

GTX INC /DE/
Form 10-K
March 13, 2018

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[GTx, Inc.](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-50549

GTx, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

62-1715807

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**175 Toyota Plaza
7th Floor
Memphis, Tennessee**

38103

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(901) 523-9700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

The Nasdaq Stock Market, LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: GTX INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the closing sales price of the registrant's common stock on June 30, 2017 as reported on The Nasdaq Capital Market was \$32,840,845.

There were 21,855,111 shares of registrant's common stock issued and outstanding as of March 7, 2018.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in connection with the Registrant's 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Special Note Regarding Reverse Stock Split</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Part I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Part II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>Part IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Item 16. Form 10-K Summary</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>Index to Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-1</u>

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in the sections entitled "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Business." These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements about:

the implementation of our business strategies, including our ability to preserve or realize any significant value from our selective androgen receptor modulator, or SARM, and selective androgen receptor degrader, or SARD, programs;

the therapeutic and commercial potential of, and our ability to advance the development of, SARMS and our SARD program;

the timing, scope and anticipated initiation, enrollment and completion of our ongoing clinical trials and any other future clinical trials that we may conduct;

our ability to establish and maintain potential new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements for the development and commercialization of our preclinical and clinical product candidates;

the anticipated progress of our preclinical and clinical programs, including whether our ongoing clinical trials will achieve clinically relevant results;

the timing of regulatory discussions and submissions, and the anticipated timing, scope and outcome of related regulatory actions or guidance;

our ability to obtain and maintain regulatory approvals of our product candidates and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of an approved product candidate;

our ability to market, commercialize and achieve market acceptance for our product candidates;

our ability to protect our intellectual property and operate our business without infringing upon the intellectual property rights of others;

our projected operating and financial performance; and

our estimates regarding the sufficiency of our cash resources, expenses, capital requirements and needs for additional financing, and our ability to obtain additional financing.

In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "projects," "should," "will," "would," and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect our current views with respect to future events, are based on assumptions, and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors. We discuss many of these risks in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in greater detail in the section entitled "Risk Factors" under Part I, Item 1A below. Given these risks, uncertainties and other important factors, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Also, forward-looking statements

Table of Contents

represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. You should read this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents that we incorporate by reference in and have filed as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect.

Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING REVERSE STOCK SPLIT

On December 5, 2016, we effected a one-for-ten reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock, or the Reverse Stock Split. The primary purpose of the Reverse Stock Split was to enable us to regain compliance with the \$1.00 minimum bid price requirement for continued listing on The Nasdaq Capital Market, which compliance was regained on December 20, 2016. At the effective time of the Reverse Stock Split, every ten shares of our issued and outstanding common stock was automatically combined and reclassified into one issued and outstanding share of common stock. No fractional shares of our common stock were issued in the Reverse Stock Split, but in lieu thereof, each holder of our common stock who would otherwise have been entitled to a fraction of a share of our common stock in the Reverse Stock Split received a cash payment. In addition, as a result of the Reverse Stock Split, proportionate adjustments were made to the per share exercise price and/or the number of shares issuable upon the exercise or vesting of all stock options, restricted stock units and warrants issued by GTX and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Reverse Stock Split, which resulted in a proportionate decrease in the number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise or vesting of such stock options, restricted stock units and warrants, and, in the case of stock options and warrants, a proportionate increase in the exercise price of all such stock options and warrants. In addition, the number of shares reserved for issuance under our equity compensation plans immediately prior to the effective time of the Reverse Stock Split was reduced proportionately. Unless otherwise noted, all share and per share information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been retroactively adjusted to give effect to the Reverse Stock Split.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and commercialization of medicines to treat serious and/or significant unmet medical conditions, including stress urinary incontinence and prostate cancer. Our current strategy is focused on the further development of selective androgen receptor modulators, or SARMs, a class of drugs that we believe has the potential to treat serious medical conditions where unmet medical needs in muscle-related diseases may benefit from increasing muscle mass, such as stress urinary incontinence, or SUI. In 2015, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with the University of Tennessee Research Foundation, or UTRF, to develop its proprietary selective androgen receptor degrader, or SARD, technology, which we believe has the potential to provide compounds that can degrade multiple forms of androgen receptor, or AR, by inhibiting tumor growth in patients with progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer, or CRPC, including those patients who do not respond to or are resistant to current therapies.

Business Highlights

Our lead SARM candidate, enobosarm (GTx-024), has to date been evaluated in 25 completed or ongoing clinical trials, including in seven Phase 2 and two Phase 3 clinical trials. These trials, excluding the current placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm for the treatment of SUI, have enrolled over 1,700 subjects, of which approximately 1,200 subjects were treated with enobosarm. Enobosarm is the generic name given to the compound by the USAN Council and the World Health Organization and is the first compound to receive the SARM stem in its name, recognizing enobosarm as the first in this class of compounds.

In 2016, we initiated a Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI. This is the first clinical trial to evaluate a SARM for the treatment of SUI. In this ongoing Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial, enobosarm 3 mg is being assessed as a potential treatment for postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is the average number of SUI episodes per day on the 3-day voiding diary at 12 weeks, compared to baseline.

In the third quarter of 2017, we announced top-line clinical trial results from this proof-of-concept clinical trial demonstrating that a daily dose of enobosarm 3 mg substantially improved SUI in women, as well as related quality of life measurements. In this open-label clinical trial, a total of 19 postmenopausal women were enrolled by three clinical sites to receive enobosarm treatment. Of the 18 evaluable patients completing the required 12 weeks of daily treatment, all saw a clinically meaningful reduction (50 percent or greater) in stress leaks per day, compared to baseline. Additionally, data from the 18 evaluable patients completing treatment showed a mean decrease in stress leaks per day of 81 percent overall (5.17 mean leaks/day at baseline to 1.0 mean leaks/day at 12 weeks). Patients are being followed for up to an additional seven months post-treatment to assess the durability of treatment effect. Further, women reported improved quality of life measurements at 12 weeks of treatment in various instruments collected in the clinical trial.

In March 2018, we announced updated results from this proof-of-concept clinical trial noting that to date, no patient, including 9 patients who have reached seven months post-treatment, has returned to her baseline level of SUI episodes. Additionally, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, was used to quantitatively measure muscle in the pelvic floor of 17 women at 12 weeks compared to baseline. The

Table of Contents

results demonstrated a statistically significant increase in pelvic floor muscle thickness and urethral muscle diameter after enobosarm treatment and support the mechanism of action of enobosarm on the pelvic floor. Further, while all of the women in the trial had predominant SUI, 11 of the 18 women completing 12 weeks of treatment were determined to have both SUI and urge incontinence, or UI, at baseline, and these 11 women with mixed incontinence demonstrated a mean reduction in their UI episodes of approximately 68 percent. 9 of 11 women demonstrated a reduction in their number of UI leaks, compared to baseline, with 8 of 11 demonstrating a clinically meaningful reduction in their UI episodes per day of at least 50 percent.

In this SUI proof-of-concept clinical trial, there were no serious adverse events reported and reported adverse events were minimal and included headaches, nausea, fatigue, hot flashes, insomnia, muscle weakness and acne. Mild transient elevations in liver enzymes that were within normal limits were observed, except for one patient with levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal which returned to normal following her 12-week treatment period. Reductions in total cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins, or LDL, high-density lipoproteins, or HDL, and triglycerides were also observed.

Based on the results from our enobosarm Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial, in the third quarter of 2017, we initiated a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial at over 60 clinical trial centers in the United States to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of enobosarm treatment. The trial will evaluate the safety and efficacy of enobosarm (1 mg and 3 mg) compared with placebo in approximately 400 postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is a comparison of the percentage of responders between each treatment arm and placebo where a responder is defined as a patient with at least a 50 percent reduction in mean leaks per day at week 12 compared to baseline. We anticipate top-line data from this trial to be available in the second half of 2018.

We commenced enrollment in 2015 in a Phase 2 clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a 9 mg and 18 mg dose of enobosarm in patients whose advanced breast cancer is both estrogen receptor, or ER, positive and AR positive. We announced in November 2016 that enobosarm achieved the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint in the 9 mg dose cohort with 9 patients achieving a clinical benefit response (CBR), defined as a complete response, partial response, or stable disease, among the first 22 evaluable patients in that cohort. In November 2017, we announced that in the 9 mg cohort, a total of 14 patients achieved a CBR following 24 weeks of treatment. We also announced in November of 2017 that the 18 mg cohort achieved the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint as 12 patients achieved a CBR at 24 weeks. Although both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts met the primary efficacy endpoint in the Phase 2 clinical trial, after evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, we have decided that the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval in this indication does not warrant further development of enobosarm in this indication at this time.

In 2015, we also commenced enrollment in a Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of an 18 mg dose of enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive triple-negative breast cancer, or TNBC. This clinical trial was conducted utilizing a Simon's two-stage trial design whereby if at least 2 of the first 21 patients achieved clinical benefit, the trial was designed to enroll the second stage, which would result in enrolling 41 evaluable patients in the clinical trial. During the third quarter of 2017, we completed our review of the data from the first stage of the clinical trial. While our review of the data did not raise any safety concerns, it did confirm that there

Table of Contents

were insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue this clinical trial, and we are in the process of closing down the clinical trial.

We have also evaluated several SARM compounds in preclinical models of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, or DMD, where a SARM's ability to increase muscle mass may prove beneficial to patients suffering from DMD, which is a rare disease characterized by progressive muscle degeneration and weakness. In order to further the development of a SARM for the treatment of DMD, we will need to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications.

With respect to SARDs, we believe this class of assets has the potential to treat prostate cancer, as well as other diseases such as benign prostatic hyperplasia and Kennedy's disease. We envision initially developing SARDs as a potentially novel treatment for men with CRPC, including those who do not respond or are resistant to currently approved therapies. Our evaluation of the SARD program is at an early stage. We have ongoing mechanistic preclinical studies to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial.

Our ability to pursue the continued development of SARMS and our SARD program is contingent upon our ability to obtain additional funding. Accordingly, we are actively seeking additional funds through potential collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements to provide us with the necessary resources for the development of all of our preclinical and clinical product candidates. We may not be successful in entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unsuccessful in establishing such arrangements and we are otherwise unable to raise substantial additional capital, we will likely need to alter, delay or abandon our product candidate development plans.

**Scientific Background on Estrogen and Androgen Hormones,
Selective Hormone Receptor Modulators, and Selective Androgen Receptor Degraders**

Estrogens and androgens are hormones that play critical roles in regulating the reproductive system and contributing to the homeostasis of the muscular, skeletal, cardiovascular, metabolic and central nervous systems.

Testosterone, the predominant androgen, is important for masculine physical characteristics, such as muscle size and strength and bone strength, as well as for mental well-being. Testosterone is converted into a more potent androgen, dihydrotestosterone, or DHT, which acts as the primary androgen in the prostate, sebaceous glands and hair follicles, and may cause unwanted effects like benign prostatic hyperplasia, or BPH, acne and hair loss. In aging men, there typically is a gradual decline in testosterone levels, which contributes to a loss of muscle mass and strength, erectile dysfunction, decreased sexual interest, depression and mood changes.

Estrogens and androgens perform their physiologic functions principally by binding to and activating their respective hormone receptors located in various tissues. Once a hormone binds with its receptor, this activates a series of cellular events that results in the hormone specific tissue effects.

Pharmaceuticals that target estrogen or androgen receptors have been used medically for over 50 years. The drugs that have been used to stimulate androgen receptors are either natural or synthetic hormones, known as anabolic/androgenic steroids. Steroids are generally believed to activate hormone receptors in all tissue types in a non-selective manner resulting in not only beneficial effects but also in unwanted clinical effects. Hair growth, acne and masculinization are also of concern in women who are exposed to exogenous testosterone. The lack of selectivity of testosterone and its conversion to DHT

Table of Contents

may result in unwanted side effects, such as the potential stimulation of latent into clinical prostate cancer, worsening of BPH, development or worsening of acne, or loss of hair. To date, no orally available testosterone products have been approved for use in the United States. Those testosterone products that are available must be administered by intramuscular injections or by transdermal patches or gels that may not be convenient for patients and, in some cases, can result in inconsistent blood levels of testosterone.

There are also classes of small molecules that are not steroids that can bind to the same hormone receptors. These nonsteroidal small molecules may either stimulate or block hormone receptors depending on the type of tissue in which the receptor is found and the interaction of the small molecule with the receptor. A drug that has the ability to either block or stimulate the hormone receptor in this manner is called a selective hormone receptor modulator. A selective hormone receptor modulator may be able to mimic the beneficial, while minimizing the unwanted, effects of natural or synthetic steroid hormones.

A SARM is a small molecule that binds to and selectively modulates androgen receptors, the primary receptor to which testosterone binds. We believe that SARMS could be utilized in place of androgens for various medical conditions while avoiding the unwanted androgenic effects in the prostate in men or in the skin and hair in men and women. In previous studies, SARMS have been shown to decrease bone breakdown and increase muscle mass. Although no SARMS have been commercialized to date, we believe that SARMS, without the harmful side effects of testosterone or other exogenous anabolic steroid therapies, can potentially be developed to treat a range of medical conditions, including:

SUI, as well as mixed incontinence (SUI and UI);

muscle loss conditions of chronic diseases, such as cancer, AIDS, chronic kidney disease, end-stage renal disease, and neurodegenerative disorders;

muscle loss in acute conditions such as trauma, burns, and rehabilitation;

muscle loss conditions associated with aging, such as frailty and chronic sarcopenia;

the prevention and/or treatment of osteoporosis;

disorders of the central nervous system, such as low libido in both men and women;

low testosterone conditions, such as primary and secondary hypogonadism; and

disorders of male reproductive functions, such as infertility and erectile dysfunction.

SARDs are a novel class of drugs. The AR is a major driver of prostate tumor cell proliferation, and blocking its activity is a therapeutic target. Despite the use of therapies designed to inhibit the AR pathway in men with advanced prostate cancer, a significant number of men have tumors that do not respond to such therapeutic approaches and/or become resistant to them. This lack of response may be due to the presence of forms of the AR (splice variants and mutated) for which these therapies are not effective.

SARDs are designed to not only bind to androgen receptors, but also induce androgen receptor degradation and ultimately inhibit tumor cell growth. Selective AR degradation which targets the N-terminus may be an effective therapeutic strategy where a variant or mutated AR can be degraded by the SARD. This ability to circumvent common drug resistance in prostate cancer patients may provide an important tool for effective new treatments.

Table of Contents**Product Development Programs**

The following table identifies the development phase and status for each of our clinical and preclinical product development programs:

Product Candidate/ Proposed Indication	Program	Development Phase	Status
Enobosarm Treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI (1 mg and 3 mg)	SARM	Phase 2	Conducting an ongoing Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm (3 mg) in postmenopausal women with SUI. Top-line data announced in the third quarter of 2017; updated data announced in March 2018. Currently enrolling a placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm (1 mg and 3 mg) to treat postmenopausal women with SUI. Top-line data is expected to be available in the second half of 2018.
Enobosarm Treatment of women with ER positive/AR positive advanced breast cancer (9 mg and 18 mg)	SARM	Phase 2	Conducting an ongoing Phase 2 open-label clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in patients whose advanced breast cancer is both ER positive and AR positive. Achieved primary efficacy endpoint in both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts.
Enobosarm Treatment of women with advanced AR positive TNBC (18 mg)	SARM	Phase 2	Currently closing down the Phase 2 open-label proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive TNBC as there were insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue the trial.
SARMs Treatment of DMD	SARM	Preclinical	Preclinical research has been conducted to assess the potential of a SARM to treat DMD.
SARDs Treatment of castration resistant prostate cancer	SARD	Preclinical	Preclinical studies are ongoing to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial.

Table of Contents**SARMs*****Enobosarm for the Potential Treatment of Postmenopausal Women with Stress Urinary Incontinence***

Scientific Overview. SUI is the involuntary leakage of urine during activities such as coughing, laughing, sneezing, exercising or other movements that increase intra-abdominal pressure and thus increase pressure on the bladder. In women, physical changes resulting from pregnancy, childbirth, and menopause often contribute to stress incontinence predominantly through the weakening of the pelvic floor muscles. We view this as a unique opportunity given the enrichment of the pelvic floor muscles with androgen receptors and the demonstrated effects that our SARMs have on building muscle. We have completed a series of preclinical studies to determine the effect of some of our SARMs on pelvic floor muscle mass. These preclinical studies have shown that in ovariectomized mice (a model that simulates a postmenopausal condition), there were statistically significant increases in pelvic floor muscle mass, compared to control groups, indicating that SARMs may potentially provide a treatment option for postmenopausal women suffering from SUI.

Potential Market. SUI affects up to 35% of adult women. Currently, there are no orally available, effective treatment options for SUI in the United States. Treatment is limited to physical therapy to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles, surgery to help augment or support the pelvic floor muscles, bulking agents injected into the urethra of the bladder and implantable devices which aim to minimize the leakage of urine under stress. Other than physical therapy, each of these other treatment modalities is invasive with risks and complications. Accordingly, we believe there is an unmet medical need for new safe and effective therapies in this space.

Clinical Trial. In 2016, we initiated a Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI. This is the first clinical trial to evaluate a SARM for the treatment of SUI. In this ongoing Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial, enobosarm 3 mg is being assessed as a potential treatment for postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is the average number of SUI episodes per day on the 3-day voiding diary at 12 weeks, compared to baseline. In the third quarter of 2017, we announced top-line clinical trial results from this trial demonstrating that a daily dose of enobosarm 3 mg substantially improved SUI in women, as well as related quality of life measurements. In this open-label clinical trial, a total of 19 postmenopausal women were enrolled by three clinical sites to receive enobosarm treatment. Of the 18 evaluable patients completing the required 12 weeks of daily treatment, all saw a clinically meaningful reduction (50 percent or greater) in stress leaks per day, compared to baseline. Additionally, data from the 18 evaluable patients completing treatment showed a mean decrease in stress leaks per day of 81 percent overall (5.17 mean leaks/day at baseline to 1.0 mean leaks/day at 12 weeks). Patients are being followed for up to an additional seven months post-treatment to assess the durability of treatment effect, and to date, no patient, including 9 patients who have reached seven months post-treatment, has returned to her baseline of SUI episodes. In addition, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, was used to quantitatively measure muscle in the pelvic floor of 17 women at 12 weeks from baseline. The results demonstrated a statistically significant increase in pelvic floor muscle thickness and urethral diameter after enobosarm treatment and support the mechanism of action of enobosarm on the pelvic floor. The trial results also suggest enobosarm may be effective in both SUI and UI. While all of the women in the trial had predominant SUI, 11 of the 18 women completing 12 weeks of treatment were determined to have both SUI and urge incontinence, or UI, at baseline, and these 11 women with mixed incontinence demonstrated a mean reduction in their UI episodes of approximately 68 percent. 9 of 11 women demonstrated a reduction in their number of UI leaks, compared to baseline, with 8 of 11 demonstrating a clinically meaningful reduction in their UI episodes per day of at least 50 percent. Additionally, women reported improved quality of life measurements at 12 weeks of treatment in various instruments collected in the clinical trial, including the Patient Global Impression of

Table of Contents

Improvement (PGI-I), Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI-6), Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7) and Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI).

In this SUI proof-of concept clinical trial, there were no serious adverse events reported and reported adverse events were minimal and included headaches, nausea, fatigue, hot flashes, insomnia, muscle weakness and acne. Mild transient elevations in liver enzymes that were within normal limits were observed, except for one patient with levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal which returned to normal following her 12-week treatment period. Reductions in total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglycerides were also observed.

Based on the results from our enobosarm Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial, in the third quarter of 2017, we initiated a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial at over 60 clinical trial centers in the United States to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of enobosarm treatment. The trial will evaluate the safety and efficacy of enobosarm (1 mg and 3 mg) compared with placebo in approximately 400 postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is a comparison of the percentage of responders between each treatment arm and placebo where a responder is defined as a patient with at least a 50 percent reduction in mean leaks per day at week 12 compared to baseline. We anticipate top-line data from this trial to be available in the second half of 2018. Continued development of enobosarm in SUI apart from our ongoing Phase 2 placebo-controlled clinical trial would require us to obtain additional funding.

SARMs for the Potential Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

Scientific Overview. We have evaluated several SARM compounds, including enobosarm, in preclinical models of DMD where a SARM's ability to increase muscle mass may prove beneficial to patients suffering from DMD, which is a rare genetic disorder characterized by progressive muscle degeneration and weakness. Symptom onset is in early childhood, usually between the ages of three and five, and the disease primarily affects boys. The DMD gene is the largest known gene in the human genome and, as a result, it is susceptible to mutations. These mutations can be inherited from a boy's mother, but approximately one-third of the mutations are spontaneous. The resulting disease is caused by the production of a dysfunctional, or completely non-functional, protein called dystrophin, which helps keep muscle cells intact. Until recently, boys with DMD did not survive much beyond their teen years, but with advances in cardiac and respiratory care, survival into the early thirties is becoming more common. DMD remains an unmet medical need and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, has recently issued guidance affirming FDA's interest in finding new treatment options for this disease. We believe that a SARM may be a viable therapeutic option for the treatment of DMD, including in combination with therapies that can potentially modify the underlying genetic defect.

Potential Market. The incidence of all the various manifestations of the disease is approximately 1 in 4,000 male births. Promising research is ongoing in the areas of modifying or correcting the genetic defect in DMD with some encouraging results. Other approaches include anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant therapies, enhancement of utrophin expression and myostatin inhibitors; however, we believe there is still room for continued therapeutic advances.

Preclinical Development. In our preclinical studies, we have observed the beneficial effects from SARMs in mice genetically altered to simulate DMD, compared to control groups. DMD mice were treated with three different SARM compounds, including enobosarm, and each cohort demonstrated increases in body weight, muscle mass, muscle performance (grip strength) and cardiac function

Table of Contents

compared to control groups. In order to further the development of a SARM for the treatment of DMD, we will need to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications.

SARDs

SARDs for the Potential Treatment of Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer

Scientific Overview. In March 2015, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with the UTRF to develop SARD compounds that may be capable of degrading multiple forms of the AR. We believe SARDs have the potential to treat prostate cancer, as well as other diseases such as benign prostatic hyperplasia and Kennedy's disease. We envision initially developing SARDs as a potentially novel treatment for men with CRPC, including those who do not respond or are resistant to currently approved therapies. Although current therapies have improved overall survival in men with CRPC, approximately one-third of the CRPC patients do not respond to these therapies, due in part to the presence of splice variants, including AR-V7, as well as mutations in the androgen receptor. Splice variants of the androgen receptor have been identified in which the ligand binding domain, the binding site for androgens and necessary for the action of many of the current therapies, is lost. In addition, most patients who initially respond to available treatments eventually progress due to the emergence of resistance to these therapies. It is believed that CRPC growth remains highly dependent on androgen receptor activity, although the mechanisms which underlie this resistance are not fully understood. We believe a therapeutic agent that would safely degrade multiple forms of the androgen receptor, including those without the ligand binding domain, would be uniquely positioned to address this patient population.

Potential Market. In the United States alone, we believe there are approximately 80,000 men who have developed resistance to luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone, or LHRH, therapies and therefore have CRPC but who have not received chemotherapy. We believe there are approximately 36,000 men diagnosed each year with metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer. Zytiga® and XTANDI® are currently the only drugs approved for the treatment of metastatic CRPC in patients who have not yet received chemotherapy, although several other drugs are in clinical development for this indication. We believe new hormonal therapies in development, if approved, will be used prior to chemotherapy as physicians and patients look for treatment options capable of delaying cancer progression and possibly prolonging survival prior to chemotherapy.

Preclinical Development. Our evaluation of the SARD program is at an early stage. We have ongoing mechanistic preclinical studies to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial. Our ability to pursue the continued development of our SARD program is contingent upon our ability to obtain additional funding. Accordingly, we are actively seeking additional funds through potential collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements to provide us with the necessary resources for further development of the SARD program.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to discover, develop and commercialize medicines to treat serious and/or significant unmet medical conditions, including SUI and prostate cancer. Key elements of our strategy to achieve these objectives are to:

Pursue Development of SARMs for SUI. We are evaluating enobosarm for the treatment of SUI in a Phase 2 placebo-controlled clinical trial, which was initiated in the third quarter of 2017. We currently anticipate obtaining data from this clinical trial in the second half of 2018 to enable us to determine if continued development of enobosarm in SUI is warranted. Continued development of enobosarm in

Table of Contents

SUI apart from our ongoing Phase 2 placebo-controlled clinical trial would require us to obtain additional funding.

Continue Evaluation of SARD Program. This class of assets is being evaluated as a potentially novel treatment for men with castration-resistant prostate cancer, including those who do not respond or are resistant to currently approved therapies. We are currently implementing an appropriate development program for SARDs and have ongoing mechanistic preclinical studies to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial. We will require additional funding to initiate and complete any further development of the SARD program.

Pursue Partnering, Collaborative or Other Strategic Arrangements for the Continued Development of Our Product Candidates. Our ability to pursue the continued development of SARMs and our SARD program is contingent upon our ability to obtain additional funding. Accordingly, our strategy includes selectively partnering, collaborating or entering into other strategic arrangements with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to assist us in furthering development and potential commercialization of our product candidates and to provide funding for such activities.

Licenses and Collaborative Relationships

In addition to our internally-developed and discovered small molecules, we have established and intend to continue to pursue licenses from, partnering, and collaborative or other strategic relationships with academic institutions and with other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. While we currently have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates, our strategy includes selectively partnering, collaborating or entering into other strategic arrangements with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to assist us in furthering the development and potential commercialization of our product candidates and to provide funding for such activities.

In July 2007, we and UTRF entered into a consolidated, amended and restated license agreement, or the SARM License Agreement, to consolidate and replace our two previously existing SARM license agreements with UTRF and to modify and expand certain rights and obligations of each of the parties under both license agreements. Pursuant to the SARM License Agreement, we were granted exclusive worldwide rights in all existing SARM technologies owned or controlled by UTRF, including enobosarm, and certain improvements thereto, and exclusive rights to certain future SARM technology that may be developed by certain scientists at the University of Tennessee or subsequently licensed to UTRF under certain existing inter-institutional agreements with The Ohio State University. Unless terminated earlier, the term of the SARM License Agreement will continue, on a country-by-country basis, for the longer of 20 years or until the expiration of the last valid claim of any licensed patent in the particular country in which a licensed product is being sold. UTRF may terminate the SARM License Agreement for our uncured breach or upon our bankruptcy.

Under the SARM License Agreement, we paid UTRF a one-time, upfront fee of \$290,000 as consideration for entering into the SARM License Agreement. We are also obligated to pay UTRF annual license maintenance fees, low single-digit royalties on net sales of products and mid-single-digit royalties on sublicense revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we paid UTRF a sublicense royalty of approximately \$1.9 million as a result of our previous collaboration with Merck & Co., Inc. We also agreed to pay all expenses to file, prosecute and maintain the patents relating to the licensed SARM technologies, and are obligated to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize products based on the licensed SARM technologies. In December 2008, we and UTRF amended the SARM License Agreement, or the SARM License Amendment, to, among other things, clarify the treatment of certain payments that we may receive from our current and future

Table of Contents

sublicensees for purposes of determining sublicense fees payable to UTRF, including the treatment of payments made to us in exchange for the sale of our securities in connection with sublicensing arrangements. In consideration for the execution of the SARM License Amendment, we paid UTRF \$494,000.

We and UTRF also entered into a license agreement, or the SARD License Agreement, in March 2015 pursuant to which we were granted exclusive worldwide rights in all existing SARD technologies owned or controlled by UTRF, including all improvements thereto. Under the SARD License Agreement, we are obligated to employ active, diligent efforts to conduct preclinical research and development activities for the SARD program to advance one or more lead compounds into clinical development. We are also obligated to pay UTRF annual license maintenance fees, low single-digit royalties on net sales of products and additional royalties on sublicense revenues, depending on the state of development of a clinical product candidate at the time it is sublicensed. Unless terminated earlier, the term of the SARD License Agreement will continue, on a country-by-country basis, until the expiration of the last valid claim of any licensed patent in the particular country in which a licensed patent is granted. UTRF may terminate the SARM License Agreement for our uncured breach or upon our bankruptcy.

Manufacturing

We do not currently own or operate manufacturing facilities, and we rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of our product candidates.

There are no complicated chemistries or unusual equipment required in the manufacturing process for either enobosarm or SARDs. The active ingredient in enobosarm is manufactured using a five-step synthetic process that uses commercially available starting materials for each step. Enobosarm drug product is manufactured using conventional manufacturing technology without the use of novel excipients. We rely on third-party vendors for drug substance and drug product manufacturing, including drug substance for SARDs used in our preclinical studies.

Competition

The biotechnology and biopharmaceutical industries are characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. We face competition from many different sources, including commercial pharmaceutical and biotechnology enterprises, academic institutions, government agencies and private and public research institutions.

Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. Our commercial opportunities will be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize similar products that are safer, more effective, have fewer side effects or are less expensive than any products that we and/or our collaborators may develop.

Table of Contents

SARMs

SARMs for the Potential Treatment of Postmenopausal Women with Stress Urinary Incontinence

We are currently focused on the development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI. There are a variety of treatments that may be used for SUI in women; however, currently, there are no available oral treatment options approved for the treatment of SUI in the United States. Behavioral modification and pelvic floor physical therapy are common initial treatment approaches. Bulking agents, including carbon coated beads (Durasphere® marketed by Coloplast Corp), calcium hydroxylapatite (Coaptite® marketed by BioForm Medical, Inc.) and silicon (Macropastique® marketed by Cogentix Medical), can be injected into or around the urethra for treating intrinsic sphincter deficiency, a cause of SUI symptoms. Biologic bulking agents including patient-derived adipose stem cells and autologous muscle-derived stem cells (Cook Myosite) are being developed. Recently, an over-the-counter vaginal pessary (Impressa® marketed by Kimberly-Clark) has been approved for the temporary management of urine leakage in women with SUI. Finally, surgical procedures (e.g. sling; bladder neck suspension) have been demonstrated to be effective in some women.

SARMs for the Potential Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

DMD is a rare genetic disorder which currently has no cure and leads to a progressive weakening of all the muscles in the body. A number of drugs are in various stages of development by pharmaceutical companies to meet the unmet medical need in DMD. These drugs may compete for patient enrollment during the clinical trial phase, should we be able to advance the development of SARMs as a potential treatment of DMD, or commercially if approved. The most advanced development is by those companies who are targeting the genetic mutation with exon skipping or codon blocking therapies including eteplirsen by Sarepta Therapeutics Inc. (FDA approved) and DS-1541b, by Daiichi Sankyo Co which is in a Phase 2 clinical trial. Deflazacort, a glucocorticoid by PTC Therapeutics, is FDA approved. Santhera Pharmaceuticals has initiated an expanded access program in the United States with its synthetic analog of coenzyme Q₁₀, idebenone. Eli Lilly and Company completed a Phase 3 trial with tadalafil, a PDE5 inhibitor, although the study did not meet its primary endpoint. Pfizer Inc. is developing its anti-myostatin monoclonal antibody, PF-06252616, and is currently in a Phase 2 trial. Bristol Myers Squibb Company is developing BMS 986089, an anti-myostatin adnectin, and currently has a Phase 2/3 trial ongoing. Italfarmco S.p.A. has a Phase 3 trial ongoing with givinostat, an HDAC inhibitor. Summit Therapeutics PLC has an ongoing Phase 2 trial with ezutromid, an utrophin upregulator. Cardero Therapeutics Inc. is planning a Phase 2 trial with epicatechin, a flavanol. In addition, Akashi Therapeutics is developing two compounds for DMD, one of which is a SARM. Tarix Orphan is developing TXA127, an angiotensin 1-7 peptide. Fibrogen is developing FG-3019, a monoclonal antibody which inhibits connective tissue growth factor. Catabasis Pharmaceuticals Inc. is developing CAT-1004, an NF-KB inhibitor. ReveraGen Biopharma Inc. has initiated a Phase 2 trial in DMD with VPB 15, a novel glucocorticoid. Capricor Therapeutics has an ongoing Phase 1/2 trial with CAP 1002, cardiosphere derived cells. Solid GT and Sarepta Therapeutics initiated Phase 1/2 trials with adenovirus based microdystrophin products in late 2017.

SARDs for the Potential Treatment of CRPC

We have entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with UTRF to develop its proprietary SARD technology which we believe has the potential to provide compounds that can degrade multiple forms of the AR by inhibiting tumor growth in patients with CRPC, including those patients who do not respond or are resistant to current therapies. Drugs in commercial development having potentially similar approaches to removing the AR by degradation include Arvinas Inc.'s

Table of Contents

ARV-110, which is a chimera with an AR binding moiety on one end and an E3 ligase recruiting element on the other that is in preclinical development for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer, and Androscience Corporation's androgen receptor degrader enhancer, or ARD, which is currently in development for acne and alopecia with the potential for development as a treatment for prostate cancer. Additionally, Essa Pharma Inc. recently completed a Phase 1 study with EPI-506, an AR antagonist that targets the N-terminal domain of the AR, and has plans to develop a second generation agent. C4 Therapeutics, Inc. is developing degronimids as means to degrade the AR through the ligand binding domain associated degradation. CellCentric is developing therapies that target the histone methyltransferase enzyme to lower AR levels and Oric Pharmaceuticals is targeting the glucocorticoid receptor as a means to impact men that have CRPC. In addition to this specific potential mechanistic competition, there are various products approved or under clinical development in the broader space of treating men with advanced prostate cancer who have metastatic CRPC which may compete with our proposed initial clinical objective for our SARM compounds. Pfizer and Astellas Pharma market XTANDI® (enzalutamide), an oral androgen receptor antagonist, for the treatment of metastatic CRPC in men previously treated with docetaxel as well as those that have not yet received chemotherapy. Zytiga®, sold by Johnson & Johnson, has been approved for the treatment of metastatic CRPC. Similarly, Johnson & Johnson acquired Aragon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which developed a second generation anti-androgen apalutamide (ARN-509) which has recently had an NDA submitted to the FDA for the treatment of men with non-metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer. Bayer HealthCare and Orion Corporation are currently performing a Phase 3 study of darolutamide (ODM-201) in men with CRPC without metastases and with a rising PSA examining safety and efficacy by measuring metastatic free survival. Another target in prostate cancer that is being pursued by several companies is bromodomain inhibition. Zenith Epigenetics, Gilead Sciences Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline are evaluating BET inhibitors in Phase 1-2 trials.

Intellectual Property

We will be able to protect our technology from unauthorized use by third parties only to the extent it is covered by valid and enforceable patents or is effectively maintained as trade secrets. Patents and other proprietary rights are an essential element of our business.

For enobosarm and our other SARM compounds, we have an exclusive license from UTRF under its issued patents and pending patent applications in the United States, Canada, Australia, Japan, China and other countries in Asia, before the European Patent Office designating Germany, Great Britain, Spain, France, Italy, and other European Union countries, as well as in certain other countries outside those regions, covering the composition of matter of the active pharmaceutical ingredient for pharmaceutical products, pharmaceutical compositions and methods of synthesizing the active pharmaceutical ingredients. We have also exclusively licensed from UTRF issued and pending patent applications in the United States, Canada, Australia, Japan, China and other countries in Asia, before the European Patent Office designating Germany, Great Britain, Spain, France, Italy and other European Union countries, as well as in certain other countries outside those regions, related to methods for treating muscle wasting disorders, including DMD and cancer cachexia, and for treating conditions such as SUI and fecal incontinence, as well as sarcopenia, and increasing muscle performance, muscle size and muscle strength and increasing the strength of or mass of a bone and for treating bone related disorders, including bone frailty and osteoporosis. Issued patents for enobosarm composition of matter that we licensed from UTRF and issued in the United States expire in 2024. Issued patents for composition of matter for our other SARM compounds in the United States will expire from 2021-2029, depending on the specific SARM compound. The issued patents outside of the United States for enobosarm expire in 2025, and with respect to other SARM compounds, expire in 2023 and 2027, depending on the specific SARM compound. We have pending patent applications directed to composition of matter and methods of use for our other SARM compounds that, if issued,

Table of Contents

would expire in the United States and in countries outside the United States in 2027. We have issued patents in the United States, and issued patents and pending applications in countries outside the United States for enobosarm and certain other SARM compounds as a feed composition for animals. The patents in the United States will expire in 2025. Issued patents outside the United States, and patent applications, if issued, which are pending outside the United States, will expire in 2027 or 2031 depending on the country. Patent applications which are pending in the United States and outside the United States using SARMs for SUI and pelvic floor disorders will expire in 2035, if the patents are issued. Our issued patent in the United States using enobosarm for DMD will expire in 2021. Our issued patent in the United States using other SARMs for DMD will expire in 2024. Patent applications, if issued, which are pending in the United States, using other SARMs for DMD will expire in 2024 or 2027 depending on the SARM.

We have our own issued patents and pending patent applications in the United States, Canada, Australia, Europe, Japan, China and other countries in Asia, as well as in certain other countries outside those regions, related to solid forms of enobosarm. Issued patents covering solid forms of enobosarm in the United States will expire in 2029. Issued patents and pending patent applications, if issued, in countries outside of the United States will expire in 2028. We have our own pending patent applications and issued patents in the United States and in Europe, Canada, Australia, Japan, China and other countries in Asia related to methods of treating breast cancer using our SARM compounds. Such patents and patent applications, if issued, would expire in 2033 in the United States and outside of the United States. We have issued patents in the United States directed to androgen receptor positive breast cancer in general, various categories of estrogen receptor and androgen receptor positive breast cancer, as well as triple negative breast cancer.

For our SARD compounds and methods of use thereof, we have filed certain patent applications and are the exclusive licensee of worldwide rights for the SARD technology under a license agreement with UTRF executed in 2015. Thus far we have only three issued patents all in the United States. The patents and patent applications (if are issued) will expire between 2036 and 2037.

We cannot be certain that any of our pending patent applications, or those of UTRF, will result in issued patents. In addition, because the patent positions of biopharmaceutical companies are highly uncertain and involve complex legal and factual questions, the patents we own and license, or any further patents we may own or license, may not prevent other companies from developing similar or therapeutically equivalent products. Patents also will not protect our product candidates if competitors devise ways of making or using these product candidates without legally infringing our patents. In recent years, several companies have been extremely aggressive in challenging patents covering pharmaceutical products, and the challenges have often been successful. We cannot be assured that our patents will not be challenged by third parties or that we will be successful in any defense we undertake. Failure to successfully defend a patent challenge could materially and adversely affect our business.

In addition, changes in patent laws, rules or regulations or in their interpretations in the United States and other countries by the courts may materially diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We also rely on trade secrets, technical know-how and continuing innovation to develop and maintain our competitive position. We seek to protect our proprietary information by requiring our employees, consultants, contractors, outside scientific collaborators and other advisors to execute non-disclosure and confidentiality agreements and our employees to execute assignment of invention agreements to us on commencement of their employment. Agreements with our employees also prevent them from bringing any proprietary rights of third parties to us. We also require confidentiality or material transfer agreements from third parties that receive our confidential data or materials.

Table of Contents

Government Regulation

New Drug Development and Approval Process

Numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries extensively regulate the testing, clinical development, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products and ongoing research and development activities. In the United States, the FDA rigorously reviews pharmaceutical products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and applicable regulations. Non-compliance with FDA regulations can result in administrative and judicial sanctions, including warning or untitled letters, clinical holds, fines, recall or seizure of products, injunctions, total or partial suspension of production, refusal of the government to approve marketing applications or allow entry into supply contracts, refusal to permit import or export of products, civil penalties, criminal prosecution and other actions affecting a company and its products. The FDA also has the authority to revoke previously granted marketing authorizations.

To secure FDA approval, an applicant must submit extensive preclinical and clinical data, as well as information about product manufacturing processes and facilities and other supporting information to the FDA for each indication to establish a product candidate's safety and efficacy. The development and approval process takes many years, requires the expenditure of substantial resources and may be subject to delays or limitations of approval or rejection of an applicant's new drug application, or NDA. Even if the FDA approves a product, the approval is subject to post-marketing surveillance, adverse drug experience and other recordkeeping and reporting obligations, and may involve ongoing requirements for post-marketing studies. The FDA also has authority to place conditions on any approvals that could restrict the commercial applications, advertising, promotion or distribution of these products. Product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if problems occur following initial marketing.

Preclinical and Clinical Testing

Preclinical studies involve laboratory evaluation of product characteristics and animal studies to assess the biological activity and safety of the product. In some cases, long-term preclinical studies are conducted while clinical studies are ongoing. The FDA, under its Good Laboratory Practices regulations, regulates preclinical studies. Violations of these regulations can, in some cases, lead to invalidation of the studies, requiring these studies to be replicated. When the preclinical testing is considered adequate by the sponsor to demonstrate the safety and scientific rationale for initial human studies, the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information and analytical data, are submitted to the FDA as part of an Investigational New Drug application, or IND. The IND becomes effective, if not rejected by the FDA, within 30 days after the FDA receives the IND. The FDA may, either during the 30-day period after filing of an IND or at any future time, impose a clinical hold on proposed or ongoing clinical trials on various grounds, including that the study subjects are or would be exposed to an unreasonable and significant health risk. If the FDA imposes a clinical hold, clinical trials cannot commence or recommence without FDA authorization and then only under terms authorized by the FDA.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational product candidates to humans under the supervision of a qualified principal investigator. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practices under protocols submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. In addition, each clinical trial must be approved and conducted under the auspices of an Investigational Review Board, or IRB, and with patient informed consent. The IRB typically considers, among other things, ethical factors and the safety of human subjects.

Table of Contents

Clinical trials are conducted in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. Phase 1 clinical trials usually involve healthy human subjects. The goal of a Phase I clinical trial is to establish initial data about the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic properties of the product candidates in humans. In Phase 2 clinical trials, controlled studies are conducted on an expanded population of patients with the targeted disease. The primary purpose of these tests is to evaluate the initial effectiveness of the drug candidate on the intended target and to determine if there are any side effects or other risks associated with the drug and to determine the optimal dose of the drug from the safety and efficacy profile developed from the clinical study. Phase 3 trials involve even larger patient populations, often with several hundred or even several thousand patients, depending on the use for which the drug is being studied. Phase 3 trials are intended to establish the overall risk-benefit ratio of the drug and provide, if appropriate, an adequate basis for product labeling. During all clinical trials, physicians monitor the patients to determine effectiveness and to observe and report any reactions or other safety risks that may result from use of the drug candidate.

Product Formulation and Manufacture

Concurrent with clinical trials and preclinical studies, companies must develop information about the chemistry and physical characteristics of the drug and finalize a process for manufacturing the product. In addition, manufacturers, including contract manufacturers, are required to comply with current applicable FDA Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, regulations. The cGMP regulations include requirements relating to quality control and quality assurance, as well as the corresponding maintenance of records and documentation. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product and the manufacturer must develop methods for testing the quality, purity and potency of the final drugs. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested and chemistry stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the product does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf-life.

Compliance with cGMP regulations also is a condition of new drug application approval. The FDA must approve manufacturing facilities before they can be used in the commercial manufacture of drug products. In addition, manufacturing establishments are subject to pre-approval inspections and unannounced periodic inspections.

New Drug Application Process

After the completion of the clinical trial phases of development, if the sponsor concludes that there is substantial evidence that the drug candidate is safe and effective for its intended use, the sponsor may submit a NDA to the FDA. The application must contain all of the information on the drug candidate gathered to that date, including data from the clinical trials, and be accompanied by a user fee.

Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, submission of a NDA with clinical data requires payment of a fee, with some exceptions. In return, the FDA assigns a goal of six or ten months from filing of the application to return of a first "complete response," in which the FDA may approve the product or request additional information. There can be no assurance that an application will be approved within the performance goal timeframe established under PDUFA. The FDA initially determines whether a NDA as submitted is acceptable for filing. The FDA may refuse to file an application, in which case the FDA retains one-half of the user fees. If the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review of the application. As part of this review, the FDA may refer the application to an appropriate advisory committee, typically a panel of clinicians, for review,

Table of Contents

evaluation and a recommendation. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee.

If the FDA evaluations of the NDA and the manufacturing facilities are favorable, the FDA may issue an approval letter authorizing commercial marketing of the drug candidate for specified indications. The FDA could also issue a "complete response" letter at the end of the review period. A "complete response" letter will be issued to let a company know that the review period for a drug is complete and that the application is not yet ready for approval. The letter will describe specific deficiencies and, when possible, will outline recommended actions the applicant might take to get the application ready for approval, including calling for additional clinical trial data.

Marketing Approval and Post-Marketing Obligations

If the FDA approves an application, the drug becomes available for physicians to prescribe. Periodic reports must be submitted to the FDA, including descriptions of any adverse reactions reported. The FDA may require post-marketing studies, also known as Phase IV studies, as a condition of approval. In addition to studies required by the FDA after approval, trials and studies are often conducted to explore new indications for the drug. The purpose of these trials and studies and related publications is to develop data to support additional indications for the drug, which must be approved by the FDA, and to increase its acceptance in the medical community. In addition, some post-marketing studies are done at the request of the FDA to develop additional information regarding the safety of a product.

The FDA may impose risk evaluation mitigation strategies, or REMS, on a product if the FDA believes there is a reason to monitor the safety of the drug in the marketplace. REMS could add training requirements for healthcare professionals, safety communications efforts, and limits on channels of distribution, among other things. The sponsor would be required to evaluate and monitor the various REMS activities and adjust them if need be. Whether a REMS would be imposed on a product and any resulting financial impact is uncertain at this time.

Any products manufactured or distributed pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including record keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the drug, drug sampling and distribution requirements, notifying the FDA and gaining its approval of certain manufacturing or labeling changes, complying with certain electronic records and signature requirements, and complying with FDA promotion and advertising requirements. Drug manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their establishments and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections for compliance with cGMP requirements. Also, newly discovered or developed safety or effectiveness data may require changes to a product's approved labeling, including the addition of new warnings and contraindications, or even in some instances revocation or withdrawal of the product's approval.

Approval Outside of the United States

In order to market any product outside of the United States, we must comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy and governing, among other things, clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our products, which broadly reflect the issues addressed by the FDA above. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from and be longer than that required to obtain FDA approval. Marketing approval in one country does not ensure marketing approval in another, but a

Table of Contents

failure or delay in obtaining marketing approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in other countries.

As in the United States, the marketing approval process in Europe and in other countries is a lengthy, challenging and inherently uncertain process. If we fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be subject to fines, suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution. Generally the development and approval procedures are harmonized throughout the European Union: however, there is limited harmonization in relation to national pricing and reimbursement practices.

Under European Union regulatory systems, a company may not market a medicinal product without marketing authorization. There are three procedures for submitting a MAA in the EU: (1) the mutual recognition procedure (MRP); (2) the decentralized procedure (DCP) and (3) the centralized procedure (CP). The submission strategy for a given product will depend on the nature of the product, the target indication(s), the history of the product, and the marketing plan. The centralized procedure is compulsory for medicinal products which are produced by biotechnology processes, advanced therapy medicinal products and orphan drugs. Besides the products falling under the mandatory scope, the centralized procedure is also open for other innovative products that are new active substances or other medicinal products that constitute a significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovation.

The centralized procedure leads to approval of the product in all 27 EU member states and in Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein. Submission of one MAA thus leads to one assessment process and one authorization that allows access to all applicable markets within the entire EU. The process of the centralized procedure is triggered when the applicant sends the letter announcing the intent to submit a MAA (letter of intent). The letter of intent also initiates the assignment of the Rapporteur and Co-Rapporteur, who are the two appointed members of the Committee for Human Medicinal Products, or CHMP, representing two EU member states. However, in light of the United Kingdom's vote in 2016 to leave the European Union, the so-called Brexit vote, there may be changes forthcoming in the scope of the centralized approval procedure as the terms of that exit are negotiated between the UK and the European Union.

When using the MRP or DCP, the applicant must select which and how many EU member states in which to seek approval. In the case of an MRP, the applicant must initially receive national approval in one EU member state. This will be the so-called reference member state (RMS) for the MRP. Then, the applicant seeks approval for the product in other EU member states, the so-called concerned member states (CMS) in a second step: the mutual recognition process. For the DCP, the applicant will approach all chosen member states at the same time. To do so, the applicant will identify the RMS that will assess the submitted MAA and provide the other selected member states with the conclusions and results of the assessment.

When the application for marketing authorization is made, the competent authority responsible for granting a marketing authorization must verify whether the application complies with the relevant requirements, including compliance with the agreed pediatric investigational plan, or PIP. Assuming it does, the marketing authorization may be granted and the relevant results are included in the summary of product characteristics (SmPC) for the product, along with a statement indicating compliance with the agreed PIP. It is not necessary for the product actually to be indicated for use in the pediatric population (for example, if the results show that that would not be appropriate).

Table of Contents

Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984

Under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, known as the Hatch-Waxman Act, a portion of a product's patent term that was lost during clinical development and application review by the FDA may be restored. The Hatch-Waxman Act also provides for a statutory protection, known as exclusivity, against the FDA's acceptance or approval of certain competitor applications. The Hatch-Waxman Act also provides the legal basis for the approval of abbreviated new drug applications, or ANDAs.

Patent term extension can compensate for time lost during product development and the regulatory review process by returning up to five years of patent life for a patent that covers a new product or its use. This period is generally one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of a NDA, plus the time between the submission date of a NDA and the approval of that application. Patent term extensions, however, are subject to a maximum extension of five years, and the patent term extension cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years. The application for patent term extension is subject to approval by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in conjunction with the FDA. It generally takes at least six months to obtain approval of the application for patent term extension.

The Hatch-Waxman Act also provides for a period of statutory protection for new drugs that receive NDA approval from the FDA. If a new drug receives NDA approval as a new chemical entity, meaning that the FDA has not previously approved any other new drug containing the same active entity, then the Hatch-Waxman Act prohibits an ANDA or a NDA submitted pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, where the applicant does not own or have a legal right of reference to all of the data required for approval to be submitted by another company for a generic version of such drug (505(b)(2) NDA), with some exceptions, for a period of five years from the date of approval of the NDA. The statutory protection provided pursuant to the Hatch-Waxman Act will not prevent the filing or approval of a full NDA, as opposed to an ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA, for any drug, including, for example, a drug with the same active ingredient, dosage form, route of administration, strength and conditions of use. In order to obtain a NDA, however, a competitor would be required to conduct its own clinical trials, and any use of the drug for which marketing approval is sought could not violate another NDA holder's patent claims.

If NDA approval is received for a new drug containing an active ingredient that was previously approved by the FDA but the NDA is for a drug that includes an innovation over the previously approved drug, for example, a NDA approval for a new indication or formulation of the drug with the same active ingredient, and if such NDA approval was dependent upon the submission to the FDA of new clinical investigations, other than bioavailability studies, then the Hatch-Waxman Act prohibits the FDA from making effective the approval of an ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA for a generic version of such drug for a period of three years from the date of the NDA approval. This three year exclusivity, however, only covers the innovation associated with the NDA to which it attaches. Thus, the three year exclusivity does not prohibit the FDA, with limited exceptions, from approving ANDAs or 505(b)(2) NDAs for drugs containing the same active ingredient but without the new innovation.

While the Hatch-Waxman Act provides certain patent restoration and exclusivity protections to innovator drug manufacturers, it also permits the FDA to approve ANDAs for generic versions of their drugs assuming the approval would not violate another NDA holder's patent claims. The ANDA process permits competitor companies to obtain marketing approval for a drug with the same active ingredient for the same uses but does not require the conduct and submission of clinical studies demonstrating safety and effectiveness for that product. Instead of safety and effectiveness data, an ANDA applicant needs only to submit data demonstrating that its product is bioequivalent to the

Table of Contents

innovator product as well as relevant chemistry, manufacturing and product data. The Hatch-Waxman Act also instituted a third type of drug application that requires the same information as a NDA, including full reports of clinical and preclinical studies, except that some of the information from the reports required for marketing approval comes from studies which the applicant does not own or have a legal right of reference. This type of application, a 505(b)(2) NDA, permits a manufacturer to obtain marketing approval for a drug without needing to conduct or obtain a right of reference for all of the required studies.

If a competitor submits an ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA for a compound or use of any compound covered by another NDA holder's patent claims, the Hatch-Waxman Act requires, in some circumstances, the applicant to notify the patent owner and the holder of the approved NDA of the factual and legal basis of the applicant's opinion that the patent is not valid or will not be infringed. Upon receipt of this notice, the patent owner and the NDA holder have 45 days to bring a patent infringement suit in federal district court and obtain a 30-month stay against the company seeking to reference the NDA. The NDA holder could still file a patent suit after the 45 days, but if they miss the 45-day deadline, they would not have the benefit of the 30-month stay. Alternatively, after this 45-day period, the applicant may file a declaratory judgment action, seeking a determination that the patent is invalid or will not be infringed. Depending on the circumstances, however, the applicant may not be able to demonstrate a controversy sufficient to confer jurisdiction on the court. The discovery, trial and appeals process in such suits can take several years. If such a suit is commenced, the Hatch-Waxman Act provides a 30-month stay on the approval of the competitor's ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA. If the litigation is resolved in favor of the competitor or the challenged patent expires during the 30-month period, unless otherwise extended by court order, the stay is lifted and the FDA may approve the application. Under regulations issued by the FDA, and essentially codified under the Medicare prescription drug legislation, the patent owner and the NDA holder have the opportunity to trigger only a single 30-month stay per ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA. Once the applicant of the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA has notified the patent owner and the NDA holder of the infringement, the applicant cannot be subjected to another 30-month stay, even if the applicant becomes aware of additional patents that may be infringed by its product.

Pharmaceutical Pricing and Reimbursement

We currently have no marketed products. In both domestic and foreign markets, sales of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend in part on the availability of reimbursement from third-party payors. Third-party payors include government authorities or programs, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. These third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price and examining the cost-effectiveness of medical products and services. In addition, significant uncertainty exists as to the reimbursement status of newly approved healthcare products. We may need to conduct expensive pharmacoeconomic studies in order to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of our products. Our product candidates may not be considered cost-effective. Adequate third-party reimbursement may not be available to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development. Third-party payors may also control access to, or manage utilization of, our products with various utilization management techniques, such as requiring prior authorization for coverage of our products.

Within the United States, if we obtain appropriate approval in the future to market any of our oral drug product candidates, those products could potentially be covered by various government health benefit programs as well as purchased by government agencies. The participation in such programs or the sale of products to such agencies is subject to regulation. The marketability of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale may suffer if the government and third-party payors fail to provide adequate coverage and reimbursement.

Table of Contents

Medicaid is a joint federal and state program that is administered by the states for low income and disabled beneficiaries. Under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, participating manufacturers are required to pay a rebate for each unit of product reimbursed by the state Medicaid programs. The amount of the rebate for each product is set by law and may be subject to an additional discount if certain pricing increases more than inflation.

Medicare is a federal program that is administered by the federal government that covers individuals age 65 and over as well as those with certain disabilities. Oral drugs may be covered under Medicare Part D. Medicare Part D provides coverage to enrolled Medicare patients for self-administered drugs (*i.e.*, drugs that do not need to be injected or otherwise administered by a physician). Medicare Part D is administered by private prescription drug plans approved by the U.S. government and each drug plan establishes its own Medicare Part D formulary for prescription drug coverage and pricing, which the drug plan may modify from time-to-time. The prescription drug plans negotiate pricing with manufacturers and may condition formulary placement on the availability of manufacturer discounts. Since 2011, manufacturers with marketed brand name drugs have been required to provide a 50% discount the negotiated price for on brand name prescription drugs utilized by Medicare Part D beneficiaries when those beneficiaries reach the coverage gap in their drug benefits, and, beginning in 2019, that discount will increase to 70%.

Drug products are subject to discounted pricing when purchased by federal agencies via the Federal Supply Schedule (FSS). FSS participation is required for a drug product to be covered and reimbursed by certain federal agencies and for coverage under Medicaid, Medicare Part B and the Public Health Service (PHS) pharmaceutical pricing program. FSS pricing is negotiated periodically with the Department of Veterans Affairs. FSS pricing is intended not to exceed the price that a manufacturer charges its most-favored non-federal customer for its product. In addition, prices for drugs purchased by the Veterans Administration, Department of Defense (including drugs purchased by military personnel and dependents through the TRICARE retail pharmacy program), Coast Guard, and PHS are subject to a cap on pricing (known as the "federal ceiling price") and may be subject to an additional discount if pricing increases more than the rate of inflation.

To maintain coverage of drugs under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, manufacturers are required to extend discounts to certain purchasers under the PHS pharmaceutical pricing program. Purchasers eligible for discounts include hospitals that serve a disproportionate share of financially needy patients, community health clinics and other entities that receive health services grants from the PHS.

The United States and state governments continue to propose and pass legislation designed to reform delivery of, or payment for, health care, which include initiatives to reduce the cost of healthcare. For example, in March 2010, the United States Congress enacted the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act ("Healthcare Reform Act") which includes changes to the coverage and reimbursement of drug products under government health care programs. Under the Trump administration, there have been ongoing efforts to modify or repeal all or certain provisions of the Healthcare Reform Act. The Trump administration may also take executive action in the absence of legislative action. For example, in October 2017, the President announced that his administration will withhold the cost-sharing subsidies paid to health insurance exchange plans serving low-income enrollees. Actions by the administration are widely expected to lead to fewer Americans having more comprehensive health insurance compliant with the Healthcare Reform Act, even in the absence of a legislative repeal. Tax reform legislation was also enacted at the end of 2017 that includes provisions that will affect healthcare insurance coverage and payment, such as the elimination of the tax penalty for individuals who do not maintain sufficient health insurance coverage beginning in 2019 (the so-called "individual mandate"). In a November, 2017 report, the

Table of Contents

Congressional Budget Office estimates that the elimination will increase the number of uninsured by 4 million in 2019 and 13 million in 2027.

Recently, there has been considerable public and government scrutiny in the U.S. of pharmaceutical pricing and proposals to address the perceived high cost of pharmaceuticals. There have also been several recent state legislative efforts to address drug costs, which generally have focused on increasing transparency around drug costs or limiting drug prices or price increases. Adoption of new legislation at the federal or state level could affect demand for, or pricing of, our product candidates if approved for sale.

We cannot predict the ultimate content, timing or effect of any changes to the Healthcare Reform Act or other federal and state reform efforts. There is no assurance that federal or state health care reform will not adversely affect our future business and financial results.

Although we currently have no products approved for commercial sale, we marketed FARESTON® through September 30, 2012 and the product was covered under various government health benefit programs as well as purchased by federal agencies. We could be subject to liability under federal laws regulating our participation in such programs or the sale of our product to such agencies if we failed to comply with applicable requirements, including reporting prices for our products or offering products for sale at certain prices.

Regulations Pertaining to Sales and Marketing

Although we currently have no products approved for commercial sale, we may be subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to health care "fraud and abuse," including anti-kickback laws and false claims laws for activities related to our previous sales of FARESTON®, which we sold to a third party in 2012, or to future sales of any of our product candidates that may in the future receive regulatory and marketing approval. Anti-kickback laws generally prohibit a prescription drug manufacturer from soliciting, offering, receiving, or paying any remuneration to generate business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular drug. Although the specific provisions of these laws vary, their scope is generally broad and there may not be regulations, guidance or court decisions that apply the laws to particular industry practices. There is therefore a possibility that our practices might be challenged under such anti-kickback laws. False claims laws prohibit anyone from knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be presented, any claims for payment for reimbursed drugs or services to third party payors (including Medicare and Medicaid) that are false or fraudulent. Violations of fraud and abuse laws may be punishable by criminal or civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, and/or exclusion from federal health care programs (including Medicare and Medicaid).

Laws and regulations have been enacted by the federal government and various states to regulate the sales and marketing practices of pharmaceutical manufacturers with marketed products. The laws and regulations generally limit financial interactions between manufacturers and health care providers and/or require disclosure to the government and public of such interactions. Many of these laws and regulations contain ambiguous requirements or require administrative guidance for implementation. Given the lack of clarity in laws and their implementation, our prior activities (when we marketed FARESTON®) or any future activities (if we obtain approval and/or reimbursement from federal healthcare programs for our product candidates) could be subject to the penalty provisions of the pertinent laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

Since our inception in 1997, we have been focused on drug discovery and development programs. Research and development expenses include, but are not limited to, our expenses for personnel associated with our research activities, screening and identification of product candidates, formulation and synthesis activities, manufacturing, preclinical studies, toxicology studies, clinical trials, regulatory and medical affairs activities, quality assurance activities and license fees. Our research and development expenses were \$21.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, and \$13.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Employees

As of December 31, 2017, we had 27 employees, 8 of whom were M.D.s, Pharm.D.s and/or Ph.D.s. None of our employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Available Information

We were originally incorporated under the name Genotherapeutics, Inc. in Tennessee in September 1997. We changed our name to GTx, Inc. in 2001, and we reincorporated in Delaware in 2003. Our principal executive office is located at 175 Toyota Plaza, 7th Floor, Memphis, TN 38103, and our telephone number is (901) 523-9700.

We file electronically with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We make available on our Web site at www.gtxinc.com, free of charge, copies of these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Further, copies of these reports are located at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a Web site that contains reports, proxy statements, and other information regarding our filings at www.sec.gov. The information provided on our Web site is not part of this report, and is therefore not incorporated by reference unless such information is otherwise specifically referenced elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents**Management**

The following table sets forth information about our executive officers and other key clinical and regulatory officers as of March 7, 2018.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Executive Officers		
Marc S. Hanover	55	Chief Executive Officer
Robert J. Wills, Ph.D	64	Executive Chairman
Henry P. Doggrell	69	Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary
Diane C. Young, M.D	61	Vice President, Chief Medical Officer
Jason T. Shackelford	42	Vice President, Finance and Accounting, and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer
Other Key Clinical and Regulatory Officers		
Jeffrey G. Hesselberg	59	Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Mary Ann Johnston, PharmD	46	Vice President, Clinical Development
Executive Officers of the Registrant		

Marc S. Hanover, a co-founder of GTx, served as our President and Chief Operating Officer from our inception in September 1997 until his appointment as our permanent Chief Executive Officer in February 2015, and served as our acting Principal Financial Officer from December 31, 2013 until his appointment as our interim Chief Executive Officer on April 3, 2014. He also previously served as a member of our Board of Directors from September 1997 to August 2011. Prior to joining GTx, Mr. Hanover was a founder of Equity Partners International, Inc., a private equity firm in Memphis, Tennessee, and participated as a founder and investor in three healthcare companies. From 1985 to 1997, Mr. Hanover was a Senior Vice President and a member of the Executive Management Committee of National Bank of Commerce in Memphis, Tennessee. Mr. Hanover holds a B.S. in Biology from the University of Memphis and an MBA in Finance from the University of Memphis.

Robert J. Wills, Ph.D., joined GTx as Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and as the Chairman of the Board's Scientific and Development Committee on March 2, 2015. Prior to joining GTx, Dr. Wills served as Vice President, Alliance Manager for Johnson & Johnson (J&J) and was responsible for managing strategic alliances for J&J's Pharmaceutical Group worldwide since 2002. Prior to this, Dr. Wills spent 22 years in pharmaceutical drug development, 12 of which were at J&J and 10 of which were at Hoffmann-La Roche Inc. Before assuming his alliance management role at J&J, Dr. Wills served as Senior Vice President Global Development at J&J where he was responsible for its late stage development pipeline and was a member of several internal commercial and research and development operating boards. Since 2015, Dr. Wills has served as the chairman of the board of Cymabay Therapeutics Inc. (Nasdaq: CBAY). Dr. Wills holds a B.S. in Biochemistry and a M.S. in Pharmaceutics from the University of Wisconsin and a Ph.D. in Pharmaceutics from the University of Texas.

Henry P. Doggrell currently serves as our Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, after joining GTx in October 2001 as General Counsel and Secretary. From April 1998 to August 2001, Mr. Doggrell was Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs at Buckeye Technologies, Inc., a specialty cellulose company, where he was responsible for matters including corporate finance, investor relations, mergers and acquisitions, intellectual property and licensing and strategic development. From 1996 to 1998, Mr. Doggrell served as General Counsel and Secretary of Buckeye Technologies. Prior to joining

Table of Contents

Buckeye Technologies, Mr. Doggrell was a partner of the Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell and Berkowitz law firm from 1988 to 1996, where he served as a member of the law firm management committee and Chair of the firm's Corporate Securities department. Mr. Doggrell holds a B.S. in Commerce from the University of Virginia and a JD from Vanderbilt University.

Diane C. Young, M.D. was appointed Vice President and Chief Medical Officer at GTX in July 2015. Dr. Young is a board-certified medical oncologist with 25 years of industry experience in clinical development and medical affairs, most recently with Novartis where she spent 12 years in global and regional leadership roles in oncology drug development. Prior to Novartis, Dr. Young spent 10 years with J&J, where she served as Vice President, Global Development at R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute (now Johnson & Johnson Research and Development). At Novartis, Dr. Young held senior leadership positions involved in the development, regulatory approval and medical affairs activities for several products, including Glivec®, Zometa®, Femara®, Sandostatin®, Tasigna®, Jakavi® and Afinitor®, all of which are treatments or supportive therapies for cancer patients.

Jason T. Shackelford currently serves as our Vice President, Finance and Accounting, after joining GTX in July 2007 as Director, Accounting and Corporate Controller, and has served as our principal accounting officer since December 31, 2013 and as our principal financial and accounting officer since April 3, 2014. Prior to joining GTX, Mr. Shackelford was a Senior Audit Manager at KPMG LLP. Mr. Shackelford is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a Bachelor of Business Administration and Master of Accountancy from the University of Mississippi.

Other Key Clinical and Regulatory Officers of the Registrant

Jeffrey G. Hesselberg has served as the Vice President, Regulatory Affairs since May 2007. He joined GTX from ICOS Corporation, where from 1996 to May 2007 he served as Manager, Associate Director, and then Director of Regulatory Affairs. Most recently, Mr. Hesselberg worked on the successful development, launch and commercialization of Cialis® (tadalafil) for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. From 1984 to 1996, Mr. Hesselberg worked for Immunex Corporation and the Puget Sound Blood Center. Mr. Hesselberg holds a B.S. in Molecular Biology from the University of Wisconsin Madison and a MBA from the University of Washington.

Mary Ann Johnston, PharmD, was appointed Vice President, Medical Affairs in November 2012 and currently serves as Vice President, Clinical Development. Before that, she served as Director, Medical Affairs and Team Leader, Medical Science Liaisons, heading up the field-based medical organization since 2009. Prior to joining GTX, Dr. Johnston was Director, Medical Science Liaisons and Managed Markets at Actelion Pharmaceuticals specializing in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Before joining the pharmaceutical industry, Dr. Johnston practiced as a clinical specialist at the University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston where she served as an adjunct professor for the University of Houston and University of Texas schools of pharmacy with a clinical practice focused in cardiology and critical care. Dr. Johnston holds a Doctor of Pharmacy degree from Samford University McWhorter School of Pharmacy and completed a postdoctoral residency at the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in Tuscaloosa, Alabama.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We have identified the following additional risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. Our business faces significant risks and the risks described below may not be the only risks we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also significantly impair our business

Table of Contents

operations. If any of these risks occur, our business, results of operations or financial condition could suffer, the market price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment in our common stock.

Risks Related to Our Financial Condition and Need for Additional Financing

We have incurred losses since inception, and we anticipate that we will incur continued losses for the foreseeable future.

As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$561.6 million. Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$30.4 million and we expect to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future as we continue our preclinical and clinical development activities and, if our development activities are successful, potentially seek regulatory approval of our product candidates. These losses, among other things, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders' equity and working capital.

Our current product candidate, enobosarm (GTx-024), will require significant additional clinical development, financial resources and personnel in order to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for this product candidate and to develop it and our other SARMS into commercially viable products. While we recently announced our Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm to treat women with ER positive, AR positive advanced breast cancer achieved its primary efficacy endpoint in both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts, after evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, we decided that the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval in this indication does not warrant development of enobosarm in this indication at this time. Accordingly, our current strategy is focused on, and our prospects are substantially dependent on, the further development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with stress urinary incontinence, or SUI. However, the development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI is at an early stage, is subject to the substantial risk of failure inherent in the development of early-stage product candidates, and will require significant additional financial resources and personnel in order for such development to continue. Our preclinical evaluation of our selective androgen receptor degrader, or SARD, technology will require significant additional financial resources and personnel to continue our development of the program. Additionally, any further development of our preclinical evaluation of SARMS as a potential treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, or DMD, is subject to our ability to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing and commercializing small molecule drugs, we are unable to predict the extent of any future losses or when we will become profitable, if at all. In addition, we do not expect to obtain any regulatory approvals to market any of our preclinical or clinical product candidates, including enobosarm, for the foreseeable future, and it is possible that none of our product candidates will ever receive any regulatory approvals.

We have funded our operations primarily through public offerings and private placements of our securities, as well as payments from our former collaborators. We also previously recognized product revenue from the sale of FARESTON®, the rights to which we sold to a third party in the third quarter of 2012. Currently, we have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates, and as a result of the sale of our rights and certain assets related to FARESTON®, we also currently have no sources of revenue.

If we and/or any potential collaborators are unable to develop and commercialize our SARMS or SARD technology, if development is further delayed or is eliminated, or if sales revenue from any

Table of Contents

SARM or SARD products upon receiving marketing approval, if ever, is insufficient, we may never become profitable and we will not be successful.

We will need to raise substantial additional capital and may be unable to raise capital when needed, which would force us to delay, reduce or eliminate our development programs and could cause us to discontinue our operations.

We will need to raise substantial additional capital to:

fund our operations and conduct clinical trials;

continue our research and development;

seek regulatory approval for our product candidates; and

commercialize our product candidates, if any such product candidates receive regulatory approval for commercial sale.

Based on our current business plan and assumptions, we estimate that our current cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, together with interest thereon, will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next twelve months. We have based this estimate on our current business plan and assumptions that may prove to be wrong. We could utilize our available capital resources sooner than we currently expect, and we could need additional funding sooner than currently anticipated. Also, our clinical trials may encounter technical, enrollment or other difficulties that could increase our development costs beyond our current estimates or delay our development timelines, and we could otherwise exhaust our available financial resources sooner than we expect. In any event, if we decide to undertake any further development of our SARMs or SARD technology beyond our ongoing clinical trials of enobosarm and preclinical development, we would need to obtain additional funding for such development, either through financing or by entering into collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for such further development.

Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

the scope, rate of progress and cost of our preclinical and clinical development programs, including our ongoing and any future clinical trials of enobosarm;

the terms and timing of any potential collaborative, licensing and other strategic arrangements that we may establish;

the amount and timing of any licensing fees, milestone payments and royalty payments from potential collaborators, if any;

future clinical trial results;

the cost and timing of regulatory filings and/or approvals to commercialize our product candidates and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of an approved product candidate;

the effect of competing technological and market developments; and

Table of Contents

the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, and the cost of defending any other litigation claims.

While we have been able to fund our operations to date, we have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates and no source of revenue, nor do we expect to generate product revenue for the foreseeable future. We do not have any commitments for future external funding. Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue, which we may never do, we expect to finance future cash needs through potential collaboration, partnering or other strategic arrangements, as well as through public or private equity offerings or debt financings or a combination of the foregoing. If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may need to reduce our expenditures, perhaps significantly, to preserve our cash. Cost-cutting measures that we may take in the future may not be sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements, and they may negatively affect our business and growth prospects.

To the extent that we raise additional funds through potential collaborations, partnering or other strategic arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish rights to some of our technologies or product candidates, or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us, any of which could result in the stockholders of GTX having little or no continuing interest in our SARMS and/or SARDs programs as stockholders or otherwise. To the extent we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience significant dilution and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. For example, we completed substantially dilutive private placements of our common stock and warrants in March 2014, November 2014 and September 2017, in addition to a registered direct offering of our common stock that we completed in October 2016. Our stockholders may experience additional, perhaps substantial, dilution should we again raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, including the issuance and sale of our common stock pursuant to our At-the-Market Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement, or sales agreement, with Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, or Stifel. Any additional debt or equity financing that we raise may contain terms that are not favorable to us or our stockholders. Our ability to raise additional funds and the terms upon which we are able to raise such funds may be adversely impacted by the uncertainty regarding the prospects of our development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI and our ability to advance the development of enobosarm or SARDs through potential future collaborative, partnering or other strategic relationships, if at all. Our ability to raise additional funds and the terms upon which we are able to raise such funds may also be adversely affected by the uncertainties regarding our financial condition, the sufficiency of our capital resources, recent and potential future management turnover, and continued volatility and instability in the global financial markets. As a result of these and other factors, we cannot be certain that sufficient additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

Risks Related to Development of Product Candidates

We are substantially dependent on the success of enobosarm and our failure to advance the development of enobosarm or to obtain regulatory approval of enobosarm would significantly harm our prospects.

Our current strategy is focused on, and our prospects are substantially dependent on, the further development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI. We are evaluating enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI in two ongoing Phase 2 clinical trials. Even if our ongoing clinical trials are successful, we will still need to conduct costly and time-consuming additional clinical trials of enobosarm to determine whether enobosarm is a safe and effective treatment for postmenopausal women with SUI.

Table of Contents

Preclinical studies, including studies of SARMs in animal models of disease, may not accurately predict the results of subsequent human clinical trials of enobosarm, including the results of our randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of treatment. Similarly, the fact that we reported positive top-line data from our Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in postmenopausal women with SUI does not ensure that our randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm to evaluate the change in frequency of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of treatment will be successful. For example, even though we reported positive results from our Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm 9 mg in women whose advanced breast cancer is both ER positive and AR positive, we determined during the third quarter of 2017 that there were insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue our Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive triple-negative breast cancer, or TNBC. Accordingly, we are in the process of closing down this Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial. Additionally, we have since decided not to pursue additional clinical development of enobosarm to treat women with ER positive, AR positive advanced breast cancer after evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, along with the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval, even though we recently announced our Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm in this indication achieved its primary efficacy endpoint in both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts of the clinical trial. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical industry, including us and those with greater resources and experience than we have, have suffered significant setbacks in Phase 3 and later-stage clinical trials, even after receiving encouraging results in earlier clinical trials. Due to the uncertain and time-consuming clinical development and regulatory approval process, we may not be successful in developing enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI, or in developing or partnering any of our product candidates, and it is possible that none of our current product candidates will ever become commercial products.

A substantial portion of our efforts and expenditures have been devoted to enobosarm 3 mg, which was the subject of our POWER 1 and POWER 2 Phase 3 clinical trials evaluating enobosarm 3 mg for the prevention and treatment of muscle wasting in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer, or NSCLC. Enobosarm 3 mg is also the subject of our randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of treatment. We announced in August 2013 that our POWER 1 and POWER 2 Phase 3 clinical trials failed to meet the co-primary endpoints of lean body mass and physical function that were assessed statistically using responder analyses as required by the FDA. The failure of the POWER trials to meet the primary statistical criterion for the co-primary endpoints agreed upon with the FDA significantly depressed our stock price and has harmed our future prospects.

Our evaluation of our SARD program is at an early stage and to initiate and complete initial human clinical trials, we will require additional funding. In addition, any further development of SARMs as a potential treatment for DMD is subject to our ability to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications.

Accordingly, our current strategy and prospects are substantially dependent on the successful development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI. If we are unable to successfully further the development of and obtain regulatory approval of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI, and to obtain the necessary funding to do so, our prospects would be significantly harmed and we might need to cease operations.

Table of Contents

We and any potential collaborators will not be able to commercialize our product candidates if our preclinical studies do not produce successful results or if our clinical trials do not adequately demonstrate safety and efficacy in humans.

Significant additional clinical development and financial resources will be required to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for our product candidates and to develop them into commercially viable products. Preclinical and clinical testing is expensive, can take many years to complete and has an uncertain outcome. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. In this regard, from time to time, we have and may in the future publish or report interim or preliminary data from our clinical trials. Interim or preliminary data from clinical trials that we may conduct may not be indicative of the final results of the trial and are subject to the risk that one or more of the clinical outcomes may materially change as patient enrollment continues and more patient data become available. Interim or preliminary data also remain subject to audit and verification procedures that may result in the final data being materially different from the interim or preliminary data. As a result, interim or preliminary data should be viewed with caution until the final data are available.

Typically, the failure rate for development candidates is high. If a product candidate fails at any stage of development, we will not have the anticipated revenues from that product candidate to fund our operations, and we will not receive any return on our investment in that product candidate. For example, during the third quarter of 2017, we determined that there were insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue our Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive TNBC. Accordingly, we are in the process of closing down this clinical trial. Additionally, we have since decided not to pursue additional clinical development of enobosarm to treat women with ER positive, AR positive advanced breast cancer after evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, along with the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval, even though we recently announced our Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm in this indication achieved its primary efficacy endpoint in both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts of the clinical trial. In addition, we announced in August 2013 that our POWER 1 and POWER 2 Phase 3 clinical trials evaluating enobosarm for the prevention and treatment of muscle wasting in patients with advanced NSCLC failed to meet the co-primary endpoints of lean body mass and physical function that were assessed statistically using responder analyses as agreed upon with the FDA.

In the first quarter of 2015, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with the University of Tennessee Research Foundation, or UTRF, to develop its proprietary SARD technology. However, our evaluation of the SARD program is at an early stage and it is possible that we may determine not to move forward with any meaningful preclinical development of our SARD program. Even if we do determine to move forward with any meaningful preclinical development of our SARD program, to initiate and complete initial human clinical trials, we will require additional funding. Accordingly, as a result of our unsuccessful research and preclinical development and/or our inability to obtain sufficient funding to meaningfully advance preclinical development of our SARD program, we may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of our licensing of this program.

Significant delays in clinical testing could materially impact our product development costs. We do not know whether our ongoing clinical trials will need to be modified or will be completed on schedule, if at all. We or any potential collaborators may experience numerous unforeseen and/or adverse events

Table of Contents

during, or as a result of, preclinical testing and the clinical trial process that could delay or prevent our or our potential collaborators' ability to commercialize our product candidates, including:

regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us or any potential collaborators to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site, or we may experience substantial delays in obtaining these authorizations;

preclinical or clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results, which may require us or any potential collaborators to conduct additional preclinical or clinical testing or to abandon projects that we expect to be promising;

even if preclinical or clinical trial results are positive, the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities could nonetheless require us to conduct unanticipated additional clinical trials;

registration or enrollment in clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate resulting in significant delays, additional costs and/or study terminations;

we or any potential collaborators may suspend or terminate clinical trials if the participating patients are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;

regulators or institutional review boards may suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements; and

our product candidates may not have the desired effects or may include undesirable side effects.

If any of these events were to occur and, as a result, we or any potential collaborators have significant delays in or termination of clinical trials, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenue could be impaired, which would materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition and growth prospects.

If we or any potential collaborators observe serious or other adverse events during the time our product candidates are in development or after our products are approved and on the market, we or any potential collaborators may be required to perform lengthy additional clinical trials, may be required to cease further development of such product candidates, may be denied regulatory approval of such products, may be forced to change the labeling of such products or may be required to withdraw any such products from the market, any of which would hinder or preclude our ability to generate revenues.

In our Phase 2 clinical trials for enobosarm for the treatment of muscle wasting in patients with cancer and healthy older males and postmenopausal females, we observed mild elevations of hepatic enzymes, which in certain circumstances may lead to liver failure, in a few patients in both the placebo and enobosarm treated groups. Reductions in high-density lipoproteins, or HDL, have also been observed in subjects treated with enobosarm. Lower levels of HDL could lead to increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events. Mild transient elevations in liver enzymes that were within normal limits were observed in our Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI, except for one patient with levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal which returned to normal following her 12-week treatment period. Reductions in total cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins, or LDL, HDL and triglycerides were also observed. In addition, in our Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm in a 9 mg daily dose for the treatment of patients with ER positive and AR positive metastatic breast cancer, bone pain of the chest cage, a serious adverse event, or SAE, was assessed as possibly related to enobosarm. Although doses up to 30 mg have been evaluated in short duration studies, doses of 1 mg,

Table of Contents

3 mg, 9 mg and 18 mg currently being tested in our ongoing longer duration Phase 2 clinical trials may increase the risk or incidence of known potential side effects of SARMS, including elevations in hepatic enzymes and further reductions in HDL, in addition to the emergence of side effects that have not been seen to date.

If the incidence of serious or other adverse events related to our product candidates increases in number or severity, if a regulatory authority believes that these or other events constitute an adverse effect caused by the drug, or if other effects are identified during clinical trials that we or any potential collaborators may conduct in the future or after any of our product candidates are approved and marketed:

we or any potential collaborators may be required to conduct additional preclinical or clinical trials, make changes in the labeling of any such approved products, reformulate any such products, or implement changes to or obtain new approvals of our contractors' manufacturing facilities;

regulatory authorities may be unwilling to approve our product candidates or may withdraw approval of our products;

we may experience a significant drop in the sales of the affected products;

our reputation in the marketplace may suffer; and

we may become the target of lawsuits, including class action suits.

Any of these events could prevent approval or harm sales of the affected product candidates or products, or could substantially increase the costs and expenses of commercializing and marketing any such products.

Risks Related to Our Dependence on Third Parties

If we do not establish collaborations for our product candidates or otherwise raise substantial additional capital, we will likely need to alter, delay or abandon our development and any commercialization plans.

Our strategy includes selectively partnering, collaborating, or entering into other strategic arrangements with other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to assist us in furthering development and potential commercialization of our product candidates and to provide funding for such activities. We face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators, and collaborations are complex and time consuming to negotiate and document. We may not be successful in entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for the further development of our product candidates on acceptable terms, or at all. In addition, we are unable to predict when, if ever, we will enter into any additional collaborative, partnering or other such strategic arrangements because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with establishing such arrangements, and we have otherwise been unsuccessful, for many years, in our efforts to establish such arrangements. If we are unable to negotiate new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for the further development of our product candidates, we may have to curtail the development of a particular product candidate, reduce, delay, or terminate its development or one or more of our other development programs, delay its potential commercialization or reduce the scope of our sales or marketing activities or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense. For example, we may have to cease further development of our enobosarm program if we are unable to raise sufficient funding for any additional

Table of Contents

clinical development of enobosarm through new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties or other financing alternatives. In this regard, if we decide to undertake any further development of our SARMs beyond our ongoing clinical trials and preclinical development, we would need to obtain additional funding for such development, either through financing or by entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for any such further development. Likewise, to initiate and complete initial human clinical trials for our SARD program, we will require additional funding. In addition, any further development of SARMs as a potential treatment for DMD is subject to our ability to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications. There can be no assurances that we will be successful in obtaining additional funding in any event. If we are not able to raise substantial additional capital, either through financing or by entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for the further development of our product candidates, we will not be able to advance the development of our product candidates or otherwise bring our product candidates to market and generate product revenues.

Any collaborative arrangements that we establish in the future may not be successful or we may otherwise not realize the anticipated benefits from these collaborations. In addition, any future collaborative arrangements may place the development and commercialization of our product candidates outside our control, may require us to relinquish important rights or may otherwise be on terms unfavorable to us.

We have in the past established and intend to continue to establish collaborations with third parties to develop and commercialize some of our current and future product candidates, and these collaborations may not be successful or we may otherwise not realize the anticipated benefits from these collaborations. For example, in March 2011, we and Ipsen Biopharm Limited, or Ipsen, mutually agreed to terminate our collaboration for the development and commercialization of our toremifene-based product candidate. As of the date of this report, we have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates. We may not be able to locate third-party collaborators to develop and market our product candidates, and we lack the capital and resources necessary to develop our product candidates alone.

Dependence on collaborative arrangements subjects us to a number of risks, including:

we may not be able to control the amount and timing of resources that our potential collaborators may devote to our product candidates;

potential collaborations may experience financial difficulties or changes in business focus;

we may be required to relinquish important rights such as marketing and distribution rights;

should a collaborator fail to develop or commercialize one of our compounds or product candidates, we may not receive any future milestone payments and will not receive any royalties for the compound or product candidate;

business combinations or significant changes in a collaborator's business strategy may also adversely affect a collaborator's willingness or ability to complete its obligations under any arrangement;

under certain circumstances, a collaborator could move forward with a competing product candidate developed either independently or in collaboration with others, including our competitors; and

collaborative arrangements are often terminated or allowed to expire, which could delay the development and may increase the cost of developing our product candidates.

Table of Contents

If third parties do not manufacture our product candidates in sufficient quantities, in the required timeframe, at an acceptable cost, and with appropriate quality control, clinical development and commercialization of our product candidates would be delayed.

We do not currently own or operate manufacturing facilities, and we rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of our product candidates. Our current and anticipated future dependence upon others for the manufacture of our product candidates may adversely affect our future profit margins, if any, and our ability to develop product candidates and commercialize any product candidates on a timely and competitive basis.

We rely on third-party vendors for the manufacture of SARM and SARD drug substance. If the contract manufacturers that we are currently utilizing to meet our supply needs for enobosarm or any future SARM or SARD product candidates prove incapable or unwilling to continue to meet our supply needs, we could experience a delay in conducting any additional clinical trials of enobosarm or any future SARM or SARD product candidates. We may not be able to maintain or renew our existing or any other third-party manufacturing arrangements on acceptable terms, if at all. If our suppliers fail to meet our requirements for enobosarm or any future product candidates for any reason, we would be required to obtain alternate suppliers. Any inability to obtain alternate suppliers, including an inability to obtain approval from the FDA of an alternate supplier, would delay or prevent the clinical development and commercialization of our product candidates.

Use of third-party manufacturers may increase the risk that we will not have adequate supplies of our product candidates.

Reliance on third-party manufacturers entails risks, to which we would not be subject if we manufactured our product candidates ourselves, including:

reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality assurance;

the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party because of factors beyond our control;

the possible termination or non-renewal of the agreement by the third party, based on its own business priorities, at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us; and

drug product supplies not meeting the requisite requirements for clinical trial use.

If we are not able to obtain adequate supplies of our product candidates, it will be more difficult for us to develop our product candidates and compete effectively. Our product candidates and any products that we and/or our potential collaborators may develop may compete with other product candidates and products for access to manufacturing facilities.

Our present or future manufacturing partners may not be able to comply with FDA-mandated current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations, other FDA regulatory requirements or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Failure of our third-party manufacturers or us to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, failure of regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of product candidates or products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of our product candidates.

Table of Contents

If third parties on whom we rely do not perform as contractually required or expected, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates.

We do not have the ability to independently conduct clinical trials for our product candidates, and we must rely on third parties, such as contract research organizations, or CROs, medical institutions, clinical investigators and contract laboratories to conduct our clinical trials. In addition, we rely on third parties to assist with our preclinical development of product candidates. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or regulatory obligations or meet expected deadlines, if the third parties need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our preclinical development activities or clinical trials may be extended, delayed, suspended or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If we lose our licenses from UTRF, we may be unable to continue our business.

We have licensed intellectual property rights and technology from UTRF used in substantially all of our business. Our license agreements with UTRF, under which we were granted rights to SARM compounds and technologies, including enobosarm, and more recently, to SARD compounds and technology, may be terminated by UTRF if we are in breach of our obligations under, or fail to perform any terms of, the relevant agreement and fail to cure that breach. If one or both of these agreements are terminated, then we may lose our rights to utilize the SARM and/or SARD technology and intellectual property covered by those agreements to market, distribute and sell licensed products, which may prevent us from continuing our business and may cause us to cease operations altogether.

If some or all of our or our licensor's patents expire or are invalidated or are found to be unenforceable, or if some or all of our patent applications do not result in issued patents or result in patents with narrow, overbroad, or unenforceable claims, or claims that are not supported in regard to written description or enablement by the specification, or if we are prevented from asserting that the claims of an issued patent cover a product of a third party, we may be subject to competition from third parties with products in the same class of products as our product candidates or products with the same active pharmaceutical ingredients as our product candidates, including in those jurisdictions in which we have no patent protection.

Our commercial success will depend in part on obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection for our product candidates, as well as the methods for treating patients in the product indications using these product candidates. We will be able to protect our product candidates and the methods for treating patients in the product indications using these product candidates from unauthorized use by third parties only to the extent that we or our exclusive licensor owns or controls such valid and enforceable patents or trade secrets.

Even if our product candidates and the methods for treating patients for prescribed indications using these product candidates are covered by valid and enforceable patents and have claims with sufficient scope, disclosure and support in the specification, the patents will provide protection only for a limited amount of time. Our and our licensor's ability to obtain patents can be highly uncertain and involve complex and in some cases unsettled legal issues and factual questions. Furthermore, different countries have different procedures for obtaining patents, and patents issued in different countries provide different degrees of protection against the use of a patented invention by others. Therefore, if the issuance to us or our licensor, in a given country, of a patent covering an invention is not followed by the issuance, in other countries, of patents covering the same invention, or if any judicial interpretation of the validity, enforceability, or scope of the claims in, or the written description or

Table of Contents

enablement in, a patent issued in one country is not similar to the interpretation given to the corresponding patent issued in another country, our ability to protect our intellectual property in those countries may be limited. Changes in either patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may materially diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection.

We may be subject to competition from third parties with products in the same class of products as our product candidates or products with the same active pharmaceutical ingredients as our product candidates in those jurisdictions in which we have no patent protection. Even if patents are issued to us or our licensor regarding our product candidates or methods of using them, those patents can be challenged by our competitors who can argue such patents are invalid or unenforceable, lack of utility, lack sufficient written description or enablement, or that the claims of the issued patents should be limited or narrowly construed. Patents also will not protect our product candidates if competitors devise ways of making or using these product candidates without legally infringing our patents. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and FDA regulations and policies create a regulatory environment that encourages companies to challenge branded drug patents or to create non-infringing versions of a patented product in order to facilitate the approval of abbreviated new drug applications for generic substitutes. These same types of incentives encourage competitors to submit new drug applications that rely on literature and clinical data not prepared for or by the drug sponsor, providing another less burdensome pathway to approval.

We also rely on trade secrets to protect our technology, especially where we do not believe that patent protection is appropriate or obtainable. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect. Our employees, consultants, contractors, outside scientific collaborators and other advisors may unintentionally or willfully disclose our confidential information to competitors, and confidentiality agreements may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Enforcing a claim that a third party illegally obtained and is using our trade secrets is expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. Moreover, our competitors may independently develop equivalent knowledge, methods and know-how. Failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive business position.

If we infringe intellectual property rights of third parties, it may increase our costs or prevent us from being able to commercialize our product candidates.

There is a risk that we are infringing the proprietary rights of third parties because numerous United States and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, which are owned by third parties, exist in the fields that are the focus of our development and manufacturing efforts. Others might have been the first to make the inventions covered by each of our or our licensor's pending patent applications and issued patents and/or might have been the first to file patent applications for these inventions. In addition, because patent applications take many months to publish and patent applications can take many years to issue, there may be currently pending applications, unknown to us or our licensor, which may later result in issued patents that cover the production, manufacture, synthesis, commercialization, formulation or use of our product candidates. In addition, the production, manufacture, synthesis, commercialization, formulation or use of our product candidates may infringe existing patents of which we are not aware. Defending ourselves against third-party claims, including litigation in particular, would be costly and time consuming and would divert management's attention from our business, which could lead to delays in our development or commercialization efforts. If third parties are successful in their claims, we might have to pay substantial damages or take other actions that are adverse to our business.

Table of Contents

As a result of intellectual property infringement claims, or to avoid potential claims, we might:

be prohibited from selling or licensing any product that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop unless the patent holder licenses the patent to us, which the patent holder is not required to do;

be required to pay substantial royalties or other amounts, or grant a cross license to our patents to another patent holder; or

be required to redesign the formulation of a product candidate so that it does not infringe, which may not be possible or could require substantial funds and time.

Risks Related to Regulatory Approval of Our Product Candidates

If we or any potential collaborators are not able to obtain required regulatory approvals, we or such collaborators will not be able to commercialize our product candidates, and our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired.

Our product candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA, other regulatory agencies in the United States and by comparable authorities in other countries, including the European Medicines Agency, or EMA. Failure to obtain regulatory approval for a product candidate will prevent us or any potential collaborator from commercializing the product candidate. We have not received regulatory approval to market any of our product candidates in any jurisdiction, and we do not expect to obtain FDA, EMA or any other regulatory approvals to market any of our product candidates for the foreseeable future, if at all. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals is expensive, often takes many years, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved.

Changes in the regulatory approval policy during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional regulations or statutes, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. Even if the FDA or the EMA approves a product candidate, the approval may impose significant restrictions on the indicated uses, conditions for use, labeling, advertising, promotion, marketing and/or production of such product, and may impose ongoing requirements for post-approval studies, including additional research and development and clinical trials. Any FDA approval may also impose risk evaluation mitigation strategies, or REMS, on a product if the FDA believes there is a reason to monitor the safety of the drug in the market place. REMS may include requirements for additional training for health care professionals, safety communication efforts and limits on channels of distribution, among other things. The sponsor would be required to evaluate and monitor the various REMS activities and adjust them if need be. The FDA and EMA also may impose various civil or criminal sanctions for failure to comply with regulatory requirements, including withdrawal of product approval.

Furthermore, the approval procedure and the time required to obtain approval varies among countries and can involve additional testing beyond that required by the FDA. Approval by one regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions.

The FDA, the EMA and other foreign regulatory authorities have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data is insufficient for approval and require additional preclinical, clinical or other studies. For example, in October 2009, we received a Complete Response Letter from the FDA regarding our NDA for toremifene 80 mg to

Table of Contents

reduce fractures in men with prostate cancer on ADT notifying us that the FDA would not approve our NDA as a result of certain clinical deficiencies identified in the Complete Response Letter. We have since discontinued our toremifene 80 mg development program, as well as other toremifene-based products. Although we evaluated the potential submission of a marketing authorization application, or MAA, to the EMA seeking marketing approval of enobosarm 3 mg in the European Union, or EU, for the prevention and treatment of muscle wasting in patients with advanced NSCLC, based on input from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, or MHRA, we determined that the data from the POWER trials was not sufficient to support the filing and approval of a MAA without confirmatory data from another Phase 3 clinical trial of enobosarm 3 mg. As a result of this input, we elected not to submit a MAA in the absence of such confirmatory data. In addition, since data from the two POWER trials failed to meet the primary statistical criterion pre-specified for the co-primary endpoints of lean body mass and physical function, the FDA would not accept a NDA for enobosarm 3 mg for the prevention and treatment of muscle wasting in patients with advanced NSCLC.

Additionally, there can be no assurance that the FDA will determine that the data from our ongoing or potential future clinical trials of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI will be sufficient for approval of enobosarm in any indications. For example, we may observe an unacceptable incidence of adverse events in our ongoing or potential future clinical trials of enobosarm, which could require us to abandon the development of enobosarm.

In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from preclinical and clinical testing could delay, limit, or prevent regulatory approval of a product candidate. Even if we submit an application to the FDA, the EMA and other foreign regulatory authorities for marketing approval of a product candidate, it may not result in any marketing approvals.

We do not expect to receive regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any of our product candidates for the foreseeable future, if at all. The inability to obtain approval from the FDA, the EMA and other foreign regulatory authorities for our product candidates would prevent us or any potential collaborators from commercializing these product candidates in the United States, the EU, or other countries. See the section entitled "Business Government Regulation" under Part 1, Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, for additional information regarding risks associated with marketing approval, as well as risks related to potential post-approval requirements.

Risks Related to Commercialization

The commercial success of any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop will depend upon the market and the degree of market acceptance among physicians, patients, health care payors and the medical community.

Any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop, including enobosarm, may not gain market acceptance for its stated indication among physicians, patients, health care payors and the medical community. If these products do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may not generate material product revenues or receive royalties to the extent we currently anticipate, and we may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

efficacy and safety results in clinical trials;

the prevalence and severity of any side effects;

potential advantages over alternative treatments;

Table of Contents

whether the products we commercialize remain a preferred course of treatment;

the ability to offer our product candidates for sale at competitive prices;

relative convenience and ease of administration;

the strength of marketing and distribution support; and

sufficient third-party coverage or reimbursement.

If we are unable to establish sales and marketing capabilities or establish and maintain agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates, we may be unable to generate product revenue from such candidates.

We have limited experience as a company in the sales, marketing and distribution of pharmaceutical products. In the event one of our product candidates is approved, we will need to establish sales and marketing capabilities or establish and maintain agreements with third parties to market and sell our product candidates. We may be unable to build our own sales and marketing capabilities, and there are risks involved with entering into arrangements with third parties to perform these services, which could delay the commercialization of any of our product candidates if approved for commercial sale. In addition, to the extent that we enter into arrangements with third parties to perform sales, marketing and distribution services, our product revenues are likely to be lower than if we market and sell any products that we develop ourselves.

If we and/or any potential collaborators are unable to obtain reimbursement or experience a reduction in reimbursement from third-party payors for products we sell, our revenues and prospects for profitability will suffer.

Sales of products developed by us and/or any potential collaborators are dependent on the availability and extent of reimbursement from third-party payors, both governmental and private. Changes in the reimbursement policies of these third-party payors that reduce reimbursements for any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop and sell could negatively impact our future operating and financial results.

Medicare coverage and reimbursement of prescription drugs exists under Medicare Part D for oral drug products capable of self-administration by patients. Our oral drug product candidates would likely be covered by Medicare Part D (if covered by Medicare at all). In March 2010, the United States Congress enacted the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or Healthcare Reform Act. The Healthcare Reform Act, among other initiatives, implemented cost containment and other measures that could adversely affect revenues from sales of product candidates, including an increase in drug rebates manufacturers must pay under Medicaid for brand name prescription drugs and extension of these rebates to Medicaid managed care and a requirement that manufacturers provide a 50% discount on the negotiated price of Medicare Part D brand name drugs utilized by Medicare Part D beneficiaries during the coverage gap (so-called "donut hole").

Some of the provisions of the Healthcare Reform Act have yet to be fully implemented, while certain provisions have been subject to judicial and Congressional challenges, as well as efforts by the Trump administration to repeal or replace certain aspects of the Healthcare Reform Act. For example, on January 20, 2017, President Trump signed an Executive Order directing federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the Healthcare Reform Act to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the Healthcare Reform Act that would impose a

Table of Contents

fiscal or regulatory burden on states, individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. In Congress, the U.S. House of Representatives passed Healthcare Reform Act replacement legislation known as the American Health Care Act of 2017 in May 2017, which was not introduced in the Senate. More recently, the Senate Republicans have proposed multiple bills to repeal or repeal and replace portions of the Healthcare Reform Act. Although none of these measures have been enacted, Congress may consider other legislation to repeal or replace certain elements of the Healthcare Reform Act. On October 12, 2017, President Trump signed another Executive Order directing certain federal agencies to propose regulations or guidelines to permit small businesses to form association health plans, expand the availability of short-term, limited duration insurance, and expand the use of health reimbursement arrangements, which may circumvent some of the requirements for health insurance mandated by the Healthcare Reform Act. In addition, citing legal guidance from the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, concluded that cost-sharing reduction, or CSR, payments to insurance companies required under the Healthcare Reform Act had not received necessary appropriations from Congress. President Trump subsequently discontinued these payments. The loss of the CSR payments is expected to increase premiums on certain policies issued by qualified health plans under the Healthcare Reform Act. Tax reform legislation enacted at the end of 2017 eliminated the tax penalty for individuals who do not maintain sufficient health insurance coverage beginning in 2019. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 contained various provisions that affect coverage and reimbursement of drugs, including an increase in the discount that manufacturers of Medicare Part D brand name drugs must provide to Medicare Part D beneficiaries during the coverage gap from 50% to 70% starting in 2019. We continue to evaluate the effect that the Healthcare Reform Act and its possible repeal and replacement has on our business. This legislation and other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future could have a material adverse effect on our industry generally and on our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates, if approved.

Economic pressure on state budgets may result in states increasingly seeking to achieve budget savings through mechanisms that limit coverage or payment for drugs. State Medicaid programs are increasingly requesting manufacturers to pay supplemental rebates and requiring prior authorization for use of drugs where supplemental rebates are not provided. Private health insurers and managed care plans are likely to continue challenging the prices charged for medical products and services, and many of these third-party payors may limit reimbursement for newly-approved health care products. In particular, third-party payors may limit the indications for which they will reimburse patients who use any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop or sell. These cost-control initiatives could decrease the price we might establish for products that we or any potential collaborators may develop or sell, which would result in lower product revenues or royalties payable to us.

Similar cost containment initiatives exist in countries outside of the United States, particularly in the countries of the EU, where the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can extend well beyond the receipt of regulatory marketing approval for a product and may require us or any potential collaborators to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost effectiveness of our product candidates or products to other available therapies. The conduct of such a clinical trial could be expensive and result in delays in our or a potential collaborators' commercialization efforts. Third-party payors are challenging the prices charged for medical products and services, and many third-party payors limit reimbursement for newly-approved health care products. Recently budgetary pressures in many EU countries are also causing governments to consider or implement various cost-containment measures, such as price freezes, increased price cuts and rebates. If budget pressures continue, governments may implement additional cost containment measures. Cost-control initiatives could decrease the price we

Table of Contents

might establish for products that we or any potential collaborators may develop or sell, which would result in lower product revenues or royalties payable to us.

Another development that could affect the pricing of drugs would be if the Secretary of Health and Human Services allowed drug reimportation into the United States. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 gives discretion to the Secretary of Health and Human Services to allow drug reimportation into the United States under some circumstances from foreign countries, including from countries where the drugs are sold at a lower price than in the United States. If the circumstances were met and the Secretary exercised the discretion to allow for the direct reimportation of drugs, it could decrease the price we or any potential collaborators receive for any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop, negatively affecting our revenues and prospects for profitability.

Health care reform measures could hinder or prevent our product candidates' commercial success.

Among policy makers and payors in the United States and elsewhere, there is significant interest in health care reform, as evidenced by the initial enactment of, as well as the efforts to repeal and replace the Healthcare Reform Act in the United States. Aside from the possible repeal and replacement of the Healthcare Reform Act, federal and state legislatures within the United States and foreign governments will likely continue to consider changes to existing health care legislation. These changes adopted by governments may adversely impact our business by lowering the price of health care products in the United States and elsewhere. For example, there has been increasing legislative and enforcement interest in the United States with respect to specialty drug pricing practices. Specifically, there have been several U.S. Congressional inquiries and legislative and administrative initiatives at the federal and state levels intended to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing and modify government program reimbursement for drugs. We cannot predict what health care reform initiatives may be adopted in the future. Further federal, state and foreign legislative and regulatory developments are likely, and we expect ongoing initiatives to increase pressure on drug pricing, which could decrease the price we might establish for products that we or any potential collaborators may develop or sell, which would result in lower product revenues or royalties payable to us.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and new laws, regulations or judicial decisions, or new interpretations of existing laws, regulations or decisions, related to health care availability, method of delivery or payment for health care products and services, or sales, marketing and pricing practices could negatively impact our business, operations and financial condition.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of any products that we may develop.

We face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to our prior commercial sales of FARESTON® and the testing of our product candidates in human clinical trials, and we will face an even greater risk if we commercially sell any product that we may develop. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against claims that our product candidates or products caused injuries, we will incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for any product candidates or products;

injury to our reputation;

withdrawal of clinical trial participants;

costs to defend the related litigation;

Table of Contents

substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;

loss of revenue; and

the inability to commercialize any products for which we obtain or hold marketing approvals.

We have product liability insurance that covers our clinical trials and any commercial products up to a \$25 million annual aggregate limit. Insurance coverage is increasingly expensive. We may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost, and we may not be able to obtain insurance coverage that will be adequate to satisfy any liability that may arise.

If our competitors are better able to develop and market products than any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop, our commercial opportunity will be reduced or eliminated.

We face competition from commercial pharmaceutical and biotechnology enterprises, as well as from academic institutions, government agencies and private and public research institutions. Our commercial opportunities will be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, have fewer side effects or are less expensive than any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop. Competition could result in reduced sales and pricing pressure on our product candidates, if approved, which in turn would reduce our ability to generate meaningful revenue and have a negative impact on our results of operations. In addition, significant delays in the development of our product candidates could allow our competitors to bring products to market before us and impair any ability to commercialize our product candidates.

Various products are currently marketed or used off-label for some of the diseases and conditions that we are targeting in our pipeline, and a number of companies are or may be developing new treatments. These product uses, as well as promotional efforts by competitors and/or clinical trial results of competitive products, could significantly diminish any ability to market and sell any products that we and/or any potential collaborators may develop.

With respect to our SARM program, there are other SARM product candidates in development that may compete with enobosarm and any future SARM product candidates, if approved for commercial sale. We are currently focused on the development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI. There are a variety of treatments that may be used for SUI in women; however, currently, there are no available oral treatment options approved for the treatment of SUI in the United States. Behavioral modification and pelvic floor physical therapy are common initial treatment approaches. Bulking agents, including carbon coated beads (Durasphere® marketed by Coloplast Corp), calcium hydroxylapatite (Coaptite® marketed by BioForm Medical, Inc.) and silicon (Macroplastique® marketed by Cogentix Medical), can be injected into or around the urethra for treating intrinsic sphincter deficiency, a cause of SUI symptoms. Biologic bulking agents including patient-derived adipose stem cells and autologous muscle-derived stem cells (Cook Myosite) are being developed. Recently, an over-the-counter vaginal pessary (Impressa® marketed by Kimberly-Clark) has been approved for the temporary management of urine leakage in women with SUI. Finally, surgical procedures (e.g. sling; bladder neck suspension) have been demonstrated to be effective in some women.

We have also explored the potential of SARMs to treat DMD. DMD is a rare genetic disorder which currently has no cure and leads to a progressive weakening of all the muscles in the body. A number of drugs are in various stages of development by pharmaceutical companies to meet the unmet medical need in DMD. These drugs may compete for patient enrollment during the clinical trial phase, should we be able to advance the development of SARMs as a potential treatment of DMD, or

Table of Contents

commercially if approved. The most advanced development is by those companies who are targeting the genetic mutation with exon skipping or codon blocking therapies including eteplirsen by Sarepta Therapeutics Inc. (FDA approved) and DS-1541b, by Daiichi Sankyo Co which is in a Phase 2 clinical trial. Deflazacort, a glucocorticoid by PTC Therapeutics, is FDA approved. Santhera Pharmaceuticals has initiated an expanded access program in the United States with its synthetic analog of coenzyme Q₁₀, idebenone. Eli Lilly and Company completed a Phase 3 trial with tadalafil, a PDE5 inhibitor, although the study did not meet its primary endpoint. Pfizer Inc. is developing its anti-myostatin monoclonal antibody, PF-06252616, and is currently in a Phase 2 trial. Bristol Myers Squibb Company is developing BMS 986089, an anti-myostatin adnectin, and currently has a Phase 2/3 trial ongoing. Italfarmco S.p.A. has a Phase 3 trial ongoing with givinostat, an HDAC inhibitor. Summit Therapeutics PLC has an ongoing Phase 2 trial with ezutromid, an utrophin upregulator. Cardero Therapeutics Inc. is planning a Phase 2 trial with epicatechin, a flavanol. In addition, Akashi Therapeutics is developing two compounds for DMD, one of which is a SARM. Tarix Orphan is developing TXA127, an angiotensin 1-7 peptide. Fibrogen is developing FG-3019, a monoclonal antibody which inhibits connective tissue growth factor. Catabasis Pharmaceuticals Inc. is developing CAT-1004, an NF-KB inhibitor. ReveraGen Biopharma Inc. has initiated a Phase 2 trial in DMD with VPB 15, a novel glucocorticoid. Capricor Therapeutics has an ongoing Phase 1/2 trial with CAP 1002, cardiosphere derived cells. Solid GT and Sarepta Therapeutics initiated Phase 1/2 trials with adenovirus based microdystrophin products in late 2017.

We have entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with UTRF to develop its proprietary SARD technology which we believe has the potential to provide compounds that can degrade multiple forms of the AR by inhibiting tumor growth in patients with CRPC, including those patients who do not respond or are resistant to current therapies. Drugs in commercial development having potentially similar approaches to removing the AR by degradation include Arvinas Inc.'s ARV-110, which is a chimera with an AR binding moiety on one end and an E3 ligase recruiting element on the other that is in preclinical development for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer, and Androscience Corporation's androgen receptor degrader enhancer, or ARD, which is currently in development for acne and alopecia with the potential for development as a treatment for prostate cancer. Additionally, Essa Pharma Inc. recently completed a Phase 1 study with EPI-506, an AR antagonist that targets the N-terminal domain of the AR, and has plans to develop a second generation agent. C4 Therapeutics, Inc. is developing degronimids as means to degrade the AR through the ligand binding domain associated degradation. CellCentric is developing therapies that target the histone methyltransferase enzyme to lower AR levels and Oric Pharmaceuticals is targeting the glucocorticoid receptor as a means to impact men that have CRPC. In addition to this specific potential mechanistic competition, there are various products approved or under clinical development in the broader space of treating men with advanced prostate cancer who have metastatic CRPC which may compete with our proposed initial clinical objective for our SARD compounds. Pfizer and Astellas Pharma market XTANDI® (enzalutamide), an oral androgen receptor antagonist, for the treatment of metastatic CRPC in men previously treated with docetaxel as well as those that have not yet received chemotherapy. Zytiga®, sold by Johnson & Johnson, has been approved for the treatment of metastatic CRPC. Similarly, Johnson & Johnson acquired Aragon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., which developed a second generation anti-androgen apalutamide (ARN-509) which has recently had an NDA submitted to the FDA for the treatment of men with non-metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer. Bayer HealthCare and Orion Corporation are currently performing a Phase 3 study of darolutamide (ODM-201) in men with CRPC without metastases and with a rising PSA examining safety and efficacy by measuring metastatic free survival. Another target in prostate cancer that is being pursued by several companies is bromodomain inhibition. Zenith Epigenetics, Gilead Sciences Inc. and GlaxoSmithKline are evaluating BET inhibitors in Phase 1-2 trials.

Table of Contents

Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Smaller or early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. These third parties compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel, establishing clinical trial sites and patient registration for clinical trials, as well as in acquiring technologies and technology licenses complementary to our programs or advantageous to our business.

Risks Related to Employees, Growth and Other Aspects of Our Operations

Our internal computer and information technology systems, or those of our CROs or other contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches, or could otherwise face serious disruptions, which could result in a material disruption of our product development efforts.

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems and those of our CROs and other contractors and consultants are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, and telecommunication and electrical failures. Such events could cause interruptions of our operations. For instance, the loss of preclinical data or data from our ongoing and potential future clinical trials involving our product candidates could result in delays in our development and regulatory filing efforts and significantly increase our costs. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of, or damage to, our data, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential, proprietary or protected health information, we could be subject to significant legal and financial exposure and suffer reputation harm, and the development of our product candidates could be delayed. In addition, security breaches and other inappropriate access can be difficult to detect, and any delay in identifying them may lead to increased harm of the type described above. Moreover, the prevalent use of mobile devices to access confidential information increases the risk of security breaches. While we have implemented security measures to protect our information technology systems and infrastructure, there can be no assurance that such measures will prevent service interruptions or security breaches that could adversely affect our business. In addition, our information technology and other internal infrastructure systems, including corporate firewalls, servers, leased lines and connection to the Internet, face the risk of systemic failure that could disrupt our operations. A significant disruption in the availability of our information technology and other internal infrastructure systems could cause delays in our research and development work and could otherwise adversely affect our business.

If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to successfully develop or commercialize our product candidates.

Our success depends on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management, clinical and scientific personnel and on our ability to develop and maintain important relationships with leading academic institutions, clinicians and scientists. If we are not able to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may not be able to successfully develop or commercialize our product candidates. All of our employees are at-will employees and can terminate their employment at any time.

In October 2013, we announced a reduction of approximately 60% of our workforce following our announcement that our POWER trials failed to achieve the results required by the FDA to file a NDA for enobosarm 3 mg for the prevention and treatment of muscle wasting in patients with advanced NSCLC. In addition, since our October 2013 workforce reduction, our former Chief Executive Officer, former Chief Financial Officer and former Chief Scientific Officer have resigned. Primarily as a result of our October 2013 workforce reduction, only 27 employees remained as employees of GTx as of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2017. Accordingly, we have been and are operating with a shortage of resources and may not be able to effectively conduct our operations with this limited number of employees. In addition, we announced past workforce reductions in each of December 2009 and June 2011, and our history of implementing workforce reductions, along with the potential for future workforce reductions, may negatively affect our ability to retain or attract talented employees. Further, to the extent we experience additional management transition, competition for top management is high and it may take many months to find a candidate that meets our requirements. If we are unable to attract and retain qualified management personnel, our business could suffer.

We will need to hire additional employees in order to grow our business. Any inability to manage future growth could harm our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates, increase our costs and adversely impact our ability to compete effectively.

As of December 31, 2017, we had only 27 employees, and we will need to hire experienced personnel to develop and commercialize our product candidates and to otherwise grow our business, and we will need to expand the number of our managerial, operational, financial and other employees to support that growth. Competition exists for qualified personnel in the biotechnology field.

Future growth, if any, will impose significant added responsibilities on members of management, including the need to identify, recruit, maintain and integrate additional employees. Our future financial performance and our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates and to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to manage any future growth effectively.

Management transition creates uncertainties and could harm our business.

We have in the past, and may again in the future, experience significant changes in executive leadership. Changes to company strategy, which can often times occur with the appointment of new executives, can create uncertainty, may negatively impact our ability to execute quickly and effectively, and may ultimately be unsuccessful. In addition, executive leadership transition periods are often difficult as the new executives gain detailed knowledge of our operations, and friction can result from changes in strategy and management style. Management transition inherently causes some loss of institutional knowledge, which can negatively affect strategy and execution. Until we integrate new personnel, and unless they are able to succeed in their positions, we may be unable to successfully manage and grow our business, and our results of operations and financial condition could suffer as a result. In any event, changes in our organization as a result of executive management transition may have a disruptive impact on our ability to implement our strategy and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The market price of our common stock has been volatile and may continue to be volatile in the future. This volatility may cause our stock price and the value of your investment to decline.

The market prices for securities of biotechnology companies, including ours, have been highly volatile and may continue to be so in the future. In this regard, the closing sale price for our common stock has varied between a high of \$13.10 on December 18, 2017 and a low of \$2.92 on June 7, 2017 in the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2017. The market price of our common stock is likely to continue to be volatile and subject to significant price and volume fluctuations. The following factors, in

Table of Contents

addition to other risk factors described in this section, may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock:

new or continued delays in the initiation, enrollment and/or completion of our ongoing and any future clinical trials of enobosarm, or negative, inconclusive or mixed results reported in any of our ongoing and any future clinical trials of enobosarm;

our ability to raise additional capital to carry through with our preclinical and clinical development plans, as well as our current and future operations, and the terms of any related financing arrangements;

reports of unacceptable incidences of adverse events observed in any of our ongoing clinical trials of enobosarm;

announcements regarding further cost-cutting initiatives or restructurings;

uncertainties created by our past and potential future management turnover;

our ability to enter into new collaborative, licensing or other strategic arrangements with respect to our product candidates;

the terms and timing of any future collaborative, licensing or other arrangements that we may establish;

the timing of achievement of, or failure to achieve, our and any potential collaborators' clinical, regulatory and other milestones, such as the commencement of clinical development, the completion of a clinical trial or the receipt of regulatory approval;

announcement of FDA approval or non-approval of our product candidates or delays in or adverse events during the FDA review process;

actions taken by regulatory agencies with respect to our product candidates or our clinical trials, including regulatory actions requiring or leading to a delay or stoppage of our ongoing clinical trials;

the commercial success of any product approved by the FDA or its foreign counterparts;

introductions or announcements of technological innovations or new products by us, our potential collaborators, or our competitors, and the timing of these introductions or announcements;

market conditions for equity investments in general, or the biotechnology or pharmaceutical industries in particular;

regulatory developments in the United States and foreign countries;

changes in the structure or reimbursement policies of health care payment systems;

any intellectual property infringement lawsuit involving us;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;

Table of Contents

changes in financial estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;

hedging or arbitrage trading activity that may develop regarding our common stock;

sales of our common stock and other securities by us, including pursuant to our sales agreement with Stifel;

sales of our common stock by our executive officers, directors and significant stockholders;

the low trading volume of our common stock;

changes in accounting principles; and

additional losses of any of our key scientific or management personnel.

In addition, the stock markets in general, and the markets for biotechnology and pharmaceutical stocks in particular, have experienced significant volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. For example, negative publicity regarding drug pricing and price increases by pharmaceutical companies has negatively impacted, and may continue to negatively impact, the markets for biotechnology and pharmaceutical stocks. Likewise, as a result of significant changes in U.S. social, political, regulatory and economic conditions or in laws and policies governing foreign trade and health care spending and delivery, including the possible repeal and/or replacement of all or portions of the Healthcare Reform Act or greater restrictions on free trade stemming from Trump Administration policies, the financial markets could experience significant volatility that could also negatively impact the markets for biotechnology and pharmaceutical stocks. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

In the past, class action litigation has often been instituted against companies whose securities have experienced periods of volatility in market price. Any such litigation brought against us could result in substantial costs, which would hurt our financial condition and results of operations and divert management's attention and resources, which could result in delays of our clinical trials or commercialization efforts.

Our executive officers, directors and largest stockholders have the ability to control all matters submitted to stockholders for approval.

As of December 31, 2017, our executive officers, directors and holders of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock, including their affiliated or associated entities, held approximately 66.2% of our outstanding common stock, and our executive officers and directors alone, including their affiliated or associated entities, held approximately 33.2% of our outstanding common stock as well as warrants to purchase up to an additional 3.2 million shares of common stock. As a result, these stockholders, acting together, have the ability to control all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election of directors and the approval of mergers or other business combination transactions. The interests of this group of stockholders may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of other stockholders.

Table of Contents

If we fail to meet continued listing standards of The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, our common stock may be delisted. Delisting could adversely affect the liquidity of our common stock and the market price of our common stock could decrease, and our ability to obtain sufficient additional capital to fund our operations would be substantially impaired.

Our common stock is currently listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market. The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, or Nasdaq, has minimum requirements that a company must meet in order to remain listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market. These requirements include maintaining a minimum closing bid price of \$1.00 per share, or the Bid Price Requirement, and the closing bid price of our common stock has in the past been well below \$1.00 per share. In this regard, on December 5, 2016, we effected the Reverse Stock Split, the primary purpose of which was to enable us to regain compliance with the Bid Price Requirement, which compliance was regained on December 20, 2016. However, there can be no assurance that the market price of our common stock will remain in excess of the \$1.00 minimum bid price for a sustained period of time. In any event, there can be no assurance that we will continue to meet the Bid Price Requirement, or any other Nasdaq continued listing requirement, in the future. If we fail to meet these requirements, including the Bid Price Requirement and requirements to maintain minimum levels of stockholders' equity or market values of our common stock, Nasdaq may notify us that we have failed to meet the minimum listing requirements and initiate the delisting process. If our common stock is delisted, the liquidity of our common stock would be adversely affected and the market price of our common stock could decrease, and our ability to obtain sufficient additional capital to fund our operations would be substantially impaired.

Our ability to use our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

Our ability to use our federal and state net operating loss carryforwards to offset potential future taxable income and related income taxes that would otherwise be due is dependent upon our generation of future taxable income before the expiration dates of the net operating loss carryforwards, and we cannot predict with certainty when, or whether, we will generate sufficient taxable income to use all of our net operating loss carryforwards. On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed into law new tax legislation, or the Tax Reform Act. Under the Tax Reform Act, federal net operating losses incurred in 2018 and in future years may be carried forward indefinitely, but the deductibility of such federal net operating losses is limited. It is uncertain if and to what extent various states will conform to the Tax Reform Act. In addition, under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, if a corporation undergoes an "ownership change," generally defined as a greater than 50% change (by value) in its equity ownership over a three-year period, the corporation's ability to use its pre-change net operating loss carryforwards and other pre-change tax attributes (such as research tax credits) to offset its post-change taxable income or taxes may be limited. We completed a study through December 31, 2016 to determine whether any Section 382 limitations exist and, as a result of this study and our analysis of subsequent ownership changes, we do not believe that any Section 382 limitations exist through December 31, 2017. Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code is an extremely complex provision with respect to which there are many uncertainties and we have not established whether the IRS agrees with our determination. In any event, our 2016 and 2017 equity offerings, future equity offerings, including pursuant to the sales agreement with Stifel, and/or changes in our stock ownership, some of which are outside of our control, could in the future result in an ownership change and an accompanying Section 382 limitation. If a limitation were to apply, utilization of a portion of our domestic net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards could be limited in future periods and a portion of the carryforwards could expire before being available to reduce future income tax liabilities.

Table of Contents

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws may delay or prevent an acquisition of us or a change in our management. In addition, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our Board of Directors. Because our Board of Directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions could in turn affect any attempt by our stockholders to replace current members of our management team. These provisions include:

a classified Board of Directors;

a prohibition on actions by our stockholders by written consent;

the ability of our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to institute a "poison pill" that would work to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer, effectively preventing acquisitions that have not been approved by our Board of Directors; and

limitations on the removal of directors.

Moreover, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a person who owns 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Finally, these provisions establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our Board of Directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at stockholder meetings. These provisions would apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders.

If there are substantial sales of our common stock, the market price of our common stock could drop substantially, even if our business is doing well.

For the 12-month period ended December 31, 2017, the average daily trading volume of our common stock on The Nasdaq Capital Market was only 77,271 shares. As a result, future sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur, could adversely affect the then-prevailing market price of our common stock. As of December 31, 2017, we had 21,541,909 shares of common stock outstanding. In addition, as a result of the low trading volume of our common stock, which was exacerbated by the Reverse Stock Split, the trading of relatively small quantities of shares by our stockholders may disproportionately influence the market price of our common stock in either direction. Moreover, in February 2018, we entered into the sales agreement with Stifel under which we may offer and sell shares of our common stock, from time to time, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$50.0 million through Stifel. Sales of our common stock under the sales agreement may be made in sales deemed to be an "at the market offering," including by means of ordinary brokers' transactions. The price for our shares could, for example, decline significantly in the event that a large number of our common shares are sold on the market, including pursuant to the sales agreement with Stifel, without commensurate demand, as compared to an issuer with a higher trading volume that could better absorb those sales without an adverse impact on its stock price.

Table of Contents

In September 2017, we completed a private placement of 5.5 million shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 3.3 million shares of our common stock. In November 2014, we completed a private placement of 6.4 million shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 6.4 million shares of our common stock (as adjusted to give effect to the Reverse Stock Split). Similarly, in March 2014 we completed a private placement of 1.2 million shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 1.0 million shares of our common stock (as adjusted to give effect to the Reverse Stock Split). Pursuant to the terms of the registration rights or securities purchase agreements we entered into in connection with these private placements, we have filed registration statements under the Securities Act registering the resale of an aggregate of approximately 23.8 million shares of common stock that we issued to, or are issuable upon the exercise of warrants that we issued to, the investors in these private placements, which investors include our largest stockholders. Moreover, J.R. Hyde, III and certain of his affiliates, have rights under a separate registration rights agreement with us to require us to file resale registration statements covering an additional 785,000 shares of common stock held in the aggregate or to include these shares in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other stockholders. If Mr. Hyde or his affiliates or any of our other significant stockholders, including the other investors in our private placements, were to sell large blocks of shares in a short period of time, the market price of our common stock could drop substantially.

The recently passed comprehensive tax reform bill could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed the Tax Reform Act into law, which significantly revises the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Tax Reform Act, among other things, contains significant changes to corporate taxation, including reduction of the corporate tax rate from a top marginal rate of 35% to a flat rate of 21%, limitation of the tax deduction for interest expense to 30% of adjusted earnings (except for certain small businesses), limitation of the deduction for net operating losses to 80% of current year taxable income and elimination of net operating loss carrybacks, one time taxation of offshore earnings at reduced rates regardless of whether they are repatriated, immediate deductions for certain new investments instead of deductions for depreciation expense over time, and modifying or repealing many business deductions and credits (including reducing the business tax credit for certain clinical testing expenses incurred in the testing of certain drugs for rare diseases or conditions). Notwithstanding the reduction in the corporate income tax rate, the overall impact of the new Tax Reform Act is uncertain and our business and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, it is uncertain if and to what extent various states will conform to the Tax Reform Act. The impact of the Tax Reform Act on holders of our common stock is also uncertain and could be adverse. We urge our stockholders to consult with their legal and tax advisors with respect to this legislation and the potential tax consequences of investing in or holding our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We sublease approximately 26,000 square feet of office space located at 175 Toyota Plaza, Memphis, Tennessee, under an operating lease which expires on April 30, 2019. We believe that our facilities are currently adequate to meet our needs.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not currently involved in any material legal proceedings.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market for Registrant's Common Equity**

Our common stock began trading on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "GTXI" on February 3, 2004 and was transferred to The Nasdaq Capital Market on March 19, 2015. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the high and low intraday sales prices per share of our common stock (as adjusted to give effect to the one-for-ten reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock effected on December 5, 2016) as reported on The Nasdaq Capital Market.

	2017		2016	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 6.23	\$ 4.51	\$ 8.00	\$ 2.90
Second Quarter	6.31	2.73	8.00	5.00
Third Quarter	9.71	5.09	11.19	5.00
Fourth Quarter	13.35	7.50	9.90	5.14

On March 7, 2018, the closing price of our common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Capital Market was \$21.77 per share and there were approximately 79 holders of record of our common stock.

Performance Graph¹

Below is a line-graph presentation comparing cumulative stockholder returns on our common stock with a broad equity market index that includes companies whose equity securities are traded on the Nasdaq and either a published industry or line-of-business standard index or an index of peer companies selected by us. We have elected to use The Nasdaq Composite Index (which tracks the aggregate price performance of equity securities of companies traded on Nasdaq Stock Market) and The Nasdaq Biotechnology Index (consisting of a group of approximately 197 companies in the biotechnology sector) for purposes of the performance comparison that appears below.

The following graph shows the cumulative total stockholder return assuming the investment of \$100.00 at the closing prices on December 31, 2012 on The Nasdaq Capital Market for: (1) our common stock; (2) The Nasdaq Composite Index and (3) The Nasdaq Biotechnology Index. All values assume reinvestment of the full amounts of all dividends. No dividends have been declared on our common stock. The closing sale price of our common stock on December 29, 2017 as reported on The Nasdaq Capital Market was \$12.71.

The stockholder return shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future stockholder returns.

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among GTx Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index

*\$100 invested on 12/31/12 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

¹ The material in this section is not "soliciting material," is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of GTx, Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund the development and expansion of our business, and therefore we do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

You should read the selected financial data below in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the audited financial statements, notes thereto and other financial information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following selected financial data have been derived from our audited historical financial statements, certain of which are included elsewhere in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. Historical results are not indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
(in thousands, except per share data)					
Statement of Operations Data:					
Expenses:					
Research and development expenses	\$ 21,467	\$ 17,228	\$ 13,607	\$ 20,870	\$ 32,318
General and administrative expenses	9,188	8,705	8,234	9,478	11,281
Total expenses	30,655	25,933	21,841	30,348	43,599
Loss from operations	(30,655)	(25,933)	(21,841)	(30,348)	(43,599)
Other income (expense), net	216	46	57	(259)	1,488
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of warrant liability (a)		8,163	3,081	(8,804)	
Loss from operations before income taxes	(30,439)	(17,724)	(18,703)	(39,411)	(42,111)
Income tax benefit					
Net loss	\$ (30,439)	\$ (17,724)	\$ (18,703)	\$ (39,411)	\$ (42,111)
Net loss per share basic and diluted: (b)					
Net loss per share basic	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.33)	\$ (4.82)	\$ (6.68)
Net loss per share diluted	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.47)	\$ (4.82)	\$ (6.68)

	As of December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
(in thousands)					
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments (c)	\$ 43,899	\$ 21,869	\$ 29,256	\$ 49,295	\$ 14,729
Working capital	38,102	19,687	1,717	17,359	10,604
Total assets	46,236	24,502	32,031	50,651	15,605
Accumulated deficit	(561,637)	(531,198)	(513,474)	(494,771)	(455,360)
Total stockholders' equity	38,261	19,891	1,859	17,829	10,684

(a)

Edgar Filing: GTX INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The gain (loss) on the change in fair value of warrant liability is related to the private placement of warrants completed in November 2014. See Note 6, *Stockholders' Equity*, for further information.

- (b) Net loss per share basic and diluted disclosures have been adjusted to give effect to the one-for-ten reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock effected on December 5, 2016.
- (c) Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments for the year ended December 31, 2017 includes the net proceeds of \$45.6 million received from the private placement of common stock and warrants completed in September 2017. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments for the year ended December 31, 2016 includes the net proceeds of \$13.7 million received from the registered direct offering of common stock completed in October 2016. Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments for the year ended December 31, 2014 includes the net proceeds of \$21.1 million and \$42.8 million received from the private placements of common stock and warrants completed in March and November 2014, respectively. See Note 6, *Stockholders' Equity*, for further information.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and the timing of selected events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of several factors, including those set forth under Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview***Business Overview***

We are a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and commercialization of medicines to treat serious and/or significant unmet medical conditions, including stress urinary incontinence and prostate cancer. Our current strategy is focused on the further development of selective androgen receptor modulators, or SARMS, a class of drugs that we believe has the potential to treat serious medical conditions where unmet medical needs in muscle-related diseases may benefit from increasing muscle mass, such as stress urinary incontinence, or SUI. In 2015, we entered into an exclusive worldwide license agreement with the University of Tennessee Research Foundation, or UTRF, to develop its proprietary selective androgen receptor degrader, or SARD, technology, which we believe has the potential to provide compounds that can degrade multiple forms of androgen receptor, or AR, by inhibiting tumor growth in patients with progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer, or CRPC, including those patients who do not respond to or are resistant to current therapies.

Business Highlights

Our lead SARM candidate, enobosarm (GTX-024), has to date been evaluated in 25 completed or ongoing clinical trials, including in seven Phase 2 and two Phase 3 clinical trials. These trials, excluding the current placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm for the treatment of SUI, have enrolled over 1,700 subjects, of which approximately 1,200 subjects were treated with enobosarm. Enobosarm is the generic name given to the compound by the USAN Council and the World Health Organization and is the first compound to receive the SARM stem in its name, recognizing enobosarm as the first in this class of compounds.

In 2016, we initiated a Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI. This is the first clinical trial to evaluate a SARM for the treatment of SUI. In this ongoing Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial, enobosarm 3 mg is being assessed as a potential treatment for postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is the average number of SUI episodes per day on the 3-day voiding diary at 12 weeks, compared to baseline.

In the third quarter of 2017, we announced top-line clinical trial results from this proof-of-concept clinical trial demonstrating that a daily dose of enobosarm 3 mg substantially improved SUI in women, as well as related quality of life measurements. In this open-label clinical trial, a total of 19 postmenopausal women were enrolled by three clinical sites to receive enobosarm treatment. Of the 18 evaluable patients completing the required 12 weeks of daily treatment, all saw a clinically meaningful reduction (50 percent or greater) in stress leaks per day, compared to baseline. Additionally, data from the 18 evaluable patients completing treatment showed a mean decrease in stress leaks per day of 81 percent overall (5.17 mean leaks/day at baseline to 1.0 mean leaks/day at 12 weeks). Patients are being followed for up to an additional seven months

Table of Contents

post-treatment to assess the durability of treatment effect. Further, women reported improved quality of life measurements at 12 weeks of treatment in various instruments collected in the clinical trial.

In March 2018, we announced updated results from this proof-of-concept clinical trial noting that to date, no patient, including 9 patients who have reached seven months post-treatment, has returned to her baseline level of SUI episodes. Additionally, magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, was used to quantitatively measure muscle in the pelvic floor of 17 women at 12 weeks compared to baseline. The results demonstrated a statistically significant increase in pelvic floor muscle thickness and urethral muscle diameter after enobosarm treatment and support the mechanism of action of enobosarm on the pelvic floor. Further, while all of the women in the trial had predominant SUI, 11 of the 18 women completing 12 weeks of treatment were determined to have both SUI and urge incontinence, or UI, at baseline, and these 11 women with mixed incontinence demonstrated a mean reduction in their UI episodes of approximately 68 percent. 9 of 11 women demonstrated a reduction in their number of UI leaks, compared to baseline, with 8 of 11 demonstrating a clinically meaningful reduction in their UI episodes per day of at least 50 percent.

In this SUI proof-of-concept clinical trial, there were no serious adverse events reported and reported adverse events were minimal and included headaches, nausea, fatigue, hot flashes, insomnia, muscle weakness and acne. Mild transient elevations in liver enzymes that were within normal limits were observed, except for one patient with levels greater than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal which returned to normal following her 12-week treatment period. Reductions in total cholesterol, low-density lipoproteins, or LDL, high-density lipoproteins, or HDL, and triglycerides were also observed.

Based on the results from our enobosarm Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial, in the third quarter of 2017, we initiated a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial at over 60 clinical trial centers in the United States to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of enobosarm treatment. The trial will evaluate the safety and efficacy of enobosarm (1 mg and 3 mg) compared with placebo in approximately 400 postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is a comparison of the percentage of responders between each treatment arm and placebo where a responder is defined as a patient with at least a 50 percent reduction in mean leaks per day at week 12 compared to baseline. We anticipate top-line data from this trial to be available in the second half of 2018.

We commenced enrollment in 2015 in a Phase 2 clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a 9 mg and 18 mg dose of enobosarm in patients whose advanced breast cancer is both estrogen receptor, or ER, positive and AR positive. We announced in November 2016 that enobosarm achieved the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint in the 9 mg dose cohort with 9 patients achieving a clinical benefit response (CBR), defined as a complete response, partial response, or stable disease, among the first 22 evaluable patients in that cohort. In November 2017, we announced that in the 9 mg cohort, a total of 14 patients achieved a CBR following 24 weeks of treatment. We also announced in November of 2017 that the 18 mg cohort achieved the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint as 12 patients achieved a CBR at 24 weeks. Although both the 9 mg and 18 mg cohorts met the primary efficacy endpoint in the Phase 2 clinical trial, after evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, we have decided that the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval in this indication does not warrant further development of enobosarm in this indication at this time.

In 2015, we also commenced enrollment in a Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of an 18 mg dose of enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive triple-negative breast cancer, or TNBC. This clinical trial was conducted utilizing a Simon's two-stage trial design whereby if at least 2 of the first 21 patients achieved clinical benefit, the trial was designed to enroll the second stage, which would result in enrolling 41 evaluable patients in the clinical trial. During the third quarter of 2017, we

Table of Contents

completed our review of the data from the first stage of the clinical trial. While our review of the data did not raise any safety concerns, it did confirm that there were insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue this clinical trial, and we are in the process of closing down the clinical trial.

We have also evaluated several SARM compounds in preclinical models of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, or DMD, where a SARM's ability to increase muscle mass may prove beneficial to patients suffering from DMD, which is a rare disease characterized by progressive muscle degeneration and weakness. In order to further the development of a SARM for the treatment of DMD, we will need to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications.

With respect to SARDs, we believe this class of assets has the potential to treat prostate cancer, as well as other diseases such as benign prostatic hyperplasia and Kennedy's disease. We envision initially developing SARDs as a potentially novel treatment for men with CRPC, including those who do not respond or are resistant to currently approved therapies. Our evaluation of the SARD program is at an early stage. We have ongoing mechanistic preclinical studies to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial.

Our ability to pursue the continued development of SARMS and our SARD program is contingent upon our ability to obtain additional funding. Accordingly, we are actively seeking additional funds through potential collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements to provide us with the necessary resources for the development of all of our preclinical and clinical product candidates. We may not be successful in entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unsuccessful in establishing such arrangements and we are otherwise unable to raise substantial additional capital, we will likely need to alter, delay or abandon our product candidate development plans.

Financial Highlights

Our net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$30.4 million. We expect to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future as we continue our preclinical and clinical development activities. We have funded our operations primarily through the sale of equity securities, collaboration and license agreements, and prior to September 2012, product revenue from sales of FARESTON®, the rights to which we sold to a third party in the third quarter of 2012. We do not expect that any of our product candidates, including enobosarm, will receive any regulatory approvals for the foreseeable future, and it is possible that none of our product candidates will ever receive any regulatory approvals.

At December 31, 2017, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$43.9 million compared to \$21.9 million at December 31, 2016. On September 29, 2017, we completed a private placement of units consisting of an aggregate of 5.5 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3.3 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds to us of approximately \$45.6 million. On October 14, 2016, we completed a registered direct offering of our common stock, in which we sold 1.7 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds to us of approximately \$13.7 million.

Based on our current business plan and assumptions, we estimate that our current cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, together with interest thereon, will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next twelve months. We have based this estimate on our current business plan and assumptions that may prove to be wrong. We could utilize our available capital resources sooner than we currently expect, and we could need additional funding sooner than currently anticipated. Also, our clinical trials may encounter technical, enrollment or other difficulties that could increase our development costs beyond our current estimates or delay our development timelines, and we could otherwise exhaust our available financial resources sooner than we expect. In any event, if we decide to undertake any further development of

Table of Contents

our SARMS or SARD technology beyond our ongoing clinical trials of enobosarm and preclinical development, we would need to obtain additional funding for such development, either through financing or by entering into collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for such further development.

While we have been able to fund our operations to date, we have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates and no source of revenue, nor do we expect to generate product revenue for the foreseeable future. We do not have any commitments for future external funding. Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue, which we may never do, we expect to finance future cash needs through potential collaboration, partnering or other strategic arrangements, as well as through public or private equity offerings or debt financings or a combination of the foregoing. If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may need to reduce our expenditures, perhaps significantly, to preserve our cash. Cost-cutting measures that we may take in the future may not be sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements, and they may negatively affect our business and growth prospects.

Research and Development

Since our inception in 1997, we have been focused on drug discovery and development programs. Research and development expenses include, but are not limited to, our expenses for personnel and supplies associated with our research activities, screening and identification of product candidates, formulation and synthesis activities, manufacturing, preclinical studies, toxicology studies, clinical trials, regulatory and medical affairs activities, quality assurance activities and license fees. We expect that our research and development expenses for fiscal year 2018 will increase as compared to fiscal year 2017 primarily due to our ongoing Phase 2 placebo-controlled clinical trial of enobosarm for the treatment of SUI.

There is a substantial risk that any development program may not produce revenue. Moreover, because of uncertainties inherent in drug development, including those factors described in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we and/or potential future collaborators may not be able to successfully develop and commercialize any of our product candidates.

The successful development and commercialization of our product candidates is highly uncertain. We cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete the development and commercialization of, or the period in which material net cash inflows are expected to commence from, any of our product candidates due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing and commercializing drugs, including the uncertainty of:

the scope, rate of progress and cost of our preclinical and clinical development programs, including our ongoing and any future clinical trials of enobosarm;

the terms and timing of any potential collaborative, licensing and other strategic arrangements that we may establish;

the amount and timing of any licensing fees, milestone payments and royalty payments from potential collaborators, if any;

future clinical trial results;

the cost and timing of regulatory filings and/or approvals to commercialize our product candidates and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of an approved product candidate;

the effect of competing technological and market developments; and

Table of Contents

the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, and the cost of defending any other litigation claims.

Any failure to complete the development of our product candidates in a timely manner could have a material adverse effect on our operations, financial position and liquidity. A discussion of the risks and uncertainties associated with completing our development efforts on schedule, or at all, and some consequences of failing to do so, are set forth under Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel serving executive, finance, legal, human resources, information technology, and investor relations functions. General and administrative expenses also include facility costs, insurance costs, and professional fees for legal, accounting, and public relations services. We expect our general and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2018 to be relatively consistent with fiscal year 2017.

Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Judgments and Estimates

Our management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments related to revenue recognition, income taxes, intangible assets, long-term service contracts, share-based compensation, and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

While our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our financial statements appearing at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we believe that the following accounting policies are most critical to aid you in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include, but are not limited to, our expenses for personnel and supplies associated with our research activities, screening and identification of product candidates, formulation and synthesis activities, manufacturing, preclinical studies, toxicology studies, clinical trials, regulatory and medical affairs activities, quality assurance activities and license fees. We expense these costs in the period in which they are incurred. We estimate our liabilities for research and development expenses in order to match the recognition of expenses to the period in which the actual services are received. As such, accrued liabilities related to third party research and development activities are recognized based upon our estimate of services received and degree of completion of the services in accordance with the specific third party contract.

Share-Based Compensation

We have stock option and equity incentive plans that provide for the purchase or acquisition of our common stock by certain of our employees and non-employees. We measure compensation expense for our share-based payments based on the fair value of the awards on the grant date and recognize the expense over

Table of Contents

the period during which an employee or non-employee director is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

The determination of the fair value of stock options on the date of grant include the expected life of the award, the expected stock price volatility over the expected life of the awards, and risk-free interest rate. We estimate the expected life of options by calculating the average of the vesting term and contractual term of the options. We estimate the expected stock price volatility based on the historical volatility of our common stock. The risk-free interest rate is determined using U.S. Treasury rates where the term is consistent with the expected life of the stock options. Expected dividend yield is not considered as we have not made any dividend payments and have no plans of doing so in the foreseeable future. The fair value of each stock option is amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis between the grant date for the award and each vesting date. During the first quarter of 2017, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Update 2016-09, *Improvements to Employee Share Based Payment Accounting*. This guidance addresses the income tax effects of stock-based payments and eliminates the windfall pool concept, as all of the tax effects related to stock-based payments are now being recorded at settlement (or expiration) through the income statement. The new guidance also permits entities to make an accounting policy election for the impact of forfeitures on the recognition of expense for stock-based payment awards, allowing for forfeitures to be estimated or recognized when they occur. We elected to prospectively adopt the policy that forfeitures be recorded when they occur. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Share-based compensation also includes restricted stock units, or RSUs, granted to employees. We estimate the fair value of RSUs using the closing price of our stock on the grant date. The fair value of RSUs is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards.

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense included within the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(in thousands)		
Research and development expenses	\$ 1,171	\$ 1,260	\$ 1,210
General and administrative expenses	2,146	1,829	1,523
Total share-based compensation	\$ 3,317	\$ 3,089	\$ 2,733

Share-based compensation expense recorded in the statement of operations as general and administrative expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 included share-based compensation expense related to deferred compensation arrangements for our non-employee directors of \$166,000, \$132,000 and \$113,000, respectively. At December 31, 2017, the total compensation cost related to non-vested stock options not yet recognized was approximately \$5.8 million with a weighted average expense recognition period of 3.47 years. At December 31, 2017, the total compensation cost related to non-vested RSUs not yet recognized was approximately \$170,000 with a weighted average expense recognition period of less than one year.

Income Taxes

We account for deferred taxes by recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the

Table of Contents

differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Accordingly, at December 31, 2017 and 2016, net of the valuation allowance, the net deferred tax assets were reduced to zero.

Warrant Liability

In November 2014, we issued warrants to purchase 6.4 million shares of our common stock. At that time, we classified these warrants as a liability on our balance sheet since the warrants contained certain terms that could have required us (or our successor) to purchase the warrants for cash in an amount equal to the value (as calculated utilizing a contractually-agreed Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing valuation model) of the unexercised portion of the warrants in connection with certain change of control transactions occurring on or prior to December 31, 2016, with such cash payment capped at an amount equal to \$1.25 per unexercised share underlying each warrant. As a result of the provision of the warrant requiring cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions, we were required to account for these warrants as a liability at fair value and the estimated warrant liability was required to be revalued at each balance sheet date until the earlier of the exercise of the warrants, the modification to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions or the expiration of such provision on December 31, 2016. Effective March 25, 2016, each of the warrants was amended by agreement of the warrant holders to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions. These warrants were no longer accounted for as a liability at March 31, 2016. We recorded a non-cash reclassification of the warrant fair value to stockholders' equity based on the warrants' fair value as of the March 25, 2016 modification date, with no further adjustments to the fair value of these warrants being required.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. This ASU requires that lessees recognize assets and liabilities on the balance sheet for the present value of the rights and obligations created by all leases with terms of more than 12 months. The ASU also will require disclosures designed to give financial statement users information on the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. This new guidance will be effective for us as of January 1, 2019. We do not expect the adoption of the standard update to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Research and Development Expenses**

The following table identifies the research and development expenses for each of our clinical product candidates, as well as research and development expenses pertaining to our other research and development efforts, for each of the periods presented. Research and development spending for past periods is not indicative of spending in future periods.

Proposed Candidate / Proposed Indication	Program	Years Ended December 31,		
		2017	2016	2015
(in thousands)				
Enobosarm Treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI (1 mg and 3 mg)	SARM	\$ 11,279	\$ 1,286	\$
Enobosarm Treatment of women with ER positive and AR positive advanced breast cancer (9 mg and 18 mg)	SARM	5,541	7,316	4,885
Enobosarm Treatment of women with advanced AR positive TNBC (18 mg)	SARM	2,348	4,853	4,945
Other research and development		2,299	3,773	3,777
Total research and development expenses		\$ 21,467	\$ 17,228	\$ 13,607

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

Research and development expenses increased 25% to \$21.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI substantially increased from the year ended December 31, 2016 due to the initiation of a placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI, which opened for enrollment in the third quarter of 2017, and expenses related to the Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI that initiated enrollment in the first quarter of 2016.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of women with ER positive and AR positive advanced breast cancer decreased from the year ended December 31, 2016 due primarily to the timing and nature of activities related to conducting the Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating enobosarm 9 mg and enobosarm 18 mg in this indication. The clinical trial commenced enrollment during the third quarter of 2015 and completed enrollment in the first quarter of 2017.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of women with AR positive TNBC decreased from the year ended December 31, 2016 due to the timing and nature of activities related to conducting the first stage of the ongoing Phase 2 clinical trial, which commenced enrollment during the fourth quarter of 2015. During the third quarter of 2017, we determined that there were

Table of Contents

insufficient patients achieving clinical benefit from enobosarm treatment to continue this clinical trial and we are in the process of closing down the clinical trial.

"Other research and development" expenses for year ended December 31, 2017 decreased from the prior year primarily due to a decrease in expenses related to our completed Phase 2 clinical trial to evaluate GTx-758, an oral nonsteroidal selective estrogen receptor alpha agonist, as secondary hormonal therapy in men with metastatic CRPC that was completed in 2016. During 2016, we determined to discontinue further development of GTx-758 and will not be making any further investments in this program. Additionally, fewer costs were incurred during the year ended 2017 related to preclinical development of our SARD compounds.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Research and development expenses increased 27% to \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$13.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI during the year ended December 31, 2016 consisted of expenses related to the Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI that initiated enrollment in the first quarter of 2016.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of women with ER positive, AR positive advanced breast cancer increased for the year ended December 31, 2016 from the prior year due primarily to the timing and nature of activities related to conducting the Phase 2 clinical trial evaluating enobosarm 9 mg and enobosarm 18 mg in this indication, which commenced enrollment during the third quarter of 2015 and related to cash bonuses paid to employees upon the achievement of certain development milestones. The prior year period consisted primarily of expenses related to preparatory activities for the Phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of women with ER positive and AR positive advanced breast cancer and expenses related to the previous Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial evaluating enobosarm 9 mg in women who have previously responded to hormonal therapy for the treatment of their metastatic breast cancer.

Research and development expenses for enobosarm for the treatment of women with advanced AR positive TNBC decreased slightly for the year ended December 31, 2016 from the prior year due to the timing and nature of activities related to conducting the ongoing Phase 2 clinical trial, which commenced enrollment during the fourth quarter of 2015. The prior year period consisted primarily of expenses related to preparatory activities for this clinical trial.

"Other research and development" expenses for the year ended December 31, 2016 remained consistent with the prior year primarily due to increases in the preclinical development of our SARD compounds, that was initiated in 2015, and activities relating to evaluating enobosarm and other compounds in our SARM portfolio for indications outside of oncology, offset by decreases in expenses related to the completed Phase 2 clinical trial to evaluate GTx-758 as secondary hormonal therapy in men with metastatic CRPC as this trial was initiated in the third quarter of 2012 and enrollment was completed during the first quarter of 2015.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 6% to \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase during the year ended December 31, 2017 from the prior year was due primarily to an increase in share-based compensation expense.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses increased 6% to \$8.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$8.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in the year ended December 31, 2016 from the prior year was due primarily to cash bonuses paid to employees upon the achievement of certain development milestones of the Phase 2 clinical trial of enobosarm for the treatment of women with ER positive, AR positive advanced breast cancer. This increase was offset by decreases in insurance and legal fees from the prior year period.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income, net for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was \$216,000, \$46,000 and \$57,000, respectively, and consisted of foreign currency transaction gains and losses, interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and other non-operating income or expense. The increase in other income, net for the year ended December 31, 2017 from the prior year was primarily due to interest earned on the net proceeds received from the private placement that was completed in September 2017.

Gain on Change in Fair Value of Warrant Liability

Until March 2016, we recognized a warrant liability due to certain provisions of the warrants issued as part of the November 2014 private placement of common stock and warrants. The warrants were required to be accounted for as a liability at fair value and the fair value was to be revalued at each balance sheet date until the earlier of the exercise of the warrants, the modification to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions or the expiration of such provision on December 31, 2016. The resulting non-cash gain or loss on the fair value revaluation at each balance sheet date was recorded as non-operating income in our statement of operations. When the warrants were revalued at fair value as of December 31, 2014, an increase in fair value of \$8.8 million was recorded for the year then ended as a non-cash loss on the change in fair value of warrant liability. When the warrants were revalued at fair value as of December 31, 2015, the decrease in fair value for the year then ended of \$3.1 million was recorded as a non-cash gain on the change in fair value of warrant liability in our statement of operations.

Effective March 25, 2016, each of the warrants was amended by agreement of the warrant holders to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions. These warrants were no longer accounted for as a liability at March 31, 2016. The Company recorded a non-cash reclassification of the warrant fair value to stockholders' equity based on the warrants' fair value as of the March 25, 2016 modification date, with no further adjustments to the fair value of these warrants being required. At that time a non-cash gain of \$8.2 million was recorded on the change in fair value of the warrant liability in our statement of operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations to date primarily through public offerings and private placements of our securities, as well as payments from our former collaborators. We have incurred significant losses since our inception in 1997 as we have devoted substantially all of our resources to research and development, including our clinical trials. As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$561.6 million, which resulted primarily from:

our research and development activities associated with:

the preclinical development of our SARD program;

the preclinical and clinical development of our SARM compounds, including enobosarm;

Table of Contents

the preclinical and clinical development of our discontinued GTx-758 product candidate for the treatment of advanced prostate cancer;

the development of our discontinued toremifene 80 mg product candidate to reduce fractures and treat other estrogen deficiency side effects of androgen deprivation therapy in men with prostate cancer, including two Phase 2 clinical trials, a Phase 3 clinical trial, and the preparation and submission of a NDA to the FDA;

the development of our discontinued toremifene 20 mg product candidate for the prevention of prostate cancer in high risk men with high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, including a Phase 2b clinical trial and a Phase 3 clinical trial; and

the preclinical development of other product candidates; and

general and administrative expenses.

We expect to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future as we continue our preclinical and clinical development activities and potentially seek regulatory approval of our product candidates. These losses, among other things, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our stockholders' equity and working capital. We do not expect to obtain any regulatory approvals to market any of our product candidates, including enobosarm, for the foreseeable future, and it is possible that none of our product candidates will ever receive any regulatory approvals.

At December 31, 2017, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$43.9 million, compared to \$21.9 million at December 31, 2016 and \$29.3 million at December 31, 2015.

On February 9, 2018, we entered into an At-the-Market Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement, or the sales agreement, with Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, or Stifel, as sales agent pursuant to which we may offer and sell, from time to time, through Stifel, shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$50 million. To date, no shares have been sold under the sales agreement.

On September 29, 2017, we completed a private placement of units consisting of an aggregate of 5.5 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3.3 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds to us of approximately \$45.6 million. The purchasers in the registered direct offering consisted solely of accredited investors that included certain institutional and existing stockholders, including a member of our board of directors.

On October 14, 2016, we completed a registered direct offering of our common stock consisting of 1.7 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$13.7 million. The purchasers in the registered direct offering consisted of certain existing GTx stockholders and certain members of the GTx management team and board of directors.

Table of Contents

The following table shows a summary of our cash flows for the periods indicated:

	Years Ending December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
	(in thousands)		
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (23,460)	\$ (20,778)	\$ (20,035)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(15,126)	2,151	16,211
Net cash provided by financing activities	45,492	13,481	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 6,906	\$ (5,146)	\$ (3,824)

Net cash used in operating activities in all periods resulted primarily from funding our operations.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 primarily resulted from the purchase of short-term investments of \$39.3 million offset by the maturities of short-term investments of \$24.2 million. Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 primarily resulted from the maturities of short-term investments of \$37.6 million offset by the purchase of short-term investments of \$35.4 million. Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 primarily resulted from the maturities of short-term investments of \$71.4 million offset by the purchase of short-term investments of \$55.2 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 reflected net proceeds of \$45.6 million from the issuance of common stock and warrants related to the September 2017 private placement, partially offset by \$156,000 of employee withholding tax payments related to vested RSUs. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 reflected net proceeds of \$13.7 million from the issuance of common stock related to the October 2016 registered direct offering, partially offset by \$208,000 of employee withholding tax payments related to vested RSUs. There was no cash provided by or used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Based on our current business plan and assumptions, we estimate that our current cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, together with interest thereon, will be sufficient to meet our projected operating requirements for at least the next twelve months. We have based this estimate on our current business plan and assumptions that may prove to be wrong. We could utilize our available capital resources sooner than we currently expect, and we could need additional funding sooner than currently anticipated. Also, our clinical trials may encounter technical, enrollment or other difficulties that could increase our development costs beyond our current estimates or delay our development timelines, and we could otherwise exhaust our available financial resources sooner than we expect. In any event, if we decide to undertake any further development of our SARMs or SARD technology beyond our ongoing clinical trials of enobosarm and preclinical development, we would need to obtain additional funding for such development, either through financing or by entering into collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties for such further development.

Our estimate of the period of time or events through which our financial resources will be adequate to support our projected operating requirements is a forward-looking statement and involves risks and uncertainties, and actual results could vary as a result of a number of factors, including the factors discussed under Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and potential commercialization of our product candidates and other research and development activities, including risks and uncertainties that could impact the rate of progress of our development activities, we are unable to estimate with certainty the amounts of increased capital outlays and operating expenditures

Table of Contents

associated with the future development of our product candidates, if any. Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

the scope, rate of progress and cost of our preclinical and clinical development programs, including our ongoing and any future clinical trials of enobosarm;

the terms and timing of any potential collaborative, licensing and other strategic arrangements that we may establish;

the amount and timing of any licensing fees, milestone payments and royalty payments from potential collaborators, if any;

future clinical trial results;

the cost and timing of regulatory filings and/or approvals to commercialize our product candidates and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of an approved product candidate;

the effect of competing technological and market developments; and

the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, and the cost of defending any other litigation claims.

While we have been able to fund our operations to date, we have no ongoing collaborations for the development and commercialization of our product candidates and no source of revenue, nor do we expect to generate product revenue for the foreseeable future. We do not have any commitments for future external funding. Until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenue, which we may never do, we expect to finance future cash needs through potential collaboration, partnering or other strategic arrangements, as well as through public or private equity offerings or debt financings or a combination of the foregoing. If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may need to reduce our expenditures, perhaps significantly, to preserve our cash. Cost-cutting measures that we may take in the future may not be sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements, and they may negatively affect our business and growth prospects.

To the extent that we raise additional funds through potential collaborations, partnering or other strategic arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish rights to some of our technologies or product candidates, or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us, any of which could result in the stockholders of GTx having little or no continuing interest in our SARMs and/or SARDs programs as stockholders or otherwise. To the extent we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience significant dilution and debt financing, if available, may involve restrictive covenants. For example, we completed substantially dilutive private placements of our common stock and warrants in March 2014, November 2014 and September 2017, in addition to a registered direct offering of our common stock that we completed in October 2016. Our stockholders may experience additional, perhaps substantial, dilution should we again raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, including the issuance and sale of our common stock pursuant to the sales agreement with Stifel. Any additional debt or equity financing that we raise may contain terms that are not favorable to us or our stockholders. Our ability to raise additional funds and the terms upon which we are able to raise such funds may be adversely impacted by the uncertainty regarding the prospects of our development of enobosarm for the treatment of postmenopausal women with SUI and our ability to advance the development of enobosarm or SARDs through potential future collaborative, partnering or other strategic relationships, if at all. Our ability to raise additional funds and the terms upon which we

Table of Contents

are able to raise such funds may also be adversely affected by the uncertainties regarding our financial condition, the sufficiency of our capital resources, recent and potential future management turnover, and continued volatility and instability in the global financial markets. As a result of these and other factors, we cannot be certain that sufficient additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2017, we had contractual obligations as follows:

Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾	Total	Payment Due by Period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years
Operating lease obligations ⁽²⁾	\$ 159	\$ 159	\$	\$	\$

- (1) This table does not include any royalty obligations under our SARM and SARD license agreements with UTRF as the timing and likelihood of such payments are not known. In addition to the minimum payments due under our SARM and SARD license agreements, we may be required to pay royalties on any net sales of product if we receive regulatory approval for a SARM, including enobosarm, or SARD product candidate and successfully market the product. Additionally, if we sublicense rights under our SARM or SARD license agreements, we also are obligated to pay a sublicense royalty on any licensing fee or milestone payments we may receive from a sublicensee.
- (2) Our operating lease obligations consist of payments relating to a lease for office space at 175 Toyota Plaza, Memphis, Tennessee, which lease, as of December 31, 2017, expires on April 30, 2018. On March 8, 2018, we amended the lease to extend the term of the lease for an additional 12-month term expiring on April 30, 2019. Not included in the table above are rental payments over the extended term of the lease totaling approximately \$487,000.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements, including the use of standard finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to our cash equivalents on deposit in highly liquid money market funds and investments in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured certificates of deposit. The primary objective of our cash investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our invested cash without significantly increasing risk of loss. We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. The effect of a hypothetical decrease of ten percent in the average yield earned on our cash equivalents and short-term investments would have resulted in an immaterial decrease in our interest income for the year ended December 31, 2017.

In addition, we have exposure to fluctuations in certain foreign currencies in countries in which we conduct clinical trials. Most of our foreign expenses incurred are associated with initiating or conducting clinical trials for enobosarm at clinical trial sites in Europe. Consequently, changes in exchange rates could result in material exchange losses and could unpredictably, materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. A hypothetical 10% increase or decrease in foreign exchange rates would result in an immaterial change in our financial assets and liabilities denominated in euros. This potential change is based on a sensitivity analysis performed on our financial position at December 31, 2017. Actual results may differ materially. We have elected not to hedge our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our financial statements and the reports of our independent registered public accounting firm are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K beginning on page F-1. The index to these reports and our financial statements is included in Part IV, Item 15 below.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

We have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2017.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We, as management of GTx, Inc., are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Any system of internal control, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations, including the possibility that a control can be circumvented or overridden and misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time. Accordingly, even an effective system of internal control will provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017 using the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting as described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework). Based on this evaluation, we concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

On March 8, 2018, we entered into an amendment, or the Amendment, to our office lease with Hertz Memphis Three, LLC with respect to our current office space at 175 Toyota Plaza, Memphis, Tennessee, or the Office Lease. Pursuant to the Amendment, the term of the Office Lease was extended for an additional 12-month term expiring on April 30, 2019. The aggregate rent due over the extended 12-month term, or the Extended Term, is approximately \$487,000. We will also continue to be charged certain taxes and operating expenses as additional rent during the Extended Term. The foregoing description of the Amendment does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Amendment. We intend to file a copy of the Amendment as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending March 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K because we will file our definitive proxy statement for our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A (the "2018 Proxy Statement") not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information included in the 2018 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

(1) The information required by this Item concerning our directors and nominees for director, including information with respect to our audit committee and audit committee financial experts, may be found under the section entitled "Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors" and "Additional Information About the Board of Directors and Certain Corporate Governance Matters" appearing in the 2018 Proxy Statement. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

(2) The information required by this Item concerning compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may be found in the section entitled "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" appearing in the 2018 Proxy Statement. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

(3) The information required by this Item concerning our executive officers is set forth in the section entitled "Management Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K.

(4) Our Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all officers, directors and employees as well as Guidelines on Governance Issues. These documents are available on our Web site (www.gtxinc.com) under "Investors" at "Corporate Governance." We will provide a copy of these documents to any person, without charge, upon request, by writing to us at GTx, Inc., Chief Legal Officer, 175 Toyota Plaza, Suite 700, Memphis, Tennessee 38103. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on our Web site at the address and the location specified above.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item concerning director and executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the sections entitled "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation."

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

(1) The information required by this Item with respect to security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the section entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

Table of Contents

(2) The information required by this Item with respect to securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the section entitled "Equity Compensation Plan Information."

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

(1) The information required by this Item concerning related party transactions is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the section entitled "Related Party Transactions and Indemnification."

(2) The information required by this Item concerning director independence is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the section entitled "Additional Information About the Board of Directors and Certain Corporate Governance Matters Director Independence."

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from the 2018 Proxy Statement under the section entitled "Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

Table of Contents**PART IV****ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a)(1) Index to Financial Statements

Page	Description
F-2	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
F-3	Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017 and 2016
F-4	Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015
F-5	Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015
F-6	Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015
F-7	Notes to Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial statement schedules are omitted as they are not applicable.

(a)(3) See Item 15(b) below.

(b) Exhibits The following exhibits are included herein or incorporated herein by reference:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
2.1	<u>Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of September 28, 2012 between the Registrant and Strakan International S.à r.l.</u>	8-K	000-50549	2.1	10/03/2012
3.1	<u>Restated Certificate of Incorporation of GTX, Inc.</u>	S-3	333-127175	4.1	08/04/2005
3.2	<u>Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of GTX, Inc.</u>	8-K	000-50549	3.2	05/06/2011
3.3	<u>Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of GTX, Inc.</u>	8-K	000-50549	3.3	05/09/2014
3.4	<u>Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of GTX, Inc.</u>	10-Q	000-50549	3.4	05/11/2015
3.5	<u>Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of GTX, Inc.</u>	8-K	000-50549	3.1	12/05/2016
3.6	<u>Amended and Restated Bylaws of GTX, Inc.</u>	8-K	000-50549	3.2	07/26/2007
4.1	Reference is made to <u>Exhibits 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6</u>	-	-	-	-
4.2	<u>Specimen of Common Stock Certificate</u>	S-1	333-109700	4.2	12/22/2003

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
4.3	<u>Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement between Registrant and J. R. Hyde, III dated August 7, 2003</u>	S-1	333-109700	4.4	10/15/2003
4.4	<u>Consent, Waiver and Amendment among Registrant, J. R. Hyde, III and Pittco Associates, L.P. dated December 3, 2007</u>	S-3	333-148321	4.6	12/26/2007
4.5	<u>Waiver and Amendment Agreement among Registrant, J.R. Hyde, III and Pittco Associates, L.P. dated March 6, 2014</u>	10-K	000-50549	4.5	03/12/2014
4.6	<u>Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement among Registrant, J.R. Hyde, III and The Pyramid Peak Foundation, dated August 4, 2014</u>	10-Q	000-50549	4.6	08/05/2014
4.7	<u>Consent, Waiver and Amendment Agreement between Registrant and J.R. Hyde, III and Pittco Associates, L.P., dated August 4, 2014</u>	10-Q	000-50549	4.8	08/05/2014
4.8	<u>Form of Common Stock Warrant, issued by Registrant pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, dated November 9, 2014, between Registrant and the purchasers identified in Exhibit A therein</u>	10-K	000-50549	4.9	03/16/2015
4.9	<u>Form of Warrant Amendment Agreement entered into effective as of March 25, 2016 between Registrant and each holder of a Common Stock Warrant originally issued on November 14, 2014</u>	10-Q	000-50549	4.9	05/10/2016
4.10	<u>Form of Common Stock Warrant, issued by Registrant pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, dated September 25, 2017, between Registrant and the purchasers identified in Exhibit A therein</u>	S-3	333-221040	4.9	10/20/2017
10.1	<u>Consolidated, Amended, and Restated License Agreement dated July 24, 2007, between Registrant and University of Tennessee Research Foundation</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.40	11/09/2007

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporation By Reference			
		Form	SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.2	<u>First Amendment, dated December 29, 2008, to the Consolidated, Amended and Restated License Agreement dated July 24, 2007 between the Registrant and University of Tennessee Research Foundation</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.47	03/03/2009
10.3*	<u>Form of Indemnification Agreement</u>	S-1	333-109700	10.12	12/22/2003
10.4*	<u>Genotherapeutics, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended through December 10, 2009 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016), and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.4	03/24/2017
10.5*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan, as amended through December 10, 2009 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016), and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.5	03/24/2017
10.6*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended through November 3, 2009 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016), and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.6	03/24/2017
10.7*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan, as amended through November 3, 2009 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016), and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.7	03/24/2017
10.8*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as originally adopted, and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	S-1	333-109700	10.5	01/15/2004
10.9*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended effective April 30, 2008</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.6	05/06/2008
10.10*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended effective November 4, 2008 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016) and Form of Stock Option Agreement</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.10	03/24/2017

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.11*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan and Form of Stock Option Agreement, as originally adopted</u>	S-1	333-109700	10.6	01/15/2004
10.12*	<u>Amended and Restated GTx, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, effective April 26, 2006</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.1	04/27/2006
10.13*	<u>Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Amended and Restated GTx, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.35	08/09/2006
10.14*	<u>Amended and Restated GTx, Inc. 2004 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan, as amended effective November 4, 2008 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016)</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.14	03/24/2017
10.15*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, as originally adopted</u>	S-8	333-188377	99.1	05/06/2013
10.16*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended effective May 6, 2015 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016)</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.16	03/24/2017
10.17*	<u>Form of Stock Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement under the GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (Standard Form)</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.2	07/22/2013
10.18*	<u>Form of Retention Stock Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement under the GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.3	11/12/2013
10.19*	<u>Form of Retention Restricted Stock Unit Grant Notice and Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.4	11/12/2013
10.20*	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Unit Grant Notice and Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under the GTx, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.5	05/11/2015
10.21*	<u>GTx, Inc. 2013 Non-Employee Director Equity Incentive Plan, as originally adopted (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016)</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.21	03/24/2017

Edgar Filing: GTX INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.22*	<u>Form of Stock Option Grant Notice and Option Agreement under the GTX, Inc. 2013 Non-Employee Director Equity Incentive Plan</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.4	07/22/2013
10.23*	<u>Employment Agreement dated February 12, 2015, between Registrant and Robert J. Wills</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.4	05/11/2015
10.24*	<u>Employment Agreement dated July 13, 2015, between Registrant and Diane C. Young</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.1	11/09/2015
10.25*	<u>Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated February 12, 2015, between Registrant and Marc S. Hanover</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.25	03/16/2015
10.26*	<u>Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated February 14, 2013, between Registrant and Henry P. Doggrell</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.22	03/05/2013
10.27*	<u>Employment Agreement dated January 6, 2017 between Registrant and Jason T. Shackelford</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.28	03/24/2017
10.28*	<u>Form of Retention Benefits Letter Agreement for Mitchell S. Steiner and Marc S. Hanover</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.1	11/12/2013
10.29*	<u>Form of Retention Benefits Letter Agreement for Jason T. Shackelford and Henry P. Doggrell</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.2	11/12/2013
10.30*	<u>Amended and Restated GTX, Inc. Executive Bonus Compensation Plan, effective November 4, 2008</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.53	03/03/2009
10.31*	<u>2017 Compensation Information for Registrant's Executive Officers</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.2	05/15/2017
10.32*	<u>Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective February 14, 2013</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.28	03/05/2013
10.33*	<u>Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective February 18, 2016 (refiled to reflect reverse stock split effected on December 5, 2016)</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.34	03/24/2017
10.34*	<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation Policy of GTX, Inc., effective January 1, 2016</u>	10-K	000-50549	10.39	03/15/2016

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.35	<u>Lease agreement, dated April 13, 2015, between Registrant and Hertz Memphis Three LLC</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.1	08/10/2015
10.36	<u>Purchase Agreement, dated November 9, 2014, between Registrant and the purchasers identified in Exhibit A therein</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.1	11/10/2014
10.37	<u>Form of Subscription Agreement for October 2016 registered direct offering</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.1	10/12/2016
10.38	<u>Loan Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2017, by and among Registrant, J.R. Hyde, III and The Pyramid Peak Foundation and form of Promissory Note</u>	10-Q	000-50549	10.1	08/14/2017
10.39	<u>Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2017, between Registrant and the purchasers identified on Exhibit A</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.1	09/29/2017
10.40	<u>At-the-Market Equity Offering Sales Agreement, dated February 9, 2018, by and between Registrant and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated</u>	8-K	000-50549	10.1	02/09/2018
23.1+	<u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	-	-	-	-
24.1+	<u>Power of Attorney (included on the signature pages hereto)</u>	-	-	-	-
31.1+	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)</u>	-	-	-	-
31.2+	<u>Certification of Principal Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)</u>	-	-	-	-
32.1+	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. §1350)⁽¹⁾</u>	-	-	-	-
32.2+	<u>Certification of Principal Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. §1350)⁽¹⁾</u>	-	-	-	-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporation By Reference		
			SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date
101.INS ⁺	XBRL Instance Document	-	-	-	-
101.SCH ⁺	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	-	-	-	-
101.CAL ⁺	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	-	-	-	-
101.DEF ⁺	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	-	-	-	-
101.LAB ⁺	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document	-	-	-	-
101.PRE ⁺	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	-	-	-	-

Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. This exhibit omits the information subject to this confidentiality request. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the SEC.

*

Indicates a management contract or compensation plan or arrangement.

+

Filed herewith

(1)

This certification accompanies the Form 10-K to which it relates, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Registrant under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (whether made before or after the date of the Form 10-K), irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None provided.

Table of Contents

<u>/s/ J. R. Hyde, III</u>	Director	March 13, 2018
J. R. Hyde, III		
<u>/s/ Garry A. Neil</u>	Director	March 13, 2018
Garry A. Neil, M.D.		
<u>/s/ Kenneth S. Robinson</u>	Director	March 13, 2018
Kenneth S. Robinson, M.D.		

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-7</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of GTx, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of GTx, Inc. as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of GTx, Inc. at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risk of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 1998.

Memphis, Tennessee
March 13, 2018

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,816	\$ 8,910
Short-term investments	28,083	12,959
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,178	2,429
Total current assets	46,077	24,298
Property and equipment, net	51	81
Intangible assets, net	108	123
Total assets	\$ 46,236	\$ 24,502
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,604	\$ 1,220
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	5,371	3,391
Total current liabilities	7,975	4,611
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value: 60,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016; 21,541,909 and 15,919,572 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	22	16
Additional paid-in capital	599,876	551,073
Accumulated deficit	(561,637)	(531,198)
Total stockholders' equity	38,261	19,891
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 46,236	\$ 24,502

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Expenses:			
Research and development expenses	\$ 21,467	\$ 17,228	\$ 13,607
General and administrative expenses	9,188	8,705	8,234
Total expenses	30,655	25,933	21,841
Loss from operations	(30,655)	(25,933)	(21,841)
Other income, net	216	46	57
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	-	8,163	3,081
Net loss	\$ (30,439)	\$ (17,724)	\$ (18,703)
Net loss per share:			
Basic	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.33)
Diluted	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.47)
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	17,441,280	14,559,541	14,036,468
Diluted	17,441,280	14,559,541	14,777,404

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

GTX, Inc.
STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015
(in thousands, except share data)

	Stockholders' Equity				
	Common Stock		Additional	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in	Deficit	Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	Equity
Balances at January 1, 2015	14,032,564	\$ 14	\$ 512,586	\$ (494,771)	\$ 17,829
Issuance of common stock under deferred compensation arrangements	4,847	-	-	-	-
Directors' deferred compensation	-	-	113	-	113
Share-based compensation	-	-	2,620	-	2,620
Net loss	-	-	-	(18,703)	(18,703)
Balances at December 31, 2015	14,037,411	14	515,319	(513,474)	1,859
Issuance of common stock in October 2016 registered direct offering, net of offering costs	1,728,395	2	13,690	-	13,692
Vesting of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for tax payments	154,170	-	(208)	-	(208)
Directors' deferred compensation	-	-	132	-	132
Share-based compensation	-	-	2,957	-	2,957
Warrant liability reclassification	-	-	19,186	-	19,186
Settlement of fractional shares upon reverse stock split	(404)	-	(3)	-	(3)
Net loss	-	-	-	(17,724)	(17,724)
Balances at December 31, 2016	15,919,572	16	551,073	(531,198)	19,891
Issuance of common stock and warrants in September 2017 private placement, net of offering costs	5,483,320	6	45,642	-	45,648
Vesting of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for tax payments	139,017	-	(156)	-	(156)
Directors' deferred compensation	-	-	166	-	166
Share-based compensation	-	-	3,151	-	3,151
Net loss	-	-	-	(30,439)	(30,439)
Balances at December 31, 2017	21,541,909	\$ 22	\$ 599,876	\$ (561,637)	\$ 38,261

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

GTX, Inc.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (30,439)	\$ (17,724)	\$ (18,703)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability	-	(8,163)	(3,081)
Share-based compensation	3,151	2,957	2,620
Directors' deferred compensation	166	132	113
Depreciation and amortization	47	28	43
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses and other assets	251	204	(1,458)
Accounts payable	1,384	838	(130)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,980	950	561
Net cash used in operating activities	(23,460)	(20,778)	(20,035)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(2)	(90)	(4)
Purchase of short-term investments, held to maturity	(39,283)	(35,404)	(55,219)
Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments, held to maturity	24,159	37,645	71,434
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(15,126)	2,151	16,211
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net proceeds from the issuance of common stock and warrants	45,648	13,692	-
Tax payments related to shares withheld for vested restricted stock units	(156)	(208)	-
Settlement of fractional shares upon reverse stock split	-	(3)	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	45,492	13,481	-
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	6,906	(5,146)	(3,824)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,910	14,056	17,880
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 15,816	\$ 8,910	\$ 14,056

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

1. Business

GTx, Inc. ("GTx" or the "Company"), a Delaware corporation incorporated on September 24, 1997 and headquartered in Memphis, Tennessee, is a biopharmaceutical company dedicated to the discovery, development and commercialization of medicines to treat serious and/or significant unmet medical conditions, including stress urinary incontinence and prostate cancer.

The Company is developing selective androgen receptor modulators ("SARMs"), including its lead product candidate, enobosarm (GTx-024). SARMs are a class of drugs that the Company believes has the potential to treat serious medical conditions where unmet medical needs in muscle-related diseases may benefit from increasing muscle mass, such as stress urinary incontinence ("SUI").

In 2016, the Company initiated a Phase 2 open-label, non-placebo controlled, proof-of-concept clinical trial of enobosarm to treat postmenopausal women with SUI. Based on the results from this ongoing proof-of-concept clinical trial, the Company initiated a randomized, placebo-controlled Phase 2 clinical trial to evaluate the change in the mean number of daily SUI episodes following 12 weeks of enobosarm treatment in the third quarter of 2017. The trial will evaluate the safety and efficacy of enobosarm (1 mg and 3 mg) compared with placebo in approximately 400 postmenopausal women who have demonstrated SUI symptoms for more than six months, with an average of 3 to 15 reported SUI episodes per day over a three-day period, and a positive bladder stress test. The primary endpoint for the clinical trial is the percentage of patients with at least a 50 percent reduction in mean leaks per day, compared to baseline.

The Company commenced enrollment in 2015 in a Phase 2 clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a 9 mg and 18 mg dose of enobosarm in patients whose advanced breast cancer is both estrogen receptor ("ER") positive and androgen receptor ("AR") positive. The Company announced in November 2016 that enobosarm achieved the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint in the 9 mg dose cohort and announced in November 2017 that the pre-specified primary efficacy endpoint was also achieved in the 18 mg dose cohort. After evaluating the breast cancer environment where the treatment paradigms are shifting to immunotherapies and/or combination therapies, along with the time and cost of conducting the necessary clinical trials for potential approval, the Company has decided not to pursue additional clinical development of enobosarm for this indication. During 2015, the Company also commenced enrollment in a Phase 2 proof-of-concept clinical trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of enobosarm in patients with advanced AR positive triple-negative breast cancer ("TNBC"). During the third quarter of 2017, the Company completed its review of the data from the first stage of this clinical trial. While the review of the clinical trial data did not raise any safety concerns, it did confirm that there was insufficient efficacy demonstrated among the treated patients to proceed into the second stage of recruitment of this clinical trial.

The Company has also evaluated several SARM compounds, including enobosarm, in preclinical models of Duchenne muscular dystrophy ("DMD") where a SARM's ability to increase muscle mass may prove beneficial to patients suffering from DMD. The Company will need to enter into new collaborative arrangements or other strategic transactions with third parties with expertise in DMD and orphan drug indications.

In 2015, the Company entered into an exclusive license agreement with the University of Tennessee Research Foundation ("UTRF") to develop UTRF's proprietary selective androgen receptor

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

degrader ("SARD") technology which may have the potential to provide compounds that can degrade multiple forms of AR to treat those patients who do not respond or are resistant to current therapies by inhibiting tumor growth in patients with progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer ("CRPC"). The Company has ongoing mechanistic preclinical studies to select the most appropriate compound to potentially move into a first-in-human clinical trial.

The Company's ability to pursue the continued development of SARMs and its SARD program is contingent upon the Company's ability to obtain additional funding. Accordingly, the Company is actively seeking additional funds through potential collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements to provide the Company with the necessary resources for the development of all of its preclinical and clinical product candidates. The Company may not be successful in entering into new collaborative, partnering or other strategic arrangements with third parties on acceptable terms, or at all. If the Company is unsuccessful in establishing such arrangements and the Company is otherwise unable to raise substantial additional capital, the Company will likely need to alter, delay or abandon its product candidate development plans.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). Additionally, GTx operates in one business segment.

On December 5, 2016, the Company effected a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its common stock through an amendment to its Restated Certificate of Incorporation. As of the effective time of the reverse stock split, every ten shares of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock were automatically combined and reclassified into one issued and outstanding share of common stock, without any change in par value per share. The amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation also reduced the number of authorized shares of common stock from 400,000,000 to 60,000,000 shares. The reverse stock split affected all shares of the Company's common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the reverse stock split. Additionally, as a result of the reverse stock split, proportionate adjustments were made to the per share exercise price and/or the number of shares issuable upon the exercise or vesting of all stock options, restricted stock units and warrants issued by the Company and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time, which resulted in a proportionate decrease in the number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise or vesting of such stock options, restricted stock units and warrants, and, in the case of stock options and warrants, a proportionate increase in the exercise price of all such stock options and warrants. In addition, the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans immediately prior to the effective time was reduced proportionately. No fractional shares were issued as a result of the reverse stock split. Stockholders who have otherwise been entitled to receive a fractional share received a cash payment in lieu thereof.

As the par value per share of the Company's common stock remained unchanged at \$0.001 per share, a total of \$144 was retroactively reclassified from common stock to additional paid-in capital in the Company's balance sheets and statements of stockholders' equity. All references to shares of common stock, all per share data, and all warrant, stock option and restricted stock unit ("RSU") activity for all periods presented in these financial statements and notes to financial statements have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split on a retroactive basis.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts and results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments with initial maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Short-term Investments

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, short-term investments consisted of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insured certificates of deposit with original maturities of greater than three months and less than one year.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Amortization of leasehold improvements is recognized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the leasehold improvement or the lease term. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives as follows:

Office equipment	3 to 5 years
Leasehold improvements	3 to 7 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years
Computer equipment and software	3 years

Warrant Liability

In November 2014, the Company issued warrants to purchase 6,430,948 shares of its common stock. The Company classified these warrants as a liability on its balance sheet since the warrants contained certain terms that could have required the Company (or its successor) to purchase the warrants for cash in an amount equal to the value (as calculated utilizing a contractually-agreed Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing valuation model ("Black-Scholes Model")) of the unexercised portion of the warrants in connection with certain change of control transactions occurring on or prior to December 31, 2016, with such cash payment capped at an amount equal to \$1.25 per unexercised share underlying each warrant. As a result of the provision of the warrants requiring cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions, the Company was required to account for these warrants as a liability at fair value and the estimated warrant liability was required to be revalued at each balance sheet date until the earlier of the exercise of the warrants, the modification to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions or the expiration of such provision on December 31, 2016. Effective March 25, 2016, each of the warrants was amended by agreement of the warrant holders to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions. These warrants were no longer accounted for as a liability as of

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

March 31, 2016. The Company recorded a non-cash reclassification of the warrant fair value to stockholders' equity based on the warrants' fair value as of the March 25, 2016 modification date, with no further adjustments to the fair value of these warrants being required.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Warrant Liability

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments (which include cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and accounts payable) and its prior warrant liability approximate their fair values. The fair value of the warrant liability was estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton Model. See Note 6, *Stockholders' Equity*, for additional disclosure on the valuation methodology and significant assumptions. The Company's financial assets and liabilities are classified within a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value, which is defined as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly
- Level 3 Inputs that are unobservable for the asset or liability

As the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold its certificates of deposit classified as short-term investments until maturity, these investments have been classified as held to maturity investments and are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company considers these to be Level 2 investments as the fair values of these investments are determined using third-party pricing sources, which generally utilize observable inputs, such as interest rates and maturities of similar assets.

Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. The Company has established guidelines relating to diversification and maturities of its cash equivalents and short-term investments which are designed to manage risk. The Company's cash and cash equivalents consist of bank deposits, certificates of deposit, and money market mutual funds. Bank deposits may at times be in excess of FDIC insurance limits. The Company's short-term investments consist of FDIC insured certificates of deposit with original maturities of greater than three months and less than one year.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include, but are not limited to, the Company's expenses for personnel, supplies, and facilities associated with research activities, screening and identification of product candidates, formulation and synthesis activities, manufacturing, preclinical studies, toxicology studies, clinical trials, regulatory and medical affairs activities, quality assurance activities and license fees. The Company expenses these costs in the period in which they are incurred. The Company estimates its liabilities for research and development expenses in order to match the recognition of expenses to the period in which the actual services are received. As such, accrued liabilities related to third party research and development activities are recognized based upon the Company's estimate of services received and degree of completion of the services in accordance with the specific third party contract.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

Patent Costs

The Company expenses patent costs, including legal expenses, in the period in which they are incurred. Patent expenses are included in general and administrative expenses in the Company's statements of operations.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for deferred taxes by recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Accordingly, at December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, net of the valuation allowance, the net deferred tax assets were reduced to zero. See Note 8, *Income Taxes*, for further discussion.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company has stock option and equity incentive plans that provide for the purchase or acquisition of the Company's common stock by certain of the Company's employees and non-employees. The Company recognizes compensation expense for its share-based payments based on the fair value of the awards over the period during which an employee or non-employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. See Note 3, *Share-Based Compensation*, for further discussion.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net consists of foreign currency transaction gains and losses, interest earned on the Company's cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, interest expense, and other non-operating income or expense.

Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share

Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share gives effect to the dilutive potential of common stock consisting of stock options, unvested RSUs and common stock warrants. For the year ended December 31, 2015, since the average market price of the shares underlying common stock warrants exceeded the exercise price of the warrants, and the presumed exercise of such warrants were dilutive to the net loss per share for the period, adjustments to net loss for the period were required to remove the change in fair value of the warrant liability.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

The following table sets forth the computation of the Company's net loss per share is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Basic and diluted net loss per share			
Numerator:			
Net loss basic	\$ (30,439)	\$ (17,724)	\$ (18,703)
Adjustments for the gain on change in fair value of the warrant liability	-	-	(3,081)
Net loss diluted	\$ (30,439)	\$ (17,724)	\$ (21,784)
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	17,441,280	14,559,541	14,036,468
Dilutive warrants	-	-	556,372
Dilutive restricted stock units	-	-	184,379
Dilutive stock options	-	-	185
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	17,441,280	14,559,541	14,777,404
Net loss per share:			
Basic	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.33)
Diluted	\$ (1.75)	\$ (1.22)	\$ (1.47)

Weighted average potential shares of common stock of 9,438,236, 8,162,347, and 838,745 were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, as inclusion of the potential shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect on the net loss per share for the periods. At December 31, 2017, the Company had 21,541,909 shares of common stock outstanding.

Comprehensive Loss

For all periods presented, there were no differences between net loss and comprehensive loss.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. This ASU requires that lessees recognize assets and liabilities on the balance sheet for the present value of the rights and obligations created by all leases with terms of more than 12 months. The ASU also will require disclosures designed to give financial statement users information on the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. This new guidance will be effective for the Company as of January 1, 2019. The Company does not expect the adoption of the standard update to have a significant impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after December 31, 2017 up through the date the financial statements were issued. Other than as set forth below, there were no material recognizable or nonrecognizable subsequent events during the period evaluated.

On February 9, 2018, the Company entered into an At-the-Market Equity OfferingSM Sales Agreement with Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as sales agent ("Stifel"), pursuant to which the Company may offer and sell, from time to time, through Stifel, shares of the Company's common stock, having an aggregate offering price of up to \$50,000. The shares will be offered and sold pursuant to the Company's shelf registration statement on Form S-3.

On March 8, 2018, the Company entered into an amendment, or the Amendment, to its office lease with respect to our current office space at 175 Toyota Plaza, Memphis, Tennessee, or the Office Lease. Pursuant to the Amendment, the term of the Office Lease was extended for an additional 12-month term expiring on April 30, 2019. The aggregate rent due over the extended 12-month term is approximately \$487.

3. Share-Based Compensation

Share-based payments include stock option and RSU grants under the Company's stock option and equity incentive plans and deferred compensation arrangements for the Company's non-employee directors.

The Company has granted and continues to grant to employees and non-employees options to purchase common stock under various plans at prices equal to the fair market value of its common stock on the dates the options are granted as determined in accordance with the terms of the applicable plan. The options have a term of ten years from the grant date and generally vest over three years from the grant date for director and non-employee options and over periods of up to five years from the grant date for employee options. Under the terms of the Company's stock option and equity incentive plans, employees generally have three months after the employment relationship ends to exercise all vested options except in the case of voluntary retirement, disability or death, where post-termination exercise periods are generally longer. The Company issues new shares of common stock upon the exercise of options. The Company estimates the fair value of stock option awards as of the date of the grant by applying the Black-Scholes Model. The application of this valuation model involves assumptions that are judgmental and highly sensitive in the determination of compensation expense.

The fair value of each stock option is amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis between the grant date for the award and each vesting date. During the first quarter of 2017, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Update 2016-09, *Improvements to Employee Share Based Payment Accounting*. This guidance addresses the income tax effects of stock-based payments and eliminates the windfall pool concept, as all of the tax effects related to stock-based payments are now being recorded at settlement (or expiration) through the income statement. The new guidance also permits entities to make an accounting policy election for the impact of forfeitures on the recognition of expense for stock-based payment awards, allowing for forfeitures to be estimated or recognized when they occur. The Company elected to prospectively adopt the policy that forfeitures be recorded when they occur and prior periods have not been adjusted. The

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Additionally, the Company periodically grants RSUs to its employees. The Company estimates the fair value of RSUs using the closing price of its common stock on the grant date. The fair value of the RSUs is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards.

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense included within the statements of operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Research and development expenses	\$ 1,171	\$ 1,260	\$ 1,210
General and administrative expenses	2,146	1,829	1,523
Total share-based compensation	\$ 3,317	\$ 3,089	\$ 2,733

Share-based compensation expense recorded in the statement of operations as general and administrative expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 included share-based compensation expense related to deferred compensation arrangements for the Company's non-employee directors of \$166, \$132 and \$113, respectively. See Note 9, *Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan*, for further discussion of deferred compensation arrangements for the Company's non-employee directors.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the weighted average grant date fair value per share of stock options granted was \$3.80, \$5.45 and \$5.72, respectively. The key assumptions used in determining the grant date fair value of options granted in 2017, 2016 and 2015, and a summary of the methodology applied to develop each assumption is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Expected price volatility	88.6%	91.3%	89.6%
Risk-free interest rate	2.2%	2.0%	1.6%
Weighted average expected life in years	6.9 years	6.9 years	6.0 years
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

Expected Price Volatility This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The Company based its determination of expected volatility on its historical stock price volatility. An increase in the expected price volatility will increase compensation expense.

Risk-Free Interest Rate This is determined using U.S. Treasury rates where the term is consistent with the expected life of the stock options. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.

Expected Life This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding and is determined by calculating the average of the vesting term and the contractual term

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

of the options. The Company has utilized this method due to the lack of historical option exercise information related to the Company's stock option and equity incentive plans. Options granted have a maximum term of ten years. An increase in the expected life will increase compensation expense.

Dividend Yield The Company has not made any dividend payments nor does it have plans to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. An increase in the dividend yield will decrease compensation expense.

The following is a summary of stock option transactions for all of the Company's stock option and equity incentive plans for the three year period ended December 31, 2017:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Options outstanding at January 1, 2015	810,437	\$ 42.39
Options granted	36,500	7.71
Options forfeited or expired	(48,628)	75.36
Options exercised	-	-
Options outstanding at December 31, 2015	798,309	38.80
Options granted	363,500	6.94
Options forfeited or expired	(71,829)	54.65
Options exercised	-	-
Options outstanding at December 31, 2016	1,089,980	27.13
Options granted	977,350	4.97
Options forfeited or expired	(166,834)	48.71
Options exercised	-	-
Options outstanding and vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2017	1,900,496	13.84

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2017:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$4.29 - \$4.71	881,250	9.17	\$ 4.68	-	-
\$4.77 - \$7.80	382,300	8.02	6.90	39,737	7.22
\$9.40 - \$18.80	381,100	6.58	14.67	191,509	16.01
\$26.50 - \$185.20	255,846	2.92	54.53	244,996	55.08
	1,900,496	7.58	13.84	476,242	35.38

Table of Contents

GTX, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

At December 31, 2017, the aggregate intrinsic value of all outstanding options and those options vested and expected to vest was \$9,343 with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 7.58 years. Of the Company's outstanding options, 476,242 options were exercisable and had a weighted average remaining contractual term of 4.54 years and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$238.

There were no options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. At December 31, 2017, the total compensation cost related to non-vested options not yet recognized was \$5,763, with a weighted average expense recognition period of 3.47 years. Shares available for future issuance under the Company's stock option and equity incentive plans were 643,792 at December 31, 2017. On January 1, 2018, shares available for future issuance under the 2013 equity incentive plan and the 2013 non-employee director equity incentive plan increased by an aggregate of 911,676 shares in accordance with the automatic increase provisions of such plans.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company granted 820,000 RSUs to employees, which had a weighted average grant date fair value per share of \$7.20, of which a portion of each award vests annually over a three year period from the date of grant. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company granted 11,000 RSUs to employees, which had a weighted average grant date fair value per share of \$6.40, and vested in full on January 1, 2018.

The following is a summary of the RSU transactions for all of the Company's equity incentive plans for the three year period ended December 31, 2017:

	Number of Shares
Nonvested RSUs outstanding at January 1, 2015	-
RSUs granted	820,000
RSUs vested	-
RSUs forfeited	-
Nonvested RSUs outstanding at December 31, 2015	820,000
RSUs granted	11,000
RSUs vested	(184,001)
RSUs forfeited	(62,000)
Nonvested RSUs outstanding at December 31, 2016	584,999
RSUs granted	-
RSUs vested	(168,499)
RSUs forfeited	(36,000)
Nonvested RSUs outstanding and expected to vest at December 31, 2017	380,500

At December 31, 2017, the total compensation cost related to non-vested RSUs not yet recognized was \$170, with a weighted average expense recognition period of less than one year. The number of RSUs vested during 2017 and 2016 included 29,482 and 29,829 shares, respectively, that were withheld on behalf of the Company's employees to satisfy the statutory tax withholding requirements.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

4. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Computer equipment and software	\$ 1,299	\$ 1,298
Furniture and fixtures	853	853
Leasehold improvements	355	355
Office equipment	211	211
	2,718	2,717
Less: accumulated depreciation	(2,667)	(2,636)
	\$ 51	\$ 81

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$32, \$14, and \$27, respectively. Of these amounts, \$2, \$2 and \$1, respectively, were included in research and development expenses in the statements of operations.

5. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Clinical trials	\$ 4,742	\$ 2,628
General and administrative	314	413
Research and development	312	346
Employee compensation	3	4
	\$ 5,371	\$ 3,391

6. Stockholders' Equity*Authorized Capital*

On December 5, 2016, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to effect a one-for-ten reverse stock split of its outstanding common stock and to effect a reduction in the number of authorized shares of common stock from 400,000,000 to 60,000,000 shares. The Company's certificate of incorporation currently authorizes the Company to issue 60,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share. See Note 2, *Significant Accounting Policies - Basis of Presentation*, for further discussion.

Common Stock

Edgar Filing: GTX INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

On September 29, 2017, the Company completed a private placement of units consisting of an aggregate of 5,483,320 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,289,988 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of \$45,648, after deducting placement agent fees and other

F-17

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

offering expenses. The purchasers in the registered direct offering consisted solely of accredited investors that included certain institutional and existing stockholders, including a member of the Company's board of directors. The warrants, which have five year terms expiring on September 29, 2022, are immediately exercisable and have a per share exercise price of \$9.02. The Company assessed whether the warrants require accounting as derivatives. The Company determined that the warrants were indexed to the Company's own stock. As such, the Company has concluded the warrants meet the scope exception for determining whether the instruments require accounting as derivatives and are classified in stockholders' equity. The fair value of the warrants was estimated at \$21,069 using the Black-Scholes Model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 97%, risk free interest rate of 1.92%, expected life of five years and no dividends. The net proceeds from the private placement were allocated to the common stock and warrants based upon their relative fair values.

On October 14, 2016, the Company completed a registered direct offering of its common stock. Under the terms of the offering, the Company sold 1,728,395 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of \$13,692, after deducting offering expenses.

On November 14, 2014, the Company completed a private placement of units consisting of an aggregate of 6,431,111 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 6,430,948 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of \$42,814, after deducting offering expenses. The net proceeds from the private placement were allocated to the common stock and warrants based upon the fair value method. Similarly, the offering expenses were allocated between the common stock and warrants with the portion allocated to common stock offset against the proceeds allocated to stockholders' equity, whereas the portion allocated to the warrants was expensed immediately. The warrants have a per share exercise price of \$8.50, became exercisable on May 6, 2015 and will continue to be exercisable for four years thereafter. Prior to May 6, 2015, each warrant was subject to net cash settlement if, at the time of any exercise, there was then an insufficient number of authorized and reserved shares of common stock to effect a share settlement of the warrant. Under the terms of the warrants, as of May 6, 2015, the net cash settlement feature of the warrants automatically became inoperative; accordingly, the warrants are exercisable only for shares of the Company's common stock. The warrants, however, also contained certain terms that could have required the Company (or its successor) to purchase the warrants for cash in an amount equal to the value (as calculated utilizing a contractually-agreed Black-Scholes Model) of the unexercised portion of the warrants in connection with certain change of control transactions occurring on or prior to December 31, 2016, with the cash payment capped at an amount equal to \$1.25 per unexercised share underlying each warrant. Due to the provision of the warrants that could have required cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions, the Company was required to account for these warrants as a liability at fair value using the Black-Scholes Model and the estimated warrant liability was required to be revalued at each balance sheet date until the earlier of the exercise of the warrants, the modification to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions or the expiration of such provision on December 31, 2016. Effective March 25, 2016, each of the warrants was amended by agreement of the warrant holders to remove the provision that could require cash settlement upon certain change of control transactions. These warrants were no longer accounted for as a liability at March 31, 2016. The Company recorded a non-cash reclassification of the warrant fair value to stockholders' equity based on the warrants' fair value as of the March 25, 2016 modification date, with no further adjustments to the fair value of these warrants being required.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

The fair value of the warrants on the March 25, 2016 modification date of \$19,186 was estimated using the Black-Scholes Model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 101%, risk-free interest rate of 1.1%, expected life of approximately 3.1 years and no dividends. The fair value of the warrants at December 31, 2015 of \$27,349 was estimated using the Black-Scholes Model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 98%, risk-free interest rate of 1.4%, expected life of approximately 3.4 years and no dividends. The decrease in fair value from December 31, 2015 to March 25, 2016 of \$8,163 was recorded as a non-cash gain on the change in fair value of warrant liability in the Company's statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Each of these completed offerings included certain existing GTx stockholders and/or certain members of the GTx management team and/or board of directors.

7. License Agreements

University of Tennessee Research Foundation License Agreements

The Company and the University of Tennessee Research Foundation ("UTRF") are parties to a consolidated, amended and restated license agreement (the "SARM License Agreement") pursuant to which the Company has been granted exclusive worldwide rights in all existing SARM technologies owned or controlled by UTRF, including all improvements thereto, and exclusive rights to future SARM technology that may be developed by certain scientists at the University of Tennessee or subsequently licensed to UTRF under certain existing inter-institutional agreements with The Ohio State University. Under the SARM License Agreement, the Company is obligated to pay UTRF annual license maintenance fees, low single-digit royalties on net sales of products and mid single-digit royalties on sublicense revenues.

In accordance with the terms of the SARM License Agreement that the Company entered into with UTRF in July 2007, the Company paid a one-time up-front fee of \$290, which was recorded as an intangible asset by the Company. This intangible asset, net at December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$108 and \$123, respectively.

The Company and UTRF also entered into a license agreement in March 2015 pursuant to which the Company was granted exclusive worldwide rights in all existing SARD technologies owned or controlled by UTRF, including all improvements thereto (the "SARD License Agreement"). Under the SARD License Agreement, the Company is obligated to employ active, diligent efforts to conduct preclinical research and development activities for the SARD program to advance one or more lead compounds into clinical development. The Company is also obligated to pay UTRF annual license maintenance fees, low single-digit royalties on net sales of products and additional royalties on sublicense revenues, depending on the state of development of a clinical product candidate at the time it is sublicensed.

8. Income Taxes

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Reform Act") was enacted on December 22, 2017. The Tax Reform Act significantly revised the U.S. corporate income tax regime by, among other things, lowering the U.S. corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018. On December 22, 2017, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 ("SAB 118") to address the application of U.S. GAAP in situations when a registrant does not have the necessary information available, prepared,

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

or analyzed (including computations) in reasonable detail to complete the accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Reform Act. The Company has recognized the provisional tax impacts related to the revaluation of deferred tax assets and liabilities and included these amounts in its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017. The ultimate impact may differ from these provisional amounts, possibly materially, due to, among other things, additional analysis, changes in interpretations and assumptions the Company has made, additional regulatory guidance that may be issued, and actions the Company may take as a result of the Tax Reform Act. The accounting is expected to be complete when the 2017 U.S. corporate income tax return is filed in 2018.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The principal components of the Company's net deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Deferred income tax assets:		
Net federal and state operating loss carryforwards	\$ 110,145	\$ 155,446
Research and development credits	14,757	13,928
Share-based compensation	3,994	6,876
Depreciation and amortization	21	43
Other	-	-
Total deferred tax assets	128,917	176,293
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Other	92	336
Total deferred tax liabilities	92	336
Net deferred tax assets	128,825	175,957
Valuation allowance	(128,825)	(175,957)
	\$ -	\$ -

Realization of deferred income tax assets is dependent upon future earnings, if any, the timing and amount of which are uncertain. Accordingly, due to the Company's history of net operating losses, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance decreased by \$47,132 in 2017 due primarily to the passage of the Tax Reform Act and the reduction in the valuation of the Company's net deferred tax assets as a result of the lowering of the corporate tax rate. The valuation allowance increased by \$9,347 and \$8,101 in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2017, the Company had net federal operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$429,570, which expire from 2018 to 2037 if not utilized. The Company had state operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$381,862, which expire from 2018 to 2037 if not utilized. The Company also had research and development credits at December 31, 2017 of approximately \$14,757, which expire from 2020 to 2037 if not utilized.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

The Company will recognize the impact of a tax position in the financial statements if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit based on the technical merits of the position. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits. Utilization of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership change limitations provided by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and similar state provisions. The annual limitations may result in the expiration of net operating loss carryforwards before utilization. The Company completed a study of its net operating losses through December 31, 2016 to determine whether such amounts are likely to be limited by Section 382. As a result of this study and its analysis of subsequent ownership changes, the Company does not currently believe any Section 382 limitation exists through December 31, 2017. However, any future ownership changes under Section 382 may limit the Company's ability to fully utilize these tax benefits. The Company has not yet conducted an in-depth study of its research and development credits, although the Company periodically reviews assumptions used in its calculations to reflect its best estimate of expected credit. An in-depth study may result in an increase or decrease to the Company's research and development credits and until such study is conducted of the Company's research and development credits, no amounts are being presented as an uncertain tax position. The Company's net deferred income tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance. Therefore, future changes to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits would be offset by an adjustment to the valuation allowance and there would be no impact on the Company's balance sheet, statement of operations, or cash flows. The Company does not expect its unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next 12 months.

The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service and the appropriate state income taxing authorities for all years due to the net loss carryforwards from those years. The Company is currently not under examination by the Internal Revenue Service or any other taxing authorities. The Company has not recorded any interest and penalties on any unrecognized tax benefits since its inception.

9. Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan

Non-employee directors may defer all or a portion of their fees under the Company's Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan until termination of their status as directors. Deferrals can be made into a cash account, a stock account, or a combination of both. Stock accounts will be paid out in the form of Company common stock, except that any fractional shares will be paid out in cash valued at the then current market price of the Company's common stock. Cash accounts and stock accounts under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan are credited with interest or the value of any cash and stock dividends, respectively. Non-employee directors are fully vested in any amounts that they elect to defer under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the Company incurred non-employee director fee expense of \$291, \$257 and \$229, respectively, of which \$166, \$132 and \$113 was deferred into stock accounts and will be paid in common stock following separation from service as a director. At December 31, 2017, 86,379 shares of the Company's common stock had been credited to individual director stock accounts under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, and no amounts had been credited to individual director cash accounts under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.

Table of Contents

GTx, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

10. 401(k) Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) retirement savings plan that is available to all eligible employees. The plan is intended to qualify under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The plan provides that each participant may contribute up to a statutory limit of their pre-tax compensation which was \$18 for employees under age 50 and \$24 for employees 50 and older in calendar year 2017. Employee contributions are held in the employees' name and invested by the plan's trustee. The plan also permits the Company to make matching contributions, subject to established limits. The Company elected to match a portion of employee's contributions to the plan in the amount of \$186, \$200 and \$189 in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

11. Commitments and Contingencies*Operating Lease Commitments*

Prior to April 30, 2015, the Company subleased office space under a sublease that was accounted for as an operating lease. Upon expiration of this lease, the Company entered into a new office lease with respect to the Company's current office space. The new office lease term commenced on May 1, 2015 with a three year term ending on April 30, 2018, with an option to extend the lease for an additional three years. Total rent expense under the operating leases was approximately \$506, \$495 and \$501 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2017, future annual minimum payments under operating lease arrangements were \$159 for the year ended December 31, 2018.

12. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

	2017 Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Expenses:				
Research and development expenses	\$ 4,193	\$ 4,448	\$ 5,914	\$ 6,912
General and administrative expenses	2,087	1,997	2,617	2,487
Total expenses	6,280	6,445	8,531	9,399
Loss from operations	(6,280)	(6,445)	(8,531)	(9,399)
Other income, net	27	40	27	122
Net loss	\$ (6,253)	\$ (6,405)	\$ (8,504)	\$ (9,277)
Net loss per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.53)	\$ (0.43)
Diluted	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.40)	\$ (0.53)	\$ (0.43)
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	16,018,342	16,041,923	16,115,835	21,541,909

Diluted	16,018,342	16,041,923	16,115,835	21,541,909
---------	------------	------------	------------	------------

F-22

Table of Contents

GTX, Inc.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Continued)

	2016 Quarters Ended			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Expenses:				
Research and development expenses	\$ 3,971	\$ 4,058	\$ 4,614	\$ 4,585
General and administrative expenses	2,114	1,999	2,313	2,279
Total expenses	6,085	6,057	6,927	6,864
Loss from operations	(6,085)	(6,057)	(6,927)	(6,864)
Other income, net	28	5	13	-
Gain on change in fair value of warrant liability (a)	8,163	-	-	-
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,106	\$ (6,052)	\$ (6,914)	\$ (6,864)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.44)
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.43)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.44)
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	14,152,204	14,174,914	14,189,226	15,713,210
Diluted	14,344,816	14,174,914	14,189,226	15,713,210

(a) The gain on change in fair value of warrant liability is related to the private placement of warrants completed in November 2014. See Note 6, *Stockholder's Equity*, for further information.