

HEWLETT PACKARD CO
Form 10-Q
March 10, 2009

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark
One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended: **January 31, 2009**

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-4423

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-1081436
(I.R.S. employer
identification no.)

3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

94304
(Zip code)

(650) 857-1501

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | Accelerated filer | Non-accelerated filer | Smaller reporting company |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of HP common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2009 was 2,396,613,014 shares.

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX**

| | | Page No. |
|----------|--|---------------------|
| Part I. | Financial Information | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | 3 |
| | Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) | 3 |
| | Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited) and as of October 31, 2008 (Audited) | 4 |
| | Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited) | 5 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 6 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 46 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 83 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 83 |
| Part II. | Other Information | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 84 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 84 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 84 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 84 |
| | Signature | 85 |
| | Exhibit Index | 86 |
| | Forward-Looking Statements | |

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If the risks or uncertainties ever materialize or the assumptions prove incorrect, the results of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") may differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements and assumptions. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including but not limited to any projections of revenue, margins, expenses, tax provisions, earnings, cash flows, benefit obligations, share repurchases, acquisition synergies, currency exchange rates or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations, including the execution of cost reduction programs and restructuring and integration plans; any statements concerning expected development, performance or market share relating to products or services; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements regarding pending investigations, claims or disputes; any statements of expectation or belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions include macroeconomic and geopolitical trends and events; the execution and performance of contracts by HP and its customers, suppliers and partners; the challenge of managing asset levels, including inventory; the difficulty of aligning expense levels with revenue changes; assumptions related to pension and other post-retirement costs; expectations and assumptions relating to the execution and timing of cost reduction programs and restructuring and integration plans; the possibility that the expected benefits of business combination transactions may not materialize as expected; the resolution of pending investigations, claims and disputes; and other risks that are described herein, including but not limited to the items discussed in "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" set forth in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Item 2 of Part I of this report, and that are otherwise described from time to time in HP's Securities and Exchange Commission reports, including HP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. HP assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings

(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---|--|---------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 ⁽¹⁾ |
| | In millions, except per share amounts | |
| Net revenue: | | |
| Products | \$ 18,623 | \$ 23,120 |
| Services | 10,088 | 5,257 |
| Financing income | 89 | 90 |
| Total net revenue | 28,800 | 28,467 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Cost of products | 14,164 | 17,334 |
| Cost of services | 7,819 | 4,028 |
| Financing interest | 86 | 82 |
| Research and development | 732 | 898 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,893 | 3,296 |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | 412 | 206 |
| In-process research and development charges | 6 | |
| Restructuring charges | 146 | 10 |
| Acquisition-related charges | 48 | |
| Total operating expenses | 26,306 | 25,854 |
| Earnings from operations | 2,494 | 2,613 |
| Interest and other, net | (232) | 72 |
| Earnings before taxes | 2,262 | 2,685 |
| Provision for taxes | 408 | 552 |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,854 | \$ 2,133 |
| Net earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.83 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.80 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute net earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | 2,410 | 2,560 |
| Diluted | 2,464 | 2,655 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

(1)

Certain pursuit-related costs previously reported as Cost of products have been realigned retroactively to Selling, general and administrative expenses due to the organizational realignments occurring within HP's service offerings portfolio.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions, except par value | |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 11,189 | \$ 10,153 |
| Short-term investments | 66 | 93 |
| Accounts receivable | 14,769 | 16,928 |
| Financing receivables | 2,316 | 2,314 |
| Inventory | 7,629 | 7,879 |
| Other current assets | 12,912 | 14,361 |
| Total current assets | 48,881 | 51,728 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 10,774 | 10,838 |
| Long-term financing receivables and other assets | 10,111 | 10,468 |
| Goodwill | 32,429 | 32,335 |
| Purchased intangible assets | 7,439 | 7,962 |
| Total assets | \$ 109,634 | \$ 113,331 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable and short-term borrowings | \$ 10,199 | \$ 10,176 |
| Accounts payable | 11,231 | 14,138 |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 2,951 | 4,159 |
| Taxes on earnings | 699 | 869 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,591 | 6,287 |
| Accrued restructuring | 1,034 | 1,099 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 14,282 | 16,211 |
| Total current liabilities | 46,987 | 52,939 |
| Long-term debt | 10,259 | 7,676 |
| Other liabilities | 12,801 | 13,774 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value (300 shares authorized; none issued) | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value (9,600 shares authorized; 2,405 and 2,415 shares issued and outstanding, respectively) | 24 | 24 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 13,978 | 14,012 |
| Retained earnings | 26,165 | 24,971 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (580) | (65) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 39,587 | 38,942 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 109,634 | \$ 113,331 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,854 | \$ 2,133 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,214 | 749 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 154 | 157 |
| Provision for bad debt and inventory | 168 | 78 |
| In-process research and development charges | 6 | |
| Restructuring charges | 146 | 10 |
| Acquisition-related charges | 48 | |
| Deferred taxes on earnings | (63) | 361 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (13) | (88) |
| Other, net | (17) | 6 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts and financing receivables | 1,780 | 1,007 |
| Inventory | 156 | 54 |
| Accounts payable | (2,889) | (659) |
| Taxes on earnings | 300 | (92) |
| Restructuring | (209) | (31) |
| Other assets and liabilities | (1,509) | (498) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,126 | 3,187 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Investment in property, plant and equipment | (828) | (611) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 152 | 88 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities and other investments | | (20) |
| Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities and other investments | 46 | 106 |
| Payments made in connection with business acquisitions, net | (345) | (264) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (975) | (701) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Issuance (repayment) of commercial paper and notes payable, net | 57 | (899) |
| Issuance of debt | 2,016 | 16 |
| Payment of debt | (69) | (105) |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans | 299 | 554 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (1,238) | (3,324) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 13 | 88 |
| Dividends | (193) | (206) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 885 | (3,876) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 1,036 | (1,390) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 10,153 | 11,293 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 11,189 | \$ 9,903 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

| | | | |
|--|----|-----|-----|
| Issuance of options assumed in business acquisitions | \$ | \$ | (4) |
| Purchase of assets under financing arrangement | \$ | 264 | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

In the opinion of management, the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of Hewlett-Packard Company and its consolidated subsidiaries ("HP") contain all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly HP's financial position as of January 31, 2009, and its results of operations and cash flows for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008. The Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet as of October 31, 2008 is derived from the October 31, 2008 audited financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts in order to conform to the current year presentation.

The results of operations for the three months ended January 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with "Risk Factors," "Legal Proceedings," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in Items 1A, 3, 7, 7A and 8, respectively, of the Hewlett-Packard Company Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in HP's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. HP has expanded its significant accounting policy disclosures beginning this quarter to include the following summary of its existing policy relating to loss contingencies. This summary previously has appeared, and continues to appear, as part of HP's disclosure regarding litigation and contingencies in Note 15.

HP is involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," HP records a provision for a liability when it believes it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine both probability and the estimated amount. HP reviews these provisions at least quarterly and adjusts these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and updated information. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and is subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond HP's control.

Accounting Pronouncements

As previously reported in HP's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, HP recognized the funded status of its benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. HP will adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009 for the HP pension and post retirement plans. HP does not expect the adoption of the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 will have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") SFAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" ("FSP SFAS 157-2"). FSP SFAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157") to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). As a result of adoption of FSP SFAS 157-2, HP will adopt SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Although HP will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 157 to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, HP does not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 with respect to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities will have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) expands the definition of a business and a business combination; requires recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date; requires acquisition-related expenses and restructuring costs to be recognized separately from the business combination and expensed as incurred; requires in-process research and development to be capitalized at fair value as an intangible asset; and requires that changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period be recognized as a component of provision for taxes. SFAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of SFAS 141(R) on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition, which will be largely dependent on the size and nature of the business combinations completed after the adoption of this statement. Among other potential impacts, HP currently believes that the adoption of SFAS 141(R) will result in the recognition of certain types of expenses in its results of operations that are currently capitalized pursuant to existing accounting standards.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 applies to all derivative instruments and related hedged items accounted for under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. HP will present the required disclosures in the prescribed format on a prospective basis upon adoption. HP does not expect the adoption of SFAS 161 will have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the issuer's non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retroactive basis and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP currently does not have any outstanding convertible debt instruments that are subject to the provisions of FSP APB 14-1. However, HP's U.S. dollar zero-coupon convertible notes that were redeemed in full in March 2008 are subject to the provisions of FSP APB 14-1. As a result, upon adoption of FSP APB 14-1 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, HP's fiscal 2008 consolidated results of operations and financial condition will be affected on a retroactive basis. HP does not expect the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 will have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents before vesting should be considered participating securities. HP has granted and is expected to continue to grant restricted stock that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends and will be considered participating securities upon adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1. As participating securities, HP will be required to include these instruments in the calculation of HP's basic earnings per share ("EPS"), and it will need to calculate basic EPS using the "two-class method." Restricted stock is currently included in HP's dilutive EPS calculation using the treasury stock method. The two-class method of computing EPS is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retrospective basis and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on its calculation of EPS.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 08-7, "Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets" ("EITF 08-7"). EITF 08-7 applies to defensive intangible assets, which are acquired intangible assets that the acquirer does not intend to actively use but intends to hold to prevent its competitors from obtaining access to them. As these assets are separately identifiable, EITF 08-7 requires an acquiring entity to account for defensive intangible assets as a separate unit of accounting. Defensive intangible assets must be recognized at fair value in accordance with SFAS 141(R) and SFAS 157.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

EITF 08-7 is effective for defensive intangible assets acquired in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 08-7 on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 132(R)-1, "Employer's Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets" ("FSP SFAS 132(R)-1"). FSP SFAS 132(R)-1 requires additional disclosures about assets held in an employer's defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. FSP SFAS 132(R)-1 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009 and will be adopted by HP in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. HP will present the required disclosures in the prescribed format on a prospective basis upon adoption. HP does not expect the adoption of FSP SFAS 132(R)-1 will have a material effect on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, HP adopted the following accounting standards, none of which had a material effect on its consolidated results of operations during such period or financial condition at the end of such period:

SFAS No. 157;

FSP SFAS 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements that Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" ("FSP SFAS 157-1");

FSP SFAS 157-2;

FSP SFAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active" ("FSP SFAS 157-3");

SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"); and

EITF 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities."

See Note 8 for additional information pertaining to SFAS 157, FSP SFAS 157-1, FSP SFAS 157-2, FSP SFAS 157-3 and SFAS 159.

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation

HP's stock-based compensation plans include incentive compensation plans and an employee stock purchase plan. Incentive compensation plans include principal option plans as well as various stock option plans assumed through acquisitions. Principal option plans include performance-based restricted units ("PRU"), stock options and restricted stock awards. HP accounts for its stock-based compensation plans under SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment".

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

Total stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 was as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Cost of sales | \$ 52 | \$ 36 |
| Research and development | 17 | 20 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 85 | 101 |
| Acquisition-related charges | 6 | |
| Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes ⁽¹⁾ | 160 | 157 |
| Income tax benefit | (48) | (47) |
| Total stock-based compensation expense after income taxes | \$ 112 | \$ 110 |

(1) For the three months ended January 31, 2009, \$6 million of stock-based compensation expense before income taxes was included in acquisition-related charges in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

Performance-based Restricted Units

In fiscal 2008, HP implemented a program that provides for the issuance of PRUs representing hypothetical shares of HP common stock that may be issued under the Hewlett-Packard Company 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

Under the PRU program, a target number of units are awarded at the beginning of each three-year performance period. The number of shares released at the end of the performance period will range from zero to two times the target number depending on performance during the period. The performance metrics of the PRU program are (a) annual targets based on cash flow from operations as a percentage of revenue, and (b) an overall "modifier" based on Total Shareholder Return ("TSR") relative to the S&P 500 over the three-year performance period. TSR is calculated using the quarterly average performance of the S&P 500 during the three-year performance period.

As the cash flow goals are considered performance conditions, the expense for these awards, net of estimated forfeitures, will be recorded over the three-year performance period based on the number of shares that are expected to be earned based on the achievement of the cash flow goals during the performance period.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

HP estimates the fair value of a target PRU share using the Monte Carlo simulation model, as the TSR modifier contains a market condition. The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the fair values of the PRU awards:

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 ⁽¹⁾ | 2008 |
| Weighted-average fair value of grants | \$ 40.56 | \$ 40.21 |
| Expected volatility ⁽²⁾ | 35% | 26% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.34% | 3.13% |
| Dividend yield | 0.88% | 0.70% |
| Expected life in months | 30 | 33 |

(1) Reflects the weighted-average fair value for the second year of the three-year performance period applicable to PRUs granted in fiscal 2008 and for the first year of the three-year performance period applicable to PRUs granted in fiscal 2009. The estimated fair value of a target share for the third year for PRUs granted in fiscal 2008 and for the second and third years for PRUs granted in fiscal 2009 will be determined when the annual cash flow goals are approved, and the expense will be amortized over the remainder of the applicable three-year performance period.

(2) HP uses historic volatility for PRU awards as implied volatility cannot be used when simulating multivariate prices for companies in the S&P 500.

Outstanding PRUs as of January 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and changes during the three months ended January 31, 2009 were as follows (shares in thousands):

| | Three months ended | Fiscal |
|--|-----------------------|--------|
| | January 31, 2009 | 2008 |
| Beginning units outstanding | 10,965 | |
| Granted | 13,854 | 8,783 |
| Change in units due to performance and market conditions | (661) | 2,492 |
| Forfeited | (230) | (310) |
| Ending units outstanding | 23,928 | 10,965 |
| Vested | | |
| Units assigned a fair value | 11,963 | 5,292 |

At January 31, 2009, there was \$320 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to PRUs with an assigned fair value, which HP expects to recognize over the remaining weighted-average period of 2.2 years.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

Stock Options

HP estimated the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions and weighted-average fair values:

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Weighted-average fair value of grants | \$ 14.22 | \$ 16.59 |
| Implied volatility | 51% | 35% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.79% | 3.38% |
| Dividend yield | 0.95% | 0.65% |
| Expected life in months | 60 | 60 |

Option activity as of January 31, 2009 and changes during the three months ended January 31, 2009 were as follows:

| | Shares (in thousands) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|---|
| Outstanding at October 31, 2008 | 307,728 | \$ 34 | | |
| Granted and assumed through acquisitions | 1,160 | \$ 22 | | |
| Exercised | (5,677) | \$ 24 | | |
| Forfeited/cancelled/expired | (11,555) | \$ 67 | | |
| Outstanding at January 31, 2009 | 291,656 | \$ 32 | 3.1 | \$ 1,942 |
| Vested and expected to vest at January 31, 2009 | 288,980 | \$ 32 | 3.1 | \$ 1,929 |
| Exercisable at January 31, 2009 | 252,591 | \$ 32 | 2.7 | \$ 1,754 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that option holders would have received had all option holders exercised their options on January 31, 2009. The aggregate intrinsic value is the difference between HP's closing stock price on the last trading day of the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three months ended January 31, 2009 was \$65 million.

At January 31, 2009, there was \$364 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to stock options, which HP expects to recognize over the remaining weighted-average period of 1.4 years.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 2: Stock-Based Compensation (Continued)

Restricted Stock Awards

Non-vested restricted stock awards as of January 31, 2009 and changes during the three months ended January 31, 2009 were as follows:

| | Shares (in thousands) | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Non-vested at October 31, 2008 | 12,930 | \$ 44 |
| Granted | 474 | \$ 35 |
| Vested | (1,075) | \$ 42 |
| Forfeited | (373) | \$ 40 |
| Non-vested at January 31, 2009 | 11,956 | \$ 44 |

At January 31, 2009, there was \$226 million of unrecognized pre-tax stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards, which HP expects to recognize over the remaining weighted-average period of 1.2 years.

Changes to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan

HP sponsors the Hewlett-Packard Company 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, also known as the Share Ownership Plan (the "ESPP"), pursuant to which eligible employees may contribute up to 10% of base compensation, subject to certain income limits, to purchase shares of HP's common stock. Employees purchase stock pursuant to the ESPP semi-annually at a price equal to 85% of the fair market value on the purchase date. HP recognizes expense based on a 15% discount from fair market value. Effective May 1, 2009, HP will discontinue offering the 15% discount.

Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share

HP calculates basic earnings per share using net earnings and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted EPS includes any dilutive effect of outstanding restricted stock, stock options, restricted stock units and convertible debt.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)

The reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations was as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|---|--|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions, except per share amounts | |
| Numerator: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,854 | \$ 2,133 |
| Adjustment for interest expense on zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes, net of taxes | | 2 |
| Net earnings, adjusted | \$ 1,854 | \$ 2,135 |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute basic EPS | 2,410 | 2,560 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Dilution from employee stock plans | 54 | 87 |
| Zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes | | 8 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 54 | 95 |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute diluted EPS | 2,464 | 2,655 |
| Net earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.83 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.80 |

HP excludes options with exercise prices that are greater than the average market price from the calculation of diluted EPS because their effect would be anti-dilutive. In the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and 2008, HP excluded 107 million shares and 35 million shares, respectively, from its diluted EPS calculation. Also, in accordance with SFAS 123R, HP excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS options to purchase an additional 1 million shares and 30 million shares in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively, whose combined exercise price, unamortized fair value and excess tax benefits were greater in each of those periods than the average market price for HP's common stock because their effect would be anti-dilutive. As disclosed in Note 2, HP granted PRU awards representing at target approximately 14 million shares and 9 million shares, respectively. HP includes the shares underlying PRU awards in the calculation of diluted EPS when they become contingently issuable per SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share," and excludes such shares when they are not contingently issuable. Accordingly, HP has included 2 million shares underlying the PRU awards granted in fiscal 2008 when calculating diluted EPS as those shares became contingently issuable upon the satisfaction of the cash flow from operations condition with respect to the first year of the performance period applicable to those awards. HP has excluded all other shares underlying the fiscal 2008 awards and all shares underlying the fiscal 2009 awards as those shares are not contingently issuable.

In October and November 1997, HP issued U.S. dollar zero-coupon subordinated convertible notes due 2017 (the "LYONs"), the outstanding principal amount of which was redeemed in March 2008. The

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 3: Net Earnings Per Share (Continued)

LYONs were convertible at the option of the holders at any time prior to maturity, unless previously redeemed or otherwise purchased. For purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share above, the interest expense (net of tax) associated with the LYONs was added back to net earnings, and the shares issuable upon conversion of the LYONs were included in the weighted-average shares used to compute diluted earnings per share for periods that the LYONs were outstanding.

Note 4: Balance Sheet Details

Balance sheet details were as follows:

Accounts and Financing Receivables

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 15,355 | \$ 17,481 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (586) | (553) |
| | \$ 14,769 | \$ 16,928 |
| Financing receivables | \$ 2,360 | \$ 2,355 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (44) | (41) |
| | \$ 2,316 | \$ 2,314 |

HP has revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting it to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was \$569 million as of January 31, 2009. HP sold \$549 million of trade receivables during the first quarter of fiscal 2009. As of January 31, 2009, HP had \$167 million available under these programs.

Inventory

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Finished goods | \$ 4,831 | \$ 5,219 |
| Purchased parts and fabricated assemblies | 2,798 | 2,660 |
| | \$ 7,629 | \$ 7,879 |

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 4: Balance Sheet Details (Continued)*Other Current Assets*

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Prepaid expenses | \$ 2,505 | \$ 1,636 |
| Other current assets | 10,407 | 12,725 |
| | \$ 12,912 | \$ 14,361 |

Note 5: Acquisitions

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, HP completed the acquisition of Lefthand Networks, Inc., a leading provider of storage virtualization and solutions for approximately \$347 million including direct transaction costs and the assumption of certain liabilities in connection with the transaction. HP recorded \$273 million to goodwill, \$95 million to purchased intangibles and \$6 million to in-process research and development charges ("IPR&D") related to this acquisition. Lefthand Networks is being integrated into HP's Enterprise Storage and Servers segment within the Technology Solutions Group. HP does not expect goodwill recorded with respect to this acquisition to be deductible for tax purposes. HP has not presented pro forma results of operations because this acquisition is not material to HP's consolidated results of operations.

Acquisition of Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS")

As previously disclosed in its Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008, on August 26, 2008, HP completed its acquisition of EDS. The purchase price for EDS was \$13.0 billion, comprised of \$12.7 billion cash paid for outstanding common stock, \$328 million for the estimated fair value of stock options and restricted stock units assumed, and \$36 million for direct transaction costs. Of the total purchase price, a preliminary estimate of \$10.5 billion has been allocated to goodwill, \$4.5 billion has been allocated to amortizable intangible assets acquired and \$2.0 billion has been allocated to net tangible liabilities assumed in connection with the acquisition. HP also expensed \$30 million for IPR&D charges.

The purchase price allocation as of the date of the acquisition reflects various preliminary estimates and analyses, including preliminary work performed by third-party valuation specialists, and is subject to change during the purchase price allocation period (generally one year from the acquisition date) as valuations are finalized.

HP has evaluated and continues to evaluate certain pre-acquisition contingencies related to EDS that existed as of the acquisition date. Additional information, which existed as of the acquisition date but was at that time unknown to HP, may become known to HP during the remainder of the purchase price allocation period, and may result in goodwill adjustments. If these pre-acquisition contingencies become probable in nature and estimable after the end of the purchase price allocation period, amounts would be recorded for such matters in HP's results of operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 5: Acquisitions (Continued)*Pro forma results for EDS acquisition*

The following table presents the unaudited results of HP (including EDS) for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and the unaudited pro forma results for the three months ended January 31, 2008. The unaudited pro forma financial information for the three months ended January 31, 2008 combines the results of operations of HP and EDS as though the companies had been combined as of the beginning of fiscal 2008. The pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition and related borrowings had taken place at the beginning of fiscal 2008. The unaudited pro forma results presented include amortization charges for acquired intangible assets, eliminations of intercompany transactions, restructuring charges, IPR&D charges, adjustments for incremental stock-based compensation expense related to the unearned portion of EDS stock options and restricted stock units assumed, adjustments for depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment, adjustments to interest expense and related tax effects.

| In millions, except per share data | Three months ended January 31 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | Unaudited | |
| Revenue | \$28,800 | \$33,748 |
| Net Income | \$ 1,854 | \$ 1,521 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 0.77 | \$ 0.59 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.57 |

Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets*Goodwill*

Goodwill allocated to HP's business segments as of January 31, 2009 and changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended January 31, 2009 are as follows:

| | Enterprise Storage and Services | HP Servers Software | Personal Systems Group | Imaging and Printing Group | HP Financial Services | Corporate Investments | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------|
| | In millions | | | | | | | |
| Balance at October 31, 2008 | \$16,284 | \$ 4,745 | \$ 6,162 | \$ 2,493 | \$ 2,463 | \$ 144 | \$ 44 | \$32,335 |
| Goodwill acquired during the period | | 273 | | | | | | 273 |
| Goodwill adjustments | (172) | | (5) | | (2) | | | (179) |
| Balance at January 31, 2009 | \$16,112 | \$ 5,018 | \$ 6,157 | \$ 2,493 | \$ 2,461 | \$ 144 | \$ 44 | \$32,429 |

During the three months ended January 31, 2009, HP recorded a reduction of approximately \$300 million to goodwill as a result of currency translation related to EDS's foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. The reduction to goodwill was partially offset by adjustments of approximately \$130 million to the estimated fair values of EDS's intangible assets and net liabilities acquired.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 6: Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets (Continued)*Purchased Intangible Assets*

HP's purchased intangible assets associated with completed acquisitions are composed of:

| | January 31, 2009 | | | October 31, 2008 | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Customer contracts, customer lists and distribution agreements | \$ 6,488 | \$ (2,402) | \$4,086 | \$ 6,530 | \$ (2,176) | \$4,354 |
| Developed and core technology and patents | 4,131 | (2,318) | 1,813 | 4,189 | (2,147) | 2,042 |
| Product trademarks | 242 | (124) | 118 | 253 | (109) | 144 |
| Total amortizable purchased intangible assets | 10,861 | (4,844) | 6,017 | 10,972 | (4,432) | 6,540 |
| Compaq trade name | 1,422 | | 1,422 | 1,422 | | 1,422 |
| Total purchased intangible assets | \$12,283 | \$ (4,844) | \$7,439 | \$12,394 | \$ (4,432) | \$7,962 |

For the three months ended January 31, 2009, HP recorded a reduction of approximately \$100 million to purchased intangibles as a result of currency translation related to EDS's foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar. In addition, HP also recorded an adjustment of approximately \$90 million to the estimated fair value of EDS's intangible assets acquired.

Estimated future amortization expense related to finite lived purchased intangible assets at January 31, 2009 is as follows:

| Fiscal year: | In millions |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| 2009 (remaining 9 months) | \$ 1,084 |
| 2010 | 1,300 |
| 2011 | 1,002 |
| 2012 | 810 |
| 2013 | 673 |
| Thereafter | 1,148 |
| Total | \$ 6,017 |

Note 7: Restructuring Charges*Fiscal 2008 Restructuring Plan*

In connection with the acquisition of EDS on August 26, 2008, HP's management approved and initiated a restructuring plan to streamline the combined company's services business and to better align the structure and efficiency of that business with HP's operating model. The restructuring plan is expected to be implemented over the next four years and will include changes to the combined company's workforce as well as changes to corporate overhead functions, such as real estate, IT and procurement.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 7: Restructuring Charges (Continued)

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, HP recorded a liability of approximately \$1.8 billion related to this restructuring plan. Approximately \$1.5 billion of the liability was associated with pre-acquisition EDS and was recorded to goodwill, and the remaining approximately \$0.3 billion was associated with HP and was recorded as a restructuring charge. The liability consisted mainly of severance costs to eliminate approximately 25,000 positions, costs to vacate duplicate facilities and costs associated with early termination of certain contractual obligations. For the three months ended January 31, 2009, HP recorded a net charge of \$150 million, due primarily to adjustments for severance and facilities costs. As of January 31, 2009, over 9,000 positions have been eliminated.

HP expects the majority of the restructuring costs to be paid out by the end of fiscal 2009. In future quarters, HP expects to record an additional charge of approximately \$115 million related to severance costs and the cost to vacate duplicative facilities.

Prior Fiscal Year Plans

Restructuring plans initiated prior to 2008 are substantially complete and HP expects to record only minor revisions to these plans as necessary.

Summary of Restructuring Plans

The adjustments to the accrued restructuring expenses related to all of HP's restructuring plans described above for the three months ended January 31, 2009 were as follows:

| | Three months ended | | | | | | As of | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | January 31, | | | | | | January 31, 2009 | | |
| | Balance, October 31, 2008 | charges (reversals) | Goodwill adjustments | Cash payments | Non-cash settlements and other adjustments | Balance, January 31, 2009 | Total costs and adjustments to date | Total costs and adjustments | expected costs and adjustments |
| In millions | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fiscal 2008 HP/EDS Plan:</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| Severance | \$ 1,444 | \$ 149 | \$ 15 | \$ (196) | \$ (25) | \$ 1,387 | \$ 1,699 | \$ 1,777 | |
| Infrastructure | 248 | 1 | 9 | (3) | (4) | 251 | 263 | 300 | |
| Total severance and other restructuring activities | \$ 1,692 | \$ 150 | \$ 24 | \$ (199) | \$ (29) | \$ 1,638 | \$ 1,962 | \$ 2,077 | |
| <i>Prior fiscal year plans</i> | 77 | (4) | | (10) | | 63 | 6,344 | 6,344 | |
| Total restructuring plans | \$ 1,769 | \$ 146 | \$ 24 | \$ (209) | \$ (29) | \$ 1,701 | \$ 8,306 | \$ 8,421 | |

At January 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008, HP included the long-term portion of the restructuring liability of \$667 million and \$670 million, respectively, in Other liabilities, and the short-term portion in Accrued restructuring in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets.

Workforce Rebalancing

As part of HP's ongoing business operations, HP incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first three months of fiscal 2009. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as HP continues to

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 7: Restructuring Charges (Continued)

optimize its cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in HP's business segment results, and HP expects to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs in the future.

Note 8: Fair Value

Effective November 1, 2008, HP adopted the effective portions of SFAS 157 as highlighted in Note 1. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements and did not result in any changes to the opening balance of retained earnings as of November 1, 2008.

SFAS 157 establishes a new framework for measuring fair value and expands related disclosures. The SFAS 157 framework requires fair value to be determined based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

The valuation techniques required by SFAS 157 are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable or market inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect HP's assumptions about market participant assumptions based on best information available. Observable inputs are the preferred source of values. In accordance with SFAS 157, these two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Prices or valuations that require management inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies HP uses to measure its financial assets and liabilities at fair value.

Cash Equivalents: HP holds money market funds investing mainly in treasury bills, which are classified under level 1. HP also invests in time deposits, commercial paper and treasury bills, which are classified under level 2.

Investments: HP holds time deposits, corporate and foreign government notes and bonds, asset-backed securities, and common stock and equivalents. In general, and where applicable, HP uses quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to determine fair value. If quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are not available to determine fair value, then HP uses quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly. If quoted prices for identical or similar assets are not available, HP uses internally developed valuation models, whose inputs include bid prices, and third party valuations utilizing underlying assets assumptions.

Derivative Instruments: HP mainly holds non-speculative forwards, swaps and options to hedge certain foreign currency and interest rate exposures. HP uses quoted prices in an active market for identical derivative assets and liabilities that are traded on exchanges and, when active market quotes

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 8: Fair Value (Continued)

are not available, HP uses industry standard valuation models, such as the Black-Scholes model. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, credit risk, foreign exchange rates, and forward and spot prices for currencies. In certain cases, market-based observable inputs are not available and, in those cases, HP uses management judgment to develop assumptions which are used to determine fair value.

Other Liabilities: HP has a liability to company executives as part of the executive deferred compensation plan. The liability is linked to a group of mutual funds and indexes and is classified under level 1.

The following table presents HP's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at January 31, 2009:

| | Fair Value Measured Using | | | Total Balance |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| | In millions | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash Equivalents | \$ 388 | \$7,688 | \$ | \$ 8,076 |
| Investment Securities | 19 | 80 | 50 | 149 |
| Derivatives | | 2,093 | 1 | 2,094 |
| Total | \$ 407 | \$9,861 | \$ 51 | \$ 10,319 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ | \$ (322) | \$ | \$ (322) |
| Other Liabilities | (290) | | | (290) |
| Total | \$(290) | \$ (322) | \$ | \$ (612) |

The following table presents the changes in level 3 instruments measured on a recurring basis for the three months ended January 31, 2009. The majority of the level 3 balances consist of investment

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 8: Fair Value (Continued)

securities classified as available-for-sale with changes in fair value recorded in other comprehensive income.

| | Fair Value Measured Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|--------|
| | Investment Securities | Derivative Instruments | Total |
| In millions | | | |
| Beginning balance at November 1, 2008 | \$ 64 | \$ (1) | \$ 63 |
| Total losses (realized/unrealized): | | | |
| Included in earnings ⁽¹⁾ | (2) | | (2) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (11) | 1 | (10) |
| Purchases, issuances, and settlements | (1) | 1 | |
| Ending balance at January 31, 2009 | \$ 50 | \$ 1 | \$ 51 |
| The amount of total losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized losses relating to assets still held as of January 31, 2009 | \$ (2) | \$ | \$ (2) |

(1) Included in Interest and other, net in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings.

HP measures certain assets including cost and equity method investments, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. As of January 31, 2009, assets with a total fair value of \$29 million were included in the level 3 hierarchy. HP recorded an impairment charge of \$5 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2009.

HP reviews the carrying values of the investments when events and circumstances warrant and considers all available evidence in evaluating when declines in fair value are other-than-temporary. The fair values of the investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, which may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections. An impairment charge is recorded when the cost of the investment exceeds its fair value and is determined to be other-than-temporary.

Effective November 1, 2008, HP also adopted SFAS 159, which allows an entity to choose to measure certain financial instruments and liabilities at fair value on a contract-by-contract basis. Subsequent fair value measurement for the financial instruments and liabilities an entity chooses to measure at fair value will be recognized in earnings. As of January 31, 2009, HP did not elect such option for any eligible financial instruments and liabilities.

Note 9: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases

Financing receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases resulting from the marketing of HP's and third-party products. These receivables typically have terms from two to five years and are usually collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Financing receivables also include

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 9: Financing Receivables and Operating Leases (Continued)

billed receivables from operating leases. The components of net financing receivables, which are included in financing receivables and long-term financing receivables and other assets, were as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Minimum lease payments receivable | \$ 5,385 | \$ 5,338 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (97) | (90) |
| Unguaranteed residual value | 244 | 254 |
| Unearned income | (492) | (466) |
| Financing receivables, net | 5,040 | 5,036 |
| Less current portion | (2,316) | (2,314) |
| Amounts due after one year, net | \$ 2,724 | \$ 2,722 |

Equipment leased to customers under operating leases was \$2.4 billion at January 31, 2009 and \$2.3 billion at October 31, 2008 and is included in machinery and equipment. Accumulated depreciation on these operating leases was \$0.6 billion at January 31, 2009 and \$0.5 billion at October 31, 2008.

Note 10: Guarantees*Guarantees and Indemnifications*

In the ordinary course of business, HP may provide certain clients, principally governmental entities, with subsidiary performance guarantees and/or financial performance guarantees, which may be backed by standby letters of credit or surety bonds. In general, HP would be liable for the amounts of these guarantees in the event HP or HP's subsidiaries' nonperformance permits termination of the related contract by the client, the likelihood of which HP believes is remote. HP believes that the company is in compliance with the performance obligations under all material service contracts for which there is a performance guarantee.

As a result of the acquisition of EDS, HP acquired certain service contracts supported by client financing or securitization arrangements. Under specific circumstances involving non performance resulting in service contract termination or failure to comply with terms under the financing arrangement, HP would be required to acquire certain assets. HP considers the possibility of its failure to comply to be remote and the asset amounts involved to be immaterial.

In the ordinary course of business, HP enters into contractual arrangements under which HP may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of HP or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 10: Guarantees (Continued)*Warranty*

HP provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time it recognizes revenue. HP engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers; however, product warranty terms offered to customers, ongoing product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure, as well as specific product class failures outside of HP's baseline experience, affect the estimated warranty obligation. If actual product failure rates, repair rates or any other post sales support costs differ from these estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

The changes in HP's aggregate product warranty liabilities for the three months ended January 31, 2009 were as follows:

| | In millions |
|---|----------------|
| Product warranty liability at October 31, 2008 | \$ 2,614 |
| Accruals for warranties issued | 672 |
| Adjustments related to pre-existing warranties (including changes in estimates) | (102) |
| Settlements made (in cash or in kind) | (663) |
| Product warranty liability at January 31, 2009 | \$ 2,521 |

Note 11: Borrowings*Notes Payable and Short-Term Borrowings*

Notes payable and short-term borrowings, including the current portion of long-term debt, were as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | | October 31, 2008 | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Amount Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Amount Outstanding | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |
| | In millions | | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 7,213 | 1.0% | \$ 7,146 | 2.7% |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 2,654 | 3.8% | 2,674 | 4.3% |
| Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other | 332 | 3.0% | 356 | 5.3% |
| | \$ 10,199 | | \$ 10,176 | |

Notes payable to banks, lines of credit and other includes deposits associated with HP's banking-related activities of approximately \$247 million and \$262 million at January 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008, respectively.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 11: Borrowings (Continued)

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt was as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| U.S. Dollar Global Notes | | |
| 2002 Shelf Registration Statement: | | |
| \$500 issued at discount to par of 99.505% in June 2002 at 6.5%, due July 2012 | \$ 499 | \$ 499 |
| 2006 Shelf Registration Statement: | | |
| \$600 issued at par in February 2007 at three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.11%, due March 2012 | 600 | 600 |
| \$900 issued at discount to par of 99.938% in February 2007 at 5.25%, due March 2012 | 900 | 900 |
| \$500 issued at discount to par of 99.694% in February 2007 at 5.4%, due March 2017 | 499 | 499 |
| \$1,000 issued at par in June 2007 at three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.01%, due June 2009 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| \$1,000 issued at par in June 2007 at three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.06%, due June 2010 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| \$750 issued at par in March 2008 at three-month USD LIBOR plus 0.40%, due September 2009 | 750 | 750 |
| \$1,500 issued at discount to par of 99.921% in March 2008 at 4.5%, due March 2013 | 1,499 | 1,499 |
| \$750 issued at discount to par of 99.932% in March 2008 at 5.5%, due March 2018 | 750 | 750 |
| \$2,000 issued at discount to par of 99.561% in December 2008 at 6.125%, due March 2014 | 1,991 | |
| | 9,488 | 7,497 |
| EDS Senior Notes | | |
| \$700 issued October 1999 at 7.125%, due October 2009 | 709 | 712 |
| \$1,100 issued June 2003 at 6.0%, due August 2013 | 1,148 | 1,150 |
| \$300 issued October 1999 at 7.45%, due October 2029 | 316 | 316 |
| | 2,173 | 2,178 |
| Other, including capital lease obligations, at 3.75%-9.14%, due in calendar year 2008-2029 | 816 | 597 |
| Fair value adjustment related to SFAS No. 133 | 436 | 78 |
| | 12,913 | 10,350 |
| Less: current portion | (2,654) | (2,674) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 10,259 | \$ 7,676 |

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 11: Borrowings (Continued)

HP may redeem some or all of the Global Notes as set forth in the above table at any time at the redemption prices described in the prospectus supplements relating thereto. The Global Notes are senior unsecured debt.

HP registered the sale of up to \$3.0 billion of debt or global securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants under a shelf registration statement in March 2002 (the "2002 Shelf Registration Statement"). The 2002 Shelf Registration Statement expired on December 1, 2008, and, accordingly, HP is no longer able to issue any additional securities under this Registration Statement.

In May 2006, HP filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") to enable HP to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, an unlimited amount of debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants. As of January 31, 2009, HP had \$9.0 billion of global notes issued under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. On December 5, 2008, HP issued \$2.0 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes issued in December 2008 are due in March 2014, bear interest at a fixed interest rate of 6.125% per annum and were issued at a discount to par of 99.561%. HP used the net proceeds from these offerings for general corporate purposes and the repayment of short-term commercial paper, some of which was issued in connection with its acquisition of EDS. On February 26, 2009, HP issued an additional \$2.8 billion of global notes under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement. The global notes include \$275 million of floating rate notes due February 2011 issued at par, \$1.0 billion of notes due February 2012 with a fixed rate of 4.25% per annum issued at a discount to par of 99.956% and \$1.5 billion of notes due June 2014 with a fixed rate of 4.75% per annum issued at a discount to par of 99.993%. HP used the net proceeds from these offerings for general corporate purposes and the repayment of short-term commercial paper, some of which was issued in connection with its acquisition of EDS.

In May 2008, the Board of Directors approved increasing the capacity of HP's U.S. commercial paper program by \$10.0 billion to \$16.0 billion. HP's subsidiaries are authorized to issue up to an additional \$1.0 billion of commercial paper, of which \$500 million of capacity is currently available to be used by Hewlett-Packard International Bank PLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP, for its Euro Commercial Paper/Certificate of Deposit Programme.

In October 2008, HP registered for the Commercial Paper Funding Facility ("CPFF") provided by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The facility enables HP to issue three-month unsecured commercial paper through a special purpose vehicle of the Federal Reserve at a rate established by the CPFF program, which is currently equal to a spread over the three-month overnight index swap rate. The maximum amount of commercial paper that HP may issue at any time through this program is \$10.4 billion less the total principal amount of all other outstanding commercial paper that HP has issued. As of January 31, 2009, HP had not issued any commercial paper under the CPFF program. In February 2009, the Federal Reserve extended the CPFF program through October 30, 2009.

HP has a \$2.9 billion five-year credit facility expiring in May 2012. In February and July 2008, HP entered into additional 364-day credit facilities of \$3.0 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively. The February 2008 credit facility expired in February 2009, at which time HP entered into a new \$3.5 billion 364-day credit facility. Commitment fees, interest rates and other terms of borrowing under the credit facilities vary based on HP's external credit ratings. The credit facilities are senior unsecured committed

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 11: Borrowings (Continued)

borrowing arrangements primarily to support the issuance of U.S. commercial paper. Under the terms of the July 2008 \$8.0 billion 364-day credit facility, the amount of credit available declines in an amount equal to the proceeds of any future issuance of long-term debt by HP. In December 2008 and February 2009, HP issued \$2.0 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively, in global notes, which resulted in a reduction in the amount of credit available under the July 2008 credit facility to \$3.2 billion.

HP also maintains uncommitted lines of credit from a number of financial institutions that are available through various foreign subsidiaries. The amount available for use as of January 31, 2009 was approximately \$1.4 billion.

Included in Other, including capital lease obligations, are borrowings that are collateralized by certain financing receivable assets. As of January 31, 2009, the carrying value of the assets approximated the carrying value of the borrowings of \$6.8 million.

At January 31, 2009, HP had up to approximately \$10.7 billion of available borrowing resources, including \$9.3 billion under credit facilities that support primarily its commercial paper programs and approximately \$1.4 billion under other programs. HP also may issue an unlimited amount of additional debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depository shares and warrants under the 2006 Shelf Registration Statement.

Note 12: Income Taxes

Provision for Taxes

HP's effective tax rate was 18.0% and 20.6% for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, respectively. HP's effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from HP's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. HP has not provided U.S. taxes for such earnings because HP plans to reinvest those earnings indefinitely outside the United States. There were no material discrete items affecting the tax rate for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, respectively.

During the first three months of fiscal 2009, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits determined in accordance with Financial Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48") increased by \$171 million to \$2.5 billion, of which up to \$758 million would affect HP's effective tax rate if realized. HP recognizes interest expense and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits within income tax expense. During the first three months of fiscal 2009, there was no material change in the amount of accrued net interest and penalties.

HP is subject to income tax in the United States and over sixty foreign countries and is subject to routine corporate income tax audits in many of these jurisdictions. In addition, HP is subject to numerous ongoing audits by state and foreign tax authorities. HP has received from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") Notices of Deficiency for its fiscal 1999, 2000 and 2003 tax years and Revenue Agent's Reports ("RAR's") for its fiscal 2001 and 2002 tax years. The IRS began an audit of HP's 2004 and 2005 income tax returns in 2007. With respect to major foreign and state tax jurisdictions, HP is no longer subject to tax authority examinations for years prior to 1999. HP believes that adequate reserves have been provided for all open tax years.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 12: Income Taxes (Continued)

HP engages in continuous discussion and negotiation with taxing authorities regarding tax matters in the various jurisdictions. HP does not expect complete resolution of any IRS audit cycle within the next 12 months. However, it is reasonably possible that certain foreign and state tax issues may be concluded in the next 12 months, including issues involving transfer pricing and other matters. Accordingly, HP believes it is reasonably possible that its existing unrecognized tax benefits may be reduced by an amount up to \$320 million within the next twelve months.

The breakdown between current and long-term deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities was as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Current deferred tax assets | \$ 3,515 | \$ 3,920 |
| Current deferred tax liabilities | (76) | (97) |
| Long-term deferred tax assets | 989 | 792 |
| Long-term deferred tax liabilities | (2,558) | (3,162) |
| Total deferred tax assets net of deferred tax liabilities | \$ 1,870 | \$ 1,453 |

Note 13: Stockholders' Equity*Stock Repurchase Program*

HP's share repurchase program authorizes both open market and private repurchase transactions. In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, HP completed share repurchases of approximately 22 million shares. Repurchases of approximately 34 million shares were settled for \$1.2 billion, which included approximately 14 million shares repurchased in transactions that were executed in fiscal 2008 but settled in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. HP had approximately 2 million shares purchased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 but that will be settled in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. HP paid \$3.3 billion in connection with share repurchases of 72 million shares during the three months ended January 31, 2008.

As of January 31, 2009, HP had remaining authorization of approximately \$7.9 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by HP's Board of Directors on September 19, 2008.

Comprehensive Income

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,854 | \$ 2,133 |
| Change in net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | (7) | (1) |
| Change in net unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | (206) | 85 |
| Change in cumulative translation adjustment | (382) | (2) |
| Change in unrealized components of defined benefit plans | 80 | (27) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 1,339 | \$ 2,188 |

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 13: Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes, were as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | In millions | |
| Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | \$ (19) | \$ (12) |
| Net unrealized gain on cash flow hedges | 596 | 802 |
| Cumulative translation adjustment | (1,145) | (763) |
| Unrealized components of defined benefit plans | (12) | (92) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (580) | \$ (65) |

Note 14: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans*Acquisition of EDS*

On August 26, 2008, EDS became a wholly owned subsidiary of HP. EDS sponsors qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of its employees. The majority of the EDS defined benefit pension plans are noncontributory. In most plans, employees become fully vested upon attaining two to five years of service, and benefits are based on many factors, which differ by country, but the most significant are years of service and earnings. The projected unit credit cost method is used for actuarial purposes. Following the acquisition of EDS, HP announced that it was modifying the EDS U.S. qualified and non-qualified plans for employees accruing benefits under the programs. Effective January 1, 2009, EDS employees in the U.S. ceased accruing pension benefits. The final pension benefit amount will be based on pay and service through December 31, 2008.

Modifications to Defined Contribution Plans

HP offers various defined contribution plans for U.S. and non-U.S. employees. As disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008, HP matches employee contributions to the HP 401(k) Plan with cash contributions up to a maximum of 6% of eligible compensation for U.S. employees hired prior to August 1, 2008 and up to a maximum of 4% of eligible compensation for U.S. employees hired on or after August 1, 2008. Further, effective January 1, 2009, U.S. employees participating in the EDS 401(k) Plan became eligible for a 4% HP matching contribution on eligible compensation.

Effective April 1, 2009, HP matching contributions under both the HP 401(k) Plan and the EDS 401(k) Plan will be changed to a quarterly, discretionary, performance-based match of up to a maximum of 4% of eligible compensation for all U.S. employees, which will be determined each fiscal quarter based on business results. HP matching contributions will vary from 0% to 100% of the maximum 4% match, based on factors such as quarterly earnings, market share growth, and performance relative to market and economic conditions. The first quarterly match under this new formula will be determined as of July 31, 2009 covering an extended period of April 1, 2009 through July 31, 2009.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 14: Retirement and Post-Retirement Benefit Plans (Continued)

HP's net pension and post-retirement benefit costs were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|------|
| | U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans | | Post-Retirement Benefit Plans | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 6 | \$ 8 | \$ 77 | \$ 62 | \$ 3 | \$ 7 |
| Interest cost | 148 | 59 | 153 | 106 | 18 | 20 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (133) | (64) | (165) | (165) | (8) | (10) |
| Amortization and deferrals: | | | | | | |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (13) | (9) | 20 | | 1 | 5 |
| Prior service benefit | | | (2) | (2) | (19) | (14) |
| Net periodic benefit (gain) cost | 8 | (6) | 83 | 1 | (5) | 8 |
| Curtailement gain | | | | | | |
| Special termination benefits | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Net benefit (gain) cost | \$ 8 | \$ (6) | \$ 84 | \$ 2 | \$ (5) | \$ 8 |

Employer Contributions and Funding Policy

HP previously disclosed in its Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008 that it expected to contribute approximately \$360 million to its non-U.S. pension plans and approximately \$35 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants in fiscal 2009. In addition, HP expected to pay approximately \$70 million to cover benefit claims for HP's post-retirement benefit plans. HP's funding policy is to contribute cash to its pension plans so that it meets at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities.

As of January 31, 2009, HP has made \$157 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$12 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. HP presently anticipates making additional contributions of approximately \$235 million to its non-U.S. pension plans and approximately \$20 million to its U.S. non-qualified plan participants and expects to pay up to \$55 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2009. HP's pension and other post-retirement benefit costs and obligations are dependent on various assumptions. Differences between expected and actual returns on investments will be reflected as unrecognized gains or losses, and such gains or losses will be amortized and recorded in future periods. Poor financial performance of asset markets in any year could lead to increased contributions in certain countries and increased future pension plan expense. Asset gains or losses are determined at the measurement date and amortized over the remaining service life or life expectancy of plan participants. HP's next expected measurement date is October 31, 2009.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies

HP is involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including those identified below, consisting of intellectual property, commercial, securities, employment, employee benefits and environmental matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", HP records a provision for a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. HP believes it has adequate provisions for any such matters. HP reviews these provisions at least quarterly and adjusts these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Based on its experience, HP believes that any damage amounts claimed in the specific matters discussed below are not a meaningful indicator of HP's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. However, HP believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Nevertheless, it is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies or because of the diversion of management's attention and the creation of significant expenses.

Pending Litigation, Proceedings and Investigations

Copyright levies. As described below, proceedings are ongoing against HP in certain European Union ("EU") member countries, including litigation in Germany, seeking to impose levies upon equipment (such as multifunction devices ("MFDs"), personal computers ("PCs") and printers) and alleging that these devices enable producing private copies of copyrighted materials. The total levies due, if imposed, would be based upon the number of products sold and the per-product amounts of the levies, which vary. Some EU member countries that do not yet have levies on digital devices are expected to implement similar legislation to enable them to extend existing levy schemes, while some other EU member countries are expected to limit the scope of levy schemes and applicability in the digital hardware environment. HP, other companies and various industry associations are opposing the extension of levies to the digital environment and advocating compensation to rights holders through digital rights management systems.

VerwertungsGesellschaft Wort ("VG Wort"), a collection agency representing certain copyright holders, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP in June 2001 in Germany before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office. The proceedings relate to whether and to what extent copyright levies for photocopiers should be imposed in accordance with copyright laws implemented in Germany on MFDs that allegedly enable the production of copies by private persons. Following unsuccessful arbitration, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against HP in May 2004 in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on certain MFDs sold from 1997 to 2001. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding MFDs sold in Germany, and ordered HP to pay VG Wort an amount equal to 5% of the outstanding levies claimed, plus interest, on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. VG Wort appealed this decision. On July 6, 2005, the Stuttgart Court of Appeals ordered HP to pay VG Wort levies based on the published tariffs for photocopiers in Germany (which range from EUR 38.35 to EUR 613.56 per unit), plus interest, on MFDs sold in Germany up to December 2001. HP appealed the Stuttgart Court of Appeals' decision to the Bundesgerichtshof (the German Federal Supreme Court). On January 30, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court held that the MFDs covered by this lawsuit were photocopiers within the meaning of the German copyright law that was in effect until December 31, 2007, and, therefore, are subject to the levies on photocopiers established by that law. HP has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging that ruling.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

On September 26, 2005, VG Wort filed an additional lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court in Stuttgart, Germany seeking levies on MFDs sold in Germany between 1997 and 2001, as well as for MFDs sold from 2002 onwards. On July 26, 2007, the court issued a decision following the ruling of the Stuttgart Court of Appeals with respect to the initial VG Wort lawsuit as described above. HP has appealed the decision. HP has submitted comments on the German Federal Supreme Court judgment in the initial VG Wort lawsuit seeking levies on MFDs described above as required by the court.

In July 2004, VG Wort filed a separate lawsuit against HP in the Stuttgart Civil Court seeking levies on printers. On December 22, 2004, the court held that HP is liable for payments regarding all printers using ASCII code sold in Germany but did not determine the amount payable per unit. HP appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Baden Wuerttemberg. On May 11, 2005, the Higher Regional Court issued a decision confirming that levies are due. On June 6, 2005, HP filed an appeal to the German Federal Supreme Court in Karlsruhe. On December 6, 2007, the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that printers are not subject to levies under the existing law. The court issued a written decision on January 25, 2008, and VG Wort subsequently filed an application with the German Federal Supreme Court under Section 321a of the German Code of Civil Procedure contending that the court did not consider their arguments. On May 9, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court denied VG Wort's application. In addition, VG Wort has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging the ruling that printers are not subject to levies. HP has submitted unsolicited arguments to the latter court, and VG Wort has been directed to provide comments with respect to those arguments.

In September 2003, VG Wort filed a lawsuit against Fujitsu Siemens Computer GmbH ("FSC") in Munich State Court seeking levies on PCs. This is an industry test case in Germany, and HP has agreed not to object to the delay if VG Wort sues HP for such levies on PCs following a final decision against FSC. On December 23, 2004, the Munich State Court held that PCs are subject to a levy and that FSC must pay 12 euros plus compound interest for each PC sold in Germany since March 2001. FSC appealed this decision in January 2005 to the Higher Regional Court of Bavaria. On December 15, 2005, the Higher Regional Court affirmed the Munich State Court decision. FSC filed an appeal with the German Federal Supreme Court in February 2006. On October 2, 2008, the German Federal Supreme Court issued a judgment that PCs were not photocopiers within the meaning of the German copyright law that was in effect until December 31, 2007 and, therefore, not subject to the levies on photocopiers established by that law. VG Wort has filed a claim with the German Federal Constitutional Court challenging that ruling.

On December 29, 2005, ZPU, a joint association of various German collection societies, instituted non-binding arbitration proceedings against HP before the arbitration board of the Patent and Trademark Office demanding reporting of every PC sold by HP in Germany from January 2002 through December 2005 and seeking a levy of 18.42 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP filed a notice of defense in connection with these proceedings in February 2006, and an arbitration hearing was held in December 2006. On August 3, 2007, the arbitration board issued a ruling proposing a levy of 15 euros plus tax for each PC sold during that period. HP has rejected the ruling of the arbitration board, and the arbitration proceedings have concluded. ZPU has filed a claim with the appeals court in Munich to which HP has responded. A hearing date has been set by the court for February 18, 2010.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

Based on industry opposition to the extension of levies to digital products, HP's assessments of the merits of various proceedings and HP's estimates of the units impacted and levies, HP has accrued amounts that it believes are adequate to address the matters described above. However, the ultimate resolution of these matters and the associated financial impact on HP, including the number of units impacted, the amount of levies imposed and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remains uncertain.

Sky Subscribers Services Limited and British Sky Broadcasting Limited v. EDS and EDS Limited (UK) is a lawsuit filed on August 17, 2004 by Sky Subscribers Services Limited and British Sky Broadcasting Limited against Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS"), a company that HP acquired in August 2008, and EDS Limited (UK) ("EDS UK"), one of EDS's subsidiaries, alleging deceit, negligent misrepresentation, negligent misstatement and breach of contract. The claims arose out of a customer relationship management project that was awarded to EDS in 2000, the principal objective of which was to develop a customer call center in Scotland. EDS's main role in the project was as systems integrator. On November 12, 2004, EDS and EDS UK filed their defense and counterclaim denying the claims and seeking damages for monies owed under the contract. The trial of this action commenced on October 15, 2007, and final arguments concluded on July 30, 2008. At trial, the plaintiffs claimed damages in excess of £700 million, and EDS and EDS UK counterclaimed for damages of approximately £5 million. A decision from the court is expected in early 2009.

Skold, et al. v. Intel Corporation and Hewlett-Packard Company is a lawsuit in which HP was joined on June 14, 2004 that is pending in state court in Santa Clara County, California. The lawsuit alleges that HP (along with Intel) misled the public by suppressing and concealing the alleged material fact that systems that use the Intel Pentium 4 processor are less powerful and slower than systems using the Intel Pentium III processor and processors made by a competitor of Intel. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs, and certification of a nationwide class. On February 27, 2009, the court denied without prejudice plaintiffs' motion for nationwide class certification for a third time.

Inkjet Printer Litigation. As described below, HP is involved in several lawsuits claiming breach of express and implied warranty, unjust enrichment, deceptive advertising and unfair business practices where the plaintiffs have alleged, among other things, that HP employed a "smart chip" in certain inkjet printing products in order to register ink depletion prematurely and to render the cartridge unusable through a built-in expiration date that is hidden, not documented in marketing materials to consumers, or both. The plaintiffs have also contended that consumers received false ink depletion warnings and that the smart chip limits the ability of consumers to use the cartridge to its full capacity or to choose competitive products.

A consolidated lawsuit captioned *In re HP Inkjet Printer Litigation* is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California where the plaintiffs are seeking class certification, restitution, damages (including enhanced damages), injunctive relief, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. On January 4, 2008, the court heard plaintiffs' motions for class certification and to add a class representative and HP's motion for summary judgment. On July 25, 2008, the court denied all three motions. Plaintiffs have indicated an intention to seek certification of a class of California consumers only.

A lawsuit captioned *Blennis v. HP* was filed on January 17, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California where the plaintiffs are seeking class certification,

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

restitution, damages (including enhanced damages), injunctive relief, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

Four class actions against HP and its subsidiary, Hewlett-Packard (Canada) Co., are pending in Canada, one commenced in British Columbia in February 2006, two commenced in Quebec in April 2006 and May 2006, respectively, and one commenced in Ontario in June 2006, where the plaintiffs are seeking class certification, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and unspecified statutory, compensatory and punitive damages.

Baggett v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on June 6, 2007 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California alleging that HP employs a technology in its LaserJet color printers whereby the printing process shuts down prematurely, thus preventing customers from using the toner that is allegedly left in the cartridge. The plaintiffs also allege that HP fails to disclose to consumers that they will be unable to utilize the toner remaining in the cartridge after the printer shuts down. The complaint seeks certification of a nationwide class of purchasers of all HP LaserJet color printers and seeks unspecified damages, restitution, disgorgement, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

Rich v. HP is a consumer class action filed against HP on May 22, 2006 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The suit alleges that HP designed its color inkjet printers to unnecessarily use color ink in addition to black ink when printing black and white images and text. The plaintiffs seek injunctive and monetary relief on behalf of a nationwide class.

On December 27, 2001, Cornell University and the Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. filed a complaint, amended on September 6, 2002, against HP in United States District Court for the Northern District of New York alleging that HP's PA-RISC 8000 family of microprocessors, and servers and workstations incorporating those processors, infringe a patent assigned to Cornell Research Foundation, Inc. that describes a way of executing microprocessor instructions. The complaint sought declaratory and injunctive relief and unspecified damages. The patent at issue in this litigation, United States Patent No. 4,807,115, expired on February 21, 2006. Therefore, the plaintiffs are no longer entitled to seek injunctive relief against HP. This matter was tried between May 19 and May 30, 2008, and, on May 30, 2008, a jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiffs in the amount of \$184 million. The court has not yet entered a final judgment, and it will not do so until after it rules on HP's equitable defenses and HP's post-trial motions to vacate the judgment and/or to reduce the amount of damages awarded by the jury. Depending on the outcome of HP's defenses and post-trial motions, HP may file an appeal with the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals.

CSIRO Patent Litigation. Microsoft Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia is an action filed by HP and two other plaintiffs on May 9, 2005, in the District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaratory judgment against Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation of Australia ("CSIRO") that HP's products employing the IEEE 802.11a and 802.11g wireless protocol standards do not infringe CSIRO's United States Patent No. 5,487,069 relating to wireless transmission of data at frequencies in excess of 10GHz. On September 22, 2005, CSIRO filed an answer and counterclaims alleging that all HP products which employ those wireless protocol standards infringe the CSIRO patent and seeking damages, including enhanced damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and an injunction against sales of infringing products. On December 12, 2006, CSIRO successfully moved to have the case transferred to the District Court of the Eastern District of Texas. In March 2009, the

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

parties reached an understanding to settle the matter and dismiss the pending lawsuit. The proposed settlement provides for HP to pay CSIRO an amount of money that is immaterial to HP in exchange for protection from claims of infringement of the patent at issue, including all United States and worldwide continuations and counterparts of that patent, in the form of a combination of licenses and covenants not to sue. The parties are in the process of preparing a definitive settlement agreement.

The United States of America, ex rel. Norman Rille and Neal Roberts v. Hewlett-Packard Company, et al. In 2004, two private individuals filed a civil "*qui tam*" complaint under the False Claims Act in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas containing generalized allegations that HP and several other companies participated in an industry-wide practice of using partnership and alliance programs to make improper payments and cause the submission of false claims in connection with contracts to provide products and services to the federal government. On April 12, 2007, the U.S. Department of Justice intervened in the *qui tam* action and filed a complaint against HP (and several other companies in separate actions) on behalf of the United States containing allegations that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 by providing millions of dollars in kickbacks to its alliance partners, including "influencer fees" and "new business opportunity rebates." The U.S. complaint further alleges that HP violated the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Act, breached its federal government contracts, induced the federal government to make payments to HP that HP was not entitled to receive under those contracts, and was unjustly enriched by expressly or impliedly making false statements, records or certifications to the federal government that it complied with and would continue to comply with the Anti-Kickback Act and by submitting claims to the government that allegedly were inflated because they included the amounts of the influencer fees and new business opportunity rebates. The U.S. complaint seeks treble damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the False Claims Act, double damages plus civil penalties in connection with the alleged violations of the Anti-Kickback Act and disgorgement of profits earned in connection with the breach of contract and unjust enrichment claims.

Leak Investigation Proceedings. As described below, HP is or has been the subject of various governmental inquiries concerning the processes employed in an investigation into leaks of HP confidential information to members of the media that concluded in May 2006:

In August 2006, HP was informally contacted by the Attorney General of the State of California requesting information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. On December 7, 2006, HP announced that it entered into an agreement with the California Attorney General to resolve civil claims arising from the leak investigation, including a claim made by the California Attorney General in a Santa Clara County Superior Court action filed on December 7, 2006, that HP committed unfair business practices under California law in connection with the leak investigation. As a result of this agreement, which includes an injunction, the California Attorney General will not pursue civil claims against HP or its current and former directors, officers and employees. Under the terms of the agreement, HP paid a total of \$14.5 million and agreed to implement and maintain for five years a series of measures designed to ensure that HP's corporate investigations are conducted in accordance with California law and the company's high ethical standards. Of the \$14.5 million, \$13.5 million has been used to create a Privacy and Piracy Fund to assist California prosecutors in investigating and prosecuting consumer privacy and information piracy violations, \$650,000 was used to pay statutory damages and \$350,000 reimbursed the California Attorney General's office for its investigation costs. There was no finding of liability against HP as part of the settlement.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

Beginning in September 2006, HP received requests from the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the U.S. House of Representatives (the "Committee") for records and information concerning the leak investigation, securities transactions by HP officers and directors, including an August 25, 2006, securities transaction by Mark Hurd, HP's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and related matters. HP has responded to those requests. In addition, Mr. Hurd voluntarily gave testimony to the Committee regarding the leak investigation on September 28, 2006.

In September 2006, HP was informally contacted by the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California requesting similar information concerning the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

Beginning in September 2006, HP has received requests from the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission for records and information and interviews with current and former HP directors and officers relating to the leak investigation, the resignation of Thomas J. Perkins from HP's Board of Directors, HP's May 22, 2006 and September 6, 2006 filings with the SEC on Form 8-K, stock repurchases by HP and securities transactions by its officers and directors that occurred between May 1 and October 1, 2006, and HP's policies, practices and approval of securities transactions. In May 2007, HP consented to the entry of an order by the SEC ordering HP to cease and desist from committing or causing violations of the public reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. HP has been advised by the staff of the Division of Enforcement that the staff has completed its investigation and does not intend to recommend that any other SEC enforcement action be brought in connection with these matters.

In September 2006, HP received a request from the U.S. Federal Communications Commission for records and information relating to the processes employed in the leak investigation. HP has responded to that request.

In addition, four stockholder derivative lawsuits have been filed in California purportedly on behalf of HP stockholders seeking to recover damages for alleged breach of fiduciary duty and to require HP to improve its corporate governance and internal control procedures as a result of the activities of the leak investigation: Staehr v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 18, 2006; Worsham v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 14, 2006; Tansey v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 20, 2006; and Hall v. Dunn, et al. was filed in Santa Clara County Superior Court on September 25, 2006. On October 19, 2006, the Santa Clara County Superior Court consolidated the four California cases under the caption In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation. The consolidated complaint filed on November 19, 2006, also seeks to recover damages in connection with sales of HP stock alleged to have been made by certain current and former HP officers and directors while in possession of material non-public information. Two additional stockholder derivative lawsuits, Pifko v. Babbio, et al., filed on September 19, 2006, and Gross v. Babbio, et al., filed on November 21, 2006, were filed in Chancery Court, County of New Castle, Delaware; both seek to recover damages for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty and to obtain an order instructing the defendants to refrain from further breaches of fiduciary duty and to implement corrective measures that will prevent future occurrences of the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty. On January 24, 2007, the Delaware court consolidated the two cases under the caption In re Hewlett-Packard Company Derivative Litigation and subsequently stayed the proceedings, as the parties had reached a tentative settlement. The HP Board of Directors appointed a Special Litigation Committee consisting of

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

independent Board members authorized to investigate, review and evaluate the facts and circumstances asserted in these derivative matters and to determine how HP should proceed in these matters. On December 14, 2007, HP and the plaintiffs in the California and Delaware derivative actions entered into an agreement to settle those lawsuits. Under the terms of the settlement, HP agreed to continue certain corporate governance changes until December 31, 2012 and to pay the plaintiffs' attorneys' fees. The California court granted final approval to the settlement on March 11, 2008 and subsequently granted plaintiffs' counsel's fee application and dismissed the action. On June 12, 2008, the Delaware court granted final approval to the settlement and the plaintiffs' application for attorneys' fees and also dismissed the action. Because neither the dismissal of the California nor the Delaware derivative action was thereafter appealed, both cases are now concluded.

Concluded Litigation

Schorsch v. HP was a consumer class action filed against HP on October 28, 2003 in Illinois state court alleging that HP had included an electrically erasable programmable read only memory (EEPROM) chip in certain of its LaserJet printers that prematurely advises the user that the drum kit needs replacing in violation of Illinois state law. The plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint seeking to expand the class from purchasers of drum kits to purchasers of all HP printer consumables that contain EEPROM chips. The plaintiffs sought certification of an Illinois-only class and seeks unspecified damages, attorneys' fees and costs. The action was dismissed by the court with prejudice on December 20, 2008.

Environmental

HP is subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, including laws addressing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the content of its products and the recycling, treatment and disposal of its products including batteries. In particular, HP faces increasing complexity in its product design and procurement operations as it adjusts to new and future requirements relating to the chemical and materials composition of its products, their safe use, the energy consumption associated with those products and product take-back legislation. HP could incur substantial costs, its products could be restricted from entering certain jurisdictions, and it could face other sanctions, if it were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if its products become non-compliant with environmental laws. HP's potential exposure includes fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims and clean up costs. The amount and timing of costs under environmental laws are difficult to predict.

HP is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), known as "Superfund," or state laws similar to CERCLA. HP is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at several current or former operating sites pursuant to administrative orders or consent agreements with state environmental agencies.

HP is also subject to legislation in an increasing number of jurisdictions that makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products (sometimes referred to as "product take-back legislation"). For example, the European Union ("EU") adopted the Waste

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 15: Litigation and Contingencies (Continued)

Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive in January 2003. That directive makes producers of electrical goods, including computers and printers, financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. The EU member states were obliged to make producers participating in the market financially responsible for implementing these responsibilities.

Note 16: Segment Information

Description of Segments

HP is a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small and medium sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises including the public and education sectors. HP's offerings span personal computing and other access devices; imaging and printing-related products and services; enterprise information technology ("IT") infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology; software that optimizes business technology investments; financial services including leasing; and multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration, information technology and business process outsourcing services and application services.

HP and its operations are organized into seven business segments for financial reporting purposes: Services, Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. HP's organizational structure is based on a number of factors that management uses to evaluate, view and run its business operations, which include, but are not limited to, customer base, homogeneity of products and technology. The business segments disclosed in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements are based on this organizational structure and information reviewed by HP's management to evaluate the business segment results. Services, ESS and HP Software are reported collectively as a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). In order to provide a supplementary view of HP's business, aggregated financial data for TSG is presented herein.

HP has reclassified segment operating results for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007 to conform to certain fiscal 2009 organizational realignments. None of the changes impacts HP's previously reported consolidated net revenue, earnings from operations, net earnings or net earnings per share. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed. A description of the types of products and services provided by each business segment follows.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

Technology Solutions Group.

Each of the business segments within TSG is described in detail below.

Services, formerly HP Services, was renamed after the reorganization of the business units subsequent to the acquisition of EDS. Services provides consulting, outsourcing, and technology services across infrastructure, applications, and business process domains. Services delivers to its clients by leveraging investments in consulting and support professionals, infrastructure technology, applications, standardized methodologies, and global supply and delivery. It is divided into four main business units: applications services ("AS"), infrastructure technology outsourcing ("ITO"), business process outsourcing ("BPO") and technology services ("TS"). AS provides a full lifecycle of service offerings to support diverse client requirements, including transformation and modernization services, applications development and applications management. ITO offers four service lines: data center services, workplace services, security compliance and continuity services, and networking services. BPO is powered by a platform of underlying infrastructure technology, applications and standardized methodologies. It includes four main service lines: enterprise shared services, customer relationship management, financial process management services and administrative services. TS provides services and warranty support across HP's product lines. TS also provides multivendor support to products and environments that are consistent with HP's stated growth strategy. TS specializes in proactive mission-critical support, datacenter transformation and infrastructure services for storage and server environments, as well as unified communication environments and networks. TS offers product support in the form of installation and startup services, hardware and software support, education and training, warranty extensions and replacement parts. Service and support offerings from TS are available in the form of service contracts, pre-packaged offerings or on an individual basis and are available from HP directly or through HP authorized channel partners.

Enterprise Storage and Servers provides storage and server products. The various server offerings range from entry-level servers to high-end scalable servers, including Superdome servers. Industry standard servers include primarily entry-level and mid-range ProLiant servers, which run primarily Windows®⁽¹⁾, Linux and Novell operating systems and leverage Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Advanced Micro Devices ("AMD") processors. The business spans a range of product lines, including pedestal-tower servers, density-optimized rack servers and HP's BladeSystem family of server blades. Business critical systems include Itanium®⁽²⁾-based Integrity servers running on HP-UX, Windows®, Linux, OpenVMS and NonStop operating systems, including the high-end Superdome servers and fault-tolerant Integrity NonStop servers. Business critical systems also include the Reduced Instruction Set Computing ("RISC")-based servers with the HP 9000 line running on the HP-UX operating system, HP AlphaServers running on both Tru64 UNIX®⁽³⁾ and OpenVMS, and MIPs-based NonStop servers. HP's StorageWorks offerings include entry-level, mid-range and high-end arrays, storage area networks ("SANs"), network attached storage ("NAS"), storage management software, and virtualization technologies, as well as tape drives, tape libraries and optical archival storage.

(1) Windows® is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation.

(2) Itanium® is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation.

(3) UNIX® is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

HP Software provides enterprise IT management software solutions, including professional services and support, that allow customers to manage and automate their IT infrastructure, operations, applications, IT services and business processes under the HP Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") brand. The portfolio of BTO solutions also includes tools to automate data center operations and IT processes. These solutions are reported as BTO Software. HP Software also provides a comprehensive suite of solutions that enables communication service providers to deploy revenue generating infrastructure and applications, customer intelligence and billing systems, and operational support systems. In addition, for media companies and distributors, solutions that address content management and streamlining of digital media workflows. HP Software further provides information management and business intelligence solutions, which include enterprise data warehousing, business continuity, data availability, records management, compliance and e-discovery products and services that enable our customers to extract more value from their structured and unstructured data and information. These solutions are reported as Other Software.

HP's other business segments are described below.

Personal Systems Group provides commercial PCs, consumer PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices, calculators and other related accessories, software and services for the commercial and consumer markets. Commercial PCs are optimized for commercial uses, including enterprise and SMB customers, and for connectivity and manageability in networked environments. Commercial PCs include the HP Compaq business desktops, notebooks and Tablet PCs, the HP EliteBook line of Mobile Workstations and professional notebooks, as well as the HP Mini-Note PC, HP Blade PCs, Retail POS systems, and the HP Compaq and Neoware Thin Clients. Consumer PCs are targeted at the home user and include the HP Pavilion and Compaq Presario series of multi media consumer desktops and notebooks, as well as the HP Pavilion Elite desktops, HP HDX Premium notebooks, Touchsmart PCs, HP and Compaq Mini notebooks, and Voodoo Gaming PCs. Workstations are individual computing products designed for users demanding enhanced performance, such as computer animation, engineering design and other programs requiring high-resolution graphics. Workstations run on Windows® and Linux-based operating systems. PSG provides a series of HP iPAQ Pocket PC handheld computing devices that run on Windows® Mobile software. These products range from basic PDAs to advanced devices with voice and data capability. Digital entertainment products include Media Smart home servers, HD DVD and RW drives and DVD writers.

Imaging and Printing Group provides consumer and commercial printer hardware, printing supplies, printing media and scanning devices. IPG is also focused on imaging solutions in the commercial markets, from managed print services solutions to addressing new growth opportunities in commercial printing and capturing high-value pages in areas such as industrial applications, outdoor signage, and the graphic arts business. Inkjet and Web Solutions delivers HP's consumer and SMB inkjet solutions (hardware, ink, media) and develops HP's retail and web businesses. It includes single function and all-in-one inkjet printers targeted toward consumers and SMBs as well as retail publishing solutions, Snapfish, and Logoworks. LaserJet and Enterprise Solutions delivers products and services to the enterprise segment. It includes LaserJet printers and supplies, multi-function printers, scanners, enterprise software solutions

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

such as Exstream Software and Web Jetadmin, managed print services products and solutions, and Halo telepresence. Graphics solutions include large format printing (Designjet, Scitex, ColorSpan and NUR), large format supplies, WebPress supplies, Indigo printing, specialty printing systems, inkjet high-speed production solutions and light production solutions. Printer supplies include LaserJet toner and inkjet printer cartridges and other printing-related media such as HP-branded Vivera and ColorSphere ink and HP Premium and Premium Plus photo papers.

HP Financial Services supports and enhances HP's global product and services solutions, providing a broad range of value-added financial life-cycle management services. HPFS enables HP's worldwide customers to acquire complete IT solutions, including hardware, software and services. HPFS offers leasing, financing, utility programs, and asset recovery services, as well as financial asset management services, for large global and enterprise customers. HPFS also provides an array of specialized financial services to SMBs and educational and governmental entities. HPFS offers innovative, customized and flexible alternatives to balance unique customer cash flow, technology obsolescence and capacity needs.

Corporate Investments includes HP Labs and certain business incubation projects. Revenue in this segment is attributable to the sale of certain network infrastructure products, including Ethernet switch products that enhance computing and enterprise solutions under the brand "ProCurve Networking."

Segment Data

HP derives the results of the business segments directly from its internal management reporting system. The accounting policies HP uses to derive business segment results are substantially the same as those the consolidated company uses. Management measures the performance of each business segment based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. Management uses these results, in part, to evaluate the performance of, and to assign resources to, each of the business segments. HP does not allocate to its business segments certain operating expenses, which it manages separately at the corporate level. These unallocated costs include primarily amortization of purchased intangible assets, stock-based compensation expense related to HP-granted employee stock options, PRUs and the employee stock purchase plan, certain acquisition-related charges and charges for purchased IPR&D, as well as certain corporate governance costs.

HP does not allocate to its business segments restructuring charges and any associated adjustments related to restructuring actions.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

Selected operating results information for each business segment was as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Net Revenue | | Earnings (Loss) from Operations | |
| | 2009 | 2008 ⁽¹⁾ | 2009 | 2008 ⁽¹⁾ |
| | In millions | | | |
| Services ⁽²⁾ | \$ 8,746 | \$ 4,052 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 499 |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | 3,948 | 4,820 | 405 | 673 |
| HP Software | 878 | 947 | 140 | 49 |
| Technology Solutions Group | 13,572 | 9,819 | 1,668 | 1,221 |
| Personal Systems Group | 8,787 | 10,791 | 435 | 628 |
| Imaging and Printing Group | 5,981 | 7,357 | 1,105 | 1,142 |
| HP Financial Services | 636 | 642 | 41 | 43 |
| Corporate Investments | 196 | 218 | (19) | 8 |
| Segment total | \$ 29,172 | \$ 28,827 | \$ 3,230 | \$ 3,042 |

(1) Certain fiscal 2009 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2008, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue and operating profit among the Services, HP Software and Imaging and Printing Group financial reporting segments. In addition, certain previously allocated costs were reclassified to unallocated costs related to stock-based compensation expense. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the Enterprise Storage and Servers, Personal Systems Group, HP Financial Services and Corporate Investments segments.

(2) Includes the results of EDS which was acquired on August 26, 2008.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

The reconciliation of segment operating results information to HP consolidated totals was as follows:

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | January 31 | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Net revenue: | | |
| Segment total | \$29,172 | \$28,827 |
| Eliminations of inter-segment net revenue and other | (372) | (360) |
| Total HP consolidated net revenue | \$28,800 | \$28,467 |
| Earnings before taxes: | | |
| Total segment earnings from operations | \$ 3,230 | \$ 3,042 |
| Corporate and unallocated costs and eliminations | 24 | (89) |
| Unallocated costs related to stock-based compensation expense | (148) | (124) |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | (412) | (206) |
| In-process research and development charges | (6) | |
| Restructuring charges | (146) | (10) |
| Acquisition-related charges | (48) | |
| Interest and other, net | (232) | 72 |
| Total HP consolidated earnings before taxes | \$ 2,262 | \$ 2,685 |

HP allocates its assets to its business segments based on the primary segments benefiting from the assets. The total assets of PSG decreased 11% to \$14.7 billion as of January 31, 2009 from \$16.5 billion as of October 31, 2008 due primarily to a decline in accounts and other receivables resulting from the current economic slowdown. There have been no material changes in the total assets of HP's other segments.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

Net revenue by segment and business unit

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| | January 31 | |
| | 2009 | 2008 ⁽¹⁾ |
| | In millions | |
| Net revenue: | | |
| Infrastructure technology outsourcing | \$ 3,960 | \$ 1,252 |
| Technology services | 2,451 | 2,458 |
| Application services | 1,592 | 306 |
| Business process outsourcing | 743 | 36 |
| Services ⁽²⁾ | 8,746 | 4,052 |
| Industry standard servers | 2,322 | 2,988 |
| Storage | 913 | 977 |
| Business critical systems | 713 | 855 |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | 3,948 | 4,820 |
| Business technology optimization | 594 | 618 |
| Other software | 284 | 329 |
| HP Software | 878 | 947 |
| Technology Solutions Group | 13,572 | 9,819 |
| Notebooks | 4,907 | 5,664 |
| Desktops | 3,303 | 4,406 |
| Workstations | 333 | 462 |
| Handhelds | 57 | 89 |
| Other | 187 | 170 |
| Personal Systems Group | 8,787 | 10,791 |
| Supplies | 4,050 | 4,369 |
| Commercial hardware | 1,239 | 1,883 |
| Consumer hardware | 692 | 1,105 |
| Imaging and Printing Group | 5,981 | 7,357 |
| HP Financial Services | 636 | 642 |
| Corporate Investments | 196 | 218 |
| Total segments | 29,172 | 28,827 |
| Eliminations of inter-segment net revenue and other | (372) | (360) |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Total HP consolidated net revenue | \$28,800 | \$28,467 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|

-
- (1) Certain fiscal 2009 organizational reclassifications have been reflected retroactively to provide improved visibility and comparability. For each of the quarters in fiscal year 2008, the reclassifications resulted in the transfer of revenue among the Services, HP Software and Imaging and Printing Group financial reporting segments. In addition, revenue was transferred among the business units within the Services, HP Software, Imaging and Printing Group, and Personal

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Note 16: Segment Information (Continued)

Systems Group segments. There was no impact on the previously reported financial results for the Enterprise Storage and Servers, HP Financial Services and Corporate Investments segments.

(2)

Includes the results of EDS, which was acquired on August 26, 2008. The businesses included in the former consulting and integration business unit were divided among the application services and technology services business units and the HP Software segment. The businesses included in the former outsourcing services business unit were divided among the infrastructure technology outsourcing and business process outsourcing business units. The infrastructure technology outsourcing, application services and business process outsourcing business units were added with the technology services business unit, and these four business units now comprise the Services segment.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
Management's Discussion and Analysis of
Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading global provider of products, technologies, software, solutions and services to individual consumers, small- and medium-sized businesses ("SMBs"), and large enterprises, including customers in the public and education sectors. Our offerings span:

personal computing and other access devices;

imaging and printing-related products and services;

enterprise information technology infrastructure, including enterprise storage and server technology, and software that optimizes business technology investments; and

multi-vendor customer services, including technology support and maintenance, consulting and integration and outsourcing services.

We have seven business segments for financial reporting purposes: Services, Enterprise Storage and Servers ("ESS"), HP Software, the Personal Systems Group ("PSG"), the Imaging and Printing Group ("IPG"), HP Financial Services ("HPFS"), and Corporate Investments. Services, ESS and HP Software are reported collectively as a broader Technology Solutions Group ("TSG"). While TSG is not an operating segment, we sometimes provide financial data aggregating the segments within TSG in order to provide a supplementary view of our business.

The operating framework within which we manage our businesses and which guides our strategies is based on the disciplined management of three business levers: targeted growth, operational efficiency and strategic deployment of capital. Although we have made progress towards our goals in recent periods, there are still many areas in which we believe that we can improve. To implement this operating framework, we are focused on the following initiatives:

We are engaged in a process of examining every function and every business in the company in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost;

We are executing on our ongoing program to consolidate real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites in order to reduce our IT spending and real estate costs;

We are aligning our resources and reinvesting a portion of the cost savings from these initiatives to build our market share in emerging markets and to expand our sales coverage to drive growth in mature markets;

We are building and expanding our services organization, which has been substantially augmented through our acquisition of Electronic Data Systems Corporation ("EDS"), to support our technology businesses and enable us to provide comprehensive solutions to our customers;

We are developing a global delivery structure to take advantage of regions where advanced technical expertise is available at lower costs; and

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We are repurchasing shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically.

In September 2008, we announced a restructuring plan to gain efficiencies following the EDS acquisition. The restructuring plan will be implemented over four years and includes a targeted reduction in headcount of approximately 25,000 employees over that period, with the majority of the reductions occurring by the end of fiscal 2009. Our plan includes replacing roughly half of these positions in order to optimize our global footprint. As part of this plan, we recorded \$1.8 billion in restructuring costs in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, \$1.5 billion of which was booked to goodwill and \$0.3 billion of which was recorded as a restructuring charge.

In February 2009, we announced additional changes to our compensation and benefit programs in response to the current challenges of the global economy and the resulting effect on our revenues. As part of these changes, we will reduce the base pay of most of our U.S. employees effective in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and the base pay of many of our non-U.S. employees in future periods in compliance with local laws. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we will also cap matching contributions under the HP 401(k) Plan for all U.S. employees at 4% of eligible compensation and will fund those matching contributions quarterly on a discretionary basis based on our financial performance. In addition, effective in the third quarter of fiscal 2009, we will modify our employee stock purchase plan to eliminate the 15% discount currently applicable to purchases made under the plan.

We are continuing to evaluate our businesses and current market conditions and may consider additional restructuring actions in future periods.

In terms of how our execution has translated into financial performance, the following provides an overview of our key financial metrics in the first quarter of fiscal 2009:

| | HP | | TSG | | Total | PSG | IPG | HPFS |
|--|--|----------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | Consolidated | ESS | Services | HP Software | | | | |
| | In millions, except per share amounts | | | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 28,800 | \$ 3,948 | \$ 8,746 | \$ 878 | \$ 13,572 | \$ 8,787 | \$ 5,981 | \$ 636 |
| Year-over-year net revenue % increase | 1.2% | (18.1)% | 115.8% | (7.3)% | 38.2% | (18.6)% | (18.7)% | (0.9)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 2,494 | \$ 405 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 140 | \$ 1,668 | \$ 435 | \$ 1,105 | \$ 41 |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 8.7% | 10.3% | 12.8% | 15.9% | 12.3% | 5.0% | 18.5% | 6.4% |
| Net earnings | 1,854 | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings per share | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.77 | | | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.75 | | | | | | | |

Cash and cash equivalents at January 31, 2009 totaled \$11.2 billion, an increase of \$1.0 billion from October 31, 2008. The increase for the first three months of fiscal 2009 was due primarily to \$2.0 billion proceeds from the issuance of debt, and \$1.1 billion cash provided from operations, partially offset by \$1.2 billion of cash used to repurchase common stock and \$0.7 billion net investment in property, plant and equipment.

We intend the discussion of our financial condition and results of operations that follows to provide information that will assist in understanding our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, the changes in certain key items in those financial statements from year to year, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes, as well as how certain accounting principles, policies and estimates affect our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The discussion of results of operations at the consolidated level is followed by a more detailed discussion of results of operations by segment.

For a further discussion of factors that could impact operating results, see the section entitled "Factors That Could Affect Future Results" below.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, which we have prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of significant estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

An accounting policy is deemed to be critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, if different estimates reasonably could have been used, or if changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur could materially impact the financial statements. Management believes that there have been no significant changes during the three months ended January 31, 2009 to the items that we disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. However, we have expanded our critical accounting policy disclosures beginning this quarter to include the following summary of our existing policy relating to loss contingencies. This summary previously has appeared, and continues to appear, as part of our disclosures regarding litigation and contingencies in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1.

Loss Contingencies

We are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies", we record a provision for a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Significant judgment is required to determine both probability and the estimated amount. We review these provisions at least quarterly and adjust these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and updated information. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and is subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

As previously reported in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we recognized the funded status of our benefit plans at October 31, 2007 in accordance with the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - An Amendment of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). In addition to the recognition provisions, SFAS 158 also requires companies to

measure the funded status of the plan as of the date of their fiscal year end, effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. We will adopt the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 effective October 31, 2009 for the HP pension and post retirements plans. We do not expect the adoption of the measurement provisions of SFAS 158 will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") SFAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" ("FSP SFAS 157-2"). FSP SFAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157") to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). As a result of adoption of FSP SFAS 157-2, we will adopt SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Although we will continue to evaluate the application of SFAS 157 to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, we do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 with respect to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities will have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141(R)"). SFAS 141(R) expands the definition of a business and a business combination; requires recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date; requires acquisition-related expenses and restructuring costs to be recognized separately from the business combination and expensed as incurred; requires in-process research and development to be capitalized at fair value as an intangible asset; and requires that changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period be recognized as a component of provision for taxes. SFAS 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of the adoption of SFAS 141(R) on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition, which will be largely dependent on the size and nature of the business combinations completed after the adoption of this statement. Among other potential impacts, we currently believe that the adoption of SFAS 141(R) will result in the recognition of certain types of expenses in our results of operations that are currently capitalized pursuant to existing accounting standards.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest, and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS 160 also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 160 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 applies to all derivative instruments and related hedged items accounted for under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS 133"). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of

operations and cash flows. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. We will present the required disclosures in the prescribed format on a prospective basis upon adoption. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 161 will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 requires the issuer of certain convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (or other assets) on conversion to separately account for the liability (debt) and equity (conversion option) components of the instrument in a manner that reflects the issuer's non-convertible debt borrowing rate. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retroactive basis and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We currently do not have any outstanding convertible debt instruments that are subject to the provisions of FSP APB 14-1. However, our U.S. dollar zero-coupon convertible notes that were redeemed in full in March 2008 are subject to the provisions of FSP APB 14-1. As a result, upon adoption of FSP APB 14-1 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, our fiscal 2008 consolidated results of operations and financial condition will be affected on a retroactive basis. We do not expect the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarifies that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends or dividend equivalents before vesting should be considered participating securities. We have granted and expect to continue to grant restricted stock that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends and will be considered participating securities upon adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1. As participating securities, we will be required to include these instruments in the calculation of our basic earnings per share ("EPS"), and we will need to calculate basic EPS using the "two-class method." Restricted stock is currently included in our dilutive EPS calculation using the treasury stock method. The two-class method of computing EPS is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 on a retrospective basis and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, the adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on our calculation of EPS.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 08-7, "Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets" ("EITF 08-7"). EITF 08-7 applies to defensive intangible assets, which are acquired intangible assets that the acquirer does not intend to actively use but intends to hold to prevent its competitors from obtaining access to them. As these assets are separately identifiable, EITF 08-7 requires an acquiring entity to account for defensive intangible assets as a separate unit of accounting. Defensive intangible assets must be recognized at fair value in accordance with SFAS 141(R) and SFAS 157. EITF 08-7 is effective for defensive intangible assets acquired in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We are currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 08-7 on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS 132(R)-1, "Employer's Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets," ("FSP SFAS 132(R)-1"). FSP SFAS 132(R)-1 requires additional disclosures about assets held in an employer's defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. FSP SFAS 132(R)-1 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15 2009 and will be adopted by us in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We will present the required disclosures in the prescribed format

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

on a prospective basis upon adoption. We do not expect the adoption of FSP FAS 132(R)-1 will have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we adopted the following accounting standards, none of which had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations during such period or financial condition at the end of such period:

SFAS No. 157;

FSP SFAS 157-1, "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13" ("FSP SFAS 157-1");

FSP SFAS 157-2;

FSP SFAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair value of a Financial Asset when the Market for That Asset is not Active" ("FSP SFAS 157-3");

SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"); and

EITF 07-3, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities".

See Note 8 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference, for the effect of applying SFAS 157, FSP SFAS 157-1, FSP SFAS 157-2, FSP SFAS 157-3 and SFAS 159.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of net revenue were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 ⁽¹⁾ | |
| | In millions | | | |
| Net revenue | \$28,800 | 100.0% | \$28,467 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales ⁽²⁾ | 22,069 | 76.6% | 21,444 | 75.3% |
| Gross profit | 6,731 | 23.4% | 7,023 | 24.7% |
| Research and development | 732 | 2.5% | 898 | 3.2% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2,893 | 10.1% | 3,296 | 11.6% |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | 412 | 1.4% | 206 | 0.7% |
| In-process research and development charges | 6 | | | |
| Restructuring | 146 | 0.5% | 10 | |
| Acquisition-related charges | 48 | 0.2% | | |
| Earnings from operations | 2,494 | 8.7% | 2,613 | 9.2% |
| Interest and other, net | (232) | (0.8)% | 72 | 0.2% |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------|----------|------|
| Earnings before taxes | 2,262 | 7.9% | 2,685 | 9.4% |
| Provision for taxes | 408 | 1.5% | 552 | 1.9% |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,854 | 6.4% | \$ 2,133 | 7.5% |

-
- (1) Certain pursuit-related costs previously reported as Cost of sales have been realigned retroactively to Selling, general and administrative expenses due to the organizational realignments occurring within HP's service offerings portfolio.
- (2) Cost of products, cost of services and financing interest.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Net Revenue

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Services | 16.5 |
| Corporate Investments/Other | (0.1) |
| HP Software | (0.3) |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | (3.1) |
| Imaging and Printing Group | (4.8) |
| Personal Systems Group | (7.0) |
| HP Financial Services | |
| Total HP | 1.2 |

For the three months ended January 31, 2009, the global slowdown of IT and consumer spending impacted each of our segments as net revenue increased only 1.2% from the prior-year comparable period (4.2% on a constant currency basis). The Services segment was the largest contributor to total HP net revenue growth as a result of the acquisition of EDS. U.S. net revenue increased 15% to \$10.1 billion for the first quarter of fiscal 2009, while international net revenue decreased 5% to \$18.7 billion.

The net revenue increase in Services was due primarily to revenue increases in infrastructure technology outsourcing, application services and business process outsourcing primarily as a result of our acquisition of EDS in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. Net revenue in technology services was flat due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, offset by growth in extended warranty and IT solution support services revenue.

Net revenue in Corporate Investments and Other declined as a result of the slowing IT spend environment.

Net revenue in HP Software declined in both the Business Technology Optimization ("BTO") and other software business units due primarily to revenue declines in license and services partially offset by increases in support revenue.

The net revenue decline in ESS was driven by declines in industry standard servers, business critical systems and storage. The slowing global economy drove the declines in each of the business units. Industry standard servers experienced both volume and average unit price declines and was the largest business unit contributor to the decline in ESS revenue.

IPG experienced net revenue declines across each of the commercial and consumer hardware business units along with the supplies business unit. Volume declines across each of the business units were a result of the softness in both the business and consumer economic environments.

The PSG net revenue decline was the result of the overall slowdown in the global economy, including a reversing trend in the growth in emerging markets. PSG volumes declined by 4% while average selling prices ("ASPs") declined in both consumer clients and in commercial clients.

The HPFS net revenue decrease was due to unfavorable currency movement.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Gross Margin

The weighted components of the change in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue as compared to the prior-year period were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Services | (1.0) |
| Enterprise Storage and Servers | (0.9) |
| Corporate Investments/Other | 0.1 |
| Personal Systems Group | 0.5 |
| Imaging and Printing Group | |
| HP Software | |
| HP Financial Services | |
| Total HP | (1.3) |

The Services contribution to the overall decline in HP gross margin and the decline in Services gross margin on a year-over-year basis was a result of a mix impact from the acquisition of the EDS business, which has lower gross margins. Each of the Services business units experienced an increase in gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the prior-year period.

The decrease in ESS gross margin was due primarily to competitive pricing, business mix shifts, and unfavorable currency impacts in all of the business units, as well as a product mix shift to entry level products in business critical systems.

Gross margin in Corporate Investments and Other declined as a result of a volume decline.

PSG gross margin declined in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, despite its positive contribution to the overall change in HP gross margin. The gross margin decline in PSG was the result primarily of ASPs declining at a faster pace than component costs, and a mix shift toward lower-end models.

The increase in IPG gross margin was driven primarily by favorable impacts from an increased supplies mix and supplies price increases.

The improvement in HP Software gross margin resulted primarily from a favorable revenue mix with more higher-margin support revenue and less lower-margin services revenue.

The HPFS gross margin decline was due primarily to higher bad debt expenses and lower margins in used equipment sales.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

Total research and development ("R&D") expense decreased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the prior-year period due primarily to favorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro as well as effective cost controls. As a percentage of net revenue, except for HP Software, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in R&D expense for the three months ended January 31, 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expense decreased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 from the corresponding prior-year period, due primarily to favorable currency impacts related to the movement of the dollar against the euro as well as effective cost management, partially offset by

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

additional expenses related to the EDS acquisition. As a percentage of net revenue, each of our major segments experienced a year-over-year decrease in SG&A expense for the three months ended January 31, 2009.

Amortization of Purchased Intangible Assets

The increase in amortization expense for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in the prior year was due primarily to amortization expenses related to the EDS acquisition as well as other acquisitions made subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

In-Process Research and Development Charges

We recorded \$6 million of in-process research and development ("IPR&D") charges for the first quarter of fiscal 2009. IPR&D charges are incurred in connection with our acquisitions.

Restructuring

Restructuring charges for the three months ended January 31, 2009 were \$146 million, which included \$150 million for severance and facility costs related to the fiscal 2008 restructuring plan and a reduction of \$4 million related to adjustments to prior fiscal year plans.

Restructuring charges for the three months ended January 31, 2008 were \$10 million. These charges were due primarily to adjustments for severance and facility costs associated with restructuring programs implemented in fiscal years 2005, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Workforce Rebalancing

As part of our ongoing business operations, we incurred workforce rebalancing charges for severance and related costs within certain business segments during the first three months of fiscal 2009. Workforce rebalancing activities are considered part of normal operations as we continue to optimize our cost structure. Workforce rebalancing costs are included in our business segment results, and we expect to incur additional workforce rebalancing costs in the future.

Acquisition-related Charges

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we recorded acquisition-related charges of \$48 million for consultant integration costs and retention bonuses associated with our acquisition of EDS.

Interest and Other, Net

Interest and other, net decreased by \$304 million for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2008. The decrease resulted primarily from currency losses on balance sheet remeasurement items, lower interest income as a result of lower interest rates, as well as higher interest expenses due to higher average debt balances.

Provision for Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 18.0% and 20.6% for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, respectively. Our effective tax rate generally differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due to the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from our operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. We have not provided U.S. taxes for such earnings because we plan to reinvest those earnings indefinitely outside the United States. There were no material discrete items affecting the tax rate for the three months ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, respectively.

Segment Information

A description of the products and services for each segment can be found in Note 16 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements. Future changes to this organizational structure may result in changes to the business segments disclosed.

Technology Solutions Group

Services, ESS and HP Software are structured beneath TSG. The results of the business segments of TSG are described in more detail below.

Services

As a result of the acquisition of EDS, we renamed our services segment and reorganized the business units within that segment to better align to our enhanced services portfolio. The business reorganization resulted in three new business units: application services, infrastructure technology outsourcing and business process outsourcing. As part of this reorganization, the businesses included in the former consulting and integration business unit were divided among the application services and technology services business units and the HP Software segment. In addition, the businesses included in the former outsourcing services business unit were divided among the infrastructure technology outsourcing and business process outsourcing business units. Further, the managed print services offering under technology services was moved to IPG.

The combined segment results below refer to the results of our services business for the three months ended January 31, 2008 combined with the EDS results for the three months ended December 31, 2007. The combined segment results are presented for informational purposes only and are not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved had the businesses been operated together during that period.

| | Three months ended January 31 | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | 2009 In millions | Historical Results 2008 ^(a) In millions | % Increase | Combined Segment Results 2008 ^(b) In millions | % (Decrease) Increase |
| | | | | | |
| Net revenue | \$8,746 | \$ 4,052 | 115.8% | \$ 9,884 | (11.5)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 1,123 | \$ 499 | 125.1% | \$ 927 | 21.1 % |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 12.8% | 12.3% | | 9.4% | |

(a) Reflects certain reclassifications made to historical results to conform to the current year presentation as noted in Note 1 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1.

(b) Refers to the results of HP Services for the three months ended January 31, 2008 combined with the EDS results for the three months ended December 31, 2007. In order to conform the presentation to our segment earnings from operations, we excluded certain EDS expenses that we do not allocate to our segments.

Historical Results

Services net revenue increased 115.8% (121.4% when adjusted for currency) for the three months ended January 31, 2009, as compared to the same periods in fiscal 2008 due primarily to the EDS acquisition. Services net revenue for the three months ended January 31, 2009 includes revenue from infrastructure technology outsourcing, technology services, application services and business process outsourcing, which accounted for approximately 46%, 28%, 18% and 8% of revenues, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year periods by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Infrastructure technology outsourcing | 66.8 |
| Application services | 31.7 |
| Business process outsourcing | 17.5 |
| Technology services | (0.2) |
| Total Services | 115.8 |

Net revenue in infrastructure technology outsourcing increased due primarily to the EDS acquisition, partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts and contractual pricing adjustments. Net revenue in application services increased due primarily to the EDS acquisition, partially offset by unfavorable currency impacts and slowing demand in the current economic environment. Net revenue in business process outsourcing increased due primarily to the EDS acquisition. Net revenue in technology services was flat due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts, offset by growth in extended warranty and IT solution support services revenue.

Services earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased by 0.5 percentage points for the three months ended January 31, 2009. The operating margin increase was due primarily to the decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of revenue, partially offset by a decrease in gross margin. The operating expense decline was a result of continued focus on cost structure improvements from overall cost controls. The decline in gross margin was due primarily to the mix effect from the acquisition of EDS.

Combined Segment Results

Services net revenue decreased 11.5% (3.9% when adjusted for currency) for the three months ended January 31, 2009, as compared to the prior period combined segment results presented in the table above. Net revenue for the prior period combined segment results includes revenue from infrastructure technology outsourcing, technology services, application services and business process outsourcing, which accounted for approximately 46%, 25%, 20% and 9% of revenues, respectively. Further, Services net revenue for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the prior period combined segment results reflects a weighted net revenue decline in the infrastructure technology outsourcing, application services and business process outsourcing business units of 5.9%, 3.6% and 2.0%, respectively, while net revenue for technology services was relatively flat. The net revenue decline was due primarily to an unfavorable currency impact and lower add-on business due to the slowing economic environment.

Services earnings from operations as a percentage of net segment revenue increased by 3.4 percentage points for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the prior period combined segment results. The operating margin increase was the result of an increase in gross margin and a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The gross margin increase was due primarily to the continued focus on cost structure improvements generated by delivery efficiencies and cost controls. The continued improvements in our operating expense structure contributed to the decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue compared to the prior year.

Enterprise Storage and Servers

| | Three months ended January 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Decrease |
| | In millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 3,948 | \$ 4,820 | (18.1)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 405 | \$ 673 | (39.8)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 10.3% | 14.0% | |

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|---------------------------|--|
| Industry standard servers | (13.8) |
| Business critical systems | (3.0) |
| Storage | (1.3) |
| Total ESS | (18.1) |

ESS net revenue decreased 18.1% (14.4% when adjusted for currency) in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2008 due to the economic slowdown and overall declines in business IT spending. Industry standard servers ("ISS") net revenue declined 22%, with declines in both volume and average unit prices in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2008. The ISS blades business, however, continued to outperform overall ISS performance. Total ESS blades revenue increased by 4% for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 when compared to the prior-year period. Business critical systems net revenue decreased 17% for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the prior-year period, impacted by the planned phase-out of the PA-RISC and Alpha Server product lines and a decline in integrity server revenue resulting from a decrease in customer capital purchases. Storage net revenue decreased 7% in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the prior-year period due to declines in tape, media, commercial automation and EVA revenue, the effect of which was partially offset by the revenue resulting from the acquisition of Lefthand Networks.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, ESS earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 3.7 percentage points compared to the same period in fiscal 2008, due to a decrease in gross margin that was partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. Gross margin decreased due primarily to competitive pricing, business mix shifts, and unfavorable currency impacts in all the business units, as well as product mix shift to entry level products in business critical systems. The decrease in operating expense as a percentage of net revenue was due to continued cost structure improvements including lower compensation expense.

HP Software

| | Three months ended January 31 | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % (Decrease) Increase |
| | In millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 878 | \$ 947 | (7.3)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 140 | \$ 49 | 185.7 % |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 15.9% | 5.2% | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Other software | (4.8) |
| Business technology optimization | (2.5) |
| Total HP Software | (7.3) |

HP Software net revenue decreased 7.3% (4.3% when adjusted for currency) for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2008 due to softening enterprise spending. Declines in revenue from licenses and services were partially offset by increased support revenue as a result of renewal rate increases. Net revenue for other software decreased 14% for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 from the corresponding prior-year period, due primarily to declines in revenues for communication and media solutions and business intelligence solutions, the effect of which was partially offset by revenue growth in information management driven by our acquisition of Tower Software in May 2008. Net revenue from BTO decreased 4% for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 from the corresponding prior-year period, due primarily to declines in license and service revenues, the effect of which was partially offset by growth in support revenues.

The operating margin improvement of 10.7 percentage points for HP Software for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2008 was due primarily to an increase in gross margin coupled with a decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The improvement in gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 resulted primarily from a favorable revenue mix with more higher-margin support revenue and less lower-margin services revenue. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was due primarily to effective cost controls in sales, marketing and integration administrative expenses related to acquisitions.

Personal Systems Group

| | Three months ended January 31 | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Decrease |
| In millions | | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 8,787 | \$ 10,791 | (18.6)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 435 | \$ 628 | (30.7)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 5.0% | 5.8% | |

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|--------------|--|
| Desktop PCs | (10.2) |
| Notebook PCs | (7.0) |
| Workstations | (1.2) |
| Handhelds | (0.3) |
| Other | 0.1 |
| Total PSG | (18.6) |

PSG net revenue decreased 18.6% (15.5% when adjusted for currency) for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2008. The revenue decline is the result of the

overall slowdown in the global economy, including a reversing trend in the growth of emerging markets. PSG net revenue decreased across all geographies and all businesses. Unit volumes decreased by 4% for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2008. An increase in notebook PC volumes was more than offset by declines in desktop PCs, workstations, and handheld devices. The unit volume increase in notebook PCs was due in part to growth of the HP and Compaq MiniNote PCs. Net revenue for notebook PCs decreased 13%, while net revenue for desktop PCs decreased 25% from the prior-year period. Handheld revenue declined 35% from the prior-year period. Net revenue for consumer clients decreased 18%, while net revenue for commercial clients decreased 19% from the prior-year period. The net revenue increase in Other PSG was related primarily to increased sales of extended warranties and third-party branded options. The PSG unit volume decrease was intensified by ASP declines of 17% in consumer clients and 16% in commercial clients. ASPs declined from the prior-year period as a result of a competitive pricing environment, component cost reductions and the impact of currency combined with a mix shift toward lower-end models and a decline in the monitor attach rate.

PSG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased by 0.8 percentage points for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the same period in fiscal 2008. The decrease was due primarily to a decrease in gross margin, which was partially offset by a decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The decline in gross margin was the result primarily of ASPs declining at a faster pace than component costs and a mix shift toward lower-end models, the effect of which was partially offset by lower warranty and supply chain costs and an increase in higher-margin option attach rates. The decline in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue was the result of continued cost controls, including lower compensation expense.

Imaging and Printing Group

| | Three months ended | | |
|--|--------------------|---------|---------------|
| | January 31 | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Decrease |
| | In millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$5,981 | \$7,357 | (18.7)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$1,105 | \$1,142 | (3.2)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 18.5% | 15.5% | |

The components of weighted net revenue growth as compared to the prior-year period by business unit were as follows:

| | Three months ended January 31, 2009 Percentage Points |
|---------------------|--|
| Commercial hardware | (8.8) |
| Consumer hardware | (5.6) |
| Supplies | (4.3) |
| Total IPG | (18.7) |

IPG net revenue decreased 18.7% (17.5% when adjusted for currency) for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the prior-year comparable period due to a decline in economic conditions in the marketplace with customers delaying printer purchases. In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, net revenue for commercial hardware, consumer hardware and supplies declined 34%, 37% and 7%, respectively. The net revenue decline in commercial hardware was across all regions and was driven by a unit volume decline of 39% due to market weaknesses impacting both our laser and our graphics businesses. The net revenue decline in consumer hardware was across all regions as well and was driven by a unit volume decline of 31% reflecting the impact of the current global economic

slowdown. The decline in supplies net revenue was across all platforms as customers sought to reduce expenses and was partially moderated by supplies price increases.

Despite the revenue decline, IPG earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue increased 3.0 percentage points for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2008. Operating margin improvement in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was a combination of increased gross margin and decreased operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue. The improvement in gross margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 resulted primarily from an increase in the supplies mix and supplies price increases, the effect of which was partially offset by hardware margin declines. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was due primarily to effective cost controls, including lower compensation expense.

HP Financial Services

| | Three months ended January 31 | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Decrease % |
| | In millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 636 | \$ 642 | (0.9)% |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 41 | \$ 43 | (4.7)% |
| Earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | 6.4% | 6.7% | |

For the three months ended January 31, 2009, HPFS net revenue decreased by 0.9% as compared to the prior-year comparable period. The net revenue decrease was due primarily to unfavorable currency movements. On a constant currency basis, net revenue increased due primarily to portfolio growth, increased operating lease mix, higher buyouts and used equipment sales, the effect of which was offset by lower end of lease activity.

Earnings from operations as a percentage of net revenue decreased 0.3 percentage points for the three months ended January 31, 2009. The decrease is due primarily to higher bad debt and lower used equipment sales margin due to compression in proceeds, the effect of which was offset by lower expenses due to cost controls.

Financing Originations

| | Three months ended January 31 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Total financing originations | \$ 1,078 | \$ 1,056 |

New financing originations, which represent the amounts of financing provided to customers for equipment and related software and services and includes intercompany activity, increased 2.1% in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the same period in fiscal 2008. The increase was driven by higher financing associated with HP product sales resulting from improved integration and engagement with HP's sales efforts offset by an unfavorable currency impact.

Portfolio Assets and Ratios

HPFS maintains a strategy to generate a competitive return on equity by effectively leveraging its portfolio against the risks associated with interest rates and credit. The HPFS business model is asset-intensive and uses certain internal metrics to measure its performance against other financial services companies, including a segment balance sheet that is derived from our internal management reporting system. The accounting policies used to derive these amounts are substantially the same as those used by the consolidated company. However, certain intercompany loans and accounts that are reflected in the segment balances are eliminated in our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The portfolio assets and ratios derived from the segment balance sheet for HPFS were as follows:

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| In millions | | |
| Portfolio assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 8,313 | \$ 8,297 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts ⁽²⁾ | 97 | 90 |
| Operating lease equipment reserve | 64 | 60 |
| Total reserves | 161 | 150 |
| Net portfolio assets | \$ 8,152 | \$ 8,147 |
| Reserve coverage | 1.9% | 1.8% |
| Debt to equity ratio ⁽³⁾ | 6.6x | 6.5x |

- (1) Portfolio assets include gross financing receivables of approximately \$5.1 billion at both January 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008 and net equipment under operating leases of \$1.8 billion at January 31, 2009 and at October 31, 2008, as disclosed in Note 9 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference. Portfolio assets also include capitalized profit on intercompany equipment transactions of approximately \$600 million at January 31, 2009 and \$700 million at October 31, 2008 and intercompany leases of approximately \$800 million at both January 31, 2009 and at October 31, 2008, both of which are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Allowance for doubtful accounts includes both the short-term and the long-term portions of the allowance on financing receivables.
- (3) HPFS debt consists of intercompany equity that is treated as debt for segment reporting purposes, intercompany debt and debt issued directly by HPFS.

Net portfolio assets at January 31, 2009 increased 0.1% from October 31, 2008. The increase resulted from higher levels of financing originations during the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the effect of which was offset by an unfavorable currency impact and increased reserves. The overall percentage of portfolio assets reserves increased due primarily to higher specific customer reserves. In addition to the balances reflected above, HP assumed net portfolio assets of \$109 million through the acquisition of EDS.

Roll-forward of Reserves

| | October 31, 2008 | Additions to allowance | Deductions, net of recoveries | January 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| In millions | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 90 | \$ 10 | \$ (3) | \$ 97 |
| Operating lease equipment reserve | 60 | 7 | (3) | 64 |
| Total reserves | \$ 150 | \$ 17 | \$ (6) | \$ 161 |

The roll-forward for the period ended January 31, 2009 includes write-offs, net of recoveries, of \$13 million.

Corporate Investments

| | Three months ended January 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Decrease |
| | In millions | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 196 | \$ 218 | (10.1)% |
| (Loss) earnings from operations | \$ (19) | \$ 8 | (337.5)% |
| (Loss) earnings from operations as a % of net revenue | (9.7)% | 3.7% | |

The majority of net revenue in Corporate Investments relates to network infrastructure products sold under the brand "ProCurve Networking." For the three months ended January 31, 2009, revenue from network infrastructure products decreased 10% compared to the same period in fiscal 2008 as a result of decreased sales of enterprise class gigabit and 10/100 Ethernet switch products. Partially offsetting the revenue decline were revenues resulting from the acquisition of Colubris Networks, Inc., which HP acquired in October 2008.

Corporate Investments reported a loss from operations for the first three months of fiscal 2009 as compared to the positive earnings from operations reported for the same period in fiscal 2008 due primarily to lower earnings from operations generated by network infrastructure products and higher expenses due primarily to integration costs from the Colubris acquisition, increased investments in R&D, and the expenses associated with corporate development, global alliances and HP Labs that are carried in the segment.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash balances are held in numerous locations throughout the world, including substantial amounts held outside of the United States. Most of the amounts held outside of the United States could be repatriated to the United States but, under current law, would be subject to United States federal income taxes, less applicable foreign tax credits. Repatriation of some foreign balances is restricted by local laws. We have provided for the United States federal tax liability on these amounts for financial statement purposes, except for foreign earnings that are considered indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. Repatriation could result in additional United States federal income tax payments in future years. Where local restrictions prevent an efficient intercompany transfer of funds, our intent is that cash balances would remain outside of the United States and we would meet United States liquidity needs through ongoing cash flows, external borrowings, or both. We utilize a variety of tax planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that our worldwide cash is available in the locations in which it is needed.

FINANCIAL CONDITION (Sources and Uses of Cash)

| | Three months ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| | January 31 | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | In millions | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 1,126 | \$ 3,187 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (975) | (701) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 885 | (3,876) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,036 | \$ (1,390) |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by approximately \$2.1 billion for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2008. The decrease

was due primarily to lower accounts payable, and higher accounts and financing receivables, partially offset by changes in current assets and liabilities.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$274 million for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2008 due primarily to higher net investments in property, plant and equipment associated with growth in our leasing and outsourced services businesses.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities increased by approximately \$4.8 billion for the three months ended January 31, 2009 as compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2008 due primarily to the issuance of debt, commercial paper and notes payable, and lower share repurchases.

Common Stock Repurchases

We repurchase shares of our common stock under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee benefit plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. We completed share repurchases of approximately 34 million shares for approximately \$1.2 billion in the first three months of fiscal 2009. We had completed share repurchases of approximately 72 million shares for approximately \$3.3 billion in the first three months of fiscal 2008.

We intend to continue to repurchase shares as a means to manage dilution from the issuance of shares under employee benefit plans and to purchase shares opportunistically. As of January 31, 2009, we had remaining authorization of approximately \$7.9 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by our Board of Directors on September 19, 2008. For more information on our share repurchases, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Key Performance Metrics

| | January 31, 2009 | October 31, 2008 |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable | 46 | 45 |
| Days of supply in inventory | 31 | 27 |
| Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable | (46) | (49) |
| Cash conversion cycle | 31 | 23 |

Days of sales outstanding in accounts receivable ("DSO") measures the average number of days our receivables are outstanding. DSO is calculated by dividing ending accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, by a 90-day average net revenue.

Days of supply in inventory ("DOS") measures the average number of days from procurement to sale of our product. DOS is calculated by dividing ending inventory by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Days of purchases outstanding in accounts payable ("DPO") measures the average number of days our accounts payable balances are outstanding. DPO is calculated by dividing ending accounts payable by a 90-day average cost of goods sold.

Our working capital requirements depend upon our effective management of the cash conversion cycle, which represents effectively the number of days that elapse from the day we pay for the purchase

of raw materials to the collection of cash from our customers. The cash conversion cycle is the sum of DSO and DOS less DPO.

The slight increase in DSO was due primarily to lower net revenue, offset by lower billings in the latter part of the current quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The increase in DOS was due primarily to lower cost of sales in the current quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of 2008 and strategic buys, partially offset by lower inventory levels. The decrease in DPO was due primarily to lower purchases in the latter part of the current quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 as indicated by lower inventory levels, partially offset by lower revenue and cost of sales in the current quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. These changes contributed to the increase in our current year cash conversion cycle compared to the prior year.

LIQUIDITY

As previously discussed, we use cash generated by operations as our primary source of liquidity. We believe that internally generated cash flows are generally sufficient to support business operations, capital expenditures and the payment of stockholder dividends, in addition to a level of discretionary investments and share repurchases. We are able to supplement this near-term liquidity, if necessary, with broad access to capital markets and credit line facilities made available by various foreign and domestic financial institutions.

We maintain debt levels that we establish through consideration of a number of factors, including cash flow expectations, cash requirements for operations, investment plans (including acquisitions), share repurchase activities, and our overall cost of capital. Outstanding borrowings increased to \$20.5 billion as of January 31, 2009 as compared to \$17.9 billion at October 31, 2008, bearing weighted-average interest rates of 3.4% and 3.9%, respectively. Short-term borrowings at January 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008 were \$10.2 billion each. Long-term debt increased to \$10.3 billion at January 31, 2009 from \$7.7 billion at October 31, 2008. The increase in long-term debt was due primarily to the issuance of an aggregate of \$2.0 billion in global notes in December 2008. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we issued \$18.1 billion and repaid \$18.1 billion of commercial paper. As of January 31, 2009, we had \$6.8 billion in total borrowings collateralized by certain financing receivable assets.

We issue debt in order to finance HPFS and as needed for other purposes, including acquisitions. HPFS has a business model that is asset-intensive in nature and therefore we fund HPFS more by debt than we fund our other business segments. At January 31, 2009, HPFS had approximately \$8.2 billion in net portfolio assets, which included short-term and long-term financing receivables and operating lease assets.

At January 31, 2009, we had the following resources available to obtain short-term or long-term financings if we need additional liquidity:

| | Original amount available | At January 31, 2009 | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | Used | Available |
| In millions | | | |
| Uncommitted lines of credit | \$ 2,600 | \$ 1,200 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,400 |
| Commercial paper programs | | | |
| U.S. | 16,000 | 7,000 | 9,000 |
| Euro. | 500 | 200 | 300 |
| | \$ 19,100 | \$ 8,400 | \$ 10,700 |

(1) Approximately \$836 million of this amount was recorded as debt as of January 31, 2009; the remaining amount was used to satisfy business operational requirements.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In addition to the financing resources listed above, we had the additional borrowing resources described below.

In May 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement (the "2006 Shelf Registration Statement") with the SEC to enable us to offer and sell, from time to time, in one or more offerings, an unlimited amount of debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and warrants.

We have a \$2.9 billion U.S. credit facility expiring in May 2012. In February and July 2008, we entered into additional 364-day credit facilities of \$3.0 billion and \$8.0 billion, respectively. The February 2008 credit facility expired in February 2009, at which time we entered into a new \$3.5 billion 364-day credit facility. The credit facilities are senior unsecured committed borrowing arrangements that we put in place primarily to support our U.S. commercial paper program. Under the terms of the July 2008 credit facility, the amount of credit available declines in an amount equal to the proceeds of any future issuance of long-term debt by us. In December 2008 and February 2009, we issued \$2.0 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively, in global notes, which reduced the amount of credit available under the July 2008 credit facility to \$3.2 billion. Our ability to have a U.S. commercial paper outstanding balance that exceeds the \$9.6 billion supported by our credit facilities is subject to a number of factors, including liquidity conditions and business performance.

In October 2008, we registered for the Commercial Paper Funding Facility ("CPFF") provided by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The facility enables us to issue three-month unsecured commercial paper through a special purpose vehicle of the Federal Reserve at a rate established by the CPFF program, which is currently equal to a spread over the three-month overnight index swap rate. The maximum amount of commercial paper that we may issue at any time through this program is \$10.4 billion less the total principal amount of all other outstanding commercial paper that we have issued. As of January 31, 2009, we had not issued any commercial paper under the CPFF program. In February 2009, the Federal Reserve extended the CPFF program through October 30, 2009.

We have revolving trade receivables-based facilities permitting us to sell certain trade receivables to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The aggregate maximum capacity under these programs was \$569 million as of January 31, 2009. We sold \$549 million of trade receivables during the three months ended January 31, 2009. As of January 31, 2009, we had \$167 million available under these programs.

Our credit risk is evaluated by three independent rating agencies based upon publicly available information as well as information obtained in our ongoing discussions with them. Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings currently rate our senior unsecured long-term debt A, A2 and A+ and our short-term debt A-1, Prime-1 and F1, respectively. We do not have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of a material amount of our debt. However, a downgrade in our credit rating would increase the cost of borrowings under our credit facilities. Also, a downgrade in our credit rating could limit our ability to issue commercial paper under our current programs. If this occurs, we would seek alternative sources of funding, including drawdowns under our credit facility or the issuance of notes under our existing shelf registration statements.

Contractual Obligations

At January 31, 2009, our unconditional purchase obligations are approximately \$3.0 billion, compared with \$3.3 billion as previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding on us and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Purchase obligations exclude agreements that are cancelable without significant penalty. These purchase obligations are related principally to cost of sales, inventory and other items.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In addition to the above, at January 31, 2009, we had approximately \$1.7 billion of recorded FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties. Of this liability amount, approximately \$270 million is expected to be paid within one year. For the remaining amount, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate as to when cash settlement with tax authorities might occur due to the uncertainties related to these tax matters. The \$1.7 billion of FIN 48 liabilities and related interest and penalties will be partially offset by \$350 million of deferred tax assets and interest receivable.

Funding Commitments

We previously disclosed in our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008 that we expected to contribute approximately \$360 million to our non U.S. pension plans and approximately \$35 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants in fiscal 2009. We also noted that we expected to pay approximately \$70 million to cover benefit claims for our post-retirement benefit plans. As of January 31, 2009, we have made approximately \$157 million of contributions to non-U.S. pension plans, paid \$15 million to cover benefit payments to U.S. non-qualified plan participants, and paid \$12 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans. We presently anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$235 million to our non U.S. pension plans and approximately \$20 million to our U.S. non-qualified plan participants and expect to pay up to \$55 million to cover benefit claims under post-retirement benefit plans during the remainder of fiscal 2009. Our pension and other post-retirement benefit costs and obligations are dependent on various assumptions. Differences between expected and actual returns on investments will be reflected as unrecognized gains or losses, and such gains or losses will be amortized and recorded in future periods. Poor financial performance of asset markets in any year could lead to increased contributions in certain countries and increased future pension plan expense. Asset gains or losses are determined at the measurement date and amortized over the remaining service life or life expectancy of plan participants. Our next expected measurement date is October 31, 2009. At this time, it is not possible for us to accurately predict the future movements in the capital markets or the related plan funding requirements, both of which will impact future pension expense. Our funding policy is to contribute cash to our pension plans so that we meet at least the minimum contribution requirements, as established by local government and funding and taxing authorities. We expect to use contributions made to the post-retirement benefit plans primarily for the payment of retiree health claims incurred during the fiscal year.

As a result of our approved restructuring plans, we expect future cash expenditures of approximately \$1.7 billion. We expect to make cash payments of approximately \$1.0 billion within one year and the majority of the remaining amount through 2012. In addition, we continue to evaluate our businesses and current market conditions and we may incur additional cash flow expenditures for future restructuring actions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As part of our ongoing business, we have not participated in transactions that generate material relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities ("SPEs"), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of January 31, 2009, we are not involved in any material unconsolidated SPEs.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide certain clients, principally governmental entities, with subsidiary performance guarantees and/or financial performance guarantees, which may be backed by standby letters of credit or surety bonds. In general, we would be liable for the amounts of these guarantees in the event our or our subsidiaries' nonperformance permits termination of the related contract by our client, the likelihood of which we believe is remote. We believe we are in compliance with our performance obligations under all service contracts for which there is a performance guarantee.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

As a result of the acquisition of EDS, we acquired certain service contracts supported by client financing or securitization arrangements. Under specific circumstances involving nonperformance resulting in service contract termination or failure to comply with terms under the financing arrangement we would be required to acquire certain assets. We consider the possibility of our failure to comply to be remote and the asset amounts involved not material.

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangement from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of us or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments we have made related to these indemnifications have been immaterial.

FACTORS THAT COULD AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

Because of the following factors, as well as other variables affecting our operating results, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margin and prospects.

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all areas of our business, and our competitors may target our key market segments. We compete primarily on the basis of technology, performance, price, quality, reliability, brand, reputation, distribution, range of products and services, ease of use of our products, account relationships, customer training, service and support, security, availability of application software, and Internet infrastructure offerings. If our products, services, support and cost structure do not enable us to compete successfully based on any of those criteria, our operations, results and prospects could be harmed.

Unlike many of our competitors, we have a portfolio of businesses and must allocate resources across these businesses while competing with companies that specialize in one or more of these product lines. As a result, we may invest less in certain areas of our businesses than our competitors do, and these competitors may have greater financial, technical and marketing resources available to them than our businesses that compete against them. Industry consolidation also may affect competition by creating larger, more homogeneous and potentially stronger competitors in the markets in which we compete, and our competitors also may affect our business by entering into exclusive arrangements with existing or potential customers or suppliers.

We may have to continue to lower the prices of many of our products and services to stay competitive, while at the same time trying to maintain or improve revenue and gross margin. The markets in which we do business, particularly the personal computer and printing markets, are highly competitive, and we encounter aggressive price competition for all of our products and services from numerous companies globally. Over the past several years, price competition in the market for personal computers, printers and related products has been particularly intense as competitors have aggressively cut prices and lowered their product margins for these products. In addition, competitors in some of the markets in which we compete with a greater presence in lower-cost jurisdictions may be able to offer lower prices than we are able to offer. Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry-wide pricing pressures.

Because our business model is based on providing innovative and high quality products, we may spend a proportionately greater amount on research and development than some of our competitors. If we cannot proportionately decrease our cost structure on a timely basis in response to competitive price pressures, our gross margin and therefore our profitability could be adversely affected. In addition, if our pricing and other factors are not sufficiently competitive, or if there is an adverse reaction to our

product decisions, we may lose market share in certain areas, which could adversely affect our revenue and prospects.

Even if we are able to maintain or increase market share for a particular product, revenue could decline because the product is in a maturing industry. Revenue and margins also could decline due to increased competition from other types of products. For example, refill and remanufactured alternatives for some of HP's LaserJet toner and inkjet cartridges compete with HP's supplies business. In addition, other companies have developed and marketed new compatible cartridges for HP's LaserJet and inkjet products, particularly in jurisdictions outside of the United States where adequate intellectual property protection may not exist. HP expects competitive refill and remanufacturing and cloned cartridge activity to continue to pressure margins in IPG, which in turn has a significant impact on HP margins and profitability overall.

If we cannot continue to develop, manufacture and market products and services that meet customer requirements for innovation and quality, our revenue and gross margin may suffer.

The process of developing new high technology products and services and enhancing existing products and services is complex, costly and uncertain, and any failure by us to anticipate customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends accurately could significantly harm our market share and results of operations. We must make long-term investments, develop or obtain appropriate intellectual property and commit significant resources before knowing whether our predictions will accurately reflect customer demand for our products and services. After we develop a product, we must be able to manufacture appropriate volumes quickly and at low costs. To accomplish this, we must accurately forecast volumes, mixes of products and configurations that meet customer requirements, and we may not succeed at all or within a given product's life cycle. Any delay in the development, production or marketing of a new product could result in our not being among the first to market, which could further harm our competitive position.

In the course of conducting our business, we must adequately address quality issues associated with our products and services, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products. In order to address quality issues, we work extensively with our customers and suppliers and engage in product testing to determine the cause of the problem and to determine appropriate solutions. However, we may have limited ability to control quality issues, particularly with respect to faulty components manufactured by third parties. If we are unable to determine the cause, find an appropriate solution or offer a temporary fix (or "patch"), we may delay shipment to customers, which would delay revenue recognition and could adversely affect our revenue and reported results. Finding solutions to quality issues can be expensive and may result in additional warranty, replacement and other costs, adversely affecting our profits. If new or existing customers have difficulty operating our products, our operating margins could be adversely affected, and we could face possible claims if we fail to meet our customers' expectations. In addition, quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our reputation, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Economic conditions and uncertainty could adversely affect our revenue, gross margin and expenses.

The United States and other countries around the world have been experiencing deteriorating economic conditions. There has been an erosion of global consumer confidence amidst concerns over declining asset values, inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, rising unemployment, and the stability and solvency of financial institutions, financial markets, businesses and sovereign nations. A prolonged period of economic decline could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Economic uncertainty also makes it difficult for us to make accurate forecasts of revenue, gross margin and expenses.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Our revenue and gross margin depend significantly on general economic conditions and the demand for computing and imaging products and services in the markets in which we compete. Economic weakness and delays or reductions in IT spending have resulted, and may result in the future, in decreased revenue, gross margin, earnings or growth rates and problems with our ability to manage inventory levels. For example, we have recently experienced reduced spending in our product businesses due to the current financial turmoil affecting the banking system and financial markets, conditions in the residential real estate and mortgage markets, access to credit, labor and healthcare costs, consumer confidence and other macroeconomic factors affecting spending behavior. We have also experienced decreases in purchases of our imaging and printing products and supplies by customers and channel partners as they reduce inventory and conserve cash.

The impact of deteriorating economic conditions on our customers and suppliers could adversely affect our business. Customer financial difficulties have resulted, and could result in the future, in increases in bad debt write-offs and additions to reserves in our receivables portfolio, inability by our lessees to make required lease payments and reduction in the value of leased equipment upon its return to us compared to the value estimated at lease inception. In particular, our exposure to certain industries currently experiencing severe financial difficulties, including the U.S. automobile industry, and certain financially troubled customers could have an adverse affect on our results of operations. In addition, our business may be disrupted if we are unable to obtain equipment, parts and supplies from our suppliers and our suppliers from their suppliers due to the insolvency of key suppliers or the inability of key suppliers to obtain credit.

We also have experienced, and may experience in the future, gross margin declines in certain businesses, reflecting the effect of items such as competitive pricing pressures, inventory write downs, increases in pension and post-retirement benefit expenses, and increases in component and manufacturing costs resulting from higher labor and material costs borne by our manufacturers and suppliers that, as a result of competitive pricing pressures or other factors, we are unable to pass on to our customers.

Adverse economic conditions could cause our expenses to vary materially from our expectations. The failure of derivative counterparties and other financial institutions could negatively impact our treasury operations, as the financial condition of such parties may deteriorate rapidly and without notice in times of market volatility and disruption. Other income and expense could vary materially from expectations depending on changes in interest rates, borrowing costs, currency exchange rates, hedging expenses and the fair value of derivative instruments. Economic downturns also may lead to restructuring actions and associated expenses.

The revenue and profitability of our operations have historically varied, which makes our future financial results less predictable.

Our revenue, gross margin and profit vary among our products and services, customer groups and geographic markets and therefore will likely be different in future periods than our current results. Our revenue depends on the overall demand for our products and services. Delays or reductions in IT spending could materially adversely affect demand for our products and services, which could result in a significant decline in revenues. Overall gross margins and profitability in any given period are dependent partially on the product, customer and geographic mix reflected in that period's net revenue. In particular, IPG and certain of its business units such as printer supplies contribute significantly to our gross margin and profitability. In addition, our services business has contributed significantly to our revenue and operating profit in recent periods. Competition, lawsuits, investigations and other risks affecting those businesses therefore may have a significant impact on our overall gross margin and profitability. Certain segments, and ESS in particular, have a higher fixed cost structure and more variation in gross margins across their business units and product portfolios than others and may therefore experience significant operating profit volatility on a quarterly basis. In addition, newer

geographic markets may be relatively less profitable due to investments associated with entering those markets and local pricing pressures, and we may have difficulty establishing and maintaining the operating infrastructure necessary to support the high growth rate associated with some of those markets. Market trends, competitive pressures, commoditization of products, seasonal rebates, increased component or shipping costs, regulatory impacts and other factors may result in reductions in revenue or pressure on gross margins of certain segments in a given period, which may necessitate adjustments to our operations.

HP's stock price has historically fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate, which may make future prices of HP's stock difficult to predict.

HP's stock price, like that of other technology companies, can be volatile. Some of the factors that could affect our stock price are:

speculation in the press or investment community about, or actual changes in, our business, strategic position, market share, organizational structure, operations, financial condition, financial reporting and results, effectiveness of cost cutting efforts, value or liquidity of our investments, exposure to market volatility, prospects, business combination or investment transactions, or executive team;

the announcement of new products, services, technological innovations or acquisitions by HP or its competitors;

quarterly increases or decreases in revenue, gross margin, earnings or cash flow from operations, changes in estimates by the investment community or guidance provided by HP, and variations between actual and estimated financial results;

announcements of actual and anticipated financial results by HP's competitors and other companies in the IT industry; and

the timing and amount of share repurchases by HP.

General or industry specific market conditions or stock market performance or domestic or international macroeconomic and geopolitical factors unrelated to HP's performance also may affect the price of HP common stock. In particular, the stock market as a whole recently has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many technology companies in ways that may have been unrelated to those companies' operating performance. For these reasons, investors should not rely on recent trends to predict future stock prices, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, following periods of volatility in a company's securities, securities class action litigation against a company is sometimes instituted. If instituted against HP, this type of litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of management time and resources.

Our revenue, cost of sales, and expenses may suffer if we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our businesses depend or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights.

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States, similar laws in other countries, and agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish and maintain intellectual property rights in the technology and products we sell, provide or otherwise use in our operations. However, any of our direct or indirect intellectual property rights could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or otherwise to provide competitive advantages, either of which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions

we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third-party copying or use; this too could adversely affect our competitive position.

Because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third parties. We may not be able to obtain or continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms, or such third parties may demand cross-licenses to our intellectual property. In addition, it is possible that as a consequence of a merger or acquisition third parties may obtain licenses to some of our intellectual property rights or our business may be subject to certain restrictions that were not in place prior to the transaction. Consequently, we may lose a competitive advantage with respect to these intellectual property rights or we may be required to enter into costly arrangements in order to terminate or limit these rights.

Third parties also may claim that we or customers indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. For example, in recent years individuals and groups have begun purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of asserting claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from large companies such as HP. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology at all or on reasonable terms, or substitute similar technology from another source, our operations could be adversely affected. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, they can be time-consuming and costly to defend and divert management's attention and resources away from our business. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements, pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from importing, marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual obligations to us.

Finally, our results of operations and cash flows could be affected in certain periods and on an ongoing basis by the imposition, accrual and payment of copyright levies or similar fees. In certain countries (primarily in Europe), proceedings are ongoing against HP in which groups representing copyright owners seek to impose upon and collect from HP levies upon equipment (such as PCs, multifunction devices and printers) that they allege are copying devices under applicable laws. Other countries that have not imposed levies on these types of devices are expected to extend existing levy schemes, and countries that do not currently have levy schemes may decide to impose copyright levies on these types of devices. If imposed, the total amount of the copyright levies would depend on the types of products determined to be subject to the levy, the number of units of those products sold during the period covered by the levy, and the per unit fee for each type of product, all of which may be affected by several factors, including the outcome of ongoing litigation involving HP and other industry participants and possible action by the legislative bodies in the applicable countries, but which could be substantial. Consequently, the ultimate impact of these potential copyright levies or similar fees, and the ability of HP to recover such amounts through increased prices, remain uncertain.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Due to the international nature of our business, political or economic changes or other factors could harm our future revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition.

Sales outside the United States make up approximately 65% of our net revenue. In addition, an increasing portion of our business activity is being conducted in emerging markets, including Brazil, Russia, India and China. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition could suffer due to a variety of international factors, including:

ongoing instability or changes in a country's or region's economic or political conditions, including inflation, recession, interest rate fluctuations and actual or anticipated military or political conflicts;

longer accounts receivable cycles and financial instability among customers;

trade regulations and procedures and actions affecting production, pricing and marketing of products;

local labor conditions and regulations;

managing a geographically dispersed workforce;

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

differing technology standards or customer requirements;

import, export or other business licensing requirements or requirements relating to making foreign direct investments, which could affect our ability to obtain favorable terms for components or lead to penalties or restrictions;

difficulties associated with repatriating cash generated or held abroad in a tax-efficient manner and changes in tax laws; and

fluctuations in freight costs and disruptions in the transportation and shipping infrastructure at important geographic points of exit and entry for our products and shipments.

The factors described above also could disrupt our product and component manufacturing and key suppliers located outside of the United States. For example, we rely on manufacturers in Taiwan for the production of notebook computers and other suppliers in Asia for product assembly and manufacture.

As approximately 65% of our sales are from countries outside of the United States, other currencies, particularly the euro, the British pound, Chinese Yuan Renminbi and the Japanese yen, can have an impact on HP's results (expressed in U.S. dollars). Currency variations also contribute to variations in sales of products and services in impacted jurisdictions. Accordingly, fluctuations in foreign currency rates, most notably the strengthening of the dollar against the euro, could have a material impact on our revenue growth in future periods. In addition, currency variations can adversely affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States. We use a combination of forward contracts and options designated as cash flow hedges to protect against foreign currency exchange rate risks. The effectiveness of our hedges depends on our ability to accurately forecast future cash flows and foreign currency exchange rate movements, which is particularly difficult during periods of uncertain demand for our products and services and highly volatile exchange rates. As a result, we could incur significant losses from our hedging activities if our forecasts are incorrect. In addition, our hedging activities may be ineffective or may not offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from currency variations. Gains or losses associated with hedging activities also may impact our revenue and to a lesser extent our cost of sales and financial condition.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

In many foreign countries, particularly in those with developing economies, it is common to engage in business practices that are prohibited by laws and regulations applicable to us, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Although we implement policies and procedures designed to facilitate compliance with these laws, our employees, contractors and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, may take actions in violation of our policies. Any such violation, even if prohibited by our policies, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to manage the distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margin and profitability could suffer.

We use a variety of different distribution methods to sell our products and services, including third-party resellers and distributors and both direct and indirect sales to both enterprise accounts and consumers. Successfully managing the interaction of our direct and indirect channel efforts to reach various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and gross margins, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins and therefore our profitability. Other distribution risks are described below.

Our financial results could be materially adversely affected due to channel conflicts or if the financial conditions of our channel partners were to weaken.

Our future operating results may be adversely affected by any conflicts that might arise between our various sales channels, the loss or deterioration of any alliance or distribution arrangement or the loss of retail shelf space. Moreover, some of our wholesale and retail distributors may have insufficient financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes in business conditions, including economic weakness and industry consolidation. Many of our significant distributors operate on narrow product margins and have been negatively affected by business pressures. Considerable trade receivables that are not covered by collateral or credit insurance are outstanding with our distribution and retail channel partners. Revenue from indirect sales could suffer, and we could experience disruptions in distribution if our distributors' financial conditions, abilities to borrow funds in the credit markets or operations weaken.

Our inventory management is complex as we continue to sell a significant mix of products through distributors.

We must manage inventory effectively, particularly with respect to sales to distributors, which involves forecasting demand and pricing issues. Distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high or delay orders in anticipation of new products. Distributors also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors and seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Our reliance upon indirect distribution methods may reduce visibility to demand and pricing issues, and therefore make forecasting more difficult. If we have excess or obsolete inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory. Moreover, our use of indirect distribution channels may limit our willingness or ability to adjust prices quickly and otherwise to respond to pricing changes by competitors. We also may have limited ability to estimate future product rebate redemptions in order to price our products effectively.

We depend on third-party suppliers, and our revenue and gross margin could suffer if we fail to manage suppliers properly.

Our operations depend on our ability to anticipate our needs for components, products and services and our suppliers' ability to deliver sufficient quantities of quality components, products and services at reasonable prices in time for us to meet critical schedules. Given the wide variety of systems, products and services that we offer, the large number of our suppliers and contract manufacturers that

are dispersed across the globe, and the long lead times that are required to manufacture, assemble and deliver certain components and products, problems could arise in planning production and managing inventory levels that could seriously harm us. Other supplier problems that we could face include component shortages, excess supply, risks related to the terms of our contracts with suppliers, risks associated with contingent workers, and risks related to our relationships with single source suppliers, as described below.

Shortages. Occasionally we may experience a shortage of, or a delay in receiving, certain supplies as a result of strong demand, capacity constraints, and supplier financial weaknesses, inability of suppliers to borrow funds in the credit markets, disputes with suppliers (some of which are also customers), disruptions in the operations of component suppliers, other problems experienced by suppliers or problems faced during the transition to new suppliers. In particular, our PC business relies heavily upon Contract Manufacturers ("CMs") and Original Design Manufacturers ("ODMs") to manufacture its products and is therefore dependent upon the continuing operations of those CMs and ODMs to fulfill demand for our PC products. HP represents a substantial portion of the business of some of these CMs and ODMs, and any changes to the nature or volume of business transacted by HP with a particular CM or ODM could adversely affect the operations and financial condition of the CM or ODM and lead to shortages or delays in receiving products from that CM or ODM. If shortages or delays persist, the price of these supplies may increase, we may be exposed to quality issues or the supplies may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough supplies at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build products or provide services in a timely manner in the quantities or according to the specifications needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margin could suffer as we could lose time-sensitive sales, incur additional freight costs or be unable to pass on price increases to our customers. If we cannot adequately address supply issues, we might have to reengineer some products or service offerings, resulting in further costs and delays.

Oversupply. In order to secure supplies for the provision of products or services, at times we may make advance payments to suppliers or enter into non-cancelable commitments with vendors. In addition, we may purchase supplies strategically in advance of demand to take advantage of favorable pricing or to address concerns about the availability of future supplies. If we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, a temporary oversupply could result in excess or obsolete components, which could adversely affect our gross margin.

Contractual terms. As a result of binding price or purchase commitments with vendors, we may be obligated to purchase supplies or services at prices that are higher than those available in the current market and be limited in our ability to respond to changing market conditions. In the event that we become committed to purchase supplies or services for prices in excess of the current market price, we may be at a disadvantage to competitors who have access to components or services at lower prices, and our gross margin could suffer. In addition, many of our competitors obtain products or components from the same CMs, ODMs and suppliers that we utilize. Our competitors may obtain better pricing and other terms and more favorable allocations of products and components during periods of limited supply, and our ability to engage in relationships with certain CMs, ODMs and suppliers could be limited. The practice employed by our PC business of purchasing product components and transferring those components to its CMs and ODMs may create large supplier receivables with the CMs and ODMs that, depending on the financial condition of the CMs and ODMs, may have risk of uncollectability. In addition, certain of our CMs, ODMs and suppliers may decide in the future to discontinue conducting business with us. Any of these actions by our competitors, CMs, ODMs or suppliers could adversely affect our future operating results and financial condition.

Contingent workers. We also rely on third-party suppliers for the provision of contingent workers, and our failure to manage our use of such workers effectively could adversely affect our results

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

of operations. We have been exposed to various legal claims relating to the status of contingent workers in the past and could face similar claims in the future. We may be subject to shortages, oversupply or fixed contractual terms relating to contingent workers, as described above. Our ability to manage the size of, and costs associated with, the contingent workforce may be subject to additional constraints imposed by local laws.

Single source suppliers. Our use of single source suppliers for certain components could exacerbate our supplier issues. We obtain a significant number of components from single sources due to technology, availability, price, quality or other considerations. For example, we rely on Intel Corporation to provide us with a sufficient supply of processors for many of our PCs, workstations, handheld computing devices and servers, and some of those processors are customized for our products. New products that we introduce may utilize custom components obtained from only one source initially until we have evaluated whether there is a need for additional suppliers. Replacing a single source supplier could delay production of some products as replacement suppliers initially may be subject to capacity constraints or other output limitations. For some components, such as customized components and some of the processors that we obtain from Intel, alternative sources may not exist or those alternative sources may be unable to produce the quantities of those components necessary to satisfy our production requirements. In addition, we sometimes purchase components from single source suppliers under short-term agreements that contain favorable pricing and other terms but that may be unilaterally modified or terminated by the supplier with limited notice and with little or no penalty. The performance of such single source suppliers under those agreements (and the renewal or extension of those agreements upon similar terms) may affect the quality, quantity and price of supplies to HP. The loss of a single source supplier, the deterioration of our relationship with a single source supplier, or any unilateral modification to the contractual terms under which we are supplied components by a single source supplier could adversely affect our revenue and gross margins.

If we do not effectively manage our product and services transitions, our revenue may suffer.

Many of the industries in which we compete are characterized by rapid technological advances in hardware performance and software features and functionality; frequent introduction of new products; short product life cycles; and continual improvement in product price characteristics relative to product performance. Among the risks associated with the introduction of new products and services are delays in development or manufacturing, variations in costs, delays in customer purchases or reductions in price of existing products in anticipation of new introductions, difficulty in predicting customer demand for the new offerings and effectively managing inventory levels so that they are in line with anticipated demand, risks associated with customer qualification and evaluation of new products and the risk that new products may have quality or other defects or may not be supported adequately by application software. If we do not make an effective transition from existing products and services to future offerings, our revenue may decline.

Our revenue and gross margin also may suffer due to the timing of product or service introductions by our suppliers and competitors. This is especially challenging when a product has a short life cycle or a competitor introduces a new product just before our own product introduction. Furthermore, sales of our new products and services may replace sales, or result in discounting of some of our current offerings, offsetting the benefit of even a successful introduction. There also may be overlaps in the current products and services of HP and portfolios acquired through mergers and acquisitions that we must manage. In addition, it may be difficult to ensure performance of new customer contracts in accordance with our revenue, margin and cost estimates and to achieve operational efficiencies embedded in our estimates. Given the competitive nature of our industry, if any

of these risks materializes, future demand for our products and services and our results of operations may suffer.

Our revenue and profitability could suffer if we do not manage the risks associated with our IT services business properly.

As a result of our acquisition of EDS in August 2008, we have significantly increased the size of the IT services portion of our business. The risks that accompany that business differ from those of our other businesses and include the following:

The pricing and other terms of some of our IT services agreements, particularly our long-term IT outsourcing services agreements, require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts that could differ from actual results. Any increased or unexpected costs or unanticipated delays in connection with the performance of these engagements, including delays caused by factors outside our control, could make these agreements less profitable or unprofitable, which would have an adverse affect on the profit margin of our IT services business.

Some of our IT services agreements require significant investment in the early stages that is expected to be recovered through billings over the life of the agreement. These agreements often involve the construction of new computer systems and communications networks and the development and deployment of new technologies. Substantial performance risk exists in each agreement with these characteristics, and some or all elements of service delivery under these agreements are dependent upon successful completion of the development, construction and deployment phases.

Some of our outsourcing services agreements contain pricing provisions that permit a client to request a benchmark study by a mutually acceptable third-party. The benchmarking process typically compares the contractual price of our services against the price of similar services offered by other specified providers in a peer comparison group, subject to agreed upon adjustment and normalization factors. Generally, if the benchmarking study shows that our pricing has a difference outside a specified range, and the difference is not due to the unique requirements of the client, then the parties will negotiate in good faith any appropriate adjustments to the pricing. This may result in the reduction of our rates for the benchmarked services performed after the implementation of those pricing adjustments, which could decrease the revenues and profitability of our IT services business.

If we fail to comply with our customer contracts or government contracting regulations, our revenue could suffer.

Our contracts with our customers may include unique and specialized performance requirements. In particular, our contracts with federal, state, provincial and local governmental customers are subject to various procurement regulations, contract provisions and other requirements relating to their formation, administration and performance. Any failure by us to comply with the specific provisions in our customer contracts or any violation of government contracting regulations could result in the imposition of various civil and criminal penalties, which may include termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments and, in the case of our government contracts, fines and suspension from future government contracting. In addition, we are currently, and in the future may be, subject to *qui tam* litigation brought by private individuals on behalf of the government relating to our government contracts, which could include claims for up to treble damages. Further, any negative publicity related to our customer contracts or any proceedings surrounding them, regardless of its accuracy, may damage our business by affecting our ability to compete for new contracts. If our customer contracts are terminated, if we are suspended from government work, or if our ability to compete for new contracts is adversely affected, we could suffer a material reduction in expected revenue.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

We make estimates and assumptions in connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Financial Statements, and any changes to those estimates and assumptions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In connection with the preparation of HP's Consolidated Financial Statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions based on historical experience and other factors. Our most critical accounting estimates are described in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report. In addition, as discussed in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, we make certain estimates under the provisions of SFAS No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies," including decisions related to provisions for legal proceedings and other contingencies. While we believe that these estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances, they are subject to significant uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. Should any of these estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Unanticipated changes in HP's tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items in intercompany transactions. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision. However, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the United States, is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the United States. Any of these changes could affect our profitability.

Our sales cycle makes planning and inventory management difficult and future financial results less predictable.

In some of our segments, our quarterly sales often have reflected a pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of each quarter's total sales occur towards the end of such quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes prediction of revenue, earnings, cash flow from operations and working capital for each financial period difficult, increases the risk of unanticipated variations in quarterly results and financial condition and places pressure on our inventory management and logistics systems. If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, there will be excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, we may not be able to fulfill all of the orders received in the last few weeks of each quarter. Other developments late in a quarter, such as a systems failure, component pricing movements, component shortages or global logistics disruptions, could adversely impact inventory levels and results of operations in a manner that is disproportionate to the number of days in the quarter affected.

We experience some seasonal trends in the sale of our products that also may produce variations in quarterly results and financial condition. For example, sales to governments (particularly sales to the United States government) are often stronger in the third calendar quarter, consumer sales are often stronger in the fourth calendar quarter, and many customers whose fiscal and calendar years are the same spend their remaining capital budget authorizations in the fourth calendar quarter prior to new budget constraints in the first calendar quarter of the following year. European sales are often weaker

during the summer months. Demand during the spring and early summer also may be adversely impacted by market anticipation of seasonal trends. Moreover, to the extent that we introduce new products in anticipation of seasonal demand trends, our discounting of existing products may adversely affect our gross margin prior to or shortly after such product launches. Typically, our third fiscal quarter is our weakest and our fourth fiscal quarter is our strongest. Many of the factors that create and affect seasonal trends are beyond our control.

Any failure by us to execute on our strategy for operational efficiency successfully could result in total costs and expenses that are greater than expected.

We have adopted an operating framework that includes a disciplined focus on operational efficiency. As part of this framework, we have adopted several initiatives, including a multi-year program announced in the third fiscal quarter of 2006 to reduce real estate costs by consolidating several hundred HP real estate locations worldwide to fewer core sites, and a multi-year process of examining every function and every one of our businesses and functions in order to optimize efficiency and reduce cost.

Our ability to achieve the anticipated cost savings and other benefits from these initiatives within the expected time frame is subject to many estimates and assumptions, including estimates and assumptions regarding the cost of consolidating real estate locations, the amount of accelerated depreciation or asset impairment to be incurred when we vacate facilities or cease using equipment before the end of their respective lease term or asset life, and the costs and timing of other activities in connection with these initiatives. These estimates and assumptions are subject to significant economic, competitive and other uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. If these estimates and assumptions are incorrect, if we experience delays, or if other unforeseen events occur, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, in September 2008, we announced a workforce restructuring program relating to our acquisition of EDS. We expect that program to involve the elimination of approximately 25,000 positions worldwide through fiscal 2012. We expect to replace approximately half of these positions in locations that will optimize our global footprint. Significant risks associated with these actions and other workforce management issues that may impair our ability to achieve anticipated cost reductions or may otherwise harm our business include delays in implementation of workforce reductions in highly regulated locations outside of the United States, particularly in Europe and Asia, delays in hiring and integrating new employees, decreases in employee morale and the failure to meet operational targets due to the loss of employees.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate key employees, and failure to do so could seriously harm us.

In order to be successful, we must attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales, marketing and IT support positions. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, engineers, skilled solutions providers in the IT support business and qualified sales representatives are critical to our future, and competition for experienced employees in the IT industry can be intense. The failure to hire executives and key employees or the loss of executives and key employees could have a significant impact on our operations.

Changes to our compensation and benefit programs could adversely affect our ability to attract and retain employees.

Like other companies, HP has implemented changes to its compensation programs intended to reduce fixed costs, create a high performance culture at all levels and provide an opportunity for employees to earn significant rewards if HP delivers strong financial results. These changes included

reducing base pay for many employees; lowering the cap on matching contributions under the HP 401(k) Plan; making the funding of the HP 401(k) Plan matching contributions fully discretionary depending on quarterly business results; and eliminating the purchase price discount for shares purchased under the HP Share Ownership Plan, all of which were announced in February 2009. HP also has reduced the total number of share-based payment awards granted to employees and the number of employees who receive share-based payment awards. In addition, effective in fiscal 2008, HP changed its primary form of share-based payment award from time-vesting stock options to performance-based restricted stock units that contain conditions relating to HP's long-term financial performance and continued employment by the recipient that may be viewed unfavorably by some employees who are accustomed to the fixed vesting and other terms historically associated with other forms of share-based payment awards. Due to these changes in our compensation programs, we may find it difficult to attract, retain and motivate employees, and any such difficulty could materially adversely affect our business. Moreover, difficulties relating to obtaining stockholder approval of equity compensation plans could limit our ability to grant share-based payment awards to employees in the future.

System security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or information technology services provided to customers, and any such disruption could reduce our expected revenue, increase our expenses, damage our reputation and adversely affect our stock price.

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security and misappropriate our confidential information or that of third parties, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. Computer programmers and hackers also may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we produce or procure from third parties may contain defects in design or manufacture, including "bugs" and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with the operation of the system. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and the efforts to address these problems could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential customers that may impede our sales, manufacturing, distribution or other critical functions.

Our outsourcing services business routinely processes, stores and transmits large amounts of data for our clients, including sensitive and personally identifiable information. Breaches of our security measures could expose us, our customers or the individuals affected to a risk of loss or misuse of this information, resulting in litigation and potential liability for us and damage to the company's brand and reputation. Accordingly, we could lose existing or potential customers for outsourcing services or other information technology solutions or incur significant expenses in connection with our customers' system failures or any actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products. In addition, the cost and operational consequences of implementing further data protection measures could be significant.

Portions of our IT infrastructure also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time consuming, disruptive and resource-intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact our ability to fulfill orders and interrupt other processes. Delayed sales, lower margins or lost customers resulting from these disruptions have adversely affected in the past, and in the future could adversely affect, our financial results, stock price and reputation.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Business disruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.

Our worldwide operations could be subject to earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, tsunamis, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics and other natural or manmade disasters or business interruptions, for which we are predominantly self-insured. The occurrence of any of these business disruptions could seriously harm our revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses. Our corporate headquarters, and a portion of our research and development activities, are located in California, and other critical business operations and some of our suppliers are located in California and Asia, near major earthquake faults. In addition, all six of our worldwide IT data centers are located in the southern United States, making our operations more vulnerable to natural disasters or other business disruptions occurring in that geographical area. The ultimate impact on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure of being located near major earthquake faults and being consolidated in certain geographical areas is unknown, but our revenue, profitability and financial condition could suffer in the event of a major earthquake or other natural disaster.

Terrorist acts, conflicts and wars may seriously harm our business and revenue, costs and expenses and financial condition and stock price.

Terrorist acts, conflicts or wars (wherever located around the world) may cause damage or disruption to HP, our employees, facilities, partners, suppliers, distributors, resellers or customers. The potential for future attacks, the national and international responses to attacks or perceived threats to national security, and other actual or potential conflicts or wars, including the ongoing military operations in Iraq, have created many economic and political uncertainties. In addition, as a major multi national company with headquarters and significant operations located in the United States, actions against or by the United States may impact our business or employees. Although it is impossible to predict the occurrences or consequences of any such events, they could result in a decrease in demand for our products, make it difficult or impossible to deliver products to our customers or to receive components from our suppliers, create delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and result in the need to impose employee travel restrictions. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts, conflicts and wars.

Any failure by us to identify, manage, complete and integrate acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions successfully could harm our financial results, business and prospects, and the costs, expenses and other financial and operational effects associated with managing, completing and integrating acquisitions may result in financial results that are different than expected.

As part of our business strategy, we frequently acquire complementary companies or businesses, divest non-core businesses or assets, enter into strategic alliances and joint ventures and make investments to further our business (collectively, "business combination and investment transactions"). In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates for and successfully complete business combination and investment transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post-closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees. We may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits of any business combination and investment transaction, and the timeframe for achieving benefits of a business combination and investment transaction may depend partially upon the actions of employees, suppliers or other third parties. In addition, the pricing and other terms of our contracts for business combination and investment transactions require us to make estimates and assumptions at the time we enter into these contracts, and, during the course of our due diligence, we may not identify all of the factors necessary to estimate our costs accurately. Any increased or unexpected costs, unanticipated delays or failure to achieve contractual obligations could make these transactions less profitable or unprofitable. Moreover, if we fail to identify and complete

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

successfully business combination and investment transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to expend resources to develop products and technology internally, we may be at a competitive disadvantage or we may be adversely affected by negative market perceptions, any of which may have a material adverse effect on our revenue, gross margin and profitability.

Integration issues are complex, time-consuming and expensive and, without proper planning and implementation, could significantly disrupt our business. The challenges involved in integration include:

combining product offerings and entering into new markets in which we are not experienced;

convincing customers and distributors that the transaction will not diminish client service standards or business focus, preventing customers and distributors from deferring purchasing decisions or switching to other suppliers (which could result in our incurring additional obligations in order to address customer uncertainty), minimizing sales force attrition and coordinating sales, marketing and distribution efforts;

consolidating and rationalizing corporate IT infrastructure, which may include multiple legacy systems from various acquisitions and integrating software code;

minimizing the diversion of management attention from ongoing business concerns;

persuading employees that business cultures are compatible, maintaining employee morale and retaining key employees, engaging with employee works councils representing an acquired company's non-U.S. employees, integrating employees into HP, correctly estimating employee benefit costs and implementing restructuring programs;

coordinating and combining administrative, manufacturing, research and development and other operations, subsidiaries, facilities and relationships with third parties in accordance with local laws and other obligations while maintaining adequate standards, controls and procedures;

achieving savings from supply chain integration; and

managing integration issues shortly after or pending the completion of other independent transactions.

Integration and other risks associated with business combination and investment transactions can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions. For example, in August 2008, we completed our acquisition of EDS, and we are in the process of integrating EDS into our company. The size of the acquisition of EDS increases both the scope and consequence of ongoing integration risks. We may not successfully address the integration challenges in a timely manner, or at all, and we may not fully realize all of the anticipated benefits or synergies of the EDS acquisition. If we fail to realize such anticipated benefits or synergies, our operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Managing business combination and investment transactions requires varying levels of management resources, which may divert our attention from other business operations. These business combination and investment transactions also have resulted and in the future may result in significant costs and expenses and charges to earnings, including those related to severance pay, early retirement costs, employee benefit costs, asset impairment charges, charges from the elimination of duplicative facilities and contracts, in-process research and development charges, inventory adjustments, assumed litigation and other liabilities, legal, accounting and financial advisory fees, and required payments to executive officers and key employees under retention plans. Moreover, HP has incurred and will incur additional depreciation and amortization expense over the useful lives of certain assets acquired in connection with business combination and investment transactions, and, to the extent that the value of goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite lives acquired in connection with a business combination and investment transaction becomes impaired, we may be required to incur additional material charges

relating to the impairment of those assets. In order to complete an acquisition, we may issue common stock, potentially creating dilution for existing stockholders. In addition, we may borrow to finance an acquisition, and the amount and terms of any potential future acquisition-related borrowings, as well as other factors, could affect our liquidity and financial condition and potentially our credit ratings. Any potential future downgrades in our credit rating associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our ability to borrow and cost of borrowing and result in more restrictive borrowing terms. In addition, HP's effective tax rate on an ongoing basis is uncertain, and business combination and investment transactions could impact our effective tax rate. We also may experience risks relating to the challenges and costs of closing a business combination and investment transaction and the risk that an announced business combination and investment transaction may not close. As a result, any completed, pending or future transactions may contribute to financial results that differ from the investment community's expectations in a given quarter.

Unforeseen environmental costs could impact our future net earnings.

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations concerning environmental protection, including laws addressing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the content of our products and the recycling, treatment and disposal of our products including batteries. In particular, we face increasing complexity in our product design and procurement operations as we adjust to new and future requirements relating to the chemical and materials composition of our products, their safe use, the energy consumption associated with those products and product take-back legislation. We could incur substantial costs, our products could be restricted from entering certain jurisdictions, and we could face other sanctions, if we were to violate or become liable under environmental laws or if our products become non-compliant with environmental laws. Our potential exposure includes fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party property damage or personal injury claims and clean up costs. Further, liability under some environmental laws relating to contaminated sites can be imposed retroactively, on a joint and several basis, and without any finding of noncompliance or fault. The amount and timing of costs under environmental laws are difficult to predict.

Some anti-takeover provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could impair a takeover attempt.

We have provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each of which could have the effect of rendering more difficult or discouraging an acquisition of HP deemed undesirable by our Board of Directors. These include provisions:

authorizing blank check preferred stock, which HP could issue with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to our common stock;

limiting the liability of, and providing indemnification to, HP's directors and officers;

specifying that HP stockholders may take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of stockholders and otherwise in accordance with our bylaws and limiting the ability of our stockholders to call special meetings;

requiring advance notice of proposals by HP stockholders for business to be conducted at stockholder meetings and for nominations of candidates for election to our Board of Directors;

requiring a vote by the holders of two-thirds of HP's outstanding shares to amend certain bylaws relating to HP stockholder meetings, the Board of Directors and indemnification; and

controlling the procedures for conduct of HP Board and stockholder meetings and election, appointment and removal of HP directors.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

These provisions, alone or together, could deter or delay hostile takeovers, proxy contests and changes in control or management of HP. As a Delaware corporation, HP also is subject to provisions of Delaware law, including Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prevents some stockholders from engaging in certain business combinations without approval of the holders of substantially all of HP's outstanding common stock.

Any provision of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws or Delaware law that has the effect of delaying or deterring a change in control of HP could limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of HP common stock and also could affect the price that some investors are willing to pay for HP common stock.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting HP, see "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" in Item 7A of Part II, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since October 31, 2008.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to HP, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to HP's management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recently completed fiscal quarter. Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting during that quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The information set forth above under Note 15 contained in the "Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements" is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

A description of factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results is included under "Factors that Could Affect Future Results" in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contained in Item 2 of Part I of this report. This description includes any material changes to the risk factor disclosure in Item 1A of Part I of our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Month #1 | | | | |
| (November 2008) | 19,685,900 | \$ 38.10 | 19,685,900 | \$ 8,344,420,636 |
| Month #2 | | | | |
| (December 2008) | 7,334,466 | \$ 34.80 | 7,334,466 | \$ 8,089,166,724 |
| Month #3 | | | | |
| (January 2009) | 6,482,933 | \$ 35.91 | 6,482,933 | \$ 7,856,395,331 |
| Total | 33,503,299 | \$ 36.95 | 33,503,299 | |

HP repurchased shares in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 under an ongoing program to manage the dilution created by shares issued under employee stock plans as well as to repurchase shares opportunistically. This program, which does not have a specific expiration date, authorizes repurchases in the open market or in private transactions. All shares repurchased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 were purchased in open market transactions.

As of January 31, 2009, HP had remaining authorization of approximately \$7.9 billion for future share repurchases under the \$8.0 billion repurchase authorization approved by HP's Board of Directors on September 19, 2008.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The Exhibit Index beginning on page 86 of this report sets forth a list of exhibits.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

/s/ CATHERINE A. LESJAK

Catherine A. Lesjak
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized
Signatory)*

Date: March 10, 2009

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|-------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 2(a) | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Electronic Data Systems Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company and Hawk Merger Corporation. | 8-K/A | 001-04423 | 2.1 | May 13, 2008 |
| 2(b) | Amendment No. 1 to Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Electronic Data Systems Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company and Hawk Merger Corporation. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 2.1 | July 25, 2008 |
| 3(a) | Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 3(a) | June 12, 1998 |
| 3(b) | Registrant's Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 3(b) | March 16, 2001 |
| 3(c) | Registrant's Amended and Restated By-Laws effective January 14, 2009. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 3.1 | January 20, 2009 |
| 4(a) | Form of Senior Indenture. | S-3 | 333-30786 | 4.1 | March 17, 2000 |
| 4(b) | Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and Floating Rate Note and related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 | May 24, 2001 |
| 4(c) | Form of Registrant's 6.50% Global Note due July 1, 2012, and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.2 and 4.3 | June 27, 2002 |
| 4(d) | Form of Registrant's Fixed Rate Note and form of Floating Rate Note. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1 and 4.2 | December 11, 2002 |
| 4(e) | Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2000, between | S-3 | 333-134327 | 4.9 | June 7, 2006 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

the Registrant and J.P.
Morgan Trust
Company, National
Association (formerly
Chase Manhattan
Bank), as Trustee.

| | | | | | |
|------|--|-----|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 4(f) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due March 1, 2012, form of 5.25% Global Note due March 1, 2012 and form of 5.40% Global Note due March 1, 2017. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 28, 2007 |
|------|--|-----|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|---|-------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 4(g) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2009 and Floating Rate Global Note due June 15, 2010. | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 4(l) | September 7, 2007 |
| 4(h) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due September 3, 2009, 4.50% Global Note due March 1, 2013 and 5.50% Global Note due March 1, 2018. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 | February 29, 2008 |
| 4(i) | Form of Registrant's 6.125% Global Note due March 1, 2014 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1 and 4.2 | December 8, 2008 |
| 4(j) | Form of Registrant's Floating Rate Global Note due February 24, 2011, 4.250% Global Note due February 24, 2012 and 4.750% Global Note due June 2, 2014 and form of related Officers' Certificate. | 8-K | 001-04423 | 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 | February 27, 2009 |
| 4(k) | Speciman certificate for the Registrant's common stock. | 8-A/A | 001-04423 | 4.1 | June 23, 2006 |
| 10(a) | Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | S-8 | 333-114253 | 4.1 | April 7, 2004 |
| 10(b) | Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, amended and restated effective September 17, 2008.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(b) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(c) | Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, amended and restated effective November 1, 2005.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.4 | November 23, 2005 |
| 10(d) | Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(d) | June 8, 2007 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-------|--|------|-----------|-------|------------------|
| 10(e) | Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, amended and restated effective May 1, 2007.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(e) | June 8, 2007 |
| 10(f) | Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(f) | January 21, 2003 |
| 10(g) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(g) | January 21, 2003 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(h) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(h) | January 21, 2003 |
| 10(i) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan, amended and restated effective November 21, 2002.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(i) | January 21, 2003 |
| 10(j) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1985 Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors.* | S-3 | 333-86378 | 10.5 | April 18, 2002 |
| 10(k) | Amendment of Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, effective September 3, 2001.* | S-3 | 333-86378 | 10.11 | April 18, 2002 |
| 10(l) | Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Former Nonemployee Replacement Option Plan.* | S-3 | 333-86378 | 10.9 | April 18, 2002 |
| 10(m) | Registrant's Excess Benefit Retirement Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2006.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | September 21, 2006 |
| 10(n) | Hewlett-Packard Company Cash Account Restoration Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2005.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.3 | November 23, 2005 |
| 10(o) | Registrant's 2005 Pay-for-Results Plan.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.5 | November 23, 2005 |
| 10(p) | Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.1 | September 21, 2006 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

2006.*

| | | | | | |
|-------|--|------|-----------|-------|-------------------|
| 10(q) | First Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q) | June 8, 2007 |
| 10(r) | Employment Agreement, dated March 29, 2005, between Registrant and Mark V. Hurd.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.1 | March 30, 2005 |
| 10(s) | Employment Agreement, dated June 9, 2005, between Registrant and R. Todd Bradley.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(x) | September 8, 2005 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(t) | Employment Agreement, dated July 11, 2005, between Registrant and Randall D. Mott.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(y) | September 8, 2005 |
| 10(u) | Registrant's Amended and Restated Severance Plan for Executive Officers.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 99.1 | July 27, 2005 |
| 10(v) | Form letter to participants in the Registrant's Pay-for-Results Plan for fiscal year 2006.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(w) | March 10, 2006 |
| 10(w) | Registrant's Executive Severance Agreement.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(x) | Registrant's Executive Officers Severance Agreement.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(y) | Form letter regarding severance offset for restricted stock and restricted units.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | March 22, 2005 |
| 10(z) | Form of Indemnity Agreement between Compaq Computer Corporation and its executive officers.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(x)(x) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(a)(a) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 2001 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1998 Stock Option Plan, as amended, the Compaq Computer Corporation 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(a)(a) | June 8, 2007 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

Equity Incentive Plan,
as amended.*

| | | | | | |
|----------|---|------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| 10(b)(b) | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended, and Registrant's 1995 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(b)(b) | June 8, 2007 |
|----------|---|------|-----------|----------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(c)(c) | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(c)(c) | June 8, 2007 |
| 10(d)(d) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 1990 Incentive Stock Plan, as amended.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(e) | January 27, 2000 |
| 10(e)(e) | Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement and Option Agreement for Registrant's 1997 Director Stock Plan, as amended.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(j)(j) | March 11, 2005 |
| 10(f)(f) | Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation 1989 Equity Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(w)(w) | June 13, 2002 |
| 10(g)(g) | Forms of Stock Option Notice for the Compaq Computer Corporation Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | January 14, 2005 |
| 10(h)(h) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan, as amended.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(t)(t) | January 14, 2005 |
| 10(i)(i) | Amendment One to the Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2004 Program.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q)(q) | September 8, 2005 |
| 10(j)(j) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement for the 2005 Program.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | September 8, 2005 |
| 10(k)(k) | Form of Long-Term Performance Cash Award Agreement.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(o)(o) | March 10, 2006 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|----------|---|------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| 10(l)(l) | Second Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective October 1, 2006.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(l)(l) | December 18, 2007 |
| 10(m)(m) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of performance-based restricted units.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.1 | January 24, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|---|------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(n)(n) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (California).* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.2 | January 24, 2008 |
| 10(o)(o) | Form of Agreement Regarding Confidential Information and Proprietary Developments (Texas).* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(o)(o) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(p)(p) | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(p)(p) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(q)(q) | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(q)(q) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(r)(r) | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(r)(r) | March 10, 2008 |
| 10(s)(s) | Form of Special Performance-Based Cash Incentive Notification Letter.* | 8-K | 001-04423 | 10.1 | May 20, 2008 |
| 10(t)(t) | Form of Option Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(t)(t) | June 6, 2008 |
| 10(u)(u) | Form of Common Stock Payment Agreement for Registrant's 2000 Stock Plan.* | 10-Q | 001-04423 | 10(u)(u) | June 6, 2008 |
| 10(v)(v) | Third Amendment to the Registrant's 2005 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective August 1, 2008.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(v)(v) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(w)(w) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of restricted stock | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(w)(w) | December 18, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

units.*

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---|------|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| 10(x)(x) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of performance-based restricted units.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(x)(x) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(y)(y) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of non-qualified stock options.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(y)(y) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(z)(z) | Form of Stock Notification and Award Agreement for awards of restricted stock.* | 10-K | 001-04423 | 10(z)(z) | December 18, 2008 |
| 10(a)(a)(a) | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Registrant's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.* | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | File No. | Exhibit(s) | |
| 10(b)(b)(b) | First Amendment to the Hewlett-Packard Company Excess Benefit Retirement Plan.* | | | | |
| 11 | None. | | | | |
| 12 | Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. | | | | |
| 15 | None. | | | | |
| 18-19 | None. | | | | |
| 22-24 | None. | | | | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. | | | | |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. | | | | |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | | | | |

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Filed herewith.

Furnished herewith.

Edgar Filing: HEWLETT PACKARD CO - Form 10-Q

The registrant agrees to furnish to the Commission supplementally upon request a copy of (1) any instrument with respect to long-term debt not filed herewith as to which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis and (2) any omitted schedules to any material plan of acquisition, disposition or reorganization set forth above.

QuickLinks

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Earnings (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Item 6. Exhibits.

SIGNATURE

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES EXHIBIT INDEX