

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC
Form 10-K
February 26, 2013

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED: DECEMBER 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-13447

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

MARYLAND 22-3479661
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation of organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1211 Avenue of the Americas, Suite
2902
New York, New York 10036
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 696-0100
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange
7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange
7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange

7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable
Preferred Stock

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g)
of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No .

At June 30, 2012, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$16,318,867,645.

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding on February 26, 2013 was 947,250,377.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

The registrant intends to file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Portions of such proxy statement are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
2012 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

			PAGE
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	1	
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	23	
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	50	
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	50	
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	50	
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE</u>	50	

PART II

<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	51	
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	54	
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	55	
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	74	
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	76	
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	76	
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	76	
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	79	

PART III

<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	79	
-----------------	---	----	--

<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	79
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	79
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	79
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	79
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	80
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>		80
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>		83
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		II-1

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this annual report may not be based on historical facts and are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements, which are based on various assumptions (some of which are beyond our control), may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as “may,” “will,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “continue,” or similar terms or variations on those terms or the negative of those terms. Actual results could differ materially from those set forth in forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

changes in interest rates,

changes in the yield curve,

changes in prepayment rates,

the availability of mortgage-backed securities, other securities and other real estate assets for purchase,

the availability of financing and, if available, the terms of any financing,

changes in the market value of our assets,

changes in business conditions and the general economy,

our ability to integrate the commercial mortgage business,

our ability to consummate any contemplated investment opportunities,

risks associated with the investment advisory business of our wholly owned subsidiaries, including:

- o the removal by clients of assets managed,

- o their regulatory requirements, and

- o competition in the investment advisory business,

risks associated with the broker-dealer business of our subsidiary,

changes in government regulations affecting our business,

our ability to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and

our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

No forward-looking statements can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially and we caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. For a discussion of the risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, please see the information under the caption “Risk Factors” described in this Form 10-K. We do not undertake, and specifically disclaim any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements except

as required by law.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

All references to “we,” “us,” or “our” mean Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and all entities owned by us, except where it is made clear that the term means only the parent company. The following defines certain of the commonly used terms in this annual report on Form 10-K: Agency refers to a federally chartered corporation, such as Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or an agency of the U.S. Government, such as Ginnie Mae; Agency mortgage-backed securities refers to residential mortgage-backed securities that are issued or guaranteed by an Agency; Investment Securities refers to Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures and corporate debt securities; and Interest-Earning Assets refers to Investment Securities, securities borrowed and U.S. Treasury Securities.

THE COMPANY

Background

We own, manage, and finance a portfolio of real estate related investments, including mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations (or CMOs), Agency callable debentures, and other securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans. Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on our Investment Securities and the costs of borrowing to finance our acquisition of Investment Securities and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries offer diversified real estate, asset management and other financial services. To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders’ equity to assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We are a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on February 18, 1997. We are self-advised and self-managed. We acquired Fixed Income Discount Advisory Company (or FIDAC) on June 4, 2004 and Merganser Capital Management, Inc. (or Merganser) on October 31, 2008. FIDAC and Merganser manage a number of investment vehicles and separate accounts for which they earn fee income. Our subsidiary, RCap Securities, Inc. (or RCap), operates as a broker-dealer, and was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (or FINRA) in January 2009. In 2010, we established Shannon Funding LLC (or Shannon), which provides warehouse financing to residential mortgage originators in the United States. In 2010, we also established Charlesfort Capital Management LLC (or Charlesfort), which engages in corporate middle market lending transactions. In 2011, FIDAC established FIDAC Europe Limited (or FIDAC Europe), which we sold in December 2012. In 2011, we established FIDAC FSI LLC (or FIDAC FSI), which invested in trading securities. FIDAC FSI was liquidated in August 2012.

We have elected and believe that we are organized and have operated in a manner that qualifies us to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (or REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Code). If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income that is distributed to our stockholders. Therefore, substantially all of our assets, other than FIDAC, Merganser and RCap, which are our taxable REIT subsidiaries, consist of qualified REIT real estate assets (of the type described in Section 856(c)(5)(B) of the Code). We have financed our purchases of Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures with the net proceeds of equity offerings, convertible notes offerings and borrowings under repurchase agreements whose interest rates adjust based on changes in short-term market interest rates.

Capital Investment Policy

Under our capital investment policy, at least 75% of our total assets must be comprised of high-quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities means securities that (1) are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) are unrated but we determine them to be of comparable quality to high-quality rated mortgage-backed securities.

1

The remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of our total assets, may consist of other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by Standard & Poor’s Corporation (or S&P) or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating agency) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics. We intend to structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single “A” under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems.

We may acquire Agency mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family residential mortgage loans as well as securities backed by loans on multi-family, commercial or other real estate related properties. To date, substantially all of the Agency mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been backed by single-family residential mortgage loans.

To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been Agency mortgage-backed securities which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating. Agency mortgage-backed securities consist of agency pass-through certificates and CMOs issued or guaranteed by an Agency. Pass-through certificates provide for a pass-through of the monthly interest and principal payments made by the borrowers on the underlying mortgage loans. CMOs divide a pool of mortgage loans into multiple tranches with different principal and interest payment characteristics.

Our adjustable-rate pass-through certificates are backed by adjustable-rate mortgage loans and have coupon rates which adjust over time, subject to interest rate caps and lag periods, in conjunction with changes in short-term interest rates. Our fixed-rate pass-through certificates are backed by fixed-rate mortgage loans and have coupon rates which do not adjust over time. CMO floaters are tranches of mortgage-backed securities where the interest rate adjusts in conjunction with changes in short-term interest rates. CMO floaters may be backed by fixed-rate mortgage loans or, less often, by adjustable-rate mortgage loans. In this Form 10-K, except where the context indicates otherwise, we use the term “adjustable-rate interest-earning assets” to refer to adjustable-rate pass-through certificates, CMO floaters, Agency debentures and corporate debt.

We may also invest in Agency debentures, which consist of debentures issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. We intend to continue to invest in adjustable-rate pass-through certificates, fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities, CMO floaters, and Agency debentures. We may also invest on a limited basis in derivative securities which include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

Borrowings

We attempt to structure our collateralized borrowings to have interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods that, on an aggregate basis, correspond generally to the interest rate adjustment indices and periods of our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets. However, periodic rate adjustments on our collateralized borrowings are generally more frequent than rate adjustments on our Investment Securities.

We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of less than 12:1. This ratio varies from time to time based upon various factors, including our management's opinion of the level of risk of our assets and liabilities, our liquidity position, our level of unused borrowing capacity, the availability of credit, over-collateralization levels required by lenders when we pledge assets to secure borrowings and our assessment of domestic and international market conditions. Our debt-to-equity ratios have been below our historical average ratios since the credit crisis of 2008. Specifically, we believe that it is prudent to maintain our existing debt-to-equity ratio because there continues to be volatility in the mortgage and credit markets primarily driven by the uncertainty in Europe and U.S. capital markets. For purposes of calculating this ratio, our debt is equal to our repurchase agreements and convertible senior notes as presented on our Statements of Financial Condition. At December 31, 2012, our ratio of debt-to-equity was 6.5:1.

Our target debt-to-equity ratio is determined under our capital investment policy. Should our actual debt-to-equity ratio increase above the target level due to asset acquisition or market value fluctuations in assets, we would cease to acquire new assets. Our management will, at that time, present a plan to our board of directors to bring us back to our target debt-to-equity ratio; in many circumstances, this would be accomplished over time by the monthly reduction of the balance of our Agency mortgage-backed securities through principal repayments.

During 2010, we issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 4% convertible senior notes due 2015 (4% Convertible Senior Notes) for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$582.0 million. Interest on the notes is paid semi-annually at a rate of 4% per year and the notes will mature on February 15, 2015 unless repurchased or converted earlier. As of December 31, 2012 the notes were convertible into shares of common stock at a conversion rate of 70.6980 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$14.1447 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. During 2012, we repurchased approximately \$492.5 million of the outstanding \$600.0 million of our 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes for \$617.5 million.

During 2012, we issued \$750.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5% convertible senior notes due 2015 (5% Convertible Senior Notes) for net proceeds following underwriting expenses of approximately \$727.5 million. Interest on the notes is paid semi-annually at a rate of 5% per year and the notes will mature on May 15, 2015 unless repurchased or converted earlier. As of December 31, 2012 the notes were convertible into shares of common stock at a conversion rate of 52.7969 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$18.94 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

Hedging

To the extent consistent with our election to qualify as a REIT, we enter into hedging transactions to attempt to protect our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures and related borrowings against the effects of significant interest rate changes. This hedging is used to limit or cap the interest rates on our borrowings. These transactions are entered into solely for the purpose of hedging interest rate or prepayment risk and not for speculative purposes. In connection with our interest rate risk management strategy, we hedge a portion of our interest rate risk by entering into derivative financial instrument contracts. We have elected to not account our interest rate swaps using hedge accounting. Therefore, changes in fair value on our interest rate swaps are reflected in earnings.

Our Subsidiaries

FIDAC, an investment advisor registered with the SEC, is a fixed-income investment management company specializing in managing fixed income investments in residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities and collateralized debt obligations for various investment vehicles and separate accounts. FIDAC also has experience in managing and structuring debt financing associated with various asset classes and as a liquidation agent of collateralized debt obligations. FIDAC commenced active investment management operations in 1994. At December 31, 2012, FIDAC was the adviser or sub-adviser for REITs and other investment vehicles. The team managing Annaly performs the same roles at FIDAC.

Merganser, an investment advisor registered with the SEC, has expertise in a variety of fixed income strategies and focuses on managing each portfolio based on each client's specific investment principles. Merganser serves a diverse group of clients in a variety of disciplines nationwide including pension, public, operating, Taft-Hartley and endowment funds as well as defined contribution plans. Merganser's investment team maintains a careful balance of risk management and performance by employing fundamental security analysis and by trading in an environment supported by state-of-the-art technology, infrastructure and operations.

RCap operates as a broker-dealer and has been a member in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority since January 2009.

3

In 2010, we established Shannon, which provides warehouse financing to residential mortgage originators in the United States. In 2010, we also established Charlesfort, which engages in corporate middle market lending transactions. In 2011, FIDAC established FIDAC Europe, which we sold in December 2012. In 2011, we established FIDAC FSI, which invested in trading securities. FIDAC FSI was liquidated in August 2012. We also own an additional subsidiary which owns trading securities.

Compliance with REIT and Investment Company Requirements

We regularly monitor our investments and the income from these investments and, to the extent we enter into hedging transactions, we monitor income from our hedging transactions as well, so as to ensure at all times that we maintain our qualification as a REIT and our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

Executive Officers of the Company

The following table sets forth certain information as of February 25, 2013 concerning our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position held with the Company
Wellington J. Denahan	49	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Kevin G. Keyes	45	President
Kathryn F. Fagan	46	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
R. Nicholas Singh	53	Chief Legal Officer, Secretary, and Chief Compliance Officer
James P. Fortescue	39	Chief Operating Officer
Kristopher Konrad	38	Co-Chief Investment Officer
Rose-Marie Lyght	39	Co-Chief Investment Officer

Wellington J. Denahan is Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Annaly. Ms. Denahan was appointed Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Annaly on November 5, 2012. Previously, Ms. Denahan was appointed to serve as Co-Chief Executive Officer of Annaly effective October 8, 2012. Ms. Denahan was elected on December 5, 1996 to serve as Vice Chairman of the Board and a Chief Investment Officer of Annaly. Ms. Denahan was Annaly's Chief Operating Officer from January 2006 to October 2012 and Chief Investment Officer from 2000 to November 2012. She was a co-founder of Annaly. Ms. Denahan has a Bachelor of Arts from Florida State University.

Kevin G. Keyes, age 45, is President of Annaly and is also a member of the Board of Directors. Prior to being named to his current role, Mr. Keyes served as Chief Strategy Officer and Head of Capital Markets at Annaly. Mr. Keyes has over 20 years of Capital Markets and Investment Banking experience. He joined Annaly in 2009 from Bank of America Merrill Lynch where he served in various senior management and business origination roles since 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Keyes also worked at Credit Suisse First Boston from 1997 until 2005 in various capital markets roles and Morgan Stanley Dean Witter from 1990 until 1997 in various investment banking positions. Mr. Keyes has a B.A. in Economics and a B.S. in Business Administration (ALPA Program) from the University of Notre Dame.

Kathryn F. Fagan is the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Annaly and FIDAC. Ms. Fagan was employed as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Annaly in April 1997. From June 1, 1991 to February 28, 1997, Ms. Fagan was Chief Financial Officer and Controller of First Federal Savings & Loan Association of Opelousas, Louisiana. Ms. Fagan was employed as a bank and savings and loan auditor by John S. Dowling & Company, a corporation of Certified Public Accountants. Ms. Fagan has a Bachelor of Arts and a Masters Degree in Business Administration each from the University of Southwestern Louisiana.

R. Nicholas Singh is Chief Legal Officer, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of Annaly and FIDAC. Mr. Singh was employed by Annaly in February 2005. From 2001 until he joined Annaly, he was a partner in the law firm of McKee Nelson LLP. Mr. Singh has a Bachelors Degree from Carleton College, a Masters Degree from Columbia University and a J.D. from American University.

James P. Fortescue was appointed to serve as to serve as Chief Operating Officer of Annaly and FIDAC effective October 8, 2012. Mr. Fortescue was previously Chief of Staff, Head of Liabilities and Managing Director of Annaly. Mr. Fortescue joined FIDAC in June of 1995. Mr. Fortescue's responsibilities included overseeing FIDAC's financing on mortgage-backed and corporate bonds, as well as maintaining a pricing service for a major broker dealer. In September of 1996, Mr. Fortescue assumed responsibility for overseeing financing activities for the U.S. Dollar Floating Rate Fund Ltd. Mr. Fortescue has been in charge of liability management for Annaly since its inception, and continues to oversee all financing activities for FIDAC. Mr. Fortescue has a Bachelors Degree in Finance from Siena College.

Kristopher Konrad was appointed to serve as to serve as Co-Chief Investment Officer of Annaly effective November 5, 2012. Mr. Konrad was previously a Managing Director and Head Portfolio Manager of Annaly. Mr. Konrad was the Portfolio Manager for Annaly and has served in this capacity since December of 2000. Prior to this, he was head of financing for the U.S. Dollar Floating Rate Fund Ltd. and assisted with the management of FIDAC's high net worth separate accounts. Mr. Konrad was employed by Annaly in October 1997. Mr. Konrad has a Bachelors Degree in Business from Ithaca College and has attended the New York Institute of Finance for intensive mortgage-backed securities studies.

Rose-Marie Lyght was appointed to serve as Co-Chief Investment Officer of Annaly effective November 5, 2012. Ms. Lyght was previously a Managing Director of Annaly and Chief Investment Officer of FIDAC. She has been involved in the asset selection and financing for the investment vehicles managed by FIDAC. Ms. Lyght was employed by Annaly in April 1999. Ms. Lyght has a Bachelor of Science in Finance and a Masters Degree in Business Administration from Villanova University.

Distributions

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must distribute substantially all of our taxable income to our stockholders each year (subject to certain adjustments). We have done this in the past and intend to continue to do so in the future. We also have declared and paid regular quarterly dividends in the past and intend to do so in the future. We have adopted a dividend reinvestment plan to enable holders of common stock to reinvest dividends automatically in additional shares of common stock.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

General

Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on our Investment Securities and the costs of borrowing to finance our acquisition of Investment Securities, and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. To achieve our business objective and generate dividend yields, our strategy is:

§ to acquire Investment Securities that we believe:

- we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage;

- we can readily finance;
- are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives; and
- provide attractive investment returns in a range of scenarios;

§ to finance purchases of mortgage-backed securities with the proceeds from equity and debt offerings and repurchase agreements and, to the extent permitted by our capital investment policy, to utilize leverage to increase potential returns to stockholders through borrowings;

§ to attempt to structure our borrowings to have interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods that, on an aggregate basis, generally correspond to the interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities;

§ to seek to minimize prepayment risk by structuring a diversified portfolio with a variety of prepayment characteristics and through other means; and

§ to issue new equity or debt and increase the size of our balance sheet when opportunities in the market for mortgage-backed securities are likely to allow growth in earnings per share.

To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders' equity to real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We believe we are able to obtain cost efficiencies through our facilities-sharing arrangement with FIDAC and RCap and by virtue of our management's experience in managing portfolios of mortgage-backed securities and arranging collateralized borrowings. We will strive to become even more cost-efficient over time by:

§ seeking to raise additional capital from time to time in order to increase our ability to invest in mortgage-backed securities;

§ seeking to repurchase shares of our capital stock or debt securities from time to time;

§ striving to lower our effective borrowing costs by seeking direct funding with collateralized lenders, rather than using financial intermediaries, and investigating the possibility of using commercial paper and medium term note programs;

§ improving the efficiency of our balance sheet structure by investigating the issuance of uncollateralized subordinated debt, preferred stock and other forms of capital; and

§ utilizing information technology in our business, including improving our ability to monitor the performance of our Investment Securities and to lower our operating costs.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

General

To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities that we have acquired have been Agency mortgage-backed securities which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating. Agency mortgage-backed securities are mortgage-backed securities where a government agency or federally chartered corporation, such as Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae, guarantees payments of principal or interest on the securities. Agency mortgage-backed securities consist of agency pass-through certificates and CMOs issued or guaranteed by an Agency.

We intend to acquire only those mortgage-backed securities that we believe we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage, that are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives and that we can readily finance. Since we generally hold the mortgage-backed securities we acquire until maturity, we generally do not seek to acquire assets whose investment returns are attractive in only a limited range of scenarios. We believe that future interest rates and mortgage prepayment rates are very difficult to predict. Therefore, we seek to acquire mortgage-backed securities which we believe will provide attractive returns over a broad range of interest rate and prepayment scenarios. We, from time to time, may purchase or sell to-be-announced forward contracts (“TBAs”) in order to invest in Agency mortgage-backed securities. Pursuant to these TBAs, we agree to purchase, for future delivery, Agency mortgage-backed securities with certain principal and interest terms and certain types of collateral, but the particular Agency mortgage-backed securities to be delivered to us are not identified until shortly before the TBA settlement date.

At December 31, 2012, our mortgage-backed securities consisted of pass-through certificates and CMOs issued or guaranteed by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae.

Description of Mortgage-Backed Securities

The mortgage-backed securities that we acquire provide funds for mortgage loans made primarily to residential homeowners. Our securities generally represent interests in pools of mortgage loans made by savings and loan institutions, mortgage bankers, commercial banks and other mortgage lenders. These pools of mortgage loans are assembled for sale to investors (like us) by various government-related and private organizations.

Mortgage-backed securities differ from other forms of traditional debt securities, which normally provide for periodic payments of interest in fixed amounts with principal payments at maturity or on specified call dates. Instead, mortgage-backed securities provide for a monthly payment, which consists of both interest and principal. In effect, these payments are a “pass-through” of the monthly interest and principal payments made by the individual borrower on the mortgage loans, net of any fees paid to the issuer or guarantor of the securities. Additional payments result from prepayments of principal upon the sale, refinancing or foreclosure of the underlying residential property, net of fees or costs which may be incurred. Some mortgage-backed securities, such as securities issued by Ginnie Mae, are described as “modified pass-through”. These securities entitle the holder to receive all interest and principal payments owed on the mortgage pool, net of certain fees, regardless of whether the mortgagors actually make mortgage payments when due.

The investment characteristics of pass-through mortgage-backed securities differ from those of traditional fixed-income securities. The major differences include the payment of interest and principal on the mortgage-backed securities on a more frequent schedule, as described above, and the possibility that principal may be prepaid at any time due to prepayments on the underlying mortgage loans or other assets. These differences can result in significantly greater price and yield volatility than is the case with traditional fixed-income securities.

Various factors affect the rate at which mortgage prepayments occur, including changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, the age of the mortgage loan, the location of the property and other social and demographic conditions. Generally prepayments on mortgage-backed securities increase during periods of falling mortgage interest rates and decrease during periods of rising mortgage interest rates. We may reinvest prepayments at a yield that is higher or lower than the yield on the prepaid investment, thus affecting the weighted average yield of our investments.

To the extent mortgage-backed securities are purchased at a premium, faster than expected prepayments result in a faster than expected amortization of the premium paid. Conversely, if these securities were purchased at a discount, faster than expected prepayments accelerate our recognition of income.

CMOs may allow for shifting of prepayment risk from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to mortgage pass-through certificates where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments, on the underlying mortgages.

Freddie Mac Certificates

Freddie Mac is a privately-owned government-sponsored enterprise created pursuant to an Act of Congress on July 24, 1970. On September 6, 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency, (or FHFA), placed Freddie Mac into conservatorship. As the conservator of Freddie Mac, the FHFA controls and directs Freddie Mac's operations. The principal activity of Freddie Mac currently consists of the purchase of mortgage loans or participation interests in mortgage loans and the resale of the loans and participations in the form of guaranteed mortgage-backed securities. Freddie Mac guarantees to each holder of Freddie Mac certificates the timely payment of interest at the applicable pass-through rate and ultimate collection of all principal on the holder's pro rata share of the unpaid principal balance of the related mortgage loans, but does not guarantee the timely payment of scheduled principal of the underlying mortgage loans. Notwithstanding the conservatorship of Freddie Mac by the FHFA, the obligations of Freddie Mac under its guarantees are solely those of Freddie Mac and are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. If Freddie Mac were unable to satisfy these obligations, distributions to holders of Freddie Mac certificates would consist solely of payments and other recoveries on the underlying mortgage loans and, accordingly, defaults and delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans would adversely affect monthly distributions to holders of Freddie Mac certificates.

Freddie Mac certificates may be backed by pools of single-family mortgage loans or multi-family mortgage loans. These underlying mortgage loans may have original terms to maturity of up to 40 years. Freddie Mac certificates may be issued under cash programs (composed of mortgage loans purchased from a number of sellers) or guarantor programs (composed of mortgage loans acquired from one seller in exchange for certificates representing interests in the mortgage loans purchased).

Freddie Mac certificates may pay interest at a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. The interest rate paid on adjustable-rate Freddie Mac certificates (Freddie Mac ARMs) adjusts periodically within 60 days prior to the month in which the interest rates on the underlying mortgage loans adjust. The interest rates paid on certificates issued under Freddie Mac's standard ARM programs adjust in relation to the Treasury index. Other specified indices used in Freddie Mac ARM programs include the 11th District Cost of Funds Index published by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, LIBOR and other indices. Interest rates paid on fully-indexed Freddie Mac ARM certificates equal the applicable index rate plus a specified number of basis points. The majority of the series of Freddie Mac ARM certificates issued to date have evidenced pools of mortgage loans with monthly, semi-annual or annual interest adjustments. Adjustments in the interest rates paid are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of either 100 or 200 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 or 600 basis points over the initial interest rate. Certain Freddie Mac programs include mortgage loans which allow the borrower to convert the adjustable mortgage interest rate to a fixed rate. Adjustable-rate mortgages which are converted into fixed-rate mortgage loans are repurchased by Freddie Mac or by the seller of the loan to Freddie Mac at the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus accrued interest to the due date of the last adjustable rate interest payment.

Fannie Mae Certificates

Fannie Mae is a privately-owned, federally-chartered corporation organized and existing under the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act. On September 6, 2008, the FHFA placed Fannie Mae into conservatorship. As the conservator of Fannie Mae, the FHFA controls and directs Fannie Mae's operations. Fannie Mae provides funds to the mortgage market primarily by purchasing home mortgage loans from local lenders, thereby replenishing their funds for additional lending. Fannie Mae guarantees to the registered holder of a Fannie Mae certificate that it will distribute amounts representing scheduled principal and interest on the mortgage loans in the pool underlying the Fannie Mae certificate, whether or not received, and the full principal amount of any such mortgage loan foreclosed or otherwise finally liquidated, whether or not the principal amount is actually received. Notwithstanding the conservatorship of Fannie Mae by the FHFA, the obligations of Fannie Mae under its guarantees are solely those of Fannie Mae and are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. If Fannie Mae were unable to satisfy its obligations, distributions to holders of Fannie Mae certificates would consist solely of payments and other recoveries on the underlying mortgage loans and, accordingly, defaults and delinquencies on the underlying mortgage loans would adversely affect monthly distributions to holders of Fannie Mae certificates.

Fannie Mae certificates may be backed by pools of single-family or multi-family mortgage loans. The original term to maturity of any such mortgage loan generally does not exceed 40 years. Fannie Mae certificates may pay interest at a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. Each series of Fannie Mae ARM certificates bears an initial interest rate and margin tied to an index based on all loans in the related pool, less a fixed percentage representing servicing compensation and Fannie Mae's guarantee fee. The specified index used in different series has included the Treasury Index, the 11th District Cost of Funds Index published by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, LIBOR and other indices. Interest rates paid on fully-indexed Fannie Mae ARM certificates equal the applicable index rate plus a specified number of basis points. The majority of series of Fannie Mae ARM certificates issued to date have evidenced pools of mortgage loans with monthly, semi-annual or annual interest rate adjustments. Adjustments in the interest rates paid are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of either 100 or 200 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 or 600 basis points over the initial interest rate. Certain Fannie Mae programs include mortgage loans which allow the borrower to convert the adjustable mortgage interest rate of the ARM to a fixed rate. Adjustable-rate mortgages which are converted into fixed-rate mortgage loans are repurchased by Fannie Mae or by the seller of the loans to Fannie Mae at the unpaid principal of the loan plus accrued interest to the due date of the last adjustable rate interest payment.

Ginnie Mae Certificates

Ginnie Mae is a wholly owned corporate instrumentality of the United States within the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The National Housing Act of 1934 authorizes Ginnie Mae to guarantee the timely payment of the principal and interest on certificates which represent an interest in a pool of mortgages insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or partially guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs and other loans eligible for inclusion in mortgage pools underlying Ginnie Mae certificates. Section 306(g) of the Housing Act provides that the full faith and credit of the United States is pledged to the payment of all amounts which may be required to be paid under any guaranty by Ginnie Mae.

At present, most Ginnie Mae certificates are backed by single-family mortgage loans. The interest rate paid on Ginnie Mae certificates may be a fixed rate or an adjustable rate. The interest rate on Ginnie Mae certificates issued under Ginnie Mae's standard ARM program adjusts annually in relation to the Treasury index. Adjustments in the interest rate are generally limited to an annual increase or decrease of 100 basis points and to a lifetime cap of 500 basis points over the initial coupon rate.

Single-Family and Multi-Family Privately-Issued Certificates

Single-family and multi-family privately-issued certificates are pass-through certificates that are not issued by one of the Agencies and that are backed by a pool of conventional single-family or multi-family mortgage loans. These certificates are issued by originators of, investors in, and other owners of mortgage loans, including savings and loan associations, savings banks, commercial banks, mortgage banks, investment banks and special purpose “conduit” subsidiaries of these institutions.

While Agency pass-through certificates are backed by the express obligation or guarantee of one of the Agencies, as described above, privately-issued certificates are generally covered by one or more forms of private (i.e., non-governmental) credit enhancements. These credit enhancements provide an extra layer of loss coverage in the event that losses are incurred upon foreclosure sales or other liquidations of underlying mortgaged properties in amounts that exceed the equity holder's equity interest in the property. Forms of credit enhancements include limited issuer guarantees, reserve funds, private mortgage guaranty pool insurance, over-collateralization and subordination.

Subordination is a form of credit enhancement frequently used and involves the issuance of classes of senior and subordinated mortgage-backed securities. These classes are structured into a hierarchy to allocate losses on the underlying mortgage loans and also for defining priority of rights to payment of principal and interest. Typically, one or more classes of senior securities are created which are rated in one of the two highest rating levels by one or more nationally recognized rating agencies and which are supported by one or more classes of mezzanine securities and subordinated securities that bear losses on the underlying loans prior to the classes of senior securities. In some cases, only classes of senior securities and subordinated securities are issued. By adjusting the priority of interest and principal payments on each class of a given series of senior-subordinated mortgage-backed securities, issuers are able to create classes of mortgage-backed securities with varying degrees of credit exposure, prepayment exposure and potential total return, tailored to meet the needs of sophisticated institutional investors.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations and Multi-Class Pass-Through Securities

We may also invest in CMOs and multi-class pass-through securities. CMOs are debt obligations issued by special purpose entities that are secured by mortgage loans or mortgage-backed certificates, including, in many cases, certificates issued by government and government-related guarantors, including, Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, together with certain funds and other collateral. Multi-class pass-through securities are equity interests in a trust composed of mortgage loans or other mortgage-backed securities. Payments of principal and interest on underlying collateral provide the funds to pay debt service on the CMO or make scheduled distributions on the multi-class pass-through securities. CMOs and multi-class pass-through securities may be issued by agencies or instrumentalities of the U.S. Government or by private organizations. The discussion of CMOs in the following paragraphs is similarly applicable to multi-class pass-through securities.

In a CMO, a series of bonds or certificates is issued in multiple classes. Each class of CMOs, often referred to as a "tranche," is issued at a specific coupon rate (which, as discussed below, may be an adjustable rate subject to a cap) and has a stated maturity or final distribution date. Principal prepayments on collateral underlying a CMO may cause it to be retired substantially earlier than the stated maturity or final distribution date. Interest is paid or accrues on all classes of a CMO on a monthly, quarterly or semi-annual basis. The principal and interest on underlying mortgages may be allocated among the several classes of a series of a CMO in many ways. In a common structure, payments of principal, including any principal prepayments, on the underlying mortgages are applied to the classes of the series of a CMO in the order of their respective stated maturities or final distribution dates, so that no payment of principal will be made on any class of a CMO until all other classes having an earlier stated maturity or final distribution date have been paid in full.

Other types of CMO issues include classes such as parallel pay CMOs, some of which, such as planned amortization class CMOs (or PAC bonds), provide protection against prepayment uncertainty. Parallel pay CMOs are structured to provide payments of principal on certain payment dates to more than one class. These simultaneous payments are taken into account in calculating the stated maturity date or final distribution date of each class which, as with other CMO structures, must be retired by its stated maturity date or final distribution date but may be retired earlier. PAC bonds generally require payment of a specified amount of principal on each payment date so long as prepayment speeds on the underlying collateral fall within a specified range.

Other types of CMO issues include targeted amortization class CMOs (or TAC bonds), which are similar to PAC bonds. While PAC bonds maintain their amortization schedule within a specified range of prepayment speeds, TAC bonds are generally targeted to a narrow range of prepayment speeds or a specified prepayment speed. TAC bonds can provide protection against prepayment uncertainty since cash flows generated from higher prepayments of the underlying mortgage-related assets are applied to the various other pass-through tranches so as to allow the TAC bonds to maintain their amortization schedule.

A CMO may be subject to the issuer's right to redeem the CMO prior to its stated maturity date, which may diminish the anticipated return on our investment. Privately-issued CMOs are supported by private credit enhancements similar to those used for privately-issued certificates and are often issued as senior-subordinated mortgage-backed securities. We will only acquire CMOs or multi-class pass-through certificates that constitute debt obligations or beneficial ownership in grantor trusts holding mortgage loans, or regular interests in REMICs, or that otherwise constitute qualified REIT real estate assets under the Internal Revenue Code (provided that we have obtained a favorable opinion of our tax advisor or a ruling from the IRS to that effect).

Adjustable-Rate Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates and Floating Rate Mortgage-Backed Securities

Some of the mortgage pass-through certificates we acquire are adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates. This means that their interest rates may vary over time based upon changes in an objective index, such as:

LIBOR or the London Interbank Offered Rate. The interest rate that banks in London offer for deposits in London of U.S. dollars.

Treasury Index. A monthly or weekly average yield of benchmark U.S. Treasury securities, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.

These indices generally reflect short-term interest rates. The underlying mortgages for adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates are adjustable-rate mortgage loans (or ARMs).

We also acquire CMO floaters. One or more tranches of a CMO may have coupon rates that reset periodically at a specified increment over an index such as LIBOR. These adjustable-rate tranches are sometimes known as CMO floaters and may be backed by fixed or adjustable-rate mortgages.

There are two main categories of indices for adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters: (1) those based on U.S. Treasury securities, and (2) those derived from calculated measures such as a cost of funds index or a moving average of mortgage rates. Commonly utilized indices include the one-year Treasury note rate, the three-month Treasury bill rate, the six-month Treasury bill rate, rates on long-term Treasury securities, the 11th District Federal Home Loan Bank Costs of Funds Index, the National Median Cost of Funds Index, one-month or three-month LIBOR, the prime rate of a specific bank, or commercial paper rates. Some indices, such as the one-year Treasury rate, closely mirror changes in market interest rate levels. Others, such as the 11th District Home Loan Bank Cost of Funds Index, tend to lag changes in market interest rate levels. We seek to diversify our investments in adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters among a variety of indices and reset periods so that we are not at any one time unduly exposed to the risk of interest rate fluctuations. In selecting adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters for investment, we will also consider the liquidity of the market for the different mortgage-backed securities.

Adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters typically have caps, which limit the maximum amount by which the interest rate may be increased or decreased at periodic intervals or over the life of the security. To the extent that interest rates rise faster than the allowable caps on the adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, these securities will behave more like fixed-rate securities. Consequently, interest rate increases in excess of caps can be expected to cause these securities to behave more like traditional debt securities than adjustable-rate interest-earning assets and, accordingly, to decline in value to a greater extent than would be the case in the absence of these caps.

Adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, like other mortgage-backed securities, differ from conventional bonds in that principal is to be paid back over the life of the security rather than at maturity. As a result,

we receive monthly scheduled payments of principal and interest on these securities and may receive unscheduled principal payments representing prepayments on the underlying mortgages. When we reinvest the payments and any unscheduled prepayments we receive, we may receive a rate of interest on the reinvestment which is lower than the rate on the existing security. For this reason, adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters are less effective than longer-term debt securities as a means of “locking in” longer-term interest rates. Accordingly, adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters, while generally having less risk of price decline during periods of rapidly rising interest rates than fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities of comparable maturities, have less potential for capital appreciation than fixed-rate securities during periods of declining interest rates.

As in the case of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities, to the extent these securities are purchased at a premium, faster than expected prepayments would accelerate our amortization of the premium. Conversely, if these securities were purchased at a discount, faster than expected prepayments would accelerate our recognition of income.

Fixed-rate CMOs and floating-rate CMOs may allow for shifting of prepayment risk from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to mortgage pass-through certificates where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments, on the underlying mortgages.

Other Floating Rate Instruments

We may also invest in structured floating-rate notes issued or guaranteed by government Agencies, such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. These instruments are typically structured to reflect an interest rate arbitrage (i.e., the difference between the Agency's cost of funds and the income stream from specified assets of the Agency) and their reset formulas may provide more attractive returns than other floating rate instruments. The indices used to determine resets are the same as those described above.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

Commercial First Mortgage Loans – First mortgage loans are generally three-to-ten year term loans that are primarily for fully constructed commercial real estate located in the United States that are current pay and are either fixed or floating rate. Some of these loans may be syndicated in either a pari passu or in a senior/subordinated structure. Commercial first mortgages generally provide for a higher recovery rate due to their senior position.

Construction Loans – We may acquire participations in construction or rehabilitation loans on commercial properties. These loans will generally provide 40% to 60% of financing on the total cost of the construction or rehabilitation project and will be secured by first mortgage liens on the property under construction or rehabilitation. Purchases of construction and rehabilitation loans would generally allow us to earn origination fees and may also entitle us to a percentage of the underlying property's net operating income (subject to our qualification as a REIT) or gross revenues, payable on an ongoing basis, as well as a percentage of any increase in value of the property, payable upon maturity or refinancing of the loan.

Subordinated Debt

Commercial Subordinated Mortgage Loans or B-Notes – These instruments include structurally subordinated first mortgage loans and junior participations in first mortgage loans or participations in these types of assets. A B-Note is typically created from a privately negotiated loan that is secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and subordinated to an A-Note secured by the same first mortgage property or group. The subordination of a B-Note typically is evidenced by an intercreditor agreement with the holder of the A-Note. B-Notes are subject to more credit risk with respect to the underlying mortgage collateral than the corresponding A-Note. We may create subordinated mortgage loans by tranching or selling our purchased first mortgage loans through syndication of our senior first mortgages, or buy such assets directly from third party originators. We may originate B-Notes privately or purchase them in the secondary market.

Purchasers of subordinated mortgage loans and B-Notes are compensated for the increased risk of such assets but still benefit from a lien on the related property. Investors' rights are generally governed through participations and other agreements that, subject to certain limitations, provide the holders of subordinated positions of the mortgage loan with the ability to cure certain defaults and control certain decisions of holders of senior debt secured by the same property or properties.

Commercial Mezzanine Loans – These loans are secured by a pledge of the borrower’s equity ownership in the property. Unlike a mortgage, this loan does not represent a lien on the property. Therefore it is always junior and subordinate to any first-lien as well as second liens, if applicable, on the property. These loans are senior to any preferred equity or common equity interests. Purchasers of mezzanine loans benefit from a right to foreclose on the ownership equity in a more efficient means than senior mortgage debt. Also, investor rights are usually governed by intercreditor agreements that provide holders with the rights to cure defaults and exercise control on certain decisions of any senior debt secured by the same property. Commercial mezzanine loans may also be syndicated in either a pari passu or senior/subordinated structure.

Preferred Equity investments- A preferred equity investment is an equity investment in the entity that owns the underlying property. Preferred equity is not secured by the underlying property, but holders have priority relative to common equity holders on cash flow distributions and proceeds from capital events. In addition, preferred equity holders may be able to enhance their position and protect their equity position with covenants that limit the entity's activities and grant the holder the exclusive right to control the property after an event of default. Occasionally, the first mortgage on a property prohibits additional liens and a preferred equity structure provides an attractive financing alternative. With preferred equity investments, we may become a special limited partner or member in the entity and may be entitled to take certain actions, or cause liquidation, upon a default. Preferred equity typically is more highly leveraged, with last-dollar loan-to-value ratios at origination of 85% to more than 90%. We expect our preferred equity to have mandatory redemption dates (that is, maturity dates) that range from three years to five years, and we expect to hold these investments to maturity.

Commercial Real Properties

Commercial Real Property – We may make acquisitions in commercial real property to take advantage of attractive opportunities. Additionally, in certain instances we may engage in sale-leaseback transactions with our commercial real properties. From time to time, our real estate debt investments result in us owning commercial real property as a result of a loan workout and the exercise of our remedies under the mortgage documents.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

CMBS are mortgage-backed securities that evidence interests in, or are secured by, a single commercial mortgage or a pool of commercial mortgage loans. CMBS are typically issued in multiple tranches or split into different levels of risk thereby allowing an investor to select a credit level that suits its risk profile. Principal payments are applied sequentially to the most senior tranche of the structure until the most senior class has been repaid. Losses and other shortfalls are borne by the most subordinate class which receives principal payments only after the more senior classes are repaid.

Other Commercial Real Estate-Related Assets

Commercial Real Estate Collateralized Debt Obligations – CRE CDOs are multiple class securities, or bonds, secured by pools of assets such as CMBS, B-Notes, mezzanine loans and REIT debt. In a CRE CDO, the assets are pledged to a trustee for the benefit of the bond certificate holders. Generally, principal payments are applied sequentially to the most senior tranche of the structure until the most senior class has been repaid. Losses and other shortfalls are borne by the most subordinate class which receives principal payments only after the more senior classes are fully repaid. However, these structures are also subject to further compliance tests pursuant to their respective indentures, the failure of which can also result in the redirection of cash flow to the most senior securities.

Loans to REITS and Real Estate Operating Companies – These assets generally are publicly registered, unsecured corporate obligations made to companies whose primary business is the ownership and operation of commercial real estate including office, retail, multifamily and industrial properties. These investments generally pay semi-annually versus monthly for mortgage instruments. Credit protections include both operating and maintenance covenants.

Residential Mortgage Loans

We may from time-to-time through our subsidiary Shannon invest a portion of our assets directly in the ownership or financing of residential mortgage loans (mortgage loans secured by residential real property) primarily through direct purchases from selected mortgage originators. The acquisition or financing of mortgage loans generally involves credit risk. We may obtain credit enhancement to mitigate this risk; however, there can be no assurances that we will

be able to obtain credit enhancement or that credit enhancement would mitigate the credit risk of the underlying mortgage loans.

If we invest in residential mortgage loans, we intend to invest primarily in residential mortgage loans underwritten to our specifications. The originators perform the credit review of the borrowers, the appraisal of the properties securing the loan, and maintain other quality control procedures. We would generally consider the purchase of loans when the originators have verified the borrowers' income and assets, verified their credit history and obtained appraisals of the properties. We or a third party would perform an independent underwriting review of the processing, underwriting and loan closing methodologies that the originators used in qualifying a borrower for a loan. Depending on the size of the loans, we may not review all of the loans in a pool, but rather select loans for underwriting review based upon specific risk-based criteria such as property location, loan size, effective loan-to-value ratio, borrower's credit score and other criteria we believe to be important indicators of credit risk. Additionally, before the purchase of loans, we would obtain representations and warranties from each originator stating that each loan is underwritten to our requirements or, in the event underwriting exceptions have been made, we are informed so that we may evaluate whether to accept or reject the loans. An originator who breaches these representations and warranties in making a loan that we would purchase may be obligated to repurchase the loan from us. As added security, we would use the services of a third-party document custodian to insure the quality and accuracy of all individual mortgage loan closing documents purchased and to hold the documents in safekeeping. As a result, all of the original loan collateral documents that are signed by the borrower, other than the original credit verification documents, would be examined, verified and held by the third-party document custodian.

We may originate mortgage loans or provide other types of financing to the owners of real estate. We currently do not intend to establish a loan servicing platform, but expect we would retain highly-rated servicers to service our mortgage loan portfolio.

We expect that all servicers servicing our loans will be highly rated by the rating agencies. We would also conduct a due diligence review of each servicer before executing a servicing agreement. Servicing procedures will typically follow Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac guidelines but would be specified in each servicing agreement. All servicing agreements would meet standards for inclusion in highly-rated mortgage-backed or asset-backed securitizations or for inclusion in Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We expect that the loans we would acquire will be first lien, single-family residential traditional fixed-rate, adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate loans with original terms to maturity of not more than 40 years and are either fully amortizing or are interest-only for up to ten years, and fully amortizing thereafter. Fixed-rate mortgage loans bear an interest rate that is fixed for the life of the loan. All adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans will bear an interest rate tied to an interest rate index. Most loans have periodic and lifetime constraints on how much the loan interest rate can change on any predetermined interest rate reset date. The interest rate on each adjustable-rate mortgage loan resets monthly, semi-annually or annually and generally adjusts to a margin over a U.S. Treasury index or London Interbank Offer Rate, or LIBOR, index. Hybrid adjustable-rate loans have a fixed rate for an initial period, generally three to ten years, and then convert to adjustable-rate loans for their remaining term to maturity.

We may acquire residential mortgage loans for our portfolio with the intention of either securitizing them and retaining them in our portfolio as securitized mortgage loans (which may be in the form of Agency mortgage-backed securities) or holding them in our residential mortgage loan portfolio. If we securitize residential mortgage loans that are not eligible to be Agency mortgage-backed securities or we choose not to securitize the loans through an Agency, to facilitate the Non-Agency mortgage-backed securities, we would generally create subordinate certificates, which provide a specified amount of credit enhancement. Until we securitize our residential mortgage loans, we may elect to finance our residential mortgage loan portfolio through the use of warehouse facilities and repurchase agreements.

Middle Market Lending

We may from time-to-time through our subsidiary Charlesfort invest a small percentage of our assets directly in the ownership of corporate loans for middle market companies. The acquisition of such corporate loans generally involves credit risk directly related to the middle market company obtaining the loan. We may obtain credit enhancement to mitigate this risk; however, there can be no assurances that we will be able to obtain credit enhancement or that credit enhancement would mitigate the credit risk of the underlying corporate loans.

Capital Investment Policy

Asset Acquisitions

Our capital investment policy provides that at least 75% of our total assets will be comprised of high quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. The remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of total assets, may consist of mortgage-backed securities and other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better) by S&P or the equivalent by another nationally recognized ratings agency or, if not rated, are determined by us to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

Our capital investment policy requires that we structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single “A” under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems. To date, substantially all of the mortgage-backed securities we have acquired have been pass-through certificates or CMOs issued or guaranteed by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae which, although not rated, carry an implied “AAA” rating.

To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders’ equity to real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We intend to acquire only those mortgage-backed securities that we believe we have the necessary expertise to evaluate and manage, that we can readily finance and that are consistent with our balance sheet guidelines and risk management objectives. Since we expect to hold our mortgage-backed securities until maturity, we generally do not seek to acquire assets whose investment returns are only attractive in a limited range of scenarios. We believe that future interest rates and mortgage prepayment rates are very difficult to predict and, as a result, we seek to acquire mortgage-backed securities which we believe provide attractive returns over a broad range of interest rate and prepayment scenarios. We, from time to time, may purchase or sell to-be-announced forward contracts (“TBAs”) in order to invest in Agency mortgage-backed securities. Pursuant to these TBAs, we agree to purchase, for future delivery, Agency mortgage-backed securities with certain principal and interest terms and certain types of collateral, but the particular Agency mortgage-backed securities to be delivered to us are not identified until shortly before the TBA settlement date.

Among the asset choices available to us, our policy is to acquire those mortgage-backed securities which we believe generate the highest returns on capital invested, after consideration of the following:

§ the amount and nature of anticipated cash flows from the asset;

§ our ability to pledge the asset to secure collateralized borrowings;

§ the increase in our capital requirement determined by our capital investment policy resulting from the purchase and financing of the asset; and

§ the costs of financing, hedging and managing the asset.

Prior to acquisition, we assess potential returns on capital employed over the life of the asset and in a variety of interest rate, yield spread, financing cost, credit loss and prepayment scenarios.

We also give consideration to balance sheet management and risk diversification issues. We deem a specific asset which we are evaluating for potential acquisition as more or less valuable to the extent it serves to increase or decrease certain interest rate or prepayment risks which may exist in the balance sheet, to diversify or concentrate credit risk, and to meet the cash flow and liquidity objectives our management may establish for our balance sheet from time-to-time. Accordingly, an important part of the asset evaluation process is a simulation, using risk management models, the addition of a potential asset and our associated borrowings and hedges to the balance sheet and an assessment of the impact this potential asset acquisition would have on the risks in and returns generated by our balance sheet as a whole over a variety of scenarios.

We believe that adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters are particularly well-suited to our investment objective of high current income, consistent with modest volatility of net asset value, because the value of adjustable-rate mortgage pass-through certificates and floaters generally remains relatively stable as compared to traditional fixed-rate debt securities paying comparable rates of interest. We have, however, purchased a significant amount of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities and may continue to do so in the future if, in our view, the potential returns on capital invested, after hedging and all other costs, would exceed the returns available from other assets or if the purchase of these assets would serve to reduce or diversify the risks of our balance sheet.

We may purchase the stock of mortgage REITs or similar companies when we believe that these purchases would yield attractive returns on capital employed. We do not, however, presently intend to invest in the securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control of other issuers.

We may acquire newly issued mortgage-backed securities, and also may seek to expand our capital base in order to further increase our ability to acquire new assets, when the potential returns from new investments appears attractive relative to the return expectations of stockholders. We may in the future acquire mortgage-backed securities by offering our debt or equity securities in exchange for the mortgage-backed securities.

We generally intend to hold mortgage-backed securities for extended periods. In addition, the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code limit in certain respects our ability to sell mortgage-backed securities. We may decide however to sell assets from time to time, for a number of reasons, including our desire to dispose of an asset as to which credit risk concerns have arisen, to reduce interest rate risk, to substitute one type of mortgage-backed security for another, to improve yield or to maintain compliance with the 55% requirement of Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act, or generally to re-structure the balance sheet when we deem advisable. Our board of directors has not adopted any policy that would restrict management's authority to determine the timing of sales or the selection of mortgage-backed securities to be sold.

We may invest in real estate mortgage investment conduit (REMIC) residuals or other CMO residuals. To the extent that we invest in REMIC residuals or other CMO residuals, we intend to hold or structure such investments to ensure that such income is not treated as unrelated business taxable income (UBTI) under the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, we might be subject to corporate level tax on such income.

As a requirement for maintaining REIT status, we will distribute to stockholders aggregate dividends equaling at least 90% of our REIT taxable income for each taxable year. We will make additional distributions of capital when the return expectations of the stockholders appear to exceed returns potentially available to us through making new investments in mortgage-backed securities. Subject to the limitations of applicable securities and state corporation laws, we can distribute capital by making purchases of our own capital stock or through paying down or repurchasing any outstanding uncollateralized debt obligations.

Our asset acquisition strategy may change over time as market conditions change and as we evolve.

Credit Risk Management

Although we do not expect to encounter credit risk in our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures, we face credit risk on the portions of our portfolio which are not mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures. In addition, our use of repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps creates exposure to credit risk relating to potential losses that could be recognized if the counterparties to these instruments fail to perform their obligations under the contracts. In the event of a default by the counterparty, we could have difficulty obtaining our Agency mortgage-backed securities pledged as collateral. We review credit risk and other risk of loss associated with each investment and determine the appropriate allocation of capital to apply to the investment under our capital

investment policy. Our management will monitor the overall portfolio risk and determine appropriate levels of provision for loss.

Other than for our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures, we may also be exposed to various levels of credit risk depending on the nature of our investments and the nature and level of the assets underlying the investments and credit enhancements, if any, supporting our assets. We will evaluate, approve and monitor credit risk and other risks associated with each investment. We intend to review loan to value metrics upon either the origination or the acquisition of a new investment but generally not in the course of quarterly surveillance. For our commercial mortgage and real estate assets, we expect that generally we will review the most recent financial information produced by the borrower, net operating income, or NOI, debt service coverage ratios, or DSCR, property debt yields (net cash flow or NOI divided by the amount of outstanding indebtedness), loan per unit and rent rolls relating to each of our assets, and may consider other factors we deem important. We intend to review market pricing to determine the ability to refinance such assets. For our commercial mortgage and real estate assets, we intend to also review economic trends, both macro as well as those directly affecting the property, and the supply and demand of competing projects in the sub-market in which the subject property is located. For our commercial mortgage and real estate assets, we also evaluate the borrower's ability to manage and operate the properties.

For our commercial mortgage and real estate assets, we intend to assign an internal rating of Performing, Watch List or Workout. Commercial mortgage and real estate assets that are deemed to be Performing would meet all present contractual obligations. Watch List would be defined as those whose timing and/or recovery of investment may be under review. Workout would be defined as those for which we do not expect to recover our cost basis.

Capital and Leverage

We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of less than 12:1. This ratio varies from time to time based upon various factors, including our management's opinion of the level of risk of our assets and liabilities, our liquidity position, our level of unused borrowing capacity, the availability of credit, over-collateralization levels required by lenders when we pledge assets to secure borrowings and our assessment of domestic and international market conditions. Our debt-to-equity ratios have been below our historical average ratios since the credit crisis of 2008. Specifically, we believe that it is prudent to maintain our existing debt-to-equity ratio because there continues to be volatility in the mortgage and credit markets primarily driven by the uncertainty in Europe and U.S. capital markets. For purposes of calculating this ratio, our debt is equal to our repurchase agreements and convertible senior notes as presented on our Statements of Financial Condition. At December 31, 2012, our ratio of debt-to-equity was 6.5:1.

Our target debt-to-equity ratio is determined under our capital investment policy. Should our actual debt-to-equity ratio increase above the target level due to asset acquisition or market value fluctuations in assets, we would cease to acquire new assets. Our management will, at that time, present a plan to our board of directors to bring us back to our target debt-to-equity ratio; in many circumstances, this would be accomplished over time by the monthly reduction of the balance of our Agency mortgage-backed securities through principal repayments. Our capital base represents the approximate liquidation value of our investments and approximates the market value of assets that we can pledge or sell to meet over-collateralization requirements for our borrowings. The unpledged portion of our capital base is available for us to pledge or sell as necessary to maintain over-collateralization levels for our borrowings.

Unless our board of directors determines otherwise, we are prohibited from acquiring additional assets during periods when our capital base is less than the minimum amount required under our capital investment policy, except as may be necessary to maintain REIT status or our exemption from the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (or the Investment Company Act). In addition, when our capital base falls below our risk-managed capital requirement, our management is required to submit to our board of directors a plan for bringing our capital base into compliance with our capital investment policy guidelines. We anticipate that in most circumstances we can achieve this goal without overt management action through the natural process of mortgage principal repayments. We anticipate that our capital base is likely to exceed our risk-managed capital requirement during periods following new equity

offerings and during periods of falling interest rates and that our capital base could fall below the risk-managed capital requirement during periods of rising interest rates.

The first component of our capital requirement is the current aggregate over-collateralization amount or “haircut” that lenders require us to hold as capital. The haircut for each mortgage-backed security is determined by our lenders based on the risk characteristics and liquidity of the asset. Should the market value of our pledged assets decline, we will be required to deliver additional collateral to our lenders to maintain a constant over-collateralization level on our borrowings.

The second component of our capital requirement is the “excess capital cushion.” This is the amount of pledge-able Interest Earning Assets we maintain that is in excess of the collateral required to be pledged under our borrowings. We maintain the excess capital cushion to meet the demands of our lenders for additional collateral should the market value of our pledged collateral decline. To ensure that we maintain an appropriate level of excess capital cushion under our capital investment policy, we determine on a daily basis the fair value of our pledge-able Interest-Earning Assets.

Our capital investment policy stipulates that at least 25% of the capital base maintained to satisfy the excess capital cushion must be invested in AAA-rated adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities or assets with similar or better liquidity characteristics.

A substantial portion of our borrowings are short-term or variable-rate borrowings. Our borrowings are implemented primarily through repurchase agreements, but in the future may also be obtained through loan agreements, lines of credit, dollar-roll agreements (an agreement to sell a security for delivery on a specified future date and a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the same or a substantially similar security on a specified future date) and other credit facilities with institutional lenders and issuance of debt securities such as commercial paper, medium-term notes, CMOs and senior or subordinated notes. We enter into financing transactions only with institutions that we believe are sound credit risks and follow other internal policies designed to limit our credit and other exposure to financing institutions.

We expect to continue to use repurchase agreements as our principal financing device to leverage our mortgage-backed securities portfolio. We anticipate that, upon repayment of each borrowing under a repurchase agreement, we will use the collateral immediately for borrowing under a new repurchase agreement. We have not at the present time entered into any commitment agreements under which the lender would be required to enter into new repurchase agreements during a specified period of time, nor do we presently plan to have liquidity facilities with commercial banks. We may, however, enter into such commitment agreements in the future. We enter into repurchase agreements primarily with national broker-dealers, commercial banks and other lenders which typically offer this type of financing. We enter into collateralized borrowings only with financial institutions meeting credit standards approved by our board of directors, and we monitor the financial condition of these institutions on a regular basis.

A repurchase agreement, although structured as a sale and repurchase obligation, acts as a financing under which we effectively pledge our mortgage-backed securities as collateral to secure a short-term loan. Generally, the other party to the agreement makes the loan in an amount equal to a percentage of the market value of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of the repurchase agreement, we are required to repay the loan and correspondingly receive back our collateral. While used as collateral, the mortgage-backed securities continue to pay principal and interest which are for our benefit. In the event of our insolvency or bankruptcy, certain repurchase agreements may qualify for special treatment under the Bankruptcy Code, the effect of which, among other things, would be to allow the creditor under the agreement to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and to foreclose on the collateral without delay. In the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a lender during the term of a repurchase agreement, the lender may be permitted, under applicable insolvency laws, to repudiate the contract, and our claim against the lender for damages may be treated simply as an unsecured creditor. In addition, if the lender is a broker or dealer subject to the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, or an insured depository institution subject to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, our ability to exercise our rights to recover our securities under a repurchase agreement or to be

compensated for any damages resulting from the lender's insolvency may be further limited by those statutes. These claims would be subject to significant delay and, if and when received, may be substantially less than the damages we actually incur.

Substantially all of our collateralized borrowing agreements require us to deposit additional collateral in the event the market value of existing collateral declines, which may require us to sell assets to reduce our borrowings. We have designed our liquidity management policy to maintain a cushion of equity sufficient to provide required liquidity to respond to the effects under our borrowing arrangements of interest rate movements and changes in market value of our mortgage-backed securities, as described above. However, a major disruption of the repurchase or other market that we rely on for short-term borrowings would have a material adverse effect on us unless we were able to arrange alternative sources of financing on comparable terms.

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws do not limit our ability to incur borrowings, whether secured or unsecured.

Interest Rate Risk Management

To the extent consistent with our election to qualify as a REIT, we follow an interest rate risk management program intended to protect our portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. Specifically, our interest rate risk management program is formulated with the intent to offset the potential adverse effects resulting from rate adjustment limitations on our mortgage-backed securities and the differences between interest rate adjustment indices and interest rate adjustment periods of our adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities and related borrowings.

We adjust the average maturity adjustment periods of our borrowings on an ongoing basis by changing the mix of maturities and interest rate adjustment periods as borrowings come due and are renewed. Through use of these procedures, we attempt to minimize the differences between the interest rate adjustment periods of our mortgage-backed securities and related borrowings that may occur.

We enter into interest rate swaps. We may from time to time enter into interest rate collars, interest rate caps or floors, purchase interest rate swaptions and purchase interest-only mortgage-backed securities and similar instruments to attempt to mitigate the risk of the cost of our variable rate liabilities increasing at a faster rate than the earnings on our assets during a period of rising interest rates or to mitigate prepayment risk. We have used interest rate swaps to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks as well as options to enter into interest rate swaps (commonly referred to as interest rate swaptions). We may also purchase or sell to-be-announced forward contracts (commonly referred to as TBAs), specified agency securities on a forward basis, purchase or write put or call options on TBA securities and invest in other types of mortgage derivatives, such as interest-only securities. We may hedge as much of the interest rate risk as our management determines is in our best interests, given the cost of the hedging transactions and the need to maintain our status as a REIT. This determination may result in our electing to bear a level of interest rate or prepayment risk that could otherwise be hedged when management believes, based on all relevant facts, that bearing the risk is advisable.

We seek to build a balance sheet and undertake an interest rate risk management program which is likely to generate positive earnings and maintain an equity liquidation value sufficient to maintain operations given a variety of potentially adverse circumstances. Accordingly, our interest rate risk management program addresses both income preservation, as discussed above, and capital preservation concerns. For capital preservation, we monitor our “duration.” This is the expected percentage change in market value of our assets that would be caused by a 1% change in short and long-term interest rates. To monitor weighted average duration and the related risks of fluctuations in the liquidation value of our equity, we model the impact of various economic scenarios on the market value of our mortgage-backed securities and liabilities. At December 31, 2012, we estimate that the duration of our assets was 2.1 years and giving effect to the swap transactions, our weighted average duration was 0.6 years. We believe that our interest rate risk management program will allow us to maintain operations throughout a wide variety of potentially adverse circumstances. Nevertheless, in order to further preserve our capital base (and lower our duration) during periods when we believe a trend of rapidly rising interest rates has been established, we may decide to increase hedging activities or to sell assets. Each of these actions may lower our earnings and dividends in the short term to further our objective of maintaining attractive levels of earnings and dividends over the long term.

We may elect to conduct a portion of our hedging operations through one or more subsidiary corporations, each of which we would elect to treat as a “taxable REIT subsidiary.” To comply with the asset tests applicable to us as a REIT, we could own 100% of the voting stock of such subsidiary, provided that the value of the stock that we own in all such taxable REIT subsidiaries does not exceed 25% of the value of our total assets at the close of any calendar quarter. A taxable subsidiary, such as FIDAC, Merganser, and RCap, would not elect REIT status and would

distribute any net profit after taxes to us. Any dividend income we receive from the taxable subsidiaries (combined with all other income generated from our assets, other than qualified REIT real estate assets) must not exceed 25% of our gross income.

We believe that we have developed a cost-effective asset/liability management program to provide a level of protection against interest rate and prepayment risks. However, no strategy can completely insulate us from interest rate changes and prepayment risks. Further, as noted above, the federal income tax requirements that we must satisfy to qualify as a REIT limit our ability to hedge our interest rate and prepayment risks. We monitor carefully, and may have to limit, our asset/liability management program to assure that we do not realize excessive hedging income, or hold hedging assets having excess value in relation to total assets, which could result in our disqualification as a REIT, the payment of a penalty tax for failure to satisfy certain REIT tests under the Internal Revenue Code, provided the failure was for reasonable cause. In addition, asset/liability management involves transaction costs which increase dramatically as the period covered by the hedging protection increases. Therefore, we may be unable to hedge effectively our interest rate and prepayment risks.

Prepayment Risk Management

We seek to minimize the effects of faster or slower than anticipated prepayment rates through structuring a diversified portfolio with a variety of prepayment characteristics, investing in mortgage-backed securities with prepayment prohibitions and penalties, investing in certain mortgage-backed security structures which have prepayment protections, and balancing assets purchased at a premium with assets purchased at a discount. We monitor prepayment risk through periodic review of the impact of a variety of prepayment scenarios on our revenues, net earnings, dividends, cash flow and net balance sheet market value.

Future Revisions in Policies and Strategies

Our board of directors has established the investment policies and operating policies and strategies set forth in this Form 10-K. The board of directors has the power to modify or waive these policies and strategies without the consent of the stockholders to the extent that the board of directors determines that the modification or waiver is in the best interests of our stockholders. Among other factors, developments in the market which affect our policies and strategies or which change our assessment of the market may cause our board of directors to revise our policies and strategies. To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders' equity to real estate assets or non-real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

Potential Acquisitions, Strategic Alliances and Other Investments

From time-to-time we have explored possible transactions to enhance our operations and growth, including entering into new businesses, acquisitions of other businesses or assets, investments in other entities, joint venture arrangements, or strategic alliances. We entered into the broker-dealer business during the first quarter of January 2009, through our subsidiary RCap, which was granted membership in FINRA in January 2009. On October 31, 2008 we consummated our acquisition of Merganser which is a registered investment advisor. We own approximately 45.0 million shares of common stock of Chimera Investment Corporation, (or Chimera). Chimera is a publicly traded, specialty finance company that acquires, manages, and finances, directly or through its subsidiaries, residential mortgage loans, residential mortgage-backed securities, real estate related securities and various other asset classes. Chimera is externally managed by FIDAC and has elected and qualifies to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We own approximately 9.5 million shares of common stock of CreXus Investment Corp., (or CreXus) , or approximately 12.4%. CreXus is a publicly traded, specialty finance company that acquires, manages, and finances, directly or through its subsidiaries, commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, and other commercial real estate-related assets. CreXus is externally managed

by FIDAC and has elected and qualifies to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. On January 30, 2013, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”), among us, CXS Acquisition Corporation, a Maryland corporation and our wholly-owned subsidiary, and CreXus, pursuant to which, among other things, Acquisition will commence a tender offer (the “Offer”) to purchase all of the outstanding shares of CreXus’ common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the “Shares”), that neither we nor Acquisition own at a price per share of \$13.00 in cash, plus a cash payment to reflect a pro-rated quarterly dividend for the quarter in which the tender offer is closed, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement. If at least 51% of the Shares not owned by us or any of our subsidiaries, officers or directors are tendered and purchased by Acquisition in the tender offer, and subject to the satisfaction or waiver of certain limited conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement (including, if required, receipt of approval by CreXus’ stockholders), Acquisition will merge with and into CreXus, with CreXus surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (the “Merger”). In the Merger, each outstanding Share, other than Shares owned by Acquisition and us, will be converted into the right to receive the same cash consideration paid in the Offer.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, CreXus may solicit, receive, evaluate and enter into negotiations with respect to alternative proposals from third parties for a period of 45 calendar days continuing through March 16, 2013 (the "Transaction Solicitation Period"). CreXus may continue discussions after the Transaction Solicitation Period with parties who made acquisition proposals during the Transaction Solicitation Period, or who made unsolicited acquisition proposals after the Transaction Solicitation Period, in either case that CreXus determines, in good faith, would result in, or is reasonably likely to result in, a superior proposal. If CreXus receives a superior proposal, we and Acquisition have the right to increase our offer price one time to a price that is at least \$0.10 per share greater than the value per share stockholders would receive as a result of the superior proposal and thereafter CreXus may terminate after providing two business days' notice. If CreXus terminates the Merger Agreement during the Transaction Solicitation Period or during the 10-day period following its expiration to enter into a superior proposal with a party who delivered a written acquisition proposal during the Transaction Solicitation Period, CreXus will be required to pay to us a termination fee of \$15 million. If CreXus terminates the Merger Agreement thereafter in connection with a superior proposal, the termination fee will be \$25 million. If the Merger Agreement is terminated in either circumstance, CreXus will also be required to reimburse us for our documented out-of-pocket expenses, up to \$5 million. In all cases, the termination fee and expense reimbursement will be credited against the termination fee payable by CreXus under the management agreement with FIDAC.

The Merger Agreement includes customary representations, warranties and covenants of CreXus, us and Acquisition. CreXus has agreed to operate its business in the ordinary course consistent with past practice until the effective time of the Merger. We have separately agreed to not purchase any Shares in excess of the approximately 12.4% of the outstanding that we currently own.

Consummation of the Offer is subject to various conditions, including (1) the valid tender of the number of Shares that would represent at least 51% of the Shares not owned by us or any of our subsidiaries, officers or directors, (2) the consummation of the Offer or the Merger not being unlawful under any applicable statute, rule or regulation, (3) the consummation of the Offer or the Merger not being enjoined by order of any court or other governmental authority, (4) the absence of a Company Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Merger Agreement), (5) neither CreXus' board of directors nor its special committee of independent directors having withdrawn its recommendation of the Offer or Merger to CreXus' stockholders, and (6) the satisfaction of certain other customary closing conditions. Neither the Offer nor the Merger is subject to a financing condition. We plan to finance the transaction with cash on hand.

If, after completion of the Offer, we and Acquisition hold at least 90% of the outstanding Shares, the Merger will be consummated in accordance with the short-form merger provisions under Maryland law without the approval of the Merger by CreXus' stockholders. If, after completion of the Offer, we and Acquisition hold less than 90% of the outstanding Shares, Acquisition will have the right to exercise an irrevocable option (the "Percentage Increase Option") granted to it by CreXus under the Merger Agreement to purchase from CreXus that number of additional Shares that will increase the percentage of outstanding Shares owned by us and our subsidiaries to one share more than 90% of the outstanding Shares (after giving effect to the issuance of shares pursuant to the Percentage Increase Option). Upon exercise of the Percentage Increase Option, the Merger will be consummated in accordance with the short-form merger provisions under Maryland law without approval of the Merger by CreXus' stockholders.

CreXus' board of directors, upon the unanimous recommendation and approval of a special committee consisting of three independent directors (the "Special Committee"), adopted resolutions (i) determining and declaring advisable the Merger Agreement and the Offer, the Merger, the Percentage Increase Option and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, (ii) determining that the terms of the Offer, the Merger Agreement, the Merger and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement are in the best interests of, and fair to, CreXus' stockholders other than us and our affiliates, and (iii) recommending that CreXus' stockholders (other than us and our affiliates) accept the Offer, tender their Shares into the Offer and, to the extent required by applicable law to consummate the Merger, vote their Shares in favor of approving the Merger.

We may, from time-to-time, continue to explore possible new businesses, acquisitions, investments, joint venture arrangements and strategic alliances which may enhance our operations and assist our and our subsidiaries' growth. These transactions could be material to our financial condition and results of operations. The process of integrating an acquired company or business create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Our failure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with our past or future acquisitions and investments could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions or investments, incur unanticipated liabilities, and harm our business generally. Future acquisitions could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, or amortization expenses, or write-offs of goodwill, any of which could harm our financial condition. Also, the anticipated benefit of many of our acquisitions or investments may not materialize.

Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan

We have adopted a dividend reinvestment and share purchase plan. Under the dividend reinvestment feature of the plan, existing shareholders can reinvest their dividends in additional shares of our common stock. Under the share purchase feature of the plan, new and existing shareholders can purchase shares of our common stock. We have an effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 which registered 100,000,000 shares of common stock that could be issued under the plan. We may from time to time sell shares covered by this registration statement under the plan.

Legal Proceedings

From time-to-time, we are involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we and our subsidiaries had 147 full time employees. None of our employees are subject to any collective bargaining agreements. We believe we have good relations with our employees.

Available Information

Our investor relations website is www.annaly.com. We make available on this website under "SEC filings," free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the SEC.

COMPETITION

We believe that our principal competition in the acquisition and holding of the types of mortgage-backed securities we purchase are financial institutions such as banks, savings and loans, life insurance companies, institutional investors

such as mutual funds and pension funds, other lenders, government entities and certain other mortgage REITs. Some of these entities may not be subject to the same regulatory constraints (i.e., REIT compliance or maintaining an exemption under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) as us. In addition, many of these entities have greater financial resources and access to capital than us. Some of our competitors have greater financial resources and access to capital than we do. Our competitors, as well as additional competitors which may emerge in the future, may increase the competition for the acquisition of mortgage-backed securities, which in turn may result in higher prices and lower yields on such assets.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our stock involves a number of risks. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider all of the risks described in this Form 10-K. If any of the risks discussed in this Form 10-K actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. If this were to occur, the trading price of our stock could decline significantly and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Associated with Adverse Developments in the Mortgage Finance and Credit Markets

Volatile market conditions for mortgages and mortgage-related assets as well as the broader financial markets can result in a significant contraction in liquidity for mortgages and mortgage-related assets, which may adversely affect the value of the assets in which we invest.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the markets for mortgages and mortgage-related assets, including Agency mortgage-backed securities, as well as the broader financial markets and the economy generally. Significant adverse changes in financial market conditions can result in a deleveraging of the global financial system and the forced sale of large quantities of mortgage-related and other financial assets. Concerns over economic recession, geopolitical issues, unemployment, the availability and cost of financing, the mortgage market and a declining real estate market may contribute to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets.

For example, as a result of the financial market conditions beginning in the summer of 2007, many traditional mortgage investors suffered severe losses in their residential mortgage portfolios and several major market participants failed or have been impaired, resulting in a significant contraction in market liquidity for mortgage-related assets. This illiquidity negatively affected both the terms and availability of financing for all mortgage-related assets. Further increased volatility and deterioration in the markets for mortgages and mortgage-related assets as well as the broader financial markets may adversely affect the performance and market value of our Agency mortgage-backed securities. If these conditions persist, institutions from which we seek financing for our investments may tighten their lending standards or become insolvent, which could make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all. Our profitability may be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain cost-effective financing for our investments. Continued adverse developments in the broader residential mortgage market may adversely affect the value of the assets in which we invest.

Since the summer of 2007, the residential mortgage market in the United States experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions, including defaults, credit losses and liquidity concerns. These factors have impacted investor perception of the risk associated with Agency mortgage-backed securities in which we invest. As a result, values for Agency mortgage-backed securities in which we invest have experienced a certain amount of volatility. Further increased volatility and deterioration in the broader residential mortgage and Agency mortgage-backed securities markets may adversely affect the performance and market value of our investments. Any decline in the value of our investments, or perceived market uncertainty about their value, would likely make it difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all, or maintain our compliance with terms of any financing arrangements already in place.

The Agency mortgage-backed securities in which we invest are classified for accounting purposes as available-for-sale. All assets classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. A decline in fair values may reduce the book value of our assets. Moreover, if the decline in fair value of an available-for-sale security is other-than-temporarily impaired, such decline will reduce earnings. If market conditions result in a decline in the fair value of our Agency mortgage-backed securities, our financial position and results of operations could be adversely

affected.

The potential limit or wind down of the role Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage-backed securities market may adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

23

On February 11, 2011, the U.S. Department of the Treasury (or Treasury) issued a White Paper titled “Reforming America's Housing Finance Market” (or the White Paper) that lays out, among other things, proposals to limit or potentially wind down the role that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage market. Any such proposals, if enacted, may have broad adverse implications for the economy, the mortgage-backed securities market and our business, operations and financial condition. We expect such proposals to be the subject of significant discussion and it is not yet possible to determine whether or when such proposals may be enacted, what form any final legislation or policies might take and how proposals, legislation or policies emanating from the White Paper may impact the economy, the mortgage-backed securities market and our business, operations and financial condition. We are evaluating, and will continue to evaluate, the potential impact of the proposals set forth in the White Paper.

The conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, their reliance upon the U.S. Government for solvency, and related efforts that may significantly affect Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and their relationship with the U.S. Government, may adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Due to increased market concerns about Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac’s ability to withstand future credit losses associated with securities held in their investment portfolios, and on which they provide guarantees, without the direct support of the U.S. Government Congress passed the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, (or the HERA). Among other things, the HERA established the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or FHFA, which has broad regulatory powers over Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. On September 6, 2008, the FHFA placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into conservatorship and, together with the Treasury, established a program designed to boost investor confidence in Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s debt and mortgage-backed securities. As the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the FHFA controls and directs their operations and may (1) take over the assets of and operate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with all the powers of their shareholders, directors and officers of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and conduct all business of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (2) collect all obligations and money due to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; (3) perform all functions of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac which are consistent with the conservator’s appointment; (4) preserve and conserve the assets and property of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; and (5) contract for assistance in fulfilling any function, activity, action or duty of the conservator.

In addition to FHFA becoming the conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the Treasury and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have entered into Preferred Stock Purchase Agreements (or PSPAs) pursuant to which the Treasury has ensured that each of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac maintains a positive net worth. On December 24, 2009, the Treasury amended the terms of the PSPAs to remove the \$200 billion per institution limit established under the PSPAs until the end of 2012. The Treasury also amended the PSPAs with respect to the requirements for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to reduce their portfolios. On August 17, 2012, the PSPAs were further amended to, among other things, change the method for calculating the amount of dividends Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are required to pay the Treasury and to re-establish the \$200 billion limit per institution beginning in 2013.

The problems faced by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac resulting in their placement into federal conservatorship and receipt of significant U.S. Government support have sparked debate among some federal policy makers regarding the continued role of the U.S. Government in providing liquidity for mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. With Fannie Mae’s and Freddie Mac’s future under debate, the nature of their guarantee obligations could be considerably limited relative to historical measurements. Any changes to the nature of their guarantee obligations could redefine what constitutes an Agency mortgage-backed security and could have broad adverse implications for the market and our business, operations and financial condition. If Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac are eliminated, or their structures change radically (i.e., limitation or removal of the guarantee obligation), we may be unable to acquire additional Agency mortgage-backed securities. A reduction in the supply of Agency mortgage-backed securities could negatively affect the pricing of Agency mortgage-backed securities by reducing the spread between the interest we earn on our portfolio of Agency mortgage-backed securities and our cost of financing that portfolio.

Although the Treasury previously committed capital to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac through 2012, and in the White Paper the Treasury committed to providing sufficient capital to enable Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to meet their current and future guarantee obligations, there can be no assurance that these actions will be adequate for their needs. If these actions are inadequate, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could continue to suffer losses and could fail to honor their guarantees and other obligations. Furthermore, the current credit support provided by the Treasury to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and any additional credit support it may provide in the future, could have the effect of lowering the interest rates we expect to receive from mortgage-backed securities, and tightening the spread between the interest we earn on our mortgage-backed securities and the cost of financing those assets.

In addition, our existing Agency mortgage-backed securities could be materially and adversely impacted. We rely on our Agency mortgage-backed securities as collateral for our financings under our repurchase agreements. Any decline in their value, or perceived market uncertainty about their value, would make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on acceptable terms or at all, or to maintain our compliance with the terms of any financing transactions.

Future policies that change the relationship between Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the U.S. Government, including those that result in their winding down, nationalization, privatization, or elimination, may create market uncertainty and have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived credit quality of securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac. As a result, such policies could increase the risk of loss on investments in Agency mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac. It also is possible that such policies could adversely impact the market for such securities and spreads at which they trade. All of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

Mortgage loan modification programs, future legislative action and changes in the requirements necessary to qualify for refinancing a mortgage with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, the assets in which we invest.

The U.S. Government, through the Federal Housing Administration, or FHA, and the FDIC, has implemented programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures including the Hope for Homeowners Act of 2008, which allows certain distressed borrowers to refinance their mortgages into FHA-insured loans. The programs may also involve, among other things, the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or to extend the payment terms of the loans. These loan modification programs, including future legislative or regulatory actions and amendments to the bankruptcy laws, that result in the modification of outstanding mortgage loans, as well as changes in the requirements necessary to qualify for refinancing a mortgage with Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures. Depending on whether or not we purchased an instrument at a premium or discount, the yield we receive may be positively or negatively impacted by any modification.

The U.S. Government's efforts to encourage for refinancing of certain loans may affect prepayment rates for mortgage loans in Mortgage-Backed Securities.

In addition to the increased pressure upon residential mortgage loan investors and servicers to engage in loss mitigation activities, the U.S. Government is pressing for refinancing of certain loans, and this encouragement may affect prepayment rates for mortgage loans in Agency mortgage-backed securities. To the extent these and other economic stabilization or stimulus efforts are successful in increasing prepayment speeds for residential mortgage loans, such as those in Agency mortgage-backed securities, that could potentially have a negative impact on our income and operating results, particularly in connection with loans or Agency mortgage-backed securities purchased at a premium or our interest-only securities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and competition may limit our ability to acquire desirable investments in our target assets and could also affect the pricing of these securities.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire our target assets at attractive prices. In acquiring our target assets, we will compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, specialty finance companies, public and private funds (including other funds managed by FIDAC), government entities, commercial and investment banks, commercial finance and insurance companies and other financial institutions. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Several other REITs have

recently raised, or are expected to raise, significant amounts of capital, and may have investment objectives that overlap with ours, which may create additional competition for investment opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that may not be available to us, such as funding from the U.S. Government, if we are not eligible to participate in programs established by the U.S. Government. Many of our competitors are not subject to the operating constraints associated with REIT tax compliance or maintenance of an exemption from the Investment Company Act. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Furthermore, competition for investments in our target assets may lead to the price of such assets increasing, which may further limit our ability to generate desired returns. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, desirable investments in our target assets may be limited in the future and we may not be able to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities from time to time, as we can provide no assurance that we will be able to identify and make investments that are consistent with our investment objectives.

Purchases and sales of Agency securities by the Federal Reserve may adversely affect the price and return associated with Agency mortgage-backed securities

On September 13, 2012, the Federal Reserve announced their third quantitative easing program, commonly known as QE3, and extended their guidance to keep the federal funds rate at “exceptional low levels” through at least mid-2015. QE3 entails large-scale purchases of Agency mortgage-backed securities at the pace of \$40 billion per month in addition to the Federal Reserve's existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of Agency mortgage-backed securities into new Agency mortgage-backed securities purchases. While we cannot predict the impact of this program or any future actions by the Federal Reserve on the prices and liquidity of Agency mortgage-backed securities, we expect that during periods in which the Federal Reserve purchases significant volumes of Agency mortgage-backed securities, yields on Agency mortgage-backed securities will be lower and refinancing volumes will be higher than would have been absent their large scale purchases. As a result, returns on Agency mortgage-backed securities may be adversely affected. There is also a risk that as the Federal Reserve reduces their purchases of Agency mortgage-backed securities or if they decide to sell some or all of their holdings of Agency mortgage-backed securities, the pricing of our Agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio may be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Business

An increase in the interest payments on our borrowings relative to the interest we earn on our Investment Securities may adversely affect our profitability.

We earn money based upon the spread between the interest payments we earn on our Investment Securities and the interest payments we must make on our borrowings. If the interest payments on our borrowings increase relative to the interest we earn on our Investment Securities, our profitability may be adversely affected.

Differences in timing of interest rate adjustments on our Investment Securities and our borrowings may adversely affect our profitability

We rely primarily on short-term borrowings to acquire Investment Securities with long-term maturities. Accordingly, if short-term interest rates increase, this may adversely affect our profitability.

Some of the Investment Securities we acquire are adjustable-rate interest-earning assets. This means that their interest rates may vary over time based upon changes in an objective index, such as:

LIBOR. The interest rate that banks in London offer for deposits in London of U.S. dollars.

Treasury Rate. A monthly or weekly average yield of benchmark U.S. Treasury securities, as published by the Federal Reserve Board.

These indices generally reflect short-term interest rates. On December 31, 2012, approximately 7% of our Investment Securities were adjustable-rate interest-earning assets.

The interest rates on our borrowings similarly vary with changes in an objective index. Nevertheless, the interest rates on our borrowings generally adjust more frequently than the interest rates on our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets. For example, on December 31, 2012, our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets had a weighted average term to next rate adjustment of 35 months, while our borrowings had a weighted average term of 197 days. Accordingly, in a period of rising interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or a net loss because the interest rates on our borrowings adjust faster than the interest rates on our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets.

Interest rate caps on our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures may adversely affect our profitability

Our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps. Periodic interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase during any given period. Lifetime interest rate caps limit the amount an interest rate can increase through maturity of Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures. Our borrowings are not subject to similar restrictions. Accordingly, in a period of rapidly increasing interest rates, we could experience a decrease in net income or experience a net loss because the interest rates on our borrowings could increase without limitation while the interest rates on our adjustable-rate interest-earning assets would be limited by caps.

Because we acquire fixed-rate securities, an increase in interest rates may adversely affect our profitability

In a period of rising interest rates, our interest payments could increase while the interest we earn on our fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities would not change. This would adversely affect our profitability. On December 31, 2012, approximately 93% of our Investment Securities were fixed-rate investments.

An increase in prepayment rates may adversely affect our profitability.

The Agency mortgage-backed securities we acquire are backed by pools of mortgage loans. We receive payments, generally, from the payments that are made on these underlying mortgage loans. When borrowers prepay their mortgage loans at rates that are faster-than-expected, this results in prepayments on mortgage-backed securities that are faster than expected. These faster than expected prepayments may adversely affect our profitability. We often purchase mortgage-backed securities that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we must pay a premium over the market value to acquire the security. In accordance with accounting rules, we amortize this premium over the term of the mortgage-backed security. If the mortgage-backed security is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, however, we must expense all or a part of the remaining unamortized portion of the premium that was prepaid at the time of the prepayment. This adversely affects our profitability.

Prepayment rates generally increase when interest rates fall and decrease when interest rates rise, but changes in prepayment rates are difficult to predict. Prepayment rates also may be affected by conditions in the housing and financial markets, general economic conditions and the relative interest rates on fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage loans.

We often purchase mortgage-backed securities that have a higher coupon rate than the prevailing market interest rates. In exchange for a higher coupon rate, we typically pay a premium over par value to acquire these mortgage-backed securities. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (or GAAP), we amortize the premiums on our mortgage-backed securities over the life of the related mortgage-backed securities. If the mortgage loans securing these mortgage-backed securities prepay at a more rapid rate than anticipated, we will have to amortize our premiums on an accelerated basis which may adversely affect our profitability. Defaults on mortgage loans underlying Agency mortgage-backed securities typically have the same effect as prepayments because of the underlying Agency guarantee. As of December 31, 2012, we had a net premium of \$5.8 billion, or 4.9% of current par value, on our Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures, and corporate debt.

We may seek to reduce prepayment risk by acquiring mortgage-backed securities at a discount. If a discounted security is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, we will earn income equal to the amount of the remaining discount. This will improve our profitability if the discounted securities are prepaid faster than expected.

We also can acquire mortgage-backed securities that are less affected by prepayments. For example, we can acquire CMOs, a type of mortgage-backed security. CMOs divide a pool of mortgage loans into multiple tranches that allow for shifting of prepayment risks from slower-paying tranches to faster-paying tranches. This is in contrast to pass-through or pay-through mortgage-backed securities, where all investors share equally in all payments, including all prepayments. As discussed below, the Investment Company Act of 1940 imposes restrictions on our purchase of CMOs.

While we seek to minimize prepayment risk to the extent practical, in selecting investments we must balance prepayment risk against other risks and the potential returns of each investment. No strategy can completely insulate us from prepayment risk.

An increase in interest rates may adversely affect the market value of our investment securities and, therefore, also our book value.

Increases in interest rates may negatively affect the market value of our investment securities because in a period of rising interest rates, the relative value of interest earning assets we own can be expected to fall and reduce the book value. In addition, our fixed-rate securities, generally, are more negatively affected by these increases because in a period of rising interest rates, our interest payments could increase while the interest we earn on our fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities would not change. We reduce our book value by the amount of any decrease in the market value of our investment securities.

Failure to procure funding on favorable terms, or at all, would adversely affect our results and may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of shares of our common stock.

The recent dislocation and weakness in the broader mortgage markets could adversely affect one or more of our potential lenders and could cause one or more of our potential lenders to be unwilling or unable to provide us with financing. This could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity. If one or more major market participants fails or otherwise experiences a major liquidity crisis it could negatively impact the marketability of all fixed income securities, including Agency RMBS, and this could negatively impact the value of the securities we acquire, thus reducing our net book value. Furthermore, if any of our potential lenders or any of our lenders are unwilling or unable to provide us with financing, we could be forced to sell our assets at an inopportune time when prices are depressed.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of its counterparty or client. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely impact our revenues and earnings.

Our strategy involves significant leverage.

We incur this leverage by borrowing against a substantial portion of the market value of our investment securities. By incurring this leverage, we can enhance our returns. Nevertheless, this leverage, which is fundamental to our investment strategy, also creates significant risks.

Our leverage may cause substantial losses

Because of our significant leverage, we may incur substantial losses if our borrowing costs increase. Our borrowing costs may increase for any of the following reasons:

short-term interest rates increase;

the market value of our Investment Securities decreases;

interest rate volatility increases; or

the availability of financing in the market decreases.

Our leverage may cause margin calls and defaults and force us to sell assets under adverse market conditions

Because of our leverage, a decline in the value of our investment securities may result in our lenders initiating margin calls. A margin call means that the lender requires us to pledge additional collateral to re-establish the ratio of the value of the collateral to the amount of the borrowing. Our fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities generally are more susceptible to margin calls as increases in interest rates tend to more negatively affect the market value of fixed-rate securities.

If we are unable to satisfy margin calls, our lenders may foreclose on our collateral. This could force us to sell our investment securities under adverse market conditions. Additionally, in the event of our bankruptcy, our borrowings, which are generally made under repurchase agreements, may qualify for special treatment under the Bankruptcy Code. This special treatment would allow the lenders under these agreements to avoid the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and to liquidate the collateral under these agreements without delay.

Liquidation of collateral may jeopardize our REIT status

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our status as a REIT and our failure to qualify as a REIT will have adverse tax consequences.

We may exceed our target leverage ratios

We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of less than 12:1. However, we are not required to stay below this leverage ratio. We may exceed this ratio by incurring additional debt without increasing the amount of equity we have. For example, if we increase the amount of borrowings under our master repurchase agreements with our existing or new counterparties, our leverage ratio would increase. If we increase our debt-to-equity ratio, the adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations from the types of risks associated with the use of leverage would likely be more severe.

We may not be able to achieve our optimal leverage

We use leverage as a strategy to increase the return to our investors. However, we may not be able to achieve our desired leverage for any of the following reasons:

we determine that the leverage would expose us to excessive risk;

our lenders do not make funding available to us at acceptable rates; or

our lenders require that we provide additional collateral to cover our borrowings.

We may incur increased borrowing costs which would adversely affect our profitability

Currently, all of our collateralized borrowings are in the form of repurchase agreements. If the interest rates on these repurchase agreements increase, it would adversely affect our profitability.

Our borrowing costs under repurchase agreements generally correspond to short-term interest rates such as LIBOR or a short-term Treasury index, plus or minus a margin. The margins on these borrowings over or under short-term interest rates may vary depending upon:

29

the movement of interest rates;

the availability of financing in the market; or

the value and liquidity of our Investment Securities.

If we are unable to renew our borrowings at favorable rates, our profitability may be adversely affected.

Since we rely primarily on short-term borrowings, our ability to achieve our investment objectives depends not only on our ability to borrow money in sufficient amounts and on favorable terms, but also on our ability to renew or replace on a continuous basis our maturing short-term borrowings. If we are not able to renew or replace maturing borrowings, we would have to sell our assets under possibly adverse market conditions.

If a counterparty to our repurchase transactions defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying security back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreement, we will lose money on our repurchase transactions.

When we engage in repurchase transactions, we generally sell securities to lenders (repurchase agreement counterparties) and receive cash from these lenders. The lenders are obligated to resell the same securities back to us at the end of the term of the transaction. Because the cash we receive from the lender when we initially sell the securities to the lender is less than the value of those securities (this difference is the haircut), if the lender defaults on its obligation to resell the same securities back to us, we may incur a loss on the transaction equal to the amount of the haircut (assuming there was no change in the value of the securities). We would also lose money on a repurchase transaction if the value of the underlying securities has declined as of the end of the transaction term, as we would have to repurchase the securities for their initial value but would receive securities worth less than that amount. Further, if we default on one of our obligations under a repurchase transaction, the lender can terminate the transaction and cease entering into any other repurchase transactions with us. Repurchase agreements generally contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any one agreement, the lenders under our other agreements could also declare a default. Any losses we incur on our repurchase transactions could adversely affect our earnings and thus our cash available for distribution to shareholders.

Any repurchase agreements that we use to finance our assets may require us to provide additional collateral or pay down debt.

Our repurchase agreements involve the risk that the market value of the securities pledged or sold by us to the repurchase agreement counterparty may decline in value, in which case the counterparty may require us to provide additional collateral or to repay all or a portion of the funds advanced. We may not have additional collateral or the funds available to repay our debt at that time, which would likely result in defaults unless we are able to raise the funds from alternative sources, which we may not be able to achieve on favorable terms or at all. Posting additional collateral would reduce our liquidity and limit our ability to leverage our assets. If we cannot meet these requirements, the counterparty could accelerate its indebtedness, increase the interest rate on advanced funds and terminate our ability to borrow funds from them, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and ability to implement our investment strategy. In addition, in the event that the counterparty files for bankruptcy or becomes insolvent, our securities may become subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, thus depriving us, at least temporarily, of the benefit of these assets. Such an event could restrict our access to bank credit facilities and increase its cost of capital. Repurchase agreement counterparties may also require us to maintain a certain amount of cash or set aside assets sufficient to maintain a specified liquidity position that would enhance our ability to satisfy its collateral obligations. As a result, we may not be able to leverage our assets as fully as we would choose, which could reduce our return on assets. In the event that we are unable to meet these collateral obligations, our financial

condition and prospects could deteriorate rapidly.

Our hedging strategies expose us to risks.

Our policies permit us to enter into interest rate swaps, caps and floors, interest rate swaptions, and other derivative transactions to help us mitigate our interest rate and prepayment risks described above. We have used interest rate swaps to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks as well as options to enter into interest rate swaps (commonly referred to as interest rate swaptions). We may also purchase or sell to-be-announced forward contracts (commonly referred to as TBAs), specified Agency securities on a forward basis, purchase or write put or call options on TBA securities and invest in other types of mortgage derivatives, such as interest-only securities. No hedging strategy can protect us completely. Interest rate hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things: interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates; available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk for which protection is sought; and the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability.

Our hedging strategies may not be successful in mitigating the risks associated with interest rates

We cannot assure you that our use of derivatives will offset the risks related to changes in interest rates. It is likely that there will be periods in the future during which we will incur losses on our derivative financial instruments that will not be fully offset by gains on our portfolio. The derivative financial instruments we select may not have the effect of reducing our interest rate risk. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of these strategies. Poorly designed strategies or improperly executed transactions could significantly increase our risk and lead to material losses. In addition, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. Our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use may not adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility. Moreover, our hedging transactions may result in losses.

Our use of derivatives may expose us to counterparty risks

We enter into interest rate swap and cap agreements to hedge risks associated with movements in interest rates. If a swap counterparty cannot perform under the terms of an interest rate swap, we would not receive payments due under that agreement, we may lose any unrealized gain associated with the interest rate swap, and the hedged liability would cease to be hedged by the interest rate swap. We may also be at risk for any collateral we have pledged to secure our obligations under the interest rate swap if the counterparty become insolvent or file for bankruptcy. Similarly, if a cap counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the cap agreement, in addition to not receiving payments due under that agreement that would off-set our interest expense, we would also incur a loss for all remaining unamortized premium paid for that agreement.

We may face risks of investing in inverse floating rate securities.

We may invest in inverse floaters. The returns on inverse floaters are inversely related to changes in an interest rate. Generally, income on inverse floaters will decrease when interest rates increase and increase when interest rates decrease. Investments in inverse floaters may subject us to the risks of reduced or eliminated interest payments and losses of premium paid. In addition, certain indexed securities and inverse floaters may increase or decrease in value at a greater rate than the underlying interest rate, which effectively leverages our investment in such securities. As a result, the market value of such securities will generally be more volatile than that of fixed rate securities.

Our investment strategy may involve credit risk.

To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures which, although not rated, carry an implied "AAA" rating. Agency certificates are mortgage pass-through certificates where Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae guarantees payments of principal and interest on the certificates. Agency debentures are debt instruments issued by Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, or the FHLB.

Even though our Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures acquired thus far have been "AAA", pursuant to our capital investment policy, we have the ability to acquire securities of lower credit quality. Under our policy:

75% of our total assets must be high quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities are securities (1) that are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) that are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) that are unrated or whose ratings have not been updated but that our management determines are of comparable quality to high quality rated mortgage-backed securities;

the remaining 25% of total assets, may consist of mortgage-backed securities and other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by Standard & Poor’s Corporation (or S&P) or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating agency) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics; and

we seek to structure our portfolio to maintain a minimum weighted average rating (including our deemed comparable ratings for unrated mortgage-backed securities) of our mortgage-backed securities of at least single “A” under the S&P rating system and at the comparable level under the other rating systems.

While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders’ equity to real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

Our ongoing investment in new business strategies and new assets is inherently risky, and could disrupt our ongoing businesses.

We expect to invest in new business strategies and assets. Such endeavors may involve significant risks and uncertainties, including distraction of management from current operations, expenses associated with these new investments, inadequate return of capital on our investments, and unidentified issues not discovered in our due diligence of such strategies and assets. Because these new ventures are inherently risky, no assurance can be given that such strategies will be successful and will not materially adversely affect our reputation, financial condition, and operating results.

We may experience declines in the market value of our assets resulting in us recording impairments, which may have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

A decline in the market value of our mortgage-backed securities or other assets may require us to recognize an “other-than-temporary” impairment (or OTTI) against such assets under GAAP. When the fair value of our MBS is less than its amortized cost, the security is considered impaired. We assess our impaired securities on at least a quarterly basis and designate such impairments as either “temporary” or “other-than-temporary.” If we intend to sell an impaired security, or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the impaired security before its anticipated recovery, then we must recognize an other-than-temporary impairment through earnings equal to the entire difference between the mortgage-backed securities amortized cost and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If we do not expect to sell an other-than-temporarily impaired security, only the portion of the other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses is recognized through earnings with the remainder recognized as a component of other comprehensive income/(loss) on our balance sheet. Impairments we recognize through other comprehensive income/(loss) do not impact our earnings. Following the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment through earnings, a new cost basis is established for the mortgage-backed securities and may not be adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value through earnings. However, other-than-temporary impairments recognized through earnings may be accreted back to the amortized cost basis of the security on a prospective basis through interest income. The determination as to whether an other-than-temporary impairment exists and, if so, the amount we consider other-than-temporarily impaired is subjective, as such determinations are based on both factual and subjective information available at the time of assessment. As a result, the timing and amount of other-than-temporary impairments constitute material estimates that are susceptible to significant change.

We have not established a minimum dividend payment level.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year (subject to certain adjustments) is distributed. This enables us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected for the reasons described in this section. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

Because of competition, we may not be able to acquire mortgage-backed securities at favorable yields.

Our net income depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire mortgage-backed securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs. In acquiring mortgage-backed securities, we compete with other REITs, investment banking firms, savings and loan associations, banks, insurance companies, mutual funds, other lenders, government entities and other entities that purchase mortgage-backed securities, many of which have greater financial resources than us. As a result, in the future, we may not be able to acquire sufficient mortgage-backed securities at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs.

We are dependent on our key personnel.

We are dependent on the efforts of our key officers and employees. The loss of any of their services could have an adverse effect on our operations. Although we have employment agreements with each of them, we cannot assure you they will remain employed with us.

Our reported GAAP financial results differ from the taxable income results that impact our dividend distribution requirements and, therefore, our GAAP results may not be an accurate indicator of future taxable income and dividend distributions.

Generally, the cumulative net income we report over the life of an asset will be the same for GAAP and tax purposes, although the timing of this income recognition over the life of the asset could be materially different. Differences exist in the accounting for GAAP net income and REIT taxable income which can lead to significant variances in the amount and timing of when income and losses are recognized under these two measures. Due to these differences, our reported GAAP financial results could materially differ from our determination of taxable income.

We and our shareholders are subject to certain tax risks.

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would have adverse tax consequences

We believe that since 1997 we have qualified for taxation as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. We plan to continue to meet the requirements for taxation as a REIT. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 75% of our gross income must come from real estate sources and 95% of our gross income must come from real estate sources and certain other sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain). Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service (or IRS) might make changes to the tax laws and regulations, and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult or impossible for us to remain qualified as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the IRS granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year we first fail to qualify. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes and would therefore have less money available for investments or for distributions to our stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities. In addition, the tax law would no longer require us to make distributions to our stockholders.

A REIT that fails the quarterly asset tests for one or more quarters will not lose its REIT status as a result of such failure if either (i) the failure is regarded as a de minimis failure under standards set out in the Internal Revenue Code,

or (ii) the failure is greater than a de minimis failure but is attributable to reasonable cause and not willful neglect. In the case of a greater than de minimis failure, however, the REIT must pay a tax and must remedy the failure within 6 months of the close of the quarter in which the failure was identified. In addition, the Internal Revenue Code provides relief for failures of other tests imposed as a condition of REIT qualification, as long as the failures are attributable to reasonable cause and not willful neglect. A REIT would be required to pay a penalty of \$50,000, however, in the case of each failure.

We have certain distribution requirements

As a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and by excluding any net capital gain). The required distribution limits the amount we have available for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. Also, it is possible that because of the differences between the time we actually receive revenue or pay expenses and the period we report those items for distribution purposes, we may have to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement.

We are also subject to other tax liabilities

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. Any of these taxes would reduce our operating cash flow.

Limits on ownership of our common stock could have adverse consequences to you and could limit your opportunity to receive a premium on our stock

To maintain our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, not more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares of our capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the federal tax laws to include certain entities). Primarily to facilitate maintenance of our qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, our charter will prohibit ownership, directly or by the attribution provisions of the federal tax laws, by any person of more than 9.8% of the lesser of the number or value of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock and will prohibit ownership, directly or by the attribution provisions of the federal tax laws, by any person of more than 9.8% of the lesser of the number or value of the issued and outstanding shares of any class or series of our preferred stock. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may waive or modify the ownership limit with respect to one or more persons who would not be treated as "individuals" for purposes of the federal tax laws if it is satisfied, based upon information required to be provided by the party seeking the waiver and upon an opinion of counsel satisfactory to the board of directors, that ownership in excess of this limit will not otherwise jeopardize our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

The ownership limit may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect our shareholders' ability to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price for our common stock in connection with a change in control.

A REIT cannot invest more than 25% of its total assets in the stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries; therefore, our taxable subsidiaries cannot constitute more than 25% of our total assets

A taxable REIT subsidiary is a corporation, other than a REIT or a qualified REIT subsidiary, in which a REIT owns stock and which elects taxable REIT subsidiary status. The term also includes a corporate subsidiary in which the taxable REIT subsidiary owns more than a 35% interest. A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries. A taxable REIT subsidiary may earn income that would not be qualifying income if earned directly by the parent REIT. Overall, at the close of any calendar quarter, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries.

The stock and securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries are expected to represent less than 25% of the value of our total assets. Furthermore, we intend to monitor the value of our investments in the stock and securities of our taxable REIT subsidiaries to ensure compliance with the above-described 25% limitation. We cannot assure you, however, that we will always be able to comply with the 25% limitation so as to maintain REIT status.

Taxable REIT subsidiaries are subject to tax at the regular corporate rates, are not required to distribute dividends, and the amount of dividends a taxable REIT subsidiary can pay to its parent REIT may be limited by REIT gross income tests

A taxable REIT subsidiary must pay income tax at regular corporate rates on any income that it earns. Our taxable REIT subsidiaries will pay corporate income tax on their taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to us. Such income, however, is not required to be distributed.

Moreover, the annual gross income tests that must be satisfied to ensure REIT qualification may limit the amount of dividends that we can receive from our taxable REIT subsidiaries and still maintain our REIT status. Generally, not more than 25% of our gross income can be derived from non-real estate related sources, such as dividends from a taxable REIT subsidiary. If, for any taxable year, the dividends we received from our taxable REIT subsidiaries, when added to our other items of non-real estate related income, represented more than 25% of our total gross income for the year, we could be denied REIT status, unless we were able to demonstrate, among other things, that our failure of the gross income test was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect.

The limitations imposed by the REIT gross income tests may impede our ability to distribute assets from our taxable REIT subsidiaries to us in the form of dividends. Certain asset transfers may, therefore, have to be structured as purchase and sale transactions upon which our taxable REIT subsidiaries recognize taxable gain.

If interest accrues on indebtedness owed by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT at a rate in excess of a commercially reasonable rate, or if transactions between a REIT and a taxable REIT subsidiary are entered into on other than arm's-length terms, the REIT may be subject to a penalty tax

If interest accrues on an indebtedness owed by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT at a rate in excess of a commercially reasonable rate, the REIT is subject to tax at a rate of 100% on the excess of (i) interest payments made by a taxable REIT subsidiary to its parent REIT over (ii) the amount of interest that would have been payable had interest accrued on the indebtedness at a commercially reasonable rate. A tax at a rate of 100% is also imposed on any transaction between a taxable REIT subsidiary and its parent REIT to the extent the transaction gives rise to deductions to the taxable REIT subsidiary that are in excess of the deductions that would have been allowable had the transaction been entered into on arm's-length terms. We will scrutinize all of our transactions with our taxable REIT subsidiaries in an effort to ensure that we do not become subject to these taxes. We may not be able to avoid application of these taxes.

Risks Related To Commercial Mortgage and Real Estate Assets

A prolonged economic slowdown or continued declining real estate values could impair the assets we may own and harm our operating results.

Many of the commercial mortgage and real estate assets we may own may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions, which could lead to financial losses in our assets and a decrease in revenues, net income and asset values. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could result in significant diminution in the value of our assets, prevent us from increasing our assets and have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Continued adverse developments in the broader residential and commercial mortgage market may adversely affect the value of the assets in which we expect to invest.

For the past few years, the residential and commercial mortgage market in the United States has experienced a variety of difficulties and changed economic conditions, including defaults, credit losses and liquidity concerns. Certain commercial banks, investment banks and insurance companies have announced extensive losses from exposure to the residential and commercial mortgage market. These losses have reduced financial industry capital, leading to reduced liquidity for some institutions. These factors have impacted investor perception of the risk associated with CMBS and commercial mortgage loans which we may acquire. As a result, values for CMBS and commercial mortgage loans in which we expect to invest may experience volatility. Further increased volatility and deterioration in the broader residential and commercial mortgage and mortgage-backed securities markets may adversely affect the performance and market value of the assets we expect to acquire.

Any decline in the value of our assets, or perceived market uncertainty about their value, would likely continue to make it difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all, or maintain our compliance with terms of any financing arrangements already in place. The CMBS in which we expect to invest is classified for accounting purposes as available-for-sale. All assets classified as available-for-sale will be reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. As a result, a decline in fair values may reduce the book value of our assets. Moreover, if the decline in fair value of an available-for-sale security is other-than-temporarily impaired, such decline will reduce earnings. If market conditions result in a decline in the fair value of the CMBS we expect to acquire, our financial position and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The commercial mortgage loans we expect to acquire and the mortgage loans underlying the CMBS assets we expect to acquire depend on the ability of the commercial property owner to generate net income from operating the property. Failure to do so may result in delinquency and/or foreclosure.

Commercial mortgage loans are secured by multifamily or commercial property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss that may be greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single-family residential property. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by an income-producing property typically is dependent primarily upon the successful operation of such property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income-producing property can be adversely affected by, among other things,

tenant mix;

success of tenant businesses;

property management decisions;

property location, condition and design;

competition from comparable types of properties;

changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;

changes in national, regional or local economic conditions or specific industry segments, including the credit and securitization markets;

declines in regional or local real estate values;

declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;
increases in interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;
costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions;
the potential for uninsured or underinsured property losses;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, including fiscal policies, zoning ordinances and environmental legislation and the related costs of compliance; and

acts of God, terrorist attacks, social unrest and civil disturbances.

In the event of any default under a mortgage loan held directly by us, we will bear a risk of loss of principal to the extent of any deficiency between the value of the collateral and the principal and accrued interest of the mortgage loan, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow from operations and limit amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. In the event of the bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan to such borrower will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy (as determined by the bankruptcy court), and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law. Foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process, which could have a substantial negative effect on our anticipated return on the foreclosed mortgage loan.

Because assets we expect to acquire may experience periods of illiquidity, we may lose profits or be prevented from earning capital gains if we cannot sell mortgage-related assets at an opportune time.

We bear the risk of being unable to dispose of our targeted assets at advantageous times or in a timely manner because mortgage-related assets generally experience periods of illiquidity, including the recent period of delinquencies and defaults with respect to commercial mortgage loans. The lack of liquidity may result from the absence of a willing buyer or an established market for these assets, as well as legal or contractual restrictions on resale or the unavailability of financing for these assets. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited, which may cause us to incur losses.

The lack of liquidity in the assets we expect to acquire may adversely affect our business.

The illiquidity of our commercial mortgage and real estate assets we expect to acquire may make it difficult for us to sell such assets if the need or desire arises. Many of the securities we expect to purchase are not be registered under the relevant securities laws, resulting in a prohibition against their transfer, sale, pledge or their disposition except in a transaction that is exempt from the registration requirements of, or otherwise in accordance with, those laws. In addition, certain assets such as B-Notes, mezzanine loans, preferred equity and bridge and other loans are also particularly illiquid assets due to their potential unsuitability for securitization and the greater difficulty of recovery in the event of a borrower's default. Moreover, turbulent market conditions, such as those recently in effect, could significantly and negatively impact the liquidity of our assets. It may be difficult or impossible to obtain third party pricing on the assets we purchase. Illiquid assets typically experience greater price volatility, as a ready market does not exist, and can be more difficult to value. In addition, validating third party pricing for illiquid assets may be more subjective than more liquid assets. As a result, we expect many of the assets we may acquire will be illiquid and if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our assets. Further, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an asset in a business entity to the extent that we have or could be attributed with material, nonpublic information regarding such business entity. As a result, our ability to vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be relatively limited, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The real estate investments we expect to acquire will be illiquid.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to adjust the portfolio promptly in response to economic or other conditions will be limited. Certain significant expenditures generally do not change in response to

economic or other conditions, including: (i) debt service (if any), (ii) real estate taxes, and (iii) operating and maintenance costs. This combination of variable revenue and relatively fixed expenditures may result, under certain market conditions, in reduced earnings and could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

The CMBS assets we expect to acquire are subject to losses.

The CMBS we expect to acquire are subject to losses. In general, losses on a mortgaged property securing a mortgage loan included in a securitization will be borne first by the equity holder of the property, then by the holder of a mezzanine loan or B-Note, if any, then by the “first loss” subordinated security holder generally, the “B-Piece” buyer, and then by the holder of a higher-rated security. In the event of default and the exhaustion of any equity support, mezzanine loans or B-Notes, and any classes of securities junior to those which we acquire, we will not be able to recover all of our capital in the securities we purchase. In addition, if the underlying mortgage portfolio has been overvalued by the originator, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral is available to satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related mortgage-backed securities. The prices of lower credit quality CMBS are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated CMBS, but more sensitive to adverse economic downturns or individual issuer developments. The projection of an economic downturn, for example, could cause a decline in the price of lower credit quality CMBS because the ability of obligors of mortgages underlying CMBS to make principal and interest payments may be impaired. In such event, existing credit support in the securitization structure may be insufficient to protect us against loss of our principal on these securities.

We may not control the special servicing of the mortgage loans included in the CMBS in which we may invest and, in such cases, the special servicer may take actions that could adversely affect our interests.

With respect to the CMBS in which we may invest, overall control over the special servicing of the related underlying mortgage loans will be held by a “directing certificate holder” or a “controlling class representative,” which is appointed by the holders of the most subordinate class of CMBS in such series. To the extent that we focus on acquiring classes of existing series of CMBS originally rated AAA, we will not have the right to appoint the directing certificate holder. In connection with the servicing of the specially serviced mortgage loans, the related special servicer may, at the direction of the directing certificate holder, take actions with respect to the specially serviced mortgage loans that could adversely affect our interests.

If we overestimate the yields or incorrectly price the risks of our assets, we may experience losses.

We will value our potential assets based on yields and risks, taking into account estimated future losses on the mortgage loans and the underlying collateral included in the securitization’s pools, and the estimated impact of these losses on expected future cash flows and returns. Our loss estimates may not prove accurate, as actual results may vary from estimates. In the event that we underestimate the asset level losses relative to the price we pay for a particular asset, we may experience losses with respect to such asset.

Purchases of non-conforming and non-investment grade rated loans or securities involve increased risk of loss.

It is possible that some of the loans we acquire will not conform to conventional loan standards applied by traditional lenders and either will not be rated or will be rated as non-investment grade by the rating agencies. The non-investment grade ratings for these assets typically result from the overall leverage of the loans, the lack of a strong operating history for the properties underlying the loans, the borrowers’ credit history, the properties’ underlying cash flow or other factors. As a result, these loans will have a higher risk of default and loss than investment grade rated assets. Any loss we incur may be significant and may reduce distributions to our stockholders and adversely affect the market value of our common shares.

Any credit ratings assigned to our assets will be subject to ongoing evaluations and revisions, and we cannot assure you that those ratings will not be downgraded.

Some of our assets may be rated by Moody's Investors Service, Fitch Ratings, Standard & Poor's, DBRS, Inc., Realpoint LLC or other credit ratings organizations. Any credit ratings on our assets are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies, and we cannot assure you that any such ratings will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. If rating agencies assign a lower-than-expected rating or reduce or withdraw, or indicate that they may reduce or withdraw, their ratings of our assets in the future, the value of these assets could significantly decline, which would adversely affect the value of our portfolio and could result in losses upon disposition or the failure of borrowers to satisfy their debt service obligations to us.

The B-Notes that we may acquire may be subject to additional risks related to the privately negotiated structure and terms of the transaction, which may result in losses to us.

We may acquire B-Notes. A B-Note is a mortgage loan typically (1) secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and (2) subordinated to an A-Note secured by the same first mortgage on the same collateral. As a result, if a borrower defaults, there may not be sufficient funds remaining for B-Note holders after payment to the A-Note holders. However, because each transaction is privately negotiated, B-Notes can vary in their structural characteristics and risks. For example, the rights of holders of B-Notes to control the process following a borrower default may vary from transaction to transaction. Further, B-Notes typically are secured by a single property and so reflect the risks associated with significant concentration. Significant losses related to our B-Notes would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The mezzanine loan assets that we expect to acquire will involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing properties.

We may acquire mezzanine loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. These types of assets involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property, because the loan may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our initial expenditure. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. Significant losses related to our mezzanine loans would result in operating losses for us and may limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We may be required to repurchase mortgage loans or indemnify investors if we breach representations and warranties, which could harm our earnings.

When we sell loans, we will be required to make customary representations and warranties about such loans to the loan purchaser. Our commercial mortgage loan sale agreements will require us to repurchase or substitute loans in the event we breach a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser. In addition, we may be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or in the event of early payment default on a mortgage loan. Likewise, we may be required to repurchase or substitute loans if we breach a representation or warranty in connection with our securitizations. The remedies available to a purchaser of mortgage loans are generally broader than those available to us against the originating broker or correspondent. Further, if a purchaser enforces its remedies against us, we may not be able to enforce the remedies we have against the sellers. The repurchased loans typically can only be financed at a steep discount to their repurchase price, if at all. They are also typically sold at a significant discount to the unpaid principal balance. Significant repurchase activity could harm our cash flow, results of operations, financial condition and business prospects.

We and our third party loan originators and servicers' due diligence of potential assets may not reveal all of the liabilities associated with such assets and may not reveal other weaknesses in such assets, which could lead to losses.

Before making an asset acquisition, we will assess the strengths and weaknesses of the originator or issuer of the asset as well as other factors and characteristics that are material to the performance of the asset. In making the assessment

and otherwise conducting customary due diligence, we will rely on resources available to it, including our third party loan originators and servicers. This process is particularly important with respect to newly formed originators or issuers because there may be little or no information publicly available about these entities and assets. There can be no assurance that our due diligence process will uncover all relevant facts or that any asset acquisition will be successful.

The real estate assets we expect to acquire are subject to risks particular to real property, which may adversely affect our returns from certain assets and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We will own assets secured by real estate and own real estate directly, in the future, we may own real estate directly either through direct purchases or upon a default of mortgage loans. Real estate assets are subject to various risks, including:

acts of God, including earthquakes, hurricanes, floods and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses;

acts of war or terrorism, including the consequences of terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred on September 11, 2001;

adverse changes in national and local economic and market conditions;

changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances;

costs of remediation and liabilities associated with environmental conditions such as indoor mold; and

the potential for uninsured or under-insured property losses.

If any of these or similar events occurs, it may reduce our return from an affected property or investment and reduce or eliminate our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Construction loans involve an increased risk of loss.

We may acquire construction loans. If we fail to fund our entire commitment on a construction loan or if a borrower otherwise fails to complete the construction of a project, there could be adverse consequences associated with the loan, including: a loss of the value of the property securing the loan, especially if the borrower is unable to raise funds to complete it from other sources; a borrower claim against us for failure to perform under the loan documents; increased costs to the borrower that the borrower is unable to pay; a bankruptcy filing by the borrower; and abandonment by the borrower of the collateral for the loan.

Risks of cost overruns and noncompletion of renovation of the properties underlying rehabilitation loans may result in significant losses. The renovation, refurbishment or expansion by a borrower under a mortgaged property involves risks of cost overruns and noncompletion. Estimates of the costs of improvements to bring an acquired property up to standards established for the market position intended for that property may prove inaccurate. Other risks may include rehabilitation costs exceeding original estimates, possibly making a project uneconomical, environmental risks and rehabilitation and subsequent leasing of the property not being completed on schedule. If such renovation is not completed in a timely manner, or if it costs more than expected, the borrower may experience a prolonged impairment of net operating income and may not be able to make payments on our investment, which could result in significant losses.

We use analytical models and data in connection with the valuation of our assets, and any incorrect, misleading or incomplete information used in connection therewith would subject us to potential risks.

Given the complexity of our expected assets and strategies, we must rely heavily on analytical models (both proprietary models developed by us and those supplied by third parties) and information and data supplied by our

third party loan originators and servicers, or models and data. Models and data are used to value assets or potential asset purchases and also in connection with hedging our assets. When models and data prove to be incorrect, misleading or incomplete, any decisions made in reliance thereon expose us to potential risks. For example, by relying on models and data, especially valuation models, we may be induced to buy certain assets at prices that are too high, to sell certain other assets at prices that are too low or to miss favorable opportunities altogether. Similarly, any hedging based on faulty models and data may prove to be unsuccessful. Furthermore, any valuations of our assets that are based on valuation models may prove to be incorrect.

Some of the risks of relying on analytical models and third-party data are particular to analyzing tranches from securitizations, such as CMBS or RMBS. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) collateral cash flows and/or liability structures may be incorrectly modeled in all or only certain scenarios, or may be modeled based on simplifying assumptions that lead to errors; (ii) information about collateral may be incorrect, incomplete, or misleading; (iii) collateral or bond historical performance (such as historical prepayments, defaults, cash flows, etc.) may be incorrectly reported, or subject to interpretation (e.g., different issuers may report delinquency statistics based on different definitions of what constitutes a delinquent loan); or (iv) collateral or bond information may be outdated, in which case the models may contain incorrect assumptions as to what has occurred since the date information was last updated.

Some of the analytical models used by us, such as mortgage prepayment models or mortgage default models, are predictive in nature. The use of predictive models has inherent risks. For example, such models may incorrectly forecast future behavior, leading to potential losses on a cash flow and/or a mark-to-market basis. In addition, the predictive models used by us may differ substantially from those models used by other market participants, with the result that valuations based on these predictive models may be substantially higher or lower for certain assets than actual market prices. Furthermore, since predictive models are usually constructed based on historical data supplied by third parties, the success of relying on such models may depend heavily on the accuracy and reliability of the supplied historical data and the ability of these historical models to accurately reflect future periods.

All valuation models rely on correct market data inputs. If incorrect market data is entered into even a well-founded valuation model, the resulting valuations will be incorrect. However, even if market data is inputted correctly, “model prices” will often differ substantially from market prices, especially for securities with complex characteristics, such as derivative securities.

We may experience a decline in the fair value of our expected assets.

A decline in the fair market value of our expected assets may require us to recognize an “other-than-temporary” impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale.

Some of our portfolio assets may be recorded at fair value and, as a result, there will be uncertainty as to the value of these assets. Some of our portfolio assets are in the form of positions or securities that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. We will value these assets quarterly at fair value. Because such valuations are subjective, the fair value of certain of our assets may fluctuate over short periods of time and our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. The value of our common stock could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of these investments were materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Liability relating to environmental matters may impact the value of properties that we may acquire or foreclosure on.

If we acquire or foreclose on properties with respect to which we have extended mortgage loans, we may be subject to environmental liabilities arising from such foreclosed properties. Under various U.S. federal, state and local laws, an

owner or operator of real property may become liable for the costs of removal of certain hazardous substances released on its property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of such hazardous substances.

The presence of hazardous substances may adversely affect an owner's ability to sell real estate or borrow using real estate as collateral. To the extent that an owner of a property underlying one of our debt investments becomes liable for removal costs, the ability of the owner to make payments to us may be reduced, which in turn may adversely affect the value of the relevant mortgage asset held by us and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. If we acquire any properties, the presence of hazardous substances on a property may adversely affect our ability to sell the property and we may incur substantial remediation costs, thus harming our financial condition. The discovery of material environmental liabilities attached to such properties could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our reserves for loan losses may prove inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

We maintain financial reserves to protect against potential losses and conduct a review of the adequacy of these reserves on a quarterly basis. Our reserves reflect management's current judgment of the probability and severity of losses within our overall portfolio, based on this quarterly review. However, estimation of ultimate loan losses, projected expenses and loss reserves is a complex process and there can be no assurance that management's judgment will prove to be correct and that reserves will be adequate over time to protect against future losses. Such losses could be caused by factors including, but not limited to, unanticipated adverse changes in the economy or events adversely affecting specific assets, co-venturers, borrowers, industries in which our borrowers operate or markets in which our borrowers or their properties are located. If our reserves for credit losses prove inadequate we may suffer additional losses which would have a material adverse effect on our financial performance and results of operations.

We may suffer losses when a borrower defaults on a loan and the underlying collateral value is less than the amount due.

If a borrower defaults on a non-recourse loan, we will only have recourse to the real estate-related assets collateralizing the loan. If the underlying collateral value is less than the loan amount, we will suffer a loss. Conversely, some of our loans may be unsecured or are secured only by equity interests in the borrowing entities. These loans are subject to the risk that other lenders in the capital stack may be directly secured by the real estate assets of the borrower or may otherwise have a superior right to repayment. Upon a default, those collateralized lenders would have priority over us with respect to the proceeds of a sale of the underlying real estate. In cases described above, we may lack control over the underlying asset collateralizing our loan or the underlying assets of the borrower before a default, and, as a result, the value of the collateral may be reduced by acts or omissions by owners or managers of the assets. In addition, the value of the underlying real estate may be adversely affected by some or all of the risks referenced above with respect to our owned real estate.

Some of our loans may be backed by individual or corporate guarantees from borrowers or their affiliates which are not secured. If the guarantees are not fully or partially secured, we typically rely on financial covenants from borrowers and guarantors which are designed to require the borrower or guarantor to maintain certain levels of creditworthiness. Where we do not have recourse to specific collateral pledged to satisfy such guarantees or recourse loans, we will only have recourse as an unsecured creditor to the general assets of the borrower or guarantor, some or all of which may be pledged as collateral for other lenders. There can be no assurance that a borrower or guarantor will comply with its financial covenants, or that sufficient assets will be available to pay amounts owed to us under our loans and guarantees. As a result of these factors, we may suffer additional losses which could have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Upon a borrower bankruptcy, we may not have full recourse to the assets of the borrower to satisfy our loan. In addition, certain of our loans are subordinate to other debt of certain borrowers. If a borrower defaults on our loan or on debt senior to our loan, or upon a borrower bankruptcy, our loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt receives payment. Where debt senior to our loan exists, the presence of intercreditor arrangements may limit our ability to

amend our loan documents, assign our loans, accept prepayments, exercise our remedies (through “standstill” periods) and control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings. Bankruptcy and borrower litigation can significantly increase collection costs and the time needed for us to acquire title to the underlying collateral (if applicable), during which time the collateral and/or a borrower’s financial condition may decline in value, causing us to suffer additional losses.

If the value of collateral underlying a loan declines or interest rates increase during the term of a loan, a borrower may not be able to obtain the necessary funds to repay our loan at maturity through refinancing because the underlying property revenue cannot satisfy the debt service coverage requirements necessary to obtain new financing. If a borrower is unable to repay our loan at maturity, we could suffer additional loss which may adversely impact our financial performance.

We are subject to additional risks associated with loan participations.

Some of our loans may be participation interests or co-lender arrangements in which we share the rights, obligations and benefits of the loan with other lenders. We may need the consent of these parties to exercise our rights under such loans, including rights with respect to amendment of loan documentation, enforcement proceedings upon a default and the institution of, and control over, foreclosure proceedings. Similarly, certain participants may be able to take actions to which we object but to which we will be bound if our participation interest represents a minority interest. We may be adversely affected by this lack of control.

Lease expirations, lease defaults and lease terminations may adversely affect our revenue.

Lease expirations and lease terminations may result in reduced revenues if the lease payments received from replacement tenants are less than the lease payments received from the expiring or terminating tenants. In addition, lease defaults or lease terminations by one or more significant tenants or the failure of tenants under expiring leases to elect to renew their leases, could cause us to experience long periods of vacancy with no revenue from a facility and to incur substantial capital expenditures and/or lease concessions to obtain replacement tenants.

We operate in a highly competitive market for asset acquisition opportunities and more established competitors may be able to compete more effectively for asset acquisition opportunities than we can.

A number of entities compete with us to purchase the types of CMBS and commercial real estate assets that we expect to acquire. We compete with other REITs, public and private funds, commercial and investment banks and commercial finance companies, including some of the third parties with which we expect to have relationships. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. Several other REITs have recently raised, or may raise, significant amounts of capital, and may have objectives that overlap with ours, which may create competition for asset acquisition opportunities. Some competitors may have a lower cost of funds and access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of asset acquisitions and establish more relationships than us. We cannot assure you that the competitive pressures we face will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, as a result of this competition, we may not be able to take advantage of attractive asset acquisition opportunities from time to time, and we can offer no assurance that we will be able to identify and purchase assets that are consistent with our objectives.

The increasing number of proposed federal, state and local laws may increase our risk of liability with respect to certain mortgage loans and could increase our cost of doing business.

The United States Congress and various state and local legislatures are considering legislation, which, among other provisions, would permit limited assignee liability for certain violations in the mortgage loan origination process and “credit risk” retention by originators or securitizers of mortgage loans. We cannot predict whether or in what form Congress or the various state and local legislatures may enact legislation affecting our business. We are evaluating the potential impact of these initiatives, if enacted, on our practices and results of operations. As a result of these and other initiatives, we are unable to predict whether federal, state or local authorities will require changes in our

practices in the future. These changes, if required, could adversely affect our profitability, particularly if we make such changes in response to new or amended laws, regulations or ordinances in any state where we acquire a significant portion of our mortgage loans, or if such changes result in us being held responsible for any violations in the mortgage loan origination process.

Accounting rules for certain of our transactions are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions, and changes in accounting treatment may adversely affect our profitability and impact our financial results.

Accounting rules for mortgage loan sales and securitizations, valuations of financial instruments, investment consolidations and other aspects of our anticipated operations are highly complex and involve significant judgment and assumptions. These complexities could lead to a delay in preparation of financial information and the delivery of this information to our shareholders. Changes in accounting interpretations or assumptions could impact our financial statements and our ability to timely prepare our financial statements in a timely fashion. Our inability to timely prepare our financial statements in a timely fashion in the future would likely adversely affect our share price significantly.

The fair value at which our assets may be recorded may not be an indication of their realizable value. Ultimate realization of the value of an asset depends to a great extent on economic and other conditions. Further, fair value is only an estimate based on good faith judgment of the price at which an investment can be sold since market prices of investments can only be determined by negotiation between a willing buyer and seller. If we were to liquidate a particular asset, the realized value may be more than or less than the amount at which such asset is valued. Accordingly, the value of our common shares could be adversely affected by our determinations regarding the fair value of our investments, whether in the applicable period or in the future. Additionally, such valuations may fluctuate over short periods of time.

If we utilize non-recourse long-term securitizations, such structures may expose us to risks which could result in losses to us.

We may utilize non-recourse long-term securitizations of our assets in mortgage loans, especially loan originations, when they are available. Prior to any such financing, we may seek to finance assets with relatively short-term facilities until a sufficient portfolio is accumulated. As a result, we would be subject to the risk that we would not be able to acquire, during the period that any short-term facilities are available, sufficient eligible assets to maximize the efficiency of a securitization. We also would bear the risk that we would not be able to obtain new short-term facilities or would not be able to renew any short-term facilities after they expire should we need more time to seek and acquire sufficient eligible assets for a securitization. In addition, conditions in the capital markets, including the recent unprecedented volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets, may not permit a non-recourse securitization at any particular time or may make the issuance of any such securitization less attractive to us even when we do have sufficient eligible assets. While we would intend to retain the unrated equity component of securitizations and, therefore, still have exposure to any assets included in such securitizations, our inability to enter into such securitizations would increase our overall exposure to risks associated with direct ownership of such assets, including the risk of default. Our inability to refinance any short-term facilities would also increase our risk because borrowings thereunder would likely be recourse to us as an entity. If we are unable to obtain and renew short-term facilities or to consummate securitizations to finance our assets on a long-term basis, we may be required to seek other forms of potentially less attractive financing or to liquidate assets at an inopportune time or price.

Any warehouse facilities that we may obtain in the future may limit our ability to acquire assets, and we may incur losses if the collateral is liquidated.

If securitization financings become available, we may utilize, if available, warehouse facilities pursuant to which we would accumulate commercial mortgage loans in anticipation of a securitization financing, which assets would be pledged as collateral for such facilities until the securitization transaction is consummated. To borrow funds to acquire assets under any future warehouse facilities, we expect that our lenders thereunder would have the right to review the potential assets for which we are seeking financing. We may be unable to obtain the consent of a lender to acquire assets that we believe would be beneficial to us and we may be unable to obtain alternate financing for such assets. In

addition, no assurance can be given that a securitization structure would be consummated with respect to the assets being warehoused. If the securitization is not consummated, the lender could liquidate the warehoused collateral, and we would then have to pay any amount by which the original purchase price of the collateral assets exceeds its sale price, subject to negotiated caps, if any, on our exposure. In addition, regardless of whether the securitization is consummated, if any of the warehoused collateral is sold before the consummation, we would have to bear any resulting loss on the sale.

Securitizations would expose us to additional risks.

In a securitization structure, we would convey a pool of assets to a special purpose vehicle, the issuing entity, and the issuing entity would issue one or more classes of non-recourse notes pursuant to the terms of an indenture. The notes would be secured by the pool of assets. In exchange for the transfer of assets to the issuing entity, we would receive the cash proceeds of the sale of non-recourse notes and a 100% interest in the equity of the issuing entity. The securitization of our portfolio might magnify our exposure to losses because any equity interest we retain in the issuing entity would be subordinate to the notes issued to investors and we would, therefore, absorb all of the losses sustained with respect to a securitized pool of assets before the owners of the notes experience any losses. Moreover, we cannot be assured that we will be able to access the securitization market or be able to do so at favorable rates. The inability to securitize our portfolio could hurt our performance and our ability to grow our business.

Lenders may require us to enter into restrictive covenants relating to our operations that may inhibit our ability to grow our business and increase revenues.

If or when we obtain debt financing, lenders (especially in the case of bank credit facilities) may impose restrictions on us that would affect our ability to incur additional debt, make certain allocations or acquisitions, reduce liquidity below certain levels, make distributions to our shareholders, redeem debt or equity securities and impact our flexibility to determine our operating policies and strategies. For example, our loan documents may contain negative covenants that limit, among other things, our ability to repurchase our common shares, distribute more than a certain amount of our net income or funds from operations to our shareholders, employ leverage beyond certain amounts, sell assets, engage in mergers or consolidations, grant liens, and enter into transactions with affiliates. If we fail to meet or satisfy any of these covenants, we would be in default under these agreements, and our lenders could elect to declare outstanding amounts due and payable, terminate their commitments, require the posting of additional collateral and enforce their interests against existing collateral. We may also be subject to cross-default and acceleration rights and, with respect to collateralized debt, the posting of additional collateral and foreclosure rights upon default. Furthermore, this could also make it difficult for us to satisfy the qualification requirements necessary to maintain our status as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. A default and resulting repayment acceleration could significantly reduce our liquidity, which could require us to sell our assets to repay amounts due and outstanding. This could also significantly harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions, which could cause the value of our capital stock to decline. A default could also significantly limit our financing alternatives such that we would be unable to pursue our leverage strategy, which could adversely affect our returns.

Our assets may become non-performing and sub-performing assets in the future, which are subject to increased risks relative to performing loans.

Our assets may in the near or the long term become non-performing and sub-performing assets, which are subject to increased risks relative to performing assets. Loans may become non-performing or sub-performing for a variety of reasons, such as the underlying property being too highly leveraged, decreasing income generated from the underlying property, or the financial distress of the borrower, in each case, that results in the borrower being unable to meet its debt service and/or repayment obligations. Such non-performing or sub-performing assets may require a substantial amount of workout negotiations and/or restructuring, which may involve substantial cost and divert the attention of our management from other activities and entail, among other things, a substantial reduction in interest rate, the capitalization of interest payments and a substantial write-down of the principal of the loan. Even if a restructuring were successfully accomplished, the borrower may not be able or willing to maintain the restructured payments or refinance the restructured mortgage upon maturity.

From time to time we find it necessary or desirable to foreclose on some, if not many, of the loans we acquire, and the foreclosure process may be lengthy and expensive. Borrowers may resist foreclosure actions by asserting numerous

claims, counterclaims and defenses to payment against us (such as lender liability claims and defenses) even when such assertions may have no basis in fact or law, in an effort to prolong the foreclosure action and force the lender into a modification of the loan or a favorable buy-out of the borrower's position. In some states, foreclosure actions can take several years or more to litigate. At any time prior to or during the foreclosure proceedings, the borrower may file for bankruptcy, which would have the effect of staying the foreclosure actions and further delaying the resolution of our claims. Foreclosure may create a negative public perception of the related property, resulting in a diminution of its value. Even if we are successful in foreclosing on a loan, the liquidation proceeds upon sale of the underlying real estate may not be sufficient to recover our cost basis in the loan, resulting in a loss to us. Furthermore, any costs or delays involved in the foreclosure of a loan or a liquidation of the underlying property will further reduce the proceeds and thus increase our loss. Any such reductions could materially and adversely affect the value of the commercial loans in which we invest.

Whether or not we have participated in the negotiation of the terms of a mortgage, there can be no assurance as to the adequacy of the protection of the terms of the loan, including the validity or enforceability of the loan and the maintenance of the anticipated priority and perfection of the applicable security interests. Furthermore, claims may be asserted that might interfere with enforcement of our rights. In the event of a foreclosure, we may assume direct ownership of the underlying real estate. The liquidation proceeds upon sale of that real estate may not be sufficient to recover our cost basis in the loan, resulting in a loss to us. Any costs or delays involved in the effectuation of a foreclosure of the loan or a liquidation of the underlying property will further reduce the proceeds and increase our loss.

Whole loan mortgages are also subject to “special hazard” risk (property damage caused by hazards, such as earthquakes or environmental hazards, not covered by standard property insurance policies), and to bankruptcy risk (reduction in a borrower’s mortgage debt by a bankruptcy court). In addition, claims may be assessed against us on account of our position as mortgage holder or property owner, including responsibility for tax payments, environmental hazards and other liabilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

When we foreclose on an asset, we may come to own and operate the property securing the loan, which would expose us to the risks inherent in that activity.

When we foreclose on an asset, we may take title to the property securing that asset, and if we do not or cannot sell the property, we would then come to own and operate it as “real estate owned.” Owning and operating real property involves risks that are different (and in many ways more significant) than the risks faced in owning an asset secured by that property. In addition, we may end up owning a property that we would not otherwise have decided to acquire directly at the price of our original investment or at all. Further, some of the property underlying the assets we are acquiring is of a different type or class than property we have had experience owning directly, including properties such as hotels. Accordingly, we may not manage these properties as well as they might be managed by another owner, and our returns to investors could suffer. If we foreclose on and come to own property, our financial performance and returns to investors could suffer.

Risks of Ownership of Our Common Stock

We may change our policies without stockholder approval.

Our board of directors and management determine all of our policies, including our investment, financing and distribution policies. They may amend or revise these policies at any time without a vote of our stockholders. Policy changes could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, the market price of our common stock or our ability to pay dividends or distributions.

Our governing documents and Maryland law impose limitations on the acquisition of our common stock and changes in control that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us.

Maryland Business Combination Act

The Maryland General Corporation Law establishes special requirements for “business combinations” between a Maryland corporation and “interested stockholders” unless exemptions are applicable. An interested stockholder is any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our then-outstanding voting stock. Among other things, the law prohibits for a period of five years a merger and other similar transactions between us and an interested stockholder unless the board of directors approved the transaction prior to the party’s becoming an interested stockholder. The five-year period runs from the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an

interested stockholder. The law also requires a super majority stockholder vote for such transactions after the end of the five-year period. This means that the transaction must be approved by at least:

80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares; and

two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares other than shares held by the interested stockholder or an affiliate of the interested stockholder with whom the business combination is to be effected.

As permitted by the Maryland General Corporation Law, we have elected not to be governed by the Maryland business combination statute. We made this election by opting out of this statute in our articles of incorporation. If, however, we amend our articles of incorporation to opt back in to the statute, the business combination statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in our stockholders' best interests.

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act

Maryland law provides that "control shares" of a Maryland corporation acquired in a "control share acquisition" have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of the stockholders. Two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote must vote in favor of granting the "control shares" voting rights. "Control shares" are shares of stock that, taken together with all other shares of stock the acquirer previously acquired, would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power:

one-tenth or more but less than one third of all voting power;

one-third or more but less than a majority of all voting power; or

a majority or more of all voting power.

Control shares do not include shares of stock the acquiring person is entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained stockholder approval. A "control share acquisition" means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

If a person who has made (or proposes to make) a control share acquisition satisfies certain conditions (including agreeing to pay expenses), he may compel our board of directors to call a special meeting of stockholders to consider the voting rights of the shares. If such a person makes no request for a meeting, we have the option to present the question at any stockholders' meeting.

If voting rights are not approved at a meeting of stockholders then, subject to certain conditions and limitations, we may redeem any or all of the control shares (except those for which voting rights have previously been approved) for fair value. We will determine the fair value of the shares, without regard to voting rights, as of the date of either:

the last control share acquisition; or

the meeting where stockholders considered and did not approve voting rights of the control shares.

If voting rights for control shares are approved at a stockholders' meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares of stock entitled to vote, all other stockholders may obtain rights as objecting stockholders and, thereunder, exercise appraisal rights. This means that you would be able to force us to redeem your stock for fair value. Under Maryland law, the fair value may not be less than the highest price per share paid in the control share acquisition. Furthermore, certain limitations otherwise applicable to the exercise of dissenters' rights would not apply in the context of a control share acquisition. The control share acquisition statute would not apply to shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if we were a party to the transaction. The control share acquisition statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in our stockholders' best interests.

The market price and trading volume of our shares of common stock may be volatile and issuances of large amounts of shares of our common stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

If we issue a significant number of shares of common stock or securities convertible into common stock in a short period of time, there could be a dilution of the existing common stock and a decrease in the market price of the common stock.

47

The market price of our shares of common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume in our shares of common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure you that the market price of our shares of common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. Some of the factors that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our shares of common stock include those set forth under “Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” as well as:

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or business prospects;

changes in our earnings estimates or publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;

an inability to meet or exceed securities analysts' estimates or expectations;

increases in market interest rates;

hedging or arbitrage trading activity in our shares of common stock;

capital commitments;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

adverse market reaction to any increased indebtedness we incur in the future;

additions or departures of management personnel;

actions by institutional shareholders;

speculation in the press or investment community;

changes in our distribution policy;

general market and economic conditions; and

future sales of our shares of common stock or securities convertible into, or exchangeable or exercisable for, our shares of common stock.

Holders of our shares of common stock will be subject to the risk of volatile market prices and wide fluctuations in the market price of our shares of common stock. These factors may cause the market price of our shares of common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or prospects. It is impossible to assure you that the market prices of our shares of common stock will not fall in the future.

There may be future sales or other dilution of our equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Under our charter, we have 2,000,000,000 authorized shares of capital stock, par value of \$0.01 per share, consisting of 1,956,937,500 shares classified as Common Stock, 7,412,500 shares classified as 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,600,000 shares classified as 6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, 12,650,000 shares classified as 7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and 18,400,000 shares classified as 7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock or other equity-related securities in the public market, or any hedging or arbitrage trading activity that may develop involving our common stock, could depress the market price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. We cannot predict the effect that future sales of our common stock or other equity-related securities would have on the market price of our common stock.

The repurchase right in our Convertible Senior Notes triggered by a fundamental change could discourage a potential acquiror.

If we undergo certain fundamental changes, such as the acquisition of 50% of the voting power of all shares of our common equity entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, holders of our Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the repurchase date. We will pay for all notes so repurchased with shares of our common stock using a price per share equal to the average daily volume-weighted average price of our common stock for the 20 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day immediately prior to the occurrence of the fundamental change. The issuance of these shares of common stock upon certain fundamental changes could discourage a potential acquiror.

Broad market fluctuations could negatively impact the market price of our shares of common stock.

The stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many companies in industries similar or related to ours and that have been unrelated to these companies' operating performance. These broad market fluctuations could reduce the market price of our shares of common stock. Furthermore, our operating results and prospects may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors or may be lower than those of companies with comparable market capitalizations, which could lead to a material decline in the market price of our shares of common stock.

Regulatory Risks

Loss of Investment Company Act exemption would adversely affect us.

We intend to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "Investment Company Act"). If we fail to qualify for this exemption, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced, and we would be unable to conduct our business as we currently conduct it.

We currently rely on the exemption from registration provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. Section 3(c)(5)(C) as interpreted by the staff of the SEC, requires us to invest at least 55% of our assets in "mortgages and other liens on and interest in real estate" (or Qualifying Real Estate Assets) and at least 80% of our assets in Qualifying Real Estate Assets plus real estate related assets. The assets that we acquire, therefore, are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act.

We rely on an interpretation that "whole pool certificates" that are issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae (or Agency Whole Pool Certificates) are Qualifying Real Estate Assets under Section 3(c)(5)(C). This interpretation was promulgated by the SEC staff in a no-action letter over 30 years ago, was reaffirmed by the SEC in 1992 and has been commonly relied on by mortgage REITs.

On August 31, 2011, the SEC issued a concept release titled "Companies Engaged in the Business of Acquiring Mortgages and Mortgage-Related Instruments" (SEC Release No. IC-29778). Under the concept release, the SEC is reviewing interpretive issues related to the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exemption. Among other things, the SEC requested comments on whether it should revisit whether Agency Whole Pool Certificates may be treated as interests in real estate (and presumably Qualifying Real Estate Assets) and whether companies, such as us, whose primary business consists of investing in Agency Whole Pool Certificates are the type of entities that Congress intended to be encompassed by the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C). The potential outcomes of the SEC's actions are

unclear as is the SEC's timetable for its review and actions.

If the SEC determines that any of these securities are not Qualifying Real Estate Assets or real estate related assets, adopts a contrary interpretation with respect to Agency Whole Pool Certificates or otherwise believes we do not satisfy the exemption under Section 3(c)(5)(C), we could be required to restructure our activities or sell certain of our assets. The net effect of these factors will be to lower our net interest income. If we fail to qualify for exemption from registration as an investment company, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced, and we would not be able to conduct our business as described. Our business will be materially and adversely affected if we fail to qualify for this exemption.

Compliance with proposed and recently enacted changes in securities laws and regulations increases our costs.

The Dodd-Frank Act contains many regulatory changes and calls for future rulemaking that may affect our business, including, but not limited to resolutions involving derivatives, risk-retention in securitizations and short-term financings. We are evaluating, and will continue to evaluate the potential impact of regulatory change under the Dodd-Frank Act.

We are highly dependent on information systems and third parties, and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Our business is highly dependent on communications and information systems. Any failure or interruption of our systems or cyber-attacks or security breaches of our networks or systems could cause delays or other problems in our securities trading activities, including mortgage-backed securities trading activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. In addition, we also face the risk of operational failure, termination or capacity constraints of any of the third parties with which we do business or that facilitate our business activities, including clearing agents or other financial intermediaries we use to facilitate our securities transactions.

Computer malware, viruses, and computer hacking and phishing attacks have become more prevalent in our industry and may occur on our systems in the future. We rely heavily on our financial, accounting and other data processing systems. It is difficult to determine what, if any, negative impact may directly result from any specific interruption or cyber-attacks or security breaches of our networks or systems or any failure to maintain performance, reliability and security of our technical infrastructure. As a result, any such computer malware, viruses, and computer hacking and phishing attacks may negatively affect our operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our executive and administrative office is located at 1211 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 2902 New York, New York 10036, telephone 212-696-0100. This office is leased under a non-cancelable lease expiring December 31, 2014.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we are involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

None.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock began trading publicly on October 8, 1997 and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "NLY." As of February 15, 2013, we had 947,250,377 shares of common stock issued and outstanding which were held by approximately 646,000 beneficial holders.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high, low, and closing sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape and the cash dividends declared per share of our common stock.

	Stock Prices		
	High	Low	Close
Quarter ended March 31, 2012	\$17.20	\$15.52	\$15.82
Quarter ended June 30, 2012	\$17.19	\$15.52	\$16.78
Quarter ended September 30, 2012	\$17.75	\$16.00	\$16.84
Quarter ended December 31, 2012	\$16.93	\$13.72	\$14.04
	High	Low	Close
Quarter ended March 31, 2011	\$18.16	\$17.31	\$17.45
Quarter ended June 30, 2011	\$18.79	\$17.15	\$18.04
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	\$18.58	\$14.05	\$16.63
Quarter ended December 31, 2011	\$17.12	\$14.65	\$15.96
	Common Dividends Declared Per Share		
Quarter ended March 31, 2012	\$0.55		
Quarter ended June 30, 2012	\$0.55		
Quarter ended September 30, 2012	\$0.50		
Quarter ended December 31, 2012	\$0.45		
Quarter ended March 31, 2011	\$0.62		
Quarter ended June 30, 2011	\$0.65		
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	\$0.60		
Quarter ended December 31, 2011	\$0.57		

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to distribute to our stockholders all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year (subject to certain adjustments). This will enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Code. We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected for the reasons described under the caption "Risk Factors." All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. No dividends can be paid

on our common stock unless we have paid full cumulative dividends on our preferred stock. From the date of issuance of our preferred stock through December 31, 2012, we have paid full cumulative dividends on our preferred stock.

SHARE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph and table set forth certain information comparing the yearly percentage change in cumulative total return on our common stock to the cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's Composite 500 stock Index or S&P 500 Index, and the Bloomberg REIT Mortgage Index, or BBG REIT index, an industry index of mortgage REITs. The comparison is for the period from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2012 and assumes the reinvestment of dividends. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested in our common stock and the two other indices on December 31, 2007. Upon written request we will provide stockholders with a list of the REITs included in the BBG REIT Index.

	12/31/2007	12/31/2008	12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012
Annaly Capital Management, Inc.	100	99	120	136	139	140
S&P 500 Index	100	64	80	91	93	106
BBG Reit Index	100	65	77	88	87	95

The information in the share performance graph and table has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but neither its accuracy nor its completeness can be guaranteed. The historical information set forth above is not necessarily indicative of future performance. Accordingly, we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future share performance.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

On May 27, 2010, at our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The 2010 Equity Incentive Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee of the board of directors to grant options, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, or other share-based award, including restricted shares up to an aggregate of 25,000,000 shares, subject to adjustments as provided in the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. On June 26, 2012, we granted to each non-management director of the Company options to purchase 1,250 shares of our common stock under the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. The stock options were issued at the current market price on the date of grant and immediately vested with a contractual term of 5 years. The grant date fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. For a description of our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

We had previously adopted a long term stock incentive plan for executive officers, key employees and nonemployee directors (the Incentive Plan). The Incentive Plan authorized, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors to grant awards, including incentive stock options as defined under Section 422 of the Code (ISOs) and non-qualified stock options. The Incentive Plan authorized the granting of options or other awards for an aggregate of the greater of 500,000 shares or 9.5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock up to a ceiling of 8,932,921 shares. No further awards will be made under the Incentive Plan, although existing awards will remain effective. Stock options were issued at the current market price on the date of grant, subject to an immediate or four year vesting in four equal installments with a contractual term of 5 or 10 years. The grant date fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. For a description of our Incentive Plan, see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 concerning shares of our common stock authorized for issuance under the 2010 Incentive Plan and Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plans).

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the Incentive Plans (excluding previously issued)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	5,618,686	\$15.74	24,952,754
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	5,618,686	\$15.74	24,952,754

SHARE REPURCHASES

On October 16, 2012 the Company announced that its Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to \$1.5 billion of its outstanding common shares over a 12 month period. All common shares purchased were part of a publicly announced plan in open-market transactions.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 concerning the common shares purchased by the Company pursuant to this authorization.

Maximum Dollar Value of
Shares that May Yet Be

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

	Total number of shares purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Purchased Under the Plan (dollars in thousands)
November 2012	5,819,596	\$14.44	\$1,415,948
December 2012	21,979,523	14.24	\$1,102,950
Total	27,799,119	\$14.28	

53

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data are derived from our audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information contained in the Financial Statements and Notes thereto and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

Statement of Operations Data	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Interest income:					
Investments	\$3,232,020	\$3,558,015	\$2,676,307	\$2,922,499	\$3,115,428
U.S. Treasury Securities	17,222	14,706	2,830	-	-
Securities loaned	9,903	6,897	3,997	103	-
Total interest income	3,259,145	3,579,618	2,683,134	2,922,602	3,115,428
Interest expense:					
Repurchase agreements	577,243	426,769	397,971	575,867	1,560,976
Convertible Senior Notes	67,221	35,017	24,228	-	-
U.S. Treasury Securities sold, not yet purchased	15,114	13,081	2,649	-	-
Securities borrowed	7,594	5,459	3,377	92	-
Total interest expense	667,172	480,326	428,225	575,959	1,560,976
Net interest income	2,591,973	3,099,292	2,254,909	2,346,643	1,554,452
Other income (loss):					
Investment advisory and other fee income	82,663	79,205	58,073	48,952	27,891
Net gains (losses) on disposal of investments	432,139	206,846	181,791	99,128	10,713
Net loss on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	(162,340)	-	-	-	-
Dividend income from affiliates	28,336	31,516	31,038	17,184	2,713
Net gains (losses) on trading assets	22,910	21,398	(2,351)	-	9,695
Loss on other-than-temporarily impaired securities	-	-	-	-	(31,834)
Net unrealized gain (losses) on Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities	(59,937)	(106,657)	-	-	-
Loss on receivable from Prime Broker	-	-	-	(13,613)	-
Income from underwriting	-	5,618	2,095	-	-
Subtotal	343,771	237,926	270,646	151,651	19,178
Realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps(1)	(893,769)	(882,395)	(735,107)	(719,803)	(327,936)
Realized gains (losses) on termination of interest rate swaps	(2,385)	-	-	-	-
	(32,219)	(1,815,107)	(318,832)	349,521	(768,268)

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Unrealized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps					
Subtotal	(928,373)	(2,697,502)	(1,053,939)	(370,282)	(1,096,204)
Total other income (loss)	(584,602)	(2,459,576)	(783,293)	(218,631)	(1,077,026)
Expenses:					
Distribution fees	-	-	360	1,756	1,589
Compensation expense	190,702	206,251	146,958	111,416	87,412
Other general and administrative expenses	44,857	31,093	24,529	18,736	16,210
Total expenses	235,559	237,344	171,847	131,908	105,211
Income (loss) before income taxes and income from equity method investment in affiliate	1,771,812	402,372	1,299,769	1,996,104	372,215
Income taxes	(35,912)	(59,051)	(35,434)	(34,381)	(25,977)
Income (loss) on equity method investment in affiliate	-	1,140	2,945	(252)	-
Income before noncontrolling interest	1,735,900	344,461	1,267,280	1,961,471	346,238
Noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	58
Net income (loss)	1,735,900	344,461	1,267,280	1,961,471	346,180
Dividends on preferred stock	39,530	16,854	18,033	18,501	21,177
Net income (loss) available (related) to common shareholders	\$ 1,696,370	\$ 327,607	\$ 1,249,247	\$ 1,942,970	\$ 325,003
Basic net income per average common share	\$ 1.74	\$ 0.37	\$ 2.12	\$ 3.55	\$ 0.64
Diluted net income per average common share	\$ 1.71	\$ 0.37	\$ 2.04	\$ 3.52	\$ 0.64
Other Financial Data					
Total assets	\$ 133,452,295	\$ 109,630,002	\$ 83,026,590	\$ 69,376,190	\$ 57,597,615
6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock	-	\$ 32,272	\$ 40,032	\$ 63,114	\$ 96,042
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 2.05	\$ 2.44	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.08

(1) Interest expense related to the Company's interest rate swaps is recorded in realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps on the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this quarterly report, and certain statements contained in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC or the Commission"), in our press releases or in our other public or shareholder communications may not be based on historical facts and are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements, which are based on various assumptions, (some of which are beyond our control) may be identified by reference to a future period or periods, or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "may," "will," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "continue," or similar terms or variations on those terms, or the negative of those terms. Actual results could differ materially from those set forth in forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates, changes in the yield curve, changes in prepayment rates, the availability of mortgage-backed securities and other securities and other real estate assets for purchase, the availability of financing, and, if available, the terms of any financings, changes in the market value of our assets, changes in business conditions and the general economy, our ability to integrate the commercial mortgage business, our ability to consummate any contemplated investment opportunities, changes in governmental regulations affecting our business, our ability to maintain our classification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, our ability to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and risks associated with the business of our subsidiaries, including the investment advisory businesses of our subsidiaries, including the removal by their clients of assets they manage, their regulatory requirements, and competition in the investment advisory business, and risks associated with the broker dealer business of our subsidiary. For a discussion of the risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, see our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and any subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. We do not undertake and specifically disclaim any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

Overview

We own, manage, and finance a portfolio of real estate related investments, including mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations (or CMOs), Agency callable debentures, and other securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans. Our principal business objective is to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on our Investment Securities and the costs of borrowing to finance our acquisition of Investment Securities and from dividends we receive from our subsidiaries. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries offer diversified real estate, asset management and other financial services. To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders' equity to real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

We are a Maryland corporation that commenced operations on February 18, 1997. We are self-advised and self-managed. We acquired Fixed Income Discount Advisory Company (or FIDAC) on June 4, 2004 and Merganser Capital Management, Inc. (or Merganser) on October 31, 2008. FIDAC and Merganser manage a number of investment vehicles and separate accounts for which they earn fee income. Our subsidiary, RCap Securities, Inc. (or RCap), operates as a broker-dealer, and was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (or FINRA) in January 2009. In 2010, we established Shannon Funding LLC (or Shannon), which provides warehouse financing to residential mortgage originators in the United States. In 2010, we also established Charlesfort Capital

Management LLC (or Charlesfort), which engages in corporate middle market lending transactions. We also own an additional subsidiary which owns trading securities.

We have elected and believe that we are organized and have operated in a manner that qualifies us to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (or REIT) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the Code). If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income that is distributed to our stockholders. Therefore, substantially all of our assets, other than FIDAC, Merganser and RCap, which are our taxable REIT subsidiaries, consist of qualified REIT real estate assets (of the type described in Section 856(c)(5)(B) of the Code). We have financed our purchases of Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures with the net proceeds of equity offerings, convertible notes offerings and borrowings under repurchase agreements whose interest rates adjust based on changes in short-term market interest rates.

Capital Investment Policy

Under our capital investment policy, at least 75% of our total assets must be comprised of high-quality mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. High quality securities means securities that (1) are rated within one of the two highest rating categories by at least one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, (2) are unrated but are guaranteed by the United States government or an agency of the United States government, or (3) are unrated but we determine them to be of comparable quality to high-quality rated mortgage-backed securities.

The remainder of our assets, comprising not more than 25% of our total assets, may consist of other qualified REIT real estate assets which are unrated or rated less than high quality, but which are at least “investment grade” (rated “BBB” or better by Standard & Poor’s Corporation (or S&P) or the equivalent by another nationally recognized rating agency) or, if not rated, we determine them to be of comparable credit quality to an investment which is rated “BBB” or better. In addition, we may directly or indirectly invest part of this remaining 25% of our assets in other types of securities, including without limitation, unrated debt, equity or derivative securities, to the extent consistent with our REIT qualification requirements. The derivative securities in which we invest may include securities representing the right to receive interest only or a disproportionately large amount of interest, as well as inverse floaters, which may have imbedded leverage as part of their structural characteristics.

We may acquire Agency mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family residential mortgage loans as well as securities backed by loans on multi-family, commercial or other real estate related properties. To date over 90% of our total assets have consisted of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. While we remain committed to the Agency market, given the current environment, we believe it is prudent to diversify a portion of our investment portfolio. Therefore, we may allocate up to 25% of our stockholders’ equity to real estate assets other than Agency mortgage-backed securities.

The results of our operations are affected by various factors, many of which are beyond our control. Our results of operations primarily depend on, among other things, our net interest income, the market value of our assets and the supply of and demand for such assets. Our net interest income, which reflects the amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of discounts, varies primarily as a result of changes in interest rates, borrowing costs and prepayment speeds, the behavior of which involves various risks and uncertainties. Prepayment speeds, as reflected by the Constant Prepayment Rate, or CPR, and interest rates vary according to the type of investment, conditions in financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty. In general, as prepayment speeds on our Agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio increase, related purchase premium amortization increases, thereby reducing the net yield on such assets. The CPR on our Agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio averaged 19% and 17% for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Since changes in interest rates may significantly affect our activities, our operating results depend, in large part, upon our ability to effectively manage interest rate risks and prepayment risks while maintaining our status as a REIT. We continue to explore alternative business strategies, alternative investments and other strategic initiatives to complement our core business strategy of investing, on a leveraged basis, in high quality Investment Securities. No assurance, however, can be provided that any such strategic initiative will or will not be implemented in the future.

The table below provides quarterly and annual information regarding our average interest-earning assets, interest income, yield on average interest-earning assets, average interest-bearing liabilities, economic interest expense, average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, economic net interest income, and net interest rate spreads for the periods presented.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

	Average Interest- Earning Assets(1)	Total Interest Income	Yield on Average Interest- Earning Assets	Average Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Economic Interest Expense (2)	Average Cost of Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Economic Net Interest Income(3)	Net Interest Rate Spread
(ratios for the quarters have been annualized, dollars in thousands)								
Year Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 116,356,100	\$ 3,259,145	2.80%	\$ 103,362,717	\$ 1,560,941	1.51%	\$ 1,698,204	1.29%
Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$ 96,675,016	\$ 3,579,618	3.70%	\$ 84,595,933	\$ 1,362,721	1.61%	\$ 2,216,897	2.09%
Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$ 66,981,887	\$ 2,683,134	4.01%	\$ 60,242,842	\$ 1,163,332	1.93%	\$ 1,519,802	2.08%
Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 58,554,200	\$ 2,922,602	4.99%	\$ 52,361,607	\$ 1,295,762	2.47%	\$ 1,626,840	2.52%
Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 55,962,519	\$ 3,115,428	5.57%	\$ 50,270,226	\$ 1,888,912	3.76%	\$ 1,226,516	1.81%
Quarter Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 123,378,860	\$ 756,661	2.45%	\$ 110,257,173	\$ 413,646	1.50%	\$ 343,015	0.95%
Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	\$ 119,880,120	\$ 761,265	2.54%	\$ 106,973,056	\$ 406,165	1.52%	\$ 355,100	1.02%
Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	\$ 116,458,864	\$ 886,324	3.04%	\$ 103,668,465	\$ 388,445	1.50%	\$ 497,879	1.54%
Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	\$ 105,706,554	\$ 854,895	3.23%	\$ 92,552,175	\$ 352,685	1.52%	\$ 502,210	1.71%

(1) Does not reflect unrealized gains/ (losses) or premium/ (discount).

(2) Economic interest expense includes interest expense on interest rate swaps.

(3) Economic net interest income includes interest expense on interest rate swaps

Our net interest rate spread has declined each quarter in 2012. The declining net interest rate spread is primarily attributable to the continuing low interest rate environment and marginally higher CPR which, as discussed above, reduces the net yield on our Agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio.

The following table presents the CPR experienced on our Agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio, on an annualized basis, for the quarterly periods presented.

Quarter Ended	CPR
December 31, 2012	19%
September 30, 2012	20%
June 30, 2012	19%
March 31, 2012	19%

GAAP and Non-GAAP Reconciliation

This Management Discussion and Analysis section contains analysis and discussion of non-GAAP measurements reflected in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The Non-GAAP measurements are non-GAAP total stockholders' equity, economic interest expense and economic net interest income .

For the purpose of calculating average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, daily balances are used. For the purposes of computing ratios relating to equity measures throughout this report, equity includes Series B preferred stock, which has been treated under GAAP as temporary equity. For the purpose of computing net interest income and ratios relating to cost of funds measures throughout this report, interest expense includes interest expense on interest rate swaps, which is classified in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income as Realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps. Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the increase in interest expense on repurchase agreements in a rising rate environment. Presenting the contractual interest payments on interest rate swaps with the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities reflects total contractual interest payments. This presentation depicts the economic value of our investment strategy. Interest expense, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, is referred to as economic interest expense. Net interest income, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, is referred to as economic net interest income.

The following table compares the GAAP and non-GAAP measurements reflected in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The non-GAAP measurements are non-GAAP total stockholders' equity, economic interest expense and economic net interest income.

	GAAP Total Stockholders' Equity	Non-GAAP Total Stockholders' Equity	GAAP Interest Expense (dollars in thousands)	Economic Interest Expense	GAAP Net Interest Income	Economic Net interest Income
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	\$15,924,444	\$15,924,444	\$667,172	\$1,560,941	\$2,591,973	\$1,698,204
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$15,760,642	\$15,792,914	\$480,326	\$1,362,721	\$3,099,292	\$2,216,897
For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$9,864,900	\$9,904,932	\$428,225	\$1,163,332	\$2,254,909	\$1,519,802
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$9,554,426	\$9,617,540	\$575,959	\$1,295,762	\$2,346,643	\$1,626,840
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$7,183,272	\$7,279,314	\$1,560,976	\$1,888,912	\$1,554,452	\$1,226,516
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012	\$15,924,444	\$15,924,444	\$185,491	\$413,646	\$571,170	\$343,015
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	\$17,090,663	\$17,090,663	\$188,893	\$406,165	\$579,372	\$355,100
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	\$16,284,586	\$16,284,586	\$166,443	\$388,445	\$719,881	\$497,879
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	\$15,940,605	\$15,940,605	\$133,345	\$352,685	\$721,550	\$502,210

We believe that the non-GAAP total stockholders' equity, economic interest expense and economic net interest income provides meaningful information to consider, in addition to the respective amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. The non-GAAP measures help us to evaluate our financial position and performance without the effects of certain transactions and GAAP adjustments that are not necessarily indicative of our current investment portfolio, operations, capitalization, or stockholders' equity.

Our presentation of the economic value of our investment strategy has important limitations. Other market participants may calculate total stockholders' equity, economic interest expense and economic net interest income differently than we calculate them.

Although we believe that the calculation of the economic value of our investment strategy described above helps our financial position and performance without the effects of certain transactions, it is of limited usefulness as an analytical tool. Therefore, the economic value of our investment strategy should not be viewed in isolation and is not a substitute for total stockholders' equity, economic interest expense and economic net interest income computed in accordance with GAAP.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which require us to make estimates and assumptions (see Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements). We believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity:

Valuation of Financial Instruments

Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures:

There is an active market for Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures. Since we primarily invest in securities that can be measured from actively quoted prices, there is a high degree of observable inputs and less subjectivity measuring fair value. Internal market values are determined using quoted prices from the TBA market, the Treasury curve, and the underlying characteristics of the individual securities, which may include coupon, periodic and life caps, reset dates, and the expected life of the security. All internal market values are compared to external sources or dealer quotes to determine reasonableness. Additionally, securities used as collateral for repurchase agreements are priced daily by counterparties to ensure sufficient collateralization, providing additional verification of our internal pricing.

Interest rate swaps:

We use the overnight indexed swap (“OIS”) curve as an input to value substantially all of our interest rate swaps. We believe using the OIS curve, which reflects the interest rate typically paid on cash collateral, more accurately reflects the fair value of interest rate swaps. Consistent with market practice, we have individually negotiated agreements with certain counterparties to exchange collateral (“margining”) based on the level of fair values of the interest rate swaps. Through this margining process, one party or each party to a derivative contract provides the other party with information about the fair value of the derivative contract to calculate the amount of collateral required, providing additional verification of our recorded fair value of the interest rate swaps.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income on Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures is recognized over the projected life of the securities using the interest method. The projected life of the securities is determined based on expected prepayment speeds, past prepayment history of the security, government initiatives that would affect the Agency mortgage-backed securities market, and market consensus. Gains or losses on investment securities are recorded on trade date based on the average cost of the security.

Income Taxes

We elected to be taxed as a REIT, under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 1997. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income, if any, to stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on taxable income that we distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will then be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and we will not be permitted to qualify for treatment as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes for four years following the year during which qualification is lost unless the Internal Revenue Service grants us relief under certain statutory provisions. Such an event could materially adversely affect our net income and net cash available for distributions to stockholders. However, we believe that we will be organized and operate in such a manner as to qualify for treatment as a REIT and we intend to operate in the foreseeable future in such a manner so that we will qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. We may, however, be subject to certain state and local taxes and our Taxable REIT Subsidiaries are subject to federal, state and local taxes.

Exposure to European financial counterparties

A significant portion of our Agency mortgage-backed securities are financed with repurchase agreements. We secure our borrowings under these agreements by pledging our Agency mortgage-backed securities as collateral to the lender. The collateral we pledge exceeds the amount of the borrowings under each agreement, typically with the extent of over-collateralization being at least 3% of the amount borrowed. If the counterparty to the repurchase agreement defaults on its obligations and we are not able to recover our pledged assets, we are at risk of losing the over-collateralized amount. The amount of this exposure is the difference between the amount loaned to us plus interest due to the counterparty and the fair value of the collateral pledged by us to the lender including accrued interest receivable on such collateral.

We also use interest rate swaps to manage our interest rate risks. Under these swap agreements, we pledge Agency mortgage-backed securities as collateral as part of a margin arrangement for interest rate swaps that are in an unrealized loss position. If a counterparty were to default on its obligation, we would be exposed to a loss to a swap counterparty to the extent that the amount of our Agency mortgage-backed securities pledged exceeded the unrealized

loss on the associated swaps and we were not able to recover the excess collateral.

Over the past several years, several large European financial institutions have experienced financial difficulty and have been either rescued by government assistance or by other large European banks or institutions. Some of these financial institutions or their U.S. subsidiaries have provided us financing under repurchase agreements or we have entered into interest rate swaps with such institutions. We have entered into repurchase agreements and/or interest rate swaps with 12 financial institution counterparties that are either domiciled in Europe or a U.S.-based subsidiary of a European domiciled financial institution. The following table summarizes our exposure to such counterparties at December 31, 2012.

Country	Number of Counterparties	Repurchase Agreement Financing (dollars in thousands)	Interest Rate Swaps at Fair Value	Exposure(1)	Exposure as a Percentage of Total Assets
France	4	\$ 4,425,895	\$ (187,071)	\$ 240,101	0.18%
Germany	1	2,688,716	(366,386)	217,680	0.16%
Netherlands	2	4,319,218	(33,500)	274,867	0.21%
Scotland	1	1,382,453	-	88,858	0.07%
Switzerland	2	5,281,563	(397,094)	426,303	0.32%
England	2	12,397,882	(127,469)	617,024	0.46%
Total	12	\$ 30,495,727	\$ (1,111,520)	\$ 1,864,833	1.40%

(1) Represents the amount of cash and/or securities pledged as collateral to each counterparty less the aggregate of repurchase agreement financing and unrealized loss on swaps for each counterparty.

At December 31, 2012, we did not use credit default swaps or other forms of credit protection to hedge the exposures summarized in the table above.

If the European credit crisis continues to impact these major European financial institutions, it is possible that it will also impact the operations of their U.S. subsidiaries. Our financings and operations could be adversely affected by such events. We monitor our exposure to our repurchase agreement and swap counterparties on a regular basis, using various methods, including review of recent rating agency actions, financial relief plans, credit spreads or other developments and by monitoring the amount of cash and securities collateral pledged and the associated loan amount under repurchase agreements and/or the fair value of swaps with our counterparties. We make reverse margin calls on our counterparties to recover excess collateral as permitted by the agreements governing our financing arrangements or interest rate swaps, or may try to take other actions to reduce the amount of our exposure to a counterparty when necessary.

Results of Operations:

Net Income Summary

For the year ended December 31, 2012 our net income was \$1.7 billion or \$1.74 per average common share, as compared to net income of \$344.5 million or \$0.37 per average common share for the year ended December 31, 2011 and net income of \$1.3 billion or \$2.12 per average common share for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net income per average share increased by \$1.37 per average common share and the total net income increased \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. We attribute the majority of the increase in net income for the year ended December 31, 2012 from the year ended December 31, 2011 to the unrealized losses on interest rate swaps of \$32.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to an unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$1.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Net income per average share decreased by \$1.75 per average share available to common shareholders and total net income decreased \$922.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. We attribute the decrease in total net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 from the year ended December 31, 2010 to the unrealized losses on interest rate swaps of \$1.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to an unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$318.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Net Income Summary
(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Interest income:			
Investments	\$3,232,020	\$3,558,015	\$2,676,307
U.S. Treasury Securities	17,222	14,706	2,830
Securities loaned	9,903	6,897	3,997
Total interest income	3,259,145	3,579,618	2,683,134
Interest expense:			
Repurchase agreements	577,243	426,769	397,971
Convertible Senior Notes	67,221	35,017	24,228
U.S. Treasury Securities sold, not yet purchased	15,114	13,081	2,649
Securities borrowed	7,594	5,459	3,377
Total interest expense	667,172	480,326	428,225
Net interest income	2,591,973	3,099,292	2,254,909
Other income (loss):			
Investment advisory and other fee income	82,663	79,205	58,073
Net gains (losses) on disposal of investments	432,139	206,846	181,791
Net loss on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	(162,340)	-	-
Dividend income from affiliates	28,336	31,516	31,038
Net gains (losses) on trading assets	22,910	21,398	(2,351)
Net unrealized gain (losses) on Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities	(59,937)	(106,657)	-
Income from underwriting	-	5,618	2,095
Subtotal	343,771	237,926	270,646
Realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps(1)	(893,769)	(882,395)	(735,107)
Realized gains (losses) on termination of interest rate swaps	(2,385)	-	-
Unrealized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps	(32,219)	(1,815,107)	(318,832)
Subtotal	(928,373)	(2,697,502)	(1,053,939)
Total other income (loss)	(584,602)	(2,459,576)	(783,293)
Expenses:			
Distribution fees	-	-	360
Compensation expense	190,702	206,251	146,958
Other general and administrative expenses	44,857	31,093	24,529
Total expenses	235,559	237,344	171,847
Income (loss) before income taxes and income from equity method investment in affiliate	1,771,812	402,372	1,299,769
Income taxes	(35,912)	(59,051)	(35,434)
Income (loss) from equity method investment in affiliate	-	1,140	2,945
Net income (loss)	1,735,900	344,461	1,267,280

Dividends on preferred stock	39,530	16,854	18,033
Net income (loss) available (related) to common shareholders	\$1,696,370	\$327,607	\$1,249,247
Net income (loss) per share available (related) to common shareholders:			
Basic	\$1.74	\$0.37	\$2.12
Diluted	\$1.71	\$0.37	\$2.04
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	972,902,459	874,212,039	588,192,659
Diluted	1,005,755,057	874,518,938	625,307,174
Average total assets	\$126,649,002	\$101,054,583	\$76,242,938
Average equity	\$16,206,642	\$13,700,771	\$9,701,233
Return on average total assets	1.37	% 0.34	% 1.66
Return on average equity	10.71	% 2.51	% 13.06

(1) Interest expense related to the Company's interest rate swaps is recorded in realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps on the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Interest Income and Average Earning Asset Yield

Our interest income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$3.3 billion, \$3.6 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively. We had average interest earning assets of \$116.4 billion, \$96.7 billion and \$67.0 billion, and the yield on our average interest earning assets was 2.80%, 3.70%, and 4.01% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Even though our average interest earning assets increased for the year ended December 31, 2012 by \$19.7 billion when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, interest income decreased by \$320.5 million, due to the decline in yield on interest earning assets of 0.90%.

Interest income increased by \$896.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, due to the increase in interest earning assets during the year 2011. Average interest-earning assets increased by \$29.7 billion over the year in 2011 as compared to 2010.

Economic Interest Expense and the Cost of Interest-Bearing Liabilities

Our largest expense is the cost of interest-bearing liabilities and net interest payments on interest rate swaps. We had average interest-bearing liabilities of \$103.4 billion and total economic interest expense of \$1.6 billion, which includes \$893.8 million in interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the year ended December 31, 2012. We had average interest-bearing liabilities of \$84.6 billion and total economic interest expense of \$1.4 billion, which includes \$882.4 million in interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the year ended December 31, 2011. We had average interest-bearing liabilities of \$60.2 billion and total economic interest expense of \$1.2 billion, which includes \$735.1 million in interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the year ended December 31, 2010. Our average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 1.51%, 1.61%, 1.93%, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Economic interest expense, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$198.2 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, due to the increase in interest-bearing liabilities and the increase in notional amount on interest rate swaps. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 10 basis points and the average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$18.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, when compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Economic interest expense, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased by \$199.4 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, due to the increase in interest-bearing liabilities and the increase in notional amount on interest rate swaps. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities rate decreased by 32 basis points and the average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$24.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows our average interest-bearing liabilities and average cost of interest-bearing liabilities as compared to average one-month and average six-month LIBOR for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 and the four quarters in 2012.

Average Cost of Interest-Bearing Liabilities
(Quarterly ratios have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Average Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Interest- Bearing Liabilities at Period End	Economic Interest Expense(1)	Average Cost of Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Average One- Month LIBOR	Average Six- Month LIBOR	Average to Average Six-Month LIBOR	Average Cost of Interest- Bearing Liabilities Relative to Average One- Month LIBOR	Average Cost of Interest- Bearing Liabilities Relative to Average Six-Month LIBOR
For the Year Ended December									
31, 2012	\$ 103,362,717	\$ 105,914,990	\$ 1,560,941	1.51 %	0.24 %	0.69 %	(0.45 %)	1.27 %	0.82 %
For the Year Ended December									
31, 2011	\$ 84,595,933	\$ 86,269,611	\$ 1,362,721	1.61 %	0.23 %	0.51 %	(0.28 %)	1.38 %	1.10 %
For the Year Ended December									
31, 2010	\$ 60,242,842	\$ 67,260,840	\$ 1,163,332	1.93 %	0.27 %	0.52 %	(0.25 %)	1.66 %	1.41 %
For the Year Ended December									
31, 2009	\$ 52,361,607	\$ 54,627,186	\$ 1,295,762	2.47 %	0.33 %	1.11 %	(0.78 %)	2.14 %	1.36 %
For the Year Ended December									
31, 2008	\$ 50,270,226	\$ 46,674,885	\$ 1,888,912	3.76 %	2.68 %	3.06 %	(0.38 %)	1.08 %	0.70 %
For the Quarter Ended December									
31, 2012	\$ 110,257,173	\$ 105,914,990	\$ 413,646	1.50 %	0.21 %	0.54 %	(0.33 %)	1.29 %	0.96 %
For the Quarter Ended September									
30, 2012	\$ 106,973,056	\$ 104,700,613	\$ 406,165	1.52 %	0.24 %	0.71 %	(0.47 %)	1.28 %	0.81 %
For the Quarter Ended	\$ 103,668,465	\$ 101,004,741	\$ 388,445	1.50 %	0.24 %	0.73 %	(0.49 %)	1.26 %	0.77 %

June 30,
2012
For the
Quarter
Ended

March 31, 2012	\$92,552,175	\$95,700,039	\$352,685	1.52 %	0.26 %	0.76 %	(0.50 %)	1.26 %	0.76 %
-------------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	--------	--------	--------	----------	--------	--------

(1) Economic interest expense includes interest expense on interest rate swaps.

We do not manage our portfolio to have a pre-designated amount of borrowings at quarter or year end. Our borrowings at period end are a snapshot of borrowings as of a date, and this number should be expected to differ from average borrowings over the period for a number of reasons. The mortgage-backed securities we own pay principal and interest towards the end of each month and the mortgage-backed securities we purchase are typically settled during the beginning of the month. As a result, depending on the amount of mortgage-backed securities we have committed to purchase, we may retain the principal and interest we receive in the prior month, or we may use it to pay down our borrowings. Moreover, we use interest rate swaps to hedge our portfolio and as we pledge or receive collateral under these agreements, our borrowings on any given day may be increased or decreased. Our average borrowings during a quarter will differ from period end borrowings as we implement our portfolio management strategies and risk management strategies over changing market conditions by increasing or decreasing leverage. Additionally, these numbers will differ during periods when we conduct capital raises, as in certain instances we may purchase additional assets and increase leverage with the expectation of a successful capital raise. Since our average borrowings and period end borrowings can be expected to differ, we believe our average borrowings during a period provides a more accurate representation of our exposure to the risks associated with leverage.

Economic Net Interest Income

Our economic net interest income, including interest expense on interest rate swaps, totaled \$1.7 billion, \$2.2 billion and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Even though our average interest-earning assets increased from during the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, by \$19.7 billion, economic net interest income declined. The decline was due to reduced economic interest rate spread. Our economic net interest rate spread for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 1.29% or 0.80% less than the interest rate spread for the year ended December 31, 2011 of 2.09%.

Our economic net interest income increased by \$697.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 because of the increase in average interest-earnings assets, as a result of raising \$5.4 billion in additional capital in 2011. Our economic net interest rate spread for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 2.09%, or 1 basis point more than the interest rate spread for the year ended December 31, 2010 of 2.08%.

The table below shows our economic interest income by average interest earning assets held, total interest income, yield on average interest earning assets, average balance of repurchase agreements, interest expense, average cost of funds, net interest income, and net interest rate spread for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 and the four quarters in 2012.

Economic Net Interest Income
(Quarterly ratios have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Average Interest Earning Assets	Total Interest Income	Yield on Average Interest Earning Assets	Average Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Economic Interest Expense(1)	Average Cost of Interest- Bearing Liabilities	Economic Net Interest Income(1)	Net Interest Rate Spread
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 116,356,100	\$ 3,259,145	2.80 %	\$ 103,362,717	\$ 1,560,941	1.51 %	\$ 1,698,204	1.29 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$ 96,675,016	\$ 3,579,618	3.70 %	\$ 84,595,933	\$ 1,362,721	1.61 %	\$ 2,216,897	2.09 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$ 66,981,887	\$ 2,683,134	4.01 %	\$ 60,242,842	\$ 1,163,332	1.93 %	\$ 1,519,802	2.08 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 58,554,200	\$ 2,922,602	4.99 %	\$ 52,361,607	\$ 1,295,762	2.47 %	\$ 1,626,840	2.52 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 55,962,519	\$ 3,115,428	5.57 %	\$ 50,270,226	\$ 1,888,912	3.76 %	\$ 1,226,516	1.81 %
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 123,378,860	\$ 756,661	2.45 %	\$ 110,257,173	\$ 413,646	1.50 %	\$ 343,015	0.95 %
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	\$ 119,880,120	\$ 761,265	2.54 %	\$ 106,973,056	\$ 406,165	1.52 %	\$ 355,100	1.02 %

For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	\$ 116,458,864	\$ 886,324	3.04 %	\$ 103,668,465	\$ 388,445	1.50 %	\$ 497,879	1.54 %
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	\$ 105,706,554	\$ 854,895	3.23 %	\$ 92,552,175	\$ 352,685	1.52 %	\$ 502,210	1.71 %

(1) Economic interest expense and economic net interest income include interest expense on interest rate swaps.

Investment Advisory and Other Fee Income

FIDAC and Merganser are registered investment advisors specializing in managing fixed income securities. Net investment advisory and fees for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 totaled \$82.7 million, \$79.2 million, and \$57.7 million, respectively, net of fees paid to third parties pursuant to distribution service agreements for facilitating and promoting distribution of shares or units to FIDAC's and Merganser's clients.

Gains and Losses on Disposal of Investments

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we disposed of investments with a carrying value of \$32.3 billion, \$20.1 billion, and \$10.6 billion for an aggregate net gain of \$439.7 million, \$206.8 million, and \$181.8 million, respectively. We do not expect to sell assets on a frequent basis, but may from time to time sell existing assets to acquire new assets, which our management believes might have higher risk-adjusted returns, or to manage our balance sheet as part of our asset/liability management strategy.

Dividend Income from Available-For-Sale Equity Securities

Dividend income from our investments in Chimera Investment Corporation and CreXus Investment Corp., which are managed pursuant to management contracts by our wholly owned subsidiary FIDAC, totaled \$28.3 million, \$31.5 million, and \$31.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative (or G&A) expenses were \$235.6 million, \$237.3 million, and \$171.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. G&A expenses as a percentage of average total assets were 0.19%, 0.23%, and 0.22% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The increase in G&A expenses of \$65.8 million for the year December 31, 2011 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily the result of increased compensation costs related to us and our subsidiaries. Staff increased from 114 at the end of 2010 to 147 at the end of 2011.

The table below shows our total G&A expenses as compared to average total assets and average equity for the periods presented.

G&A Expenses and Operating Expense Ratios
(ratios for the quarters have been annualized, dollars in thousands)

	Total G&A Expenses	Total G&A Expenses/Average Assets	Total G&A Expenses/Average Equity
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 235,559	0.19%	1.45%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$ 237,344	0.23%	1.73%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$ 171,487	0.22%	1.76%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	\$ 130,152	0.20%	1.51%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	\$ 103,622	0.18%	1.55%
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012	\$ 40,084	0.12%	0.97%
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	\$ 63,004	0.19%	1.51%
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	\$ 64,556	0.21%	1.60%
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012	\$ 67,915	0.24%	1.71%

Net Income and Return on Average Equity

Our net income was \$1.7 billion, \$344.5 million and \$1.3 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our return on average equity was 10.71%, 2.51% and 13.06% for the respective years. Net income increased by \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, the majority of the increase was due to the unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$32.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to an unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$1.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011. The reduction in the unrealized loss on interest rate swaps was partially offset by the decline in economic net interest income of \$518.7 million and the net loss on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes of \$162.3 million.

We attribute the decrease in total net income for the year ended December 31, 2011 from the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily due the unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$1.8 billion, as compared to the unrealized loss on interest rate swaps of \$318.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows the components of our return on average equity for the periods presented.

Components of Return on Average Equity
(Ratios for the quarters have been annualized)

	Economic Net Interest Income/ Average Equity(1)	Net Investment Advisory and Service Fees/ Average Equity	Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses/ Average Equity	Dividend Income from Available-for- Sale Equity Securities/ Average Equity	Income from Under-writing Method/ Average Equity	Income from Investment Method/ Average Equity	G&A Expenses/ Average Equity	Income Taxes/ Average Equity	Return on Average Equity
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	10.48 %	0.51 %	1.22 %	0.17 %	-	-	(1.45 %)	(0.22 %)	10.71 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	16.18 %	0.58 %	(12.36 %)	0.23 %	0.04 %	0.00 %	(1.73 %)	(0.43 %)	2.51 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	15.67 %	0.59 %	(1.44 %)	0.32 %	0.02 %	0.03 %	(1.76 %)	(0.37 %)	13.06 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2009	18.82 %	0.55 %	5.03 %	0.20 %	-	-	(1.51 %)	(0.40 %)	22.69 %
For the Year Ended December 31, 2008	18.36 %	0.39 %	(11.67 %)	0.04 %	-	-	(1.55 %)	(0.39 %)	5.18 %
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012	8.31 %	0.46 %	8.85 %	0.17 %	-	-	(0.97 %)	0.15 %	16.97 %
For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	8.51 %	0.50 %	(1.95 %)	0.17 %	-	-	(1.51 %)	(0.33 %)	5.39 %
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	12.37 %	0.54 %	(13.44 %)	0.16 %	-	-	(1.60 %)	(0.29 %)	(2.26 %)

For the
Quarter
Ended

March 31,
2012 12.66 % 0.52 % 11.49 % 0.19 % - - (1.71 %) (0.42 %) 22.73 %

(1) Economic net interest income includes interest expense on interest rate swaps.

Financial Condition

Investment Securities

Substantially all of our Agency mortgage-backed securities at December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family mortgage loans. Substantially all of the mortgage assets underlying our Agency mortgage-backed securities were secured with a first lien position on the underlying single-family properties. Substantially all of our mortgage-backed securities were Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae or Ginnie Mae pass-through certificates or CMOs, which carry an actual or implied “AAA” rating. We carry all of our Agency mortgage-backed securities at fair value.

We accrete discount balances as an increase in interest income over the expected life of the related interest earning assets and we amortize premium balances as a decrease in interest income over the expected life of on the related interest earning assets. At December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 we had on our balance sheet a total of \$27.4 million, \$27.3 million and \$35.6 million, respectively, of unamortized discount (which is the difference between the remaining principal value and current historical amortized cost of our Investment Securities acquired at a price below principal value) and a total of \$5.9 billion, \$3.4 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively, of unamortized premium (which is the difference between the remaining principal value and the current historical amortized cost of our Investment Securities acquired at a price above principal value).

We received mortgage principal repayments of \$35.1 billion, \$23.6 billion and \$29.0 billion for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The average prepayment speed for the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 19%, 17%, and 27%, respectively. Given our current portfolio composition, if mortgage principal prepayment rates were to increase over the life of our mortgage-backed securities, all other factors being equal, our net interest income would decrease during the life of these mortgage-backed securities as we would be required to amortize our net premium balance into income over a shorter time period. Similarly, if mortgage principal prepayment rates were to decrease over the life of our mortgage-backed securities, all other factors being equal, our net interest income would increase during the life of these mortgage-backed securities as we would amortize our net premium balance over a longer time period.

The table below summarizes certain characteristics of our Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures and corporate debt as of the dates presented.

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Debentures and Corporate Debt
(dollars in thousands)

	Principal Amount	Net Premium	Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost/Principal Amount	Carrying Value	Carrying Value/Principal Amount	Weighted Average Yield
At December 31, 2012	\$ 118,291,085	\$ 5,828,840	\$ 124,119,925	104.93 %	\$ 127,036,719	107.39 %	2.75 %
At December 31, 2011	\$ 98,904,501	\$ 3,333,416	\$ 102,237,917	103.37 %	\$ 105,192,708	106.35 %	3.22 %
At December 31, 2010	\$ 76,129,522	\$ 2,307,839	\$ 78,437,361	103.03 %	\$ 79,570,274	104.52 %	3.88 %
At December 31, 2009	\$ 62,508,927	\$ 1,247,717	\$ 63,756,644	102.00 %	\$ 65,721,477	105.14 %	4.51 %
At December 31, 2008	\$ 54,508,672	\$ 555,043	\$ 55,063,715	101.02 %	\$ 55,645,940	102.09 %	5.15 %
At September 30, 2012	\$ 123,176,544	\$ 5,448,108	\$ 128,624,652	104.42 %	\$ 132,598,180	107.65 %	2.79 %
At June 30, 2012	\$ 111,975,194	\$ 4,463,950	\$ 116,439,144	103.99 %	\$ 119,811,793	107.00 %	3.17 %
At March 31, 2012	\$ 105,296,991	\$ 3,815,555	\$ 109,112,546	103.62 %	\$ 111,841,645	106.22 %	3.21 %

The tables below summarize certain characteristics of our Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures and corporate debt at December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 and September 30, 2012, June 30, 2012, and March 31, 2012. The index level for adjustable-rate Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures and corporate debt is the weighted average rate of the various short-term interest rate indices, which determine the coupon rate.

Adjustable-Rate Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Debentures and Corporate Debt
Characteristics
(dollars in thousands)

	Principal Amount	Weighted Average Coupon Rate	Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	Weighted Average Lifetime Cap	Weighted Average Asset Yield	Principal Amount at Period End as % of Total Interest-Earning Assets
At December 31, 2012	\$ 8,363,385	3.29 %	35 months	8.21 %	2.59 %	7.07 %
At December 31, 2011	\$ 9,268,113	3.88 %	41 months	9.64 %	2.79 %	9.37 %
At December 31, 2010	\$ 11,011,839	4.28 %	39 months	10.16 %	3.04 %	14.46 %
At December 31, 2009	\$ 16,196,473	4.55 %	33 months	10.09 %	3.23 %	25.91 %
	\$ 19,540,152	4.75 %	36 months	10.00 %	3.93 %	35.85 %

At December 31, 2008										
At September 30, 2012	\$ 9,285,709	3.28	%	39 months	8.39	%	2.59	%	7.54	%
At June 30, 2012	\$ 8,648,932	3.67	%	38 months	9.42	%	2.80	%	7.72	%
At March 31, 2012	\$ 9,104,082	3.72	%	38 months	8.80	%	2.78	%	8.65	%

Fixed-Rate Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Debentures and Corporate Debt Characteristics
(dollars in thousands)

	Principal Amount	Weighted Average Coupon Rate		Weighted Average Asset Yield		Principal Amount at Period End as % of Total Investment Securities	
At December 31, 2012	\$ 109,927,700	4.04	%	2.76	%	92.93	%
At December 31, 2011	\$ 89,636,388	4.71	%	3.07	%	90.63	%
At December 31, 2010	\$ 65,117,683	4.92	%	4.00	%	85.54	%
At December 31, 2009	\$ 46,312,455	5.78	%	4.95	%	74.09	%
At December 31, 2008	\$ 34,968,520	6.13	%	5.84	%	64.15	%
At September 30, 2012	\$ 113,890,835	4.17	%	2.80	%	92.46	%
At June 30, 2012	\$ 103,326,262	4.52	%	3.20	%	92.28	%
At March 31, 2012	\$ 96,192,909	4.63	%	3.25	%	91.35	%

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we held Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures and corporate debt with coupons linked to various indices. The following tables detail the portfolio characteristics by index.

Adjustable-Rate Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Debentures and Corporate Debt by Index
December 31, 2012

	One- Month Libor	Six- Month Libor	Twelve Month Libor	12-Month Moving Average	11th District Cost of Funds	1-Year Treasury Index	Other Indexes(1)
Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	1 mo.	5 mo.	42 mo.	3 mo.	3 mo.	23 mo.	40 mo.
Weighted Average Annual Period Cap	0.82%	1.70%	2.00%	0.00%	0.17%	1.89%	0.00%
Weighted Average Lifetime Cap at December 31, 2012	6.10%	11.15%	9.85%	9.44%	10.71%	11.34%	4.82%
Investment Principal Value as Percentage of Investment Securities at December 31, 2012	0.10%	0.30%	3.71%	0.21%	0.20%	0.25%	2.30%

(1) Combination of indices that account for less than 0.05% of total or adjust over time, without a reset index.

Adjustable-Rate Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities, Agency Debentures and Corporate Debt by Index
December 31, 2011

	One- Month Libor	Six- Month Libor	Twelve Month Libor	12-Month Moving Average	11th District Cost of Funds	1-Year Treasury Index	Other Indexes(1)
Weighted Average Term to Next Adjustment	1 mo.	7 mo.	52 mo.	4 mo.	4 mo.	31 mo.	31 mo.
Weighted Average Annual Period Cap	6.25%	1.63%	2.00%	0.03%	0.20%	1.88%	0.61%
Weighted Average Lifetime Cap at December 31, 2011	6.84%	11.10%	9.93%	9.46%	10.66%	10.35%	6.87%
Investment Principal Value as Percentage of Investment Securities at December 31, 2011	0.39%	0.44%	6.55%	0.35%	0.30%	0.57%	0.77%

(1) Combination of indices that account for less than 0.05% of total or adjust over time, without a reset index.

Borrowings

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, 99% and 97%, respectively, of our debt consisted of borrowings collateralized by a pledge of our Investment Securities. These borrowings appear on our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as repurchase agreements. All of our Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures are currently accepted as collateral for these borrowings. However, we limit our borrowings, and thus our potential asset growth, in order to maintain unused borrowing capacity and thus increase the liquidity and strength of our balance sheet. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the term to maturity of our borrowings ranged from one day to 7 years. Additionally, we have entered into borrowings giving the counterparty the right to call the balance prior to maturity. At December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the weighted average cost of funds for all of our borrowings was 1.55% and 1.60%, respectively, including the effect of the interest rate swaps, 4% Convertible Senior Notes due 2015 and 5%

Convertible Senior Notes due 2015 (collectively, the “Convertible Senior Notes”), and the weighted average maturity was 197 days and 110 days, respectively.

The Company did not have an amount at risk greater than 10% of the equity of the Company with any counterparty as of December 31, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Liquidity

Liquidity, which is our ability to turn non-cash assets into cash, allows us to purchase additional interest earning assets and to pledge additional assets to secure existing borrowings should the value of our pledged assets decline. Potential immediate sources of liquidity for us include cash balances and unused borrowing capacity. Our non-cash assets are largely actual or implied AAA assets, and accordingly, we have not had, nor do we anticipate having, difficulty in converting our assets to cash. Our balance sheet also generates liquidity on an on-going basis through mortgage principal repayments and net earnings held prior to payment as dividends. Should our needs ever exceed these on-going sources of liquidity plus the immediate sources of liquidity discussed above, we believe that in most circumstances our interest earning assets could be sold to raise cash. The maintenance of liquidity is one of the goals of our capital investment policy. Under this policy, we limit asset growth in order to preserve unused borrowing capacity for liquidity management purposes.

We anticipate that, upon repayment of each borrowing under a repurchase agreement, we will use the collateral immediately for borrowing under a new repurchase agreement. We have not at the present time entered into any commitment agreements under which the lender would be required to enter into new repurchase agreements during a specified period of time, nor do we presently plan to have liquidity facilities with commercial banks.

Under our repurchase agreements, we may be required to pledge additional assets to our repurchase agreement counterparties (i.e., lenders) in the event the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders demand additional collateral (a margin call), which may take the form of additional securities or cash. Similarly, if the estimated fair value of interest earning assets increases due to changes in market interest rates or market factors, lenders may release collateral back to us. Specifically, margin calls result from a decline in the value of our Agency mortgage-backed securities securing our repurchase agreements, prepayments on the mortgages securing such Agency mortgage-backed securities. Changes in the fair value or changes in market interest rates and other market factors may result in a margin call. Our repurchase agreements generally provide that the valuations for the Agency mortgage-backed securities securing our repurchase agreements are to be obtained from a generally recognized source agreed to by the parties. However, in certain circumstances and under certain of our repurchase agreements our lenders have the sole discretion to determine the value of the Agency mortgage-backed securities securing our repurchase agreements. In instances where we have agreed to permit our lenders to make a determination of the value of the Agency mortgage-backed securities securing our repurchase agreements, such lenders are required to act in good faith in making such valuation determinations and in certain of these instances are also required to act reasonably in this determination. Our repurchase agreements generally provide that in the event of a margin call we must provide additional securities or cash on the same business day that a margin call is made, if the lender provides us notice prior to the margin notice deadline on such day. Through December 31, 2012, we did not have any margin calls on our repurchase agreements that we were not able to satisfy with either cash or additional pledged collateral. However, should prepayment speeds on the mortgages underlying our Agency mortgage-backed securities and/or market interest rates suddenly increase, margin calls on our repurchase agreements could result, causing an adverse change in our liquidity position.

At December 31, 2012, we had total pledged collateral for repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps of \$109.2 billion. The weighted average haircut was approximately 5% on repurchase agreements. The excess capital cushion totaled approximately \$9.9 billion. The quality and character of the Agency mortgage-backed securities that we pledge as collateral under the repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps did not materially change in the quarter and year ended December 31, 2012, compared to quarter and year ended December 31, 2011, and our counterparties did not materially alter any requirements, including required haircuts, related to the collateral we pledge under repurchase agreements and interest rate swaps during the quarter and year ended December 31, 2012.

The following table summarizes the effect on our liquidity and cash flows from contractual obligations for repurchase agreements, interest expense on repurchase agreements and Convertible Senior Notes, the non-cancelable office lease and employment agreements as of December 31, 2012. The table does not include the effect of net interest rate payments under our interest rate swap agreements. The net swap payments will fluctuate based on monthly changes in the receive rate. As of December 31, 2012, the interest rate swaps had a net negative fair value of \$2.6 billion.

Contractual Obligations
(dollars in thousands)

	Within One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More than Five Years	Total
Repurchase agreements	\$91,403,200	\$3,752,497	\$7,530,000	\$100,000	\$102,785,697
Interest expense on repurchase agreements, based on rates at December 31, 2012	297,597	374,658	164,709	3,863	840,827
Convertible Senior Notes	-	857,541	-	-	857,541
Interest Expense on Convertible Senior Notes	41,802	56,402	-	-	98,204
Long-term operating lease obligations	2,632	2,899	40	-	5,571
Employment contracts	9,767	-	-	-	9,767
Total	\$91,754,998	\$5,043,997	\$7,694,749	\$103,863	104,597,607

In the coming periods, we expect to continue to finance our activities in a manner that is consistent with our current operations via repurchase agreements. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, we received \$35.1 billion and \$23.6 billion from principal repayments, and used leverage on our Agency mortgage-backed securities which provided \$12.2 billion and \$19.6 billion in cash, respectively. In addition, we may from time to time sell securities as a source of cash to fund new purchases.

Stockholders' Equity

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 603,000 options were exercised for an aggregate exercise price of \$8.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, 679,000 options were exercised for an aggregate exercise price \$9.0 million, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, 1.3 million shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 4.0 million shares of common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2011, 320,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock were converted into 906,000 shares of common stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we raised \$2.8 million, by issuing 170,000 shares, through the Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we raised \$455.5 million by issuing 26.2 million shares through the Direct Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Program, respectively.

On March 19, 2012, we entered into six separate Distribution Agency Agreements, or Distribution Agency Agreements, with each of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Goldman, Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC and RCap Securities, Inc. (together, the Agents). Pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agency Agreements, we may sell from time to time through the Agents, as our sales agents, up to 125,000,000 shares of our common stock. We did not make any sales under the Distribution Agency Agreements during the year ended December 31, 2012.

On October 16, 2012, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$1.5 billion of our outstanding common shares over a 12 month period. All common shares purchased were part of a publicly announced plan in open-market transactions. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we repurchased approximately 27.8 million shares of our outstanding common stock for \$397.1 million, of which \$141.1 million had not settled at December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

On January 4, 2011, we entered into an agreement pursuant to which we sold 86,250,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds following expenses of approximately \$1.5 billion. This transaction settled on January 7, 2011.

On February 15, 2011, we entered into an agreement pursuant to which we sold 86,250,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds following expenses of approximately \$1.5 billion. This transaction settled on February 18, 2011.

On July 11, 2011, we entered into an agreement pursuant to which we sold 138,000,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds following expenses of approximately \$2.4 billion. This transaction settled on July 15, 2011.

On June 23, 2011, we amended our charter to increase the number of authorized shares of capital stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from 1,000,000,000 shares to 2,000,000,000 shares, consisting of 1,987,987,500 shares classified as Common Stock, 7,412,500 shares classified as 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, and 4,600,000 shares classified as 6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock.

On May 16, 2012, we amended our charter through the filing of articles supplementary to our charter to reclassify 12,650,000 shares of authorized shares of Common Stock as 7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

On September 13, 2012, we amended our charter through the filing of articles supplementary to our charter to reclassify 18,400,000 shares of authorized shares of Common Stock as 7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. Following the effectiveness of the articles supplementary to our charter our authorized shares of capital stock, par value of \$0.01 per share, consists of 1,956,937,500 shares classified as Common Stock, 7,412,500 shares classified as 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,600,000 shares classified as 6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, 12,650,000 shares classified as 7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and 18,400,000 shares classified as 7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Unrealized Gains and Losses

With our “available-for-sale” accounting treatment, unrealized fluctuations in market values of assets do not impact our GAAP or taxable income but rather are reflected on our balance sheet by changing the carrying value of the asset and stockholders’ equity under “Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).” As a result of this mark-to-market accounting treatment, our book value and book value per share are likely to fluctuate far more than if we used historical amortized cost accounting. As a result, comparisons with companies that use historical cost accounting for some or all of their balance sheet may not be meaningful.

The table below shows unrealized gains and losses reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income.

	Unrealized Gains and Losses (dollars in thousands)		
	2012	2011	2010
Unrealized gain	\$ 3,092,778	\$ 3,091,152	\$ 1,764,182
Unrealized loss	(39,536)	(82,164)	(599,540)
Net unrealized gain (loss)	\$ 3,053,242	\$ 3,008,988	\$ 1,164,642

Unrealized changes in the estimated net fair value of available-for-sale investments may have a direct effect on our potential earnings and dividends: positive changes will increase our equity base and allow us to increase our borrowing capacity while negative changes tend to limit borrowing capacity under our capital investment policy. A very large negative change in the net fair value of our available-for-sale investments securities might impair our liquidity position, requiring us to sell assets with the likely result of realized losses upon sale.

Leverage

Our debt-to-equity ratio at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was 6.5:1, 5.4:1 and 6.7:1, respectively. We generally expect to maintain a ratio of debt-to-equity of less than 12:1. The ratio varies from time to time based upon various factors, including our management’s opinion of the level of risk of our assets and liabilities, our liquidity position, our level of unused borrowing capacity, the availability of credit, over-collateralization levels required by lenders when

we pledge assets to secure borrowings and our assessment of domestic and international market conditions. Our debt-to-equity ratios have been below our historical average ratios since the credit crisis of 2008. We believe that it is prudent to maintain our existing debt-to-equity ratio because there continues to be volatility in the mortgage and credit markets primarily driven by the uncertainty in Europe and U.S. capital markets.

Our target debt-to-equity ratio is determined under our capital investment policy. Should our actual debt-to-equity ratio increase above the target level due to asset acquisition or market value fluctuations in assets, we would cease to acquire new assets. Our management will, at that time, present a plan to our board of directors to bring us back to our target debt-to-equity ratio; in many circumstances, this would be accomplished over time by the monthly reduction of the balance of our Agency mortgage-backed securities through principal repayments.

Asset/Liability Management and Effect of Changes in Interest Rates

We regularly review our asset/liability management strategy with respect to interest rate risk, mortgage prepayment risk, credit risk and the related issues of capital adequacy and liquidity. Our goal is to provide attractive risk-adjusted stockholder returns while maintaining what we believe is a strong balance sheet.

We seek to manage the extent to which our net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. In addition, we have attempted to mitigate the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in our portfolio of Agency mortgage-backed securities and Agency debentures by entering into interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2012, we had entered into swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$46.9 billion. We agreed to pay a weighted average pay rate of 2.21% and receive a floating rate based on one month LIBOR. At December 31, 2011, we had entered into swap agreements with a total notional amount of \$40.1 billion. We agreed to pay a weighted average pay rate of 2.55% and receive a floating rate based on one month LIBOR. The weighted average pay rate declined by 0.34% from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The decline was the direct result of interest rate swaps maturing or terminated with higher pay rates being replaced with interest rate swaps with lower pay rates. We believe that for the immediately foreseeable periods, our weighted average pay rate will continue to decline as a result of interest rate swaps with higher pay rates maturing or being terminated and the replacement of such swaps with interest rate swaps with lower pay rates. We may enter into similar derivative transactions in the future by entering into interest rate collars, caps or floors or purchasing interest only securities. Changes in interest rates may also affect the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on mortgage-backed securities. We seek to mitigate the effect of changes in the mortgage principal repayment rate by balancing assets we purchase at a premium with assets we purchase at a discount. To date, the aggregate premium exceeds the aggregate discount on our mortgage-backed securities. As a result, prepayments, which result in the amortization of unamortized premiums, will reduce our net income compared to what net income would be absent such prepayments.

The following table summarizes certain characteristics of our interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2012:

Maturity of Interest Rate Swaps
(dollars in thousands)

Maturity	Current Notional	Weighted Average Pay Rate	Weighted Average Receive Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity
0 - 3 years	\$ 18,165,800	2.23 %	0.24 %	1.80
3 - 6 years	19,609,500	1.84 %	0.23 %	3.74
6 - 10 years	5,300,000	2.66 %	0.25 %	7.76
Greater than 10 years	3,836,500	3.45 %	0.24 %	19.90
Total / Weighted Average	\$ 46,911,800	2.21 %	0.24 %	4.77

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, we have not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities nor do we have any commitment or intent to provide funding to any such entities. As such, we are not materially exposed to any market, credit, liquidity or financing risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

Capital Resources

At December 31, 2012, we had no material commitments for capital expenditures.

Inflation

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors drive our performance far more than does inflation. Changes in interest rates do not necessarily correlate with inflation rates or changes in inflation rates. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our dividends are based upon our net income as calculated for tax purposes; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost or fair market value without considering inflation.

Other Matters

We calculate that at least 75% of our assets were qualified REIT assets, as defined in the Code for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. We also calculate that our revenue qualifies for the 75% source of income test and for the 95% source of income test rules for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and for each quarter therein. Consequently, we met the REIT income and asset tests. We also met all REIT requirements regarding the ownership of our common stock and the distribution of our net income. Therefore, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we believe that we qualified as a REIT under the Code.

We at all times intend to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the Investment Company Act. If we were to become regulated as an investment company, then our use of leverage would be substantially reduced.

We currently rely on the exemption from registration provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. Section 3(c)(5)(C) as interpreted by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (or the SEC), requires us to invest at least 55% of our assets in “mortgages and other liens on and interest in real estate” (or Qualifying Real Estate Assets) and at least 80% of our assets in Qualifying Real Estate Assets plus real estate related assets. The assets that we acquire, therefore, are limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Investment Company Act.

We rely on an interpretation that “whole pool certificates” that are issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae (or Agency Whole Pool Certificates) are Qualifying Real Estate Assets under Section 3(c)(5)(C). This interpretation was promulgated by the SEC staff in a no-action letter over 30 years ago, was reaffirmed by the SEC in 1992 and has been commonly relied on by mortgage REITs.

On August 31, 2011, the SEC issued a concept release titled “Companies Engaged in the Business of Acquiring Mortgages and Mortgage-Related Instruments” (SEC Release No. IC-29778). Under the concept release, the SEC is reviewing interpretive issues related to the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exemption. Among other things, the SEC specifically requested comments on whether it should revisit whether Agency Whole Pool Certificates may be treated as Qualifying Real Estate Assets and whether entities, such as us, whose primary business consists of investing in Agency Whole Pool Certificates are the type of entities that Congress intended to be encompassed by the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C). The potential outcomes of the SEC’s actions are unclear as is the SEC’s timetable for its review and actions.

We determined that as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with the exemption from registration provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act as interpreted by the staff of the SEC.

As a result of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (or CFTC) gained jurisdiction over the regulation of interest rate swaps. The CFTC has asserted that this causes the operators of mortgage real estate investment trusts that use swaps as part of their business model to fall within the statutory definition of Commodity Pool Operator (or CPO), and, absent relief from the Division or the Commission, to register as CPOs. On December 7, 2012, as a result of numerous requests for no-action relief from the CPO registration requirement for operators of mortgage real estate investment trusts, the Division of Swap Dealer and Intermediary Oversight of the CFTC issued no-action relief entitled “No-Action Relief from the Commodity Pool Operator Registration Requirement for Commodity Pool Operators of Certain Pooled Investment Vehicles Organized as Mortgage Real Estate Investment Trusts” that permits a CPO to receive relief by filing a claim to perfect the use of the relief. A claim submitted by a CPO will be effective upon filing, so long as the claim is materially complete. The conditions that must be met to claim the relief are that the mortgage real estate investment trust must:

Limit the initial margin and premiums required to establish its commodity interest positions to no more than 5 percent of the fair market value of the mortgage real estate investment trust's total assets;

Limit the net income derived annually from its commodity interest positions that are not qualifying hedging transactions to less than five percent of the mortgage real estate investment trust's gross income;

Ensure that interests in the mortgage real estate investment trust are not marketed to the public as or in a commodity pool or otherwise as or in a vehicle for trading in the commodity futures, commodity options, or swaps markets; and

Either:

- o identify itself as a "mortgage REIT" in Item G of its last U.S. income tax return on Form 1120-REIT; or
- o if it has not yet filed its first U.S. income tax return on Form 1120-REIT, but has disclosed to its shareholders that it intends to identify itself as a "mortgage REIT" in its first U.S. income tax return on Form 1120-REIT.

While we disagree that the CFTC's position that mortgage real estate investment trusts that use swaps as part of their business model fall within the statutory definition of a CPO, we have submitted a claim for the relief set forth in the no-action relief entitled "No-Action Relief from the Commodity Pool Operator Registration Requirement for Commodity Pool Operators of Certain Pooled Investment Vehicles Organized as Mortgage Real Estate Investment Trusts" and believe we meet the criteria for such relief set forth therein.

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk, which is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with our interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of our Agency mortgage-backed securities and our ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. We may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps, floors, inverse floaters and other interest rate exchange contracts, in order to limit the effects of interest rates on our operations. When we use these types of derivatives to hedge the risk of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, we may be subject to certain risks, including the risk that losses on a hedge position will reduce the funds available for payments to holders of securities and that the losses may exceed the amount we invested in the instruments.

Our profitability and the value of our portfolio (including interest rate swaps) may be adversely affected during any period as a result of changing interest rates. The following table quantifies the potential changes in economic net interest income and portfolio value, should interest rates go up or down 25, 50 and 75 basis points, assuming the yield curves of the rate shocks will be parallel to each other and the current yield curve. All changes in income and value are measured as percentage changes from the projected net interest income and portfolio value at the base interest rate scenario. The base interest rate scenario assumes interest rates at December 31, 2012 and various estimates regarding prepayment and all activities are made at each level of rate shock. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Change in Interest Rate	Projected Percentage Change in Economic Net Interest Income(1)	Projected Percentage Change in Portfolio Value, with Effect of Interest Rate Swaps
-75 Basis Points	(0.69%)	(0.12%)
-50 Basis Points	(1.64%)	(0.01%)
-25 Basis Points	(2.68%)	0.09%
Base Interest Rate	-	-
+25 Basis Points	3.27%	(0.18%)
+50 Basis Points	8.83%	(0.45%)
+75 Basis Points	13.08%	(0.81%)

(1) Economic net interest income includes interest expense on interest rate swaps.

ASSET AND LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

Asset and liability management is concerned with the timing and magnitude of the repricing of assets and liabilities. We attempt to control risks associated with interest rate movements. Methods for evaluating interest rate risk include an analysis of our interest rate sensitivity "gap," which is the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within a given time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities exceeds interest-rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap would tend to affect net interest income adversely. Because different types of assets and liabilities with the same or similar maturities may react differently to changes in overall market rates or conditions, changes in interest rates may affect net interest income positively or negatively even if an institution were perfectly matched in each maturity category.

The following table sets forth the estimated maturity or repricing of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at December 31, 2012. The amounts of assets and liabilities shown within a particular period were determined in accordance with the contractual terms of the assets and liabilities, except adjustable-rate loans, and securities are included in the period in which their interest rates are first scheduled to adjust and not in the period in which they mature and does include the effect of the interest rate swaps. The interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities in the table could vary substantially based on actual prepayment experience.

	Within 3 Months	4-12 Months	More than 1 Year to 3 Years	3 Years and Over	Total
(dollars in thousands)					
Rate Sensitive Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 615,789	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 615,789
Reverse repurchase agreements	1,811,095	-	-	-	1,811,095
U.S. Treasury securities	-	-	-	752,076	752,076
Securities borrowed	2,160,942	-	-	-	2,160,942
	642,494	2,136,177	734,399	111,706,934	115,220,004

Agency Mortgage-backed securities (principal)					
Agency debentures (principal)	-	-	461,005	2,545,683	3,006,688
Corporate debt	64,393	-	-	-	64,393
Total Rate Sensitive Assets	5,294,713	2,136,177	1,195,404	115,004,693	123,630,987
Rate Sensitive Liabilities:					
U.S. Treasury Securities sold, not yet purchased	-	-	-	495,437	495,437
Repurchase agreements, with the effect of interest rate swaps	28,989,850	15,669,640	22,167,127	35,959,080	102,785,697
Securities loaned	1,808,315	-	-	-	1,808,315
Convertible Senior Notes (principal)	-	-	857,541	-	857,541
Total Rate Sensitive Liabilities	30,798,165	15,669,640	23,024,668	36,454,517	105,946,990
Interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ (25,503,452)	\$ (13,533,463)	\$ (21,829,264)	\$ 78,550,176	17,683,997
Cumulative rate sensitivity gap	\$ (25,503,452)	\$ (39,036,915)	\$ (60,866,179)	\$ 17,683,997	
Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap as a percentage of total rate-sensitive assets	(21 %)	(32 %)	(49 %)	14 %	

Our analysis of risks is based on management's experience, estimates, models and assumptions. These analyses rely on models which utilize estimates of fair value and interest rate sensitivity. Actual economic conditions or implementation of investment decisions by our management may produce results that differ significantly from the estimates and assumptions used in our models and the projected results shown in the above tables and in this report. These analyses contain certain forward-looking statements and are subject to the safe harbor statement set forth under the heading, "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements."

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our financial statements and the related notes, together with the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are set forth beginning on page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (the CFO), reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented, (1) were effective in ensuring that information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, by our employees, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and (2) were effective in providing reasonable assurance that information the Company must disclose in its periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods prescribed by the SEC's rules and forms.

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Management Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. As a result, even systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and presentation of financial statements. Moreover, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, the Company's management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (or COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on management's assessment, the Company's management believes that, as of December 31, 2012, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst and Young LLP, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial condition of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012 of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries and our report dated February 26, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/Ernst & Young LLP
New York, New York
February 26, 2013

78

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 10 as to our directors is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012. The information regarding our executive officers required by Item 10 appears in Part I of this Form 10-K. The information required by Item 10 as to our compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics within the meaning of Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is publicly available on our website at www.annaly.com. If we make substantive amendments to this Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, we intend to disclose these events on our website.

The information regarding certain matters pertaining to our corporate governance required by Item 407(c)(3), (d)(4) and (d)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the proxy statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

PART IV

ITEM 15 EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements.
2. Schedules to Financial Statements:

All financial statement schedules not included have been omitted because they are either inapplicable or the information required is provided in our Financial Statements and Notes thereto, included in Part II, Item 8, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Exhibits:

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number Exhibit Description

- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration Statement 333-74618) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 12, 2002).
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 3, 2006)).
- 3.4 Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2008)).
- 3.5 Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 23, 2011)).
- 3.6 Form of Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's 8-A filed April 1, 2004).
- 3.7 Articles Supplementary of the Registrant's designating an additional 2,750,000 shares of the Company's 7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on October 15, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's 8-K filed October 4, 2004).
- 3.8 Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 6% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's 8-K filed April 10, 2006).
- 3.9 Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 16, 2012).

- 3.10 Articles Supplementary designating the Registrant's 7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 13, 2012).
- 3.11 Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's form 8-K (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 22, 2011)).
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 17, 1997).

- 4.2 Specimen Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-74618) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 5, 2001).
- 4.3 Specimen Series A Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the SEC on April 1, 2004).
- 4.4 Specimen Series B Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 10, 2006).
- 4.5 Specimen Series C Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 16, 2012).
- 4.6 Specimen Series D Preferred Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 13, 2012).
- 4.7 Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, between the Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010).
- 4.8 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2010, between the Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2010).
- 4.9 Form of 4.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2015 (included in Exhibit 4.8).
- 4.10 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 14, 2012, between the Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2012).
- 4.11 Form of 5.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2015 (included in Exhibit 4.10).
- 10.1 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).*
- 10.2 Form of Master Repurchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-32913) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 1997).
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of February 25, 2008, between the Registrant and Wellington J. Denahan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2008).*
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and Kathryn F. Fagan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).*
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and James P. Fortescue (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).*
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of April 21, 2006, between the Registrant and Rose-Marie Lyght (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 9, 2006).*
- 10.7 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of June 4, 2004, between the Registrant and Kristopher R. Konrad (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2005).*
- 10.8 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated January 23, 2006, between the Registrant and R. Nicholas Singh (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 13, 2006).*
- 10.9

Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2010, between the Registrant and Kevin Keyes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Registrant's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission February 25, 2011).*

- 10.10 Registrant's 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 1, 2010).*

- 12.1 Computation of ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends and ratio of earnings to fixed charges.
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
- 23.2 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Wellington Denahan, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Kathryn F. Fagan, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Wellington Denahan, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Kathryn F. Fagan, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Registrant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 101.INS Instance Document †

XBRL

Exhibit 101.SCH Taxonomy Extension Schema Document †

XBRL

Exhibit 101.CAL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document †

XBRL

Exhibit 101.DEF Additional Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document Created†

XBRL

Exhibit 101.LAB Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document †

XBRL

Exhibit 101.PRE Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document †

XBRL

* Exhibit Numbers 10.1 and 10.3-10.14 are management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed as Exhibits to this Form 10-K.

† Submitted electronically herewith. Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
REPORTS OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS	F-1
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011, AND 2010	
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2012, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP
New York, New York
February 26, 2013

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries
New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Annaly Capital Management, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

New York, New York
February 28, 2012

F-2

Part I

Item 1. Financial Statements

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 615,789	\$ 994,198
Reverse repurchase agreements	1,811,095	860,866
Investments, at fair value:		
U.S. Treasury Securities (including pledged assets of \$752,076 and \$352,820, respectively)	752,076	928,547
Securities borrowed	2,160,942	928,732
Agency mortgage-backed securities (including pledged assets of \$107,466,084 and \$90,406,535, respectively)	123,963,207	104,251,055
Agency debentures (including pledged assets of \$981,727 and \$567,383, respectively)	3,009,568	889,580
Investments in affiliates	234,120	211,970
Equity securities	-	3,891
Corporate debt, held for investment	63,944	52,073
Receivable for investments sold	290,722	-
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	419,259	409,023
Receivable from Prime Broker	-	3,272
Receivable for advisory and service fees (including from affiliates of \$14,077 and \$16,245, respectively)	17,730	19,550
Intangible for customer relationships (net of accumulated amortization of \$5,779 and \$5,432, respectively)	6,989	10,807
Goodwill	55,417	42,030
Other derivative contracts, at fair value	9,830	113
Other assets	41,607	24,295
Total Assets	\$ 133,452,295	\$ 109,630,002
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
U.S. Treasury Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value	\$ 495,437	\$ 826,912
Repurchase agreements	102,785,697	84,097,885
Securities loaned, at fair value	1,808,315	804,901
Payable for investments purchased	8,256,957	4,315,796
Payable for share buyback program	141,149	-
Convertible Senior Notes	825,541	539,913
Accrued interest payable	186,896	138,965
Dividends payable	432,154	552,806
Interest rate swaps, at fair value	2,584,907	2,552,687
Accounts payable and other liabilities	10,798	7,223

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Total Liabilities	117,527,851	93,837,088
6.00% Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock: 4,600,000 shares authorized, 0 and 1,331,849 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	-	32,272
Stockholders' Equity:		
7.875% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock: 7,412,500 authorized, issued and outstanding	177,088	177,088
7.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock: 12,650,000 and 0 authorized, respectively, 12,000,000 and 0 issued and outstanding, respectively	290,514	-
7.50% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock: 18,400,000 and 0 authorized, issued and outstanding, respectively	445,457	-
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 1,956,937,500 and 1,987,987,500 authorized, respectively, 947,213,204 and 970,161,647 issued and outstanding, respectively	9,472	9,702
Additional paid-in capital	14,740,774	15,068,870
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3,053,242	3,008,988
Accumulated deficit	(2,792,103)	(2,504,006)
Total Stockholders' Equity	15,924,444	15,760,642
Total Liabilities, Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 133,452,295	\$ 109,630,002

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011, AND 2010
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the Years ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Interest income:			
Investments	\$3,232,020	\$3,558,015	\$2,676,307
U.S. Treasury Securities	17,222	14,706	2,830
Securities loaned	9,903	6,897	3,997
Total interest income	3,259,145	3,579,618	2,683,134
Interest expense:			
Repurchase agreements	577,243	426,769	397,971
Convertible Senior Notes	67,221	35,017	24,228
U.S. Treasury Securities sold, not yet purchased	15,114	13,081	2,649
Securities borrowed	7,594	5,459	3,377
Total interest expense	667,172	480,326	428,225
Net interest income	2,591,973	3,099,292	2,254,909
Other income (loss):			
Investment advisory and other fee income	82,663	79,205	58,073
Net gains (losses) on disposition of investments	432,139	206,846	181,791
Net loss on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	(162,340))	-
Dividend income from affiliates	28,336	31,516	31,038
Net gains (losses) on trading assets	22,910	21,398	(2,351)
Net unrealized gain (losses) on Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities	(59,937))	(106,657)
Income from underwriting	-	5,618	2,095
Subtotal	343,771	237,926	270,646
Realized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps(1)	(893,769))	(882,395)
Realized gains (losses) on termination of interest rate swaps	(2,385))	-
Unrealized gains (losses) on interest rate swaps	(32,219))	(1,815,107)
Subtotal	(928,373))	(2,697,502)
Total other income (loss)	(584,602))	(2,459,576)
Expenses:			
Distribution fees	-	-	360
Compensation expense	190,702	206,251	146,958
Other general and administrative expenses	44,857	31,093	24,529
Total expenses	235,559	237,344	171,847
Income (loss) before income taxes and income from equity method investment in affiliate	1,771,812	402,372	1,299,769
Income taxes	(35,912))	(59,051)

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Income (loss) on equity method investment in affiliate	-	1,140	2,945
Net income (loss)	1,735,900	344,461	1,267,280
Dividends on preferred stock	39,530	16,854	18,033
Net income (loss) available (related) to common shareholders	\$1,696,370	\$327,607	\$1,249,247
Net income (loss) per share available (related) to common shareholders:			
Basic	\$1.74	\$0.37	\$2.12
Diluted	\$1.71	\$0.37	\$2.04
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	972,902,459	874,212,039	588,192,659
Diluted	1,005,755,057	874,518,938	625,307,174
Dividends Declared Per Share of Common Stock	\$2.05	\$2.44	\$2.65

Statement continued on following page

F-4

Statement continued from previous page.

Net income (loss)	\$ 1,735,900	\$ 344,461	\$ 1,267,280
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	482,765	2,036,894	(639,783)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps	-	14,298	94,899
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income (loss)	(438,511)	(206,846)	(181,791)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$44,254	\$1,844,346	(726,675)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$1,780,154	\$2,188,807	\$540,605

- (1) Interest expense related to the Company's interest rate swaps is recorded in Realized losses on interest rate swaps on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011, AND 2010
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	7.875% Series A	7.625% Series C	7.50% Series D	Common Stock Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2009	\$177,088	-	-	\$5,531	\$7,817,454	\$1,891,317	\$(336,964)	\$9,554,426
Net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,267,280	1,267,280
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	-	-	-	-	-	(639,783)	-	(639,783)
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps	-	-	-	-	-	94,899	-	94,899
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(181,791)	-	(181,791)
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	4	4,596	-	-	4,600
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	-	-	4,757	-	-	4,757
Conversion of Series B cumulative convertible preferred Stock	-	-	-	24	23,057	-	-	23,081
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	-	-	157	278,626	-	-	278,783
Net proceeds from follow-on offering	-	-	-	600	1,046,755	-	-	1,047,355

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Preferred Series A dividends declared \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(14,593)	(14,593)
Preferred Series B dividends declared \$1.50 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,440)	(3,440)
Common dividends declared, \$2.65 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,570,674)	(1,570,674)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2010	\$ 177,088			\$6,316	\$9,175,245	\$1,164,642	\$(658,391)	\$9,864,900
Net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	344,461	344,461
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	-	-	-	-	-	2,036,894	-	2,036,894
Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps	-	-	-	-	-	14,298	-	14,298
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(206,846)	-	(206,846)
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	7	8,946	-	-	8,953
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	-	3	5,266	-	-	5,269
Conversion of Series B cumulative convertible Preferred Stock	-	-	-	9	7,750	-	-	7,759
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	-	-	262	455,285	-	-	455,547
Net proceeds from follow-on offering	-	-	-	3,105	5,348,741	-	-	5,351,846
Contingent beneficial	-	-	-	-	67,637	-	-	67,637

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

conversion feature on 4% Convertible Senior Notes Preferred Series A dividends declared \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(14,593)	(14,593)
Preferred Series B dividends declared \$1.50 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,261)	(2,261)
Common dividends declared, \$2.44 per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,173,222)	(2,173,222)
BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2011	\$ 177,088	-	-	\$ 9,702	\$ 15,068,870	\$ 3,008,988	\$(2,504,006)	\$ 15,760,642
Net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,735,900	1,735,900
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	-	-	-	-	-	482,765	-	482,765
Reclassification adjustment for net (gains) losses included in net income (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(438,511)	-	(438,511)
Exercise of stock options	-	-	-	6	8,432	-	-	8,438
Stock option expense and long-term compensation expense	-	-	-	-	5,584	-	-	5,584
Conversion of Series B cumulative preferred stock	-	-	-	40	32,232	-	-	32,272
Net proceeds from direct purchase and dividend reinvestment	-	-	-	2	2,792	-	-	2,794
Contingent beneficial conversion feature on 4% Convertible	-	-	-	-	61,725	-	-	61,725

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Senior Notes								
Equity								
component on 5%								
Convertible								
Senior Notes	-	-	-		11,717			11,717
Offering								
expenses	-	-	-	-	(248)	-	(248
Net proceeds								
from 7.625%								
Series C								
Cumulative								
Redeemable								
Preferred								
Stock offering	-	290,514	-	-	-	-	-	290,514
Net proceeds								
from 7.50% Series								
D Cumulative								
Redeemable								
Preferred								
Stock offering	-	-	445,457	-	-	-	-	445,457
Extinguishment								
of convertible								
debt	-	-	-	-	(53,558)	-	(53,558
Buyback of								
common stock	-	-	-	(278)	(396,772)	(397,050
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,223	5,223
Preferred Series								
A dividends								
declared \$1.97								
per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(14,593) (14,593
Preferred Series								
B dividends								
declared \$0.375								
per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(289) (289
Preferred Series								
C dividends								
declared \$1.19 per								
share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(14,297) (14,297
Preferred Series								
D dividends								
declared \$0.56 per								
share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10,351) (10,351
Common								
dividends								
declared, \$2.05								
per share	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,989,690)	(1,989,690)
BALANCE,								
DECEMBER 31,								
2012	\$ 177,088	\$ 290,514	\$ 445,457	\$ 9,472	\$ 14,740,774	\$ 3,053,242	\$(2,792,103)	\$ 15,924,444

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011, AND 2010
(dollars in thousands)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$1,735,900	\$344,461	\$1,267,280
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in)			
operating activities:			
Amortization of Investment premiums and discounts, net	1,470,801	794,205	664,429
Amortization of intangibles	4,080	2,300	1,600
Amortization of deferred expenses	6,965	3,600	3,150
Amortization of contingent beneficial conversion feature and equity component on Convertible Senior Notes	18,017	7,550	-
(Gains) losses on disposal of investments	(432,139)	(206,846)	(181,791)
Net loss on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	162,340	-	-
Stock option and long-term compensation expense	5,584	5,269	4,757
Non-cash component of disposal of subsidiary	(1,177)	-	-
Unrealized (gains) losses on interest rate swaps	32,219	1,815,107	318,832
Net unrealized (gains) losses on interest-only Agency mortgage-backed securities	59,937	106,657	-
Net (gains) losses on trading assets	(20,525)	(21,398)	2,351
(Gain) loss on investment with affiliate, equity method	-	(98)	(318)
Unrealized (gains) losses on equity securities	-	100	-
Proceeds from repurchase agreements from RCap	733,739,097	877,734,065	1,268,429,168
Payments on repurchase agreements from RCap	(727,275,192)	(878,806,056)	(1,258,941,064)
Proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements to RCap	401,926,011	156,659,365	87,968,002
Payments on reverse repurchase agreements to RCap	(402,805,044)	(156,502,577)	(88,479,412)
Proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements to Shannon	680,525	166,354	-
Payments on reverse repurchase agreements to Shannon	(751,721)	(177,845)	-
Proceeds from securities borrowed	74,361,498	27,261,366	2,924,082
Payments on securities borrowed	(75,593,708)	(27,973,422)	(3,111,681)
Proceeds from securities loaned	185,657,591	54,126,121	3,231,198
Payments on securities loaned	(184,654,177)	(53,539,061)	(3,042,414)
Proceeds from U.S. Treasury Securities	64,028,348	29,168,074	9,331,089
Payments on U.S. Treasury Securities	(64,746,420)	(28,490,573)	(9,521,134)
Net payments on derivatives	(10,173)	(7,158)	(3,455)
Net change in:			
Other assets	(9,243)	(3,258)	3,950
Accrued interest and dividends receivable	(6,151)	(64,362)	(27,125)
Advisory and service fees receivable	1,820	(3,378)	(3,606)
Receivable from Prime Broker	3,272	-	-
Accrued interest payable	47,931	23,199	26,306
Accounts payable and other liabilities	3,241	(1,698)	(1,084)

Edgar Filing: ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	7,639,507	2,420,063	10,863,110
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Payments on purchases of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures	(86,161,777)	(69,065,069)	(54,424,951)
Proceeds from sales of Agency mortgage-backed securities and debentures	30,542,875	19,337,053	9,262,772
Principal payments on Agency mortgage-backed securities	35,133,544	23,565,709	28,961,203
Proceeds from Agency debentures called	1,801,283	1,124,000	2,132,002
Payments on purchase of corporate debt	(81,090)	(31,675)	(21,670)
Proceeds from corporate debt called	67,649	-	-
Principal payments on corporate debt	4,247	1,375	-
Net gains (losses) on other derivative securities	10,379	13,965	-
Earn out payment	(13,387)	-	(14,113)
Purchase of investment in affiliate	-	(57,500)	-
Purchase of customer relationships	-	(3,555)	-
Purchase of equity securities	-	(3,990)	-
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	4,048	-	-
Proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements	-	-	4,291,430
Payments on reverse repurchase agreements	-	-	(4,032,426)
Payment on disposal of subsidiary	(800)	-	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(18,693,029)	(25,119,687)	(13,845,753)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from repurchase agreements	352,497,651	273,023,622	224,789,731
Principal payments on repurchase agreements	(340,273,744)	(253,387,283)	(223,342,427)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	8,438	8,953	4,598
Net proceeds from Series C Preferred offering	290,514	-	-
Net proceeds from Series D Preferred offering	445,457	-	-
Net proceeds from issuance of 5% Convertible Senior Notes	727,500	-	-
Net proceeds from issuance of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	-	-	582,000
Net payment on extinguishment of 4% Convertible Senior Notes	(617,476)	-	-
Net proceeds from direct purchases and dividend reinvestments	2,794	455,547	278,784
Net proceeds from common stock follow-on offerings	(248)	5,351,846	1,047,354
Net payment on share repurchase	(255,901)	-	-
Dividends paid	(2,149,872)	(2,041,489)	(1,599,339)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,675,113	23,411,196	1,760,701
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(378,409)	711,572	(1,221,942)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	994,198	282,626	1,504,568
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$615,789	\$994,198	\$282,626
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest received	\$4,718,524	\$4,309,690	\$3,322,228
Dividends received	\$29,522	\$31,876	\$30,042
Fees received	\$84,483	\$75,827	\$54,467
Interest paid (excluding interest paid on interest rate swaps)	\$595,152	\$455,873	\$411,608
Net interest paid on interest rate swaps	\$892,656	\$876,099	\$725,418
Taxes paid	\$52,590	\$61,045	\$36,742

Noncash investing activities:

Receivable for Investments sold	\$290,722	-	\$151,460
Payable for Investments purchased	\$8,256,957	\$4,315,796	\$4,575,026
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities and interest rate swaps, net of reclassification adjustment	\$44,254	\$1,844,346	\$(726,675)

Noncash financing activities:

Dividends declared, not yet paid	\$432,154	\$552,806	\$404,220
Conversion of Series B Cumulative Convertible preferred stock	\$32,272	\$7,759	\$23,081
Contingent beneficial conversion feature on 4% Convertible Senior Notes	\$61,725	\$60,087	-
Equity component of 5% Convertible Senior Notes	\$11,717	-	-

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011 AND 2010

1. ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Annaly Capital Management, Inc. (“Annaly” or the “Company”) was incorporated in Maryland on November 25, 1996. The Company commenced its operations of purchasing and managing an investment portfolio of mortgage-backed securities on February 18, 1997, upon receipt of the net proceeds from the private placement of equity capital, and completed its initial public offering on October 14, 1997. The Company is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). On June 4, 2004, the Company acquired Fixed Income Discount Advisory Company (“FIDAC”). FIDAC is a registered investment advisor and is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. On June 27, 2006, the Company made a majority equity investment in an affiliated investment fund (the “Fund”), which is now wholly owned by the Company. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company formed RCap Securities, Inc. (“RCap”). RCap was granted membership in the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) on January 26, 2009, and operates as a broker-dealer. RCap is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. On October 31, 2008, the Company acquired Merganser Capital Management, Inc. (“Merganser”). Merganser is a registered investment advisor and is a wholly owned taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company. In 2010, the Company established Shannon Funding LLC (“Shannon”), which provides warehouse financing to residential mortgage originators in the United States. In 2010, the Company also established Charlesfort Capital Management LLC (“Charlesfort”), which engages in corporate middle market lending transactions. In 2011, FIDAC established FIDAC Europe Limited (“FIDAC Europe”), which the Company sold in December 2012. In 2011, the Company established FIDAC FSI LLC (“FIDAC FSI”), which invested in trading securities. FIDAC FSI was liquidated in August 2012.

A summary of the Company’s significant accounting policies follows:

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”).

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, FIDAC, FIDAC FSI, FIDAC Europe, Merganser, RCap, Shannon and Charlesfort. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Cash flows from RCap are reported as operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and cash held in money market funds on an overnight basis. RCap is a member of various clearing organizations with which it maintains cash required for the conduct of its day-to-day clearance activities. Cash and securities deposited with clearing organizations are carried at cost, which approximates fair value, which was \$424.6 million at December 31, 2012. The Company also maintains collateral in the form of cash on margin with a counterparty to its interest rate swaps of \$102.9 million at December 31, 2012

Reverse Repurchase Agreements – The Company through RCap enters into reverse repurchase agreements as part of RCap’s matched book trading activity. Reverse repurchase agreements are recorded on trade date at the contract

amount and are collateralized by mortgage-backed or other securities. Margin calls are made by RCap as necessary based on the daily valuation of the underlying collateral as compared to the contract price. RCap generates income from the spread between what is earned on the reverse repurchase agreements and what is paid on the matched repurchase agreements. RCap's policy is to obtain possession of collateral with a market value in excess of the principal amount loaned under reverse repurchase agreements. To ensure that the market value of the underlying collateral remains sufficient, collateral is valued daily, and RCap will require counterparties to deposit additional collateral, when necessary. All reverse repurchase activities are transacted under master repurchase agreements that give the Company the right, in the event of default, to liquidate collateral held and to offset receivables and payables with the same counterparty.

F-8

Securities Borrowed and Loaned Transactions — RCap records securities borrowed and loaned transactions as collateralized financings. Securities borrowed transactions require the Company to provide the counterparty with collateral in the form of cash, or other securities. The Company receives collateral in the form of cash or other securities for securities loaned transactions in an amount generally in excess of the fair value of the securities loaned. RCap monitors the fair value of the securities borrowed and loaned on a daily basis, with additional collateral obtained or refunded as necessary. Securities borrowed and securities loaned transactions are recorded contract value. For these transactions, the rebates accrued by the Company are recorded as interest revenue or expense.

U.S. Treasury Securities — RCap's trades in U.S. Treasury securities consist of long and short positions on U.S Treasury notes and bonds. U.S. Treasury securities are classified as trading investments and are recorded on the trade date. Changes in fair value are reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income. Generally RCap does not hold the U.S. Treasury bills, notes and bonds to maturity and realizes gains and losses from trading those positions. Interest income or expense on U.S Treasury notes and bonds is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount of those investments and their stated terms.

Investment Securities –Agency mortgage-backed securities, Agency debentures, and corporate debt are referred to herein as “Investment Securities.” Although the Company generally intends to hold most of its Investment Securities until maturity, it may, from time to time, sell any of its Investment Securities as part of its overall management of its portfolio. Investment Securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair values estimated by management that are compared to independent sources for reasonableness, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of stockholders' equity. Investment Securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on sales of Investment Securities are determined using the average cost method. The Company's investments in corporate debt are designated as held for investment, and are carried at their principal balance outstanding plus any premiums or discounts less allowances for loan losses. No allowance for loan losses was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

On April 1, 2011, the Company elected the fair value option for Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities acquired on or after such date. Interest-only securities and inverse interest-only securities are collectively referred to as “interest-only securities.” These Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities represent the Company's right to receive a specified proportion of the contractual interest flows of specific Agency mortgage-backed securities. Agency interest-only mortgage-backed securities acquired on or after April 1, 2011 are measured at fair value through earnings in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. The interest-only securities are included in Agency mortgage-backed securities at fair value on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities and Agency Debentures – The Company invests primarily in mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations and other mortgage-backed securities representing interests in or obligations backed by pools of mortgage loans, and certificates guaranteed by Ginnie Mae, Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae (collectively, “Agency mortgage-backed securities”). The Company also invests in Agency debentures issued by Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”), Freddie Mac, and Fannie Mae.

Interest income from coupon payments is accrued based on the outstanding principal amount of the Investment Securities and their contractual terms. Premiums and discounts associated with the purchase of the Investment Securities are amortized into interest income over the projected lives of the securities using the interest method. The Company's policy for estimating prepayment speeds for calculating the effective yield is to evaluate historical performance, consensus prepayment speeds, and current market conditions. Adjustments are made for actual prepayment activity.

Equity Securities – The Company invests in equity securities that are classified as available-for-sale or trading. Equity securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, based on market quotes, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of stockholders' equity. Equity securities classified as trading are reported at fair value, based on market quotes, with unrealized gains and losses reported in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income. Dividends are recorded in earnings based on the declaration date.

F-9

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment – Management evaluates available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. The Company determines if it (1) has the intent to sell the securities, (2) is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before recovery, or (3) does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the securities. Further, the security is analyzed for credit loss (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amorti