ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO Form 10-K March 26, 2004 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-K
(Mai	rk One)
X	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For t	the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003
	or
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For t	the transition period from to
	Commission File Number 0-50179

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of	04-3669482 (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
	15090 Avenue of Science
	San Diego, California 92128
(Address	of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant s telep	hone number, including area code: 858-676-2100
Securities registe	red pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None
Securities regi	stered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
C	ommon Stock, \$.001 Par Value
	d all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act ter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject or No "
	pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be itive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accele	erated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes " or No x
The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting	common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2003 was

Table of Contents 2

\$296,061,485.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant s common stock as of March 15, 2004 was 20,639,914.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the registrant s 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, subsequent to the date hereof, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report. Such Definitive Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the conclusion of the registrant s fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	2
Item 2.	Properties	38
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	40
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	41
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	41
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	63
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	63
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	63
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	63
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	64
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	64
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	64
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	64
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	64
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K	65
	<u>Signatures</u>	66
	Exhibit Index	67
	Financial Statements	F-1
	Certifications	

SERVICE MARKS AND TRADE NAMES

Accredited Home Lenders®, Home Funds Direct® and Axiom Financial Services® and their related logos are registered service marks of Accredited Home Capital, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the registrant s wholly-owned subsidiary, Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements. When used in this report, statements which are not historical in nature, including the words anticipate, estimate, should, expect, believe, intend and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The include statements containing a projection of revenues, earnings or losses, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial terms.

The forward-looking statements in this report are based upon our management s beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future operations and economic performance, taking into account the information currently available to them. These statements are not statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, some of which are not currently known to us, that may cause our actual results, performance or financial condition to be materially different from the expectations of future results, performance or financial condition we express or imply in any forward-looking statements. Some of the important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or financial condition to differ materially from expectations are:

changes in demand for, or value of, mortgage loans due to the attributes of the loans we originate; the characteristics of our borrowers; and fluctuations in the real estate market, interest rates or the market in which we sell or securitize our loans;

a general deterioration in economic or political conditions;

our ability to protect and hedge our mortgage loan portfolio against adverse interest rate movements;

changes in government regulations that affect our ability to originate and service mortgage loans;

changes in the credit markets, which affect our ability to borrow money to originate mortgage loans;

the degree and nature of our competition;

our ability to employ and retain qualified employees; and

the other factors referenced in this report, including, without limitation, under the sections entitled ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and ITEM 1. Business Risk Factors That May Affect Our Future Results.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this report might not occur. We qualify any and all of our forward-looking statements entirely by these cautionary factors.

1

Table of Contents

In this Form 10-K, unless the context requires otherwise, Accredited, Company, we, our, and us means Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. and its subsidiary.

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

General Development of Our Business

We formed Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. as a California corporation in May 1990 under the name Preferred Home Lenders, Inc. We changed our name to MSK Financial Services, Inc. in July 1990, and to Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. in October 1995. In February 2003, we reorganized our company such that Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. became a wholly-owned operating subsidiary of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co., a Delaware corporation. Shortly after the reorganization, Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. completed its initial public offering and became listed on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol LEND.

Description of Our Business

We are a nationwide mortgage banking company that originates, finances, sells, securitizes and services non-prime mortgage loans secured by residential real estate. We focus on borrowers who may not meet conforming underwriting guidelines because of higher loan-to-value ratios, the nature or absence of income documentation, limited credit histories, high levels of consumer debt, or past credit difficulties. We originate loans primarily based upon the borrower s willingness and ability to repay the loan and the adequacy of the collateral. Our experienced management team has developed incentive programs, technology tools and business processes that focus our employees on originating non-prime mortgage loans with the financial and other characteristics that generate profits for us. We believe that this business approach has contributed to our disciplined growth in both origination volume and profits.

In 2003, approximately 89% and 11% of our loan originations were originated through our wholesale and retail channels, respectively. In 2003, our wholesale loan originations were originated through approximately 6,800 brokers. Prior to funding, each loan we originate is underwritten by our employees to confirm that the loan is priced commensurate with its risk as determined in accordance with our underwriting guidelines. We finance the origination of our loans under one of eight secured warehouse facilities. As of March 15, 2004, these facilities provided us with approximately \$2.7 billion of credit capacity.

We conduct an analysis to find and select the optimal disposition strategy for each loan. We have primarily disposed of our loans in whole loan sales and to a lesser extent in securitizations and loan sales with retained interests. We have begun using structures that require financing treatment rather than sale treatment for our securitizations. During 2002 and 2003, we completed five securitizations totaling \$2.0 billion structured as financings. On all of the loans that we securitize or sell with retained interests, we retain the rights to service the loans.

We have developed incentive programs, technology tools and business processes that reward performance and that we believe result in the optimal balance among these competing factors that are described below. Our incentive programs compensate our employees based upon one or more of these factors. Our technology tools, such as our Revenue Calculator and our profit and loss tools, provide the real-time information that an employee needs to estimate the employee s compensation and simultaneously meet our overall loan quality and profitability goals. Our business processes incorporate cross-departmental review and feedback that are designed to assist each department in maximizing the quality and profitability of each loan originated. We have developed our incentive programs, technology tools and business processes over time, and we are constantly reviewing and updating them to meet our evolving needs. We believe that this business approach has contributed to our disciplined growth in both origination volume and profits.

Our Incentive Program

One of our most distinguishing characteristics is that our incentive structure rewards employees throughout our company based upon the criteria that best align each individual s compensation with our overall objectives.

Loan Origination/Origination Support. Our profit and loss tools promptly allocate to our loan origination teams both profits from performing loans as well as prospective losses from problem loans and recaptured premiums from loans that paid off early. This allocation affects the personal compensation of our loan origination staff. In addition, production managers are compensated on their profit and volume achievements. Because the information can be accessed directly by all origination support personnel through our technology tools, they have an immediate understanding of the financial impact of their decisions and therefore are motivated to act in the manner that benefits themselves and our company.

Underwriting. Bonuses are paid to our underwriting staff based not only upon the number of loans closed per person, but also upon the number of loans we sell at a profit. Loans that do not sell at full or anticipated value or that must be repurchased, count against this measure. Therefore, underwriters are motivated to accurately describe, correctly grade, and approve only loans that can be sold within 120 days of origination and that generate profits for our company.

Capital Markets. Our capital markets staff is compensated based upon their successful execution of the disposition strategy for the mortgage loans that management has formulated for that month. In addition, they are compensated based upon their ability to sell loans that fall outside of the normal underwriting guidelines.

Servicing. Our servicing employees are compensated based upon low delinquency rates and loan loss rates, which motivates a proactive collections and liquidations approach.

Senior and Executive Management. Senior managers are compensated based upon our achievement of profit and other financial and operational goals set forth in an operating plan at the beginning of each year and approved by our board of directors. Our compensation committee is required to approve individual bonuses for senior managers based upon each manager s contribution to our overall profitability.

We believe our incentive programs enhance our performance by aligning each employee s compensation and each job function with our overall profitability objectives.

Our Technology and Management Tools

Our technology department, in collaboration with our operations department, finance department and business intelligence department, has developed customized versions of commercially available software. Our suite of technology tools enables us to effectively achieve the goals that we have established for our employees individually and for our company as a whole. The principal technology tools that are integral to this effort are:

Revenue Calculator. The Revenue Calculator is our software tool that integrates pricing information from our actual loan sales with our loan origination operations. Our retail loan officer or wholesale account executive inputs information into the Revenue Calculator

about the characteristics of the loan and the borrower, including the interest rate, prepayment penalty, fees, the loan-to-value ratio (LTV), and the credit score of the borrower. The Revenue Calculator provides each loan origination team with loan price information on a real-time basis. Our loan origination teams can modify various attributes of the loan they are originating to price for the risk we are taking. However, in order to prevent structuring loans that are unfair to the borrower, as loan features are changed to increase the cost of the loan to the borrower, the impact of the changes on the value given to the loan by the Revenue Calculator decreases and in some

cases is capped. They can then immediately see how the value of the loan is increased or decreased from the point of view of the secondary market and likewise how their compensation for that loan will be increased or decreased. The Revenue Calculator also provides the cost structure per loan for each account executive based upon that individual s closing ratio and share of division-level expense. Accordingly, each member of our origination team is focused on pricing for the risk we take and producing profitable loans. To maintain the accuracy of our Revenue Calculator, we update this loan pricing model at least monthly, and sometimes more often, based upon actual loan sales and input from loan purchasers.

Profit and Loss Tools. We have additional tools that measure the profitability of various operating units. The Profit Center Report system (PCR) provides comprehensive revenue and expense information for each operating unit, including a full allocation of corporate expenses. The Problem Loan Report system (PLR) generates reports that allocate losses on loans back to the team that originated such loans. These reports also show the profit and loss of every other team in that team s division, the total division, every other division in our company and our company as a whole, allowing each unit to compare its performance to that of the other units. Each team s operations and sales managers are also compensated on their team s profitability and have a strong incentive and the necessary information to reduce expenses and increase the value of their loan production.

LOIS. We have a version of Eastern Software s Empower loan origination program that we have configured for our use and are using in our workflow processes. We call the system LOIS, an acronym for Loan Origination Information System, and it supports the entire loan origination process. This data system combines all the information regarding a loan, flags any potential underwriting problems, enables documentation and electronic transmittal and facilitates the loan closing process, and populates our enterprise data warehouse. See Our Underwriting Process and Guidelines.

We have designed these tools to enhance our compliance with laws, including laws regarding unfair loans or predatory lending. For example, our Revenue Calculator contains controls that are designed to eliminate economic incentives to our employees for structuring loans that are unfair to the borrower. As loan features are changed to increase the cost of the loan to the borrower, the impact of the changes on the value given to the loan by the Revenue Calculator decreases and in some cases is capped. This has the effect of discouraging our employees from originating loans that might be characterized as unfair or predatory. In addition, we have established across-the-board limits on the total fees payable by the borrower to us and to our independent brokers. We have incorporated these limits into LOIS. Accordingly, each member of the origination team is focused on originating loans that we believe are fair relative to the risk we assume and the benefit we seek to provide to our borrowers. We endeavor to input the laws, rules and regulations into our technology tools, thereby substantially reducing human error as a source of non-compliance. In addition, our loan underwriters are required to make a determination that each loan we originate is beneficial to the borrower.

Our Business Processes Teamwork And Checks And Balances

We have two principal components to our business. We originate and underwrite loans, and we sell or securitize and service our loans. We have an integrated approach to these activities that encourages teamwork and the sharing of information across divisions. Our approach also promotes slightly different goals for different divisions that results in meaningful, real-time, daily checks and balances throughout our organizational structure.

Sharing information among our divisions gives each team the information it needs to optimize its performance. For example, our credit committee determines our underwriting guidelines and is composed of the Director of Capital Markets (who is responsible for loan disposition), the Director of Operations (who is responsible for underwriting and servicing), the Director of Credit Administration (who is responsible for maintaining underwriting guidelines) and the President and Chief Operating Officer (who is responsible for, among other things, loan production). Others invited to make contributions to these policies may include the Director of Underwriting, the manager of the inventory control unit (which deals with problem loans), and the manager of internal audit and quality control. Our secondary marketing committee includes the Director of Operations, the Director of Capital

4

Table of Contents

Markets, the Secondary Marketing Manager, the Executive Vice President, as well as the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer, to ensure that balance sheet considerations, secondary market considerations, loan performance objectives and marketing matters are all taken into account. These committees share information to optimize decisions, but the decisions are still made by functional managers.

Each division manager has access to division and team-level information from all other divisions. In addition to the incentives we provide to each division manager to achieve division-level profit objectives, the sharing of information also motivates each division to maximize its own profit compared to that of other divisions within the framework of our company s overall goals.

We have structured our incentive system to emphasize both the importance of each division individually and also cross-division cooperation. Our account executives and loan officers are rewarded based upon originating profitable loans and our underwriting teams are rewarded based upon producing quality loans. For example, origination team personnel can process and present loans, but if the loans do not meet the quality standards of the corporate underwriting teams or the profit objectives of the origination team and division, the loans either will not be approved or will not create bonuses or commissions.

These checks and balances have been built into our origination and underwriting processes and are bolstered by our incentive programs and technology tools. Our loan officers and account executives work closely with our underwriting group to ensure that the loan applications that they originate meet the quality standards necessary to make it through the underwriting and funding process. The first step is a comprehensive underwriting by the origination team supporting our account executives and loan officers. Then, before the loan documents can be prepared, the loan is reviewed and audited by a corporate underwriter who does not report to the origination team. When the team has gathered any required conditions (sometimes called stipulations), loan documents are reviewed by the documents and funding team or a corporate underwriter before funding. After funding the loan documents are reviewed by our documents and funding teams again to ensure accuracy, to make corrections to the documents if necessary and to reflect any changes that may have been made by the closing agent.

We use our Revenue Calculator at various stages to determine the potential value of the loan, particularly if any of the loan s attributes have changed. While the Revenue Calculator assigns a prospective value for a loan, the loan is still underwritten by each team and reviewed by one of our corporate underwriters to assure that the information in the Revenue Calculator is accurate and represents a correct value assessment of the loan. Accordingly, the interest rate and maximum loan amount are determined based upon our underwriting and quality standards, risk assessment, the benefit to the borrower, and our Revenue Calculator s prediction of the value of each loan. Our profit and loss tools promptly allocate both profits from performing loans as well as prospective losses from problem loans to the team that originated them. This allocation affects the personal compensation of our loan origination staff.

We believe that our commitment to originating high-quality loans strengthens our relationships with warehouse line providers, whole loan purchasers, rating agencies and others with whom we do business.

Mortgage Loan Origination

We have been originating non-prime mortgage loans as a broker since 1990 and have been funding such loans since 1993. We originated approximately \$8.0 billion of mortgage loans in 2003 on a nationwide basis. In 2003, approximately 89% and 11% of our loan originations were originated through our wholesale and retail channels, respectively. In 2003, our wholesale loan originations were originated through approximately 6,800 brokers. These independent brokers throughout the country work with over 360 account executives in our eight wholesale divisions. In 2002 and 2003, our top ten brokers represented in the aggregate less than 6% and 7%, respectively, of our total loan origination volume. As of December 31, 2003, our retail channel originated mortgages through our 285 loan officers working in our 29 retail branches and

generated leads primarily through telemarketing, direct mail and the Internet.

5

The following table summarizes information regarding our total loan originations:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Aggregate Loan Production(1)			
Total volume (in thousands of dollars)	\$ 2,324,398	\$ 4,302,891	\$ 7,958,309
Average principal balance per loan	\$ 112,873	\$ 122,106	\$ 127,323
Combined weighted average initial LTV	84.7%	87.6%	89.1%
Net cost to originate(2)	2.7%	2.3%	2.1%
Aggregate Loan Production by Borrower Purpose			
Cash-out refinance, including debt consolidation	58.6%	54.7%	52.4%
Purchase	35.3%	37.5%	38.5%
Rate and/or term refinance	6.1%	7.8%	9.1%
Wholesale Loan Production (3)			
Total volume (in thousands of dollars)	\$ 2,117,250	\$ 3,900,186	\$ 7,118,369
Percentage of total originations	91.1%	90.6%	89.4%
Average principal balance per wholesale loan	\$ 111,481	\$ 121,531	\$ 128,727
Average volume production per account executive (in thousands of dollars)	\$ 14,096	\$ 18,617	\$ 23,416
Combined weighted average initial LTV	85.2%	88.0%	89.7%
Cost to produce wholesale loans, net of fees(2)	2.7%	2.3%	2.1%
Retail Loan Production (3)			
Total volume (in thousands of dollars)	\$ 207,033	\$ 401,162	\$ 838,869
Percentage of total originations	8.9%	9.3%	10.5%
Average principal balance per retail loan	\$ 129,396	\$ 128,908	\$ 116,542
Average volume production per loan officer (in thousands of dollars)	\$ 2,391	\$ 3,371	\$ 3,884
Combined weighted average initial LTV(4)	79.5%	83.2%	84.4%
Cost to produce retail loans, net of fees(2)	3.0%	2.1%	1.8%

- (1) Represents wholesale originations, retail originations, and loans purchased from others.
- (2) Net cost to originate loans is defined as total operating expenses (total expenses less interest expense and provision for losses), less loan servicing related costs, plus yield spread premiums, less points and fees collected, all prior to any deferrals of origination costs for accounting purposes.
- (3) Not inclusive of other loan originations, which represents loans funded that do not conform to standard investor guidelines.
- (4) Not inclusive of loans brokered to other lenders.

Wholesale Channel

Our wholesale channel originates non-prime mortgage loans through relationships with businesses that broker mortgage loans, including small mortgage bankers, local banks and businesses that only broker mortgage loans. We provide a variety of mortgage products to help these brokers provide better service to their borrowers. These brokers rely upon our account executives and our regional processing teams whom we believe provide consistently superior customer service.

The mortgage brokers we work with identify borrowers and help them complete loan applications and obtain necessary documentation. They act as our liaison with the borrower during the lending process. Our regional processing teams underwrite each application and determine the

interest rate and other loan terms for acceptable applications. The regional processing team, following corporate underwriting approval, funds the loan

6

with the help of one of our divisional documents and funding teams upon acceptance by the borrower and satisfaction of all conditions to the loan. By relying upon brokers to market our products and to assist the borrower throughout the loan application process, we can increase loan volume through the wholesale channel without increasing marketing, labor and other overhead costs associated with attracting new borrowers to the same extent as those we incur in connection with our retail loan production.

While account executives are the main sources for new brokers, new brokers also enter our wholesale network from other sources. A broker must provide business references, a current copy of the license under which the broker operates, a W-9 form and an executed mortgage originator agreement. Our broker administration unit screens brokers, prevents loans from proceeding in LOIS for brokers whose licenses have expired, and prevents loans from funding if the broker has been disapproved.

Mortgage brokers receive compensation in the form of points and fees paid by borrowers, yield spread premium paid by the lender, or a combination of these items. The loan programs we offer brokers are comparable to those offered by our competitors; however, we believe we compete for brokers primarily based upon the quality of the service we provide, particularly those with whom we cultivate key account relationships. We guarantee turn-around times, we offer coherent, presentable approvals, personal contact and a comprehensive menu of products for our customers, and we endeavor to make it easier to do business with us than with our competitors. In exchange, we minimize yield spread premium to mortgage brokers, we avoid unwarranted credit exceptions, and we charge for the risk we take. We believe that our focus on quality service and reliability will enable us to increase our loan originations.

We believe that one of the keys to our success is exceeding the expectations of our customers, whom we view as both brokers and borrowers for our wholesale operations. Accordingly, we are focused on improving our responsiveness to our customers. We intend to continue to:

add high-quality account executives to service wholesale brokers and their customers throughout our nationwide network;

provide specialized service levels to our top five brokers, whom we call our key accounts, for each account executive;

focus on using technology and improving our processes to make our loan origination process more efficient; and

invest in the training and development of sales and operations personnel.

We have been able to increase the profitability of our wholesale channel with several successful initiatives. We have:

adopted policies and procedures designed to originate loans that adequately compensate us for the risk we take;

reduced our general and administrative costs by re-engineering our work flow process and improving our use of technology; and

improved the closing rates of our account executives.

Significant in this effort has been the effective implementation of our technology tools, specifically our Revenue Calculator, our profit and loss tools, LOIS and our underwriting software tools.

Retail Channel

Historically, a lesser percentage of our loan originations has been originated through our retail channel. Our retail channel originates mortgage loans through two different organizational structures: Axiom Financial Services and Home Funds Direct.

7

As of December 31, 2003, our Axiom Financial Services division originated non-prime mortgage loans through Axiom s 25 branch offices, located predominantly in California and other western states. Our Axiom loan officers interact with borrowers in Axiom s branch locations, primarily through telemarketing, direct mail and Internet solicitations. Axiom loan officers are also encouraged to develop some personal loan referral sources. The proximity of the branch offices to certain of our prospective borrowers is helpful in closing the loans. As part of our efforts to manage the credit risk of loans originated in our Axiom offices, all loan underwriting, closing, funding and shipping are done centrally out of Axiom s divisional headquarters located in Anaheim, California. A typical Axiom branch consists of approximately seven employees, including a branch manager, five loan officers and one loan processor.

We also originate loans through our centralized retail division, Home Funds Direct, which as of December 31, 2003 had four offices in Sacramento, California; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; San Antonio, Texas; and Atlanta, Georgia. Home Funds Direct is a centralized retail division, with little expectation that there will be face-to-face contact with the borrower. The marketing concept is for loan officers in our centralized retail branches to use telemarketing and direct mail to reach prospective borrowers in target markets. These locations have a larger group of experienced personnel, and have the ability to fully process and underwrite loans, subject to our corporate underwriting oversight policies.

Correspondent Channel Loans Purchased From Others

Although we periodically purchase loans funded by other parties, we have made minimal purchases in recent years as we have found our wholesale and retail channels to be more profitable. However, we maintain the capability so that when attractive individual loans, small pools of loans, or other unique opportunities are presented, we can evaluate and close transactions.

Our Underwriting Process and Guidelines

Each mortgage loan that we originate is underwritten prior to loan closing in accordance with our underwriting guidelines. We have developed underwriting processes and criteria that we believe generate high-quality non-prime loans. Our underwriting guidelines are designed to help us evaluate a borrower s credit history, his or her capacity, willingness and ability to repay the loan, and the value and adequacy of the collateral. In addition, we review credit scores derived from the application of one or more nationally recognized credit-scoring models. Our underwriting philosophy is to analyze the overall situation of the borrower and to take into account compensating factors that may be used to offset certain areas of weakness, including employment stability, number of years at residence and disposable income. Based upon this analysis and the information derived from the Revenue Calculator, we determine loan terms and conditions to produce loans that are appropriately- priced and sized, meet our quality standards, and are profitable. In addition, our underwriters must determine what we believe to be a benefit to the borrower for each loan they underwrite. Our underwriting process and guidelines require a rigorous application review and documentation designed to maximize the value of our mortgage loans. On average, it takes us 23 days from the day we first receive the application until we fund the loan.

Our Underwriting Personnel. All of our loan underwriting is performed by our underwriting personnel, and we do not delegate underwriting authority to any broker or third party. Each loan origination team underwrites our loans subject to the final approval of our corporate underwriters. In addition to the daily supervision of all underwriting decisions, these corporate underwriters conduct regular training sessions on emerging trends in production, as well as provide feedback to the loan origination teams from the monthly problem loan reports. Each corporate underwriting manager reports, not through the loan origination organization, but to the Director of Underwriting, who in turn reports to the Director of Operations. Our corporate underwriters generally have a minimum of ten years of industry experience, and many have been with us for more than five years. Our Directors of Underwriting and Operations each have over 20 years of industry experience.

8

Table of Contents

Our Underwriting Guidelines. Our underwriting guidelines are established by our credit committee, which is composed of the Director of Capital Markets, the Director of Operations, the Director of Credit Policy, and the President and Chief Operating Officer. Others invited to make contributions to these policies include the Director of Underwriting, the manager of the inventory control unit, and the manager of internal audit and quality control. The credit committee meets regularly to review proposed changes to underwriting guidelines. To the extent that an individual loan application does not meet our published underwriting guidelines, our loan origination teams and underwriters can make underwriting exceptions. Any losses on loans are allocated back to the origination team by our profit and loss accountability system. Loan exceptions are tracked in our data warehouse and the performance of loans with and without exceptions is monitored. We may, from time to time, apply underwriting criteria that are either more stringent or more flexible depending upon the economic conditions of a particular geographic market.

Loan Applications and Credit Reports. Each prospective borrower completes a mortgage loan application that includes information with respect to the applicant s liabilities, income, credit history, employment history and personal information. At least one credit report on each applicant from an independent, nationally recognized credit reporting company is required. The credit report typically contains information relating to such matters as credit history with local and national merchants and lenders, installment debt payments and any record of defaults, bankruptcies, repossessions, or judgments.

Property Appraisals. A full appraisal of the property proposed to be pledged as collateral for the loan is required in connection with the origination of each first priority loan and each second priority loan greater than \$50,000. Appraisals are performed by licensed, third-party, fee-based appraisers and include, among other things, an inspection of the exterior and interior of the subject property. Appraisals are also required to address neighborhood conditions, site and zoning status and the condition and value of improvements. Following each appraisal, the appraiser prepares a report, which includes a reproduction costs analysis (when appropriate) based upon the current cost of constructing a similar home and market value analysis based upon recent sales of comparable homes in the area. Appraisals generally conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice and must be on forms acceptable to Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. Every appraisal is reviewed by our appraisal review department, by one of our qualified underwriters before the mortgage loan is funded, or by a non-affiliated appraisal review firm. The appraisal may not be more than 180 days old on the day the loan is funded. In addition to the full appraisal, a Fannie Mae desk review or drive-by appraisal may be required for loan sizes between \$400,000 to \$500,000 and is required for loan sizes above \$500,000 or for properties that have a value of more than \$650,000. For second priority loans of \$50,000 or less, drive-by appraisals alone are acceptable.

Income and Assets Verification. Our underwriting guidelines require verification or evaluation of the income of each applicant pursuant to our Full Documentation, Lite Documentation or Stated Income programs. Under each of these programs, we review the loan applicant s source of income, calculate the amount of income from sources indicated on the loan application or similar documentation, and calculate debt service-to-income ratios to determine the applicant s ability to repay the loan. Under the Full Documentation program, applicants are generally required to submit the last two pay stubs and written verification of income signed by the employer, Forms W-2 or 1040 and, in the case of self-employed applicants, Forms 1120 and profit and loss statements, in each case covering the preceding two years. Personal bank statements are acceptable as Full Documentation, with the preceding 24 months as Alt2 documentation type or the preceding 12 months as Alt1. Under the Lite Documentation program, applicants must be self-employed and are required to submit personal bank statements covering the preceding six months. Under the Stated Income program, applicants are evaluated based upon income as stated in the mortgage loan application. Under all programs, we may verify by telephone employment, business and income, and self-employed applicants may be required to submit a business license.

Verification of the source of funds (if any) required to be paid by the applicant at closing is generally required under all documentation programs in the form of a standard verification of deposit, two months consecutive bank statements or other acceptable documentation. Twelve months mortgage payment or rental history must be verified by the related lender or landlord on required programs.

Table of Contents

Credit Classifications. A critical function of our underwriting process is to identify the level of credit risk associated with each applicant for a mortgage loan. We have established five principal classifications, A+ to C, with respect to the credit profile of potential borrowers, and we assign a rating to each loan based upon these classifications. We have a sixth, generally inactive credit classification, called C-, which may be for a borrower with a current or recent foreclosure or bankruptcy. This sixth credit classification can be used on an exception basis with approval from executive management (in 2002 and 2003, 0.3% and 0.1%, respectively, of our loans had this credit grade). We assign credit grades by analyzing mortgage payment history, consumer credit history, credit score, bankruptcy history, and debt-to-income ratio. Our standard for assigning credit grades are stricter for Lite Documentation and Stated Income programs than for Full Documentation programs, and we do not allow credit grades below B for Lite Documentation and Stated Income programs.

Underwriting Tools. We have tools that help each loan origination team underwrite, document, and track each loan. These tools integrate the line item detail of the credit reports we receive from the credit reporting agencies, our own analysis of an applicant s income, and a comprehensive review of the total credit profile of the borrower and any exceptions made to our credit policies. The product of these tools, in addition to the information they contribute to our database, is a four-page review of each loan that is placed at the beginning of each loan file. Third-party underwriters and purchasers of our loans make comprehensive use of these reports in their review of our loan files. These tools are used by all of the origination teams, as well as by corporate underwriting, our documents and funding teams, our post-closing and shipping departments, and other functional units within our company. The data provided is transferred into our enterprise database. We use software provided by Pro Clarity to make this information readily available to management.

We are currently configuring and deploying an automated decision system. However, we plan to continue to use our staff to audit credit, income and appraisal documentation to ensure that loans approved in the automated system are consistent with our underwriting guidelines. Furthermore, we anticipate that many loans will not align with the matrix compliance features of an automated underwriting system, and such loans will be reassigned to a more traditional underwriting process. Our intention in adopting this technology is to increase the level of our loan originations without having to correspondingly increase the number of underwriters.

Internal Audit and Quality Control. The internal audit and quality control department consists of eight members located at our headquarters. The three members of the department with internal audit responsibilities conduct operational and financial audits of our business processes and procedures and have an average of seven years of audit experience. The five members of the department with quality control responsibilities have an average of 28 years of underwriting experience. Each month, our internal audit and quality control department generally reviews and re-underwrites a sampling of all of the loans that we originate. The initial sample focuses on any loan with a first payment default or early payoff, or where fraud is suspected. Also, loans are randomly sampled from pools designated for securitization or other programs in which we retain the risk of loss on the loans. The internal audit and quality control department re-underwrites these loans, re-verifies the sources of income, re-verifies employment, and reviews the appraisals to ensure collateral values for the loans are supported. When fraud is suspected, the internal audit and quality control department undertakes a comprehensive re-underwriting of not only that loan, but any related loans connected by broker, appraiser, or other parties to the transaction. The internal audit and quality control department also performs specific loan tests to verify that our loan originations comply with relevant regulatory requirements. Specifically, these tests focus on verifying proper completion of borrower disclosures and other loan documentation, correct processing of all legally required documentation, and compliance with time frames imposed by applicable law.

All findings of the internal audit and quality control department are reported on a regular basis to members of senior management and the audit committee of the board of directors. The Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer, along with the Director of Operations and others, analyze the results of the monthly quality control department audits as well as performance trends and servicing issues. Based upon this analysis, corrective actions are taken.

10

Loan Programs. We offer a range of non-prime mortgage loan programs, including a variety of loan programs for first and second mortgages and several niche programs for 100% combined LTV (CLTV) and second mortgages. The key distinguishing features of each program are the documentation required, the LTV, the mortgage and consumer credit payment history, the property type and the credit score necessary to qualify under a particular program. Nevertheless, each program relies upon our analysis of each borrower s ability to repay, the risk that the borrower will not repay us, the fees and rates we charge, the value of the collateral, the benefit we believe we are providing to the borrower, and the loan amounts relative to the risk we believe we are taking.

In general, our LTV maximums decrease with credit quality, and, within each credit classification, our LTV maximums vary depending on the property type. Our LTV maximums for loans secured by owner-occupied properties are higher than for loans secured by properties that are not owner-occupied. Our LTV maximums for Lite Documentation and Stated Income programs are generally lower than the LTV maximums for corresponding Full Documentation programs. Our maximum debt-to-income ratios range from 50% to 55% for Full Documentation programs, and from 45% to 55% for Lite Documentation and Stated Income Programs.

Our niche programs provide higher LTV s and CLTV s to borrowers in higher credit grades. Credit grades may be determined by the same criteria as in our standard programs, but may also be determined only on the basis of mortgage credit or credit score. Niche programs may be restricted as to property and occupancy types and documentation requirements.

Our loans have payment schedules based upon an interest rate that is (1) constant over the life of the loan, commonly referred to as fixed-rate mortgages or FRMs, or (2) fixed for the initial two or three years and adjusts after an initial fixed period of two or three years and every six months thereafter, sometimes referred to as adjustable-rate loans or ARMs. Generally, the payments on our fixed-rate loans are calculated to fully repay the loans in 15 or 30 years. In the case of balloon loans, the payments are based on a 30-year repayment schedule, with the unpaid principal balance due in a balloon payment at the end of 15 years. The payments on our adjustable-rate loans are calculated to fully repay the loans in 30 years, with payment amount adjustments following interest rate adjustments. ARMs with a two-year initial fixed-rate period are commonly referred to as 2/28 s. ARMs with a three-year initial fixed-rate period are commonly referred to as 3/27 s. Our fixed-rate mortgages or adjustable-rate loans may have initial interest-only periods, typically five years, during which the monthly payments are limited to the amounts required to pay accrued interest due on the loans. At the end of the interest-only periods, the monthly payments are adjusted to fully repay the loans over their remaining 25-year terms.

The interest rate adjustment on adjustable-rate loans is determined by adding a margin to an index rate, subject to certain adjustment limitations. The margin is a percentage established at loan origination. The index for ARMs is six-month LIBOR, which is determined as of a specified time prior to the interest adjustment date. It is common during the initial fixed-rate period of an ARM to allow the borrower to pay a rate lower than the margin plus the index at loan origination. Over time, the rate may adjust upward such that, eventually, the interest rate will equal the index plus the entire margin. Such adjustments to the interest rate are generally limited to no more than 1.5% at each adjustment date, and the interest rates may not be adjusted above or below a maximum and minimum amount specified in the loan documents. The goal is to acclimate the borrower to the repayment obligation, yet be able to achieve the fully indexed interest rate over time.

Our mortgage loans are made for the purpose of enabling our borrowers to purchase new homes, refinance existing mortgage loans, consolidate debt and/or obtain cash for whatever purposes the borrowers desire. Our residential mortgage loans are secured by one- to four-unit primary residences, one-unit second homes, or one to four-unit investment properties. Eligible property types are deemed to include single-family detached homes, semi-detached and attached homes, row or townhomes, individual condominiums, individual units in planned-unit developments, manufactured housing, and leasehold estates. These collateral types are consistent with the Freddie Mac Seller-Servicer Guide for describing mortgage eligibility requirements. The mortgaged properties may be owner-occupied, second or vacation homes, or non-owner-occupied investment properties.

A substantial portion of our loans include prepayment penalties. In 2002 and 2003, 94.4% and 90.6%, respectively, of the loans we originated contained such penalties. Borrowers who agree to prepayment penalties

11

generally receive lower interest rates and/or lower loan fees on their mortgage loans. Borrowers always retain the right to refinance their loans, but may have to pay a charge of up to six-months interest on 80% of the outstanding principal balance or 5% of the outstanding principal balance on the loan. Certain state laws restrict or prohibit prepayment penalties on mortgage loans, and, prior to July 1, 2003, we relied on the federal Alternative Mortgage Transactions Parity Act (the Parity Act) and related rules issued by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) to preempt limitations on prepayment penalties in certain states. The Parity Act was enacted to extend to financial institutions other than federally-chartered depository institutions, the federal preemption which federally-chartered depository institutions enjoy. However, on September 25, 2002, the OTS released a rule that, as of July 1, 2003, ended our ability to rely on the Parity Act to preempt state restrictions on prepayment penalties, requiring us to comply with such restrictions. This may place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to financial institutions that continue to enjoy federal preemption of such state restrictions and are able to charge prepayment penalties without regard to such restrictions. As a result, they may be able to offer loans with more attractive interest rate and loan fee structures than we are able to offer. See ITEM 1. Business Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results Statutory and Regulatory Risks We are no longer able to rely on the Alternative Mortgage Transactions Parity Act to preempt certain state law restrictions on prepayment penalties, and we may be unable to compete effectively with financial institutions that are exempt from such restrictions.

Loan Production by Product Type. The following table sets forth information about our loan production based upon product type (ARM and FRM).

	Yea	r Ended Decembe	er 31,
Product Type	2001	2002	2003
ARM			
2/28	18.4%	15.6%	16.2%
3/27	32.7	50.5	50.3
Subtotal	51.1	66.1	66.5
FRM			
Fifteen-Year	2.2	1.9	1.8
Thirty-Year	31.0	18.3	21.3
Balloons	14.6	12.7	9.2
Other	1.1	1.0	1.2
Subtotal	48.9	33.9	33.5
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Loan Production by Borrower s Credit Score. The following table sets forth information about our loan production based upon borrowers credit scores obtained from one or more of the three principal credit bureaus.

	Year I	Ended December	31,
Credit Score	2001	2002	2003
Greater than 800	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
751 to 800	2.2	2.6	2.8
701 to 750	6.7	8.9	9.0

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

651 to 700	20.1	24.0	25.2
601 to 650	32.9	33.8	34.0
551 to 600	25.6	20.9	19.5
501 to 550	12.1	9.4	9.1
451 to 500	0.3	0.2	0.2
Less than 451	0.1	0.1	0.1
			
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Loan Production by Lien Position. The following table sets forth information about our loan production based upon lien position.

	Year	Ended December	r 31,
Lien Position	2001	2002	2003
Firsts	92.7%	92.5%	92.8%
Seconds	7.3	7.5	7.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Loan Production by Collateral Type. The following table sets forth information about our loan production based upon collateral type.

	Year Ended December 31,		
Type of Collateral	2001	2002	2003
Single-Family Residence-Detached	76.8%	74.5%	74.6%
Multi-Unit/2 to 4	6.5	5.8	5.5
PUD	9.8	11.6	12.1
Condo	5.3	6.2	6.0
Other	1.6	1.9	1.8
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Loan Production by Credit Grade. The following table sets forth information about our loan production by credit grade.

	Year Ended December 31,		
A+ Credit Loans	2001	2002	2003
Percentage of total originations	0.2%	16.3%	14.2%
Combined weighted average LTV	86.5%	90.1%	91.3%
FRM interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	8.5%	7.3%	7.0%
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	13.1%	11.3%	9.8%
ARM initial fixed interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	8.0%	7.3%	6.9%
Weighted average interest rate 3/27	8.5%	7.3%	6.9%
ARM margins over index			
Weighted average margin 2/28	7.0%	6.0%	5.6%
Weighted average margin 3/27	6.6%	6.1%	5.6%

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Year Ended December 31,

A Credit Loans	2001	2002	2003
Percentage of total originations	53.4%	49.2%	55.7%
Combined weighted average LTV	87.8%	90.1%	91.9%
FRM interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	8.7%	8.0%	7.4%
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	12.8%	12.1%	10.6%
ARM initial fixed interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	8.5%	7.8%	7.2%
Weighted average interest rate 3/27	8.5%	7.8%	7.3%
ARM margins over index			
Weighted average margin 2/28	6.0%	6.5%	5.8%
Weighted average margin 3/27	6.2%	6.5%	6.0%

	Year Ended December 31,			
A- Credit Loans	2001	2002	2003	
Percentage of total originations	29.0%	21.6%	18.3%	
Combined weighted average LTV	84.9%	86.3%	87.6%	
FRM interest rates	0.1770	00.070	37137	
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	9.3%	8.5%	7.8%	
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	13.4%	12.9%	11.3%	
ARM initial fixed interest rates	1011/6	12.,,,,	1110 /	
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	9.1%	8.5%	7.6%	
Weighted average interest rate 2/25 Weighted average interest rate 3/27	9.3%	8.5%	7.7%	
ARM margins over index	7.5 %	0.5 /6	7.776	
Weighted average margin 2/28	6.6%	7.2%	6.3%	
Weighted average margin 3/27	6.8%	7.2%	6.4%	
Weighted average margin 3/2/		Ended December		
B Credit Loans	2001	2002	2003	
Percentage of total originations	13.1%	9.4%	9.6%	
Combined weighted average LTV	76.5%	78.4%	77.0%	
FRM interest rates				
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	9.6%	8.9%	8.0%	
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	12.9%	13.5%	12.4%	
ARM initial fixed interest rates				
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	9.6%	8.8%	7.9%	
Weighted average interest rate 3/27	9.7%	8.9%	8.0%	
ARM margins over index				
Weighted average margin 2/28	6.9%	7.6%	6.5%	
Weighted average margin 3/27	7.2%	7.6%	6.7%	
	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
C Credit Loans	2001	2002	2003	
——————————————————————————————————————				
Percentage of total originations	4.0%	3.2%	2.1%	
Combined weighted average LTV	71.6%	72.7%	71.9%	
FRM interest rates	71.0%	72.770	71.5 /	
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	10.5%	9.6%	8.7%	
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	13.0%	12.7%	12.4%	
ARM initial fixed interest rates	13.0 %	12.770	12.470	
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	10.1%	9.2%	8.6%	
Weighted average interest rate 2/28 Weighted average interest rate 3/27	10.1%	9.5%	8.7%	
ARM margins over index	10.4 //	9.5 /0	0.7 /6	
Weighted average margin 2/28	7.2%	7.9%	7.2%	
Weighted average margin 3/27	7.2%	8.2%		
weighted average margin 3/2/	1.8%	8.2%	7.3%	
	Year	Year Ended December		
C- Credit Loans*	2001	2002	2003	
Percentage of total originations	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	
Combined weighted average LTV	58.8%	63.3%	64.4%	
Combined weighted average L1 v	30.8 %	05.5 /0	04.4 /	

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

FRM interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 1sts	11.7%	10.5%	9.7%
Weighted average interest rate 2nds	N/A	N/A	N/A
ARM initial fixed interest rates			
Weighted average interest rate 2/28	10.4%	10.1%	9.6%
Weighted average interest rate 3/27	10.9%	10.0%	9.5%
ARM margins over index			
Weighted average margin 2/28	7.4%	8.7%	8.3%
Weighted average margin 3/27	8.1%	8.7%	8.3%

^{*} only allowed on an exception basis

Warehouse Financing For Loan Originations

We finance mortgage loans initially through one of eight different secured warehouse credit facilities. We repay the related borrowings under the warehouse credit facilities upon sale or securitization of the loans. As of March 15, 2004, we had approximately \$2.7 billion in warehouse credit facilities, of which 94% is committed. Maintaining a diversified group of lenders helps us from becoming dependent upon any one source of capital. See ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources. Our interest expense as a percentage of total revenues for 2003 was 14.6%.

Interest Generated By Warehoused Loans

We generate a significant portion of our total revenues from the interest we receive on a loan from the time we originate the loan until the time we sell or securitize the loan. This interest income as a percentage of total revenues for 2003 was 21.9%. This income is partially offset by our borrowing costs under our warehouse facilities used to fund our loans for the same period.

Loan Disposition Strategy

We generate revenue primarily through the disposition of the loans we originate. We use a diversified loan disposition strategy to convert the quality loans we originate into revenue for our company. This strategy includes whole loan sales, loan sales with retained interests and securitizations. In 2002 and 2003, we sold a total of \$3.9 million and \$7.3 billion, respectively, of loans. Of these amounts, 78.7% and 83.1%, respectively, were whole loan sales and 19.4% and 16.9%, respectively, were securitized in transactions structured as a financing. Whole loan sales generated \$140.5 million and \$253.3 million, respectively, of cash gain on sale revenue, accounting for 61.2% and 55.4%, respectively, of our total revenues for 2002 and 2003. We completed no securitizations structured as a sale during these periods.

Loan Disposition by Purchaser. Highlighting our diversified disposition strategy, the table below shows our primary purchasers for the periods indicated and their purchases in each period (whole loan sales, except as otherwise noted).

Year	Ended	December	31,
------	-------	----------	-----

Name	2001		2002		2003	
		(dollars in millions)		-		
Household Mortgage Services	\$ 509.8	26.3%	\$ 766.4	19.8%	\$ 1,664.2	22.8%
Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.			625.3	16.2	1,095.2	15.0
Accredited Mortgage Loan Trust 2003-3*					515.2	7.1
DLJ Mortgage Capital, Inc.(CSFB)	445.5	23.0	557.1	14.4	512.3	7.0
Bank of America					471.3	6.5
Accredited Mortgage Loan Trust 2002-2*			541.8	14.0		
Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.	178.3	9.2	300.4	7.8	440.0	6.0
Residential Funding Corporation**	320.5	16.5	77.3	2.0	308.2	4.2
Equicredit Corporation of America	134.0	6.9				

Total \$1,588.1 81.9% \$2,868.3 74.2% \$5,006.4 68.6%

In 2002 and 2003 we sold loans to an aggregate of 20 and 23 purchasers, respectively. Other key purchasers in these periods include:

Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB;

Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company;

The CIT Group/Consumer Finance, Inc.;

15

securitization

^{**} whole loan sales and loan sales with retained interests

Table of Contents

CDC Mortgage Capital, Inc.;	
Saxon Mortgage, Inc.;	
Credit-Based Asset Servicing and Securitization LLC (C-BASS); and	
Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch.	
We evaluate the best disposition strategy for each pool of loans we originate, considering the market demand for our loans, our liquidity our long-term profit objectives and our need to maintain strong relationships with our loan purchasers.	y needs,
Prior to 2000, we retained the right to service only a small portion of the loans that we sold or securitized. We have significantly increase loan servicing portfolio in 2002 and 2003, and plan to continue to increase this portfolio in the future.	sed our
Whole Loan Sales	
In a whole loan sale, we sell all right, title and interest in and to a pool of loans in exchange for cash in an amount equal to the full mark of the loans. All of our loan dispositions are made subject to an obligation to repurchase any loan that materially violates standard mort industry representations and warranties that we make in connection with our loan dispositions. In 2003, 83.1% of our loan dispositions through whole loan sales, and revenues from these sales were \$253.3 million. To execute our whole loan sales strategy, we have establist relationships with a diversified group of sophisticated whole loan purchasers. We believe that this strategy increases our opportunity to during changing market conditions. Since 1997, we have reduced our dependence upon any one whole loan purchaser or type of whole purchaser. As a result, the purchasers listed above include Wall Street investment banking firms that securitize, non-Wall Street mortgate banking firms, national and international banks that both hold loans and securitize, and large financial services companies that both hold securitize loans.	gage were shed key sell loan
Some of our loans are sold pursuant to forward commitments, under which we agree to sell, and a purchaser agrees to buy, a specified loans that meet specified characteristics. We also put pools of loans out to bid, using our knowledge of our various purchasers prefere	

Some of our loans are sold pursuant to forward commitments, under which we agree to sell, and a purchaser agrees to buy, a specified volume of loans that meet specified characteristics. We also put pools of loans out to bid, using our knowledge of our various purchasers preferences to direct pools with certain characteristics to the purchasers most likely to value those characteristics. Prospective purchasers may submit bids on all or portions of the pools that we show them. We select the combination of bids that provides us with the best overall execution results. We continuously monitor the preferences of our purchasers and adjust our origination operations accordingly. Loan officers and account executives use our Revenue Calculator to monitor the loan characteristics that various purchasers value. We believe that this not only improves the salability of our loans, but also improves the price we receive upon the sale of our loans because purchasers tend to pay higher prices for loans that meet their requirements. Our disposition strategy balances our objectives of cultivating our relationships with multiple purchasers while striving to maximize the premiums we receive.

Loan Sales With Retained Interests And Securitizations

We have also engaged in loan sales with retained interests, in which we sell loans and retain an interest in certain cash flows from the loans. These transactions allow us to recognize more of the long-term value of the loan, although less than in a typical securitization, and receive an

immediate positive cash flow pursuant to the retained interest. In these transactions, the loan purchaser absorbs all of the issuance costs and overcollateralization obligations in exchange for a greater share of the excess cash flow from the securitized loan pool. In 2003, none of our loan dispositions were through loan sales with retained interests. All of our loan sales with retained interests were made to Residential Funding Corporation. See ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

16

As part of our diversified financing strategy, we access the asset-backed securitization market to provide long-term financing for our mortgage loans. In a securitization, we may sell or transfer a pool of loans to a trust and retain a residual interest for the right to receive future cash flow. The trust raises the cash purchase price of the loan pool by selling asset-backed securities representing senior interests in the loans. The purchasers of these interests receive the principal collected on the loans plus a fixed or adjustable interest rate, depending upon whether the underlying loans have fixed or adjustable rates. The residual interest we retain entitles us to receive the interest income generated on the principal amount of the loans in the trust minus the interest paid to the purchasers of the loan interests, servicing, trust and other fees and losses on the loans, provided that certain overcollateralization requirements are met. Depending upon the structure of the asset-backed securities and the performance of the underlying mortgage loans, excess cash flow may not begin to be distributed to us for 12 months or more. As a result of the overcollateralization and certain other credit enhancement features, the trust is able to issue highly rated, investment-grade, asset-backed securities.

While we continue to generate the majority of our earnings and cash flows from whole loan sales, we intend to increase the percentage of our earnings and cash flows from securitizations and other transactions, in which we retain an interest in the mortgage loans that we have sold. These transactions will continue to be legally structured as sales, but for accounting purposes will be structured as a financing. Accordingly, the loans remain on our balance sheet, retained interests are not created, and debt securities issued in the securitization replace the warehouse debt originally associated with the securitized mortgage loans. We record interest income on the mortgage loans and interest expense on the debt securities, as well as ancillary fees, over the life of the securitization, instead of recognizing a gain or loss upon closing of the securitization. This portfolio-based accounting closely matches the recognition of income with the actual receipt of cash payments. During 2002 and 2003, we completed a total of five securitizations structured as financings totaling \$2.0 billion.

Derivative Policies

See ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Mortgage Loan Servicing

Once we originate or purchase a mortgage loan, our servicing department begins the administrative process of servicing the loan, seeking to ensure that the loan is repaid in accordance with its terms. We start this process for every loan, whether we will service the loan for a matter of weeks before it is sold servicing-released or for its life in a servicing-retained transaction. Our servicing department is divided into loan administration, customer service and asset management units. In addition, the investor reporting unit of our finance and accounting department performs the servicing-related functions of reporting on all other servicing activities, and in the case of loans serviced for others, accounting for and remitting all funds collected through servicing activities.

Administration and Servicing

Our loan administration unit is responsible for boarding each loan into our servicing operations and technology systems. For loans on which the monthly payments include amounts to be escrowed for the future payment of real estate taxes and insurance premiums, our loan administration unit ensures the proper accounting for such funds and the timely payment of the taxes and premiums. For loans which do not have tax and insurance escrows, the loan administration unit ensures that the properties securing the loans are properly insured at all times and that real estate taxes are paid to avoid foreclosures by taxing authorities. This unit is also responsible for the various administrative tasks involved in the transfer of servicing when loans are sold servicing-released, including notifying borrowers, insurers and taxing authorities.

Our customer service unit is responsible for the physical receipt of and initial accounting for all loan payments from borrowers. We encourage our borrowers to establish automatic payment from their bank accounts, which we arrange at no cost to the borrower. Our customer service unit is also responsible for

17

processing payoff requests, reconveyances, handling all inbound calls and other communications from borrowers. For loans with adjustable interest rates, the customer service unit ensures that the adjustments are properly made and timely identified to the related borrowers.

Collection and Enforcement

Our asset management unit is responsible for all phases of the collection and enforcement of delinquent and defaulted loans. The inherent risk of delinquency and loss associated with non-prime loans requires hands-on active communication with our borrowers from origination through liquidation. Borrower contact is initiated through outbound telephone campaigns, monthly billing statements, and direct mail, which is tailored to reflect the borrower s payment habit, the loan s risk profile and the loan s status. Our collection approach is designed to educate our borrowers on managing their debts to maximize the likelihood of continued timely performance. We establish clear expectations with our borrowers with respect to maintaining contact and working together to resolve any financial problems that may occur. We consider this early intervention a key element of our servicing strategy.

Our front end loan counselors begin calling borrowers whose accounts are past due between the 2nd and 10th day depending upon their payment history. Once contact is established, we verify pertinent information and determine the reason for the delay in payment. For borrowers who are able to make their payments, we offer the ability to pay by phone or through Western Union s Quick Collect service. Pay by phone allows the borrower to remit the funds immediately or at an agreed later time in the month and avoids delays using the U.S. postal service. If a borrower indicates a problem that is not temporary or is of a serious nature, the call is promptly referred to a manager who will then evaluate the situation and initiate appropriate loss mitigation actions.

When an account becomes thirty-one or forty-five days delinquent (depending upon the investor), the borrower receives a notice of intent to foreclose allowing thirty days, or more if required by applicable state law, to cure the default before the account may be referred for foreclosure. The 30-59 day collection personnel continue active collection campaigns and may offer the borrower relief through a forbearance plan designed to resolve the delinquency in ninety days or less. These collectors are seasoned and trained to effectively identify and resolve problems with borrowers before the past due problems escalate.

Accounts moving to sixty or more days delinquent are transferred to the loss mitigation and foreclosure sub-units simultaneously. Our loss mitigation personnel choose a collection strategy that is designed to minimize the loss on a defaulted loan. We procure updated property value information, the borrower s current credit profile, and review foreclosure and real estate marketing timelines to determine the best alternative to foreclosure. Our loss mitigation personnel continue to actively attempt to resolve the delinquency while our foreclosure personnel begin the foreclosure process. Our loss mitigation tools include payment plans, short sales, deeds in lieu of foreclosure, stipulated forbearance plans, deferments, reinstatements and modifications.

Delinquent accounts not resolved through collection and loss mitigation activities are foreclosed in accordance with state and local laws. Foreclosure timelines are managed through a timeline report built into the loan servicing system. The report schedules key dates throughout the foreclosure process, enhancing our ability to monitor and manage the process. Properties acquired through foreclosure are transferred to the real estate owned, or REO, sub-unit to manage eviction and marketing of the properties. Once a property is vacant, it is listed with a local real estate agent who develops a marketing strategy designed to maximize the net recovery upon liquidation. Second opinions on the value of the property are obtained to validate recommendations given by the primary listing agent. Property listings are reviewed several times monthly to ensure the properties are properly maintained and actively marketed.

Our loan administration unit also handles hazard and mortgage insurance claims, mortgage bankruptcies, condemnations and other special servicing needs.

18

Table of Contents

Servicing Department Infrastructure

We service our loans using our configuration of Interling Loan Servicing software provided by Harland Financial Services. We also have additional software modules for the management of bankruptcy, foreclosure and REO processes. Our technology delivers helpful data regarding the loan and the borrower to the desktops of our servicing personnel. We also have all of our files electronically imaged so that our servicing personnel have access to each file without having to retrieve a paper file.

Monthly incentive plans are in place for all collections, loss mitigation, foreclosure and REO personnel and are tied directly to performance of the servicing portfolio. Both individual and team goals are used to encourage superior results and cooperation between unit members.

Ongoing training for our servicing personnel is provided regularly and covers major relevant topics within the servicing department. In the collection and loss mitigation areas, supervisors and managers monitor actual telephone calls by each collector on a monthly basis and follow up with one-on-one training and direction. In addition, scripts tailored to typical borrower circumstances are posted at each workstation to ensure the employee asks the appropriate questions for the type of delinquency situation the borrower is experiencing. Outside legal counsel conduct on site classes or seminars for the foreclosure and bankruptcy areas approximately on a quarterly basis. Title company representatives also provide on-site training on title issues.

All of our servicing functions are administered from our San Diego headquarters. Hours of operation for our servicing department are 6:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday through Friday, and we use staggered shifts to cover the different time zones where our borrowers and collateral properties are located. Collection personnel also work one or two Saturdays each month, depending upon the day of the week on which each month end falls. Evening and weekend hours are used to facilitate contact with borrowers that are otherwise unavailable during regular business hours.

19

Our Delinquency and Loss Experience

The following tables set forth information about the delinquency and loss experience of the mortgage loans we service (which are primarily loans we have originated and have been or may be securitized) for the periods indicated.

In general, the table reflects a decrease in our delinquency and loss percentages but an increase in our delinquency and loss levels in dollars as our servicing portfolio has increased in size and average age. The fact that the table does not show consistent changes in delinquency and loss levels across all categories may reflect normal variances in a smaller servicing portfolio. We do not expect to be able to maintain our delinquency and loss ratios at the current level as the average age of our portfolio increases.

As of or for the year ended Do	ecember 31.
--------------------------------	-------------

		2001		2002		2003	
			(dollars	in thousands)			
Total servicing portfolio	\$ 1,301,121		\$ 2,268,498		\$ 3,	\$ 3,695,976	
Delinquencies							
30-59 days							
Principal balance	\$	8,738	\$	7,730	\$	8,954	
Percentage(1)		0.7%		0.3%		0.2%	
60-89 days							
Principal balance	\$	2,903	\$	3,350	\$	3,361	
Percentage(1)		0.2%		0.2%		0.1%	
90 or more days							
Principal balance	\$	13,195	\$	14,107	\$	13,214	
Percentage(1)		1.0%		0.6%		0.4%	
Foreclosures							
Principal balance	\$	34,493	\$	23,930	\$	28,367	
Percentage(1)		2.7%		1.1%		0.8%	
Total delinquencies and foreclosures							
Principal balance	\$	59,329	\$	49,117	\$	53,896	
Percentage(1)		4.6%		2.2%		1.5%	
Real estate owned(2)							
Principal balance	\$	11,404	\$	11,749	\$	10,699	
Percentage(1)		0.9%		0.5%		0.3%	
Total delinquencies, foreclosures and real estate owned							
Principal balance	\$	70,733	\$	60,866	\$	64,595	
Percentage(1)		5.5%		2.7%		1.8%	
Losses on servicing portfolio	\$	8,395	\$	14,024	\$	17,646	
Losses as a % of average monthly servicing portfolio(3)		0.9%		0.9%		0.6%	
Losses as a % of average monthly servicing portfolio(3)		0.9%		0.9%		0.6%	

- (1) Percentage of servicing portfolio at year end.
- (2) Based on the aggregate principal balance of the mortgage loans secured by mortgaged properties the title to which has been acquired through foreclosure, deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar process.
- (3) Percentages based upon average monthly servicing portfolio.

We review our delinquency and loss rates when valuing our mortgage-related securities. Increases in actual delinquency and loss rates could affect the loss assumptions used in valuing our mortgage-related securities. If the loss assumptions used to value our mortgage-related securities

are increased, it could result in a decrease in their value, which would adversely affect our operating results and potentially decrease the cash flows we expect to receive.

20

Competition

We face intense competition in the businesses of originating and selling mortgage loans.

Our competitors in mortgage origination include consumer finance companies and other diversified financial institutions, mortgage banking companies, commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loans, credit card issuers and insurance finance companies. Many traditional mortgage lenders also offer products similar to those we offer to our borrowers. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are also adapting their programs to include non-conforming products and have begun to expand their operations into the non-prime market. We also expect increased competition over the Internet. Many of these competitors, including large financial corporations taking advantage of consolidation opportunities in the industry, are substantially larger and have more capital, or have greater access to capital at lower costs than our cost of capital under our warehouse credit facilities, and may have greater technical and marketing resources than we have. Efficiencies in the asset-backed securities market have generally created a desire for increasingly larger transactions, giving companies with greater volumes of originations a competitive advantage.

Competition in the industry can take many forms, including interest rate and cost of a loan, convenience in obtaining a loan, the underwriting requirements for a loan, customer service, amount and term of a loan, and marketing and distribution channels. Additional competition may lower the rates we can charge borrowers and potentially lower gains from cash-based whole loan trades and our net interest margin from securitizations. We believe we compete primarily based upon the quality of the service we provide to mortgage brokers, particularly those with whom we cultivate key account relationships. We guarantee turn-around times, offer coherent, presentable approvals, personal contact and a comprehensive menu of products for our customers. We endeavor to make it easier to do business with us than with our competitors. In exchange, we minimize yield spread premium to mortgage brokers, avoid unwarranted credit exceptions, and charge for the risk we take. Accordingly, our competitors may offer better financial terms to potential borrowers and we may be unable or unwilling to originate loans with comparable terms.

We believe that our competitive strengths are:

Growth Using Conservative Management Principles. We focus on originating high-quality loans and generating predominately cash earnings rather than non-cash gain on sale earnings. We have increased our loan originations and revenue every year since inception using our conservative management principles.

Profit-Based Business Model and Supporting Tools. We have created a culture that provides economic incentives to our employees to assess the risk in our loans correctly, report the risk accurately, and price the risk so as to assure both fairness to the borrower and profits to our company. We believe that our profit-oriented philosophy and technology tools give us a competitive advantage by directly rewarding our employees for contributing to our fundamental business goal of sustained profitability.

Experience. Our top 22 managers have an average of 20 years of experience in consumer finance and non-prime mortgage lending. Each of our eight divisions is run by a seasoned executive who is evaluated and compensated based upon the profitability, including a full allocation of corporate costs and loan losses, of the executive s division.

Diversification. We have diversified our loan origination, financing and disposition channels. Our top ten brokers in 2003 represented in the aggregate less than 7% of our total loan origination volume. As of December 31, 2003, we had eight separate warehouse lenders. In 2003, we sold to an aggregate of 23 whole loan purchasers, and in 1996, 2000, 2002 and 2003, we successfully completed a total of seven independent securitizations.

We believe these strengths enable us to originate better-performing, non-prime loans, and grow a more profitable, more conservatively managed company than many of our competitors.

21

Our competitive position may be affected by fluctuations in interest rates and general economic conditions. During periods of rising interest rates, competitors that have locked in low borrowing costs may have a competitive advantage. During periods of declining interest rates, competitors may solicit our borrowers to refinance their loans. During economic slowdowns or recessions, our borrowers may face new financial difficulties and may be receptive to refinancing offers by our competitors.

Regulation

The mortgage lending industry is highly regulated. Our business is regulated by federal, state, and local government authorities and is subject to federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations, as well as judicial and administrative decisions that impose requirements and restrictions on our business. At the federal level, these laws and regulations include:



These laws, rules and regulations, among other things:

impose licensing obligations and financial requirements on us;	
limit the interest rates, finance charges, and other fees that we may charge;	
prohibit discrimination;	
impose underwriting requirements;	
mandate disclosures and notices to consumers;	
in some cases, impose assignee liability on the entities that purchase our mortgage loans or on us for loans we purchase;	
mandate internal controls and financial disclosures;	
restrict sharing of personal, non-public information; and	
prohibit certain patterns of unsolicited phone calls, establish time of day restriction on such calls, and require certain disclosures sales calls.	s ir
Our failure to comply with these laws can lead to:	
civil and criminal liability;	
loss of approved status;	
demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from buyers of our loans;	
class action lawsuits; and	
administrative enforcement actions.	
22	

We actively analyze and monitor the laws, rules and regulations that apply to our business, as well as the changes to such laws, rules and regulations. We seek to maximize the extent to which we can program the laws, rules and regulations into our technology tools, thereby substantially reducing human error as a source of non-compliance. In addition, user-friendly summaries of relevant laws, rules and regulations are directly distributed to all appropriate personnel, and losses attributable to non-compliance are factored into many of our incentive compensation calculations, thereby encouraging responsibility for compliance throughout our organization, including our loan origination operations. Our compliance with laws, rules and regulations is reviewed, not only by our own quality control department, but by the warehouse lenders who finance our loans, the institutions that purchase our loans, the investment bankers, rating agencies and insurers that are involved in the securitization of our loans, and the regulating governmental agencies. Because of the national scope of our operations, we are continuously in one stage or another of an audit by one or more governmental agencies, and we do not believe that such audits have ever resulted in findings of material violations or the imposition of significant penalties.

New Areas of Regulation

Regulatory and legal requirements are subject to change, making our compliance more difficult and/or expensive, or otherwise restricting our ability to conduct our business as it is now conducted. In particular, federal, state and local governments have become more active in the consumer protection area in recent years. For example, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley financial reform legislation imposes additional privacy obligations on us with respect to our applicants and borrowers. Several states are also considering adopting privacy legislation. In addition, several federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations have been adopted, or are under consideration, that are intended to eliminate so-called predatory lending practices.

The federal Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA) identifies a category of mortgage loans and subjects such loans to restrictions not applicable to other mortgage loans. Loans subject to HOEPA consist of loans on which certain points and fees or the annual percentage rate (APR) exceed specified levels. Liability for violations of applicable law with regard to loans subject to HOEPA would extend not only to us, but to the purchasers of our loans as well. We generally do not make loans that are subject to HOEPA because the purchasers of our loans and/or the lenders that provide financing for our loan origination operations do not want to purchase or finance such loans. On October 1, 2002, the APR and points and fees thresholds for determining loans subject to HOEPA were lowered, thereby expanding the scope of loans subject to HOEPA. We anticipate that we will continue to avoid making loans subject to HOEPA, and the lowering of the thresholds beyond which loans become subject to HOEPA may prevent us from making certain loans and may cause us to reduce the APR or the points and fees on loans that we do make. If we decide to relax our restrictions on loans subject to HOEPA because the purchasers of our loans and/or the lenders that provide financing for our loan origination operations relax their restrictions, we will be subject to greater risks for actual or perceived non-compliance with HOEPA and other applicable laws, including demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from our lenders and loan purchasers, class action lawsuits and administrative enforcement actions.

Laws, rules and regulations have been adopted, or are under consideration, at the state and local levels that are similar to HOEPA in that they impose certain restrictions on loans on which certain points and fees or the APR exceeds specified thresholds, so-called high cost loans. The restrictions include prohibitions on steering borrowers into loans with high interest rates and away from more affordable products, selling unnecessary insurance to borrowers, flipping or repeatedly refinancing loans and making loans without a reasonable expectation that the borrowers will be able to repay the loans. Compliance with some of these restrictions requires lenders to make subjective judgments, such as whether a loan will provide a net tangible benefit to the borrower. These restrictions expose a lender to risks of litigation and regulatory sanction no matter how carefully a loan is underwritten. In addition, an increasing number of these laws, rules and regulations seek to impose liability for violations on purchasers of loans, regardless of whether a purchaser knew of or participated in the violation.

In general, it is against our policy to engage in the practices prohibited by these laws, and we could originate high cost loans that comply with all the requirements of these laws. However, we have generally avoided

originating high cost loans because the rating agencies generally will not rate securities backed by such loans and the companies that buy our loans and/or provide financing for our loan origination operations generally do not want to buy or finance such loans. The continued enactment of these laws, rules and regulations may prevent us from making certain loans that we might otherwise make, may cause us to cease operations in certain jurisdictions altogether, and may cause us to reduce the APR or the points and fees on loans that we do make. In addition, the difficulty of managing the risks presented by these laws, rules and regulations may decrease the availability of warehouse financing and the overall demand for non-prime loans, making it difficult to fund, sell or securitize any of our loans. If we decide to relax our restrictions on loans subject to these laws, rules and regulations, we will be subject to greater risks for actual or perceived non-compliance with such laws, rules and regulations, including demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from our lenders and loan purchasers, class action lawsuits, increased defenses to foreclosure of individual loans in default, individual claims for significant monetary damages, and administrative enforcement actions. If nothing else, the growing number of these laws, rules and regulations will increase our cost of doing business as we are required to develop systems and procedures to ensure that we do not violate any aspect of these new requirements.

Yield Spread Premiums

A substantial portion of our mortgage loans are originated through independent mortgage brokers. Mortgage brokers provide valuable services in the loan origination process and are compensated for their services by receiving fees on loans. Brokers may be paid by the borrower, the lender or both. If a borrower cannot or does not want to pay the mortgage broker s fees directly, the loan can be structured so that the mortgage broker s fees are paid from the proceeds of the loan, or the loan can provide for a higher interest rate or higher fees to the lender. The increased value of a loan with a higher interest rate enables the lender to pay all or a portion of the broker s compensation. This form of compensation is often referred to as a yield spread premium. Regardless of its label or method of calculation, the payment is intended to compensate the broker for the services actually performed and the facilities actually provided. Competitive forces currently demand that we pay mortgage brokers yield spread premiums on many of the loans we originate.

The federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) prohibits the payment of fees for the mere referral of real estate settlement service business. This law does permit the payment of reasonable value for services actually performed and facilities actually provided unrelated to the referral. On September 18, 2002, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision in *Heimmermann v. First Union Mortgage Corp.* that reversed the court is earlier decision in *Culpepper v. Irwin Mortgage Corp.*, in which the court found the yield spread premium payments received by a mortgage broker to be unlawful per se under RESPA. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) had responded to the *Culpepper* decision by issuing a policy statement (2001-1) taking the position that lender payments to mortgage brokers, including yield spread premiums, are not per se illegal. The *Heimmermann* decision eliminated a conflict that had arisen between the Eleventh Circuit and the Eighth and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals, with the result that all federal circuit courts, which have considered the issue have aligned with the HUD policy statement and found that yield spread premiums are not prohibited per se. If other circuit courts that have not yet reviewed this issue disagree with the *Heimmermann* decision, there could be a substantial increase in litigation regarding lender payments to brokers and in the potential costs of defending these types of claims and in paying any judgments that might result.

Licensing

We are licensed or registered (or exempt from licensing or registration requirements) by the relevant governmental agencies in all 50 states and in the District of Columbia to originate first and junior priority mortgages.

In the future, we may consider procuring a federal depository institution charter or acquiring an institution with such a charter in order to reduce the volume of state and local laws and regulations with which we must comply. If we proceed with this strategy, however, we will also be subject to a variety of other regulations with which we do not currently have to comply.

Environmental

In the ordinary course of our business, from time to time we foreclose on properties securing loans that are in default. There is a risk that hazardous or toxic waste could be found on these properties and we may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, and investigation and cleanup costs incurred in connection with the contamination. To date, we have been required to perform investigation or clean up activities in only one instance, and we have not been subject to any other environmental claims. This clean up activity has been completed and had no material effect on our business. We cannot assure you, however, that this will remain the case in the future.

Employees

We employee 2,056 full-time employees as of December 31, 2003. None of our employees are represented by a union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We believe our relations with our employees are good. We have a policy of conducting a comprehensive employee opinion survey approximately every 18 months. We have conducted three such surveys so far and have won two Peter Barron Stark Awards for Workplace Excellence.

Executive Officers

Our executive officers and their ages as of December 31, 2003 are as follows:

James A. Konrath, 57, co-founded our company and has served as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since its formation in 1990. In addition, Mr. Konrath served as our President from 1990 to 1998. Prior to founding our company, Mr. Konrath was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Security Pacific Financial Services, Inc., where he managed over 1,900 people in more than 300 consumer finance offices, from 1986 to 1989. From 1983 to 1986, Mr. Konrath was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Security Pacific Housing Services, where he founded a new subsidiary focused on manufactured housing loans. Mr. Konrath earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Accounting with a minor in Economics from the University of Wisconsin Whitewater in 1969.

Ray W. McKewon, 55, co-founded our company and has served as our Executive Vice President, Secretary and a director since its formation in 1990. From 1980 to 1990, Mr. McKewon was a managing partner of the Enterprise Management Company, a venture capital firm that he co-founded. Mr. McKewon is also a director of American Residential Investment Trust, Inc., a mortgage origination company that trades on the American Stock Exchange. Mr. McKewon earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics and his Bachelor of Arts degree in English from the University of Oklahoma in 1970, and his Masters degree in Business Administration from Pepperdine University in 1975.

Joseph J. Lydon, 45, has served as our President and Chief Operating Officer since May 1998. From February 1997 until that time, Mr. Lydon was our Director of Sales and Marketing. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Lydon was the Executive Vice President for the western division of Ford Consumer Finance, a division of The Associates First Capital Corporation. From 1977 to 1993, Mr. Lydon worked at Security Pacific Financial Services, Inc. where he ultimately became a Senior Vice President with full profit and loss responsibilities and oversight of six divisions. Mr. Lydon earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Management from Pepperdine University in 1991.

John S. Buchanan, 48, has served as our Chief Financial Officer since April 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Buchanan was Controller of GreenPoint Credit, a non-prime consumer finance entity, from 1998 to 2001. There, Mr. Buchanan was responsible for accounting, planning, financial analysis, cash management and facilities. From 1992 to 1998, Mr. Buchanan was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of GreenPoint Credit s predecessor, BankAmerica Housing Services. From 1981 to 1990, Mr. Buchanan worked for Security Pacific Financial Services, Inc. where he ultimately became Vice President of Financial Planning and Analysis. Mr. Buchanan earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Business in 1978 from San Diego State University.

25

Table of Contents

Jeffrey W. Crawford, 49, has served as our Director of Operations since January 1999. Mr. Crawford oversees the corporate underwriting, loan closing, post-closing and asset management/servicing departments. From 1994 to 1999, Mr. Crawford held various positions with Ford Consumer Finance, the most recent of which was Senior Vice President-Division Manager. From 1983 to 1994, Mr. Crawford was the Branch Manager, Director of Credit Scoring Systems, Assistant Vice President Administration and Vice President of Consumer Administration for Security Pacific Financial Services, Inc. Mr. Crawford earned his Bachelor of Science degree in Business from Iowa State University in 1977.

Roxane Helstrom, 47, has served as our Director of Marketing since June 2003. Previously, Ms. Helstrom served as Vice President of Marketing for Conseco Finance, most recently in its Mortgage Services division after serving as Market Development Manager for John Deere Credit and Chief Marketing Officer for Credit Union National Association. From 1985 to 1993, Ms. Helstrom worked for Associates Corporation of North America, where she became Senior Vice President of Credit Card Marketing. Ms. Helstrom earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology from Stephens College in 1976 and a Masters in Business Administration from DePaul University, Chicago, in 1985.

David E. Hertzel, 49, has served as our General Counsel since December 1995. Mr. Hertzel is responsible for regulatory compliance, licensing and qualification, corporate record-keeping, litigation, contract negotiation and all other legal matters. Prior to joining us, Mr. Hertzel was Vice President and Senior Counsel of American Residential Mortgage Corporation, from 1991 to 1994. From 1988 to 1991, he was Vice President and Senior Counsel of Imperial Savings Association. Mr. Hertzel earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Utah College of Law in 1980 and is a member of the State Bar of California.

James M. Pathman, 39, has served as our Chief Information Officer since December 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Pathman was the Chief Information Officer and Chief Technology Officer at Option One Mortgage, from 1992 to 2001. Mr. Pathman earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from San Diego State University in 1989.

Robert A. Prentice, 71, served as our Director of Business Intelligence from 2001 until he retired from that position, effective January 1, 2004. Prior to serving as Director of Business Intelligence, Mr. Prentice was our Chief Financial Officer from 1995 to 2001. From 1978 through 1991, Mr. Prentice held a number of positions with Security Pacific Financial Services System, Inc. including servicing with a subsidiary company, Security Pacific International Finance, Inc., as Chief Financial Officer from 1978 to 1981 and President and Chief Executive Officer from 1986 to 1988. With Security Pacific Financial Services System, Inc., Mr. Prentice served as Chief Financial Officer from 1981 to 1986 and as a senior staff member from 1988 to 1991. Prior to joining us, Mr. Prentice was joint owner of a licensed independent mortgage broker operating in the California market. Mr. Prentice earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Middlebury College in 1954.

Juanita L. Rosenfeld, 43, has served as our Director of Capital Markets since February 1998. Prior to joining us, Ms. Rosenfeld was Vice President of Portfolio Lending for Ocean West Funding from 1997 to 1998. From 1993 to 1997, Ms. Rosenfeld was the Vice President of Acquisitions with Ford Consumer Finance. Ms. Rosenfeld earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in Social Relations from the University of California at Riverside in 1982.

Joseph F. Weinbrecht, 59, has served as our Director of Human Resources and Administration since July 1999. Prior to joining us, Mr. Weinbrecht conducted his own consulting and training practice from 1992 to 1999. From 1981 to 1992, Mr. Weinbrecht held the positions of Vice President of Training, Senior Vice President of Marketing, and ultimately Director of Human Resources of the Consumer Finance Group at Security Pacific Financial Services, Inc. Mr. Weinbrecht earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in History from St. John s University in 1965, and his Masters degree in Human Resources and Organization Development from the University of San Francisco in 1992.

Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results

You should carefully consider the following risks, together with other matters described in this Form 10-K in evaluating our business and prospects. If any of the events referred to below actually occur, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could suffer. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. Certain statements in this Form 10-K (including certain of the following risk factors) constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements on page 1 of this Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business

We finance borrowers with lower credit ratings. The non-prime loans we originate generally have higher delinquency and default rates than prime mortgage loans, which could result in losses on loans that we hold or that we are required to repurchase, the loss of our servicing rights and damage to our reputation as a loan servicer.

We are in the business of originating, selling and, to a lesser extent, securitizing and servicing non-prime mortgage loans. Non-prime mortgage loans generally have higher delinquency and default rates than prime mortgage loans. Delinquency interrupts the flow of projected interest income from a mortgage loan and default can ultimately lead to a loss if the net realizable value of the real property securing the mortgage loan is insufficient to cover the principal and interest due on the loan. Also, our cost of financing and servicing a delinquent or defaulted loan is generally higher than for a performing loan. We bear the risk of delinquency and default on loans beginning when we originate them until we sell them and we continue to bear the risk of delinquency and default after we securitize loans or sell loans with a retained interest. Loans that become delinquent prior to sale or securitization may become unsaleable or saleable only at a discount, and the longer we hold loans prior to sale or securitization, the greater the chance that the loans may become delinquent before we have the opportunity to dispose of them. Factors that may increase the time held prior to sale or securitization include the time required to accumulate loans for securitizations or sales of large pools of loans, the amount and timing of third-party due diligence in connection with sales or securitizations, and defects in the loans.

We also reacquire the risks of delinquency and default for loans that we are obligated to repurchase. Repurchase obligations are typically triggered in loan sale transactions if an early payment default occurs on the loan after sale, or in any sale or securitization if the loan materially violates our representations or warranties. At December 31, 2003, mortgage loans held for sale included approximately \$13.6 million of loans repurchased. Our total provision for losses was \$33.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. If we experience higher-than-expected levels of delinquency or default in pools of loans that we service, we may lose our servicing rights, which would result in a loss of future servicing income and may damage our reputation as a loan servicer.

We attempt to manage these risks with risk-based mortgage loan pricing and appropriate underwriting policies and loan collection methods. However, if such policies and methods are insufficient to control our delinquency and default risks and do not result in appropriate loan pricing, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations could be significantly harmed. Our total delinquency rate (including loans in foreclosure and converted into real estate owned) for our servicing portfolio was 1.8% at December 31, 2003. Historically, our delinquency rate has increased, and may increase in the future, as the mortgage loans in our portfolio age.

Any substantial economic slowdown could increase delinquencies, defaults and foreclosures and reduce our ability to originate loans.

Periods of economic slowdown or recession may be accompanied by decreased demand for consumer credit, decreased real estate values, and an increased rate of delinquencies, defaults and foreclosures. Any material decline in real estate values would increase the loan-to-value ratios (LTVs) on loans that we hold pending sale

and loans in which we have a residual or retained interest, weaken our collateral coverage and increase the possibility and severity of a loss if a borrower defaults. We originate loans to borrowers who make little or no down payment, resulting in higher LTVs. A lack of equity in the home may reduce the incentive a borrower has to meet his payment obligations during periods of financial hardship, which might result in higher delinquencies, defaults and foreclosures. These factors would reduce our ability to originate loans and increase our losses on loans in which we have a residual or retained interest. In addition, loans we originate during an economic slowdown may not be as valuable to us because potential purchasers of our loans might reduce the premiums they pay for the loans to compensate for any increased risks arising during such periods. Any sustained increase in delinquencies, defaults or foreclosures is likely to significantly harm the pricing of our future loan sales and securitizations and also our ability to finance our loan originations.

Our business may be significantly harmed by a slowdown in the economy of California, where we conduct a significant amount of business.

Since inception, a significant portion of the mortgage loans we have originated, purchased or serviced has been secured by property in California. For the year ended December 31, 2003, approximately 33% of the unpaid principal balance of the loans we originated were collateralized by properties located in California. As of December 31, 2003, approximately 25% of the unpaid principal balance of loans we serviced were collateralized by properties located in California. An overall decline in the economy or the residential real estate market, or the occurrence of a natural disaster that is not covered by standard homeowners insurance policies, such as an earthquake or wildfire, in California could decrease the value of mortgaged properties in California. This, in turn, would increase the risk of delinquency, default or foreclosure on mortgage loans in our portfolio or that we have sold to others. This could restrict our ability to originate, sell, or securitize mortgage loans, and significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

We face intense competition that could adversely impact our market share and our revenues.

We face intense competition from finance and mortgage banking companies, Internet-based lending companies where entry barriers are relatively low, and from traditional bank and thrift lenders that have entered the non-prime mortgage industry. As we seek to expand our business further, we will face a significant number of additional competitors, many of whom will be well established in the markets we seek to penetrate. Some of our competitors are much larger, have better name recognition, and have far greater financial and other resources than us.

The government-sponsored entities Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are also expanding their participation in the non-prime mortgage industry. These government-sponsored entities have a size and cost-of-funds advantage that allows them to purchase loans with lower rates or fees than we are willing to offer. While the government- sponsored entities presently do not have the legal authority to originate mortgage loans, including non-prime loans, they do have the authority to buy loans. A material expansion of their involvement in the market to purchase non-prime loans could change the dynamics of the industry by virtue of their sheer size, pricing power and the inherent advantages of a government charter. In addition, if as a result of their purchasing practices, these government-sponsored entities experience significantly higher-than-expected losses, such experience could adversely affect the overall investor perception of the non-prime mortgage industry.

The intense competition in the non-prime mortgage industry has also led to rapid technological developments, evolving industry standards and frequent releases of new products and enhancements. As mortgage products are offered more widely through alternative distribution channels, such as the Internet, we may be required to make significant changes to our current retail and wholesale structure and information and technology systems to compete effectively. Our inability to continue enhancing our current Internet capabilities, or to adapt to other technological changes in the industry, could significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. In addition, we rely on software and other technology-based programs to gather and analyze competitive and other data from the marketplace. Problems with our technology or inability to implement technological changes may, therefore, result in delayed detection of trends.

Table of Contents

Competition in the industry can take many forms, including interest rates and costs of a loan, less stringent underwriting standards, convenience in obtaining a loan, customer service, amount and term of a loan and marketing and distribution channels. The need to maintain mortgage loan volume in this competitive environment creates a risk of price competition in the non-prime mortgage industry. Price competition could prevent us from raising rates in response to a rising cost of funds or cause us to lower the interest rates that we charge borrowers, which could adversely impact our profitability and lower the value of our loans. If our competitors adopt less stringent underwriting standards, we will be pressured to do so as well, which would result in greater loan risk without compensating pricing. If we do not relax underwriting standards in response to our competitors, we may lose market share. Any increase in these pricing and underwriting pressures could reduce the volume of our loan originations and sales and significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

An increase in interest rates could result in a reduction in our loan origination volumes, an increase in delinquency, default and foreclosure rates and a reduction in the value of and income from our loans.

The following are some of the risks we face related to an increase in interest rates:

A substantial and sustained increase in interest rates could harm our ability to originate loans because refinancing an existing loan would be less attractive and qualifying for a purchase loan may be more difficult.

Existing borrowers with adjustable-rate mortgages may incur higher monthly payments as the interest rate increases, which may lead to higher delinquency and default rates.

If prevailing interest rates increase after we fund a loan, the value that we receive upon the sale or securitization of the loan decreases.

The cost of financing our mortgage loans prior to sale or securitization is based primarily upon the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The interest rates we charge on our mortgage loans are based, in part, upon prevailing interest rates at the time of origination, and the interest rates on all of our mortgage loans are fixed for at least the first two or three years. If LIBOR increases after the time of loan origination, our net interest income which represents the difference between the interest rates we receive on our mortgage loans pending sale or securitization and our LIBOR-based cost of financing such loans will be reduced. The weighted average cost of financing our mortgage loans, prior to sale or securitization, was 2.5% for the year ended December 31, 2003.

When we securitize loans or sell loans with retained interests, the value of and the income we receive from the securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting and the mortgage-related securities we retain are also based on LIBOR to the extent the underlying loans have an adjustable interest rate. This is because the income we receive from these mortgage loans and mortgage-related securities is based on the difference between the fixed rates payable on the loans for the first two or three years, and an adjustable LIBOR-based yield payable to the senior security holders or loan purchasers. We also have interest rate risk when the loans become adjustable after their two or three year fixed rate period. This is due to the loan rates resetting every six months, subject to various caps and floors, versus the monthly reset on the rate passed through to the investors in the mortgage-related securities and holders of the securitization bonds.

Accordingly, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may be significantly harmed as a result of increased interest rates.

29

Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with interest rates.

We use various derivative financial instruments to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks, but no hedging strategy can protect us completely. When rates change, we expect to record a gain or loss on derivatives, which would be offset by an inverse change in the value of loans held for sale, securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting and mortgage-related securities, as reflected in the Interest Rate Simulation Sensitivity Analysis in the section entitled ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. We cannot assure you, however, that our use of derivatives will offset the risks related to changes in interest rates. There have been periods, and it is likely that there will be periods in the future, during which we will not have offsetting gains or losses in loan values after accounting for our derivative financial instruments. The derivative financial instruments we select may not have the effect of reducing our interest rate risk. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of these strategies. Poorly designed strategies, improperly executed transactions, or inaccurate assumptions could actually increase our risk and losses. In addition, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. We cannot assure you that our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use will adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility or that our hedging transactions will not result in losses. See ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements and ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Our business requires a significant amount of cash and if it is not available our business will be significantly harmed.

Our primary sources of cash are our warehouse credit facilities and the proceeds from the sales and securitizations of our loans. We require substantial cash to fund our loan originations, to pay our loan origination expenses and to hold our loans pending sale or securitization. Also, as a servicer of loans, we are required to advance delinquent principal and interest payments, unpaid property taxes, hazard insurance premiums, and foreclosure and foreclosure-related costs. Our warehouse credit facilities also require us to observe certain financial covenants, including the maintenance of certain levels of cash and general liquidity in our company.

As of December 31, 2003, we financed substantially all of our loans through eight separate warehouse lenders. Each of these facilities is cancelable by the lender for cause at any time and at least one is cancelable at any time without cause. These facilities generally have a renewable, one-year term. Because these are short-term commitments of capital, the lenders may respond to market conditions, which may favor an alternative investment strategy for them, making it more difficult for us to secure continued financing. If we are not able to renew any of these warehouse credit facilities or arrange for new financing on terms acceptable to us, or if we default on our covenants or are otherwise unable to access funds under any of these facilities, or if the lenders do not honor their commitments for any reason, we will have to curtail our loan origination activities. This would result in decreased revenues and profits from loan sales.

The timing of our loan dispositions (which are periodic) is not always matched to the timing of our expenses (which are continuous). This requires us to maintain significant levels of cash to maintain acceptable levels of liquidity. When we securitize our loans or sell our loans with a retained interest, we may not receive any amounts in excess of the principal amount of the loan for up to 12 months or longer. Further, any decrease in demand in the whole loan market such that we are unable to timely and profitably sell our loans could inhibit our ability to meet our liquidity demands.

Our warehouse credit facilities contain covenants that restrict our operations and may inhibit our ability to grow our business and increase revenues.

Our warehouse credit facilities contain extensive restrictions and covenants that, among other things, require us to satisfy specified financial, asset quality and loan performance tests. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements and our lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the agreements to be immediately due and payable, enforce their interests against collateral

30

Table of Contents

pledged under such agreements and restrict our ability to make additional borrowings. These agreements also contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default.

The covenants and restrictions in our warehouse credit facilities may restrict our ability to, among other things:

incur additional debt; finance loans with certain attributes;

make certain investments or acquisitions; reduce liquidity below certain levels; and

repurchase or redeem capital stock; hold loans for longer than established time periods. engage in mergers or consolidations;

These restrictions may interfere with our ability to obtain financing or to engage in other business activities, which may significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Our rights to cash flow from our mortgage-related securities and securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting are subordinate to senior interests and may fail to generate any revenues for us if the revenue stream only generates enough revenues to pay the senior interest holders.

As part of the credit enhancement for our securitizations and loan sales with retained interests, the net cash flow that we receive from the securitized loans and the mortgage-related securities generally represents the excess of amounts, if any, generated by the underlying mortgage loans over the amounts required to be paid to the senior security holders or loan purchasers. This is also after deduction of servicing fees and any other specified expenses related to the sale or securitization. These excess amounts are derived from, and are affected by, the interplay of several factors, including:

the extent to which the interest rates of the mortgage loans exceed the interest rates payable to the senior security holders or loan purchasers;

the level of losses and delinquencies experienced on the underlying loans; and

the extent to which the underlying loans are prepaid by borrowers in advance of scheduled maturities.

Any combination of the factors listed above may reduce the income we receive from and the value of our securitized loans and mortgage-related securities. If a gain has been recorded at the time of a sale or securitization based upon assumptions as to the levels of future income streams, and actual income streams are less than assumed or if we change our assumptions based upon our actual loss and delinquency experience, we may be required to record a charge against our earnings.

If we do not manage our growth effectively, our financial performance could be harmed.

In recent years, we have experienced rapid growth that has placed, and will continue to place, certain pressures on our management, administrative, operational and financial infrastructure. As of December 31, 1998, we had approximately 340 employees and by December 31, 2003, we had more than 2,050 employees. Many of these employees have very limited experience with us and a limited understanding of our systems and controls. The increase in the size of our operations may make it more difficult for us to ensure that we originate quality loans and that we service them effectively. We will need to attract and hire additional sales, servicing and management personnel in an intensely competitive hiring environment in order to preserve and increase our

31

market share. At the same time, we will need to continue to upgrade and expand our financial, operational and managerial systems and controls. We also intend to continue to grow our business in the future, which could require capital, systems development and human resources beyond what we currently have. We cannot assure you that we will be able to:

meet our capital needs;
expand our systems effectively;
allocate our human resources optimally;
identify and hire qualified employees;
satisfactorily perform our servicing obligations; or
incorporate effectively the components of businesses that we may acquire in our effort to achieve growth.

The failure to manage growth effectively would significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

The inability to attract and retain qualified employees could significantly harm our business.

We depend upon our wholesale account executives and retail loan officers to attract borrowers by, among other things, developing relationships with financial institutions, other mortgage companies and brokers, real estate agents, borrowers and others. We believe that these relationships lead to repeat and referral business. The market for skilled executive officers, account executives and loan officers is highly competitive and historically has experienced a high rate of turnover. Because of the difficulty in retaining qualified management personnel, we currently recruit college graduates to participate in our management trainee program. If we are unable to retain those trainees for a sufficient period following their training, we may be unable to recapture our costs of training and recruitment. In addition, if a manager leaves our company there is an increased likelihood that other members of his or her team will follow. Competition for qualified account executives and loan officers may lead to increased hiring and retention costs. If we are unable to attract or retain a sufficient number of skilled account executives at manageable costs, we will be unable to continue to originate quality mortgage loans that we are able to sell for a profit, which will reduce our revenues.

An interruption in or breach of our information systems may result in lost business.

We rely heavily upon communications and information systems to conduct our business. As we implement our growth strategy and increase our volume of loan production, that reliance will increase. Any failure or interruption or breach in security of our information systems or the third-party information systems on which we rely could cause underwriting or other delays and could result in fewer loan applications being received, slower processing of applications and reduced efficiency in loan servicing. We cannot assure you that such failures or interruptions will not occur or if they do occur that they will be adequately addressed by us or the third parties on which we rely. The occurrence of any failures or interruptions could significantly harm our business.

The success and growth of our business will depend upon our ability to adapt to and implement technological changes.

Our mortgage loan origination business is currently dependent upon our ability to effectively interface with our brokers, borrowers and other third parties and to efficiently process loan applications and closings. The origination process is becoming more dependent upon technological advancement, such as the ability to process

32

applications over the Internet, accept electronic signatures, provide process status updates instantly and other customer-expected conveniences that are cost-efficient to our process. In addition, competition and increasing regulation may increase our reliance on technology as a means to improve efficiency. Implementing this new technology and becoming proficient with it may also require significant capital expenditures. As these requirements increase in the future, we will have to fully develop these technological capabilities to remain competitive or our business will be significantly harmed.

If we are unable to maintain and expand our network of independent brokers, our loan origination business will decrease.

A significant majority of our originations of mortgage loans comes from independent brokers. In 2003, 89.4% of our loan originations were originated through our broker network. Our brokers are not contractually obligated to do business with us. Further, our competitors also have relationships with our brokers and actively compete with us in our efforts to expand our broker networks. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in maintaining our existing relationships or expanding our broker networks, the failure of which could significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

We may not be able to continue to sell and securitize our mortgage loans on terms and conditions that are profitable to us.

A substantial portion of our revenues come from the gain on sale generated by sales of pools of our mortgage loans as whole loans. We make whole loan sales to a limited number of institutional purchasers, some of which may be frequent, repeat purchasers, and others of which may make only one or a few purchases from us. There can be no assurance that we will continue to have purchasers for our loans on terms and conditions that will be profitable to us. Also, even though our mortgage loans are generally marketable to multiple purchasers, certain loans may be marketable to only one or a few purchasers, thereby increasing the risk that we may be unable to sell such loans at a profit.

We also rely on our ability to securitize our mortgage loans to realize a greater percentage of the full economic value of the loans. We cannot assure you, however, that we will continue to be successful in securitizing mortgage loans. Our ability to complete securitizations of our loans will depend upon a number of factors, including conditions in the credit and securities markets generally, conditions in the asset-backed securities market specifically, the availability of credit enhancements such as financial guarantee insurance, a senior subordinated structure or other means, and the performance of our previously securitized loans.

Our financial results fluctuate as a result of seasonality and other timing factors, which makes it difficult to predict our future performance and may affect the price of our common stock.

Our business is generally subject to seasonal trends. These trends reflect the general pattern of housing sales, which typically peak during the spring and summer seasons. Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past and are expected to fluctuate in the future, reflecting the seasonality of the industry. Further, if the closing of a sale of loans is postponed, the recognition of gain from the sale is also postponed. If such a delay causes us to recognize income in the next quarter, our results of operations for the previous quarter could be significantly depressed. If our results of operations do not meet the expectations of our stockholders and securities analysts, then the price of our common stock may decrease

We are subject to losses due to fraudulent and negligent acts on the part of loan applicants, mortgage brokers, other vendors and our employees.

When we originate mortgage loans, we rely heavily upon information supplied by third parties including the information contained in the loan application, property appraisal, title information and employment and income documentation. If any of this information is intentionally or negligently misrepresented and such

Table of Contents

misrepresentation is not detected prior to loan funding, the value of the loan may be significantly lower than expected. Whether a misrepresentation is made by the loan applicant, the mortgage broker, another third party or one of our own employees, we generally bear the risk of loss associated with the misrepresentation. A loan subject to a material misrepresentation is typically unsaleable or subject to repurchase if it is sold prior to detection of the misrepresentation. Even though we may have rights against persons and entities who made or knew about the misrepresentation, such persons and entities are often difficult to locate and it is often difficult to collect any monetary losses that we have suffered as a result of their actions.

We have controls and processes designed to help us identify misrepresented information in our loan origination operations. We cannot assure you, however, that we have detected or will detect all misrepresented information in our loan originations.

We are subject to losses due to fraudulent and negligent acts in other parts of our operations. If we experience a significant number of such fraudulent or negligent acts, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations would be significantly harmed.

Defective loans may harm our business.

In connection with the sale and securitization of our loans, we are required to make a variety of customary representations and warranties regarding our company and the loans. We are subject to these representations and warranties for the life of the loan and they relate to, among other things:

compliance with laws;

regulations and underwriting standards;

the accuracy of information in the loan documents and loan file; and

the characteristics and enforceability of the loan.

A loan that does not comply with these representations and warranties may take longer to sell, impact our ability to obtain third party financing, be unsaleable or saleable only at a discount. If such a loan is sold before we detect a non-compliance, we may be obligated to repurchase the loan and bear any associated loss directly, or we may be obligated to indemnify the purchaser against any such losses, either of which could reduce our cash available for operations and liquidity. We believe that we have qualified personnel at all levels and have established controls to ensure that all loans are originated to the market—s requirements, but we cannot assure you that we will not make mistakes, or that certain employees will not deliberately violate our lending policies. We seek to minimize losses from defective loans by correcting flaws if possible and selling or re-selling such loans. We also create allowances to provide for defective loans in our financial statements. We cannot assure you, however, that losses associated with defective loans will not harm our results of operations or financial condition.

If the prepayment rates for our mortgage loans are higher than expected, our results of operations may be significantly harmed.

When a borrower pays off a mortgage loan prior to the loan s scheduled maturity, the impact on us depends upon when such payoff or prepayment occurs. Our prepayment losses generally occur after we sell or securitize our loans and the extent of our losses depends on when the prepayment occurs. If the prepayment occurs:

within 12 to 18 months following a whole loan sale, we may have to reimburse the purchaser for all or a portion of the premium paid by the purchaser for the loan, again resulting in a loss of our profit on the loan; or

34

after we have securitized the loan or sold the loan in a sale with a retained interest, we lose the future income from that loan, and if we recorded a gain at the time of such securitization or sale, we may be required to record a charge against our earnings if actual prepayment rates for the related pool of loans are higher than the prepayment rates assumed in recording the gain at the time of sale or securitization.

Prepayment rates on mortgage loans vary from time to time and tend to increase during periods of declining interest rates. Of the securitized loans we serviced during the year ended December 31, 2003, 26.5% were prepaid. We seek to minimize our prepayment risk through a variety of means, including originating a significant portion of loans with prepayment penalties with terms of two to five years. No strategy, however, can completely insulate us from prepayment risks, whether arising from the effects of interest rate changes or otherwise. See Statutory and Regulatory Risks below for a discussion of statutes related to prepayment penalties.

We are exposed to environmental liabilities, with respect to properties that we take title to upon a foreclosure, that could increase our costs of doing business and harm our results of operations.

In the course of our servicing activities, we may foreclose and take title to residential properties and become subject to environmental liabilities with respect to those properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. Moreover, as the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based upon damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. If we ever become subject to significant environmental liabilities, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations would be significantly harmed.

Statutory and Regulatory Risks

The nationwide scope of our operations exposes us to risks of noncompliance with an increasing and inconsistent body of complex laws and regulations at the federal, state and local levels.

Because we originate mortgage loans in all 50 states and in the District of Columbia, we must comply with the laws and regulations, as well as judicial and administrative decisions, of all of these jurisdictions, as well as an extensive body of federal laws and regulations. The volume of new or modified laws and regulations has increased in recent years, and, in addition, individual cities and counties have begun to enact laws that restrict non-prime loan origination activities in those cities and counties. The laws and regulations of each of these jurisdictions are different, complex and, in some cases, in direct conflict with each other. As our operations continue to grow, it may be more difficult to comprehensively identify, to accurately interpret and to properly program our technology systems and effectively train our personnel with respect to all of these laws and regulations, thereby potentially increasing our exposure to the risks of noncompliance with these laws and regulations.

In addition, recently-enacted and changed laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new Securities and Exchange Commission regulations and NASDAQ rules, are creating uncertainties for companies like ours. These new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations due, in many cases, to their lack of specificity. As their applications evolve over time and new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies, we may incur higher costs of compliance, resulting from ongoing revisions to our disclosure and governance practices.

Our failure to comply with these laws, rules and regulations can lead to:

civil and criminal liability;

35

Table of Contents

administrative enforcement actions.

loss of approved status;
demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from purchasers of our loans;
class action lawsuits; and

Stockholder refusal to comply with regulatory requirements may interfere with our ability to do business in certain states.

Some states in which we operate may impose regulatory requirements on our officers and directors and persons holding certain amounts, usually 10% or more, of our common stock. If any person holding such an amount of our stock fails to meet or refuses to comply with a state s applicable regulatory requirements for mortgage lending, we could lose our authority to conduct business in that state.

We may be subject to fines or other penalties based upon the conduct of our independent brokers.

The mortgage brokers from which we obtain loans have parallel and separate legal obligations to which they are subject. While these laws may not explicitly hold the originating lenders responsible for the legal violations of mortgage brokers, increasingly federal and state agencies have sought to impose such assignee liability. Recently, for example, the United States Federal Trade Commission (FTC) entered into a settlement agreement with a mortgage lender where the FTC characterized a broker that had placed all of its loan production with a single lender as the agent of the lender. The FTC imposed a fine on the lender in part because, as principal, the lender was legally responsible for the mortgage broker s unfair and deceptive acts and practices. The United States Justice Department in the past has sought to hold a non-prime mortgage lender responsible for the pricing practices of its mortgage brokers, alleging that the mortgage lender was directly responsible for the total fees and charges paid by the borrower under the Fair Housing Act even if the lender neither dictated what the mortgage broker could charge nor kept the money for its own account. Accordingly, we may be subject to fines or other penalties based upon the conduct of our independent mortgage brokers.

We are no longer able to rely on the Alternative Mortgage Transactions Parity Act to preempt certain state law restrictions on prepayment penalties, we may be unable to compete effectively with financial institutions that are exempt from such restrictions.

The value of a mortgage loan depends, in part, upon the expected period of time that the mortgage loan will be outstanding. If a borrower pays off a mortgage loan in advance of this expected period, the holder of the mortgage loan does not realize the full value expected to be received from the loan. A prepayment penalty payable by a borrower who repays a loan earlier than expected helps offset the reduction in value resulting from the early payoff. Consequently, the value of a mortgage loan is enhanced to the extent the loan includes a prepayment penalty, and a mortgage lender can offer a lower interest rate and/or lower loan fees on a loan which has a prepayment penalty. Prepayment penalties are an important feature to obtain value on the loans we originate.

Certain state laws restrict or prohibit prepayment penalties on mortgage loans, and we have relied on the federal Alternative Mortgage
Transactions Parity Act (the Parity Act) and related rules issued in the past by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) to preempt state
limitations on prepayment penalties. The Parity Act was enacted to extend to financial institutions, other than federally chartered depository

institutions, the federal preemption which federally chartered depository institutions enjoy. However, on September 25, 2002, the OTS released a new rule that reduced the scope of the Parity Act preemption as of July 1, 2003, preventing us from relying on the Parity Act to preempt state restrictions on prepayment penalties. The elimination of this federal preemption requires us to comply with state restrictions on prepayment penalties. This may place us at a

competitive disadvantage relative to financial institutions that will continue to enjoy federal preemption of such state restrictions because such institutions will be able to charge prepayment penalties without regard to state restrictions and thereby may be able to offer loans with interest rate and loan fee structures that are more attractive than we are able to offer.

In addition, on April 24, 2003, a New Jersey state appellate court relied on the new OTS rule to find that the Parity Act does not preempt New Jersey state law restrictions on prepayment penalties. This ruling is contrary to previous published court opinions which have upheld such preemption under the Parity Act, including a May 8, 2000 decision by the United States District Court in New Jersey which upheld such preemption with respect to New Jersey state law. Nonetheless, if the New Jersey state court s decision were followed by other courts, it might call into question the validity of prepayment penalty provisions under which we have previously collected prepayment penalties and which we continued to include in our loan documentation prior to July 1, 2003 on the basis of the Parity Act exemption.

The increasing number of federal, state and local anti-predatory lending laws may restrict our ability to originate or increase our risk of liability with respect to certain mortgage loans and could increase our cost of doing business.

In recent years, several federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations have been adopted, or are under consideration, that are intended to eliminate so-called predatory lending practices. These laws, rules and regulations impose certain restrictions on loans on which certain points and fees or the annual percentage rate (APR) exceeds specified thresholds, commonly referred to as high cost loans. Some of these restrictions expose a lender to risks of litigation and regulatory sanction no matter how carefully a loan is underwritten. In addition, an increasing number of these laws, rules and regulations seek to impose liability for violations on purchasers of loans, regardless of whether a purchaser knew of or participated in the violation.

We have generally avoided and will continue to avoid originating high cost loans because the rating agencies generally will not rate securities backed by such loans and the companies that buy our loans and/or provide financing for our loan origination operations generally do not want to buy or finance such loans. The continued enactment of these laws, rules and regulations may prevent us from making certain loans that we would otherwise make, may cause us to cease operations in certain jurisdictions altogether and may cause us to reduce the APR or the points and fees on loans that we do make. In addition, the difficulty of managing the risks presented by these laws, rules and regulations may decrease the availability of warehouse financing and the overall demand for non-prime loans, making it difficult to fund, sell or securitize any of our loans. If we decide to relax our restrictions on loans subject to these laws, rules and regulations, we will be subject to greater risks for actual or perceived non-compliance with such laws, rules and regulations, including demands for indemnification or loan repurchases from our lenders and loan purchasers, class action lawsuits, increased defenses to foreclosure of individual loans in default, individual claims for significant monetary damages, and administrative enforcement actions. If nothing else, the growing number of these laws, rules and regulations will increase our cost of doing business as we are required to develop systems and procedures to ensure that we do not violate any aspect of these new requirements. Any of the foregoing could significantly harm our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Capital Structure

The market price of our common stock could be volatile.

The market price for our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to a number of factors, including:

the issuance of new equity securities pursuant to a future offering;

changes in interest rates;

37

Table of Contents

competitive developments, including announcements by us or our competitors of new products or services or significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;

variations in quarterly operating results;

changes in financial estimates and forecasts published by securities analysts;

the depth and liquidity of the market for our common stock;

investor perceptions of our company and the mortgage industry generally (including the non-prime and nonconforming mortgage industry); and

general economic and other national conditions.

Some provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may deter takeover attempts, which may limit the opportunity of our stockholders to sell their shares at a favorable price.

Some of the provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our stockholders by providing them with the opportunity to sell their shares possibly at a premium over the then market price.

For example, our board of directors is divided into three classes. The term of the first class expires at the 2004 annual meeting of stockholders, the term of the second class expires in 2005, and the term of the third class expires in 2006. At each annual meeting of stockholders, the terms of approximately one-third of the directors will expire, and new directors will be elected to serve for three years. It will take at least two annual meetings to effect a change in control of our board of directors because a majority of the directors cannot be elected at a single meeting, which may discourage hostile takeover bids.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation authorizes the board of directors to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The preferred stock may be issued in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined at the time of issuance by our board of directors without further action by the stockholders. These terms may include voting rights including the right to vote as a series on particular matters, preferences as to dividends and liquidation, conversion rights, redemption rights and sinking fund provisions. No shares of preferred stock are outstanding and we have no present plans for the issuance of any preferred stock. The issuance of any preferred stock, however, could diminish the rights of holders of our common stock, and therefore could reduce the value of our common stock. In addition, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell assets to, a third party. The ability of our board of directors to issue preferred stock could delay, discourage, prevent or make it more difficult or costly to acquire or effect a change in control, thereby preserving the current stockholders control.

Our bylaws contain provisions that require stockholders to act only at a duly-called meeting and make it difficult for any person other than management to introduce business at a duly-called meeting by requiring such other person to follow certain notice procedures.

Finally, we are also subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law which, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits a Delaware corporation from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years following the date that the stockholder became an interested stockholder. The preceding provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, could discourage potential acquisition proposals, delay or prevent a change of control and prevent changes in our management, even if such things would be in the best interests of our stockholders.

38

ITEM 2. Properties

Our national headquarters is located in San Diego, CA and includes approximately 190,108 square feet in four buildings located in the same general area. The leases for these premises expire on various dates from December 31, 2005 to November 30, 2013. As of December 31, 2003, we also leased additional property in the following states and metropolitan areas ranging in size from approximately 506 to 30,938 square feet with original lease terms varying from month-to-month to ten years: Phoenix, AZ; Anaheim, Orange, Pasadena, Los Angeles, Woodland Hills, Fresno, Newark, Walnut Creek, Folsom, Sacramento, San Diego, Morgan Hill and Santa Maria, CA; Lakewood, CO; St. Petersburg, FL; Alpharetta, Marietta and Atlanta, GA; Honolulu, HI; Oakbrook, IL; Burtonsville, MD; Kansas City and St. Louis, MO; Montvale, NJ; Henderson, NV; Cincinnati and West Chester, OH; Oklahoma City and Tulsa, OK; Tigard, OR; Pittsburgh, PA; San Antonio, Irving and Houston, TX; Warwick, RI; Tacoma and Bellevue, WA. We do not consider any specific leased location to be material to our operations. We believe that equally suitable alternative locations are available in all areas where we currently do business.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

Because the nature of our business involves the collection of numerous accounts, the validity of liens and compliance with various state and federal lending laws, we are subject to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business related to foreclosures, bankruptcies, condemnation and quiet title actions, and alleged statutory and regulatory violations. We are also subject to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business related to employment matters. Except as specifically set forth below, we do not believe that the resolution of these lawsuits in the ordinary course of business will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We previously reported on a suit that was outside of the ordinary course of business, *Zimmerman et al. v. Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.*, filed in Los Angeles County, California, Superior Court in July 2001. The plaintiffs were individuals who sold a retail mortgage loan business to a purchaser pursuant to a contract which provided for future payments to plaintiffs over a period of years based upon future mortgage loans originated by the business. The contract provided that, in the event control of the business was transferred by the purchaser, the purchaser s obligations under the contract, including the future payments, either must be assumed by the transferee or the purchaser must make a lump sum payment to the plaintiffs in accordance with a formula set forth in the contract. We subsequently acquired some furniture, fixtures and equipment, assumed lease liabilities on some office branches and office equipment, and hired a number of employees of the business. We expressly did not assume liability for the future payments that were part of the original purchase transaction, nor did we hire all of the persons employed by the business, acquire all of the assets or assume all of the liabilities. The plaintiffs claimed that the future payments they negotiated with the original purchaser were assumed by us as a result of the transaction between us and the original purchaser. The action was dismissed with prejudice on March 29, 2002, and, on July 29, 2003, the appellate court affirmed the trial court s dismissal of the action. The time for further appeal expired without further action on the part of the plaintiffs, and this matter is now resolved.

In December 2002, we were served with a complaint and motion for class certification in a class action lawsuit, *Wratchford et al. v. Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.*, brought in Madison County, Illinois under the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act and the consumer protection statutes of the other states in which we do business. In early January, we removed the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The complaint alleges that we have a practice of misrepresenting and inflating the amount of fees we pay to third parties in connection with the residential mortgage loans we fund. Plaintiffs claim to represent a nationwide class consisting of all other persons similarly situated, that is, all persons who paid money to us to pay, or reimburse our payments of, third-party fees in connection with residential mortgage loans and never received a refund for the difference between what they paid and what was actually paid to the third party. Plaintiffs are seeking to recover damages on behalf of themselves and the class, in addition to pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, and any other relief the court deems just and proper. We filed an answer to the complaint on January 17, 2003, but a schedule for briefing and hearing the motion for class

Table of Contents

certification has not yet been established and there has been no ruling on the merits of either the plaintiffs individual claims or the claims of the class. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, that may result is not determinable, and no amounts have been accrued in our financial statements with respect to this matter. We intend to vigorously defend this matter and do not believe it will have a material adverse effect on our business.

In December 2003, we were served with a class action complaint, *Silva v. Accredited Home Finance, et al.*, brought in San Diego County, California. The complaint alleges that the provision for a prepayment charge included in a loan made by us to the plaintiff violated a California statute restricting prepayment charges, breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and constituted an unfair business practice. The plaintiff seeks to recover, on behalf of himself and all other individuals to which we made loans including such a prepayment charge provision, the amount of any such prepayment charge previously paid, punitive damages, interest, attorneys fees and costs of suit, and also seeks to enjoin further enforcement of such prepayment charge provisions.

The subject prepayment charge provision was included in the plaintiff s loan on the basis that the Parity Act preempts state restrictions on prepayment charges if certain conditions are met. We believe that those conditions were met with respect to the plaintiff s loan, as well as all other loans with a similar prepayment charge provision; however, a New Jersey state court, in *Glukowsky v. Equity One, Inc.*, ruled against Parity Act preemption of New Jersey state restrictions on prepayment charges. That case is on appeal, and at least three other decisions by federal courts have upheld Parity Act preemption of state law. We intend to vigorously defend this matter, however, if the case were to result in a ruling that the Parity Act did not preempt state law with respect to the prepayment charge provision included in the plaintiff s loan, the potential liability could materially and adversely effect us. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of the matter and the amount of liability, if any, that may result is not determinable, and we have not accrued any amounts in our financial statements with respect to this matter.

In January 2004, we were served with a complaint, *Yturralde v. Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.*, brought in Sacramento County, California. The complaint alleges that we violated California and federal law by misclassifying the plaintiff, a commissioned loan officer, as an exempt employee and failing to pay the plaintiff on an hourly basis and for overtime worked. The plaintiff seeks to recover, on behalf of himself and all of our other similarly situated current and former employees, lost wages and benefits, general damages, multiple statutory penalties and interest, attorneys fees and costs of suit, and also seeks to enjoin further violations of wage and overtime laws and retaliation against employees who complain about such violations. We have removed the case to federal court and have filed an answer to the complaint. We do not believe that this matter will have a material adverse affect on our business, and we are pursuing settlement discussions. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of the matter and the amount of liability, if any, that may result is not determinable and we have not accrued any amounts in our financial statements with respect to this matter.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended December 31, 2003.

40

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Market Information and Holders

Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ National Market® under the symbol LEND on February 14, 2003. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices on the National Market of the common stock for the periods indicated, as reported by NASDAQ. Such quotations represent inter-dealer prices without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	High (\$)	Low (\$)
Fiscal 2003		
First Quarter	8.89	7.25
Second Quarter	25.80	9.31
Third Quarter	23.25	14.80
Fourth Quarter	32.91	21.23

As of March 15, 2004, there were 165 holders of record of our common stock. On March 15, 2004, the last sale price reported on the NASDAQ National Market for our common stock was \$36.00 per share.

Dividends

Since 1994, we have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, tax laws, and other factors as the Board of Directors, in its discretion, deems relevant.

Employee Stock Options

Our stock option plans are part of a broad-based, long-term retention program that is intended to attract and retain talented employees and directors and align stockholder and employee interests.

Pursuant to our 2002 Plan, we may grant options to selected employees, directors and consultants to purchase shares of our common stock at a price not less than the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant. The 2002 Plan provides for the grant of both incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options. Generally, options outstanding vest over periods not exceeding four years and are exercisable for up to ten years

from the grant date. The Board of Directors may terminate the 2002 Plan at any time though it must nevertheless honor any stock options previously granted pursuant to the plan.

Additional information regarding our stock option plans and plan activity for fiscal 2003, 2002 and 2001 is provided in our consolidated financial statements. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 14 Stockholders Equity, Stock Option Plans.

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected operating and balance sheet data for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 and as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this report. The selected operating and balance sheet data for the years ended December 31, 1999 and 2000 and as of December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, not included in this report.

41

You should read the information below along with other financial information and analysis presented in this report, including the section entitled ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

	_	,								
		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003
				(in thousan	ds, exc	ept per sha	re amo	ounts)		
Operating Data:						• •		ĺ		
Revenues:										
Gain on sale of loans	\$	37,387	\$	55,748	\$	81,621	\$	122,877	\$	241,115
Interest income		14,344		20,264		27,714		67,861		178,982
Loan servicing income (expense)		(483)		1,149		6,308		8,371		7,645
Net gain (loss) on mortgage-related securities and derivatives		(306)		(765)		3,433		1,154		6,698
Other income		44		94		16		516		782
			_		_		_		_	
Total revenues		50,986		76,490		119,092		200,779		435,222
							_		_	
Expenses:										
Salaries, wages and benefits		23,074		30,499		44,139		74,664		112,239
Interest expense		9,840		17,472		15,761		27,891		63,562
Occupancy		2,143		3,716		4,915		6,949		11,225
Provision for losses		1,860		2,467		6,787		17,669		33,129
Depreciation and amortization		933		1,587		1,699		2,595		4,854
General and administrative expenses		7,348		10,212		15,809		23,016		43,651
Total expenses		45,198		65,953		89,110		152,784		268,660
•			_		_		_		_	
Income before income taxes		5,788		10,537		29,982		47,995		166,562
Income taxes		2,337		4,531		12,583		19,198		66,547
	_						_		_	
Net income	\$	3,451	\$	6,006	\$	17,399	\$	28,797	\$	100,015
	_		_		_					
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.77	\$	1.29	\$	3.36	\$	4.99	\$	5.61
	_									
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.30	\$	0.50	\$	1.32	\$	1.98	\$	4.97
	_		_		_					
Weighted average shares outstanding:										
Basic		4,487		4,670		5,175		5,776		17,825
Diluted		12,142		12,528		13,343		14,629		20,108

Year Ended December 31,

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
		(de	ollars in thousands		
Other Data:					
Total mortgage loan originations	\$ 1,110,820	\$ 1,517,550	\$ 2,324,398	\$ 4,302,891	\$ 7,958,309
Wholesale originations	1,053,324	1,398,540	2,117,250	3,900,186	7,118,369
Retail originations	23,439	118,799	207,033	401,162	838,869
Other(1)	34,057	211	115	1,543	1,071
Net cost to originate(2)	3.3%	2.9%	2.7%	2.3%	2.1%
Weighted average coupon rate of mortgage loan originations	10.3%	10.7%	9.3%	8.4%	7.7%
Weighted average credit score(3)	598	610	619	630	632

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Total loan sales and securitizations	\$ 1,048,547	\$ 1,508,788	\$ 1,939,950	\$ 3,869,944	\$ 7,297,206
Whole loan sales	846,319	1,013,078	1,640,129	3,044,890	6,061,019
Loans sold with retained interests	202,228	321,031	299,821	75,839	
Mortgage loans securitized(4)		174,679		749,215	1,236,187
Average premium received on whole loan sales(5)	4.0%	3.5%	4.4%	4.1%	4.0%
Average gain on loans sold with retained interests(5)	3.5%	4.1%	3.8%	1.9%	N/A
Total serviced loans at period end	\$ 396,568	\$ 810,697	\$ 1,301,121	\$ 2,268,498	\$ 3,695,976
Total number of leased locations at period end	11	22	27	31	46
Total number of employees	541	671	866	1,294	2,056
Asset Quality Data (Serviced Portfolio):					
Total delinquent at period end(6)	2.8%	5.2%	5.5%	2.7%	1.8%
Annual losses on serviced portfolio as a percentage of average					
serviced assets	0.7%	0.2%	0.9%	0.9%	0.6%
Total portfolio prepayment speed CPR(7)	17.5%	10.0%	23.5%	27.6%	26.5%

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
		(d	ollars in thous	sands)	
Balance Sheet Data:					
Mortgage loans held for sale, net	\$ 151,944	\$ 143,900	\$ 531,698	\$ 972,349	\$ 1,615,994
Securitized loans, net(4)				738,917	1,751,318
Mortgage-related securities, at fair value	8,673	25,879	22,290	8,356	3,692
Mortgage servicing rights, net	1,521	3,782	4,826	3,116	1,119
Total assets	175,804	189,806	603,038	1,802,605	3,501,417
Total warehouse and residual interest financing	155,028	157,361	547,063	962,285	1,515,195
Securitization bond financing(4)				732,823	1,724,389
Convertible debt	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	
Total liabilities	164,940	172,924	569,034	1,739,483	3,289,194
Redeemable, convertible preferred stock	5,113	5,113	5,113	5,113	N/A
Total stockholders equity	5,751	11,769	28,891	58,009	212,223

- (1) Represents loans purchased from others in 1999 and loans funded that do not conform to standard investor guidelines in 2000 forward.
- (2) Net cost to originate loans is defined as total operating expenses (total expenses less interest expense and provision for losses), less loan servicing related costs, plus yield spread premiums, less points and fees collected, all prior to any deferrals of origination costs for accounting purposes.
- (3) Represents borrowers average credit score at origination obtained from one or more of the three principal credit bureaus. This information was not captured prior to the middle of 1999.
- (4) The securitization of mortgage loans in 2000 is accounted for as a sale while the securitizations of mortgage loans in 2002 and 2003 are accounted for as a financing.
- (5) The average premium received on whole loan sales is computed based on the cash premiums received on whole loan sales, net of losses on related derivatives. The average gain on sale of loans sold with retained interests is computed based on the non-cash gain that results from the sale of loans with retained interests.
- (6) Delinquent is defined as loans that are 30 or more days delinquent, including loans in foreclosure and loans converted into real estate owned (REO).
- (7) The constant prepayment rate (CPR) represents a constant annualized rate of prepayment relative to the then outstanding principal balance of mortgage loans.

ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be reviewed in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. In addition to historical information, the following discussion and other parts of this document contain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. Please refer to the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements on page 1 of this Form 10-K. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by such forward-looking information due to factors discussed under the section entitled ITEM 1. Business Risk Factors That May Affect Future Results and elsewhere in this report.

General

We are a nationwide mortgage banking company that originates, finances, sells, securitizes and services non-prime mortgage loans secured by single-family residences. We focus on borrowers who do not meet conforming underwriting guidelines because of higher loan-to-value ratios, the nature or absence of income documentation, limited credit histories, high levels of consumer debt, or past credit difficulties. We originate our loans primarily through independent mortgage brokers across the United States and, to a lesser extent, through our direct sales force in our retail offices. We primarily sell our loans in whole loan sales and, to a lesser extent, we securitize our loans.

Revenue Model

Our operations generate revenues in three ways:

Gain on sale of loans. We generate gain on sale of loans by selling the loans we originate for a premium. This accounts for a substantial percentage of our revenues.

Interest income. We have two primary components to our interest income. We generate interest income over the life of the loan on the loans we have securitized in structures that require financing treatment. This interest is partially offset by the interest we pay on the bonds that we issue to fund these loans. We also generate interest income on loans held for sale from the time we originate the loan until the time we sell or securitize the loan. This interest income is partially offset by our borrowing costs under our warehouse facilities used to finance these loans.

Loan servicing income. Our loan servicing income represents all contractual and ancillary servicing revenue for loans we service for others, net of subservicing costs, our own servicing costs and amortization of mortgage servicing rights. Subservicing costs are the amounts we pay to others to service loans on our behalf.

Our revenues also include net gain (loss) on mortgage-related securities and derivatives, which reflect changes in the value of these instruments based on market conditions.

Financial Highlights

Our operations consist primarily of originating loans that we pool and sell in the secondary markets. We conduct an analysis to find and select the most optimal disposition strategy for each loan. The majority of our loan sales have been structured as whole loan sales where we have disposed of our entire interest in the loans and produced immediate cash gains. To a lesser extent, we have sold loans in securitization structures that require financing treatment. During 2002 and 2003, we completed a total of five securitizations, totaling \$2.0 billion, that were structured this way. In the future, to the extent we continue to complete these types of securitizations, our gain on sale revenue will be lower and our interest income will be higher than it would have been otherwise. This portfolio-based accounting more closely matches the recognition of income with the actual receipt of cash payments. Also, such securitization structures are consistent with our strategy to predominantly generate cash-based earnings rather than non-cash gain on sale revenue. Our recent financial highlights include:

Loan originations. We have increased our loan originations every year since inception. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, we originated \$4.3 billion and \$8.0 billion of loans, respectively. Our wholesale channel, which originates loans through independent mortgage brokers, originated \$3.9 billion of loans in 2002 and \$7.1 billion in 2003. As our operations have expanded, we have substantially reduced our cost to originate loans. In 1997, our average net origination cost was 5.2%. In 2002 and 2003, it was 2.3% and 2.1%, respectively. We calculate our cost to originate loans as (a) total expenses, prior to deferred origination costs, plus yield spread premiums paid, less loan servicing related costs and points and fees collected, divided by (b) origination volume.

Whole loan sales cash gain on sale. Approximately 82% of the loans we sold during the three years ended December 31, 2003 were for a cash premium in whole loan sales. During 2002 and 2003, 78.7% and 83.1% of our loan dispositions were whole loan sales with an average cash premium, net of losses on related derivatives, of 4.1% and 4.0%, respectively.

Mortgage-related securities retained interests. From time to time, we have sold loans to third parties or securitized loans in transactions structured as a sale where we have retained the right to receive certain future payments generated by such loans. During 2002, 2.0% of our loan dispositions were loan

44

sales with retained interests which were booked with an average noncash gain on sale of 1.9%. During 2003, there were no such loan dispositions. As of December 31, 2003, the fair value of our retained interests totaled \$3.7 million.

Servicing. Prior to 2000, we retained the right to service only a small portion of the loans that we originated and sold or securitized. We increased our loan servicing portfolio in 2001, 2002 and 2003 by retaining the rights to service over 15%, 21% and 17%, respectively, of the loans we sold or securitized in sale or financing transactions. For securitizations structured as a financing, while we retain servicing of these loans, we do not record any mortgage servicing rights related to them. Our mortgage servicing rights totaled \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2003. In addition, in 2001 we started to internally service a large portion of our loans that were previously subserviced by third parties. Our loans serviced as of December 31, 2003 totaled \$3.7 billion and any additional securitizations will substantially increase our servicing portfolio.

Accounting for Our Loan Sales

We have	sold our loans in transactions that have been accounted for in our financial statements as:
	whole loan sales;
	loan sales with retained interests;
	securitizations structured as a sale; and
	securitizations structured as a financing.

In the first three cases, the transaction was structured as a sale of mortgage loans for legal and accounting purposes. When we sold our mortgage loans in whole loan sale transactions, we disposed of our entire interest in the loans. When we entered into loan sales with retained interests and securitizations structured as a sale, we retained interests (other than mortgage servicing rights) in certain cash flows to be generated from the pools of loans that we sold. The retained interests in both types of transactions are referred to as mortgage-related securities.

During 2002 and 2003, we completed a total of five securitizations structured as financings. The transactions were legally structured as sales of mortgage loans, but for accounting purposes were treated as financings under SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125*. When we enter into a securitization structured as a financing, the loans remain on our balance sheet, retained interests are not created, and debt securities issued in the securitization replace the warehouse debt originally associated with the securitized mortgage loans. We record interest income on the mortgage loans and interest expense on the debt securities, as well as ancillary fees, over the life of the securitization, instead of recognizing a gain or loss upon closing of the transaction. This portfolio-based accounting more closely matches the recognition of income with the actual receipt of cash payments. Also, such securitization structures are consistent with our strategy to predominantly generate cash-based earnings rather than non-cash gain on sale revenue.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although we base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, our management exercises significant judgment in the final determination of our estimates. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to our critical accounting policies the following reflect significant judgments by management:
Gain on sale of loans and fair value of mortgage-related securities;
Mortgage servicing rights valuation;
Provision for losses; and
Interest rate risk, derivatives and hedging strategies.
Gain on Sale of Loans and Fair Value of Mortgage-Related Securities
Our gain on sale of loans has consisted of primarily cash gain that resulted from whole loan sales and, to a lesser extent, non-cash gain that resulted from the fair value of our mortgage-related securities. We also record subsequent changes to the fair value of our mortgage-related securities in our income statement and to the asset on our balance sheet. In each case, the determination of fair value requires significant judgments by our management.
Our sales may be either on a servicing retained or released basis. If we retain the right to service the loans that we have sold, we may also record non-cash gain on sale related to the value of those servicing rights. See our further discussion below and under the heading Mortgage Servicing Rights Valuations.
Gain on Sale
Typically, our gain on sale recorded for whole loan sales has been cash gain. In a transaction structured as a loan sale with retained interests, the majority of the gain on sale we have recorded is non-cash gain based on the fair value of the mortgage-related securities retained in the transaction. We have not structured a transaction as a loan sale with retained interests since January 2002. Typically, the gain on sale we have recorded on securitizations structured as a sale has also been the non-cash gain based on the fair values of the mortgage-related securities we retain. We have not recorded non-cash gain from a securitization structured as a sale since the first quarter of 2000.
Gains or losses resulting from our loan sales are recorded at the time of sale. Upon sale, we allocate the book value of the loans sold among the value of the loans and any mortgage-related securities and mortgage servicing rights we retain in the transaction. The gain on sale that we record is primarily based on the difference between (i) the sum of the cash received plus the value of any mortgage-related securities and mortgage servicing rights generated by the transaction and (ii) the book value that we had allocated to the loans sold. At the closing of a sale with retained

Table of Contents 89

interests or a securitization structured as a sale, we remove the mortgage loans held for sale and related warehouse debt from our consolidated balance sheet and add to our consolidated balance sheet the net cash received, the estimated fair value of the mortgage-related securities

generated by the transaction, and the allocated book value of any mortgage servicing rights generated by the transaction.

Gain on sale revenue is recorded at the time we sell loans or securitize loans in transactions structured as a sale, but not when we securitize loans in a transaction structured as a financing. Accordingly, our financial results are significantly impacted by the timing of our loan sales and the securitization structure we may elect to implement. If we hold a significant pool of loans at the end of a reporting period, those loans will remain on our balance sheet, along with the related debt used to fund the loans. The revenue that we generate from those loans will not be recorded until the subsequent reporting period when we sell the loans. If we elect to complete a securitization structured as a financing rather than a transaction that would generate gain on sale revenue, our gain on sale revenue will be lower and our interest income will be higher than it would have been otherwise. Since we have completed five securitizations structured as financings since the third quarter of 2002, we do expect differences in our results of operations as compared to historical results. A number of factors influence the

Table of Contents

timing of our loan sales, our targeted disposition strategy and the whole loan sale premiums we receive, including the current market demand for our loans, the quality of the loans we originate, the sufficiency of our loan documentation, liquidity needs, and our strategic objectives. Our management has from time to time delayed the sale of loans to a later period, and may do so again in the future.

Accounting for Mortgage-Related Securities

We measure our mortgage-related securities like debt securities classified as trading securities under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. When we enter into a loan sale transaction that generates a mortgage-related security, we record the fair value of that security as an asset on our balance sheet. Thereafter, we measure the fair value of the mortgage-related securities at each reporting date. Any change in fair value since the end of the prior period is recorded in our results of operations as an unrealized gain or loss. The carrying value of mortgage-related securities on our balance sheet is also increased or decreased accordingly.

How We Determine Fair Value of Mortgage-Related Securities

We are not aware of any active market for the sale of our mortgage-related securities. Accordingly, our estimate of fair value is subjective. We determine the fair value by discounting the estimated net future cash flows using assumptions that market participants would use, including assumptions about interest rates, credit losses, and prepayment speeds. The net future cash flows expected to be received include the interest paid by the borrowers on the loans and prepayment penalties, less amounts paid to other parties with interests in the loans, estimated credit losses, servicing fees, as well as mortgage insurance fees, guarantee fees, and trustee fees for securitizations. Our receipt of such cash flows may be delayed to the extent that the loan sale agreement does not require cash flows to be paid to us until they reach certain levels specified in such agreement.

In the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, our cash premiums for whole loan sales, net of losses on related derivatives were 4.1% and 4.0%, respectively. In the year ended December 31, 2002, we recorded non-cash gain on sale of 1.9%. We did not record any non-cash gain on sale for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Our estimate of the fair value of our mortgage-related securities could decrease if our actual cash flows from our mortgage-related securities are different than originally estimated, or if economic factors or market analyses cause us to change the assumptions we use to value mortgage-related securities. In particular, if we increase the loss or discount rate that we apply or if we have a significant increase in our prepayment rates, the fair value of our mortgage-related securities would decrease. Any decrease in the fair value would adversely affect our results of operations and the assets we carry on our balance sheet.

Securitizations Structured as a Financing

While we currently generate the majority of our earnings and cash flows from whole loan sales, we intend to increase the percentage of our earnings and cash flows received from securitizations and other transactions in which we retain an interest in the mortgage loans that we have sold. These transactions will continue to be legally structured as sales, but for accounting purposes may be structured as a financing under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125. This portfolio-based accounting more closely matches the recognition of income with the actual receipt of cash payments. Also, such

securitization structures are consistent with our strategy to predominantly generate cash-based earnings rather than non-cash gain on sale revenue. We completed five securitizations structured as financings since the third quarter of 2002.

Mortgage Servicing Rights Valuations

We recognize as a separate asset the rights to service mortgage loans for others once such rights are contractually separated from the underlying loans. We amortize mortgage servicing rights in proportion to and over the period of the estimated net servicing income and we record these rights at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. We determine the fair value of mortgage servicing rights based upon the present value of estimated net future cash flows related to contractually specified servicing fees, which may include the rights to prepayment penalties.

Key assumptions we use to value mortgage servicing rights include prepayment speeds and discount rates. Actual prepayments generally differ from our initial estimates. If actual prepayment rates are different than we originally estimated, we may receive more or less mortgage servicing income, which could increase or decrease the value of our mortgage servicing rights. We periodically evaluate our mortgage servicing rights for impairment, which is measured as the excess of carrying value over fair value. In the event of impairment, the adjustment is recognized in our consolidated statements of operations.

When we structure securitizations as financings, we do not record any mortgage servicing rights, and since January 2002, we have not disposed of loans in a manner which requires the mortgage servicing rights to be recognized as a separate asset.

Provisions for Losses

We have provided market valuation adjustments on certain nonperforming loans, other loans we hold for sale and real estate owned. These adjustments are based upon our estimate of expected losses, calculated using loss severity and loss frequency rate assumptions, and are based upon the value that we could reasonably expect to obtain from a sale, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. An allowance for losses on securitized loans is recorded in an amount sufficient to maintain appropriate coverage for probable losses on such loans. The provision for losses also includes net losses on real estate owned. We also accrue for liabilities associated with loans sold, which we may be requested to repurchase due to breaches of representations and warranties and early payment defaults. We periodically evaluate the estimates used in calculating expected losses, and adjustments are reported in earnings. As these estimates are influenced by factors outside of our control and as uncertainty is inherent in these estimates, it is reasonably possible that they could change.

Our estimate of expected losses could increase if our actual loss experience or repurchase activity is different than originally estimated, or if economic factors change the value we could reasonably expect to obtain from a sale. In particular, if actual losses increase or if values reasonably expected to be obtained from a sale decrease, the provision for losses would increase. Any increase in the provision for losses would adversely affect our results of operations.

Interest Rate Risk, Derivatives and Hedging Strategies

We regularly originate, securitize and sell fixed and variable rate loans. We face three primary types of interest rate risk: during the period from approval of a loan application through loan funding; on our loans held for sale from the time of funding to the date of sale; and on the loans underlying our mortgage-related securities and on our securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting.

Interest rate risk exists during the period from approval of a loan application through loan funding and from the time of funding to the date of sale because the premium earned on the sale of these loans is partially contingent upon the then-current market rate of interest for loans as compared to the contractual interest rate of the loans. Our use of derivatives is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the gain on sale of loans due to changes in the current market rate of interest.

The interest rate risk on the loans underlying our mortgage-related securities and on our securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting exists because some of these loans have fixed interest rates for a period of two or three years while the rate passed through to the investors in the mortgage-related securities and the holders

48

Table of Contents

of the securitization bonds is based upon an adjustable rate. We also have interest rate risk when the loans become adjustable after their two or three year fixed rate period. This is due to the loan rates resetting every six months, subject to various caps and floors, versus the monthly reset on the rate passed through to the investors in the mortgage-related securities and holders of the securitization bonds. Our use of derivatives is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the unrealized gain (loss) on the mortgage-related securities and changes in the cash flows of our securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting due to changes in LIBOR rates.

As part of our interest rate management process, we use derivative financial instruments such as options and Eurodollar futures. In connection with two of the securitizations structured as financings, we have entered into interest rate cap agreements. We do not use derivatives to speculate on interest rates. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended and interpreted, derivative financial instruments are reported on the consolidated balance sheets at their fair value.

During 2002, we began using hedge accounting as defined by SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge our mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans to reduce the volatility in revenues due to fluctuations in interest rates. We designate certain derivative financial instruments as hedge instruments under SFAS No. 133, and at trade date, these instruments and their hedging relationship are identified, designated and documented. For derivative financial instruments designated as hedge instruments, we evaluate the effectiveness of these hedges against the mortgage loans being hedged to ensure there remains a highly effective correlation in the hedge relationship. To hedge the effect of interest rate changes on the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans, we are using derivatives as fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. Once the hedge relationship is established, the realized and unrealized changes in fair value of both the hedge instrument and mortgage loans are recognized in the period in which the changes occur. Any change in the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale recognized as a result of hedge accounting is reversed at the time we sell the mortgage loans. This results in a correspondingly higher or lower gain on sale revenue at such time. Any change in the fair value of securitized loans is amortized over the fixed rate period of the loan on a level yield basis. This results in a corresponding adjustment to interest income. The net amount recorded in the consolidated statements of operations is referred to as hedge ineffectiveness. For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, realized and unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the period in which the changes occur or when such instruments are settled.

49

Results Of Operations

The following table sets forth our results of operations as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated:

Year e	nded
--------	------

		December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003	
Revenues:				
Gain on sale of loans	68.5%	61.2%	55.4%	
Interest income	23.3	33.8	41.1	
Loan servicing income	5.3	4.2	1.8	
Net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives	2.9	0.6	1.5	
Other income	0.0	0.2	0.2	
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Expenses:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	37.1%	37.1%	25.8%	
Interest expense	13.2	13.9	14.6	
Occupancy	4.1	3.5	2.6	
Provision for losses	5.7	8.8	7.6	
Depreciation and amortization	1.4	1.3	1.1	
General and administrative expenses	13.3	11.5	10.0	
Total expenses	74.8	76.1	61.7	
Income before income taxes	25.2	23.9	38.3	
Income taxes	10.6	9.6	15.3	
Net income	14.6%	14.3%	23.0%	

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003

Executive Summary

Net income was a record \$100.0 million, or \$4.97 per diluted share, and an increase of 247% from the prior year.

This was driven by an 85% growth in origination volume, to \$8.0 billion, and a 63% growth in our serviced loans to \$3.7 billion.

Growth was achieved by increasing offices by 15 locations, and penetrating new and existing markets through 762 new employees.

Whole loan sales of \$6.1 billion resulted in gains recorded of \$241.1 million, representing an average premium of 4.0%, versus 4.1% in 2003.

Origination costs net of points and fees improved from 2.3% in 2002 to 2.1% in 2003.

With our mix of revenue increasing from the portfolio to 41% of total revenue, Accredited made progress toward its goal of establishing a more consistent stream of earnings.

Credit quality improved with lower delinquency and loss level percentages than the prior year.

50

Revenues

Total Revenues. Total revenues increased 116.8% from \$200.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$435.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase was due to increases in gain on sale of loans of \$118.2 million, interest income of \$111.1 million, and net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives of \$5.5 million.

Gain on Sale of Loans. Total gain on sale of loans increased 96.2% from \$122.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$241.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

The components of the gain on sale of loans are illustrated in the following table:

	Year Ended I	Year Ended December 31,		
	2002	2003		
	(dollars in	thousands)		
Gain on whole loan sales	\$ 140,459	\$ 253,349		
Gain on sale of loans with retained interests	1,423			
Provision for premium recapture	(1,099)	(2,995)		
Net loss on derivatives	(20,101)	(8,207)		
Origination fees, net of costs	2,195	(1,032)		
Gain on sale of loans	\$ 122,877	\$ 241,115		

Gain on whole loan sales increased 80.4% from \$140.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$253.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 due to higher sales volume in 2003. Total whole loan sales of \$6.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003 more than doubled the \$3.0 billion completed in 2002. This increase was due to an increase in loan originations of 85.0% from \$4.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$8.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003, partially offset by holding \$334.3 million of mortgage loans at December 31, 2003 for future securitizations. The average whole loan sales premium, net of losses on related derivatives, was 4.1% for the year ended December 31, 2002 and 4.0% for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Gain on sale of loans with retained interests decreased from \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to zero for the year ended December 31, 2003. We generated gain on sale of loans with retained interests pursuant to contractual commitments that we fulfilled in the first quarter of 2002. We have no current commitments to complete transactions that would result in non-cash gain on sale.

Provision for premium recapture represents our estimate of the potential refunds of premium received on loan sales during the period based upon our historical experience. Provision for premium recapture increased 172.5% from \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 compared to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase results from the increase in whole loan sales of 99.1% from \$3.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$6.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Net loss on derivatives represents the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on the derivatives purchased to manage our interest rate risk associated with our mortgage loans held for sale from the time of funding commitment to sale commitment, net of the related fair value basis adjustments recorded due to the use of hedge accounting. We enter into these transactions to offset fluctuations in interest rates that affect our premiums earned on the sale of these loans. The net loss on derivatives decreased from \$20.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This decrease results from a lower rate of decline in LIBOR rates during the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002 and the use of hedge accounting for entire year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to only five months during the year ended December 31, 2002. During August 2002, we began using hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge our mortgage loans held for sale.

Origination fees received, net of direct loan origination costs incurred, on mortgage loans on loans held for sale are deferred and recognized in the consolidated statements of operations when the related loans are sold. The origination fees, net of costs, decreased from \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 due to the decrease in origination fees, net of broker fees paid, per loan originated for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. The change from recognition of revenue to expense results from an increase in broker fees paid. The total gross origination fees received increased 81.4% from \$49.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$89.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, while the broker fees paid increased 128.6% from \$18.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$42.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Interest Income. Interest income represents the interest earned on our mortgage loans held for sale during the period prior to their sale or securitization, interest earned on securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting, the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives purchased to manage our interest rate risk associated with securitized loans, net of the related fair value basis adjustments recorded due to the use of hedge accounting and, to a lesser extent, interest accreted on our mortgage-related securities. We do not accrue interest for mortgage loans that are 90 days or more delinquent. Interest income increased 163.7% from \$67.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$179.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase in interest income was due to an increase in the average inventory of loans held for sale and securitized loans from \$851.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$2.4 billion during the year ended December 31, 2003. Our average inventory of mortgage loans increased as we increased loan production volume, completed five securitizations of \$2.0 billion of mortgage loans since July of 2002 that are accounted for as financings and intentionally extended the holding period, which was partially offset by a decrease in weighted average interest rate on the inventory of mortgage loans. Interest income of \$14.3 million and \$75.1 million was recognized on the securitized loans for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively. In the future, to the extent we continue to complete these types of securitizations, our gain on sale revenue will be lower and our interest income will be higher than it would have been otherwise.

Loan Servicing Income. Loan servicing income represents all contractual and ancillary servicing revenue for loans we service for others, net of subservicing costs, certain of our own servicing costs and amortization of mortgage servicing rights. Subservicing costs are the amounts we pay to others to service loans on our behalf. Loan servicing income decreased 8.7% from \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This decrease results from the decrease in prepayment penalties collected during the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002.

Net Gain (Loss) on Mortgage-Related Securities and Derivatives. Net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives increased 480.4% from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Net gain on mortgage-related securities decreased 2.3% from \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This gain represents the increase in fair value of the residual income from these securities. The increase for both years was due to declining interest rates and favorable cash flows versus prior expectations.

Net gain (loss) on derivatives represents the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on the derivatives purchased to manage the interest rate risk associated with our mortgage-related securities. The risk arises because the cash we receive from our mortgage-related securities is dependent on the difference between the interest rates on the underlying loans and the yield payable to the senior security holders, or payable to the purchaser of the loans in the case of a sale with retained interests. The majority of the loans underlying our mortgage-related securities have interest rates which are fixed for the first two or three years, while the yield payable to the senior interests or purchaser changes monthly based upon short-term LIBOR. Net loss on derivatives decreased 85.6% from \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 due to a lower rate of decline in LIBOR rates during the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002.

Expenses

Total Expenses. Total expenses increased 75.8% from \$152.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$268.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was the result of higher salaries, wages, and benefits expense, an increase in interest expense of \$35.7 million, an increase in provision for losses of \$15.5 million and increases in other variable operating expenses associated with growth in loans originated and serviced.

Salaries, Wages and Benefits. Salaries, wages and benefits increased 50.3% from \$74.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$112.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase was due to growth in the number of employees, as well as higher commission and bonus expenses that are variable expenses dependent upon loan production volume and profits. The total number of employees increased by 58.9% from 1,294 at December 31, 2002 to 2,056 at December 31, 2003.

Interest Expense. Interest expense represents the interest incurred on all outstanding debt and securitization bond financing, as well as other costs associated with accessing our credit facilities. Interest expense increased 127.9% from \$27.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$63.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. Our average outstanding borrowings, excluding securitization bond financing, increased from \$687.9 million to \$1.3 billion consistent with the increase in the average inventory of loans held for sale; however our average borrowing rate, excluding securitization bond financing, decreased from 3.23% for the year ended December 31, 2002 to 2.46% for the year ended December 31, 2003. Our average securitization bond financing increased from \$359.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003. For the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, interest expense of \$5.9 million and \$28.1 million, respectively, was recognized on the securitization bond financing, which has been outstanding since the second half of 2002. As we increase the number of loans we securitize in transactions structured as financings, our related bond debt will increase, which will result in an increase in our interest expense.

Occupancy. Occupancy expense increased 61.5% from \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$11.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The increase resulted from growth in the total number of office sites leased from 31 at December 31, 2002 to 46 at December 31, 2003 due to our penetration in new geographic markets, as well as an increase in square footage in some existing sites.

Provision for Losses. Provision for losses increased 87.5% from \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$33.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 due to an increase in our mortgage loan balances of \$1.7 billion and higher loss frequency assumptions at December 31, 2003 as compared to December 31, 2002. The increases in our provisions for losses were as follows: allowances for losses on securitized loans of \$11.2 million, market reserve on loans of \$6.6 million and reserve for repurchases of \$1.2 million, offset by decreases in our reserve for real estate owned of \$2.7 million and in the net losses on real estate owned of \$830,000. The increase in our reserve for repurchases results from a refinement to our reserve methodology to better incorporate estimated losses on loans sold, based upon historical data, for which no repurchase request has yet been received.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased 89.7% from \$23.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$43.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase resulted from an increase in loan origination volume, an increase in our servicing portfolio, an increase in our number of leased locations and an increase in the number of employees as compared to 2002. Loan originations increased 85.0% from \$4.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$8.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2003. Additionally, the total number of employees increased by 58.9% from 1,294 at December 31, 2002 to 2,056 at December 31, 2003.

Income Taxes. Income taxes increased 246.6% from \$19.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 to \$66.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase was a result of a 247.0% increase in income before taxes from the year ended December 31, 2002 to the year ended December 31, 2003. The two major

components of our effective tax rate are the federal corporate tax rate of 35.0% and the effective state income tax rates. We operate and pay tax in nearly every state. As our operations and profits have expanded in various states, it has created changes in our effective tax rate depending upon each particular state stax structure and rate.

Year Ended December 31, 2001 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

Revenues

Total Revenues. Total revenues increased 68.6% from \$119.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$200.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was due to increases in gain on sale of loans of \$41.3 million, interest income of \$40.1 million, and loan servicing income of \$2.1 million, offset by a decrease in net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives of \$2.3 million.

Gain on Sale of Loans. Total gain on sale of loans increased 50.5% from \$81.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$122.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The components of the gain on sale of loans are illustrated in the following table:

	Year Ended I	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002		
	(dollars in	thousands)		
Gain on whole loan sales	\$ 72,096	\$ 140,459		
Gain on sale of loans with retained interests	11,349	1,423		
Provision for premium recapture	(757)	(1,099)		
Net loss on derivatives	(194)	(20,101)		
Origination fees, net of costs	(873)	2,195		
Gain on sale of loans	\$ 81,621	\$ 122,877		

Gain on whole loan sales increased 94.8% from \$72.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$140.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 due to higher whole loan sales volume in 2002 as compared to 2001. Total whole loan sales increased 85.6% from \$1.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$3.0 billion for year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was due to increased loan originations of 85.1% from \$2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$4.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2002. The average whole loan sales premium, net of losses on related derivatives, was 4.4% for the year ended December 31, 2001 and 4.1% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Gain on sale of loans with retained interests decreased 87.5% from \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 due to a decrease in loans sold with retained interests of 74.7% from \$299.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$75.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease in gain on sale also resulted from increasing market interest rates during the warehouse financing period for these loans sold in the year ended December 31, 2002. Additionally, the lower gain on sale resulted from changes in our assumptions used to value the mortgage-related securities generated by these sales to reflect current market assumptions, principally an increase in the discount rate from a range of 12% to 15% during the year ended December 31, 2001 to 15% during the year ended December 31, 2001 to 15% during the year ended December 31, 2001 to 4.6% for the year ended December 31, 2002. We generated gain on a sale from loans with retained interests pursuant to contractual commitments which we fulfilled in the first quarter of 2002. We have no current commitments to complete transactions that would result in non-cash gain on sale. The average gain on sale for loans sold with retained interests decreased from 3.8% for the year ended December 31, 2001 to 1.9% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Provision for premium recapture represents our estimate of the potential refunds of premium received on loan sales during the period. Provision for premium recapture was \$757,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

54

Net loss on derivatives represents the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on the derivatives purchased to manage our interest rate risk associated with our mortgage loans held for sale from the time of funding commitment to sale commitment. We enter into these transactions to offset fluctuations in interest rates that affect our premiums earned on the sale of these loans. The net loss on derivatives increased from \$194,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$20.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was due to a decrease in LIBOR rates from the date the derivative was purchased through either December 31, 2002, for derivatives still outstanding, or to the date the derivative was settled. During August 2002, we began using hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge our mortgage loans held for sale. The fair value of the mortgage loans held for sale subject to hedge accounting increased by \$3.0 million at December 31, 2002. This increase in fair value was recorded to mortgage loans held for sale as an adjustment to their carrying basis at December 31, 2002 and to unrealized gain on derivatives for the year ended December 31, 2002. If hedge accounting had not been utilized, the net loss on derivatives would have been \$3.0 million higher for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Origination fees received, net of direct loan origination costs incurred, on mortgage loans on loans held for sale are deferred and recognized in the consolidated statements of operations when the related loans are sold. The origination fees, net of costs increased from \$873,000 in expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$2.2 million in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2002 due to an increase in origination volume and loan sales and an increase in origination fees, net of broker fees paid, per loan originated for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001.

Interest Income. Interest income represents the interest earned on our mortgage loans held for sale during the period prior to their sale or securitization, interest earned on securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting and, to a lesser extent, interest accreted on our mortgage-related securities. We do not accrue interest for mortgage loans that are 90 days or more delinquent. Interest income increased 144.9% from \$27.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$67.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase in interest income was due to an increase in the average inventory of loans held for sale and securitized loans from \$241.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$851.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2002. Our average inventory of mortgage loans increased as we increased loan production volume and intentionally extended the holding period, which was partially offset by a decrease in weighted average interest rate on the inventory of mortgage loans. In addition, we completed two securitizations of \$749.2 million of mortgage loans in the second half of 2002 that are accounted for as financings. Interest income of \$13.9 million was recognized on the securitized loans for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Loan Servicing Income. Loan servicing income represents all contractual and ancillary servicing revenue for loans we service for others, net of subservicing costs and amortization of mortgage servicing rights. Subservicing costs are the amounts we pay to others to service loans on our behalf. Loan servicing income increased 32.7% from \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase resulted from an increase in the loans we serviced from \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2001 to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2002, as we started to internally service a large portion of the loans previously subserviced, offset by a decrease in the related subservicing costs of \$452,000. This increase was slightly offset by an increase in the amortization of mortgage servicing rights of \$773,000 for the year ended December 31, 2002 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2001.

Net Gain (Loss) on Mortgage-Related Securities and Derivatives. Net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives decreased 66.4% from \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Net gain on mortgage-related securities increased 70.1% from \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$7.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The gains in both periods were due to declining LIBOR rates throughout the period, which effect was reduced by changes in our assumptions used to value the mortgage-related securities to reflect current market conditions, principally an increase in the cumulative loss

rate of a range of 4.6% to 4.85% at December 31, 2001 to a range of 4.82% to 5.0% at December 31, 2002. When LIBOR rates decline, the value of our mortgage-related securities increases because interest rates on some loans underlying the mortgage-related securities are fixed for the first two to three years of the loan, while the amount payable on related senior interest decreases with LIBOR, thereby increasing the net interest income we expect to receive from the mortgage-related securities.

Net gain (loss) on derivatives represents the realized and unrealized gain (loss) on the derivatives purchased to manage the interest rate risk associated with our mortgage-related securities. The risk arises because the cash we receive from our mortgage-related securities is dependent on the difference between the interest rates on the underlying loans and the yield payable to the senior security holders, or payable to the purchaser of the loans in the case of a sale with retained interests. The majority of the loans underlying our mortgage-related securities have interest rates which are fixed for the first two or three years, while the yield payable to the senior interests or purchaser changes monthly based upon short-term LIBOR. Net loss on derivatives increased from \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 due to a decrease in LIBOR rates from the date the derivative was purchased through December 31, 2002, for the derivatives still outstanding, or to the date the derivative was settled.

Expenses

Total Expenses. Total expenses increased 71.5% from \$89.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$152.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was the result of higher salaries, wages, and benefits expense, an increase in interest expense of \$12.1 million, an increase in provision for losses of \$10.9 million and increases in other variable operating expenses associated with growth in loan volume and in the number of employees.

Salaries, Wages and Benefits. Salaries, wages and benefits increased 69.2% from \$44.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$74.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was due to growth in the number of employees, as well as higher commission and bonus expenses related to loan production volume and profits. The total number of employees increased by 49.4% from 866 at December 31, 2001 to 1,294 at December 31, 2002.

Interest Expense. Interest expense represents the interest incurred on all outstanding debt and securitization bond financing, as well as other costs associated with accessing our credit facilities. Interest expense increased 77.0% for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to the year ended December 31, 2002. Our average outstanding borrowings, excluding securitization bond financing, increased from \$265.9 million to \$687.9 million consistent with the increase in the average inventory of loans held for sale; however our average borrowing rate, excluding securitization bond financing, decreased from 5.08% for the year ended December 31, 2001 to 3.23% for the year ended December 31, 2002. For the year ended December 31, 2002, interest expense of \$5.9 million was recognized on the securitization bond financing which has been outstanding since the second half of 2002.

Occupancy. Occupancy expense increased 41.4% from \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase resulted from growth in the total number of office sites leased from 27 at December 31, 2001 to 31 at December 31, 2002 due to our penetration in new geographic markets, as well as an increase in square footage in some existing sites.

Provision for Losses. Provision for losses increased 160.3% from \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 due to an increase in our provisions for: market reserve on loans of \$3.5 million, reserve for repurchases of \$380,000, reserve for real estate owned of \$1.6 million; the establishment of the allowance for losses on securitized loans of \$4.6 million; and an increase in our net losses on real estate owned of \$862,000. The increases in our market reserve on loans resulted from an increase in our

mortgage loans held for sale of \$442.1 million, an increase in our nonperforming loan portfolio and higher loss severity assumptions. Additionally, our reserve for repurchases increased due to a substantial increase in our loan

Table of Contents

origination volume and changes in market terms to longer early-payment default covenants, which resulted in an increase in our repurchase expectations. Further, we elected to repurchase certain defaulted loans from prior securitizations in order to improve and enhance the cash-flow characteristics of those securitizations.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased 45.6% from \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$23.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase resulted from an increase in loan origination volume and an increase in the number of employees, as compared to 2001.

Income Taxes. Income taxes increased 52.6% from \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 to \$19.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase was a result of a 60.1% increase in income before taxes from the year ended December 31, 2001 to the year ended December 31, 2002, partially offset by a decrease in our effective tax rate from 42.0% for the year ended December 31, 2001 to 40.0% for the year ended December 31, 2002. The two major components of our effective tax rate are the federal corporate tax rate of 35.0% and the effective state income tax rates. We operate and pay tax in nearly every state and in the District of Columbia. As our operations and profits have expanded in various states, it has created changes in our effective tax rate depending upon each particular state s structure and rate.

Liquidity And Capital Resources

As a mortgage banking company, our primary cash requirements include the funding of (1) mortgage loan originations, including principal, as well as origination costs such as commissions and broker premiums, (2) interest expense on and repayment of principal on warehouse credit facilities and securitization bond financing, (3) operational expenses, (4) servicing advances, (5) hedging margin requirements, and (6) tax payments. We fund these cash requirements with cash received from (1) loan sales, (2) borrowings under warehouse credit facilities and securitization bond financing secured by mortgage loans, (3) cash distributions from our mortgage-related securities, (4) interest collections on loans held for sale and securitized loans, (5) servicing fees and other servicing income, and (6) points and fees collected from the origination of loans.

Our liquidity strategy is to maintain sufficient and diversified warehouse credit facilities to finance our mortgage loan originations, to maintain strong relationships with a diverse group of whole loan purchasers, and to maintain the ability to execute our own securitizations. This provides us with the ability to finance our growing origination operations and to maximize our realization of the value of loans we originate. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, we had negative operating cash flows of approximately \$373.7 million, \$1.2 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, which resulted from the use of approximately \$2.3 billion, \$4.3 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively, of cash for new loan originations and purchases offset by cash proceeds from the sale of loans of \$1.9 billion, \$3.1 billion and \$6.1 billion, respectively. We intend to continue to concentrate on improving our cash flow. There can be no assurance that we will be able to achieve these goals and operate on a cash-flow neutral or cash-flow positive basis.

We use our various warehouse credit facilities to finance the actual funding of our loan originations. We then sell or, to a lesser extent, securitize our mortgage loans within three or four months of origination and pay down the warehouse credit facilities with the proceeds. At December 31, 2003, we had voluntary and recoverable warehouse line paydowns of \$82.9 million that increased our warehouse line availability by a corresponding amount. These voluntary and recoverable warehouse line paydowns of \$82.9 million plus cash of \$27.1 million brought our total liquidity to \$110.0 million at December 31, 2003. The majority of our current warehouse credit facilities are committed lines, which means that the lender is obligated to fund up to the committed amount subject to our meeting various financial and other covenants. One of our warehouse lines is, and in the future some may be, uncommitted, which means that the lender may fund the uncommitted amount at its discretion. The majority of our current warehouse credit facilities also contain a sub-limit for wet funding, which is the funding of loans for which the collateral custodian has not yet received the related loan documents. Our warehouse facilities generally have a one-year term.

Except as otherwise noted below, all of our warehouse credit facilities accrue interest at a rate based upon one-month LIBOR plus a specified spread and as of March 15, 2004 have other material terms and features as follows:

(in millions)	Inception	Cor	nmitted	Unco	mmitted		otal cility		n Available r Wet	Expiration
Warehouse Lender	Date	A	mount	Ar	nount	Ar	Amount Funding		ınding	Date
Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB and										
Affiliate	1997	\$	500	\$		\$	500	\$	110	July 30, 2005
Residential Funding Corporation	1999	\$	300	\$		\$	300	\$	150	January 31, 2005
Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Mortgage										•
Capital Inc.	2001	\$	500	\$		\$	500	\$	100	May 1, 2004
Household Commercial Financial										
Services, Inc.	2001	\$	40	\$		\$	40	\$	40	July 31, 2004
CDC Mortgage Capital, Inc.	2002	\$	325	\$		\$	325	\$	130	October 6, 2004
Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage										
Capital LLC	2002	\$	150	\$	150	\$	300	\$	60	November 12, 2004
Goldman Sachs Mortgage	2003	\$	400	\$		\$	400	\$		April 21, 2004
Merrill Lynch Mortgage Company	2003	\$	300	\$		\$	300	\$	120	October 8, 2004
		_				_				
Total		\$	2,515	\$	150	\$	2,665	\$	710	

Most of our credit facilities include sublimits for aged and delinquent loans, as well as for REO (properties acquired through foreclosure of defaulted mortgage loans or through deeds in lieu of foreclosure).

Our warehouse and other credit facilities contain customary covenants including minimum liquidity, profitability and net worth requirements, and limitations on other indebtedness. If we fail to comply with any of these covenants or otherwise default under a facility, the lender has the right to terminate the facility and require immediate repayment that may require sale of the collateral at less than optimal terms. In addition, if we default under one facility, it would generally trigger a default under our other facilities.

Subject to the various uncertainties described above, and assuming that we will be able to successfully execute our liquidity strategy, we anticipate that our liquidity, credit facilities and capital resources will be sufficient to fund our operations for the foreseeable future.

Market Risk

Market risks generally represent the risk of loss that may result from the potential change in the value of a financial instrument due to fluctuations in interest and foreign exchange rates and in equity and commodity prices. Our market risk relates primarily to interest rate fluctuations. We may be directly affected by the level of and fluctuations in interest rates, which affect the spread between the rate of interest received on our mortgage loans and the related financing rate. Our profitability could be adversely affected during any period of unexpected or rapid changes in interest rates, by impacting the value of loans held for sale and loans sold with retained interests. A significant change in interest rates could also change the level of loan prepayments, thereby adversely affecting our long-term net interest income and servicing income.

The objective of interest rate risk management is to control the effects that interest rate fluctuations have on the value of our assets and liabilities. Our management of interest rate risk is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the unrealized gain (loss) on the mortgage-related securities and the market value of loans held for sale due to changes in the current market rate of interest.

We use several tools and risk management strategies to monitor and address interest rate risk. Such tools allow us to monitor and evaluate our exposure to these and to manage the risk profile to our loan portfolio in response to changes in the market risk. We cannot assure you, however, that we will adequately offset all risks associated with our loan portfolio.

58

As part of our interest rate management process, we may use derivative financial instruments such as financial futures and options, interest rate caps and swaps and financial forwards. We designate certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge our mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting as hedge instruments under SFAS No. 133. At trade date, these instruments and their hedge relationship are identified, designated and documented. For derivative financial instruments designated as hedge instruments, we evaluate the effectiveness of these hedges against the mortgage loans being hedged to ensure that there remains a highly effective correlation in the hedge relationship. Since our concern with interest rates is the potential change in fair market value of the loans, we treat these as fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. Once the hedge relationship is established, the realized and unrealized changes in fair value of both the hedge instruments and mortgage loans are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the changes occur. The net amount recorded in the consolidated statements of operations is referred to as hedge ineffectiveness.

For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, realized and unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the changes occur or when such instruments are settled.

Interest Rate Simulation Sensitivity Analysis

Changes in market interest rates affect our estimations of the fair value of our mortgage loans held for sale and the fair value of our mortgage-related securities and related derivatives. Changes in fair value that are stated below are derived based upon immediate and equal changes to market interest rates of various maturities. The base or current interest rate curve is adjusted by the levels shown below:

As of December 31, 2003:

	+50 bp	+100 bp	-50 bp	-100 bp
	¢ (12.005.717)	f (27.72(4(2)	¢ 14.250.606	ф. 20 700 170
Change in fair value of mortgage loans committed and held for sale	\$ (13,995,717)	\$ (27,736,463)	\$ 14,258,696	\$ 28,789,178
Change in fair value of derivatives related to mortgage loans	44.050.550		(44.000.000	(22 -22 -20)
committed and held for sale	11,358,750	22,667,500	(11,338,750)	(22,727,500)
Change in fair value of mortgage-related securities and securitized				
loans subject to portfolio-based accounting	(9,684,342)	(19,165,169)	9,881,263	19,954,655
Change in fair value of derivatives related to mortgage-related securities and securitized loans subject to portfolio-based				
accounting	9,092,413	18,306,260	(8,973,079)	(17,833,194)
Net Change	\$ (3,228,896)	\$ (5,927,872)	\$ 3,828,130	\$ 8,183,139

As of December 31, 2002:

	+50 bp	+100 bp	-50 bp	-100 bp
Change in fair value of mortgage loans committed and held for sale Change in fair value of derivatives related to mortgage loans	\$ (8,200,836)	\$ (16,249,703)	\$ 8,357,680	\$ 16,877,323
committed and held for sale	5,825,000	11,650,000	(5,825,000)	(11,650,000)

Change in fair value of mortgage-related securities and securitized				
loans subject to portfolio-based accounting	(6,321,095)	(12,469,774)	6,505,369	13,212,402
Change in fair value of derivatives related to mortgage-related				
securities and securitized loans subject to portfolio-based accounting	3,860,383	7,761,212	(3,793,863)	(7,592,968)
Net Change	\$ (4,836,548)	\$ (9,308,265)	\$ 5,244,186	\$ 10,846,757

The simulation analysis reflects our efforts to balance the repricing characteristics of our interest-earning assets and supporting funds.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2003, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

	Payments Due by Period						
			More than				
	Total	1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years		
(in thousands)							
Securitization bond financing	\$ 1,724,389	\$ 360,753	\$ 863,479	\$ 264,620	\$ 235,537		
Warehouse and residual credit facilities	1,515,195	1,515,195					
Capital lease obligations	12	12					
Operating lease obligations	31,937	7,506	14,445	7,691	2,295		
Purchase obligations							
Other long-term liability reflected on the registrant s balance sheet under GAAP							
Total	\$ 3,271,533	\$ 1,883,466	\$ 877,924	\$ 272,311	\$ 237,832		

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements

We are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our borrowers. These financial instruments primarily represent commitments to individual borrowers to fund their loans. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of interest rate risk and credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial position. We seek to mitigate the credit risk by evaluating the creditworthiness of potential mortgage loan borrowers on a case-by-case basis. We do not guarantee interest rates to potential borrowers when an application is received. Interest rates conditionally approved following the initial underwriting of applications are subject to adjustment if any conditions are not satisfied. We commit to originating loans, in many cases dependent upon the borrower s satisfying various conditions. These commitments totaled \$136.2 million and \$177.3 million as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

Inflation

Inflation affects us most significantly in the area of loan originations by affecting interest rates. Interest rates normally increase during periods of high inflation (or in periods when the Federal Reserve Bank attempts to prevent inflation) and decrease during periods of low inflation.

Newly Issued Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, was issued in December 2002 and amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements about the method used on reported results. The provisions of SFAS No. 148 are effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, and for financial reports containing condensed financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. We have not determined whether we will adopt the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation in future years, but have provided the required disclosures.

60

Table of Contents

The FASB issued Interpretation (FIN) No. 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees and Indebtedness of Others, an interpretation of SFAS Nos. 5, 57 and 107, and rescission of FIN No. 34, Disclosure of Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, in November 2002. FIN No. 45 elaborates on the disclosures to be made by the guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also requires that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, while the provisions of the disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. We adopted the standard on January 1, 2003 and the adoption of such interpretation did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In December 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN No. 46R requires that variable interest entities be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity s activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity s residual returns or both. FIN No. 46R also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that companies are not required to consolidate but in which a company has a significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements must be adopted no later than the beginning of the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after March 15, 2004. The adoption of FIN No. 46R is not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* and is effective for hedging relationships entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. We adopted the standard as of July 1, 2003, as required, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity*, which establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope, which may have previously been reported as equity, as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). This statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, except for mandatory redeemable financial instruments of nonpublic companies. We adopted the standard as of July 1, 2003, as required, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

61

Quarterly Results of Operations

The following data was derived from unaudited consolidated financial information for each of the eight quarters ended December 31, 2003. Such information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report and, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the information for the periods presented. This information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes. The operating results in any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period.

Three Months Ended

	March 31, 2002	June 30, 2002	Sept	tember 30, 2002	Dece	ember 31, 2002		arch 31, 2003	_	ine 30, 2003	Sept	2003	Dec	ember 31, 2003	
		· 		(i	n thous	sands, exce	pt per	r share an	ounts	s)				_	
Revenues:															
Gain on sale of loans	\$ 26,643	\$ 23,974	\$	40,209	\$	32,051	\$	43,171		62,909	\$	65,237	\$	69,798	
Interest income	8,559	12,945		19,828		26,529		32,297		40,317		47,870		58,498	
Loan servicing income	1,992	2,200		1,994		2,185		1,882		1,928		1,979		1,856	
Net gain (loss) on mortgage-related															
securities and derivatives	199	1,716		(923)		162		1,399		2,989		1,051		1,259	
Other income	91	32	_	353	_	40		391		94	_	98		199	
Total revenues	37,484	40,867		61,461		60,967		79,140	1	.08,237		116,235		131,610	
			_		_		_		_		_		_		
Expenses:															
Salaries, wages and															
benefits	14,454	16,772		20,636		22,802		23,902		26,921		28,700		32,716	
Interest expense	3,700	5,051		8,007		11,133		12,167		14,845		16,616		19,934	
Occupancy	1,468	1,564		1,923		1,994		2,356		2,507		3,101		3,261	
Provision for losses	3,486	1,276		5,539		7,368		6,508		9,871		6,537		10,213	
Depreciation and	·	·										·			
amortization	523	608		699		765		1,117		966		1,260		1,511	
General and															
administrative expenses	4,716	5,022		5,862		7,416		8,407		10,158		10,938		14,148	
			_				_								
Total expenses	28,347	30,293		42,666		51,478		54,457		65,268		67,152		81,783	
Total expenses	26,347	30,293	_	42,000	_	31,476	_	34,437	_	03,208	_	07,132	_	61,765	
Income before income															
taxes	9,137	10,574		18,795		9,489		24,683		42,969		49.083		49,827	
Income taxes	3,648	4,243		7,511		3,796		9,873		17,187		19,634		19,853	
					_				_				_		
Net income	\$ 5,489	\$ 6,331	\$	11,284	\$	5,693	\$	14,810	\$	25,782	\$	29,449	\$	29,974	
									_				_		
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.96	\$ 1.10	\$	1.95	\$	0.98	\$	1.19	\$	1.34	\$	1.50	\$	1.51	
Diluted earnings per	_	_		·		<u> </u>						-			
share	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.43	\$	0.77	\$	0.39	\$	0.85	\$	1.25	\$	1.40	\$	1.41	

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See discussion under Market Risk in ITEM 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003 and the Independent Auditors Report of Deloitte & Touche LLP, are included in this Form 10-K on pages F-1 through F-37.

ITEM 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a - 15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

63

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Except as set forth in the next sentence, the information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the information under the captions Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance contained in the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Company s 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement). Information regarding executive officers is set forth in Item 1 of Part I of this Report under the caption Executive Officers.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement under the heading Executive Compensation and Other Matters.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement under the headings Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Proposal No. 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
 - 1. Financial Statements

	Page
Independent Auditors Report	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All such schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is provided elsewhere.

3. Exhibits

For a list of exhibits filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K, refer to the Exhibit Index beginning on page 67.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Report on Form 8-K dated October, 2003 attaching the Company s September 30, 2003 press release regarding the Company s financial results for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2003.

(c) Exhibits

For a list of exhibits filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K, refer to the Exhibit Index beginning on page 67.

(d) Financial Statement Schedules

All such schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is provided elsewhere.

65

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 25, 2004

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO.

BY: /s/ James A. Konrath

James A. Konrath
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints James A. Konrath and Ray W. McKewon, and each of them, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities to sign any and all amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or their or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ James A. Konrath	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	March 25, 2004
James A. Konrath	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ John S. Buchanan	Chief Financial Officer	March 25, 2004
John S. Buchanan	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ RAY W. McKewon	Executive Vice President, Secretary and Director	March 25, 2004
Ray W. McKewon	•	
/s/ James H. Berglund	Director	March 25, 2004

James H. Berglund		
/s/ Gary M. Erickson	Director	March 25, 2004
Gary M. Erickson		
/s/ Jody A. Gunderson	Director	March 25, 2004
Jody A. Gunderson		
/s/ Richard T. Pratt	Director	March 25, 2004

Richard T. Pratt

EXHIBIT INDEX

$2.1^{(1)}$	Agreement and Plan of Merger
$3.1^{(2)}$	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.
$3.2^{(2)}$	Bylaws of the Registrant.
$4.1^{(2)}$	Specimen Common Stock Certificate.
$4.2^{(3)}$	Second Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement.
10.1(4)	1998 Stock Option Plan of AHL and standard forms of agreement.
$10.2^{(3)}$	1995 Stock Option Plan of AHL and standard forms of agreement.
$10.3^{(3)}$	1995 Executive Stock Option Plan of AHL and standard forms of agreement.
10.4 ⁽⁵⁾	2002 Stock Option Plan of the Registrant.
$10.5^{(2)}$	2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of the Registrant.
$10.6^{(5)}$	Senior Management Incentive Plan of AHL, effective for 2002.
$10.7^{(5)}$	Executive Management Incentive Plan of AHL, effective for 2002.
$10.8^{(5)}$	Form of Indemnity Agreement to be entered into between the Registrant and each of its executive officers and directors.
10.9(6)	Warehouse Credit, Term Loan and Security Agreement between AHL and Residential Funding Corporation dated as of March 17, 1999, and as amended.
$10.10^{(6)}$	Loan and Security Agreement between AHL and Residential Funding Corporation dated as of March 17, 1999, and as amended.
$10.11^{(6)}$	Floating-Rate Convertible Debenture issued to Residential Funding Corporation on March 17, 1999.
10.12 ⁽⁵⁾	Office Lease between AHL and MBL Life Assurance Corporation, dated August 29, 1995 and as amended through October 19, 1999.
10.13 ⁽⁵⁾	Carmel Mountain Distribution Center Multi-Tenant Industrial Net Lease between AHL and Calwest Industrial Properties, LLC, dated October 17, 2001 and as amended March 18, 2002.
$10.14^{(5)}$	Promissory Note issued by Joseph J. Lydon to AHL on June 13, 2002.
$10.15^{(5)}$	Secured Promissory Note issued by Joseph J. Lydon to AHL on August 1, 2001.
$10.16^{(5)}$	Stock Pledge Agreement between Joseph J. Lydon and AHL, dated August 1, 2001.
$10.17^{(5)}$	Stock Redemption Agreement among James A. Konrath, Ray W. McKewon and AHL, dated June 1, 1998.
$10.18^{(5)}$	Stock Redemption Agreement among James A. Konrath, Ray W. McKewon and AHL, dated August 1, 1999.
$10.19^{(5)}$	Supplemental Compensation Letter to Joseph J. Lydon dated August 1, 2001.
$10.20^{(2)}$	Deferred Compensation Plan.
10.21(2)	Deferred Compensation Plan Trust Agreement.
10.22 ⁽⁶⁾	Stock Purchase Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement, attached as Exhibit A thereto, by and between the Registrant and FBR Asset Investment Corporation.
21.1(3)	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

67

Table of Contents

23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
24.1	Power of Attorney (See page 67).
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)

- (1) Filed with Form 10-k for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- (2) Filed with amendment number 3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91644) dated November 12, 2002.
- (3) Filed with amendment number 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91644) dated August 20, 2002.
- (4) Filed with amendment number 4 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91644) dated November 26, 2002.
- (5) Filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91644) dated July 1, 2002.
- (6) Filed with amendment number 6 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-91644) dated January 21, 2003.

68

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors Report	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2003	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

F-1

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. and subsidiary (the Company) as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. and subsidiary as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

March 15, 2004

San Diego, California

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,		
	2002	2003	
	(dollars in	thousands)	
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,300	\$ 27,119	
Restricted cash		209	
Mortgage loans held for sale, net of market reserve of \$6,885 and \$12,213, respectively	972,349	1,615,994	
Securitized loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$4,550 and \$19,890, respectively	738,917	1,751,318	
Mortgage-related securities, at fair value	8,356	3,692	
Mortgage servicing rights, net	3,116	1,119	
Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net	8,794	20,674	
Other receivables	31,962	44,911	
Deferred income tax asset	17,075	16,052	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	10,736	20,329	
TOTAL	\$ 1,802,605	\$ 3,501,417	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
LIABILITIES:			
Warehouse and residual interest credit facilities (including \$153,726 with a related party at December 31,			
2002)	\$ 962,285	\$ 1,515,195	
Securitization bond financing	732,823	1,724,389	
Convertible debt with a related party	3,000	1,721,307	
Income taxes payable	6,423	2,949	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	34,952	46,661	
payable and action incomes		.0,001	
Total liabilities	1,739,483	3,289,194	
Total natimites	1,739,403	3,209,194	
Redeemable, convertible preferred stock: Series A, \$.001 par value; authorized 5,113,334 shares; issued			
and outstanding 5,113,334 shares at December 31, 2002 and zero at December 31, 2003; redeemable at	5 110		
\$1.00 per share after January 1, 2000 (liquidating preference of \$1.00 per share)	5,113		
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 17)			
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:			
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding			
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 5,833,873 shares at			
December 31, 2002 and 20,366,314 shares at December 31, 2003 (including 326,113 of restricted stock			
awarded under the deferred compensation plan)	6	20	
Additional paid-in capital	2,351	61,585	
Note receivable for common stock	(1,250)	(1,250	
Unearned compensation	(574)	(5,623	
Retained earnings	57,476	157,491	
Total stockholders equity	58,009	212,223	

TOTAL \$ 1,802,605 \$ 3,501,417

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2001	2002	2003	
		lollars in thousar	nds)	
REVENUES:				
Gain on sale of loans (including \$12,270, \$1,396 and \$2,834, respectively, with a related party)	\$ 81,621	\$ 122,877	\$ 241,115	
Interest income	27,714	67,861	178,982	
Loan servicing income	6,308	8,371	7,645	
Net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives	3,433	1,154	6,698	
Other income	16	516	782	
Total revenues	119,092	200,779	435,222	
EXPENSES:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	44,139	74,664	112,239	
Interest expense (including \$6,945, \$5,338 and \$597, respectively, with a related party)	15,761	27,891	63,562	
Occupancy	4,915	6,949	11,225	
Provision for losses	6,787	17,669	33,129	
Depreciation and amortization	1,699	2,595	4,854	
General and administrative expenses	15,809	23,016	43,651	
F				
Total expenses	89,110	152,784	268,660	
Total expenses			200,000	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	29,982	47,995	166,562	
INCOME TAXES	12,583	19,198	66,547	
INCOME TAXES	12,363	19,196	00,547	
NET INCOME	¢ 17.200	¢ 29.707	¢ 100 015	
NET INCOME	\$ 17,399	\$ 28,797	\$ 100,015	
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 3.36	\$ 4.99	\$ 5.61	
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.98	\$ 4.97	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
BASIC	5,175	5,776	17,825	
Dittie	3,173	5,770	17,023	
	40.0:-	41.65	20.177	
DILUTED	13,343	14,629	20,108	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Common Stock		Note Receivable				
			Additional Paid-in	for Common	Unearned	Retained	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Stock	Compensation	Earnings	Total
				(dollars in thous	ands)		
BALANCE, JANUARY 1, 2001	4,811,053	\$ 5	\$ 127	\$ (16)	\$	\$ 11,653	\$ 11,769
Issuance of common stock for							
options exercised	1,127,352	1	1,334	(1,250)			85
Repurchase of common stock	(250,000)					(373)	(373)
Stock compensation			124		(124)		
Amortization of unearned							
compensation					11		11
Net income						17,399	17,399
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31,							
2001	5,688,405	6	1,585	(1,266)	(113)	28,679	28,891
Issuance of common stock for							
options exercised	145,468		141				141
Stock compensation			625		(625)		
Amortization of unearned							
compensation					164		164
Payment of note receivable				16		20.707	16
Net income						28,797	28,797
DALANCE DECEMBED 21		<u> </u>					
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31,	5 022 072		0.251	(1.250)	(574)	57.476	50,000
2002	5,833,873	6	2,351	(1,250)	(574)	57,476	58,009
Issuance of common stock for options exercised	517,546	1	864				865
Issuance of common stock in	317,340	1	004				803
initial public offering and							
concurrent private placement, net							
of offering costs	5,653,712	6	38,288				38,294
Conversion of preferred stock,	0,000,712		20,200				50,27
convertible debt and warrants to							
common stock	7,631,191	7	8,106				8,113
Issuance of common stock in the							
employee stock purchase plan	403,879		4,045				4,045
Stock compensation related to							
non-employee stock options			434		(434)		
Amortization of unearned							
compensation					282		282
Issuance and amortization of							
restricted stock net of forfeited							
shares	326,113		5,415		(4,897)		518
Tax benefit for disqualifying			2.002				2.005
stock dispositions			2,082				2,082

Net income						100,015	100,015
DALANCE DECEMBED 21							
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2003	20 266 214	\$ 20	\$ 61.585	\$ (1.250)	¢ (5.602)	\$ 157.491	\$ 212,223
2003	20,366,314	\$ 20	\$ 01,383	\$ (1,230)	\$ (5,623)	\$ 137,491	\$ 212,223

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year	Ended	December 31,
1 041	Liiucu	December 51,

	2001	2002	2003
)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 17,399	\$ 28,797	\$ 100,015
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	1,699	2,595	4,854
Amortization of unearned compensation	11	164	800
Loss on disposal of furniture, fixtures, and equipment		212	120
Mortgage loans originated, net of fees	(2,327,578)	(4,315,763)	(7,991,250)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans held for sale, net of fees	1,923,906	3,111,677	6,065,290
Collection of principal payments on mortgage loans held for sale	6,696	10,969	33,807
Net change in fair value hedge basis adjustment on mortgage loans held for sale and securitized			
loans		(9,575)	(6,359)
Amortization of net deferred origination fees on securitized loans		(135)	(671)
Additions to mortgage-related securities	(8,765)	(820)	
Cash received on mortgage-related securities	20,830	26,457	13,412
Net unrealized gain on mortgage-related securities	(4,609)	(7,842)	(7,658)
Accretion of mortgage-related securities	(3,867)	(3,861)	(793)
Additions to mortgage servicing rights	(2,584)	(603)	
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights	1,540	2,313	1,997
Provision for losses	6,787	17,669	33,129
Deferred income taxes	(7,863)	(10,626)	1,023
Income tax deduction for disqualifying stock dispositions			2,082
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Other receivables	(7,075)	(16,692)	(12,949)
Other assets	3,522	(2,833)	266
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	4,825	10,880	(797)
Income taxes payable	1,468	2,203	(3,474)
Net cash used in operating activities	(373,658)	(1,154,814)	(1,767,156)
Net eash used in operating activities	(373,038)	(1,134,814)	(1,707,130)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures	(2,501)	(7,115)	(16,854)
Principal payments on securitized loans		5,844	212,611
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,501)	(1,271)	195,757
rect cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,301)	(1,271)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net proceeds from warehouse credit facilities	385,961	423,406	559,769
Proceeds from borrowings on residual interest credit facility	20,654	766	
Payments of borrowings on residual interest credit facility	(16,913)	(8,950)	(6,859)
Proceeds from issuance of securitization bond financing		749,223	1,235,397
Payments of securitization bond financing		(11,675)	(243,831)
Change in restricted cash		·	(209)
Payments on capital leases	(433)	(332)	(253)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	85	141	865
Proceeds from issuance of stock under ESPP			4,045
Proceeds from payments on note receivable for common stock		16	
Payments for repurchase of stock	(373)		
•	` '		

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Net proceeds from initial public offering and concurrent private placement					38,294
		_		_	
Net cash provided by financing activities	388,981		1,152,595		1,587,218
	 	_		_	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	12,822		(3,490)		15,819
BEGINNING BALANCE, CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	1,968		14,790		11,300
	 			_	
ENDING BALANCE, CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$ 14,790	\$	11,300	\$	27,119
				_	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Cash paid during the year for:					
Interest	\$ 14,800	\$	23,425	\$	55,420
Income taxes	\$ 11,115	\$	16,995	\$	67,622
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Transfer of loans held for sale to securitized loans	\$	\$	749,215	\$	1,236,187
Transfer of loans held for sale to real estate owned, included in other assets	\$ 3,881	\$	4,941	\$	9,858
Transfer of mortgage related securities to other liabilities	\$	\$		\$	297
Capital lease obligations incurred to purchase equipment	\$ 471	\$		\$	
Issuance of common stock with note receivable	\$ 1,250	\$		\$	
Unearned compensation	\$ 124	\$	625	\$	434
Deferred compensation	\$	\$		\$	5,415
Conversion of convertible debt to common stock	\$	\$		\$	3,000
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$		\$	5,113

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR EACH OF THE THREE YEARS IN THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

1. THE COMPANY AND A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Accredited Home Lenders Holding Co. (AHLHC), a Delaware corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiary Accredited Home Lenders, Inc. (AHL) (collectively the Company). The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

On February 14, 2003, AHLHC completed an initial public offering (the Offering) whereby 9,650,000 shares of its common stock (of which 4,493,022 shares were offered by AHLHC) were sold to the public resulting in gross proceeds of \$35.9 million to AHLHC. In addition, AHLHC sold 510,697 shares of its common stock in a concurrent private placement resulting in gross proceeds of \$3.8 million to AHLHC. Concurrently, 5,893,546 shares of common stock of AHLHC were issued in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of AHL as part of a reorganization whereby AHL became a wholly owned subsidiary of AHLHC. The acquisition of AHL has been accounted for at historical cost in a manner similar to a pooling of interests, and the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the reorganization had occurred as of the first day of the earliest period presented herein. The consolidated financial position and results of operations of the Company for the periods prior to the date of the reorganization consist of those of AHL.

On March 18, 2003, the underwriters of the Company s Offering purchased an additional 907,500 shares of common stock (of which 649,993 shares were offered by the Company) at \$7.44 per share upon partial exercise of their over-allotment option pursuant to the Offering, resulting in gross proceeds of \$4.8 million to the Company.

General The Company engages in the business of originating, financing, selling, securitizing and servicing non-prime mortgage loans secured by residential real estate. The Company focuses on borrowers who may not meet conforming underwriting guidelines because of higher loan-to-value ratios, the nature or absence of income documentation, limited credit histories, high levels of consumer debt, or past credit difficulties. The Company originates loans primarily based upon the borrower s willingness and ability to repay the loan and the adequacy of the collateral.

Restricted Cash Cash held on behalf of employees for the Employee Stock Purchase Plan and flexible spending accounts is classified as restricted cash.

Cash and Cash Equivalents For purposes of financial statement presentation, the Company considers all liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Transfers of Financial Assets Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee has the right

(free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through either (a) an agreement that entitles and obligates the Company to repurchase or redeem them before their maturity or (b) the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return specific assets.

Mortgage Banking Activities The Company primarily derives its revenue from the sale of loans to various third-party investors under purchase and sale agreements. Loan sales may be either on a servicing retained or

F-7

released basis, and may take the form of a securitization. The Company may also retain interest-only strips or the right to other excess cash flows. Gains or losses resulting from loan sales are recognized at the time of sale, based on the difference between the net sales proceeds, including retained interests, and the allocated book value of the loans sold. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the Company sold approximately \$1.6 billion, \$3.0 billion and \$6.1 billion, respectively, of loans with mortgage servicing rights released.

In the past, the Company has entered into securitizations structured as sales and retained a residual interest. The Company also sold loans to a third-party securitizer and retained the right to receive future payments based upon certain excess cash flows (primarily excess interest or prepayment penalties) generated by such loans. The revenue recognized from such transactions included an adjustment for such residual interests or excess cash flows (collectively, mortgage-related securities) based upon the net present value of the amount expected to be received from the underlying loans less amounts paid to investors, estimated credit losses, servicing fees, as well as mortgage insurance fees, guarantee fees, and trustee fees for the securitizations. The net present value of the mortgage-related securities is determined based on assumptions for loan prepayments, defaults and other factors that market participants would demand for such financial instruments.

The Company measures mortgage-related securities like debt securities classified as trading securities under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. Accordingly, mortgage-related securities are recorded at fair value with any unrealized gains or losses recorded in the results of operations in the period of change in fair value. The Company determines the fair value of mortgage-related securities at origination and at each reporting period by discounting the estimated net future cash flows using assumptions that market participants would use to estimate fair value, including assumptions about interest rates, credit losses, and prepayment speeds. Effective June 30, 2002, the Company projects estimated losses in valuing mortgage-related securities using a loss curve based upon industry and the Company s experience as to the timing and severity of losses. Prior to June 30, 2002, the Company projected estimated losses based upon a constant periodic loss factor. The impact of this change was not significant to the overall valuation of the Company s mortgage-related securities. To the Company s knowledge, there is no active market for the sale of such mortgage-related securities; accordingly, the Company s estimate of fair value is subjective.

In the ordinary course of business, an investor may request that the Company refund a portion of the premium paid on the sale of mortgage loans if a loan is prepaid in full within a certain amount of time from the date of sale. The Company records a reserve for estimated premium recapture on loans sold, which is charged to gain on sale of loans.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost (including hedge basis adjustments) or market. Market is determined by current investor commitments or, in the absence of such commitments, upon current investor commitments for loans of similar credit quality. Market valuation reserves have been provided on certain non-performing loans and other loans held for sale based upon the Company s estimate of expected losses, generally based on the Company s loss history for such loans. Valuation adjustments are charged against operations. The market reserve at December 31, 2002 and 2003 was \$6,885,000 and \$12,213,000, respectively.

Securitized Loans and Securitization Bond Financing During 2002 and 2003, the Company completed a total of five securitizations totaling \$2.0 billion structured as financings under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement No. 125.

These securitizations are structured legally as sales, but for accounting purposes are treated as financings under SFAS No. 140. These securitizations do not meet the qualifying special purpose entity criteria under SFAS No. 140 and related interpretations because after the loans are securitized, the securitization trusts may acquire derivatives relating to beneficial interests retained by the Company and, the Company, as servicer, subject to applicable contractual provisions, has discretion, consistent with prudent mortgage servicing practices, to

F-8

determine whether to sell or work out any loans securitized through the securitization trusts that become troubled. Accordingly, the loans remain on the balance sheet (referred to as securitized loans), retained interests are not created, and securitization bond financing replaces the warehouse debt originally associated with the securitized loans. The Company records interest income on securitized loans and interest expense on the bonds issued in the securitizations over the life of the securitizations. Deferred debt issuance costs and discount related to the bonds are amortized on a level yield basis over the estimated life of the bonds.

The Company periodically evaluates the need for or the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on its securitized loans. Provision for loan losses on securitized loans is made in an amount sufficient to maintain credit loss allowances at a level considered appropriate to cover probable losses in such portfolio. The Company defines a loan as impaired at the time the loan becomes 90 days or more delinquent under its payment terms. Probable losses are determined based on segmenting the portfolio relating to their contractual delinquency status and applying the Company s historical loss experience. The Company also uses other analytical tools to determine the reasonableness of the allowance for loan losses. Loss estimates are reviewed periodically and adjustments are reported in earnings. As these estimates are influenced by factors outside of the Company s control, there is uncertainty inherent in these estimates, making it reasonably possible that they could change. Carrying values are written down to fair value when the loan is foreclosed or deemed uncollectible.

Mortgage Servicing Rights The Company recognizes as a separate asset the rights to service mortgage loans for others once such rights are contractually separated from the underlying loans. Mortgage servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of the estimated net servicing income and are recorded at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is determined based on the present value of estimated net future cash flows related to contractually specified servicing fees, which may include the rights to prepayment penalties. Assumptions used to value mortgage servicing rights are consistent with those used to value mortgage-related securities.

The Company periodically evaluates mortgage servicing rights for impairment, which is measured as the excess of cost over fair value. This review is performed on a disaggregated basis based on loan type using prepayment assumptions ranging from 20.0% to 67.5% and a discount rate of 15% at both December 31, 2002 and 2003. Impairment, if it occurs, is recognized in a valuation allowance for each pool in the period of impairment. No impairment was identified as of December 31, 2002 and 2003.

Derivative Financial Instruments As part of the Company s interest rate management process, the Company uses derivative financial instruments such as Eurodollar futures and options. In connection with two of the securitizations structured as financings, the Company entered into interest rate cap agreements. It is not the Company s policy to use derivatives to speculate on interest rates. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended and interpreted, derivative financial instruments are reported on the consolidated balance sheets at their fair value.

The Company designates certain derivative financial instruments as hedge instruments under SFAS No. 133, and, at trade date, these instruments and their hedging relationship are identified, designated and documented. For derivative financial instruments designated as hedge instruments, the Company evaluates the effectiveness of these hedges against the mortgage loans being hedged to ensure that there remains adequate correlation in the hedge relationship. To hedge the adverse effect of interest rate changes on the fair market value of mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans, the Company is using derivatives as fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. Once the hedge relationship is established, the realized and unrealized changes in fair value of both the hedge instruments and mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans are recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the changes occur. Any change in the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale recognized as a result of hedge accounting is reversed at the time the mortgage loans are sold. This results in a correspondingly higher or lower gain on sale of loans at such time. Any change in the fair value of securitized loans is amortized over the fixed rate period of the loan on a level yield basis. This results in a corresponding adjustment to interest income. The net amount recorded in the consolidated statement of operations is referred to as hedge ineffectiveness.

For derivative financial instruments not designated as hedge instruments, realized and unrealized changes in fair value are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the changes occur.

Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment Furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives, primarily two to five years. Amortization of leasehold improvements is provided on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the remaining lease term. Projects in process represent software development costs capitalized in accordance with Statement of Position 98-1, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use. These projects are not yet substantially complete and ready for their intended use, and therefore no depreciation has been taken. These amounts will be reclassified to the proper furniture, fixtures and equipment category upon their substantial completion and depreciated over the estimated useful life. The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment annually or when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. An asset is considered impaired when the expected undiscounted cash flows over the remaining useful life are less than the net book value. When impairment is indicated for an asset, the amount of impairment loss is the excess of the net book value over its fair value.

Loan Origination Costs and Fees Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred as an adjustment to the carrying value of the loans. These fees and costs are recognized upon sale of loans to third-party investors or amortized over the life of the loan on a level yield basis for securitized loans.

Provision for Losses Market valuation adjustments have been provided on certain nonperforming loans, other loans held for sale and real estate owned. These adjustments are based on the Company's estimate of expected losses, calculated using loss severity and loss frequency rate assumptions, and are based on the value that the Company could reasonably expect to obtain from a sale, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Provision for losses on securitized loans is recorded in an amount sufficient to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered appropriate to cover probable losses on such loans. Provision for losses also includes net losses on real estate owned. The Company accrues liabilities associated with loans sold which may be required to be repurchased due to breaches of representations and warranties and early payment defaults. The Company periodically evaluates the estimates used in calculating expected losses and adjustments are reported in earnings. As these estimates are influenced by factors outside of the Company's control and as uncertainty is inherent in these estimates, it is reasonably possible that they could change. Provision for losses for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000, and 2001 includes a total of approximately \$800,000 related to an employee misappropriating Company funds in concert with third parties. See Note 17.

Interest Income Interest income is recorded as earned. Interest income represents the interest earned on loans held for sale during the period prior to their securitization or sale, securitized loans, mortgage-related securities and cash equivalents. The Company does not accrue interest on loans that are 90 days or more delinquent. In addition, the Company calculates an effective yield based on the carrying amount of the mortgage-related securities and the Company s then-current estimates of future cash flows, and the Company recognizes accretion income, which is included as a component of interest income.

Loan Servicing and Other Fees Fees for servicing loans are credited to income when received. Costs of servicing loans are expensed as incurred. Other loan fees, which represent income from the prepayment of loans, delinquent payment charges, and miscellaneous loan services, are recorded as revenue when collected.

Escrow and Fiduciary Funds The Company maintains segregated bank accounts in trust for investors with respect to payments on securitized loans and mortgage loans serviced for investors, as well as for mortgagors with respect to property tax and hazard insurance premium payments escrowed by mortgagors with the Company. Such accounts amounted to \$16.3 million and \$35.4 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and are excluded from the Company s assets and liabilities.

Income Taxes Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on temporary differences between financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured by applying enacted tax rates and laws

F-10

to taxable years in which such temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. If necessary, a valuation allowance is established based on management s determination of the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets.

Real Estate Owned Real estate acquired in settlement of loans generally results when property collateralizing a loan is foreclosed upon or otherwise acquired by the Company in satisfaction of the loan. Real estate acquired through foreclosure is carried at fair value less costs to dispose. Fair value is based on the net amount that the Company could reasonably expect to receive for the asset in a current sale between a willing buyer and a willing seller, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Adjustments to the carrying value of real estate owned are made through valuation allowances and charge-offs recognized through a charge to earnings. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, real estate owned totaling \$3.1 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, is included in other assets.

Stock-Based Compensation SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, encourages, but does not require, companies to record compensation cost for stock-based employee compensation plans at fair value.

The Company has been accounting for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Accordingly, compensation cost for stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair value of the Company s stock at the date of grant over the grant price.

The Company has adopted the disclosure only provisions of SFAS No. 123. Had compensation cost for the Company s stock-based compensation plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant date for awards consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company s net income would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2001	2002	2003	
Net income, as reported	\$ 17,399	\$ 28,797	\$ 100,015	
Add: Stock-based compensation included in reported net income, net of tax	7	98	169	
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined using fair value				
method, net of tax	(46)	(146)	(3,689)	
Pro forma Net income	\$ 17,360	\$ 28,749	\$ 96,495	
Earnings per share:				
Basic as reported	\$ 3.36	\$ 4.99	\$ 5.61	
Basic pro forma	\$ 3.35	\$ 4.98	\$ 5.41	
Diluted as reported	\$ 1.32	\$ 1.98	\$ 4.97	
Diluted pro forma	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.97	\$ 4.80	

The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: no dividends, an expected option life of five years, a risk-free rate of 4.7% (2001), 3.9% (2002) and 3.1% (2003) and no volatility prior to the Offering and a 52.1% weighted average volatility subsequent to the Offering. The Company s volatility is calculated as an average of its own volatility and the mean of its closest competitors volatility for the respective periods due to the limited period of time since the Offering. The weighted average fair value at grant date was \$0.38 for options granted with exercise prices equal to fair value during the

year ended December 31, 2001 and \$0.57 for the other options granted in 2001 (Note 14). The weighted average fair value at grant date was \$2.36 and \$4.60 for options granted during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

F-11

Segment Reporting While the Company s management monitors the revenue streams through wholesale and retail loan originations, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated by the Company s chief operating decision-maker on a company-wide basis. Accordingly, the Company operates in one reportable operating segment.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements The preparation of these consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the valuation of the mortgage-related securities, mortgage servicing rights, derivative financial instruments and hedged assets, the determination of the market reserve on loans and the allowance for loan losses on securitized loans, and the determination of the reserves for repurchases.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123, was issued in December 2002 and amends SFAS No. 123 to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. It also amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosure in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. The provisions of SFAS No. 148 are effective for annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, and for financial reports containing condensed financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The Company has not determined whether it will adopt the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation in future years, but have provided the required disclosures.

The FASB issued Interpretation FIN No. 45, *Guarantors Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees and Indebtedness of Others*, an interpretation of SFAS Nos. 5, 57 and 107, and rescission of FIN No. 34, *Disclosure of Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*, in November 2002. FIN No. 45 elaborates on the disclosures to be made by the guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also requires that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, while the provisions of the disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The Company adopted FIN No. 45 on January 1, 2003 and such adoption did not have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In December 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN No. 46R requires that variable interest entities be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity s activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity s residual returns or both. FIN No. 46R also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that companies are not required to consolidate but in which a company has a significant variable interest. The consolidation requirements must be adopted no later than the beginning of the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after March 15, 2004. The adoption of FIN No. 46R is not expected to have a material impact on the results of operations, financial position or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* and is effective for hedging relationships entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133. The Company adopted the standard as of July 1, 2003, as required, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity*, which establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS No. 150 requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope, which may have previously been reported as equity, as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). This statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company adopted the standard as of July 1, 2003, as required, and the adoption did not have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Reclassifications Certain items in the prior year financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

2. CONCENTRATIONS OF RISK

The Company s ability to continue to originate and purchase loans is dependent, in part, upon its ability to securitize and sell loans in the secondary market in order to generate cash proceeds for new originations and purchases. The value of and market for the Company s loans are dependent upon a number of factors, including general economic conditions, interest rates and governmental regulations. Adverse changes in such factors may affect the Company s ability to securitize or sell loans for acceptable prices within reasonable periods of time.

A prolonged, substantial reduction in the size of the secondary market for loans of the types originated and purchased by the Company may adversely affect the Company s ability to securitize and sell loans with a consequent adverse impact on the Company s profitability and ability to fund future originations and purchases, which could have a significant effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Significant Customers For the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company sold \$1.3 billion in loans to three investors, which represented 65.8% of total loans sold. For the year ended December 31, 2002, the Company sold \$1.9 billion in loans to three investors, which represented 62.4% of total loans sold. For the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company sold \$3.3 billion in loans to three investors, which represented 54.9% of total loans sold.

Market Risk The Company regularly reviews the interest rates on its loan products and makes adjustments to the interest rates it offers to reflect current market conditions. The Company, from time to time in the normal course of business, uses derivative financial instruments in order to reduce exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and market prices on loans held for sale, and to reduce exposure to changes in the excess cash flows related to its mortgage-related securities and securitized loans.

Credit Repurchase Risk The Company s sales of mortgage loans are subject to standard mortgage industry representations and warranties, material violations of which may require the Company to repurchase one or more mortgage loans, including provisions requiring the Company to repurchase a loan if a borrower fails to make one or more of the first loan payments due on the loan. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, mortgage loans held for sale included approximately \$14.0 million and \$13.6 million, respectively, of loans repurchased pursuant to the provisions described in the preceding sentence.

Geographical Concentration Properties securing the mortgage loans in the Company s servicing portfolio, including loans subserviced, are geographically dispersed throughout the United States. At December 31, 2002, approximately 27% of the properties were located in California. At December 31, 2003, approximately 25% and 10% of the properties were located in California and Florida, respectively. The remaining properties securing mortgage loans serviced did not exceed 10% in any other state at any period end.

Loan originations are geographically dispersed throughout the United States. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, approximately 36%, 38% and 33%, respectively, of loans originated were collateralized by properties located in California. The remaining originations did not exceed 10% in any other state during any of such periods.

F-13

3. MORTGAGE LOANS

Mortgage loans held for sale Mortgage loans held for sale were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decen	nber 31,
	2002	2003
Mortgage loans held for sale principal balance	\$ 977,508	\$ 1,622,896
Basis adjustment for fair value hedge accounting	3,021	3,487
Net deferred origination costs (fees)	(1,295)	1,824
Market reserve	(6,885)	(12,213)
Mortgage loans held for sale, net	\$ 972,349	\$ 1,615,994

At December 31, 2003, mortgage loans held for sale included \$334.3 million in loans held for a securitization.

Securitized loans Securitized loans were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decen	nber 31,
	2002	2003
Securitized loans principal balance	\$ 738,390	\$ 1,761,132
Basis adjustment for fair value hedge accounting	6,554	12,447
Net deferred origination fees	(1,477)	(2,371)
Allowance for loan losses	(4,550)	(19,890)
Securitized loans, net	\$ 738,917	\$ 1,751,318

Provision for losses Activity in the market reserve on loans held for sale was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,			
	2001	2002	2003		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,515	\$ 3,419	\$ 6,885		
Provision for losses	2,818	6,309	12,862		
Chargeoffs, net	(914)	(2,843)	(7,534)		

Balance, end of year	\$ 3,419	\$ 6,885	\$ 12,213

Activity in the allowance for loan losses on securitized loans was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	End	ec
------	-----	----

	Decei	nber 31,
	2002	2003
Balance, beginning of year		\$ 4,550
Provision for losses	4,550	15,718
Chargeoffs, net		(378)
Balance, end of year	\$ 4,550	\$ 19,890

As of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, \$120,000 and \$7.5 million of securitized loans were 90 days or more delinquent under their payment terms, respectively. This increase results from the increased age and size of the Company s securitized loan portfolio.

As of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, \$5.2 million and \$4.2 million of real estate owned, net of the reserve for losses on real estate owned of \$2.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, is included in other assets. Activity in the reserve for losses on real estate owned was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year	Year Ended December 31,			
	2001	2002	2003		
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 746	\$ 1,228	\$ 2,092		
Provision for losses	1,512	3,111	450		
Chargeoffs, net	(1,030)	(2,247)	(858)		
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,228	\$ 2,092	\$ 1,684		

Provision for losses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations is composed of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2001	2002	2003		
Provision market reserve on loans	\$ 2,818	\$ 6,309	\$ 12,862		
Provision allowances for losses on securitized loans		4,550	15,718		
Provision reserve for real estate owned	1,512	3,111	450		
Provision reserve for repurchases	1,492	1,872	3,102		
Net losses on real estate owned	965	1,827	997		
					
	\$ 6,787	\$ 17,669	\$ 33,129		

The following table summarizes the historical loss and delinquency amounts for the managed portfolio, including mortgage loans and real estate owned:

	At Decemb	At December 31, 2003 (dollars in thousands)			nber 31, 2003
					edit losses
	Total principal	Delinquent principal			(net of
	amount	ovei	91 days	re	coveries)
Mortgage loans held for sale and real estate owned*	\$ 1,627,105	\$	7,546	\$	9,934

Year Ended

Mortgage loans securitized on balance sheet	1,761,132	7,545	378
Mortgage loans sold servicing retained or securitized off balance			
sheet	307,739	21,794	7,334
Total mortgage loans managed	\$ 3,695,976	\$ 36,885	\$ 17,646

	At Decemb		ar Ended ber 31, 2002	
	(dollars in thousands)		Cre	dit losses
	Total principal	Delinquent principal	(net of	
	amount	over 91 days	rec	coveries)
Mortgage loans held for sale and real estate owned*	\$ 982,737	\$ 9,635	\$	7,393
Mortgage loans securitized on balance sheet	738,390	114		
Mortgage loans sold servicing retained or securitized off balance				
sheet	547,371	26,015		6,631
Total mortgage loans managed	\$ 2,268,498	\$ 35,764	\$	14,024

^{*} Credit losses (net of recoveries) consist of charge-offs on loans held for sale, real estate owned and repurchases, as well as net losses on real estate owned and other direct writedowns on loans.

4. MORTGAGE-RELATED SECURITIES

During 2000, the Company executed its own securitization structured as a sale of approximately \$174.7 million of mortgage loans originated or acquired by the Company. The senior securities were sold to third parties, and the Company retained a subordinated residual interest. As the residual interest holder, the Company is entitled to the excess cash flows consisting of the interest paid by the obligors of the underlying mortgage loans, less the sum of the interest payable to the senior security holders, servicing and other fees, insurance fees and credit losses on the underlying mortgage loans to the extent such losses are not covered by a primary mortgage insurance policy which insures certain of the underlying mortgage loans. The Company s receipt of such excess cash flows is delayed to the extent that such securitization provides credit enhancement to the senior security holders by requiring the retention in a reserve account and/or the distribution to the senior security holders, as an accelerated amortization of the principal balance of their securities, of certain amounts otherwise payable to the Company as the residual interest holder. The Company does not have any recourse obligation for credit losses, except to the extent of the Company s retained residual interests. The securitization agreements provide that if delinquencies or losses on the underlying mortgage loans exceed certain maximums, the required levels of credit enhancement would be increased.

During the years ended December 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, the Company sold to a third-party investor (and former related party) approximately \$321.0 million, \$299.8 million and \$75.8 million, respectively, of mortgage loans originated or acquired by the Company. See Note 17. The loans were sold pursuant to three separate commitments, each for a twelve-month period different from the calendar year. Pursuant to the agreement with the investor, the Company is entitled to receive payments based upon the amount of excess cash flows generated by the Company s sold loans under each commitment. The excess cash flows consist of the interest paid by the obligors of the Company s sold loans, less the sum of a specified yield payable to the investor, servicing fees and credit losses on the Company s sold loans. In general, if credit losses result in a negative excess cash flow, the Company is obligated to pay the shortfall to the investor; provided, that the Company is not obligated to reimburse the investor for credit losses in excess of 10% of the aggregate outstanding principal balance of the mortgage loans purchased by the investor under each commitment. The Company is also entitled to all prepayment penalties collected, as long as the rate of prepayments stay below certain thresholds. Should the thresholds be exceeded, then the Company must share the prepayment penalties collected with the investor.

Mortgage-related securities consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003	
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 25,879	\$ 22,290	\$ 8,356	
Additions	8,765	820		
Net unrealized gains	4,609	7,842	7,658	
Interest accretion	3,867	3,861	793	
Cash collected	(20,830)	(26,457)	(13,412)	
Reclassification to other liabilities			297	
Balance, end of year	\$ 22,290	\$ 8,356	\$ 3,692	

F-16

Key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to immediate 10% and 20% increases in these assumptions for the 2000 securitization are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Carrying amount/fair value of residual interest	\$4,294	\$3,692
Weighted average life (in years)	3.10 years	3.22 years
Prepayment speed assumptions (annual rate)	22.0%-62.5%	22.0%-55.2%
Decrease in fair value of 10% change	\$(101)	\$(99)
Decrease in fair value of 20% change	\$(164)	\$(186)
Expected credit losses (cumulative rate)	4.82%	4.89%
Decrease in fair value of 10% change	\$(393)	\$(326)
Decrease in fair value of 20% change	\$(778)	\$(641)
Residual cash flows discount rate (annual rate)	15%	15%
Decrease in fair value of 10% change	\$(291)	\$(269)
Decrease in fair value of 20% change	\$(552)	\$(512)
Interest rates on adjustable loans	Forward LIBOR curve	Forward LIBOR curve
Increase (decrease) in fair value of 10% change	\$(11)	\$29
Increase (decrease) in fair value of 20% change	\$(17)	\$64

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the above amounts indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumptions; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments and increased credit losses), which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

Aggregate actual and projected credit losses as a percentage of original securities pool balance for the securitization completed in 2000 as of December 31, 2002 and 2003 was 4.82% and 4.89%, respectively.

The following summarizes certain cash flows received from and paid to securitization trust (dollars in thousands):

	Dec	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Servicing fees received	\$ 616	\$ 400	
Cash flows received on residual interest	5,072	2,093	
Purchases of delinquent or foreclosed assets	4,864	1,580	
Servicing advances	2,074	686	
Reimbursements of servicing advances	1,867		

The Company utilized the following key assumptions to value its mortgage-related securities originated during the year ended December 31, 2002 that arose from sales of loans by the Company to a third-party investor (and former related party). There were no such sales in 2003.

Annual prepayment speeds

Annual prepayment speeds

Discount rate

Expected cumulative credit losses

Interest rate on adjustable rate loans

Year Ended

December 31,

2002

4.2% to 60%

15%

Expected cumulative credit losses

4.6%

Forward LIBOR curve

The Company utilized the following assumptions to revalue all mortgage-related securities that arose from sales of loans by the Company to third party investors outstanding at December 31, 2002 and 2003 (dollars in thousands):

		December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Annual prepayment speeds	20.0% to 67.5%	% 20.0% to 67.5%	
Discount rate	15%	15%	
Expected cumulative credit losses	5.00%	3.44%	
Interest rate on adjustable rate loans	Forward LIBOR co	urve Forward LIBOR curve	
Fair value at year end	\$4,062	\$(297)	

The fair value of the mortgage-related securities that arose from the sales of loans to a third party investor (a former related party) of (\$297,000) at December 31, 2003 is included in other liabilities on the balance sheet.

5. MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

Mortgage servicing rights were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Yea	Year Ended December 31,	
	2001	2002	2003
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 3,782	\$ 4,826	\$ 3,116
Additions, through originations	2,584	603	
Amortization	(1,540)	(2,313)	(1,997)
Balance, end of year	\$ 4,826	\$ 3,116	\$ 1,119

The fair value of mortgage servicing rights at December 31, 2002 and 2003 was \$3.3 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

The Company is the master servicer for \$1.3 billion, \$2.3 billion and \$3.7 billion in loans as of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

The Company is servicing for others 5,416 and 3,420 loans with an outstanding balance of \$547.4 million and \$307.7 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively. In addition, the Company is servicing 4,775 and 11,622 loans with an outstanding balance of \$738.4 million and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, related to the securitizations structured as a financing.

The following table summarizes the estimated aggregate amortization expense for mortgage servicing rights as of December 31, 2003:

	December 31, 2003
	(dollars in thousands)
Years ending December 31:	
2004	\$ 870
2005	190
2006	59
Total	\$ 1,119

6. FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT

Furniture, fixtures and equipment were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decem	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Office equipment	\$ 5,725	\$ 10,490	
Computer software	2,806	6,748	
Furniture and equipment	2,354	4,677	
Leasehold improvements	776	1,381	
Projects in process	136	4,888	
	11,797	28,184	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(3,003)	(7,510)	
Furniture, fixtures and equipment, net	\$ 8,794	\$ 20,674	

7. OTHER RECEIVABLES

Other receivables were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decem	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Deposit in derivative margin account	\$ 15,461	\$ 20,359	
Accrued interest on mortgage loans	7,104	14,051	
Corporate, escrow and servicing advances	8,850	9,267	
Other	547	1,234	
Total	\$ 31,962	\$ 44,911	

8. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Objectives for Holding Derivative Financial Instruments The Company regularly securitizes and sells fixed and variable rate loans. The Company faces three primary types of interest rate risk: during the period from approval of a loan application through loan funding; on its loans held for sale from the time of funding to the date of sale; and on the loans underlying the Company s mortgage-related securities and on its securitized loans.

Interest rate risk exists during the period from approval of a loan application through loan funding and from the time of funding to the date of sale because the premium earned on the sale of these loans is partially contingent upon the then-current market rate of interest for loans as compared to the contractual interest rate of the loans. The Company s use of derivatives is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the gain on sale of loans due to changes in the current market rate of interest.

The interest rate risk on the loans underlying the Company s mortgage-related securities and on its securitized loans exists because some of these loans have fixed interest rates for a period of two or three years while the rate passed through to the investors in the mortgage-related securities and the holders of the securitization bonds is based upon an adjustable rate. The Company s use of derivatives is intended to mitigate the volatility of earnings associated with fluctuations in the unrealized gain (loss) on the mortgage-related securities and changes in the cash flows of the securitized loans due to changes in LIBOR rates.

Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Designation of Hedged Instruments As part of the Company s interest rate management process, the Company uses derivative financial instruments. The Company has implemented hedge accounting for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge its mortgage loans held for sale and securitized loans. For those derivative financial instruments, on the date the

F-19

derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as a fair value hedge, which hedges the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale or the fair value of securitized loans. Changes in the fair value of such derivative financial instrument and changes in the fair value of hedged assets attributable to the hedged risk, which are determined to be effective, are recorded in current period earnings. Accordingly, the net amount recorded in the Company s consolidated statements of operations is referred to as hedge ineffectiveness.

The Company documents the relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the purpose, strategy and objective for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking derivatives to specific assets on the balance sheet. The Company also assesses, both at the hedge s inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedge transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is determined that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective hedge, the derivative will continue to be recorded on the balance sheet at its fair value. For terminated hedges or hedges no longer qualifying as effective, the formerly hedged asset will no longer be adjusted for changes in fair value and any previously recorded adjustment to the hedged asset will be included in the carrying basis. These amounts will be included in results of operations at the time of disposition of the asset.

At the time the hedged asset is no longer exposed to interest rate risk, the Company terminates the hedging instrument.

Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedged Instrument Activity During August 2002, the Company began using hedge accounting as defined by SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge its mortgage loans held for sale. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, fair value hedge basis adjustments of \$3.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, are included in mortgage loans held for sale related to the \$497.9 million and \$960.8 million, respectively, of such loans designated as the hedged assets. Hedge ineffectiveness associated with fair value hedges of (\$242,000) and \$418,000 was recorded in earnings during years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and is included as an addition to (a reduction in) gain on sale of loans in the consolidated statements of operations.

During December 2002, the Company began using hedge accounting as defined by SFAS No. 133 for certain derivative financial instruments used to hedge its securitized loans. At December 31, 2002 and 2003, fair value hedge basis adjustments of \$6.6 million and \$12.4 million, respectively, are included in securitized loans related to the \$291.4 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively, of such loans designated as hedged assets. Hedge ineffectiveness associated with fair value hedges of (\$150,000) and (\$213,000) was recorded in earnings during the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, and is included as a reduction in interest income in the consolidated statements of operations.

At December 31, 2003, the Company had outstanding futures contracts with a fair value of (\$9.4) million included in accrued liabilities of which contracts with a fair value of (\$8.9) million are designated as hedge instruments. The Company is required to maintain a cash deposit in a margin account with its broker related to these derivative transactions. At December 31, 2003, approximately \$20.4 million was on deposit in such margin account, which is included in other receivables. Accordingly, the net liquidation value of the Company s derivative financial instruments and related margin account is \$11.0 million at December 31, 2003. In addition, the Company had option contracts and interest rate cap agreements with a fair value of \$715,000 and \$160,000, respectively, included in other assets at December 31, 2003.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had outstanding futures contracts with a fair value of (\$10.7) million included in accrued liabilities of which contracts with a fair value of (\$6.4) million are designated as hedge instruments. The Company is required to maintain a cash deposit in a margin account with its broker related to these derivative transactions. At December 31, 2002, approximately \$15.5 million was on deposit in such margin

account, which is included in other receivables. Accordingly, the net liquidation value of the Company s derivative financial instruments and related margin account is \$4.8 million at December 31, 2002. In addition, the Company had option contracts with a fair value of \$16,000 included in other assets at December 31, 2002.

The change in fair value of derivative financial instruments and the related hedged asset recorded in earnings was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003
Net unrealized gain (loss) Net realized loss	\$ (823) (547)	\$ (3,905) (25,542)	\$ 13,072 (26,836)
Total	\$ (1,370)	\$ (29,447)	\$ (13,764)

For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, a \$194,000, \$20.1 million and \$8.2 million net loss on derivatives and hedged assets, respectively, is included in gain on sale of loans and a \$0, \$2.7 million and \$4.6 million net loss on derivatives and hedged assets, respectively, is included in interest income in the consolidated statements of operations. The remaining amount of net gain (loss) on derivatives is included in net gain on mortgage-related securities and derivatives in the consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented.

9. CREDIT FACILITIES

Outstanding warehouse and residual interest credit facility balances consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Dece	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Warehouse credit facilities	\$ 955,426	\$ 1,515,195	
Residual interest credit facility	6,859		
Total	\$ 962,285	\$ 1,515,195	

The outstanding convertible debt credit facility balances were \$3.0 million and \$0 at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The convertible debt was converted into 2,095,625 shares of the Company s common stock in the Offering.

Credit Facilities The Company had in place the following credit facilities:

A warehouse credit facility providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

Decem	hon	21

		,	
	2002	2003	
Maximum borrowings	\$300 million	\$400 million	
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR	
Interest rate at period end	2.6% to 3.1%	2.4% to 2.9%	
Balance outstanding	\$214.5 million	\$381.3 million	
Expiration date	February 28, 2003	January 31, 2004	

This line of credit also provides for a sublimit of \$10.0 million and \$15.0 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively, collateralized by nonperforming loans (primarily loans 60 or more days delinquent) with interest based on one-month LIBOR. Outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2002 and 2003 were \$5.6 million

and \$6.6 million, respectively, and interest rates at period end were 4.9% and 4.6%, respectively. In January 2004, this facility was amended and restated whereby the maximum borrowings were increased to \$500 million and the expiration date was changed to July 30, 2005.

A warehouse credit facility providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing and non-performing loans.

	Decem	December 31,	
	2002 2003		
Maximum borrowings	\$300 million	\$500 million	
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR	
Interest rate at period end	2.7% to 3.0%	2.5% to 2.7%	
Balance outstanding	\$267.1 million	\$190.3 million	
Expiration date	March 29, 2003	May 1, 2004	

A warehouse credit facility providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	December 31,		
	2002	2003	
Maximum borrowings	\$15 million	\$40 million	
Interest rate index	Weighted prime rate	Weighted prime rate	
Interest rate at period end	4.75%	4.50%	
Weighted prime rate	4.25%	4.00%	
Balance outstanding	\$7.5 million	\$11.0 million	
Expiration date	March 31, 2003	July 31, 2004	

A warehouse credit facility providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	December 31,	
	2002	2003
Maximum borrowings	\$225 million	\$325 million
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR
Interest rate at period end	2.6% to 3.6%	2.3% to 3.4%
Balance outstanding	\$196.6 million	\$152.4 million
Expiration date	September 23, 2003	October 6, 2004

This line also provides for a sublimit of \$15 million and \$25 million at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively, collateralized by nonperforming and aged loans with interest based on one-month LIBOR. Outstanding borrowings were \$1.5 million and \$0 with an interest rate of 3.6% and 3.4% at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

A warehouse credit facility providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	D	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Maximum borrowings	\$75 million non- discretionary, \$75 million discretionary	\$150 million non-discretionary, \$150 million discretionary	
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR	
Interest rate at period end	2.5% to 4.1%	2.2% to 3.9%	
Balance outstanding	\$115.8 million	\$168.4 million	
Expiration date	September 19, 2003	November 12, 2004	

This line also provides for a sublimit of \$22.5 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003 collateralized by nonperforming and aged loans with interest based on one-month LIBOR. There were no outstanding borrowings under this facility at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003.

A warehouse credit facility implemented in 2003 providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	December 31,
	2003
Maximum borrowings	\$400 million
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR
Interest rate at period end	2.4% to 3.6%
Balance outstanding	\$175.0 million
Expiration date	April 21, 2004

This line also provides for a sublimit of \$30.0 million at December 31, 2003, collateralized by nonperforming and aged loans with interest based on one-month LIBOR. Outstanding borrowings were \$2.8 million with an interest rate of 2.6% to 3.6% at December 31, 2003.

A warehouse credit facility implemented in 2003 providing for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	December 31,
	2003
Maximum borrowings	\$300 million
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR
Interest rate at period end	2.0% to 2.6%

Balance outstanding \$214.7 million Expiration date October 8, 2004

The Company also has a credit facility with a former related party providing for the following three separate borrowing facilities. At December 31, 2002, the related party has a beneficial ownership interest in the Company related to the convertible debt facility described below. All ownership and beneficial ownership interest of this lender were sold in connection with the Offering. Therefore, this lender ceased to be a related party upon the completion of the Offering.

A warehouse credit facility for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by performing loans.

	Decem	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
w	\$82 million non- discretionary; \$75	\$200 'III'	
Maximum borrowings	million discretionary	\$300 million	
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR	
Interest rate at period end	2.6%	2.4%	
Balance outstanding	\$146.9 million	\$215.5 million	
Expiration date	May 31, 2003	January 31, 2005	

This line also provides for a sublimit of \$7.0 million at both December 31, 2002 and 2003 collateralized by seasoned loans, defined as eligible mortgage loans which are aged more than 90 days, with interest based on one-month LIBOR. There were no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2002 and 2003.

A line of credit for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by real estate owned (properties acquired through foreclosure of defaulted mortgage loans or through deeds in lieu of foreclosure) and loans 60 days or more delinquent.

	December 31,	
	2002 2003	
Maximum borrowings	\$8 million	\$8 million
Interest rate index	One-month LIBOR	One-month LIBOR
Interest rate at period end	4.4%	4.1%
Balance outstanding	\$0	\$0
Expiration date	May 31, 2003	January 31, 2005

A term loan for borrowings up to the maximum described below, collateralized by residual interests in securitized pools of mortgage loans and/or the Company s rights, with respect to loans sold to the lender, to ongoing payments, which approximate residual interests in securitized pools of such loans. This term loan was paid in full during 2003 and no such facility exists at December 31, 2003.

December 31,
2002
\$40 million
One-month LIBOR
4.9% to 5.4%
\$6.9 million
May 31, 2003

The Company also had a \$3.0 million multiple-advance convertible debt facility with this lender, with interest based on one-month LIBOR. The interest rate was 6.4% at December 31, 2002. The convertible debt was converted into 2,095,625 shares of the Company s Common Stock with the Offering.

At December 31, 2002 and 2003, \$977.5 million and \$1.6 billion, respectively, of principal balance of loans held for sale were pledged as collateral against total outstanding debt balances under the warehouse lines of credit of \$955.4 million and \$1.5 billion, respectively. Also, \$8.2 million of mortgage-related securities were pledged as collateral against the \$6.9 million outstanding under the residual interest credit facility as of December 31, 2002.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company was in compliance with all covenant requirements for each of the facilities. The Company s warehouse and other credit facilities contain customary covenants including minimum

F-24

Table of Contents

liquidity, profitability and net worth requirements and limitations on other indebtedness. If the Company fails to comply with any of these covenants or otherwise defaults under a facility, the lender has the right to terminate the facility and require immediate repayment that may require sale of the collateral at less than optimal terms. In addition, if the Company defaults under one facility, it would generally trigger a default under the Company s other facilities.

The Company anticipates that its borrowings will be repaid from net proceeds from the sale of loans and other assets, cash flows from operations, or from refinancing the borrowings. The Company believes that it can continue to comply with loan covenants and that other alternative sources of credit are available to the Company to repay maturing loans if anticipated asset sales are not completed by loan due dates.

The following summarizes activity in all credit facilities (dollars in thousands):

		_	_		
Year	Ended	Decem	her	31	_

	2001	2002	2003	
Average balance outstanding	\$ 265,939	\$ 687,932	\$ 1,273,998	
Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end during the year	547,063	969,226	1,548,891	
Weighted average interest rate during the year	5.08%	3.23%	2.46%	

Warrant Agreements In connection with one of the warehouse credit facilities described above, the Company entered into a warrant agreement with the lender pursuant to which the lender was granted warrants to acquire 374,457 shares of the Company s common stock at \$1.76 per share, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms of the warrant agreement. These warrants were converted into 292,076 shares of Common Stock in the Offering.

In connection with a now-expired warehouse credit facility, the Company entered into a warrant agreement with the lender pursuant to which the lender was granted warrants to acquire 148,750 shares of the Company s common stock at \$1.00 per share, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms of the warrant agreement. These warrants were converted into 130,156 shares of Common Stock in the Offering.

10. SECURITIZATION BOND FINANCING

The following is a summary of the outstanding securitization bond financing, by class (dollars in thousands):

	December 31,			
	2002	2003		
				Stated
	Amount	Amount	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
Series 2002-1:				
Class A-1	\$ 64,761	\$ 41,668	4.93%*	July 25, 2032
Class A-1 Class A-2	128,967	80,849	One-month LIBOR+0.32%	July 25, 2032 July 25, 2032
Class A-2	128,907		One-month Libox+0.32 %	July 23, 2032
	193,728	122,517		
Unamortized bond discount	(13)	(9)		
Series 2002-1, net	\$ 193,715	\$ 122,508		
Series 2002-1, net	\$ 193,713	\$ 122,300		
Series 2002-2:				
Class A-1	\$ 178,065	\$ 141,027	4.48%*	January 25, 2033
Class A-2	248,584	184,892	One-month LIBOR+0.49%	February 25, 2033
Class A-3	112,517	85,972	One-month LIBOR+0.50%	January 25, 2033
	520.166	411.001		
**	539,166	411,891		
Unamortized bond discount	(58)	(39)		
Series 2002-2, net	\$ 539,108	\$ 411,852		
Series 2003-1:				
Class A-1		\$ 95,244	3.58%*	June 25, 2033
Class A-2		99,095	One-month LIBOR+0.35%	June 25, 2033
Class A-3		78,916	One-month LIBOR+0.38%	June 25, 2033
		273,255		
Unamortized bond discount		(4)		
Series 2003-1, net		\$ 273,251		
Series 2003-1, net		\$ 273,231		
Series 2003-2:				
Class A-1		\$ 115,132	4.23%*	October 25, 2033
Class A-2		193,938	One-month LIBOR+0.35%	October 25, 2033
Class A-3		97,323	One-month LIBOR+0.37%	October 25, 2033
		406,393		
Unamortized bond discount		(34)		
Series 2003-2, net		\$ 406,359		

Series 2003-3:				
Class A-1		\$ 192,888	4.46%*	January 25, 2034
Class A-2		208,048	One-month LIBOR+0.38%	January 25, 2034
Class A-3		109,483	One-month LIBOR+0.38%	January 25, 2034
Series 2003-3, net		\$ 510,419		
Total securitization bond financing,				
net	\$ 732,823	\$ 1,724,389		

^{*} The interest rates for the A-1 classes are a result of swap rates plus a spread of 1.02%, 1.30%, 1.32%, 1.25% and 1.35%, respectively.

The bonds are collateralized by securitized loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$738.4 million and \$1.8 billion as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively. Unamortized debt issuance costs, included in other assets, are approximately \$3.5 million and \$7.7 million at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

Amounts collected by the Company as servicer of the mortgage loans are remitted to the trustee, who in turn distributes such amounts each month to the bondholders, together with other amounts received with respect to the mortgage loans, net of fees payable to the Company, trustee and insurer of the bonds. Interest collected each month on the mortgage loans will generally exceed the amount of interest accrued on the bonds. A portion of such excess interest will initially be distributed as principal to the bonds. As a result of such principal distributions, the excess of the unpaid principal balance of the loans over the unpaid principal balance of the bonds (overcollateralization) will increase. The securitization agreements require that a certain level of overcollateralization be maintained. Once a required level has been reached, excess interest will no longer be used to accelerate the amortization of the bonds. Whenever the level of overcollateralization falls below the required level, excess interest will again be paid as principal to the bonds until the required level has been reached. Excess interest that is not paid to the bonds in order to create or maintain the required overcollateralization level, or used to make certain other payments, will be passed through to the Company. The securitization agreements provide that if delinquencies or losses on the underlying mortgage loans exceed certain maximums, the required levels of credit enhancement would be increased. During 2003, the Company received \$20.6 million of excess interest from these securitizations. Therefore, the bonds are not necessarily expected to be outstanding through the stated maturity date set forth above.

The following table summarizes the expected repayment requirements relating to the securitization bond financing at December 31, 2003. Amounts listed as bond payments are based on anticipated receipts of principal and interest on underlying mortgage loan collateral using historical prepayment speeds:

	December 31, 2003
	(dollars in thousands)
Years ending December 31:	
2004	\$ 360,753
2005	479,317
2006	384,162
2007	184,266
2008	80,354
Thereafter	235,623
Discount	(86)
Total	\$ 1,724,389

F-27

11. INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes.

The tax effects of significant items comprising the Company s net deferred tax asset were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decen	December 31,	
	2002	2003	
Loans held for sale	\$ 15,298	\$ 11,408	
Market reserve on loans held for sale	3,403	5,627	
Loan securitizations		4,403	
State taxes	27	3,419	
Other reserves and accruals	3,386	1,712	
Deferred tax assets	22,114	26,569	
			
Mortgage-related securities	(4,909)	(10,517)	
Loan securitizations	(130)		
Deferred tax liabilities	(5,039)	(10,517)	
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 17,075	\$ 16,052	

The components of the income tax (benefit) expense consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003	
Federal:				
Current	\$ 16,383	\$ 23,163	\$ 54,003	
Deferred	(6,615)	(7,900)	94	
	9,768	15,263	54,097	
State:				
Current	4,063	6,661	11,521	
Deferred	(1,248)	(2,726)	929	
	2,815	3,935	12,450	

Total	\$ 12,583	\$ 19,198	\$ 66,547

The deferred income tax expense resulted from temporary differences in the recognition of revenues and expenses for tax and financial statement purposes. The primary sources of these differences were the origination and reversal of the following: mortgage securitizations where book income has been recognized in excess of taxable income, loans held for sale at year end where taxable income has been recognized in excess of book income and various reserves and accruals in which book deductions exceed tax deductions.

The following is a reconciliation of the provision computed using the statutory federal income tax rate to the income tax provision reflected in the statements of operations (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2002	2003	
Federal income tax at statutory rate	\$ 10,487	\$ 16,798	\$ 58,297	
State income tax, net of federal effects	1,830	2,364	8,092	
Other	266	36	158	
				
Total	\$ 12,583	\$ 19,198	\$ 66,547	

During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company recorded a \$2.1 million reduction in income taxes payable and a corresponding increase to additional paid in capital related to corporate tax deductions arising from the sale by employees of common stock they acquired from the stock option plans and the ESPP prior to the fulfillment of required tax holding periods for such stock.

12. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decem	iber 31,
	2002	2003
Accrued liabilities payroll	\$ 12,245	\$ 16,884
Accrued liabilities general	6,952	12,106
Futures contracts	10,683	9,359
Reserve for repurchases	2,888	5,445
Reserve for premium recapture	1,374	2,470
Accounts payable trade	545	385
Capital leases	265	12
		
Total	\$ 34,952	\$ 46,661

Activity in the reserve for repurchases was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Year	Year Ended December 31,		
	2001	2002	2003	
Balance, beginning of year	\$	\$ 1,492	\$ 2,888	
Provision	1,492	1,872	3,102	
Chargeoffs, net		(476)	(545)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,492	\$ 2,888	\$ 5,445	

Activity in the reserve for premium recapture was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year Ended December 31,

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

	2001	2002	2003
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 567	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,374
Provision	757	1,099	2,996
Chargeoffs, net	(282)	(767)	(1,900)
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,042	\$ 1,374	\$ 2,470

13. REDEEMABLE, CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

The holders of AHL s Series A Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock) were entitled to a non-cumulative dividend preference of \$.06 per share. The Preferred Stock was redeemable, at the option of the shareholder, at a redemption price of \$1.00 per share, adjusted for stock dividends, combinations or splits, after January 1, 2000. The Preferred Stock, which voted with the common stock on an as if converted basis, represented approximately 46.7% of the total outstanding voting shares at December 31, 2002. In connection with the Offering, all of the Preferred Stock was converted into 5,113,334 shares of the Company s common stock.

14. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Stock Option Plans The Company s 1995 Executive Stock Option Plan, 1995 Stock Option Plan, 1998 Stock Option Plan, and 2002 Stock Option Plan (collectively the Stock Option Plans), provide for the issuance of stock options to eligible directors, employees and consultants. The Company s 2002 Stock Option Plan (2002 Plan) was adopted effective as of the closing of the Offering by the board of directors and approved by the stockholders in 2002. The share reserve for the 2002 Plan includes the number of shares remaining available for option grants and the number of options outstanding under all other stock option plans. The 2002 Plan provides for automatic grants of stock options to non-employee directors to purchase 17,500 shares of Company common stock to each director who is a non-employee director on the date the 2002 Plan is effective or who first becomes a director after the date the 2002 Plan is effective. The total shares authorized for issuance under the Stock Option Plans were 3,579,891, 3,829,891 and 2,221,039 at December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

During 2001, a Company executive exercised stock options pursuant to a full recourse promissory note in the principal amount of \$1.25 million with interest payable at a rate of 10.6% per annum and a maturity date of August 1, 2005. During June 2002, the Company made an unsecured loan of approximately \$31,000 to this executive with interest payable at 10% per annum. The loan is due on the earlier of June 13, 2006 or the termination of the executive s employment.

During 2001 and 2002, the Company recorded \$124,000 and \$625,000, respectively, of unearned compensation (representing the intrinsic value of such options) related to stock option grants for which a reevaluation of the fair value of the Company s common stock was performed. During 2003, 17,500 stock options were granted to a non-employee who has a consulting agreement with the Company. These options are accounted for at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 123 and, during the year ended December 31, 2003, \$434,000 of unearned compensation was recorded related to the stock options granted to this non-employee. Unearned compensation is included as a separate component of stockholders equity and amortized over the vesting period of the related options.

A summary of the changes in options outstanding under the Company s Stock Option Plans for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 follows:

	Number of	Weighted Average Exercise	
	Options	Price	
Balance, January 1, 2001	2,439,871	\$ 1.22	
Options granted	608,650	1.82	
Options exercised	(1,127,352)	1.18	
Options cancelled	(289,380)	1.49	
	```		
Balance, December 31, 2001	1,631,789	1.42	
Options granted	420,140	5.28	
Options exercised	(145,468)	0.97	
Options cancelled	(156,819)	2.61	
Balance, December 31, 2002	1,749,642	2.27	
Options granted	678,950	12.41	
Options exercised	(517,546)	1.67	
Options cancelled	(211,427)	7.96	
1			

F-30

The following table summarizes information concerning currently outstanding and exercisable options:

		Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding at December 31,	Weighted- Average Remaining		ighted- verage	Number Exercisable at December 31,		ighted- verage
Range of Exercise Prices	2003	Contractual Life	Exerc	cise Price	2003	Exerc	cise Price
			_			_	
\$0.08-\$0.25	136,200	2.19 years	\$	0.10	136,200	\$	0.10
\$1.50	636,280	6.40	\$	1.50	488,287	\$	1.50
\$3.00-\$4.75	246,780	8.10	\$	3.64	95,520	\$	3.60
\$8.00	456,459	9.06	\$	8.00	23,222	\$	8.00
\$10.70-\$18.74	138,075	9.43	\$	14.53			
\$27.14	85,825	9.81	\$	27.14			
\$0.08-\$27.14	1,699,619	7.44	\$	5.80	743,229	\$	1.72

At December 31, 2001 and 2002, options exercisable under the Company s Stock Option Plans totaled 736,478 and 950,842, respectively, at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.05 and \$1.28, respectively.

2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan The Company s 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the 2002 ESPP) was adopted effective as of the closing of the Offering by the board of directors and approved by the stockholders in 2002. A total of 1,000,000 shares of common stock are authorized and reserved for issuance under the plan, to be cumulatively increased on January 1, 2004 and each January 1 thereafter through January 1, 2013 according to the formula specified by the 2002 ESPP. Employees, including officers and employee directors, are eligible to participate in the 2002 ESPP if they are employed for more than 20 hours per week and more than five months in any calendar year. The 2002 ESPP permits participants to purchase common stock through payroll deductions of up to 15% of the participant s compensation. Such amounts are applied to the purchase of shares of the Company s common stock at a price of 85% of the fair market value of the common stock as defined in the 2002 ESPP. During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company issued 403,879 shares to employees with proceeds of \$4.0 million.

Deferred Compensation Plan The Company s Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan) was adopted by the board of directors and approved by the stockholders in 2002. The Plan is effective as of January 1, 2003. A total of 2,000,000 shares of the Company s common stock is authorized and reserved for issuance under the Plan. The Plan is an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan that benefits directors, certain designated key members of management and highly compensated employees. Under the Plan, an employee may defer up to 100% of his or her base salary, director fee, bonus and/or commissions on a pre-tax basis. The Company may make both voluntary and/or matching contributions to the Plan on behalf of Plan participants and may make voluntary and/or matching contributions in the form of common stock. All Plan assets are corporate assets rather than individual property and are therefore subject to creditors claims against the Company. As of December 31, 2003, employees had voluntarily contributed \$442,000 to the Plan. During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Company awarded 326,113, net of forfeitures, of restricted common stock under the Plan that vest 50% two years from the date of grant and 25% each year thereafter until fully vested.

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE INFORMATION):

Basic earnings per share (EPS) excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted to common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that would then share in the earnings of the entity. No shares have been excluded from the diluted EPS computations.

	Yea	Year Ended December 31, 2001			
	Net Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per Share Amount		
Basic EPS	\$ 17,399	5,175	\$ 3.36		
Plus effect of income of assumed conversions					
Interest on convertible debt, net of tax	157				
Effect of dilutive shares					
Warrants		142			
Stock options		817			
Convertible preferred stock		5,113			
Convertible debt		2,096			
Diluted EPS	\$ 17,556	13,343	\$ 1.32		

	Yea	Year Ended December 31, 2002			
	Net Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per Share Amount		
Basic EPS	\$ 28,797	5,776	\$ 4.99		
Plus effect of income of assumed conversions					
Interest on convertible debt, net of tax	124				
Effect of dilutive shares					
Warrants		411			
Stock options		1,233			
Convertible preferred stock		5,113			
Convertible debt		2,096			
Diluted EPS	\$ 28,921	14,629	\$ 1.98		

Year Ended December 31, 2003			
Net	a.	.	
Income	Shares	Per Share	
(numerator)	(denominator)	Amount	

Edgar Filing: ACCREDITED HOME LENDERS HOLDING CO - Form 10-K

Basic EPS	\$ 100,015	17,825	\$	5.61
Plus effect of income of assumed conversions				
Interest on convertible debt, net of tax	16			
Effect of dilutive shares				
Warrants*		50		
Stock options		1,342		
Restricted stock		22		
Convertible preferred stock*		616		
Convertible debt*		253		
			_	
Diluted EPS	\$ 100,031	20,108	\$	4.97

^{*} Represents the dilutive effect of the shares outstanding prior to the Offering.

16. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of estimated fair value information for financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. Fair values are based upon estimates using present value or other valuation techniques in cases where quoted market prices are not available. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In this regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. SFAS No. 107 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

The estimated fair values of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value.

The Company ascribes no value to loan origination commitments because there are no interest rate-lock commitments on the loans. The rates at which the Company has committed to sell loans approximate current market values; accordingly, no value has been ascribed to the forward sale commitments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents The carrying amounts of these items equal their fair value.

Restricted Cash The carrying amounts of these items equal their fair value.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale and Securitized Loans Fair value is determined using current investor commitments or, in the absence of such commitments, fair value is based upon current investor commitments for loans of similar credit quality.

Mortgage-Related Securities Fair value is determined by discounting future cash flows over the estimated remaining life of the underlying loans, using discount and interest rates and default, loss and prepayment assumptions based upon available market data.

Accrued Interest Receivable/Payable The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of the fair value.

Derivative Financial Instruments The fair value is based on quoted market prices.

F-33

Warehouse and Residual Interest Facilities, Securitization Bond Financing and Convertible Debt Interest rates that are currently available to the Company for issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value.

	Decemb	December 31, 2002		er 31, 2003	
	Carrying Amount	. 0		Estimated Fair Value	
		(dollars i	in thousands)		
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,300	\$ 11,300	\$ 27,119	\$ 27,119	
Restricted cash			209	209	
Mortgage loans held for sale	972,349	1,011,824	1,615,994	1,679,886	
Securitized loans	738,917	782,436	1,751,318	1,840,650	
Mortgage-related securities	8,356	8,356	3,692	3,692	
Accrued interest receivable	7,104	7,104	14,051	14,051	
Derivative assets	16	16	875	875	
Financial liabilities:					
Warehouse and residual interest credit facilities	\$ 962,285	\$ 962,285	\$ 1,515,195	\$ 1,515,195	
Securitization bond financing	732,823	732,823	1,724,389	1,724,389	
Accrued interest payable	3,588	3,588	5,945	5,945	
Convertible debt	3,000	3,000			
Derivative liabilities	10,683	10,683	9,359	9,359	

The fair value estimates are based on pertinent information available to management as of the respective dates. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since those dates and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases The Company leases office space for its headquarters in San Diego, California for various branch offices, executive suites, and record storage facilities across the country, and for certain equipment under operating leases expiring at various dates through 2013.

At December 31, 2003, the minimum future lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Years Ending:	
2004	\$ 7,506
2005	7,933
2006	6,512
2007	4,517
2008	3,174
Thereafter	2,295

Total		\$ 31,937

 $Rent\ expense\ for\ the\ years\ ended\ December\ 31,\ 2001,\ 2002\ and\ 2003\ was\ approximately\ \$2,255,000,\ \$3,420,000\ and\ \$5,373,000,\ respectively.$

F-34

Table of Contents

Employment Agreements The Company has negotiated employment agreements with certain employees. These agreements provide for the payment of base salaries, performance bonuses subject to certain restrictions, and/or the payment of severance benefits upon termination. In August 2001, the Company entered into a supplemental compensation agreement with an executive officer.

401(k) Plan The Company participates in a defined contribution plan (the Plan). Substantially all employees are eligible to participate in the Plan after completing one quarter year of service. For the year ended December 31, 2001, employees may contribute between 1% and 20% of their gross salary. Effective January 1, 2002, employees may contribute up to 100% of their gross salary subject to Internal Revenue Service limitations. The Company matches 50% of the first 6% contributed by employees. During the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, the Company contributed \$742,000, \$1,320,000 and \$2,177,000, respectively.

Other The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its borrowers. These financial instruments primarily represent commitments to fund loans. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of interest rate risk and credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial position. The credit risk is mitigated by the Company s evaluation of the creditworthiness of potential mortgage loan borrowers on a case-by-case basis. The Company does not guarantee interest rates to potential borrowers when an application is received. Interest rates conditionally approved following the initial underwriting of applications are subject to adjustment if any conditions are not satisfied. The Company commits to originate loans, in many cases dependent on the borrower s satisfying various terms and conditions. These commitments totaled \$136.2 million and \$177.3 million as of December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Commitments to sell loans generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a commitment or a non-delivery fee.

During 1999, the Company entered into a loan sale agreement whereby the Company agreed to sell \$900 million in loans over a three-year period. See Note 4. The purchaser of these loans provides the Company certain credit facilities and the convertible debt facility. See Note 9. The Company periodically enters into other loan sale commitments. Loan sale commitments totaled \$319.5 million and \$447.2 million at December 31, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

The Company s mortgage banking business is subject to the rules and regulations of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and state regulatory authorities with respect to originating, processing, selling and servicing mortgage loans. Those rules and regulations require, among other things, that the Company maintain a minimum net worth of \$250,000. As of December 31, 2003, the Company was in compliance with these requirements.

From time to time, the Company enters into certain types of contracts that contingently require the Company to indemnify parties against third party claims and other obligations customarily indemnified in the ordinary course of the Company s business. The terms of such obligations vary and, generally, a maximum obligation is not explicitly stated. Therefore, the overall maximum amount of the obligations cannot be reasonably estimated. Historically, the Company has not been obligated to make significant payments for these obligations and no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations on its balance sheet as of December 31, 2003.

During 2001, the Company discovered that an employee had misappropriated funds from the Company in concert with third parties, resulting in an overstatement of losses on real estate owned, which are included in the provision for losses in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 1999 through 2001. The Company has determined that approximately \$800,000 was misappropriated from the Company during that period, and the Company recovered \$340,000 and \$359,000 of the loss in 2002 and 2003, respectively, which are included

in other income in the consolidated statements of operations for such periods.

F-35

Legal Because the nature of the Company s business involves the collection of numerous accounts, the validity of liens and compliance with various state and federal lending laws, it is subject to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business related to foreclosures, bankruptcies, condemnation and quiet title actions, and alleged statutory and regulatory violations. The Company is also subject to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business related to employee matters. Except as specifically set forth below, the Company does not believe that the resolution of these lawsuits in the ordinary course of business will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

The Company previously disclosed a suit that was outside of the ordinary course of business, *Zimmerman et al. v. Accredited Home Lenders, Inc.*, filed in Los Angeles County, California, Superior Court in July 2001. The plaintiffs were individuals who sold a retail mortgage loan business to a purchaser pursuant to a contract which provided for future payments to plaintiffs over a period of years based upon future mortgage loans originated by the business. The contract provided that, in the event control of the business was transferred by the purchaser, the purchaser s obligations under the contract, including the future payments, either must be assumed by the transferree or the purchaser must make a lump sum payment to the plaintiffs in accordance with a formula set forth in the contract. The Company subsequently acquired some furniture, fixtures and equipment, assumed lease liabilities on some office branches and office equipment, and hired a number of employees of the business. The Company expressly did not assume liability for the future payments that were part of the original purchase transaction, nor did the Company hire all of the persons employed by the business, acquire all of the assets or assume all of the liabilities. The plaintiffs claimed that the future payments they negotiated with the original purchaser were assumed by the Company as a result of the transaction between the Company and the original purchaser. The action was dismissed with prejudice on March 29, 2002, and, on July 29, 2003, the appellate court affirmed the trial court s dismissal of the action. The time for further appeal expired without further action on the part of the plaintiffs, and this matter is now resolved.

In December 2002, the Company was served with a complaint and motion for class certification in a class action lawsuit alleging that the Company misrepresents and inflates the amounts it charges its borrowers for third-party fees in violation of state laws, which prohibit unfair and deceptive trade practices. The Company filed an answer to the complaint in January 2003, but a schedule for briefing and hearing the motion for class certification has not yet been established. There has been no ruling on the merits of either the plaintiffs individual claims or the claims of the class. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, that may result is not determinable and no amounts have been accrued in the Company s financial statements. The Company intends to vigorously defend this matter and does not believe it will have a material adverse affect on its business with respect to this matter.

In December 2003, the Company was served with a class action complaint, alleging that the provision for a prepayment charge included in a loan made by the Company to the plaintiff and others similarly situated violated a California statute restricting prepayment charges, breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and constituted an unfair business practice. The suit seeks to recover the amount of any such prepayment charge previously paid, punitive damages, interest, attorneys fees and costs of suit, and also seeks to enjoin further enforcement of such prepayment charge provisions.

The Company included the subject prepayment charge provision on the basis that the federal Alternative Mortgage Transactions Parity Act (the Parity Act) preempts state restrictions on prepayment charges if certain conditions are met. The Company believes that those conditions were met with respect to the subject loan, as well as all other loans with a similar prepayment charge provision. However, a New Jersey state court ruled against Parity Act preemption of New Jersey state restrictions on prepayment charges. That case is on appeal, and at least three other decisions by federal courts have upheld Parity Act preemption of state law. The Company intends to vigorously defend this matter, however if the subject case were to result in a ruling that the Parity Act did not preempt state law with respect to the prepayment charge provision included in the plaintiff s loan, the potential liability could have a material adverse effect on the Company. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any, that may result is not determinable and no amounts have been accrued in the Company s financial statements with respect to this matter.

F-36

Table of Contents

In January 2004, the Company was served with a complaint, alleging that the Company violated California and federal law by misclassifying the plaintiff, a commissioned loan officer, as an exempt employee and failing to pay the plaintiff on an hourly basis and for overtime worked. The plaintiff seeks to recover, on behalf of himself and all of the Company s other similarly situated current and former employees, lost wages and benefits, general damages, multiple statutory penalties and interest, attorneys fees and costs of suit, and also seeks to enjoin further violations of wage and overtime laws and retaliation against employees who complain about such violations. The Company has removed the case to federal court and has filed an answer to the complaint. The Company does not believe this matter will have a material adverse effect on its business and is pursuing settlement discussions. At the present time, the ultimate outcome of this matter and the amount of liability, if any that may result is not determinable and no amounts have been accrued in the Company s financial statements with respect to this matter.

F-37