PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP Form 10-Q May 06, 2011 Table of Contents

	UNITED STATES
	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-Q
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011
	OR
0	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	Commission file number: 1-14569

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	76-0582150
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
333 Clay Street, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)	77002 (Zip Code)
	(713) 646-4100
(Registrant s tele	ephone number, including area code)
	-
	reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act riod that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to
	ectronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data f Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that res o No
	ated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting elerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell compan	y (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes x No
As of May 2, 2011, there were 149,138,609 Common Units out	standing.

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I, FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets: March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations: For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows: For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	5
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Partners Capital: For the three months ended March 31, 2011	6
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income: For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010	6
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income: For the three months ended March 31,	
<u>2011</u>	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	7
1. Organization and Basis of Presentation	7
2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements	8
3. Trade Accounts Receivable	8
4. Acquisitions	9
5. Inventory, Linefill, Base Gas and Long-term Inventory	10
<u>6. Goodwill</u>	10
<u>7. Debt</u>	11
8. Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit	13
9. Income Taxes	14
10. Partners Capital and Distributions	14
11. Equity Compensation Plans	15
12. Derivatives and Risk Management Activities	16
13. Commitments and Contingencies	23
14. Operating Segments	25
15. Related Party Transactions	26
16. Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information	27
Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	32
Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	46
Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	47
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	48
Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	48
Item 1A. RISK FACTORS	48
Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	48
Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	48
Item 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]	48
Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION	48
Item 6. EXHIBITS	49
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	53

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions, except units)

	N	March 31, 2011 (unaudited)		December 31, 2010
ASSETS		(unuuu	iiicu)	
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	19	\$	36
Restricted cash	Ψ	2.	Ψ	20
Trade accounts receivable and other receivables, net		3,127		2,746
Inventory		1,386		1,491
Other current assets		73		88
Total current assets		4,607		4,381
		,		,
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		8,311		7,814
Accumulated depreciation		(1,174)		(1,123)
		7,137		6,691
OTHER ASSETS				
Goodwill		1,693		1,376
Linefill and base gas		520		519
Long-term inventory		134		154
Investments in unconsolidated entities		196		200
Other, net		458		382
Total assets	\$	14,745	\$	13,703
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	3,451	\$	2,738
Short-term debt		474		1,326
Other current liabilities		126		151
Total current liabilities		4,051		4,215
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES				
Senior notes, net of unamortized discount of \$15 and \$12, respectively		4,760		4,363
Long-term debt under credit facilities and other		216		268
Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits		300		284
Total long-term liabilities		5,276		4,915

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 13)

PARTNERS CAPITAL		
Common unitholders (149,138,609 and 141,199,175 units outstanding, respectively)	4,761	4,234
General partner	121	108
Total partners capital excluding noncontrolling interests	4,882	4,342
Noncontrolling interests	536	231
Total partners capital	5,418	4,573
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$ 14,745	\$ 13,703

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in millions, except per unit data)

	2011	Three Mon Marc		2010	
	2011	(unaudited)		2010	
REVENUES					
Supply & Logistics segment revenues	\$	7,435	\$	5	5,912
Transportation segment revenues		141			138
Facilities segment revenues		118			75
Total revenues		7,694		Ć	5,125
COSTS AND EXPENSES					
Purchases and related costs		7,079		5	5,623
Field operating costs		197			162
General and administrative expenses		70			62
Depreciation and amortization		63			67
Total costs and expenses		7,409		5	5,914
OPERATING INCOME		285			211
OTHER INCOME/(EXPENSE)					
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities					1
Interest expense (net of capitalized interest of \$5 and \$6, respectively)		(65)			(58)
Other expense, net		(22)			(3)
		(==)			(0)
INCOME BEFORE TAX		198			151
Current income tax expense		(11)			(1)
Deferred income tax (expense)/benefit		(2)			1
		(-)			
NET INCOME		185			151
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(3)			
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO PLAINS	\$	182	\$		151
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO PLAINS:					
LIMITED PARTNERS	\$	133	\$		112
GENERAL PARTNER	\$	49	\$		39
GENERAL LAKINER	Ψ	77	Ψ		37
BASIC NET INCOME PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT	\$	0.90	\$		0.80
DILUTED NET INCOME PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT	\$	0.90	\$		0.80
BASIC WEIGHTED AVERAGE UNITS OUTSTANDING		143			136
DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE UNITS OUTSTANDING		144			137

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

		Three Mor Marc		
	2	011 (unau	dited)	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(=====		
Net income	\$	185	\$	151
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		63		67
Equity compensation expense		20		19
Gain on sale of linefill		(13)		(2)
Net cash received for terminated interest rate or foreign currency hedging instruments		12		` ,
Other		3		(1)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		384		157
Net cash provided by operating activities		654		391
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Cash paid in connection with acquisitions, net of cash acquired (Note 4)		(756)		
Change in restricted cash		18		
Additions to property, equipment and other		(121)		(104)
Net cash received for sales and purchases of linefill and base gas		19		(201)
Other investing activities		(2)		(4)
Net cash used in investing activities		(842)		(108)
The cash as a minimum grant mes		(0.2)		(100)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Net repayments on PAA s revolving credit facility		(654)		(227)
Net repayments on PNG s revolving credit facility		(52)		
Net borrowings/(repayments) on PAA s hedged inventory facility		(200)		100
Proceeds from the issuance of senior notes		597		
Repayments of senior notes		(200)		
Net proceeds from the issuance of common units (Note 10)		503		
Cash received for sale of noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary		370		
Distributions paid to common unitholders (Note 10)		(135)		(126)
Distributions paid to general partner (Note 10)		(49)		(40)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests		(5)		
Other financing activities		(4)		1
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		171		(292)
Effect of translation adjustment on cash				
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(17)		(9)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		36		25
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	19	\$	16
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$	71	\$	60
Cash paid for income taxes, net of amounts refunded	\$		\$	6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS CAPITAL

(in millions)

	Comn Units	non Ur	nits Amount	General Partner	Partners Capital Excluding Noncontrolling Interests udited)	N	oncontrolling Interests	Partners Capital
Balance, December 31, 2010	141	\$	4,234	\$ 108	\$ 4,342	\$	231	\$ 4,573
Net income			133	49	182		3	185
Sale of noncontrolling interest								
in a subsidiary (Note 10)			63	1	64		306	370
Distributions			(135)	(49)	(184)		(5)	(189)
Issuance of common units	8		493	10	503			503
Other comprehensive loss			(29)		(29)			(29)
Equity compensation expense			2	2	4		1	5
Balance, March 31, 2011	149	\$	4,761	\$ 121	\$ 4,882	\$	536	\$ 5,418

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions)

		Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2011	2011 201					
		(unau	dited)				
Net income	\$	185	\$		151		
Other comprehensive income/(loss)		(29)			63		
Comprehensive income		156			214		
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(3)					
Comprehensive income attributable to Plains	\$	153	\$		214		

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF

CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions)

	rivative ruments	Translation Adjustments (unau	dited)	Other		Total	
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ (79)	\$ 198	\$		(1)	\$	118
Reclassification adjustments	67						67
Deferred loss on cash flow hedges, net of tax	(144)						(144)

Currency translation adjustment		48		48
Total period activity	(77)	48		(29)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$ (156)	\$ 246	\$ (1) \$	89

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

Note 1 Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

We engage in the transportation, storage, terminalling and marketing of crude oil, refined products and LPG. Through our general partner interest and majority equity ownership position in PAA Natural Gas Storage, L.P. (NYSE: PNG), we also engage in the development and operation of natural gas storage facilities. Our business activities are conducted through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics. See Note 14 for further detail of our three operating segments.

As used in this Form 10-Q and unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms Partnership, Plains, PAA, we, us, our, ours and simil refer to Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. and its subsidiaries. Also, references to our general partner, as the context requires, include any or all of PAA GP LLC, Plains AAP, L.P. and Plains All American GP LLC.

Definitions

The following additional defined terms are used in this Form 10-Q and shall have the meanings indicated below:

AOCI = Accumulated other comprehensive income

Bcf = Billion cubic feet
Btu = British thermal unit
CAD = Canadian dollar

DERs = Distribution equivalent rights

EBITDA = Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization

FASB = Financial Accounting Standards Board FERC = Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

ICE = IntercontinentalExchange LIBOR = London Interbank Offered Rate

LPG = Liquefied petroleum gas and other natural gas-related products

LTIPs = Long-term incentive plans Mcf = Thousand cubic feet

MLP = Master limited partnership MTBE = Methyl tertiary-butyl ether = Nexen Holdings U.S.A. Inc. Nexen **NPNS** = Normal purchases and normal sales = New York Mercantile Exchange NYMEX = Pacific Energy Partners, L.P. Pacific = Pipeline loss allowance PLA **PNG** = PAA Natural Gas Storage, L.P. = PAA Natural Gas Storage, LLC **PNGS RMPS** = Rocky Mountain Pipeline System SEC = Securities and Exchange Commission SG Resources = SG Resources Mississippi, LLC

U.S. GAAP = Generally accepted accounting principles in the United States

USD = United States dollar
WTI = West Texas intermediate
WTS = West Texas sour

Table of Contents

Basis of Consolidation and Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions for interim reporting as prescribed by the SEC. All adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that in the opinion of management were necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods have been reflected. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation, and certain reclassifications have been made to information from previous years to conform to the current presentation. These reclassifications do not affect net income attributable to Plains. The condensed balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 should not be taken as indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the financial statements issuance date and have been included within the following footnotes where applicable.

Note 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Other than as discussed below and in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, no new accounting pronouncements have become effective during the three months ended March 31, 2011 that are of significance or potential significance to us.

Fair Value Measurement Disclosure Requirements. In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance to enhance disclosures related to the existing fair value hierarchy disclosure requirements. A fair value measurement is designated as level 1, 2 or 3 within the hierarchy based on the nature of the inputs used in the valuation process. Level 1 measurements generally reflect quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, level 2 measurements generally reflect the use of significant observable inputs and level 3 measurements typically utilize significant unobservable inputs. This new guidance requires a gross presentation of activities within the level 3 rollforward. This guidance was effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim reporting periods within those years. We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2011. See Note 12 for additional disclosure. Our adoption did not have any material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Note 3 Trade Accounts Receivable

We review all outstanding accounts receivable balances on a monthly basis and record a reserve for amounts that we expect will not be fully recovered. We do not apply actual balances against the reserve until we have exhausted substantially all collection efforts. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, substantially all of our accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts) were less than 60 days past their scheduled invoice date. Our allowance for doubtful accounts receivable totaled approximately \$5 million at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Although we consider our allowance for doubtful accounts receivable to be adequate, actual amounts could vary significantly from estimated amounts.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had received approximately \$182 million and \$197 million, respectively, of advance cash payments from third parties to mitigate credit risk. In addition, we enter into netting arrangements (contractual agreements that allow us and the counterparty to offset receivables and payables between the two) that cover a significant part of our transactions and also serve to mitigate credit risk.

Table of Contents

Note 4 Acquisitions

The following acquisition was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting, and the purchase price was allocated in accordance with such method.

Southern Pines Acquisition

On February 9, 2011, PNG acquired 100% of the equity interests in SG Resources from SGR Holdings, L.L.C. for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$752 million in cash, net of cash acquired, which is subject to finalization of certain post-closing adjustments (the Southern Pines Acquisition). The primary asset of SG Resources is the Southern Pines Energy Center (Southern Pines), a FERC-regulated, salt-cavern natural gas storage facility located in Greene County, Mississippi. Southern Pines is permitted for 40 Bcf of working gas capacity from four storage caverns. In connection with this acquisition, PNG obtained financing through a private placement of PNG common units to third-party purchases, and we purchased additional common units. See Note 10 for further discussion.

The purchase price allocation related to the Southern Pines Acquisition is preliminary and subject to change, pending completion of internal valuation procedures primarily related to the valuation of intangible assets and the various components of the property and equipment acquired. We expect to finalize our purchase price allocation during 2011. The preliminary purchase price allocation is as follows (in millions):

Inventory	\$ 14
Property and equipment, net	341
Base gas	3
Other working capital, net of cash acquired	1
Intangible assets	92
Goodwill	301
Total	\$ 752

Several factors contributed to a purchase price in excess of the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Such factors include the strategic location of the Southern Pines facility, the limited alternative locations and the extended lead times required to develop and construct such facility, along with its operational flexibility, organic expansion capabilities and synergies anticipated to be obtained from combining Southern Pines with our existing asset base. Through March 31, 2011, we have incurred approximately \$4 million of acquisition-related costs, which are included in general and administrative expenses in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. This acquisition is reflected within our facilities segment.

Events Subsequent to March 31, 2011

In May 2011, PNG entered into an agreement with the former owners of SG Resources with respect to certain outstanding issues and purchase price adjustments as well as the distribution of the remaining 5% of the purchase price that was escrowed at closing (totaling \$37 million). Pursuant to this agreement, PNG received approximately \$10 million and the balance was remitted to the former owners. Funds received by

PNG will be used to fund anticipated facility development and other related costs identified subsequent to closing. Additionally, the parties executed releases of any existing and future claims, subject to customary carve-outs.

Table of Contents

Note 5 Inventory, Linefill, Base Gas and Long-term Inventory

Inventory, linefill, base gas and long-term inventory consisted of the following (barrels in thousands, natural gas volumes in thousands of mcf and total value in millions):

		Marc	ch 31, 2	011				December 31, 2010						
		Unit of		Total		Price/ Jnit of		Unit of		Total	_	Price/ Init of		
	Volumes	Measure		Value	Measure (1)		Volumes	Measure	Value			asure (1)		
Inventory	, oranics	11245412		,		(-)	, oranics	171Cusu1C		, ш.ш.		(=)		
Crude oil	14,713	barrels	\$	1,304	\$	88.63	14,132	barrels	\$	1,100	\$	77.84		
LPG	1,082	barrels		62	\$	57.30	7,395	barrels		366	\$	49.49		
Refined products	179	barrels		14	\$	78.21	271	barrels		22	\$	81.18		
Natural gas (2)	861	mcf		3	\$	3.48	13	mcf			\$	3.87		
Parts and supplies	N/A			3		N/A	N/A			3		N/A		
Inventory subtotal				1,386						1,491				
Linefill and base gas														
Crude oil	8,949	barrels		477	\$	53.30	9,159	barrels		478	\$	52.19		
Natural gas (2)	11,904	mcf		40	\$	3.36	11,194	mcf		37	\$	3.31		
LPG	57	barrels		3	\$	52.63	77	barrels		4	\$	51.95		
Linefill and base gas														
subtotal				520						519				
Long-term														
inventory														
Crude oil	1,735	barrels		127	\$	73.20	1,761	barrels		128	\$	72.69		
LPG	150	barrels		7	\$	46.67	505	barrels		26	\$	51.49		
Long-term inventory				124						151				
subtotal				134						154				
Tatal			¢	2.040					¢	2.164				
Total			\$	2,040					\$	2,164				

⁽¹⁾ Price per unit of measure represents a weighted average associated with various grades, qualities and locations; accordingly, these prices may not be comparable to published benchmarks for such products.

Note 6 Goodwill

The table below reflects our changes in goodwill for the period indicated (in millions):

⁽²⁾ The volumetric ratio of mcf of natural gas to crude Btu equivalent is 6:1; thus, natural gas volumes can be converted to barrels by dividing by 6.

	Tra	nsportation	Facilities	Sı	ipply & Logistics	Total (1)
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$	640 \$	308	\$	428	\$ 1,376
2011 Goodwill Related Activity:						
Southern Pines Acquisition (2)			301			301
Purchase price accounting adjustments (2)					10	10
Foreign currency translation adjustments		6				6
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$	646 \$	609	\$	438	\$ 1,693

⁽¹⁾ As of March 31, 2011, we do not have any accumulated impairment losses.

⁽²⁾ Goodwill is recorded at the acquisition date based on a preliminary purchase price allocation. This preliminary goodwill balance may be adjusted when the purchase price allocation is finalized.

Table of Contents

Note 7 Debt

Debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
SHORT-TERM DEBT		
Credit Facilities:		
Senior secured hedged inventory facility bearing a weighted-average interest rate of 2.1% at		
both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	\$ 300	\$ 500
PAA senior unsecured revolving credit facility, bearing a weighted-average interest rate of		
0.7% at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (1)	172	824
Other	2	2
Total short-term debt	474	1,326
LONG-TERM DEBT		
Senior Notes:		
4.25% senior notes due September 2012 (2)	500	500
7.75% senior notes due October 2012 (3)		200
5.63% senior notes due December 2013	250	250
5.25% senior notes due June 2015	150	150
3.95% senior notes due September 2015	400	400
5.88% senior notes due August 2016	175	175
6.13% senior notes due January 2017	400	400
6.50% senior notes due May 2018	600	600
8.75% senior notes due May 2019	350	350
5.75% senior notes due January 2020	500	500
5.00% senior notes due February 2021 (4)	600	
6.70% senior notes due May 2036	250	250
6.65% senior notes due January 2037	600	600
Unamortized discounts	(15)	(12)
Senior notes, net of unamortized discounts	4,760	4,363
Credit Facilities and Other:		
PNG senior unsecured revolving credit facility, bearing a weighted-average interest rate of		
3.0% and 3.2% at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	208	260
Other	8	8
Total long-term debt (1)	4,976	4,631
Total debt (5)	\$ 5,450	\$ 5,957

⁽¹⁾ We classify as short-term our borrowings under our PAA senior unsecured revolving credit facility. These borrowings are designated as working capital borrowings, must be repaid within one year and are primarily for hedged LPG and crude oil inventory and NYMEX and ICE margin deposits.

The proceeds from these notes are being used to supplement capital available from our hedged inventory facility. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately \$500 million and \$466 million, respectively, had been used to fund hedged inventory and would be classified as short-term debt if funded on our credit facilities.

On February 7, 2011, our \$200 million, 7.75% senior notes due 2012 were redeemed in full. In conjunction with the early redemption, we recognized a loss of approximately \$23 million, recorded to Other expense, net in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. We utilized cash on hand and available capacity under our credit facilities to redeem these notes.

Table of Contents

(4)	In January 2011, we completed the issuance of \$600 million, 5.00% senior notes due 2021. The senior notes were sold at
99.521	1% of face value. Interest payments are due on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning on August 1, 2011. We used the net
procee	eds from this offering to repay outstanding indebtedness under our credit facilities and for general partnership purposes.

(5)	Our fixed-rate senior notes have a face value of approximately \$4.8 billion and \$4.4 billion as of March 31, 2011 and
December 31,	2010, respectively. We estimate the aggregate fair value of these notes as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 to be
approximately	\$5.1 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively. Our fixed-rate senior notes are traded among institutions, which trades are routinely
published by a	reporting service. Our determination of fair value is based on reported trading activity near quarter end. We estimate that the
carrying value	of outstanding borrowings under our credit facilities approximates fair value as interest rates reflect current market rates.

Credit Facilities

PAA 364-Day Credit Agreement. In January 2011, we entered into a 364-day senior unsecured credit facility with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$500 million. This credit facility has a maximum debt-to-EBITDA coverage ratio of 4.75 to 1.00 (5.50 to 1.00 during an acquisition period) and matures at the earlier of January 2012 or the refinancing of our PAA senior unsecured revolving credit facility. As set forth in the agreement, borrowings under this facility bear interest at our election at either LIBOR plus an applicable margin (based on the credit rating of our long-term senior unsecured debt), or a base rate. Commitment fees are payable at rates between 0.15% and 0.40%, also determined based on the credit rating of our long-term senior unsecured debt. Borrowings may be used for any partnership purpose. There were no outstanding borrowings under this facility at March 31, 2011.

Letters of Credit

In connection with our crude oil supply and logistics activities, we provide certain suppliers with irrevocable standby letters of credit to secure our obligation for the purchase of crude oil. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$137 million and \$75 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 8 Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (amounts in millions, except per unit data):

	Three Months Ended				
		March	ı 31,		
		2011		2010	
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per limited partner unit:					
Net income attributable to Plains	\$	182	\$	151	
Less: General partner s incentive distribution paid(1)		(46)		(37)	
Subtotal		136		114	
Less: General partner 2% ownership (1)		(3)		(2)	
Net income available to limited partners		133		112	
Adjustment in accordance with application of the two-class method for MLPs (1)		(4)		(3)	
Net income available to limited partners in accordance with the application of the two-class					
method for MLPs	\$	129	\$	109	
Denominator:					
Basic weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding		143		136	
Effect of dilutive securities:					
Weighted average LTIP units (2)		1		1	
Diluted weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding		144		137	
Basic net income per limited partner unit	\$	0.90	\$	0.80	
Diluted net income per limited partner unit	\$	0.90	\$	0.80	

We calculate net income available to limited partners based on the distribution paid during the current quarter (including the incentive distribution interest in excess of the 2% general partner interest). However, FASB guidance requires that the distribution pertaining to the current period s net income, which is to be paid in the subsequent quarter, be utilized in the earnings per unit calculation. After adjusting for this distribution, the remaining undistributed earnings or excess distributions over earnings, if any, are allocated to the general partner and limited partners in accordance with the contractual terms of the partnership agreement for earnings per unit calculation purposes. We reflect the impact of the difference in (i) the distribution utilized and (ii) the calculation of the excess 2% general partner interest as the Adjustment in accordance with application of the two-class method for MLPs.

Our LTIP awards (described in Note 11) that contemplate the issuance of common units are considered dilutive unless (i) vesting occurs only upon the satisfaction of a performance condition and (ii) that performance condition has yet to be satisfied. LTIP awards that are deemed to be dilutive are reduced by a hypothetical unit repurchase based on the remaining unamortized fair value, as prescribed by the treasury stock method in guidance issued by the FASB.

Table of Contents
Note 9 Income Taxes
U.S. Federal and State Taxes
As an MLP, we are not subject to U.S. federal income taxes; rather the tax effect of our operations is passed through to our unitholders. Although we are subject to state income taxes in some states, the impact to the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was immaterial.
Canadian Federal and Provincial Taxes
In 2010 and prior years, our Canadian operations were operated through a combination of corporate entities subject to Canadian federal and provincial taxes and a limited partnership which was treated as a flow-through entity for tax purposes. Due to changes in Canadian legislation and the Fifth Protocol to the U.S./Canada Tax Treaty, we restructured our Canadian investment on January 1, 2011. As of this date, all of our Canadian operations are conducted within entities that are treated as corporations for Canadian tax purposes (flow through for U.S. tax purposes and that are subject to Canadian federal and provincial taxes. Additionally, payments of interest and dividends from Canada to other Plains entities are subject to Canadian withholding tax that is treated as a distribution to unitholders.
Note 10 Partners Capital and Distributions
Noncontrolling Interests in a Subsidiary
As of March 31, 2011, noncontrolling interests consisted of the following: (i) an approximate 36% interest in PNG and (ii) a 25% interest in SLC Pipeline.
Sale of Noncontrolling Interest in a Subsidiary
During February 2011, in connection with the Southern Pines Acquisition, PNG completed a private placement of approximately 17.4 million PNG common units to third-party purchasers for net proceeds of approximately \$370 million. In addition, we purchased approximately 10.2 million PNG common units for approximately \$230 million, including our proportionate general partner contribution of \$12 million. As a result of these transactions, our aggregate ownership interest in PNG decreased from approximately 77% to approximately 64%. The following table sets forth our ownership changes in the limited partner units of PNG from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011 (units in millions):

	December 31, 2010	February 2011 PNG Issuance (in millions)	March 31, 2011
PNG Units Owned by PAA:			
Common Units	18.1	10.2	28.3
Series A Subordinated Units	11.9		11.9
Series B Subordinated Units	13.5		13.5
Total PNG Units Owned by PAA	43.5	10.2	53.7

In addition to our limited partner interest, we also own the general partner s 2% interest and the incentive distribution rights in PNG.

In conjunction with the offering, we recorded an increase in noncontrolling interest of \$306 million and an increase to our partners capital of approximately \$64 million. The increases result from the portion of the proceeds attributable to the respective ownership interests in PNG, adjusted for the impact of the dilution of our ownership interest resulting from this transaction.

Table of Contents

PAA Distributions

The following table details the distributions pertaining to the first three months of 2011, net of reductions to the general partner s incentive distributions (in millions, except per unit amounts):

Date Declared	Date Paid or To Be Paid	(Common Units	Iı	Distribut General ncentive		Total	Distributions per limited partner unit
<u>2011</u>								•
April 11, 2011	May 13, 2011 (1)	\$	145	\$	50	\$ 3	\$ 198	\$ 0.9700
January 12, 2011	February 14, 2011	\$	135	\$	46	\$ 3	\$ 184	\$ 0.9575

⁽¹⁾ Payable to unitholders of record on May 3, 2011, for the period January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011.

In conjunction with the closing of certain acquisitions, our general partner agreed to temporarily reduce the amounts due it as incentive distributions. Following the distribution in May 2011, the aggregate incentive distribution reductions remaining will be approximately \$3 million. See Note 5 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further detail regarding our *General Partner Incentive Distributions*.

PAA Equity Offerings

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we completed an equity offering of our common units as shown in the table below (in millions, except per unit data):

					Gene	eral			
		Gross	P	roceeds	Part	ner			Net
Date	Units Issued	Unit Price	fr	om Sale	Contril	oution	Costs	1	Proceeds
March 2011 (1)	7,935,000	\$ 64.0	0 \$	508	\$	10	\$	(15) \$	503

⁽¹⁾ This offering of common units was an underwritten transaction that required us to pay a gross spread. The net proceeds from this offering were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under our credit facilities and for general partnership purposes.

Note 11 Equity Compensation Plans

For discussion of our equity compensation awards, see Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our equity compensation activity for awards denominated in PAA and PNG units is summarized in the following table (units in millions):

		PAA U W	PNG Unit Weig	s hted Average Grant Date		
	Units		Fair Value per Unit	Fair Value per Unit		
Outstanding, December 31,						
2010	4.4	\$	41.69	1.0	\$	20.55
Granted	0.4	\$	54.26		\$	
Cancelled or forfeited	(0.1)	\$	41.26		\$	
Outstanding, March 31, 2011	4.7	\$	42.73	1.0	\$	20.55

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the expense recognized and cash payments related to outstanding equity compensation awards that have DERs (in millions):

	Т	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	20	11		2010			
Equity compensation expense	\$	20	\$	19			
DER cash payments	\$	1	\$	1			

Note 12 Derivatives and Risk Management Activities

We identify the risks that underlie our core business activities and use risk management strategies to mitigate those risks when we determine that there is value in doing so. Our policy is to use derivative instruments only for risk management purposes. We use various derivative instruments to (i) manage our exposure to commodity price risk as well as to optimize our profits, (ii) manage our exposure to interest rate risk and (iii) manage our exposure to currency exchange rate risk. Our commodity risk management policies and procedures are designed to help ensure that our hedging activities address our risks by monitoring NYMEX, ICE and over-the-counter positions, as well as physical volumes, grades, locations, delivery schedules and storage capacity. Our interest rate and currency exchange rate risk management policies and procedures are designed to monitor our positions and ensure that those positions are consistent with our objectives and approved strategies. Our policy is to formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. This process includes specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged and how the hedging instrument s effectiveness will be assessed. Both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, we assess whether the derivatives used in a transaction are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows or the fair value of hedged items.

Commodity Price Risk Hedging

Our core business activities contain certain commodity price-related risks that we manage in various ways, including the use of derivative instruments. Our policy is (i) to only purchase product for which we have a market, (ii) to structure our sales contracts so that price fluctuations do not materially affect our operating income and (iii) not to acquire and hold physical inventory or derivatives for the purpose of speculating on commodity price changes. The material commodity related risks inherent in our business activities can be summarized into the following general categories:

Commodity Purchases and Sales In the normal course of our operations, we purchase and sell commodities. We use derivatives to manage the associated risks and to optimize profits. As of March 31, 2011, net derivative positions related to these activities included:

- An approximate 194,000 barrels per day net long position (total of 5.8 million barrels) associated with our crude oil activities, which was unwound ratably during April 2011 to match monthly average pricing.
- A net short spread position averaging approximately 50,500 barrels per day (total of 31.8 million barrels), which hedges a portion of our anticipated crude oil lease gathering purchases through January 2013. These derivatives also hedge the margin associated with anticipated

crude oil purchases. These derivatives in the aggregate do not result in exposure to outright price movements.

- A net short spread position averaging approximately 30,400 barrels per day (total of 8.2 million barrels) of calendar spread call options for the period May 2011 through January 2012. These derivatives also hedge the margin associated with anticipated crude oil purchases. These derivatives in the aggregate do not result in exposure to outright price movements.
- Approximately 6,500 barrels per day on average (total of 3.9 million barrels) of WTS/WTI crude oil basis swaps through December 2012, which hedge anticipated sales of crude oil (WTI).
- Approximately 2,700 barrels per day on average (total of 1.0 million barrels) of butane/WTI spread positions, which hedge specific butane sales contracts that are priced as a fixed percentage of WTI and continue through March 2012.

Table of Contents

Storage Capacity Utilization We own approximately 69 million barrels of crude oil, LPG and refined products storage capacity that is not used in our transportation operations. This storage may be leased to third parties or utilized in our own supply and logistics activities, including for the storage of inventory in a contango market. For capacity allocated to our supply and logistics operations, we have utilization risk if the market structure is backwardated. As of March 31, 2011, we used derivatives to manage the risk of not utilizing approximately 2.0 million barrels per month of storage capacity through 2012. These positions are a combination of calendar spread options and NYMEX futures contracts. These positions involve no outright price exposure, but instead represent potential offsetting purchases and sales between time periods (first month versus second month for example).

Inventory Storage At times, we elect to purchase and store crude oil, LPG and refined products inventory in conjunction with our supply and logistics activities. When we purchase and store inventory, we enter into physical sales contracts or use derivatives to mitigate price risk associated with the inventory. As of March 31, 2011, we had derivatives totaling approximately 12.9 million barrels hedging our inventory.

We also purchase foreign cargoes of crude oil and may enter into derivatives to mitigate various price risks associated with the purchase and ultimate sale of foreign crude inventory. As of March 31, 2011, we had approximately 0.5 million barrels of crude oil derivatives hedging the anticipated sale of foreign crude inventory.

Pipeline Loss Allowance Oil As is common in the pipeline transportation industry, our tariffs incorporate a loss allowance factor that is intended to, among other things, offset losses due to evaporation, measurement and other losses in transit. We utilize derivative instruments to hedge a portion of the anticipated sales of the allowance oil that is to be collected under our tariffs. As of March 31, 2011, we had PLA hedges consisting of (i) a net short position consisting of crude oil futures and swaps for an average of approximately 1,700 barrels per day (total of 2.3 million barrels) through December 2014, (ii) a long put option position of approximately 0.4 million barrels through December 2012 and (iii) a long call option position of approximately 0.8 million barrels through December 2012.

Natural Gas Purchases and Sales Our gas storage facilities require minimum levels of natural gas (base gas) to operate. For our natural gas storage facilities that are under construction, we anticipated purchasing base gas in future periods as construction is completed. We use derivatives to hedge such anticipated purchases of natural gas. As of March 31, 2011, we have a long futures position of approximately 1 Bcf consisting of NYMEX futures, 2.6 Bcf of long NYMEX and ICE swaps, and a long call option position of approximately 0.7 Bcf related to anticipated base gas purchases. Additionally, our natural gas commercial marketing group captures short-term market opportunities by leasing a portion of our owned or leased storage capacity engaging in related commercial marketing activities. We use various derivatives to hedge anticipated purchases and sales of natural gas by our commercial marketing group. As of March 31, 2011, we have a short swap position of approximately 4.1 Bcf consisting of NYMEX and ICE swaps related to anticipated sales of natural gas, and an approximate 5.0 Bcf long swap position consisting of NYMEX and ICE swaps related to anticipated purchases of natural gas. As of March 31, 2011, all of our outstanding derivatives entered into for purposes of hedging anticipated purchases and sales of natural gas, including base gas, have been designated as cash flow hedges.

All of our commodity derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting are designated as cash flow hedges. We have determined that substantially all of our physical purchase and sale agreements qualify for the NPNS exclusion. Physical commodity contracts that meet the definition of a derivative but are ineligible, or not designated, for the NPNS scope exception are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings.

Interest Rate Risk Hedging

We use interest rate derivatives to hedge interest rate risk associated with anticipated debt issuances and outstanding debt instruments. The derivative instruments we use to manage this risk consist primarily of interest rate swaps and treasury locks. As of March 31, 2011, AOCI includes deferred gains of \$4 million that relate to terminated interest rate swaps and treasury locks that were designated for hedge accounting. These terminated interest rate derivatives were cash-settled in connection with the issuance or refinancing of debt agreements. The deferred gain related to these instruments is being amortized to interest expense over the original terms of the hedged debt instruments.

During October 2010, we entered into three forward starting interest rate swaps to hedge the underlying benchmark interest rate associated with anticipated debt issuances. These swaps had an aggregate notional amount of \$100 million and an average fixed rate of 3.6%. These swaps were terminated in January 2011 concurrent with the January 2011 debt issuance. See Note 7 for additional disclosure. We received cash proceeds of \$12 million associated with the termination of these swaps.

During July 2009, we entered into four interest rate swaps. For the interest rate swaps, we receive fixed interest payments and pay floating-rate interest payments based on three-month LIBOR plus an average spread of 2.42% on a semi-annual basis. The swaps have an aggregate notional amount of \$300 million with fixed rates of 4.25%. Two of the swaps terminate in 2011 and two of the swaps terminate in 2012. The swaps that terminate in 2012 are designated as fair value hedges.

Table of Contents

Currency Exchange Rate Risk Hedging

Because a significant portion of our Canadian business is conducted in CAD and, at times, a portion of our debt is denominated in CAD, we use foreign currency derivatives to minimize the risks of unfavorable changes in exchange rates. These instruments include foreign currency exchange contracts, forwards and options. As of March 31, 2011, AOCI includes net deferred gains of \$13 million that relate to open and settled foreign currency derivatives that were designated for hedge accounting. These foreign currency derivatives hedge the cash flow variability associated with CAD-denominated interest payments on a CAD-denominated intercompany note as a result of changes in the exchange rate.

As of March 31, 2011, our outstanding foreign currency derivatives also include derivatives we use to hedge USD-denominated crude oil purchases and sales in Canada. In addition, we may from time to time hedge the commodity price risk associated with a CAD-denominated commodity transaction with a USD-denominated commodity derivative. In conjunction with entering into the commodity derivative, we may enter into a foreign currency derivative to hedge the resulting foreign currency risk. These foreign currency derivatives are generally short-term in nature and are not designated for hedge accounting.

At March 31, 2011, our open foreign currency derivatives included forward exchange contracts that exchange CAD for USD on a net basis as follows (in millions):

	CA	.D	USD	Average Exchange Rate
2011	\$	11 \$	11	CAD \$1.01 to US \$1.00
2012	\$	15 \$	15	CAD \$1.01 to US \$1.00
2013	\$	9 \$	9	CAD \$1.00 to US \$1.00

Summary of Financial Impact

For derivatives that qualify as a cash flow hedge, changes in fair value of the effective portion of the hedges are deferred to AOCI and recognized in earnings in the periods during which the underlying physical transactions impact earnings. For our interest rate swaps that qualify as a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative and changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged item, attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in earnings each period. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting and the portion of cash flow hedges that are not highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items are recognized in earnings each period. Cash settlements associated with our derivative activities are reflected as operating cash flows in our consolidated statements of cash flows.

Table of Contents

A summary of the impact of our derivative activities recognized in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Derivatives Derivatives in Not Hedging Designated				Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 Derivatives Derivatives in Not Hedging Designated							
Location of gain/(loss)	Relationshi	ips (1)(2)(3)	as a H	ledge (4)		Total	Relat	tionships (1)(2)	as a	Hedge (4)	-	Fotal
Commodity Derivatives												
Supply and Logistics	ф	(75)	ф	4	Ф	(71)	ф	(20)	\$	27	Ф	7
segment revenues	\$	(75)	\$	4	\$	(71)	3	(20)	\$	27	\$	/
Transportation segment revenues								1				1
Facilities segment revenues		(1)				(1)		(1)		1		
Purchases and related costs								5		(25)		(20)
Field operating costs				1		1				1		1
Interest Rate Derivatives												
Interest expense		1				1				1		1
Foreign Currency Derivatives												
Supply and Logistics				3		3						
segment revenues				3		3						
Purchases and related costs										2		2
Other income, net		1				1				(1)		(1)
Total Gain/(Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$	(74)	\$	8	\$	(66)	\$	(15)	\$	6	\$	(9)
	4	(, •)	Ψ	· ·	Ψ	(00)	Ψ	(10)	Ψ	•	Ψ	(2)

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent derivative gains and losses that were reclassified from AOCI to earnings during the period to coincide with the earnings impact of the respective hedged transaction.

⁽²⁾ Amounts include losses of approximately \$8 million and \$1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, that represent the ineffective portion of our cash flow hedges. These amounts relate to commodity derivatives and are recognized in Supply and Logistics segment revenues during such periods.

⁽³⁾ Interest expense includes a net gain of approximately \$1 million associated with outstanding interest rate swaps, which are designated as a fair value hedge.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes realized and unrealized gains or losses for derivatives not designated for hedge accounting during the period.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the derivative assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis as of March 31, 2011 (in millions):

	Asset De Balance Sheet	erivatives		Liability Balance Sheet	Liability Derivatives		
	Location		Fair Value	Location		Fair Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:							
Commodity derivatives	Other current assets	\$	124	Other current assets	\$	(156)	
	Other long-term assets		1	Other long-term assets		13	
				Other long-term liabilities		(1)	
Interest rate derivatives	Other current assets		2				
	Other long-term assets		1				
Foreign currency derivatives	· ·			Other current liabilities		(1)	
Total derivatives designated as							
hedging instruments		\$	128		\$	(145)	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:							
gggg.							
Commodity derivatives	Other current assets	\$	29	Other current assets	\$	(84)	
, and the second second	Other long-term assets		8			ĺ	
	Other current						
	liabilities		3	Other current liabilities		(9)	
Interest rate derivatives	Other current assets		1				
Foreign currency derivatives	Other current assets		1				
Total derivatives not designated							
as hedging instruments		\$	42		\$	(93)	
Total derivatives		\$	170		\$	(238)	

The following table summarizes the derivative assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis as of December 31, 2010 (in millions):

Liability Derivatives Balance Sheet				
ie				
(70)				
(1)				
(1)				
(72)				
(68)				

	Other long-term assets		20		
	Other current			Other current	
	liabilities		2	liabilities	(10)
Interest rate derivatives	Other current assets		4		
	Other long-term assets		1		
Foreign currency derivatives	Other current assets		1		
Total derivatives not designated					
as hedging instruments		\$	39		\$ (78)
Total derivatives		\$	120		\$ (150)
		20			

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, there was a net loss of \$156 million deferred in AOCI. The total amount of deferred net loss recorded in AOCI is expected to be reclassified to future earnings contemporaneously with (i) the earnings recognition of the underlying hedged commodity transaction, (ii) interest expense accruals associated with underlying debt instruments or (iii) the recognition of a foreign currency gain or loss upon the remeasurement of certain CAD-denominated intercompany balances. Of the total net loss deferred in AOCI at March 31, 2011, we expect to reclassify a net loss of approximately \$164 million to earnings in the next twelve months. Of the remaining deferred gain in AOCI, approximately \$1 million is expected to be reclassified to earnings prior to 2014 with the remaining deferred gain being reclassified to earnings through 2019. These amounts are predominately based on market prices at the current period end, thus actual amounts to be reclassified will differ and could vary materially as a result of changes in market conditions.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, all of our hedged transactions were probable of occurring. The net deferred gain/(loss) recognized in AOCI for derivatives during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in millions):

	For the Three Mont	hs Ended	March 31,
	2011		2010
Commodity derivatives	\$ (145)	\$	(4)
Foreign currency derivatives	(1)		(1)
Interest rate derivatives	2		
Total	\$ (144)	\$	(5)

Our accounting policy is to offset derivative assets and liabilities executed with the same counterparty when a master netting agreement exists. Accordingly, we also offset derivative assets and liabilities with amounts associated with cash margin. Our exchange-traded derivatives are transacted through brokerage accounts and are subject to margin requirements as established by the respective exchange. On a daily basis, our account equity (consisting of the sum of our cash balance and the fair value of our open derivatives) is compared to our initial margin requirement resulting in the payment or return of variation margin. As of March 31, 2011, we had a net broker receivable of approximately \$117 million (consisting of initial margin of \$64 million increased by \$53 million of variation margin that had been posted by us). As of December 31, 2010, we had a net broker receivable of approximately \$99 million (consisting of initial margin of \$56 million increased by \$43 million of variation margin that had been posted by us). At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, none of our outstanding derivatives contained credit-risk related contingent features that would result in a material adverse impact to us upon any change in our credit ratings.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, which does affect the placement of assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels.

		Fair Value as of March 31, 2011 (in millions)								Fair Value as of December 31, 2010 (in millions)						
Recurring Fair Value Measures (1)	Le	vel 1	Level	2	Lev	el 3		Total	Le	evel 1	Level 2	Le	evel 3		Total	
Commodity derivatives	\$	(67)	\$		\$	(5)	\$	(72)	\$	(16)	\$	\$	(30)	\$	(46)	
Interest rate derivatives				4				4					15		15	
Foreign currency derivatives													1		1	
Total	\$	(67)	\$	4	\$	(5)	\$	(68)	\$	(16)	\$	\$	(14)	\$	(30)	

⁽¹⁾ Derivative assets and liabilities are presented above on a net basis but do not include related cash margin deposits.

The determination of the fair values above includes not only the credit standing of the counterparties involved and the impact of credit enhancements (such as cash deposits and letters of credit) but also the impact of our nonperformance risk on our liabilities. The fair value of our commodity derivatives, interest-rate derivatives and foreign currency derivatives includes adjustments for credit risk. We measure credit risk by deriving a probability of default from market-observed credit default swap spreads as of the measurement date. The probability of default is applied to the net credit exposure of each of our counterparties and includes a recovery rate adjustment. The recovery rate is an estimate of what would ultimately be recovered through a bankruptcy proceeding in the event of default. There were no changes to any of our valuation techniques during the period.

Table of Contents

Level 1

Included within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy are exchange-traded commodity derivatives such as futures, options and swaps. The fair value of exchange-traded commodity derivatives is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and is therefore classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Level 2

Included within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy are interest rate derivatives that include interest rate swaps. The fair value of these interest rate derivatives is based on broker or dealer price quotations which are corroborated with market observable inputs including forward interest rates obtained from pricing services.

Level 3

Included within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are over-the-counter commodity derivatives that are traded in markets that are active but not sufficiently active to warrant Level 2 classification in our judgment and certain physical commodity contracts. The fair value of our level 3 commodity derivatives is based on broker or dealer price quotations or a valuation model. Our valuation models utilize inputs such as forward prices but do not involve significant management judgments.

Rollforward of Level 3 Net Liability

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in fair value of the beginning and ending balances for our derivatives classified as level 3 (in millions):

		Three Mon Marc	 ed
	201	1	2010
Beginning Balance	\$	(14)	\$ (28)
Unrealized gains/(losses):			
Included in earnings (1)		6	7
Included in other comprehensive income		(2)	
Settlements		32	21
Derivatives entered into during the period		(10)	(5)
Transfers out of level 3		(17)	
Ending Balance	\$	(5)	\$ (5)
	\$	(3)	\$

Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings relating to level 3 derivatives still held at the end of the periods

(1) We reported unrealized gains and losses associated with level 3 commodity derivatives in our consolidated statements of operations as Supply and Logistics segment revenues. Gains and losses associated with interest rate derivatives are reported in our consolidated statements of operations as Interest expense. Gains and losses associated with foreign currency derivatives are reported in our consolidated statements of operations as either Supply and Logistics segment revenues, Purchases and related costs, or Other income, net.

22

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2011, we transferred interest rate and commodity derivatives with an aggregate fair value of \$17 million from level 3 to level 2. This transfer resulted from the implementation of additional valuation procedures, using market observable inputs, to validate the broker or dealer price quotations used for fair value measurement. Our policy is to recognize transfers between levels as of the beginning of the reporting period in which the transfer occurred.

We believe that a proper analysis of our level 3 gains or losses must incorporate the understanding that these items are generally used to hedge our commodity price risk, interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange risk and will therefore be offset by gains or losses on the underlying transactions.

Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

SemCrude L.P., et al Debtors/Samson Resources Company (U.S. Bankruptcy Court Delaware). We will from time to time have claims relating to insolvent suppliers, customers or counterparties, such as the bankruptcy proceedings of SemCrude, which commenced in July 2008. Statutory protections and our contractual rights of setoff covered substantially all of our pre-petition claims against SemCrude and such claims have now been resolved. In separate actions certain creditors of SemCrude, led by Samson Resources Company, have also filed state court actions alleging a producer s lien on crude oil sold to SemCrude and its affiliates, and the continuation of such lien when SemCrude and its affiliates subsequently sold the oil to purchasers such as us. On May 29, 2009, we filed a complaint for declaratory relief to resolve these claims. Fourteen state court actions have been consolidated in Bankruptcy Court. One action is in Federal Court in New Mexico. We intend to vigorously defend our contractual and statutory rights.

ExxonMobil Corp. v. GATX Corp. (Superior Court of New Jersey Gloucester County). This Pacific legacy matter was filed by ExxonMobil in April 2003 and involves the allocation of responsibility for remediation of MTBE and other petroleum product contamination at our terminal facility in Paulsboro, New Jersey, which we acquired in the Pacific merger. We estimate that the cost to effectively remediate will be approximately \$3.5 million, which amount may be higher or lower depending on the nature and extent of the cleanup. Both ExxonMobil and GATX were prior owners of the terminal. We contend that ExxonMobil and/or GATX are primarily responsible for the majority of the remediation costs. We are in dispute with Kinder Morgan (as successor in interest to GATX) regarding the indemnity by GATX in favor of Pacific in connection with Pacific s purchase of the facility. We are vigorously defending against any claim that PPT is directly or indirectly liable for damages or costs associated with the MTBE contamination.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection v. ExxonMobil Corp. et al. In a matter related to ExxonMobil v. GATX, in June 2007, the NJDEP brought suit against GATX, ExxonMobil and Plains Products Terminals LLC (formerly Pacific Atlantic Terminals LLC) (PPT) to recover natural resources damages associated with, and to require remediation of, the contamination. ExxonMobil and GATX have filed third-party demands against PPT, seeking indemnity and contribution. The natural resources damages have been settled and set at \$1.1 million payable to the State of New Jersey; however, PPT s allocated share of this liability is being disputed by PPT with GATX. Court approval of the settlement is pending.

EPA v. Rocky Mountain Pipeline System. In February 2009, we received a request for information from EPA regarding aspects of the fuel handling activities of RMPS, a subsidiary acquired in the Pacific merger, at two truck terminals in Colorado. After responding to the request, we received a notice of violations from EPA, alleging failure of RMPS to comply with provisions of the Clean Air Act related to registration, sampling, recording and reporting in connection with such activities. EPA further alleged that the violations occurred on an ongoing basis from October 2006 through February 2009. EPA referred the matter to the DOJ, and we continued to engage in settlement discussions, which culminated in the filing of a consent decree on May 3, 2011. The Decree, which must be approved by the court after a public comment period, includes provision for a penalty of \$2.5 million and a commitment to an environmental project at an estimated cost of \$200,000.

Table of Contents

General. In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various legal proceedings. To the extent we are able to assess the likelihood of a negative outcome for these proceedings, our assessments of such likelihood range from remote to probable. If we determine that a negative outcome is probable and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable, we accrue the estimated amount. We do not believe that the outcome of these legal proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Although we believe that our operations are presently in material compliance with applicable requirements, as we acquire and incorporate additional assets it is possible that EPA or other governmental entities may seek to impose fines, penalties or performance obligations on us (or on a portion of our operations) as a result of any past noncompliance whether such noncompliance initially developed before or after our acquisition.

Environmental

Although we believe that our efforts to enhance our leak prevention and detection capabilities have produced positive results, we have experienced (and likely will experience future) releases of hydrocarbon products into the environment from our pipeline and storage operations. For example, in late April 2011, we experienced a high-volume crude oil release on a remote section of our Rainbow Pipeline in Alberta, Canada. Emergency response personnel were mobilized to conduct clean-up operations in cooperation with the Alberta Energy Resources Conservation Board. We preliminarily estimate that the total costs, including the lost revenue associated with the release, will be approximately \$25 million, a portion of which likely will be covered by insurance. This estimate may be revised upward or downward as information is refined and additional information becomes available.

As we expand our pipeline assets through acquisitions, we typically improve on (reduce) the releases from such assets (in terms of frequency or volume) as we implement our integrity management procedures, remove selected assets from service and spend capital to upgrade the assets. However, the inclusion of additional miles of pipe in our operations may result in an increase in the absolute number of releases company-wide compared to prior periods. These releases can result from unpredictable man-made or natural forces and may reach navigable waters or other sensitive environments. Whether current or past, damages and liabilities associated with any such releases from our assets may substantially affect our business.

At March 31, 2011, our reserve for environmental liabilities totaled approximately \$67 million, of which approximately \$10 million was classified as short-term and \$57 million was classified as long-term. At December 31, 2010, our reserve for environmental liabilities totaled approximately \$66 million, of which approximately \$10 million was classified as short-term and \$56 million was classified as long-term. At both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had recorded receivables totaling approximately \$5 million for amounts probable of recovery under insurance and from third parties under indemnification agreements.

In some cases, the actual cash expenditures may not occur for three to five years. Our estimates used in these reserves are based on information currently available to us and our assessment of the ultimate outcome. Among the many uncertainties that impact our estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, our remediation plans, the limited amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Therefore, although we believe that the reserve is adequate, costs incurred may be in excess of the reserve and may potentially have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Insurance

A pipeline, terminal or other facility may experience damage as a result of an accident, natural disaster or terrorist activity. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operations. We maintain insurance of various types that we consider adequate to cover our operations and certain assets. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles or self-insured retentions that we consider reasonable. Our insurance does not cover every potential risk associated with operating pipelines, terminals and other facilities, including the potential loss of significant revenues.

The occurrence of a significant event not fully insured, indemnified or reserved against, or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations, could materially and adversely affect our operations and financial condition. We believe we are adequately insured for public liability and property damage to others with respect to our operations. With respect to all of our coverage, we may not be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable. As a result, we may elect to self-insure or utilize higher deductibles in certain insurance programs. For example, the market for hurricane-or windstorm-related property damage coverage has remained difficult the last few years. The amount of coverage available has been limited, and costs have increased substantially with the combination of premiums and deductibles for the 2010 renewal totaling 20% or more of the coverage limit.

The last two years we have purchased a hurricane limit of \$10 million to cover property and business interruption, representing substantially the level of insurance that was available. The coverage provided by these policies contained much stricter limitations than the insurance policies available prior to hurricanes Rita and Katrina. As a result of these conditions, we have decided not to purchase this coverage for 2011/12 and will self insure this risk. This decision does not affect our third-party liability insurance, which still covers hurricane-related liability claims, and we expect to renew our liability insurance tower at our historic levels. In addition, although we believe that we have established adequate reserves to the extent such risks are not insured, costs incurred in excess of these reserves may be higher and may potentially have a material adverse effect on our financial conditions, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Note 14 Operating Segments

We manage our operations through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics. The following table reflects certain financial data for each segment for the periods indicated (in millions):

	Tran	sportation	Facilities	Supply & Logistics	Total
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011					
Revenues:					
External Customers	\$	141	\$ 118	\$ 7,435	\$ 7,694
Intersegment (1)		134	43		177
Total revenues of reportable segments	\$	275	\$ 161	\$ 7,435	\$ 7,871
Segment profit (2) (3)	\$	137	\$ 78	\$ 133	\$ 348
Maintenance capital	\$	18	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 24
Three Months Ended March 31, 2010					
Revenues:					
External Customers	\$	138	\$ 75	\$ 5,912	\$ 6,125
Intersegment (1)		112	39		151
Total revenues of reportable segments	\$	250	\$ 114	\$ 5,912	\$ 6,276
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities	\$	1	\$	\$	\$ 1
Segment profit (2) (3)	\$	127	\$ 59	\$ 93	\$ 279
Maintenance capital	\$	7	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 11

⁽¹⁾ Segment revenues and purchases and related costs include intersegment amounts. Intersegment sales are conducted at posted tariff rates, rates similar to those charged to third parties or rates that we believe approximate market rates. For further discussion, see Analysis of Operating Segments under Item 7 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(3) The following table reconciles segment profit to net income attributable to Plains (in millions):

		For the Thr Ended M		
	201	1	20	10
Segment profit	\$	348	\$	279
Depreciation and amortization		(63)		(67)
Interest expense		(65)		(58)
Other expense, net		(22)		(3)
Income tax expense		(13)		
Net income		185		151
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(3)		

Supply and logistics segment profit includes interest expense (related to hedged inventory purchases) of \$5 million and \$3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Net income attributable to Plains \$ 182 \$ 151

25

Table of Contents

Note 15 Related Party Transactions

See Note 9 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a complete discussion of our related party transactions.

Occidental Petroleum Corporation

As of March 31, 2011, a subsidiary of Occidental Petroleum Corporation (Oxy) owned approximately 35% of our general partner interest and had a representative on the board of directors of Plains All American GP LLC. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we received sales and transportation storage revenues and purchased petroleum products from companies associated with Oxy, as detailed below (in millions):

	Three Mo Mar	nths End ch 31,	ed	
	2011		2010	
Total revenues	\$ 702	\$		193
Purchases and related costs	\$ 74	\$		38

We currently have a netting arrangement with Oxy. Our gross receivables and payable amounts with affiliates of Oxy were as follows (in millions):

	N	March 31, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
Trade accounts receivable and other receivables	\$	415	\$	379
Accounts payable	\$	169	\$	124

Table of Contents

Note 16 Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

For purposes of the following footnote, Plains is referred to as Parent. See Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further detail regarding subsidiaries classified as Guarantor Subsidiaries and subsidiaries classified as Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries. There have been no material changes in the entities that constitute our guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries since December 31, 2010.

The following supplemental condensed consolidating financial information reflects the Parent s separate accounts, the combined accounts of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combined accounts of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combined consolidating adjustments and eliminations and the Parent s consolidated accounts for the dates and periods indicated. For purposes of the following condensed consolidating information, the Parent s investments in its subsidiaries and the Guarantor Subsidiaries investments in their subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method of accounting (in millions):

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

		(Combined Guarantor	No	March 31, 2011 Combined n-Guarantor				
	Parent	S	ubsidiaries	S	ubsidiaries	E	liminations	Co	onsolidated
ASSETS									
Total current assets	\$ 3,062	\$	4,674	\$	505	\$	(3,634)	\$	4,607
Property and equipment, net	3		4,954		2,180				7,137
Investments in unconsolidated entities	7,132		1,414				(8,350)		196
Other assets, net	231		2,064		816		(306)		2,805
Total assets	\$ 10,428	\$	13,106	\$	3,501	\$	(12,290)	\$	14,745
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL									
Total current liabilities	\$ 247	\$	6,964	\$	474	\$	(3,634)	\$	4,051
Long-term debt	4,763		30		489		(306)		4,976
Other long-term liabilities			297		3				300
Total liabilities	5,010		7,291		966		(3,940)		9,327
	.,.		.,.				(=)= = = /		. , , ,
Partners capital excluding noncontrolling									
interests	4,882		5,754		2,535		(8,289)		4,882
Noncontrolling interests	536		61		,		(61)		536
Total partners capital	5,418		5,815		2,535		(8,350)		5,418
T	.,		- ,		,		(1)111		,
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$ 10,428	\$	13,106	\$	3,501	\$	(12,290)	\$	14,745

27

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (continued)

	Parent	(Combined Guarantor ubsidiaries	No	December 31, 2010 Combined on-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	C	onsolidated
ASSETS								
Total current assets	\$ 3,460	\$	4,394	\$	510	\$ (3,983)	\$	4,381
Property and equipment, net	2		4,870		1,819			6,691
Investments in unconsolidated entities	6,302		2,173			(8,275)		200
Other assets, net	28		1,976		553	(126)		2,431
Total assets	\$ 9,792	\$	13,413	\$	2,882	\$ (12,384)	\$	13,703
LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS								
CAPITAL								
Total current liabilities	\$ 853	\$	6,836	\$	509	\$ (3,983)	\$	4,215
Long-term debt	4,366		5		386	(126)		4,631
Other long-term liabilities			270		14			284
Total liabilities	5,219		7,111		909	(4,109)		9,130
Partners capital excluding noncontrolling								
interests	4,342		6,241		1,973	(8,214)		4,342
Noncontrolling interests	231		61			(61)		231
Total partners capital	4,573		6,302		1,973	(8,275)		4,573
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$ 9,792	\$	13,413	\$	2,882	\$ (12,384)	\$	13,703
			28					

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

	Parent	(Three M Combined Guarantor Jubsidiaries	Non	Ended March 3 Combined -Guarantor Ibsidiaries	11 liminations	Co	onsolidated
Net operating revenues (1)	\$	\$	535	\$	80	\$	\$	615
Field operating costs			(171)		(26)		·	(197)
General and administrative expenses			(56)		(14)			(70)
Depreciation and amortization	(2)		(47)		(14)			(63)
•								
Operating income/(loss)	(2)		261		26			285
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities	276		16			(292)		
Interest income/(expense)	(67)		4		(2)			(65)
Other income/(expense), net	(22)		1		(1)			(22)
Income tax expense			(13)					(13)
Net income	185		269		23	(292)		185
Less: Net income attributable to								
noncontrolling interests	(3)							(3)
Net income attributable to Plains	\$ 182	\$	269	\$	23	\$ (292)	\$	182

	1	Parent	G	Three M Combined Guarantor Ibsidiaries	C Non	Ended March 3 ombined -Guarantor bsidiaries	1, 2010 Eliminatio	ons	Co	onsolidated
Net operating revenues (1)	\$		\$	452	\$	50	\$		\$	502
Field operating costs				(149)		(13)				(162)
General and administrative expenses				(54)		(8)				(62)
Depreciation and amortization		(1)		(55)		(11)				(67)
Operating income/(loss)		(1)		194		18				211
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities		215		16				(230)		1
Interest income/(expense)		(63)		8		(3)				(58)
Other income/(expense), net				(3)						(3)
Income tax expense										
Net income	\$	151	\$	215	\$	15	\$	(230)	\$	151

⁽¹⁾ Net operating revenues are calculated as Total revenues less Purchases and related costs.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

		Three M Combined Guarantor	Ionths Ended March Combined Non-Guarantor	31, 2011	
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING					
ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 185	\$ 269	\$ 23	\$ (292)	\$ 185
Reconciliation of net income to net cash					
provided by/(used in) operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	2	47	14		63
Equity compensation expense		19	1		20
Gain on sale of linefill		(13)			(13)
Net cash received for terminated interest rate					
or foreign currency hedging instruments	12				12
Equity earnings in unconsolidated					
subsidiaries, net of distributions	(276)	(11)		292	5
Other		(2)			(2)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of					
acquisitions	404	(78)	58		384
Net cash provided by/(used in) operating					
activities	327	231	96		654
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING					
ACTIVITIES					
Cash paid in connection with acquisitions,					
net of cash acquired		(4)	(752)		(756)
Changes in restricted cash in escrow for					
acquisitions			18		18
Additions to property, equipment and other		(90)	(31)		(121)
Net cash received for sales and purchases of					
linefill and base gas		19			19
Other investing activities		(2)			(2)
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing					
activities		(77)	(765)		(842)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING					
ACTIVITIES					
Net repayments on PAA s revolving credit					
facility	(603)	(51)			(654)
Net repayments on PNG s revolving credit					
facility			(52)		(52)
Net repayments on PAA s hedged inventory					
facility		(200)			(200)
Proceeds from the issuance of senior notes	597				597
Repayments of senior notes	(200)				(200)
Cash received/(paid) for sale/(purchase) of					
common units of a subsidiary	(230)		600		370
Net borrowings/(repayments) on					
intercompany notes	(200)	73	127		
Net proceeds from the issuance of common					
units	503				503

Distributions paid to common unitholders	(10.1)					400
and general partner	(184)					(184)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests				(5)		(5)
Other financing activities	(5)		1			(4)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing						
activities	(322)		(177)	670		171
Effect of translation adjustment on cash						
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash						
equivalents	5		(23)	1		(17)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of						
period	(4)		36	4		36
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1	\$	13	\$ 5	\$	19
		3	30			

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

	Parent	(Three M Combined Guarantor ubsidiaries	Nor	Ended March 3 Combined n-Guarantor ubsidiaries	10 liminations	Con	solidated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING								
ACTIVITIES								
Net income	\$ 151	\$	215	\$	15	\$ (230)	\$	151
Reconciliation of net income to net cash								
provided by operating activities:								
Depreciation and amortization	1		55		11			67
Equity compensation expense			19					19
Equity earnings in unconsolidated subsidiaries,								
net of distributions	(215)		(15)			230		
Other			(3)					(3)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of								
acquisitions	365		(214)		6			157
Net cash provided by operating activities	302		57		32			391
. , , ,								
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES								
Additions to property, equipment and other			(76)		(28)			(104)
Other investing activities			(4)					(4)
Net cash used in investing activities			(80)		(28)			(108)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES								
Net repayments on PAA s revolving credit								
facility	(136)		(91)					(227)
Net borrowing on PAA s hedged inventory								
facility			100					100
Distributions paid to common unitholders and								
general partner	(166)							(166)
Other financing activities			1					1
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing								
activities	(302)		10					(292)
Effect of translation adjustment on cash								
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash								
equivalents			(13)		4			(9)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1		19		5			25
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1	\$	6	\$	9	\$	\$	16
,,,, p	_							
			31					

Table of C	Contents
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Introduc	tion
should be Analysis information	wing discussion is intended to provide investors with an understanding of our financial condition and results of our operations and read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and Management s Discussion and of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as presented in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For more detailed on regarding the basis of presentation for the following financial information, see the condensed consolidated financial statements and stees that are contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
Our discu	ssion and analysis herein includes the following:
•	Executive Summary
•	Acquisitions and Internal Growth Projects
•	Results of Operations
•	Liquidity and Capital Resources
•	Recent Accounting Pronouncements
•	Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates
•	Forward-Looking Statements

Executive Summary

Company Overview

We provide transportation, storage, terminalling and supply and logistics services with respect to crude oil, refined products and LPG. Through our general partner interest and majority equity ownership position in PNG, we also engage in the development and operation of natural gas storage facilities. We were formed in 1998, and our operations are conducted directly and indirectly through our operating subsidiaries and are managed through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics.

Overview of Operating Results and Significant Activities

During the first quarter of 2011, our net income attributable to Plains was \$182 million, which was a \$31 million increase compared to the first quarter of 2010. This increase was driven by favorable results experienced within all three of our operating segments, but particularly within our supply and logistics segment. This segment benefited from more favorable lease gathering volumes and margins, crude oil quality differentials and market structure. Our transportation segment was primarily impacted by increased volumes, favorable foreign currency exchange rates and increased tariff rates; and our facilities segment was primarily impacted by our expansions in our asset base through acquisitions and our ongoing internal growth projects. See the Results of Operations section below for further discussion and analysis of our operating segments. Additional key items impacting comparability between periods include:

- Our subsidiary, PNG, completed the Southern Pines Acquisition for approximately \$752 million, net of cash acquired.
- The completion of debt and equity offerings for net proceeds of approximately \$1.5 billion. This amount includes PNG s issuance of approximately 17.4 million common units to third parties for net proceeds of approximately \$370 million, which was done in conjunction with the Southern Pines Acquisition.

32

Table of Contents

- The redemption of our 7.75% senior notes that were maturing in 2012 for approximately \$222 million. In conjunction with the early redemption of these notes, we recognized a loss of approximately \$23 million in Other expense, net within our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
- An increase in our income tax expense related to our Canadian operations as a result of Canadian tax legislation changes that became effective January 1, 2011.

Acquisitions and Internal Growth Projects

The following table summarizes our capital expenditures for acquisitions, internal growth projects and maintenance capital for the periods indicated (in millions):

	Three Months Ended March 31,						
	2011		2010				
Acquisition capital (1)	\$ 769	\$					
Internal growth projects	97			76			
Maintenance capital	24			11			
Total	\$ 890	\$		87			

⁽¹⁾ Acquisition capital primarily includes PNG s acquisition of SG Resources, which entity owned the Southern Pines Energy Center natural gas storage facility. This acquisition is reflected within our facilities segment and is referred to herein as the Southern Pines Acquisition. See Note 4 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding our acquisition activities.

Our internal growth projects primarily relate to the construction and expansion of pipeline systems and storage and terminal facilities. The following table summarizes our more notable projects in progress during 2011 and the forecasted expenditures for the year ending December 31, 2011 (in millions):

Projects	2011
PAA Natural Gas Storage (multiple projects)	\$ 103
Cushing - Phases IX - XI	61
Basile Gas Processing Facility	36
Ross (Stanley) Rail Project	35
Undisclosed	30
Shafter Expansion	25
Bumstead Facility	22
Undisclosed	20
Nipisi Treater	18
Mid-Continent Project	17
Patoka Phase IV	17

Ridgelawn (Sidney) Propane Storage	13
Basin System Expansion	12
Other projects (1)	191
	600
Maintenance Capital	90
Total Projected Capital Expenditures (excluding acquisitions)	\$ 690

⁽¹⁾ Primarily pipeline connections, upgrades and truck stations, new tank construction and refurbishing, and carry-over of projects started in 2010.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

We manage our operations through three operating segments: (i) Transportation, (ii) Facilities and (iii) Supply and Logistics. Our Chief Operating Decision Maker (our Chief Executive Officer) and other members of management evaluate segment performance based on a variety of measures including segment profit, segment volumes, segment profit per barrel and maintenance capital investment. See Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion on how we evaluate segment performance.

The following table sets forth an overview of our consolidated financial results calculated in accordance with GAAP:

		Three N			Favorable/(Unfavorable) Variance			
		Ended March 31,						
		2011		010		\$	%	
	_			millions, except p				
Transportation segment profit	\$	137	\$	127	\$	10	8%	
Facilities segment profit		78		59		19	32%	
Supply & Logistics segment profit		133		93		40	43%	
Total segment profit		348		279		69	25%	
Depreciation and amortization		(63)		(67)		4	6%	
Interest expense		(65)		(58)		(7)	(12)%	
Other expense, net		(22)		(3)		(19)	(633)%	
Income tax expense		(13)				(13)	N/A	
Net income		185		151		34	23%	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling								
interests		(3)				(3)	N/A	
Net income attributable to Plains	\$	182	\$	151	\$	31	21%	
Net income attributable to Plains:								
Earnings per basic limited partner unit	\$	0.90	\$	0.80	\$	0.10	13%	
Earnings per diluted limited partner unit	\$	0.90	\$	0.80	\$	0.10	13%	
Basic weighted average units outstanding		143		136		7	5%	
Diluted weighted average units outstanding		144		137		7	5%	

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

To supplement our financial information presented in accordance with GAAP, management uses additional measures that are known as non-GAAP financial measures in its evaluation of past performance and prospects for the future. The primary measures used by management are adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (adjusted EBITDA) and implied distributable cash flow (DCF).

Management believes that the presentation of such additional financial measures provides useful information to investors regarding our performance and results of operations because these measures, when used in conjunction with related GAAP financial measures, (i) provide additional information about our core operating performance and ability to generate and distribute cash flow, (ii) provide investors with the financial analytical framework upon which management bases financial, operational, compensation and planning decisions and (iii) present

measurements that investors, rating agencies and debt holders have indicated are useful in assessing us and our results of operations. These measures may exclude, for example, (i) charges for obligations that are expected to be settled with the issuance of equity instruments, (ii) the mark-to-market of derivative instruments that are related to underlying activities in another period (or the reversal of such adjustments from a prior period), (iii) items that are not indicative of our core operating results and business outlook and/or (iv) other items that we believe should be excluded in understanding our core operating performance. We have defined all such items hereinafter as Selected Items Impacting Comparability. These additional financial measures are reconciled from the most directly comparable measures as reported in accordance within GAAP, and should be viewed in addition to, and not in lieu of, our consolidated financial statements and footnotes.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth non-GAAP financial measures that are reconciled from the most directly comparable measures as reported in accordance with GAAP:

		Three I Ended M				Favorable/(Unfavorable) Variance		
		2011		2010		\$	%	
					illions)			
Net income	\$	185	\$	151	\$	34	23%	
Add:								
Depreciation and amortization		63		67		4	6%	
Income tax expense		13				(13)	N/A	
Interest expense		65		58		(7)	(12)%	
EBITDA	\$	326	\$	276	\$	50	18%	
Selected Items Impacting Comparability - Income/(Loss):								
Equity compensation expense (1)		(14)		(14)			%	
Gains from other derivative activities (2)		20		19		1	5%	
Net loss on early repayment of senior notes		(23)				(23)	N/A	
Other (3)		(5)		(1)		(4)	(400)%	
Selected Items Impacting Comparability of EBITDA	\$	(22)	\$	4	\$	(26)	(650)%	
	_		_					
EBITDA	\$	326	\$	276	\$	50	18%	
Selected Items Impacting Comparability of EBITDA		22		(4)		26	650%	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	348	\$	272	\$	76	28%	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	348	\$	272		76	28%	
Interest expense	Ψ	(65)	Ψ	(58)		(7)	(12)%	
Maintenance capital		(24)		(11)		(13)	(118)%	
Current income tax expense		(11)		(11)		(10)	(1,000)%	
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities, net of		(11)		(1)		(10)	(1,000)70	
distributions		5				5	N/A	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests (4)		(11)		(1)		(10)	(1,000)%	
Insurance deductible related to property damage								
incident		(1)				(1)	N/A	
Implied DCF	\$	241	\$	201	\$	40	20%	

Our total equity compensation expense includes expense associated with awards that will or may be settled in units and awards that will or may be settled in cash. The awards that will or may be settled in units are included in our diluted earnings per unit calculation when the applicable performance criteria have been met. We consider the compensation expense associated with these awards as a selected item impacting comparability as the dilutive impact of the outstanding awards are included in our diluted earnings per unit calculation and the majority of the awards are expected to be settled in units. The compensation expense associated with these awards is shown as a selected item impacting comparability in the table above. The portion of compensation expense associated with awards that are certain to be settled in cash are not considered a selected item impacting comparability. The equity compensation expense attributable to the awards not considered a selected item impacting comparability is approximately \$6 million and \$5 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. See Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a comprehensive discussion regarding our equity compensation plans.

Includes mark-to-market gains and losses resulting from derivative instruments that are related to underlying activities in future periods or the reversal of mark-to-market gains and losses from the prior period. When applicable, inventory valuation adjustments are presented with related derivative activity. See Note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a comprehensive discussion regarding our derivatives and hedging activities.

(3) For the three months ended March 31, 2011, includes (i) significant acquisition related expenses of approximately \$4 million and (ii) insurance deductible related to a property damage incident of approximately \$1 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, includes PNGS contingent consideration fair value adjustment of \$1 million.

(4) Includes distributions that pertain to the current quarter s net income and are to be paid in the subsequent quarter.

35

Table of Contents

Analysis of Operating Segments

Transportation Segment

The following table sets forth the operating results from our transportation segment for the periods indicated:

Operating Results (1)	Three M Ended M		1	Favorable/(Unfavorable)	able)
(in millions, except per barrel amounts)	2011	ai cii 3	2010	\$	%
Revenues (1)					
Tariff activities	\$ 243	\$	225	\$ 18	8%
Trucking	32		25	7	28%
Total transportation revenues	275		250	25	10%
Costs and Expenses (1)					
Trucking costs	(22)		(16)	(6)	(38)%
Field operating costs (excluding equity compensation					
expense)	(91)		(81)	(10)	(12)%
Equity compensation expense - operations (2)	(2)		(3)	1	33%
Segment G&A expenses (excluding equity	, ,		, ,		
compensation expense)	(16)		(17)	1	6%
Equity compensation expense - general and					
administrative (2)	(7)		(7)		%
Equity earnings in unconsolidated entities			1	(1)	(100)%
Segment profit	\$ 137	\$	127	\$ 10	8%
Maintenance capital	\$ 18	\$	7	\$ (11)	(157)%
Segment profit per barrel	\$ 0.51	\$	0.51	\$	%

Average Daily Volumes	Three Mo Ended Ma		Favorable/(Unfavorable) Variance			
(in thousands of barrels per day) (3)	2011	2010	Volumes	%		
Tariff activities						
All American	35	39	(4)	(10)%		
Basin	427	358	69	19%		
Capline	188	159	29	18%		
Line 63/Line 2000	94	110	(16)	(15)%		
Salt Lake City Area Systems	136	128	8	6%		
Permian Basin Area Systems	392	365	27	7%		
Manito	67	61	6	10%		
Rainbow	179	192	(13)	(7)%		
Rangeland	54	48	6	13%		
Refined products	97	115	(18)	(16)%		
Other	1,235	1.130	105			