UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORP \PA\ Form 10-Q August 10, 2009

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-12031

#### UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 23-2372688

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

375 Phillips Boulevard Ewing, New Jersey (Address of principal executive offices)

08618

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (609) 671-0980

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| Large accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting                | Accelerated filer X                            |
|---|--|
| company)  | Smaller reporting company                      |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell com Act). Yes No X | pany (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange |
| As of August 3, 2009, the registrant had outstanding 36,453,729 sh          | nares of common stock.                         |
|   |  |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# <u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>

| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>                                    |           |
|--|-----------|
| Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008                  | <u>3</u>  |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008  | <u>4</u>  |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations – Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008    | <u>5</u>  |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008    | <u>6</u>  |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements   | <u>7</u>  |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of |           |
| <u>Operations</u>  | <u>14</u> |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk                 | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>   | <u>19</u> |
| <u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>   |           |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings  | <u>20</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>   | <u>21</u> |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds                | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>                                     | <u>21</u> |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders                        | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u>   | <u>22</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>  | <u>23</u> |
|  |           |

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

| ASSETS CURRENT ASSETS:  |    | June 30,<br>2009                      | D  | ecember 31,<br>2008 |
|---|----|---------------------------------------|----|---------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ | 9,881,422                             | \$ | 28,321,581          |
| Short-term investments  | Ф  | 58,248,626                            | φ  | 49,132,619          |
| Accounts receivable   |    | 1,760,763                             |    | 2,450,444           |
|   |    | 1,700,703                             |    | 2,430,444           |
| Inventory Other current assets  |    | 560,464                               |    | 462,908             |
| Other current assets  |    | 300,404                               |    | 402,908             |
| Total current assets  |    | 70,452,605                            |    | 80,369,761          |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of                        |    | , ,                                   |    | , ,                 |
| \$14,922,162 and \$13,902,617   |    | 11,949,755                            |    | 12,859,628          |
| ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of                           |    | ,, 1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |    | ,,,                 |
| \$14,868,910 and \$14,021,374   |    | 2,081,808                             |    | 2,929,344           |
| OTHER ASSETS  |    | 236,908                               |    | 69,772              |
|   |    | 200,000                               |    | 05,1.2              |
| TOTAL ASSETS  | \$ | 84,721,076                            | \$ | 96,228,505          |
|   |    |                                       |    |                     |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUIT   | ГΥ |                                       |    |                     |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES:  |    |                                       |    |                     |
| Accounts payable  | \$ | 1,542,610                             | \$ | 1,585,015           |
| Accrued expenses  |    | 3,889,312                             |    | 5,296,433           |
| Deferred license fees   |    | 6,148,267                             |    | 6,148,267           |
| Deferred revenue  |    | 2,002,450                             |    | 2,739,790           |
|   |    | 12 702 620                            |    | 1.5.50.50.5         |
| Total current liabilities   |    | 13,582,639                            |    | 15,769,505          |
| DEFERRED LICENSE FEES   |    | 3,066,238                             |    | 3,407,037           |
| DEFERRED REVENUE  |    | 262,500                               |    | 337,500             |
| STOCK WARRANT LIABILITY   |    | 2,808,578                             |    | _                   |
| Total liabilities   |    | 19,719,955                            |    | 19,514,042          |
| Total Habilities  |    | 19,719,933                            |    | 19,514,042          |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 8)  |    |                                       |    |                     |
|   |    |                                       |    |                     |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:   |    |                                       |    |                     |
| Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000 |    |                                       |    |                     |
| shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding          |    |                                       |    |                     |
| (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500,000)                            |    | 2,000                                 |    | 2,000               |

 Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 50,000,000 shares authorized,

 36,441,975 and 36,131,981 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and

 December 31, 2008, respectively
 364,420
 361,320

 Additional paid-in capital
 253,117,552
 256,696,849

 Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities
 105,311
 126,497

 Accumulated deficit
 (188,588,162)
 (180,472,203)

| Total shareholders' equity | 65,001,121 | 76,714,463 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|
|                            |            |            |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY \$ 84,721,076 \$ 96,228,505

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

# UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

|   | Three Month    |                |
|---|----------------|----------------|
|   | 2009           | 2008           |
| REVENUE:  |                |                |
| Commercial revenue  | \$ 1,239,056   | \$ 1,395,487   |
| Developmental revenue                                       | 1,717,298      | 750,111        |
|   |                |                |
| Total revenue   | 2,956,354      | 2,145,598      |
|   |                |                |
| OPERATING EXPENSES:   |                |                |
| Cost of chemicals sold                                      | 318,191        | 246,962        |
| Research and development                                    | 5,324,695      | 4,377,329      |
| Selling, general and administrative                         | 2,715,071      | 2,679,944      |
| Patent costs  | 823,729        | 676,024        |
| Royalty and license expense                                 | 85,431         | 95,284         |
|   |                |                |
| Total operating expenses                                    | 9,267,117      | 8,075,543      |
|   |                |                |
| Operating loss  | (6,310,763)    | (5,929,945)    |
| INTEREST INCOME   | 188,593        | 737,368        |
| INTEREST EXPENSE  | (298)          | (13,213)       |
| LOSS ON STOCK WARRANT LIABILITY                             | (292,710)      | _              |
|   |                |                |
| NET LOSS  | \$ (6,415,178) | \$ (5,205,790) |
|   |                |                |
| BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE                 | \$ (0.18)      | \$ (0.15)      |
|   |                |                |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES USED IN COMPUTING BASIC AND DILUTED |                |                |
| NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE                                   | 36,383,255     | 35,900,554     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

## UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

|   | Six Months Ended June |                         |    | *                         |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|----|---------------------------|
| DEVENIUE.   |                       | 2009                    |    | 2008                      |
| REVENUE: Commercial revenue                         | \$                    | 2,608,193               | Ф  | 2,950,552                 |
| Developmental revenue                               | φ                     | 3,182,019               | Ф  | 1,911,865                 |
| Developmental revenue                               |                       | 3,102,017               |    | 1,711,003                 |
| Total revenue                                       |                       | 5,790,212               |    | 4,862,417                 |
|   |                       |                         |    |                           |
| OPERATING EXPENSES:                                 |                       |                         |    |                           |
| Cost of chemicals sold                              |                       | 489,178                 |    | 442,438                   |
| Research and development                            |                       | 10,543,757              |    | 8,817,467                 |
| Selling, general and administrative                 |                       | 5,338,016               |    | 5,053,490                 |
| Patent costs  |                       | 1,555,260               |    | 1,387,410                 |
| Royalty and license expense                         |                       | 168,362                 |    | 198,469                   |
|   |                       |                         |    |                           |
| Total operating expenses                            |                       | 18,094,573              |    | 15,899,274                |
| Operating loss                                      | (                     | 12 204 261)             | (  | (11.026.057)              |
| INTEREST INCOME                                     | (                     | (12,304,361)<br>441,993 | (  | (11,036,857)<br>1,656,562 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE                                    |                       | (2,941)                 |    | (18,880)                  |
| LOSS ON STOCK WARRANT LIABILITY                     |                       | (2,941) $(119,468)$     |    | (10,000)                  |
| EOSS CIVOTOCK WINDLEST I                            |                       | (11),100)               |    |                           |
| NET LOSS  | \$(                   | (11,984,777)            | \$ | (9,399,175)               |
|   |                       |                         |    |                           |
| BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE         | \$                    | (0.33)                  | \$ | (0.26)                    |
|   |                       |                         |    |                           |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES USED IN COMPUTING BASIC AND |                       |                         |    |                           |
| DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE                   |                       | 36,341,840              |    | 35,835,600                |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

## UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# $\begin{array}{c} \text{CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS} \\ \text{(UNAUDITED)} \end{array}$

|  | Six months En 2009 | ded June 30,<br>2008 |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:  | _009               | _000                 |
| Net loss   | \$ (11,984,777)    | \$ (9,399,175)       |
| Non-cash charges to statement of operations:                                 |                    |                      |
| Depreciation   | 1,036,126          | 926,330              |
| Amortization of intangibles  | 847,536            | 847,536              |
| Amortization of premium and discount on investments, net                     | (266,946)          | (827,330)            |
| Stock-based employee compensation  | 989,611            | 801,339              |
| Stock-based non-employee compensation  | 6,518              | 4,119                |
| Non-cash expense under a development agreement                               | 582,301            | 558,035              |
| Stock-based compensation to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board | 176,511            | 233,613              |
| Loss on stock warrant liability  | 119,468            |                      |
| (Increase) decrease in assets:   |                    |                      |
| Accounts receivable  | 689,681            | 665,751              |
| Inventory  | 879                | 38,956               |
| Other current assets   | (97,556)           | (67,326)             |
| Other assets   | (167,136)          | 5,000                |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities:  |                    |                      |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | 422,769            | 1,201,365            |
| Deferred license fees  | (340,799)          | (255,800)            |
| Deferred revenue   | (812,340)          | (261,371)            |
| Net cash used in operating activities  | (8,798,154)        | (5,528,958)          |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:  |                    |                      |
| Purchase of property and equipment   | (126,253)          | (481,573)            |
| Purchase of short-term investments   | (52,014,248)       | (50,542,476)         |
| Proceeds from sale of short-term investments                                 | 43,144,000         | 60,824,000           |
| Troceeds from suic of short term investments                                 | 13,111,000         | 00,021,000           |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities                          | (8,996,501)        | 9,799,951            |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  |                    |                      |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:  |                    |                      |
| Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options and warrants              | 198,970            | 2,148,183            |
| Payment of withholding taxes related to stock-based employee compensation    | (844,474)          | (749,770)            |
|  |                    |                      |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities                          | (645,504)          | 1,398,413            |
|  |                    |                      |
| (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS                             | (18,440,159)       | 5,669,406            |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD                               | 28,321,581         | 33,870,696           |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD                                     | \$ 9,881,422       | \$ 39,540,102        |
| The following non-cash activities occurred:                                  |                    |                      |

| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities                                 | \$<br>21,186 | \$ | 14,367  |
|--|--------------|----|---------|
| Common stock issued to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board that was |              |    |         |
| earned in a previous period  | 309,802      |    | 299,968 |
| Common stock issued to employees that was earned in a previous period, net of    |              |    |         |
| shares withheld for taxes  | 838,831      |    | 880,352 |
| Common stock issued for royalties that was earned in a previous period           | 81,954       |    | 66,403  |
| Common stock issued to non-employee that was earned in a previous period         | _            | _  | 991     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

#### Table of contents

#### UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### BACKGROUND

Universal Display Corporation (the "Company") is engaged in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode ("OLED") technologies and materials for use in flat panel display, solid-state lighting and other product applications. The Company's primary business strategy is to develop and license its proprietary OLED technologies to product manufacturers for use in these applications. In support of this objective, the Company also develops new OLED materials and sells those materials to product manufacturers. Through internal research and development efforts and relationships with entities such as Princeton University ("Princeton"), the University of Southern California ("USC"), the University of Michigan ("Michigan"), Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola") and PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG Industries"), the Company has established a significant portfolio of proprietary OLED technologies and materials (Note 4 and 5).

#### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### **Interim Financial Information**

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position as of June 30, 2009, the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. While management believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to make the information not misleading, these unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Company's latest year-end financial statements, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended. The results of Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for any other interim period or for the full year.

#### Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other current assets, and accounts payable are reflected in the accompanying financial statements at fair value due to the short-term nature of those instruments. See Note 3 for a discussion of short-term investments.

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets ("FSP 142-3"), which amends the list of factors an entity should consider in developing renewal or extension assumptions used in determining the useful life of recognized intangible

assets under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The new guidance applies to (1) intangible assets that are acquired individually or with a group of other assets and (2) intangible assets acquired in both business combinations and asset acquisitions. Under FSP 142-3, entities estimating the useful life of a recognized intangible asset must consider their historical experience in renewing or extending similar arrangements or, in the absence of historical experience, assumptions that market participants would use about renewal or extension. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of FSP 142-3 did not have any impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In June 2008, the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") issued EITF Issue No. 07-5, Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock ("EITF 07-5"), to address concerns regarding the meaning of "indexed to an entity's own stock" contained in SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. EITF 07-5 relates to the determination of whether a freestanding equity-linked instrument should be classified as equity or debt. If an instrument is classified as debt, it is valued at fair value, and this value is remeasured on an ongoing basis, with changes recorded on the statement of operations in each reporting period. EITF 07-5 is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. At January 1, 2009, the Company had warrants to purchase 838,446 shares of common stock outstanding containing a "down-round" provision that did not qualify for the scope of exception from the provisions of SFAS No. 133. In accordance with EITF 07-5, the fair value of these warrants is required to be reported as a liability,

#### Table of contents

with the changes of fair value recorded on the statement of operations. As such, on January 1, 2009, the fair value of these warrants of \$2,689,110 was reclassified from equity to a liability. As a result of the change, the original fair value of the warrants at the date of issuance of \$6,557,928 was recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital. In addition, accumulated deficit, as of January 1, 2009, decreased from \$180,472,203 to \$176,603,385 to reflect the cumulative effect of the adoption of EITF 07-5. The change in fair value of these warrants resulted in a \$292,710 and \$119,468 loss on the statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The Company will continue to report the warrants as a liability, with changes in fair value recorded in the statement of operations, until such time as these warrants are exercised or expire.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified EITF No. Issue 08-7, Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets ("EITF 08-7"). EITF 08-7 applies to defensive intangible assets, which are acquired intangible assets that the acquirer does not intend to actively use but intends to hold to prevent its competitors from obtaining access to them. As these assets are separately identifiable, EITF 08-7 requires an acquiring entity to account for defensive intangible assets as a separate unit of accounting which should be amortized to expense over the period the intangible asset will directly or indirectly affect the entity's cash flows. Defensive intangible assets must be recognized at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations and SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. EITF 08-7 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect EITF 08-7 will have an impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly ("FSP FAS 157-4"). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased. This FSP also includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-4 did not have any impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board ("APB") 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 enhance consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 relate to fair value disclosures for any financial instruments that are not currently reflected on the balance sheet of companies at fair value. Prior to issuing this FSP, fair values for these assets and liabilities were disclosed only once a year. The FSP now requires these disclosures to be made on a quarterly basis, providing qualitative and quantitative information about fair value estimates for all those financial instruments not measured on the balance sheet at fair value. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 and has included additional disclosure in these notes to consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events ("SFAS 165"). SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. SFAS 165 is effective for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. The Company evaluated all events and transactions that occurred up through the date and time the Company issued these financial statements on August 10, 2009. During this period, the Company did not have any material recognizable or non-recognizable subsequent events.

#### Correction of Prior Year Consolidated Financial Amounts

Management has determined that the shares withheld to cover employee payroll taxes on stock-based compensation in 2008 should have been recorded as a cash outflow from financing activity in the 2008 consolidated cash flow

statement. This immaterial error has been corrected, resulting in a decrease in net cash used in operating activities and a decrease in net cash provided by financing activities of \$749,770 for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This correction did not change any amounts on the consolidated balance sheet or statement of operations. Management believes that the effect of these corrections is not material to the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity for any period presented.

## 3. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company classifies its existing marketable securities as available-for-sale. These securities are, carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in shareholders' equity. Gains or losses on securities sold are based on the specific identification method.

#### Table of contents

Short-term investments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 consist of the following:

|                           | Amortized     | Unrealized    |    | Aggregate<br>Fair<br>Market |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Investment Classification | Cost          | Gains         | (] | Losses)                     | Value         |
| June 30, 2009 –           |               |               |    |                             |               |
| Certificates of deposit   | \$ 9,346,929  | \$<br>10,193  | \$ | (6,926)                     | \$ 9,350,196  |
| U.S. Government bonds     | 48,796,386    | 102,044       |    | _                           | - 48,898,430  |
|                           | \$ 58,143,315 | \$<br>112,237 | \$ | (6,926)                     | \$ 58,248,626 |
|                           |               |               |    |                             |               |
| December 31, 2008 –       |               |               |    |                             |               |
| Certificates of deposit   | \$ 10,318,000 | \$<br>35,577  | \$ | (3,323)                     | \$ 10,350,254 |
| U.S. Government bonds     | 38,688,122    | 96,121        |    | (1,878)                     | 38,782,365    |
|                           | \$49,006,122  | \$<br>131,698 | \$ | (5,201)                     | \$49,132,619  |

All short-term investments held at June 30, 2009 will mature within one year.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"), which clarified the definition of fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value and expanded disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS 157 established a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to valuations used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on management's own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009:

|             |               | Fair Value Measurements, Using |             |              |  |  |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
|             | Total         | Quoted                         | Significant |              |  |  |
|             | carrying      | prices in                      | other       | Significant  |  |  |
|             | value as of   | active                         | observable  | unobservable |  |  |
|             | June 30,      | markets                        | inputs      | inputs       |  |  |
|             | 2009          | (Level 1)                      | (Level 2)   | (Level 3)    |  |  |
| Investments | \$ 58,248,626 | \$ 58,248,626                  | \$ -        | _\$          |  |  |

#### 4. RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS WITH PRINCETON, USC AND MICHIGAN

The Company funded OLED technology research at Princeton and, on a subcontractor basis, at USC, for 10 years under a Research Agreement executed with Princeton in August 1997 (the "1997 Research Agreement"). The Principal Investigator conducting work under the 1997 Research Agreement transferred to Michigan in January 2006. Following this, the 1997 Research Agreement was allowed to expire on July 31, 2007.

As a result of the transfer, the Company entered into a new Sponsored Research Agreement with USC to sponsor OLED technology research at USC and, on a subcontractor basis, Michigan. This new Research Agreement (the "2006")

Research Agreement") was effective as of May 1, 2006, and had an original term of three years. The 2006 Research Agreement superseded the 1997 Research Agreement with respect to all work being performed at USC and Michigan. Payments under the 2006 Research Agreement are made to USC on a quarterly basis as actual expenses are incurred. The Company incurred \$2,155,570 in research and development expense for work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement during the original term, which ended on April 30, 2009.

Effective May 1, 2009, the Company amended the 2006 Research Agreement to extend the term of the agreement for an additional four years. Under the amendment, the Company is obligated to pay USC up to \$7,456,294 for work actually performed during the extended term, which runs through April 30, 2013. From May 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009, the Company incurred \$413,821 in research and development expense for work performed under the amended 2006 Research Agreement.

On October 9, 1997, the Company, Princeton and USC entered into an Amended License Agreement (as amended, the "1997 Amended License Agreement") under which Princeton and USC granted the Company worldwide, exclusive license rights, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed by Princeton and USC under the 1997 Research Agreement. Under this agreement, the Company is required to pay Princeton royalties for licensed products sold by the Company or its sublicensees. For licensed products sold by the Company, the Company is required to pay Princeton 3% of the net sales price of these products. For licensed products sold by the Company's sublicensees, the

#### Table of contents

Company is required to pay Princeton 3% of the revenues received by the Company from these sublicensees. These royalty rates are subject to renegotiation for products not reasonably conceivable as arising out of the 1997 Research Agreement if Princeton reasonably determines that the royalty rates payable with respect to these products are not fair and competitive.

The Company is obligated under the 1997 Amended License Agreement to pay to Princeton minimum annual royalties. The minimum royalty payment is \$100,000 per year. The Company accrued royalty expense in connection with this agreement of \$50,767 and \$50,895 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$95,130 and \$104,952 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company also is required under the 1997 Amended License Agreement to use commercially reasonable efforts to bring the licensed OLED technology to market. However, this requirement is deemed satisfied if the Company invests a minimum of \$800,000 per year in research, development, commercialization or patenting efforts respecting the patent rights licensed to the Company.

In connection with entering into the 2006 Research Agreement, the Company amended the 1997 Amended License Agreement to include Michigan as a party to that agreement effective as of January 1, 2006. Under this amendment, Princeton, USC and Michigan have granted the Company a worldwide exclusive license, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement. The financial terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement were not impacted by this amendment.

#### 5. EQUITY AND CASH COMPENSATION UNDER THE PPG INDUSTRIES AGREEMENTS

On October 1, 2000, the Company entered into a five-year Development and License Agreement ("Development Agreement") and a seven-year Supply Agreement ("Supply Agreement") with PPG Industries. Under the Development Agreement, a team of PPG Industries scientists and engineers assisted the Company in developing its proprietary OLED materials and supplied the Company with these materials for evaluation purposes. Under the Supply Agreement, PPG Industries supplied the Company with its proprietary OLED materials that were intended for resale to customers for commercial purposes.

On July 29, 2005, the Company entered into an OLED Materials Supply and Service Agreement with PPG Industries (the "OLED Materials Agreement"). The OLED Materials Agreement superseded and replaced in their entireties the Development Agreement and Supply Agreement effective as of January 1, 2006, and extended the term of the Company's relationship with PPG Industries through December 31, 2008. Under the OLED Materials Agreement, PPG Industries continues to assist the Company in developing its proprietary OLED materials and supplying the Company with those materials for evaluation purposes and for resale to its customers. On January 4, 2008, the term of the OLED Materials Agreement was extended for an additional three years, through December 31, 2011.

Under the OLED Materials Agreement, the Company compensates PPG Industries on a cost-plus basis for the services provided during each calendar quarter. The Company is required to pay for some of these services in all cash and for other of the services through the issuance of shares of the Company's common stock. Up to 50% of the remaining services are payable, at the Company's sole discretion, in cash or shares of the Company's common stock, with the balance payable in all cash. The actual number of shares of common stock issuable to PPG Industries is determined based on the average closing price for the Company's common stock during a specified number of days prior to the end of each calendar half-year period ending on March 31 and September 30. If, however, this average closing price is less than \$6.00, the Company is required to compensate PPG Industries in all cash.

The Company is also required under the OLED Materials Agreement to reimburse PPG Industries for its raw materials and conversion costs for all development chemicals produced on behalf of the Company.

The Company issued 69,313 and 34,862 shares of the Company's common stock to PPG Industries as consideration for services provided by PPG Industries under the OLED Materials Agreement during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$272,926 and \$316,134 to research and development expense, respectively, for these shares. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$582,301 and \$558,035 to research and development expense, respectively, for these shares.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$172,174 and \$270,819 to research and development expense for the cash portion of the reimbursement of expenses to and work performed by PPG Industries, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$1,021,014 and \$503,691 to research and development expense for the cash portion of the reimbursement of expenses to and work performed by PPG Industries, respectively.

# 6. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

|   | Preferred<br>Serie |          | Common     | ı Stock    | Additional<br>Paid-In | Unrealized<br>Gain on<br>Available-for<br>Sale | - Accumulated    | Total                   |
|---|--------------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|
|   | Shares             | Amount   | Shares     | Amount     | Capital               | Securities                                     | Deficit          | Equity                  |
| BALANCE,<br>JANUARY 1,<br>2009<br>Cumulative effect   | 200,000            | \$ 2,000 | 36,131,981 | \$361,320  | \$ 256,696,849        | \$ 126,497                                     | \$ (180,472,203) |                         |
| of the adoption of<br>EITF 07-5, see<br>Note 2<br>Exercise of                                 | -                  | -        | -          | -          | (6,557,928)           | ) -  | 3,868,818        | (2,689,110)             |
| common stock<br>options (A)<br>Stock-based  | -                  | -        | 34,265     | 343        | 198,627               | -  | -                | 198,970                 |
| employee<br>compensation, net<br>of shares withheld   |                    |          | 142 270    | 1 424      | 1 624 241             |  |                  | 1 605 675               |
| for taxes (B) Stock-based non-employee  | -                  | -        | 143,378    | 1,434      | 1,624,241             | _  | -                | 1,625,675               |
| compensation Issuance of common stock to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board (C) | _                  | -        | 50,614     | 506        | 6,514<br>485,807      | -  | -<br>-           | 6,518<br>486,313        |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with development and license                           |                    |          |            | 010        |                       |  |                  |                         |
| agreements (D) Net loss Unrealized loss on  | -                  | -        | 81,328     | 813        | 663,442               | -  | (11,984,777)     | 664,255<br>(11,984,777) |
| available-for-sale securities   | -                  | -        | -          | -          | _                     | (21,186)                                       | -                | (21,186)                |
| Comprehensive loss  |                    |          |            |            |                       |  |                  | (12,005,963)            |
|   | 200,000            | \$ 2,000 | 36,441,975 | \$ 364,420 | \$ 253,117,552        | \$ 105,311                                     | \$ (188,588,162) | \$ 65,001,121           |

## BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2009

- (A) During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company issued 34,265 shares of common stock upon the exercise of common stock options, resulting in cash proceeds of \$198,970.
- (B) Includes \$1,480,538 that was earned in a previous period and charged to expense when earned, but issued in 2009, less shares withheld for taxes in the amount of \$641,707.
- (C) Includes \$309,802 that was earned in a previous period and charged to expense when earned, but issued in 2009.
- (D) The Company was required to pay Motorola royalties of \$163,916 for the year ended December 31, 2008. In March 2009, the Company issued to Motorola 12,015 shares of the Company's common stock, valued at \$81,954, and paid Motorola \$81,962 in cash to satisfy the royalty obligation.

#### 7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS 123(R)"), addresses all forms of share-based payment awards, including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. It requires companies to recognize in the statement of operations the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees and directors.

The grant-date fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of share-based awards is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The Company relies primarily upon historical experience to estimate expected forfeitures and recognizes compensation expense on a straight-line basis from the date of the grant. The Company issues new shares upon the exercise or vesting of share-based awards.

### **Equity Compensation Plan**

In 1995, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted a Stock Option Plan (the "1995 Plan"), under which options to purchase a maximum of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock were authorized to be granted at prices not less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of the grant, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Through June 30, 2009, the Company's shareholders have approved

#### Table of contents

increases in the number of shares reserved for issuance under the 1995 Plan to 7,000,000, and have extended the term of the plan through 2015. The 1995 Plan was also amended and restated in 2003, and is now called the Equity Compensation Plan. The Equity Compensation Plan provides for the granting of incentive and nonqualified stock options, shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights and performance units to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. Stock options are exercisable over periods determined by the Compensation Committee, but for no longer than 10 years from the grant date.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company did not grant any options to employees. The Company recorded as compensation expense related to the vesting of all employee stock options a charge of \$45,766 and a credit of \$4,946 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and charges of \$41,970 and \$103,732 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company also granted to a non-employee an option to purchase 500 shares of the Company's common stock. The stock option vested immediately and had an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of the option granted was \$4,028, which was charged to research and development expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company granted a total of 142,650 shares of restricted stock to employees. These shares of restricted stock had a fair value of \$1,441,458 on the date of grant and will vest in equal increments annually over three years from the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still an employee of the Company on the applicable vesting date. The Company recorded as compensation expense related to the vesting of restricted stock awards to employees charges to general and administrative expense of \$249,845 and \$162,793 and to research and development expense of \$118,305 and \$84,713 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and a charge to general and administrative expense of \$491,481 and \$317,614 and to research and development expense of \$235,007 and \$166,168 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company also granted to employees 3,641 shares of the Company's common stock, which were issued and fully vested at the date of grant. The Company recorded for the fair value of fully vested shares issued charges to research and development expense of \$17,270 and \$1,967 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$27,760 and \$13,847 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In connection with the issuance of these shares during the six months ended June 30, 2009, 1,242 shares of common stock with a fair value of \$9,374 were withheld in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company granted to non-employees 409 shares of common stock. The fair value of the shares issued to non-employees during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$492 and \$2,490, respectively, which was charged to research and development expense.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company issued 11,132 shares of common stock to members of its Board of Directors as partial compensation for services performed. The Company recorded for the fair value of shares issued to its Board of Directors charges to general and administrative expense of \$39,220 and \$87,709 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$98,050 and \$193,835 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company granted a total of 23,714 shares of restricted stock to certain members of its Scientific Advisory Board. These shares of restricted stock will vest and be issued in equal increments annually over three years from the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still engaged as a consultant of the Company on the applicable vesting date. The Company recorded charges to research and development expense for the vesting of all restricted stock awards to its Scientific Advisory Board of \$55,962 and \$29,276 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$78,461 and \$39,778 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and

2008, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On April 7, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"). The ESPP was approved by the Company's shareholders and became effective on June 25, 2009. The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the ESPP. Unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors, the ESPP will expire when all reserved shares have been issued.

Eligible employees may elect to contribute to the ESPP through payroll deductions during consecutive three-month purchase periods, the first of which began on July 1, 2009. Each employee who elects to participate will be deemed to have been granted an option to purchase shares of the Company's common stock on the first day of the purchase period. Unless the employee opts out during the purchase period, the option will automatically be exercised on the last day of the period, which is the purchase date, based on the employee's accumulated contributions to the ESPP. The purchase price will equal 85% of the lesser of the price per share of common stock on the first day of the period or the last day of the period.

Employees may allocate up to 10% of their base compensation to purchase shares of common stock under the ESPP; however, each employee may purchase no more than 12,500 shares on a given purchase date, and no employee may purchase more than \$25,000 of common stock under the ESPP during a given calendar year.

Starting in the third quarter, the Company will record compensation expense relating to certain features of the ESPP.

#### Table of contents

#### Net Loss Per Common Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per common share reflects the potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of securities into common stock. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the effects of the exercise of the combined outstanding stock options and warrants of 4,395,417 and 4,896,124, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as the impact would have been antidilutive.

#### 8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Commitments

Under the 2006 Research Agreement with USC, the Company is obligated to make certain payments to USC based on work performed by USC under that agreement, and by Michigan under its subcontractor agreement with USC. See Note 4 for further explanation.

Under the terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement, the Company is required to make minimum royalty payments to Princeton. See Note 4 for further explanation.

The Company is required under a license agreement with Motorola to pay royalties to Motorola based on gross revenues earned by the Company from its sales of OLED products or components, or from its OLED technology licensees, whether or not these revenues relate specifically to inventions claimed in the patent rights licensed from Motorola. All royalty payments are payable, at the Company's discretion, in either all cash or up to 50% in shares of the Company's common stock and the remainder in cash. The number of shares of common stock used to pay the stock portion of the royalty payment is calculated by dividing the amount to be paid in stock by the average daily closing price per share of the Company's common stock over the 10 trading days ending two business days prior to the date the stock is issued. The Company accrued royalty expense in connection with this agreement of \$32,165 and \$41,889 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$68,232 and \$88,517 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958

On December 8, 2006, Cambridge Display Technology, Ltd. ("CDT"), which was acquired in 2007 by Sumitomo Chemical Company ("Sumitomo"), filed a Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958 (the "EP '958 patent"). The EP '958 patent, which was issued on March 8, 2006, is a European counterpart patent to U.S. patents 5,844,363, 6,602,540, 6,888,306 and 7,247,073. These patents relate to the Company's FOLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The European Patent Office (the "EPO") set a date of May 12, 2007 for the Company to file a response to the facts and arguments presented by CDT in its Notice of Opposition. The response was timely filed. The opponents then filed their reply to the Company's response on December 7, 2007. The Company decided that there was no need to file another response before the oral hearing date was set. On March 31, 2009, the EPO issued a Summons for an Oral Hearing on October 6, 2009. The Summons included a preliminary, non-binding opinion from the opposition division of the EPO.

At this late stage of the proceeding, Company management still cannot make a firm prediction as to the probable outcome of this opposition. However, based on an analysis of the evidence presented to date, including the preliminary opinion of the opposition division of the EPO, Company management continues to believe there is a

substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

Notices of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238

On March 8, 2007, Sumation Company Limited ("Sumation"), a joint venture between Sumitomo and CDT, filed a first Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238 (the "EP '238 patent"). The EP '238 patent, which was issued on November 2, 2006, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,830,828, 6,902,830, 7,001,536 and 7,291,406, and to pending U.S. patent application 11/879,379, filed on July 16, 2007. These patents and this patent application relate to the Company's PHOLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and under the license agreement the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

Two other parties filed additional oppositions to the EP '238 patent just prior to the August 2, 2007 expiration date for such filings. On July 24, 2007, Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany, filed a second Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent, and on July 27, 2007, BASF Aktiengesellschaft, of Mannheim, Germany, filed a third Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent. The EPO combined all three oppositions into a single opposition proceeding.

#### Table of contents

The EPO set a January 6, 2008 due date for the Company to file its response to the opposition. The Company requested a two-month extension to file this response, and the Company subsequently filed its response in a timely manner. The Company is currently waiting for the EPO to notify it of the date of the oral hearing. The Company is also waiting to see whether the other parties in the opposition file any additional documents, to which the Company may respond.

At this time, Company management cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the opposition. However, based on an analysis of the evidence presented to date, Company management continues to believe there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

#### 9. CONCENTRATION OF RISK

Contract research revenue, which is included in developmental revenue in the accompanying statement of operations, of \$908,225 and \$345,085 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$1,803,811 and \$1,231,052 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, has been derived from contracts with United States government agencies. One non-government customer accounted for 34% and 56% of consolidated revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 35% and 49% of consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Accounts receivable from this customer were \$696,567 at June 30, 2009. Revenues from outside of North America represented 66% and 80% of consolidated revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 66% and 71% of consolidated revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes above.

# CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis contains some "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements concern our possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategies and customer relationships. These statements often include words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," "will," "may" or similar expressions. These statements are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our experience in the industry, as well as our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in these circumstances.

As you read and consider this discussion and analysis, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. You should understand that these statements involve substantial risk and uncertainty and are not guarantees of future performance or results. They depend on many factors that are discussed further in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended, as supplemented by any disclosures in Item 1A of Part II below. Changes or developments in any of these areas could affect our financial results or results of operations, and could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in the forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or the documents incorporated by reference, as the case may be. We do not undertake any duty to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We are a leader in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode, or OLED, technologies for use in flat panel display, solid-state lighting and other applications. Since 1994, we have been exclusively engaged, and expect to continue to be exclusively engaged, in funding and performing research and development activities relating to OLED technologies and materials, and in attempting to commercialize these technologies and materials. Our revenues are generated through contract research, sales of development and commercial chemicals, technology development and evaluation agreements and license fees and royalties. In the future, we anticipate that revenues from licensing our intellectual property will become a more significant part of our revenue stream.

While we have made significant progress over the past few years developing and commercializing our family of OLED technologies (PHOLED, TOLED, FOLED, etc.) and materials, we have incurred significant losses and will likely continue to do so until our OLED technologies and materials become more widely adopted by product manufacturers. We have incurred significant losses since our inception, resulting in an accumulated deficit of \$188,588,162 as of June 30, 2009.

#### Table of contents

We anticipate fluctuations in our annual and quarterly results of operations due to uncertainty regarding, among other factors:

- •the timing of our receipt of license fees and royalties, as well as fees for future technology development and evaluation;
- the timing and volume of sales of our OLED materials for both commercial usage and evaluation purposes;
- the timing and magnitude of expenditures we may incur in connection with our ongoing research and development activities; and
- •the timing and financial consequences of our formation of new business relationships and alliances.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

We had a net loss of \$6,415,178 (or \$0.18 per basic and diluted share) for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to a net loss of \$5,205,790 (or \$0.15 per basic and diluted share) for the same period in 2008. The increase in net loss was primarily due to:

- ·an increase in operating expenses of \$1,191,574; and
- ·a decrease in interest income of \$548,775; and
- ·a loss on stock warrant liability of \$292,710;
- partially offset by an increase in revenues of \$810,756.

Our revenues were \$2,956,354 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$2,145,598 for the same period in 2008. Commercial revenue decreased to \$1,239,056 from \$1,395,487 for the same period in 2008. Commercial revenue relates to the incorporation of our OLED technologies and materials into our customers' commercial products, and includes commercial chemical revenue, royalty and license revenues, and commercialization assistance revenue. Developmental revenue increased to \$1,717,298 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, from \$750,111 for the same period in 2008. Developmental revenue relates to OLED technology and material development activities for which we are paid, and includes contract research revenue, development chemical revenue and technology development revenue.

Our commercial chemical revenue and our royalty and license revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 were \$569,600 and \$502,559, respectively, compared to \$938,330 and \$457,157, respectively, for the corresponding period in 2008.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the majority of our commercial chemical revenue was from sales of our proprietary OLED materials to Samsung Mobile Display Co., Ltd. ("Samsung SMD"). We also sold small quantities of our proprietary OLED materials to another customer during the quarter. We recorded commercial chemical revenue and license revenue on account of the sales to that customer. For the corresponding period in 2008, the majority of our commercial chemical revenue was from Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. ("Samsung SDI"), whose OLED business was

transferred to Samsung SMD in September 2008 (Samsung SDI and Samsung SMD collectively referred to as "Samsung"). We also sold small quantities of our proprietary OLED materials to two other customers during the second quarter of 2008. We recorded commercial chemical revenue and license revenue on account of the sales to those customers.

The decrease in commercial chemical revenue from the second quarter of 2008 to the second quarter of 2009 resulted primarily from a lower volume of OLED material sales to Samsung. Our understanding is that this lower sales volume was due to Samsung's implementation of manufacturing process efficiencies, improved materials utilization and more efficient and improved device structures, offset in part by increased production volume. We cannot accurately predict how long our material sales to Samsung or other customers will continue, as they frequently update and alter their product offerings in response to market demands. Continued sales of our OLED materials to these customers will depend on several factors, including, pricing, availability, continued technical improvement and competitive product offerings.

We recorded royalty revenue of \$257,959 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$228,587 for the same period in 2008. This revenue primarily represents royalties received under our patent license agreement with Samsung, which we entered into in April 2005. Under this agreement, we receive royalty reports at a specified period of time after the end of the quarter during which royalty-bearing products are sold by Samsung. Royalty revenue for these sales is recognized when the report is received. Consequently, our royalty revenues from Samsung for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 represent royalties for licensed products sold by Samsung during the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### Table of contents

License revenue for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 included license fees of \$244,600 and \$228,570, respectively. These revenues were received under our patent license agreement with Samsung, as well as a cross-license agreement we executed with DuPont Displays, Inc. ("DuPont") in December 2002. License revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 also included amounts received under a patent license agreement we entered into with Konica Minolta Holdings, Inc. ("Konica Minolta") in August 2008, and a joint development agreement we previously entered into with a subsidiary of Konica Minolta. Under our agreements with Samsung, DuPont and Konica Minolta, we received upfront payments that have been classified as deferred license fees and deferred revenue. The deferred license fees are being recognized as license revenue over the term of the agreement with Samsung and, based on current assumptions, over 10 years with DuPont and Konica Minolta.

Commercial revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 also included \$166,897 in commercialization assistance revenue that we received under a business support agreement executed during the fourth quarter of 2008. We received no such revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.

We earned \$908,225 in contract research revenue from agencies of the U.S. Government for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$345,085 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. The increase was due to the overall value of our government contracts increasing by approximately 50%, as well as the timing of expenses incurred under these contracts.

We earned \$627,699 in development chemical revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$305,026 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. The increase was due primarily to increased development chemical sales to four customers, offset to some extent by decreased development chemical sales to two other customers. We cannot accurately predict the timing and frequency of development chemical purchases by our customers due to participants in the OLED industry having differing OLED technology development and product launch strategies, which are subject to change at any time.

We recognized \$181,374 in technology development revenue for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$100,000 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. Technology development revenue for the second quarter of 2009 included amounts received under two joint development agreements that we entered into during the second half of 2008. Technology development revenue for the second quarter of 2009 also included amounts received for a technical assistance program that began in the fourth quarter of 2008. Payments received under these agreements are being classified as deferred revenue and are being recognized as revenue over the life of the applicable agreement. The amount and timing of our receipt of fees for technology development and similar services is difficult to predict due to participants in the OLED industry having different technology development strategies, which are subject to change at any time.

Total operating expenses were \$9,267,117 for the quarter ending June 30, 2009, compared to \$8,075,543 for the same period in 2008.

We incurred research and development expenses of \$5,324,695 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$4,377,329 for the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly due to:

- •the timing of \$438,866 in costs associated with subcontractors and consultants under government contracts;
- increased employee costs of \$316,185; and
- increased costs incurred under our sponsored research agreements of \$165,623;

•partially offset by a decrease of \$141,853 in costs incurred under our agreement with PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG Industries").

Selling, general and administrative expenses remained relatively consistent over the corresponding periods and were \$2,715,071 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$2,679,944 for the same period in 2008.

Patent costs increased to \$823,729 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$676,024 for the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to the timing of costs associated with the prosecution of patent applications.

Interest income decreased to \$188,593 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$737,368 for the same period in 2008. The decrease was mainly attributable to decreased rates of return on investments during the quarter, compared to rates for the same period in 2008, as well as a decrease in the amount of cash available for investment. Due to current market conditions, we anticipate that these lower rates of return will continue for the foreseeable future.

At January 1, 2009, the Company had warrants to purchase 838,446 shares of common stock outstanding containing a "down-round" provision that did not qualify for the scope of exception from the provisions of SFAS No. 133. The change in fair value of these warrants from March 31, 2009 to June 30, 2009 resulted in a \$292,710 loss on the statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

#### Table of contents

There was no such loss for the same period of 2008. The Company will continue to report the warrants as a liability, with changes in fair value recorded in the statement of operations, until such time as these warrants are exercised or expire.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

We had a net loss of \$11,984,777 (or \$0.33 per basic and diluted share) for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to a net loss of \$9,399,175 (or \$0.26 per basic and diluted share) for the same period in 2008. The increase in net loss was primarily due to:

- · an increase in operating expenses of \$2,195,299;
- ·a decrease in interest income of \$1,214,569; and
- ·a loss on stock warrant liability of \$119,468;
- •partially offset by an increase in revenues of \$927,795.

Our revenues were \$5,790,212 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$4,862,417 for the same period in 2008. Commercial revenue decreased to \$2,608,193 from \$2,950,552 for the same period in 2008. Commercial revenue relates to the incorporation of our OLED technologies and materials into our customers' commercial products, and includes commercial chemical revenue, royalty and license revenues, and commercialization assistance revenue. Developmental revenue increased to \$3,182,019 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, from \$1,911,865 for the same period in 2008. Developmental revenue relates to OLED technology and material development activities for which we are paid, and includes contract research revenue, development chemical revenue and technology development revenue.

Our commercial chemical revenue and our royalty and license revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$1,255,765 and \$1,018,633, respectively, compared to \$1,923,890 and \$1,026,662, respectively, for the corresponding period in 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the majority of our commercial chemical revenue was from sales of our proprietary OLED materials to Samsung SMD. We also sold small quantities of our proprietary OLED materials to another customer during the first half of 2009. We recorded commercial chemical revenue and license revenue on account of the sales to that customer. For the corresponding period in 2008, the majority of our commercial chemical revenue was from Samsung SDI. We also sold small quantities of our proprietary OLED materials to two other customers during the first half of 2008. We recorded commercial chemical revenue and license revenue on account of the sales to those customers.

The decrease in commercial chemical revenue from the first half of 2008 to the first half of 2009 resulted primarily from a lower volume of OLED material sales to Samsung. Our understanding is that this lower sales volume was due to Samsung's implementation of manufacturing process efficiencies, improved materials utilization and more efficient and improved device structures, offset in part by increased production volume. We cannot accurately predict how long our material sales to Samsung or other customers will continue, as they frequently update and alter their product offerings in response to market demands. Continued sales of our OLED materials to these customers will depend on several factors, including, pricing, availability, continued technical improvement and competitive product offerings.

We recorded royalty revenue of \$536,138 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$444,012 for the same period in 2008. This revenue primarily represents royalties received under our patent license agreement with

Samsung. Under this agreement, we receive royalty reports at a specified period of time after the end of the quarter during which royalty-bearing products are sold by Samsung. Royalty revenue for these sales is recognized when the report is received. Consequently, our royalty revenues from Samsung for the six months ended June 30, 2009 represent royalties for licensed products sold by Samsung during the first quarter of 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we also received a small amount of royalties from AIXTRON AG for the sale of an OVPD tool. No such royalties were earned for the same period in 2009.

License revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 included license fees of \$482,495 and \$530,510, respectively. These revenues were received under our patent license agreement with Samsung, as well as our cross-license agreement with DuPont. License revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 also included amounts received under a patent license agreement we entered into with Konica Minolta in August 2008, and a joint development agreement we previously entered into with a subsidiary of Konica Minolta. Under our agreements with Samsung, DuPont and Konica Minolta, we received upfront payments that have been classified as deferred license fees and deferred revenue. The deferred license fees are being recognized as license revenue over the term of the agreement with Samsung and, based on current assumptions, over 10 years with DuPont and Konica Minolta.

#### Table of contents

Commercial revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 also included \$333,795 in commercialization assistance revenue that we received under a business support agreement executed during the fourth quarter of 2008. We received no such revenue for the same period in 2008.

We earned \$1,803,811 in contract research revenue from agencies of the U.S. Government for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$1,231,052 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. The increase was due to the overall value of our government contracts increasing by approximately 40% as well as the timing of expenses incurred under these contracts.

We earned \$907,997 in development chemical revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$558,125 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. The increase was due primarily to increased development chemical sales to five customers, offset to some extent by decreased development chemical sales to two other customers. We cannot accurately predict the timing and frequency of development chemical purchases by our customers due to participants in the OLED industry having differing OLED technology development and product launch strategies, which are subject to change at any time.

We recognized \$470,211 in technology development revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$122,688 in corresponding revenue for the same period in 2008. Technology development revenue for the first six months of 2009 included amounts received under two joint development agreements that we entered into during the second half of 2008. Technology development revenue for the first six months of 2009 also included amounts received for a technical assistance program that began in the fourth quarter of 2008. Payments received under these agreements are being classified as deferred revenue and are being recognized as revenue over the life of the applicable agreement. The amount and timing of our receipt of fees for technology development and similar services is difficult to predict due to participants in the OLED industry having different technology development strategies, which are subject to change at any time.

Total operating expenses were \$18,094,573 for the six months ending June 30, 2009, compared to \$15,899,274 for the same period in 2008.

We incurred research and development expenses of \$10,543,757 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$8,817,467 for the same period in 2008. The increase was due mainly to:

- the timing of \$401,523 in costs associated with subcontractors and consultants under government contracts;
- an increase of \$541,589 in costs incurred under our agreement with PPG Industries;
- ·increased employee costs of \$324,240; and
- increased costs incurred under our sponsored research agreements of \$284,385.

Selling, general and administrative expenses remained relatively consistent over the corresponding periods and were \$5,338,016 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$5,053,490 for the same period in 2008.

Patent costs increased to \$1,555,260 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$1,387,410 for the same period in 2008. The increase was mainly attributable to the timing of costs associated with the prosecution of patent applications.

Interest income decreased to \$441,993 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$1,656,562 for the same period in 2008. The decrease was mainly attributable to decreased rates of return on investments during the six-month period, compared to rates for the same period in 2008, as well as a decrease in the amount of cash available for investment. Due to current market conditions, we anticipate that these lower rates of return will continue for the foreseeable future.

At January 1, 2009, the Company had warrants to purchase 838,446 shares of common stock outstanding containing a "down-round" provision that did not qualify for the scope of exception from the provisions of SFAS No.133. On January 1, 2009, the fair value of these warrants was \$2,689,110 and was reclassified from equity to a liability upon the adoption of EITF 07-5. The change in fair value of these warrants resulted in a \$119,468 loss on the statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009. There was no such loss for the same period of 2008. The Company will continue to report the warrants as a liability, with changes in fair value recorded in the statement of operations, until such time as these warrants are exercised or expire.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$9,881,422 and short-term investments of \$58,248,626, for a total of \$68,130,048. This compares to cash and cash equivalents of \$28,321,581 and short-term investments of \$49,132,619, for a total of \$77,454,200, as of December 31, 2008. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$9,324,152 was primarily due to the usage of cash in operating activities.

#### Table of contents

Cash used in operating activities was \$8,798,154 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$5,528,958 for the same period in 2008. The increase in cash used in operating activities was due mainly to an increased net loss for the six months of 2009, compared to the same period in 2008.

Cash used in investing activities was \$8,996,501 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For the same period in 2008, cash provided by investing activities was \$9,799,951. The increase in cash used in investing activities was due to the timing of short-term investment purchases and the fact that the Company's investment portfolio contains instruments with longer periods to maturity than in the past.

Cash used in financing activities was \$645,504 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. For the same period in 2008, cash provided by financing activities was \$1,398,413. In the first six months of 2009, we received proceeds of \$198,970 from the exercise of options to purchase shares of our common stock. This compares to \$2,148,183 in corresponding proceeds that we received during the same period of 2008.

Working capital was \$56,869,966 as of June 30, 2009, compared to working capital of \$64,600,256 as of December 31, 2008. Working capital decreased primarily due to the use of cash in operating activities. We anticipate, based on our internal forecasts and assumptions relating to our operations (including, among others, assumptions regarding our working capital requirements, the progress of our research and development efforts, the availability of sources of funding for our research and development work, and the timing and costs associated with the preparation, filing, prosecution, maintenance, defense and enforcement of our patents and patent applications), that we have sufficient cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments to meet our obligations for at least the next 12 months.

We believe that potential additional financing sources for us include long-term and short-term borrowings, public and private sales of our equity and debt securities and the receipt of cash upon the exercise of warrants and options. It should be noted, however, that additional funding may be required in the future for research, development and commercialization of our OLED technologies and materials, to obtain, maintain and enforce patents respecting these technologies and materials, and for working capital and other purposes, the timing and amount of which are difficult to ascertain. There can be no assurance that additional funds will be available to us when needed, on commercially reasonable terms or at all, particularly in the current economic environment.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended, for a discussion of our critical accounting policies. There have been no changes in critical accounting policies to date in 2009.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended, for a discussion of our contractual obligations. There have been no significant changes in contractual obligations to date in 2009.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended, for a discussion of off-balance sheet arrangements. As of June 30, 2009, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not utilize financial instruments for trading purposes and hold no derivative financial instruments, other financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments that could expose us to significant market risk other than our short-term investments disclosed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included herein. We invest in investment grade financial instruments to reduce our exposure. Our primary market risk exposure with regard to financial instruments is to changes in interest rates, which would impact interest income earned on investments.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange

#### Table of contents

Act of 1934, as amended, is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. However, a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958

On December 8, 2006, Cambridge Display Technology, Ltd. ("CDT"), which was acquired in 2007 by Sumitomo Chemical Company ("Sumitomo"), filed a Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 0946958 (the "EP '958 patent"). The EP '958 patent, which was issued on March 8, 2006, is a European counterpart patent to U.S. patents 5,844,363, 6,602,540, 6,888,306 and 7,247,073. These patents relate to our FOLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The European Patent Office (the "EPO") set a date of May 12, 2007 for us to file a response to the facts and arguments presented by CDT in its Notice of Opposition. The response was timely filed. The opponents then filed their reply to our response on December 7, 2007. We decided that there was no need to file another response before the oral hearing date was set. On March 31, 2009, the EPO issued a Summons for an Oral Hearing on October 6, 2009. The Summons included a preliminary, non-binding opinion from the opposition division of the EPO.

At this late stage of the proceeding, we still cannot make a firm prediction as to the probable outcome of this opposition. However, based on an analysis of the evidence presented to date, including the preliminary opinion of the opposition division of the EPO, we continue to believe there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

Notices of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238

On March 8, 2007, Sumation Company Limited ("Sumation"), a joint venture between Sumitomo and CDT, filed a first Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 1449238 (the "EP '238 patent"). The EP '238 patent, which was issued on November 2, 2006, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,830,828, 6,902,830, 7,001,536 and 7,291,406, and to pending U.S. patent application 11/879,379, filed on July 16, 2007. These patents and this patent application relate to our PHOLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to us by Princeton, and under the license agreement we are required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

Two other parties filed additional oppositions to the EP '238 patent just prior to the August 2, 2007 expiration date for such filings. On July 24, 2007, Merck Patent GmbH, of Darmstadt, Germany, filed a second Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent, and on July 27, 2007, BASF Aktiengesellschaft, of Mannheim, Germany, filed a third Notice of Opposition to the EP '238 patent. The EPO combined all three oppositions into a single opposition proceeding.

The EPO set a January 6, 2008 due date for us to file our response to the opposition. We requested a two-month extension to file this response, and we subsequently filed our response in a timely manner. We are currently waiting for the EPO to notify us of the date of the oral hearing. We are also waiting to see whether the other parties in the opposition file any additional documents, to which we may respond.

At this time, we cannot make any prediction as to the probable outcome of the opposition. However, based on an analysis of the evidence presented to date, we continue to believe there is a substantial likelihood that the patent being challenged will be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of its claims will be upheld.

#### Table of contents

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously discussed in Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, as amended.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Withholding of Shares to Satisfy Tax Withholding Obligations

As illustrated in the following table, during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 we acquired 724 shares of common stock through transactions related to the vesting of restricted share awards previously granted to certain employees. Upon vesting, the employees turned in shares of common stock in amounts sufficient to pay their minimum statutory tax withholding at rates required by the relevant tax authorities.

|                    |        |           |            | Total      | Approximate |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                    |        |           |            | Number of  | Dollar      |
|                    |        |           |            | Shares     | Value of    |
|                    |        |           |            | Purchased  | Shares that |
|                    |        | Total     |            | as Part of | May Yet Be  |
|                    |        | Number of | Average    | Publicly   | Purchased   |
|                    |        | Shares    | Price Paid | Announced  | Under the   |
|                    | Period | Purchased | per Share  | Program    | Program     |
| April 1 – April 30 |        |           | \$         | n/a        |             |
| May 1 – May 31     |        | 362       | 8.79       | n/a        |             |
| June 1 – June 30   |        | 362       | 10.31      | n/a        |             |
| Total              |        | 724       | \$ 9.55    | n/a        |             |

Issuance of Securities to PPG Industries

Under our OLED Materials Supply and Service Agreement, we have the option to issue shares of our common stock to PPG Industries on a periodic basis as payment for up to 50% of the amounts due for certain services performed for us by PPG Industries. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we issued an aggregate of 73,226 shares of our common stock to PPG Industries as partial payment for these services. The shares were issued in reliance on the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) We held our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on June 25, 2009.
- (b) Per Instruction 3 to Item 4 of Form 10-Q, no response is required.

(c) The number of votes represented at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, was 32,441,663. In determining this number, abstentions and shares held by brokers who have notified us that they lack voting authority with respect to any matter (referred to herein as "broker non-votes") were deemed present. The matters voted upon at the annual meeting and the results of the vote on each such matter are set forth below:

#### Table of contents

1. Election of Directors. The result of the vote tabulated at the meeting for the election of seven directors is set forth as follows, opposite their respective names:

|                      |            |           | Percentage FOR of |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|
|                      | Number of  | Number of | Total             |
|                      | Votes      | Votes     | Votes             |
| Name                 | FOR        | WITHHELD  | Cast*             |
| Steven V. Abramson   | 30,432,960 | 2,008,703 | 93.8%             |
| Leonard Becker       | 31,962,482 | 479,181   | 98.5%             |
| Elizabeth H. Gemmill | 31,972,288 | 469,375   | 98.6%             |
| C. Keith Hartley     | 32,197,588 | 244,075   | 99.2%             |
| Lawrence Lacerte     | 32,194,451 | 247,212   | 99.2%             |
| Sidney D. Rosenblatt | 30,109,796 | 2,333,867 | 92.8%             |
| Sherwin I. Seligsohn | 30,098,335 | 2,343,328 | 92.8%             |

<sup>\*</sup> Broker non-votes are not considered votes "cast" with respect to the election of directors.

2. Proposal to Approve the Company's 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The result of the vote tabulated at the meeting for the ratification and approval of this proposal was as follows:

|            |                |                    |            | Percentage  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
|            | Number of      |                    | Number of  | FOR of      |
| Number of  | Votes          | Number of          | Broker     | Total Votes |
| Votes FOR  | <b>AGAINST</b> | <b>ABSTENTIONS</b> | Non-Votes  | Cast*       |
| 17,126,895 | 756,740        | 92,933             | 14,465,095 | 95.8%       |

<sup>\*</sup> Abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered votes "cast" with respect to this proposal.

3. Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2009. The result of the vote tabulated at the meeting for the ratification and approval of this proposal was as follows:

|            |                |                    | Percentage  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
|            | Number of      |                    | FOR of      |
| Number of  | Votes          | Number of          | Total Votes |
| Votes FOR  | <b>AGAINST</b> | <b>ABSTENTIONS</b> | Cast*       |
| 32,125,897 | 72,223         | 242,841            | 99.8%       |

<sup>\*</sup> Abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered votes "cast" with respect to this proposal.

(d) Not applicable.

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

The Company entered into an amendment to its sponsored research program with the University of Southern California ("USC"). The amendment extends the research program with USC for four years, through April 30, 2013. Certain of the program research will continue to be performed at the University of Michigan under a subcontract with USC. The Company has agreed to provide up to \$7.5 million in funding for this research over the four-year period.

## Table of contents

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following is a list of the exhibits included as part of this report. Where so indicated by footnote, exhibits that were previously included are incorporated by reference. For exhibits incorporated by reference, the location of the exhibit in the previous filing is indicated parenthetically, together with a reference to the filing indicated by footnote.

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description   |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.1*             | Amendment No. 2 to the Sponsored Research Agreement between the registrant and the University of Southern California, dated as of May 1, 2009   |
| 10.2+             | 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, approved as of June 25, 2009   |
| 31.1*             | Certifications of Steven V. Abramson, Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)  |
| 31.2*             | Certifications of Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Chief Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a)  |
| 32.1**            | Certifications of Steven V. Abramson, Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b), and by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. (This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.)   |
| 32.2**            | Certifications of Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Chief Financial Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b), and by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. (This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Further, this exhibit shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.) |

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Furnished herewith.

<sup>+</sup> Filed as an exhibit to the Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, filed with the SEC on April 24, 2009.

Note: Any of the exhibits listed in the foregoing index not included with this report may be obtained, without charge, by writing to Mr. Sidney D. Rosenblatt, Corporate Secretary, Universal Display Corporation, 375 Phillips Boulevard, Ewing, New Jersey 08618.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

## UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION

Date: August 10, 2009 By: /s/ Sidney D. Rosenblatt

Sidney D. Rosenblatt

**Executive Vice President and Chief** 

Financial Officer