

AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES INC
Form PRE 14A
February 29, 2016

Use these links to rapidly review the document
[PROXY STATEMENT - TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Axcelis Technologies, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form PRE 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
to Be Held May 4, 2016**

The 2016 annual meeting of the stockholders of Axcelis Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at the offices of Locke Lord LLP, 111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, at 1:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 4, 2016 for the following purposes:

1. To elect as directors nine nominees to serve until the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, with the Axcelis Board of Directors' recommended director candidates named in the attached proxy statement.
2. To ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2016.
3. To amend the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan to increase the shares reserved for issuance thereunder.
4. To approve an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation authorizing a reverse stock split at a one-for-four ratio.
5. To cast a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers in 2015.
6. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

These business items are described more fully in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 11, 2016 will be entitled to vote at the annual meeting or at any adjournment.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Dated: March 18, 2016

Lynnette C. Fallon, *Secretary*

A map showing the offices of Locke Lord LLP at 111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, can be found at www.lockelord.com, under the View Offices/Boston tab.

Stockholders should bring identification and, after checking in with the Security Desk in the building lobby, present themselves at the office's main reception on the 20th floor.

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT - TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>SHARE OWNERSHIP OF 5% STOCKHOLDERS</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>SHARE OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>STOCKHOLDER ENGAGEMENT</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>BOARD OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>BOARD COMMITTEES</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE 2012 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 4: APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO IMPLEMENT A REVERSE STOCK SPLIT</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>PROPOSAL 5: ADVISORY VOTE REGARDING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>60</u>

Table of Contents

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT VOTING

The Board of Directors of Axcelis Technologies, Inc. ("Axcelis" or the "Company") is soliciting your proxy for use at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders to be held on Wednesday, May 4, 2016 and at any adjournment of the meeting. This proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card are first being sent or given to stockholders of Axcelis on or about March 18, 2016. The meeting will be held at the offices of Locke Lord LLP, 111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts. A map showing these offices can be found at www.lockelord.com, under the View Offices/Boston tab. Stockholders should bring identification and, after checking in with the Security Desk in the building lobby, present themselves at the office's main reception on the 20th floor.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 4, 2016: This proxy statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders are available on our website at: www.axcelis.com/proxy.html.

Who can vote. You may vote your shares of Axcelis common stock at the annual meeting if you were a stockholder of record at the close of business on March 11, 2016. On that date, there were _____ shares of common stock outstanding. You are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock that you held on the record date.

How to vote your shares. You may vote either by proxy or by attending the meeting and voting in person. To vote by proxy, either (A) complete, sign, date and mail the proxy card or voting instruction form or (B) follow the instructions on the card or form for voting online or by telephone. If your shares are held by a nominee (e.g., a bank or broker), you must request a legal proxy from your nominee as proof of ownership in order to vote in person at the meeting.

The proxies named in the proxy card will vote your shares as you have instructed. If you sign and return the proxy card without indicating how your votes should be cast, the proxies will vote your shares in favor of each proposal, as recommended by our Board of Directors. Even if you plan to attend the meeting, please vote by mail, telephone or online as instructed on the proxy card or voting instruction form to ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting. If you attend the meeting, you can still revoke your proxy by voting in person. If your shares are held in a brokerage or bank account, you must make arrangements with your broker or bank to vote your shares in person.

Proposals to be considered at the annual meeting. The principal business expected to be transacted at the meeting, as more fully described below, will be the re-election of nine directors whose current terms end in 2016, the ratification of the selection of independent auditors of the Company, the approval of an amendment to the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, the authorization of a reverse stock split, and an advisory vote on executive compensation.

Quorum. A quorum of stockholders is required to transact business at the meeting. A majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote, represented at the meeting in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business.

Table of Contents

Number of votes required and the Axcelis Board of Directors' recommendation. The votes required to approve the proposals that are scheduled to be presented at the meeting and the recommendation of Axcelis' Board of Directors on each are as follows:

Election of nine nominees as directors.	Each nominee must receive a plurality of the votes cast.	FOR ALL of the Axcelis Board-recommended nominees named in this proxy statement and on the proxy card
Ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm (our "independent auditors") to audit our financial statements for 2016.	This non-binding proposal will be considered approved if more votes are cast in favor than against.	FOR ratification
Approval of the proposed amendment to the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan.	The amendment will be considered approved if more votes are cast in favor than against.	FOR approval
Approval of an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to effect a 1 for 4 reverse stock split.	The proposal will be considered approved if 75% of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting are cast in favor of the proposal.	FOR approval
Approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as described under "Executive Compensation" in this proxy statement.	This non-binding proposal will be considered approved if more votes are cast in favor than against.	FOR approval

Abstentions. Abstaining from voting on any of the proposals will reduce the number of votes cast as well as the number of votes in favor so will have no impact on the results of voting, except in the case of the reverse stock split proposal, which requires the affirmative vote of 75% of the outstanding common stock. **In the case of the reverse stock split proposal, an abstention is the same as a negative vote.**

Broker non-votes. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker cannot vote a customer's shares registered in the broker's name because the customer did not send the broker instructions on how to vote on the matter and the broker is barred by law or stock exchange regulations from exercising its discretionary voting authority in the particular matter. Brokers will have voting

Table of Contents

discretion for shares registered in their own name on the proposal to ratify the appointment of our independent auditors, but not in the election of directors or the other proposals. Broker non-votes will not be included in the votes cast, so will have no impact on the results of voting with respect to the election of directors and the other proposals, except in the case of the reverse stock split proposal, which requires the affirmative vote of 75% of the outstanding common stock. **In the case of the reverse stock split proposal, a broker non-vote is the same as a negative vote.**

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee. You are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in a brokerage or bank account, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank, or other nominee, which is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank, or other nominee on how to vote the shares in your account. **Your broker, bank, or other nominee will only be able to vote your shares with respect to the proposals at the annual meeting (other than the ratification of the auditor appointment) if you have instructed them how to vote.** Your broker, bank, or other nominee has enclosed a voting instruction form for you to use to direct the broker, bank, or other nominee regarding how to vote your shares. Please instruct your broker, bank, or other nominee how to vote your shares using the voting instruction form. Please return your completed proxy card or voting instruction form to your broker, bank or other nominee and contact the person responsible for your account so that your vote can be counted. If your broker, bank, or other nominee permits you to provide voting instructions via the Internet or by telephone, you may vote that way as well.

Discretionary voting by proxies on other matters. Aside from the proposals for the election of directors, the ratification of our selection of auditors, the approval of an amendment to the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, the advisory vote on executive compensation, and the votes related to the reverse split, we do not know of any other proposals that may be presented at the 2016 annual meeting. If another matter is properly presented for consideration at the meeting, the persons named in the accompanying proxy card will exercise their discretion in voting on the matter.

How you may revoke your proxy. You may revoke the authority granted by your executed proxy card at any time before we exercise it by filing with our Corporate Secretary, Lynnette C. Fallon, a written revocation or a duly executed proxy card bearing a later date, or by voting in person at the meeting. If your shares are held in a brokerage account, you must make arrangements with your broker or bank to revoke your proxy.

Expenses of solicitation. We will bear all costs of soliciting proxies. We will upon request reimburse brokers, custodians and fiduciaries for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in forwarding proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of stock held in their names. In addition to solicitations by mail, our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies from stockholders in person or by other means of communication, including telephone, facsimile and e-mail, without additional remuneration. Also, the Company has retained Georgeson Inc. to aid in soliciting proxies for a fee estimated not to exceed \$10,000 plus expenses.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials. Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be "householding" our proxy statements and annual reports. This means that

Table of Contents

only one copy of our proxy statement and annual report to stockholders may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to you if you call or write us at the following address or telephone number: Axcelis Technologies, Inc., 108 Cherry Hill Drive, Beverly, Massachusetts 01915, Attn: Corporate Secretary, telephone: (978) 787-4000. If you want to receive separate copies of the proxy statement or annual report to stockholders in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker, or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address and telephone number. Our annual report is also available on our website at www.axcelis.com.

Table of Contents**SHARE OWNERSHIP OF 5% STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table shows the amount of our common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2015 by persons known by us to own more than 5% of our common stock.

PRIMECAP Management Company (2) 225 South Lake Ave., #400, Pasadena, CA 91101	13,674,200	11.8%
Donald Smith & Co., Inc. (3) 152 West 57 th Street, New York, NY 10019	11,464,613	9.9%
Senvest Management, LLC and Richard Marshaal (4) 540 Madison Avenue, 32 nd Floor, New York, NY 10022	7,928,993	6.8%
BlackRock, Inc. (5) 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055	6,943,481	6.0%

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, the number of shares beneficially owned by each person listed includes any shares over which a person has sole or shared voting or investment power. The percentage ownership of each person listed in the table was calculated using the total number of shares outstanding on December 31, 2015 (115,981,228 shares).
- (2) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") in February 2016 reporting on ownership as of December 31, 2015. This filing states that PRIMECAP Management Company is a registered investment adviser. According to the Schedule 13G, PRIMECAP Management Company has sole voting power over 11,570,000 shares and sole dispositive power over all of the shares reported in the table.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in February 2016 reporting on ownership as of December 31, 2015, which states that such shares are owned by advisory clients of Donald Smith & Co., Inc., a registered investment adviser. According to the Schedule 13G, Donald Smith & Co., Inc. has sole voting power over 9,748,972 of such shares and the sole power to dispose of all of such shares. According to the Schedule 13G, Donald Smith Value Fund, L.P., Donald Smith Long/Short Equities Fund, L.P. and Velin Mezinev each have sole dispositive power over all the shares reported in the table and sole voting power over 287,600, 43,740, and 27,800 of such shares, respectively.
- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in February 2016 reporting on ownership as of December 31, 2015. This filing reports on beneficial ownership of Senvest Management, LLC ("Senvest") and Richard Marshaal, the managing member of Senvest. It states that the shares are owned by two funds managed by Senvest, and that both Senvest and Mr. Marshaal have shared voting and shared dispositive power over all the shares reported in the table.
- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in January 2016 reporting on ownership as of December 31, 2015. This filing states that BlackRock, Inc. is a holding company whose investment management subsidiaries acquired the shares reported. According to the Schedule 13G/A, BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power over 6,777,071 shares and sole dispositive power of all the shares reported in the table.

Table of Contents**SHARE OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table shows the amount of our common stock beneficially owned as of March 11, 2016 (the record date for the 2016 annual meeting) by our directors, the executive officers named in the *Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table* below, and all of our current executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial Owner (1)	Shares Owned	Shares Subject to Exercisable Rights to Acquire as of May 10, 2016	Total Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
<i>Non-Executive Directors</i>				
Richard J. Faubert	16,000	-	16,000	*
R. John Fletcher	115,185	260,000	375,185	*
Arthur L. George, Jr.	47,250	40,000	87,250	*
Joseph P. Keithley	56,000	160,000	216,000	*
John T. Kurtzweil	26,000	-	26,000	*
Barbara J. Lundberg	27,250	40,000	67,250	*
Patrick H. Nettles	79,685	260,000	339,685	*
Thomas St. Dennis	16,000	-	16,000	*
<i>Named Executive Officers</i>				
Mary G. Puma (2)	456,224	1,512,500	1,968,724	1.68%
Kevin J. Brewer	81,546	818,750	900,296	*
William Bintz	79,719	809,500	889,219	*
John E. Aldeborgh	19,166	550,000	569,166	*
Lynnette C. Fallon	91,287	625,000	716,287	*
<i>All current Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (14 persons) (3)</i>	1,142,410	5,075,750	6,218,160	5.14%

* Indicates less than 1%.

Unless otherwise noted, the number of shares beneficially owned by each person listed includes any shares over which the person has sole or shared voting or investment power. In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the shares shown in the table also include shares that the persons named in this table have the right to acquire on or before May 10, 2016 (60 days after March 11, 2016) by exercising a stock option or other right. Unless otherwise noted, to the knowledge of the Company based on information provided to the Company or filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, each person has sole investment and voting power (or shares that power with his or her spouse) over the shares listed in the table. The percentage ownership of each person listed in the table was calculated using the total number of shares outstanding on March 11, 2016 (shares), plus any shares that person could acquire upon the exercise of any options or other rights on or before May 10, 2016. None of the shares owned or rights to acquire shares are held in a margin account or subject to a pledge.

(2) Ms. Puma's ownership includes 20,000 shares owned by her husband.

(3) Includes shares and exercisable options held by the directors and current named executive officers and one other executive officer holding 31,098 shares (including 12,500 held by the officer's spouse).

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

2015 Annual Meeting Vote Results

At our 2015 annual meeting, 97.7% of votes cast on the advisory vote on the Company's 2014 executive compensation (commonly referred to as "Say-on-Pay"), were FOR approval of the Company's 2014 executive compensation. This was a significant improvement from 2014 (in which the Say-on-Pay vote was 80%), and a return to the support expressed in 2011, 2012 and 2013, which were all over 90% in favor. In addition, in 2015, the average vote in favor of the election of our Board nominees was 97.8%, in comparison to an in favor vote of less than 75% of votes cast in each of the prior three years.

We believe that these voting results reflect our improving financial performance and our Board refreshment initiative. We have also worked to ensure that we align with best practices in corporate governance, and that our executive compensation programs are consistent with peers and other benchmarks.

2015 Shareholder Outreach

We rely on our regular disclosure documents and routine investor relations to ensure that our stockholders understand our performance, our potential, our governance policies and compensation practices.

Routine Investor Relations. We routinely engage with our shareholders to discuss our business, performance, and strategy. These discussions sometimes also cover Board composition, governance policies and executive compensation. Our investor relations program includes press releases on product shipments, earnings, and other material matters; quarterly earnings conference calls; participation in industry conferences arranged by investment banks; non-deal roadshows arranged by investment analysts and others supporting our stock; one-on-one meetings in connection with conferences, roadshows or otherwise; and routine phone and email conversations with shareholders.

During 2015, in addition to our routine quarterly earnings calls and follow up meetings, Axcelis presented and held one-on-one meetings at 8 investor conferences, held in New York City, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Boston and Minneapolis. We also conducted 9 "non-deal" roadshows with 6 different equity analysts, visiting a total of 54 investment firms in New York, San Francisco, San Diego, Boston, Los Angeles, Dallas, Wilmington, DE, Philadelphia and Cleveland. Additionally during 2015, Axcelis held a technology seminar for equity analysts to educate them on future uses for ion implant technology and advantages of the Axcelis Purion ion implanters.

Addressing Stockholder Concerns. In our discussions with our investors and analysts, it is clear that our stockholders are primarily interested in our financial performance. Board refreshment, governance policies and executive compensation are also important. Below we summarize our position on these key issues during 2015:

Improved Financial Performance. Our revenues in 2015 increased almost 50% from 2014, to \$301.5 million, and we recorded a net income of \$14.7 million in comparison to a net loss of \$11.3 million in 2014. We believe that the most fundamental interest of our

Table of Contents

shareholders is in consistent, profitable, financial performance and we expect to continue to provide such results in 2016.

Enhanced Financial Stability. In 2015, we completed a sale leaseback of our headquarters building, adding \$33.5 million in cash to the balance sheet and eliminating our bank debt. In addition, we generated \$18.4 million in cash from operations in 2015.

Board Refreshment Initiative. In 2015 we elected nine directors, of whom three were new nominees, two had served for one year and three had served for longer terms. Three long tenured directors retired at our 2015 annual meeting.

Commitment to Governance Best Practices. We implemented a number of governance policy changes in 2014 and 2015, as described in our 2015 proxy statement. In May 2015, Mr. Nettles, an independent director, became Chairman of our Board of Directors, a position previously held by our Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Puma. Our overall ISS Governance QuickScore is a 2 out of 10 (where 1 is the best score), and we have scores of 1 in each of Board Structure, Audit and Compensation categories, with a score of 5 in Shareholder Rights, reflecting our charter provisions prohibiting shareholder calls of special meetings and actions by written consent. These prohibitions help maintain an orderly shareholder meeting process and protect the rights of minority shareholders. Key governance policies are outlined below under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies.*"

Benchmarked Compensation Programs. In 2015, our Compensation Committee engaged Pearl Meyer & Partners, an independent compensation consultant ("Pearl Meyer"), to develop a revised peer group and to assess the alignment of both our independent director compensation and our executive compensation against this revised peer group and our performance. See "*Proposal 1: Election of Directors Compensation of Directors,*" and "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" below.

Outcome of Outreach Efforts. We found both our direct interaction with investors and third party input extremely informative and valuable, and we are very appreciative of the time that our investors took to speak with us.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors has fixed the number of directors at nine effective as of the 2016 annual meeting. The number of directors is subject to increase or decrease by action of the Board. Our Board of Directors is nominating all of the current directors for re-election at the 2016 annual meeting. Each director and nominee will, if elected, hold office for a term of one year until our annual meeting in 2017 and until the director's successor is elected and qualified. Each of the Board's nominees has consented to serve if elected. However, if any nominee is unable to serve, proxies will be voted for any other candidate nominated by the Board.

The Board recommends a vote FOR each of the nine Axcelis nominees. These nominees represent a balance of long tenured and newer directors with a strong mix of relevant experience. Axcelis' Nominating and Governance Committee and Board have evaluated each of the Axcelis nominees against the factors and principles Axcelis uses to select nominees for director. Based on this evaluation, our Nominating and Governance Committee and Board have concluded that it is in the best interests of Axcelis and its stockholders for each of the proposed nominees listed below to serve as a director of Axcelis.

Table of Contents

The average tenure of the eight Axcelis independent director nominees is less than five years:

Our independent nominees bring a strong mix of relevant experience:

Table of Contents

The following table contains biographical information about the nominees for election and the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills of the nominees that led to the conclusion that each of these individuals should serve as a director of the Company, in light of our business and structure:

Richard J. Faubert: director since 2015, age 68

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Richard J. Faubert retired as President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of AmberWave Systems Corporation, a semiconductor technology company, in December 2010, where he had served from September 2003. From 1998 through 2002, Mr. Faubert served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of SpeedFam-IPEC, Inc., a manufacturer of semiconductor equipment. Upon the sale of SpeedFam-IPEC to Novellus Systems, Inc., a semiconductor capital equipment manufacturer, Mr. Faubert served as Executive Vice President of Novellus Systems until April 2003. Prior to his employment with SpeedFam-IPEC, Mr. Faubert held executive and management positions at Tektronix, Inc., a test, measurement, and monitoring company, and GenRad, Inc., an electronics testing and manufacturing company. Mr. Faubert has served on the Board of Directors of Electro Scientific Industries, Inc., a provider of laser-based manufacturing solutions for the microtechnology industry, from 2003 to 2015. Mr. Faubert previously served on the Board of Directors of RadiSys Corporation, a provider of wireless infrastructure solutions for telecom, aerospace, and defense applications, from 1993 until 2012. Mr. Faubert also served on the North American Advisory Board of SEMI, a global industry association serving the manufacturing supply chain for the micro- and nano-electronics industries, from 2001 to 2011.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Faubert's qualifications to serve as a director include his extensive technology leadership experience in the semiconductor-capital equipment industry. He also brings significant manufacturing, engineering, research and development, business and operations experience in a high technology environment. Mr. Faubert has served on the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Technology Committee since his election to the Board in May 2015. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Table of Contents

R. John Fletcher: director since 2003, age 70

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Mr. Fletcher is Chief Executive Officer of Fletcher Spaght, Inc., a strategy consulting organization, which he founded in 1983, and Managing Director of Fletcher Spaght Ventures, a venture capital fund. Prior to founding Fletcher Spaght, Inc., Mr. Fletcher was a Manager at the Boston Consulting Group. Mr. Fletcher is also a director of The Spectranetics Corporation, a manufacturer of single-use medical devices used in cardiovascular procedures. During the past five years, he was also a director of AutoImmune, Inc. and Marina Biotech, Inc.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Fletcher's extensive work experience in strategic planning, especially in the area of market analysis for technology-based businesses, has been beneficial to the Board's understanding of the Company's business opportunities. Mr. Fletcher's work also provides him with insight into capital formation matters which may be beneficial in the future. Mr. Fletcher has served on the Compensation Committee since May 2006 (and as Committee Chairman since May 2015), on the Audit Committee from April 2004 to May 2014 and on the Technology Committee since May 2014. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Arthur L. George, Jr.: director since 2014, age 54

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Mr. George retired in 2014 after a 30 year career at Texas Instruments, one of the world's largest semiconductor companies and a highly innovative, high performing global leader in analog, embedded processing and wireless technologies. Mr. George's career began in 1984 as a test engineer in TI's Logic Operations, and he most recently served as Senior Vice President and Manager of TI's Analog Engineering Operations, a position he held from 2010. Prior to that, beginning in 2006, Mr. George served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of TI's High Performance Analog business unit. Mr. George also serves on the Board of Directors of Nordson Corporation, a manufacturer of precision dispensing equipment for applying industrial liquid and powder coatings, adhesives, and sealants.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. George brings to the Board significant executive general management experience as well as extensive operational and new product development experiences in high technology markets. Mr. George's experience with Texas Instruments' high performance analog products used in a wide range of industrial products gives him insight into the semiconductor and semiconductor capital equipment industries and affords the Board a unique perspective in identifying strategic opportunities and tactical risks attendant to the semiconductor electronics market. Mr. George has served on the Compensation Committee and the Technology Committee since May 2014. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Table of Contents

Joseph P. Keithley: director since 2011, age 67

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Mr. Keithley is Non-Executive Chairman of the Board of Nordson Corporation, a position he has held since February 2010. Nordson Corporation manufactures precision dispensing equipment for applying industrial liquid and powder coatings, adhesives, and sealants. Mr. Keithley served as Chairman of the Board of Keithley Instruments, Inc., a provider of measurement solutions to the semiconductor, fiber optics, telecommunications and electronics industries from 1991 to December 2010. He also served as Keithley Instruments, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer from November 1993 to December 2010 and as President from May 1994 to December 2010. Mr. Keithley also currently serves as a director of Materion, Inc., an integrated producer of high performance specialty engineered materials used in a variety of electrical, electronic, thermal and structural applications.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Keithley brings extensive, broad-based international business and executive management and leadership experience from his leadership roles at Keithley Instruments, Inc. to his role as a member of our Board of Directors. Among other things, Mr. Keithley draws upon his extensive knowledge in the global semiconductor and electronics industries garnered while leading Keithley Instruments, Inc. Mr. Keithley also has extensive public company board and governance experience. Mr. Keithley has served as a member of the Audit Committee since joining the Board in 2011 and the Board has benefited from his continuing service on that committee. In addition, Mr. Keithley served as a member of the Technology Committee until May 2015, at which time he joined the Nominating and Governance Committee as chair. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

15

Table of Contents

John T. Kurtzweil: director since 2015, age 59

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Mr. Kurtzweil is VP Finance of Cree, Inc., a company that develops, manufactures, and sells lighting-class light emitting diode, lighting, and semiconductor products for power and radio-frequency applications, and Chief Financial Officer of Wolfspeed, a Cree Company, positions he has held since June 2015. He was an independent consultant from October 2014 to June 2015. From June 2012 until September 2014, Mr. Kurtzweil served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Special Advisor to the CEO of Extreme Networks, Inc., a provider of high-performance, open networking innovations for enterprises, services providers, and Internet exchanges, and served as its Chief Accounting Officer from November 2012 until June 2014. From September 2006 to June 2012, Mr. Kurtzweil served as Executive Vice President, Finance and as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Cree, Inc. From May 2004 to September 2006, Mr. Kurtzweil was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Cirrus Logic, Inc., a fabless semiconductor company. Mr. Kurtzweil served as a Board member for Meru Networks, Inc. from May 2015 to July 2015.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Kurtzweil brings to the Board significant senior executive leadership experience, including nineteen years as chief financial officer of publicly traded technology companies and placing an aggregate of \$1.9 billion in equity and debt instruments. His technology industry experience includes several M&A transactions and when combined with his treasury experience, gives him a valuable perspective as a director. His qualifications to serve as a director also include that he is a certified public accountant and certified management accountant, his financial market experience, training through the Stanford Directors College, active membership with National Association of Corporate Directors and his qualifications as an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Kurtzweil has served on the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee since his election to the Board in May 2015. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Table of Contents

Barbara J. Lundberg: director since 2014, age 63

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Ms. Lundberg has been serving since September 2015 as a Managing Director of Alvarez & Marsal, a consulting firm assisting companies in restructuring or facing opportunities for growth or value creation ("A&M"). Before joining A&M, she served as an independent advisor/consultant to several companies and venture capital firms in Europe and the US, and from May 2012 to November 2013, she served as CEO/Vice Chairman of the Supervisory Board of Tele Fonika Kable, the third largest cable manufacturer in Europe. From 2004 through 2011, Ms. Lundberg worked as an investment advisor/consultant, primarily with Kolaja & Partners, now part of A&M. Between 1999 and 2001, she was CEO of Elektrim SA, a multi-industry conglomerate and one of the largest public companies in Poland. From 1990 through 1999, she was Executive Vice President of the Polish American Enterprise Fund (PAEF) where she co-founded Enterprise Investors (EI), the first private equity fund manager in Central Europe. Prior to that, Ms. Lundberg worked primarily with US technology and emerging growth businesses, including opening the Silicon Valley office of Apax Partners.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Ms. Lundberg's deep familiarity with the issues confronting growing technology based equipment companies is an asset. In particular, Axcelis benefits from Ms. Lundberg's management, restructuring and value creation experience. At Elektrim and as a private equity investor, Ms. Lundberg invested in over 100 companies, led the divestiture and acquisition of many businesses and formed multiple corporate joint ventures. Her experience with M&A could assist Axcelis in the future. In addition, we value her extensive background in financing transactions for technology based companies, which includes both common stock and convertible bond offerings. Ms. Lundberg has served as Chairman of the Audit Committee since May 2015 and has served as a Member on the Audit and the Nominating and Governance Committees since May 2014. The Board highly values her contributions in these roles.

Table of Contents

Patrick H. Nettles: director since 2001, age 72

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Mr. Nettles has served as the non-executive Chairman of the Board of the Axcelis Board of Directors since May 2015. He also serves as the Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of CIENA Corporation, a manufacturer of optical networking equipment, since May 2001. Prior to that, Mr. Nettles served as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of CIENA from October 2000, as its President, Chief Executive Officer and Director from April 1994, and as its Director and Chief Executive Officer from February 1994. Mr. Nettles is a director of Progressive Corporation.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. Nettles' work experience as a chief executive officer and chief financial officer of a global capital equipment business gives him the skills to provide leadership and guidance to management and the other Board members in respect to the financial and operational matters affecting Axcelis. Mr. Nettles has also had meaningful experience in corporate transactions, especially in the area of mergers and acquisitions, which has been helpful to management and the other Board members in recent years and may be beneficial in the future. In addition to serving as Axcelis' Chairman of the Board of Directors, Mr. Nettles has served as Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee from 2002 to 2015 and the Board has benefited from his strong leadership in that area. Mr. Nettles also served as a member of the Audit Committee during a portion of 2011 and 2012. In addition, Mr. Nettles serves as Chairman of the Technology Committee. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Mary G. Puma: director since 2000, age 58

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Ms. Puma is Axcelis' Chief Executive Officer (since January 2002) and President (since May 2000). Ms. Puma also served as Chairman of the Board from 2005 to the 2015 annual meeting. Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer, Ms. Puma served as Chief Operating Officer from May 2000. In 1998, she became General Manager and Vice President of the Company's predecessor, the Implant Systems Division of Eaton Corporation, a global diversified industrial manufacturer. In May 1996, she joined Eaton as General Manager of the Commercial Controls Division. Prior to joining Eaton, Ms. Puma spent 15 years in various marketing and general management positions for General Electric Company. Ms. Puma is also a director of Nordson Corporation and of Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International (SEMI), a trade association.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Ms. Puma's long experience in our industry, as well as her role as Axcelis' Chief Executive Officer and President allow her to provide essential insight into the Company's past and current business operations which is critical to the Board's decision-making in all financial and operational matters affecting Axcelis. Ms. Puma's strong leadership during challenging periods of the Company's history, notably her oversight of a complete revitalization of the Company's product lines while implementing substantial cost reductions, have been highly valued by the Board.

Table of Contents

Thomas St. Dennis: director since 2015, age 62

Business Experience and Other Directorships

Thomas St. Dennis is the Executive Chairman of FormFactor, Inc., a leading provider of semiconductor wafer test technologies and expertise, a position he assumed in October 2013, having served as FormFactor's Chief Executive Officer from September 2010 to December 2014. Mr. St. Dennis has held various positions at Applied Materials, Inc., a semiconductor equipment manufacturer, from 1992 to 1999 and again from 2005 to 2009. His most recent role at Applied Materials was as Senior Vice President and General Manager of the Silicon Systems Group. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. St. Dennis was Executive Vice President of Sales and Marketing at Novellus Systems, Inc., a supplier of deposition, thermal processing and surface preparation equipment used in the manufacturing of semiconductors. Novellus was acquired by Lam Research Corporation in June 2012. Mr. St. Dennis currently serves on the Boards of FormFactor and Mattson Technology, Inc., a leading supplier of dry strip and rapid thermal processing equipment to the semiconductor industry.

Experience, Qualifications and Attributes

Mr. St. Dennis's prior experience in the semiconductor industry as well as his extensive international business background will make him an effective advisor to the Board regarding strategic and marketing issues. His experience and skills are highly valued by our Board. Mr. St. Dennis has served on the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Technology Committee since his election to the Board in May 2015. The Board highly values his contributions in these roles.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Board of Directors Independence and Meetings

The Board of Directors has determined that, other than Ms. Puma, all directors who served on the Board during 2015, who are nominated for re-election in 2016 are independent under the criteria established by Nasdaq, and that the members of the Audit Committee also meet the additional independence requirements of the SEC. None of the directors, to the Company's knowledge, had any business, financial, family or other type of relationship with the Company or its management (other than as a director and stockholder of the Company), except for any relationships that the Board considered to be immaterial under the Nasdaq independence standards.

In determining that each such director is independent, the Board considers whether Axcelis purchases and sells products and services from and to companies (or their affiliates) at which directors are or have been employed as officers or serve as directors. Mr. Kurtzweil serves as a vice president of Cree, Inc., a customer of the Company. The Company's transactions with Cree, Inc. are carried on in an arms-length commercial relationship, and there is no reason to conclude that the relationship would interfere with the exercise of Mr. Kurtzweil's independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The amount received from Cree, Inc. by the Company in the past three fiscal years was below the total revenue threshold in the Nasdaq independence standards (that is, the greater of \$200,000 or 5% of Axcelis' consolidated gross annual revenues). Accordingly, this relationship was not determined by the Board to impair the independence of Mr. Kurtzweil. See also "*Corporate Governance Certain Relationships and Related Transactions 2015 Related Party Disclosures.*"

The Board also determined that the members of the Audit and Compensation Committees meet additional independence requirements under SEC rules, Internal Revenue Code rules and additional Nasdaq rules.

Our Board of Directors held eight meetings during 2015. Independent directors have regularly scheduled executive sessions at which only independent directors are present. The rate of attendance at 2015 Board meetings, and of those committees of which a director is a member, was 98.6%. All Board members are expected to attend the annual meeting of stockholders, subject to special circumstances. All current Board members in office immediately prior to the 2015 annual meeting attended the annual meeting in 2015.

Board of Directors Leadership Structure

Mr. Nettles became Chairman of the Board of Directors in May 2015. Mr. Nettles is an Independent Director (as defined in the listing standards for the Nasdaq Stock Market), as required for the Chairman position by our Governance Guidelines. Our Governance Guidelines enumerate the responsibilities of the Chairman. We believe this leadership structure serves the Company and our stockholders well by providing independent leadership of the Board of Directors. However, the Board could modify our Governance Guidelines in the future to permit a non-independent Chairman, if they felt that was in the best interests of our stockholders. In that case, our policy has been to have an independent Lead Director who assumes the primary duties of the Chairman of the Board.

Table of Contents**Compensation of Directors**

The Nominating and Governance Committee has responsibility under its charter to review and recommend non-employee director compensation for adoption by the full Board.

2015 Director Cash Compensation. In May 2015, following a benchmark study by Pearl Meyer, on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board approved a change in the method of setting cash compensation for non-employee directors, effective July 1, 2015. Prior to the change, non-employee directors received meeting fees and retainers based on the following schedule:

Retainers (paid in quarterly installments)

Lead Director/Non-Executive Chairman	\$50,000
Board Member (not Lead Director)	\$30,000
Audit Committee Chairman	\$15,000
Compensation Committee Chairman	\$10,000
Nominating and Governance Committee Chairman	\$7,500

Meeting Fees (paid quarterly following meetings)

In Person Board Meetings	\$2,000 per meeting
Telephone Board Meetings	\$1,000 per meeting
In Person or Telephone Committee Meetings	\$1,000 per meeting, only to committee members

Table of Contents

Beginning in July 2015, cash compensation for non-employee directors is based solely on retainers in accordance with the following schedule:

Annual Cash Retainers, paid quarterly in advance	
<i>Board Member Retainer</i>	\$50,000
<i>Independent Chairman Premium</i>	\$20,000
<i>Committee Chairmen Retainers</i>	
Audit Committee Chairman	\$15,000
Compensation Committee Chairman	\$10,000
Nominating and Governance Committee Chairman	\$7,500
Technology Committee Chairman	\$7,500
<i>Committee Member (not Chairman) Retainers</i>	
Audit Committee Member	\$10,000
Compensation Committee Member	\$7,500
Nominating and Governance Committee Member	\$5,000
Technology Committee Member	\$5,000

Non-employee directors also receive reimbursement of reasonable (coach-only airfare) and customary out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board and committee meetings. Non-employee directors do not receive any Company-paid perquisites.

The Board of Directors may, from time to time, form committees in addition to the Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Governance and Technology Committees and set compensation for service on such additional committees.

2015 Equity Awards. On May 13, 2015, upon approval of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Compensation Committee approved the issuance under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan of restricted stock units ("RSUs") exercisable for 16,000 shares of common stock to each of the non-employee directors, effective July 15, 2015. These option grants became fully vested on January 12, 2016 (181 days after the date of grant) as each director remained in service on that date. In addition, the Compensation Committee approved the grant on May 13, 2015 to each of the new members of the Board of Directors, Mr. Faubert, Mr. Kurtzweil and Mr. St. Dennis, of RSUs for 45,000 shares, vesting as to 25% of the shares on each of May 15, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019. Long-term ownership of Company equity by directors is encouraged through the Company's director stock ownership guidelines, which are discussed below under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies.*"

Table of Contents

The chart below shows compensation for all non-employee directors who served the Company during 2015:

Richard J. Faubert	\$	37,050	\$	189,910	\$	226,960
R. John Fletcher	\$	59,500	\$	48,160	\$	107,660
Arthur L. George, Jr.	\$	58,250	\$	48,160	\$	106,410
Stephen R. Hardis (4)	\$	37,000	\$	-	\$	37,000
William C. Jennings (4)	\$	32,500	\$	-	\$	32,500
Joseph P. Keithley	\$	65,250	\$	48,160	\$	113,410
John T. Kurtzweil	\$	39,800	\$	189,910	\$	229,710
Barbara J. Lundberg	\$	64,000	\$	48,160	\$	112,160
Patrick H. Nettles	\$	76,000	\$	48,160	\$	124,160
H. Brian Thompson (4)	\$	32,000	\$	-	\$	32,000
Thomas St. Dennis	\$	37,050	\$	189,910	\$	226,960

- (1) The amount shown represents the grant date fair value of the equity awards received by the director in 2015 determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, using the assumptions described in the Stock Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation Note to the Company's Financial Statements included in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for 2015.
- (2) The stock awards reflect the grant date fair value of 16,000 RSUs to each of non-employee directors on July 15, 2015. These awards vested as to 100% of the RSUs on January 12, 2016. In the case of Mr. Faubert, Mr. Kurtzweil and Mr. St. Dennis, these amounts also include the grant date fair value of 45,000 RSUs to each of these directors, first elected in 2015, on May 15, 2015. These awards vest as to 25% of the RSUs on each of May 15, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. The only other stock awards held by non-employee directors at December 31, 2015 were 33,750 unvested RSUs held by Mr. George and Ms. Lundberg.
- (3) None of the non-employee directors received stock option grants in 2015. As of December 31, 2015, the non-employee directors in office held the following total stock options, all of which were fully vested and had exercise prices as set forth below:

R. John Fletcher	260,000	\$0.44/\$1.99
Arthur L. George, Jr.	40,000	\$1.80/\$1.80
Joseph P. Keithley	160,000	\$0.93/\$1.99
Barbara J. Lundberg	40,000	\$1.80/\$1.80
Patrick H. Nettles	260,000	\$0.44/\$1.99

- (4) Mr. Hardis, Mr. Jennings and Mr. Thompson retired as members of the Board of Directors at the 2015 annual meeting in May. None of those former directors received any equity compensation in 2015.

Table of Contents

BOARD COMMITTEES

Our Board has standing Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Governance and Technology committees, each of which has a Chairman and two or more additional members from among the independent directors. The current composition of each of these committees is set forth below:

Committee membership is reviewed by the Board annually after each annual meeting.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter and is responsible for assisting the Board of Directors in monitoring and oversight of (1) the integrity of the Company's financial statements and its systems of internal accounting and financial controls and (2) the independence and performance of the Company's independent auditors and any internal auditors engaged by management or the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has adopted procedures for the handling of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters which are described in our Ethics policy. The Audit Committee's charter and the Company's Ethics policy are both available on our website at www.axcelis.com. Until May 13, 2015, the Audit Committee consisted of William C. Jennings (Chairman), Ms. Lundberg and Mr. Keithley. On that date, following the 2015 annual meeting, the Audit Committee was reconstituted by the Board with Ms. Lundberg (Chairman), Mr. Keithley and Mr. Kurtzweil.

The Board of Directors determined that each of Messrs. Keithley and Kurtzweil and Ms. Lundberg are audit committee financial experts as defined by the SEC. The Board's conclusions regarding the qualifications of a director as an audit committee financial expert are based on the director's certification that the director has (1) an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements; (2) the ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves; (3) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities; (4) an understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting; and (5) an understanding of audit committee functions.

For a report on the Audit Committee's actions during 2015, see the "*2015 Audit Committee Report*" below.

Table of Contents

2015 Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee schedules meetings to occur after the preparation of quarterly and annual financial statements and prior to the public release of financial results for the period. The Committee met in May, July and November of 2015, prior to the release of the financial results for the first, second and third quarters of 2015, respectively, and in February 2016, prior to the release of our 2015 year-end results. If appropriate, additional meetings may also be held during the year to address a variety of recurring and non-recurring topics, such as the Company's internal control systems, changes to the Audit Committee charter and other matters.

The Audit Committee met nine times during 2015. At all of these meetings, Axcelis' Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Controller were present for all or a portion of the meeting, as were our independent auditors. Our Chief Executive Officer and General Counsel participated in a majority of these meetings. The Committee's agenda is established by the Committee's Chairman, with input from the Company's Chief Financial Officer. Depending on the content of the meeting, the Committee holds private sessions with the Company's independent auditors, and, separately, with management, at which candid discussions of financial management, accounting and internal control issues can take place. In its executive sessions with representatives of the independent auditors, the Committee seeks to engage in a meaningful dialogue to address any questions or concerns identified by the Committee and to obtain an understanding of any questions or concerns of the auditors.

Under its charter, the Audit Committee has responsibility for recommending to the Board the appointment of the independent auditing firm, which firm will be accountable directly to the Audit Committee, as representative for the stockholders of the Company. In selecting and evaluating an independent auditing firm, the Audit Committee considers the firm's history with the Company, if any; the firm's familiarity with the Company's industry and the significant accounting principles relating to the Company's business; the firm's general reputation; and the firm's estimated fees. Once the firm is appointed, the Audit Committee has the sole authority for the compensation of the firm, as well as the definition of the scope of, and oversight of, the work of the independent auditor. All audit and non-audit fees due the independent auditing firm are pre-approved by the Audit Committee based on the type of service and level of fees. The Audit Committee also provides oversight and input to the selection of the audit engagement partner at the appointed firm.

At the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to audit our financial statements for 2015. At the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, our stockholders ratified this appointment. The Audit Committee discussed with our independent auditors and the Company's Chief Financial Officer overall audit scopes and plans, the results of external audit examinations, evaluations by the auditors of the Company's internal controls and the quality of the Company's financial reporting.

Management has reviewed with the Audit Committee the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 prepared by management and audited by Ernst & Young LLP, management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and Ernst & Young LLP's evaluation of our internal control over financial

Table of Contents

reporting. The review of these audited financial statements included a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

In addition, the Committee discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), and received from the independent auditors their annual written reports covering matters required to be discussed by the auditors with the Committee under the PCAOB's Rule 3526, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*. These items were discussed with the auditors and management at an Audit Committee meeting, including a discussion of any relationship that may impact the objectivity and independence of our auditors and whether the provision of any non-audit services by the auditors is compatible with maintaining their independence.

In reliance on these reviews and discussions, and the report of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that such audited financial statements be included in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the SEC and in the Annual Report to Stockholders which accompanies this proxy statement.

The Committee and the Board have also recommended, subject to reconsideration in the absence of stockholder ratification, the selection of the Company's independent auditors for the current year, as discussed below under "*Proposal 2: Ratification of the Appointment of Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.*"

In performing all of these functions, the Audit Committee acts only in an oversight capacity. Necessarily, in its oversight role, the Committee relies on the work and assurances of the Company's management, who have the primary responsibility for financial statements and reports, and of the independent auditors, who in their report on the audited annual financial statements, express an opinion on the conformity of the Company's annual financial statements to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

By the Audit
Committee,

Barbara J. Lundberg,
Chairman
Joseph P. Keithley
John T. Kurtzweil

Compensation Committee

Until May 13, 2015, the Compensation Committee was composed of H. Brian Thompson (Chairman), Stephen R. Hardis, Mr. Fletcher and Mr. George. For the remainder of 2015, the Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Fletcher (Chairman), George, Kurtzweil and Nettles. The Compensation Committee holds four regularly scheduled meetings per year and occasionally calls special meetings or acts by written consent to address particular matters. In 2015, the

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee met six times. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter, a copy of which is available on our website at www.axcelis.com.

The Compensation Committee establishes the compensation philosophy for Axcelis and has all the authority of the Board of Directors to act or exercise corporate powers with respect to the compensation of the executive officers and the administration of Axcelis' equity compensation plans. The Compensation Committee is responsible to ensure that an annual review of executive officer performance and succession planning is presented to the Board.

The Compensation Committee meets in the first quarter of each year to establish the goals and targets applicable to the executives' annual incentive compensation for the coming year, as well as to determine the results for the year just ended. In 2015, annual equity compensation decisions for executive officers were made on May 13, 2015 with the grants effective on July 15, 2015. Other compensation decisions are made throughout the year, as circumstances warrant and as described in detail in "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" below. The Committee may delegate its authority under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan to the extent permitted by applicable law, including delegating to executive officers the authority to make awards other than to directors or executive officers.

To support its decision-making processes, from time to time, the Compensation Committee accesses the advice of an independent compensation consultant with respect to the structure and competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation programs, as well as the programs' consistency with the Company's executive compensation philosophy. The Committee has the sole authority to hire and fire all outside compensation consultants providing information and advice to the Committee. In 2015, the Company engaged Pearl Meyer to review compensation paid to the non-employee directors and to the six executive officers. Pearl Meyer has not provided any other services to the Company. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee must assess and consider the independence of any retained advisor under the criteria set forth in the Nasdaq listing standards.

At the request of the Committee, the Chief Executive Officer will make specific proposals to the Committee regarding compensation for executive officers. Management will often work with the Committee's outside consultant to ensure that the consultant has access to the appropriate information to enable the consultant to complete its analyses for the Committee. Management ensures that the consultant's invoices are paid from Company funds. The Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice President HR/Legal usually participate in Compensation Committee meetings to present and discuss the material. After such a discussion, executives other than the Chief Executive Officer will leave the meeting, allowing the Compensation Committee time to meet alone with Ms. Puma, after which she leaves the Committee in executive session. All decisions on executive compensation are made by the Compensation Committee in executive session without Ms. Puma.

For a discussion on the Compensation Committee's decisions relating to executive compensation during 2015, see "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" below. The Compensation Committee also makes recommendations to the Board with respect to policies relating to compensation, including the Company's director and officer stock ownership guidelines, executive compensation clawback policy, and policies relating to the

Table of Contents

ownership of Axcelis securities by directors and officers. See "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*" below.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors consisted of Mr. Thompson, as Chairman, Mr. Hardis, Mr. Fletcher and Mr. George during the period ended May 2015, and, thereafter the committee was comprised of Mr. Fletcher, as Chairman, Mr. George, Mr. Kurtzweil and Mr. Nettles. None of these directors has been an officer or employee of Axcelis or had a relationship during 2015 requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

Nominating and Governance Committee

Until May 13, 2015, the Nominating and Governance Committee was composed of Mr. Nettles (Chairman), Mr. Hardis, Mr. Thompson and Ms. Lundberg. On that date, following the 2015 annual meeting, the Nominating and Governance Committee was reconstituted by the Board with Mr. Keithley (Chairman), Ms. Lundberg, Mr. Faubert and Mr. St. Dennis as members.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for identifying and nominating candidates for membership on the Board of Directors, making recommendations to the Board on non-employee director compensation and establishing governance policies for the Board and management. The Committee operates under a written charter and Governance Guidelines, copies of which are available on our website at www.axcelis.com. The Committee held six meetings in 2015. The Committee has the sole authority to hire and fire all outside consultants providing information and advice to the Committee.

Under a process established by the Nominating and Governance Committee, the Board of Directors undertakes an annual self-evaluation of Board size, function and management interaction. In addition, each Board member completes an annual self and peer performance review.

The Nominating and Governance Committee manages the process of identifying and recommending individuals to either (A) be nominated by the Board of Directors to be elected as directors by the shareholders or (B) to be appointed by the Board as a director until the next annual meeting of stockholders, as discussed below under "*Corporate Governance Board Nomination Process and Requirements*."

The Nominating and Governance Committee also takes the lead in advising the Board on the adoption of Bylaw provisions relating to the nomination of directors and the process for determining the agenda for shareholder meetings. See "*Corporate Governance Board Nomination Process and Requirements*" and "*Corporate Governance Annual Meeting Stockholder Deadlines*" below. The Nominating and Governance Committee also adopts, or recommends to the Board the adoption of, Bylaw provisions and governance policies that relate to the operation of the Board and committees and the Company's relationship with shareholders, which are described below under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*." The Nominating and Governance Committee, together with the Compensation Committee, makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the Company's director and officer stock ownership guidelines, executive compensation clawback policy, and policies relating to the ownership of Axcelis securities by directors and officers. See "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*" below.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Governance Policies

Our Board and committees seek to implement best governance practices, both on general corporate governance matters and on compensation. Key policies are as follows:

Adhere to High Ethical Standards and Legal Compliance: Our ethics policy applies to directors, our executive officers and all other employees. This policy promotes ethical actions and legal compliance. We provide employee training on ethics, compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Export Controls regulation, employment laws, and Insider Trading regulation.

Ensure we have an Independent Chairman of the Board: Our Governance Guidelines require that the Chairman of the Board is an independent director and specify the responsibilities of that role.

Ensure Directors and Officers Hold Stock in Axcelis: Our Stock Ownership Guidelines require that non-employee directors own shares having a value at least equal to three times the amount of the annual base Board retainer (which is currently \$50,000). Our Chief Executive Officer is required to own shares having a value equal to three times her base salary. The other executive officers are required to hold the lesser of 65,000 shares or shares having a value equal to 150% of such officer's base salary. Executive officers are encouraged to retain 50% of any shares received on exercise of options or vesting of whole share awards (after payment of the exercise price and tax withholding), until stock ownership guidelines are met. Directors and executive officers have 5 years to meet guideline ownership.

Strong CEO Performance Review Process: In early 2016, the Board adopted new Governance Guidelines which clarify the process by which an annual Chief Executive Officer performance review is developed and submitted to the full Board for their consideration, with input from the Chief Executive Officer, the independent Chairman of the Board and other Board members.

Fail to Refresh our Board: Our Governance Guidelines require an annual Board self-evaluation prior to the re-election nomination process. These Guidelines also create opportunities to exit a director on certain events, including failure to receive majority support from stockholders, a change in principal occupation and an age over 75 years.

Allow Directors and Officers to Hedge or Pledge Their Stock Positions: Our policies prohibit directors and executive officers from pledging Axcelis stock in a margin account or otherwise, or entering into transactions designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Axcelis stock. The Company's policies also prohibit the purchase of publicly traded options on Axcelis securities and place limitations on the use of standing or limit orders to purchase or sell Axcelis securities.

Allow Shareholder Rights to be Harmed: Our charter and bylaws protect all shareholders by requiring advance notice of shareholder proposals, and prohibit shareholders from calling a special meeting, acting by written consent or filing governance litigation outside of Delaware. These provisions ensure that shareholders have notice and an opportunity to vote on all matters properly brought before them, and that claims are heard by sophisticated Delaware courts.

Table of Contents

Align Compensation Annually with Median Pay at Peer Companies and Relevant Survey Data. Executive Officer compensation is benchmarked annually to median levels at peer companies and in surveys. We re-evaluate these peers annually to ensure they are comparable companies.

Align Compensation with Company and Individual Performance: We set compensation with strong pay-for-performance orientation. This even applies to base pay: the base salaries of our named executive officers were reduced in 2012, 2013 and 2014 by six percent or more from their approved levels due to our financial performance and the company's need to reduce operating expenses. Performance evaluations are obtained and considered in compensation decision-making. Only the Chief Executive Officer has an employment agreement setting a minimum salary and bonus opportunity, with a one year term.

Pay for Performance under Our Executive Cash Incentive Plan: We link pay to performance and stockholder interests by establishing an annual cash incentive plan based on financial metrics established in advance by the Compensation Committee.

Executive Compensation Clawback: Our policy authorizes the Board to seek recovery of incentive cash and equity compensation that complies with Dodd-Frank and extends beyond the requirements of that law to allow a clawback of incentive compensation in the event of any violation of an agreement with the Company or of any policy of the Company or a voluntary departure to work for a competitor.

Require Termination of Employment prior to a Change of Control Payout: Agreements with our executive officers provide for "double trigger" change of control benefits, due only if the employee experiences a qualifying termination of employment.

Equity Awards Generally Four-Year Vesting: Except for grants in 2013 with stock price acceleration provisions, all of our equity award grants to our executive officers are subject to a four year vesting schedule.

Maintain Compensation Committee Practices that Ensure Independence: All of the members of the Compensation Committee are determined to be independent, and they have authority to engage an independent consultant of their choice. All compensation decisions involving executive officers are made in executive sessions of the independent directors without management. In addition, the Committee receives feedback from shareholders through an annual Say on Pay vote.

Pick Aspirational Peer Companies or Benchmark above Median Compensation Levels: Our Compensation Committee avoids these practices and re-evaluates annually with the help of an independent compensation consultant chosen by the Compensation Committee Chairman.

Allow for Unlimited Cash Incentive Payouts or Guaranteed Bonuses: Payout under our annual cash incentive plan is capped at 200% of target, which insures outperformance reaches our shareholders after a fixed return to executives. We do not provide guaranteed minimum bonuses under any compensation arrangements with executives.

No Special Perquisites or Retirement Benefits: Except for a program to reimburse financial or tax planning services up to \$5,500, we do not provide any perquisites or retirement benefits to our executive officers that are not generally made available to all of our employees.

Table of Contents

No "Single Trigger" Severance Payments or Golden Parachute Arrangements: We do not have "single trigger" severance payments due solely on account of the occurrence of a change of control event.

No New Tax Gross-Ups: In 2014, the Board adopted a policy that any future change of control agreement with any future executive officer of the Company will not include a reimbursement for the effects of any excise tax due on severance compensation.

Re-Price or Buyback Equity Awards: Our equity plans prohibit repricing of equity awards or cash repurchase of equity awards (except in the case of a corporate transaction).

Offer Nonqualified Defined Contribution or Other Deferred Compensation Plan. We do not have any such plans.

Our Governance Guidelines, other policies and our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws are posted on the Investors page of our website at www.axcelis.com. Any waivers of our Ethics policy would also be disclosed on that site.

Stockholder Communications to the Directors

Stockholders may communicate with the Axcelis Board of Directors by mailing a communication to the entire Board or to one or more individual directors in care of the Corporate Secretary, Axcelis Technologies, Inc., 108 Cherry Hill Drive, Beverly, Massachusetts 01915. All communications from security holders to Board members (other than communications soliciting the purchase of products and services) will be promptly relayed to the Board members to whom the communication is addressed.

Annual Meeting Stockholder Deadlines

The Company's annual meeting of stockholders provides our stockholders with an opportunity to propose actions for adoption by the stockholders and to nominate individuals for election to the Board of Directors. Under the Company's Certificate of Incorporation, stockholders may not act by written consent or call a special meeting. Special meetings of stockholders may be called by the Board and for the purposes determined by the Board. The Company's Bylaws include provisions requiring advance notice of proposals by stockholders for items to include in the agenda for the annual meeting and for director nominations. Our Bylaws have been filed with the SEC and are also posted on the Investors page of our website at www.axcelis.com.

If you intend to bring proposed business to the 2017 annual meeting and you would like us to consider the inclusion of your proposal in our proxy statement for the meeting, you must provide written notice to Axcelis of such proposal by November 18, 2016 (120 days before the anniversary date of the mailing of this proxy statement). Any such proposal should comply with the requirements of Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act.

If you wish to bring business before or propose director nominations at the 2017 annual meeting, you must give written notice to Axcelis between January 4, 2017 and February 3, 2017 (the dates 120 days and 90 days, respectively, before the anniversary of the 2016 annual meeting). These dates assume that the 2017 annual meeting is held not more than 30 days

Table of Contents

before or 30 days after May 4, 2017. If that is not the case, you must give written notice to Axcelis between the date 120 days before the 2017 annual meeting date and the later of (A) 90 days before the 2017 annual meeting date or (B) the date 10 days after public announcement of the 2017 annual meeting date.

Notices of stockholder proposals and nominations shall be given in writing to Axcelis Technologies, Inc., 108 Cherry Hill Drive, Beverly, Massachusetts 01915, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

Board Nomination Process and Requirements

In an on-going effort to refresh the Board of Directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee from time to time seeks new nominees for election to the Board through a variety of channels, including the engagement of director search firms, less formal recommendations from shareholders of the Company and through business and personal contacts. Director search firms engaged by the Company are paid a retainer fee to identify and screen candidates meeting specifications established by the Committee for a particular Board nominee search. Such specifications will change from one search to another based on the Committee's determination of the needs of Board composition at the time a particular search is initiated.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate any candidate recommended for nomination as a director, whether proposed by a stockholder in accordance with the nomination provisions in our Bylaws, or identified through the Committee's own search processes, about whom it is provided appropriate information. In evaluating a candidate, the Committee must, at a minimum, determine that the candidate is capable of discharging his or her fiduciary duties to the stockholders of the Company. The Committee will determine whether the particular nomination would be consistent with Axcelis' Governance Guidelines. These Governance Guidelines provide in part that all new candidates for election to the Board and all Board members eligible for nomination for re-election to the Board shall be evaluated on the following criteria:

- (a) such candidate or Board member's current level of, and on-going commitment to, education regarding the responsibilities of a member of a board of directors under standards set forth in the Company's Governance Guidelines;
- (b) the adequacy of such candidate or Board member's time available to commit to responsibilities as a member of the Board;
- (c) the existence of any financial relationship with the Company other than that arising as an employee of the Company, as a Board member and/or as a stockholder; and
- (d) in the case of re-election, such member's compliance with our Director Stock Ownership Guidelines.

If a candidate is presented to the Nominating and Governance Committee at a time when it has established specifications for a particular Board search, the Committee will consider whether the candidate satisfies the established specifications. More generally, the Committee will consider a candidate's skills, character, leadership experience, business experience and judgment, and familiarity with relevant industry, national and international issues in light of the

Table of Contents

backgrounds, skills and characteristics of the current Board and the needs of the Company's business. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider whether a nominee's regional background contributes to Board diversity that is beneficial to the Company for business reasons. Given the portion of the Company's revenues derived from Asian customers, Board members with insight into Asian business or markets are highly valued. Finally, the Committee must consider whether a nominee (in conjunction with the existing Board members) will assist the Company in meeting the requirements of applicable law, the rules of the SEC, the Nasdaq listing standards, and the United States Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") regarding the independence, sophistication and skills of the members of the Board of Directors and the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance committees.

In order to provide clarity to our stockholders on the information required to support the consideration of an individual as a candidate for nomination for election as a director, the Company amended its Bylaws in 2014 to expand the amount and nature of information required about a director candidate and the stockholder proposing his election. These disclosure requirements also ensure that all stockholders entitled to vote on a director nomination have all relevant information about the nominee. Our Bylaws have been filed with the SEC and are also posted on the Investors page of our website at www.axcelis.com. Nomination information should be sent to the Nominating and Governance Committee of Axcelis Technologies, Inc., 108 Cherry Hill Drive, Beverly, Massachusetts 01915, Attn: Corporate Secretary. The Committee may require further information, including but not limited to the completion of a questionnaire designed to elicit disclosures required by the securities laws and to determine eligibility for Board and committee membership.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Review Process

Nasdaq listing rules require the Company to conduct an appropriate review of all related party transactions which are disclosable under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. In its charter, the Nominating and Governance Committee is given responsibility to review and approve any such related party transactions, including (a) business arrangements between the Company and directors or their affiliates or between the Company and employees, other than compensation for service as a director or as an employee of the Company, and (b) any other relationships between a director or employee and the Company or a third party (including membership on the boards of directors of a third party) which create the appearance or reality of a current or potential conflict of interest.

Axcelis reviews all relationships and transactions reported to it in which the Company and our directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. The Company's General Counsel is primarily responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls to obtain information from the directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions and for then determining, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the Company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. As required under SEC rules, transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to the Company or a related person are disclosed in the Company's proxy

Table of Contents

statement. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews and approves or ratifies any related person transaction that is required to be disclosed. In the course of its review and approval or ratification of a disclosable related party transaction, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers:

the nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;

the material terms of the transaction, including, without limitation, the amount and type of transaction;

the importance of the transaction to the related person;

the importance of the transaction to the Company;

whether the transaction would impair the judgment of a director or executive officer to act in the best interest of the Company; and

any other matters the Committee deems appropriate.

Any member of the Nominating and Governance Committee who is a related person with respect to a transaction under review may not participate in the deliberations or vote respecting approval or ratification of the transaction, provided, however, that such director may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the Committee that considers the transaction.

2015 Related Party Disclosures

During 2015, no related person transactions requiring disclosure in the proxy statement were identified or submitted to the Nominating and Governance Committee for approval. As discussed above under "*Board of Directors Board of Directors Independence and Meetings*," Mr. Kurtzweil serves as a vice president of Cree, Inc., a customer of the Company. This is an arms-length commercial relationship which is not material to the Company or Cree, Inc. Although the amount involved exceeded \$120,000 in 2015, Mr. Kurtzweil has no direct or indirect material interest in Cree's payments to the Company or the services and products provided by the Company.

Three of the current Board members nominated for re-election (Mr. Keithley, Mr. George and Ms. Puma) are also Board members of Nordson Corporation. The Company has no business relationship with Nordson Corporation.

Risk Oversight by the Board of Directors

Axcelis' business involves many unavoidable operational and financial risks which management and our Board seek to mitigate through careful planning and execution. Our risks include:

The highly competitive nature of the semiconductor equipment industry, which may limit the rate and level of acceptance of our current products by customers;

Table of Contents

We may be unable to continually invest in product improvement and new product development to meet customer expectations for both technological and cost factors;

We may be unable to maintain an adequate global infrastructure to support our customers;

The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry and its overall condition in a particular period;

We may be unable to access sufficient capital to meet fluctuating capital requirements; and

The uncertainties of global economies, including the availability of credit.

A more extensive list of risk factors associated with our business can be found in the Company's 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC and in the Annual Report to Stockholders which accompanies this proxy statement.

The Board of Directors has two primary methods of overseeing risk. The first method is through its Enterprise Risk Management ("ERM") process, which allows for full Board oversight of the most significant risks facing the Company. The second is through the functioning of the Board committees. The goal of the ERM process is to provide an ongoing effort, effected at all levels of the Company across all corporate functions, to identify, assess and monitor risk, and to agree on mitigating action. At each quarterly in-person Board meeting, senior management provides a report on specific risks within identified core areas, commenting on the trend and the status of the risk at the time of the report. The Audit Committee will periodically review the ERM process to ensure that it is robust and functioning effectively.

In addition to the ERM process, each committee of the Board oversees specific areas of risk relevant to the committee through direct interactions with the Chief Executive Officer and the heads of corporate functions. For instance, the Audit Committee oversees risk relating to financial reporting through its interactions with the Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Controller. The Technology Committee oversees risk in the Company's technology and product development initiatives. A committee may address risks directly with management or, where appropriate, may elevate a risk for consideration by the full Board.

The separate ERM process and Board committee approach to risk management leverages the Board's leadership structure to ensure that risk is overseen by the Board both company-wide and through specific areas of competency. In order to ensure that longer term risks are also considered by the Board in a timely and consistent matter, the full Board dedicates an in-person meeting each year to review and approve a strategic plan and to review and approve a profit plan. These plans are used to manage the business throughout the year. In addition, one Board meeting each year is focused on longer term technology development to ensure that emerging market trends are identified and understood and that their implications for Axcelis' products are appropriately addressed. Finally, the Board dedicates an in-person meeting each year to an executive talent review, which includes a review and discussion of succession planning for key management positions.

Table of Contents

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons owning more than 10% of our registered equity securities to file with the SEC reports of their initial ownership and of changes in their ownership of our common stock and to provide us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on our review of copies of reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, during 2015, our directors, officers, and 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors has appointed the independent registered public accounting firm of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors to conduct the annual audit of our financial statements for 2016 and is seeking stockholder ratification of the appointment. Ernst & Young LLP is an internationally recognized independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's financial statements in 2015 and which the Audit Committee believes is well qualified to continue.

Ernst & Young LLP has audited the Company's financial statements since our initial public offering in 2000. Prior to recommending the re-appointment of the Company's independent auditor each year, the Audit Committee receives input from the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officer on management's relationship with the auditor and input from the independent auditor on the engagement. In its decision to recommend re-appointment, the Audit Committee also considers the fees charged by the independent auditor and the potential benefits and challenges from switching independent audit firms. The audit engagement partner assigned to the Company's account rotates every 5 years, and the Audit Committee provides oversight and input to the selection of a successor audit engagement partner, along with management.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting and be available to respond to appropriate questions. They will also have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire.

The aggregate audit fees billed for, and other fees billed in, each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP were as follows:

Audit Fees	\$1,455,000	\$1,384,500
Audit Related Fees	\$100,159	\$23,995
Tax Fees		
<i>Tax compliance and preparation of returns</i>	\$16,315	\$29,200
<i>International tax planning</i>	\$14,423	\$10,318
<i>General tax planning and other tax services</i>	\$-	\$-
Total Tax Fees	\$30,738	\$39,518
All Other Fees	\$-	\$-
Total Fees	\$1,585,897	\$1,448,013

Audit fees include statutory audits for subsidiaries and branches operating in countries outside of the United States. Audit related fees include the audit for the Company's 401(k) plan required under ERISA. International tax planning relates to the setting of fair compensation for services provided to us by our foreign subsidiaries to ensure appropriate revenue levels are reported for taxation in those foreign countries.

Under its charter, the Audit Committee must pre-approve the fees to be paid to the independent auditor for audit services. In addition, the Audit Committee has adopted a policy

Table of Contents

requiring the Committee's pre-approval of the engagement of the Company's independent auditor to perform specific audit-related or non-audit (including tax) services and fees for such services. This pre-approval of audit-related and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor is designed to avoid any engagements which could impair the auditor's independence. The policy also prohibits engagement of the independent auditor to perform certain types of services that are always viewed as inconsistent with independence. The Audit Committee does not delegate its responsibility to approve services performed by the independent auditor to any member of management.

The standard applied by the Audit Committee in determining whether to grant approval of any type of non-audit service, or of any specific engagement to perform a non-audit service, is whether the services to be performed, the compensation to be paid therefor and other related factors are consistent with the independent auditor's independence under guidelines of the SEC, the PCAOB and applicable professional standards. Relevant considerations include whether the work product is likely to be subject to, or implicated in, audit procedures during the audit of our financial statements, whether the independent auditor would be functioning in the role of management or in an advocacy role, whether the independent auditor's performance of the service would enhance our ability to manage or control risk or improve audit quality, whether such performance would increase efficiency because of the independent auditor's familiarity with our business, personnel, culture, systems, risk profile and other factors, and whether the amount of fees involved, or the proportion of the total fees payable to the independent auditor in the period that is for non-audit services, would tend to reduce the independent auditor's ability to exercise independent judgment in performing the audit.

All of the non-audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP in respect of the 2014 and 2015 fiscal years were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with this policy.

Ernst & Young LLP informed the Company that they are not aware of any relationship with the Company that, in their professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on the independence of Ernst & Young LLP.

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP by the stockholders is not required by law or by our Bylaws. The Board of Directors is nevertheless submitting it to the stockholders to ascertain their views. If this proposal is not approved at the annual meeting by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, the Audit Committee intends to reconsider its recommendation of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors. The Company may retain the firm for 2016 notwithstanding a negative stockholder vote.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3: APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE 2012 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

We are seeking stockholder approval of an amendment to our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2012 EIP") increasing the number of shares authorized for issuance by 4,000,000 shares (or such lesser amount as may be fixed by our Chief Executive Officer prior to the 2016 annual meeting). An increase of 4,000,000 shares would bring the total reserve under the 2012 EIP from 11,050,000 shares reserved as of December 31, 2015 to 15,050,000 shares, excluding from both amounts shares recaptured from forfeited grants under the 2000 Stock Plan. Of the shares previously approved (including recaptured shares), 1,813,411 shares remained available for grant as of December 31, 2015. If approved, we expect to use the additional authorized shares for continued periodic equity grants to employees (including executive officers), directors and consultants. Our Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the amendment to the 2012 EIP for the following reasons, each of which is discussed below in more detail:

Our ability to attract, motivate and retain high-performing individuals as employees, directors and consultants depends on the availability of equity compensation.

Our three year average burn rate for equity grants (counting restricted stock and RSUs at 2.0 shares) is 4.15%, less than ISS's 2016 benchmark for Russell 3000 companies in the semiconductors and semiconductor equipment business (Global Industry Classification Standard 4350), which is 7.01%.

The total voting power dilution from the 2012 EIP and our prior equity grant plan will be less than 20% after the proposed share reserve increase.

By approving the 2012 EIP, the stockholders will be approving, among other things, the eligibility requirements, performance goals, and limits on equity-based incentive awards contained therein for purposes of Section 162(m).

Why the 2012 EIP is Important

The 2012 EIP is our sole vehicle for making equity awards to our employees (including executive officers), directors and consultants. Our ability to attract, motivate and retain high-performing individuals in these roles is vital to our ability to compete successfully in the market and to increase stockholder value. We believe our ability to grant equity incentives as an element of compensation is essential for us to remain competitive in attracting and retaining such employees, consultants and directors. We believe equity incentives motivate high levels of performance and provide an effective means of recognizing employee contributions to the success of Axcelis. Moreover, equity incentives align the interests of the employees, consultants and directors with the interests of our stockholders when Axcelis performs well, employees, consultants and directors are rewarded along with other stockholders.

Because the 2012 EIP is the only plan under which we can grant equity incentives, maintaining its viability by increasing the number of shares available for grant is essential for us to be able to continue to use equity incentives to attract, motivate and retain the employees, consultants, and directors necessary for our future success. Without this amendment, we believe that the shares available for grant under the 2012 EIP will be insufficient to meet our anticipated recruiting and retention needs.

Table of Contents

Careful Management of Equity Award Use: Burn Rate and Voting Power Dilution from the Axcelis Equity Award Plans

The proposed increase, together with the shares available under the 2012 EIP at year end 2015, will make approximately 5.8 million shares available for grant in 2016 and beyond. We believe that this increase is necessary and reasonable for us to maintain an annual equity program using 3-4 million shares in 2016, assuming we maintained the level of grants we have in the past few years.

We seek to maximize stockholder value by granting only the number of equity awards necessary to attract, retain and reward key employees, consultants, and directors, which amount can vary from year to year. We ensure our burn rate is below the Russell 3000 average burn rate for GICS 4530 (Semiconductors and Semiconductor Equipment) plus a standard deviation, which ISS uses as a benchmark. Our three year average burn rate (counting restricted stock and RSUs at 2.0 shares) for 2013, 2014 and 2015 is 4.15%, below the 2016 ISS benchmark of 7.01% and even below the GICS 4530 mean burn rate (without the standard deviation) of 4.69%. The chart below illustrates our equity award burn rate (counting restricted stock and RSUs at 2.0 shares per ISS standards) for the past three years in comparison to the ISS 2016 benchmark:

The Board is cognizant of voting power dilution and, accordingly, has limited the proposed increase to 4 million shares in order to keep the total percentage voting power dilution associated with our equity award plans ("Plan VPD") to less than 20% of total capitalization. We have calculated Plan VPD by dividing the sum of (1) the proposed 2012 EIP reserve increase, (2) the shares currently available under the 2012 EIP and (3) the number of shares issuable in respect of outstanding unexercised stock options and unvested RSUs granted under the 2012 EIP and our prior award plan, the 2000 Stock Plan (the "Equity Plan Overhang") by the total shares

Table of Contents

outstanding plus the sum of (1) - (3) above. This calculation, at year end 2015 with the proposed reserve increase of 4 million shares, is shown below:

Shares Outstanding on 12/31/2015	115,981,228
Options Outstanding at 12/31/2015	21,669,308
Unvested RSUs Outstanding at 12/31/2015	365,500
Total Options and RSUs o/s at 12/31/2015	22,034,808
2012 EIP Available Reserve at 12/31/2015	1,813,411
Total Equity Plan VPD shares at 12/31/2015	23,848,219
Voting Power Dilution: Total Equity Plans as a % of Shares Outstanding plus Equity Plans	17.06%
Proposed Increase for 2016	4,000,000

Voting Power Dilution with Proposed Reserve Increase: Total Equity Plans (with increase) as a % of Shares Outstanding plus Equity Plans	19.36%
--	--------

Our Plan VPD Shares are dominated by the Equity Plan Overhang which represented 92% of the Plan VPD shares at year end 2015. Our Equity Plan Overhang is high not because we have engaged in high granting activity, but because we have seen low option exercise activity for a number of years. At December 31, 2015, the options in our Equity Plan Overhang had a weighted average exercise price of \$1.81 and a weighted average term of 4.87 years.

The chart below shows our annual option grants over the last 7 years in comparison to annual option exercises. Until 2015, option exercises have significantly lagged the rate of option grants during this period. Using stock options as the general award type creates a high overhang if the options are not exercised. Unlike restricted stock or restricted stock unit grants, where the shares leave the overhang as the awards vest, option shares continue to be recorded in the overhang, despite vesting, until they are exercised.

Table of Contents

The reason for the significant lag in option exercises is shown in the chart below. Until 2014, the weighted average exercise price of outstanding options has exceeded the average closing price during the last seven years, leading to the muted exercise activity until 2014 and 2015, as shown above. The increased exercise activity in 2014 and 2015 as our stock price has improved is causing our Equity Plan Overhang to decrease.

We do not, as a matter of course, make public forecasts as to our total shares outstanding and utilization of various equity awards due to the unpredictability of the underlying assumptions and estimates (which our Board has considered in evaluating the factors listed above). We have included the above summary to give our stockholders access to the information that was considered by our Board for purposes of evaluating the approval of the amendment to the 2012 EIP.

Board Recommendation

The Board of Directors believes that the amendment to the 2012 EIP promotes important corporate goals and is therefore in the best interests of Axcelis' stockholders. The amendment to the 2012 EIP will provide Axcelis with the shares necessary to offer effective equity incentives, which are essential for Axcelis to attract, motivate and retain employees and to align Axcelis' compensation with our stockholders' interests.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposed amendment to the 2012 EIP.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards and Shares Available to Grant**

The following table shows the awards outstanding and that may be made under our equity incentive plans as of December 31, 2015.

2012 Equity Incentive Plan	13,683,419	365,500	1,813,411
2000 Stock Plan (terminated)	7,985,889	0	0
Total	21,669,308	365,500	1,813,411

Summary of the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan

The following is a brief description of the material features of the 2012 EIP, as amended, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the terms of the 2012 EIP. Stockholders may obtain a copy of the 2012 EIP upon written request to the Corporate Secretary of the Company.

Administration

The 2012 EIP is administered by the Compensation Committee or other committee appointed by the Board. The Compensation Committee has authority to: select the participants who will receive awards, grant awards, determine the terms, conditions, and restrictions applicable to the awards, determine how any exercise price is paid, modify or replace outstanding awards within the limits of the 2012 EIP, accelerate the date on which awards become exercisable, waive the restrictions and conditions applicable to awards, and establish rules governing the 2012 EIP, including special rules applicable to awards made to employees who are foreign nationals or are employed outside the United States. Subject to specific limitations under the Plan, as discussed below, the Compensation Committee is given the broad authority to establish these terms in order best to achieve the purpose of the 2012 EIP. The Compensation Committee may also assume awards granted by an organization acquired by the Company or may grant awards in replacement of any such awards.

Types of Awards

The 2012 EIP provides for the grant of stock options (incentive stock options or "non-qualified" stock options), restricted stock, RSUs, stock appreciation rights, awards of common stock that are not subject to restrictions or forfeiture and other awards, the value of which is based in whole or in part on the value of common stock and which may be settled in cash, common stock or other property ("stock equivalents"). These awards are payable in cash or common shares, or any combination thereof, as established by the Compensation Committee.

Eligibility

All employees and consultants of Axcelis and its subsidiaries, and all directors of Axcelis, are eligible to participate in the 2012 EIP. Participants are selected by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors in its discretion. At December 31, 2015, the Company had 774 employees and 8 non-employee directors.

Table of Contents

Plan Limitations on Award Terms

The 2012 EIP establishes certain limits on the terms of awards granted under the 2012 EIP:

The exercise price of options and stock appreciation rights granted under the 2012 EIP must be not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant.

The term of options and stock appreciation rights granted under the 2012 EIP may not exceed seven years.

In the case of awards granted to the Company's executive officers, the vesting, settlement or lapse of forfeiture restrictions solely based on continued employment, service or the passage of time must (with certain exceptions) occur (i) with respect to no more than $\frac{1}{3}$ of the shares subject to the award in any one year, and (ii) over not less than four years from the date of grant for all shares subject to the award.

The 2012 EIP prohibits the Committee, without obtaining stockholder approval, from amending any outstanding option or stock appreciation right to reduce the exercise price or canceling and replacing an option or stock appreciation right with an award exercisable for common stock at a lower exercise price. In 2014, the Board amended the 2012 EIP to provide that no award shall be canceled in exchange for a cash payment from the Company to the award owner, except in the event of a corporate transaction in which a company other than the Company is the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing entity and in which the stockholders of the Company receive consideration that is all or predominantly cash in exchange for their shares of common stock in the transaction.

In order to comply with the exemption from Section 162(m) of the IRC relating to performance-based compensation, no participant may be granted stock options, stock appreciation rights or other awards intended to satisfy the requirements for "qualified performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the IRC, that in the aggregate, exceed 1,250,000 shares during any fiscal year, and no performance-based award settled in cash may pay a participant more than \$1,000,000 in any one year.

Share Counting Under the Plan

The following provisions apply to determining how the available shares under the 2012 EIP are deemed to be used:

Each share subject to an award under the 2012 EIP, other than options and stock appreciation rights, shall be counted as 1.5 shares per plan terms;

Shares subject to an award granted under the 2000 Stock Plan or the 2012 EIP that is forfeited, terminated, or canceled without having been issued will become available for grant under the 2012 EIP, subject to certain exceptions relating to incentive stock options;

Shares subject to awards granted under the 2012 EIP on assumption of, or substitution for, equity awards of a company acquired by Axcelis will not count against the share reserve under the 2012 EIP; and

Outstanding shares used to pay the exercise price of an option or stock appreciation right or shares which are withheld by the Company to satisfy the exercise price or tax withholding due on exercise or vesting may not be netted out against the shares issued on an award granted under the 2012 EIP.

Table of Contents

Performance Objectives for Performance-Based Awards

The 2012 EIP provides that, when so determined by the Compensation Committee, awards may specify performance objectives that, if achieved, will result in vesting, exercisability or the lapse of restrictions on awards. Awards with performance objectives may be intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the IRC. Such grants should specify one or more objective performance goals and the effect of achieving the goal at or above a specified level for or within a requisite period or at a requisite date. For purposes of Section 162(m), the Compensation Committee must establish any performance goal near the beginning of any performance period and at a time when the outcome of the goals is substantially uncertain, and it must certify that the goal was achieved before the award is paid. Performance objectives may be based on any of the following criteria: revenue; revenue growth; sales; expenses; margins; net income; earnings or earnings per share; cash flow; stock price; stockholder return; return on investment; return on invested capital, assets, or equity; profit before or after tax; operating profit; operating margin; return on research and development investment; market capitalization; quality improvements; market share; cycle time reductions; customer satisfaction measures; strategic positioning or marketing programs; market penetration or expansion; business / information systems improvements; expense management; infrastructure support programs; human resource programs; customer programs; technology development programs; goals relating to acquisitions or divestitures, or any combination of the foregoing, including without limitation goals based on any of such measures relative to peer groups or market indices, and may be particular to an award recipient or may be based, in whole or in part, on the performance of the division, department, line of business, subsidiary, or other business unit, whether or not legally constituted, in which the award recipient works or on the performance of the Company generally.

Treatment of Awards in an Extraordinary Event

In the event of a recapitalization, stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split (or combination), other distribution to stockholders (other than normal cash dividends), or similar transaction, the Compensation Committee will adjust the number and class of shares that may be issued under the 2012 EIP (including the number of shares that may be subject to awards granted to a participant in any fiscal year) and the number and class of shares, and the exercise price, applicable to outstanding awards. Similar adjustments may be made in the event of reorganization, merger, spin-off or other corporate transaction affecting the common stock where an adjustment is required in order to preserve the benefits intended to be provided by the plan. If considered appropriate, the Committee may make provision for a cash payment with respect to all or part of an outstanding award instead of or in addition to any such adjustment.

Table of Contents

In the event of a corporate transaction in which a company other than Axcelis is the surviving, continuing, or successor purchasing entity, outstanding awards may be assumed by such other company or may be exchanged for substituted awards from such other company. The terms of such assumed or substituted awards shall be appropriate in light of (A) the consideration received by the Company's stockholders in the transaction and (B) the terms of the outstanding awards. Awards outstanding under the 2012 EIP which are not assumed or exchanged shall terminate on such terms as the Committee may determine. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if in such a transaction the stockholders of the Company receive consideration that is predominantly cash, then either (A) any vesting or lapse of forfeiture provisions on outstanding awards under the 2012 EIP shall accelerate on the closing of the transaction and the award holder may share in the transaction consideration or (B) such awards shall be compensated through a separate payment in an amount that the award holder would have received in the transaction assuming such acceleration, as determined by the Compensation Committee.

Non-Assignability of Awards No award granted under the 2012 EIP may be transferred or assigned by a participant or eligible transferee except on such terms as the Compensation Committee determines, and incentive stock options may be transferred only to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code.

Amendment and Termination of the 2012 EIP The Board of Directors may amend, suspend, or terminate the 2012 EIP at any time, subject to stockholder approval as needed to comply with tax or regulatory requirements.

Summary of U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of Awards under the 2012 EIP

The following is a brief summary of certain consequences under current U.S. federal income tax law of certain transactions under the 2012 EIP. This summary is not intended to be complete and does not describe state, local, foreign or other tax consequences.

Incentive Stock Options. In general, an employee will not recognize taxable income at the time an incentive stock option is granted or exercised. However, the excess of the fair market value of the common shares acquired upon exercise over the exercise price is potentially subject to the alternative minimum tax. If the option is not exercised by a specified date after termination of the holder's employment, the income tax treatment will be the same as that for a non-qualified stock option, described below. Upon disposition of the common shares acquired upon exercise, capital gain or capital loss will be recognized in an amount equal to the difference between the sale price and the exercise price, so long as minimum holding period requirements are satisfied. If the holding period requirements are not satisfied, the employee will recognize ordinary income upon disposition of the shares equal to the difference between the exercise price and the lesser of the fair market value of the common shares on the date the option is exercised or the amount realized in the disposition. Any remaining gain or loss is treated as a capital gain or capital loss.

Non-Qualified Stock Options. In general, a participant will not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a stock option that does not qualify as an incentive stock option (a "non-qualified stock option"). Upon exercise, the participant will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the common shares acquired upon exercise. Upon disposition of the common shares, appreciation or depreciation after the date of exercise will be treated as either capital gain or capital loss.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Units. The participant will recognize no income at the time RSUs are awarded to the participant. When shares are issued on the vesting of RSUs, the participant will recognize compensation income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the vested shares stock at that time over the amount, if any, paid by the participant for the shares. Upon disposition of shares after issuance, any gain or loss realized by a participant will be treated as capital gain or loss.

Restricted Stock. Unless a participant makes an election under Section 83(b) of the IRC, the participant will recognize no income at the time restricted stock is awarded to the participant. When the restrictions lapse or are otherwise removed, the participant will recognize compensation income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the restricted stock at that time over the amount, if any, paid by the participant for the restricted stock. Dividends paid on restricted stock during any restriction period will, unless the participant has made an election under Section 83(b) of the IRC, constitute compensation income. Upon disposition of common shares after the restrictions lapse or are otherwise removed, any gain or loss realized by a participant will be treated as capital gain or loss. If a participant makes an election under Section 83(b) of the IRC, the participant will recognize compensation income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the common shares on the date of grant over the price paid for those common shares. Dividends paid on the stock thereafter will be treated as dividends taxable to the participant.

Stock Appreciation Rights and Stock Equivalents. The grant of stock appreciation rights and stock equivalents will have no immediate tax consequences to the participant receiving the grant. The amount received by the participant upon the exercise of the stock appreciation rights or stock equivalent will be included in the participant's ordinary income in the taxable year in which award is exercised or vested.

Parachute Payment Tax. The value of any accelerated vesting or exercisability of options or stock appreciation rights, or any accelerated lapse of restrictions on restricted stock or RSUs, in connection with a change in control of the Company will be taken into account in determining whether the participant is deemed to have received an "excess parachute payment" under Section 280G of the IRC. This may subject the participant to an excise tax.

Tax Consequences to the Company. To the extent that a participant recognizes ordinary income in the circumstances described above, the Company or the subsidiary for which the participant performs services will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction provided that, among other things, (a) the income meets the test of reasonableness, (b) is an ordinary and necessary business expense, (c) is not an "excess parachute payment" and (d) is not disallowed by the \$1 million limitation on certain executive compensation under Section 162(m) of the IRC.

Under Section 162(m), the Company's federal income tax deductions may be limited to the extent total annual compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 is paid to any of our principal executive officer and our other three most highly compensated executive officers, other than our principal financial officer. However, deductions for certain "performance based compensation" are exempted from this limitation. The 2012 EIP has been structured with the intention to enable the Compensation Committee to make awards (including cash-based awards) that qualify as performance-based compensation, and are deductible without regard to the limitations imposed

Table of Contents

by Section 162(m). However, our Compensation Committee believes that our executive compensation program should be flexible, maximize our ability to recruit, retain and reward high-performing executives and promote varying corporate goals. As such, nothing in this proposal precludes the Committee from granting awards that do not qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m).

Awards Available under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan

All employees and consultants of Axcelis and its subsidiaries, and all directors of Axcelis, are eligible to participate in the 2012 EIP. Participants are selected by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors in its discretion. The benefits or amounts that will be received in the future under the 2012 EIP by named executive officers, executive officers as a group, and all current non-employee directors or employees who are not executive officers as a group are not determinable because grants are subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Our current grant practices are described in "*Board of Directors Compensation of Directors*" and "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*."

The table below shows the number of RSUs and stock options awarded under the 2012 EIP through 2015. No other types of awards have been granted.

Name and Title or Group	Number of RSUs Granted through 2015	Number of Options Granted through 2015
Mary G. Puma , <i>Chief Executive Officer and President</i>	147,637	1,100,000
Kevin J. Brewer , <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	0	825,000
William Bintz , <i>Executive Vice President, Engineering and Marketing</i>	37,795	850,000
John E. Aldeborgh , <i>Executive Vice President, Global Customer Operations</i>	0	950,000
Lynnette C. Fallon , <i>Executive Vice President, HR/Legal and General Counsel</i>	0	525,000
All Current Executive Officers as a Group (1)	185,432	4,625,000
All Current Non-Employee Directors as a Group	353,000	440,000
All Employees, excluding Executive Officers, as a Group	40,000	11,271,365

(1) All current executive officers includes one executive officer who is not a Named Executive Officer

The closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2015, as reported by Nasdaq, was \$2.59.

Table of Contents

Current Equity Compensation Plan Information

We maintain three equity compensation plans, the 2000 Stock Plan (which was terminated as to new grants on May 1, 2012), the 2012 EIP and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The number of shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and unvested RSUs granted to employees and non-employee directors, as well as the number of shares remaining available for future issuance, under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015 are summarized in the following table:

Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	22,034,808	\$	1.78	3,259,176
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	0		0	0
Total	22,034,808			3,259,176

(1) Represents, as of December 31, 2015: (A) 7,985,889 shares issuable on exercise of outstanding options under the 2000 Stock Plan, plus (B) 13,683,419 shares issuable on exercise of outstanding options under the 2012 EIP, plus (C) 365,500 shares issuable on vesting of outstanding RSUs under the 2012 EIP (some of which will be withheld in respect of tax withholding obligations).

(2) For the purposes of this table, the weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights includes RSUs as if they had a \$0 exercise price. The weighted average exercise price of outstanding options alone at December 31, 2015 was \$1.81.

(3) Represents the total shares available for issuance under our 2012 EIP and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as of December 31, 2015, as follows:

(A) 1,813,411 shares were available for future issuance under the 2012 EIP. Such amount represents the total number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2012 EIP (11,050,000 plus shares subject to options or restricted stock units granted under the 2000 Stock Plan that expired unexercised or were forfeited between May 2, 2012 and December 31, 2015), less the shares issuable on options and restricted stock units (counted at 1.5 shares each) outstanding under the 2012 EIP included in column (A) and the shares issued prior to such date on exercise of options and vesting of restricted stock units granted under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan. This plan is generally used for grants to employees and directors and was approved by our stockholders at our 2012 annual meeting. No shares are available for future issuance under the 2000 Stock Plan, which terminated on May 1, 2012.

(B) 1,445,765 shares were available under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which represents the total number of shares reserved for issuance under the plan (7,500,000) less the shares issued through December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 4: APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO IMPLEMENT A REVERSE STOCK SPLIT

The Proposal

Our Board of Directors has unanimously adopted a resolution seeking stockholder approval to amend Axcelis' Certificate of Incorporation to effect a "reverse stock split" of Axcelis common stock in which every four outstanding shares would be combined into one share. This would result in no proportional change to any stockholder's ownership of Axcelis (except to the extent of any resulting fractional share, as discussed below). If the stockholders approve this amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, effect the reverse stock split at any time before our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders. The Board of Directors currently believes that a reverse stock split will be desirable for the Company and its shareholders. However, notwithstanding approval of this proposal by the stockholders, the Board of Directors may determine in its discretion that a reverse stock split is not in Axcelis' best interests and may abandon it without further action by the stockholders.

Reasons for the Proposed Reverse Stock Split

We have been a public company listed on Nasdaq since 2000. We had 115,981,228 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2015. This is significantly higher than the typical semiconductor equipment company of our size and with similar revenues. Our Board of Directors believes that it is in the interest of our stockholders and Axcelis to have a number of shares outstanding that is comparable to that of other widely owned public semiconductor equipment companies in our revenue range and aggregate market cap. If Axcelis' shares outstanding were reduced by 75%, to approximately 30 million shares, our capital structure would align well to our peer companies. We expect a stock split to ultimately result in an increase in our institutional shareholder base, as well as provide finer resolution in our earnings per share. The chart below shows Axcelis' shares outstanding relative to peer companies before and after the proposed reverse split:

Table of Contents

The Board of Directors also believes that an additional benefit of a reverse split may be to help bring our stock price in line with that of peer companies. A higher share price may enable us to attract additional institutional investors and investment funds who do not consider purchasing Axcelis stock due to our low trading price. For example, the Board of Directors believes that some institutional investors and investment funds may be reluctant to invest, and in some cases may be prohibited from investing, in lower-priced stocks and that brokerage firms may be reluctant to recommend lower-priced stocks to their clients. The reverse stock split could increase the likelihood that the Axcelis common stock market price will stay at a level that would be viewed more favorably by potential investors. Further, brokerage commissions, as a percentage of the total transaction, tend to be higher for lower-priced stocks. As a result, certain investors may also be dissuaded from purchasing lower-priced stocks. A higher stock price after the reverse stock split may reduce this concern.

The combination of lower transaction costs and increased interest from institutional investors and investment funds can ultimately improve the trading liquidity of our common stock, which would benefit all stockholders. Reducing the number of shares outstanding would also reduce the Company's administrative costs associated with stockholder meetings.

In summary, in order to reduce the number of shares of Axcelis common stock outstanding (and thereby attempt to raise the per share price of Axcelis common stock proportionally), the Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of our stockholders for the Board to have the authority to implement a one-for-four reverse stock split. A reverse stock split should be an effective way to improve Axcelis' capital structure and in turn, benefit our existing shareholders.

If the stockholders approve this proposal, the reverse stock split will be effected, if at all, only upon a determination by the Board of Directors that the reverse stock split at a one-for-four ratio is in the best interests of the stockholders at that time. No further action on the part of stockholders will be required to either implement or abandon the reverse stock split. If the Board of Directors does not implement the reverse stock split before our 2017 annual meeting, the authority granted in this proposal will terminate.

Background of Shares Outstanding

A significant majority (84%) of our current shares outstanding were issued in our initial public offering ("IPO") in 2000 (17.05 million shares) and in the stock dividend by our former parent, Eaton Corporation, in a spin-off of the Company to Eaton shareholders at year end 2000 (80 million shares). The remaining approximately 19 million shares outstanding have been issued since 2000 under our equity award plans (approximately 13 million shares) and our employee stock purchase plan (approximately 6 million shares). The shares issued under our equity award plans over the 15.5 years since our IPO are 11% of the shares outstanding at December 31, 2015, representing dilution of 0.7% per year over that period. The Company has not issued stock in a public or private equity financing since the IPO.

Principal Effects of the Proposed Reverse Stock Split

If approved and effected, the reverse stock split would be effected simultaneously for all outstanding Axcelis common stock. The reverse stock split would affect all of Axcelis' stockholders

Table of Contents

uniformly and will not affect any stockholder's percentage ownership interest or proportionate voting power in Axcelis, except to the extent that the reverse stock split would otherwise result in any of Axcelis' stockholders owning a fractional share. No fractional shares would be issued as a result of the reverse stock split. As described below, stockholders otherwise entitled to fractional shares as a result of the reverse stock split will be entitled to cash payments in lieu of such fractional shares. Such cash payments will also reduce the number of post-reverse stock split stockholders to the extent there are current stockholders who would otherwise receive less than one share of Axcelis common stock after the reverse stock split.

The principal effects of the reverse stock split will be that:

the number of shares of Axcelis common stock issued and outstanding will be reduced from approximately 116 million shares (as of December 31, 2015) to approximately 29 million shares (such number is contingent upon the number of shares outstanding at the time of the reverse split);

proportionate adjustments will be made to the per-share exercise price and the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of all outstanding options entitling the holders to purchase shares of Axcelis common stock, which will result in approximately the same aggregate price being required to be paid for such options upon exercise as was required immediately before the reverse stock split;

proportionate adjustments will be made to the number of shares issuable upon vesting of outstanding restricted stock units for shares of Axcelis common stock; the number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan would also be reduced proportionately; and

the number of authorized and unissued shares that the Board of Directors may issue in the future without further stockholder action will increase in an amount equal to the decrease in the shares outstanding in the reverse split.

Certain Risks Associated with the Reverse Stock Split

There can be no assurance that, following the reverse stock split, the market price of Axcelis common stock will increase in proportion to the reduction in the number of shares of Axcelis common stock issued and outstanding before the proposed reverse stock split. The total market capitalization of Axcelis common stock after the proposed reverse stock split may be lower than the total market capitalization before the proposed reverse stock split for reasons unrelated to the reverse stock split. For example, based on the closing market price of Axcelis common stock on March 11, 2016 of \$ per share, if the Board of Directors decided to implement the reverse stock split, there can be no assurance that the post-split market price of Axcelis common stock would be \$ per share or greater.

In addition, the reverse stock split will likely increase the number of stockholders who own odd lots (fewer than 100 shares). Stockholders who hold odd lots may experience an increase in the cost of selling their shares and may have greater difficulty in executing sales. Axcelis is considering the implementation of an odd lot program after the reverse split to assist these stockholder in selling their shares.

Table of Contents

The increased proportion of authorized and unissued shares to outstanding shares following the reverse split could, in certain circumstances, have an anti-takeover effect. See "*No Effect on Authorized Shares.*" For example, it would permit issuances that would dilute the stock ownership of a person seeking to effect a change in the composition of the Board of Directors or contemplating a tender offer or other transaction for the combination of Axcelis with another company. However, the reverse stock split proposal is not being proposed in response to any effort of which we are aware to accumulate shares of Axcelis' common stock or obtain control of Axcelis, nor is it part of a plan by management to recommend to the Board of Directors and stockholders a series of amendments to our Certificate of Incorporation to address takeover concerns. Other than the reverse stock split proposal, the Board of Directors does not currently contemplate recommending the adoption of any other amendments to our Certificate of Incorporation that could be construed to affect the ability of third parties to take over or change the control of Axcelis.

Effect on Stockholders with Post-Reverse Stock Split Fractional Shares

Axcelis stockholders will not receive fractional shares in connection with the reverse stock split. Instead, our exchange agent or a designated broker will aggregate all fractional shares and sell them as soon as practicable after the effective date at the then prevailing prices on the open market, on behalf of those holders who would otherwise be entitled to receive a fractional share. We expect that this sale would be conducted in an orderly fashion at a reasonable pace and that it may take several days to sell all of the aggregated fractional shares of common stock. After those sales are completed, each stockholder entitled thereto will receive from the exchange agent or designated broker a cash payment in an amount equal to the stockholder's pro rata share of the total net proceeds of the sales. No transaction costs will be assessed on this sale. These cash proceeds will be subject to federal income tax, and other state and local income tax, as discussed below under "*Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Reverse Stock Split.*" No interest will be paid for the period of time between the effective date of the reverse stock split and the date of payment for the cashed-out shares.

Effective upon the reverse stock split, a stockholder will have no further equity interest in a fractional share to be cashed-out. This means that a person otherwise entitled to a fractional interest will not have any voting, dividend or other rights with respect to such fractional interest, except to receive payment as described above.

If you believe that you may not hold sufficient shares of Axcelis common stock at the effective time of the reverse stock split to receive at least one share in the reverse stock split and you want to continue to hold Axcelis common stock after the split, you may do so by either:

purchasing a sufficient number of shares of Axcelis common stock; or

if you have shares of Axcelis common stock in more than one account, consolidating your accounts,

so that in each case you hold a number of shares of Axcelis common stock in your account prior to the reverse stock split that would entitle you to receive at least one share of Axcelis common stock on a post-reverse stock split basis. Shares of common stock held in registered form (that is, stock held by you in your own name in Axcelis' stock register records maintained by our transfer

Table of Contents

agent) and stock held in "street name" (that is, stock held by you through a bank, broker or other nominee) for the same investor will be considered held in separate accounts and will not be aggregated when effecting the reverse stock split.

You should be aware that, under the escheat laws of the various jurisdictions where you reside, where Axcelis is domiciled, and where the funds will be deposited, sums due for fractional interests that are not timely claimed after the effective time may be required to be paid to the designated agent for each such jurisdiction. Thereafter, stockholders otherwise entitled to receive such funds may have to seek to obtain them directly from the state to which they were paid.

No Effect on Authorized Shares

Although the reverse stock split will affect all issued and outstanding shares of Axcelis common stock and outstanding rights to acquire Axcelis common stock, the total number of authorized shares will not change on the effectiveness of the reverse split. As of December 31, 2015, we had 300 million authorized shares of common stock (of which 115,981,228 shares were issued and outstanding) and 30 million authorized shares of preferred stock (none of which are currently outstanding). This means that the number of shares of Axcelis common stock that are authorized, but not outstanding, will increase from approximately 184 million shares to approximately 271 million shares (an increase of 87 million shares) due to the reduction in the number of shares of Axcelis common stock outstanding. Accordingly, the number of shares outstanding as a percentage of the authorized shares will decrease from approximately 38% to 10%. Authorized but unissued shares are available for issuance by the Board of Directors, and we may issue such shares in the future. If we issue additional shares, the ownership interest of holders of Axcelis common stock will be diluted.

Procedure for Effecting Reverse Stock Split

If the stockholders approve this proposal and the Board of Directors decides to implement the reverse stock split at any time before our 2017 annual meeting, we will file a certificate of amendment with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to amend Axcelis' current Certificate of Incorporation. The reverse stock split will become effective as provided in the certificate of amendment, which is referred to as the "effective date." Beginning on the effective date, each account with pre-reverse stock split shares will be deemed for all corporate purposes to evidence ownership of post-reverse stock split shares (less any fractional shares, as described above).

Effect of Reverse Split on Different Type of Stockholders

Individuals or firms may hold Axcelis common stock either (A) directly through our transfer agent, Computershare, as a "record" or "registered" stockholder, or (B) in "street name" (i.e. through an intermediary bank or brokerage house). This section discusses the impact of a reverse split on these different types of stockholders. A single individual or firm may be both a record/registered holder and a street name holder. If you have a question on your stockholdings, please contact our transfer agent, Computershare, using the contact information on the inside back cover of our annual report.

Table of Contents

Record/Registered Stockholders. We amended our Bylaws in 2007 to provide that registered stockholders may have stock certificates representing their holdings or may just have their ownership recorded on the Company's records as a "book-entry." Book-entry stockholders will not have stock certificates evidencing their ownership of Axcelis common stock. They are, however, provided with a statement reflecting the number of shares of Axcelis common stock registered in their accounts. Our Bylaws provide that Axcelis is entitled to treat the record holder of stock as shown on its books as the owner of such stock for all purposes until the shares have been transferred with our transfer agent.

Book Entry Stockholders. If you hold registered shares of Axcelis common stock in a book-entry form, you do not need to take any action to receive your post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock in registered book-entry form or your cash payment in lieu of any fractional interest, if applicable. If you are entitled to post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock, a transaction statement will automatically be sent to your address of record as soon as practicable after the effective time of the reverse stock split indicating the number of shares of Axcelis common stock you hold. If you are entitled to a payment in lieu of any fractional interest, a check will be mailed to you at your registered address as soon as practicable after the effective time of the reverse stock split. By signing and cashing this check, you will warrant that you owned the shares of Axcelis common stock for which you received a cash payment. See "*Effect on Stockholders with Post-Reverse Stock Split Fractional Shares*" above for additional information.

Holders of Stock Certificates. Some registered stockholders hold their shares of Axcelis common stock in certificate form or a combination of certificate and book-entry form. If any of your shares of Axcelis common stock are held in certificate form, you will receive a transmittal letter from the Company's transfer agent as soon as practicable after the effective time of the reverse stock split. The transmittal letter will be accompanied by instructions specifying how you can exchange your certificate representing the pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock for a statement of holding, together with any payment of cash in lieu of fractional shares to which you are entitled. When you submit your certificate representing the pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock, your post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock will be held electronically in book-entry form. This means that, instead of receiving a new stock certificate, you will receive a statement of holding that indicates the number of post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock you own in book-entry form. Axcelis will no longer issue physical stock certificates unless you make a specific request for a share certificate representing your post-reverse stock split ownership interest. Transactions involving share certificates may incur additional charges.

Beginning on the effective time of the reverse stock split, each certificate representing pre-reverse stock split shares will be deemed for all corporate purposes to evidence ownership of post-reverse stock split shares. If you are entitled to a payment in lieu of any fractional share interest, payment will be made as described above under "*Effect on Stockholders with Post-Reverse Stock Split Fractional Shares.*" STOCKHOLDERS SHOULD NOT DESTROY ANY SHARE CERTIFICATE(S) AND SHOULD NOT SUBMIT ANY CERTIFICATE(S) UNTIL REQUESTED TO DO SO.

Table of Contents

"Street Name" Stockholders. The shares of Axcelis common stock beneficially owned by "street name" stockholders (that is, held through a bank, broker or other nominee) are aggregated into a single record account with our transfer agent, under the name of Cede & Co. This account will be subject to the same one-for-four reverse split as all other record accounts, and if a fractional share results, it will be handled as discussed above. Banks, brokers or other nominees will be instructed to effect the reverse stock split for their beneficial holders holding shares of Axcelis common stock in "street name." These banks, brokers or other nominees may apply their own specific procedures for processing the reverse stock split. If you hold your shares of Axcelis common stock with a bank, broker or other nominee, and if you have any questions in this regard, we encourage you to contact your nominee.

Accounting Consequences

The par value per share of Axcelis common stock will remain unchanged at \$0.001 per share after the reverse stock split. As a result, on the effective time of the reverse stock split, the stated capital on the Company's balance sheet attributable to Axcelis common stock will be reduced by 75% of its amount immediately prior to the reverse split, and the additional paid-in capital account will be credited with the amount by which the stated capital is reduced. After the reverse stock split, net income or loss per share and other per share amounts will be increased because there will be fewer shares of Axcelis common stock outstanding. In future financial statements, net income or loss per share and other per share amounts for periods ending before the reverse stock split will be recast to give retroactive effect to the reverse stock split. As described above under "*Principal Effects of the Proposed Reverse Stock Split*," the per share exercise price of outstanding option awards will increase proportionately, and the number of shares of Axcelis common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options and upon the vesting of unvested restricted stock unit awards would decrease proportionately, in each case based on the one-for-four reverse stock ratio. The Company does not anticipate that any other accounting consequences would arise as a result of the reverse stock split.

No Appraisal Rights

Stockholders will not have dissenters' or appraisal rights under Delaware corporate law or under the Company's Certificate of Incorporation in connection with the proposed reverse stock split.

Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Reverse Stock Split

The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the reverse stock split to U.S. holders (as defined below) of pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock. This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "IRC"), the U.S. Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, and interpretations of the IRC and the U.S. Treasury Regulations by the courts and the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"), in effect as of the date hereof, all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect or differing interpretations. Any change in such authorities or interpretations could affect the continuing validity of this discussion. Axcelis has not sought and will not seek an opinion of counsel or a ruling from the IRS regarding the federal income tax consequences of the reverse stock split to Axcelis or Axcelis stockholders. This discussion should not be considered as tax or

Table of Contents

investment advice, and the tax consequences of the reverse stock split may not be the same for all shareholders.

This summary does not discuss all the tax considerations that may be relevant to Axcelis stockholders in light of their particular circumstances, nor does it address the tax consequences to Axcelis stockholders that are subject to special tax rules (including, for example, banks, financial institutions, insurance companies, regulated investment companies, mutual funds, personal holding companies, holders other than U.S. holders (as defined below), pass thru-entities such as partnerships and investors in such entities, brokers or dealers and tax-exempt organizations, holders who have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, holders who hold their shares as a hedge or as part of a hedging, straddle, conversion, synthetic security, integrated investment or other risk-reduction transaction, holders who are subject to alternative minimum tax or holders who acquired their shares upon the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation). In addition, this summary does not address the U.S. federal income tax consequences to those Axcelis stockholders who do not hold their Axcelis common stock as a "capital asset," as defined in Section 1221 of the IRC. Finally, this summary does not address any U.S. federal taxes other than U.S. federal income tax, and does not discuss any state, local or foreign tax consequences. If a partnership or other entity classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds shares of Axcelis common stock, the tax treatment of a partner thereof will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding shares of Axcelis common stock, you should consult your tax advisor regarding the tax consequences of the reverse stock split. **AXCELIS STOCKHOLDERS ARE URGED TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS CONCERNING THE U.S. FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE REVERSE STOCK SPLIT.**

As used herein, the term "U.S. holder" means a holder that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

a citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation or other entity taxed as a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source; or

a trust (A) if a U.S. court is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more "U.S. persons" (as defined in the IRC) have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (B) that has a valid election in effect to be treated as a U.S. person.

We believe that the reverse stock split will constitute a reorganization under Section 368(a)(1)(E) of the IRC. Accordingly, Axcelis should not recognize taxable income, gain or loss in connection with the reverse stock split. In addition, the reverse stock split should not affect Axcelis' ability to utilize net operating loss carryforwards.

Other than the cash payments for fractional shares discussed below, no gain or loss should be recognized by a U.S. holder upon the exchange of pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis

Table of Contents

common stock for post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock. The aggregate tax basis of the post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock will be the same as the aggregate tax basis of the pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock exchanged in the reverse stock split, reduced by any amount allocable to a fractional share for which cash is received. A U.S. holder's holding period in the post-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock will include the period during which the U.S. holder held the pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock exchanged in the reverse stock split.

In general, the receipt of cash by a U.S. holder instead of a fractional share will result in a taxable gain or loss to such U.S. holder for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The amount of the taxable gain or loss to the U.S. holder will be determined based upon the difference between the amount of cash received by such U.S. holder and the portion of the basis of the pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock allocable to such fractional interest. The gain or loss recognized will constitute capital gain or loss and will constitute long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. holder's holding period is greater than one year as of the effective time of the reverse stock split. There are limitations on the deductibility of capital losses under the IRC. Recipients of cash payments for fractional shares will receive a Form 1099-B with respect to such payment from the Company's exchange agent for the reverse split.

U.S. holders of pre-reverse stock split shares of Axcelis common stock comprised of different lots of shares with different tax bases should consult their own tax advisors to determine the appropriate basis allocation to their post-reverse stock split shares, including fractional shares in lieu of which they receive cash.

Each stockholder who is to receive cash in lieu of fractional shares in the reverse stock split will be required to furnish the stockholder's social security number or taxpayer identification number. Failure to provide this information may result in backup withholding.

THE FOREGOING IS A SUMMARY OF THE MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE REVERSE STOCK SPLIT UNDER CURRENT LAW AND IS FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY. THE FOREGOING DOES NOT PURPORT TO ADDRESS ALL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OR TAX CONSEQUENCES THAT MAY ARISE UNDER THE TAX LAWS OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS OR THAT MAY ARISE UNDER THE TAX LAWS OF OTHER JURISDICTIONS OR THAT MAY APPLY TO PARTICULAR CATEGORIES OF STOCKHOLDERS. EACH AXCELIS STOCKHOLDER SHOULD CONSULT ITS OWN TAX ADVISOR AS TO THE PARTICULAR TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE REVERSE STOCK SPLIT TO SUCH STOCKHOLDER, INCLUDING THE APPLICATION OF U.S. FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX LAWS, AND THE EFFECT OF POSSIBLE CHANGES IN TAX LAWS THAT MAY AFFECT THE TAX CONSEQUENCES DESCRIBED ABOVE.

Recommendation of the Board

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the proposal to amend Axcelis' Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split at a one-for-four ratio, subject to the Board's authority to abandon such amendment.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 5: ADVISORY VOTE REGARDING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This proposal, commonly known as "Say-on-Pay," asks the stockholders to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as described under "Executive Compensation" below in this proxy statement (referred to herein as "NEOs").

The Company's overall compensation goal is to drive shareholder value by (i) retaining executive talent by offering base pay that is commensurate with pay at other companies of a similar size in the same or similar industries, as adjusted for individual factors, and (ii) driving achievement of long-term and annual strategic goals with pay tied to performance. Details of the 2015 compensation provided to the NEOs may be found in the "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" and the accompanying tables in this proxy statement. Key factors impacting NEO compensation in 2015 were:

Balanced Mix of Base and Short- and Long-Term Incentive Pay with a Strong Emphasis on Performance-Based Awards. In 2015, the NEOs received target base, annual incentive and equity grant compensation that aligned well with elements of peer executive compensation targets. In 2015, the at-risk compensation equaled 64% of the 2015 total target compensation of the Company's chief executive officer (Mary G. Puma) and 59% (on average) of the other NEOs' 2015 total target compensation.

Realized/Realizable Pay Below Target. The NEOs realized/realizable pay as of the end of 2015 was below the target pay established for the year. The payout under the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan was at 71.7% of target, based on the Company's revenue and operating profit performance against targets. Using the intrinsic value of the options at December 31, 2015 and the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan score, Ms. Puma received 2015 compensation equal to 61.9% of her total target compensation and the other NEOs, on average, received 2015 compensation equal to 59.1% of their total target compensation. See "*Executive Compensation 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Summary of Axcelis 2015 Executive Compensation.*"

The vote solicited by this proposal, which is required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is advisory and its outcome will not be binding on the Board nor require the Board to take any action. Moreover, the outcome of the vote will not be construed as overruling any decision of the Board or creating or implying any additional fiduciary duty of the Board. However, the Board intends to take into account the outcome of this vote when considering future compensation arrangements for the Company's named executive officers. We will hold such a vote at the annual meeting each year.

The proposal will be considered approved at the annual meeting if more votes are cast in favor than against. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not count as votes cast for or against this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This 2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis is intended to provide a context for the disclosures contained in this proxy statement with respect to the compensation paid to the Company's principal executive officer (Mary G. Puma), principal financial officer (Kevin J. Brewer), and the three most highly compensated other executive officers serving as executive officers at December 31, 2015 included in the *Summary Compensation Table* below. These executive officers are referred to herein as "named executive officers" or "NEOs." Specifically, this Compensation Discussion and Analysis will explain the objectives and material elements of the compensation of the NEOs during 2015.

Executive Summary of Axcelis 2015 Executive Compensation

2015 Business Environment.

During 2015, Axcelis made significant progress towards our long term strategic goal of regaining a position of market share leadership in the ion implant semiconductor equipment market. We introduced the latest member of our new family of ion implanters, the Purion H, in the fourth quarter of 2014. In 2015, all three Purion system types, the Purion H, Purion M and Purion XE, were running in production at customer sites. Two of these customers are realizing the benefits of the "Full Power of Purion," by using all three Purion system types in production.

Purion H, which addresses the largest market for ion implanters, the high current market, is the fastest growing new product in Axcelis' history. Four customers are running the Purion H in production for memory and foundry applications. The Company's industry leading Purion XE is winning both memory and non-memory applications, such as image sensors and power devices, at both 200mm and 300mm. Purion penetrations are driving significant market share gains, and we expect that our 2015 market share will be reported by market analysts at between 17% to 20%. Our 2015 revenues increased by almost 50% from 2014 to \$301.5 million, and our 2015 net income was \$14.7 million in comparison to a net loss of \$11.3 million in 2014. Despite our improving performance, we are working hard to ensure that manufacturing and operating expense levels remain well aligned to business conditions.

2015 Say-on-Pay Vote

At our 2015 annual meeting, approximately 98% of votes cast were in favor of our advisory vote on executive compensation (commonly referred to as "Say-on-Pay"). This was a significant improvement from the 80% shareholder support expressed in 2014, and a return to the levels of the prior three years, which were all over 90% in favor. Both Glass Lewis and ISS had recommended in favor of our 2015 Say-on-Pay vote.

Our 2015 compensation structure was the same as in 2014, with executive officers receiving base pay aligned to market peers and survey benchmarks and performance compensation consisting of an annual cash incentive tied to financial metrics and equity grants in the form of stock options which deliver value based on stock price appreciation. This structure

Table of Contents

and the compensation levels were reviewed in November 2015 by Pearl Meyer, which firm reported that our executive pay is well aligned with peers and with our performance.

Key Features of 2015 Executive Compensation

Balanced Mix of Base and Short- and Long-Term Incentive Pay with a Strong Emphasis on Performance-Based Awards. In 2015, the NEOs received target base, annual incentive and equity grant compensation that aligned well with elements of peer executive compensation targets. In 2015, the at-risk compensation comprised 64% of the 2015 total target compensation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (Mary G. Puma) and 59% (on average) of the other NEOs' 2015 total target compensation.

Realized/Realizable Pay Below Target. In 2015, the NEOS realized/realizable pay as of the end of 2015 was below the target pay established for the year. The payout under the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan was at 71.7% of target, based on the Company's revenue and operating profit performance against targets. The intrinsic value of the 2015 NEO options at December 31, 2015 was zero, since the exercise price of such options (\$3.01) exceeded the closing market price of the common stock (\$2.59). The value of the 2015 options will continue to change over their 7 year term, and the actual amount received by the NEO on the sale of the shares acquired on exercise will depend on the number of shares that vest and the market value of the Company's common stock at the time the NEO disposes of such shares. However, using the intrinsic value of the options at December 31, 2015 and the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan score, Ms. Puma received 2015 compensation equal to 61.9% of her total target compensation and the other NEOs, on average, received 2015 compensation equal to 59.1% of their total target compensation, as shown in the charts below:

Table of Contents

Compensation Philosophy and Governance Practices

The Company's overall compensation goal is to drive shareholder value by implementing an executive compensation program designed to:

(1) motivate and retain executive talent by offering total target compensation with a proportion of at-risk compensation that is commensurate with the 50th percentile of total target and at-risk compensation at other companies of a similar size in the same or similar industries, as adjusted for individual factors; and

(2) drive achievement of annual and long-term strategic objectives by rewarding executives through incentive pay tied to approved financial and operational goals and equity grants that deliver value with stock price appreciation, aligning pay with performance.

The Company also seeks to support our compensation philosophy with strong governance practices, which include:

An annual Say-on-Pay vote and related shareholder outreach;

Annual benchmarking of executive compensation against a peer group;

An equity award plan that seeks to align to best practices (including with respect to cost and voting power dilution, fungible share counting for whole share awards and prohibitions on repricing and cash repurchases);

Equity grant practices that seek to align with industry burn rates;

Executive stock ownership guidelines;

Double trigger on change of control benefits for executives, and the elimination of excise tax indemnification and gross ups in future change of control agreements;

An executive compensation clawback policy that empowers the Board to recover incentive compensation under terms set forth in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010; and

No excessive perquisites or guaranteed bonuses.

Table of Contents

Review of Executive Compensation in 2015

All executive compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For a discussion of the Committee's processes in general, see "*Corporate Governance Compensation Committee*" in this proxy statement. Executive compensation for incumbent executives is reviewed annually.

The Compensation Committee engaged an outside compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer to provide a report for discussion at the November 2015 meeting on both cash and equity compensation for each of the executive officer positions at Axcelis in comparison to market data. The Committee assessed Pearl Meyer's independence in light of the U.S. SEC and Nasdaq listing standards and determined that no conflict of interest or independence concerns exist.

Pearl Meyer, working with management and the Committee, developed an updated peer group of companies that were used for comparison purposes (the "2015 Peer Group"). The Committee reviewed the Company's 2014 peer group, and two 2014 peers (AXT Inc. and GT Advanced Tech) were removed due to size/business cessation and three new companies were added (Advanced Energy Industries, Inc. Applied Micro Circuits Corporation, and Veeco Instruments Inc.), each of which met the industry and revenue qualifications. The peer companies were selected based on their participation in the semiconductor equipment industry, with revenues ranging from 46% to 191% of Axcelis' 2014 revenue, and similarities in sales growth, total shareholder return, and number of employees.

Table of Contents

The chart below names the selected 15 peers ranked by revenue for the most recently completed fiscal year as of November 2015, in comparison to Axcelis (shown both with 2014 and 2015 revenues):

Axcelis, using our 2015 revenue, is near the median of the 2015 Peer Group in terms of revenue, which is desirable given that revenue is highly correlated with compensation. Compensation information from these 2015 Peer Group companies was obtained from proxy statements and other filings available prior to November 2015. Pearl Meyer also used data from a proprietary global technology survey, reflecting companies of approximately the same size as Axcelis. While this peer group represents a broad revenue range with some peers at substantially higher revenues, Axcelis competes for talent against all other companies in the semiconductor industries, many of which have higher revenues. We also believe our revenues will continue to increase in the coming years.

In their report to the Compensation Committee, Pearl Meyer concluded that Axcelis' 2015 executive cash compensation is competitive with market levels, positioned at or above the market median. Pearl Meyer also reviewed the structure of the Company's annual incentive plan, the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan, discussed below. Pearl Meyer reported that the plan design and metrics of the Axcelis annual incentive plans were within market practice in the 2015 Peer Group.

Pearl Meyer reported that Axcelis' executive equity compensation, using Black Scholes grant date fair value, lagged the market, especially in the case of Ms. Puma. Pearl Meyer noted

Table of Contents

that Axcelis' low stock price made it difficult for the Company to provide significant Black Scholes grant date value compared to the benchmark data while also keeping the equity awards at an appropriate size in light of burn rate and the percent of shares outstanding used in the awards. Pearl Meyer also observed that all of the peer companies were using full-value shares (RSUs or restricted stock) for executive equity grants, either alone or in combination with stock options.

No changes to 2015 NEO compensation were made as a result of the Pearl Meyer Executive Compensation report, but certain recommendations may be implemented in 2016.

Material Elements of Named Executive Officer Compensation

The table below lists the key elements of NEO compensation and why Axcelis has chosen to pay each compensation element, how Axcelis determines the amount of each element:

Base salaries	To attract and retain qualified executives in a competitive industry.	We seek to provide each element of compensation at a level that is at or near the 50th percentile of the market data for each form of compensation for the benchmarked position.
Annual Cash Incentive	To drive achievement of annual strategic objectives through at-risk pay tied to financial and operational goals, resulting in appropriate pay-for-performance.	
Equity Awards	To drive achievement of long-term shareholder value through equity grants that deliver value with stock price appreciation, resulting in appropriate pay-for-performance.	

The following discussion explains how, in 2015, each compensation element and the Company's decisions regarding that element fit into the Company's overall compensation objectives and affect decisions regarding other elements.

Base Salary

The Company pays a base salary to each of its NEOs. Base pay for NEOs is set on commencement of employment with the Company and reviewed by the Compensation Committee annually thereafter to adjust as needed to align with market benchmarking. In the event that base pay is a factor in calculating annual incentive cash compensation or equity grants, when fixing or adjusting base pay, the Compensation Committee will consider the impact of a change on these other compensation components. No increases to NEO base pay were approved in 2015. However, base pay for all of the NEOs increased in 2015 over 2014 because Ms. Puma had volunteered to take a 10% pay reduction in 2014, and the other NEOs had participated in three weeks of unpaid time in 2014.

Annual Cash Incentive 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan

In February 2015, the Compensation Committee adopted the Company's annual cash incentive plan, the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan (the "2015 AMI"). Approximately 130

Table of Contents

management-level employees participated in the 2015 AMI, each of whom was assigned a target payout, expressed as a percent of base pay. The Committee set the 2015 AMI target for Ms. Puma at 100% of her base pay, and for each of the other NEOs at 60% of their respective 2015 base pay. The table below shows the metrics established for the 2015 AMI, setting 0%, 100% and 200% level scores for 2015 Revenue and Operating Profit.

2015 Revenue	50% \$	220M \$	320M \$	380M
2015 Operating Profit (after AMI payout)	50% \$	12M \$	26M \$	32M

Actual 2015 financial performance is compared to these metrics, and a weighted score developed, interpolating for the score between the metric levels. The total at-target (a 100% score) payout of the 2015 AMI would equal approximately \$4.8 million. The 2015 AMI score is capped at a 200% payout. The Compensation Committee may adjust the funding for extraordinary items and other qualitative aspects of the Company's 2015 performance (using benchmarks and budgets) to ensure that the score reflects actual performance and not extraordinary events and is otherwise appropriate. Each participant's expected payout would be a percentage of his or her target payout equal to the final 2015 AMI score.

In selecting the financial metrics to use in the 2015 AMI, the Committee considered feedback from Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc., an independent compensation consultant ("FW Cook"), in an executive compensation review conducted in November 2014. FW Cook observed that while the 2014 Axcelis Management Incentive plan design and single metric (net income) was within market practice, a majority of peer companies utilize two or three metrics to determine performance and payouts. FW Cook reported that operating income and revenue were the two most common metrics. Revenue growth is a critical metric for the Company, as it seeks to grow market share. Operating profit was viewed as preferable to net income, since it eliminates factors outside of the control of management.

The levels of revenue and operating profit set for the 0% and 100% scores were chosen so that the 2015 profit plan revenues and operating profit would result in a 50% score. This structure was designed to allow the Company to gradually transition back to a full incentive pay expense over a number of years, by driving a below target score, and therefore below target expense, even at profit plan results.

Actual payouts would equal the expected AMI payout multiplied by an individual performance score determined by the manager, or the Compensation Committee, in the case of the NEOs. Individual performance scores can range from 0% to 150%, provided such individual adjustments could not increase the aggregate payout amount for all participants, without Compensation Committee approval.

Table of Contents

In February 2016, the Compensation Committee determined that the final 2015 AMI score was 71.7%, based on the Company's revenue and operating profit for the year, as shown in the chart below:

2015 Revenue	50% \$	220M \$	320M \$	301.5M	81.5%
2015 Operating Profit (after AMI payout)	50% \$	12M \$	26M \$	20.7M	62.1%
Weighted Score					71.7%

The Committee also set the individual performance score for each NEO at 100%, since executive officer performance expectations had been met, and the Company's 2015 profit plan targets had been exceeded. These scores resulted in the 2015 non-equity incentive plan compensation amounts shown in the *2015 Summary Compensation* table below. A payout of the 2015 AMI is planned in April 2016.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Equity Compensation Philosophy. Equity compensation for NEOs, which at the Company has taken the form of stock options and RSUs, is designed to align the interests of executives with those of our stockholders and to retain executives through the use of multi-year vesting periods. Equity grants are also intended to align pay with performance, in that the value ultimately realized through equity grants is directly linked to stock price appreciation and stockholder value accretion. Option grants have no value without stock price appreciation, and RSUs have value at grant that can increase with stock price appreciation. Thus, equity grants should constructively influence management's motivation to enhance the value of the Company's stock.

Long-term ownership of equity awards is further encouraged through the Company's executive stock ownership guidelines, which establish a minimum number of shares that the executive must own and align executive officers and long-term stockholder interests. As noted above under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*", these guidelines were amended during 2014. Ms. Puma is required to own shares having a value equal to three times her base pay. The other NEOs are required to own shares having a value equal to 1.5 times base pay or, if less, 65,000 shares. Until an NEO meets the requisite stock ownership level prescribed by the stock ownership guidelines, the NEO is encouraged to retain 50% of the net shares received through the exercise of stock options or in connection with the vesting of RSUs. These guidelines are intended to ensure that the executives' interests in the value of the Company's stock include interests in stock as well as equity-based incentive awards, and as such are more fully aligned with the interests of Company stockholders generally. NEOs are also subject to the Company's policies prohibiting hedging and pledging our common stock, which are discussed above under "*Corporate Governance*."

Equity Compensation Processes. Equity grants to executives are made upon hire and, typically, thereafter on an annual basis. Annual equity grants to executive officers have been

Table of Contents

made in most years in order to ensure a meaningful retentive effect by maintaining the percentage of the executive's equity position that is unvested and to continue to award compensation that is directly tied to Company performance. The Compensation Committee determines the form of equity grants made to the NEOs. The 2012 Equity Incentive Plan allows the Compensation Committee to award several different forms of equity rights, including restricted stock, RSUs, incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options. Past equity grants to NEOs have taken the form of non-qualified stock options and RSUs.

Our Compensation Committee has, for the past several years, selected stock options as the main form of equity grant because our low share price allowed for significant potential return on growth in our stock price. Stock options also provide a significant incentive because the optionholder receives no or minimal reward if the stock price does not improve over the level on the date of grant. We have used RSUs in lieu of cash incentives to conserve cash as well as in other appropriate circumstances. RSUs allow us to issue fewer shares than stock options to deliver comparable value, which reduces overhang and potential stockholder dilution. RSUs also have a significant retention effect because their ultimate value depends on the future stock price performance of the company, providing motivation through variable "at risk" compensation and direct alignment with stockholders. In their review of our executive compensation in November 2015, Pearl Meyer observed that all of our compensation peers were using either RSUs, or a combination of RSUs and stock options, as their equity awards.

It is the Committee's general practice to approve equity awards with a future effective date, usually on the 15th of the month following the approval. Annual equity grants are usually approved in May or June with a grant date of July 15th (or the next succeeding trading day). The Company believes that this time period between the approval and effectiveness of an equity grant means that the Committee is unable to know or estimate the trading price of the Company's common stock on the effective date of grant. As a result, the Committee has not, to date, thought it necessary to adopt a policy of timing the approval or effectiveness of equity awards to specific dates following the release of financial results or other material information.

2015 Annual Equity Grants. In May 2015, the Compensation Committee determined that stock options were the most compelling form of equity compensation for the annual program. RSUs have an intrinsic value regardless of stock price appreciation, and options deliver value only if the stock price increases over the grant date price. Given the criticality of achieving revenue and profitability growth, the Committee felt that options were a more appropriate vehicle, since the stock price should reflect management's achievements, and better accomplish pay-for-performance.

The Black Scholes value of the 2015 option grants to the NEOs were assessed at the time of grant in comparison to equity benchmarks developed by FW Cook's executive compensation review conducted in November 2014. Using a Black Scholes formula to value these option grants, the 2015 grants were significantly below the FW Cook benchmarks for each of the NEO positions. Given the Company's stock price, a significantly greater number of shares would have been

Table of Contents

required to meet the benchmark values. The Compensation Committee determined that the grant sizes noted below were more appropriate in light of the total shares outstanding:

Puma	\$	1,362,000	300,000	\$	426,000	31%
Brewer	\$	610,000	225,000	\$	319,500	52%
Bintz	\$	459,000	200,000	\$	284,000	62%
Aldeborgh	\$	557,500	200,000	\$	284,000	51%
Fallon	\$	370,500	150,000	\$	213,000	57%

The 2015 stock option grants to NEOs are set forth in the *Grants of Plan Based Awards in Fiscal 2015* table below. These options have an exercise price of \$3.01 and will vest as to 25% of the granted shares on the first anniversary of the date of grant, and then as to 6.25% quarterly thereafter, until fully vested on the 4th anniversary of the date of grant, assuming continuation of employment.

Employment and Change of Control Agreements

The Company has had an Employment Agreement with Ms. Puma since November 2007 that provides for a one-year term of employment at a minimum annual base salary of \$500,000 and an annual target incentive compensation opportunity of 100% of base salary and severance upon a qualifying termination of employment. See "*Payments on Termination or Change of Control Employment Agreement with Ms. Puma*" below. No action was taken by the Compensation Committee in 2015 relating to this Employment Agreement.

In March 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the execution of separation pay agreements with each of the NEOs other than Ms. Puma. These Executive Separation Pay Agreements provide that in the event of a termination without cause, the executive will continue to receive base pay for 12 months. If the NEO elects to continue health coverage under COBRA, the Company will waive 12 months of COBRA premiums. In addition, the Company will provide transition support having a value of \$15,000.

Each of the NEOs has a double-trigger Change of Control Agreement with the Company, described below under "*Payments on Termination or Change of Control.*" No action was taken by the Compensation Committee in 2015 relating to these Change of Control Agreements. If the Change of Control Agreement applies, the NEO will receive the greater of the change of control payout or the payout under the Executive Separation Pay Agreement (or in the case of Ms. Puma, under her Employment Agreement).

Table of Contents

As noted above under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*," consistent with our commitment to strong corporate governance and responsiveness to our stockholders, in 2014 the Board of Directors adopted a new governance policy against tax gross-up arrangements, which provides that no future change of control agreement with any future executive officer of the Company shall include a gross-up in respect of any excise or other tax due in respect of severance compensation, including pursuant to Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Other Compensation Components

In order to encourage our executives to more completely focus their efforts on performing their duties while still allowing them to obtain adequate financial and tax planning assistance, Axcelis reimburses up to \$5,500 of an executive's annual tax and financial planning expenses. This program is the only executive perquisite at Axcelis and amounts paid to NEOs under this program are included in the "All other compensation" column in the Summary Compensation Table. Mr. Brewer was the only NEO to participate in this program in 2015 and the prior two years.

Executives may elect to make contributions to a retirement account in the Company's IRC Section 401(k) plan, on the same basis as Company employees generally. The Company made a matching contributions to the 401(k) plan at the rate of a dollar-for-dollar match, up to \$1,000, with respect to employees' 2015 contributions.

The Company does not maintain a defined benefit pension plan nor does it maintain any non-qualified deferred compensation plans.

NEOs may also participate in the Company's medical and dental insurance offerings on the same basis as Company employees generally by electing to make payroll deductions designed to cover approximately 25% of the cost of those programs (the Company covers the other 75% of the cost). The Company provides life, accidental death and dismemberment and disability insurance for all employees, and the opportunity to increase coverage levels via payroll deductions.

Finally, the Company maintains the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, a voluntary IRC Section 423 plan in which employees may purchase Axcelis shares through salary deductions.

Executive Compensation Clawback Policy

In 2014, the Board of Directors adopted an Executive Compensation Clawback Policy which authorizes the Board to seek recovery of incentive cash and equity compensation as contemplated by Section 954 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010. In addition, the Company's policy authorizes a clawback of incentive compensation in the event of any violation of an agreement with the Company or of any policy of the Company (which would include violations of the Company's Ethics policy or any applicable law) and also in the event of a voluntary departure to work for a competitor.

Table of Contents

Risk Assessment of Compensation Policies and Practices

In 2015, the Company determined, in its reasonable business judgment, that its compensation policies and practices for its employees, including the NEOs, do not give rise to risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In reaching this determination, management engaged in (i) a review of the Company's compensation programs, policies and practices, (ii) identification of risks, if any, related to the programs, policies and practices, (iii) consideration of the materiality of a potentially risk-related reward to the total compensation provided to the individual, and (iv) identification of those aspects of the program and its oversight that provide risk control. Although all compensation programs were considered, management's review focused on the programs with variability of payout and in which there is a potential for the participant to directly affect payout.

Based on this review, management determined that the compensation policies and practices for Axcelis' employees do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, principally because:

- (1) The structure of our executive compensation program includes a balanced mix of cash and equity compensation; and
- (2) Our incentive compensation programs are subject to appropriate risk controls in their design and oversight:

The Company's internal controls and risk management practices restrict risk-taking that is not consistent with risks inherent in the Company's strategic plan, as approved by the Board;

Payment of small bonuses for extraordinary effort or for achieving individual or team goals are subject to approval by direct managers, and representatives of human resources and finance departments, and, for higher amounts, a representative of senior management;

Payment of sales commission plans are subject to calculation and approval by the finance department and are tied to actual receipt of payments from the customer; and

Payouts under the Company's annual cash incentive plans are in the discretion of the Compensation Committee, which considers both qualitative and quantitative assessments of performance.

Tax Implications

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, generally disallows a federal tax deduction to public companies for compensation in any tax year to specified executive officers to the extent that the compensation to such executive officer exceeds \$1 million, subject to exceptions for compensation that is "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Table of Contents

Certain of our compensation and benefit plans are designed to permit us to grant awards that may qualify as "qualified performance-based compensation;" however, it is possible that awards intended to qualify for the tax deduction may not so qualify if all requirements of the "qualified performance-based compensation" exemption are not met.

Furthermore, although the Compensation Committee may take action intended to limit the impact of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Compensation Committee also believes that the tax deduction is only one of several relevant considerations in setting compensation, and that the tax deduction limitation should not be permitted to compromise the Compensation Committee's ability to structure its compensation to provide benefits to the Company that outweigh the potential benefit of the tax deduction. While the Company has not been limited in its tax deductions in respect of compensation by reason of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code in the last decade, achieving the desired flexibility in the design and delivery of compensation may result in compensation that in certain cases is not deductible for federal income tax purposes in the future.

Table of Contents

2015 Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

By the Compensation Committee,

R. John Fletcher, Chairman
Arthur L. George, Jr.
John T. Kurtzweil
Patrick H. Nettles

73

Table of Contents**2015 Summary Compensation Table**

Mary G. Puma, Chief Executive Officer and President	2015	\$ 550,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 426,000	\$ 394,350	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,371,350
	2014(2)	\$ 492,885	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 288,984	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 781,868
	2013(3)	\$ 400,481	\$ -	\$ 187,499	\$ 359,716	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 947,696
Kevin J. Brewer, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 319,500	\$ 150,570	\$ 1,518	\$ 821,588
	2014(2)	\$ 326,731	\$ 25,000	\$ -	\$ 216,738	\$ -	\$ 841	\$ 569,310
	2013(3)	\$ 310,962	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 323,744	\$ -	\$ 549	\$ 635,255
William Bintz, Executive Vice President, Engineering and Marketing	2015	\$ 330,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 284,000	\$ 141,966	\$ 2,000	\$ 757,966
	2014(2)	\$ 309,423	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 192,656	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 502,079
	2013(3)	\$ 271,385	\$ -	\$ 48,000	\$ 431,659	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 751,043
John E. Aldeborgh, Executive Vice President, Global Customer Operations	2015	\$ 330,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 284,000	\$ 141,966	\$ -	\$ 755,966
	2014(2)	\$ 306,346	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 192,656	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 499,002
	2013(3)	\$ 271,154	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 703,166	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 974,320
Lynnette C. Fallon, Executive Vice President, HR/Legal and General Counsel	2015	\$ 320,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 213,000	\$ 137,664	\$ 1,000	\$ 671,664
	2014(2)	\$ 301,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 144,492	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 446,030
	2013(3)	\$ 301,538	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 107,915	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 409,453

- (1) Base salary is set by the Compensation Committee, based on market benchmarking. Other than Ms. Puma, the named executive officers (NEOs) do not have employment agreements addressing base salary. Ms. Puma's employment agreement is described under the heading "Payments on Termination or Change in Control" in this proxy statement.
- (2) The 2014 base salary for each of the NEOs other than Ms. Puma reflects their participation in three weeks of unpaid shutdowns in 2014, and in Ms. Puma's case, a 10% voluntary pay reduction. Mr. Brewer was paid a \$25,000 lump-sum bonus amount in January 2014 in consideration of his service as interim Chief Financial Officer beginning in mid-2013. This was a fixed payment the Company had agreed to make after the end of 2013.
- (3) The 2013 base compensation for each of the NEOs reflects their participation in three weeks of unpaid shutdowns in 2013. In addition, the 2013 base pay for each of Ms. Puma and Mr. Bintz was reduced at the election of the executive in return for an RSU grant under the "2013 Base Pay RSU Program" described in the "2013 Compensation Discussion and Analysis" included in the proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2013 stock award amounts reported for Ms. Puma and Mr. Bintz relate to RSUs granted in the 2013 Base Pay RSU Program.
- (4) Represents the grant date fair value of the stock and option awards received by the NEO in the year indicated, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, using the assumptions described in the Stock Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form PRE 14A

Note to the Company's Financial Statements included in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the respective year.

Table of Contents

(5) Non-equity incentive plan compensation in 2015 represents amounts that were paid under the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan, as described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.

(6) The amounts in this column represent (A) the amount to be paid in cash as a matching contribution to Axcelis' 401(k) plan in respect of contributions made by the NEO during the year, (B) amounts reimbursed under the Company's Executive Tax and Financial Planning Reimbursement Program, and (C) the value of service awards received in the year.

Grants of Plan Based Awards in Fiscal 2015

Mary G. Puma	7/15/2015	5/13/2015	300,000	\$	3.01	\$	426,000
Kevin J. Brewer	7/15/2015	5/13/2015	225,000	\$	3.01	\$	319,500
William Bintz	7/15/2015	5/13/2015	200,000	\$	3.01	\$	284,000
John E. Aldeborgh	7/15/2015	5/13/2015	200,000	\$	3.01	\$	284,000
Lynnette C. Fallon	7/15/2015	5/13/2015	150,000	\$	3.01	\$	213,000

(1) As discussed above in "2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation Equity Compensation Processes," it is the Compensation Committee's general practice to approve equity awards with a future effective date, usually on the 15th of the first or second month following the approval. Annual equity grants are usually approved in May or June with a grant date of July 15th (or the next succeeding trading day).

(2) The NEOs were granted stock options under the Company's 2012 EIP effective July 15, 2015. These options vest as to 25% of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of grant, and then at the rate of 6.25% per quarter thereafter, until fully vested on the fourth anniversary of the date of grant. Other than future services to the Company, no consideration was paid or will be due in order acquire these stock options. The 2015 stock options will be forfeited if the NEO's employment terminates prior to vesting, as described in the table entitled "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2015 Year End." See "Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation 2015 Equity Grants" in "2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.

(3) Represents the grant date fair value of the equity awards received by the NEO in 2015, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, using the assumptions described in the Stock Award Plans and Stock-Based Compensation Note to the Company's Financial Statements included in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for 2015.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2015 Year End**

None of the NEOs held unvested restricted stock or restricted stock units as of December 31, 2015.

Mary G. Puma					
	250,000	0	\$	0.70	11/17/2018
	250,000	0	\$	1.16	11/16/2019
	250,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2020
	250,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2021
(1)	187,500	62,500	\$	0.93	7/16/2019
	250,000	0	\$	1.99	7/15/2020
(2)	75,000	225,000	\$	1.80	7/15/2021
(3)	-	300,000	\$	3.01	7/15/2022
Kevin J. Brewer					
	125,000	0	\$	1.16	11/16/2019
	150,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2020
	150,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2021
(1)	112,500	37,500	\$	0.93	7/16/2019
	225,000	0	\$	1.99	7/15/2020
(2)	56,250	168,750	\$	1.80	7/15/2021
(3)	-	225,000	\$	3.01	7/15/2022
William Bintz					
	17,000	0	\$	4.78	1/3/2016
	5,000	0	\$	6.39	5/15/2017
	100,000	0	\$	1.16	11/16/2019
	150,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2020
	150,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2021
(1)	37,500	37,500	\$	0.93	7/16/2019
	300,000	0	\$	1.99	7/15/2020
(2)	50,000	150,000	\$	1.80	7/15/2021
(3)	-	200,000	\$	3.01	7/15/2022
John E. Aldeborgh					
(4)	100,000	100,000	\$	1.27	1/15/2020
	350,000	0	\$	1.99	7/15/2020
(2)	50,000	150,000	\$	1.80	7/15/2021
(3)	-	200,000	\$	3.01	7/15/2022
Lynnette C. Fallon					
	125,000	0	\$	1.16	11/16/2019
	125,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2020
	150,000	0	\$	1.60	7/15/2021
(1)	112,500	37,500	\$	0.93	7/16/2019
	75,000	0	\$	1.99	7/15/2020
(2)	37,500	112,500	\$	1.80	7/15/2021
(3)	-	150,000	\$	3.01	7/15/2022

(1) Assuming continued employment, the unexercisable options will become exercisable as to the unvested shares on July 16, 2016.

Table of Contents

- (2) Assuming continued employment, the unexercisable options will become exercisable as to one third of the unexercisable shares on each of July 15, 2016, 2017 and 2018.
- (3) Assuming continued employment, the unexercisable options will become exercisable as to 25% of the unexercisable shares on each of July 15, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019.
- (4) Assuming continued employment, 50,000 of the unexercisable options will become exercisable on each of January 15, 2016 and 2017.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal 2015

None of the NEOs acquired shares on vesting of stock awards in 2015.

Mary G. Puma	0	
Kevin J. Brewer	125,000	\$286,997
William Bintz	85,000	\$159,535
John E. Aldeborgh	0	
Lynnette C. Fallon	125,000	\$307,500

- (1) Represents the difference between the closing market price of the underlying shares on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the option, multiplied by the number of options exercised. The actual amount received by the NEO on the sale of any of the shares acquired on exercise will depend on the market values of the Company's common stock at the time the NEO disposes of such shares.

Payments on Termination or Change of Control

Employment Agreement with Ms. Puma. The Company has had an Employment Agreement with Ms. Puma since November 2007 that provides for a one-year term of employment at a minimum annual base salary of \$500,000 and an annual target incentive compensation opportunity of 100% of base salary. Ms. Puma's rate of pay in 2015 was \$550,000, which was implemented on Compensation Committee approval in January 2013. Ms. Puma's base salary and incentive opportunities may be subject to future adjustment by the Board, but not below the minimum levels in her Employment Agreement, unless mutually agreed.

The term of Ms. Puma's agreement automatically renews on a year-to-year basis unless one party notifies the other that the agreement will not be extended. Such notice must be sent within a 60 day window period beginning 180 days prior to the next anniversary of the effective date. The agreement also provides that Ms. Puma will participate in the Company's equity compensation plans, the 401(k) savings plan and the welfare benefit plans that we sponsor.

Table of Contents

In the event Ms. Puma's employment is terminated prior to the end of the term of the Employment Agreement for reasons other than cause, death, disability or in the event of voluntary resignation without "good reason" (as defined in the Employment Agreement), she is entitled to full acceleration of vesting of options and other equity rights and a cash separation payment. The cash separation payment will equal 24 months of her annual base salary and two times an annual bonus amount determined in accordance with the agreement. For this purpose, Ms. Puma's annual bonus compensation will be her current annual base salary multiplied by the greater of (a) the percentage of her annual base salary that she actually received as a bonus for the prior fiscal year or (b) 25% of her annual base salary. Under the Employment Agreement Ms. Puma is also entitled to up to 18 months of Company-paid COBRA premiums. The following table sets forth the separation pay that would have been due to Ms. Puma under her Employment Agreement if a qualifying termination occurred on December 31, 2015:

\$1,375,000	\$	281,500	\$	38,316	\$	1,694,816
-------------	----	---------	----	--------	----	-----------

- (1) This amount represents 24 months of Ms. Puma's annual base salary at the highest rate in effect in the year preceding December 31, 2015 plus 24 months of the minimum bonus amount specified in the agreement (25% of her annual base salary). The monthly rate of annual base salary (\$45,833) and monthly bonus amount (\$11,458) were calculated using her 2015 annual base salary of \$550,000. The lump sum cash payment above would be due within 30 days of termination.
- (2) This amount reflects a valuation of the acceleration of Ms. Puma's outstanding equity awards using the methodology prescribed under IRC Section 280G, which provides for an excise tax on certain change of control payments. This valuation is based on the closing price of our common stock on the last trading day of 2015 (\$2.59). The actual amount received by Ms. Puma on exercise of options will depend on the market values at the time of such transactions.
- (3) Ms. Puma's employment agreement provides that the Company will pay for up to 18 months of COBRA premiums. This amount represents 18 months of COBRA premiums in effect during 2016 for Ms. Puma's coverage elections. Actual COBRA rates will change on January 1, 2017.

Executive Officer Separation Pay Agreements. In March 2015, the Compensation Committee approved the execution of separation pay agreements with each of the NEOs other than Ms. Puma. These Executive Separation Pay Agreements are dated as of March 5, 2015, and provide that in the event of a termination without cause, the executive will receive 12 months of base pay, payable on a payroll basis. If the NEO elects to continue health coverage under COBRA, the Company will waive 12 months of COBRA premiums. In addition, the Company will provide transition support having a value of \$15,000.

The NEO must provide a release of claims in order to receive the separation pay. The NEO will not be eligible to receive the severance payments and benefits described in this Agreement in the event that (i) the Executive's employment is terminated by the Company for cause or due to Executive's death or disability, or (ii) the Executive resigns from employment, regardless of the reason(s) for such resignation. These agreements expire on March 5, 2020. The following table

Table of Contents

sets forth the separation pay that would have been due to these NEOs under each of their Executive Separation Pay Agreements if a qualifying termination occurred on December 31, 2015:

Kevin J. Brewer	\$	350,000	\$	15,000	\$	26,019	\$	391,019
William Bintz	\$	330,000	\$	15,000	\$	26,019	\$	371,019
John E. Aldeborgh	\$	330,000	\$	15,000	\$	26,019	\$	371,019
Lynnette C. Fallon	\$	320,000	\$	15,000	\$	26,019	\$	361,019

- (1) This amount represents 12 months of the NEO's annual base salary in effect on December 31, 2015. This amount would be paid in 26 bi-weekly installments
- (2) In the event separation pay is due, the Company will provide transition assistance to the NEO having a value of \$15,000. The Company will work with the Executive to provide assistance that meets the needs of the Executive, and will offer support in accordance with the Company's practices for executive terminations generally.
- (3) The Executive Separation Pay Agreements provide that the Company will pay for up to 12 months of COBRA premiums. This amount represents 12 months of COBRA premiums in effect during 2016 for the executive's coverage elections.

Change of Control Agreements. The Company has entered into a Change of Control Agreement with each of our executive officers, including our NEOs, to provide that severance compensation will be paid in a lump sum within 30 days of a covered termination following a change in control, as defined in the agreement. These Change of Control Agreements provide that executive officers are entitled to severance compensation in the event there is both (1) a change in control and (2) a termination of employment within three years of that change in control for reasons other than cause, death, disability or voluntary resignation without good reason. Under the Change of Control Agreements, "good reason" is generally defined as a material diminution in the executive's authority, a material reduction in base pay or a material change in geographic location of the executive's job. A "change of control" is defined in the agreement and covers a number of events, including a merger or acquisition involving the Company in which the persons holding the Company's shares immediately prior to the transaction hold less than 75% of the shares outstanding after the transaction.

Severance compensation consists of a cash payment equal to three times the executive's annual base salary and average annual bonus as of the date of termination. For this purpose, an executive's average annual bonus is his or her current cash bonus opportunity multiplied by the average of the individual performance scores given to the executive in the last three years, assuming a target payout based on company metrics. In addition, all unvested RSUs and options held by the executive will vest in full, and options will remain outstanding and exercisable until their expiration in accordance with the applicable award agreement.

The Change of Control Agreements provide for a non-competition covenant pursuant to which the executive may not be engaged by, or own, any business competing with any of the businesses conducted by the Company for a period of 12 months following any termination of employment (whether or not following a change of control). The Change of Control Agreements

Edgar Filing: AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form PRE 14A

Table of Contents

also provide for a non-solicitation covenant whereby the executives may not solicit employees of the Company to leave employment with the Company or solicit or induce customers of the Company to cease doing business with the Company, during the 12 months following any termination of employment (whether or not following a change of control).

Each of our current Change of Control Agreements with our current executive officers provide for a gross-up of any excise tax due under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code and any income tax due on such gross-up payment, as shown in the chart below. The excise taxes due under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code are unpredictable and can have widely divergent and unexpected effects based on an executive's personal compensation history. Therefore, to provide a predictable and equal level of benefit to each of the NEOs without regard to the effect of the excise tax, at the time that we entered into Change of Control Agreements with the NEOs, we determined that it was appropriate to pay the cost of this excise tax plus an amount needed to pay income taxes due on such additional payment. Such provisions were consistent with market practice at the time that we entered into the Change of Control Agreements with our NEOs.

These Change of Control Agreements have a rolling three year term, and may be terminated by notice sent 60 days or more before the anniversary date of the agreement each year. If such notice is sent, the Change of Control Agreement would expire on the third anniversary date following the notice of termination.

As noted above under "*Corporate Governance Governance Policies*," consistent with our commitment to strong corporate governance and responsiveness to our stockholders, in 2014 the Board of Directors adopted a new governance policy against tax gross-up arrangements, which provides that no future change of control agreement with any future executive officer of the Company, shall include a gross-up in respect of any excise or other tax due in respect of severance compensation, including pursuant to Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The amounts due to each named executive officer under the Change of Control Agreements in the event that a change of control and termination occurred on December 31, 2015 are set forth in the table below:

Name	Lump sum cash payment (1)	Value of accelerated vesting on equity awards (2)	Excise tax due under IRC 280G, plus gross-up amount (3)	Total
Mary G. Puma	\$ 4,004,000	\$ 281,500	\$ 2,085,766	\$ 6,371,266
Kevin J. Brewer	\$ 1,921,500	\$ 195,564	\$ 960,425	\$ 3,077,489
William Bintz	\$ 1,811,700	\$ 180,750	\$ 794,175	\$ 2,786,625
John E. Aldeborgh	\$ 1,782,000	\$ 250,500	\$ 902,320	\$ 2,934,820
Lynnette C. Fallon	\$ 1,776,000	\$ 151,125	\$ 867,664	\$ 2,794,789

- (1) This amount, which is due within 30 days of termination, represents separation pay equal to (A) three times the NEO's current annual base salary plus an average bonus amount based on past performance

Table of Contents

assessments, and (B) the amount earned but unpaid, if any, under the 2015 Axcelis Management Incentive Plan. See "*Annual Cash Incentive*" in "*2015 Compensation Discussion and Analysis*."

- (2) These amounts reflect a valuation of the acceleration of all of the NEO's outstanding equity awards using the methodology prescribed under IRC Section 280G, which provides for an excise tax on certain change of control payments. This valuation is based on the closing price of our common stock on the last trading day of 2015 (\$2.59). The actual amount received by the NEO on exercise of options will depend on the market values at the time of the change of control.
- (3) The Change of Control Agreement with each NEO provides for a reimbursement of excise taxes incurred under IRC Section 4999 of the Code, which amount is grossed up to cover income taxes due on such reimbursement. The amounts shown in this column represent amounts due to taxing authorities and will not be retained by the executive.

In the event any NEO receives payment under his or her Change of Control Agreement, the NEO will not receive amounts and benefits due under his or Executive Separation Pay Agreement, or in the case of Ms. Puma, her Employment Agreement, unless such amount is in excess of the amount paid to the NEO under the Change of Control Agreement.

Table of Contents

Annex A

**AXCELIS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
2012 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN**

As approved by the Shareholders on May 2, 2012, May 14, 2013, May 13, 2014, and May 13, 2015, and as further amended by the Board of Directors on February 11, 2016, and as proposed for approval by the Stockholders on May 4, 2016

1. Purpose.

The purpose of the Axcelis Technologies, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") is to attract and retain persons who are expected to make important contributions to the Company and its Affiliates, to provide an incentive for them to achieve the Company's goals, and to enable them to participate in the growth of the Company by granting Awards with respect to the Company's Common Stock. Certain capitalized terms used herein are defined in Section 7 below.

2. Administration.

The Plan shall be administered by the Committee; provided, that the Board may in any instance perform any of the functions of the Committee hereunder. The Committee shall have authority to adopt, alter and repeal such administrative rules, guidelines and practices governing the operation of the Plan as it shall from time to time consider advisable, and to interpret the provisions hereof in its discretion. The Committee's determinations hereunder shall be final and binding. The Committee may, subject to applicable law, delegate to one or more Executive Officers of the Company the power to make Awards to Participants who are not Reporting Persons or Covered Employees and all determinations hereunder with respect thereto, provided that the Committee shall fix the maximum number of shares that may be subject to such Awards.

3. Eligibility.

All directors and all employees and consultants of the Company or any Affiliate capable of contributing to the successful performance of the Company, other than any person who has irrevocably elected not to be eligible, are eligible to be Participants in the Plan.

4. Stock Available for Awards.

(a) *Amount.* Subject to adjustment under subsection 4(b), up to an aggregate of [15,050,000 or such lesser amount as may be approved by the Chief Executive Officer]

Table of Contents

shares of Common Stock may be issued pursuant to Awards, including Incentive Stock Options, under the Plan. For the purposes of counting shares hereunder:

- i. The number of shares issued as, or upon settlement of, any Award other than an Option or Stock Appreciation Right shall be multiplied by 1.5;
- ii. Outstanding shares tendered by the Participant to pay for the exercise of an Option or Stock Appreciation Right, shares repurchased in the open market by the Company, and shares that are withheld by the Company to satisfy the exercise or tax withholding obligation upon exercise or vesting of an Award may not be netted out against shares of Common Stock issued pursuant to Awards hereunder;
- iii. Shares subject to any Award granted under this Plan that are not issued because the Award expires, is terminated unexercised or is forfeited, in whole or in part, may be subject to new Awards without being deemed to exceed such maximum amount;
- iv. Shares that are not issued under an award that is outstanding under the 2000 Stock Plan as of May 2, 2012 because such award expires, is terminated unexercised or is forfeited may be subject to new Awards under this Plan (other than Incentive Stock Options), without being deemed to exceed such maximum amount; and
- v. Shares issued under this Plan as a result of the assumption or substitution of outstanding grants from an acquired company shall not be deemed to exceed such maximum amount.

Shares issued under the Plan may consist of authorized but unissued shares or treasury shares

(b) *Adjustments.* Upon any equity restructuring, whether a stock dividend, recapitalization, split-up or combination of shares, or otherwise, the number of shares in respect of which Awards may be made under the Plan, the number of shares subject to outstanding Awards, the exercise, purchase or conversion price with respect to any Award, and the limit on individual grants in subsection 5(c) shall be proportionately adjusted, provided that the number of shares subject to any Award shall always be a whole number. In the event the Committee determines that any other reorganization, recapitalization, merger, spin-off or other corporate transaction affects the Common Stock such that an adjustment is required in order to preserve the benefits intended to be provided by the Plan, the Committee shall equitably adjust any or all of the number and kind of shares in respect of which Awards may be made under the Plan, the number and kind of shares subject to outstanding Awards, the exercise, purchase or conversion price with respect to any Award, and the limit on individual grants in subsection 5(c), provided that the number of shares subject to any Award shall always be a whole number. If

Table of Contents

considered appropriate, the Committee may make provision for a cash payment with respect to all or part of an outstanding Award instead of or in addition to any such adjustment. Any adjustment made pursuant to this subsection shall be subject, in the case of Incentive Stock Options, to any limitation required under the Code.

5. *Awards under the Plan.*

(a) *Types of Awards.* The Committee may grant Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, Stock Equivalents, and Awards of shares of Common Stock that are not subject to restrictions or forfeiture. The effectiveness of any such grant may be conditioned on the passage of time, the achievement of any Performance Goals, or the happening of any other event.

(b) *Terms and Conditions of Awards.*

(i) *Participants; Terms.* The Committee shall select the Participants to receive Awards and determine the terms and conditions of each Award. Without limiting the foregoing but subject to the other provisions of the Plan and applicable law, the Committee shall determine (A) the number of shares of Common Stock subject to each Award or the manner in which such number shall be determined, (B) the price, if any, a Participant shall pay to receive or exercise an Award or the manner in which such price shall be determined, (C) the time or times when an Award may vest or be exercised, settled, or transferred, (D) any Performance Goals, restrictions or other conditions to vesting, exercise, settlement, or transferability of an Award, (E) whether an Award may be settled in the form of cash, Common Stock or other securities of the Company, Awards or other property, and the manner of calculating the amount or value thereof, (F) the duration of any Restricted Period or any other circumstances in which an Award may be forfeited to the Company, (G) the effect on an Award of the disability, death, retirement or other termination of employment or other service of a Participant, and (H) the extent to which, and the period during which, the Participant or the Participant's legal representative, guardian or Designated Beneficiary may receive payment of an Award or exercise rights thereunder. Except as otherwise provided hereby or in a particular Award, any determination or action with respect to an Award may be made or taken by the Committee at the time of grant or at any time thereafter.

(ii) *Options and Stock Appreciation Rights.* Incentive Stock Options may only be granted to persons eligible to receive such Options under the Code. The exercise price for any Option or Stock Appreciation Right shall not be less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of the Common Stock on the Date of Grant; provided that Options granted in substitution for options granted by a former employer to persons who become eligible to receive Awards hereunder as a result of a transaction described in Section 424(a) of the Code may, consistent with such Section, have a lower exercise price. No Option or Stock Appreciation Right shall have a term longer than seven (7) years. No Incentive Stock Option may be granted more than ten years after the Effective Date. The Committee shall

Table of Contents

determine the manner of calculating the excess in value of the shares of Common Stock over the exercise price of a Stock Appreciation Right.

(iii) *Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units.* Shares of Restricted Stock and shares subject to Restricted Stock Units may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered, except as permitted by the Committee, during the applicable Restricted Period. Restricted Stock Units may be settled in shares of Common Stock or cash as determined by the Committee.

(iv) *Minimum Vesting Requirements.* Notwithstanding Sections 5(b)(i) or Section 6(e), with respect to Awards to Executive Officers:

(A) vesting, settlement, or lapse of forfeiture restrictions that is solely based on continued employment, service or the passage of time shall occur (A) with respect to no more than one-third of the shares subject to such Award per year and (B) over not less than four years from the date of grant with respect to the full number of shares subject to such Award; and

(B) vesting, settlement, or lapse of forfeiture restrictions that is based on the achievement of Performance Goals shall occur based on a Performance Period of at least one year;

provided that the foregoing limitations shall not (1) apply to vesting, settlement, or lapse of forfeiture restrictions in connection with the termination of employment or other service of a Participant by the Company or due to the Participant's disability, death or retirement nor (2) preclude the Committee from (x) exercising its discretion to accelerate the vesting of any Award upon a Transaction as contemplated by Section 5(b)(viii), (y) establishing a shorter vesting schedule for consultants or newly-hired employees, or (z) establishing a shorter schedule for vesting, settlement, or lapse of forfeiture restrictions on Awards that are granted in exchange for or in lieu of the right to receive the payment of an equivalent amount of salary, bonus or other compensation.

(v) *Payment of Exercise Price.* The Committee shall determine the form of consideration and manner of payment of the exercise price, if any, of any Award. Without limiting the foregoing, the Committee may, subject to applicable law, permit such payment to be made in whole or in part in cash or by surrender of shares of Common Stock (which may be shares retained from the respective Award or any other Award) valued at their Fair Market Value on the date of surrender, or such other lawful consideration, including a payment commitment of a financial or brokerage institution, as the Committee may determine. The Company may accept, in lieu of actual delivery of stock certificates, an attestation by the Participant in form acceptable to the Committee that he or she owns of record the shares to be tendered free and clear of claims and other encumbrances.

Table of Contents

(vi) *Dividends.* In the discretion of the Committee, any Award may provide the Participant with dividends or dividend equivalents payable (in cash, in shares of Common Stock, or in the form of Awards under the Plan) currently or deferred and with or without interest ; provided that any dividend paid or issued with respect to any portion of an Award of Restricted Stock and any dividend equivalent paid or issued with respect to any portion of any other Award shall be subject to the same restrictions (including risk of forfeiture) as such Restricted Stock or other Award, respectively, until the end of the respective Restricted Period or such portion has otherwise vested.

(vii) *Termination and Forfeiture.* The terms of any Award may include such continuing provisions for termination of the Award and/or forfeiture or recapture of any shares, cash or other property previously issued pursuant thereto relating to competition or other activity or circumstances detrimental to the Company as the Committee may determine to be in the Company's best interests. Without limiting the foregoing, the terms of any Award shall be subject to, and shall be deemed automatically amended to incorporate, any "clawback," "recapture," or similar policy adopted by the Company and in effect before or after the grant of such Award.

(viii) *Certain Extraordinary Transactions.* The Committee may in its discretion provide, at the time of grant or at any time thereafter, that in the case of any recapitalization, stock acquisition, merger, consolidation or other form of corporate transaction in which a company other than the Company is the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing entity (a "Transaction"), the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing entity or a parent or subsidiary of such entity may, without the consent of the Participant, assume the Company's rights and obligations under any Award or portion thereof outstanding immediately before the Transaction or substitute for any such outstanding Award or portion thereof a substantially equivalent award with respect to such entity's own stock or other property or cash, in either case with equitable adjustments in the number and type of shares or other assets subject to the Awards and the exercise, purchase or conversion price with respect to any Award, in light of the consideration received by the Company's stockholders in the Transaction. Any such Award that is not so assumed or substituted for shall terminate upon the consummation of such Transaction on such terms, if any, as the Committee shall provide. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the stockholders of the Company receive consideration that is all or predominantly cash in exchange for their shares of common Stock in a Transaction, then, in order to preserve the Participants' rights under outstanding Awards, the Committee shall, without the need for consent of any Participant, either (A) cause any unexercisable or unvested portion of an Award outstanding immediately before the Transaction to become fully exercisable and vested prior to such Transaction (but effective only on consummation of the Transaction), and any Options and Stock Appreciation Rights that have not been exercised as of the consummation of the Transaction shall thereupon terminate or (B) provide for payment to the Participant of cash, stock of another entity party to the Transaction, or other property with a Fair Market Value equal to the amount, if any, that would have been received upon the vesting, exercise, settlement, or

Table of Contents

transferability of the Award had any unexercisable or unvested portion of the Award become fully exercisable and vested and the Award been exercised or paid in connection with the Transaction, reduced (but not below zero) by the exercise or purchase price per share, if any, under such Award, whereupon the Award shall terminate. If any portion of such consideration may be received by Company's stockholders in the Transaction on a contingent or delayed basis, the Committee may, in its sole discretion, determine such Fair Market Value per share as of the time of the Transaction on the basis of the Committee's good faith estimate of the present value of the probable amount of future payment of such consideration.

In the event of a recapitalization, stock acquisition, merger, consolidation or other form of corporate transaction in which the Company is the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing entity, the Committee may make equitable adjustments to outstanding Awards pursuant to Section 4(b).

(ix) *Documentation.* Each Award under the Plan shall be evidenced by documentation in the form prescribed from time to time by the Committee and delivered to or executed and delivered by the Participant specifying the terms and conditions of the Award and containing such other terms and conditions not inconsistent with the provisions hereof as the Committee considers necessary or advisable to achieve the purposes of the Plan or to comply with applicable law and accounting principles. Any such documentation may be maintained solely in electronic format.

(x) *In General.* Any Award may be made alone, in addition to, or in relation to any other Award. The terms of Awards of each type need not be identical, and the Committee need not treat Participants uniformly. No Award shall be transferable except upon such terms and conditions and to such extent as the Committee determines, provided that no Award shall be transferable for value and Incentive Stock Options may be transferable only to the extent permitted by the Code. No Award to any Participant subject to United States income taxation shall provide for the deferral of compensation that does not comply with Section 409A of the Code. The achievement or satisfaction of any Performance Goals, restrictions or other conditions to vesting, exercise, settlement, or transferability of an Award shall be determined by the Committee.

(c) *Limit on Individual Grants.* The maximum number of shares of Common Stock subject to Options, Stock Appreciation Rights and other Awards intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code that may be granted to a Participant in any fiscal year may not exceed 1,250,000 shares, subject to adjustment under subsection 4(b). In the case of any performance-based Awards settled in cash, no more than \$1,000,000 may be paid to any Participant with respect to any one year of a Performance Period.

Table of Contents

6. *General Provisions.*

(a) *Tax Withholding.* A Participant shall pay to the Company, or make provision satisfactory to the Committee for payment of, any taxes required by law to be withheld in respect of Awards under the Plan no later than the date of the event creating the tax liability. The Company and its Affiliates may, to the extent permitted by law, deduct any such tax obligations from any payment of any kind due to the Participant under the Plan or otherwise. In the Committee's discretion, the minimum tax obligations required by law to be withheld in respect of Awards may be paid in whole or in part in shares of Common Stock, including shares retained from the Award creating the tax obligation, valued at their Fair Market Value on the date of retention or delivery.

(b) *Legal Compliance.* The Company shall not be required to issue any shares of Common Stock or take any other action pursuant to the Plan unless the Company is satisfied that all requirements of law, or of any stock exchange on which the Common Stock is then listed, in connection therewith have been or will be complied with, and the Committee may impose any restrictions on the rights of Participants hereunder as it shall deem necessary or advisable to comply with any such requirements.

(c) *Foreign Nationals.* Awards may be made to Participants who are foreign nationals or employed outside the United States on such terms and conditions different from those specified herein as the Committee considers necessary or advisable to achieve the purposes of the Plan or to comply with applicable laws.

(d) *Awards Not Includable for Benefit Purposes.* Awards and other payments received by a Participant pursuant to the provisions of the Plan shall not be included in the determination of benefits under any pension, group insurance or other benefit plan applicable to the Participant which is maintained by the Company or any of its Affiliates, except as may be provided under the terms of such plans or determined by the Board.

(e) *Amendment, Exchange and Repurchase of Awards.*

(i) Subject to clauses (ii) and (iii) below, the Committee may amend, modify or terminate any outstanding Award, including without limitation changing the dates of vesting, exercise or settlement, causing the Award to be assumed by another entity, and substituting therefor another Award of the same or a different type, provided that the Participant's consent to such action shall be required unless the terms of this Plan or the Award permit such action, the Committee determines that such action is required by law or stock exchange rule, or the Committee determines that the action, taking into account any related action, would not materially and adversely affect the Participant.

(ii) Notwithstanding the attainment of Performance Goals in the case of any Award intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Committee may reduce (but not

Table of Contents

increase) the amount payable at a given level of performance to take into account additional factors that the Committee may deem relevant.

(iii) The foregoing notwithstanding, without further approval of the stockholders of the Company, (A) the Committee shall not authorize the amendment of any outstanding Option or Stock Appreciation Right to reduce the exercise price, (B) no Option or Stock Appreciation Right shall be canceled and replaced with an Award exercisable for Common Stock at a lower exercise price and (C) no Award shall be canceled in exchange for a cash payment from the Company to the Award owner, except under the limited circumstances described above in Section 5(b)(viii) relating to Transactions.

7. *Certain Definitions.* As used in this Plan:

"Affiliate" means any business entity in which the Company owns directly or indirectly 50% or more of the total voting power or has a significant financial interest as determined by the Committee.

"Award" means any award of shares of Common Stock or right with respect to shares described in Section 5(a).

"Board" means the Board of Directors of the Company.

"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, or any successor law.

"Committee" means one or more committees appointed by the Board to administer the Plan or a specified portion thereof. Each such committee shall be comprised of not less than two members of the Board who shall meet such criteria as the Board may specify from time to time.

"Common Stock" means the Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, of the Company.

"Company" means Axcelis Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

"Covered Employee" means a "covered employee" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code.

"Date of Grant" means the date on which all requirements under applicable law and the Company's certificate of incorporation and bylaws for the effective grant of an Award have been satisfied.

"Designated Beneficiary" means the beneficiary designated by a Participant, in a manner determined by the Committee, to receive amounts due or exercise rights of the Participant in the event of the Participant's death. In the absence of an effective

Table of Contents

designation by a Participant, "Designated Beneficiary" means the Participant's legal representative.

"Effective Date," from time to time, means the most recent date that the Plan was adopted or, if earlier, that it was approved by the stockholders (including approval of the Plan as amended), as such terms are used in the regulations under Section 422 of the Code.

"Exchange Act" means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time, or any successor law.

"Executive Officer" has the meaning given in Rule 3b-7 under the Exchange Act, or any successor provision.

"Fair Market Value" with respect to the Common Stock or other property means the fair market value thereof determined by such methods as shall be established by the Committee from time to time. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee in good faith, the per share Fair Market Value of the Common Stock as of any date shall mean (a) if the Common Stock is then listed or admitted to trading on a national securities exchange, (i) the last reported sale price on such date on the principal national securities exchange on which the Common Stock is then listed or admitted to trading, (ii) if no such reported sale took place on such date, the average of the closing bid and asked prices on such exchange on such date, or (iii) if neither (i) nor (ii) applies, the last reported sale price on the next preceding date on which trading took place, or (b) if the Common Stock is then traded in the over-the-counter market, the average of the closing bid and asked prices on such date, as reported by The Wall Street Journal or other appropriate publication selected by the Committee, for the over-the-counter market.

"Incentive Stock Option" means an Option complying with the requirements of Section 422 of the Code or any successor provision and any regulations thereunder.

"Option" means a right to purchase shares of Common Stock and may be an Incentive Stock Option if specified by the Committee.

"Participant" means a person selected by the Committee to receive an Award under the Plan.

"Performance Goals" means, in the case of Awards intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, one or more objective performance goals established by the Committee, based on one or more of the following criteria: revenue; revenue growth; sales; expenses; margins; net income; earnings or earnings per share; cash flow; stock price; shareholder return; return on investment; return on invested capital, assets, or equity; profit before or after tax; operating profit; operating margin; return on research and development investment; market capitalization; quality improvements; market share;

Table of Contents

cycle time reductions; customer satisfaction measures; strategic positioning or marketing programs; market penetration or expansion; business / information systems improvements; expense management; infrastructure support programs; human resource programs; customer programs; technology development programs; goals relating to acquisitions or divestitures, or any combination of the foregoing, including without limitation goals based on any of such measures relative to peer groups or market indices, and may be particular to a Participant or may be based, in whole or in part, on the performance of the division, department, line of business, subsidiary, or other business unit, whether or not legally constituted, in which the Participant works or on the performance of the Company generally.

"Performance Period" means any period of service of at least one year designated by the Committee as applicable to an Award intended to satisfy the requirements for "performance-based compensation."

"Reporting Person" means a person subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

"Restricted Period" means any period during which an Award or any part thereof may be forfeited to the Company.

"Restricted Stock" means shares of Common Stock that are subject to forfeiture to the Company.

"Restricted Stock Unit" means the right, subject to forfeiture, to receive the value of a share of Common Stock in the future, payable in the form of cash, Common Stock or other securities of the Company, Awards or other property, and is an unfunded and unsecured obligation of the Company.

"Stock Appreciation Right" means the right to receive any excess in value of shares of Common Stock over the exercise price of such right.

"Stock Equivalent" means the right to receive payment from the Company based in whole or in part on the value of the Common Stock, payable in the form of cash, Common Stock or other securities of the Company, Awards or other property, and may include without limitation phantom stock, performance units, and Stock Appreciation Rights.

"Termination of employment or other service of a Participant" means the voluntary or involuntary termination of a Participant's employment with the Company or an Affiliate for any reason, including death, disability, retirement or as the result of the divestiture of the Participant's employer or any similar transaction in which the Participant's employer ceases to be the Company or one of its Affiliates. Whether entering military or other government service shall constitute "termination of employment or other service," or whether a "termination of employment or other service" shall occur as a result of disability, shall be determined in each case by the

Table of Contents

Committee in its sole discretion before or after the grant of the respective Award. In the case of a member of the Board or consultant who is not an employee of the Company or an Affiliate, "termination of employment or other service" shall mean the voluntary or involuntary termination of Board service or the consulting relationship, as the case may be, for any reason.

"Transferable for value" means a transfer on terms that would prevent the Company from relying on Securities and Exchange Commission Form S-8 (or any successor form) with respect to the issuance of the Common Stock underlying the respective Award.

8. *Miscellaneous.*

(a) *No Rights with Respect to Service.* No person shall have any claim or right hereunder to be granted an Award. Neither the adoption, maintenance, or operation of the Plan nor any Award hereunder shall confer upon any person any right with respect to the continuance of his or her employment by or other service with the Company or any Affiliate nor shall they interfere with the rights of the Company or any Affiliate to terminate or otherwise change the terms of such service at any time, including, without limitation, the right to promote, demote or otherwise re-assign any person from one position to another within the Company or any Affiliate. Unless the Committee otherwise provides in any case, the service of a Participant with an Affiliate shall be deemed to terminate for purposes of the Plan when such Affiliate ceases to be an Affiliate of the Company.

(b) *No Rights as Stockholder.* Subject to the provisions of the applicable Award, no Participant or Designated Beneficiary shall have any rights as a stockholder with respect to any shares of Common Stock to be issued under the Plan until he or she becomes the holder thereof. A Participant to whom Common Stock is awarded will be considered the holder of such Common Stock at the time of the Award, except as otherwise provided in the applicable Award.

(c) *Amendment of Plan.* The Board may amend, suspend or terminate the Plan or any portion thereof at any time, subject to such stockholder approval as the Board determines to be necessary or advisable to comply with any tax or regulatory requirement.

