receivables, the specific identification methodology analysis, relies on factors such as the age and nature of the receivables, the payment history and financial condition of the tenant, our assessment of the tenant s ability to meet its lease obligations and the status of negotiations of any disputes with the tenant. With respect to the allowance for deferred rent receivables, given the longer term nature of these receivables, the specific identification methodology analysis evaluates each of our significant tenants and any tenants on our internal watchlist and relies on factors such as each tenant s financial condition and its ability to meet its lease obligations. Our allowances also include a reserve based on historical loss trends, which is not associated with any specific tenant. These reserves as well as our specific identification reserve is re-evaluated quarterly based on changes in the financial condition of tenants and our assessment of the tenant s ability to meet its lease obligations, overall economic conditions and the current business environment.

Our determination of the adequacy of these allowances requires significant judgment and estimates about matters that are uncertain at the time the estimates are made. For example, the factors that we consider and

re-evaluate on a quarterly basis with respect to our allowances include the creditworthiness of specific tenants, specific industry trends and conditions and general economic trends and conditions. Since these factors are beyond our control, actual results can differ from our estimates, and such differences could be material.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded a total provision for bad debts for both current tenant receivables and deferred rent receivables of approximately 1.4%, 0.2% and 0.3%, respectively, of recurring rental revenue. Included in the provision amount for 2008 is approximately \$3.1 million to reserve for the unrecoverable portion of the deferred rent receivable balance related to the Favrille, Inc. (Favrille) lease. See Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report. Excluding the impact of Favrille on the provision for bad debts, for the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a provision for bad debts of approximately 0.3% of recurring revenue. Our historical experience has been that actual write-offs of current tenant receivables and deferred rent receivables has approximated the provision for bad debts recorded for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. In the event our estimates were not accurate and we had to change our allowances by 1% of recurring revenue, the potential impact to our net income available to common stockholders would be approximately \$2.8 million, \$2.6 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

### Evaluation of Asset Impairment

We evaluate our real estate assets for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a given asset may not be recoverable. We evaluate our real estate assets for impairment on a property by property basis. Indicators we use to determine whether an impairment evaluation is necessary include:

- current low occupancy levels or forecasted low occupancy levels at a specific property;
- current period operating or cash flow losses combined with a historical pattern or future projection of potential continued operating or cash flow losses at a specific property;
- deterioration in rental rates for a specific property as evidenced by sudden significant rental rate decreases or continuous rental rate decreases over numerous quarters, which could signal a decrease in future cash flow for that property;
- deterioration of a given rental submarket as evidenced by significant increases in market vacancy and/or negative absorption rates or continuous increases in market vacancy and/or negative absorption rates over numerous quarters, which could signal a decrease in future cash flow for properties within that submarket;
- significant increases in market capitalization rates, continuous increases in market capitalization rates over several quarters, or recent property sales at a loss within a given submarket, each of which could signal a decrease in the market value of properties;
- significant change in strategy or use of a specific property or any other event that could result in a decreased holding period or significant development delay;
- instances of physical damage to the property; and
- default by a significant tenant when other indicators are present.

When evaluating properties to be held and used for potential impairment, we first evaluate whether there are any indicators of impairment for any of our properties. If any impairment indicators are present for a specific property, we then perform an undiscounted cash flow analysis and compare the net carrying amount of the property to the property s estimated undiscounted future cash flow over the anticipated holding period. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flow is less than the net carrying amount of the property, we perform an impairment loss calculation to

determine if the fair value of the property is less than the net carrying value of the

property. Our impairment loss calculation compares the net carrying amount of the property to the property s estimated fair value, which may be based on estimated discounted future cash flow calculations or third-party valuations or appraisals. We recognize an impairment loss if the amount of the asset s net carrying amount exceeds the asset s estimated fair value. If we recognize an impairment loss, the estimated fair value of the asset becomes its new cost basis. For a depreciable long-lived asset, the new cost basis will be depreciated (amortized) over the remaining useful life of that asset.

Our undiscounted cash flow and fair value calculations contain uncertainties because they require management to make assumptions and to apply judgment to estimate future cash flow and property fair values, including selecting the discount or capitalization rate that reflects the risk inherent in future cash flow. Estimating projected cash flow requires assumptions related to future rental rates, tenant allowances, operating expenditures, property taxes, capital improvements and occupancy levels. We are also required to make a number of assumptions relating to future economic and market events and prospective operating trends. Determining the appropriate capitalization rate also requires significant judgment and is typically based on many factors including the prevailing rate for the market or submarket, as well as the quality and location of the properties. Further, capitalization rates can fluctuate due to a variety of factors in the overall economy or within regional markets. If the actual net cash flow or actual market capitalization rates significantly differ from our estimates, the impairment evaluation for an individual asset could be materially affected.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we did not record any impairment losses since our strategy is to hold our properties for long-term use and therefore the undiscounted cash flow well exceeded the net carrying value for each evaluation.

### Cost Capitalization and Depreciation

We capitalize costs associated with development and redevelopment activities, capital improvements, tenant improvements and leasing activities. Amounts capitalized are depreciated or amortized over estimated useful lives determined by management. We depreciate buildings and improvements based on the estimated useful life of the asset, and we amortize tenant improvements and leasing costs over the shorter of the estimated useful life or estimated remaining life of the related lease. All capitalized costs are depreciated or amortized using the straight-line method.

Determining whether expenditures meet the criteria for capitalization and the assignment of depreciable lives requires management to exercise significant judgment. Expenditures that meet one or more of the following criteria generally qualify for capitalization:

- provide benefit in future periods;
- extend the useful life of the asset beyond our original estimates;
- increase the quantity of the asset beyond our original estimates; and
- increase the quality of the asset beyond our original estimates. Our historical experience has demonstrated that we have not had material write-offs of assets and that our depreciation and amortization estimates have been reasonable and appropriate.

# Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations

*Global Market and Economic Conditions.* In the U.S., recent market and economic conditions have been unprecedented and challenging with tighter credit conditions and slower growth through the fourth quarter of 2008. As a result of these market conditions, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Concern about the stability of the markets generally and the strength of counterparties specifically has led many lenders and institutional investors to

reduce, and in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers. Continued turbulence in the U.S. and international markets and economies may adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and the liquidity and financial condition of our tenants. If these market conditions continue, they may limit our ability, and the ability of our tenants, to timely refinance maturing liabilities and access the capital markets to meet liquidity needs.

*Real Estate Asset Valuation.* General economic conditions and the resulting impact on market conditions or a downturn in tenants businesses may adversely affect the value of our assets. Periods of economic slowdown or recession in the U.S., declining demand for leased office or industrial properties and/or a decrease in market rental rates and/or market values of real estate assets in our submarkets could have a negative impact on the value of our assets, including the value of our properties and related tenant improvements. If we were required under GAAP to write down the carrying value of any of our properties to the lower of cost or market due to impairment, or if as a result of an early lease termination we were required to remove and dispose of material amounts of tenant improvements that are not reusable to another tenant, our financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected.

*Leasing Activity and Rental Rates.* The amount of net rental income generated by our properties depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space, newly developed or redeveloped properties and space available from unscheduled lease terminations. The amount of rental income we generate also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates in our submarkets. Negative trends in one or more of these factors could adversely affect our rental income in future periods. The following tables set forth certain information regarding our 2008 leasing activity.

### Leasing Activity by Segment Type

### For the Year Ended December 31, 2008

		mber of eases <sup>(1)</sup>		ntable re Feet <sup>(1)</sup>	Changes in	Changes in Cash	Retention	Weighted Average Lease Term
	New	Renewal	New	Renewal	Rents <sup>(2)</sup>	Rents <sup>(3)</sup>	Rates <sup>(4)</sup>	(in months)
Office Properties	29	31	226,820	349,009	36.4%	18.3%	48.7%	53
Industrial Properties	6	9	212,698	728,363	24.4%	0.8%	77.5%	63
Total portfolio	35	40	439,518	1,077,372	31.6%	11.3%	65.0%	59

(1) Represents leasing activity for leases commenced during the period shown, including first and second generation space, net of month-to-month leases. Excludes leasing on new construction.

- (2) Calculated as the change between GAAP rents for new/renewed leases and the expiring GAAP rents for the same space. Excludes leases for which the space was vacant longer than one year.
- (3) Calculated as the change between stated rents for new/renewed leases and the expiring stated rents for the same space. Excludes leases for which the space was vacant longer than one year.

(4) Calculated as the percentage of space either renewed or expanded into by existing tenants or subtenants at lease expiration.

We believe that at December 31, 2008 the weighted average cash rental rates for our properties were approximately 5% to 10% below the current average quoted market rates, although individual properties within any particular submarket presently may be leased either above, below or at the current quoted market rates within that submarket, and the average rental rates for individual submarkets may be above, below or at the average cash rental rate of our overall portfolio. However, under the current recessionary conditions affecting our markets, we cannot give any assurance that leases will be renewed or that available space will be re-leased at rental rates equal to or above the current quoted market rates. Our occupancy and rental rates are impacted by general economic conditions, including the pace of regional economic growth and access to capital. An extended economic slowdown and continued tightening of the credit markets could have an adverse affect on our tenants and, as a

# Table of Contents

result, on our future occupancy, rental rates and cash flow.

*Scheduled Lease Expirations.* In addition to the 1.3 million rentable square feet, or 10.8%, of currently available space in our stabilized portfolio, leases representing approximately 9.2% and 16.4% of the occupied square footage of our stabilized portfolio are scheduled to expire during 2009 and in 2010, respectively. The leases scheduled to expire during 2009 and in 2010 represent approximately 2.0 million rentable square feet of office space, or 20.5% of our total annualized base rental revenue, and 0.8 million rentable square feet of industrial space, or 2.6% of our total annualized base rental revenue, respectively. We believe that the average cash rental rates are approximately 5% below the current average quoted market rates for leases scheduled to expire during 2009 and that the average cash rental rates are approximately 10% to 15% below the current average quoted market rates for leases scheduled to expire during 2010, although individual properties within any particular submarket presently may be leased either above, below or at the current quoted market rates within that submarket, and the average rental rates for individual submarkets may be above, below or at the average cash rental rate of our overall portfolio. Our ability to re-lease available space depends upon the market conditions in the specific regions in which our properties are located and general market conditions.

*Sublease Space*. Of our leased space at December 31, 2008, approximately 485,600 rentable square feet, or 3.9%, of the square footage in our stabilized portfolio, was available for sublease, compared to 608,100 rentable square feet, or 5.1% at December 31, 2007. Of the 3.9% of available sublease space in our stabilized portfolio at December 31, 2008, approximately 1.2% was vacant space, and the remaining 2.7% was occupied. Approximately 53.1%, 27.2% and 19.7% of the available sublease space as of December 31, 2008 is located in the San Diego, Orange County and Los Angeles regions, respectively. Of the approximately 485,600 rentable square feet available for sublease at December 31, 2008, approximately 47,700 rentable square feet representing three leases are scheduled to expire in 2009, and approximately 144,200 rentable square feet representing seven leases are scheduled to expire in 2010.

Negative trends or other unforeseeable events that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and our ability to maintain or increase rental rates in our submarkets could have an adverse effect on our future financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

*Development and Redevelopment Programs.* Historically, a significant portion of our growth has come from our development and redevelopment efforts. We have a proactive planning process by which we continually evaluate the size, timing, costs and scope of our development and redevelopment programs and, as necessary, scale activity to reflect the economic conditions and the real estate fundamentals that exist in our strategic submarkets.

We believe that a portion of our future potential growth will continue to come from our newly developed or redeveloped properties and our development pipeline. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we added approximately 560,000 rentable square feet of office space to our stabilized portfolio. As of December 31, 2008, our development pipeline included 116.7 gross acres of land with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$242 million. We may also continue to seek and obtain development opportunities throughout Southern California and specifically in our core markets.

However, we anticipate that the general economic conditions and the resulting impact on conditions in our core markets will delay timing and reduce the scope of our development program in the near future, which will further impact the average development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest and other carry cost capitalization. During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, we did not capitalize interest and carry costs on certain development pipeline properties with an aggregate cost basis of approximately \$38 million and \$78 million, respectively, as it was determined these projects did not qualify for interest and other carry cost capitalization for the applicable quarters under GAAP.

In addition, we may be unable to lease committed development or redevelopment properties at expected rental rates or within projected timeframes or complete development or redevelopment properties on schedule or within budgeted amounts, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash

flow. During the year ended December 31, 2008, three of the properties we added to the stabilized portfolio, encompassing approximately 159,000 rentable square feet, had not yet reached stabilized occupancy of 95% since one year had passed since cessation of major construction activity. The average occupancy for these three properties was approximately 13% at December 31, 2008.

We believe that other possible sources of potential future growth are redevelopment opportunities within our existing portfolio and/or targeted acquisitions. Redevelopment efforts can achieve similar returns to new development with reduced entitlement risk and shorter construction periods. Depending on market conditions, we will continue to pursue future redevelopment opportunities in our strategic submarkets where there is limited land for development. We had no redevelopment properties in-process as of December 31, 2008.

See additional information regarding our development and redevelopment properties under the caption 2008 Stabilized Development and Redevelopment Properties and in Item 2: Properties.

*City of San Diego*. Given the geographic concentration of our development program in San Diego County, our operating results may be affected by (i) the city of San Diego s current financial difficulties and ongoing investigations with respect to the city s finances, (ii) the city of San Diego s General Plan and Land Use update, (iii) the city of San Diego s zoning ordinance updates, (iv) the city of San Diego, state and federal agencies future adoption of potential impact fees to address water supply infrastructure, climate change legislation and mandatory energy and sustainable building code requirements, (v) the potential new building permit moratorium due to state and regional water agencies not issuing new water meters because of new water rationing guidelines, and (vi) recent storm water runoff regulations and other pending ordinances currently under consideration by the city, county and state water agencies and other agencies. Any of these factors may affect the city of San Diego s ability to finance capital projects and may impact real estate development, entitlements, costs of development and market conditions in this important submarket. As of the date this report was filed, we have not experienced any material adverse effects arising from these factors.

*Incentive Compensation.* Our Executive Compensation Committee determines compensation, including equity and cash incentive programs, for our executive officers. The programs approved by the Executive Compensation Committee have historically provided for equity and cash compensation to be earned by our executive officers based on certain performance measures, including financial, operating and development targets.

In the first quarter of 2009, our Executive Compensation Committee approved the 2009 Annual Bonus Program for executive management that will allow for executive management to receive bonus compensation for achieving certain specified corporate performance measures for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The provisions of the 2009 program were reported on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 29, 2009. As a result of the structure of these programs and other such programs that the Executive Compensation Committee may adopt in the future, accrued incentive compensation and compensation expense for these programs will be affected by our operating and development performance, financial results, the performance of our common stock and market conditions. Consequently, we cannot predict the amounts that will be recorded in future periods related to compensation programs.

*Share-Based Compensation.* As of December 31, 2008, there was \$9.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding nonvested shares issued under share-based compensation arrangements. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. Additional unrecognized compensation cost of \$9.7 million related to 51,040 nonvested shares of common stock and 569,973 nonvested restricted stock units issued under share-based compensation arrangements subsequent to December 31, 2008 is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years. See Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements included with this report for additional information regarding these programs.

# **Stabilized Portfolio Information**

The following table reconciles the changes in the rentable square feet in our stabilized portfolio of operating properties from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008. Rentable square footage in our portfolio of stabilized properties increased by an aggregate of approximately 0.4 million rentable square feet, or 3.4%, to 12.4 million rentable square feet at December 31, 2008, as a result of the activity noted below.

		Office Properties		<b>Industrial Properties</b>		Total	
	Quarter of Activity	Number of Buildings	Rentable Square Feet	Number of Buildings	Rentable Square Feet	Number of Buildings	Rentable Square Feet
Total at December 31, 2007		86	8,088,769	43	3,869,969	129	11,958,738
Properties added from the Development							
and Redevelopment Portfolios	Q3-Q4 2008	6	560,130			6	560,130
Properties not in service due to							
re-entitlement <sup>(1)</sup>	Q4 2008			(1)	(157,458)	(1)	(157,458)
Remeasurement			1,227		6,152		7,379
Total at December 31, 2008		92	8,650,126	42	3,718,663	134	12,368,789

We removed one property from the Orange County stabilized industrial portfolio in 2008 as we are in the process of re-entitling this property for residential use. If the re-entitlement is successful, we will evaluate the strategic options for the property, including the potential disposition of the asset.
 2008 Stabilized Development and Redevelopment Properties

The following table sets forth certain information regarding development and redevelopment properties added to the stabilized portfolio during 2008.

Property Name / Address	Completion Date	Stabilization Date <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Buildings	Rentable Square Feet	Percentage Leased at December 31, 2008
Development:					
ICC					
15004 Innovation Drive					
San Diego, CA	Q3 2008	Q3 2008	1	146,156	100%
Sorrento Gateway-Lot 3					
4921 Directors Place					
San Diego, CA	Q4 2007	Q4 2008	1	55,500	0%
Kilroy Sabre Springs Phase III 13480 Evening Creek Drive North					
San Diego, CA	Q3 2008	Q4 2008	1	147,533	100%
Total Development			3	349,189	84%
Redevelopment:					
Kilroy Airport Center					
2240 E Imperial Highway					
El Segundo, CA	Q3 2007	Q3 2008	1	107,041	100%
Sabre Springs Corporate Center 13280/13290 Evening Creek Dr					
San Diego, CA	Q4 2007	Q4 2008	2	103,900	19%

Total Redevelopment	3	210,941	60%
Total Stabilized Development and Redevelopment Properties	6	560,130	75%

(1) The earlier of stabilized occupancy of 95% or one year from the date of cessation of major construction activities.

### **Occupancy Information**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our stabilized portfolio:

### Stabilized Portfolio Occupancy by Segment Type

Region	Number of Buildings	Square Feet Total	12/31/2008	Occupancy at <sup>(1)</sup> 12/31/2007	12/31/2006
Office Properties:	Dunungs	Tour	12,01,2000	12/01/2007	12/01/2000
Los Angeles County	25	3,007,187	92.1%	96.1%	92.8%
San Diego County	57	5,019,160	83.1	91.4	98.6
Orange County	5	277,340	67.9	99.1	98.3
Other	5	346,439	94.2	99.6	92.8
	92	8,650,126	86.2	93.7	95.8
Industrial Properties:					
Los Angeles County	1	192,053	100.0	100.0	100.0
Orange County	41	3,526,610	96.1	94.4	95.6
	42	3,718,663	96.3	94.7	95.8
Total stabilized portfolio	134	12,368,789	89.2%	94.0%	95.8%

		Average Occupancy					
	Stabilized Po	ortfolio <sup>(1)</sup>	Core Portfolio <sup>(2)</sup>				
	2008	2007	2008	2007			
Office Properties	92.0%	94.1%	91.3%	94.0%			
Industrial Properties	93.0	92.1	93.0	92.3			
	92.3%	93.4%	91.9%	93.1%			

(1) Occupancy percentages reported are based on our stabilized portfolio for the period presented.

(2) Occupancy percentages reported are based on Office and Industrial Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2007 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, the Office Properties and Industrial Properties represented approximately 88.2% and 11.8%, respectively, of our total annualized base rental revenue.

### **Current Regional Information**

*Los Angeles County.* Our Los Angeles stabilized office portfolio of 3.0 million rentable square feet was 92.1% occupied with approximately 236,800 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2008, compared to 96.1% occupied with approximately 112,100 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2007. The decrease in Los Angeles County stabilized office portfolio occupancy is primarily attributable to a lease with Intuit that was terminated in July 2008, which represents approximately 90,000 rentable square feet. As of January 31, 2009, leases representing an aggregate of approximately 292,900 and 826,700 rentable square feet are scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010, respectively, in this region. The aggregate rentable square feet scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010 represent approximately 38.6% of the total occupied rentable square feet in this region as of January 31, 2009 and 11.8% of our annualized base rental revenues for our total stabilized portfolio. Demand in our primary Los Angeles County submarkets has continued to exhibit resilient strength and we believe that our 2009 and 2010 lease expirations are on average approximately 10% to 15% below the current quoted market rates.

*Orange County.* As of December 31, 2008, our Orange County stabilized industrial portfolio was 96.1% occupied with approximately 137,100 vacant rentable square feet, compared to 98.6% occupied as of

December 31, 2007 after adjusting for one vacant building encompassing approximately 157,500 rentable square feet that we removed from our stabilized portfolio in 2008 as we are in the process of re-entitling this property for residential use. If the re-entitlement is successful, we will evaluate the strategic options for the property, including the potential disposition of the asset. Our Orange County stabilized office portfolio of approximately 277,300 rentable square feet was 67.9% occupied with approximately 89,000 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2008, compared to 99.1% occupied with approximately 2,600 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2007. The decrease in occupancy was primarily attributable to a lease that expired during the second quarter of 2008, which represented approximately 54,300 rentable square feet.

As of January 31, 2009, leases representing an aggregate of approximately 469,700 and 472,900 rentable square feet were scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010, respectively, in this region. The aggregate rentable square feet scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010 represents approximately 27.3% of the total occupied rentable square feet in this region as of the January 31, 2009 and 3.9% of the annualized base rental revenues for our total stabilized portfolio. We believe that our Orange County 2009 and 2010 lease expirations are on average approximately 0% to 5% above the current quoted market rates.

*San Diego County.* Our San Diego stabilized office portfolio was 83.1% occupied with approximately 849,800 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2008, compared to 91.4% occupied with approximately 393,400 vacant rentable square feet as of December 31, 2007. The decrease in occupancy was primarily attributable to the following:

- Two leases that expired during the third quarter of 2008, which represented approximately 189,400 rentable square feet;
- One lease representing approximately 102,900 rentable square feet where the tenant ceased paying rent in 2008 and attempted to surrender the leased premises (see Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information). This building is shown as 0% occupied at December 31, 2008 in the table in Item 2: Properties Office and Industrial Properties ; and
- The addition of three development properties that stabilized during 2008 encompassing approximately 159,000 rentable square feet, which were 12.5% occupied as of December 31, 2008.

In addition, our one development property in lease-up and all of our future development pipeline land holdings are located in San Diego County. We have seen that the demand in Central San Diego has decreased from prior quarters, as evidenced by modest increases in direct and total vacancy rates, reported decreases in active demand for office space and slower and more protracted lease negotiations. As a result, given the current recessionary conditions, it may take a longer period of time for us to lease vacant space in San Diego than in prior years. In addition, we will seek only economically attractive development opportunities in this region depending upon market conditions. See additional information under the caption Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations Development and Redevelopment Programs.

As of January 31, 2009, leases representing an aggregate of approximately 217,900 and 401,100 rentable square feet are scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010, respectively, in this region. The aggregate rentable square feet scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010 represents approximately 14.8% of the total occupied rentable square feet in this region as of January 31, 2009 and 6.5% of our annualized base rental revenues for our total stabilized portfolio. Approximately 45% of the leases scheduled to expire in 2009 and 2010 are located in the Sorrento Mesa submarket. Direct vacancy for two and three-story office product in Sorrento Mesa is currently 7.8% and total vacancy is 9.3%. We currently believe that our Sorrento Mesa leases scheduled to expire during 2009 and 2010 are approximately 10% to 15% above the current average quoted market rates. Our remaining 2009 and 2010 lease expirations are spread across all of our other Central San Diego submarkets and we currently believe that the rental rate for these leases approximate current average quoted market rates.

### **Results of Operations**

Management internally evaluates the operating performance and financial results of our portfolio based on Net Operating Income for the following segments of commercial real estate property: Office Properties and Industrial Properties. We define Net Operating Income as operating revenues from continuing operations (rental income, tenant reimbursements and other property income) less operating expenses from continuing operations (property expenses, real estate taxes, provision for bad debts and ground leases). The Net Operating Income segment information presented within this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations consists of the same Net Operating Income segment information disclosed in Note 19 to our consolidated financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information.

### Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2007

The following table reconciles our Net Operating Income by segment to our net income available for common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

	Year H Decemi 2008	ber 31, 2007	Dollar Change ousands)	Percentage Change
Net Operating Income, as defined				
Office Properties	\$ 185,967	\$ 168,575	\$ 17,392	10.3%
Industrial Properties	27,350	24,997	2,353	9.4
Total portfolio	213,317	193,572	19,745	10.2
Reconciliation to Net Income Available for Common Stockholders:				
Net Operating Income, as defined for reportable segments	213,317	193,572	19,745	10.2
Other expenses:				
General and administrative expenses	38,260	36,580	1,680	4.6
Interest expense	40,366	37,502	2,864	7.6
Depreciation and amortization	83,275	72,815	10,460	14.4
Interest and other investment (loss) income	(93)	1,606	(1,699)	(105.8)
Income from continuing operations before minority interests	51,323	48,281	3,042	6.3
Minority interests attributable to continuing operations	(7,736)	(7,717)	(19)	0.2
Income from discontinued operations	534	73,258	(72,724)	(99.3)
Net income	44,121	113,822	(69,701)	(61.2)
Preferred dividends	(9,608)	(9,608)		0.0
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 34,513	\$ 104,214	\$ (69,701)	(66.9)%
			,	, ,



### **Rental Operations**

We evaluate the operations of our portfolio based on operating property type. The following tables compare the Net Operating Income for the Office Properties and for the Industrial Properties for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

#### **Office Properties**

		Total Offic	e Portfolio			Core Office Portfolio <sup>(1)</sup>			
	2008	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	2008	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	
Operating revenues:									
Rental income	\$ 223,245	\$ 202,601	\$ 20,644	10.2%	\$ 191,126	\$ 190,715	\$ 411	0.2%	
Tenant reimbursements	26,898	21,804	5,094	23.4	21,879	20,068	1,811	9.0	
Other property income	5,923	3,406	2,517	73.9	5,918	3,405	2,513	73.8	
Total	256,066	227,811	28,255	12.4	218,923	214,188	4,735	2.2	
Property and related expenses:									
Property expenses	45,437	40,675	4,762	11.7	43,750	40,009	3,741	9.4	
Real estate taxes	19,169	16,825	2,344	13.9	15,674	15,560	114	0.7	
Provision for bad debts	3,876	154	3,722	2,416.9	3,876	154	3,722	2,416.9	
Ground leases	1,617	1,582	35	2.2	1,612	1,576	36	2.3	
Total	70,099	59,236	10,863	18.3	64,912	57,299	7,613	13.3	
Net Operating Income	\$ 185,967	\$ 168,575	\$ 17,392	10.3%	\$ 154,011	\$ 156,889	\$ (2,878)	(1.8)%	

(1) Office Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2007 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008. **Operating Revenues** 

Total revenues from Office Properties increased \$28.3 million, or 12.4%, to \$256.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$227.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

#### **Rental Income**

Rental income from Office Properties increased \$20.6 million, or 10.2%, to \$223.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$202.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to:

- An increase of \$18.4 million generated by the five office development properties that were added to the stabilized portfolio in the third quarter of 2007, the one office development property that was added to the stabilized portfolio in the third quarter of 2008, and one office development property that was added to the stabilized portfolio in the fourth quarter of 2008 (the Office Development Properties );
- An increase of \$1.8 million generated by a redevelopment property that was added to the stabilized portfolio in the third quarter of 2008 and a redevelopment project consisting of two buildings that was added to the stabilized portfolio in the fourth quarter of 2008 (the Office Redevelopment Properties ); and

- An increase of \$0.4 million generated by the Office Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2007 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008 (the Core Office Portfolio) which was primarily due to:
  - An increase of \$2.7 million of non-cash revenue primarily attributable to the acceleration of the amortization of the deferred revenue balance related to tenant-funded tenant improvements associated with the termination of the Favrille lease. See Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information; and

- An offsetting net decrease of \$2.1 million attributable to the following changes in occupancy:
  - A decrease of \$4.0 million in rental income from our San Diego office portfolio primarily due to a decrease in average occupancy of 4.3% in the San Diego office portfolio to 89.0% for the year ended December 31, 2008 from 93.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in average occupancy is primarily attributable to six vacant buildings representing approximately 475,400 rentable square feet;
  - A decrease of \$0.8 million in rental income from our Orange County office portfolio primarily due to a decrease in average occupancy of 21.2% in the Orange County office portfolio to 77.5% for the year ended December 31, 2008 from 98.7% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in average occupancy is primarily attributable to one vacant building representing approximately 60,900 rentable square feet; and
  - An offsetting increase of \$2.7 million in our Los Angeles office portfolio primarily due to an increase in rental rates and a modest increase in average occupancy of 0.4% in the Los Angeles office portfolio to 94.7% for the year ended December 31, 2008 from 94.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### **Tenant Reimbursements**

Tenant reimbursements from Office Properties increased \$5.1 million, or 23.4%, to \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

- An increase of \$3.3 million generated by the Office Development Properties and the Office Redevelopment Properties; and
- An increase of \$1.8 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio due to an increase in reimbursable property expenses as discussed below under the caption Property Expenses.

### **Other Property Income**

Other property income from Office Properties increased \$2.5 million to \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was due primarily to a net lease termination fee from Intuit related to an early lease termination at one of our Office Properties in Los Angeles, of which \$5.0 million was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008. See Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$2.8 million in net lease termination fees from two early lease terminations at two of our Office Properties in San Diego. Other property income for both periods consisted primarily of lease termination fees and other miscellaneous income within the Core Office Portfolio.

### **Property and Related Expenses**

Total expenses from Office Properties increased \$10.9 million, or 18.3%, to \$70.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$59.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### **Property Expenses**

Property expenses from Office Properties increased \$4.8 million, or 11.7%, to \$45.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$40.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

• An increase of \$3.7 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio primarily due to:

- A \$1.8 million increase attributable to general increases in certain recurring operating costs such as utilities, property management expenses, repairs and maintenance costs and janitorial and other service-related costs;
- A \$0.9 million increase due to non-reimbursable legal fees primarily related to tenant defaults; and

• A \$0.8 million increase due to costs associated with one-time repairs at three of our properties in San Diego; and

• An increase of \$0.9 million attributable to the Office Development Properties and the Office Redevelopment Properties. **Real Estate Taxes** 

Real estate taxes from Office Properties increased \$2.3 million, or 13.9%, to \$19.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$16.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to the Office Development Properties and Office Redevelopment Properties.

### **Provision for Bad Debts**

The provision for bad debts from Office Properties increased \$3.7 million, or 2,416.9%, to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

- An increase of \$3.1 million due to increasing the provision for bad debts for the deferred rent receivable balance related to the Favrille lease. See Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements included with this report for additional information; and
- An increase of \$0.6 million primarily due to changes in our estimates of collectibility of receivables from certain other watchlist tenants. We evaluate our reserve levels on a quarterly basis.

#### **Net Operating Income**

Net Operating Income from Office Properties increased \$17.4 million, or 10.3%, to \$186.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$168.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

- An increase of \$18.2 million generated by the Office Development Properties;
- An increase of \$2.1 million generated by the Office Redevelopment Properties; and

• An offsetting decrease of \$2.9 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio as discussed above. *Industrial Properties* 

The following table compares the Net Operating Income for the Industrial Properties for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

### **Industrial Properties**

		Total Indus	strial Portfo	olio	(	Core Indust	rial Portfol	io <sup>(1)</sup>
	2008	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change (\$ in tho	2008 usands)	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Operating revenues:								
Rental income	\$ 28,839	\$27,071	\$ 1,768	6.5%	\$ 28,683	\$27,071	\$ 1,612	6.0%
Tenant reimbursements	4,137	3,518	619	17.6	4,137	3,518	619	17.6
Other property income	926	72	854	1,186.1	873	72	801	1,112.5

Edgar Filing: KILROY REALTY CORP - Form 10-K
--

Total	33,902	30,661	3,241	10.6	33,693	30,661	3,032	9.9
Property and related expenses:								
Property expenses	3,438	2,631	807	30.7	3,315	2,324	991	42.6
Real estate taxes	2,939	2,714	225	8.3	2,651	2,567	84	3.3
Provision for bad debts	175	319	(144)	(45.1)	175	319	(144)	(45.1)
Total	6,552	5.664	888	15.7	6.141	5.210	931	17.9
	-,	-,			-,	- ,	,	
Not Operating Income	¢ 27 250	\$ 24,997	\$ 2.353	9.4%	¢ 07 550	\$ 25,451	¢ 2 101	8.3%
Net Operating Income	\$ 27,550	\$ 2 <del>4</del> ,997	\$ 2,333	9.4%	\$ 21,332	\$ 25,451	φ2,101	0.370

(1) Industrial Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2007 which are still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008.

### **Operating Revenues**

Total revenues from Industrial Properties increased \$3.2 million, or 10.6%, to \$33.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$30.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### **Rental Income**

Rental income from Industrial Properties increased \$1.8 million, or 6.5%, to \$28.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$27.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in rental rates in connection with new leases at two of our Orange County Industrial Properties and an increase in occupancy. Average occupancy in the Industrial Portfolio increased 0.7% to 93.0% for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to 92.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

#### **Tenant Reimbursements**

Tenant reimbursements from Industrial Properties increased \$0.6 million, or 17.6%, to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in tenant reimbursements was primarily attributable to one new lease and two leases where our operating expense agreements were restructured at two of our Orange County Industrial Properties and a slight increase in reimbursable operating expenses.

### **Other Property Income**

Other property income from Industrial Properties increased \$0.9 million, or 1,186.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 due to lease termination fees and other miscellaneous income within the industrial portfolio.

### **Property and Related Expenses**

Total expenses from Industrial Properties increased \$0.9 million, or 15.7%, to \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### **Property Expenses**

Property expenses from Industrial Properties increased by \$0.8 million, or 30.7%, to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

- An increase of \$1.0 million generated by the Industrial Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2007 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008 (the Core Industrial Portfolio ) primarily due to:
  - A one-time credit of \$0.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 relating to a gain recognized for insurance proceeds received in excess of expenses incurred for one of our Industrial Properties that sustained damage due to a fire sprinkler rupture;
  - An increase of \$0.2 million in repairs and maintenance, other service-related costs and legal fees primarily related to tenant defaults; and
- An increase of \$0.5 million generated by one industrial building that was moved from our stabilized portfolio to the redevelopment portfolio during 2006 (the Industrial Redevelopment Property ); and

• An offsetting decrease of \$0.7 million attributable to the one industrial building we are in the process of re-entitling (the Industrial Re-entitlement Property ), primarily due to a one-time credit in 2008 for insurance proceeds received in connection with a theft, which was previously recognized as a reduction of property expenses when the loss occurred.

### **Provision for Bad Debts**

The provision for bad debts from Industrial Properties decreased by \$0.1 million, or 45.1%, to \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, primarily due to changes in our estimates of the collectibility of receivables from certain watchlist tenants. We evaluate our reserve levels on a quarterly basis.

### **Net Operating Income**

Net Operating Income from Industrial Properties increased \$2.4 million, or 9.4%, to \$27.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$25.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to an increase in occupancy in the Core Industrial Portfolio as discussed above.

### **Other Income and Expenses**

### General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.7 million, or 4.6%, to \$38.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$36.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily due to an increase in compensation-related expenses, including approximately \$1.0 million of severance costs for the year ended December 31, 2008.

### Interest Expense

The following table sets forth our gross interest expense and loan cost amortization from continuing operations net of capitalized interest and loan cost amortization for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

	2008	2007 (\$ in tho	Dollar Change Isands)	Percentage Change
Gross interest expense and loan cost amortization	\$ 56,363	\$ 55,570	\$ 793	1.4%
Capitalized interest and loan cost amortization	(15,997)	(18,068)	2,071	11.5%
Interest expense	\$ 40,366	\$ 37,502	\$ 2,864	7.6%

Gross interest and loan cost amortization before the effect of capitalized interest and loan cost amortization increased \$0.8 million, or 1.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to:

- An increase in our average debt balance from approximately \$1,021.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 to approximately \$1,152.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 due to our development activities; and
- An offsetting decrease in our weighted-average interest rate from approximately 5.5% during the year ended December 31, 2007 to approximately 4.8% during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Capitalized interest and loan cost amortization decreased \$2.1 million, or 11.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 due to:

 A decrease in our weighted-average interest rate as noted above, which caused a corresponding decrease in the capitalization rate applied to development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest capitalization; and

• A decrease in our average development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest capitalization during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. See Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Development and redevelopment programs for a discussion of certain development pipeline projects for which we did not capitalize interest during the third and fourth quarters of 2008. We expect that

average development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest capitalization will decrease over the next year as a result of recently completed development projects that have been added to the Stabilized Portfolio and a decrease in the level of our development activities due to the current economic environment. See additional information regarding our development and redevelopment properties under the caption Development and redevelopment programs.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$10.5 million, or 14.4%, to \$83.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$72.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to:

- An increase of \$6.3 million from the Office Development Properties;
- An increase of \$2.8 million from the Core Office Portfolio and Core Industrial Portfolio, which was due primarily to changes in the estimated useful lives for certain unamortized leasing commissions; and

• An increase of \$0.8 million from the Office Redevelopment Properties. Interest and Other Investment (Loss) Income

Total interest and other investment (loss) income decreased approximately \$1.7 million, or 105.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to:

- A decrease of \$1.0 million in the fair value of the marketable securities held in connection with our deferred compensation plan during the year ended December 31, 2008; and
- A decrease of \$0.5 million due to lower average cash balances and lower interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2006

The following table reconciles our Net Operating Income by segment to our net income available for common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

	Year Decem	Ended ber 31,	Dollar	Percentage
	2007	2006 (\$ dollars in	Change thousands)	Change
Net Operating Income, as defined				
Office Properties	\$ 168,575	\$ 154,132	\$ 14,443	9.4%
Industrial Properties	24,997	27,233	(2,236)	(8.2)
Total portfolio	193,572	181,365	12,207	6.7
Reconciliation to Net Income Available for Common Stockholders:				
Net Operating Income, as defined for reportable segments	193,572	181,365	12,207	6.7
Other expenses:				
General and administrative expenses	36,580	22,800	13,780	60.4
Interest expense	37,502	43,541	(6,039)	(13.9)

Depreciation and amortization	72,815	68,830	3,985	5.8
Interest and other investment income	1,606	1,826	(220)	(12.0)
Income from continuing operations before minority interests	48,281	48,020	261	0.5
Minority interests attributable to continuing operations	(7,717)	(8,102)	385	4.8
Income from discontinued operations	73,258	41,946	31,312	74.6
Net income	113,822	81,864	31,958	39.0
Preferred dividends	(9,608)	(9,608)		0.0
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 104,214	\$ 72,256	\$ 31,958	44.2%

# **Rental Operations**

## Office Properties

We evaluate the operations of our portfolio based on operating property type. The following table compares the Net Operating Income for the Office Properties for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

		Total Offi	ce Portfolio			Core Office	Portfolio <sup>(1)</sup>	)
	2007	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change (\$ in thou	2007 (sands)	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Operating revenues:								
Rental income	\$ 202,601	\$187,535	\$15,066	8.0%	\$ 188,440	\$186,274	\$ 2,166	1.2%
Tenant reimbursements	21,804	18,581	3,223	17.3	19,723	18,138	1,585	8.7
Other property income	3,406	2,294	1,112	48.5	3,405	2,291	1,114	48.6
Total	227,811	208,410	19,401	9.3	211,568	206,703	4,865	2.4
Property and related expenses:								
Property expenses	40,675	36,742	3,933	10.7	39,929	35,918	4,011	11.2
Real estate taxes	16,825	15,305	1,520	9.9	15,356	15,231	125	0.8
Provision for bad debts	154	648	(494)	(76.2)	154	648	(494)	(76.2)
Ground leases	1,582	1,583	(1)	(0.1)	1,576	1,578	(2)	(0.1)
Total	59,236	54,278	4,958	9.1	57,015	53,375	3,640	6.8
Net Operating Income	\$ 168,575	\$ 154,132	\$ 14,443	9.4%	\$ 154,553	\$ 153,328	\$ 1,225	0.8%

(1) Office Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2006 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008.

#### **Operating Revenues**

Total revenues from Office Properties increased \$19.4 million, or 9.3%, to \$227.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$208.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

# **Rental Income**

Rental income from Office Properties increased \$15.1 million, or 8.0%, to \$202.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$187.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase of \$12.6 million generated by the five office development properties that were added to the stabilized portfolio in the third quarter of 2007 and the office development property that was added to the stabilized portfolio in the fourth quarter of 2006 (the 2006 and 2007 Office Development Properties );
- An increase of \$2.2 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio primarily due to:
  - •

An increase of \$1.6 million from our 909 N. Sepulveda Blvd. property due to a 26.5% increase in average occupancy to 74.6% for the year ended December 31, 2007 from 48.1% for the year ended December 31, 2006;

- An increase of \$0.9 million in amortization of deferred revenue related to tenant-funded tenant improvements; and
- An offsetting decrease in annual average occupancy in the Core Office Portfolio. Average occupancy in the Core Office Portfolio decreased 1.1% to 93.7% for the year ended December 31, 2007 from 94.8% for the year ended December 31, 2006; and
- An increase of \$0.3 million generated by one property that was taken out of service in June 2006 and placed into lease-up in the third quarter of 2007 and a project consisting of two buildings that was acquired in the first quarter of 2007 and placed into lease-up in the fourth quarter of 2007 (the 2006 and 2007 Office Redevelopment Properties ).

#### **Tenant Reimbursements**

Tenant reimbursements from Office Properties increased \$3.2 million, or 17.3%, to \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase of \$1.6 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio due to an increase in reimbursable property expenses as discussed below under the caption Property Expenses ; and
- An increase of \$1.6 million primarily due to the 2006 and 2007 Office Development Properties.

#### **Other Property Income**

Other property income from Office Properties increased \$1.1 million, or 48.5%, to \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Other property income for the year ended December 31, 2007 included \$2.8 million in net lease termination fees from two early lease terminations at two of our Office Properties in San Diego. Other property income for the year ended December 31, 2006 included \$1.8 million in net lease termination fees from two early lease termination fees from two of our Office Properties in San Diego.

#### **Property and Related Expenses**

Total expenses from Office Properties increased \$5.0 million, or 9.1%, to \$59.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$54.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

#### **Property Expenses**

Property expenses from Office Properties increased \$3.9 million, or 10.7%, to \$40.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$36.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase of \$4.0 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio primarily due to:
  - An increase of \$1.6 million in property management costs;
  - An increase of \$1.1 million in repairs and maintenance costs;
  - An increase of \$0.6 million in janitorial and other service-related costs; and
  - An increase of \$0.2 million in electricity costs due to an increase in rates; and

• An offsetting decrease of \$0.1 million attributable to the 2006 and 2007 Office Redevelopment Properties. Real Estate Taxes

Real estate taxes from Office Properties increased \$1.5 million, or 9.9%, to \$16.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$15.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

• An increase of \$1.3 million attributable to the 2006 and 2007 Office Development Properties; and

• An increase of \$0.2 million attributable to the Core Office Portfolio and the 2006 and 2007 Office Redevelopment Properties. **Provision for Bad Debts** 

The provision for bad debts from Office Properties decreased \$0.5 million, or 76.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease is primarily due to the reversal of a tenant specific reserve that was previously recorded in a prior year and collected in 2007 as part of a settlement agreement with the former tenant. Excluding the reversal of a tenant-specific reserve, the provision for bad debts from Office Properties decreased \$0.2 million, or 23.3%. We evaluate our reserve levels on a quarterly basis.

#### **Net Operating Income**

Net Operating Income from Office Properties increased \$14.4 million, or 9.4%, to \$168.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$154.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase of \$12.6 million generated by the 2006 and 2007 Office Development Properties;
- An increase of \$1.2 million generated by the Core Office Portfolio; and

• An increase of \$0.6 million attributable to the 2006 and 2007 Office Redevelopment Properties. *Industrial Properties* 

The following table compares the Net Operating Income for the Industrial Properties for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

#### **Industrial Properties**

		Total Industrial Portfolio				Total Core Industrial Portfolio <sup>(1)</sup>				
	2007	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change (\$ dollars in t	2007 thousands)	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change		
Operating revenues:										
Rental income	\$27,071	\$ 29,210	\$ (2,139)	(7.3)%	\$27,071	\$28,130	\$ (1,059)	(3.8)%		
Tenant reimbursements	3,518	3,859	(341)	(8.8)	3,518	3,559	(41)	(1.2)		
Other property income	72	62	10	16.1	72	62	10	16.1		
Total	30,661	33,131	(2,470)	(7.5)	30,661	31,751	(1,090)	(3.4)		
Property and related expenses:										
Property expenses	2,631	2,958	(327)	(11.1)	2,651	2,902	(251)	(8.6)		
Real estate taxes	2,714	2,844	(130)	(4.6)	2,714	2,635	79	3.0		
Provision for bad debts	319	96	223	232.3	319	96	223	232.3		
Total	5,664	5,898	(234)	(4.0)	5,684	5,633	51	0.9		
Net Operating Income	\$ 24,997	\$ 27,233	\$ (2,236)	(8.2)%	\$ 24,977	\$ 26,118	\$(1,141)	(4.4)%		

(1) Industrial Properties owned and stabilized at January 1, 2006 and still owned and stabilized at December 31, 2008.

# **Operating Revenues**

Total revenues from Industrial Properties decreased \$2.5 million, or 7.5%, to \$30.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$33.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

# **Rental Income**

Rental income from Industrial Properties decreased \$2.1 million, or 7.3%, to \$27.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$29.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

• A decrease of \$1.1 million generated by the Core Industrial Portfolio primarily due to decreased occupancy in the Orange County industrial portfolio. Average occupancy in the Core Industrial Portfolio decreased 5.5% to 92.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to 97.6% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease was the result of three buildings encompassing approximately 349,000 rentable square feet. Of the approximately 349,000 rentable square feet had been re-leased and was occupied at December 31, 2007, and approximately 47,500 rentable square feet had been re-leased but the tenant had not taken occupancy at December 31, 2007; and

• A decrease of \$1.0 million attributable to one industrial building that was taken out of service and moved from our stabilized portfolio to the redevelopment portfolio during 2006 (the Industrial Redevelopment Property ).

# **Tenant Reimbursements**

Tenant reimbursements from Industrial Properties decreased \$0.3 million, or 8.8%, to \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily attributable to the Industrial Redevelopment Property.

#### **Property and Related Expenses**

Total expenses from Industrial Properties decreased \$0.2 million, or 4.0%, to \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily due to:

- A decrease of \$0.3 million in property expenses due to:
  - A \$0.7 million decrease related to a gain recognized in December 2007 for insurance proceeds received for one of our Industrial Properties that sustained damage due to a fire sprinkler rupture; and
  - An offsetting increase of \$0.4 million primarily attributable to higher fixed operating costs for the three Core Industrial Portfolio buildings, the impact of which on average annual occupancy was discussed above under the caption Rental Income.
- A decrease of \$0.1 million in real estate taxes primarily due to the Industrial Redevelopment Property, which was taken out of service in 2006; and
- An offsetting increase of \$0.2 million in the provision for bad debts for Industrial Properties primarily related to the provision for bad debts recorded for the deferred rent receivable for one tenant who notified us that it was having financial difficulties. This tenant was added to our watchlist during the quarter ended December 31, 2007. We evaluate our reserve levels on a quarterly basis.

#### **Net Operating Income**

Net Operating Income from Industrial Properties decreased \$2.2 million, or 8.2%, to \$25.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$27.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

• A decrease of \$1.1 million attributable to the Core Industrial Portfolio primarily due to a decrease in occupancy in the portfolio discussed above under the caption Rental Income ; and

• A decrease of \$1.1 million attributable to the Industrial Redevelopment Property. **Other Income and Expenses** 

#### General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expenses increased \$13.8 million, or 60.4%, to \$36.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$22.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily due to compensation-related expenses. Executive compensation increased \$11.7 million primarily due to the increase in compensation expense recorded for the 2006 and 2007 incentive compensation programs. See Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information regarding incentive compensation programs. The increase in executive compensation was partially a result of the timing of the approval of the 2006 executive incentive compensation programs. We began to accrue compensation expense associated with the 2006 Annual Long-Term Incentive Program and 2006 Annual Bonus Exceptional

Performance Program in September 2006. Therefore, general and administrative expense for the year ended

December 31, 2006 includes four months of amortization for these 2006 programs, whereas the year ended December 31, 2007 includes twelve months of amortization. We began to accrue for 2007 executive incentive compensation programs when they were approved in February 2007.

#### Interest Expense

The following table sets forth our gross interest expense and loan cost amortization from continuing operations net of capitalized interest and loan cost amortization for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

	2007	2006 (\$ in tho	Dollar Change ousands)	Percentage Change
Gross interest expense and loan cost amortization	\$ 55,570	\$ 54,850	\$ 720	1.3%
Capitalized interest and loan cost amortization	(18,068)	(11,309)	(6,759)	59.8%
Interest expense	\$ 37,502	\$ 43,541	\$ (6,039)	13.9%

Gross interest and loan cost amortization before the effect of capitalized interest and loan cost amortization increased \$0.7 million, or 1.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase in our average debt balance from approximately \$872.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 to approximately \$1,021.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2007 due to our development activities; and
- An offsetting decrease in our weighted-average interest rate from approximately 6.3% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to approximately 5.5% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Capitalized interest and loan cost amortization increased \$6.8 million, or 59.8%, for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 due to:

- An increase attributable to higher average development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest capitalization during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006; and
- An offsetting decrease in our weighted-average interest rate as noted above, which caused a corresponding decrease in the capitalization rate applied to development and redevelopment asset balances qualifying for interest capitalization. *Depreciation and Amortization Expense*

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$4.0 million, or 5.8%, to \$72.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$68.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 primarily due to:

- An increase of \$4.4 million in depreciation of the 2006 and 2007 Office Development Properties;
- An increase of \$0.7 million related to depreciation of the Core Office Portfolio; and

• An offsetting decrease of \$1.1 million in depreciation resulting from reclassifying the 2006 Office Redevelopment Property and the Industrial Redevelopment Property from the stabilized portfolio to the redevelopment portfolio and ceasing depreciation on these assets.

Interest and Other Investment Income (Loss)

Total interest and other investment income (loss) decreased approximately \$0.2 million, or 12.0%, to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, due to net other income recorded for our outstanding derivatives during the year ended December 31, 2006. We did not have any outstanding derivative instruments during the year ended December 31, 2007.

# Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Our primary liquidity sources and uses are as follows:

Liquidity Sources

- Net cash flow from operations;
- Borrowings under the Credit Facility;
- Proceeds from the disposition of non-strategic assets;
- Proceeds from additional secured or unsecured debt financings; and

• Proceeds from public or private issuance of debt or equity securities. *Liquidity Uses* 

- Operating and corporate expenses;
- Committed capital expenditures, tenant improvement and leasing costs;
- Potential future capital expenditures, tenant improvement and leasing costs;
- Committed development and redevelopment costs;
- Potential future development and redevelopment costs;
- Debt service and principal payment obligations;
- Distributions to common and preferred stockholders and unitholders; and

• Potential future property or undeveloped land acquisitions. *Liquidity Sources* 

Our general strategy is to maintain a conservative balance sheet and to seek to create a capital structure that allows for financial flexibility and diversification of capital resources. We manage our capital structure to reflect a long-term investment approach. We believe our conservative leverage provides us with financial flexibility and the ability to obtain additional sources of liquidity if necessary.

We believe that our current projected liquidity requirements for 2009, as discussed further below under the caption Liquidity Uses, will be satisfied using cash flow generated from operating activities, availability under the Credit Facility and, depending on market conditions, proceeds from dispositions of non-strategic assets.

As of December 31, 2008, we had borrowings of \$252 million outstanding under our Credit Facility and borrowing capacity of approximately \$298 million. In addition to the current borrowing capacity, we may also elect to borrow, subject to bank group approval, up to an additional \$100 million under an accordion feature. The Credit Facility matures in April 2010, with an option to extend the maturity for one year, and bears interest at an annual rate between LIBOR plus 0.85% and LIBOR plus 1.35% depending upon our leverage ratio at the time of borrowing (2.1% and 6.0% at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively).

# Capitalization

As of December 31, 2008, our total debt as a percentage of total market capitalization was 46.1%, and our total debt and liquidation value of our preferred equity as a percentage of total market capitalization was 54.1%, which was calculated based on our closing price per share of our common stock of \$33.46 on December 31, 2008 as follows:

	Shares/Units at December 31, 2008	Aggregate Principal Amount or \$ Value Equivalent (\$ in thousands)		% of Total Market Capitalization
Debt:				
Secured debt		\$	316,456	12.4%
Notes <sup>(1)</sup>			460,000	18.1
Unsecured senior notes			144,000	5.7
Credit Facility			252,000	9.9
Total debt		\$	1,172,456	46.1
Equity and Minority Interest:				
7.450% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units <sup>(2)</sup>	1,500,000	\$	75,000	3.0
7.800% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(3)</sup>	1,610,000		40,250	1.6
7.500% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(3)</sup>	3,450,000		86,250	3.4
Common Units Outstanding <sup>(4)</sup>	1,753,729		58,680	2.3
Common Shares Outstanding <sup>(4)</sup>	33,086,148		1,107,063	43.6
Total equity		\$	1,367,243	53.9
Total Market Capitalization		\$	2,539,699	100.0%

(1) Represents gross aggregate principal amount before the effect of the unamortized discount of approximately \$3.0 million at December 31, 2008.

- (2) Value based on \$50.00 per share liquidation preference.
- (3) Value based on \$25.00 per share liquidation preference.
- (4) Value based on closing price per share of our common stock of \$33.46 at December 31, 2008.

#### Debt Composition

As a result of the current state of the capital and commercial lending markets, we may be required to finance more of our business activities with borrowings under the Credit Facility rather than with public and private unsecured debt and equity securities and fixed-rate secured mortgage financing and other sources which we have historically used. In addition, in the event that we have significant tenant defaults as a result of the overall economy and general market conditions, we could have a decrease in cash flow from operations, which could create further dependence on the Credit Facility. These events could result in an increase in our proportion of variable-rate debt, which could cause us to be more subject to interest rate fluctuations in the future. The composition of our aggregate debt balances between fixed and variable-rate debt at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	Percentage of	f Total Debt	Weighted Average Interest Rate			
	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007		
Secured vs. unsecured:						
Secured	26.9%	35.6%	5.9%	5.9%		
Unsecured	73.1	64.4	3.4	4.2		
Fixed-rate vs. variable-rate:						
Fixed-rate	75.5	86.8	4.7	4.7		
Variable-rate	24.5	13.2	2.1	5.9		
Total debt interest rate			4.1	4.8		
Total debt interest rate including loan costs			4.4	5.2		

Debt Covenants

Our Credit Facility, unsecured senior notes and certain other secured debt arrangements contain covenants and restrictions requiring us to meet certain financial ratios and reporting requirements. Key financial covenants and their covenant levels include:

Covenant	Covenant Level	Actual Performance at December 31, 2008
Total debt to total asset value <sup>(1)</sup>	< 60%	39%
Fixed charge coverage ratio	> 1.5X	2.4X
Minimum consolidated tangible net worth	> \$700 million + 75% of	
	all Net Offering Proceeds <sup>(2)</sup>	\$1.8 billion
Dividend coverage ratio	< 95% of FFO	68% of FFO
Unsecured debt ratio <sup>(1)(3)</sup>	> 1.67X	2.60X
Unencumbered asset pool occupancy <sup>(4)</sup>	≥ 85%	92%

- (1) In the event of a major acquisition, the total debt to total asset value may exceed 60% for up to two consecutive quarters but in no event exceed 65% and the unsecured debt ratio may be less than 1.67x for up to two consecutive quarters but in no event be less than 1.54x.
- (2) This covenant level was calculated at \$831 million at December 31, 2008.
- (3) The unsecured debt ratio is calculated by dividing the total unsecured asset pool value by the amount of unsecured senior debt.

(4) Tested on a quarterly basis, the covenant is based on the average occupancy during any consecutive twelve month period.

We were in compliance with all our debt covenants at December 31, 2008. Our current expectation is that we will continue to meet requirements of our debt covenants in both the short and long term. However, in the event of a continued economic slow-down and a continued crisis in the

credit markets, there is no certainty that we will be able to continue to satisfy all the covenant requirements.

#### Liquidity Uses

#### Contractual Obligations

The following table provides information with respect to our contractual obligations at December 31, 2008. The table indicates the maturities and scheduled principal repayments of our secured debt, Notes, unsecured senior notes and Credit Facility, scheduled interest payments of our fixed-rate and variable-rate debt at December 31, 2008 and provides information about the minimum commitments due in connection with our ground lease obligations and capital and development commitments at December 31, 2008. Note that the table does not reflect our available debt maturity extension options.

	Payment Due by Period					
	Less than 1 Year (2009)	1 3 Years (2010-2011)	3 5 Years (2012-2013) (in thousands)	More than 5 Years (After 2013)	Total	
Principal payments secured debt	\$ 81,550	\$ 116,994	\$ 105,706	\$ 12,206	\$ 316,456	
Principal payments Notes			460,000		460,000	
Principal payments unsecured senior notes		61,000		83,000	144,000	
Principal payments Credit Facility		252,000			252,000	
Interest payments fixed-rate debt	37,862	67,685	23,792	9,579	138,918	
Interest payments variable-rate debi	5,542	2,375			7,917	
Ground lease obligations <sup>(4)</sup>	1,262	2,457	1,938	61,810	67,467	
Development and redevelopment commitments <sup>(5)</sup>	274				274	
Lease and contractual commitments <sup>(6)</sup>	12,260				12,260	
Total	\$ 138,750	\$ 502,511	\$ 591,436	\$ 166,595	\$ 1,399,292	
		. )-	. ,		. , . , .	

- (1) Our Credit Facility has a one-year extension option.
- (2) As of December 31, 2008, 75.5% of our debt was contractually fixed. The information in the table above reflects our projected interest rate obligations for these fixed-rate payments based on the contractual interest rates, interest payment dates and scheduled maturity dates.
- (3) As of December 31, 2008, 24.5% of our debt bore interest at variable rates. The variable interest rate payments are based on LIBOR plus a spread that ranged from 0.75% to 0.95% at December 31, 2008. The information in the table above reflects our projected interest rate obligations for these variable-rate payments based on LIBOR at December 31, 2008, the scheduled interest payment dates and maturity dates. At December 31, 2008, one-month LIBOR was 0.44%.
- (4) We have non-cancelable ground lease obligations for the Kilroy Airport Center in Long Beach, California with a lease period for Phases I, II, III and IV expiring in July 2084. See Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements included with this report.

(5) Amount represents contractual commitments for contracts directly related to our development property in lease-up at December 31, 2008.

(6) Amounts represent commitments under signed leases and contracts for operating properties, excluding tenant-funded tenant improvements. One of our fixed-rate mortgage notes payable with a principal balance of \$75.5 million at December 31, 2008 is scheduled to mature in April 2009. We currently intend and have the ability to repay this mortgage note with additional borrowing from the Credit Facility. If we were required to seek additional financing to refinance this loan, we may not be able to obtain favorable terms given the current state of the credit markets and general lack of availability of credit. We have no other significant debt maturities until April 2010.

#### Capital Commitments

As of December 31, 2008, we had one development property in the lease-up phase. This property has a total estimated investment of \$24 million, including capitalized interest and development overhead, of which we had incurred approximately \$16 million as of December 31, 2008. The timing of payments for the remaining estimated \$8 million of costs yet to be incurred for this property will depend on leasing activity.

As of December 31, 2008, we had executed leases that contractually committed us to pay approximately \$8 million in unpaid leasing costs and tenant improvements, and we had executed contracts outstanding that contractually committed us to pay approximately \$4 million in capital improvements. These total commitments of approximately \$12 million are shown in the table above.

#### Potential Future Capital Requirements

Given the current economic environment, the amounts we are required to spend on tenant improvements and leasing costs could potentially increase in the near future from historical levels for us to be able to execute leases that are favorable to us. The amounts we are required to spend on tenant improvements and leasing costs could also potentially decrease if leasing slows down. The amounts we ultimately will incur for tenant improvements and leasing costs will depend on the actual individual leases. Tenant improvements and leasing costs generally fluctuate in any given period depending upon factors such as the type of property, the term of the lease, the type of lease, the involvement of external leasing agents and overall market conditions. Capital expenditures may fluctuate in any given period subject to the nature, extent and timing of improvements required to maintain our properties. We believe that all of our Office Properties and Industrial Properties are well maintained and do not require significant capital improvements.

We currently project we could spend an additional approximately \$0 million to \$20 million in capital improvements, tenant improvements and leasing costs for properties within our stabilized portfolio in 2009, depending on leasing activity, in addition to the \$12 million of commitments discussed under capital commitments.

We also may have additional spending for our future development pipeline during 2009 and beyond, depending upon market conditions. We continually evaluate the size, timing, costs and scope of our development and redevelopment programs and, as necessary, scale activity to reflect the economic conditions and the real estate fundamentals that exist in our strategic submarkets. See additional information regarding our in-process development portfolio under the caption Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations Development and redevelopment programs. and information regarding our sources of capital under the caption Liquidity and Capital Resources Liquidity Sources above.

The following tables set forth the capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing costs, excluding tenant-funded tenant improvements, for renewed and re-tenanted space within our stabilized portfolio for each of the three years during the period ended December 31, 2008 on a per square foot basis.

	Year Ended Decembe			er 31,		
		2008		2007		2006
Office Properties:						
Capital Expenditures:						
Capital expenditures per square foot	\$	0.91	\$	0.82	\$	0.51
Tenant Improvement and Leasing Costs <sup>(1)</sup> :						
Replacement tenant square feet	1	180,696	4	405,868	2	326,169
Tenant improvements per square foot leased	\$	24.21	\$	20.94	\$	12.06
Leasing commissions per square foot leased	\$	11.52	\$	10.99	\$	6.05
Total per square foot	\$	35.73	\$	31.93	\$	18.11
Renewal tenant square feet	3	349,009	6	58,276	3	322,467
Tenant improvements per square foot leased	\$	5.74	\$	6.15	\$	8.82
Leasing commissions per square foot leased	\$	4.55	\$	3.63	\$	5.85
Total per square foot	\$	10.29	\$	9.77	\$	14.66
Total per square foot per year	\$	4.30	\$	2.88	\$	2.56
Average lease term (in years)		4.4		6.3		6.4
Industrial Properties:						
Capital Expenditures:						
Capital expenditures per square foot	\$	0.28	\$	0.23	\$	0.16
Tenant Improvement and Leasing Costs <sup>(1)</sup> :						
Replacement tenant square feet	2	212,698	2	283,879	1	115,042
Tenant improvements per square foot leased	\$	2.52	\$	3.08	\$	14.17
Leasing commissions per square foot leased	\$	2.31	\$	2.26	\$	2.67
Total per square foot	\$	4.83	\$	5.35	\$	16.84
Renewal tenant square feet	7	728,363	2	243,823	e	537,356
Tenant improvements per square foot leased	\$	2.55	\$	1.29	\$	1.28
Leasing commissions per square foot leased	\$	1.37	\$	0.64	\$	0.54
Total per square foot	\$	3.91	\$	1.94	\$	1.82
Total per square foot per year	\$	0.79	\$	0.82	\$	0.63
Average lease term (in years)		5.3		4.6		6.6

(1) Includes only tenants with lease terms of 12 months or longer. Excludes leases for month-to-month and first generation tenants. *Distribution Requirements* 

We are required to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) on an annual basis to maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. For distributions with respect to taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2009, recent IRS guidance allows us to satisfy up to 90% of this requirement through the distribution of shares of our common stock, if certain conditions are met. We intend to continue to make, but have not committed ourselves to make, regular quarterly cash distributions to common stockholders and common unitholders from cash flow from operating activities. All such distributions are at the discretion of the Board of Directors. We may be required to use borrowings under the Credit Facility, if necessary, to meet REIT distribution requirements and maintain our REIT status. We have historically distributed amounts in excess of our taxable income resulting in a return of capital to our stockholders and currently believe we have the ability to maintain our distributions at the 2008 levels to meet our REIT requirements for 2009. We consider market factors and our performance in addition to REIT requirements in determining our distribution levels. Amounts accumulated for distribution to stockholders are invested primarily in interest-bearing accounts

and short-term interest-bearing securities, which are consistent with our intention to maintain our qualification as a REIT. Such investments may include, for example, obligations of the Government National Mortgage Association, other governmental agency securities, certificates of deposit and interest-bearing bank deposits. On January 16, 2009, we paid a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.580 per common share to stockholders of record on December 31, 2008. This dividend is equivalent to an annual rate of \$2.32 per share.

In addition, we are required to make quarterly distributions to our Series A Preferred Unitholders and Series E and Series F Preferred Stockholders, which in aggregate total approximately \$15 million of annualized preferred dividends and distributions.

#### Other Potential Liquidity Uses

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repurchased 239,475 shares of our common stock in open market transactions for an aggregate price of approximately \$11.5 million, or \$48.23 per share. These repurchases were made pursuant to a share repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors and were funded through borrowings on our Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2008, an aggregate of 988,025 shares remained eligible for repurchase under this share repurchase program. We may opt to repurchase additional shares of our common stock in the future depending upon market conditions.

We have the ability to repurchase the Notes and preferred stock in open market transactions. We may opt to repurchase the Notes or preferred stock in the future depending upon market conditions and our liquidity and financial position.

#### Historical Cash Flow Summary

Our historical cash flow activity for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2008	2007 (\$ in the	Dollar Change ousands)	Percentage Change			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 144,481	\$ 147,500	\$ (3,019)	(2.0)%			
Net cash used in investing activities	(93,825)	(244,802)	150,977	(61.7)			
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(52,835)	97,086	(149,921)	(154.4)			
Operating Activities							

Our cash flow from operations is primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the rental rates achieved on our leases, the collectibility of rent and recoveries from our tenants and the level of operating expenses and other general and administrative costs. Our net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$3.0 million, or 2.0%, to \$144.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$147.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. While our portfolio has historically generally generated positive net cash flow, in the event of a continued economic slow-down, our occupancy rates or rental rates may decline, which could result in a decrease in net cash flow from property operations. Net cash flow from property operations increased approximately \$12.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2007. This positive cash flow from property operations was primarily offset by the following:

• An increase of approximately \$5.1 million in cash paid for interest during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to an increase in our average debt balance during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 as a result of our 2008 development activities. We expect that cash paid for interest could increase in the near future due to the current state of the capital and commercial lending markets which could require us to finance more of our business activities with borrowings on the Credit Facility. Also, the extent to which we may be required to finance our business activities with borrowings on the Credit Facility

depends largely upon our ability to generate cash flow from operations. If we have a decrease in cash flow from operations from tenant defaults as discussed above, we may be required to finance even more of our business activities with borrowings on the Credit Facility.

• An increase of \$4.6 million in cash incentive compensation and severance costs paid during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007; and

#### Investing Activities

Our net cash used in investing activities is generally used to fund development and redevelopment projects and recurring and non-recurring capital expenditures. Our net cash used in investing activities decreased \$151.0 million, or 61.7%, to \$93.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$244.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This net decrease was primarily comprised of the following:

- A decrease of \$122.1 million in development expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. Given the current economic environment and market conditions, we are currently projecting that we will have decreased development spending in 2009 as compared to our historical development spending levels. See Item 7: Management s
  Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Development and Redevelopment Programs for additional information regarding the scope of our development and redevelopment programs;
- A decrease of \$15.1 million in net expenditures for property acquisitions, net of proceeds received from dispositions during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. Given the current economic environment and market conditions, we are currently projecting that we will not have significant acquisition or disposition activity in 2009; and
- A decrease of \$13.1 million in capital expenditures for operating properties for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. The level of capital expenditures for operating properties in 2009 will depend on leasing activity. *Financing Activities*

Our net cash for financing activities is generally impacted by our capital raising activities net of dividends and distributions paid to common and preferred stockholders and unitholders. Net cash used in financing activities fluctuated by \$149.9 million, or 154.4%, to \$52.8 million used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$97.1 million provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2007. This fluctuation was primarily due to:

- A decrease of \$134.3 million in net borrowing from debt financings during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. We currently expect that our annual net cash needs for 2009 and thus the amount we may need to borrow under debt financings will decrease in 2009 due to our expected decrease in development expenditures;
- An increase of \$11.5 million in cash paid to repurchase shares of our common stock under our share repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock under this program during the year ended December 31, 2007; and
- An increase of \$3.5 million in our dividends and distributions paid to common stockholders and common unitholders for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 primarily due to a 4.5% increase in our dividends per common share during 2008. Our management and board of directors are currently evaluating dividend levels for 2009.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of December 31, 2008 and as of the date this report was filed, we did not have any off-balance sheet transactions, arrangements or obligations, including contingent obligations.

#### Non-GAAP Supplemental Financial Measure: Funds From Operations

We calculate FFO in accordance with the White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of NAREIT. The White Paper defines FFO as net income or loss calculated in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items, as defined by GAAP, and gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating property, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and depreciation of non-real estate assets), and after adjustment for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

We believe that FFO is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance. The exclusion from FFO of gains and losses from the sale of operating real estate assets allows investors and analysts to readily identify the operating results of the assets that form the core of our activity and assists in comparing those operating results between periods. Also, because FFO is generally recognized as the industry standard for reporting the operations of REITs, it facilitates comparisons of operating performance to other REITs. However, other REITs may use different methodologies to calculate FFO, and accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to other REITs.

Implicit in historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP is the assumption that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered presentations of operating results for real estate companies using historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, we believe that FFO along with the required GAAP presentations provides a more complete measurement of our performance relative to our competitors and a more appropriate basis on which to make decisions involving operating, financing and investing activities than the required GAAP presentations alone would provide.

However, FFO should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our operating performance since it does not reflect either depreciation and amortization costs or the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, which are significant economic costs and could materially impact our results of operations.

The following table presents our FFO for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	Year ended December 31,						
	2008	2007	2006 (in thousands)	2005	2004		
Net income available for common stockholders	\$ 34,513	\$ 104,214	\$ 72,256	\$ 24,211	\$ 29,988		
Adjustments:							
Minority interest in earnings of Operating Partnership	2,182	7,167	5,990	3,149	4,307		
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	82,491	73,708	71,197	67,007	59,496		
Net gain on dispositions of discontinued operations	(234)	(74,505)	(31,259)	(30,764)	(6,148)		
	¢ 110.05 <b>0</b>	¢ 110 504	¢ 110 104	ф. (2.(02	ф. 0 <b>7</b> ( <b>1</b> 2		
Funds From Operations <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 118,952	\$ 110,584	\$ 118,184	\$ 63,603	\$ 87,643		

(1) Reported amounts are attributable to common stockholders and common unitholders.

The following table presents our weighted average common shares and Common Units outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	32,466,591	32,379,997	31,244,062	28,710,726	28,244,459		
Basic weighted average Common Units outstanding	2,065,188	2,235,772	2,598,313	3,749,627	4,072,979		
Basic weighted average common shares and Common Units							
outstanding	34,531,779	34,615,769	33,842,375	32,460,353	32,317,438		
Effect of dilutive securities nonvested shares of common stock,							
RSUs and stock options	203,406	146,726	145,937	161,793	177,568		
Diluted weighted average common shares and Common Units							
outstanding	34,735,185	34,762,495	33,988,312	32,622,146	32,495,006		

#### Inflation

Since the majority of our leases require tenants to pay most operating expenses, including real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and increases in common area maintenance expenses, we do not believe our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation is material.

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

For discussion of recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included with this report.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The primary market risk we face is interest rate risk. We mitigate this risk by maintaining prudent amounts of leverage, minimizing interest expense while continuously evaluating all available debt and equity resources and following established risk management policies and procedures that may include the periodic use of derivative instruments. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we did not have any derivative instruments.

Information about our changes in interest rate risk exposures from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008 is incorporated herein by reference from Item 7: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources.

#### **Market Risk**

We have elected to change our disclosure about market risk from a tabular presentation to a sensitivity analysis presentation because given the current economic environment we feel that a sensitivity analysis provides a more meaningful presentation of our exposure to market risk and facilitates comparison of our market risk to that of other REITs.

At December 31, 2008, approximately 24.5% of our total outstanding debt of \$1.2 billion was subject to variable interest rates. The remaining 75.5% bore interest at fixed interest rates. All of our interest rate sensitive financial instruments are held for purposes other than trading purposes. The interest rates on our variable-rate debt are indexed to LIBOR plus spreads of 0.75% to 0.95% at both December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

With the exception of the Notes, we generally determine the fair value of our debt by performing discounted cash flow analyses using an appropriate market discount rate. We calculate the market discount rate by obtaining period-end treasury rates for fixed-rate debt, or LIBOR rates for variable-rate debt, for maturities that correspond to the maturities of our debt and then adding an appropriate credit spread based on information obtained from third-party financial institutions. These credit spreads take into account our credit standing, the maturity of the debt, whether the debt is secured or unsecured and the loan-to-value ratios of the debt. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we also added an additional 250 basis points to this discount rate to adjust for the current liquidity risk inherent in the capital markets.

The total carrying value of our debt, excluding the Notes, was approximately \$712.5 million and \$650.9 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The total fair value of our debt, excluding the Notes, was approximately \$645.4 million and \$660.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For sensitivity purposes, a 100 basis point change in the discount rate equates to a change in the total fair value of our debt, excluding the Notes, or 1.8% at December 31, 2008, and a 100 basis point change in the discount rate equates to a change in the discount rate equates to a change in the total fair value of our debt of approximately \$14.6 million, or 2.2% at December 31, 2007.

The total carrying value of the Notes was approximately \$457.0 million and \$456.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The total fair value of the Notes was approximately \$305.8 million and \$399.4 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We determine the fair value of the Notes, which are traded securities, based upon the closing trading price at the end of the period, or, if a closing trading price is not available, a bid-ask spread from a third-party broker, plus accrued interest. For sensitivity purposes, a 10% change in the trading price of the Notes equates to a change in the total fair value of the Notes of approximately \$30.3 million, or 9.9% at December 31, 2008, and a 10% change in the trading price of the Notes equates to a change in the total fair value of the Notes of approximately \$30.3 million, or 9.9% at December 31, 2008, and a 10% change in the total fair value of the total fair value of the Notes of approximately \$39.6 million, or 9.0% at December 31, 2007.

For sensitivity purposes, a 10% change in the trading price of our marketable securities equates to a change in the total fair value of the marketable securities of approximately \$0.2 million, or 10% at December 31, 2008, and a 10% change in the trading price of the marketable securities equates to a change in the total fair value of the marketable securities of approximately \$0.1 million, or 10% at December 31, 2007.

The above sensitivity analyses do not consider interrelationships between different market movements, which could result in additional changes in the fair value of our debt, Notes and marketable securities beyond the amounts calculated.

# ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See the index included at Item 15: Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

# ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the 1934 Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the 1934 Act, is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded, as of that time, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes that occurred during the fourth quarter of the year covered by this report in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation referenced above that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by the Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP,

and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures and by an appropriate segregation of responsibilities and duties. We have used the criteria set forth in the *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission to assess our internal control over financial reporting. Based upon this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting operated effectively as of December 31, 2008.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our financial statements and has issued a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

February 12, 2009

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Kilroy Realty Corporation

Los Angeles, California

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Kilroy Realty Corporation (the Company ) as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008, of the Company and our report dated February 12, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedules.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 12, 2009

# ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2009.

As required by Section 303A.12 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, our Chief Executive Officer made his annual certification to the NYSE on May 29, 2008 stating that he was not aware of any violation by us of the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE. In addition, we have filed, as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 to be filed with the SEC regarding the quality of our public disclosure.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2009.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2009.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2009.

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement for our annual stockholders meeting presently scheduled to be held in May 2009.

# PART IV

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) and (2) Financial Statements and Schedules

The following consolidated financial information is included as a separate section of this annual report on Form 10-K:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2				
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	F-3				
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4				
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-5				
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-6				
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8				
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-50				
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-51				
other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or					

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule or because the information required is included in the financial statements and notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number 3.(i)1	<b>Description</b> Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Registrant <sup>(1)</sup>
3.(i)2	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating its 7.45% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(2)</sup>
3.(i)3	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating its Series B Junior Participating Preferred Stock <sup>(3)</sup>
3.(i)4	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating 780,000 shares of its 9 <sup>1</sup> /4% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(4)</sup>
3.(i)5	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating an additional 120,000 shares of its 9 <sup>1</sup> /4% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(5)</sup>
3.(i)6	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating its 7.80% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(6)</sup>
3.(i)7	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant designating its 7.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock <sup>(7)</sup>
3.(i)8	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant redesignating and reclassifying 400,000 shares of Series B Junior Participating Preferred Stock as Preferred Stock <sup>(39)</sup>
3.(i)9	Articles Supplementary of the Registrant redesignating and reclassifying 900,000 shares of 9 <sup>1</sup> /4% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock as Preferred Stock <sup>(39)</sup>
3.(ii)1	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant <sup>(36)</sup>
4.1	Form of Certificate for Common Stock of the Registrant <sup>(1)</sup>
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement dated January 31, 1997 <sup>(1)</sup>

Exhibit Number 4.3	Description Registration Rights Agreement dated February 6, 1998 <sup>(8)</sup>
4.4	Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated as of March 5, 2004 <sup>(2)</sup>
4.5	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 31, 1997 <sup>(9)</sup>
4.6	Rights Agreement dated as of October 2, 1998 between Kilroy Realty Corporation and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent, which includes the form of Articles Supplementary of the Series B Junior Participating Preferred Stock of Kilroy Realty Corporation as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares as Exhibit C <sup>(10)</sup>
4.7	Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 6, 2000 <sup>(11)</sup>
4.8	The Company is party to agreements in connection with long-term debt obligations, none of which individually exceeds ten percent of the total assets of the Company on a consolidated basis. Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, the Company agrees to furnish copies of these agreements to the Commission upon request
4.9	Note and Guarantee Agreement dated August 4, 2004 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Kilroy Realty Corporation and the purchasers whose names appear in the acceptance form at the end of the Note and Guarantee Agreement <sup>(12)</sup>
4.10	Form of 5.72% Series A Guaranteed Senior Note due 2010 <sup>(12)</sup>
4.11	Form of 6.45% Series B Guaranteed Senior Note due 2014 <sup>(12)</sup>
4.12	Kilroy Realty 2006 Incentive Award Plan <sup>(29)</sup>
4.13	Amendment to Kilroy Realty 2006 Incentive Award Plan <sup>(31)</sup>
4.14	Second Amendment to Kilroy Realty 2006 Incentive Award Plan <sup>(35)</sup>
4.15	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement <sup>(30)</sup>
4.16	Indenture, dated as of April 2, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., as issuer, Kilroy Realty Corporation, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 3.250% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2012 <sup>(33)</sup>
4.17	Registration Rights Agreement, dated April 2, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation, and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC and Lehman Brothers Inc. <sup>(33)</sup>
10.1	Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Kilroy Realty, L.P. dated as of March 5, 2004 <sup>(2)</sup>
10.2	First Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Kilroy Realty, L.P., dated as of December 7, 2004 <sup>(13)</sup>
10.3	Second Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Kilroy Realty, L.P., dated as of October 2, 2008 <sup>(39)</sup>
10.4	Omnibus Agreement dated as of October 30, 1996 by and among Kilroy Realty, L.P. and the parties named therein <sup>(1)</sup>
10.5	Supplemental Representations, Warranties and Indemnity Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty, L.P. and the parties named therein <sup>(1)</sup>
10.6	Pledge Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty, L.P., John B. Kilroy, Sr., John B. Kilroy, Jr. and Kilroy Industries <sup>(1)</sup>

Exhibit Number	Description
10.7	<b>Description</b> 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Registrant and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(1)</sup>
10.8	Form of Indemnity Agreement of the Registrant and Kilroy Realty, L.P. with certain officers and directors <sup>(1)</sup>
10.9	Lease Agreement dated January 24, 1989 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase I <sup>(14)</sup>
10.10	First Amendment to Lease Agreement dated December 28, 1990 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase I <sup>(14)</sup>
10.11	Lease Agreement dated July 17, 1985 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase III <sup>(15)</sup>
10.12	Lease Agreement dated April 21, 1988 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the Board of Water Commissioners of the City of Long Beach, acting for and on behalf of the City of Long Beach, for Long Beach Phase IV <sup>(15)</sup>
10.13	Lease Agreement dated December 30, 1988 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase II <sup>(15)</sup>
10.14	First Amendment to Lease dated January 24, 1989 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase III <sup>(15)</sup>
10.15	Second Amendment to Lease Agreement dated December 28, 1990 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase III <sup>(15)</sup>
10.16	First Amendment to Lease Agreement dated December 28, 1990 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase II <sup>(15)</sup>
10.17	Third Amendment to Lease Agreement dated October 10, 1994 by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach for Kilroy Long Beach Phase III <sup>(15)</sup>
10.18	Development Agreement by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach <sup>(15)</sup>
10.19	Amendment No. 1 to Development Agreement by and between Kilroy Long Beach Associates and the City of Long Beach <sup>(15)</sup>
10.20	Property Management Agreement between Kilroy Realty Finance Partnership, L.P. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(16)</sup>
10.21	Form of Environmental Indemnity Agreement <sup>(16)</sup>
10.22	Option Agreement by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Kilroy Airport Imperial Co. <sup>(17)</sup>
10.23	Option Agreement by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Kilroy Calabasas Associates <sup>(17)</sup>
10.24	Noncompetition Agreement by and between the Registrant and John B. Kilroy, Sr. <sup>(1)</sup>
10.25	Noncompetition Agreement by and between the Registrant and John B. Kilroy, Jr. <sup>(1)</sup>
10.26	License Agreement by and among the Registrant and the other persons named therein <sup>(17)</sup>
10.27	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated April 30, 1997 by and between Mission Land Company, Mission-Vacaville, L.P. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(18)</sup>
10.28	Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions dated April 30, 1997 by and between Camarillo Partners and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(18)</sup>
10.29	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions dated May 5, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty L.P. and Pullman Carnegie Associates <sup>(19)</sup>

Exhibit Number 10.30	<b>Description</b> Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions dated June 27, 1997 by and between Pullman Carnegie Associates and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(19)</sup>
10.31	Purchase and Sale Agreement, Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated May 12, 1997 by and between Shidler West Acquisition Company, LLC and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(20)</sup>
10.32	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated June 6, 1997 by and between Shidler West Acquisition Company, L.L.C. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(20)</sup>
10.33	Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, Contribution Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated June 12, 1997 by and between Shidler West Acquisition Company, LLC and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(20)</sup>
10.34	Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions dated June 12, 1997 by and between Mazda Motor of America, Inc. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(19)</sup>
10.35	First Amendment to Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions dated June 30, 1997 by and between Mazda Motor of America, Inc. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(19)</sup>
10.36	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of 2100 Colorado Avenue, Santa Monica, California dated June 16, 1997 by and between Santa Monica Number Seven Associates L.P. and Kilroy Realty, L.P. <sup>(19)</sup>
10.37	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners <sup>(21)</sup>
10.38	First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated August 22, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.39	Second Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 5, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.40	Third Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 19, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.41	Fourth Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 22, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.42	Fifth Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 23, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.43	Sixth Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1998 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 25, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.44	Seventh Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated September 29, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.45	Eighth Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated October 2, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>

Exhibit Number	Description
10.46	Ninth Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions dated July 10, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Mission Square Partners dated October 24, 1997 <sup>(21)</sup>
10.47	Contribution Agreement dated October 21, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Kilroy Realty Corporation and The Allen Group and the Allens <sup>(22)</sup>
10.48	Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow Instructions dated December 11, 1997 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Swede-Cal Properties, Inc., Viking Investors of Southern California, L.P. and Viking Investors of Southern California II, L.P. <sup>(23)</sup>
10.49	Amendment to the Contribution Agreement dated October 14, 1998 by and between Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Kilroy Realty Corporation and The Allen Group and the Allens dated October 21, 1997 <sup>(24)</sup>
10.50	Secured Promissory Notes and Deeds of Trusts Aggregating \$80.0 Million payable to Metropolitan Life Insurance Company dated January 10, 2002 <sup>(25)</sup>
10.51	Secured Promissory Notes and Deeds of Trust Aggregating \$115 million payable to Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America <sup>(26)</sup>
10.52	Fourth Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated October 22, 2004 <sup>(27)</sup>
10.53	Fourth Amended and Restated Guaranty of Payment dated October 22, 2004 <sup>(27)</sup>
10.54	Amendment No. 2 to Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated April 26, 2006 <sup>(28)</sup>
10.55	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and John B. Kilroy, Jr. effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(32)</sup>
10.56 *	Addendum No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and John B. Kilroy, Jr. effective as of February 12, 2008
10.57 *	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and John B. Kilroy, Jr. effective as of December 31, 2008
10.58	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Jeffrey C. Hawken effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(32)</sup>
10.59 *	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Jeffrey C. Hawken effective as of December 31, 2008
10.60	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Richard E. Moran Jr. effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(32)</sup>
10.61 *	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Richard E. Moran Jr. effective as of December 31, 2008
10.62	Letter confirmation dated March 27, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch <sup>(33)</sup>
10.63	Letter confirmation dated March 27, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(33)</sup>
10.64	Letter confirmation dated March 27, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. <sup>(33)</sup>
10.65	Amendment to letter confirmation dated April 4, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, London Branch <sup>(34)</sup>
10.66	Amendment to letter confirmation dated April 4, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and Bank of America, N.A. <sup>(34)</sup>

Exhibit Number	Description
10.67	Amendment to letter confirmation dated April 4, 2007, among Kilroy Realty, L.P., Kilroy Realty Corporation and Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. <sup>(34)</sup>
10.68	Kilroy Realty Corporation 2007 Deferred Compensation Plan <sup>(37)</sup>
10.69	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Steven R. Scott effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(37)</sup>
10.70 *	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Steven R. Scott effective as of December 31, 2008
10.71	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Tyler H. Rose effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(37)</sup>
10.72 *	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Tyler H. Rose effective as of December 31, 2008
10.73	Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Heidi Roth effective as of January 1, 2007 <sup>(37)</sup>
10.74 *	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement by and among Kilroy Realty Corporation, Kilroy Realty, L.P. and Heidi Roth effective as of December 31, 2008
10.75	Kilroy Realty Corporation Stock Award Deferral Program <sup>(38)</sup>
10.76	Ground Lease by and between Frederick Boysen and Ted Boysen and Kilroy Industries dated May 15, 1969 for SeaTac Office Center <sup>(14)</sup>
10.77	Amendment No. 1 to Ground Lease and Grant of Easement dated April 27, 1973 among Frederick Boysen and Dorothy Boysen, Ted Boysen and Rose Boysen and Sea/Tac Properties <sup>(14)</sup>
10.78	Amendment No. 2 to Ground Lease and Grant of Easement dated May 17, 1977 among Frederick Boysen and Dorothy Boysen, Ted Boysen and Rose Boysen and Sea/Tac Properties <sup>(14)</sup>
10.79	Airspace lease dated July 10, 1980 by and among the Washington State Department of Transportation, as lessor, and Sea/Tac Properties, Ltd. and Kilroy Industries, as lessee <sup>(14)</sup>
10.80	Memorandum of Lease dated April 1, 1980 by and among Bow Lake, Inc., as lessor, and Kilroy Industries and Sea/Tac Properties, Ltd., as lessees for Sea/Tac Office Center <sup>(14)</sup>
10.81	Amendment No. 1 to Ground Lease dated September 17, 1990 between Bow Lake, Inc., as lessor, and Sea/Tac Properties, Ltd., as lessee <sup>(14)</sup>
10.82	Amendment No. 2 to Ground Lease dated March 21, 1991 between Bow Lake, Inc., as lessor, and Sea/Tac Properties, Ltd., as lessee <sup>(14)</sup>
12.1*	Statement of Computation of Consolidated Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1*	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
24.1*	Power of Attorney (included on the signature page of this Form 10-K)
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1*	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2*	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Filed herewith

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

- (1) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Amendment No. 3 to Form S-11 (No. 333-15553).
- (2) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- (3) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Amendment No. 1 to Form S-3 (No. 333-72229).
- (4) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.
- (5) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-34638).
- (6) Previously filed an exhibit on Form 8-A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 24, 2003.
- (7) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2004.
- (8) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 11, 1998.
- (9) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K/A as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 19, 1997.
- (10) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 8, 1998.
- (11) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000.
- (12) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2004.
- (13) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2004.
- (14) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Amendment No. 2 to Form S-11 (No. 333-15553).
- (15) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-15553).

- (16) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Amendment No. 5 to Form S-11 (No. 333-15553).
- (17) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Amendment No. 4 to Form S-11 (No. 333-15553).
- (18) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 6, 1997.
- (19) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 15, 1997.
- (20) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 3, 1997.
- (21) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1997.
- (22) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 21, 1997.
- (23) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 1997.
- (24) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998.
- (25) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
- (26) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
- (27) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 28, 2004.
- (28) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- (29) Previously filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 2006.
- (30) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2007.
- (31) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (32) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 22, 2007.
- (33) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2007.
- (34) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 11, 2007.

- (35) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.
- (36) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2008.
- (37) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.
- (38) Previously filed as an exhibit to Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 2, 2008.
- (39) Previously filed as an exhibit on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 12, 2009.

#### KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

By: /s/ Heidi R. Roth

Heidi R. Roth

Senior Vice President and Controller

# POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that we, the undersigned officers and directors of Kilroy Realty Corporation, hereby severally constitute John B. Kilroy, Sr., John B. Kilroy, Jr., Jeffrey C. Hawken, Richard E. Moran Jr. and Heidi R. Roth, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them, and each of them singly, to sign for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, the Form 10-K filed herewith and any and all amendments to said Form 10-K, and generally to do all such things in our names and in our capacities as officers and directors to enable Kilroy Realty Corporation to comply with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and all requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming our signatures as they may be signed by our said attorneys, or any of them, to said Form 10-K and any and all amendments thereto.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ John B. Kilroy, Sr.	Chairman of the Board	February 12, 2009
John B. Kilroy, Sr.		
/s/ John B. Kilroy, Jr.	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 12, 2009
John B. Kilroy, Jr.	(	
/s/ Richard E. Moran Jr.	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 12, 2009
Richard E. Moran Jr.		
/s/ Heidi R. Roth	Senior Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 12, 2009
Heidi R. Roth		
/s/ Edward F. Brennan, Ph.D.	Director	February 12, 2009
Edward F. Brennan, Ph.D.		
/s/ William P. Dickey	Director	February 12, 2009
William P. Dickey		

/s/	Scott S. Ingraham	Director	February 12, 2009
S	Scott S. Ingraham		
/s/	DALE F. KINSELLA	Director	February 12, 2009
	Dale F. Kinsella		

# KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007

# AND FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-50
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-51

F-1

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Kilroy Realty Corporation

Los Angeles, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Kilroy Realty Corporation (the Company ) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 12, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Los Angeles, California

February 12, 2009

F-2

# KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# (in thousands, except share data)

	Decem 2008	ber 31, 2007
ASSETS	2008	2007
REAL ESTATE ASSETS (Notes 3, 4 and 9):		
Land and improvements	\$ 336,874	\$ 324,779
Buildings and improvements	1,888,274	1,719,700
Undeveloped land and construction in progress	246,865	324,077
Total real estate held for investment	2,472,013	2,368,556
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(532,769)	(463,932)
Total real estate assets, net	1,939,244	1,904,624
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	9,553	11,732
RESTRICTED CASH	672	546
MARKETABLE SECURITIES (Notes 14 and 17)	1,888	707
CURRENT RECEIVABLES, NET (Note 5)	5,753	4,891
DEFERRED RENT RECEIVABLES, NET (Note 6)	67,144	67,283
NOTE RECEIVABLE	10,824	10,970
DEFERRED LEASING COSTS AND ACQUISITION-RELATED INTANGIBLES, NET (Note 7)	53,539	54,418
DEFERRED FINANCING COSTS, NET (Note 8)	6,131	8,492
PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER ASSETS, NET	4,835	5,057
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,099,583	\$ 2,068,720
	+ _,,	+ _,,.
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Secured debt (Note 9)	\$ 316,456	\$ 395,912
Exchangeable senior notes, net (Note 9)	457,010	456,090
Unsecured senior notes (Note 9)	144,000	144,000
Unsecured line of credit (Note 9)	252,000	111,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (Note 14)	55,066	58,249
Accrued distributions (Note 12)	21,421	20,610
Deferred revenue and acquisition-related liabilities (Note 10)	76,219	59,187
Rents received in advance and tenant security deposits	19,340	18,433
Total liabilities	1,341,512	1,263,481
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 16)		
MINORITY INTERESTS (Note 11):	72 (29	72 (28
7.45% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred units of the Operating Partnership	73,638	73,638
Common units of the Operating Partnership	28,368	38,309
Total minority interests	102,006	111,947
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Note 12):		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized,		
7.45% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$.01 par value,		
1,500,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding		
7.80% Series E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred stock, \$.01 par value,		
1,610,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding (\$40,250 liquidation preference)	38,425	38,425
	83,157	83,157

7.50% Series F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred stock, \$.01 par value,		
3,450,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding (\$86,250 liquidation preference)		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized,		
33,086,148 and 32,765,893 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	331	328
Additional paid-in capital	663,471	658,894
Distributions in excess of earnings	(129,319)	(87,512)
Total stockholders equity	656,065	693,292
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 2,099,583	\$ 2,068,720

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

# KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

# (in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,200820072006		2006		
REVENUES:					
Rental income	\$ 252,084	\$	229,672	\$	216,745
Tenant reimbursements	31,035		25,322		22,440
Other property income (Note 18)	6,849		3,478		2,356
Total revenues	289,968		258,472		241,541
EXPENSES:					
Property expenses	48,875		43,306		39,700
Real estate taxes	22,108		19,539		18,149
Provision for bad debts	4,051		473		744
Ground leases (Note 16)	1,617		1,582		1,583
General and administrative expenses	38,260		36,580		22,800
Interest expense (Notes 8 and 9)	40,366		37,502		43,541
Depreciation and amortization (Notes 2 and 7)	83,275		72,815		68,830
Total expenses	238,552		211,797		195,347
OTHER (LOSS) INCOME:					
Interest and other investment (loss) income (Note 14)	(93)	)	1,606		1,653
Net settlement receipts on interest rate swaps					991
Loss on derivative instruments					(818)
Total other (loss) income	(93)	)	1,606		1,826
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE MINORITY INTERESTS	51,323		48,281		48,020
MINORITY INTERESTS:					
Distributions on Cumulative Redeemable Preferred units (Note 11)	(5,588)	)	(5,588)		(5,588)
Minority interest in earnings of Operating Partnership attributable to continuing operations	(2,148)	)	(2,129)		(2,514)
Total minority interests	(7,736)	)	(7,717)		(8,102)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	43,587		40,564		39,918
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Notes 4 and 20)					
Revenues from discontinued operations	199		10,312		22,788
Expenses from discontinued operations	135		(6,521)		(8,625)
Net gain on dispositions of discontinued operations	234		74,505		31,259
Minority interest in earnings of Operating Partnership attributable to discontinued operations	(34)	)	(5,038)		(3,476)
Total income from discontinued operations	534		73,258		41,946
NET INCOME	44,121		113,822		81,864
PREFERRED DIVIDENDS	(9,608)	)	(9,608)		(9,608)
NET INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 34,513	\$	104,214	\$	72,256
Income from continuing operations per common share basic (Note 21)	\$ 1.05	\$	0.96	\$	0.97

Income from continuing operations per common share diluted (Note 21)	\$	1.04	\$	0.95	\$	0.96
Net income per common share basic (Note 21)	\$	1.06	\$	3.22	\$	2.31
Net income per common share diluted (Note 21)	\$	1.06	\$	3.20	\$	2.30
Weighted average shares outstanding basic (Note 21)	32,466,591		32,379,997		31,244,062	
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted (Note 21)	32,6	69,997	,997 32,526,723		31,389,999	
Dividends declared per common share (Note 22)	\$	2.32	\$	2.22	\$	2.12

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

# KILROY REALTY CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

# (in thousands, except share and per share data)

		Common Stock Add		Additional		Distributions		
	Preferred	Number of	Par	Paid-in	Deferred		in Excess of	
	Stock	Shares	Value	Capital	Compo	ensation	Earnings	Total
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2005	\$ 121,582	28,970,703	\$ 289	\$ 523,609	\$	(1,998)	\$ (124,214)	\$ 519,268
Change in accounting principle (Note 2)				(1,998)		1,998		
Net income							81,864	81,864
Issuance of common stock (Note 12)		2,000,000	20	136,039				136,059
Repurchase of common stock (Note 12)		(40,875)		(2,891)				(2,891)
Issuance of nonvested shares of common stock (Note 13)		87,067	1	1,304				1,305
Non-cash amortization of share-based compensation								
(Note 13)				3,237				3,237
Exercise of stock options (Note 13)		31,000		760				760
Exchange of common units of the Operating Partnership								
(Note 11)		1,350,986	14	35,919				35,933
Adjustment for minority interest (Notes 2 and 11)				(24,495)				(24,495)
Preferred dividends							(9,608)	(9,608)
Dividends declared per common share (\$2.12 per share)							(67,136)	(67,136)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2006	121,582	32,398,881	324	671,484			(119,094)	674,296
Net income							113,822	113,822
Repurchase of common stock (Note 12)		(32,515)		(2,631)				(2,631)
Issuance of nonvested shares of common stock (Note 13)		269,323	2	2,968				2,970
Non-cash amortization of share-based compensation								
(Note 13)				12,567				12,567
Exercise of stock options (Note 13)		1,000		29				29
Cost of capped call options on common stock (Note 9)								