INTERMOLECULAR INC Form DEF 14A April 16, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Intermolecular, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(4)

	(5)	Total fee paid:			
)	Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.			
)		Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.			
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:			
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:			
	(3)	Filing Party:			
	(4)	Date Filed:			

Table of Contents

April 16, 2012 Dear Fellow Stockholders:

We are pleased to invite you to our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or Annual Meeting, which will take place on Wednesday, May 30, 2012 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025. Annual meetings play an important role in maintaining communications and understanding among our management, board of directors and stockholders, and we hope you will join us.

On the pages following this letter you will find the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which lists the items of business to be considered at the Annual Meeting, and the proxy statement, which describes the items of business listed in the notice and provides other information you may find useful in deciding how to vote.

For our Annual Meeting, we have elected to use the Internet as our primary means of providing our proxy materials to stockholders. Consequently, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access our proxy statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2011, or 2011 Annual Report, which contains, among other things, our audited consolidated financial statements, and how you can vote using the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also includes instructions on how you can request and receive, free of charge, a printed copy of our proxy materials, including our 2011 Annual Report, notice of our Annual Meeting, our proxy statement and a proxy card. All stockholders who do not receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, including our 2011 Annual Report, by mail. The electronic delivery of our proxy materials will reduce our printing and mailing costs and the environmental impact of the proxy materials.

If you are a stockholder of record, please follow the instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to vote on the matters to be considered at the meeting if you do not plan to attend in person. If you have received a printed copy of our proxy materials, to vote, simply complete, sign and date your proxy card and mail it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If your shares are held in "street name" that is, held for your account by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary you should obtain instructions from the bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary that you must follow for your shares to be voted.

The ability to have your vote counted at the Annual Meeting is an important stockholder right. Regardless of the number of shares you hold, and whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we hope that you will promptly cast your vote.

Thank you for your ongoing support and continued interest in Intermolecular.

Sincerely,

David E. Lazovsky

President and Chief Executive Officer

INTERMOLECULAR, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held on Wednesday, May 30, 2012

Notice is hereby given that the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025, on Wednesday, May 30, 2012 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect the two nominees identified in the attached proxy statement as members of our board of directors to serve as Class I directors for a term of three years;
- 2. To hold a non-binding, advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as described in the attached proxy statement;
- 3. To hold a non-binding, advisory vote to determine the frequency of holding future non-binding, advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers;
- 4. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012; and
- 5. To transact other business, if any, that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment of the Annual Meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on Friday, April 13, 2012 are entitled to receive this notice of our Annual Meeting and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments of such meeting. Our stock transfer books will remain open for the purchase and sale of our common stock.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice. This Notice of Annual Meeting, our 2011 Annual Report and our proxy statement and form of proxy are first being made available to stockholders on or about April 16, 2012.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote by telephone or Internet by following the voting procedures described in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you received printed proxy materials and wish to vote by mail, by promptly completing, dating and signing the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the accompanying envelope. If you mail the proxy card in the United States, postage is prepaid. You may revoke your proxy if you decide to attend the Annual Meeting and wish to vote your shares in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Sandeep Jaggi, J.D., Ph.D. General Counsel, Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property and Corporate Secretary

April 16, 2012

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING	2
BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS	8
Our Board of Directors	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>11</u>
Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight	<u>12</u>
Committees of our Board of Directors	<u>12</u>
Board Meetings and Attendance	<u>14</u>
Director Attendance at Annual Meeting	8 8 8 11 12 12 14 14 14 14 15 16 16 17 17 17 20 21 22 23 23 23 23 23
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>14</u>
Director Nomination Process	<u>15</u>
Communicating with our Board of Directors	<u>16</u>
Corporate Governance Materials	<u>16</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	<u>17</u>
Executive Compensation Process	<u>17</u>
Transactions with Related Persons	<u>17</u>
Related Person Transaction Policy	<u>20</u>
AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS	<u>21</u>
Audit Committee Report	21
Auditor Fees and Services	<u>22</u>
MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING	<u>23</u>
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	<u>23</u>
PROPOSAL 2 NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u>23</u>
PROPOSAL 3 NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON THE	
COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u>24</u>
PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	<u>25</u>
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u> 26</u>
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>28</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>28</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	28
Summary Compensation Table	<u>37</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	<u>38</u>
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End	<u>39</u>
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control	<u>39</u>
Limitation of Liability and Indemnification	<u>42</u>
Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans	<u>43</u>
Equity Compensation Plan Information	25 26 28 28 28 37 38 39 42 43 43
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS	<u>44</u>
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	<u>46</u>

Table of Contents

INTERMOLECULAR, INC.

3011 N. First Street San Jose, California 95134

PROXY STATEMENT

For our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 30, 2012

Intermolecular, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which is referred to as "we," "us," "the company" or "Intermolecular" in this proxy statement, is sending you this proxy statement and proxy card in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for use at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or Annual Meeting, which will be held on Wednesday, May 30, 2012 at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 140 Scott Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025. If the Annual Meeting is adjourned for any reason, then the proxies may be used at any adjournments of the Annual Meeting. You may obtain directions to the location of the Annual Meeting by viewing them on our website, ir.intermolecular.com, or by contacting Investor Relations at the address and telephone number listed below.

This Notice of Annual Meeting, this proxy statement, the enclosed proxy card and our Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 are first being made available to our stockholders on or about April 16, 2012.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 30, 2012:

This proxy statement and the annual report to stockholders are available for viewing, printing and downloading at www.proxyvote.com.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 is available on the "Investors" section of our website at www.intermolecular.com. Alternatively, if you would like us to send you a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, without charge, please contact:

Intermolecular, Inc. 3011 N. First Street San Jose, California 95134 Attention: Investor Relations

If you would like us to send you a copy of the exhibits listed on the exhibit index of the Annual Report on Form 10-K, we will do so upon your payment of our reasonable expenses in furnishing a requested exhibit.

Certain documents referenced in this proxy statement are available on our website at www.intermolecular.com. We are not including the information contained on our website, or any information that may be accessed by links on our website, as part of, or incorporating it by reference into this proxy statement.

1

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders will consider and vote on the following matters:

The election of the two nominees identified in this proxy statement as members of our board of directors to serve as Class I directors for a term of three years;

A non-binding, advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement (a "say-on-pay" vote);

A non-binding, advisory vote to determine the frequency of holding future non-binding, advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers ("frequency vote");

The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012; and

The transaction of other business, if any, that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Who is entitled to vote?

To be able to vote on the above matters, you must have been a stockholder of record at the close of business on April 13, 2012, the record date for the Annual Meeting. The aggregate number of shares entitled to vote at this meeting is 42,470,181 shares of our common stock, which is the number of shares that were issued and outstanding as of the record date.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of our common stock that you owned on the record date entitles you to one vote on each matter that is voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Is my vote important?

Your vote is important regardless of how many shares you own. Please take the time to read the instructions below and vote. Choose the method of voting that is easiest and most convenient for you and, if you vote by mail, please cast your vote as soon as possible.

How may I vote?

Stockholder of record (shares registered in your name): If you are a stockholder of record, which means that your shares are registered in your own name, not in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary, then you can vote in one of the following four ways:

You may vote via the Internet or by phone. To vote via the Internet or by phone, follow the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you vote by telephone or via the Internet, you do not need to return a proxy card by mail. Internet and telephone voting are available 24 hours a day. Votes submitted by telephone or through the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on May 29, 2012.

You may vote by mail. If you have received printed proxy materials by mail and would like to vote by mail, you need to complete, date and sign the proxy card that accompanies this proxy statement and promptly mail it to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. in the enclosed postage-paid envelope so that it is received prior to the Annual Meeting. You do not need to put a stamp on the enclosed envelope if you mail it from within the United States. The persons

Table of Contents

named in the proxy card will vote the shares you own in accordance with your instructions on the proxy card you mail. If you return the proxy card, but do not give any instructions on a particular matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares you own in accordance with the recommendations of our board of directors. Our board of directors recommends that you vote **FOR** each of proposals 1, 2 and 4 and in favor of "**EVERY ONE YEAR**" for proposal 3. Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. must receive your proxy card no later than May 29, 2012, the day before the Annual Meeting, for your proxy and your vote to be counted.

You may vote in person. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote by delivering your completed proxy card in person or by completing and submitting a ballot, which will be provided at the meeting.

Beneficial owner (shares held in "street name"): If the shares you own are held in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary, then your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. In order to vote your shares, you will need to follow the instructions your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary provides you. Many banks, brokerage firms and other intermediaries also offer the option of voting over the Internet or by telephone, instructions for which would be provided by your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary.

Brokers are subject to New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, rules. NYSE rules direct that, if you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by a broker, the broker, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to your broker, the broker will be able to vote your shares with respect to certain "discretionary" items, but will not be allowed to vote your shares with respect to certain "non-discretionary" items and your shares will be treated as "broker non-votes." "Broker non-votes" are shares that are held in "street name" by a bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary that indicates on its proxy that it does not have discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter.

Under the NYSE rules, which affect us because they apply to brokers who hold shares of our common stock, the proposal to elect the two nominees to serve as Class I directors, the say-on-pay vote and the frequency vote are non-discretionary items, which means that if you do not give instructions to your broker, your broker will not be able to vote your shares in its discretion on this proposal and your shares will be treated as "broker non-votes." We urge you to provide voting instructions to your broker so that your votes may be counted.

The proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012 is a discretionary item under NYSE rules. Accordingly, your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary may exercise its discretionary authority with respect to this proposal if you do not provide voting instructions.

If you wish to attend the Annual Meeting to personally vote your shares held in "street name," you will need to obtain a proxy card from the holder of record (i.e., your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary).

May I change my vote after I have mailed my proxy card?

Yes. If you are a stockholder of record, you may change your vote and revoke your earlier proxy at any time before it is exercised by taking one of the following actions:

signing and returning another proxy card with a later date;

giving our corporate secretary a written notice that you want to revoke your proxy; or

Table of Contents

attending the meeting, notifying our corporate secretary that you are present and then voting in person.

Your attendance at the meeting alone will not revoke your proxy.

If you own shares in "street name," your bank, brokerage firm or other intermediary should provide you with appropriate instructions for changing your vote.

What constitutes a quorum?

In order for business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting, our bylaws require that a quorum must be present. A quorum consists of the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting, that is, at least 21,235,091 shares.

Shares of our common stock present in person or represented by proxy (including shares that reflect abstentions, "broker non-votes" and votes withheld for director nominees) will be counted for the purpose of determining whether a quorum exists.

If a quorum is not present, the Annual Meeting will be adjourned until a quorum is obtained.

What vote is required for each item?

Election of directors (Proposal 1): The two director nominees identified in this proxy statement receiving a plurality, or the highest number, of votes cast at the Annual Meeting, regardless of whether that number represents a majority of the votes cast, will be elected. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will be counted in determining which nominees have received a plurality of votes cast since neither represent votes cast for or against a candidate.

Advisory say-on-pay vote (Proposal 2): The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of all of the shares of our common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on this proposal is needed to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers, as set forth in this proxy statement. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will have an effect on the outcome of this proposal because approval of this proposal is based solely on the number of votes cast affirmatively or negatively. Although the outcome of this say-on-pay vote non-binding and advisory, the compensation committee of the board of directors will review and consider the outcome of this vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

Advisory frequency vote (Proposal 3): The option (every one year, two years or three years) that receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of all of the shares of our common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on the frequency of say-on-pay vote will be the frequency recommended by stockholders for future say-on-pay votes, unless none of the frequency options receives a majority vote, in which case the option that receives the highest number of votes will be considered to be the frequency recommended by stockholders. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will have an effect on the outcome of this proposal because approval of this proposal is based solely on the number of votes cast affirmatively or negatively. Although the outcome of this frequency vote is non-binding and advisory, the compensation committee of the board of directors will review and consider the outcome of this vote when making future decisions about the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers.

Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP (Proposal 4): The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of all of the shares of our common stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and voting affirmatively or negatively on the proposal is needed to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year

Table of Contents

ending December 31, 2012. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will have an effect on the outcome of this proposal because approval of this proposal is based solely on the number of votes cast affirmatively or negatively.

How will votes be counted?

Each share of common stock voted at the Annual Meeting will be counted as one vote. Shares will not be voted in favor of a matter, and will not be counted as voting on a particular matter, if either (1) the holder of the shares withholds authority in the proxy card to vote for a particular director nominee or nominees or abstains from voting on a particular matter or (2) the shares constitute "broker non-votes."

Who will count the votes?

Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will count, tabulate and certify the votes. A representative of Broadridge will serve as the inspector of elections at the Annual Meeting.

How does the board of directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?

Our board of directors recommends that you vote:

FOR Proposal 1 to elect the two nominees identified in this proxy statement as Class I director nominees;

FOR Proposal 2 to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers;

On Proposal 3, to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, for future say-on-pay votes to occur **EVERY ONE YEAR**; and

FOR Proposal 4 to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012.

Will any other business be conducted at the Annual Meeting or will other matters be voted on?

We are not aware of any other business to be conducted or matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. If any other matter properly comes before the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card that accompanies this proxy statement will exercise their judgment in deciding how to vote, or otherwise act, at the meeting with respect to that matter or proposal with respect to the shares they have authority to vote.

Where can I find the voting results?

We will report the voting results from the Annual Meeting in a Current Report on Form 8-K, which we expect to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, within four business days after the Annual Meeting.

May I recommend a candidate for Intermolecular's board of directors?

Yes. Stockholders may recommend director candidates for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee of our board of directors by sending a written notice to our corporate secretary at the address under "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2013 annual meeting?" below. If a stockholder would like a candidate to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement for our 2013 annual meeting, the stockholder must follow the procedures for stockholder proposals outlined immediately below under "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2013 annual meeting?" You can find more detailed information on our process for

Table of Contents

selecting board members and our criteria for board nominees in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS Director Nomination Process" below and in the Corporate Governance Guidelines posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com.

Alternatively, our bylaws provide that stockholders may nominate director candidates for consideration at the 2013 annual meeting directly without approval of the nominating and corporate governance committee. In order to nominate candidates directly, stockholders must follow the procedures outlined in "How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2013 annual meeting?" immediately below.

How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2013 annual meeting?

If you are interested in submitting a proposal or information about a proposed director candidate for inclusion in the proxy statement for our 2013 annual meeting, you must follow the procedures outlined in Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion in the proxy statement, we must receive your stockholder proposal or information about your proposed director candidate at the address noted below no later than December 17, 2012.

If you wish to present a proposal or a proposed director candidate at the 2013 annual meeting, but do not wish to have the proposal or director candidate considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and proxy card, you must also give written notice to our corporate secretary at the address noted below. We must receive this required notice by March 1, 2013, but no sooner than January 30, 2013. However, if the 2013 annual meeting is held before April 30, 2013 or after July 29, 2013, then we must receive the required notice of a proposal or proposed director candidate no earlier than the 120th day prior to the 2013 annual meeting and no later than the close of business on the later of (1) the 90th day prior to the 2013 annual meeting and (2) the 10th day following the date on which notice of the date of the 2013 annual meeting was mailed or public disclosure was made, whichever occurs first.

Any proposals, notices or information about proposed director candidates should be sent to:

Intermolecular, Inc. 3011 N. First Street San Jose, California 95134 Attention: Corporate Secretary

Who bears the costs of soliciting these proxies?

We will bear the costs of soliciting proxies. We are soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting by mailing this proxy statement and accompanying materials to our stockholders. We are also soliciting proxies in the following ways:

Our directors, officers and employees may, without additional pay, solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile, email and personal interviews.

We will request brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward copies of the proxy materials to the persons for whom they hold shares and request instructions for voting the proxies. We will reimburse the brokerage houses and other persons for their reasonable expenses in connection with this distribution.

Whom should I contact if I have any questions?

If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting or your ownership of our common stock, please contact Investor Relations at the address, telephone number or email address identified on page 1 of this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

What is "householding" and how may I receive a separate copy of the proxy statement or annual report?

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (such as banks and brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as "householding," potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

At the present time, Intermolecular does not "household" for any of our stockholders of record. However, if you hold shares of common stock in street name, your bank, broker or other nominee may be householding our proxy materials this year. Once you have received notice from your bank, broker or other nominee that it will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. If you only received a single set of proxy materials and would like to receive a separate set of materials, direct your written request to Broadridge Financial Solutions, at Broadridge Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York, 11717, or by telephone at 1-800-542-1061 and an additional set of materials will promptly be delivered to you. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report in the future, please notify your bank, broker or other nominee. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement at their address and would like to request householding of their communications in the future should contact their bank or broker.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

Our Board of Directors

In accordance with the terms of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, our board of directors is divided into three classes, each of which consists, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of directors constituting our entire board of directors and each of whose members serve for staggered three year terms. As a result, only one class of our board of directors is elected each year. The members of the classes are divided as follows:

the Class I directors are Marvin D. Burkett and John L. Walecka, and their term expires at the conclusion of this Annual Meeting;

the Class II directors are Irwin Federman and David E. Lazovsky, and their term expires at the conclusion of the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2013; and

the Class III directors are Thomas R. Baruch, Bruce M. McWilliams and George M. Scalise, and their term expires at the conclusion of the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2014.

Upon the expiration of the term of a class of directors, directors in that class are eligible to be elected for a new three-year term at the annual meeting of stockholders in the year in which their term expires.

Below is information about each member of our board of directors, including nominees for election as Class I directors. This information includes each director's age as of March 31, 2012 and length of service as a director of Intermolecular, his principal occupation and business experience for at least the past five years and the names of other publicly held companies of which he has served as a director for at least the past five years.

In addition to the information presented below regarding each director's specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board of directors to the conclusion that they should serve as a director, we also believe that all of our directors have a reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards. They each have demonstrated business acumen and an ability to exercise sound judgment, as well as a commitment of service.

There are no family relationships among any of our directors, nominees for director and executive officers.

Directors Nominees for Terms Expiring in 2015 (Class I Directors)

Marvin D. Burkett, age 69, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2011. A 40-year veteran of the semiconductor industry, Mr. Burkett brings to our board of directors years of experience with global semiconductor and personal computing companies, as well as in-depth knowledge of public company financial and accounting principles. Mr. Burkett served as Senior Advisor to NVIDIA Corporation (NVIDIA) from February 2009 until January 2011. Previously, he began at NVIDIA in August 2002 and served as its Chief Financial Officer from September 2002 to February 2009. Prior to NVIDIA, Mr. Burkett served as the Chief Financial Officer of Arcot Systems, Inc., and also as its Financial Consultant from February 2000 to September 2002. Mr. Burkett also served as an Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Packard Bell NEC (PBNEC) from 1998 to 1999. Prior to PBNEC, he spent 26 years at AMD from 1972 to 1998, where he served in a variety of positions, including Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate Controller. Mr. Burkett also worked in the Semiconductor Division of Raytheon Company. Mr. Burkett has served as a member of the board of directors for G2 Holdings Corporation since January 2011 and NetLogic Microsystems, Inc. since December 2010, serving as the chair for the audit committee for each company. Mr. Burkett has also served as a member of the board of directors for Entegris, Inc. since May 2010 and Audience, Inc. since September 2010, serving as the chair for the audit committee for each company. Mr. Burkett holds a

Table of Contents

master's degree in business administration and a BS degree in applied mathematics and business administration, both from the University of Arizona.

John L. Walecka, age 52, has served as a member of our board of directors since January 2005. Mr. Walecka is a founding partner and has served as a general partner of Redpoint Ventures since its founding in 1999, and brings to our board of directors the extensive experience he has gained working closely with entrepreneurs to build industry-leading companies in emerging cleantech and technology sectors. Prior to founding Redpoint, he was a general partner with Brentwood Venture Capital from 1984 to 1999. Mr. Walecka currently works with Fortinet, Inc., where he serves as a member of the board of directors as well as a member of the compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees and previously served as a member of the audit committee from February 2004 to November 2007 and again from November 2009 to July 2010. Mr. Walecka also serves as a member of the boards of directors of Avnera Corporation, Envia Systems, Inc., Schooner Information Technology, Inc., Vertical Up-Kicker, Inc., and Datameer Inc. Mr. Walecka also works with software infrastructure and security products for the enterprise market as well as enabling products for the cable, consumer and broadband markets. Mr. Walecka served as director of the Western Association of Venture Capitalists and is currently a director of the Stanford Business School Venture Capital Trust and an advisor to the Stanford Engineering School. Earlier in his career, he worked for Hewlett Packard Corporation and the Stanford University Smart Product Design Laboratory. He holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in engineering from Stanford, and an MBA from Stanford's Graduate School of Business.

Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2013 (Class II Directors)

Irwin Federman, age 76, has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2005. Mr. Federman brings to our board of directors an extensive knowledge of the semiconductor industry as well as public company governance experience. Mr. Federman has been a managing member at U.S. Venture Partners, a venture capital firm, since April 1990. Mr. Federman was President and Chief Executive Officer of Monolithic Memories, Inc., a semiconductor company, from 1979 to 1987, where he also served as the Chief Financial Officer from 1970 to 1979. Mr. Federman also serves on the board of directors and as a member of the audit and compensation committees of the board of directors for each of SanDisk Corporation, Check Point Software Technologies Ltd., a security software company, and Mellanox Technologies, Ltd., a semiconductor company. Mr. Federman also serves on the board of directors for various private corporations, including Neoconix, Inc., ON24, Inc., Silego Technology, Inc. and Supply Frame, Inc., as well as charitable trusts, including the San Francisco Ballet, the Brooklyn College Foundation and the San Francisco Museum of Modern Art. Previously, Mr. Federman served as a director of Centillium Communications, Inc., a developer and supplier of communications integrated circuits, and Nuance Communications, Inc., a speech recognition software company. Mr. Federman holds a B.S. in Economics from Brooklyn College and was awarded an Honorary Doctorate of Engineering from Santa Clara University.

David E. Lazovsky, age 40, is our founder and has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our board of directors since September 2004. Mr. Lazovsky brings an in-depth knowledge of semiconductor manufacturing operations and our business and operations to our board of directors. He previously held several senior management positions at Applied Materials Inc. (Applied Materials). From 1996 through August 2004, Mr. Lazovsky held management positions in the Metal Deposition and Thin Films Product Business Group where he was responsible for managing more than \$1 billion in Applied Materials' semiconductor manufacturing equipment business. From 2003 until 2004, Mr. Lazovsky managed key strategic accounts in Business Management where he worked closely with leading integrated circuit manufacturers to ensure Applied Materials was developing and providing cutting-edge technology solutions. From 2002 until 2003, Mr. Lazovsky served as the Technology Program Manager for the Endura 2 Platform, Applied Materials' flagship 300mm metallization platform. From 2000 until 2002, Mr. Lazovsky served as Director of Business

Table of Contents

Management for the European region in the Metal Deposition Product Business Group. Previously, Mr. Lazovsky served as a Business Manager from 1997 to 2000, Account Product Manager from 1996 to 1997 and Total Product Support Engineer from 1995 to 1996. Mr. Lazovsky holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering from Ohio University and, as of March 31, 2012, held 29 pending or issued U.S. patents.

Director Whose Terms Expire in 2014 (Class III Directors)

Thomas R. Baruch, age 73, has served as a member of our board of directors since November 2004. Mr. Baruch is the founder and a partner emeritus of CMEA Ventures, a venture capital firm that was established in 1989 as an affiliated fund of New Enterprise Associates. Mr. Baruch brings to our board of directors an extensive knowledge of the clean technology industry and experience he has gained working closely with entrepreneurs to build industry-leading companies in the clean-energy industry, as well as years of public company governance experience. Mr. Baruch currently works with various clean technology companies, including serving as a member of the board of directors for FORO Energy, a company developing a new hybrid thermal mechanical drilling technology for geothermal energy wells, as the Chairman of the board of directors of Cnano Technology Limited, a nanomaterial company that manufactures and develops carbon nanotubes for advanced energy and other applications, and as a member of the board of directors of Wildcat Discovery Technologies, Inc., a company focused on the discovery of advanced materials for clean-energy technology applications. In addition, Mr. Baruch is currently on the board of directors of Intermolecular, Inc., where he is Chairman and also serves on the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees of the board of directors, and Entropic Communications, Inc., where he serves on the compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees of the board of directors. Before starting CMEA Ventures, Mr. Baruch was a founder and Chief Executive Officer of Microwave Technology, Inc., a supplier of gallium arsenide integrated circuits. Prior to his employment with Microwave Technology, Inc., Mr. Baruch managed a dedicated venture fund at Exxon Corporation, and was president of the Exxon Materials Division. Earlier in his career, Mr. Baruch worked as a patent attorney and remains a registered patent attorney. He is also both a member of the Executive Committee of the Council of Competitiveness and a member of the Steering Committee of the ESIS Initiative (Energy, Security, Innovation and Sustainability) of the Council of Competitiveness. Mr. Baruch is a member of the board of trustees of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, the National Advisory Council on Innovation and Entrepreneurship and the Sierra Club Climate Recovery Cabinet. Mr. Baruch holds a B.S. in engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and a J.D. from Capital University.

Bruce M. McWilliams, age 55, has served as a member of our board of directors since March 2005. Dr. McWilliams brings to our board of directors broad experience in the electronics manufacturing and clean technology sectors as well as extensive management experience. Dr. McWilliams has served as Chief Executive Officer of SuVolta, Inc., a developer of low-power, high-performance integrated circuit technology, since June 2009. Dr. McWilliams also served as a director of Tessera Technologies, Inc. from 1999 to January 2011, where he previously served as its Chief Executive Officer from June 1999 to September 2008 and Chief Strategic Officer from September 2008 to March 2009. Dr. McWilliams also founded and served as Chief Executive Officer of SVision LLC, a silicon chip-based display company, from 1996 to 1999. His management experience also includes serving as Senior Vice President at Flextronics International, or Flextronics, from 1995 to 1996, a position he assumed upon Flextronics' acquisition of nCHIP, Inc., a multi-chip module packaging company that he co-founded and led as Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to 1995. He currently serves on the board of directors of NovaTorque, Inc., a magnet motor design company, and is also a trustee of Carnegie Mellon University and a member of its advisory boards for Physics and Human and Computer Interaction. He previously served on the board of directors of REEL Solar, Inc., a solar heating technology company, from 2009

Table of Contents

until December 2011. He holds B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in physics from Carnegie Mellon University.

George M. Scalise, age 77, has served as a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Scalise brings to our board of directors extensive knowledge of the semiconductor industry and market analysis. Mr. Scalise served as President of the Semiconductor Industry Association, or SIA, an association of semiconductor manufacturers and suppliers, from June 1997 to December 2010. Mr. Scalise previously worked at Apple Computer, Inc., where he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer from March 1996 to June 1997, and has also held executive management positions at National Semiconductor Corporation, Maxtor Corporation, AMD, Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation and Motorola Semiconductor. Mr. Scalise was Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco from May 2003 to December 2005, and also served on President George W. Bush's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology from 2001 to 2008. He currently serves on the boards of directors of ATMI, Inc., MindTree Limited and Cadence Design Systems, Inc., as well as serves as a member of the nominating and corporate governance committee for Cadence. He served on the California Council on Science and Technology and was a member of the Joint High-Level Advisory Panel of the United States-Israel Science and Technology Commission, and chaired the Secretary of Energy Advisory Board at the US Department of Energy. Mr. Scalise holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering from Purdue University.

Director Independence

Under Rule 5605(b)(1) of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, independent directors must comprise a majority of a listed company's board of directors. In addition, Nasdaq Marketplace Rules require that, subject to specified exceptions, each member of a listed company's audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees be independent. Audit committee members must also satisfy the independence criteria set forth in Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Under Nasdaq Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2), a director will only qualify as an "independent director" if, in the opinion of that company's board of directors, that person does not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. To be considered independent for purposes of Rule 10A-3, a member of an audit committee of a listed company may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the audit committee, the board of directors, or any other board committee: (1) accept, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the listed company or any of its subsidiaries; or (2) be an affiliated person of the listed company or any of its subsidiaries.

On March 14, 2012, our board of directors undertook a review of its composition, the composition of its committees and the independence of each director. Based upon information requested from and provided by each director concerning his background, employment and affiliations, including family relationships, our board of directors has determined that none of Messrs. Baruch, Burkett, Federman, Scalise and Walecka or Dr. McWilliams, representing six of our seven existing directors, has a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and that each of these directors is "independent" as that term is defined under the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. Our board of directors also determined that Messrs. Burkett and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams, who comprise our audit committee, Messrs. Baruch, Federman and Walecka, who comprise our compensation committee, and Messrs. Baruch and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams, who comprise our nominating and governance committee, satisfy the independence standards for those committees established by applicable SEC rules and the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules. In making this determination, our board of directors considered the relationships that each non-employee director has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining their independence, including the beneficial ownership of our

Table of Contents

capital stock by each non-employee director. Mr. Lazovsky is not considered independent because he is an employee of Intermolecular.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

Our board of directors has separated the positions of chairman of the board and chief executive officer. Separating these positions allows our chief executive officer to focus on our day-to-day business, while allowing the chairman of the board to lead the board in its fundamental role of providing advice to and independent oversight of management. The board recognizes the time, effort and energy that the chief executive officer is required to devote to his position in the current business environment, as well as the commitment required to serve as our chairman, particularly as the board's oversight responsibilities continue to grow. While our bylaws and corporate governance guidelines do not require that our chairman and chief executive officer positions be separate, the board believes that having separate positions and having an independent outside director serve as chairman is the appropriate leadership structure for the company at this time and demonstrates our commitment to good corporate governance.

While our board is ultimately responsible for risk oversight, our board committees assist the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in certain areas of risk. In particular, our audit committee focuses on financial risk, including internal controls. Our nominating and corporate governance committee focuses on the management of risks associated with independence of the board, potential conflicts of interest and corporate governance. Finally, our compensation committee assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs.

Committees of our Board of Directors

Our board of directors has an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Each of these committees operates under a charter that has been approved by our board of directors. Current copies of each committee's charter are posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com. The composition and functioning of all of our committees comply with all applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules and SEC rules and regulations. The composition and responsibilities of each committee are described below. Members serve on these committees until their resignation or until otherwise determined by our board of directors.

Audit Committee

Our audit committee oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process. Among other matters, the audit committee: appoints the independent registered public accounting firm; evaluates the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, independence and performance; determines the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm; reviews and approves the scope of the annual audit and the audit fee; discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the results of the annual audit and the review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements; approves the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm to perform any proposed permissible non-audit services; monitors the rotation of partners of the independent registered public accounting firm on our engagement team as required by law; reviews our consolidated financial statements and our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations to be included in our annual and quarterly reports to be filed with the SEC; reviews our critical accounting policies and estimates; and annually reviews the audit committee charter and the committee's performance, which is included below under "AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS" Audit Committee Report."

Table of Contents

The current members of our audit committee are Messrs. Burkett and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams. Mr. Burkett serves as the chairman of the committee. All members of our audit committee meet the requirements for financial literacy under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Burkett is an audit committee financial expert as defined under the applicable rules of the SEC and has the requisite financial sophistication as defined under the applicable rules and regulations of The Nasdaq Stock Market. Each of the members of our audit committee qualifies as an independent director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market relating to audit committee independence. The audit committee operates under a written charter that satisfies the applicable standards of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market.

Our audit committee met three times and did not act by written consent during 2011.

All audit and non-audit services, other than de minimis non-audit services, to be provided to us by our independent registered public accounting firm must be approved in advance by our audit committee. For more information regarding our audit committee, see "AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS" below.

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee reviews and recommends policies relating to compensation and benefits of our officers and employees. The compensation committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to compensation of our chief executive officer and other executive officers, evaluates the performance of these officers in light of those goals and objectives, and sets the compensation of these officers based on such evaluations. The compensation committee also approves grants of stock options and other awards under our stock plans. The compensation committee will review and evaluate, at least annually, the performance of the compensation committee and its members, including compliance of the compensation committee with its charter, which is included below under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Committee Report."

The current members of our compensation committee are Messrs. Baruch, Federman and Walecka. Mr. Baruch serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of our compensation committee is an independent or outside director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, The Nasdaq Stock Market and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended relating to compensation committee independence. The compensation committee operates under a written charter.

Our compensation committee met ten times and acted by written consent three times during 2011.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for making recommendations to our board of directors regarding candidates for directorships and the size and composition of our board of directors. In addition, the nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for overseeing our corporate governance policies and reporting and making recommendations to our board of directors concerning governance matters.

The current members of our nominating and corporate governance committee are Messrs. Baruch and Scalise and Dr. McWilliams. Mr. Baruch serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of our nominating and corporate governance committee is an independent director under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and The Nasdaq Stock Market relating to nominating and corporate governance committee independence. The nominating and corporate governance committee operates under a written charter.

Our nominating and corporate governance committee met one time and acted by written consent one time during 2011.

Table of Contents

The processes and procedures followed by our nominating and corporate governance committee in identifying and evaluating director candidates are described below under the heading " Director Nomination Process."

Board Meetings and Attendance

Our board met eight times and acted by written consent three times during the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2011, each incumbent director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the number of board meetings and the number of meetings held by all committees on which he then served.

Director Attendance at Annual Meeting

Members of our board, and nominees for director, are encouraged to attend our annual meetings of stockholders. We did not hold an annual meeting of stockholders in 2011.

Director Compensation

In connection with our initial public offering on November 23, 2011, our board of directors approved a compensation program for our non-employee directors (the "Program"). The Program is intended to fairly compensate our non-employee directors for the time and effort necessary to serve on our board of directors. In structuring compensation arrangements for non-employee directors, the compensation committee concluded that, in order for us to attract and retain high-quality directors, it was essential that we offer compensation packages competitive with those of companies of similar size, in similar industries or markets and at the same stage of maturity as our company.

In accordance with the Program, our non-employee directors are entitled to receive annual cash retainers of \$35,000. In addition, (i) non-employee directors who serve as chairs of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are entitled to receive additional annual cash retainers of \$20,000, \$10,000 and \$7,500, respectively, (ii) non-employee directors who serve as non-chair members of the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are entitled to receive additional annual cash retainers of \$7,500, \$5,000 and \$2,500, respectively, and (iii) the Independent Chairman is entitled to receive an additional annual cash retainer of \$20,000. All cash retainers are paid quarterly in arrears.

In addition, under the Program a non-employee director receives an initial stock option grant to purchase 20,000 shares of our common stock when he or she joins our board of directors. Thereafter, a non-employee director will receive an annual stock option grant to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock on the date of each annual meeting of our stockholders (provided that such non-employee director shall have served on our board of directors for at least six months prior to the date of such annual meeting). Each initial stock option grant will vest as to 25% of the underlying shares on each of the first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the non-employee director's continued service with the company through the applicable vesting date. Each annual stock option grant will vest in full on the earlier to occur of the first anniversary of the applicable grant date and the date of the annual meeting of our stockholders immediately following the applicable grant date, in each case subject to the non-employee director's continued service with the company through the applicable vesting date. Each initial stock option grant and annual stock option grant will automatically vest in full and become exercisable immediately prior to a "change in control" (as defined in our 2011 Incentive Award Plan).

In 2011, we also reimbursed each of our non-employee directors for reasonable travel expenses incurred in connection with attendance at board of directors and committee meetings.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our non-employee directors during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Option			
Fees Earned	Awards	Total	
in Cash(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)(4)	(\$)	
9,063		9,063	
6,875	63,842	70,717	
5,000		5,000	
5,625		5,625	
5,625		5,625	
5,000		5,000	
	in Cash(\$)(2) 9,063 6,875 5,000 5,625 5,625	Fees Earned in Cash(\$)(2) (\$)(3)(4) 9,063 6,875 63,842 5,000 5,625 5,625	

- Mr. Lazovsky, our chief executive officer, is not included in this table as he is an employee of the company and does not receive compensation for his services as a director. All compensation paid to Mr. Lazovsky for the services he provided to us in 2011 is reflected in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (2)

 Reflects annual cash retainers earned in 2011 pursuant to the Program, pro rated to reflect services following our initial public offering in November 2011.
- Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2011, computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. The assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock option awards made to directors are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 16, 2012. As of December 31, 2011, Messrs. Baruch and Burkett and Dr. McWilliams held options to purchase 125,000, 12,500 and 105,000 shares, respectively, of our common stock.
- On June 24, 2011, Mr. Burkett was awarded an initial stock option grant covering 12,500 shares of our common stock having an exercise price per share of \$6.20. The stock option will vest as to 25% of the underlying shares on each of the first, second, third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the non-employee director's continued service with the company through the applicable vesting date.
- (5)Mr. Goldwasser resigned from our board of directors effective as of November 17, 2011.

Director Nomination Process

Our nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for reviewing with the board of directors, on an annual basis, the appropriate characteristics, skills and experience required for the board of directors as a whole and its individual members. In evaluating the suitability of individual candidates (both new candidates and current members), the nominating and corporate governance committee, in recommending candidates for election, and the board of directors, in approving (and, in the case of vacancies, appointing) such candidates, takes into account many factors, including: personal and professional integrity, ethics and values; experience in corporate management, such as serving as an officer or former officer of a publicly held company, and a general understanding of marketing, finance and other elements relevant to the success of a publicly-traded company in today's business environment; experience relevant to our industry and with relevant social policy concerns; experience as a board member or executive officer of another publicly held company; relevant academic expertise or other proficiency in an area of our operations; practical and mature business judgment, including ability to make independent analytical inquiries; diversity of personal background, perspective and experience; promotion of a diversity of business or career experience relevant to the success of the company; and

Table of Contents

any other relevant qualifications, attributes or skills. The board evaluates each individual in the context of the board as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best perpetuate the success of the business and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment using its diversity of experience in these various areas. In determining whether to recommend a director for re-election, the nominating and corporate governance committee may consider the director's past attendance at meetings and participation in and contributions to the activities of the board. The nominating and corporate governance committee may decide to retain an executive search firm to identify director candidates, and if so, will identify the search firm and approve the search firm's fees and other retention terms and will specify for the search firm the criteria to use in identifying potential candidates, consistent with the director qualification criteria described above.

The nominating and corporate governance committee will also consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. When recommending nominees for election as directors, our nominating and corporate governance committee shall consider candidates proposed by stockholders and shall apply the same criteria, and shall follow substantially the same process in considering them, as it does in considering other candidates. Stockholders nominating director candidates must follow the procedures set forth under "INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING May I recommend a candidate for Intermolecular's board of directors?" and " How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal for the 2013 annual meeting?".

You can find more detailed information on our process for selecting board members and our criteria for board nominees in the corporate governance guidelines posted on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com.

Communicating with our Board of Directors

Interested persons, including stockholders, may communicate with our board by sending a letter to: Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Intermolecular, Inc., 3011 N. First Street, San Jose, California 95134. Our corporate secretary will submit all correspondence to the chairman of the board directors and to any specific director to whom the correspondence is directed.

Corporate Governance Materials

We believe in sound corporate governance practices and have adopted formal corporate governance guidelines to enhance our effectiveness. Our board adopted these corporate governance guidelines in order to ensure that it has the necessary practices in place to review and evaluate our business operations as needed and to make decisions that are independent of our management. The corporate governance guidelines are also intended to align the interests of directors and management with those of our stockholders. The corporate governance guidelines set forth the practices our board follows with respect to board and committee composition and selection, board meetings, chief executive officer performance evaluation and management development and succession planning for senior management, including the chief executive officer position. A copy of our corporate governance guidelines is available on our website at ir.intermolecular.com.

We also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics, which is applicable to all of our employees, officers and directors, including those officers responsible for financial reporting as required by applicable Nasdaq listing standards, which is a "code of ethics" as defined by applicable SEC rules . The code of business conduct and ethics is publicly available on our website at ir.intermolecular.com. The code of business conduct and ethics includes an enforcement mechanism, and if we make any amendments to the code of business conduct and ethics other than technical, administrative, or other non-substantive amendments, or grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from a provision of this code of business conduct and ethics for our directors, executive officers or other principal financial officers, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver, its effective date, and to whom it

Table of Contents

applies, on our website at ir.intermolecular.com or in a current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC. There were no waivers of the code of business conduct and ethics during 2011.

Complete copies of our corporate governance guidelines, code of business conduct and ethics and the charters for our audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are available on the "Investors" section of our website, www.intermolecular.com.

Alternatively, you may request a copy of any of these documents free of charge by writing to:

Intermolecular, Inc. 3011 N. First Street San Jose, California 95134 Attention: Investor Relations

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of our compensation committee has at any time during the prior three years been an officer or employee of ours. None of our executive officers currently serves or in the prior three years has served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our board of directors or compensation committee.

Executive Compensation Process

The processes and procedures followed by our compensation committee in considering and determining executive compensation are described under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below.

The compensation committee has the authority to retain compensation consultants and other outside advisors to assist in the evaluation of executive officer compensation. For further information, see "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below. Additionally, the compensation committee may delegate authority to one or more subcommittees as it deems appropriate.

Transactions with Related Persons

We describe below transactions, since January 1, 2011, to which we were a party or will be a party, in which:

The amounts involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000; and

A director, executive officer, holder of more than 5% of our common stock or any member of their immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

We believe that all of these transactions were on terms as favorable as could have been obtained from unrelated third parties.

Issuance of Series E Preferred Stock

On March 4, 2011 and June 14, 2011, we sold 3,610,873 shares and 2,407,249 shares of Series E preferred stock, respectively, at a price of \$4.15412 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$25.0 million. The table below sets forth the number of shares of Series E preferred stock sold to our directors, executive officers and 5% stockholders and their affiliates. Each share of

Table of Contents

Series E Preferred Stock converted into one-half of one share of common stock upon consummation of our initial public offering on November 23, 2011.

Name	Number of Shares of Series E Preferred Stock	Agg	gregate Purchase Price
ATMI, Inc.(1)	1,623,838	\$	6,745,617.92
CMEA Ventures VI, L.P.(2)(3)	601,811	\$	2,499,995.12
Redpoint Ventures II, L.P.(4)(5)	695,003	\$	2,887,125.87
Symyx Technologies, Inc.(6)	198,211	\$	823,392.28
U.S. Venture Partners IX, L.P.(7)	492,010	\$	2,043,868.59

- (1) George M. Scalise is one of our directors and is a member of the board of directors of ATMI, Inc.
- (2) Includes 13,788 shares purchased by CMEA Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG.
- (3)
 Thomas R. Baruch is one of our directors and is a general partner of CMEA Ventures Management, L.P., which is the general partner of each of CMEA Venture Partners VI, L.P. and CMEA Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG.
- (4) Includes 15,708 shares purchased by Redpoint Associates II, LLC.
- (5)

 John L. Walecka is one of our directors and is a managing member of each of Redpoint Ventures II, L.P. and Redpoint Associates II, LLC.
- (6)
 Effective as of the consummation of our initial public offering on November 23, 2011, Symyx Technologies, Inc., sold all of the shares set forth herein.
- (7)
 Irwin Federman is one of our directors and is a managing member of Presidio Management Group IX, LLC, which is the general partner of U.S. Venture Partners IX, L.P.

Symyx Agreements and Asset Purchase

In March 2005, we entered into a Collaborative Development and License Agreement with Symyx Technologies, Inc. (Symyx). In addition, in December 2005, we entered into an Alliance Agreement (Symyx Alliance Agreement) with Symyx, and we amended the Symyx Alliance Agreement in August 2006, June 2007, August 2007, November 2007 and September 2009.

Under the Symyx agreements, Symyx granted us a license to certain high-throughput combinatorial patents held or licensed by them and related software to design, develop and manufacture integrated circuits, photovoltaic cells, glass coatings, light emitting diodes, organic light-emitting diodes and thin films for electronics, optical and energy applications (Fields), and we agreed to pay Symyx royalties in connection with such license. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded \$2.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.2 million in cost of revenue, respectively, and had accrued liabilities due to Symyx of \$517,000, \$795,000 and \$502,000 as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we purchased \$172,000, \$6,000 and \$14,000, respectively, of fixed assets, software licenses, maintenance and consumables from Symyx.

On July 28, 2011, we entered into an agreement with Symyx, pursuant to which we agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to allow Symyx to sell in the initial public offering of our common stock a total of 3,968,204 shares of common stock held by them. Pursuant to the agreement, Symyx agreed to sell such shares in our initial public offering and terminate our future royalty obligations under the Symyx agreements to the extent they would have accrued after December 31, 2011. Additionally, in connection with the sale of their common stock in the initial public offering, Symyx

Table of Contents

transferred to us all the patents held by them that relate to combinatorial processing. We also issued Symyx a secured promissory note in a principal amount equal to \$27.3 million with a term of 24 months and an interest rate equal to 4%. The note is payable in an amount equal to the greater of \$500,000 per quarter or the amount of accrued interest, with a balloon payment at maturity, if applicable. The note is also pre-payable by us at any time without penalty or premium, and is secured by tangible personal property, excluding intellectual property. In addition, we reimbursed Symyx for 50% of the underwriting discounts and commissions payable by them in connection with the sale of their common stock in the initial public offering, which amount was equal to approximately \$1.4 million.

ATMI, Inc.

In November 2006, we entered into an Alliance Agreement with ATMI, which was the beneficial owner of approximately 9.1% of our common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2011. In July 2007, we entered into a Wets Workflow Purchase Agreement with ATMI, and then in December 2008 entered into a Dry Workflow Purchase Agreement with ATMI, pursuant to which we sold to ATMI certain high productivity development tools. Under the Alliance Agreement and related ancillary agreements, we agreed to work with ATMI to conduct research and development and other activities with respect to materials and our proprietary high productivity combinatorial platform technology for use in semiconductor applications. Under the agreements, ATMI pays us fees for services and both parties may provide royalties to the other for licensed technology sold to third parties. During the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recorded revenue in the amount of \$15.8 million, \$22.1 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, in equipment sales, license fees and service fees and had accounts receivable in the amount of \$466,000, \$461,000 and \$1.2 million as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to these agreements. We recorded a deferred revenue balance in the amount of \$10.2 million, \$13.3 million and \$22.8 million related to these agreements as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Agreements with Our Stockholders

We have entered into an amended and restated investor rights agreement with certain holders of warrants and common stock, including entities with which certain of our directors are affiliated. This agreement provides (i) that certain such holders have the right to demand that we file a registration statement, subject to certain limitations, and (ii) that all such holders have the right to request that their shares be covered by a registration statement that we are otherwise filing.

Indemnification Agreements

Please see below under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Limitation of Liability and Indemnification" for information on our indemnification arrangements with our directors and executive officers.

Executive Compensation and Employment Arrangements

Please see below under "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION," including "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Agreements with Executives," for information on compensation arrangements with our executive officers, including option grants and agreements with executive officers.

Table of Contents

Related Person Transaction Policy

Our board of directors has adopted a written related party transaction policy. This policy covers, with certain exceptions set forth in Item 404 of Regulation S-K under the Exchange Act, any transaction, arrangement or relationship, or any series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships in which we were or are to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and a related party had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. Under the policy, the audit committee is required to review the relevant facts and circumstances of any such transaction, arrangement or relationship, including whether the transaction is on comparable terms to arm's length dealings with third parties, the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction, and the conflicts of interest and corporate opportunity provisions of our code of business conduct and ethics. Such transactions, arrangements or relationships may only be consummated or continue if the audit committee approves or ratifies such transaction, arrangement or relationship. If advance approval by the audit committee is not feasible, then management may preliminarily enter into the transaction, arrangement or relationship upon prior approval by the chairman of the audit committee, subject to ratification of the transaction, arrangement or relationship at the audit committee's next regularly scheduled meeting.

Table of Contents

AUDIT-RELATED MATTERS

Audit Committee Report

The material in this report is not "soliciting material," is not deemed "filed" with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Intermolecular under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The following is the report of the audit committee with respect to Intermolecular' audited consolidated balance sheets for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 and the notes thereto.

Responsibilities. The audit committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors. The role of the audit committee is to oversee our financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Our management has the primary responsibility for our financial statements as well as our financial reporting process and principles, internal controls and disclosure controls. The independent auditors, KPMG LLP, are responsible for performing an audit of our financial statements and expressing an opinion as to the conformity of such financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Beginning with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, KPMG is also responsible for expressing an opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and also the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting.

Review with Management. The audit committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements (including the quality of our accounting principles) with management. Our management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) and for evaluating the effectiveness of those internal controls and for evaluating any changes in those controls that will, or is reasonably likely to, affect internal controls over financial reporting. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) and for evaluating the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures.

Review and Discussions with Independent Accountants. The audit committee has reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements (including the quality of Intermolecular' accounting principles) with KPMG. The audit committee has discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting and Oversight Board ("PCAOB") in Rule 3200T, which includes, among other items, matters related to the conduct of the audit of our financial statements, and the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 2, An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Performed in Conjunction with an Audit of Financial Statements. Further, the audit committee reviewed KPMG's Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K related to its audit of the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

The audit committee has also received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding KPMG's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with KPMG its independence from us.

Conclusion. Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Marvin D. Burkett (chair) Bruce M. McWilliams George M. Scalise

Table of Contents

Auditor Fees and Services

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed (or expected to be billed) by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Type		2011		2010	
Audit Fees:		1,766,900	\$	197,500	
Audit Related Fees:					
Tax Fees:				21,000	
All Other Fees:					
Total Fees:	\$	1,766,900	\$	218,500	

- Audit Fees This category includes the aggregate fees and expenses billed or accrued for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the independent auditors for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in our Registration Statement on Form S-1 and Quarterly Report filed with the SEC or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years.
- Audit Related Fees This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for services by the independent auditors that are reasonably related to the performance of the audits of the financial statements and are not reported above under 'Audit Fees'.
- Tax Fees This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two years for professional services rendered by the independent auditors for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice.
- (4)

 All Other Fees This category includes the aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services by the independent auditors that are not reported under 'Audit Fees', 'Audit Related Fees', or 'Tax Fees.'

Pre-approval Policies and Procedures

Before an independent registered public accounting firm is engaged by Intermolecular or its subsidiaries to render audit or non-audit services, our audit committee must review the terms of the proposed engagement and pre-approve the engagement. Our audit committee may establish policies that allow the audit committee to delegate authority to a member of the audit committee to provide such pre-approvals for audit or non-audit services, provided that such person will be required to report all such pre-approvals to the full audit committee at its next scheduled meeting. In addition, if such policies are established for non-audit services, the audit committee must be informed of each non-audit service provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. Audit committee pre-approval of non-audit services (other than review and attest services) are not be required if such services fall within available exceptions established by the SEC. All fees paid to KPMG for audit and non-audit services provided during fiscal years 2011 and 2010 were pre-approved by the audit committee in accordance with the policy described above.

Table of Contents

MATTERS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors is divided into three classes, with one class being elected each year and members of each class holding office for a three-year term. We have two Class I directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of this Annual Meeting; two Class II directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of our 2013 annual meeting of stockholders; and three Class III directors, whose terms expire at the conclusion of our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. Our board of directors currently consists of seven members.

At this Annual Meeting, our stockholders will have an opportunity to vote for two nominees for Class I directors: Marvin D. Burkett and John L. Walecka. Each of the nominees are currently directors of Intermolecular and you can find more information about each of them in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS" Our Board of Directors" above.

The persons named in the enclosed proxy card will vote to elect these two nominees as Class I directors, unless you withhold authority to vote for the election of one or more nominees by marking the proxy card to that effect. If elected, each of the nominees for Class I director will hold office until the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified. Each of the nominees has indicated his willingness to serve if elected. However, if any nominee should be unable to serve, the persons named in the proxy card may vote the proxy for a substitute nominee nominated by our board of directors, or our board of directors may reduce the number of directors.

Our board of directors recommends a vote **FOR** each of the nominees.

PROPOSAL 2 NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS ("SAY-ON-PAY" VOTE)

In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, we are providing our stockholders with a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Summary

The compensation committee of our board of directors has designed our executive compensation programs to align executive pay with the performance of the company and the individual on both short and long-term bases, link executive pay with business strategies focused on long-term growth and creating value for our stockholders and utilize compensation as a tool to assist the company in attracting and retaining the high-caliber executives that we believe are critical to our success.

We encourage stockholders to review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 28 of this proxy statement, which describes the material aspects of our executive compensation philosophy and the design of our executive compensation programs. Our board of directors believes our executive compensation programs are effective in creating value for our stockholders and moving the company towards realization of its long-term goals. Specifically:

We emphasize pay-for-performance with regard to cash compensation. We believe that a significant portion of our executives' cash compensation should be variable, at risk and tied to the near-term success of the company. During 2011, we measured near-term success, in part, through corporate financial objectives related to adjusted earnings (net income adjusted by stock based compensation and one time non recurring adjustments), adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (adjusted EBITDA), year end cash balance, bookings,

Table of Contents

revenue and specific strategic objectives related to projects our compensation committee has determined are critical to our success. We believe that these developments were (and continue to be) critical to our success generally. Accordingly, we used these metrics to determine annual cash bonuses for our named executive officers and paid cash bonuses based on our success in each of these areas.

Our compensation programs are aligned with the long-term interests of our stockholders. We place a strong emphasis on the use of equity awards as a key component of our compensation program. In addition to linking compensation value to stockholder value, these awards generally require continued service over a multi-year period as a condition to vesting, which creates a strong retention incentive and helps ensure the continuity of our operations. We use stock options which we believe focus our named executive officers on generating long-term stockholder value.

We are committed to strong governance standards with respect to our compensation program, procedures and practices. As part of its commitment to strong corporate governance and best practices, our compensation committee engaged an independent, third-party compensation consultant to provide empirical data and compensation policy advice. Our compensation consultant provided no other services to us in 2011 other than those provided directly to or on behalf of the compensation committee.

Recommendation

The following resolution will be submitted for stockholder approval at this Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Intermolecular, Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of Intermolecular's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the narrative disclosure set forth in this proxy statement."

The vote sought by this proposal is advisory and not binding on the company, our board of directors or the compensation committee. Although the vote is non-binding and advisory, the company, our board of directors and the compensation committee value the input of the company's stockholders, and the compensation committee will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation determinations for our named executive officers.

Our board of directors unanimously recommends that stockholders vote **FOR** approval, on an advisory basis, of the resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers.

PROPOSAL 3 NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON THE COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS ("FREQUENCY PROPOSAL")

In accordance with the Dodd-Frank Act, we are providing our stockholders with a non-binding advisory vote on whether future say-on-pay votes should occur every one year, two years or three years.

Summary

For the reasons described below, we recommend that our stockholders select a frequency of one year, or an annual vote.

We believe that this frequency is appropriate because it will enable our stockholders to vote, on an advisory basis, on the most recent executive compensation information that is presented in our proxy statement, leading to a more meaningful and coherent communication between Intermolecular and our stockholders on the compensation of our named executive officers. An annual advisory vote on

Table of Contents

executive compensation is consistent with our policy of seeking input from, and engaging in discussions with, our stockholders on corporate governance matters and our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices. Additionally, our board of directors' determination was further based on the premise that this recommendation could be modified in future years if it becomes apparent that an annual frequency vote is not meaningful, is burdensome or is more frequent than dictated by best corporate governance practices.

Recommendation

Based on the factors discussed above, the Board recommends that future say-on-pay votes occur every year until the next advisory frequency vote. Stockholders are not being asked to approve or disapprove our board of directors' recommendation, but rather to indicate their choice among the following frequency options: every year, every two years or every three years, or to abstain from voting.

Our board of directors unanimously recommends stockholders vote in favor of say-on-pay votes occurring EVERY ONE YEAR.

PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The audit committee of our board of directors has selected KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012. Although stockholder approval of our audit committee's selection of KPMG LLP is not required by law, we believe that it is advisable to give stockholders an opportunity to ratify this selection. If our stockholders do not ratify this selection, our audit committee will reconsider the selection. We expect that a representative of KPMG LLP, which served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2011, will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if he or she wishes.

Our board of directors recommends a vote **FOR** this proposal.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Below is information about each of our current executive officers, other than Mr. Lazovsky, our President and Chief Executive Officer, whose information is included above in "BOARD OF DIRECTORS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS Our Board of Directors". This information includes each officer's age as of March 31, 2012, his or her position with Intermolecular, the length of time he has held each position and his business experience for at least the past five years. Our board of directors elects our officers annually, and officers serve until they resign or the board of directors terminates their position. There are no family relationships among any of our executive officers, directors and nominees for director.

Peter L. Eidelman, age 45, has served as our Chief Financial Officer since February 2006. Prior to joining Intermolecular, Mr. Eidelman served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Cellon International from October 2002 to February 2006, where he led the company through several financings, acquisitions, restructurings and divestitures. Mr. Eidelman also served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Sunrise Telecom Inc. from July 1997 to October 2002, which he helped guide through an initial public offering. Earlier in his career he was the manager of tax, accounting and compliance for Amdahl Corporation from 1994 to July 1997 and a manager at the public accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand (now part of PricewaterhouseCoopers) from 1988 to 1993. Mr. Eidelman holds a B.S. in accounting from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and studied business and taxation at Bentley College in Waltham, Massachusetts. He also completed an executive management program at the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Eidelman is a certified public accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Financial Executives International and the Tax Executives Institute.

Tony P. Chiang, Ph.D., age 42, has served as our Chief Technology Officer since May 2005 and is responsible for our technology strategy and direction, HPC platform development, core technology and applications development and informatics and operations. Prior to joining Intermolecular, in August 2000, Dr. Chiang founded Angstron Systems Inc. (Angstron), a venture-backed atomic layer deposition start-up company that was acquired by Novellus Systems Inc. (Novellus) in April 2004. Following the acquisition, Dr. Chiang then served as Director of Technology for Novellus until April 2005. From February 1996 until he founded Angstron, Dr. Chiang worked in technology development, product, program and account management roles at Applied Materials, where he led the development, productization and qualification of several generations of enabling thin film deposition technologies used in high-volume manufacturing. Dr. Chiang holds a B.S. in materials science and engineering from Cornell University and a Ph.D. in materials science and engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. As of March 31, 2012, he held 212 pending or issued U.S. patents spanning advanced materials, process, device and device integration technologies, as well as combinatorial systems and methods.

John R. Behnke, age 51, has served as our Senior Vice President and General Manager of our Semiconductor Group since July 2011 and had previously served as our Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing since October 2009. Mr. Behnke has more than 27 years of semiconductor industry experience. Most recently he served as Corporate Vice President, Initial Manufacturing Operations & Silicon Technology at Spansion, Inc. (Spansion), with responsibility for all wafer fabrication and its Submicron Development Center. His responsibilities included development of Spansion's proprietary Charge Trapping NOR and NAND process technologies. Mr. Behnke's previous responsibilities at Spansion included product deployment for its Wireless Business Unit. Mr. Behnke worked at Spansion from the time of its initial public offering and spin-off from Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD)/ Fujitsu in December 2005 until August 2009. Prior to Spansion's spin-off from AMD/Fujitsu, he held various positions in AMD, including as Director of Operations and Technology in its Fab 25 wafer fabrication facility in Austin, Texas from 2000 to 2005, where he was involved in the development of AMD's APC/APM technologies. Prior to Spansion and AMD, Mr. Behnke worked at

Table of Contents

the Hughes Research Lab in Malibu, California from 1985 to 1992 on the development of InP/GaAs HEMT low noise amplifiers and radiation-hardened SOS. Mr. Behnke holds a B.S. in mechanical engineering with an industrial engineering minor from Marquette University and completed a Clean Energy program at the University of Texas at Austin. As of March 31, 2012, he held 5 pending or issued U.S. patents.

J. Craig Hunter, age 43, has served as Senior Vice President of Global Sales and Marketing since March 2012 and had previously served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of our Clean Energy Group since July 2011 and Vice President and General Manager of our Clean Energy Technologies Group since January 2009. From June 2008 to December 2008, he was an Entrepreneur in Residence at Sequoia Capital, with a focus on the photovoltaic industry. Mr. Hunter previously held several management positions at Applied Materials, including the Managing Director of Channel Development from March 2008 to May 2008, the General Manager of their thin-film solar business from 2005 through March 2008, during which time he oversaw the development of that business from conception of the SunFab Thin Film Line to manufacturing the first solar panels, and Senior Manager of product management of the PVD and e-beam inspection tools used in LCD manufacturing from 2003 through 2005. Prior to joining Applied Materials, Mr. Hunter served in a variety of senior management roles, including Chief Financial Officer of Evercare Corporation, a manufacturer of consumer products, from 1999 to 2001, and Director of Mergers and Acquisitions at The Beacon Group in New York from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Hunter holds a B.A. in East Asian Studies from Harvard College and graduated with high distinction from Harvard Business School. As of March 31, 2012, he held 5 pending or issued U.S. patents.

Sandeep Jaggi, J.D., Ph.D., age 48, has served as our General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property since July 2010, and had previously served as our Vice President, Legal Affairs, Licensing and Intellectual Property since July 2010. Prior to joining Intermolecular, he was General Counsel and Senior Vice President of Intellectual Property at Robert Bosch Healthcare Inc. (formerly known as Health Hero Network Inc.) from January 2006 to July 2010. Prior to Robert Bosch Healthcare Inc., he held several senior management positions at LSI Logic, Inc. from August 1995 to January 2006, including Vice President, Chief IP Counsel, Assistant Corporate Secretary and Assistant General Counsel. From October 1989 to August 1995, Dr. Jaggi worked for Lockheed Martin at NASA's Stennis Space Center in Mississippi and NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas, where he held several positions including Project Leader, Principal Engineer and Senior Scientist. During his time in Houston, he founded ETS Inc., which commercialized space based-technologies for NASA and the U.S. Navy. He obtained a J.D. with a specialization in intellectual property law from Santa Clara University and also holds a B.Tech. in Electrical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, and an M.S. and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Tulane University. As of March 31, 2012, he held 13 pending or issued U.S. patents and is licensed to practice law in the state of California and before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Sandeep Nijhawan Ph.D., age 41, has served as Vice President and General Manager of our Clean Energy Group since March 2012 and previously served as our Vice President and General Manager of LED Technologies Group since May 2011. From August 2009 to May 2011, Dr. Nijhawan was the founder and CEO of Siorah Inc., a venture-backed LED start-up company whose assets were purchased by Intermolecular in May 2011. From July 2009 to November 2009, he was Entrepreneur-in-Residence at Redpoint Ventures. From February 1999 to July 2009, Dr. Nijhawan served in various management roles at Applied Materials Inc., most recently leading the LED lighting business from 2005 to July 2009. From 1999 to 2004, Dr. Nijhawan was the key accounts and technology manager for the front-end semiconductor manufacturing group. Dr. Nijhawan earned his Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1999, M.S. in Aerospace Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1994, MBA from the International Institute of Management Development, Lausanne, Switzerland in 2004, and B.Tech. from the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India in 1992. As of March 31 2012, he held 42 issued or pending US patents.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed with management the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to our board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and in this proxy statement.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

Thomas R. Baruch (chair) Irwin Federman John L. Walecka

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

This section discusses the principles underlying our policies and decisions with respect to the compensation of our executive officers who are named in the "Summary Compensation Table" and the most important factors relevant to an analysis of these policies and decisions. In 2011, our "named executive officers" were as follows:

David. E. Lazovsky, Chief Executive Officer and President;

Peter L. Eidelman, Chief Financial Officer;

Tony P. Chiang, Chief Technology Officer;

John R. Behnke, Senior Vice President and General Manager, Semiconductor Group; and

Zia Malik, Senior Vice President, Global Sales and Marketing(1)

(1)
Mr. Malik resigned as an executive officer of the company effective March 2, 2012.

28

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

The following table sets forth the key elements of our named executive officers' compensation, along with the primary objective associated with each element of compensation.

Compensation Element	Primary Objective
Base salary	To recognize ongoing performance of job responsibilities and as a necessary tool in attracting and retaining employees.
Annual performance-based cash compensation (bonuses) and sales commissions	To emphasize short-term corporate and individual objectives and provide reward opportunities for our named executive officers (and employees generally) when key business and individual objectives are met.
Long-term equity incentive compensation	To incentivize and reward long-term performance objectives, increases in stockholder value, to emphasize and reinforce our focus on team success and to attract and retain key employees.
Severance and change in control benefits	To encourage the continued attention and dedication of our named executive officers and provide reasonable individual security to enable our named executive officers to focus on our best interests, particularly when considering strategic alternatives.
Retirement savings (401(k)) plan	To provide retirement savings in a tax-efficient manner.
Health and welfare benefits	To provide a basic level of protection from health, dental, life and disability risks.

To serve the foregoing objectives, our overall compensation program is generally designed to be flexible and complementary rather than purely formulaic. In alignment with the objectives set forth above, our compensation committee has generally determined the overall compensation of our named executive officers and its allocation among the elements described above, relying on the analyses and advice provided by its compensation consultant as well as input from our management team.

Our compensation decisions for the named executive officers in 2011, including each of the key elements of our executive compensation program, are discussed in more detail below. This discussion is intended to be read in conjunction with the executive compensation tables and related disclosures.

Determination of Compensation

Roles of Our Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions

Our compensation committee is responsible for overseeing our executive compensation program, as well as determining and approving the ongoing compensation arrangements for all of our named executive officers other than our chief executive officer. Unless otherwise delegated authority by our board, the compensation committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to our full board any compensation arrangements for our chief executive officer. The compensation committee meets periodically throughout the year to review and consider adjustments, if any, to the compensation, including base salary, annual bonus and long-term equity awards, for our named executive officers,

Table of Contents

including our chief executive officer. For 2011, the compensation committee determined each individual component of compensation for our named executive officers, including our chief executive officer.

Our chief executive officer evaluates the individual performance and contributions of each other named executive officer and, at least annually, reports to the compensation committee his recommendations regarding each element of the other named executive officers' compensation. Our chief executive officer does not participate in any formal discussion with the compensation committee regarding decisions on his own compensation and recuses himself from meetings when his compensation is discussed.

We do not generally rely on formulaic guidelines for determining the mix or levels of cash and equity-based compensation, but rather maintain a flexible compensation program that allows us to adapt components and levels of compensation to motivate and reward individual named executive officers within the context of our desire to attain financial and operational goals. Subjective factors considered in compensation determinations include a named executive officer's skills and capabilities, contributions as a member of the executive management team, contributions to our overall performance and whether the total compensation potential and structure is sufficient to ensure the retention of a named executive officer when considering the compensation potential that may be available elsewhere.

Competitive Market Data and Engagement of Compensation Consultants

The market for experienced management is highly competitive in our industry. Our goal is to attract and retain the most highly qualified executives to manage each of our business functions. In doing so, we draw upon a pool of talent that is highly sought after both by large and established technology companies in our geographic area and by other competitive companies in development or early stage phases. Established organizations in our industry seek to recruit top talent from emerging companies in the sector just as smaller organizations look to attract and retain the best talent from the industry as a whole. The competition for technical and non-technical skills is aggressive across the sector and we expect it to remain aggressive for the foreseeable future.

Our compensation committee, or, in the case of our chief executive officer, the board, makes its determinations regarding compensation for our named executive officers in large part based upon our financial resources, but also considers competitive market data. In setting both cash and equity compensation for 2011, our compensation committee conducted a review of our named executive officer compensation, as well as the mix of elements used to compensate our named executive officers, and compared this compensation information with data contained in third-party surveys produced by Radford. The surveys compiled executive compensation data primarily from private technology companies and reported such data on an aggregate basis. The surveys reported compensation, position and responsibilities of executives. While our compensation committee reviewed compensation levels and elements derived from this supplemental industry data, our compensation committee was not aware of the identity of any of the surveyed companies and, as such, did not rely on data for any single company.

In addition, in connection with our initial public offering in 2011, our compensation committee engaged Compensia, an independent compensation consultant directly to assist the committee in designing programs and setting compensation levels appropriate for a public company for 2012.

Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We operate in the highly competitive and dynamic technology industry, which is characterized by frequent technological advances and rapidly changing market requirements. To succeed in this environment, we must continuously develop and refine new and existing products and services, and to achieve these objectives, we need a highly talented and seasoned team of technical, sales, marketing,

Table of Contents

operations, financial and other business professionals. Our executive compensation philosophy recognizes that, given that the market for experienced management is highly competitive in our industry, key and core to our success is our ability to attract and retain the most highly-qualified executives to manage each of our business functions. We regard as fundamental that executive officer compensation be structured to provide competitive base salaries and benefits to attract and retain superior employees, and to provide incentive compensation to motivate executive officers to attain, and to reward executive officers for attaining, established financial, operational and other goals that are consistent with increasing stockholder value.

In determining the form and amount of compensation payable to the named executive officers, we are guided by the following objectives and principles:

Compensation levels should be competitive to attract and retain key executives, and should reflect internal parity. We aim to provide an executive compensation program that attracts, motivates and retains high performance individuals and rewards them for our achieving and maintaining a competitive position in our industry. Total compensation (i.e., maximum achievable compensation) should increase with position and responsibility.

Compensation should relate directly to performance, and incentive compensation should constitute a significant portion of total compensation. We aim to foster a pay-for-performance culture, with a significant portion of total compensation being "at risk." Accordingly, a significant portion of total compensation should be tied to and vary with our financial, operational and strategic performance, as well as individual performance. Executives with greater roles and the ability to directly impact our strategic goals and long-term results should bear a greater proportion of the risk that these goals and results are not achieved. The amount of "at risk pay" is determined accordingly.

Long-term incentive compensation should align executives' interests with our stockholders' interests, and should reinforce a culture of ownership excellence and responsiveness. Awards of long-term incentives, including equity-based compensation, encourage executives to focus on our long-term growth and prospects and incentivize executives to manage the company from the perspective of stockholders with a meaningful stake in our success, as well as to focus on long-term career orientation.

Compensation should enable executives to share in the success that they help create. We aim to motivate and reward our executive officers whose knowledge, skills and performance ensure our continued success. Our compensation programs are designed to recognize the impact of our executive officers on our company's achievements.

We view the components of our executive compensation program as related but distinct, and we regularly reassess the total compensation of our named executive officers to ensure that our overall compensation objectives are met. Historically, not all components have been provided to our named executive officers. We have considered, but not relied exclusively upon, the following factors in determining the appropriate level for each compensation component: our understanding of the competitive market based on the collective experience of members of our compensation committee and their review of compensation surveys; our recruiting and retention goals; our view of internal equity and consistency; the length of service of our executive officers; our overall performance and other considerations our compensation committee determines are relevant.

Each of the primary elements of our executive compensation program is discussed in more detail below. While we have identified particular compensation objectives that each element of executive compensation serves, our compensation programs are designed to be flexible and complementary and to collectively serve all of the executive compensation objectives described above. Accordingly, whether or not specifically mentioned below, we believe that, as a part of our overall executive compensation

Table of Contents

policy, each individual element, to a greater or lesser extent, serves each of our compensation objectives and that, collectively, they are effective in achieving our overall objectives.

Elements of Executive Compensation Program

The following describes the primary components of our executive compensation program for each of our named executive officers, the rationale for that component and how compensation amounts are determined.

Base Salary

We provide our executive officers, including our named executive officers, with a base salary to compensate them for services rendered to our company during the fiscal year. The base salary payable to each named executive officer is intended to provide a fixed component of compensation reflecting the executive's skill set, experience, role and responsibilities. Generally, initial base salary amounts were established based on consideration of, among other factors, the scope of the named executive officer's responsibilities, years of service and the compensation committee's general knowledge of the competitive market based on, among other things, experience with other companies and our industry.

Thereafter, the base salaries of our named executive officers have been reviewed annually by the compensation committee and merit salary increases have been made as deemed appropriate based on such factors as the scope of an executive officer's responsibilities, individual contribution, prior experience and sustained performance.

In February 2011, the compensation committee approved base salary increases of 22.3%, 8.5%, 9.2% and 8.7%, for Messrs. Lazovsky, Eidelman and Behnke and Dr. Chiang, respectively. In addition, the compensation committee approved base salary increases, effective November 1, 2011, of 11.1%, 6.8%, 30%, 10.5% and 1.5% for Messrs. Lazovsky, Eidelman, Behnke and Malik and Dr. Chiang, respectively. Mr. Malik commenced employment with us in May 2011 with a base salary of \$190,000. The base salary increases reflected the compensation committee's review of compensation survey data provided by Radford (for the February 2011 increases) and Compensia (for the November 2011 increases) to align each named executive officer's annual base salary with the median annual base salary for each position, as provided in each compensation survey. Mr. Malik's base salary reflects a similar review and arms-length negotiations surrounding his commencement of employment.

Annual Performance-Based Compensation and Commissions

We use cash bonuses and, with respect to sales executives, a sales commission program to motivate our named executive officers to achieve our short-term financial and strategic objectives while making progress towards our longer-term growth and other goals.

Cash bonuses. We provide cash bonuses to incentivize named executive officers to achieve annual corporate stretch performance goals. All of our named executive officers are eligible for annual cash bonuses, which are determined annually at the discretion of the compensation committee. Determination of the bonus payouts for the named executive officers is based on funding of our company-wide bonus pool. For 2011, the bonus pool was funded based on our achievement of pre-established targets. A base bonus pool was established at a level of 8% of our base salary accrual. Our compensation committee established the target size of the bonus pool based upon the experience of its members with similar companies and after reviewing the compensation surveys. The achievement of each corporate stretch performance objective target was weighted, such that the available bonus pool would be determined by multiplying the percentage of corporate performance objectives actually achieved (as determined based on the respective weighting of each objective) by the base bonus pool.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the 2011 pre-established corporate stretch performance targets and relevant weighting:

	Weighting of Corporate Performance		
2011 Corporate Performance Objectives	Objectives	2011 Achievement	Percentage
Adjusted earnings greater than 5%	5%	<0%	0%
Adjusted EBITDA profit greater than 15%	5%	11.8%	0%
2011 year-end cash greater than \$10 million	5%	\$81.0 million	5%
Bookings greater than \$70 million	20%	\$58.6 million	17%*
2012 Revenue Backlog greater than \$60 million	10%	\$47.2 million	0%*
Revenue greater than \$60 million	15%	\$53.8 million	13%*
Strategic business and technical objectives	40%	Partially Achieved	30%
		Total	65%

*

Achievement > 80% required to participate for specified performance objectives

Based on our corporate performance, as set forth in the table above, the bonus pool for all employees was reduced to 5.6% of our base salary accrual which represents 65% of the base bonus pool. The bonus pool was then allocated by the compensation committee among our named executive officers and all other employees. The discretionary bonuses awarded to our named executive officers were established by the compensation committee based upon recommendations by our CEO, other than with respect to his own bonus, based upon his subjective assessment of the performance of each named executive officer (other than with respect to himself for which the compensation committee subjectively assessed his performance). In determining Mr. Behnke's bonus, our chief executive officer and compensation committee took into account his eligibility to earn sales commissions through October 2011 (as described below). The annual bonuses awarded to our named executive officers with respect to 2011 services are set forth in the table below. As Mr. Malik joined our company in May 2011, he was not eligible to receive a 2011 annual bonus.

Executive	Bonus	
David E. Lazovsky	\$	75,000
Tony P. Chiang	\$	40,000
Peter L. Eidelman	\$	61,000
John Behnke	\$	10,000
Zia Malik	\$	

Behnke Commissions. From January 1, 2011 through October 31, 2011, Mr. Behnke was entitled to receive commission payments based on 2011 sales bookings and collections generated for his assigned accounts that resulted in the company's closing Collaborative Development Programs, Sales or Subscriptions for Equipment and Net Licenses for customer programs. Mr. Behnke was eligible to receive commissions payments based upon cumulative guaranteed bookings for 2011. Mr. Behnke's 2011 commission plan was structured to provide an incentive to close guaranteed bookings in signed contracts and collect payments for bookings as the program deliverables are earned. Pursuant to his 2011 commission plan, Mr. Behnke was entitled to receive commissions ranging from 0.10% to 0.35%. The target rate was set at 0.20% based on cumulative guaranteed bookings up to \$71.5 million (target cumulative bookings were \$70 million). Cumulative bookings over \$71.5 million would obtain a rate of 0.30% and cumulative bookings over \$100 million a rate of 0.35%. Bookings which were closed one quarter ahead of plan could earn an incremental commission of 0.05% and bookings closed one quarter late could reduce the commission rate by 0.05% up to an adjustment maximum of 0.10%. Of applicable

Table of Contents

commission, 35% was payable upon booking and the 65% of the applicable commission was payable upon collection, subject to continued employment through the applicable payment date.

On November 1, 2011, Mr. Behnke was included on the executive incentive compensation plan described above and his 2011 commission plan was no longer effective.

Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives

The goals of our long-term equity-based awards are to reward and encourage long-term corporate performance based on the value of our common stock and, thereby, to align the interests of our executive officers, including our named executive officers, with those of our stockholders. Our board of directors adopted the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (amended and restated on September 5, 2007), or the 2004 Plan, in order to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility, to provide additional incentives to our employees, consultants and non-employee directors and to promote the success of our business. The 2004 Plan provided for the grant of stock options and restricted stock.

In October 2011, we adopted the 2011 Incentive Award Plan, or the 2011 Plan, which became effective upon the completion of our initial public offering. From and after the adoption of the 2011 Plan, no further grants have been or will be made under the 2004 Plan. We do not currently have any formal stock ownership requirements or guidelines for our named executive officers, given the limited market for our securities. We will continue to periodically review best practices and re-evaluate our position with respect to such requirements or guidelines.

Equity Award Features. Historically we have granted stock options to our named executive officers. Since our named executive officers are able to benefit from stock options only if the market price of our common stock increases relative to the stock option's exercise price, we believe stock options provide meaningful incentives to our named executive officers to achieve increases in the value of our stock over time and are an effective tool for meeting our compensation goal of increasing long-term stockholder value by tying the value of these stock options to our future performance. We also believe stock option grants encourage the retention of our named executive officers because the vesting of equity awards is largely based on continued employment.

We generally use stock options to compensate our named executive officers in the form of both initial grants in connection with the commencement of employment and additional "incentive" grants. The compensation committee, based on recommendations from our chief executive officer and its review of compensation survey data, approves a pool of shares to be awarded to employees as an annual incentive grant and reserves 25 - 30% of the pool for stock options to be granted to the executive management team. To date, there has been no set program for the award of incentive grants, and our compensation committee retains discretion to make stock option awards to employees at any time, including in connection with the promotion of an employee, to reward an employee, for retention purposes or in other circumstances recommended by management.

In 2011, prior to our initial public offering, the exercise price of each stock option grant was equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. Since the consummation of our initial public offering, stock options have had and will have a per share exercise price that is not less than the closing price of a share of our common stock on the grant date. Stock options typically vest over a four-year period as follows: 25% of the shares underlying an option vest on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, and the remainder of the shares underlying an option vest in substantially equal monthly installments over the next 36 months. We believe the vesting schedule of our stock options appropriately encourages long-term employment with our company while allowing our executives to realize compensation in line with the value they have created for our stockholders.

Table of Contents

In March 2011, each of our named executive officers was granted an option to purchase shares of our common stock as part of our annual incentive grant program. These options are subject to the vesting terms described above and were granted at an exercise price of \$6.20 per share, which was equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. In determining the size of the incentive grants, the compensation committee approved a pool of 600,000 shares, of which 30% were reserved for executives. In determining the size of the stock option pool and the allocation to named executive officers, the compensation committee reviewed the compensation survey data and drew upon the experience of its members with similar companies.

	March 2011 Stock Option
Executive	Grant
David E. Lazovsky	52,500
Peter L. Eidelman	25,000
Tony P. Chiang	37,500
John Behnke	30,000

Mr. Malik was granted an option to purchase 137,500 shares of our common stock in connection with his commencement of employment with us in May 2011. The size of Mr. Malik's grant was determined based upon the compensation committee's review of compensation survey data, its experience and arms-length negotiations.

Retirement Savings

We maintain a retirement savings plan under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code, to provide retirement benefits to our eligible employees. The Code allows eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation, within prescribed limits, which may be on a pre-tax basis through contributions to the 401(k) plan. Historically, the 401(k) plan has been funded entirely with employee contributions; however, in the future, we may match a portion of our employees' annual contributions, within prescribed limits.

Employee Benefits and Perquisites

All of our full-time employees, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in our health and welfare plans, including:

medical, dental and vision benefits;

medical and dependent care flexible spending accounts;

short-term and long-term disability insurance; and

life insurance.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

As described more fully below in the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control," we entered into change in control severance agreements with our named executive officers, in connection with the completion of our initial public offering, that provide for various severance and change in control benefits. We believe that the protections contained in these agreements will help to ensure the day-to-day stability necessary to our named executive officers to enable them to properly focus their attention on their duties and responsibilities with our company and will provide security with regard to some of the most uncertain events relating to continued employment, thereby limiting concern and uncertainty and promoting productivity. For a description of

Table of Contents

the material terms of these agreements, see " Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). Generally, Section 162(m) of the Code, or Section 162(m), disallows a tax deduction for any publicly-held corporation for individual compensation exceeding \$1.0 million in any taxable year to its chief executive officer and each of its other named executive officers, other than its chief financial officer, unless compensation qualifies as "performance-based compensation" within the meaning of the Code. Where reasonably practicable and to the extent that the Section 162(m) deduction disallowance becomes applicable to our company, our compensation committee may seek to qualify the variable compensation paid to our named executive officers for an exemption from the deductibility limitations. As such, in approving the amount and form of compensation for our named executive officers in the future, our compensation committee will consider all elements of the cost to us of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 162(m). However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Furthermore, we do not expect Section 162(m) to apply to certain awards under the 2011 Plan until the earliest to occur of our annual stockholders' meeting in 2015, a material modification of the 2011 Plan or exhaustion of the shares reserved for issuance under the 2011 Plan.

Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. Section 409A of the Code requires that "nonqualified deferred compensation" be deferred and paid under plans or arrangements that satisfy the requirements of the Code with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Failure to satisfy these requirements can expose employees and other service providers to accelerated income tax liabilities, penalty taxes and interest on their vested compensation under such plans. Accordingly, as a general matter, it is our intention to design and administer our compensation and benefits plans and arrangements for all of our employees and other service providers, including our named executive officers, so that they are either exempt from, or satisfy the requirements of, Section 409A of the Code.

Internal Revenue Code Section 280G. Section 280G of the Code, or Section 280G, disallows a tax deduction with respect to excess parachute payments to certain executives of companies that undergo a change in control. In addition, Section 4999 of the Code, or Section 4999, imposes a 20% excise tax on the individual with respect to the excess parachute payment. Parachute payments are compensation linked to or triggered by a change in control and may include, but are not limited to, bonus payments, severance payments, certain fringe benefits, and payments and acceleration of vesting from long-term incentive plans including stock options and other equity-based compensation. Excess parachute payments are parachute payments that exceed a threshold determined under Section 280G based on the executive's prior compensation. In approving the compensation arrangements for our named executive officers in the future, our compensation committee will consider all elements of the cost to the company of providing such compensation, including the potential impact of Section 280G. However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation arrangements that could give rise to loss of deductibility under Section 280G and the imposition of excise taxes under Section 4999 when it believes that such arrangements are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. We follow Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or ASC Topic 718, for our stock-based compensation awards. ASC Topic 718 requires companies to calculate the grant date "fair value" of their stock-based awards using a variety of assumptions. ASC Topic 718 also requires companies to recognize the

Table of Contents

compensation cost of their stock-based awards in their income statements over the period that an employee is required to render service in exchange for the award. Grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards under our equity incentive award plans will be accounted for under ASC Topic 718. Our compensation committee will regularly consider the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, especially in connection with decisions that relate to our equity incentive award plans and programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our named executive officers for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011.

		Salary	Bonus	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation	Total
Name and Principal Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)
David E. Lazovsky	2011	316,042	75,000	179,540		570,582
Chief Executive Officer and President	2010	256,875	235,000	270,225		762,100
D. J. P. L.	2011	056.041	(1,000	05.405		102.226
Peter L. Eidelman	2011	256,841	61,000	85,495		403,336
Chief Financial Officer	2010	234,945	72,000	144,120		451,065
Tony P. Chiang	2011	274,672	40,000	128,243		442,915
Chief Technology Officer	2010	252,240	100,200	270,225		622,665
John Behnke	2011	209,167	10,000	102,594	78,966	400,727
Senior Vice President and General Manager,						
Semiconductor Group						
Zia Malik	2011	121,666		468,201		589,867
Senior Vice President, Global Sales and Marketing						

- Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2011, computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. The assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock option awards made to named executive officers are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 16, 2012. There can be no assurance that awards will vest or will be exercised (and if the awards do not vest or are not exercised, no value will be realized by the individual), or that the value upon exercise will approximate the aggregate grant date fair value determined under ASC Topic 718.
- (2)
 Amounts represent sales commissions earned pursuant to Mr. Benhke's commission plan based on guaranteed bookings and collections made in 2011.
- (3) Mr. Malik commenced employment with us in May 2011.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of plan-based awards made to our named executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Estimated Possible Payouts							
		er Non-E Incentivo an Awar Target	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards		
Name	Grant Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Options(#)	(\$/Sh)	(\$)(1)
David E.	March 31,				_		
Lazovsky	2011				52,500	6.20	179,540
Peter L. Eidelman	March 31, 2011				25,000	6.20	85,495
	March 31,						
Tony P. Chiang	2011				37,500	6.20	128,243
,	March 31,						
John Behnke(2)	2011		140,00	0	30,000	6.20	102,594
Zia Malik	May 20, 2011				137,500	6.20	468,201

Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2011, computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. The assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock option awards made to named executive officers are set forth in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 16, 2012.

Amount represents the target commissions which Mr. Behnke was eligible to receive in 2011 pursuant to his commission plan. The amount in the "Target" column represents the amount payable to Mr. Behnke upon achievement of \$70 million in cumulative guaranteed bookings. There is no threshold or maximum payout of commissions under his 2011 commission plan.

38

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held as of December 31, 2011 by our named executive officers.

	Vesting	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised	Option Awards(1) Number of Securities Underlying Option Unexercised Exercise		
	Commencement	Options (#)	Options (#)	Price	Option
Name	Date	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(\$)	Expiration Date
David E. Lazovsky	July 9, 2007	300,000		1.66	September 4, 2017
David E. Lazovsky	January 20, 2009	145,833	54,167	2.00	January 19, 2019
	February 1, 2010	85,937	101,563	2.66	February 3, 2020
	February 2, 2011	05,757	52,500	6.20	March 30, 2021
	10014417 2, 2011		22,200	0.20	1/14/01/00, 2021
Peter L. Eidelman	February 27, 2006	312,500		0.20	May 4, 2016
					September 4,
	July 9, 2007	57,500		1.66	2017
	January 20, 2009	76,562	28,438	2.00	January 19, 2019
	February 1, 2010	45,833	54,167	2.66	February 3, 2020
	February 2, 2011		25,000	6.20	March 30, 2021
Tony P. Chiang	May 9, 2005	1,125,000		0.10	June 14, 2015 September 4,
	July 9, 2007	100,000		1.66	2017
	January 20, 2009	145,833	54,167	2.00	January 19, 2019
	February 1, 2010	85,937	101,563	2.66	February 3, 2020
	February 2, 2011		37,500	6.20	March 30, 2021
John Behnke	October 5, 2009	135,416	114,584	2.00	October 21, 2019
	February 2, 2011		30,000	6.20	March 30, 2021
Zia Malik	May 20, 2011		137,500	6.20	May 19, 2021
	•				•

Each stock option vests as to ¹/4th of the total number of shares subject to the option on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date, and as to ¹/48th of the total number of shares subject to the option in monthly installments over the three-year period thereafter, subject to continued service with our company through the applicable vesting dates and accelerated vesting under certain circumstances, as described under the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

2011 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

We have not granted any stock awards to its named executive officers. Additionally, our named executive officers did not exercise any stock options during 2011.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Change in Control Severance Agreements

In 2011, we entered into a change in control severance agreement ("CIC Severance Agreements") with each of our named executive officers that became effective upon the consummation of our initial public offering. Under the terms of this agreement, each named executive officer would be entitled to the payments and benefits described below upon a qualifying termination of employment.

Table of Contents

Change in Control and Involuntary Termination

If, on or after the first anniversary of the named executive officer's commencement of employment, the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us other than for "cause" or by the named executive officer for "good reason" (each, as defined in the CIC Severance Agreement) on or within the one-month period preceding, or the one-year period following, a "change in control," the named executive officer will be entitled to:

the payment of accrued salary, bonus, vacation and expense reimbursement;

immediate acceleration of all unvested equity compensation then held by the named executive officer;

a lump sum payment equal to the sum of the named executive officer's annual base salary and his target annual bonus (or, with respect to Mr. Lazovsky only, 1.5 multiplied by such sum); and

company-paid premiums for COBRA continuation coverage for up to 18 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or 12 months (all other named executive officers) after the date of termination.

Involuntary Termination

If, on or after the first anniversary of the named executive officer's commencement of employment, the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us other than for "cause" or by the named executive officer for "good reason" at any time other than the period beginning one month preceding and ending one year following a "change in control," the named executive officer will be entitled to:

the payment of accrued salary, bonus, vacation and expense reimbursement;

a lump sum payment equal to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other named executive officers) of the named executive officer's annual base salary; and

company-paid premiums for COBRA continuation coverage for up to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other named executive officers) after the date of termination.

The named executive officer's right to receive the severance payments described above is subject to continued compliance with certain restrictive covenants and the executive's delivery of an effective general release of claims in favor of the company.

Summary of Potential Payments

The following table summarizes the payments that would be made to certain of our named executive officers upon the occurrence of certain qualifying terminations of employment in connection with a change in control, assuming such named executive officer's termination of employment with us occurred on December 31, 2011 and, where relevant, that a change in control of Intermolecular occurred on December 31, 2011. Amounts shown in the table below do not include (i) accrued but unpaid salary and, where applicable, commissions, and (ii) other benefits earned or accrued by the

Table of Contents

named executive officer during his employment that are available to all salaried employees, such as accrued vacation.

Name	Benefit	Termination Without Cause, For Good Reason (No Change of Control)(\$)	Termination Without Cause, For Good Reason In Connection with a Change of Control (\$)
David E. Lazovsky	Cash Severance Accelerated Vesting Healthcare Continuation(4) Total	350,000(1) 22,904 372,904	525,000(2) 1,082,622(3) 34,356 1,641,978
Peter L. Eidelman	Cash Severance Accelerated Vesting Healthcare Continuation(4) Total	136,500(1) 11,452 147,952	273,000(2) 518,756(3) 22,904 814,660
Tony P. Chiang	Cash Severance Accelerated Vesting Healthcare Continuation(4) Total	140,000(1) 9,945 149,945	280,000(2) 1,046,922(3) 19,890 1,346,812
John Behnke	Cash Severance Accelerated Vesting Healthcare Continuation(4) Total	130,000(1) 11,452 141,452	260,000(2) 825,363(3) 22,904 1,108,267
Zia Malik	Cash Severance Accelerated Vesting Healthcare Continuation(4) Total	105,000(1) 11,452 116,452	210,000(2) 327,250(3) 22,904 560,154

- (1)

 Represents a lump sum cash severance payment equal to 12 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or six months (all other named executive officers) of the named executive officer's annual base salary.
- (2)

 Represents a lump sum cash severance payment equal to 18 months (Mr. Lazovsky) or 12 months (all other named executive officers) of the named executive officer's annual base salary. None of the named executive officers had a target annual bonus with respect to 2011.
- (3)

 Represents the aggregate value of the named executive officer's unvested stock options that would have vested on an accelerated basis, determined by multiplying the number of accelerating option shares by the fair market value of our common stock on December 31, 2011 (\$8.58) and subtracting the applicable exercise prices.
- (4)

 Represents the aggregate full premium payments that would be required to be paid to or on behalf of the named executive officer to provide continued health insurance coverage under COBRA (based on the executive's health insurance coverage as of December 31, 2011) for the payout period provided under each named executive officer's CIC Severance Agreement.

Zia Malik Transition Agreement

In March 2012, we entered into a transition and separation agreement with Zia Malik (the "Malik Transition Agreement") in connection with the termination of Mr. Malik's employment relationship

Table of Contents

with the Company on March 2, 2012, pursuant to which Mr. Malik will continue to provide services to our company as a consultant through September 2, 2012, which is referred to as the consulting period.

Under the Malik Transition Agreement, Mr. Malik will be entitled to receive a monthly fee equal to \$5,000 during the consulting period and will be eligible to receive a 2.5% commission based on sales bookings closing during the consulting period with respect to Collaborative Development Programs, Sales or Subscriptions for Equipment and Net Licenses with customer programs for certain targeted accounts. The Malik Transition Agreement further provides that Mr. Malik will be entitled to receive (1) continuation payments of 50% of the base salary he would have received had he remained employed during the consulting period (for an aggregate amount of \$52,500) and (2) continuation of healthcare coverage during the consulting period for up to six months.

In addition, under the Malik Transition Agreement, 34,375 shares of common stock underlying Mr. Malik's 2011 stock option grant will vest on May 20, 2012 and will remain exercisable through December 2, 2012, and Mr. Malik will be reimbursed up to \$3,000 per month for business expenses incurred in the performance of his services under the agreement.

Under the Malik Transition Agreement, Mr. Malik also agreed to release the company from all claims as of the execution date of the agreement.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, each to be effective upon the consummation of this offering, will provide that we will indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits our amended and restated certificate of incorporation from limiting the liability of our directors for the following:

any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or to our stockholders;

acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

unlawful payment of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions; and

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

If Delaware law is amended to authorize corporate action further eliminating or limiting the personal liability of a director, then the liability of our directors will be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law, as so amended. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation does not eliminate a director's duty of care and, in appropriate circumstances, equitable remedies, such as injunctive or other forms of non-monetary relief, remain available under Delaware law. This provision also does not affect a director's responsibilities under any other laws, such as the federal securities laws or other state or federal laws. Under our amended and restated bylaws, we will also be empowered to enter into indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, employees and other agents and to purchase insurance on behalf of any person whom we are required or permitted to indemnify.

In addition to the indemnification required in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, we have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors, and will enter into new indemnification agreements with each of our current directors, officers and certain employees before the consummation of this offering. These agreements provide for the indemnification of our directors, officers and certain employees for all reasonable expenses and liabilities incurred in connection with any action or proceeding brought against them by reason of the fact that they are or were our agents. We believe that these provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and indemnification

Table of Contents

agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and officers. Furthermore, we have obtained director and officer liability insurance to cover liabilities our directors and officers may incur in connection with their services to us. This description of the indemnification provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws and our indemnification agreements is qualified in its entirety by reference to these documents, each of which is attached as an exhibit to this registration statement.

The limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage stockholders from bringing a lawsuit against directors for breach of their fiduciary duties. They may also reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and officers, even though an action, if successful, might benefit us and our stockholders. A stockholder's investment may be harmed to the extent we pay the costs of settlement and damage awards against directors and officers pursuant to these indemnification provisions. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that, in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. There is no pending litigation or proceeding naming any of our directors or officers as to which indemnification is being sought, nor are we aware of any pending or threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification by any director or officer.

Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans

Our directors and executive officers may adopt written plans, known as Rule 10b5-1 plans, in which they will contract with a broker to buy or sell shares of our common stock on a periodic basis. Under a Rule 10b5-1 plan, a broker executes trades pursuant to parameters established by the director or officer when entering into the plan, without further direction from them. The director or officer may amend or terminate the plan in some circumstances. Our directors and executive officers may also buy or sell additional shares outside of a Rule 10b5-1 plan when they are not in possession of material, nonpublic information.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information about the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans(1)
Equity compensation plans approved by security			
holders(2)	8,229,597	\$ 2.52	4,300,996
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	8,229,597	\$ 2.52	4,300,996

(1) Excludes securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights.

Consists of the Intermolecular, Inc. 2011 Incentive Award Plan and the Intermolecular, Inc. 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (Amended and Restated September 5, 2007). We terminated the 2004 Plan on October 26, 2011 in connection with the adoption of the 2011 Plan. No new awards may be granted under the 2004 Plan following its termination, but awards outstanding at the time of termination remain outstanding in accordance with their terms.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth, as of March 30, 2012, the total number of shares owned beneficially by each of our directors, and named executive officers, individually, all of our directors and executive officers as a group, and the present owners of 5% or more of our total outstanding shares.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under rules issued by the SEC. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has sole or shared voting power or investment power. In addition, these rules provide than an individual or entity beneficially owns any shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options or warrants held by such person or entity that were exercisable on March 30, 2012 or within 60 days after March 30, 2012; and any reference in the footnotes to this table to stock options or warrants refers only to such options or warrants. In computing the percentage ownership of each individual and entity, the number of outstanding shares of common stock includes, in addition to the 42,470,181 shares outstanding as of March 30, 2012, any shares subject to options or warrants held by that individual or entity that were exercisable on or within 60 days after March 30, 2012. These shares are not considered outstanding, however, for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other stockholder. Each of the stockholders listed has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by the stockholder unless noted otherwise, subject to community property laws where applicable. Unless otherwise noted below, the address of each beneficial owner listed on the table is c/o Intermolecular, Inc., 3011 N. First Street, San Jose, California 95134.

	Shares Beneficially Owned	
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number	Percentage
5% Stockholders		
ATMI, Inc.(1)	3,857,242	9.08%
Entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures(2)	7,548,443	17.77%
Entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures(3)	7,595,039	17.88%
U.S. Venture Partners(4)	5,376,719	12.66%
Directors and Executive Officers		
David E. Lazovsky(5)	4,400,324	10.22%
Peter L. Eidelman(6)	533,936	1.24%
Tony P. Chiang(7)	1,522,052	3.46%
John Behnke(8)	182,382	*
Zia Malik(9)	34,375	*
Thomas R. Baruch(10)	7,673,443	18.01%
Marvin D. Burkett(11)	12,500	*
Irwin Federman(12)	5,376,719	12.66%
Bruce M. McWilliams(13)	325,000	*
George M. Scalise(14)	190,000	*
John L. Walecka(15)	7,595,039	17.88%
All of our directors and officers as a group (14 persons)(16)	28,316,714	61.61%

Represents a beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

(1)
Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012. The address of ATMI, Inc. is 7 Commerce Drive, Danbury, Connecticut 06810.

Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 6, 2012. Includes: (i) 7,375,500 shares held by CMEA Ventures VI, L.P. (CMEA VI) and (ii) 172,943 shares held by CMEA Ventures VI GmbH & Co. KG (CMEA VI GmbH). CMEA Ventures VI Management, L.P. (CMEA VI Mgmt) is the general partner of each of CMEA VI and CMEA VI GmbH. Thomas R. Baruch,

Table of Contents

David J. Collier, Karl D. Handelsman, Faysal A. Sohail and James F. Watson are the general partners of CMEA VI Mgmt and, as such, have voting and dispositive power over these shares. Each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by these entities, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of each of the entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures is One Letterman Drive, Building C, Suite CM500, San Francisco, California 94129.

- Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2012. Includes: (i) 7,423,391 shares held by Redpoint Ventures II, L.P. (RV II) and (ii) 171,648 shares held by Redpoint Associates II, LLC (RA II). Redpoint Ventures II, LLC (RV II LLC), a Delaware limited liability company, is the sole general partner of RV II. Voting and dispositive decisions with respect to shares held by RV II and RA II are shared by Jeffery D. Brody, R. Thomas Dyal, Timothy M. Haley, G. Bradford Jones, John L. Walecka and Geoffrey Y. Yang in their capacities as managing members of each of RV II and RA II. Each disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by these entities, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of each of the entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures is 3000 Sand Hill Road, Building Two, Suite 290, Menlo Park, California 94025.
- Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 8, 2012. Includes 5,376,719 shares held by U.S. Venture Partners IX, L.P. (USVP IX). Presidio Management Group IX, LLC (PMG IX) is the general partner of USVP IX. Each of Irwin Federman, Winston S. Fu, Steven M. Krausz, David E. Liddle, Paul Matteucci, Jonathan D. Root, Christopher Rust, Casey M. Tansey and Philip M. Young are the managing members of PMG IX and may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power over the shares held by USVP IX. Such persons disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by USVP IX, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address of U.S. Venture Partners is 2735 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025.
- Consists of: (i) 3,333,239 shares held by David E. Lazovsky, (ii) 189,513 shares held by The David E. Lazovsky 2010 Annuity Trust, (iii) 189,513 shares held by The Juel D. Lazovsky 2010 Annuity Trust, (iv) 35,487 shares held by Juel D. Lazovsky, (v) 45,882 shares held by The Lazovsky 2010 Irrevocable Children's Trust, (vi) 588,540 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012 by Mr. Lazovsky and (vii) 18,150 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 1, 2012.
- (6) Consists of 521,561 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012 and 12,375 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 1, 2012.
- (7)
 Consists of 1,508,852 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012 and 13,200 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 1, 2012.
- (8)

 Consists of 182,382 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012 and 11,550 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 1, 2012.
- (9) Consists of 34,375 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012. Mr. Malik resigned as an executive officer of the company effective March 2, 2012.
- (10)

 Consists of the shares described in Note (2) above. Mr. Baruch disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the entities affiliated with CMEA Ventures as described in Note (2) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. Also includes 125,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

- (11) Consists of 12,500 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012.
- (12)

 Consists of the shares described in Note (4) above. Mr. Federman disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the entities affiliated with U.S. Ventures as described in Note (4) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- (13)
 Consists of: (i) 220,000 shares held by Bruce and Astrid McWilliams 1997 Trust UAD 11/06/97 and (ii) 105,000 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012.
- (14)
 Consists of 190,000 shares held by George M. Scalise and Dorothea Scalise TR Family Trust UA 12/28/88. Includes 7,500 shares subject to our right of repurchase, which right lapses on February 25, 2013.
- (15)
 Consists of the shares described in Note (3) above. Mr. Walecka disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the entities affiliated with Redpoint Ventures as described in Note (3) above, except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.
- Includes 20,520,201 shares held by entities affiliated with certain of our directors, 3,548,429 shares that may be acquired pursuant to the exercise of stock options within 60 days of March 30, 2012 and 80,850 shares of restricted common stock that vest over four years with a vesting commencement date of March 1, 2012.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our common stock, or reporting persons, to file reports with the SEC disclosing their ownership of and transactions in our common stock and other equity securities. Whenever a reporting person files a report with the SEC, the reporting person is also required to send us a copy. Based solely on our review of reports that we have received from the reporting persons or written representations from such persons, we believe that all of the reporting persons complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements during 2011.

The board of directors hopes that stockholders will attend the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, you are urged to complete, date, sign and return the enclosed proxy in the accompanying envelope. A prompt response will greatly facilitate arrangements for the meeting, and your cooperation will be appreciated.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

David E. Lazovsky

President and Chief Executive Officer

April 16, 2012